

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

1

1 NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

2 RPTS SHIPLE

3 HIF079020

4

5

6 THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION'S ROLE

7 IN COMBATING THE OPIOID EPIDEMIC

8 TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2018

9 House of Representatives

10 Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

11 Committee on Energy and Commerce

12 Washington, D.C.

13

14

15

16 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m.,

17 in Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gregg Harper

18 [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

19 Members present: Representatives Harper, Griffith,

20 Burgess, Brooks, Collins, Barton, Walberg, Walters, Costello,

21 Carter, Walden (ex officio), DeGette, Schakowsky, Castor,

22 Tonko, Clarke, Ruiz, Peters, and Pallone (ex officio).

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

2

23           Also present: Representative McKinley  
24           Staff present: Jennifer Barblan, Chief Counsel,  
25           Oversight and Investigations; Mike Bloomquist, Staff  
26           Director; Ali Fulling, Legislative Clerk, Oversight and  
27           Investigations, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;  
28           Brittany Havens, Professional Staff, Oversight and  
29           Investigations; Christopher Santini, Counsel, Oversight and  
30           Investigations; Jennifer Sherman, Press Secretary; Alan  
31           Slobodin, Chief Investigative Counsel, Oversight and  
32           Investigations; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Hamlin  
33           Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Christina Calce,  
34           Minority Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff  
35           Director and Chief Health Advisor; Chris Knauer, Minority  
36           Oversight Staff Director; Miles Lichtman, Minority Policy  
37           Analyst; Kevin McAloon, Minority Professional Staff Member;  
38           and C.J. Young, Minority Press Secretary.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

3

39           Mr. Harper. We will call to order the hearing today on  
40 the Drug Enforcement Administration's role in combating the  
41 opioid epidemic.

42           Today, the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations  
43 convenes a hearing on the DEA's role in combating the opioid  
44 epidemic. This crisis is a top priority of the nation and  
45 certainly of this committee and subcommittee.

46           Opioid-related overdoses killed more than 42,000 people  
47 in 2016. That's an average of 115 deaths each day. An  
48 estimated 2.1 million people have an opioid use disorder.

49           Since our earliest hearing in 2012, this subcommittee  
50 has been investigating various aspects of this epidemic.

51           In May 2017, the committee opened a bipartisan  
52 investigation into allegations of "opioid-dumping," a term to  
53 describe inordinate volumes of opioids shipped by wholesale  
54 drug distributors to pharmacies located in rural communities,  
55 such as those in West Virginia.

56           From press reports and this investigation, we have  
57 learned of opioid shipments in West Virginia that shock the  
58 conscience. Over 10 years, 20.8 million opioids were shipped  
59 to pharmacies in the town of Williamson, home to  
60 approximately 3,000 people.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

61 Another 9 million opioids were distributed in just two  
62 years to a single pharmacy in Kermit, West Virginia, with a  
63 population of 406.

64 Between 2007 and 2012, drug distributors shipped more  
65 than 780 million hydrocodone and oxycodone pills in West  
66 Virginia.

67 These troubling examples raise serious questions about  
68 compliance with the Controlled Substances Act, administered  
69 by the DEA. The CSA was enacted through this committee in  
70 1970.

71 This law established schedules of controlled substances  
72 and provided the authority for the DEA to register entities  
73 engaged in the manufacture, distribution, or dispensation of  
74 controlled substances.

75 The CSA was designed to combat diversion by providing  
76 for a closed system of drug distribution in which all  
77 legitimate handlers of controlled substances must maintain a  
78 DEA registration, and as a condition of maintaining such  
79 registration must take reasonable steps to ensure their  
80 registration is not being used as a source of diversion.

81 The DEA regulations specifically require all  
82 distributors to report suspicious orders of controlled

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

5

83 substances in addition to the statutory responsibility to  
84 exercise due diligence to avoid filling suspicious orders.

85 This hearing has two goals. First, the subcommittee  
86 seeks to determine how the DEA could have done better to  
87 detect and investigate suspicious orders of opioids, such as  
88 the massive amounts shipped to West Virginia.

89 The DEA has acknowledged to the committee that it could  
90 have done better in spotting and investigating suspicious  
91 opioid shipments.

92 What were the deficiencies and has DEA addressed them?  
93 DEA has a comprehensive electronic database containing  
94 specific information at the pharmacy level.

95 Could DEA use that database more effectively to  
96 investigate diversion and to facilitate compliance for the  
97 regulated industry?

98 The second goal is to find out whether the current DEA  
99 law enforcement approach is adequately protecting public  
100 safety. DEA statistics reveal a sharp decline since 2012 in  
101 certain DEA enforcement actions, immediate suspension orders,  
102 or ISOs, and orders to show cause.

103 The number of ISOs issued by the DEA plummeted from 65  
104 in 2011 to just six last year. Former DEA officials alleged

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

6

105 in the Washington Post and on CBS' "60 Minutes" that the  
106 DEA's Office of Chief Counsel imposed evidentiary obstacles  
107 and delays for ISO and for orders to show cause submissions  
108 from the DEA field.

109 The conflict between the DEA lawyers and the DEA  
110 investigators allegedly resulted in experienced DEA personnel  
111 leaving the agency and a loss of morale.

112 The goal of laws regulating controlled substances is to  
113 strike the right balance between the public interest in  
114 legitimate patients obtaining medications in a timely manner  
115 against another weighty public interest in preventing the  
116 illegal diversion of prescription drugs, particularly given  
117 the rampant and deadly opioid epidemic throughout the nation.

118 Our investigation is intended to assist the committee's  
119 continuing legislative effort to strike the right balance.  
120 It is unfortunate that it's been a battle to get information  
121 out of the DEA.

122 We have made recent progress with the DEA, but at this  
123 time our investigation still does not have the full picture.  
124 DEA has made some commitments that should hopefully help the  
125 committee gain the information it needs, and we expect the  
126 DEA to honor those commitments.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

7

127           And I welcome today's witness, DEA Acting Administrator  
128 Robert Patterson. We have serious concerns about policy that  
129 we need to discuss today. But we are steadfast in our  
130 support and certainly want to salute the dedicated workforce  
131 at the DEA. We need an effective DEA in this crisis.

132           I want to thank the minority for their participation and  
133 hard work in this investigation, and I now yield to my  
134 friend, the ranking member, Ms. DeGette.

135           Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman.

136           And I am happy to kick off the whole series of hearings  
137 with the Energy and Commerce Committee this week with this  
138 oversight and investigations hearing.

139           Opioid overdose is now the number-one cause of  
140 unintentional death in the United States. Every day we hear  
141 reports of Americans dying and leaving loved ones, often  
142 children, to pick up the pieces, and these reports are  
143 heartbreaking.

144           The crisis has also had an economic toll. Estimates are  
145 that it's cost this country a trillion dollars since 2001,  
146 and here's the point at my opening statement where I show  
147 that Congress can still be bipartisan because today I want to  
148 talk, as the chairman did, about our committee investigation,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

8

149 examining exactly how the opioid epidemic developed.

150 Our investigation, as the chairman said, focused on West  
151 Virginia, which has the highest opioid death toll in the  
152 nation. The numbers that we are seeing coming out are simply  
153 shocking.

154 A major 2016 news investigation, for example, reported  
155 that distributors shipped 780 million opioids to this state  
156 between 2007 and 2012.

157 Again, in five years, they shipped 780 million opioids  
158 to this small state of West Virginia. Now, we focus on West  
159 Virginia but I am hoping that the lessons we learned will  
160 apply nationwide, including in my home state of Colorado.

161 Administrator Patterson, I join the chairman in  
162 welcoming you here. We have a lot of questions and we'd like  
163 to know what you think failed us in West Virginia and, more  
164 importantly, what we can do to avoid this again.

165 We know something had to have gone wrong. For example,  
166 in DEA's own court filings, in 2008 the distributor shipped  
167 one pharmacy in West Virginia 22,500 hydrocodone pills per  
168 month. But our investigation also found that a number of  
169 pharmacies were sent even many times more that amount.

170 For example, the chairman talked about Kermit, West

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

9

171 Virginia. We looked at one pharmacy in Kermit, which has a  
172 few hundred people. Drug distributors supplied this pharmacy  
173 with more than 4.3 million doses of opioids, more than  
174 350,000 per month in a single year, and then the next year 4  
175 million doses of opioids.

176 What on earth were people thinking? Now, when the DEA  
177 finally shut down this pharmacy and took its owner to court,  
178 the owner admitted at its height the pharmacy filled one  
179 prescription per minute. I mean, who could think that this  
180 was a legitimate use?

181 News reports from the time describe pharmacy workers  
182 throwing bags of opioids, quote, "over a divider and onto a  
183 counter to keep pace."

184 One law enforcement agent noticed a cash drawer, quote,  
185 "so full the clerk could not get it to close properly." And  
186 this was not the only pharmacy to receive such massive  
187 quantities of opioids.

188 In another example, between 2006 and 2016, distributors  
189 shipped over 20 million doses of opioids to two pharmacies in  
190 one town of 3,000 people.

191 I want to know if the DEA thinks that this amount of  
192 pills sent to these pharmacies was excessive. In addition,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

10

193 the Controlled Substances Act and applicable regulations  
194 required the distributor to tell DEA how many pills that  
195 distributor sold and to what pharmacies.

196 DEA compiles this information into a database called the  
197 Automation of Reports and Consolidated Orders System. It's  
198 called ARCOS.

199 I want to know how the DEA made use of ARCOS data from  
200 2006 on and whether it relied on that data to monitor the  
201 number of pills that distributors sent to West Virginia.

202 Did the DEA perform analytic assessments of the pills  
203 the pharmacies received? Did it look at how many pills  
204 distributors sent to a town or region as a whole? And if so,  
205 I want to know why the DEA didn't act to stop these  
206 shipments.

207 I want to know whether the distributors themselves  
208 exercised appropriate due diligence before sending millions  
209 of pills to pharmacies.

210 For example, in a letter sent to all drug distributors  
211 in 2006 and 2007, the DEA gave them a list of circumstances  
212 that might be indicative of diversion, all of which plainly  
213 require distributors to know their customers before shipping  
214 them any opioids at all.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

11

215 I want to know if the drug distributors met this  
216 standard when they shipped those pills to tiny West Virginia  
217 and, similarly, did the distributors comply with their  
218 obligations.

219 And I want to know also what the DEA is doing right now  
220 to stop painkillers from flooding our communities today.

221 We have had a lot of hearings on this, Mr. Chairman, but  
222 this is the first one to look in a hard way at this crisis  
223 developed.

224 We spend billions of dollars -- we spend countless hours  
225 of law enforcement time trying to stop illegal drugs from  
226 coming into this country and here we are, sending millions of  
227 doses of opioids to tiny little towns in West Virginia, all  
228 of this supposedly legally.

229 I think I can speak for the whole committee to say this  
230 needs to stop, it needs to stop now, and we need to figure  
231 out how we are going to protect our constituents and our  
232 citizens.

233 I yield back.

234 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

235 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full  
236 committee, Chairman Walden, for purposes of an opening

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

12

237 statement.

238 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you  
239 for your leadership on this very important issue to the  
240 people we represent.

241 For nearly a year, this committee has been investigating  
242 how inordinate numbers of pills were shipped to pharmacies in  
243 rural West Virginia. The numbers that we have seen thus far,  
244 as you've heard, Mr. Patterson, are nothing short of  
245 staggering -- more than 20 million prescription opioids  
246 shipped to a West Virginia town with a population of fewer  
247 than 3,000 people.

248 Another West Virginia pharmacy, in a town with a  
249 population of fewer than 2,000 people, received an average of  
250 5,600 prescription opioids a day during a single year.

251 As part of our investigation, we have also looked at the  
252 Sav-Rite pharmacies in Kermit, West Virginia, a town with a  
253 population of about 400.

254 During last October's full committee hearing, I asked  
255 your colleague at the DEA a very straightforward question:  
256 which companies provided the Sav-Rite number one pharmacy  
257 with so many opioids that it ranked 22nd in the entire United  
258 States of America for the number of hydrocodone pills

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

13

259 received in 2006?

260 After an extended and unnecessary delay, we finally  
261 received the DEA data and now know the answer to that  
262 question. But this isn't the end of the matter, however.

263 We have learned that in 2008, a second Sav-Rite location  
264 opened just two miles away from the original pharmacy.  
265 However, the second Sav-Rite was forced to close and  
266 surrender its DEA registration after it was raided by federal  
267 agents in March 2009.

268 Now, in most instances, this would be a success story.  
269 But in this case, the original Sav-Rite pharmacy -- the one  
270 that had received 9 million pills in just two years -- stayed  
271 open for another two years, and in those two years, Sav-Rite  
272 number one dispensed about 1.5 million pills into the  
273 community.

274 So the question is, how did that happen? How is it  
275 possible?

276 The raid on Sav-Rite two was based on observations made  
277 during undercover investigations conducted at both Sav-Rite  
278 locations as well as a pill mill medical practice.

279 As part of the undercover operation, federal  
280 investigators saw pharmacy customers sharing drugs with one

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

14

281 another in the parking lot, and as you've heard, a cash  
282 drawer so full the clerk could not close it, and learned that  
283 the owner of the Sav-Rite pharmacies apparently developed a  
284 quote, unquote, "get-rich-quick scheme" with a pill mill  
285 medical practice.

286 This scheme may have filled their cash drawers, but it  
287 was devastating to the community. It doesn't make any sense  
288 as to why the DEA did not shut down both pharmacies at the  
289 same time.

290 They were owned by the same person. They were part of  
291 the same criminal scheme. DEA has acknowledged that  
292 breakdowns occurred and lessons were learned, in this case  
293 and in others.

294 We need to make sure DEA has fixed its own problems so  
295 that an effective DEA is part of the many solutions needed to  
296 combat the opioid crisis.

297 As you know, people are dying. Lives are being ruined.  
298 We must be united in our efforts to end this horrible  
299 epidemic.

300 That is why myself and this entire committee have  
301 been so frustrated that it has taken so long to obtain DEA's  
302 full cooperation in this investigation.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

15

303           And while progress is being made in DEA's efforts -- and  
304 I appreciated our meeting on Friday -- we still have plenty  
305 of unanswered questions coming in to today's hearing.

306           So I am hopeful we can learn the answers to those  
307 questions today and I am also pleased with the commitments  
308 DEA has made to fulfill our remaining requests in this  
309 investigation.

310           And I expect those commitments to be honored, period.  
311 If they are not, we'll be back talking again soon. Our most  
312 pressing questions are intended to get DEA on a better path.

313           Every one of us on this dais and in this room supports a  
314 strong and effective DEA. We know you have an enormous and  
315 important job to do with dedicated agents and we are grateful  
316 to all those in law enforcement and personnel at your agency.

317           Quite simply, we want you to have the tools and the  
318 resources you need to help us combat this epidemic, among the  
319 other many duties you have at DEA.

320           So I want to thank you for again being with us today,  
321 Acting Administrator Patterson, and we look forward to your  
322 candor.

323           And I would like to yield the balance of my time to the  
324 gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith. Before I do that, I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

16

325 would remind the committee we will have two full days of  
326 hearings starting tomorrow and Thursday reviewing 25 pieces  
327 of legislation on the opioids epidemic, and we hope and  
328 expect everyone on the committee to attend those hearings.

329 With that, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

330 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

331 We have an implied constitutional responsibility to  
332 conduct oversight and ensure that the Controlled Substances  
333 Act strikes the correct balance between the public interest  
334 in legitimate patients obtaining medications against the  
335 weighty public interest in preventing the illegal diversion  
336 of prescription drugs.

337 A key issue is whether the DEA is adequately protecting  
338 public safety. DEA statistics reveal a sharp decline and  
339 immediate suspension orders -- ISOs -- since 2012.

340 ISOs are a DEA administrative tool not to punish but to  
341 protect the public from rogue doctors or pharmacists who  
342 would continue to provide opioids to drug abusers unless  
343 their registration was immediately suspended.

344 Former DEA officials alleged in the Washington Post and  
345 on CBS "60 Minutes" that the DEA's office of chief counsel,  
346 starting around 2013, changed its evidentiary requirements

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

17

347 for ISO submissions from the DEA field. DEA documents  
348 provided to the committee seem to substantiate this  
349 allegation.

350 Now, ISOs remind me of DUI cases in Virginia. When a  
351 police officer gets a driver off the road who's been  
352 drinking, their license to drive is administratively  
353 suspended in order to protect the public.

354 Trial on the merits is delayed, but not public safety.  
355 It's a similar principle here. Immediately suspend the rogue  
356 operator and protect the public.

357 I yield back.

358 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

359 The chair will now recognize the ranking member of the  
360 full committee, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes.

361 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

362 The opioid epidemic continues to devastate communities  
363 and families in every part of America, and every day 115  
364 Americans lose their lives in an opioid overdose.

365 We must do more to help those struggling with addiction,  
366 and I am committed to working with all of my colleagues to  
367 advance meaningful legislation and resources to help combat  
368 this crisis.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

18

369 Families all across this nation are looking to us for  
370 help, and it is my hope that DEA will work cooperatively with  
371 us on this effort.

372 In addition to advancing efforts to respond to this  
373 crisis, Congress also has a responsibility to figure out what  
374 went wrong and how it went wrong and how to make sure  
375 something like this never happens again.

376 And that is why this committee has been engaged in a  
377 bipartisan investigation into the role both DEA and drug  
378 distributors have in addressing the ongoing opioid crisis and  
379 what systems failed to protect the communities that have been  
380 so overwhelmed by this epidemic.

381 So I hope that the lessons we learn will help us address  
382 this urgent problem throughout the country, from New Jersey  
383 to West Virginia and beyond. Clearly, something went wrong.

384 The safeguards designed to prevent opioids from being  
385 diverted into the wrong hands simply did not work and our  
386 committee's investigation has found that drug distributors  
387 shipped millions of pills to multiple small-town pharmacies  
388 in West Virginia every year.

389 For example, a pharmacy in a town of 2,000 people  
390 received 16.5 million doses of opioids over a 10-year period

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

19

391 and there were other pharmacies in that area as well.

392           There is simply no way that there was an actual medical  
393 need for this incredible volume of opioids in this rural  
394 sparsely-populated area and I would hope that DEA can tell us  
395 what broke down in the safeguards that should have protected  
396 communities from these abusive practices.

397           These include failures by both the distributors and the  
398 DEA. For example, I have questions about the data that DEA  
399 collects and why they did not use it more aggressively to  
400 prevent the oversupply of opioids in certain -- in certain  
401 cases.

402           We know that distributors are required to tell DEA how  
403 many pills they ship each month and where those pills go. It  
404 is not clear, however, that DEA has used this data in the  
405 past, and if DEA is using this data now to help it curtail  
406 excessive pill distribution.

407           Distributors are also required to alert DEA when a  
408 pharmacy places an order for what appears to be a  
409 suspiciously large quantity of pills.

410           It appears that distributors have not always alerted DEA  
411 of those suspicious orders and may not even have had adequate  
412 systems in place to identify inappropriately large orders.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

20

413           But at the same time, it is also not clear that DEA has  
414 always done enough with the suspicious orders they receive  
415 from distributors to alert the agency to possible anomalous  
416 shipments, and I hope we can get answers to both of these  
417 questions.

418           And when multiple distributors ship to a single  
419 pharmacy, possibly causing an oversupply, it is not clear  
420 that DEA has had an adequate system to identify and flag to  
421 the distributors that an oversupply problem may be unfolding.

422           Unlike DEA, who has access to comprehensive distribution  
423 data, distributors can only see what they supply to an  
424 individual pharmacy. Yet, if DEA is not flagging when  
425 multiple distributors are at risk of collectively  
426 oversupplying a pharmacy, then the result is another example  
427 of a system failure that can lead to diversion.

428           So it seems likely that failing to report suspicious  
429 orders by distributors has hurt DEA's ability to monitor the  
430 distribution of controlled substances and I hope that we will  
431 hear that this is no longer an issue today, and if it is, I'd  
432 like to know what tools DEA needs to help it to enforce this  
433 requirement.

434           At the same time, I do hope that DEA is making full use

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

21

435 of suspicious orders when they are reported to their field  
436 offices.

437 Finally, Mr. Chairman, while our investigation has  
438 focused on what went wrong in West Virginia, I also want to  
439 know how DEA is monitoring distributors across the country  
440 now.

441 Addictive drugs are still abundant in our communities  
442 and now new opioids are also being introduced to the market.  
443 So I hope that DEA is actively or proactively analyzing  
444 shipments of these pills and, where appropriate, stepping in  
445 and stopping the over-distribution of these drugs.

446 So I just want to thank Administrator Patterson for  
447 appearing before us. This issue is extraordinarily important  
448 and no entity can address it alone.

449 DEA and Congress must be allies in combating the opioid  
450 crisis and only by understanding what went wrong can we fix  
451 this system for the future.

452 So just, again, I know you're in the hot seat today but  
453 this is something that we need to work on together.

454 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

455 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

456 I ask unanimous consent that the members' written

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

22

457 opening statements be made part of the record. Without  
458 objection, it will be entered into the record.

459           Additionally, I ask unanimous consent that Energy and  
460 Commerce members not on the Subcommittee on Oversight and  
461 Investigations be permitted to participate in today's  
462 hearing.

463           Without objection, so ordered.

464           I would now like to introduce our witness for today's  
465 hearing. Today, we have Mr. Robert Patterson, the acting  
466 administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration.

467           We appreciate you being here with us today, Mr.  
468 Patterson, and you are aware that the committee is holding an  
469 investigative hearing and when so doing it has been our  
470 practice of taking testimony under oath.

471           Do you have any objection to testifying under oath?

472           Mr. Patterson. I do not.

473           Mr. Harper. Witness has anticipated no -- his response  
474 is no.

475           The chair then advises you that under the rules of the  
476 House and the rules of the committee, you're entitled to be  
477 accompanied by counsel. Do you desire to be accompanied by  
478 counsel during your testimony today?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

23

479 Mr. Patterson. I do not.

480 Mr. Harper. Responds that he does not. In that case, I  
481 would ask that you rise and please raise your right hand and  
482 I will swear you in.

483 [Witness sworn.]

484 You are now under oath and subject to the penalties set  
485 forth in Title 18 Section 1001 of the United States Code.  
486 You may now give a five-minute summary of your written  
487 statement.

488 You can hit the button on the mic and you have five  
489 minutes to summarize your testimony.

490 Thank you again for being here, Mr. Patterson.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

24

491 TESTIMONY OF ROBERT W. PATTERSON, ACTING ADMINISTRATOR, DRUG  
492 ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

493

494 Mr. Patterson. Thank you, and good morning.

495 Committee Chairman Walden, Subcommittee Chairman Harper,  
496 Ranking Members Pallone and DeGette, and distinguished  
497 members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to  
498 be here today to discuss the opioid epidemic and DEA's role  
499 in combating this crisis.

500 Over the past 15 years, our nation has been increasingly  
501 devastated by opioid abuse, an epidemic fueled for a  
502 significant period of time by the over prescribing of potent  
503 prescription opioids for acute and chronic pain.

504 This indiscriminate practice created a generation of  
505 opioid abusers, presently estimated at more than 3 million  
506 Americans.

507 Over the past few years, we have begun to see a dramatic  
508 and disturbing shift. As a result of the increased awareness  
509 of the opioid epidemic, prescriptions for opioids have  
510 started to decline -- obviously, somewhat a success.

511 But organizations, in particular the well-positioned --  
512 in particular, the well-positioned Mexican drug cartels have



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

25

513 filled this void by producing and distributing cheap powdered  
514 heroin, often mixed with illicit fentanyl and other fentanyl-  
515 related substances and selling it to users in both  
516 traditional powder form and, in some cases, pressed into  
517 counterfeit pills made to resemble illicit pharmaceuticals.

518 There are two central elements DEA is addressing as part  
519 of this administration's collective efforts to turn this  
520 tide, with a third piece that must also be addressed.

521 First and foremost is enforcement. Based on our  
522 investigations, actions are undertaken every day using our  
523 criminal, civil, or administrative tools to attack the  
524 traffic in illicit drugs and the diversion of the licit  
525 supply.

526 Second is education. I strongly believe there is a real  
527 value and a natural fit for the DEA in this space and look  
528 whenever possible to partner with leaders in prevention and  
529 education.

530 The third element is treatment. The DEA is committed to  
531 doing what we can to improve access to drug treatment and  
532 recovery services, working alongside our partners at the  
533 Department of Health and Human Services, to utilize evidence-  
534 based strategies that minimize the risk of diversion during

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

26

535 this public health emergency.

536           Ultimately, the only way to fundamentally change this  
537 epidemic is to decrease demand for these substances and  
538 address the global licit and illicit supplies -- illicit  
539 supply concerns through the efforts of DEA and all of its  
540 partners.

541           The action of DEA's Diversion Control Division are  
542 critical with respect to addressing the licit supply.  
543 Diversion of prescription opioids by a few has a  
544 disproportionate impact on the availability of prescription  
545 opioids.

546           The fact remains that a majority of new heroin users  
547 stated that they started their cycle of addiction on  
548 prescription opioids.

549           As a result, we are constantly evaluating ways to  
550 improve our effectiveness to ensure that our more than 1.7  
551 million registrants comply with the law.

552           Our use of administrative tools and legislation that  
553 changed our authorities in this area has been the subject of  
554 numerous media reports. Let me address that issue up front.

555           DEA has continued to revoke approximately 1,000  
556 registrations each year through administrative tools such as

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

27

557 orders to show cause, immediate suspension orders, and  
558 surrenders for cause.

559 We have and will continue to use all of these tools to  
560 protect the public from the very small percentage of  
561 registrants who exploit human frailty for profit.

562 Where a licensed revocation is not necessary we have  
563 aggressively pursued civil actions and MOUs designed to  
564 ensure compliance.

565 Over the last decade, DEA has levied fines totally  
566 nearly \$390 million against opioid distributors nationwide  
567 and entered into MOUs with each. DEA has also reprioritized  
568 a portion of its criminal investigators and embedded them in  
569 with diversion investigators and enforcement groups, referred  
570 to as tactical diversion squads.

571 We currently have 77 of these groups nationwide who are  
572 solely dedicated to investigating, disrupting, and  
573 dismantling individuals and organizations involved in  
574 diversion schemes.

575 DEA's Diversion Control Division has simultaneously  
576 worked to improve communication and cooperation with the  
577 registrant community.

578 As an example of this outreach, DEA offers year-round

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

28

579 training free of charge to pharmacists, distributors,  
580 importers, and manufacturers.

581 DEA just completed training more than 13,000 pharmacists  
582 and pharmacy technicians on the important role they play in  
583 ensuring they only fill valid prescriptions.

584 In May, DEA will initiate a similar nationwide effort to  
585 provide training on the vital role that prescribers play in  
586 curbing this epidemic.

587 This effort will start with specific focus on states  
588 where we have seen little decrease or, in some increases, an  
589 increase in opioid prescribing rates.

590 Administrative action, civil fines, and criminal cases  
591 are all important steps. Where we have fallen short in the  
592 past it is by not proactively leveraging the data that has  
593 been available to us.

594 Although I am happy to discuss what happened in the  
595 past, I focus my time on moving our agency forward and  
596 appreciate the opportunity to update you on where we are  
597 today and where we intend to go.

598 For example, in January we utilized ARCOS data overlaid  
599 with data from HHS and, when available, state PMP programs.  
600 The result was approximately 400 targeted leads that DEA was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

29

601 able to send to its 22 field divisions nationwide for further  
602 investigation.

603 While we are working with all the federal agencies in  
604 this space -- I am sorry -- we are working all the federal  
605 agencies in the space while we continue to work well with our  
606 colleagues at ONDCP, CCD, NIDA. The mutual issues that we  
607 face today have created stronger and critical partnerships  
608 with FDA and HHS.

609 I'll finish up by saying I'd like to recognize the  
610 Health Subcommittee's efforts to hold a legislative hearing  
611 starting tomorrow on more than 25 pieces of legislation.

612 That effort not only underscores the unprecedented  
613 nature and complexity of the opioid crisis but also  
614 demonstrates that we must all take action to address this  
615 threat together.

616 Thank you for this opportunity and I look forward to  
617 your questions. [The prepared testimony of Mr. Patterson  
618 follows:]

619

620 \*\*\*\*\*INSERT 1\*\*\*\*\*

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

30

621           Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Patterson. It'll now be the  
622 opportunity for members to ask you questions regarding your  
623 statement and look for solutions to the problems that we have  
624 and I will begin by recognize myself for five minutes for  
625 questioning.

626           Over the past year, this committee has been  
627 investigating opioid dumping and as part of this probe the  
628 committee found some disturbing examples, and I will share a  
629 couple of these, some that we have touched on.

630           A single pharmacy in Mount Gay-Shamrock, West Virginia,  
631 population 1,779, received over 16.5 million hydrocodone and  
632 oxycodone pills between 2006 and 2016.

633           Distributors sent 20.8 million opioid pills to  
634 Williamson, West Virginia, population 2,900, during the same  
635 period, and in 2006 a pharmacy located in Kermit, West  
636 Virginia, population 406, ranked 22nd in the entire country  
637 in the overall number of hydrocodone pills it received with a  
638 single distributor supplying 76 percent of hydrocodone pills  
639 that year.

640           Would you agree that, on its face, these distribution  
641 figures represent inordinate amounts of opioids shipped to  
642 such rural markets?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

31

643 Mr. Patterson. I would.

644 Mr. Harper. Distributors are required to file reports  
645 of shipment amounts on certain controlled substances to the  
646 DEA database called the Automated Reports and Consolidated  
647 Ordering System, or ARCOS. These reports are filed monthly.  
648 Is that correct?

649 Mr. Patterson. Sir, either monthly or quarterly.

650 Mr. Harper. What's the distinction between when one is  
651 done quarterly or monthly? Who makes that determination?

652 Mr. Patterson. It is done by, I believe, the  
653 distributor or -- not by the distributor -- whether it's a  
654 distributor or a manufacturer.

655 Mr. Harper. Okay. Ten years ago, would the ARCOS  
656 database have been able to flag DEA diversion investigators  
657 about unusual patterns such as the stunning monthly increases  
658 of shipment amounts or disproportionate volume of controlled  
659 substance sales at a pharmacy?

660 Mr. Patterson. Ten years ago, I think that would be  
661 doubtful.

662 Mr. Harper. Okay. Did the DEA attempt to leverage the  
663 data in ARCOS to help support DEA investigations of opioid  
664 diversion in West Virginia?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

32

665 Mr. Patterson. Back at that time frame?

666 Mr. Harper. Just tell me when. When did they start  
667 utilizing that?

668 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so ARCOS data I think pre probably  
669 2010 was an extremely manual process. As that system has  
670 gotten more robust and, certainly, through the last handful  
671 of years we've used that in a much more proactive manner.

672 Mr. Harper. Would the DEA ARCOS database be able to  
673 flag such signals of opioid diversion today? Your answer is,  
674 obviously, a yes.

675 In 2006 and 2007, DEA sent at least there letters to  
676 wholesale drug distributors regarding their compliance  
677 obligations under the Controlled Substances Act.

678 The letters reminded the companies of their duties to  
679 monitor and report suspicious orders of opioids. Yet, during  
680 this time, according to DEA enforcement actions, drug  
681 distributors failed to maintain effective controls against  
682 diversion.

683 Why did the DEA communications with industry fail to  
684 prevent the kinds of major breakdowns apparent in West  
685 Virginia?

686 Mr. Patterson. I think when you go back to that time

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

33

687 frame on the suspicious orders reports, there was two major  
688 failures. One was either a lack of information contained  
689 therein or not filing them in this instance that they had.

690 I think that started the problem, quite frankly and a  
691 lot of the frustration came from chasing down the registrants  
692 and ultimately reminding them of their responsibility in this  
693 regulated area.

694 Mr. Harper. Over the last 10 years, the DEA reached  
695 settlements with drug distributors for failing to maintain  
696 effective controls against diversion of opioids or failing to  
697 report suspicious orders.

698 Yet, after these settlements, drug distributors  
699 continued to fail to comply with the regulatory requirements.

700 Why were these initial settlements not effective in  
701 achieving compliance from these distributors?

702 Mr. Patterson. And again, this goes back to the  
703 frustration of the day, and I know that the folks that were  
704 in diversion back in 2010 and 2012 struggled with the fact  
705 that these MOUs or MOAs have been put in place with these  
706 companies and they blatantly violated them again.

707 Mr. Harper. So how is DEA using -- utilizing ARCOS  
708 today? Is it effective today?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

34

709 Mr. Patterson. So, sir, ARCOS as a stand-alone database  
710 is a good pointer. I think, as I said in my opening  
711 statement, ARCOS data and what we have learned, combined with  
712 state PMP HHS data, gives you a much better outlier problem.

713 In some of the cases that we have looked at, depending  
714 on the situation, ARCOS data would not have found those  
715 particular issues, right.

716 If it's a smaller level or a single place. So the  
717 reality is is what we need is all of these data sets  
718 essentially working in conjunction with each other.

719 Mr. Harper. Are there movements to improve ARCOS? Is  
720 that constantly monitored and updated and refined?

721 Mr. Patterson. So we are -- we are constantly working  
722 with this data now in a very proactive way. We've joined  
723 with two state coalitions of states' attorneys-general to  
724 work with data sharing in this space, especially with the PMP  
725 data as well as our counterparts at HHS.

726 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

727 The chair now recognizes the ranking member, Ms. DeGette  
728 from Colorado, for five minutes.

729 Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and I  
730 agree that we -- Mr. Patterson, that we do need to look

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

35

731 forward how we can improve things. But I don't think we can  
732 do it without examining the past, and this ARCOS system is  
733 the perfect example.

734 I want to spend a few minutes following up on what the  
735 chairman was asking you, because you said -- my understanding  
736 is ARCOS was in place during this whole time period, 2006 to  
737 2016, correct?

738 Mr. Patterson. That's correct, ma'am.

739 Ms. DeGette. And but -- and so what was happening the  
740 data was just being reported in but nothing was really being  
741 done with it. Isn't that correct?

742 Mr. Patterson. I would say it was used in a very  
743 reactive way.

744 Ms. DeGette. Right. So -- so you said that a lot of  
745 times you wouldn't have been able to tell this from ARCOS.

746 I am going to assume, though, if we had been analyzing  
747 this data we would have found the 184,000 pills per month  
748 that McKesson was sending to Kermit if someone had looked at  
749 it. Wouldn't you think so?

750 Mr. Patterson. I do agree with that.

751 Ms. DeGette. Yes. And wouldn't you -- wouldn't you  
752 agree that in Kermit -- I think you said yes when the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

36

753 chairman said this -- it was 2.2 million pills in a year in  
754 Kermit.

755 All you'd have to do is look at that raw data and see  
756 that, wouldn't you?

757 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

758 Ms. DeGette. And so really the fact -- well, let me --  
759 let me ask you another question. The Controlled Substances  
760 Act and the applicable regulations require the distributors  
761 to know their customer.

762 So distributors are supposed to report orders of unusual  
763 size, orders deviating substantially from a normal pattern,  
764 and orders of unusual frequency to the DEA.

765 Isn't that correct?

766 Mr. Patterson. It is, ma'am.

767 Ms. DeGette. So it's not just the DEA that has a burden  
768 to analyze the ARCOS data and to identify problems. But even  
769 before that, the distributors have a burden, right?

770 Mr. Patterson. The key burden is actual on the  
771 distributor.

772 Ms. DeGette. Right. Exactly. So do you -- do you  
773 think that if you were McKesson Corporation and you were  
774 looking at all these prescriptions in Kermit that you would

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

37

775 think that -- would you think they knew those customers?

776 Mr. Patterson. Well, one, the obligation was there to  
777 know their customers.

778 Ms. DeGette. Right. Do you think that you possibly  
779 could know the customers when you're sending that many  
780 prescriptions in there?

781 Mr. Patterson. I think McKesson's answer would be that,  
782 you know, they did their part on this.

783 Ms. DeGette. Well, what's your answer?

784 Mr. Patterson. Obviously, I think they should have done  
785 more.

786 Ms. DeGette. Well, I would think so. I mean, do you  
787 think that orders of this -- of this magnitude -- 2.2 million  
788 doses of hydrocodone to one Sav-Rite pharmacy -- do you think  
789 that that's an order of an unusual size?

790 Mr. Patterson. I do, ma'am.

791 Ms. DeGette. And do you think that it deviates from a  
792 normal pattern?

793 Mr. Patterson. I do.

794 Ms. DeGette. Okay. Let me -- let me ask you another  
795 question.

796 Now, looking back on this case, do you think that the

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

38

797 distributors in all of these situations that the chairman and  
798 I have been talking about -- do you think that they -- that  
799 they failed to adequately exercise good due diligence over  
800 what they were doing?

801 Mr. Patterson. Certainly, on the appearance of it. I  
802 can't tell you what their due diligence was. But --

803 Ms. DeGette. Oh, we are going to ask them that. Don't  
804 worry. You're not here to represent them.

805 Now, in December, the Washington Post and "60 Minutes"  
806 reported that McKesson distributed large volumes of opioids  
807 from its Aurora, Colorado distribution facility in 2012.

808 On pharmacy that received these shipments reportedly  
809 sold as many as 2,000 opioids per day. Have you  
810 retroactively applied ARCOS data to the Colorado situation to  
811 see if there were distribution patterns similar to what we  
812 saw in Kermit, West Virginia?

813 Mr. Patterson. I believe that's the case, ma'am, that  
814 ultimately the DEA litigated and received a settlement. I  
815 don't know if we went back currently and have looked at that  
816 same number.

817 Ms. DeGette. And what was the settlement?

818 Mr. Patterson. It was \$150 million.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

39

819 Ms. DeGette. From McKesson to --

820 Mr. Patterson. The U.S. government.

821 Ms. DeGette. The U.S. government. As a result of  
822 McKesson's failure to adequately follow the law on  
823 distributing those opioids. Is that right?

824 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

825 Ms. DeGette. And so what do you think Congress can do  
826 so that we don't have a total slip-up like we did in all of  
827 these cases in West Virginia and around the country, really?

828 Mr. Patterson. Well, I think -- look, the fundamental  
829 change that we have already made is our recognition of how we  
830 can use the various data sets and paying attention to what we  
831 are doing.

832 I mean, the outreach to industry -- and I think this is  
833 a topic that I assume will come up at some point -- we have  
834 to work with the industry and the industry, obviously, has  
835 their responsibility.

836 But we have 1,500 people to monitor 1.73 million  
837 registrants.

838 Ms. DeGette. So, really, you think the initial burden  
839 to assess this is on the industry. But then the DEA has an  
840 important enforcement?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

40

841 Mr. Patterson. Oversight.

842 Ms. DeGette. Yes, thank you.

843 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

844 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

845 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full  
846 committee, Mr. Walden, for five minutes for questions.

847 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

848 Mr. Patterson, we need to find out whether DEA is really  
849 addressing the lessons you say DEA has learned.

850 Case in point is the one I raised, the questionable  
851 enforcement approach regarding the two Sav-Rite pharmacies in  
852 Kermit, West Virginia that I mentioned in my opening  
853 statement.

854 Sav-Rite number two was shut down in April of 2009,  
855 correct?

856 Mr. Patterson. I don't know the specific dates. I know  
857 there was two pharmacies. One was shut down and one wanted  
858 criminal --

859 The Chairman. Yes, it was -- our data show April of  
860 2009 Sav-Rite two was shut down. Sav-Rite one was not shut  
861 down until over two years later when the owner of the  
862 pharmacy entered a guilty plea to charges that he illegally

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

41

863 issued prescriptions, correct?

864 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

865 The Chairman. And in April 1st of 2009, an article in  
866 the local Herald Dispatch reported that the two Sav-Rite  
867 pharmacies and a local pain clinic were under federal  
868 investigation for operating a drug operation.

869 The article reported an affidavit from federal  
870 investigators who stated there were two overdose deaths  
871 linked to this network.

872 So my question is why did DEA shut down Sav-Rite number  
873 two but not Sav-Rite number one in April of 2009 if both  
874 pharmacies were part of a network linked to deaths?

875 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I would have to get back to you on  
876 that one particular issue and I will you the reason why.  
877 It's my understanding it was -- it was part of the criminal  
878 process in that case and I don't know the answer for why that  
879 was. But I would be happy to get that back to you.

880 The Chairman. Thank you.

881 So why would the DEA even consider such an arrangement  
882 when it knew the owner operated the pharmacies two miles  
883 apart, one of which the DEA claimed to be the prime reception  
884 location for the flood of pills -- that's a direct quote --

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

42

885 being sent to the area and linked to overdose deaths? Same  
886 owner, same operator, two miles apart?

887 Mr. Patterson. I agree with you, and it's something I  
888 will get back to you on.

889 The Chairman. During the time the DEA allowed Sav-Rite  
890 number one to remain in operation, this pharmacy received  
891 somewhere between 1 and 2 million hydrocodone and oxycodone  
892 pills.

893 Allowing Sav-Rite one to continue to dispense such a  
894 volume of opioids posed a continuing risk to public health  
895 and safety. Isn't that right?

896 Mr. Patterson. I would agree.

897 The Chairman. So, Mr. Patterson, what's the biggest  
898 priority? Protecting public safety or deferring to an  
899 ongoing criminal investigation?

900 Mr. Patterson. It should have been to protect public  
901 safety.

902 The Chairman. So in this case, the government  
903 originally entered a plea agreement with the pharmacy owner  
904 that didn't even call for any prison time.

905 The lack of any prison time troubled the judge and  
906 eventually the defendant was sentenced to six months -- six

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

43

907 months in prison.

908 What kinds of evidentiary challenges would have been  
909 involved in such a case and would putting an immediate  
910 suspension order on hold really help solve these challenges?

911 Mr. Patterson. So putting an immediate suspension order  
912 on hold, like, again, I don't know the particular facts of  
913 that criminal case and I would be happy to get back to you.

914 I will tell you that I have a very strong opinion and  
915 this has been relayed throughout our agency that whether it's  
916 an immediate suspension or whether a surrender for cause,  
917 that if we are having harm issues that that suspension needs  
918 to occur even in lieu of a criminal prosecution.

919 The Chairman. And have you gone back and looked? Are  
920 there any records in your possession that would speak to this  
921 issue of why that decision was made?

922 Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to go back and look,  
923 sir.

924 The Chairman. And will you provide those to us  
925 unredacted?

926 Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to take that back and  
927 take a look at it for you.

928 The Chairman. That wasn't the answer I was looking for.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

44

929 Mr. Patterson. I don't want to commit to the  
930 department's files. But I would be happy to take that back  
931 and I will take your concern back about getting them  
932 unredacted.

933 The Chairman. Yes. I mean, we've had this discussion  
934 in private. We'll have it in public. We'll have it in  
935 private.

936 The long and short of it is we just want to find out  
937 what was going on, what was the thinking, why the change in  
938 operation. People died and things were not -- we don't want  
939 to see your agency repeat that.

940 We are beholden to the constituents we represent and I  
941 think the public has a right to know, don't you?

942 Mr. Patterson. I fully understand your concern and I  
943 agree with you.

944 The Chairman. Would this happen again today?

945 Mr. Patterson. Certainly, I think with our mentality,  
946 the answer would be no. Like I said, I mean, what we wish to  
947 do, sir, is stop public harm. I've had this conversation  
948 with U.S. attorneys' population, states' attorneys'  
949 population.

950 I see in too many instances on ISOs, current ones that I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

45

951 sign off on, where there has been a delay that I don't find  
952 appropriate.

953 The Chairman. So how do you weigh when to proceed with  
954 an ISO versus a criminal case?

955 Mr. Patterson. I would take it, quite frankly, no  
956 different than what we would do in a criminal case in the  
957 field, and in this case, I find that, you know, we have the  
958 ability.

959 So we have certain protocols where we evaluate risk of  
960 ongoing criminal activity in traditional criminal cases. In  
961 this case, because the person has a registration, we can  
962 immediately stop that harm.

963 The Chairman. And how long -- what's immediate? Is  
964 that 90 days? Twenty-five days? Tomorrow?

965 Mr. Patterson. I think the frustration in this is it  
966 takes time to build even that ISO charge, which is the reason  
967 why, in a lot of cases, we've gone to surrenders for cause or  
968 a voluntary surrender in which we go in and try and remove  
969 that registration.

970 The Chairman. So the ISO -- how long are we talking  
971 about to build that case?

972 Mr. Patterson. I think probably, in an efficient

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

46

973 manner, 45 to 90 days.

974 The Chairman. So during that period, they can continue  
975 to dispense these drugs?

976 Mr. Patterson. The same way an illicit person would be  
977 out on the street as we gather the evidence we needed to  
978 present the charge.

979 That's why, sir, I go back to my point on surrender for  
980 cause, or a voluntary surrender. If I can walk in and lay  
981 out to that person why they need to surrender that and I can  
982 do it in a day and that's the method that we have actually  
983 been using much more aggressively than the ISO process, then  
984 we are going to do that.

985 The Chairman. What's the average time to go to a  
986 voluntary surrender?

987 Mr. Patterson. It depends. I mean, with very  
988 aggressive people it happens relatively quickly. There's  
989 always a quick balance with a criminal case and then evidence  
990 that they need to look at for that.

991 And, like I said, again, our conversations with  
992 prosecutors in the field have been that decision has to get  
993 made quickly.

994 The Chairman. All right. I know my time has expired.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

47

995 I would imagine Mr. Griffith is going to have a comment  
996 or two on this as well.

997 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back, and thank you  
998 again.

999 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1000 The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full  
1001 committee, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes.

1002 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1003 Mr. Patterson, I want to ask you about another pharmacy  
1004 in West Virginia so I can better understand why DEA was not  
1005 able to stop the distributors from oversupplying certain  
1006 pharmacies.

1007 This one is the Family Discount Pharmacy in Mount Gay-  
1008 Shamrock, West Virginia. Mount Gay-Shamrock has a population  
1009 of just under 2,000.

1010 DEA's data shows that distributors shipped 16.5 million  
1011 opioid pills to this pharmacy between 2006 and 2016,  
1012 including 2 million pills in three consecutive years.

1013 By contrast, the Rite-Aid Pharmacy down the street  
1014 received a total of about 2 million pills during this entire  
1015 11-year period.

1016 So do you agree that over 16 million pills is an

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

48

1017 excessive amount of opioids for Family Discount Pharmacy to  
1018 have received relative to the size of the town it served?

1019 Mr. Patterson. Especially when you compare it to the  
1020 other pharmacy. Correct.

1021 Mr. Pallone. I thank you.

1022 One distributor has provided evidence suggesting that  
1023 between May 2008 and May 2009 they sent DEA 105 suspicious  
1024 order reports stating that this pharmacy regularly ordered  
1025 high volumes of pills.

1026 For example, this distributor apparently told DEA that  
1027 Family Discount ordered 25 500-count hydrocodone bottles on  
1028 June 16th, 2008, and that's 12,500 pills just in the one day.

1029 On October 10th, Family Discount ordered 32 500-count  
1030 hydrocodone pills -- bottles, I should say -- or 16,000 pills  
1031 in a single day, again, for a town of only 2,000 people.

1032 Now, merely reporting these suspicious orders does not  
1033 absolve the distributor of its additional responsibilities.  
1034 Is that correct?

1035 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

1036 Mr. Pallone. So distributors still have to actually  
1037 refuse shipments to suspicious pharmacies?

1038 Mr. Patterson. They can, yes.



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

49

1039 Mr. Pallone. Additionally, it appears that distributors  
1040 continue to ship this pharmacy over a million opioid pills  
1041 each year in the five years after these reports were made and  
1042 even the distributor who told us they reported the pharmacy  
1043 to DEA continued to supply them after submitting those  
1044 reports.

1045 So, Mr. Patterson, it would appear that, again,  
1046 something broke down to allow so many opioids to be shipped  
1047 to this pharmacy.

1048 I mean, just tell us what happened here. Why are so  
1049 many opioids sent to this pharmacy at the same time that DEA  
1050 has received a number of suspicious order reports? What do  
1051 you think happened?

1052 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so, again, on any of these  
1053 individualized cases I am going to have to go back and take a  
1054 look at the specific instances of what happened.

1055 I will give you, I think, the concern I have with the  
1056 ARCOS -- not just ARCOS data but the suspicious orders, which  
1057 is that is -- was a decentralized function. It would go out  
1058 to our division -- those reports.

1059 We are now bringing those in as well to our headquarters  
1060 for proper deconfliction and visibility of what we see. I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

50

1061 will take on face value the facts that you just proffered to  
1062 me and I would be happy to go back and take a look at the  
1063 Family Discount scenario. As I sit here, I don't have the  
1064 particulars on the case from that time.

1065 Mr. Pallone. Well, I mean, we appreciate your following  
1066 up. I mean, that's obviously why we are asking the  
1067 questions. I don't expect you to know everything right off  
1068 the bat.

1069 But let me just say this. Between 2006 and 2010, did  
1070 the DEA have any data analysts assigned to scrutinize  
1071 information from distributors about the amount of pills  
1072 shipped to particular pharmacies? Did you have any kind of  
1073 data analysts, in that respect?

1074 Mr. Patterson. So my understanding of the people that  
1075 were handling the ARCOS data it was a completely manual  
1076 process, meaning everything was coming in on paper or tapes,  
1077 which would have to be verified.

1078 So you have this one-month to three-month delay to begin  
1079 with. They would have to have errors in their report that  
1080 would go back and forth.

1081 So what you found yourself with is a set of data that  
1082 sometimes would take a year-plus to get correct, and then in

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

51

1083 that time frame, sir, we are using it very much as a reactive  
1084 tools.

1085 In other words, someone would come in and provide some  
1086 piece of information on a pharmacy or a doctor or some other  
1087 impact -- or some other issue and then they would go and look  
1088 at the ARCOS data. It was not done in a --

1089 Mr. Pallone. So does that mean then, if I understand  
1090 you, that there wouldn't be -- it would be too long a period  
1091 of time before would they realize how excessive this was?

1092 Mr. Patterson. Well, if it was still ongoing,  
1093 obviously, it would be an ability to look at that current  
1094 situation. In a lot of these cases you see where these  
1095 problems occurred for either a year or two and then  
1096 disappeared or they were ongoing. But --

1097 Mr. Pallone. And is that being -- is that problem being  
1098 corrected or what do you suggest we do?

1099 Mr. Patterson. It has been corrected, sir. So, again,  
1100 I think that for the committee to understand is ARCOS is an  
1101 extremely different tool in 2018 than it was even in 2010 or  
1102 2011.

1103 Mr. Pallone. So you feel that you already have the  
1104 tools to correct it -- you don't need anything else?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

52

1105           Mr. Patterson. I feel that tool, with other data, is an  
1106 important way for us to look proactively at these issues --  
1107 the very specific issues that we are talking about today.

1108           Mr. Pallone. All right. Thank you.

1109           Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1110           The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas,  
1111 Mr. Barton, for five minutes.

1112           Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1113           This is a difficult hearing because I think everybody  
1114 has the same bottom line. But your agency doesn't appear to  
1115 be willing to aggressively try to help us solve this or at  
1116 least deal with this crisis.

1117           According to the latest numbers that this committee  
1118 staff has, 115 people a day are dying of opioid overdoses and  
1119 two-thirds of those are legally prescribed drugs. So about  
1120 80 people a day are dying from taking legally-prescribed  
1121 prescription drugs.

1122           Now, they may be getting that prescription in an illegal  
1123 way -- in other words, they don't really need it. You're the  
1124 head of the agency that's supposed to do something about it.

1125           Now, I don't know much about you but, apparently, your  
1126 background has been on the illegal side of DEA. Is that

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

53

1127 correct?

1128 Mr. Patterson. That is correct.

1129 Mr. Barton. Okay. How long have you been in your  
1130 current position?

1131 Mr. Patterson. Since October of 2017.

1132 Mr. Barton. Okay. And I doubt that you volunteered for  
1133 the job. I think, you know, you don't have -- we don't have  
1134 a -- we still don't have a Trump administration appointee  
1135 who's been recommended to the Senate.

1136 So for the foreseeable future in terms of drug  
1137 enforcement the buck stops with you, even though you're, as I  
1138 understand it, a career civil servant. Is that correct?

1139 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

1140 Mr. Barton. Okay. Are you familiar with the Washington  
1141 Post articles that have been running the last three to four  
1142 months? One of them talks about the tension between the  
1143 field enforcement offices and the Washington administrative  
1144 officials?

1145 Mr. Patterson. I have.

1146 Mr. Barton. Okay. Do you agree or disagree with the  
1147 basic thrust of those -- of those articles -- that the  
1148 enforcement people were very enthusiastic and willing to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

54

1149 really go after the distribution centers and the drug  
1150 manufacturers and the pharmacists -- pharmacies and the  
1151 Washington staff, for lack of a better term, stonewalled them  
1152 or toned them down?

1153 Mr. Patterson. So I believe that's an overstatement. I  
1154 think you have a number of issues that, quite frankly, play  
1155 out in this space, some of which have to do with  
1156 personalities.

1157 But I don't find that the folks in the field, for the  
1158 most part, had this belief that they were shut down. I do  
1159 think there were people that felt that way at headquarters  
1160 but not necessarily in the field.

1161 Mr. Barton. Are you familiar with a gentleman named  
1162 Clifford Lee Reeves, II?

1163 Mr. Patterson. I am.

1164 Mr. Barton. You don't think he stonewalled them or  
1165 turned them down -- toned them down?

1166 Mr. Patterson. Sir, as I've talked about with everybody  
1167 I've met on this situation, I will simply explain this. I  
1168 could put three people in a room and talk about probable  
1169 cause and they could all have different opinions on --

1170 Mr. Barton. Well, let me put it this way. You and your

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

55

1171 associates in Washington have stonewalled this committee for  
1172 the last six or seven months.

1173 It took a threat of Chairman Walden to subpoena the  
1174 attorney general of the United States to finally break loose  
1175 some documents. We didn't get those documents, I understand,  
1176 until yesterday.

1177 Now, that's not the Washington Post, sir. That's your  
1178 people in Washington interacting with Energy and Commerce  
1179 Committee staff on a bipartisan basis. That's not  
1180 hypothetical. That's real.

1181 Now, we are as much a part of the problem as anybody  
1182 because the Congress has not aggressively addressed it. But  
1183 we are beginning to, and as long as you're the head of the  
1184 DEA, I personally, as vice chairman of this committee, expect  
1185 you to work with us and to tell your people to work with the  
1186 committee staff. Can you do that?

1187 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I took over this job in October. I  
1188 met with --

1189 Mr. Barton. Okay. I don't -- I want to know will you  
1190 do what I just asked you to do? Yes or no. Will you tell  
1191 your people to work with committee staff to help address this  
1192 problem?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

56

1193 Mr. Patterson. Of course, and I have since November and  
1194 we've been turning documents over since that time.

1195 Mr. Barton. Well, you didn't turn them over until  
1196 yesterday, sir, and some of the documents you turned over  
1197 were so redacted that it just looked like black marks on the  
1198 pages.

1199 Mr. Patterson. Sir, we've been turning documents over  
1200 since November to the tune of more than 10,000 pages of  
1201 documents that have come over here in the last month.

1202 Mr. Barton. Yes, and how many of those pages do you  
1203 think are useable?

1204 Mr. Patterson. Well, we sat down yesterday with staff  
1205 to go --

1206 Mr. Barton. Because this hearing was today.

1207 Mr. Patterson. -- the concerns. Sir, I would  
1208 respectfully disagree with that.

1209 Mr. Barton. Well, you can -- at least you're  
1210 respectfully disagreeing and I appreciate that.

1211 Mr. Patterson. I am fully committed, sir, to working  
1212 with this committee and being as transparent as I can be.

1213 Mr. Barton. Well, you just remember, 80 people a day  
1214 are dying because of legal prescription drugs that are



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

57

1215 probably being illegally prescribed. Remember that.

1216 I yield back.

1217 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1218 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from  
1219 Florida, Ms. Castor, for five minutes.

1220 Ms. Castor. Thank you, Chairman Harper.

1221 Administrator Patterson, I am sure you know about the  
1222 multi-district opioid litigation in the Northern District of  
1223 Ohio, which consolidates over 400 lawsuits brought by cities  
1224 and counties and other states' communities against the drug  
1225 distributors, manufacturers, and pharmacy chains.

1226 The most important source of information in that major  
1227 lawsuit is going to be most likely the ARCOS data, and I  
1228 understand DEA initially resisted providing ARCOS data to the  
1229 federal judge.

1230 A DEA official testified in response to my question in  
1231 the Health Subcommittee hearing last month that the  
1232 resistance was based upon a need to protect proprietary  
1233 information.

1234 But now the court in this case has recently entered a  
1235 protective order describing how the parties should treat the  
1236 confidential ARCOS data when DEA disclosed it.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

58

1237           It's apparent to me that the ARCOS data will be pivotal  
1238           in appropriately resolving the case and assigning  
1239           accountability.

1240           Do I understand now that DEA has agreed to provide nine  
1241           years of data on opioid sales including the identifies of  
1242           manufacturers and distributors that sold 95 percent of  
1243           opioids in every state from 2006 to 2014?

1244           Mr. Patterson. That is correct, under the protective  
1245           order.

1246           Ms. Castor. Under the protective order. So this will  
1247           not be the last major challenge to manufacturers and  
1248           distributors and others that are responsible.

1249           Will DEA likely cooperate in those cases too? Have you  
1250           set up a standard -- is this a decision, going forward, that  
1251           other judges and litigants can count on?

1252           Mr. Patterson. I would believe it's under the same  
1253           circumstances and conditions that we would comply the same  
1254           way with anyone else that came in under those same terms.

1255           Ms. Castor. So when will that data be provided to the  
1256           federal court in that -- in the northern Ohio case?

1257           Mr. Patterson. I can get back to you on the date. I  
1258           think it's very short term.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

59

1259 Ms. Castor. Okay. The committee's analysis of ARCOS  
1260 data has been very concerning. The trends in West Virginia -  
1261 - I mean, we've just really -- we've just really skimmed the  
1262 surface, I think.

1263 My colleagues have outlined some of these. I am  
1264 concerned that there are other regions all across the country  
1265 where distributors may have supplied pharmacies with  
1266 excessive quantities of opioid pills and that that  
1267 information may be overlooked.

1268 How is DEA currently using the older ARCOS data, say,  
1269 from 2006 to the present to go back and look at past crimes,  
1270 and if you could explain what you're doing now.

1271 Mr. Patterson. No, I appreciate the question and I  
1272 think it's an important issue.

1273 So the 400 packages that we just put out are current-day  
1274 packages that we want to investigate -- in other words, where  
1275 harm is continuing.

1276 I shouldn't say where harm is definitely continuing but  
1277 where those outliers are that we want to go back and take a  
1278 look at, why is that occurring, right?

1279 Some of these actually end up being reasonable issues.  
1280 You know, there's an oncology department there. There's some

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

60

1281 reason why there's a higher level of that medication going to  
1282 that area.

1283 I think the key is is that once we get a handle on  
1284 current issues that we are dealing with we want to roll  
1285 backwards and look at 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 where we  
1286 still have the ability to take a look at that data and make  
1287 it make sense.

1288 I can tell you that there's a number of cases ongoing in  
1289 DEA without going into detail on them, looking at just that  
1290 issue right now with manufacturers and --

1291 Ms. Castor. And what is the statute of limitations? If  
1292 you go back and we -- the committee has seen some of this in  
1293 graphical forms where 2006 it ramped up and then because now  
1294 the spotlight is being shined on it that the excessive  
1295 distribution has scaled down.

1296 Do you have the ability to go back and hold them  
1297 accountable for that peak dangerous distribution of opioids?

1298 Mr. Patterson. So on the criminal side, I believe it  
1299 would be five years. On civil, I would have to find out. I  
1300 am not sure how far back you can go civilly.

1301 Ms. Castor. So you are --

1302 Mr. Patterson. As long as it is an ongoing issue, then

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

61

1303 you fall into that time frame.

1304 Ms. Castor. And there was a lot of criticism by the  
1305 Pulitzer Prize-winning Charleston Gazette Mail that the state  
1306 didn't take advantage of data at their fingertips. What are  
1307 -- how are you cooperating with states in providing that data  
1308 so they can hold folks accountable?

1309 Mr. Patterson. So this gets back to the issue, I think,  
1310 with PMP which -- and this is why these two data sets are so  
1311 critical with each other.

1312 We see the distribution to the pharmacy. PMP data in  
1313 the states will then show you the distribution out of the  
1314 pharmacy, right. So that whole connection, that's where  
1315 those other outliers become very critical for us to take a  
1316 look at.

1317 Some states, and this is the issue that we have  
1318 addressed throughout the members that we've met through and  
1319 the states that we've talked to, some states share this data.

1320 Some states require a subpoena, which is also fine.  
1321 Some states don't share. This is a problem that we have and,  
1322 frankly, I think an issue that, you know I would hope that  
1323 someone looks at on a legislative fix, at a minimum to make  
1324 the states cooperate with each other because you have

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

62

1325 bordering states, in some cases, that are still not  
1326 participating and cooperating with each other, which is  
1327 exactly how a lot of this diversion happens.

1328 Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. I yield back.

1329 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

1330 Before we proceed, I want to clarify for the record that  
1331 the DEA has been producing documents and the vast majority of  
1332 the, roughly, 9,700 pages we have received have come in  
1333 during the last month.

1334 Those documents had substantial redactions. Staff  
1335 identified key documents for you and yesterday the DEA  
1336 brought up some of those for us to view in camera. And I  
1337 will note that those documents still contain some redactions.

1338 So there's still much work to be done. I wanted to  
1339 clarify that for the record, that the bulk of these came in  
1340 after Chairman Walden's press conference and we'll continue  
1341 to work with you in this effort.

1342 Mr. Patterson. Thank you, sir.

1343 Mr. Harper. Now the chair will recognize the vice  
1344 chairman of the subcommittee, the gentleman from Virginia,  
1345 Mr. Griffith, for five minutes.

1346 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

63

1347           Mr. Patterson, I am going to need -- I am going to need  
1348 your assistance on some of this because what I am going to do  
1349 is ask a series of questions which require a yes or no  
1350 answer.

1351           First, if you would take a look at the email before you  
1352 dated 5/6/2011. I show it to you here, and I would ask  
1353 unanimous consent to put that into the record.

1354           Mr. Harper. Without objection.

1355           [The information follows:]

1356

1357           \*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 2\*\*\*\*\*

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

64

1358           Mr. Griffith. And apparently, secret DEA official  
1359 wrote, because his name is blacked out, our first and most  
1360 prominent social responsibility as government officials in  
1361 the DEA is to protect the public.

1362           I think that trumps all other activities. I think  
1363 that's what Congress/citizens would expect us to do. You  
1364 agree with that statement, don't you? Yes or no.

1365           Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1366           Mr. Griffith. One of the key tools for DEA to fulfil  
1367 their -- this mission is through an immediate suspension  
1368 order -- I will henceforth refer to those as ISOs.

1369           This is an administrative tool used as an emergency  
1370 intervention to stop a rogue doctor or pharmacist from  
1371 continuing to prescribe or dispense opioids that would  
1372 possibly kill drug seekers and/or put the public at risk.

1373           You agree with that as well, don't you?

1374           Mr. Patterson. I do.

1375           Mr. Griffith. An essential element for requesting the  
1376 ISO is concern about imminent danger to public health or  
1377 safety. A pharmacy in Oviedo, Florida received an increase  
1378 of oxycodone of almost 2,500 percent compared to one year  
1379 earlier.



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

65

1380           Local police arrested customers in the parking lot of  
1381           this pharmacy for selling/trading pills. Police officers  
1382           were concerned customers were getting high in the parking lot  
1383           and getting on the roads, endangering the public.

1384           The continued dispensing of opioids by this pharmacy  
1385           with its parking lot of drug pushers and drug users who get  
1386           high and then drive on the public roads would pose an  
1387           imminent danger to the public, wouldn't you agree? Yes or  
1388           no.

1389           Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1390           Mr. Griffith. You would also agree, I assume, that  
1391           speed is crucial in issuing imminent suspension orders to  
1392           protect the public? Yes or no.

1393           Mr. Patterson. I would.

1394           Mr. Griffith. And 45 -- I will just tell you, 45 to 90  
1395           days that you told the chairman of the full committee is not  
1396           -- is not acceptable. Please refer to the -- another email  
1397           before you and I ask unanimous consent to put that in the  
1398           record and this one is dated August 22nd -- or 20th --  
1399           there's two different dates on it.

1400           Mr. Harper. Without objection.

1401           Mr. Griffith. 2013.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

66

1402 All right. The email chain in August 2013 shows that  
1403 DEA lawyers were requiring the DEA field to submit an expert  
1404 witness report to describe the expert's assessment of data  
1405 and documents prior to submitting either or both request --  
1406 either or both request for an immediate suspension order and  
1407 orders to show cause.

1408 Are you aware of this new requirement that was imposed  
1409 in 2013? Yes or no.

1410 Mr. Patterson. No.

1411 Mr. Griffith. And I expected that.

1412 Regarding medical experts being required, DEA counsel  
1413 Lee Reeves wrote, "To be clear, this is not a chief counsel  
1414 office requirement policy. This is the requirement of the  
1415 administrator and the courts."

1416 Are you aware that the medical experts are required by  
1417 the DEA administrator? Yes or no.

1418 Mr. Patterson. No.

1419 Mr. Griffith. Mr. Reeves also wrote that as a general  
1420 matter, these cases without expert testimony are the  
1421 exception rather than the rule.

1422 So, generally, DEA is requiring medical expert testimony  
1423 before the field can submit an ISO to the chief counsel's

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

67

1424 office for review. Is this still the policy of the DEA? Yes  
1425 or no.

1426 Mr. Patterson. It is not a policy, no.

1427 Mr. Griffith. I appreciate that. Thank you.

1428 Mr. Reeves cites the DEA administrator's decision in the  
1429 Ruben case for requiring medical experts. However, the Ruben  
1430 case is a show cause case, not an ISO.

1431 This decision basically says that if a state doesn't --  
1432 if a state doesn't provide guidance on certain medical  
1433 standards, the DEA must use an expert to explain why the  
1434 doctor's activities fell below the standard of care.

1435 However, you would not need a medical expert if the  
1436 state had a statute of regulations on prescribing standards.  
1437 Yes or no, or I don't know?

1438 Mr. Patterson. I don't know that.

1439 Mr. Griffith. All right. Fair enough.

1440 Let's discuss this policy of requiring experts, and I  
1441 know that you're trying to shift from some of that but let's  
1442 discuss it.

1443 It would take some time for the DEA field to find a  
1444 medical expert, wouldn't you agree?

1445 Mr. Patterson. I would.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

68

1446 Mr. Griffith. And to obtain the services of a medical  
1447 expert the DEA would have to issue a sole source contract and  
1448 the agency and the expert would have to figure out and reach  
1449 an agreement on fee and deliverables. Isn't that true?

1450 Mr. Patterson. I don't necessarily know about the  
1451 contract but it would require some type of compensation.

1452 Mr. Griffith. And after all of that, the medical expert  
1453 would need to review prescription monitoring program, data  
1454 patient files, and other information. It's going to take  
1455 some time for the medical expert to review and render an  
1456 opinion, isn't it?

1457 Mr. Patterson. It would.

1458 Mr. Griffith. Yes. After the medical expert completes  
1459 the review then the chief counsel's office would need  
1460 additional time to review the field submission of the request  
1461 for an immediate suspension order. Isn't that true?

1462 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1463 Mr. Griffith. Realistically -- this scenario assumes no  
1464 delays along the way, and realistically this process, in many  
1465 ISO cases, will take weeks, won't it?

1466 Mr. Patterson. I would believe so.

1467 Mr. Griffith. And that's where you get your 45 to 90

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

69

1468 days. If the DEA registrant sought a restraining order  
1469 against the ISO, the delay in timing getting the medical  
1470 expert and going through all the steps we just went through  
1471 would in fact weaken the DEA's case in court for immediacy,  
1472 wouldn't it?

1473 Mr. Patterson. I would believe so.

1474 Mr. Griffith. Yes, it would.

1475 And so in fact, insisting on an expert medical testimony  
1476 for the ISO -- I get the trial in cheap, the merits. But to  
1477 protect the public, insistent on a medical expert in advance  
1478 is endangering the public and endangering your case on the  
1479 ISO because it takes away the immediacy factor. Wouldn't you  
1480 agree?

1481 Mr. Patterson. Yes, and I --

1482 Mr. Griffith. Okay. I got to keep moving because I am  
1483 already out of time.

1484 All right. Maybe I can get some more opportunity later.  
1485 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

1486 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back. The chair will now  
1487 recognize the gentleman from California, Mr. Ruiz, for five  
1488 minutes.

1489 Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Patterson, thank you for coming. I am a

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

70

1490 board-certified emergency physician and I can't tell you how  
1491 personally I take whenever a patient comes in overdosed, not  
1492 breathing, and blue.

1493 It's not uncommon to see a blue-colored patient being  
1494 strolled in in an emergency situation, having been dumped  
1495 from a car from friends who found this person overdosed, not  
1496 breathing.

1497 And as emergency physicians we cut to the chase and we  
1498 start resuscitating the patient. We know exactly what to do  
1499 no matter if it's from overdose of opiates or any other  
1500 reason why a patient is comatose. Whether we start the ABCs  
1501 -- airway breathing circulations -- and we bring them back,  
1502 as much as possible.

1503 So I am going to cut to the chase here and ask you some  
1504 -- ask you to be very frank and direct.

1505 You screwed up. The DEA knew that there was a lot of  
1506 opioids being shipped, an extraordinary amount and not  
1507 outliers, and when you said earlier that there's two things  
1508 that you were going to do from now on it's very concerning  
1509 that those two things were to recognize how to use the data,  
1510 and two, pay more attention to what you're doing.

1511 That leaves me to believe that you were collecting data

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

71

1512 that you did not know how to use, and two, you weren't paying  
1513 attention to your job within the DEA.

1514 So I am going to be very straightforward. What are you  
1515 doing different now that you're going to recognize how to use  
1516 the data?

1517 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I appreciate the concern and I  
1518 think what I've tried to explain is the data -- when we are  
1519 talking about a lot of these cases that you have brought up  
1520 we are talking about a time period in which this data was --

1521 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. I would rather focus -- be specific on  
1522 what are the changes you're going to do now. Not giving me  
1523 the reasons why or an excuse. Tell me what are you going to  
1524 do now that's different.

1525 Mr. Patterson. So let me give you a handful of the  
1526 differences.

1527 Mr. Ruiz. Yes.

1528 Mr. Patterson. On the suspicious orders, we have  
1529 regulations that are in the final stretch to deal with that.  
1530 We have a website that's now been built for the distributors  
1531 to understand their customers better where they can go in and  
1532 see partial information on other people that distributed to  
1533 that particular pharmacy for the past six months.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

72

1534           We are working with all of our other partners both in  
1535           the Health and Human Services side and the states to try and  
1536           combine all this data, to look at it in a very proactive  
1537           manner.

1538           Mr. Ruiz. What are your flags? What numerical  
1539           equations have you used to flag something for the pharmacies  
1540           and for the distributors?

1541           Mr. Patterson. I would have to get you what the  
1542           specific flags are for them. I mean, they --

1543           Mr. Ruiz. Are they new flags or are they old flags,  
1544           like --

1545           Mr. Patterson. No, they're our baselines for any given  
1546           area as to traditional, you know, what the prescribing rates  
1547           have been in those particular areas and anything that's an  
1548           anomaly to that is a flag.

1549           All right. So when we've talked about these issues  
1550           before we have a --

1551           Mr. Ruiz. And who's looking at that flags? Who's the  
1552           one in your department who's actually putting their eyes on  
1553           this computer and reporting these?

1554           Mr. Patterson. A unit within the diversion.

1555           Mr. Ruiz. Okay. And how many people are in that unit?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

73

1556 Mr. Patterson. I would have to get that number for you.

1557 Mr. Ruiz. Okay, because you have --

1558 Mr. Patterson. Again, most of it's generated by  
1559 computer.

1560 Mr. Ruiz. Okay.

1561 Mr. Patterson. So it's not necessarily a manpower-  
1562 intensive endeavor to do.

1563 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. And so when you said that now you're  
1564 going to start paying attention to what you're doing, tell me  
1565 about that. What are the organizational changes that you  
1566 have made to start paying attention to doing your job?

1567 Mr. Patterson. I don't think I said now that we are  
1568 doing it. I think we've been doing it for a period of time.

1569 Mr. Ruiz. Well, you said moving forward that now --  
1570 that, you know, what you have to do is to pay attention to  
1571 what you're doing. That means to imply that there was some  
1572 kind of slip-up before.

1573 So what exactly are you doing? What are the changes? I  
1574 want to -- I want to practice my ABCs for a patient who's  
1575 coming in. I want to know what you're doing exactly that  
1576 you're going to make sure that this doesn't happen again.

1577 Mr. Patterson. I mean, again, that's some of the issues

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

74

1578 I just talked to you about and how we use data, community --  
1579 or not community outreach. Well, community outreach with the  
1580 prescribing --

1581 Mr. Ruiz. Have you changed any organizational  
1582 structure? Is there any accountability metrics that you have  
1583 included in your department? Have you increased the staffing  
1584 in certain areas?

1585 What are you doing to pay better attention to your job?

1586 Mr. Patterson. Over the past few years, we've increased  
1587 staffing and diversion. We have a new head of diversion  
1588 control coming in.

1589 He and I have sat down and spent time on this  
1590 particulars issue as to other proactive ways we can look at  
1591 it. I met with the U.S. attorney and states' attorneys to  
1592 talk about these issues of working criminal cases or civil  
1593 cases and how they impact our administrative issues for the  
1594 criminal prosecutions.

1595 They want to continue to gather evidence. If we have  
1596 some harm that's being done and we can stop it, then we have  
1597 to start to balance this out in a better and more proactive  
1598 way.

1599 So there -- I mean, there are dozens of things we are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

75

1600 doing differently. This is not just a one issue fix.

1601 Mr. Ruiz. Well, those are the things that I am  
1602 particularly concerned and want to know more about because  
1603 that's what's going to create the change is by -- is by  
1604 making changes in your department in order to use your data  
1605 more efficiently and also to start paying attention whether  
1606 it's through computers or personnel, because a computer can  
1607 flag all it wants to flag but if a human is not taking those  
1608 warnings and having action based on what your computer is  
1609 flagging then it's just going to be a flashing flagging  
1610 computer.

1611 Mr. Patterson. Understood.

1612 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1613 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas,  
1614 Dr. Burgess, for five minutes.

1615 Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1616 And Mr. Patterson, I want to acknowledge that I asked  
1617 for you to come to my office and you complied with that, and  
1618 for that I am deeply appreciative with the information that  
1619 you shared with me.

1620 Obviously, this is something about which many of us feel  
1621 very, very strongly. Clearly, we want to get some answers.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

76

1622           The subcommittee has interest in knowing about  
1623 differences between voluntary suspension orders and immediate  
1624 suspension orders.

1625           I will stipulate that both exist and that we could argue  
1626 which is a more propitious path to follow. Are there other  
1627 tools you have in your tool box in addition to immediate  
1628 suspension order and the voluntary suspension order?

1629           Mr. Patterson. Sure. There's a whole range. There's  
1630 letters of admonition, you know, orders to show cause.  
1631 There's a host of administrative tools that we have that we  
1632 can use in this space, and depending on -- and to go back to  
1633 an issue that Mr. Griffith had brought up, depending on,  
1634 quite frankly, whether it's a doctor or a pharmacy may be a  
1635 very different reaction than what we would do or evidence we  
1636 would gather against maybe a distributor.

1637           Mr. Burgess. Let me ask you a question, because I can't  
1638 take credit for it -- my staff did this -- but went to your  
1639 Diversion Control Division and pulled down a document that's  
1640 called "Cases Against Doctors" and this is produced by the  
1641 U.S. Department of Justice and Drug Enforcement  
1642 Administration.

1643           I presume it's your product. It's about a hundred pages

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

77

1644 long. It goes back, basically, to 2002 through October 12th  
1645 of 2017.

1646 It's a hundred pages or about three cases per page, so  
1647 that's 300 cases against doctors in the last 15 years. Does  
1648 that sound about right?

1649 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I don't know. That's a complete  
1650 list of all doctors that cases have been worked or is that --  
1651 is it a guide to help people and where people have gotten  
1652 into trouble?

1653 Mr. Burgess. Well, I will tell you what concerns me as  
1654 I look through this is that most of the dates are pre-2009.  
1655 So I guess my question would be where is the data from 2010  
1656 onward and perhaps that's something we can follow up with  
1657 together because I do share the provider's perspective on  
1658 this. We want to be able to provide pain relief when it's  
1659 required of us and it's appropriate.

1660 At the same time, we obviously do not want to be  
1661 jeopardizing public safety and the integrity of society the  
1662 way the opiate crisis is endangering us currently.

1663 But I think this could be very important information.  
1664 You referenced, at the start of your testimony, that over  
1665 prescribing is perhaps one of the number-one problems. Well,

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

78

1666 if that's the case, then it's this sort of information that  
1667 is, I think, going to be very helpful to us as policy makers  
1668 how do we develop the correct policy.

1669 Let me just ask you, did I understand this figure  
1670 correctly? You referenced \$309 million in fines at the -- at  
1671 the DEA level. Is that correct?

1672 Mr. Patterson. In civil fines, \$390 million or \$309  
1673 million.

1674 Mr. Burgess. So okay, that ballpark -- \$300 to \$400  
1675 million.

1676 We'd appropriated a billion dollars in cures for  
1677 treatment of this problem. We are looking at another \$6  
1678 billion in the appropriations bills that are coming through  
1679 right now. So you see the disparity there.

1680 Someone, whether it be suppliers prescribers is causing  
1681 a problem to exist. You're finding them but it's only  
1682 minuscule compared with the amount that it's actually costing  
1683 society in trying to save people, salvage people, get people  
1684 back to productivity.

1685 That doesn't even address the fact that, again, people  
1686 are taken out of -- out of productivity -- out of being  
1687 productive citizens when they enter into this type of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

79

1688 behavior. Is that correct?

1689 Mr. Patterson. I agree, sir. And may I just add? I  
1690 mean, so these fines come as, again, and you -- some of the  
1691 members have already mentioned this balance, right, of  
1692 ensuring pain medicines for people.

1693 So I think the fines generally come with, quite frankly,  
1694 the heavier piece of that is the memoranda of understanding  
1695 or memoranda of agreement of how they'll behave, moving  
1696 forward.

1697 Mr. Burgess. Correct. I get that.

1698 Let me just ask you this, because I think it was Mr.  
1699 Barton referenced 80 people a day who were dying -- was 115  
1700 was the total number but 80 per day are dying because of what  
1701 you described as over prescribing.

1702 And then we've got these lists that in my observation  
1703 are not up to date. Do we know how many people were dying a  
1704 day from over prescribing in 2007, 2008, 2009 in that time  
1705 frame? Do you have a figure?

1706 Mr. Patterson. I don't have it here. I would be happy  
1707 to get that stat for you. It still was an alarming number,  
1708 even back in that time period, sir.

1709 Mr. Burgess. And then that begs the question. You

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

80

1710 know, I mean -- and, again, I appreciate the effort that  
1711 you're putting into it now.

1712 But it's been right there in front of us for well over a  
1713 decade, decade and a half and, clearly, it requires all hands  
1714 on deck in our approach. And, again, I appreciate your being  
1715 very forthcoming with my office and I appreciate that.

1716 Mr. Chairman, I will yield back.

1717 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1718 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from New  
1719 York, Ms. Clarke, for five minutes.

1720 Ms. Clarke. I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I thank our  
1721 ranking member.

1722 Mr. Patterson, it's clear in many cases certain drug  
1723 distributors supply very large volumes of opioids to some  
1724 pharmacies in West Virginia.

1725 But we've also seen from DEA's data that many of these  
1726 pharmacies were buying from multiple distributors. For  
1727 example, in 2009, the West Virginia pharmacy, Hurley Drug,  
1728 received over 2 million opioid pills from six different  
1729 distributors, including over 300,000 from one distributor,  
1730 over 600,000 from a second distributor, and over 900,000 from  
1731 a third.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

81

1732           So it's bad enough if one distributor over supplies a  
1733 pharmacy. But when you look at the total shipments that  
1734 Hurley Drug received from all distributors, it was about 2  
1735 million pills, which is over seven times what a similar  
1736 pharmacy will be expected to receive, according to DEA's own  
1737 data.

1738           So DEA is the only entity that can see the volumes that  
1739 multiple distributors are simultaneously sending to a single  
1740 pharmacy. Is that correct?

1741           Mr. Patterson. From the distributor level, yes, ma'am.

1742           Ms. Clarke. So, Mr. Patterson, was DEA performing  
1743 analytics a decade ago to identify these kinds of patterns at  
1744 individual pharmacies?

1745           Mr. Patterson. Again, ma'am, in a reactive manner at  
1746 that time.

1747           Ms. Clarke. Okay. So I would like to look at DEA's  
1748 data on another pharmacy in West Virginia -- Sav-Rite  
1749 Pharmacy in the small town of Kermit received hydrocodone  
1750 from five different distributors in 2008.

1751           A few distributors provided relatively normal amounts  
1752 that don't seem to raise alarms. However, one distributor  
1753 shipped 1.2 million pills and another shipped nearly 2

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

82

1754 million.

1755 All told this pharmacy got nearly 4 million pills that  
1756 year, which is nearly 15 times what a similar pharmacy would  
1757 be expected to receive, according to DEA's data.

1758 Mr. Patterson, if you rely on distributors to report  
1759 suspicious orders from pharmacies, how do you flag pharmacies  
1760 trying to stay under the radar by buying from multiple  
1761 distributors?

1762 Mr. Patterson. So, ma'am, this is where, again, the  
1763 data that we use today -- not the data, I shouldn't say the  
1764 data -- but how we use the data is very different today, and  
1765 this is also where the critical nature comes into us working  
1766 with the states.

1767 Those same pharmacies, that PMP data which show that  
1768 amount of distribution from those pharmacies, so we have that  
1769 distributor in and then the pharmacy out, depending on the  
1770 PMP program.

1771 So the key is for us to work together on that and,  
1772 again, I can say repeatedly in 2008, 2009, and 2010 we did  
1773 not use this data in the way that we are now using it and I  
1774 think that's the key.

1775 I get that we have this issue from a decade ago, that we

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

83

1776 have to resolve, you know, in terms of how we used it. And,  
1777 again, where we fell short in that we'll take responsibility  
1778 for it. I think the system is much more robust and used in a  
1779 much different way in --

1780 Ms. Clarke. So can you give us a little bit more  
1781 insight into how you're proactively analyzing the data to  
1782 ensure that pharmacies are not being over supplied by  
1783 multiple distributors? That has not come across clearly to  
1784 us this morning. How are you actually doing that disruption?

1785 Mr. Patterson. Again, we are taking this -- so as we  
1786 talked about in the opening, we are proactively looking at  
1787 data not just across DEA and that ARCOS database that we've  
1788 talked about but HHS, PMP programs where we are sharing that  
1789 information and looking to proactively target outliers.

1790 Ms. Clarke. So how do you -- what happens once you, you  
1791 know, you're flagged in this -- in this regard?

1792 Mr. Patterson. So we --

1793 Ms. Clarke. What exactly happens?

1794 Mr. Patterson. We send that information out to the  
1795 field for investigators -- those TDS groups or diversion  
1796 groups, depending on how they're being used to go out and  
1797 work those cases to find out is it a legitimate amount of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

84

1798 prescriptions that are going there or is there illegitimate  
1799 diversion occurring in those areas.

1800 Ms. Clarke. And has that -- has that worked thus far?  
1801 Because, you know, you said this was over a decade ago. I am  
1802 assuming that you have already begun sort of this new  
1803 protocol. What are your findings?

1804 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am. So the interesting thing is  
1805 of those 400 packages that went out, a good majority of what  
1806 we saw in that data and the outliers and what they identified  
1807 were ongoing cases that we already had, which shows that that  
1808 data set works to develop and target those areas where we  
1809 have problems.

1810 To the extent that we didn't have cases on those other  
1811 ones and they were warranted, we've opened cases on those  
1812 facilities or doctors or distributors to take a look at that  
1813 behavior.

1814 Ms. Clarke. Mr. Patterson, I just want to share with  
1815 you that, you know, this is an ongoing crisis. Once we are  
1816 able to disrupt sort of this supply chain, we know that these  
1817 supply chains become supplanted by more nefarious actors.

1818 And so, you know, I really want to impress upon you and  
1819 your agency to be as forward leaning in this regard as

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

85

1820 possible because once those pills are cut off, we know that  
1821 that's when the illicit trade picks up in velocity.

1822 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am. And as we've talked about,  
1823 again, in the opening, I think that shift has already  
1824 occurred.

1825 Ms. Clarke. Thank you. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

1826 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back. The chair will  
1827 now recognize the gentleman from New York, Mr. Collins, for  
1828 five minutes.

1829 Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,  
1830 Mr. Patterson for being here.

1831 I think you can tell and your get out of jail free card  
1832 today, you have been in this particular job five months. I  
1833 would hope five months from now you would not be giving many  
1834 of the same answers.

1835 Following up on what Mr. Ruiz said, I think we are just  
1836 all frustrated. There seems to be the bureaucracy mind set  
1837 in the DEA today, much like we've seen in the VA.

1838 And, you know, we are finally seeing heads rolling in  
1839 the VA. Not as fast as we want. I am just curious, because  
1840 there's no doubt there was an abject failure of the DEA,  
1841 going back the last 10 years.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

86

1842           Have a lot of heads been chopped off? I mean, have you  
1843 got a new team in place?

1844           Mr. Patterson. Sir, so as I said, we have a new head of  
1845 Diversion Control. I think the last two people that have  
1846 done that job have done and both successful in turning around  
1847 that program.

1848           Mr. Collins. Well, I just -- not to interrupt but to  
1849 interrupt, you know, I think the right people can turn this  
1850 around in 48 hours. I mean, I am a turn around guy. That's  
1851 what I've spent my whole life doing.

1852           You bring a new team in and people get called in the  
1853 office every day and they walk out saying, somebody just hit  
1854 me up the side of the head with a baseball bat. I am either  
1855 going to get my act together or I am going to get out of  
1856 Dodge.

1857           This isn't a time to be polite or nice or let's do  
1858 better tomorrow. No, this is an abject failure, and if I go  
1859 back to -- if I am sitting in that seat and McKesson  
1860 processed 1.6 million orders and only 16 were deemed  
1861 suspicious, that's absurd.

1862           I mean, I don't know what kind of computers you got but  
1863 that's absurd. It means no one was watching.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

87

1864           And you can say well, that was being done in the  
1865           district level. But it's indefensible. When we look in West  
1866           Virginia and two suspicious orders so, you know, let's, you  
1867           know, maybe jump ahead, and in 2008, Cardinal Health was fine  
1868           \$34 million for not reporting suspicious orders.

1869           All right. So let's go forward eight years later.  
1870           They're still not doing it. You know, two guesses. First --  
1871           second one doesn't count.

1872           How much do you think you fined them eight years later  
1873           for the same problem? Thirty-four million dollars, the same  
1874           amount. In most places the second offense -- all right,  
1875           first offense \$34 million, eight years later the same  
1876           problem, the same fine? Should have been tenfold. Should  
1877           have been \$340 million dollars.

1878           What message did you send -- what did your agency do?  
1879           And this was a year ago -- year and a half ago. I mean, you  
1880           guys don't get it and if you're not -- this committee agrees  
1881           on a lot.

1882           I don't think we've ever agreed across the board on an  
1883           issue as much as we are agreeing your agency needs to be  
1884           turned upside down, not just a little shakeup here and there  
1885           but turned upside down. It starts with you. If you can't do

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

88

1886 it, you ought to get out.

1887 So when I look at some of the things -- so we have  
1888 distributors. We have pharmacies. We have doctors. Well, I  
1889 happen to live next door -- literally, next door to one of  
1890 the doctors, Dr. Gosy, in Clarence, New York, and I saw his  
1891 six sports cars parked out there with all new -- I mean, his  
1892 name in the community was Dr. Pain. And this wasn't  
1893 something new.

1894 So it took -- when I look back, it took the DEA a good  
1895 seven years to come after my next door neighbor. By the way,  
1896 he doesn't live there anymore.

1897 But he had set up a script line in 2012 where people  
1898 could call in and fill scripts with PAs under basically no  
1899 supervision.

1900 So at what point -- how could you allow a single  
1901 physician -- my next door neighbor, literally, in Clarence,  
1902 New York -- to write more prescriptions for opioids, millions  
1903 of them, than any other doctor or in fact any other hospital  
1904 in the state of New York?

1905 There's 20 million people in New York. My particular  
1906 town of Clarence has about 50,000 people, and one doctor in  
1907 the town of Clarence was writing more prescriptions than any

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

89

1908 doctor in the state of 20 million people or any hospital  
1909 including New York City.

1910 Took you guys five years to figure out there might be  
1911 something suspicious? Would you agree, I mean, that's  
1912 unacceptable?

1913 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so I wouldn't have any data on a  
1914 particular prescriber. DEA doesn't hold that set of data.

1915 Mr. Collins. Well, he's now been indicted. They've  
1916 seized his cars. They've seized his bank accounts.

1917 Mr. Patterson. So at some point, whether that was a DEA  
1918 case or a state local case, I don't know what it was that  
1919 investigated him and --

1920 Mr. Collins. It was a federal case.

1921 Mr. Patterson. Okay. So at some point we learned of  
1922 that and then there was --

1923 Mr. Collins. Yes, but what's going on with your  
1924 computer systems and other things? It takes you four or five  
1925 years. I mean, I am -- I know how computers work, pretty  
1926 much. I don't know how old yours are. I mean, maybe they're  
1927 XT, you know, tabletops. I am not sure.

1928 But this kind of data should be instantaneously  
1929 available.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

90

1930 Mr. Patterson. And, sir, I go back to the states  
1931 control prescription monitoring program, not DEA. We control  
1932 into a pharmacy. The doctor --

1933 Mr. Collins. Well, maybe you should be kicking some  
1934 butt going down the chain. I mean, if I was sitting in your  
1935 job and you're on the hot seat right now, and you're telling  
1936 me now, I mean, placing the blame on the states, that doesn't  
1937 cut it in our world here. We are not looking to place blame.  
1938 We are looking for solutions.

1939 My time has expired. We look forward to you coming back  
1940 in another four or five months and having a different set of  
1941 answers.

1942 Thank you, sir.

1943 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1944 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from New  
1945 York, Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.

1946 Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1947 I want to find out if DEA uses data gathered through its  
1948 ARCOS system to game disability into how many opioid pill  
1949 distributors send pills that -- distributors send to a town  
1950 or region as a whole, even if the distributions are spread  
1951 out over multiple pharmacies.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

91

1952 Administrator Patterson, one town examined by the  
1953 committee was Williamson, West Virginia, population 3,000.  
1954 Our committee's investigation focused on two pharmacies in  
1955 Williamson. The first is Tug Valley Pharmacy.

1956 Mr. Chair, could I ask that we please show minority  
1957 exhibit three on the screen?

1958 Okay. We have here the Tug Valley Pharmacy. According  
1959 to DEA's ARCOS data, between 2006 and 2016, Tug Valley  
1960 Pharmacy received over 10 million doses of opioids from 13  
1961 different distributors.

1962 This includes over 3 million pills just in 2009. So  
1963 Administrator Patterson, this is an unbelievable quantity of  
1964 opioids for a pharmacy this size in a town of 3,000. Does  
1965 DEA believe the amount of opioids this pharmacy received was  
1966 excessive?

1967 Mr. Patterson. In 2009 I would say so, sir.

1968 Mr. Tonko. And, again, Mr. Chair, if we could please  
1969 put minority exhibit four up on the screen. This is the  
1970 second pharmacy in Williamson -- Hurley Drug -- that we see  
1971 on the screen here.

1972 ARCOS data show that Hurley received over 10.5 million  
1973 doses of opioids from 11 different distributors between 2006

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

92

1974 and 2016.

1975 This includes over 2 million doses in both 2008 and in  
1976 2009. Mr. Patterson, again, this strikes me as an excessive  
1977 amount of opioids for a pharmacy in a town of 3,000 to  
1978 receive.

1979 Do you agree that this is unreasonable?

1980 Mr. Patterson. I would agree.

1981 Mr. Tonko. I've mentioned that both of these pharmacies  
1982 are located in Williamson and, incidentally, both of them are  
1983 still in operation today.

1984 I want to show you where they are located. So if we  
1985 could please post minority exhibit five on the screen, and  
1986 combined distributor shipped over 2,000 -- excuse me, over  
1987 20.8 million doses of opioids to these two pharmacies, which  
1988 you can see on our screen, are located only blocks apart and  
1989 they did that 20.8 million doses of opioids between 2006 and  
1990 2016.

1991 Mr. Patterson, between 2006 and 2016, what kind of ARCOS  
1992 data analyses did DEA do to alert it when distributors  
1993 shipped an unwarranted amount of opioids into a town or  
1994 region so that it could stop these excessive distributions?

1995 Mr. Patterson. Again, sir, I would have to go back and

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

93

1996 look at that specific example and look at the data set in  
1997 terms of where those periods of time were.

1998 As I already testified previously, we use the data in a  
1999 very different way today than we did then. But I would want  
2000 to go back and specifically look at the time frame and what  
2001 was going on and I can get back to you on that.

2002 Mr. Tonko. If the data were used today, that you have -  
2003 - you know, as you use it today would it have avoided  
2004 something like this?

2005 Mr. Patterson. I would hope so.

2006 Mr. Tonko. Well, can we have a little more of an  
2007 answer? I am hoping is good, but --

2008 Mr. Patterson. I would like to -- I would like to --  
2009 but I mean, part of the, I think, the important issue that we  
2010 are talking about today is to go back and look at these  
2011 specific examples.

2012 Like I said, I have seen examples where on ARCOS data we  
2013 actually can't see some of these anomalies. So I think, in  
2014 taking these examples back and looking at them and we are  
2015 using a time frame of 2006 to 2016, I can't tell you for the  
2016 last couple of years what that ARCOS data has been, as I sit  
2017 here.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

94

2018           Traditionally, what we've seen is very high levels of  
2019           distribution into those places between 2008 to 2010 or 2011  
2020           when we started to look at this data in different ways.

2021           Still not nearly as proactively as we do today. But  
2022           that's why I would like to take this example back and look  
2023           and get back to you on essentially what's happened with that.

2024           Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

2025           I have been dealing with this issue a great deal in my  
2026           district and when I hear of opioids being the gateway to the  
2027           illness of addiction, it's very disturbing, and the heartache  
2028           and the pain and, unfortunately, the death associated with  
2029           that illness is a crisis and we need to -- we need to do  
2030           something very valuable here and I would implore that the  
2031           folks at DEA be smarter in their approach.

2032           And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chair.

2033           Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

2034           The chair now recognizes the gentleman from  
2035           Pennsylvania, Mr. Costello, for five minutes.

2036           Mr. Costello. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2037           Are you aware that the DEA's chief ALJ authored  
2038           quarterly reports describing DEA's declining use of ISOs and  
2039           noted in June 2014, quote, "an alarming low rate of agency

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

95

2040 diversion enforcement activity" on a national level?

2041 Mr. Patterson. I have read those, yes.

2042 Mr. Costello. For the last several years, the chief ALJ  
2043 has reported declining number of ISOs to the DEA  
2044 administrator on a quarterly basis. This issue had also been  
2045 raised in the committee's investigation.

2046 My question -- why has the number of DEA ISOs declined  
2047 significantly over the past few years.

2048 Mr. Patterson. I think there's two things when you look  
2049 at those statistics.

2050 I think that, although warranted, the statistics were  
2051 very high in 2010 and 2011 because of the issue that we were  
2052 dealing with in Florida and how those ISOs were being used.

2053 I think during this latter part we have gotten to a  
2054 point of in trying to expedite the surrender of registrations  
2055 we have much more gone into a posture of trying to get  
2056 voluntary or surrender for cause orders.

2057 Mr. Costello. Is there still a need today, as there was  
2058 in 2011, for the DEA enforcement tool of ISOs?

2059 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

2060 Mr. Costello. A 2013 report by the chief ALJ stated the  
2061 DEA's chief counsel had, quote, "instituted a new vetting QA

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

96

2062 initiative" that could be slowing the progress of diversion  
2063 cases.

2064 What was this initiative?

2065 Mr. Patterson. I don't know if it was initiative or if  
2066 it was guidance. I think the --

2067 Mr. Costello. What was the guidance? Yeah.

2068 Mr. Patterson. I think the issue at play here was  
2069 directed towards distributors, not necessarily directed at  
2070 doctors and pharmacies.

2071 Mr. Costello. Do we have -- have you provided that  
2072 guidance in full to this committee?

2073 Mr. Patterson. We have not.

2074 Mr. Costello. Will you?

2075 Mr. Patterson. That's a conversation that we've had  
2076 with Mr. Walden and we'll continue to work forward on that --

2077 Mr. Costello. When a state revokes the medical license  
2078 of a doctor, that doctor is no longer eligible to have a DEA  
2079 registration associated with that medical license, correct?

2080 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2081 Mr. Costello. When the doctor no longer has state  
2082 authority to prescribe does the DEA have to conduct any  
2083 further investigation or can DEA execute revocation of DEA

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

97

2084 registration by just obtaining the certificate of the medical  
2085 license revocation?

2086 Mr. Patterson. We can do an order to show cause.

2087 Mr. Costello. No investigation is needed?

2088 Mr. Patterson. That's correct, because they've lost  
2089 state authority.

2090 Mr. Costello. After a state revocation of the doctor's  
2091 medical license, how quickly is DEA notified about the  
2092 revocation and how long does it take for DEA to revoke the  
2093 doctor's DEA registration?

2094 Mr. Patterson. That's where we need to be working with  
2095 the states to essentially learn of that -- the state medical  
2096 boards to learn of that information. Our field division  
2097 offices are responsible for that.

2098 Mr. Costello. Are the vast majority of DEA enforcement  
2099 actions in diversion litigation cases comprised of these no  
2100 state authority cases that do not involve DEA investigation?

2101 Mr. Patterson. In terms of the orders to show cause?

2102 Mr. Costello. That's correct.

2103 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2104 Mr. Costello. Yes?

2105 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

98

2106 Mr. Costello. Is it estimated to be about 80 percent of  
2107 their actions?

2108 Mr. Patterson. I would believe that's probably a fair  
2109 number.

2110 Mr. Costello. Mr. Chair, I would like to yield the  
2111 balance of my time to you, Mr. Griffith.

2112 Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much.

2113 When I was asking you questions earlier, we talked  
2114 about the ISOs and the apparent requirement -- I know you  
2115 didn't do it but the apparent requirement for a medical  
2116 expert in advance of issuing an ISO and the fact that that  
2117 would take a number of weeks and you said 45 to 90 days. I  
2118 went through all the different steps that might actually lead  
2119 to that.

2120 So you agree that it's the DEA's mission to protect the  
2121 public safety and we agree that there's a tremendous amount  
2122 of delay and part of that delay in small -- in no small  
2123 measure is the requirement that before you get that  
2124 administrative tool of the ISO you have to get a medical  
2125 expert.

2126 So can you, as acting administrator, agree with me today  
2127 that you would be willing to reexamine the medical expert

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

99

2128 requirement?

2129 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

2130 Mr. Griffith. And I appreciate that.

2131 Mr. Patterson. And again, we are using the word  
2132 requirement. I think these documents are in reference to  
2133 distributors and not doctors and pharmacies. But I would be  
2134 happy to go back and look into that further.

2135 Mr. Griffith. Yes, it was actually reference to doctors  
2136 and pharmacies. But that's okay. As long as we are working  
2137 it out, that's where we want to go. We want to make things  
2138 better.

2139 And one of the reasons that I get so passionate about  
2140 this is you saw Mr. Tonko's minority slide of Hurley Drug  
2141 earlier.

2142 Well, Hurley, Virginia, is 33 miles from Williamson,  
2143 West Virginia, where that drug store is located. And anybody  
2144 with any sense knows that a big bunch of those pills were  
2145 coming into my district.

2146 Likewise, I had some additional questions that dealt  
2147 with the fact that we have problems in -- with red flags  
2148 being raised that apparently takes a while to be picked up  
2149 on.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

100

2150           So we had a doctor in Giles County who was sending his  
2151 patients over to West Virginia to get drugs. We have a  
2152 situation in Martinsville where they have, according to the  
2153 CDC, they prescribe more opioid pain killers than anywhere  
2154 else in the U.S. per capita and where another doctor was  
2155 prescribing opioids for patients in North Carolina.

2156           So I look forward to working with you to solve these  
2157 problems. But these are real world problems, real world  
2158 people, and real word deaths.

2159           Mr. Patterson. I agree with you.

2160           Mr. Griffith. I yield back. I now recognize  
2161 Congresswoman Walters for five minutes.

2162           Mrs. Walters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2163           Mr. Patterson, it's my understanding that the DEA often  
2164 uses tips and information it receives from state and local  
2165 law enforcement to develop cases against entities or  
2166 individuals suspected of engaging in or facilitating illicit  
2167 drug diversion. Is that correct?

2168           Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2169           Mrs. Walters. According to the DEA, the Automated  
2170 Reports and Consolidated Ordering System, or ARCOS, provides  
2171 the agency with retail level data regarding controlled

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

101

2172 substance transactions. Does this mean, for example, ARCOS  
2173 can show many doses of hydrocodone or oxycodone an individual  
2174 pharmacy received in a given year?

2175 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

2176 Mrs. Walters. In fact, as part of its investigation,  
2177 the committee has obtained and analyzed ARCOS data for parts  
2178 of West Virginia to great effect. So we recognize how  
2179 important a tool it can be.

2180 In February of this year, DEA announced that it was  
2181 adding a feature to ARCOS that will allow manufacturers and  
2182 distributors to view the number of companies that have sold a  
2183 particular controlled substance to a prospective customer in  
2184 the preceding six months.

2185 Mr. Paterson, does this policy enable companies to see  
2186 the amount of controlled substances its current customers are  
2187 receiving from other suppliers?

2188 Mr. Patterson. Yes. Part of the suspicious orders is  
2189 them knowing their customers to know when to file these  
2190 concerns.

2191 Mrs. Walters. Does the newly added features in ARCOS  
2192 provide state and local law enforcement with greater access  
2193 to the system's retail level data?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

102

2194 Mr. Patterson. I would have to find out if it provides  
2195 at the state level. When we work investigations with the  
2196 state level -- the state and local level, obviously, we can  
2197 share that data as part of an investigation.

2198 This is also part of the issue that we are dealing with  
2199 the states' attorneys general on as to how to share these  
2200 data sets to be more proactive.

2201 Mrs. Walters. Okay. According to a letter the DEA sent  
2202 to the committee in November of last year, DEA will share  
2203 ARCOS data with law enforcement on a need to know basis and  
2204 when they are operating in coordination with the DEA for  
2205 investigative purposes.

2206 So is it fair to say that the state and local law  
2207 enforcement entities do not have access to DEA ARCOS data on  
2208 a real-time basis?

2209 Mr. Patterson. If we are working an investigation we'll  
2210 share that data in a real time with them.

2211 Mrs. Walters. Okay. Is DEA developing any proposals  
2212 that will enhance state and local law enforcement's ability  
2213 to access and utilize ARCOS data?

2214 Mr. Patterson. Again, we are working jointly with them  
2215 and this also goes back to the effort, I think, with our

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

103

2216 states attorneys general.

2217 Mrs. Walters. Okay. In order to effectively combat the  
2218 opioid epidemic we need -- we need an all hands on deck  
2219 approach. The DEA has data that could assist state and local  
2220 law enforcement to identify potential sources of illicit  
2221 drugs in their communities and I think the agency should be  
2222 exploring every avenue to provide this data to law  
2223 enforcement as quickly as possible.

2224 It seems to me that providing state and local police  
2225 with access to ARCOS data would be beneficial to the DEA as  
2226 well, effectively providing the agency with additional eyes  
2227 and ears on the ground, likely resulting in additional leads  
2228 being produced to the agency.

2229 Mr. Patterson, will you commit to examine ways to  
2230 improve state and local law enforcement's access to ARCOS  
2231 data so that bad actors might be able to be identified with  
2232 greater frequency and effectiveness?

2233 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2234 Mrs. Walters. Thank you, and I yield back the balance  
2235 of my time.

2236 Mr. Harper. I now recognize the gentlelady from  
2237 Indiana, Mrs. Brooks.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

104

2238 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2239 Hello, Mr. Patterson. Since 2011, the number of  
2240 immediate suspension orders issued by the DEA, as you have  
2241 even noted, declined significantly from a high of 65 in 2011  
2242 down to a low of 6 in 2017. So I want to talk about that a  
2243 little bit.

2244 Are there instances in which the DEA pursues an  
2245 immediate suspension order, the ISO, in parallel with related  
2246 potential criminal investigation?

2247 Mr. Patterson. So, ma'am, since October, so the  
2248 administrator's position signs the ISOs when they're issued.  
2249 What I have traditionally seen is because of the process of  
2250 where a criminal case is being investigated there's been a  
2251 delay in the ISO process as they're gathering evidence.

2252 One of the concerns I have, and it goes back to, again,  
2253 what Mr. Griffith said, is that cuts against the very  
2254 argument that we have an imminent problem that we are trying  
2255 to deal with.

2256 So, again, my conversations that I've had with both U.S.  
2257 and states attorneys are is that we have to act much faster  
2258 in these cases in terms of if we have ongoing harm and we  
2259 have the ability to stop that harm, even at the peril of a



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

105

2260 criminal case, then that's what we should be doing.

2261 Mrs. Brooks. And let's be clear. The U.S. don't do the  
2262 immediate suspension orders. Those are done by the DEA.

2263 Mr. Patterson. The DEA. It's an administrative action.

2264 Mrs. Brooks. And are you saying that the U.S. attorneys  
2265 were asking -- as a former U.S. attorney are you saying the  
2266 U.S. attorneys were asking or telling DEA not to issue ISOs?

2267 Mr. Patterson. In trying to gather evidence in their  
2268 criminal case.

2269 Mrs. Brooks. I understand, but that can take months if  
2270 not years sometimes in criminal cases. But that is what --  
2271 do you believe that's what happened prior to you coming in  
2272 October of 2017 -- that delays happened?

2273 Mr. Patterson. I think that's been an ongoing theme of  
2274 what some of these delays are caused by.

2275 Mrs. Brooks. And why would the DEA delay that type of  
2276 administrative action in pursuit of a criminal investigation?  
2277 What -- why?

2278 Mr. Patterson. Because people believe that the criminal  
2279 investigation is an important endeavor towards whether it's  
2280 that doctor or that pharmacy.

2281 Mrs. Brooks. Well, very -- it is very important, no

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

106

2282 doubt, because that person is, obviously, distributing -- or  
2283 the belief is distributing illicitly. But why would an  
2284 immediate suspension -- is that so that undercover operations  
2285 can happen with the physician?

2286 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2287 Mrs. Brooks. And the prescriber?

2288 Mr. Patterson. The gathering of evidence.

2289 Mrs. Brooks. And what is the new guidance, and I  
2290 appreciate the importance of gathering of evidence, but what  
2291 is the new guidance relative to ISOs and criminal  
2292 investigations that you are contemplating or that are in  
2293 place now, and is that guidance in writing?

2294 Mr. Patterson. So it is not formalized. This is  
2295 conversations that I've been having with the AGAC, the, you  
2296 know, advisory --

2297 Mrs. Brooks. I served on the attorney general's  
2298 advisory counsel.

2299 Mr. Patterson. And to the extent that I've been meeting  
2300 with states' attorneys to try and talk to them about the same  
2301 issues.

2302 So I think we have to, again, a lot of this is striking  
2303 a balance. I, frankly, feel that a lot of these cases can be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

107

2304 worked backwards on the criminal aspect.

2305 I understand that their desire in a lot of these cases  
2306 is to be able to get contemporaneous evidence, use  
2307 undercover, right, as opposed to having to use witnesses that  
2308 have come in that maybe not have the best of backgrounds.

2309 So I understand that balance. The concern I have, like  
2310 I said, if we are using an ISO, it feels awful weird to be  
2311 signing that ISO a year after we learned of that problem.

2312 Mrs. Brooks. And I noticed in some of the -- in the  
2313 document that Dr. Burgess had there was some of that, that  
2314 the ISO was a year after the arrest even.

2315 Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2316 Mrs. Brooks. Although at the time of the arrest,  
2317 typically that individual would be under their medical  
2318 licensing procedures as well. Is that correct?

2319 Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2320 Mrs. Brooks. But wouldn't it make more sense to in many  
2321 ways implement an ISO in the middle of the criminal  
2322 investigation because those can take months if not years, and  
2323 in the meantime we've got all of these people dying.

2324 Mr. Patterson. I couldn't agree with you more and,  
2325 quite frankly, even in the absence of the ISO, my concern is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

108

2326 is that why aren't we trying to get a voluntary surrender as  
2327 quickly as we have. And we have a lot of offices that do  
2328 that in a very expeditious manner.

2329 Mrs. Brooks. And will your proposed guidelines impose a  
2330 cap on the length of time it can be delayed? Is that the  
2331 kind of discussion you're having. You're looking at, like,  
2332 30 days? Forty-five days?

2333 Mr. Patterson. I think, striking that balance, we have  
2334 to figure out where the days are. There will probably always  
2335 be that exception that comes up and I think as long as people  
2336 are willing to -- whether it's a U.S. attorney or a states'  
2337 attorney that is willing to put in writing why we need to  
2338 delay and we can evaluate that, I think that's something.

2339 I mean, the process itself I think we have to work  
2340 through. Like I said, we have new head of diversion control.  
2341 This is an issue that has been bothering me greatly. Since  
2342 October I've seen these and I've signed them and I have  
2343 generally the same question every time, which is why are they  
2344 taking so long.

2345 Mrs. Brooks. And for the record, I would just like to  
2346 acknowledge when I became a U.S. attorney in 2001 one of the  
2347 very first huge cases we did was against a doctor, Dr.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

109

2348 Randolph Lievertz, for over prescription of oxycodone, and  
2349 DEA in 2001, 2002 and beyond said prescription drugs were  
2350 going to be the next crisis in this country.

2351 Didn't start in 2010, didn't start in 2011. It was back  
2352 in 2001, 2002, and we had a huge focus on it during that  
2353 period of time and it's just really been very devastating,  
2354 seeing that we fell off of that commitment it feels like in  
2355 the last several years. I yield back.

2356 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

2357 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full  
2358 committee for some follow-up questions. Mr. Walden.

2359 The Chairman. Thank you. I appreciate the indulgence  
2360 of the committee.

2361 You raise an interesting issue about the U.S. attorneys  
2362 weighing in here and saying to the DEA, stop -- don't do your  
2363 ISO -- we want to proceed with the criminal investigation.

2364 One question -- do they have the authority to override  
2365 your ISO authority. That would be one. And then I want to  
2366 know the who, what, when, where, why.

2367 Who are the U.S. attorneys that interceded on which  
2368 cases in what areas and told the DEA suspend, and do they  
2369 have that authority.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

110

2370           Because, to Mrs. Brooks' point, people continue to die -  
2371 - die during this period, and I want to know this -- this is  
2372 part of our public policy debate here is does a U.S.  
2373 attorney's office somewhere have the authority to tell you  
2374 don't do the ISO, don't stop the death because we got to  
2375 investigate and go criminal, which will have a bigger  
2376 penalty, which I respect.

2377           But is it one agent somewhere? One U.S. attorney in one  
2378 state that is -- is that why West Virginia went off the  
2379 rails?

2380           And so I would like you to get back to the committee  
2381 with answers to those questions.

2382           Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to do so, sir. And  
2383 look, what I can assure this committee is I think this is a  
2384 topic that we have had some robust discussion on lately as  
2385 we've gone through these and I will also assure you that the  
2386 direction of this administration is to stop the harm as  
2387 quickly as possible.

2388           The Chairman. But I think you should be able to answer  
2389 the one question. Do the U.S. attorneys have the authority  
2390 to overrule your agency's decision making?

2391           I know you have -- you weren't there running it at the

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

111

2392 time.

2393 Mr. Patterson. I would believe that we could issue the  
2394 ISO even against the wishes of a U.S. attorney or a state's  
2395 attorney. It probably doesn't help relationships to take  
2396 those kind of unilateral actions.

2397 But, that said, I think part of this is the education of  
2398 us holding up these things, why they look at either criminal  
2399 or civil actions.

2400 The Chairman. I would go back to Mr. Griffith's  
2401 analogy. If you have got a drunk driver driving down the  
2402 road, you don't wait until they have the fatal accident to  
2403 pull them over and stop them.

2404 Mr. Patterson. I couldn't agree with you more.

2405 The Chairman. You can prosecute them along the way and  
2406 I would think you could make the case, going backwards,  
2407 because the prescriptions have been written. The pills have  
2408 been sent out.

2409 These two pharmacies we raised with you months ago are,  
2410 my understanding, still operating in West Virginia. Are they  
2411 not?

2412 Mr. Patterson. I don't know. Those are the ones I have  
2413 to go --

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

112

2414 The Chairman. They're not operating. All right.

2415 Well, if you can get back to us on the who, what, when,  
2416 where, why on these U.S. attorneys that would be good.

2417 Thank you.

2418 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

2419 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Georgia,  
2420 Mr. Carter, for five minutes.

2421 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

2422 Mr. Patterson, I suspect you know that currently I am  
2423 the only pharmacist serving in Congress, and Mrs. Brooks  
2424 makes a good point. This is not something that started in  
2425 2010 or 2011. It was going on in 2001 and 2002.

2426 I was practicing back then. Now, granted, I haven't  
2427 practiced in quite a while. It's probably been four or five  
2428 years since I practiced. But I still know what's going on  
2429 out there.

2430 You know, we've been kind of nibbling or you have been  
2431 nibbling around the edges here. There have been great  
2432 questions asked here but I want to follow up on the questions  
2433 that Representative Collins asked about the alpha -- the  
2434 beginning of where this problem starts and that's the doctors  
2435 who are writing these prescriptions.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

113

2436           Now, I am not naive enough to believe that there aren't  
2437 pharmacies out there that are in collusion with doctors or  
2438 filling fraudulent prescriptions.

2439           But I want to talk about the doctors who are writing  
2440 these prescriptions who are obviously out of control and why  
2441 it's taken DEA so long to get them in control or under  
2442 control.

2443           I will just give you an example. I served in the  
2444 Georgia state legislature for 10 years. I sponsored the  
2445 legislation that created the prescription drug monitoring  
2446 program back in 2009.

2447           I was jumping up and down then, saying this is a problem  
2448 -- we've got to get it under control, and it was falling on  
2449 deaf ears.

2450           There are doctors right now in our community that our  
2451 pharmacists won't fill prescriptions for. They just say no,  
2452 that doctor's out of control -- I don't fill for that doctor.

2453           I was working one President's Day. We were out during  
2454 our session. On President's Day we are always out. I had  
2455 someone come into my pharmacy, a young lady who had the holy  
2456 trinity of drug abuse -- 180, oxycodone, Xanax, and Soma,  
2457 three prescriptions there.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

114

2458 I looked at them. She gave me her driver's license from  
2459 Florida. I said, I am not filling these prescriptions. She  
2460 drove off in a car with Kentucky driver's license plates.

2461 Now, I am not going to fill those prescriptions unless I  
2462 have a legitimate prescription, okay, and I didn't want to  
2463 fill that. But you're putting me in the position where I've  
2464 got to judge whether that patient is legitimate or not.

2465 I am not trained in law enforcement, as a pharmacist.  
2466 But I want to know why, when there are doctors out there who  
2467 are writing these prescriptions why can't you get them  
2468 quicker?

2469 Mr. Collins is right. You ought to be able to turn that  
2470 around in 48 hours. The first time I get three prescriptions  
2471 for 180 of those -- of those drugs -- of the oxycodone,  
2472 Xanax, and Soma I know that doctor is out of control.  
2473 Something's wrong there.

2474 Why -- you know, I had an example -- I had a doctor who  
2475 we didn't fill for, Dr. B. I went home about a year ago and  
2476 some of the pharmacists were telling me, oh, they finally  
2477 busted Dr. B.

2478 I thought, wow, why did it take them five years to bust  
2479 him. We never filled his prescriptions for five years but he

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

115

2480 kept on practicing.

2481 Well, they didn't exactly bust him. They got him for  
2482 Medicare fraud. Didn't even get him for writing those  
2483 prescriptions -- never did.

2484 Another example here, Dr. D.N. He was -- he got  
2485 thousands -- literally thousands of people addicted to these  
2486 medications, and then he goes before the Composite Medical  
2487 Board and gets slapped on the wrist, and they come back and  
2488 they make him practice under the supervision of another  
2489 doctor.

2490 That's his penalty. Now he's practicing -- he lives on  
2491 the waterfront, a beautiful home, beautiful cars, and yet  
2492 thousands of people have been -- have been addicted because  
2493 of these prescriptions that he has written.

2494 We wouldn't fill his prescriptions. He's a rogue  
2495 doctor. We are not filling those. Tell me why it takes you  
2496 so long to get to the alpha, to the beginning, to the doctors  
2497 who are writing these prescriptions who are out of control.  
2498 Explain that to me, because I don't understand it.

2499 All you have to do is go into a community and say, what  
2500 doctors do you not fill for, and the pharmacists will tell  
2501 you -- we don't fill for this doctor and we don't fill for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

116

2502 that doctor.

2503 Mr. Patterson. Well, and that's, quite frankly, what we  
2504 have to rely on. So, you know, again, and I am not -- look,  
2505 the one thing I am not going to do in this space is shift  
2506 blame anyplace.

2507 This is a collective --

2508 Mr. Carter. Well, it appears to me that that's what  
2509 you're doing because Mr. Collins is right. You can turn this  
2510 around in 48 hours. Just get those doctors out of there.

2511 Mr. Patterson. But in the cases of these doctors, look,  
2512 when we do our reviews we ask information, try and solicit  
2513 people to essentially, you know, in the registrant community  
2514 to come in and talk about the registrants they have problems  
2515 with.

2516 If that doesn't happen, then our next course is someone  
2517 that's been arrested that says, this is what's happening in a  
2518 criminal case.

2519 Mr. Carter. But you can understand our frustration.  
2520 When we don't fill prescriptions for that doctor but for  
2521 years -- literally, four or five years, they continue to  
2522 practice.

2523 Mr. Patterson. I understand, and this is where PMP data

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

117

2524 becomes absolutely critical and it's because that isn't --

2525 Mr. Carter. But why -- what can we do to help you to be  
2526 able to get these doctors under control? What can we do?  
2527 Tell me what we can do in Congress.

2528 Mr. Patterson. It's the PMP data is really what it  
2529 boils down to.

2530 Mr. Carter. You -- we've had the PDMP since 2009 in  
2531 Georgia.

2532 Mr. Patterson. But, sir, DEA doesn't have access to  
2533 that data. It depends on the state.

2534 Mr. Carter. Can you shut the doctor down? Can DEA shut  
2535 the doctor down or is that up to the Composite Medical Boards  
2536 of the states?

2537 Mr. Patterson. No, if we had the -- if we had someone  
2538 that was showing us that a doctor was over prescribing then -  
2539 -

2540 Mr. Carter. But don't you know -- when you get this  
2541 information of pill dumping you know that that pharmacy is  
2542 getting those prescriptions from somewhere.

2543 Then that ought to be -- that ought to be an indication  
2544 to you. We need to -- Mr. Chairman, please -- we need to go  
2545 to that community and we need to find out what's going on

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

118

2546 here. They're coming from somewhere.

2547 Mr. Patterson. Understood.

2548 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2549 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

2550 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from West  
2551 Virginia, Mr. McKinley, for five minutes.

2552 Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As not a member  
2553 of this committee, I appreciate you giving me the opportunity  
2554 to raise some issues with that.

2555 Again, Mr. Patterson, thank you for being here. Are you  
2556 familiar with this book written by John Temple called  
2557 "American Pain?"

2558 Mr. Patterson. No, sir.

2559 Mr. McKinley. This is about the clinic down in south  
2560 Florida that was the epicenter of the opioids. I really  
2561 would suggest that you and everyone else that's paying  
2562 attention to this read that book.

2563 But anyway, because with all due respect for the way  
2564 some of your testimony has gone on this about ARCOS, he was  
2565 able to assemble all of this book about drug abuse without  
2566 access to ARCOS.

2567 So for someone to say that we couldn't access it, we

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

119

2568 couldn't use it because it was manual, it was too much  
2569 information, this man was able to put it together and be able  
2570 to demonstrate that -- this "American Pain" clinic down in  
2571 south Florida prescribed two times the amount of medicine of  
2572 all the doctors combined in the state of Ohio.

2573 He was able to put that together long hand, and he's not  
2574 an agency with all the -- all the resources you have to be  
2575 able to do that. He also was able to put together that --  
2576 all of the pill mills in Florida combined.

2577 So nine times the amount of pain medicine that was  
2578 issued by every state in the country. He did that long hand.

2579 So with all due respect, I don't think you can hide  
2580 behind the fact that this -- you didn't have the resources to  
2581 be able to do this because it was coming in manually.

2582 If I could, I am curious about the production quotas  
2583 with it because in the book he talks about how speed pills  
2584 back in the 1970s were becoming a problem, and DEA stepped up  
2585 and they cut the -- they cut the production by 90 percent and  
2586 the problem went away.

2587 And then in the 1980s we had a problem with Quaaludes --  
2588 same thing. He cut -- they cut the production and it went  
2589 away. Now, fast forward to today or what we've been dealing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

120

2590 with over the last 10 years or so, the opioids.

2591 We continue to increase the production of opioids,  
2592 continue to distribute those. Didn't we learn anything from  
2593 the past experience, that we should be cutting back? And it  
2594 wasn't until 2017 that we actually had our first reduction.  
2595 But it's still nearly 50 percent more than we were 10 years  
2596 ago in production of opioids.

2597 How would you respond to that? Didn't we learn  
2598 anything?

2599 Mr. Patterson. No, I understand that, sir.

2600 And look, the quota numbers are set, unfortunately, to  
2601 ensure access to the patients and you can see the disturbing  
2602 trend that happened with quotas. The industry said more and  
2603 more people needed these prescriptions.

2604 We worked aggressively in the last year and a half to  
2605 try and work on the quota issue and pull this back. I give a  
2606 lot of the credit to the states.

2607 Mr. McKinley. If I could recover my time, because I  
2608 think that perhaps I know you're meaningful to do this -- to  
2609 correct it -- but it failed, because I am coming from that  
2610 state that has 52 drug overdoses per 100,000 people. We are  
2611 leading the nation with this. Someone has to get to this.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

121

2612           So I am just curious, I know you have the ability to  
2613           transfer resources and funds within DEA. So my question goes  
2614           back to you -- have you made any transfer back into West  
2615           Virginia? Are you going to put more resources there in West  
2616           Virginia as a result of your ability to do transfer?

2617           Mr. Patterson. We have, and we are continuing to do so.

2618           Mr. McKinley. And I know that you had -- we just put in  
2619           a year or so ago down -- a tactical diversion squad in  
2620           Clarksburg. I think that's the second one we have in West  
2621           Virginia. Is that correct?

2622           Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2623           Mr. McKinley. Leading the nation -- is that sufficient?  
2624           Do you think that you have diverted enough attention into  
2625           West Virginia that you don't need to divert any more funds  
2626           and resources into West Virginia?

2627           Mr. Patterson. Sir, the creation of the Louisville  
2628           division, which polled three states all struggling with this  
2629           same problem -- Tennessee, West Virginia, and --

2630           Mr. McKinley. I am sorry. I am just dealing with West  
2631           Virginia. It's the epicenter. You know that and I know that  
2632           --

2633           Mr. Patterson. Sir, so we --

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

122

2634 Mr. McKinley. -- and when it -- it has been there for  
2635 nearly 10 years. It's been the highest level and we've not  
2636 seen the resources come in to West Virginia.

2637 And now I appreciate very much that you put a tactical  
2638 diversion squad, or your predecessor did, into Clarksburg.  
2639 But I've got to think there is a lot more attention needs to  
2640 go with it because if this man can do this by long hand, can  
2641 put this information together, I think you all could do it.  
2642 With your resources, you could do a far better job and save a  
2643 lot of lives and turn some families around.

2644 So I am asking you, please, to look at more diversion  
2645 into West Virginia -- some of the funds and resources that  
2646 you can to help out in this situation.

2647 Mr. Patterson. Again, sir, we've been working on that  
2648 and we are continuing to put more resources into that  
2649 particular division.

2650 Mr. McKinley. So what are the optics on this, in the 10  
2651 seconds I've got left? How am I going to be able to measure  
2652 whether you're successful with what you're doing?

2653 Because just last year in county we've already had a 50  
2654 percent increase in overdose drug -- overdose deaths in West  
2655 Virginia in my county. How are we going to measure this?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

123

2656 Are we going to see a drop next year?

2657 Mr. Patterson. Look, the concern we have had is that  
2658 we've seen the shift into fentanyl and other illicit  
2659 substances. The goal is to continue to drive down the  
2660 prescription rates and the diversion of prescription pills,  
2661 and we are going to have to work this licit market and,  
2662 frankly, the place --

2663 Mr. McKinley. Again, what's the -- what are the optics?  
2664 Am I going to see a decline next year?

2665 Mr. Patterson. I would hope we see declines across the  
2666 board. I think some states are going to take longer than  
2667 others, sir.

2668 Mr. McKinley. Thank you. Yield back.

2669 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2670 The chair will now recognize the vice chairman, Mr.  
2671 Griffith, for follow-up questions.

2672 Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.  
2673 Appreciate it, and this question was from Mrs. Brooks, who,  
2674 unfortunately, had to step out for a minute.

2675 Do the Medicaid fraud control units run by the state  
2676 AG's offices still exist in many states?

2677 Mr. Patterson. I would have to find out, sir.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

124

2678 Mr. Griffith. All right, because what she was  
2679 indicating was was that these particular MFCUs who are going  
2680 after Medicaid fraud often can also pick up over prescribing  
2681 data and that that's a collaborative unit that you all ought  
2682 to be looking at in the various states to figure out who the  
2683 rogue doctors are and that would help you in that regard as  
2684 well.

2685 Mr. Patterson, moving on, how can -- can you explain to  
2686 me the DEA -- how can you all maintain that voluntary  
2687 registration surrender can be as effective a tool in  
2688 protecting the public safety as an ISO if it takes years to  
2689 get the voluntary surrender as in the case of the owner of  
2690 the Sav-Rite number one in Kermit, West Virginia?

2691 Mr. Patterson. So that -- I would assume in that case  
2692 and, again, I need to get the particular facts on it -- the  
2693 voluntary surrender probably came as part of the criminal  
2694 case.

2695 Mr. Griffith. And so what you would do is you would  
2696 move -- you would reverse that order and have the voluntary  
2697 surrender or an ISO happening early on?

2698 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, sir.

2699 Again, I can't go back and necessarily understand why

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

125

2700 certain people did certain things, you know, six --

2701 Mr. Griffith. But you can make sure, going forward,  
2702 that we shorten the time?

2703 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, sir.

2704 Mr. Griffith. All right. In your written testimony,  
2705 you mentioned prescription drug monitoring programs as a tool  
2706 that can be used to combat prescription drug diversion.

2707 How does the DEA currently utilize the PDMP data in its  
2708 investigations?

2709 Mr. Patterson. So this varies state to state because  
2710 the concern is, again, is our access to this data and how we  
2711 can access this data and that is a state by state decision.

2712 And so every state varies. This is one of the big  
2713 conversations that we've had with the 48 states that are  
2714 parts of these two coalitions.

2715 Mr. Griffith. All right. Let us know how we can help.

2716 Your written testimony also mentioned that law  
2717 enforcement access to PDMP data varies widely from state to  
2718 state, as you have just told us.

2719 Can you tell me what the DEA is doing to address those  
2720 concerns and to address any access barriers the agency  
2721 currently faces with respect to the PDMPs?

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

126

2722 Mr. Patterson. Again, working with all the states  
2723 individually on these issues and to the extent that we can  
2724 leverage the coalitions to help us in that.

2725 Look, in a perfect world we have a federal PDMP process  
2726 that we can take all this data and put together. I think in a  
2727 less than perfect world at a minimum the states all need to  
2728 be able to share this data with each other.

2729 Mr. Griffith. And in your experience, are there areas -  
2730 - and you just have gone over some of it -- but is there some  
2731 other areas that we might be able to improve the PDMP  
2732 process?

2733 Mr. Patterson. I think that's the key piece.

2734 Mr. Griffith. All right.

2735 I appreciate it, Mr. Chairman. I yield --

2736 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2737 Mr. Patterson, just to give you a little update, I am  
2738 going to recognize Mr. Carter in just a minute for a follow-  
2739 up question. Then Ms. DeGette and myself will have  
2740 concluding questions and we'll be done shortly. So thank you  
2741 for being here with us today.

2742 The chair will now recognize Mr. Carter, the gentleman  
2743 from Georgia.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

127

2744 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be very  
2745 brief.

2746 I just want to follow up, Mr. Patterson. You're  
2747 correct, you can't do anything about what happened years ago.  
2748 But you can do a lot about what's happening now. I want to  
2749 give you a sincere caution here.

2750 What's happening with the wholesalers when they are  
2751 limiting the pharmacies from getting a certain amount of  
2752 drugs whereas that has all the best of intentions -- what it  
2753 causes sometimes is for some of our patients not to be able  
2754 to get the medications that they need and I just warn you to  
2755 please be careful with that. There are patients out there,  
2756 i.e., Hospice patients, who truly need these medications.

2757 We found ourselves running out and we couldn't order it  
2758 from the wholesalers because we'd already used up our limit  
2759 for that month. So that put these people in a very  
2760 precarious position and it's not a good position.

2761 It's a very bad feeling for a pharmacist to have to  
2762 profile and have to go out and say, oh, this patient doesn't  
2763 need pain medication. Who am I to say that the long-haired  
2764 tattooed body-pierced person is not in pain? That's not  
2765 fair.

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

128

2766           We've got to make sure that we get this under control  
2767           and I still maintain that starting with the physicians and  
2768           tell me what I can do to help you, to give you the tools that  
2769           you need so that you can react quicker and get them under  
2770           control when they get out of control.

2771           That's all I am asking you to do is tell me what you  
2772           need because I promise you I will do my best to get you those  
2773           resources so that you can get these rogue physicians -- and  
2774           they're not all of them but some of them -- a good amount of  
2775           them are out of control and they get out of control quickly  
2776           and it gets out of control very, very quickly.

2777           Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

2778           Mr. Patterson. Understood.

2779           Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2780           The chair will now recognize the ranking member, Ms.  
2781           DeGette, for concluding questions.

2782           Ms. DeGette. Thanks, Mr. Chairman, and I want to echo,  
2783           this is a rough topic, Mr. Patterson, and we know you haven't  
2784           been there that long.

2785           But we also know that it's urgent that we get this  
2786           right. It's just urgent for the safety of our constituents.

2787           There's just a couple of areas I wanted to clarify. Mr.



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

129

2788 Collins was asking you some questions about these -- the  
2789 settlement that the DOJ has had with some of the distributors  
2790 because of issues -- reporting suspicious orders and, you  
2791 know, it's really important that they -- that they report  
2792 these suspicious orders to you because you can't do your job  
2793 unless you get this reporting. Isn't that right?

2794 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

2795 Ms. DeGette. Now, for example, the DOJ has reached two  
2796 settlements with Cardinal Health. In 2008, Cardinal agreed  
2797 to pay \$34 million to resolve allegations that it shipped  
2798 large quantities of opiates to pharmacies without reporting  
2799 those orders to the DEA.

2800 And then in 2012 again, Cardinal agreed to pay \$44  
2801 million to resolve similar claims. Now, do you know, broadly  
2802 speaking, why the Department of Justice decided to pursue  
2803 these cases against Cardinal?

2804 Mr. Patterson. I don't, ma'am. I know that, from the  
2805 documents I have seen on the 2012 case, the frustration was  
2806 is that the MOUs or MOAs in that scenario essentially they  
2807 had gone back and violated again.

2808 Ms. DeGette. Right.

2809 Mr. Patterson. So that is probably the basis for --

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

130

2810 Ms. DeGette. Probably what they -- that's your  
2811 understanding?

2812 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2813 Ms. DeGette. Now, McKesson similarly reached two  
2814 agreements with DOJ agreeing to pay \$13.25 million in 2008  
2815 and again \$150 million in 2017 to resolve allegations that it  
2816 failed to report suspicious orders. Would you suspect it's  
2817 the same kind of a situation that you talked about a minute  
2818 ago?

2819 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2820 Ms. DeGette. Now, do you agree that suspicious order  
2821 reports are a key part of preventing diversion?

2822 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, because, again, I go back to  
2823 the fact that the distributors -- I should say the  
2824 manufacturers and distributors are the key registrants that  
2825 we need to hear from.

2826 Ms. DeGette. Right. Right.

2827 Now, if distributors fail to report suspicious orders,  
2828 they really do undermine your ability to oversee the supply  
2829 chain. Is that right?

2830 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

2831 Ms. DeGette. One more topic, and this is following up

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

131

2832 on something Ms. Walters was asking you about, and I don't  
2833 think maybe you understood her question.

2834 On this website that you have been talking about that  
2835 you have for distributors to look at, it does not -- it lets  
2836 other distributors see if other distributors are providing in  
2837 these -- to these pharmacies. But it does not tell volume.  
2838 Isn't that correct?

2839 Mr. Patterson. I would have to check it. I believe it  
2840 does. It shows the six-month -- goes back a six-month  
2841 window. But I would get back to you on that particular  
2842 issue.

2843 Ms. DeGette. I think so, because it's my understanding  
2844 that the distributors object to disclosing volume. Here,  
2845 your associate's handing you something.

2846 Mr. Patterson. No volume.

2847 Ms. DeGette. No volume. Okay. And, you know, from my  
2848 perspective I can understand what they're saying about that  
2849 impacting trade secrets and so on.

2850 But the problem, from my perspective, is if you're just  
2851 saying -- if you're just saying, okay, we are going to have a  
2852 website where you can see if other distributors are providing  
2853 in that area, that's really not going to -- if you don't know

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

132

2854 the volume then it's really hard for somebody to see whether  
2855 there's an abuse going on or not. Wouldn't you agree with  
2856 that?

2857 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2858 Ms. DeGette. I think -- I think this website is  
2859 something we should probably talk about more and maybe you  
2860 can supplement your answers to see how we can use that  
2861 effectively, because just knowing if other people are going  
2862 in there I don't think that's going to solve our problem.

2863 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

2864 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

2865 Just for clarification, it appears in 2008 that Cardinal  
2866 Health paid \$34 million in civil penalties and then again in  
2867 2016 an additional \$10 million was paid out through one of  
2868 its subsidiaries, Kinray -- if that clarifies that.

2869 Through our investigation, Mr. Patterson, the committee  
2870 has learned certainly that as early as 2008 the DEA received  
2871 almost daily suspicious order reports, which received  
2872 millions of opioids that had been tied to known pill mill  
2873 physicians like Mr. Collins' neighbor that he referenced.  
2874 Yet, most continue to remain in operation and it's unclear to  
2875 what extent, if any, DEA followed up on the suspicious order

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

133

2876 reports it received.

2877 So tell us what is the process that the DEA takes when  
2878 evaluating suspicious order reports it receives and the  
2879 actions that the agency takes in response?

2880 Mr. Patterson. So, sir, when those come in they're  
2881 currently reviewed by and looked at for investigation by the  
2882 divisions. This is one of the changes that we are making by  
2883 bringing this into headquarters process.

2884 Some of these companies, obviously, have districts all  
2885 throughout the country. One of the reasons why we want to  
2886 look at them is because we want to look at them as a  
2887 corporation, not just as individual entities or other problem  
2888 areas.

2889 So that is a change that we are doing. I would be happy  
2890 to go back and look at specific issues on --

2891 Mr. Harper. Sure.

2892 Mr. Patterson. -- any of SORS database and what was or  
2893 wasn't done. I think the decentralization -- we have had  
2894 structural problems, I would say, in terms of how we used not  
2895 just some of this information but how we looked at it.

2896 Those structural changes we are rapidly trying to get a  
2897 handle on to make these -- especially in the suspicious

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

134

2898 orders regulations -- I am sorry, reports -- more beneficial  
2899 because, one, we need them for the registrants, but two, we  
2900 have to do something with them when we get them.

2901 And you have discussed the -- you know, implementing the  
2902 process to improve and to process those suspicious orders at  
2903 DEA headquarters.

2904 Has DEA identified breakdowns in the way its field  
2905 division processes suspicious order reports in the past and  
2906 what corrections or adjustments have been made or do you  
2907 anticipate being made?

2908 Mr. Patterson. So, again, I think the uniformness of  
2909 how we look at these things and the accountability that we  
2910 hold the people to when we get these reports is critical.

2911 So that's one of the big changes for us to make sure  
2912 that as we are looking at these -- you know, I have had  
2913 conversations with all of the staff in this space, whether,  
2914 you know, it goes back to the ALJ or the folks in chief  
2915 counsel that do it with our expectations, to go back to what  
2916 Mr. Collins was talking about.

2917 It has not been comfortable conversations. But we have  
2918 to essentially do the things that we are supposed to be doing  
2919 each and every day and personalities can't play a role in

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

135

2920 this.

2921 Mr. Harper. And when you were making decisions at DEA  
2922 headquarters, the personnel at the headquarters probably have  
2923 field experience in some level in DEA. Would that be a fair  
2924 assessment?

2925 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2926 Mr. Harper. And as you're looking at these, are you  
2927 also taking into consideration those that are in the field  
2928 now maybe that have never been to headquarters to try to get  
2929 their input on the actual boots on the ground?

2930 Mr. Patterson. I think it's important and, look, I  
2931 haven't spent years in this diversion world. In fact, I've  
2932 really only done it for about the last 18 months as the  
2933 deputy and now as acting.

2934 What I will tell you is that fresh sets of eyes on  
2935 problem sets are always critically important.

2936 Mr. Harper. Okay.

2937 You know, we -- you talked about well, what do we do --  
2938 prevention, education, treatment. You know, your role is  
2939 really in enforcement and prosecution, at least laying the  
2940 groundwork for that.

2941 The problem that we see as we look at this in great

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

136

2942 detail is local law enforcement does not have the capability  
2943 to take care of this issue. That's why you see many of these  
2944 cases coming out of rural areas.

2945 So we would certainly want to make sure that you're  
2946 doing things to pivot, to take care of the rural areas in  
2947 this country as you're looking at that.

2948 Now, there were a number of times that you referenced,  
2949 you know, I will get back to you or we'll get you that  
2950 information. So just know that we'll have follow-up on that.

2951 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

2952 Mr. Harper. And we'll look for that.

2953 We should be able to work together on this, and just  
2954 know that we -- we are not happy that the chairman of the  
2955 full committee, Chairman Walden, had to even call for a press  
2956 conference.

2957 So we want to make sure, going forward, there are things  
2958 that we need to know or things that we need to enquire on or  
2959 things that you have for us. We would prefer a more openness  
2960 between the committee and the DEA, going forward.

2961 And with that we thank you for your time today, for what  
2962 turned into a fairly long time for you. It's been helpful to  
2963 us and we'll look forward to the follow-up questions that we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



**This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.**

137

2964 have.

2965 I want to thank the members who have attended today and  
2966 participated in today's hearing and I will remind members  
2967 that they have 10 business days to submit questions for the  
2968 record and I would ask, Mr. Patterson, if you would see that  
2969 those are responded to promptly as you receive those.

2970 With that, the subcommittee is adjourned.

2971 [Whereupon, at 12:23 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701