Good morning Chair DeLauro, Congressman Cole, and members of the Subcommittee.

My name is Jennifer Kertanis. I am the Director of Health at the Farmington Valley Health District, serving a population of approximately 110,000 people in ten towns west of Hartford, Connecticut. I have served in this position since 2012. I am also the President of the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), the association that represents our nation’s nearly 3,000 local health departments. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today about the critical importance of our nation’s local public health infrastructure, including our workforce.

Local health departments have been on the front lines of the COVID-19 response since the beginning. In my own health department, and as President of NACCHO, I have witnessed my colleagues’ incredible efforts over the past year to keep their communities safe and the work that they are doing on all fronts of the pandemic response, including efforts to ensure an equitable and efficient roll out of the COVID-19 vaccines. However, I have also seen the challenges that so many of us face to do this work in a way that does not come at a cost of other public health priorities. Disinvestment over time means that staff were already stretched thin well before COVID-19, and every day we continue to face challenges fully staffing the many
aspects of the pandemic response, let alone the other pressing public health matters that continue to affect our communities.

Local Health Departments Promote Healthy Communities

Local health departments are responsible for safeguarding the public, as well as responding to routine health threats and emergencies. At the local level, we know our communities block-by-block, including the assets and barriers to care, the industries and living situations that pose particular challenges, as well as the community-level partners and organizations that must be included to be successful. We live in our community and serve our neighbors, fielding the many concerns or questions that families, local decision makers, and health care providers have—whether they are asked through a call to the health department or in the parking lot of a grocery store. We understand the concerns of the community and have a pulse on emerging issues. While my health department might be considered mid-sized, local health departments as a whole range in size and geographic location, governance, and resources. But no matter these differences, we all have the shared goal of protecting and promoting the public’s health.

Healthy people contribute to a healthy workforce, better educational outcomes, and healthier communities overall. Public health differs from health care in that its primary focus is preventing disease before treatment is required. For decades, public health departments have worked to address the rise in chronic diseases that can bankrupt our health care system and impact the security of this nation. Community based policies and programs to encourage healthy eating and physical activity are a critical part of the work local health departments do to facilitate healthy choices and protect the public’s health.
These efforts—though not on the front pages of the newspaper—are critically important to creating a healthier and more resilient community and nation. We see this in the pandemic, as individuals with underlying conditions have worse and more painful outcomes from COVID-19. But we also see this impact in other areas of society. For example, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has found that nearly three-quarters of young people are not eligible to join the military if they so choose because of an epidemic of obesity.¹

Similarly, preventable, chronic conditions can impact economic productivity and the overall fiscal health of the individual and their community, while preventable health care costs weigh heavily on our nation’s balance sheet. The benefits of a strong public health system have wide ranging impacts. Investment both during and long after the pandemic is key to unlocking these for all Americans.

The Role of Local Health Departments in Responding to the Pandemic

Even before a single case of the virus was detected within the United States, we at local health departments began to mobilize and engage our community and health care partners. Local health departments provide testing and contact tracing services, monitor the health of those who may have been exposed to COVID, and support them to self-isolate. We use data derived from case investigations to locate not only who is developing COVID-19, but also to identify trends and hot spots that inform local policies and actions related to the primary transmission routes in their communities. We are planning and ramping up as a key player in the largest mass vaccination campaign our nation has ever embarked on and working with community partners to disseminate credible information, calm fears, dispel myths, and develop and implement plans to protect the highest at-risk groups including Black Americans, Latinx
people, and the elderly of all races. We have also worked closely with the entirety of the federal-state-local governmental public health partnership, working to bring the local perspective to our national plans.

It is important to note that this takes an incredible amount of staff time building relationships and trust with their communities over time. Our people are our greatest asset, and there is always more work to be done.

**Pre-COVID Public Health Workforce Deficits**

Day in and day out, pandemic or not, public health is a discipline that relies on people. However, as a nation, this system in many ways came into the pandemic starting at a deficit. A lack of sufficient, predictable funding has led to challenges in supporting these roles, recruiting top talent, and retaining this expertise.

The work of governmental public health—and local public health in particular—has long been under resourced. Prior to COVID-19, local health departments had already seen decreases in available funding and staff amid increasing threats to the public’s health. The Great Recession of 2008 hit all sectors of local government hard, but whereas other sectors were able to bounce back, funding for local public health did not recover. NACCHO’s research shows that over time, average local health department expenditures per capita decreased 30%, from $80 in 2008, to $56 in 2019.¹ This is particularly pronounced when looking at the data by size of health department. Over the same time period, we have been facing increasingly complex public health challenges, while population increases and an aging population put a further strain on scarce dollars. In many ways we have had to triage, with many health departments
having to make difficult choices as a myriad of threats like Zika, Ebola, measles, youth e-cigarette use, chronic disease, and opioid abuse and overdose competed for scarce resources.

Without the needed investments, cracks emerge in our system and we only see them later. For example, average life expectancy in the United States has dropped in recent years, from 78.9 years in 2014, to 78.6 in 2017.³ And in 2018-2019 this nation nearly lost our measles elimination status as under vaccination and hesitancy allowed for sustained outbreaks for nearly a year. We have had many successes in public health, but to keep them that way they must be maintained, even then they are out of the spotlight.

Local public health budget cuts show themselves most clearly in workforce reductions that have made the current pandemic response even more challenging. After the 2008 recession, local health departments lost 20% of their jobs (37,000) nationwide, and although they had finally started to rebuild in the past few years, adding 3% of that lost workforce back (6,000 jobs) between 2016 and 2019, the increases have not kept up with population, need, or demand.⁴ Over the same period, the nation’s population increased by 8%.⁵ As a result, local health departments have actually lost 21% of their workforce capacity since 2008, with the number of full-time equivalent employees dropping from 5.2 per 10,000 people in 2008 to 4.1 per 10,000 people in 2019.⁶ In 2019, just prior to the most deadly public health emergency in a century, nearly a quarter of local health departments reported job loses.⁷

The types of jobs lost also matter. We have seen a huge reduction in public health nurses on staff—the key utility player in the COVID-19 response — with a loss more than one third since 2008. Similarly, key roles that work across programs were also lost. For example, public information officers were difficult to train with decreased budgets, but their importance
as lead communicators to help get information to the public in a clear and consistent way is a critical component of a public health response built on the actions of individuals to properly social distance and use masks.

The types of workforce available to local health departments vary widely due to the size of the health department and its budget. Almost all local health departments employ registered nurses and office and administrative support staff. Local health departments serving populations greater than 500,000 are much more likely than small agencies to employ epidemiologists or statisticians, information systems specialists, public information professionals, and public health physicians. The lack of professionals who are trained to assess data and information coming in from health care and other sectors has been particularly critical in the COVID-19 response. Less than 10% of the smallest health departments employ epidemiologists/statisticians or public information officers, which affects the ability of these agencies to adequately track the spread of disease and communicate timely, science-based information to the public.

When COVID-19 emerged, local health departments again had to make difficult choices about which lifesaving efforts to stop doing or dial back in order to respond to the pandemic. Staff were pulled from all other sectors of the health department to respond, but that left those programs unable to continue at needed levels. HIV prevention, substance abuse interventions, and food safety inspections were just a few of the services that health departments curtailed in the spring and summer of 2020 to shift focus to the pandemic, with impacts that continue today. Many health departments also had to put programs on hold that address the causes of ill health like racial inequity, uneven access to affordable housing, and food insecurity. A lack of
public health workforce capacity has real world implications that expand the human cost of the pandemic beyond the astronomical number of lives lost to the virus. We will be picking up the pieces of these other priorities long after the pandemic—and the supplemental funding appropriated for it—ends. It is critical that we commit to strong, long-term investments in our public health infrastructure to have the workforce and resources to do it.

**Recruitment and Retention of Public Health Professionals**

The public health workforce crisis needs our attention now—not just to get through the pandemic, but also to pick up the pieces of the many other public health issues that have not gotten their needed attention. To do so, we must focus on the three key factors to building a strong health department workforce: retaining trained staff, recruiting top talent, and expanding the workforce with predictable, sustainable funding. We must act to create a comprehensive approach to increasing available jobs to grow the public health workforce, recruiting key professionals, and retaining them for the long term.

That is why over the past year, NACCHO has organized and led over 100 stakeholder organizations in a call to create a federal loan repayment program for public health professionals who complete a term of service in a local, state, or tribal health department that would help to fill these workforce gaps. This is particularly relevant now, as new staff and volunteers are being brought into the field for the COVID-19 response on a temporary basis and others are leaving due to extreme burn out. A public health loan repayment program, modelled after the successful National Health Service Corps, would provide an added incentive to retain staff long term and help ensure that their experience is harnessed and available to address current as well as future public health emergencies. Bipartisan legislation was introduced in the
last Congress and included in the Heroes Act to stand up this program, and we urge Congress to move forward to fund this initiative as quickly as possible so that the program can be initiated in time to help the pandemic response.

Beyond this, more must be done to support the local health department workforce over the course of their careers. Pay is very low, hours are long, and long-term retention can be a challenge. However, that expertise is critical to preserve in the governmental health system. We are seeing this play out in our health departments every day. For example, my colleague, a local health director in a metro area, has lost many of her public health nurses to a contract nursing firm which was hired to help supplement the work of her local health department. Her nurses heard how much the contract nurses were paid for doing the exact same work, made the rational choice to work for the contract firm instead, and were back doing the same work in their community, but lost to the overall capacity in the health department. The pandemic has shown the importance of the governmental public health system to the very functioning of our daily lives and we must value it accordingly.

**Long Term Investment in Public Health Infrastructure**

The importance of strong, predictable federal investment in the public health system is even more vital now as the economic and social impacts of the pandemic are felt nationwide, and as local and state budgets contend with lost tax revenue. Since the 2008 recession, local health department spending per capita has been flat at best: while small local health departments have seen median per capita spending remain essentially flat in the last decade, after accounting for inflation, medium and large local health departments report 14% and 22% declines in median per capita spending, respectively. And last year, 175 million Americans were
living in communities that experienced stagnant or reduced local health department funding in 2019, impacting over half of the U.S. population. Over the summer we saw some local health departments furlough staff in the middle of the pandemic due to budget challenges related to the economic impact of COVID-19 on local and state budgets, and similar constraints could arise as the pandemic continues. Predictable, sustainable funding is critical for us to be able to create and maintain the workforce needed to do this work now and into the future.

The reality is that we need to do more to ensure that every American is protected and supported by a strong public health system, as the resources, staffing, and capacity of local health departments greatly vary across the country. It is critical that we have sustained investments in the basic infrastructure of the governmental public health system at all levels, federal, state and local. By building the core public health infrastructure of localities, states, tribal governments and territories, as well as the CDC, the nation will be better prepared for emerging threats in ways that will more meaningfully address the health inequities magnified by such threats.

A baseline of public health investment and services is essential so that all Americans can be confident that the public health system is strong no matter where they live. Investing in our governmental public health infrastructure now is also important to our overall future preparedness to effectively and efficiently address pandemic response and other threats to our nation’s health.

Thank you again for the opportunity to address the subcommittee today and I look forward to your questions.