

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CONGRESS
Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115
Majority (202) 225-2927
Minority (202) 225-3641

March 9, 2018

Ms. Emily Hammond
Glen Earl Weston Research Professor of Law
The George Washington University Law School
2000 H Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20052

Dear Ms. Hammond:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Environment on February 14, 2018, to testify at the hearing entitled “New Source Review Permitting Challenges for Manufacturing and Infrastructure.”

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Friday, March 23, 2018. Your responses should be mailed to Kelly Collins, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed in Word format to kelly.collins@mail.house.gov.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



John Shimkus
Chairman
Subcommittee on Environment

cc: The Honorable Paul Tonko, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Environment

Attachment

Attachment—Additional Questions for the Record

The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.

Rep. Griffith questioned your earlier comment regarding the costs of pollution control technology, but did not give you an opportunity to respond.

1. Do the requirements of the NSR program stifle innovation, or discourage investment in pollution control technologies, new facilities, or renovations?
2. Does the cost of pollution controls spur massive layoffs or loss of revenue?