



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Shanti Holloway and I'm from Durham, NC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, ASBN's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Chad Speight and I'm from Madison, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Chads Design Build's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kristine Waldren and I'm from Cypress, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, ECOS's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Richard Lawton and I'm from Point Pleasant Beach, NJ. I am writing to express my, and my organization, NJ Sustainable Business Council's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Michelle Hirons and I'm from San Francisco, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, HigherRing's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Liz Rubin and I'm from New York, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Ecodeo's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Charlie Garlow and I'm from Rehoboth Beach, DE. I am writing to express my, and my organization, DEEVA DE Electric Vehicle Association's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mark Canright and I'm from Asbury, NJ. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Comeback Farm Organic Produce's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is arielle llewellyn and I'm from Alameda, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, EBNG's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mitch Ratcliffe and I'm from Lakewood, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Earth911 Inc.'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Bill McClain and I'm from Bainbridge Island, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Clean & Prosperous American's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Motthida Chin and I'm from Seattle, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Motthida Chin, LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Emily Snyder and I'm from New Orleans, LA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Urban Conservancy's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Keyanna Silverman and I'm from Detroit, MI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Detroit Community Wealth Fund's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Virginia Auster and I'm from Norwalk, CT. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Wilton Quaker Meeting's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Becky Reimbold and I'm from South Bend, IN. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Just Goods's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mark Hayduke Grenard and I'm from Phoenix, AZ. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Mark's Bookmark Bookseller's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is John Davies and I'm from Buena Park, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Avocado Green Brands's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jerry Rivers and I'm from Roosevelt, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, North American Climate, Conservation and Environment(NACCE)'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dana Weintraub and I'm from Beaverton, OR. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Evergreen Sustainability, LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dr. Kakha NADIRADZE and I'm from Tbilisi, GE-TB. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Association for Farmers Rights Defense, AFRD's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is James Costello and I'm from Fairfax, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Green River Financial's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jared Meyers and I'm from Saint Petersburg, FL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Salt Palm Development's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jared Meyers and I'm from Orlando, FL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Florida For Good's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Patricia Miller and I'm from Middletown, NJ. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Middletown for Clean Energy's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Shannon Bone and I'm from Charlotte, NC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, New Leaf Paper's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jenny Morgan and I'm from Seattle, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Tradewater's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Leslie Samuelrich and I'm from Boston, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Green Century Funds's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is James Dawson and I'm from Davis, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, James' Solar's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Sarah Gallagher and I'm from New York, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Upper Green Side's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Fran Aguirre and I'm from Denver, CO. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Unite North Metro Denver's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Maiya Holliday and I'm from Truckee, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Mangrove Web's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Spencer Bruce and I'm from Brooklyn, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Climate Neutral's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Aaron Ableman and I'm from El Sobrante, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Kindhumans's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Arielle Llewellyn and I'm from Alameda, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, East Bay Natural Grocers's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Hank Skade and I'm from Bend, OR. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Tiburon Ventures, LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Prasad Jaladi and I'm from Austin, TX. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Suraksha's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is David Wilcox and I'm from Brookline, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, ReachScale's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Alexander Sexsmith and I'm from Tucson, AZ. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Sexsmith Architects's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is George LoCascio and I'm from Gardner, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, MWCC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Miranda Pildes and I'm from Brooklyn, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Joyaux Marisol (Joyaux Corp)'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is WILHELM REINDERS and I'm from Forest Hills, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, SULA NYC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Bob Bechtold and I'm from Ontario, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, HARBEC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Monica Dodi and I'm from Los Angeles, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, The 22's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Amy Bergman and I'm from Alexandria, VA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, The Apothecary's Daughter's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Steven Adams and I'm from Viroqua, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Chrysalis Farm's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Corinne LaManna and I'm from Washington, DC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, ASBN's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Sidney Amster and I'm from Philadelphia, PA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, SVC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Patrick Hughes and I'm from Dallas, TX. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Pinnacle Coatings Group's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Sandra Stewart and I'm from San Francisco, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Thinkshift Communications's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mike Englert and I'm from Washington, DC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Eighty2degrees LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Robert Taylor and I'm from Clearlake, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Konocti Senior Support's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Daniel OBrien and I'm from Milton, NY. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Charles Wolfe and I'm from Sylmar, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, C. Wolfe Software Engineering's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Storm Cunningham and I'm from Arlington, VA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, RECONOMICS Institute's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jean Chagnon and I'm from New York, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, udem's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lara Pearson and I'm from Incline Village, NV. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Law Office of Lara Pearson Ltd, PBC d/b/a Brand Geek's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Adam Goettelman and I'm from Sturgeon Bay, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Healthy Way Market's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Hannah Lee and I'm from Madison, WI. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Linda McNeel and I'm from Madison, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Bachman McNeel & Associates's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Rachel Duffy and I'm from Morrisville, VT. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Peoples Academy's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Frank Scarpaci and I'm from San Diego, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Vianova's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lynette Miller and I'm from Madison, WI. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mary Weyker and I'm from Racine, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Sisters of St Dominic's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Brian Caneda and I'm from North Charleston, SC. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is drkrpelletier@aol.com Pelletier and I'm from San Francisco, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, UCSF School of Medicine's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Christopher Overholt and I'm from Brier, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Namu Baru Inc.'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jill Matteson and I'm from Los Angeles, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Gravender Farms's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Emma Jacobs and I'm from Orlando, FL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Legacy Vacation Resorts's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Cheryl Elkins and I'm from San Diego, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Cheryl Elkins Jewelry's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dave Smith and I'm from Columbia, SC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Senior Express USA's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jim Loveland and I'm from Saint Petersburg, FL. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Alan Peterson and I'm from Quarryville, PA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, PSR's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Karen Berger and I'm from Montrose, CA. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Christopher Horner and I'm from Santa Barbara, CA. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Ileana Vasquez and I'm from Seattle, WA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, LolitaMoon Productions's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Saab Lofton and I'm from Seattle, WA. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Michael Londo and I'm from West Chicago, IL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Just in Time Direction's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jarrett Cloud and I'm from Stanhope, NJ. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kaneisha Lewis and I'm from Fort Worth, TX. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Cantey Hanger, LLP's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Alysha Schultz and I'm from West Linn, OR. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Intuitive Digital's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Heather Mason and I'm from Marina del Rey, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Caspian Agency's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Paul Wythes and I'm from San Francisco, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Oakdell Capital's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Cheryl Spector and I'm from Denver, CO. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Spector and Associates's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Gregory Garnant and I'm from New York, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Garnant Computer Services's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Maureen Vagnini and I'm from Windsor, CT. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. EPA's proposal is a critically important step forward, providing a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. This proposal would avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. It is critical that in tandem with this standard, the EPA and the entire Biden administration follow through on critical protections for communities that have historically borne the brunt of deadly pollution from power plants and may continue to face power plant pollution. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Anna Sampson and I'm from Gainesville, FL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, We Are Neutral's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Janet Morgan and I'm from Boulder, CO. I am sending this email to express my, and my organization, Sequent Management Inc.'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. Fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. PLEASE, listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Janet M. Morgan'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Gina Olson and I'm from Aspen, Colorado I am writing to express my, and my organization, Livable Planet's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Susan Richman and I'm from Durham, New Hampshire. I am writing to express my strong support, and the support of my organization, New Hampshire Network for Environment, Energy and Climate, for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. The federal government must take a strong leadership role in fighting climate pollution. I live in a state that is reluctant to acknowledge human-caused climate change, and resists setting goals or standards, or promoting helpful initiatives such as energy efficiency or net metering or EV charging. We rely on the federal government to take the brave moves. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal and natural gas-fired power plants; begin the conversion to completely renewable energy generation. In the 1960s the LBJ administration received study information warning of the climate change potential from hydrocarbon based fuel burning. Oil and gas company scientists echoed those warning from, at least, the 1970s... So, what did they do? The Carter administration put solar panels on the White House, then the following, Reagan, administration removed them. In the late 1970s Mobil Oil bought Tyco Laboratories, a company that produced solar panels, and did little, to nothing, with the company... shut it down 19 years later. This the shortest list of things done to stall the conversion to renewables, by industries that could have afforded to be on the leading edge of said renewable conversion (see also: Merchants of Doubt by Naomi Oreskes and Erik M. Conway). As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Inertia has ruled the day so far and only significant pressure from government agencies, such as the EPA, can kick start the effort to convert to renewable energy. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is George Chmael II and I'm from Annapolis, Maryland . I am writing to express my, and my organization, Council Fire's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Diane Keefe and I'm from Norwalk, CT. I strongly support the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted, the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Carrie VanWinkle and I'm from Louisville, Kentucky. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Carrie'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Theodora Skeadas and I'm from Cambridge, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Cambridge Local First's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mike Brown and I'm from Irvine, California. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Impact Grove's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Aria McKenna and I'm from Saint Augustine, Florida. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, Global Cooling Productions', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. Furthermore, as we move forward with solutions, we must protect our ecosystems and our climate from unintended consequences as new technologies are adopted. The dangers of mining and the warming potential of hydrogen pipelines must not be overlooked as we create a plan for a viable path forward, Technologies exist to do this safely but extreme vetting is required to do so. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Katherine DiMatteo and I'm from Leyden, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Wolf & Associates', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Heather Burns and I'm from Bethel, Connecticut. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, Connecticut Sustainable Business Council's strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Julia Collins and I'm from California I am writing to express my, and my organization, Planet FWD's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kimberlee and I'm from Greenbrae, California. I want to express my, and my company's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. I would like to add that there is opportunity for impactful climate mitigation and carbon reductions with a focus on the plastic industry - a major contributor. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Nancy Hirshberg and I'm from Wolfeboro, NH. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is John Imes and I'm from the Village of Shorewood Hills, Wisconsin. I am writing to express my, and my organization, WEI's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. Wisconsin coal power plants consumed almost 14 million tons of coal in 2020 resulting in over 33 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, not to mention other criteria pollutants including 21 million tons of Nitrogen Oxide (NO_x), 14 million tons of Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), plus particulate emissions and other pollutants. Source www.eia.gov If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, John Imes'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Tim Wheeler and I'm from Durango, CO. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Durango Compost Company's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Carol Phelps and I'm from Middleton, Wisconsin. I'm writing to express my support, and the support of Wisconsin Creation Care Ambassadors (a multi-faith organization which works to care for the Earth and stop climate change), for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution. They have used the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted, the EPA's proposal is expected to allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Please listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action, and the voices of those who are living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income families and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a pathway to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance, and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emissions reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The longer we wait, the more disasters happen, the more people suffer, the more lives are lost, the more communities and ecosystems are impacted. Changes happening today cannot be reversed (no one has a way to refreeze Greenland or Antarctica). Feedback loops (like wildfires, melting permafrost, increased water vapor in the air) are in full swing. If we reach certain "tipping points" (such as major ocean currents changing - e.g. the Gulf Stream no longer carrying warm water to Europe), heaven help us. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kermit Hovey and I'm from Middleton, WI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Faiths Connect for Climate Action's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. Making money while inflicting the costs of pollution on the rest of us should never be tolerated. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. In the absence of the even more comprehensive Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act, The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is David Kyler and I'm from Saint Simons Island, Georgia. I am submitting this statement to express the strong support of our non-profit organization, Center for a Sustainable Coast, for the Biden administration's proposed standards to decisively reduce climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are among the largest sources of heat-trapping carbon pollution, using the air our communities, ecosystems, and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted, the EPA's proposal will enable prevention of at least 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work-days, providing an estimated \$85 billion in short-term climate and public-health benefits, and immeasurably more over the long-term. We must honor scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants who are most harmed by power-plant emissions. These emission victims are disproportionately from low-income groups and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear and worthy target for industry, as well as pathways for modernizing power-production methods in the U.S. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power-plant owners will have what is required to move ahead with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the EPA to expediently adopt and implement this well-justified proposal and to work to achieve even greater pollution reductions from more sources as quickly as possible. We must not delay in taking this critical action to protect Earth's endangered climate and life-support systems. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to minimize climate pollution from power plants. We are depending on your commitment to serving the public interest.'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Adam Hamilton and I'm from Peterborough, NH. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Clean Simple Foods, Inc's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is James Elder and I'm from Manchester, MA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, the Campaign for Environmental Literacy's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jenny Davis and I'm writing to express my, and my Denver-based small business', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Chris Laszlo and I'm from Great Falls, Virginia. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Sustainable Value Partners' strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kim Craven and I'm from Madison, CT. I want to express my, and my organization, CSG Communications Inc., strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. We need strong and clear leadership to address the effects of climate change as evidenced this summer across the globe. We can no longer afford to wait for everyone to get on board. The EPA needs to lead to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you for the work that you do on our behalf.'



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Les Blevins and I'm from Lawrence Kansas. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Advanced Alternative Energy's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid excessive hot weather, numerous flooding events, 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$285 billion dollars in net climate and public health benefits. Please listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living in the United States along with thousands of central power plants that add CO2 to the atmosphere and who are being impacted by their emissions. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid greenhouse emission reductions. I'm writing to encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines and with the least costly measures. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants all across our land and set an example for China and India and other countries. Thank you, Les Blevins of Advanced Alternative Energy Co. CO2 Emission cuts alone could make the earth much hotter while adding aerosols could cool the earth scientists say. BY SAUL ELBEIN & LES BLEVINS Scientists have recently uncovered a potentially lethal paradox at the heart of efforts to slow human-caused climate change. A series of new studies suggest a stark truth. On the one hand, cutting fossil fuel pollution is necessary for avoiding more severe destruction over the long term. But such cuts will make the earth much hotter in the short term. One recent study cast the well-known declines in air pollution during the COVID-19 pandemic in a darker light. These cuts remain one of the only examples of successful cuts to climate-warming pollution, but the new study found that those pandemic-era cuts in air pollution led to a rise in global temperatures. The findings, published on Wednesday in the journal NPJ Climate and Atmospheric Science, unveil a stark paradox at the heart of human-caused climate change. It suggests that while cutting fossil fuel pollution is necessary for avoiding severe destruction over the long term — such cuts alone will make things noticeably worse in the short term. The pandemic-era economic slowdown led to “a large-scale geophysical experiment,” study leader Örjan Gustafsson of Stockholm University said in a statement. That's because the shuttered factories and power plants led to a corresponding crash in emissions. Even so, not all emissions fell in the same way. From a research station in the Maldives, an island archipelago off the coast of India, Gustafsson's team detected that when pollution from smokestacks fell, so did concentrations of aerosols — tiny floating particles that hang in the atmosphere. That fall was an unmistakable boon to public health. According to Our World in

Data, these contaminants — like tiny floating particles of soot or sulfates — cause millions of global deaths per year. But for all the damage they do to human lungs, aerosols also help shade the earth by scattering light particles from the sun that would otherwise warm the planet. After the cuts, the study found that light reaching the surface increased by 7 percent. “While the sky became bluer and the air cleaner, climate warming increased when these cooling air particles were removed,” Gustafsson said. While aerosol concentrations fell as the smokestacks shut down, other gases remained stubbornly high. In particular, levels of the most potent climate-warming gases — like carbon dioxide — barely changed. Carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases warm the planet by trapping heat. The combination of more heat hitting the same amount of carbon dioxide meant a straightforward rise in temperatures. The sudden rise in temperatures led by the pandemic reduction is a stark example of a more general problem — one that has long haunted the drive to cut pollution. A draft study led by Columbia University climate scientist James Hansen suggests that the recent rise in temperatures doesn’t come from greenhouse gases at all, but from the reduction in sulfate aerosols since the early-2000s.’



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Janine Firpo and I'm from Oakland, California. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Invest for Better's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Keeley and I'm from Boulder, Colorado. I am writing to express my, and my organization, The Alliance for Collective Action's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Keeley Stokes'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Stacia Betley and I'm from New Haven, VT. I am writing to express my, and my organization, MegaFood's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Tim Redmond and I'm from Dexter, MI. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, Skinny Farm's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is William Nash and I'm from Long Beach, California. I am writing to express my, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mark Kleinschmit and I'm from Park Ridge, Illinois. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Other Half Processing's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lorna Lyons and I'm from Portland OR. I am emailing to express my, and my organization ASBN's strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Patrick Thompson and I'm from Atlanta, GA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, TerraScale's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Linda Brent and I'm from Chapel Hill, NC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Blue Toby's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Denise and I'm from Spokane WA and I am writing to express my, and my organization, Ganesh Himal Trading's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mark Canright. I'm a New Jersey farmer in Asbury NJ. I am writing to express my, and my business's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. It's time to stop burning fossil fuels immediately if we want to survive as a human race. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Anna Marie Lyles and I'm from Princeton, NJ. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, [org name]'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait and this will improve public health too. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Joe Magid and I'm from Wynnewood, PA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Gryphon Solution, LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lori and I'm from [Dallas, Tx. I am writing to express my, and my organization,, Conscious Leaders, Inc, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Lori Darley'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Laurie Allan and I'm from Upstate New York new Rochester where the air we breathe has been unhealthy for several days over a period of about 3-4 weeks.. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Laurie Allan & Associates, LLCs, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Our world is quite literally on fire as I write this and it is idiocy to think that it will not get worse, food supply will be affected, and future generations will never forgive out lack of courage to act and remedy global climate change. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Scott Hill and I'm from Springville, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, J. Kraft Microscopy Services', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. I suffer from asthma, so this proposal could literally be a life-saver for me. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is David J. FERRAN and I'm from SAN Diego Ca. I am email you to to express my, and my organization, Torrey Project]'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dr. Robert Vinetz, M.D. a pediatrician in Los Angeles, California. I've seen hundreds and hundreds of children with asthma...asthma triggered by and made much worse by the polluted, toxic air we all breathe. The ultra/fine particles in that air get into our blood, bodies and brains...even into those of the unborn. Research shows this causes harm, including (but not limited to) lung and developmental defects. I urge the EPA and the Biden Administration to enact the strongest possible standards to protect children and everyone on earth from the toxic impact of fossil fuels on our climate and our lives. Please, please do not cave in to the fossil fuel industry that for decades knew they were unleashing untold harm on life on our planet, but chose making money over protecting life. The EPA's proposed carbon pollution standards plan provides a clear end-point for where the industry needs to get to, as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country and cut climate pollution from existing coal, gas, and new gas power plants. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Sally Malanga and I'm from West Orange, New Jersey. I am writing to express my, and my organization, New Earth, LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Harry Doull and I'm from Kingston, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Keap Candles', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Brad Brooks and I'm from East Hampton NY. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. It also finally has to do a better analysis of methane and its large impact on Climate Change. The EPA is making a mistake by continuing to use a 100-year period to compare its impact versus Co2 - the correct factor would be 84x as powerful than Co2 than the current 28X I believe your agency currently uses. Moreover, new studies are needed that would show that methane is leaking throughout the infrastructure system at a far greater rate than just the 2% assumed by your agency. More realistically the rate is likely 2-3x that, which would make methane a bigger problem than C02 over the next 20-year time period. Methane (natural gas) can also be easily used in our current utility system, so much better regulations and penalties need to finally be implemented to limit the escape of this powerful ghg emission. Thank you, Brad Brooks CFA'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Susan Webb and I'm from Madison, Wisconsin. I am [writing] to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Brittany Phelps and I'm from Waunakee, WI. I strongly support the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from fossil fuel power plants because they are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution. If enacted, the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dann Carnes and I'm from Chapel Hill, NC. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Fireplace Editions's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Jennifer Nichols and I'm from Lenni, PA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, GreenWeaver Landscapes's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Dana Davis and I'm from NYC, NY. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, Mara Hoffman's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Dana Davis'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Renaud des Rosiers and I'm from Sonoma County, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Amy's Kitchen's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lawrence Garwin and I'm in Palo Alto, California. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Lawrence Garwin Palo Alto, California'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Sarah Quinn and I'm from Fairfield, Iowa. I am to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

Enough is enough! My name is Dulanie Ellis-La Barre and I'm from Ojai, C. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Walk Your Talk Productions', strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Perla Ni and I'm from Menlo Park, CA. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Perla'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Terrence McNally, Long Beach, CA. I want to express strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution. EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300K asthma attacks, 38K school absences, and 66K lost work days providing up to \$85B in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by emissions, disproportionately low-income and communities of color. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Deborah Frangquist and I'm from San Francisco, California. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Chosen Futures' strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is George Naumovski and I'm from Melbourne Australia. I am writing to express my, and my organization GNCORP, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Freek Driesenaar and I'm from Oudheusden in the Netherlands. I am writing to express my, and my offspring's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, With confidence in your moral compass, Freek Driesenaar'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is David Friedman and I'm from Danbury CT. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Plantissimo LLC's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

I am writing to express my, and my organization, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Leon Miller-Out and I'm from Ithaca, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Singlebrook's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Seth Perlman and I'm from Highmount NY. I am [writing] to express my, and my organization, Perlman & Perlman LLP. strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

I am writing to express my **STRONG SUPPORT** for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the **STRONGEST** possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Maria Allison and I'm from Philadelphia, PA. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Maria Allison'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Julien and I'm from Brooklyn, NY I am writing to express my, and my organization, Create The Remarkable's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Neil Donnelly and I'm from Brooklyn, NY. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Neil'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Taj and I'm from Warrenton in Virginia. I am writing to express my, and my small business's, Greenvision Design, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Paul Zeigle and I'm from St. Louis Park, MN. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Quality Bicycle Products, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Elric and I'm from Berkeley, CA. I am writing to express my, and my organization, OLIPOP's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, Elric Centers'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Kimberly Heismann and I'm from New York, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Emotiva's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Reverend Jean-Luc Charles and I'm from Stamford, CT. I am writing to express my and my church's strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Janet Kahn and I'm from Burlington, VT. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Charles White and I'm from Worton, MD. I am writing to express my strong support and my companies support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you,'



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Leola Specht and I'm from Rhinebeck, NY. I am writing to express my, and my organization, JSA Sustainable Properties's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Just as important, we must immediately move money from funding environmentally destructive farming practices to conversion to regenerative agriculture practices that have a net positive environmental impact vs the detrimental which is the norm now. To avoid a permanently unstable (we can expect more and more severe fire, flood, wind, etc. weather events if we don't act now) climate, we need to reduce methane, refrigerant and CO2 emissions and increase biodiversity and nature soil regeneration now and forward.



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is David Way and I'm from Lincoln, RI. I am writing to express my, and my organization, Vanderbilt Financial Group DBA Greenvest's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants.

Thank you,

David Way



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is gal nahum and I'm from Clearwater, FL. I am writing to express my, and my organization, CLEAN-AIR (electric-filter)'s, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. Thank you, pr. nahum we must act now i got a very radical solution to our CO2 emissions just turn them to O2 by using conducted Direct Current with my electric filter <https://youtu.be/qkoPmBPmeCo>



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Lilliane LeBel and I'm from Temple, NH. I am writing to express my, and my organization, LeBel Marketing's, strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Cutting climate pollution is both popular with the public and critical to the success of the United States economy. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants.



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: New Source Performance Standards for GHG Emissions from New and Reconstructed EGUs; Emission Guidelines for GHG Emissions from Existing EGUs; and Repeal of the Affordable Clean Energy Rule EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0072

My name is Mary Bachhuber and I'm from Middleton, WI. I am writing to express my strong support for the Biden administration's proposed standards to cut climate pollution from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. As we know, fossil fuel-fired power plants are one of the largest sources of carbon pollution, using the air our communities and businesses are dependent upon as a dumping ground for far too long. If enacted the EPA's proposal will allow us to avoid 1,300 premature deaths, 800 hospital and ER visits, more than 300,000 asthma attacks, 38,000 school absences, and 66,000 lost work days providing up to \$85 billion in net climate and public health benefits. Listen to scientists' warnings about the urgent need for climate action and the voices of those living near power plants most impacted by their emissions, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color. This critically important step forward provides a clear end point for where the industry needs to get to as well as pathways to modernize how we produce power in this country. By providing several different opportunities for compliance and multiple financial incentives, power plants will have what they need to move forward with rapid emission reduction. I encourage the agency to move forward with this proposal and work to achieve greater cuts to pollution from more sources on the fastest possible timelines. Our climate can't wait. The EPA must act by early 2024 to finalize the strongest possible standards to cut climate pollution from power plants. The EPA is sincerely urged to develop policy and standards for businesses to reduce emissions which are found to cause Climate Change.



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Tim Greiner



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Heidi Sanborn



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Dominik Eckenstein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Kris Coperine



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Saab Lofton



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Rinaldo Brutoco



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

JOHN PAHSSEN



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Martin Wolf



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Adrian Gershom



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Joe Womack



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jake Elliott



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Sandra Stewart



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Emma Jacobs



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Ileana Vasquez



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Storm Cunningham



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Christopher Miller



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Timon Malloy



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Nancy Romer



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Talia Manning



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Bram Kleppner



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Maren Keeley



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Abigail Rome



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

William Russell



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

David Schreiber



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Josh Kofflin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Gina Weatherup



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Roger Pritchard



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Stephanie Klotz



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Pete Dignan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

sidney amster



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Barb Frances



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Pirron



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Mary Weyker



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Charles Wolfe



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jarrold Russell



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Ray Cooper



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Marie Mainil



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Ajax Greene



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Richard Lawton



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Elizabeth Whitlow



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Sara Olsen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Lynn Nadeau



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Simone Schmidt



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

William Paolillo



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Emma Jacobs



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jeff Kletter



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

diane keefe



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Gracen Cloud Levine



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Daniel OBrien



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Shannon Pendleton



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Glenn Schiffbauer



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Keyanna Silverman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Kathleen Curtis



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Nancy Felton



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Miles Lazar



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

David Kline



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jim Bates



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Alan Peterson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Dan Kirslis



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Shan Magnuson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Amy Bergman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

John Gage



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Edward Savage



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Helen Kessler



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Ashley Duque Kienzle



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Gordon Deane



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

linda Behnken



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Dr Kenneth R Pelletier



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jerry Rivers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Deborah Gavrin Frangquist



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Laura Neish



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Rebecca Canright



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Elric Centers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Anne Robertson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michelle Holliday



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jim Loveland



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Adrienne Wald



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jeremy Smithson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Karla Kavanaugh



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Mark Canright



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Peck



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Rachel Robillard



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

michele risa



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Linda Romano



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Stephen Wyman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Theodora Skeadas



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Scott Andersen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

timothy stein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

thalia lubin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Seth Perlman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Christopher Overholt



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Bernardo Alayza Mujica



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Stephanie Reader



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Susan Kask



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

A.L. Steiner



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Emma Jacobs



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Derek Gendvil



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Douglas Champion



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Dann Carnes



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Brian Caneda



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

tim brainerd



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Green



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Brenda Michaels



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Leslie Sheridan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

William Wallin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Janice Brown



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Suzanne Sokolov



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Terry Gips



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Mitch Ratcliffe



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Friedman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Rebekah Miel



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

James Dawson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Lauren Hale



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Prasad Jaladi



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Christopher Loch



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

JoAnn Hanson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Kathryn Kelly



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jennifer Nichols



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Barry Cik



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Martin Wolf



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Madelyn George



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

MaritÃ© Ball



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Chelsea Souter



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Bill Russell



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Steven Adams



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Anna M Lyles



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Whalen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

LILY CHEHRAZI



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Pat Davis



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Taj DeHart



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Marilyn Bruno



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Kristine Waldren



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Lucy V Hitchcock



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

jon spar



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Shaun Paul



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

George Law



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Katharine King



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Barry Cik



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Allen Bromberger



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Cheryl Spector



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Mark Hayduke Grenard



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Dana Weintraub



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Katie Lucca



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Natasha Winnik



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Karen Berger



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Yoca Ardití-Rocha



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Robert OFM Cap.



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Christian Grieco



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jina Penn-Tracy



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Katherine DiMatteo



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Elizabetha Stacishin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Linda Beitz



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Harvy Simkovits



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jim Holland



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jared Meyers



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Linda Adams



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Alexa Manning



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Anna Sampson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Judith Borcz



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Mark Kleinschmit



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Reena Gordon



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Tim Wheeler



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Justin Overdeest



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Rob Spears



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Phillip Gioia



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jill Matteson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jana Gastellum



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Thalia Venerable



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Gordon Rands



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Gina Hardin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Elizabeth Arnold



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Robert Fingerman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Karen Nelson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Susan Perez



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Charlotte Watts



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Maureen Vagnini



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Emily Schumacher



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Paul Wellin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

ferris kawar



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Karen Ribeiro



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Zach Bernstein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Adele Mehta



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Janis Hallowell



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

James Elder



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Emily Snyder



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Margaret Barbero



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Amy Hansen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Ruth Brennan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Joseph Pepe



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Susan Witzel



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Joseph Ponte



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Chad Dyer



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Scott Simmons



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Josephus de Groot



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Bryan Law



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Melanie McDermott



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Zachary Dolan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Deb Shannon



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

David Wilcox



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

John Hugg



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

John Maus



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Alan Johnson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Kaneisha Lewis



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jessica Caron



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Tori Wilder



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Matt Christianson



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Susanne Hesse & Doug Dyer



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Caroline Pryor



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Fran Aguirre



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Liz Lowry



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Tammara Wolfgram



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Cathy Cowan Becker



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Peter Kirby



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Susan Peirce



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Venedel Herbito



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Morley Schloss



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Earl Switzer



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Sister Joan Agro



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Jason McClain



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

On behalf of GAEA and all their inhabitants, I urge you to adopt the new regulations for methane atmospheric poisons. Thank you Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Michael Whalen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a major pollutant that threatens life on earth. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency to pass regulations that protect our planet.

Thank You,

Steve Kaye



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank you in advance,

Nancy Sagar



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, businesses, and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Veronica Grant



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. Allowing this methane pollution is not only an unfair subsidy to those energy producers, it is a missed opportunity to address emissions. Active and new regulation is one of many efforts that is needed to address climate change. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Brian Jerosé



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

We have very serious air pollution problems in Colorado with oil and gas fracking and methane leaks being very important contributors to our greenhouse gas emissions and the associated volatile organic compounds with our non-attainment status for ozone on the Front Range of Colorado. Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Leslie Glustrom



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

I am a pediatrician in California seeing first-hand the impacts of the climate crisis on child health. Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

Amanda Millstein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Short term warming will be the key to how much heating we experience before we end CO2 emissions and begin to actively remove CO2. Methane causes 80 times the warming of CO2 when first emitted and along with nitrogen compounds is responsible for half of the actual warming causing climate chaos now. We need to urgently cut the portion of CH4 that we can control, before feedback causes more runaway emissions we can't.

Thank You,

Jim Fournier



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

I live in the fastest climate-warming county in the entire nation, due in part to the extensive and aging oil industry, with methane flaring and leaking wells. I have worked documenting the agricultural industry practices for the last 20 years. The critical need to end or greatly reduce CAFOs has never been greater, due to the methane pools and feedlot pollution, environmental and physical health impacts on human and animal health. Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation. Thank you, Dulanie Ellis-La Barre Walk Your Talk productions'

Thank You,

Dulanie Ellis-La Barre



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

David Jaber



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. *Finally, the announced regulation would eliminate 36 million tons of *methane emissions from oil and gas operations by 2035 " more than the amount of carbon dioxide emitted from all coal-fired power plants in a single year! We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation.

Thank You,

John Imes



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation. Thank you, Rob'

Thank You,

Robert Kippel



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: 'Standards of Performance for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Oil and Natural Gas Sector Climate Review' EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0317-1460

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

All pollution privatizes profits and socializes costs to society and Nature. Pollution means companies fail to believe and operate in a robust market, because the price fails to reflect the actual prices to all stakeholders. It is unacceptable for methane to be leaking from ordinary business of companies along their supply chain and the operation of their facilities. Methane is a super pollutant that has negative impacts on the environment, the health of people, and businesses and their supply chains. We need action from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to ensure that these regulations are passed to make meaningful action in protecting the planet and the people. We support the EPA draft rule and believe it ensures a meaningful transition towards a clean and sustainable energy future. Business and Supply chains are being directly and negatively impacted by methane pollution and our community supports strong policy action and the investment opportunity it will create for safer alternative systems.

Taking action to reduce the impacts of methane will:

- Mitigate climate risks
- Prevent continued harm to local communities and ecosystems
- Reduce pricing uncertainty and market volatility
- Regulate the harmful impacts of methane to stimulate investment and innovation.

The development and implementation of meaningful policy that supports control technologies, cleaning up retired extraction sites, an end to harmful and dangerous practices such as routine flaring and supports a just transition to sustainable energy sources will deliver the greatest long-term economic and job creation benefits. Why should for-profit companies be able to pollute with impunity? We believe the U.S. must work actively to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to transition away from an extractive economy through public policy and strong regulation that will push private investment and foster greater innovation. Thank you. Every step to improve the quality of markets improves the way we all live.'

Thank You,

Graham Sinclair



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Saab Lofton



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Lara Pearson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Michelle Cortes-Harkins



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Lisa Hayles



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Susan Schmidt



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Seth Eshelman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Andrew Winston



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Thomas Witt



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Rachael Solem



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Joe Womack



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Sandra Stewart



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

karen stickney



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Sam Pardue



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Clay Thompson



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Timon Malloy



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Barry Benjamin



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Maren Keeley



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Stephanie Greenwald



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

David Schreiber



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ileana vasquez



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Gina Weatherup



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

L. Christina Cobb



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Pete Dignan



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Charles Wolfe



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

mike kappus



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ray Cooper



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Marie Mainil



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Elizabeth Vitale



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Richard Lawton



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Stephen Rivard



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jackie Nuñez



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

James Cummings



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Delcie Dobrovlny



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Daniel OBrien



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Kaneisha Lewis



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

David Way



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Chris Spychalski



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

William McClain



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Keyanna Silverman



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Aria McKenna



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Tristan Miller



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Laura Christina Cobb



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Val Muchowski



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dan Kirslis



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Edward Savage



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Nancy Black



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Mike Englert



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Justin Green



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dr Kenneth R Pelletier



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jerry Rivers



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Deborah Gavrin Frangquist



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Elric Centers



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Leslie Sheridan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Lorraine Forte



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Linda Jarmusz



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ramona Duhoux



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Russell Donnelly



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Susanne Hesse & Doug Dyer



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Barrett Walker



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dorrit Lowsen



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

kelly ohara



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Karla Kavanaugh



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Mark Canright



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Susan Peirce



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dr. Kakha NADIRADZE



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jason McClain



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Cheryl Elkins



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Stephen Wyman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Aaron Fumarola



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

P. Alan Thiesen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

thalia lubin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Seth Perlman



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Bernardo Alayza Mujica



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Leah Danger



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Liz Rubin



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

A.L. Steiner



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jesse Bennett



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Derek Gendvil



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Therewse Revesz



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jeffrey Scales



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Brian Caneda



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

tim brainerd



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dianna Cohen



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

William Wallin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Janice Brown



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

William Nash



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Gregory Fite



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Rebekah Miel



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Marley Finnegan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Gillie Roberts



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

James Dawson



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Siham Khalid-Bloch



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Prasad Jaladi



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Geoffrey Mazullo



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Christopher Loch



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Kathryn Kelly



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Stephen Dorage



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Peter Junker



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Martin Wolf



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Chad Speight



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Madelyn George



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Steven Adams



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Anna M Lyles



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Scott Henderson



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Monica Meng



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Taj DeHart



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Marilyn Bruno



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Emily Snyder



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Kristine Waldren



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Charles Lynd



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

George Law



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jodi Hershey



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Mark Hayduke Grenard



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dana Weintraub



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Karen Berger



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ken & Susan Wiltse



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

David Robinov



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Rudy Arredondo



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Mary Walsh



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Marc Migliazzo



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Katherine DiMatteo



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Dulanie La Barre



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Harvy Simkovits



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Anna Sampson



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Tim Wheeler



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jarrett Cloud



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Phil Williams



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Wallace McMullen



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Leslie Sheridan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Leah Missbach Day



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ben Gordesky



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Phillip Gioia



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Scott Hed



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Robert Fingerman



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Justin Acciavatti



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Karen Malley



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

michelle hoexum



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Marley Finnegan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

ferris kawar



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Zachary Dolan



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ela Thomas



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ashley Craig



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Georgia Do



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Justin Evans



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jessica Caron



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jacquelyn Crema



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

michelle le



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why — I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Alyssa Owens



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Carol Atwood



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Derek Meadows



American Sustainable Business Network

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Hacah Goggin



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Sister Joan Agro



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates [serious economic harm](#) to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Nina Laboy



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

You know how bad soot is for human health -- it makes people sick, and it kills people. And it's soooo easy to prevent! We owe it to ourselves, especially for those of us who live in communities of color and historically overburdened communities, to get rid of soot and all the human and economic harm soot does. Your own scientific advisory panel recognized the problem and recommended better standards. I'm a business leader in Vermont, and tourism is a major economic driver here. The more we allow our vistas to get obscured by soot-caused haze, the worse our economy will be.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. The vehicles and smokestacks that emit soot will in fact be cheaper to operate once soot production is eliminated. Come on now! Together, let's make America healthier, lower our health care costs, improve our air quality and outdoor recreation, and generally make everyone happier.

Thank You,

Bram Kleppner Middlebury, Vermont



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is dangerous and as it finds its way into water sources causing pollutes both water and soil systems. Soot pollutes the air and thus our health, environment and the economy, which is why I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of its own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot pollution.

Soot also causes haze, which diminishes visibility and mars beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the lessening of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. Healthy clean air, water, and soil are the underpinnings of life for US citizens. Without these, we perish.

Thank You,

Tim Redmond



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As involved members in our community, We're writing today to express our disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – we are calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Fran Aguirre



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries. My green roofs capture and sequester particulate matter from the air--I am doing my part, and I ask you to do yours.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jeanne Weber



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

We need to take aggressive actions to protect our environment and to protect the health of our citizens.
Please do everything possible to reduce soot.

Thank You,

Steve Kaye



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

EPA's inadequate proposal doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health, or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harms our health, environment and the economy. I call on the Biden administration to follow their scientific advisory panel and enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot. Haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries. Soot is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Terrence McNally



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner and environmental justice advocate in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Ona Porter



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash smoke pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Smoke pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit smoke pollution. Smoke causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries. See <https://phys.org/news/2022-08-dangerous-combination-extreme-affected-million.html> Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Bruce Preville



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community in North Carolina and a retired economist I know how important it is for the EPA to provide adequate guardrails and guidelines for business to act in the best interest of our communities. I am disappointed with EPA's proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries. These industries are especially important to our community in western North Carolina.

Even more importantly, soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. I urge you to act providing the guardrails to protect our communities and the guidelines for business to make choices in their and our communities best interests.

Thank You,

Susan Kask



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As an academic physician specializing in respiratory medicine, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health, and prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Scientific research in recent years has strengthened the evidence that airborne soot is hazardous to human health at lower concentrations than previously recognized, besides its deleterious effects on the environment/biosphere generally and on the economy. I therefore urge the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit pollution by soot. Aerosolized soot, measured as PM10 and esp. as PM2.5, is an injurious pollutant that millions of Americans (and billions globally) are exposed to every day. At the global scale particulate air pollution is among the leading causes of remediable excess mortality (WHO). It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, cardiovascular disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts decrease the productivity of the labor force, shorten lifespans, and reduce the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. Moreover, soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Thank You,

A. Ross Hill, M.D.



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

The EPA has failed to go far enough to protect the air we breathe. Avoiding open air is not an option. Unlike avoiding polluted water which, in emergencies, can be distributed in bottles, the air we have is the air we breathe. So, stating the obvious, we need the EPA to set livable standards for air pollution. I'm very disappointed with EPA's inadequate proposal that fails to adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is not only extremely dangerous and harmful to our health, it also affects the environment and the economy. For example, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries. Haze and pollution diminish the value of open space to the overall community they are designed to improve. Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. We respectfully request that the Biden administration heeds the recommendations of its own scientific advisory panel and enacts the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Thank You,

Ann Woll



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

This physician protests EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

B Dudney MD



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As the Executive Director of the Coalition of Large Tribes, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Oliver Semans



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. We must put credence behind the findings of science in order to keep us all safe. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force and lowering the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy.

Thank You,

Jim Epstein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a business owner in my community, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, all for the benefit of wealthy executives and corporations for whom any regulation is anathema, no matter how little of an impact it could have on their bottom lines. This is why I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries, weakening our economy as a whole in favor of select well-connected companies and executives. Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic health conditions, including asthma, heart disease, COPD, and even dementia.

These impacts are directly lowering the productivity of the labor force - and causing long-term health problems that will add further burdens to families and taxpayers down the road - as well as the value of outdoor industries. The current standards for soot pollution haven't been updated since 2012 and are insufficient to protect our health, the environment, and the economy. It is time to bring them up to date with the most current science.

Thank You,

Zach Bernstein



**American
Sustainable
Business
Network**

Docket Number: Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter
— EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072

Dear EPA Administrator Regan,

As a former EPA employee, I'm writing today to express my disappointment with EPA's inadequate proposal that doesn't adequately slash soot pollution, protect public health or prevent premature deaths—especially in communities of color and historically overburdened communities. Soot pollution is extremely dangerous and harming our health, environment and the economy, which is why – I am calling on the Biden administration to heed the recommendations of their own scientific advisory panel and to enact the strongest possible standards to limit soot.

Soot causes haze, which can severely diminish visibility and mar beautiful vistas in our national parks and other scenic areas. While the loss of breathtaking scenery is significant and lowers overall community well-being, haze creates serious economic harm to the tourism and outdoor recreation industries.

Soot is a deadly and dangerous pollutant that millions of Americans are exposed to every day. It is linked to many chronic diseases.

Thank You,

Wendi Shafir