

Honorable Greg Walden  
Chairman  
Committee on Energy and Commerce  
2125 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

Honorable Frank Pallone  
Ranking Member  
Committee on Energy and Commerce  
2125 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

July 12, 2017

Dear Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member,

Every 20 minutes another American man dies from prostate cancer. That is more than 75 deaths per day and over 26,700 per year, enough to fill a baseball stadium. The American Cancer Society estimates in its Cancer Facts & Figures 2017 report that 161,360 men will be told they have prostate cancer in 2017. Early detection is critical. The most recent research shows the five-year survival rate for all men with prostate cancer is nearly 100%.

The current method to diagnose prostate cancer is via needle biopsy of the prostate. Over 800,000 prostate biopsies are performed on men each year. However, despite the most rigorous protocols for obtaining and handling specimens, about 2.5% are subject to specimen provenance complications (SPCs), where a specimen from one patient is transposed with or contaminated by that of another patient.

This clearly poses an immense issue for the American public. Not only do patients receiving false- negatives lose the opportunity to treat their cancer at its earliest possible stage, but patients receiving false-positives – an estimated 1.3%, according to peer-reviewed literature -- are erroneously told they have prostate cancer when they do not. This results in extreme financial and emotional stress and unnecessary, expensive and invasive procedures, including radical prostatectomy and radiation therapy.

Misdiagnosis and this unnecessary medical care can be eliminated through the use of DNA Specimen Provenance Assay, or “DSPA.” This method of testing has evolved over the last several years, and it is recognized as the highest standard of care among prostate biopsy procedures. DSPA simply matches each patient’s unique genetic profile to that of the diagnostic tissue read by a pathologist or genetic counselor, in order to rule out the presence of undetected provenance complications prior to treatment. This ensures the proper patient is matched to his specimen.

Despite widespread adoption of DSPA as standard of care, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has adopted the position that this critical testing does not fall within a permitted Medicare benefit category, and is, therefore, not reimbursed by Medicare. This interpretation poses a tremendous threat to hundreds of thousands of Medicare beneficiaries. To deprive

Medicare beneficiaries of access to an important test which eliminates medical errors is contrary to the best interests of patients.

The undersigned organizations support H.R. 2557, The Prostate Cancer Misdiagnosis Elimination Act of 2017, which would make DSPA testing available to Medicare beneficiaries for prostate biopsies. We urge members of Congress to protect patients from the devastating impact of misdiagnosis that can result from SPCs by enacting this legislation that provides Medicare reimbursement for the simple and cost-saving DNA test that can eradicate tragic medical errors.

Sincerely,

Alliance for Aging Research  
American Association of Clinical Urologists  
American Urological Association  
Large Urology Group Practice Association  
Malecare Cancer Support  
Men's Health Network  
Prostate Conditions Education Council  
Prostate Health Education Network  
Us TOO International Prostate Cancer Education & Support  
The Veterans Health Council  
Vietnam Veterans of America and  
ZERO - The End of Prostate Cancer

CC: Honorable Larry Bucshon

Encl: Appendix.

## Appendix.

Bell, Christopher G., Daniel R. Wood, Sarah J. H. Cheong, Edward Kwan, Mike Sinosich, Warick Delprado, and Karl W. Baumgart. "Molecular Confirmation of Pathological Specimen Integrity in Australasia." *Pathology* 41.3 (2009): 280-83. Print.

Bissell, M.g. "Patient Misidentification in Laboratory Medicine: A Qualitative Analysis of 227 Root Cause Analysis Reports in the Veterans Health Administration." *Yearbook of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine* 2011 (2011): 245-46. Print.

Cao, Dengfeng, Mike Hafez, Karin Berg, Kathleen Murphy, and Jonathan I. Epstein. "Little or No Residual Prostate Cancer at Radical Prostatectomy: Vanishing Cancer or Switched Specimen?" *The American Journal of Surgical Pathology* 29.4 (2005): 467-73. Print.

Hunt, Jennifer L. "Identifying Cross Contaminants and Specimen Mix-ups in Surgical Pathology." *Advances in Anatomic Pathology* 15.4 (2008): 211-17. Print.

Layfield, L. J., and G. M. Anderson. "Specimen Labeling Errors in Surgical Pathology: An 18-Month Experience." *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 134.3 (2010): 466-70. Print.

Makary, Martin A., Jonathan Epstein, Peter J. Pronovost, E. Anne Millman, Emily C. Hartmann, and Julie A. Freischlag. "Surgical Specimen Identification Errors: A New Measure of Quality in Surgical Care." *Surgery* 141.4 (2007): 450-55. Print.

Marberger, M., J. D. Mcconnell, I. Fowler, G. L. Andriole, D. G. Bostwick, M. C. Somerville, and R. S. Rittmaster. "Biopsy Misidentification Identified by DNA Profiling in a Large Multicenter Trial." *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 29.13 (2011): 1744-749. Print.

Pfeifer, J. D., B. Zehnbauer, and J. Payton. "The Changing Spectrum of DNA-Based Specimen Provenance Testing in Surgical Pathology." *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 135.1 (2010): 132-38. Print.

Pfeifer, J. D., J. Liu, and Phd. "Rate of Occult Specimen Provenance Complications in Routine Clinical Practice." *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 139.1 (2012): 93-100. Web.

Pfeifer, John D., Michael N. Singleton, Martin H. Gregory, Dennis L. Lambert, and Steven M. Kymes. "Development of a Decision-Analytic Model for the Application of STR-Based Provenance Testing of Transrectal Prostate Biopsy Specimens." *Value in Health* 15.6 (2012): 860-67. Print.

Sehn, Jennifer K., David H. Spencer, John D. Pfeifer, Andrew J. Bredemeyer, Catherine E. Cottrell, Haley J. Abel, and Eric J. Duncavage. "Occult Specimen Contamination in Routine Clinical NGS

Testing." *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* (In Press)

Suba, Eric J., John D. Pfeifer, and Stephen S. Raab. "Patient Identification Error Among Prostate Needle Core Biopsy Specimens—Are We Ready for a DNA Time-Out?" *The Journal of Urology* 178.4 (2007): 1245-248. Print.

Wojno, Kirk, John Hornberger, Paul Schellhammer, Minghan Dai, and Travis Morgan. "The Clinical and Economic Implications of Specimen Provenance Complications in Diagnostic Prostate Biopsies." *The Journal of Urology* 193.4 (2015): 1170-177. Print.