

When Did “Safety” Become a License to Defy the Law? The Dangers of Sanctuary Cities

 americanpoliceofficersalliance.com/dangers-of-sanctuary-cities

American Police Officers Alliance

November 11, 2025

For patriots who believe in the rule of law, national sovereignty, and the principle of ordered liberty, the rise of so-called “sanctuary cities” is a deeply troubling development. These jurisdictions—cities, counties, and even entire states—have chosen to actively obstruct federal immigration enforcement efforts, creating a dangerous and chaotic legal environment. This isn’t just a difference of opinion on policy; it’s a direct challenge to our constitutional system and a clear threat to public safety.

The core issue lies in the refusal of sanctuary jurisdictions to fully cooperate with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Often, this means ignoring “detrainer requests”—formal requests from ICE asking local jails to hold an arrested individual for an extra 48 hours until federal agents can take them into custody for potential deportation. By refusing to honor these requests, local officials knowingly release illegal aliens back into the community, often individuals who have been arrested for other crimes.

The consequences of this policy are far from theoretical. The tragic loss of American lives, like that of Laken Riley in Georgia, serves as a stark reminder of the worst-case scenario. Her alleged killer, an illegal alien, had previously been arrested in a sanctuary city and released before ICE could take custody. For conservatives, this is an unacceptable outcome that places political ideology above the safety of American citizens. The claim that these policies make communities safer by building “trust” is thoroughly undermined every time a released illegal alien commits a violent crime.

Beyond the immediate public safety risks, sanctuary policies represent a deep-seated contempt for the rule of law and the separation of powers.

First, they actively impede the federal government’s responsibility to enforce immigration law. Federal statutes like U.S. Code, Title 8, § 1373 explicitly state that state and local governments cannot restrict their officials from sharing information about a person’s immigration status with federal authorities. Jurisdictions adopting sanctuary policies often flout this provision, making it harder for federal law enforcement to identify and deport criminal aliens. When local officials decide which federal laws they will and will not assist in enforcing, they are setting a dangerous precedent that undermines the foundation of our republic.

**When local leaders
choose to shield
lawbreakers from
accountability,
they don't create
safety, they destroy
it.**



**AMERICAN POLICE
OFFICERS ALLIANCE**

Second, these policies impose significant economic burdens on law-abiding taxpayers. Mass influxes of illegal aliens place enormous strain on local infrastructure, including public schools, healthcare services, and housing. While proponents of sanctuary cities often highlight the potential for an expanded labor force, this alleged economic benefit comes at a direct cost to city and state budgets, which must suddenly manage a surge in demand for services designed for legal residents. Taxpayer dollars intended for essential municipal services are instead redirected to mitigate the effects of unchecked illegal immigration.

Furthermore, sanctuary policies send a clear and unmistakable message: that breaking the law to enter the United States carries no meaningful local consequence. This directly contributes to the chaos at the southern border by creating magnets that incentivize further illegal entry. When federal immigration enforcement is undermined at the local level, it serves as a powerful encouragement for those looking to violate our sovereign borders.

For conservatives, the debate over sanctuary cities is fundamentally about sovereignty, security, and the rule of law. It is a demand that elected officials—at all levels—uphold their oaths and work in concert with federal partners to ensure a safe, legal, and orderly immigration system. We must reject the notion that local convenience or political posturing can supersede the national interest. It is time to hold these sanctuary jurisdictions accountable and restore integrity to our nation's laws. The safety of American citizens must be the paramount concern.