114TH CONGRESS 2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT 114-

ENSURING INTEGRITY IN THE IRS WORKFORCE ACT OF 2016

APRIL --, 2016.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Brady of Texas, from the Committee on Ways and Means, submitted the following

REPORT

together with

VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 3724]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Ways and Means, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 3724) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to prohibit the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service from rehiring any employee of the Internal Revenue Service who was involuntarily separated from service for misconduct, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon with an amendment and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Ensuring Integrity in the IRS Workforce Act of

SEC. 2. PROHIBITION ON REHIRING ANY EMPLOYEE OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WHO WAS INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED FROM SERVICE FOR MISCONDUCT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7804 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) PROHIBITION ON REHIRING EMPLOYEES INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED.—The

Commissioner may not employ any individual previously employed by the Commissioner who was removed for misconduct under this subchapter or chapter 43 or chapter 75 of title 5, United States Code, or whose employment was terminated under section 1203 of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (26 U.S.C. 7804 note)."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to any employee removed from employment before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.

No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act and the amendments made by this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND1	
	A. Purpose and Summary
II.	EXPLANATION OF THE BILL
III.	VOTES OF THE COMMITTEE5
IV.	BUDGET EFFECTS OF THE BILL
	A. Committee Estimate of Budgetary Effects
V.	OTHER MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED UNDER THE RULES OF THE HOUSE 7
	A. Committee Oversight Findings and Recommendations 7 B. Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives 7 C. Information Relating to Unfunded Mandates 7 D. Applicability of House Rule XXI 5(b) 7 E. Tax Complexity Analysis 7
	F. Congressional Earmarks, Limited Tax Benefits, and Limited Tariff Benefits 8 G. Duplication of Federal Programs 8 H. Disclosure of Directed Rule Makings 8
VI.	CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED9
	A. Text of Existing Law Amended or Repealed by the Bill, as Reported

I. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

A. Purpose and Summary

The bill, H.R. 3724, as reported by the Committee on Ways and Means, amends the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code) to prohibit the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) from rehiring an employee who was involuntarily separated from service for misconduct.

B. Background and Need for Legislation

The Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) reported in February 2015 that the IRS was repeatedly rehiring former employees who had well-documented conduct or performance issues. In its review, TIGTA identified hundreds of former employees who were rehired by the IRS despite having been terminated for previous misconduct. In particular, TIGTA found that the IRS rehired 141 former employees who had substantiated tax issues, including several who willfully failed to file their tax returns. Other misconduct issues from previous employment identified by TIGTA included accessing taxpayer information without authorization, falsification of official forms, unacceptable performance, and abuse of IRS leave and property policies. American taxpayers have a right to expect that IRS employees will be held to a high standard of conduct, especially when they have access to sensitive taxpayer information. Rehiring employees who were terminated for misconduct puts both taxpayers and the IRS at increased risk for future controversy and potential abuse. H.R. 3724 limits that risk by preventing the IRS from rehiring employees who have abused their positions and have had their employment with the agency terminated

C. Legislative History

Background

H.R. 3724 was introduced on October 8, 2015, and was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Committee action

The Committee on Ways and Means marked up H.R. 3724, "Ensuring Integrity in the IRS Workforce Act of 2016," on April 13, 2016, and ordered the bill, as amended, favorably reported (with a quorum being present).

¹ Additional Consideration of Prior Conduct and Performance Issues is Needed When Hiring Former Employees, Final Report Issued on December 30, 2014, Ref. Num.: 2015-10-006; available at: https://www.treasury.gov/tigta/auditreports/2015reports/201510006fr.pdf.

Committee hearings

The need for improved IRS hiring practices and employee conduct was discussed at an Oversight Subcommittee hearing on the 2015 Tax Filing Season (April 22, 2015).

II. EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

A. Prohibition on Rehiring Former Employees Who Were Involuntarily Separated for Misconduct

Present Law

Employees of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) are subject to rules governing Federal employment generally² as well as rules of conduct specific to Department of the Treasury and Internal Revenue Service. Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch are supplemented by additional rules applicable to employees of the Department of the Treasury.³

The Code⁴ provides that the Commissioner of the IRS has such duties and powers as prescribed by the Secretary. Unless otherwise specified by the Secretary, such duties and powers include the power to administer, manage, conduct, direct, and supervise the execution and application of the internal revenue laws or related statutes and tax conventions to which the United States is a party, and to recommend to the President a candidate for Chief Counsel (and recommend the removal of the Chief Counsel). Unless otherwise specified by the Secretary, the Commissioner is authorized to employ such persons as the Commissioner deems proper for the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws and is required to issue all necessary directions, instructions, orders, and rules applicable to such persons,⁵ including determination and designation of posts of duty.

The IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (the IRS Reform Act)⁶ requires the IRS to terminate an employee for certain proven violations committed by the employee in connection with the performance of official duties. The violations include: (1) willful failure to obtain the required approval signatures on documents authorizing the seizure of a taxpayer's home, personal belongings, or business assets; (2) providing a false statement under oath material to a matter involving a taxpayer; (3) with respect to a taxpayer, taxpayer representative, or other IRS employee, the violation of any right under the U.S. Constitution, or any civil right established under titles VI or VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, sections 501 or 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and title I of the Americans with

² Part III of Title 5 of the United States Code prescribes rules for Federal employment, including employment, retention, and management and employee issues.

³ Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 C.F.R. 735. 5 CFR 3101, Supplemental Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Department of the Treasury; 31 CFR Part 0, Department of the Treasury Employee Rules of Conduct.

⁴ Sec. 7803(a).

⁵ Sec. 7804.

⁶ Pub. L. No. 105-206, sec. 1203(b), July 22, 1998.

Disabilities Act of 1990; (4) falsifying or destroying documents to conceal mistakes made by any employee with respect to a matter involving a taxpayer or a taxpayer representative; (5) assault or battery on a taxpayer or other IRS employee, but only if there is a criminal conviction or a final judgment by a court in a civil case, with respect to the assault or battery; (6) violations of the Internal Revenue Code, Treasury Regulations, or policies of the IRS (including the Internal Revenue Manual) for the purpose of retaliating or harassing a taxpayer or other IRS employee; (7) willful misuse of section 6103 for the purpose of concealing data from a Congressional inquiry; (8) willful failure to file any tax return required under the Code on or before the due date (including extensions) unless failure is due to reasonable cause; (9) willful understatement of Federal tax liability, unless such understatement is due to reasonable cause; and (10) threatening to take an official action, such as an audit, or delay or fail to take official action with respect to a taxpayer for political purposes or for the purpose of extracting personal gain or benefit.

The IRS Reform Act provides non-delegable authority to the Commissioner to determine that mitigating factors exist, that, in the Commissioner's sole discretion, mitigate against terminating the employee. The IRS Reform Act also provides that the Commissioner, in his sole discretion, may establish a procedure to determine whether an individual should be referred for such a determination by the Commissioner. The Treasury Inspector General is required to track employee terminations and terminations that would have occurred had the Commissioner not determined that there were mitigation factors and include such information in the annual report to Congress.

Reasons for Change

The TIGTA reported in 2015 that the IRS had rehired 141 former employees who had previously been dismissed involuntarily for cause. The types of misconduct that led to the involuntary dismissals included willful failure to file tax returns, unauthorized access to confidential tax information, falsification of official forms, abuse of leave, and other violations of IRS policies. The Committee believes that rehiring persons who were fired for such misconduct is improper and poses a serious risk to the confidentiality of the information entrusted to the IRS and erodes trust in the IRS. In order to restore trust in the integrity of IRS employees, and hold the IRS officials accountable for their hiring practices, it is necessary to ban rehiring persons who were dismissed for cause.

Explanation of Provision

An employee of the IRS who is involuntarily separated due to misconduct under subchapter B of Chapter 80 of the Code, under chapters 43 or 75 of title 5 of the United States Code, or section 1203 of the IRS Restructuring Act of 1998, cannot be reemployed by the IRS. No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of the provision.

Effective Date

The provision is effective with respect to any employee removed from employment before, on or after the date of enactment.

III. VOTES OF THE COMMITTEE

In compliance with clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is made concerning the vote of the Committee on Ways and Means in its consideration of H.R. 3724, "Ensuring Integrity in the IRS Workforce Act of 2016", on April 13, 2016.

The Chairman's amendment in the nature of a substitute was adopted by a voice vote (with a quorum being present).

The bill, H.R. 3724, as amended, was ordered favorably reported to the House of Representatives by a voice vote (with a quorum being present).

IV. BUDGET EFFECTS OF THE BILL

A. Committee Estimate of Budgetary Effects

In compliance with clause 3(d) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is made concerning the effects on the budget of the bill, H.R. 3724, as reported.

The bill, as reported, is estimated to have a negligible effect on Federal fiscal year budget receipts for the period 2016-2026.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statement is made by the Joint Committee on Taxation with respect to the provisions of the bill amending the Internal Revenue Code of 1986: The gross budgetary effect (before incorporating macroeconomic effects) in any fiscal year is less than 0.25 percent of the current projected gross domestic product of the United States for that fiscal year; therefore, the bill is not "major legislation" for purposes of requiring that the estimate include the budgetary effects of changes in economic output, employment, capital stock and other macroeconomic variables.

B. Statement Regarding New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures Budget Authority

In compliance with clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee states that the bill involves no new or increased budget authority. The Committee further states that there are no new or increased tax expenditures.

C. Cost Estimate Prepared by the Congressional Budget Office

In compliance with clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, requiring a cost estimate prepared by the CBO, the following statement by CBO is provided.

[Insert A – CBO letter/estimate]

V. OTHER MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED UNDER THE RULES OF THE HOUSE

A. Committee Oversight Findings and Recommendations

With respect to clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives (relating to oversight findings), the Committee advises that it was as a result of the Committee's review of the provisions of H.R. 3724 that the Committee concluded that it is appropriate to report the bill, as amended, favorably to the House of Representatives with the recommendation that the bill do pass.

B. Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives

With respect to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee advises that the bill contains no measure that authorizes funding, so no statement of general performance goals and objectives for which any measure authorizes funding is required.

C. Information Relating to Unfunded Mandates

This information is provided in accordance with section 423 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104-4).

The Committee has determined that the bill contains no unfunded mandate on the private sector, nor does it impose a Federal intergovernmental mandate on State, local, or tribal governments.

D. Applicability of House Rule XXI 5(b)

Rule XXI 5(b) of the Rules of the House of Representatives provides, in part, that "A bill or joint resolution, amendment, or conference report carrying a Federal income tax rate increase may not be considered as passed or agreed to unless so determined by a vote of not less than three-fifths of the Members voting, a quorum being present." The Committee has carefully reviewed the bill and states that the bill does not involve any Federal income tax rate increases within the meaning of the rule.

E. Tax Complexity Analysis

Section 4022(b) of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 ("IRS Reform Act") requires the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation (in consultation with the Internal Revenue Service and the Treasury Department) to provide a tax complexity analysis. The complexity analysis is required for all legislation reported by the Senate Committee on Finance, the House Committee on Ways and Means, or any committee of conference if the legislation includes a provision that directly or indirectly amends the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and has widespread applicability to individuals or small businesses.

Pursuant to clause 3(h)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation has determined that a complexity analysis is not

required under section 4022(b) of the IRS Reform Act because the bill contains no provisions that amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and that have "widespread applicability" to individuals or small businesses, within the meaning of the rule.

F. Congressional Earmarks, Limited Tax Benefits, and Limited Tariff Benefits

With respect to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee has carefully reviewed the provisions of the bill and states that the provisions of the bill do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits within the meaning of the rule.

G. Duplication of Federal Programs

In compliance with Sec. 3(g)(2) of H. Res. 5 (114th Congress), the Committee states that no provision of the bill establishes or reauthorizes: (1) a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, (2) a program included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111-139, or (3) a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, published pursuant to the Federal Program Information Act (Public Law 95-220, as amended by Public Law 98-169).

H. Disclosure of Directed Rule Makings

In compliance with Sec. 3(i) of H. Res. 5 (114th Congress), the following statement is made concerning directed rule makings: The Committee estimates that the bill requires no directed rule makings within the meaning of such section.

VI. CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

A. Text of Existing Law Amended or Repealed by the Bill, as Reported

In compliance with clause 3(e)(1)(A) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the text of each section proposed to be amended or repealed by the bill, as reported, is shown below:

[Insert B - Ramseyer entire text]

B. Changes in Existing Law Proposed by the Bill, as Reported

In compliance with clause 3(e)(1)(B) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law proposed by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

[Insert C – Ramseyer comparative print]



April 18, 2016

Honorable Kevin Brady Chairman Committee on Ways and Means U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 3724, the Ensuring Integrity in the IRS Workforce Act of 2016.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Matthew Pickford, who can be reached at 226-2860.

Sincerely,

Keith Hall

Enclosure

cc: Honorable Sander M. Levin Ranking Member

www.cbo.gov



CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

April 18, 2016

H.R. 3724 Ensuring Integrity in the IRS Workforce Act of 2016

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on April 13, 2016

H.R. 3724 would amend federal law to prohibit the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) from re-hiring employees who were fired for misconduct. CBO estimates that implementing H.R. 3724 would not have a significant cost over the next five years because it would not change the agency's current employment procedures. The staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimate that enacting H.R. 3724 would affect revenues over the 2016-2026 period; therefore, pay-as-you procedures apply. However those effects would be negligible. Enacting the bill would not affect direct spending.

According to the IRS, H.R. 3724 would codify the agency's current policies and practices. As recommended by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration, the IRS now considers prior conduct and performance issues in the hiring process.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 3724 would not increase net direct spending or onbudget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2027.

JCT has determined that H.R. 3724 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.

The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Matthew Pickford. The estimate was approved by H. Samuel Papenfuss, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

In compliance with clause 3(e)(1)(A) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the text of each section proposed to be amended or repealed by the bill, as reported, is shown below:

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986

Subtitle F—Procedure and Administration

CHAPTER 80—GENERAL RULES

Subchapter A—Application of Internal Revenue Laws

SEC. 7804. OTHER PERSONNEL.

(a) APPOINTMENT AND SUPERVISION.—Unless otherwise prescribed by the Secretary, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue is authorized to employ such number of persons as the Commissioner deems proper for the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws, and the Commissioner shall issue all necessary directions, instructions, orders, and rules applicable to such per-

(b) Posts of Duty of Employees in Field Service or Trav-

ELING.—Unless otherwise prescribed by the Secretary—
(1) DESIGNATION OF POST OF DUTY.—The Commissioner shall determine and designate the posts of duty of all such persons engaged in field work or traveling on official business outside of the District of Columbia.

(2) Detail of Personnel from field service.—The Commissioner may order any such person engaged in field work to duty in the District of Columbia, for such periods as the Commissioner may prescribe, and to any designated post of duty outside the District of Columbia upon the completion of such

duty.

(c) Delinquent Internal Revenue Officers and Employ-EES.—If any officer or employee of the Treasury Department acting in connection with the internal revenue laws fails to account for and pay over any amount of money or property collected or received by him in connection with the internal revenue laws, the Secretary shall issue notice and demand to such officer or employee for payment of the amount which he failed to account for and pay over, 2

and, upon failure to pay the amount demanded within the time specified in such notice, the amount so demanded shall be deemed imposed upon such officer or employee and assessed upon the date of such notice and demand, and the provisions of chapter 64 and all other provisions of law relating to the collection of assessed taxes shall be applicable in respect of such amount.

* * * * * * *

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

In compliance with clause 3(e)(1)(B) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law proposed by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (new matter is printed in italics and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986

Subtitle F—Procedure and Administration

CHAPTER 80—GENERAL RULES

Subchapter A—Application of Internal Revenue Laws

SEC. 7804. OTHER PERSONNEL.

(a) APPOINTMENT AND SUPERVISION.—Unless otherwise prescribed by the Secretary, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue is authorized to employ such number of persons as the Commissioner deems proper for the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws, and the Commissioner shall issue all necessary directions, instructions, orders, and rules applicable to such per-

(b) Posts of Duty of Employees in Field Service or Trav-

ELING.—Unless otherwise prescribed by the Secretary—

(1) DESIGNATION OF POST OF DUTY.—The Commissioner shall determine and designate the posts of duty of all such persons engaged in field work or traveling on official business outside of the District of Columbia.

(2) DETAIL OF PERSONNEL FROM FIELD SERVICE.—The Commissioner may order any such person engaged in field work to duty in the District of Columbia, for such periods as the Commissioner may prescribe, and to any designated post of duty outside the District of Columbia upon the completion of such

(c) Delinquent Internal Revenue Officers and Employ-EES.—If any officer or employee of the Treasury Department acting in connection with the internal revenue laws fails to account for and pay over any amount of money or property collected or received by him in connection with the internal revenue laws, the Secretary

shall issue notice and demand to such officer or employee for payment of the amount which he failed to account for and pay over, and, upon failure to pay the amount demanded within the time specified in such notice, the amount so demanded shall be deemed imposed upon such officer or employee and assessed upon the date of such notice and demand, and the provisions of chapter 64 and all other provisions of law relating to the collection of assessed taxes shall be applicable in respect of such amount.

(d) Prohibition on Rehiring Employees Involuntarily Sep-ARATED.—The Commissioner may not employ any individual previously employed by the Commissioner who was removed for misconduct under this subchapter or chapter 43 or chapter 75 of title 5, United States Code, or whose employment was terminated under section 1203 of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (26 U.S.C. 7804 note).

f:\VHLC\041316\041316.221.xml April 13, 2016 (2:59 p.m.)