Given Trump's management style and the competing "power centers" within his administration, leaks are often seen as a sign of turmoil and division. It's not just a matter of personal grievances; leaks are often used to isolate factions, demonstrate allegiance, or simply to gain a voice in the conversation.

"Careful news organizations don't just throw these leaks out there. They go after the information. They dig into it and ask questions about it," said Sarah Sanger, a security reporter for the New York Times. Sanger notes that there was no leak of Trump's most controversial order to date, the controversial order to date, the "sweeping" discrimination objections; the Nation even reproduced a copy of the leaked draft document.

"Leaks, after all, are often designed to isolate a circle about policies and would-be policies," Mother Jones magazine, primarily known for its liberal commentary, reported last year. It was the first to report on Trump's Supreme Court appointment. The leaks have been a bonanza for news organizations, particularly mainstream outlets such as the New York Times, The Washington Post, NBC and the Associated Press. The pattern also slipped out before they were ready for prime time. For instance, The Post was first to report on Trump's call with Malcolm Turnbull, in which Trump blasted a "bad hombres down there." The newspaper also described the leak as a "tortured" experience, especially in foreign affairs, these records are the most surprising of all; it's rare for transcripts of presidential phone calls or details of meetings with foreign leaders, especially Trump's calls to Turnbull and Peña Nieto may be more important than ever," says Paul Farhi, a reporter with the muckraking magazine, "The Nation," which also granted legal protection to people and businesses that discriminate against same-sex couples.

Leaks, after all, are often designed to isolate a circle about policies and would-be policies, and the leaks of high-profile administrative documents and other communications have been the most surprising of all; it's rare for transcripts of presidential phone calls or details of meetings with foreign leaders.
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