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I. Introduction

Chair Cohen, Vice Chair Ross, Ranking Member Johnson, and Members of the Committee, my name is Helen Butler and I am the Executive Director of the Georgia Coalition for the People’s Agenda (“PEOPLE’S AGENDA”).

The PEOPLE’S AGENDA is a non-partisan, non-profit organization founded by the late Reverend Dr. Joseph E. Lowery. It is comprised of a coalition of representatives from civil rights, human rights, peace and justice organizations, and concerned citizens of the State of Georgia. The PEOPLE’S AGENDA is based in the greater Atlanta metro area, but we have members located throughout the entire State of Georgia who help to advance our mission and achieve our organizational goals.

Our mission is to improve the quality of governance in Georgia, create a more informed and active electorate, and ensure responsive and accountable elected officials. A significant focus of our work is on voter empowerment and ensuring equal access to the ballot for eligible Black Georgians, other Georgians of color, and under-represented communities.

The PEOPLE’S AGENDA’S voter empowerment work includes providing voter registration assistance with a focus on education and mobilization, at Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs), high schools, naturalization ceremonies, and community events. The PEOPLE’S AGENDA also conducts town hall meetings and candidate forums to provide opportunities for Georgia voters to learn about candidate positions and to engage in dialogues. We also operate a “Get Out the Vote” campaign in central locations throughout the state to encourage voter turnout; conduct our Election Protection Project which informs voters of their rights and provides immediate relief for problems encountered on or before Election Day; and manage our “Vote Connection Center” which provides training and technical assistance to nonprofit organizations and individuals through effective issue campaign organizing and civic engagement.

The PEOPLE’S AGENDA has always been dedicated to fighting for the voting rights of Georgia’s citizens through public education, training, advocacy, and litigation. However, we have been forced to spend even more time and limited resources fighting discriminatory voting laws, policies, and practices at the state and local levels in Georgia in the wake of the Supreme Court’s 2013 decision in Shelby County v. Holder due to the lack of the preclearance process and consequent loss of advance notice of voting changes that discriminate against Black voters and other voters of color.

II. Georgia’s Increasing Racial and Ethnic Diversity Fueled Efforts by Georgia’s Majority Party to Enact Voter Suppression Laws

According to the 2020 Census, Georgia was among the top five States gaining population in the past decade, with the addition of 1,024,255 residents since 2010—a 10.6% increase.1

---

People of color account for nearly all of Georgia’s population growth since 2010, with Georgia’s Black population increasing by 12.5%, Latinx population by 31.6% and AAPI population by 52.3%. By contrast, Georgia’s White population decreased by 4%.\(^2\)

In the last two decades, the Georgia electorate has undergone significant demographic changes, with increases in the percentage of Black Georgians and other Georgians of color registering to vote, participating in elections, and utilizing mail voting and early voting for casting their ballots.

These demographic changes and voting patterns have resulted in corresponding political changes in the state, including during the 2020 election cycle when Georgia elected its first Democratic presidential candidate since 1996, Joseph R. Biden, and its first Black United States Senator, Reverend Raphael Warnock.

Instead of embracing the increasing racial and ethnic diversity of Georgia’s electorate and attempting to appeal to Black voters and other voters of color through public policies and legislation which support these voters’ interests, the response by the majority party and Governor Kemp to these political changes was to enact new voter suppression laws during the 2021 regular legislative session to make it more difficult for Georgia’s Black voters and other voters of color to vote.

These new laws also came on the heels of unprecedented efforts by the former President and his allies to overturn the presidential election results in Georgia and in other battleground states based upon patently false assertions of widespread voter fraud - which were particularly aimed at jurisdictions having large populations of Black and Brown voters, such as Georgia in general and Fulton County in particular - and false claims that the state’s Dominion voting machines flipped votes for President Trump to Joe Biden.

Notwithstanding the fact that Georgia Secretary of State, Brad Raffensperger, repeatedly rejected the notion that there had been widespread voter fraud in the 2020 election cycle or that Georgia’s voting machines switched votes following audits and hand counts of the ballots,\(^3\) the former President and his allies nevertheless have continued to make false claims of voter fraud and voting machine interference, inspiring legislators in Georgia to enact laws that suppress the votes of Black and Brown Georgians and will undermine free and fair elections in our state.

\(^2\) Id.

As a result of these false claims, election officials in Georgia and other battleground states have faced terrorizing death threats to themselves and their families and a number of Georgia’s election officials have resigned from their jobs since the 2020 election cycle, including the directors of election in Macon-Bibb, Fulton, Gwinnett, and Augusta-Richmond counties, as well as in other states.

Shortly after Governor Brian Kemp signed SB 202, Georgia’s omnibus voter suppression bill into law, Georgia’s Republican Lieutenant Governor, Geoff Duncan, told CNN that the law was the fallout from a 10 week misinformation campaign by the former president and his allies, including by his personal attorney, Rudy Giuliani, who “showed up in a couple of committee rooms and spent hours spreading misinformation and sowing doubt across, you know, hours of testimony.”

a. Georgia Senate Bill 202 (Enacted on March 25, 2021)

SB 202 was enacted by Governor Kemp after it was passed along party lines during the 2021 regular legislative session. The bills were rushed through committees in the Georgia House and Senate, often with little or no time for the minority party’s members on the committees - much less the general public - to have an opportunity to review the final versions of the bills before they were voted upon. This process, with virtually no real transparency nor bipartisan support, culminated in the passage of an omnibus voter suppression bill, Senate Bill 202, the "Election Integrity Act of 2021" (“SB 202”), on March 25, 2021.

---

4 Linda So, Trump-inspired death threats are terrorizing election workers, Reuters, June 11, 2021 (available online at: https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/usa-trump-georgia-threats/).


The very same day the General Assembly passed SB 202, Governor Brian Kemp swiftly signed the bill into law in the presence of a group of six White men\(^{12}\) and in front of a painting of the Callaway Plantation - the site of a former cotton plantation where over one hundred enslaved Black people served its owners.\(^{13}\)

The preamble of SB 202 clearly indicates it was crafted with the former President’s misrepresentations and disinformation about the 2020 election being “stolen” from him in mind. Among other things, the preamble indicates that the overhaul of Georgia’s election procedures was necessary due to a significant “lack of confidence” in Georgia election systems, with many electors purportedly concerned about allegations of “rampant voter suppression” and about allegations of “rampant voter fraud.”\(^{14}\) The preamble also asserts the law was designed to “address the lack of elector confidence in the election system,” reduce the burden on election officials, and streamline the process of conducting elections by promoting uniformity in voting.\(^{15}\)

The law does nothing of the kind.

Instead, SB 202 substantially increased burdens on voters, targeting methods of voting increasingly being used by Black Georgia voters and voters of color with arbitrary and unnecessarily burdensome requirements that will disenfranchise voters and potentially expose non-profit civic engagement organizations, such as the PEOPLE’S AGENDA, to large fines and criminal penalties for providing assistance to voters who will now need to navigate the law’s complicated procedures. SB 202’s discriminatory changes include:

(1) Onerous and arbitrary absentee ballot application and ballot ID requirements that weigh more heavily on Black voters, other votes of color and lower income voters who do not have a Georgia driver’s license or state ID number. If a voter does not have a Georgia driver’s license or state ID number to put onto their absentee ballot applications, they must include a copy of another form of acceptable ID with the absentee ballot application and, if they do not have a Social Security number, they must include a copy of the ID when returning the voted ballot.\(^{16}\) And this must be done for each election in an election cycle, including each primary, general, special, and runoff election.

Since voters cannot use an identification number from the so-called “free” voter ID card that Georgia voters may apply for if they do not have a Georgia driver’s license or state


\(^{14}\) SB 202, Section 2.

\(^{15}\) Id.

\(^{16}\) See SB 202, Sections 25, 27 and 28.
ID card when applying for an absentee ballot or returning the ballot under the new law, voters would even have to submit copies of the “free” voter ID under the law when applying for an absentee ballot or when returning their voted ballot.

Black voters and other voters of color are proportionately less likely to have computers in their homes and suffer from significantly higher rates of poverty than White voters. Without the technology to scan, print, fax or email these multiple copies of ID documents in the home, these voters will face undue and disparate burdens on their ability to vote by mail. This burden is amplified because the law also criminalizes the “handling” of absentee ballot applications by third parties with few exceptions for people who assist voters in navigating these new requirements, including if they help the voter scan or copy the completed application and ID documents or try to help them fax or email the application and ID documents to election officials.\(^\text{17}\)

If voters without a Georgia driver’s license or state ID card do not include copies of alternative ID documents with their absentee ballot applications, the applications will be rejected. If the voters fail to provide the copies of the ID documents when they return the voted ballot, they will be required to produce a form of acceptable ID to the county registrar within three days of the election for their absentee ballot to count.\(^\text{18}\)

(2) Prohibiting public agencies and public employees from sending unsolicited absentee ballot applications to voters - something that the Georgia Secretary of State did when he sent unsolicited absentee ballot applications to all of Georgia’s active voters ahead of the June 2020 primary elections - and which a number of County Registrars offices did in previous election cycles to encourage absentee voting and voter turnout.\(^\text{19}\)

(3) Criminalizing the “handling” of any completed absentee ballot application by anyone other than the voter (with a few exceptions), which would even prevent a voter who does not have access to a fax machine or scanner from receiving help from the PEOPLE’S AGENDA or other non-profit civic engagement groups in faxing or scanning the completed application, so that it can be submitted electronically to election officials unless we are providing assistance to a disabled voter.\(^\text{20}\)

(4) Subjecting private individuals and non-public entities, including the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other non-profit civic engagement organizations attempting to assist voters with absentee voting, to potentially large fines for sending absentee ballot applications to voters unless we check the Secretary of State’s data files in advance to determine whether a voter has already requested an absentee ballot application, returned

\(^{17}\) Id.  
\(^{18}\) Id.  
\(^{19}\) See SB 202, Section 25.  
\(^{20}\) Id.
the application or voted an absentee ballot.\textsuperscript{21}

Even if the voter requested an absentee ballot application from their county registrar and it was never received or the voter submitted an application and never received their ballot, PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other non-profit civic engagement organizations would run the risk of being fined if we sent another application to that voter. The law also requires the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other groups and individuals to use the official absentee ballot application from the Secretary of State’s office when sending ballot applications to voters, but we must provide a confusing disclaimer that the application was not being sent by a public entity or public official.\textsuperscript{22}

(5) Prohibiting persons other than the voter from touching or handling a completed absentee ballot applications unless the voter is disabled, which would even preclude a voter asking the PEOPLE’S AGENDA, a friend, neighbor or other non-profit civic engagement organizations to fax their application to the registrar’s office because they do not have access to a fax machine and limits the return of ballot applications, as well as absentee ballots, to close relatives, housemates or, in the case of disabled voters, a potential caretaker.\textsuperscript{23}

(6) Delaying and compressing the time during which a voter can request or submit an absentee ballot and shortening the time when a runoff election takes place to 28 days after the original election, which will substantially shorten the voter registration period and early voting period for runoff elections.\textsuperscript{24}

(7) Giving county registrars unfettered discretion to limit early voting hours from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and to entirely eliminate Sunday early voting,\textsuperscript{25} thereby making it difficult for voters who work, go to school or have other obligations during the workday to be able to access early voting, and leading to the elimination of Sunday early voting in some counties despite its popularity with Black voters and other voters of color who conduct “Souls to the Polls” get out the vote campaigns involving Black Churches and other faith organizations following Sunday services.\textsuperscript{26}

(8) Severely restricting the number of, and access to, absentee ballot drop boxes, which

\textsuperscript{21} Id.

\textsuperscript{22} Id.

\textsuperscript{23} Id.

\textsuperscript{24} See SB 202, Section 42.

\textsuperscript{25} See SB 202, Section 28.

\textsuperscript{26} In 2018, conservatives in the legislature attempted to eliminate Sunday early voting in House Bill 363. However HB 363 died in the wake of negative media attention and advocacy by the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other civic engagement and civil rights organizations. See Kira Lerner, UPDATED: Georgia bill that would eliminate Sunday voting and suppress black turnout fails, Think Progress, March 16, 2018, available at: https://thinkprogress.org/georgia-sunday-voting-cut-9c1c2ffaf18/.
were heavily used by Georgians in the 2020 election cycle and were a more secure and reliable method of returning absentee by mail ballots than through the U.S.P.S. mail boxes. Under the new law, drop boxes must be inside early voting locations and will be available only during the days and hours when early voting is taking place, thereby making them unavailable to voters who cannot vote during early voting hours due to work, school, or other obligations during the day. Additionally, counties are limited to having one drop box per 100,000 registered, voters, which substantially limits the total number of drop boxes for each county.

(9) Disenfranchising out-of-precinct voters by arbitrarily prohibiting any out-of-precinct voting before 5:00 p.m. on Election Day and allowing only limited out-of-precinct voting after 5:00 p.m. for voters who go to the incorrect polling place in the county where they are registered to vote and swear out an affidavit that they cannot get to their correct polling location before the close of the polls at 7:00 p.m. This change penalizes voters who do not receive timely or adequate notification of their polling locations and ignores the fact that Black voters and other voters of color have been disproportionately impacted by polling place closures and change in the wake of the Shelby County decision that often result in voters going to the wrong polling place on Election Day.

(10) Targeting jurisdictions with large populations of Black voters and other voters of color by stripping the Secretary of State of his vote on the State Election Board, replacing the Secretary of State with a voting member appointed by the General Assembly, and granting the State Election Board the power to effectively take over county Boards of Election.

(11) Encouraging “unlimited” voter challenges on the eve of elections by other electors in the same county as the challenged voters. True the Vote, along with Republican party operatives, led a campaign in numerous Georgia counties to challenge more than 364,000 registered Georgia voters for alleged address changes ahead of the January 2021 U.S. Senate runoff elections with little to no evidence showing the voters were not eligible to vote, substantially burdening election officials who were in the midst of preparing for and administering the elections. SB 202 now codifies these types of

---

27 See SB 202, Section 26.
28 See SB 202, Section 34.
30 See SB 202, Sections 3, 5-7, 12.
31 See SB 202, Section 15.
mass voter challenges into Georgia law, regardless if there is any evidence supporting them, and forces elections officials to conduct hearings within ten days of every challenge, with only three days’ notice by mail of the hearings to challenged voters.

(12) Criminalizing the act of providing water and food to persons within 150 feet of a polling place or within 25 feet of any voters waiting in line waiting in line to vote, despite Georgia’s history of forcing voters to wait in hours’ long lines at polling locations - particularly in areas serving Black voters and voters of color, which have been disproportionately impacted by polling place closures.

(13) Prohibiting the use of mobile voting units, such as the two mobile units purchased by Fulton County for $750,000 and deployed to alleviate overcrowded polling places and long lines, unless the Governor declares an emergency and they are used to supplement the capacity of the polling place where the emergency circumstance occurred.

Due to the gutting of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act as a result of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Shelby County v. Holder*, there is no longer the notice and preclearance process available to ensure that laws like SB 202 do not retrogress the voting strength of Georgia’s Black and Brown voters.

As a result, the PEOPLE’S AGENDA was one of numerous nonprofit civil rights and civic engagement organizations which were forced to commence litigation challenging the law under the 14th and 15th Amendments and under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. But even though the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other nonprofit civic engagement and civil rights organizations are challenging SB 202 in federal court, the controlling party in the General Assembly has made it crystal clear that it will continue to find new ways to suppress the votes of Black and Brown people by introducing even more voter suppression bills during the 2022 legislative session.

For example, President pro tempore of the Senate, Senator Butch Miller, has already introduced SB 325 to abolish absentee ballot drop boxes - notwithstanding the popularity of the drop boxes among voters and election officials alike - and the fact that they are more secure and dependable than United States Post Office delivery of the ballots, as well as a bill, SB 71, to end no-excuse absentee voting in the state.

b. Legislative Reconstitution of County Boards of Election and the

---

33 See SB 202, Section 33.


35 See SB 202, Section 20.

Removal of Black Board Members

During the 2021 legislative session, conservative members of the General Assembly also waged war against selected counties’ Boards of Elections in an effort to purge Black board members and other board members they knew would not support their unprecedented usurpation of free and fair elections in our state.

Morgan County, where I had served as a member of the Board of Elections since 2010 and was a staunch advocate for voting rights and fair elections, is one of the Boards of Election that the majority party reconstituted by giving control over all appointments to the Republican controlled Board of County Commissioners. This resulted in my removal as a Board Member, along with a second Black Board Member, Avery Jackson.\(^{37}\) See HB 162.\(^{38}\)

The General Assembly also targeted the Troup County Board of Elections, which was reconstituted with the enactment of HB 684.\(^{39}\) As a result of this bill, long-time Black Board Member, Ms. Lonnie Hollis was ousted. Ms. Hollis advocated for Sunday voting as well as a new precinct location at a Black church in a nearby town before her removal from the Board of Elections.\(^{40}\)

The Lincoln County Board of Elections was also reconstituted with the enactment of SB 282 and 283.\(^{41}\) The new law ends the bipartisan appointment process for the nomination of the board members and gives the majority Republican Lincoln County Commission the power to appoint a majority of the board members (3 of 5) with the City of Lincolnton and Lincoln County School Board having the authority to appoint one board member each.

Shortly after the reconstitution of the Lincoln County Board of Elections, the Board Chair, Jim Allen, began to implement a plan to close all of the county’s existing polling places and to create a single polling place for the county’s more than 6,000 active registered voters at a gymnasium located outside of the central business district in a county with no generally

---


40 *How Republican States Are Expanding Their Power Over Elections*, supra.


available public transit system in a county spanning some 257 square miles. If this plan is implemented it will undoubtedly reduce turnout in upcoming elections, particularly for those who lack access to a vehicle and are unable to walk 10 or more miles to get to the only polling place in the entire county.

With the start of the 2022 legislative session on January 10, 2022, the majority party has already introduced a bill, SB 284, targeting the Randolph County Board of Elections for a takeover of the Board of Elections to ensure that the administration of the elections will be controlled by the majority party. The Randolph County Board of Elections had previously been involved in a controversial, and ultimately unsuccessful, attempt to close polling places in majority Black areas of the County.

The closure and consolidation of more polling places in Georgia will have an even greater negative impact on Black and Brown voters, particularly in rural areas, where they have less or no access to public transportation to get to and from more distant polling locations and many lack access to broadband internet, and to computers and printers in their homes which are needed to download the Secretary of State’s absentee ballot application form and to copy the newly required ID documents to submit with the forms. Moreover, if the majority party is successful in enacting SB 71 in the current legislative session, it will end no-excuse absentee voting in the state and force even more voters to cast ballots in person.

In the absence of preclearance under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act and the stalled federal legislation to ensure free and fair elections, I am extremely alarmed at the majority party’s agenda for the 2022 Georgia legislative session and the majority party’s shameless efforts to undermine our democracy by continuing to press forward with legislation premised upon the false election fraud narratives by the former President and his supporters.

The time is now to enact voting rights laws to ensure equal access to the ballot box and to prevent the former President and his wing of the Republican party from undermining our democracy and freedom to elect our candidates of choice in Georgia’s elections.

c. The Enactment of Georgia’s Discriminatory Redistricting Maps

The 2021 redistricting cycle in Georgia was the first redistricting cycle in decades where Georgians did not have the benefit of the Section 5 preclearance process in place for the review of Georgia’s redistricting maps by the Department of Justice (DOJ) before their enactment. As a

---


result, the majority party drew plans which dilute the voting strength of Black voters and other voters of color, and which discriminate against them on the basis of race. Governor Kemp signed these discriminatory redistricting maps into law on December 30, 2021.

The majority party’s map drawers (1) strategically removed Black, Latinx, and AAPI voters from existing and performing majority-minority districts and dispersed them into White majority districts in rural and/or suburban areas where they will no longer have the ability to elect the candidates of their choice, and (2) packed Black voters and other voters of color into districts with high minority populations. The Controlling Party’s legislators could have had only one motive for passing such illegal plans: the desire to limit the voting strength of voters of color statewide.

In the absence of preclearance and the gutting of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other nonprofit civic engagement and civil rights organizations have been forced to commence litigation to enjoin the use of these new redistricting plans.

d. Polling Place Closures and Changes

In the aftermath of the Shelby County decision in 2013, many of Georgia’s county boards of election proposed or took action to close, consolidate or move polling locations—oftentimes in areas primarily serving voters of color and in underrepresented communities.

In fact, while Georgia added almost 2 million voters to its voter registration rolls since 2013, the total amount of polling places statewide decreased by 10 percent according to a joint report by Georgia Public Broadcasting, National Public Radio and ProPublica.46 By June 2020, the report found “Georgia voters had 331 fewer polling places than in November 2012, a 13% reduction.”47 This report also found stark racial disparities in the decrease in polling locations in Black neighborhoods which have translated into long lines and delays at the polls. The report found that approximately two-thirds of the polling locations that had to stay open past the 7:00 p.m. poll closing time in the June 9, 2020 primary were in majority Black neighborhoods.48

The PEOPLE’S AGENDA anticipates that the efforts to close and change polling locations is likely to continue, especially in light of the campaign by the legislature in 2021 and in the 2022 session to reconstitute and take over county Boards of Election to remove Black Board members and others who have opposed such efforts in the past.

Since the Shelby County decision, the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other civic engagement organizations have been forced to devote a significant amount of time and resources to monitoring proposals to close, consolidate or move polling locations across the state’s 159 counties. Our work dealing with these polling place changes has included issuing public records

46 Why Do Nonwhite Georgia Voters Have to Wait in Line for Hours? Too Few Polling Places, Georgia Public Broadcasting, supra.

47 Id.

48 Id.
requests for county boards of election minutes and agendas, sending staff and coalition members to observe and make comments at board of election meetings, submitting written objections to proposals to close or change polling locations, and organizing rapid response actions with community members who are impacted by these changes.

In the aftermath of the Shelby County decision and in the absence of preclearance, we often have little or no reasonable advance notice of these polling place changes, and there has been a lack of transparency in the stated rationales for these changes in communities of color. We are often forced to turn our attention toward organizing a rapid response in an attempt to stop or ameliorate these changes while juggling our other important organizational initiatives and priorities.

Prior to the Shelby County decision, county boards of election were required to submit polling place and voting precinct changes to DOJ for preclearance to ensure that the changes did not retrogress the ability of people of color to elect candidates of their choice, or discriminate against Black voters and other voters of color. The preclearance process prevented many of these changes from taking effect and acted as a deterrent to the adoption of such changes.

While the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and our state partners have achieved some success in stopping or ameliorating the scope of some polling place changes post-Shelby, we have been unable to prevent them all from taking effect. Some of the additional post-Shelby efforts to close, consolidate or move poll locations by county boards of elections in Georgia have included, but are not limited to:

- A proposal to close all seven existing polling places in rural Lincoln County and create a single polling place for more than 6,000 registered voters outside of the downtown district and residential centers in the county with virtually no available public transit.

- A proposal to close all but two polling places in Randolph County, which would have disproportionately impacted voters of color and suppressed the vote of people of color in this economically challenged, rural county, was tabled after the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other advocacy groups organized community opposition to the plan;

- A proposal to eliminate all but one of the City of Fairburn polling places, even though the number of polling places had been increased in recent years because of long lines on Election Day, was rescinded following advocacy efforts by the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other groups;

- A proposal to eliminate all but one of Elbert County precincts and polling locations to the detriment of voters of color in a rural county with no robust public transit service was rescinded after opposition by advocacy groups and voters;
The PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other groups have led advocacy efforts to oppose polling place and precinct changes in Fulton County in the wake of Shelby with some success;

A proposal to close 2 of 7 precincts and polling places in Morgan County after the county previously reduced the number of polling locations from 11 to 7 in 2012, was rejected after the board considered opposition to the plan by the PEOPLE’S AGENDA.

A proposal to reduce the number of precincts and polling locations from 36 to 19 in Fayette County was tabled in the face of opposition by the PEOPLE’S AGENDA, other civic engagement groups and voters;

A proposal to consolidate all polling locations to a single location in Hancock County, a majority-Black, economically challenged, rural county with no regularly scheduled public transit, was tabled after the PEOPLE’S AGENDA, other civic engagement groups and voters organized against the proposal;

A proposal to eliminate 20 of 40 precincts and polling locations in majority-Black and economically challenged neighborhoods in Macon-Bibb County was scaled back as a result of advocacy efforts by the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other civic engagement groups; and,

A proposal by the Macon-Bibb County Board of Elections to move a polling location in a majority-Black precinct from a public gymnasium to a Sheriff’s Office was defeated only after 20% of the registered voters in the precinct signed a petition opposing the move.

Consequently, we often have to devote even more time and resources to assist voters impacted by these changes. Since polling place closures and relocations are not always widely publicized by county boards of election, voters often show up to vote on Election Day at their former polling place and are surprised to learn that the poll has moved. In light of the changes made to out-of-precinct voting by SB 202, voters who show up to the incorrect polling location on Election Day before 5 p.m. will be disenfranchised if they cannot vote at their correct polling location before it closes. Voters who arrive after 5 p.m. will have to sign a sworn statement that they cannot get to their correct polling location by close of the poll or will be required to go to their correct polling location to cast their ballot.

Voters who are used to walking to their polling place and learn on Election Day that the poll has been moved several or even more miles away may be unable to travel to the new polling location that day, especially if there is no accessible public transit. Some voters may have other commitments with their jobs, childcare, or other responsibilities which prevent them from spending more time traveling to the new polling location and, as a result, it is foreseeable that eligible voters will be disenfranchised by such poll closures.
Therefore, it is critically important that Congress restore the preclearance provisions of the Voting Rights Act to ensure that the increasingly partisan Boards of Election are not allowed to close and change polling locations to disenfranchise voters in order to achieve a partisan result.

e. Georgia’s Flawed Voter Registration Citizenship Match

The PEOPLE’S AGENDA, voters, and advocates were forced to litigate multiple lawsuits for more than a decade challenging various iterations of the state’s “exact match” voter registration process that was demonstrated to prevent Georgia’s eligible people of color from completing the voter registration process. In fact, just prior to the 2018 mid-term election, the Associated Press reported that there were more than 53,000 voter registration applications on hold because of Georgia’s “exact match” process—the vast majority of which had been submitted by Georgians of color.

While the legislature and Governor Kemp finally abandoned the exact identity match requirement, which prevented applicants from completing the registration process unless there was an exact match of their name, date of birth, and Georgia driver’s license or Social Security number listed on their voter registration form with the state’s Department of Driver’s Services or Social Security records, they have done nothing to remedy the routine flagging of Georgia’s United States citizens as potential non-citizens because of the state’s continued use of outdated citizenship records in the voter registration process. The PEOPLE’S AGENDA and other civic engagement organizations believe that the state’s refusal to reform the deficient citizenship match process has more to do with the current anti-immigrant mood within certain segments of Georgia’s state government and legislature than with any legitimate rationale that this process is warranted to prevent non-citizens from registering to vote—particularly when the process relies on outdated citizenship data that does not reflect current information about the citizenship of the applicants.

As a result, the deficient and discriminatory citizenship match process has been allowed to continue, delaying or preventing Georgians who are United States citizens from completing the voter registration process. The PEOPLE’S AGENDA will be forced to continue to divert time and scarce resources to the litigation challenging this process for the foreseeable future in the absence of preclearance.

III. Conclusion

Despite these wide-ranging efforts to suppress the votes of Black and Brown Georgians through the enactment of SB 202; the adoption of discriminatory Congressional, State House and State Senate redistricting maps; and the new undemocratic challenges posed by legislation.

---


50 Ben Nadler, Voting rights become a flashpoint in Georgia governor’s race, AP, October 9, 2018. (online at https://www.apnews.com/fb011f39af3b40518b572c8ce6e906c.)
allowing for the take-over and reconstitution of County Boards of Election by the majority party, the PEOPLE’S AGENDA and our sister organizations will continue our important work to protect the vote, eliminate barriers to the ballot box, and to ensure equal participation in the political process for Georgians of color and underrepresented communities.

However, we are extremely concerned about these alarming developments which have the potential of undermining the very foundations of our democratic principles of governing, free and fair elections, and equal access to the ballot box for Black voters and other voters of color in Georgia and in other states across the country. We implore Congress to act now to pass meaningful voting rights legislation.