

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISIONS

Section 311—Elimination of Fiscal Year Limitation on Prohibition of Payment of Fines and Penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense

Section 313—Congressional Notice of Bulk Purchase of Alternative Fuels for Operational Use

SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT

Section 321—Additional Requirement for Strategic Policy on Prepositioning of Materiel and Equipment

Section 322—Comptroller General Reports on Department of Defense Prepositioning Strategic Policy and Plan for Prepositioned Stocks

Section 323—Pilot Program on Provision of Logistic Support for the Conveyance of Excess Defense Articles to Allied Forces

SUBTITLE D—REPORTS

Section 331—Repeal of Annual Report on Department of Defense Operation and Financial Support for Military Museums

Section 333—Army Assessment of the Regionally Aligned Force

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 351—Clarification of Authority Relating to Provision of Installation-Support Services through Intergovernmental Support Agreements

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 904—Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment

Section 905—Requirement for Congressional Briefing before Divesting of Defense Finance and Accounting Service Functions

Section 907—Authority to Require Employees of the Department of Defense and Members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps to Occupy Quarters on a Rental Basis while Performing Official Travel

Section 908—Single Standard Mileage Reimbursement Rate for Privately Owned Automobiles of Government Employees and Members of the Uniformed Services

SUBTITLE B—TOTAL FORCE MANAGEMENT

Section 911—Modifications to Biennial Strategic Workforce Plan Relating to Senior Management, Functional, and Technical Workforce of the Department of Defense

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1042—Authority to Accept Voluntary Services of Law Students and Persons Studying to be Paralegals

Section 1043—Expansion of Authority for Secretary of Defense to Use the Department of Defense Reimbursement Rate for Transportation Services Provided to Certain Non-Department of Defense Entities

Section 1044—Repeal of Authority Relating to Use of Military Installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet Contractors

Section 1047—Limitation on Use of Russian-Flagged Airlift Aircraft to Support the Airlift Movement Requirements of the United States Transportation Command

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1101—One-Year Extension of Authority To Waive Annual Limitation on Premium Pay and Aggregate Limitation on Pay for Federal Civilian Employees Working Overseas

Section 1102—One-Year Extension of Discretionary Authority to Grant Allowances, Benefits, and Gratuities to Personnel on Official Duty in a Combat Zone

Section 1103—Revision to List of Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories

Section 1104—Permanent Authority for Experimental Personnel Program for Scientific and Technical Personnel

Section 1105—Temporary Authorities for Certain Positions at Department of Defense Research and Engineering Facilities

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2001—Short Title

Section 2002—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required To Be Specified by Law

Section 2003—Effective Date

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2102—Family Housing

Section 2103—Authorization of Appropriations, Army

Section 2104—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2004 Project

Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2013 Projects

Section 2106—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project

Section 2107—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects
Section 2202—Family Housing
Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units
Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy
Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2012
Projects
Section 2206—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2014
Project
Section 2207—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Projects
Section 2208—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects
Section 2302—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force
Section 2303—Modification of Authority to Carry out Certain Fiscal Year 2008
Project
Section 2304—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project
Section 2305—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEFENSE AGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land
Acquisition Projects
Section 2402—Authorized Energy Conservation Projects
Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies
Section 2404—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2011
Projects
Section 2405—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012
Projects
Section 2406—Limitation on Project Authorization to Carry Out Certain
Fiscal Year 2015 Projects Pending Submission of Required Reports

SUBTITLE B—CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2411—Authorization of Appropriations, Chemical Demilitarization
Construction, Defense-Wide
Section 2412—Modification of Authority to Carry out Certain Fiscal Year 2000
Project

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects
Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS AND AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 2601—Authorized Army National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2602—Authorized Army Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2603—Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2604—Authorized Air National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2605—Authorized Air Force Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

Section 2606—Authorization of Appropriations, National Guard and Reserve

SUBTITLE B—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2611—Modification and Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

Section 2612—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2013 Project

Section 2613—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project

**TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS**

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 2701—Authorization of Appropriations for Base Realignment and Closure Activities Funded Through Department of Defense Base Closure Account

SUBTITLE B—PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL BRAC ROUND

Section 2711—Prohibition on Conducting Additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Round

SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2721—Force-Structure Plans and Infrastructure Inventory and Assessment of Infrastructure Necessary to Support the Force Structure

Section 2722—Modification of Property Disposal Procedures Under Base Realignment and Closure Process

Section 2723—Final Settlement of Claims Regarding Caretaker Agreement for Former Defense Depot Ogden, Utah

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL
PROVISIONS**

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

**SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
CHANGES**

Section 2802—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Unspecified Minor Military Construction

Section 2803—Use of One-Step Turn-Key Contractor Selection Procedures for Additional Facility Projects

Section 2804—Extension of Limitation on Construction Projects in European Command Area of Responsibility

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2811—Consultation Requirement in Connection with Department of Defense Major Land Acquisitions

Section 2812—Renewals, Extensions, and Succeeding Leases for Financial Institutions Operating on Military Installations

Section 2813—Arsenal Installation Reutilization Authority

Section 2814—Deposit of Reimbursed Funds to Cover Administrative Expenses Relating to Certain Real Property Transactions

SUBTITLE C—PROVISIONS RELATED TO ASIA-PACIFIC MILITARY REALIGNMENT

Section 2831—Repeal or Modification of Certain Restrictions on Realignment of Marine Corps Forces in Asia-Pacific Region

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES

Section 2842—Land Conveyance, Former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia

Section 2843—Transfers of Administrative Jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia

Section 2844—Land Conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii

Section 2845—Land Conveyance, Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center, Kingston, New York

Section 2846—Exercise of Reversionary Interest, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Memorial to the Victims of the Shooting Attack at the Washington Navy Yard

Section 2862—Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies

Section 2863—Redesignation of Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii as the Pohakuloa Training Center

Section 2864—Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California

Section 2865—Renaming Site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio

Section 2866—Manhattan Project National Historical Park

TITLE XXIX—MILITARY LAND TRANSFERS AND WITHDRAWALS TO SUPPORT READINESS AND SECURITY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON, NEVADA

Section 2901—Transfer of Administrative Jurisdiction, Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada

Section 2902—Water Rights

Section 2903—Withdrawal

SUBTITLE B—MARINE CORPS AIR GROUND COMBAT CENTER TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA

Section 2911—Redesignation of Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area, California

SUBTITLE C—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT WITHDRAWN MILITARY LANDS
EFFICIENCY AND SAVINGS

Section 2921—Elimination of Termination Date for Public Land Withdrawals
and Reservations Under Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER
AUTHORIZATIONS**

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISIONS

Section 311—Elimination of Fiscal Year Limitation on Prohibition of Payment of
Fines and Penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense

This section would eliminate a sunset date for the requirement for the Department of Defense to obtain congressional authorization before paying fines and penalties under the requirement set forth in section 2703 of title 10, United States Code. The current requirement for congressional authorization does not apply to funds authorized to be appropriated to the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense after fiscal year 2010. This section would strike any such date limitation.

Section 313—Congressional Notice of Bulk Purchase of Alternative Fuels for
Operational Use

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees 60 days before the bulk purchase of alternative fuels intended for operational use.

SUBTITLE C—LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT

Section 321—Additional Requirement for Strategic Policy on Prepositioning of
Materiel and Equipment

This section would amend the strategic policy on prepositioned materiel and equipment required by section 2229(a) of title 10, United States Code, to ensure newly established crisis response elements are considered when developing goals, assessing challenges, and synchronizing requirements.

Section 322—Comptroller General Reports on Department of Defense Prepositioning Strategic Policy and Plan for Prepositioned Stocks

This section would modify the reporting requirement in section 321 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) to run through 2017, 3 years following the initial report due in 2014, for a total of four reports over 4 years.

Section 323—Pilot Program on Provision of Logistic Support for the Conveyance of Excess Defense Articles to Allied Forces

This section would create a 2-year pilot program allowing the Secretary of Defense to provide logistics support for the conveyance of excess defense articles to allied forces participating in bilateral or multilateral training activities with the Armed Forces of the United States. This authority would be subject to funding limitations and would expire on September 30, 2016. The Secretary of Defense would be required to provide a report on the use of the authority to certain congressional committees at the end of any calendar year during which the Secretary carried out the pilot program.

SUBTITLE D—REPORTS

Section 331—Repeal of Annual Report on Department of Defense Operation and Financial Support for Military Museums

This section would repeal an annual report by the Secretary of Defense on Department of Defense operations and financial support for military museums required by section 489 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 333—Army Assessment of the Regionally Aligned Force

This section would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a strategic assessment of the regionally aligned force to the congressional defense committees concurrent with the submission of the President's budget for fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

The committee supports the U.S. Army's regionally aligned force (RAF) concept, but has concerns about the institutionalization of pre-deployment training, incorporation of lessons learned, and the adequate coordination of activities between contractors, Special Operations Forces, Army RAF units, and joint exercise partners. The committee is also concerned about the complexity of utilizing

multiple funding authorities to support RAF activities and impacts associated with the long-term commitment of RAF forces to meet security cooperation requirements. The committee believes better coordination and long-term planning are needed to ensure RAF units maintain high levels of core mission readiness while supporting geographic combatant commander requirements.

The committee notes that while elsewhere in this report, the committee also directs the Comptroller General of the United States to assess the RAF concept, more specifically its employment in the U.S. Africa Command area of responsibility, the committee expects the assessment required by this section to be a separate and distinct forward-looking, internal assessment of the RAF concept, yet inform the Comptroller General's work.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 351—Clarification of Authority Relating to Provision of Installation-Support Services through Intergovernmental Support Agreements

This section would transfer and redesignate section 2336 of title 10, United States Code, to chapter 159 of such title. This section would also define an intergovernmental support agreement and provide other technical changes.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 904—Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment

This section would establish the position of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment. The position would be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the U.S. Senate. The committee recognizes that the responsibilities of this organization already exist within the Department of Defense, reporting to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. The creation of this Assistant Secretary of Defense position shall ensure no net growth in personnel or resources for the organization, and shall not be exempt from any directed headquarters reductions.

Section 905—Requirement for Congressional Briefing before Divesting of Defense Finance and Accounting Service Functions

This section would prohibit the transfer of financial management functions out of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) until the Secretary of

Defense provides a briefing to the congressional defense committees on a transfer plan and certifies the transfer would reduce costs, increase efficiencies, maintain the timeline for auditability of financial statements, and maintain the roles and missions of DFAS.

Section 907—Authority to Require Employees of the Department of Defense and Members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps to Occupy Quarters on a Rental Basis while Performing Official Travel

This section would permit the Secretary of Defense to direct the use of adequate Government quarters, or the use of Government-leased quarters or lodging arranged through a Government program, by civilian employees and Uniformed Service members, while performing official travel. Section 5911(e) of title 5, United States Code, currently states that an agency head may not require an employee or member of a Uniformed Service to occupy quarters on a rental basis unless the agency head determines that necessary service cannot be rendered or that Government property cannot adequately be protected otherwise. This change to title 5 is intended to provide travel cost savings and other benefits to the Department of Defense, without significantly reducing the quality and security of lodging for civilian employees and service members performing official travel.

Section 908—Single Standard Mileage Reimbursement Rate for Privately Owned Automobiles of Government Employees and Members of the Uniformed Services

This section would establish a rate that provides adequate compensation for employees who perform temporary duty travel. It would apply to all Federal Government employees and members of the Uniformed Services traveling on behalf of the Federal Government in a privately owned automobile.

SUBTITLE B—TOTAL FORCE MANAGEMENT

Section 911—Modifications to Biennial Strategic Workforce Plan Relating to Senior Management, Functional, and Technical Workforce of the Department of Defense

This section would amend section 115b of title 10, United States Code, to modify the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to prepare a biennial Strategic Workforce Plan so as to cover "the senior management workforce" of the Department of Defense rather than the "senior management, functional, and technical workforce (including scientists and engineers)."

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE E—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1042—Authority to Accept Voluntary Services of Law Students and Persons Studying to be Paralegals

This section would amend section 1588 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretaries of the military departments to institute unpaid internship and externship programs for law students.

Section 1043—Expansion of Authority for Secretary of Defense to Use the Department of Defense Reimbursement Rate for Transportation Services Provided to Certain Non-Department of Defense Entities

This section would amend section 2642 of title 10, United States Code, which authorizes the Secretary of Defense to use the Department of Defense reimbursement rate for transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense entities. That authority allows the Department to provide transportation services covered by that section at the same rate that the transportation element in Department of Defense charges Department of Defense units for similar services.

Section 1044—Repeal of Authority Relating to Use of Military Installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet Contractors

This section would repeal section 9513 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the use of military installations by commercial air carriers doing business with the Department of Defense. Under this program, the Secretary of the Air Force was authorized for Air Force installations, or in coordination with the Secretary of the other military services for other than Air Force military installations, to enter into contracts with air carriers authorizing the use of designated installations as a weather alternative, as a technical stop not involving the enplaning or deplaning of passengers or cargo, or, in the case of an installation within the United States, for other commercial purposes but was never utilized.

Section 1047—Limitation on Use of Russian-Flagged Airlift Aircraft to Support the Airlift Movement Requirements of the United States Transportation Command

This section would allow the use of Russian-flagged airlift aircraft to support airlift movement requirements of U.S. Transportation Command (TRANSCOM) only after the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, for each manifested cargo mission, that utilizing Russian-flagged airlift aircraft is the only means available to TRANSCOM to execute that particular manifested cargo delivery mission.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1101—One-Year Extension of Authority To Waive Annual Limitation on
Premium Pay and Aggregate Limitation on Pay for Federal Civilian Employees
Working Overseas

This section would extend, for 1 year, the authority to waive the limitations on the amount of premium pay that may be paid to a Federal civilian employee who performs certain work in an overseas location that falls under the responsibility of U.S. Central Command, an overseas location that falls under the responsibility of U.S. Africa Command, in support of a military operation, or in response to an emergency declared by the President. The payment may not exceed the annual rate of salary payable to the Vice President under section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

Section 1102—One-Year Extension of Discretionary Authority to Grant Allowances,
Benefits, and Gratuities to Personnel on Official Duty in a Combat Zone

This section would extend by 1 year the temporary discretionary authority to Federal agencies to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities comparable to those provided to members of the foreign service to an agency's civilian employees on official duty in a combat zone.

Section 1103—Revision to List of Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories

This section would amend the list of Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories in section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) to include the Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences and the Space and Missile Defense Command Technical Center.

Section 1104—Permanent Authority for Experimental Personnel Program for
Scientific and Technical Personnel

This section would remove the sunset date and annual reporting requirement for section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261).

The committee notes that the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency has used this alternative personnel hiring authority to great effect since its inception. Furthermore, the committee believes that given the limited scope of this authority, the fact that there have been no reports of misuse or abuse in 15 years, and the fact that it does not authorize any new civilian billets for the Department of Defense, this authority should be made permanent. The committee believes that such unique hiring authorities will be important tools for the technical community in the Department to recruit, hire, and retain the Nation's top scientific and engineering talent.

Section 1105—Temporary Authorities for Certain Positions at Department of Defense Research and Engineering Facilities

This section would modify section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) to provide direct hiring authority to the laboratory director of specified laboratories for undergraduate and certain graduate students enrolled in the scientific, technical, engineering, or mathematics programs at institutions of higher education on a temporary or term basis.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2001—Short Title

This section would cite division B of this Act as the “Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.”

Section 2002—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required To Be Specified by Law

This section would ensure that the authorizations provided in titles XXI through XXVII of this Act shall expire on October 1, 2017, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2018, whichever is later.

Section 2003—Effective Date

This section would provide that titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, XXVI, and XXVII of this Act shall take effect on October 1, 2014, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Army construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2102—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2015.

Section 2103—Authorization of Appropriations, Army

This section would authorize appropriations for Army military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2104—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2004 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108-136) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2013 Projects

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of previously authorized construction projects. This section was included in the President's request or included as a specific request from the Secretary of the Army.

Section 2106—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project

This section would extend the authorization listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2107—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Navy construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2202—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2015.

Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy

This section would authorize appropriations for Navy military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112-81) and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction projects. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2206—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2014 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113-66) and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2207—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for

fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2208—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Air Force construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2302—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force

This section would authorize appropriations for Air Force military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2303—Modification of Authority to Carry out Certain Fiscal Year 2008 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2301 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181) and authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2304—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project

This section would extend the authorization listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2305—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEFENSE AGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized defense agencies construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2402—Authorized Energy Conservation Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects at the amounts authorized for each project at a specific location valued at a cost greater than \$2.0 million. This section would also authorize the sum total of projects across various locations, each project of which is less than \$2.0 million.

Section 2403—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies

This section would authorize appropriations for defense agencies' military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2404—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2405—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2406—Limitation on Project Authorization to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2015 Projects Pending Submission of Required Reports

This section would restrict the obligation of funds to support human performance center initiatives at certain locations, until the Secretary of Defense submits a report on this program that was required in the Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as printed in the Congressional Record on December 12, 2013 (page H7956), and a report on the review of Department of Defense efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents required elsewhere in this Act.

SUBTITLE B—CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 2411—Authorization of Appropriations, Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize appropriations for chemical demilitarization construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

Section 2412—Modification of Authority to Carry out Certain Fiscal Year 2000 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 107-107), as amended, and authorize the Secretary of Defense to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section was included in the President's request.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this Act and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

This section would authorize appropriations for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS AND AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 2601—Authorized Army National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Army National Guard construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2602—Authorized Army Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Army Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2603—Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2604—Authorized Air National Guard Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Air National Guard construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2605—Authorized Air Force Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would contain the list of authorized Air Force Reserve construction projects for fiscal year 2015. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this Act is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

Section 2606—Authorization of Appropriations, National Guard and Reserve

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Guard and Reserve military construction at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2611—Modification and Extension of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2012 Projects

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2602 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112-81) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section would also extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2018, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2019, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2612—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2013 Project

This section would modify the authority provided by section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-293) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project. This section was included in the President's request.

Section 2613—Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 2011 Project

This section would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later. This section was included in the President's request.

TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 2701—Authorization of Appropriations for Base Realignment and Closure Activities Funded Through Department of Defense Base Closure Account

This section would authorize appropriations for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decision of Base Realignment and Closure activities at the levels identified in section 4601 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL BRAC ROUND

Section 2711—Prohibition on Conducting Additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Round

This section would affirm congressional intent to reject the budget request to authorize another Base Realignment and Closure round in 2017.

SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2721—Force-Structure Plans and Infrastructure Inventory and Assessment of Infrastructure Necessary to Support the Force Structure

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report as part of the budget justification documents submitted to Congress in support of the President's budget for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 that details (1) a 20-year force structure plan, and (2) a comprehensive inventory of worldwide infrastructure. The report shall also compare these two items to determine categories of excess in the Department of Defense infrastructure. The Secretary of Defense shall also certify whether the need exists for the closure or realignment of additional military installations and whether the Secretary anticipates that each Base Closure and Realignment recommendation would result in annual net savings for each of the military departments within 6 years after the initiation of the additional round of closures and realignments.

This section would also require that within 60 days of submission of the Secretary of Defense report, the Comptroller General of the United States shall evaluate the accuracy and analytical sufficiency of the plan and inventory.

Section 2722—Modification of Property Disposal Procedures Under Base Realignment and Closure Process

This section would authorize the local government, in whose jurisdiction the military installation is wholly located, to be recognized as the local reuse authority for purposes of managing Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) reuse planning. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees as to excess BRAC property that has not been declared surplus by the Federal Government.

Section 2723—Final Settlement of Claims Regarding Caretaker Agreement for
Former Defense Depot Ogden, Utah

This section would limit any further claim adjudication associated with a caretaker agreement between the City of Ogden, Utah, the Ogden Local Redevelopment Authority, and the Department of the Army. This limitation would be conditioned on a release of claims against the United States by the City of Ogden and the Ogden Local Redevelopment Authority.

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL
PROVISIONS**

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

**SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
CHANGES**

Section 2802—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Unspecified Minor Military
Construction

This section would modify section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, by increasing the threshold associated with operation and maintenance funding for construction purposes from \$750,000 to \$1.0 million. This section would also unify the threshold for application of unspecified minor construction from \$2.0 million to \$3.0 million. Finally, this section would authorize the Secretary concerned to make adjustments to the general authority to match area cost factors.

Section 2803—Use of One-Step Turn-Key Contractor Selection Procedures for
Additional Facility Projects

This section would modify section 2862 of title 10, United States Code, to expand the existing authority to use turn-key selection procedures for military construction projects to also include certain repair projects and facility construction associated with authorized security assistance activities.

Section 2804—Extension of Limitation on Construction Projects in European
Command Area of Responsibility

This section would extend the prohibition previously included in section 2809 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) on awarding a contract for any new military construction and family housing project, with certain exceptions, in the U.S. European Command area of responsibility until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the installations and specific military construction

requirements authorized in this Act have been examined as part of the ongoing European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment, have been determined to be of an enduring nature, and most effectively meet military requirements at the authorized location.

SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2811—Consultation Requirement in Connection with Department of Defense Major Land Acquisitions

This section would require consultation by the Secretary concerned with the chief executive officer of the state or territory as to the location of any proposed major land acquisition.

The committee notes that the Secretary concerned is already required to obtain a specific military construction authorization in accordance with section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, and comply with National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321) before any major land acquisition can be implemented.

Section 2812—Renewals, Extensions, and Succeeding Leases for Financial Institutions Operating on Military Installations

This section would authorize the Secretary concerned to enter into a sole source renewal, extension or succeeding lease for a financial institution operating on military installations.

Section 2813—Arsenal Installation Reutilization Authority

This section would modify section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, to provide the authorities to lease real or personal property contained in such section to the commander of military manufacturing arsenals or, if part of a larger military installation, the installation commander for the purposes of leveraging private investment at military manufacturing arsenals through long-term facility use contracts, property management contracts, leases, or other such agreements. This section does not supersede authorities in section 4544 of title 10, United States Code, and is designed to give the commander of military manufacturing arsenals or, if part of a larger military installation, the installation commander, greater flexibility to utilize unused administrative and warehouse space at military installations.

Section 2814—Deposit of Reimbursed Funds to Cover Administrative Expenses Relating to Certain Real Property Transactions

This section would amend section 2695 of title 10, United States Code, and would provide flexibility to ensure that reimbursements eventually received by the military departments are not expired at the time of reimbursement. This section

would provide for the merger of the reimbursed funds with those in the current appropriation, fund, or account used by the military departments for payment of administrative transaction-related expenses. Finally, this section would authorize the military departments to use operation and maintenance appropriations to pay for administrative expenses needed to complete other real property transactions.

SUBTITLE C—PROVISIONS RELATED TO ASIA-PACIFIC MILITARY REALIGNMENT

Section 2831—Repeal or Modification of Certain Restrictions on Realignment of Marine Corps Forces in Asia-Pacific Region

This section would amend section 2822 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113-66) and strike certain restrictions limiting the movement of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa, Japan to Guam.

SUBTITLE D—LAND CONVEYANCES

Section 2842—Land Conveyance, Former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, certain Army facilities at the former Walter Reed Army Hospital to Children's Hospital for medical research purposes.

Section 2843—Transfers of Administrative Jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia

This section would require the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture to exchange lands located Camp Frank D. Merrill in Dahlonaga, Georgia, currently under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture, for certain lands adjacent to Lake Lanier, Georgia, currently under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

Section 2844—Land Conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, approximately 1.2 acres of public land to the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation for public purposes.

Section 2845—Land Conveyance, Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center, Kingston, New York

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, the former Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center to the City of Kingston, New York, for public purposes.

Section 2846—Exercise of Reversionary Interest, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma

This section would require the Secretary of the Army to perform a business case analysis to assess the requirements associated with reacquiring the former Camp Gruber, Oklahoma. If the Secretary determines that a reversion of the former Camp Gruber is needed for national defense purposes, the Secretary shall exercise the reversionary rights and request the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife to reconvey Camp Gruber to the United States. The Secretary shall then convey, without consideration, the former Camp Gruber to the Oklahoma Military Department for military maneuver space.

SUBTITLE E—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Memorial to the Victims of the Shooting Attack at the Washington Navy Yard

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to establish a memorial at the Washington Navy Yard in the District of Columbia. The memorial will be dedicated to the victims of the shooting attack that occurred on September 16, 2013.

Section 2862—Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies

This section would name the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies at Honolulu, Hawaii, as the "Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies", and would make other conforming changes.

Section 2863—Redesignation of Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii as the Pohakuloa Training Center

This section would change the designation of the Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii to the Pohakuloa Training Center.

Section 2864—Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California

This section would authorize a memorial to members of the Armed Forces who have been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross. The memorial is located at March Field Air Museum in Riverside, California, and would hereby be designated as the Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial.

Section 2865—Renaming Site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio

This section would modify the name of the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers Aviation Center, Dayton, Ohio, to the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers National Museum, Dayton, Ohio.

Section 2866—Manhattan Project National Historical Park

This section would authorize the Secretary of Interior to establish the Manhattan Project National Historical Park as a unit of the National Park System.

**TITLE XXIX—MILITARY LAND TRANSFERS AND WITHDRAWALS
TO SUPPORT READINESS AND SECURITY**

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON, NEVADA

Section 2901—Transfer of Administrative Jurisdiction, Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada

This section would transfer certain public lands adjacent to Naval Air Station Fallon in Churchill County, Nevada, from the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Navy.

Section 2902—Water Rights

This section would ensure that the United States does not acquire additional water rights as a result of the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized by this subtitle.

Section 2903—Withdrawal

This section would withdraw lands transferred by this subtitle from all forms of appropriation under public land laws so long as the land remains under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

**SUBTITLE B—MARINE CORPS AIR GROUND COMBAT CENTER TWENTYNINE PALMS,
CALIFORNIA**

Section 2911—Redesignation of Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area, California

This section would rename the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area in California, as the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.

**SUBTITLE C—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT WITHDRAWN MILITARY LANDS
EFFICIENCY AND SAVINGS**

**Section 2921—Elimination of Termination Date for Public Land Withdrawals and
Reservations Under Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999**

This section would extend the public lands withdrawn for military purposes listed in the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (title 30 of Public Law 106-65) until the Secretary of the military department determines a military purpose does not exist, or the Secretary of Interior permanently transfers the administrative jurisdiction to the Secretary of the military department concerned.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER
AUTHORIZATIONS**

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize \$19.9 million for fiscal year 2015 for operation and maintenance of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Reserves.

BILL LANGUAGE

1 **Subtitle B—Energy and**
2 **Environment**

3 **SEC. 311 [Log 53201]. ELIMINATION OF FISCAL YEAR LIMITA-**
4 **TION ON PROHIBITION OF PAYMENT OF**
5 **FINES AND PENALTIES FROM THE ENVIRON-**
6 **MENTAL RESTORATION ACCOUNT, DEFENSE.**

7 Section 2703(f) of title 10, United States Code, is
8 amended—

9 (1) by striking “for fiscal years 1995 through
10 2010,”; and

11 (2) by striking “for fiscal years 1997 through
12 2010”.

1 **SEC. 313 [Log 53705]. CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE OF BULK**
2 **PURCHASE OF ALTERNATIVE FUELS FOR**
3 **OPERATIONAL USE.**

4 Not later than 60 days before making a bulk pur-
5 chase of alternative fuels intended for operational use, the
6 Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional de-
7 fense committees notice of the intent to make such a pur-
8 chase. Such notice shall include the total quantity of fuel,
9 the cost, and the type of funding intended to be used to
10 make the purchase.

1 **Subtitle C—Logistics and**
2 **Sustainment**

3 **SEC. 321 [Log 53439]. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR**
4 **STRATEGIC POLICY ON PREPOSITIONING OF**
5 **MATERIEL AND EQUIPMENT.**

6 Section 2229(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code,
7 is amended by inserting “support for crisis response ele-
8 ments,” after “service requirements,”.

1 **SEC. 322 [Log 53613]. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORTS**
2 **ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**
3 **PREPOSITIONING STRATEGIC POLICY AND**
4 **PLAN FOR PREPOSITIONED STOCKS.**

5 Subsection (c) of section 321 of the National Defense
6 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–
7 66) is amended to read as follows:

8 “(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORTS.—

9 “(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 180
10 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
11 Comptroller General of the United States shall re-
12 view the implementation plan submitted under sub-
13 section (b) and the prepositioning strategic policy re-
14 quired under section 2229(a) of title 10, United
15 States Code, as amended by subsection (a), and sub-
16 mit to the congressional defense committees a report
17 describing the findings of such review and including
18 any additional information relating to the
19 prepositioning strategic policy and plan that the
20 Comptroller General determines appropriate.

21 “(2) FOLLOW-UP REPORTS.—Following the
22 submittal of the initial report required under para-
23 graph (1), the Comptroller General shall conduct an-
24 nual reviews, for each of the subsequent three years,
25 of the progress of the Department of Defense in im-
26 plementing the strategic policy and the Department

1 plan for prepositioned stocks, and submit to the con-
2 gressional defense committees a report containing an
3 assessment of such progress, including any addi-
4 tional information related to the management of
5 prepositioned stocks that the Comptroller General
6 determines appropriate.”.

1 **SEC. 323 [Log 53440]. PILOT PROGRAM ON PROVISION OF**
2 **LOGISTIC SUPPORT FOR THE CONVEYANCE**
3 **OF EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES TO ALLIED**
4 **FORCES.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may es-
6 tablish a pilot program to provide logistic support for the
7 conveyance of excess defense articles to allied forces par-
8 ticipating in bilateral or multilateral training activities
9 with the Armed Forces of the United States.

10 (b) LIMITATION.—In carrying out the pilot program
11 under this section, the Secretary may only provide logistic
12 support—

13 (1) in accordance with the Arms Export Control
14 Act and other relevant export control laws of the
15 United States;

16 (2) in accordance with section 516(c)(2) of the
17 Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j);

18 (3) in direct support of training activities—

19 (A) carried out in support of a contingency
20 operation or a noncombat operation (including
21 an operation in support of the provision of hu-
22 manitarian or foreign disaster assistance, a
23 country stabilization operation, or a peace-
24 keeping operation under chapter VI or VII of
25 the Charter of the United Nations); or

1 (B) if the Secretary determines that the
2 provision of such support is in the best interest
3 of the Armed Forces of the United States.

4 (c) LIMITATION.—The total value of logistic support
5 provided under subsection (a)(1) in any fiscal year may
6 not exceed \$10,000,000.

7 (d) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out pilot
8 program under this section shall terminate on September
9 30, 2016.

10 (e) REPORT.—Not later than December 31 of each
11 year during which the Secretary carried out a pilot pro-
12 gram under this section, the Secretary shall submit to the
13 Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on For-
14 eign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Armed
15 Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
16 House of Representatives a report on the pilot program
17 under this section during the fiscal year preceding the fis-
18 cal year during which the report is submitted. Each such
19 report shall contain each of the following for the fiscal
20 year covered by the report:

21 (1) Each nation for which logistic support was
22 provided under the pilot program.

23 (2) For each such nation, a description of the
24 type and value of logistic support, and the excess de-
25 fense article or articles conveyed.

1 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

2 (1) The term “logistics support” means—

3 (A) the use of military transportation and
4 cargo-handling assets, including aircraft;

5 (B) materiel support in the form of fuel,
6 petroleum, oil, or lubricants; and

7 (C) commercially contracted transpor-
8 tation.

9 (2) The term “excess defense article” has the
10 meaning given such term in section 516(c)(2) of the
11 Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j).

1 **Subtitle D—Reports**

2 **SEC. 331 [Log 53683]. REPEAL OF ANNUAL REPORT ON DE-**

3 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE OPERATION AND FI-**

4 **NANCIAL SUPPORT FOR MILITARY MUSEUMS.**

5 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 489 of title 10, United

6 States Code, is repealed.

7 (b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections

8 at the beginning of chapter 23 of such title is amended

9 by striking the item relating to section 489.

1 **SEC. 333 [Log 53441]. ARMY ASSESSMENT OF THE REGION-**
2 **ALLY ALIGNED FORCE.**

3 At the same time as the President transmits to Con-
4 gress the budget for fiscal 2016 year under section 1105
5 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army
6 shall submit to the congressional defense committees an
7 assessment of how the Army has—

8 (1) captured and incorporated lessons learned
9 through the initial employment of the regionally
10 aligned force in the United States Africa Command
11 area of responsibility;

12 (2) institutionalized and improved
13 predeployment training;

14 (3) improved the coordination of activities be-
15 tween special operations forces, Army regionally
16 aligned units, contractors of the Department of
17 State, contractors of the Department of Defense, the
18 geographic combatant commands, the Joint Staff,
19 and international partners;

20 (4) accounted for all the various funding
21 streams used to fund regionally aligned force activi-
22 ties, including the amount of funds expended from
23 each account;

24 (5) assessed the impacts associated with long-
25 term commitments of regionally aligned forces to
26 meet security cooperation requirements;

1 (6) maintained high levels of core mission readi-
2 ness while supporting geographic combatant com-
3 mander requirements through regionally aligned
4 force activities;

5 (7) planned for expansion of the regionally
6 aligned force model; and

7 (8) planned to retain regional expertise within
8 units habitually aligned to a specific region.

1 **Subtitle F—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 351[Log 53704]. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY RELAT-**
3 **ING TO PROVISION OF INSTALLATION-SUP-**
4 **PORT SERVICES THROUGH INTERGOVERN-**
5 **MENTAL SUPPORT AGREEMENTS.**

6 (i) TRANSFER OF SECTION 2336 TO CHAPTER
7 159.—

8 (1) TRANSFER AND REDESIGNATION.—Section
9 2336 of title 10, United States Code, is transferred
10 to chapter 159 of such title, inserted after section
11 2678, and redesignated as section 2679.

12 (2) REVISED SECTION HEADING.—The heading
13 of such section, as so transferred and redesignated,
14 is amended to read as follows:

15 **“§ 2679. Installation-support services: intergovern-**
16 **mental support agreements”.**

17 (b) CLARIFYING AMENDMENTS.—Such section, as so
18 transferred and redesignated, is further amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a)—

20 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “The
21 Secretary concerned” and inserting “Notwith-
22 standing any other provision of law, the Sec-
23 retary concerned”; and

24 (B) in paragraph (2)—

1 (i) by striking “Notwithstanding any
2 other provision of law, an” and inserting
3 “An”;

4 (ii) by striking subparagraph (A); and

5 (iii) by redesignating subparagraphs
6 (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (A) and (B)
7 respectively; and

8 (2) by adding at the end of subsection (e) the
9 following new paragraph:

10 “(4) The term ‘intergovernmental support
11 agreement’ means a legal instrument reflecting a re-
12 lationship between the Secretary concerned and a
13 State or local government that contains such terms
14 and conditions as the Secretary concerned considers
15 appropriate for the purposes of this section and nec-
16 essary to protect the interests of the United
17 States.”.

18 (c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

19 (1) The table of sections at the beginning of
20 chapter 137 of such title is amended by striking the
21 item relating to section 2336.

22 (2) The table of sections at the beginning of
23 chapter 159 of such title is amended by inserting
24 after the item relating to section 2678 the following
25 new item:

“2679. Installation-support Services: intergovernmental support agreements.”.

1 **SEC. 904 [Log 53218]. ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**
2 **FOR INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT.**

3 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF POSITION.—Section 138(b)
4 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at
5 the end the following new paragraph:

6 “(11) One of the Assistant Secretaries is the Assist-
7 ant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environ-
8 ment. In addition to any duties and powers prescribed
9 under paragraph (1), the Assistant Secretary of Defense
10 for Installations and Environment shall have the duties
11 specified in section 138e of this title.”.

12 (b) DUTIES.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 4 of such title is
14 amended by inserting after section 138d the fol-
15 lowing new section:

16 **“§ 138e. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installa-**
17 **tions and Environment**

18 “(a) The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installa-
19 tions and Environment shall—

20 “(1) provide leadership and facilitate commu-
21 nication regarding, and conduct oversight to manage
22 and be accountable for, military construction and en-
23 vironmental programs within the Department of De-
24 fense and the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine
25 Corps;

1 “(2) coordinate and oversee planning and pro-
2 gramming activities of the Department of Defense
3 and the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps;

4 “(3) establish policies and guidance, in coordi-
5 nation with the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine
6 Corps, regarding installation assets and services that
7 are required to support defense missions.

8 “(b) The Assistant Secretary may communicate views
9 on issues within the responsibility of the Assistant Sec-
10 retary directly to the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy
11 Secretary of Defense without obtaining the approval or
12 concurrence of any other official within the Department
13 of Defense.”.

14 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
15 tions for chapter 4 of such title is amended by in-
16 serting after the item relating to section 138c the
17 following new item:

 “138e. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment.”.

18 (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—

20 (A) Section 2701(k)(3) of title 10, United
21 States Code, is amended by striking “Deputy
22 Under Secretary of Defense for Installations
23 and Environment” and inserting “Assistant
24 Secretary of Defense for Installations and Envi-
25 ronment”.

1 (B) Section 2885(a)(3) of such title is
2 amended by striking “Deputy Under Secretary
3 of Defense (Installations and Environment)”
4 and inserting “Assistant Secretary of Defense
5 for Installations and Environment”.

6 (2) REFERENCES IN OTHER LAWS.—Any ref-
7 erence in any law, regulation, document, or other
8 record of the United States to the Deputy Under
9 Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environ-
10 ment shall be treated as referring to the Assistant
11 Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environ-
12 ment.

13 (d) NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.—No ad-
14 ditional funds are authorized by this Act to accomplish
15 the mission of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for In-
16 stallations and Environment. Such mission shall be carried
17 out using amounts otherwise authorized or appropriated.

18 (e) RESTRICTION ON PERSONNEL.—The number of
19 positions for military and civilian personnel and the num-
20 ber of full-time equivalent positions for contractor per-
21 sonnel associated with the office of the Assistant Secretary
22 of Defense for Installations and Environment shall not ex-
23 ceed the number of such positions that were associated
24 with the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installa-

1 tions and Environment as of the date of the enactment
2 of this Act.

3 (f) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section or the
4 amendments made by this section shall be construed as
5 exempting the office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
6 for Installations and Environment from further reductions
7 as part of headquarters efficiencies initiatives of the De-
8 partment of Defense.

1 **SEC. 905 [Log 53688]. REQUIREMENT FOR CONGRESSIONAL**
2 **BRIEFING BEFORE DIVESTING OF DEFENSE**
3 **FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE FUNC-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 No plan may be implemented by the Secretary of De-
6 fense, the Secretary of a military department, the Director
7 of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service, or any
8 other person to transfer financial management, bill pay-
9 ing, or accounting services functions from the Defense Fi-
10 nance and Accounting Service to another entity until the
11 Secretary of Defense provides the congressional defense
12 committees a briefing on the plan and the Secretary cer-
13 tifies to such committees that the plan would reduce costs,
14 increase efficiencies, maintain the timeline for auditability
15 of financial statements, and maintain the roles and mis-
16 sions of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service.

1 **SEC. 907 [Log 53744]. AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE EMPLOYEES**
2 **OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND**
3 **MEMBERS OF THE ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE,**
4 **AND MARINE CORPS TO OCCUPY QUARTERS**
5 **ON A RENTAL BASIS WHILE PERFORMING OF-**
6 **FICIAL TRAVEL.**

7 (a) DEFINITION.—Section 5911(a)(5) of title 5,
8 United States Code, is amended by striking “Government;
9 and” and inserting “Government or commercial lodging
10 arranged through a Government lodging program; and”.

11 (b) AUTHORITY.—Section 5911(e) of title 5, United
12 States Code, is amended—

13 (1) by striking “(e) The” and inserting “(e)(1)
14 Except as provided in paragraph (2), the”;

15 (2) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(2)(A) The Secretary of Defense may require an em-
17 ployee of the Department of Defense or a member of the
18 uniformed services under the Secretary’s jurisdiction per-
19 forming duty on official travel to occupy adequate quarters
20 on a rental basis when available.

21 “(B) A requirement under subparagraph (A) with re-
22 spect to an employee of the Department of Defense may
23 not be construed to be subject to negotiation under chap-
24 ter 71 or any other provision of this title.”.

1 **SEC. 908 [Log 53757]. SINGLE STANDARD MILEAGE REIM-**
2 **BURSEMENT RATE FOR PRIVATELY OWNED**
3 **AUTOMOBILES OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES**
4 **AND MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERV-**
5 **ICES.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5704(a)(1) of title 5,
7 United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by
8 striking all that follows: “the rate per mile” and inserting
9 “shall be the single standard mileage rate established by
10 the Internal Revenue Service.”.

11 (b) REGULATIONS AND REPORTS.—

12 (1) PROVISIONS RELATING TO PRIVATELY
13 OWNED AIRPLANES AND MOTORCYCLES.—Paragraph
14 (1)(A) of section 5707(b) of title 5, United States
15 Code, is amended to read as follows:

16 “(1)(A) The Administrator of General Services
17 shall conduct periodic investigations of the cost of
18 travel and the operation of privately owned airplanes
19 and privately owned motorcycles by employees while
20 engaged on official business, and shall report the re-
21 sults of such investigations to Congress at least once
22 a year.”.

23 (2) PROVISIONS RELATING TO PRIVATELY
24 OWNED AUTOMOBILES.—Clause (i) of section
25 5707(b)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is
26 amended to read as follows:

1 “(i) shall provide that the mileage reim-
2 bursement rate for privately owned automobiles,
3 as provided in section 5704(a)(1), is the single
4 standard mileage rate established by the Inter-
5 nal Revenue Service referred to in that section,
6 and”.

1 **Subtitle B—Total Force**
2 **Management**

3 **SEC. 911 [Log 53692]. MODIFICATIONS TO BIENNIAL STRA-**
4 **TEGIC WORKFORCE PLAN RELATING TO SEN-**
5 **IOR MANAGEMENT, FUNCTIONAL, AND TECH-**
6 **NICAL WORKFORCE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF**
7 **DEFENSE.**

8 (a) SENIOR MANAGEMENT WORKFORCE.—Sub-
9 section (c) of section 115b of title 10, United States Code,
10 is amended—

11 (1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the
12 following:

13 “(1) Each strategic workforce plan under subsection
14 (a) shall—

15 “(A) include a separate chapter to specifically
16 address the shaping and improvement of the senior
17 management workforce of the Department of De-
18 fense; and

19 “(B) include an assessment of the senior func-
20 tional and technical workforce of the Department of
21 Defense within the appropriate functional commu-
22 nity.”; and

23 (2) in paragraph (2), by striking “such senior
24 management, functional, and technical workforce”

1 and inserting “such senior management workforce
2 and such senior functional and technical workforce”.

3 (b) HIGHLY QUALIFIED EXPERTS.—Such section is
4 further amended—

5 (1) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “subsection
6 (f)(1)” in subparagraphs (D) and (E) and inserting
7 “subsection (h)(1) or (h)(2)”;

8 (2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as
9 subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

10 (3) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-
11 lowing new subsection (f):

12 “(f) HIGHLY QUALIFIED EXPERTS.—

13 “(1) Each strategic workforce plan under sub-
14 section (a) shall include an assessment of the work-
15 force of the Department of Defense comprised of
16 highly qualified experts appointed pursuant to sec-
17 tion 9903 of title 5 (in this subsection referred to as
18 the ‘HQE workforce’).

19 “(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), each plan
20 shall include, with respect to the HQE workforce—

21 “(A) an assessment of the critical skills
22 and competencies of the existing HQE work-
23 force and projected trends in that workforce
24 based on expected losses due to retirement and
25 other attrition;

1 “(B) specific strategies for attracting, com-
2 pensating, and motivating the HQE workforce
3 of the Department, including the program ob-
4 jectives of the Department to be achieved
5 through such strategies and the funding needed
6 to implement such strategies;

7 “(C) any incentives necessary to attract or
8 retain HQE personnel;

9 “(D) any changes that may be necessary in
10 resources or in the rates or methods of pay
11 needed to ensure the Department has full ac-
12 cess to appropriately qualified personnel; and

13 “(E) any legislative changes that may be
14 necessary to achieve HQE workforce goals.”.

15 (c) DEFINITIONS.—Subsection (h) of such section (as
16 redesignated by subsection (b)(2)) is amended to read as
17 follows:

18 “(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

19 “(1) The term ‘senior management workforce of
20 the Department of Defense’ includes the following
21 categories of Department of Defense civilian per-
22 sonnel:

23 “(A) Appointees in the Senior Executive
24 Service under section 3131 of title 5.

1 “(B) Persons serving in the Defense Intel-
2 ligence Senior Executive Service under section
3 1606 of this title.

4 “(2) The term ‘senior functional and technical
5 workforce of the Department of Defense’ includes
6 the following categories of Department of Defense
7 civilian personnel:

8 “(A) Persons serving in positions described
9 in section 5376(a) of title 5.

10 “(B) Scientists and engineers appointed
11 pursuant to section 342(b) of the National De-
12 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995
13 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2721), as
14 amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D.
15 Spence National Defense Authorization Act for
16 Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public
17 Law 106–398 (114 Stat. 1654A-315)).

18 “(C) Scientists and engineers appointed
19 pursuant to section 1101 of the Strom Thur-
20 mond National Defense Authorization Act for
21 Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note).

22 “(D) Persons serving in Intelligence Senior
23 Level positions under section 1607 of this title.

1 “(3) The term ‘acquisition workforce’ includes
2 individuals designated under section 1721 of this
3 title as filling acquisition positions.”.

4 (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of
5 subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as fol-
6 lows: “SENIOR MANAGEMENT WORKFORCE; SENIOR
7 FUNCTIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKFORCE.—”.

1 **SEC. 1042 [Log 53742]. AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT VOLUNTARY**
2 **SERVICES OF LAW STUDENTS AND PERSONS**
3 **STUDYING TO BE PARALEGALS.**

4 Section 1588(a) of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended by adding at the end the following new para-
6 graph:

7 “(10) Internship or externship services provided
8 by law students or persons studying to be a para-
9 legal, when such services are provided under the di-
10 rect supervision of an attorney.”.

1 **SEC. 1043 [Log 53696]. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY FOR SEC-**
2 **RETARY OF DEFENSE TO USE THE DEPART-**
3 **MENT OF DEFENSE REIMBURSEMENT RATE**
4 **FOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES PROVIDED**
5 **TO CERTAIN NON-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**
6 **ENTITIES.**

7 (a) ELIGIBLE CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.—

8 Subsection (a) of section 2642 of title 10, United States
9 Code, is amended—

10 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
11 striking “The Secretary” and inserting “Subject to
12 subsection (b), the Secretary”;

13 (2) in paragraph (3)—

14 (A) by striking “During the period begin-
15 ning on October 28, 2009, and ending on Sep-
16 tember 30, 2019, for” and inserting “For”;

17 (B) by striking “of Defense” the first place
18 it appears and all that follows through “mili-
19 tary sales” and inserting “of Defense”; and

20 (C) by striking “, but only if” and all that
21 follows through “commercial transportation in-
22 dustry”; and

23 (3) by adding at the end the following new
24 paragraphs:

25 “(4) For military transportation services pro-
26 vided in support of foreign military sales.

1 “(5) For military transportation services pro-
2 vided to a State, local, or tribal agency (including
3 any organization composed of State, local, or tribal
4 agencies).

5 “(6) For military transportation services pro-
6 vided to a Department of Defense contractor when
7 transporting supplies that are for, or destined for, a
8 Department of Defense entity.”.

9 (b) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN
10 CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.—Such section is fur-
11 ther amended—

12 (1) by redesignating subsection (b) as sub-
13 section (c); and

14 (2) by inserting after subsection (a) the fol-
15 lowing new subsection (b):

16 “(b) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN
17 CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.—The provisions of
18 paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of subsection (a) shall
19 apply only to military transportation services provided be-
20 fore October 1, 2024.”.

21 (c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

22 (1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such
23 section is amended to read as follows:

1 **“§ 2642. Transportation services provided to certain**
2 **non-Department of Defense agencies and**
3 **entities: Use of Department of Defense re-**
4 **imbursement rate”.**

5 (2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The item relating to
6 such section in the table of sections at the beginning
7 of chapter 157 of such title is amended to read as
8 follows:

“2642. Transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense agencies and entities: Use of Department of Defense reimbursement rate.”.

1 **SEC. 1044 [Log 53735]. REPEAL OF AUTHORITY RELATING TO**
2 **USE OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS BY CIVIL**
3 **RESERVE AIR FLEET CONTRACTORS.**

4 (a) REPEAL.—Section 9513 of title 10, United States
5 Code, is repealed.

6 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
7 at the beginning of chapter 931 of such title is amended
8 by striking the item relating to section 9513.

1 **SEC. 1047 [Log 53960]. LIMITATION ON USE OF RUSSIAN-**
2 **FLAGGED AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT TO SUPPORT**
3 **THE AIRLIFT MOVEMENT REQUIREMENTS OF**
4 **THE UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COM-**
5 **MAND.**

6 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
7 this Act or otherwise made available to the Secretary of
8 Defense for fiscal year 2015 may be used to fly any Rus-
9 sian-flagged airlift aircraft to support any airlift move-
10 ment requirement of the United States Transportation
11 Command until the commander of the United States
12 Transportation Command certifies to the Committees on
13 Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representa-
14 tives that with respect to the airlift movement require-
15 ment, using the Russian-flagged airlift aircraft is the only
16 means available to the commander to execute the require-
17 ment.

1 **SEC. 1101 [Log 53272]. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PRE-**
3 **MIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON**
4 **PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES**
5 **WORKING OVERSEAS.**

6 Effective January 1, 2015, section 1101(a) of the
7 Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for
8 Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4615),
9 as most recently amended by section 1101 of the National
10 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public
11 Law 113–66), is further amended by striking “through
12 2014” and inserting “through 2015”.

1 **SEC. 1103 [Log 53583]. REVISION TO LIST OF SCIENCE AND**
2 **TECHNOLOGY REINVENTION LABORATORIES.**

3 Section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authoriza-
4 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123
5 Stat. 2487; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by adding
6 at the end the following:

7 “(18) The Army Research Institute for the Be-
8 havioral and Social Sciences.

9 “(19) The Space and Missile Defense Command
10 Technical Center.”.

1 **SEC. 1104 [Log 53582]. PERMANENT AUTHORITY FOR EXPER-**
2 **IMENTAL PERSONNEL PROGRAM FOR SCI-**
3 **ENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.**

4 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1101 of the Strom Thur-
5 mond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
6 1999 (Public Law 105–261; 5 U.S.C. 3104 note) is
7 amended by striking subsections (e), (f) and (g).

8 (b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Such section is
9 further amended—

10 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**EXPER-**
11 **IMENTAL**” and inserting “**ALTERNATIVE**”;

12 (2) in subsection (a)—

13 (A) by striking “During the program pe-
14 riod specified in subsection (e)(1), the” and in-
15 serting “The”; and

16 (B) by striking “experimental”; and

17 (3) in subsection (d)(1)—

18 (A) in the matter preceding subparagraph
19 (A), by striking “12-month period” and insert-
20 ing “calendar year”; and

21 (B) in subparagraph (A), striking “fiscal
22 year” and inserting “calendar year”.

1 **SEC. 1105 [Log 53540]. TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES FOR CER-**
2 **TAIN POSITIONS AT DEPARTMENT OF DE-**
3 **FENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FA-**
4 **CILITIES.**

5 Section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) is amend-
7 ed—

8 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
9 following:

10 “(3) STUDENTS ENROLLED IN SCIENTIFIC AND
11 ENGINEERING PROGRAMS.—The director of any
12 STRL may appoint qualified candidates enrolled in
13 a program of undergraduate or graduate instruction
14 leading to a bachelor’s or master’s degree in a sci-
15 entific, technical, engineering or mathematical
16 course of study at an institution of higher education
17 (as that term is defined in section 101 and 102 of
18 the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.
19 1001)) to positions described in paragraph (3) of
20 subsection (b) as an employee in a laboratory de-
21 scribed in that paragraph without regard to the pro-
22 visions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5,
23 United States Code (other than sections 3303 and
24 3328 of such title).”;

25 (2) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
26 following:

1 “(3) CANDIDATES ENROLLED IN SCIENTIFIC
2 AND ENGINEERING PROGRAMS.—The positions de-
3 scribed in this paragraph are scientific and engineer-
4 ing positions that may be temporary or term in any
5 laboratory designated by section 1105(a) of the Na-
6 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2486; 10
8 U.S.C. 2358 note) as a Department of Defense
9 science and technology reinvention laboratory.”; and
10 (3) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the
11 following:

12 “(3) In the case of a laboratory described in
13 subsection (b)(3), with respect to appointment au-
14 thority under subsection (a)(3), the number equal to
15 5 percent of the total number of scientific and engi-
16 neering positions in such laboratory that are filled as
17 of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the
18 start of such calendar year.”.

1 **DIVISION B—MILITARY CON-**
2 **STRUCTION AUTHORIZA-**
3 **TIONS**

4 **SEC. 2001 [LOG 53105]. SHORT TITLE.**

5 This division may be cited as the “Military Construc-
6 tion Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

1 **SEC. 2002 [LOG 53106]. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS**
2 **AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED**
3 **BY LAW.**

4 (a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE
5 YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all author-
6 izations contained in titles XXI through XXVII for mili-
7 tary construction projects, land acquisition, family housing
8 projects and facilities, and contributions to the North At-
9 lantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program
10 (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall ex-
11 pire on the later of—

12 (1) October 1, 2017; or

13 (2) the date of the enactment of an Act author-
14 izing funds for military construction for fiscal year
15 2018.

16 (b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to
17 authorizations for military construction projects, land ac-
18 quisition, family housing projects and facilities, and con-
19 tributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Se-
20 curity Investment Program (and authorizations of appro-
21 priations therefor), for which appropriated funds have
22 been obligated before the later of—

23 (1) October 1, 2017; or

24 (2) the date of the enactment of an Act author-
25 izing funds for fiscal year 2018 for military con-
26 struction projects, land acquisition, family housing

- 1 projects and facilities, or contributions to the North
- 2 Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment
- 3 Program.

1 **SEC. 2003 [Log 53107]. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

2 Titles XXI through XXVII shall take effect on the
3 later of—

4 (1) October 1, 2014; or

5 (2) the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 2101 [Log 53108]. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION**
 2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 5 tions in section 2103 and available for military construc-
 6 tion projects inside the United States as specified in the
 7 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army
 8 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 9 tion projects for the installations or locations inside the
 10 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 11 lowing table:

Army: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
California	Concord	\$15,200,000
	Fort Irwin	\$45,000,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$89,000,000
Hawaii	Fort Shafter	\$83,000,000
Kentucky	Blue Grass Army Depot	\$15,000,000
	Fort Campbell	\$23,000,000
New York	Fort Drum	\$27,000,000
Pennsylvania	Letterkenny Army Depot	\$16,000,000
South Carolina	Fort Jackson	\$52,000,000
Texas	Fort Hood	\$46,000,000
Virginia	Fort Lee	\$86,000,000
	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	\$7,700,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 14 tions in section 2103 and available for military construc-
 15 tion projects outside the United States as specified in the
 16 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army
 17 may acquire real property and carry out the military con-
 18 struction project for the installations or locations outside

- 1 the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the
- 2 following table:

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Guantanamo Bay	Guantanamo Bay	\$23,800,000
Japan	Kadena Air Base	\$10,600,000

1 **SEC. 2102 [Log 53109]. FAMILY HOUSING.**

2 (a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using
 3 amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of ap-
 4 propriations in section 2103 and available for military
 5 family housing functions as specified in the funding table
 6 in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct
 7 or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition
 8 and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations,
 9 in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in
 10 the following table:

Army: Family Housing

State/Country	Installation	Units	Amount
Illinois	Rock Island	Family Housing New Construction	\$19,500,000
Korea	Camp Walker	Family Housing New Construction	\$57,800,000

11 (b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appro-
 12 priated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in
 13 section 2103 and available for military family housing
 14 functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601,
 15 the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and
 16 engineering services and construction design activities
 17 with respect to the construction or improvement of family
 18 housing units in an amount not to exceed \$1,309,000.

1 **SEC. 2103 [Log 53110]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
2 **TIONS, ARMY.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years
5 beginning after September 30, 2014, for military con-
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing
7 functions of the Department of the Army as specified in
8 the funding table in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost
13 of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act
14 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appro-
15 priated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding
16 table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2104 [Log 53655]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2004**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law
7 108–136; 117 Stat. 1697) for Picatinny Arsenal, New
8 Jersey, for construction of an Explosives Research and
9 Development Loading Facility at the installation, the Sec-
10 retary of the Army may use available unobligated balances
11 of amounts appropriated for military construction for the
12 Army to complete work on the project within the scope
13 specified for the project in the justification data provided
14 to Congress as part of the request for authorization of
15 the project.

1 **SEC. 2105 [Log 53656]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013**
3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) FORT DRUM.—In the case of the authorization
5 contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military
6 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (di-
7 vision B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119) for Fort
8 Drum, New York, for construction of an Aircraft Mainte-
9 nance Hangar at the installation, the Secretary of the
10 Army may provide a capital contribution to a public or
11 private utility company in order for the utility company
12 to extend the utility company’s gas line to the installation
13 boundary. Such capital contribution is not a change in the
14 scope of work of the project under section 2853 of title
15 10, United States Code.

16 (b) FORT LEONARD WOOD.—In the case of the au-
17 thorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of
18 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
19 Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
20 2119) for Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, for construction
21 of Battalion Complex Facilities at the installation, the
22 Secretary of the Army may construct the Battalion Head-
23 quarters with classrooms for a unit other than a Global
24 Defense Posture Realignment unit.

25 (c) FORT MCNAIR.—In the case of the authorization
26 contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military

1 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (di-
2 vision B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119) for Fort
3 McNair, District of Columbia, for construction of a Vehi-
4 cle Storage Building at the installation, the Secretary of
5 the Army may construct up to 20,227 square feet of vehi-
6 cle storage.

7 (d) FORT BELVOIR.—The table in section 2101(a) of
8 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
9 Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
10 2119) is amended in the item relating to Fort Belvoir,
11 Virginia, by striking “\$94,000,000” in the amount column
12 and inserting “\$183,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 2106 [Log 53657]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
 6 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (124
 8 Stat. 4437) and extended by section 2109 of the Military
 9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (di-
 10 vision B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 988), shall re-
 11 main in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the
 12 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 13 struction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later:

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 15 is as follows:

Army: Extension of 2011 Project Authorization

State	Installation or Lo- cation	Project	Amount
Georgia	Fort Benning	Land Acquisition	\$12,200,000

1 **SEC. 2107 [Log 53658]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
 6 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (125
 8 Stat. 1661), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015,
 9 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
 10 for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever
 11 is later:

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 13 as follows:

Army: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Georgia	Fort Benning	Land Acquisition	\$5,100,000
	Fort Benning	Land Acquisition	\$25,000,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Maintenance Hanger.	\$54,000,000
Texas	Fort Bliss	Applied Instruction Building.	\$8,300,000
	Fort Bliss	Vehicle Maintenance Facility.	\$19,000,000
	Fort Hood	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Maintenance Hanger.	\$47,000,000
Virginia	Fort Belvoir	Road and Infrastructure Improvements.	\$25,000,000

1 **SEC. 2201 [Log 53111]. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION**
 2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 5 tions in section 2204 and available for military construc-
 6 tion projects inside the United States as specified in the
 7 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy
 8 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 9 tion projects for the installations or locations inside the
 10 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 11 lowing table:

Navy: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Arizona	Yuma	\$16,608,000
California	Bridgeport	\$16,180,000
	San Diego	\$47,110,000
District of Columbia	Naval Support Activity	\$31,735,000
Florida	Jacksonville	\$30,235,000
	Mayport	\$20,520,000
Guam	Joint Region Marianas	\$50,651,000
Hawaii	Kaneohe Bay	\$53,382,000
	Pearl Harbor	\$9,698,000
Maryland	Annapolis	\$120,112,000
	Indian Head	\$15,346,000
	Patuxent River	\$9,860,000
Nevada	Fallon	\$31,262,000
North Carolina	Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station	\$41,588,000
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	\$23,985,000
South Carolina	Charleston	\$35,716,000
Virginia	Dahlgren	\$27,313,000
	Norfolk	\$39,274,000
	Portsmouth	\$9,743,000
	Quantico	\$12,613,000
	Yorktown	\$26,988,000
Washington	Bremerton	\$16,401,000
	Port Angeles	\$20,638,000
	Whidbey Island	\$24,390,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 14 tions in section 2204 and available for military construc-

1 tion projects outside the United States as specified in the
 2 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy
 3 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 4 tion projects for the installation or location outside the
 5 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 6 lowing table:

Navy: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Bahrain	South West Asia	\$27,826,000
Djibouti	Camp Lemonier	\$9,923,000
Japan	Iwakuni	\$6,415,000
	Kadena Air Base	\$19,411,000
	Marine Corps Air Station Futenma	\$4,639,000
	Okinawa	\$35,685,000

7 (c) UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.—Using amounts ap-
 8 propriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations
 9 in section 2204 and available for military construction
 10 projects at unspecified worldwide locations as specified in
 11 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the
 12 Navy may acquire real property and carry out military
 13 construction projects for unspecified locations, and in the
 14 amount, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Unspecified Worldwide Locations

Country	Location	Amount
Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	\$38,985,000

1 **SEC. 2202 [Log 53112]. FAMILY HOUSING.**

2 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
3 ization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for
4 military family housing functions as specified in the fund-
5 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may
6 carry out architectural and engineering services and con-
7 struction design activities with respect to the construction
8 or improvement of family housing units in an amount not
9 to exceed \$472,000.

1 **SEC. 2203 [Log 53113]. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAM-**
2 **ILY HOUSING UNITS.**

3 Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States
4 Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the
5 authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and avail-
6 able for military family housing functions as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the
8 Navy may improve existing military family housing units
9 in an amount not to exceed \$15,940,000.

1 **SEC. 2204 [LOG 53114]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
2 **TIONS, NAVY.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years
5 beginning after September 30, 2014, for military con-
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing
7 functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in
8 the funding table in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost
13 of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act
14 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appro-
15 priated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding
16 table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2205 [Log 53659]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012**
3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) YUMA.—In the case of the authorization con-
5 tained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Con-
6 struction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division
7 B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666), for Yuma, Ari-
8 zona, for construction of a Double Aircraft Maintenance
9 Hangar, the Secretary of the Navy may construct up to
10 approximately 70,000 square feet of additional apron to
11 be utilized as a taxi-lane using amounts appropriated for
12 this project pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
13 tions in section 2204 of such Act (125 Stat. 1667).

14 (b) CAMP PENDELTON.—In the case of the author-
15 ization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the
16 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
17 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666),
18 for Camp Pendelton, California, for construction of an In-
19 fantry Squad Defense Range, the Secretary of the Navy
20 may construct up to 9,000 square feet of vehicular bridge
21 using amounts appropriated for this project pursuant to
22 the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such
23 Act (125 Stat. 1667).

24 (c) KINGS BAY.—In the case of the authorization
25 contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military
26 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (di-

1 vision B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666), for
2 Kings Bay, Georgia, for construction of a Crab Island Se-
3 curity Enclave, the Secretary of the Navy may expand the
4 enclave fencing system to three layers of fencing and con-
5 struct two elevated fixed fighting positions with associated
6 supporting facilities using amounts appropriated for this
7 project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in
8 section 2204 of such Act (125 Stat. 1667).

1 **SEC. 2206 [Log 53660]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law
7 113–66; 127 Stat. 989), for Yorktown, Virginia, for con-
8 struction of Small Arms Ranges, the Secretary of the
9 Navy may construct 240 square meters of armory, 48
10 square meters of Safety Officer/Target Storage Building,
11 and 667 square meters of Range Operations Building
12 using appropriations available for the project pursuant to
13 the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such
14 Act (127 Stat. 990).

1 **SEC. 2207 [Log 53661]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
 6 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (124
 8 Stat. 4441) and extended by section 2207 of the Military
 9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (di-
 10 vision B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 991), shall re-
 11 main in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of an
 12 Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal
 13 year 2016, whichever is later.

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 15 is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Bahrain	South West Asia	Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines.	\$89,280,000
Guam	Naval Activities, Guam.	Defense Access Roads Improvements.	\$66,730,000

1 **SEC. 2208 [Log 53662]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
 6 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (125
 8 Stat. 1666), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015,
 9 or the date of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 10 struction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

11 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 12 is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
California	Camp Pendelton	North Area Waste Water Conveyance	\$78,271,000
	Camp Pendelton	Infantry Squad De- fense Range	\$29,187,000
Florida	Twentynine Palms ...	Land Expansion	\$8,665,000
	Jacksonville	P-8A Hangar Up- grades	\$6,085,000
Georgia	Kings Bay	Crab Island Security Enclave	\$52,913,000
	Kings Bay	WRA Land/Water Interface	\$33,150,000
Maryland	Patuxent River	Aircraft Prototype Facility Phase 2 ...	\$45,844,000

1 **SEC. 2301 [Log 53115]. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUC-**
 2 **TION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 5 tions in section 2302 and available for military construc-
 6 tion projects inside the United States as specified in the
 7 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air
 8 Force may acquire real property and carry out military
 9 construction projects for the installations or locations in-
 10 side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in
 11 the following table:

Air Force: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alaska	Clear Air Force Base	\$11,500,000
Arizona	Luke Air Force Base	\$26,800,000
Guam	Joint Region Marianas	\$13,400,000
Kansas	McConnell Air Force Base	\$34,400,000
Massachusetts	Hanscom Air Force Base	\$13,500,000
Nevada	Nellis Air Force Base	\$53,900,000
New Jersey	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	\$5,900,000
Oklahoma	Tinker Air Force Base	\$111,000,000
Texas	Joint Base San Antonio	\$5,800,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 14 tions in section 2302 and available for military construc-
 15 tion projects outside the United States as specified in the
 16 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air
 17 Force may acquire real property and carry out military
 18 construction projects for the installation outside the
 19 United States, and in the amount, set forth in the fol-
 20 lowing table:

Air Force: Outside the United States

Country	Installation	Amount
United Kingdom	Croughton Royal Air Force Base	\$92,223,000

1 **SEC. 2302 [Log 53118]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
2 **TIONS, AIR FORCE.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years
5 beginning after September 30, 2014, for military con-
6 struction and land acquisition functions of the Depart-
7 ment of the Air Force, as specified in the funding table
8 in section 4601.

9 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION
10 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-
11 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and
12 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost
13 of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act
14 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appro-
15 priated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding
16 table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2303 [Log 53663]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2008**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law
7 110–181; 122 Stat. 515), for Shaw Air Force Base, South
8 Carolina, for base infrastructure at that location, the Sec-
9 retary of the Air Force may acquire fee or lesser real prop-
10 erty interests in approximately 11.5 acres of land contig-
11 uous to Shaw Air Force Base for the project using funds
12 appropriated to the Department of the Air Force for con-
13 struction in years prior to fiscal year 2015.

1 **SEC. 2304 [Log 53664]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
 6 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (124
 8 Stat. 4444) and extended by section 2307 of the Military
 9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (di-
 10 vision B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 994), shall re-
 11 main in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the
 12 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 13 struction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 15 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2011 Project Authorization

Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Bahrain	Shaikh Isa Air Base	North Apron Expansion.	\$45,000,000.

1 **SEC. 2305 [Log 53665]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
 6 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (125
 8 Stat. 1670), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015,
 9 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
 10 for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever
 11 is later.

12 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 13 is as follows:

Air Force: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Alaska	Eielson AFB	Dormitory (168 RM)	\$45,000,000
Italy	Sigonella Naval Air Station	UAS SATCOM Relay Pads and Facility	\$15,000,000

1 **Subtitle A—Defense Agency**
 2 **Authorizations**

3 **SEC. 2401 [Log 53119]. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES**
 4 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**
 5 **PROJECTS.**

6 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 7 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 8 tions in section 2403 and available for military construc-
 9 tion projects inside the United States as specified in the
 10 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense
 11 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 12 tion projects for the installations or locations inside the
 13 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 14 lowing table:

Defense Agencies: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Arizona	Fort Huachuca	\$1,871,000
California	Camp Pendelton	\$11,841,000
	Coronado	\$70,340,000
	Lemoore	\$52,500,000
Colorado	Peterson Air Force Base	\$15,200,000
Georgia	Hunter Army Airfield	\$7,692,000
	Robins Air Force Base	\$19,900,000
Hawaii	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	\$52,900,000
Kentucky	Fort Campbell	\$18,000,000
Maryland	Fort Meade	\$54,207,000
	Joint Base Andrews	\$18,300,000
Michigan	Selfridge Air National Guard Base	\$35,100,000
Mississippi	Stennis	\$27,547,000
Nevada	Fallon	\$20,241,000
New Mexico	Cannon Air Force Base	\$23,333,000
North Carolina	Camp Lejeune	\$52,748,000
	Fort Bragg	\$93,136,000
	Seymour Johnson AFB	\$8,500,000
South Carolina	Beaufort	\$40,600,000
South Dakota	Ellsworth Air Force Base	\$8,000,000
Texas	Joint Base San Antonio	\$38,300,000
Virginia	Craney Island	\$36,500,000
	Defense Distribution Depot Richmond ...	\$5,700,000
	Fort Belvoir	\$7,239,000
	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	\$41,200,000

Defense Agencies: Inside the United States—Continued

State	Installation or Location	Amount
	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek- Story	\$39,588,000
	Pentagon	\$15,100,000
CONUS Classified	Classified Location	\$53,073,000

1 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 2 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 3 tions in section 2403 and available for military construc-
 4 tion projects outside the United States as specified in the
 5 funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense
 6 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 7 tion projects for the installations or locations outside the
 8 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 9 lowing table:

Defense Agencies: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Australia	Geraldton	\$9,600,000
Belgium	Brussels	\$79,544,000
Guantanamo Bay	Guantanamo Bay	\$76,290,000
Japan	Misawa Air Base	\$37,775,000
	Okinawa	\$170,901,000
	Sasebo	\$37,681,000

1 **SEC. 2402 [Log 53666]. AUTHORIZED ENERGY CONSERVA-**
 2 **TION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 4 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 5 tions in section 2403 and available for energy conservation
 6 projects inside the United States as specified in the fund-
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may
 8 carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173
 9 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or
 10 locations inside the United States, and in the amounts,
 11 set forth in the following table:

Energy Conservation Projects: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
California	Edwards Air Force Base	\$4,500,000
	Fort Hunter Liggett	\$13,500,000
	Vandenberg Air Force Base	\$7,197,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$3,000,000
Florida	Eglin Air Force Base	\$3,850,000
Georgia	Moody Air Force Base	\$3,600,000
Hawaii	Marine Corps Base Hawaii	\$8,460,000
Illinois	Great Lakes Naval Station	\$2,190,000
Maine	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	\$2,740,000
Maryland	Fort Detrick	\$2,100,000
North Dakota	Offutt Air Force Base	\$2,869,000
Oklahoma	Tinker Air Force Base	\$3,609,000
Oregon	Oregon City Armory	\$6,600,000
Utah	Dugway Proving Ground	\$15,400,000
Virginia	Naval Station Norfolk	\$11,360,000
	Pentagon	\$2,120,000
Various Locations	Various Locations	\$23,679,000

12 (b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts
 13 appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropria-
 14 tions in section 2403 and available for energy conservation
 15 projects outside the United States as specified in the fund-
 16 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may
 17 carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173

1 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or
 2 locations outside the United States, and in the amounts,
 3 set forth in the following table:

Energy Conservation Projects: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Diego Garcia	Naval Support Facility	\$14,620,000
Japan	Fleet Activities Yokosuka	\$8,030,000
Germany	Spangdahlem	\$4,800,000
Various Locations	Various Locations	\$5,776,000

1 **SEC. 2403 [Log 53120]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
2 **TIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years
5 beginning after September 30, 2014, for military con-
6 struction, land acquisition, and military family housing
7 functions of the Department of Defense (other than the
8 military departments), as specified in the funding table
9 in section 4601.

10 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION
11 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-
12 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and
13 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost
14 of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act
15 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appro-
16 priated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding
17 table in section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2404 [Log 53667]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

3 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
 6 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (124
 8 Stat. 4446), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015,
 9 or the date of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 10 struction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

11 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 12 is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
District of Columbia	Bolling Air Force Base	Cooling Tower Expansion	\$2,070,000
		DIAC Parking Garage	\$13,586,000
		Electrical Upgrades	\$1,080,000

1 **SEC. 2405 [Log 53668&53669]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZA-**
 2 **TIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012**
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 (a) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 6 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
 7 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in sub-
 8 section (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (125
 9 Stat. 1672), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015,
 10 or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds
 11 for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever
 12 is later.

13 (b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 14 is as follows:

Defense Agencies: Extension of 2012 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
California	Coronado	SOF Support Activity Operations Facility	\$42,000,000
Germany	USAG Baumholder ..	Wetzel-Smith Elementary School	\$59,419,000
Italy	USAG Vicenza	Vicenza High School	\$41,864,000
Japan	Yokota Air Base	Yokota High School	\$49,606,000
Virginia	Pentagon Reservation	Helicopter Control Tower and Fire Station	\$6,457,000
		Pedestrian Plaza	\$2,285,000

1 **SEC. 2406 [Log 53727]. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHOR-**
2 **IZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL**
3 **YEAR 2015 PROJECTS PENDING SUBMISSION**
4 **OF REQUIRED REPORTS.**

5 (a) **LIMITATION.**—No amounts may be obligated or
6 expended for the military construction projects described
7 in subsection (b) and otherwise authorized by section
8 2401(a) until both of the reports described in subsection
9 (c) have been submitted to the Committees on Armed
10 Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

11 (b) **COVERED PROJECTS.**—The limitation imposed by
12 subsection (a) applies to the following military construc-
13 tion projects:

14 (1) The construction of a human performance
15 center facility at Joint Expeditionary Base Little
16 Creek–Story, Virginia.

17 (2) The construction of a squadron operations
18 facility at Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico.

19 (c) **REPORTS DESCRIBED.**—The reports referred to
20 in subsection (a) are—

21 (1) the report on the United States Special Op-
22 erations Command Preservation of the Force and
23 Families initiative requested under the heading
24 “U.S. Special Operations Command Military Con-
25 struction Requirements” in the Joint Explanatory
26 Statement to Accompany the National Defense Au-

1 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as printed in
2 the Congressional Record on December 12, 2013
3 (page H7956); and

4 (2) the report on the review of Department of
5 Defense efforts regarding the prevention of suicide
6 among members of United States Special Operations
7 Forces and their dependents required by section 581
8 of this Act.

1 **Subtitle B—Chemical**
2 **Demilitarization Authorizations**

3 **SEC. 2411 [Log 53121]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS, CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CON-**
5 **STRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

6 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
7 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years
8 beginning after September 30, 2014, for military con-
9 struction and land acquisition for chemical demilitariza-
10 tion, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

11 (b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION
12 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations author-
13 ized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and
14 any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost
15 of all projects carried out under subsection (a) may not
16 exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated
17 under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in
18 section 4601.

1 **SEC. 2412 [Log 53670]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2000**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 (a) MODIFICATION.—The table in section 2401(a) of
5 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
6 Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat.
7 835), as amended by section 2405 of the Military Con-
8 struction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division
9 B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1298), section 2405
10 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
11 Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat.
12 2698), section 2414 of the Military Construction Author-
13 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law
14 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), and section 2412 of the Mili-
15 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011
16 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450), is
17 amended—

18 (1) in the item relating to Blue Grass Army
19 Depot, Kentucky, by striking “\$746,000,000” in the
20 amount column and inserting “\$780,000,000”; and

21 (2) by striking the amount identified as the
22 total in the amount column and inserting
23 “\$1,237,920,000”.

24 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2405(b)(3)
25 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
26 Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat.

1 839), as amended by section 2405 of the Military Con-
2 struction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division
3 B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1298), section 2405
4 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
5 Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat.
6 2698), section 2414 of the Military Construction Author-
7 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law
8 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), and section 2412 of the Mili-
9 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011
10 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450), is
11 further amended by striking “\$723,200,000” and insert-
12 ing “\$757,200,000”.

1 **SEC. 2501 [Log 53122]. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION**
2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

3 The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for
4 the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Invest-
5 ment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10,
6 United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum
7 of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this pur-
8 pose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the
9 North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of con-
10 struction previously financed by the United States.

1 **SEC. 2502 [Log 53123]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
2 **TIONS, NATO.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for con-
5 tributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806
6 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United
7 States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty
8 Organization Security Investment Program authorized by
9 section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section
10 4601.

1 **Subtitle A—Project Authorizations**
 2 **and Authorization of Appropria-**
 3 **tions**

4 **SEC. 2601 [Log 53124]. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL**
 5 **GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISSI-**
 6 **TION PROJECTS.**

7 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
 8 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for
 9 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-
 10 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may
 11 acquire real property and carry out military construction
 12 projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the
 13 United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the fol-
 14 lowing table:

Army National Guard: Inside the United States

State	Location	Amount
Delaware	Dagsboro	\$10,800,000
Maine	Augusta	\$30,000,000
Maryland	Havre De Grace	\$12,400,000
Montana	Helena	\$38,000,000
New Mexico	Alamogordo	\$5,000,000
North Dakota	Valley City	\$10,800,000
Vermont	North Hyde Park	\$4,400,000
Washington	Yakima	\$19,000,000

15 **SEC. 2602 [Log 53125]. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CON-**
 16 **STRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**
 17 **PROJECTS.**

18 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
 19 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for
 20 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-

1 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may
 2 acquire real property and carry out military construction
 3 projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United
 4 States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following
 5 table:

Army Reserve

State	Location	Amount
California	Fresno	\$22,000,000
	March Air Force Base	\$25,000,000
Colorado	Fort Carson	\$5,000,000
Illinois	Arlington Heights	\$26,000,000
Mississippi	Starkville	\$9,300,000
New Jersey	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	\$26,000,000
New York	Mattydale	\$23,000,000
Virginia	Fort Lee	\$16,000,000

6 **SEC. 2603 [Log 53127]. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND**
 7 **MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION**
 8 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

9 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
 10 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for
 11 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-
 12 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may
 13 acquire real property and carry out military construction
 14 projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve
 15 locations inside the United States, and in the amounts,
 16 set forth in the following table:

Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve

State	Location	Amount
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh	\$17,650,000
Washington	Whidbey Island	\$27,755,000

1 **SEC. 2604 [Log 53128]. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD**
 2 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**
 3 **PROJECTS.**

4 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
 5 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for
 6 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-
 7 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force
 8 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 9 tion projects for the Air National Guard locations inside
 10 the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the
 11 following table:

Air National Guard

State	Location	Amount
Connecticut	Bradley International Airport	\$16,306,000
Iowa	Des Moines Municipal Airport	\$8,993,000
Michigan	W.K. Kellog Regional Airport	\$6,000,000
New Hampshire	Pease International Trade Port	\$41,902,000
Pennsylvania	Willow Grove Air Reserve Field	\$5,662,000

12 **SEC. 2605 [Log 53129]. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE**
 13 **CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION**
 14 **PROJECTS.**

15 Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-
 16 ization of appropriations in section 2606 and available for
 17 the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the fund-
 18 ing table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force
 19 may acquire real property and carry out military construc-
 20 tion projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside
 21 the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the
 22 following table:

Air Force Reserve

State	Location	Amount
Georgia	Robins Air Force Base	\$27,700,000
North Carolina	Seymour Johnson Air Force Base	\$9,800,000
Texas	Forth Worth	\$3,700,000

1 **SEC. 2606 [Log 53130]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
 2 **TIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
 4 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for the
 5 costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services,
 6 and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve
 7 Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter
 8 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost
 9 of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in
 10 the funding table in section 4601.

1 **Subtitle B—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 2611 [Log 53671]. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF** 3 **AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL** 4 **YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.**

5 (a) MODIFICATION.—

6 (1) KANSAS CITY.—In the case of the author-
7 ization contained in the table in section 2602 of the
8 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
9 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125
10 Stat. 1677), for Kansas City, Kansas, for construc-
11 tion of an Army Reserve Center at that location, the
12 Secretary of the Army may construct a new facility
13 in the vicinity of Kansas City, Kansas, instead of
14 constructing a new facility in Kansas City.

15 (2) ATTLEBORO.—In the case of the authoriza-
16 tion contained in the table in section 2602 of the
17 Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
18 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125
19 Stat. 1677), for Attleboro, Massachusetts, for con-
20 struction of an Army Reserve Center at that loca-
21 tion, the Secretary of the Army may construct a new
22 facility in the vicinity of Attleboro, Massachusetts,
23 instead of constructing a new facility in Attleboro, .

24 (b) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
25 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal

1 Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
2 1660), the authorizations set forth in subsection (a) shall
3 remain in effect until October 1, 2018, or the date of the
4 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
5 struction for fiscal year 2019, whichever is later.

1 **SEC. 2612 [Log 53672]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013**
3 **PROJECT.**

4 In the case of the authorization contained in the table
5 in section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–
7 239; 126 Stat. 2133) for Stormville, New York, for con-
8 struction of a Combined Support Maintenance Shop Phase
9 I, the Secretary of the Army may instead construct the
10 facility at Camp Smith, New York, and build a 53,760
11 square foot maintenance facility in lieu of a 75,156 square
12 foot maintenance facility.

1 **SEC. 2613 [Log 53673]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF**
 2 **CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

3 (a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of
 4 the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal
 5 Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
 6 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in sub-
 7 section (b), as provided in section 2601 of that Act (124
 8 Stat. 4452) and extended by section 2612 of the Military
 9 Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (di-
 10 vision B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1003), shall
 11 remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the
 12 enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military con-
 13 struction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

14 (b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a)
 15 is as follows:

**Extension of 2011 National Guard and Reserve Project
 Authorization**

State	Installation or Lo- cation	Project	Amount
Puerto Rico	Camp Santiago	Multipurpose Ma- chine Gun Range ..	\$9,200,000

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 2701 [Log 53131]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLO-**
5 **SURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DE-**
6 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AC-**
7 **COUNT.**

8 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
9 fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for base
10 realignment and closure activities, including real property
11 acquisition and military construction projects, as author-
12 ized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act
13 of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510;
14 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department
15 of Defense Base Closure Account established by section
16 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Mili-
17 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013
18 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2140)), as
19 specified in the funding table in section 4601.

1 **Subtitle B—Prohibition on**
2 **Additional BRAC Round**

3 **SEC. 2711 [Log 53167]. PROHIBITION ON CONDUCTING ADDI-**
4 **TIONAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE**
5 **(BRAC) ROUND.**

6 Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize
7 an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)
8 round.

1 **Subtitle C—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 2721 [Log 53220]. FORCE-STRUCTURE PLANS AND IN-**
3 **FRASTRUCTURE INVENTORY AND ASSESS-**
4 **MENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE NECESSARY TO**
5 **SUPPORT THE FORCE STRUCTURE.**

6 (a) PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION OF FORCE-
7 STRUCTURE PLANS AND INFRASTRUCTURE INVEN-
8 TORY.—As part of the budget justification documents sub-
9 mitted to Congress in support of the budget for the De-
10 partment of Defense for fiscal year 2016, the Secretary
11 of Defense shall include the following:

12 (1) Two force-structure plans for each of the
13 Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps for the
14 20-year period beginning with fiscal year 2016, in-
15 cluding the probable end-strength levels and major
16 military force units (including land force divisions,
17 carrier and other major combatant vessels, air
18 wings, and other comparable units) needed to meet
19 anticipated threats, and the anticipated levels of
20 funding that will be available for national defense
21 purposes during such period. One force-structure
22 plan shall reflect the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Re-
23 view and the other force-structure plan shall reflect
24 the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control
25 Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900 et seq.), as amended by

1 title I of the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public
2 Law 112–25) and section 101 of the Bipartisan
3 Budget Act of 2013 (Public Law 113–67).

4 (2) A comprehensive inventory of military in-
5 stallations world-wide for each military department,
6 with specifications of the number and type of facili-
7 ties in the active and reserve forces of each military
8 department.

9 (b) RELATIONSHIP OF PLANS AND INVENTORY.—
10 Using the force-structure plans and infrastructure inven-
11 tory prepared under subsection (a), the Secretary of De-
12 fense shall prepare (and include as part of the submission
13 of such plans and inventory) the following:

14 (1) A description of the infrastructure nec-
15 essary to support the force structure described in
16 each force-structure plan.

17 (2) A discussion of categories of excess infra-
18 structure and infrastructure capacity, and the Sec-
19 retary’s targets for the reduction of such excess ca-
20 pacity.

21 (3) An assessment of the excess infrastructure
22 and the value of retaining certain excess infrastruc-
23 ture to support surge or reversibility requirements.

1 (4) An economic analysis of the effect of the
2 closure or realignment of military installations to re-
3 duce excess infrastructure.

4 (c) SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS.—In determining the
5 level of necessary versus excess infrastructure under sub-
6 section (b), the Secretary of Defense shall consider the fol-
7 lowing:

8 (1) The anticipated continuing need for and
9 availability of military installations outside the
10 United States, taking into account current restric-
11 tions on the use of military installations outside the
12 United States and the potential for future prohibi-
13 tions or restrictions on the use of such military in-
14 stallations.

15 (2) Any efficiencies that may be gained from
16 joint tenancy by more than one branch of the Armed
17 Forces at a military installation or the reorganiza-
18 tion or association of two or more military installa-
19 tions as a single military installation.

20 (d) CERTIFICATION OF NEED FOR FURTHER CLO-
21 SURES AND REALIGNMENTS.—

22 (1) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.—On the basis
23 of the force-structure plans and infrastructure inven-
24 tory prepared under subsection (a) and the descrip-
25 tions and economic analysis prepared under sub-

1 section (b), the Secretary of Defense shall include as
2 part of the submission of the plans and inventory a
3 certification regarding whether the need exists for
4 the closure or realignment of additional military in-
5 stallations.

6 (2) ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATION.—As a condi-
7 tion on the certification under paragraph (1) that
8 the need for an additional round of closures and re-
9 alignments exists, the Secretary shall include an ad-
10 ditional certification that every recommendation for
11 the closure or realignment of military installations in
12 the additional round of closures and realignments
13 will result in annual net savings for each of the mili-
14 tary departments within six years after the initiation
15 of the additional round of closures and realignments.

16 (e) COMPTROLLER GENERAL EVALUATION.—

17 (1) EVALUATION REQUIRED.—If the certifi-
18 cations are provided under subsection (d), the Comp-
19 troller General of the United States shall prepare an
20 evaluation of the following:

21 (A) The force-structure plans and infra-
22 structure inventory prepared under subsection
23 (a), including an evaluation of the accuracy and
24 analytical sufficiency of the plans and inven-
25 tory.

1 (B) The need for the closure or realign-
2 ment of additional military installations.

3 (2) SUBMISSION.—The Comptroller General
4 shall submit the evaluation to Congress not later
5 than 60 days after the date on which the force-
6 structure plans and infrastructure inventory are sub-
7 mitted to Congress.

1 **SEC. 2722 [Log 53151]. MODIFICATION OF PROPERTY DIS-**
2 **POSAL PROCEDURES UNDER BASE REALIGN-**
3 **MENT AND CLOSURE PROCESS.**

4 (a) REPORT ON EXCESS PROPERTY.—Section 2905
5 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990
6 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C.
7 2687 note) is amended by inserting after subsection (e)
8 the following new subsection:

9 “(f) REPORT ON DESIGNATION OF PROPERTY AS EX-
10 CESS INSTEAD OF SURPLUS.—(1) Not later than 180 days
11 after the date on which real property located at a military
12 installation closed or realigned under this part is declared
13 excess, but not surplus, the Secretary of Defense shall
14 submit to the congressional defense committees a report
15 identifying the property and including the information re-
16 quired by paragraph (2). The Secretary shall update the
17 report every 180 days thereafter until the property is ei-
18 ther declared surplus or transferred to another Federal
19 agency.

20 “(2) Each report under paragraph (1) shall include
21 the following elements:

22 “(A) The reason for the excess designation.

23 “(B) The nature of the contemplated transfer.

24 “(C) The proposed timeline for the transfer.

25 “(D) Any impediments to completing the Fed-
26 eral agency screening process.”.

1 (b) EFFECT OF LACK OF RECOGNIZED REDEVELOP-
2 MENT AUTHORITY.—Section 2910(9) of the Defense Base
3 Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title
4 XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is
5 amended—

6 (1) by striking “The term” and inserting “(A)
7 The term”; and

8 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
9 paragraph:

10 “(B) If no redevelopment authority referred to
11 in subparagraph (A) exists with respect to a military
12 installation, the term shall include the following:

13 “(i) The local government in whose juris-
14 diction the military installation is wholly lo-
15 cated.

16 “(ii) A local government agency or State
17 government agency designated by the chief ex-
18 ecutive officer of the State in which the military
19 installation is located under subparagraph (B)
20 of section 2905(b)(3) for the purpose of the
21 consultation required by subparagraph (A) of
22 such section.”.

1 **SEC. 2723 [Log 53308]. FINAL SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS RE-**
2 **GARDING CARETAKER AGREEMENT FOR**
3 **FORMER DEFENSE DEPOT OGDEN, UTAH.**

4 (a) SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS.—Subject to the condi-
5 tion imposed by subsection (b), any claim by the United
6 States against the City of Ogden, Utah, and the Ogden
7 Local Redevelopment Authority (as the recognized redevel-
8 opment authority for former Defense Depot Ogden, Utah,
9 which was closed pursuant to the Defense Base Closure
10 and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of
11 Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note)) related to
12 the terms or execution of the Caretaker Agreement origi-
13 nally signed and dated September 10, 1997, between the
14 Department of the Army and the City of Ogden and the
15 Ogden Local Redevelopment Authority is hereby declared
16 to be settled, the City of Ogden and the Ogden Local Re-
17 development Authority have no remaining financial obliga-
18 tion to the United States arising from that agreement, and
19 the Defense Contract Management Agency shall cease any
20 collection efforts with respect to any such claim.

21 (b) CONDITION.—The operation of subsection (a) is
22 conditioned on release by the City of Ogden and the Ogden
23 Local Redevelopment Authority of any remaining financial
24 claim against the United States arising from the Care-
25 taker Agreement described in subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 2802 [Log 53104]. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO**
2 **CARRY OUT UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY**
3 **CONSTRUCTION.**

4 (a) UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
5 PROJECT DESCRIBED.—Subsection (a)(2) of section 2805
6 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

7 (1) in the first sentence, by striking
8 “\$2,000,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”; and

9 (2) by striking the second sentence.

10 (b) INCREASED THRESHOLD FOR APPLICATION OF
11 SECRETORY APPROVAL AND CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICA-
12 TION REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (b)(1) of such section
13 is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting
14 “\$1,000,000”.

15 (c) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
16 FUNDS AUTHORIZED TO BE USED FOR
17 PROJECTS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by
18 striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

19 (d) ANNUAL LOCATION ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR
20 LIMITATIONS.—Such section is further amended by add-
21 ing at the end the following new subsection:

22 “(f) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR LIMITATIONS FOR LO-
23 CATION.—Each fiscal year, the Secretary concerned shall
24 adjust the dollar limitations specified in this section appli-
25 cable to an unspecified minor military construction project
26 to reflect the area construction cost index for military con-

1 struction projects published by the Department of Defense
2 during the prior fiscal year for the location of the
3 project.”.

1 **SEC. 2803 [Log 53297]. USE OF ONE-STEP TURN-KEY CON-**
2 **TRACTOR SELECTION PROCEDURES FOR AD-**
3 **DITIONAL FACILITY PROJECTS.**

4 Section 2862 of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended to read as follows:

6 **“§ 2862. Turn-key selection procedures**

7 “(a) **AUTHORITY TO USE FOR CERTAIN PUR-**
8 **POSES.**—The Secretary concerned may use one-step turn-
9 key selection procedures for the purpose of entering into
10 a contract for any of the following purposes:

11 “(1) The construction of an authorized military
12 construction project.

13 “(2) A repair project (as defined in section
14 2811(e) of this title) with an approved cost equal to
15 or less than \$4,000,000.

16 “(3) The construction of a facility as part of an
17 authorized security assistance activity.

18 “(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

19 “(1) The term ‘one-step turn-key selection pro-
20 cedures’ means procedures used for the selection of
21 a contractor on the basis of price and other evalua-
22 tion criteria to perform, in accordance with the pro-
23 visions of a firm fixed-price contract, both the design
24 and construction of a facility using performance
25 specifications supplied by the Secretary concerned.

1 “(2) The term ‘security assistance activity’
2 means—
3 “(A) humanitarian and civic assistance au-
4 thorized by sections 401 and 2561 of this title;
5 “(B) foreign disaster assistance authorized
6 by section 404 of this title;
7 “(C) foreign military construction sales au-
8 thorized by section 29 of the Arms Export Con-
9 trol Act (22 U.S.C. 2769);
10 “(D) foreign assistance authorized under
11 sections 607 and 632 of the Foreign Assistance
12 Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2357, 2392); and
13 “(E) other international security assistance
14 specifically authorized by law.”.

1 **SEC. 2804 [Log 53168]. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON CON-**
2 **STRUCTION PROJECTS IN EUROPEAN COM-**
3 **MAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.**

4 Section 2809 of the Military Construction Authoriza-
5 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law
6 113–66; 127 Stat. 1013) is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or the Mili-
8 tary Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
9 2015” after “this division”; and

10 (2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “the date
11 of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “Decem-
12 ber 27, 2013”.

1 **Subtitle B—Real Property and**
2 **Facilities Administration**

3 **SEC. 2811 [Log 53602]. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT IN**
4 **CONNECTION WITH DEPARTMENT OF DE-**
5 **FENSE MAJOR LAND ACQUISITIONS.**

6 Section 2664(a) of title 10, United States Code, is
7 amended—

8 (1) by inserting “(1)” before “No military de-
9 partment”;

10 (2) by inserting after the first sentence the fol-
11 lowing new paragraph:

12 “(2) If the real property acquisition is a major land
13 acquisition inside a State, the District of Columbia, the
14 Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the
15 Northern Mariana Islands, or any territory or possession
16 of the United States, the Secretary concerned shall consult
17 with the chief executive officer of the State, the District
18 of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Com-
19 monwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, or the terri-
20 tory or possession in which the land is located to deter-
21 mine options for completing the real property acquisi-
22 tion.”;

23 (3) by striking “The foregoing limitation” and
24 inserting the following:

1 “(3) The limitations imposed by paragraphs (1) and
2 (2)”;

3 (4) by adding at the end the following new
4 paragraph:

5 “(4) In this subsection, the term ‘major land acqui-
6 tion’ means any land acquisition not covered by the au-
7 thority to acquire low-cost interests in land under section
8 2663(c) of this title.”.

1 **SEC. 2812 [Log 53686]. RENEWALS, EXTENSIONS, AND SUC-**
2 **CEEDING LEASES FOR FINANCIAL INSTITU-**
3 **TIONS OPERATING ON MILITARY INSTALLA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Section 2667(h) of title 10, United States Code, is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new para-
7 graph:

8 “(4)(A) Paragraph (1) does not apply to a renewal,
9 extension, or succeeding lease by the Secretary concerned
10 with a financial institution selected in accordance with the
11 Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation
12 providing for the selection of financial institutions to oper-
13 ate on military installations if each of the following ap-
14 plies:

15 “(i) The on-base financial institution was se-
16 lected before the date of the enactment of this para-
17 graph or competitive procedures are used for the se-
18 lection of any new financial institutions.

19 “(ii) A current and binding operating agree-
20 ment is in place between the installation commander
21 and the selected on-base financial institution.

22 “(B) The renewal, extension, or succeeding lease shall
23 terminate upon the termination of the operating agree-
24 ment described in subparagraph (A)(ii) associated with
25 that lease.”.

1 **SEC. 2813 [Log 53886]. ARSENAL INSTALLATION REUTILIZA-**
2 **TION AUTHORITY.**

3 Section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, is
4 amended—

5 (1) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), and (j)
6 as subsections (i), (j), and (k), respectively; and

7 (2) by inserting after subsection (g) the fol-
8 lowing new subsection (h):

9 “(h) ARSENAL INSTALLATION REUTILIZATION AU-
10 THORITY.—(1) In the case of a military manufacturing
11 arsenal, the Secretary concerned shall delegate, subject to
12 paragraph (2), the authority provided by this section to
13 the commander of the military manufacturing arsenal or,
14 if part of a larger military installation, the installation
15 commander for the purpose of—

16 “(A) helping to maintain the viability of mili-
17 tary manufacturing arsenals and any installations on
18 which they are located;

19 “(B) eliminating, or at least reducing, the cost
20 of Government ownership of military manufacturing
21 arsenals, including the costs of operations and main-
22 tenance, the costs of environmental remediation, and
23 other costs; and

24 “(C) leveraging private investment at military
25 manufacturing arsenals through long-term facility
26 use contracts, property management contracts,

1 leases, or other agreements that support and ad-
2 vance the preceding purposes.

3 “(2) The authority delegated under paragraph (1)
4 does not include the authority to enter into a lease or con-
5 tract under this section to carry out any activity covered
6 by section 4544(b) of this title related to sale of articles
7 manufactured by a military manufacturing arsenal or
8 services performed by a military manufacturing arsenal or
9 the performance of manufacturing work at the military
10 manufacturing arsenal.

11 “(3) Both leases and contracts are authorized under
12 this section for a military manufacturing arsenal, and,
13 notwithstanding subsection (b)(1), the term of the lease
14 or contract may be for up to 25 years if a lease or contract
15 of that duration will promote the national defense or be
16 in the public interest.

17 “(4) In this subsection, the term ‘military manufac-
18 turing arsenal’ means a Government-owned, Government-
19 operated defense plant of the Department of the Defense
20 that manufactures weapons, weapon components, or
21 both.”.

1 **SEC. 2814 [Log 53930]. DEPOSIT OF REIMBURSED FUNDS TO**
2 **COVER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELAT-**
3 **ING TO CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY TRANS-**
4 **ACTIONS.**

5 (a) **AUTHORITY TO CREDIT REIMBURSED FUNDS TO**
6 **ACCOUNTS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE.**—Section 2695(c) of
7 title 10, United States Code, is amended—

8 (1) by striking the first sentence and inserting
9 the following: “(1) Amounts collected by the Sec-
10 retary of a military department under subsection (a)
11 for administrative expenses shall be credited, at the
12 option of the Secretary—

13 “(A) to the appropriation, fund, or account
14 from which the expenses were paid; or

15 “(B) to an appropriate appropriation, fund, or
16 account currently available to the Secretary for the
17 purposes for which the expenses were paid.”; and

18 (2) in the second sentence, by striking
19 “Amounts so credited” and inserting the following:
20 “(2) Amounts credited under paragraph (1)”.

21 (b) **PROSPECTIVE APPLICABILITY.**—The amend-
22 ments made by subsection (a) shall not apply to adminis-
23 trative expenses related to a real property transaction re-
24 ferred to in section 2695(b) of title 10, United States
25 Code, that were covered by the Secretary of a military de-

- 1 partment using amounts appropriated to the Secretary be-
- 2 fore the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **Subtitle C—Provisions Related to**
2 **Asia-Pacific Military Realignment**

3 **SEC. 2831 [Log 53169]. REPEAL OR MODIFICATION OF CER-**
4 **TAIN RESTRICTIONS ON REALIGNMENT OF**
5 **MARINE CORPS FORCES IN ASIA-PACIFIC RE-**
6 **ALIGNMENT.**

7 Section 2822 of the Military Construction Authoriza-
8 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law
9 113–66; 127 Stat. 1016) is amended—

10 (1) by striking subsections (a), (b), (c), and (e);

11 (2) by redesignating subsections (d) and (f) as
12 subsections (b) and (c), respectively; and

13 (3) by inserting before subsection (b), as redesi-
14 gnated, the following new subsection (a):

15 “(a) RESTRICTION ON DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC IN-
16 FRASTRUCTURE.—

17 “(1) RESTRICTION.—If the Secretary of De-
18 fense determines that any grant, cooperative agree-
19 ment, transfer of funds to another Federal agency,
20 or supplement of funds available in fiscal year 2015
21 under Federal programs administered by agencies
22 other than the Department of Defense will result in
23 the development (including repair, replacement, ren-
24 ovation, conversion, improvement, expansion, acqui-
25 sition, or construction) of public infrastructure on

1 Guam, the Secretary of Defense may not carry out
2 such grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or sup-
3 plemental funding unless such grant, transfer, coop-
4 erative agreement, or supplemental funding directly
5 supports an infrastructure project agreed upon in
6 the March 2011 Programmatic Agreement signed by
7 the Department of Defense, the Advisory Council on
8 Historic Preservation, the Guam State Historic
9 Preservation Officer, and the Commonwealth of the
10 Northern Mariana Islands State Historic Preserva-
11 tion Officer Regarding the Military Relocation to the
12 Islands of Guam and Tinian.

13 “(2) PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DEFINED.—In
14 this subsection, term ‘public infrastructure’ means
15 any utility, method of transportation, item of equip-
16 ment, or facility under the control of a public entity
17 or State or local government that is used by, or con-
18 structed for the benefit of, the general public.”.

1 **SEC. 2842 [Log 53191]. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER WAL-**
2 **TER REED ARMY HOSPITAL, DISTRICT OF CO-**
3 **LUMBIA.**

4 (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of
5 the Army may convey, without consideration, to Children’s
6 Hospital, nonprofit corporation organized under the laws
7 of the District of Columbia with its principal place of busi-
8 ness in the District of Columbia (in this section referred
9 to as the “Children’s Hospital”), all right, title, and inter-
10 est of the United States in and to a parcel of real property
11 at former Walter Reed Army Hospital in the District of
12 Columbia consisting of approximately 13.25 acres and in-
13 cluding building 54 (The Armed Forces Institute of Pa-
14 thology Building and former Military Medical Museum),
15 building 53 (former post theater), building 52 (warehouse
16 and outpatient clinic), and building 3 (attached parking
17 structure) for the purpose of permitting Children’s Hos-
18 pital to use the parcel for public-benefit purposes.

19 (b) CONDITION ON USE OF REVENUES.—If the prop-
20 erty conveyed under subsection (a) is used for a public-
21 benefit purpose that results in the generation of revenue
22 for Children’s Hospital, Children’s Hospital shall agree to
23 use the generated revenue only for medical research pur-
24 poses by depositing the revenues in fund designated for
25 medical research use.

26 (c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

1 (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of
2 the Army shall require Children’s Hospital to cover
3 costs (except costs for environmental remediation of
4 the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to
5 reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by
6 the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under
7 subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for envi-
8 ronmental documentation, and any other administra-
9 tive costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are
10 collected from Children’s Hospital in advance of the
11 Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount
12 collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the
13 Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary
14 shall refund the excess amount to Children’s Hos-
15 pital.

16 (2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—
17 Amounts received as reimbursement under para-
18 graph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account
19 that was used to cover those costs incurred by the
20 Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts
21 so credited shall be merged with amounts in such
22 fund or account, and shall be available for the same
23 purposes, and subject to the same conditions and
24 limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

1 (d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage
2 and legal description of the property to be conveyed under
3 subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory
4 to the Secretary of the Army.

5 (e) RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.—Section 2905(b) of
6 the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990
7 (title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note)
8 and section 2696 of title 10, United States Code, shall
9 not apply with respect to the real property authorized for
10 conveyance under subsection (a).

11 (f) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If the Secretary of
12 the Army determines at any time that the real property
13 conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accord-
14 ance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in sub-
15 section (a) or that Children’s Hospital has violated the
16 condition on the use of revenues imposed by subsection
17 (b), all right, title, and interest in and to such real prop-
18 erty, including any improvements thereto, shall, at the op-
19 tion of the Secretary, revert to and become the property
20 of the United States, and the United States shall have
21 the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A
22 determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall
23 be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

24 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
25 Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms

1 and conditions in connection with the conveyance as the
2 Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of
3 the United States.

1 **SEC. 2843 [Log 53791]. TRANSFERS OF ADMINISTRATIVE JU-**
2 **RISDICTION, CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL AND**
3 **LAKE LANIER, GEORGIA.**

4 (a) TRANSFERS REQUIRED.—

5 (1) CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL.—Not later than
6 September 30, 2015, the Secretary of Agriculture
7 shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of
8 the Secretary of the Army for required Army force
9 protection measures certain Federal land adminis-
10 tered as part of the Chattahoochee National Forest,
11 but permitted to the Secretary of the Army for
12 Camp Frank D. Merrill in Dahlonega, Georgia, con-
13 sisting of approximately 282.304 acres identified in
14 the permit numbered 0018–01.

15 (2) LAKE LANIER PROPERTY.—In exchange for
16 the land transferred under paragraph (1), the Sec-
17 retary of the Army (acting through the Chief of En-
18 gineers) shall transfer to the administrative jurisdic-
19 tion of the Secretary of Agriculture certain Federal
20 land administered by the Army Corps of Engineers
21 and consisting of approximately 10 acres adjacent to
22 Lake Lanier at 372 Dunlap Landing Road, Gaines-
23 ville, Georgia.

24 (b) USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.—

25 (1) CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL.—Upon receipt of
26 the land under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary of

1 the Army shall continue to use the land for military
2 purposes.

3 (2) LAKE LANIER PROPERTY.—Upon receipt of
4 the land under subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of
5 Agriculture shall use the land for administrative
6 purposes.

7 (c) PROTECTION OF THE ETOWAH DARTER AND
8 HOLIDAY DARTER.—Nothing in the transfer required by
9 subsection (a)(1) shall affect the prior designation of lands
10 within the Chattahoochee National Forest as critical habi-
11 tat for the Etowah darter (*Etheostoma etowahae*) and the
12 Holiday darter (*Etheostoma brevirostrum*).

13 (d) LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.—

14 (1) PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.—The
15 Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agri-
16 culture shall publish in the Federal Register a legal
17 description and map of both parcels of land to be
18 transferred under subsection (a).

19 (2) FORCE OF LAW.—The legal description and
20 map filed under paragraph (1) for a parcel of land
21 shall have the same force and effect as if included
22 in this Act, except that the Secretaries may correct
23 errors in the legal description and map.

24 (e) REIMBURSEMENTS OF COSTS.—The transfers re-
25 quired by subsection (a) shall be made without reimburse-

1 ment, except that the Secretary of the Army shall reim-
2 burse the Secretary of Agriculture for any costs incurred
3 by the Secretary of Agriculture to assist in the preparation
4 of the legal description and maps required by subsection
5 (d).

1 **SEC. 2844 [Log 53712]. LAND CONVEYANCE, JOINT BASE**
2 **PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM, HAWAII.**

3 (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of
4 the Navy may convey, without consideration, to the Hono-
5 lulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (in this section
6 referred to as the “Honolulu Authority”), all right, title,
7 and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of
8 real property, including any improvements thereon, con-
9 sisting of approximately 1.2 acres at or in the nearby vi-
10 cinity of Radford Drive and the Makalapa Gate of Joint
11 Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, for the purpose of permitting
12 the Honolulu Authority to use the property for public pur-
13 poses.

14 (b) CONDITION ON USE OF REVENUES.—If the prop-
15 erty conveyed under subsection (a) is used, consistent with
16 such subsection, for a public purpose that results in the
17 generation of revenue for the Honolulu Authority, the
18 Honolulu Authority shall agree to use the generated rev-
19 enue only for passenger rail transit purposes by depositing
20 the revenue in a fund designated for passenger rail transit
21 use.

22 (c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

23 (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of
24 the Navy shall require the Honolulu Authority to
25 cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to re-
26 imburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the

1 Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under sub-
2 section (a), including survey costs, costs for environ-
3 mental documentation, and any other administrative
4 costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are col-
5 lected from the Honolulu Authority in advance of
6 the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the
7 amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred
8 by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the
9 Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the
10 Honolulu Authority.

11 (2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—
12 Amounts received as reimbursement under para-
13 graph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account
14 that was used to cover those costs incurred by the
15 Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts
16 so credited shall be merged with amounts in such
17 fund or account, and shall be available for the same
18 purposes, and subject to the same conditions and
19 limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

20 (d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage
21 and legal description of the property to be conveyed under
22 subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory
23 to the Secretary of the Navy.

24 (e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
25 Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms

1 and conditions in connection with the conveyance under
2 subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to
3 protect the interests of the United States.

1 **SEC. 2845 [Log 53306]. LAND CONVEYANCE, ROBERT H.**
2 **DIETZ ARMY RESERVE CENTER, KINGSTON,**
3 **NEW YORK.**

4 (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of
5 the Army may convey, without consideration, to the City
6 of Kingston, New York (in this section referred to as the
7 “City”), all right, title, and interest of the United States
8 in and to a parcel of real property, including any improve-
9 ments thereon, consisting of approximately 4 acres and
10 containing the Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center lo-
11 cated at 144 Flatbush Avenue in Kingston, New York, for
12 the purpose of permitting the City to use the parcel for
13 public purposes.

14 (b) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If the Secretary of
15 the Army determines at any time that the real property
16 conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accord-
17 ance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in sub-
18 section (a), all right, title, and interest in and to such real
19 property, including any improvements thereto, shall, at the
20 option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property
21 of the United States, and the United States shall have
22 the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A
23 determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall
24 be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

25 (c) ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION OPTION.—

1 (1) FAIR MARKET VALUE.—In lieu of exercising
2 the reversionary interest under subsection (b) if the
3 Secretary of the Army determines that the conveyed
4 property is not being used in accordance with the
5 purpose of the conveyance, the Secretary may re-
6 quire the City to pay to the United States an
7 amount equal to the fair market value of the prop-
8 erty, as determined pursuant to paragraph (2).

9 (2) APPRAISAL; ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary
10 shall determine the fair market value of the property
11 through an appraisal conducted by a licensed, inde-
12 pendent appraiser acceptable to the Secretary and
13 the City. The fair market value of the property shall
14 be adjusted to exclude the value of any improve-
15 ments on the property constructed by the City.

16 (d) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

17 (1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of
18 the Army shall require the City to cover costs (ex-
19 cept costs for environmental remediation of the
20 property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to re-
21 imburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the
22 Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under sub-
23 section (a), including survey costs, costs for environ-
24 mental documentation, and any other administrative
25 costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are col-

1 lected from the City in advance of the Secretary in-
2 ccurring the actual costs, and the amount collected
3 exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary
4 to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall re-
5 fund the excess amount to the City.

6 (2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—
7 Amounts received as reimbursement under para-
8 graph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account
9 that was used to cover those costs incurred by the
10 Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts
11 so credited shall be merged with amounts in such
12 fund or account, and shall be available for the same
13 purposes, and subject to the same conditions and
14 limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

15 (e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
16 Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms
17 and conditions in connection with the conveyance under
18 subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to
19 protect the interests of the United States.

1 **SEC. 2846 [Log 53571]. EXERCISE OF REVERSIONARY INTER-**
2 **EST, CAMP GRUBER, OKLAHOMA.**

3 (a) BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS.—Not later than
4 March 31, 2015, the Secretary of the Army shall perform
5 a business case analysis to consider the merits of seeking,
6 for use as military maneuver space, the reversion of
7 former Camp Gruber, Oklahoma, which—

8 (1) consists of approximately 31,283.66 acres;
9 and

10 (2) was conveyed to the Oklahoma Department
11 of Wildlife in 1948 subject to a reversionary clause
12 that gives the United States the right to reacquire
13 the land if needed for national defense purposes.

14 (b) EXERCISE OF REVERSIONARY RIGHT.—If, as a
15 result of the business case analysis required by subsection
16 (a), the Secretary of the Army determines that reacquisi-
17 tion of former Camp Gruber is needed for national defense
18 purposes, the Secretary shall exercise the reversionary
19 right and request the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife
20 to reconvey Camp Gruber to the United States.

21 (c) CONVEYANCE TO OKLAHOMA MILITARY DEPART-
22 MENT.—If Camp Gruber is reacquired by the United
23 States under subsection (b), the Secretary of the Army
24 shall convey, without consideration, all right, title, and in-
25 terest of the United States in and to Camp Gruber to the
26 Oklahoma Military Department for the purpose of permit-

1 ting the Oklahoma Military Department to use Camp
2 Gruber as military maneuver space.

3 (d) CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary
4 of the Army shall conduct the business case analysis re-
5 quired by subsection (a) and make the determination
6 under subsection (b) in consultation with the Adjutant
7 General of the Oklahoma Military Department.

8 (e) STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS.—The reac-
9 quisition of Camp Gruber under this section shall include
10 the improvements, structures, and fixtures located at
11 Camp Gruber and related personal property.

12 (f) COSTS.—

13 (1) COSTS OF EXERCISING REVERSION.—The
14 Secretary of the Army shall be responsible for all
15 reasonable and necessary costs associated with exer-
16 cising the reversionary interest under subsection (b)
17 and reacquiring Camp Gruber, including real estate
18 transaction and environmental documentation costs.

19 (2) COSTS OF SUBSEQUENT CONVEYANCE.—

20 (A) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary
21 of the Army shall require the Oklahoma Mili-
22 tary Department to cover costs to be incurred
23 by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary
24 for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to
25 carry out the conveyance under subsection (c),

1 including survey costs, costs for environmental
2 documentation, and any other administrative
3 costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are
4 collected from the Oklahoma Military Depart-
5 ment in advance of the Secretary incurring the
6 actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds
7 the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to
8 carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall
9 refund the excess amount to the Oklahoma
10 Military Department.

11 (B) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RE-
12 CEIVED.—Amounts received as reimbursement
13 under subparagraph (A) shall be credited to the
14 fund or account that was used to cover those
15 costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out
16 the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be
17 merged with amounts in such fund or account,
18 and shall be available for the same purposes,
19 and subject to the same conditions and limita-
20 tions, as amounts in such fund or account.

21 (g) PROHIBITION ON USE OF OPERATION AND MAIN-
22 TENANCE FUNDS.—Notwithstanding subsection (f), the
23 Secretary of the Army may not use amounts appropriated
24 for operation and maintenance for the Army for the pur-
25 pose of establishing, reactivating, modernizing, or sus-

1 taining any portion of Camp Gruber reacquired by the
2 United States under subsection (b).

3 (h) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The
4 Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms
5 and conditions in connection with the conveyance under
6 subsection (c) as the Secretary considers appropriate to
7 protect the interests of the United States.

1 **Subtitle E—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 2861 [Log 53684]. MEMORIAL TO THE VICTIMS OF THE**
3 **SHOOTING ATTACK AT THE WASHINGTON**
4 **NAVY YARD.**

5 (a) **MEMORIAL AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the
6 Navy may establish on the grounds of the Washington
7 Navy Yard in the District of Columbia a memorial dedi-
8 cated to the victims of the shooting attack at the Wash-
9 ington Navy Yard that occurred on September 16, 2013.

10 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT, MAINTENANCE, AND RE-**
11 **PAIR.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall be responsible for
12 the establishment, maintenance, and repair of the memo-
13 rial.

14 (c) **ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS; USE.**—

15 (1) **ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS.**—The
16 Secretary of the Navy may solicit and accept mone-
17 tary contributions and gifts of property for the pur-
18 pose of establishing, maintaining, and repairing the
19 memorial without regard to limitations contained in
20 section 2601 of title 10, United States Code.

21 (2) **ESTABLISHMENT OF ACCOUNT.**—There is
22 established on the books of the Treasury an account
23 for the deposit of monetary contributions received
24 pursuant to paragraph (1).

1 (3) DEPOSIT AND AVAILABILITY OF CONTRIBU-
2 TIONS.—The Secretary of the Navy shall deposit
3 monetary contributions accepted under paragraph
4 (1) in the account. The funds in the account shall
5 be available to the Secretary, until expended and
6 without further appropriation, but only for the es-
7 tablishment, maintenance, and repair of the memo-
8 rial.

1 **SEC. 2862 [Log 53721]. REDESIGNATION OF THE ASIA-PA-**
2 **CIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES AS**
3 **THE DANIEL K. INOUE ASIA-PACIFIC CEN-**
4 **TER FOR SECURITY STUDIES.**

5 (a) REDESIGNATION.—The Department of Defense
6 regional center for security studies known as the Asia-Pa-
7 cific Center for Security Studies is hereby renamed the
8 “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Stud-
9 ies”.

10 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

11 (1) REFERENCE TO REGIONAL CENTERS FOR
12 STRATEGIC STUDIES.—Section 184(b)(2)(B) of title
13 10, United States Code, is amended by striking
14 “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and in-
15 sserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for
16 Security Studies”.

17 (2) ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND DONATIONS.—
18 Section 2611(a)(2)(B) of such title is amended by
19 striking “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”
20 and inserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center
21 for Security Studies”.

22 (c) REFERENCES.—Any reference to the Department
23 of Defense Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies in any
24 law, regulation, map, document, record, or other paper of
25 the United States shall be deemed to be a reference to

- 1 the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security
- 2 Studies.

1 **SEC. 2863 [Log 53788]. REDESIGNATION OF POHAKULOA**
2 **TRAINING AREA IN HAWAII AS POHAKULOA**
3 **TRAINING CENTER.**

4 (a) REDESIGNATION.—The Pohakuloa Training Area
5 in the State of Hawaii is hereby renamed the “Pohakuloa
6 Training Center”.

7 (b) REFERENCES.—Any reference to the Pohakuloa
8 Training Area in any law, regulation, map, document,
9 record, or other paper of the United States shall be
10 deemed to be a reference to the Pohakuloa Training Cen-
11 ter.

1 **SEC. 2864 [Log 53187]. DESIGNATION OF DISTINGUISHED**
2 **FLYING CROSS NATIONAL MEMORIAL IN RIV-**
3 **ERSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) The most reliable statistics regarding the
6 number of members of the Armed Forces who have
7 been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross indi-
8 cate that 126,318 members of the Armed Forces re-
9 ceived the medal during World War II, approxi-
10 mately 21,000 members received the medal during
11 the Korean conflict, and 21,647 members received
12 the medal during the Vietnam War. Since the end
13 of the Vietnam War, more than 203 Armed Forces
14 members have received the medal in times of con-
15 flict.

16 (2) The National Personnel Records Center in
17 St. Louis, Missouri, burned down in 1973, and thus
18 many more recipients of the Distinguished Flying
19 Cross may be undocumented. Currently, the Depart-
20 ment of Defense continues to locate and identify
21 members of the Armed Forces who have received the
22 medal and are undocumented.

23 (3) The United States currently lacks a na-
24 tional memorial dedicated to the bravery and sac-
25 rifice of those members of the Armed Forces who

1 have distinguished themselves by heroic deeds per-
2 formed in aerial flight.

3 (4) An appropriate memorial to current and
4 former members of the Armed Forces is under con-
5 struction at March Field Air Museum in Riverside,
6 California.

7 (5) This memorial will honor all those members
8 of the Armed Forces who have distinguished them-
9 selves in aerial flight, whether documentation of
10 such members who earned the Distinguished Flying
11 Cross exists or not.

12 (b) DESIGNATION.—The memorial to members of the
13 Armed Forces who have been awarded the Distinguished
14 Flying Cross, located at March Field Air Museum in Riv-
15 erside, California, is hereby designated as the Distin-
16 guished Flying Cross National Memorial.

17 (c) EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.—The national memo-
18 rial designated by this section is not a unit of the National
19 Park System, and the designation of the national memo-
20 rial shall not be construed to require or permit Federal
21 funds to be expended for any purpose related to the na-
22 tional memorial.

1 **SEC. 2865 [Log 53186]. RENAMING SITE OF THE DAYTON**
2 **AVIATION HERITAGE NATIONAL HISTORICAL**
3 **PARK, OHIO.**

4 Section 101(b)(5) of the Dayton Aviation Heritage
5 Preservation Act of 1992 (16 U.S.C. 410ww(b)(5)) is
6 amended by striking “Aviation Center” and inserting
7 “National Museum”.

1 **SEC. 2866 [Log 53192]. MANHATTAN PROJECT NATIONAL**
2 **HISTORICAL PARK.**

3 (a) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of this section are—

4 (1) to preserve and protect for the benefit of
5 present and future generations the nationally signifi-
6 cant historic resources associated with the Manhat-
7 tan Project and which are under the jurisdiction of
8 the Department of Energy defense environmental
9 cleanup program under this title;

10 (2) to improve public understanding of the
11 Manhattan Project and the legacy of the Manhattan
12 Project through interpretation of the historic re-
13 sources associated with the Manhattan Project;

14 (3) to enhance public access to the Historical
15 Park consistent with protection of public safety, na-
16 tional security, and other aspects of the mission of
17 the Department of Energy; and

18 (4) to assist the Department of Energy, Histor-
19 ical Park communities, historical societies, and other
20 interested organizations and individuals in efforts to
21 preserve and protect the historically significant re-
22 sources associated with the Manhattan Project.

23 (b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

24 (1) **HISTORICAL PARK.**—The term “Historical
25 Park” means the Manhattan Project National His-
26 torical Park established under subsection (c).

1 (2) MANHATTAN PROJECT.—The term “Man-
2 hattan Project” means the Federal military program
3 to develop an atomic bomb ending on December 31,
4 1946.

5 (3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means
6 the Secretary of the Interior.

7 (c) ESTABLISHMENT OF MANHATTAN PROJECT NA-
8 TIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.—

9 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—

10 (A) DATE.—Not later than 1 year after
11 the date of enactment of this section, there
12 shall be established as a unit of the National
13 Park System the Manhattan Project National
14 Historical Park.

15 (B) AREAS INCLUDED.—The Historical
16 Park shall consist of facilities and areas listed
17 under paragraph (2) as determined by the Sec-
18 retary, in consultation with the Secretary of
19 Energy. The Secretary shall include the area
20 referred to in paragraph (2)(C)(i), the B Reac-
21 tor National Historic Landmark, in the Histor-
22 ical Park.

23 (2) ELIGIBLE AREAS.—The Historical Park
24 may only be comprised of one or more of the fol-
25 lowing areas, or portions of the areas, as generally

1 depicted in the map titled “Manhattan Project Na-
2 tional Historical Park Sites”, numbered 540/
3 108,834–C, and dated September 2012:

4 (A) OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE.—Facilities,
5 land, or interests in land that are—

6 (i) at Buildings 9204–3 and 9731 at
7 the Department of Energy Y–12 National
8 Security Complex;

9 (ii) at the X–10 Graphite Reactor at
10 the Department of Energy Oak Ridge Na-
11 tional Laboratory;

12 (iii) at the K–25 Building site at the
13 Department of Energy East Tennessee
14 Technology Park; and

15 (iv) at the former Guest House lo-
16 cated at 210 East Madison Road.

17 (B) LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO.—Facili-
18 ties, land, or interests in land that are—

19 (i) in the Los Alamos Scientific Lab-
20 oratory National Historic Landmark Dis-
21 trict, or any addition to the Landmark
22 District proposed in the National Historic
23 Landmark Nomination—Los Alamos Sci-
24 entific Laboratory (LASL) NHL District
25 (Working Draft of NHL Revision), Los Al-

1 amos National Laboratory document LA-
2 UR 12-00387 (January 26, 2012);

3 (ii) at the former East Cafeteria lo-
4 cated at 1670 Nectar Street; and

5 (iii) at the former dormitory located
6 at 1725 17th Street.

7 (C) HANFORD, WASHINGTON.—Facilities,
8 land, or interests in land on the Department of
9 Energy Hanford Nuclear Reservation that
10 are—

11 (i) the B Reactor National Historic
12 Landmark;

13 (ii) the Hanford High School in the
14 town of Hanford and Hanford Construc-
15 tion Camp Historic District;

16 (iii) the White Bluffs Bank building
17 in the White Bluffs Historic District;

18 (iv) the warehouse at the
19 Bruggemann's Agricultural Complex;

20 (v) the Hanford Irrigation District
21 Pump House; and

22 (vi) the T Plant (221-T Process
23 Building).

1 (3) WRITTEN CONSENT OF OWNER.—No non-
2 Federal property may be included in the Historical
3 Park without the written consent of the owner.

4 (d) AGREEMENT.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
6 the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary
7 and the Secretary of Energy (acting through the
8 Oak Ridge, Los Alamos, and Richland site offices)
9 shall enter into an agreement governing the respec-
10 tive roles of the Secretary and the Secretary of En-
11 ergy in administering the facilities, land, or interests
12 in land under the administrative jurisdiction of the
13 Department of Energy that is to be included in the
14 Historical Park under subsection (c)(2), including
15 provisions for enhanced public access, management,
16 interpretation, and historic preservation.

17 (2) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY.—
18 Any agreement under paragraph (1) shall provide
19 that the Secretary shall—

20 (A) have decisionmaking authority for the
21 content of historic interpretation of the Man-
22 hattan Project for purposes of administering
23 the Historical Park; and

24 (B) ensure that the agreement provides an
25 appropriate advisory role for the National Park

1 Service in preserving the historic resources cov-
2 ered by the agreement.

3 (3) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY OF
4 ENERGY.—Any agreement under paragraph (1) shall
5 provide that the Secretary of Energy—

6 (A) shall ensure that the agreement appro-
7 priately protects public safety, national security,
8 and other aspects of the ongoing mission of the
9 Department of Energy at the Oak Ridge Res-
10 ervation, Los Alamos National Laboratory, and
11 Hanford Site;

12 (B) may consult with and provide histor-
13 ical information to the Secretary concerning the
14 Manhattan Project;

15 (C) shall retain responsibility, in accord-
16 ance with applicable law, for any environmental
17 remediation that may be necessary in or around
18 the facilities, land, or interests in land governed
19 by the agreement; and

20 (D) shall retain authority and legal obliga-
21 tions for historic preservation and general
22 maintenance, including to ensure safe access, in
23 connection with the Department's Manhattan
24 Project resources.

1 (4) AMENDMENTS.—The agreement under
2 paragraph (1) may be amended, including to add to
3 the Historical Park facilities, land, or interests in
4 land within the eligible areas described in subsection
5 (c)(2) that are under the jurisdiction of the Sec-
6 retary of Energy.

7 (e) PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall consult
9 with interested State, county, and local officials, or-
10 ganizations, and interested members of the public—

11 (A) before executing any agreement under
12 subsection (d); and

13 (B) in the development of the general man-
14 agement plan under subsection (f)(2).

15 (2) NOTICE OF DETERMINATION.—Not later
16 than 30 days after the date on which an agreement
17 under subsection (d) is entered into, the Secretary
18 shall publish in the Federal Register notice of the
19 establishment of the Historical Park, including an
20 official boundary map.

21 (3) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The official bound-
22 ary map published under paragraph (2) shall be on
23 file and available for public inspection in the appro-
24 priate offices of the National Park Service. The map
25 shall be updated to reflect any additions to the His-

1 torical Park from eligible areas described in sub-
2 section (c)(2).

3 (4) ADDITIONS.—Any land, interest in land, or
4 facility within the eligible areas described in sub-
5 section (c)(2) that is acquired by the Secretary or
6 included in an amendment to the agreement under
7 subsection (d)(4) shall be added to the Historical
8 Park.

9 (f) ADMINISTRATION.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall admin-
11 ister the Historical Park in accordance with—

12 (A) this section; and

13 (B) the laws generally applicable to units
14 of the National Park System, including—

15 (i) the National Park System Organic
16 Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and

17 (ii) the Act of August 21, 1935 (16
18 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).

19 (2) GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later
20 than 3 years after the date on which funds are made
21 available to carry out this subsection, the Secretary,
22 with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy,
23 and in consultation and collaboration with the Oak
24 Ridge, Los Alamos and Richland Department of En-
25 ergy site offices, shall complete a general manage-

1 ment plan for the Historical Park in accordance
2 with section 12(b) of Public Law 91–383 (commonly
3 known as the National Park Service General Au-
4 thorities Act; 16 U.S.C. 1a–7(b)).

5 (3) INTERPRETIVE TOURS.—The Secretary
6 may, subject to applicable law, provide interpretive
7 tours of historically significant Manhattan Project
8 sites and resources in the States of Tennessee, New
9 Mexico, and Washington that are located outside the
10 boundary of the Historical Park.

11 (4) LAND ACQUISITION.—

12 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may ac-
13 quire land and interests in land within the eligi-
14 ble areas described in subsection (c)(2) by—

15 (i) transfer of administrative jurisdic-
16 tion from the Department of Energy by
17 agreement between the Secretary and the
18 Secretary of Energy;

19 (ii) donation; or

20 (iii) exchange.

21 (B) NO USE OF CONDEMNATION.—The
22 Secretary may not acquire by condemnation any
23 land or interest in land under this section or for
24 the purposes of this section.

25 (5) DONATIONS; COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

1 (A) FEDERAL FACILITIES.—

2 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may
3 enter into one or more agreements with the
4 head of a Federal agency to provide public
5 access to, and management, interpretation,
6 and historic preservation of, historically
7 significant Manhattan Project resources
8 under the jurisdiction or control of the
9 Federal agency.

10 (ii) DONATIONS; COOPERATIVE
11 AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary may accept
12 donations from, and enter into cooperative
13 agreements with, State governments, units
14 of local government, tribal governments,
15 organizations, or individuals to further the
16 purpose of an interagency agreement en-
17 tered into under clause (i) or to provide
18 visitor services and administrative facilities
19 within reasonable proximity to the Histor-
20 ical Park.

21 (B) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Sec-
22 retary may provide technical assistance to
23 State, local, or tribal governments, organiza-
24 tions, or individuals for the management, inter-
25 pretation, and historic preservation of histori-

1 cally significant Manhattan Project resources
2 not included within the Historical Park.

3 (C) DONATIONS TO DEPARTMENT OF EN-
4 ERGY.—For the purposes of this section, or for
5 the purpose of preserving and providing access
6 to historically significant Manhattan Project re-
7 sources, the Secretary of Energy may accept,
8 hold, administer, and use gifts, bequests, and
9 devises (including labor and services).

10 (g) CLARIFICATION.—

11 (1) NO BUFFER ZONE CREATED.—Nothing in
12 this section, the establishment of the Historical
13 Park, or the management plan for the Historical
14 Park shall be construed to create buffer zones out-
15 side of the Historical Park. That an activity can be
16 seen and heard from within the Historical Park shall
17 not preclude the conduct of that activity or use out-
18 side the Historical Park.

19 (2) NO CAUSE OF ACTION.—Nothing in this
20 section shall constitute a cause of action with re-
21 spect to activities outside or adjacent to the estab-
22 lished boundary of the Historical Park.

1 **Subtitle A—Naval Air Station**
2 **Fallon, Nevada**

3 **SEC. 2901 [Log 53703]. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JU-**
4 **RISDICTION, NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON,**
5 **NEVADA.**

6 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the
7 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior
8 shall transfer to the Secretary of the Navy, without con-
9 sideration, the Federal land described in subsection (b).

10 (b) **DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL LAND.**—The Federal
11 land referred to in subsection (a) is the parcel of approxi-
12 mately 400 acres of land under the jurisdiction of the Sec-
13 retary of the Interior that—

14 (1) is adjacent to Naval Air Station Fallon in
15 Churchill County, Nevada; and

16 (2) was withdrawn under Public Land Order
17 6834 (NV-943-4214-10; N-37875).

18 (c) **MANAGEMENT.**—On transfer of the Federal land
19 described under subsection (b) to the Secretary of the
20 Navy, the Secretary of the Navy shall have full jurisdic-
21 tion, custody, and control of the Federal land.

1 **SEC. 2902 [Log 53719]. WATER RIGHTS.**

2 (a) WATER RIGHTS.—Nothing in this subtitle shall
3 be construed—

4 (1) to establish a reservation in favor of the
5 United States with respect to any water or water
6 right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

7 (2) to authorize the appropriation of water on
8 lands transferred by this subtitle except in accord-
9 ance with applicable State law.

10 (b) EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RE-
11 SERVED WATER RIGHTS.—This section shall not be con-
12 strued to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by
13 the United States before the date of the enactment of this
14 Act.

1 **SEC. 2903 [Log 53720]. WITHDRAWAL.**

2 Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land to
3 be transferred under section 2901 is withdrawn from all
4 forms of appropriation under the public land laws, includ-
5 ing the mining laws, the mineral leasing laws, and the geo-
6 thermal leasing laws, so long as the land remains under
7 the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the
8 Navy.

1 **Subtitle B—Marine Corps Air**
2 **Ground Combat Center**
3 **Twentynine Palms, California**

4 **SEC. 2911 [Log 53859]. REDESIGNATION OF JOHNSON VAL-**
5 **LEY OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION**
6 **AREA, CALIFORNIA.**

7 (a) REDESIGNATION.—The Johnson Valley Off-High-
8 way Vehicle Recreation Area in California is hereby redes-
9 igned as the “Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Ve-
10 hicle Recreation Area”.

11 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subtitle C of title
12 XXIX of the Military Construction Authorization Act for
13 Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) is
14 amended—

15 (1) in section 2942(c)(3) (127 Stat. 1037), by
16 striking “Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle
17 Recreation Area” and inserting “Johnson Valley Na-
18 tional Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area”; and

19 (2) in section 2945 (127 Stat. 1038)—

20 (A) in the section heading, by inserting
21 “**NATIONAL**” after “**VALLEY**”;

22 (B) in subsection (a), by inserting “Na-
23 tional” after “Valley” in the matter preceding
24 paragraph (1); and

1 (C) in subsections (b), (c), and (d), by in-
2 serting “National” after “Valley” each place it
3 appears.

4 (c) RELATION TO AUTHORIZED NAVY USE.—The re-
5 designation of the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle
6 Recreation Area as the Johnson Valley National Off-High-
7 way Vehicle Recreation Area does not alter or interfere
8 with the rights and obligations of the Navy regarding the
9 use of portions of the Recreation Area as provided in sub-
10 title C of title XXIX of the Military Construction Author-
11 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law
12 113–66; 127 Stat. 1034).

13 (d) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law, regula-
14 tion, document, record, map, or other paper of the United
15 States to the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recre-
16 ation Area is deemed to be a reference to the Johnson
17 Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.

1 **Subtitle C—Bureau of Land Man-**
2 **agement Withdrawn Military**
3 **Lands Efficiency and Savings**

4 **SEC. 2921 [Log 53194]. ELIMINATION OF TERMINATION DATE**
5 **FOR PUBLIC LAND WITHDRAWALS AND RES-**
6 **ERVATIONS UNDER MILITARY LANDS WITH-**
7 **DRAWAL ACT OF 1999.**

8 (a) ELIMINATION OF TERMINATION DATE.—Section
9 3015(a) of the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999
10 (title XXX of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 892) is
11 amended by striking “shall” the first place it appears and
12 all that follows through the period and inserting “shall not
13 terminate other than by an election and determination of
14 the Secretary of the military department concerned or
15 until such time as the Secretary of the Interior can perma-
16 nently transfer administrative jurisdiction of the lands
17 withdrawn and reserved by this Act to the Secretary of
18 the military department concerned.”.

19 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3016 of the
20 Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (title XXX of
21 Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 893) is repealed.

1 **TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL**
2 **PETROLEUM RESERVES**

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

3 **SEC. 3401 [Log 53949]. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 (a) **AMOUNT.**—There are hereby authorized to be ap-
6 propriated to the Secretary of Energy \$19,950,000 for fis-
7 cal year 2015 for the purpose of carrying out activities
8 under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating
9 to the naval petroleum reserves.

10 (b) **PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.**—Funds appropriated
11 pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in sub-
12 section (a) shall remain available until expended.

DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

ENERGY ISSUES

- Comptroller General Utilities Disruption and Energy Security Mandate
- Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Energy Efficiencies

LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

- Army Workload and Performance System
- Auditability of Data Used to Measure Depot Maintenance Workload Distribution
- Comptroller General Review of Forward Deployed Naval Forces and Associated Sustainment Issues
- Department of Defense Inspector General Determination of Fair and Reasonable Cost of Spare Parts
- Eligibility and Performance of Carriers Who Transport Hazardous Materials for the Department of Defense
- Manufacturing Infrastructure Investment
- Public-Private Partnerships at Centers of Industrial and Technical Excellence
- Report on the Department of Defense's Transportation of Hazardous Materials
- Submarine Propeller Repair and Overhaul
- Sustainment of Deployed Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense

READINESS ISSUES

- Adequacy of Airlift and Refueling Capabilities in the Western Pacific
- Advanced Situational Awareness Training Assessment
- Comptroller General Report on Readiness Metrics

OTHER MATTERS

- Briefing on Invasive Species Management
- Cold-Weather Protective Clothing
- Comptroller General Review of Process for the Disposition of Excess Defense Articles
- Waste Disposal Technologies in Contingency Operations

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

OTHER MATTERS

- Department of Defense Installation Security
- Reconstitution of Air Force Weapons Storage Areas
- U.S. Transportation Command Report on Operational and Tactical Control of All Department of Defense Executive Airlift Aircraft

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Red Hill Underground Fuel Storage Facility
TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Base Closure and Realignment Disposal Assessment
Joint Base Closure and Realignment Recommendations
Property Disposal Methods

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL
PROVISIONS
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Army Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment
Deployment of Secure Work Environments
Facilities Modernization Model
High Performance Facades for Department of Defense Installations
Joint Land Use Study
Public-Private Family Housing on Guam
Real Property Management
Type I and Type III Retro-Reflective Glass Beads

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

ENERGY ISSUES

Comptroller General Utilities Disruption and Energy Security Mandate

United States military installations consume large amounts of energy and water to maintain effective installation operations and ensure mission readiness and capability. At the same time, U.S. utilities systems may be at risk from civilian power grid failures due to natural or manmade threats, including cyber threats and electromagnetic pulse events. The United States experienced significant power disruptions from severe weather events in 2012, including Hurricane Sandy which affected the northeast region, and the derecho which affected the mid-Atlantic, including the National Capital Region, as well as late winter storms in February-March 2014 that left hundreds of thousands of customers without power in areas from the Northeast through the Midwest and parts of the Deep South. These weather events affected installations, housing, military logistics centers, training centers, military commands, and other critical military activities. As such the committee is concerned that the impact of such disruptions to an installation's electricity, potable water, and wastewater services has a direct impact on critical

mission readiness. It is vital that military installations have the ability to maintain effective operations and energy security despite such disruptions.

The committee is encouraged that the Department of Defense and the military services are focusing on the potential for utilities service disruptions to impact installation mission capability and consequently to ensure the ability of the installations to nonetheless maintain operations. Still, it is not clear what efforts the Department is undertaking to ensure and promote energy security across its facilities. Accordingly, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to undertake a study of the status of the Department's and the military services' actions to ensure mission capability and energy security in the event of potentially significant and long-term disruptions to electric, potable water, and wastewater services at domestic and overseas military installations. The study should address the following questions:

(1) What is the status of water and energy security plans, strategies, and related guidance to the military departments and the installations to ensure mission capability through the continued provision of electricity, potable water, and wastewater services in the event of natural or manmade disruptions?

(2) To what extent were domestic military installations able to maintain effective mission capability during natural or manmade utility service disruptions since 2012?

(3) How are the military departments and installations planning to continue ensuring mission capability and energy security despite the threat to electric, potable water, and wastewater services posed by natural or manmade service disruptions? The Comptroller General should report the results of this study to the congressional defense committees by March 2, 2015.

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Energy Efficiencies

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the energy efficiency initiatives, including non-conventional power sources, of unmanned aerial vehicles to extend range and endurance and increase speed. The review should also include an assessment on how the adoption of autonomous technology could reduce the demand for energy and logistics. The Secretary should submit the results of the review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than April 1, 2015.

LOGISTICS AND SUSTAINMENT ISSUES

Army Workload and Performance System

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) recently issued a report criticizing the Army's management of the Army Workload and Performance System (AWPS), the Army manpower requirements determination tool, and related matters. Remarkably, the Army failed to provide any responses to GAO's findings. This report noted that the Army failed to submit annual progress reports regarding

implementation of AWPS master plan or catalog any revisions of the master plan to Congress as required by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107). The initial master plans submitted to the committee were responsive and compelling and evidenced high-level interest within the Department of the Army. As a result, the committee concluded from those early reports and system demonstrations that the Army was serious about installing time, workload, and performance management systems across the Army infrastructure for all categories of labor, including logistics and sustainment.

The committee concludes that the Army's failure to comply with the directives in Public Law 107-107 requires a re-evaluation of how to complete this departmental task. The committee recommends that a high-priority Secretariat-level project office and reporting structure be established with sufficient authority to implement the original, responsive master plan and its revisions. Further, the Secretary should affix responsibility for an inclusive, annual master plan implementation reporting process.

The committee expects the Government Accountability Office, as required by law, to evaluate the master plan and report to Congress on the Army's progress. As stated in previous committee reports (as well as Army reports), evaluation should include not only implementation throughout Army commands and infrastructure, but also assess whether budget submissions are supported by this data. In the committee report (H. Rept 104-131) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996, the committee noted that the evaluation should include corporate-level systems and integration. Additionally, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States, as part of this ongoing work, to examine and report to the House Committee on Armed Services on how the workload and manpower data provided through the AWPS system could improve reporting and transparency for 50/50 workload reporting, including all maintenance activity in acquisition organizations, as well as Army Materiel Command.

Auditability of Data Used to Measure Depot Maintenance Workload Distribution

The committee has become aware that, apart from the Army, the military departments have not involved their audit agencies in validating the data submitted for incorporation into the annual report to Congress required by section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, also known as the "50/50 report," for several years. The committee is troubled by the finding that in the limited number of cases where auditors have been involved in reviewing these data, they identified significant errors. The committee is also aware that in some instances, the data included for submission related to depot-level workloads performed under contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, or other contractual arrangements are generated through the use of algorithms or other forms of cost estimation. In some cases, these estimates appear to have been generated using insufficiently rigorous methodologies.

These findings lead the committee to conclude the fidelity of the data supporting the annual "50/50 report" is questionable and could be distorting the true distribution of workload between the public and private sectors, reducing the committee's confidence in the report's accuracy and completeness, as well as inhibiting the military services from making fully informed decisions regarding source of repair in the context of section 2466 of title 10, United States Code.

The January 14, 2014, guidance titled "Reporting Guidance for the FY2013-2015 Report to Congress on the Distribution of Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Workloads," issued by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness, states that "Military departments and Agencies shall obtain the assistance of internal audit agencies or an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD)-agreed upon third party to conduct detailed reviews to validate the process for capturing depot maintenance expenditure data by reporting organizations." The committee notes that this reporting guidance reflects a change from prior guidance, which did not include process validation, only data validation prior to OSD submission. In the committee's view, it is imperative that the data submitted to the Congress be validated. Moreover, the committee believes that participation of the military departments' audit agencies in validating the data submitted for the "50/50 report" will provide the greatest assurance that what is reported represents an accurate and complete picture of the distribution of depot-level workload between the public and private sectors.

Accordingly, the committee directs the military departments and defense agencies to comply with the guidance to the fullest extent, and, to the degree that it is practicable, ensure direct military department audit agency involvement in this effort. Specifically, this effort should provide assurance that the data submitted for inclusion in the "50/50 report" is accurate and complete. The Secretary of Defense, in the next two annual reports to Congress required under section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, should include a description of the efforts made by each of the military departments and defense agencies to comply with the validation requirement. The committee notes that if voluntary compliance is not evidenced, the committee will consider statutory enforcement.

Comptroller General Review of Forward Deployed Naval Forces and Associated Sustainment Issues

Forward presence is critical to the Navy's goals of building partnerships, deterring aggression without escalation, defusing threats, and containing conflict without regional disruption. Naval forces provide forward presence through a combination of rotational deployments from the United States, Forward Deployed Naval Forces (FDNF) in Japan, Guam, the Kingdom of Spain, and the Italian Republic, and forward stationing ships in places such as the Kingdom of Bahrain, the Republic of Singapore, and Diego Garcia. The Navy's ability to implement these concepts depends on U.S. bases and strategic partnerships overseas that provide places where forces can rest, repair, refuel, and resupply. In the FDNF construct,

the ships, crews and families all reside in the host nation. This construct is in contrast to forward stationing, where the ship's families reside in the United States and the crew rotates to the ship's overseas location for deployment.

The committee seeks a more detailed understanding of the Navy's decision-making process to designate ships to be either FDNF or forward stationed and the relative costs and benefits of each approach. The committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by February 27, 2015. The report should include a review and analysis of:

- (1) The Navy's process for determining the homeport locations of naval vessels, including FDNF;
- (2) The Navy's process for stationing naval vessels outside the United States;
- (3) How the Navy calculates deployment costs of vessels homeported inside and outside the United States;
- (4) The extent to which the Navy has utilized rotational crewing to meet forward presence requirements;
- (5) The operational availability achieved by rotational crewing, the savings achieved, and the limitations associated with directed rotational crewing;
- (6) The operational support and sustainment effects of deploying U.S.-based vessels to a forward operating station as opposed to homeporting vessels outside the United States, including costs of complying with section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, maintenance requirements;
- (7) The infrastructure requirements, as well as host-nation acceptance requirements to ensure the assets are received overseas; and
- (8) Any other issue that the Comptroller General determines appropriate.

Department of Defense Inspector General Determination of Fair and Reasonable Cost of Spare Parts

The committee has received testimony that sustainment of military equipment is the most expensive phase of the Department of Defense's acquisition process. The committee is alarmed by frequent reports from the Department of Defense Inspector General (DODIG) and the Government Accountability Office (GAO) that the Department has paid hundreds of millions of dollars above what are considered fair and reasonable prices for weapon system spare parts and is missing opportunities for significant savings.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Department of Defense Inspector General to perform a comprehensive audit to determine if current Department of Defense guidance is sufficient to obtain fair and reasonable prices for equipment spare parts. The audit should assess the extent to which the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) and the military departments have put in place metrics for measuring:

(1) The extent to which guidance and efforts to improve demand forecasting are effective for ensuring appropriate and adequate provision of spare parts and other supplies needed to keep military equipment ready and operating; and

(2) Inventory management cost efficiency.

The DODIG should also assess the costs the Department of Defense has incurred by paying prices beyond what is fair and reasonable for spare parts and other supplies. The DODIG's audit should assess the prevalence of disparities between prices deemed to be fair and reasonable and those prices paid by the DLA and the military departments for spare parts or supplies, and potential cost savings if the parts or other supplies had been obtained by DLA and the military departments instead of through a performance-based logistics support contract. The DODIG should provide a report on the results of the audit to the congressional defense committees not later than February 28, 2015.

Eligibility and Performance of Carriers Who Transport Hazardous Materials for the Department of Defense

The Department of Defense every year facilitates nearly 70,000 separate shipments of security sensitive material. Trucks carrying these shipments travel tens of thousands of miles on U.S. interstates, highways, and local thoroughfares across all 50 States. Materials that are transported include missiles, arms/weapons, ammunition, explosives, radioactive material, and classified items. Shipments are executed under the Transportation Protective Services (TPS) program which requires stringent safety and security standards for operators who are licensed to do business with the program.

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) conducted a comprehensive review of the policies and procedures used by the Department of Defense in the handling of hazardous material shipments pursuant to section 363 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239). In its report to the committee, the GAO stated that the Safety Measurement System scores used by the Department to determine safety performance of its TPS carriers "should not be used to draw safety conclusions about a carrier's safety condition. As a result, the Department may not be using the most reliable data from the Compliance, Safety, Accountability's Safety Measurement System to determine which carriers should be eligible for the [TPS] program."

As a result of this finding, and to ensure the safety and security of Department of Defense's shipments of sensitive arms, ammunition, and explosives, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command, to examine the data limitations of the Department of Transportation Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's Safety and Accountability program and report to the House Armed Services Committee by December 15, 2014, on what changes, if any, should be made to the process used by the Department of Defense to determine hazardous material carrier eligibility and evaluate performance of carriers within the TPS program. Additionally, the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command, is directed

to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee by September 30, 2015, on the progress made to implement the changes.

Manufacturing Infrastructure Investment

The committee is aware of the unique challenges and varied relationships associated with the broad range of customers who have for decades supported the continued combat vehicle production capability at the Joint Systems Manufacturing Center (JSMC). As a government-owned, contractor-operated facility, JSMC represents a unique, long-term fiscal challenge for the U.S. Army for the continued maintenance and periodic upgrade of the facility, which has a deferred maintenance and repair projects list totaling over \$40.0 million. Production Base Support funding over the past several years has been insufficient to support minimum JSMC maintenance requirements, including correcting critical safety and environmental deficiencies.

The Department of the Army must ensure the facility is properly resourced to efficiently and effectively meet the Army's tank and other combat vehicle production-related requirements, Foreign Military Sales (FMS), and Direct Commercial Sales for the foreseeable future. The committee understands the difficulty in determining how the Department should share the operational support costs associated with the JSMC across the broad range of current and future customers. For example, future FMS programs could generate more than \$10.0 million in facilities usage fees. The committee believes reinvestment of these funds could help remediate facilities maintenance deficiencies and subsequently benefit all current and future JSMC customers.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 9, 2015, on the Army's analysis, plans, and/or recommendations, to include potential legislative proposals, on how the operational costs associated with the Joint Systems Manufacturing Center could be equitably applied so that the facility can remain viable and relevant.

Public-Private Partnerships at Centers of Industrial and Technical Excellence

The committee recognizes the mutual benefits to both the organic and commercial industrial base of partnering activities especially in a resource-constrained environment. The committee also believes that full visibility into the scope and scale of partnerships is critical for proper oversight of industrial base sustainment. As a result, the committee is concerned about the lack of visibility into the Department of Defense's public-private partnership activities authorized by section 2474 of title 10, United States Code. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to deliver to the congressional defense committees by January 5, 2015, a report on all partnerships entered into pursuant to section 2474 of title 10, United States Code, in fiscal year 2014 and for the preceding three fiscal years. The report at a minimum should include the location of work performed under the partnership,

the commercial and organic entities comprising the partnership, the length of the partnership, and a description of the work performed by the partnership.

Report on the Department of Defense's Transportation of Hazardous Materials

The Department of Defense transports more than 1.5 million hazardous material (HAZMAT) shipments each year. These shipments can be high-risk as well as highly sensitive and, if improperly handled, labeled, or packaged could result in the loss of life, property damage, and harm to national security interests. A complex framework of statutes and regulations governs the Department's handling, labeling, and packaging of hazardous material shipments. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) recently reported on challenges the Department has experienced in implementing these regulations, which can adversely affect the safe, timely, and cost-effective transportation of hazardous materials. For example, in some cases Department of Defense installations did not provide carriers transporting sensitive arms, ammunition and explosives hazardous materials with timely access to secure hold areas or assist them in locating the nearest alternate means to secure those shipments, leaving these items in the public domain longer than necessary. Accordingly, the committee encourages the Department to develop a process to identify and implement the necessary corrective actions to ensure that its installations provide secure hold as required. Additionally, GAO found a substantial number of hazardous material shipments were not documented and packaged in accordance with regulations and other guidance, which resulted in delays. The committee is concerned about costs (and potential operational impacts) that may be incurred by the Department as a result of these delays or whether any materials were unnecessarily shipped through the more expensive Transportation Protective Services program because they were improperly identified as sensitive items.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by December 1, 2014, on the Department of Defense's transportation of hazardous materials. That report should also be provided to the Comptroller General of the United States at that time. Specifically, the report should include, but is not limited to, a discussion of:

- (1) The root causes of improper documentation and packaging of HAZMAT throughout the Department of Defense transportation system;
- (2) The extent to which Transportation Protective Services are being used to transport HAZMAT shipments that could safely and securely be transported using less costly means;
- (3) Any needed corrective actions and an action plan with associated milestones to implement those corrective actions.

After the Secretary provides the report to Congress, the Comptroller General of the United States should conduct a review of the report and provide a preliminary briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the

House of Representatives by March 15, 2015, with a final report or reports to follow within 120 days.

Submarine Propeller Repair and Overhaul

The committee understands the Navy continues to request partial funding to support submarine propeller repair and overhaul (SPRO) in the Overseas Contingency Operations account, rather than planning for full funding based on true historical and current year SPRO expenditures within the Navy's annual defense budget. Additionally, the committee remains concerned with the Navy's ongoing proposed "repair only" approach to SPRO. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to re-evaluate this plan and report to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives by December 15, 2014, on an approach, to include both fiscal year 2015 and Future Years Defense Program funding, that addresses the ongoing mix of both propeller repair and overhaul needs.

Sustainment of Deployed Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense

The committee commends the Department of Defense for its rapid and successful deployment of an Army Air and Missile Defense Task Force (AMDTF) and Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) missile defense battery to Guam last spring in response to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea's aggressive posture. The committee notes that Army Chief of Staff, General Raymond Odierno, in testimony before the committee, stated that the Army is working on plans to sustain a long-term presence of a THAAD battery and an AMDTF on Guam to provide necessary protection of military manpower, assets, and civilians. In order to better understand the requirements to sustain an AMDTF and THAAD battery on Guam, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to report to the committee by January 31, 2015, on the following requirements related to THAAD sustainment:

- (1) An accounting of force structure needed, including potential Army National Guard or Army Reserve force structure;
- (2) Potential military construction needed for force protection and other sustainment issues;
- (3) Estimated military personnel and operation and maintenance costs; and
- (4) Any legal, statutory, or authority challenges associated with sustaining an AMDTF and THAAD battery on Guam.

READINESS ISSUES

Adequacy of Airlift and Refueling Capabilities in the Western Pacific

Recognizing the strategic importance of the Department of Defense's efforts to rebalance forces to the Asia-Pacific region, the committee continues to question the adequacy of airlift and refueling capabilities in that region. U.S. Pacific Command (PACOM) must accomplish a variety of missions and requirements in a geographic area of responsibility that spans almost 9,000 miles from Hawaii in the Pacific Ocean to the Republic of Maldives in the Indian Ocean. Airlift and refueling capabilities play a critical role in supporting and sustaining forward-deployed forces in the Asia-Pacific region.

The committee is concerned about the ability of the U.S. Air Force to provide sustained airlift to support ground forces and equipment in the region to meet current and emerging requirements. Particularly, the committee is concerned about the cost of the current rotational tanker presence in the western Pacific. Given current budget constraints and the risk in the readiness accounts, the committee is concerned that rotational presence may not be the most fiscally prudent means of meeting airlift and refueling requirements, especially in light of the potential for sustained sequestration. The committee, therefore, directs the Commander, U.S. Pacific Command, in consultation with the Commander, Pacific Air Forces, to brief the committee by March 30, 2015, on airlift and tanker capabilities in the Pacific Command area of responsibility. At a minimum, the briefing should include:

- (1) The cost of current rotational tanker presence in the western Pacific;
- (2) The cost of permanently stationing tankers in the western Pacific to meet current operational requirements; and
- (3) Plans for future beddown of permanent and rotational airlift and tanker assets in the western Pacific to meet Pacific Command operational requirements.

Advanced Situational Awareness Training Assessment

The committee is aware that the Army continues to successfully incorporate training modules to detect changes in human behavior through Advanced Situational Awareness Training (ASAT). The committee recognizes the benefits of such training and the enhancement to mission effectiveness, decisive advantage, enhanced use of existing optical equipment, and reduction of civilian casualties that it can help provide. The committee believes the benefits of situational awareness training are significant enough to warrant a long-term assessment of ASAT training requirements and a plan for possible future institutionalization.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct an assessment of ASAT training and brief the House Committee on Armed Services on the results not later than October 1, 2014. This assessment and briefing should specifically include current ASAT training requirements, the cost and time required to institutionalize an ASAT training program across the Army, and quantifiable training benefits achieved by ASAT training to date.

For decades the Department of Defense has used “C-ratings,” which measure unit resources and training against doctrinal wartime missions, to measure the readiness of its forces. However, to support the recent missions in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, units have repeatedly been reconfigured and task-organized or called upon to execute missions that differed from their core doctrinal mission statements. To better portray readiness in this new environment, the Department has added “assigned mission” and “capability” ratings to its traditional C-ratings. The military departments and combatant commands also began reporting readiness assessment levels (RA-levels) to portray their strategic readiness. While the combination of traditional and newer readiness metrics have allowed the Department to portray its readiness for a much wider range of missions than in the past, the metrics do not fully account for the time component of readiness. Traditional C-ratings and assigned mission ratings tend to emphasize readiness at a particular point in time (the day the rating is completed). Capability and RA-ratings have an implicit time component because they measure readiness against timelines that are laid out in operations and contingency plans. However, the committee has observed that none of the metrics clearly answer the question of when forces will be ready. Over the past decade, when the committee has asked that question, the ubiquitous response has been that most units will be ready “just in time.”

With the prolonged growth of non-discretionary spending placing continued fiscal pressure on the defense budget, the “just-in-time” answer does little to help decisionmakers minimize risk while preparing for a future that, in the words of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, is “dangerous and uncertain.” Because units from different military departments can often provide similar, if not identical, capabilities, the committee believes the Department’s leadership and the Congress need to understand differences in both the speed and cost at which the military departments can provide ready forces to meet combatant commander requirements so they can prioritize resources and minimize risk.

To help inform the committee’s oversight and its consideration of the President’s budget request, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the Department of Defense’s readiness. The review should include, but not be limited to:

- (1) The current readiness of the military departments and combatant commands, as reported in their December 2013 readiness reports;
- (2) A description of the key factors that are affecting the readiness of the military departments and the combatant commands, as well as a description of the steps being taken to address or mitigate the impact of those factors;
- (3) An analysis of the extent to which “time” is or has been incorporated as a quantitative or qualitative component of current and past readiness metrics; and
- (4) A description of any efforts the military departments, the combatant commands, the Joint Staff, or the Office of the Secretary of Defense have made to modify their readiness metrics or add any additional metrics to better address the question of when units or commands will be ready.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a preliminary briefing on these four elements to the congressional defense committees by February 15, 2015, with a report or reports to follow.

OTHER MATTERS

Briefing on Invasive Species Management

The committee notes that in the fall of 2013, the coconut rhinoceros beetle, an invasive species to the Hawaiian Islands and Guam, was discovered on the island of Oahu and has been found on Guam since 2007. While it is unknown how the species came to Hawaii or Guam, the committee is aware that a coconut rhinoceros beetle population was identified on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, which is in close proximity to Honolulu International Airport. Since discovering the existence of this invasive species on Hawaii, the committee notes that the Department of Agriculture has been leading the effort, jointly with the Department of Defense and appropriate State agencies, to eliminate breeding sites, and monitor and control the spread of the coconut rhinoceros beetle on the island of Oahu.

In addition to the more immediate response, the committee notes that the Department of Defense is already addressing invasive species through other mechanisms. Specifically, the Department of the Navy is supporting efforts to develop the Micronesian Biosecurity Plan, jointly with the Department of Agriculture, and the governments of the State of Hawaii, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Republic of Palau, the Federated States of Micronesia, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. In preparing the plan, the partners evaluated invasive species risks to marine, terrestrial, and freshwater ecosystems, to include the coconut rhinoceros beetle. Additionally, for invasive species management, the committee notes that Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam and Joint Region Marianas maintain an Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan which includes measures to prevent the proliferation of invasive species.

The committee encourages the Department of the Navy to continue its work with the Department of Agriculture, as well as State and local entities, to monitor and contain any further spread of the coconut rhinoceros beetle within Hawaii and Guam. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a briefing to the committee not later than September 1, 2014, regarding the status of the coconut rhinoceros beetle on Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam and Joint Region Marianas and steps the Department of the Navy has taken, working with partners, to control, mitigate, or eradicate the species or its habitat.

Cold-Weather Protective Clothing

The committee is concerned that the use of end-of-year funding for the acquisition of cold-weather clothing, glove systems, and equipment for training, and for cold-weather combat negatively affect military readiness and the defense

industrial base. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than September 30, 2014, a report describing the Department's efforts to provide the Active and Reserve Components with the cold-weather clothing, glove systems, and equipment required for training and deployments. The committee directs the Secretary to include in the report an update on the funding needed to meet Active and Reserve Component requirements for cold-weather clothing, glove systems, and equipment in fiscal years 2015 and 2016. The report should also include an acquisition strategy and spending plan outlining the projected schedule for the obligation of funds to acquire the necessary equipment.

Comptroller General Review of Process for the Disposition of Excess Defense Articles

The Department of Defense is a large provider of surplus personal property and equipment that goes to local governments, fire and police departments, Veterans Service Organizations, hospitals, and many other local entities. The transfer of surplus personal property and equipment to other Federal agencies and local and State governments by the Department provides a significant savings of American taxpayer dollars. As budgetary resources continue to shrink, it is imperative that American tax dollars are well spent and managed and re-utilization within the Department of Defense is maximized. Furthermore, it is important that any surplus personal property and equipment that is being sold through the Department of Defense surplus property sales program is done in accordance with laws relating to the disposition of excess and surplus property. As surplus personal property and equipment become more valuable, the Department of Defense and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) should have procedures and protocols to ensure that fair market value for surplus personal property and equipment is being received. If the Department is not receiving fair market value for surplus personal property and equipment being sold, this could be a serious financial loss to the American taxpayer.

To ensure that the taxpayer is getting the greatest financial benefit out of surplus equipment originally purchased with tax dollars, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review and report to the congressional defense committees on the Department of Defense's and the Defense Logistics Agency's excess and surplus personal property programs, including their programs that provide excess or surplus personal property and equipment to other Federal agencies and to local and State governments and on their programs that sell surplus personal property and equipment. The review should include, but not be limited to, an examination of:

- (1) The methods DLA uses to provide visibility of available excess property and equipment to interested agencies and provide access for physical inspection of the property and equipment;

(2) Instances in which Department of Defense property and equipment that have been declared excess, and that are desirable for use by other Federal agencies and by State or local governments, are transferred to a commercial vendor for sale;

(3) The process DLA uses to code property and equipment for disposition, particularly instances in which property and equipment that have been coded for return to military units are transferred to a commercial vendor for sale; and

(4) The costs that DLA incurs by destroying appropriately coded property and equipment that otherwise could be demilitarized and made available to interested Federal agencies or State and local governments.

(5) Instances where the Department did not receive fair market value for excess or surplus personal property and equipment transferred to a commercial vendor for sale.

The Comptroller General should provide a preliminary briefing to the congressional defense committees by March 15, 2015, with a final report or reports to follow.

Waste Disposal Technologies in Contingency Operations

The committee notes that the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84) required the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations prohibiting the disposal of covered waste in open-air burn pits during contingency operations, except when no alternative disposal method is feasible. The committee further notes that the Report to Congress on the Use of Open-Air Burn Pits by the United States Armed Forces, submitted on May 12, 2010, pursuant to Public Law 111-84, stated that “The introduction of incinerators, plus other thermal (to include waste-to-energy) and non-thermal waste disposal options, are intended to eventually displace the use of burn pits.” The report concluded, “DoD must continue to explore viable technical solutions for waste reduction and waste disposal in all categories—solid, medical, and hazardous—and then make such solutions available through easily acquired commercial or DoD provided equipment.” To that end, the committee is aware that the final burn pit that was being operated in Islamic Republic of Afghanistan in compliance with Department of Defense and U.S. Central Command policies, as required by Public Law 111-84, was closed in April 2014. Remaining U.S. locations within Afghanistan utilize a combination of landfills, incinerators, and removal of waste by local nationals.

The committee is also aware that the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) has observed problems associated with incinerators that have been installed in Afghanistan. Such problems include poor construction, planning and design, and coordination between contracts for constructing the incinerators and for operating and maintaining them. The committee understands that the Department of Defense is assessing commercial incinerator and other waste-disposal technologies to determine the feasibility for use at bases of varying size, maturity, and duration. Therefore, the committee

directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to provide a briefing for the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 2, 2015, on the lessons learned related to waste-disposal methods in contingency operations and provide an update on the Department's assessment of waste-disposal technologies, to include those that would provide an efficient, reliable and deployable capability that adheres to electrical and construction standards that ensure life, safety, and health of U.S. personnel.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

OTHER MATTERS

Department of Defense Installation Security

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense conducted internal and independent reviews of the security programs, policies, and procedures regarding security at military installations following the tragic shooting at the Washington Navy Yard. The committee notes that while these reviews include findings and recommendations related to the physical access control process, physical security infrastructure capabilities, and force protection, the Secretary of Defense's memorandum dated March 18, 2014, only approved the implementation of four recommendations, specifically to "implement continued evaluation", "establish a DOD [Department of Defense] Insider Threat Management Analysis Center," "centralize authority, accountability, and programmatic integration under a single Principal Staff Assistant," and "resource and expedite deployment of the Identity Management Enterprise Services Architecture."

While the committee believes these are important steps to improve the security of military installations, the committee is concerned that not enough emphasis has been placed on improving the programs, policies, procedures, and infrastructure supporting the physical security of installations. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by December 31, 2014, that addresses the following:

(1) An update on the Defense Installation Access Controls Joint Capability Technology Demonstration, and whether an opportunity exists to leverage commercially available tools into its architecture;

(2) The frequency of installation anti-terrorism plans and local vulnerability assessments, and the process for mitigating or accepting identified risks;

(3) Trends or identified shortfalls in equipment, personnel, training, or infrastructure that directly support the physical security of military facilities and installations and have been validated by Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessments;

(4) Any changes that may be necessary to the physical security and anti-terrorism/force protection policies and procedures for vehicles and personnel entering military installations and facilities; and

(5) Any authority gaps that may require legislation to strengthen the physical security of military installations and facilities.

Reconstitution of Air Force Weapons Storage Areas

The committee notes that the Air Force has completed the report "Reconstituting Air Force Weapons Storage Areas" as requested in the committee report (S. Rept. 113-44) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014. In its report, the Air Force acknowledged that it currently does not have a funded project to reconstitute a second Weapons Storage Area (WSA) for Air-Launched Cruise Missiles (ALCMs). Additionally, the report states that the Air Force's WSA modernization plan, the WSA Recapitalization Corporate Initiative, is in the preliminary stages, and indicates that it should be finalized in time to be programmed in fiscal year 2016.

The committee is disappointed that the report did not include an analysis of the requirements and costs of reconstituting a second nuclear WSA capability for ALCMs and the potential benefits or savings of shortening the recapitalization timeframe as requested in S. Rept. 113-44. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to include the following information in the finalized plan for the WSA Recapitalization Corporate Initiative:

(1) A business-case analysis of the requirements and costs for reconstituting a second WSA for ALCMs;

(2) An analysis of potential cost-savings and benefits achieved through a shortened recapitalization timeframe;

(3) An analysis of potential cost-saving and benefits of advances in physical and security surveillance technologies; and

(4) A validation of requirements.

U.S. Transportation Command Report on Operational and Tactical Control of All Department of Defense Executive Airlift Aircraft

The committee notes that the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command (CUSTC) is the distribution process owner for the Department of Defense. However, CUSTC is responsible for the operational tasking, scheduling, and tactical control of only Department of the Air Force executive airlift and special airlift mission (EA/SAM) aircraft. The committee notes that Department of the Navy and the Department of the Army EA/SAM aircraft are excluded from CUSTC's control, and are not apportioned or allocated to meet CUSTC EA/SAM airlift requirements or airlift requirements of any other organization other than the service that owns and operates the EA/SAM aircraft. The committee believes this is an inefficient concept of operations in meeting EA/SAM airlift requirements of the Department of Defense.

Therefore, the committee directs the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than February 2, 2015, that assesses the feasibility, capability, viability, effectiveness, and efficiency of the CUSTC assuming the role and responsibility of operational tasking, scheduling, and tactical control of all Department of Defense EA/SAM aircraft to meet EA/SAM airlift requirements of the Department of Defense.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Red Hill Underground Fuel Storage Facility

The committee notes that in January 2014, approximately 27,000 gallons of fuel leaked from a tank in the Red Hill underground fuel storage facility. The underground storage facility was constructed in the early 1940s and contains 20 fuel tanks that are buried beneath 100 feet of volcanic rock.

The committee further notes that the budget request included \$52.9 million in investments to replace two fuel tanks and upgrade the fire suppression and ventilation system supporting the Red Hill underground fuel storage facility. The committee believes that the Red Hill facility will continue to play an important supporting role for the U.S. rebalance to the Asia-Pacific region. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than October 1, 2014, on the long-term infrastructure improvements that may be necessary to support the continued safe and secure operation of the Red Hill underground fuel storage facility.

TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Base Closure and Realignment Disposal Assessment

The committee notes that the Department of Defense has requested authority to conduct another round of defense base closures and realignment (BRAC) in 2017. BRAC is often cited as a means of saving significant defense dollars in a time of declining budgets. The committee is concerned that efficiencies associated with the BRAC process are offset with the inability to quickly dispose of excess property and the potential lack of overall savings to the federal government. For example, there are numerous instances where the Department of Defense

conveyed excess property to other Federal agencies and the overall Government may not have saved money.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, as to the overall effectiveness of the property disposal process. The report should specifically assess each prior BRAC round (1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, and 2005), by military department, and provide the following:

(1) A listing, by acre, of property disposed to: other Federal agencies; state and local agencies; non-profit entities; and the private sector;

(2) A list of remaining acreage to be disposed;

(3) An assessment of land sale revenues realized from prior property disposal actions;

(4) An assessment of environmental expenditures and caretaker services expended; and

(5) An assessment of remaining environmental remediation costs to complete and associated caretaker services anticipated during the environmental remediation.

Joint Base Closure and Realignment Recommendations

At the request of the committee, the Government Accountability Office has issued reports on the status of Department of Defense's joint basing initiative. However, the committee remains concerned that efforts to implement Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) 2005 recommendations that required the military services to merge or consolidate functions to become more joint were not effectively implemented, obviating certain cost saving opportunities. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, on the status of Department of Defense actions to implement its BRAC 2005 recommendations that meet these goals of reducing infrastructure and promoting "jointness." The report should address the following questions:

(1) To what extent has the Department of Defense identified benefits, cost savings, and/or cost avoidances resulting from implementing these recommendations?

(2) To what extent has the Department achieved enhancements to joint operations from establishment of joint centers of excellence or joint training activities or achieved other operational efficiencies from such consolidations?

(3) What challenges has the Department experienced in implementing these initiatives and to what extent has the Department of Defense resolved these challenges?

Property Disposal Methods

The committee seeks a greater understanding of the Department of Defense's implementation of homeless assistance, pursuant to the McKinney-Vento

Homeless Assistance Act (Public Law 100-77), via property disposal actions that the Department of Defense completes through the Base Realignment and Closure Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510), as amended. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, on the findings of a review of the effectiveness of implementation of the relevant statutory provisions by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Department of Defense.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Army Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment

The committee notes that the Army has used a stationing strategy, called Army 2020, to analyze the various options available to the Secretary of the Army to implement a reduction in force structure. The Army 2020 process used key inputs including a Programmatic Environmental Assessment, a military value analysis, community listening sessions, and an analysis of other stationing factors. Additionally, military judgment, utilizing a variety of planning and steering committees was incorporated into the final decision to reduce specific Brigade Combat Teams (BCTs). As a result of the Army 2020 process, the Army inactivated 12 BCTs and reorganized the remaining BCTs by adding a third maneuver battalion to armor and infantry brigades located in the continental United States. On February 6, 2014, the Army announced plans to implement a Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment (SPEA), to analyze the reduction of the Active Component below 490,000 soldiers. However, the Army is proceeding with the SPEA before fully implementing the results of Army 2020.

The committee believes it is appropriate for the Secretary of the Army to use the results of the Army 2020 analysis as the baseline input of the SPEA. Therefore, concurrent with the release of the draft SPEA, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to brief the House Committee on Armed Services by September 1, 2014, on the baseline for the SPEA and any deviation from the Army 2020 analysis. If the Secretary is compelled to deviate from the Army 2020 analysis, the Secretary should provide the committee an explanation of why such deviation is appropriate.

Deployment of Secure Work Environments

The committee is aware of the increasing importance of protecting valuable intellectual property and sensitive information, especially during this current cyber environment. The committee notes that data loss prevention is critical for the long-term sustainment of most military operations, personnel protection, and troop

movements, particularly when information needs to be discussed in locations outside of a fully protected Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF).

The committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to establish an agency-wide strategy to create secure work environments where unclassified but sensitive information can be shared utilizing technological advances in full spectrum Radio Frequency (RF) monitoring and commercial off the shelf solutions for film protected glass that protects from data loss and intrusion. In addition, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, that: (1) details a programmatic plan to provide additional protection from RF and Infrared (IR) for non-SCIF facilities that would be impacted by potential intrusions; and (2) an assessment of the Unified Facilities Guide specifications that are used to provide RF and IR protections.

Facilities Modernization Model

The committee notes that the Department of Defense's real property management process requires extensive oversight to maintain more than \$850.0 billion in infrastructure at an annual cost of nearly \$60.0 billion. As part of its overall effort to maintain facilities, the Department of Defense is required to modernize certain facilities to make sure that they meet current standards. To assist in this process, the Department of Defense developed its Facilities Modernization Model which predicts the average annual dollar amount required for the Department to modernize its inventory of facilities on an ongoing basis.

The Facilities Modernization Model parallels the Facilities Sustainment Model. In 2008, the Government Accountability Office reported that although the sustainment model provides a consistent and reasonable framework for preparing estimates of the Department of Defense's facility sustainment funding requirements, there were issues with some of the model's key inputs, affecting the reliability of the model's estimates. As the Modernization Model should always be used in conjunction with the Facilities Sustainment Model, the committee is concerned that similar issues may affect the reliability of the Facilities Modernization Model's estimates. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, on the Facilities Modernization Model to include the following:

(1) What are the main inputs into the Facilities Modernization Model and to what extent have the Department and the military services validated these inputs?

(2) To what extent are the services funding facility modernization at levels determined by the model; how are decisions made to deviate from the models' recommendations if needed; and what is the impact if modernization funding is not provided at the recommended levels?

High Performance Facades for Department of Defense Installations

The committee is aware of a new blast protection technology that has been developed which utilizes high performance materials through a secondary facade structure to provide increased blast and ballistic protection to Department of Defense buildings, bases, and installations. The committee notes that such secondary facades could provide the Department increased flexibility and cost-savings in certain situations where relocation is being considered. The committee also notes that secondary facades could be more useful for retrofitting and upgrading existing buildings, as well as being incorporated into the architectural designs of new buildings. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by October 1, 2014, on recent efforts to utilize and test high performance materials as a secondary facade structure and any modifications to the Unified Facility Guide Specifications that would be necessary to incorporate the assessment of this technology.

Joint Land Use Study

The committee notes that military installations are often the economic engines that underpin and drive local economies. Direct expenditures of defense dollars in the form of payrolls and local procurement contracts generate in turn secondary expenditures that help support local economies. Military installations can also affect adjacent communities in several ways, some positive (as with the economic impacts) and some negative. Negative impacts may include noise, safety concerns, smoke, dust, and other effects from training and military operations. In some instances, the military attempts to moderate these negative effects through the Joint Land Use Program.

The committee is aware of certain noise and encroachment concerns around the U.S. Air Force Academy and Fort Carson, Colorado. The committee believes that a Joint Land Use Study of the surrounding area would be effective to help offset these negative consequences. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide notice to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, of the Joint Land Used Studies that the Secretary has programmed and a schedule for when the area supporting the U.S. Air Force Academy/Fort Carson is expected to begin a Joint Land Use Study.

Public-Private Family Housing on Guam

The committee recognizes that the Department of the Navy recently released a draft supplemental Environmental Impact Statement regarding the development of a main cantonment area and firing range for U.S. Marines realigning from Okinawa, Japan, to Guam. Further, the committee recognizes that the Distributed Laydown differs significantly from previous realignment plans, as it depends on a more heavily rotational force on Guam than a permanent headquarters presence. Under the renegotiated agreement with the Government of Japan to support the Distributed Laydown, the committee understands that the

Government of Japan will no longer provide nearly \$3.0 billion in special purpose entity (SPE) funds. According to plans and briefings from the Department, a SPE is essentially a public-private venture (PPV) for military family housing and certain utility improvements.

Despite the changes to the plans for the realignment of U.S. Marines, the committee recognizes that additional military forces are also realigning to Guam as part of the Asia-Pacific rebalance. In particular, the Navy announced the stationing of a fourth Los Angeles class fast-attack submarine in Guam, and the Air Force continues a Red Horse and Contingency Response Group beddown at Andersen Air Force Base. These additional forces, along with other potential military personnel increases, will challenge the current inventory of military family housing on Guam. The committee has been supportive of PPV endeavors in other U.S. locations and recognizes the potential long-term cost savings coupled with improvements to quality of life matters for service members and their families.

As such, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2015, on the feasibility of utilizing public-private housing ventures on Guam and any factors that might inhibit establishment of such a public-private housing venture. The report should also assess the current backlog and projected backlog in the Future Years Defense Program as to shortfalls in family housing, by service, and the measures that the Secretary has programmed to address these shortfalls.

Real Property Management

The committee is concerned about the Department of Defense's management of real property resources. In an era of declining resources, the committee is concerned by decisions made by the Department of Defense to retain underutilized real property. The committee is supportive of real property authorities that provide the Secretary concerned the authority to outlease non-excess properties, but believes that there are instances where the Department has not fully utilized these authorities to manage its real property assets. For example, the committee notes that the Secretary of the Air Force is responsible for Keesler Air Force Base and certain noncontiguous properties in the area. A former base housing area called Harrison Court was destroyed by Hurricane Katrina and the 40 acre parcel remains vacant 9 years since Hurricane Katrina. The committee notes that the Air Force continues to expend funds to maintain the vacant property while the local community expresses interest in developing the property for economic or public use purposes. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to assess the value of certain noncontiguous properties in the Keesler Air Force Base area and to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, that determines whether there remains a continued requirement for the Air Force to maintain these properties, the feasibility of an enhanced use lease, or the Secretary's intent to initiate excess proceeding for these properties.

Type I and Type III Retro-Reflective Glass Beads

As requested in the committee report (H. Rept. 113-102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, the committee notes that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics submitted the report, "Analysis of Type I and Type III Retro-Reflective Glass Beads" to the congressional defense committees on April 16, 2014. In its report, the Department of Defense stated that "Type III glass beads provide a modest increase in visibility compared with Type I beads but this benefit is short-lived and costly. Although the Department does not preclude the use of Type III beads, we find Type I glass beads to be a satisfactory and cost-effective solution for our airport pavement marking requirements." The committee also notes that another report entitled, "Airfield Marking Durability Study" was prepared on March 12, 2014, by Sightline, LC, that found "based on reflectivity data recorded at 13 airports across the United States, including military and commercial, Type III glass beads provide higher levels of retro-reflectivity initially and over time, resulting in lower maintenance costs." The committee notes that the Department of Defense was likely unable to consider the findings of the report by Sightline, LC when it was preparing its report to the congressional defense committees.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to assess the Sightline, LC report and information associated with Type III glass beads and determine whether this additional information was incorporated into its report, "Analysis of Type I and Type III Retro-Reflective Glass Beads" submitted on April 16, 2014. If the Sightline, LC report was not considered, the committee directs the Under Secretary to prepare an addendum to their previous report and submit it to the congressional defense committees by December 31, 2014, and use the Sightline, LC report, and any other new information available, to assess the value associated with incorporating Type III beads into the Unified Facilities Guide Specifications.