

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS  
**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115

Majority (202) 225-2927

Minority (202) 225-3641

January 7, 2015

Mr. Travis Kavulla  
President  
National Association of Regulatory Commissioners  
1101 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Mr. Kavulla:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Environment and the Economy on Thursday, December 3, 2015, to testify at the hearing entitled "The Nuclear Waste Fund: Budgetary, Funding, and Scoring Issues."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

Also attached are Member requests made during the hearing. The format of your responses to these requests should follow the same format as your responses to the additional questions for the record.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions and requests with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Thursday, January 21, 2016. Your responses should be mailed to Will Batson, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed to [Will.Batson@mail.house.gov](mailto:Will.Batson@mail.house.gov).

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



John Shimkus  
Chairman

Subcommittee on Environment and the Economy

cc: The Honorable Paul Tonko, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Environment and the Economy

Attachments

**Attachment 1—Additional Questions for the Record**

**The Honorable John Shimkus**

1. At a May Environment and the Economy Subcommittee hearing, Greg White, then with the Michigan Public Service Commission and now NARUC's Executive Director, stated consolidated interim storage proposals must be fully vetted from a cost perspective to determine whether it would actually reduce the long-term financial burden on the ratepayers you represent. To your knowledge, has there been a thorough and credible lifecycle analysis that would support an interim storage facility?
  - A. What sort of factors should be part of a study?

**Attachment 2—Member Requests for the Record**

*During the hearing, Members asked you to provide additional information for the record, and you indicated that you would provide that information. For your convenience, descriptions of the requested information are provided below.*

**The Honorable Bill Johnson**

1. Your organization is on record supporting reasonable economic benefits and incentives for host States and communities. Would you explain the nature of those benefits and the role of Federal-State partnerships?