



Coping with cascading collective traumas in the United States

The year 2020 has been marked by unprecedented cascading traumas, including the COVID-19 pandemic, an economic recession, race-driven social unrest and weather-related disasters. Mental health consequences of direct and media-based exposure to compounding stressors may be profound. Policymakers must act to ease the burden of trauma to protect public health.

Roxane Cohen Silver, E. Alison Holman and Dana Rose Garfin

With hindsight, 2020 will not easily be forgotten. Our world is in turmoil. A series of catastrophes has cascaded one to the next, and individuals across the US have concurrently grappled with direct exposure to these events and watched them unfold, in real time, in the media. This unprecedented stressful year has both taxed the public's capacity to cope and endangered the most vulnerable groups in society.

Escalating stress across America

In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic rapidly spread from China, to Europe, the United States and globally. The pandemic overwhelmed hospitals, overtaxed healthcare workers and resulted in almost 1 million deaths worldwide in 9 months, leaving families grieving in isolation. In the US alone, over 200,000 people have died in the same period. Within months, severe restrictions to limit the spread of infection left thousands of businesses closed and over 40,000,000 Americans unemployed. These crises hit low socioeconomic status and minority communities especially hard, highlighting economic and racial inequities in healthcare and essential services provision. With the pandemic and pandemic-triggered economic recession as a backdrop, Americans then faced a confluence of the current collective traumas compounded by race-based historical traumas¹. Brutal killings of unarmed Black people including Ahmaud Arbery and Breonna Taylor shook the country, followed by the videotaped death of George Floyd after over almost 9 minutes with a white police officer's knee on his neck, and by then the police shooting, point-blank, seven bullets into the back of Jacob Blake. Belated recognition by whites of centuries of systemic racism in the US—primed by months of stay-at-home orders, absence of distractions, economic anxiety and easy access to gruesome videos—led

directly to widespread multiracial protests, ongoing social unrest, increasing political divisiveness and violence in the streets. Simultaneously, the US has faced extreme weather events, including devastating hurricanes, record heatwaves and disastrous wildfires requiring evacuations made more complicated during an unrelenting pandemic that requires physical distancing. Together, the combination of medical, economic, historical, racial and climate-based catastrophes highlights the need for attention to the meaning and implications of cumulative, compounding trauma exposure.

There are several characteristics of the current milieu that facilitate a perfect storm of stressors. These traumas are chronic events with an ambiguous endpoint. We do not know how bad things will get, nor when recovery can truly begin. Individuals must grapple with intense direct exposure to cascading events (for example, personal illness or loss, social isolation, economic loss, violent policing), with varying and sometimes conflicting policies dictating public response. Concurrently, these events have been broadcast in real time, as they unfolded, on traditional and social media, with individuals watching news coverage repeatedly and across multiple mediums, compounding their exposure. News has been almost entirely bad, with escalating intensity. The overlay of sensationalized media coverage in the context of repeated direct exposure to adversity is likely creating an additional crisis for public mental health.

What we know about collective trauma

Decades of research on collective traumas indicates that each of these crises may independently have mental health consequences for exposed individuals, ranging from short-term anxiety to longer-term depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)². Although the 2003 SARS outbreak lasted less than a

year, healthcare workers who cared for SARS patients and survivors of SARS infections experienced substantial mental health difficulties³. Clinically concerning rates of mental health symptoms (anxiety, depression, PTSD) were seen in Sierra Leone among the general population exposed to the 2014 Ebola infectious disease outbreak for a year⁴. Population-based research before and after the mid-2000 US recession demonstrated increased risk of mental health ailments 3–4 years later among those who experienced direct consequences of the economic downturn (for example, financial, job or housing related-impacts), with low socioeconomic groups showing greater vulnerability to mental health problems⁵. Finally, data collected among nationally representative samples of Americans before and after police killings of unarmed Black residents demonstrated declines in mental health among Black residents in states where the killings occurred, although the state's white residents did not experience corresponding mental health deficits⁶.

The importance of the media

In recent decades, the media landscape has changed dramatically. In addition to a round-the-clock news cycle, individuals across the world have embraced pocket-sized smartphones with easily accessible cameras that capture graphic videos of disasters and other tragedies and rapidly disseminate them widely with a click. Traditional and social media now broadcast collective traumas across the country—and globally—in record time. Yet we have only recently acknowledged that repeated indirect media-based exposure is also associated with mental and physical health ailments during infectious disease outbreaks like Ebola⁷, the current COVID-19 pandemic⁸ and following other collective traumas⁶.

For the past two decades, using prospective, longitudinal research designs, we have examined the acute and long-term

66
67
68 mental and physical health consequences
69 of media-based exposure to collective
70 traumas. We explored the cumulative
71 effects of direct and indirect exposure to
72 such events and found that real-time media
73 exposure to multiple collective traumas (for
74 example, the September 11, 2001 terrorist
75 attacks; Superstorm Sandy; the Sandy Hook
76 Elementary School massacre) was later
77 associated with increased psychological
78 symptomatology following the 2013 Boston
79 Marathon bombings⁸. Moreover, individuals
80 reporting several hours of combined media
81 exposure in the days after the bombings also
82 reported higher acute stress than individuals
83 who were directly exposed (for example, at
84 the Boston Marathon finish line)⁸. Repeated
85 bombing-related media exposure was also
86 associated with ongoing worry about mass
87 violence and traumatic stress symptoms
88 over time. In turn, these responses predicted
89 more media exposure following the Pulse
90 Nightclub mass shooting in Orlando,
91 Florida, 3 years later⁸. As threats continue to
92 emerge, these findings suggest that repeated
93 media-based exposure to collective traumas
94 may initiate a cycle between exposure
95 and symptoms over time. That said, while
96 viewing traumatic imagery may contribute
97 to development of PTSD-like flashbacks⁹, we
98 also must recognize that exposure to widely
99 available tragic videos of police brutality,
100 such as George Floyd's slow-motion murder
101 under the police officer's knee, is crucial
102 to initiate a social reckoning, such as the
103 past-due acknowledgement of anti-Black
104 racism in the US

106 Cascading collective traumas

107 Multiple crises are not uncommon following
108 natural disasters, yet research on cascading
109 traumas is limited. For example, residents
110 of the Biobío region of Chile experienced
111 three rapid succession disasters in 2010: an
112 8.8 magnitude earthquake, a deadly tsunami
113 and subsequent flooding, and civil unrest
114 that resulted in days of looting. Interviews
115 of a representative sample of over 1,000
116 residents at the earthquake's epicentre
117 revealed that post-disaster distress was
118 more strongly associated with exposure to
119 one particular event (i.e., the tsunami) than
120 with the number of disaster components
121 experienced¹⁰. The tsunami appeared to
122 be devastating because of governmental
123 assurances that the coastal area was
124 safe and unlikely to flood, highlighting
125 the detrimental impact of disasters that
126 are exacerbated by failures of trusted
127 authorities—a lesson highly relevant to
128 ongoing pandemic-related illnesses and
129 deaths in the US.

130 Although there are limited empirical
131 data on the consequences of compounding

collective crises, more is known about
the impact of cumulative exposure to
lifetime adversity. Among a representative
sample of over 2,000 individuals who were
studied across several years, exposure to
a lifetime of adversity was associated with
more difficulties coping with subsequent
stressors¹¹. Indeed, having experienced more
stressful life events was associated with
greater distress, functional impairment and
lower life satisfaction. Nonetheless, some
exposure to traumatic events might serve
to inoculate individuals against the distress
of subsequent negative life experiences.
Experiencing low (but not zero) levels of
adversity may teach people what coping
skills are most effective, help them engage
effective support systems, promote a sense
of mastery over prior crises and engender
coping self-efficacy over time¹¹. Recognition
of these personal and social resources may
promote resilience when one encounters the
next adverse life event.

While individuals across the US may
be exposed to compounding traumas both
directly and via the media, mental health
symptomatology in response to these
exposures will vary widely. Both personal
factors (for example, history of adversity,
pre-existing mental health conditions, lack
of economic resources)^{2,10,12} and contextual
ones (for example, lack of social resources,
community demographics)^{2,12} can increase
vulnerability to negative psychological
outcomes following collective crises. In
contrast, the presence of personal and
community-based resources may promote
resilience and thriving in response to the
stress.

A call to action

The convergence of cascading collective
traumas, both historic and concurrent, raises
serious questions about our future. Many
unknowns remain about how individuals
and communities will fare as the pandemic
and economic disruption wax and wane
and as worldwide cases of COVID-19 cross
30 million and deaths continue to climb.
Some have warned of a possible increase in
suicide and self-harm¹² following chronic
isolation and loneliness if quarantines
are repeatedly implemented to mitigate
continued infection. For people living with
health disparities born of historical and
racial trauma, long-term economic turmoil
and loss of health insurance may exacerbate
chronic health conditions, with devastating
consequences.

Without intervention, might we expect
people to acclimate to the unending
cascade of traumas, numbing themselves
to each new devastating statistic? Prior
research on cumulative exposure suggests

the chronicity and compounding nature
of collective traumas in 2020 will likely
be associated with stronger emotional
responses with each new exposure, rather
than habituation^{8,10,11}. Indeed, emerging data
from the US Centers for Disease Control
demonstrate marked increases in adverse
mental health conditions, substance use and
suicidal ideation in June 2020 compared
to 2019¹³. Therefore, how can we ensure
that communities and their residents
prove resilient in the face of cascading
collective traumas? Prior research on
communities exposed to chronic violence
offers hints regarding factors that may
prevent escalation of distress. A study of
two Israeli communities exposed to 7 years
of daily rocket fire revealed minimal levels
of PTSD in the community where residents
reported more community commitment,
integration, strong social networks and
instrumental and emotional support¹⁴. In
contrast, the community where residents
had high vulnerabilities, including low
education, income and immigrant status,
reported substantial symptoms of distress
and PTSD. This demonstrates the value of
strengthening resources distributed at both
community and individual levels. Potential
options include mental health support,
positive coping and resilience-building
activities (for example, outdoor exercise),
virtual programming to reduce loneliness
(particularly for those most isolated) and
arts-based and life-skills based activities¹².

As Black, Latinx and Indigenous
communities in the US are suffering
disproportionately from COVID-19,
compounded by historical trauma, systemic
racism and persistent poverty¹⁵, allocating
additional resources to traditionally
underserved and working communities
of colour is critical. Underlying social
inequities must be addressed to avert a
mental health disaster, which will likely
lead to further physical health impairments
and a protracted economic and social
recovery. Importantly, greater severity of
exposure is likely to occur for the most
vulnerable in society, adding to the burden
of compounding effects. Governments
must intervene to provide financial, social
and emotional support to their residents,
particularly those at lower socioeconomic
levels. Lost pay for these individuals should
be compensated, given that those lower
in income will suffer the most from the
economic burden of the crises. Healthcare
must be provided to residents who are out
of work due to the economic fallout of the
pandemic and those sick with COVID-
19. Essential workers and minorities, who
are at greater risk for problems¹³, must be
provided the tangible (for example, personal

protective equipment) and educational (for example, explicit safety protocols) resources needed to stay protected throughout the duration of the pandemic. Targeted outreach efforts should include additional funding for telehealth services that have demonstrated efficacy for improving mental health. This may be particularly helpful for those at highest-risk for COVID-19-related complications and who must maintain maximum physical distance to protect themselves¹². Finally, risk communications that promote maximum safety must be consistent at local and national levels if we are to mitigate the public health impacts of this trauma cascade.

As 2020 comes to a close while the pandemic and other tragedies continue, policymakers must make resources available to support community mental health and enact policies that directly address economic and racial inequity in the burden of these

crises. In so doing, they can strengthen the social fabric and ease the mental and physical health burden of these trying times.

Roxane Cohen Silver^{1,2}✉, E. Alison Holman^{2,3} and Dana Rose Garfin^{1,3}

¹Department of Medicine and Program in Public Health, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California, USA. ²Department of Psychological Science, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California, USA. ³Sue & Bill Gross School of Nursing, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California, USA.

✉e-mail: rsilver@uci.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00981-x>

References

1. Bryant-Davis, T. & Ocampo, C. *Couns. Psychol.* **33**, 479–500 (2005).
2. Norris, F. H. et al. *Psychiatr* **65**, 207–239 (2002).
3. Maunder, R. G. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry* **31**, 316–317 (2009).
4. Jalloh, M. F. et al. *BMJ Glob. Health* **3**, e000471 (2018).

5. Forbes, M. K. & Krueger, R. F. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* **7**, 900–913 (2019).
6. Bor, J., Venkataramani, A. S., Williams, D. R. & Tsai, A. C. *Lancet* **392**, 302–310 (2018).
7. Thompson, R. R., Garfin, D. R., Holman, E. A. & Silver, R. C. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* **5**, 513–521 (2017).
8. Garfin, D. R., Silver, R. C. & Holman, E. A. *Health Psychol.* **39**, 355–357 (2020).
9. Bourne, C., Mackay, C. E. & Holmes, E. A. *Psychol. Med.* **43**, 1521–1532 (2013).
10. Garfin, D. R., Silver, R. C., Ugalde, F. J., Linn, H. & Inostroza, M. J. *Abnorm. Psychol.* **123**, 545–556 (2014).
11. Seery, M. D., Holman, E. A. & Silver, R. C. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **99**, 1025–1041 (2010).
12. Holmes, E. A. et al. *Lancet Psychiatry* **7**, 547–560 (2020).
13. Czeisler, M. É. et al. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **69**, 1049–1057 (2020).
14. Gelkopf, M., Berger, R., Bleich, A. & Silver, R. C. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **74**, 757–766 (2012).
15. Curtice, K. & Choo, E. *Lancet* **395**, 1753 (2020).

Acknowledgements

Support was provided by US National Science Foundation grant SES-2026337 to R.C.S., E.A.H., and D.R.G.. D.R.G. was supported by National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities grant K01 MD013910.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.