| | This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. |
| 2 | RPTS MATTHEW MILLER |
| 3 | HIF038160 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | PRESERVING AN OPEN INTERNET FOR |
| 7 | CONSUMERS, SMALL BUSINESSES, AND FREE SPEECH |
| 8 | THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2019 |
| 9 | House of Representatives |
| 10 | Subcommittee on Communications and |
| 11 | Technology |
| 12 | Committee on Energy and Commerce |
| 13 | Washington, D.C. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 11:02 a.m., in |
| 18 | Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Michael Doyle |
| 19 | [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding. |
| 20 | Members present: Representatives Doyle, McNerney, Clarke, |
| 21 | Loebsack, Veasey, McEachin, Soto, O'Halleran, Eshoo, |
| 22 | Butterfield, Matsui, Welch, Lujan, Schrader, Cardenas, Pallone |
| 23 | (ex officio), Latta, Shimkus, Olson, Kinzinger, Bilirakis, |
| | NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com |

Johnson, Long, Flores, Brooks, Walberg, Gianforte, and Walden
(ex officio).

Staff present: Jeff Carroll, Staff Director; Jennifer
Epperson, FCC Detailee; Evan Gilbert, Press Assistant; Waverly
Gordon, Deputy Chief Council; Alex Hoehn-Saric, Chief Council,
C&T; Zach Kahan, Outreach and Member Service Coordinator; Jerry
Leverich, Counsel; Dan Miller, Policy Analyst; Joe Orlando, Staff
Assistant; Kaitlyn Peel, Digital Director; Alivia Roberts, Press
Assistant;

Chloe Rodriguez, Policy Analyst; Mike Bloomquist, Minority Staff
Director; Robin Colwell, Minority Chief Council, C&T; Kristine
Fargotstein, Minority Detailee, C&T; Margaret Tucker Fogarty,
Minority Staff Assistant; Theresa Gambo, Minority Human
Resources/Office Administrator; Peter Kielty, Minority General
Counsel; Tim Kurth, Minority Chief Counsel, C&T.

39

Also present: Representative McMorris-Rodgers.

40 Mr. Doyle. I think all members have taken their seats.
41 I know we are getting used to where we sit right now because we
42 have done a little switching.

But I want to call the Subcommittee on Communications and
Technology to order. Before we get started, I want to
congratulate Congressman Bob Latta on taking over the ranking
member.

Bob, I look forward to working with you in this Congress
to address our shared interests and I would also like to introduce
the new members of the committee on the majority side and welcome
them to the subcommittee.

They are Congressman Mark Veasey of Texas, Congress Donald
McEachin of Virginia, Congressman Darren Soto of Florida, and
Congressman Tom O'Halleran of Arizona.

And we also have some new friends and returning favorites who have also joined the subcommittee including Congresswoman Diana DeGette, who is holding a hearing downstairs and probably will not make it up here today.

58 Ben Ray Lujan of New Mexico, Kurt Schrader of Oregon, and 59 Tony Cardenas of California--I look forward to working with all 60 of you.

Bob, I will yield to you if you want to introduce your newmembers.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much, and Mr. Chairman, I want
to congratulate you on assuming the gavel and I really look forward
to working with you.

This is--as we all know, this is the greatest committee in Congress and this is a great subcommittee to be on. So I look forward to working with you, and we all know that the bipartisanship that this committee has exhibited through the years is exemplary and I think over 94 percent of the bills that went out of the committee last Congress were bipartisan.

So I look forward to working with you. First, I would like
to introduce two new members to our subcommittee. First is
Congressman Tim Walberg from Michigan. Tim joined the committee
last Congress but this is his first term being on this
subcommittee.

So, Tim, we look forward to working with you and, you know,
there is always great cooperation, not just because Tim and I
share a border. He says I protect his southern flank, which is
Ohio. He protects my northern flank in Michigan. So when Ohio
and Michigan work together we can all work together. So--

82 [Laughter.]

83

Mr. Doyle. Except on the football field.

84 Mr. Latta. I also would like to introduce to our--new to 85 the committee is Greg Gianforte from Montana. He brings

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

86 expertise in computer science, electrical engineering, and 87 technology, and so we welcome him to the committee.

So, Mr. Chairman, thank you very much and I yield back.
Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

90 Before we get started, I do want to mention some sad news 91 that we got this week. We know our dear friend and former chairman 92 and longtime member of this committee, John Dingell, is now on 93 Hospice care as he is being treated for cancer. We want to hold 94 John and Debbie Dingell, who is a great member of this committee, 95 in our thoughts and prayers.

Having said that, I want to welcome everyone to the
Subcommittee on Communications and Technologies' first hearing
of the 116th Congress.

99 First of all, I want to thank my colleagues on the Energy 100 and Commerce Committee for making me chair of this subcommittee. 101 I consider it a great honor and a great responsibility to hold 102 this gavel and I look forward to working with all my colleagues 103 on the committee.

104I believe we share many of the same goals and values. I105believe in the power of competition to spark innovation, expand106access, and give consumers a better experience at a lower price.107Today's hearing is on net neutrality. I believe this is108one of the most important digital rights issues we face today.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

109 The internet is certainly one of the most influential inventions 110 ever and today it touches almost all aspects of our economy, 111 culture, and politics.

112According to the estimates by the Bureau of Economic113Analysis, the digital economy accounts for 6.5 percent of the114total U.S. economy or, roughly, \$1.2 trillion a year in GDP.115Last years, the Pai FCC repealed the 2015 Open Internet116Order. Let me be clear. This repeal had far greater impact than117just removing the FCC's net neutrality rules.

118 It was a step back by the FCC from its role as an agency 119 that regulates and oversees internet access and a fundamental 120 shift from all previous FCC chairs who worked to put in place 121 enforceable net neutrality rules and preserve the Commission's 122 vital oversight and consumer protection roles.

Today, the online publication Motherboard is again reporting that mobile carriers sold access to millions of consumers' real time locations to bounty hunters and who knows who else.

126Their investigation found that one entity had requested more127than 18,000 data location requests. These allegations are very128troubling and need to be addressed and investigated.

Last year, firefighters in California had their mobile command center's internet connection slowed down to a snail's pace because they exceeded their data limit.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

132 Because of the FCC's repeal of the Open Internet Order and 133 specifically repeal of Sections 201 and 202 of the Communications 134 Act as well as the general conduct standard, the firefighters 135 couldn't call the FCC to restore critical access to their systems. 136 Instead, they had to call wireless--their wireless company 137 and pay a representative over the phone to increase their data 138 plan while in the midst of fighting the largest, most complex 139 fire in California's history.

140 In fact, because of the repeal, these practices were 141 permissible under the FTC's jurisdiction because they were 142 disclosed in the terms of service.

Now, if we agree that public safety is a priority, we need to make sure that they are a priority, not just another subscriber. We not only need rules on the books that protect and preserve our nation's digital economy, we need a cop on the beat and the FCC is the agency that was empowered by Congress to protect consumers, competition, and innovation--and innovators' access to the internet.

150 With that, I would like to yield the remainder of my time 151 to my colleague, Congresswoman Anna Eshoo.

152 Ms. Eshoo. I thank the chairman and I congratulate you, 153 Mr. Doyle, on being the chairman of this great subcommittee and 154 it is wonderful for the whole committee to be together today and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I can't think of a more important subject to be examining.
I want to reinforce what you just said about what happened
last summer. This is a fire department that is part of my district
in Santa Clara County. Those of you that don't know the area
you know it by the moniker Silicon Valley. These were Santa Clara
County firefighters and they were battling one of the worst forest
fires in the history of the state of California.

Now, their data speeds were slashed. Now, just picture what is going on. This is an emergency. This is real red lights and sirens blaring, people's lives at stake--and they weren't able to communicate. The firefighter weren't able to communicate with each other to get the directions they needed to do their jobs.

Now, if the 2015 open internet rules--they could have
prevented this because if they had--there were specific
exemptions for public safety. Now, I don't take a back seat to
anyone on public safety issues and telecommunications.

171 Congressman Shimkus and I have been on this for more years 172 than we want to count. So, you know, what do we want to chalk 173 this up to? Misbehavior? Bad PR?

174 Listen, this is the United States of America. We have to 175 have first rate system that works for everyone and that is why 176 the 2015 rules--internet rules are so, so important. So that is 177 why this hearing is so important.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

178 I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.

179 Mr. Doyle. I thank the gentlewoman.

180The chairman now recognizes Mr. Latta, the ranking member.181Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, again, Mr. Chairman, and I am182very glad to see that we are starting off with this subject that183has attracted so much attention over the past 15 years.

Despite the long track record on net neutrality, I believe there is plenty of room for consensus here and there is also great need for consensus. In my district, as in many others across the nation, our constituents want us to focus on getting broadband out to close the digital divide, and the uncertainty generated by these years and net neutrality wars is very unhelpful to that goal.

191 So I am hopeful that this is the year we can finally come 192 together on a permanent legislative solution. I would also like 193 to welcome our witnesses, especially former FCC Chairman Michael 194 Powell.

As chairman, he had the distinction of creating a bipartisan consensus on this subject in 2004. Before internet freedoms he outlined for consumers--freedom to access the lawful content of their choice, use applications and devices of their choice, and receive meaningful information about their service plans still serve as benchmarks for what we are trying to accomplish with

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

201 net neutrality rules.

202 Since then, there have been several attempts to create 203 consensus in Congress and I think it would be instructive for 204 us to go back and consider some of them as potential starting 205 points for our conversation this year.

To that end, yesterday I introduced a bill that closely tracks Chairman Waxman's proposed legislation from 2010, the attempt to add a compromise on this issue from our Democratic colleagues on this committee.

Like most attempts over the years in Congress and the FCC alike, the bill focuses on the potential behavior concern, namely, blocking, throttling, and discriminatory practices.

213 What it does not include is the drastic outlier measure of 214 reclassing broadband into Title II, the part of the statute meant 215 to regulate the monopoly of telephone carriers of the last 216 century, and to that end, this is--this is Title II.

It hearkens back to an era where we have a telephone that doesn't even have a dial on it. This was used by my ancestors and this is what we don't want to go back to.

And the phones weren't all that-- it was heavily about Title II. Title II carries with it close to 1,000 carrier regulations, a nightmare of government micro management both for the providers bringing the power of the internet into our pockets on devices

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

224 like these--of course, everyone has on them today--are iPhones 225 and for their consumers alike.

226 Reversing the consensus on classification made by Chairman 227 Powell, Martin, and Genachowski, the FCC dropped the anvil on 228 Title II onto broadband providers in 2015. At the time, the FCC 229 did not forebear from applying over 700 of those regulations of 230 broadband service, at least temporarily.

But that just begs the question of why anyone still views Title II as a critical component to net neutrality legislation instead of complete overkill.

234 Chairman Waxman recognized these three years after the first 235 iPhone was introduced that he didn't need Title II to protect 236 Chairman Powell's four freedoms to ensure an open internet. We 237 don't either.

In fact, since the reversal of the 2015 Open Internet Order, the internet has continued to remain open and free. Americans have not been restricted from freely searching, posting, or streaming content.

242 It is clear that Title II is not needed to protect consumer 243 access to the internet.

I look forward to hearing from all of our witnesses today and I look forward to moving forward on a long-awaited legislative compromise.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

249 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

250 The chair now recognizes Mr. Pallone, chairman of the full 251 committee for five minutes, for his opening statement.

The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Doyle, and I want to thank--first of all, I am glad to see that you are a chair but I also want to thank you for all that you have done over the years on the subject matter of this subcommittee but particularly on net neutrality because you were the sponsor of the CRA Resolution.

Today's hearing examines a communications service that is essential to consumers and businesses alike. The internet is indispensable to modern life and a catalyst for American innovation and social interaction.

261 Until last year, both Republican and Democratic-led FCCs 262 recognized that net neutrality principles were core for ensuring 263 the internet remain free and open. Until last year, both 264 Republican and Democratic FCCs believed that when consumers pay 265 their hard-earned money each month to connect to the internet 266 they should get access to the entire internet.

And until last year, both Republican and Democratic FCCs would nod in agreement that your internet service providers should not be the one deciding what you see, how you see it, and when

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

270 you see it.

But then came President Trump and the FCC stepped in--well, I should say this. Before Trump, the FCC stepped in to stop net neutrality violations that stifled innovative technologies and allowed ISPs to pick winners and losers on the internet.

They knew that consumers would lose if the government stood by and did nothing, and that is because the history of broadband is chock full of bad behavior that strong net neutrality protections like those in FCC's 215 order were designed to address.

And I would like to introduce an article for the record from the Free Press detailing many of those violations with your permission, Mr. Chairman.

283 Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered. 284 [The information follows:]

285

286

The Chairman. But instead of standing with the American people with the FCC's 215 order, when President Trump came in the Trump FCC eliminated common sense net neutrality protections under the guise of promoting broadband investment.

While ISPs told the FCC what it wanted to hear, its senior executives told a different tale to investors. Hindsight tells us that the ISPs were more honest to Wall Street than the FCC and despite enormous tax benefits from the GOP tax scam and the elimination of net neutrality rules, any of the largest ISPs invested less in broadband than in previous years.

And, again, the Trump FCC ignored the millions of Americans pleading from strong net neutrality protections. The agency falsely claimed a flood of pro-net neutrality comments were a denial of service attack and shortly thereafter it accepted an onslaught of bogus submissions aimed at skewing the FCC's Rulemaking against net neutrality, clearly.

Now, I just believe that Chairman Pai's mind was made up from the beginning and the Trump administration's mind was made up from the beginning. I often remember listening to TV one night when the--I forget that guy who was the--President Trump's first press secretary--said, oh, don't worry, the FCC is going to repeal the net neutrality rule. Spicer--yeah. He was on Saturday Night all the time. And Spicer said, don't worry--you know, long before

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

310 the FCC even took action, we are going to repeal net neutrality.

You know, I was always told that the FCC was supposed to be an independent commission and make--and not make up their mind and not have the administration decide for them, you know, before they even decided what to do. But that, clearly, wasn't the case with President Trump.

317 In the wake of the repeal, the Republican-led Senate passed 318 a congressional review act resolution rejecting the FCC's mistake 319 and 182 members of the House supported the same. That was Mike 320 Doyle's resolution in the House.

But Speaker Ryan ignored the public and so the American people handed control of the House to Democrats in November, giving us a second chance. Without a change, there is no backstop to make sure big corporations can't use their power over the choke points of the internet to undermine and silence their small competitors or the political opposition.

Consumers don't have anywhere to turn when they are wronged by these large corporations because the FCC took itself off the beat entirely. Consumers are left watching the internet slowly change in front of their eyes.

Research shows many ISPs are throttling streaming video
service or boosting some websites over others. Wireless internet

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

333 providers charge consumers an HD fee just like your pay for TV 334 company and this is all happening when ISPs are on their best 335 behavior because the court is considering whether to overturn 336 Chairman Pai's order and they know Congress is watching.

337 So I shudder to think what plans are being hatched up for 338 when they think no one is watching. Those plans won't be good 339 for consumers, competition, or innovation.

Mr. Chairman, until strong open internet protections are enacted, our only hope is the millions of Americans who are fed up and will hold Congress accountable for passing strong net neutrality laws.

And I look forward to working in a bipartisan manner to return strong safeguards to the internet. And I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, because this has always been something that you care so much about and I know that by having this hearing today that we are going to move forward to have a free and open internet again.

350 Thank you.

351 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

352 The chair now recognizes Mr. Walden, ranking member of the
353 full committee, for five minutes for his opening statement.
354 Mr. Walden. Well, thank you very much and congratulations

on taking over the gavel of a really cool subcommittee.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

355

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

I mean,

I chaired this for six years. I can tell you it is--well, you can't pick among your children when you are a chairman or the top Republican leader on the committee but this is a pretty good subcommittee.

360 So I look forward to continuing the work and I want to welcome 361 all of our witnesses here. I cannot help myself a bit. In terms 362 of presidential pressure on net neutrality, we should not forget 363 the video that President Obama put out in the middle of the NPRM 364 that Mr. Wheeler had that I believe caused an enormous pivot in 365 November of 2014 after the election, pushing forward toward Title 366 II regulation because I know from meetings I had prior to that, that was not necessarily the first course of action that the FCC 367 368 was headed towards. So I don't know that ever made Saturday Night 369 Live but, certainly, there was presidential push to go toward 370 the 2015 Title II.

Look, the internet has been the single most important driver of economic growth, job creation, and better quality of life for Americans and people worldwide. It has brought us together. It has been amazing in terms of the innovation it has brought in every sector of our lives.

And all of that blossomed under a regime of light touch regulation, not Title II--not your grandparents' phone there, or whoever's it is. It was light touch, and entrepreneurs and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

379 innovators in Silicon Valley and everywhere else didn't have to 380 come to the government and get permission to do what they did 381 that gave us what we had.

382 It was only under the Wheeler regime that we got this heavy 383 government approach and ask-the-government-first idea under 384 Title II.

I am delighted that my friend, Joe Franell, could be here from Eastern Oregon Telecom. He made the long trip from Hermiston, Oregon, and he has a very important voice in this debate about Title II and about how we close the digital divide in rural America.

Now, I know my colleagues on the other side of the aisle
like to throw big rocks at anybody that is big corporation. Well,
you are talking to the small operator here.

Now, in eastern Oregon we might consider him to be a big operator. But these are the kind of people the ISPs that are putting things together to close the digital divide in difficult to serve areas.

And so thanks for being here and I want to welcome the other witnesses and especially former Chairman Powell will be here as well. You actually created bipartisan consensus on this back in 2004 and I think the principles you put forth then should guide us today. And so I will look forward to your testimony as well.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

And I think we should be able to agree on this committee on bipartisan solutions we could put in statute to stop bad behavior by ISPs.

As Mr. Latta outlined, Title II is the outlier in our debate. It throws away 20 years of bipartisan consensus that built the modern internet and it replaces it with an authority that dates back to the early 1900s used to govern monopoly telephone companies.

It may sound innocuous--Title II--but it gives enormous
power to the federal government and unlimited authority to micro
manage ever single aspect of a provider's business including
rates. There is nothing neutral about that kind of authority.
For 15 years every attempt at legislative compromise from
both sides of the aisle has addressed rules on blocking,
throttling, and discriminatory behavior like paid prioritization

417 without Title II authority.

But efforts to reach agreement have, unfortunately, failed.
I acknowledge there might have been times when our side should
have accepted some offers but the same could be true and said
for the other side.

That is why I have introduced the offer I made in 2015, which
codifies the FCC's protection so they are not subject to changing
administrations and commissions. The bill prohibits blocking.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

425 It prohibits throttling and paid prioritization and requires 426 that ISPs be transparent in their network management practices 427 and prices.

This is the offer, by the way, that has been on the table that preceded Mr. Wheeler's 2015 proposal. If my colleagues don't agree to this that that is the right starting point, then my friend, Mr. Latta, has introduced legislation drawn directly from former Chairman Waxman's proposal from 2010 that he also filed to the FCC as then Chairman Genachowski was drawing up the 2010 offer.

Of course, as a former state legislator, I realized that
some of the best ideas actually come from our states, and in this
case, my neighbor to the north, Washington State. My colleague,
Mrs. Rodgers, has a bill that would give you the Washington State
net neutrality rules from 2018.

440 So it is important to point out that Washington State has 441 a bicameral legislature in which Democrats control both houses 442 as well as the governorship.

As a permanent legislative solution, we should make that our goal to produce in good faith what our colleagues have talked about all along. So I am once again asking my friends across the aisle to work with us on a bipartisan solution.

447

And let me close with this. I want to read from a letter

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

448 that is from 2010 and it says, and I quote, "Classifying broadband 449 internet access as telecommunications services that are subject 450 to the provisions of Title II of the Communications Act may have 451 far-reaching implications.

To reclassify these services is to create uncertainty, something that is sure to adversely affect investment decisions and job creation, both of which are in short supply right now. This is a job for Congress.''

456 Chairman Pallone, I couldn't agree more with you. This was 457 your letter from 2010, and I look forward to reaching across the 458 aisle to find a solution here that will give certainty to the 459 market and protection to consumers.

And with that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

I will remind my friend that the past efforts of both Republican and Democratic FCC chairmen to do it in a way that you describe was struck down by the courts and the only rule was the Tom Wheeler rule that was also taken to court was upheld by the courts.

467 Mr. Walden. If the gentleman would yield, since he
468 referenced--

469 Mr. Doyle. No. No. We are going to get started now.
470 Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

461

The chair want to remind all members that pursuant to committee rules all members' written opening statements will be made part of the record.

474 I would now like to introduce our witnesses for today's475 hearing and welcome them all to this committee.

First, we have Ms. Denelle Dixon, who is chief operating officer of Mozilla; next, we have Ms. Ruth Livier, and actress, writer, and UCLA doctoral student; Mr. Joseph Franell, a general manager and CEO of Eastern Oregon Telecom; Ms. Jessica Gonzalez, vice president of strategy and senior counsel at Free Press and Free Press Action Fund; former FCC Commissioner Michael Powell, who is now president and CEO of NCTA. Welcome back, Commissioner.

And last, but certainly not least, Tom Wheeler, former commissioner who--Tom, I know you were before this committee more than any other FCC commissioner and you thought you would never have to come back here, but here you are, and thank you. Tom is a Fellow with the Brookings Institute.

We want to thank all our witnesses for joining us today.We look forward to your testimony.

At this time, the chair will now recognize each witness for five minutes to provide their opening statement. Before we begin, in front of our--I want to just talk a little bit about the lighting systems, for those of you that are new to testifying

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

494 here.

In front of you you will see a series of lights. The light will initially be green at the start of your opening statement. It is going to turn yellow when you have one minute remaining. So please be prepared to wrap up your testimony at that point, and when the light turns red your time has expired.

500 So with that, Ms. Dixon, you are now recognized for five 501 minutes.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

502 STATEMENTS OF DENELLE DIXON, CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, MOZILLA; 503 RUTH LIVIER, ACTRESS, WRITER, AND UCLA DOCTORAL STUDENT; JOSEPH 504 FRANELL, GENERAL MANAGER AND CEO, EASTERN OREGON TELECOM; JESSICA 505 J. GONZALEZ, VICE PRESIDENT OF STRATEGY AND SENIOR COUNSEL, FREE 506 PRESS & FREE PRESS ACTION FUND; MICHAEL POWELL, PRESIDENT AND 507 CEO, NCTA, THE INTERNET & TELEVISION ASSOCIATION; TOM WHEELER, 508 FELLOW, BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

- 509
- 510 STATEMENT OF MS. DIXON

511 Ms. Dixon. Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, and 512 members of the subcommittee, thanks to net neutrality, with the 513 touch of a button an owner of a small business in Pittsburgh, 514 Pennsylvania, or in Perrysburg, Ohio, can get on the open web 515 and instantly reach billions of customers around the world.

516 She doesn't need to negotiate with multiple ISPs to make 517 sure none of those customers are blocked from shopping on her 518 site. She doesn't need to hire an army of lawyers to make sure 519 that she isn't put in Comcast's or Verizon's slow lane. She only 520 needs to make sure that she is creating the best product for her 521 customers.

522 That is the genius of net neutrality--an open internet 523 without ISP gatekeepers where the best ideas and businesses can 524 be seen instantly, and that is what we are here to talk about

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

525 today.

526 My name is Denelle Dixon. I am the chief operating officer 527 of the Mozilla Corporation. We are the makers of the open source 528 Firefox browser and other web-based products and services.

As defenders of the open internet, Mozilla has a long history of support for net neutrality and we remain as committed as ever to the strong net neutrality protection and clear FCC authority. Given the importance of this issue to internet users all around the world, I want to thank you for the opportunity to testify. I would like to make three points today.

First, net neutrality is essential for businesses online and particularly small businesses. We need an internet where small businesses can flourish by delivering what users want, finding the gaps in opportunities in the market that aren't being served, and delivering those.

540 I am certain that Mozilla would not be here today without 541 net neutrality, and if you look around the tech industry, this 542 same origin story is repeated over and over.

Losing net neutrality does not--does more than just lock in the positions of dominant players. It also stifles the market of ideas, puts innovation behind a barrier of permission and negotiation, and places roadblocks in front of diverse viewpoints and approaches.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

548 Second, while the FCC has worked to repeal protections over 549 the last two years, the case for net neutrality has grown even 550 stronger. The FCC claimed that repealing net neutrality wouldn't 551 pose any problems and would instead unlock investment and 552 competition in the telecom industry.

But here is what we have actually seen over the last two years. We have seen Verizon slow connections of California firefighters as they battled the blaze and research from Northeastern University and the University of Massachusetts reports providers are slowing internet traffic to and from popular video streaming services like YouTube and Netflix.

559 Did the repeal unlock massive ISP investment as promised? 560 No. The data says that major ISP infrastructure investment has 561 in fact declined. This shouldn't be surprising because, 562 remember, after the 2015 rules were adapted major ISP executives 563 in quarterly earnings calls told their shareholders that the FCC's 564 actions would not impact their investments.

565 Similarly, many opponents of net neutrality claim that 566 competition among internet service providers would be enough to 567 protect users and small businesses. But competition among ISPs 568 remains an illusion today. Roughly, half of this country has 569 at most one option for high-speed access.

570

And third, we must restore strong net neutrality protections

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

and clear FCC authority today. There is no time to waste. We
need to protect net neutrality and the clearest path forward today
is to restore the protections of the 2015 order through
litigation.

575 That is why Mozilla led the effort to file suit against the 576 FCC in the D.C. Circuit Court and we were joined by a broad 577 coalition of public interest organizations, public sector 578 agencies, and technology companies.

We understand the value of legislative solutions to provide lasting protections. But any effort must offer at the very least the protections that are as strong as the 2015 order with adequate and flexible authority for the FCC to enforce it. Anything less does a disservice to consumers.

In conclusion, as a business leader I would note how unfortunate it is to see this issue take on such a partisan view in D.C. Polling shows that the broad majority of Americans, both Republicans and Democrats, support net neutrality.

588 Promoting a level playing field of competition and
589 innovation is not a Democratic or a Republican value. It is an
590 American value.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

591Thank you for the opportunity to testify.592[The prepared statement of Ms. Dixon follows:]

593

(202) 234-4433

594

We now recognize Ms. Livier. You are recognized for five

595 Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Ms. Dixon.

596

- - ·

597

minutes.

598 STATEMENT OF MS. LIVIER

599

Ms. Livier. In 2014, I testified before the Senate
Judiciary Committee on how net neutrality changed my life as a
Hollywood entertainment professional. I shared that the open
internet put worldwide distribution of media content at the
fingertips of independent artists like me.

This gave us the unprecedented opportunity to tell our stories from our points of view and share them globally without the financial and corporate gatekeeping roadblocks of traditional media. It empowered us to define ourselves.

This matters, because the media produced by Hollywood historically tell an incomplete and unbalanced narrative about U.S. society. Latinx communities are largely misrepresented, symbolically annihilated and/or positioned as peripheral characters in someone else's story.

614 With net neutrality rules in place to ensure that internet 615 access service would remain open, with low barriers to entry, 616 artists could actively participate in balancing Hollywood's 617 irresponsible exclusions.

618 Net neutrality is the reason I went from approaching a 619 traditional media executive for advice on a script I has written 620 and being told by them, "Who are you for anyone to produce your

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

show'' two years later, becoming the first person to join the
Writers Guild of America West via my work in digital media for
a web series that I produced based on that very same script.
The difference between these two scenarios is--was that

camera equipment was no longer cost prohibitive and the exciting
new frontier of the open internet allowed the rest of us,
regardless of ethnicity or socioeconomic standing, to finally
tell our stories without getting discouraged, derailed, or turned
away.

Net neutrality is about ensuring that traditional media's
exclusionary practices are not transferred and amplified by
broadband providers. It is about who has the power to control
narratives and does shape perceptions and perspectives.

This has significant impacts on society. From marginalized communities, our presentation or lack thereof is--can be a matter of life or death. When we are dehumanized in the media it makes it easier for immoral individuals and groups to justify their targeted aggressions against us.

A neutral internet empowers us to virtually walk arm and arm with the confidence of knowing that our voices matter and we are not alone, that we are not invisible, and that our experiences are not isolated.

643

In the summer of 2018, for example, a group of Latinx

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

entertainment media colleagues and I formed a group to rally
against the cruelty of family separations. Because of net
neutrality, we were able to learn about the crisis from a variety
of online sources.

648 Brave journalists, activists, and whistleblowers exposed 649 the injustices that were and continue to be perpetrated on brown 650 men, women, and children at our southern border and beyond.

The open internet allowed us to organize and to join forces to push back against this administration's inhumanity. As a Latina who has grown up in a low-income family where English is our second language I have firsthand experiences of how much you have to juggle just to stay afloat and how mentally, physically, and emotionally exhausting it can be to navigate daily and persistent forms of oppression.

The system is so relentlessly stacked against you that it just seems easier to give up, tune out, and put your head down and believe the myth that there is nothing that we can do--that that is just the way things are.

But social inequities are social constructs. They have been
structured to serve particular purposes, helping some and harming
many other human beings in very real and very personal ways.
Net neutrality is a ray of light that can put us on the path
to bridging some of these inequities by affording us the option

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

667 to make ourselves visible and to make our voices heard in the668 digital spaces.

This policy is also about protecting our ability to have access to job opportunities, since more and more jobs are being partially or fully migrated onto the digital space. This is true for me as an actress.

573 Some of my jobs now take place in the digital arena. As 574 a UCLA doctoral student, this is within the area of my research. 575 Taking a cue from my academic advisor, Dr. Sarah T. Roberts, 576 and her great groundbreaking work in digital labor, my research 577 sheds light on the relationship between the exclusionary 578 structures of traditional media and the exploitation of human 579 beings who are doing creative work in digital environments.

680 My ability to do this research would be significantly 681 hindered without net neutrality, without access to diverse 682 viewpoints and within such a mediated and corporate-facing 683 environment.

A few powerful internet service providers should not be entitled to mediate our voices, to frame discourses in order to serve their interest nor to decide who or what is worthy of being visible--and/or invisible in our society or under what conditions.

689

Net neutrality impacts human beings in very real ways every

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| 690 | single day. It impacts our ability to participate in society, |
|-----|---|
| 691 | to make a living, to connect with our loved ones, to earn an |
| 692 | education, and to collaborate in pushing back against social |
| 693 | inequities. |
| 694 | Market discourse has served the market and are designed to |
| 695 | keep conversations within certain parameters. I am here to |
| 696 | participate in highlighting the human impacts of net neutrality |

697 || because things look different from a human perspective.

698 Thank you.

699 [The prepared statement of Ms. Livier follows:]

******INSERT 3********

700

701

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

702 Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much.

703

We now recognize Mr. Franell. You are recognized for five

704 minutes.

705 STATEMENT OF MR. FRANELL

706

Mr. Franell. Good morning, Chairman Pallone and Doyle.
Sorry. Good morning, Chairman Pallone and Doyle, Vice Chair
Matsui and Republican leaders Walden and Latta, and members of
the subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before
you today.

T12 It is an honor to be here and talk about net neutrality and T13 the consequences of applying Title II to the internet. The application of Title II as part of net neutrality had a dramatic Chilling effect on rural telecom and the Pacific Northwest, and I suspect the same could be said of the rest of the country.

The uncertainty of the regulatory environments, even on
nonregulated telcos and internet service providers like Eastern
Oregon Telecom made investors hesitant to invest in the
telecommunications sector.

Further, the ill-informed public fervor and fear surrounding the net neutrality subject precluded any objective discussion of the topic. This resulted in distrust of and anger towards ISPs like my company that had never manipulated their networks or internet protocol traffic in any anti-competitive nature.

726 It also prompted state legislation forcing net neutrality727 practices on local providers who, again, had never violated the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433
public trust and had no interest in anti-competitive behavior. All of this took place without the ability to have an objective discussion about the scope of the problem and how to address it without harming the internet all because of the fearmongering by those who didn't fully understand the subject or had other reasons for advancing Title II application to the internet.

Yes, I believe Title II had begun to harm the internet in
the U.S. and a reapplications of it has a very real possibility
of resulting in unforeseen and irrevocable damage in the future.

738 I applaud your interest in having an objective conversation 739 about the subject in this hearing today. Since the repeal of 740 net neutrality, investors have been much more willing and perhaps 741 eager to invest in rural telecommunications.

Additionally, my company has been able to focus on continuing to provide exceptional telecommunications and is currently expanding into other markets that are under served.

745 We do this with confidence because we don't have to concern
746 ourselves with unnecessary regulatory interference and the
747 draining cost of reporting and compliance.

748 I believe that Title II does not have to be nor should it 749 be part of the solution to the problem of bad behavior by a few 750 internet service providers. Such application of Title II would

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

not just be damaging but also unnecessary. When I say
unnecessary, I say so because my company does not participate
in the bad behavior that started the net neutrality debate in
the first place.

In fact, I don't know of any rural provider in Oregon who
does. Nevertheless, I do believe that further discussion on the
topic of prioritization of traffic is warranted.

As a society, we apply different values to everything, sometimes rightly and sometimes not. In fact, I think we would all agree that as most forms of information--voice, data, video, et cetera--are now being moved via internet protocol, some are, clearly, more important than others.

Here are some of my own examples. A long distance call to 911 should take priority over a regular call. If my daughter was in a car wreck and had a head injury late one night I would want the digital imaging that needed to be analyzed remotely by a radiologist or surgeon to take priority over someone else's online gaming tournament.

769 Students participating in distance education or online
770 standardized testing should get priority over those streaming
771 online movies for entertainment.

Prioritization of traffic becomes a problem only when itis done to harm or eliminate the competition and there are consumer

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

774 protection laws in place that target this type of behavior. 775 Adding additional layers of regulatory burden is not the answer. 776 Instead of adding to that burden, I encourage you to consider 777 leaving the longstanding Title 1 regulation of the internet in 778 place, abandon any initiative to reinstate Title II through 779 legislation, and address the anti-competitive abuses that 780 everyone fears with light touch surgical precision. 781 Finally, I would be remiss if I did not advocate for 782 initiatives from this committee specifically designed to promote 783 competition in the marketplace. Giving consumers choices for 784 their internet service offers the greatest mechanism for 785 rewarding the good performer and punishing the bad performer. If enough customers choose to leave, the bad performer will 786 787 either adjust their behavior or go out of business. 788 Only robust competition in the marketplace ensures

innovation, lowers prices, and ensures excellent customer
service. A complacent monopoly has no incentive to change.
Robust competition is the answer.

792I would be happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank793you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Franell follows:]

795

794

796

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 40 797 Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much. 798 Ms. Gonzalez, you now have five minutes. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

799 || STATEMENT OF MS. GONZALEZ

800

801 Ms. Gonzalez. Thank you, Chairman Doyle and Full Committee 802 Ranking Member Walden. Calling him out on the way out--excuse 803 me. Members of the subcommittee, thanks very much for having 804 me.

I am here today on behalf of Free Press's 1.4 million members who are calling for reinstatement of the FCC's 2015 net neutrality rules and the return of the FCC's legal authority to protect us from ISP discrimination and abuse.

I am also here as a Mexican-American woman from a working class family. My father grew up in a Los Angeles suburb where Mexicans were not allowed to live. I understand that millions of people who came before me, including members of this House past and present, have fought against discrimination and for other causes that enabled me to be here today.

I say this to underscore that what we are doing here really has impacts on real people's lives. The U.S. government has a long history of discrimination and racism--indeed, used the media system to legitimize the enslavement of black people and the genocide and displacement of Native peoples.

And although it has taken some steps to reduce racism and discrimination in certain aspects of American life, like housing,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

822 it has done little to remedy structural racism in the823 communications sector.

The FCC's 2015 net neutrality order is one exception. That order gave the FCC clear authority to prevent and investigate shady ISP business practices like, but not limited to, blocking, throttling, and discriminating against lawful content.

The Trump FCC's 2017 decision to repeal that order was wildly unpopular. Polls show that 82 percent of Republicans, 90 percent of Democrats, and 85 percent of independents object, and people of color have been some of the most vocal critics, in part because we have more at stake.

833 Never before in history have barriers to entry been lower 834 for us to reach a large audience with our own stories in our own 835 words, to start small businesses, to organize for change.

This hits close to home for me because my best friend, Vanessa, is a blogger and small business owner. While she was pregnant and in the midst of the Great Recession, she was laid off from her job, and she began blogging from her apartment in 2010 after her daughter's birth.

It was a labor of love. Her intention was to fill the void of content designed for and by parents of multiracial children. She began writing love letters to her daughter to ensure that the beauty and power of black and brown women were front and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

center, even in a world that subjugates us at every turn.
Vanessa's blog, desumama.com, underscores that mothers are
the storytellers, dream keepers, and legacy builders for the next
generation. Today, De Su Mama has a loyal following and is
building understanding across cultures.

850 It is also a successful business that has helped Vanessa 851 supplement the family income and supported her journey to home 852 ownership.

The end of net neutrality means that her voice might be drowned out by corporate media that can pay more to access her audience--some of the same corporate media that have failed spectacularly to represent us.

This could impair her family's livelihood and the reach of her cultural influence. And Vanessa cares so deeply about this issue that she actually flew here from Long Beach, California--she is sitting behind me today--on her own dime to bear witness to this hearing.

I am not going to look back there. I will get emotional. But she really believes that this is critical to her business model and to her ability to spread the word.

So I will get on to the lawyer points. In my testimony, I go into great detail about how ISPs have abused their power when net neutrality is not in place. I will give just a few

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

868 examples here.

869 We have seen Comcast secretly block and slow file-sharing 870 We have seen Metro-PCS announce plans to block streaming apps. 871 from all providers except for YouTube. AT&T said it would disable 872 the use of FaceTime over cell connections unless their customers 873 paid for higher cost options. AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and 874 Verizon deliberately limited capacity ISP interconnection 875 points, throttling Netflix, and those are just a few examples. 876 And since the 2017 repeal we have seen some seriously suspect 877 ISP behavior that my colleague, Denelle, already touched on. 878 But because the FCC has sworn off its authority to protect 879 broadband consumers it doesn't even have the power to investigate and look into this. 880 881 And the real shame of this whole thing is that net neutrality 882 was working. Chairman Pai's justification for the repeal was 883 built on a mountain of lies. Pai promised us that ISP investment

and deployment declined under net neutrality and would expandfollowing its repeal.

But the numbers are in and that is just not true. I hope this new Congress seizes the opportunity to right the wrongs of the Pai FCC and restore fundamental protections to Americans. Thank you.

890

[The prepared statement of Ms. Gonzalez follows:]

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 45 891 892 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 46 893 Thank you. Mr. Doyle. 894 Commissioner Powell, you have five minutes. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

895 STATEMENT OF MR. POWELL

896

Mr. Powell. Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, it is always an honor and a privilege to be with you. I also send my prayers to the Dingells. John Dingell is a dear friend and was a lion of the legislature, and our thoughts are with him.

901To be clear, the virtues of an open internet are simply902unassailable. It has proven to be one of the most democratizing903forces we have ever known, putting the power to innovate in the904hands of billions.

905 The ISP industry is proud of its role in building that network 906 and engineering it to be an open platform has been good for society 907 and it has been good for the bottom line.

908 That is why we unequivocally support legislative efforts 909 to codify open internet rules in a manner that preserves the 910 incentives for investment and dynamic growth.

911 But to craft rules that maximize public welfare, we must 912 appreciate the symbiotic nature of the internet ecosystem. Just 913 as great software depends on great hardware, the internet depends 914 on an ever-improving network to facilitate cycles of 915 ever-improving applications.

916 We all recognize that users need an open internet to thrive. 917 But we cannot ignore the fact that they also need the network

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

918 to continuously innovate and improve.

919 A startup needs confidence that the network will reach their 920 customers. Rural communities need networks to reach them in 921 remote regions. Consumers require high-quality, secure, and 922 reliable networks, and advanced applications will require even 923 more powerful infrastructure.

924 Put simply, the internet is not fully baked. It must
925 continually innovate and improve, and policy must protect the
926 conditions that make that possible.

927 But Title II throws a wrench in the flywheel of innovation. 928 Dumping a mountain of regulations designed for a different time 929 for a different network with different economic conditions and 930 different consumer needs throws off the balance.

931 Title II is a massive body of economic regulations. It lets
932 the government set prices, decide the terms and conditions of
933 services, and approve new products and services.

Let us be transparent with the American public. A debate about Title II is not a debate about net neutrality. It is a debate about whether to regulate the internet as a public utility with implications that far beyond simply protecting the internet. The old and haggard Title II should not be tucked in under the shimmering cloak of restoring net neutrality protections. The future of the internet deserves more careful consideration.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

941 Moreover, a bill that includes Title II will rupture any 942 hope of bipartisan legislation in a divided government, ensuring 943 that the count--for countless more years we will go by without 944 the resolution the public deserves.

945 There is unique common ground on which to build enduring 946 net neutrality rules and we should seize the opportunity rather 947 than squander it.

As you consider legislation, I would encourage you to heed the caution but first do no harm. By almost every measure, the internet ecosystem has thrived for decades. The internet is the fastest deploying technology in the history of the world.

952 It gets better at a relentless and unprecedented pace. It
953 has been built with trillions of dollars of private capital,
954 freeing public resources for other pressing societal needs.

955 Innovation has advanced at a dizzying pace, giving birth
956 to startups that have grown to become global giants. And against
957 this positive backdrop there simply is no evidence of systematic
958 patterns of ISPs undermining the openness of their networks.

959 One must rigorously ask with an open mind how will Title 960 II utility regulation improve on these enviable results and is 961 it worth risking messing things up by adopting it.

962 We have compelling evidence that utility regulations will 963 mess things up. There is a voluminous literature documenting

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

964 the negative effects of utility regulation on dynamic industries. 965 To ignore it is to ignore the hard-won lessons of history. 966 But we don't need to spend hours in the library reading 967 economic articles. We have real-world examples right in front 968 In Europe, regulators did adopt utility style regulations of us. 969 and as a result they have achieved substantially slower speeds 970 and attracted dramatically less investment than in the United 971 States.

And on our own shores we can see that our utility-based infrastructures in this nation are crumbling. The electric grid, our roads, our airports, and our drinking water have all earned failing grades due to chronic under investment under this regulatory approach.

977 Is that truly the model we hope to emulate for the internet?
978 In summary, in software programming an infinite loop is
979 defined as a piece of coding that lacks a functional exit so that
980 it repeats indefinitely. Net neutrality has been stuck in that
981 infinite loop for way too long.

982 It is time for Congress to debug this debate once and for 983 all and reach a bipartisan solution that protects the open 984 internet without damaging internet growth.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

985Thank you, and we stand ready to help you do that.986[The prepared statement of Mr. Powell follows:]

(202) 234-4433

| | may be inaccurate, link to the final, | nary, unedited transcript. , incomplete, or misattribu , official transcript will ce as soon as it is availab | ted to the speaker. A be posted on the |
|------------|--|--|---|
| 987 | | | |
| 987 988 | ******INSERT | 6**** | |
| | | NEAL R. GROSS | |
| | (202) 234-4433 | COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 | www.nealrgross.com |

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 52 989 Thank you. Mr. Doyle. 990 Commissioner Wheeler, you are recognized for five minutes. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

991 STATEMENT OF MR. WHEELER

992

Mr. Wheeler. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to begin
by associating myself with my friend, Michael, and his wishes
for the Dingell family. In all the world, there was only one
Big John and he is Mr. Chairman.

997 One of the things that allows me to reflect on that is that 998 it seems like I have been before this committee so many times 999 over the last 40 years, first when I had Michael's role at--as 1000 the CEO of NCTA, then when I had a similar role in the wireless 1001 industry and then when I had the great privilege of being the 1002 chairman of the Federal Communications Commission.

But today, I appear before you as an American citizen who has 40 years of experience dealing and living at the intersection of new technology and public policy.

The lesson of that is that net neutrality is not a new concept. Essential networks have always historically been required to be open. It started back in feudal times when English common law required that the ferryman had to provide nondiscriminatory access to haul people across the river.

1011When the telegraph came along, the first telecommunications1012service, in 1860 Congress said it must be nondiscriminatory.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1013 Net neutrality was passed in 1860.

(202) 234-4433

When the railroads became the dominant network, Congress again stepped up and said open, just, and reasonable, the rules that have to govern that network and, of course, in the Communications Act of 1934 openness and just and reasonableness was applied to the telephone network.

1019Now, let us be real clear. It was those policies that1020created the internet. It was the ability of anyone to access1021an open network that gave us ARPANET and AOL and everything else.

1022The 2015 Open Internet Order extended those enduring1023principles to internet service providers while removing outdated1024and unnecessary Title II common carrier requirements.

I understand why the ISPs don't like this. They want to be able to make their own rules. They argue that transmitting zeroes and ones rather than analog somehow absolves them of the responsibility to be open and just and reasonable.

1029 That is kind of like saying that electric cars don't have 1030 to obey the speed limit because it was established for gas 1031 vehicles. No, there are enduring principles that apply to 1032 essential networks. Let me quickly address three policy issues 1033 that flow from that.

1034 One, the game is being played that we are dealing with an 1035 information service as opposed to a telecommunications service. 1036 It is clear what that effort is: to shoehorn the ISPs into a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1037 less regulatory structure. It is a phony construction.

Regulating networks like the content they carry is just like saying that because a road leads to Macy's that the road ought to be regulated the same way Macy's is. Justice Scalia said it a lot better when he said there is a difference between delivering a pizza and making a pizza.

1043There has been a lot of talk about the second point I would1044make about how the Trump FCC presented false evidence that open1045internet regulation would hurt investment.

But thirdly, focusing on blocking, throttling, and prioritization ignores the future and doesn't even protect today. It doesn't protect today because it says you are free to discriminate--just don't do it this way.

1050 And worse than that, Michael was right--the cake is not fully 1051 baked. But those three principles apply Netflix concepts to a 1052 dynamic and constantly evolving internet.

Today, the internet is about transporting things. Web 3.0, which is now upon us, is about a network that orchestrates, not transports. Today, 4G is about full signal transition. 5G is about network slicing into pieces.

1057 There must be a general expectation that no matter how 1058 technology develops, the essential networks must be open, just, 1059 and reasonable.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| | This is a preliminary, unedi may be inaccurate, incomplete link to the final, official t Committee's website as soon a | e, or misattributed transcript will be | d to the speaker. A posted on the |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1060 | Thank you. | | |
| 1061 | [The prepared statemen | t of Mr. Wheeler | follows:] |
| 1061 1062 | [The prepared statemen ******** INSERT 7******* | | follows:] |
| | | | |
| | COURT REPORTE 1323 RHOD | . R. GROSS ERS AND TRANSCRIBERS E ISLAND AVE., N.W. DN, D.C. 20005-3701 | www.nealrgross.com |

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the 57 Committee's website as soon as it is available. 1063 Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much, and let me say both to Mr. 1064 Powell and Mr. Wheeler, I should have referred both of you as 1065 chairman, not commissioner. 1066 Mr. Powell. There is enough chairmen in this room. 1067 [Laughter.] 1068 Mr. Doyle. My apologies. 1069 With the conclusion of witness testimony, we are now going 1070 to move to member questions. Each member will have five minutes 1071 to ask questions of our witnesses. I will start by recognizing 1072 myself for five minutes. 1073 Chairman Wheeler, sir, when the FCC enacted the Open Internet 1074 Order it included the bright line rules we all talked about -- no 1075 blocking, no throttling--1076 Mr. Wheeler. Right. 1077 Mr. Doyle. --paid prioritization. But it also included 1078 a general conduct standard, consumer protections, and commission 1079 oversight of interconnection and zero rating policies. 1080 Can you briefly, and I would underline briefly, give us some 1081 examples of past problems that necessitated the addition of these 1082 additional provisions in the order. 1083 Mr. Wheeler. Well, you have heard many of them being 1084 discussed in the--in the previous testimony. There is a 1085 historical reality when Comcast tried to block P2P. There is NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1086 the experience of Comcast trying to block--not trying but, indeed, 1087 blocking ports into their network.

1088There is when AT&T and Verizon said they would not allow1089Google Wallet on their networks. It is when Verizon said they1090would not allow tethering apps on their wallet, so forcing you1091to pay \$20 for their tethering service.

And it continues, as we have heard multiple times. You, Mr. Chairman, referenced the Mendocino fire, and what is significant about the Mendocino fire is not just the impact that it had on the firefighters, which is significant, but the impact it had on the people who were suffering as a result and who suddenly found that they were being throttled and had no place to go because the FCC had washed their hands.

1099 The study from Northeastern University on throttling, how 1100 Sprint degraded Skype, the whole--and then the whole issue of 1101 the so-called zero rating. There is just a study that just came 1102 out that proves that free is not free.

The interesting thing is that what the study found was that data rates where zero rating free services are allowed are actually higher than where they are not allowed, which makes sense, of course, because somebody has to subsidize what some folks are getting for free.

1108

I mean, there's a--this is an ongoing how creative can you

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1109 || be to figure out ways around it.

1110 Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much.

1111 Ms. Dixon, your company, Mozilla, has been the lead plaintiff 1112 in suing the FCC and hoping to overturn the Pai FCC's repeal of 1113 the Open Internet Order.

1114 Can you also briefly tell us why you think these protections 1115 are critical for small businesses and innovation, and do you think 1116 that the bright line rules of the open internet alone are 1117 sufficient by themselves?

1118 Ms. Dixon. Thank you. The bright line rules are just three 1119 things we can rattle off very quickly and then ignore the fact 1120 that those bright line rules can be--you can get around those 1121 rules. There are loopholes everywhere.

1122 So they are not sufficient. Governance is incredibly 1123 important in this area and you cannot rely on the FTC consumer 1124 protection because it takes years for those things to correct 1125 harms that occurred years before.

So you have to look at how we can stop the harm from occurring so that Americans don't have to suffer during that time, and then we lose years of innovation and opportunity because net neutrality rules wouldn't have been in place during that time period.

1130 So we can't actually make up for it by relying on the consumer 1131 protection statutes. So there is a lot in there that needs to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1132 || be looked at with respect to it.

I believe very firmly that Mozilla actually wouldn't exist today if net neutrality hadn't been in place and I want to talk about that from the small business angle.

We started 17 years ago or so. We did it because Microsoft had 95, 99 percent of the market share with respect to browsers and we wanted to give users and opportunity for choice.

And if Microsoft, for example, had been able to negotiate with ISPs during that time to say, let's just throttle or make it harder to get access to our download page we wouldn't be here. The open internet rules, while they might not have existed in the order as of 2015, they were status quo. That was how we operated. That is what the internet was built on.

1145 The openness, the transparency, the standardization, the 1146 requirement that we all work together--that is how we got to all 1147 of this record revenue that folks have today. So small businesses 1148 need an opportunity to participate in that.

1149 Thank you.

1154

1150 Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much.

1151Tom, I just want to get back to you just for one quick sec.1152You know, a lot is talked about Title II and my friends like1153these props of bringing the old phones up from the 1800s.

But Title II had many, many sections to it and there was

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1155 a lot of forbearance in your open internet order. Many of the 1156 things that are--concerns that -- rate regulation and others, 1157 they were forebeared, weren't they?

1158 Mr. Wheeler. So I believe that Title II has, like, 45 1159 sections and we forbore, if that's the word, from 27 of them and 1160 I am--Mr. Latta, I am just--I got to pull this out because--to 1161 say that this is also a Title II phone.

1162 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. I see my--I don't want to abuse my 1163 time too much because I am hoping other members don't either. 1164 So with that, I will give--I yield to Mr. Latta for five minutes.

1165 Mr. Latta. I appreciate the chairman for yielding and, 1166 Chairman Powell, we discussed the four freedoms for internet 1167 consumers that you outlined back in 2004. Your accomplishment 1168 in creating a bipartisan consensus at the commission looks even 1169 more impressive, given what has happened in later commissions.

Will you elaborate on the meaning of the first freedom, the freedom to access the lawful content of a consumer's choice? It seems to me that we have all agreed since then that nobody wants ISPs blocking content they don't like.

In your opinion, is there a serious threat to free speech on the internet today and, if so, where is it coming from? Mr. Powell. I think that rule was a predecessor to what has ultimately morphed into the no blocking, no throttling, paid

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1178 prioritization concepts. It is important to remember 1179 historically at the time that we were announcing this the internet 1180 was just burgeoning as a commercial service and it was really 1181 important to try to create a set of customer and corporate 1182 expectations about how the engineering aspects of the internet 1183 should evolve.

We did that and I think that proved successful. In fact, recently Reed Hastings of Netflix said quite squarely in his own earnings call that he believed that consumer expectation of net neutrality was so strong even a repeal of rules wouldn't threaten them as a company and noted that many countries don't have net neutrality rules which they operate under open environments quite successfully because of that expectation.

1191 Our rules were intended to generate that expectation at a 1192 time when things were new, and I would highlight so many of the 1193 examples we hear about today, about the flourishing invention 1194 of Mozilla or other products and services all took place during 1195 a period in which there were no net neutrality rules, in which 1196 the fact exists that if you believe ISPs had the incentive and 1197 ability and desire to block content, throttle it, and impose paid 1198 prioritization they were free to do so for over 20 years with 1199 the creation of every product from Google to Uber, and nonetheless 1200 those products thrived and survived.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I think it is a misnomer that ISPs do not have a corporate self-interest in an open internet. To be blunt, they made a whole lot of money on an open internet because when you build a network with some costs you are rewarded by filling that network with as much content as possible and creating artificial scarcity. That simply doesn't make economic sense.

1207 Mr. Latta. Thank you.

1208 Mr. Wheeler. Could I agree with my friend, Mr. Powell?

1209 Mr. Latta. No, not your time.

1210 Mr. Wheeler. Okay.

Mr. Latta. Continuing on, Chairman Powell, new applications are becoming possible with advanced networks such as self-driving vehicles, remote surgery, and augmented reality. These will require extremely time--sensitive network management. What impact would the 2015 FCC rules, if they were restored, have on these applications?

1217 Mr. Powell. Well, I would like to be really clear, 1218 particularly on behalf of the cable industry. We don't dispute 1219 or dissuade anybody from pursuing strong net neutrality, codified 1220 rules that can be enforced.

1221 The only thing that we have an objection to is the 1222 ill-considered application of Title II. Now, in credit to my 1223 colleague, Tom Wheeler, he was a regulator. He had a different

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1224 problem than you have.

He had the problem of finding a source limited authority in order to embrace the rules after a series of court cases that questioned whether they were acting beyond the authority that Congress had ever given them.

1229 This is not a limitation that applies to the United States 1230 Congress whose power is unbound by anything other than the 1231 Constitution. So the restoring of net neutrality is also 1232 restoring a sort of clever parlor trick to give the commission 1233 FCC jurisdiction where you otherwise did not provide it.

But writing on a blank slate, as you have the power to do, there is no need to import those steps in order to create effective rules. And so the restoring of them as is would create the same problem of unbalancing the flywheels of innovation I mentioned in my opening statement.

1239 Mr. Latta. Let me just ask you one quick follow-up. You 1240 know, when you worked on the four freedoms how did you get that 1241 consensus at that time?

1242 Mr. Powell. Yes, how does one ever get consensus? 1243 [Laughter.]

Let me--I think what I would tell you is that I think one of the things we have forgotten as lawyers have taken over the net neutrality debate. In the early days of the internet open

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1247 internet and net neutrality was an engineering principle. It
1248 wasn't a legal principle.

1249 It was the idea that you could use IP protocols and reach 1250 any consumer on any computer, whether it was a Macintosh or a 1251 Windows computer. Didn't matter what devices they use, what 1252 computers they use, and it ensured that it was a network that 1253 nobody centrally controlled, which is true today.

1254 In the phone network it was like a spoke and wheel in which 1255 somebody sat at the center of the network making all command and 1256 control decisions about the flow of traffic.

1257 In the internet world there is no central orchestrator.
1258 The network is owned by no one at its core and it flies around
1259 unfettered by any intervention.

So what we understood was we were trying to give voice in a regulatory sense to what had already become a pretty rigid engineering concept and there was pretty universal bipartisan agreement about that was in fact how the internet worked and any policy should reflect that.

1265 Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.

Mr. Chairman, my time has expired and I yield back.

1267 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. The chair now recognizes Mr.

1268 Pallone, the full committee chairman, for five minutes.

1269

1266

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(202) 234-4433

The Chairman.

1270 It has been noted, Chairman Wheeler, that you have had the 1271 unique experience of leading both the FCC as well as some of the 1272 industries that now oppose strong net neutrality and, as you know, 1273 when Chairman Pai sought to repeal the 2015 net neutrality 1274 protections, he did so citing the potential for increased 1275 broadband investment and now we hear investment went down after 1276 Chairman Pai's order was adopted.

1277 So I have two questions. The first one is, can you explain 1278 what is going on here? Was the 2015 order as bad for the internet 1279 service providers as they claim?

Mr. Wheeler. Well, I think the evidence of that is no, in a word, and investment has--investment increased in the two years following the Open Internet Order as opposed to the two years preceding the Open Internet Order.

1284 The Chairman. All right. Well, let me ask you my second 1285 question. Some internet service providers claim they don't 1286 oppose net neutrality protections that would stop blocking, 1287 throttling, or paid prioritization.

But I worry and I know Chairman Doyle has expressed this about the threats to an open internet that we haven't anticipated. Rules like the general conduct standard that you included in 2015 rules and that Governor Murphy of New Jersey included in his executive order recently are aimed at providing a regulator

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 67 1293 the flexibility to protect consumers from new threats or 1294 unanticipated threats. 1295 With that in mind, why is it important to have strong federal 1296 protections like the general conduct standard or protections for 1297 interconnection? 1298 Mr. Wheeler. Thank you, Congressman. 1299 You know, the interesting thing is that saying I am for an 1300 open internet--I am just not for the common carrier rules is kind 1301 of like saying I am for justice, just not for the courts overseeing 1302 it. 1303 One of the--the reason that I was saying to Mr. Latta that 1304 I agree with my friend, Michael, and the leadership that he showed 1305 with his four principles, and there is a huge difference between 1306 his four principles as chairman and the advocacy that you are 1307 hearing today. 1308 The four principles are just that. They are principles. 1309 They are broad. They cover a multitude of topics. Blocking, 1310 throttling, and paid prioritization, that is it. And as I said 1311 in my--in my opening statement, what that means is you are free 1312 to do whatever you want in discriminating so long as you say, 1313 well, it is not blocking, it is not throttling, it is not paid 1314 prioritization. 1315 We do not know what the internet is going to be and we can't NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1316 sit here and make Netflix-era decisions that we assume will apply 1317 tomorrow. The nature of the internet has changed since Michael 1318 did his four points and it is going to change again tomorrow, 1319 and our challenge is how do we make sure that the public interest 1320 is represented in that change.

1321 The Chairman. Well, thank you.

And I want to ask one more question. Ms. Gonzalez, I am concerned that the FCC ran a flawed process leading up to the repeal of net neutrality, specifically by ignoring thousands of consumer complaints and allowing millions of fake comments with stolen identities flood the docket and I am worried that the proceeding is tainted.

So, Ms. Gonzalez, in your view, was the FCC's repeal of net neutrality tainted and does that put the repeal on shaky ground, in your opinion?

Ms. Gonzalez. Yes, and we actually covered this in our petition for--our brief in the net neutrality case where we are a party. The process seemed to be guided by ideology and not facts right from the outset and in announcing his efforts to begin the repeal process Chairman Pai said, this is a fight that I am going to win.

1337And it appeared that that skewed sort of the approach of1338the commission. You mentioned thousands of potentially

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1339 fraudulent comments in the docket that the FCC failed to 1340 investigate and just went ahead and rushed forward to a final 1341 order without truly vetting what was happening in the democratic 1342 process--rulemaking process.

The electronic comment filing system that allows the public to weigh in went down the same night that John Oliver covered net neutrality on his--on his show and thousands of net neutrality complaints that had been filed by consumers with the net neutrality ombudsperson were not put on the record.

1348The only reason we ever heard about them was that National1349Hispanic Media Coalition filed a FOIA request and analyzed those1350documents and found that what they showed was that people, the1351public, understand broadband internet access as a

1352 || telecommunication service.

1353So I, too, share your concern that it was a flawed process1354and that it puts it on shaky ground.

1355The Chairman.Thank you.Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1356 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

1357The chair now recognizes Mr. Walden, the full committee1358ranking member, for five minutes to ask questions.

1359Mr. Walden. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank1360again all the witnesses.

1361 Mr. Franell, according to Ms. Gonzalez's testimony, and I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1362quote, "ISPs' own deployment and investment data show that Title1363II reinstatement and 2015 net neutrality rules did not slow down1364deployments, speed upgrades, or overall investment by ISPs," and1365she is relying on her own figures or the organizations' or wherever1366you got the data.

I am not questioning that, but what I want to know is, Mr.
Franell, from your standpoint as somebody on the ground doing
build out what did you see during this period?

Mr. Franell. Thank you, Congressman Walden.

So, you know, it is interesting because I have read the U.S.
Telecom report on investment and it shows a different or tells
a different story than what I am hearing here today.

So I don't know which set of numbers is right. All I can talk about is what things look like Eastern Oregon Telecom trying to bridge the digital divide, doing the work in these very remote areas and when I say remote it is a different definition than what we have in the East, and I grew up a lot out here. So I know the different between East and West.

And we--you know, we are talking about frontier areas and how do we serve those folks. And so as the discussion about applying Title II and net neutrality rules and, again, the big--the biggest issue for Eastern Oregon Telecom has been Title II, not fair use of the internet.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1370

1385 Mr. Walden. Why? 1386 But I could not get loans from the bank during Mr. Franell. 1387 the net neutrality debate and during the net neutrality period. 1388 It was only as we started to hear the commitment from the new FCC to repeal Title II that we started to see the cash open up--1389 1390 Mr. Walden. Right. 1391 Mr. Franell. --that availability and, quite frankly, for 1392 more than a year I never got an offer from a single equity investor. 1393 Now I get them weekly almost and investment cash flow has been 1394 freed up. 1395 So there had--at least from my perspective, there was a 1396 dramatic impact and it has changed. 1397 Mr. Walden. Thank you. 1398 Chairman Powell--Mr. Powell--a couple of things. One, we 1399 heard how Title II wasn't all that bad because so many of its 1400 provisions were forebeared by the then Wheeler FCC. Could 1401 another FCC change its mind in terms of what would be forborne 1402 or not? 1403 Mr. Powell. I believe so. It is a discretionary act of 1404 the commission. Mr. Walden. 1405 Would that require a full rulemaking to 1406 determine that or could a chairman do it? Mr. Powell. 1407 I think it would require a full commission vote, NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 72 1408 yes. 1409 Mr. Walden. But they could do it on their own. Does that create uncertainty, going forward? 1410 1411 Mr. Powell. Well, obviously, it does. I mean, I think we 1412 could play a game about how many rules get forborne from. But 1413 what is important to remember is it is not the volume. It is 1414 which rules got forborne and which ones don't. 1415 Rules that didn't get forborne from do allow for lawsuits 1416 and challenges to rate making proceedings. It allows the 1417 commission to opine on all terms and conditions of service to 1418 determine whether they are, quote, "just and reasonable." 1419 Almost all powerful net neutrality--I mean, all powerful 1420 Title II rules are derived from Section 201 and 202, which remain 1421 in force. 1422 Mr. Walden. So would--is it possible under Title II that 1423 phone traffic on the internet could be subject to fees like USF? 1424 Mr. Powell. Well, in fact, under the USF statutes if you 1425 are a telecommunications service provider it is mandatory under 1426 congressional law that you charge contribution factors to 1427 internet service. 1428 So to put this more simply, consumers on the broadband 1429 internet today or for the last, you know, 20 years have not seen 1430 that morass of phone charges, taxes, and fees that you see on

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433
1431 a typical phone bill.

But once an information service becomes a telecom service, there is an argument that the statute requires those same fees and charges go on to an internet bill, which means the consumer's bill would go up.

Mr. Walden. So one of the issues I know some groups raised with me last year--I think it was the realtors--very concerned about what they saw as paid prioritization net neutrality. But what they were really talking about was more uncertainness of some of the search engines and how you could buy rankings. They were afraid their competitors were being ranked up.

1442 Do you think these net neutrality provisions we are debating 1443 here should apply to the edge providers?

1444 Mr. Powell. Well, I do. I have always been stunned at the 1445 lack of comparison between the alleged behavior of ISPs with 1446 regard to neutrality and the actual demonstrable behavior of edge 1447 providers with regard to the same principles.

1448It seems to me just this week we learned about Apple blocking1449Facebook applications in its store. Just this week we learned1450of Twitter blocking speakers who they disagree with. All those1451companies have subjective policies that determine who they allow1452to speak on their platforms and who don't. Facebook prioritizes1453news feeds at its choice. Google has a very profitable business

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1454 model of allowing people to pay for who gets seen in search results 1455 higher than others.

1456 It is a hollow promise to consumers to say that we are going 1457 to guarantee a world of neutral access when all the destinations 1458 that you attend are engaging in the very practices that we say 1459 are supposedly so heinous if they are enacted by an ISP.

1460 So, at best, we are talking--we are having a very incomplete 1461 conversation.

1462 Mr. Walden. Thank you.

1463 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your indulgence.

1464 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

1465The chair now recognizes Mr. McNerney for five minutes.1466Mr. McNerney. Mr. McNerney from California. Yes.

1467I thank the chairman and I thank the witnesses for your1468testimony this morning. No, the truth is my constituents care1469deeply about net neutrality. Just last March, more than 150 of1470my constituents attended a town hall meeting to voice their1471concerns.

1472The way the FCC has handled this proceeding makes me question1473whether the agency even cared to hear my constituents' concerns1474and the concerns of millions of Americans who voiced their1475opposition.

1476

When the agency's failure to respond to my repeated requests

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the 75 Committee's website as soon as it is available. 1477 regarding fabricated DDOS attacks to its failure to respond to 1478 FOIA requests and its failure to make thousands of submitted 1479 comments part of the record, there are major questions about how 1480 the proceedings were handled. 1481 In fact, FCC Commissioner Rosenworcel has accused her own 1482 agency of hiding information. 1483 Chairman Wheeler, briefly, please, would you make --1484 Mr. Wheeler. I am hanging around too long. 1485 [Laughter.] 1486 Mr. McNerney. What would you make of how the agency handled 1487 the proceedings and is this any way to run a show? 1488 Mr. Wheeler. No. 1489 Mr. McNerney. That is brief. Thank you. 1490 [Laughter.] 1491 Mr. McNerney. More than 9.6 million identities were stolen 1492 and used to file fake comments in this proceeding. About 26,000 1493 of those were my constituents' identities. It is my 1494 understanding that these action are now being investigated by 1495 federal and state law enforcement agencies and it has been 1496 publicly reported that Broadband For America and Free Press 1497 subpoenas are a part of this investigation. 1498 Chairman Powell, what is the NCTA's relationship with Broadband for America? 1499

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 76 1500 Mr. Powell. We are a member of it. 1501 Mr. McNerney. Does Broadband for America still exist? 1502 Mr. Powell. Yes. 1503 Mr. McNerney. Is Broadband for America complying or its 1504 former representatives complying with subpoenas and document 1505 requests for the investigation? 1506 Mr. Powell. Yes, my understanding they are. 1507 Mr. McNerney. Good. Did the NCTA ever engage Broadband 1508 for America to submit fake comments using stolen identities in 1509 those proceedings? 1510 Mr. Powell. Absolutely not. 1511 Mr. McNerney. Okay. Well, we will be looking into that, Mr. Powell. 1512 1513 Ms. Gonzalez and Mr. Powell, did either of your 1514 organizations' consultants or members pay for fake comments using 1515 stolen identities to be considered for the docket? 1516 Ms. Gonzalez? 1517 Ms. Gonzalez. No, sir. Absolutely not. 1518 Mr. McNerney. Chairman Powell? 1519 Mr. Powell. No, sir. 1520 Mr. McNerney. Thank you. 1521 Ms. Gonzalez, my home state of California is prone to a number 1522 of natural disasters from devastating wildfires to floods and NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

earthquakes. During times of emergency and in the weeks and months that follow, people immediately rush to the web to check evacuation routes to see if their loved ones are safe and to find out if it is even safe to breathe outside.

1527 Ms. Gonzalez, if some information sources are taking 1528 priority because they paid for it and are unrelated to safety 1529 information people are trying to access in these circumstances, 1530 how might people's access to such information be affected?

Ms. Gonzalez. I think, you know, it has long been the consideration of this committee and the FCC that public safety is one of if not the most important job that we have to do and we want to make sure that the commission has the full authority to ensure the consumers are protected in those times.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

1537 Ms. Livier, you gave a few examples of how open access was 1538 critical to establish artistic talent. Was the example list you 1539 gave exhaustive or is it the tip of the iceberg?

1540 Ms. Livier. That is the tip of the iceberg.

1541 Mr. McNerney. Okay. And so you could give other examples 1542 if we asked for that?

1543 Ms. Livier. Yes, sir.

1536

Mr. McNerney. Okay. I might be asking you to submit a list,
if you would, of examples of that.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| | This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 78 |
|------|--|
| 1546 | Ms. Livier. I would be happy to, yes. |
| 1547 | Mr. McNerney. Ms. Dixon, I understand that some smaller |
| 1548 | ISPs including Sonic, which serves many of my constituents, raised |
| 1549 | concerns in a letter to the FCC that Chairman Pai's order would |
| 1550 | threaten their ability to interconnect with the larger ISPs. |
| 1551 | I would like to introduce a letter for the record, Mr. |
| 1552 | Chairman. |
| 1553 | Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered. |
| 1554 | [The information follows:] |
| 1555 | |
| 1556 | *********INSERT 8******** |

1557 Mr. McNerney. Ms. Dixon, can you explain the risks to 1558 consumers now that the FCC no longer has a framework to address 1559 interconnection complaints?

Ms. Dixon. It just creates the same issue. It puts the power in the hands of the larger ISPs. It puts the power in their hands to work with the largest companies on the web, the largest companies in the world, and leaves all the small businesses to have to wait and try to get the leftovers in the back and to go behind it.

1566 The interconnection agreements are a very important part 1567 of what the FCC needs to continue to regulate.

1568 Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

1569 Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

1570 Mr. Doyle. I thank the gentleman from the great state of 1571 California.

1572 [Laughter.]

1573 Mr. Doyle. The chair now recognizes Mr. Shimkus.

1574 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First I want to make sure we recognize Marcia Latta, who is observing her husband's ascension to the leader of the Telecom Subcommittee, and he didn't do that--we usually forget our spouses in public speaking engagements. So I have learned that that is a bad mistake.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1580

[Laughter.]

1581 Mr. Shimkus. Secondly, to the new members of the 1582 subcommittee, this is why this is a great full committee and this 1583 is why this subcommittee--I mean, we have got really very 1584 articulate experts who are trying to wrestle with an issue. As my--as the chairman of the full committee has reminded 1585 1586 me numerous times, if we want--if--you know, we could have 1587 messaging fights, and we will have those, or we could pass laws. 1588 And when we were in the majority I learned from that because 1589 when I had to pass things through my subcommittee I had to reach 1590 for that bipartisan compromise if we wanted to pass a law. Ιf 1591 we want to have this fight and pull our hair out--I taught high 1592 school--for a bill to become a law the president has to sign it. 1593 He is not going to sign this. So I think what our attempt 1594 is to say is where do we go to the middle--where do we address 1595 these real problems. 1596 Now, I sympathize a lot with Mr. Franell because I represent 1597 14,000 square miles in southern Illinois. And Ms. Dixon, Mozilla 1598 is a foundation. Does that mean it is a not for profit? 1599 Ms. Dixon. We are owned by a not for profit. 1600 Mr. Shimkus. Yes. So a lot of my communication providers

1601 are not for profits, just like in districts like Mr. Franell,

1602 where they are--I understand that approach to small business.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1603 Our approach to small business is little small businesses 1604 in towns that don't even have access yet, and Chairman Wheeler 1605 or Chairman Powell know that I have been focused, throughout my 1606 life, about mapping.

1607 Let us find out where we have service and where we don't.1608 Mr. Wheeler. Yes.

Mr. Shimkus. And then where do we find out how fast that service is so that when we have these battles--Anna, do you want time? Okay. I thought you were trying to--I thought you were--so if we are going to be involved how can we help get that full build out? It would be helpful to everybody.

So I have always been focused in this debate about how do you build out. How do you get the fiber into the ground, and I am not as smart as you all but I know that that's private sector dollars that do that and there has got to be an incentive for them to lay the fiber.

And fiber is a lot better than coaxial cable and there is more information going out. So I would hope and I would plea that we eventually get through the emotion, which I am not discounting, and we focus on fixing this problem, because if I finally get my small businesses connected in Gallatin County--Old Shawneetown, right--they are going to want to have full access. But I got to get them access first. Otherwise, it is kind of

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1626 a moot point to some of us who represent rural areas.

1627 So I hope--I just hope we get there. You know, we are having 1628 this big fight on border security and one of the responses is 1629 walls, fencing, and some is smart technology.

Now, the southern border, as you probably all know fairly well, is pretty rural. If you are going to use drones--I mean, and this the--one of the Democrat responses is let us do smart technology--let us do drones--let us do technology--let us--cameras and let us see who is coming.

1635 That will require a lot of investment and a lot of build 1636 out. Would there--should, if there is information of child 1637 trafficking, fentanyl being pushed across the border--is there 1638 any role for anyone to prioritize information?

So if we want our border security guys to go and stop a coyote bring across child trafficking, and that information is trying to get to the operation--the tactical operation center--former military guy like Mr. Powell--should that be prioritized?

1643 And I guess my time has expired and I don't--it is your call,1644 Mr. Chairman.

1645Mr. Doyle. If Mr. Powell wants to answer that briefly I1646will give him the opportunity. But was there a question in there?1647[Laughter.]

Mr. Shimkus. Yes. We need to build more fiber.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1648

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 83 1649 Mr. Doyle. Do you guys have to mention the wall at every 1650 hearing? 1651 [Laughter.] 1652 Mr. Shimkus. I didn't yesterday. 1653 Mr. Powell. Well, Mr. Chairman, I will just take advantage 1654 of the opportunity to say--1655 Mr. Doyle. Briefly. 1656 Mr. Powell. --when I was chairman I was a huge champion 1657 of public safety and I think it is a perfect example of why we 1658 should be careful about what we mean about no prioritization. 1659 There are societal uses that we will all agree should employ 1660 a higher priority over other uses. It is true in every tangible 1661 part of the economy. I don't know why we think it wouldn't be 1662 true in the digital space. 1663 Mr. Wheeler. Well, there is just one thing that you left 1664 out, though. 1665 Mr. Doyle. Yes. 1666 Mr. Wheeler. The 2015 rule allowed for that kind of 1667 prioritization. Mr. Shimkus and I started working 20 years ago, 1668 probably longer than that, on public safety issues and we allowed--we made sure that the 2015 rule allowed for that kind 1669 1670 of prioritization. 1671 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1672 The chair now recognizes the vice chair of the full 1673 committee, Ms. Clarke, five minutes.

Ms. Clarke. Thank you--thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
I thank the ranking member and I thank all of our expert panelists
for bringing your expertise to the table today, and I say good
afternoon.

1678 I am glad that we are having this hearing and we have decided
1679 to kick it off this week with the hearing on net neutrality.
1680 This issue is a major concern for my constituents on the state
1681 of New York.

In fact, Governor Cuomo signed an executive order to keep the net neutrality rules in place post-FCC repeal. Additionally, former New York Attorney General Barbara Underwood led a lawsuit with 22 other attorney generals to reinstate the 2015 open internet rules and led an investigation into fraudulent net neutrality comments.

So along the lines of Mr. McNerney of California, I would like to just ask a couple of things. Well, first, I want to highlight a few things--the voices that the FCC ignored in 2017, those like Brooklyn's own Take Shape and Staff Base and millions of other small businesses across the country whose existence depends on a free and open internet.

1694

And the irony of millions of Americans that took the time

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1695 to write the FCC opposing the repeal of net neutrality and that 1696 literally broke the public comment records doing it, yet their 1697 voices went unheard.

So, Chairman Wheeler, can you explain why so many small businesses oppose the gutting of the 2015 net neutrality protections? I think that we need to have that in context and, you know, even when we talk about rural communities the idea at the end of the day is to get us to a broadband ubiquity. But what does this mean for small businesses?

1704 Mr. Wheeler. Thank you very much, Ms. Clarke.

1705 If a business cannot get to its consumers it does not have 1706 a business, and the network that connects us all in the 21st 1707 century is the internet.

I remember a time when I was in eastern Kentucky meeting with coal miners who were learning to code because they had lost their mining jobs. But I also met with a young man who had a guitar shop--a guitar shop in Pikesville, Kentucky. When the bottom fell out of the coal economy the bottom fell out of his guitar shop.

1714 But he went on the internet and started selling guitars on 1715 the internet, and he is now a bigger business in Pikesville than 1716 he was when he was not.

1717

If you can't get to your customers you don't have a business

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1718 and the internet is how you get to your customers.

1719 Ms. Clarke. Very well.

Ms. Livier, your testimony discussed how in your line of work lots of jobs are being migrated to the digital space and how this is an opening opportunity for people of color.

How do small companies and entrepreneurs alike end up on the losing end in the 21st century economy without open internet protections?

Ms. Livier. First, there is a series of ways in that you lose out, right. From my experience as an actress and as a creative person, how are people going to find you online if somebody has a faster lane than you do? So they are going to win out in order to, like, reach a client.

1731 I do, for example, voice work and if I recorded on my laptop 1732 at home and I sent it in to my client, but if my connection is 1733 slow then that is going to cause a problem.

1734So for an independent like me and folks like me it is really1735important to have an open internet so that is an even playing1736field. Otherwise, we can't--we can't compete. We don't have1737the pocketbooks to pay for access and that shouldn't be the case.1738Ms. Clarke. Absolutely. Thank you for your response.1739Ms. Gonzalez, anything you would like--you would like to1740add on that?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1741 Ms. Gonzalez. Yes. I mean, there is a lot of research out 1742 there, Congresswoman, about how people use the internet and what 1743 even a couple of seconds of delay does--turns people away to 1744 different sites.

1745 So if I am an independent creator or if I am like my friend, 1746 Vanessa, who runs her own blog--she is two rows behind me with 1747 her nine-year-old daughter today--and my site is slightly slower 1748 than other content produced by mainstream media, some of whom 1749 also own the pipes--Comcast owns NBC Universal--they are 1750 producing content that competes with Vanessa's content--she will 1751 tell you herself she can't pay to go faster to access audience 1752 and even a few seconds of delay, people want it now.

We are in a rapid economy, rapid expectations about how we are delivered our content and it really would hamper competition and her ability to run her own business, reach an audience, earn a living.

1757 Ms. Clarke. Very well.

1758Mr. Wheeler, in 2014, interconnection disputes involving1759edge providers, backbone companies, and the last-mile ISPs1760resulted in Netflix video service being degraded for some--I am1761sorry. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1762 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

1763 Ms. Clarke. Didn't realize the time.

(202) 234-4433

1764 Mr. Doyle. The chair now recognizes Mr. Olson from the great
1765 state of Texas--five minutes.

1766 Mr. Olson. I thank the chair. Congratulations on your 1767 having the gavel for the 116th Congress. Here we go again, or 1768 as the New York Yankee sage wisdom of Yogi Berra said, deja vu 1769 all over again.

1770 It doesn't matter if a Democrat is in the White House, a 1771 Republican in the White House, a Democrat speaker, or Republican 1772 speaker. We fight, fight, and we fight and do nothing about net 1773 neutrality, and our inaction has forced agencies like the FCC 1774 and the administration to try to fill the void.

And that is sad because as Chairman Latta mentioned in his opening statements, we have so much in common--so much common ground. For example, the title of this hearing, Preserving an Open Internet for Consumers--yes. Small business--yes--and free speech--double yes.

And then the spirit of bipartisanship, the donkey and elephant in the room, Title II, and that is when this whole thing breaks down because, as Mr. Latta mentioned, Title II is based on the phone of Alexander Graham Bell right over there.

And, sadly, instead of working together as neighbors and friends and solve this problem once and for all, we keep going down this road over and over and over.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1787 My first questions are for you, Mr. Franell, and Chairman 1788 Powell. In you all's testimony--mostly you, Mr. Franell--your 1789 testimony brought an in-depth analysis of how Title II regulations 1790 would harm small ISPs.

I was hoping you could expand on how shifting away from 20
years of previous precedent of being regulated under Title I would
affect small ISPs such as yours.

1794 Mr. Franell. Thank you for the question and, for the record, 1795 I graduated from high school and college in Texas. So thank you 1796 very much for--

1797 Mr. Olson. The stars at night.

1798 [Laughter.]

Mr. Franell. And so the reality of my world is, and Eastern Oregon Telecom has been around for almost 20 years--in those 20 years we were created to provide advanced telecommunications in a market where the incumbent was not doing their job and today that is still true.

So in the markets that we serve, Eastern Oregon Telecom, a nonregulated competitive carrier who takes no federal dollars, no state dollars, is providing 100 meg service or gigabit service to the communities that we serve while the incumbent is still struggling to provide ten one.

1809

So we are doing that in an area that on the interstate takes

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1810about an hour to drive going 70 if you are driving the speed limit,1811from one end to the other, and crosses into the Washington border.

1813 We do that with 19 employees. Every dollar that we have 1814 made since we started has been reinvested in the company. There 1815 has not been a single distribution even for taxes to the owners, 1816 of which I am one. So the tax thing is painful, by the way.

So even as a nonregulated ISP, there are reporting
requirements. I still have to report the 470, 499--all of those
reporting requirements to the FCC that helps with the mapping,
even though it is not accurate.

1821 It is still a problem. You know, we are still doing our 1822 part. I probably am--between the state and the federal 1823 requirements I probably have a third full time equivalent right 1824 now dedicated to regulatory reporting.

1825 Now, to put that in perspective, every fixed wireless tower 1826 that I put up I can put up and activate for about \$10,000 and 1827 each one of those towers can serve a community or about 500 1828 addresses.

1829 So if I am--if I am paying full bore for a third full time 1830 equivalent, that means I am probably not expanding my 1831 infrastructure by some percentage every year. If you add a layer 1832 to that or layers to that, then I can't keep up and I can't continue

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1812

1833 to expand the network.

1834 Mr. Olson. So, basically, if it is under Title II your small 1835 business gets hit hard and over time fades away, fades away, and 1836 eventually it is gone?

1837 Mr. Franell. Or, at a bare minimum, is no longer able to 1838 continue to expand and serve unserved or under served communities

1839 in the rural remote areas of eastern Oregon and eastern--

1840 Mr. Olson. No new jobs, no new revenue, no new equipment, 1841 no growth, no growth, no growth.

1842 Thank you. I yield back.

1843 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

1844 We now recognize Mr. Loebsack for five minutes.

1845 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, Chairman Doyle and Ranking Member 1846 Latta. Really happy that we have got a good team there leading 1847 this committee.

1848I am a little concerned if I am going to have to keep following1849Mr. Olson every time, given that we are up here on the top. But1850we have worked together on things and thank you so much.

1851 Mr. Olson. Stay away from the Army and the Astros and we1852 will be fine.

1853 Mr. Loebsack. Yes. We are okay with Navy. That is right.
1854 Thank you.

1855

A lot of great people here on the panel--a lot of great issues

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1856 that we have discussed. I have worked--I have worked with a 1857 number of folks on the other side of the aisle since I got on 1858 this committee on a number of these issues, and I do want to thank 1859 you, Mr. Franell, for being here because we have over a hundred 1860 companies like yours in the state of Iowa.

1861 My district is about the size of Shimkus's, maybe not quite 1862 as big. Walden reminds us all the time that his district is bigger 1863 than my state. So I understand the issues in rural America.

But I worked with Congressman Walden to try to reduce some of those regulatory burdens on folks like you when I first got on this committee four years ago. I worked with Congressman Latta on precision agriculture--I am going to get to that in a second--and worked with former Congressman Costello on the mapping--I am going to get to that in a second, too.

1870 I have some faith--how much, I don't know--but some faith 1871 that we can arrive at some kind of bipartisan solutions to these 1872 issues and I am looking over here at Gianforte. He is, like, 1873 why am I not talking about him because we worked together on EMS 1874 issues as well the last Congress, and I appreciate that, Greg. 1875 I talk all the time about rural broadband. That is my thing. 1876 It has to be given to the people I represent in the 2nd District 1877 of Iowa and, you know, we have got to do everything we can to 1878 make sure that the quality of service is there and we are able

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1879 || to build out, going forward.

1880I was going to ask a small business question but that has1881kind of been dealt with. I do want to go right to precision1882agriculture's growing importance with connectivity in1883agriculture, how important--I want to ask Mr. Wheeler this1884question.

How important do you think the Open Internet Order protections are for advancing smart and connected agriculture? What threats do you see for precision agriculture if these principles are not in place?

1889 Mr. Wheeler. Thank you, Congressman.

You know, it is interesting to watch how technology--and you watch it far more closely than I do--but how technology has changed the nature of the agricultural activity and, you know, the day when you had a GPS to your tractor changed productivity for agriculture in a huge way.

We are now moving to a period where fifth generation and next generation broadband services are going to be able to put out into the field things that we haven't even imagined, any more than we imagined the GPS to the tractor those years ago.

1899 The reality, however, is that somebody is going to control 1900 whether or not that capability gets to that field and when you 1901 say, well, we are only going to do blocking, throttling, and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1902 prioritization, then you say everything else that I can do to 1903 advantage myself as the provider of the service can be done.

And so what--a key component of the 2015 order was how do we maintain flexibility to take a look at what happens--what we don't know is going to happen but we know will happen. That is an essence of--a key essence of how you deal with maintaining--not just having an open internet today but maintaining an open internet tomorrow.

1910 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you. I want to move on to a mapping We have got an REC in my district--Chariton Valley Rural 1911 issue. 1912 Election Cooperative--and they are trying their best--they have 1913 tried every which way to get the FCC to allow them to provide 1914 broadband service to their service area. But the mapping as it 1915 now exists doesn't allow them because it says that there is a 1916 lot more coverage there than there in fact is.

1917 And as I said, I worked with Ryan Costello on a bill on that. 1918 The FCC is supposed to be coming up with better maps as we speak. 1919 But it depends upon the data that they are using, obviously. 1920 I guess I want to ask both the former chairman, starting 1921 with you, Chairman Powell. How the heck are we going to deal 1922 with this? I mean, Shimkus brought this up. You know, this is 1923 something that we are just fighting with all the time--and 1924 especially to make sure that we get people who want to provide

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1925 that service who might not be an incumbent carrier. They are 1926 not even a telco. It is an REC. How do we get to that point? 1927 Well, I think you have all been very articulate Mr. Powell. 1928 about the essential essence and importance of mapping and I know 1929 you have directed and the commission is working hard to improve 1930 So, hopefully, we will--we will get an improvement their map. 1931 that.

1932 Specifically with respect to the circumstances of your 1933 company and constituent, I would recommend to them there is a 1934 process in place at the FCC to challenge and appeal the current 1935 mapping to be able to demonstrate to the commission that an area 1936 that they show is under served or unserved is in fact unserved. 1937 Mr. Loebsack. Right.

1938 Mr. Powell. And I am sure that they have been counseled 1939 and are pursuing that process. So I think that is very, very 1940 important to them.

1941 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, and I know my time has expired.1942 Thank you so much, Mr. Chair, for letting me go on.

1943 Mr. Wheeler, if you would get back to us on that other, 1944 appreciate it.

1945Mr. Wheeler. Well, we were also whispering back and forth1946here. We agree.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Mr. Loebsack. Yes. Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

1947

Mr. Wheeler. How is that for a short answer?
Mr. Doyle. I thank the gentleman. The gentleman yields
back.

We will now recognize Mr. Bilirakis for five minutes.
Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Congratulations,
Mr. Chairman, and I want to congratulate the ranking member as
well, and also thank you for the bold nameplates, because I have
always had a difficult time seeing the nameplates and identifying
the witnesses. So I appreciate that very much.

1957Again, first, I want to acknowledge that we need to protect1958users from any blocking and throttling of service that threaten1959freedom of thought and consumer choice on internet services.

1960At the same time, I do not want to subject the internet1961ecosystem to a system of heavy-handed agency control regardless1962of the administration in charge. This too will lead to1963limitations on consumer choice and limits on broadband

1964 deployment.

Since the 2008-2009 recession, private broadband spending increased year over year except during the period of time Title II scheme was in place. And in a October 15th, 2009 letter to the FCC, 72 Democrat members agreed that the commission should, and I quote, "carefully consider the full range of potential consequences that government action may have on network

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 1971 || investment," unquote, and urged against government regulation.
- 1972 Mr. Chairman, I would like to submit the October 15th
- 1973 letter--2009 letter in to the record.

1974 Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered.

- 1975 [The information follows:]
- 1976 ********* INSERT 9********

Thank you, sir.

1978I have a couple questions. Mr. Franell, in the absence of1979a federal solution, how does the prospect of state patchwork1980legislation impact any interests you may have in expanding1981services and creating competition just north of you to Washington1982State and beyond?

Thank you.

Mr. Bilirakis.

1983 Mr. Franell. Congressman, thank you for the question. 1984 So we currently do provide internet service across the river. 1985 We serve some wineries so you should come visit, and some large 1986 We also serve a small community that is right on the river farms. 1987 on the Washington side and, you know, any time there are 1988 cross-border jurisdictional differences in regulations it 1989 creates, you know, a layer of, first of all, uncertainty where, 1990 okay, well, what is different in Washington than in Oregon. 1991 Washington has got a net neutrality law. Oregon has got a net 1992 neutrality law. They are different. How do we manage that now? 1993 It is less of a problem for us because our goal is not making 1994 money by manipulating things. Our goal is to transform rural 1995 eastern Oregon, eastern Washington, and perhaps other areas with 1996 broadband and so that is our focus. So, you know, this other 1997 discussion about manipulation and all that, that doesn't even 1998 fit into our culture as a company. But anything that makes things

more complex, you know, it slows us down. It adds a layer of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1999

1977

(202) 234-4433

2000 uncertainty when we are dealing with different regulatory 2001 environments.

And so I would prefer to see a national standard for this and, again, a light touch. I am not absolutely advocating for Title II. I think that that is a bad idea.

But legislation from the federal government solves this uncertainty as we look at other states in the West and the Pacific Northwest and expanding in those areas, knowing what--that the playing field is the same would provide us a lot of confidence. Not having that creates uncertainty and makes us hesitant to expand in those areas. I hope that answered your question.

2011 Mr. Bilirakis. All right. Thank you very much for the 2012 input. Also, again, for you, Mr. Franell--for the most part, 2013 a business survives on maintaining a good relationship with its 2014 customers, obviously. How has the public misunderstanding of 2015 the 2015 order impacted the relationship you have with your 2016 customers despite your business not engaging in anti-competitive 2017 acts?

2018 Mr. Franell. It was actually quite disturbing how angry 2019 people got over the topic of net neutrality, and when I talked 2020 about the inability to have a conversation about this that was 2021 rational I started talking early on about some of my concerns 2022 about net neutrality in the local newspaper, in the East

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| | This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. |
|------|---|
| 2023 | Oregonian, and the feedback was visceral and irrational and I |
| 2024 | think it was driven off of fear. |
| 2025 | So people were afraid that even though we clearly stated |
| 2026 | up front that we don't manipulate traffic, we justthat is not |
| 2027 | who we are |
| 2028 | Mr. Bilirakis. Yes. |
| 2029 | Mr. Franellthat they just were suddenly fearful and |
| 2030 | distrustful of all ISPs and somehow it became an evil entity. |
| 2031 | And so it wasit was disturbing because our business is built |
| 2032 | on relationships. |
| 2033 | Mr. Bilirakis. What about now? Are you still getting that |
| 2034 | to a certain extent? |
| 2035 | Mr. Franell. We will see when I get home after this hearing. |
| 2036 | Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. |
| 2037 | [Laughter.] |
| 2038 | Mr. Bilirakis. All right. Good answer. Good answer. |
| 2039 | Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. |
| 2040 | Mr. Doyle. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. |
| 2041 | The chair now recognizes Mr. McEachin for five minutes. |
| 2042 | Mr. McEachin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for |
| 2043 | the leadership that you are demonstrating on this issue and the |
| 2044 | leadership that you are providing this committee. |
| 2045 | I am going to start off by apologizing to my staff, who worked |
| | NEAL R. GROSS |

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2046 so diligently on questions last night. But I am going to call 2047 an audible and go off in a little bit of a different direction. 2048 Mr. Franell, I am a recovering trial lawyer and--2049 Mr. Franell. Bless your heart, sir. 2050 Mr. McEachin. Thank you. And as such, I am awfully 2051 impressed by analogies and I am awfully impressed by what I would 2052 call stare decisis, and Mr. Wheeler has taken us back on a journey 2053 of 600 years of common law tradition where he tells us that the 2054 ferryman in England couldn't discriminate as he took people across

2055 the river.

That has a certain appeal to me because at the end of the day aren't you just a ferryman who is taking me from one part of the internet to another?

2059 Mr. Franell. Yes, sir, and that is why we don't discriminate 2060 with traffic.

2061 Mr. McEachin. Well, and I heard you give some support for 2062 the notion of a legislative scheme coming from Washington that 2063 ensures that. What would that look like if it is not Title II? 2064 Mr. Franell. Well, and I am not a--I am not an attorney 2065 and I am not a legislator. I am a small businessman. 2066 Mr. McEachin. Well, we forgive you for that. 2067 Mr. Franell. But I--you know, I--2068 [Laughter.]

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2069 Mr. Franell. --think that--I think that we need to first 2070 define what is our desired end state and it is a free and open 2071 internet unencumbered by interference, especially 2072 noncompetitive, from any provider whether it be the ISP.

2073 And we focus so much on ISPs but rightly so a lot of this 2074 discussion has to revolve around the browsers, the end users, 2075 the edge--you know, those are the folks that today are actually 2076 engaging that more often than the ISP. Most of the ISPs that 2077 I know that is not our business model and so we don't do that. 2078 And so I think we have to figure out a way to address that issue, 2079 to create clear boundaries on behavior, so that when people in--an 2080 end user like myself goes on the internet I have confidence that 2081 I am going to get where I want to go without somebody interfering.

2082 Now, I did talk about prioritization and I think 2083 prioritization is--I shouldn't be deciding a prioritization. 2084 Society should be deciding on prioritization. We have talked 2085 about public safety an awful lot and how they need prioritization. 2086 That is at the heart of the FirstNet network, that we are spending 2087 hundreds of millions of dollars on it maybe in the--with the big 2088 B--I can't remember the amount--where it is this nationwide interoperable network that provides prioritization for public 2089 2090 safety.

2091

That solves a lot of that problem. But, you know, that is

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

a national decision. That is not me making that decision,
although I would love to be able to prioritize every 911 call
that goes across a county line and it is a long distance call.
I think that should just always be first.

2096 But, you know, Title II and net neutrality says Joe, you 2097 ought to just stay out of that because somebody is going to yell 2098 at you--somebody is going to get upset with you--you are going 2099 to end up in front of Congress, and here I am.

2100 Mr. McEachin. Mr. Wheeler, I am in my second term in 2101 Congress and new to these discussions. So I urge you and perhaps 2102 your friend, Mr. Powell, to write a book called "Net Neutrality 2103 for Dummies." It should be in a yellow cover and that sort of 2104 thing.

2105

[Laughter.]

2106 Mr. McEachin. But until you get a chance to do that, can 2107 you comment on what Mr. Franell said and tell me where the pitfalls 2108 might be? Or maybe you agree with everything he said.

2109 Mr. Wheeler. So, you know, I think thank goodness for the 2110 Franells and the Eastern Oregon Telecom of the world because 2111 delivering to rural America is essential.

2112 Several things--one, the laundry list that he went through 2113 in terms of the kind of forms he has to file and has to hire this 2114 person to do, most of those are not a result of the Open Internet

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2115 Order.

They deal, for instance, with the mapping question that we all talk about. They deal with other issues that the FCC needs to collect information on.

2119 Number two, prioritization for public safety activities is 2120 specifically allowed for under the 2015 act, and point three, 2121 sir, it is not just the firefighters or the policemen who ought 2122 to have the--who are affected by the lack of an open internet 2123 but it is also the people who are the victims of those emergencies 2124 who themselves need to get online and are experiencing the same 2125 blocking or throttling realities and, as a result of the decision 2126 of the Trump FCC, have nowhere to go because that is not an unfair 2127 or deceptive act or practice so long as you say, I am going to 2128 be doing that. And so there is no place to go.

2129 We need to make sure that we have open networks and an open 2130 network includes openness and prioritization for basic and 2131 essential public services.

2132 Mr. McEachin. Thank you. My time has expired and I yield 2133 back.

2134 Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Mr. McEachin, and I apologize for 2135 keep butchering your name. I think I got it right now.

2136 The chair recognizes--yes, Billy, you are recognized for 2137 five minutes--the great state of Missouri.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 105 2138 Mr. Long. Am I that forgettable? 2139 [Laughter.] 2140 Mr. Doyle. I just couldn't see over there, Billy, you know. 2141 Mr. Long. Yeah, I know. I am a little guy. 2142 Well, welcome to this round of Double Jeopardy, and today 2143 in Double Jeopardy, just like all Jeopardy shows you need to form 2144 your answer in the form of a question. 2145 So if I were to show you Mike Pence, you would say, who is 2146 the vice president. All right. 2147 Ms. Gonzalez, you are up--first round. Who is this? 2148 Ms. Gonzalez. Who is Mr. Boehner. 2149 Mr. Long. Who--kind of close--who is Speaker Boehner. 2150 And there is \$45 for each correct question. I have Thank you. 2151 your--2152 Ms. Gonzalez. All right. 2153 Mr. Long. --\$45 up here. 2154 [Laughter.] 2155 Mr. Long. And next we have Mr. Powell. Mr. Wheeler, would 2156 you not bother the witness? I am trying to communicate. 2157 [Laughter.] 2158 Mr. Long. Next, we have Mr. Powell, and Mr. Powell, the 2159 question-or the answer--you need to ask the question but the 2160 answer is--NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 106 2161 Mr. Powell. Who is Speaker Pelosi. 2162 Mr. Long. Very good. Very good. You get \$45. 2163 And Mr. Wheeler, you are adept at history, as you have proven 2164 here today, and I know that you are a great historian so--2165 Mr. Wheeler. I am terrified at the picture that is coming 2166 up. 2167 Mr. Long. I have already given you your \$45 as you--as you 2168 were trying to show Mr. Powell there. So I have great faith that 2169 you know the answer to this, and so the question--I guess this 2170 is answer. You are going to ask the question. 2171 Mr. Wheeler. Oh, wait a minute. 2172 Mr. Long. Correct. That is --2173 Mr. Wheeler. That is John Sherman, is it not? No? Who 2174 is it? 2175 Mr. Long. I will get my \$45 back. 2176 Mr. Wheeler. Okay. 2177 [Laughter.] 2178 Mr. Long. No. This is Henry--Speaker, excuse me. I am 2179 doing Ms. Gonzalez's trick. It is who is Speaker Henry Thomas 2180 Rainey. He was speaker of the House when Title II passed Congress 2181 in 1934. Mr. Wheeler. A wise man. 2182 2183 Mr. Long. And I think even Speaker Rainey would admit that NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2184 a bill passed should not be governed--that he passed should not 2185 be governing this century's internet.

So a question for Mr. Powell. Mr. Powell, if we all agree that the 21st century Congress should establish basic net neutrality rules, can't we solve the problem by putting them under new authority and not use a set of rules passed by the very distinguished Speaker Rainey?

2191 Mr. Powell. Most certainly. You know, it is a little 2192 frustrating to hear people cite certain virtues of certain 2193 elements of Title II, which certainly could be in some form of 2194 the other written into anything new and organic, without 2195 considering the millions of pages of things that aren't considered 2196 that would also automatically apply.

It is the difference between should you dump them out in the regulations on a new and emerging service in the hope you can whittle away at it to make it optimal, or should you write from a clean sheet of paper up in order to tailor it to the circumstances that are affecting you.

I have always believed that the internet is so dynamic, so different, so radically varied from the telephone system that any thoughtful effort to write regulations with respect to its oversight should be done from the ground up, not from the historical mountain down.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2207 And so there are no limits to Congress's power. It can have 2208 rules strong. It can add enforcement strong and it can create 2209 the sufficient amount of nimbleness to address unforeseen 2210 situations. 2211 I think it is a red herring to suggest that only that body 2212 of law affords that possibility of intended--2213 Mr. Long. Let me--let me move on to another question for 2214 you. 2215 Chairman Powell, we have seen a rise in the number of comments 2216 filed in response to policymaking proceedings at the FCC since 2217 your time as chairman. However, the underpinnings of the Administrative Procedure Acts, legal--APA legal requirements 2218 2219 involving the FCC's treatment of those comments remained largely 2220 the same as when you were the chairman. 2221 The APA requires agencies to consider all comments received 2222 but does the APA require the FCC or administrative agency to verify 2223 the identity of a commenter before it can be considered? 2224 And in the spirit of John Dingell, that is a yes or no answer. 2225 Mr. Powell. No, it does not require that. 2226 Mr. Long. Is the FCC under any legal obligation to adopt--to 2227 adopt identity verification procedures? Yes or no. 2228 Mr. Powell. Not that I am aware of. 2229 Mr. Long. If the public had to supply--if the public had

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433
2230 to supply proof of identity before a comment could be considered 2231 with the FCC, could the additional burdens, not to mention force 2232 public be one of the beliefs impacted by the full and robust public 2233 participation of policymaking proceedings that have enjoyed, and

- 2234 I think that is probably it.
- 2235 Mr. Powell. Yes.

2236 Mr. Long. Okay. Now, in my final 15 seconds here of 2237 Jeopardy, I would like to ask for unanimous consent to enter into 2238 the record a report examining the influence of the Obama 2239 administration over the Wheeler FCC's decision to go down the 2240 path of Title II.

2241 Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered.

2242

2243

[The information follows:]

2244 ********* INSERT 10*********

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 110 2245 Mr. Long. Yield back my one second. I did it. 2246 [Laughter.] 2247 I thank the gentleman. Mr. Doyle. 2248 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Florida, Mr. 2249 Soto, for five minutes. 2250 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I think we are Mr. Soto. 2251 developing a consensus that we do need to update the law a little 2252 bit and I am glad to hear at least that much agreement in the 2253 committee. 2254 You know, the Communications Act was from 1934 under Franklin 2255 Delano Roosevelt. I won't--I don't have flash cards to show you 2256 all but radio and telephone were the ones that were covered at 2257 the time under Title II--common carrier--and in 1984 Congress 2258 did an amazing thing. 2259 We actually added another chapter, Chapter 6, on cable. 2260 That was 10 years before the worldwide web was even born. Bell 2261 System was broke up at that time. 2262 Macintosh PCs and Dell computers were just launched. Mark 2263 Zuckerberg was born that year. People used pagers and cell phones 2264 the size of bricks, costing thousands of dollars. So I think we all understand it is time, right. The internet is not a fad. 2265 2266 2267 The FCC tried to legislate but that is always going to be NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2268 ephemeral. It is always going to be ping ponging back and forth2269 between administrations.

2270 And so I think the most constructive thing we could do with 2271 our time is hear from everybody and develop a new chapter. It 2272 is time for Congress to act. It is time to have a new chapter 2273 covering the internet with new rules for the 21st century.

But I reject this being used as a stall tactic. It is time for a call for action for it rather than using this to just have more of the same for the next two years in this Congress. But we need rules of the road for not only ISPs but content providers and others.

2279 There is a lot of folks that make up the internet and so 2280 it would be great to hear, briefly, one priority from each of 2281 you that--of what should be in that chapter. And keep your 2282 remarks brief or I will, unfortunately, have to cut you off. 2283 We will start with Mr.--Chairman Wheeler.

2284 Mr. Wheeler. A referee on the field with the ability to 2285 throw the flag for unjust and unreasonable activities.

Mr. Soto. Okay. And Ms. Livier?

2287 Ms. Livier. I am going to piggy back on that and have that 2288 folks need to be held accountable and know that there is going 2289 to be some repercussions if they are not playing fairly.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2290

2286

Mr. Soto. And Mr. Powell?

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 112 2291 Mr. Powell. I would endorse the original four freedoms that 2292 I sponsor with sufficient flexibility to address unknown 2293 situations in the future. 2294 Mr. Soto. And Ms. Gonzalez? 2295 I would support legislation that adopts the Ms. Gonzalez. 2296 full protections of the 2015 net neutrality order. 2297 Mr. Soto. And Mr. Dixon? 2298 Ms. Dixon. Ms. Dixon. 2299 Mr. Soto. Oh, sorry. Ms. Dixon. I am sorry. That says 2300 Mr. Dixon in our witness list. 2301 Ms. Dixon. That is okay. 2302 Mr. Soto. Ms. Dixon. I am sorry about that. 2303 We would support legislation that has Ms. Dixon. 2304 flexibility for enforcement. The most important thing is making 2305 sure that there is a cop on the beat. 2306 Mr. Soto. And Mr. Franell? 2307 Mr. Franell. Thank you. I would--I would add that all 2308 pieces of the internet be treated equally so, again, not this 2309 myopic focus on the ISP but the whole internet so that the 2310 experience of the end user is equal across the board. 2311 Thank you. 2312 Mr. Soto. Okay. Thank you for your input. That is what 2313 we are really here for, to actually use this committee to hear NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 2314 testimony and develop a new chapter, at least from my opinion, 2315 and I appreciate all of your advice on that as we are looking 2316 forward to working with everybody to develop actually a new
- 2317 chapter for the internet for the 21st century.
- 2318 So thank you for that and I yield back.
- 2319 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
- 2320The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Ohio, Mr.2321Johnson, for five minutes.
- 2322 Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it, 2323 and congratulations on your-on your gavel. I look forward to 2324 working with you this session.
- My colleague, Mr. Long, submitted for the record the 2016 Senate report entitled, "Regulating the Internet: How the White House Bowled Over FCC Independence." This report documents how FCC staff were working on a net neutrality order that did not use Title II for consumer broadband right up until the moment President Obama announced support for Title II.
- 2331 Chairman Wheeler, it is good to see you again.
- 2332 Mr. Wheeler. Sir.
- 2333 Mr. Johnson. Are you enjoying your retirement?
- 2334 Mr. Wheeler. It is a different life.

2335 Mr. Johnson. It is a different life. Good. Well, you have 2336 stated publicly that Title II is the only legally sustainable

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2337 way to protect net neutrality.

2338 Putting aside for the moment the fact that the D.C. Circuit 2339 gave the FCC a roadmap for adopting net neutrality without Title 2340 II and your lead proposal for open internet regulations relied 2341 on Title I, isn't it true that Congress can create new authority 2342 to protect net neutrality? A simple yes or no would be helpful. 2343 Mr. Wheeler. Well, I need to also respond to the aspersions 2344 that you have made about me and my decision making. 2345 No, I didn't--I didn't make any aspersions. Mr. Johnson. 2346 There have been--there have--Mr. Wheeler. 2347 Mr. Johnson. I need an answer to the question. We are not 2348 going to debate. 2349 Mr. Wheeler. There have been--there were five hearings over 2350 nine days held by this body -- on this issue and did not come 2351 up--2352 Mr. Johnson. So isn't it true that Congress can create new 2353 authority to protect net neutrality? 2354 Mr. Wheeler. The Congress always has the ability to do 2355 whatever they want. The question is what are they going to do--2356 Mr. Johnson. Okay. Good. I appreciate that. That is 2357 good for now. We are done. We are done. 2358 Mr. Wheeler. What is the quality of the --2359 Mr. Johnson. No, we are done. We are done, Mr. Wheeler.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

We are done. I have asked my question to you so now we are done. Mr. Franell, your written testimony states that since the repeal of net neutrality investors have been much more willing and perhaps eager to invest in rural telecommunications.

As I represent a rural district in eastern and southeastern Ohio, this is encouraging to hear. So do you think the broadband market is more competitive or less competitive than it was four years ago?

2368 Mr. Franell. I think today, I think, we are seeing--and 2369 I can speak only to my area so not the whole world broadband market 2370 but the Pacific Northwest--I see more competition, more robust 2371 competition, more effective competition.

And I am part of a group, the Northwest Telecommunications Association, which is rural competitive carriers so nonsubsidized non-incumbents, and the work that is being done by them, competing in markets where, again, the incumbents have failed to meet the needs of rural markets.

I am seeing more competition now than I was, and it is not the last four years. Again, you know, the cash has only really freed up over the last, you know, 12 to 18 months. So that's when we have really seen the market, at least in the Pacific Northwest, start to really lift again.

2382

Mr. Johnson. Okay. Do you have any suggestions for the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2383 committee on how we can continue to improve the ability of ISPs
2384 to provide broadband internet access to rural areas?

2385 Mr. Franell. So--wow, that is a big question and we have 2386 a minute left. So, you know, I would say, first of all, find 2387 ways to encourage competition. Find ways to get the middle mile 2388 out to these rural areas and then the ISPs like mine will take 2389 it from there. It is getting that long haul out into these rural 2390 markets. I mean, it is long distance is what we are talking about.

2391 Certainty is one of the big things, and so I love the idea2392 of legislating this instead of being regulatory.

2393 Mr. Johnson. Right.

2394 Mr. Franell. So if it is regulatory it just--every four 2395 years it seems like it changes and that is where the uncertainty 2396 comes in because, you know, we are talking about infrastructure 2397 that we are looking at, you know, a five- or ten-year ROI 2398 sometimes.

And so to invest that money and not know that I am going to have certainty--regulatory certainty, that I am going to be able to actually pay the bills for that is really difficult. So this is really encouraging to me that we are talking about legislating to solve this problem. So it's not just a regulatory

2404 thing that changes when the chair of the FCC changes. So I hope 2405 that answers that.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2406 Mr. Johnson. I appreciate it.

2407 Chairman Powell, what has been the impact on consumers over 2408 the past year of the FCC's restoring the internet freedom order? 2409 Mr. Powell. Well, I think if anyone fairly goes home and 2410 uses their internet they won't notice any material difference 2411 from any other time they use their internet other than perhaps 2412 to notice that it is a lot faster than it was two or three, four 2413 years ago.

2414 I would also highlight the fact that both the wireless 2415 industry and the cable industry have announced major monumental 2416 investments in new generation of networks. With wireless, you 2417 are hearing about 5G for the first time and new deployment 2418 announcements were made in 2018 and 2019, and at CES this year 2419 the cable industry announced an initiative to move to 10 gigabits 2420 per second into the home over the course of the next several years, 2421 which is a tenfold increase of any speed available today. 2422 Mr. Johnson. Okay. Well, thank you very much. 2423 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2424 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman's time has expired. He yields 2425 back. 2426 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Arizona, Mr. O'Halleran, for five minutes. 2427 2428 Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I thank you, the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2429 witnesses, today for discussing this issue that I have heard from 2430 so many Arizonans about on a continual basis--an issue that has 2431 tremendous ramifications for economic opportunity and investment 2432 across rural America.

In my district the American people have spoken loudly and passionately about net neutrality. They have spoken out clearly and strong, supportive in free and open internet where winners and losers aren't predetermined and where practices like blocking and throttling have no place.

2438I, too, support those principles and know how critical they2439are to ensuring every entrepreneur, every small business, every2440school and town across rural Arizona and America has a fair shot2441at success in competing in today's and tomorrow's global2442marketplace.

Hearing from my colleagues here today and across the aisle as well it seems clear to me that we stand in broader agreement than what is realized. We agree the internet must be--remain open--that the rights of consumers be protected and that innovation and entrepreneurship can thrive.

As has been stated, the question now before us comes down to what we can do about it. Rural America needs a permanent enforceable solution. We can't get the investments we need as long as the courts, other states, and this body all fight over

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2452 a patchwork of rules.

And so I think that Mr. Soto here took some of my question away but I am going to ask Mr. Wheeler and--Chairman Wheeler and Chairman Powell the same question and we have a couple of minutes to get this done.

If we had to waive the many things under Title II, why can't Congress write a new title? So I want to get right to the question that was proposed by a couple people up here.

In your experience, how do we stop the creation of a new title from becoming stalled and how do we prioritize or identify the pitfalls that we are going to be going through if we go down that course?

2464 Mr. Wheeler. That is a great question, Congressman. Thank 2465 you.

First of all, we have to agree on what Title II means. To my friend, Title II is a list of awfuls. To my friend, Jessica, it is a list of positives.

And we have got to figure out how to do this. On this panel I might be unique because when I was running the Wireless Industry Association, my members came to me and said, we want you to go to Congress and have us made common carriers, for precisely the reason that you said. We need uniformity of rules.

2474

And so this body passed legislation, created Section 332

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

of the Communications Act, which made wireless carriers, at their request, into common carriers. That was my a-ha moment as I was thinking what do we do on an open internet rule, because after that happened, two things.

2479 Well, one thing happened was that the rules were modernized. 2480 We went through and did the same kind of forbearance, okay. 2481 You did.

And secondly, there were hundreds of billions of dollars that were spent after that on the basis of being a common carrier under Title II and having that kind of certainty, which the industry sought.

2486 So I think you have put your finger on the key driving force, 2487 which is how do we have a national program and how does that 2488 national program adhere to the kind of concepts that have always 2489 been established in protections of Title II.

2490 Mr. O'Halleran. I want to give Mr. Powell or Chairman 2491 Powell--

2492 Mr. Powell. I would agree with much of what Mr. Wheeler 2493 said with a couple of really critical exceptions.

Number one, I would note that he said Congress established a section making a public determination as to what the parameters of regulation for the wireless industry, not the FCC creating it itself out of a patchwork of laws available to it.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| 2498 | Secondly, while wireless telephone service was regulated |
|------|--|
| 2499 | as a common carrier, wireless broadband service was not, and the |
| 2500 | thing that has driven the explosive growth of wireless in the |
| 2501 | last few years is with smart phones, apps, and broadband |
| 2502 | connectivity, ask your kids how many telephone calls they make |
| 2503 | with their Apple iPhone and you will see the difference. |
| 2504 | So I wouldn't facilely assume that Title II is a competition |
| 2505 | empowering a regime. In fact, I think it is the regime favored |
| 2506 | by monopolists. |
| 2507 | Mr. Wheeler. And the reason why nobody wanted |
| 2508 | Mr. O'Halleran. I have to cut you short |
| 2509 | Mr. Wheeler. They didn't know |
| 2510 | Mr. O'Halleranbecause I got my four seconds to say the |
| 2511 | American people, our citizens, have the right to freedom of |
| 2512 | speech. They don't have that right if we do not allow them to |
| 2513 | behave free and open access to these systems. |
| 2514 | Mr. Wheeler. Yes, sir. |
| 2515 | Mr. O'Halleran. They have a right to be heard. |
| 2516 | Mr. Wheeler. Yes, sir. |
| 2517 | Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back. |
| 2518 | The chair now recognizes Mr. Walberg for five minutes. |
| 2519 | Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'd like to take |
| 2520 | a point of personal privilege, first of all, to express my love |
| | |

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2521 and care for John and Debbie Dingell.

John really was the one who gave me the enthusiasm about fighting to get on this committee and, ultimately, on this subcommittee when he said--when I asked, as maybe some of you did, what the jurisdiction was of this committee and he pointed to a globe and said, it is the entire world.

And Debbie and I served together well and respect that and so I appreciate your opening comments about supporting and giving prayers to John and Debbie at this time.

Also, congratulations to you as chairman of this subcommittee and also to my good friend and the border protector leader, Republican Leader Latta. I am happy to serve on this subcommittee finally in Congress.

And in that spirit of bipartisanship, I hope today's hearing provides a good foundation for finding a bipartisan consensus on net neutrality legislation that, at the very least, ratchets down.

2538 Mr. Franell, I identify with you a bit. Having had a 2539 firebombing threat, and I take that personally and the FBI, 2540 thankfully, did as well and took action relative to that.

2541 My position, which at this time I didn't serve on this 2542 subcommittee, I wasn't involved in that debate. It is an 2543 emotional issue and I hope we all can ratchet it down.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I stand ready and willing to find a compromise that protects consumers from anti-competitive harms while not sacrificing longstanding bipartisan policies that should and could promote broadband expansion in the rural parts of my district in southern Michigan, something that remains a challenge today and which I hope we address in this Congress.

So, Mr. Franell, when it comes down to your business decisions, which probably mirror a lot of what goes on in my district as well like investing in expanded broadband access and upgrading networks to 1G and soon 10G speeds, does the content preference of a handful of people drive those investment decisions? So it is broader than that?

Mr. Franell. It is broader than that, and, if I may, I don't want to lose--please, don't lose sight of the fact that there are still large swaths of the United States that are under served or unserved and so any legislation or regulation that we put in place together we have to keep in mind the fact that whatever we do should not impede our ability to expand into those areas and take care of those folks.

2563 And if I could give one quick--

2564 Mr. Walberg. So is there any reason for you to block, 2565 throttle, or--

2566

Mr. Franell. No. Heavens, no. No. Again, every dollar

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I make I spend on infrastructure. We responded to an RFP to
provide broadband to every address in Wheeler County, Oregon.
Wheeler County is 1,750 square miles. The state of Rhode
Island is 1,214. So it is larger by a chunk than the state of
Rhode Island. Rhode Island has over a million people.
Wheeler County has about 1,400 and so but those folks still

live, work, contribute and trying to access them and provide
broadband to them is only possible if I don't have barriers that
are unnecessary hurdles that I have to jump over. And we can
provide broadband to them. We responded to the RFP. We are
hopeful. So there is hope for that, but--

2578 Mr. Walberg. Okay. I hope--I appreciate that and that's 2579 based upon what the customer wants--

2580 Mr. Franell. Yes.

2581 Mr. Walberg. --what they need and what you are able to give 2582 and based upon some --

2583 Mr. Franell. Absolutely. I have no incentive to throttle, 2584 block, or--that is not the business we are in.

2585

Mr. Walberg. Thank you.

2586 Mr. Powell, when you talk about upgrading your networks--the 2587 networks to 1G speeds and there are consumer demand for faster 2588 internet, that is mostly driven by evolving more data-demanding 2589 application services, websites, like video applications,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2590 correct?

2591 Mr. Powell. Yes, sir. It is.

2592 Mr. Walberg. And as the internet matures, is it fair to 2593 say that your member companies are going to need to continue 2594 innovating and finding ways to manage their networks in order 2595 to ensure consumers get the lawful content that they want and 2596 that they can access that content without a noticeable delay? 2597 Mr. Powell. Yes, and your first question, just by way of 2598 a data point, according to Cisco, by 2021 82 percent of all 2599 internet traffic will be video. That is a massive 2600 bandwidth--intensive set of applications and we have to 2601 dramatically increase network capacity. 2602 Mr. Walberg. And that involves a lot of flexibility too, 2603 doesn't it? 2604 Mr. Powell. Absolutely. Mr. Walberg. Can you reasonably manage your network if 2605 2606 broadband is codified as an information service under Title I 2607 of the Communications Act and is there adequate enforcement to 2608 make sure you are not gaming this exception? 2609 Mr. Powell. We believe so. 2610 Mr. Walberg. So what you are essentially telling me today 2611 is the FCC can protect consumers from blocking, throttling, and 2612 paid prioritization and both ISPs and edge providers will still

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 126 2613 be able to manage their networks, innovate, and make up dates 2614 to keep up with consumers if the FCC is given Title 1 authority with robust enforcement? 2615 2616 Mr. Powell. Yes, and I would add the fact that under Title 2617 1 you also have the additional enforcement capabilities of the Federal Trade Commission, which remain viable under that regime 2618 2619 but would not be viable under Title II. 2620 Mr. Walberg. Okay. Thank you. I yield back. 2621 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back. 2622 The chair now recognizes my friend and colleague from 2623 California, Ms. Eshoo, for five minutes. 2624 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2625 This is an important hearing and I want to thank each one 2626 of the witnesses. 2627 Ms. Dixon, I am so proud to represent Mozilla. They are 2628 headquartered in my congressional district and you gave excellent 2629 testimony, especially about one of the most important things that 2630 happens in Silicon Valley and that is new ideas being born every 2631 single day. 2632 And if they don't have the tools to do that--we represent 2633 the innovation capital of our country. So your testimony is very 2634 powerful. 2635 To Ms. Livier, you just killed it. You really did. I will NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 127 2636 tell you, you are--your writing is powerful. Your artistry is 2637 powerful. Your voice is powerful, and amen. 2638 Ms. Livier. Thank you. 2639 Ms. Eshoo. I don't know how you do all the things that you 2640 are doing--an actress, a writer, a UCLA doctoral student. My 2641 goodness. 2642 Jessica, thank you. You are always outstanding and you 2643 represent a great organization. 2644 Mr. Franell, you are a good man and you are in the struggle 2645 of doing something that really needs to be done and that is when 2646 we have one-third of the American people who either do--are either 2647 under served or not served at all, you are a hero in my book. 2648 You have a great--he is not here so he is not going to hear 2649 me--your congressman is a terrific representative. 2650 Mr. Franell. Thank you. 2651 Ms. Eshoo. To Michael Powell, I haven't seen you in a long 2652 time. It is great to see you. I wish we agreed with each other. 2653 We don't. 2654 [Laughter.] 2655 Ms. Eshoo. But our friendship is going to survive net 2656 neutrality and to--and both of the former chairmen, you are both

2657 really distinguished people who have done extraordinary work in 2658 the public sector that isn't always appreciated.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2659 I haven't changed my mind, and this is not a bragging point 2660 but I am proud of where I am and it is an important debate. 2661 Everyone says that they love the internet -- how important it is. 2662 Where were so many people when two years ago this last month 2663 when privacy--ripping off private--ripping privacy off of the 2664 internet went through here like a bolt of lightning? Who came 2665 in? Were you here, Michael? You weren't here. Were any of the 2666 people that you represent here? No.

You know, this Title II has just been beaten to a pulp. I want to read out what applies. You decided, in the audience, and maybe the American people that are listening in how really menacing these provisions are.

It prohibits unjust and unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, and services. So are we for discrimination? You know, a lot of references have been made to old laws. You know what the oldest one is? The Constitution. You know, that has got so much dust on it maybe we should throw that one out, too.

2677 Common carriers that violate provisions of Title II are 2678 liable for full damages and attorneys' fees FCC can recover or 2679 order on their behalf. Carriers are liable for actions of agents 2680 when acting within the scope of their employment. What is so 2681 horrible about that?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2682 Provides process for FCC to receive consumer complaints and 2683 assist consumers in working out the issue with the carrier. Oh, 2684 my God. God help us if we help people with their consumer 2685 complaints.

2686 Protect privacy of consumer information and data--boy, that 2687 is really darkly menacing, isn't it? Is it just--I am telling 2688 you, the sky is caving in.

2689 Ensures fair access to poles and conduits--that is a 2690 showstopper, isn't it? Is your heart stopping? Ensures access 2691 to telecommunication services for people with disabilities--you 2692 know, we can't have that. I mean, that is--that is just off the 2693 charts.

Applies certain universal service principles but does not require Universal Service Fund contributions. You know where the whole thing rests? It rests around just and reasonable charges and practices.

It is money. It is money. That is where the whole debate rests, because on the rest of it no one can hold their head up. Just as Mr. Franell said, absolutely not--I don't block and prioritization and all of that.

And, you know, the industry has really behaved themselves for a while until the court decides what it is going to do. But you know what? The worst example is public safety.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 130 You know, I mean, it just, like, ripped the veil off of this whole 2705 2706 thing. Firefighters, and someone at the other end saying, you 2707 know what, if you want more service we will charge you more and 2708 you can get it, and people's lives are at stake. 2709 I mean, come on. So, you know, to say that these 2710 provisions--these are the--what I just read are what apply. 2711 There are--the majority of Title II there is forbearance. 2712 So if you don't believe--2713 Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady's time has expired. 2714 You don't believe in what is forborne and Ms. Eshoo. Yes. 2715 you don't accept this. I don't think these are menacing things 2716 and I think that they are worth fighting for. I really do, and 2717 how this is going to be settled I don't know. But the internet 2718 is an open free accessible internet. I think it is consistent 2719 with our Constitution and the values of the American people. 2720 And I thank the chairman for his forbearance. 2721 [Laughter.] 2722 Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady's time has long expired. 2723 The chair now recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gianforte. You are recognized for five minutes. 2724 Mr. Gianforte. From Montana. 2725 2726 Mr. Doyle. From Montana. Thank you, sir. 2727 Mr. Gianforte. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2728 you for the panel for being here today. I appreciate this 2729 discussion.

The internet as we know it came to be around 1995 and for 2731 20 years it was open and free. It ushered in innovation, 2732 transformed our economy, leading to a new high-tech sector and 2733 good-paying jobs. That open and free internet gave us Amazon, 2734 Facebook, Google, and the company my wife and I started in our 2735 home in Bozeman, Montana.

We had an idea that the internet might actually make it possible for folks to work anywhere--that the internet might actually remove geography as a constraint. Our company grew from that one little room to one of Montana's largest employers. We have 1,100 employees with an average Montana wage of almost \$90,000 a year.

2742 Our business is just one example of how a free and open 2743 internet created more high-paying American jobs and increased 2744 opportunity and greater prosperity.

In 2015, however, the Obama administration throttled the free and open internet and with unnecessary and unilateral regulations. The red tape was a solution looking for a problem.

2749 The internet is a lifeline for our rural communities. It 2750 contributes to our rural economies. It ties together high-tech

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2748

2751 and agriculture, education, and health care. One in three2752 Montanans lacks access to broadband.

2753 Unfortunately, these heavy-handed regulatory approach has 2754 been a challenge for small telecommunications providers in 2755 our--in my district. Even the smallest federal mandate could 2756 impact our rural providers and their ability to extend their 2757 service to new communities, further exacerbating the digital 2758 divide that we experience in this country.

As I look around this committee today and all of the testimony, I think we have a lot of agreement. I don't see anyone who opposes opening the doors of opportunity to Americans in rural communities and I don't know anyone who wants to discourage the expansion of broadband into more communities. And I don't know of anyone here who wants providers to block or throttle consumers. I think we all agree on these issues.

2766 But the internet of 2019 is not the rotary phone of 1934 2767 and it shouldn't be treated as such with outdated heavy-handed 2768 regulations. I came to Washington to solve problems and that 2769 is what Montanans expect.

The committee should work on a permanent legislative fix to promote a free and open internet with a light touch regulatory framework. Ultimately, Congress can't and shouldn't turn over authority of unelected bureaucrats who can change how they treat

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2774 the internet from administration to administration.

The internet has changed our economy in this country. It has created jobs, provided better quality of life for many Americans. We must be cautious about how we approach this, and I look forward to working with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to find a solution that works for both sides.

2780 So in the little bit of time I have left, Mr. Franell, I 2781 would like to direct a couple of questions to you. You testified 2782 earlier that these Obama--era regulations cut off access to 2783 capital for your business.

2784 Is that correct?

2785 Mr. Franell. Yes, sir.

2786 Mr. Gianforte. I would like to have you just highlight for 2787 us, if you would, when a business like yours that is providing 2788 broadband to rural communities does not have access to capital, 2789 what is the impact?

2790 Mr. Franell. Well, for instance, now that capital is freed 2791 up--and I will answer it because now we have capital--there are 2792 three rural communities totaling about 800 or 900 homes to our 2793 east and they are remote.

They currently are all, by any definition, under served. Our plans now--and we have the capital to do it--are to build fiber to the home in those three communities with no government

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2797 subsidies. So that will transform those communities in really 2798 dramatic ways.

I mentioned the Wheeler County RFP that we responded to. With capital, we have a plan to provide robust--at least 25/3 but in many cases 100 meg service to every address in Wheeler County and by any definition that is a frontier county, one of the most difficult to get to.

2804 So without that capital, I can't do that. All I can do is 2805 maintain what I have got.

2806 Mr. Gianforte. In these communities are you providing2807 broadband to schools?

2808 Mr. Franell. We will provide broadband to--yes, it is not 2809 just residential. We do anchor institutions, residential, and 2810 commercial.

2811 Mr. Gianforte. And do you provide broadband to critical 2812 access hospitals in these communities?

2813 Mr. Franell. We do, yes.

2814 Mr. Gianforte. And without capital you are unable to do 2815 that?

Mr. Franell. That is correct.

2817 Mr. Gianforte. Okay. I thank you for your testimony and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2818 I yield back.

2816

2819 Mr. Franell. Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

2820 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

2821 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina,2822 Mr. Butterfield, for five minutes.

2823 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and 2824 thank you to all of the witnesses. I am told that the hour is 2825 late and we are going to be having to rush to the floor in just 2826 a few minutes and so I am going to try to get through this as 2827 quickly as I can.

And I am surprised to know that so many of my colleagues also represent rural communities and that is good to know because I too represent a rural community in eastern North Carolina. But the word last mile has not been expressly mentioned here in this hearing and so I want to put it in--on the table and make sure that we are very clear.

2834 We have got to continue to work on the last mile. We have 2835 got to encourage investment. I certainly agree with that and 2836 internet access in rural communities is of paramount importance.

2837Too many citizens are without and they are being2838disadvantaged. So let me move to Chairman Powell.

2839 Chairman, you offer clear support for net neutrality rules 2840 including no blocking, no throttling, no paid prioritization. 2841 We certainly thank you for that, and this tells me that providers 2842 are taking the net neutrality protection very seriously.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

| 2843 | But as you know, net neutrality rulesthe 2015 rulesare |
|------|--|
| 2844 | being challenged in the courts and they are working their way |
| 2845 | through the courts. And so mywe will have a decision, I |
| 2846 | suppose, very soon. |
| 2847 | Why are you calling on Congress to step in, considering that |
| 2848 | these 2015 rules are being litigated? Why should Congress step |
| 2849 | in at this point? |
| 2850 | Mr. Powell. Well, thank you for the question. I thinkI |
| 2851 | think that is a good explanation of why because this is the fourth |
| 2852 | time these rules have gone to court. Each court cycle is there |
| 2853 | years in length. Whatever happens |
| 2854 | Mr. Butterfield. I am a recovering judge now. |
| 2855 | [Laughter.] |
| 2856 | Mr. Powell. It was good to you. |
| 2857 | Mr. Butterfield. Go ahead. |
| 2858 | Mr. Powell. You know, even if we get a decision this summer, |
| 2859 | there is going to be appeals to the U.S. Supreme Court, |
| 2860 | potentially, no matter the result comes out. That is a whole |
| 2861 | another year or so before you reach a decision. |
| 2862 | If the court reaches a mixed decision and part of it is upheld |
| 2863 | and part of it is remand, there's a whole another FCC regulatory |
| 2864 | process that could take another year before we even get a final |
| 2865 | compilation of those rules. |
| | |

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

There comes a point at which it is obvious that the problem the commission struggles with is the absence of clear direction from the people's representatives, and that would bring finality and moot the court jurisdictional fight and that is why we call on you.

2871 Mr. Butterfield. What regulatory framework will best 2872 assist in expanding broadband access in rural communities like 2873 I represent?

2874 Mr. Powell. One that is very, very favorable to 2875 incentivizing in investment of private capital because the 2876 fundamental problem of a rural community is it is inherently 2877 uneconomic to serve.

That is, there is either not enough revenue to cover the cost of deployment or the cost of deployment is too high, based on the amount of revenue available.

2881 Anything that might raise those costs significantly only 2882 further impedes the ability to meet those remote areas.

2883 Mr. Butterfield. Now, you mentioned the need for stronger 2884 protections for consumers and providers. Do you support Congress 2885 creating these new protections and what types of proposals would 2886 you consider to be strong?

2887 Mr. Powell. I do wholeheartedly. In many ways it is odd 2888 for me to hear people criticizing the bright line rules. I have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2889 watched this issue for 14 years. The movement to bright line 2890 rules was proposed by the most virulent advocates of net 2891 neutrality in order to bring certainty and clarity to what is 2892 covered.

2893 We have evolved with the debate and we fully endorse those 2894 rules that the commission adopted in 2015, ones that were adopted 2895 in 2010, and we are perfectly willing to work with you on any 2896 new set of rules you might consider.

2897 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you.

Finally, Ms. Gonzalez, thank you for highlighting the disparities that exist in traditional media for minority communities. I share those concerns. Can you tell me the effect that net neutrality violations like blocking and throttling might have on minority communities? And you have a minute to do that. Ms. Gonzalez. Yes, Congressman. Thank you for the question.

You know, I think traditionally we have not had a voice in the media in the same way that white folks have. The open internet has democratized not only our access to find an audience, to create small businesses, to make sure that we are able to tell our own stories in our own words.

2910 And so if there is blocking or throttling that would lessen 2911 our access to having our stories told in the American fabric that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 139 2912 has otherwise been defined by mainstream media gatekeepers. 2913 Mr. Butterfield. So are you saying that it would 2914 disproportionately affect minority communities or --2915 Ms. Gonzalez. I would say yes. Yes, because we have had 2916 less access to mainstream media and the access to the internet 2917 to tell our stories has been critically important to change the 2918 narrative and invite people to understand who we are. 2919 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you for your passion. I support you 2920 completely. 2921 Ms. Gonzalez. Thank you, Congressman. 2922 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back three seconds. 2923 2924 The gentleman yields back. Mr. Doyle. 2925 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. 2926 Flores, for five minutes. 2927 Mr. Flores. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate this 2928 hearing and appreciate the witnesses for joining us today. 2929 I think I am picking up a consensus that Congress needs to 2930 act, that it needs to develop a new section to prohibit throttling, 2931 blocking, and discrimination in the internet and I think that 2932 we can find a way to do that and do it in a way that does--keeps 2933 the FCC out of the litigation box, if you will. 2934 That said, my concern about the way Title X has been attempted

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 2935 to be used in the past is that it doesn't have anything to do 2936 with net neutrality and so it is not an effective tool for that 2937 purpose. That is the reason Congress needs to act.
- 2938 So let us have some questions about Title II just so we can 2939 get an idea what could go wrong if you had another FCC that wanted 2940 to try to go further than even the 2015 FCC.
- 2941 So, Chairman Powell, could you confirm whether Title II could 2942 lead to the following? The government setting prices.
- 2943 Mr. Powell. Yes, that is possible.
- 2944 Mr. Flores. The government determining what services ISPs 2945 can offer consumers and whether and how they are bundled.
- 2946 Mr. Powell. That is also possible.
- 2947 Mr. Flores. That the government could be directing where 2948 ISPs put their investments and how much they should earn.
- 2949 Mr. Powell. Yes.
- 2950 Mr. Flores. Okay. That the government can dictate how 2951 parts of the internet should be interconnected and on what terms? 2952 Mr. Powell. Most definitely.
- 2953 Mr. Flores. Okay. And then the government requiring ISPs 2954 to share their networks that they built with private capital? 2955 Mr. Powell. Yes.

2956 Mr. Flores. Okay. And then lastly, and this is a little 2957 bit of a wild card, could it be used--we are all excited about

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

2958 potential of 5G and I know we are talking wireless versus wired, 2959 but is there any way that Title II could be used to inhibit the 2960 effective and efficient role out of 5G?

2961 Mr. Powell. Well, as we said, if 5G is a telecommunication 2962 service not only the voice component of it but the data component 2963 of it, then it would--it would suffer from the same restrictions 2964 that we have talked about all afternoon.

2965 Mr. Flores. I look at 5G as a dynamic information service 2966 and communications is only a small part of it.

2967 Mr. Franell, like you, I represent several rural counties 2968 in Texas and I am very concerned about trying to make sure that 2969 those rural counties have the opportunity to move to the dynamic 2970 side of the digital divide.

2971 And you discuss in your testimony how every dollar that goes 2972 to regulation is a dollar that doesn't go into new broadband 2973 infrastructure. Don't these kinds of onerous regulations in 2974 Title II crowd out competition and force smaller operators out 2975 of business?

2976 Mr. Franell. Yes. I think--and so I have--I have specific 2977 concerns about Title II, and if you will bear with me let me list 2978 them real quick.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2979 Mr. Flores. Be brief.

2980 Mr. Franell. Real quick.

(202) 234-4433

2981 Mr. Flores. Okay.

2982 Mr. Franell. First one is determining price, and the cost 2983 to build the infrastructure and deliver broadband varies wildly 2984 based on location.

```
2985 Mr. Flores. Correct.
```

2986 Mr. Franell. And so price--determining price can be 2987 catastrophic for rural broadband. The second thing is taxation 2988 and fees on broadband, and if you were to apply state Universal 2989 Service Fund of Oregon, federal Universal Service Fund, and then 2990 franchise fees to broadband because applying Title II and removing 2991 that exemption you could end up with a 20 to 30 percent increase 2992 in end user broadband costs.

2993 Mr. Flores. Okay.

2994 Mr. Franell. With no productive outcome.

2995 Mr. Flores. That is another--

2996 Mr. Franell. Those are the things that concern me about 2997 Title II.

2998 Mr. Flores. Okay. Okay. That is the reason Congress 2999 needs to come up with a new title to deal with a new area of 3000 technology.

3001 My friend, Mr. Shimkus, ran out of time and I heard Chairman 3002 Wheeler and Chairman Powell answer this question that he had about 3003 prioritizing internet traffic to protect our borders.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 143 3004 I just wanted to see if the rest of the panel agreed. 3005 Should--Ms. Dixon, should internet traffic be prioritized to 3006 protect our border? 3007 Ms. Dixon. We already have an exception in the 2015 order 3008 with respect to public safety. 3009 Mr. Flores. So that would be a yes. Okay. 3010 Ms. Livier? 3011 Ms. Livier. I echo her response. 3012 Mr. Flores. Okay. Mr. Franell? 3013 Mr. Franell. Yes. 3014 Mr. Flores. Okay. Ms. Gonzalez? 3015 Ms. Gonzalez. No. 3016 If not, why? Mr. Flores. Okay. Quickly. 3017 Ms. Gonzalez. I don't want to construct any more walls on 3018 our border. 3019 Mr. Flores. Okay. 3020 Ms. Gonzalez. I just am morally opposed to that. 3021 Mr. Flores. Gotcha. Okay. 3022 And lastly, Mr. Chairman, I would like to--there was a 3023 comment made in the testimony both verbal and written that says 3024 that--that says to the extent that Mozilla would not exist today without net neutrality. I want to give you some dates, for the 3025 3026 record.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3027 The first version of Phoenix, which ultimately became 3028 Firefox, rolled out in 2002. Firefox 1.0 rolled out in 2004. 3029 The FCC open internet rule was in effect--was rolled out in 3030 February of 2015. It became effective in June of 2015. So 3031 Mozilla prospered before net neutrality was in place. 3032 Thank you. I yield back. 3033 Mr. Doyle. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 3034 The chair now recognizes the vice chair of our subcommittee, 3035 Ms. Matsui. 3036 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and welcome to all Ms. Matsui. 3037 the witnesses. I know it has been a long time sitting there. 3038 I know many issues have been covered today and I--one of 3039 the issues that I want to concern ourselves with as we continue 3040 to grapple with network security in the current next-generation 3041 networks and the issue has even gained more notoriety because 3042 of the potential intelligence threats posed by Huawei and ZTE. 3043 Now, these companies have provided access to inexpensive 3044 and readily available networking equipment to carriers in the 3045 U.S. and around the world, and as many of you know, the FCC is 3046 currently considering how to balance its universal service mandate with a need to ensure our communication networks are 3047 3048 secured from the threat of foreign actors. 3049 Now, in the larger conversation surrounding net neutrality,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433
This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 145 3050 broadband expansion, and next-generation networks, how should 3051 we balance these security concerns? 3052 Now, I expect the chairman would have some things to say 3053 about it but I was wondering if anyone else on the panel wanted 3054 to start. 3055 Mr.--Chairman Powell, would you like to say Okay. 3056 something? 3057 Mr. Powell. Yes, Congresswoman. Thank you. 3058 We have to put front and center concerns--increasing 3059 concerns about supply chain security and it needs to be designed 3060 from the beginning up. You know, Congress has addressed supply 3061 chain issues recently in the National Defense Authorization Act, 3062 which we support, and DHS recently launched a supply chain risk 3063 management effort, which NCTA members actively participate in. 3064 So we think this is an extraordinarily important activity 3065 and we remain committed and highly focused on these issues. 3066 Ms. Matsui. Okay. 3067 Chairman Wheeler? 3068 Mr. Wheeler. History is clear that networks are attack 3069 vectors and we should expect that the network of the 21st century is an attack vector for cyberattacks. 3070 3071 The question is whether we are going to sit back and play 3072 whack-a-mole in response to those attacks or whether we are going NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3073 to get in front of them.

3074 Ms. Matsui. Right.

3075 Mr. Wheeler. The--Mike just talked about the supply chain. 3076 As we left the commission we put out a report on the importance 3077 of supply chain cyber management to networks that the Trump FCC 3078 then pulled.

The Trump FCC has repeatedly said they don't think they have any jurisdiction over the security of the network they have been entrusted to oversee. They pulled the requirements that we put in place for 5G cybersecurity and what we are in the process of blowing is the opportunity to deal with cyber as a forethought rather than as an afterthought.

3085

Ms. Matsui. Okay.

You know, I mentioned here as part of this the universal service mandate and I know a lot of people probably think this is boring but it really isn't. The contribution reform regarding the Universal Service Fund--I think in 2006 this committee considered an effort aimed to ensure a stable contribution base for universal service.

3092 Universal Service Fund codified the belief that all 3093 Americans should have access to advanced communication services 3094 and rural customers should have access to reasonably comparable 3095 services at reasonably comparable rates.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3096 Now, contributions to the federal and universal service 3097 support mechanisms are currently based on a percentage of 3098 carriers, interstate, and international end user 3099 telecommunications revenues.

A necessary part of this discussion surrounding broadband classification is the issue of contribution reform. In the first quarter of 2019 the contribution factor is 20 percent and that number may well continue to climb.

3104 Thirteen years ago the committee considered several 3105 different methodologies for the FCC to use when assessing 3106 universal service contributions. Mindful we should not make 3107 broadband access less affordable, but do you have any suggestions 3108 on how to ensure the long-term stability of the Universal Service 3109 Fund? And we don't have much time but you might comment on it. 3110 Mr. Wheeler. Yes. You need to expand the base. You cannot 3111 rely on a shrinking ice cube.

3112 Ms. Matsui. Absolutely. But we seem to kick the ice cube3113 down the road.

Mr. Wheeler. But every time--every time you want to talk about expanding the base you hear what we hear today--oh, that is going to increase costs for this broadband service or that. We have--we have heard today the importance of delivering to Wheeler County--boy, I like that--and to rural America.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3119 And we have also heard but let us don't raise the money to 3120 support that.

3121 Ms. Matsui. Right. Okay.

3127

3122 Mr. Wheeler. That is the conflict and, again, it falls--3123 Ms. Matsui. Well, this is a central--

Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady's time has expired. We are going to try to get this in before votes and we still have four more witnesses. So I thank the gentlelady for her patience.

The chair now recognizes Mr. Welch.

3128 Mr. Welch. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I want 3129 to thank the panel. A big concern I have no matter what we do 3130 is to get broadband built out on rural areas. You just mentioned 3131 that, Mr. Wheeler.

And Mr. Franell, I congratulate you. I live in a rural part of Vermont--eight-mile dirt road--and we have high speed internet and it is a local small company, nonprofit that somehow figured out to do what the big telecoms haven't done and listening to you it sounds like you have done that as well. So my hat is off to you.

3138 But on this question of repealing the net neutrality rules 3139 that were part of the Wheeler FCC, one of the arguments that was 3140 made is that if we got rid of the heavy hand of regulation that 3141 it would result in an expansive capital-intensive commitment by

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3142 our major telecom carriers that would build out into rural 3143 America.

And it turns out that is a fairy tale. I mean, Chairman Pai--because this was a question I think I asked him--he said without the overhang of heavy-handed regulation--and I don't know where this heavy-handed deal is coming from because everyone who is complaining about the heavy hand says they are for what the light hand accomplished.

3150 So there is a lot of rhetoric here. But what Chairman Pai 3151 said quite specifically was without the overhang of heavy-handed 3152 regulations, companies will spend more building the 3153 next-generation networks.

As those networks expand, many more Americans, especially low-income rural and urban Americans, will get high-speed internet access for the first time. And it turns out my skepticism of that assertion has been proven right.

Today, the Financial Times reported that the big four U.S. broadband companies invested less in capital projects last year than they did in 2017, which is when the Wheeler net neutrality rules were still in place, which totally undermines one of the rationales for repealing the net neutrality rules.

3163 And it also showed--that article--that the four companies 3164 collectively undertook less capital spending in 2018, and that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 150 3165 is the first time there has been a drop in three years when the 3166 net neutrality rules were first put in place. 3167 Mr. Chairman, I would like to put the Financial Times article 3168 published today in the record, if I may. 3169 Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so moved. [The information follows:] 3170 3171

Mr. Welch. All right. So we all know about the inadequacy in rural America. Twenty-four million Americans lack access to fixed broadband at high-speed internet speeds. Thirty-one percent of Americans in rural areas lack access to broadband. Forty-four million Americans lack access to both fixed broadband at 25/3 speeds and mobile LTE broadband at 10/3 speeds.

I mean, we have--we are on the verge of abandoning rural America and that has got to change, and it is not just regulations. This is about investment. Somehow you have figured out how to do it. ECFiber has figured out how to do it. My view, the big four don't particularly care to do it. There is not a lot of money to be made for them.

So now we have a situation where we don't have the protection of the net neutrality rules in the Wheeler administration in rural America and we are not getting the build out. And I will just ask you, Mr. Wheeler, are you surprised by the earnings report that indicate no increase in capital expenditures since the net neutrality rules came off the books?

3190

Mr. Wheeler. No, sir.

3191 Mr. Welch. Mr. Powell, can you explain the decrease in 3192 capital expenditures last year compared to the previous three 3193 years?

3194

Mr. Powell. I can. The headline numbers in those reports

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3195 are wrong because capital expenditure in net involves more than 3196 investments just in networks. If you look carefully at the 3197 earnings reports an enormous amount of that capital reduction 3198 was due to the video business and the CPE business, not the network 3199 connectivity business.

3200 If you sorted out those decreases for loss of video 3201 investment because of competition you would find that the 3202 increase--there has been an increase in investment in networks. 3203 Mr. Welch. Okay. I don't want to dwell on this but I don't 3204 understand a word you just said.

3205 [Laughter.]

No, and I don't mean that--I really don't understand it and maybe I have to be an accountant. But bottom line, these are year over year numbers and what I am seeing is that whatever that explanation is, there is not more internet access in rural America. I mean, we need more people like your company. Ms. Gonzalez, would antitrust law prevent an ISP from blocking access to a lawful website that presents an opinion the

3213 ISP does not want?

3214 Ms. Gonzalez. No.

3215 Mr. Welch. Would anti-trust law address--would anti-trust 3216 law address the situation, Ms. Gonzalez, where an ISP slowed down 3217 lawful internet traffic after it was pressured to do so by a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3218 political figure?

3219 Ms. Gonzalez. No.

3220 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman's time has expired.3221 Mr. Welch. I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

3222 Mr. Doyle. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from New 3223 Mexico, Mr. Lujan.

3224 Mr. Lujan. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, we know that this definitely matters. Otherwise, there wouldn't be so much interest, not just here in this committee room but with the millions of people across America who responded to this order.

I know that we are still trying to make sense of the number of bots and trolls that are part of that filing. But nonetheless, I hope that Chairman Pai allows us to make sense of who is a real person and which part of those finally should be taken out. I hoped that we would all agree with that.

The foundation of a record in order to make a decision is only as solid as the quality of the information that has been collected. I think that as chairman--I would hope, Chairman Powell and Chairman Wheeler, you would both agreed with that, with the importance of what happens at the commission. Now, when Chairman Pai announced that he was repealing the

2015 Open Internet Order, he said, and I quote, "Many more

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3240

(202) 234-4433

Americans, especially low-income rural and urban Americans, will get high-speed internet access for the first time and more Americans generally will benefit from faster and better broadband."

Mr. Wheeler, the question that I have there is, is this true? Does the repeal of the 2015 Open Internet Order mean that more New Mexicans will have access to high-speed broadband and how does the repeal of that order meaningfully change the economics of building out in rural and tribal communities?

3250 Mr. Wheeler. Mr. Lujan, this self-serving economics 3251 manipulation has been used by the Trump FCC like a drunk uses 3252 a lamppost--to lean against, to support the unsupportable.

We have heard comments about what investment was before and what investment was afterwards. There is only one reason to invest and that is to get a return. You don't say, I am not going to invest because of regulation. You say, I am going to invest because I am going to get a return.

And one of the things we have to do, especially in New Mexico and other rural states, is to make sure that we have programs in place that help get that return--a universal service support program, which itself needs to be directed towards building, towards capital expenditures, rather than operating expenditures.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3264 Mr. Lujan. And, Mr. Powell, in your response to Mr. Welch 3265 I think you touched on this. Do you have the same viewpoint of 3266 Mr. Wheeler or would you agree with sentiment of my question? 3267 Mr. Powell. I would say since 2016, at least in the cable 3268 industry, we have had a very significant increase in our network 3269 investment. Two years ago, 4 percent of Americans had one gigabit 3270 speeds. As of the end of 2018 in our industry 80 percent of 3271 American households had gigabit speeds. That is a pretty 3272 substantial--3273 But, Mr. Powell, my question is specific to Mr. Lujan. 3274 tribal communities in rural America in places like where I live. Does the same hold true in states like mine with the statistics 3275

3276 you just laid out?

3277 So if I went back and I evaluated your response would I see 3278 a correlation in New Mexico?

Mr. Powell. Well, you--look, the low-income hard-to-serve areas are a problem we all agree with serving. I am not so sure whether any of these order fundamentally change that challenge. But, yes, I believe some of this advancement for the citizens of New Mexico is just as viable as it is in other states.

3284 Mr. Lujan. Well, I appreciate your response because we 3285 agree with these challenges. Chairman Pai said that this was 3286 going to revolutionize access in rural America and to tribal

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3287 communities in places like where I live and it is not--

3288 Mr. Powell. Well, he's the other brown guy. I am not the 3289 one--

3290 Mr. Lujan. Well--no, but my point is it is not true. It 3291 is not true and that is the concern that I have for the constituents 3292 that I represent. I will park that aside.

3293 There are a few things, Mr. Chairman, that I want to get 3294 into the record and I have one question for Ms. Gonzalez I want 3295 There is an article that I want to submit into the to get in. 3296 It is now clear none of the supposed benefits of killing record. 3297 net neutrality are real. This points to the question I just 3298 asked. It is an article by Karl Bode with Motherboard. If I 3299 may, Mr. Chairman.

3300 Mr. Doyle. Without objection.

3301 [The information follows:]

3302 3303

***********INSERT 12*********

| | This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 157 |
|------|---|
| 3304 | Mr. Lujan. A letter from the internet service providers |
| 3305 | to Chairman Pai with concerns associated with the order as well, |
| 3306 | Mr. Chairman, dated June 27, 2017. |
| 3307 | Mr. Doyle. Without objection. |
| 3308 | Mr. Lujan. An article, "Filtering Out the Bots: What |
| 3309 | Americans Actually Have Told the FCC About Net Neutrality Repeal." |
| 3310 | This goes to the essence of my opening statement as well, Mr. |
| 3311 | Chairman. |
| 3312 | Mr. Doyle. Without objection. |
| 3313 | [The information follows:] |
| 3314 | |
| 3315 | ********INSERT 13******* |

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 158 3316 Mr. Lujan. And statements from my district as well 3317 associated with the net neutrality that I would like submitted into the record. And, Ms. Gonzalez, I apologize. My time has 3318 3319 expired. 3320 Mr. Doyle. Without objection. [The information follows:] 3321 3322 3323 ********** INSERT 14*********

3324 Mr. Lujan. I will submit this to you for the record, and 3325 I have a few other questions that I will submit to the remaining 3326 panelists.

3327 I really appreciate you all being here. Thank you for taking3328 the time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3329 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman's time has expired.

3330 The chair recognizes Mr. Schrader for five minutes.

3331 Mr. Schrader. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and thank3332 the witnesses for coming here, and excellent testimony.

I have to admit I came to this hearing with some degree of trepidation about how it might be conducted and I would like to think we demonstrated a good civil discourse on a very contentious issue that, from my standpoint, everyone seemed to be in agreement we should fix.

3338 Devil is in the details how to go about that, of course. 3339 But everyone came out in favor of the key elements of net 3340 neutrality. They at least spoke, which is encouraging from my 3341 standpoint, and folks seem to be interested in actually solving 3342 the problem -- big quotes on solving the problem -- going forward.

This thing has been floating around since the Bush administration. The rules of the road seem to be depending on which party occupies the central office, the presidency. I think, Chairman Powell, you mentioned in your testimony over the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3347 last 15 years six different FCC chairmen from both political 3348 parties have wrestled with this issue. Net neutrality has been 3349 at the courts four different times -- more coming up, from what 3350 you were saying.

3351 You know, I have to believe this leaves consumers, you know, 3352 virtually unprotected and businesses completely in the dark about 3353 what the rules of the road are and that is not good for anybody 3354 or everybody, at the end of the day.

Consumers and folks in the industry I think all agree we need the transparency, no blocking, no throttling, no paid prioritization except for health and public safety -- that came out here today -- and no discrimination. Thank you very much for the testimony that Ms. Gonzalez and Ms. Livier gave. I think that is very important.

3361 I am an Article I of the Constitution person at heart. My 3362 job is to legislate. Congress is supposed to be the legislative 3363 body. We have far too long abdicated, I think, our 3364 responsibilities to the executive branch and we end up -- put 3365 Mr. Wheeler and Mr. Powell in tough situations doing the best 3366 they can. They have done yeoman's work. I appreciate the work 3367 both of you have done.

3368 So I think what we have heard so far today is that Congress 3369 has failed, you know, to provide the FCC with clear legislative

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3370 and congressional direction and I, for one, like several others 3371 here have also said today favor that we go down that route.

The last Congress I supported Congress -- or excuse me, Chairman Doyle's resolution of disapproval of Chairman Pai's rule because it is pretty irresponsible for Chairman Pai to roll back the Wheeler order without putting in any other, you know, enforceable protections for consumers.

I would love to see our subcommittee work in a bipartisan manner, finally codify some rules with all your help and people out there and back in my home district to protect consumers and provide those clear rules of the road. I think there is an opportunity.

3382 And for my colleagues who are truly concerned following 3383 Chairman Pai's action about consumers not being protected right 3384 now, if we choose not to solve this problem in this Congress, 3385 then those consumers will continue to be at risk at least over 3386 the next two years and quite possibly into the distant future. 3387 So I think it is time to end the uncertainty for consumers 3388 and businesses, do our job, legislate net neutrality. 3389 And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 3390 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

3391 I recognize the gentleman from California, Mr. Cardenas,3392 five minutes.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 162 3393 Mr. Cardenas. Thank you -- thank you very much, Mr. 3394 Chairman, and thank you so much for having this hearing. 3395 I take it that everybody at this panel is for open and free 3396 internet. Is that true? 3397 Ms. Dixon. I am. 3398 Ms. Livier. Yes. 3399 Mr. Franell. Yes. 3400 Ms. Gonzalez. Yes. 3401 Mr. Powell. True. 3402 Mr. Wheeler. You bet. 3403 Mr. Cardenas. Mr. Chairman, what are we doing here? 3404 [Laughter.] It is not an issue. 3405 Mr. Cardenas. Problem solved. 3406 Some people would have believed that just allowing things 3407 to be the way they are is solving a problem. But I believe that 3408 doing nothing in today's space and watching the courts decide 3409 the fate of consumers, of smaller businesses, good actors like 3410 yourself, Mr. Franell. I am very impressed with your intent and 3411 your actions. Thank you so much. 3412 But not every actor on the playing field that we are talking about today has that kind of will and commitment to not do things 3413 3414 differently if in fact the lanes are not defined and that is the 3415 biggest problem that we have here.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3416 I think we have former incredible chairmen here. I have 3417 so much respect for both of you, former Chairman Powell and then 3418 former Chairman Wheeler.

Every time I talk with you I feel enlightened, and I am not joking. I really, really do. The ability for you to articulate the decades of knowledge that you have on something that even one of my colleagues actually said, I don't even understand what you just said.

3424 [Laught

[Laughter.]

Mr. Cardenas. That -- that is amazing, and thank you for your service. Thank you for your service when you were in the public sector as chairman of the commission and thank you for your service in the private sector continuing to try to wrap your head around how do we make a better world for everybody. So thank you so much.

And to all of you -- Ms. Gonzalez, for what you do and I believe that you are in the public sector in the sense that you work for a not for profit and you are just trying to make things better for the least among us, and I don't mean it in a derogatory way.

I am talking about the smallest of the smallest businesses, the mothers and fathers who -- they just want to make a life for their family better and this happens to be the space that they

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3439 are doing it in.

3440 And for those of you who are in the smaller space on the 3441 playing field, God bless you, because you can get squashed like 3442 a bug or run over in a moment's notice and most people wouldn't 3443 even know you are gone. So thank you for all that you do.

3444 But, Ms. Dixon, if you want to take the opportunity. I think 3445 that there was a question -- that my esteemed colleague from Texas, 3446 Mr. Flores, mentioned Firefox and I think that you may have wanted 3447 to comment but ran out of time.

3448 I did. I think that the notion that Firefox Ms. Dixon. 3449 and that Mozilla was created at a time when that neutrality rules 3450 weren't in play is just silly. We are starting back from the 3451 As much as I have a ton of respect for Chairman status quo. 3452 Wheeler, he didn't actually create that neutrality. That 3453 neutrality existed on the internet for years and years and years. 3454 What we had, we had principles under Chairman Powell's regime 3455 in the FCC. We also had merger agreements that had restrictions 3456 with respect to net neutrality. We had lots of protections in 3457

3458 So we were founded -- Mozilla and Firefox -- during an era 3459 when that neutrality was strong. It is now, today, for the first 3460 time that we actually don't have net neutrality rules that protect 3461 consumers.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

play and that is what the web was founded on.

(202) 234-4433

3462 Thank you.

Mr. Cardenas. Okay. And the thing is that when you talk about protections I call them lanes. I happen to have been a small business owner at one time in a regulated industry and some of my colleagues would get upset when more regulations would come along.

And I would look at those regulations and read them, and then I would realize that many of them actually helped us stay within our lane and actually helped us make sure that we stayed out of the legal system because we had lanes that we could follow. And when we followed them we could defend ourselves and say we did proper practice when somebody was trying to sue us or what have you and things of that nature.

3475 So lanes, to me, are very important and this is an arena 3476 where the lanes are basically muddled and right now the courts 3477 just might even make it even worse as far as less lanes for us 3478 to -- for everyone to follow by.

But also, Mr. Franell, again, my compliments to you. But at the same time, you mentioned something in your opening statement about the bad actors and kind of like, you know what, the bad actors they will get weeded out because they will lose business.

3484

But with all due respect, the smallest businesses in this

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3485 space can disappear almost overnight because of a bad actor that 3486 they had, you know, run into like a Mack truck. That is -- that 3487 is my concern -- that when we have lanes less of that, the smallest 3488 players on the field, disappear.

And I just want to thank Vanessa, if you don't mind -- I met your daughter -- if you don't mind me mentioning her name. People like Vanessa, this is the means of which she feeds her daughter, Alina, and I just got to tell you we have to make sure that what we do, Mr. Chairman -- and I'll yield back in just two seconds -- we have to think about everybody, not just the largest players on the playing field.

3496 Thank you, and I yield.

3497

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

3498 The committee would like to welcome Mrs. McMorris-Rodgers, 3499 who waived on today and you are welcome to speak for five minutes. 3500 Mrs. McMorris-Rodgers. Great. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 3501 Chairman. I appreciate the chance to join you all today on an issue that I believe should have been resolved probably many years 3502 ago in a bipartisan fashion. 3503

3504 Up until really 2015 there had been decades of bipartisan 3505 consensus on the principles of an open free internet -- principles 3506 that would ensure consumer protections without disrupting the 3507 free flow of information and innovation that has made it the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3508 cornerstone of our 21st century economy.

This debate isn't about the merits of an open free internet. I support an open free internet. I think we have large agreement on supporting an open free internet. Colleagues on both sides of the aisle have mentioned that.

This is really about how we as Americans want to shape the future of our economy. Do we want to regulate the internet as a 1930s style utility where we have more burdensome regulation and price controls that I fear will stifle innovation?

An internet that will leave many rural and under served communities behind, like in my district? Or do we want a 21st century internet that will juice our economy, create jobs, and allow us to be a leader in new cutting-edge technologies like AI or IoT, autonomous vehicles -- an economy that utilizes advances in technology to lift people out of poverty and provide them with more economic opportunities?

I think we all agree that we want the latter. That is why I am introducing the Promoting Free Internet Freedom and Innovation Act, and this bill is based upon Washington State law. It would codify the bright line rules of net neutrality, specifically, no blocking, no throttling, no paid prioritization. This is a solution that passed in my home state on a widely bipartisan basis, a bill that was signed by Democratic governor,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3531 supported by Democrats in the congressional delegation, and was 3532 praised by former FCC Commissioner Clyburn.

But, most importantly, it is a solution that does not institute changes to the internet that would stop innovation, stifle broadband deployment, and leave millions of Americans behind -- a solution that codifies the key principles on which both parties agree and have agreed for many years.

3538 The internet has revolutionized every single aspect of our 3539 lives. It has changed how we communicate. It has changed how 3540 we approach our own personal health or travel across town.

3541 It has improved the quality of life for millions of 3542 Americans. We all agree it is vital to our future and the 3543 opportunity that it provides for our economy and hardworking men 3544 and women in our 21st century is really endless.

I want to once and for all resolve what I believe is a manufactured political debate and provide certainty to the internet ecosystem so that we can make that opportunity a reality for every single American.

3549 So I would like to focus my questioning on the federal versus 3550 state debate. While I believe that the provisions of the 3551 Washington State law are reasonable and consistent with the 3552 principles both parties have been disusing at the federal level 3553 for years now, I do not believe that is wise to regulate by a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3554 state by state approach.

The internet is the key to interstate commerce. It does not end at our borders and a federal solution is the only way forward.

3558 Chairman Powell, can you briefly discuss why pursuing an 3559 open internet regulation at the state level can be harmful to 3560 innovation and consumers and why do we need the federal solution? 3561 Mr. Powell. I remember when the internet really rose there 3562 was an economist author named Frances Cairncross who said this was the death of distance. 3563 This was a network that knew no 3564 boundaries, respected no geographical limitations and, 3565 consequently, can't really responsibly be regulated in buckets 3566 and chunks.

We have understood those principles since the days of interstate commerce in trucking, in the environment, and all kinds of areas where you just don't have a ability to logically organize law around different state jurisdictions.

3571 I think there is no question that the internet is interstate 3572 in nature. It would be hazardous to regulate it in any other 3573 than a single comprehensive way.

3574 Mrs. McMorris-Rodgers. As a follow-up, do you believe the 3575 FCC currently has the authority to preempt attempts to regulate 3576 this issue at the state level?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3577 Mr. Powell. I do. That has been their position and I also 3578 believe that it would fall under conflict preemption, meaning 3579 the two regimes are not reconciled.

3580 Mrs. McMorris-Rodgers. And one final question -- do you 3581 believe that the Washington State law and this legislation are 3582 consistent with the four internet freedoms you described in 2004 3583 when you were chairman of the FCC?

Mr. Powell. My limited understanding of it is yes. I think there are some aspects of it be examined more carefully like specialized services. But I also would note it's a really productive piece of work and didn't include anything that looks like Title II.

3589 Mrs. McMorris-Rodgers. Thank you. Okay. I yield back.3590 Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

The chair is going to request unanimous consent to enter the following documents into the record: an article from Free Press, a letter from Consumer Reports, a letter from the American Library Association, a letter from Tech Freedom Coalition, an article from Motherboard, an article from Financial Times, and a 2010 letter to former FCC Chairman Genachowski.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3597 Without objection, so ordered.

[The information follows:]

3599

3598

3600

***********INSERT 15*********

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Mr. Doyle. I want to thank the witnesses for their participation in today's hearing. We genuinely appreciate you coming here and I want to remind members that pursuant to the committee rules they have 10 business days to submit additional questions for the record to be answered by the witnesses who have appeared.

3607 I would ask each witness to respond promptly to any such 3608 questions that you may receive.

3609 At this time, the subcommittee is adjourned. 3610 [Whereupon, at 2:20 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]