

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CONGRESS
Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115
Majority (202) 225-2927
Minority (202) 225-3641

May 23, 2017

Mr. Anthony J. O'Donnell
Commissioner
Maryland Public Service Commission
William Donald Schaefer Tower
6 St. Paul Street; 16th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202

Dear Mr. O'Donnell,

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Environment on Wednesday, April 26, 2017, to testify at the hearing entitled "H.R. ___, the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 2017."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Wednesday, June 7, 2017. Your responses should be mailed to Elena Brennan, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed in Word format to Elena.Brennan@mail.house.gov.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



John Shimkus
Chairman
Subcommittee on Environment

cc: The Honorable Paul Tonko, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Environment

Attachment

Attachment – Additional Questions for the Record

The Honorable John Shimkus

1. Section 301 of the discussion draft amends the Nuclear Waste Policy Act to clarify that DOE may take title for shipment to a repository or an interim storage site, but maintains that is only applicable upon “delivery” to the Secretary. Your testimony raises concerns about DOE taking title to fuel, but then leaving it on site, is that right?
 - a. If the discussion draft is modified to assure this only applies when DOE actively receives the fuel, would that alleviate NARUC’s concerns?
2. Section 602 of the Discussion Draft provides for a fixed-term appointment for the Director of DOE’s Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. How would this provision affect DOE’s program management? Do you think five years is an appropriate length of time to provide continuity of leadership?