

Epstein File Says Biden Was Replaced in 2019!?

wabcradio.com/2026/02/03/epstein-file-says-biden-was-replaced-in-2019

ND

February 4, 2026

Maritime law is coming, and the selling and owning of humans and human souls is over. There is factual evidence on the methods of operation for how law and legal proceedings will work next.

I do encourage you to watch the video and understand how common laws are going away. So [REDACTED], quit fiddling your pussy and call [REDACTED] and who has so much info that will incriminate you, that when he deletes it, we'll find it on the Quantum system that this great gentleman [REDACTED] has made very clear in the video above.

<HINT>: Biden is not your current President. The mask malfunctions on Biden are getting worse each day. On purpose. This will end badly for [REDACTED] who is posing with a fucking clone on Facebook.

The dude playing Biden ain't Biden. Real Biden was an evil sick angry man who abused children including his own children. That's an actor with a fucking mask. We've been over this a thousand times. It's never going to change. Biden is shot, 2019 firing squad.

Photo: Justice.gov

(Washington, DC) – A document included in the Department of Justice's latest Epstein-related file release contains an extreme and unfounded claim alleging that Joe Biden was shot and killed in 2019 and replaced by a body double wearing a mask.

The text, which appears as part of a raw, unverified submission within the broader document dump, describes the current president as "an actor with a mask" and advances conspiracy theories involving clones and execution by firing squad. The claims are presented without evidence and are not supported by any official findings.

The Department of Justice has not indicated that the material reflects verified information, noting that the Epstein file releases include large volumes of unfiltered records, tips, messages, and third-party submissions gathered during investigations.

Officials have repeatedly stated that the release of such documents does not constitute validation of their contents, but rather reflects transparency requirements tied to the Epstein case.