PAGE 1

- 1 ALDERSON COURT REPORTING
- 2 CHRISTINE ALLEN
- 3 HJU192000
- 4 MARKUP OF:
- 5 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS;
- 6 H.R. 3311, THE "SMALL BUSINESS REORGANIZATION ACTION OF
- 7 2019;"
- 8 H.R. 3304, THE "NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVISTS DEBT RELIEF
- 9 EXTENSION ACT OF 2019;"
- 10 H.R. 2938, THE "HONORING AMERICAN VETERANS IN EXTREME NEED
- 11 ACTION OF 2019" OR THE "HAVEN ACT;" AND
- 12 H.R. 2336, THE "FAMILY FARMER RELIEF ACT OF 2019."
- 13 Thursday, July 11, 2019
- 14 House of Representatives
- 15 Committee on the Judiciary
- 16 Washington, D.C.

17 The committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:15 a.m., in

- 18 Room 2141, Rayburn Office Building, Hon. Jerrold Nadler
- 19 [chairman of the committee] presiding.
- 20 Present: Representatives Nadler, Lofgren, Jackson Lee,

PAGE

2

Cohen, Johnson of Georgia, Deutch, Bass, Richmond, Jeffries,
Cicilline, Swalwell, Lieu, Raskin, Jayapal, Demings, Correa,
Scanlon, Garcia, Neguse, McBath, Stanton, Dean, MurcarselPowell, Escobar, Collins, Chabot, Gohmert, Jordan, Buck,
Ratcliffe, Roby, Gaetz, Johnson of Louisiana, Biggs,
McClintock, Lesko, Reschenthaler, Cline, Armstrong, and
Steube.

28 Staff present: Aaron Hiller, Deputy Chief Counsel; Arya 29 Hariharan, Oversight Counsel; David Greengrass, Senior 30 Counsel; John Doty, Senior Advisor; Lisette Morton, Director of Policy, Planning, and Member Services; Madeline Strasser, 31 Chief Clerk; Moh Sharma, Member Services and Outreach 32 33 Advisor; Susan Jensen, Parliamentarian/Senior Counsel; Sarah 34 Istel, Oversight Counsel; Julian Gerson, Staff Assistant; 35 Charlie Gayle, Oversight Counsel; Joseph Van Wye, 36 Professional Staff Member, ACAL Subcommittee; Slade Bond, 37 Chief Counsel, ACAL Subcommittee; Joshua Breisblatt, Counsel, 38 Immigration Subcommittee; Brendan Blair, Minority Staff 39 Director; Bobby Parmiter, Minority Deputy Staff 40 Director/Chief Counsel; Jon Ferro, Minority Parliamentarian/General Counsel; Andrea Loving, Minority 41 Chief Counsel, Immigration Subcommittee; Carlton Davis, 42 Minority Chief Oversight Counsel; Ashley Callen, Minority 43 Oversight Counsel; Daniel Flores, Minority Chief Counsel, 44 45 Antitrust Subcommittee; Erica Barker, Minority Chief

HJU192000	PAGE	3

- 46 Legislative Clerk; and Andrea Woodard, Minority Professional
- 47 Staff Member.

48

Chairman Nadler. The Judiciary Committee will pleasecome to order, a quorum being present.

51 Without objection, the chair is authorized to declare a 52 recess at any time.

53 Pursuant to Committee Rule II and House Rule XI, Clause 54 2, the chair may postpone further proceedings today on the 55 question of approving any measure or matter or adopting an 56 amendment for which a recorded vote for the yeas and nays are 57 ordered.

58 Pursuant to notice, I now call up the chair's resolution 59 authorizing the issuance of certain subpoenas for documents 60 and testimony for purposes for markup, and move that the 61 committee agree to the resolution.

62 The clerk will report the resolution.

63 Ms. Strasser. Resolution offered by Chairman Jerrold 64 Nadler. Resolved, that upon the adoption of this resolution, 65 the chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary is authorized 66 to issue subpoenas with documents and testimony from current 67 and former Administration officials relating to the 68 following: one, the zero tolerance policy and other family 69 separation policies and practices; two, detention or shortterm custody of children and/or families; and three, 70 discussions about or offers of presidential pardons to 71 Department of Homeland Security officials or employees. In 72 73 addition, the chairman, at his discretion and --

77

PAGE 5

74 Chairman Nadler. Without objection, the resolution is 75 considered as read and open for amendment at any point. 76 [The resolution follows:]

78 Chairman Nadler. I will begin by recognizing myself for 79 an opening statement. Today the Judiciary Committee will take additional important step toward greater oversight of 80 81 the Trump Administration in two areas, immigration and 82 alleged obstruction of justice.

83 First immigration. Over the past several months we have 84 held hearings and sent letters to the agencies of 85 jurisdiction regarding a series of catastrophic and inhumane immigration policies. Many questions remain, and it is past 86 time that we hold this Administration accountable. In 87 January, as one of my first acts of chairman, I sent letters 88 89 to then Department of Homeland Security DHS Secretary 90 Nielsen, then Acting Attorney General Whitaker, and current Health and Human Services Secretary Azar asking that they 91 92 preserve and turn over any information and records pertaining 93 to the development and execution of the Administration's so-94 called zero tolerance -- which really meant family separation -- immigration enforcement policy and the detention of 95 96 migrants.

97 I am pleased to say that DHS and HHS have for the most 98 part complied with this request. However, in a span of 6 months, the Department of Justice has produced only a 99 smattering of heavily-redacted emails, and yet together, 100 these documents represents the Administration's most 101 102 substantive response to the various immigration-related

103 inquiries we have made in this Congress.

104 In April, we requested documents and information 105 regarding troubling reports that the Administration was 106 considering retaliatory actions against certain members of 107 Congress who the President deemed to be in opposition to his 108 funding and policy priorities. That the President openly 109 discussed his tactic on social media shocks the conscience. 110 After sending a follow-up letter, we were told by Agency 111 officials that because these actions were never executed, there was no need for the disclosure of related documents or 112 information. That, however, is for Congress to decide, not 113 114 the Administration, whether we need that information and 115 those documents.

In May 2019, along with several of my Judiciary 116 117 Committee colleagues, I wrote to DHS demanding an immediate 118 investigation into the deaths of five migrant children at the 119 southern border over the last 6 months, as well as a committee member briefing on conditions in short-term holding 120 121 facilities. Having been to El Paso twice this year, I was 122 already aware that conditions were deplorable and 123 unacceptable, and with the release of two DHS Office of Inspector General reports, my concerns have grown 124 exponentially. To date, DHS has not provided a single 125 briefing or any response to the letter. 126

127 I use these examples to highlight the scope of serious

128 concerns we have raised with the Administration about the 129 systematic withholding of information from the Congress, 130 despite our oversight responsibility on behalf of the 131 American people. We have given the Administration ample time 132 to respond to these serious reports of egregious conduct. This committee cannot sit idly by. There must be oversight 133 134 and accountability.

135 On that subject, in April 2019, along with Zoe Lofgren, 136 chair of the Immigration and Citizenship Subcommittee, and 137 Steve Cohen, chair of the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Subcommittee, I sent a letter to Acting DHS 138 139 Secretary Kevin McAleenan requesting information and 140 documents related to President Trump's reported offer to 141 pardon him if he were to illegally close the southern border 142 as the President has reportedly suggested he should do. A 143 follow-up letter was sent on May 29th, but we have yet to 144 receive any response to these inquiries.

That matters because it represents a very serious threat 145 146 to our rule of law system, and it brings me to the other 147 group of subpoenas we are authorizing today. Let me just 148 elaborate. These are allegations and news reports which we want to check out. For a President to order an 149 150 Administration official to violate the law and to say don't worry, if you violate the law, I will pardon you, would be a 151 152 terrible dereliction of duty and a terrible violation of the

153 President's duty to see that the laws are faithfully 154 executed. It would be an open invitation to violate the 155 laws.

156 And this brings me to the other group of subpoenas we 157 are authorizing today. These 12 subpoenas relate to the 158 committee's ongoing investigation into allegations of 159 obstruction of justice, public corruption, and abuses of 160 power, including such conduct described within the scope of 161 the Mueller report. The special counsel, who will be 162 appearing before this committee next week, found in his report that the Russian government attacked the 2016 U.S. 163 164 presidential election in "sweeping and systematic fashion." 165 The report also detailed 10 instances of possible obstruction 166 of the investigation of that most serious matter, as well as 167 other possible misconduct.

168 The Committee on the Judiciary has a constitutional 169 obligation to investigate credible allegations of misconduct. 170 We have been doing that through pursuing the unredacted 171 Mueller report and key related witnesses and documents. 172 There is no substitute, however, for primary evidence as the 173 committee makes its decisions, which is why we have sought documents and testimony from former White House counsel, 174 Donald McGahn, former White House communications director, 175 176 Hope Hicks, and former deputy White House counsel, Annie 177 Donelson.

178 Today we focus on 12 additional witnesses. These 179 include government officials who worked or continue to work 180 in close proximity to the President. These witnesses also 181 include those outside of government who have critical 182 information in connection with our investigation. We will 183 not rest until we obtain their testimony and documents so 184 that this committee and Congress can do the work that the 185 Constitution and the American people expect of us.

186 I now recognize the ranking member of the Judiciary 187 Committee, the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Collins, for his 188 opening statement.

189 Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and here we go 190 again on another episode of premature subpoena authorizations 191 brought to you by the Democrats on the House Judiciary 192 Committee. Aside from the lack of specificity, and the lack 193 of a lot of things, and the lack of maybe a law school 194 understanding of a subpoena, this is, again, another trip 195 down an empty world.

In the world of congressional oversight, today's markup makes absolutely no sense. First, we have the zero tolerance policy. This is an area where the chairman has sent one single request of the Administration way back on January 11th, 6 months ago, and not a single follow-up request since. Nevertheless, since then the Administration has produced a steady stream of documents, the Department of Health and

203 Human Services made a total of 20 productions, nearly one per 204 week for over 7,500 pages, the Department of Homeland 205 Security has made over four productions, including one 206 earlier this week amounting to over 3,300 pages as you can 207 see in the boxes beside me. The Department of Justice has 208 provided six productions totaling over 1,200 pages. In sum, 209 the Administration has voluntarily made 20 productions 210 totaling over 12,000 pages, yet the chairman out of the blue 211 and without a single formal follow up wants to issue 212 subpoenas for more documents. Second, the chairman wants to issue a subpoena for 213 214 information regarding the detention of children and families 215 and a subpoena for information about the discussions or 216 offers of presidential pardons to DHS officials and 217 employees. The chairman has only tangentially issued a 218 document request regarding detention way back in January. A 219 subpoena here is not only premature, but unjustified. The 220 chairman has zero predicate for this markup today. Given 221 this fact, I guess the chairman was telling the truth when he

222 said during an earlier subpoena authorization he views the 223 subpoena as the beginning of a dialogue process.

Third, the chairman wants to continue a subpoena binge 224 and issue an additional 12 subpoenas to individuals related 225 to the Mueller investigation. The chairman has made no 226 227 formal contacts with the three individuals during his

228 chairmanship, and the subpoena will, in fact, be, I quess as 229 we have heard, the beginning of a dialogue process. Once 230 again, I want to emphasize the chairman has not sent even one 231 written request to three of the individuals on this list we 232 are authorizing. Four of the individuals were part of the 233 chairman's short-lived and now debunked investigation into 234 the 81 Trump associates. Each of these individuals responded 235 to the chairman and cooperated with the inquiry before that 236 investigation seemingly has died on the vine. Incredibly, 237 another one of these individuals has already received a 238 subpoena from the chairman. He produced documents as well, 239 but I guess the chairman is going to reward him with yet 240 another subpoena because apparently the first one wasn't good 241 enough. I guess there wasn't enough dialogue.

Again, the record is devoid of any basis for subpoena authorizations. At least the chairman is consistent. This is a pattern we have seen several times before. If you cooperate with him, you get a subpoena. If you ignore him, he will leave you alone. In the world of congressional oversight, these subpoenas make no sense at all, but in the world of politics, today's markup makes perfect sense.

When we left for the July 4th recess, my friends on the other side were reeling. They were having a very large and very public inter-Party squabble over funding for the humanitarian crisis at the border, a crisis this committee

has repeatedly failed to address. Before we ever get back to town, however, we were greeted by the chairman's intention to go on a subpoena binge, attempting to change the narrative to focus on issues he hasn't touched for months, if at all. This is a very haphazard way of conducting congressional oversight.

259 It is no wonder other committees have taken the lead on 260 investigating the issues the chairman wants to focus on 261 today. The Judiciary Committee, as it has been for months, 262 is trying to play catch up. For example, Chairman Cummings issued subpoenas to DHS, HHS, and DOJ regarding the zero 263 264 tolerance policy back in February, 5 months ago. HPCC has 265 been consistently leading the way in regard to the Mueller investigation. One of today's subpoenas is for Michael 266 267 Flynn, a person HPCC is close to bringing in after issuing 268 him a subpoena last month. In addition, the chairman refused 269 for months to review the lesser-redacted Mueller report, and 270 held the Attorney General in contempt. Meanwhile, Adam 271 Schiff cut a deal with the Department and got access to the 272 underlying documents. If the goal is truly to get 273 information or testimony, our chair is failing. But as has been repeatedly said, if the goal is for political theater, 274 275 we are winning.

276 Chairman Schiff also threatened to subpoena the special 277 counsel before the July break. He fulfilled his promise,

278 and, in doing so, forced the chairman's hand. Now, instead 279 of having a hearing where all of our members get to ask 280 Robert Mueller questions, we are having our legs cut out from 281 under us by limiting the questions. Not all Republicans 282 members will be able to ask questions, and not all Democrat 283 members will be able to ask questions. This odd format is a 284 result of the chairman not taking seriously this information 285 and moving forward, and we are all here to suffer. In fact, 286 let me just bring up it is against House Rules, but that is 287 another problem. We have never had a problem with here, it seems like, in the last 6-and-a-half months, and the Democrat 288 289 members of this committee are not happy about it.

290 Today's subpoena binge is an effort to change the 291 narrative. It is a show of force. It is a chance for the 292 chairman to prove to his rank and file and the rest of the 293 Democratic caucus that we can be tough on the Trump 294 Administration after being pushed around for 6 months. Today is a chance to show that we have what it takes, and we will 295 296 not bow in the spotlight. However, the truth is at this 297 point next week, a hearing on one of the largest, most-298 talked-about investigations in 2 years, and this committee got rolled. The Intel Committee gets to ask all their 299 300 questions. This committee does not. This committee gets to divide into haves and the have nots. We are all elected, 301 302 both sides. This is a farce, especially when it flies in the

303 face of a 5-minute rule that is conveniently being ignored.

Here we go again, and, as I have had to say for the past 6-and-a-half months, let the show begin. Chairman Nadler. Thank you, Mr. Collins. Without objection, all other opening statements will be included in the record.
[The information follows:]

310

311 Chairman Nadler. I now recognize myself for purposes of 312 offering an amendment in the nature of a substitute. The 313 clerk will report the amendment.

Ms. Strasser. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to a resolution offered by Mr. Nadler. Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following: "that upon the adoption of this resolution, the chairman of the

318 committee" --

319 Chairman Nadler. Without objection, the amendment in 320 the nature of a substitute will be considered as read and 321 shall be considered as base text for purpose of amendment. 322 [The amendment in the nature of a substitute of Chairman 323 Nadler follows:]

324

325 Chairman Nadler. I will recognize myself to explain the 326 amendment.

My amendment in the nature of a substitute makes no substantive changes to the resolution. It simply makes a technical revision to former Attorney General Sessions' name as stated in the resolution. Therefore, I urge adoption of the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time. I now recognize the ranking member of the Judiciary

333 Committee, the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Collins, for any 334 comments he may have on the amendment in the nature of a 335 substitute.

336 Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have no real 337 comments about the amendment in the nature of a substitute 338 except, I mean, the broadness of this, the lack of 339 specificity of this, the understanding of looking at it and 340 saying what do we want. And also, as I stated in my opening 341 statement, we are here again for show. This committee is being run by press release when we can't do substantive work. 342 343 This is not the way are subpoenas are supposed to work. This 344 is not the way congressional oversight is supposed to work. 345 And for those of us who hope to be in the majority again one day, quit ruining it for the rest of us because this is 346 not the way subpoenas are supposed to work and oversight is 347 348 supposed to happen. There has been no follow up on many of

349 these. Some of these have never even gotten the first

350 request, and the ones who have responded are now getting 351 served with another subpoena. Wow, let's keep going. I 352 yield back. Chairman Nadler. Are there any amendments to the 353 354 amendment in the nature of a substitute? 355 [No response.] 356 Chairman Nadler. In that case --357 Mr. Chabot. Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman, move to 358 strike the last word. 359 Chairman Nadler. Mr. Chabot. The gentleman from Ohio. Mr. Chabot. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 360 361 Chairman Nadler. For what purpose does the gentleman 362 from Ohio seek recognition? Mr. Chabot. Move to strike the last word. 363 364 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized. 365 Mr. Chabot. Mr. Chairman, despite the fact that 366 millions and millions of taxpayer dollars and 22 months were spent on an investigation and a report that determined that 367 368 there was no collusion by the Trump Campaign with Russia, and 369 the Attorney General concluded that there was no obstruction 370 of justice, the majority continues on what clearly is a fishing expedition, yet more subpoenas here today. I agree 371 372 completely with the ranking member. This is much ado about 373 wasting this committee's time and avoiding other issues which 374 are within our jurisdiction, which we continue to avoid. It

is really a faux impeachment, a fake impeachment to satisfy the hardcore Democrat base who hate this President, who despise the fact that he is still in White House, and, in fact, despise the fact that he was ever there.

379 Now this committee has allowed itself, as the ranking member said, to be rolled, run over roughshod by the 380 381 Intelligence Committee. It is really embarrassing for a 382 committee that really has had significant stature in this 383 House for so many years. Rather than wasting more time on 384 this fishing expedition, we could be spending our time on things that really matter, on that things that would actually 385 386 benefit the American people.

387 For example, we had nearly a quarter of a million people 388 enter our country, flow into this country at our southern 389 border over the last 2 months alone. Think of that: a 390 quarter of a million people in 2 months. And most of those 391 came in through our flawed asylum process, which we ought to 392 be able to come to some bipartisan agreement if we would 393 actually talk about it, discuss it, have hearing on that 394 issue. It is so flawed, we have people that are paying drug 395 cartels to come here. The money is flowing back there. When these people are coming in at one point, people are literally 396 coming down. You can watch TV. They are coming down, loaded 397 398 with drugs in boxes right down the way from that because our 399 border is too unprotected at this point and putting the

400 American people at risk.

401 Immigration, asylum, et cetera, is within the jurisdiction of this committee, but we essentially ignore 402 403 that over and over again, and, as I said, the cartels are 404 making huge amounts of money at this. This is putting the 405 American people at risk. We had 70,000 people who died of 406 drug overdoses last year. Seventy thousand people, drug 407 overdoses, most of it opioids, much of it fentanyl, for 408 example. And that issue is essentially ignored by this 409 committee.

Those people, by the way, when they come here, they are 410 told the so-called magic words by the cartels, again, who are 411 412 making the money. They come into the country. They are given a court date 2 to 5 years down the road. About 10 413 414 percent actually show up for the court date. The other 90 415 percent or so completely ignore it. They are put on a bus or 416 a plane, shipped off to someplace in the country, our congressional districts here, and they essentially disappear 417 418 into the population.

And that photo, which I think it hit us, that father and that young child that died, that drowned coming into our country, horrible incident, never should have happened. But we should not forget that our policy is they remain, or our lack of policy, lures these people to our country. The young women, the mothers and fathers who literally give birth

425 control knowing that their daughters, there is a reasonably 426 good chance that they are going to be raped by the coyotes or 427 the other people that are bringing them up here. So it is 428 just horrific.

That is the kind of stuff that we ought to be dealing with, but instead, more subpoenas, more wasting this committee's time. It is really a shame. This committee ought to be better than this. It has been in the past. Hopefully it will be in the future. And I yield back.

434 Chairman Nadler. For what purpose does the gentlelady 435 from Texas seek recognition?

436 Ms. Jackson Lee. Mr. Chairman, to strike the last word. Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized. 437 438 Ms. Jackson Lee. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, just a 439 little chronological history. Let me acknowledge the 440 importance of this subpoena on a number of bases, but my 441 friends on the other side of the aisle, and certainly those who were here in 2013, remember that the brunt of what we are 442 443 facing today falls at the very feet of this House and the 444 Republicans, because in 2013, there was a bipartisan bill 445 that came to the House from the Senate, 68 to 32, led by John McCain. I think even Chairman Graham was on the bill, now 446 chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, and that was to 447 448 address the problems of the American people as it relates to 449 immigration.

It was a pathway to citizenship, and the members of this House, Democrats, were prepared to work with the bill. We had a bill, and we were prepared to work with the bill and then go to conference. But the Republicans didn't want to answer the call of the American people to fix the broken immigration system.

456 Now, I have just come back from the border, and I can 457 assure you that children are still being separated from their families. Children are still being housed, and the reason 458 459 why they are housed as children labeled "unaccompanied," because I asked the question did they become unaccompanied 460 because you separated them from their families. Yes, that is 461 462 how they became unaccompanied. And so it is important to 463 note the zero policy us still effectively undermining. What 464 I think the American people are most outraged about is that 465 is the care and responsibility for these children.

466 Now, if you go and talk to migrants one-on-one, they 467 will tell you that, as they told me, their son was murdered. 468 They went to the grocery store and came back, and saw their 469 nieces and nephews drugged by someone who had broken into 470 their house, and they had to escape with their lives. These 471 are migrants who are on the other side of the border in 472 Juarez. The policy that the President says that is working, I have no quarrel with the Mexican government. They did 473 474 whatever they had to do diplomatically, but there are no work

PAGE 23

475 permits. There are no facilities that these migrants are 476 supposed to stay in. They have no guarantee that they won't be thrown out into the street because there are no places for 477 478 them to stay. There is no healthcare. And, yes, they are 479 human beings.

480 So it is important for us to be able to utilize our 481 authority, our oversight responsibility, to ensure that the 482 Flores case is adhered to, that there is a short-term custody. Now we understand that there is a massive \$700-per-483 484 child day private prison being built somewhere with no authority from the United States Congress, wasting money, 485 486 reprogramming, taking money. But yet they couldn't take 487 money, Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member, they couldn't take 488 money to answer the call for toothpaste and toothbrushes 489 They couldn't reprogram the money in the DHS. They continue 490 to whine about the Congress was not responding. That is not 491 accurate. Our hearts were torn. The American people are torn. They are concerned about these children. It is 492 493 crucial for us to respond to them.

494 And then to deal with the litany of individuals from Mr. 495 Dearborn, Flynn, to Jody Hunt, Mr. Kushner, Kelly, Lewandowski, Porter, Rosenstein, Mr. Sessions, Mr. Davidson, 496 and Mr. Howard, Dylan Howard, and Pecker, to be able to 497 continue the oversight that is the responsibility of this 498 499 committee because the American people are asking to be

informed in a way that they can understand the enormity of what has occurred in this Administration. We may soon hear an announcement about the Census in complete contrary to the Supreme Court decision. This Administration will stand up to the Supreme Court and tell them that they are not worthy of their name and begin to issue executive issues on the question of citizenship on the Census.

507 So I don't have any doubt that this subpoena is for nonpolitical purposes. It is for purposes of information, and 508 509 it certainly is for purposes of dealing with the important 510 question of the responsibility of this Judiciary Committee. 511 And finally, I would argue the point that offers of pardons 512 and questions regarding Homeland Security officials are 513 important inasmuch as, Mr. Chairman, they are announcing 514 raids that are going to frighten everybody and maybe put 515 everyone in danger and separate more children from families 516 again. I think that it is important that we move forward 517 with this resolution for a subpoena. With that, I yield 518 back.

519 Chairman Nadler. For what purpose does the gentleman 520 from Texas seek recognition?

521 Mr. Gohmert. Move to strike the last word.

522 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

523 Mr. Gohmert. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Very quickly, 524 since this is about the subpoenas, let me just first respond.

525 There is, and I am glad to see my friends on both sides of 526 the aisle or other side of the aisle join us on this side of the aisle in acknowledging there is a crisis on our border. 527 528 We have been battling. While in the majority we had a few 529 join the Democrats that didn't want to secure the border. 530 But the failure to secure the border is what has caused this crisis. The promises of legalization, amnesty, better living 531 532 conditions, all these kind of things have continued to lure 533 more and more people here.

534 Now, if we didn't have immunity in Congress, then you could have the survivors of those who have died trying to get 535 here or the hundreds of thousands potentially that have been 536 537 personally harmed, raped on the way here, maybe millions, 538 they would have lawsuits against members, but we have 539 immunity. But we know in our evolved system of justice that 540 if you have, for example, a swimming pool in your yard and 541 you fail to put a fence around it or a wall, and somebody 542 comes in and is harmed, drowned, they have a potentially 543 major verdict they are going to get against the individuals 544 who refused to put a wall or a fence around an attractive 545 nuisance. That is the legal term, not mine.

That is what has been created by our friends across the aisle, an attractive nuisance that has lured people to their deaths, to horrible living conditions. And then when this Administration is begging for beds to take care of these

550 people, we were told that the crisis created by the refusal 551 to secure our border didn't exist. Oh, it is a manufactured crisis. It doesn't exist. And so I am glad at least we now 552 553 have those people that stuck their head in the sand and said 554 there was no crisis now acknowledging that there is a crisis. 555 But let's be real about what created it and what will solve 556 it, and continuing to encourage people to come from around 557 the world in greater numbers than ever is not the fix. Now, with regard to these subpoenas, if I put my role 558 559 and robe back on as a judge or chief justice and think about it, because that is the way you have to look at these things, 560 will a Federal court enforce the subpoenas? You would want 561 to see what was the process. What is this about? Well, it 562

is about the Mueller report. Well, what did you do? Well, we first subpoenaed Barr because he said it was a summary. He said it was just to get out the conclusions because he knew the report was coming. But we subpoenaed him even though that is totally irrelevant if you want to know what the report said.

And then we finally got around to having an agreement for Mueller to come testify. But I am telling you, if you had told me 6 months ago that Chairman Schiff would be able to marginalize the Judiciary Committee and Chairman Nadler, I would say that will never happen. I found out otherwise. Our committee has been rolled on our jurisdiction over

575 special counsel. That is our jurisdiction. The members on 576 both sides of the aisle ought to all be able to ask questions 577 and not be marginalized by the chairman of the Intelligence 578 Committee, but we got rolled.

579 And so if you are the court looking to see, because 580 about the only other way to enforce a subpoena and a contempt would be if you arrest them and put them in our little cell 581 582 up here. But otherwise, you got to get a Federal court on board here with you, and when they see the process that has 583 been followed here, it doesn't make sense. It makes it clear 584 585 maybe the subpoena is an effort to make it look like we are 586 trying to get back our jurisdiction from the Intelligence 587 Committee. But whatever the case, this is going to damage 588 future majorities regardless of which side of the aisle. It 589 is a terrible precedent, and that is why these subpoenas 590 should not be coming now. Instead we ought to be demanding 591 full time to question Mueller about the report, then issue subpoenas if necessary for the witnesses that make up that 592 593 report if we are not satisfied with Mueller.

594 That would impress a judge, and short of that, these 595 subpoenas will be meaningless. I yield back.

596 Chairman Nadler. For what purpose does the gentleman 597 from Florida seek recognition?

- 598 Mr. Deutch. I move to strike the last word.
- 599 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

600 Mr. Deutch. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, I 601 can't start without just making clear what everyone on this 602 committee knows, what everyone in America knows. The United 603 States of America is not attractive nuisance. The United 604 States of America is a beacon of hope and opportunity to 605 people around the world as it has been since our founding. 606 Now, I strike the last word to speak out strongly in 607 support of the subpoena authorization. I would expect bipartisan support for these authorizations, first, for the 608 609 list of individuals related to the committee's investigation into obstruction of justice, public corruption, and other 610 abuses. I have heard many times from my Republican 611 612 colleagues on the other side of this dais that they don't 613 want expert witnesses. They want the people who were there. 614 Well, this list should satisfy them. These are the key 615 players both in our ongoing investigation and in the Mueller 616 report. That is exactly, exactly what my Republican friends 617 have been asking for.

Up to this point, unprecedented obstruction by the White House has blocked testimony and appearances by the people who were there. But we will not stop in the face of baseless claims of immunity or privilege. I thank the chairman for his continued work in pressing this committee's work forward, and I hope that the minority will join us as we continue this important investigation.

625 Secondly, this authorization also authorizes subpoenas 626 for information related to the detention or short-term 627 custody of children and/or families by the Trump Administration. That is the Administration whose policy 628 629 dictated tearing kids from their parents. It is the same 630 Administration that has put kids in cages. Mr. Chairman, in 631 the days before the committee's February 26th hearing on 632 immigrant detention, the Administration dumped thousands of 633 pages of documents on the committee. Within those pages, we 634 found reports of incidents of sexual assault and misconduct involving unaccompanied children in the care of the Office of 635 636 Refugee Resettlement. Those incidents included 154 staff on 637 unaccompanied minor allegations of sexual assault. And now 638 this week, NBC News reported new allegations against Customs 639 and Border Patrol agents. A 16-year-old boy alleged that the 640 sleeping mats were removed from his cell after he complained 641 about the drinking water. A 15-year-old alleged that she was sexually assaulted by a CBP officer when he groped her in 642 643 what was meant to be a routine pat down.

While some of these incidents are reportedly under investigation by DHS' Office of Inspector General, they demand full congressional oversight and swift accountability. We cannot, we cannot stand by and hope that the Trump Administration does the right thing because time and time and time and time again they do not, and it is children who are

650 paying the price. Kids. Innocent kids. We have seen it 651 with our own eyes. Many of us have seen the conditions these 652 children are kept in from Texas to my own State of Florida. 653 We cannot stand by and think that the inspector general 654 will just simply handle it. We can't stand by and wait for a 655 response to our multiple letters. This is urgent. We cannot 656 stand by and ignore our duties as an independent branch of 657 government. And, Mr. Chairman, we absolutely cannot stand by and allow human rights to be violated beneath the American 658 659 flag. If the Administration is going to continue its unprecedented obstruction, we will continue to respond. 660 661 Today we authorize an important step forward in providing 662 oversight of an Administration that simply must be reined in. I thank the chairman for his leadership, and I yield back the 663 664 balance of my time.

665 Mrs. Lesko. Mr. Chairman?

Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. For what
purpose does the gentlelady from Arizona seek recognition?
Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike
the last word.

670 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized.

Mrs. Lesko. You know, Mr. Chairman and members, I am absolutely disgusted by the obsession that is going on here of trying to undermine the President of the United States. You know, and then to hear that, you know, the Republicans 675 aren't doing anything about immigration. Are you freaking 676 kidding me? I mean, we have immigration bill after 677 immigration bill that members have submitted are in front of 678 this committee that have not even been heard that could help 679 solve this problem. 680 And then I am really irritated that next we are going to 681 be questioning Robert Mueller and I don't even get a chance 682 to question him? This is just plain wrong. I have been 683 elected just like anybody here, and for the leadership on 684 this committee to decide that only certain members and there is only time for certain members to be questioned even on 685

686 your side of the aisle is just plain wrong. And I yield back 687 my time.

Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady yields back. The
gentlelady from California. For what purpose does the
gentlelady from California seek recognition?
Ms. Bass. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last word.
Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized.

Ms. Bass. Let me just begin by saying that I think for
the last several years until January of this year,
Republicans controlled the presidency, the Senate, the House,
and comprehensive immigration reform was not passed.

Earlier this year, there was a child welfare case in
California involving the abuse and captivity of 13 children.
The parents were charged with abusing and imprisoning the

700 children, only allowing them to eat once a day and to shower 701 infrequently. These parents are serving life in prison for 702 their crimes against their children.

703 Today the Department of Homeland Security is imprisoning 704 children in crowded cells for extended periods of time without adequate food, supervision, medical attention, or 705 706 access to basic hygiene needs, like soap, toothpaste, and 707 toothbrushes. Children are suffering in detention from the 708 flu, chickenpox, and measles. In every State in this country, this is child abuse, child neglect, medical neglect. 709 710 A State child welfare agency would remove the children from 711 these conditions and arrest the parents. By the way, it is 712 never routine for an adult male to pat down a female child. 713 That is never routine. We cannot tolerate State-sponsored 714 child abuse.

715 The Department of Homeland Security says they don't have 716 the money to treat the children better? We provided \$4.6 billion to address the humanitarian crisis at the border and 717 718 specifically said that the money could not be spent to build 719 additional detention centers. Yet DHS is expanding for-720 profit -- for-profit -- immigration detention centers in States far from our southern border. They found money to 721 722 expand for-profit detention centers, but somehow they don't 723 have the money to provide soap, toothbrushes, and medical 724 attention for children?

725 John Kelly, President Trump's former chief of staff and 726 Secretary of Homeland Security during the Family Separation 727 Pilot Program, now serves on the board of the company that was handed a \$341 million no-bid contract to run the 728 729 Homestead Center, an influx detention center for children. 730 The company that runs the center charges \$775 per day per 731 child, yet children in detention have access to only the most 732 basic services and then oftentimes not. There are foster 733 parents in this country who don't get \$775 a month to care 734 for a child.

735 The untold story of this debacle is the corrupt windfall 736 of dollars that businesses are making. In February of 2018, 737 I received a report in my office that a large number of 738 infants and toddlers were sent to the Office of Refugee 739 Resettlement Services. These are services designed for older 740 children who typically arrive at the United States border 741 alone. We couldn't understand how infants and toddlers were crossing the border alone. They weren't coming alone. They 742 743 were stripped from the protective arms of their family when 744 they crossed the border together.

More than 2,500 children were separated from their families under the Administration's zero tolerance policy. The Administration said that this policy was ended, but it was not ended. Children are still being separated from grandparents, from aunts, uncles, siblings, and in whose

750 world are these not families? It is a lie that the 751 Administration ended this policy of breaking up families. 752 This is about punishing children and families so they can 753 send a message back to their Central American countries to 754 not come to the United States.

755 CBP agents don't have adequate training to determine 756 whether a child is abused, and there are no clear standards 757 for what criminal convictions constitute a danger to a child. 758 There is no due process and no judge to determine the beset 759 interest of children at the border. We are using law 760 enforcement to address a humanitarian crisis. I have been to 761 refugee camps in South Sudan and Uganda. Children are never 762 separated from their parents. What is going on at the border 763 is an embarrassment to our Nation. There is a crisis at the 764 border, a crisis that has been fueled by an Administration 765 that refuses to address the root causes. Why do people leave 766 their countries? Dollars should go to help the Central American countries, not cut aid. 767

We need these subpoenas to get all the facts about the family separation policy and to get the facts about how agencies are awarding contracts. The Administration hasn't responded to our letters, and we now need subpoena authority to end the unconscionable treatment of children, immigrants, and asylum seekers. Thank you. I yield back my time, which has expired.

775 Chairman Nadler. I thank the gentlelady. For what 776 purpose does the gentleman from California seek recognition? 777 Mr. McClintock. I move to strike the last word. 778 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized. 779 Mr. McClintock. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The hypocrisy 780 in this room is staggering. To accuse the dedicated men and women who serve our Nation in the Border Patrol as child 781 abusers is simply despicable. They are doing everything they 782 can under the most difficult of circumstances to provide for 783 784 the needs of these children who have been brought here 785 illegally, in large part in response to the promises of free 786 healthcare, free legal representation, even being shielded 787 from deportation after committing crimes in this country. To 788 equate our Border Patrol to child abusers, I think just goes 789 beyond the pall.

790 And to hear claims of kids in cages under Trump. I 791 would bring to the majority's attention the report yesterday 792 in the New York Post, which pointed out the Democrats on the 793 House Oversight Committee sent out a pair of tweets Wednesday 794 and earlier this month with photos of migrants sleeping at a detention to publicize in an immigration hearing in Capitol 795 Hill. Just one problem. The pics were snapped in 2014 796 797 during the Obama Administration. The Dems deleted the two 798 messages and claimed it was an error. I think a lie would be 799 more appropriate.

800 Mr. Chairman, this is a committee that has been chaired 801 in the past by giants of our history, men like James 802 Buchanan, Daniel Webster. When we speak of an abuse of 803 power, I think the real abuse of power is right before us 804 today. Twelve thousand pages of material have already been 805 produced for this committee. I wonder if we have even read 806 them. Three of the 12 subpoenas are of people for whom we 807 have not requested any information yet whatsoever. 808 When the subpoena process is abused to this extent, it 809 cheapens the moral and legal authority of all subpoenas of the House and the moral standing and authority of the 810 Judiciary Committee that it may very well need to summon in 811 812 the future. In fact, I think our stature has already been 813 diminished and compromised. We have seen that already, the 814 dramatic truncating of our legitimate authority to question 815 the special counsel. This committee has already been 816 eclipsed, I think, precisely because of the way it has comported itself, and that is obviously a decision that has 817 818 been made by the Democratic leadership. 819 The executive and legislative are separate and co-equal

branches. They cannot interfere with the internal functions of the other. And the frivolous and indiscriminate abuse of the House's subpoena power can only be interpreted as an attempt to interfere with the executive's function by tying down key officers with unlimited demands to produce
825 paperwork. And this is not a new issue. Congress has tried 826 to do the same thing to Truman, Eisenhower, Johnson, Carter, 827 both Bushes, and Obama with the same results, and the courts 828 consistently backed the presidency. The Congress even tried 829 to subpoena Truman and his subordinates after they left the 830 White House, and the courts said the same immunity applies. 831 If this is an impeachable offense, then I think every 832 President since I was born would have been impeached.

833 I think the Democrats on this committee are suffering 834 from what the Air Force calls target fixation. That is the phenomenon when pilots focus so much on the target that they 835 836 fly their plane into a mountain. Many of their candidates in 837 Trump districts ran as self-described problems solvers. 838 Instead, I think people are seeing they are not problem 839 solvers, but troublemakers, and they are the ones who are 840 going to be most likely held to account. The first calls for 841 Trump's impeachment came 1 week after the 2016 election. Our 842 chairman was caught openly plotting impeachment just days 843 after the 2018 election put him in charge of the Judiciary 844 Committee.

Mr. Chairman, democracy depends on the willingness of all sides to accept the result of an election, and that didn't happen this time, and that is a very dangerous phenomenon in a Nation like ours. And meanwhile, the violent crime rate is rising, we have a crisis on the border, all

PAGE

850 matters that are under the jurisdiction of the Judiciary 851 Committee, and all matters that have been unaddressed by the Judiciary Committee. In fact, to make matters worse, we just 852 853 passed three bills out of committee last month to grant 854 amnesty for millions of illegal aliens already in our 855 country, which is sure only to attract more to attempt the 856 dangerous and illegal crossing into our southern border. 857 Chairman Nadler. Would the gentleman yield for a moment? Would the gentleman for a moment? 858 859 Mr. McClintock. I am happy to yield all of the time that I have left. 860 Chairman Nadler. Would the gentleman yield for a 861 moment? Thank you. I just want to agree with the gentleman 862 863 that this committee has in the past been chaired by giants, 864 but I would hardly consider James Buchanan among the giants. 865 [Laughter.] 866 Chairman Nadler. I thank the gentleman for yielding. 867 The gentleman's time has expired. For what purpose does the 868 gentlelady from Washington seek recognition? 869 Ms. Jayapal. I move to strike the last word. 870 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized. Ms. Jayapal. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. June 10th, 2018. 871 That is almost 13 months ago, and that was the day that I 872 became the first member of Congress to talk to family members 873 874 who had been separated from their children. I went into a

38

Federal prison where they were being held just south of my district. And I will tell you, I wouldn't have gotten access to that place had it been a for-profit detention center, but it happened to be a government Federal prison. And they actually believe in being accountable to the people that give them money.

881 So I was able to get in and talk to over 226 mothers and 882 fathers who had been separated from their children, separated at the border, at that point sometimes for 3 weeks, 4 weeks. 883 884 They did not know where their children were. Mothers who told me that they could hear their children crying for them, 885 886 and they could not go to them. Mothers who told me they were 887 given slips by the immigration enforcement agents with the 888 names of their children on those slips, except guess what? 889 Those weren't their children. Do you know why? Because the 890 immigration system, because the entire Health and Human 891 Services system, nobody knew whose children belonged to who. Nobody had kept track of them. Nobody had planned for this. 892 893 Nobody knew what was going on. And, in fact, if they did, 894 they had actually told the higher ups not to do this because 895 it would cause lifelong damage to those children. And, Mr. Chairman, we had Commander White here who 896

897 testified to that with Scott Lloyd sitting right here next to 898 him, that he told Scott Lloyd that this would cause 899 irreparable long-term damage to these children to be

900 separated, in some cases for 7 and 8 months now. And you 901 know what Scott Lloyd did? Nothing. Nothing. And on June 902 13th, 2018, 2 days later, I was in this committee, this 903 committee, sitting right there controlled by Republicans when 904 this Republican majority refused to do a darn thing about 905 these family separations. Not a single hearing. Not a 906 single hearing.

907 And you know what? The country was outraged. It wasn't 908 just Democrats. Two weeks after I went to see those 909 children, working with organizations on the outside, working with people who were outraged by what was happening because 910 911 this is not any kind of America, not a Republican America, not a Democratic America. Half a million people turned out 912 913 into the streets to protest the family separation policy, the 914 zero humanity policy. Laura Bush wrote a compelling op-ed. 915 Franklin Graham, the evangelical, spoke out. The churches 916 spoke out. But you know who didn't? Do you know where there was complete silence on the issue of family separation? 917 918 Right here in this committee from the Republican majority. 919 Right here in this committee.

So, Mr. Chairman, these subpoenas are not just appropriate. They are absolutely essential. Essential. We have had no accountability around this Trump Administration's zero humanity policies, and we now know that the youngest child who was separated from his parents was only 4 months

925 old. Four months. We don't know this because the government 926 disclosed this information. We know it because of a New York 927 Times investigation. And according to the New York Times, 928 immigration officials took 4-month-old Constantine away from 929 his family when he requested asylum to an immigration officer 930 stationed outside the American border. He followed the 931 directions set out by then Secretary Nielsen, but immigration 932 agents still took his son away. Constantine's dad finally 933 agreed to be deported on the condition that he be reunited 934 with his son. Immigration officials told him that 935 Constantine would be returned to him once he was on the 936 plane, but Constantine never came.

937 So despite the claims from the Administration that 938 nearly 500 parents chose to leave without their children, we 939 have a clear example of coercion, of parents doing everything 940 they could to regain custody of their children only for our 941 United States government to deny family unity. But we never had a hearing on family separations in this committee under 942 943 the Republican majority. We have sent letter after letter 944 after letter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you to the 945 Immigration Subcommittee chair, Zoe Lofgren. Thank you to members of this committee, including Ms. Bass, Ms. Jackson 946 947 Lee, who were with us on a trip to the border last summer. 948 But nothing under the Republican majority.

949 So don't tell me that we have done what we can. We have

950 not done what we need to do, and that is why we need these 951 subpoenas because this Administration is lawless and it 952 trades in cruelty and in money. Let's be very clear that the 953 for-profit detention facility that John Kelly is now on the 954 board of, board of after overseeing the implementation of 955 family separation, is the company that is profiting off of 956 the cruelty to children. 957 So, Mr. Chairman, thank you for doing these subpoenas.

958 I am in full support, and I yield back.

959 Ms. Jackson Lee. Will the gentlelady yield? Would the 960 gentlelady yield?

961 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady's time has expired. For 962 what purpose does the gentleman from Arizona seek 963 recognition?

964 Mr. Biggs. I move to strike the last word.

965 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

966 Mr. Biggs. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. I do appreciate the passion in this committee 967 968 This is a committee full of passionate people who think about 969 issues deeply and care deeply. I also had an opportunity to 970 visit multiple detention facilities and holding facilities, and I will tell you, one of the ones I happened to be able to 971 visit was a for-profit private ICE detention facility. And I 972 973 didn't have the same experience as my colleague, but that is 974 probably unique to me. I get that.

975 These subpoenas seem to be overkill in my mind. It is 976 probably too early. I think that we can get these folks to 977 come in. I think that we can negotiate this. But leaving 978 that aside, I am especially troubled by the format that has 979 been reported to me will be for this upcoming Mueller 980 hearing. So if we start 8 to 10 minutes late for that 981 hearing, if we only have 2 hours, and then we are going to 982 cede it over to the Intel Committee, Chairman Schiff, who is 983 apparently running this in some respects, if we start 8 to 10 984 minutes late as we did this morning, that will lop two people off who won't be able to ask questions if we adhere to the 5-985 986 minute rule.

987 But we likely won't because it is not unusual for 988 individuals on the other side to be allowed to go over a 989 minute or two in their questioning, not in the response 990 necessarily of the witness, but in their actual questioning. 991 And if there is some post-testimony commentary, which is 992 sometimes the case as we see, and we have even seen here 993 today, that will further erode the time.

So if you happen to be sitting on the bottom row here and you have 1 hour and you have 1 hour, that is the 2-hour limit, that means at 5 minutes you get about 11 people in. But when you start moving to these other things, you start lopping off members of that 11. And if you are not part of the 11, what are you? Well, you are being treated unfairly 1000 and disproportionately.

1001 We have taken the forceful step as a committee, whether 1002 you agree or disagree, to subpoena Robert Mueller, the 1003 special counsel. It is our committee's jurisdiction. It is 1004 not the Intel Committee's jurisdiction. But we have done 1005 this ostensibly because we find his testimony to be valuable, 1006 so valuable that we are going to subpoena him in. But we are 1007 going to constrain the opportunities for members of this committee to ask questions. That is neither appropriate, it 1008 is neither fair, and, quite frankly, it probably doesn't 1009 1010 comport with the rules of this committee or the House. 1011 The chairman of our committee has said that witnesses 1012 shall not dictate the terms or conditions of their testimony. 1013 But that seems to be what is going on here today. Either 1014 that or Chairman Schiff is dictating, because we are getting 1015 only 2 hours, and then it is going to go to the Intel 1016 Committee. Well, that means not everybody on this committee 1017 is going to get the opportunity, and that means that we have

1018 a hierarchical system, a system that is based on preferential 1019 treatment, quite frankly, by where you sit on the committee, 1020 because if you are in the front row, I happen to be on the 1021 front row. I was just inches from the back row. But it 1022 means that membership of this committee is not democratic, 1023 and we are not going to all be treated equally.

1024 But I know everybody here has questions. The Washington

1025 Times reported on a couple of my friends who sit on the front 1026 row, asking them, what do you want to ask questions of Mr. 1027 Mueller for, and they stated what they wanted to ask their 1028 questions. Bad news for you because if you sit on the front 1029 row, you are not in that 11 most likely, and that means that 1030 you are probably not going to get to ask questions, the 1031 questions that you told the Washington Times that you wanted 1032 to ask, including me. I told them what I wanted to ask. So the way I view this is if we are really going to go 1033 1034 by the plan that we have heard that has been related to us is 1035 that we are going to get an hour a piece with the 5-minute 1036 rule, that means that my time to ask questions has been ceded 1037 over to the Intelligence Committee instead of this committee 1038 where we have jurisdiction. And that is really, really 1039 wrong.

This is a committee that has a long and storied history, and we are undermining it by that type of secession to the other committee and by not allowing us to adhere to the rules, the 5-minute rule, so everyone gets to ask questions. With that, I yield back.

1045 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. For what
1046 purpose does the gentleman from Colorado seek recognition?
1047 Mr. Neguse. I move to strike the last word.
1048 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.
1049 Mr. Neguse. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And with great

respect to my colleague on the other side of the aisle, I 1050 1051 will say I would like to bring this markup back to the 1052 fundamental issue before the committee, which is these 1053 critical subpoenas that we are poised to authorize on the 1054 Administration's dangerous and disastrous zero tolerance and 1055 family separation policies. That is why we are gathered here 1056 in this committee room today. And I share the concerns and empathize with the frustrations of my colleague, 1057 Representative Jayapal, who I thought articulated the 1058 1059 sentiments of people in my district and in my State very 1060 effectively.

1061 I think many of my colleagues here on the committee know that I, like many others, am the son of immigrants, that my 1062 1063 parents were refugees from East Africa. And so I understand 1064 the importance of immigrants to our communities and of the 1065 often difficult circumstances that have led many to seek 1066 shelter, refuge, and a home in the United States. And for 1067 some coming to the U.S., it is a desire, and for some it is a 1068 need. Regardless, immigration has certainly made our Nation 1069 stronger in countless ways.

1070 And so I share the outrage by the continuing stories and 1071 the horrific images of the inhumane conditions immigrants at our border and at detention facilities across the country 1072 1073 have been subjected to. We have heard deeply concerning 1074 reports over the last several weeks being housed in

1075 unsanitary facilities, sleeping on cold concrete floors 1076 without proper medical care, toothbrushes, soap, windows, or 1077 proper nourishment. We cannot allow this treatment to 1078 continue under our watch.

1079 And I will say that these inhumane conditions are not 1080 just occurring on our southern border. They are happening in 1081 facilities across the country, including in my home State of 1082 Colorado. I continue to be alarmed, like many of my 1083 constituents, by basic human rights violations happening within a private detention facility run in Colorado. GEO 1084 1085 Group is the largest private prison company in the United 1086 States and holds Immigration and Customs Enforcement 1087 contracts to operate immigration detention facilities across 1088 the country.

1089 And as we have heard from my distinguished colleague, 1090 Representative Bass, and many others on the committee today, 1091 these private facilities benefit from limited oversight, and 1092 they operate under a financial interest in which they receive 1093 fixed payments from the Federal government per individual. 1094 So the incentive is monetary, not the health and dignity of 1095 individuals. And so we see the result of that broken and 1096 absurd system when you have at the facility that I mentioned in Colorado subpar medical care and use of restraints on 1097 1098 folks in solitary confinement, and even the Department of 1099 Homeland Security's own investigators raising various issues

PAGE 48

1100 about the facility itself.

1101	And by the way, that is why I also believe this
1102	committee should hold a hearing on detention facilities,
1103	including GEO Group in particular, and why I support
1104	Representative Jayapal's Dignity for Detained Immigrants Act,
1105	which would end these for-profit facilities once and for all.
1106	Ultimately, for all these reasons and more, I think it is
1107	critical that Congress provide critical oversight of the
1108	continuing conditions at our border and of immigration
1109	detention facilities, especially in light of this
1110	Administration's dramatic expansion of enforcement efforts at
1111	the border and numerous reports of detention facilities
1112	providing woefully inadequate medical care.
1113	The subpoena before us today will allow this committee
1114	to ask Administration officials important questions about
1115	these policies and hold them accountable, which it is long
1116	past time for us to do. And so I appreciate the chairman's
1117	leadership on this front as well as representative for the
1118	subcommittee, Chairman Lofgren's leadership. And with that,
1119	I would yield back the balance of my time.
1120	Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.
1121	For what purpose does the gentleman from North Dakota
1122	seek recognition?
1123	Mr. Armstrong. I move to strike the last word.
1124	Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

1125 Mr. Armstrong. And with all due respect to my friends 1126 on the other side of the aisle, not all these subpoenas are 1127 for zero tolerance policy, so we are talking about that, and 1128 we are talking about Jared Kushner, David Pecker, Rod 1129 Rosenstein, and we know full well those aren't for those. 1130 So we have spent over two hours in hearings arguing 1131 about whether the attorney general can be held in contempt 1132 for not violating the law. We spent over two hours in these 1133 hearings talking to John Dean and three cable news pundits about whether there is obstruction of justice. We have spent 1134 1135 over two hours in this committee talking about bipartisan 1136 solutions to the Mueller Report, which -- the nature and the 1137 testimony brought to the hearing changed the day before. But 1138 we are going to spend two hours, and nothing longer, talking 1139 to the guy who wrote the report.

1140 I joined Ranking Member Collins very early on saying, 1141 "Let's bring in Bob Mueller. He is the one who wrote it. 1142 Let's deal with it. Let's go through that. Let's have all 1143 of that." And now we have been dealing with these circus 1144 side-show hearings for months, and we have been doing it constantly, and it is not because any witness that has been 1145 1146 in front of those tables has had anything substantive to add to what has gone on in this report. It is a narrative. It 1147 1148 is a messaging hearing. We have done them over and over 1149 again, and I have sat through every one. I try to be in my

1150 chair as much as possible. I try to contribute to the 1151 committee as much as possible.

1152 I find out this morning that next week I have -- my 1153 constituency has no voice in this hearing. None. Not a 1154 single voice. I am not going to be able to participate. And 1155 I am not here to whine and kick dirt. Quite frankly, I don't 1156 think people sent me to Congress to whine and kick dirt. But 1157 I think it is unbelievably disrespectful to every member of this committee, and I think it is unbelievably disrespectful 1158 to my constituents to put those kind of parameters in place. 1159 1160 So with that I would kind of end on a question, and if 1161 we are going to limit the guy who wrote the report to two 1162 hours of committee time, I am hopeful that we are considering 1163 limiting all of these hearings we have, with these subpoenaed 1164 witnesses, to two hours of time, because if we are not going 1165 to spend more than two hours dealing with Bob Mueller while 1166 he is here, in our committee, then I don't think we should be 1167 spending more than two hours dealing with any of his 1168 underlings that we are trying to pull in front of the

1169 committee for another side show.

1170 So with that I yield back --

1171 Chairman Nadler. Will the gentleman --

1172 Mr. Armstrong. I yield to the ranking member.

1173 Mr. Collins. I thank the gentleman for yielding. You 1174 know, you make a great point. I mean, we had Hope Hicks for eight hours -- Hope Hicks for eight hours in a transcribed interview. I am still waiting to hear from the chairman how he had plans to get around the fact that we are breaking and violating the rules, the five-minute rule, and only limit it to 11 people. I guess they are going to pull that magic, oh, we are going to adjourn. That is not right. It is not right your members. It is not right to these members.

1182 This committee got rolled -- let's admit it -- and we 1183 are in a position now to where you have got members on our dais, you have got a lot of members on your dais that I can 1184 1185 see they are excellent attorneys. They would ask great 1186 questions. But this is where we are at, and these subpoenas -- and I would understand. Look, we have never objected to 1187 1188 having oversight hearings on the border or any part of it. 1189 This prerogative, Mr. Chairman, you can have these, and you 1190 are having some more next week, and there was no need for 1191 subpoenas there.

1192 Again, I think when you just look at it from a legal 1193 perspective and an oversight perspective, the questions 1194 becomes these are so broad in asking what we are looking for, 1195 I mean, come on. This is where we are having a problem. We 1196 needed to change the narrative today, this is what this is about. This is for that table out over there and for those 1197 1198 on the camera. This is what this is about. We need to have 1199 oversight hearings. We need to do an investigation of the

1200 border. We need to do these things. But also our members 1201 need to be able to talk to Robert Mueller. If he is actually 1202 going to come, then have that conversation. But when the 1203 Intel gets to ask all of their questions, every member will 1204 get to ask questions, we don't. When we have jurisdiction 1205 primary of this, that is a problem. And you can argue that 1206 we need these subpoenas, and I appreciate my colleagues 1207 saying, yes, we need subpoenas. Fine. You are going to have votes here in a minute to authorize these subpoenas. 1208 1209 But again, let me just go back for just a second. Some 1210 of these have never had contact before, never been contacted 1211 by this committee, and we are leading with a subpoena. Okay, 1212 if that is what we want to do. But at a certain point in 1213 time I think it is a deeper, deeper issue that is very 1214 concerning, is that when over the last number of days we have 1215 been given mixed reports every time we have almost asked on 1216 what this hearing is going to look like next week. Mixed 1217 opinions. First it started off with three different 1218 hearings. First it started off -- and this is straight from 1219 the chairman's mouth to me. But now we are finding out 11. 1220 So, I mean, I guess we just decided that the State of 1221 North Dakota shouldn't have a voice in this. I guess that is what we are doing. And Florida and others and California 1222 1223 just don't have a voice in this. Don't tell me this is the 1224 best we can get. And also, as I was reminded earlier, and as

52

1225 the chairman reminded me on several occasions earlier, when 1226 we had these kind of hearings, he leaned over to me and said, 1227 "Witnesses don't get to dictate terms." I guess Chairman 1228 Schiff gets to dictate terms and the witness gets to dictate 1229 terms.

1230 With that I yield back.

1231 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

1232 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Florida seek 1233 recognition?

1234 Ms. Muscarsel-Powell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move 1235 to strike the last word.

1236 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized.

1237 Ms. Muscarsel-Powell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before 1238 I make my remarks I just want to respond to some of my 1239 colleagues across the aisle. What you hear is not 1240 necessarily passion. When you hear us voicing our concern 1241 for children being detained it is outrage, outrage that so 1242 many of our colleagues refuse to stand up for what is right, 1243 and instead, try to use the criminalization of immigrants to 1244 score political points with your base, and that is something 1245 that is just a very low point in our government.

1246 So I just want to stand here before you to support the 1247 authorization of subpoenas, because it is vital to extracting 1248 basic information from this Administration. What we know 1249 right now is that this Administration has instituted cruel,

1250 inhuman policies against migrants, children, and families.
1251 Thousands of people are being held in overcrowded facilities
1252 at the border. We have seen the horrific images of children
1253 being held in cages, sleeping on concrete floors. Families
1254 continue, until this day, to be separated.

1255 What we do not know is how these detention facilities 1256 are being run, what is actually going on at these camps, and 1257 what the Administration plans on doing to make sure that 1258 migrants and their children are safe, or when they are going 1259 to stop detaining kids in cages.

1260 Homestead, the Homestead detention facility is in my 1261 district, and I have visited that detention facility now 1262 several times. I have made multiple requests for information 1263 about what is happening inside the detention facility. I 1264 have asked about the camp's hurricane plan. Last week I sent 1265 a letter for information on 51 different points about the 1266 conditions at Homestead. Have I received any answers? No. 1267 What I have received is conflicting messages from different 1268 agencies.

On June 26, there was a press release where HHS said that at the end of May there were 2,200 kids being held at Homestead, in a prison-like facility. Most of these kids, about 80 percent of these kids have family members right here in the United States. However, they are being detained by a for-profit company because it is to their incentive to keep

1275 these kids locked up. They are making close to \$2 million a 1276 day by keeping these kids locked up in a for-profit detention 1277 facility. So I don't want to hear that the Administration is 1278 running out of funding to deal with the crisis on the border 1279 when they are paying \$775 per kid at the Homestead detention 1280 facility. And then we see kids that have no toothbrushes, no 1281 blankets. It is ridiculous.

1282 Now, what these kids are going through is so shocking 1283 that the Center for Human Rights and Constitutional Law filed 1284 a motion at the end of May in support to enforce the Flores 1285 Settlement Agreement, because they are in violation of the 1286 Flores Settlement Agreement. Some of what we read in the motion is very disturbing. The Homestead detention facility 1287 1288 has a no-touching policy, and here is a statement from a 1289 child who was held at Homestead:

1290 "The rules here is that you can't touch anyone.

Sometimes when your friend is crying because they can't stand being here any longer you want to be able to give them a hug, but you can't because it's against the rules."

1294 Another child described her constant sadness at the 1295 camp:

"I often feel sad and depressed here. I am accustomed to getting hugs from my family and to having my family say goodnight to me. I don't have anyone to do that for me here. I cry in my room some nights. I try to distract myself by 1300 reading the Bible, listening to music, or talking with other 1301 kids. But it is most hard and sad to think about my family 1302 because I miss them a lot." 1303 We have to take into account what harm we are causing

1304 thousands of kids by this Administration, and we have to 1305 remember that these are children that are being held, while 1306 their families are in other places in the country, waiting 1307 for them.

1308 So I would like to ask for unanimous consent to enter 1309 into the record three declarations of children being held at 1310 the Homestead detention facility, that were filed as exhibits 1311 in the *Flores v. Barr* case in the U.S. District Court for the 1312 Central District of California.

1313 Chairman Nadler. Without objection.

1314 [The information follows:]

1315

PAGE 57

1316 Ms. Muscarsel-Powell. I yield back.

1317 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady yields back.

1318 For what purpose does the gentleman from Pennsylvania 1319 seek recognition?

Mr. Reschenthaler. Mr. Chairman, to strike the lastword.

1322 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

1323 Mr. Reschenthaler. Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted 1324 to say I am really disappointed that when Mueller comes in I 1325 am not going to get to question him. I was looking forward 1326 to it. I read the report. I read the unredacted report. 1327 You know, I think I am qualified to ask questions. I was a prosecutor in the Navy, defense attorney in the Navy, 1328 1329 practiced law in the civilian world, was a district judge, I 1330 was elected to Congress, and I just thought I would get a 1331 chance to ask Mueller questions.

1332 I also think it is completely hypocritical that we are 1333 letting a witness dictate terms to us. It is a double 1334 standard. When the attorney general wouldn't submit himself 1335 to questioning for staff we held strong and said he had to, 1336 and he chose not to attend. And now Mueller can come in here and just dictate terms to the committee. I think it makes us 1337 look weak, and again, I think it is inherently unfair that I 1338 1339 don't get to ask Mueller questions.

1340 So with that I would like to turn it over to the ranking

1341 member, Doug Collins.

1342 Mr. Collins. Thank you. Again, the District in 1343 Pennsylvania is absent from the table next week, because of 1344 the agreement made by the majority, again, as we continue 1345 this down this road.

1346 It has been interesting, though, and, look, I agree with 1347 a lot of the discussion. I have said this before and nobody 1348 on our side would disagree about having an oversight hearing. We are having them again next week. We are having one at 1349 5:30 Monday, and everything that I have seen we didn't have 1350 1351 to subpoena him. The inspector general is showing up. You 1352 know, it is called asking. From an attorney perspective, it 1353 is called asking, and trying to work with it. That is the way oversight works. 1354

I mean, we have been given some letters that supposedly were cc'd. We don't remember the letters. So, I mean, we are just continuing to find out that there has been not a lot of contact, and especially there can be no doubt that some of these on the list, especially the names, have never been contacted for the first time, but we are going to lead with subpoena.

Again, let me just reiterate, this is about the table to my right, and the press, because they have to change the narrative. The narrative was awful going out, and now we are trying to change the narrative to reclaim what should be our

complete jurisdiction, and we have just let it go, because 1366 1367 now Intel gets to do what they want to do, Chairman Schiff 1368 gets to tell us what we want to do, and that is just a 1369 problem, and there has been no discussion about that. 1370 But also it has been interesting, you know, as we go 1371 forward, again, on immigration, and discussing this, there 1372 are things that we can do. There are things that we can work on. It would have been nice yesterday to actually have had a 1373 bill that many of us agree on, and I had to -- I reluctantly 1374 voted no on it because I wanted this to actually happen. But 1375 1376 if we had had a mark-up on it, it would have happened. And 1377 even if it had 300-and-something co-sponsors on a caps bill, 1378 to remove those caps, I am all in favor of it.

1379 When you go to the actual agency that is going to put 1380 this out they say it is not workable. It was pointed out to 1381 the majority that this was an unworkable bill, in many cases, 1382 and actually they knew this. They chose to ignore it because 1383 they had to get the bill out. A simple discussion could have 1384 happened, a mark-up, we could have had 400 votes. Instead, 1385 we choose to put out something intel, and basically be 1386 dishonest with the tech community and some of the other 1387 country communities that we actually passed a bill yesterday that would actually help them. It won't help you because you 1388 it will not work. We want to put a bill that actually will 1389 1390 work.

But anyway, that was the bill yesterday on the floor that we worked on. I wish we could work on it again. Hopefully the Senate will see the error in some of this and we can work on it again, and hopefully we can come back and we can all vote for it.

But again, the problem we have here -- and I want to yield back to the gentleman from Pennsylvania. I appreciate his time -- but again, I am sorry that you are not going to get to ask questions next week as we go.

1400 Mr. Reschenthaler. Will the gentleman yield?1401 Mr. Collins. I yield back.

1402 Mr. Reschenthaler. Thank you. I yield to my colleague,1403 Mr. Gaetz from Florida.

1404 Mr. Gaetz. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and just 1405 to go over what is happening here with my colleagues in the 1406 majority, many of you House Judiciary Democrats have spoken 1407 up in favor of impeachment, and your speaker doesn't support 1408 impeachment. And so what she has done is she has turned 1409 other committees on you. You know, there was the reporting 1410 that came out of your conference where she was saying, "Well, 1411 what are you going to do, send Elijah Cummings home? What 1412 are you going to do, send Adam Schiff home?"

And so what she has done to try to block your access, to try to go about your impeachment endeavor, is she is taking the time away from the bottom row of the Judiciary Committee

1416 and giving it to the Intelligence Committee, because if you 1417 centralize the activity in the Judiciary Committee it 1418 accelerates the argument that Chairman Nadler and many of you 1419 have made to the speaker, in your various conference 1420 meetings, about how you have to do this. 1421 So I just want you all to know what she is doing to you. 1422 She is dividing the committee. She is giving your time to 1423 Intel. And, you know, we just think that that is a bad 1424 precedent. We disagree with impeachment, but it is bad for 1425 the committee, whether you are in the majority or we are in 1426 the majority, for the committee to be functionally defrocked 1427 by Intel and then doing so in a way for the speaker to kind 1428 of maintain some tension among your caucus. 1429 So I hope you guys get it sorted out. I yield back to 1430 the gentleman. 1431 Mr. Collins. Will the gentleman yield to me one last 1432 time? 1433 Mr. Reschenthaler. Yes, I yield. 1434 Mr. Collins. And just finishing up here, it is really 1435 interesting. Now they are really excited about this because 1436 we are upset about it as well, and now maybe they can go use 1437 this leverage to try and get something else. Again, it is not the way you do business. You have already noticed the 1438 1439 hearing, by the way. 1440 I yield.

1441 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

1442 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Texas seek 1443 recognition?

1444 Ms. Escobar. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this 1445 important mark-up.

1446 Chairman Nadler. Does the gentlelady seek to strike the 1447 last word?

1448 Ms. Escobar. Oh, I am sorry. Seek to strike the last 1449 word.

1450 Chairman Nadler. The last word is duly struck. The 1451 gentlelady is recognized.

Ms. Escobar. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is a very important hearing and these subpoenas are critical to our ability to perform our oversight function. And for me, in particular, these subpoenas relating to the zero tolerance policy and what is happening on the U.S.-Mexico border are especially important.

As you all know, I represent El Paso, Texas, which has been ground zero for many of the Trump administration's policies of cruelty, and those policies include child separation, child detention, and they have resulted in child deaths. We have had children dying in American custody for months now.

1464 One of my colleagues, just a little while ago, was 1465 complaining that she perceived us as trying to undermine the President. Absolutely. Absolutely. Child detention, child separation, child deaths -- we are absolutely trying to undermine that. And the only way to get to the bottom of this abhorrent behavior, of these hateful policies, is by shining a light on them.

1471 I want to thank so many of my colleagues who have been 1472 to El Paso on congressional delegation visits, colleagues who 1473 have been to Homestead on congressional delegation visits. 1474 We have an obligation to get to the truth. We have an 1475 obligation to expose what is happening in the name of the 1476 U.S. Government.

1477 And with regard to these subpoenas that will help us get to the bottom of Russian attacks on our democracy, Russian 1478 1479 attacks on our country, foreign meddling in our elections, 1480 and the coverup intended to make sure that that meddling and 1481 that those attacks don't get exposed, it is about time that 1482 we get these subpoenas. It is about time that we get this 1483 information, so that we can protect our elections, so that we 1484 can protect our country and the American people against all 1485 enemies, foreign and domestic.

1486 Mr. Chairman, thank you. I yield back the remainder of 1487 my time.

1488 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady yields back.

1489 For what purpose does the gentleman from Virginia seek 1490 recognition? 1491 Mr. Cline. I move to strike the last word. Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized. 1492 1493 Mr. Cline. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I figured that I 1494 better speak now because I am not going to get to speak next 1495 Wednesday, and it is unfortunate. I am going to speak on 1496 behalf of the whole front row here. I do think it is very 1497 disappointing that our time is being given to the 1498 Intelligence Committee. There is only one of us who is on both. I commend the gentlelady for being on both. She is 1499 1500 going to get to ask questions. But I hope that she is going 1501 to get to ask her questions, because I am not going to get to 1502 ask mine, and I would like to be able to ask mine because I 1503 have quite a few.

1504 I was in my district over the weekend, town hall 1505 meetings, and those questions were asked by my constituents, 1506 "What questions are you going to ask Robert Mueller?" And I 1507 have several that I would like to ask, and they are not funny 1508 questions. They are very serious questions. But because of 1509 the decisions of this Administration I am not going to get to 1510 ask my questions.

1511 And you know what? My constituents deserve to be 1512 represented at this hearing, because the questions -- the issues that are being discussed affect my constituents. The 1513 1514 waste of their tax dollars on the investigations continuing to focus and try and pursue impeachment under the quise of 1515

64

oversight is ridiculous, in the view of many of them. And after the Special Counsel found that there was no conspiracy between the Trump campaign and Russia, this committee continues to make its -- try and make that case.

So I have a lot of questions for Mr. Mueller about when he determined that there was no conspiracy between the Trump campaign and Russia. There is a lot that is not in the report that we know about. I want to find out the answers to those questions.

1525 And, yes, I want to also, under the issue of 1526 immigration, try and fix the problems that are at the border. 1527 I am very glad that finally this House took action and passed 1528 aid for the humanitarian crisis at the border, because this 1529 President was calling for an aid package back in May, and 1530 this House did nothing, nothing, to pass legislation until 1531 just a few weeks ago, when after there was a bipartisan bill 1532 that came over from the Senate, this House determined that 1533 they were going to pass a partisan bill out of the House and 1534 delay that aid for that humanitarian crisis.

And it was only after this majority and this speaker realized that that was going to further delay into the July Fourth recess, into July, possibly into August and after August, before any kind of conference could be convened and worked out with the Senate-passed bill, did the speaker yield and pass the Senate-passed version.

1541 I am glad that they did. I was proud to vote for it, because aid is now being delivered to the border to assist in 1542 1543 that humanitarian crisis. I have been saying, since day one, 1544 that there is a humanitarian crisis on the border. Many of 1545 us on this side have been pleading with the speaker to take 1546 action, in a comprehensive way, to address not just the 1547 humanitarian crisis but the enforcement of our borders, which 1548 is an emergency. Thankfully, the President declared an emergency at the border, long before anybody on the other 1549 1550 side acknowledged that there was an emergency. We have 1551 testimony from folks on the other side ridiculing members of 1552 this side of the aisle for declaring an emergency at the border, mocking. And to set the record straight is important 1553 1554 on who was calling it an emergency, who was calling for 1555 action to address this crisis, and who, only reluctantly, has 1556 come to the table and said, "Okay, now we are going to vote 1557 to provide aid to address this crisis at the border." So we 1558 need to set the record straight.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I want to yield to Mr. Gohmert. Mr. Gohmert. Thank you, and in that same vein of setting the record straight we heard earlier that only 13 months ago, for the first time, ever, did any member of Congress go talk to people that were being detained. And I did that numerous times during the Obama administration, in the same facilities that were built back then. They were not adequate then and they are not adequate now. But that has been going on for years, apparently, so my friends across the aisle just didn't know it.

1569 Chairman Nadler. The time of the gentleman has expired.
1570 For what purpose does the gentleman from Tennessee seek
1571 recognition?

1572 Mr. Cohen. To strike the last word.

1573 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Cohen. I think about everything that can be said has been said, except for the fact that the ranking member referred to the possible witnesses, Mr. Lewandowski and Mr. Kelly and Mr. Kushner, et cetera, as being underlings of Mr. Mueller. They are not underlings of Mr. Muller.

1579 Mr. Collins. Would the chairman -- would the gentleman 1580 yield?

1581 Mr. Cohen. They are not underlings.

1582 Mr. Collins. I did not say that. That is not true. I 1583 never --

1584 Mr. Cohen. I have the floor.

1585 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman -- the gentleman from 1586 Tennessee controls the time.

1587 Mr. Cohen. They are not underlings of Mr. Mueller. 1588 They are direct witnesses of possibly obstruction of justice, 1589 and, therefore, they need to be subpoenaed, they need to be 1590 here, and they need to have as much time as possible. It is

1591 so important that we get the direct witnesses, the best 1592 evidence, to obstruction of justice. Obstruction of justice, 1593 should Mr. McGahn go and tell Mr. Mueller that he is fired; 1594 direct witnesses of people who might have gone to Mr. 1595 Sessions and said "unrecuse yourself"; direct witnesses of 1596 people who might have had knowledge of Mr. Trump dictating a 1597 note to say "I am the greatest ever, and Mr. Sessions should 1598 limit this work to simply what happened in the next election and not my election"; direct evidence of Mr. Pecker and 1599 1600 buying the silence of Ms. Stormy Daniels; direct evidence of 1601 obstruction of justice with Mr. Pecker being able to tell us 1602 about possibly Miss August and what happened with her, and 1603 why they paid her off after the President said, "I know 1604 nothing about this. I have never heard of this. I never 1605 paid any money. I have never heard of these people," et 1606 cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

1607 So they are not underlings. They are direct witnesses to crimes, that this committee has a responsibility to 1608 1609 oversee and bring forth if they do exist, to the American 1610 people. And Mr. Mueller will do that too, because he had 1611 four or five instances of obstruction of justice, minimum, 1612 where all three elements were met, and but for the opinion of the legal office and the Justice Department that the sitting 1613 1614 President of the United States cannot be indicted for crime, 1615 he would have been indicted for crime. He would have been

1616 just as he is in New York State, number one, and he would be 1617 with Michael Cohen. He was an unindicted co-conspirator. 1618 And we have got that situation.

1619 And as far as the border, there are issues. We should 1620 not have to learn about the border from Facebook posts of 1621 agents, that talk about members of Congress in sexually 1622 suggestive terms. That is not where we should be getting our 1623 information. We should be getting it directly from people, and if the folks from ICE and the folks from our Border 1624 Patrol -- and there are a lot of great folks working there --1625 1626 can come and give us good information to clear that up, that 1627 is great. And if they can't, that is fine too.

Mr. McClintock is right. There are good people working there, but it is not going to hurt to have them testify, because there has been a lot of information that says otherwise, and there is a lot of information about inhumane conditions at the border.

1633 So these subpoenas should be issued. We should do our 1634 job, which we did not do. When the Republicans were in 1635 charge we did no oversight. The only oversight they really 1636 did was Benghazi, Benghazi, Benghazi, Benghazi, Benghazi, 1637 Benghazi, Benghazi.

1638 So I appreciate that and I yield --

1639 Ms. Escobar. Would the gentleman yield?

1640 Mr. Cohen. -- my time to the chairman -- I yield to Ms.

PAGE 70

Escobar, and I am going to go to the border with her on 1641 1642 August 1st, and I think it is great what you are doing and we 1643 need to go see it for ourselves, and I yield --1644 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady from Texas. 1645 Ms. Escobar. Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. 1646 Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Cohen. And I would invite any of 1647 my colleagues to join me on any of the congressional 1648 delegation visits, please. I want to clarify something, a myth that is being 1649 perpetrated over and over and over again, that needs to stop. 1650 1651 This idea that the Democratic Party somehow was in denial 1652 about what was happening on the U.S.-Mexico border is really 1653 offensive. 1654 Here is what we were saying. We were saying that we saw 1655 the challenge facing us. I saw the challenge facing us. I 1656 saw it up close, at my front door, my community. When it 1657 became a crisis is when this Administration chose to 1658 implement policies that were cruel. That is why it is a 1659 humanitarian crisis. This country has incredible resources. 1660 DHS has incredible resources. It is not a question of 1661 resources. It is a question of will. 1662 Thank you. I yield back. Mr. Cohen. And I yield back. 1663 1664 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. 1665 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Alabama seek

, 0

1666 recognition?

1667 Mrs. Roby. I move to strike the last word. 1668 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized. 1669 Mrs. Roby. Mr. Chairman, if you will indulge me I would 1670 like to ask you a question. 1671 Chairman Nadler. Yes. 1672 Mrs. Roby. For the benefit of your members and ours, on 1673 both sides of the aisle, I would like for you to lay out for us what exactly, with respect to the Mueller hearing next 1674 1675 week, what exactly you agreed to, and most importantly, why 1676 you agreed to it. 1677 Chairman Nadler. I am not going to comment on that at 1678 this hearing. It is beyond the scope of this hearing. 1679 Mr. Collins. Call for the question. 1680 Mrs. Roby. All right. I would like to yield the 1681 remainder of my time to the ranking member. 1682 Mr. Collins. Thank you. I appreciate the gentlelady 1683 yielding. 1684 Chairman Nadler. Let me just correct. It is not a 1685 hearing. It is a mark-up. It is beyond the scope of this 1686 mark-up. 1687 Mr. Collins. I am wondering why half of the committee can't ask questions next week is beyond the scope of a mark-1688

1689 up, but that is fine.

1690 But, Mr. Cohen -- and I appreciate Mr. Cohen. We have

done a lot of things together but he is entitled to his own opinion but on his own facts. I have never said "underling" today. That is not something that I typically use, I have not said that, so he can find it from someone else who may have said it but you do not attribute that to the ranking member, and that didn't happen. This is a whole different issue we are dealing with.

1698 But also this goes back to something that was said earlier, because we were actually sent letters saying -- we 1699 have actually outreached, because I made it, in my opening 1700 1701 statement, that a lot of this has never been outreached. 1702 Let's show you how important immigration was to this 1703 majority, that in January they reached out. The next reach-1704 out was May. The next formal reach-out was May, 20th or 1705 29th, around that time. That is how long it took to reach 1706 back out on an issue that is of very much importance, and we 1707 agree that it is important, but let's don't become, all of a 1708 sudden, saying it is just, you know, something that we need 1709 to do subpoenas on that we have not follow up, and you have 1710 not followed up on it, you have not said that. From January 1711 to May tells me that there were other things on your mind.

When we understand this, the interesting thing, also, is the fact that, again, with facts being facts, Mr. Cohen will get to ask questions next week. I don't think you are going to get to, Mr. Raskin. And you are good. It is strange you
1716 got left out. And also we can't get a reason why either. 1717 I think the interesting thing today is this is just a 1718 continuing freefall of process in this committee, and that is 1719 the sad part that we have today. 1720 With that I yield to the gentleman from Florida. 1721 Mr. Gaetz. The gentlelady from Texas, in her prior claim of time, said it was offensive to assert that Democrats 1722 1723 were in denial about the crisis on the border. I would 1724 invite my Democratic colleagues to go to my official Twitter account where I have posed an interview from January 9, 2019 1725 1726 -- it was on CNN, not exactly a hostile network, for you 1727 folks -- and it is -- well, let me just play what the question was. It is Jim Clyburn, the Democratic Whip, 1728 1729 answering the question. 1730 [Audio played.] 1731 Ms. Dean. Point of order. 1732 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman will suspend for a point 1733 of order. 1734 Ms. Dean. Just -- I guess it is a parliamentary 1735 inquiry. Is it appropriate for a member to just put on a 1736 loop a teeny sound bite out of context? Is that allowed? 1737 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman controls the time. I am not aware of any rule that would preclude him from doing so. 1738 1739 Ms. Dean. Thank you. 1740 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is --

Mr. Gaetz. Thank you. I think I still control the 1741 time, and I just think that is quite something, that when you 1742 1743 are fed back your own words, from your own leadership, from 1744 earlier this year, you think that is out of context and 1745 inappropriate and not allowed. Again, we didn't raise the 1746 issue. It was the gentlelady from Texas that said, "These 1747 allegations from Republications that we were in denial, they are offensive. We have always known of the crisis." And the 1748 Democratic Whip laughed, "Absolutely not." Laughed. Thought 1749 it was laughable. Thought it was funny. 1750

1751 You know who doesn't think it is funny? The Trump 1752 administration, because Secretary McAleenan has been ringing 1753 the warning bell for months about the crisis. He begged you 1754 all to take action more quickly. One of the reasons why so 1755 many young people, so many vulnerable people are in tragic 1756 conditions is because we didn't act when the Administration 1757 wanted us to provide funding that was absolutely necessary to 1758 care for people. And so it is appropriate to question why we 1759 are in these conditions, but it wasn't the Republicans that 1760 were in denial. It was all of you, and it was in your own 1761 words, from your own leadership. Be offended with the 1762 Democratic Whip, not House Republicans.

1763 I yield back.

1764 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

1765 Mrs. Roby. It is actually my time. He is yielding back

1766 to me.

Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady from Alabama. 1767 1768 Mrs. Roby. I yield the last 12 seconds to Mr. 1769 McClintock. 1770 Mr. McClintock. Well, just to fill in a few gaps, 1771 Speaker Pelosi called the situation a fake crisis at the 1772 border. Chuck Schumer called it a crisis that does not 1773 exist. Steny Hoyer said there is no crisis at the border. Hakeem Jeffries said there is no crisis at the border. Our 1774 1775 chairman said there is no crisis at the border. I could go 1776 on but I see the time has expired. 1777 Mrs. Roby. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1778 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady yields back. 1779 For what purpose does the gentleman from Georgia seek 1780 recognition? 1781 Mr. Johnson of Georgia. I move to strike the last word. 1782 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized. 1783 Mr. Johnson of Georgia. Thank you. I rise in support 1784 of the amendment in the nature of a substitute so that we can 1785 issue subpoenas and get at this zero tolerance policy and 1786 other family separation policies of this Administration. And 1787 I have been listening to all of the crocodile tears being 1788 shed about the inability to question Mueller. I have sat 1789 through the reverse psychology and the psychological 1790 operations that some folks are trying to play, but it does

1791 not detract the American people away from this

1792 Administration's shamed action in implementing inhumane 1793 practices at our border.

President Trump publicly announced, this time last year, 1794 1795 that the family separation policy was over, and that was 1796 after his Administration had stated repeatedly that there was 1797 no family separation policy. And since that time, we have 1798 seen the effects of the child separation policy with children, hundreds of children, if not thousands, being lost 1799 1800 to their parents. We don't know how many children there are 1801 that remain in the \$775-a-night facilities being inadequately 1802 housed, being inadequately fed, being inadequately clothed, 1803 being inadequately cared for, in terms of toothpaste, 1804 toothbrushes, hand towels, the basic stuff that you give to 1805 even prisoners of war, these child detainees are being 1806 deprived of, and it is all part of the -- you know, we talk 1807 about the military industrial complex. Well, we have a 1808 prison industrial complex in this country. It consists of 1809 the private for-profit prison industry and the Department of 1810 Homeland Security, which is its government supplier of goods. 1811 Who are the goods? It is the children of the immigrants, and 1812 they are being treated worse than POWs. This Administration has shamed America in the eyes of the rest of the world. 1813 1814 We have heard stories about children dying in the care 1815 of Border Patrol. Men, women, and children arriving at our

1816 borders seeking asylum from places like Honduras, where we support their military, where their military is engaged in 1817 1818 drug smuggling, where the military is engaged in gang 1819 killings, rapes, terrorism, driving those folks from their 1820 own countries and forcing them to come to our borders. We 1821 are supporting that. And then when they get here and claim 1822 asylum, we are stopping them from claiming asylum. We turn 1823 our backs on them. And those who we can lock up, we fill up our private for-profit detention facilities with them, and 1824 then we ask the taxpayers for more money, claiming that there 1825 1826 is a crisis at the border.

1827 Well, there is a crisis at the border. It of the Trump 1828 administration's own making, and it has been aided and 1829 abetted by people here, in Congress, who sit here as if they 1830 were hand puppets, and saying what the Administration wants 1831 them to say. And then we get here today, when we are talking 1832 about bringing some of these Administration officials here, 1833 and we get claims -- we get righteously indignant claims 1834 about "I am not going to be able to question Mueller." Not 1835 one person on the other side of the aisle has voiced any 1836 displeasure with the way that the children are being treated 1837 at our border. It is really stunning where we are on this 1838 committee.

1839 I think Congress needs to act swiftly to stymie this 1840 ongoing crisis. Members of Congress who want to visit

migrants in detention facilities are being turned away from 1841 these detention facilities that we are paying hundreds of 1842 1843 millions of dollars to fund. It is not fair. It is not right. It is not just. We need to bring it to an end. 1844 1845 That is why I support this amendment, and with that I 1846 yield back the remaining one second of my time. 1847 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. The 1848 gentlelady from Texas is recognized for a unanimous consent 1849 statement. 1850 Ms. Garcia. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Oh, I am sorry. 1851 Chairman Nadler. I said the gentlelady from Texas. 1852 Ms. Jackson Lee. There are two. I ask unanimous 1853 consent to submit into the record an article in The New York 1854 Times, "Trump Urged Homeland Security Official to Close 1855 Border Despite An Earlier Promise of Delay," April 12, 2019, and an article dated June 21, 2019, "There is a stench of 1856 1857 soiled clothes and no bath for migrant children at a Texas 1858 center," The New York Times. I ask unanimous consent to 1859 submit that into the record. 1860 Chairman Nadler. Without objection, those articles will 1861 be entered into the record. 1862 [The information follows:] 1863

1864 Chairman Nadler. I now recognize the gentlelady from 1865 California for a unanimous consent statement.

Ms. Lofgren. I just would ask unanimous consent that my statement indicating that I was in the Science Committee this morning on a very important hearing on climate change was the only reason why I was not able to be here this morning, and I counted on my colleagues to articulate the reason why we need this information from the department and these witnesses.

1872 Chairman Nadler. Without objection, the statement will

1873 be entered into the record.

1874 [The information follows:]

1875

PAGE 80

1876 Chairman Nadler. For what purpose does the gentleman 1877 from Florida seek recognition?

1878 Mr. Steube. I move to strike the last word.

1879 Chairman Nadler. Without objection -- the gentleman is 1880 recognized.

1881 Mr. Steube. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I honestly don't 1882 know where to start so I will start in responding to the 1883 gentleman from the other side of the aisle on basically 1884 insinuating that Republicans don't care about the humanitarian crisis at the border, when 176 Republicans voted 1885 1886 for the border humanitarian supplemental and 129 Democrats 1887 voted against it -- or voted for it. So there are more 1888 Republicans that voted for the border humanitarian funding 1889 than Democrats were. So I don't understand this auspice that 1890 we, as Republicans, don't care about the humanitarian crisis 1891 on the border.

1892 I think it is absolutely -- you know, I have only been 1893 in Congress six months. I came from a state legislature, 1894 served eight years in the state legislature in Florida, and I 1895 chaired the Florida Senate Judiciary Committee in a state 1896 where there are 22 million people, and never, as the chairman of the Florida Senate Judiciary Committee, would I have ever 1897 disallowed members of my committee, the minority or in the 1898 1899 majority, to not have the opportunity to question a witness 1900 of such import as Bob Mueller.

So it is my understanding, as of yesterday I was told 1901 that we would have a closed-door session after the open-door 1902 1903 session, where members who are not in the famous 11 to be 1904 able to question would have the opportunity to question him 1905 in a closed-door setting, so at least we would have an 1906 opportunity, as members of this committee, to ask him the 1907 important questions that I think every member of this 1908 committee should have the opportunity to ask him.

1909 I would think even my colleagues who came in, in my 1910 class, in the Democrats, are a little upset by the fact that 1911 they are not going to have the opportunity to ask one of the 1912 biggest witnesses that has been brought to this committee, 1913 that everybody has been talking about, on both sides of the 1914 aisle, for the last six months, and ask them what questions 1915 they feel is important.

1916 Just like I have a background that lends itself to 1917 asking tough, challenging questions, Mr. Armstrong, Mr. Klein 1918 both good litigation attorneys, Mr. Reschenthaler, who was 1919 also a JAG with me and served around the same time frame, 1920 those people have the opportunity, and should have the 1921 opportunity to properly question a witness before this 1922 committee, and I am very, very troubled by the fact that the United States House of Representatives Judiciary Committee, 1923 1924 where this chairman has spoken, on several different news 1925 syndicates, about impeachment, and the impeachment

proceedings start from this very committee, every committee 1926 1927 member on this committee is not going to have the opportunity 1928 to question one of the biggest witnesses who opined on the 1929 Mueller Report, and I think that is very, very distasteful 1930 and flies in the face of the five-minute rules and the rules 1931 of this House, the rules of this committee, and the five-1932 minute rule that allows members of this committee to ask important guestions to important witnesses here. 1933

I just think it is a travesty, and I look forward to supporting those 11 that get the opportunity to speak, and I would yield my time to any Republican member. I will yield my time to Mr. Gaetz of Florida.

1938 Mr. Gaetz. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and 1939 having heard the concern of my colleagues will not have the 1940 opportunity to ask questions, I wonder if there is a way for 1941 us to work together to maybe solve that.

1942 Mr. Chairman, would the chairman entertain a request --1943 or would the Chairman be likely to entertain a request to 1944 perhaps go from a five-minute rule to a three-minute rule so 1945 that we could get more members involved in the questioning? 1946 Chairman Nadler. The rules of the House and the rules 1947 of the committee provide for a five-minute rule. Nothing prevents members, on a voluntary basis, from yielding time. 1948 1949 Mr. Gaetz. Yeah. I don't expect us to sort this out 1950 here, on this mark-up.

1951 Chairman Nadler. That is my observation.

Mr. Gaetz. Yeah. But would the chairman be willing to enter into negotiations with the minority about perhaps a unanimous consent rule package, before the Mueller hearing, to maybe reduce the amount of time and increase the member participation? I am not asking the chairman to commit to it, but will the chairman entertain those negotiations with the minority?

1959 Chairman Nadler. The chairman will entertain any 1960 reasonable discussion.

1961 Mr. Gaetz. Well, I would encourage my colleagues then, 1962 on the Democratic side, who might want to ask questions, you 1963 know, to work with us and see if there is a way to create 1964 more member participation, even if that means shortening the 1965 question time. So I look forward to working with you on it 1966 and I yield back to my colleague from Florida.

1967 Mr. Steube. I yield back to the ranking member.

Mr. Collins. I think the issue thing here is it is really sad that we are having to sit here and even discuss this. Ignoring time is not -- let's go back to the actual rules of the House, which I will pose the question and ask the chairman again if he would like to explain. How do you actually expect to stop the hearing next week?

1974 Chairman Nadler. I am going to repeat what I said a few 1975 minutes ago. This line of discussion, frankly, is beyond the

PAGE 84

1976 scope of this mark-up and I am not going to comment further.

1977 Mr. Collins. That is pretty interesting, because --1978 Mr. Steube. I am taking my time back.

1979 Chairman Nadler. Excuse me. Let me continue. I have 1980 been very --

1981 Mr. Steube. It is my time. I reclaim my time. This is 1982 my time.

1983 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman asked me a question. I 1984 have been very lenient in permitting people to discuss the 1985 procedures at the Mueller hearing at length, which is beyond 1986 the scope of this mark-up, but I am not going to comment on 1987 it further.

1988 Mr. Steube. This is my last opportunity at reclaiming 1989 my time again, and I lost 30 seconds of it.

1990 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman will be granted his 30 1991 seconds.

1992 Mr. Steube. It is my understanding, because this is the 1993 only mark-up that we are going to have before Mueller, that 1994 us here, on the bottom row, are going to have the opportunity 1995 to bring this issue up in a public setting and talk about it. 1996 So I absolutely have the right to bring it up right now, in 1997 this mark-up, to talk about the fact that myself, and my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, who are not in the 1998 1999 famous 11 that are going to get the opportunity to talk, don't have the opportunity to ask questions to Bob Mueller, 2000

2001 one of the biggest witnesses coming to this committee, and we absolutely have the right to discuss this today, because this 2002 2003 is the last opportunity that we have to discuss it before he 2004 is coming in before our hearing. 2005 Chairman Nadler. Does the gentleman yield back? 2006 Mr. Steube. I yield back to the ranking member. 2007 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. Now, the 2008 gentlelady from Texas is recognized. 2009 For what purpose does the gentlelady -- all right. For 2010 what purpose does the gentlelady from Texas seek recognition? 2011 Ms. Garcia. Mr. Chairman, to strike the last word. 2012 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady is recognized. 2013 Ms. Garcia. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I do want to 2014 thank you for your leadership on this issue. I think it is 2015 very important that we move forward. I know there has been a 2016 lot of discussion about a lot of different things, and like 2017 my neighbor next door here, Mr. Neguise, you see, I just want 2018 to get us back in focus, if this is really about the 2019 subpoenas it means that, to me, I have heard the word 2020 "subpoena binge," it is not a subpoena binge. It is about 2021 taking action for accountability for the American people. It 2022 is about action; it is not about a binge. It is about 2023 accountability. It is about the rule of law. It is about 2024 the Constitution and those of us here at the table, as a 2025 voice for the American people that elected us to be here.

2026 I find it disheartening to hear some of the discussion 2027 that has been going on, because we are losing focus. We are 2028 not keeping our eye on the ball, and that is to make sure 2029 that we know what happened, why it happened, and we do 2030 everything we can to protect the American people, the 2031 Constitution, and to ensure that it never ever happens again. 2032 As to this issue about the border, you know, I have been 2033 working with my colleague from Texas, Representative Escobar, on these issues, for many, many years. She is a county 2034 2035 judge, of El Paso County, and I, as a State senator. So for 2036 anyone to suggest that we are not doing our part as Democrats 2037 to recognize the situation is just flagrantly not true.

2038 I think, for us, the loss of life at the southern border 2039 should be reason enough for us to do something. Congress has 2040 no choice but to subpoena the necessary documents and 2041 testimony to fulfill our oversight duties. Furthermore, the 2042 growing number of deaths, as she has outlined, in custody of 2043 our young children, underscores the need for oversight of the 2044 Administration's cruel and inhumane immigration policies. 2045 The committee has a responsibility to investigate the 2046 Administration's family separation policy, its detention 2047 policies, and any other policy that undermines the acts of 2048 humanity. These are acts against humanity. They are acts 2049 against human rights standards. They are acts that really 2050 just shock the conscience.

We should, frankly, Mr. Chairman, have acted the first 2051 2052 time that there was a death in custody. Now there has been a 2053 second and a third and a fourth -- I forget the count now; I 2054 think it is eight. We need to look at it, and look at it 2055 from top to bottom, and do whatever we can to make sure that 2056 we protect these children. Children belong in cradles. They 2057 do not belong in cages. My constituents elected me to be here as a voice for them, and my constituents want action, 2058 they want responsibility, and that is what we are here to do, 2059 2060 and that is what this committee is charged to do. 2061 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2062 Chairman Nadler. Would the gentlelady yield to me. 2063 Ms. Garcia. Certainly. 2064 Chairman Nadler. I thank the gentlelady. 2065 I want to comment on some of the discussion we have had 2066 in the last few minutes. The purpose of this mark-up, the 2067 subject matter of this mark-up, are subpoenas to deal with 2068 two very, very serious subjects. One is the child abuse,

and, frankly, negligent homicide going on at the border, by this Administration, and we have to get to the bottom of it, and that is why we want these subpoenas. And the other is the flagrant abuses of power, obstruction of justices, also by this President, and we have to get to the bottom of that, and that is the subject of the subpoenas before us.

2075 The question of the procedure at a hearing that we are

2076 going to hold is an important question, but it is not the subject of this mark-up, and although I have permitted people 2077 2078 to talk about it, and they can continue, I am not going to 2079 add to the diversion of attention from the two crucial subjects that we have here, which is the child abuse and, as 2080 2081 I said, negligent homicide and systematic torture of children 2082 ___ 2083 Mr. Collins. Now we are stopping. Chairman Nadler. No, we are not stopping. Let me 2084 2085 finish my statement. 2086 Mr. Collins. No. That is absolutely out of order. 2087 Bang it all you want. It is completely out of order. 2088 Chairman Nadler. You are out of order. If you have an 2089 objection you will state it in a moment. 2090 Mr. Collins. Well, I have. 2091 Chairman Nadler. Then I will recognize you in a moment. 2092 But the subject of this hearing is the child abuse and 2093 abuse going on at the border and the abuses of power by the 2094 President -- excuse me, the subject is the --2095 Mr. Collins. Point of order. 2096 Chairman Nadler. -- by the administration. 2097 Mr. Collins. Point of order. 2098 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman will state a point of 2099 order. 2100 Mr. Collins. I move to take the gentleman's words down.

2101 Chairman Nadler. Which words?

2102 Mr. Collins. We will take a time-out while we discuss 2103 it.

2104 [Pause.]

2105 Mr. Collins. Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman? The words 2106 that I am objecting to are "the systematic use by the 2107 President to obstruct justice." You cannot use those words. 2108 Chairman Nadler. I will withdraw those words and I will 2109 say the systematic use of power by the President -- by the Administration, to defy the subpoenas of this committee and 2110 2111 other committees. And I will ask unanimous consent to 2112 withdraw the previous words.

2113 Without objection, they are withdrawn.

To summarize, the purpose of this mark-up is to consider the child abuse and other terrible things happening at the border, and the subjects that we discussed a moment ago by the Administration, and to issue subpoenas to get to the bottom of both of them. And I am not going to comment on anything that is beyond the scope of this mark-up.

2120 I yield back to the gentlelady.

2121 Ms. Garcia. Mr. Chairman, I totally agree with you and 2122 I yield back my time.

2123 Chairman Nadler. The gentlelady yields back.

2124 For what purpose does the gentleman from Florida seek 2125 recognition? 2126 Mr. Gaetz. To strike the last word.

2127 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

2128 Mr. Gaetz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I believe House Democrats need to take a hint from the American people. The vast majority of the American people do not support this impeachment and drag that continues to fester up in the House Judiciary Committee. I would suggest that you need to move on issues that impact the lives of the American people, not your own politics and the affliction of your own Trump derangement syndrome.

2136 During the last Congress it was all about the Mueller 2137 Report. It didn't matter that committees in the House and 2138 Senate had found that there was no criminal conspiracy with 2139 Russia. We just had to keep calling the President and agent 2140 of a hostile foreign power while we waited for the Mueller 2141 Report.

2142 Well, then we get the Mueller Report. The Mueller 2143 Report concludes that there is no criminal conspiracy between 2144 the Trump campaign and Russia. Well, then it can't be about 2145 the written word of the Mueller Report. Then we have got to 2146 get Mr. Mueller here. He has got to be here. He has got to 2147 bring it to life with his words. So, very well, we prepare -2148 - no Republicans objected to Mr. Mueller coming. He is on 2149 his way next week.

2150 But now we are here voting on these subpoenas because it

2151 still won't end with Mueller's testimony. Even if Mueller 2152 comes and answers your questions, you already know that you 2153 want to bring in these 12 additional witnesses, regardless of 2154 what Mr. Mueller says. So it sort of begs the question, why 2155 are you bringing Mueller in the first place? This is never 2156 going to be over, and that is really the message to the 2157 American people. They are never going to get over this.

2158 Okay, they couldn't deal with the fact that Donald Trump 2159 won the election, when all the media pundits and all the 2160 Democrat talking heads said he had zero chance to win. 2161 Remember, he was supposed to lose 100 million to zero, 2162 according to the FBI lovebirds, but he wins. They can't deal 2163 with it, and so then they have to go delegitimize the 2164 presidency, through all of these endeavors, and now we have 2165 got the dispositive proof. I don't think there are any 2166 Americans who truly believe there was a criminal conspiracy 2167 between the Trump campaign and Russia, who are not Democrat 2168 members of Congress.

And so now we are in a circumstance where the only way to get them to not focus on this Trump Russia stuff is to take them out of power, because as long as they have the committee gavels, this is the deal. This is the show. You know, there could be endless hearings, endless reports, that the President wasn't engaged in a criminal conspiracy. I tend to think that the more productive endeavor is to

2176 figure out why in the heck the President was falsely accused 2177 for two years. How did we get to a point where political 2178 opposition research, dirt that was paid for my Democrats, 2179 polluted by Russians, shuttled into the senior levels of our 2180 government by deep-state actors like Bruce Ohr, how did all 2181 of that function as a basis to divide us and distract us? 2182 The gentlelady who spoke earlier said we are losing 2183 focus. Yeah. Yeah, we are definitely losing focus. We should be focused on reducing prescription drug prices. We 2184 should be focused on the nearly 5,000 people who show up 2185 2186 every day at the southern border that the Trump 2187 administration has been talking about. We should be focused on ways to limit burdens on Americans so that they can live 2188 2189 the full potential of their lives. But they will never get 2190 over it. There will never be an end for them, and that is 2191 what is truly sad, because we have got 300-million-plus 2192 Americans who are counting on us to be the people's house, to 2193 do the work that rises to the great virtue of the greatest 2194 country that has ever lived.

And, you know, when I hear my colleagues talk about humanity, and being humane, just think about the fact that it was your own whip, Mr. Clyburn, who laughed -- laughed -chuckled -- laughed when asked about the humanitarian crisis that was building on the border. It is like you guys didn't even hear that. You just launched off into your talking 2201 points.

2202 I think I heard the distinguished gentleman from 2203 Georgia, Mr. Johnson, say that Republicans were using 2204 psychological operations on the Democrats in the committee. 2205 I guess he was referring to me. When we feed you your own 2206 words back from your own leadership, if you consider that a 2207 psychological operation I deeply apologize. We are merely 2208 trying to point out the inconsistency of the positions you 2209 have held in an effort to try to get you to work with us and to try to reform our asylum laws. 2210

2211 And, by the way, we will do it with you in a bipartisan 2212 way. Your own colleague, Mr. Gonzalez, from Texas, he has 2213 introduced a great bill to force people to seek asylum in 2214 their home countries. Instead of just sitting here and 2215 talking about the border, and you guys cry harder, or we cry 2216 harder, or you laugh harder, or whatever, you know, that is 2217 not productive for anyone. But your own members have bills 2218 that would help us solve these problems and you won't bring 2219 them up for a vote, and they are bills that have bipartisan 2220 co-sponsorship.

2221 So save us the lectures on humanity, because there is 2222 nothing humane about the fact that children in Central 2223 America are being stolen, rented, trafficked, and then sent 2224 right back across the border to do it all over again. That 2225 is what is inhumane, and that is what these asylum laws allow

93

for, children being used like a Fast Pass into this country. It is despicable, and you have the ability to bring bills forward to fix it, and you should do it. I yield back. Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back. For what purpose does the gentleman from Louisiana seek recognition?

2233 Mr. Richmond. Strike the last word.

2234 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized.

2235 Mr. Richmond. Mr. Chairman, the truth is I don't know 2236 where to start, responding to inaccurate rhetoric, or to just 2237 talk about how sad and embarrassing today is. And we are 2238 arguing about a humanitarian crisis that is created by Donald 2239 Trump.

2240 Families have a lawful right to seek asylum. They are 2241 presenting themselves. And the question is why? It is 2242 because they are fleeing some very harsh circumstances. And 2243 what we, as Americans, have yet to do is to acknowledge and 2244 accept our role in the circumstances that they face -- the 2245 cartels, the fact that we buy the majority of the drugs that 2246 are made over there, we contribute to the despicable 2247 conditions that they are trying to flee from.

It was also mentioned here that there were giants that chaired this committee. The truth is there were giants that were on this committee. But what them giants was the fact

2251 that they stood up for justice, no matter which team was 2252 doing the injustice, that they never deferred their moral 2253 compass to a person without one, to a person who, from day 2254 one, when he announced his candidacy, smeared immigrants as 2255 rapists and gang members. And if you don't have the courage 2256 to stand up to prejudice, bigotry, and bullying, you can't 2257 seek to claim to be a giant. That is the courage -- in my 2258 neighborhood we would say the backbone of a wet noodle. 2259 But I want to do this. My favorite movie -- and for the lawyers in the room they probably know it -- the movie "A 2260 2261 Time To Kill," with Samuel Jackson. And in the closing 2262 argument Matthew McConaughey asked the jury -- and I am going 2263 to ask the members of this committee, do the same thing -- he 2264 asked them to close their eyes, and when you close your eyes 2265 I want you to think about children that are held without 2266 enough food. I want you think about children who don't have 2267 toothbrushes. Close your eyes and think about children who 2268 go days without showers, in overcrowded conditions, wearing 2269 soiled clothes, no diapers, lack of medicine, sleep deprived. 2270 And I want you to envision those children.

2271 Now I want you to envision those children if they were 2272 white. What would we be doing, in effect? The answer in 2273 your mind is the exact same thing, and bless your heart. 2274 With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

2275 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

PAGE 96

2276 The gentle lady from Pennsylvania. For what purpose 2277 does she seek recognition?

2278 Ms. Dean. Move to strike the last word.

2279 Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady is recognized.

2280 Ms. Dean. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I speak in support, strong support, of your amendment, Mr. Chairman. It is very important that we zero in on the zero-tolerance policy and the family separation policies and practices. It is very important that we take a look at and expose the conditions of the detention of children, families, adults, women.

2287 I had the sad privilege on July the 1st and July the 2nd to travel to the border. On July the 1st, thanks to Veronica 2288 2289 Escobar, who put together a codel of congressional people, we 2290 visited El Paso. We visited adult women in El Paso Border 2291 Station 1. I am going to quote John Lewis, because he 2292 visited Homestead with us also. He said, "We have come to 2293 learn and to bear witness." What we saw there, what we were 2294 able to bear witness to is inhumanity and incompetence by 2295 this Administration over the separation of children and the 2296 detention of immigrants.

In one small, cold cell that we got access to, there were 15 women from Cuba. They had been held for 56 days. When we said when did you come into this cell, they said only today. They had been in tents or other outside temporary

2301 conditions for 56 days. Their lips were cracked with exposure, with lack of water. It was a cell, a prison cell. 2302 2303 I counted the cinderblocks, 10x13, concrete floor. They were 2304 lying in blue sleeping bags. We asked when did they get the sleeping bags. They said only recently, a donation from the 2305 2306 Forestry Service. Prior to that, they had been using those 2307 Jiffy Pop blankets out in the elements, out in the exposure. When we took a look at the stainless steel toilet for 15 2308 2309 women with no lid on the toilet, we flushed it and it worked, but the sink above it did not work. And when we asked about 2310 2311 that they said, oh yeah, we put in a slip for that. And the 2312 women told us they were instructed to drink out of the toilet 2313 because that was clean enough water.

2314 We came to bear witness.

Three of the women were sick, two with epilepsy, one with a brain aneurysm. A fourth woman asked me to touch her back because she had a profound lump on her back, but there was no time to help her get the biopsy or treatment or diagnosis she might need.

One woman, 58 -- they all cried, by the way, a hopeless kind of a cry, a scared, 56-day-long kind of a cry.

I will move on to when we went to Clint, where we saw 25 children in a facility that two-and-a-half weeks ago had 250 children, and two-and-a-half months ago had 700 children, a facility that was meant for 106 male adults. Picture what that must have looked like before they moved out all those children because Congress was coming to see them.

2328 Mr. Johnson of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, the committee is 2329 not in order.

2330 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is correct. The 2331 committee will come back to order.

2332 Ms. Dean. In Clint, we were not allowed to talk to the 2333 children, so we went to the prison door where they were being held, six children in one cell, for example. We tried to say 2334 who we were: "We are Congress, we are here to help you." 2335 2336 I held up a simple note. I said, "We love you. We 2337 heart you." The guard yelled at me, chided me: "Don't 2338 communicate. You have no business communicating." And then 2339 the children slipped a note out to us. Again the guard was 2340 annoyed, thinking we were slipping something in to the

2341 children. And the children said to us, when it was

2342 translated, "How can we help you?"

How can they help us? They retain their humanity, as the guards' humanity was ebbing away.

And then we went to Homestead, where 2,296 children, at the time we visited on July the 2nd, are being held for profit. And it was a beautiful facility. They wanted us to see a massive auditorium, twice the size of this, empty; a massive cafeteria, three times the size of this, empty, and not a smell of food, just a chef in a chef's coat, telling us 2351 of the great food they prepare.

Of the 2,300 kids that were there, we got to see about 30 of them. John Lewis movingly spoke to them and talked about this country in a better place, in a better way, and how they were welcome.

I say this to say if you cannot get the inhumanity of it, get the incompetence of it. This Administration has been utterly incompetent in the detention of immigrants, of children, and we will be judged by it. We must do our oversight.

2361 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2362 Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady yields back.

2363 For what purpose does the gentleman from Maryland seek 2364 recognition?

2365 Mr. Raskin. Move to strike the last word, Mr. Chairman. 2366 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman is recognized. 2367 Mr. Raskin. Mr. Chairman, I want to rise in favor of 2368 the amendment in the nature of a substitute. I want to 2369 express my strong support for the subpoenas, both in relation 2370 to the zero-tolerance policy, the separation of children at 2371 the border, and the miserable conditions of confinement that 2372 exist in many of the border detention facilities. 2373 I also want to express my support for the subpoenas

2374 related to potential presidential abuse of the pardon

2375 $\,$ process, and I want to express my strong support for the

2376 subpoenas related to obstruction of justice and other 2377 potential crimes committed during the 2016 presidential 2378 election and detailed in Special Counsel Mueller's report. 2379 I wanted to first start by saying that there is a very 2380 important principle here that I would have thought unified 2381 the Judiciary Committee, which is that the House of Representatives has a fact-finding function which is adjacent 2382 2383 to and auxiliary to and implied by our law-making function, and the Supreme Court has said it repeatedly, other courts 2384 2385 have endorsed the idea repeatedly: we cannot pass the laws 2386 we need relating to immigration, or obstruction of justice, 2387 or anything, unless we can obtain the information that we 2388 want, the information that we need in order to legislate. 2389 James Madison said that those who mean to be their own

2390 governors must arm themselves with the power that knowledge 2391 gives; and the people, through Article 1 of the Constitution, 2392 gave us the power to obtain information.

Our colleagues across the aisle know it. They used that power expansively, proudly, some would say promiscuously with their repeated expenditure of tens of millions of dollars related to the Benghazi investigation, or Fast and Furious, or Hillary Clinton's emails, whatever.

2398 You know what? That was their constitutional power. It 2399 was our constitutional power to do it. And this is our 2400 constitutional power to obtain all of the information that we

2401 are seeking from this Administration. And yet, the President 2402 of the United States has pulled a curtain down over the 2403 executive branch of government, ordering his subordinates to 2404 defy the lawful orders of the Congress of the United States. 2405 I would hope that every member of this committee would 2406 stand up for our powers, our prerogatives, and our rights 2407 under Article 1 as the people's representatives in the law-2408 making branch of government.

Now, let me talk specifically about what is happening at the border, because yesterday, Mr. Chairman, the Oversight Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, we had a hearing, and I took some notes, so I am just going to read some of the words I wrote down from the eyewitnesses who are working day in and day out on it.

2415 Widespread flu, chickenpox, scabies, lice, inconsolably 2416 crying sick children, sexual harassment, sexual assault, huge 2417 lines at the infirmary where children with serious medical 2418 complications and conditions are turned away. And then we 2419 heard about the horrible, inexorable, inevitable, dreadful, 2420 and irreversible outcome of these terrible conditions: six 2421 children dying under the care and the custody of the United 2422 States Government.

2423 Yesterday we heard from Jasmine Juarez, a lovely young 2424 woman whose 3-year-old daughter, Mariee, died after 2425 contracting a respiratory infection that remained untreated for a very long time, as she begged for medical assistance and attention, and by the time she got out and went to the emergency room, to the hospital, it was too late for poor Mariee.

And her mother said she was coming forward because she does not want to see any other mother or father or family go through this nightmare.

2433 But I am also standing up strong for the subpoenas 2434 related to the Mueller report and all of these witnesses, 2435 John Kelly and Lewandowski and Rod Rosenstein and Jeff 2436 Sessions, Mr. Chairman.

2437 You know, we heard when we started from one of our colleagues. I think it was Mr. Chabot. I could not see who 2438 2439 was behind me. But I think he began with the now appalling 2440 mantra which the Attorney General and the President have 2441 spread across the country of no obstruction found by the 2442 Attorney General, no collusion found by the Special Counsel. 2443 Well, we know both of those things are not true. If you read 2444 pages 1 and 2 of the report, Special Counsel Mueller says we 2445 do not deal with the question of collusion, which is not a 2446 criminal-law concept. It is an antitrust law concept. And 2447 they record more than 150 different encounters between the 2448 Trump campaign and emissaries of the Russian government and 2449 Russian nationals.

2450

And so there is lots of evidence of collusion. The

2451 Special Counsel said there was not sufficient evidence to 2452 charge criminal conspiracy, which I never thought there was 2453 because Vladimir Putin did not need Eric Trump and Donald 2454 Trump, Jr. to execute his plot against the American elections 2455 in 2016. All the Trumps could do was mess it up, which they 2456 did because they blew the cover on the whole operation. That 2457 is the reality of the situation.

2458 But did the Trumps call the FBI? Did they call the 2459 Federal Election Commission? No. They said come on in, 2460 let's see what we can do, I love it. I think we heard from 2461 the President's son, "I love it, let's meet, let's see what 2462 you can give us about Hillary Clinton."

Well, I was just getting started. I needed to respond to my friend from Florida, but I am happy to take some other time, if anybody wants to yield.

2466 I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

2467 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

For what purpose does the gentle lady from Georgia seek recognition?

2470 Mrs. McBath. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike 2471 the last word.

Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady is recognized.
Mrs. McBath. I would like to yield my time to my
colleague, Ms. Sheila Jackson Lee from Texas, please.
Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady is recognized.

2476 Ms. Jackson Lee. I thank you so very much.

2477 I, first of all, thank the gentle lady from Georgia for 2478 her leadership, and all of my colleagues, who have been 2479 superb on this issue.

Let me, first of all, because there has been a tone of my friends on the other side of the aisle, make it very clear that Democrats do not support open borders. That is the call for 2020, that Democrats support open borders.

As I sit next to my friend, Chairwoman Lofgren, we are 2484 reminded of our visits to the border in 2014 and President 2485 2486 Obama. Both of us were standing at night as children came 2487 off of a bus, and the Administration handled it completely differently. It was not perfect, but they understood that 2488 2489 they would not get the reputation that the United Nations has 2490 declared abominable. In the eyes of the world the actions of 2491 this Administration, the Trump Administration, are 2492 abominable, without mercy, without care, and it is imperative 2493 that this committee that holds the highest ideals of 2494 investigation of this Congress, the Judiciary Committee, over 2495 the decades of its incorporation -- 1789, as I recall -- that 2496 this committee has set to do justice.

And I believe it is curious, if I might say so, that, first of all, the President of the United States is pushing law enforcement to do raids, to conspicuously announce national raids to create a massive hysteria and danger for officers, and to create more unaccompanied children, because the creation of unaccompanied children and not just those who come across but, as I was told at the border, oh yes, they are unaccompanied because we have taken their family away. So you take their family away from Houston and San Francisco, Chicago and New York and elsewhere, and you create unaccompanied children.

2508 And then I think it is particularly curious, and I want 2509 to thank the Chairman for -- the Business Insider said John Kelly's new role on a detention center board set off ethics 2510 2511 concerns that he may be profiting from the children that he 2512 proposed and supported in a zero-tolerance separation policy. 2513 Amazing, absolutely amazing that you leave from being the 2514 Secretary of Homeland Security, the Chief of Staff of the 2515 President of the United States, promoting child separation, 2516 taking families away from their children, not being able to 2517 unite every single child with their parent who did not speak 2518 the language and knew they were going to see their child 2519 again, and if anyone has joined me, along with other members, 2520 who saw the attempt to reunite and to see these mothers 2521 coming in with a pillowcase of clothing to get children who 2522 had been separated for months, and to see the distance and the shock and the trauma of that child, not even responding 2523 2524 to that mother who was coming, longing to be reunited, the 2525 few that were lucky enough to be reunited, and the child not

2526 responding because they had been traumatized.

2527 Secretary Kelly, you leave from this administration and 2528 go right to the actors who are in the midst of devastation 2529 and separating our children. My colleague from Texas knows 2530 that we have something called Emancipation Center in our 2531 collective areas that we have been fighting against, that the 2532 doors are closed shut, that you can barely get up to the 2533 front yard when they are trying to remove you.

2534 We are doing the right thing, and we will not be 2535 characterized as those who promote open borders. We will be 2536 characterized as those who are begging for comprehensive 2537 immigration reform or the right kind of response to those who 2538 want to come into this country the legal way and those who 2539 are here undocumented who want to seek the opportunity to be 2540 status and citizens, the very ones who put on the uniform 2541 that I know that have gone to fight or to serve this nation. 2542 So I hope that we can get a vote on this and that we 2543 will be able to move forward on these subpoenas so that we 2544 can do our oversight. I do not know if 11 sections is good. 2545 The gentle lady from Georgia is not here, and I do not know 2546 if I can give them to you.

2547 You have them. Thank you.

2548 I yield back.

2549 Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady yields back.

2550 A roll call is requested.

2551	In the opinion of the Chair, the roll call is withdrawn.
2552	The amendment in the nature of a substitute is adopted.
2553	A reporting quorum being present, the question is on the
2554	motion to agree to the resolution as amended.
2555	Those in favor, respond by saying aye?
2556	Opposed, no?
2557	The ayes have it. The resolution as amended is agreed
2558	to.
2559	A recorded vote has been requested. The Clerk will call
2560	the roll.
2561	Ms. Strasser. Mr. Nadler?
2562	Chairman Nadler. Aye.
2563	Ms. Strasser. Mr. Nadler votes aye.
2564	Ms. Lofgren?
2565	Ms. Lofgren. Aye.
2566	Ms. Strasser. Ms. Lofgren votes aye.
2567	Ms. Jackson Lee?
2568	Ms. Jackson Lee. Aye.
2569	Ms. Strasser. Ms. Jackson Lee votes aye.
2570	Mr. Cohen?
2571	Mr. Cohen. Aye.
2572	Ms. Strasser. Mr. Cohen votes aye.
2573	Mr. Johnson of Georgia?
2574	Mr. Johnson of Georgia. Aye.
2575	Ms. Strasser. Mr. Johnson of Georgia votes aye.

PAGE 108

- 2576 Mr. Deutch?
- 2577 Mr. Deutch. Aye.
- 2578 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Deutch votes aye.
- 2579 Ms. Bass?
- 2580 Ms. Bass. Aye.
- 2581 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Bass votes aye.
- 2582 Mr. Richmond?
- 2583 Mr. Richmond. Aye.
- 2584 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Richmond votes aye.
- 2585 Mr. Jeffries?
- 2586 Mr. Cicilline?
- 2587 Mr. Swalwell?
- 2588 Mr. Swalwell. Aye.
- 2589 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Swalwell votes aye.
- 2590 Mr. Lieu?
- 2591 Mr. Raskin?
- 2592 Mr. Raskin. Aye.
- 2593 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Raskin votes aye.
- 2594 Ms. Jayapal?
- 2595 Mrs. Demings?
- 2596 Mr. Correa?
- 2597 Mr. Correa. Aye.
- 2598 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Correa votes aye.
- 2599 Ms. Scanlon?
- 2600 Ms. Scanlon. Aye.
PAGE 109

- 2601 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Scanlon votes aye.
- 2602 Ms. Garcia?
- 2603 Ms. Garcia. Aye.
- 2604 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Garcia votes aye.
- 2605 Mr. Neguse?
- 2606 Mr. Neguse. Aye.
- 2607 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Neguse votes aye.
- 2608 Mrs. McBath?
- 2609 Mrs. McBath. Aye.
- 2610 Ms. Strasser. Mrs. McBath votes aye.
- 2611 Mr. Stanton?
- 2612 Mr. Stanton. Aye.
- 2613 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Stanton votes aye.
- 2614 Ms. Dean?
- 2615 Ms. Dean. Aye.
- 2616 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Dean votes aye.
- 2617 Ms. Mucarsel-Powell?
- 2618 Ms. Mucarsel-Powell. Aye.
- 2619 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Mucarsel-Powell votes aye.
- 2620 Ms. Escobar?
- 2621 Ms. Escobar. Aye.
- 2622 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Escobar votes aye.
- 2623 Mr. Collins?
- 2624 Mr. Collins. No.
- 2625 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Collins votes no.

PAGE 110

- 2626 Mr. Sensenbrenner?
- 2627 Mr. Chabot?
- 2628 Mr. Gohmert?
- 2629 Mr. Gohmert. No.
- 2630 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Gohmert votes no.
- 2631 Mr. Jordan?
- 2632 Mr. Jordan. No.
- 2633 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Jordan votes no.
- 2634 Mr. Buck?
- 2635 Mr. Buck. No.
- 2636 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Buck votes no.
- 2637 Mr. Ratcliffe?
- 2638 Mrs. Roby?
- 2639 Mrs. Roby. No.
- 2640 Ms. Strasser. Mrs. Roby votes no.
- 2641 Mr. Gaetz?
- 2642 Mr. Johnson of Louisiana?
- 2643 Mr. Biggs?
- 2644 Mr. Biggs. No.
- 2645 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Biggs votes no.
- 2646 Mr. McClintock?
- 2647 Mr. McClintock. No.
- 2648 Ms. Strasser. Mr. McClintock votes no.
- 2649 Mrs. Lesko?
- 2650 Mrs. Lesko. No.

PAGE 111

- 2651 Ms. Strasser. Mrs. Lesko votes no.
- 2652 Mr. Reschenthaler?
- 2653 Mr. Reschenthaler. No.
- 2654 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Reschenthaler votes no.
- 2655 Mr. Cline?
- 2656 Mr. Cline. No.
- 2657 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Cline votes no.
- 2658 Mr. Armstrong?
- 2659 Mr. Armstrong. No.
- 2660 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Armstrong votes no.
- 2661 Mr. Steube?
- 2662 Mr. Steube. No.
- 2663 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Steube votes no.
- 2664 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman from New York?
- 2665 Mr. Jeffries. Aye.
- 2666 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Jeffries votes aye.
- 2667 Chairman Nadler. The gentle lady from Washington?
- 2668 Ms. Strasser. Ms. Jayapal votes aye.
- 2669 Chairman Nadler. Has every member voted who wishes to
- 2670 vote?

2671 The Clerk will report.

2672 Ms. Strasser. Mr. Chairman, there are 21 ayes and 12 2673 noes.

2674 Chairman Nadler. The ayes have it. The resolution as 2675 amended is agreed to. We now have four bankruptcy bills which are uncontroversial, and pursuant to agreement with the Ranking Member, I ask unanimous consent that we bring up the four bills *en bloc*, which means we will take one vote and we will save some time.

2681 Are there any objections?

Hearing none, we will take up the four bills en bloc. Pursuant to notice, I now call up H.R. 3311, the Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019; H.R. 3304, the National Guard and Reservist Debt Relief Extension Act of 2019; H.R. 2686 2938, the Honoring American Veterans in Extreme Need Act of 2687 2019, or the HAVEN Act; and H.R. 2336, the Family Farmer 2688 Relief Act of 2019.

I am going to read one statement about all four bills that we are about to consider. I will ask unanimous consent to put my full statement on each bill in the record, without objection.

2693 [The information follows:]

2694

2695 Chairman Nadler. The bankruptcy code either directly or 2696 indirectly affects millions of Americans in all types of 2697 businesses, from the largest to the smallest. When the law works properly, it offers a critical economic second chance 2698 to individuals and businesses in financial distress. But 2699 2700 various reforms are necessary to ensure that this critical 2701 goal of financial rehabilitation is effectively met. The 2702 four bipartisan bills scheduled for consideration at today's markup address certain deficiencies and areas of unfairness 2703 2704 in the code.

2705 But before I turn to the first bill listed for markup, I 2706 want to briefly discuss a bill that is not part of today's 2707 proceedings but which we will be considering in the near 2708 future, H.R. 2648, the Student Borrower Bankruptcy Relief 2709 Act, which I introduced along with Senator Dick Durbin. Our 2710 legislation would address head-on the manifest unfairness 2711 that student loans, unlike every other unsecured debt such as 2712 credit cards or auto loans, are effectively non-dischargeable 2713 in bankruptcy. These measures would make student loan debt 2714 completely dischargeable.

There is no reason that this one category of debt should be singled out for special treatment. It severely undermines the bankruptcy code's vital goal of providing a financial fresh start to honest but unfortunate debtors. The problem of student loan debt, however, is multifaceted.

In light of substantial interest from various stakeholders in continuing to refine the bill, and in response to the request by our colleagues on the other side of the aisle, we are holding off taking up H.R. 2648, at least for now. But make no mistake, we will address the student loan problem in the context of bankruptcy reform at the very next opportunity.

We also plan to consider the need to promote greater transparency and integrity with respect to the ongoing financial reorganization of Puerto Rico under the guidance of the congressionally authorized Financial Oversight Management Board, particularly with regard to actual potential conflicts of interest of professional persons retained by the board in connection with the reorganization effort.

Now, turning to the first bill listed for markup, H.R. 3311, the Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019, it makes a series of reforms to current bankruptcy law needed to better facilitate the financial reorganization of small business debtors. These reforms are endorsed by the nonpartisan National Bankruptcy Conference, as well as the American Bankruptcy Institute.

H.R. 3311 strikes an important balance between
heightened administrative oversight of these types of cases
and provisions intended to streamline the bankruptcy
reorganization process for small business debtors. Among its

2745 principal features, H.R. 3311 would require the appointment 2746 of an individual to serve as a trustee in a small business 2747 Chapter 11 case to monitor the debtor's reorganization 2748 progress toward confirmation of reorganization plans. In 2749 addition, it would authorize the court to confirm a plan over 2750 the objection of the debtor's creditors under certain 2751 specified circumstances.

The bill also includes supervision not limited to small business Chapter 11 cases pertaining to the treatment of a transfer of property by the debtor made before the filing of the bankruptcy case that is preferential to a creditor and the detriment of similarly situated creditors.

I thank the sponsors of this legislation, the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Cline, and the Chair of the Antitrust Commercial Administrative Law Subcommittee, the gentleman from Rhode Island, Mr. Cicilline, for their leadership on this measure.

The second bill is H.R. 3304, the National Guard and Reservist Debt Relief Extension Act of 2019. According to a 2764 2018 financial lifestyle survey of service members and 2765 veterans, financial issues are the top lifestyle stressor, 2766 and unfortunately bankruptcy is sometimes the best answer for 2767 those in financial distress.

2768 Under current law, National Guard members and Reservists 2769 who serve on active duty are, like other active service

2770 members, exempt from the bankruptcy code means test, which determines whether a debtor's income is too high to have all 2771 2772 of his or her debts erased in bankruptcy. But this critical 2773 protection for National Guard members and Reservists must be 2774 extended before it expires at the end of the year. Unless 2775 otherwise exempted, service members and veterans must 2776 complete the required forms and submit the specified 2777 paperwork to satisfy the bankruptcy code's means test. This requirement applies even with respect to service members who 2778 2779 have returned to the United States from active duty and thus 2780 no longer receive combat pay.

2781 Under the means test such service members must calculate 2782 his or her income based on the average monthly income that he 2783 or she received during the six-month period preceding the 2784 filing date of the bankruptcy case rather than the debtor's 2785 actual income, which may be much less because of the debtor's 2786 noncombat status. H.R. 3304 responds to this immediate 2787 concern. The bill would extend for four years the temporary 2788 authorization exempting certain qualifying Reserve component 2789 members of the Armed Services and National Guard members from 2790 the bankruptcy code's means test.

Without question, this measure warrants our support and its prompt consideration by the full House given the impending December 19, 2019 expiration date of the means test exemption for service members and veterans.

2795 I commend the bill's sponsor, the Constitution, Civil 2796 Rights and Civil Liberties Subcommittee Chair, the gentleman 2797 from Tennessee, Mr. Cohen, as well as his cosponsors, the 2798 gentlewoman from Pennsylvania, Ms. Dean, and the gentleman 2799 from Virginia, Mr. Cline, for their deep commitment to 2800 supporting our nation's heroes. Accordingly, I encourage my 2801 colleagues on both sides of the aisle to pass H.R. 3304. The third bill is H.R. 2938, the Honoring American 2802 Veterans in Extreme Need Act of 2019, or the HAVEN Act. This 2803 2804 bill addresses a fundamental unfairness in current bankruptcy 2805 law that affects veterans receiving disability benefits. 2806 Although Social Security benefits are not treated as income 2807 for purposes of the bankruptcy code's means test, veterans' 2808 disability benefits do constitute income under this test even 2809 though, much like Social Security, these benefits are a 2810 lifeline to many of its recipients who are otherwise 2811 protected from seizure by creditors. By counting such 2812 benefits as income, many veterans become ineligible for the 2813 more immediate discharge available under Chapter 7, and 2814 instead they are steered into Chapter 13, which requires a 2815 debtor to make payments to creditors pursuant to a three- or 2816 five-year plan before he or she can receive a discharge. 2817 H.R. 2938 corrects this obvious inequity and would treat 2818 certain veterans' disability benefits the same as Social 2819 Security payments under the bankruptcy code's means test.

I commend the bill's sponsor, the gentle lady from Georgia, Mrs. McBath, and her cosponsor, the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Steube, for their leadership on this important legislation.

2824 The final bill is H.R. 2336, the Family Farmer Relief 2825 Act of 2019. This bill would increase the current debt limit 2826 used to determine whether a family farmer is eligible for 2827 relief under Chapter 12 of the bankruptcy code, a specialized form of bankruptcy relief specifically intended for family 2828 farmers, from approximately \$4.4 million, the current debt 2829 2830 limit, to \$10 million. Chapter 12 permits a family farmer 2831 who satisfies certain eligibility criteria to reorganize his 2832 or her debts pursuant to a repayment plan under the 2833 supervision of the bankruptcy trustee.

2834 The special attributes of Chapter 12 make it better 2835 suited to meet the particularized needs of family farmers in 2836 financial distress and other forms of bankruptcy relief. 2837 Under Chapter 7, for example, the family farmer's assets 2838 would have to be liquidated to pay the claims of creditors, 2839 thus depriving a family farmer of his or her livelihood. 2840 Chapter 11, typically used by large corporations to 2841 reorganize complex financial transactions, can be a costly 2842 and time-consuming process ill-suited to a small farming 2843 operation.

During the farm crisis in the mid-1980s, thousands of

family farmers faced the perfect storm of grain embargoes, 2845 2846 high interest rates, competition from large corporate farming 2847 operations, and competition from overseas. In response to 2848 that crisis, Congress passed legislation creating Chapter 12 2849 initially on a temporary basis and in 2005 on a permanent 2850 basis. Unfortunately, many similar economic pressures are 2851 currently impacting family farmers, who are also facing the damaging effects of virtually unprecedented weather events, 2852 including devastating episodes of flooding, as well as 2853 stagnant or falling returns on investment. H.R. 2336 takes 2854 2855 into consideration the fact that modern farming operations 2856 entail greater costs and resulting debt than when Chapter 12 2857 was first enacted by raising the debt threshold to qualify 2858 for this type of bankruptcy relief to a level more consistent 2859 with today's operations.

This legislation is supported by the nonpartisan American Bankruptcy Institute, as well as by the American College of Bankruptcy. It currently has more than 20 bipartisan cosponsors, including our colleague, the gentleman from Wisconsin, Mr. Sensenbrenner. Accordingly, I support H.R. 2336.

2866 I yield back the balance of my time.

2867 I now call on the Ranking Member, the gentleman from 2868 Georgia, Mr. Collins.

2869 Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2870 Chairman Nadler. Before I do that, without objection, 2871 these bills are considered as read and open for amendments at 2872 any point.

I already recognized myself for an opening statement. I now recognize the gentleman from Georgia for his statement. Mr. Collins. And we are back. There we go. And again, I appreciate the gentleman, and also the unanimous consent request that all my statements for these bills be entered into the record.

2879 Chairman Nadler. Without objection.

2880 [The information follows:]

2881

2882 Mr. Collins. Also, and again, I want to thank you. You 2883 did a good job outlining these bills.

2884 I think it is good work on our side, Mr. Cline, Mr. Steube, and Mr. Sensenbrenner, and also on the other side as 2885 2886 well, Mr. Cohen and others who have worked on these bills, 2887 and I am glad to say that because the passage of these four 2888 bills will testify that this committee can achieve for the 2889 American people on a bipartisan basis in the important areas 2890 of law within our jurisdiction when we devote our time and 2891 effort and energy to doing what we can do in his committee, 2892 and that showed up in the last few minutes instead of the 2893 previous two-and-a-half hours, which we agreed on.

And also Mr. Armstrong, who has worked very hard on some of these bills as well. So we appreciate that.

And with that, I am going to yield back since we are moving forward.

2898 Chairman Nadler. The gentleman yields back.

2899 For what purpose does the gentleman from Tennessee, Mr.2900 Cohen, seek recognition?

2901 Mr. Cohen. Thank you. I just want to thank Mr. Cline 2902 and Mr. Buck, who were cosponsors on our bill for the 2903 Reservists and the National Guard folks to get the bankruptcy 2904 relief they have been getting for a decade, which needs to be 2905 renewed. That is H.R. 3304. These people sacrifice their 2906 jobs, oftentimes their businesses, to go to protect us in 2907 overseas fights, and they sometimes get into financial

2908 difficulty and should not have to have a means test. So I

2909 appreciate the bipartisan support.

2910 I yield back.

2911 Chairman Nadler. I thank the gentleman.

I now ask unanimous consent to place a statement by Mr.

2913 Cicilline into the record. Without objection.

2914 [The information follows:]

2915

2916 Chairman Nadler. Does anyone else seek recognition? 2917 A reporting quorum being present, the question is on the 2918 motion to report the bills H.R. 3311, H.R. 3304, H.R. 2938, and H.R. 2336, en bloc, favorably to the House. 2919 2920 Those in favor, say aye? 2921 Opposed, no? 2922 The ayes have it and the bills are ordered reported 2923 favorably to the House. 2924 Members will have two days to submit views on each bill. 2925 This concludes our business for today. Thanks to all 2926 our members for attending. Without objection, the markup is adjourned. 2927 [Whereupon, at 12:51 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.] 2928