

115TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 2742

To amend title IV of the Social Security Act to require States to adopt an electronic system to help expedite the placement of children in foster care or guardianship, or for adoption, across State lines, and to provide funding to aid States in developing such a system, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 25, 2017

Mrs. WALORSKI (for herself and Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

---

## A BILL

To amend title IV of the Social Security Act to require States to adopt an electronic system to help expedite the placement of children in foster care or guardianship, or for adoption, across State lines, and to provide funding to aid States in developing such a system, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Modernizing the Inter-  
5       state Placement of Children in Foster Care Act”.

1   **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

2       The Congress finds that—

3           (1) when a child in foster care cannot return  
4           safely home, the child deserves to be placed in a set-  
5           ting that is best for that child, regardless of whether  
6           it is in the child's State or another State;

7           (2) the Interstate Compact on the Placement of  
8           Children (ICPC) was established in 1960 to provide  
9           a uniform legal framework for the placement of chil-  
10          dren across State lines in foster and adoptive homes;

11          (3) frequently, children waiting to be placed  
12          with an adoptive family, relative, or foster parent in  
13          another State spend more time waiting for this to  
14          occur than children who are placed with an adoptive,  
15          family, relative, or foster parent in the same State,  
16          because of the outdated, administratively burden-  
17          some ICPC process;

18          (4) no child should have to wait longer to be  
19          placed in a loving home simply because the child  
20          must cross a State line;

21          (5) the National Electronic Interstate Compact  
22          Enterprise (NEICE) was launched in August 2014  
23          in Indiana, Nevada, Florida, South Carolina, Wis-  
24          consin, and the District of Columbia, has since ex-  
25          panded into Illinois, Virginia, Rhode Island, Cali-  
26          fornia, Alaska, Nebraska, and Georgia, and is ex-

1       pected to be expanded into additional States to im-  
2       prove the administrative process by which children  
3       are placed with families across State lines;

4                 (6) States using this electronic interstate case-  
5       processing system have reduced administrative costs  
6       and the amount of staff time required to process  
7       these cases, and caseworkers can spend more time  
8       helping children instead of copying and mailing pa-  
9       perwork between States;

10                (7) since NEICE was launched, placement time  
11       has decreased by 30 percent for interstate foster  
12       care placements; and

13                (8) on average, States using this electronic  
14       interstate case-processing system have been able to  
15       reduce from 24 business days to 13 business days  
16       the time it takes to identify a family for a child and  
17       prepare the paperwork required to start the ICPC  
18       process.

19       **SEC. 3. STATE PLAN REQUIREMENT.**

20               (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 471(a)(25) of the Social  
21       Security Act (42 U.S.C. 671(a)(25)) is amended—

22                         (1) by striking “provide” and insert “provides”;  
23       and

24                         (2) by inserting “, which in the case of a State  
25       other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the

1       United States Virgin Islands, Guam, and American  
2       Samoa, not later than October 1, 2027, shall include  
3       the use of an electronic interstate case-processing  
4       system” before the 1st semicolon.

5       (b) EXEMPTION OF INDIAN TRIBES.—Section  
6       479B(c) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 679c(c)) is amended by  
7       adding at the end the following:

8                 “(4) INAPPLICABILITY OF STATE PLAN RE-  
9                 QUIREMENT TO HAVE IN EFFECT PROCEDURES PRO-  
10                 VIDING FOR THE USE AN ELECTRONIC INTERSTATE  
11                 CASE-PROCESSING SYSTEM.—The requirement in  
12                 section 471(a)(25) that a State plan provide that the  
13                 State shall have in effect procedures providing for  
14                 the use of an electronic interstate case-processing  
15                 system shall not apply to an Indian tribe, tribal or-  
16                 ganization, or tribal consortium that elects to oper-  
17                 ate a program under this part.”.

18       (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

19                 (1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by  
20                 subsection (a) shall take effect on the 1st day of the  
21                 1st calendar quarter beginning on or after the date  
22                 of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply to pay-  
23                 ments under part E of title IV of the Social Security  
24                 Act for calendar quarters beginning on or after such  
25                 date.

(2) DELAY PERMITTED IF STATE LEGISLATION REQUIRED.—If the Secretary of Health and Human Services determines that State legislation (other than legislation appropriating funds) is required in order for a State plan developed pursuant to part E of title IV of the Social Security Act to meet the additional requirement imposed by the amendments made by subsection (a), the plan shall not be regarded as failing to meet any of the additional requirements before the 1st day of the 1st calendar quarter beginning after the first regular session of the State legislature that begins after the date of the enactment of this Act. For purposes of the preceding sentence, if the State has a 2-year legislative session, each year of the session is deemed to be a separate regular session of the State legislature.

17 SEC. 4. FUNDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ELEC-  
18 TRONIC INTERSTATE CASE-PROCESSING SYS-  
19 TEM TO EXPEDITE THE INTERSTATE PLACE-  
20 MENT OF CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE OR  
21 GUARDIANSHIP, OR FOR ADOPTION.

22 Section 437 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
23 629g) is amended by adding at the end the following:

24        "(g) FUNDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN  
25 ELECTRONIC INTERSTATE CASE-PROCESSING SYSTEM TO

1 EXPEDITE THE INTERSTATE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN  
2 IN FOSTER CARE OR GUARDIANSHIP, OR FOR ADOP-  
3 TION.—

4           “(1) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this subsection  
5       is to facilitate the development of an electronic inter-  
6       state case-processing system for the exchange of  
7       data and documents to expedite the placements of  
8       children in foster, guardianship, or adoptive homes  
9       across State lines.

10          “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—A State that seeks  
11       funding under this subsection shall submit to the  
12       Secretary the following information:

13           “(A) A description of the goals and out-  
14       comes to be achieved, which goals and outcomes  
15       must result in—

16           “(i) reducing the time it takes for a  
17       child to be provided with a safe and appro-  
18       priate permanent living arrangement  
19       across State lines;

20           “(ii) improving administrative proc-  
21       esses and reducing costs in the foster care  
22       system; and

23           “(iii) the secure exchange of relevant  
24       case files and other necessary materials in  
25       real time, and timely communications and

1 placement decisions regarding interstate  
2 placements of children.

3 “(B) A description of the activities to be  
4 funded in whole or in part with the funds, in-  
5 cluding the sequencing of the activities.

6 “(C) A description of the strategies for in-  
7 tegrating programs and services for children  
8 who are placed across State lines.

9 “(D) Such other information as the Sec-  
10 retary may require.

11 “(3) FUNDING AUTHORITY.—The Secretary  
12 may provide funds to a State that complies with  
13 paragraph (2). In providing funds under this sec-  
14 tion, the Secretary shall prioritize States that are  
15 not yet connected with the electronic interstate case-  
16 processing system referred to in paragraph (1).

17 “(4) USE OF FUNDS.—A State to which fund-  
18 ing is provided under this subsection shall use the  
19 funding to support the State in connecting with, or  
20 enhancing or expediting services provided under, the  
21 electronic interstate case-processing system referred  
22 to in paragraph (1).

23 “(5) EVALUATIONS.—Not later than 1 year  
24 after the final year in which funds are awarded  
25 under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to

1       the Congress, and make available to the general  
2       public by posting on a website, a report that con-  
3       tains the following information:

4                 “(A) How using the electronic interstate  
5       case-processing system developed pursuant to  
6       paragraph (4) has changed the time it takes for  
7       children to be placed across State lines.

8                 “(B) The number of cases subject to the  
9       Interstate Compact on the Placement of Chil-  
10       dren that were processed through the electronic  
11       interstate case-processing system, and the num-  
12       ber of interstate child placement cases that  
13       were processed outside the electronic interstate  
14       case-processing system, by each State in each  
15       year.

16                 “(C) The progress made by States in im-  
17       plementing the electronic interstate case-proc-  
18       essing system.

19                 “(D) How using the electronic interstate  
20       case-processing system has affected various  
21       metrics related to child safety and well-being,  
22       including the time it takes for children to be  
23       placed across State lines.

24                 “(E) How using the electronic interstate  
25       case-processing system has affected administra-

1           tive costs and caseworker time spent on placing  
2           children across State lines.

3           “(6) DATA INTEGRATION.—The Secretary, in  
4           consultation with the Secretariat for the Interstate  
5           Compact on the Placement of Children and the  
6           States, shall assess how the electronic interstate  
7           case-processing system developed pursuant to para-  
8           graph (4) could be used to better serve and protect  
9           children that come to the attention of the child wel-  
10          fare system, by—

11           “(A) connecting the system with other  
12          data systems (such as systems operated by  
13          State law enforcement and judicial agencies,  
14          systems operated by the Federal Bureau of In-  
15          vestigation for the purposes of the Innocence  
16          Lost National Initiative, and other systems);

17           “(B) simplifying and improving reporting  
18          related to paragraphs (34) and (35) of section  
19          471(a) regarding children or youth who have  
20          been identified as being a sex trafficking victim  
21          or children missing from foster care; and

22           “(C) improving the ability of States to  
23          quickly comply with background check require-  
24          ments of section 471(a)(20), including checks of

1           child abuse and neglect registries as required by  
2           section 471(a)(20)(B).”.

3 **SEC. 5. CONTINUATION OF DISCRETIONARY FUNDING TO**  
4           **PROMOTE SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES.**

5        Section 437(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
6 629g(a)) is amended by striking “2016” and inserting  
7 “2018”.

8 **SEC. 6. RESERVATION OF FUNDS TO IMPROVE THE INTER-**  
9           **STATE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN.**

10       Section 437(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
11 629g(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:  
12           “(4) IMPROVING THE INTERSTATE PLACEMENT  
13           OF CHILDREN.—The Secretary shall reserve  
14           \$5,000,000 of the amount made available for fiscal  
15           year 2018 for providing funding under subsection  
16           (g), and the amount so reserved shall remain avail-  
17           able through fiscal year 2022.”.

