1 Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.

2 RPTS LEWANDOWSKI

3 HIF119180

4

5

6 FISCAL YEAR 2022 EPA BUDGET

7 THURSDAY, APRIL 29, 2021

8 House of Representatives,

9 Subcommittee on Environment and Climate Change,

10 Committee on Energy and Commerce,

11 Washington, D.C.

12

13

14

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 11:05 a.m. Wia Webex, Hon. Paul Tonko [chairman of the subcommittee], presiding.

Present: Representatives Tonko, DeGette, Schakowsky,
Sarbanes, Clarke, Ruiz, Peters, Dingell, Barragan, McEachin,
Blunt Rochester, Soto, O'Halleran, Pallone (ex-officio);
McKinley, Johnson, Mullin, Hudson, Carter, Duncan, Curtis,
Crenshaw, and Rodgers (ex-officio).

Also present: Representatives Castor; and Burgess.

Staff Present: Jeff Carroll, Staff Director; Jacqueline 25 Cohen, Chief Environment Counsel; Adam Fischer, Professional 26 Staff Member; Waverly Gordon, General Counsel; Tiffany 27 Guarascio, Deputy Staff Director; Anthony Gutierrez, 28 29 Professional Staff Member; Caitlin Haberman, Professional Staff Member; Perry Hamilton, Deputy Chief Clerk; Zach Kahan, 30 Deputy Director Outreach and Member Service; Rick Kessler, 31 Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment; 32 Mackenzie Kuhl, Press Assistant; Brendan Larkin, Policy 33 34 Coordinator; Dustin Maghamfar, Air and Climate Counsel; Elysa Montfort, Press Secretary; Kaitlyn Peel, Digital Director; 35 Tim Robinson, Chief Counsel; Chloe Rodriguez, Deputy Chief 36 Clerk; Nikki Roy, Policy Coordinator; Andrew Souvall, 37 Director of Communications, Outreach, and Member Services; 38 Rebecca Tomilchik, Policy Analyst; Caroline Wood, Staff 39 Assistant; Sarah Burke, Minority Deputy Staff Director; 40 Michael Cameron, Minority Policy Analyst, CPC, Energy, 41 Environment; Nate Hodson, Minority Staff Director; Peter 42 Kielty, Minority General Counsel; Bijan Koohmaraie, Minority 43 44 Chief Counsel; Mary Martin, Minority Chief Counsel, Energy & Environment; and Michael Taggart, Minority Policy Director. 45 46

47 \*Mr. Tonko. Good morning. The Subcommittee on
48 Environment and Climate Change will now come to order.
49 Today the subcommittee is holding a hearing entitled,

50 "The Fiscal Year 2022 EPA Budget.''

51 Due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, today's 52 hearing is being held remotely. All members and witnesses 53 will be participating via video conferencing.

As part of our hearing, microphones will be set on mute for purposes of eliminating inadvertent background noise. Members and witnesses, you will need to unmute your microphone each time you wish to speak.

Documents for the record can be sent to Rebecca Tomilchik at the email address we have provided to staff. All documents will be entered into the record at the conclusion of the hearing.

I now recognize myself for five minutes for an openingstatement.

Today we have the pleasure of welcoming the recentlyconfirmed sixteenth administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Michael S. Regan. And we welcome him to the Energy and Commerce Committee's hearing here, and the subcommittee hearing.

And, Mr. Administrator, congratulations on your confirmation, and welcome to the Subcommittee on Environment and Climate Change. We look forward to your testimony and 72 discussion of our President's Fiscal Year 2022 budget 73 request, and other EPA priorities.

While you have only recently joined the Agency, I know 74 you are fully aware of the critical role that EPA must play 75 76 in leading our nation's response to some of the biggest public health, environmental, and economic challenges we now 77 face. None of these challenges will be easy, but I am 78 hopeful that we are entering a new era at EPA, and this reset 79 could not come at a more urgent moment for America or the 80 81 world.

The President's Fiscal Year 2022 discretionary funding 82 request requests \$11.2 billion for the Agency, a \$2 billion 83 increase from last year's enacted levels. The President's 84 requests highlights several priorities, including \$1.8 85 billion for programs to tackle the climate crisis in ways 86 that also promote and restore environmental justice; \$3.6 87 billion for our nation's long-neglected water systems, many 88 of which are contaminated with PFAS, lead, and other 89 dangerous substances; robust funding for Superfund and 90 91 brownfield remediation projects; and increased air quality monitoring and enforcement in over-burdened communities. 92

As we work to recover from the COVID public health crisis, we must not take our eye off the many environmental threats facing countless American communities. EPA must act boldly to advance robust policies on climate change, on clean

97 water, chemical safety, and on land remediation. This agenda 98 will certainly require increased staffing to meet the 99 nation's needs, a challenge compounded by the loss of nearly 100 1,000 EPA employees over the past 4 years.

101 Today the Agency's workforce is at its lowest level since 1988, even as we are expecting EPA to play such a 102 critical role in addressing numerous complicated public 103 104 health and environmental challenges. There is a clear need to rebuild the Agency's staff capacity, and to attract new, 105 106 talented people to public service, both to get the job done today, and to allow for an effective transfer of 107 institutional knowledge to a new generation of public 108 servants from the current, long-term EPA workers who are 109 nearing retirement. 110

I was happy to see funding in the budget for this purpose; a fully-staffed EPA workforce is essential to protecting human health and the environment, enforcing our environmental laws, and, indeed, tackling climate change.

I have also been impressed to see the Biden Administration's recommitment to strong scientific integrity principles. Our public health and environmental protections must be grounded in robust science, ensuring that EPA career staffers can conduct their work and develop roles based on sound science, free from interference from political and special interests, is a cornerstone for good, trustworthy

122 governance at the Agency.

123 This should be the case, regardless of which party sits 124 in the White House, and I look forward to working with the 125 Administration to strengthen and codify all agencies' 126 scientific integrity policies.

Finally, in addition to this EPA budget request, 127 President Biden has proposed the American Jobs Plan. 128 This subcommittee has the opportunity and the responsibility to 129 take and make tremendous contributions to the American Jobs 130 131 Plan, or any other infrastructure package being considered in Congress. The AJP includes funding for the Drinking Water 132 State Revolving Fund, full lead service line replacements, 133 remediation of brownfields and Superfund sites, and reduction 134 of diesel emissions from the DERA, and deployment of zero-135 136 emission school buses. These programs have enjoyed strong bipartisan support in the past, and significant investments 137 in these areas will, indeed, benefit people and communities 138 in every district across our country. 139

I am looking forward to pursuing this agenda to protect public health and the environment, while modernizing our nation's infrastructure, and jump-starting our post-COVID economic recovery.

Again, Mr. Regan, I thank you again for joining us. Congratulations, again, on the appointment. And I look forward to today's discussion.

147 [The prepared statement of Mr. Tonko follows:]

- 149 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
- 150

151 \*Mr. Tonko. I will now recognize Mr. McKinley,

152 Representative McKinley, serving as the ranking member of the 153 Subcommittee on Environment and Climate Change.

And Representative McKinley, you are recognized for five minutes, please, for an opening statement.

\*Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Chairman Tonko, and welcome,
Administrator Regan, I particularly appreciated our
conversation yesterday.

But I think one thing we can all agree to is that the world needs to reduce its carbon emissions. And I think we are on the same page here.

And what -- the President has repeatedly pledged publicly to decarbonize the power sector by 2035. And some in Congress are trying to upgrade -- move that up to 2030. But I want, in this hearing today, with -- over this budget, is to look at what we will need, and what will have to happen for a power plant to meet that goal.

168 First, we have to have -- carbon capture technology must169 become commercially viable.

170 Secondly, we have to have a new source review program 171 that must be reviewed.

And thirdly, the United States needs to develop a pipeline system to dispose of this captured carbon.

Utility companies have found that it takes seven to eight years to get a new source review permit and do all the engineering and designing permitting to install carbon capture to achieve net zero. So if utilities must comply with the Democrats' goal of 2030, they are going to have to start immediately, using today's technology. But it is widely recognized that today's carbon capture technology is not ready for prime time. It is incapable of capturing 80 percent of our fossil fuel, or our carbon emissions.

183 So -- but let's just assume that the technology works in 184 the next 12 months, and we can, indeed, capture 80 percent of 185 the carbon emitted. Utilities will next need to have a new 186 source review permit approved to upgrade their facilities. 187 Yet the new source review program lacks certainty and 188 predictability.

When -- just think about it. When was the last time any of us in Congress heard of a utility getting a new source review permit to make a major modification? They are not. It is not a reliable process.

According to the GAO, all -- recent -- the EPA recently 193 reviewed 831 NSR units that have been approved by the NSR; 194 195 467 of them were found to be in violation. And they had -the utility had to go back and redo everything that they had 196 just gotten permission to accomplish. That is not certainty, 197 that is insanity. And it can cost hundreds of millions of 198 199 dollars to utilities and the consumers, as a result of the 200 EPA not following its regulations.

So what our utilities need is certainty. That is why 201 the new source review needs to be reformed. But there is 202 nothing in the President's agenda or in this budget that I 203 can see discussing reforms to this program. Rather, it seems 204 205 the Administration is following the same philosophical agenda as President Biden's, which is focusing on regulations. 206 What a novel thing, Chairman, if we focused instead on 207 innovating first, letting our laboratories, our scientists, 208 and our researchers come up with a way of carbon capture, 209 210 rather than punishing them. We could be showing American 211 leadership.

212 Now, let's assume that they can get the carbon technology, and there is -- and they actually get a permit 213 for it. So now you need -- the power plant needs to develop 214 215 a pipeline system to transport the captured carbon. The Democrats don't have -- they have, unfortunately, an adverse 216 position towards pipelines. So just look at what has 217 happened over the Keystone pipeline, the Dakota access 218 pipeline, the Atlantic Coast pipeline, and the Mountain 219 220 Valley pipeline, the Line 5 in Michigan. All of these are showing a problem. 221

222 So why do we think, as we develop this, that the 223 environmentalists are going to let us -- let states and the 224 Administration approve more pipelines? I don't think they 225 are going to be built. And those pipelines, if they get held

up in court, won't be built by 2030. We will have

227 accomplished nothing.

So all these things need to come together. We have to 228 develop carbon capture. We have to have reforms of new 229 230 source review, and we have to build out a system of carbon -pipelines to get the project going. But in the quiet of the 231 night, the EPA and the Administration know this can't be 232 233 achieved. You know that, and the rest of the people on this panel. So why isn't the Administration just simply being 234 235 honest with the American public?

There is -- this is nothing but a politically-driven agenda aimed at ending jobs and the use of coal and natural gas in this country. Coal miners and gas workers all across America will suffer, losing their homes, jobs, and livelihoods, and they will fall into poverty.

241 I say again, "Where is the justice in that process?''

242 [The prepared statement of Mr. McKinley follows:]

243

246 \*Mr. McKinley. So I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

\*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and the chair
now recognizes the chair of the full committee,
Representative Chairman Pallone.

250 You recognized, Chairman, for five minutes for your 251 opening statement, and thank you for joining us.

\*The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Tonko. And it really is a pleasure to welcome the EPA administrator, Michael Regan, to this committee for his first appearance, and I hope there will be many more. I want to thank the administrator and the leadership of President Biden, that now we can actually look at this year's EPA budget with optimism about what can be achieved.

259 The EPA is back to work protecting the planet, and public health and, in my opinion, not a moment too soon. The 260 country is facing multiple overlapping crises, and the EPA 261 will play a critical role in solving them. We must 262 collectively address the climate crisis, the COVID-19 263 pandemic, racial inequality, and the severe economic damage 264 265 resulting from the pandemic. And these challenges are substantial. 266

But fortunately, the Biden Administration has hit the ground running, really, on day one. President Biden's American Rescue Plan is already making a difference by crushing the virus and providing critical relief to 271 struggling Americans. His American Jobs Plan, which he 272 aligns with this committee's Lift America Act, will help us 273 build back better, so we can create millions of new jobs, 274 combat the climate crisis by setting the course for a clean 275 future, and ensure no community is left behind. And that was 276 certainly an important part of his speech last night. I 277 thought it was a great speech.

And then, last week, the President submitted, in this 278 Global Earth Day -- two days -- a strong, national commitment 279 280 for the Paris Agreement that aligns with the national goal, including -- and that is included in our Clean Future Act. 281 You know, as you know, the Clean Future Act, Administrator, 282 was introduced by myself, Chairs Tonko and Rush, and many of 283 the -- of my colleagues on the committee. And, like the 284 285 President, we believe the goal of reducing emissions by at least 50 percent by 2030 is necessary and achievable. 286 This year's EPA budget and the American Jobs Plan will help us get 287 288 there.

And I also saw, I think -- I thought I saw Kathy Castor, as well, who has been working with us on the Clean Future Act.

The budget includes 1.8 billion for EPA programs to fight climate change, to funding for research, grants to state programs, and support of Agency activities. The investments in the American Jobs Plan go even further, with

296 100 billion for power infrastructure and significant

297 investments in reducing emissions from schools, vehicles, and 298 federal buildings.

The budget also increases funding for Superfund and 299 300 brownfield cleanups. And again, the American Jobs Plan goes even further, calling for reinstatement of the Superfund tax, 301 and investing \$5 billion in cleanups. And, you know, I 302 303 really want to stress, Administrator, the importance of bringing back the Superfund. We know that investing in 304 305 Superfund cleanups creates jobs, mitigates threats to human health, and directly benefits the communities around 306 contaminated sites, which are often low-income communities 307 and communities of color. 308

And both the budget and the American Jobs Plan call for big investments in drinking water infrastructure, including the replacement of lead pipes, which is critical to addressing public health threats. Again, the President stressed the lead pipes initiative last night. This funding will be critical to drinking water infrastructure systems serving disadvantaged communities, in particular.

I think we are at a crucial time for environmental protection. The impacts of climate change are already here, affecting communities across the nation and the world. PFAS and other emerging contaminants are showing up in our drinking water, air, and soil. I saw Congresswoman Dingell. 321 She has been a leader on PFAS. And our water infrastructure 322 is crumbling, and too many communities are struggling with 323 lead contamination.

Unfortunately, over the course of the last Administration, we saw decades of work by EPA's dedicated career staff disregarded or overturned in critical areas of environmental and public health protection. We saw science marginalized under the Trump Administration. We saw special interests favored over the public interest, and we saw secrecy at the highest level.

Administrator Regan, it falls to you to right this ship and restore the EPA to the highest standards of scientific integrity. We often say that a budget demonstrates the values and the priorities of the administration, and it is a really --

336

[Audio malfunction.]

\*The Chairman. -- equity and environmental stewardship. 337 And the American Jobs Plan and our new national commitment 338 under the Paris Agreement make these priorities even clearer. 339 340 So I just wanted to say, at last year's budget hearing during the last year of the Trump Administration, I said 341 342 there was a better path forward to combating climate change and protecting public health. And I am happy to say that we 343 are now on that path. We, in Congress and on this committee, 344 345 are ready to work with you to restore EPA, protect the public 346 health and climate change.

347	And let me also say a word about our chairman as I yield
348	back. I know, Paul, you have been out front on all these
349	things, and I thank you so much. This would be a this is
350	a very important hearing. Thank you.
351	[The prepared statement of The Chairman follows:]
352	
353	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
354	

\*Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Chairman. The gentleman yields
 back, and the chair now recognizes Mrs. Rodgers, the ranking
 member of the full committee, for five minutes for her
 opening statement.

359 Representative Rodgers, please?

360 \*Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, good morning. Good morning, 361 everyone. Good morning, Administrator Regan. Just let me 362 first congratulate and personally welcome you to the Energy 363 and Commerce Committee.

364 Our committee has legislative responsibilities for and regulatory oversight over the vast majority of your statutory 365 authority, and we look forward to working with you. We take 366 our responsibilities very seriously. You know, I think we 367 can be proud that America has led the world in innovation and 368 369 technology, lifting people out of poverty, raising the standard of living more than any other country in the world, 370 while also leading the world in reducing global carbon 371 emissions -- more than the next 12 countries, combined. 372 We hope that you are committed to implementing the law, as 373 374 written by the elected representatives of the people, and not creating your own rulebook. 375

And based upon the kind words that I have heard from your home state colleagues, as well as your reputation, I do expect that today will be a thoughtful, ongoing dialogue that we begin with you.

We are eager to learn more about the Biden-Harris 380 Administration's proposed EPA budget. It does represent a 381 21.4 percent increase over EPA's current funding levels. And 382 if Congress gives EPA all of the proposed budget, it will be 383 384 the most money ever appropriated to EPA, by over a billion dollars. And there is really not a lot of details yet, less 385 than two pages, with very limited information. Money is one 386 387 view of an administration's vision for EPA, but I also think it is important, as those of us who exercise the power of the 388 389 purse under article 1, that we know what we are buying, and that we don't just base success upon how big that check is. 390

I want to reflect, just for a moment, on the time before 391 the pandemic, just over a year ago, when our economy was 392 booming, we had the hottest job market in half a century, 393 394 over -- after a decade of people asking, "Where are the jobs?'' Wages were rising, more jobs were available than 395 people looking for work. And it was because we had lifted 396 the regulatory burden, reversing the top-down decisions that 397 often comes through federal agencies, and decisions that had 398 399 been made at EPA that was hurting our economy, hurting our farmers, hurting our ranchers. 400

So when it comes to EPA's budget and the agency's reflection of priorities, we want to understand if innovation, the private-sector foundation of jobs, and the engine of so much success in our country is being sidelined.

405 Regulations and political forces should not be molding the 406 economy and making EPA the arbiter of all acceptable economic 407 growth.

We want to work with you to grow the American economy and clean up the environment where it is unsafe. But we also want to understand if this budget will hold back the potential for our economy to boom again in the long term, especially for our fossil fuel communities.

We want to know that science, reliable, high-quality, objective science, is being used to inform decision-making, that EPA won't hide from public scrutiny of this science, and that EPA will not intentionally mischaracterize science to politicize actual policy judgments.

We want to know if this budget will encourage cooperative federalism as a viable partnership between the federal government and your former colleagues in the states, or if the states are merely seen as underfunded servants of federal centralized planning.

We want to know whether this budget envisions EPA following the law, or infusing its own intent into it, whether EPA is focusing its resources on improving environmental and public health outcomes through compliance, or if the agency will use its enforcement policy to punish violators and harass politically-disfavored entities. We want to know if this budget will build on the

430 undisputed environmental successes of the last

431 administration, the most Superfund cleanups in two decades, reduced air pollution with economic growth, and increased 432 inspection and permitting efficiencies. Or does this budget 433 434 end these results for partisan reasons, dropping agency accountability and responsibility to Americans and the law? 435 We want to know if grave lessons have been learned from 436 past EPA politicians who focused their attention on a few 437 major priorities, allowing the mundane areas to explode, and 438 439 the horrors in Flint and East Chicago.

Finally -- care about the practicalities, including affordability of its actions on futures of people in rural areas and struggling businesses.

Mr. Administrator, these are serious questions. We want to work with you for positive gains for our communities we represent, and allow the private-sector expansion in environmental protection. We may not always agree, but we certainly want to focus on these shared goals, and I welcome you being with us. I look forward to your testimony. Thank you very much.

450 [The prepared statement of Mrs. Rodgers follows:] 451

452 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

454 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman -- the chair would like to 455 remind members that, pursuant to committee rules, all 456 members' written opening statements shall be made part of the 457 record.

I now will introduce the witness for today's hearing. Again, we welcome the Honorable Michael S. Regan, administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency. He, before this, served as secretary of North Carolina's Department of Environmental Quality.

The distinction here for Administrator Regan is that he becomes the first African-American male to lead the great Agency, and is the first to graduate from a historic Black college. He has his bachelor's degree from North Carolina A&T, and a master's degree in public policy from George Washington University. He has also worked at the Environmental Defense Fund and at EPA.

And with that, we welcome him, and wish him well in his new role.

And it is very kind of you, sir, to share your thoughts and your time with us today. And so we will recognize you for five minutes, please, for an opening statement.

476 STATEMENT OF HON. MICHAEL S. REGAN, ADMINISTRATOR, U.S.

477 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

478

479 \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you, Chairman Tonko, Ranking 480 Member McKinley, Chair and Ranking Member of the full 481 committee, and members of the subcommittee. I am grateful 482 for the opportunity to appear before you today to discuss the 483 U.S. EPA's discretionary funding request for Fiscal Year 484 2022.

For half a century, EPA has helped provide the American people with clean air to breathe, clean water to drink, and safe and healthy land.

Earlier this month, as it has been mentioned, President 488 Biden sent a discretionary -- President Biden sent Congress a 489 490 discretionary funding request for EPA at \$11.2 billion. We believe this request will help ensure EPA can continue to 491 meet its essential mandate, set the stage for our nation's 492 economic recovery, and provide the resources necessary to 493 confront our environmental challenges, especially in our most 494 495 overburdened communities.

The President has seized this moment to re-imagine a new American economy that leads the world in advancing clean energy, modernizes our infrastructure, while enabling it to withstand impacts from climate change, and right the historic wrongs of past environmental justice that have held back 501 generations of Black, Latinx, indigenous, and low-income 502 communities.

This funding request reflects the understanding that a 503 healthy environment and a healthy economy are not mutually 504 505 exclusive. They actually go hand in hand. These investments will provide tremendous opportunity to leverage American 506 innovation, put people back to work, protect our communities, 507 508 families, and children from environmental hazard and harm. In short, the request recognizes the profound urgency and 509 510 existential threat of climate -- of the climate crisis, and provides EPA with the resources essential to fulfill our 511 512 mission to protect human health, the environment, and the 513 economy.

Ensuring access to clean and safe water for all 514 515 Americans impacts our nation's climate resilience, and is integral to advancing environmental justice. At EPA we have 516 seen the -- that investing in water infrastructure is a win-517 win for public health and economic development. EPA's Water 518 Infrastructure, Finance, and Innovation Act loan has helped 519 520 finance \$19.4 billion in water infrastructure, and helped to create 47,000 jobs, nationwide. 521

522 The Fiscal Year 2022 funding requests of \$3.6 billion 523 for EPA rebuilds our water infrastructure. It is an increase 524 of more than 600 million over Fiscal Year 2021, because the 525 resources are needed. This includes targeted increases to

526 the State Revolving Loan funds to assist states, tribes, and 527 territories with infrastructure projects that help provide 528 safe drinking water and clean water in communities all across 529 the country.

530 Water infrastructure investments, however, only represent one side of ensuring safe and clean water. 531 The agency will invest resources and expand efforts to address 532 533 the pervasive and persistent chemicals known as PFAS in our drinking water. As part of the President's commitment to 534 535 tackle PFAS, the funding request provides approximately \$75 million to accelerate toxicity studies and fund research to 536 inform the regulatory developments of designing PFAS --537 designating PFAS as a hazardous substance, while setting 538 enforceable limits for PFAS under the Safe Drinking Water 539 540 Act.

541 Under the President's leadership, we are heeding the 542 call of the youth, as well, who are courageously urging world 543 leaders to fight the climate crisis with innovation, 544 fortitude, and resolve. The budget invests in programs that 545 will help reduce greenhouse gas emissions, including \$100 546 million for air quality grants to states and tribes to tackle 547 emissions on the state and local level.

548 Much like climate change, environmental justice 549 underpins all of our work, as well. The pandemic ignited a 550 perfect storm for communities of color and low-income

551 communities who already bear the burden, the highest burdens 552 of pollution, suffer higher rates of mortality from heart and 553 lung disease, and now COVID-19, too. The budget invests \$936 554 million towards new accelerating economic justice initiatives 555 that will help create jobs, clean up pollution, and implement 556 the Justice 40 initiative to advance racial equality.

557 America's most contaminated land reduce emissions of 558 toxic substances and greenhouse gas -- greenhouse gases from 559 existing and abandoned infrastructure also relies on 560 improvements to CERCLA (sic).

561 So, Chairman, what I would like to say, and members of 562 the subcommittee, the Fiscal Year 2022 budget will help 563 ensure EPA can meet the interconnected health and 564 environmental crisis we face, lift up communities who have 565 long been left behind, and put the nation on a prosperous 566 economic path of recovery. This funding request lays down a 567 marker that EPA is ready to meet these challenges.

568 So thank you for the opportunity to testify today in a 569 transparent and honest manner. And I look forward to 570 continuing our partnership, as well as welcome any questions 571 that you might have. Thank you so much.

572 [The prepared statement of Mr. Regan follows:] 573

574 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

576 \*Mr. Tonko. You are most welcome, and thank you for 577 your appearance before the subcommittee today.

578 We will now move to member questions, and I will start 579 by recognizing myself for five minutes.

580 So, Administrator Regan, we thank you for your testimony 581 and for your desire to serve. Are you familiar with the 582 Agency's Scientific Integrity Policy?

583 \*Mr. Regan. I am.

\*Mr. Tonko. And will you ensure that the office
 responsible for administering this policy has the resources
 necessary to effectively address scientific integrity

587 complaints when they arise?

588 \*Mr. Regan. Absolutely.

\*Mr. Tonko. Thank you. I look forward to working with you to ensure EPA's technical career staff are able to conduct their work free from the interference of political and special interests. I think it is key to the functioning of the Agency.

Last week President Biden announced our next nationallydetermined contribution, or the NDC, under the Paris Agreement. The United States is setting an economy-wide target of reducing its net greenhouse gas emissions by 50 to 52 percent below 2005 levels in 2030. President Biden has been clear that this target will necessitate a whole-ofgovernment approach on climate action at the federal level. 601 However, we know that EPA, as our nation's expert agency for 602 air pollution, will be critical.

So, Administrator, what do you see as the EPA's role in ensuring our country achieves that NDC that has been set out by President Biden?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for the question. And 606 there is no doubt that the President has an aggressive 607 posture to mitigate climate, the climate crisis, and EPA 608 plays a central role. We are not the only actor. Wisely so, 609 610 the President has designated this a government-wide activity. But we do play an important role, and Congress has delegated 611 certain statutory authorities to the Agency to focus on air 612 quality and water quality issues that are relevant to climate 613 614 change.

So we will be focusing on transportation sources, stationary sources, methane, and other categories that fall within our purview that are important to meet our climate goals.

619 \*Mr. Tonko. And what could achieving this greenhouse 620 gas emissions goal mean for reducing traditional air and 621 water pollution?

\*Mr. Regan. There are significant benefits to both air
quality, natural resources, and the health of our citizens,
so we will see lots of improvement in traditional pollutants,
as well, that really do hamper our ability to lead -- to live

626 the healthiest of lives.

There are co-benefits, for sure, not only on the health side, but there are lots of economic development and economic opportunities and job creation opportunities, as well. So we see this as a significant opportunity.

\*Mr. Tonko. Well, to meet this goal, obviously, it is going to take a lot of focus. So what existing programs, authorities, and tools might EPA be looking to utilize, especially considering that we will need reductions from the power sector, transportation, and every other sector of the economy?

\*Mr. Regan. We are going to do this using the traditional statutory authority that we have, and we are going to go through very transparent rulemaking processes that will engage all of our stakeholders: the regulated community, environmental interests, you know, our Chambers of Commerce, our private sector.

And we will focus on looking at tailpipe emissions, and the goals that we have set out there. The President has indicated or requested that the Agency have a proposal due in July for vehicles that run up to the years 2026. We will do that in a very transparent manner.

We will also look at methane reductions. We will be looking at a proposal for that this upcoming September. And we will be looking at replacing the Clean Power

651 Plan.

All of these will be done in a very transparent and open way, and I pledge that we will convene all of the stakeholders involved, and take input, and have robust discussions on these topics.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you, I appreciate that. And given the urgency of the issue, it is critical we use those existing authorities to the fullest to complement the investments in the American Jobs Plan and the Fiscal Year 2022 budget.

661 Many Members of Congress are interested in supporting 662 the Agency's efforts. How can Congress help?

663 And what new resources or authorities are necessary to 664 ensure this target is achieved?

665 \*Mr. Regan. Well, we are very well aware that, number 666 one, we cannot regulate our way out of the situation we find 667 ourselves in.

And number two, we view Congress, we view the states, we view all of our stakeholders as significant partners as we navigate these waters. We are going to take a look at what we need. But we also want to provide technical expertise to Congress, as you all contemplate new pieces of legislation that might provide complements to the regulatory or statutory authority that has been delegated to our Agency.

675 \*Mr. Tonko. Well, thank you, Mr. Administrator. I look

676 forward to working with you on this year's budget and 677 enactment of the American Jobs Plan, so that we can improve 678 public health and protect our environment, while modernizing 679 a great agenda, modernizing the infrastructure desperately 680 needed across our country.

Again, I thank you, and I will now recognize Representative McKinley, who is our subcommittee ranking member, as you know. He will be recognized now for five minutes for questioning.

685 \*Mr. McKinley. Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you again, Administrator Regan, not only for appearing before us, but also our conversation yesterday to get to know each other, because we are going to be working well, hopefully, together over the years.

But I want to go back to one issue here, and that is the three-legged stool. We talked about that, the carbon capture, new source review, and having a piping system to be able to do this. Then we can achieve this net zero by some time, not politically driven by 2035, but we are moving in that direction. I think the Chairman -- Cathy McMorris Rodgers, mentioned that, too.

So let's just focus on this for a minute. If by 2035, do you think -- DoE is already -- I have meetings with them -- DoE says they don't think we are going to have carbon capture technology developed to be zero by 2035. Do you think we will have carbon capture technology by 2035?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, based on the conversations that I have had with the electric industry, power plant owners and the like, carbon capture isn't the only tool in the toolbox that they plan to look at and deploy.

And so, number one, I think we want to continue to invest heavily in all of the efficiencies and technologies available to meet these goals. And I look forward to having a robust conversation with you, with the power plant sector, and others to achieve these goals.

\*Mr. McKinley. If I could reclaim my time, I want to -so I hear -- but what about 2030? Because I know Chairman
Pallone is earnestly moving in that direction to go to 2030.
Is that possible, that we could get it to 80 percent
reduction by 2030?

\*Mr. Regan. You know, based on the conversations that we are having with DoE, with the private sector, with the industries, we believe we can make a strong run at and be successful at in 2030.

\*Mr. McKinley. A strong run isn't the answer. Is 80 percent -- that is what this legislation that we are going to deal with -- I want to see in your budget, do you have enough money in there for research to be able to get us down to 80 percent by 2030?

\*Mr. Regan. I think, when you look at our budget, the

726 2022 budget, and what we need to do to fulfill our

obligations, yes, I believe that, in concert with DoE; I believe, in concert with EEI and the power sector; I believe, in concert with the rural electric cooperatives, all of which we are having conversations with, that looking at combinations of technologies --

732 \*Mr. McKinley. Do you also --

\*Mr. Regan. -- we can reach the 80 percent goal.

\*Mr. McKinley. Taking my time back, you understand that has to happen in the next year, not five, six, seven years from now. We have to start now, because it takes seven to eight years to do this. That is why I laid the plan out. So I don't want to know where we are -- can we do it now?

740 But my question, what about the new source review program that -- we know it needs reform. Because, otherwise, 741 utilities right now could be reducing their emissions. But 742 it is such a complicated process that requires -- are you 743 willing to work with us, or -- to make these reforms? 744 745 Are you willing to reform new source review? \*Mr. Regan. I am willing to take a look at any 746 747 efficiencies that we can put in any of our regulations to achieve these goals. 748

And I do want to comment that, in order to reach the 80 percent goal, I don't want to speak for the utilities in terms of market decisions they are making, but all of the decisions made to meet 80 percent won't rely solely on technology. They have plans in place to retire some of these assets.

755 And so this is why we have to --

\*Mr. McKinley. They are retiring them because of the regulatory uncertainty, and the threats that are being posed to them. I understand that. We could have that -- more conversation.

760 But what about the pipelines? Are you going to be able to work with us to assure that, when our power plants put in 761 the carbon capture, and they want to build the pipelines, 762 will you help us in streamlining in getting those permits? 763 Can you guarantee that, if a coal -- if a utility or 764 765 fossil fuel plant builds carbon capture, that you will work with us to see that those pipelines are constructed? 766 \*Mr. Regan. That is exactly what this process is 767

768 designed to do, to engage you --

769 \*Mr. McKinley. It really is a yes or no, Administrator, 770 if you could. Is it yes or no?

771 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, we will work with you, with the 772 utilities, and others who want to --

\*Mr. McKinley. Thank you. I only have 30 seconds left, Administrator, so my question, I want to know what role will the EPA undertake to keep fossil fuels -- coal and natural qas and oil -- to remain in the energy mix after 2030, 2035?

777 Can you explain that role, how you are going to keep 778 these jobs that are being threatened right now?

\*Mr. Regan. You know, what I will say is our role is to work with the industry, and take a look at where the market -- where their investments are taking them, and look at how our technology standards complement the desire to reduce emissions, while complement the investments that many of them are making, and leveraging technologies to do so.

So I really do look forward to a robust conversation on how we have the science, the markets, the economics, and the technology marry in a way that gives America a competitive edge.

\*Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back
the balance of my time.

791 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back. The chair now 792 recognizes Chairman Pallone for five minutes for questioning, 793 please.

794 \*The Chairman. Thank you, Chairman Tonko.

Administrator Regan, I wanted to talk about Superfund and brownfields. The EPA budget request calls for increases in funding for both programs, which I support, especially given the Superfund backlog. And, you know, this is something that has been involved with New Jersey members and this committee for so long.

I am always giving out history lessons, but Jim Florio, 801 who is my predecessor on the committee, sponsored the 802 Superfund. Senator Lautenberg was the Senate sponsor, and 803 the brownfields program was the idea of Administrator 804 805 Whitman, who was the governor of New Jersey, Republican, before she became administrator of the EPA. And Paul 806 Gillmor, a Republican Member, and myself were the ones that 807 808 sponsored the original brownfields federal program.

So how will the requested increase for Superfund impact the backlog that exists, and would you commit to working with Congress to get these backlog cleanups started? That is my first question.

\*Mr. Regan. The answer is yes, absolutely. There is an \$14 \$882 million request in there to really begin to chip away at \$15 that backlog of 45 projects. And so we will earnestly work \$16 to chip away at that backlog.

But we also have to be reminded that, in addition to the Fiscal Year 2022 ask, in the Americans Jobs Plan there is another \$5 billion request for Superfund and brownfield sites, as well. So our budget request is the beginning of what we need to do to earnestly begin to look at cleaning up Superfund sites.

\*The Chairman. Well, thank you so much. And it is also important to me that polluters pay the costs of these cleanups. And that is why I reintroduced the Superfund

Polluter Pays Act to reinstate the Superfund tax. And you could -- you know, obviously, that was included in the President's American Jobs Plan, as well, to bring back the tax.

830 So can you talk very briefly about why reinstating the tax -- well, what reinstating the tax would mean for the 831 Superfund program, and how would the program be improved by 832 reestablishing a dedicated, significant funding stream? 833 \*Mr. Regan. You know, when we look at the number of 834 835 Superfund sites all across this country, they are in all of our backyards. And so the projects right now exceed the 836 revenue. And the President has supported, in the American 837 Jobs Plan, the tax. 838

Listen, we believe that this requires an all-hands-on-839 840 deck, and in our 2022 budget request there are resources. There is the tax implication here that could provide a 841 revenue stream. And then there is the American Jobs Plan. 842 We know that, if we clean up these Superfund sites, that 843 we will be putting people back to work. But more 844 845 importantly, we will be restoring these lands so that they can be competitive once again for economic development and 846 847 community vitality. And so this is extremely important, that we have all of these legs of the stool. 848

\*The Chairman. And let me ask you this. Like so many
of these environmental challenges, climate change threatens

to make the risk from Superfund sites even worse. And many 851 of the communities around the Superfund sites now live in 852 fear of the toxic releases, you know, from another hurricane 853 or extreme weather event. I know a lot of environmental 854 855 justice communities are near these Superfund and brownfield sites, and this is something that you have championed, 856 addressing the concerns of environmental justice communities. 857 858 So do you agree that climate change makes it even more imperative that we get these sites cleaned up? That is my 859 860 question.

\*Mr. Regan. Absolutely, and you will see a reflection in the budget. Climate change permeates through Superfund, brownfields, water infrastructure. We have to make sure that we are rebuilding a resilient community in all of these areas to reduce the threats that we are facing.

\*The Chairman. And I know that -- you know, look, obviously, you want to work with us to clean up these sites. But I just want a -- sort of a pledge from you, if you will, that you and other agencies, you know, would really prioritize these sites that are vulnerable to climate change, if you will.

\*Mr. Regan. We -- I can commit that to you, Chairman.
\*The Chairman. And, you know, again, I really
appreciate your being here, and your support of so many of
these things, particularly Superfund and brownfields.

You know, the problem, as you know, is that, if we don't have a Superfund tax, and the costs continue to be borne, in many cases, by the taxpayer, through their income tax, I mean, that is -- you would agree with that, certainly, correct?

\*Mr. Regan. Yes, I would.

\*The Chairman. And I just don't want that to be the case. I think that the cost should be borne by the polluters, and by the chemical and petroleum industry, and not by taxpayers with their income tax.

886 So thanks again for all your support. And I know you 887 are doing a great job. Thank you.

888 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

\*Mr. Tonko. You are welcome, and the gentleman yields back. The chair now recognizes the -- Representative Rodgers, the ranking member of the full committee, for five minutes of questioning, please.

893 Representative Rodgers?

\*Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And again, hello, Administrator Regan. We really appreciate you spending this time with us.

And just kind of following up on Chairman Pallone's line of questioning, there is -- I wanted to highlight some of the successes of the previous Administration, when you consider that the EPA deleted all or parts of 27 sites from the

901 Superfund National Priorities List, and it was the largest 902 number of deletions in 2 decades. Yes, there is still more 903 work to be done, but this is -- this was a priority, and we 904 are -- and we must stay focused on getting results. 905 The EPA delivered approximately 295 million in 906 brownfield grants directly to communities and nonprofits in 907 need. I know in Spokane we have had a brownfield cleaned up,

908 and it is now just a -- it is a great contributor to our 909 community.

910 The EPA closed on 41 WIFIA loans, which led to financing 911 of 16.8 billion for water infrastructure, creating more than 912 38,800 jobs, and saving ratepayers nearly \$4 billion.

913 There were management reforms, such as 33 percent 914 improvement in on-time completion of inspections, and a 915 reduction in backlogged permits by 150.

916 You know, the combined air pollution emissions fell more 917 than seven percent, even as the economy grew.

And I just highlight this because there -- we continue to build on this record of success and accomplishments. I believe that we need to stay focused on results. We need to stay focused on making sure that we are spending money in a way that is actually going to get results. And it is very important that we keep the processes and programs that produced these results in place.

925 The EPA received 9.34 billion in roughly the last year

for its standard fiscal year appropriations, as well as the supplemental funding. Included in the supplemental funding is \$100 million appropriated to the EPA under the recent budget reconciliation bill.

This week Senator Capito and I sent you a letter requesting an accounting of that \$100 million appropriated to EPA through reconciliation. Are you able to tell us today if that \$100 million has been spent? And if so, what have the funds been spent on?

935 \*Mr. Regan. I will circle with my staff. I know that 936 that request came in, and I have not been briefed on that 937 yet, but we can get you those details.

938 \*Mrs. Rodgers. Okay, okay, thank you.

I also -- I wanted to switch gears a little bit on a matter that is important in my home state of Washington, and that is cleaning up Puget Sound. And I wanted to raise this issue with you this morning, Administrator Regan.

You know, I think we all agree that, generally speaking, it is better to limit pollution at the source, rather than continuing to try to clean up efforts post-pollution. And I think that we agree that the deployment -- I hope we would agree -- that carbon free, renewable, reliable electric generation like hydropower is very important.

In Puget Sound we continue to have a lot of work that needs to be done, and a clean sound will benefit our economy. 951 It will also help the critical salmon stocks in the Pacific 952 Northwest.

You know, and one of the main reasons that the Puget 953 Sound is dirty is because King County and the State of 954 955 Washington is turning a blind eye to the pollution, to the sewer -- the sewage discharge that continues to happen in 956 Puget Sound. You know, there is over 70 sewage treatment 957 958 plants dumping millions of gallons of raw sewage into Puget Sound every year, and it is threatening the salmon species in 959 960 Puget Sound, the salmon species that are critical to the 961 orcas, for example.

But it is really concerning that, once again, these permits are going to be issued, instead of actually stopping the dumping of the raw sewage. You look at the Washington State salmon report, the Puget Sound salmon are in crisis. Having EPA remind the state and the city of its legal obligations will save future cleanup dollars.

And so I wanted to ask you if I can get your commitment to look into making these large cities like Seattle stay within their limits of sewage discharge, just like the small towns in eastern Washington are forced to do.

972 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, you have my commitment there, and I 973 have already committed to the governor of Washington and 974 other representatives that we want to work with the state to 975 make sure that their delegated authority is being done

976 correctly.

977 And I agree with you, that the Puget Sound is not only 978 great ecologically, but provides economic opportunities, as 979 well. So we want to partner with you on that.

\*Mrs. Rodgers. Well, what is happening right now is unacceptable, in my opinion, for the salmon, for the orcas, and for our water quality. So I look forward to working with you, and with that I yield back.

984 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Tonko. You are welcome. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now recognizes for five minutes of questioning the gentlelady from Colorado, who also serves as subcommittee chair on oversight.

989 Representative DeGette?

Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and welcome, Administrator Regan. We are very happy to have you in your maiden appearance in front of this committee. And we all welcome your new role. We know you have got a really big job to do over there, reconstituting this agency, but we have the faith that you can do it.

As Chairman Tonko just said, I am the chair of the oversight subcommittee, and the last -- as Mrs. McMorris Rodgers was talking about, what the last Administration did, but one thing I will say is the oversight subcommittee made numerous requests for information to the EPA that were never, 1001 ever responded to.

And so I know that you, in your new job, and your staff will want to work with our full committee and our subcommittee to make sure that, when we ask for information in our investigations, we get it in a timely fashion. So can I get that commitment from you?

1007 \*Mr. Regan. Absolutely.

\*Ms. DeGette. Thank you. I was -- when I looked at the EPA budget, I was absolutely thrilled to see your request for \$936 million towards a new accelerating environmental and economic justice initiative, because this is an issue that I have worked on with my urban district for many, many years. And I have a bill. I would like to ask you to take a look at this bill, and see what -- the EPA's position on it.

1015 What it does is it requires the EPA to identify 100 1016 environmental justice communities which have seen the worst 1017 under-enforcement, and to work with the state and local co-1018 regulators to address its root causes. I don't know if you 1019 are familiar with this legislation yet or not.

1020 \*Mr. Regan. I am not quite familiar with that 1021 legislation, but I will be sure that staff connects with you 1022 to provide the technical assistance needed.

1023 \*Ms. DeGette. It seems like this is something that we 1024 could really work together on.

1025 I have got an area in the northern part of my district

that has been an environmental justice area for decades, Swansea-Elyria-Globeville. I think the members of this committee are getting sick of me, hearing -- to talk about it. But it has everything. It has got a highway going through, it has lead, it has factories on the other side of the boundary, and so we have been doing everything for many years to get that cleaned up.

Do you think that the EPA -- that it will be a focus of the EPA to actually work with state and local co-regulators to clean up these sites all around the country? Because that seems to be a key issue for me.

\*Mr. Regan. Absolutely. The resources that we get 1037 1038 through the budget, through the American Jobs Plan, and the resources that we were appropriated through the American 1039 Rescue Plan are all designed to partner with state and local 1040 -- locally-elected officials, as well as community members. 1041 You all know your communities better than the federal 1042 government ever could, so we need that level of partnership 1043 to be successful. 1044

1045 \*Ms. DeGette. Okay, thanks. Another topic I want to 1046 talk to you about, that is the issue of methane. As you 1047 know, yesterday the Senate passed a resolution of disapproval 1048 for the Trump Administration's rollback of the EPA's methane 1049 regulations. And I am the lead sponsor, along with several 1050 of our colleagues, Mr. Peters and others, in the House. 1051 And I wonder -- I am assuming the EPA supports that 1052 resolution of disapproval.

Mr. Regan. We look forward to working with the decision that Congress has made, and we are poised to look forward to a methane regulation that should be coming forth in September. As you know --

1057 \*Ms. DeGette. Great.

Mr. Regan. -- this is something that API and the Chamber has asked for, as well. So we look forward to working on that.

1061 \*Ms. DeGette. Wonderful. Now, here is an issue. I 1062 don't know if you know the answer, or if someone at your 1063 agency knows the answer, but one of the issues we have had 1064 with methane regulation is, because of the way they do the 1065 detection and testing, you can often have methane emissions 1066 happening for months without detection.

1067 We are now developing technology to do continuous 1068 emissions monitoring on methane waste. Do you know if they 1069 are able to do that technologically yet?

1070 And is the EPA working with industry to make that 1071 happen?

\*Mr. Regan. You know, that will be part of the
discussion that we have through our regulatory process.
And, you know, the good news is many technologies and
opportunities have evolved since the previous rule. So we

1076 will be taking advantage of all of the gains that have been 1077 made over the past few years.

1078 \*Ms. DeGette. Great, thank you very much, and I am 1079 really looking forward to working with you.

1080 I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

1081 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now 1082 recognizes for five minutes Representative Johnson, the 1083 gentleman from the State of Ohio.

1084 \*Mr. Johnson. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank 1085 you, Administrator Regan, for joining us today.

You know, last week, while speaking at the White House Climate Summit, President Biden announced a new "nationally determined contribution,'' a document submitted to the United Nations which outlines how his Administration intends to dramatically cut greenhouse emissions in the United States by 2030.

The sectors impacted include power generation, 1092 transportation, manufacturing, and agriculture. No part of 1093 American life goes untouched. According to this document, 1094 1095 National Climate Adviser Gina McCarthy and the White House staff conducted a detailed analysis on how to achieve these 1096 drastic emissions -- the emissions cuts, in consultation with 1097 cabinet agencies, including yours, Mr. Regan, the EPA. 1098 1099 After completing its so-called analysis, the document says that the Biden Administration then turned to activist 1100

and -- "advocates and activists'' for advice, and also other entities such as universities, businesses, and local governments.

1104 So, Mr. Regan, would you briefly describe EPA's role in 1105 this process, and the related interagency process? How did 1106 it work?

Mr. Regan. Well, you know, the Administration's climate NDC process was a whole-of-government process, so --Mr. Johnson. Well, did you participate, directly? Mr. Regan. So our agency provided qualitative information around what our regulations could do, and the role that they play in sort of the emissions profile.

1113 You know, we house the greenhouse gas inventory. So, 1114 number one --

1115 \*Mr. Johnson. So you --

1116 \*Mr. Regan. So --

1117 \*Mr. Johnson. But you did not participate directly, it 1118 was members of your staff?

Mr. Regan. Yes, our staffs coordinated with the White House staff, shared the qualitative analysis of our statutory regulatory authority, as well as the contents that we contain in the greenhouse gas inventory.

Mr. Johnson. Well, I find it interesting that this indepth analysis didn't include direct participation by you, Administrator Regan. 1126 Let me go on. For the --

1127 \*Mr. Regan. Well, I would -- what I would say is my 1128 staff received direction from me to provide --

1129 \*Mr. Johnson. Okay.

1130 \*Mr. Regan. -- the relevant information for EPA's 1131 participation in the development of that number.

Mr. Johnson. Okay, but you didn't directly provide any feedback is what I am hearing.

1134 For the stakeholders that were consulted, were they

1135 representative of all economic sectors of the United States?

1136 \*Mr. Regan. You know, I can't speak to the stakeholders

1137 that the White House engaged. I can speak to EPA's

1138 participation in that process.

1139 Now, what I can say is --

Mr. Johnson. Well, what was -- Administrator Regan, what was EPA's role, then, in developing or analyzing sectorspecific pathways for emissions reductions?

And will you provide the analyses that you relied upon, or that your Agency relied upon, will you provide that

1145 analysis for the record?

Mr. Regan. So EPA provides the greenhouse gas analysis that characterizes the emissions profile for all of these sectors. And then --

Mr. Johnson. Okay, so can you provide what you guys used, for the record? Mr. Regan. Yes, we can provide the contents of the greenhouse gas inventory that characterizes the emissions profiles of the individual sectors, and --

Mr. Johnson. What I am looking for is what EPA's role was in developing or analyzing the sector-specific pathways for those emission reductions. And that is what I would like you to provide to this subcommittee, for the record. Can you do that?

Mr. Regan. What I can provide to the subcommittee, just to be sure we are communicating consistently, is the contents of the greenhouse gas inventory, and the qualitative analysis that describes the statutory authority and the regulative participation that we would have --

Mr. Johnson. Okay, I am running out of time, Mr.
Regan.

The nationally-determined contributions submitted to the UN identified pathways to meet the specific economic sector of targets. Were cost estimates develop for these targets? \*Mr. Regan. You know, that analysis was done by the White House, and that is why I wanted to --

Mr. Johnson. You don't have any insights into that.
Has the EPA started its action development process for
developing regulations to meet these nationally-determined
contribution goals?

1175 \*Mr. Regan. Our process is we develop rules

1176 specifically, and we do that through the regulatory process, 1177 which is completely transparent --

Mr. Johnson. I know what the regulatory process is.
But for this specific nationally-determined contribution
document, have you started developing the regulations to meet
those goals?

Mr. Regan. Some we are in the process of, and some we are not. The contribution from, let's say, cars, we will be proposing a tailpipe emissions standard in mid-July for the role of methane. We will be proposing a rule in September. And as you all know, I have committed to engaging on the replacement of the Clean Power Plan.

All of these are contributors to how we get to the emissions targets, but it is very -- I need to be very specific. Those are not predetermined numbers or outcomes; that is a process that we go through in a transparent manner with all of our stakeholders.

Mr. Johnson. All right, Mr. Chairman, I apologize, we ran over. I yield back.

Mr. Tonko. Okay, the gentleman yields back. Now the chair will recognize the gentlelady from Illinois,

1197 Representative Schakowsky, who also serves as chair of the 1198 Subcommittee on Consumer Protection.

1199 \*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much,1200 and I want to give a special welcome to our Administrator

1201 Regan for being here, and to -- for -- and to congratulate 1202 you for this really wonderful position that you have right 1203 now. I am so glad you are there.

And I am very glad to see that the Fiscal Year 2022 budget includes \$3.6 billion invested in critical drinking water infrastructure and creating jobs. But, really, this is just a small part of the water infrastructure request that goes along with the American Jobs Act (sic): \$111 billion over 8 years.

1210 And I want to tell you, the issue of lead and water is a huge one in Chicago. Actually, I didn't know how big it was 1211 until the Vice President came here a few -- to Chicago a few 1212 1213 weeks ago, and informed me that Illinois has about 25 percent of all lead service pipelines in the country, and that 1214 includes the City of Chicago and -- a part of which is part 1215 of my district. And I was so pleased to see last night the 1216 President saying that 100 percent of the lead pipes are going 1217 to be replaced. 1218

And we all know the problem with lead, especially with children, lifelong effects, irreversible effects having to do with cognition, and focus, and ability to achieve, so we have to deal with this. What I want to ask you is how much would these proposed investments in drinking water infrastructure contribute to lead service line removal in places like the City of Chicago?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question. And, 1226 1227 you know, in the American Jobs Plan, the President has carved out \$45 billion to replace 100 percent of the lead pipes. 1228 And we could be executing this program through an existing 1229 1230 program at EPA. It wouldn't be recreating the wheel, it would be done through EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving 1231 1232 Fund and our Water Infrastructure Improvements for the 1233 Nation's grants. So those two programs have a track record of pushing resources around water infrastructure to not only 1234 1235 deal with infrastructure and water quality, but to help create jobs, as well. 1236

So we have a process and criteria set up to be sure that those resources are distributed equitably, and they also will focus on -- in the broader context of the \$111 billion -water affordability, as well.

Ms. Schakowsky. Now, you were mentioning grants. Are these competitive grants that states and localities are going to have to apply for?

\*Mr. Regan. You know, I think what I would like to do is provide that for -- grant process information for you. I think we have a couple of grant programs. Obviously, the needs are great here. But we do know that most of our cities and municipalities cannot afford to take out loans, so we do emphasize that grants are important, and we want to be sure that everyone is competitive for those resources. Ms. Schakowsky. Great. So, you know, Chicago residents are -- that are most impacted by lead service lines are often in communities of color and more low-income communities. So, Mr. Regan, how does investing in drinking water infrastructure contribute to your environmental justice agenda?

1257 \*Mr. Regan. It contributes significantly. We know that we need to implement programs that provide for -- monitoring, 1258 but we also know that water quality is a critical component. 1259 1260 Good, clean drinking water is a must in this country. And too many of our disproportionately-impacted communities, both 1261 communities of color and low-income, do not have enough 1262 1263 access to clean, quality drinking water. So that is a priority for us, and it is a priority as part of our 1264 environmental justice and equity programs. 1265

\*Ms. Schakowsky. I know -- we know that there are, 1266 1267 literally, millions of homes and daycare centers that are 1268 affected. And we have to just make sure that we can do that. I am almost out of time, and I can submit the rest of my 1269 1270 questions in writing. But I certainly will be watching for the focus on lead, which can be so devastating when it is in 1271 the pipes and the service lines. And I thank you for your 1272 focus on that. 1273

1274 And I yield back.

1275 \*Mr. Regan. Thank you.

1276 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentlelady yields back. We now 1277 recognize the gentleman from Oklahoma.

1278 Representative Mullin for five minutes, sir, please. 1279 \*Mr. Mullin. Thank you, Chairman, and I appreciate you 1280 all's patience with me. I have been traveling. I got bad, 1281 bad reception throughout Oklahoma -- or my district is pretty 1282 bad.

I don't know if you guys already tried to address it, but Director, have you -- they already tried to address your mike yet? Because your mike is really bad on our end. It sounds -- it is very -- I mean, I -- it is very hard to hear you.

1288 [Pause.]

1289 \*Mr. Mullin. I can't even hear you now.

1290 \*Ms. Schakowsky. Nobody is saying anything.

1291 \*Mr. Regan. My microphone?

1292 \*Mr. Mullin. Yes, it is real hard to hear.

And I don't know, Chairman, if you can -- and we can get that fixed, because this is -- that is -- it is hard for us to hear him, and it is pretty distracting.

1296 \*Mr. Tonko. Is there --

Mr. Regan. What about now? Can you guys hear me now? Mr. Tonko. It is still kind of scratching. Is there any way we can take, like, a minute or two to see if we can address it, the technology? 1301 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, we will take a minute on our end here, 1302 and see if we can resolve it.

1303 \*Mr. Tonko. Okay, thank you.

1304 Representative Mullin, hold on. We will be with you in 1305 a second -- in a minute or two.

1306 \*Mr. Mullin. Thank you.

1307 [Pause.]

1308 \*Ms. Schakowsky. Mr. Chairman, you ought to keep 1309 talking to see if it is getting any better, maybe.

1310 \*Mr. Tonko. They have got the EPA team and our team 1311 working on this sound, so hopefully --

1312 \*Ms. Schakowsky. It sounds good to me.

1313 \*Mr. Tonko. Administrator, you can hear me, right?

1314 Why don't -- is it any better if you say -- if you say

1315 something, we will see how the quality is working here.

1316 \*Mr. Regan. I don't know if it is improved yet or not.

1317 I see them still working on it. Okay.

1318 \*Mr. Tonko. Okay, let's give it a minute or two, and we
1319 will be in a slight recess here.

1320 [Recess.]

1321 \*Mr. Tonko. Administrator, how is -- I think we have a
1322 new device now.

1323 \*Mr. Regan. Yes. Is this better?

1324 \*Mr. Tonko. That is much better. Thank you.

1325 Why don't -- Representative Mullin, are you still with

1326 us?

\*Mr. Mullin. Yes, sir. Did we get it fixed?
\*Mr. Tonko. Let's -- I believe we have, so thank you.
So your five minutes will start now, please.

1330 \*Mr. Mullin. Thank you, sir. Chairman, thank you for1331 working with me on that.

And Director, we really appreciate you working with it, 1333 too, and your time being with us.

Look, we understand this -- that EPA is sometimes viewed different, obviously, between Republicans and Democrats. But I think we all agree we feel like the EPA does play a role in our government, but it is how we approach that.

And so a couple of questions I have is, one, do you feel like it is the role at EPA to create and enforce their own policies, or do you think it is the role to look at the legislation, and the meaningful legislation that Congress has passed, to create their policies to follow?

Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question. I can answer it very clearly. EPA's role is to follow the law, and the laws that Congress has designed and given us to follow. And so we want to be transparent. We want to follow the

1347 law and follow science.

Mr. Mullin. So when you are talking about being transparent, underneath the Obama Administration we didn't really feel like they were as transparent. In fact, when

they were working with Congress, they never worked with Congress. It was very difficult. They would go at it alone, they would sometimes make their own policies before even trying to figure out what Congress's intent was. And it seems like the EPA was more used as a political arm and a policy-driven place than it was actually working with Congress.

1358 So are you saying, underneath your direction, you feel 1359 like -- that the EPA is going to work with Congress, 1360 regardless of who is in charge?

Because, you know, there is a good chance -- I am not trying to be political here, but there is a good chance that there could be a different party in charge of the House of Representatives in two years.

\*Mr. Regan. You know, our job is to work with every single Member. And that is what I did in North Carolina. We had a Republican-led General Assembly, although I worked for a Democratic governor, and we got a lot of work done.

So at EPA -- and I have already told all of my senior leadership and my staff -- we are going to be transparent. We are going to respond to the IG, we are going to respond to GAO. We are going to respond to congressional Members. That is the mandate here.

1374 \*Mr. Mullin. Good. You know, and the -- I don't -- and
1375 I -- listen, I am not -- I am comparing you to the last

Administration underneath Obama, because there is a lot of lookalike, there is a lot of people that was with that Administration that is back with the Biden Administration. And underneath the Obama Administration, the EPA, it didn't really seem like it wanted to work with industry on best business practices.

1382 And in fact, it seemed like to me -- because I am a product of that, the reason why I am in Congress today is 1383 because of the run-in I had with the EPA back in 2011. 1384 1385 Otherwise, I wouldn't even be here. And -- but it seemed like, to me, back then, that they were more interested in 1386 giving fines than they were actually working with us, working 1387 with the business community, working with our economy. And 1388 sometimes, you know, the regulations can be detrimental to 1389 the industry. 1390

Are you -- would you commit to working with industry, getting best business practices, before you guys roll out something that could actually destroy an entire industry? \*Mr. Regan. We are committed to engaging our regulated community. I have a track record for doing that. We have

1396 already been doing that.

And on that enforcement piece, one of the things that we have been discussing is having enforcement involved in regulation on the front end helps for the ease of implementation on that back end. We are not into the gotcha

1401 games. We want to put out regulations that are easily 1402 understood, and can be complied with. And so we will be 1403 working with our stakeholders to do that.

\*Mr. Mullin. Do you plan on being heavy-handed with the 1404 1405 fines, or do you plan on giving industry an opportunity to fix whatever issue may be -- because I will tell you, a lot 1406 of times, on the state side of it, there is a conflict 1407 between state regulations, say in the Oklahoma department of 1408 environmental quality, versus what EPA is requiring. And, as 1409 a business owner that has an environmental company, there can 1410 be a conflict between those two, and you have got to choose 1411 which one you are going to follow. You are licensed in your 1412 state, but then the EPA can come back on top of it. 1413

And we saw, with the Obama Administration, that fines 1414 would be levied when you are doing your best, as a business 1415 owner, to try to comply with, actually, the requirements. 1416 But there was no working with the industry. That is why I 1417 said working best business practices. And it is a huge 1418 concern a lot of business owners like myself have with this 1419 1420 new Administration, hoping that we don't have a rollback to the way it was handled with the Obama Administration. 1421

Mr. Regan. You know, what I can do is I can pledge that we are going to have strong relationships with our state regulators and with the industry. I personally happen to know and have a relationship with your DEQ secretary there in Oklahoma, and I plan to, as a former state regulator, you know, look at cooperative federalism for what it is, and have very strong relationships with our state regulators and with our business community, because, at the end of the day, we want the best environmental outcomes while we are spurring economic opportunities, as well.

1432 \*Mr. Mullin. Director, I really appreciate that. I 1433 really do honestly look forward to working with you. Thank 1434 you so much. I appreciate you.

1435 \*Mr. Regan. Thank you.

1436 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and the chair 1437 now recognizes the gentleman from Maryland.

1438 Representative Sarbanes, you are recognized for five 1439 minutes, please.

1440 \*Mr. Sarbanes. Thanks very much, Chairman, thank you1441 for the hearing.

Administrator Regan, welcome to the committee. I have, as I know my colleagues do, great expectations for the EPA under your leadership.

Unfortunately, under the last Administration, there were a lot of -- at the EPA, where industries that should be regulated were much too cozy with the Agency. And I think it harmed, impacted negatively, our approach to protecting the environment. So I am looking forward to your work at the agency. I am going to be parochial, the way some others have been. That is that is the way this game is played, of course. And so I want to focus -- it won't surprise you -on the Chesapeake Bay.

1455 First, let me say that I am gratified that, as part of the American Jobs Plan, the Administration has focused on 1456 1457 environmental restoration and protection. Chesapeake Bay is an economic engine for my home state of Maryland and, 1458 frankly, for the whole region, which is one reason protecting 1459 it is so vitally important. So I am very pleased to see the 1460 Biden Administration's emphasis on restoration and 1461 1462 protection.

1463 In the last Administration there were a lot of proposals -- continuously, unfortunately -- to cut funding to critical 1464 Bay programs. And in addition, there was not the commitment 1465 to the kind of cooperative and collaborative leadership 1466 1467 across the states in the Chesapeake Bay watershed that we 1468 need to ensure the restoration of the Bay. An example of that in the last Administration was a failure to really have 1469 1470 robust enforcement when it comes to that kind of 1471 collaborative approach.

1472 So I am hoping that the state and local partners, as 1473 well as Bay colleagues in Congress, can count on you to 1474 change that, help provide that cooperative framework that we 1475 need to meet goals that are coming at us fast. Those are

1476 2025 goals that we are trying to get in place.

I know there is an effort underway to reconstitute the position of senior advisor for the Chesapeake Bay and the Anacostia River at the EPA, and that will certainly be helpful. Can you provide some perspective on other plans to work with state, local, and federal partners to meet the goals of the Chesapeake Bay agreement?

1483 Specifically, can we count on you and your staff to use 1484 all the tools available to you through the Bay program, 1485 through grants, and certainly enforcement authority to ensure 1486 that the region is on track to meet those 2025 goals?

<sup>1487</sup> \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question, and yes, <sup>1488</sup> we are actively focusing on the Chesapeake Bay. We see it as <sup>1489</sup> a national treasure, and understand the ecological and <sup>1490</sup> economic benefits to all of us. We look forward to playing <sup>1491</sup> an active role in ensuring that all of the states that have <sup>1492</sup> agreed to preserving the Bay do their part, and can claim <sup>1493</sup> their role.

And, you know, the details are to follow in the budget, hut what I can say to you is that there is resource support included in this budget focused on the Chesapeake Bay. So we are excited to partner with you and understand, you know, how special it is, not only to the State of Maryland, but to all of us, as a country.

1500 \*Mr. Sarbanes. Thanks very much. Let me raise another

dimension of it, which also calls for collaboration. As you 1501 1502 probably know, 80 percent of the remaining pollutant load reductions that we need to achieve in order to meet these 1503 goals come from agriculture. And that means it is imperative 1504 1505 that the EPA be working with USDA to ensure that there is sufficient financial and technical assistance in place to 1506 1507 provide the Bay Region farmers with those tools, so that we 1508 can get the job done.

This is going to take a lot of cooperation, bringing people together. I think the EPA can play a critical role in facilitating that. Can you commit to that?

1512 And what steps do you see there that perhaps might be 1513 new and different from what has already been done to address 1514 these needs?

I have some other questions; I will make sure I get those submitted in writing to you and your staff, but I appreciate your testimony here today. Could you just --

1518 EPA connection?

Mr. Regan. Absolutely. You know, during the nomination process for being in this position and throughout, I have been in close contact with and developing a relationship with Secretary Vilsack. We are committed to partnering.

I also, you know, have enjoyed experience with the agricultural community, and received a lot of support from 1526 the agriculture community because of the work that I have 1527 done in the past.

1528 So this is not foreign territory for me, and we will 1529 bring all of those relationships to bear.

1530 \*Mr. Sarbanes. Great, thanks very much. I yield back,1531 Mr. Chairman.

1532 \*Mr. Tonko. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. The1533 chair now recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

1534 Representative Curtis, you are recognized for five 1535 minutes, please.

1536 \*Mr. Curtis. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 1537 thank you very much to our witness today.

I -- we hear a lot of clamor about the science involved 1538 with climate change, and I can speak personally, for me -- I 1539 don't know of anybody else on this committee that would 1540 differ with me -- I don't question that science. But there 1541 1542 is another aspect of science that we don't talk about a lot, and that is that currently 90 percent of all carbon emissions 1543 come from outside the United States. The U.S. is on track to 1544 1545 emit just about 10 percent of worldwide carbon emissions. And at the same time, China is on a path to greatly increase 1546 their emissions for years to come. 1547

In other words, the atmosphere doesn't care what country emits the carbon, just how much is in the atmosphere. So, Mr. Director, do you agree with this science that

cutting the U.S. carbon emissions in half by 2030 will not 1551 have a profound impact on the climate change problem? 1552 \*Mr. Regan. I believe that the NDC that the President 1553 has set and our approach will have a significant influence on 1554 1555 how the world behaves. And collectively, I believe that the world will rally to meet the necessary reductions required. 1556 \*Mr. Curtis. So if I might just have a fun moment with 1557 you, you sound a little bit like some of my colleagues when 1558 they are asked about the science of climate change, which is 1559 1560 to really deflect the question and to not answer the question. 1561

And really, I think, why this is of concern is because we are embarked on a path that will dramatically alter the U.S. economy. And if that really reduces worldwide carbon, then that is something significant, and something that we should pay attention to.

And I think more of a concern to me is will you work on policies that only advance policies that actually impact this worldwide carbon, rather than just carbon here in the United States?

1571 And coming back to the science, carbon doesn't care 1572 which country it comes from.

1573 \*Mr. Regan. I agree, and I think that when we take a 1574 look at what we are doing as a country, it is to mitigate 1575 carbon, but it is also to take advantage of technological advancements and to create jobs. So I view the steps that we are taking as hugely impactful on reducing carbon, because we are setting the stage, we are leading. But also, during that process, we are taking advantage of where the markets are driving us, we are taking advantage of technologies that I believe we can deploy internationally, as well.

So in total, I fully believe that the actions that this Administration are taking will impact climate change, and will create jobs.

Mr. Curtis. Well, do you agree with the fact that approximately, over the last decade, the United States has reduced more carbon than all the carbon-producing countries, combined?

1589 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, I agree that carbon has been on the 1590 decline.

Mr. Curtis. Yes, and my point with that is that we have been setting an example, and other countries have not been following.

Let me switch gears quickly before I run out of time. National ambient air quality standards were designed to help reduce the amount of pollutants that we know cause human health issues. This is a big deal in my state. Utah has really struggled with this. As a mayor, we dealt with PM2.5, and understand these standards.

1600 And I agree we need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

However, the National Ambient Air Quality Standards were clearly never intended to regulate greenhouse gas emissions, nor would it be an effective way to combat global climate change, as we just talked about, when nearly nine percent of emissions come from outside the United States.

Are you ready to assure this committee that you have no plans to pursue a greenhouse gas National Ambient Air Quality Standard?

\*Mr. Regan. I haven't discussed that with anyone. I think that the Supreme Court has given us the authority to regulate greenhouse gases, and we will use the statutory authority we have to do so.

1613 \*Mr. Curtis. So it sounds like you are planning to do 1614 that. I just want to be clear. I am not pushing you to a 1615 yes or no, but rather just to know exactly what your 1616 intentions are.

Mr. Regan. You know, I will be honest with you, the statutory authorities that are provided to the Agency to regulate greenhouse gases is what we will take a look at. So I have not had any discussions with my staff about solely using the NAAQS, or using the NAAQS as a complement. We will be looking at all of the tools we have to regulate greenhouse gases.

1624 \*Mr. Curtis. All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am 1625 out of time, and I yield my time. 1626 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back. The chair now 1627 recognizes the gentleman --

1628 [Audio malfunction.]

1629 \*Mr. Tonko. -- vice chair of the full committee.

1630 [Audio malfunction.]

1631 \*Ms. Clarke. Mr. Chairman?

1632 \*Mr. Tonko. -- for five minutes, please.

1633 \*Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I 1634 thank our -- I am sorry, Mr. Chairman, did you say

1635 Congresswoman Yvette Clarke?

1636 \*Mr. Tonko. Yes, I did. I guess there was some trouble 1637 with the sound. But yes, Representative Clarke, you are 1638 recognized for five minutes, please.

\*Ms. Clarke. Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
And I thank our Ranking Member McKinley for convening today's
hearing on EPA's Fiscal Year 2022 budget proposal.

Let me also thank Administrator Regan for joining us today to offer your testimony, and to say that I am excited about your leadership at EPA. So let's go.

After four years of systemic witnessing -- of witnessing a systemic attempt to dismantle our nation's environmental infrastructure and protections, it is nice to finally see a budget proposal that prioritizes our environment and the well-being of our communities.

1650 The transportation sector has recently become the

1651 largest source of greenhouse gases in the United States, and 1652 vehicle emissions, such as particulate matter, are a major 1653 contributor in cities like mine, Brooklyn, New York, to 1654 medical conditions including asthma, heart disease, and 1655 premature death. You add to it COVID-19, and it has been a 1656 perfect storm.

Cleaning up emissions from medium and heavy-duty 1657 vehicles, in particular, is long overdue for the communities 1658 living adjacent to highways, ports, freight hubs, with a 1659 1660 predominantly low-income -- with predominantly low-income communities and communities of color. The Biden 1661 Administration has committed to centering equity in its work, 1662 and we need to build on that commitment by taking ambitious 1663 action to reduce emissions of both air pollutants and 1664 greenhouse gases from heavy-duty vehicles. 1665

Do you agree that EPA needs to tackle emissions of nitrogen oxides and greenhouse gases from heavy-duty vehicles as soon as possible in model year 2027?

And what is your Agency doing right now to start an ambitious rulemaking on this critically important issue? \*Mr. Regan. I do agree that we need to reduce those emissions, and we are taking a very close look at that. You know, we are on target for a light-duty emissions vehicle standard in July, and we are looking at the follow-up to that and heavy-duty vehicles.

We are engaging with the industries, and I am engaging 1676 with my staff to take a look at, number one, what does the 1677 science call for, not solely from a greenhouse gas 1678 standpoint, but as we model out and look at these 1679 1680 disproportionate impacts that these emissions are having on moderate to low-income communities of color, urban areas, how 1681 1682 we take that into consideration as we develop these proposals 1683 for rulemakings.

\*Ms. Clarke. One major tool already at the EPA's disposal is the DERA program, which has been used very effectively for vehicles like school buses to lower harmful emissions and improve air quality at the heavily-impacted communities. At a time when we need to be focused on tackling the climate crisis and creating good-paying jobs, DERA stands out as a prime example of what works.

1691 What resources or support does EPA need to ensure that 1692 the DERA program is able to benefit the greatest number of 1693 people?

Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that. I think the DERA program has been extremely successful, in terms of Congress's intent, and our ability to execute. You know, the DERA program is like a lot of programs: there is more demand than there are resources.

1699 What I would say is we have a good track record of 1700 getting those resources to those who need them the most to

1701 get the biggest bang for the buck. But, you know, that 1702 program, like many programs, could use financial bolstering. 1703 And it would be good for the economy, in terms of looking at 1704 advanced diesel technology, as we segue to electric vehicles. 1705 But it is also good for the planet and good for people.

1706 So I believe it is an excellent program, and I would say 1707 that the demand outpaces the resources.

Ms. Clarke. We also need to be thinking about how we can reduce emissions from refrigerated trucks that transport our food and medications, including our vaccines, from freight depots to restaurants, grocery stores, and pharmacies. Right now, almost all of the trucks are secondary diesel engines that run nearly 24/7 in order to keep contents cold.

1715 My legislation, the Freezer Trucks Act of 2021, is modeled after the DERA program, and would address this issue 1716 by helping to fund electric refrigeration units, as well as 1717 the charging infrastructure to support them. Is this 1718 something that your Agency has been looking into, and do you 1719 1720 agree that it is an important piece to addressing the impacts of diesel pollution from the transportation sector on the 1721 hardest-hit communities? 1722

1723 \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that, and thank you for 1724 your leadership on that. I am not as familiar with that 1725 piece of legislation as maybe some of my staff. 1726 It is an important piece of the pie, and I can commit 1727 that this Agency will look into and support you all in your 1728 efforts, from a technical assistance standpoint, any way we 1729 can.

1730 \*Ms. Clarke. Very well. Thank you, and I yield back,1731 Mr. Chairman.

1732 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now
1733 recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

1734 Representative Crenshaw, you are recognized for five 1735 minutes, please.

\*Mr. Crenshaw. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good to be 1736 back with you all. I still can't see you all, so it will be 1737 1738 a few more weeks until that, hopefully, happens. I am doing well, and you don't need to feel bad for me. We raised our 1739 right hand, and then we asked to go to war, and sometimes 1740 this is what happens. But I hope to be back to normal within 1741 a couple of months. But for now, even a blind knuckle 1742 1743 dragger can do a hearing, so here I am, and let's get to work. 1744

Administrator Regan, thank you so much for being with us, and congratulations on your new position. So I do have some questions.

You have said that, in your budget proposal, about eight percent of EPA's budget will be used for environmental justice and, as you put it, rewriting the DNA of the EPA. I

am hoping you can tell us what you mean by that. How do you attach dollars to the notion of implementing environmental justice?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question, 1754 1755 Congressman, and thank you for your service to this country. You know, environmental justice and equity will be part 1756 of EPA's DNA. And what I mean by that is there are areas 1757 that we can bolster our efforts to be sure that communities 1758 that have had the disproportionate impact from pollution no 1759 1760 longer suffer disproportionately. So there are opportunities for us to take a look at overburdened communities to ensure 1761 that we have the appropriate air quality monitoring in place, 1762 that when we look at water quality needs from an 1763 infrastructure standpoint, that those communities have good-1764 quality drinking water. Lead service lines and pipes that 1765 are disproportionately impacting communities --1766

Mr. Crenshaw. Administration, since I have limited time, I think your answer so far is fairly reasonable, to be honest with you. But that is my -- thank you for defining that.

The concern I have is certain legislation that may come, that may be written into law, that you would have to then regulate. The CLEAN Future Act, for instance, in section 601, defines environmental justice rather differently than you just did. It defines it based on 17 principles from the 1776 1991 People of Color Environmental Leadership Summit.

Among some of these principles it states that it must affirm the sacredness of Mother Earth. This is -- I am not sure what that means, but paganistic. Another principle would say that we must oppose the destructive operations of all multinational corporations. So my question would be does that make sense to write something like that into law?

1783 It is one thing for activists to be saying that kind of 1784 rhetoric. It is quite another for that to be written into 1785 law, which your agency would have to then regulate. How on 1786 earth would you do that?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, I thank you for the question. I am not familiar with that piece of legislation, so I am not quite sure I can fully answer your question. I think any piece of legislation, we would like to be sure that, number one, it follows the law, and it gives -- and we have the authority to actually execute on what is being asked of us.

1793 \*Mr. Crenshaw. Okay.

1794 \*Mr. Regan. So I will take a look at that, but I am not 1795 familiar with it.

Mr. Crenshaw. Well, thank you, Administrator. I mean, I do find it a little hard to believe that you haven't seen that piece of legislation. It is a flagship bill for your party, and it would directly influence everything you do at the EPA. Along the same lines, in title 9 of the CLEAN Future Act, it effectively bans plastic for the next -- years. At least puts a pause on it, with the promise of burdensome regulations right after that, effectively curtailing any kind of investment in new plastic production in the United States. We have some of the best natural gas resin-based plastic production.

1808 So the problem is this. There is opposing forces here. On the one hand, the Biden Administration wants to implement 1809 an enormous infrastructure plan that requires massive new 1810 plastic production, whether it is building EV batteries, 1811 lightweight materials for EV cars, new syringes and 1812 1813 biomedical devices, and syringes which we use to vaccinate people, thousands of miles of fiber optic cable wrapped in 1814 plastic. But this would be banned, on the other hand, and 1815 your agency would be tasked to effectively ban that, 1816 according to the law. So what would we do? 1817

1818 \*Mr. Regan. Well, I want to be clear, honest, and 1819 transparent with you.

1820 Number one, I am unfamiliar with the piece of 1821 legislation, have not been briefed by my team, which sends a 1822 signal to me that it may not be high on our priority. So I 1823 am not in a position to address many of those questions. 1824 \*Mr. Crenshaw. So, Administrator --

1825 \*Mr. Regan. This is the first time I am hearing that --

\*Mr. Crenshaw. Okay, Administrator Regan, you are saying that no one has consulted with -- you are the expert, you are the head of the EPA, but no one has consulted with you about the CLEAN Future Act?

\*Mr. Regan. That is exactly what I am telling you.
\*Mr. Crenshaw. Okay. Well, thank you.

1832 No further questions, Mr. -- I can't see my time, so 1833 maybe I am over time. And if I am, thank you for allowing 1834 me. If not, I yield back.

1835 \*Mr. Tonko. Okay, the gentleman yields back. The chair
1836 now recognizes the gentleman from California.

1837 Representative Peters, you are recognized for five1838 minutes, please.

\*Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,
Administrator Regan, for being here. We are eager for your
leadership.

The Journal of Environmental Research Letters recently 1842 published a study showing that steeply limiting or 1843 eliminating methane emissions can slow the rate of the 1844 1845 Earth's warming by as much as 30 percent. There is a lot to do on climate, but getting methane out of the atmosphere is 1846 critical to keeping warming below the two degrees targeted by 1847 the Paris Climate Accord. I am happy to cosponsor with Ms. 1848 1849 DeGette the CRA, that will restore the Obama new source rules. 1850

But on methane regulation I want to point out that we 1851 1852 can build back better. Instead of prescribing technology, which is a proxy for lower emissions, we now have the 1853 monitoring ability, which we did not have in 2016, to impose 1854 1855 direct emission standards. And last week I introduced the METHANE Act that would do just that, direct the EPA to set 1856 methane emission limits for oil and gas facilities, reduced 1857 over time, encouraging investment in technologies that 1858 provide the greatest emission reductions at the lowest cost. 1859 1860 So, Mr. Administrator, I ask you to consider this new approach, and whether there is a benefit to passing it --1861 legislation that would provide reliability to the environment 1862 1863 and to industry. I ask that your budget dedicate the resources under any circumstances to monitor emissions and 1864 enforce compliance. 1865

I am going to ask you to respond to that in writing, so 1866 1867 I can bring another urgent matter to your attention today. On behalf of the people I represent in San Diego and 1868 Coronado, I have to tell you about what has to be one of the 1869 1870 most dire environmental catastrophes on the continent. Tens of millions of gallons of raw sewage, human and chemical 1871 waste from commercial and industrial facilities, are flowing 1872 down through the Tijuana River across the U.S./Mexico border 1873 1874 into San Diego communities.

1875 This is toxic stuff. When it dries, the dust blows into

1876 nearby neighborhoods. The smell and noxious fumes make 1877 people sick. Border Patrol agents and Navy SEALs who are 1878 trained to protect our country have to wade and swim through 1879 it, and are stricken with skin rashes, nausea, and even their 1880 boots disintegrating on their feet because of the chemicals 1881 in this sludge.

Sewage from Baja, California and northern Mexico is 1882 being pumped untreated into the ocean. It makes its way to 1883 the San Diego County beaches in a matter of hours. Over the 1884 1885 past few weeks, more than 550 million gallons of wastewater was pumped into the ocean, just a few short miles south of 1886 the border. And within hours, it is on San Diego beaches. 1887 1888 People are getting sick. Beaches are getting closed. The Tijuana estuary is completely fouled. And this has been 1889 going on for far too long. 1890

We worked hard, as a congressional delegation, to fix the problem, and secured more than \$300 million as part of the USMCA. We are supporting your agency and IBWC as they plan, design, and permit the big projects we need to address it, but we need action now.

This is an international catastrophe. It is happening in a working-class, minority -- majority minority community in a far country -- corner of our country. So I have to tell you today that these Americans are counting on you and me to fix it.

The best way to describe how much worse the problem has become is by the number of beach closure days at these locations. In 2018 south San Diego County beaches were closed 101 days. In 2019 that increased to 243 days. In 2020, 295 days. And in 2021, the beaches have been closed for the entire year. The nearby canyons have experienced toxic, dangerous spills every single day.

1908 In August 2020, the governor of Baja California declared the sewer system fixed in Tijuana after they replaced a pump 1909 station with the new diversion pumps. Around the same time, 1910 the EPA, as part of the USMCA process under President Trump, 1911 stated it was not necessary to implement short-term emergency 1912 1913 measures to control trans-boundary flows, because Mexico had fixed the pumps. But as I explained, it is now nine months 1914 later. We are still getting daily trans-boundary flows, and 1915 our beaches are still polluted. 1916

1917 We can't wait for more public scoping meetings and 1918 studies. I am going to ask you four things today.

1919 First, we need urgent, immediate fixes, anything to slow 1920 the flows.

1921 Second, we need a diversion structure built immediately, 1922 either through executive order or, otherwise, fast track.

1923 Third, we ask your help in proclaiming the urgency to 1924 the Administration about the need for the State Department to 1925 engage with Mexico and insist that they do their part, and

1926 build the infrastructure needed to keep up with their 1927 population growth.

And fourth, and finally -- and this is the only question I would ask you to answer today -- will you please join Representative Juan Vargas, who represents the border area with me, and me in San Diego soon to see this tragedy for yourself? We desperately need your help, and we would love to show you the problem that we are facing.

Your comments on clean water in your written testimony could have made me feel better about this. We would love to have you out in San Diego, so we can work on this together. And I would ask you to come visit us. And with that, I will take your answer, but I will yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Regan. Well, thank you. I absolutely would love to visit with you. It is a priority for EPA. I have already spoken with my Mexican counterpart about how urgent this problem is, and appreciate the resources that Congress allocated a couple of months ago. And we are working hard on a solution.

\*Mr. Peters. Thank you very much. I yield back.
\*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and we now
recognize the gentleman from Georgia.

1948Representative Carter, you are recognized for five1949minutes, please.

1950 \*Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,

1951 Administrator, for being here. I appreciate you being here.

I wanted to ask you, it is my understanding that the Paris pledge commits the United States to a 50 percent reduction of economy-wide greenhouse gases below the 2005 levels, and we are supposed to reach this by 2030. You know, this is ambitious, to say the least.

Last week, the Biden Administration filed its nationally-determined contribution, or NDC, if you will, with the United Nations under the Paris agreement. We know that includes plans to pursue aggressive new regulations of the electricity and transportation sectors. But we know that these plans will still leave the Administration short of the 50 percent NDC Paris pledge.

After transportation and electricity, the economic sectors with the highest emissions are industry and agriculture. Can you please tell us if the new regulations on these sectors are part of the President's plan to achieve the NDC?

Mr. Regan. Well, thank you. I think that our participation in the development of the NDC was to characterize the emissions, and then do a qualitative analysis of the regulatory authority we have to pursue it. And so, you know, this is a government-wide approach. This isn't a EPA regulate solely your way out of this situation. And so, you know, what I can say is, between

1976 regulatory and non-regulatory opportunities, I think that the 1977 Administration-wide is all in for looking at this 50 percent 1978 reduction goal. It is not squarely on the shoulders of EPA 1979 and EPA's regulatory authority.

1980 \*Mr. Carter. But tell me what non-regulatory procedures 1981 are. I am not sure I am understanding. I want to make sure 1982 I understand that.

Mr. Regan. Well, I think that there are a lot of activities that are occurring in the private sector that we don't regulate. I know for sure, in talking with Secretary Vilsack, that there are a lot of activities that agriculture is pursuing voluntarily that we don't quantify, and don't regulate.

And so, again, these -- and then there are lots of other things that happen outside of EPA's scope. I can't speak to the totality of all of the activities, but I can speak to EPA's activities, and can assure you that, as we develop these regulations that contribute to the NDC, it will be done in a fully transparent manner that will engage all of our stakeholders.

1996 \*Mr. Carter. It is important to understand that these 1997 sectors, they are not monolithic, of course, and that true 1998 transparency requires more information. Can you tell the 1999 committee, or provide for the record whether or not EPA 2000 plans, regulations on, let's just say, livestock operations, 2001 soil management, iron and steel, municipal landfills, pulp 2002 and paper, refineries and petrochemical facilities, aluminum 2003 manufacturing, glass manufacturing, soda ash production, all 2004 of these things? Are you intending to pass regulations on 2005 these?

Mr. Regan. You know, you gave a really long list, of which many are already following regulations, or have regulations that they are working with the Agency on.

And so, again, I think that we provided a qualitative assessment of what regulations or what statutory authority Congress has given us that will help contribute to the emission reductions that the NDC speaks to.

2013 So, you know, I don't know if the question is asking are 2014 there new regulations, or how existing regulations 2015 complement, but what I will tell you is, as we traditionally 2016 do when we develop regulations, we engage our stakeholders, 2017 those who are in the regulated community, and we plan to do 2018 that this time, no differently than we always have.

2019 \*Mr. Carter. I am sorry, Mr. Regan, and with all due 2020 respect, I am just having trouble understanding exactly what 2021 your plans are. And I think it is very important. You just 2022 said that you wanted to be transparent, but I think it is 2023 very important we understand.

Look, I represent a lot of agriculture. I represent a lot of forestry. And they are not the problem. They are 2026 part of the solution. And I want to make sure EPA

2027 understands that they are part of the solution.

Mr. Regan. I think if you were to speak with the ag CEOs across this country, and the elected ag officials who supported my nomination, I have a track record of working with agriculture. And I have been working with Secretary Vilsack on how we can ensure that agriculture is at the table. So you and I are in agreement there.

\*Mr. Carter. I certainly hope so, Mr. Regan. I
appreciate you being here, and I appreciate you responding.
And I will yield back, Mr. Chairman,

2037 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and the chair 2038 now recognizes the gentleman --

2039 [Audio malfunction.]

2040 \*Mr. Tonko. You are recognized for five minutes, 2041 please.

2042 \*Mr. Ruiz. Thank you.

Administrator Regan, welcome, and thank you for being here. We speak the same language in environmental justice concerns.

I want to start by addressing the EPA's ongoing oversight of the Oasis Mobile Home Park in my district. It is very specific, but it really highlights some of the challenges in environmental justice that your agency is facing.

This park is located on tribal private land in a rural 2051 2052 farm-worker community, and has been under an EPA emergency order due to high levels of arsenic in the park's drinking 2053 water since August 2019. I am very happy with the 2054 2055 Administration's efforts on lead in pipe water throughout America. Arsenic is dangerous, and causes harmful health 2056 effects, and we find arsenic in some of our low-income 2057 communities, as well. This effort to deal with the emergency 2058 order since August 2019, that is more than 20 months ago. 2059 2060 I understand EPA's mission is to help water system owners get back into compliance when there is no 2061 2062 contamination. However, this assumes that the system owners 2063 act in good faith. All public accounts indicate that the owner of Oasis Mobile Home Park has not acted in good faith. 2064 Over the past 20 months, park ownership has repeatedly missed 2065 EPA deadlines, failed to adequately provide replacement water 2066 for residents, threatened evictions, raised rent by more than 2067 30 percent, and the list goes on, including informing their 2068 residents that the water was safe to drink when the EPA had 2069 2070 not allowed that, or cleared the water to drink. And therefore, residents were drinking with this water. 2071

As the EPA continues to work with the park, I am concerned that the focus on compliance is failing to address accountability. Administrator Regan, how will you work to ensure that Oasis residents are protected, and that bad

2076 actors are held accountable for repeatedly violating EPA

2077 orders to provide clean, safe drinking water?

2078 [Pause.]

2079 \*Mr. Ruiz. You are on mute, sir.

Mr. Regan. Thank you for that. You know, I fully believe every community deserves clean drinking water. We will continue to partner with your office, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, California's EPA, and ensure that the technical assistance required from our agency is given to the state, so that we can ensure that these --

2086 \*Mr. Ruiz. Well, I understand the technical --

2087 \*Mr. Regan. -- infractions are limited.

Mr. Ruiz. I understand the technical assistance. My question is accountability. How far is far enough? How long is long enough?

You know, we talk about the BIA. The BIA has been negligent, and never permitted them to begin with to operate this business. And now they are coming around. So when is enough enough, and hold individuals accountable?

2095 \*Mr. Regan. Well, I will tell you what, I will look 2096 into the particulars of this, and speak with my enforcement 2097 folks, and we will get an answer to you on that.

Mr. Ruiz. I appreciate working with you, because I know in your heart you are about helping the environmental justice community. And at the core, this is an environmental justice issue. This is about the decisions and actions of the powerful affecting the health and well-being of the powerless.

2104 In my remaining time I would like to ask you about 2105 another element of the EPA's EJ mission. The American Rescue Plan included my bill, the Environmental Justice for 2106 Coronavirus-Affected Communities, which provided EPA with 2107 2108 \$100 million for both environmental justice grants and air monitoring grants under the Clean Air Act. Community groups 2109 2110 in my district, such as Alianza and Leadership Council, have long been advocating for better air monitoring in the 2111 Coachella Valley. My district faces a range of respiratory 2112 2113 threats, from the particulate matter from the Salton Sea to agricultural burns and smog pollution. 2114

Yesterday the Washington Post reported that, "Nearly every source of the nation's most deadly air pollutants disproportionately affect Americans of color.'' This makes it that much more important that the affected communities have access to the funding meant to protect them.

Administrator Regan, what is the status of this funding, and how is your office making sure that communities like mine are notified of these resources, and that they are able to access these critical funds?

\*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that. Thank you for
your leadership on this. And we are in the process right now

of developing the criteria to administer these funds.

And what I can tell you is what we did immediately was we engaged the communities, to be sure that they were a part of our criteria and decision-making for those who actually receive these funds. So it is a priority for us. We thank you for the resources that we received recently. And we have -- we are on the ball.

\*Mr. Ruiz. Doctor -- Administrator Regan, I am a doctor 2133 in public health, emergency medicine. You cannot 2134 2135 disassociate our environmental health with our public health and individuals' health. Part of our chronic health 2136 disparities is due to the chronic exposure to toxins in our 2137 2138 water and air. And if we are going to address the pandemic appropriately, and the chronic health disparities, and the 2139 health of the American people, we need to address 2140 environmental justice. 2141

You are the guy that is going to help us get it done, and let's work together to ensure that every child can drink clean water, and every family can bring clean -- can breathe clean air in America.

I yield back my time.

2147 \*Mr. Regan. Thank you.

2148 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back. The chair now 2149 recognizes the gentlelady from the State of Michigan.

2150 Representative Dingell, you are represented for -- or

2151 you are recognized for five minutes, please.

2152 \*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for today's important -- and thank you, Administrator Regan, for 2153 being here, and congratulations on your historic appointment. 2154 2155 Under the last Administration, EPA ignored pressing environmental risks, and moved the United States in the wrong 2156 2157 direction on so many essential environmental protections. With your historic appointment, EPA is back in action. 2158 Sound science is the quide to policy. You have taken over this 2159 2160 agency at a critical time, and your to-do list is long, and I care about most of your to-do list. So there is a lot of 2161 ground to cover, so I am going to move fast. 2162

2163 Fuel economy. I am a car girl. I applaud the Environmental Protection Agency's proposal to restore 2164 California's authority to set its own emission standards. 2165 Yes, I support California. After the previous Administration 2166 stripped this authority from the State of California, it 2167 2168 caused serious uncertainty to the auto industry. Thank you for clarifying earlier EPA's timeline for reviewing the GHG 2169 2170 and the fuel economy stance, but I need to dig deeper. As I understand it, due to lead time requirements, EPA 2171

will not be able to set a new rate until 2023, and NHTSA won't be able to set it until 2024. So just the delay in all of this is moving it down the line. It, obviously, worries many of us, because we want to see the industry moving

2176 forward.

2177 Can you walk me through how compliance will work for 2178 model years 2021 and 2022? 2179 Are Trump standards enforced for companies that didn't

2180 sign on to the California framework, or at least for the non-2181 section 177 states?

2182 And will the section 177 states enforce --

2183 [Audio malfunction.]

2184 \*Mrs. Dingell. -- for those years?

2185 What role does California play?

And I am concerned that the Trump standards might persist, and I think we all need to have a better understanding of what you are thinking about this. And I want to make sure the companies that did sign on to the --California aren't disadvantaged. So I think the industry is looking for some clarification.

2192 \*Mr. Regan. Well, we are engaging directly with the 2193 industry and with states like California.

Number one, I believe in the statutory authority that California has. Each state should be able to lead. So we want to be sure that we follow the law, and we have filed the first step of a two-step process to do that.

Listen, I think that we all have to live with the fact that we lost a few steps during the past Administration. And so we have to go through a regulatory process, which -- the President has indicated he wants to see a new proposed rule by July, all hands on deck. We are working extremely hard to do that. And while this first step does focus on model years through 2026, we are going to be very aggressive there.

We also know that we have a second bite at the apple beyond model years 2026, and we have a rulemaking process there, as well.

And so, you know, regrettably, we lost a step, in terms of emission reductions. But we are coming back, and focused on how we make up for that lost time.

And I can assure you, we are having conversations not only with the automobile industry, but the unions, as well. I believe that what the science requires for us to do, where the industry is moving, and a manner by which we can do it to keep these jobs domestically is within our reach.

2216 \*Mrs. Dingell. I want to work with you on that, and I 2217 hope that we get to know each other, and helping that.

But I want to hit another subject before I am out of 2218 time. If you come from Michigan, you know about -- you worry 2219 2220 about clean water, and you worry about what my other colleagues have raised about the pandemic has really made us 2221 focus on water as a human right. We tell people to wash 2222 their hands, and many people have had their water turned off, 2223 and they haven't -- they don't have access to clean water for 2224 public health, sanitary conditions. Water is life. 2225

I have been encouraging this Administration to do an executive order preventing water shutoffs nationally, and especially while HHS has yet to fully implement and deploy money from the Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program, a new assistance program that Congress authorized in December through this committee, with my colleague, Rashida Tlaib, and I leading on.

2233 Can you tell me what efforts are underway at EPA to 2234 ensure every American has access to clean water during this 2235 ongoing global health panic -- pandemic?

And how do you plan to tackle the water debt crisis across so many communities?

Mr. Regan. That is an important piece of the Fiscal Year 2022 budget, but it is really prominently featured in the American Jobs Plan.

What we need to do, while we are assessing and looking 2241 at water infrastructure, is ensuring that we pay attention to 2242 water affordability, as well. I believe that we can focus on 2243 quality and affordability at the same time. And EPA has a 2244 2245 track record in our loan and grant programs, where we do just that, we focus on fixing the problem, providing quality 2246 water, and there is a significant focus on water 2247 affordability, as well. And that is where grants primarily 2248 2249 become the option, versus loans.

It is a very serious issue, and we are grateful, in the

2251 American Jobs Plan, that the President is focused on it.

\*Mrs. Dingell. Let's just try to not turn off anybody's water during this pandemic, and this Administration needs to make sure that happens, I beg you.

2255 Thank you, I yield back.

2256 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentlelady yields back. The chair now 2257 recognizes the gentlelady from California.

2258 Representative Barragan, you are recognized for five 2259 minutes, please.

\*Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Chair Tonko. The proposed \$2 billion increase for the EPA budget is very promising, especially the focus on investing on -- in programs that address clean air, and clean water, and environmental justice communities.

In my district, the Port of Los Angeles is both a major economic engine that provides jobs, but it is also a source of pollution. This is a public health burden for communities of color in South Los Angeles, and it is an environmental justice issue felt by communities near ports around the country.

Administrator Regan, can you please describe how EPA's proposed budget will help improve air quality at ports? And would you agree that this type of work is central to realizing the Administration's climate and justice -environmental justice goals? 2276 \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question, and it 2277 is central.

And when we look at our budget request of 2022, but also when we look at the American Jobs Plan, the American Jobs Plan really features resources that we can deploy to take a look at the impacts that ports are having on our communities. There are resources in there that really focus on how we get more air quality monitoring in these communities that are disproportionately impacted.

2285 But there are also grant dollars that would flow through the Agency to these communities to do a lot of work, in terms 2286 of how we develop partnerships with the communities and the 2287 2288 ports to ensure that we have the best management practices in place, that we understand, from an air quality monitoring 2289 standpoint, what is happening on the ground, such that our 2290 regulations are accommodating to reducing the threat that 2291 emissions from ports have not only on the climate, but also 2292 on our vulnerable communities. 2293

\*Ms. Barragan. And would you agree that the EPA has the experience and the expertise needed to establish and implement a program specifically focused on decarbonizing ports?

2298 \*Mr. Regan. We have the experience. We just need the 2299 resources.

2300 \*Ms. Barragan. Well, it is definitely worth noting that

the American Jobs Plan seeks to invest heavily in efforts to reduce emissions from ports, in turn creating good-paying, high-quality jobs.

Mr. Administrator, I want to shift a little to a bill 2304 2305 that I have. It is called the Climate Smart Ports Act. It established an -- it establishes an EPA grant program to help 2306 ports purchase and install zero-emissions equipment and 2307 technology. These investments will address major sources of 2308 emissions from port infrastructure, and the ships and trucks 2309 2310 and trains that serve ports, while also cleaning up the air that nearby communities breathe. 2311

House Democrats have introduced legislation called the CLEAN Future Act, and we believe that this bill can help implement parts of the American Jobs Plan. Do you believe that legislation should include a port electrification and decarbonizing program so that we can help meet these goals of the American Jobs Plan?

Mr. Regan. You know, I would love to take a look at that legislation. You know, I have been on the job for about a good six weeks now, I haven't had a chance to look at all of the legislation that has been raised today.

But I do believe that, if we can advance electrification in our ports and in our transportation, it will only help, from an air quality standpoint, as well as a climate standpoint.

2326 \*Ms. Barragan. Great. And the EPA -- just -- now I 2327 want to turn to something more local.

The EPA is investigating a former industrial site in my district called Central Metal for eligibility on the Superfund National Priorities List. It was used for recycling scrap metal up until 2016. The EPA is supposed to test the soils in nearby neighborhoods this year for contamination.

This facility was allowed to operate, for 15 years, so 2334 close to a district that is majority Latino and African-2335 It is an example of the kind of environmental 2336 American. 2337 injustice we need to prevent. Residents complained for years about bad odors, metallic taste in their mouths, loud noises, 2338 and bad truck traffic. I will invite you and the EPA, and 2339 hope that we can work together closely with our office to 2340 move as quickly as possible to evaluate and, if necessary, 2341 remediate the Central Metal site. 2342

Thank you again, Administrator Regan, for your leadership, and I look forward to working with you.

2345 With that, I yield back.

2346 \*Mr. Regan. Thank you.

2347 \*Mr. Tonko. The -- recognized from Virginia.

2348 Representative McEachin, you are recognized for five 2349 minutes, please.

2350 \*Mr. McEachin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, as

always, for convening today's hearing, and for -- on this important subject of the Fiscal Year 2022 EPA budget.

2353 Mr. Regan -- it is good to see you again, Director 2354 Regan.

2355 As a founding member of the United for Climate and Environmental Justice Task Force, along with my colleagues, 2356 2357 Congresswoman Nanette Barragan and Congresswoman Jayapal, and as a proud lead sponsor alongside Chairman Grijalva of the 2358 Environmental Justice For All Act, I was heartened to see the 2359 2360 justice-supporting initiative included in the President's executive order 14008 on tackling climate change. 2361 This initiative is critical. I am excited to partner with you on 2362 2363 this work.

Administrator Regan, how will your office work with communities that are most impacted to communicate the new funding opportunities under your EPA leadership?

Mr. Regan. Well, thank you for that question. And, you know, I have directed every office within the Agency to look at how environmental justice and equity is integrated into our policies, our regulations, our contracting, and our procurement. So we do have a formal education outreach arm that will do a lot of the outreach.

But I have the expectation that every single office has the accountability to engage with the communities that they do business with regularly, whether that be regulations,

2376 policy, and/or grant or loan programs.

\*Mr. McEachin. Administrator, I need you to educate me a little bit on how your agency is working with CEQ on establishing what programs and investments will count towards the 40 percent.

\*Mr. Regan. There is a very strong partnership there. The CEQ just confirmed the nominee, and so we have had an introductory meeting, and we are mapping out how we best partner together.

2385 We know that there is an automatic chemistry between the 2386 White House Environmental Justice Interagency Council and 2387 EPA's National Environmental Justice Advisory Council. Those 2388 are two arms that -- both entities will enjoy direct access 2389 to the community. So we plan to leverage that, in addition 2390 to some other plans that we are working on.

Mr. McEachin. Let me just say, Mr. Administrator, that, as we build back better, communities that have been historically left behind must be prioritized. Forty percent should be the floor, quite frankly, not the ceiling, and I look forward to seeing how the Americans Jobs Plan can help meet that goal.

2397 Moving on to EJ screening, I believe the EPA's EJ 2398 screening tool has the potential to be incredibly helpful, 2399 not only for communities, but for policy-makers. Being able 2400 to better identify impacted communities will allow for more

2401 thoughtful considerations when it comes to permitting,

2402 enforcement, and overall prioritization of revitalization and 2403 mitigation efforts.

2404 Mr. Administrator, can you tell us how the EPA is 2405 working in tandem with OMB and CEQ to create a tool that 2406 accurately identifies environmental hazards and impacted 2407 communities?

2408 \*Mr. Regan. Well, this is very important, and this is something that I have experience in doing in North Carolina. 2409 You are exactly right. If we have the right tools, the right 2410 screening tools, local economic developers, Chambers of 2411 Commerce, lots of individuals are interested in that 2412 2413 information so that they can do the appropriate planning. No one wants to run afoul of the law, or be accused of 2414 disproportionately impacting anyone. 2415

And so I plan to bring that recipe to EPA, as we work with CEQ and OMB, to ensure that our screening tools don't only empower us as the regulator, but empower the very people who have to make decisions on the ground -- many times are making decisions because they lack the information that we can provide.

\*Mr. McEachin. You know, Mr. Administrator, the notion of cumulative impact, to my mind, is awfully important. Do you envision this tool helping to identify cumulative impacts that a community may be subject to? \*Mr. Regan. We believe that it can inform us on cumulative impacts, and we are in conversations -- or I am in conversations right now with my general counsel and the experts here in the Agency to determine if we have all the tools we need to adequately address cumulative impact, or do we need more assistance from Congress. And we look forward to partnering with you on answering that question.

2433 \*Mr. McEachin. And to the extent that you feel like you 2434 need more assistance, please holler. You know, we want to 2435 make sure that we have cumulative impacts appropriately 2436 identified, and make sure that we are protecting these 2437 communities from any further damage.

Mr. Chairman, EJ screening is a critical piece of the puzzle in terms of prioritizing and identifying communities most at risk. I look forward to working with EPA to address cumulative impacts, enforcement, and investment as we continue our work with the Agency.

2443 Mr. Chairman, I thank you for your time and your 2444 attention, and I yield back.

2445 \*Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back. We thank you.
2446 And the chair would now recognize the gentlelady from the
2447 State of Delaware.

2448 Representative Blunt Rochester, you are recognized for 2449 five minutes, please.

2450 \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman,

and especially for calling this important hearing.

And Administrator Regan, congratulations. It is good to see you in your new role. And thank you for your testimony here today.

Like my colleagues, Representative Barragan and Dingell, I am working on and have introduced legislation such as my Climate Action Planning for Ports bill, or working on affordable, accessible, and clean water issues. But today I would like to focus on clean air.

Last week, the American Lung Association released their annual State of the Air Report, showing that 40 percent of Americans live in areas where the air is unsafe to breathe --40 percent. Clearly, we still have a lot of work to do to clean up our air.

The report also reminded us that we need to improve our air monitoring system. Fewer than one-third of U.S. counties have monitors, meaning that families don't have access to real-time information about the air they are breathing. Frontline communities have been subjected to unsafe air for decades, and have suffered the long-term health consequences and complications because of it.

2472 COVID-19 has only exacerbated this, and shown us just 2473 how dangerous air pollution is to human health, particularly 2474 in our frontline communities. One step in empowering and 2475 protecting these communities is figuring out what pollutants they are currently exposed to. That is why I introduced the Public Health Air Quality Act, and I am proud it is included in the CLEAN Future Act. This bill will significantly improve and expand our air quality monitoring network, and make sure EPA has the resources it needs.

Administrator Regan, I would ask that my staff continue to work with your office, and I would love to have a commitment that you will work with us on some of those important issues.

2485 \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you. You do have my 2486 commitment, and I will say that, in our 2022 budget and in 2487 the ARP, this is exactly why we requested resources for air 2488 quality monitoring. It is a very important piece of the 2489 puzzle.

Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you so much. As a matter of fact, you preempted me. In the American Rescue Plan, we appropriated \$50 million to EPA for air quality monitoring programs under the Clean Air Act. What progress has EPA made in getting the \$50 million out the door, and what will that money accomplish?

\*Mr. Regan. You know, it will accomplish a lot. And what we have done is we have started the process of engaging directly with our communities that have been overburdened to determine and ascertain where these monitors should be placed, and the types of information that we should be

2501 collecting.

We didn't want to rush and be paternalistic. We wanted to engage the communities, get their buy-in. The \$50 million is going to be a good shot in the arm. The \$100 million, as we have asked for in the 2022 budget, would be another shot in the arm. But we need to keep right on pressing on this air quality issue.

Ms. Blunt Rochester. Could you talk a little bit deeper -- I was pleased to see that your discretionary budget request asked for 100 million for a new air quality monitoring and notification program. Can you talk a little bit more about it?

2513 What goals do you have for the funding and the new 2514 program?

2515 \*Mr. Regan. Well, you know, it is really designed to better inform our air quality monitoring system. 2516 This isn't 2517 a situation where government wants to grow and build, this is an opportunity for us to give grants directly to the states, 2518 to the tribes, and to the communities, arm them with the 2519 2520 information on how to use these resources so that they can begin to monitor their air quality themselves, and then 2521 2522 communicate with us, as the federal government, so that we can partner with these local communities and these states. 2523 2524 This is extremely important, and a really good step 2525 forward, in terms of building the confidence that we need our 2526 communities to have in their federal government.

2527 \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. And my last question is, do you 2528 need more to repair and expand on the air quality monitoring 2529 system?

In particular, do you have sufficient staff to address these challenges?

Mr. Regan. You know, we have taken a serious hit in staff over the past four years. Over close to 1,000 people have walked out of the door. We are hoping to recoup some of that lost expertise.

I want to say we are making progress. With this 2020 ask, the resources that the President is calling for in the American Jobs Plan, you know, we really want to fight for those precious resources, and they will get us on our way.

Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Administrator.
And also, I want to say, on behalf of my sister, she too has
Aggie Pride. So welcome, and we are glad to have you on this
mission. Thank you, sir.

And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Tonko. Okay, thank you. The gentlelady yields
back. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Florida.
Representative Soto, you are recognized for five
minutes, please, and thank you for joining.

2549 \*Mr. Soto. Thank you, Chairman Tonko, and welcome,
2550 Administrator Regan. I appreciate your leadership on EPA.

In Florida we face intensifying hurricanes, rising sea levels, and if we do nothing to bend the curve on emissions, we will see over 100 extremely hot days a year by 2050. We know we have to do something about it, which is why I am so excited about President Biden's goal of cutting U.S. greenhouse gas emissions in half by 2030, which reflects this urgency.

According to a recent New York Times report, new data shows methane levels in the atmosphere reaching record-high levels last year. If the 2020 rescission rule remains in effect, would the U.S. still be on track to make the methane reductions necessary to limit warming by 1.5 degrees, and avoid the worst climate harms, and meet the President's climate targets?

2565 \*Mr. Regan. Well, you know, based on the activities that are occurring with the CRA, what I would say is we are 2566 2567 on target to propose a new regulation in September that would be on track with helping to get the deep cuts in methane 2568 emissions to help, in part, meet the President's goal. 2569 We 2570 are excited to say that we are engaging with the industry, because the technology exists, and we are going to keep 2571 forging ahead. 2572

\*Mr. Soto. So with these new protections to protect our air and atmosphere from methane at high levels, it looks like we will stay on track. Thank you, Mr. Administrator.

In addition, we are really appreciative of the 21 percent increase in drinking water infrastructure. We have seen some issues with some of the cleaning resin affecting water infrastructure in central Florida, and the city of St. Cloud in my district.

2581 We are also really excited about the increase from 682 2582 to \$882 million for the Superfund sites, because we have an 2583 issue still with phosphogypsum stacks, both in Polk County, 2584 as well as in Piney Point, which you may have seen just 2585 recently.

2586 Can we count on you to commit, if we get this increased 2587 funding, to help clean up these sites, and hold polluters 2588 accountable for these phosphogypsum stacks in central Florida 2589 and Tampa Bay?

2590 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, we have been in close communication 2591 with the State of Florida on these issues. We see an 2592 effective partnership occurring with the state, and we will 2593 continue to be present, and provide resources and technical 2594 assistance as needed.

2595 \*Mr. Soto. Thank you so much, Mr. Administrator.

And finally, I am very excited to see strong climate leadership from the Biden Administration to boldly act on the climate crisis, rebuild our economy, and create millions of good, family-supporting jobs in the process.

2600 Turning to President Biden's American Jobs Plan, which

boldly outlines an economic recovery centered around tackling the climate crisis, how would the investments in the American Jobs Plan address the climate crisis, and what is EPA's role, overall?

Mr. Regan. You know, EPA plays a significant role. And, you know, the climate crisis, this is an opportunity for us to look at ways to leverage technology to reduce the harmful pollutants, like you mentioned, with methane.

But the reality is that we are going to be living with many of the impacts that we are seeing. And so resiliency is incredibly important. And this is where the \$111 billion in water infrastructure is so important. We need a more resilient water infrastructure to withstand not only climate change impacts, but the attacks we are seeing from cyber issues, as well.

But the good news is that, not only are we hardening that structure, we are creating millions of jobs, and creating opportunities to provide good-quality drinking water.

And by the way, in states like North Carolina and Florida, we need to repair our storm water so that we can decrease the flooding that we are seeing because of inadequate infrastructure, as well as our wastewater treatment facilities overflowing, as well.

2625 So pollution reduction, resiliency, jobs, good-quality

2626 drinking water, there are huge opportunities for EPA in the 2627 Jobs Plan.

\*Mr. Soto. Mr. Administrator, I am glad you mentioned 2628 the storm water issues. We just saw a grant being awarded to 2629 2630 our district just yesterday from the HUD resiliency grants that came about through reaction and response to Hurricane 2631 Irma in Buenaventura Lakes in my district. So we strongly 2632 encourage you to continue working with your partners in HUD 2633 on those stormwater resiliency methods, as well as with 2634 2635 utilities.

We are only going to get stronger hurricanes, both in the Outer Banks of North Carolina and South Carolina, as well as in Florida. So we look forward to continuing to work with you. And thank you so much for your leadership.

Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and we now will move to a few of our colleagues who have waived on to the subcommittee. We will begin with Dr. Burgess, the gentleman from the State of Texas.

2644 You are recognized for five minutes, please, sir.

2645 [Pause.]

2646 \*Mr. Tonko. Representative Burgess, Dr. Burgess from 2647 the State of Texas, if you are with us, I know you wanted to 2648 waive on to subcommittee --

2649 \*Mr. Burgess. Yes, sir.

2650 \*Mr. Tonko. You are recognized for five minutes,

2651 please.

2652 \*Mr. Burgess. Thank you. Thank you, Chairman, for the 2653 recognition.

Administrator Regan, welcome to our subcommittee. Thank you for testifying today. You spoke just a moment ago to Representative Blunt Rochester from Delaware about having to restore some staffing capacity to the Agency. Did I hear you correctly?

2659 \*Mr. Regan. Yes.

2660 \*Mr. Burgess. So at the present time can you kind of 2661 give us a round-number figure as to the number of staff that 2662 you intend to hire?

2663 \*Mr. Regan. Well, over the past 4 years we lost close to 1,000 employees. And as we look at what is required of 2664 2665 the Agency to be sure that we are protecting our drinking water, and looking at air quality issues as to climate, what 2666 this budget does for us, it spells out needed resources to 2667 2668 get back to where we were prior to four years ago, and then assess the additional staff we need to carry out our duties 2669 2670 to protect clean air and clean water.

\*Mr. Burgess. Well, and that is very admirable. We want you to have the staff that you require. We would also like some transparency in the hiring process, and how people are -- throughout the agency.

As you know, our committee is tasked with the oversight

2676 of your budget. Do you know what title 42 hiring authority 2677 is?

2678 \*Mr. Regan. I am sorry?

2679 \*Mr. Burgess. Do you know what title 42 hiring 2680 authority is?

2681 \*Mr. Regan. I don't believe I am familiar with that 2682 specific authority.

2683 \*Mr. Burgess. So years ago, the Environmental Protection Agency was actually part of the Department of 2684 2685 Health and Human Services, or perhaps even the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. But there was a title 42 2686 exception that allowed personnel to be hired at a higher pay 2687 rate than they -- to which they would otherwise have been --2688 had available to them. And this was the subject of an IG 2689 2690 report, and this is back in March of 2015. And, Mr. Administrator, I will be happy to make sure my staff gets a 2691 copy of this to you, if you don't have it already. The title 2692 2693 of the IG -- the report of the inspector general was "EPA Needs to Justify How it is Using Title 42 Hiring Authority.'' 2694 2695 And here is the concern, that a -- and in some of our previous investigative work that we did in previous 2696 congresses, we found, for example, that a starting chemist 2697 might be hired at a much higher rate than they otherwise 2698 2699 would have been at one of the other agencies. 2700 So here is one of the things that has bothered me,

historically, as a member of this committee. It appears that 2701 2702 the EPA is using title 42 hiring authority, despite lacking the authorization to do so from this committee. 2703 It was an assumed authorization when the EPA was previously within 2704 2705 Department of Health and Human Services, and has endured without the proper authorization from the authorizing 2706 2707 committee, which would be the Committee on Energy and 2708 Commerce.

2709 So, I guess from your original answer, you are not aware 2710 that the EPA was using title 42 hiring authority, despite not 2711 having the authorization.

2712 \*Mr. Regan. I was just informed by my CFO that we do 2713 have the hiring authority -- the authorization, excuse me. 2714 We do have the authorization.

2715 \*Mr. Burgess. Well, that has, actually, been the subject of some debate, and your inspector general, perhaps, 2716 felt otherwise. In that 2015 report your own office of 2717 inspector general called for the Environmental Protection 2718 Agency to justify how it is using that authority. My feeling 2719 2720 on this committee, as the authorizing committee, that is a legitimate question, and I would just ask you if we can 2721 expect to get that question answered. 2722

2723 \*Mr. Regan. Yes. I have had a couple of meetings with 2724 my IG, just as late as this week, and that hasn't come up. 2725 But I will circle back with our IG and get that answer --

2726 that question answered for you.

\*Mr. Burgess. But -- and the only other request is, if 2727 you do use the title 42 hiring authority, can you -- moving 2728 forward, will you help us, and commit to us that each hire is 2729 2730 properly justified, and regularly reported to this committee? \*Mr. Regan. Yes, we want to be transparent and follow 2731 the rules and follow the law. So, yes. 2732 \*Mr. Burgess. I appreciate your candor. 2733 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the recognition. I will 2734 yield back. 2735 \*Mr. Tonko. Okay, the gentleman yields back. The chair 2736 now recognizes the gentlelady from the State of Florida, who 2737 is also waiving on to the subcommittee. She serves as chair 2738 of the Select Committee on Climate. 2739 2740 Representative Castor? \*Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Chair Tonko, for allowing 2741 me to waive on, and thank you for your leadership. 2742 2743 Administrator Regan, it is very good to see you again. The Environmental Protection Agency is central to the ability 2744 2745 of our neighbors to lead healthy lives, so I am grateful to everyone who serves there every day. And it occurred to me, 2746 just over the past couple of weeks being home here in Tampa, 2747 how EPA improves the health and the lives of my neighbors, 2748

2749 day in and day out.

2750 One, I was out at a -- with the university-area CDC.

They are focused on an at-risk community, and they have used a brownfields assessment grant. And the leaders there said that it has been instrumental in their ability to go into some drug-infested neighborhoods, purchase property, turn that into a park, single family homes, and they want to do more. So it is good to see brownfields included in the budget.

Then I was out with the county commissioner earlier this week. We were looking at a part of town where they are still on a septic system, but they are very close to Tampa Bay. And they have a plan to connect a lot of those homes to water and wastewater over time, but they can only take a little chunk at a time. So it is great to see you putting more -proposing more resources for a clean water revolving loan.

2765 And then, as my colleague, Congressman Soto, mentioned, we just had a devastating toxic stew from an abandoned 2766 phosphogypsum stack pour millions of gallons of -- it was a 2767 very acidic water into Tampa Bay. It is pretty gross, by the 2768 way. It is impacting shellfish areas, fishermen. 2769 It is 2770 probably going to lead to harmful algal bloom, where -- you know, we are suffering through red tide that comes and goes 2771 over time. But this -- it also impacts the health of folks. 2772 And EPA was here, in partnership with folks at the local 2773 2774 level in the state. And I want to thank you for that. And then it is the Tampa Bay estuary program that has 2775

2776 been able to follow up and keep track of the water quality in 2777 the Bay, and make sure that the public understands what is 2778 happening. And I know that the estuary program is another 2779 EPA initiative, as well.

2780 So all of these, I understand, will receive additional 2781 support in the proposed budget, is that right?

2782 \*Mr. Regan. Yes, that is right.

Ms. Castor. That is fantastic, and that is just in my community. This is -- you just replicate that all across America, and it is so very important.

2786 Now that the -- with President Biden's election, there 2787 is a new emphasis, thankfully, as many of my colleagues have 2788 mentioned, on environmental justice. Congressman McEachin 2789 and others have asked some questions on that, and we do 2790 understand that there are many working-class communities and 2791 communities of color all across America that have been 2792 disproportionately burdened by pollution.

In the Select Committee on Climate Crisis, in our action plan that we published last year, we had some recommendations there for stepping up the monitoring of the air and water quality, increased enforcement, increased capacity of our environmental justice groups. How will EPA prioritize environmental justice communities for more monitoring and enforcement?

2800 \*Mr. Regan. Well, thank you. It is a critical

2801 component of how we plan to do business. moving forward.

Again, I have directed all of my senior leadership to incorporate environmental justice and equity into the DNA of the work that they do in all of the offices at EPA.

2805 But as you note, in the 2022 budget and in the American Jobs Plan, there are specific resources called out to focus 2806 2807 specifically on environmental justice communities that have been disproportionately impacted, especially through that air 2808 quality lens, but also, as we look to address water quality 2809 issues, as well, like, you know, lead in pipes, and the like. 2810 So there is a combination of looking at how we integrate 2811 it through policy and regulation, through our existing work, 2812 and then those additional resources we need for air quality, 2813 water affordability, as we move forward. 2814

\*Ms. Castor. Thank you, and I know we need to also build the capacity of those groups, so that they have a meaningful seat at the table when it comes to clean water, clean air, and action on climate. So is that included, as well?

Mr. Regan. There are. There are opportunities for grants, in partnership with communities directly, as well as with the states. We know that communities and states know themselves much better than the federal government ever could. And so we are relying heavily on that to get it right.

\*Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. 2826

Thank you, Chairman Tonko. 2827

2843

\*Mr. Tonko. You are welcome. The gentlelady yields 2828 back. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Arizona. 2829 2830 Representative O'Halleran, you are recognized for five minutes. 2831

\*Mr. O'Halleran. Well, thank you, Chairman Tonko, for 2832 holding this important meeting today. I would like to thank 2833 the Administrator Regan for testifying today. I am 2834 2835 encouraged by what I have seen from the EPA for the first 100 days of this Administration. 2836

I represent an area in Arizona that is large and rural, 2837 2838 spanning more than half the State of Arizona. I guess you can say the easy part is it is larger by 2,000 square miles 2839 in the State of Illinois. Rural areas need infrastructure 2840 investments. I appreciate your mentioning the need for water 2841 infrastructure projects, which is a big concern in Arizona. 2842

In your testimony you also said that, under your leadership, the EPA will do everything in its power to clean 2844 2845 up and restore land for our communities. I hope you don't mind that I am going to hold you to that promise. 2846

There are over 250 abandoned uranium mines on Navajo 2847 Nation land in Arizona. They are there because the 2848 2849 government asked them to allow that mining to go on in the 1940s. For decades, millions of tons of uranium were mined 2850

on Navajo lands. These mines have had health impact to local families, including children, including death, also. None of the sites could be considered safe, but families live there, children play there, and livestock grazes there. In fact, there is 1,000 homes with -- partially made out of land, adobe, from those mines.

2857 Last year your predecessor in the EPA added the Navajo abandoned uranium mines to administrator's Superfund emphasis 2858 This is a welcome step in the right direction. It is 2859 list. 2860 only about six decades too late, or five decades too late. Two months ago, the EPA announced 220 million in cleanup 2861 funds, contracts coming out of a \$1.77 billion settlement in 2862 2863 2015. I want to see this cooperation continue at a higher Those funds will only address 200-some mines, maybe, 2864 level. at the continuing cost figures. Those funds have been there 2865 for a long time, and not been used for whatever reason. 2866 2867 There needs to be more personnel placed on this project. They need to work closer to the project, instead of San 2868 Francisco or -- now Denver is going to open up as an area. 2869 Ι 2870 want to see this cooperation continue at a much higher rate. Now, what approach are you going to take, when working 2871 with communities such as the Navajo Nation, which are hardest 2872 hit by environmental hazards? 2873

And it has been mentioned, environmental justice is important.

2876 Would you please answer that?

Well, thank you for raising that, and 2877 \*Mr. Regan. Yes. I know we will continue to work very closely with the Navajo 2878 Nation on expediting the cleanup of those, as you mentioned, 2879 2880 230 sites. But we recognize that there is about 270 more. And we are going to put a special emphasis on 46 sites, which 2881 we have ranked really high. The reality is that, with the 2882 resources that we are asking for in the 2022 budget, and with 2883 the American Jobs Plan, there is a focus on how we engage 2884 2885 more closely and more strongly with these disadvantaged communities. 2886

It only empowers and bolsters EPA's ability to do so if we get those results, and so my staff is keenly focused on continuing to do the work that we are doing, but also ways that we can strengthen our partnerships with these local communities to be sure that we are putting the resources in the right place.

2893 \*Mr. O'Halleran. How is the EPA, under your leadership, 2894 going to make these cleanup efforts a priority?

2895 We have heard this from past administrations, from past 2896 EPA directors, we have heard it time and time and time again, 2897 and yet decades go by and nothing gets done.

And you don't have to ask for money. It is there, in the trust fund, right now. So I am still wondering where we are at, and how can your leadership make a change?

\*Mr. Regan. I think what we have done is we have 2901 2902 prioritized these types of issues. And this is where, through the environmental justice lens, this Agency is taking 2903 very seriously how we prioritize our response to communities 2904 2905 that have been disproportionately impacted for far too long. And so it does require a new emphasis on these 2906 2907 communities, which we are engaging on, but it also requires 2908 additional resources. This agency has been hit severely over the past four years, and prior. And so the combination of 2909 2910 additional resources and this new focus on environmental justice and equity should yield a stronger result in this 2911 2912 area.

2913 \*Mr. O'Halleran. Mr. Regan, I appreciate your time here 2914 today. I look forward to extended discussions on this issue 2915 and other issues of cleanup.

2916 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Tonko. The gentleman yields back, and that, I believe, concludes the list of colleagues who have chosen to question our witness today. I will take care of some additional business before we move to adjourn.

I request unanimous consent to enter the following documents into the record: a 2020 letter from 27 Members of Congress to former EPA Administrator Wheeler on the proposed PM2.5 rule; a 2020 letter from 28 Members of Congress to former EPA Administrator Wheeler on the proposed Ozone Air

Quality Standards Rule; an article from Politico entitled, 2926 "Biden's Climate Target Map Still A Mystery''; an article 2927 from E&E News entitled, "The Whiff of the Unthinkable at EPA: 2928 CO2 Standards for States''; an article from Inside EPA 2929 2930 entitled, "Environmentalists Bolster Years-Old Petition for EPA to Set CO2 NAAQS''; and a client update from Baker Botts 2931 entitled, "EPA's PFAS Policy Change May Delay Market Entry 2932 2933 for Innovative Chemicals''; a 2018 letter from 6 state attorneys general to Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton; a 2934 2935 submission of the United States nationally-determined contribution, in line with article 4 of the Paris Agreement; 2936 a 2021 letter from Patrick Morrissey, attorney general of 2937 West Virginia, to EPA Administrator Regan. 2938 So any objection? 2939 Hearing none, without objection, so ordered. 2940 [The information follows:] 2941 2942 2943 2944

Mr. Tonko. Again, Administrator Regan, we thank you for participating with us today. You have endured for several hours here, and we thank you for responding to the questions posed your way.

I speak for myself for certain, and I believe members across the board on this subcommittee, and with the standing Committee of Energy and Commerce, that we look forward to working with you.

I wish you success. Your success translates into success for the stewardship of our planet Earth. So we thank you for your leadership.

I remind members that, pursuant to committee rules, they have 10 business days by which to submit additional questions for the record to be answered by our witness.

I ask that you, Administrator Regan, respond promptly, please, to any such questions that you may receive.

And at this time, the subcommittee is adjourned.

2962 [Whereupon, at 1:47 p.m., the subcommittee was

adjourned.]