1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MOLLEN
3	HIF206180
4	
5	
6	BACKGROUND ON RENEWABLE IDENTIFICATION
7	NUMBERS UNDER THE RENEWABLE FUEL STANDARD
8	WEDNESDAY, JULY 25, 2018
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Environment
11	Committee on Energy and Commerce
12	Washington, D.C.
13	
14	
15	
16	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 9:15 a.m., in
17	Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. John Shimkus
18	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
19	Members present: Representatives Shimkus, McKinley,
20	Harper, Olson, Flores, Hudson, Walberg, Carter, Duncan,
21	Walden (ex officio), Tonko, Ruiz, Peters, McNerney, Cardenas,
22	Pallone (ex officio), and Loebsack.
23	Staff present: Samantha Bopp, Staff Assistant; Kelly NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

24	Collins, Staff Assistant; Jerry Couri, Chief Environmental
25	Advisor; Wyatt Ellertson, Research Associate,
26	<pre>Energy/Environment; Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Staff Assistant;</pre>
27	Adam Fromm, Director of Outreach and Coalitions; Jordan
28	Haverly, Policy Coordinator, Environment; Mary Martin, Deputy
29	Chief Counsel, Energy & Environment; Sarah Matthews, Press
30	Secretary, Energy & Environment; Drew McDowell, Executive
31	Assistant; Brannon Rains, Staff Assistant; Austin
32	Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor,
33	External Affairs; Everett Winnick, Director of Information
34	Technology; Jean Fruci, Minority Energy and Environment
35	Policy Advisor; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff
36	Director and Chief Health Advisor; Caitlin Haberman, Minority
37	Professional Staff Member; Rick Kessler, Minority Senior
38	Advisor and Staff Director, Energy and Environment; Alexander
39	Ratner, Minority Policy Analyst; and C.J. Young, Minority
40	Press Secretary.

11	Mr. Shimkus. I'd like to call the Subcommittee on the
12	Environment hearing to order and recognize myself for five
13	minutes for an opening statement.
14	And, really, I start going through some prepared text, I
15	want to allow the interested parties that are oh, is that
16	Whitfield there? Former member of the committee. And I see
17	Kenny Hulshof, former member of the House. Good buddy of
18	mine.
19	So a lot of other friends out there. To interest
50	groups, we plan to legislate and we are about ready to go on
51	an August break and I would encourage you to educate,
52	especially members of the subcommittee, take the time during
53	the break and visit with them as we try to move forward.
54	To my colleagues on both sides, I would encourage you to
55	do your homework on your free time so that when we come back
56	we can really have a good discussion.
57	I would like to have a really open process as we go
58	through actually put down original text and then go
59	through some a markup that wouldn't be preordained but we
50	would work through some of the processes.
51	So that's just an editorial comment, and then I will
52	start with my prepared opening statement.
53	Today's hearing continues this subcommittee's ongoing

efforts to examine the future of our nation's transportation

	-
65	fuels. One of the most significant programs that influences
66	transportation fuel in America is the Renewable Fuel
67	Standard, today's topic of discussion.
68	More specifically, the focus on this hearing is to
69	provide members the opportunity to better understand
70	Renewable Identification Numbers, frequently referred to as
71	RINs.
72	RINs are the main component of the Renewable Fuel
73	Standard's tradable credit system and serve as its compliance
74	mechanism.
75	RINs are attached to renewable fuel gallons at the time
76	of production and they are separated when that renewable fuel
77	is blended into the nation's nonrenewable fuel supply, at
78	which time the blending party can use the RINs to comply with
79	the RFS or they can trade the RINs to another party.
80	Obligated parties, typically refineries, must submit
81	RINs to the EPA on a yearly basis to demonstrate compliance
82	with their annual renewable fuel obligations. So that's a
83	mouthful.
84	The purpose of today's hearing is very much educational
85	in nature and is intended to promote greater understanding of
86	how RINs fit into the overall Renewable Fuel Standard.

64

In order to chart a legislative path forward, it is critical that the subcommittee first gather the facts and comprehend the various complexities of the RFS program.

With an emphasis on getting clear objective information and answers about RINs, we have invited a panel of witnesses who can provide independent impartial testimony based on their extensive and varied experience working with RINs.

Witnesses that are joining us today include Brent Yacobucci, the research manager for the Energy and Minerals Section of the Congressional Research Service, who has analyzed and written on the RFS since the program's inception; Sandra Dunphy, the director of Energy Compliance at Weaver Tidwell LLP and a nationally recognized RINs expert who provides RFS-related services to a diverse range of clients; Paul Niznik, an energy consultant with Argus Media who specializes in RINs and will help demystify the factors affecting RINs prices -- demystify; Dr. Gabriel Lade, an assistant professor of Economics at Iowa State University who has authored multiple academic publications on the RFS, which have been cited by a wide range of RFS-related stakeholders and industries; and Corey Lavinsky, the director of Global Biofuels with Standard and Poor's, who will explain how the RIN market works in comparison to other commodity and

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110	environmental markets.
111	I'd like to thank these witnesses for their attendance
112	and participation and I look forward to hearing their
113	perspectives on a number of subjects, such as what factors
114	are influencing the RINs market, how the current RINs system
115	impacts various types of stakeholders including consumers,
116	and the prevalence and circumstances of RIN fraud.
117	While understanding that the topic of this morning's
118	hearing can bring about strong viewpoints, my hope and intent
119	is that this hearing will result in a constructive and
120	productive dialogue that will further this subcommittee's
121	effort to improve the nation's transportation fuel policies.
122	And with that, I have 40 seconds remaining. Anybody
123	wishing for that time? Seeing none, I'll yield back the
124	balance of my time and yield to my friend the ranking member
125	of the subcommittee, Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.
126	[The prepared statement of Mr. Shimkus follows:]
127	
128	**************************************

129	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you to our
130	witnesses for joining us this morning.
131	This is the latest in this subcommittee's series of
132	hearings on our nation's use of transportation fuels and the
133	renewable fuel standard program.
134	Today, we will examine renewable identification numbers,
135	or RINs. As you know, RINs are unique 38-digit codes given
136	to each gallon of biofuel produced or imported into the
137	United States.
138	These credits are used to comply with the RFS. At the
139	end of each year each obligated party must haven RINs to
140	demonstrate it has meet its renewable volume obligation.
141	RFS compliance can be achieved in two ways either by
142	purchasing the required volume of biofuel and blending it
143	into the fuel supply to obtains RINs directly or by
144	purchasing the required number of RINs on the open market.
145	The debate over the future of the RFS cannot separated
146	from the performance of the RIN marketplace. Earlier this
147	Congress, we received testimony on RIN price volatility and
148	heard some stakeholders support for a RIN price cap.
149	According to press reports, these have been among the
150	issues considered by members working on RFS reform, and while
151	the RIN market certainly has had price spikes, I do not think

152	we should ignore the political figure's ability to impact
153	market stability.
154	With each White House meeting or EPA announcement, the
155	RIN market can be put into flux. Over the years, the RFS has
156	experienced administrative issues in both Republican and
157	Democratic administrations such as missed RVO deadlines that
158	have impacted its ability to provide for that market
159	certainty.
160	The latest example, which I have voiced my concerns
161	about in the past, is former EPA Administrator Pruitt's
162	excessive use of small refinery hardship exemptions.
163	In the past two years, nearly 50 exemptions,
164	representing approximately \$2.25 billion RINs worth of
165	obligation have been granted in virtual secrecy.
166	We still do not fully know whether these exemptions were
167	justified, whether those obligations were reallocated to
168	larger refiners, or how these waivers may have impacted RIN
169	prices in the biofuels market.
170	Secret exemptions are not good for the sake of a healthy
171	market and the lack of transparency is extremely troubling,
172	although it is reflective of standard operating procedures
173	within the RIN marketplace.
174	While RIN transactions are reported to EPA's moderated NFALR. GROSS

175	transaction system, there are legitimate concerns that the
176	market lacks meaningful transparency.
177	Very little information on RIN transactions is made
178	public and the market is not subject to scrutiny by
179	regulators similar to other commodity markets.
180	This has led to numerous reported issues of RIN fraud in
181	the past and leaves the door open for potential market
182	manipulation. Publicly available data may not be sufficient
183	to even know if market manipulation is indeed occurring.
184	That is a problem.
185	I know members of this committee hold a wide range of
186	views on the RFS. But regardless of your position, I hope
187	that we can agree that there should be sufficient
188	transparency and oversight of this marketplace in order to
189	ensure that it is functioning and functioning properly.
190	Thank you again for being here. I look forward to the
191	discussion.
192	And with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back.
193	Mr. Shimkus. Gentleman yields back his time.
194	The chair now recognizes the chairman of the full
195	committee, Chairman Walden, for five minutes.
196	The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for
197	holding yet another hearing on this overall topic. We NEAL R. GROSS

198	appreciate it.
199	This is fifth hearing on the future of transportation
200	fuels and, as we have heard, this morning's topic is
201	Renewable Identification Numbers known as RINs.
202	And I would argue if we gave a test about what RINs are
203	and how the market works, perhaps within this room but I am
204	sure outside of this room, even our most learned members
205	might not test so well.
206	So that's why we are having this hearing. It's not to
207	pit one side against the other or rip off Band-Aids or
208	anything else. It is to learn, to better inform our work so
209	that we can address the issues before this committee.
210	So I won't restate how RINs works. We have done that
211	already. But there are nuances. This does affect markets
212	and therefore it affects consumers, and we are going to put
213	consumers first.
214	So this hearing is intended to be educational, and for
215	those of you of a certain vintage, some of you may remember
216	something called encyclopedias like World Book or Britannica.
217	There is people here who are nodding and people who are
218	scratching and others are going right to Google.
219	This hearing will help the Environment Subcommittee
220	better fill out it's set of books on transportation renewable

221	fuels more generally.
222	Why? Well, as I've said before, it is my desire to move
223	legislation that will pave the future of transportation fuels
224	into the next decades in the United States and in order for
225	this to happen it's important that we understand what RINs
226	are and how they fit into the renewable fuel standard, a
227	program that in 2017 spurred the production of 15.8 billion
228	gallons of ethanol and 1.6 billion gallons of biodiesel in
229	the United States.
230	That said, this hearing is not meant to drive a
231	preordained policy or to settle scores. It is intended to
232	help members understand the program so they can
233	make informed decisions when the time comes for us to
234	legislate.
235	So I want to thank our witnesses for being here. We
236	appreciate it. You've been asked to join us not just for
237	your extensive knowledge base on the complicated
238	matter but also for your demonstrated ability to be both
239	informative and objective when it comes to communicating the
240	fundamental elements of the RINs program.
241	So I look forward to hearing your testimony today. And
242	so we will proceed. I want to thank the chairman and other
243	members of this committee who have been working overtime on

244	the broad set of issues related to renewable fuels and how
245	this committee can move forward.
246	So we will move forward. Hearings are part of doing our
247	due diligence in business and we won't be talked out of them
248	and we are here today to hear from all of you.
249	So thank you very much, and with that, Mr. Chairman, I
250	yield back.
251	[The prepared statement of Mr. Walden follows:]
252	
253	*********INSERT 2******

254	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
255	The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full
256	committee, Congressman Pallone from New Jersey, for five
257	minutes.
258	Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
259	Today we are here to talk about the renewable
260	identification number, or RIN. The RIN market provides a
261	mechanism to bridge the gap between biofuel supply and demand
262	created by the renewable fuel standard mandate.
263	And the market allows parties blending more than their
264	required gallons of biofuel to sell their excess RINs to
265	parties that blend less than their require gallons.
266	When the market functions properly, it lowers the cost
267	of compliance for all participants in the renewable fuels
268	standards program. But it must be fair, transparent, and
269	well regulated.
270	It should also primarily serve the interests of RFS
271	participants, not the interests of speculators. Well, that's
272	how things are supposed to work. But today, they are not
273	working and I believe much of the recent vocality in the RIN
274	market can be attributed to the way the Trump administration
275	has operated the RFS program.
276	Press reports of the on again off again RFS negotiations

277	conducted by the White House over the past year or so have
278	driven RIN prices up and down, depending upon the headline of
279	the day.
280	No new RINs were created or removed from the market in
281	these meetings and no changes to the program were made if the
282	price fluctuated enough to create losses or gains for
283	everyone involved in the program over the costs of the last
284	year over the course of the last year.
285	Now, former EPA Administrator Pruitt also nearly doubled
286	the number of small refinery waivers that were granted last
287	year, which lowered the price of RINs. It appears that some
288	of these waivers were granted to refineries not experiencing
289	financial hardship which is required under the law.
290	If that's the case, then former Administrator Pruitt
291	intentionally misused this waiver authority to manipulate the
292	RIN market and undermine the RFS, and the lack of
293	transparency and accountability in the waiver program raises
294	serious questions about how this program is being managed.
295	Market mechanisms can work well. They can lower
296	compliance costs as long as they are not manipulated, which
297	is what I fear is happening under this administration.
298	And I guess we will find out more about it today. I
299	don't know if anybody else wants the time. Otherwise, I will

300	yield back, Mr. Chairman.
301	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
302	We now conclude with members' opening statements. The
303	chair would like to remind members that pursuant to committee
304	rules, all members' opening statements will be made part of
305	the record.
306	We appreciate you all being here today. I've kind of
307	done the initial formal introduction in my opening statement.
308	So I'll just turn to you. Your full statements are submitted
309	for the record. You'll have five minutes.
310	Again, this is very an educational process. So I am
311	not going to be Attila the Hun with the gavel. But as you
312	see the clock tick then kind of know that we should get to
313	the end so that we can get to members' questions.
314	So with that, I'd like to recognize Mr. Brent Yacobucci
315	from CRS for five minutes.

316	STATEMENTS OF BRENT YACOBUCCI, ENERGY AND MINERALS MANAGER,
317	CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE; SANDRA DUNPHY, DIRECTOR,
318	ENERGY COMPLIANCE SERVICES, WEAVER AND TIDWELL, LLP; PAUL
319	NIZNIK, SENIOR CONSULTANT, ARGUS MEDIA INCORPORATED; DR.
320	GABRIEL E. LADE, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS, IOWA STATE
321	UNIVERSITY; COREY LAVINSKY, DIRECTOR OF GLOBAL BIOFUELS, S&P
322	GLOBAL PLATTS ANALYTICS
323	
324	STATEMENT OF BRENT YACOBUCCI
325	Mr. Yacobucci. Good morning, Chairman Shimkus, Ranking
326	Member Tonko, and members of the subcommittee.
327	My name is Brent Yacobucci. I am the energy and mineral
328	section research manager for the Congressional Research
329	Service. Congressional guidelines on objectivity and
330	nonpartisanship require that I confine my testimony to
331	technical, professional, and non-advocate aspects of matters
332	under consideration and that I limit myself to areas within
333	my knowledge and expertise.
334	Although I can discuss policy options and potential
335	ramifications, the service does not take a position on
336	pending or proposed legislation.
337	I've been with CRS for 19 years, providing analysis on
338	alternative fuels, automotive design, and transportation-

339	related provisions of the Clean Air Act.
340	I have a Bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering
341	from the Georgia Institute of Technology and a Master's
342	degree in public policy from the George Washington
343	University.
344	I am a member of the Society of Mechanical Engineers,
345	the Society of Automotive Engineers, and the Society of
346	Petroleum Engineers, although today I am representing only
347	CRS.
348	The federal Renewable Fuel Standard was established by
349	the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and expanded in 2007 by the
350	Energy Independence and Security Act.
351	The RFS requires the use of renewable biofuels in
352	transportation. For 2018, the mandate is, roughly, 19.3
353	billion gallons.
354	Within the larger mandate, there are sub-mandates for
355	advanced biofuels including biomass-based diesel and
356	cellulosic biofuels.
357	The RFS is a market-based program in which obligated
358	parties, typically refiners, must submit credits to cover
359	their obligations. These credits, renewable identification
360	numbers, or RINs, can be bought or sold like other
361	commodities.

362	In general, for each gallon of renewable fuel produced,
363	one RIN is generated. A RIN is a 38-character number issued
364	by the biofuel producer or importer at the point of
365	production or import.
366	Each qualifying gallon has its own unique RIN. The
367	characters signify various attributes to the batch of fuel,
368	including the decode, which identifies the category of fuel
369	and which part of the RFS that fuel satisfies D3 for
370	cellulosic biofuel, D4 for bio-based diesel, D5 for advanced
371	biofuels, and D6 for unspecified biofuel typically, corn-
372	based ethanol.
373	From the beginning of the RFS program there will be
374	concerns with RIN generation and the RIN market, in part to
375	address concerns over errors, inaccuracies, and potential
376	fraud, when EPA finalizes rules for the RFS in 2007,
377	sometimes referred to as the RFS 2, EPA also established a
378	new EPA-moderated transaction system, or EMTS.
379	EPA maintains that obligated parties must exercise due
380	diligence, and under their buyer beware system, those
381	purchasing RINs must certify the validity of those RINs on
382	their own.
383	They are generally responsible for any invalid RINs they
384	pass on to others or submit to EPA for compliance. At NEAL R. GROSS

times, RIN prices have been volatile. Most RINs are initially bought and sold through private contracts. But in the spot market for RINs in 2013 spot prices for conventional ethanol RINs -- the D6 RINs -- rose dramatically before dropping even more rapidly.

Stakeholders have identified various factors as

Stakeholders have identified various factors as potentially causing the price increase, including whether submission amounts of ethanol can be blended into gasoline to meet the RFS mandates and the extent to which non-obligated parties are speculating in RIN markets.

Further, some stakeholders have suggested that a few actors could be actively working to manipulate those RIN markets.

Concerns have been raised about the volatility of RIN prices on the secondary market and the potential effects on the costs faced by gasoline blenders and oil refiners, particularly those refiners without the infrastructure to blend, as well as the effects on consumer fuel prices.

The complex interactions among the prices for various commodities makes such analysis difficult. Stakeholders who propose various options to address some of these concerns including limiting the participation of non-obligated parties in the markets and in the EMTS, establishing a price cap for

408	RINs, requiring more public real-time reporting for RIN
409	training data, and granting the Commodity Futures Trading
410	Commission authority to regulate the RIN market similarly to
411	other agricultural commodities.
412	Each of these options could affect agricultural and
413	biofuel producers, gasoline suppliers, blenders, and
414	consumers. But it is beyond the scope of this testimony to
415	address economic effects.
416	I thank the subcommittee for its time and I am happy to
417	answer any questions you have.
418	[The prepared statement of Mr. Yacobucci follows:]
419	
420	**************************************

421	Mr.	Shimkus.	Thank you very	much.	
422	The	chair now	recognizes Ms.	Dunphy for	five minutes.
423	Thanks fo	or being h	ere.		

424 STATEMENT OF SANDERS DUNPHY 425 426 Ms. Dunphy. Thank you very much, and good morning. 427 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Ranking Member, and members of the 428 subcommittee, my name is Sandra Dunphy and I am a director in 429 the energy compliance services group of Weaver and Tidwell, a 430 certified public accounting firm ranked among the 40 largest 431 CPA firms in the U.S. My area of expertise is the RFS 432 program. 433 Weaver is the largest provider of attestation services 434 under the EPA's gasoline and diesel programs and Weaver was 435 the first auditor that the EPA approved under the RFS quality 436 assurance plan program. 437 In 2017, we verified more than 1.1 billion RINs and we are currently auditing about 50 renewable fuel producers. 438 439 Weaver has a diverse client base for these RFS-related 440 services -- renewable fuel producers, importers, exporters, 441 blenders, and consumers. 442 Our clients also include gasoline and diesel refiners 443 and importers. These companies are classified as obligated 444 parties under the RFS program because they must acquire RINs 445 to comply with the renewable fuel blending targets set 446 annually by the EPA.

447	Because of this diverse base of customers, Weaver takes
448	a neutral position on the RFS regulations. My comments to
449	you today are intended to provide useful information as you
450	explore potential revisions and updates to the RFS
451	regulations, not to advocate for any particular position or
452	provision.
453	Today, I've been asked to describe some of the nuances
454	or inconsistencies that exist in the regulations so that that
455	will be focus on my remarks today.
456	In order for companies to make the necessary investments
457	in new technologies and renewable fuel production facilities,
458	they need clear consistent long-term policies and regulations
459	and, of course, they need financial incentives.
460	Under the RFS program, that financial incentive comes
461	primarily from RINs. If new technologies and fuels can meet
462	the stringent feedstock production process and finished fuel
463	requirements of the RFS, then RINs are the reward.
464	But if any part of those feedstocks, processes, or fuels
465	fails to meet the requirements, no RINs can be generated and
466	facilities cannot acquire the funding needed to get built.
467	Let me provide just a few examples of things that are
468	currently either not allowed or where the regulations are so
469	stringent that few if any facilities can comply.

470 Some of the things currently not allowed, fuels that 471 require two separate facilities to be produced -- for 472 example, one facility converts wood, straw, grasses, or 473 municipal solid waste, feed stock into some type of liquid, 474 and another facility, such as a petroleum refinery, turns 475 that liquid into a finished fuel, then fuel cannot generate 476 RINs. 477 Bio gas used to produce electricity that is then used to 478 power motor vehicles, here the pathway exists in the 479 regulations but has not yet been implemented. 480 Renewable fuels used in ocean-going vessels is not 481 available for RINs. By comparison, renewable fuel used in 482 jet planes that leave the U.S. are eligible for RINs. 483 Here are examples of some feed stocks with stringent 484 requirements that often disqualify their fuels from earning 485 sawdust and wood chips from a lumber mill, waste wood 486 pulled from construction and demolition debris, old railroad 487 ties, and disease and insect-infected trees generally don't 488 qualify. Tree thinning and forest floor logging residues are also 489 490 very difficult to use, even if sustainable harvested. 491 gas from digesters located at farms or wastewater treatment 492 facilities that take in a variety of wastes, some cellulosic **NEAL R. GROSS**

493 and some non, are only allowed to make noncellulosic RINs if they cold process any amount of noncellulosic material. 494 495 Being able to make only noncellulosic RINs often kills a 496 project. 497 The fuels of the future depend on a renewable fuels 498 producers' ability not only to generate RINs but on the type 499 and quantity of RINs that can be made for each gallon of 500 fuel. 501 This dependency holds true for both standalone renewable 502 fuel production facilities and for petroleum refineries 503 wanting to co-process renewable feed stocks in their 504 facilities. 505 The RFS regulations, by their very nature, dictate 506 winners and losers in the renewable fuel market. 507 changes you may propose to the RFS regulations, I encourage 508 you to seek clear, predictable, practical standards and take 509 advantage of RINs as a powerful market incentive toward 510 change. 511 Again, thank you for the opportunity to testify today and for your work in reviewing how RINs can help incentivize 512 production of the best fuels for the environment and the 513 American consumer. 514 515 Weaver stands ready to assist your committee in any way

516	possible as you consider different options for accomplishing
517	these goals.
518	Thank you.
519	[The prepared statement of Ms. Dunphy follows:]
520	
521	**********INSERT 4******

522	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much.
523	Now, next I'll turn to Mr. Niznik. You're recognized
524	for five minutes.
525	I think check the microphone. There is a button
526	underneath there and pull a little bit close.

527	STATEMENT OF PAUL NIZNIK
528	
529	Mr. Niznik. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Ranking Member, and
530	members of the subcommittee, my name is Paul Niznik. I am a
531	senior consultant for Argus Media Incorporated.
532	I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify
533	this morning on RINs prices and fuels markets.
534	Argus Media is a global commodity price reporting agency
535	with over 40 years of experience providing a broad range of
536	industries with objective and independent market assessments.
537	Billions of dollars of commodity prices are based on
538	Argus published indices which are produced in over 20 offices
539	spread across global market hubs.
540	Argus provides its services to entities within the
541	petroleum, biofuel, and power markets, among others. In my
542	role as a consultant, I provide strategic planning services,
543	investment due diligence and market research specifically in
544	biofuels and RINs markets.
545	Research on historic price data demonstrates that most
546	RINs price behavior can be explained in the context of four
547	main factors the RFS rules, commodities prices, fuel
548	quality regulations, and other incentives on the national and
549	state level.

550	Historically, changes seen in any of these areas can
551	have quantifiable effects on RIN prices. In my experience
552	with clients, at every level of participation in the market,
553	understanding the logic of RINs price behavior creates
554	opportunities for investment and opens chances to optimize
555	business efficiency.
556	Uncertainty around potential changes to the RFS or the
557	policies disrupts the logic of the market and creates RINs
558	price movements and volatility not normally seen under
559	similar market conditions.
560	Likewise, policy clarification and long-term guidance
561	have decreased RINs price volatility and returned the markets
562	to logical behavior in the past, such as the issuance of
563	guidance by EPA on the intention for annual RFS rulemaking in
564	2015 after a multiple year lapse.
565	RFS price volatility, driven by policy news, as well as
566	policy uncertainty, are the two largest complaints about the
567	RFS that I hear from my clients, both RIN buyers such as
568	petroleum refiners, and RINs generators such as biofuels
569	producers.
570	Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I look
571	forward to answering questions from members of the committee.
572	[The prepared statement of Mr. Niznik follows:]

573

574 *********INSERT 5******

575	Mr. Shimkus. Well, you get a gold star for short five
576	minutes there. So thank you very much.
577	I will now turn to Dr. Lade. You're recognized for five
578	minutes.

579	STATEMENT OF GABRIEL LADE
580	
581	Mr. Lade. Chairman Shimkus, Ranking Member Tonko, and
582	other members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity
583	to participate in today's hearing.
584	I am an assistant professor of economics at Iowa State
585	University, a visiting assistant professor in the Dyson
586	School of Applied Economics and Management at Cornell
587	University, and a faculty affiliate at the Center for
588	Agricultural and Rural Development, a public policy and
589	economic research institute at Iowa State University.
590	To these roles, I bring my expertise in environmental
591	economics and policy analysis. In recent years, I have
592	particularly focussed on state and federal policies that
593	increase the production and use of renewable transportation
594	fuels including the renewable fuel standard.
595	I published several peer reviewed and outreach articles
596	on RIN price determinants and their impacts on downstream
597	consumer markets, and this is the topic on which I will speak
598	today, though I will note that all my views expressed are my
599	own and do not reflect those of the entities that I am
600	associated with.

RIN markets serve a vital role in enforcing the

601

602	renewable fuel standard. Key questions have arisen around
603	the operation and integrity of RIN markets, particularly
604	since 2013, due to observed volatility.
605	These include have RIN markets operated as intended by
606	the enacting legislation, what changes can improve the RIN
607	market and limit volatility, and what impact would
608	administrative or legislative changes to the RFS have on RIN
609	markets and biofuel demand in the United States.
610	In the written testimony that I submitted to this
611	committee, I summarized RINs' accounting and economic purpose
612	as well as review the empirical evidence on RIN price
613	determinants and their impacts on downstream market prices.
614	I also address potential effects of proposed changes to
615	the RFS program, their likely impacts on RINs, and their
616	implications for biofuel demand in the United States.
617	I want to emphasize four points from my testimony here.
618	First, RINs serve a vital accounting role in RFS compliance.
619	However, their economic role is even more important.
620	RIN prices adjust to ensure that congressional biofuel
621	blending mandates are met each year and are a key market
622	signal about the value of investing in biofuel production and
623	distribution infrastructure.
624	Second, several features of RIN markets suggest that

625	they are efficient. Prices adjust quickly to changing
626	compliance cost expectations and market fundamentals, and
627	most RIN price volatility since 2013 can readily be
628	attributed to ever changing biofuel blending targets and
629	uncertainty around future mandates.
630	However, publicly available data is insufficient to
631	fully determine whether the market is efficient or free of
632	manipulation. Greater transparency would allow researchers
633	and regulators to study these issues, and further
634	transparency would make attempt to manipulate the market more
635	difficult and costly.
636	Third, we all know that fuel retailers and refiners are
637	not driven out of business every time states raise their fuel
638	taxes. Instead, consumer prices at the pump increase
639	typically by the full amount of the tax.
640	Fuel providers pay the tax bill but consumers ultimately
641	bear the tax cost. The same situation arises in RIN markets.
642	The empirical economics literature continues to show that
643	wholesale fuel prices on average rise one for one with
644	refiners' RIN costs.
645	This means that so long as refiners offset their RFS
646	compliance obligations as they accrue them, on average,
647	they're fully compensated for their RIN costs through higher NEAL R. GROSS

648	wholesale gasoline and diesel prices.
649	Finally, recent actions by the Environmental Protection
650	Agency likely undermined RIN markets. RIN markets are
651	designed to provide a signal about the value of biofuel
652	production and distribution in the United States. That
653	signal becomes unreliable when EPA decisions are
654	unpredictable and lack transparency.
655	Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.
656	[The prepared statement of Mr. Lade follows:]
657	
658	*********INSERT 6******

659	Mr	. Sh	imkus	. Th	ank yo	u very	much.			
660	No	v I w	will	turn	to Mr.	Lavins	sky.	You're	recognized	for
661	five mi	nutes	s. T	hanks	for b	eing he	ere.			

662	STATEMENT OF COREY LAVINSKY
663	
664	Mr. Lavinsky. Okay. Good morning, Chairman Shimkus,
665	Ranking Member Tonko, and other members of the subcommittee.
666	Thank you for inviting me to speak today. I am grateful
667	for the opportunity to share information for this hearing.
668	My name is Corey Lavinsky and I am with S&P Global
669	Platts handling global biofuels analytics. S&P Global Platts
670	is a leading provider of energy and commodity market data.
671	We provide news, market commentary, fundamental data and
672	analysis, thousands of daily price assessments, and
673	analytical tools to help customers spot and seize
674	opportunities with confidence.
675	I've been analyzing biofuels markets for nearly 10 years
676	during which I have seen conventional biofuel RINs rise from
677	pennies apiece to as much as \$1.40.
678	RINs, which are currently hovering around five-year
679	lows, are of great interest to our clients and to the
680	agricultural biofuels and petroleum industries in general.
681	RINs are the currency of the renewable fuel standard
682	the RFS. Refiners and importers that are subject to the RFS
683	prove compliance with their renewable volume obligations by
684	retiring RINs at the end of each compliance year.

685	Obligated parties can obtain RINs by physical blending
686	or by purchasing them on the open market. RINs are typically
687	traded on a bilateral basis and off exchange. Independent
688	pricing organizations like S&P Global Platts have shined a
689	light on the opaque markets by publishing RIN price
690	assessments.
691	Some RINs are more versatile than others and can be used
692	to satisfy multiple mandates. For instance, a D4 RIN with
693	the production of biodiesel can be used to satisfy three of
694	the four mandates. The most common RIN, a D6 RIN, generated
695	from corn ethanol, can only be used toward the total
696	renewable fuel mandate.
697	Adding to flexibility, all RINs have a two calendar year
698	lifespan. Unused RINs can be carried over to the next year
699	with some limitations.
700	The government publishes extensive aggregated monthly
701	data on RIN generation and renewable fuel production. It's
702	also responsible for making decisions on small refinery
703	hardship exemptions.
704	Exemptions lower the number of RINs needed to satisfy
705	the annual mandates. Currently, small refinery hardship
706	exemption decisions are not make public. This makes
707	analyzing RIN supply and demand challenging.

708	Earlier this month, S&P Global Platts and other news
709	organizations broke news as to how many small refinery
710	exemptions were issued.
711	In a letter to Senator Grassley, the government
712	confirmed that it had granted 19 out of 20 waivers for 2016.
713	Further, for 2017, 29 of 33 petitioners were granted
714	exemptions with the four remaining still pending.
715	In total, 48 exemptions have been granted over the past
716	two years with a total exempted renewable fuel volume
717	obligation of approximately 2.25 billion RINs.
718	Recent court decisions have also had an effect on RIN
719	supply. A few cases including one that was decided by the
720	Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals last Friday have overturned
721	denials of waiver petitions.
722	In Ergon-West Virginia vs. EPA, the court vacated a
723	denial of the small refinery exemption, saying that it was
724	arbitrary and capricious. If this decision opens the door to
725	more litigation that leads to restatement of retired RINs,
726	supply demand in prices would be affected.
727	When compared to other policy-driven environmental
728	commodity markets, a key distinguishing characteristic of the
729	RINs market is that it is a floor and trade system rather
730	than a cap and trade system.

731 This ensures that a minimum volume of biofuels is 732 blended into the transportation pool. Cap and trade sets a cap for behavior that the government seeks to limit and 733 734 penalizes companies that exceed the cap. 735 On the other hand, under the RFS, the government sets 736 the floor and companies are penalized if they don't blend 737 enough to meet their RVOs or do not acquire a sufficient 738 amount of RINs in lieu of blending. 739 RINs of the same year and category are priced the same 740 anywhere in the country. RINs do not have the same 741 complications across state lines that renewable energy 742 certificates often have. 743 Unlike the RINs market, renewable energy certificate 744 policies are set by individual states and require electricity suppliers to account for a certain percentage of the final 745 746 sales customers with particular kinds of renewable power. 747 Renewable energy generation creates certificates which 748 are regional tracking systems. Individual states decide 749 targets and which types of certificates can be used for 750 compliance. 751 Thank you for the opportunity to provide the statement 752 at such an important time. I welcome any questions you might 753 have.

754	[The prepa	red statement	of Mr.	Lavinsky	follows:]
755					
756	*********INSER	T 7*******			

757	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you very much and I thank you all
758	for your testimony and we'll now move to the question asking
759	portion of the hearing. I will begin the questioning and
760	recognize myself for five minutes.
761	I understand RINs are used by obligated parties to
762	demonstrate compliance with the renewable volume obligations
763	set by the EPA and that those volumes are based upon energy
764	information and administrative numbers and projections.
765	Ms. Dunphy, are those Energy Information Administration
766	projections and, by extension, the RVOs based solely on past
767	biofuel production levels or do they reflect realistic growth
768	in biofuel production capacity?
769	Ms. Dunphy. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
770	The annual RVOs are set by EPA using the EIA forecast
771	for gasoline and diesel demand for the coming year, and if
772	you think of the way the allocations work amongst the
773	refineries, the EPA's job is to come up with a percentage
774	that each refinery can use to calculate their obligation and
775	there's a percentage for each of the four compliance
776	categories that they multiply how much gasoline and diesel
777	they may buy.
778	To come up with that, they forecast what renewable fuel
779	is going to be available for introduction into the U.S. NFAL R. GROSS

780	market. That becomes the numerator of the fraction. The
781	denominator is gasoline and diesel. You come up with a
782	percentage for all four categories.
783	So it actually is a forward looking fraction, if you
784	will, that creates this percentage that the oil companies use
785	in the next year.
786	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you.
787	Mr. Lavinsky, in your testimony you note that in your 10
788	years of analyzing biofuels you have seen RIN prices go from
789	pennies to as much as \$1.40.
790	What usually causes such changes to the price? Is it
791	market related or more affected by regulations and policy
792	actions?
793	Mr. Lavinsky. Thank you for your question.
794	Historically, large movements in RIN prices can be tied
795	to spikes in ethanol prices due to higher feedstock costs.
796	Higher mandates also contribute.
797	In 2013 when RIN prices reached their peak, corn prices
798	were over \$7 per bushel and they're currently only around
799	\$3.25 now, and while ethanol prices were about \$1.18 per
800	gallon more than they are now.
801	There are usually small policy bumps in prices each year
802	as new mandates are proposed in the spring and finalized NEAL R. GROSS

803	around November. But they're not comparable in size to the
804	spike five years ago.
805	Currently, the low RIN prices now are the result of a
806	record domestic ethanol production and consumption and this
807	abnormally high RIN bank.
808	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you. Some stakeholders had
809	expressed frustration with the transparency of the RIN market
810	I think we've heard that today from some of you all
811	including what information is available to the public, when
812	it's available, and what information is deemed confidential
813	business information and who is trading in the market, among
814	other concerns.
815	Is there a RIN market transparency issue and, if so,
816	quickly, what would be some of the benefits of a more
817	transparent market?
818	And this is for the whole table. So Mr. Yacobucci, if
819	you would start and then we'll just go down the table.
820	Mr. Yacobucci. Certainly, there is limited information
821	that's available. For example, EPA maintains a spreadsheet
822	of literally thousands of companies that are required to
823	comply with various fuel requirements under the Clean Air
824	Act.
825	It is difficult from that current database to figure out

826	which RIN participants are individual trading companies
827	versus subsidiaries of a large company and so being able to
828	suss out who is performing, trading, and participating in the
829	MTS as an independent third party versus a subsidiary of a
830	biofuel company or an oil company with refining. Those sorts
831	of information is very difficult to suss out currently.
832	Mr. Shimkus. Okay. Let me go to Ms. Dunphy.
833	Ms. Dunphy. Yes. The other
834	Mr. Shimkus. And I only have a minute left so try to be
835	real
836	Ms. Dunphy. Yes. Other panellists are probably more
837	expert on this
838	Mr. Shimkus. Okay.
839	Ms. Dunphy but there are private contracts where
840	the RINs transact on the intraday basis. So it's not public
841	information.
842	Mr. Shimkus. Okay. Mr. Niznik.
843	Mr. Niznik. Yes. Argus Media is a price reporting
844	agency that tracks data and marketplace transactions.
845	Sorry here. We track RINs transactions from real
846	market participants' reporting of actual trades. So that's
847	how we gather data on pricing.
848	Mr. Shimkus. Okay.

849	Mr. Niznik. And still, even at that level, we can't
850	have the understanding of full volumes of the marketplace at
851	any one given time that would be able to elucidate any issues
852	on market manipulation.
853	Mr. Shimkus. Dr. Lade.
854	Mr. Lade. I echo a lot of the other participants'
855	statements here. However, I would say, you know, more on the
856	transparency side it's really the policy signal that has been
857	driving much of the volatility recently.
858	Mr. Shimkus. Mr. Lavinsky.
859	Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, with my five seconds and some
860	companies occasionally report that they received a waiver in
861	the public filings. So sometimes we get additional
862	information through the public filings that wouldn't
863	otherwise be available.
864	Mr. Shimkus. That's awesome. Thank you very much.
865	I yield back my time and turn to the ranking member, Mr.
866	Tonko, for five minutes.
867	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and Mr. Yacobucci,
868	thank you for your work with CRS.
869	Some questions EPA signed a memorandum of
870	understanding with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission
871	in 2016. As I understand it, only EPA has the authority over

872	the RIN market. Is that true?
873	Mr. Yacobucci. Currently that is the case that EPA has
874	the only regulatory authority. Congress has not granted CFTC
875	specific authority to regulate that market.
876	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. So rules that government other
877	markets regulations prohibiting speculative practices like
878	spoofing where a buyer initiates an order for a commodity
879	they do not intend to complete for the purposes of
880	influencing the price of that commodity do not apply to
881	the RIN market. Is that correct?
882	Mr. Yacobucci. As I understand it, but this is more out
883	of my area of expertise. I am not as familiar with CFTC
884	regulations.
885	Mr. Tonko. Is there any way to track this type of thing
886	with EPA's monitoring system?
887	Mr. Yacobucci. Certainly that has been one of the
888	criticisms is because there is such limited data publicly
889	available that it's hard to tell, again, who's participating
890	and what actions they might be taking.
891	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And if Congress were to subject
892	this market to a regulator, would the CFTC be the most
893	appropriate regulator?
894	Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to Congress on their NEAL R. GROSS

895	decisions on that.
896	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Proper functioning of markets
897	requires transparency and market participants need to have
898	reliable information about prices and supplies.
899	You point out in your testimony there is little public
900	information on the volume or price of RIN trades. This
901	doesn't sound very transparent to me.
902	How can buyers and sellers be sure they are trading at a
903	fair price?
904	Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to some of the other
905	witnesses on that question.
906	Mr. Tonko. Okay. Anyone on the panel want to take a
907	stab at a comment there?
908	Mr. Niznik. Well, the price-reporting agencies do have
909	the ability to do price discovery and most of the
910	transactions that occur, both from buyer and seller, are
911	usually benchmarked to RINs prices published by either my
912	company or, if I am being generous, Mr. Lavinsky's company
913	also, and those are private price discovery agencies, though,
914	requiring subscriptions.
915	Mr. Tonko. Anyone else want to comment on that?
916	Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, I am part of the analytics team,
917	not the pricing team, but I can discuss it with them and NEAL R. GROSS

918	provide you with an answer for the record after the hearing.
919	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And Dr. Lade?
920	Mr. Lade. I would argue that most of the uncertainty is
921	around trading volumes rather than the price.
922	Mr. Tonko. Thank you.
923	RINs are created and sold within a compliance year with
924	some limited ability to carry over some RINs into the next
925	compliance year.
926	What effect does a delay in the release of the annual
927	RVO have on the RIN markets? Anyone?
928	Ms. Dunphy. I will go ahead and try to answer that,
929	sir.
930	Mr. Tonko. Thank you.
931	Ms. Dunphy. So the RVO, more recently in the last
932	couple of years, have come out on a timely basis by
933	November the 30th is what's required by law.
934	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And we are referring to the RIN
935	market as if there is only one type of RIN. But, of course,
936	that's not the case.
937	RINs attach to biodiesel or advanced biofuels trade at
938	different prices than RINs or conventional ethanol. What is
939	the effect of RIN prices on the development and production of
940	advanced biofuels?

941	Mr. Niznik. I will answer that. I work on due
942	diligence for people who are investing in particularly in
943	advanced biofuel projects.
944	The for advanced biofuel projects that might use an
945	advanced RIN or a D4 RIN or a cellulosic RIN those are the
946	top three category RINs the advanced biofuel RINs the
947	variability in that area and, more importantly, on certainty
948	of the policy moving forward are critical because none of
949	those projects can go forward without the RFS RINs price
950	incentive making those fuels possible to be blended in the
951	marketplace economically.
952	Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Has RIN market volatility
953	affected all biofuel markets to the same degree?
954	Mr. Niznik. No, it has not, sir. The biofuel markets
955	for D4 RINs from biodiesel are more stable than the biofuel
956	markets for D6 RINs from ethanol primarily because of D4's
957	ability within the rules to replace the costs of a D6. They
958	are a market setter.
959	When the D6 RIN is short, you replace them with a D4 RIN
960	so that if it's a short D6 marketplace, the D6 RIN is now
961	suddenly at the D4 price. But when the D6 volume the D6
962	marketplace is long and oversupplied, then it can drift
963	downward to another level and so volatility can be higher in NEAL R. GROSS

964	that space.
965	It almost has a binary value. Essentially, under
966	current market conditions if you had more than enough D6
967	RINs, the D6 RINs would be essentially around 3 cents or zero
968	because it's very, very economical to blend ethanol to
969	gasoline.
970	But when that marketplace is short because of the
971	regulations or otherwise, it will jump up to the D4 price.
972	Mr. Tonko. Thank you, and I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
973	Mr. Shimkus. Clear as mud, right? Clear as mud. You
974	got that.
975	[Laughter.]
976	Mr. Niznik. That's how it is. Sorry.
977	Mr. Shimkus. The chair recognizes the chairman of the
978	full committee, Chairman Walden, for five minutes.
979	The Chairman. Yes. Thank you for this enlightening
980	discussion.
981	I am sitting here wondering what all this costs
982	consumers but we'll get to that at another time.
983	You know, as an Oregonian, we've had a lot of talk about
984	biomass and what we can do with these the wastes from the
985	forests and all and I know, Ms. Dunphy, in your testimony
986	you talked about the inconsistencies and barriers that NEAL R. GROSS

987	prevent some renewable fuels from qualifying for RINs.
988	Do you think we are missing out on some opportunities to
989	better integrate woody biomass into the fuel supply due to
990	the way the RFS regulations are written?
991	Ms. Dunphy. Yes, and I think history has proven that.
992	We only have one company today in the U.S. after all these
993	years of the program using woody biomass as a feedstock to
994	produce a renewable fuel that makes RINs.
995	The Chairman. So is that something well, something
996	we'll look at, I guess. Probably have to change it
997	congressionally, right? Is that something
998	Ms. Dunphy. I think we need clarification in the
999	current regulatory language to enable EPA to determine which
1000	of the woody biomass feedstocks falls under the existing
1001	categories.
1002	So the pathways exist today but they need clarification.
1003	The Chairman. Okay.
1004	Mr. Yacobucci. And I would just add that there is a
1005	much more complex definition of what qualifies as qualified
1006	biomass under the 2007 law than was in the original 2005 law
1007	and that has added to that complexity. So there are
1008	regulatory definition issues but there's also the statutory
1009	definition as well.

1010	The Chairman. I remember those debates on this
1011	committee when some of the advocates for this tried to argue
1012	that woody biomass of a federal forest was somehow not
1013	renewable energy but if it was on the other side of the line
1014	the same trees falling different sides then it would be
1015	and it's, like, it had no scientific basis. It was all
1016	political and results in this complicated mess.
1017	Mr. Lavinsky and Yacobucci, what are the key challenges
1018	you see facing this RINs market now and, I mean, what do we
1019	need to do here, if anything, from your perspectives?
1020	What are you may not want to some may not want to
1021	suggest policy so I will ask it in the other way. What are
1022	the challenges facing the RINs market?
1023	Mr. Lavinsky. I would say one challenge is managing the
1024	opposing opinions as to whether RIN obligations need to be
1025	reallocated from the granting of small refinery waivers.
1026	Small refinery waivers are mostly issued after the year
1027	has ended and obligated parties have already submitted their
1028	RINs and compliance.
1029	So, to date, waivers have been issued retroactively.
1030	Retired RINs have been reinstated. But RIN obligations have
1031	not been reallocated.
1032	Mr. Yacobucci. And I would just add to that, I mean, NEAL R. GROSS

1033	it's not just the small refinery exemptions. It is the time
1034	line for rulemakings.
1035	It is the level that EPA sets because under the statute
1036	the EPA has the authority to lower the targets from what they
1037	were the targets set in law. All of these different
1038	pieces, whether it's the specific waivers against the overall
1039	caps, individual waivers for companies, whether you're
1040	talking about refiners, you're talking about biofuel
1041	producers, all the participants in the market have raised
1042	issues in one form or other about uncertainty and that's what
1043	drives a lot of this, and Mr. Niznik has talked about how
1044	that's affected the markets and there's just all these
1045	questions about what is the current demand for fuel, given
1046	the different levels as they change.
1047	The Chairman. So for any of you, what's your assessment
1048	with how well the EPA has overseen the RINs market and
1049	implementation and where is there room for improvement there?
1050	Ms. Dunphy. So I think the EPA does a pretty good job
1051	at administering the regulations as they were written. But
1052	the RIN market oversight is missing. There's really not any
1053	oversight of the trading activity of RINs by the
1054	The Chairman. Anywhere? Is there anywhere?
1055	Ms. Dunphy. Not to my knowledge. NEAL R. GROSS

1056	The Chairman. Should there be?
1057	Ms. Dunphy. That's your call.
1058	The Chairman. Oh, I see how this works. Yes.
1059	Anybody else want to weigh in on that topic, about the
1060	EPA's oversight and what we should or shouldn't do?
1061	Mr. Niznik. There's some hardworking folks down there
1062	and they are administering
1063	The Chairman. Oh, yes.
1064	Mr. Niznik and they're administering very well.
1065	If you look at the public record on the rulemakings you can
1066	see a lot of input from multiple departments, some of which
1067	I've worked with under consulting before and there's a broad
1068	base of information being put into the decision making from
1069	both the USDA and EIA at every step.
1070	So the ability to make a good decision as best as
1071	humanly possible is there, in my opinion, based on the
1072	regulations and the review process necessary to do so.
1073	Whether or not the ultimate human decision at the end is
1074	qualifiably good is up to this body, Mr. Chairman.
1075	The Chairman. Well said. Thank you. All right.
1076	That's my time. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
1077	Mr. Shimkus. The chairman yields back his time.
1078	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from California, NEAL R. GROSS

1079	Mr. McNerney for five minutes.
1080	You don't want to go?
1081	Mr. McNerney. I do. I just thought that the ranking
1082	member of the full committee was here. Thank you.
1083	Well, I hear from the testimony this morning that
1084	there's a that the RINs volatility is due to three
1085	factors. One is commodity prices, one is uncertainty in the
1086	market, and the other is fraud. Is there anything I am
1087	missing in that list?
1088	Mr. Niznik. I would also there are other fuel
1089	quality regulations and state and local incentives for
1090	biofuels usage or petroleum usage that can weigh in onto the
1091	RINs price.
1092	Mr. McNerney. So it might be good then to have federal
1093	standards that override state rules?
1094	Mr. Niznik. If you want another civil war.
1095	[Laughter.]
1096	Mr. Shimkus. Would the gentleman yield?
1097	Mr. McNerney. Sure.
1098	Mr. Shimkus. But isn't that like when a state might
1099	provide an incentive through a local percentage or tax
1100	incentive or something that I mean, it's not just a fuel
1101	incentive. It could be just in a policy incentive? NEAL R. GROSS

1102	Mr. Niznik. Yes, sir. That's what I am talking about.
1103	Either a tax that is for production, taxes that is for sales
1104	can weigh very heavily and on the national level one of the
1105	most important factors on RINs price is the biodiesel
1106	blenders' tax credit, which can come back retroactively or
1107	can come back actively in any given year, depending on the
1108	actions of this body.
1109	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thanks. Reclaiming my time.
1110	Some of the solutions I hear are transparency, rational
1111	consistency, referring to Ms. Dunphy's list of items, and
1112	clear predictable simple rules.
1113	Are there other factors that would be helpful?
1114	Ms. Dunphy. If you can do clear concise long-term rules
1115	that would make everyone in the market very happy.
1116	Mr. McNerney. So how has the volatility of the past
1117	three years compared to prior years for that?
1118	Mr. Niznik. Are you saying price volatility, sir?
1119	Mr. McNerney. Right. RINs price volatility.
1120	Mr. Niznik. Actually, RINs price volatility was
1121	relatively calm, I would say, during 2016 and 2015. But at
1122	the end of 2016, with the administrative change, there's been
1123	a large amount of policy-driven price policy uncertainty-
1124	driven price behavior.

1125	Mr. McNerney. But you mentioned that the EPA's guidance
1126	helped stabilize the market.
1127	Mr. Niznik. In 2015. Yes, sir.
1128	Mr. McNerney. Oh, so that's sort of
1129	Mr. Niznik. That's the last time things got kind of
1130	rational for a while. Yes, sir.
1131	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you. I didn't understand
1132	that.
1133	So how much have the small refinery waivers contributed
1134	to the volatility?
1135	Ms. Dunphy.
1136	Ms. Dunphy. So small refinery waivers, as was already
1137	been said, are given retrospectively. So they are granted
1138	after the compliance year is over with.
1139	So to some extent the news of the waivers did impact the
1140	market, which because they weren't aware of the waivers
1141	prior to those most recent announcements. But the waivers
1142	themself are retrospective. So individual waivers don't have
1143	an impact on the market when they're granted.
1144	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you.
1145	Dr. Lade, you testified that the consumer prices go one
1146	to one consumer price impacts but are all refineries
1147	<pre>impacted similarly or do some refineries impacted more</pre>

1148	drastically by RINs' market than others?
1149	Mr. Lade. The empirical evidence to date is that all
1150	refiners are in the economics literature all refiners are
1151	affected similarly and, you know, I've looked at again,
1152	more data and more research is necessary, particularly
1153	looking at markets and there are members of the academic
1154	community looking at that.
1155	But by and large, there's been a consistent consensus
1156	that on average these wholesale prices are adjusting to
1157	compensate for refiner costs.
1158	Mr. McNerney. Aren't the large refineries able to
1159	produce their own RINs? Aren't they affected less, or even
1160	make a profit off RINs?
1161	Mr. Lade. Correct. However, you're either going to
1162	produce your own RINs in house of that is the most cost-
1163	effective way to comply with this or you if it's not cost-
1164	effective for you to comply then you will purchase RINs from
1165	those who can produce RINs even cheaper than this.
1166	And so this is kind of the beauty behind the market-
1167	based mechanism here that it allows parties to decide whether
1168	it's more cost-effective to get into the biofuel business or
1169	to just purchase RINs from people who are better at producing
1170	biofuel.

1171	And so either way, that cost is borne. It's just
1172	whether it's borne through biofuel production or through RIN
1173	purchases.
1174	Mr. McNerney. Yes, but I mean the problem in my mind is
1175	that the large producers are producing RINs and selling them
1176	so they're getting a double benefit whereas the small
1177	refiners are having to buy RINs. So they're paying for it.
1178	Mr. Lade. That's a great point. Similar as to the side
1179	where when you're having to pay for these RINs and you then
1180	are compensated for that through higher wholesale gasoline
1181	prices.
1182	Whether or not these oil refiners who are producing RINs
1183	upstream through biofuel production, whether they actually
1184	get to keep that depends on what happens to downstream
1185	consumer prices and work of my own has shown that ethanol
1186	prices are actually being this incentive is being passed
1187	through to consumers.
1188	Therefore, it wouldn't actually be impacting those
1189	refiners. The consumers are benefiting from the RINs on the
1190	ethanol side.
1191	Mr. McNerney. Okay. I don't quite follow that, but I
1192	will yield back my time.
1193	[Laughter.]

1194	Mr. Shimkus. I love this hearing. So the gentleman
1195	yields back his time.
1196	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from West
1197	Virginia for five minutes.
1198	Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a point of
1199	personal privilege. I would like to recognize in the
1200	audience he was my mentor when I joined the Energy and
1201	Commerce Committee Ed Whitfield back there. Thank you.
1202	Thanks for and you have showed you have not deviated one
1203	bit from your interest in energy. So thank you for coming.
1204	Mr. Lavinsky, you kind of took the wind out of my sales.
1205	I wanted to talk about that Fourth Circuit the ruling on
1206	Friday, because it overturned the previous denial from the
1207	EPA for Ergon, which is just a boutique refinery in West
1208	Virginia, and they were they've been burdened with this.
1209	Think about for all of us to understand this, here we have a
1210	boutique refinery, 23,000 barrels a day, and they're faced
1211	with the same issues that, like, Marathon is. Marathon
1212	refinery. It's a hundred times larger.
1213	That doesn't seem right. We should be able to
1214	differentiate between these and provide these hardship
1215	grants, waivers, for some of these small think, a hundred
1216	times larger, because we know that for Ergon in West Virginia

1217 it's the third biggest expense they have behind raw materials 1218 and labor, and what they -- the biofuels industry has argued 1219 that granting these small refineries like the one at Ergon 1220 this hardship exemption was going to result in demand 1221 destruction. 1222 So I want to go to Ms. Dunphy and say in a kind of a yes 1223 or no, if you could, please, do you believe that granting 1224 these small refinery hardship exemptions result in less 1225 renewable fuel being included? 1226 Ms. Dunphy. So as I mentioned earlier, the small 1227 refinery waivers are granted retrospectively. So the 1228 compliance year has already passed. 1229 But every refiner assumes that they're going to be an 1230 obligated party during that year and they will continue to 1231 blend renewable fuels and buy RINs as needed because they 1232 don't know that they're going to get the exemption at the 1233 12th hour in the next -- when they go to report to the EPA by 1234 March the 31st of the following year. 1235 So I suggest that you all definitely talk to the small 1236 refineries in the U.S. and ask them if they have changed 1237 their blending policies because they think they're going to 1238 be exempted for the year and I think you will find that they 1239 have not changed their blending policy.

1240	They continue to blend. They continue to purchase RINs.
1241	What they do is focus on current year RINs rather than the 20
1242	percent prior year.
1243	So if they get the exemption they still will be able to
1244	use the current year RINs in the next year. So that puts
1245	more RINs into the market. We understand that. But does it
1246	destruct the demand of the current year?
1247	I would say that if you look at the RIN data through
1248	June we are at the same production level that we were in 2017
1249	and we are halfway towards meeting the 2018 compliance RVOs.
1250	So whether that will hold true for the entire year I don't
1251	know.
1252	Mr. McKinley. The EIA had come out I thought they
1253	just I saw some information yesterday on the EIA that said
1254	that actually the blending over the first part of this year -
1255	- there was six months, seven months we are actually up
1256	over last year that actually we are increasing.
1257	So despite having all of these small refinery
1258	exemptions, is this is this a confirmation that small
1259	refinery exemptions do not do not destroy the demand for
1260	renewable fuel?
1261	Ms. Dunphy. You know, we should remember that refiners
1262	would probably blend ethanol regardless of whether there was

1263	an RFS or not because ethanol represents a very good source
1264	of octane. It helps them meet their gasoline, sulfur, and
1265	benzene requirements.
1266	So they have an incentive to blend ethanol and they're
1267	going to do that. So I would say that the data through six
1268	months for the EMTS data that's published that anyone can see
1269	it's public information shows that the we are on
1270	track with last year.
1271	Whether that will continue through the rest of the year,
1272	I can't predict.
1273	Mr. McKinley. Thank you.
1274	Mr. Yacobucci.
1275	Mr. Yacobucci. Just one open question is whether or not
1276	a prior year's waiver creates a supply, potentially you
1277	know, and increased supply of carry forward RINs that a
1278	refiner can use, because a refiner can use either this year
1279	or last year's RIN to meet this year's obligation and that's
1280	the question going forward is if there's more of last year's
1281	RINs available what does that do to the market.
1282	I think that's still an open question.
1283	Mr. McKinley. Let's stay with you just for a minute.
1284	With the original intent of this RFS and RIN program,
1285	have we achieved that objective that we set out on that or NFALR.GROSS

1286	have we have we created a confusing and costly commodity
1287	system? What would be your opinion?
1288	Mr. Yacobucci. In terms of congressional intent, I
1289	wouldn't speculate. I will say that there are no
1290	congressional findings or specific stated goals in the
1291	statute.
1292	And so various players have attributed various goals to
1293	the program, whether that is increasing agricultural
1294	production, raising farm incomes, reducing imports of fuel.
1295	Certainly, increased biofuel use has displaced petroleum use.
1296	There have been economic benefits to agricultural states from
1297	the program.
1298	If you consider those to be the goals of the RFS, then
1299	yes, they have been successful. Is the is the program
1300	complicated? Certainly.
1301	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman's time is expired.
1302	Mr. McKinley. My time has expired. I yield back.
1303	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
1304	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi,
1305	Mr. Harper, for five minutes.
1306	Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you each
1307	for being here. It is a topic every time I read it I think I
1308	come away and study it I come away more confused than when NEAL R. GROSS

1309	I started that study. So thank you very much.
1310	Mr. Yacobucci, if I could ask you and, certainly, you
1311	know, I certainly agree with what Mr. McKinley has said. But
1312	I wanted you to just try to educate me as best you can.
1313	Give me a brief explanation of the terms RIN long and
1314	RIN short so I can understand that.
1315	Mr. Yacobucci. I would defer to Mr. Niznik on that.
1316	It's his term.
1317	Mr. Harper. Okay. Mr. Niznik.
1318	Mr. Niznik. I apologize for confusing the committee. I
1319	come from the trading world, which has got its own language.
1320	Mr. Harper. Yes.
1321	Mr. Niznik. When we say long, we mean over supplied.
1322	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1323	Mr. Niznik. And when we say short, we mean under
1324	supplied. So to apply that to Ms. Dunphy's statement from
1325	before, if previous year obligations got waivered under a
1326	small refinery exception and those RINs were suddenly dumped
1327	back into the market, it could cause a case of long or over
1328	supply of RINs that weren't expected to be in the market.
1329	Mr. Harper. Okay. So explain why some companies are
1330	RIN long and some are RIN short a little further so that
1331	if they have an over supply

1332	Mr. Niznik. Yes. They would be long you would say
1333	they're over supplied for what they might need for their
1334	obligation for this year.
1335	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1336	Mr. Niznik. So they may say oh or if they perceive
1337	they will be long and this is more important is the
1338	perception if they perceive they're going to be over
1339	supplied then they're not willing to pay a certain price.
1340	They're more they're going to depress the market price
1341	because they're less interested in a purchase.
1342	Mr. Harper. You know, and certainly when you're looking
1343	at some of the smaller refineries, for instance, and all of
1344	these waivers are done retrospectively, as Ms. Dunphy pointed
1345	out the way that it's set up what would happen if all
1346	of a sudden they were done prospectively?
1347	You have been getting them each year and you just
1348	planned ahead. You knew hey, you have done that
1349	probably going to be like that next year we are going to
1350	go ahead and tell you now on the front end. How would that
1351	impact it, Ms. Dunphy?
1352	Ms. Dunphy. According to the regulations today, the EPA
1353	would take that into consideration. In the fraction I
1354	mentioned earlier where the renewable fuels are the numerator NEAL R. GROSS

1355	and gasoline and diesel demand is the denominator.
1356	Today, those small refineries are in there because the
1357	EPA doesn't know that they're not going to be exempted.
1358	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1359	Ms. Dunphy. If they get exempted, they come out of the
1360	denominator. That makes the overall fraction greater for all
1361	of the other obligated parties who don't qualify under that
1362	small refinery.
1363	So, obviously, Mr. Niznik, jumping back to that,
1364	companies that are RIN long obviously have a competitive
1365	advantage over the those that are RIN short?
1366	Mr. Niznik. Yes. So that's a business strategy that
1367	they may employ to be to take advantage of the rules as
1368	they're written, just like someone might take advantage of
1369	the tax code or any other code. Yes.
1370	Mr. Harper. Sure. All right. Well, let's talk for a
1371	minute regarding RIN fraud, and I know that's an issue. What
1372	instances are unreported and what other types of fraud are
1373	possible in the future and what are the implications of this
1374	fraud? Who would like to answer that?
1375	Ms. Dunphy.
1376	Ms. Dunphy. I will go ahead and answer that.
1377	So the RIN fraud cases have decreased in number over the NEAL R. GROSS

1378	years and many of the RIN fraud cases involve a period of
1379	time when the RFS was relatively new to the market, so 2010,
1380	2011, 2012.
1381	I would say the current instances of potential RIN
1382	invalidity relate to exports of renewable fuel for which RINs
1383	are not retired because remember that renewable fuel can be
1384	blended into petroleum, gasoline, or diesel and that blend
1385	can be exported from the United States and calculating how
1386	much renewable fuel is in that blend is difficult.
1387	But yet, it's required under the regulations that a
1388	certain amount of renewable fuel RINs be retired.
1389	I would say RIN fraud of the future it's all about
1390	feedstock and use of the fuel.
1391	Mr. Harper. Okay. Explain that a little further.
1392	Ms. Dunphy. Okay. So RIN fraud, basically, doesn't
1393	happen on the use side. It happens at the generated side.
1394	So if I use a feedstock that does not qualify, I shouldn't be
1395	making RINs.
1396	If I take the feedstock that qualifies and I use a
1397	process and I produce a fuel but I put it into an ocean-going
1398	vessel, for example, I am supposed to retire those RINs or I
1399	shouldn't have made them in the first place.
1400	So I see the RIN fraud potential of the future being

1401	feedstock or use, but it's much less today than it was in the
1402	past.
1403	Mr. Shimkus. Gregg
1404	Mr. Harper. Thank you.
1405	Mr. Shimkus Mr. Lavinsky wanted to respond to that
1406	question.
1407	Mr. Harper. Yes.
1408	Mr. Lavinsky. Thank you very much.
1409	Now, one other thing when you asked for what
1410	occurrences occurred in the past, is that a few years back
1411	a handful of individuals and companies would generate and
1412	sell RINs for biodiesel that they did not produce
1413	Mr. Harper. Okay.
1414	Mr. Lavinsky and that's how they got the RINs in
1415	their hands and sold them off and put them into the market.
1416	Mr. Harper. Thank you. Now I yield back.
1417	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
1418	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr.
1419	Olson, for five minutes.
1420	Mr. Olson. I thank the chair, and welcome to our five
1421	witnesses. A special howdy to the one Texan on the panel,
1422	Sandra Dunphy. She lives in Kingwood, Texas, where Ted Poe
1423	is her congressman, and my colleagues worry about me bragging

1424	about the Houston Astros, World Series champions. Rest
1425	assured
1426	Mr. Shimkus. Who? Who?
1427	Mr. Olson I can't brag about them. Ms. Dunphy can
1428	brag for me and she will, she will.
1429	Ms. Dunphy. Happy to.
1430	Mr. Olson. An Astros repeat aside, over the years we've
1431	heard stories about fraud in the RIN markets. One story I
1432	read was titled, quote, "The Fake Factory that Pumped Out
1433	Real Money," end quote, about a company that made out of thin
1434	air 60 million gallons of biodiesel. Wow.
1435	Ms. Dunphy, you said that RINs fraud is much less than
1436	today, to Mr. Harper's question. Can you talk about the
1437	steps that have been taken to prevent RINs fraud and whether
1438	you think more is needed like you talked about with Mr.
1439	Harper, please?
1440	Ms. Dunphy. Yes.
1441	Mr. Olson. Also you're on deck too, Mr. Yacobucci.
1442	Ms. Dunphy. Yes, sir. Happy to.
1443	So the making RINs out of thin air, there's two things
1444	that really transformed the marketplace since the time that
1445	all of this happened.
1446	The first was the EPA initiated a quality assurance plan

1447 program, which is optimal for producers to participate and 1448 they hire a company like mine to come in and audit what 1449 they're doing to make sure they're complying with the rules 1450 and we're -- we are -- we probably have the most stringent 1451 quality assurance plan out there in the marketplace today. 1452 The second thing that happened was because the obligated 1453 parties had to replace RINs and pay penalties, they do today 1454 and started doing even then a much better job at due 1455 diligence. So they will research all of the producers of the 1456 RINs who they are going to use for compliance. 1457 Mr. Olson. Thank you. Comments, Mr. Yacobucci? 1458 1459 Mr. Yacobucci. One thing I would just note is there's 1460 necessarily a time lag to -- when the fraud occurs to when we 1461 necessarily even know about it. 1462 EPA is doing its, you know, various inspections, looking 1463 State inspectors may be going out to these at their data. 1464 different facilities. And then EPA needs to make a decision 1465 with the Justice Department whether or not this is simply a civil violation of the Clean Air Act because Title 2 of the 1466 1467 Clean Air Act where the RFS exists only employs civil 1468 violations or where there is criminal action, in which case 1469 there's a whole additional amount of time where the Justice

1470	Department needs to do their own investigation.
1471	And so as I said, there's necessarily this time lag and
1472	so in some of the cases that we are hearing about most
1473	recently still go back to that earlier time because they
1474	involve wire fraud, tax fraud, and other sorts of things that
1475	are outside of EPA's purview and rest with the Justice
1476	Department.
1477	Mr. Olson. Thank you. The next question is for you,
1478	Mr. Lavinsky. This is no news, but there have been some
1479	serious volatility in RINs prices in recent years.
1480	What sticks out in my mind January of 2013 to March of
1481	that same year RINs prices were about 1 to 2 cents in
1482	January, spiked up to almost over \$1 two months later in
1483	March. A local refiner back home, on paper, lost \$600
1484	million in value just because of the RINs issue.
1485	But now the price is low and that's great. But can you
1486	talk about the swings and what drives these RINs swings and
1487	how we could prevent them or moderate them?
1488	Mr. Lavinsky. I mean, I could talk about historically.
1489	Like I said earlier, historically the big spike that occurred
1490	in 2013 was associated with super high ethanol prices and
1491	feedstock costs. Corn was, you know, \$7 per bushel, more
1492	than twice as much as it is now.

1493	So having the really high ethanol and having an increase
1494	in the mandate that year I believe there was a 9 percent
1495	increase in the mandate from 2012 to 2013.
1496	So there was a combination of an increase in the mandate
1497	coupled with sky-high ethanol prices and feedstock costs and
1498	that's what drove, you know, that huge spike in RINs, which
1499	is incomparable.
1500	It has not repeated itself. There has been volatility
1501	over the last three years but nothing like it was in 2013.
1502	Mr. Olson. Another question do you think market
1503	transparency can add the clarity to what happens in a few
1504	years when EPA takes over the settling the mandates? Do you
1505	think that market transparency helps EPA out or hurts them?
1506	How can we prepare for EPA taking over in a couple of years?
1507	Mr. Lavinsky. Just speaking generally without speaking
1508	about the EPA, speaking generally, transparency helps
1509	everybody out because it's easy to determine how many RINs
1510	are out there, what the true supply and demand are, and if
1511	everybody knows what the RIN bank is and how many RINs are on
1512	the market then it's easier to pick a price that's fair for
1513	everybody.
1514	Mr. Olson. Thank you.
1515	And one final question, Ms. Dunphy will the Astros NEAL R. GROSS

1516	repeat World Series champions?
1517	Ms. Dunphy. Absolutely.
1518	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman's time has expired.
1519	[Laughter.]
1520	The chair now recognizes the other gentleman from Texas,
1521	who's been deep diving on this issue with me all this
1522	Congress, so Mr. Flores for five minutes.
1523	Mr. Flores. Mr. Chairman, thanks for holding this
1524	hearing. As has been said before, this is the fifth in a
1525	series of hearings that we've had as we look at our nation's
1526	transportation fuel system.
1527	I think that America needs to be a world leader when it
1528	comes to producing efficient vehicles and also having the
1529	fuel system that will properly power those as we move
1530	forward, and I appreciate this subcommittee's work as we look
1531	forward to examine the market and regulatory environments
1532	that impact our nation's fuels as we move further into the
1533	21st century.
1534	I was some of the reflections that I've had that I've
1535	learned so far that were interesting, coming out of this
1536	hearing, Ms. Dunphy's comment that even though we've had the
1537	small refinery exemptions with the the EIA numbers show
1538	that ethanol demand has still been higher than or at least NEAL R. GROSS COURT PERCENTERS AND TRANSCRIPERS

1539	equal to last year than even with that small refinery
1540	exemption. So that's been interesting.
1541	Ms. Dunphy, as we all know, many of the statutory
1542	provisions and requirements of the RFS programmers sunsetted
1543	after 2022. In your view, what does the RIN ecosystem look
1544	like after 2022?
1545	Ms. Dunphy. I think that's a really good question.
1546	Certainly, Congress gave EPA more latitude at that point in
1547	time for how they structure the program, going forward.
1548	But they do need to take into the consideration the
1549	things you ask them to look at the RFS impact on the
1550	economy, on infrastructure, on air quality, water quality,
1551	employment, et cetera, et cetera, and to be honest with you,
1552	I think that the EPA, in setting standards year after year
1553	after year, it's quite burdensome to the staff and it takes a
1554	lot of their resources to do that that they otherwise are
1555	not helping their constituents to try to register and do
1556	things correctly under the program.
1557	So make somehow make it a more simple program and
1558	easier to administer.
1559	Mr. Flores. Would you agree that the ecosystem becomes
1560	much less transparent and much cloudier? I mean, there's no
1561	certainty whatsoever after 2022 for pretty much every player

1562	in this market, whether you're a farmer growing the corn or
1563	whether you're a refiner, even an automaker, because you
1564	don't know what kind of fuels you're going to have. Would
1565	you agree that
1566	Ms. Dunphy. I would tend to agree that there's a lot of
1567	concern as to what will happen to the program after that
1568	point in time.
1569	Mr. Flores. All right. So everybody is since
1570	uncertainty is bad for the market, to the extent that
1571	Congress can help create that certainty we are better off, I
1572	would think.
1573	Does anybody disagree with that?
1574	Okay. Second question Mr. Lavinsky, you know,
1575	government as you pointed out, government policy and
1576	Mr. Niznik said the same thing is that government policy
1577	impacts RIN prices.
1578	Can you distinguish give me examples of how
1579	government policy has impacted RINs prices versus how
1580	government policies impacted any other energy commodity? You
1581	can pick the one that you're most comfortable with.
1582	Mr. Lavinsky. Okay. Well, I mean, policy, I guess,
1583	with biofuels, with, certainly, the mandates. I mean, the
1584	mandates of government policy and they you know, according NFALR GROSS

1585	to the RFS they're supposed to go up every year and as they
1586	go up it requires companies to do more blending.
1587	Mr. Flores. Okay.
1588	Mr. Lavinsky. And, you know, that has an impact on RIN
1589	prices. With regard to the other types of programs, you
1590	know, I am part of a larger group that includes a team on
1591	emissions. I probably would refer that question to them and
1592	provide you with a full answer.
1593	Mr. Flores. Okay. Yes, if you can answer that
1594	supplementally that would be great.
1595	Mr. Yacobucci, what impact, if any, has the RIN market
1596	and RIN prices had on advanced biofuel production?
1597	Mr. Yacobucci. The I think it's probably worth
1598	turning that question around a little bit and I think it's
1599	more important to say that the RIN market has for
1600	cellulosic fuels has responded to EPA's repeated reductions
1601	in that part of the mandate.
1602	So you have these different subcategories the
1603	cellulosic biofuel category, which was originally scheduled
1604	in the statute to be the lion's share 16 billion gallons
1605	out of the 36 billion gallons that would be required in 2022.
1606	But each successive year EPA has made very drastic reductions
1607	in

1608	Mr. Flores. And that's because we don't have a way to
1609	produce it. Yes, I mean
1610	Mr. Yacobucci. Because
1611	Mr. Flores the technology is not there. But have
1612	RIN prices helped to encourage advanced biofuel production?
1613	Mr. Yacobucci. I would refer to my colleagues, if they
1614	may comment.
1615	Mr. Flores. Okay.
1616	Mr. Niznik. Yes.
1617	Mr. Flores. Mr. Niznik, they have? Okay. All right.
1618	It looks like I am down to three seconds so I am going
1619	to yield back the balance of my time. Thank you.
1620	Mr. Shimkus. The chair thanks the gentleman.
1621	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Michigan,
1622	Mr. Walberg, for five minutes.
1623	Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to the
1624	panel for being here.
1625	And Ms. Dunphy, I refuse to ask you the question that
1626	Representative Olson left for me to ask you about Verlander
1627	and Cy Young.
1628	[Laughter.]
1629	It just goes on and on, the discussion today. As a
1630	theologian, thinking through the Creation story I don't NEAL R. GROSS

1631	remember that in any of the seven days that the RIN was
1632	created. I will have to go back to the fall of Man the
1633	story there and see if that was the forbidden fruit.
1634	We'll see what happens.
1635	But Ms. Dunphy
1636	Ms. Dunphy. The RIN was right after the mosquito.
1637	[Laughter.]
1638	Mr. Walberg. Right after the mosquito. Okay. I will
1639	check that out, too.
1640	According to EPA, since December of 2010 it has issued
1641	37 notices of violations regarding RIN fraud. A couple of
1642	years ago, EPA issued regulations creating a quality
1643	assurance program aimed at cleaning up some of the RIN fraud
1644	problems.
1645	Do you believe that the quality assurance program has
1646	done a good job of eliminating the problems?
1647	Ms. Dunphy. I think it's done a very good job. But it
1648	is a voluntary program. A producer has to be willing to
1649	participate in the program as an auditor.
1650	We are available to producers but they contact us to say
1651	hey, I want to participate in the program. It's not an
1652	across the board program.
1653	As much as Weaver would love that, because we'd get more

1654	work, but it's on a case by case basis, basically, and I
1655	think the QAP has helped reduce RIN fraud, yes.
1656	Mr. Walberg. Well, are there then other areas of RIN
1657	fraud that need to be addressed that are missing now? What
1658	might you propose to address this?
1659	Ms. Dunphy. You know, I think that probably the easiest
1660	is the export of renewable fuels for which RINs are not
1661	retired and I know that EPA is working hard with Homeland
1662	Security to look closer at exports to determine if they
1663	contain renewable fuel.
1664	Mr. Walberg. Okay. The RIN market is different than
1665	any other commodity trading markets. Some have suggested
1666	that installing a governing structure to oversee it would
1667	help increase transparency and decrease fraud.
1668	How much buyer beware still exists in the RINs
1669	marketplace?
1670	Ms. Dunphy. I think there's still quite a bit,
1671	especially for the advanced biofuels. As those transactions
1672	are being negotiated, it's the only transactions where the
1673	seller must tell the buyer who the renewable fuel producers
1674	are of the RINs, whereas on D6 or corn ethanol RINs it's
1675	pretty much everyone will accept them.
1676	So the companies have blocked lists in EMTS. This is

1677	probably something we haven't talked about. But refiners
1678	doing their due diligence have the option to block certain
1679	producers and so therefore RINs from those producers can't
1680	come into their EMTS account and they use their this
1681	feature of EMTS after doing due diligence on the marketplace
1682	on the producers.
1683	Mr. Walberg. Added to that, would a governing body be
1684	helpful and why?
1685	Ms. Dunphy. I do believe it probably would be helpful.
1686	But it would be an enormous task to set up a RIN training
1687	platform that was administered by either EPA or another body
1688	because there are different vintages of RINs. There's the
1689	different producers of RINs and there's different RIN codes
1690	themself. So it would be somewhat complex, but it's
1691	possible, I guess, and it would be helpful.
1692	Mr. Walberg. Mr. Niznik, I saw you respond to that.
1693	Would you like to add something here?
1694	Mr. Niznik. I would like to add, for the record, since
1695	this is a public record and it should be true, that I am a
1696	member of the state of Texas also and please pass on a note
1697	to Mr. Olson to that effect, that he had more representation
1698	here than he thought before.
1699	I would agree that there have been multiple attempts, I

1700	must say, to put RINs on trading boards, both the Chicago
1701	Mercantile Exchange and its previous incarnations and then
1702	the International Commodity Exchange ICE.
1703	Both Argus and, I believe, Platts have participated in
1704	trying to be paper benchmarks to try to be a benchmarkable
1705	RIN that you could trade as a future and I would say, without
1706	speaking for Mr. Lavinsky's company, that it hasn't been
1707	particularly successful in the private sector to attempt to
1708	do something that would assure some sort of broader
1709	transparency on a board-traded level commodity level.
1710	Mr. Walberg. Okay.
1711	Ms. Dunphy, I see I have eight seconds left. So I would
1712	probably better not open it up. But I thank you.
1713	I yield back.
1714	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
1715	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Georgia, Mr.
1716	Carter, for five minutes.
1717	Mr. Carter. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank
1718	all of you for being here today. I appreciate it, although I
1719	will have to admit it is as clear as mud. I mean, it's
1720	pretty tough sitting her trying to figure out is this really
1721	necessary.
1722	I mean I mean, seriously. Ms. Dunphy, you look like NFALR. GROSS

1723	you want to answer that.
1724	[Laughter.]
1725	Ms. Dunphy. Trying to figure out how to answer that
1726	questions fairly diplomatically, and keep my job yes.
1727	Mr. Niznik. We make a lot of money because of this
1728	complex
1729	Mr. Carter. Yes, I know. I mean, it's just
1730	Mr. Niznik. Thank you. By the way
1731	Mr. Carter if we created something just to
1732	Ms. Dunphy. Yes.
1733	Mr. Carter just to create something.
1734	Ms. Dunphy. The more complex the regulations the more
1735	work we have.
1736	Mr. Niznik. Yeah, my kids are my kids are going to
1737	go to college because of you all. Thank you.
1738	Mr. Carter. I just you know, I think at the end of
1739	the day Thoreau is I think Thoreau is just turning over in
1740	his grave right now, just yes. Simplify, simplify,
1741	simplify, and I am just trying to figure out.
1742	But in all seriousness, I will ask questions about it.
1743	I am just interested, Mr. Yacobucci, you mentioned in your
1744	testimony that there's been concerns with RIN generation
1745	since the program's inception and that, you know, that

1746	there's duplicate counting and fraudulent RINs on the market.
1747	How do you how can it be manipulated? I mean, it
1748	just appears to me I don't really understand how you can
1749	manipulate it.
1750	Mr. Yacobucci. To the first question, I will say yes,
1751	there have been concerns going forward. EPA's stated goal of
1752	when they came out with the RFS 2 and the EMTS was to address
1753	some of those questions.
1754	Whether or not the current system has succeeded, you
1755	know, it's still an open question. One of the things is
1756	to your original question, is it necessary, if you want a
1757	market-based system you do need a market. There are simpler
1758	systems you could come up with. But they may not provide the
1759	flexibility that a market does. So that's a trade-off.
1760	In terms of your question about how fraud can occur, I
1761	think Ms. Dunphy has gone through a list of some of those
1762	topics. I wouldn't speculate on ways going forward folks
1763	could do it.
1764	But, certainly, the ways that EPA has listed are
1765	production that has not actually happened where basically a
1766	company has just on paper said they have produced fuel that
1767	they haven't produced, companies that have produced fuel that
1768	doesn't actually qualify because of the feedstock

1769	requirements but they've attested that it has, and companies
1770	that have exported fuel that's otherwise not eligible because
1771	in any case where a fuel isn't eligible those RINs need to be
1772	retired and if they're not retired then, you know, those RINs
1773	are invalid.
1774	Mr. Carter. Okay. Well, you mention in your testimony
1775	also that there are two markets. There's a primary market
1776	and there's also a secondary market, and that secondary
1777	market, as I understand it, that's where the owners buy and
1778	sell the excess RINs.
1779	Mr. Yacobucci. Correct.
1780	Mr. Carter. What can the secondary market impact the
1781	primary market?
1782	Mr. Yacobucci. I would believe so but I will refer to
1783	my market folks.
1784	Mr. Niznik. We don't tend to look at it as primary and
1785	secondary markets. The RINs are all traded sort of evenly.
1786	They don't have any distinguishing characteristics that
1787	would, you know, attach them to other markets.
1788	So from a price reporting agency standpoint, it's
1789	they're the same market.
1790	Mr. Carter. Can they have an impact on the price of
1791	fuel?

1792	Mr. Niznik. They do, yes. So, in fact, we report an
1793	aggregate cost of RINs as it would be affecting any gallons
1794	sold in the United States. We call it the RVO per gallon
1795	cost. Currently, that's around 4 cents per gallon of
1796	petroleum and fuel sold.
1797	Mr. Carter. Hmm. Okay. Yes, sir.
1798	Mr. Lade. However, if I could speak to that
1799	Mr. Carter. Please.
1800	Mr. Lade it's also so that is the cost on the
1801	gasoline blended into fuel. However, almost all fuel in the
1802	United States contains 10 percent ethanol and RIN is actually
1803	reducing the cost of that ethanol.
1804	So as RIN prices rise, that tax or the RVO cost on the
1805	gasoline is nearly exactly offset by the reduction in the
1806	costs on the ethanol side. And so consumer prices for almost
1807	all fuel that's consumed in the United States, which is 10
1808	percent ethanol, 90 percent gasoline, remains large by and
1809	large, unaffected.
1810	Mr. Carter. Okay. Good. Well, thank you. I
1811	appreciate that.
1812	Ms. Dunphy, I want to ask you because I represent the
1813	entire coast of Georgia. So ocean-going vessels are of
1814	interest to me and you I know you had a discussion with

4045	
1815	another member about ocean-going vessels and about the
1816	renewable fuel that's used in those.
1817	And I just wanted to ask you, can you explain to me why
1818	it's necessary to retire RINs when it's when it comes to
1819	fuel for an ocean-going vessel?
1820	Ms. Dunphy. It's a bit of a mystery to all of us, to be
1821	honest with you. But, you know, transportation fuel is
1822	gasoline or diesel. It's motor vehicle, locomotive, marine
1823	fuel in the U.S.
1824	If you put it in an ocean-going vessel, that's usually
1825	going to be a higher sulfur fuel like a bunker fuel, and that
1826	was not a transportation fuel. So, therefore, even though
1827	you put in a renewable fuel and it's displacing a high-sulfur
1828	product, the RINs were to be retired. That was part of the
1829	regulations.
1830	Mr. Yacobucci. Which are which were driven by the
1831	statutory definitions in the
1832	Ms. Dunphy. Right. It's in the law. It's in the law.
1833	Mr. Carter. Do we need to change the statutory
1834	definition?
1835	Ms. Dunphy. It's in the law.
1836	Mr. Yacobucci. Basically, you have three categories of
1837	<pre>fuel that qualify. Highway transportation fuel, heating oil,</pre>

1838	and jet fuel are basically the three fuel components or three
1839	fuel categories that qualify to generate RINs. All other
1840	fuel categories are exempted by the statute.
1841	Mr. Carter. Brilliant.
1842	Mr. Chairman, I yield.
1843	[Laughter.]
1844	Mr. Shimkus. Just yield, not surrender, right?
1845	The chair now recognizes the very committed, patient,
1846	and long-suffering Mr. Loebsack. If he was on a good
1847	subcommittee, he could have spoken an hour ago. But he's
1848	waiving on, has great interest in this. You're recognized
1849	for five minutes.
1850	Mr. Loebsack. Well, thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you
1851	for letting me waive on, and quite honestly, as a former
1852	professor, I would have loved the testimony.
1853	I've been trying to read as much as I can but,
1854	unfortunately, as a political science professor, not an
1855	economics professor.
1856	But I am an alum of Iowa State an alumnus of Iowa
1857	State so I am actually going to pick on you today. How do
1858	you pronounce your last name again?
1859	Mr. Lade. Lade.
1860	Mr. Loebsack. Lade. Okay. Thank you so much. NEAL R. GROSS

1861	This is an incredibly complex subject. There's no doubt
1862	about it. As I said, I was a political science professor,
1863	not an economics professor. So this stuff is not easy for
1864	me, I have to say.
1865	But I do want to say at the outset that recent comments
1866	by EPA Administrator Wheeler the last couple days have been
1867	pretty disheartening and were not reassuring to those of us
1868	from biofuels country, if you will.
1869	Some of the things that he said continue the policy of
1870	Administrator Pruitt, whether it has to do with waivers or on
1871	the E15 issue or whatever the case may be.
1872	I just want to say that for the record at the outset
1873	that I am not very encouraged by the new administrator when
1874	it comes to biofuels production.
1875	But, you know, I think I will talk to Mr. Carter later.
1876	He had a good point you know, do we really need all this,
1877	in some ways.
1878	Actually, I could be wrong but I think the quickest and
1879	easiest way to address RIN prices would be if the obligated
1880	parties simply would blend more biofuels and I think that the
1881	RIN system was created in the first place because there are
1882	going to be folks who didn't want to blend biofuels.
1883	Is that is that correct, basically? Create a market NEAL R. GROSS

1884	for RINs? I mean, if we just simply blended more biofuels we
1885	wouldn't have to worry about RINs. Is that correct,
1886	Professor Lade?
1887	Mr. Lade. The entire purpose of the market is or of
1888	the policy is to get the market to do something that it would
1889	not do without the policy. And so yes, whenever RIN prices
1890	are high that signals that the market would not do would
1891	not do or would not blend as much biofuels as it would
1892	without the policy.
1893	Mr. Loebsack. Right. Thank you.
1894	You know, also we could remove current EPA restrictions
1895	on the Reid vapor pressure. I think we could do that and get
1896	more biofuels into the system.
1897	And I did look at your testimony, Professor Lade. I was
1898	a little bit confused with the final part of it there when
1899	you talked about what effect E15 might have on all of this.
1900	Can you sort of elaborate that a little bit?
1901	Mr. Lade. Absolutely.
1902	So it's really difficult to say what an E15 and RVP
1903	waiver would do to RIN prices because we are not quite sure
1904	of what it would do to overall biofuel demand.
1905	If consumers begin to switch over to E15 quite a bit,
1906	then it would absolutely put downward pressure on RIN prices.

1907	However, we this is a new fuel. Consumers are uncertain
1908	about whether they can even put it in a lot of their
1909	vehicles.
1910	And so there are a lot of reasons to believe that there
1911	would maybe be some limited even with year round offering
1912	at some fuel stations there would be limited consumer demand
1913	on that side, which means not that much extra biofuels or
1914	ethanol is going into the system, which would not resolve
1915	this RIN price problem.
1916	Mr. Loebsack. Right.
1917	Mr. Lade. And so while it's certainly, potentially,
1918	over the long run and if E15 infrastructure were to roll out
1919	and consumers, as they learned that this was a viable fuel
1920	that it's something that they could put into all of their
1921	cars if it's priced competitively, that could certainly have
1922	that intent.
1923	In the short run, I have my doubts. But, again, you
1924	know, this is in uncertain territory because this is a new
1925	fuel that we really don't know much about.
1926	Mr. Loebsack. Well, I am going to ask you to project on
1927	a little bit more with respect to E15 because when you
1928	were talking about RIN prices and their effects on gas
1929	prices, you were talking about E10. NEAL R. GROSS

1930	Can you speculate at least some with respect to E15?
1931	Mr. Lade. Correct. So when RIN prices rise, like I
1932	said, the higher cost on gasoline is nearly fully offset by
1933	the lower cost on ethanol as RIN prices are increasing.
1934	As you increase the percentage of ethanol in that
1935	gasoline, that lower cost on ethanol actually starts to drive
1936	the retail prices down. This is exactly how the market-based
1937	mechanism is going to put more biofuel blending into the
1938	system.
1939	And so you will see, as RIN prices rise, greater and
1940	greater discounts on E15 relative to E10 and that's exactly
1941	how you get consumers to move their hand over about 15 inches
1942	and fill it with E15 instead.
1943	Mr. Loebsack. Right. Right.
1944	Mr. Lade. And so RINs are vital to stimulating that
1945	demand and so that's where it's kind of difficult to predict
1946	that. It depends how responsive consumers are and how quick
1947	they are to switch over.
1948	Mr. Loebsack. Got it.
1949	And then one last question as we all know, in
1950	response to refiner complaints about RINs, EPA has secretly
1951	issued 48 small refinery waivers, and I know there's some
1952	question about this. I am glad I came when that was being

1953	addressed by Ms. Dunphy.
1954	And some of the refiners are neither small nor
1955	experiencing economic hardship. These secret waivers, you
1956	know, one can argue about what the effects of them but some
1957	would argue up at 2 billion gallons of demand destruction and
1958	cutting the consumption by over 2 billion gallons.
1959	What do you think the impact of all this might have on
1960	RIN prices then?
1961	Mr. Lade. I think we've seen the impact that it's
1962	decreased RIN prices as it necessarily if these
1963	allocations are not reallocated it necessarily means that
1964	less biofuels are needed to meet the requirements from EPA.
1965	Mr. Loebsack. Well, I want to thank all of you and
1966	thank you, again, Mr. Chair, for letting me waive on.
1967	Mr. Shimkus. Well, next time get on a better committee
1968	and you can question earlier.
1969	Mr. Loebsack. I will do the best I can. It'll help me
1970	for November.
1971	Mr. Shimkus. So we've been asked to do a second round
1972	of questions. Is I hope you don't mind that. I think the
1973	folks who are here, obviously, are interested in addressing
1974	that.
1975	So with your permission, the folks here would go a NEAL R. GROSS

1976	second round. We don't think it'll take that long. So I
1977	want to thank Mr. Tonko for agreeing to that.
1978	So I will recognize myself for five minutes for a second
1979	round. I want to make sure we clarify this small refinery
1980	exemption, because what I am hearing is that they're blending
1981	already at 10 percent. This exemption comes at the end.
1982	So my question is maybe Ms. Dunphy what's the
1983	remedy? Is there a remedy for these small refiners? If
1984	they've been blending most of the year, they get the
1985	exemption, what do they do? Throw a party? I mean, what do
1986	they what do they get for that?
1987	Ms. Dunphy. Well, if they've already retired RINs then
1988	they'll seek EPA's permission to get those RINs back again,
1989	and as we've talked about, that does come back into the
1990	market.
1991	It has replenished the RIN bank, okay. So remember, as
1992	Mr. Yacobucci pointed out, the oil companies can use 20
1993	percent prior year RINs to satisfy their current year
1994	compliance.
1995	The RIN bank had declined. It got down to as low as 9
1996	percent. So that means there's more pressure on current year
1997	to have the RINs and get them in your hand.
1998	As the RIN bank has been replenished, because these

1999	small refinery exemptions have been granted, the number of
2000	prior year RINs comes back into the market and replenishes
2001	the RIN bank overall, reducing the concerns that we won't be
2002	able to meet this year's compliance.
2003	Mr. Shimkus. All right. Thanks.
2004	The spike that was talked about we talked about high
2005	prices, high volumes. But I think another factor was there
2006	was in the EPA there was increased regulation in that year
2007	also and, you know, increased regulation increases higher
2008	prices. So there was there was there was three variables
2009	that I just wanted to make sure we put on the table.
2010	The-there's proposals floating out there on a RIN cap.
2011	What would that do to this market? Anyone want to address
2012	that?
2013	Mr. Niznik. It would cause Senator Chuck Grassley to
2014	explode.
2015	[Laughter.]
2016	Mr. Loebsack. And Congressman Loebsack as well.
2017	[Laughter.]
2018	Mr. Niznik. But also it would just end the market
2019	functionality that Professor Lade talked about and it would,
2020	certainly, impair the ability of RINs to do some of the
2021	functioning that they've been talking about doing in terms of

2022	stimulating production and having the marketplace respond to
2023	the volumetric requirements that the EPA would put out each
2024	year.
2025	If it just was kept at 5 percent, it wouldn't matter if
2026	it wouldn't matter whether EPA asked for more or less.
2027	Mr. Shimkus. Right. Dr. Lade.
2028	Mr. Lade. So I would
2029	Mr. Shimkus. Quickly.
2030	Mr. Lade. Quickly, I would push back a little bit on
2031	that. It depends critically what the RIN price cap is
2032	because that then determines how much of a discount you can
2033	give to biofuels and how much of an incentive you can give to
2034	biofuel consumption.
2035	So if a RIN price cap is 10 cents, then absolutely no
2036	one's going to use E85 or E15 mostly likely, given market
2037	prices.
2038	Mr. Shimkus. Right.
2039	Mr. Lade. However, if a RIN price cap is set 60 cents
2040	to a dollar, all else being held equal in this regulation,
2041	that could serve a very stabilizing role because what we've
2042	seen is that EPA has responded to high RIN prices by
2043	adjusting mandates and that's led to a lot of this
2044	volatility.

2045	If, instead, EPA said no, we will cap RIN prices at this
2046	level and you can come to us to buy paper credits after RINs
2047	on the private market have reached above this level, they no
2048	longer need to use that option to adjust RIN prices through
2049	mandates, which has led to a lot of volatility. So
2050	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you. Let me go let me go,
2051	because I've got two minutes or a minute and a half. I've
2052	got three questions.
2053	Mr. Yacobucci, engines you talk about engines and
2054	that's a part of your world. What is the most efficient
2055	engine as far as octane? Do you know?
2056	Mr. Yacobucci. So, I mean, there's a lot of discussion
2057	about this right now. To get to your question, if one were
2058	to increase the compression of engines, you could squeeze out
2059	more efficiency from that engine.
2060	Mr. Shimkus. What would be Iran?
2061	Mr. Yacobucci. You know, there's a lot of discussion
2062	for that but, certainly, a higher octane number fuel would
2063	allow for greater efficiency if you tuned the engine to do
2064	so. I mean, if you just put higher octane fuel in
2065	Mr. Shimkus. Right. No. No. We are talking about
2066	I mean, part of our debate and Mr. Flores with me as
2067	you get your best engine engineers, you get your best NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIPERS

2068	petrochemical engineers, and you create the most efficient
2069	engine for a next-generation vehicle, because I think that's
2070	kind of what we are
2071	Mr. Yacobucci. But there's a trade-off between the
2072	energy content. For example, ethanol has a lower energy
2073	content. So even if you're burning it more efficiently you
2074	may be getting fewer miles per gallon versus the cost to the
2075	engine.
2076	Mr. Shimkus. I am not you're the smart guy but
2077	that's not what we were being told with the engineers and the
2078	engineering of a high-compression high-octane engine.
2079	Let me ask also I wanted to address, in my seven
2080	seconds remaining there is also a proposal out there that
2081	talks about a D8 RIN, which would be above the blend wall.
2082	Anyone hear that and what's your thoughts on that? Mr.
2083	Niznik.
2084	Mr. Niznik. Yes, I investigated that for a company that
2085	asked me to research the concept, and it would change the
2086	current shape of the RFS but it would overcome one of the
2087	internal hurdles of the RFS blocking expanded ethanol
2088	usage.
2089	So if you right now, I confused the group before
2090	talking about how the RIN from ethanol right now is

2091	essentially capped at the price of the next category up RIN
2092	because of the replaceability.
2093	If you took it out from underneath that umbrella and
2094	that cap and gave it its own category the RINs price
2095	mechanism has proven very efficient in other categories,
2096	forcing those fuels into the marketplace.
2097	So if you wanted to provide an opportunity specifically
2098	for one type of fuel and you made a new RIN category just for
2099	that type of fuel and put a mandate on it, I am convinced
2100	that, based on market history, you could get the amount
2101	blended you wished.
2102	Mr. Shimkus. And my time is way expired. I appreciate
2103	my colleagues. The chair recognizes the gentleman from
2104	Texas, Mr. Flores, for five minutes.
2105	Mr. Flores. I am assuming the ranking member doesn't
2106	Mr. Shimkus. I am sorry.
2107	Mr. Tonko. Dr. Lade, yes.
2108	Dr. Lade, in your written testimony you state that
2109	volatility isn't always caused by speculators and that
2110	volatility in a market can attract speculators, presumably,
2111	because all the fluctuations present or present
2112	opportunities for profit.
2113	You also indicate that EPA's management of the program NEAL R. GROSS

2114	including delays in setting RVOs are sources of volatility in
2115	the RIN market.
2116	Is that accurate? Is that
2117	Mr. Lade. Correct.
2118	Mr. Tonko. Okay. What about the changing headlines
2119	following the efforts of the White House, several senators,
2120	and some RFS stakeholder discussions about reforming the RFS?
2121	Would those headlines have any effect on RIN prices?
2122	Mr. Lade. Likely, if they believe that that could lead
2123	to credible changes in the mandates coming out of EPA. And
2124	so what really the market is trying to do is figure out how
2125	much extra biofuel they need to push in, particularly beyond
2126	the E10 blend wall because that's where all the real costs
2127	come into this.
2128	It's much more expensive to push ethanol and biodiesel
2129	and be on this E10 blend wall and that's where the market is
2130	trying to guess is how much they need extra beyond that. So
2131	to the extent that some news organization reports that the
2132	mandate may be increasing or decreasing that would certainly
2133	have that impact as you're trying to guess what's going to
2134	come out.
2135	Mr. Tonko. Okay. Thank you.
2136	In your testimony, you discuss several studies that NEAL R. GROSS

2137	looked at the potential effect of RIN prices on bulk
2138	wholesale and retail prices for fuel.
2139	Refiners claim they cannot pass high RIN prices on to
2140	their consumers. But these studies suggest that they can.
2141	Mr. Lade. Correct.
2142	Mr. Tonko. The discussions in your written testimony
2143	seem to seems to conclude they often can but it isn't a
2144	certainty. Would you please explain the potential reasons
2145	why a refiner might not be able to pass on high RIN costs?
2146	Mr. Lade. So the studies that speak to this look
2147	particularly at bulk wholesale fuel prices. So those that
2148	are on large traded commodities markets and a lot of those
2149	serve as benchmark prices throughout the entire wholesale
2150	system.
2151	However, there could certainly be differences in, say,
2152	at blending stations and wholesalers when you're blending in
2153	Illinois, Iowa, New York, et cetera.
2154	And so there are certainly studies beginning to look at
2155	RIN pass through to those to see if the same thing that you
2156	see on these larger tradeable market are really translating
2157	down to the markets that refiners may be selling into and so
2158	far it's still inconclusive on that.
2159	However, the so far, the academic literature has

2160	continue to find these prices being reflected in wholesale
2161	prices.
2162	Mr. Tonko. Okay. Thank you.
2163	With that, I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2164	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time. The
2165	chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Flores,
2166	five minutes.
2167	Mr. Flores. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the
2168	second round of questions.
2169	One of the other takeaways that I didn't mention in my
2170	first round of questions was that I think each of you agree
2171	that ethanol has a place to play in the fuel markets of the
2172	future, primarily because of the octane and some of the
2173	environmental characteristics that it has, and that's the
2174	reason that Mr. Shimkus, Mr. Welch, and I are working on
2175	legislation that doesn't adversely impact the ethanol
2176	markets.
2177	One of the things that I've heard from different
2178	constituent groups is that there are some disruptions in the
2179	biodiesel market because of the way the D4 ran as embedded in
2180	the nesting structure.
2181	This is somewhat subjective on your part but I would
2182	like to know what feedback you all have in terms of the

2183	market impact on D6 prices if we were to de-nest D4s and have
2184	D4s trade totally be useable only for the biodiesel
2185	market.
2186	If you feel comfortable commenting on that I would like
2187	to know what your thoughts are.
2188	Mr. Niznik. I am an old biodieseler myself so I can
2189	Mr. Flores. I am glad you're from Texas, too.
2190	[Laughter.]
2191	Mr. Niznik. Thank you, sir. So the D4 RIN really isn't
2192	a victim to any other RIN. It's the 500-pound gorilla RIN
2193	right now.
2194	Mr. Flores. Right. Right.
2195	Mr. Niznik. So it's behavior right now is unfettered
2196	and the historical record shows that it does a really great
2197	job. In fact, if there's one RIN that's working in the RFS,
2198	it's the D4 RIN.
2199	Mr. Flores. Right.
2200	Mr. Niznik. So when it goes up just a little bit, the
2201	market signals blend more and producers produce more and it
2202	works great.
2203	But when you have the nested structure, if you have a
2204	particular interest in other fuels working and they're
2205	underneath there, then no, it's not as good.

2206	So I would suspect that if you designed a system of
2207	separate unnested RINs that
2208	Mr. Flores. Just D4, though.
2209	Mr. Niznik. Yes.
2210	Mr. Flores. I am just talking about D4.
2211	Mr. Niznik. You're just talking about D4s. I don't
2212	think it would change at all for the D4s. I think they would
2213	continue.
2214	Under the current circumstances, they move very freely
2215	with the exception of worrying about the recurring dollar a
2216	gallon biodiesel tax credit, which is outside of the RFS
2217	system but, again, a policy thing.
2218	Mr. Flores. How would the D6 RIN change if we de-nested
2219	the D4?
2220	Mr. Niznik. Well, then perhaps it could rise to the
2221	occasion that it needs to incentivize infrastructure, usage
2222	in fuels.
2223	We don't really know how high a D6 RIN needs to be to,
2224	for instance, inspire more E15 use or even E85 use because
2225	under the time of the program it's always been butting heads
2226	against the D4 price, right. So we've never seen a free shot
2227	at it.
2228	I've done research, for instance, on E85 usage, which NEAL R. GROSS

2229	has a lot of ethanol in it. But every time I did it, again,
2230	I couldn't say hey, what would happen to this. I didn't have
2231	any market data on where the customers needed to be
2232	incentivized.
2233	Mr. Flores. Mr. Lavinsky, do you have any thoughts on
2234	this issue?
2235	Mr. Lavinsky. Not that has
2236	Mr. Flores. Okay.
2237	Mr. Lavinsky. He's covered it well.
2238	Mr. Yacobucci. I would just add that, and Mr. Niznik
2239	can correct me if I am wrong, but that the biodiesel RIN
2240	drives because it's the majority of the advanced biofuel
2241	RINs, the D5 RINs, that it drives that market.
2242	If you took it outside of the advanced biofuel pool then
2243	there would certainly be some effects there because that is
2244	the primary supply for the advanced biofuel as well.
2245	So it depends on whether you mean de-nesting it from the
2246	overall mandate, the D6 mandate, or whether you mean taking
2247	it out of the advanced biofuel pool.
2248	Mr. Flores. Okay. That's a good point. Okay. That's
2249	something we as policy makers need to keep in mind.
2250	Ms. Dunphy, any thoughts on that?
2251	Ms. Dunphy. Well, today, because of the nesting, when NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

2252	there's a shortage of D6 RINs versus the mandate, that his
2253	fulfilled with higher other types of RINs advanced
2254	biofuel RINs.
2255	So if you look at it from that perspective, that total
2256	renewable fuel category is dominated by corn ethanol.
2257	Mr. Flores. Mm-hmm.
2258	Ms. Dunphy. But if there's not enough D6 RINs to fulfil
2259	it then that category gets filled with advanced biofuel RINs,
2260	which are greater greenhouse gas-reducing fuels
2261	Mr. Flores. Mm-hmm.
2262	Ms. Dunphy which is kind of the overall objective
2263	of the law is to have greater greenhouse gas-reducing fuels.
2264	So you would lose that added volume that the biodiesel -
2265	- the D4 RINs fulfil in that D6 space. So there's some
2266	demand loss there if they don't have that space to grow into.
2267	Mr. Flores. Dr. Lade.
2268	Mr. Lade. Yes. I would just like to reiterate that was
2269	my main point was that you would have demand loss on the
2270	biodiesel side because you wouldn't be producing more of it
2271	to compensate for the lack of D6 RINs.
2272	So that you're over meeting these mandates for the D4 in
2273	order to fill in what you can't push through with E85 or E15
2274	right now. Taking that out would remove that option and make NEAL R. GROSS

2275	the market meet pass the blend law with E85 or E15
2276	instead.
2277	Mr. Flores. Just and, again, hypothetically, what
2278	would happen to diesel prices if you de-nested the D4 and set
2279	it aside by itself?
2280	Mr. Niznik. The RFS is borne the price of the RFS
2281	compliance of RINs is borne equally in the marketplace by
2282	either gasoline or diesel.
2283	So the even if they do, for instance, pay more to
2284	blend one particular biofuel and one type of petroleum versus
2285	another let's say ethanol biodiesel they tend to, on
2286	the petroleum cost side, aggregate all the costs and pass it
2287	through evenly. That's the that's what the historic price
2288	data shows.
2289	Mr. Flores. Okay. Thank you. My time is expired.
2290	I yield back.
2291	Mr. Shimkus. The gentleman yields back his time.
2292	The chair thanks the gentleman. Before we conclude, my
2293	wife's family farm is in South Dakota.
2294	So I was up there for 4th of July a family reunion
2295	and then took a drive to North Dakota and then back through
2296	South Dakota and probably one of the weirdest guys that would
2297	take a picture of every retail location that I filled up with NEAL R. GROSS

2298	gas.
2299	And what's instructive there is that they have yes, I
2300	know, it's said
2301	[Laughter.]
2302	And I have them. I can show them to you. They're right
2303	on here. But, you know, and we always got to remember
2304	retailers, too. I mean, this is the whole debate and there
2305	are pumps in the in the Dakotas that have E0 because of
2306	motorcycle riders and boaters and stuff.
2307	So but the delta price difference for the same level is,
2308	like, 30 cents cheaper because of the ethanol the
2309	depression of prices because it's a cheaper mix.
2310	But there's just so many things that we got to think
2311	about. I will show you those pictures, if anybody wants to
2312	see them.
2313	Mr. Niznik. I do the same thing. I have the same
2314	hobby.
2315	Mr. Shimkus. You are sick.
2316	Ms. Dunphy. And I did the same thing last weekend on
2317	the way to San Antonio and took a picture of the Buc-ee's
2318	pumps.
2319	Mr. Shimkus. There you go. Thank you.
2320	[Laughter.] NEAL R. GROSS

2321	Seeing there are no further members wishing to ask
2322	questions or make stupid statements for the panel, I would
2323	like to thank all of our witnesses again for being here
2324	today.
2325	Before we conclude, I would like to remind members
2326	interested in the RIN fraud issue that I would point you to
2327	letter two, the Subcommittee on Oversight Investigations
2328	hearing transcript on RIN fraud. 2012? Thank you.
2329	And a and I also would like to ask for unanimous
2330	consent to submit the following documents for the record:
2331	the letter from Renewable Fuels Association and then the
2332	Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigation hearings on the -
2333	- the transcript on RIN fraud.
2334	[The information follows:]
2335	**************************************

2336	Mr. Shimkus. And pursuant to committee rules, I remind
2337	members that they have 10 business days to submit additional
2338	questions for the record. I ask that witnesses submit their
2339	responses within 10 business days upon receipt of the
2340	questions.
2341	Without objection, this subcommittee is adjourned.
2342	Thank you very much.
2343	[Whereupon, at 11:13 a.m., the subcommittee was
2344	adjourned.]