

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CONGRESS
Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115
Majority (202) 225-2927
Minority (202) 225-3641

August 9, 2018

The Honorable Michael O'Rielly
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner O'Rielly:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on Wednesday, July 25, 2018, to testify at the hearing entitled "Oversight of the Federal Communications Commission."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Thursday, August 23, 2018. Your responses should be mailed to Evan Viau, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed to Evan.Viau@mail.house.gov.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



Marsha Blackburn
Chairman
Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

cc: The Honorable Michael F. Doyle, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

Attachment

Attachment—Additional Questions for the Record

The Honorable Brett Guthrie

1. When it comes to describing the Commission's work within global fora such as the ITU or others, what role do you believe the Commission should play as an influential voice on spectrum policy and connectivity? This could be in relation to other U.S. agencies and foreign policy makers or relative to domestic and foreign stakeholders.

The Honorable Pete Olson

1. As you are well aware, many telecom companies are looking to rollout 5G as a fixed wireless broadband service, which will compete directly with DSL, Cable, Satellite and Fiber. Can you please elaborate on what the addition of "Wireless Fiber" to the broadband marketplace means for the increasingly competitive marketplace?

The Honorable Susan W. Brooks

1. We need a balanced approach to spectrum policy, one that takes into account both big and small providers, urban and rural. I am particularly concerned about On-Ramp Indiana, Inc. (along with their customers such as Beck's Hybrids and their farm server customers), a constituent of mine that has been deploying rural broadband using CBRS spectrum in my district. They need to have a fair shot to compete in this upcoming auction, and have asked for just a couple of small license areas. Would you commit to working to find a balanced approach so that rural broadband providers like On-Ramp Indiana, Inc. can compete in the upcoming auction?