

1 NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

2 RPTS MILLER

3 HIF138140

4  
5  
6 SUBCOMMITTEE VOTE ON H.R. 1222, H.R. 2410,  
7 AND H.R. 2430, FDA REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2017

8 THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2017

9 House of Representatives

10 Subcommittee on Health

11 Committee on Energy and Commerce

12 Washington, D.C.

13  
14  
15  
16 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in  
17 Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Michael Burgess  
18 [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

19 Members present: Representatives Burgess, Guthrie, Barton,  
20 Upton, Shimkus, Murphy, Blackburn, McMorris Rodgers, Lance,  
21 Griffith, Bilirakis, Long, Bucshon, Brooks, Mullin, Hudson,  
22 Collins, Carter, Walden(ex officio), Green, Schakowsky,  
23 Butterfield, Matsui, Castor, Sarbanes, Schrader, Kennedy,  
24 Cardenas, Eshoo, DeGette, and Pallone (ex officio).

25

26 Staff present: Grace Appelbe, Legislative Clerk,  
27 Energy/Environment; Mike Bloomquist, Deputy Staff Director;  
28 Elena Brennan, Legislative Clerk, Oversight and Investigations;  
29 Adam Buckalew, Professional Staff Member, Health; Karen  
30 Christian, General Counsel; Jordan Davis, Director of Policy and  
31 External Affairs; Paul Edattel, Chief Counsel, Health; Blair  
32 Ellis, Digital Coordinator/Press Secretary; Adam Fromm, Director  
33 of Outreach and Coalitions; Giulia Giannangeli, Legislative  
34 Clerk, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection/Communications  
35 and Technology; Jay Gulshen, Legislative Clerk, Health; Peter  
36 Kielty, Deputy General Counsel; Katie McKeough, Press Assistant;  
37 Alex Miller, Video Production Aide and Press Assistant; Mark  
38 Ratner, Policy Coordinator; Kristen Shatynski, Professional  
39 Staff Member, Health; Jennifer Sherman, Press Secretary; Danielle  
40 Steele, Policy Coordinator, Health; John Stone, Senior Counsel,  
41 Health; Evan Viau, Staff Assistant; Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor,  
42 External Affairs; Everett Winnick, Director of Information  
43 Technology; Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Elizabeth  
44 Ertel, Minority Office Manager; Waverly Gordon, Minority Health  
45 Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff Director and  
46 Chief Health Advisor; Dan Miller, Minority Policy Assistant;  
47 Olivia Pham, Minority Health Fellow; Tim Robinson, Minority Chief  
48 Counsel; Samantha Satchell, Minority Policy Analyst; Andrew  
49 Souvall, Minority Director of Communications, Outreach and Member

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

50 Services; Kimberlee Trzeciak, Minority Senior Health Policy  
51 Advisor; and C.J. Young, Minority Press Secretary.

52 Chairman Burgess. I will call the subcommittee to order.  
53 I recognize myself for 3 minutes for an opening statement. Today,  
54 we will mark up the Food and Drug Administration Reauthorization  
55 Act of 2017. This is an important milestone in the work to  
56 reauthorize the Food and Drug Administration user fee programs.  
57 The Food and Drug Administration began holding public meetings  
58 on these agreements in 2015 and Congress received the Food and  
59 Drug Administration and industry's proposed commitment letters  
60 in January of this year. This subcommittee has held four  
61 legislative hearings on the substance of this bill, as well as  
62 several of the amendments that we will consider today.

63 Today's markup is just the latest step in nearly 2 years by  
64 the biopharmaceutical and medical device industry, the Food and  
65 Drug Administration, and Congress. This bill is bipartisan.  
66 This bill is bicameral. It is a priority to complete this work  
67 and reauthorize the user fee programs in a timely manner.

68 In each of our hearings, we have heard about the tremendous  
69 success of the user fee programs in expanding access to affordable  
70 medications, supporting biomedical innovation, and maintaining  
71 high standards of the Food and Drug Administration for safety,  
72 efficacy, and quality. The Food and Drug Administration  
73 Reauthorization Act will build on these successes, as well as the  
74 achievements in the 21st Century Cures bill and ensure that the  
75 FDA has the resources necessary to get medical treatments and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

76 cures to patients and healthcare providers as quickly as possible.

77 I certainly want to thank Chairman Walden and Ranking Member  
78 Green, Ranking Member Pallone, and all of the members of this  
79 subcommittee for working in concert to improve the substance of  
80 this bill and certainly we all look forward to sending it for  
81 presidential signature in short order.

82 In addition to the Food and Drug Administration  
83 Reauthorization Act, we will also be considering two important  
84 public health bills. Representative Bilirakis has an amendment  
85 in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 1222. This bill will take  
86 several important steps to save and improve the lives of infants  
87 and adults affected by congenital heart disease.

88 And finally, I would like to speak in support of H.R. 2410,  
89 the Sickle Cell Disease Research, Surveillance, Prevention, and  
90 Treatment Act of 2017. This bill was introduced by  
91 Representative Davis and myself would further our commitment to  
92 helping those with sickle cell disease by increasing our  
93 commitment through research, surveillance, prevention, and  
94 treatment through federal collaboration with local and  
95 community-based entities. Having cared for patients with sickle  
96 cell disease as a physician at Parkland Hospital, I have seen first  
97 hand the devastating effects that this can have on people,  
98 patients, and their families. This bill provides an important  
99 step forward in ensuring that we have the resources to better

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

100 understand this disease and to maintain access to the services  
101 for those affected by sickle cell disease.

102 I would like to thank again all of the members of the  
103 subcommittee. I know we have all put in a tremendous amount of  
104 work on this product. I look forward to advancing it to the full  
105 committee. I yield back my time and recognize the ranking member  
106 of the subcommittee, Mr. Green of Texas, 3 minutes for an opening  
107 statement, please.

108 Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is the kind of  
109 markup we like. All three of our bills, of course, we have worked  
110 on FDA reauthorization much more. We had a number of hearings,  
111 but let me first talk about H.R. 1222, the Congenital Heart Futures  
112 Reauthorization Act. It was introduced by a colleague on our  
113 committee, Congressman Bilirakis and Congressman Schiff from  
114 California. It is really important for reauthorization and I am  
115 glad our subcommittee is doing these reauthorizations to make sure  
116 we have everything lined up so we can request funding for the  
117 programs through the appropriations process.

118 Our next bill is 2410, the Sickle Cell Disease Research  
119 Surveillance, Prevention, and Treatment Act, both by the chair  
120 of our Health Subcommittee, Congressman Burgess and Congressman  
121 Davis. Again, this is very important for the research and it  
122 authorizes a particular research program so we can get money from  
123 the appropriations process.

124           Now on the FDA reauthorization, we have a package of four  
125 user fee agreements that reauthorized key FDA capabilities to  
126 review and evaluate medical products on behalf of the American  
127 people. It is critical that these programs be reauthorized in  
128 a timely manner. Failure to do so will halt clinical trials,  
129 grind research to a halt and to put new therapy pipeline in  
130 jeopardy.

131           We have had hearings on the underlying agreement and they  
132 have what I would call a lovefest. Much progress has been made  
133 since the first user fee agreement was made in 1992. I am pleased  
134 that we are advancing these four negotiated products today.

135           One of the issues, the over-counter monograph reform in  
136 establishing a user fee program for OTCs is a critically-important  
137 issue and I hope to continue working with my colleagues in our  
138 committee to advance these critical issues.

139           We also are considering several amendments which are  
140 bipartisan in nature and will improve our nation's overall health.  
141 I look forward to learning more about these amendments from  
142 members today and moving forward. And I will yield back my time,  
143 Mr. Chairman.

144           Chairman Burgess. The chairman yields back. The chair  
145 thanks the gentleman. The chair yields to the gentleman from  
146 Michigan 2 minutes for an opening statement, please.

147           Mr. Upton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Those who know me know

148 that I have got a long record of supporting innovation when it  
149 comes to research and development of new drugs and devices. That  
150 is why I was proud to sponsor the 21st Century Cure Act with my  
151 colleague, Diana DeGette. This bill broke down the barriers for  
152 research and development, putting a greater focus on  
153 patient-centered care and gave billions of dollars in resources  
154 to the NIH. President Obama signed our bill into law in December  
155 last year. It marked a truly great victory for patients and  
156 researchers across the country.

157 Now that it is law, we have got to make sure that the FDA  
158 is able to handle new breakthrough treatments in a timely and  
159 predictable fashion, all while still maintaining the highest  
160 levels of patient safety. That is why these user fee agreements  
161 are so important.

162 My district in Michigan has literally thousands of jobs that  
163 are impacted by the legislation, whether it be on the drug side  
164 with Pfizer's plant in Portgage, Michigan or the device side at  
165 Stryker's headquarters and manufacturing facilities in  
166 Kalamazoo, or the generic side at Perrigo in Allegan. Passing  
167 this legislation is vital to these good paying local jobs and  
168 prevents the FDA from laying off literally 70 percent of the folks  
169 that they have working on approvals. It is important that we  
170 do this expeditiously. I yield the balance of my time to Dr.  
171 Murphy.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealgross.com](http://www.nealgross.com)



172 Mr. Murphy. I thank the gentleman from Michigan. I want  
173 to comment on -- I know we are going through this and I thank the  
174 chairman for moving these bills through committee. On one of them  
175 I want to comment. Mr. Costello of Pennsylvania will be offering  
176 an amendment when this goes to full committee on some of the issues  
177 involving medical devices with regard to third party persons who  
178 service them and making sure the FDA is working with them to  
179 certify them so that we end up with quality services throughout  
180 that and that this is something that we are fully aware of. So  
181 I do want members to know that that amendment will be coming forth  
182 and it will be a good one for us to review and support at that  
183 time. I yield back.

184 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back his time. The  
185 chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full committee,  
186 Mr. Pallone of New Jersey, 3 minutes for an opening statement,  
187 please.

188 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Today, we are  
189 considering three bipartisan bills that will reauthorize CDC's  
190 congenital heart disease programs, sickle cell disease prevention  
191 and treatment demonstration program, and FDA's medical product  
192 user fee program.

193 H.R. 2430, the Food and Drug Administration Reauthorization  
194 Act would reauthorize FDA's user fee programs in the areas of  
195 prescription and generic drugs, biosimilars, and medical devices.

196 This bill is the product of considerable discussion and  
197 negotiation between FDA, industry, and additional stakeholders  
198 and also incorporates the bipartisan, bicameral work of this  
199 committee and the Senate.

200 So with passage of the user fee reauthorization package will  
201 ensure that FDA layoffs will not occur and that the medical product  
202 review process will continue uninterrupted, ensuring patient  
203 access to the medical treatments that they need.

204 I am disappointed that the Trump administration is pushing  
205 at the last hour to reopen renegotiations on the user fee  
206 reauthorizations in order to withhold Federal Government support  
207 for the critical work that is at the heart of FDA's public health  
208 mission. The Trump administration should seriously reconsider  
209 any reopening of these negotiations. Instead, we should move  
210 forward with this bipartisan bill that will allow the FDA to meet  
211 its mission of ensuring the medical products that patients and  
212 American families use are safe and effective. And I hope that  
213 all of my colleagues will reject this proposal and continue the  
214 process to reauthorize the user fee programs as agreed to by the  
215 FDA and industry.

216 Mr. Chairman, I did want to raise, however, the issue of drug  
217 pricing in the time that I have left. Prescription drug prices  
218 are rising at an alarming rate and the problem is widespread.  
219 Annual drug spending in the United States is estimated to reach

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

220 more than \$500 billion by 2018 and in 2014, spending grew by 12  
221 percent, faster than any year since 2002. And this increase is  
222 having a real impact on American families with 1 out of 5  
223 Americans, age 19 to 64, unable to afford the cost of their  
224 prescriptions.

225 Throughout the country, and even from our president, there  
226 is bipartisan support for action to lower the cost of prescription  
227 drugs and make treatments more affordable for patients and their  
228 families. Yet, despite this commitment from the president, our  
229 committee has yet to take a serious look at what can be done to  
230 address the high costs of prescription drugs.

231 So I want to call on the president and my colleagues on the  
232 committee to work with us to have a serious policy discussion in  
233 how we can work together to find policies that will truly help  
234 to reduce drug prices. And I think that work should begin  
235 immediately. So I urge the chairman to hold a hearing on this  
236 issue and to begin a process where we can work together in a  
237 bipartisan manner as we are today, to learn more about what can  
238 be done to make prescription drugs affordable for patients and  
239 their families. I yield back.

240 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
241 gentleman yields back. The chair recognizes the gentleman from  
242 Illinois, Mr. Shimkus, 2 minutes for an opening statement. No.

243 Does anyone on the majority side seek time for an opening

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

244 statement? The gentleman from Florida, Mr. Bilirakis, is  
245 recognized for 2 minutes for an opening statement.

246 Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate it.  
247 Thank you for holding today's markup so we can take these positive  
248 steps forward to help patients. I am very glad that we are  
249 considering the Congenital Heart Futures Reauthorization Act, a  
250 bill I introduced to improve the lives of the nearly 40,000 babies  
251 born each year with congenital heart defects. The bill  
252 reauthorizes CDC surveillance program of congenital heart defects  
253 and ensures important NIH research continues.

254 I am also pleased the committee is moving a bill I  
255 co-sponsored, the Sickle Cell Disease Research, Surveillance,  
256 Prevention, and Treatment Act. Sickle cell disease is known for  
257 its prevalence in the African-American community, but it also  
258 impacts the Greek community and other Mediterranean communities.

259 While it is great news that the committee is moving the FDA  
260 user fee bill, this will reauthorize the user fee program and make  
261 reforms through the FDA to bring about greater efficiency.

262 I am also proud that the language I worked on with  
263 Representative Schrader to lower drug costs will be part of the  
264 reauthorized user fee program and I truly believe this  
265 reauthorization will improve the FDA.

266 However, I want to take a moment to talk about the OPEN Act,  
267 a bipartisan bill that I introduced with my colleague G.K.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

268 Butterfield. OPEN Act would provide an incentive for companies  
269 to get mainstream drugs approved for a rare disease. It has the  
270 support of over 150 rare disease groups and passed the House in  
271 a bipartisan fashion within the 21st Century Cures Act.

272 When 95 percent of rare diseases have no FDA approved  
273 treatments, we can't sit by and do nothing. I hope that as we  
274 move forward with FDA user fees, we can revisit this important  
275 legislation and try to help the 30 million Americans suffering  
276 from a rare disease.

277 I yield back, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

278 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
279 gentleman yields back. The chair recognizes the gentlelady from  
280 California for 2 minutes for an opening statement.

281 Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am pleased that our  
282 committee is working together in a bipartisan manner to  
283 reauthorize the user fee agreements that help to fund the FDA.  
284 The FDA ensures that drugs and devices in the U.S. are safe and  
285 effective and we cannot take that important role for granted.

286 However, I must say that FDA could approve all of the safe  
287 and effective treatments in the world, but it wouldn't matter if  
288 no one could afford them. If people don't have access to health  
289 insurance that covers necessary treatments like prescription  
290 drugs, chemotherapy, or pacemakers, then the existence of those  
291 treatments doesn't help them.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

292 I am extremely concerned by the bill that passed in the House.  
293 Instead of taking coverage and essential health benefits away and  
294 charging people with preexisting conditions more, we should build  
295 on the work that we did in the ACA to make coverage affordable  
296 by examining policies in this committee that would keep the cost  
297 of prescription drugs down. We need to ensure that we are  
298 encouraging innovation and development of new drugs and  
299 treatments, especially for diseases that we don't know enough  
300 about like those of the brain, like Alzheimer's and mental  
301 illness. But at the same time we need to ensure that when  
302 those drugs and treatments come out the other end, they are not  
303 prohibitively expensive. I am discouraged that our  
304 committee has yet to have a hearing to discuss this topic in  
305 earnest and bring in witnesses to help shed light on the  
306 complicated process that results in final drug prices. There are  
307 many ideas out there to fix the problems, but there is no single  
308 silver bullet. So we really need to dig in and work across the  
309 healthcare industry to find solutions so that patients are not  
310 stuck with the bill. Thank you and I yield back.

311 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
312 gentlelady yields back. The chair recognizes the chairman of the  
313 full committee, Mr. Walden, 3 minutes for an opening statement,  
314 please.

315 The Chairman. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, to my colleagues.

316 Today, we mark up three bills. Two are public health bills that  
317 received hearings last Congress and garnered strong bipartisan  
318 support. The other bill is the Food and Drug Administration  
319 Reauthorization Act of 2017 which I introduced earlier this week  
320 along with Ranking Member Pallone, Chairman Burgess, and Ranking  
321 Member Green. This legislation is really critically important  
322 for patients, drug and device manufacturers and the entire  
323 healthcare sector.

324 We have all read about medical innovations that once seemed  
325 like wishful thinking coming to fruition now. And at a recent  
326 hearing, the FDA told us that more advancements are on the horizon,  
327 but not without the legislation we will consider today.

328 Now that 21st Century Cures has become law, the FDA  
329 Reauthorization Act is more important than ever and we must  
330 continue to build on these successes and improvements for patients  
331 delivering hope for new treatments and cures. The FDA  
332 Reauthorization Act would reauthorize the Agency's critically  
333 important drug and medical device user fee programs making  
334 improvements to each of them based on lengthy deliberations  
335 involving the FDA, industry, patient groups, and other  
336 stakeholders. These agreements were submitted to Congress in  
337 January pursuant to a process laid out in statute and we have been  
338 working on a bipartisan, bicameral basis since then to translate  
339 these important agreements into legislative language which was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

340 first circulated several weeks ago.

341 Under the leadership of Dr. Burgess, the Health Subcommittee  
342 has held multiple hearings for members to better understand how  
343 the updated and improved user fee programs will provide FDA with  
344 the tools it needs to ensure that patients have timely access to  
345 safe and effective new drugs and devices including generics,  
346 biosimilars, and others which will increase competition and bring  
347 lower cost alternatives to the marketplace.

348 This subcommittee also examined additional medical device  
349 provisions some of which have been updated and are before us today  
350 as amendments. I fully support the agreements that are included  
351 in this legislation.

352 Along with Chairman Alexander, we remain committed to a  
353 timely reauthorization and let me be clear. If we do not have  
354 this bill to the president's desk in July, not only will thousands  
355 of FDA employees be seeking new employment, but also desperately  
356 needed treatments and cures will not reach patients. We cannot  
357 and we will not let that happen.

358 I do want to take a moment to thank my colleagues on both  
359 sides of the aisle for working on thoughtful ways to improve this  
360 legislation. I understand there will be several bipartisan  
361 amendments offered today and that there are a host of additional  
362 issues that will continue to be discussed and hopefully resolved  
363 by our full committee markup. I appreciate everyone's

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



364 commitment to better this important bill.

365 In addition to the FDA Reauthorization Act, we are also  
366 considering two public health bills that address two relatively  
367 common, but life-threatening diseases. H.R. 2410, the Sickle  
368 Cell Disease Research, Surveillance, Prevention, and Treatment  
369 Act of 2017 sponsored by Representative Danny Davis and Chairman  
370 Burgess, reauthorizes the Sickle Cell Disease Treatment  
371 Demonstration Program. Sickle Cell Disease is a red blood cell  
372 disorder that causes lifelong illness. It is the single most  
373 common inherited blood disorder in the United States and still  
374 has no cure. Through research, surveillance, prevention and  
375 treatment enhanced collaboration with community-based  
376 organizations, this bill will lead to better interventions and  
377 eventually a cure to this debilitating disease.

378 Finally, we are considering an amendment in the nature of  
379 a substitute to H.R. 1222, the Congenital Heart Failure  
380 Reauthorization Act of 2017 by Representative Bilirakis. By  
381 improving the CDC's Congenital Heart Disease surveillance system  
382 and enhancing biomedical research with respect to congenital  
383 heart disease, this legislation will help us better understand  
384 and improve long-term outcomes for children and adults with this  
385 condition.

386 So I look forward to advancing these important bills. I  
387 would like to thank the entire committee for your dedication into

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

388 identifying important ways to help our patients and I yield back.

389 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
390 gentleman yields back. The chair recognizes the gentlelady from  
391 Florida, Ms. Castor, for 2 minutes for an opening statement,  
392 please.

393 Ms. Castor. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. The  
394 bills on the agenda today are very positive, bipartisan steps,  
395 especially the reauthorization of the way we fund new drug  
396 development through user fees. It is very important that we get  
397 that done.

398 But I wanted to note that here we are halfway through the  
399 year already. This Health Subcommittee has had nine markups and  
400 hearings, but not one on tackling the skyrocketing cost of  
401 prescription drugs. And we know there is overwhelming bipartisan  
402 support from our neighbors back home, that their representatives  
403 here in Washington take action to lower prescription drug costs.  
404 In fact, some polls, if you go out and do a little research say  
405 that it is the number one issue for our neighbors back home for  
406 policy makers in the White House to act on. But you really don't  
407 need polls if you listen when you go back home. I am hearing it  
408 and I know my colleagues are as well.

409 Recent price hikes such as the overnight 5,500 percent  
410 increase in the cost of the lifesaving drug Daraprim or the 500  
411 percent increase in the cost of EpiPen, and the \$84,000 price tag

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

412 for the Hepatitis C drug Sovaldi have exposed the injustice in  
413 America's drug pricing system. Mr. Chairman, I note that the  
414 Senate Health Committee intends to hold a hearing. They said we  
415 will schedule a hearing in the near future on drug spending in  
416 the U.S. including what we currently spend on drugs, what types  
417 of drugs, and what the projections are for drug spending in the  
418 future.

419 This committee should not be derelict. We should take this  
420 on and we can tap the expertise from folks all across the country  
421 that understand it and begin to draft policy to address the issue  
422 and that is my hope and my recommendation to the committee. Thank  
423 you and I yield back my time.

424 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady yields back. The chair  
425 thanks the gentlelady. Does anyone else on the majority side seek  
426 recognition? Seeing none, Dr. Schrader, you are recognized for  
427 2 minutes for an opening statement, please.

428 Mr. Schrader. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I  
429 appreciate it. It has been clear that there are a number of things  
430 we have disagreed on so far in the committee. I think that it  
431 is nice here today and hopefully in the future to celebrate some  
432 of the bipartisan things we do agree on. This FDA user fee  
433 legislation that we have in front of us here is just such an  
434 opportunity.

435 Thanks to the bipartisan work, especially by our committee

436 staff and our personnel leg. staff, today we will approve the FDA  
437 Reauthorization Act which will ensure timely review of new drug  
438 and biologic applications. It will streamline medical device and  
439 biosimilar regulations, and it will speed up the review of the  
440 generic drug applications, all saving consumers money by ensuring  
441 a more smooth regulatory process.

442 I plan to offer a bipartisan amendment with my colleague,  
443 Gus Bilirakis, which will further enhance the generic drug program  
444 to spur additional competition in the marketplace, help bring  
445 prescription drug costs under control, where bad actors have  
446 jacked up these prices dramatically. I will speak more about  
447 my amendment when I offer it later, but I wanted to take time to  
448 thank my colleague, Mr. Bilirakis, Chairman Walden, Ranking  
449 Member Pallone, Chairman Burgess, Ranking Member Green for  
450 committing to a nice bipartisan process. It has created some  
451 genuinely very good policy and I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

452 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman and the  
453 gentleman does yield back. Does anyone on the majority side seek  
454 time for an opening statement? The chair recognizes the  
455 gentlelady from California for 2 minutes for an opening statement.

456 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning,  
457 colleagues. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this  
458 subcommittee markup. These are good, bipartisan bills that are  
459 before us today and I support them and I thank the authors for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

460 the work that they have done on them.

461 I think that the FDA user fee agreements are really  
462 critically important programs because they have provided  
463 essential resources to the Agency, (a), and (b), these resources  
464 have not only improved the approval processes for medical devices,  
465 biosimilars, prescription drugs, and generic drugs, but they have  
466 also moved along the time frames for approval which is something  
467 that has been a bipartisan priority for this committee and I think  
468 our full committee. So I think that it is essential that we pass  
469 this legislation. It is must pass and I am very happy that not  
470 only the negotiations moved forward, but that it is before us.

471 I want to thank my colleague, Representative Lance, who has  
472 worked with me on another issue. These user fees are 100 percent  
473 industry paid private sector dollars and wherever anyone is on  
474 sequestration, those dollars should not be held hostage and so  
475 our legislation exempts the user fees from sequestration and I  
476 think that that is very important. I hope that we can get rid  
477 of sequestration, but wherever that goes, these user fees should  
478 not be a part of it. So I thank Representative Lance for that.

479 I would also like to just raise one issue and that is the  
480 biosimilar user fee agreement. I am concerned and I know that  
481 we don't want to fool around with the language, but I do want to  
482 raise the flag that the issuance for revised or final guidance  
483 being pushed back until as late as early 2020 is really upsetting

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

484 to me to put it mildly. We have been at this since the ACA passed  
485 and it just keeps being dragged out, dragged out, dragged out.  
486 I think that we can do much better, but I just wanted to raise  
487 the flag on it, since I was the House author of that legislation.  
488 And I think full implementation is really important to move along  
489 the whole issue of biosimilars. So thank you, Mr. Chairman,  
490 and I yield back.

491 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady yields back. The chair  
492 thanks the gentlelady. Does any member on the majority side seek  
493 recognition? Seeing none, the chair recognizes the gentlelady  
494 from Colorado for 2 minutes for an opening statement.

495 Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for  
496 bringing up these three important bipartisan bills. I want to  
497 commend the committee for looking at the FDA Reauthorization Act  
498 because as Mr. Upton said, it builds directly on the 21st Century  
499 Cures bill that we worked in such a yeoman's way on this committee  
500 last Congress. And it is really exciting to start to see the hard  
501 work begin to come to life.

502 Some of the things that it builds on from Cures are  
503 patient-focused drug development, use of real world evidence and  
504 biomarker qualification. So I know this is going to be a really  
505 important endeavor.

506 I just want to mention one other issue that is a bipartisan  
507 issue that we are hoping to work on this spring and summer. Mr.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

508 Latta, Mr. Green, and myself have been collaborating for the last  
509 year on another bill that will deliver badly-needed reforms  
510 through the approval process for over-the-counter medicines.  
511 This bill would modernize how FDA reviews over-the-counter  
512 medicines, a process that has not been updated since the 1970s.  
513 The current system simply has not kept pace with science,  
514 innovation and growth in this over-the-counter market.

515 Most importantly, the bill takes common-sense steps that  
516 will help the FDA prevent and address safety issues rapidly and  
517 efficiently which will be a major benefit for virtually all of  
518 our constituents and their families. I wanted to raise this  
519 because I think it is really another great promise for improving  
520 America's health and I hope as we continue to work to reform FDA  
521 review of over-the-counter medicines, we can also talk about this  
522 bill. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

523 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady yields back. The chair  
524 thanks the gentlelady. The chair thanks the gentlelady from  
525 Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, 2 minutes for an opening statement,  
526 please.

527 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. There are  
528 several aspects of this legislation that I fully support. This  
529 bill takes important steps to increase the number of generics on  
530 the market. For example, it will allow the Food and Drug  
531 Administration to hire over a thousand new full-time employees

532 to review generic drug applications. This bill also will provide  
533 additional resources for the approval of biosimilars which have  
534 the potential of saving between \$44 and \$250 billion over 10 years  
535 compared to biologics. Currently, the FDA has only approved 4  
536 biosimilars, while the European Union has approved 20. So it is  
537 critical that we work to get more biosimilars on the market.

538 However, this bill falls short by doing nothing to truly  
539 reduce the price of prescription drugs. A recent poll found that  
540 six in ten Americans believe lowering the price of prescription  
541 drugs should be a "top priority" for Congress and President Trump.  
542 The president has even said he believes we need to lower drug  
543 prices and yet, here we are passing another bill that helps the  
544 pharmaceutical industry without a single reform to lower the price  
545 of drugs.

546 The drug pricing crisis cannot be attributed to a single bad  
547 actor, or a few block buster drugs. A recent study done by the  
548 AARP found that 97 percent of widely used brand name drugs had  
549 a price increase that exceeded inflation in 2015. And this crisis  
550 cannot be solved by simply bringing more generics to market. We  
551 need a comprehensive solution that increases transparency, lowers  
552 prices for patients, and public insurance programs and ensures  
553 that every American can have access to the drugs that they need  
554 at an affordable price. Thank you, and I yield back.

555 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady yields back. The chair

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



556 thanks the gentlelady. Seeing no other members seeking to give  
557 an opening statement, that concludes opening statements. The  
558 chair at this point would call up H.R. 1222 and ask the clerk to  
559 report.

560 [The Bill H.R. 1222 follows:]

561

562 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT 1\*\*\*\*\*

563           The Clerk. H.R. 1222, to amend the Public Health Service  
564 Act to coordinate federal congenital heart disease research  
565 efforts and to improve public education and awareness of  
566 congenital heart disease and for other purposes.

567           Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the first reading of  
568 the bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment  
569 at any point. So ordered. Are there any bipartisan amendments  
570 to the bill? Are there other amendments?

571           For what purpose does the gentleman from Florida seek  
572 recognition?

573           Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment in the  
574 nature of a substitute at the desk.

575 [The Amendment offered by Mr. Bilirakis follows:]

576

577 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT 2\*\*\*\*\*

578 Chairman Burgess. The clerk will report the amendment.  
579 The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R.  
580 1222 offered by Mr. Bilirakis of Florida.

581 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the reading of the  
582 amendment is dispensed with. The gentleman from Florida is  
583 recognized for 5 minutes in support of his amendment.

584 Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My amendment in  
585 the nature of a substitute makes minor technical changes based  
586 on feedback from HHS.

587 The Congenital Heart Futures Reauthorization Act would  
588 ensure a continued investment in surveillance research to assess  
589 the lifelong needs of individuals with congenital heart defects  
590 or CHD. These surveillance efforts will help improve our  
591 understanding of CHD across the life span from birth to adulthood.  
592 This research will help us learn more about demographic factors  
593 such as age, race, gender, or ethnicity.

594 In addition, the legislation emphasizes a need for continued  
595 biomedical research at the National Institutes of Health on the  
596 diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of CHD. NIH will further  
597 research into the causes of congenital heart defects including  
598 genetic causes and study long-term outcomes in individuals with  
599 CHD of all ages.

600 NIH may study data collected over a lifetime to identify  
601 effective treatments and outcomes and identify barriers to

602 lifelong care for individuals with congenital heart defects. I  
603 was proud to be one of the original authors of this bill when it  
604 first was introduced in 2009 with my colleague, Congressman Zack  
605 Space, a former member of this committee. I am proud to be able  
606 to champion this bipartisan reauthorization bill with my  
607 colleague, Congressman Adam Schiff.

608 This bill has the strong support of the Adult Congenital  
609 Heart Association, the Pediatric Congenital Heart Association,  
610 The American College of Cardiology, the American Society of  
611 Echocardiography, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, the American  
612 Heart Association, and the National Down Syndrome Society, and  
613 others as well.

614 CHD is the most common birth defect and the leading cause  
615 of birth defect related infant mortality. It is a true public  
616 health issue and as Late Night Show host Jimmy Kimmel noted just  
617 a few weeks ago, it does not discriminate by race, gender, or  
618 socio-economic status.

619 The road ahead may be scary and uncertain for any parent with  
620 a newborn who has CHD, but this bill helps give hope to those coping  
621 with the diagnosis. One in 100 babies are born with CHD and more  
622 than 5 percent will not live to see their first birthday. Even  
623 for those who receive successful intervention, it is not a cure.  
624 Children and adults born with CHD require on-going, costly,  
625 specialized cardiac care and face a lifelong risk of permanent

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

626 disability and premature death. As a result, healthcare  
627 utilization among the CHD population is significantly higher than  
628 the general population. It is estimated that compared to their  
629 peers, the medical costs for individuals with congenital heart  
630 defects are 10 to 20 times greater.

631 Hospitalization costs for pediatric patients alone total  
632 more than \$5.6 billion each year which is 15 percent of all  
633 hospitalization costs for patients 20 years of age and younger.  
634 Despite its prevalence and significance, there are still gaps in  
635 research and standards of care for CHD patients.

636 Previous congressional support of CDC's National Center on  
637 Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, has yielded an  
638 increased understanding of the public health burden of this  
639 condition. But for the sake of the estimated 40,000 babies who  
640 will be born in the next year with CHD, there is more work to be  
641 done.

642 I ask for the adoption of this amendment in the nature of  
643 a substitute and for the swift passage of this bill. I yield back,  
644 Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

645 Chairman Burgess. The chairman thanks the gentleman. The  
646 gentleman yields back. Other discussion on the amendment. For  
647 what purpose does the gentlelady from Washington State seek  
648 recognition.

649 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the

650 last word.

651 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady is recognized for 5  
652 minutes.

653 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want  
654 to thank Representative Bilirakis for his work and leadership on  
655 this legislation and I speak not just as a fellow colleague, but  
656 as a mom. As most of you know, my son or oldest, our son, Cole,  
657 was born with that extra 21st chromosome, Down Syndrome, and one  
658 of the things about Down Syndrome is that 50 percent of the kids  
659 that are diagnosed with Down Syndrome are also born with a hole  
660 in their heart, a congenital heart defect, and they immediately  
661 have to get surgery. And this legislation is really important  
662 and I am excited to support it to provide more research, more  
663 surveillance, and hopefully lead to better treatments and  
664 long-term outcomes for patients.

665 I can tell you because of the work that has been done, those  
666 with Down Syndrome are living longer than ever. You think about  
667 just -- it wasn't that long ago their life expectancy would be  
668 25 to 30 years and now it is 50, 60 years and it is because of  
669 this kind of an effort that we are seeing better outcomes and  
670 longer lives. Thank you. I yield back.

671 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
672 gentlelady yields back. Is there any other discussion of the  
673 amendment? Seeing none, the vote then occurs on the amendment.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

674 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

675 All those opposed nay.

676 The ayes have it and the amendment is agreed to.

677 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 1222 to the full  
678 committee.

679 All those in favor say aye.

680 All those opposed say no.

681 The ayes appear to have it. The ayes have it and the bill  
682 is agreed to.

683 The chair then calls up H.R. 2410 and asks the clerk to  
684 report.

685 [The Bill H.R. 2410 follows:]

686

687 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT 3\*\*\*\*\*

688           The Clerk. H.R. 2410, to amend the Public Health Service  
689 Act to reauthorize a sickle cell disease prevention and treatment  
690 demonstration program and to provide for sickle cell disease  
691 research, surveillance, prevention, and treatment.

692           Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the first reading of  
693 the bill is dispensed with and the bill be open to amendment at  
694 any point. So ordered. Are there any bipartisan amendments to  
695 the bill? Are there any amendments to the bill?

696           The chair will recognize himself to strike the last word to  
697 speak on the bill and I recognize myself for 5 minutes.

698           H.R. 2410, the Sickle Cell Disease Research, Surveillance,  
699 Prevention, and Treatment Act of 2017 has been introduced by  
700 Representative Davis of Illinois and myself. Sickle cell anemia  
701 is an inherited disease in which red blood cells are unable to  
702 properly carry oxygen throughout the body. The condition causes  
703 severe episodes of pain and fatigue and can lead to damage of the  
704 eyes and other organs. This important legislation would  
705 reauthorize the sickle cell disease treatment demonstration  
706 program and enhance the Secretary's ability to conduct  
707 surveillance on the epidemiology of sickle cell disease and  
708 implement public health initiatives, identify and evaluate sickle  
709 cell disease prevention and treatment strategies.

710           This bill will move us one step closer to improving the  
711 quality of care and symptom management for those affected and I



712 urge support and yield back the balance of my time.

713 Are there other members seeking recognition on H.R. 2410?

714 Seeing none the question then occurs on the Bill 2410.

715 All those in favor will say aye.

716 All those opposed, no.

717 The ayes appear to have it. The ayes have it and the bill  
718 is agreed to.

719 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 2410 to the full  
720 committee.

721 All those in favor say aye.

722 Those opposed no.

723 The ayes appear to have it. The ayes have it. And the bill  
724 is agreed to.

725 The chair calls up H.R. 2430 and asks the clerk to report.

726 [The Bill H.R. 2430 follows:]

727

728 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT 4\*\*\*\*\*

729           The Clerk. H.R. 2430, to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and  
730 Cosmetic Act to revise and extend the user fee programs for  
731 prescription drugs, medical devices, generic drugs, and  
732 biosimilar, biological products, and for other purposes.

733           Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the first reading of  
734 the bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for amendment  
735 at any point. So ordered.

736           Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill?

737           For what purpose does the gentleman from New Jersey seek  
738 recognition?

739           Mr. Pallone. Mr. Chairman, I would just like to strike the  
740 last word and speak in support of the bill.

741           Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
742 minutes.

743           Mr. Pallone. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, the package of user  
744 fee agreements before us today represents nearly 2 years of work  
745 between the FDA, industry, and other stakeholders. These  
746 agreements not only provide FDA with the resources to continue  
747 the Agency's critical public health work, but it also provides  
748 the medical product industry with certainty and stability in the  
749 review process.

750           The resources provided help the Agency to hire the necessary  
751 scientists, investigators, and review staff, as well as undertake  
752 new initiatives such as incorporated the patient perspective into

753 the medical product development and review process, supporting  
754 new tools to modernize clinical trials, and improving regulatory  
755 science.

756 Now these agreements certainly do not address every issue  
757 that I know members of this committee and other outside  
758 stakeholders would like. For example, I mentioned earlier about  
759 the drug pricing issue. The vast majority of Republican and  
760 Democratic voters all agree that an important healthcare priority  
761 for the new president and Congress is making prescription drugs  
762 affordable for those that need them and the Government needs to  
763 take action to lower drug prices.

764 However, it is critical that we move the FDA reauthorization  
765 swiftly, as we have heard that nearly 5,000 FDA employees would  
766 be in danger of being laid off if we don't reauthorize the user  
767 fee programs on time.

768 But I just wanted to touch briefly on some of the key elements  
769 of the user fee agreements before us. With regard to PDUFA, the  
770 first of the medical product user fee programs, it has been  
771 incredibly successful at bringing reviews of new drug  
772 applications down by more than half and providing patient access  
773 to treatments more quickly, often before any other country.  
774 PDUFA VI will maintain current review time tables and will also  
775 modernize the user fee structure. The agreement also commits to  
776 hiring an additional 230 employees and builds on the work of 21st

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

777 Century Cures by investing resources in the development of  
778 biomarkers, collection of real world evidence, and supporting  
779 innovative clinical trial designs.

780 Like PDUFA, MDUFA has been successful in bringing medical  
781 devices to patients sooner, bringing review times down overall,  
782 resulting in the approval of novel, new devices sooner. In fact,  
783 just last year, CDRH approved the highest number of novel devices  
784 in the history of the MDUFA program, approving 91 novel medical  
785 devices. MDUFA IV will build on these successes by advancing the  
786 use of the patient perspective and the risk benefit assessment  
787 of medical devices, establishing a system called the NEST to  
788 utilize real-world data for pre-market approval of new  
789 indications and post-market safety monitoring and improving  
790 pre-submission communications with sponsors. All of these  
791 actions will help to increase the consistency, efficiency, and  
792 effectiveness of medical device review.

793 We are also considering today the reauthorization of two of  
794 our newer user fee programs, the generic drug user fee program  
795 and the biosimilar user fee program. Both of these programs  
796 strive to expedite access to high quality, lower cost drugs for  
797 American families and the user fees were meant to help address  
798 the interest from sponsors and timely review of their  
799 applications.

800 Under GDUFA I, FDA worked to address the backlog of generic

801 applications and has committed moving forward in GDUFA II to  
802 meeting a 10-month review timetable for traditional applications.  
803 GDUFA II also works to help bring generics to market as soon as  
804 they are able through improving communications between FDA and  
805 sponsors throughout the review process and instituting early  
806 communications to aid sponsors in the creation of complex generic  
807 drug products. These steps will help to move FDA and sponsors  
808 closer towards first cycle approval.

809           And BSUFA II also builds on the lessons learned under BSUFA  
810 I, ensuring that there is sufficient resources and qualified staff  
811 to respond to the growing interest in biosimilar development,  
812 improving meeting opportunities in order to provide sponsors with  
813 meaningful feedback and instituting a similar review model to  
814 PDUFA which will allow for greater communications during the  
815 review process.

816           Now I just wanted to note, however, that I was disappointed  
817 to receive the letter this week from Secretary Price indicating  
818 that this administration would like to recalibrate the user fee  
819 agreements. The user fee agreements before us were carefully  
820 negotiated by FDA and industry and represent nearly 2 years of  
821 deliberations. There are very real repercussions associated  
822 with not passing the reauthorization of these user fee agreements  
823 on time. And the reviews of novel medical devices and drugs will  
824 come to a halt, thousands of employees will be laid off, and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

825 patient access to treatments and medical innovation will be  
826 threatened. Very real consequences are on the line. And so  
827 again, I urge my colleagues to reject this last-minute plea from  
828 the administration. This is a strong bipartisan user fee  
829 reauthorization and one that deserves our support. I look  
830 forward to continue our work on all of the user fee agreements  
831 to ensure they are signed into law as soon as possible.

832 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

833 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back. The chair  
834 thanks the gentleman. The chair recognizes himself for the  
835 purpose of striking the last word. I recognize myself for 5  
836 minutes.

837 As this committee knows, this subcommittee knows, this  
838 bipartisan bills updates and reauthorizes the Food and Drug  
839 Administration user fee programs for prescription drugs, for  
840 medical devices, for generic drugs and biosimilar, biological  
841 products. The Food and Drug Administration Reauthorization Act  
842 of 2017 will ensure that the FDA has the tools they need to deliver  
843 safe and effective products to patients more quickly. I think  
844 I agree with every member of the subcommittee today that it is  
845 important that we do our work and advance the bill out of  
846 subcommittee today.

847 I yield back the balance of my time and ask for any bipartisan  
848 amendments.

849 Mr. Shimkus. I would like to strike the last word.

850 Chairman Burgess. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
851 Illinois seek recognition?

852 Mr. Shimkus. I would like to strike the last word.

853 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
854 minutes.

855 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am very supportive  
856 of the whole package. This is probably a unique opportunity to  
857 do some add-ons as we have agreed to in the past and I think they  
858 have to be bipartisan and I think they have to pass that test of  
859 policy writers that will be accepted and move. So in that spirit  
860 I want to mention something that I hope we can get some buy in  
861 and work on, stuff that we have talked about in other Congresses  
862 on the antimicrobial or the "superbug" issue which is a climate  
863 that could occur and how do we get a response of antibiotic drugs  
864 and remedies to the market as soon as possible. It is something  
865 I have worked with Ranking Member Green on and I would hope that  
866 we could add this to the package in between the markup here and  
867 the markup to the full committee.

868 I know just last week, doctors at the University of Illinois  
869 at Chicago rang the alarm bells and said Illinois is Ground Zero  
870 for the "super bug" cases of the United States and global health  
871 experts are sounding the alarm. You can go through the stories.  
872 The whole issue is we need to be prepared and administer

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

873 antibiotics in a large amount as quickly as possible, so the drug  
874 companies are being asked to be prepared to prepare something that  
875 we hope we never have to use. That is kind of the business debate  
876 is that in this case, they have to be able to respond quickly and  
877 prepare something and have something on the shelf that we hope  
878 we never have to use. So in that vein, I would hope that we can,  
879 as in past Congresses, get a chance to work with colleagues like  
880 I have with Mr. Green before and add this to the package. I don't  
881 think it is controversial in the past. And in fact, FDA has been  
882 pretty support of this and Janet Woodcock in her testimony. So  
883 with that, I yield to my old friend from Texas.

884 Mr. Green. I thank my colleague for yielding and thank him  
885 for partnering with us over the years. "Super bugs" remain a  
886 major issue. Twenty-three thousand Americans die of infections  
887 from drug-resistant bacteria for which we have no cure. The  
888 pipeline is dry and the threat is grave. Last user fee  
889 reauthorization, we had the GAIN Act. In the 21st Century Cures  
890 we had an ADAPT to address some of the regulatory barriers to the  
891 antibiotic development. We need robust incentives to address  
892 this broken market. Absent new treatments, surgery, neonatal  
893 care, chemotherapy, and other medical innovations will be too  
894 dangerous to reform.

895 I want to thank my colleagues, Congressman Shimkus for his  
896 partnership and leadership on this and I hope the committee

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



897 maintains its commitment to addressing the antibiotic resistance  
898 crisis because it is a crisis in our country and I look forward  
899 to working with you, if not on this bill, on future legislation  
900 and thank you for the time. And I yield back.

901 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
902 gentleman yields back. Does anyone else seek to strike the last  
903 word?

904 Mr. Long. I do.

905 Chairman Burgess. For what purposes does the gentleman from  
906 Missouri seek recognition?

907 Mr. Long. Mr. Chairman, I would like to strike the last  
908 word.

909 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
910 minutes.

911 Mr. Long. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would simply like to  
912 make a few comments on a piece of legislation we discussed at the  
913 recent user fee legislative hearing that is absent from today's  
914 markup.

915 As you know, Representatives Costello and Peters have  
916 introduced H.R. 2118, the Medical Device Servicing, Safety, and  
917 Accountability Act. This bill would ensure consistency in  
918 regulation for proper servicing of medical devices. It is my  
919 understanding that the committee is continuing to work the bill  
920 with sponsors and stakeholders to improve upon the language.

921 H.R. 2118 is a practical solution that will protect patients  
922 who not only rely on the safety of the medical devices, but also  
923 on their effectiveness and reliability. I support its  
924 consideration and inclusion when the user fee package comes before  
925 the full committee in the near future. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.  
926 I yield back.

927 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back. The chair  
928 thanks the gentleman. The bill is open for amendment at any --  
929 I beg your pardon. For what purpose does the gentlelady from  
930 California seek recognition.

931 Ms. Eshoo. I wanted to move to strike the last word, Mr.  
932 Chairman.

933 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady is recognized for 5  
934 minutes.

935 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to express  
936 again my support for the registration of third-party servicers  
937 who make repairs to medical devices. Although it wasn't  
938 discussed today, I am supportive of these efforts to ensure  
939 consistency in regulation for proper servicing of medical  
940 devices. It is a very important area.

941 There is currently no oversight of service activities  
942 performed by third parties and no registration of those who  
943 service medical devices. Third-party servicers are currently  
944 not even required to register with the FDA, creating, I think,

945 an enormous blind spot in the very important medical device  
946 industry. So I think that this is a serious patient safety issue.  
947 There are many third-party servicers who operate safely and  
948 effectively as do the devices they service, but without  
949 regulation, patients are the ones who really stand to lose the  
950 most.

951 The medical device servicing industry has changed  
952 significantly since the issue of device servicing was last  
953 seriously considered by the FDA almost 20 years ago. So this has  
954 been -- this hasn't been examined for almost 2 decades. I think  
955 that the proposal that we have that is currently being finalized  
956 is going to bring transparency and consistency to FDA's oversight  
957 of third party medical device service companies without adding  
958 an undue burden to the companies.

959 So I think it is a common sense approach that will improve  
960 patient safety and proper maintenance of lifesaving medical  
961 technology and that the proposal, I think, is a practical  
962 solution. It is going to protect patients who not only rely on  
963 the safety of medical device technologies, but also very  
964 importantly their effectiveness and reliability.

965 So I look forward to discussing the proposal that both  
966 Representatives Costello and Peters will raise during our full  
967 committee markup, but I did want to make some comments on it today.  
968 And I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.

969 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
970 gentlelady yields back. Other members seeking recognition of  
971 bipartisan amendments? For what purpose does the gentleman from  
972 Massachusetts seek recognition?

973 Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an amendment  
974 at the desk.

975 [The Amendment offered by Mr. Kennedy follows:]

976

977 \*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 1\*\*\*\*\*

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993 Chairman Burgess. The clerk will report the amendment.

994 The Clerk. Amendment offered by Mr. Kennedy.

995 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the reading of the  
996 amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized for  
997 5 minutes in support of his amendment.

998 Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you  
999 and Ranking Member Green for holding this markup today and for  
1000 all of your work on the user fee agreement. Passing robust user  
1001 fee legislation must be a priority and I am pleased to see a  
1002 bipartisan draft before us today. I cannot understate the  
1003 importance of reliable FDA when it comes to many life sciences  
1004 businesses that call Massachusetts home and my district as well.

1005 I would also like to thank Representative Blackburn and her  
1006 staff for all of the work that they have done to help those  
1007 individuals with hearing loss to get easier access to affordable  
1008 and safe care.

1009 The amendment that I am offering this morning would create  
1010 a category of over-the-counter hearing aids at the FDA.  
1011 Currently, Medicare does not cover the cost of hearing aids which  
1012 can exceed \$2,000 per ear.

1013 Additionally, according to AARP, roughly 40 percent of the  
1014 over 60 population experiences hearing loss, yet only about 20  
1015 percent of those affected use a hearing aid. Affordability and  
1016 accessibility are some of the biggest barriers to getting hearing

1017 aids. That is why Congresswoman Blackburn and I introduced the  
1018 bipartisan legislation and why it already has support of  
1019 consumers, doctors, industry, and AARP.

1020 With innovation taking place in our districts and increased  
1021 competition among businesses, it can improve the quality of  
1022 hearing aids, protect patients, while simultaneously lowering  
1023 costs. According to the FDA, over-the-counter hearing aids will  
1024 provide "a more flexible approach to hear aid regulation which  
1025 has the potential to deliver new, innovative, and lower cost  
1026 products to millions of consumers, while ensuring proper  
1027 safeguards that will protect patients."

1028 With the FDA's assurance of safety and efficacy, with clear  
1029 labeling, and with the proper volume output limits, these devices  
1030 will be able to safely address hearing loss for millions of  
1031 Americans who simply forego care in the current market.

1032 As the process to reauthorize the user fee bill continues  
1033 in the coming days and weeks, I look forward to addressing any  
1034 outstanding concerns and to working with my colleagues on both  
1035 sides of the aisle to perfect the language. I urge everyone to  
1036 support this amendment and Mr. Chairman, I have a piece that I  
1037 would like to submit for the record of FDA technical assistance,  
1038 if I may, and I would yield my time to whoever would like it.

1039 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, so ordered. It will  
1040 be added to the record.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1041 Mr. Kennedy. I happily yield to Ms. Blackburn.

1042 Ms. Blackburn. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I am so  
1043 pleased to join Mr. Kennedy in this amendment. And in making this  
1044 something that is available for our constituents.

1045 I think it is important to note that under current regulations  
1046 dating back to the '70s, only 20 percent of Americans who could  
1047 benefit from hearing aids actually end up getting one. And as we  
1048 heard in the subcommittee hearing earlier this month, the primary  
1049 reason for the low rate of utilization and adoption includes the  
1050 high cost of hearing aids which is over \$4,000 per pair and it is  
1051 a stigma and then you have the cost and then difficulty accessing  
1052 it.

1053 Now Mr. Kennedy mentioned different people that have  
1054 supported making this change. You have PCAST, you have the  
1055 National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine have  
1056 recommended that the time has come for consumers to be able to  
1057 access hearing aid products over the counter for treatment of mild  
1058 and moderate hearing loss.

1059 The bill addresses each of the key reasons identified by  
1060 experts for the low utilization of hearing aids. And  
1061 over-the-counter hearing aid regulated as safe and effective by  
1062 the FDA would cost hundreds of dollars, not thousands of dollars.  
1063 By allowing those with mild and moderate hearing loss to directly  
1064 access and self-fit hearing aids, we will encourage many of those

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1065 who just wouldn't participate in today's hearing aid system to seek  
1066 and to get help.

1067 I am really grateful that we have so many audiologists who  
1068 support this bill, including the Academy of Doctors of Audiology  
1069 and as Mr. Kennedy mentioned, the list of supporters of this  
1070 legislation is growing. It includes the Consumer Technology  
1071 Association, the American Association of Retired Persons, among  
1072 many others, and I encourage our colleagues to support this  
1073 amendment and I yield back.

1074 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. Does  
1075 the gentleman yield back the balance of the time? The chair would  
1076 recognize himself for 5 minutes for the purpose of striking the  
1077 last word.

1078 I have observed that untreated hearing loss is not a benign  
1079 condition, even mild to moderate impairments in hearing can result  
1080 in impairments to the quality of life. FDA regulations have not  
1081 kept pace with the rapid advancements in hearing aid technologies,  
1082 so access to hearing aids has remained a significant barrier to  
1083 millions of Americans from whom they would benefit.

1084 This amendment before us today is based on H.R. 1652 authored  
1085 by Mr. Kennedy and Ms. Blackburn. By directing the Food and Drug  
1086 Administration to establish a category of over-the-counter  
1087 hearing aids, Americans with mild to moderate hearing loss will  
1088 benefit from life changing and in some cases, life saving hearing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1089 technologies at competitive prices. At a hearing of this  
1090 subcommittee several weeks ago, Dr. Jeffrey Shuren from the Food  
1091 and Drug Administration, Dr. Frank Lin, the Johns Hopkins ear,  
1092 nose, and throat physician, and a leading expert on hearing loss,  
1093 unequivocally agreed with the conclusions of the President's  
1094 Council of Advisors on Science and Technology and the National  
1095 Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine, an NIH-funded  
1096 peer reviewed, placebo control study that a category of OTC hearing  
1097 aids would be safe and effective for adults with mild to moderate  
1098 hearing loss.

1099 Dr. Shuren and Dr. Lin also testified that there is no  
1100 scientific, nor any medical basis, to justify medical screening  
1101 as a condition of purchasing an over-the-counter hearing aid since  
1102 the likelihood of detecting a serious, treatable condition is  
1103 minute, but the burden of such a requirement could be a significant  
1104 barrier to access for consumers.

1105 Furthermore, the legislation does require the Food and Drug  
1106 Administration to establish safe output limits and safety labeling  
1107 to protect children and those with other serious ear conditions.  
1108 I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this bipartisan,  
1109 bicameral effort to greatly improve the lives of Americans who are  
1110 hearing impaired. And I will yield back the balance of my time.  
1111 Do any other members seek recognition?

1112 For what purpose does the gentlelady from California seek

1113 recognition?

1114 Ms. Matsui. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.  
1115 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady is recognized for 5  
1116 minutes.

1117 Ms. Matsui. As with my colleague on the other side of the  
1118 aisle, Representative Guthrie of the Early Hearing Detection and  
1119 Intervention authorization bill to ensure babies are screened for  
1120 hearing loss, I am very interested in ensuring that infants and  
1121 children with hearing loss are given every opportunity to learn,  
1122 grow, and thrive. And on the other end of the spectrum, I am also  
1123 concerned about the impact of an availability of hearing aids for  
1124 older Americans. I know that barriers currently exist for seniors  
1125 to obtain hearing aids, including a sometime significant cost  
1126 barrier which Representatives Kennedy and Blackburn amendment  
1127 before us intends to address.

1128 I am hopeful that if the FDA moves forward to create an  
1129 over-the-counter market for hearing aids, we can all work together  
1130 to ensure there are no unintended negative consequences for  
1131 consumers. For example, we should require that the label on  
1132 over-the-counter hearing aids indicates that the product is not  
1133 meant for use in children. We should also ensure that there is  
1134 adequate surveillance, evaluation, and communication as the  
1135 over-the-counter market is created so that we have a feedback loop  
1136 to catch any problems.

1137 I do look forward to continue to work with my colleagues as  
1138 this bill advances. I believe this is really a wonderful step  
1139 forward. Thank you and I yield to anyone who wishes. I yield  
1140 back.

1141 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
1142 gentlelady yields back. Other discussion of the amendment? If  
1143 there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on the amendment.

1144 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

1145 All those opposed nay.

1146 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.

1147 Are there further bipartisan amendments to the bill?

1148 Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Chairman.

1149 Chairman Burgess. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
1150 Indiana seek recognition?

1151 Mr. Bucshon. I have an amendment at the desk.

1152 [The Amendment offered by Mr. Smith follows:]

1153

1154 \*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 2\*\*\*\*\*

1155 Chairman Burgess. The clerk will report the amendment.  
1156 Clerk will suspend. The chair failed to mention that the  
1157 amendment was agreed to and will be reported. Now we will proceed  
1158 with the reporting of the gentleman from Indiana's amendment.

1159 The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 2430 offered by Mr. Bucshon.

1160 Chairman Burgess. The reading of the amendment is dispensed  
1161 with. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes on his amendment.

1162 Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This amendment  
1163 contains the text of H.R. 1736 with FDA technical assistance  
1164 changes. It seeks to improve the quality and efficiency of the  
1165 inspection process for medical technology manufacturers by  
1166 applying a transparent and risk-based approach to the frequency  
1167 and nature of device establishment inspections, allowing FDA to  
1168 focus its resources where they are needed most and reducing the  
1169 regulatory burden on establishments with a strong history of  
1170 compliance.

1171 This amendment also improves the communications process  
1172 between the FDA and manufacturers to provide more consistency and  
1173 certainty for device establishments.

1174 I would like to thank Ms. Brooks, Mr. Butterfield, and Mr.  
1175 Peters for their leadership on this amendment. I urge my  
1176 colleagues to support this amendment and I look forward to moving  
1177 this legislation through the subcommittee, the committee, and to  
1178 the House floor and I yield back the balance of my time.

1179 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
1180 gentleman yields back. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
1181 North Carolina seek recognition?

1182 Mr. Butterfield. I move to strike the last word.

1183 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1184 minutes.

1185 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, I  
1186 am proud today to offer this amendment along with my colleague,  
1187 Mr. Bucshon. It is a common sense, bipartisan amendment that will  
1188 improve patient safety by ensuring that the FDA is making the best  
1189 use of its resources. And it will provide some much needed  
1190 consistency and transparency in routine inspections process.

1191 I have heard from many companies in my state and from other  
1192 states that there are vast discrepancies of inspections between  
1193 facilities across districts in the United States as well as between  
1194 facilities of the same company within the U.S. and outside of the  
1195 U.S. These discrepancies result in facilities being held to  
1196 different standards simply because of where they are located and  
1197 to Mr. Bucshon and myself and others, this makes no sense.

1198 Of course, we want FDA to conduct rigorous inspections  
1199 and this amendment does not change their authority to do that. But  
1200 we also want FDA to be consistent in their approach and the heart  
1201 of this amendment addresses those issues. This amendment, Mr.  
1202 Chairman, will provide some much-needed consistency and

1203 transparency into the routine inspections process by establishing  
1204 some rules of the road for the FDA inspectors, as they inspect  
1205 device facilities like regular communications between FDA  
1206 inspectors and the facility, both before, during, and after the  
1207 inspection.

1208 As I said before, nothing in this bill takes away or limits  
1209 FDA's ability to inspect. Instead, it directs FDA to focus its  
1210 inspection resources on the more significant risk to public health  
1211 and establishes these important process improvements that I just  
1212 mentioned.

1213 We have heard from the FDA at two hearings now that this is  
1214 a good policy and that they agree that this proposal puts forward  
1215 needed changes to complement what FDA is already doing in this  
1216 space. And so I am proud to work with my colleagues from both sides  
1217 of the aisle on this amendment. I urge my colleagues to join with  
1218 me in voting for it.

1219 Mr. Chairman, I thank you. I yield back the balance of my  
1220 time.

1221 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
1222 gentleman yields back. The chair recognizes himself for purposes  
1223 of striking the last word.

1224 Mrs. Brooks. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

1225 Chairman Burgess. For what purpose does the gentlelady from  
1226 Indiana seek recognition?

1227 Mrs. Brooks. Move to strike the last word.

1228 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady is recognized for 5  
1229 minutes.

1230 Mrs. Brooks. Mr. Chairman, I, too, would like to voice my  
1231 support for the amendment offered by my colleague from Indiana,  
1232 Dr. Bucshon. Consistency is the word of the day with this bill  
1233 and this amendment is a good-faith effort by Congress, the FDA,  
1234 and the medical device industry to bring much-needed consistency  
1235 of the inspections process.

1236 Why is a standardized inspections process important? Let me  
1237 give you an example. Following an inspection, companies must  
1238 respond to the FDA within 15 days with a full remediation plan.  
1239 However, the FDA is under no obligation to respond to this plan.  
1240 Therefore, companies are left in the dark, sometimes until after  
1241 the next inspection. They don't know whether or not the changes  
1242 they are making meet the FDA standards and won't until their next  
1243 inspection.

1244 This amendment is about making sure that the FDA responds in  
1245 a timely way to companies' remediation plans so companies can move  
1246 forward with their fixes. This amendment ensures the FDA  
1247 inspectors and companies have clear parameters for communications  
1248 before, during, and after inspections and provides much needed  
1249 guidance for both parties involved.

1250 I would like to thank my colleagues, Dr. Bucshon, Congressman

1251 Peters, Congressman Butterfield, and the Energy and Commerce staff  
1252 for their hard work. I urge my colleagues to support this  
1253 amendment and I yield back.

1254 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
1255 gentlelady yields back. Further discussion on the amendment? If  
1256 there is no further discussion, the vote will occur on the  
1257 amendment.

1258 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

1259 Those opposed nay.

1260 The ayes have it and the amendment is agreed to.

1261 Are there further amendments on the bill? The chair will  
1262 recognize himself for the purpose of offering an amendment. I  
1263 have an amendment at the desk.

1264 [The Amendment offered by Mr. Burgess follows:]

1265

1266 \*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 3\*\*\*\*\*



1267 The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 2430 offered by Mr. Burgess.  
1268 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the reading of the  
1269 amendment is dispensed with and I will recognize myself for 5  
1270 minutes.

1271 This amendment is identical to a bill introduced by  
1272 Representatives Lance, Dingell, Green, and myself, H.R. 2376, the  
1273 Drug Diversion and Counterfeit Crackdown Act of 2017. This bill  
1274 is narrowly tailored to close certain gaps and inconsistencies in  
1275 existing law that are intended to keep counterfeit and diverted  
1276 drugs out of our nation's healthcare system.

1277 Under current law, the penalties for illegally diverting  
1278 drugs into the United States that were manufactured abroad and  
1279 intended for foreign markets are significantly less than if the  
1280 drugs were initially manufactured in the United States. Further,  
1281 the penalties for counterfeiting are much lower than for  
1282 diversion. There is no public health or patient safety rationale  
1283 for these arbitrary distinctions.

1284 The Drug Diversion and Counterfeit Crackdown Act of 2017  
1285 would make two minor changes to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic  
1286 Act. First, it would provide the same penalties for diverting  
1287 drugs made outside the United States and intended for a foreign  
1288 market as the penalties that currently exist are diverting drugs  
1289 made inside the United States and intended for a foreign market.

1290 Second, it would also increase the penalties for

1291 counterfeiting to match the current penalties for diversion.  
1292 Absent a penalty structure, the law threatens our drug supply  
1293 chain, creating potential harm to public health by failing to  
1294 appropriately penalize the sale or distribution of counterfeit and  
1295 diverted drugs. This amendment will make minor additions to the  
1296 statute to close these loopholes protecting consumers.

1297 And I would like to yield to the ranking member of the  
1298 subcommittee, Mr. Green, for his comments.

1299 Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for yielding to me.  
1300 This amendment strengthens the drug supply chain security by  
1301 aligning the penalties for counterfeit and diverted drugs. It  
1302 simply clarifies that prescription drugs manufactured and labeled  
1303 for non-U.S. markets shall not be diverted into the U.S. unless  
1304 legally imported by the individuals or in a shortage situation and  
1305 increases the penalties for counterfeit drugs.

1306 Patient safety is tantamount and this amendment is a step  
1307 towards better protection. This committee took huge strides when  
1308 we enacted the track and trace legislation. Our amendment builds  
1309 on this success to further protect and strengthen our drug supply  
1310 chain security. And thank you for yielding to me. I yield back.

1311 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
1312 chair yields back. For what purpose does the gentleman from New  
1313 Jersey seek recognition?

1314 Mr. Lance. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the

1315 last word.

1316 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1317 minutes.

1318 Mr. Lance. I am proud to join you, Chairman Burgess and Mr.  
1319 Green, in support of this amendment that will crack down on  
1320 counterfeit drugs that enter the United States. Too many  
1321 American patients are given counterfeit and adulterated drugs  
1322 disguised as reputable brands and this amendment will increase the  
1323 penalties for counterfeiters. Counterfeit drugs are coming into  
1324 the United States and Americans are falling victims to knockoffs  
1325 that have infiltrated the U.S. supply chain. These counterfeit  
1326 drugs may contain harmful ingredients and incorrect or expired  
1327 active ingredients. Criminals take the risk knowing that the  
1328 punishment is a minor offense in our criminal code. That needs  
1329 to change. We need to strengthen the system and protect patients.

1330 To reach the market, a new drug must proceed through the  
1331 vigorous vetting process at the FDA. Once approved, these  
1332 therapies are then marketed in the United States. Counterfeiters  
1333 mimic these drugs with medications often manufactured in Third  
1334 World countries, well outside the scrutiny of the FDA and involving  
1335 a host of ingredients that are harmful.

1336 The Centers for Disease Control estimates that up to 30  
1337 percent of all drugs in developing countries are counterfeits.  
1338 Our legislative work on this will close loopholes in the law,

1339 stiffen penalties for counterfeiters, and discourage this market  
1340 from growing.

1341 On a brief, unrelated note, Mr. Chairman, my thanks to you  
1342 for the work the committee has done and the outreach that  
1343 Representatives Costello and Peters have done to me and my office  
1344 related to their third party servicing bill. I hope that this is  
1345 an issue the committee will continue to include in the final user  
1346 fee package.

1347 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your support of this important  
1348 amendment that will protect the safety of the American people and  
1349 to Mr. Green as well, and I yield back the balance of my time.

1350 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back. The chair  
1351 thanks the gentleman. Other discussion? For what purpose does  
1352 the gentleman from California seek recognition?

1353 Mr. Cardenas. Request to strike the last word.

1354 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1355 minutes.

1356 Mr. Cardenas. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I think  
1357 it is important for everybody, for our constituents to understand  
1358 how serious this matter is. This matter and I would like to thank  
1359 the authors for this measure, is in the tens of billions of dollars  
1360 a year. This is not some haphazard once in a while issue. This  
1361 affects Americans of every age and unfortunately, what happens in  
1362 certain communities where to save a couple of dollars, they end

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1363 up going to a market where they end up getting something that on  
1364 the surface looks like it is what they need and they think they  
1365 are getting what they need for their health. In reality, what they  
1366 are getting is something that could, in fact, harm them or even  
1367 kill them.

1368 So the magnitude of this issue is tremendous and I think that  
1369 we need to continue with this measure and any measures to make sure  
1370 that we close this horrendous act that actually in the end does,  
1371 in fact, take people's lives. So I would like to thank the  
1372 authors.

1373 Ms. DeGette. Will the gentleman yield?

1374 Mr. Cardenas. Yes, I will yield.

1375 Ms. DeGette. I just want to underscore that. Some years  
1376 ago, we had a series of hearings in the Oversight and Investigation  
1377 Subcommittee about the tremendous pressures that counterfeit  
1378 drugs are putting on our entry sites into the U.S. and how when  
1379 people are ordering these drugs, they have no idea. It is not just  
1380 counterfeit drugs, but it is also the way they are handled in  
1381 transit and so many other issues. We really do need to work very  
1382 closely to get a grip on this. I think this amendment is a good  
1383 first step. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

1384 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back. The chair  
1385 thanks the gentleman. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
1386 Kentucky seek recognition?

1387 Mr. Guthrie. I move to strike the last word.

1388 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1389 minutes.

1390 Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to  
1391 strike the last word to speak about my bill, H.R. 2026, the  
1392 Pharmaceutical Information and Exchange Act of 2017.

1393 Earlier this year, the FDA released a draft guidance to enable  
1394 greater post-approval communication of healthcare economic  
1395 information between medical and product manufacturers and help  
1396 decision makers such as health plans and integrated delivery  
1397 networks.

1398 The FDA guidance was 20 years in the making and this committee  
1399 passed the law in 1997 to create a safe harbor for this  
1400 communication, but FDA never released guidance of industry on how  
1401 the agency would interpret the law. FDA's issuance of a draft  
1402 guidance in January is a welcome step in the right direction, but  
1403 it leaves several issues unresolved that warrant targeted  
1404 clarifications in the statute.

1405 My bill would enable greater information exchange in order  
1406 to guide health plans, pharmacy benefit managers, and others who  
1407 develop prescription drug formularies and help them make  
1408 well-informed decisions about the benefits and costs of  
1409 medications for the populations they cover.

1410 Patients benefit when these formulary decisions are informed

1411 by the most recent and reliable scientific evidence on drugs,  
1412 beyond just what was learned from the clinical trials conducted  
1413 for FDA approval.

1414 Our committee has addressed post-approval information  
1415 exchange. We should take the next logical step by addressing what  
1416 information can and should be exchanged pre-approval by  
1417 considering H.R. 2026. That draft FDA guidance from January also  
1418 includes a helpful first step towards creating a safe harbor for  
1419 pre-approval communications in the sharing of information between  
1420 manufacturers and payers. However, the draft guidance remains  
1421 non-binding. If our experience with post-approval  
1422 communications taught us anything, it is that we need both a law  
1423 to establish the principle and guidance to interpret and clarify  
1424 the details. Without a legislative safe harbor, corporations are  
1425 going to avoid this area to ensure they don't violate the current  
1426 prohibitions against pre-approval promotion of medical products.

1427 Pre-approval information exchange is important to  
1428 manufacturers, payers, and integrated healthcare delivery  
1429 networks because it will increase a utilization of value-based  
1430 pharmaceutical payment models. It will also allow payers to  
1431 forecast and budget more accurately for their pharmaceutical spend  
1432 instead of being surprised by mid-year breakthrough drugs like the  
1433 recent advances in Hepatitis C treatment.

1434 I hope my colleagues will take a look at my bill, H.R. 2026,

1435 the Pharmaceutical Information Exchange Act of 2017 and I invite  
1436 anyone who is interested in sitting down and working through  
1437 outstanding questions or concerns they might have before the full  
1438 committee markup.

1439 I would also like to submit for the record a letter dated April  
1440 19th that was submitted to the FDA in response to their draft  
1441 guidance document. The letter supports the approach taken at H.R.  
1442 2026. The letter was signed by a wide variety of organizations  
1443 including health systems, payers, PBMs, and pharmaceutical  
1444 manufacturers. I have the letter to submit.

1445 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, so ordered.

1446 Mr. Guthrie. And I would like to yield to the chairman of  
1447 the full committee, Mr. Walden.

1448 The Chairman. I thank the gentleman from Kentucky for his  
1449 work on H.R. 2026, the Pharmaceutical Information Exchange Act.  
1450 Last year in 21st Century Cures Act, our committee took important  
1451 strides to ensure that better information sharing between the  
1452 innovators who discovered new treatments and the payers that  
1453 provider access to patients. We think this is important.

1454 However, more work is needed to modernize the FDA regulations  
1455 that needlessly restrict and hamper the sharing of clinical and  
1456 health economic information. Decisions that payers make  
1457 regarding coverage and formulary placement are critical in  
1458 ensuring the right patient is getting the right drug for the right

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1459 value. These decision makers have stated that waiting for FDA  
1460 approval needlessly delays and blocks access to important clinical  
1461 and economic data to inform their judgment.

1462 As noted by the Academy of Managed Care Pharmacy, and I quote,  
1463 "Access to this information is needed 12 to 18 months before FDA  
1464 approval when organizations are deciding on terms of coverage and  
1465 budgetary assumptions for state health insurance rates filings,  
1466 Medicare and Medicaid bids and contracts with healthcare  
1467 purchasers and other financial arrangements."

1468 Federal law and regulations are not allowing this important  
1469 exchange of information to occur. There is simply no good reason  
1470 we should continue the status quo.

1471 Your bill, Mr. Guthrie, is a good step toward addressing this  
1472 glaring problem. I believe it is something we need to move forward  
1473 on. A broad array of managed care plans helps systems, biopharma  
1474 innovators, economists and academia. Backing your effort is a  
1475 strong indication that you put forward a good idea whose time has  
1476 come. Our laws must be updated to ensure the right patient is  
1477 getting the right treatment for the right value. I yield back.

1478 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman yields back. The chair  
1479 thanks the gentleman. Are there other members seeking discussion  
1480 of the amendment? For what purposes does the gentleman from  
1481 Virginia seek recognition?

1482 Mr. Griffith. Mr. Chairman, strike the last word.

1483 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1484 minutes.

1485 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Chairman Burgess. This is a good  
1486 amendment, but I would like to take this time to discuss a  
1487 collateral issue that you know well, both in your previous life  
1488 as a practicing physician and in your current role as a legislator.  
1489 The long overdue need for Congress to clarify how medical product  
1490 manufacturers can responsibly engage in a meaningful dialogue  
1491 about data and information that is not included in their product  
1492 labeling.

1493 When FDA approves a drug or device, it is authorizing the  
1494 manufacturer to market the product for certain uses or in specific  
1495 manners that are included in the label. While manufacturers  
1496 cannot promote or advertise their product for off-label uses,  
1497 doctors prescribe and administer drugs and devices based on their  
1498 medical expertise and information they have gathered from a  
1499 variety of sources that are not limited to the FDA-approved  
1500 labeling. Oftentimes the information contained in the labeling  
1501 is vastly different than the accepted uses of the product in  
1502 clinical practice. We have heard time and time again that a large  
1503 percentage of cancer, rare disease and pediatric patients receive  
1504 off-label treatments as the standard of care. In fact, estimates  
1505 suggest that around 40 percent of overall prescribing decisions  
1506 are off label.

1507 Product manufacturers often have data and scientific  
1508 findings that would inform physicians as they are determining the  
1509 best course of treatment for their patients. However, not only  
1510 has the FDA strictly prohibited companies from proactively  
1511 disseminating such information with the threat of criminal  
1512 penalties and multi-billion dollar fines attached, the agency has  
1513 recently made the case that the companies' mere knowledge that one  
1514 of their products is being used off label could constitute evidence  
1515 to be used against them in a court of law.

1516 Starting around 2011, the legal landscape began to  
1517 dramatically shift. There have been a number of court decisions  
1518 that raise significant first amendment questions about the FDA's  
1519 authority to restrict a drug or device manufacturer from  
1520 communicating truthful and non-misleading off-label information  
1521 about their products.

1522 Regardless of what one may think with the outcomes of these  
1523 decisions, the bottom line is that the judiciary branch has become  
1524 the de facto policy makers due to our inaction. I would argue that  
1525 federal judges and their clerks have a less nuanced understanding  
1526 and appreciation for the FDA approval process than does this  
1527 committee.

1528 Congress needs to step up to the plate and responsibly set  
1529 the rules of the road before it is too late which is why I introduced  
1530 H.R. 1703, the Medical Product Communications Act. This is not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1531 a bill about television ads or snake oil salesmen. This is a  
1532 good-faith attempt to ensure that companies who often have the most  
1533 accurate and up-to-date information about their products can  
1534 provide doctors and researchers with that information and in the  
1535 appropriate context to improve patient care and facilitate  
1536 additional research.

1537 I have letters here from over a dozen rare disease patient  
1538 advocacy groups as well as the Healthcare Leadership Council  
1539 expressing strong support for H.R. 1703. I would like to insert  
1540 those into the record.

1541 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, so ordered.

1542 Mr. Griffith. I also have a letter that sent to the FDA by  
1543 the Arthritis Foundation, the Cancer Support Community, the  
1544 Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, the Lupus Foundation of America,  
1545 the Musella Foundation for Brain Tumor Research, the National  
1546 Alliance on Mental Illness, the National Organization for Rare  
1547 Diseases and the Oncology Nursing Society. This letter states:  
1548 "The current restrictions on communications of off-label  
1549 information may be intended to protect patient safety, but in  
1550 certain cases it limits the ability of many patients to learn  
1551 about, understand, and access vital treatments and therapies.  
1552 There must be more flexibility and opportunities to proactively  
1553 share clinical and research findings from diverse sources beyond  
1554 the label." I agree.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1555           Again, I believe H.R. 1703 responsibly clarifies some key  
1556 terms and concepts of the statute, interpretations and  
1557 applications which have stifled constitutionally-protected and  
1558 medically-valuable information from being shared. I am open to  
1559 any and all suggestions from my colleagues on both sides of the  
1560 aisle about how we can improve this legislation, however, doing  
1561 nothing is no longer an option.

1562           And Mr. Chairman, I would then yield to you.

1563           Chairman Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Griffith. I feel you are  
1564 correct. I have been following this issue closely for some time.  
1565 In the past, I have offered solutions that some might say go a bit  
1566 farther than H.R. 1703. This is a very thoughtful approach and  
1567 I certainly thank you for your leadership on there. Restricting  
1568 accurate and up-to-date information from reaching healthcare  
1569 providers is not only constitutionally suspect, but it is bad  
1570 public health policy and I would like to yield to the chairman of  
1571 the full committee, Mr. Walden.

1572           The Chairman. I thank the gentleman and I would like to  
1573 second Dr. Burgess' appreciation. This is something the  
1574 committee should clarify legislatively. I am open to any  
1575 constructive feedback from all members to improve this bill and  
1576 find bipartisan consensus. Simply put, federal law and  
1577 regulation is not kept up with how medicine is being practiced  
1578 today and the court should not be the ones deciding these matters

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1579 for us. And so thank you for your work and I yield back.

1580 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
1581 gentleman yields back. Is there further discussion of the  
1582 amendment? If there is no further discussion --

1583 Mr. Mullin. I don't know if I'm supposed to strike the last  
1584 word now or next.

1585 Chairman Burgess. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
1586 Oklahoma seek recognition?

1587 Mr. Mullin. I would like to move to strike the last word,  
1588 please.

1589 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1590 minutes.

1591 Mr. Mullin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to talk a  
1592 little bit about a bill that is near and dear to my heart. It is  
1593 called the RACE for Children Act. An Oklahoma family very  
1594 recently lost their two-year-old son, Kai McAlpin. Earlier this  
1595 year, Kai died of pediatric cancer. His family, his parents who  
1596 I have got to know very well referred to Kai as Kai Warrior.

1597 Clinical trial research for children with cancer lags behind  
1598 the adult cancer research for many years, so even though there are  
1599 breakthroughs in cancer research and treatment for adult cancer,  
1600 children like Kai won't reap any of those benefits.

1601 The RACE for Children Act would address the lack of access  
1602 pediatric cancer research has in novel and promising clinical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1603 trials that they have been proven to show in adults. I would like  
1604 to thank my colleagues, Chairman McCaul and Chairman Butterfield  
1605 for introducing the RACE for Children Act. I look forward to  
1606 working with my colleagues on this committee and I want to continue  
1607 to work to pass a RACE for Children Act.

1608           Hopefully, life saving cancer treatments can be made  
1609 available to these children. I would like to yield some time to  
1610 Chairman Butterfield and then I will take the time back.

1611           Mr. Butterfield. Thank you to my friend, Representative  
1612 Mullin, and thank you for promoting me to chairman. I am going  
1613 to decline that --

1614           Mr. Mullin. I am sorry about that. I was just reading what  
1615 was on my paper.

1616           Mr. Butterfield. But thank you for your advocacy on this  
1617 issue, Mr. Mullin. It is very appropriate. Five years ago, Mr.  
1618 Chairman, as part of the last FDA user fee agreement, I put forward  
1619 the Creating Hope Act, pediatric priority review voucher bill, to  
1620 address the scarcity of drug development for children with  
1621 life-threatening illnesses.

1622           And so I am proud to say that Congress passed the Creating  
1623 Hope Act in 2012 as part of the last PDUFA agreement. I am also  
1624 proud to report that last year as part of the 21st Century Cures  
1625 Act, Congress reauthorized the pediatric PRV program. The PRV  
1626 program has transformed the development of drugs expressly for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1627 children by creating almost \$1 billion in voucher sales.

1628           However, besides drugs developed expressly for children,  
1629 there are also many, many, many drugs developed for adults that  
1630 could benefit children. In fact, there are almost 900 drugs, Mr.  
1631 Chairman, in the adult cancer pipeline. However, only a handful  
1632 are in development for children. with cancer. This is an  
1633 opportunity. This science, if the science is available to find  
1634 better cures for adults, why can't we also apply these cures for  
1635 children?

1636           In fact, there is a law, the Pediatric Research Equity Act  
1637 that requires companies developing adult drugs to also undertake  
1638 studies of their drugs in children. Since Congress passed the  
1639 bill in 2003, it has been very valuable. It has been a valuable  
1640 program and has resulted in pediatric studies of 456 drugs.  
1641 However, drugs for cancer, the number one disease killer of  
1642 children are excused from PREA, pediatric studies because of two  
1643 loopholes.

1644           It is imperative that this committee and the House act to pass  
1645 my bill that I introduced with Chairman Mike McCaul called the  
1646 Research to Accelerate Cures and Equity for Children Act, the RACE  
1647 for Children Act, to close these loopholes and ensure that the  
1648 protection of the Pediatric Research Equity Act are extended to  
1649 children with cancer.

1650           I am sorry that we cannot adopt the RACE for Children Act

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1651 today, but there is more work to be done in developing specific  
1652 and widely supported language for this critical act. And so I want  
1653 you, Mr. Burgess, and Mr. Pallone, if you will so kindly, that we  
1654 work with our Senate colleagues and the committee staff and  
1655 advocates in this regard.

1656 To that end, I ask you for a commitment, sir, to work with  
1657 me, to work with Mr. Mullin and the bill's sponsors as this process  
1658 moves forward so we can deliver results sooner rather than later  
1659 for vulnerable populations of the benefit from life-saving  
1660 treatments. I now yield the remainder of my time to my respected  
1661 chairman, Mr. Burgess.

1662 Chairman Burgess. And the chair thanks you for yielding and  
1663 thanks Congressman Mullin and you for your work with  
1664 Representative McCaul on this important initiative.

1665 This subcommittee has a long and rich history of commitment  
1666 to incentivizing and speeding medical innovation and both Chairman  
1667 Walden and I are dedicated to working with you on this legislation  
1668 between now and the full committee markup.

1669 There is no cause more worthy than increasing the number of  
1670 safe and effective treatments available to children battling  
1671 cancer and I assure you we are dedicated to advancing that policy  
1672 and will do so. I yield back to Mr. Mullin who I suspect is  
1673 yielding back the balance of the time.

1674 Mr. Mullin. I will yield back my time.

1675 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman for  
1676 yielding back the balance of the time. The gentlelady is  
1677 recognized for 5 minutes.

1678 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the issue  
1679 that we are talking about right now and I appreciate the good words  
1680 that my friend that just spoke offered about both the Best  
1681 Pharmaceuticals for Children Act and the Pediatric Research Equity  
1682 Act, both the BPCA and PREA.

1683 I am proud to be the author of both of those bills and I am  
1684 especially proud they were bipartisan, of course. I am especially  
1685 proud of how successful the programs have been in treating children  
1686 resulting in new dosing information, new indications of use, new  
1687 safety information, and new data on effectiveness.

1688 These programs really recognize that children are not just  
1689 small adults. They have unique medical needs and drugs react  
1690 differently in their very small bodies. Before both of these  
1691 pieces of legislation became law, the vast majority of drugs, more  
1692 than 80 percent used in children, were used off label without data  
1693 for their safety and efficacy. Today, that number has been  
1694 reduced to 50 percent. So we are making progress and I am pleased  
1695 that both of these programs were permanently reauthorized through  
1696 the last user fee agreements in 2012.

1697 There is always room to make improvements in anything that  
1698 we have done. And I stand to work with those that are working to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1699 improve it. I think that as we move forward, we want to make sure  
1700 that the FDA reauthorization moves through very smoothly and I also  
1701 understand that conversations about reforms are ongoing and were  
1702 not ready for this subcommittee's markup, but I think the user fee  
1703 agreements present an opportunity.

1704 So to Mr. Butterfield and to others, I stand ready to work  
1705 with you and I want to encourage all of the stakeholders to do what  
1706 is best to improve the quality and the quantity of life saving  
1707 pharmaceutical therapies that are available to children and my  
1708 commitment is there. And I think both of the laws speak for  
1709 themselves in terms of having accomplished that.

1710 So I look forward to working with members of the committee,  
1711 the staff, certainly the Senate, on this issue and I yield back.

1712 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady yields back. The chair  
1713 thanks the gentlelady. Is there further discussion of the  
1714 amendment? If there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on  
1715 the amendment.

1716 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

1717 All those opposed nay.

1718 The ayes have it and the amendment is agreed to.

1719 Are there further amendments to the bill? For what purpose  
1720 does the gentleman from Oregon seek recognition?

1721 Mr. Schrader. I have an amendment at the desk, Mr. Chairman.

1722 [The Amendment offered by Mr. Schrader follows:]

1723

1724

\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 4\*\*\*\*\*

1725 Chairman Burgess. The clerk will report the amendment.

1726 The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 2430 offered by Mr. Schrader  
1727 of Oregon.

1728 Chairman Burgess. Without objection, the reading of the  
1729 amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized for  
1730 5 minutes in support of the amendment.

1731 Mr. Schrader. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Last  
1732 year, a constituent of mine named Susan contacted my office in  
1733 dismay. Syprine, a drug she took for a rare disease, had risen  
1734 in price from \$600 a month to \$22,000 a month, over a very short  
1735 period of time. The drug wasn't innovative. It wasn't new. In  
1736 fact, it was off patent. It had first been approved by the FDA  
1737 in 1985.

1738 So what changed? It wasn't the drug's formulation, the cost  
1739 of ingredients, or even a shortage of supply. The only thing that  
1740 changed was Valeant, the manufacturer of this prescription drug,  
1741 decided to raise the price, raise it again, and again and again,  
1742 before long leaving Susan in her own words hopeless. There was  
1743 no generic competitor for this drug and she couldn't continue to  
1744 afford that life-saving medication.

1745 Unfortunately, this is not the first time we have heard a  
1746 story like this. We all heard about Martin Shkreli at Turing who  
1747 raised the price of Daraprim, another critical life-saving drug  
1748 for those with a rare disease, over 5,000 percent overnight,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1749 overnight. Again, no generic competition for this drug. Nothing  
1750 to force the price to come down.

1751 We have all known for a time now this is an area that Congress  
1752 need to act to ensure these abuses would not continue. I decided  
1753 last year to work across the aisle with my good friend, Gus  
1754 Bilirakis, to combat this problem and work to encourage generic  
1755 competition where there isn't any in the market.

1756 We know that when generic drugs compete in the market, drug  
1757 prices come down dramatically. Although nine out of ten  
1758 prescriptions are for generic medications, generic drugs make up  
1759 only 28 percent of the total cost of prescription drug spending.

1760 Unfortunately though some drugs for small patient  
1761 populations may not attract the same interest from generic drug  
1762 manufacturers due to market and regulatory uncertainty. This  
1763 amendment takes many steps to encourage competition and lower  
1764 prices here today.

1765 First, the amendment requires greater communication between  
1766 the FDA and manufacturers for these competitive generic products  
1767 before and during the application process. We have seen great  
1768 strides for faster drug approvals in the brand drug breakthrough  
1769 process. And this is modeled after that.

1770 The base bill also puts the application on the accelerated  
1771 review process time line, bringing it to market quicker and cutting  
1772 into any anticipated exorbitant profit margins, unscrupulous

1773 actors plan to reap, and discouraging bad actors' behavior in the  
1774 first place.

1775           The amendment also creates an incentive for this select set  
1776 of particular generic drugs to come to market by guaranteeing them  
1777 the same 6 months of exclusivity that the vast majority of first  
1778 generic drugs currently receive. Under current law, generic  
1779 drugs challenging a patented drug, they get this treatment. This  
1780 would extend that treatment for new generic drugs competing with  
1781 off-patent brand drugs where there is no competition.

1782           The amendment also closes a loophole and improves program  
1783 integrity in the tropical disease priority review voucher program  
1784 more consistent with legislative intent, ensures greater  
1785 transparency at the FDA, and studies what we can do about getting  
1786 more first-cycle approvals in the generic drug review program.

1787           There is no doubt there is a lot more we can do to reduce drug  
1788 prices going forward and we have heard that here today. This  
1789 amendment takes great steps to work quickly bringing more generic  
1790 competition to the market which can bring prices down  
1791 dramatically.

1792           Again, I would like to thank my good friend, Mr. Bilirakis,  
1793 and the committee leadership for their work on this amendment and  
1794 I urge my colleagues to support it. With that, I yield back, Mr.  
1795 Chairman.

1796           Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The

1797 gentleman yields back. For what purpose does the gentleman from  
1798 Florida seek recognition?

1799 Mr. Bilirakis. I ask to strike the last word, Mr. Chairman.  
1800 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1801 minutes.

1802 Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it. I appreciate the committee  
1803 taking up this amendment based on the bipartisan Lower Drug Cost  
1804 Through Competition Act which my good friend from Oregon and I  
1805 introduced in the last Congress and again in January and I  
1806 appreciate you offering this amendment, Congressman Schrader,  
1807 this morning.

1808 This amendment is a targeted approach to fixing some of the  
1809 problems on the generic side at FDA and then with the issue of high  
1810 prescription drug prices. We are dealing with the issue, Mr.  
1811 Chairman.

1812 I know many of my constituents and folks around the country  
1813 are deeply concerned about being able to afford the medicine they  
1814 rely on. This amendment would directly address situations like  
1815 Turing Pharmaceuticals, hiking the price of an HIV drug from \$13.50  
1816 to \$750 over night. That is unacceptable or when Mylan raised the  
1817 cost of the EpiPen by more than 400 percent. Too often, bad actors  
1818 like these in the market place take advantage of monopolies,  
1819 skyrocketing the price of life-saving medication simply because  
1820 there is little to no competition. We are going to fix that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1821           The amendment creates the new competitive generic therapies  
1822 program. This will provide drug sponsors better feedback before  
1823 submitting an application and helps address the one major problem  
1824 in FDA which is the nine percent first cycle review.

1825           Think about that. Only nine percent of generic drug  
1826 applications are approved on the first submission. If it takes  
1827 three tries to get approved, 5 whole years could have gone by.  
1828 That is 5 years of patients not getting a lower cost generic drug.

1829           The amendment also creates an exclusivity incentive for drug  
1830 companies to develop a generic drug where there are no generic  
1831 drugs available. This will help encourage competition and drive  
1832 down costs. There are no shortages of potential for increased  
1833 competition, Mr. Chairman. Americans continue to feel the  
1834 pressure of rising drug costs and we are addressing that issue with  
1835 this amendment.

1836           We need to take thoughtful action to solve this issue  
1837 affecting so many millions. I know everyone agrees with that.  
1838 Leveraging the power of the free market and incentivizing  
1839 competition among drug makers will drive down costs.

1840           I am glad that the committee will take this amendment up and  
1841 I look forward to its adoption. I yield back. Thank you.

1842           Chairman Burgess. Will the gentleman yield?

1843           Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, I will. Absolutely.

1844           Chairman Burgess. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1845 will just say generic drugs are an American success story and have  
1846 saved probably a trillion and a half dollars for American consumers  
1847 over the last 10 years.

1848 I want to thank Representatives Schrader and Bilirakis for  
1849 their leadership, for working so hard to advance this legislation,  
1850 and I urge my colleagues to support. I yield back to the gentleman  
1851 from Florida who then yields back the balance of time. The chair  
1852 thanks the gentleman. Further discussion on the amendment?

1853 Mr. Green. Will the gentleman yield?

1854 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman would be happy to yield.

1855 Mr. Green. Because I don't want my own 5 minutes on this.

1856 I want to thank both Congressman Bilirakis and Congressman  
1857 Schrader for working with us on the bill and I think it is a success  
1858 and just appreciate that this is how we are supposed to do  
1859 legislation and I yield back. Thank you.

1860 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman from Florida yields back.  
1861 The chair thanks the gentleman. Further discussion on the  
1862 amendment? For what purpose does the gentleman from California  
1863 seek recognition?

1864 Mr. Cardenas. Seek recognition to strike the last word.

1865 Chairman Burgess. The gentleman is recognized for 5  
1866 minutes.

1867 Mr. Cardenas. I would like to thank my colleagues Schrader  
1868 and Bilirakis for working on this issue. It is incredibly

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1869 important. And at the same time I would like to thank the chairman  
1870 and say how much I appreciate the bipartisan work that is going  
1871 on in this amendment and the bill that we are hearing today. But  
1872 in addition to that, I would like to bring up something that  
1873 hopefully will be taken up soon.

1874 And I want to first thank the outreach that Representatives  
1875 Peters and Costello have done to provide me and my office with  
1876 informing us on the issue of the third party servicing bill which  
1877 is H.R. 2118, the Medical Devices Servicing and Accountability  
1878 Act, which takes modest steps to ensure that the FDA has some  
1879 insight into the servicing work on sensitive medical imaging  
1880 equipment, like MRIs, CTs, and radiation therapy equipment done  
1881 by third-party servicers.

1882 As the FDA works to address third-party servicing, it is  
1883 imperative that all parties servicing medical devices are at a  
1884 minimum registered with the FDA. The Costello-Peters bill is a  
1885 practical solution that will protect patients who not only rely  
1886 on the safety of medical devices, but also on their effectiveness  
1887 and their reliability.

1888 I look forward to continuing to work with Congress members  
1889 Costello and Peters and the committee as conversations continue  
1890 so that this important issue can be added to the package at the  
1891 full committee markup.

1892 And once again, thank you, Mr. Chairman for the opportunity

1893 for us to take up these bills today.

1894 Mr. Sarbanes. Will the gentleman yield?

1895 Mr. Cardenas. Sure.

1896 Mr. Sarbanes. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I just  
1897 want to be efficient here with the use of time. I want to also  
1898 thank the authors of the amendment, Messrs Schrader and Bilirakis.  
1899 Obviously, this is one of a number of things that we can do to try  
1900 to address drug pricing in the United States. There are many  
1901 things that I think we would like to have discussed in a full  
1902 hearing on the issue.

1903 If you look at the polls out there for many Americans, the  
1904 number one concern they have is the high price of prescription  
1905 drugs, drugs generally, and I think they are looking for solutions.  
1906 There is concern about price gauging. I think that is a fair area  
1907 of inquiry for our committee and we ought to look into that. They  
1908 are concerned about overall transparency when it comes to drug  
1909 pricing in the industry. There is a lot of different players out  
1910 there.

1911 It is hard sometimes to kind of follow the ball on drug  
1912 pricing. We need to have some rigorous inquiry into that, so we  
1913 can translate the concerns that we are hearing when we are in our  
1914 districts.

1915 Many of us have pushed for a long time to give Medicare program  
1916 the authority to negotiate on drug pricing with the pharmaceutical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1917 industry. We are barred from doing that. That means in the  
1918 so-called free market, a capitalist society in which we operate,  
1919 the 40 million Medicare beneficiaries are not allowed to go into  
1920 the marketplace and get the best price by negotiating directly with  
1921 the pharmaceutical industry. That needs discussion as well.

1922 So there are a lot of different things we can do to address  
1923 the concern Americans have about high drug prices and this  
1924 amendment is one of those, but it invites us to think about all  
1925 the other areas that we could be exploring that could help everyday  
1926 Americans with the cost of something that for many of them is life  
1927 saving. It is the difference between having a decent quality of  
1928 life and feeling under a tremendous pressure and burden.

1929 So I hope our committee will find its way on a bipartisan basis  
1930 we can arrive at the kind of inquiry into this that the public  
1931 deserves. And with that, I will yield back.

1932 Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Sarbanes. On that note with  
1933 the few seconds I have with my time, I would like to thank you for  
1934 bringing that up. We heard a lot about drug pricing and perhaps  
1935 that is one of the top issues that every American has on their mind,  
1936 not only during the 2016 election cycle, but on their minds every  
1937 single day, whether they have a child that they are caring for or  
1938 a senior in their family that can't afford to keep up with the  
1939 pricing of drugs that we have in America.

1940 So hopefully, Mr. Chairman, we can have a robust hearing on

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1941 that issue in and of itself sooner than later and I would venture  
1942 to predict that there is probably not a member on this dais on both  
1943 sides of the aisle that wouldn't welcome that opportunity. So  
1944 with that, hopefully, we can have that hearing soon. I yield back.

1945 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentleman. The  
1946 gentleman yields back. Further discussion on the amendment?  
1947 What purpose does the gentlelady from Illinois seek recognition?

1948 Ms. Schakowsky. I move to strike the last word.

1949 Chairman Burgess. The gentlelady is recognized for 5  
1950 minutes.

1951 Ms. Schakowsky. I want to take this opportunity to expand  
1952 on the comments I made in my opening statement and also to follow  
1953 up on some of the things that my colleagues have said.

1954 It really is truly astonishing that the American public  
1955 continues to call for action to lower drug prices and yet this  
1956 committee has not held a single hearing on drug prices. We didn't  
1957 hold a hearing when Mylan raised the price of EpiPen by 460 percent.  
1958 That hearing happened in the House Oversight and Government Reform  
1959 Committee.

1960 We didn't hold a hearing when Martin Shkreli raised the price  
1961 of a life-saving drug that had been on the market for decades by  
1962 5,000 percent. That hearing happened in the Senate Committee on  
1963 Aging.

1964 Now Chairman Alexander has agreed to hold a hearing on drug

1965 prices in the Senate Health Committee and the Republicans on this  
1966 committee refuse to do the same.

1967 In addition to the 6 in 10 Americans who believe lowering drug  
1968 prices should be a top priority for Congress, 77 percent of  
1969 Americans believe the price of drugs is unreasonable. And nearly  
1970 25 percent of Americans have skipped a dose of their medications  
1971 due to the cost.

1972 This is one of the biggest healthcare crises in our country  
1973 and yet, this committee, House Republicans are unwilling to even  
1974 have a conversation about how to solve this crisis. And let me  
1975 remind my Republican colleagues that what people are facing every  
1976 day when they try to fill a prescription. Over the last 15 years,  
1977 the price of insulin has increased more than 200 percent. The  
1978 price of Evzio which helps to prevent a person from dying when they  
1979 overdose on an opioid, increased from \$690 to \$4,500. From 2011  
1980 to 2016, the price of Humira increased 126 percent and now a single  
1981 pen injector of the drug, a single pen injector of the drug is  
1982 nearly \$4,500.

1983 Most concerning, price increases account for 100 percent of  
1984 the pharmaceutical industry's \$8.7 billion growth in earnings in  
1985 2016. Democrats have put forth several ideas on how to reform our  
1986 drug pricing system and yet Republicans refuse to even hold a  
1987 hearing on any of them. We should be looking for ways to make the  
1988 pharmaceutical industry more transparent, especially in terms of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1989 how drug companies price their drugs when the drug comes to market,  
1990 and why the price of drugs already on the market continue to rise.

1991 We should be looking for ways for Medicare to reduce its  
1992 spending on prescription drugs by allowing Medicare to negotiate  
1993 for the price of drugs or require rebates as Medicaid does.

1994 Recently, the Office of Management and Budget Director, Mick  
1995 Mulvaney, said he was looking into requiring rebates for drugs  
1996 covered by Medicare. Good idea.

1997 We should be looking into allowing patients to re-import  
1998 drugs from countries like Canada, reducing exclusivity for  
1999 high-cost drugs like biologics and ending anti-competitive  
2000 pay-for-delay agreements. It is time for this Congress to do what  
2001 the American people are asking of us and work together to find  
2002 solutions to lower the price of prescription drugs.

2003 And in addition to the cost faced by consumers, public sources  
2004 of funding, Medicare, Medicaid, all of those are being driven to  
2005 very high rates because of the cost of prescription drugs. That  
2006 is the big driver behind healthcare costs increases.

2007 We could do something about that. I hope we do work together  
2008 to do something about that and I thank you. And unless someone  
2009 wants about a minute, I yield back. Thank you. I yield back.

2010 Mr. Green. Would the gentlelady yield?

2011 Ms. Schakowsky. Yes, I would be happy to yield.

2012 Mr. Green. I think you made a great point about the price

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



2013 of prescriptions and drugs and I hope, like you do, that our  
2014 committee will actually hold a hearing to discuss some solutions  
2015 to the high cost of pharmaceuticals. And with that, thank you for  
2016 yielding.

2017 Chairman Burgess. The chair thanks the gentlelady. The  
2018 gentlelady yields back. Further discussion of the amendment? If  
2019 there is no further discussion, the vote will occur on the  
2020 amendment.

2021 All those in favor will signify by saying aye.

2022 All opposed no.

2023 The amendment is agreed to.

2024 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 2430, as amended,  
2025 to the full committee.

2026 All those in favor will say aye.

2027 All opposed no.

2028 The ayes appear to have it. The ayes have it. And the bill  
2029 is agreed to.

2030 Without objection, the staff is authorized to make technical  
2031 and conforming changes to the legislation approved by the  
2032 subcommittee today, so ordered. Without objection, the  
2033 subcommittee stands adjourned.

2034 [Whereupon, at 11:57 a.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]