<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Log #</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>233r1</td>
<td>Stefanik</td>
<td>Extends personnel management authorities to the Director of the Joint Artificial Intelligence Center to facilitate recruitment of eminent experts in science or engineering for the Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234r1</td>
<td>Stefanik</td>
<td>Allows Secretary of Defense and each Secretary concerned to use $3M of amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance in each of the fiscal years from 2020 through 2022 to carry out cyber operations-peculiar capability development projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>Sherrill</td>
<td>Establish an interagency working group to coordinate activities to protect federally funded R&amp;D from foreign interference, while accounting for exchange of ideas and international talent required for scientific progress and American leadership in science.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>Waltz</td>
<td>Establishes a Technology and National Security Fellowship program within the DoD to increase national security professionals with STEM degrees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>Moulton</td>
<td>Expresses the value of the hacking for Defense program in support of the National Security Innovation base, and requires the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the value and feasibility of expanding the program to at least 25 additional universities by Fiscal Year 2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317r1</td>
<td>Houlahan</td>
<td>Directs a report assessing the cybersecurity of DOD entities engaged in the development, storage, processing, and transmission of data related to biothreats and pathogens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>Houlahan</td>
<td>Authorizes SOCOM to use a Partnership Intermediary and 5% of its SBIR/STTR funds for a pilot program to increase participation by small businesses in the development of capabilities for special operations forces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>Thornberry</td>
<td>Limits ability of SECDEF to transfer functions of the Strategic Capabilities Office to another organization or element of the Department unless certain conditions are met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>Proposes additional authorities for allowances and benefits for DIA Defense Clandestine Service employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394r2</td>
<td>Lamborn</td>
<td>Requests a briefing from the Undersecretary of Defense for A&amp;S on sustainment plan for maintaining access to the MIL-PRF-19500 qualified discreet parts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing on actions taken related to the Advanced Wireless Services 3 auction, specifically as it relates to the F-35.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MS. STEFANIK OF NEW YORK

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

SEC. 2. TEMPORARY INCLUSION OF JOINT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE IN PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY TO ATTRACT EXPERTS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 1599h of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) JOINT ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER.—The Director of the Joint Artificial Intelligence Center may carry out a program of personnel management authority provided in subsection (b) in order to facilitate recruitment of eminent experts in science or engineering for the Center. The authority to carry out the program under this paragraph shall terminate on December 31, 2024.”.

(b) SCOPE OF APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY.—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended—
(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking “and” at the end;
(2) in subparagraph (E), by adding “and” at the end; and
(3) by adding at the end the following new sub-
paragraph:
“(F) in the case of the Joint Artificial Int-
elligence Center, appoint scientists and engi-
neers to a total of not more than 5 scientific
and engineering positions in the Center;”.

(e) EXTENSION OF TERMS OF APPOINTMENT.—Sub-
section (c)(2) of such section is amended by striking “or
the Defense Innovation Unit Experimental” and inserting
“the Defense Innovation Unit Experimental, or the Joint
Artificial Intelligence Center”.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MS. STEFANIK OF NEW YORK

At the end of subtitle C of title XVI, add the following:

SEC. 16. AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CYBER OPERATIONS-Peculiar Capability Development Projects.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense and each Secretary concerned may obligate and expend not more than $3,000,000 of amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance in each of fiscal years 2020 through 2022 to carry out cyber operations-peculiar capability development projects.

(b) CERTIFICATION.—For each development project initiated under the authority provided for in subsection (a), the Commander of U.S. Cyber Command shall certify to the congressional defense committees that each project is determined to be cyber operations-peculiar.

(c) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 15 after exercising the authority provided for in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees of such exercise.
(d) REPORT.—Not later than December 31 of each year through 2022, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on expenditures made pursuant to the authority provided for in subsection (a). Each such report shall include a full description and evaluation of each of the cyber operations-peculiar capability development projects that is the subject of each such expenditure, definitions and standards for cyber operations-peculiar requirements, transition plans, and any other matters the Secretary determines relevant.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MS. SHERRILL OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title X, insert the following:

SEC. 10. SECURING AMERICAN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.

(a) INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, acting through the National Science and Technology Council, in consultation with the National Security Advisor, shall establish an interagency working group to coordinate activities to protect federally funded research and development from foreign interference, cyberattacks, theft, or espionage and to develop common definitions and best practices for Federal science agencies and grantees, while accounting for the importance of the open exchange of ideas and international talent required for scientific progress and American leadership in science and technology.

(2) MEMBERSHIP.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The working group shall include a representative of—
(i) the National Science Foundation;
(ii) the Department of Energy;
(iii) the National Aeronautics and Space Administration;
(iv) the National Institute of Standards and Technology;
(v) the Department of Commerce;
(vi) the National Institutes of Health;
(vii) the Department of Defense;
(viii) the Department of Agriculture;
(ix) the Department of Education;
(x) the Department of State;
(xi) the Department of the Treasury;
(xii) the Department of Justice;
(xiii) the Department of Homeland Security;
(xiv) the Central Intelligence Agency;
(xv) the Federal Bureau of Investigation;
(xvi) the Office of the Director of National Intelligence;
(xvii) the Office of Management and Budget;
(xviii) the National Economic Council;
(xix) such other Federal department or agency as the President considers appropriate.

(B) CHAIR.—The working group shall be chaired by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy (or the Director's designee).

(3) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE WORKING GROUP.—The working group established under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) identify known and potential cyber, physical, and human intelligence threats and vulnerabilities within the United States scientific and technological enterprise;

(B) coordinate efforts among agencies to share and update important information, including specific examples of foreign interference, cyberattacks, theft, or espionage directed at federally funded research and development or the integrity of the United States scientific enterprise;

(C) identify and assess existing mechanisms for protection of federally funded research and development;

(D) develop an inventory of—
(i) terms and definitions used across Federal science agencies to delineate areas that may require additional protection; and
(ii) policies and procedures at Federal science agencies regarding protection of federally funded research; and
(E) develop and periodically update unclassified policy guidance to assist Federal science agencies and grantees in defending against threats to federally funded research and development and the integrity of the United States scientific enterprise that—

(i) includes—

(I) descriptions of known and potential threats to federally funded research and development and the integrity of the United States scientific enterprise; 

(II) common definitions and terminology for categorization of research and technologies that are protected; 

(III) identified areas of research or technology that might require additional protection;
(IV) recommendations for how control mechanisms can be utilized to protect federally funded research and development from foreign interference, cyberattacks, theft or espionage, including any recommendations for updates to existing control mechanisms;

(V) recommendations for best practices for Federal science agencies and grantees to defend against threats to federally funded research and development, including coordination and harmonization of any relevant reporting requirements that Federal science agencies implement for grantees;

(VI) assessments of potential consequences that any proposed practices would have on international collaboration and United States leadership in science and technology; and

(VII) a classified addendum as necessary to further inform Federal science agency decisionmaking; and
(ii) accounts for the range of needs across different sectors of the United States science and technology enterprise.

(4) COORDINATION WITH NATIONAL ACADEMIES ROUNDTABLE.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall coordinate with the Academies to ensure that at least one member of the interagency working group is also a member of the roundtable under subsection (b).

(5) INTERIM REPORT.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall provide a report to the relevant Committees that includes the inventory required under paragraph (3)(D), and an update on progress toward developing the policy guidance required under paragraph (3)(E), as well as any additional activities undertaken by the working group in that time.

(6) BIENNIAL REPORTING.—Two years after the date of enactment of this Act, and at least every 2 years thereafter, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall provide a summary report to the relevant Committees on the activities of the working group and the most current
version of the policy guidance required under paragraph (3)(E).

(b) NATIONAL ACADEMIES SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND SECURITY ROUNDTABLE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy, and the Department of Defense, and any other agencies as determined by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, shall enter into a joint agreement with the Academies to create a new “National Science, Technology, and Security Roundtable” (hereinafter in this subsection referred to as the “roundtable”).

(2) PARTICIPANTS.—The roundtable shall include senior representatives and practitioners from Federal science, intelligence, and national security agencies, law enforcement, as well as key stakeholders in the United States scientific enterprise including institutions of higher education, Federal research laboratories, industry, and non-profit research organizations.

(3) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the roundtable is to facilitate among participants—

(A) exploration of critical issues related to protecting United States national and economic
security while ensuring the open exchange of ideas and international talent required for scientific progress and American leadership in science and technology;

(B) identification and consideration of security threats and risks involving federally funded research and development, including foreign interference, cyberattacks, theft, or espionage;

(C) identification of effective approaches for communicating the threats and risks identified in subparagraph (b) to the academic and scientific community, including through the sharing of unclassified data and relevant case studies;

(D) sharing of best practices for addressing and mitigating the threats and risks identified in subparagraph (B); and

(E) examination of potential near- and long-term responses by the government and the academic and scientific community to mitigate and address the risks associated with foreign threats.

(4) REPORT AND BRIEFING.—The joint agreement under paragraph (1) shall specify that—
(A) the roundtable shall periodically organize workshops and issue publicly available reports on the topics described in paragraph (3) and the activities of the roundtable; and

(B) not later than March 1, 2020, the Academies shall provide a briefing to relevant Committees on the progress and activities of the roundtable.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated $5,000,000 to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal years 2020 to 2024 to carry out this subsection.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “Academies” means the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine.

(2) The term “Federal science agency” means any Federal agency with at least $100,000,000 in basic and applied research obligations in fiscal year 2018.

(3) The term “grantee” means an entity that is—

(A) a recipient or subrecipient of a Federal grant or cooperative agreement; and

(B) an institution of higher education or a non-profit organization.
The term "relevant Committees" means—

(A) the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives;

(B) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives; and

(D) the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MR. WALTZ OF FLORIDA

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 2. TECHNOLOGY AND NATIONAL SECURITY FELLOWSHIP.

(a) FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, may establish a civilian fellowship program designed to place eligible individuals within the Department of Defense to increase the number of national security professionals with science, technology, engineering, and mathematics credentials employed by the Department.

(2) DESIGNATION.—The fellowship program established under paragraph (1) shall be known as the "Technology and National Security Fellowship" (in this section referred to as the "fellows program").
(3) EMPLOYMENT.—Fellows will be assigned to a one year tour of duty within the Department of Defense.

(4) PAY AND BENEFITS.—An individual assigned to a position under the fellows program shall be compensated at the rate of compensation for employees at level GS-10 of the General Schedule, and shall be treated as an employee of the United States during the term of assignment.

(b) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—For purposes of this section, and subject to subsection (f)(3), an eligible individual is any individual who—

(1) is a citizen of the United States; and

(2) either—

(A) expects to be awarded an undergraduate or graduate degree that, as determined by the Secretary, focuses on science, technology, engineering, or mathematics course work not later than 180 days after the date on which the individual submits an application for participation in the fellows program; or

(B) possesses an undergraduate or graduate degree that, as determined by the Secretary, focuses on science, technology, engineering, or mathematics course work that was
awarded not earlier than one year before the date on which the individual submits an application for participation in the fellows program.

(c) APPLICATION REQUIRED.—Each individual seeking to participate in the fellows program shall submit to the Secretary an application therefor at such time and in such manner as the Secretary shall specify.

(d) COORDINATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary may consider coordinating or partnering with the entities specified in paragraph (2).

(2) ENTITIES SPECIFIED.—The entities specified in this paragraph are the following:

(A) The National Security Innovation Network.

(B) Universities affiliated with Hacking for Defense.

(f) MODIFICATIONS TO FELLOWS PROGRAM.—As the Secretary considers necessary to modify the fellows program, and in coordination with the entities specified in subsection (d)(2), as the Secretary considers appropriate, the Secretary may—

(1) determine the length of a fellowship term;
(2) establish the rate of compensation for an individual selected to participate in the fellows program; and

(3) change the eligibility requirements for participation in the fellows program, including who is considered an eligible individual for purposes of the fellows program.

(g) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary may consult with the heads of the agencies, components, and other elements of the Department of Defense and such institutions of higher education and private entities engaged in work on national security and emerging technologies as the Secretary considers appropriate for purposes of the fellows program, including fellowship assignments.
Amendment to H.R. 2500
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020

Offered by: Rep. Seth Moulton

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2500, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Hacking for Defense Support to Defense Innovation

The committee notes that Hacking for Defense (H4D) is authorized as a National Security Innovation and Entrepreneurial Education Program in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (Public Law No. 115-91) to enable Department of Defense innovation. H4D is a university course developed by U.S. military combat veterans and private sector entrepreneurs taught at universities across the United States in which students apply cutting-edge problem-solving techniques to real-world national security and defense problems. The committees believes that H4D also enhances innovation education at military universities, including the United States Military Academy at West Point, the United States Air Force Academy, the National Defense University, the Defense Acquisition University, and other professional military education programs. The committee finds that H4D supports solution development directly for the warfighter, improves U.S. military readiness, and stimulates growth within the National Security Innovation Base, consistent with the 2018 National Defense Strategy. Further, the committee believes H4D fosters the growth of an emerging generation of national security leaders and mission-driven entrepreneurs by improving and expanding the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) skill sets within the United States workforce.

The committee believes that the Department of Defense should fully resource H4D and its growing ecosystem of national security innovators and entrepreneurs through the provision of the annual funding required to enhance existing H4D university courses. The committee notes that the National Security Innovation Network (formerly the MD5 National Security Technology Accelerator) plans to deliver H4D at up to 25 universities in Academic Year 2020-2021, as described in the President’s Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2020, and which the committee supports. Further, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by December 1, 2019 on the plan to expand H4D as described in the President’s Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2020,
and on the funding and other resources required to expand Hacking for Defense to at least 25 additional universities by Academic Year 2025-2026.
Amendment to H.R. 2500
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020

Offered by: Ms. Houlahan of Pennsylvania

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2500, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Cybersecurity of Biosecurity and Pathogen Threat Data

The committee notes that the Department of Defense’s work on biosecurity and pathogen threats spans several subordinate organizations and that the security of the data relating to these issues is critical to U.S. national security. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2020 that assesses the cybersecurity of Department of Defense entities engaged in the development, storage, processing, and transmission within the Department and to other United States government entities of data related to biothreats and pathogens. This assessment should examine, but not be limited to, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Defense Threat Reduction Agency, U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, U.S. Naval Medical Research Center, Joint Pathology Center, proving grounds where biological research and development is conducted, and military laboratories working with pathogens. The report should describe any vulnerabilities or deficiencies, and recommend steps for remediation of such vulnerabilities or deficiencies. A complementary implementation plan for addressing the report’s recommendations should be provided to the congressional defense committees no later than one year after the delivery of the report.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MS. HOULAHAN OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the appropriate place in title VIII, insert the following new section:

SEC. 8. PILOT PROGRAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED CAPABILITIES WITH PARTNERSHIP INTERMEDIARIES.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense may authorize the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command to use not more than 5 percent of the funds required to be expended by the Department of Defense under section 9(f)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(f)(1)) for a pilot program to increase participation by small business concerns in the development of technology-enhanced capabilities for special operations forces.

(b) USE OF PARTNERSHIP INTERMEDIARY.—

(I) AUTHORIZATION.—The Commander of the United States Special Operations Command may modify an existing agreement with a partnership intermediary to assist the Commander in carrying out the pilot program under this section, including with respect to the award of Small Business Innov-
tion Research Program contracts, Small Business Technology Transfer Program contracts, and other contracts and agreements to small business concerns.

(2) USE OF FUNDS.—None of the funds referred to in subsection (a) shall be used to pay a partnership intermediary for any administrative costs associated with the pilot program.

(e) REPORT.—Not later than October 1, 2020, and October 1, 2021, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate a report describing any agreement with a partnership intermediary entered into pursuant to this section. The report shall include, for each such agreement, the amount of funds obligated, an identification of the recipient of such funds, and a description of the use of such funds.

(d) TERMINATION.—The authority to carry out a pilot program under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2021.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) PARTNERSHIP INTERMEDIARY.—The term “partnership intermediary” has the meaning given
the term in section 23(c) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3715(c)).

(2) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term "small business concern" has the meaning given the term under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).

(3) SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH PROGRAM.—The term “Small Business Innovation Research Program” has the meaning given the term in section 9(e)(4) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(e)).

(4) SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.—The term “Small Business Technology Transfer Program” has the meaning given the term in section 9(e)(5) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(e)).

(5) TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED CAPABILITY.—The term “technology-enhanced capability” means a product, concept, or process that improves the ability of a member of the Armed Forces to achieve an assigned mission.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MR. THORNBERY OF TEXAS

At the appropriate place in title II, insert the following new section:

1 SEC. 2. LIMITATION ON TRANSITION OF STRATEGIC
2 CAPABILITIES OFFICE OF THE DEPARTMENT
3 OF DEFENSE.
4 (a) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of Defense may not
5 transition or transfer the functions of the Strategic Capa-
6 bilities Office of the Department of Defense to another
7 organization or element of the Department until—
8 (1) the plan required under subsection (b) has
9 been submitted to the congressional defense commit-
10 tees; and
11 (2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following
12 the date on which the Secretary notifies the congress-
13 sional defense committees of the intent of the Sec-
14 retary to transition or transfer the functions of the
15 Office.
16 (b) PLAN REQUIRED.—
17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
18 shall submit to the congressional defense committees
19 a plan for the transition or transfer of the functions
of the Strategic Capabilities Office to another organization or element of the Department of Defense.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The plan required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A timeline for the potential transition or transfer of the activities, functions, programs, plans, and resources of the Strategic Capabilities Office.

(B) The status of funding and execution of current Strategic Capabilities Office projects, including a strategy for mitigating risk to current projects during the transition or transfer.

(C) The impact of the transition or transfer on the ability of the Department to rapidly address Combatant Command requirements.

(D) The impact of the transition or transfer on the cultural attributes and core competencies of the Strategic Capabilities Office and any organization or element of the Department of Defense affected by the realignment of the Office.

(E) An assessment of the impact of the transition or transfer on the relationships of the Strategic Capabilities Office with the military departments, Combatant Commands, Depart-
ment of Defense laboratories, the intelligence community, and other research and development activities.

(F) Budget and programming realignment and prioritization of Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation budget activity that will be carried out as a result of the transition or transfer.

(G) The status of the essential authorities of the Director of the Strategic Capabilities Office, including acquisition authorities, personnel management authorities, the authority to enter into support agreements and strategic partnerships, and original classification authority.

(3) FORM OF PLAN.—The plan required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.
AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2500
OFFERED BY MR. KIM OF NEW JERSEY

At the appropriate place in title XI, add the following new section:

SEC. 11. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCES AND BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERVICE EMPLOYEES.

Section 1603 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCES AND BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERVICE.—(1) Beginning on the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report under paragraph (3)(A), in addition to the authority to provide compensation under subsection (a), the Secretary may provide a covered employee allowances and benefits under paragraph (1) of section 9904 of title 5 without regard to the limitations in that section—

"(A) that the employee be assigned to activities outside the United States; or
“(B) that the activities to which the employee is assigned be in support of Department of Defense activities abroad.

“(2) The Secretary may not provide allowances and benefits under paragraph (1) to more than 125 covered employees per year.

“(3)(A) The Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a strategy addressing the mission of the Defense Clandestine Service during the period covered by the most recent future-years defense program submitted under section 221 of this title, including—

“(i) how such mission will evolve during such period;

“(ii) how the authority provided by paragraph (1) will assist the Secretary in carrying out such mission; and

“(iii) an implementation plan for carrying out paragraph (1), including a projection of how much the amount of the allowances and benefits provided under such paragraph compare with the amount of the allowances and benefits provided before the date of the report.

“(B) Not later than December 31, 2020, and each year thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the appro-
appropriate congressional committees a report, with respect to
the fiscal year preceding the date on which the report is
submitted—
“(i) identifying the number of covered employees for whom the Secretary provided allowances and
benefits under paragraph (1); and
“(ii) evaluating the efficacy of such allowances
and benefits in enabling the execution of the object-
tives of the Defense Intelligence Agency.
“(C) The reports under subparagraphs (A) and (B)
may be submitted in classified form.
“(4) In this subsection:
“(A) The term ‘appropriate congressional com-
mittees’ means—
“(i) the congressional defense committees;
and
“(ii) the Permanent Select Committee on
Intelligence of the House of Representatives
and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the
Senate.
“(B) The term ‘covered employee’ means an
employee in a defense intelligence position who is as-
signed to the Defense Clandestine Service at a loca-
tion in the United States that the Secretary deter-
mines has living costs equal to or higher than the District of Columbia.”
Amendment to H.R. 2500
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020

Offered by: Mr. Lamborn of Colorado

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2500, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Briefing on Sustainment Plan for Maintaining Access to MIL-PRF-19500 Parts

The committee recognizes the important role that military specification semiconductor devices perform in a broad array of space, satellite, and strategic defense applications. These components form the backbone of critical defense and space designs by providing power and control to satellite, aircraft, and missiles and must be able to withstand environmental stress such as extreme temperature, radiation, shock, and vibration. The committee is aware of possible disruptions to the supply of over three thousand critical components that meet MIL-PRF-19500 specifications as production ceases or domestic fabrication is relocated outside of the United States. Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by 15 January, 2020, on the status of the domestic supply chain for MIL-PRF-19500 qualified discrete parts and the sustainment plan for maintaining access to these qualified parts.
Amendment to H.R. 2500
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020

Offered by: Mr. Wilson of South Carolina

In the appropriate place in the report to accompany H.R. 2500, insert the following new Directive Report Language:

Air Combat Training System and Spectrum Allocation

The committee notes that the January 2015 spectrum auction of Advanced Wireless Service licenses for three defined frequency bands, known as AWS-3, affected infrastructure for several Air Combat Training System (ACTS) programs including those that impact the F-35 program. The committee is concerned that the relocation or compression of spectrum from the AWS-3 auction, specifically from the 1755-1780 megahertz (MHz) band, may have adversely impacted ACTS programs as it relates to F-35 testing and evaluation and other related areas, such as joint interoperable live virtual constructive (LVC) training systems.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Armed Services Committee no later than December 31, 2019, on actions taken related to impact mitigation of the Advanced Wireless Services 3 auction, and how relocation and compression efforts to date have been managed for DoD ACTS programs, F-35, electronic warfare programs, satellite operations, and other related areas.