1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MORRISON
3	HIF058030
4	
5	
6	STATE OF THE NATION'S ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE
7	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2018
8	House of Representatives
9	Subcommittee on Energy
10	Committee on Energy and Commerce
11	Washington, D.C.
12	
13	
14	
15	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m.,
16	in Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Fred Upton
17	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
18	Members present: Representatives Upton, Olson, Barton,
19	Shimkus, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Kinzinger, Griffith,
20	Johnson, Bucshon, Flores, Mullin, Hudson, Walberg, Duncan,
21	Walden (ex officio), Rush, McNerney, Peters, Green, Castor,
22	Sarbanes, Tonko, Loebsack, Schrader, Kennedy, and Pallone (ex

23	officio).
24	Staff present: Mike Bloomquist, Staff Director; Daniel
25	Butler, Staff Assistant; Kelly Collins, Legislative Clerk,
26	Energy/Environment; Jordan Davis, Director of Policy and
27	External Affairs; Wyatt Ellertson, Professional Staff,
28	Energy/Environment; Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Staff Assistant;
29	Adam Fromm, Director of Outreach and Coalitions; Jordan
30	Haverly, Policy Coordinator, Environment; Ben Lieberman,
31	Senior Counsel, Energy; Milly Lothian, Press Assistant &
32	Digital Coordinator; Mary Martin, Chief Counsel,
33	Energy/Environment; Brandon Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel,
34	Energy; Mark Ratner, Policy Coordinator; Annelise Rickert,
35	Counsel, Energy; Dan Schneider, Press Secretary; Austin
36	Stonebreaker, Press Assistant; Madeline Vey, Policy
37	Coordinator, DCCP; Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor, External
38	Affairs; Priscilla Barbour, Minority Energy Fellow; Evan
39	Gilbert, Minority Press Assistant; Tiffany Guarascio,
40	Minority Deputy Staff Director and Chief Health Advisor;
41	Caitlin Haberman, Minority Professional Staff Member; Rick
42	Kessler, Minority Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy
43	and Environment; John Marshall, Minority Policy Coordinator;
44	Alexander Ratner, Minority Policy Analyst; and Andrew

- Souvall, Minority Director of Communications, Outreach and
- 46 Member Services.

47 Today's hearing, "The State of the Nation's Mr. Upton. Energy Infrastructure, " will provide members with the 48 49 opportunity to explore the challenges and the opportunities 50 related to the maintenance, modernization, and development of energy infrastructure. 51 52 Two weeks ago, the White House unveiled its framework 53 for rebuilding infrastructure across the country. Citing the 54 need to maintain our country's global competitiveness and improve our citizens' quality of life, the president's plan 55 seeks to stimulate at least \$1.5 trillion in new investment 56 over the next decade. 57 And while the president's plan touches all sectors, from 58 roads and bridges to airports and hospitals and dams, this 59 60 hearing will focus on the state of the nation's energy 61 infrastructure and how we can make meaningful improvements. Joining us today is a panel of witnesses who can speak 62 to the needs and challenges of a changing energy landscape. 63 Since the start of the 115th Congress, this committee has 64 65 held dozens of hearings related to infrastructure and the 66 House has already passed legislation on interstate pipeline 67 siting, hydropower licensing, and the development of cross-68 border energy infrastructure.

69	That being said, this committee's infrastructure efforts
70	are ongoing as there is no question that more needs to get
71	done and more projects need to get built, for to deliver our
72	nation's abundant energy resources to consumers in a
73	reliable, efficient, and cost-effective manner, new electric
74	transmission lines and natural gas pipelines have got to be
75	constructed.
76	And as we have heard during our series of Powering
77	America hearings, the nation's electrical grid faces enormous
78	challenges as needed infrastructure is not getting built fast
79	enough in some areas of the country.
80	Additionally, we have got to face the fact that much of
81	our existing infrastructure is in fact aging. The average
82	age of a coal-fired power plant in the U.S. is 40 years old
83	and the country's fleet of nuclear reactors isn't much
84	younger.
85	Many of these power plants are now facing retirement due
86	to their inability to compete economically in a market-based
87	environment.
88	Notably, the Oyster Creek Nuclear Station in New Jersey,
89	which is the oldest reactor in the country, recently
90	announced that it will retire later this year after nearly 50

91	years of service.
92	So we can't afford to have the energy infrastructure
93	that does not meet America's needs or reflect the evolution
94	of our energy markets.
95	Instead, we have got to modernize our outdated system by
96	encouraging innovative developments and state-of-the-art
97	technology such as battery storage and advanced transmission
98	devices.
99	I should recognize that much is already being done on
100	this front with private capital largely funding these
101	improvements. In fact, electric utilities and independent
102	transmission developers spent an estimated \$23 billion in
103	2017.
104	A new transmission infrastructure alone while the
105	natural gas utilities invested a record of \$25 billion last
106	year across its industry.
107	Though these private sector investments are critically
108	in a highly capital-intensive industry, we should be mindful
109	that none of it will get built if we don't have a trained
110	workforce that is capable of innovating, designing, and
111	constructing this new infrastructure.
112	Not only do we need skilled linesmen and women and

113	pipefitters but we also need the engineers to power systems
114	in nuclear technologies in many other trades.
115	The challenge associated with developing a skilled
116	workforce may be greater than the challenge of siting and
117	constructing infrastructure projects.
118	So that's an important part of this conversation. I am
119	glad we have some of the folks who can speak to us on that
120	issue, and with that, I want to welcome our panel for sure
121	and yield for an opening statement the balance of my time
122	to Mr. Olson.
123	[The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]
124	
125	**************************************
126	

127	Mr. Olson. I thank the chair, and welcome to our six
128	witnesses.
129	Having a Texan on the panel gives me a chance to do what
130	Texans love to do and that's to brag about my home state.
131	The greater Houston region has some of the best technical
132	colleges in the country and Texas-22, who I worked for, has
133	the best of the best.
134	Schools like Houston Community College, Texas State
135	Technical College, Alvin Community College, Wharton County
136	Junior College, who actually built a new campus in Matagorda
137	County to meet the needs of retiring workers at the South
138	Texas Power Plant.
139	But the top gun at home is San Jacinto College and
140	that's because of their chancellor, Brenda Hellyer. We are
141	honored to have you here, Chancellor Hellyer.
142	When America's largest petrochemical complex has a need,
143	they turn to Dr. Hellyer and San Jac. One example is their
144	new maritime technological training center. It simulates all
145	51 miles of the Port of Houston Houston Ship Channel and
146	it's so real.
147	I was down there a year ago right by the Harbor Bridge.
148	It snowed heavy snow blizzard. The waves started rocking

149	my little tugboat. I got seasick in a simulator. It's real,
150	and that's San Jacinto Junior San Jacinto College.
151	Welcome, Dr. Hellyer. Glad to have you.
152	I yield back.
153	Mr. Upton. Gentleman's time has expired.
154	The chair recognizes the ranking member of the
155	subcommittee, the gentleman from Illinois, Mr. Rush.
156	Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman, I am at a loss for words on
157	that. But I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding
158	this important hearing today on the energy infrastructure.
159	As you know, investing in the nation's aging
160	infrastructure is a top priority for members on both sides of
161	the aisle and it is my hope that we can address this issue in
162	a bipartisan manner.
163	Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, the proposal put forth by
164	the Trump administration leaves a lot to be desired and,
165	frankly, is a poor starting point, from my perspective.
166	The president's infrastructure plan fails to provide
167	adequate federal investment in the nation's antiquated energy
168	infrastructure.
169	But, rather, it attempts to short circuit environmental
170	regulations and it places the vast majority of the funding

171 burden on cash-strapped states and local municipalities. 172 In fact, under the administration's proposal, states 173 will be prohibited from receiving more than 10 percent of the 174 total grant fund and 80 percent of new investment must come 175 from non-federal sources. 176 Mr. Chairman, this proposal resembles less of a national 177 infrastructure plan and instead will simply pick winners and 178 losers where only a limited number of states, localities, and affluent communities will actually benefit from the 179 180 president's plan. Instead, Mr. Chairman, I want to urge this subcommittee 181 182 to look at a more serious alternative outlined in H.R. 2479, the Leading Infrastructure for Tomorrow's America, or LIFT 183 184 America, Act introduced by Ranking Member Pallone, myself, 185 and the rest of the minority members of the Energy and Commerce Committee back in May 2017. 186 187 This bill offers thoughtful recommendations that will surely benefit all Americans including providing provisions 188 189 that would invest in cleaner water infrastructure, clean 190 energy infrastructure, more resilient broadband, brownfields 191 redevelopment, and last but not least, health care 192 infrastructure.

193	Additionally, Mr. Chairman, I have also sponsored a bill
194	that would strengthen the nation's workforce by investing in
195	initiatives to train minority women and unemployed coal
196	workers to compete for good-paying energy and manufacturing
197	jobs and careers.
198	Mr. Chairman, it is not enough to simply curtail an
199	environmental protection and pass the funding for immersion
200	onto the same.
201	I look forward to hearing from our esteemed witnesses
202	and I look forward to working with the majority.
203	Mr. Chairman, with that, I yield back I yield my time
204	to my good friend also from the great state of Toyas Mr
204	to my good friend, also from the great state of Texas, Mr.
205	Green.
205	Green.
205	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague
<ul><li>205</li><li>206</li><li>207</li></ul>	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague  ranking member for yielding to me today.
<ul><li>205</li><li>206</li><li>207</li><li>208</li></ul>	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague  ranking member for yielding to me today.  First of all, I want to say that this is the first
<ul><li>205</li><li>206</li><li>207</li><li>208</li><li>209</li></ul>	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague  ranking member for yielding to me today.  First of all, I want to say that this is the first  committee hearing we have had with that Pete Olson hasn't
205 206 207 208 209 210	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague  ranking member for yielding to me today.  First of all, I want to say that this is the first  committee hearing we have had with that Pete Olson hasn't talked about the Astros.
205 206 207 208 209 210 211	Green.  Mr. Green. Mr. Chairman, members, I thank you colleague  ranking member for yielding to me today.  First of all, I want to say that this is the first  committee hearing we have had with that Pete Olson hasn't  talked about the Astros.  So Pete, I want to tell you how much how proud we are

215	panel and particularly our chancellor from San Jac North.
216	I've worked for many years with San Jacinto College in
217	training.
218	In East Harris County, we could have every union
219	electrician in the country come to Houston and we'd still
220	need more electricians because the expansion of our
221	industries in East Harris County because of the Eagle Ford
222	and now with Permian Basin. So we have refineries, chemical
223	plants, and things like that.
224	But I am a native Houstonian and you all have heard a
225	lot of times I've never not lived on a pipeline easement in
226	Houston, Texas. No matter where I've lived, I have a
227	pipeline easement there and I get all these nice letters
228	during the year making sure I know what happens if there is
229	an accident.
230	But our infrastructure is so important. It's not just
231	highways and rails and airports but it's also pipelines, and
232	because of the success we are having in some of the states, I
233	think we need to have that infrastructure on energy
234	pipelines, too.
235	And with that, I'll thank my colleague. I know I've
236	used up the time he yielded to me.

Thank you.
Mr. Upton. Gentleman's time has expired.
The chair will recognize the chairman of the full
committee, the gentleman from the good state of Oregon, Mr.
Walden.
The Chairman. I thank the gentleman, and we are having
a lot of talk about aging infrastructure and I don't think
that's fair to Adam Kinzinger just because it's his 40th
birthday today, speaking of aging infrastructure.
[Laughter.]
Happy birthday. Today's hearing explores the state of
the nation's energy infrastructure. It's another important
step in our commitment to putting the needs of consumers
first.
Energy, truly the driving force in our economy and our
country, and our hearing today is focused on ways to expand
and improve and modernize our infrastructure so we can
deliver energy to consumers more safely, reliably, and cost
effectively.
So this morning we have an excellent panel of witnesses
who are going to share with us some challenges and
opportunities that the country faces and you all face to

259 modernize our infrastructure in the energy realm. 260 We will gather your perspectives and we will learn more 261 about what we need to do in public policy. Just for the 262 record, our committee has been very active in this area. 263 A lot of work has gone into our legislative initiatives 264 on the nation's infrastructure. We know there is a lot more 265 that needs to be done. 266 This hearing marks our forty-seventh -- forty-seventh 267 hearing on infrastructure just in this Congress -- session of 268 Congress alone. 269 We have 24 energy bills and environmental bills that 270 have passed the House already and have gone over to the They address pipeline infrastructure, hydropower 271 272 relicensing, brownfields, air quality standards, energy 273 efficiency, drinking water improvement, and nuclear waste 274 storage. 275 All this work is incredibly important for my district. 276 These bills will have a direct positive impact for our local 277 economies and our communities both in Oregon and across the 278 country, and now we look forward to continuing our work with 279 the United State Senate and the White House to get these 280 measures signed into law.

281	I applaud President Trump for not only recognizing the
282	need to improve all facets of our nation's infrastructure but
283	also for demonstrating the leadership needed to push forward
284	this major initiative for our country.
285	While there are many difficult details to work out, I
286	believe there is support for a broad infrastructure bill.
287	Just the other week I participated in a bipartisan bicameral
288	infrastructure meeting hosted by the president at the White
289	House where we talked about our shared priorities for
290	rebuilding our nation's infrastructure from roads and bridges
291	to pipelines and for broadband in our un-served and
292	
292	underserved areas of the country.
293	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has
293	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has
293 294	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be
<ul><li>293</li><li>294</li><li>295</li></ul>	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be mindful that most of the nation's energy infrastructure is
<ul><li>293</li><li>294</li><li>295</li><li>296</li></ul>	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be mindful that most of the nation's energy infrastructure is privately owned and operated.
<ul><li>293</li><li>294</li><li>295</li><li>296</li><li>297</li></ul>	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be mindful that most of the nation's energy infrastructure is privately owned and operated.  We all know that financing is a crucial aspect of any
<ul><li>293</li><li>294</li><li>295</li><li>296</li><li>297</li><li>298</li></ul>	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be mindful that most of the nation's energy infrastructure is privately owned and operated.  We all know that financing is a crucial aspect of any infrastructure plan so we are thinking outside the box to see
<ul><li>293</li><li>294</li><li>295</li><li>296</li><li>297</li><li>298</li><li>299</li></ul>	While much of the conversation around infrastructure has focused on ways to increase federal spending, we should be mindful that most of the nation's energy infrastructure is privately owned and operated.  We all know that financing is a crucial aspect of any infrastructure plan so we are thinking outside the box to see where we can make the most progress with the limited federal

303	our workforce.
304	Our nation's energy infrastructure, the traditional base
305	load power plants, windmills, solar panels, hydroelectric
306	dams, pipelines, power lines, fossil fuel production
307	facilities, and import-export terminals, they make up the
308	real backbone of America's economy.
309	With innovation and technological advancements driving
310	change at a rapid pace it's our responsibility as members of
311	this committee to understand the challenges and the
312	opportunities associated with keeping these energy systems
313	operating safely and reliably.
314	So we have got a lot of work to do but we are moving in
315	the right direction, and with that, I want to thank our
316	witnesses for appearing before us today.
317	I look forward to your testimony and the work going
318	forward in this matter under Chairman Upton's leadership.
319	So with that, Mr. Chairman, unless anyone else wants the
320	remainder of my time, I'd be happy to yield back and hear
321	from our witnesses.
322	[The prepared statement of Mr. Walden follows:]
323	
324	**********INSERT 2******

325	Mr. Upton. Gentleman yields back.
326	The chair recognizes the ranking member of the full
327	committee, the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Pallone, for an
328	opening statement.
329	Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Upton.
330	Revitalizing and modernizing our nation's crumbling
331	infrastructure should be an area where Democrats and
332	Republicans can find common ground.
333	Unfortunately, the plan President Trump unveiled two
334	weeks ago barely mentions energy and, as a whole, represents
335	another cynical bait and switch.
336	After promising for more than a year to invest over a
337	trillion dollars in America's infrastructure, the president's
338	plan does not offer any new funding for infrastructure.
339	This anemic proposal calls for \$250 billion in federal
340	spending but even that is offset by \$200 billion in cuts to
341	vital existing programs.
342	Worse yet, the 80 percent match requirement will do
343	little to help towns, cities, and counties all across this
344	country that simply cannot afford this kind of spending.
345	In fact, the Wharton School at the University of
346	Pennsylvania where President Trump attended college provides

347 a withering criticism of his so-called infrastructure plan, stating that it really won't leverage funds and that, quote, 348 "There will be little to no impact on the economy." 349 350 To call the Trump plan worthless isn't partisan. It's 351 the reality. In stark contrast, Democrats actually have a 352 real plan, a better deal for investing and rebuilding 353 America. This plan includes important parts of the committee 354 Democrats' bill, the LIFT America Act. 355 This legislation would create jobs and boost the economy 356 by putting real money towards infrastructure like replacing 357 drinking water pipes, cleaning up brown field sites, 358 supporting energy efficiency and clean energy, extending broadband service and revitalizing our hospitals and health 359 360 care infrastructure. 361 Democrats are committed to delivering a better deal for Americans, providing cheap clean energy for consumers and 362 modernizing our aging energy infrastructure so that it's 363 secure, efficient, and resilient. 364 365 We will make key investments that will transport our 366 energy infrastructure into the 21st century energy economy 367 while creating jobs of the future that lessen our carbon 368 footprint.

369	We do this by expanding renewable energy and by
370	investing in energy efficiency programs that will lower
371	Americans' monthly bills and these programs are good for the
372	environment and good for consumers.
373	The Democrats' LIFT America Act is a bold proposal that
374	will revitalize our infrastructure, grow our economy, and
375	create new jobs, and to ensure good family-sustaining wages
376	for workers we are committed to maintaining Davis-Bacon
377	community-based wage standards and other worker protections.
378	We will invest in workers through robust training, provide
379	job opportunities for veterans, and level the playing field
380	for small businesses including women and minority-owned
381	businesses.
382	And what we won't do is buy into the false choice
383	between a strong economy and a healthy environment.
384	President and Republicans keep pushing this outdated false
385	narrative, but the reality is that a clean and safe
386	environment supports a strong economy.
387	Environmental safeguards are not the obstacle to
388	infrastructure improvements. The real obstacle is the lack
389	of funds.
390	President Trump spared no expense and required no

391	offsets for tax breaks to fuel profits on Wall Street, but
392	when it comes to helping Main Street all he's offering is
393	Monopoly money.
394	And we can and must do better. I hope my colleagues on
395	the other side of the aisle agree and will work with us to
396	invest in America and truly make our infrastructure great
397	again.
398	And I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from
399	New York, Mr. Tonko.
400	Mr. Tonko. And I thank the ranking member of the
401	standing committee for yielding.
402	It's my pleasure to thank Chair Upton and Ranker Rush
403	for hosting this hearing, which is going to enable us to
404	better understand the full range of possibilities of energy
405	infrastructure that should be considered.
406	So I welcome the panel here this morning and in
407	particular want to offer my welcome to one of the mayors of
408	the communities that I represent in the 20th Congressional
409	District of New York, the Honorable Gary McCarthy, mayor of
410	the great city of Schenectady, New York, in the 20th
411	District.
412	And I thank the mayor for being here. He's a great

413	friend, a super colleague, and a very thoughtful leader, a
414	progressive leader, and one who has brought great vision to
415	leading the city of Schenectady, which is dubbed the electric
416	city, as it opened its gates to Thomas Alva Edison at one
417	time, and we have great heritage as it relates to energy
418	development.
419	But I want to bring attention to the city of
420	Schenectady's report under the tutelage of Mayor McCarthy,
421	the 2017 Smart City Report, which is just filled with all
422	sorts of wonderful ideas and has enabled Gary McCarthy to be
423	a national leader in Smart City demonstration projects.
424	I encourage members to check out this report. It offers
425	many opportunities that, when proven, could be replicable
426	around the country and will hold a number of type of projects
427	that are possible to improve energy efficiency, public
428	safety, and internet access.
429	It's a tremendous report. I thank the mayor for his
430	leadership and I thank him for being here with the rest of
431	the panel here this morning.
432	So thank you, Mayor McCarthy, and welcome.
433	Mr. Upton. Well, we are grateful for all the witnesses
434	today.

435	We are joined by Brian Slocum, the VP of operations for
436	ITC Holdings, Jim Ross, the director of International
437	Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Brenda Hellyer, chancellor
438	of San Jacinto College, John Devine, senior VP for HDR, Inc.,
439	Jennifer Chen I think is that right, Chen
440	sustainable FERC project attorney, Natural Resources
441	Development Council, and the Honorable Mr. McCarthy, mayor of
442	Schenectady, New York.
443	We welcome you all. Your statements are made part of
444	the record in their entirety. Thank you for submitting them
445	early, and each of you will be given five minutes to
446	summarize that testimony.
447	And Mr. Mayor, we will start with you. Welcome.

448	STATEMENTS OF THE HONORABLE GARY MCCARTHY, MAYOR, CITY OF
449	SCHENECTADY; JOHN DEVINE, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, HDR INC.;
450	BRIAN SLOCUM, VICE PRESIDENT, OPERATIONS, ITC HOLDINGS
451	CORPORATION; JIM ROSS, DIRECTOR, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
452	ELECTRICAL WORKERS CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT;
453	JENNIFER CHEN, ATTORNEY, SUSTAINABLE FERC PROJECT CLIMATE &
454	CLEAN ENERGY, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL; BRENDA
455	HELLYER, CHANCELLOR, SAN JACINTO COLLEGE
456	
457	STATEMENT OF MR. MCCARTHY
458	Mr. McCarthy. Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush,
459	distinguished members of the committee and, of course, New
460	York's 20th District Congressman Tonko, thank you for the
461	opportunity to appear before you today.
462	While I am the mayor of the city of Schenectady and
463	serve in the leadership of the New York Conference of Mayors,
464	I want to make available to you the resources and staff of
465	the U.S. Conference of Mayors.
466	Under the capable leadership of Conference President New
467	Orleans' mayor, Mitch Landrieu and Executive Director Tom
468	Cochran, the conference team is ready and able to assist you
469	in research, identifying problems and opportunities in the

470	adoption of a national energy infrastructure policy and the
471	appropriate budgetary support to ensure the successful
472	implementation of that policy.
473	We live in an exciting time, one of rapid change, a time
474	of disruptive technologies, a time of great opportunity. The
475	city of Schenectady has a long and proud history of
476	innovation in the creative use of technologies.
477	Congressman Tonko pointed out Thomas Edison founded the
478	General Electric Company in our city over 125 years ago. The
479	x-ray was developed in Schenectady.
480	The first television broadcast occurred in the city of
481	Schenectady. Many of the world-changing products and
482	technologies we use today have their roots in Schenectady.
483	Today, some of the most valuable real estate in
484	Schenectady and communities across the country are our light
485	poles. The conversion of conventional street lights to LED
486	fixtures is happening everywhere.
487	It makes sense. There is an immediate savings of over
488	50 percent in electrical costs. But what we are doing in
489	Schenectady and in some communities across the country is
490	looking at the opportunity to add additional features.
491	Sensor-based technologies to the light pole when the

492 conversion to LED fixtures in happening, environmental sensors measure temperature and precipitation, device-based 493 utility-grade meters that will allow different owners to 494 495 place devices in a light pole and pay for the electricity 496 that's used just by their device, optical sensor providing deterrence and documentation for policing, traffic and 497 498 pedestrian analytics, dimming controls for additional 499 electrical savings, acoustical sensors, Wi-Fi, and cellular 500 communication protocols are just a few of the possible 501 additions to a standard light pole. These devices will better enable a more cost-effective 502 delivery of municipal services, the valuable exchange of data 503 and information, improved educational opportunities within 504 505 our city school district, and help with cost containment in 506 providing health care. Schenectady is partnering with National Grid, our local 507 utility, in implementing a REV demonstration project in our 508 509 city. REV is reforming the energy vision, a program with New 510 York Governor Cuomo's comprehensive energy strategy to build 511 a clean and more resilient affordable energy system. 512 We are working with National Grid, GE, AT&T, Cisco, 513 Presidio, CIMCON Lighting, and other local partners to do a

514 citywide deployment of Smart City technology as we do the conversion to LED lights. 515 516 We hope the National Grid project in Schenectady will 517 create a replicable model for utilities in other communities across the state and, hopefully, the country. 518 519 The ongoing efforts of Schenectady to further invest in 520 infrastructure by leveraging convergent technologies 521 including distributive generation resources, intelligence 522 services, buildings in the electrification of transport will 523 not only make the city more energy productive, economically 524 and environmentally sustainable, but will assist New York 525 State in its individually adopted economy wide target of an 80 percent reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, 526 527 commonly referred to as the 80x50 Program. 528 The 80x50 challenge is a significant goal and will require fundamental changes, which means that the early cost 529 savings and sustainable applications of Schenectady and 530 National Grid's initiatives could serve as a model for other 531 532 communities and utilities. 533 This type of project has the potential to transform 534 communities and has clear implications for the global 535 competitiveness of this country.

536	But it's based on a stable and an adaptable electrical
537	grid. There are many components of the Smart City or Smart
538	Grid projects that are self-financing. Conversion to LED
539	light fixtures is a clear example.
540	Some lend themselves to partnerships between utilities,
541	communities, and companies public Wi-Fi in commercial
542	areas is an example. Others, like the upgrading of utility
543	resiliency to deal with physical and cyber-attacks, the
544	possibility of electromagnetic pulses, economic warfare, or
545	proof of concept for emerging or yet to be developed concepts
546	or technologies will likely require 100 percent funding from
547	the federal government.
548	Mr. Chairman, again, I thank you for the opportunity to
549	be here and look forward to the committee's questions.
550	[The prepared statement of Mr. McCarthy follows:]
551	
552	********************

553 Mr. Upton. Thank you very much.
554 Mr. Devine, welcome.

555	STATEMENT OF MR. DEVINE
556	
557	Mr. Devine. Good morning, Chairman Upton, Ranking
558	Member Rush, and members of the subcommittee.
559	I am John Devine, a past president of the National
560	Hydropower Association, and I am here today on behalf of NHA
561	to share my thoughts about the value and needs of
562	hydropower's part of this nation's infrastructure.
563	My engineering career spans 45 years focused on water
564	resources and hydropower, working both in the public and the
565	private sector. That also makes me part of the aging
566	infrastructure, I might say.
567	I was also a founding member of a hydropower consulting
568	firm that started with two people in Portland, Maine, and
569	grew into a practice with over 250 professionals with offices
570	in six states. I hope this provides a small example of the
571	jobs that hydropower can create.
572	I will emphasize three points today. First, investment
573	in new and existing hydropower projects produces economic
574	benefits and creates jobs.
575	Second, policies that support hydropower deserve to be
576	part of any infrastructure package Congress develops, and

577	third, in order to preserve investment in hydropower, I
578	believe changes in federal policy, particularly in the
579	licensing process, will be necessary.
580	So to my point one, investment in hydropower
581	infrastructure doesn't just create jobs. It creates the kind
582	of jobs that require skill and education and are therefore
583	valued, meaning in demand and well paid.
584	We are talking about many field technicians,
585	electricians, highly-skilled mechanics, biologist,
586	hydrologists, computer modelers, suppliers of all kind in
587	virtually every field of engineering.
588	Hydropower is also often a part of a cornerstone part
589	of multipurpose projects that provide water for irrigation
590	and natural resource protection, water supply for millions of
591	people, drought mitigation, flood control, and other
592	benefits.
593	Which leads me to my second point. Ensuring more
594	investment in hydropower would be should be a piece of any
595	national infrastructure plan. Hydropower is a key part of
596	the national infrastructure.
597	Just consider the role played by hydropower in pulling
598	the Northeast and the upper Midwest out of the 2003 blackout

599	that affected 45 million people in the U.S.
600	Hydropower's black start capability did that, and isn't
601	that the very definition of important infrastructure?
602	Consider our federal hydropower system. The average federal
603	hydropower facility is over 50 years old.
604	While this demonstrates reliability and durability, it
605	also highlights the potential to increase efficiency and add
606	capacity, therefore, more renewable energy from the same
607	plant and more jobs.
608	This leads me to my third point. I report to you today
609	as a practitioner in the field of federal hydropower
610	licensing. Here is what I can report to you from the field.
611	First, the federal licensing and relicensing process is
612	broken but maybe not for the reasons that you're thinking.
613	It's not because of Congress passing the EP Act of 2005.
614	Congress took a significant step to bring efficiency,
615	transparency, and accountability to agency decision making.
616	This committee in particular has done yeoman's service in
617	support of hydropower.
618	It's not because of FERC. In its promulgation of the
619	integrated licensing process, FERC made a bold attempt to
620	bring order, efficiency and better fact-based decision making

621	to the process.
622	In general, in my opinion, FERC is performing its role
623	as a neutral arbiter of the facts. So how is it broken? It
624	is broken today because many federal and state resource
625	agencies do not adhere to the basic ground rules of the
626	federal licensing process.
627	Here are three examples that I can share with you.
628	First, what I am seeing is that all too frequently the
629	scientific studies conducted as part of the licensing process
630	are being ignored by resource agencies when the study results
631	do not comport with the agency's notions of a project's
632	environmental impacts.
633	This is despite the fact that these studies are
634	performed for the express purpose of informing development of
635	license conditions. Such disregard can lead to agency
636	conditions which are not considered with the available and
637	therefore are likely not to be effective.
638	Second, state and federal resource agencies'
639	recommendations for license conditions including mandatory
640	conditions with FERC which FERC cannot balance are often
641	made without due consideration of their full impacts and are
642	only focused on narrow agency goals.

643	Third, in many cases, the federal licensing process can
644	drag on for years, even a decade or more after the filing of
645	a complete application, while the applicant waits for the
646	various federal and state agency decision making processes to
647	be completed. Together, these provide a very chilling effect
648	on investment.
649	To conclude, hydropower offers many benefits to society.
650	IT supports the grid and, as I mentioned, literally keeps
651	lights on. It integrates other renewable generation.
652	It supports clean air for our communities. These values
653	are being eroded and U.S. hydropower has much more to offer,
654	but only if it is given the policy support to unlock its
655	potential.
656	I thank the subcommittee for allowing me to testify and
657	I look forward to answering your questions.
658	[The prepared statement of Mr. Devine follows:]
659	
660	**************************************

Mr. Upton. Thank you very much.

Mr. Slocum, welcome.

003	STATEMENT OF MR. SLOCOM
664	
665	Mr. Slocum. Thank you, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member
666	Rush, and the distinguished members of the subcommittee.
667	As you know, my name is Brian Slocum. I am the vice
668	president of operations for ITC Holdings, Corp., and I
669	appreciate the opportunity to speak before you today.
670	ITC is the largest independent electricity transmission
671	company in the country and we own and operate electric
672	transmission assets that has a footprint that expands to
673	eight Midwest and Great Plains states.
674	We have no geographic constraints and we invest in the
675	grid and we do that to improve reliability, to expand access
676	to markets, and lower the cost of delivered energy to our
677	customers.
678	We also allow for diverse and new generating resources
679	to interconnect to our transmission systems. At the
680	conclusion of today's hearings, I hope to leave the committee
681	with two very clear takeaways first, that investment in
682	the transmission grid is needed now, and secondly, the
683	private sector utility industry, which we are a part of, are
684	ready to make these investments if we are provided with the

663

STATEMENT OF MR. SLOCUM

685	right regulatory and planning environment.
686	While there have been some efforts made by the Trump
687	administration and Congress to reform the existing regulatory
688	process for electric transmission, additional reforms in
689	federal permitting and environmental review processes are
690	needed.
691	We also need to continue to take proactive steps to
692	reform procedures for planning the transmission system to
693	ensure that we are examining the full value of the
694	transmission investments.
695	I would like to highlight the growing importance of
696	transmission infrastructure to our economy. In the earliest
697	incarnations of the grid, the transmission lines were built
698	for a single purpose and that was just to move electricity
699	from generating plants to homes and businesses. It was
700	usually within a single utility footprint.
701	Things have certainly involved as FERC and individual
702	states have opened up electricity markets to competition and
703	transmission lines became more than just a one-way delivery
704	system for individual utilities.
705	Today, the transmission grid serves as a non-
706	discriminatory regional platform for connecting consumers to

707	energy markets. As customer expectations have increased, so
708	too have the drivers for new investment in transmission
709	infrastructure.
710	Whatever the energy future may bring, let's be clear
711	that we need a modern transmission system to provide the
712	optionality to facilitate that future.
713	Moving forward, the story is clear as well. Our economy
714	is becoming more and more dependent on reliable and
715	affordable access to electricity and the transmission grid
716	becomes more stressed as that occurs.
717	Planning the grid to address these demands requires
718	consideration of many complex factors including potential
719	threats to the system.
720	We now understand that the redundancy that we planned
721	into the transmission system in other words, the different
722	ways and pathways that we can connect to consumers that
723	offers a pretty strong protection against adverse events that
724	can impact generation resources or the transmission system
725	itself.
726	Investing now will ensure the resilience of the grid and
727	the resource diversity while keeping electricity prices low
728	for consumers and for businesses.

729 I would like to emphasize that, theoretically, no 730 federal dollars are needed to strengthen the grid, increase 731 resilience, and create jobs. 732 The private sector which we are a part of is ready to 733 make these investments, provided that regulatory and planning environment is conducive to the investment. 734 735 We applaud the efforts by Congress to streamline the 736 permitting process for new infrastructure. Even still today, 737 permitting for a major transmission line can take nearly a 738 decade to secure a range of federal, state, and local 739 permits. 740 In order to ensure that the NEPA process can be completed in a reasonable amount of time while maintaining 741 742 the strong commitment that we have to environmental 743 stewardship that we all share this commitment, then Congress could consider a number of options including requiring 744 745 concurrent NEPA analysis and environmental reviews by all the permitting agencies involved, requiring those agencies to use 746 747 the information that's already contained in the lead agency's NEPA document as the basis for their reviews, and then, 748 749 finally, setting some firm deadlines for the NEPA process. 750 To make the necessary investments in transmission

751	infrastructure that we are ready to do, we need a supportive
752	regulatory environment and to use the latest and most
753	comprehensive methodologies to plan and approve new
754	transmission lines.
755	Planning the grid proactively requires that benefits of
756	a potential investment be viewed more comprehensively by
757	integrating a range of project benefits and planning drivers
758	into criteria for approving projects.
759	Finally, we need also to support the construction of new
760	transmission lines that connect RTOs and ISOs in various
761	regions which, as of today, are still highly separated.
762	More interregional connections will increase system
763	flexibility and resilience against potential threats while
764	still allowing regional flexibility and approaches to joint
765	planning.
766	Thank you again for the opportunity to testify before
767	the committee and I look forward to answering any questions
768	you might have.
769	[The prepared statement of Mr. Slocum follows:]
770	
771	*********INSERT 5******

772 Mr. Upton. Thank you very much.

773 Mr. Ross.

774	STATEMENT OF MR. ROSS
775	
776	Mr. Ross. Thank you.
777	Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush, and the members of
778	the committee, on behalf of our president, Lonnie Stephenson,
779	thank you for inviting me here today to participate in this
780	important discussion.
781	Energy generation and power distribution is an \$800
782	billion a year business. With 775,000 active members and
783	retirees, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
784	the IBEW represents approximately 400,000 workers
785	employed in generation, transmission, distribution,
786	construction, and rail jobs all in some way related to the
787	electrical grid.
788	The IBEW supports a diverse balance and resilient energy
789	portfolio that includes renewables like wind, solar, and
790	hydro while preserving key base load energy's sources like
791	natural gas, coal, nuclear power.
792	These base load power sources are extremely important to
793	the United States security and vital to future planning. The
794	need to upgrade is getting its rightful attention these days.
795	But left out of the recent conversation is that the

796 United States has not made meaningful upgrades to its energy 797 infrastructure since the 1970s. Unfortunately, our current electric distribution system, 798 799 which functions on a regional or localized basis, is outdated and inefficient and the permitting and approval process for 800 large-scale transmission projects is more than burdensome. 801 802 It's an outright barrier to construction. 803 The large-scale solar installation in the desert of 804 California, a massive new hydro power generation project in 805 eastern Canada, and a wind farm in the plains -- these are major renewable energy development projects the members of 806 807 the IBEW have been proud to help construct in recent years. 808 But these generation projects of the future are only as 809 good as the transmission network they will rely on. value is diminished if there is no infrastructure to take 810 811 power from the source to the demand for electricity. 812 New investment in the transmission network is a 813 necessary component of these renewable energy projects and 814 the good news is that plans exist and, in some cases, are 815 years into the necessary permitting and approval stages. 816 In fact, approximately \$140 billion in private capital 817 is awaiting permit approvals for aging transmission system

818 overhauls and development of new clean lines to move more 819 renewable sources to market. 820 One important method of financing infrastructure 821 projects is through bonds and regulatory decisions can 822 dramatically impact the bond market. 823 Congress can also play a key role in project financing 824 by expanding access to private activity bonds. Your support 825 for legislation that encourages market predictability and 826 stability will foster job creation. 827 It is also important to support legislation that would streamline permitting and siting processes. There are plenty 828 829 of energy infrastructure projects across the United States that have been involved in the permitting process for years. 830 831 An example of a project pending approval is the 192-mile 832 Northern Pass project which will build high-voltage transmission lines through New Hampshire, carrying clean 833 834 hydropower from Canada to New England. 835 It would create 2,600 jobs during peak construction and 836 many of these would be skilled IBEW construction linemen. 837 Recent storms and frigid temperatures have challenged the 838 ability to the region to meet demand for heating and electric 839 generation.

840	As a result, wholesale gas prices spiked more than 10
841	times the 2017 average price and oil-fueled turbines were
842	employed, triggering a release of greenhouse gases and
843	pollutants into the atmosphere.
844	Northern Pass will relieve the massive imbalance of
845	supply and demand in New England and introduce necessary
846	renewable diversity into its energy portfolio.
847	Another 750-mile high-voltage clean line project will
848	deliver 4,000 megawatts of wind-generated power to major load
849	centers in the Midwest and the East Coast, enough to power
850	720,000 homes.
851	Both of these projects bring economic and job growth,
852	preserve local communities, and grow the tax base. A
853	regulatory resistance from state and local jurisdictions has
854	effectively stopped them before they could get off the
855	ground.
856	For this reason, we need to empower federal authorities
857	to approve large-scale projects of national importance that
858	cross state lines and local government jurisdictions.
859	With all due respect to local authorities, we need a new
860	approach that trims unnecessary red tape and streamlines the
861	rules created by numerous regulatory authorities.

862	Additionally, the federal government should take
863	responsibility for right sizing by incentivizing development
864	of capacity in excess of current market demands.
865	Accounting for future demand avoids the possibility of
866	under building and encourages future development renewable
867	electricity sources because there will be a market case to
868	make to investors, providing that they can move their
869	generation to major markets.
870	Lastly, we are encouraged by recent one-agency one-
871	decision proposals which will reduce the time line for
872	federal environmental reviews and permitting processes.
873	We do not support efforts to diminish current
874	environmental protections. We simply need an efficient
875	process. We cannot afford to continue postponing the
876	necessary upgrades.
877	The United States lags behind China and Brazil, Germany,
878	and many other countries in transmission infrastructure
879	investment.
880	With the federal government taking a decision making
881	lead, market predictability will improve as well as the
882	IBEW's ability to plan for training the next generation of
883	construction linemen.

884 It takes three years to train a journeyman lineman to perform transmission line construction and maintenance, and 885 886 we anticipate the need for approximately 50,000 new power 887 linemen over the next 10 years. While projects are held up, we are losing valuable 888 training time. By the way, our privately-operated 889 890 apprenticeship training programs invest approximately \$200 891 million annually to equip students with the skills the 892 markets demand. 893 For more than 70 years, the IBEW and our employer 894 partners, the National Electrical Contractors Association, 895 have been the largest private sector trainer of electrical 896 workers in the nation. 897 Together, the IBEW and NECA operate hundreds of training 898 centers in communities across the country. Our training programs guarantee a steady stream of skilled electrical 899 900 workers necessary for the important work of modernizing and 901 expanding our grid. 902 We ask for your leadership on making our modern 903 electrical grid a reality. We remain a ready partner with 904 our employers and elected officials from both sides of the 905 aisle.

906	Thank you for the opportunity to testify here before you
907	today.
908	[The prepared statement of Mr. Ross follows:]
909	
910	**************************************

911 Mr. Upton. Thank you.

912 Dr. Chen.

913	STATEMENT OF MS. CHEN
914	
915	Ms. Chen. Good morning, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member
916	Rush, and members of the committee.
917	Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I am Jennifer
918	Chen, an attorney with the NRDC. I am also a board member
919	with the Americans for a Clean Energy Grid, a coalition
920	including transmission owners and developers. We are jointly
921	working to achieve a modern, efficient, and clean consumer-
922	friendly transmission grid.
923	NRDC supports a range of infrastructure modernization
924	projects that deliver economic, social, and environmental
925	benefits.
926	We support programs promoting energy efficiency and
927	distributed energy resources, and we need to ensure that
928	transmission planning counts for them to avoid over building.
929	Today, I will focus my comments on the main barrier to
930	transmission infrastructure improvements most needed to
931	modernize the electric grid a severely fragmented
932	transmission planning process and how we can overcome that
933	barrier.
934	But first, I want to emphasize that environmental laws

935	are not driving a delay in modernizing our grid and President
936	Trump's infrastructure plan that would severely undermine
937	these protections is not the solution.
938	As DOE noted in its quadrennial energy review on energy
939	infrastructure, the environmental review and permitting
940	requirements are accomplished effectively and efficiently.
941	This is due in large part to progress made by Congress in the
942	Energy Policy Act of 2005 as well as by the last two
943	administrations.
944	NEPA is only triggered if there is a federal nexus like
945	when a project receives federal funding. NEPA and federal
946	permitting requirements are important components for smart
947	from the start planning.
948	They disclose a project's impact to the public and
949	provide opportunities for input including alternate
950	solutions. Early robust public engagement is also key
951	through reducing conflicts and mitigating impacts.
952	Such input has resulted in better outcomes and
953	stakeholder engagement helps avoid protracted legal battles,
954	bad publicity, and protests.
955	On the other hand, President Trump's plan to short
956	circuit environmental projections and public processes would

957	be counterproductive because experience has shown that
958	insufficient public engagement breeds local opposition that
959	can delay projects.
960	It's far better to fix the disjointed planning process
961	we can all agree is a barrier to something a wide range of
962	stakeholders wants. We want our nation's transmission
963	backbone to be able to deliver clean low-cost electricity
964	from the windy heartland and sunny states to more densely
965	populated regions.
966	Importantly, that kind of grid modernization effort will
967	create jobs, improve the efficiency of our electricity
968	markets, promotes emissions-free electrification of our
969	economy that is key to addressing climate change, and produce
970	billions of dollars in benefits to electricity consumers.
971	The problem is our transmission planning process is too
972	small scale to produce a robust transmission backbone needed
973	to accomplish these goals.
974	Currently, interregional transmission planning proposals
975	are dying on the vine, if proposed at all, far in advance of
976	the environmental review stage. This is largely due to
977	mismatched planning between neighboring regions.
978	Smaller regional projects, on the other hand, have seen

979 more success. FERC tried to facilitate interregional project development by requiring neighboring grid planners to 980 coordinate with each other. 981 982 But that's not the same as requiring them to jointly plan for transmission because neighboring regions use 983 different methods in their planning. Asking them to simply 984 985 coordinate is not -- has not facilitated these interregional 986 projects. 987 FERC sought public input in June of 2016 to revisit this 988 issue but it has not acted on it since. Interregional 989 planning -- interregional transmission planning, not just coordination between regions, must be FERC's next priority. 990 As a next step, Congress could encourage FERC to use 991 992 existing authority to implement a rule on interregional 993 transmission planning and to truly modernize the grid, Congress could encourage FERC to require planning that 994 995 anticipates the impact of public policies and the falling 996 costs of wind and solar power. 997 FERC should also require planning that accounts for 998 technologies that facilitate environmentally responsible 999 siting, reduces energy loss along the wires, and maximizes 1000 the use of existing transmission lines and other

1001	infrastructure.
1002	Infrastructure is long lived and expensive, but it's an
1003	investment and it's important to get it right. And to do so,
1004	it's critical to take steps now to improve the planning
1005	process.
1006	President Trump's plan to circumvent environmental
1007	protections would encourage rushing to solve the wrong
1008	problem.
1009	Thank you, and I look forward to answering your
1010	questions.
1011	[The prepared statement of Ms. Chen follows:]
1012	
1013	*********INSERT 7******

1014 Mr. Upton. Thank you.

1015 Dr. Hellyer.

1016	STATEMENT OF MS. HELLYER
1017	
1018	Ms. Hellyer. Good morning, Chairman Upton, Ranking
1019	Member Rush, and Vice Chair Olson and members of the
1020	subcommittee.
1021	My name is Dr. Brenda Hellyer and I am chancellor of San
1022	Jacinto College, and I am pleased to testify this morning on
1023	the role that community colleges and San Jacinto College
1024	specifically can play in contributing to the nation's energy
1025	infrastructure and developing the workforce and the talent
1026	pipeline that's necessary to support that infrastructure.
1027	San Jacinto College is located in East Harris County,
1028	the Gulf Coast region of Texas, and serves approximately
1029	45,000 credit and non-credit students each year.
1030	Last year, the college was recognized as an Aspen Rising
1031	Star Award, representing as one of the top five community
1032	colleges in the country for community college excellence.
1033	We are located in the heart of an energy industry. Our
1034	service area incorporates the Houston Ship Channel, home to
1035	the nation's largest petrochemical complex, and we also
1036	support the NASA Johnson Space Center, Ellington Airport, and
1037	the Port of Houston, which is ranked number one in U.S. ports

1038 for foreign tonnage. In my written testimony, I outline some of the workforce 1039 challenges in the Houston region. Briefly, Houston's skills 1040 1041 gap has reached critical proportions among the middle skilled 1042 jobs -- those that require more education and training than a high school diploma but less than a four-year degree. 1043 1044 Of the 3.6 million jobs in Houston, 1.4 million, or 1045 approximately 40 percent, are middle skills jobs. 1046 way to address this need is through collaboration and 1047 partnership. 1048 We have taken a national state and regional approach. No one entity or group can fix this challenge alone. 1049 1050 regional standpoint, we engage area economic development 1051 corporations, our school districts, our universities, and our 1052 industries to build the pipeline for future workers. 1053 We are at the table together, addressing this issue from 1054 multiple angles. San Jacinto College -- I am going to give 1055 you some examples of that -- San Jacinto College invites 1056 6,000 sixth graders each year to gain hands-on experience in 1057 STEM experiments. This is through an event called Mind 1058 Trekkers. It's supported and it's sponsored by industry 1059 partners.

1060	We offer summer camps to kick-start students so they
1061	understand the jobs that are available in STEM,
1062	petrochemical, and maritime.
1063	We also have a speakers' bureau that's a grassroots
1064	effort community colleges, our economic development group,
1065	and our industry partners going in to our high schools and
1066	our eighth graders talking about the careers and the jobs in
1067	our area. Last year, 12,000 students and their parents were
1068	contacted and spoken with about these jobs.
1069	We partner with industry to understand the types of
1070	employees they need, the skill sets required, and we adjust
1071	our curriculum to meet those needs.
1072	To that end, we are building 145,000 square foot center
1073	for petrochemical energy and technology. This facility is
1074	being built based on the input from industry. It's for
1075	industry by industry and it's funded from taxpayer dollars
1076	and also private donations.
1077	More than a dozen industry leaders serve on a petrochem
1078	advisory council working directly with me to guide the
1079	project. This facility will house an exterior glycol unit.
1080	It'll have programs in process technology, instrumentation,
1081	electrical, non-destructive testing, the craft trades, and

1082	it'll also build on our construction management program.
1083	All of the programs will emphasize and build on a safety
1084	culture. The program will replicate a day in the life of
1085	plant operators and technicians. The programs are designed
1086	not only for the new worker coming into the field but also to
1087	upgrade the skills of the incumbent worker.
1088	Our partnership in providing a skilled energy workforce
1089	is enhanced through our work with you, the federal
1090	government. We understand that a well-educated technically
1091	trained energy workforce is essential to advancing the
1092	president's America First energy plan and growing the
1093	nation's energy infrastructure.
1094	To that end, community colleges have been working on the
1095	development of new legislation for energy workforce training
1096	Centers of Excellence. Two bills have passed and we
1097	encourage the enactment on funding of this type of
1098	legislation.
1099	We also encourage Congress to continue investing in
1100	America's labor force through grants with the Departments of
1101	Labor, Education, and Energy.
1101 1102	Labor, Education, and Energy.  San Jacinto College is working with the federal

1104	Ready to Act workforce grant, the Carl Perkins Grant, the
1105	Trade Adjustment Act.
1106	All of these are designed around building that workforce
1107	and they're critical to the citizens of my region but they're
1108	also critical to the 1,100 community colleges throughout the
1109	country that provide the critical workforce training.
1110	While this committee doesn't oversee Pell, I would be
1111	remiss if I didn't mention the impact of Pell and how that
1112	really can define how we are going to continue to feed the
1113	workforce and make sure that we build that workforce.
1114	There's 2.7 million community college students using the
1115	Pell system, which is building our workforce.
1116	In conclusion, San Jacinto is working collaboratively in
1117	the Gulf Coast region to increase the number of students
1118	looking to go into these careers and workforce training,
1119	STEM, and the fields that really build this infrastructure.
1120	These programs improve the lives across our region. In
1121	the Gulf Coast region we are actually driving the economy of
1122	the nation also.
1123	And so I can tell you from San Jacinto's perspective
1124	this program, how we really are going to help support the
1125	infrastructure is critical. But it's also critical that we

1126	have the support for all community colleges.
1127	Thank you.
1128	[The prepared statement of Ms. Hellyer follows:]
1129	
1130	*********INSERT 8******

1131	Mr. Upton. Thank you all for your testimony. And this
1132	point, we'll move to questions from our subcommittee.
1133	Mr. Devine, I appreciate you being here for sure and
1134	from my perspective I want you to keep your job. I believe
1135	in an all-of-the-above strategy.
1136	Renewables are a big part of that. In Michigan, we've
1137	got a minimum mandate. Hydro is part of that. Not as much
1138	in Michigan as it is particularly in the Northwest, but we
1139	as you may know, we have passed with a number of Democrats a
1140	hydropower licensing bill that moved through this committee
1141	and has passed in the House now and is waiting for action in
1142	the Senate.
1143	You talked about the a number of hydropower
1144	facilities that are more than 50 years old. We need to add
1145	capacity. This is a renewable piece that most of most
1146	Americans would like but with, obviously, no carbon
1147	emissions, basically, from that source of power.
1148	If our legislation became law, went to the president's
1149	desk, how would this help the hydropower industry in terms of
1150	dollars invested in kilowatts generated?
1151	Mr. Devine. Well, Chairman Upton, I think that
1152	improving the time lines involved in the licensing process

1153	will reduce some of the perception of the risk in the
1154	process.
1155	Risk is anathema to investment. So I think those
1156	that aligns very well with increasing investment in hydro
1157	power. There's many opportunities for upgrades and
1158	improvements and increasing energy at existing hydro power
1159	facilities and at non-power dams, and I think it's viewed as
1160	from the these have to be financed and the financing is
1161	susceptible to a risk and reward effects.
1162	So the proposals that increase the efficiency of the
1163	process and will help in terms of the improving the
1164	overall investment opportunity.
1165	Mr. Upton. So I am one that believes that there ought
1166	to be an energy title within the infrastructure bill that,
1167	hopefully, moves through the Congress this year.
1168	Dr. Hellyer, I had as you know, the president had
1169	many of the nation's governors here for the last couple days.
1170	A whole number of different issues were discussed. One of
1171	them was infrastructure.
1172	I had the opportunity last night to have dinner with my
1173	Michigan governor, Rick Snyder. He told me he said, "You
1174	know, if there's one thing you can really do to help create

1175	jobs and move on infrastructure is to expand Pell to make
1176	sure that it's involved in community colleges and job
1177	training."
1178	In my district, we've got two nuclear plants. We've got
1179	a new LNG plant that they're almost ready to break ground on,
1180	which will, as I am told, double the tax base for that
1181	particular community. It's a couple years away from being
1182	complete but they're ready to break ground, I believe, this
1183	spring.
1184	As I meet with my IBEW folks, they have a very active
1185	group in Michiana, as we say Indiana and Michigan. I've
1186	been to a number of their events over the years and they are
1187	very proud, rightly so, of the work that they do creating the
1188	jobs, the internships.
1189	I am fascinated with your with what's happened in
1190	Houston and the leading role that you play because I do
1191	believe that that skills gap and worker training out of be
1192	part, again, perhaps, of an infrastructure bill creating the
1193	jobs that we want, knowing that we are going to improve the
1194	infrastructure across the country.
1195	How do you both see perhaps an expanded role as it
1196	relates to worker training, working through our community

1197	colleges which, again, in my view, is so important?
1198	Maybe Mr. Ross, start with you and come back to Dr.
1199	Hellyer.
1200	Mr. Ross. I mean, I reference to I mean, we are
1201	always looking for skilled craftsmen or top-rated individuals
1202	that come out of the community colleges because we love
1203	getting those individuals directly out of the community
1204	college because that makes our job easier transition them
1205	right into our apprenticeship program.
1206	I mean, at least for linemen it's a three-year program -
1207	- our inside program for a journeyman wireman like myself is
1208	a five-year program. So any advanced training they get it
1209	gives them a leg up on someone trying to apply for our
1210	program and get in our program.
1211	So we are always we work directly with community
1212	colleges. I know where I am from, from West Virginia, we
1213	work directly with our community colleges there to get those
1214	individuals.
1215	I would go out and visit those community colleges
1216	encourage them to take an application for our program. So we
1217	work I mean, I know throughout the country IBEW always
1218	works with the community colleges.

1219	Mr. Upton. And Dr. Hellyer, I would just say we've got
1220	a great we've got a lot of good really great community
1221	colleges in my district.
1222	One of them is Kalamazoo Valley KVCC. They actually
1223	have a wind turbine school training folks and they have jobs
1224	right away as the graduate.
1225	Ms. Hellyer. So there's a couple of things I think
1226	could be done. Right now, they're the Higher Education
1227	Reauthorization Act is being looked at.
1228	There's some talks about making it where Pell can be
1229	used for short-term programs. For us, that could be very
1230	helpful, especially with programs like commercial truck
1231	driving that don't qualify right now.
1232	As far as working with IBEW, apprenticeship, programs,
1233	we do that quite often. I was in Austin yesterday for a
1234	meeting around a new program in trying to take high school
1235	students and move them into apprenticeship and going into
1236	licensing for plumbing, electrical, and one of the comments
1237	came up how do they use their Pell dollars for that.
1238	So I think there needs to be some more flexibility built
1239	into the program and because some of these programs are going
1240	to take longer than what you have Pell dollars available and

1241	so how do you leverage that.
1242	So you need short-term but then you also need some of
1243	the long term where students are going out and working and
1244	then coming back.
1245	Mr. Upton. I know Virginia Foxx would like me to say
1246	that that looks like additional jurisdiction for this
1247	committee.
1248	With that, I yield to the ranking member of the
1249	subcommittee, Mr. Rush.
1250	Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1251	Mr. Ross, I really want to commend the IBEW Local 134 in
1252	my city and my state. They're doing a remarkable job
1253	rebuilding the in terms of a grammar school a closed
1254	grammar school and they're turning that into a union hall
1255	really, really nice right next to another of our
1256	vocational high schools.
1257	And so they're in the forefront of really taking CTE
1258	students and giving them skills and training and I really
1259	want to commend your union for that. I mean, they're
1260	wonderful people.
1261	Dr. Hellyer, the city of Houston has a number of
1262	comprehensive workforce development strategies that includes

1263	training and in K to 12 levels, community college levels,
1264	university, and vocational educational levels. This decision
1265	allows candidates to be trained and developed throughout all
1266	stages of the educational spectrum.
1267	My workforce development bill attempts to run this model
1268	to a national level and is aimed at training minorities,
1269	women, veterans, and unemployed energy workers for good-
1270	paying jobs and careers.
1271	And then I want to ask first of all, I want to
1272	commend you on your leadership in San Jacinto College and I
1273	hope that you will work with my office to help make my bill a
1274	reality as part of a broader infrastructure package.
1275	I think that you have shown tremendous insight into the
1276	needs of our nation by what you're doing at San Jacinto and I
1277	also want to commend you. I think that your leadership is
1278	surely and truly inspirational, notwithstanding the comments
1279	of my friend Chairman Upton's subcommittee.
1280	Mayor McCarthy, you are on the forefront on trying to
1281	reconcile the needs and priorities of your constituents with
1282	the budgetary restraints so many of our states and cities are
1283	facing.
1284	What are your thoughts on the administration's proposal

1285	asking states and local municipalities to cover 80 percent of
1286	new funding for infrastructure projects?
1287	Is this realistic, in your view? Are you concerned with
1288	the federal government's attempt to shirk its responsibility
1289	of investing in a serious and meaningful way in our nation's
1290	aging energy infrastructure?
1291	Mr. McCarthy. Thank you, sir.
1292	I approach it that the 80/20 funding formula that's
1293	proposed is really over simplistic. There are, again, many
1294	components that could be financed within the revenue streams
1295	that exist today.
1296	But some of the emerging technologies are new. You have
1297	to do the proof of concept. They're going to happen.
1298	They're happening in other countries. You're seeing things
1299	in South Korea.
1300	You're seeing things in the Mideast where they're
1301	developing and deploying technologies faster than we are
1302	doing here in the United States.
1303	And so how do you build that resiliency into the grid
1304	and at the same time create a platform that really positions
1305	not only our communities but the country as a whole to take
1306	advantage of it and go forward so that you're creating jobs,

1307	you're creating economic opportunities, and you're improving
1308	just the quality of life and, hopefully, in your
1309	deliberations that you will look at those formulas and create
1310	the regulatory environment that allows things that are self-
1311	financing to go forward but at the same time look at those
1312	things that are new and emerging that we need assistance and
1313	are going to need some subsidy or large amount of financing
1314	from the federal government to ensure that they're developed,
1315	deployed, and continue to allow this country to lead in a
1316	global environment.
1317	Mr. Rush. My second question to you, Mayor, is the
1318	administration under this administration the agencies that
1319	had been previously preparing plans to increase resilience to
1320	climatic events for access under their purview are now
1321	forbidden from even uttering the phrase "climate change,"
1322	much less preparing for its consequences and its symptoms.
1323	Do you see the need for significant federal investment
1324	in local energy assurance plans to advance resiliency efforts
1325	including proposals to combat climatic events? Do you
1326	Mr. McCarthy. I am sorry. Directed to me again?
1327	Mr. Rush. Yes, sir.
1328	Mr. McCarthy. Climate change is happening. There's

1329	debate in terms of what's causing that but it's happening.
1330	And so we have to take that into account in terms of public
1331	policy and how do you look to reduce greenhouse gases.
1332	Most of the scenarios that are out there also allow for
1333	cost savings, improved efficiencies, and job creation when
1334	you do the reduction in greenhouse gases so that you're
1335	improving the environment at the same time creating
1336	opportunities for some of these emerging technologies and
1337	emerging skill sets where we have to have a work force and
1338	some of the other panellists have talked about that are
1339	able to provide these skill sets that we need for products
1340	services that people demand.
1341	Mr. Rush. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
1342	Mr. Olson. [Presiding.] The gentleman's time has
1343	expired. The chair now calls upon the chairman of the full
1344	committee from the Beaver State, Mr. Walden, for five
1345	minutes.
1346	The Chairman. I thank the gentleman. As an Oregon
1347	Duck, I don't always refer to it as the Beaver State,
1348	although that is our mascot.
1349	[Laughter.]
1350	So, Mr. Ross, thank you for being here. To all of our

1351	panellists, again, thank you for your testimony on this very
1352	important set of issues.
1353	I know I've worked closely with IBEW out in Oregon
1354	Local 48 and 659, I think and toured the apprentice
1355	operation there. It's very impressive.
1356	Where's the gap? What do we need to be doing? I know
1357	we don't directly have that jurisdiction but this is
1358	important because we can help streamline projects without
1359	diminishing the environmental piece of this.
1360	We can, you know, do a lot of work here to get pipelines
1361	and power lines and broadband going. But if we don't have
1362	the skilled workforce necessary to do the work, we got a
1363	problem.
1364	So can you talk about your apprenticeship programs and
1365	where you're at and what we need to be thinking about?
1366	Mr. Ross. Well, we need a lot more, quite frankly. I
1367	mean, we are doing our level best to try to attract
1368	individuals into our programs. I mean, for our outside
1369	program we have approximately 4,600 registered apprentices
1370	for the line side and around 32,000 for our inside program
1371	and we certainly could use a lot more.
1372	But what you run into, we are unique in construction and

most people is familiar -- we work ourselves out of a job.

13/3	most people is lamiliar we work ourselves out of a job.
1374	So we are always looking for the next one.
1375	So good steady work forecasts certainly helps our
1376	apprenticeship programs, certainly attract individuals into
1377	our programs but also keeps them working. So it's hard for a
1378	local union to accept a bunch of apprentices if they don't
1379	have a place for them to work.
1380	The Chairman. Right. Right.
1381	Mr. Ross. So that's our dilemma. It's kind of a catch-
1382	22. So we are always looking at the next job, and we
1383	certainly went through a major recession in 2007 and '08.
1384	The Chairman. Yes, sir.
1385	Mr. Ross. We would call it depression for our industry.
1386	The Chairman. I would, too.
1387	Mr. Ross. I mean, we had tremendous unemployment. Most
1388	of the locals weren't taking apprentices in because they
1389	couldn't keep them working.
1390	So we are trying to get caught up because we are in an
1391	economic boom for construction right. We are having some
1392	skills shortage. That's why we are working with community
1393	colleges and different groups trying to get those individuals
1394	help.

1373

1395	The Chairman. Yes. I know in the town of my birth, The
1396	Dalles, there's Columbia Gorge Community College, actually,
1397	in both Hood River and The Dalles and they had they
1398	started a wind program wind energy program a long time
1399	ago, teaching safety and some of the electrical skills as
1400	well.
1401	I would like to touch on too when I did a series of town
1402	halls last spring we got some development underway or
1403	proposed in Oregon and some who tried to block this sort of
1404	development ridicule these jobs as temporary jobs.
1405	I heard it a lot at the meeting, and it kind of
1406	perplexed me because while my wife and I have never
1407	constructed our own house, I think if we ever did when the
1408	carpenters were done I wouldn't want them to, like, move into
1409	one of the bedrooms.
1410	I would want them to move on to the next house. But
1411	this is an argument and it's an argument on the left, and I
1412	heard it a lot. Can you speak to those temporary jobs and
1413	are they not worthy? That's a rhetorical question.
1414	Mr. Ross. It is rhetorical, yes. Like I said, we are
1415	always looking for the next project no matter how short. I
1416	mean, there's been times I mean, I am an electrician by

1417	trade, okay.
1418	I just happen to be working in Washington, D.C. now.
1419	But, I mean, I've taken projects that was only supposed to
1420	last three weeks and be there two and a half years.
1421	So I think it's a pretty sad state of affairs, because
1422	all our all our jobs are temporary in construction. Quite
1423	frankly, if you didn't work yourself out of a job you
1424	wouldn't get the next job
1425	The Chairman. That's right.
1426	Mr. Ross because the idea is to get the job done
1427	on time and on budget. So
1428	The Chairman. As you know, we are spending a lot of
1429	time here trying to streamline the permitting process.
1430	Again, we get criticized that somehow we are diminishing the
1431	environmental nature of it. But that's not what we are up
1432	to.
1433	I have a tiny little community in central Oregon that I
1434	think spent years trying to get four power poles on BLM land
1435	Bureau of Land Management land to go through the
1436	permitting process, and I know others say, "Oh, it never
1437	slows you down." It does.
1438	Half of my district more than that is federal

1439	land. So we encounter this everywhere we go, and it took
1440	them three or four years to get these four power poles sited
1441	so that they could get three-phase power into Mitchell,
1442	Oregon for the first time.
1443	Do you run into these permitting delays?
1444	Mr. Ross. Well, I kind of addressed that in my
1445	testimony. But yes, we will run into those issues all the
1446	time. Unfortunately, some of these projects would put a lot
1447	of people to work.
1448	Most of them have been through the siting permitting
1449	process and are just sitting there basically to get done but
1450	being held up through someone on the other side doesn't want
1451	I mean, I get where people don't want a power line in
1452	their back yard. I get that.
1453	The Chairman. Sure.
1454	Mr. Ross. But in some cases
1455	The Chairman. They do want the power to come on when
1456	the switch is thrown, though.
1457	Mr. Ross. Exactly. I mean, the same case when
1458	people's lights go out they want their power back on. They
1459	don't really care what they look like.
1460	The Chairman. Well, I thank you and I thank all our

1461	witnesses for your input.
1462	And Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
1463	Mr. Olson. Gentleman's time has expired.
1464	The chair now calls upon the gentleman from the
1465	thirteenth largest city in California Stockton, California
1466	Mr. McNerney, five minutes, sir.
1467	Mr. McNerney. Well, thank you for that little
1468	statistic, Mr. Chairman, and I thank the panel for coming and
1469	testifying this morning.
1470	The U.S. clearly needs to modernize our electrical
1471	infrastructure. The technology exists today to do that. We
1472	can make our grid resilient and responsive.
1473	We can meet consumer demands that are changing by the
1474	day. We can meet the demands of intermittent resources,
1475	physical and cyber-attacks, and the changing weather patterns
1476	that are brought on by climate change that have brought down
1477	grid in Puerto Rico, in Texas, in New York, New Jersey, and
1478	in California.
1479	So we have the resources the capabilities to do that
1480	and, fortunately, my good friend, Bob Latta, and I have
1481	formed a Grid Innovation Caucus to make people aware of
1482	what's available and the need to move forward on that. So I

1483	just wanted to make that clear.
1484	Mr. Devine, I worked on the Hydropower Modernization Act
1485	and one of the things that struck me was definitely how long
1486	it took to get permits, how expensive it was to get permits.
1487	Could you say a little bit about how much hydropower we
1488	could expect if that was improved?
1489	Mr. Devine. I would hate to guess in terms of the total
1490	amount of capacity involved but it's thousands of megawatts.
1491	It's very significant.
1492	It's as I mentioned to Chairman Upton, the view of
1493	the risk in the amount of time it takes to improve even
1494	somewhat straightforward projects is very difficult for
1495	investors to accept.
1496	So I think there is considerable amount of available
1497	upgrade potential and power to be added to existing dams that
1498	have no power and I think it's in I think in my testimony
1499	I reported this as well in the thousands of megawatts.
1500	I am working on a project right now where we have an
1501	upgrade potential of something on the order of an existing
1502	an existing station something on the order of 20 or 30
1503	megawatts.
1504	Now, that may not seem large but that's just one station

1505	in location. That's a significant amount. We are now in our
1506	seventh or eighth year of licensing. It's not the only
1507	issue, of course, but this is the licensing process can
1508	hold up these upgrades and these improvements for a
1509	considerable amount of time.
1510	It's very difficult for the investors to wait that long
1511	in order to realize a return on that.
1512	Mr. McNerney. Thank you.
1513	Mr. Ross, you mentioned private activity bonds. Could
1514	you expand on that a little bit? I've done some legislative
1515	work on that. How important would that be in terms of
1516	municipal bonds and other tools?
1517	Mr. Ross. I am going to have to take a pass on that one
1518	and get our political department or someone get you an answer
1519	to that, okay?
1520	Mr. McNerney. Dr. Chen, you mentioned pretty pointedly
1521	that we would require regions to coordinate transmission
1522	planning.
1523	Could you go into that a little bit? I mean, how would
1524	that how would that work? How would that speed up our
1525	process? How would it make it more easy to put in
1526	transmission?

1527	Ms. Chen. All right. So there are two parts to that
1528	and I appreciate that question.
1529	So first, in the transmission planning process, the
1530	different regions the different ISOs and RTOs plan
1531	separately and they're required to coordinate by FERC for
1532	interregional projects.
1533	But, unfortunately, that's not really producing any
1534	projects. So what we really need to see is a full joint
1535	interregional planning process.
1536	FERC can use its existing authority to extend order
1537	number 1000 to require this and Congress could write letters
1538	to FERC, hold a hearing for FERC to ask how they can move
1539	forward in that process.
1540	Separately, in terms of siting, especially some of these
1541	long lines, coordinating between state and federal processes
1542	as well as locals and other stakeholders landowners
1543	would be greatly helpful.
1544	We've seen great success and, for example, in the
1545	Department of Energy and Department of Interior working
1546	together with the state of California to site 9 megawatts of
1547	solar in just nine months by coordinating together, doing as
1548	much of the environmental review concurrently and jointly,

1549	and that sped things up a lot.
1550	There is a great example about a Midwestern project,
1551	CapX2020, that I can go into further. But that also
1552	highlighted a University of Minnesota report highlighted a
1553	lot of successes that arose out of the coordination there as
1554	well.
1555	Mr. McNerney. Very briefly, does anyone have anything
1556	to say about ARPA-E? Would that the elimination of ARPA-
1557	E, is that going to set us back in terms of our electrical
1558	infrastructure development? Anybody on the panel.
1559	Mr. Devine. In terms of the, Congressman McNerney, the
1560	renewable portfolio standards that you're referring to?
1561	Mr. McNerney. No, ARPA that's the advanced renewable
1562	energy or advanced energy research based on DARPA.
1563	Ms. Chen. Very briefly I am sorry very briefly, I
1564	think that would set us back.
1565	Mr. Olson. The gentleman's time has expired. The chair
1566	now calls upon the gentleman who was the former chairman of
1567	the full committee, the current vice chairman of the full
1568	committee and a proud Texas Aggie, Mr. Barton.
1569	Mr. Barton. Well, we thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank
1570	you for that introduction.

1571	This is a difficult hearing for me to kind of get my
1572	arms around because we are trying to put a government spin,
1573	apparently, on private sector investment in infrastructure.
1574	I do believe there's a legitimate public interest
1575	certainly in the permitting and licensing part of these big
1576	infrastructure projects. We certainly need to protect our
1577	environment.
1578	And I think you could argue that if you look at public
1579	sector infrastructure highways, bridges, ports compare
1580	it to private sector infrastructure pipelines, refineries,
1581	transmission lines the private sector has done a better
1582	job.
1583	We seem to be more up to date in our private sector
1584	infrastructure than our public sector infrastructure. So,
1585	you know, I think while it's important to look at permitting
1586	reforms and things like that, if it's not broke don't fix it.
1587	I guess one question I have to the mayor of Schenectady
1588	it's always good to have local officials here you're
1589	closer to the problems.
1590	There's been an ongoing problem for decades in the
1591	Midwest and the Northeast. When you need power, electricity,
1592	natural gas it's hard to get the permits for the transmission

1593	lines or the pipelines to get that power or that product to
1594	your part of the country.
1595	Do you have the solution on how to balance the
1596	legitimate needs of the state and local government against
1597	the public good and interstate commerce of getting the
1598	product from point A to point B if it cross state lines?
1599	Mr. McCarthy. I don't, Congressman.
1600	Mr. Barton. That's an honest answer.
1601	[Laughter.]
1602	Mr. McCarthy. Even though I think the opportunity is
1603	out there, as you see some of the emerging technologies where
1604	you had, you know, centralized points of generation and the
1605	distribution network was, clearly, in one direction that is
1606	changing.
1607	So where you have solar and wind that are being added to
1608	it that can provide supplemental points of generation and the
1609	ability to balance the load so that you don't get the peak
1610	demand anymore, those will take some of the pressure off the
1611	need to have the central points of generation at the same
1612	time will hopefully be able to allow it to be done in a cost-
1613	effective manner for the consumers who will take advantage of
1614	some of the newer concepts and products that are out there.

1615	Mr. Barton. That's actually a very good answer. If you
1616	eliminate the need to cross the state line, you have solved
1617	the problem and so more of these alternative energy projects
1618	that are on site. Those eliminate that need.
1619	But it's I think you're still going to need to
1620	somehow figure out a way to move power from or natural gas
1621	or oil from Texas to New York or Chicago. There are going to
1622	be occasions where you still need to cross state lines. But
1623	your solution is
1624	Mr. McCarthy. And I agree with that. I don't have a
1625	solution, though, for the regulatory environment or the
1626	ability to make sure that adequate capacity is there.
1627	Mr. Barton. This last question is a little bit off
1628	subject but it is it is infrastructure related and that's
1629	who should be the lead and who should pay to protect our
1630	infrastructure, our power plants and things like that against
1631	cyber-attacks? So okay, Mr. Slocum, just
1632	Mr. Slocum. Yes. We own quite a bit of that
1633	infrastructure and we certainly do a lot to protect
1634	especially our most critical facilities and our critical
1635	systems that we use to operate the bulk electric system from
1636	cyber-attacks.

1637	So we cover those costs and ultimate those go to our
1638	ratepayers today. But I do think there is a need for a
1639	discussion about at what point does that stop for private
1640	industry and what point does the government help to do that
1641	in areas where we are getting into even acts of war and
1642	things of that nature.
1643	So I have a concern that private industry not have to be
1644	burdened with those costs. But we are certainly ready to
1645	work together with government to meet those needs and make
1646	sure their infrastructure is protected.
1647	Mr. Barton. I see my time has expired.
1648	Final question are you any kin to the former football
1649	coach who's my great friend, R.C. Slocum of Texas A&M?
1650	Mr. Slocum. I can't say that I am but it's not the
1651	first Slocum I've been asked if I am related to. So thanks.
1652	Mr. Barton. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1653	Mr. Olson. Gentleman's time has expired.
1654	The chair now calls upon a friend who rooted against the
1655	L.A. Dodgers in the World Series and for our Houston Astros,
1656	Mr. Peters, for five minutes.
1657	Mr. Peters. Thank you. I always enjoy what hearing
1658	what my introduction is going to be, Mr. Chairman. So thank

1659	you very much.
1660	Thanks for being here. I want to ask Dr. Chen a couple
1661	questions.
1662	Dr. Chen, I have to say I read your testimony and, you
1663	know, we hear all the time from businesses and investors that
1664	regulatory system can cause uncertainty and the length of
1665	delay can cause projects not to get built or be more
1666	expensive or result in investors not wanting to take these
1667	risks.
1668	The citations in your testimony to the Department of
1669	Energy's own statistics, the Center for American Progress, I
1670	get I mean, I understand that people argue that it isn't a
1671	problem. But we hear from people who are actually doing the
1672	investing that it is a problem and I just don't think that we
1673	do ourselves any favors on this side of the aisle by not
1674	thinking about what we could do to improve the process to
1675	achieve high standards and yet do it more quickly in a way
1676	that's more certain for people.
1677	What happens, I think, when we don't do that is that we
1678	get the kinds of things that President Trump has proposed,
1679	which is an evisceration of the regulatory system that
1680	doesn't get us high standards.

1681	So I wanted to just ask you about a couple things that
1682	Mr. Slocum suggested which seem, to me, reasonable and see if
1683	you have an issue with them.
1684	Could Congress require concurrent NEPA analysis and
1685	environmental reviews by all permitting agencies? Is there
1686	an issue you have with that?
1687	Ms. Chen. No. So, certainly, there are a lot of
1688	provisions in place that enable a joint review so
1689	Mr. Peters. Could it be required?
1690	Ms. Chen. It could be. I haven't
1691	Mr. Peters. Okay. How about requiring concurrent NEPA
1692	analysis well, that's the same thing requiring
1693	cooperating agencies to use the information already contained
1694	in the lead agency's NEPA document as the basis for their
1695	permit-related reviews?
1696	Ms. Chen. I think it's something to consider. I think
1697	there are a lot of efficiencies that can be explored. But
1698	our main issue is eliminating or curtailing environmental
1699	protections.
1700	Mr. Peters. I understand, too, and I think that's not
1701	where I want to get to. The other thing is that whether we
1702	should set a deadline, and I got to tell you I was shocked

1703	when I got on this committee and heard that hydropower which,
1704	you know, is, basically, clean base load energy takes 10
1705	years to get a permit for.
1706	And the thing that we learned is something you
1707	suggested, too, in your references to success stories. In
1708	the success stories you have these people who are remarkably
1709	talented and well-motivated to work together and they get it
1710	done in nine months.
1711	That's a really ad hoc kind of cross-your-fingers
1712	approach to permitting, I think, because you might not get
1713	people who are so willing to work together. You might not
1714	get you might get opponents who are more vociferous.
1715	And for me, it would be much more comfortable if we
1716	could if we could find a way to get these decisions made
1717	in the right way, in a way that protects the environment but
1718	also gives an answer.
1719	I've always said no is the second best answer. You
1720	know, let people know. And I do I was just actually
1721	looking at Twitter because there is some down time in these
1722	hearings, believe it or not, and NRDC is opposing a pipeline
1723	very vociferously right now on Twitter, and that's fine.
1724	But I just don't think there's any excuse for not

getting this done in a quicker way. And so I would like to work with you.  By the way, you went to the finest law school in the United States of America. I would like to work with you, as
By the way, you went to the finest law school in the
United States of America. I would like to work with you, as
a former alum of the same school, to see if we can't come up
with better responses to the concern that we are hearing from
the economy that this permitting process is in the way.
It's getting it's too inefficient. I think we can do
it in a way that's useful.
Mr. Devine, I wanted to ask you, just in case we haven't
covered it, you said in your testimony that you didn't think
that Congress was at fault for the length of time it takes to
do hydropower.
So I want to make sure that you tell me if there's
anything Congress should do to address the situation out
there.
Mr. Devine. Yes. Thank you, Congressman Peters.
I think there is, definitely. Let me do it by example,
possibly. So I think what FERC tried to do with the
integrated licensing process was try to bring some order and
some efficiency to that process.
It was a collaborative rulemaking process, which meant

1747	that all of the agencies and all conservation groups and the
1748	industry was involved in coming up with that process.
1749	And yes, it's still a long process but it's very
1750	structured and you go through the process and FERC, I think,
1751	has brought some efficiency to the in their effort to
1752	bring to the federal hydropower licensing process.
1753	The difficulty that we have in the process is you get to
1754	a certain point and it and there are other federal and
1755	state licensing processes that then interact with that
1756	process and they don't have any sort of schedule particularly
1757	and I think the courts have actually said I am not an
1758	attorney that FERC is not in a position to force those
1759	agencies to meet any particular deadlines. So that means
1760	there is no deadline.
1761	Mr. Peters. So we should look at action-forcing,
1762	perhaps?
1763	Mr. Devine. Yes, I think so.
1764	Mr. Peters. All right. Thank you. My time has
1765	expired. I really to appreciate all the witnesses being
1766	here.
1767	I yield back.
1768	Mr. Olson. Gentleman yields back.

1769	The chair now calls upon himself for five minutes.
1770	My first question is for you, Dr. Hellyer, and again,
1771	it's so great to have you here this afternoon. You are the
1772	best of the best.
1773	As we both know, incredibly, I think kids these days
1774	still think they have to get a four-year Bachelor's degree to
1775	be successful in America.
1776	But as San Jac shows, there are incredible opportunities
1777	and jobs related to American energy and infrastructure for
1778	kids without a B.A.
1779	Can you please tell me a little about what draws your
1780	students to your programs and how you're actively in the
1781	community to raise the profile of energy industry courses?
1782	Ms. Hellyer. Excuse me. I think it comes down to our
1783	relationship across all the sectors with our K through 12
1784	partners, with our university partners, but mostly with our
1785	industry partners and we tackle that together.
1786	As I mentioned, we bring 6,000 sixth graders onto
1787	campus. That is based on hands-on experiments so that they
1788	can be working with industry partners at the table, seeing
1789	what happens in our petrochem facility, seeing what's
1790	happening on in the maritime industry.

1791	Then we also reconnect with them again as they're going
1792	through eighth grade and we give those teachers experiments
1793	so they can refresh that in the classes.
1794	And in ninth grade, there is the speakers' bureau where
1795	we are going out into the high schools with, again, industry
1796	partners talking about the jobs.
1797	We had had many years where we weren't really focussing
1798	on the jobs in our region, and when you can become a process
1799	operator making \$100,000 a year with an Associate degree, you
1800	start to look at that differently when you can be a welder
1801	and making \$75,000 a year.
1802	And so we are really putting that marketing campaign
1803	together but that marketing campaign is for students, it's
1804	for parents, and it's also for teachers and counselors in our
1805	in our high schools because they don't necessarily
1806	understand all the pieces of our region.
1807	But then having industry really engaged in our programs,
1808	having internships, having apprenticeships where they can get
1809	hands-on training and then being involved in that interview
1810	process.
1810 1811	process.  So it's across the board partnerships.

1813	about how you work with employers and local high schools to
1814	help students transition into industry?
1815	We've heard some confirm this one day in May every
1816	year some young men and women walks across the stage, gets
1817	his high school diploma, spins around, puts on a different
1818	cap and gown and walks by and gets an AA from San Jacinto.
1819	Please explain that success you had with merging the
1820	education sector with your work there at San Jacinto College.
1821	Ms. Hellyer. So we have eight early college high
1822	schools and these are early college high schools designed for
1823	high school students to be earning an Associate degree at the
1824	same time as they're getting their high school diploma.
1825	So they will actually earn an Associate degree two weeks
1826	before they graduate from high school, and it's a great
1827	program. It's an intense program and people say, "Well, how
1828	are those kids ready?" It's because of the screening
1829	process. It's because of support systems.
1830	And where do those go to? I can tell you I've had
1831	students going to Princeton, UT, Penn State just all
1832	across the country they're going to the top colleges after
1833	they graduate from us.
1834	But we also have a similar program for career and

1835	technical education. So, again, they're getting their career
1836	and technical process tech degree or a welding degree so they
1837	can go into the workforce right away.
1838	So at our graduation the youngest graduate can be 17
1839	earning an Associate degree and in December the oldest was
1840	72. So we serve everybody.
1841	Mr. Olson. That includes my alma mater Rice, Mr.
1842	Flores' alma mater, A&M Texas A&M is that correct
1843	along those litany of UT and other schools?
1844	Ms. Hellyer. Yes. Our top five transfer universities,
1845	A&M and UT, are right there, and then all the University of
1846	Houston universities.
1847	Mr. Olson. Thank you.
1848	One question for you, Mr. Slocum. We know that building
1849	a new transmission line, especially longer ones across the
1850	state lines or electricity markets is remarkably complex. You
1851	said a decade, in some cases, in your opening statement.
1852	What is the largest driver for these delays? Is there
1853	anything Congress can do to make this move faster?
1854	Mr. Slocum. Yes. I would say the largest delays that
1855	we have we have an example of a project between Iowa and
1856	Wisconsin that we got approval for I believe back in 2011, if

1857	I have my date correct, and we don't expect to complete that
1858	project until 2023.
1859	So we plan the project and we stand ready to build the
1860	project. But it's getting that permitting process done in
1861	the middle.
1862	And so I agree with a lot of what's been said today,
1863	that there are ways that we can more efficiently move through
1864	that process such that we can get to the point where we are
1865	building the lines, building the projects and those benefits
1866	are flowing to consumers rather than waiting and going
1867	through a serial permitting process.
1868	Mr. Olson. Thank you.
1869	One final question for you, Dr. Hellyer. My dear
1870	colleague, Mr. Green, in his opening statement mentioned I've
1871	not talked about the Houston Astros, and that's true. I
1872	didn't do that because I knew you could talk about the
1873	Houston Astros for me.
1874	[Laughter.]
1875	They went to the World Series in 2005 for the first time
1876	in the Astros' history. Two star players were on that team -
1877	- Hall of Famers Roger Clemens, Andy Pettitte.
1878	Where did they start playing there all beyond high

1879	school? What school was that?
1880	Ms. Hellyer. San Jacinto College.
1881	[Laughter.]
1882	Mr. Olson. Thank you. I yield back and yield to the
1883	gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, for five minutes.
1884	Mr. Green. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I thank you for
1885	allowing me to testify or to ask questions, rather.
1886	I was shocked because one of the successes of San
1887	Jacinto College, Andy Pettitte a great baseball player but
1888	he comes back every year and has a great golf tournament that
1889	supports San Jacinto College. And I am not a very good
1890	golfer. I haven't had a chance to play but I will at least
1891	go to the reception.
1892	So but thank you, and thank all our witnesses for being
1893	here. I have a very urban district in Houston and one of the
1894	campuses of San Jacinto College is there and I have students
1895	from our district who go to the other two campuses.
1896	And I just want to thank Dr. Hellyer and the leadership
1897	both of the board of trustees but over the years at San
1898	Jacinto College because I was a state senator before I got to
1899	Congress I saw San Jacinto College doing some of the things
1900	that are so important today.

1901	Dr. Hellyer, you can liberate can you elaborate on
1902	the partnership with local industry the college heads and
1903	the Center for Petroleum Energy and Technology?
1904	I am interested in sharing more about how the industry
1905	guidance towards the curriculum is getting students ready for
1906	those real jobs today and not just generalized certificates,
1907	because I've been there and seen that partnership between the
1908	industry the people who hire our constituents and the
1909	college.
1910	Ms. Hellyer. So one of the things with industry we have
1911	90 petrochemical plants right there around us and it really
1912	is how do you partner.
1913	And so I make it very clear I want the good, bad, and
1914	the ugly around our programs and we are going to fix the bad
1915	and the ugly, and that's what the conversations are.
1916	And so, for example, our electrical program, as we've
1917	dug into that, it was too focused on residential. We have
1918	redesigned it where it has a commercial and industrial phase.
1919	Industry has come to the table and gotten us almost \$2
1920	million in donations so that we can really have the program
1921	that they need.
1922	We have built in the kind of testing they want, the kind

1923	of components they feel are so critical, the safety
1924	components, and we are just constantly revising our programs.
1925	One of the things that we needed to do was hire somebody
1926	from industry to run the program and so we have hired a man
1927	named Jim Griffin who has been a plant manager or in the
1928	industry for about 30 years and he's retired to work with us.
1929	He has the respect of industry and he has is working
1930	with us on how we continue to develop and develop our faculty
1931	around that. It's the same approach we took with our
1932	maritime programs.
1933	But it really is creating the environment where you're
1934	having the conversations and then you're responding and
1935	you're bringing the resources to the table as partners.
1936	Mr. Green. I want to ask a question of Mr. Ross.
1937	Mr. Ross, when I was going to college I didn't play
1938	football well enough to get a scholarship so I was I did
1939	my apprenticeship as a printer while I was going to school.
1940	Can the IBEW or other trades partner with programs like
1941	San Jacinto College? How hard is it to get credit for
1942	college credit, for example, for what may be the standard
1943	apprentice program for IBEW or plumbers or pipefitters or
1944	anything like that?

1945	Mr. Ross. Well, as I stated earlier we certainly work
1946	with community colleges in an attempt to try to steal their
1947	graduates and to get them into our program, definitely.
1948	Second, we also our five-year inside apprenticeship
1949	program we work with community colleges for those individuals
1950	once they complete our program to get an Associate's degree.
1951	So we work with once they graduate they work with the
1952	community colleges to get their Associate's degree. So they
1953	our program is accredited for toward an Associate's
1954	degree. So that's what we do.
1955	Mr. Green. And I think that's important because most
1956	folks getting out of high school want to earn a living and
1957	they may not be able to afford a college and go to college
1958	and they also may not want to take out loans that so they
1959	could actually get a both get a job and do an
1960	apprenticeship.
1961	And I always remember my third year in my apprenticeship
1962	I actually started making decent money and but and I was
1963	able to get a business degree.
1964	And, you know, so that's why I would like to see if we
1965	could structure that with our trades and also our community
1966	colleges. That's really important in my area in Houston and

1967	San Jac is part of it.
1968	And I know you're getting competition from some of our
1969	other community colleges. I am trying to get them to realize
1970	that trades skills are really important and, frankly, I
1971	remember when I was graduating from college I had an offer of
1972	\$600 a month 1971 dollars, by the way and I explained
1973	to those companies that offered me that I said, "Well, I
1974	am making \$850 now and so I think I will stay in Houston and
1975	help manage this printing business."
1976	So but so that can be done but we mine was just
1977	lucky. I would like to see it structuralized so whether they
1978	be in our district or anywhere else they can get that
1979	training and if they want to go on and get an electrical
1980	engineer's degree, that's great. But they can at least
1981	support their families.
1982	So Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you and but and you
1983	and I both are Astros fans and I know we'll be at the White
1984	House next week.
1985	Mr. Olson. Yes, we will. Gentleman yields back.
1986	The chair now calls upon the gentleman from the
1987	Commonwealth of Virginia, Mr. Griffith, for five minutes.
1988	Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and

1989	since you always like to talk about sports I would be remiss,
1990	coming from the Commonwealth of Virginia, if I didn't mention
1991	the number-one basketball team in the country is UVA.
1992	But we are particularly proud in my district of the fact
1993	that our Virginia Tech Hokies beat the number-one team a
1994	couple week back and last night dispatched with the number
1995	five Duke team. So we are very proud of that.
1996	The district is one that has a lot of assets. We are a
1997	coal mining district. We have natural gas. Last week, I
1998	attended a meeting with a solar company in district.
1999	But, Mr. Devine, we also have a lot of water and
2000	hydropower is an essential component of an all-of-the-above
2001	strategy, which I have always supported, and I believe should
2002	be included in any infrastructure package that passes through
2003	this committee.
2004	I had a bill earlier or last fall earlier in the
2005	session H.R. 2880, which streamlines the licensing process
2006	for the construction of closed-loop pump storage hydropower
2007	projects.
2008	I see those as giant batteries that are very energy
2009	efficient. I enjoyed reading your testimony where it talks
2010	about how hydro is the number-one, quote, unquote, "clean

2011	energy source in the country," and I was wondering if you
2012	could explain to folks exactly how closed-loop pump storage
2013	hydro projects provide to our grid.
2014	Mr. Devine. Thank you. Be a pleasure to do so.
2015	So one of the aspects about pump storage is that it does
2016	help to bring in and bring in other renewable energy
2017	sources. It helps to regulate the grid in being able to
2018	incorporate those other renewable energy sources.
2019	The closed-loop part of pump basically, what pump
2020	storage is is that during periods historically, during
2021	periods of high demand an upper reservoir would throw water
2022	down to the lower reservoir and generate electricity in doing
2023	that.
2024	And then during periods of lower demand, base load
2025	stations like nuclear or coal would use energy to pump that
2026	water back up to use it at a more peak time.
2027	I think the role of pump storage is now changing. It's
2028	changing significantly, because it's now very critical to
2029	bring stability to the grid during the and incorporating
2030	the other renewable energy generation opportunities into the
2031	grid and keeping stability to the grid.
2032	So the closed-loop part of this would be that while some

2033	pump storage projects are using water from, say, a river
2034	system that in flowing by that would pump up water to the
2035	upper reservoir and then release it back to the river.
2036	A closed-loop system basically brings water into the
2037	system for one time and then is just constantly moving that
2038	water back and forth between the upper and lower reservoir.
2039	It only takes a little bit of water then to make up for
2040	some evaporation losses. So that closed-loop system, once
2041	built, basically operates by itself alone without any
2042	additional water flow or impact to the environment once
2043	built.
2044	Mr. Griffith. And as a result of that, do you agree
2045	that that warrants expedited consideration by FERC and with
2046	some relaxed regulations because we are using the same water
2047	over and over again so that we don't have as much impact on
2048	the environment?
2049	Mr. Devine. I do, and one of the main reasons is
2050	because oftentimes what's indicated to be the primary issue
2051	with respect to those is the effect of the river, where the
2052	water is being flowing into and pumping out of fishery
2053	impacts, sediment impacts, other related potential impacts.
2054	With a closed system a closed-loop system, once you

2055	have built and filled these reservoirs and take care of that
2056	in the original licensing, you don't have that issue
2057	potential issue any further.
2058	So I do believe that it deserves that more efficient
2059	process and expedited licensing process.
2060	Mr. Griffith. And we've been kind of interested in
2061	because we hear all the time from folks who oppose coal that,
2062	you know, you all need to transition, we've been kind of
2063	interested in maybe putting one of these inside an abandoned
2064	coal mine because then there's really virtually no impact to
2065	the environment. Would you agree with that?
2066	Mr. Devine. Yes. I think there's opportunities for
2067	with a lower reservoir potentially to be inside old mining
2068	facilities. I think there have been several of those in the
2069	past proposed and some actually moved through the back in
2070	the '80s I think it was, or early '90s, move through the
2071	processing and were not able to get the financing, not able
2072	to get built at that point but moved through the whole
2073	process of permitting and were closed-loop systems and using
2074	old mines for the lower reservoir.
2075	Mr. Griffith. We have a lot of people who are very
2076	interested in this and anybody that is interested in

2077	investing in the 9th Congressional District for doing one of
2078	these we've got plenty of water to put into the system.
2079	Mr. Slocum. I will just quickly mention ITC may be
2080	interested in that and we do have a project just as
2081	exactly what you just mentioned in northwest Arizona that
2082	we've proposed and we've submitted that to FERC. And so I
2083	agree with everything that was just said. Thanks.
2084	Mr. Griffith. Thank you, and appreciate it and yield
2085	back, Mr. Chairman.
2086	Mr. Olson. Gentleman yields back.
2087	The chair now calls upon the gentle lady from Florida,
2088	who is a huge fan of the chancellor of U of H University
2089	of Houston Dr. Renu Khator, just like Dr. Hellyer and
2090	myself, Ms. Castor, has five minutes.
2091	Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2092	I do have great respect for the University of Houston
2093	Chancellor Dr. Khator and I am sure she was as excited as you
2094	that her old alma mater, the University of South Florida,
2095	defeated the University of Houston in women's basketball last
2096	week.
2097	But thank you for giving me time to be ready with that
2098	one. I want to thank the witnesses for being here today.

2099	Many of you have cited in your testimony the importance of
2100	modernizing America's electrical grid and how that would be a
2101	very important piece of an infrastructure plan for the
2102	country, and I agree.
2103	Many of you have cited benefits of modernizing our grid.
2104	There is creating higher-paying jobs, building in greater
2105	grid resiliency, greater efficiency for our businesses and
2106	electric utilities and so much more.
2107	Many of you know that the Democratic colleagues on this
2108	committee have drafted a piece of legislation called the LIFT
2109	America Act.
2110	My contribution to the LIFT America Act has been to
2111	promote a modern grid that includes clean energy distribution
2112	and really trying to bring the most modern technology that we
2113	have developed to bear in an infrastructure plan.
2114	I think it's clear that if we were to make a real
2115	investment in clean, reliable, and cost-effective energy
2116	resources, we the country would reap huge benefits.
2117	Ms. Chen, in your testimony you highlight the importance
2118	of technological innovations like expanded grid technology,
2119	smart meters, energy storage as part of upgrading the
2120	nation's power infrastructure.

2121	Can you elaborate on that on your vision for a more
2122	modern electrical grid with expanded distribution and greater
2123	technology and what would we need to build that?
2124	Ms. Chen. Sure. That response I probably don't have
2125	enough time to fully flesh that out. But I think the number-
2126	one thing to think about here, especially when we talk about
2127	more clean innovative technologies on the distribution system
2128	is being able to integrate it with the larger bulk
2129	transmission grid so that that way whatever savings in
2130	electricity that you don't have to purchase from the bulk
2131	electricity system you can reap through, you know, less
2132	requirements on the transmission grid infrastructure, lower
2133	requirements on generation infrastructure that could be very
2134	costly for your consumers.
2135	But at the same time, if you integrate these distributed
2136	energy resources like storage, demand response, energy
2137	efficiency, solar panels, you can also allow them to recover
2138	revenues from the wholesale electricity markets.
2139	So one of the great things that FERC recently did was
2140	finalize the storage rule that enables storage, at least, to
2141	compete in the wholesale electricity markets.
2142	What it left behind is the distributed energy resources.

2143	There's a component to that rule that would have enabled
2144	those resources to also participate in the wholesale
2145	electricity markets.
2146	So FERC is going to convene a proceeding to investigate
2147	it further and we would love to see distributed energy
2148	resources to be to be able to participate in the bulk
2149	electric transmission system.
2150	So that kind of integrated system would be the overall
2151	large framework picture that we have for the modern grid.
2152	Ms. Castor. So you would encourage the committee to
2153	urge FERC to move forward on that along with greater I am
2154	hearing the message from a number of witnesses greater
2155	planning in advance across regions to help save money and
2156	become more efficient and put all those technological tools
2157	to use?
2158	Ms. Chen. Right. Absolutely.
2159	So this all goes hand in hand in the transmission
2160	planning process and the regional operators' load forecasting
2161	process. They have a lot of planning that goes on.
2162	Sometimes it's not holistic enough to account for everything
2163	that's on the distribution system.
2164	So, certainly, including these distributed energy

2165	resources in those plans would ensure that we don't overbuild
2166	and, again, it would ensure that if they can participate in
2167	the markets they could reap some of those revenues.
2168	Ms. Castor. And I just want to close by saying that I
2169	think there was bipartisan concern that President Trump's
2170	infrastructure plan, when it was released, it included
2171	nothing in regard to modernizing America's electrical grid,
2172	no just simply no mention, and I think that was a real
2173	absence of vision.
2174	Just like the plan included no mention of broadband
2175	expansion across the country, and I think this committee has
2176	a responsibility to kind of take up that charge on a
2177	bipartisan basis with the matters that are in our
2178	jurisdiction and help lead the way.
2179	We can't do infrastructure and create these high-paying
2180	jobs and take our country to the next level unless we are
2181	infrastructure also means a modern electrical grid and
2182	greater broadband.
2183	So I yield back my time. Thank you.
2184	Mr. Olson. The gentle lady yields back and the chair
2185	wishes to inform the gentle lady that she publicly called Dr.
2186	Khator her new home getting defeated by her old home.

2187	I've sent her a text message about the statement so be
2188	prepared for a response if it hasn't come already.
2189	The chair now calls upon the gentleman from Indiana, the
2190	Hoosier State, Mr. Bucshon, for five minutes.
2191	Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2192	Earlier this Congress, the House unanimously passed my
2193	bill, H.R. 2872, the Promoting Hydropower Development at
2194	Existing Non-powered Dams Act.
2195	H.R. 2872 would promote hydropower development at
2196	existing non-powered dams by establishing an expedited
2197	licensing process for qualifying facilities that will result
2198	in a decision on an application in two years or less.
2199	The bill also requires FERC, the U.S. Army Corps of
2200	Engineers, and the Department of the Interior to develop a
2201	list of existing non-powered federal dams that have the
2202	greatest potential for non-federal hydropower development.
2203	Developing hydropower generation over at over 50,000
2204	suitable dams across the country has the potential to have 12
2205	gigawatts of clean energy to the grid, create good-paying
2206	jobs, and bring billions of dollars of investment.
2207	In fact, in the 8th District of Indiana, which I
2208	represent, there are six suitable dams that can benefit from

icture
acting
:
ıt
opower
wer
our
e of
opower
at
dams
acts,
er.

2231	incongruent with protecting environmental resources.
2232	I think it's also an example of an expedited process
2233	which also continues to protect the environment because these
2234	environmental analyses will be done and completed in a
2235	reasonable time frame and fully evaluated from the scientific
2236	perspective.
2237	I think it also combines the expedited time frame for
2238	the licensing process and is a good example of also of not
2239	trying to rescind any environmental laws or regulations.
2240	I think it's a fine example of encouraging new
2241	investments in hydropower and recognizing that some of these
2242	projects have minimal environmental effects and could move
2243	forward expeditiously.
2244	Mr. Bucshon. Thank you very much.
2245	I just want to point out this bill was passed
2246	unanimously out of the House with bipartisan support. We
2247	worked with both parties to develop language that people were
2248	comfortable with and, again, I want to reiterate that the
2249	environmental review process is still there in place.
2250	We are just getting federal agencies to move the process
2251	more quickly rather than 10 years or 12 years to a process
2252	that would be over a two-year period, which the potential for

2253	expanding this form of clean energy is tremendous.
2254	And I look forward to our Senate colleagues taking this
2255	up and I do think there's a lot of interest over there and I
2256	think in a bipartisan way. I am hoping to get this type of
2257	legislation to the president's desk.
2258	So thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.
2259	Mr. Olson. The gentleman yields back.
2260	The chair now calls upon the pride of Schenectady, New
2261	York, right behind Thomas Edison, as we learned this morning
2262	Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.
2263	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think the pride may
2264	be the mayor of Schenectady. But that's up for discussion.
2265	Mayor, again, I want to thank you for a very
2266	comprehensive report. It is so innovative and it allows us
2267	to go into the next stage of energy resources, and I thank
2268	you, again, for the vision that, obviously, will lead many
2269	people down a path of sound energy policy.
2270	Schenectady has, I believe, over 5,000 street lights and
2271	what is considered when a city decides to make a major
2272	infrastructure investment such as converting to LED
2273	streetlights?
2274	Mr. McCarthy. Again, there's approximately 5,000 street

2275	lights in the city of Schenectady, 500 of which the city
2276	owns. Forty-five hundred, approximately, are owned by the
2277	utility. So the 500 that the city owns are fairly easy to
2278	deal with.
2279	Where you get utility-owned streetlights it becomes a
2280	more complicated process to either buy those or purchase the
2281	residual value of the fixtures that had been installed and
2282	that's why we are trying to work with National Grid New
2283	York Public Service Commission to come up with a model that
2284	would allow that transition to the LED lights.
2285	When you're doing that it's not to miss the opportunity
2286	to put some of the other available technology on the light
2287	pole, which will, again hopefully help the utility, help the
2288	city, then help the residents and businesses within the
2289	community take advantage of some of the emerging and wireless
2290	and sensor-based technologies.
2291	Mr. Tonko. So as you convert to LED, what are the
2292	potential savings for the city when adopting a smart lighting
2293	system?
2294	Mr. McCarthy. We the initial savings our number
2295	is just under \$400,000 about half of our electrical costs.
2296	We are looking also, when you put the optical sensors

2297	on the poles that you can then pick up additional savings
2298	when you dim the lights further when there's less activity on
2299	the street.
2300	When you put some of the either a Wi-Fi or cellular
2301	communication protocol on the pole it might be able to extend
2302	that savings to residents or businesses so that your control
2303	is on the sensor on the street but you would enable
2304	homeowners or businesses to be able to dim their either porch
2305	lights or advertising on their buildings or other fixtures
2306	that they might have when there's no activity you could
2307	dim that.
2308	When there is activity you'd be able to turn them up.
2309	So it becomes really an integrated deployment where,
2310	hopefully, everybody will benefit from it.
2311	Mr. Tonko. Tremendous. In addition to lighting,
2312	Schenectady has developed other clean energy and efficiency
2313	projects. Amongst them a few years ago the city installed a
2314	CHP system a combined heat and power system at the
2315	wastewater treatment facility and more recently installed a
2316	solar array, I believe, at that facility.
2317	Mr. McCarthy. Yes.
2318	Mr. Tonko. What are the benefits of these types of

2319	projects?
2320	Mr. McCarthy. Our wastewater treatment plant, the co-
2321	gen facility there, saves us approximately \$30,000 a month in
2322	utility costs, capturing the methane gas and burning it on
2323	site and then our solar deployment at the time was the
2324	largest municipal solar array in New York State.
2325	It's done on top of an enclosed reservoir. The Bevis
2326	Hill Reservoir supplies hydrostatic pressure for the water
2327	system within the city.
2328	Mr. Tonko. So there's, obviously, long-term benefits
2329	there to the city with these projects?
2330	Mr. McCarthy. Correct. It was just really unused land
2331	and so now we get 711 kilowatts of electricity generated
2332	there that we use a remote metering package to offset the
2333	costs of some of our higher utility bills of the municipal
2334	primarily city hall and some of our fire stations.
2335	Mr. Tonko. Right.
2336	Just make mention here for the record that the city
2337	established a smart city advisory commission chaired by Mark
2338	Little, the former chief technology officer and director of
2339	GE Global Research, which includes businesses and important
2340	institutions from around the area. So it's really pulling in

2341	the private sector-public sector partnership.
2342	Back to those public sector partnerships, are there
2343	you know, earlier you were quizzed about the 80/20 match
2344	with, you know, Ranker Rush. But are there opportunities for
2345	public partnerships at the state and federal level that you
2346	would encourage?
2347	Mr. McCarthy. I believe everybody has to look at the
2348	emerging technologies. Things are changing so fast. I was
2349	here at a NIST event three weeks ago and they talked about
2350	that 90 percent of the data that exists in the world today
2351	had been created in the last 36 months.
2352	I went back and used that statistic at an event at our
2353	community college. Somebody came up to me and corrected me.
2354	He said, "Mr. Mayor, that's wrong. Ninety percent of the
2355	data that exists in the world today has been created in,
2356	roughly, the last 24 months."
2357	So there is so much information out there that, if
2358	properly managed, it will allow us to do predictive
2359	analytics.
2360	It will enable us to drive better outcomes, whether it's
2361	government services, products that are produced in business,
2362	and educational opportunities within our communities.

2363	But, again, it's happening so fast that we have to have
2364	policy standards and an environment that allow those things
2365	to be fully utilized and taken advantage of in a rapid
2366	manner. Again, it's really our global competitiveness is a
2367	key component of that because other countries are moving
2368	faster in some areas.
2369	Mr. Tonko. Thank you very much, again, for the vision.
2370	And I agree, the challenge to us now is to determine how we
2371	utilize the great compilation of data that we acquire.
2372	And with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back.
2373	Mr. Olson. Gentleman's time has expired.
2374	The chair now calls upon the Motorcycle Riders
2375	Foundation 2017 Legislator of the Year, Mr. Walberg, for five
2376	minutes.
2377	Mr. Walberg. Wow.
2378	[Laughter.]
2379	Tell you what, always always wondering what in the
2380	world you do to get all of the research done with all of our
2381	members here. It's impressive, Mr. Chairman. Impressive.
2382	Thanks to the panel for being here. Mr. Ross, I
2383	certainly appreciate the work the Brotherhood does in
2384	training people to do jobs whether it's at my Fermi plant

2385 the DTE Fermi plant -- or at the -- down Lake Erie a bit at the big coal-fired plant or in all of the consumers' power --2386 2387 gas-powered plants, et cetera to get the electricity to the 2388 lines and ITC and others. We appreciate the work you do. 2389 I want to -- I want to ask you to give us some examples, 2390 if you could, or ideas how we can expand access to 2391 apprenticeships. 2392 But I would preface it by saying I was greatly excited 2393 with what our governor was proposing in Michigan last week 2394 called the Marshall Plan for talent and, specifically, as he 2395 talked about pushing means towards short-term certification 2396 programs, education programs, whether it's the community 2397 college level or apprenticeships, et cetera. 2398 The PROSPER Act that we passed out of the House 2399 Education and Workforce Committee just a couple months ago 2400 that reauthorized the Higher Education Act has a one-loan 2401 one-grant one-work study program that can be done for that 2402 very purpose -- those Pell grants, et cetera, that can go 2403 towards short-term training opportunities as well in the 2404 professional trades, as we are calling now in Michigan. 2405 I know they're skilled but they're professional as well

and we want to give that idea out to our students that could

2406

look to fill spots that you have that can be an asset to
what we have.
The SKILLS Act we passed several years ago and was
signed by President Obama, again, pushed education for real-
world jobs back to the states and the local communities and
private entities like yourself. So we want to build on that.
What would be the best way to do this, to expand
recruiting and apprenticeships for the next generation of
electric workers as well as how can the U.S. encourage more
individuals pursue these programs?
Mr. Ross. I think we should start by introducing the
trades earlier on in school. I mean, when I came through
school you were introduced in shop class or you had to go to
electrical class just to introduce individuals to those
programs, and there's not much vocational training, at least
I haven't seen much, in the high schools anymore.
They've kind of gone away from that and certainly
guidance counselors have gotten away from trying to push
individuals to our industry the trades.
Unfortunately, not everyone is cut out for college or
even community colleges, in some cases. I mean, we take
individuals with basically a high school education, at a

2429	minimum, and for an electrician basically high school algebra
2430	is a bare minimum for us and we train them to be
2431	electricians.
2432	We certainly need to do a better job of promoting that
2433	program to individuals out there and, quite frankly, we need
2434	to do a lot better than what we have been.
2435	And I think reintroducing them in the high schools would
2436	certainly be a starter even earlier in junior high to
2437	get them exposed to what the trades are have them hands-
2438	on. We also have pre-apprenticeship programs out there that
2439	our electrical training alliance has developed to put
2440	individuals high school graduates into those programs.
2441	It gets them exposed to what's expected of them when
2442	they become selected as an apprentice. So some of those
2443	programs we are we are trying to promote.
2444	Mr. Walberg. That's great. I mean, the push to
2445	encourage people toward their sweet spots it would be a
2446	waste of time for some to go the university or four-year
2447	college route.
2448	We would waste the skills and the talents that they
2449	have, and if we think about professional skills these are
2450	jobs like you're talking about that are careers that are

2451	good paying and can continue to expand. I wish you well on
2452	that. We need the juice.
2453	[Laughter.]
2454	We need the electricity to our homes.
2455	Mr. Slocum, earlier this Congress with the help of this
2456	committee we passed H.R. 1109. This was legislation that in
2457	introduced to reduce red tape on both industry and FERC to
2458	free up resources and lower utility bills. This made a
2459	simple fix to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and
2460	harmonize the language in that particular section.
2461	We know there needs to be serious permitting reform.
2462	Simple or technical fixes such as 1109 that Congress can pass
2463	to remove red tape and reduce burdensome paperwork other
2464	low-hanging fruit ideas as well. What would you have to move
2465	us forward to get past this red tape and bureaucracy?
2466	Mr. Slocum. Thank you, Congressman, and we appreciation
2467	the work that was done there to make things more efficient
2468	with respect to that 203 process.
2469	And I think, as mentioned in my testimony, I talk about
2470	some changes that could be made to the NEPA process that
2471	seems to have a level of agreement and seems to make some
2472	straightforward sense as far as making sure that we can get

2473	through the permitting process in a timely manner but we can
2474	do that efficiently.
2475	And so that would be one of the biggest things that I
2476	would see that would be a low-hanging fruit type opportunity.
2477	Mr. Walberg. My time has expired. I yield back.
2478	Mr. Harper. [Presiding.] Gentleman yields back.
2479	The chair will now recognize the gentleman from West
2480	Virginia, Mr. McKinley, for five minutes.
2481	Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2482	This now is the thirteenth we've had out of those two
2483	hours ago we heard this is the forty-seventh hearing we've
2484	had on infrastructure and this is the thirteenth dealing with
2485	grid resiliency regarding the infrastructure.
2486	We've heard a lot of good solutions over those 47 and,
2487	clearly clearly, we have a growing problem with the
2488	adequacy of our energy infrastructure and the grid being at
2489	risk.
2490	But, unfortunately, I can tell you, I am not sure the
2491	messages are being heard because just a few years ago we had
2492	with the Polar Vortex we came within just minutes just
2493	minutes of having a blackout through the PJM. PJM was
2494	reporting that.

2495	And now ISO is just New England has just come out
2496	with a very well-documented report that says the possibility
2497	of the power plants in the New England area won't have or be
2498	able to get the fuel they need to operate and they claim
2499	and their quote was, "This is the foremost challenge to a
2500	reliable power grid in New England."
2501	And then further in the report it says New England has a
2502	better than 80 percent chance 80 percent chance of a
2503	blackout in the next bad weather storm. But in the meantime,
2504	New England is becoming increasingly reliant on Russian LNG
2505	to be able to satisfy their energy demands instead of using
2506	American energy.
2507	So if we are truly committed as a country for energy
2508	dominance, what are we doing about it? Are we listening to
2509	the hearings that have been taking place?
2510	And then one that particularly disturbs me is that New
2511	England is apparently importing subsidized Canadian
2512	electricity at the expense of American jobs 80 or 73
2513	gigawatts of power coming in from Canada.
2514	I've got to think that the impact of that instead of
2515	having the jobs that we could have as a result of that,
2516	nearly a hundred coal-fired or nuclear or wind or solar

2517	the equivalent of power plants, we could have those in
2518	America instead of importing from other overseas or from
2519	Canada.
2520	I don't understand why the governments in the New
2521	England area are withholding permits to be able to build
2522	pipelines so that we could use America power America
2523	resources to be able to do that.
2524	As a result, we seem to be prematurely closing a lot of
2525	our coal and nuclear power plants unnecessarily so. So I
2526	think we have to be careful and I hope that these hearings
2527	will underscore that because what we've talked about is just
2528	last a couple weeks ago we passed a 45Q, which was a tax
2529	credit.
2530	We need to give more people the chance to use that 45Q
2531	to find out if we don't get carbon capture with this tax
2532	credit that we were able to pass. And then working with
2533	Congressman Tonko, we keep pushing the efficiency idea with
2534	turbines. We have capabilities of doing this but it doesn't
2535	look like Congress there's a commitment to do it.
2536	The fuel the fuel security is, I believe, a national
2537	security and that's what these two reports are saying. So is
2538	government is if both sides of the aisle if they're

2539	really serious about all-of-the-above energy resources
2540	instead of just empty rhetoric, isn't it about time that we
2541	paint or get off the ladder? Think about that.
2542	So Mr. Ross, I know you're you have got a connection
2543	back to Parkersburg. What's your response to the fact that
2544	we are importing electricity from Canada rather than creating
2545	American jobs and using American ingenuity and American
2546	efficiency and American clean environment?
2547	Mr. Ross. I hate to say too much to our brothers in the
2548	north because we represent IBEW members out there. So the
2549	power line I talked about earlier on would be done with IBEW.
2550	So I understand where you're coming from. There's
2551	plenty of resources here in the United States we can use if
2552	we could just get the permitting process sped up and create
2553	the national grid that we need.
2554	Mr. McKinley. Can any of you explain why the grid is
2555	being held or excuse me, the pipelines are being held up
2556	so that we can use American resources to create American
2557	jobs?
2558	Mr. Slocum. I will just say I can't speak to pipelines
2559	but certainly with the electric transmission infrastructure I
2560	think it's a lack of that interregional planning where you

2561	can get buy-in to a project and the reasons for the project
2562	and then from there you can move forward with the permitting
2563	and get something that's actually an interregional project
2564	built.
2565	Until you have the impetus behind the project, it
2566	becomes very difficult to cross state lines, especially
2567	multiple state lines, where there's going to be winners and
2568	losers between those two areas unless you have a project that
2569	has some sort of ultimate approval that's going to proceed
2570	and move forward.
2571	Mr. McKinley. I know I am running out of I know my
2572	time is over. But I find it just offensive that, according
2573	to this article Bloomberg article that we are importing
2574	natural gas from Russia instead of using our own supplies,
2575	especially with all the gas that we have discovered in
2576	America that makes us such a large producer. I hope that we
2577	can reverse that.
2578	I yield back.
2579	Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.
2580	The chair will now recognize himself for five minutes.
2581	And Dr. Hellyer, I would like to ask you a few questions and
2582	certainly you know very well how the energy landscape of the

2583	United States is constantly changing.
2584	And according to the U.S. Department of Labor, the
2585	average age of the U.S. energy workforce is over 50 and the
2586	energy sector will need more than 100,000 new skilled workers
2587	by 2024 just to replace those retiring workers, and by some
2588	estimates more than twice as many workers are expected to
2589	retire as are currently involved in the apprenticeship or
2590	certificate programs, and degree completion and engineering
2591	has remained relatively stagnant since the 1980s.
2592	So from your perspective, what incentives are needed to
2593	expand community college access and apprenticeship programs?
2594	Ms. Hellyer. One of the conversations we had mentioned
2595	earlier was around Pell, and Pell is an important component
2596	for all students of higher education, specifically community
2597	college students.
2598	And there's 2.7 million community college students using
2599	Pell. From our standpoint and in my community, 75 percent of
2600	the students are first generation to college. They are
2601	about 75 percent are also going part time, and if you dig
2602	into our ISDs they are about 70 percent economically
2603	disadvantaged.
2604	And so Pell does play a critical role. I think it's

2605	what Mr. Ross said earlier also is that awareness around
2606	those jobs, which is something that we have really done well
2607	in our region trying to build that awareness much younger and
2608	then putting that all together and allowing that Pell the
2609	resources to be put in place, the industry partnerships to
2610	build the apprenticeships.
2611	We have registered approved apprenticeships at San
2612	Jacinto College and we have unregistered programs and, again,
2613	designing them based on what the industry partner needs but
2614	realizing that it's a combination that's going to be needed.
2615	Mr. Harper. So how do you communicate to these students
2616	that these are the types of jobs in the energy and
2617	manufacturing sector that they can have a good life, support
2618	their family on? How is that communication made to the
2619	students?
2620	Ms. Hellyer. So it becomes in our region what we are
2621	doing is first we are engaging in sixth graders around
2622	bringing them onto campus and seeing hands-on around what
2623	happens in our petrochemical plants, what's happening in the
2624	maritime industry so having that hands-on, reengaging them
2625	again in eighth grade.
2626	In eighth grade in Texas, students decide an endorsement

2627 -- an area of study -- and so we are engaged with them around 2628 that process. Again, how does this tie back to the jobs in 2629 our community, and then we also have a speakers bureau, which 2630 is led by industry with community colleges going in to the 2631 eighth grade and then the high schools. 2632 Those conversations are directed at parents, teachers, 2633 counselors, and students. You need that broad awareness and, 2634 to be honest, just as Mr. Ross said, there hadn't been that 2635 kind of awareness in our communities for a lot of years and so we are building that pipeline. 2636 2637 But when you can talk that a process operator will make \$100,000 or a welder \$70,000 with the proper credentials, 2638 2639 that starts speaking. 2640 And those students need to hear it from people that are 2641 younger than me. They need to hear it from, you know, people 2642 who went to their high school and that are reengaging and 2643 that's what industry has done. 2644 They bring in those people working in their plants back 2645 into the high schools where they can get a role model and 2646 then get their questions answered. And then it's us putting 2647 in place the support systems at the college -- having 2648 industry partners at the table, being real clear what the

2649	expectations are, defining, you know, how's the safety
2650	culture built in what's the work ethic and reinforcing
2651	that in all your programs. Our industry partners at the
2652	table with us are the critical factors.
2653	Mr. Harper. That's great. You know, what we what we
2654	observed is students just by nature, when they're in high
2655	school, the earliest time that they are able to opt out of
2656	math and science classes they try to do that and get it done
2657	and then you lose those skills.
2658	So are you seeing any connection with that to where
2659	you're seeing more and more students maintain the STEM
2660	curriculum in high school so they don't opt out of those
2661	possible job opportunities?
2662	Ms. Hellyer. So, again, it's working with our high
2663	schools and with the industries but also with the
2664	universities because some of those jobs do require university
2665	and so how do you have that pipeline.
2666	And then for us in the higher education we can redesign
2667	math a little bit. We are not directing all students to
2668	college algebra.
2669	If you're moving in to a business degree you're doing
2670	more statistics. If you are going into process technology

2671	it's more of a technical math and showing how that reinforces
2672	with what you're going to do welding, more geometry. And
2673	so we try to redefine some of that.
2674	We take the same approach with English. Our operators
2675	need English. They need the math skills. They need more of
2676	a technical English and so how do you redesign that and being
2677	very prescriptive again, take math early, take the sciences
2678	early because it does reinforce the rest of the courses in
2679	your degree program.
2680	Mr. Harper. Thank you, all of you, for being here.
2681	It's provided a lot of important insight to the committee.
2682	And seeing that there are no further members wishing to ask
2683	questions I would like to thank all of our witnesses again
2684	for taking the time to be here today.
2685	Before we conclude, I would like to ask unanimous
2686	consent to submit the following letters for the record
2687	one, the Utilities Technology Council letter, and the second
2688	is the American Public Gas Association.
2689	[The information follows:]
2690	
2691	*********COMMITTEE INSERT 9******

2692	Mr. Harper. Without objection, those are so entered and
2693	I will ask if Mr. Rush has any similar documents.
2694	Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman yes, I have a I would ask
2695	the unanimous consent to enter into the record different
2696	letters, one from the Center for American Progress, these are
2697	statements, one, and the American the Center for American
2698	Progress has a statement debunking the false claims of the
2699	environmental review component.
2700	Additionally, there's the Center for American Progress
2701	statement on Trump's infrastructure scam that will gut the
2702	environmental protection to benefit corporate polluters.
2703	And we have a series of others BlueGreen Alliance
2704	entitled, "The Right Way to Repair America's Infrastructure"
2705	the Earth Justice statement, which is entitled, "Congress
2706	Should Support an Infrastructure Plan that Builds
2707	Infrastructure, Not Gut Health and Environmental Protection."
2708	And lastly, a New York Times article that's entitled,
2709	"Trump's Infrastructure Plan Puts the Burden on State
2710	Environment Money."
2711	[The information follows:]
2712	
2713	**************************************

2714	Mr. Harper. Without objection.
2715	Pursuant to committee rules, I remind members that they
2716	have 10 business days to submit additional questions for the
2717	record and I ask that witnesses submit their response within
2718	10 business days upon receipt of the questions.
2719	Without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned.
2720	[Whereupon, at 12:24 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]