- 1 Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
- 2 RPTS LEWANDOWSKI
- 3 HII042000
- 4
- 5
- 6 OVERSIGHT HEARING ON:
- 7 POLICY PRIORITIES FOR THE ADMINISTRATION'S
- 8 FISCAL YEAR 2021 BUDGET FOR THE INSULAR AREAS
- 9 Tuesday, February 11, 2020
- 10 House of Representatives,
- 11 Committee on Natural Resources,
- 12 Office of Insular Affairs
- 13 Washington, D.C.
- 14
- 15
- 16

The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 2:09 p.m., in
Room 1324, Longworth House Office Building, Hon. Gregorio
Sablan [Vice Chair of the Committee] presiding.
Present: Vice Chair Sablan, and Representatives Case,
Cox, Cunningham, San Nicolas, Soto,; and González-Colón.
Also present: Representative Plaskett.

I apologize, I was at a markup that was 24 *Mr. Sablan. supposed to last an hour-and-a-half, and it lasted, like, 25 four hours, so the Committee will now come to order. 26 The Committee is meeting today to hear testimony on the 27 28 Policy Priorities of the Trump Administration's Fiscal Year 2021 Budget for the Insular Areas. 29 Under Committee rule 4(f), any oral opening statements 30 at hearings are limited to the Chairman and the Ranking 31 Minority Member. 32 33 Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that all other Members' opening statements be made part of the hearing 34 record if they are submitted to the clerk by 5:00 p.m. 35 36 today. Hearing no objection, so ordered. 37 I also ask unanimous consent for the gentlelady from the 38 U.S. Virgin Islands -- she will be here -- Ms. Plaskett, to 39 sit on the dais and question the witnesses at today's 40 hearing. 41 Without objection, so ordered. 42

And I now recognize myself for my opening statement.

45 STATEMENT OF THE HON. GREGORIO KILILI CAMACHO SABLAN, A
46 DELEGATE IN CONGRESS FROM THE TERRITORY OF THE NORTHERN
47 MARIANA ISLANDS

48

49 *Mr. Sablan. Good afternoon again, everyone, and thank 50 you very much for joining us this afternoon. Thank you to 51 the governors, Governor Guerrero, Governor Bryan, from -- and 52 Lieutenant Governor Palacios, for being here for this hearing 53 on the President's budget proposal for Fiscal Year 2021.

I know Washington is a long way from home, and you will have to make best use of your limited time here. Obviously, you think it is important to let Congress know what your financial needs are for the coming fiscal year because Congress appropriates the money.

I want you to know that the Office of Insular Affairs 59 was also invited to be here to explain and defend the 60 President's budget, and they declined. And given the way 61 they wanted to cut your funding, I can understand why they 62 may not want to be here. So we will proceed without them. 63 64 I would like to take a quick look back before we talk about the future. We made some important decisions for you 65 in Fiscal Year 2020. When the governors were here a year 66 ago, we were on the brink of a medical cliff. Your Obamacare 67 money was running out, and the longstanding goal of State --68 was unfulfilled. That has all changed. 69

You wanted a federal local Medicaid match like a 70 State's. Congress gave you an 83-17 federal-local match, 71 better than any State gets. You wanted unlimited federal 72 money like a State. I can't say we have got unlimited money, 73 74 but in the case of the Marianas, for example, Congress provided \$60 million. That is nine times more than current 75 law, exactly what the governor's office told us would cover 76 77 their costs.

78 What we did not get you is a permanent fix. Congress 79 was ready to give you six years of funding. I understand 80 that the White House is responsible for cutting that back to 81 two years. It was the last item on the agenda before the 82 President said he was -- may consider threatening vetoing the 83 budget if six years of funding for Medicaid for Puerto Rico 84 and other territories are in there.

So now the ball is in your court. Congress wants you to 85 86 have more Medicaid money, and we are willing to give you a very generous FMAP, just what the governors are asking for. 87 But I have to caution you. If the insular areas do not use 88 this Medicaid money with a federal-local match better than 89 any State, then it will be very difficult for your 90 representatives here in Congress to make the case for 91 continuing Medicaid funding at this level beyond two years. 92 Please, you are getting exactly what you asked for. So 93 use it, or it will be very difficult to keep this level of 94

95 medical funding.

I would also like to note that all the insular areas are 96 in recovery mode. Hurricanes in the Caribbean, typhoons and 97 cyclones in the Pacific have had a devastating impact on 98 99 individuals, families, public infrastructure, and your economies. Congress has provided, literally, billions of 100 dollars for the recovery. In last year's Disaster Relief Act 101 102 we set aside 129 million specifically for the Northern Marianas' recovery. The Marianas is getting \$249 million in 103 104 CDBG-DR funds. And all the insular areas have access to recovery money from the EDA, the Agriculture Department, the 105 106 Army Corps of Engineers, and other federal agencies. 107 I want to let you know -- and I think I can speak for all the insular representatives -- we are tracking the money 108 Congress appropriated for you. If you are having any 109 problems getting that money, if agencies are slow to respond, 110 or put up any roadblocks, let us know, please. We want you 111 back on your feet as quickly as possible. 112 [The prepared statement of The Chairman follows:] 113 114 115

116

*Mr. Sablan. During your statements today and during questioning there will be ample opportunity to discuss the specifics of the President's budget.

120 And so I will now turn to the distinguished gentlelady 121 from Puerto Rico, the Ranking Member, for her opening 122 statement.

124 STATEMENT OF THE HON. JENNIFFER GONZALEZ-COLON, A DELEGATE IN 125 CONGRESS FROM THE TERRITORY OF PUERTO RICO

126

127 *Miss González-Colón. Thank you, Chairman, and I 128 welcome all the governors here. For me it is a pleasure to 129 have governors coming from far, from the Pacific and the 130 Caribbean, to join us today.

131 And I do know personally how difficult it is to, as a territory, fight a lot of the issues that have us together. 132 133 I want to say welcome to our friend, Madeleine Bordallo, as well, always a Member and representative of Guam. And, of 134 135 course, our current congressman from Guam, Mr. San Nicolas, 136 and Mr. Sablan, and the Governor of Northern Mariana -- the Lieutenant Governor of the Northern Mariana Islands, as well 137 as the Governor of the Virgin Islands and Guam. I am happy 138 to have you here. 139

Today we intend to analyze the priorities and other 140 efforts contained in the President's fiscal budget 2021 141 request for insular areas, which used \$89 billion for current 142 143 appropriations, and \$619 million with permanent funding. These represent a \$19 million decrease over the Fiscal 144 Year 2020, but I think it is important to establish that this 145 budget was just presented yesterday. So in order -- many of 146 147 us haven't finished even reading how that will impact the whole federal access to many programs. And, of course, every 148

budget that is presented by the President during last four years never has been approved after it has come to Congress. So this is the reason we want to have your input in many of those areas, so we can work together.

And in order to have something done, it needs to be in a bipartisan way. In that sense, the budget was released, and there is still much to review. I realize it may be somewhat difficult to comment in detail without having had more time to analyze this budget. I do, however, welcome the discussion to hear each island's top priorities. And I think that is one of the most important things.

As Members of Congress representing the islands, we tried to get some common agenda in the past, and we have been effective in doing so.

163 The Office of Insular Affairs within the Department of 164 the Interior is responsible for carrying out responsibilities 165 for all U.S. territories, except Puerto Rico. These are 166 American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. 167 Virgin Islands.

And, in addition to providing assistance to these four territories, this office is charged with overseeing federal assistance under the Compact of Free Association, with -which the United States has signed with the three freely associated states, the Republic of Palau, the States of Micronesia, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

Given the geographical location and size of the four 174 small U.S. territories and the freely associated states, each 175 one faces unique challenges. These can be in the form of 176 economic, health, health care quality, infrastructure, and 177 178 many others. As we know too well in Puerto Rico, these challenges are only exacerbated by unequal treatment 179 Americans receive in territories -- multiple federal programs 180 such as Medicaid, Medicare, SSI, among many other programs 181 that treat us differently. And that is the reason we all, 182 183 every time we can, work together to achieve equality in many 184 areas.

185 My hope is that we can work together to address each 186 island's priorities in an efficient way that is beneficial to both the taxpayers' money and the residents that call the 187 U.S. territories home. With your help, Mr. Chairman, I would 188 like to continue the Committee review of the Fiscal Year 2021 189 Office of Insular Affairs budget request with the Department 190 of the Interior. Having their participation in this process 191 will be crucial. 192

And again, I want to say thank you, and I look forward to hearing your testimony here. I think there is a lot of things that can be done.

I know one of the issues that is not in the purview of today's hearing is the cockfighting ban. And we just talked about that before the hearing. We also face the same

199 situation. For Puerto Rico this is an issue of economic 200 development, because of the jobs that are created, the tax 201 revenues that are created, as well.

I know that you may be not prepared today to discuss that issue, but I will be more than happy to receive your comments in writing regarding those to see how we can push together, not just a federal provision of that ban. Some states -- in our case, we just state-legislated to try to mediate these, but I know that will not be enough.

208 So with that, I yield back.

*Mr. Sablan. Thank you very much. Under -- and so
 let me introduce the witnesses. We have the Honorable Albert
 Bryan, Jr., Governor of the United States Virgin Islands.

212 Welcome, Governor.

213 We have Lieutenant Governor Arnold I. Palacios, for the 214 Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Lt. Governor, welcome.

And, of course, the Honorable Lou Leon Guerrero,

217 Governor of Guam.

218 Welcome, Governor.

I would also like to acknowledge the presence of a former colleague, Ms. Bordallo, and also the presence of -if I am not mistaken, he is -- I can see him, the former Staff Director for Insular Affairs under this Committee, Mr. Babauta, and also the Honorable Mr. Babauta, former Assistant 224 Secretary of the Interior.

Welcome.

Under our Committee rules, oral statements are limited to five minutes, but your entire statement will appear in the hearing record.

The lights in front of you will turn yellow when there is one-minute left, and then red when time is expired.

Now, after the witnesses have testified, Members will be given the opportunity to ask questions.

233 So let me start with Governor Bryan of the United States 234 Virgin Islands.

235 You left five minutes, sir. Press the button in front 236 of you, please.

238 STATEMENT OF THE HON. ALBERT BRYAN, JR., GOVERNOR, U.S.

239 VIRGIN ISLANDS

240

241 *Governor Bryan. Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman,242 Vice Chairman Sablan, and Committee Members. Thank you for243 the opportunity to appear here today to outline the244 priorities of the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the important role245 of the Department of the Interior's Office of Insular Affairs246 in helping us achieve our goals.

The timing of this hearing is fortuitous. As we recover from the widespread damage and destruction caused by the two devastating category 5 hurricanes that hit in late 2017, we must focus on fundamentals -- long-term, fundamental, longerterm issues -- that need to be addressed by Congress, including your Committee and OIA.

Further, as recent experiences in Puerto Rico have 253 shown, that -- the United States must recognize its special 254 responsibilities for the U.S. territories, and potentially 255 deleterious effects that federal policies can have on the 256 257 territories and U.S. citizens therein. The United States must face those responsibilities head on, and commit 258 sufficient federal resources to fulfill its responsibilities 259 to the territories on a sustainable basis. 260

The Virgin Islands believes the time is right for a comprehensive revision of the territory's economic 263 relationship with the United States, one that will place the 264 territory on a path to true and sustainable fiscal health.

As part of its longstanding tax relationship with the 265 Virgin Islands, Congress has historically provided that all 266 267 federal taxes on all products, including rum manufactured in the Virgin Islands, be returned or covered over to the local 268 treasury. Rum tax revenues covered over to the Virgin 269 270 Islands constitute a major source of funding for the territory, and are used to finance essential public services, 271 272 and to securitize the territory's bonds and facilitate the territory's future access to the capital markets. 273

The timing of these temporary extensions often causes budget planning problems and uncertainties for the Virgin Islands. The Virgin Islands requests that OIA and the Committee support the Virgin Islands' efforts in Congress to make the temporary rate permanent, and thereby avoid the need for periodic, often last-minute increases.

The cover-over provision that results in the Virgin Islands receiving the federal tax revenues on rum products applies broadly to tax revenues generated by all articles produced in the Virgin Islands and transported to the United States.

From the mid-1960s through 2012, a major oil refinery operated on the island of St. Croix and generated substantial federal excise taxes, which, on the face of the governing

statute, should have been recovered to the Virgin Islands treasury in the same manner as rum excise taxes. The Virgin Islands requests that OIA and the Committee work with other committees to effect passage of an amendment that finally seals and returns Section 7652 to its intended function and requires that fuel excise taxes be included in the cover-over program.

295 The federal Earned Income Tax Credit, or the EITC, and the Child Tax Credit are intended to encourage and foster 296 297 work among low-income individuals. The Federal Government effectively funds their programs for all States and the 298 District of Columbia through the IRC. While a worthy goal, 299 these tax credits have unintended and unfair consequences in 300 the Virgin Islands, as well as other territories, due to its 301 status as a mirror tax code system. Unlike in the States and 302 D.C., the cost of the Earned Income Tax Credit is borne 303 304 solely by the fiscally stressed Virgin Islands and Guam, a cost which neither territory can bear. As a matter of 305 fairness, and to avoid imposing an onerous financial burden 306 307 on the local treasury, Congress should provide for federal 308 reimbursement.

The Virgin Islands would like to thank this body for all of the work that it has done to secure Medicaid to thousands of Virgin Islanders. But that issue still remains unresolved.

We also have many requests into Congress in terms of providing for visa waivers to make us more sustainable, in terms of allowing visitors not only for medical needs, but for tourism. And we are asking for the support in this in the homeland security.

Our infrastructure has stayed at the same level from federal highway funds for over 30 years now and continues to be an issue for Virgin Islanders.

Lastly, I would like to say the Insular Areas Act of --321 322 has expressed a policy of Congress that the four small territories should be provided certain flexibilities under 323 324 federal grant programs. Importantly, the Act, as amended, 325 mandates that the Department of the Interior shall waive matching requirements for all insular areas under all of its 326 grants programs and requires all other departments and 327 agencies to waive any requirement for local matching. 328

However, FEMA has not waived the local match for most categories of public assistance in response to hurricanes Irma and Maria, requiring that the Virgin Islands come up with millions of dollars that are still being supplied by a federal mandate under the CDBG-DR.

334 The GVI proposes that the Act be amended to provide a 335 statutory presumption in favor of waiving the local share to 336 foster economic development and stability, and to update the 337 relationship of the territories to the Federal Government.

There is no other land State in the union that has as 338 339 much federal land, percentage-wise, as the Virgin Islands. Though the Office of Insular Affairs owns 66 percent of St. 340 John, yet our payment in lieu of taxes is a mere \$30,000, 341 342 compared to -- that should be closer to \$30 million. Just on a glance, the Federal Government owns more than 14 percent of 343 the land in the Virgin Islands, and all we get is a 30 344 345 percent.

Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to testify before this Committee. We have submitted a full written testimony that expands on the subject matter that I have briefly discussed. While many of these issues are longstanding, we look forward to working with this Committee and the Office of Insular Affairs to bring final resolution to these matters.

353 Our goal is not simply to survive, but our goal is to 354 thrive. Thank you.

355 [The prepared statement of Governor Bryan follows:]

Good afternoon Chairman Grijalva, Ranking Member Bishop, and Committee members. Thank you for the opportunity to appear here today to outline the priorities of the U.S. Virgin Islands and the important role of the Department of the Interior's Office of Insular Affairs ("OIA") in helping us achieve our goals.

362 The Committee on Natural Resources (the "Committee") is

primarily responsible for federal legislation impacting the 363 U.S. Virgin Islands and the other U.S. Territories. 364 The Committee also has an important role in working with other 365 committees to ensure that those committees, in developing and 366 367 considering federal legislation, fully consider the Territories' unique status and needs and the potential impact 368 of legislation on the Territories. The Committee also oversees 369 370 OIA, which is the federal agency tasked with assisting the Territories in promoting sustainable economic 371 arowth, 372 fostering development, and otherwise improving the lives of their citizens, and acting as a liaison between the Territories 373 374 and the federal government.

375 The timing of this hearing is fortuitous. As we recover from widespread damage and destruction caused by the two 376 devastating Category 5 hurricanes that hit in late 2017, we 377 must focus on fundamental, longer term issues that need to be 378 addressed by Congress, including your Committee, and OIA. 379 Further, as recent experiences in Puerto Rico have shown, the 380 United States must recognize its special responsibilities for 381 382 the U.S. Territories and the potentially deleterious effects that federal policies can have on the Territories and the U.S. 383 citizens therein. The United States face 384 must those sufficient responsibilities head-on and commit federal 385 386 resources to fulfill its responsibilities to the Territories on a sustainable basis. 387

The Virgin Islands believes the time is right for a 388 revision of Territory's 389 comprehensive the economic relationship with the United States-one that will place the 390 Territory on the path to true and sustainable fiscal health. 391 392 I will focus today on issues of critical importance to the economic development and fiscal stability of the Territory, 393 394 along with specific recommendations for action by the Committee 395 and OIA.

396

Taxes

397 A logical starting point in considering how the federal government can help create the investment climate 398 for sustainable economic growth is the critical role of federal 399 400 tax policy. Federal tax policy has an outsized impact on the economy of the Virgin Islands-a positive impact when it is 401 designed and applied properly, and a negative impact when it 402 is not. As discussed below, Congress and OIA have a critical 403 role to play in how the federal tax code impacts our Territory, 404 our economy, and our quality of life. 405

406

Rum Tax Legislation

As part of its long-standing tax relationship with the Virgin Islands, Congress has historically provided that all federal taxes on all products-including rum-manufactured in the Virgin Islands be returned, or "covered-over," to the local treasury. Rum tax revenues covered-over to the Virgin Islands constitute a major source of funding for the Territory, and

are used to finance essential public services and to securitize 413 the Territory's bonds and facilitate the Territory's future 414 access to the capital markets. Permanent law provides that 415 \$10.50 of the \$13.50 per proof gallon tax is covered-over to 416 417 the Virgin Islands, and \$0.25 per proof gallon is retained by the U.S. Treasury. Cover-over of the remainder (\$2.75 per proof 418 gallon), however, has required a series of temporary fixes by 419 420 Congress. Most recently, after Hurricanes Irma and Maria, Congress extended the temporary rate through December 31, 2022. 421 422 The timing of the temporary extensions often causes budget planning problems and uncertainties for the Virgin Islands. 423 The Virgin Islands requests that OIA and the Committee support 424 425 the Virgin Islands' efforts in Congress to make the temporary rate permanent and thereby avoid the need for periodic (often 426 last-minute) increases. 427

428

Fuel Tax Legislation

429 The "cover-over" provision that results in the Virgin Islands receiving the federal tax revenues on rum products 430 produced in the Virgin Islands applies broadly to tax revenues 431 432 generated by all "articles produced in the Virgin Islands and transported to the United States." 26 U.S.C. § 7562(b). From 433 the mid-1960s through 2012, a major oil refinery operated on 434 the island of St. Croix and generated substantial federal 435 excise taxes, which-on the face of the governing statute-should 436 have been covered over into the Virgin Islands treasury in the 437

438 same manner as rum excise taxes. In the late 1970s, the 439 governments of the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico brought suit 440 against the United States seeking to compel the "cover over" 441 of gasoline excise taxes into their respective treasuries. The 442 Virgin Islands initially prevailed in the U.S. District Court 443 and was awarded hundreds of millions of dollars in gasoline 444 excise tax revenues.

445 On appeal, however, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed, thus extinguishing the Virgin 446 447 Islands' legal claim as well as any basis for settlement. The D.C. Circuit based its decision to reject the Virgin Islands' 448 claim on a judicially created distinction that-despite the 449 statute's unambiguous application to "all taxes imposed by" 450 the United States "on articles produced in the Virgin Islands 451 and transported to the United States"-limited the types of 452 federal taxes that were subject to cover-over. The GVI sought 453 Supreme Court review of the decision but was denied. Under the 454 principles of res judicata, the D.C. Circuit's decision is 455 final and cannot be re-litigated. 456

457 Congress, however, has the power to legislatively 458 overturn the judiciary's decision, which essentially re-wrote 459 the cover-over statute to limit its application in ways that 460 cannot be justified under the statute's plain language. An 461 amendment to Section 7652 (b) clarifying the scope of the cover-462 over program would be sufficient to right this historical wrong 463 and return the cover-over provision to its original purpose 464 and effect.

Restoring the cover-over provision to its original 465 breadth would provide the Virgin Islands with a critical source 466 467 of revenue that would play a key role in returning the Territory to long-term fiscal health. The St. Croix refinery, 468 idled in 2012, is set to re-open in 2020 and resume refining 469 470 operations on a smaller, environmentally friendlier scale. Because the refinery has not been operating, the excise tax 471 472 revenue it generates will be new revenue, such that covering those revenues into the GVI treasury will not deprive the 473 474 federal treasury of any existing revenue streams.

The Virgin Islands requests that OIA and the Committee work with other committees to effect passage of an amendment that returns Section 7652(b) to its intended function and requires that fuel excise taxes be included in the cover-over program.

480

Economic Growth Incentives

Federal tax policy can play a critical role in creating the investment climate to help the Territory generate sustainable economic growth, create jobs, and improve its longterm fiscal health. In furtherance of these goals, the Virgin Islands requests that the Committee and OIA support fair and balanced tax rules for the Territories, including the possessions tax rules enacted as part of the American Jobs

488 Creation Act of 2004 ("Jobs Act") and the "GILTI" rules enacted 489 as part of the 2017 Tax Act.

490 Legislation to modify the qualified income rules and 491 provide parity among Territories in treatment of capital 492 gains

The Virgin Islands and other Territories face unique 493 economic challenges as a result of their geographic distance, 494 lack of natural resources, and general small island limitations 495 on scale. In the case of the Virgin Islands, these challenges 496 497 have been exacerbated by harsh income sourcing rules implementing the possessions provisions of the Jobs Act. As a 498 result, the once-promising Virgin Islands economic development 499 500 programs dramatically slowed, and the Territorial government has been left with few tools to address its stagnant private 501 sector economy and resulting fiscal problems. 502

The sourcing rules, particularly whether income may be 503 deemed "effectively connected" with a V.I. trade or business 504 505 ("V.I. ECI"), should be based on established tax precedentsspecifically, the principles embodied in Treasury's model 506 507 income tax treaty. At the very least, even under Treasury's of V.I. ECI, 508 narrower definition Treasury should not discriminate against U.S. source income (in favor of foreign 509 source income) in the determination of V.I. ECI. Accordingly, 510 Congress should modify the U.S. income limitation in Internal 511 Revenue Code ("IRC") Section 937 to exclude only U.S. source 512

513 income generated by activities in the United States 514 (attributable to a U.S. office or fixed place of business).

In addition, an anomaly in the Code allows Puerto Rico to provide more favorable treatment of capital gains from the sale of personal property held by a Puerto Rico taxpayer than is available to similarly situated taxpayers in the mirror-code Territories. There is no sound policy reason for treating mirror code possessions differently from non-mirror code possessions.

522 Congress should modify the "effectively connected" income rules for possessions in Section 937(b)(2)-enacted as part of 523 524 the Jobs Act-by modifying the U.S. income limitation to exclude 525 only U.S. source (or effectively connected) income attributable to a U.S. office or place of business. Congress 526 should also ensure parity of capital gains tax treatment with 527 Puerto Rico and other U.S. possessions by clarifying in Section 528 529 865(j)(3) that capital gains income earned by V.I. taxpayers should be deemed to constitute V.I. source income under the 530 general sourcing rules without regard to the tax rate imposed 531 532 by the V.I. government. This modification is reflected in H.R. 411 and H.R. 412, both as introduced by Congresswoman Stacey 533 Plaskett on January 9, 2019, and referred to the Committee on 534 Ways and Means. The Virgin Islands therefore requests that OIA 535 536 and the Committee work with Treasury and other committees to effect passage of these Bills. 537

538 Legislation to modify rigid residency requirements

In addition, the Jobs Act created onerous residency 539 requirements for the Virgin Islands that inhibit 540 the Territory's ability to attract investment. In the Jobs Act, 541 542 Congress provided Treasury authority to modify the rules for determining bona fide possessions residency. The Virgin 543 Islands has urged Treasury to exercise its authority to 544 545 consider amendments to the rules, where appropriate, that would give greater deference to Congress' goals of encouraging 546 547 economic and private sector development in the Virgin Islands and the other U.S. possessions. 548

Under IRC Section 932, a "bona fide" resident of the 549 550 Virgin Islands (i.e., a tax resident) may satisfy his or her U.S. income tax obligation by filing in, and paying the 551 applicable tax to, the Virgin Islands. Under Section 934, the 552 Virgin Islands is authorized to reduce tax on V.I. source 553 income and V.I. ECI. Prior to the Jobs Act, the determination 554 of "bona fide" V.I. tax residency was based on the totality of 555 individual's facts and circumstances (the "facts and 556 an 557 circumstances" test). However, Section 937, added by the Jobs Act, provides that a "bona fide" resident of the Virgin Islands 558 is a person who meets all elements of a three-part test 559 (physical presence, tax home, and closer connection tests). 560 561 Treasury has provided only very limited flexibility from the physical presence test by allowing V.I. residents to treat up 562

to 30 days of off-island travel outside of the

564 U.S. as "constructive presence."

587

The proper test for bona fide V.I. residency should be 565 the test the IRS applies under IRC Section 7701(b) to determine 566 567 whether a foreign individual residing in the United States has sufficient presence in the United States to justify subjecting 568 that individual to U.S. taxing jurisdiction in the same manner 569 570 as U.S. citizens. Under that test, such foreign individual must be physically present at least 183 days in any one tax year, 571 or an average of 122 days a year over any three-year moving 572 period. Despite Treasury's ample discretionary authority to 573 adopt the122-day test, Treasury has taken the position that 574 the Jobs Act prevents it from doing so. The Virgin Islands 575 therefore requests that the Committee affirm to Treasury that 576 it has authority to address the inequities in the Jobs Act 577 residency requirements. This proposal is contained in H.R. 412. 578 579 Legislation to Address Inequities in the CFC Tax Regime The U.S. tax system includes certain anti-deferral rules 580 under which a "U.S. shareholder" that owns stock in a 581 582 "controlled foreign corporation" (a "CFC") is required to include in gross income its pro rata share of, among other 583 items, (i) the CFC's Subpart F income, and (ii) the CFC's "global 584 intangible low-taxed income"("GILTI"). A CFC's Subpart F 585 586 income includes a range of items, including items of passive

income such as dividends, interest, rents, royalties and

annuities. Very generally, the amount of a CFC's GILTI is the CFC's income above a 10-percent annual return on the tax basis of its tangible assets. These rules result in unfavorable treatment of Virgin Islands corporations and their shareholders in at least two ways.

First, these rules inexplicably fail to provide Virgin 593 Islands corporations with the benefit of an exclusion that 594 595 benefits similarly situated corporations in other possessions. Under current U.S. tax law, certain Virgin Islands corporations 596 597 can be subject to classification as CFCs, causing negative U.S. tax consequences to their U.S. investors, while similarly 598 situated Puerto Rico corporations (and other possessions 599 corporations) are excluded from CFC classification. This is 600 simply not fair. nor is it supportable from a tax policy 601 perspective. 602

There is no rationale for this unfavorable treatment of 603 Virgin Islands corporations and their shareholders, which 604 diverts needed capital investments away from the Virgin Islands 605 to other U.S. possessions. To rectify this unfavorable 606 607 treatment and bring tax parity to investments in the possessions, we propose that Section 957(c) be amended to 608 expand the exclusion from the definition of United States 609 person to include bona fide residents of the Virgin Islands. 610

611 Second, the application of GILTI to corporations in the 612 Virgin Islands limits the effectiveness of the Virgin Islands

economic development programs and is inconsistent with the 613 longstanding tax relationship between Congress and the Virgin 614 Islands. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 introduced a new 615 616 tax on a U.S. shareholder's GILTI earned by a CFC. The GILTI 617 tax, by increasing the tax on U.S. investment in Virgin Islands is particularly harmful to Virgin 618 businesses, Islands corporations given that, as described above, they do not 619 620 benefit from the Section 957(c) exclusion that benefits similarly situated corporations in other possessions. 621

622 Under the GILTI rules, a corporate U.S. shareholder in a Virgin Islands corporation that is a CFC generally would be 623 subject to tax at a rate of 10.5 percent (increasing to a rate 624 625 of 13.125 percent beginning in 2026) on a broad class of the Virgin Islands corporation's income, even if that Virgin 626 627 Islands corporation is conducting an active business and otherwise meets the applicable criteria to qualify for a lower 628 629 rate of tax with respect to such income under a Virgin Islands 630 economic development program and Section 934(b)(1) of the IRC.

To protect the viability of the Virgin Islands' Economic 631 632 Development Commission (EDC) and other economic development programs and to encourage investment in economic development 633 in the Territories, the GILTI inclusion received from CFCs 634 formed in the Territories should be exempt from tax. 635 This 636 critical change could be accomplished by excluding corporations formed in the Virgin Islands and other Territories 637

from the definition of "controlled foreign corporation" for 638 purposes of Code Section 951A. Without this change, almost all 639 potential investors in the Territories, other than investments 640 from residents of the Territories, will be subject to the GILTI 641 642 inclusion, including hotels, manufacturing operations, and high-tech businesses. Absent a full exemption, the effective 643 rate of tax on GILTI inclusions from possessions corporation 644 645 should be reduced. This could be accomplished for corporate U.S. shareholders by increasing the amount of the deduction 646 647 for GILTI inclusions that are attributable to possessions corporations. The Virgin Islands therefore requests that OIA 648 649 and the Committee work with Treasury and other committees to 650 amend the GILTI provisions that would exempt, or reduce the rate of tax applicable to, GILTI inclusions attributable to 651 possessions corporations. 652

Legislation to reimburse the Virgin Islands and other 653 mirror code Territories for the cost of the EITC and CTC 654 The federal Earned Income Tax Credit ("EITC") and Child 655 Tax Credit ("CTC") are intended to encourage and foster work 656 657 among low-income individuals. The federal government effectively funds these programs for all States and the 658 District of Columbia through the IRC. While a worthy goal, 659 these tax credits have unintended and unfair consequences in 660 661 the Virgin Islands due to its status as a mirror tax code jurisdiction. Unlike in States and D.C., the cost of the EITC 662

is borne solely by the fiscally-stressed Virgin Islands, a costwhich the Territory cannot bear.

The EITC costs the GVI approximately from \$18,045,792.29 665 in 2015 to \$8,318,616.08 in 2018, given a reduction in 666 667 population. As a matter of fairness, and to avoid imposing an onerous financial burden on the local treasury, Congress should 668 provide for federal reimbursement for the cost of the EITC 669 incurred by mirror code jurisdictions (i.e. the Virgin Islands 670 and Guam). There is ample precedent for such reimbursement. 671 See, e.g., American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 672 (ARRA), Div. B, Sections 1001(b) (reimbursement to mirror code 673 possessions for cost of Making Work Pay Credit) and 1004(c) 674 (reimbursement for cost of American Opportunity Tax Credit). 675 Other examples of such reimbursement date back to the 1970's. 676 The CTC is another federal tax credit that imposes costs 677 (in the form of lost local revenue) on the mirror code 678 jurisdictions. Congress has provided to the mirror code 679 jurisdictions federal reimbursement for the cost of the CTC 680 for families with more than two children. The GVI 681 was 682 reimbursed \$3,547,924.93 in 2018. However, there is no federal reimbursement for the cost CTC for families with one or two 683 children. The CTC for such families has reduced the revenues 684 of the GVI by \$8,318,616.08 in 2018, down from \$18,045,792.29 685 in 2015. As a matter of fairness, and to avoid imposing an 686 onerous financial burden on the local treasury, Congress should 687

provide for federal reimbursement for the cost of the CTC for 688 families with any number of children incurred by the mirror 689 jurisdictions. is ample 690 code There precedent for reimbursement, as noted above. Indeed, in its final Report, 691 692 the Congressional Task Force on Puerto Rico recommends that Congress provide federal reimbursement for the costs borne by 693 the mirror code jurisdictions for the CTC. See Task Force Final 694 Report, p. 31, fn. 38. 695

These provisions are critical for not only providing needed fiscal relief for the Virgin Islands but also for maintaining and growing a workforce needed to grow and sustain the Virgin Islands' economy. The Virgin Islands therefore requests that OIA and the Committee work with Treasury and other committees to provide for reimbursement to mirror code jurisdictions of the costs of both the EITC and the CTC.

703

Healthcare and Social Welfare

704 Notwithstanding the additional federal resources that the 705 Affordable Care Act and disaster funding provided, the task of implementing health care reform in the Virgin Islands has 706 707 proven to be challenging, particularly in light of the disparate treatment of the Territories. Significant progress 708 has been made in addressing-in the short term-the Medicaid 709 funding issues in the Territory, but a permanent solution is 710 711 still needed. Further, under Medicare, the Virgin Islandsowned hospitals are under-reimbursed for the costs of providing 712

713 care to the many Medicare-eligible U.S. citizens in the 714 Territory. These challenges can be significantly ameliorated 715 by permanent changes to Medicaid provisions in the Social 716 Security Act and changes to the reimbursement methodology for 717 the hospitals under Medicare.

718 **Medicaid**

The Virgin Islands appreciates the disaster-related 719 720 Medicaid relief, particularly the additional funding and temporary waiver of the local match in the aftermath of 721 722 Hurricanes Irma and Maria, and forthe recently-enacted relief in the final FY2020 appropriations package, specifically a 723 state-like FMAP and a deferral of the "fiscal cliff" for two 724 years. This interim relief avoided the loss of health care 725 coverage for thousands of U.S. citizens in the Virgin Islands 726 727 and a possible collapse of our healthcare system. A permanent solution that provides for state-like treatment for the Virgin 728 Islands and other Territories is needed in order to avoid the 729 same dire consequences recently averted upon enactment of the 730 final FY 2020 appropriations package. The Virgin Islands 731 732 requests the support of OIA and the Committee for legislation that permanently guarantees state-like treatment for the 733 Territories. 734

735

Medicare Reimbursement for Hospitals

The two hospitals in the Virgin Islands are reimbursed for Medicare expenditures based on an outdated methodology established under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 ("TEFRA"), resulting in under-reimbursement in the millions of dollars for each hospital each year. In 2011, the hospitals each submitted to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") a request for assignment of a new base year. Those requests are still pending.

More recently, Hurricanes Irma and Maria destroyed both hospitals to such an extent that they need to be replaced. The Virgin Islands understands that CMS, as a result, will provide the hospitals with new base years, at least going forward. The Virgin Islands requests the support of OIA and the Committee for new base years for both hospitals.

750 Extension of SSI to the Virgin Islands and other 751 Territories

Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") is a federal need-752 based cash assistance program intended to equalize eligibility 753 754 standards and benefit amounts for similarly situated aged, blind, and disabled people. The program was created to replace 755 existing, disparate programs with one that provides an income 756 757 source for the aged, blind, and disabled whose income and resources are below a certain level, and incentives and 758 opportunities for those able to work or be rehabilitated. 759

SSI is a federal entitlement program, paid out of the general revenue of the U.S. However, residents of the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa are not under the SSI

program, despite having needs similar to low-income aged, 763 blind, and disabled persons in other Territories and the 764 States. Instead of SSI, the former federal-state programs of 765 Old-Age Assistance, Aid to the Blind, and Aid to 766 the 767 Permanently and Totally Disabled (AABD) continue to operate in the Virgin Islands. Benefits are capped, which means that the 768 grant in no way considers actual need. There also is a 25% 769 770 local match, and the responsibility to administer these programs falls on the Territory. As a result, benefits are far 771 772 less than those under SSI and far less predictable (benefits can vary significantly from year to year and even within a 773 774 year).

Including the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa in the SSI program would increase benefits for the elderly, blind, and disabled to a level on par with their counterparts on the mainland and CNMI. The Virgin Islands therefore requests that OIA and the Committee work with other committees to effect these necessary changes.

781

Homeland Security

782 Proposed Virgin Islands Special Visa Waiver Program

Tourism is the lifeblood of the Virgin Islands economy. The Virgin Islands is a highly desirable tourist and sporting event destination, and the Territory's ability to attract is limited by the lack of a visa waiver program similar to those in the Pacific Territories. The Virgin Islands seeks authority from Congress or administrative authorization from the Department of Homeland Security to establish a special visa waiver program for the Virgin Islands that mirrors programs currently authorized for, and utilized successfully by, Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas("CNMI").

Executive Order 13597, entitled "Establishing Visa and 793 Foreign Visitor Processing Goals and the Task Force on Travel 794 and Competitiveness" (Jan. 19, 2012), directed the Secretaries 795 of Commerce and Interior to co-lead an inter-agency task force 796 797 to, among other things, develop recommendations fora "National Travel & Tourism Strategy" and increase efforts to expand the 798 national Visa Waiver Program ("VWP"). Pursuant to authority of 799 800 the Immigration and Nationality Act ("Act"), 8 U.S.C. §1184(a)(1), the Attorney General and Secretary have 801 promulgated regulations establishing a national Visa Waiver 802 Program ("VWP") which allows nationals of certain countries to 803 travel to the United States (and U.S. Territories) for stays 804 of up to 90 days without obtaining a visa. VWP-eligible 805 include most European countries, 806 countries plus Japan, 807 Singapore, Brunei, and South Korea. Not all travelers from VWP countries, however, are eligible to use the program. VWP 808 travelers are required to apply for authorization through the 809 Electronic System for Travel Authorization ("ESTA"), must be 810 screened at their port of entry into the U.S., and must be 811 enrolled in the US-VISIT program administered by DHS. 812

The proposed special visa waiver program would permit the Department of Homeland Security to consider approving visaless entry into the Virgin Islands for the same category of users specified in the Executive Order, PLUS residents of non-VWP countries, including residents of the Caribbean Community ("CARICOM") as determined by a tourism and economic need survey similar to that used in Guam and CNMI.

820 Such a visa waiver program is not without precedent. A separate and special visa waiver program for Guam ("GVWP") and 821 822 the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas ("NMVWP") was established pursuant to these same provisions. These special 823 visa waiver programs are specifically authorized by statute. 824 825 In particular, Section 214(a)(1) of the Act provides that "[n]o alien admitted to Guam or [the CNMI] without a visa ...may be 826 authorized to enter or stay in the United States other than in 827 Guam or [the CNMI] or to remain in Guam or [the CNMI] for a 828 period exceeding 45 days from the date of admission to Guam or 829 830 CNMI]."Pursuant to this authority, GVWP-eligible [the countries include certain Pacific Island nations, Australia, 831 832 New Zealand, and Taiwan.

As the Virgin Islands is outside of the U.S. Customs Zone, such waiver would pose no threat to the U.S. and its other Territories because movement beyond the Virgin Islands would require any such visa-less guests to subject themselves to U.S. immigration and customs inspection and control. Visitors

838 arriving by sea or air would be notified that they cannot move 839 beyond the boundaries of the Virgin Islands.

The economic impact for the Virgin Islands, however, would be significant as the Virgin Islands could then receive visitors in the following categories:

843 - Seasonal yachting and sporting events;

844 - Shopping visits from other Eastern Caribbean countries;

Medical visits to the Territory's medical facilities and
 medical professionals;

Arriving air passengers to the Territory's airports for
 transfer to any of the northeastern Caribbean islands;
 and

Cruise line passengers on ships that customarily only
 service Eastern Caribbean islands because of their
 European Union no-passport or visa requirements.

Requests for such access has been increasing by residents of the Eastern Caribbean and by the Florida and Caribbean Cruise Association ("FCCA").

The Virgin Islands seeks authority from Congress to establish a special visa waiver program for the Virgin Islands that mirrors programs currently authorized for, and utilized successfully by, Guam and CNMI. We urge OIA and the Committee to work with Homeland Security and other committees to authorize such a program in any immigration reform legislation that might be considered by Congress.

863

Infrastructure

Long-term under-investment by the federal government has 864 resulted in a substantial portion of our infrastructure being 865 dilapidated and inadequate. Further, the poor condition of our 866 867 infrastructure has made it more susceptible to damage or destruction when natural disasters strike. 868 Long-term improvement in the funding allocations for the Territories is 869 870 needed to bring their infrastructure into the condition necessary to support a modern economy. 871

872 The disparities in funding are striking in surface transportation. In the final years of the Safe, Accountable, 873 Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for 874 Users and extensions thereof, Congress allocated \$62 million 875 annually to the four Territories under the Territorial Highway 876 Program (including a \$50 million allotment and \$12 million in 877 lieu of High Priority Project funding). In 2012, the Moving 878 Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act ("MAP-21") 879 maintained highway funding levels for all states, DC, and 880 Puerto Rico, but inexplicably cut the Territories' funding by 881 882 a third (to \$40 million a year).

The subsequent bill ("FAST Act") did not restore the funding cut in MAP-21; it provided only a small (5%) increase over the reduced MAP-21 allocation for the small Territories, (to \$42 million a year). In contrast, the FAST Act increased funding to the states and DC ranging up to 14.8% over the life of the FAST Act (through FY 2020). Further exacerbating these funding shortfalls is the exclusion of the Territories from other surface transportation programs, which provide substantial funding to the States and DC.

892 Congress will have an opportunity to correct these in upcoming surface 893 inequities the transportation reauthorization and any other infrastructure funding bills. 894 For example, on January 29, 2020, House Democrats put forth a 895 framework to invest \$760 billion over five years in the 896 897 nation's infrastructure. Earlier, the Trump Administration had proposed investing \$2 trillion on infrastructure. 898

The small Territories need substantial investment in 899 900 their aging and deficient infrastructure. Further, because of the increasing risk of damage from natural disasters, the 901 902 Territories' infrastructure must be built to be more resilient and sustainable than most other areas of the United States. In 903 order to provide the Territory a fair and equitable share of 904 infrastructure funding, the Virgin Islands requests that in 905 the upcoming surface transportation reauthorization bill 906 907 funding for highways in the Virgin Islands be increased to not less than \$35 million annually, and, further, that funding in 908 any other infrastructure package provide a set-aside of not 909 less than 1.5% for the four Territories. We urge OIA and the 910 911 Committee to work with the Department of Transportation and other committees and federal agencies to achieve these 912

913 necessary provisions.

914

Update to Insular Areas Act

Enacted in 1977, the Insular Areas Act, 48 U.S.C. §1469a, 915 expressed the policy of Congress that the four small Territories 916 (the Insular Areas) should be provided certain flexibilities 917 under federal grant programs. Importantly, the Act, as amended, 918 mandates that the Department of the Interior shall waive 919 920 matching requirements for all Insular Areas under all of its grant programs and requires all other departments and agencies 921 922 to waive any requirement for local matching funds under \$200,000 otherwise required by law. Further, pursuant to the 923 Act, all federal agencies have the discretion to waive the 924 925 entire local match for the Insular Areas (the four small Territories) for federal funding programs. The Act also allows 926 927 federal grants to Territories to be consolidated to minimize administrative burdens. 928

There is ample precedent for federal agencies to exercise 929 their discretion under the Act to waive the local match. 930 Indeed, the local match has been waived under the Insular Areas 931 932 Act in a number of contexts in the past, particularly after catastrophic events. For example, in recognition of the 933 severity of Hurricanes Irma and Maria, FEMA invoked the Insular 934 Areas Act authority to waive the 25% non-federal matching 935 936 requirement for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program in the Virgin Islands. However, FEMA has not waived the local match 937

for most categories (Categories C-G) of public assistance in 938 response to Hurricanes Irma and Maria, requiring the Virgin 939 Islands to come up with potentially hundreds of millions of 940 dollars in local match under those programs, amounts that could 941 942 and should be better spent on disaster recovery and economic development. In other instances, federal agencies have not used 943 their discretion to waive the local share in other grant 944 programs, despite the difficulty that the Virgin Islands and 945 the other Insular Areas have in providing a local match. 946

947 Opportunities abound as well. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, the opportunity for economic 948 growth and expansion in our territories has never been greater. 949 950 Innovation, investment, entrepreneurialism: they are the building blocks that made America into one of the most dominant 951 economies on Earth. We now have the opportunity to transform 952 our natural blessings in the territories into unprecedented 953 prosperity for our people, in partnership with our fellow U.S. 954 citizens and our federal government. 955

Our goal is not just to survive. Our goal is to thrive. The GVI proposes that the Act be amended to provide a statutory presumption in favor of waiving the local share; to foster economic development and stability; and to update the relationship of the Territories to the federal government as partners in the global influence and economic dynamics of the United States of America.*Mr. Sablan. Thank you very much,

963 Governor.

I now recognize the Honorable Arnold I. Palacios, Lieutenant Governor for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

967 Governor, you have five minutes.

969 STATEMENT OF ARNOLD I. PALACIOS, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR, U.S.970 VIRGIN ISLANDS

971

972 *Mr. Palacios. Thank you. Thank you, Chairman Sablan, 973 Ranking Members, and other members of your committee. Thank 974 you for allowing me to testify this afternoon. And I am here 975 on behalf of Governor Torres, who sends his regrets for not 976 being here to speak with you once again.

This past year has presented challenges that have been 977 978 truly showcased -- that have truly showcased how vulnerable our community is to threats beyond our control. Having 979 successfully exited the austerity measures we imposed on our 980 981 employees in the government after Super Typhoon Yutu, Governor Torres is in the CNMI today working to contain the 982 economic collapse following the outbreak of the coronavirus, 983 which has significantly impacted our tourism arrival to the 984 islands effectively, crippling the economy's sole industry. 985 As a result of this outbreak, CNMI expects to lose 986 150,000 tourists' arrival, primarily from China, and 30 987 percent of our expected total annual arrivals for this fiscal 988 year. This year has proven that our economic development 989 trajectory has led us to a position that is the definition of 990 fragility. In a moment, without a single instance of an 991 992 outbreak in the CNMI, we lost the second-largest tourism market due to a forest that we cannot even see. 993

As you know, section 701 of our government states that the United States will assist us in our efforts to achieve a progressively higher standard of living for our people as part of this American family, and develop the economic resources needed to meet the responsibilities of local selfgovernment.

President Trump's budget submission for Fiscal Year 2020 reaffirms this commitment, and it is now more urgent than ever to revisit what being a member of the American economic community means.

We wish to thank the Department of the Interior and OIA for their commitment to seeing tangible result in our CIP program and other funding support. The Congress and the Administration must continue to recognize that a modern, functional infrastructure is an absolute prerequisite to economic development in all insular areas. CIP programs assist us in this effort.

However, the program has been \$27.7 million since the 1011 inception of the CNMI in 1978, and continues at this level, 1012 1013 when it was modified to include Guam, American Samoa, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. We would like to see Congress increase 1014 the level of funding for the CIP programs in our insular 1015 The CNMI once again urges the review, therefore, of 1016 areas. the CIP grant programs to allow to increase a budgeted amount 1017 that adjusts inflation -- for inflationary costs -- current 1018

1019 infrastructure needs of the U.S. territories.

Mr. Chairman, I wish to thank all the members of this Committee for your continued support through the many challenges we face in our current immigration transition. Governor Torres and I continue to say that we have every interest in creating more jobs and opportunities for U.S. workers in the Commonwealth. But improvements can be made from our experience that -- thus far.

1027 I wish to offer the following recommendations for your 1028 consideration.

1029 Number one, allow the CNMI Government to participate in 1030 the labor certification process in a similar manner as is 1031 allowed for our sisters and brothers in Guam.

1032 Number two, fully lift the exclusion of construction 1033 workers from the CW-1 program. A full relief of this 1034 restriction will help support the development of our new 1035 homes damaged by our typhoons, and to further develop the 1036 economy to -- which increase jobs and opportunities for U.S. 1037 workers.

Three, eliminate the touch-back. We ask that you eliminate the touch-back provision to prevent a quarter of the labor force departing the CNMI for an intermediate number of months -- an indeterminate number of months at the start of Fiscal Year 2021.

1043 Mr. Chairman, we need your assistance. The American

economic community promised to our -- promise to our forefathers is not defined by the rigor of our regulations. It is defined by the promise of our ideals. I believe this to be true, and I look forward to continuing to work alongside you as we inch closer to that dream of real membership in this great community.

Before closing, Congressman, I wish to also extend our appreciation for your work, and those of the other congressional members from the insular areas on the new Medicaid allocation. This was one of the most welcome news in our health care system. Thank you.

1055 [Speaking foreign language.]

1056 [The prepared statement of Governor Palacios follows:] 1057 Good afternoon.

1058

1059 Chairman Grijalva, Ranking Member Bishop, Congressman Sablan 1060 and members of the Committee, thank you for allowing me this opportunity to testify at this hearing on the fiscal year 2021 1061 budget request for Department of the Interior's Office of 1062 1063 Insular Affairs (OIA). Additionally, I appreciate that this hearing will afford us the opportunity to discuss the many 1064 urgent and troubling economic issues facing the CNMI today and 1065 ways Congress can support our resiliency toward external 1066 economic shocks. 1067

I am here on behalf of Governor Ralph Torres who sends his 1069 1070 deepest regrets for not being able to speak with you all once again. This past year has presented challenges that have truly 1071 showcased how vulnerable our community is to threats beyond 1072 1073 our control. Having successfully planned an exit to the painful austerity measures placed in the aftermath of Super Typhoon 1074 Yutu, Governor Torres is in the CNMI today doing all he can to 1075 contain the economic collapse resulting from the outbreak and 1076 spread of the novel coronavirus which has significantly 1077 impacted the influx of tourists to our islands, essentially 1078 crippling the economy's sole industry. 1079

1080

1081 As a result of this outbreak, the CNMI expects to lose more than 150,000 tourist arrivals from China against earlier 1082 forecasts for this fiscal year. This estimated loss represents 1083 1084 more than 30% of our expected total annual arrivals for this 1085 fiscal year. This loss of income for our economy is and will be painful but is not solely contained to the China market. 1086 Concern has spread throughout the tourism industry. Much like 1087 1088 SARS before it, the coronavirus' effects on the CNMI tourism market will be felt long after the outbreak has subsided. 1089

1090

1091 This year has proven that our economic development trajectory, 1092 inclusive of the effects of federal laws, has led us to a 1093 position that is the definition of fragile. In a moment, without a single instance of the outbreak in the CNMI, we lost the second largest tourism market due to a force we cannot even see. In this state, we must return to the hopes created during our origins as a Commonwealth.

1098

Section 701 of the Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the 1099 Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United 1100 States of America states that the United States Government will 1101 "assist the Government of the Northern Mariana Islands in its 1102 1103 efforts to achieve a progressively higher standard of living for its people as part of the American economic community and 1104 1105 to develop the economic resources needed to meet the financial 1106 responsibilities of local self-government."

1107

President Donald J. Trump's budget submission for fiscal year 2020 reaffirms this commitment and it is now more urgent than ever to revisit what being a member of the American economic community means.

1112

1113 The recent history of the CNMI's collaboration with the OIA 1114 has produced tangible and lasting results that have aided in 1115 the development of our infrastructure and provided additional 1116 resources for our community and our economy. The redevelopment 1117 of the former Puerto Rico dump to a beautiful tourist 1118 attraction and the ongoing sewer line development efforts are 1119 prime examples of the possibilities that can be obtained 1120 through collaboration. Additionally, OIA has been instrumental 1121 in the funding of a range of programs to advance the service 1122 of government through the technical assistance program. For 1123 the hard work in understanding the needs and complexities 1124 present in the CNMI, we wish to thank the Department of the 1125 Interior, and OIA.

1126

The existence of the OIA is indicative of something that must 1127 be repeated - the Territories, like the CNMI, have such unique 1128 tremendous challenges and face such obstacles toward 1129 1130 sustaining viable economies that a separate approach is 1131 necessary.

1132

The Congress and the Administration must continue to recognize, 1133 1134 as they have done since the origins of the Commonwealth 1135 government, that a modern, functional infrastructure is an absolute prerequisite to economic development. However, time 1136 continues forward and as with all things, these resources will 1137 one day no longer be of use. We do not have the resources 1138 available to undertake the redevelopment of the monumental 1139 1140 infrastructure initiatives of the UN Trust Territory era, but we must. We soon must rehabilitate our airport, our seaports, 1141 our sewage treatment facilities, our water wells, our sewer 1142 and water lines, but we simply cannot do these necessary things 1143

while partitioning up a single pot of resources that have not changed since the inception of the Commonwealth government.

As the CNMI has asked before, Congress and the Administration must recognize that the Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Grants provided under the CNMI's Covenant agreement with the United States must adapt to the circumstances of today.

1151

1152 The CNMI once again urges the review of the CIP Grants Program 1153 to allow for an increase in the budgeted amount that adjusts 1154 for inflationary costs and current infrastructure needs of the 1155 U.S. territories.

1156

1157 The CIP Grants Program has been one of the most successful 1158 federal programs for the

1159 CNMI, Guam, American Samoa, and the U.S. Virgin Islands for 1160 infrastructure projects, and has had a significant impact on 1161 the advancement of quality of life and economic development in 1162 the islands.

1163

Given that the program has been \$27.72 million since its inception for the CNMI in 1978 under Section 701 of U.S. Public Law 92-241, and continued at this level when it was modified to include Guam, American Samoa, and the U.S. Virgin Islands under U.S. Public Law 104-134 until today, a review of the CIP Grants Program is timely given the priority of infrastructure for the smaller U.S. Territories and the severe economic conditions that have presented themselves in recent years.

1173 The discussion of the budget for this critical department is 1174 important for the CNMI. However, the needs of our people span 1175 across the federal government.

1176

I wish to thank all the members in this committee for your 1177 continued support through the many challenges we have faced 1178 with our current immigration transition period. Because of your 1179 1180 support and assistance, the CNMI economy averted total collapse after the passage of the Northern Mariana Islands U.S. 1181 Workforce Act (U.S. Public Law 115-218) and the allowance for 1182 the CNMI economy to continue to grow beyond the expiration of 1183 the transition period in 2019. We have made tremendous progress 1184 1185 in committing ourselves to building a strong and vibrant economy based on the strengths of U.S. workers, but this 1186 ongoing transition period should be one of fluidity and 1187 1188 willingness to be flexible toward accomplishing the intents of the law. 1189

1190

1191 In this first year of implementation of U.S. Public Law 115-1192 218, the CNMI has been diligent in our pursuit of full 1193 compliance with the new requirements of the law. In light of

1194 this experience, I must raise concern about the unintended 1195 consequences that must be averted at the risk of damaging our 1196 struggling economy further and imperiling the many U.S. jobs 1197 we have created thus far.

1198

As Governor Torres and I continue to say, we have every 1199 1200 interest in creating more jobs and opportunities for U.S. workers seeking to build their lives in the CNMI. However, the 1201 counter intuitive nature of the CNMI economy has showcased that 1202 1203 the best way to create opportunities for U.S. workers is to continue to build the economy alongside our foreign workers. 1204 1205 Labor is a critical factor necessary for production, and the reality is, at this point in time, we simply do not have enough 1206 to sustain a viable economy. 1207

1208

1209 In an effort to continue the pursuit of greater levels of U.S. 1210 workers in our economy, I wish to offer the following 1211 recommendations for your consideration:

1212 1. Allow the CNMI Government to participate in the labor 1213 certification process in a similar manner as is allowed 1214 for in Guam. The United States Department of Labor does 1215 not produce labor force data for the CNMI, the Bureau of 1216 Labor Statistics does not conduct labor market surveys in 1217 the CNMI, and the CNMI is not included in USDOL's 1218 Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) program. This is 1219 understandable as USDOL had limited historic involvement 1220 in the CNMI labor market. To remedy this deficiency and 1221 to ensure labor market decisions are being made with the 1222 most relevant and locally contextualized information, 1223 providing the same authority as Guam to certify wage and 1224 labor needs prior to submission of a CW-1 petition would 1225 be logical and efficient.

1226

2. Fully lift the exclusion of construction workers 1227 1228 (Standard Occupational Classification Code 47-0000) from the CW-1 program and further recognize the limited number 1229 1230 of U.S. construction workers and the inapplicability of 1231 alternative visa classification on the labor force needs 1232 of the CNMI community. A full relief on this restriction will help support the development of new homes to combat 1233 1234 the housing shortage caused by the destruction of Super 1235 Typhoon Yutu and to further develop the economy toward increased jobs and opportunities for U.S. workers. 1236

1237

1238 3. Eliminate the touch-back provision to prevent a quarter of the labor force departing the CNMI for an indeterminate 1239 number of months at the start of Fiscal Year 2021. At this 1240 financial difficulties, 1241 time of severe а dramatic reduction in the size of the labor force will compound 1242 our mounting challenges into a potential collapse of our 1243

economy. Removing the requirement for foreign workers to return to their country of origin following the second renewal period does not create a pathway to citizenship. The periodic loss of a large segment of the workforce will create unnecessary complications within the economy and hurt U.S. job seekers entering into the labor force.

1250

Congress must understand the vulnerability that persists in a 1251 small island economy like the CNMI. Limited resources, high 1252 transportation and trading costs, diseconomies of scale all 1253 play a significant role in leading the CNMI to the position it 1254 1255 is in today. We struggle against global forces, unprecedented 1256 natural disasters, and a litany of impediments keeping us from making good on the promise enshrined in our founding document 1257 - "A progressively higher standard of living for its people as 1258 1259 a part of the American economic community."

1260

I am seeking your help in finding solutions and before you, 1261 there are many. To break the barriers keeping us from viability 1262 is our access to tourists who would pay to enjoy our beaches 1263 and breath in our clean air, the cost of transportation of 1264 goods and people that locks our residents in and a world of 1265 potential visitors out. We need your assistance to ensure that 1266 poor health does not guarantee financial struggles. We can do 1267 so much if we work toward a shared understanding of who we are. 1268

1269

1270 The American Economic Community promised to our forefathers is 1271 not defined by the rigor of our regulations. It is defined by 1272 the promise of our ideals.

1273

We are here asking for your assistance to be proactive in the 1274 support of these ideals. Moreover, to work with us 1275 in 1276 addressing the unique and dire needs of the thousands of Americans living on our shores. I also ask that you understand 1277 1278 our attempts to fight for every opportunity we must thrive within this community. We need increased access to financial 1279 1280 resources to scale that development ladder, but we also need 1281 your trust that we can succeed together.

1282

I believe this to be true and I look forward to continuing to work alongside you as we inch closer to that dream of real membership in this great community. 1286 *Mr. Sablan. [Speaking foreign language.]

1287 And I would like to now welcome the Governor of Guam,

- 1288 the Honorable Lou Leon Guerrero.
- 1289 Governor, you have five minutes, please.

1291 STATEMENT OF THE HON. LOU LEON GUERRERO, GOVERNOR, GUAM 1292

*Governor Guerrero. Thank you, Congressman. [Speaking foreign language] for the opportunity to appear before this Committee today to make comments on the proposed Fiscal Year 2021 budget request for the Department of the Interior. My testimony on OIA's budget will be brief.

1298 Throughout OIA's existence, the U.S. territories have largely benefitted from the flexibility of federal grants 1299 1300 that respond to the diverse needs of our islands. These grants vary. OIA's role in providing this assistance 1301 1302 continues to be an important part of Guam's strategic growth, 1303 but we are appreciative of more than OIA's funding. We are 1304 also very valuable its -- in its partnership and advocacy within the federal bureaucracy. 1305

1306 Having served my first year as Governor of Guam, I have been witness to this value firsthand. As this Committee 1307 contemplates OIA's Fiscal Year 2021 requests, I am in favor 1308 of increasing the budget that provides for territorial 1309 1310 assistance in the areas of technical assistance, maintenance assistance, and energizing insular communities. The purposes 1311 of these areas, along with the flexibility maintained by OIA 1312 to prioritize these grants, respond to both basic and growing 1313 needs of U.S. island communities. 1314

1315 U.S. territories, however, need changes in policy that

this Committee has supported in the first session of the 1316 116th Congress. Specifically, I would like to acknowledge 1317 Chairman Grijalva, Ranking Member Bishop, and Delegate Kilili 1318 Sablan for convening a hearing that identified the Medicaid 1319 1320 cliff costs by expiring provisions of the Affordable Care Act for the territories. Through their leadership, the work of 1321 1322 this Committee, and the Congress as a whole, millions of Americans living in the territories maintain their access to 1323 health care without unfair matching rates or onerous caps. 1324

As a result of this Committee's interest and advocacy, the Congress passed legislation that raised the caps for all U.S. territories, and changed our matching formula from 43 percent to 17 percent for the next 2 years. We are, of course, grateful for this new formula, and that Guam's cap was raised from 18 million to 127 million per year. And Congressman, be assured we will spend all that money.

However, we should be treated no differently than if one resided in a State. I am hopeful that, when Congress revisits this issue, that State-like treatment for Medicaid can be fully extended to U.S. territories.

1336 Similarly, U.S. territories whose tax code mirrors the 1337 U.S. tax code find themselves treated differently with the 1338 Earned Income Tax Credit, which is a program that benefits 1339 working people with low to moderate income. I am in favor of 1340 programs such as this. However, its application in Guam and

other territories is a burden on our local coffers. The EITC benefit is directly paid out by the U.S. Treasury, and is estimated to be a \$56 billion program.

However, such benefit is not one assumed directly by the 1344 1345 Federal Government. Rather, the benefit is paid directly out from our local coffers. For Guam the estimated value annual 1346 1347 cost is \$60 million, a benefit that is otherwise paid by the Federal Government in every State of the Union, and a figure 1348 which, if left in Guam's budget, would allow us to nearly 1349 retire our deficit, make investments into education and 1350 public safety, or replace our only public hospital. 1351

1352 I applaud House Ways and Means Chairman Richard Neal for authoring H.R. 3300, the Economic Mobility Act of 2019, and 1353 for its inclusion in the recent supplemental bill passed by 1354 the House last week. The language will direct the U.S. 1355 1356 Treasury to reimburse Guam for 75 percent of its EITC costs. 1357 Mr. San Nicolas is the sponsor of this bill, and though I believe that Guam and our sister territories should be 1358 treated equally with the States by reimbursing us 100 percent 1359 1360 of our costs, H.R. 3300 is a step towards fairness.

As I give this testimony, I cannot help but think how many governors of Guam have covered the same ground. For years territorial governors sitting in hearings like this have highlighted the costs associated with the compacts of free association. While these bilateral documents help to offset China's growing influence in the Western Pacific, and preserve our national security interests, Guam and other affected jurisdictions are left to fund the consequences of unmitigated migration.

While Guam's compact-related expenditures far outweighs the federal offset equally to only 10 percent of our total island costs, the methodology used to calculate this cost is often the subject of skepticism and inquiry. The economic benefit analysis will accompany shortly our federal report.

With the upcoming negotiations to extend financial terms of the current compact nears, I implore this Committee to encourage the Administration to consider including, as agenda items, a screening program by the Department of Homeland Security to ensure that migration to U.S. jurisdiction complies with the compact. I would like them to reimburse Guam 100 percent of our total costs.

I would also like to provide -- I would also like the Committee to consider providing greater support to FAS countries in developing their economies and opportunities for their people.

As the Committee is aware, as a strategic location for the country's projection of forces in the Indo-Pacific region, Guam is host to a multi-billion-dollar military infrastructure. We soon will be half -- will have a home inaugurated as the Camp Blaz, and we will be endeavoring

1391 about \$8 billion in cost, an expense shared by both Japan and 1392 the United States.

In the past, Congress has created a Guam-only visa waiver program, and has also removed Guam from the national cap on H-2 labor. Given these precedents, I am advocating that Congress pass legislation to create a Guam-only H-2 labor program. I am hopeful that you would consider my proposal, as I advocate for it this year.

Finally, I want to thank this Committee for its 1399 1400 expeditious action on H.R. 1365, and we are equally grateful to the Office of Insular Affairs' role, and to that of the 1401 1402 Assistant Secretary Doug Domenech for the interagency effort 1403 to work bipartisanly with my administration that has allowed Guam to make awards to those remaining survivors. 1404 The ability to do this, while still supporting the passage of 1405 1406 H.R. 1365 and maintaining our local action, does not abdicate 1407 or negate the need for action by Congress.

We are appreciative of the Committee's longstanding 1408 involvement dating back to our first delegate, Antonio Won 1409 Pat, and with every delegate who has championed the issue of 1410 parity in Guam: Mr. Blaz, Mr. Underwood, Mr. -- Ms. 1411 Bordallo, and your current colleague, Mr. San Nicolas. 1412 We are hopeful that U.S. Senate will pass H.R. 1365. 1413 Thank you again for the opportunity to appear before this 1414 Committee, and I apologize for going over by 3 minutes, 44 1415

1416 seconds, but we have all traveled very far. And thank you

1417 for your patience in listening to our testimony.

1418 Thank you.

1419 [The prepared statement of Governor Guerrero follows:]
1420

1421

Hafa Adai and Si Yu'os Ma'ase for the opportunity to appear before this Committee today to make comments on the proposed FY 2021 budget request for the Department of the Interior's Office of Insular Affairs. By extension, I will also share with the Committee my perspective on federal policy which weakens Guam's economic growth.

1428

My testimony on OIA's budget will be brief. Throughout OIA's 1429 existence, the U.S. territories of Guam, American Samoa, the 1430 1431 U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern 1432 Mariana Islands have largely benefitted from the flexibility of federal grants that respond to the diverse needs of our 1433 1434 islands. These grants vary. They can provide technical assistance to improve our human capacity making our local 1435 governments more efficient and effective, they can help our 1436 governments meet a shortage of public school busses or 1437 emergency vehicles, or they can be the building blocks of our 1438 plans to address school maintenance, adopt greener energy 1439 solutions, or develop our workforce. 1440

1441 OIA's role in providing this assistance continues to be an 1442 important part of Guam's strategic growth. But we are 1443 appreciative of more than OIA'S funding, we also value its 1444 partnership and advocacy within the federal bureaucracy.

1445

Having served my first year as Governor of Guam, I have been witness to this value first hand. I am grateful to OIA's career staff and its leadership for the strong professional relationship we have forged since I took office.

1450

As this Committee contemplates OIA's FY2021 request, I am in favor of increasing OIA's budget that provides for territorial assistance in areas of Technical Assistance, Maintenance Assistance, and Energizing Insular Communities. The purposes of these areas, along with the flexibility maintained by OIA to prioritize these grants, respond to both basic and growing needs of U.S. island communities.

1458

US territories however need changes in policy that this Committee has supported in the first session of the 116th Congress. Specifically, I would like to acknowledge Chairman Grijalva, Ranking Member Bishop, and Delegate Kilili Sablan for convening a hearing that identified the Medicaid cliff caused by expiring provisions of the Affordable Care Act. for the territories. Through their leadership, the work of this 1466 Committee, and the Congress as a whole, millions of Americans 1467 living in the territories maintained their access to health 1468 care without unfair matching rates or onerous caps.

1469

1470 As a result of this Committee's interest and advocacy, the Congress passed legislation that raised the caps for all U.S. 1471 1472 territories and changed our matching formula from 43% to 17% for the next two years. We are of course grateful for this new 1473 formula and that Guam's cap was raised from \$18 million to \$127 1474 million per/year. However, Americans living in territories 1475 should be treated no differently than if one resided in a 1476 1477 State. I am hopeful that when the Congress revisits this issue, 1478 that State-like treatment for medicaid can be fully extended to U.S. territories. 1479

1480

Similarly, U.S. territories whose tax code mirrors the U.S. 1481 1482 tax code find themselves treated differently with the Earned Income Tax Credit, which is a program that benefits working 1483 people with low to moderate income. I am in favor of programs 1484 such as this, however, its application in Guam and other U.S. 1485 territories is a burden on our local coffers. The EITC benefit 1486 is directly paid out by the U.S. Treasury and is estimated to 1487 be a \$56 billion-dollar program. 1488

1489

1490 However, in Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands, where our tax

code mirrors the U.S. tax code, such benefit is not one assumed 1491 1492 directly by the Federal government. Rather, the benefit is paid directly from local government coffers. For Guam, the estimated 1493 annual cost is \$60 million dollars. A benefit that is otherwise 1494 1495 paid by the federal government in every State of the Union and at a figure which, if left in Guam's budget would allow us to 1496 1497 nearly retire our deficit, make investments into education and public safety or replace our only public hospital which was 1498 built in 1964. 1499

1500

I applaud House Ways and Means Chairman Richard Neal for 1501 1502 authoring H.R. 3300, the Economic Mobility Act of 2019 and for 1503 its inclusion in the recent supplemental bill passed by the House last week. The language will direct the U.S. Treasury to 1504 reimburse Guam for 75% of its EITC costs. Mr. San Nicolas is 1505 1506 a cosponsor of the bill and though I believe that Guam and our 1507 sister territories should be treated equally with the States by reimbursing us 100% of our costs, H.R. 3300 is a step towards 1508 fairness. 1509

1510

As you may know, the Administration has issued a "Statement of Administration Position" on the supplemental measure which indicates that the President will veto it if passed by the Senate. The SAP does not mention any specific opposition to offsetting Guam's costs, so I am hopeful that the House can 1516 move the bill as a standalone or find another legislative 1517 vehicle that the Senate can eventually pass.

1518

As I give this testimony, I cannot help but think how many 1519 1520 Governors of Guam have covered the same ground. For years, territorial Governors, sitting in hearings like this, have 1521 highlighted the costs associated with the Compacts of Free 1522 Association. While these bilateral documents help to offset 1523 China's growing influence in the Western Pacific, and preserve 1524 1525 our national security interests, Guam and other affected jurisdictions are left to fund the consequences of unmitigated 1526 1527 migration. We have documented our costs at \$150 million dollars 1528 per/year.

1529

While Guam's Compact related expenditures far outweigh the 1530 federal offset, equal to only 10% of our total costs island, 1531 1532 the methodology used to calculate these costs is often the subject of skepticism and inquiry. To that end, Guam has been 1533 working with GAO to meet previously identified gaps in 1534 reporting and design a methodology that works. That method, 1535 and the Economic Benefit Analysis that accompanies it will be 1536 finalized shortly. The net impact of this effort is a cost that 1537 is accurate and fundable. 1538

1539

1540 With the upcoming negotiations to extend financial terms of

1541 the current Compact nears, I implore this Committee to 1542 encourage the Administration to consider including as agenda items; 1) an executable screening program by the Department of 1543 Homeland Security to ensure that migration to US jurisdictions 1544 1545 complies with the Compact, 2) reimbursing Guam 100% of our total costs or, at minimum, define "offset" to be 75% of our 1546 1547 total expenditures hosting FAS citizens, and 3) providing greater support to FAS countries in developing their economies 1548 and opportunities for their people. 1549

1550

As this Committee is aware, as a strategic location for the 1551 1552 country's projection of forces in the Indo-Pacific region, Guam 1553 is host to a multi-billion-dollar military infrastructure. In a short time, Guam will also be the newest home to the newest 1554 Marine Corps Base constructed in more than 50 years, which will 1555 1556 take on the name of Guam's former Delegate and first son of 1557 Guam to attain the rank of General in the U.S. Marine Corps, the late Ben Blaz. 1558

1559

1560 The yet to be inaugurated Camp Blaz, has been an endeavor that 1561 will ultimately cost \$8 billion dollars - an expense shared by 1562 both Japan and the United States.

1563

A decision by the Homeland Security Department in 2014 rolled back Guam's use of H-2 laborers, however the labor demands

needed to build Camp Blaz continued to be available to the 1566 1567 military. Because foreign labor was preserved for primarily military construction and severely limited for civilian 1568 1569 projects, the cost of construction Guam has risen, housing is 1570 becoming unaffordable, our hotel industry cannot provide enough rooms to meet growing tourism, and in the midst of an 1571 \$8 billion dollar investment in Guam which naturally attracts 1572 private interests - investors are deterred from investing in 1573 our island because of the uncertainty of securing labor for 1574 1575 any projects outside of military interests.

1576

In the past, the Congress has created a Guam-only visa waiver program and has also removed Guam from the national cap on H-2 labor. Given these precedents, I will be advocating that the Congress pass legislation to create a Guam-only H-2 labor program. Such a program will be exclusive to Guam and coincide with military buildup with a sunsetting provision.

1583

Peace is good for everyone. And the national interest is best served when the military and civilians are good neighbors-especially in small communities. It is also important to point out that lacking an overall policy to economically develop our US territories, it would behoove us all to not take advantage of interests who want to develop Guam while the federal government is making an \$8 billion-dollar investment into the 1591 island.

1592

1593 I am hopeful that this Committee can support such a proposal 1594 as I advocate for it this year.

1595

Finally, I want to thank this Committee for its expeditious action on HR 1365; and we are equally grateful to the Office of Insular Affairs' role and to that of Assistant Secretary Doug Domenech, for the interagency effort to work bipartisanly with my Administration that has allowed Guam to make awards to those remaining survivors of Guam's wartime occupation in the absence of the Treasury not being able to do so at this time.

The ability to do this, while still supporting the passage of H.R. 1365 and maintaining that our local action does not abdicate or negate the need for action by the Congress, has been important to allow Guam's remaining survivors parity, justice, and healing during this 75th year marking the island's liberation from Japanese occupation.

1610

appreciative of Committee's 1611 We are the longstanding involvement, dating back to our first Delegate, Antonio Won 1612 Pat and with every Delegate who has championed the issue of 1613 parity to Guam; Mr. Blaz, Mr. Underwood, Ms. Bordallo, and your 1614 current colleague, Mr. San Nicolas. 1615

1616 We are hopeful that the U.S Senate will pass H.R. 1365 soon. 1617 As the number of living World War II survivors shrinks each 1618 day, I think it is right that they know you stood with them. 1619

1620 Thank you again for the opportunity to appear before this 1621 Committee and I look forward to answering any questions you 1622 may have. Mr. Sablan. You are more than welcome. It is our privilege that all three of you all actually are here this afternoon.

First, I would like to ask unanimous -- seek unanimous consent that the delegate from the U.S. Virgin Islands, Ms. Plaskett, be allowed to sit on the dais and ask questions. Without objections, so ordered.

And it is now time for members to ask questions. Members will each have five minutes to ask their questions and get the response.

There will be questions -- I will -- and I would think that others would be submitting questions for the records. The Committee will forward those questions to you, the witnesses, and look forward to getting responses in a 10 days period. Thank you.

1638 So I yield myself five minutes for questions.

1639 Again, welcome, Governors. And I understand that economic development was a theme of IGIA, this year's theme. 1640 But clearly, all the insular areas are struggling. 1641 I am happy that issues identified by territorial governors last 1642 year were reported as addressed -- the Medicaid cliff and 1643 FMAP, natural disaster recovery, foreign worker visas, 1644 federal tax policy, Guam World War II Recognition Act. 1645 A couple thoughts for the American Samoa. I am not sure 1646 how the other delegates feel, but some of these issues that I 1647

1648 worked on, I have never heard anything from OIA. It was

1649 congressional members working together on the Medicaid. It 1650 is an over-two-years work.

But -- so I am going to ask. Did you -- and if you hear any proposal this morning that will get your economies growing -- Governor Bryan?

1654 *Governor Bryan. We were the ones doing the proposing,1655 in terms of it growing our economy.

One of the things that came up key that I mentioned was that we need to be aligned with U.S. foreign policy in the Caribbean, as well as within in the Pacific for my friends. We don't really see a strategy that is beyond us.

1660 I mean, we are so small, anything that happens -- we also pointed out the fact that Puerto Rico has been under 1661 duress for several years now, and there has been no real 1662 1663 definitive action by the United States Congress or the 1664 Administration to help to create a vehicle that would put us on a road to sustainability again. And at the same time they 1665 watch us headed down the same track as Puerto Rico has been, 1666 and there is no help in sight. 1667

I mean, more than putting the PROMESA in there, there has not been an act that would create more pharmaceutical companies, an advantage to distillation, or some other economic incentive that would create prosperity and sustainability -- 1673 *Mr. Sablan. Governor, thank you. I have five minutes,
1674 so I really -- no disrespect.

1675 *Governor Bryan. Oh, I thought it was my five minutes.1676 I am sorry, sir.

1677 [Laughter.]

1678 *Mr. Sablan. No, sorry.

Governor Palacios, did you hear anything specific that -- proposals that would get the Marianas' economy growing? *Mr. Palacios. Well, nothing specific. We pointed out a lot of issues that needs to be addressed. EDA was there. *Mr. Sablan. Yes.

1684 *Mr. Palacios. There were several departments, federal 1685 departments, that offered the assistance.

But, you know, they always -- we always talk about capacity. And there are times when we just don't have the capacity. Surely, with a nation like the United States, which is the most prosperous country in the world, there has got to be a policy that would work for the insular areas.

1691 Somebody within the federal machinery --

1692 *Mr. Sablan. Governor --

1693 *Mr. Palacios. -- certainly take a look at this issue 1694 and say, "What can we do to craft'' --

1695 *Mr. Sablan. Yes --

1696 *Mr. Palacios. -- "policies for the Virgin Islands, for 1697 the Commonwealth, for Guam, as they are unique.''

Mr. Sablan. And the Department of the Interior, Governor, is supposed to be our advocates in the executive branch. And I will be remiss if I don't say that, you know, I am -- they have not exactly had stellar records. I am not saying they have not done anything, but there is a lot of wish. We wish they would do a little bit more.

1704 I have 47 seconds. Governor Guerrero?

1705 *Governor Guerrero. Yes. Thank you, Congressman. Yes.
1706 We actually were driving the discussions. However, they did
1707 talk about opportunity zones, and the process that they have
1708 done to help us along, and encouraged us to take advantage of
1709 that tax incentive program.

They also talked a lot -- we also talked a lot about workforce development, and the importance of apprenticeship, business-private partnerships. But I think, as territories, we need to be the one aggressively driving the agenda. And that is what I would like to see.

And I just wanted to say we have a very good working relationship with OIA. And, in fact, they were very, very visible in our National Governors Association. So I do appreciate that working relationship.

1719 And, of course, we have to bring in our Congress 1720 delegates, also.

1721 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you. Thank you very much. My time
1722 is up. So I now yield -- recognize the Ranking Member, the

1723 gentlelady from Puerto Rico. She has five minutes.

1724 *Miss González-Colón. Thank you, Chairman Sablan. And again, thank you, all the governors, for being here. 1725 I know many of the situations that we all face are 1726 1727 examples of what is living in the territories. So I will begin with Lieutenant Governor for the Northern Mariana 1728 1729 Islands, specifically about the bill. And you were saying about -- can you discuss the importance of the capital 1730 improvement project grants in CNMI, and how these capital 1731 1732 improvement project dollars aided during Typhoon Yutu recovery efforts? If you can -- and remember, I got just 1733 1734 four minutes. So if we can, be concise so I can do another 1735 questions.

*Mr. Palacios. The capital improvement issue that I 1736 brought up is actually a funding that was under the provision 1737 1738 of our covenant, our agreement with the Federal Government, 1739 to come into the U.S. family of \$27 million a year. Somehow, somewhere, some time that disappeared. I don't know why it 1740 disappeared, how it disappeared. But the fact of the matter 1741 1742 is that today, instead of \$27 million, the Commonwealth only gets \$9 million to do infrastructure development. 1743

Some of those monies now goes to our brothers and sisters in Guam, our brothers and sisters in American Samoa, and our brothers and sisters in Virgin Islands, and I think even Puerto Rico. Now, we don't want to take those monies

back from our brothers and sisters in these other insular 1748 1749 areas. We want and we would like to see if Congress would consider increasing the level of that funding for the insular 1750 areas, so that everybody has a bigger piece of this pie. 1751 *Miss González-Colón. Lieutenant Governor, I know the 1752 tourism is one of the biggest areas in your economy, and I 1753 1754 don't know what is the Department of the Interior helping you out, considering the coronavirus and many other stuff in the 1755 Pacific, as well. But in terms of the territorial assistance 1756 1757 line item, which of the programs, in terms of technical assistance, maintenance assistance, coral reef initiatives, 1758 1759 among many others, which one of them is the most important 1760 one, the most that CNMI get more benefits from?

1761 *Mr. Palacios. It is the CIP money, 9 to \$15 million,
1762 and perhaps the technical assistance program.

Miss González-Colón. In terms of -- I am going to do kind of the same question to the Honorable Governor of Guam. You ask in your statement for support for an increase in the territorial assistance. Which program under that umbrella is most helpful to Guam?

*Governor Guerrero. I would say, of -- they are all three equally important. But I think, for the most part, I think the technical assistance is very important, because it provides us with help in whatever projects that we are going through. For example, automation is one, and just maybe

1773 analytics and data collection, so we can have a much better 1774 understanding of our issues in Guam.

But I believe they are all equally important, but if I had to choose, I would say technical assistance.

Miss González-Colón. I would never put you to choose, but I just want to know which one of them are the most important in terms of helping you out, the most positive impact. I was thinking about when we went there, we saw the brown tree snake control program, among many others. See, I remember.

1783 So if we -- if you can have some of those programs 1784 specifically, you say technical assistance. And I will go 1785 back now to U.S. Virgin Islands.

Governor, I know tourism for you, as well, is one of the most important tools. What the U.S. Virgin Islands is doing, actually, to cope with the situation in terms of the industry recovering from the hurricanes?

And what advantage for the U.S. Virgin Islands in the budget are included, in terms of the budget that is included there?

1793 *Governor Bryan. We don't see any direct benefit to the 1794 budget.

But on the other question, I think at this point in time the coral reef is very important, only because we have a new blight in the Caribbean. That is, we really don't have an

answer, and no one has an answer for it. The reefs also sustained damage in the both storms. And I know Puerto Rico was getting some money -- and then it stopped at FEMA -- for coral reef repair and revitalization.

The CIP money is very important to us, too, but at this time we have a lot of other federal aid that helps along with that. And it just patches those little holes that we don't have local money for, or federal funding. But the coral reef is something that we really need to bump up and pay attention to as we move forward.

1808 *Miss González-Colón. Thank you. I yield.

1809 *Mr. Sablan. I thank the Ranking Member. I next 1810 recognize the distinguished gentleman from Guam, Mr. San 1811 Nicolas, for five minutes.

*Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I first want to -- I want to begin by thanking you for your leadership on this Committee, and also thanking you for your leadership with respect to our recent achievements on our Medicaid funding. I know that you have been working with that for many years.

1818 I would also like to thank my colleague to my left, 1819 Congresswoman Plaskett, for her leadership in pushing for the 1820 EITC issue for many years.

1821I would like to thank our Ranking Member and our1822colleague of Puerto Rican descent for our Puerto Rican

1823 brothers and sisters always being here for us, as well; and 1824 our brother from Hawaii, thank you so much also, as well, for 1825 always looking out for the needs of our territories.

I was very interested to listen to the responses to the 1826 1827 question from my Chairman with respect to economic development initiatives that were discussed today. 1828 I think that, as much as we want to secure more federal funding for 1829 the needs of our territories, our ability to grow into our 1830 own capacity is something that is going to benefit all of us, 1831 1832 regardless of whether or not we are going to be successful in securing legislation for more federal funding. 1833

And I wanted to just inform the governors that one of the things that I am going to be working on is something that we worked on earlier with respect to trying to secure an office of territorial exporting within the Export-Import Bank of the United States. Right now, there is no specific focus on territories. That is about \$145 million of export-import financing support that has not been reaching territories.

I know that my colleague from Puerto Rico has about maybe 30 different companies that have been able to avail of that. But as far as the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Commonwealth, the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam, we have still not been able to tap into that resource. So hopefully we can get that legislation through the Financial Services Committee. But in the meantime, I think that it will be

1848 great for the respective administrations to begin looking at 1849 that opportunity, so that we can begin to align local policy 1850 with federal policy.

One of the things that has allowed us to be successful in this past year for something as dramatic as Medicaid, is the fact that, if we are able to identify common denominators within each of the territories, and focus our energies on advocating for that specific common denominator, then we are not taking as much of a shotgun approach. We are able to laser in and actually make some major achievements.

And so I wanted to ask the governors, because I know that you all had your own individual lists of needs. And we are not going to neglect those lists, but if the governors can come together and inform us of what they can agree on as a common denominator that all of us up here can advocate for within our respective capacities.

1864 Governor Bryan, I guess you can go ahead and begin. *Governor Bryan. Thank you. I think, immediately, 1865 Medicaid is one of the -- one that is really huge. And then 1866 the other one is the visa waivers. We have been asking for 1867 that for quite some time. They are the quilty tax changes in 1868 the law that make other tax incentive areas that are foreign 1869 more attractive than we are. I think those are three right 1870 off the cuff that would give us that sustainability, and give 1871 us the ability to do a lot of things. 1872

1873 *Mr. San Nicolas. Is the EITC something that is a major 1874 issue that the Virgin Islands needs -- wants to have 1875 addressed here by this body?

*Governor Bryan. To make -- to -- how important that is, it accounts for almost 40 percent of our tax returns in any given year. We are behind a year-and-a-half. This last past year we kind of made a record with \$70 million in tax returns. It is more than have ever been returned in the Virgin Islands in one year. But we are still --

1882 *Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you, Governor. I don't mean to 1883 cut you off, but I am -- I do have limited time. Thank you, 1884 Governor.

1885 Lieutenant Governor Palacios?

*Mr. Palacios. Thank you. I was just listening to some of the testimonies of the two governors. Actually, Governor Lou mentioned something regarding federal payment, taking control of the EITC issue so that the territories don't have to be burdened with this requirement, whereas, in the mainland United States, the Federal Government is -- pays out.

You know, these are a very, very critical amount of funding for our governments. And if we are burdened with this, these are -- those are -- that is one issue that I believe I can get on. Right now we don't pay that. But in my very brief discussion yesterday with my 1898 congressman, he said, you know, we are actually risking being 1899 sued by somebody in the Northern Marianas on this particular 1900 issue. And I can assure you we will most likely lose. In 1901 that regards --

1902 *Mr. San Nicolas. Governor, I don't mean to cut you 1903 off, but I do want to afford my governor a quick opportunity 1904 to respond.

1905 *Mr. Palacios. Thank you.

1906 *Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you.

1907 *Ms. Lang. Yes, thank you very much. Of course, 1908 Medicaid is a benefit of all that. We did write a letter 1909 uniting together in this common issue, and I am going to look 1910 forward to also coming forward with the EITC issue, as it 1911 does affect each of us.

And Congressman, I have been in communication with the Governor from the U.S. Virgin Islands, and I have been in communication with the Governor from the CNMI, so --

1915 *Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield1916 back.

1917 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you, Mr. San Nicolas.

1918 Mr. Soto, sir, you have five minutes.

1919 *Mr. Soto. I am all about empowering our U.S.

1920 territories. And with that spirit in mind, I yield to the 1921 gentleman from Guam.

1922 *Mr. Sablan. The gentleman has five minutes.

*Mr. San Nicolas. I thank my colleague. Thank you. 1923 1924 So, as we were discussing that common denominator of EITC, I think that that is something that we all should begin 1925 rallying around. I would like my colleagues to just kind of 1926 1927 put that on notice, because the EITC, I know for Guam, accounts for about one third of the total tax refund 1928 1929 liability paid out by the territory of nearly -- between 56 1930 to \$60 million.

1931 *Mr. Sablan. Right.

1932 *Mr. San Nicolas. So you are talking about 30 percent 1933 of a component on the Guam tax refund budget, 40 percent on 1934 the U.S. Virgin Islands.

And Governor Palacios, I know that on the CNMI they recover the EITC by taxing it back. So, you know, I am not even sure if it is necessary to make any changes, because if the language is written that the rebate would still kick in, then even if we taxed it back you would still get that rebate on top of it.

1941 So I am glad that the governors were able to share with 1942 us that particular common denominator on EITC. And I would 1943 just like to put my colleagues on notice, because that is 1944 something that actually we were able to move forward 1945 recently. And I know that my colleague to my left spoke very 1946 passionately about some components of that particular bill. 1947 I know that my colleagues from Puerto Rico were very active

1948 in getting that bill passed through the House, H.R. 5687.

1949 And that key language in there that also addressed the EITC for our territories is language that I am hoping we are 1950 going to be able to protect if the bill goes into conference. 1951 1952 And if we run into any kind of problems getting the bill through, because the Administration has already signaled that 1953 they have issues with it, I am hoping that my colleagues can 1954 1955 help us to find additional avenues for our EITC concern to still be able to find that channel. 1956

1957 Because one of the very significant things about having that language pass through H.R. 5687 is that it at least 1958 indicates that Members of the House of Representatives are 1959 1960 open to allowing for that kind of language to be included, 1961 not just in the recent legislation that was passed, but any future legislation that we may be able to find a vehicle for. 1962 And with that I don't want to use up all of my 1963 colleague's time. I will yield back to my colleague from 1964 Florida. 1965

1966 *Mr. Soto. I reclaim my time and yield to the 1967 gentlelady from the Virgin Islands.

1968 *Ms. Plaskett. Thank you very much for your cooperation 1969 and support of the territories all of the time.

1970 *Mr. Sablan. The gentlelady is recognized.

1971 *Ms. Plaskett. Thank you so much, sir. I wanted to1972 ask, Governor Bryan, you talked a little about the coral and

how important it is. What effect would the decaying coral 1973 reef have on tourism that fuels our local economy? 1974 *Governor Bryan. The Virgin Islands is home to several 1975 national monuments, including the one on St. John and the 1976 1977 Buck Island National Monument in St. Croix. Depletion of this coral not only harms us in terms of our tourism product. 1978 The wall at St. Croix is also -- it is not a national 1979 monument, but it is also a world-famous diving site. 1980 The depletion of our coral not only hurts our tourism product, 1981 1982 but hurts our fisheries, hurts our ability for our fishermen to make a living. As you know, the parrot fish is one of the 1983 1984 fish that eat -- feed off the coral. That would be greatly 1985 affected by this blight.

Furthermore, the scope of this is way beyond our capabilities as an island, and we have been finding it difficult just to identify and get the support. We continue to be plagued by invasive species and blights.

The lionfish is still a problem for us. The blight now in the coral, a second blight that we are discovering now, and also we have recently been invaded by boas, and we have a real boa problem on the island that we never had seen before on St. Croix.

Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. Under the President's Administration's -- his budget request for Fiscal Year 2021, under the Coral Reef Initiative in OIA's budget, it is

1998 proposed to cut by -- cut that coral reef program by \$1.6 1999 million. Will that have an effect on your work to sustain 2000 the coral reef?

2001 *Governor Bryan. It will absolutely have an effect. 2002 Even though we have been bolstered by the Nature Conservancy 2003 trying to grow coral in small farms, this blight is something 2004 that we weren't dealing with before. As a fisherman, a 2005 hobbyist fisherman and spear fisher, I could tell the 2006 difference in the coral reefs from time I was in high school 2007 to -- and then in 1989, with the storm.

Buck Island had just begun to come back almost 30 years later, and now we have had great damage to the reefs again. The waters pound and break the coral reefs and they fall over, and it takes them a really long time to recover. And that damages fishing grounds, fish and wildlife, and, as I said, the tourism.

2014 *Ms. Plaskett. Thank you.

2015 *Mr. Soto. I reclaim my time and yield back.

*Mr. Sablan. Thank you very much. And just for the record, the cut to the Coral Reef Initiative and natural resources in their proposed budget is 64 percent from, like the gentlelady said, 2.6 million cut to \$946,000.

I now recognize the gentleman from Hawaii, Mr. Case, for five minutes.

2022 *Mr. Case. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. And it is

truly an honor for me to be a member, together with my colleagues here of our Island Jurisdiction Caucus, and my colleague to my immediate right from Florida. He is an honorary member, so he is participating here, too.

2027 Look, this is pretty serious. Yesterday we saw the President's budget. And I assume that you have all taken a -2028 - at least a preliminary look at it. And if you haven't, it 2029 is devastating to the Office of Insular Affairs. It is a 2030 reduction, again, of somewhere around 20, 25 percent. That 2031 is after the prior year, in which the same thing happened, 2032 and Congress had to restore the funding to the Office of 2033 Insular Affairs for a number of different projects. 2034

2035 We see here that technical assistance is reduced very significantly, from 20 million to 14. Maintenance 2036 assistance, from four million to one million. The brown tree 2037 2038 snake control, 3.5 million down to 2.8. Coral Reefs, as the 2039 Chair just noted, 2.6 down to 950. Emerging insular communities, 6.2 down to 2.8. And a complete zeroing out of 2040 the discretionary compact-impact aid, a complete zeroing out 2041 2042 of \$4 million of compact-impact aid. Now, there is mandatory compact-impact aid, but there is discretionary over and above 2043 that, and that is what this Administration has gone after. 2044 And I -- it puzzles me. Well, I don't know if that is 2045 the right word. I am amazed. I don't understand it. There 2046 seems to be some level of actual animus inside somewhere in 2047

2048 this Administration to federal funding to our territories 2049 through the Office of Insular Affairs. And I don't know 2050 whether any of you have any explanation for it.

Is this some miscommunication between our territories and our Federal Government?

Is it a lack of advocacy inside the Administration? Because certainly Congress is favorable to these -- to this funding. We have restored it and increased it on -- in many areas.

2057 And it is a little bit of a rhetorical question I am going to leave you with, because I want to ask you, Governor 2058 2059 Guerrero, some very specific questions about compact-impact 2060 aid, which we share a great concern about. You spoke earlier 2061 about compact-impact aid, and about how strongly you felt about that. I think the figure is that we both get 14 2062 2063 million -- or have, at least -- in mandatory compact-impact 2064 aid. CNMI gets a little bit, as I recall. And that is about it for compact-impact aid. 2065

Now my State's direct expenses for compact-impact assistance are quantified at 187 million. I think your colleague, my colleague here, as I recall, Congressman, quantified it somewhere in the range of 200 million. So we are talking about the same amount. You have more compact residents. And in some cases your cost differential is correct.

2073 Would you say that that is -- and we have, by the way, 2074 taken the position, collectively, that in the renegotiation 2075 of the compacts, we are not willing to just roll over and 2076 accept and ratify -- which is Congress's obligation, and 2077 duty, and choice -- another set of compacts as they come up 2078 for renegotiation, unless there is substantial increases in 2079 compact funding. Would you agree with that, Governor?

2080 *Governor Guerrero. I certainly do. And I am very 2081 thankful for your passion and your advocacy for it.

2082 Governor Ige and myself are in communications of how we 2083 can calculate it so that GAO becomes much more favorable to 2084 maybe looking at our report and giving us more confidence and 2085 credibility in our reports.

2086 We average about \$115 million a year. And if you, you 2087 know, extrapolate that out, it is almost to a billion. And 2088 so we are very concerned about it. We see it in our public 2089 safety, we see it in our health, and we see it in our 2090 education.

But I also want to say that we welcome our brothers and sisters to help improve their quality of life. But I think the Federal Government has a responsibility to help us along with that, as we are not part of the negotiations, and decisions are made without our input.

2096 *Mr. Case. Governor, we are intending to insert 2097 ourselves into the negotiations on this point, and already

2098 have. Because if we simply do what we did the last time 2099 around, your bill and my bill is going to be well over a 2100 couple hundred million within a very short period of time. 2101 And that is unfair to both of us.

2102 Governor Bryan, my time is up, but I appreciate your comments on the coral reefs, as well. This is a major area 2103 2104 of funding that has been slashed. We have the same goals in 2105 the oceans that we live and work and play in. And we are completely supportive of -- I am completely supportive of 2106 2107 your comments, as are many Members of Congress. Thank you. *Mr. Sablan. Thank you. The gentleman's time is up. 2108 2109 Thank you.

Just again, just for the record, the Department of the Interior's Office of Insular Affairs has decreased every line item on their budget, except for their operations, the office. Everything else is a decrease. But they gave their operations, the cost of operations, an increase.

2115 We are going to have a second round of questioning. 2116 Oh, I am sorry. I need -- I apologize sincerely. I 2117 recognize the distinguished gentlelady from the U.S. Virgin 2118 Islands, Ms. Plaskett, for five minutes.

*Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. Thank you. I first want to thank you for allowing me to be a part of the Committee testimony today. This -- I think it is so important, these discussions, and I am grateful for the care that you take to

2123 -- addressing the issues of the territories in this2124 Committee.

One of the things that I wanted to ask, as you know, so many of us are dealing with recovery from natural disasters. Northern Mariana is, Puerto Rico, as well as the Virgin Islands.

Governor Bryan, what are some of the primary issues that the Virgin Islands may be having with federal agencies involved in their recovery, like FEMA and HUD?

2132 *Governor Bryan. Thank you, Delegate.

First of all, the one of the major things for us is 2133 power, energy in the Virgin Islands. Our power bills are 2134 2135 four times that of the average of the nation. It was very disruptive for us for HUD to take out the ability for us to 2136 use CDBG-DR funds, community grant funds, to use to help us 2137 2138 rebuild our power systems and create a cheaper, more 2139 efficient power in the use of propane, as well as solar and other things that we are doing. 2140

The other thing that I keep pressing is the 10 percent waiver. It really doesn't make sense for the federal -- for FEMA to require us to have a 10-percent match, and then us to take the money out of HUD. That is the same community development block grants that the Federal Government is giving us to pay it. It is not like we are paying it out of our own. 2148 And then lastly --

*Ms. Plaskett. Excuse me, could you tell us how much money, then, that you would have to use of your own CDBG grant funding to utilize for the 10 percent?

*Governor Bryan. So that would be about 500 million, which is over 25 percent of the money that we are being granted in order to make the 10 percent grants, which makes absolutely no sense.

Ms. Plaskett. When this body, Congress, gave the authority for those agencies to waive that statutory requirement?

2159 *Governor Bryan. That is correct. Five hundred and 2160 fifty million dollars is more than seventy-five percent of 2161 our general fund budget. That is a lot of money.

So the other piece is there has to be a different 2162 2163 approach. FEMA is good at response, but they are not so good 2164 at recovery. Island nations cannot be forced to wait 10 years to recover. We have storms that come every season. 2165 This is year two, and -- we are in year two-and-a-half, and 2166 2167 we still haven't finished our temporary hospital. We need to be -- have an expedited way so we skip all of these NEPA, 2168 2169 Army Corps, and all of the other processes, and be able to rebuild our schools, hospital, roadways, infrastructure in a 2170 quick way. 2171

Ms. Plaskett. I know one of the things that you have

2173 talked about is on the island of St. John, which is really 2174 important to this Committee on Natural Resources, the 2175 relationship with the national parks. That also leads to 2176 issues that local residents have on St. John with property 2177 tax. I know that you have a proposal to address that. Would 2178 you like to share that with this Committee?

*Governor Bryan. So there is a real terrible relationship now by the people who live on St. John and the Park Service. It is -- 66 percent of the land on St. John is park land and can never be developed or built on. This creates -- the unintended consequence is a very inflated land price. A piece of acre, a land on St. John, can go for a million dollars of just raw land.

That creates a situation where, too, you are having a 2186 high tax impact on St. Johnians who have large tracts of 2187 2188 land. Because of this million-dollar acre next to you, you 2189 have 20 acres, your land taxes could be in the 30, \$40,000 a year, while the Federal Government only pays 66 for -- 30,000 2190 for all the land they have in the Virgin Islands, including 2191 2192 that in St. John. If there was a mechanism that allowed for St. Johnians to benefit from that federal land being there by 2193 an investment in their infrastructure, whether it be in their 2194 schools, their hospital, or their recreational facilities, it 2195 would soften the blow and make people understand. 2196

Also, the delegate has a piece of legislation to stop

2198 the Park Service from buying any more or taking on any more 2199 land in St. John. The park is big enough. They have way 2200 more land than they will ever use. And I ask you to support 2201 the delegate's measure in Congress.

*Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. Is there anything else you wanted to share with the Committee in the remaining time that I have?

2205 *Governor Bryan. The one thing that I always stress is that no matter what State you are in, you are probably going 2206 2207 to be in -- you are going to be a victim of disaster. When you compare Puerto Rico's place to where we are in the Virgin 2208 2209 Islands, you can see where we are far ahead, not only because 2210 we are good, but because Puerto Rico has many layers of government, and it is very complicated to get that aid to 2211 where it is -- need be. That is the same type of situation 2212 2213 you are going to be in in your State, when you get into a 2214 disaster.

The Virgin Islands is a good place to test programs that 2215 expedite the federal recovery process, so that when it 2216 2217 happens in your State, it won't take you 10 years to get back to where your residents once were. Thank you, Delegate. 2218 *Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. I know that Congressman 2219 Graves and I have a piece of legislation that we have in the 2220 Transportation and Infrastructure Committee to expedite that 2221 process, and we are hoping to get that to the floor some 2222

2223 time.

Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity. Mr. Sablan. Thank you. And you don't mind going to a second round, Governors?

Then thank you. Okay, we are going to have a second round again. I yield myself five minutes.

And just a commentary on Mr. Case's comments about the 2229 Department may be having some kind of maybe even an agenda 2230 against the territories. I will say that I am not sure, 2231 because he wasn't like this -- it wasn't -- it hasn't always 2232 been like this. We have an Assistant Secretary in the room, 2233 2234 and he came, he consulted delegates, he consulted us about 2235 what we thought about his plans. So it was not always like this. 2236

2237 *Mr. Case. Would the Chair yield, just for a quick 2238 comment?

2239 *Mr. Sablan. Yes.

*Mr. Case. I certainly didn't want to -- my comments were very non-specific as to where the problem is. And I have always found the Department of the Interior and the folks that work in DOI to be very committed to the territories. So I suspect strongly that the issue as to why this funding continues to be cut lies in another area than the actual Department of the Interior.

2247 *Mr. Sablan. I -- so I will go back. So I -- Congress

provided \$20.8 million for -- let me go to compact-impact. 2248 2249 The governors, Pacific governors, know that the Federal Government made an error in how it counts the number of 2250 freely associated states immigrants -- are in Hawaii, Samoa, 2251 2252 Guam, and the Marianas. So, as a result, the OIA has proposed cutting what Samoa, what Guam, and the Marianas get 2253 in compact-impact to make up for what Hawaii did not get in 2254 past years. Hawaii should get more money. But the other 2255 insular areas should not have to pay for OIA's mistake. 2256 2257 Governor Palacios, Governor Guerrero, do you think we

should reduce the administrative budget of OIA or the Secretary's budget to make up for their mistake, rather than making you pay?

2261 [Laughter.]

2262 *Mr. Sablan. Yes or no?

2263 *Governor Guerrero. Oh, is he yielding to me? I really 2264 believe I do not agree, and I strongly oppose any kind of 2265 deductions from our compact.

First of all, we have never been over-funded. And one of the comments made was that we were over-funded the last four years as a result of not having correct census numbers.

2269 *Mr. Sablan. That wasn't your mistake, Governor.

2270 *Governor Guerrero. It was not my mistake.

*Mr. Sablan. So do you think that you should be caught
2272 -- your compact-impact money should not be cut, and --

- *Governor Guerrero. I do not believe it should be cut.
- *Mr. Sablan. So your answer is -- so it is no.
- 2275 *Governor Guerrero. No.
- 2276 *Mr. Sablan. Okay, thank you.
- *Governor Guerrero. Absolutely not.
- 2278 *Mr. Sablan. Governor Palacios?
- 2279 *Governor Guerrero. And I -- could I just say Governor
- 2280 Ige agrees with me?
- 2281 *Mr. Sablan. Governor Palacios, yes or no?
- 2282 *Mr. Palacios. No.
- *Mr. Sablan. Okay, thank you. So I am -- but let me ask you also, does the administration, your administration -does the Northern Marianas provide reports on the cost of
- 2286 housing, compact-impact migrants, as required?
- 2287 *Mr. Palacios. Costs?
- 2288 *Mr. Sablan. Yes.
- 2289 *Mr. Palacios. Cost of housing?
- *Mr. Sablan. Yes. I mean, you know, hosting.
- *Mr. Palacios. Oh, hosting, hosting. We do submit a report to OIA for the small amount of funding that we get, from compact-impact --
- *Mr. Sablan. No, I am -- sort of like -- I am -- a small census count of how many COFA citizens reside in the Marianas. I think we have been negligent, Governor, at this point. Guam has been reporting --

*Governor Guerrero. Guam has more, yes.

Mr. Sablan. On this count the Northern Marianas has not been -- so we actually wrote a letter in asking the Governor to come back in compliance with the law.

2302 *Mr. Palacios. I will take a look at that.

Mr. Sablan. But -- yes. But I have no scruples in getting up and make -- you know, amending the next fiscal year budget, which, to be very honest, would probably be the next -- January? It would be between the Election Day and the new year, the new Congress.

But let me also ask -- well, I have 40 seconds. So Congress provided 20.8 million for technical assistance to the insular areas last year and this year, but the Administration keeps trying to cut. So this year is 14.7. We are going to work hard to increase that money.

OIA hands out technical assistance money in response to 2313 2314 requests from governors and others. Congress gives them free reign. But I want to ask whether you would prefer more 2315 control of the money. Would you like us to take some of the 2316 2317 technical assistance slush fund and put it into implementing specific programs -- the coral reef, the compact-impact the 2318 -- you know, help -- CIP money? I mean what do you think? 2319 My time is up, so -- but you could respond, and -- as 2320 quick as possible, and then I will yield. 2321

2322 *Governor Guerrero. I wouldn't mind putting it in other

2323 projects, but would like to get -- to give input in where we 2324 can prioritize that.

2325 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you.

*Mr. Palacios. That could be a workable solution.

2327 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you. I now yield to Miss González2328 Colón for her five minutes.

2329 *Miss González-Colón. I will reserve.

2330 *Mr. Sablan. You could yield. Okay.

2331 *Miss González-Colón. No, I reserve.

2332 *Mr. Sablan. She reserves. She won't give it to me.

2333 [Laughter.]

*Mr. Sablan. So I now -- she will give it to -- Mr. San
Nicolas, you have five minutes.

*Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Hopefullyshe is reserving so she can give it to me.

But I wanted to follow up with your line of questioning, Mr. Chairman, and your commentary with respect to the concern about the compact funding error that the administration is going to be looking to recover. And I wanted to put on the record, Mr. Chairman, that we need to be very, very cautious about the kind of precedents that the "solution'' is going to set with respect to what this is going to entail.

If the census is making errors in counts, and those errors in counts are resulting in some areas getting overfunded and some areas getting underfunded, how we address 2348 that census error, I think, is going to set a precedent in 2349 this case with respect to every other census error that may 2350 happen in the future.

For example, if the census makes an error in the counts for veterans in a number of States, and that impacts the funding available for veteran services in the various States, are the States then going to take the reductions in future veteran funding in order to offset miscounts by the census in prior years?

2357 Those are the kinds of things that I think need to be put on the table, because right now it is very easy for 2358 2359 territories to just be thrown this very roughshod solution of 2360 oh, well, we overpaid you then. We are just going to underpay you now. But if we are going to be looking at 2361 fairness in terms of the allocation of dollars with respect 2362 2363 to census counts, then we need to understand that if we are 2364 going to be doing this to the territories today, every other State that may be impacted by a census miscount in the future 2365 would be -- would have the door open to having the same 2366 2367 consequence.

And I don't think that territory should suffer this consequence today, any more than States should suffer a similar consequence tomorrow. If there was an error in census counts, the Census budget should absorb that error, and this body should open up the possibility of appropriating

2373 not just the funding for the census, or for the census 2374 activities, but also backstop funding for any census errors 2375 that need to be corrected as a result of funding 2376 misapplications.

2377 Another precedent that we all seem to be very mindful of, Mr. Chairman, is if we insist on that, if we insist on 2378 2379 going back and reducing the funding for territories as a result of miscounts in the past, then when our territories 2380 finally do come up with a formula that properly prices the 2381 cost of the compact-impact, then equally, Mr. Chairman, the 2382 precedent should be that we should go back to all those prior 2383 2384 years and correct the funding that was not properly allocated 2385 as a result of those costs.

2386 So let's put that on the table in this hearing today, 2387 Mr. Chairman, that not only is this conversation about a 2388 simple mathematical solution to a funding problem, it is 2389 about precedents. What kind of precedents are we going to 2390 set, and how we are going to impact territories with respect 2391 that precedence?

As a closing, Mr. Chairman, on that subject of compactimpact, I wanted to afford our governors an opportunity to clarify certain statements, because I know that in the dialogue it was expressed that we were over-funded in compact-impact over those prior years. But the reality is for all these years we have been grossly under-funded in compact-impact. And so I wanted to afford our governors an opportunity to speak to compact-impact funding, how short it actually has been with respect to the actual costs to the territories.

2402 *Governor Guerrero. It has been tremendously short. We have never been over-funded, we have never been overpaid. 2403 То 2404 give us only \$14 million a year for an expenditure of about a \$150 million a year, 30 million in health care, 68 million in 2405 education, and the remainder in public safety, to say that is 2406 2407 really an injustice to our island. We have always been working very hard and struggling to get our due expenses. 2408 2409 And exactly, we are not, and I totally agree with my

congressman in his comments and his analysis of the compactimpact monies.

2412 *Mr. San Nicolas. Lieutenant Governor Palacios, did you2413 want to chime in?

Mr. Palacios. You know, compact-impact is a -- it has been an issue of contention since -- ever since it was implemented. At one point we were up in arms because we had a lot of migrants from the free-state -- FAS citizens. But obviously, the issue has become larger in the territory of Guam and Hawaii.

So whatever could be done to address the issue needs to be done. It is -- we cannot continue to see this issue continue to linger on in the CNMI, in Guam, and now the State 2423 of Hawaii. Thank you.

2424 *Mr. San Nicolas. Thank you, Governor. I yield back,2425 Mr. Chairman.

2426 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you. Now I recognize the delegate 2427 from the Virgin Islands, Ms. Plaskett.

Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. Governor Bryan, I wanted to give you some time to discuss the issue of an idea that we have been talking about, which is a special visa waiver program similar to what is happening -- what has been given in Guam, and in the Pacific area. How would that be supportive and beneficial to the economy of the Virgin Islands?

2435 *Governor Bryan. One of the things that I discussed today at the IGIA was how both of our islands are in places 2436 where they are surrounded by foreign countries. 2437 While we 2438 regularly look to the U.S. for support, whether in tourism, 2439 or business, or investment, there is 60 million people in the Caribbean at any given time. Because we are not a country we 2440 can't negotiate with any of those countries, we can't trade 2441 with any of those countries fairly, and we can't exchange 2442 2443 commerce.

There is a huge potential for us, because we are in the Spanish -- the English-speaking Caribbean, for health care to be provided. One of the things that has adversely impacted us since the storm is our hospitals I mentioned aren't

together yet. We are paying upwards of \$250,000 per person 2448 2449 to fly individuals from the Virgin Islands to Florida for 2450 emergency care, per person. If we were able to expand our hospital systems and the care that we are able to provide in 2451 2452 the in the Caribbean, it would open us up to a lot of new traffic that would come to us on the English-speaking Virgin 2453 Islands, rather than go to Miami, which is much further away. 2454 Also, there are over a million other tourists cruising 2455 around in the Caribbean who are coming from European ports 2456 2457 that come to the Virgin Islands and can't clear in time because it takes too long. If we had the special visa 2458 2459 waivers, these people would be able to disembark off those 2460 boats, and be able to participate in our economy, and thereby 2461 boost our tourist numbers -- not only boost them, but there are days in the Virgin Islands when there are no ships at the 2462 2463 ports, and then there are other days where they are totally 2464 clogged. So it is feast or famine. I would be able -- it would help us to better utilize our ports and see a new 2465 customer come to the Virgin Islands, bringing in dollars that 2466 are otherwise being spent in foreign ports. 2467

Ms. Plaskett. Yes, I know you talked about the hospitals, rather than people from other islands -- Saint Kitts, Antigua -- going to Canada, or to London, or other places, they would utilize the Virgin Islands for health care benefits. Even our children would be able to compete on a

2473 level playing field, rather than having to come -- it is much 2474 cheaper to go to another island than it might -- in some 2475 instances, to go to Miami or other places.

2476 *Governor Bryan. Right.

2477 *Ms. Plaskett. But one of the things that we didn't talk -- that I didn't hear discussed at IGIA, which you might 2478 have an opportunity here to talk about, is some of the 2479 initiatives or support that this Congress can give you in 2480 terms of dealing with your retirement pension plan, and 2481 support that couldn't be coming from us, as the Virgin 2482 Islands Government has to deal with the, you know, large 2483 2484 issues with this retirement program in the same way that 2485 Northern Marianas and some of the other places have had to deal with that in the past. 2486

*Governor Bryan. So there are over 8,000 people on our current government retirement system right now, and over 9,000 people in the government. That is 17,000 people that rely on this retirement system directly, and probably 34 percent or 40 percent -- 34,000 to 40,000 who rely on it indirectly. That is 40 percent of our population that depends on this system being viable.

2494 Currently we have a 2.8 billion -- anywhere from a 1 2495 billion to \$2.8 billion shortfall, depending on whose math 2496 you are using. The recaptures of the gasoline tax would be 2497 the first part in providing a steady funding stream in order to float a bond that we would hope that Treasury would be willing to extend to us in order to put a billion-dollars base in that retirement system.

We are not looking for a straight handout. We are just 2501 2502 looking for a situation where maybe we would get 10 years interest only, and then start to pay back the principal. 2503 2504 That would give us enough time for the system to catch 2505 itself. We are not simply just throwing money into it. We have our other plan that downgrades the system and allows for 2506 2507 a 401(k) program to be reinstituted, rather than padding up a retirement system that we know is not sustainable, and that 2508 2509 we can't afford.

2510 *Ms. Plaskett. Lieutenant Governor Palacios, I know 2511 that Northern Marianas has dealt with this in the past. Is 2512 there any insight you want to give us with regard to how to 2513 save a government retirement system?

2514 *Mr. Palacios. Well, somebody took us to court and got 2515 a court junction that we have to pay, make sure that we --2516 at least 75 percent of those pensions.

But, you know, that was one of the most difficult situations that I have ever faced as a lieutenant governor. Thirty days after I got in, the pension trustees called me up. I actually emailed the governors that we are not going to pay -- we are not going to push the button to pay the retirees unless you can come up with \$5 million by Tuesday afternoon. Those are the type of horror stories that we have. But we have put ourselves on schedule, put ourselves on a payment schedule, and that becomes a priority in our budget.

2527 Can we use the help to pay for those obligations in the 2528 past? Definitely. Definitely. But we don't want to keep 2529 coming to the Federal Government for help.

Ms. Plaskett. Thank you. I don't think it is the intention of any of the territories to ask for handouts, we are just asking for equity and the tools to be able to sustain and grow our economies.

2534 Thank you so much, Mr. Chair.

2535 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you, Ms. Plaskett. And now the 2536 gentlelady who has reserved her five minutes now has -- is 2537 recognized for five minutes.

2538 *Miss Gonzalez-Colon. Thank you, Chairman. I know we 2539 have been discussing many, many issues here. And one of the issues that has been part of the discussion now is that 2540 requirement that is now -- that now relies on the hands of 2541 2542 the local governments to make the estimates for the migrants that used to be part of the Department of the Interior, and 2543 then they changed them to allow you to do that without 2544 reimbursement of the cost of doing that. 2545

And I think, having the discussion, we should go back to the law President Reagan signed, allowing those goals to be 2548 part of the DOE -- DOI let me say. And in that sense, if not 2549 that, at least the reimbursement of the funds you are using 2550 to make those calculations.

But I want to -- and in terms of -- another area that we 2551 2552 can work with is in the renewal of the compacts that Secretary Pompeo is saying that are going to be renewed in a 2553 2554 few months. We should include that opportunity. That is something that we got in the horizon, and should be included 2555 there. And thanks to God we got this kind of a hearing just 2556 2557 to get all that information together, and do it in a bipartisan way. 2558

2559 Another area that I just want to mention -- and I said it at the beginning of the hearing -- is the issue of the 2560 2561 cockfighting. I know that, in the case of Puerto Rico, it has been a tough issue, because it is part of our economy, 2562 2563 and it has been legislated. It is a state-regulated economic 2564 area since 1922. So this is not new. This is highly regulated, we got judges, you got a complete industry around 2565 the cockfighting. So that amendment was passed. And I 2566 remember Stacey Plaskett and I were on the floor when that 2567 was discussed, and an ultimate-minute amendment. 2568

2569 So, you know, in the case of Puerto Rico, we did a new 2570 legislation in December of last year allowing this to be 2571 treated as a State issue, not interfering with the interested 2572 interstate commerce. But again, as territories, we don't have Senators, we don't have many members with vote in the floor of the House.

So I just want to tell you that anything that we could 2575 do together to push for this, and how this is impacting our 2576 2577 economies and our way of life, should be really appreciated. I know we may not have enough time to discuss this issue, but 2578 if you can do something in terms of writing, let's have a 2579 meeting or something. All the delegates, I need to say we 2580 were all on the same page on this issue. We even filed and 2581 2582 dropped a bill regarding this. Nothing has happened in the Committee of Agriculture. So we should push together again 2583 2584 to see if we can have at least a year or a two-year waiver to see the economic impact of banning that kind of industry. 2585 That is what we are asking. That legislation was approved 2586 without any study of the impact of that then in our 2587 2588 respective territories, as well.

2589 One last issue, and in the case of Puerto Rico we do have an erosion problem in our beaches. And we managed to 2590 secure some funds from the Army Corps of Engineers to make a 2591 2592 study in that sense. And I know all islands should have the same situation, specifically U.S. Virgin Islands and us. 2593 How you are -- I mean -- and I congratulate the U.S. 2594 Virgin Islands in getting access to the CDBG-DR funds 2595 immediately. In our case, as you may say, we got several 2596 layers. And plus to that, an oversight board, and plus to 2597

that we got a monitor, and plus to that we got an inspector for all of those agencies. So everything is getting longer to get access to those funds.

2601 What are -- what should be the main issue to develop the 2602 economic activity? It will be in tourism. It will be in 2603 manufacturing for all of the -- all territories should be --2604 CDBG funds should be treated as equally in all federal 2605 programs. If we can have that decision today, what, Governor 2606 Guerrero, will be Guam's choice?

2607 *Governor Guerrero. I think we should create a 2608 different funding source called "Territories Economic 2609 Development Improvement,'' and to focus primarily on our each 2610 unique ways of our economic development.

For us, of course, tourism is one of the biggest drivers of our economy. And I am very concerned about the issue of the coronavirus, because it is already affecting our economy in terms of cancellations.

2615 So maybe we should think more creatively and create 2616 different fund source, and create a different funding unit 2617 to, say, economic development for the territories, or 2618 tourism.

Miss González-Colón. I know my time has expired, but I will really appreciate, Lieutenant Governor Palacios and Governor Bryan, if we can continue this conversation later on, in terms of writing or a phone call. I will really 2623 appreciate it.

2624 Thank you. I yield back.

2625 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you very much. And I now recognize 2626 the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Soto.

*Mr. Soto. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before, I thought
it would be appropriate to give your delegates my time,
because five minutes just isn't enough. Even 10 minutes.

But I want to welcome you all. Some of you traveled for hundreds of miles to get here, and others thousands. And we welcome you.

In Florida we have some of the same issues you all are facing. We are just a giant State with this -- disaster relief issues, reef issues, pythons, lionfish, and we have bills that we are working on in this Committee to address some of those things.

We heard about the disaster relief already. And when I think about everything from Super Typhoon Yutu, to Hurricane Irma and Maria, to Super Typhoon Hagibis, all of you have either seen destruction or had close calls regarding disaster relief. So it is something we are going to continue to work on.

And with reefs, I have a bill with my Puerto Rican sister here, Gonzalez-Colon, and with Mr. Case and others, that we are hopeful, with movement in the Senate, we are going to see pass through, this bill, as well as we have 2648 python hunts in Florida, and we have a lionfish bill that 2649 many of us have cosponsored, as well, that we are hopeful to 2650 address some of those issues.

But I want to follow up on what Resident Commissioner Gonzalez-Colon had talked about, which is, you know, how could we promote some of these high-growth industries. And I am going to first start with Governor Bryan.

2655 What would be probably, you think, the industry with the 2656 most potential right now in the Virgin Islands that we could 2657 assist to really boost? Of all the industries you are 2658 working on.

2659 *Governor Bryan. Oh, financial services. If we were to 2660 get those tax issues closed, it would have the most immediate 2661 impact.

And then, of course, the visa waivers. It might come to you as some surprise, but Congresswoman Colon can tell you you need to clear Customs to go to Puerto Rico. I can't sail to Culebra from St. Thomas without getting cleared by Customs. That is ridiculous.

And on the BVI, it is the British Virgin Islands. We could -- you could swim from St. John to Tortola, and you need clear Customs. So using the waivers in this sector would be tremendous for us.

And then the flexibility of funds. We know, on a micro level, where funds would best be utilized. Grant us the 2673 ability to use them for energy, especially. That would solve 2674 a lot of problems.

2675 *Mr. Soto. One Caribbean, one love, and many visas. 2676 That is a disgrace. We have to fix that.

Governor Palacios, it would be great to hear. What do you think is probably the biggest high-growth industry you see? And how could we help?

2680 *Mr. Palacios. Well, you know, today, earlier in my 2681 statement, I stated that tourism is the one and only 2682 industry. And its vulnerabilities is very obvious.

But there are policies that we have been working on, 2683 2684 thanks to Congressman Sablan, who has been helping us with 2685 our labor issue, to make sure that the industry doesn't all of a sudden collapse because of sudden applications of 2686 federal labor policies. He has introduced and had Congress 2687 pass legislation that became law that -- about a year, two 2688 2689 years ago. Yet federal agencies have yet to promulgate rules and regulations to implement those on labor. I believe he 2690 has two legislation or laws already. 2691

2692 So sometimes it is prodding the federal agencies 2693 required to assistance us in those policies to help with our 2694 industries and the vulnerability of industries.

2695 *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Governor. Because my time is
2696 limited, I want to make sure Governor Guerrero -- what do you
2697 anticipate, the highest growth industry right now, and how we

2698 could help?

*Governor Guerrero. Our high growth industry right now, I think, is -- continues to be tourism, although I am looking to diversify it, and I am really focusing on aquaculture to be --

2703 *Mr. Soto. Okay.

*Governor Guerrero. -- a main improved industry in Guam. And agriculture. So budget moneys for OEI, if you would not have any decrease in any of those, especially in the technical assistance, would be great. And even increase it, so we can have some Department of Agriculture research and data and so forth, in terms of developing aquaculture and agriculture in our island.

*Mr. Soto. Thank you so much, and I yield back.

2712 *Mr. Sablan. Thank you, Mr. Soto. I now recognize this 2713 gentleman from California, Mr. Cox.

Mr. Cox. Thank you. Thank you so much, Chairman.
I just want to say to, Governor Bryan, we had the
pleasure this morning in Ag Committee to meet Sommer Sibilly
Brown, the Founder and Executive Director of the Virgin
Islands Good Food Coalition. So you have got a great one
there, and her testimony was very, very helpful for looking
at farmers markets.

But I would like to yield my time to the Chairman, Mr. Sablan.

Mr. Sablan. Okay, thank you, Mr. Cox. Let me just, you know, again, because the team at IGIA was economic development, supposedly, and, you know, sometimes it is -- it takes more than just money to assist the territories. I mean I can speak for the Northern Marianas. Governor Palacios and I had a conversation yesterday. Regulations for two of the three workforce acts are way overdue.

Governor Palacios, I need to confirm this, but it has 2730 always been my position that the construction workers --2731 3,000 construction workers -- does not need regulations, 2732 because we already have a workforce regulation that they are 2733 2734 using. Of course, they need to go to Labor, Department of 2735 Labor, but not new regs for that. I need to confirm this, 2736 because I found out -- we found out today that I was correct the whole time. But don't quote me on that, because you 2737 2738 never know.

2739 But you know --

2740 *Mr. Palacios. That would be great.

Mr. Sablan. Things like the Jones Act, that -- I mean Puerto Rico has been asking for that. Guam has been asking for that for a while. We need the assistance of our advocate, main advocates in the Administration, to give some kind of -- get something moving.

I am not saying that we can get it done immediately, but, you know, get information out there, because educating,

informing Members of Congress, informing agencies in the 2748 executive branch, this is sometimes -- you know, it is very 2749 I know, I have gone through that, where I have to 2750 important. talk to many Members of Congress to make them understand. 2751 Ι 2752 spoke to Mr. King of Iowa for six months, trying to make him understand about the immigration program in the Marianas, why 2753 it is different from the Nation. 2754

I wish I could just -- you know, I never could understand why Delta could fly from Narita to Saipan and back to Narita, and fly from Narita to Guam and back to Narita, and not be able to pick up passengers between Guam and Saipan. You know, that is something that I would really like to go in again and try and see if we could get that. It needs legislation, I would say.

But those are policies that would help the territories a 2762 2763 lot, and probably -- and if it works, worth more than the 2764 technical assistance money put together. Just give the territories the policy tools that they need to develop 2765 themselves and help themselves pick up. And you know, 2766 because there is also a sense of pride in knowing that we did 2767 something, that we helped ourselves, and -- to improving our 2768 economy, the lives of our community. 2769

And the cockfight, everybody went to bat on that one. We were not successful. I mean, I am telling you, the vote was like, wow, 400-something to some -- to very little. But in 2014, I was able to get it out of -- take it out of the farm bill then. And it wasn't easy, you know, because Jim McGovern was a member of the committee, and I had to convince him that -- let it slide and -- you know, but this time it was just a really difficult thing.

I know in Puerto Rico it is like a \$100 million-a-year industry. In the Marianas -- I think you have got 90 licenses. In the Marianas there is three licenses, one in Saipan, one in Tinian, and one on Rota. The amounts are very small. And I am not sure about Guam. I know there is more -- but yes.

2784 Again, we are continuing to carry on our work on the Medicaid issue. We want to be -- for the Marianas, at least, 2785 I have the six-year program so that we could get our Medicaid 2786 office to put together the reporting system, electronic 2787 2788 system, and the fraud system, so that they meet all their 2789 requirements of getting into the full program, and SSI, and those kind of things for everybody, because we have it and 2790 the rest don't. 2791

2792 So -- but, you know, please know that we work together, 2793 we work hard here. And we get -- we don't give up. If we 2794 get turned down today, we ask again yesterday.

2795 So again, thank you very much, Governors, for coming. 2796 And I have to go through this script now, so please excuse 2797 me.

The members of the Committee may have some additional questions for the witnesses, and we will ask you to respond to these in writing.

2801 Under Committee 3(o), members of the Committee must 2802 submit witness questions within 3 business days following the 2803 hearing, and the hearing record will be held open for 10 2804 business days for these responses.

2805 If there is no further business, without objection, the 2806 Committee stands adjourned. Thank you very much.

[Whereupon, at 3:50 p.m., the Committee was adjourned.]