

**Written testimony of Kevin R. Kosar  
Vice President of Policy, R Street Institute  
Before the House of Representatives  
Legislative Branch Appropriations Subcommittee  
April 2, 2019**

Thank you, Chairman Ryan, Ranking Member Beutler and members of the Legislative Branch Appropriations subcommittee for holding this open hearing. This is the third consecutive year the subcommittee has held a public hearing, which is a wonderful and remarkable achievement. All of us who have participated previously and are submitting testimony today are very grateful.

I am the vice president of policy at the R Street Institute, and I previously spent 11 rewarding years as an analyst and acting research manager at the Congressional Research Service (CRS).

After serving CRS, I joined others in advocating for equitable public access to CRS reports. I believed that it was unfair that the public had no online source for getting authenticated copies of the reports, whereas lobbyists and others within the Beltway had easy access. I also contended that in the age of “fake news” and “alternative facts” the public and media need more objective sources of information for reference.<sup>1</sup>

This subcommittee acted and fixed the problem. Two years ago, it wrote a law that struck down the 1954 appropriations rider that created inequitable access.<sup>2</sup> Thank you, again.

I also am pleased to see that CRS has made great strides in implementing the law’s provisions. [Crsreports.congress.gov](http://Crsreports.congress.gov) is online and has posted 80 percent of its “active” reports.<sup>3</sup> The agency also has committed to posting its very useful *In Focus* and *Insights* publications on this public website.<sup>4</sup> CRS and the Library of Congress deserve credit for their work.

However, I would like to call your attention to one aspect of implementation that has been less than satisfactory. At present, CRS is posting reports only in PDF format. That makes them difficult to read and slow to load on mobile devices. Legislators and congressional staff, meanwhile, have access to both mobile-friendly HTML copies and PDFs through the non-public [CRS.gov](http://CRS.gov).

---

<sup>1</sup> Kevin Kosar, “Where taxpayers pay (\$100 million a year) but interest groups benefit,” *The Washington Post*, Nov. 10, 2015. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/federal-eye/wp/2015/11/10/where-taxpayers-pay-100-million-a-year-but-interest-groups-benefit/?utm\\_term=.965e4c523c42](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/federal-eye/wp/2015/11/10/where-taxpayers-pay-100-million-a-year-but-interest-groups-benefit/?utm_term=.965e4c523c42).

<sup>2</sup> Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018 (P.L. 115-141). <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1625/text?format=txt>

<sup>3</sup> A report is deemed “active” if its content is current and the subject matter is of interest to Congress.

<sup>4</sup> Carla Hayden, letter to Rep. Mike Quigley, March 1, 2019. The statute requires public release of: “any written product containing research or analysis that is currently available for general congressional access on the CRS Congressional Intranet, or that would be made available on the CRS Congressional Intranet in the normal course of business and does not include material prepared in response to Congressional requests for confidential analysis or research.”

Why the public-facing site offers only PDF copies is unclear. These report files are not born as PDFs. Indeed, CRS analysts and experts create their reports as Microsoft Word files, which then are converted into both HTML and PDF files during the publishing process.

I and others request the subcommittee to please direct CRS to post its reports in HTML or other mobile-friendly formats on [Crsreports.congress.gov](https://www.congress.gov/crsreports). This implementation shortcoming should be easily solved.<sup>5</sup>

And if I may raise one additional matter, CRS has a large trove of what are called “non-current reports.” These are reports that have been placed in the CRSX archive and made unavailable to Congress except upon request of a legislator or legislative staff. CRS’ rationale for this obscurity has varied. In some cases, the subject of the report is not actively being considered by Congress. In other instances, the author of the report has retired or otherwise departed from the agency, or the report has been superseded by a new report. But many of the reports are locked in CRSX simply because they are more than a few years old.

To date, CRS has been loath to make these reports available outside of the private CRSX archive. And when Rep. Quigley asked whether it would place these reports online, the Librarian of Congress replied that the task was outside the scope of the 2018 Consolidated Appropriations Act’s requirements.<sup>6</sup>

This is a shame. There are an enormous number of informative reports in CRSX that would benefit the public. I would encourage the subcommittee to please direct CRS to begin sharing reports from CRSX on the publicly available site this year.<sup>7</sup>

Thank you for your time and consideration. I would be happy to answer any questions the subcommittee or its staff may have.

---

<sup>5</sup> The privately created site [Everycrsreport.com](https://www.everycrsreport.com), which cost less than \$20,000 to build, has both HTML and PDF copies.

<sup>6</sup> Carla Hayden, letter to Rep. Mike Quigley, March 1, 2019. Which prompts the question: Can CRS avoid publishing a report publicly through a declaration that the report is no longer “active”?

<sup>7</sup> Some of these reports—especially reports published more than a decade ago—might only be available in PDF format. Although not ideal, access to PDF copies of old CRS reports would be better than no access.