```
1 NATIONAL CAPITOL CONTRACTING
```

- 2 RPTS CATALA
- 3 HJU101000
- 4 MARKUP OF H.R. 5283, H.R. 5293,
- 5 H.R. 5335, H.R. 5344, AND H.R. 5447
- 6 | Wednesday, April 11, 2018
- 7 House of Representatives,
- 8 | Committee on the Judiciary,
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- 10 The committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in
- 11 Room 2141, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Bob Goodlatte
- 12 [chairman of the committee] presiding.
- 13 Present: Representatives Goodlatte, Smith, Chabot,
- 14 Issa, Gohmert, Jordan, Poe, Marino, Gowdy, Farenthold,
- 15 | Collins, DeSantis, Buck, Ratcliffe, Roby, Gaetz, Johnson of
- 16 Louisiana, Biggs, Rutherford, Handel, Nadler, Lofgren,
- 17 Jackson Lee, Cohen, Johnson of Georgia, Deutch, Bass,
- 18 Richmond, Jeffries, Cicilline, Swalwell, Lieu, Raskin,
- 19 Jayapal, Schneider, and Demin.
- 20 | Staff Present: Shelley Husband, Staff Director; Brenden

Ritchie, Deputy Staff Director; Zach Somers, Parliamentarian and General Counsel; Stephanie Gadbois, Senior Counsel; Joe Keeley, Chief Counsel, Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet; Alley Adcock, Clerk; Jason Everett, Minority Counsel; David Greengrass, Minority Counsel; Matthew Morgan, Minority Counsel; Danielle Brown, Minority Parliamentarian and Chief Legislative Counsel; Rachel Calanni, Minority Professional Staff Member; Susan Jensen, Minority Counsel; and Lisette Morton, Minority Legislative Director.

| 31 | Chairman Goodlatte. Good morning. The Judiciary |
|----|--|
| 32 | Committee will come to order. And, without objection, the |
| 33 | chair is authorized to declare a recess at any time. |
| 34 | Pursuant to notice, I now call up H.R. 5283 for purposes of |
| 35 | markup and move that the committee report the favorably to |
| 36 | the House. The clerk will report the bill. |
| 37 | Ms. Adcock. H.R. 5283, to make technical amendments to |
| 38 | update statutory references to certain provisions classified |
| 39 | in title 7, title 20, and title 43 United States Code. |
| 40 | [The bill follows:] |
| | |
| 11 | ******** INSERT 1 ******* |

Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection, the bill is considered as read and open for amendment at any time, and I will begin by recognizing myself for an opening statement.

Before we turn to the markup of the Music Modernization Act today, we will first consider four bills prepared by the Judiciary Committee by the Office of Law Revision Counsel. The Office of the Law Revision Counsel has an ongoing responsibility under section 285(b) of title 2 of the United States Code to maintain the United States Code and assist the committee in the revision and codification of Federal statutes.

In order to maintain and improve the United States

Code, the office must occasionally undertake editorial

reclassification projects to reorganize areas of law that

have outgrown their original boundaries or to eliminate

organizational units that are no longer efficient. These

changes are necessary to improve the organizational

structure of the code.

Importantly, in keeping with the standard practice of editorial reclassifications, no statutory text is altered by these projects. The provisions are merely transferred from one place to another in the code. Following an editorial reclassification, the office forwards to the Judiciary Committee draft legislation to update statutory references to code sections that have been reclassified. Four such

67 bills will be considered today.

The purpose of each bill is to make technical and clerical amendments to statutes in order to update references to code provisions that were altered by editorial reclassification projects. The first bill to be considered is H.R. 5283, a bill to make technical amendments to update statutory references to certain provisions classified to title 7, 20, and 43 of the United States Code. Title 7, 20, and 43 consist of agriculture, education, and public lands laws, respectively.

The next bill, H.R. 5293, makes technical amendments to update statutory references to certain provisions that were formerly classified to the appendix to title 50 of the United States Code. Title 50 houses the Nation's war and national defense law.

The third bill, H.R. 5335, makes technical amendments to update statutory references to provisions reclassified to title 34 of the United States Code. Last year, the Office of Law Revision Counsel reorganized certain existing provisions of the United States Code into a new title, title 34.

This title was created to provide a new place in the code for our laws on crime control and law enforcement.

These provisions, as well as future laws related to crime control and law enforcement, will be better organized and

92 maintained in title 34.

The last of the four bills, H.R. 5344, makes technical amendments to update statutory references to certain provisions that were formerly classified to chapters 14 and 19 of title 25 of the United States Code. Title 25 houses the body of laws pertaining to Native Americans. Before I recognize Mr. Nadler for his opening statement on these bills, I want to thank Mr. King for introducing our first codification bill, H.R. 5283, and urge my colleagues to support this important bill.

The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the committee, Mr. Nadler, for his opening statement.

[The prepared statement of Chairman Goodlatte follows:]

105 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

Mr. Nadler. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Today, we are considering four classification bills. These are noncontroversial and bipartisan bills, and I hope that we can move through them quickly.

To that end, I am incorporating my comments on all four bills into this single statement. H.R. 5283, H.R. 5293, H.R. 5335, and H.R. 5344 make a series of long overdue technical amendments to the United States Code. They are the product of a meticulous review conducted by the Office of Law Revision Counsel, which is responsible for maintaining and publishing the code.

Based on this comprehensive review, the Law Revision

Counsel drafted each of these bills that our colleagues on

both sides of the aisle have introduced. These measures

modernize various titles of the code by deleting outdated

provisions and by reorganizing other provisions into a more

coherent and reader-friendly format.

The titles of the code updated by these bills cover a broad range of subject matters, including Native Americans, national defense, law enforcement, education, public lands, and agriculture. And it is important to note that none of these revisions is intended to substantively change current law in any way.

While the amendments effectuated by these bills are purely technical, the enhanced clarity and efficiency that

| 131 | will result from their ultimate enactment will vastly |
|-----|--|
| 132 | improve the interpretation and practice of Federal law. |
| 133 | The Office of Law Revision Counsel is to be commended |
| 134 | for preparing these bills for us. I also want to |
| 135 | acknowledge the members who sponsor these measures as well |
| 136 | as the chairman's leadership in facilitating their |
| 137 | consideration today. |
| 138 | Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to support each of |
| 139 | these bills, and I yield back the balance of my time. |
| 140 | [The prepared statement of Mr. Nadler follows:] |
| | |
| 141 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******* |

142 Chairman Goodlatte. Are there any amendments to H.R. 143 5283? 144 A reporting quorum being present, the question is on 145 the motion to report the bill H.R. 5283 favorably to the 146 House. 147 Those in favor, respond by saying aye. 148 Those opposed, no. 149 The ayes have it and the bill is ordered reported 150 favorably. Members will have 2 days to submit views. 151 Pursuant to notice, I now call up H.R. 5293 for 152 purposes of markup and move that the committee report the 153 bill favorably to the House. The clerk will report the 154 bill. 155 Ms. Adcock. H.R. 5293: to make technical amendments to 156 update statutory references to certain provisions that were 157 formerly classified to title 50 Appendix, United States 158 Code. 159 [The bill follows:] 160 ****** TNSERT 2 ******

Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection, the bill is considered as read and open for amendment at any time. And I will begin by recognizing myself for a brief opening statement.

H.R. 5293 makes technical amendments to update statutory references to certain provisions that were formerly classified to the appendix of title 50 of the United States Code. In 2015, the appendix to title 50 was eliminated, and most of the nonobsolete provisions were transferred to new chapters of title 50. A small number of provisions were transferred to other titles of the code.

H.R. 5293 will ensure that our public laws accurately reflect the location of the statutory text in the United States Code. I want to thank, in particular, Mr. Lieu for introducing H.R. 5293 and urge my colleagues to support this important bill. The chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

[The prepared statement of Chairman Goodlatte follows:]

179 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT *******

180 Mr. Nadler. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I also want to 181 thank Mr. Lieu for introducing this bill. I urge my 182 colleagues to support it, and I incorporate my earlier comments by reference. I yield back. 183 184 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman. 185 Are there any amendments to H.R. 5293? 186 A reporting quorum being present, the question is on 187 the motion to report the bill H.R. 5293 favorably to the 188 House. 189 Those in favor, respond by saying aye. 190 Those opposed, no. 191 The ayes have it, and the bill is ordered reported 192 favorably. Members will have 2 days to submit views. 193 Pursuant to notice, I now call up H.R. 5335 for 194 purposes of markup and move that the committee report the 195 bill favorably to the House. The clerk will report the 196 bill. 197 Ms. Adcock. H.R. 5335: to make technical amendments to 198 update statutory references to certain provisions that were 199 formerly classified to title 34, United States Code. 200 [The bill follows:] 201 ******* INSERT 3 *******

202 Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection, the bill is 203 considered as read and open for amendment at any time. And 204 I will begin by recognizing myself for an opening statement. 205 H.R. 5335 makes technical amendments to update 206 statutory references to provisions reclassified to title 34, 207 crime control and law enforcement, United States Code. H.R. 208 5335 will ensure that our public laws accurately reflect the 209 location of the statutory text in the United States Code. 210 According to the Office of Law Revision Counsel, the 211 impetus for the title 34 project was to gather, in one 212 title, the growing body of law bearing on crime control and 213 law enforcement. Those revisions were previously scattered 214 in various parts of the United States Code. 215 Title 34 now contains provisions from the omnibus Crime 216 Control and Safe Streets Act, and Immigration and 217 Nationality Act, and Violence Against Women, and Department 218 of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, just to name a few. 219 I want to thank Mr. Sensenbrenner for introducing H.R. 220 5335 and urge my colleagues to support this important bill. 221 [The prepared statement of Chairman Goodlatte follows:] 222 ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

| 223 | Chairman Goodlatte. Are there any amendments? |
|-----|--|
| 224 | Being none, a reporting quorum being present, the |
| 225 | question is on the motion to report the bill H.R. 5335 |
| 226 | favorably to the House. |
| 227 | Those in favor, respond by saying aye. |
| 228 | Those opposed, no. |
| 229 | The ayes have it and the bill is ordered reported |
| 230 | favorably. Members will have 2 days to submit views. |
| 231 | Pursuant to notice, I now call up H.R. 5344 for |
| 232 | purposes of markup and move that the committee report the |
| 233 | bill favorably to the House. The clerk will report the |
| 234 | bill. |
| 235 | Ms. Adcock. H.R. 5344: to make technical amendments to |
| 236 | update statutory references to certain provisions which were |
| 237 | formerly classified to chapters 13 and 19 of title 25, |
| 238 | United States Code. |
| 239 | [The bill follows:] |
| | |
| 240 | ****** INSERT 4 ******* |

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection, the bill is considered as read and open for amendment at any time. And I will begin by recognizing myself for an opening statement. H.R. 5344 makes technical amendments to update statutory references to certain provisions which were formerly classified to chapters 14 and 19 of title 25, Indians, United States Code. H.R. 5344 will ensure that our public laws accurately reflect the location of the statutory text in the United States Code. To illustrate why the reclassification was needed, the Office of Law Revision Counsel has noted that, for example, that in chapter 14 of title 25, under the broad heading, "Miscellaneous," over 900 sections had accumulated over the years. In 2016, the general and permanent provisions from that material were reorganized and transferred to form four new chapters. The content of these new sections includes such matters as conservation of resources and Indian self-determination and education assistance. I want to thank Mr. Issa for

262 [The prepared statement of Chairman Goodlatte follows:]

introducing H.R. 5344 and urge my colleagues to support this

263 ******* COMMITTEE INSERT ******

important bill.

264 Chairman Goodlatte. Are there amendments to H.R. 5344? 265 There being none, a reporting quorum being present, the 266 question is on the motion to report the bill H.R. 5344 267 favorably to the House. 268 Those in favor, respond by saying aye. 269 Those opposed, no. 270 The ayes have it and the bill is ordered reported 271 favorably. Members will have 2 days to submit views. 272 I think the committee has set a record for passing four 273 bills out in very short order. I thank all the members for 274 their support and cooperation. Now, we have a very 275 important bill. 276 And pursuant to notice, I call up H.R. 5447 for 277 purposes of markup and move that the committee report the 278 bill favorably to the House. The clerk will report the 279 bill. 280 Ms. Adcock. H.R. 5447: to modernize copyright law and 281 for other purposes. 282 [The bill follows:] 283 ******* INSERT 5 *******

Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection, the bill is considered as read and open for amendment at any time. And I will begin by recognizing myself for an opening statement.

This morning, the Judiciary Committee brings early 20th century music laws for the analog era into the 21st century digital era. These changes and this markup are a culmination of years of effort by interested parties, as well as by many members of this committee. The problems and failures in our Nation's music laws have imposed real financial costs upon artists and creators. Music is no longer written on piano rolls, and our laws should not be based on that technology any longer either.

Several years ago, this committee began a comprehensive review of our Nation's copyright laws. We held dozens of hearings, heard from over a hundred witnesses, and traveled to multiple cities across the country to hear directly from stakeholders who use these laws. This review provided the foundation upon which several bills to reform our copyright laws were constructed.

During the course of this review, we learned that our music licensing laws were no longer working as intended for songwriters, artists, and creators, or for the companies that deliver the music in innovative ways for consumers.

Specifically, we have heard about several key problems, including a dysfunctional mechanical licensing system that

seems to generate more paperwork and attorney's fees than royalties; a need to provide protection for pre-1972 performances; a lack of recognition in the law for the creative input of producers, sound engineers, and mixers; and a lack of a unified rate standard for music royalties.

This committee regularly hears from a variety of groups interested in copyright law, and it will not surprise anyone to know that, typically, not everyone agrees regarding what changes to title 17 are necessary. One person's problem may be another's benefit. And some have preferred a broken system over an unknown change.

However, in a reflection of how bad our music statutes are, the opposite is true. Every party that has spoken about music recognizes the problems caused by our current licensing framework and want real solutions. The existing music provisions of title 17 are simply that bad.

I tasked the industry to come together with a unified reform bill. And to their credit, they delivered, albeit with an occasional bump along the way. Today, the major players in the music industry are unified in supporting comprehensive music licensing reform to bring the state of our Nation's copyright laws into the digital age that the industry itself has already transitioned to.

While no bill is perfect, by all accounts, this is a bill with overwhelming consensus behind it. Groups that

represent songwriters, musical works copyright owners, digital music providers, individual artists, sound recording copyright owners, artist guilds, and performing rights' organizations all support the bill.

The reason for such widespread support are clear. The Music Modernization Act boosts payments for copyright owners and artists by shifting the reasonable costs of a new, mechanical licensing collective onto digital music services, who themselves benefit from reduced litigation costs as a result of other provisions in the bill. Songwriters gain a seat at the table in seeing how their royalties are collected and then allocated.

Pre-1972 artists who currently go unpaid will finally see royalties for their creations, as will sound engineers, mixers, and producers. The public benefits, too, by having immediate access to all music on their favorite services. Furthermore, libraries and archives gain educational and fair use access to pre-1972 works currently governed under State law.

This bill is the work product of many stakeholders and members of this committee. I want to highlight the work of several of my colleagues, including the ranking member, who were leaders in working on the underlying components of this bill.

First and foremost, I want to thank Mr. Collins and Mr.

Jeffries for their leadership on Section 115 reform. I know how many hundreds of hours of work they and their staffs put into this legislation. I would like to thank Mr. Issa and Mr. Nadler for their leadership on behalf of pre-1972 performers. Although not members of this committee, I would also like to thank Mr. Crowley and Mr. Rooney for their efforts on behalf of producers, mixers, and sound engineers.

And last but not least, I would like to thank Ranking
Member Nadler for his leadership on these issues and for his
willingness to partner with me in putting these pieces
together into a comprehensive and consensus music licensing
reform package.

Sometimes big pieces of legislation can come together only through the efforts of a large number of people who invest their time in making change happen, as so many members of this committee and so many stakeholders in the music and digital delivery communities have done. In fact, in only 1 week from today, Grammys on the Hill will bring hundreds of artists to D.C. to explain to their own member of Congress how important an updated licensing system is to them. By passing the Music Modernization Act out of committee today, the next stop is the House floor.

So we will certainly give them plenty to talk about, and I urge my colleagues to support this important bill.

It is now my pleasure to recognize the ranking member

| 384 | of the committee, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Nadler, |
|-----|--|
| 385 | who has worked so hard on this effort. |
| 386 | [The prepared statement of Chairman Goodlatte follows:] |
| 387 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******* |

Mr. Nadler. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am very pleased to partner with you, Mr. Chairman, on the Music Modernization Act. This comprehensive bill is comprised of several measures intended to resolve long-standing inequities and inefficiencies in the music marketplace.

Many thought this day might never come.

It has been 5 years since the Judiciary Committee, under Chairman Goodlatte's leadership, launched its comprehensive review of the Copyright Act. After holding numerous hearings, meetings, and roundtables, as well as considering various initiatives that I and other committee colleagues offered, we have finally come together in support of a common music policy agenda.

It is no small achievement that we have been able to resolve some very complex and sensitive issues to get to this point today. The bill we consider today is a combination of bills introduced by me and by others in this committee to address both sound recording and musical works. To address a number of issues governing the licensing of musical records, the package includes the Music Modernization Act, H.R. 4706, introduced by Mr. Collins and Mr. Jeffries.

That measure significantly reforms section 115 of the Copyright Act. For the first time, it would create a blanket license for mechanical reproduction royalties

administered by a single entity, which will help ensure proper payments to songwriters and publishers.

This new entity, the Mechanical Licensing Collective, or MLC -- for those who like initials -- would be paid for by the licensees, and it would create a database of ownership information, which will increase transparency and help identify music creators owed royalties. Importantly, this new system will end the flawed notice of intent process, which allows streaming services to play music without paying royalties for the musical work.

The bill also establishes a fair market rate standard for musical compositions under Section 115, and it repeals section 114(i), which prohibits rate-court judges from considering sound recording royalty rates as evidence when setting performance royalty rates for songwriters and composers. In addition, it would require judges to be randomly assigned for ASCAP and BMI rate-setting proceedings in the Southern District of New York.

A number of new provisions have been added to this version of the bill. Notably, the new Mechanical Licensing Collective will have to make public an annual report regarding how the collective operates and how royalties are collected and distributed. And the bill now clarifies that at the conclusion of the collective's dispute resolution process, an aggrieved party can bring a claim in Federal

438 District court.

Over the last few years, I have introduced the bipartisan Fair Play, Fair Pay Act, H.R. 1836, to create a uniform system for sound recordings. That levels the playing field for all radio services and ensures fair payment for all artists, regardless of when the music was recorded or where it is played. Three of the four major provisions of that measure are included in some form in the bill before us today.

First, the bill includes the CLASSICS Act, H.R. 3301, an updated pre-1972 provision introduced by Chairman Issa and myself. This bill resolves the long-standing dispute over payment to legacy artists for pre-1972 works played on digital radio platforms. It treats sound recordings made before and after 1972 the same, bringing them into the Federal copyright system, with 50 percent of the royalties going directly to the artist through sound exchange.

Likewise, the bill applies the same limitations for fair use, archiving, and presentation. The TEACH Act and those applicable under Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act and Section 521 of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act.

For too long, many of our Nation's great cultural icons have been unfairly denied compensation. That is why this measure is supported by the NAACP and by more than 300 major

artists, and I applaud digital services like Pandora for working so closely with us to correct this injustice.

The bill also establishes a uniform rate standard for digital public performance royalties. Internet radio would continue to pay fair market value, but now its competitors would too, as satellite radio would no longer be granted a below-market rate.

And the bill simplifies the allocation of royalty payments to producers and engineers, similar to the AMP Act, H.R. 831, introduced by our colleagues, Mr. Crowley and Mr. Rooney. Not only does this create efficiencies for artists, it recognizes in Federal copyright law the important contributions of producers and engineers to the creation of music.

Not included in this bill, unfortunately, is the creation of a terrestrial right, but this is solely a result of timing. Under our direction, the National Association of Broadcasters and the Music First Coalition are engaged in discussions on this issue. We do not want to wait and potentially lose the opportunity to resolve some other timely issues, but we are confident that the parties will continue to negotiate in good faith toward a solution that benefits both sides.

We are at a unique moment in time when major stakeholders on both sides prefer a Federal solution to many

of these issues than the status quo. Because of inadequacies and loopholes in the law, there has been litigation in Federal and State courts on a variety of fronts with mixed results.

This has put music creators' rights at risk and caused uncertainty for digital streaming services. It is in everyone's interest to come together to finally make some improvements to the Copyright Act.

And that is why today's bill is supported by a broad coalition that includes -- but is certainly not limited to - the Internet Association, SAG/AFTRA, and AFM, the National Music Publishers Association, the Recording Industry
Association of America, the Recording Academy, National Songwriters Association International, ASCAP and BMI, C3, A2
21M, Songwriters Guild of America, Songwriters of North America, Sound Exchange, and the Digital Media Association and its member companies, such as Pandora, Spotify, and Amazon.

This is an unprecedented level of consensus that hopefully marks a new era of collaboration. Like any compromise, this bill is not perfect. But it is a major improvement over current law. We are about to accomplish something that has not been done in decades. And I congratulate all of the parties for coming together.

Mr. Collins deserves a tremendous amount of credit, as

513 does Chairman Goodlatte, Chairman Issa, Mr. Jeffries, Mr. 514 Deutch, and all of the members who have worked so hard to 515 get us to this point. I look forward to continue working 516 with my colleagues to improve and advance this bill through 517 the process and enact it into law. 518 I urge all of my colleagues to support the Music 519 Modernization Act, and I yield back the balance of my time. 520 [The prepared statement of Mr. Nadler follows:] 521 ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

Chairman Goodlatte. Thank you, Mr. Nadler. I would now like to recognize the vice chairman of the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet, the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Collins -- who has made such a tremendous contribution to this effort -- for his opening statement.

Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Well, it has been a long time coming. For me, it goes back to a hope. It goes back to a dream. It goes back to being able to do what you love and to have it listened to and actually rewarded, for that creative spark is within each of us.

It spans music, its spans generations, and it spans everything. In fact, even when I was running this morning, I had a song come in that took me back to a place that I had not been in many years. This is more important than business. It is more important than legislation, but today we are legislating, and that is what is good about this place.

For all that is written and all that is even going to be written about today, with all of the intrigue of this Hill -- at the end of the day, it is about legislating and doing what is right. And for Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you for your work. I want to thank the ranking member for his work in bringing this hearing and bringing us together.

The Music Modernization Act is critically important to

updating our outdated and inefficient music licensing system. I introduced the original bill by the same name after years of judiciary hearings, dozens -- if not hundreds -- of meetings, and advice from Copyright Office.

With the partnership of Mr. Jeffries, Senator Hatch,
Senator Alexander, and others, we were able to craft
legislation that truly takes strides for songwriters and the
entire music ecosystem.

The bill represents unprecedented consensus. Groups that have not been able to agree in decades some together to make these improvements for the benefit of music creators, users, and lovers, and the bill builds on the reforms proposed by Chairman Smith more than 10 years ago, when he had the foresight to see that our music licensing laws were not reflective of today's realities.

I was sitting here today, and as I came up, I looked at this package of support and all of the groups. There were 50 groups and over 25,000 songwriters. And I thought to myself, just laughing inside -- because I can remember when I first met with some of these groups. We could not meet in the same room without having serious issues of life and consequence. But when you take the time to listen to each other, when you take the time to still believe that this is a great place, and that legislating can happen, that is truly a creative spark in and of itself.

I got into the music licensing issues after years of hearing from songwriters just how skewed the current system is. I introduced the Songwriter Equity Act the last two congresses and incorporated provisions of that bill into the Music Modernization Act to ensure songwriters were compensated more fairly for the use of their works.

This bill is a testament to the advocacy of songwriters and the importance of their voices. It is also a clear illustration of bipartisanship at its best. Mr. Jeffries and I worked side by side, and digital companies, and songwriters, and publishers bridged their own kind of partisan divide and also came together to make this a reality. Congressman Jeffries, thank you. You have shown, and this has shown how we can truly work together.

The committee product provides and combines the bills with the CLASSICS Act, the AMP Act, and the rate standardization provision as a testament to the work of not only the members I have mentioned above, but also to your work, and the work of Ranking Member Nadler, Mr. Issa, Mr. Crowley, and Mr. Rooney.

For those who have gotten to know me -- and many in this room -- that I am looking at now at a full hearing on copyright, you know this has become more than just a passing fancy for me. It has become something that means a great deal to me because as a trooper's kid from North Georgia,

the radio and books were my ticket to the world. It showed me places. It took me places, and still, to this day, shows me a better world. A turn of a phrase, and maybe just another brighter day. In moments of doubt, it gives me hope. In moments of sadness, it gives me joy. In moments of joy, sometimes, it reminds me of sadness to keep me grounded.

And for the folks who have come together to work on this bill, I want to thank so many of these groups. And there is literally a whole page-and-a-half here. But NMPA, DiMA, ASCAP, BMI, Sona, NASI, Songwriters Guild, RIAA Recording Academy, and many others, thank you for your help.

But Mr. Chairman, there are many times that members are unduly thanked and, also, frankly, sometimes unduly criticized. But there is one thing true about this bill. I have a personal office that I believe truly is my family, extended. And over the past year, after taking the challenge of coming together, we did that for the past few years -- and especially this last year. I do not know, amazingly, how we got other bills passed, which we have. But my legislative director, Sally Rose; my chief-of-staff, Brendan have put over probably 1,000 hours into a single piece of legislation.

They have worked with other offices. They have went with places. They have listened to hearings. They have

listened to everybody. They would call me in when I needed to come in, and I sat through hours, and we moved it on.

But to the two of them, and to a community sitting behind you, words are not enough. So, I just want to say thank you to the two of you for keeping this going.

And for those of you sitting in this audience, dreams can come true. Hope is still alive when we come together and listen to each other. For the rest of the world, this may not seem like a big deal.

But as I said, from that dais down there, just a little while back -- a few years ago -- if we look for an answer for 10 to 15 years out, there are going to be people listening to music -- new music -- and songs that will

[The prepared statement of Mr. Collins follows:]

change their life because of what this committee and what

you have done today. With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

638 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman very much, and I would now like to recognize Mr. Jeffries of New York for his opening statement.

Mr. Jeffries. Let me first just thank Chairman Goodlatte and Ranking Member Nadler for your incredibly important leadership in bringing us together and allowing us to arrive at this moment, in terms of the compilation of incredibly important bills that will be before this committee on today.

I also want to thank my good friend, Doug Collins, for his friendship, for his partnership, and for his extraordinary leadership in connection with this effort. It is an effort that began, initially, with the Songwriter Equity Act, years ago -- an effort that involved working on 115 reform, an effort that ultimately resulted in the introduction of the Music Modernization Act last year. No singular person did more to bring all that together than my good friend Representative Collins, and I am thankful for that relationship that has been developed.

It proves, in an era of chaos, crisis, and confusion, dysfunction -- and certainly, we have issues that we have got to work out -- that when you bring together a coalition of what I like to call "the unusual suspects," people on the left and people on the right, a Conservative from rural Georgia, a Progressive Democrat from the People's Republic

of Brooklyn. When you bring together a coalition of the unusual suspects, things under this capital dome can get done. And music is an incredibly important thing for us to work on. It is perhaps the most powerful medium of communication in the world.

Music, when you think about it, is really a soundtrack for the lives of all Americans, for the lives of people all across the world, because it is music that will mark moments of love. It is music that will mark moments of loss. It is music that will mark of living life to its fullest. And it is music, of course, that brings people together -- regardless of race, regardless of region, regardless of religion, regardless of party affiliation.

Music and the brilliant creators throughout the entire ecosystem -- who have been involved in this legislative process, who have come together as an industry and then reached out beyond your industry to come together with leaders in the digital space, and with the positive involvement of the broadcasters to arrive at this moment.

And so, I am thankful to have played some modest part in what really is an effort that was collective across every spectrum within this Congress and outside of this Congress.

I appreciate, again, the leadership of Chairman

Goodlatte, the leadership of Jerry Nadler, certainly the

leadership of Doug Collins, and look forward to moving this

| 689 | bill out of committee onto the floor, and ultimately getting | |
|-----|--|--|
| 690 | it to 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue so it can be signed into law. | |
| 691 | I yield back. | |
| 692 | [The prepared statement of Mr. Jeffries follows:] | |
| | | |
| 693 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ****** | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Chairman Goodlatte. Thank you, Mr. Jeffries. I would now like to recognize the chairman of the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet, Mr. Issa of California, for his opening statement.

Mr. Issa. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And since so many have said so much so well, I will be brief. I would like to thank Ranking Member Nadler for being an instrumental partner in so many parts of this bill, but particularly in the case of the CLASSICS -- as we call it -- Act. No part could seem more unfair than the date of a copyright making all the difference in the world about whether or not you actually have rights that were enshrined in the Constitution able to be enforced.

So, Mr. Chairman, I want to take just a point of personal privilege and thank you for bringing together what you said, quite accurately, are a lot of individual members on both sides of the aisles. Hard work -- but that hard work would not have been fruitful if you had not, for years, dragged us all over the country from one listening session to another, into this room for one hearing after another -- from which each of these pieces of legislation matured. And so, you know, once in every couple of decades, a piece of legislation like this comes to the committee and is reported successful out.

And I do not want to jinx anything, but it looks like

this could be one of those once in every couple of decades' events, and we owe it all to you. So, Mr. Chairman, thank you. Mr. Nadler, you know, you know you are ranking member because of the CLASSICS Act, you know? When we started this, you were just a subcommittee. But you know, you do the right thing, you get promoted around here. And with that, I yield back.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Issa follows:]

727 ******* COMMITTEE INSERT *******

728 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman, 729 even for his overstatement of my involvement. But I do want 730 to say to everyone in this room that there are people here 731 in this audience that I have known for many, many years, and 732 people on both sides -- sitting on the sides and behind me -733 - staff members who have made a tremendous contribution to 734 this. 735 Mr. Issa. Chairman, they are out in the hallway. This 736 thing is backed up a long way. 737 Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman for his 738 remarks. This is a collective effort of a lot of people. 739 But I thank him. 740 And I also want to recognize the ranking member of the 741 Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and Internet, 742 the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Johnson, for his opening 743 statement. 744 Mr. Johnson of Georgia. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This 745 is indeed legacy legislation. It is a legacy 746 accomplishment. I want to congratulate Congressman 747 Goodlatte, Congressman Nadler, Congressman Collins, 748 Congressman Jeffries, Congressman Issa, as well as 749 Congressman Crowley and Rooney for this legislation. I am 750 proud to join as a cosponsor and support the Music 751 Modernization Act. This bill is long overdue, and I am 752 happy that the bill update key provisions of U.S. copyright

law for music licensing. This is a tremendous effort, and I look forward to continuing to work with all interested parties to make these changes to the copyright law.

These updates to copyright law are critical because the royalty system has not kept up with changes that have occurred in the digital age. One thing that became clear as we reviewed music licensing issues is that the laws needed to be updated. A number of bills have been previously introduced to get us to this point today, and now we are taking the next stop to markup this bill, which combines aspects of previous bills and will be a significant update to the music licensing law.

This bill will reform Section 115 of the Copyright Act by improving how songwriters are paid and establishing a collective to over blanket licenses to streaming services for mechanical rights. I am pleased that the bill includes provisions to provide compensation for pre-1972 legacy artist and ensures that record producers, sound engineers, and other creative professionals receive compensation for their work. And by the way, the stakeholders who represent all of the crafts that are involved in music: I want to congratulate you all also for your contribution to this legislation.

During our hearings about music licensing over the years, we have heard from many artists that, most recently,

at our field hearing in New York, we heard from Dionne
Warwick about the importance of compensating legacy artists.
An important part of this bill would benefit artists and
music creators who recorded music before 1972 by
establishing royalty payments whenever their music is played
on digital radio. Currently, only sound recordings made
after 1972 receive payments from digital radio services
under Federal law. As Mrs. Warwick noted at the hearing,
this is just not right and it needs to be fixed.

I am also happy that this bill includes language to benefit producers. The role of producers is often not understood by the general public, but their role is critical to bringing recordings to life. This bill would, for the first time, add producers and engineers -- who play an important role in the creation of sound recordings -- to the U.S. copyright law. The bill provides producers a right to collect digital royalties and provides a process for studio professionals to receive royalties for their contributions to the creation of music.

This legislation is supported by music organizations representing U.S. music publishers, record labels, songwriters, composers, artists, and performance rights organizations. I am glad we have gotten to this point where interested music groups have come together to support this bill. And I urge all of my colleagues to vote for this

| 803 | bill. Again, I want to recognize the hard work and |
|-----|---|
| 804 | dedication of the people who sponsored this legislation and |
| 805 | worked hard to bring it to this point. And I yield back the |
| 806 | balance of my time. |
| 807 | [The prepared statement of Mr. Johnson of Georgia |
| 808 | follows:] |
| | |
| 809 | ****** COMMITTEE INSERT ****** |

810 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman. 811 Are there any amendments to H.R. 5447? For what purpose 812 does the gentleman from Texas seek recognition? 813 Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just simply 814 want to say I think this markup is a rare opportunity for us 815 -- as individuals and as a committee. Those of us who have 816 worked on copyright legislation over the years know how 817 difficult it is to advance substantive changes to copyright 818 laws, and this is especially true with music licensing laws. 819 Music licensing legislation requires the broad bipartisan 820 support of members and a consensus among stakeholders to win 821 approval. That is exactly what we had today with the Music 822 Modernization Act. 823 Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you and all the efforts 824 you have made to get to this point today. And I also want 825 to thank my colleagues and the stakeholders, who have been 826 so involved for so many years as well in negotiating this 827 final product. Finally, a reminder to my colleagues that it 828 is not too late to cosponsor this legislation if they want 829 to do so. 830 With that, Mr. Chairman, I will yield back. And again, 831 thank you for all your efforts. 832 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman. 833 For what purpose does the gentleman from Rhode Island seek 834 recognition?

Mr. Cicilline. Mr. Chairman, move to strike the last word.

Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Cicilline. Mr. Chairman, I want to begin by thanking you and Ranking Member Nadler, Congressman Issa, Mr. Collins, Mr. Deutch, and of course Mr. Jeffries for your leadership on this important bill. It is a rare moment that we have a Judiciary Committee where we have worked together so well in a bipartisan way to produce such a good product on behalf of the American people. I want to thank everyone who has been part of this effort. Thank you for bringing this bill to the floor.

I know many people in the audience have worked on this bill for a very long time, and I think there were moments where everyone wondered, "Is it ever going to actually happen?" But I think what today's markup proves is that the time that was invested in the determination and the willingness of people in the industry to work together to produce a product that will ensure that the music continues and that our hearts can continue to sing because of the production of great music was well worth the effort.

This bill provides effective and fair royalty payment directives. It recognizes and properly rewards our country's legacy artists. It provides for fair outcomes for songwriters and composers, and it reflects the hard work,

and the commitment, and the determination of the entire music industry and its digital service providers. And very importantly, it benefits our constituents and the people of this country, and the people of the world who benefit from the production and sharing of beautiful music.

And so, today really represents the culmination of a lot of hard work. And I really want to say thank you to my colleagues on the committee. Thank you to the representatives of the industry who are here. Because of you, the music will continue to play and our hearts will continue to dance.

And as Mr. Jeffries said, we should use this moment to think about -- the real power of music is that it brings people together with very different views, very different political ideologies, very different ethnic and religious backgrounds, to recognize their common humanity.

And I hope the enactment of this bill will be the beginning of some recognition of our common humanity to work together on behalf of the American people and the world.

So, with that, I say vote for this bill. I am proud to be a cosponsor. And I yield back.

Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman. For what purpose does the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Poe, seek recognition?

Mr. Poe. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Poe. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In theory, I think that government should be out of this whole situation. As a former judge, I would have liked to have seen the parties come in a room, and the door is locked, until they get a verdict -- like with trials that I have tried for 22 years. But in reality, government is involved. And so, because of that -- Mr. Chairman, I will vote for the legislation. I know you are giving me that puzzled look.

I am in favor of the legislation, because everybody basically kind of agrees on that. Not totally, but mostly. And it will ensure for me personally that I will get to hear Waylon Jennings and Willie Nelson -- "Blue Eyes Crying in the Rain." And that is the bottom line, Mr. Chairman. And so, I support the legislation, and it is great that we all agree on that. Maybe we should re-evaluate our position. But anyway, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

Chairman Goodlatte. Would the gentleman yield?
Mr. Poe. Yes, sir.

Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I just was amused by the gentleman's comment. If you were me, or Mr. Collins, or Mr. Nadler, or Mr. Jeffries, you would feel like you have been locked in a room for a long time to get to this point right now. And some of these people here with us today, I think, have that same feeling.

910 But thank you. 911 Mr. Poe. Amen. 912 Chairman Goodlatte. For what purpose does the 913 gentlewoman from California seek recognition? 914 Ms. Lofgren. To strike the last word. 915 Chairman Goodlatte. The gentlewoman is recognized for 916 5 minutes. 917 Ms. Lofgren. Mr. Chairman, I do support this bill and 918 look forward to voting for it. I did want to raise two 919 issues, however, in the hopes that, in the future, we can 920 address them, because we were not able to. Actually, just 921 one issue. 922 Title 2 of the bill tackles an important problem, which 923 is that legacy artists should be fairly compensated for the 924 use of their works. However, it falls short, in terms of 925 full federalization, which the Copyright Office also agrees 926 with. And in an important way, this bill does not go 100 927 percent of where it should go, which is to provide 928 termination rights for the legacy artists. 929 Termination allows artists, after a period of time, to 930 recall the full rights to their creation, regardless of any 931 contract to the contrary. This right was given to all 932 artists except for the legacy recording artists being 933 discussed today. 934 And I do think, having met with -- over the years -- a

number of people and groups who were caught into really abusive contracts when they were young, and then, you know, now they hit it big, but they are still stuck with that old contract. And I think the ability to terminate and renegotiate is an important one. I am going to support this bill, even though this is not included. But I did want to raise the issue, because I think it is important. And maybe, at some point, we will deal with that.

I also wanted to mention that the Justice Department's antitrust division recently completed a multiyear review of the ASCAP and BMI consent decrees and concluded -- and this is a quote -- "The current system has well-served music creators and music users for decades and should remain intact." Now, I understand that the antitrust division just -- I think less than 2 years later -- is now looking to whether they should re-re-re-review these two consent decrees.

And I think it is important to note that this bill, the Music Modernization Act, does not tamper with these consent decrees. And without the consent decrees, ASCAP and BMI, as aggregators of performance rights, who could wield enormous market power, certainly would not survive the scrutiny under section 1 of the Sherman Act. So, I think to the extent that we are able to give a communication to DOJ on this important matter, we should let them know, "Do not mess this

960 up."

With that, Mr. Chairman, I really want to thank you and Mr. Nadler, and all of the others who worked on this contentious problem. It may not be an issue of war and peace, but it is important to the country and to the artists involved, and to music listeners. It is not easy to come up with a bill that people can have consensus on and move forward. So I do give you tremendous credit and thanks for that. And I look forward to voting for this and yield back the balance of my time.

Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentlewoman. For what purpose does gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr.

972 | Marino, seek recognition?

Mr. Marino. Strike last word.

974 Chairman Goodlatte. Gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Marino. Thank you, Chairman. First of all, I want to thank everyone involved. But the late great Howard Coble trained me when I was vice chair of intellectual property. And I do miss him, but I learned a great deal from him and we were addressing issues like this back then. But what sticks out in my mind is -- now I am a Motown guy, I love Motown music. You know, Gladys Knight, James Brown, The Temptations, The Four Tops. I can go on and on. But one of the highlights of my life here as a congressman, and you will recall, when you are at a wedding or at a party and

nobody's on the dance floor, the moment they put a Motown song on, everybody's out there. My 84-year-old mother was out there. My 16-year-old nephew was out there.

But I had the opportunity to meet with Otis Redding's daughter, I think it was. Otis Redding wrote "Sitting on the Dock of the Bay." It was a hit after he passed, a well-deserved hit. His family received no money because of the legislation. And then, in the same room was Martha Reeves of Martha and the Vandellas. And I got an opportunity to sing a little part of her song and actually dance with her in my office. So, this is long time coming and as a Motown guy still today, I want to thank everyone for what they have done. And with that, I yield back.

Chairman Goodlatte. Would the gentleman yield?
Mr. Marino. Yes, sir.

Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman, particularly for remembering for all of us our dear friend Howard Coble. We wish he were here with us today, but his enthusiasm for copyright law is well-known to people here and elsewhere. And I thank you for thinking of him. I also want to mention, we have talked about our staff. I want to mention that 5 and a half years ago, or almost 5 and a half years ago I hired Joe Keeley, the chief counsel of the subcommittee. And he has worked diligently. All those hearings that we inflicted on so many people all over the

country and here, Joe organized each and every one of those and has been instrumental in pulling all this work together. So I want to thank him as well.

For what purpose does the gentlewoman from Texas seek recognition?

Ms. Jackson Lee. To strike the last word.

Chairman Goodlatte. The gentlewoman is recognized.

Ms. Jackson Lee. The first point that I will make is that it is an easing sound of good music that I hear today coming out of the Judiciary Committee. And let no one say that we cannot, in a bipartisan manner, musically fix a very important and crucial part of the American economy.

I think this is a point that we in judiciary sometimes do not reflect on as much as we should. Much of the work that we do does have an effect on the American economy and even the psychic, if you will, of the American people. My good friend mentioned his affinity for Motown and I would argue that he would have to get in line for the number of Americans who have an affinity for Motown or for music period.

Last evening, I had the privilege of introducing the Fort Worth Symphony Orchestra at the Kennedy Center. Music in all of its phases is part of the economic engine of this Nation. And the artists, songwriters, publishers are all components of it. So let me thank the authors of the

legislation, gentleman from Georgia, the gentleman from New York, our ranking member, Mr. Nadler, Chairman Mr. Goodlatte, Mr. Issa, Mr. Johnson, our previous ranking member who worked very hard on these efforts.

These are exemplary efforts exhibited by the music industry in this instance, with the goal of solving problems and addressing a wide variety of stakeholder concerns. The need for this legislation is clear. Much of the current licensing system was established in analog, nondigital, physical recordings, song-by-song era using compulsory licenses first established in 1909.

I think every city wants to claim that it is a music city. I have claimed that Houston Texas is the gospel capital of the world. Austin debates us all the time that they are the music city of the world. And I would argue that Texas, there is no better music than Texas. And of course, other States and cities will argue quite the contrary.

But artists who recorded works prior to 1972 did not receive any digital performance royalties under Federal law and current statute does not ensure that nonrecording artists such as producers, sound engineers, mixers receive revenue from webcasts of their work. We know there is a patchwork of rules that govern the industry. But the good news in this legislation is that Congress is fulfilling its

duty to provide order and guidance to a faulty program currently in place.

We are looked upon as an innovative Nation. There is no place in the world that you can go where you will not hear the music from the United States of America. Probably some people would be confused and think that The Beatles came from the United States of America except for, of course, Great Britain saying otherwise.

Congress significantly amended the act in 1995, when I first came to Congress with the Digital Performance Write Right in Sound Recordings Act, in 1998 the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. But what we are doing here today is very important. First, a proposition that is supported by both the majority of song writers and publishers, two groups that have rarely ever agreed. It is a great effort that results with respect to the consensus created against groups who are more comfortable suing each other.

That is why I am saying there is a harmonious sound coming. And I want to congratulate the industry persons. And I want them to continue to work with us on a number of issues. It modernizes the process and brings music and licensing into the 21st century. Instead of bulk notice of intentions, the tree-killing process of sending actual physical letters of intent to each publisher for each share of each song, licensing will be done electronically.

Third, it puts the unclaimed royalties in the hands of content community rather than sitting with the digital service providers. I really think that is crucial. Content is the basis of our music and what we admire, and what we are either comforted by, or joyfully dance to, or just listen as we are on the Nation's highways and byways.

Fourth, it finally creates a database that everyone can agree on. Among other things, confidence will grow in the markets and boost the economy. And so, I believe that what we have created in the Music Modernization Act creates a formalized body run by publishers that administers the mechanical licenses of a composition streamed on services like Spotify, Apple Music. These companies are referred to.

I want to just say, in conclusion, and I ask unanimous consent to put my entire statement into the record, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Goodlatte. Without objection.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Jackson Lee follows:]

****** COMMITTEE INSERT ******

Ms. Jackson Lee. But I want to say in conclusion, the evidentiary standard that this bill creates by which the performance rights organizations, American Society of Composers, Authors, and Publishers in Broadcast Music, can argue for better rates for performance of works on DSP, is something that I think will be extremely helpful.

But I do want to indicate that I hope that we will, since many of the people who came to my office over the years, will look at those legendary artists, as Ms. Lofgren has indicated. And I know that the good minds in this committee will recognize their economic contributions as well.

And then, I will talk to the authors of this bill for a perspective study that will analyze the impact of legislation and to give us guidance on whether we should go further and how we should go further. And maybe, in response to Mr. Collins, a little quicker than we have done before since we have developed this harmonious sound of beautiful music coming from the House Judiciary Committee.

No matter what breaking news goes today, I think the headlines in The Wall Street Journal, The Washington Post, and The New York Times should indicate the bipartisan musical genius that has come from the House Judiciary Committee in H.R. 5447 that all of us have contributed to, the Music Modernization Act of 2018.

1129 Mr. Chairman and the ranking member, I hope you will 1130 write that story for those major newspapers. I yield back. 1131 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks gentlewoman. For 1132 what purpose does gentleman from Tennessee seek recognition? 1133 Mr. Cohen. To strike the last word and continue on 1134 with this litany of thanks and good wishes and good will. 1135 Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is certainly 1136 recognized. 1137 Mr. Cohen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As the 1138 congressman from Memphis, which is the city of Elvis 1139 Presley, which John Lennon errantly said, "Before Elvis, 1140 there was nothing," because before Elvis there was Chuck 1141 Berry. There was Little Richard. And there was Sam 1142 Phillips, who made Elvis, a producer. 1143 Memphis is the city of Elvis, Graceland, where Paul 1144 Simon came and did Graceland. Even Warren Zevon came and 1145 did "Porcelain Monkeys" and a few other songs related to 1146 that. And it is also Stax Records, where Isaac Hayes did 1147 "Shaft. He is a bad hush your mouth." And Alex Chilton said 1148 worse at Arden Studios in starting punk rock and songs like 1149 that. 1150 So, Memphis has got a long history and we are proud of 1151 Sam and Dave, but a lot of music came out of Memphis. it. 1152 And this act will help a lot of the older entertainers who 1153 did work and have not been compensated as they should have

1154 been. Hopefully, we can get the radio work done one day 1155 where they get paid for broadcasting on the radio. It is 1156 not fair that they do not get paid. That should happen. 1157 So I want to thank everybody who has been thanked. And 1158 I want to thank everybody who has not been thanked. And all 1159 the members of the Academy, and my agent. And I yield back 1160 the balance of my time. 1161 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks gentleman. 1162 what purpose does gentleman from Florida, Mr. Deutch seek 1163 recognition? 1164 Mr. Deutch. I move to strike the last word. 1165 Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized. 1166 Mr. Deutch. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is a pleasure 1167 to be at this point in House Judiciary Committee marking up 1168 these much-needed consensus reforms. And I, too, want to 1169 thank the chairman and ranking member, Congressman Collins, 1170 Jeffreys, Issa, Cohen, Crowley, and Rooney. 1171 These changes have been a long time coming. The spring 1172 of 2013 is ancient history in the music world. Bebe Rexha, 1173 Dua Lipa, Post Malone, Migos, and Cardi B -- all current 1174 chart toppers -- none of them had broken into the hot 100 in 1175 the spring of 2013. Taylor Swift, Prince, and Bob Seger 1176 were not yet on Spotify. But Congress moves at a slower 1177 pace than the music world. 1178 In the spring of 2013, the House Judiciary Committee

had just announced its comprehensive review of U.S. copyright law and began to study the issues related to the new digital platforms that had proven the most difficult to resolve until that point.

New technologies proved to be both a blessing and a curse. They have offered new ways to access content, convenient, omnipresent, and efficient streaming. They have also created new threats to copyrighted works, stolen tracks and peer-to-peer networks, dramatic losses in sales of physical albums, and a dangerous perception still by too many that creative content on the internet should be free.

But the value in creative works as a property right that vests in their creators is one with roots in the Constitution. It is important for all us to remember. And it is beneficial not just to artists and other creators for Congress to strongly protect those rights.

According to the International Intellectual Property
Alliance, copyright industries add over \$2 trillion to the
U.S. economy, accounting for over 11 percent of our GDP.
And copyright industries employ over 11 million workers at
wages that are more than 20 percent above the national
average. To protect copyright, Congress must act as new
technology presents new opportunities for distribution and
access to creative works.

All the music you can want, always in your pocket,

wirelessly, freed from concerns about storage. This was unimaginable just 20 years ago. That is when the DMCA made the last major revisions to the copyright law. In that time technology companies and copyright owners have been engaged in legal battles as the rules of creative works online have been drafted, implemented, and interpreted. These battles, in an ever-changing landscape, have complicated Congress' ability to make additional changes to clarify and update the law.

In the course of its more than 20 hearings in Washington and on our nationwide listening tour that included stops in Nashville and Los Angeles, Silicon Valley and New York, the Judiciary Committee has received comments from interested stakeholders and coalitions representing every aspect of the music industry and digital service providers.

This would generally be the point where things break down. Consensus on copyright has been difficult to forge between the various interests represented in the content and tech communities. But we have consensus, and we are here today. Much of that consensus has been born out of true necessity, the technological demands of licensing tens of millions of songs on streaming services. And much of it has been born out of basic principles of fairness. Recording artists, songwriters, producers, and engineers deserve to be

paid for their genius. Classic artists deserve to be paid for their classic music. And digital service providers deserve more certainty in their operations. And the current system is broken.

As someone who cares so much about music and the incredible people who are part of making it, and who understands the importance of the intersection of technology and creative works that benefits all American music fans, Mr. Chairman, I want to tell you I feel privileged to be part of the process of modernizing our copyright laws.

The new Music Modernization Act does not include everything that I have supported to bring fairness and 21st century sophistication to the copyright laws, but it does take significant steps toward meeting those goals. I am hopeful that the Music Modernization Act will ensure that we all continue to benefit from the amazing artists of today and yesterday, and that we all benefit from the innovative technologies that bring them into our lives.

Again, I want to congratulate Congressman Collins,
Congressman Jeffries, the chairman, and ranking member, and
all who were so deeply involved in working on this issue.
And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

1251 Chairman Goodlatte. Would the gentleman yield?

1252 Mr. Deutch. Certainly.

1253 Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman for

1254 yielding. He makes a very good point regarding the private 1255 property right protected in our Constitution for the 1256 creators of these works. And, you know this, which takes 1257 great strides to make sure that everyone in that creative 1258 community gets a better treatment in sharing the rewards of 1259 their creativity, helps to unify them in a way that I think 1260 will help further combat the problem of competing with free. 1261 So I think the gentleman makes a very good, I think. 1262 Mr. Deutch. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1263 Chairman Goodlatte. For what purpose does gentleman 1264 from California, Ms. Bass, seek recognition? 1265 Ms. Bass. I would like to strike the last word. 1266 Chairman Goodlatte. Gentlewoman is recognized. 1267 Ms. Bass. Let me join all of my colleagues in thanking 1268 the chairman and the ranking member, and the members on this 1269 committee that played a key role in bringing this 1270 legislation to us. 1271 As everybody says, you know, there is home to the music 1272 industry. Well, Los Angeles certainly is home to numerous 1273 music industry businesses. And I just wanted to take my 1274 time to really thank my constituents. Because what I 1275 learned in the course of this is that there are many 1276 constituents in my district who are songwriters, who are 1277 composers, who are music publishers. 1278 And they took the time over a number of years to really

teach me about the industry and to teach me about the plight that many songwriters and composers have faced. It was tragic to me. I listened to my colleague, Mr. Marino, talk about Motown. I love Motown as well. But it was sad to learn that a lot of the artists that we love and remember all the words to wind up living in poverty, because the laws were outdated.

And so, constituents took the time to teach me all the different phases of the industry, how a song is written, produced, who makes the profits, and who does not make the profits. And so, I am happy that after a very long time, this bill is finally up for discussion and a markup. And I am excited to see it move forward, and hope that it moves as quickly forward in the Senate as it does in the House. And I yield back.

Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks gentlewoman. What purpose does the gentleman from Louisiana, Mr. Richmond, seek recognition?

Mr. Richmond. Mr. Chairman, and I will just be brief. Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Richmond. And that is to thank the committee and acknowledge what we are doing here in terms of protecting creativity and representing New Orleans, the birth place of jazz. I thought it was very important to acknowledge that and acknowledge that now I can go home in peace. I have so

1304 many musicians and families that this is important to. And 1305 the legacy that comes out of New Orleans, whether we are 1306 talking about Louis Armstrong or Fats Domino, to current day 1307 Trombone Shorty or Harry Connick, Jr., or our musical 1308 families, the Marsalis's, the Batiste's, the Jordan's, or if 1309 we get to hip-hop, Lil Wayne and Big Freedia, it does not 1310 really matter -- and Professor Longhair and the Neville 1311 Brothers. 1312 But the important part is that many kids in New Orleans 1313 and across this country look to music and their creativity. 1314 And today we did something to protect their interests in it, 1315 and to make sure that it is an industry that will continue 1316 to survive, but that our musicians can strive. And that our 1317 engineers and all associated with making great music are truly part of the team. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, I will 1318 1319 yield back. Thank you. 1320 Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman. 1321 For what purpose does gentlewoman from Alabama seek 1322 recognition? 1323 Mrs. Roby. I move to strike the last word. 1324 Chairman Goodlatte. The gentlewoman is recognized. 1325 Mrs. Roby. Just very quickly, it was 20 years ago 1326 actually that I graduated from NYU as a music business 1327 major. And I will tell you that, what a privilege, Mr. 1328 Chairman, that you asked me to join this committee at this

historic moment. I am an appropriator, but I have the privilege of also serving on the Judiciary Committee. And I just want to say, talk about life coming full circle. But to be here in this moment, on this day, in this historic piece of legislation, I just, too, want to thank all of those who came together.

I am showing up a bit late in some ways, but at the same time I am proud to support this legislation and I just want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and all of those on the

Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentlewoman. For what purpose does gentleman from New York seek recognition?

committee that had a hand in this. What a great privilege

to be a part of this today. And I yield back.

Mr. Jeffries. I move to strike the last word.

Chairman Goodlatte. Gentleman is recognized.

Mr. Jeffries. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And just briefly, you know, this is an incredible moment of bipartisanship on an important issue in terms of music modernization. And you made a point earlier that I think is incredible important, and Congressman Deutch made this point as well, that what we are doing essentially is anchored in our constitutional responsibilities. Article I, section 8, clause 8 of the United States Constitution is what gives the Congress the power to create a robust intellectual property

system to promote the progress of science and useful arts.

In the words of our Founding Fathers, in their brilliance, they understood that we should give inventors and creators the opportunity to benefit from the fruits of their labor in order that their creative brilliance can be shared with the world. And that is what we are doing right now. And it is an important moment. And I would suggest that we should build upon this, once we are able to successfully get this done, and do as much as we can to continue this effort of modernizing our copyright laws moving forward.

And as you know, in 2013 there was a report from Registrar of Copyrights entitled, "Copyright Small Claims" and it made clear that there were still some small copyright owners, most often visual artists, who had modest claims but were unable to really vindicate their rights under law.

Because on the one hand, you just had the notice in takedown vehicle, which not everyone could take advantage of. On the other hand, you had an Article III Federal court case that was often out of reach because of its expense or its complexity.

And so, the suggestion was made that we should create an alternative vehicle for these individuals. That is what was captured in the CASE Act with support from Congressman Collins, Congressman Marino, that would create for some

copyright owners, sort of, a mechanism for a fair, timely, affordable opportunity to vindicate their rights within the Copyright Claims Board voluntary situation limiting to \$30,000 in damages.

And whether it is the CASE Act or the other things that Congressman Nadler and Congressman Deutch and others have mentioned, let's seize this moment, get this done, and continue to lift up Article I, section 8, clause 8 of the United States Constitution consistent with the guidance that our Founders have brilliantly given to us. And hopefully we can work in partnership in that regard.

Chairman Goodlatte. Would the gentleman yield?
Mr. Jeffries. Yes.

Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentleman for his very hard work on this issue. Small claims is very important.

As we have talked here today, I remember back when Mrs. Roby was in college in your city, I was locked in a small room with a lot of people who are still in this audience working on the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. And you are right. One of the things that should not be forgotten and needs to be addressed is how individuals with a small claim can get justice in this process.

So copyright small claims is a very important issue.

And that is especially vital to the protection of intellectual property for photographers, visual artists.

And just like my colleague from New York, I and many other members of this committee support a copyright small claims system that provides for a fair process to resolve disputes that arise under title 17. The latest draft of your legislation has included significant safeguards to ensure that a small claims system will be fair and efficient, while putting into place safeguards to avoid abuse.

And by the way, while we talk about the importance of protecting the rights of creators, what we are doing here today and what your bill is intended to do, also protects the rights of the people who buy that creative work or license the creative work. Because they also do not want to compete with free. They are trying to do something with the creative community that monetizes their creation and they have got to get a return on their efforts as well. I think your work is striking the right balance there and I look forward to working with you to bring your bill up for markup soon.

1422 Mr. Nadler. Would the chairman yield?

1423 Mr. Jeffries. Yes.

1424 Chairman Goodlatte. I think he has time.

Mr. Nadler. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Jeffries. Certainly. Let me first just thank the chairman for his leadership on this effort and look forward to partnering together to bring this to markup soon. And I

will now yield the balance of my time to Congressman Nadler.

Mr. Nadler. I thank the gentleman for yielding. Mr. Chairman, I am a cosponsor of Mr. Jeffries' bill, the Copyright Alternative in Small Claims Enforcement Act, which would provide copyright owners with an alternative to the expensive process of bringing infringement claims in Federal court. I want to thank Mr. Jeffries for his leadership on this issue.

The high cost of litigation often makes copyright enforcement difficult for professional creators who rely on their copyrights to support their careers. This bill creates a copyright claims board that would provide creators with a less expensive forum to enforce their copyrights. It would be within the U.S. Copyright Office, and the jurisdiction would be limited to civil cases with a cap of \$30,000 in damages.

The bill would help, in particular, photographers, filmmakers, musicians, song-writers, authors, and other creators. For that reason, it enjoys broad support from many organizations representing these types of creators. I support the committee bringing this bill up for markup in, hopefully, the near future. And I look forward to working with my colleagues to advance this bill, especially after we pass the bill in front of the committee today. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I yield back.

| 1454 | Chairman Goodlatte. The chair thanks the gentleman |
|------|---|
| 1455 | from New York. Does the gentleman from New York yield back? |
| 1456 | That is the critical question. |
| 1457 | Mr. Jeffries. Yes, I yield back. |
| 1458 | Chairman Goodlatte. Thank you. For what purpose does |
| 1459 | gentleman from Texas, Mr. Gohmert, seek recognition? |
| 1460 | Mr. Gohmert. Move to strike the last word. |
| 1461 | Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized. |
| 1462 | Mr. Gohmert. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And this has |
| 1463 | been many years in coming. I have been on the committee |
| 1464 | since 2005, so 13 years. But I appreciate the way the |
| 1465 | chairman has handled this and bringing people together. And |
| 1466 | it has been a real pleasure to work with people on both |
| 1467 | sides of the aisle. And I know there are so many people |
| 1468 | that have been thanked and could be thanked. |
| 1469 | But I want to say a word of recognition for the |
| 1470 | President of ASCAP, Paul Williams. He is my second favorite |
| 1471 | Democrat in the whole world. And he has been working toward |
| 1472 | this for many years. And he has done an amazing job of |
| 1473 | bringing people together. And I just appreciate all the |
| 1474 | work that has been done. And I hope that we will now |
| 1475 | finally be able to get this into law. Thank you. I yield |
| 1476 | back. |
| 1477 | Chairman Goodlatte. Would the gentleman yield? Do I |
| 1478 | dare ask who your favorite Democrat is since he is your |

| 1479 | second favorite? |
|------|--|
| 1480 | Mr. Gohmert. That would be my sister. |
| 1481 | Chairman Goodlatte. Oh, very good. Very good. For |
| 1482 | what purpose does the gentleman from California, Mr. |
| 1483 | Swalwell, seek recognition? |
| 1484 | Mr. Swalwell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I will |
| 1485 | say, Mr. Chairman, I support this bill. I appreciated |
| 1486 | having the opportunity to go to Nashville and watch |
| 1487 | songwriters and artists and performers in studios |
| 1488 | collaborate and come together and see how music is made and |
| 1489 | makes its way to the airwaves. And here we also came |
| 1490 | together and collaborated over many years. |
| 1491 | And so, I thank my colleagues on our side and on your |
| 1492 | side, Mr. Chairman, for bringing this mashup of the Music |
| 1493 | Modernization Act, the CLASSICS Act, and the AMP act before |
| 1494 | us and, hopefully, to passage. You know, it does I think |
| 1495 | reflect the best of what this committee could do. |
| 1496 | But while inside this committee room, we see something |
| 1497 | that is as beautiful as Mozart's "Requiem Mass in D Minor," |
| 1498 | outside this committee, Mr. Chairman, I hear "O Fortuna." I |
| 1499 | hear the drumbeats of a very reckless President who |
| 1500 | threatens the rule of law. And so, I hope that this |
| 1501 | committee immediately can come together and do all we can to |
| 1502 | put legislation in place immediately to protect the Special |
| 1503 | Counsel's prosecution, as our President, as we speak, |

1504 threatens to fire Special Counsel Mueller and his supervisor 1505 Rod Rosenstein. 1506 We should not fiddle, Mr. Chairman, while Rome burns. 1507 This is one of the only committees in Congress that is able 1508 to actually protect the rule of law and the independence 1509 that the Department of Justice must show. Again, I am 1510 heartened that we have come together in this way, but we 1511 should be very mindful of what is happening outside of this 1512 committee's walls. And our constituents and our country 1513 would very desperately like us to act and stand up for our 1514 Constitution and the independence that has always existed 1515 here. 1516 Mr. Nadler. Would the gentleman yield? 1517 Mr. Swalwell. I will yield. Yes. 1518 Mr. Nadler. Thank you. I have only one word to add to 1519 what the gentleman said, and that word is "Amen." I yield 1520 back. 1521 Mr. Swalwell. I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 1522 Chairman Goodlatte. For what purpose does the 1523 gentleman from California, Mr. Lieu, seek recognition? 1524 Mr. Lieu. I move to strike the last word. 1525 Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman is recognized. 1526 Mr. Lieu. For the music industry today, it looks like 1527 happy days are here again. I would like to thank Chairman 1528 Goodlatte, thank you, Ranking Member Nadler, Congressman

Jeffries, and all the other members who have worked so hard on this legislation, as well as the music industry and amazing staff in our personal offices and on committee.

As a representative for the 33rd District in California, these issues hit close to home. My district is home to thousands of brilliant songwriters, publishers, engineers, record producers, recording artists, and musicians.

I am pleased to have been able to work with such a unique and engaged community. Their input has been invaluable. They make up different threads of the industry's fabric, which share a common goal of developing solutions to some of the most complex and longstanding copyright issues facing our country.

As Congressman Jeffries has said, the Framers of the Constitution understood that innovation advances when creators are allowed to leverage their potential and make a living doing it. Today, we honor that legacy by moving Federal music copyright forward to ensure those who make the music we love are compensated for their work, and those who deliver it to us have the clear rules of the road and infrastructure they need to innovate thrive in the Internet Age.

I am pleased to be an original co-sponsor of this legislation and look forward to working with all of the

| | 1 |
|------|--|
| 1554 | stakeholders to make sure it goes off the floor, through the |
| 1555 | Senate, and signed into law. I yield back. |
| 1556 | Chairman Goodlatte. Are there any amendments to H.R. |
| 1557 | 5447? |
| 1558 | The reporting quorum being present, the question is on |
| 1559 | the motion to report the bill H.R. 5447 favorably to the |
| 1560 | House. |
| 1561 | All those in favor, respond by saying aye. |
| 1562 | Those opposed, no. |
| 1563 | The clerk will call roll. |
| 1564 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Goodlatte? |
| 1565 | Chairman Goodlatte. Aye. |
| 1566 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Goodlatte votes aye. |
| 1567 | Mr. Sensenbrenner? |
| 1568 | [No response.] |
| 1569 | Mr. Smith? |
| 1570 | Mr. Smith. Aye. |
| 1571 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Smith votes aye. |
| 1572 | Mr. Chabot? |
| 1573 | [No response.] |
| 1574 | Mr. Issa? |
| 1575 | [No response.] |
| 1576 | Mr. King? |
| 1577 | [No response.] |
| 1578 | Mr. Gohmert? |

| 1579 | Mr. Gohmert. Aye. |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| 1580 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Gohmert votes aye. |
| 1581 | Mr. Jordan? |
| 1582 | [No response.] |
| 1583 | Mr. Poe? |
| 1584 | [No response.] |
| 1585 | Mr. Marino? |
| 1586 | Mr. Marino. Yes. |
| 1587 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Marino votes yes. |
| 1588 | Mr. Gowdy? |
| 1589 | Mr. Gowdy. Yes. |
| 1590 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Gowdy votes yes. |
| 1591 | Mr. Labrador? |
| 1592 | [No response.] |
| 1593 | Mr. Collins? |
| 1594 | Mr. Collins. Yes. |
| 1595 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Collins votes yes. |
| 1596 | Mr. DeSantis? |
| 1597 | Mr. DeSantis. Yes. |
| 1598 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. DeSantis votes yes. |
| 1599 | Mr. Buck? |
| 1600 | Mr. Buck. Aye. |
| 1601 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Buck votes aye. |
| 1602 | Mr. Ratcliffe? |
| 1603 | Mr. Ratcliffe. Yes. |

| 1 | |
|------|---------------------------------------|
| 1604 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Ratcliffe votes yes. |
| 1605 | Mrs. Roby? |
| 1606 | [No response.] |
| 1607 | Mr. Gaetz? |
| 1608 | Mr. Gaetz. Yes. |
| 1609 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Gaetz votes yes. |
| 1610 | Mr. Johnson of Louisiana? |
| 1611 | Mr. Johnson of Louisiana. Yes. |
| 1612 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Johnson votes yes. |
| 1613 | Mr. Biggs? |
| 1614 | Mr. Biggs. Aye. |
| 1615 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Biggs votes aye. |
| 1616 | Mr. Rutherford? |
| 1617 | Mr. Rutherford. Yes. |
| 1618 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Rutherford votes yes. |
| 1619 | Mrs. Handel? |
| 1620 | Mrs. Handel. Yes. |
| 1621 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Handel votes yes. |
| 1622 | Mr. Nadler? |
| 1623 | Mr. Nadler. Aye. |
| 1624 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Nadler votes aye. |
| 1625 | Ms. Lofgren? |
| 1626 | Ms. Lofgren. Aye. |
| 1627 | Ms. Adcock. Ms. Lofgren votes aye. |
| 1628 | Ms. Jackson Lee? |

| Ī | |
|------|--|
| 1629 | Ms. Jackson Lee. Aye. |
| 1630 | Ms. Adcock. Ms. Jackson Lee votes aye. |
| 1631 | Mr. Cohen? |
| 1632 | Mr. Cohen. Aye. |
| 1633 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Cohen votes aye. |
| 1634 | Mr. Johnson of Georgia? |
| 1635 | Mr. Johnson of Georgia. Aye. |
| 1636 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Johnson votes aye. |
| 1637 | Mr. Deutch? |
| 1638 | [No response.] |
| 1639 | Mr. Gutierrez? |
| 1640 | [No response.] |
| 1641 | Ms. Bass? |
| 1642 | [No response.] |
| 1643 | Mr. Richmond? |
| 1644 | Mr. Richmond. Aye. |
| 1645 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Richmond votes aye. |
| 1646 | Mr. Jeffries? |
| 1647 | [No response.] |
| 1648 | Mr. Cicilline? |
| 1649 | Mr. Cicilline. Aye. |
| 1650 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Cicilline votes aye. |
| 1651 | Mr. Swalwell? |
| 1652 | Mr. Swalwell. Aye. |
| 1653 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Swalwell votes aye. |

| 1654 | Mr. Lieu? |
|------|--|
| 1655 | Mr. Lieu. Aye. |
| 1656 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Lieu votes aye. |
| 1657 | Mr. Raskin? |
| 1658 | Mr. Raskin. Aye. |
| 1659 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Raskin votes aye. |
| 1660 | Ms. Jayapal? |
| 1661 | Ms. Jayapal. Aye. |
| 1662 | Ms. Adcock. Ms. Jayapal votes aye. |
| 1663 | Mr. Schneider? |
| 1664 | Mr. Schneider. Aye. |
| 1665 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Schneider votes aye. |
| 1666 | Ms. Demings? |
| 1667 | Ms. Demings. Aye. |
| 1668 | Ms. Adcock. Ms. Demings votes aye. |
| 1669 | Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman from New York? |
| 1670 | Mr. Jeffries. Aye. |
| 1671 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Jeffries votes aye. |
| 1672 | Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman from Ohio? |
| 1673 | Mr. Jordan. Aye. |
| 1674 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Jordan votes yes. |
| 1675 | Chairman Goodlatte. The chair would inform the members |
| 1676 | that this is our last item of business. We are going to |
| 1677 | hold the vote open for a little bit longer so members can be |
| 1678 | recorded on it, but once that is completed, we will adjourn |

| 1679 | the committee. |
|------|---|
| 1680 | Ms. Jackson Lee. Mr. Chairman, could you give me the |
| 1681 | appropriate time to ask unanimous to speak out of order |
| 1682 | since the vote is still open? |
| 1683 | Chairman Goodlatte. I do not think I can do that. If |
| 1684 | you wait until we close the vote, then you can. |
| 1685 | Ms. Jackson Lee. That is what I am asking. Thank you. |
| 1686 | Chairman Goodlatte. The gentlewoman from Alabama? |
| 1687 | Mrs. Roby. Aye. |
| 1688 | Ms. Adcock. Mrs. Roby votes aye. |
| 1689 | Chairman Goodlatte. The gentleman from Florida, Mr. |
| 1690 | Deutch? |
| 1691 | Mr. Deutch. Aye. |
| 1692 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Deutch votes aye. |
| 1693 | Chairman Goodlatte. The clerk will report. |
| 1694 | Ms. Adcock. Mr. Chairman, 32 members voted aye; 0 |
| 1695 | members voted no. |
| 1696 | Chairman Goodlatte. The ayes have it and the bill is |
| 1697 | agreed to. Members will have 2 days to submit views. |
| 1698 | For what purpose does the gentlewoman from Texas seek |
| 1699 | recognition? |
| 1700 | Ms. Jackson Lee. [inaudible] |
| 1701 | Chairman Goodlatte. [inaudible] and, of course, we |
| 1702 | will have further discussions as we move the legislation to |
| 1703 | the floor of the House. |

| 1704 | Ms. Jackson Lee. Excellent. Thank you so very much, |
|------|---|
| 1705 | Mr. Chairman. I yield back. |
| 1706 | Chairman Goodlatte. I thank the gentlewoman for her |
| 1707 | participation. That concludes our business today, in a most |
| 1708 | unusual fashion. I thank all the members for attending and |
| 1709 | those want-to-be members who are here. And the markup is |
| 1710 | adjourned. |
| 1711 | [Whereupon, at 12:02 p.m., the committee was |
| 1712 | adjourned.] |