

W. Craig Hartley, Jr. Executive Director Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies May 19, 2015

Policing Strategies for the 21st Century

Prepared For:

United States House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary

W. Craig Hartley, Jr. Curriculum Vitae



W. Craig Hartley, Jr. joined the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA) in June 2008 as the Deputy Director / Chief of Staff and was appointed Executive Director in January 2014. He began his career with the Greensboro, North Carolina Police Department in 1989 and served in a number of positions within the agency before becoming an Assistant Chief of Police. During his tenure with Greensboro, he worked in the functional areas of patrol operations, tactical operations, accreditation, internal affairs, personnel, training, budget and planning, information and technology, and served as the chief of staff.

Before coming to CALEA, Craig also worked for the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services, an agency within the Executive Branch of state government assigned to the Secretary of Public Safety. While there he led the department's Policy, Planning and Research Division and coordinated legislative affairs and public information.

Craig is a graduate of Appalachian State University and the University of North Carolina at Greensboro. He holds a Bachelor's degree in criminal justice/political science and a Master of Public Affairs. He has received specialized police management and leadership training from the Southern Police Institute and the Center for Creative Leadership, and he is a graduate of the Federal Bureau of Investigation National Academy.

Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies 13575 Heathcote Boulevard, Suite 320 Gainesville Virginia 20155 www.calea.org



Position Statement:

Public safety leaders and practitioners operate in challenging environments, which include intense discretionary demands and public scrutiny. Despite the complexity of their responsibilities, routinely they meet their professional obligations effectively. However, this can only occur through the establishment and maintenance of trusting relationships with the community served and accountability at all levels of such organizations.

Recently, the country has observed examples of situations where trust in public safety has been lost, resulting in undesirable outcomes and an inability for effective service to occur. Although there is never a singular solution to multifaceted social issues, the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA) provides a complementary strategy for public safety agencies that institutionalizes industry best practices through the application of standards.

CALEA Accreditation promotes community confidence in public safety through effective and judicious management principles that have the following returns on investment:

- Development and delivery of contemporary training and equipment
- Effective community engagements and relationships
- Access to relevant data for sound decision making
- Alerts to emerging organizational trends and patterns
- Development and implementation of sound policy and procedures
- Organizational culture of transparency and openness to inspection
- Enhanced relationship with other service providers for end-user benefit
- Preparedness for litigation to support staff and promote confidence
- Readiness for unusual occurrences and critical events
- Reduction in service-related risk factors
- Scheduled reviews and audits to promote attentiveness to responsibilities

Although the above denoted points serve only as examples of the broad range of benefits resulting from CALEA Accreditation, they clearly indicate the process fosters the development of a culture of professionalism within participating agencies. Because the applied standards are dynamic and continuously address contemporary issues, the process remains relevant and provides a solution for agencies seeking professional excellence.

Organization Overview:

CALEA was founded in 1979 by the four leading law enforcement professional associations. These include the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), National Sheriff's Association (NSA), National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives (NOBLE), and the Police Executive Research Forum (PERF). Each of these organizations recognized a need for enhanced professionalism in the field of police services four decades ago and developed a plan for the creation and maintenance of relevant standards. This included a credentialing program to acknowledge public safety agencies adhering to the established best practices. The concept has matured into what is now broadly recognized as <u>CALEA Accreditation</u> - <u>The Gold Standard for Public Safety Agencies</u>.

The purpose of CALEA Accreditation is to improve the delivery of public safety services, primarily by:

- Maintaining a body of standards, developed by public safety practitioners and other experts, covering a wide range of relevant and contemporary public safety responsibilities;
- Establishing and administering an accreditation process; and
- Recognizing professional excellence.

Currently, more than 1,030 public safety agencies participate in CALEA Accreditation programming, and the organization has expanded its breadth of services to include public safety communication centers, campus security organizations and public safety training academies, as well as the original focus of law enforcement. Services are also provided on an international basis, as public safety agencies from Canada, Mexico, the Caribbean, and the United States are enrolled.

Throughout the organization's existence it has provided accreditation services to the public safety community under the following tenets:

- Engagement in the process is voluntary to promote accountability.
- Peer review by impartial and trained assessors is critical on an ongoing basis.
- The service environment is ever-evolving and requires a dynamic process of standards development and adherence.
- The public safety community has a responsibility to create accountability measures to the public.
- Community engagement and capacity building is essential to the effectiveness of any public safety organization.
- Transparency and public trust must be institutionalized as key objectives and constantly measured by organizations responsible for the public's safety.

- Police powers and resources are provided by the public and must be cared for through review, inspection, research, and relentless accountability.
- Reports to the public are essential and efforts must be applied to ensure the community's needs are addressed within the constructs of fairness, equity and the democratic principles of a civilized society.
- Feedback loops with the public are essential to understanding the service delivery landscape and fostering effective relationships that contribute to public order and safety.

Specifically, CALEA's goals are to:

- Professionalize public safety services;
- Strengthen crime prevention and control capabilities;
- Formalize essential public safety management procedures;
- Establish fair and nondiscriminatory practices;
- Improve public safety service delivery;
- Solidify interagency cooperation and coordination among service providers; and
- Increase community and staff confidence in the agency.

The CALEA Accreditation process is a proven modern management model; once implemented, it presents the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on a continuing basis, with a framework that promotes the efficient use of resources and improves service delivery regardless of the size, geographic location, or functional responsibilities of the agency. This accreditation program provides public safety agencies an opportunity to voluntarily demonstrate they meet an established set of professional standards which:

- Require an agency to develop a comprehensive, well thought out, uniform set of written directives
- Establish administrative and operational goals that provide direction to personnel and define mission, purpose and values
- Provide the necessary reports and analyses to make fact-based, informed management decisions
- Require preparedness programs to ensure agencies are ready to address critical incidents, community concerns and a broad range of public safety service issues
- Improve community awareness regarding public safety operations and further trusting relationships that are essential during times of crisis
- Strengthen agency accountability, both internally and externally, through a continuum of standards that clearly define authority, performance, and responsibilities

- Control for liability and risk exposure through compliance verified from an internationally recognized team of independent disinterested CALEA-trained assessors.
- Facilitate the pursuit of professional excellence and ongoing awareness of critical operational and administrative trends, patterns and outcomes.

Although the total enrollment of public safety agencies in CALEA Accreditation programming is variable and increasing, currently just over five percent of the nation's 18,000 law enforcement agencies participate. This equates to slightly more than twenty-five percent of the police officers in the United States working for organizations that participate in the program. Invariably, participating entities have created organizational cultures that adhere to the conceptual tenets described previously. They embrace the concept of external review for the purpose of enhancing accountability and consistently pursue professional changes in procedures to ensure their delivery of service complements contemporary best practices. Their collective involvement works to define the role of police in a democratic society, and this role is created through not only peer involvement, but through community engagement which is required by the accreditation process.

CALEA Accreditation has perpetuated much success during its four decades of existence, as an ever-improving and evolving public safety strategy. As recently as this year, CALEA transitioned from a three-year review cycle to a four-year cycle with annual remote reviews and site-based assessments occurring every fourth year. This approach leverages the value of ongoing feedback and monitoring to support public safety agencies, while resourcefully applying technology to provide the greatest level of inspection in the most cost effective and efficient manner. Additionally, the organization is currently in the process of reviewing all published standards with respect to recent research and findings from such groups as the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing, PERF, the Police Foundation, and other leading research organizations in the field. This project is being led with a focus on systemic issues that erode public trust and findings from Department of Justice investigations that revealed pattern and practice concerns.

Governing Structure:

A Commission Board composed of 21 members governs CALEA. Eleven must be law enforcement practitioners; the balance is selected from the public and private sectors. Generally, they reflect a representation from local, state/provincial and international law enforcement and public safety organizations, along with business, academia, the judiciary, and state/provincial and local government. The Commissioners are appointed by the four founding law enforcement organizations.

CALEA operates as an independent, nonprofit (501[c]3) corporation, and maintains a professional staff managed by an Executive Director. The staff conducts all administrative and operational duties as directed by the Commission. As a successful

corporation with a public service mission, efforts are made to invest proceeds in strategies that further advance the organization's purpose.

The voluntary Commission Board serves without compensation and is responsible for the promulgation of contemporary standards and reviews each candidate agency for accredited status. Members of the governing body also serve on standing business and program committees of the organization, and make policy decisions regarding operations and programming.

Standards Development:

The process of standards development and review employs the professional wisdom of seasoned public safety leaders, city and county managers, judicial and elected officials, academicians, business representatives, and other subject matter experts to hold participating agencies accountable. These individuals serve as CALEA Commissioners and include representatives from both within and outside the domestic borders of the United States. They rely on contemporary research and evidence based findings to guide their decision-making and they are all involved in other professional organizations that provide opportunities to learn about leading edge issues facing the public safety community and the services they are sworn to provide.

The standards are subject to ongoing review and revision by the Commission through the Standards Review and Interpretation Committee (SRIC). When modifications are recommended, they are presented to the SRIC for consideration. If appropriate, SRIC approves draft language and then presents the draft to the Commission for their approval to publicize the proposed change for review and comment from the public safety community. Comments are referred back to the SRIC for consideration. The SRIC then makes a recommendation to the Commission for final approval.

The Commission is open to the receipt of information from other organizations and subject matter experts as it relates to standards development. This is specifically the case with governmental organizations that collect information which contributes to patterns and trends that could be applicable in the development of standards. It is important to remember that CALEA Accreditation affects change quickly and broadly in the public safety community because of the number of operational personnel impacted by the standards. Therefore, the standards serve as a conduit for the advancement of contemporary thinking in the industry and best practices.

Each standard requires agency policy consideration, implementation of complementary practices and ongoing proof of compliance. Furthermore, the Commission is not only concerned with simple standards compliance, there is a focus on intended outcomes that are supported by sound professional actions and procedures, thereby creating progressive agencies with proper mission, purpose and values. This model links training, equipment, employment, promotion, operations and a full range of organizational resources to the stated mission of the participating agency.

Specifically, the <u>CALEA Standards for Law Enforcement Agencies</u> address major administrative and operational areas vital to the success of the organization:

- role, responsibilities, and relationships with other agencies and the community;
- organization, management, and administration
- personnel administration
- law enforcement operations, criminal investigations, operational support, and traffic law enforcement
- · detainee and court-related services; and auxiliary and technical services

Examples of specific <u>CALEA Standards for Law Enforcement Agencies</u> include:

- ✓ Alternatives to Arrest
- ✓ Use of Discretion
- ✓ Use of Reasonable Force
- ✓ Use of Deadly Force
- ✓ Reporting Uses of Force
- ✓ Reviewing Use of Force Reports
- ✓ Annual/Biennial Proficiency Training with Use of Force Implements
- ✓ Analyze Use of Force Patterns and Trends
- ✓ Disciplinary System
- ✓ Role and Authority of Supervisors
- ✓ Recruitment Planning
- ✓ Emotional Stability/Psychological Fitness Examinations
- ✓ Employee Counseling
- ✓ Personnel Early Warning System
- ✓ Criminal investigation Line-ups
- ✓ Criminal Investigation Show-ups
- ✓ Juvenile Operations Policy
- ✓ Citizens Survey
- ✓ Organizational Integrity
- ✓ Complaint Investigation Procedures
- ✓ Prisoner Restraint Requirements

A full list of <u>CALEA Standards for Law Enforcement Agencies</u> is attached to this document as an **Addendum**.

Process of Accreditation:

There are five phases in the accreditation process:

- Enrollment
- Self-assessment
- On-site Assessment
- Commission Review and Decision
- Maintaining Compliance and Reaccreditation

Following enrollment, the candidate agency begins a self-assessment phase by complying with applicable standards, developing proofs of compliance, and preparing for the initial assessment process. During this time, a CALEA Regional Program Manager is available to provide guidance on the applicability of standards and attaining compliance. Near the end of the self-assessment phase, the agency, in conjunction with the CALEA Assessment Manager, develops plans for accomplishing assessment activities.

Once the agency has notified CALEA of its completion of the self-assessment phase and its desire to schedule an assessment, the CALEA Assessment Manager schedules a date that is mutually agreeable and in compliance with the agreement between the agency and CALEA. Trained assessors with professionally relevant experience conduct the assessment. The process includes both off-site and onsite reviews. The assessment also includes observations, interviews with citizens and other special interest groups, process mapping, outcomes analysis, and discussions with other professional organizations that interact with the agency. Additionally, the assessment includes public call-in sessions and public hearings. The work culminates in a report of findings to the Commission for review and decision.

The Commission's Agency Review Committees conduct hearings, which are open for public attendance, regarding the agency's compliance to applicable standards. Agency representatives are invited to participate in this review portion of the process to address questions of the Commission.

Given this information, the Commission makes a determination about the candidate's status as an accredited agency. This may result in the findings of accreditation, accreditation denied, accreditation with conditions, or several other categories to ensure the process maintains integrity and adherence to key principles of professional development for participating organizations.

Each agency awarded accredited status must maintain compliance with all applicable standards, keep its proofs of compliance up-to-date, and operate by the letter and spirit of the standards. To retain its accreditation status, the agency is required to annually submit to CALEA, detailed Agency Status Reports, as well as participate in an annual review of select standards.

The focus of the accreditation process is to advance each participating agency's professional capacity and service strategies, while pursuing continuous organization improvement. This includes attention to mission, purpose and values, as well as consideration of data that impacts key measures of agency success.

The process of self-assessment by the agency, annual standards review by trained staff, and on-site observation, interview, process mapping, and outcome measure continues in perpetuity for each accredited agency. This stresses the value of continuous monitoring of changing circumstances and environmental issues impacting the organization and its success. It also requires attention to community concerns and ensures strong relationships are developed to address critical events that could erode public confidence and support if not anticipated prior to such occurrences.

Returns on Investment from CALEA Accreditation:

- Relevant standards include content on the agency's role in the community, limitations on authority, compliance with constitutional requirements, and alternatives to arrest. Additionally, the process of review for accreditation involves public information collection sessions and opportunities for the community to share their thoughts about the activities and interactions of the candidate agency.
- The accreditation model stringently works to define the use of police authority. This includes the adherence to standards associated with the use of force, review and analysis of related events for the purpose of policy reformation, sharing information regarding citizen complaint findings and statistics, and the use of discretion in the delivery of police services.
- The concept of bias in the delivery of law enforcement service is also addressed within the constructs of accreditation. This includes policy and training related to prohibitions in bias based activities related to such events as traffic enforcement contacts, field interviews, and asset forfeiture. It requires the application of corrective measures with findings of prohibited activities and involves documented annual administrative reviews of related practices and citizen concerns in this area. The accreditation process also applies trend analyses of individual officer activities to promote alertness to irregular patterns that deserve awareness and corrective measures.
- The process of accreditation not only mandates the incorporation of public-facing accountability processes, such as documented surveys of citizen attitudes and opinions that focus on agency performance, competency, professionalism, and community suggestions, but also internal assessment measures. These include analyses of disciplinary actions, employee grievances, promotional processes, and employment outcomes. Each of these contribute to building internal capacities that enhance the agency's ability to provide external services in a manner that adhere to the public's sense of fairness and equity.

- CALEA Accreditation mandates work performance reviews of police personnel against job related activities and requires scheduled training in areas such as interactions with citizens with mental illness, the application of force, and other critical service delivery issues. And, there exist requirements related to demonstrated proficiencies and documented understanding of organizational philosophy as it relates to response to unusual and critical events.
- The adherence to CALEA Standards requires attention to the rights of not only those under investigation, but also victims and the families of victims, regardless of the circumstances. It promotes stronger relations with the media to create an atmosphere of trust with information sharing and actually mandates discussions with representatives of the press in developing or reviewing public information policies. This concept alone works to foster understanding and reduce inaccuracies in reporting resulting from an absence of information during developing events.
- As a prerequisite to being recognized as a CALEA Accredited agency, participating organizations must create and demonstrate the effectiveness of citizen complaint procedures. These procedures must include the investigation of all complaints, including those of an anonymous nature. The agency's model for receiving complaints must be accessible to the public and create accountability in reviewing the issues. The associated process must establish timelines for notifications to complainants and result in the posting of summaries of data for the public's consumption about related findings.
- The concept of integrity in criminal investigative procedures is included in the accreditation process. This involves accountability with the preservation, collection, maintenance, and presentation of evidence. Polices related to interviews, line-ups and show-ups must also be developed and followed. The standards within this topical area reflect contemporary research and a desire to support appropriate arrest, prosecution and conviction of suspects.
- CALEA promulgates and requires agencies to develop and address community involvement practices to include establishing liaisons with significant community organizations, the involvement of community members in the development of policy, publicizing agency objectives and activities, improving agency practices with impacts on community relations, and developing problem oriented policing strategies. This topical area also requires reporting on significant community concerns, potential community problems, statements of recommended actions, and progress toward desired results.

Although these examples are only a sampling of the comprehensive nature of the accreditation process, it clearly demonstrates a bias toward the development of police agencies that continuously engage the community and its membership for the purpose of professional service delivery. It recognizes the value of ongoing assessment for the purpose of continuous accountability and it focuses the resources of the agency on supporting the maintenance of a well informed and safe community. The process

recognizes that significant events will occur in every community that pull at the appropriate balance between safety and freedom, but works to establish relationships that promote civil discussion to ensure the needs of the community are maintained as the priority.

Considerations Regarding Legislative Initiatives:

It is important to note that CALEA understands its philosophical and practical role in the public safety community and is constantly reinventing its approach to provide the very best return on investment for participating agencies. This includes consideration for the communities and citizens these agencies serve and the impacts resulting from applied deployment strategies. To this end, the mission of CALEA remains, "To promote professionalism in public safety though the promulgation of standards and the administration of an accreditation program."

Given the mission of CALEA, its historical influence in the respective field, and its position within the public safety profession, we would offer the following points:

- Although CALEA does not generally participate in lobbying efforts, the organization supports reasonable federal and state legislation promulgated to improve professionalism in the field of public safety.
- The concept of voluntary participation in CALEA Accreditation programming has promoted healthy and productive relationships with enrolled agencies. Although this engagement model has yielded positive outcomes, CALEA can support legislation that provides incentives and encouragement for agencies to participate in accreditation as a tool for public safety professional advancement.
- Federal or state legislative actions that serve to support the involvement of public safety agencies in accreditation with financial resources or technical assistance directly complement the mission and purpose of CALEA.
- The application of grant-based incentives for CALEA Accredited agencies is a responsible action, as such agencies have demonstrated through broad and specific standards compliance the capacity to appropriately manage these resources and also openness to inspection promoting transparency and community confidence.
- The incorporation of special groups, committees and entities with relevant subject matter expertise is an ongoing tenet of standards development and is applied within the CALEA Accreditation environment. The engagement of governmental and community entities with subject matter expertise or interest in a more robust manner would be considered a positive approach.
- The establishment of an institutionalized liaison strategy with the Department of Justice to advance standards through contemporary

investigative findings and lessons learned from trends or patterns would be considered an important advancement and is supported as an approach to provide agencies participating in accreditation with tools to prevent unintended outcomes.

- The application of technical services to support agencies pursuing CALEA Accreditation could be addressed with additional resources through the existing accreditation program infrastructure or could be accomplished through partnerships with other relevant associations, where more intensive requirements exist. It is important to ensure credentialing integrity is not lost through the delivery of technical assistance.
- CALEA values its professional partnerships with other associations and endorses strategies that advance these relationships to support leaders and practitioners in the field of public safety whose missions address community safety and instill confidence in the delivery of law enforcement services.
- CALEA endorses legislative approaches that gradually and systematically transition public safety agencies to value-added programs and include reasonable implementation timelines, technical assistance, funding, and opportunities for proper program application.
- Although over twenty-five percent of the nation's state and local law enforcement officers are employed with agencies participating in CALEA Accreditation, the organization has developed the infrastructure and capacity to provide services to a significantly larger service population and is prepared to make business model adjustments as necessary to support agencies seeking accreditation.

Summary Considerations:

The leadership of CALEA sees tremendous value in the application of its accreditation programming and standards promulgation process. Additionally, the organization understands the critical nature of public safety as a stabilizing institution within communities across the nation and the important responsibility of police agencies in maintaining Constitutionally-protected freedoms and rights. Based on our organizational values and mission, CALEA is positioned to support public safety leaders and practitioners as they effectively serve their communities through the application of professional standards and credentialing programming, thereby promoting public confidence in their services.

CALEA advocates for voluntary participation in accreditation programming for process integrity. Additionally, the organization advocates for the advancement of accreditation within the respective industry through strategies that encourage agency participation and provide resources to assist in reaching important accreditation-related milestones. The use of directed grant funding and the leveraging of existing professional relationships would be viewed as a significant advancement. Additionally, the creation of information sharing platforms with governmental and community entities, that have specific interests in improving public safety services, for the purpose of continually evaluating and enhancing standards is a responsible approach.

As an institution with an effective history of meeting its defined mission, CALEA recognizes change is important to ensure ongoing relevance. Therefore, the organization is open to new strategies that further its capacity to enhance professionalism within the field of public safety, and promote the delivery of such services in a responsible manner with sensitivity to procedural justice. The organization also recognizes accreditation serves as an important component of broader public policy strategies to promote safe and secure communities.

CALEA® Standards for Law Enforcement Agencies

Below is a comprehensive list of the titles of all Law Enforcement Standards. The 189 highlighted standards constitute the tier 1 option, CALEA® Law Enforcement Accreditation Program. The tier 2 option, CALEA® Advanced Law Enforcement Accreditation Program, is composed of all the 484 standards listed.

1 Law Enforcement Role and Authority

1.1 Law Enforcement Agency Role 1.1.1 Oath of Office 1.1.2 Code of Ethics 1.1.3 Agency's Role in Criminal Justice Diversion Programs 1.1.4 Consular Notification 1.2 Limits of Authority 1.2.1 Legal Authority Defined 1.2.2 Legal Authority to Carry/Use Weapons 1.2.3 Compliance with Constitutional Requirements 1.2.4 Search and Seizure 1.2.5 Arrest with/without Warrant 1.2.6 Alternatives to Arrest 1.2.7 Use of Discretion 1.2.8 Strip/Body Cavity Search 1.2.9 Bias Based Profiling 1.3 Use of Force 1.3.1 Use of Reasonable Force 1.3.2 Use of Deadly Force 1.3.3 Warning Shots 1.3.4 Use of Authorized Less Lethal Weapons 1.3.5 Rendering Aid After Use of Weapons 1.3.6 Reporting Uses of Force 1.3.7 Reviewing Reports of 1.3.6 1.3.8 Removal from Line of Duty Assignment, Use of Force 1.3.9 Authorization: Weapons and Ammunition

1.3.10 Demonstrating Proficiency with Weapons

1.3.11 Annual/Biennial Proficiency Training

1.3.12 Issuing Written Directives

1.3.13 Analyze Reports from 1.3.6

2 Agency Jurisdiction and Mutual Aid

2.1 Agency Jurisdiction and Mutual Aid

2.1.1 Geographical Boundaries

2.1.2 Concurrent Jurisdiction

2.1.3 Written Agreements for Mutual Aid

2.1.4 Requesting Assistance: Federal LE/National Guard

3 Contractual Agreements for Law Enforcement Services

3.1 Contractual Agreements 3.1.1 Written Agreement for Services Provided 3.1.2 Employee Rights

11 Organization and Administration

11.1 Organizational Structure
11.1.1 Description of Organization 11.1.2 Organizational Chart
11.2 Unity of Command
11.2.1 Employee Accountability
11.2.2 Direct Command, Component
11.3 Authority and Responsibility
11.3.1 Responsibility/Authority 11.3.2 Supervisory Accountability
11.4 General Management and Administration
11.4.1 Administrative Reporting Program
11.4.2 Accountability for Agency Forms
11.4.3 Accreditation Maintenance
11.4.4 Computer Software Policy
11.4.5 Notify CEO of Incident with Liability

12 Direction

12.1 Direction

12.1.1 CEO Authority and Responsibility

12.1.2 Command Protocol

12.1.3 Obey Lawful Orders

12.1.4 Functional Communication/Cooperation

12.2 Written Directives

12.2.1 The Written Directive System

12.2.2 Dissemination and Storage

15 Planning and Research, Goals and Objectives, and Crime Analysis

15.1 Planning and Research
15.1.1 Activities of Planning and Research
15.1.2 Organizational Placement/Planning and Research
15.1.3 Multiyear Plan
15.2 Goals and Objectives
15.2.1 Annual Updating/Goals and Objectives
15.2.2 System for Evaluation/Goals and Objectives
15.3 Crime Analysis
15.3.1 Establish Procedures

16 Allocation and Distribution of Personnel and Personnel Alternatives

16.1 Allocation and Distribution of Personnel 16.1.1 Position Management System 16.1.2 Workload Assessments 16.2 Specialized Assignment 16.2.1 Annual Review 16.2.2 Announce Openings 16.2.3 Temporary/Rotating Assignments 16.3 Reserves 16.3.1 Program Description 16.3.2 Selection Criteria 16.3.3 Entry Level Training 16.3.4 Uniforms and Equipment 16.3.5 In-Service Training 16.3.6 Use of Force Training & Firearms Proficiency 16.3.7 Bonding/Liability Protection 16.3.8 Performance Evaluations 16.3.9 Educational Requirements **16.4** Auxiliaries 16.4.1 Program Description 16.4.2 Training 16.4.3 Uniforms

17 Fiscal Management and Agency Property

17.1 Fiscal Management
17.1.1 CEO Authority and Responsibility
17.2 Budget
17.2.1 Budget Process and Responsibility Described
17.2.2 Functional Recommendations to Budget
17.3 Purchasing
17.3.1 Requisition and Purchasing Procedures
17.4 Accounting

17.4.1 Accounting System
17.4.2 Cash Fund/Accounts Maintenance
17.4.3 Independent Audit
17.5 Agency Property
17.5.1 Inventory and Control
17.5.2 Issue/Reissue Procedures
17.5.3 Operational Readiness
17.5.4 Electronic Data Storage

21 Classification and Delineation of Duties and Responsibilities

21.1 Task Analysis
21.1.1 Task Analysis
21.2 Classification
21.2.1 Classification Plan
21.2.2 Job Description Maintenance and Availability

22.0 Compensation, Benefits, and Conditions of Work

22.1 Compensation 22.1.1 Salary Program 22.2 Benefits 22.2.1 Leave Program 22.2.2 Benefits Program 22.2.3 Personnel Support Services Program 22.2.4 Victim Witness Services/Line of Duty Death 22.2.5 Clothing and Equipment 22.2.6 Employee Assistance Program 22.2.7 Employee Identification 22.2.8 Military Deployment and Reintegration 22.3 Conditions of Work 22.3.1 Physical Examinations 22.3.2 General Health and Physical Fitness 22.3.3 Fitness and Wellness Program 22.3.4 Off-Duty Employment 22.3.5 Extra-Duty Employment

24 Collective Bargaining

24.1 Collective Bargaining and Contract Management24.1.1 Agency Role24.1.2 Ratification Responsibilities

25 Grievance Procedures

25.1 Grievance Procedures

25.1.1 Grievance Procedures 25.1.2 Coordination/Control of Records 25.1.3 Annual Analysis

26 Disciplinary Procedures

26.1 Disciplinary Procedures
26.1.1 Code of Conduct and Appearance
26.1.2 Employee Awards
26.1.3 Sexual Harassment
26.1.4 Disciplinary System
26.1.5 Role and Authority of Supervisors
26.1.6 Appeal Procedures
26.1.7 Dismissal Procedures
26.1.8 Records

31 Recruitment

31.1 Administrative Practices and Procedures
31.1.1 Agency Participation
31.1.2 Assignment/Recruitment
31.2 Equal Employment Opportunity and Recruitment
31.2.1 Recruitment Plan
31.2.2 Annual Analysis
31.2.3 Equal Employment Opportunity Plan
31.3 Job Announcements and Publicity
31.3.1 Job Announcements
31.3.2 Posting Locations
31.3.3 Maintaining Applicant Contact
31.3.4 Application Rejection

32 Selection

32.1 Professional and Legal Requirements
32.1.1 Selection Process Described
32.1.2 Job Relatedness
32.1.3 Uniform Administration
32.1.4 Candidate Information
32.1.5 Notification of Ineligibility
32.1.6 Records
32.1.7 Selection Material Security
32.2 Administrative Practices and Procedures
32.2.1 Background Investigations
32.2.2 Training
32.2.3 Records Retention
32.2.4 Polygraph Examinations
32.2.5 Conducted by Trained Personnel
32.2.6 Use of Results

32.2.7 Medical Examinations
32.2.8 Emotional Stability/Psychological Fitness Examinations
32.2.9 Records Retention
32.2.10 Entry Level Probation

33 Training and Career Development

33.1 Administration 33.1.1 Training Committee 33.1.2 Attendance Requirements 33.1.3 Outside Training Reimbursement 33.1.4 Lesson Plan Requirements 33.1.5 Remedial Training 33.1.6 Employee Training Record Maintenance 33.1.7 Training Class Records Maintenance 33.2 Academy 33.2.1 Academy Administration and Operation 33.2.2 Academy Facilities 33.2.3 Outside Academy, Role 33.2.4 Outside Academy, Agency Specific Training **33.3 Training Instructors** 33.3.1 Instructor Training 33.4 Recruit Training 33.4.1 Entry Level Training Required 33.4.2 Recruit Training Program 33.4.3 Field Training Program 33.5 In-Service, Shift Briefing, and Advanced Training 33.5.1 Annual Retraining Program 33.5.2 Shift Briefing Training 33.5.3 Accreditation Training 33.5.4 Accreditation Manager Training 33.6 Specialized In-Service Training 33.6.1 Specialized Training 33.6.2 Tactical Team Training Program 33.7 Civilian Training 33.7.1 Civilian Orientation 33.7.2 Civilian Pre-Service and In-Service Training 33.8 Career Development and Education 33.8.1 Career Development Personnel Training 33.8.2 Skill Development Training Upon Promotion 33.8.3 Career Development Program 33.8.4 Educational Incentives

34 Promotion

34.1 Professional and Legal Requirements 34.1.1 Agency Role

34.1.2 Authority and Responsibility
34.1.3 Promotional Process Described
34.1.4 Job Relatedness
34.1.5 Promotional Announcement
34.1.6 Eligibility Lists
34.1.7 Promotional Probation

35 Performance Evaluation

35.1 Administration
35.1.1 Performance Evaluation System
35.1.2 Annual Evaluation
35.1.3 Quarterly Evaluation of Probationary Employees
35.1.4 Evaluation Criteria
35.1.5 Evaluation Period
35.1.6 Unsatisfactory Performance
35.1.7 Employee Counseling
35.1.8 Rater Evaluation
35.1.9 Personnel Early Warning System

41 Patrol

41.1 Administration 41.1.1 Shift/Beat Assignment 41.1.2 Shift Briefing 41.1.3 Special-Purpose Vehicles 41.1.4 Agency Animals 41.2 Operations 41.2.1 Responding Procedures 41.2.2 Pursuit of Motor Vehicles 41.2.3 Roadblocks and Forcible Stopping 41.2.4 Notification Procedures 41.2.5 Missing Persons 41.2.6 Missing Children 41.2.7 Mental Illness 41.3 Equipment 41.3.1 Patrol Vehicles Lights, Sirens 41.3.2 Equipment Specification/Replenishment 41.3.3 Occupant Safety Restraints 41.3.4 Authorized Personal Equipment 41.3.5 Protective Vests 41.3.6 Protective Vests/Pre-Planned, High Risk Situations 41.3.7 Mobile Data Access 41.3.8 In-Car Audio/Video 41.3.9 License Plate Recognition Systems

42 Criminal Investigation

42.1 Administration 42.1.1 On-Call Schedule 42.1.2 Case-Screening System 42.1.3 Case File Management 42.1.4 Accountability, Preliminary/Follow-Up Investigations 42.1.5 Habitual/Serious Offenders 42.1.6 Criminal Intelligence **42.2** Operations 42.2.1 Preliminary Investigations Steps 42.2.2 Follow-Up Investigations Steps 42.2.3 Investigative Checklists 42.2.4 Patrol Shift Briefing Attendance 42.2.5 Investigative Task Forces 42.2.6 Polygraph Examinations 42.2.7 Informants 42.2.8 Identity Crimes 42.2.9 Cold Cases 42.2.10 Interview Rooms 42.2.11 Line-ups 42.2.12 Show-ups

43 Vice, Drugs, and Organized Crime

43.1 Administration and Operations
43.1.1 Complaint Management
43.1.2 Records, Storage and Security
43.1.3 Confidential Funds
43.1.4 Equipment, Authorization and Control
43.1.5 Covert Operations

44 Juvenile Operations

44.1 Administration
44.1.1 Juvenile Operations Policy
44.1.2 Policy Input, Others
44.1.3 Annual Program Review
44.2 Operations
44.2.1 Handling Offenders
44.2.2 Procedures for Custody
44.2.3 Custodial Interrogation
44.2.4 School Liaison Program
44.2.5 Community Recreation Programs

45 Crime Prevention and Community Involvement

45.1 Crime Prevention
45.1.1 Activities
45.1.2 Organizing Prevention Groups
45.1.3 Prevention Input
45.2 Community Involvement
45.2.1 Activities
45.2.2 Quarterly Progress Report
45.2.3 Procedures for Transmitting Information
45.2.4 Citizens Survey
45.2.5 Survey Summary to CEO

46 Critical Incidents, Special Operations, and Homeland Security

46.1 Critical Incidents 46.1.1 Planning Responsibility 46.1.2 All Hazard Plan 46.1.3 Command Function 46.1.4 Operations Function 46.1.5 Planning Function 46.1.6 Logistics Function 46.1.7 Finance/Administration Function **46.1.8** Equipment Inspection 46.1.9 Annual Training 46.1.10 Active Threats **46.2** Special Operations 46.2.1 Special Operations Activities 46.2.2 Tactical Team Selection 46.2.3 Tactical Team Equipment 46.2.4 Hostage Negotiator Selection 46.2.5 Search and Rescue 46.2.6 VIP Security Plan 46.2.7 Special Events Plan 46.2.8 Event De-confliction **46.3 Homeland Security** 46.3.1 Liaison with other Organizations 46.3.2 Terrorism Related Intelligence 46.3.3 Providing Awareness Information 46.3.4 Hazmat Awareness

52 Internal Affairs

52.1 Organizational Integrity 52.1.1 Complaint Investigation 52.1.2 Records, Maintenance and Security 52.1.3 CEO, Direct Accessibility
52.1.4 Complaint Registering Procedures
52.1.5 Annual Summaries; Public Availability
52.2 Complaint Procedures
52.2.1 Complaint Types
52.2.2 CEO, Notification
52.2.3 Investigation Time Limits
52.2.4 Informing Complainant
52.2.5 Statement of Allegations/Rights
52.2.6 Submission to Tests, Procedures
52.2.7 Relieved from Duty
52.2.8 Conclusion of Fact

53 Inspectional Services

53.1 Line Inspections 53.1.1 Procedures 53.2 Staff Inspections 53.2.1 Procedures

54 Public Information

54.1 Public Information54.1.1 Activities54.1.2 Policy Input54.1.3 News Media Access

55 Victim/Witness Assistance

55.1 Administration
55.1.1 Summary of Rights
55.1.2 Analysis, Need/Services
55.1.3 Policy/Procedure Development
55.2 Operations
55.2.1 Initial Assistance
55.2.2 Assistance, Threats
55.2.3 Assistance, Preliminary Investigation
55.2.4 Assistance, Follow-Up Investigation
55.2.5 Assistance, Suspect Arrest
55.2.6 Next-of-Kin Notification

61 Traffic

61.1 Traffic Enforcement
61.1.1 Selective Enforcement Activities
61.1.2 Uniform Enforcement Procedures
61.1.3 Violator Procedures
61.1.4 Informing The Violator

61.1.5 Uniform Enforcement Policies **61.1.6 Enforcement Practices** 61.1.7 Stopping/Approaching 61.1.8 Officer-Violator Relations 61.1.9 Speed-Measuring Devices 61.1.10 Alcohol Enforcement Program 61.1.11 DUI Procedures 61.1.12 License Reexamination Referrals 61.1.13 Parking Enforcement 61.2 Traffic Collision Investigation 61.2.1 Reporting and Investigation 61.2.2 Collision Scene Responses 61.2.3 Collision Scene Duties 61.2.4 Follow-Up Investigations 61.3 Traffic Direction and Control 61.3.1 Traffic Engineering 61.3.2 Direction/Control Procedures 61.3.3 Escorts 61.3.4 Adult School Crossing Guards 61.3.5 Student Safety Patrol Program 61.3.6 Local/Region Planning Committees **61.4** Ancillary Services 61.4.1 Assistance, Highway Users 61.4.2 Hazardous Highway Conditions 61.4.3 Towing 61.4.4 Traffic Safety Materials

70 Detainee Transportation

70.1 Transport Operations 70.1.1 Pre-Transport Prisoner Searches 70.1.2 Searching Transport Vehicles 70.1.3 Procedures, Transporting by Vehicle 70.1.4 Interruption of Transport 70.1.5 Prisoner Communication 70.1.6 Procedures, Transport Destination 70.1.7 Procedures, Escape 70.1.8 Notify Court of Security Hazard 70.2 Restraining Devices 70.2.1 Prisoner Restraint Requirement 70.3 Special Transport Situations 70.3.1 Sick, Injured, Disabled 70.3.2 Hospital Security and Control 70.3.3 Special Situations 70.4 Transport Equipment 70.4.1 Vehicle Safety Barriers

70.4.2 Rear Compartment Modifications 70.5 Documentation 70.5.1 Prisoner ID and Documentation

71 Processing and Temporary Detention

71.1 Authorization
71.1.1 Designate Rooms or Areas
71.2 Training
71.2.1 Training of Personnel
71.3 Detainee Processing and Control
71.3.1 Procedures
71.3.2 Immovable Objects
71.3.3 Security
71.4 Temporary Detention Facility Conditions
71.4.1 Physical Conditions
71.4.2 Fire Prevention/Suppression
71.4.3 Inspections
71.5 Processing and Testing
71.5.1 Security Concerns in Designated Processing or Testing Rooms/Areas

72 Holding Facility

72.1 Organization, Administration, and Management 72.1.1 Training User Personnel 72.1.2 Access, Nonessential Persons 72.1.3 Records Security 72.2 Physical Plant 72.2.1 Minimum Conditions 72.3 Safety and Sanitation 72.3.1 Fire, Heat, Smoke Detection System, Inspections 72.3.2 Posted Evacuation Plan 72.3.3 Weekly Sanitation Inspection 72.4 Security and Control 72.4.1 Securing Firearms 72.4.2 Entering Occupied Cells 72.4.3 Key Control 72.4.4 Facility Door Security 72.4.5 Security Checks 72.4.6 Security Inspections 72.4.7 Tool and Culinary Equipment 72.4.8 Alerting Control Point 72.4.9 Panic Alarms 72.4.10. Procedures, Escape 72.4.11 Report, Threats to Facility 72.5 Detainee Processing 72.5.1 Detainee Searches

72.5.2 Intake Forms 72.5.3 Sight and Sound Separation 72.5.4 Segregation 72.5.5 Procedure, Outside Detainees 72.5.6 Procedure, Exceeding Capacity 72.5.7 Identification, Released Detainees 72.6 Medical and Health Care Services 72.6.1 Procedure, Medical Assistance 72.6.2 First Aid Kit 72.6.3 Receiving-Screening Information 72.6.4 Posted Access to Medical Service 72.6.5 Dispensing Pharmaceuticals 72.7 Detainee Rights 72.7.1 Procedure, Detainee Rights 72.8 Supervision of Detainees 72.8.1 24-Hour Supervision 72.8.2 Audio/Visual Surveillance 72.8.3 Supervision, Opposite Sex 72.8.4 Receiving Mail/Packages 72.8.5 Visiting

73 Court Security

73.1 Administration 73.1.1 Role, Authority, Policies 73.2 Operations 73.2.1 Facilities, Equipment, Security Survey 73.3 Security Policy and Procedures 73.3.1 Weapon Lockboxes 73.3.2 Use of Restraints 73.4 Equipment 73.4.1 Identification, Availability, Operational Readiness 73.4.2 External Communications 73.4.3 Duress Alarms 73.5 Court Holding Facilities 73.5.1 Training 73.5.2 Detainee Searches 73.5.3 Detainee Property Security 73.5.4 Segregation 73.5.5 Procedure for Medical Assistance 73.5.6 First Aid Kit 73.5.7 Access of Nonessential Persons 73.5.8 Minimum Conditions 73.5.9 Fire Alarm System 73.5.10 Evacuation Plan 73.5.11 Sanitation Inspection 73.5.12 Securing Firearms

73.5.13 Entering Occupied Cells
73.5.14 Key Control
73.5.15 Facility Door Security
73.5.16 Security Checks
73.5.17 Security Inspections
73.5.18 Designated Control Point
73.5.19 Panic Alarms
73.5.20 Escape Procedures
73.5.21 Report of Threats to Facility
73.5.22 Posted Access to Medical Service
73.5.23 Audio/Visual Surveillance

73.5.24 Supervision of Opposite Sex

74 Legal Process

74.1 Records

74.1.1 Information, Recording
74.1.2 Execution/Attempt Service, Recording
74.1.3 Warrant/Wanted Person Procedures
74.2 Civil Process
74.2.1 Procedure, Civil Service
74.3 Criminal Process
74.3.1 Procedure, Criminal Process
74.3.2 Arrest Warrants Require Sworn Service

81 Communications

81.1 Administration 81.1.1 Agreements, Shared/Regional Facility **81.1.2** Operations Meet FCC Requirements 81.2 Operations 81.2.1 24 Hour, Toll-Free Service 81.2.2 Continuous, Two-Way Capability 81.2.3 Recording Information 81.2.4 Radio Communications Procedures 81.2.5 Access to Resources 81.2.6 Victim/Witness Calls 81.2.7 Victim/Witness Requests for Information 81.2.8 Recording and Playback 81.2.9 Local/State/Federal CJI Systems 81.2.10 Alternative Methods of Communication 81.2.11 Emergency Messages 81.2.12 Misdirected Emergency Calls 81.2.13 Private Security Alarms 81.2.14 First Aid Over Phone 81.3 Facilities and Equipment 81.3.1 Communications Center Security

81.3.2 Alternate Power Source 81.3.3 Telephone System 81.3.4 Mobile/Portable Radios

82 Central Records

82.1 Administration 82.1.1 Privacy and Security 82.1.2 Juvenile Records 82.1.3 Records Retention Schedule 82.1.4 UCR/NIBRS 82.1.5 Report Accounting System 82.1.6 Computer File Backup and Storage 82.1.7 Computerized Security Protocol 82.2 Field Reporting and Management 82.2.1 Field Reporting System 82.2.2 Reporting Requirements 82.2.3 Case Numbering System 82.2.4 Report Distribution 82.2.5 Reports by Phone, Mail or Internet 82.3 Records 82.3.1 Master Name Index 82.3.2 Index File 82.3.3 Traffic Records System 82.3.4 Traffic Citation Maintenance 82.3.5 Operational Component Record 82.3.6 ID Number and Criminal History

83 Collection and Preservation of Evidence

83.1 Administration
83.1.1 24 Hour Availability
83.2 Operations
83.2.1 Guidelines and Procedures
83.2.2 Photography and Video Tapes
83.2.3 Fingerprinting
83.2.4 Equipment and Supplies
83.2.5 Procedures, Seizure of Computer Equipment
83.2.6 Report Preparation
83.2.7 DNA Evidence Collection
83.3 Evidence Handling
83.3.1 Collecting from Known Source
83.3.2 Evidence, Laboratory Submission

84 Property and Evidence Control

84.1 Administration and Operation

84.1.1 Evidence/Property Control System

84.1.2 Storage and Security

84.1.3 Temporary Security

84.1.4 Security of Controlled Substances, Weapons for Training

84.1.5 Records, Status of Property

84.1.6 Inspections and Reports

84.1.7 Final Disposition

84.1.8 Property Acquired through the Civil Process

91 Campus Law Enforcement

91.1 General Supplement 91.1.1 Risk Assessment and Analysis 91.1.2 Out of Agency Budget Coordination 91.1.3 Campus Background Investigation 91.1.4 Campus Security Escort Service 91.1.5 Emergency Notification System 91.1.7 Behavioral Threat Assessment 91.1.8 Security Camera Responsibilities 91.1.9 Emergency Only Phones and Devices 91.1.10 Administrative Investigation Procedures 91.2 Medical Centers 91.2.1 Agency Role and Responsibilities 91.2.2 Personnel Assigned to Medical Centers 91.2.3 First Responses Responsibilities 91.3 Research Intensive Facilities 91.3.1 Agency Role and Responsibilities 91.4 Administration 91.4.1 Position Responsible for Clery Act