

**Suspend the Rules and Agree to the Resolution, H. Res. 575, With  
Amendments**

**(The amendments consist of a new preamble, a substitute text, and  
an amendment to the title)**

116<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
1<sup>ST</sup> SESSION

# H. RES. 575

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that all stakeholders in the deployment of 5G communications infrastructure should carefully consider and adhere to the recommendations of “The Prague Proposals”.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

Mr. FLORES (for himself and Mr. SOTO) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

---

# RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that all stakeholders in the deployment of 5G communications infrastructure should carefully consider and adhere to the recommendations of “The Prague Proposals”.

Whereas 5G, the next generation (5th generation) in wireless technology, promises the next evolution of communications and information technology services, applications, and capabilities across every sector of business, government, entertainment, and communications;

Whereas the United States, Europe, China, and others are racing toward 5G adoption and upgrading existing networks, which will drive subsequent advances in artificial intelligence, machine learning, smart homes, smart cities, robotics, autonomous vehicles, and quantum computers;

Whereas 5G will make possible the automatization of everyday activities and the use of the full potential of the Internet of Things;

Whereas these developments, while evolutionary, could include risks to important public interests, including privacy, data security, public safety, and national security;

Whereas in a highly connected world, disruption of the integrity, confidentiality, or availability of communications or even the disruption of the communications service itself can seriously hamper everyday life, societal functions, the economy, and national security;

Whereas the security of 5G networks is crucial for national security, economic security, and other United States national interests and global stability;

Whereas operators of communications infrastructure depend on a complex supply chain of technology from a global market of suppliers and service providers;

Whereas government security officials and experts from 32 countries came together in Prague in May of 2019 to work out guidelines for the deployment and security of 5G networks;

Whereas representatives agreed that “[m]ajor security risks emanate from the cross-border complexities of an increasingly global supply chain which provides [information and communications technology] equipment. These risks should be considered as part of the risk assessment based

on relevant information and should seek to prevent proliferation of compromised devices and the use of malicious code and functions.”; and

Whereas the Prague 5G Security Conference adopted security recommendations, which have come to be known as “The Prague Proposals”: Now, therefore, be it

1       *Resolved,*

2       **SECTION 1. SENSE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.**

3       The House of Representatives—

4           (1) urges all stakeholders in the deployment of  
5       5G communications infrastructure to carefully con-  
6       sider adherence to the recommendations of “The  
7       Prague Proposals” (as described in section 2) as  
8       they procure products and services across their sup-  
9       ply chain; and

10          (2) encourages the President and Federal agen-  
11       cies to promote global trade and security policies  
12       that are consistent with “The Prague Proposals”  
13       and urge our allies to embrace the recommendations  
14       of “The Prague Proposals” for their 5G infrastruc-  
15       ture.

16       **SEC. 2. PRAGUE PROPOSALS.**

17       The text of “The Prague Proposals” is as follows:

18           (1) “POLICY”.—

19               (A) “Communication networks and services  
20       should be designed with resilience and security

1 in mind. They should be built and maintained  
2 using international, open, consensus-based  
3 standards and risk-informed cybersecurity best  
4 practices. Clear globally interoperable cyber se-  
5 curity guidance that would support cyber secu-  
6 rity products and services in increasing resil-  
7 ience of all stakeholders should be promoted.”.

8 (B) “Every country is free, in accordance  
9 with international law, to set its own national  
10 security and law enforcement requirements,  
11 which should respect privacy and adhere to laws  
12 protecting information from improper collection  
13 and misuse.”.

14 (C) “Laws and policies governing networks  
15 and connectivity services should be guided by  
16 the principles of transparency and equitability,  
17 taking into account the global economy and  
18 interoperable rules, with sufficient oversight  
19 and respect for the rule of law.”.

20 (D) “The overall risk of influence on a  
21 supplier by a third country should be taken into  
22 account, notably in relation to its model of gov-  
23 ernance, the absence of cooperation agreements  
24 on security, or similar arrangements, such as  
25 adequacy decisions, as regards data protection,

1 or whether this country is a party to multilat-  
2 eral, international or bilateral agreements on  
3 cybersecurity, the fight against cybercrime, or  
4 data protection.”.

5 (2) “TECHNOLOGY”.—

6 (A) “Stakeholders should regularly conduct  
7 vulnerability assessments and risk mitigation  
8 within all components and network systems,  
9 prior to product release and during system op-  
10 eration, and promote a culture of find/fix/patch  
11 to mitigate identified vulnerabilities and rapidly  
12 deploy fixes or patches.”.

13 (B) “Risk assessments of supplier’s prod-  
14 ucts should take into account all relevant fac-  
15 tors, including applicable legal environment and  
16 other aspects of supplier’s ecosystem, as these  
17 factors may be relevant to stakeholders’ efforts  
18 to maintain the highest possible level of cyber  
19 security.”.

20 (C) “When building up resilience and secu-  
21 rity, it should be taken into consideration that  
22 malicious cyber activities do not always require  
23 the exploitation of a technical vulnerability, e.g.  
24 in the event of insider attack.”.

1 (D) “In order to increase the benefits of  
2 global communication, States should adopt poli-  
3 cies to enable efficient and secure network data  
4 flows.”.

5 (E) “Stakeholders should take into consid-  
6 eration technological changes accompanying 5G  
7 networks roll out, e.g. use of edge computing  
8 and software defined network/network function  
9 virtualization, and its impact on overall security  
10 of communication channels.”.

11 (F) “Customer—whether the government,  
12 operator, or manufacturer—must be able to be  
13 informed about the origin and pedigree of com-  
14 ponents and software that affect the security  
15 level of the product or service, according to  
16 state of art and relevant commercial and tech-  
17 nical practices, including transparency of main-  
18 tenance, updates, and remediation of the prod-  
19 ucts and services.”.

20 (3) “ECONOMY”.—

21 (A) “A diverse and vibrant communica-  
22 tions equipment market and supply chain are  
23 essential for security and economic resilience.”.

24 (B) “Robust investment in research and  
25 development benefits the global economy and

1 technological advancement and is a way to po-  
2 tentially increase diversity of technological solu-  
3 tions with positive effects on security of commu-  
4 nication networks.”.

5 (C) “Communication networks and net-  
6 work services should be financed openly and  
7 transparently using standard best practices in  
8 procurement, investment, and contracting.”.

9 (D) “State-sponsored incentives, subsidies,  
10 or financing of 5G communication networks  
11 and service providers should respect principles  
12 of fairness, be commercially reasonable, con-  
13 ducted openly and transparently, based on open  
14 market competitive principles, while taking into  
15 account trade obligations.”.

16 (E) “Effective oversight on key financial  
17 and investment instruments influencing tele-  
18 communication network development is crit-  
19 ical.”.

20 (F) “Communication networks and net-  
21 work service providers should have transparent  
22 ownership, partnerships, and corporate govern-  
23 ance structures.”.

24 (4) “SECURITY, PRIVACY, AND RESILIENCE”.—

1 (A) “All stakeholders including industry  
2 should work together to promote security and  
3 resilience of national critical infrastructure net-  
4 works, systems, and connected devices.”.

5 (B) “Sharing experience and best prac-  
6 tices, including assistance, as appropriate, with  
7 mitigation, investigation, response, and recovery  
8 from network attacks, compromises, or disrup-  
9 tions should be promoted.”.

10 (C) “Security and risk assessments of ven-  
11 dors and network technologies should take into  
12 account rule of law, security environment, ven-  
13 dor malfeasance, and compliance with open,  
14 interoperable, secure standards, and industry  
15 best practices to promote a vibrant and robust  
16 cyber security supply of products and services  
17 to deal with the rising challenges.”.

18 (D) “Risk management framework in a  
19 manner that respects data protection principles  
20 to ensure privacy of citizens using network  
21 equipment and services should be imple-  
22 mented.”.

Amend the title so as to read: “Resolution express-  
ing the sense of the House of Representatives that all  
stakeholders in the deployment of 5G communications in-

frastructure should carefully consider adherence to the recommendations of ‘The Prague Proposals’.”.