```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
    RPTS GONZALEZ
2
3
    HIF205170
4
5
    THE FISCAL YEAR 2025
6
    CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION BUDGET
    TUESDAY, JULY 23, 2024
8
    House of Representatives,
9
    Subcommittee on Innovation, Data, and Commerce,
10
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
11
    Washington, D.C.
12
13
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 2:02 p.m. in
14
     2322 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gus
15
    Bilirakis, [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
16
17
          Present: Representatives Bilirakis, Bucshon, Walberg,
18
    Duncan, Dunn, Lesko, Armstrong, Fulcher, Harshbarger,
19
    Cammack, Obernolte, James, Rodgers (ex officio); Schakowsky,
20
    Kelly, Soto, Trahan, Clarke, and Pallone (ex officio).
21
```

22 Also present: Representative Cardenas. 23 24 Staff Present: Sarah Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Nick 25 Crocker, Senior Advisor and Director of Coalitions; Nate 26 Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman, Chief Counsel; Sean 27 Kelly, Press Secretary; Alex Khlopin, Clerk; Emily King, 28 Member Services Director; Tim Kurth, Chief Counsel; Brannon 29 Rains, Professional Staff Member; Teddy Tanzer, Senior 30 Counsel; Hannah Anton, Minority Policy Analyst; Keegan 31 Cardman, Minority Staff Assistant; Waverly Gordon, Minority 32 Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, 33 Minority Staff Director; Lisa Hone, Minority Chief Counsel, 34 Innovation, Data, and Commerce; and Joe Orlando, Minority 35 Junior Professional Staff Member. 36 37

*Mr. Bilirakis. The subcommittee will come to order. 38 The chair recognizes himself for an opening statement. 39 40 Good afternoon to everyone here. And welcome to today's fiscal year 2025 budget hearing for the Consumer Product 41 Safety Commission. 42 I want to thank all five of the commissioners for 43 appearing before us today to discuss the important mission of 44 ensuring people across the nation are protected against risks 45 of injuries and deaths associated with consumer products. 46 particular, I want to thank the chair of the commission, Alex 47 Hoehn-Saric, for his work in promoting safety and protection 48 in a fair and reasonable manner. 49 I know you are particularly familiar with the 50 subcommittee, as well, with your background as chief counsel, 51 so we appreciate you being here, and I want to thank you also 52 for meeting me in my congressional district a few months 53 back, as well, and sending your staff to our senior fair. I 54 55 quess probably that wasn't your staff, but it was committee staff. 56 I also think the employees at the Commission who work 57 closely with Customs and Border Protection at ports across 58

```
59
    the country, inspecting millions of consumer products for
    hazardous, unsafe, or counterfeit goods. This work is
60
61
    important in ensuring public safety and, in recent years,
    with bad actors in China, continuing to flood our nation's
62
    borders has become extraordinarily difficult.
63
          These consumer safety issues have consistently been a
64
    bipartisan effort for the Congress since the enactment of the
65
66
    Consumer Product Safety Act in 1972, and the statute has long
    been explicitly clear in the way that it requires the
67
    Commission to operate and work side-by-side with industry
68
    stakeholders.
69
          The existing laws model has proven very successful in
70
    protecting the public against harms while still allowing new
71
    innovations to thrive in the marketplace. The law mandates
72
    the Commission to defer to voluntary product safety standards
73
    when applicable, and has a clear due process requirement for
74
    ensuring corrective actions are taken when problems arise.
75
76
    Many of these voluntary standards are created and revised on
    a regular basis in close conjunction with the American
77
    Society for Testing and Materials International, and I
78
    appreciate the tireless efforts that many in the industry do
79
```

```
80
     to ensure their products work effectively and safely for
     millions of consumers every day.
81
82
          However, I know not everyone shares the same view that
     this model works the way it was designed to, and I have
83
     significant concerns about the government-knows-best
84
     mentality that is rampant across the Biden-Harris
85
     Administration. When we started this Congress last year, we
86
87
     read reports that Commissioner Trumka had discussed the idea
     of a universal ban on gas stoves in this country. The
88
     American people have made it clear to us -- made it clear,
89
     absolutely clear -- this type of government overreach is
90
     unacceptable.
91
92
          We know those in the rush-to-green movement would like
     to ban all gas-powered appliances in our homes.
93
                                                       I am
     grateful that our committee acted quickly to denounce these
94
     ideas and move forward with Representative Armstrong's bill,
95
     the Gas Stove Protection and Freedom Act, and Representative
96
97
     Lesko's companion bill in the Energy Subcommittee to prohibit
     this type of regulatory approach. I am worried that these
98
     types of regulate-first mandates will kill the ingenuity of
99
     the American spirit and the strongest marketplace in the
100
```

101 globe. Unfortunately, that is what the Biden-Harris Administration has become known for. 102 I hope that the CPSC will not fall into this thinking 103 any longer, and instead work with Congress on ways we can 104 ensure the American people stay safe through consensus 105 In fact, this committee has proven on a regular 106 basis this year that it can deliver bipartisan wins in 107 108 protecting consumers, including House passage of the Setting Consumer Standards for Lithium Ion Batteries Act; 109 Representative Trahan's Youth Poisoning Protection Act; and 110 Representative Balderson's Awning Safety Act. In each of 111 these bills we came to an agreement to put appropriate 112 guardrails in place to protect lives and prevent unreasonable 113 risk of death and injury from the everyday products American 114 115 consumers use. In closing, I appreciate the important work of the 116 Commission but, similarly to my comments at our FTC hearing 117 118 earlier this month, I implore the chair to ensure that you do not erode the public's trust, and instead work with us to 119 protect consumers. I look forward to conducting this 120 important oversight and to hearing each of the commissioners' 121

127 *Mr. Bilirakis. And I will yield back the balance of my time. Now I will recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, Ms. 128 129 Schakowsky, for her five minutes for her opening statement. *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and it is so 130 great to see all the commissioners here today. 131 I am such a supporter of the Consumer Product Safety 132 Commission. Really, that is my background for many, many, 133 134 many decades that I have been interested in working on, consumer protection. So I thank all of you, and I want to 135 say a special thank you to our chair, Alex Hoehn-Saric. And 136 as you heard, he had a special role to play, especially in 137 the last Congress, when he was our chief counsel and also 138 worked on the -- this very subcommittee that at that time was 139 called the Consumer Protection Subcommittee. So I am very 140 happy to see all of you, and to talk about what a small and 141 mighty committee this really is. The work that you do is so 142 very, very important. 143 144 I want to say that the Commission now has worked to get several rules in place that are definitely going to help 145 consumers on a number of things: children and -- what is 146 that? Oh, and the batteries, and that rule is ever so 147

148 important to protect them from dangerous batteries. Children are in danger of -- what is in here? The crib, the bumpers 149 150 that we have gotten -- that you have gotten done in rules. And the rule on --151 *Voice. The legislation we passed through this year. 152 *Ms. Schakowsky. Yes. So the thing that is so great 153 about the rules is that our subcommittee actually passed the 154 155 legislation that led to the rulemaking that has become the law of the land, so we are very proud of our legislative 156 work. 157 I also want to mention, though, that I have two issues 158 that I think could actually make the Consumer Product Safety 159 Commission even stronger, that -- one is that we should pass 160 legislation that I call the Sunshine in Product Safety, and 161 that is one that would strike section 6(b). Please consider 162 that. It used to be that we should not be saying that 163 manufacturers should have the final word on whether or not 164 165 consumers are going to be informed about product flaws, and should be able, as the Consumer Product Safety Commission, to 166 decide when consumers need to be, you know, told about 167 dangers that are lurking out there. 168

169 The other would actually raise the fee that is now limited for people who have broken the law, and it is 170 171 something that I think that we ought to increase. Right now the maximum penalty is 17,500 --172 *Voice. Seventeen point five million. 173 *Ms. Schakowsky. I am sorry? 174 *Voice. Seventeen point five million. 175 176 *Ms. Schakowsky. Oh, I am sorry, \$17.5 million for companies where deaths have occurred. Why should we put a 177 limit on that? And I think that we want to just eliminate 178 the top amount. 179 And so I am very, very -- did I run out of time? No --180 181 anxious that we do that. And with that, at this point, I am going to yield back. 182 Oh, no. If I have one second, we should not be cutting 183 the budget of the Consumer Product Safety Commission. It is 184 so small and, I believe, currently under-funded. And I know 185 186 that my Republican colleagues are talking about a further cut, and I want to adamantly put on the table right now that 187 we should not have any further cuts. 188

[The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]

189

190		
191	******COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
192		

193 *Ms. Schakowsky. We will talk more about that later. *Mr. Bilirakis. The chair -- the ranking member yields 194 195 back. Now I will recognize the chair of the full committee, my friend, Mrs. Rodgers, for five minutes for her opening 196 197 statement. *The Chair. Thank you, Chair, Chairman Bilirakis. 198 The last time the CPSC appeared before this committee 199 200 was in 2019. So this hearing is long overdue. I want to welcome back Chairman Hoehn-Saric, who is an alum of the 201 Energy and Commerce Committee, the best committee on Capitol 202 Hill, and I also want to welcome back Commissioner Feldman, 203 who is the only member to testify the last time the 204 commissioners were in front of this committee. And I want to 205 thank all of you for being here today, and with the dedicated 206 career staff and hard work to carry out the agency's mission. 207 The Energy and Commerce Committee has been leading the 208 way this Congress to advance solutions to protect the 209 American people. One of our top priorities for this Congress 210 has been addressing the threat posed by the Chinese Communist 211 Party. We have advanced policies that protect the American 212 people from questionable products coming from China, ranging 213

214 from defective and unsafe products to those developed using forced labor or as a result of disturbing human rights 215 216 abuses. Now that CPSC is at full capacity with all of its 217 commissioners, it is critical that the agency works to 218 implement the important bipartisan legislation we have moved 219 through this committee, especially efforts like the Safe 220 221 Sleep for Babies Act, STURDY Act, and Reese's Law, which have had strong backing of Ranking Member Schakowsky and Chairman 222 Bilirakis, as well as others on this committee who have a 223 long record on these issues. 224 We have also advanced bipartisan legislation to protect 225 Americans from hazardous products, ranging from home awnings 226 to faulty lithium ion batteries to dangerous chemicals 227 available online. I look forward to working together to get 228 those pieces of legislation signed into law as soon as 229 possible, and to continue our work with bipartisan support to 230 231 protect the American people. The CPSC has also been hard at work. I was pleased to 232 see the agency prioritize the hiring of a chief technology 233 officer and a chief data officer to modernize the agency's 234

235 capabilities. I hope this leads to better efficiency and protection of the agency's data than what we have seen in the 236 237 past. These roles should help the agency use their resources effectively and oversee the increased use of AI and machine 238 learning to efficiently and accurately target hazardous 239 products entering the country. 240 I am also glad that the Children's Product Defect Team 241 242 has been reinstated, although it is unclear to me as to why it was discontinued in the first place. Certainly, the most 243 important action the Commission can take is to help 244 strengthen protections for children. 245 While there have been many successes this Congress here 246 at the committee and at the Commission, there are still many 247 areas where the CPSC needs to improve its operations, 248 especially as we consider the agency's budget. Under the 249 leadership of the previous two acting chairs, the Commission 250 fell into disrepair. 251 Since the last time we had you here the CPSC experienced 252 a massive data breach of all its confidential and incident 253 data held by the Commission, imposed a six-month COVID-19 254 pandemic closure of port inspections, shuttered CPSC lab 255

256 testing in support of enforcement, and the development of the STURDY rule, accumulated around 200 open inspector general 257 258 recommendations and reports showing a culture of mismanagement of agency funds, and has started to stray from 259 its core mission in pursuit of a more politicized agenda with 260 initiatives like a rule to ban gas stoves in the name of 261 consumer protection, when it is clearly just a backdoor 262 263 attempt to advance the current Administration's radical green 264 agenda. More troubling still are the claims from your own 265 inspector general that his independence was under attack. 266 While many of the IG's recommendations have been closed out 267 related to these specific incidences, the commissioners need 268 to ensure a culture at the agency that respects the IG's 269 270 independence. The CPSC plays an important role in helping to protect 271 the American people from dangerous products. The agency is 272 at its best when it is fulfilling its core mission through 273 enhancing security at our ports, protecting our kids, and 274 ensuring companies are in compliance with the law. I look 275 forward to discussing how to best ensure CPSC is adhering to 276

277	its core mission, and how we can continue to work together to
278	keep the American people safe.
279	[The prepared statement of The Chair follows:]
280	
281	**************************************
282	

283 *The Chair. Thank you, and I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the chair and now recognize the 284 285 gentleman from New Jersey, the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for his five minutes. 286 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I would like 287 to welcome Chairman Hoehn-Saric back to the committee. It is 288 great to see you and the other commissioners here today. 289 290 The Consumer Product Safety Commission has a long history of ensuring that everyday products are safe. And one 291 product of particular concern to me is the grave danger posed 292 to small children by water beads. Water beads are very small 293 beads made of super absorbent material that can expand up to 294 100 times their original size when exposed to liquid, and 295 they are marketed as colorful, fun toys for kids. But when 296 swallowed by a small child, they can cause serious injury or 297 even death, and water bead injuries resulted in about 7,000 298 emergency room visits between 2018 and 2022. 299 So the CPSC has used the legal authorities at its 300 disposal to warn parents and remove some water bead toys from 301 the market, and the Commission issued a recall for a water 302 beads activity kit marketed for older children that 303

```
304
     tragically resulted in the death of a 10-month-old, Esther
     Bethard in Wisconsin, and this product has also injured many
305
306
     others.
              The CPSC also published a general safety alert to
     warn parents of the ingestion risk to young children posed by
307
     any water bead product, and to direct that water beads should
308
     be removed from any environment with young children.
309
          Now, these are important actions that will save
310
311
     children's lives, and I do want to say that, you know, that
     this agency has just done so many things like that for
312
     consumer protection. But with regard to water beads,
313
     Congress has to do more to empower the CPSC to protect babies
314
     and children from this danger. And so I introduced the Ban
315
     Water Beads Act that would ban water beads marketed for kids,
316
     and this ban would remove the most dangerous water bead
317
     products from stores and online marketplaces, and allow the
318
     CPSC to go after bad actors who put their own bottom lines
319
320
     ahead of children's safety.
321
          Water beads really are deadly. We must act quickly to
     ensure that no more children die from ingesting these
322
     dangerous water beads.
323
```

I wanted to thank Ashley Haugen, founder of the non-

324

325 profit, That Water Bead Lady, and her husband for being here Their daughter, Kipley, faces ongoing medical 326 todav. 327 challenges after swallowing water beads that were part of a toy belonging to her older sister. Ashley has turned this 328 tragedy that she and her family endured into passionate 329 advocacy to protect other children from dangerous water bead 330 products. 331 332 I also wanted to thank Taylor Bethard, whose daughter Esther died after swallowing water beads, and Felicia 333 Mitchell, whose daughter, Kennedy, was hospitalized for four 334 weeks after swallowing a single water bead. And I want to 335 commend them and all the parents fighting to ban water beads 336 for their bravery, selfless advocacy, and commitment to 337 banning these dangerous products once and for all. 338 But the CPSC can only do the work to protect kids from 339 the dangers of water beads and many other products if 340 Congress gives them the resources to do it. Every American 341 342 benefits from a strong, active, and well-funded CPSC. Unfortunately, their current budget is woefully inadequate 343 and has forced it to reduce staff responsible for safety 344 research, enforcement, and surveillance of thousands of 345

346 consumer products. And House Republicans are now proposing a 6 percent cut to the CPSC's budget for fiscal year 2025, 347 348 which will make it even harder for them to protect Americans 349 from dangerous products. And Republicans are also pushing Trump's Project 2025, a 350 dangerous blueprint for a potential second Trump 351 Administration that proposes eliminating the independence of 352 Federal agencies like the CPSC. Trump's Project 2025 is a 353 plan to consolidate power in the White House and gut checks 354 and balances. This would be a disastrous move that would 355 seriously undermine the CPSC's ability to enforce critical 356 safety standards, put families at risk, and remove 357 accountability for huge corporations. 358 So, I mean, clearly, that is the wrong course to protect 359 Americans from dangerous products. Without more resources, 360 the CPSC will not be able to stay ahead of emerging threats 361 or provide strong enforcement to keep dangerous products off 362 physical and virtual store shelves. Our economy has and will 363 continue to become increasingly global and digital, which 364 requires the CPSC to develop innovative solutions to tackling 365 threats in e-commerce. And our children's physical safety 366

```
367
     depends on the work of the CPSC, and I am committed to
     fighting for the resources and the additional authority they
368
369
     need to protect Americans from unnecessary risk.
          Let me just conclude, Mr. Chairman, by saying I have
370
     always felt that protecting consumers is one of the most
371
     important parts of this committee. And I think that the CPSC
372
     has really you know, worked with us on a number of these
373
     initiatives.
374
          I am very proud of what you all do, but at the same time
375
     you need the resources because the list of consumer products
376
     that are dangerous just keeps growing. So thank you.
377
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
378
379
     380
381
```

```
382
          *Mr. Pallone. And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
          *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. We are
383
384
     going to begin now with the witnesses.
          The witnesses today are the five commissioners of the
385
     Consumer Product Safety Commission, and the ranking member
386
     has requested that the chair testify first, and the chair is
387
     Alexander Hoehn-Saric, of course. So I will accommodate that
388
389
     request.
          So Chair, you are recognized for your five minutes.
390
391
```

392 STATEMENT OF THE HON. ALEXANDER HOEHN-SARIC, CHAIR, U.S. CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION; THE HON. PETER A. 393 394 FELDMAN, COMMISSIONER, U.S. CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION; THE HON. RICHARD L TRUMKA JR., COMMISSIONER, U.S. 395 CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION; THE HON. MARY T. BOYLE, 396 COMMISSIONER, U.S. CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION; AND 397 THE HON. DOUGLAS DZIAK, COMMISSIONER, U.S. CONSUMER PRODUCT 398 399 SAFETY COMMISSION 400 STATEMENT OF ALEXANDER HOEHN-SARIC 401 402 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Good afternoon, Chair Bilirakis, 403 Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, Ranking 404 Member Pallone, and members of the subcommittee. Thank you 405 for inviting us to testify here today. As many of you have 406 mentioned, as a former committee staffer, it is really my 407 privilege to be here before you today now as chair of the 408 409 Consumer Product Safety Commission. Over 50 years ago Congress established the CPSC to 410 protect consumers from unreasonable risk of injury and death 411 from consumer products. Our mission has grown to encompass 412

more than 15,000 categories of products, representing over \$2 413 trillion in commerce annually, including about \$1 trillion in 414 415 imports. The agency is made up of committed, hardworking staff dedicated to CPSC's mission, and it is thanks to them 416 that the CPSC has been incredibly productive despite 417 operating under a budget that is far smaller than comparable 418 Federal safety agencies. 419 In my time as chair we have conducted over 800 recalls 420 and issued more than 80 product safety warnings. We have 421 issued more than 150,000 takedown requests to online 422 marketplaces for recalled, banned, and violative products. 423 We have issued standards improving the safety of products 424 ranging from button cell batteries to crib mattresses to 425 adult portable bed rails. We have intercepted approximately 426 25 million violative products at the ports. We have assessed 427 more than \$109 million in civil penalties, which goes to the 428 Treasury, and we have reached consumers more than 10 billion 429 430 times with safety messages about drowning prevention, infant safe sleep practices, carbon monoxide poisoning, and more. 431 These are not just statistics. These are actions taken 432 by the agency in response to deaths and injuries and to 433

prevent future harm. With the agency doing its work there 434 are fewer trips to the emergency room and fewer deaths across 435 436 this great nation. And with our focus on infant and child safety, countless tragedies have been avoided and families 437 have been kept whole. 438 Our agency's recent productivity gains are partially due 439 to an increase in congressional support, both in a one-time 440 441 appropriations under the American Rescue Plan and an increase in fiscal year 2023 funding. Our current and prospective 442 funding levels put that progress in danger. This year CPSC's 443 appropriation was reduced to just \$151 million. To address 444 this year's budget cut and the coming depletion of agency's 445 ARPA funds we have decreased our staff from a high of 583 to 446 about 548 currently, and we anticipate further reductions 447 through attrition. As a result, important work is delayed, 448 in-port inspections are slowed, and consumers are facing more 449 450 risk. 451 Our fiscal year 2025 budget request is \$183 million, which would help us address these issues. It aligns also 452 with Congress's expectations for us. As you mentioned, the 453 House recently passed four bills requiring the CPSC to issue 454

455 safety rules on lithium ion batteries, retractable awnings, to ban high concentration sodium nitrite, and to establish an 456 457 artificial intelligence pilot program. Unfortunately, the House Appropriations Committee approved an fiscal year 2025 458 funding decrease of 6 percent to a level of 142 million. 459 Implementing this cut would result in widespread staff 460 reductions, which would be devastating for consumer safety 461 462 and for the agency. At some point in time we can't do more with less; we can 463 simply do less. 464 The fiscal threat is happening at a time when product 465 safety challenges facing Americans are growing. E-commerce 466 is booming, but so are the number of hazardous and recalled 467 products sold online through online platforms. Americans 468 routinely buy from foreign manufacturers through platforms 469 that do little, if any, vetting of the manufacturers or their 470 products. I am grateful for the bipartisan leaders of this 471 472 committee who are pushing these platforms to prioritize product safety, and I would welcome additional congressional 473 action to hold them accountable. The platforms are in the 474 best position to evaluate the safety of the products that are 475

```
476
     being offered on those sites. That burden should not rest on
     the American consumer.
477
478
          CPSC is also confronting new technologies, such as AI,
     that can change lives but also raise its potential new risks.
479
     CPSC needs to expand its expertise in this and other areas,
480
     but without sufficient funds I fear we are going to miss the
481
     next deadly hazard.
482
483
          Finally, we can continue to see disproportionate death
     and injury rates for certain products in certain underserved
484
     communities. The CPSC has delivered targeted education
485
     campaigns to these communities, but future efforts are at
486
     risk without sufficient funding.
487
488
          In closing, CPSC provides a tremendous value for the
     funds that are provided us. We save lives, we prevent
489
     injuries. But without adequate funding, we can't maintain
490
     our current efforts.
491
          Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.
492
493
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Hoehn-Saric follows:]
494
     *****************************
495
496
```

```
*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the chair. Our next witness is

Richard Trumka -- Richard Trumka, Jr.

And you are recognized for five minutes, sir.
```

501 STATEMENT OF RICHARD L. TRUMKA, JR. 502 503 *Mr. Trumka. Chairs McMorris Rodgers and Bilirakis, Ranking Members Pallone and Schakowsky, members of the 504 subcommittee, thank you for this opportunity to discuss our 505 agency's lifesaving mission. 506 Tomorrow would have been my father's birthday, and that 507 has led me to reflect on a core value that he held while he 508 was still with us, that a lifetime of service to others is 509 the most important dedication one can make. And so I am 510 proud to serve with the over 500 career staff at the CPSC who 511 embody that ideal every day as we work to protect the public 512 from hazards in more than 15,000 product categories. 513 In trying to describe the character of our agency's 514 workforce I will borrow a description I heard from a Michigan 515 firefighter. He talked about problem solvers, and he said, 516 "When problem solvers come upon death and tragedy that they 517 couldn't prevent, it is like adding a rock to an invisible 518 backpack that they will wear for the rest of their lives.' \ 519 The people of the CPSC signed up to shoulder a heavy burden 520 because they are committed to making the world safer for us 521

522 all. The agency's mission weighs heaviest for me each time I 523 524 meet with parents who have suffered the unimaginable tragedy of losing a child or having them grievously injured to a 525 consumer product, people like Mayra Romero-Ferman, Trista 526 Hamsmith, Linda Kaiser, and Ashley Haugen. I hear them and I 527 stand with them. We cry together and we look for solutions 528 529 together. They bear more weight than anyone should. Yet instead of being dragged down, they advocate for safety in 530 the hope that they can stop others from carrying the weight 531 that they do. 532 Every night after I read bedtime stories to my two young 533 kids I read a report of every consumer product related to 534 death that the agency learned about in the country that day, 535 25, 30, sometimes more than 40 deaths: babies who died in 536 their sleep; people who died from carbon monoxide poisoning. 537 I go to sleep thinking about those lives cut short and about 538 539 their families' heartbreak. And I ask myself if I have done everything I could that day to carry the weight and service 540 to those that we have lost and those that we can still 541 protect. 542

543 We also lighten those loads every time products are made safer. We did that with rules to stop child strangulations 544 545 in window blinds, to protect kids from death and injury from magnets and button batteries, to stop dressers from crushing 546 kids, to eliminate crib bumpers and inclined sleepers to save 547 babies' lives, and to stop gruesome deaths of older Americans 548 from bed rails. And the people of the CPSC are working on 549 550 rules to save over 100 more lives per year from carbon monoxide poisoning, save another 100 lives per year from 551 toxic inhalation of aerosol dusters, and save dozens of 552 infants per year from sleep deaths. As hundreds of families 553 grow old together instead of facing tragedy from those 554 products, we will lighten the load. 555 CPSC is also getting more hazardous products out of 556 homes. Last year the agency collaborated on 313 voluntary 557 recalls with companies, the most in recent memory, and we are 558 set to shatter that this year. When companies won't 559 560 collaborate with us on recalls, we are giving consumers the knowledge to protect themselves. We are issuing unilateral 561 recalls about hazards at a record pace. Public health 562 information belongs to the American people. And when we warn 563

564 the public about hazards that we can't immediately fix, we lighten the load. 565 566 I come from a family of coal miners, so I know that working families pay the price when they are kept in the dark 567 on safety issues. Both of my grandfathers died from black 568 lung that they got in the mines. With information, they 569 could have protected themselves. 570 571 Safety information belongs in the hands of retailers, too. They have proven that they want to keep their customers 572 They stopped selling product categories like water 573 beads and weighted infant swaddles when they learned of 574 CPSC's warnings for consumers not to use them, and they have 575 576 lightened that load. Our port inspectors lighten the load when they seize 577 dangerous products at America's borders, products coming in 578 disproportionately from China. 579 Holding bad actors accountable also lightens the load 580 581 and deters others like the first-ever criminal convictions in our Act's history and like the \$19 million Peloton civil 582 penalty for failing to report a hazard that saw 29 children 583 pulled under treadmills and injured, and one child's death. 584

```
585
          And I started by mentioning the importance of service,
     and I am constantly inspired by both the people of the CPSC
586
     and an example set in my own family. My wife is brilliant.
587
     She has got a Cornell biology degree. She could be doing
588
     absolutely anything she wants in the world. But she has felt
589
     called to serve our community in a special education
590
     classroom. And I am proud to say that she was just named the
591
592
     Montgomery County Maryland Paraeducator of the year in a job
     that is too often thankless. Our kids got to see her
593
     recognized for her amazing service, and got to learn about
594
     the importance of service.
595
          Thank you very much.
596
597
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Trumka follows:]
598
     **********************************
599
600
```

```
*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentleman, and now we will

-- our next witness is Douglas Dziak.

And you are recognized, sir, for five minutes.
```

605 STATEMENT OF DOUGLAS DZIAK 606 607 *Mr. Dziak. Good morning, Chair Bilirakis, Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, Ranking Member 608 Pallone, and members of this subcommittee. I appreciate the 609 opportunity to join my colleagues here today to discuss the 610 Consumer Product Safety Commission's Fiscal Year 2025 budget. 611 612 Chairman Bilirakis, I especially want to thank you and your staff for providing the hospitality when we visited you 613 and your constituents at the Starkey Ranch Theatre. 614 met in February you predicted my confirmation was imminent, 615 and your crystal ball must have been very clear that day 616 because I was confirmed by the United States Senate eight 617 618 days later. In addition to the Commission's budget, I want to 619 discuss some of the successes that we have enjoyed during my 620 tenure, present some of my priorities that I believe the 621 622 Commission should focus on. Speaking first to the budget, budgets, be they this 623 Commission's, the Federal budget, or the budgets millions of 624 Americans put together in their homes to help manage the 625

626 present and plan for the future, they require that priorities be set and choices, sometimes difficult, be made. 627 628 Unrealistic budget requests are of limited utility for planning and operational management. My colleagues and I 629 will soon begin work on the Commission's operating plan, 630 which will require us to make those difficult resource 631 decisions. I look forward to working with my colleagues to 632 633 put together a thoughtful plan. Budgeting is but one part of the commissioner's job; 634 working with stakeholders is another. One of my priorities 635 is to be available to all stakeholders. One of the best 636 examples of why this approach matters came from listening 637 sessions Commissioner Feldman and I had with the Eastern 638 Shoshone tribe on the Wind River Reservation in Wyoming. 639 Council Chairman John St. Clair pointed out, when conducting 640 informational and educational campaigns aimed at Native 641 Americans, the Commission should and needed to understand the 642 643 challenges his members faced. He noted that many members of the Eastern Shoshone spend a lot of time in their cars, 644 listening to the radio. He went on to explain the challenges 645 of Internet and phone connectivity in rural areas like the 646

647 Wind River Reservation. Consequently, he noted, Internet, social media, and other common Commission means for reaching 648 649 consumers with messaging would be limited on the reservation. Later, Commissioner Feldman and I helped steer a new 650 safety campaign utilizing over-the-air radio and billboards 651 for Native American communities, whose infants are 2.7 times 652 more likely than non-Hispanic, White infants to die from 653 654 accidental causes before their first birthday. Thanks to his suggestion during our meetings, the Commission is now 655 reaching more Native American communities with lifesaving 656 safety and educational information. We accomplished this by 657 listening. 658 I maintain an open door policy for all stakeholders, 659 including those harmed by safe -- unsafe products, their 660 families, safety advocates, safety professionals, and the 661 business community that ultimately implements safety 662 improvements. There are no monopoly on good ideas -- there 663 664 is no monopoly on good ideas. My experience has also taught me that government efforts 665 can be siloed. I want to work with this committee, entities 666 like the Government Accountability Office, and our sister 667

agencies to understand where our collective efforts can be 668 leveraged and efficiencies gained. One example of this 669 670 collaboration is the success we see at our ports of entry and the work our port inspectors do with the United States 671 Customs and Border Patrol. 672 While increases in our appropriations could be put to 673 many uses, based on the growth in the Commission's most 674 675 recent appropriations I believe we must plan conservatively. This means we will need to find ways to work harder and do 676 more with less. For example, I believe one method for doing 677 this would be considering leveraging relationships with 678 higher education -- higher educational institutions where 679 680 appropriate and feasible. Let me turn briefly to some of my priorities that I 681 would like to focus on as a commissioner. One is the safety 682 of older Americans, who face an annual -- an average annual 683 injury rate of three million injuries associated with 684 685 consumer products. Second, I want to work to reduce the number of failed 686 childhood drownings. Drownings which result in roughly 4,000 687 deaths each year is the leading cause of death for children 688

```
ages 1 to 4. And unfortunately, overall deaths, according to
689
     CDC data, have been rising.
690
691
          Finally, I want to work with my colleagues toward our
     joint goal of improving e-commerce safety. With regard to e-
692
     commerce, these sales platforms must do a better job with
693
     respect to the sale of dangerous consumer products and, in
694
     certain instances, the illegal resale of recalled products.
695
696
          The Commission's responsibility covers thousands of
     consumer products. Increasingly we face the emergence of
697
     direct-to-consumer sales from foreign e-commerce platforms
698
     such as Shien and Temu that allow for the purchase of
699
     products directly from overseas manufacturers, especially
700
701
     from China, where manufacturers may not be concerned with
     safety -- American safety regulations and laws.
702
          New issues arise each day in this job, but these are
703
     some of the some of the issues that keep me awake, and I
704
     appreciate the opportunity to share my views, and look
705
706
     forward to working with you in the future. Thank you.
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Dziak follows:]
707
708
     *********************************
709
710
                                    39
```

711	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentleman, and I appreciate
712	you coming down to the district. It was very informative, a
713	very informative presentation. We appreciated it very much.
714	Next is Mary Boyle.
715	Commissioner Boyle, you are recognized for five minutes.
716	

717 STATEMENT OF MARY T. BOYLE 718 719 *Ms. Boyle. Good afternoon, Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, and Ranking Member 720 Pallone, and distinguished members of the committee. It is 721 722 an honor to testify on the important work the Consumer Product Safety Commission does to execute its mission to 723 724 protect American consumers. For the past two years I have had the privilege of 725 fulfilling that mission through my service as a commissioner. 726 My work today draws upon expertise developed over more than a 727 decade of service at CPSC in a variety of roles, including as 728 general counsel and executive director. I am deeply 729 committed to the agency's mission, a mission that our 730 talented and dedicated staff pursues day in and day out using 731 the tools and resources Congress provides. 732 733 I also recognize that CPSC's work requires a commitment 734 to collaboration, and that multiple stakeholders play important roles in ensuring that products are safe. Indeed, 735 by statute, responsibility for product safety is distributed 736 to both the agency and to industry participants. Industry, 737

738 no doubt, should play a critical role by making safe products in the first place. But when potential problems arise, the 739 740 law requires companies to act and to act quickly by reporting those issues to CPSC. That reporting obligation confirms 741 that the statutory scheme envisions product safety to be a 742 743 shared endeavor. The crux of this dual responsibility stems from the fact 744 745 that CPSC does not confer a pre-market approval on products. That may come as a surprise to many Americans who may assume 746 that products they buy have been vetted in advance by the 747 government. In fact, CPSC must rely on voluntary standards 748 if there is wide compliance with an effective industry 749 750 standard. Because the Consumer Product Safety Act assigns 751 important obligations to industry, CPSC must be vigilant in 752 using all its authorities when companies do not meet their 753 754 obligations. That is why I support significant civil 755 penalties for companies that fail to timely report information to CPSC, and believe that a higher statutory 756 maximum penalty is in order. Companies with revenues in the 757 hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars may see the 758

759 current statutory maximum of just over 17 million as a cost of doing business. 760 761 I also support robust enforcement of the law. CPSC is the cop on the beat, and we must not hesitate to enforce the 762 law against bad actors and companies that fail to take 763 responsibility for the safety of their products. 764 Commission's work protecting the most vulnerable consumers, 765 766 infants, and young children has been especially important to me, and I appreciate Congress's leadership in this area. 767 Safe Sleep for Babies Act, the STUDY Act, and Reese's Law 768 have all strengthened our hand considerably in protecting the 769 youngest Americans. 770 771 Although the agency has adopted relatively few regulations compared to the thousands of product categories 772 within its jurisdiction, mandatory standards are essential 773 when industry fails to establish and comply with effective 774 775 voluntary standards. As an example I would point to the 776 Commission's unanimous approval in 2022 of a regulation for adult portable bed rails, which pose entrapment and 777 suffocation risks that fell disproportionately on seniors and 778 disabled individuals. 779

780 Despite nearly 300 fatalities, effective voluntary standards were not put into place, necessitating mandatory 781 782 requirements. Notably, more than 70 percent of the incidents involved female victims. Although CPSC staff was unable to 783 determine a reason for this finding, life expectancy alone 784 did not account for the stark difference. I was therefore 785 pleased to receive unanimous support for my amendment to the 786 787 agency's operating plan directing research on safety hazards for older consumers, including an evaluation of gender 788 disparities. I am mindful that the use of female crash 789 dummies in the auto safety context is relatively new, and I 790 believe that gender differences can similarly shape risk 791 792 profiles for consumer products. CPSC must also play an essential role in addressing new 793 or emerging product hazards borne of innovation and fast-794 paced changes in technology. Although there are many 795 products that illustrate this point, I offer e-bikes as an 796 example where innovations are outpacing safety to the 797 detriment of consumers, particularly children. 798 Commission heard testimony, for example, about one county's 799 experience with sharply increased rates of e-bike accidents 800

801 and severity of injuries among 10 to 15-year-old riders. I applaud the work of this committee for addressing the 802 803 fire hazard associated with lithium ion batteries used in ebikes and other devices that will surely help save lives, but 804 more needs to be done to ensure that safety keeps pace with 805 the burgeoning use of e-bikes and the corresponding rise in 806 deaths and injuries unrelated to fires we are seeing. 807 808 Despite obvious differences in weight, speed, brakes, and other mechanical attributes, e-bikes are currently regulated 809 as traditional pedal bicycles. I am encouraged that the 810 Commission has taken an initial step through a recent advance 811 notice of proposed rulemaking to collect information from the 812 public on e-bikes and how to address them. 813 With e-bikes and so much more, CPSC faces a wide range 814 of evolving challenges. To meet those challenges it is 815 imperative that the agency be provided adequate resources. 816 And as this committee considers the budget, I respectfully 817 818 request that you support funding at levels that will allow us to effectively protect American consumers. Lives literally 819 are at stake. 820 Thank you, and I look forward to your questions. 821

822	[The prepared statement of Ms. Boyle follows:]
823	
824	**************************************
825	

826	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. Our next
827	witness, our final witness, is Peter Feldman.
828	And you are recognized, sir, for five minutes.
829	

830 STATEMENT OF PETER FELDMAN 831 832 *Mr. Feldman. Thank you very much, Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair Rodgers, and Ranking Member 833 Pallone, and thank you for the opportunity to appear before 834 you today. 835 Chairman Bilirakis, before I begin I wanted to let you 836 837 know how much Commissioner Dziak and I enjoyed visiting you in New Port Richey to discuss our work and to hear directly 838 from your constituents about how we can serve them better. 839 CPSC's budget is a critical planning and management tool 840 reflecting the agency's vast jurisdiction in the important 841 safety mission that we carry. While I believe we can do more 842 with less, there are also areas where additional resources 843 could be helpful, including further expansion of our 844 compliance and import surveillance capabilities. Budget 845 requests that are not grounded in realistic assumptions about 846 847 our appropriations level aren't useful as planning and management tools. I have also voted against budget requests 848 that don't fully reflect my priorities. 849 But good management is based on measurable results. 850

851 Strategic increases where we can demonstrate a return on investment are worthy of congressional consideration. Since 852 853 I last appeared before this subcommittee I have worked to make specific investments within our existing appropriation 854 that I would like to highlight today. 855 CPSC's port inspectors are the frontline workers who 856 assess, screen, and interdict dangerous consumer goods 857 858 entering the United States before they ever make it into consumer hands. These shipments often originate in countries 859 that don't respect our laws, such as China. For American 860 families, every seizure of a dangerous or violative product 861 yields a commensurate reduction in the risk of illness, 862 injury, or death. To put it simply, CPSC port inspectors 863 save American lives. 864 During the COVID-19 pandemic, CPSC's acting chairman 865 chose to withdraw from the ports, sending our inspectors home 866 for months on end. During this time screenings and 867 868 interdictions dropped to zero, despite the full resumption of trade and our partner agencies never having abandoned their 869 posts. That was wrong, and American consumers deserve 870 better. Over objections I pushed to restart this essential 871

872 function and to secure the largest port inspector hiring blitz in the agency's history. Screenings are now at an all-873 874 time high, and our team is working more effectively than 875 ever. Let's look at the numbers. CPSC expanded the number of 876 inspectors by 70 percent in the fiscal year 2022, up from its 877 pre-pandemic low, prioritizing both high-volume ports and 878 879 those regularly processing inbound e-commerce shipments. Screenings rebounded by more than 350 percent. 880 inspections have led to increased seizures, with almost 14 881 million violative products seized within the last year, a 320 882 percent increase from our pandemic lows. Each of these 883 seizures represents a dangerous product that didn't reach 884 American homes, and almost certainly emergency room visits 885 that never happened, homes that are still standing, and 886 funerals that were never planned. 887 When CPSC finds hazardous or violative products being 888 889 shipped into the country or posted online, the Office of Compliance is our enforcement team. It issues violations, 890 negotiates recalls, and pursues civil and criminal penalties 891 were appropriate. When I arrived at CPSC, this team needed 892

893 attention after significant neglect. I secured the first funding increase Compliance had seen in years. I then 894 895 secured an additional 30 percent increase, representing the largest single investment in the office's history. 896 were not additional budget requests to Congress, just a more 897 responsible allocation of resources Congress had provided our 898 agency. 899 900 I don't know why previous agency leadership disbanded our Children's Product Defect Team, but I worked to reinstate 901 it because protecting children is our highest priority. 902 Again, let's look at the numbers. Last fiscal year our team 903 negotiated 313 recalls, and is bringing bigger and more 904 consequential cases against firms that flout the law. This 905 fiscal year CPSC saw its first-ever criminal convictions. 906 These investments are paying dividends. CPSC is a more 907 muscular agency than it used to be, and those that violate 908 the law or import low-quality goods from abroad should be on 909 notice. This Commission is focused and resolved to enforce 910 911 our statute. In conclusion, I am pleased to report that comity among 912 the commissioners is as high as I have experienced since my 913

```
914
     arrival, something that I know Congress has cared about
     historically. This would not be possible without the work of
915
916
     our chairman, Alex Hoehn-Saric. I have been critical of past
     leadership when they have fallen short, and I am not afraid
917
     to pay compliments where they are due. I want to acknowledge
918
     him for his leadership.
919
          I also want to recognize my colleagues, Commissioner
920
921
     Trumka, Boyle, Dziak, all of whom share the goal of keeping
     Americans safe.
922
          Thank you for your attention. I look forward to your
923
     questions.
924
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Feldman follows:]
925
926
     927
928
```

929 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Commissioner. Now I will begin the questioning, so I will recognize myself for five 930 931 minutes. Chair Hoehn-Saric, I am sorry if I mispronounced. 932 Thank you again for being here before us. 933 The Commission's inspector general does great work. 934 believe you could better utilize appropriated funds by 935 936 following recommendations the IG provides in recent reports sent to Congress. Are you familiar with the fiscal year 2023 937 financial statement audit? 938 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I am. 939 *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, thank you. In that report 940 outside independent auditors identified many troubling 941 findings. This report painted a picture of lost money, 942 missed deadlines, and other troubling issues. 943 I am also aware that a FSMA assessment from fiscal year 944 2023 found that the Commission failed to meet deadlines for 945 946 IT security improvements. It certainly seems that you might be able to have more funds on hand if there was a better 947 handle on the use of taxpayer dollars. Are you, as the 948 chair, giving the IG all the cooperation he requires to 949

```
950
     identify and reduce waste at the Commission, sir?
          *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Yes, sir, we are. We are working
951
952
     with the IG. I think he is doing important work.
          I would note with our financial statement there was no
953
     allegations of waste, fraud, and abuse. It was a focus on
954
     depreciations and accruals, which was -- we changed auditors
955
     and they had a different perspective than our prior auditor.
956
957
     But that actually has no effect on the budget side of things
     with -- for the Federal Government, because we don't deal
958
     with accruals and depreciation like a private-sector company
959
     does.
960
          With respect to the IG, I know that he is looking to
961
     hire. We are supporting that. And actually, in our fiscal
962
     year 2025 budget we have allocated an increase of 3 people
963
     for his offices. So I think the -- an increase in our
964
     funding would go across the agency to include the IG, as
965
966
     well.
          *Mr. Bilirakis. I want to discuss the cost of the
967
     Commission's real estate next. I know CPSC has continued to
968
     authorize staff to work from home in a hybrid manner multiple
969
     days a week. While I think it is important for staff to be
970
```

```
971
     in the building most of all -- in my opinion they should be
     in the building most days, anyway -- I am open to remote
972
973
     flexibilities, as I said, if it does not negatively impact
     productivity and could save taxpayer money.
974
          In fact, the Commission's fiscal year 2023 capital plan
975
     called for returning just over half of the current office
976
     space to GSA, which could have resulted in a projected
977
978
     savings of $2.4 million, taxpayer dollars. However, in the
     fiscal year 2024 capital plan, that number shrunk to
979
     returning only 12 percent of the space, and without a cost
980
     saving estimate, notably absent from the fiscal year 2024
981
982
     plan.
983
          So the chair -- I ask the chair again, can you tell us
     why that number shrank from the previous year?
984
          And also, can you tell us what current occupancy
985
     utilization rate -- what it is, the current rate, please?
986
          *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Sure. We are returning about 15,000
987
988
     square feet to the process, which will result in savings for
     the agency. The analysis was done consistent with GAO's
989
     analysis of how many square feet are appropriate per
990
     employee. That will take us to the GSA's criteria. In that
991
```

```
992
      we are working consistently -- we are open to looking at more
      ways to be able to examine whether there is additional space
993
994
      that we can give back.
           There are complications always with that, both in terms
995
      of the labs that we have -- we need those labs, and we -- and
996
      it is not a matter of giving space back in those labs. With
997
      respect to things like -- you know, it has been suggested
998
999
      that we give up conference rooms. Unfortunately, we can't
      give up individual conference rooms within our building
1000
      because you can't rent out space within the middle.
1001
      suggestions like those, obviously, we can't look at.
1002
      overall, we are looking to squeeze wherever we can. And that
1003
      is a process that takes months on end working with GSA to
1004
      return those properties.
1005
           So we will look to see if there are other ways to get an
1006
      extra dollar out, but we can only do what we can do.
1007
           *Mr. Bilirakis. I encourage you to do so, obviously.
1008
           In my opening -- I guess I have got to yield back. I
1009
      will submit the questions; I want to be fair to everyone.
1010
           [The information follows:]
1011
```

1012

1015 *Mr. Bilirakis. So I will recognize the ranking member of the subcommittee Ms. Schakowsky, for her five minutes of 1016 1017 questioning. *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have some 1018 things I want to talk to you about. 1019 The CPSC is already one of the very smallest agencies 1020 right now in government. But I have to say that your work is 1021 1022 probably closest to home. I am talking about every -individual homes of Americans across the country, and that 1023 families are participants in what you do. I have worked with 1024 so many families whose children have been harmed and come to 1025 you, and you want to be there. All of you want to be there 1026 1027 for them. And I just feel like such a small agency, where you have about 500 employees and -- what is that? How 1028 many --1029 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. We are at about --1030 *Ms. Schakowsky. Is that five million that you have to 1031 1032 take care of? So I am a little offended by the idea that we are picking at an agency that does so much for so many and 1033 that, you know, we have to look at whether or not we have to 1034 get rid of rooms, et cetera. I believe in efficiency. There 1035

1036 is no question about it. But I wanted again to say what are some of the things 1037 1038 that people will really feel if the budget is cut? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Thank you for the question. 1039 If the budget is cut, like has been suggested by House 1040 Appropriations, it will result in fewer people. Already we 1041 are down about 35 people from our high. That means there are 1042 1043 going to be less people at the ports, which means that there is going to be more dangerous products coming into the 1044 country. That means there is going to be less people working 1045 in compliance, which means that there will probably be fewer 1046 recalls and less people to go after the bad actors who are 1047 1048 out there. There are going to be less people who are going to be at the labs, who are going to be looking at the dangers 1049 that the people on the committee have spoken about. There is 1050 going to be less people on our communications side so we 1051 aren't going to be getting out the message about drowning 1052 1053 prevention, about how to protect people from carbon monoxide poisoning following hurricanes. 1054 All in all, across the board, we are going to see less 1055 work, more dangers for American consumers. 1056

1057 *Ms. Schakowsky. So you mentioned the ports but also online marketplaces. Could you use more funding, and more 1058 1059 tools, and maybe more authorities in order to make sure that you can find those products that come from overseas, China, 1060 1061 et cetera? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. More and more, the products that 1062 consumers are buying are being bought through e-commerce, and 1063 1064 it has become a tremendous issue both in terms of our ability to go after the manufacturers when there is a problem -- too 1065 often we will reach out to a company that has manufactured a 1066 defective product that is overseas in China or other places, 1067 and they simply won't respond to us. And so at that point in 1068 1069 time we are left not being able to do more than give a warning, and the consumers are left with a dangerous product 1070 in their home. Those products really are going through these 1071 e-commerce platforms, which should be doing more to be able 1072 to vet the products that are being offered on their sites and 1073 1074 to be able to give recourse to consumers. Similarly, the number of products that are coming in is 1075 just growing, and we only have a limited number of people at 1076 the ports. So for us, it is -- the money is necessary to do 1077

1078 that enforcement and to do the -- stopping the products at the ports. 1079 1080 *Ms. Schakowsky. I just have a couple of seconds. just wondered what -- you have done a lot on the issue of 1081 protecting kids from sleepers, et cetera. I just wanted to 1082 thank you for that. I see that I am out of time. 1083 Oh, no, I quess I am not. 1084 *Mr. Bilirakis. You still have a little bit. 1085 1086 *Ms. Schakowsky. I am sorry? *Mr. Bilirakis. You have another -- almost a minute. 1087 *Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. Yes, if you could, talk a 1088 little bit more and enlighten us on the work that you have 1089 1090 been doing on the important work on children. *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So we have been very active 1091 protecting children. We have a number of rulemakings that 1092 are actually open at this point in time. We did a rule 1093 proposal to make nursing pillows safer, we did rule proposals 1094 1095 to make infant cushions safer. Those are near the end of the process, and I would predict that the staff would likely send 1096 a final rule proposal up to us. 1097 We have done a tremendous amount implementing the Safe 1098

- 1099 Sleep for Babies Act that you all passed and have directed
- 1100 us. And at this point in time, for us, it is an enforcement
- 1101 mechanism -- enforcement for us which we are dedicating
- 1102 resources to, and making sure the law that you passed is
- 1103 being put into operation.
- *Ms. Schakowsky. It is great work. We are happy to
- 1105 partner with you on that in the -- from the -- our
- 1106 subcommittee.
- 1107 Thank you very much. I yield back.
- 1108 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. I now
- 1109 recognize the chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for
- 1110 her five minutes of questioning.
- *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 1112 *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes.
- *The Chair. Chair Hoehn-Saric, thank you and your
- 1114 fellow commissioners for being here today and for what your
- 1115 career staff do to protect children, all of you.
- 1116 As chair, do you believe you have a firm grasp on
- 1117 directing the agenda of the Commission and managing the
- 1118 current resources allocated to the CPSC?
- 1119 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I have a good grasp of what is going

1120 The direction of the agency as a whole is done through the level of the Commission. The operations is my 1121 1122 responsibility, as manager. *The Chair. Are there any areas where you think the 1123 CPSC could save money with acting with more consensus, such 1124 as with five-to-zero votes, allow the Commission to operate 1125 more efficiently? 1126 1127 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. We always try to get to consensus on our actions. I think our most recent operating plan was 1128 generally supported by the -- all the commissioners, so that 1129 is an opportunity that we do every year to do an operating 1130 plan so that everybody's thoughts can be put forward, and we 1131 1132 can give a general direction to the staff as to what is the highest priorities. 1133 *The Chair. Okay. Wouldn't such consensus result in 1134 savings on potential litigation costs for the CPSC if 1135 rulemakings are conducted in a manner that does not invite 1136 1137 successful legal challenge? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Unfortunately, I found that, 1138 regardless of what we do, we tend to be sued. So any time we 1139 do a rule, any time we do most actions, we end up in court, 1140

1141 which is unfortunate but sometimes inevitable. *The Chair. Okay. In the post-Chevron world Federal 1142 agencies are limited to the plain meaning of statutes. 1143 me, that means no personnel time should be spent on 1144 developing novel legal theories to expand their regulatory 1145 authority. Is this an opportunity for the CPSC to rethink 1146 how you are using limited resources? 1147 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I think, as a general matter, the 1148 CPSC has been conservative in its approach to be able to look 1149 at the plain reading of the statutes that Congress has 1150 provided to us. 1151 I understand the Supreme Court's recent decision, and I 1152 1153 think that will also inform us going forward to make sure 1154 that we are looking at what the plain reading of the language is. 1155 *The Chair. Thank you. In the case of outside 1156 contractors used by the CPSC, are there -- are those all done 1157 1158 through a bidding process? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. We do it with consistent with the 1159 FAR's requirements. Some are a bidding process, some of them 1160 are single source. 1161

1162 *The Chair. It is my understanding that the Commission has engaged in multiple no-bid contracts totaling around \$4 1163 1164 million. The no-bid contracts I am -- that I am aware of have all been awarded to just one individual. Are you aware 1165 1166 of that? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I believe you are talking about the 1167 Boise State contract, yes. 1168 1169 *The Chair. Commissioner Feldman, thank you for your long service at the CPSC. As I mentioned, I appreciate the 1170 work of the chair and the commitment he has shown to improve 1171 the condition of this agency. With any government agency, 1172 there is always areas for improvement. Given my line of 1173 1174 questioning, where do you see improvements in cost savings and consensus building at the Commission? 1175 *Mr. Feldman. Chair Rodgers, thank you for the 1176 question. 1177 In terms of consensus building, I appreciate your 1178 1179 recognition of the progress we have made. We don't agree on everything, but the vast majority of the agency's important 1180 safety work is agreed to unanimously. 1181 You asked about cost savings. A significant portion of 1182

1183 the agency's budget is directed at salaries and expenses. The Biden Administration's mandated five percent salary 1184 1185 increase puts significant strain on our operational budget. I am not proposing salary cuts or a reduction in force. In 1186 fact, I want to protect the critical hires that we made to 1187 strengthen our import, surveillance, and compliance teams. 1188 But we find ourselves in a particularly lean posture. 1189 1190 *The Chair. Okay, thank you. We certainly understand this all has to be a two-way street. I am pleased that we 1191 have a five-member Commission, and that you all are here 1192 today answering these questions, and look forward to 1193 continuing to work with you. 1194 1195 I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the chair and now recognize the 1196 ranking member for his five minutes of questioning. 1197 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1198 *Mr. Pallone. This Congress this subcommittee has advanced legislation 1199 1200 requiring, as was mentioned before -- I think the chairman mentioned it -- that -- requiring the CPSC to take action to 1201 address hazards caused by lithium ion batteries, motorized 1202 retractable awnings, high concentration sodium nitrate in 1203

pools and spas. And I have every confidence in your ability 1204 to implement these pieces of legislation and keep our 1205 1206 children and their families safe. However, as I mentioned in my opening, adequate funding 1207 is critical to make these goals a reality, yet Congress keeps 1208 asking you to do more with less. And when House Republicans 1209 threaten to make cuts to CPSC's budget, they are putting --1210 1211 or they have the potential of putting children's lives in 1212 danger, and corporate profits over the safety of their constituents. 1213 But I am particularly concerned about stifling the 1214 CPSC's ongoing efforts on water beads, as I mentioned before, 1215 1216 which poses a known and active threat to young children. I wanted to ask the chair, can you provide an update on the 1217 steps the CPSC is taking to address the risks posed to young 1218 children by water beads? 1219 And how would clear direction from Congress and full 1220 1221 funding for the agencies accelerate your actions and get these dangerous products out of the hands of young children 1222 as soon as possible? 1223 And, you know, I -- of course, a large part of why I am 1224

1225 aware of this is because of what you have already done. if you would, just give us an update. 1226 1227 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Ranking Member, as you have stated in your opening, we have an active education campaign out to 1228 consumers about the dangers associated with water beads. 1229 There was a recall, and we have warned about other water bead 1230 products that have high levels of acrylamide, which could be 1231 1232 harmful to children if swallowed. 1233 So in addition to that we have in our work plan to be able to put out a notice of proposed rulemaking to regulate 1234 water beads, as well. You know, clearly, this is -- even 1235 with our education campaign, there is dangers out there. 1236 There was an article just the other day about a daycare 1237 center in which multiple children ended up swallowing water 1238 beads. One of them, one of the people, is -- was in critical 1239 condition, and two others were in the emergency room and 1240 getting medical treatment as a result. 1241 1242 So we are moving forward, but our rules take a long time. Action by Congress could speed through all of that and 1243 get a clear -- and as, you know, the chair had said, we often 1244 get sued. You provide clarity to the marketplace, and you 1245

can do it quickly through a law. 1246 *Mr. Pallone. Well, thank you. 1247 And the next question I had, Mr. Chairman, was about --1248 was brought up in part by Ranking Member Schakowsky. As part 1249 of the American Rescue Plan the CPSC was given funds to 1250 strengthen port surveillance and enforcement for the massive 1251 influx of e-commerce shipments into the U.S., and we know 1252 1253 that the e-commerce marketplace is growing, and more consumer products are being shipped from foreign countries to American 1254 1255 consumers. So when do you expect the additional funds included in 1256 this American Rescue Plan law to run out? 1257 And what would be the impact on port surveillance and e-1258 commerce enforcement if additional funds are not 1259 appropriated? 1260 I know that Ms. Schakowsky mentioned this, but I just 1261 wanted you to dwell -- you know, a little more detail. 1262 1263 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Well, we anticipate the ARPA funds to run out at the beginning of next year. Currently, we have 17 1264 port staff who are funded through the ARPA funds. We would 1265 have to figure out either -- how to incorporate them in. 1266

1267 given the cut that the House is talking about, that would just be devastating for the agency. 1268 1269 *Mr. Pallone. All right, let me just ask you one more thing here. Could you just describe the challenges that the 1270 agency faces when attempting to hold online marketplaces and 1271 businesses accountable, and what more Congress can do to help 1272 in that regard? 1273 1274 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So we often have trouble getting a hold of the companies that are selling through these online 1275 marketplaces if they are based overseas. And honestly, if 1276 they are just based overseas, there is not a whole lot that 1277 we can do about them. They often disappear, and we can't 1278 1279 push for a recall, we can just push for warnings. Really, the question is for consumers, when they are 1280 shopping online, they expect the same level of service and 1281 protection that they get at the corner market, and they 1282 should get that. So, you know, having, you know, a 1283 1284 reaffirmation of our authority with respect to online marketplaces -- and honestly, online marketplaces really are 1285 in the best position to do even more to be able to vet their 1286 manufacturers, vet the products on there and make sure they 1287

are safe before they are offered to consumers.

1288

1305

1306

1307

1308

And honestly, in addition to that, the legislation that 1289 1290 Mr. Schakowsky has is a critical part of that. We have a cap of \$17 million for civil penalties. And when you talk about 1291 large companies, whether they are online platforms or others, 1292 they may have market caps of hundreds of billions or 1293 trillions of dollars. Seventeen million is a cost of doing 1294 1295 business, it is not a deterrent. 1296 *Mr. Pallone. All right, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1297 *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it. The gentleman yields 1298 back. Now I recognize the gentleman from South Carolina, Mr. 1299 1300 Duncan, for his five minutes of questioning. *Mr. Duncan. 1301 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Commissioners, thanks for joining us. Today will be the 1302 good, the bad, and the ugly. 1303 First I would like to commend you for considering the 1304

new standard for portable generators. This new standard

emissions of harmful carbon monoxide. Sadly, we have seen

some recent deaths in Texas and Louisiana resulting from

would require the installation of technology to lower

1309 harmful emissions of carbon dioxide from some of these generators. These deaths are entirely preventable. 1310 1311 proposed CPSC rule will save lives since it would apply to all companies that make or sell portable generators in the 1312 U.S. I hope the CPSC will move as fast as possible to issue 1313 this proposed regulation. 1314 I would be interested in the timeline for when that 1315 1316 would be issued, Mr. Chairman. *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. At this point in time we have to 1317 provide data on the underlying injuries that, unfortunately, 1318 because of the large number of injuries and incidents that 1319 you are pointing out, is taking us some time. It is probably 1320 1321 more likely to be in the coming year, as opposed to the 1322 current year. *Mr. Duncan. All right. Unfortunately, I have to 1323 contrast the good rule that you guys hopefully will roll out 1324 with the awful rule on table saws, a rule which kills the 1325 1326 table saw industry in my district by mandating the entire industry add patented technology at great cost to the benefit 1327 of a single individual. It is simply unacceptable and 1328 unconstitutional, and that is why I am a proud cosponsor and 1329

1330 lead Republican on H.R. 8181, the bipartisan legislation introduced by our Democrat colleague, Ms. Perez, that would 1331 overturn this rule. I am glad that the Appropriations 1332 Committee has also included language in this year's bill that 1333 would eliminate the funding for this rule, and I hope that 1334 you will note the bipartisan nature of our opposition to your 1335 work. 1336 1337 I would also note that the same FSGG bill also precludes your work on the ill-formed -- ill-informed rule on off-road 1338 vehicles, which are also made in South Carolina. Part of 1339 what makes the portable generator rule workable is its 1340 reliance on the UL voluntary standard. It is precisely what 1341 1342 is wrong with these other two rules. Rather than allow industry to serve customers with what works, you are trying 1343 to overrule them in ways that simply aren't common sense. 1344 Commissioner Feldman, I noticed you dissented and 1345 provided an extensive statement on the table saw matter. 1346 1347 you talk about that? *Mr. Feldman. Mr. Duncan, I appreciate the question 1348 because I am opposed to this rule as currently drafted. I am 1349 concerned that it would add significant cost to the table 1350

1351 saws that contractors and hobbyists use every day, without offering much in the way of additional safety. This isn't a 1352 1353 hidden hazard. The rule would raise significant competition concerns, and threatens to concentrate market power in a way 1354 that I believe would be highly problematic. 1355 Monopolies are bad, government-sanctioned monopolies are 1356 worse, and SawStop's pledge to dedicate just 1 of its over 1357 1358 140 patents was a stunt. The patent isn't even the patent it is asserting to keep competitors out of the marketplace. 1359 as currently drafted, and given SawStop's continued 1360 litigiousness, I am not aware that staff has identified a 1361 path forward on this rule. 1362 1363 At the same time, I am not sure that there is a majority to remove it from our agenda, so I do worry that the 1364 Commission could move to finalize this rule absent clear 1365 direction from Congress to the contrary. 1366 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, thank you for that. All right. 1367 1368 we have had the good and the bad. Let's bring us to Commissioner Trumka. 1369 You have a history of name-and-shame letters that seek 1370 to bully manufacturers with the air of government authority 1371

```
1372
      that, let me be clear here, is completely illegitimate.
      Meanwhile, you famously decline meetings with manufacturers
1373
1374
      you seek to regulate. You aren't seeking consumer safety,
      you are just trying to bully American companies which you
1375
      don't like.
1376
           The rulemaking process requires consensus among the
1377
      Commission and allows fairness. Recently, Commissioner
1378
1379
      Trumka was allowed to work independently outside the
      rulemaking process, and was successful in shutting down sales
1380
      of a category of products from being sold at major retailers.
1381
      He even used CPSC stationery to do so.
1382
           Mr. Chairman, I would like to enter into the record a
1383
1384
      copy of the letter that he sent on CPSC stationery.
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1385
           [The information follows:]
1386
1387
      1388
1389
```

1390 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you. Leading some to believe that this was a communication 1391 1392 from the full Commission, this decision was based on insufficient data and no formal definition of this category 1393 of products. This sets the wrong precedence for CPSC against 1394 industry, rendering industry's efforts to conduct studies, 1395 share data, and work through the processes rather pointless. 1396 1397 So Mr. Chairman, how can a single commissioner be allowed to effectively induce a ban of product category or a 1398 ban of a product category without any due process or a 1399 Commission vote? 1400 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So as you noted, this is not an 1401 action of the whole Commission. Each commissioner has the 1402 ability to go and speak their minds and preferences. 1403 I have made sure and asked that commissioners be clear 1404 about when they are speaking for themselves, as opposed to 1405 when they are speaking for the Commission, and to put that in 1406 1407 the writings going forward. But at that point I am sure you wouldn't want me to tell my, you know, Republican colleagues 1408 to be quiet and not talk. Each commissioner has the ability 1409 to go out and state their preferences. It is important, 1410

1411 though, for them to -- for people to understand when they are speaking --1412 1413 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, but the way it comes across as bullying, and it comes across to the industry that it might 1414 be an official position of the CPSC. 1415 Commissioner Trumka took several meetings with consumer 1416 advocates. However, he does not respond to industry meeting 1417 1418 requests. CPSC is a regulator, not a consumer advocacy organization. How can a commissioner do his or her job 1419 without meeting with all the stakeholders? Is that the 1420 common practice at CPSC? 1421 *Mr. Trumka. Sir, I appreciate the question. I accept 1422 information from all sources. I think it is valuable to do 1423 I have sometimes declined meeting requests with 1424 regulated entities when we have an open agency action, but I 1425 am always willing to accept written information, and I --1426 *Mr. Duncan. That is not what I have been told, but I 1427 1428 will take your word at it. You are also known not to announce meetings on the 1429 public calendar in a timely manner or on time. How can 1430 industry rely on CPSC to be fair to all parties when such 1431

- basic steps to encourage inclusivity from all stakeholders
 are repeatedly, intentionally ignored?

 *Mr. Trumka. I --
- *Mr. Bilirakis. I will allow the gentleman to respond, but quickly.
- 1437 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you --
- 1438 *Mr. Trumka. I post all of my meetings publicly and
- 1439 timely. They are available on the CPSC's website. Thank
- 1440 you.
- 1441 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, thank you.
- 1442 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, the gentleman yields back.
- Now I will recognize Mr. Soto from the great State of Florida
- 1444 for his five minutes of questioning.
- *Mr. Soto. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and thank
- 1446 you to all our commissioners on the Consumer Product Safety
- 1447 Commission for the work you do to protect families.
- You know, in my district the median age is 34, so a lot
- 1449 of young families with children. And when you look at all
- these laws that we passed just recently about cribs and
- dressers, pools, batteries, exercise bikes, awnings -- right?
- 1452 Who would have thought awnings, right? And particularly the

1453 Virginia Graham Pool Safety Grant program is important for I have spoken to many firefighters and EMTs who, Florida. 1454 1455 unfortunately, even in two or three feet of water, a child who couldn't swim, the pool wasn't secured, and we lost them. 1456 Chair Hoehn-Saric, first, welcome back to the committee. 1457 Thank you for your service. You know all the ins and outs of 1458 the committee. We just passed out of the House the Consumer 1459 1460 Safety Technology Act. It allows for your Commission to use AI to track reports of fraud or injury or other things. How 1461 important is the use of AI becoming in the work that the 1462 Consumer Product Safety Commission is doing? 1463 And how important is funding to make those efforts go 1464 1465 forward? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Thank you for the question. 1466 AI is incredibly important, both from the internal side for 1467 the agency to use it and to be able to sort through the 1468 terabytes of data that we are getting in from various 1469 1470 sources. It is impossible for any individual to be able to 1471 go through that. You need a system to be able to look through that, through artificial intelligence or machine 1472 learning, to be able to cull out the future hazard patterns 1473

1474 and to make sure that we are on top of things and being able to go forward. 1475 1476 AI is also incredibly important on the product side of things in making sure that we are able to spot the problems 1477 in potential products out there. Both of those take a 1478 tremendous amount of resources, and we currently don't even 1479 have a data -- a computer scientist who is focused on looking 1480 1481 at the outside. So I would love to be able to expand our expertise, but we just don't have the money to do that. 1482 *Mr. Soto. Thank you. 1483 Commissioner Trumka, thanks for you and your family's 1484 long history of protecting workers. You know, over the past 1485 1486 couple of years we saw a -- one of the largest culture wars ignited over one comment you made about gas stove safety, 1487 which was just talking about how you want to keep families 1488 I have never seen an overreaction more than what 1489 safe. happened because of that comment in the years I have been 1490 1491 But since there is no actual ban being contemplated, I would love to give you the opportunity to talk about all the 1492 other things you are working on, and how important funding is 1493 for consumer safety. 1494

1495 You had mentioned 30, 40, 20 people each day passing away due to faulty products. What is the biggest priority we 1496 1497 could help you, funding wise, to lower those numbers? *Mr. Trumka. Well, I really appreciate that. You know, 1498 I think, as we looked at direction from Congress, when you 1499 pass laws like you have been through this committee, being as 1500 clear as you possibly can with what you would like us to do 1501 1502 is incredibly helpful. And giving us APA rulemaking ability makes it -- allows us to go quicker. 1503 Funding for our people at the ports is something that 1504 has been raised today. We want to make sure we have the same 1505 level of protection at America's ports going forward. And 1506 1507 with the ARPA funds expiring, that is unclear going forward. So I would appreciate any support you could give us there, 1508 sir. 1509 *Mr. Soto. Well, we are -- you are talking Florida and 1510 you are talking ports here, you are speaking my and the 1511 1512 chairman's language here. So we got to help with that, Chairman. 1513 And then, Commissioner Boyle, you had mentioned 1514 everything from consensus standards to increasing statutory 1515

1516 maximums. If the statutory maximums are increased, would that help with some of the funding for the commission? 1517 1518 *Ms. Boyle. Thank you for the question. Actually, the answer is no. For our civil penalties it 1519 does go to the U.S. Treasury. I am supporting, though, an 1520 increase in maximums because I think the 17 million -- or a 1521 little bit above 17 million -- is just not a sufficient 1522 1523 deterrent for companies that have revenues in the hundreds of millions and billions of dollars. And I want -- I think a 1524 higher maximum will incentivize companies to make safety a 1525 top priority. 1526 *Mr. Soto. I could see how it would go back to the 1527 1528 Treasury so we don't create incentives for fines, and that makes a lot of sense. 1529 For the industry consensus standards process, you 1530 mentioned that industry alone shouldn't be making those 1531 standards. How critical is funding for this consensus 1532 1533 process? *Ms. Boyle. Again, thank you for that question. I 1534 think it is a really important one because our staff does 1535 engage with all of the industry consensus standards in their 1536

1537 development, and having their expertise and their voice at the table is really important. So we have to be funding the 1538 1539 staff to be able to participate in those processes. think it is really important that we have funding to support 1540 1541 that process. *Mr. Soto. Thanks, I yield back. 1542 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. I thank the gentleman from 1543 1544 Florida. I now recognize the other gentleman from Florida, a few -- everybody is from Florida today. 1545 And Dr. Dunn, you are recognized for five minutes of 1546 questions. 1547 1548 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and thank the commissioners of CPSC for being here. 1549 1550 Commissioners, you represent a large swath of industry: manufacturing, retail, online marketplaces, home appliances, 1551 and more. According to the Commission, CPSC is recognized as 1552 a global leader in setting consumer product safety standards. 1553 1554 Within the agency you work with foreign, state, and local governments, as well as, of course, private organizations. 1555 CPSC has been working directly with China since 2004 1556 through communication channels with Chinese Government 1557

1558 officials. And in 2011 the CPSC established a regional Product Safety Office in Beijing, your only Foreign office, I 1559 1560 believe. We have done a lot on the Energy and Commerce Committee, as well as the Select China Committee, where I 1561 also serve to counteract CCP transgressions in trade. 1562 My district includes the panhandle of Florida, including 1563 the port of Panama City, not to mention, of course, other 1564 1565 major ports of Florida like Tampa, Miami, and Jacksonville, where CPSC oversight of imported products is critical to 1566 national security. 1567 Commissioner Feldman, it is my understanding that during 1568 1569 the pandemic the port inspectors were pulled from their duty stations by the leadership of CPSC when other port inspectors 1570 remained. Can you describe the impact of that lack of 1571 inspection capacity on consumer products? 1572 *Mr. Feldman. Congressman Dunn, you are correct. 1573 the beginning of the pandemic the acting chairman withdrew 1574 1575 CPSC port inspectors and kept them home for months on end, despite the full resumption of trade and our partner agencies 1576 never having abandoned their posts. During this time 1577 screenings dropped to zero, and the acting chairman concealed 1578

1579 the true extent of our operational readiness from Congress and the American people. That was wrong, and I blew the 1580 1581 whistle on that mismanagement. You asked me to describe the impact to consumers. 1582 can assume that a significant number of violative products 1583 entered the country because we weren't screening for things 1584 like lead and small parts. 1585 1586 *Mr. Dunn. I agree with you. In the interest of time, you have agreed and I agree. 1587 I will say, Chairman Hoehn-Saric, that the -- you know, 1588 the diminished port inspection capacity was also affected by 1589 the vastly increased e-commerce and de minimis shipping that 1590 1591 transitioned almost immediately in the pandemic, so Americans moving from brick and mortar to e-commerce, and I am sure 1592 that we saw huge amounts of increased commerce from China, 1593 including the companies like Shien and Temu. So that was, I 1594 think, a problem. We can agree. So thank you. 1595 1596 By the way, Mr. Chairman -- or Madam Chair, I would like to submit an article for the record entitled, "Hazardous 1597 Goods Found for Sale After Consumer Protection Inspectors 1598 Were Pulled from Ports During COVID.' I have that article. 1599

1600 *Mrs. Cammack. [Presiding] Without objection. *Mr. Dunn. Thank you. 1601 1602 Chair Hoehn-Saric, the CPSC's Statement of Principles regarding the integrity of U.S. Consumer Product Safety 1603 Commission staff scientific and technical work strategy 1604 states, "The CPSC aims to conduct this work with an integrity 1605 that is beyond reproach because policymakers rely upon this 1606 1607 work to make important decisions and because the public places its trust in the work of the Commission.' ' 1608 Dr. Mannen was the individual the chair referred to 1609 earlier in relation to no bid contracts. Dr. Mannen produced 1610 studies which were not peer reviewed which the agency relied 1611 1612 heavily on as part of its rulemaking and the banning of products, including infant sleep products, bassinets, 1613 strollers, and more. Court filings show that Dr. Mannen has 1614 also personally been retained by dozens of plaintiff's 1615 attorneys in lawsuits against juvenile products manufacturers 1616 1617 across the country, receiving hundreds of thousands of dollars based on the work she did for the CPC. 1618 How can we possibly believe that Dr. Mannen's work is 1619 not biased in any manner, based on the millions of taxpayer 1620

1621 funding that you guys are providing her in no-bid contracts, and then she turns around and profits a second time selling 1622 1623 her testimony as a CPSC expert despite these non-peer reviewed studies? 1624 Did she get these no-bid contracts because she is the 1625 only author you could find who agreed with the CPSC's goals, 1626 Chairman? 1627 1628 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. In order to contract we go through our FARs process that allows for both bid and single bid 1629 contracts, as well. In this case we can't do testing on 1630 small children safely, so we put out the contracts for 1631 1632 others. And so any time we wanted to have a process, we both 1633 looked for expertise at Boise State, as well as to be able to look to make sure that any children are actually treated 1634 safely --1635 *Mr. Dunn. Okay, so in the interest of keeping in my 1636 time limit, I just want to leave you with a question, Mr. 1637 1638 Chairman. Does a non-bid sole source contracting process strike you as a more likely -- as more likely to produce an 1639 unbiased opinion than the opposite? 1640 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I think it really depends. I think 1641

1642 in this case they were looking to make sure that we are able to safely test with a --1643 1644 *Mr. Dunn. I am sure you are glad Congress --*Mrs. Cammack. Sorry, the --1645 *Mr. Dunn. -- doesn't operate that way. 1646 *Mrs. Cammack. I am sorry, the gentleman's time has 1647 expired. 1648 1649 *Mr. Dunn. I yield back. *Mrs. Cammack. The gentleman yields. At this time the 1650 chair will recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, Ms. Kelly. 1651 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you so much, and I would like to 1652 thank the chair and ranking member for hosting this 1653 1654 committee. And thank you to Chair Hoehn-Saric for being here today, and the CPSC commissioners. 1655 I am a mother and a grandmother, so I know how quickly 1656 children can get a hold of small items like button batteries. 1657 Making simple changes in safety standards for products with 1658 these small batteries will save children's lives. 1659 why I was so pleased last Congress when my legislation, 1660 Reese's Law, was signed into law. The bill required the CPSC 1661 to issue safety standards for button and coin cell batteries. 1662

1663 Reese's Law is now almost fully implemented, with the labeling requirements being enforced starting in September of 1664 1665 this year. Mr. Chair, can you provide an update on the enforcement 1666 of Reese's Law? Are companies complying, and have any issues 1667 arisen? 1668 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Thank you, Ms. Kelly. 1669 1670 As you said, Reese's Law was such an important piece of legislation that was passed focused on button cell batteries. 1671 When children swallow button cell batteries, they can eat 1672 through their esophagus, causing lifelong injuries and even 1673 So, you know, the 5,000 trips to the emergency room 1674 1675 we see each year, Reese's Law is designed to stop that. As you were alluding to, portions of the Reese's Law 1676 have gone into effect already. Some went into effect by 1677 operation of law with respect to packaging. And then, with 1678 respect to the compartments that are in there, we are 1679 1680 actively enforcing, we are looking at the marketplace, making sure that people are complying with the law right now. 1681 are in that process. 1682

1683

89

```
1684
      respect to labeling will go into effect later on this year.
           And then we have just started a new rulemaking to take a
1685
1686
      look at toys, and applying what we have learned from Reese's
      Law to toys because Reese's Law really focused in on things
1687
      like remote controls, and making sure the batteries don't
1688
      fall out of those general products.
1689
           *Ms. Kelly. And how will the six percent cuts for the
1690
1691
      agency's budget impact the enforcement of this bipartisan law
      or, really, the other things that you are trying to do?
1692
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. It will just slow everything down.
1693
      It will make it more likely that violative products get into
1694
      consumers' homes without people -- without a cop on the beat.
1695
1696
      Things just go forward, especially when you start talking
      about, you know, e-commerce and overseas manufacturers who
1697
      may not be worried about U.S. laws because they are not based
1698
      here. So they will be selling through -- directly to
1699
      consumers through these platforms, and we won't be there to
1700
1701
      stop them, and the platforms themselves won't be responsible.
           *Ms. Kelly. Thank you. Now, shifting gears a little
1702
      bit, my home is -- my district is home to the Port of
1703
      Chicago, generating nearly half a billion dollars in economic
1704
```

1705 activity for the region. The CPSC plays a critical role in port surveillance and 1706 1707 ensuring that imported consumer products are safe. Over the last few years we have seen a rise in direct-to-consumer 1708 shipments from overseas, presenting challenges to the 1709 surveillance of imports. What challenges do low-value, 1710 direct-to-consumer goods cause for CPSC and port 1711 1712 surveillance? 1713 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So products that are shipments under \$800, so-called de minimis imports, we have seen a tremendous 1714 growth in those. Last year about a billion coming into the 1715 country, rising from half a billion in 2019. These are going 1716 1717 directly to consumers. It is incredibly hard to track. CPSC is working on an e-filing program to be able to 1718 require importers to be able to provide more data to the 1719 agency, to be able to track and identify even the de minimis 1720 products. But honestly, given the number of them, without 1721 1722 the support at the ports, as you said, and without ways to be able to put some of the onus on the platforms that were doing 1723 -- that these are being bought through, it is a huge problem. 1724 *Ms. Kelly. I am going to thank you for your response. 1725

1726 I know you don't want to see any funding cuts, because that will definitely affect the agency. But other than more 1727 1728 funding, which you have made clear is needed, what can Congress do to ensure you have the tools to enforce safety 1729 standards on import products? 1730 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So I think what you see now for most 1731 import products, you are seeing a lot of the e-commerce going 1732 1733 through the platforms they are being sold through. think it is a combination. We have talked about increasing 1734 their civil penalties, but it is also making sure that those 1735 platforms are taking responsibility for the products that are 1736 being offered on their sites. I think there should be 1737 1738 burdens upon them to be able to make sure the products that they are selling are meeting mandatory standards and are safe 1739 for consumers. That is something that Congress could step in 1740 and do. 1741 Also, making sure that, no matter where consumers are 1742 1743 going, they have uniform safety protections across the board. *Ms. Kelly. Thank you so much. Thank you to all of 1744 1745 you. And I yield back. 1746

1747 *Mrs. Cammack. The gentlelady yields back. At this time I recognize the distinguished Hoosier from Indiana, 1748 1749 Representative Bucshon. *Mr. Bucshon. When she said distinguished, you all 1750 looked that way, you didn't look at me. 1751 [Laughter.] 1752 *Mr. Bucshon. I don't know if you are trying to tell me 1753 1754 something or what. 1755 Thank you to Chair Bilirakis, who is sitting next to me, for calling today's hearing. 1756 The Consumer Product Safety Commission is clearly tasked 1757 with helping every Hoosier be safe, ranging from flammable 1758 furniture to durability of helmets. It is very much 1759 appreciated. Much has changed since 2019, and the e-1760 commerce, of course, has picked up. And I want to follow 1761 along that line of questioning. And I will ask the chair. 1762 What does the CPSC do -- and maybe this has been asked, 1763 1764 but -- in cases where a third party seller disappears from the marketplace once product recall has been issued? 1765 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So if we find a defective product and 1766 it is a third party seller that is overseas, we will reach 1767

1768 out. We will try and do a recall, but often times they will just disappear --1769 1770 *Mr. Bucshon. Yes. *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. -- at which point in time we will go 1771 and we will put a warning out, and we will ask the platform 1772 to take down the listing. 1773 But unfortunately, we -- there was a case of a bounce 1774 1775 house with a tube that strangled a child. We asked that that listing get pulled down. Another week later that same 1776 listing was up under different manufacturer's name. 1777 a game of Whac-A-Mole in those cases. 1778 *Mr. Bucshon. Yes, not much you can do, I quess, when 1779 1780 it is overseas. It is a struggle, I understand, but continue doing that work because, you know, the vast majority of 1781 Americans, including myself, order things online almost on a 1782 daily basis, and that is really important. 1783 I have also long been an advocate of increasing safety 1784 1785 on various all-terrain vehicles after one of my constituents died in an accident years ago -- she happened to be a grade 1786 school child. Now Congresswoman Erin Houchin and I, when she 1787 was a state senator, after that tragic situation, were able 1788

```
1789
      to in -- at least in Indiana -- put a helmet law in place for
      children on ATVs. A helmet on this person would have saved
1790
1791
      -- probably saved her life. For children, we put that in for
      children who are under -- I think it was under the age of 16
1792
1793
      and under.
           But I also realize these vehicles are not -- are used
1794
      not only recreationally, but by police, fire, EMS, Forest
1795
1796
      Service, many other government services. And I understand
      the ATV industry has put in place revised safety standards to
1797
      address hazards involving degree penetration, and that CPSC
1798
      staff participated in the development process, but that the
1799
      CPSC has a proposed rulemaking on the same subject that was
1800
      -- it seems unworkable for the industry.
1801
           Again Chair, if this rule is finalized, will CPSC commit
1802
      today to working with ATV manufacturers to ensure that they
1803
      have sufficient time to produce and distribute compliant
1804
      vehicles?
1805
1806
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Yes.
                                     To the extent we are finalized,
      we will take in all stakeholder input and figure out a
1807
      timeframe that is appropriate. Staff will send it out, and
1808
      the Commission --
1809
```

*Mr. Bucshon. Yes. 1810 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. -- will review that. 1811 1812 *Mr. Bucshon. Yes. Because again, you know, it is not only used recreationally, but it will affect police, fire, 1813 and other people. And again, I have been a long-term 1814 advocate for safety in this space. This was just one of my 1815 constituents that was killed. And over the years there has 1816 1817 been others. And look, people make choices, but at least they need to 1818 make informed choices. And that is kind of probably where 1819 1820 you all come in. With that I don't have any other questions. I yield 1821 1822 back. *Mrs. Cammack. The gentleman yields back. 1823 The distinguished lady from the Empire State, Ms. Clarke, is 1824 recognized. 1825 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much, Madam Chair, and I 1826 1827 thank our Ranking Member Schakowsky for holding this very important hearing today. I also want to thank all of our 1828 commissioners for being here to testify. 1829 And let me also thank our commissioners, led by Chair 1830

1831 Hoehn-Saric, for important work, the important work that you all do to protect the American consumers' safety from 1832 1833 defective and dangerous products. A modern, increasingly complex economy like ours can be 1834 a marvel of productivity, producing new technological 1835 advances and products that make the lives of everyday 1836 citizens easier, and that innovative spirit must be 1837 1838 protected. At the same time, we must embrace realities of the 21st century environment we live in. And the current 1839 budget proposed for CPSC certainly does not recognize that 1840 reality, as the proposed six percent cut would be disastrous 1841 for the Commission and consumers alike. 1842 1843 Unfortunately, our increasingly digital lives can create openings for bad actors to operate with impunity, and the 1844 CPSC stands as our vanguard against that kind of behavior. A 1845 significant cut of this nature would necessitate significant 1846 staffing cuts to the CPSC at a time when e-commerce is 1847 1848 flourishing, is growing exponentially, making it easier than ever for bad and negligent actors to flood our markets with 1849 defective products in pursuit of a quick buck, and this can 1850 have major consequences for millions of people. 1851

1852 For example, in New York City e-mobility solutions like e-bikes and scooters fuel a robust food delivery industry, 1853 1854 and are becoming increasingly popular alternatives to automobile traffic, something that should be viewed as a 1855 positive development in our efforts to reduce carbon 1856 emissions. Unfortunately, due to a flood of faulty lithium 1857 ion batteries, New York City has seen a significant uptick in 1858 1859 fire as a result of these batteries. 1860 I was proud to partner with Congressman Ritchie Torres and a bipartisan group of New York Members on H.R. 1797, the 1861 Setting Consumer Standards for Lithium Ion Batteries Act, 1862 which would address these faulty batteries and codify common-1863 sense standards. And while that legislation has moved 1864 through this committee and passed the House, it is 1865 unfortunately stalled in the Senate as of now. 1866 Chair Hoehn-Saric, can you speak to how H.R. 1797 would 1867 help to combat this spate of fires in New York and other 1868 1869 jurisdictions across the country? And could you also speak more broadly to the challenges 1870 posed by the rising utilization of e-commerce platforms, and 1871 how the proposed budget cuts might exacerbate those 1872

1873 challenges? And other commissioners are invited to chime in, as 1874 1875 well. *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Thank you for the question. 1876 The legislation that you put forward is extremely 1877 important. It would cut through the lengthy process that we 1878 often have to go through when we are regulating under our 1879 1880 organic statute. As you pointed out, we have seen nearly 300 fires in the last 3 years associated with lithium ion 1881 batteries. And while it hasn't had a dramatic impact on New 1882 York, it has hit 40 other -- 40 states. So it is not just a 1883 New York problem, it is one we are seeing across the country, 1884 1885 as well. 1886 And your legislation would be able to speed the process to getting safe laws because, as you said, a lot of the 1887 batteries that we are seeing are cheaply-made knock-offs from 1888 overseas coming in from countries like China. And when those 1889 1890 are put with a bike that is a good bike, it can result in 1891 fires. Generally speaking, as you said asking about the cuts, 1892 the cuts will dramatically impact us and be -- and as we are 1893

1894 looking at the e-commerce side of things, making sure that we are able to stop those imports coming into the country, it 1895 1896 will become so much harder with fewer people at the ports, fewer compliance officers, fewer ways to be able to stop the 1897 import of those bad products. 1898 *Ms. Clarke. Just in closing, because I don't have much 1899 time left, I would love to get the rest of your comments. 1900 1901 We have to take a real forward look at where we are in 1902 our civil society with respect to the way we consume goods and products. And there is no doubt in my mind, just looking 1903 at certain platforms of e-commerce, we don't even know who 1904 these companies are. We are just buying these items only to 1905 1906 find out once we receive them that they can harm us, our families, our children. 1907 And I think that this committee has a responsibility to 1908 catch up with the times. This is the 21st century. We are 1909 not going back to the way things used to be. We have to look 1910 1911 at how things are and how they will be in the future, and you are on the front lines of that. So let me thank each and 1912 every one of you for working under such challenging 1913 circumstances. The staffing you need needs to be put in 1914

place now so that our children and grandchildren are 1915 protected, and they inherit from us a more robust board. 1916 And 1917 you are doing the good work. Thank you, I yield back. 1918 *Mr. Bilirakis. [Presiding] I thank the gentlelady, and 1919 I also want to thank the gentlelady from Florida for filling 1920 in for me, but I didn't want her to get too comfortable. 1921 1922 All right, folks, next we have the gentlelady from Arizona, Mrs. Lesko, for her five minutes of questioning. 1923 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1924 Commissioner Trumka, you tweeted from your official 1925 account as a CPSC commissioner several statements putting the 1926 1927 safety of gas stoves into question. Do you believe it is appropriate for a commissioner to do this before the 1928 Commission has made a safety determination? 1929 Thank you for the question, 1930 *Mr. Trumka. Representative. 1931 1932 I do believe it is incumbent to share safety concerns, as an individual commissioner, when we see them. 1933 *Mrs. Lesko. So even before the Commission made the 1934 determination, you thought it was perfectly fine? 1935

1936 *Mr. Trumka. Well, I think there is a few different things. I mean, if you are talking about rulemaking, that is 1937 1938 a process that we go through as a Commission. But sharing our concerns about individual potential product hazards is 1939 something that commissioners do. 1940 *Mrs. Lesko. Commissioner Trumka, you also tweeted your 1941 support for legislation to ban fossil fuels and phase gas 1942 1943 stoves out of homes. Do you think that is appropriate for a commissioner? 1944 *Mr. Trumka. I am not sure what you are referring to. 1945 I don't remember doing that. 1946 *Mrs. Lesko. I am going to ask Chair Hoehn-Saric a 1947 1948 question. Mr. Chair, on March 1, 2023, the Consumer Protection 1949 Safety Commission approved a request for information related 1950 to gas stoves which was characterized as "gas stove hazards 1951 and potential solutions.' ' Does the CPSC have any plans to 1952 1953 re-regulate or regulate gas stoves or other gas-fired 1954 appliances? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So there is no plans for any 1955 regulatory rulemaking. Obviously, there is a law that is 1956

1957 passed. We do actively look at individual products, and have 1958 1959 conducted at least four recalls of gas stoves where it was carbon monoxide leaks. So we continue that work, but not 1960 with respect to regulating. We do examine it, we have been 1961 working with voluntary standards committees. I think 1962 industry has recognized the importance of both trying to 1963 1964 measure the fumes coming off of stoves -- and honestly, all cooking -- and then how to deal with those and whether 1965 ventilation is appropriate. 1966 So the agency continues to work in the voluntary process 1967 and to gather more information about the potential health 1968 1969 issues associated with gas stoves, as we do for all consumer products. 1970 *Mrs. Lesko. So you currently don't plan to ban gas 1971 stoves, is that correct? 1972 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. No, Congresswoman, there is no plan 1973 1974 to ban gas stoves. *Mrs. Lesko. All right, Mr. Chair, when the Consumer 1975 Protection Safety Commission issues RFIs on a product, do 1976 they usually infer that there is an existing hazard requiring 1977

```
1978
      a solution, or is the RFI process intended to gather
      information to inform the Commission as to whether their -- a
1979
1980
      hazard even exists?
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So usually, if we are going to do an
1981
      RFI, there is some indication that there is a reason that
1982
      this is an area that the Commission should be looking at.
1983
      So, you know, in the case of gas stoves we have been talking
1984
1985
      to the industry about fumes coming off of those stoves. So
      obviously, that was an area that the Commission was focused
1986
           Other RFIs have focused on things like specific issues
1987
      with bikes and others.
1988
           So it is -- we tend to look -- not just throw something
1989
1990
      out broadly, but to see if there is a problem, and then
      gather information to see whether that -- there are any next
1991
      steps or information that can be used either by industry or
1992
      by us to be able to improve the safety of products.
1993
           *Mrs. Lesko. Regarding this request for information
1994
1995
      related to gas stoves, what has the CPSC done with the
      information gathered during that process?
1996
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So we received over 9,000 comments
1997
      from a variety of stakeholders, from academics to industry.
1998
```

1999 Those are all public and available for people to take a look at and to examine and use in the voluntary standards process 2000 2001 as others. Staff is still in the process of reviewing them at this point in time. 2002 *Mrs. Lesko. So besides reviewing it, does the CPSC 2003 have plans to do anything further with information gathered 2004 via the RFI? 2005 2006 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Any next steps generally would be done through a vote of all the commissioners. At this point 2007 in time there is nothing in our operating plan to move 2008 forward in a regulatory, as in rulemaking, process. Again, 2009 when we deal with individual hazards coming out of, you know, 2010 2011 stoves or any products, we do take action, and we do try and get products recalled if they are defective or dangerous. 2012 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, and I yield back. 2013 *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. Now I will 2014 recognize Mrs. Trahan for her five minutes of questioning. 2015 2016 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, Chair Bilirakis and Ranking Member Schakowsky, for convening today's hearing. 2017 you to Chair Hoehn-Saric and the other four commissioners for 2018 being here today. 2019

2020 I deeply appreciate the CPSC's hard work to keep dangerous and deadly consumer products off the market, 2021 2022 especially everything you have done to protect our children and our young people. I strongly oppose the devastating cuts 2023 proposed by the Republican appropriators that would cut --2024 gut the agency's staff and their ability to protect 2025 2026 consumers. 2027 Too many parents and families live through the nightmare of having their child seriously injured or, even worse, 2028 killed by a dangerous consumer product. And I am sure that 2029 throughout your work as commissioners you have encountered 2030 deadly products that never should have been brought to the 2031 2032 consumer market in the first place. But possibly the most egregious example is a product 2033 that serves no consumer purpose other than to intentionally 2034 take one's own life. That is the case for high purity sodium 2035 nitrite, which is responsible for the deaths of hundreds of 2036 2037 Americans, with that number tragically climbing. In low concentrations, sodium nitrite is safely used to 2038 cure meat and fish. But at high concentrations there is no 2039 use for this chemical other than to end one's life, which has 2040

2041 been promoted and popularized on online suicide forums by anonymous users, sickeningly guiding vulnerable individuals 2042 2043 to die by suicide. As a parent, it is terrifying to think that this poison can be ordered by a child online and 2044 inconspicuously arrive on their doorstep, often without our 2045 knowledge. 2046 Now, this committee took strong, unanimous action to ban 2047 2048 high concentration sodium nitrite by passing the Youth Poisoning Protection Act, which would direct the CPSC to ban 2049 high concentration sodium nitrite. And I am looking forward 2050 to passing that legislation through the Senate and getting it 2051 2052 signed into law. 2053 Chair Hoehn-Saric, how does the CPSC enforce restrictions on dangerous substances such as sodium nitrite, 2054 particularly dangerous substances that may be ordered online 2055 and shipped directly to consumers? 2056 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So we do have an eSAFE team that goes 2057 2058 and monitors marketplaces looking for products that are violative or that have been banned or recalled. 2059 like this, if we saw anything on there -- first of all, we 2060 would do business education to make sure that people knew 2061

```
2062
      about the ban that was in place; second, we would be
      monitoring the marketplaces. Whenever we saw it, see it we
2063
2064
      would reach out to both the platform to make sure that was
      taken down, but also -- which is voluntary in their part --
2065
      and also to go to the manufacturers to make sure that that is
2066
      stopped. If they are overseas, that is a lot harder.
2067
      they are in the U.S., then, of course, we would educate them
2068
2069
      on the statute and make sure that that was not for sale.
2070
           *Mrs. Trahan. Exactly the watchdogs that we need. I
      thank you for that.
2071
           I think it is important to remember that high purity
2072
      sodium nitrite is safely purchased in bulk by the meat and
2073
2074
      fish industries to dilute and use as a preservative, and by
      research and education institutions as a chemical reagent.
2075
      Of course, the Youth Poisoning Protection Act does not impact
2076
      them.
2077
           But just so we are clear, Mr. Chairman, how would the
2078
2079
      CPSC ensure that restrictions on sales to consumers do not
      affect businesses and research institutions that have
2080
      legitimate reason to buy high concentration sodium nitrite?
2081
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Our purview is consumer products. To
2082
```

2083 the extent that there are business-to-business interactions, anything that is done on a commercial basis, that would be 2084 2085 really beyond us. We will focus, really, on whether or not a product is being sold to consumers and, you know, stop the --2086 stop those sales. 2087 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. 2088 Finally, does the CPSC need additional resources to 2089 2090 enforce the Youth Poisoning Protection Act when it is signed into law? 2091 And how would these proposed budget cuts affect that 2092 enforcement? 2093 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. You know, the -- it has been 2094 2095 estimated, our staff looking at it, that it may cost about \$2 million over 5 years to fully implement. Obviously, any cuts 2096 to our budget are going to impact our ability to both educate 2097 businesses about the ban and also to be able to enforce and 2098 to go online and monitor the marketplaces to make sure that, 2099 2100 as you said, the teenagers, kids out there aren't buying this. It is just -- it is tragic. 2101 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. It seems like a small price 2102 to pay to protect our children. 2103

2104 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. 2105 2106 I now recognize the chairman of the -- vice chairman of the full committee, Mr. Armstrong, for his five minutes of 2107 2108 questioning. *Mr. Armstrong. Thank you. 2109 How do you all define "numerous,' ' Mr. Chairman? 2110 2111 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I would say similar to "many.' It 2112 would depend on the context. *Mr. Armstrong. Okay. So in Vegas, in a video poker 2113 machine, a royal flush pays 250 to 1. To give that 2114 perspective, 4 of a kind pays 25 to 1. And those are Vegas 2115 2116 odds, not real odds. The actual odds of drawing a straight flush are 0.000154 percent. 2117 The reason I bring that up is because that is the higher 2118 percentage than dying from an ROV or a UTV based on a 2119 penetration value. Over the course of a 20-year study -- and 2120 2121 this is on life span; I am assuming there is more -- it is 0.00014 percent. There were six deaths, four from branches, 2122 one from a large stick, and one from a three-inch piece of 2123 wood. There were also 20 injuries, which is a .0005 percent 2124

2125 chance of injury, but the injuries are 10 hospital admissions, 3 emergency room treatments, 3 first aid, and 10 2126 2127 level of care not known. So I am reading your notice of proposed rule that was 2128 issued in 2021, and it said, "numerous injuries.' And so I 2129 am asking how you define "numerous' 'because I would define 2130 that as extremely rare. 2131 What happened to that case at the D.C. circuit, Mr. 2132 2133 Feldman? *Mr. Feldman. Are you referring to the WCMA case? 2134 2135 *Mr. Armstrong. Yes. *Mr. Feldman. The WCMA case vacated the agency's 2136 2137 rulemaking. *Mr. Armstrong. Why did they vacate it? 2138 *Mr. Feldman. For two main reasons. One, the court 2139 took issue with the unreasonable length of time that we put 2140 for rule implementation. It also took issue with the 2141 2142 agency's failure to share incident data with stakeholders and provide an opportunity for notice and comment. 2143 *Mr. Armstrong. Yes, cost benefit analysis --2144

*Mr. Feldman. Yes, sir.

2145

2146 *Mr. Armstrong. -- flawed notice, comments on underlying incident data, and arbitrary effective date. That 2147 2148 is what I have, right? *Mr. Feldman. Yes, sir. 2149 *Mr. Armstrong. And how did they deal with the 2150 industry's revised, adequately addressed CPC's concerns on 2151 voluntary industry compliance? 2152 2153 *Mr. Feldman. I am sorry, I don't understand the 2154 question. *Mr. Armstrong. Was there substantial compliance by the 2155 industry to solve this what I will say is extremely rare, but 2156 your notice said "numerous' '? 2157 2158 *Mr. Feldman. With respect to the ROV rulemaking? 2159 *Mr. Armstrong. Yes. *Mr. Feldman. Is there -- we are still in the process 2160 of reviewing the comments that we put out. 2161 We, in accordance with the WCMA case, need to publish 2162 2163 the incident data for public comment. I anticipate that that will occur later this summer. 2164 *Mr. Armstrong. So is there -- so when the rule went 2165 into place, can you explain to me how you get there from that 2166

2167 few number of incidents into a place where you are mandatory complying in industry, not taking into effect supply chain, 2168 2169 not figuring cost of compliance, no retailer -- I mean, things that kill more people than ATVs over that period of 2170 time -- fireworks, which makes sense; skydiving, which makes 2171 sense; vending machines; balloons -- I mean, you are putting 2172 an entire industry in a place of forced compliance. And if 2173 2174 the D.C. circuit wouldn't have vacated, that would have 2175 happened. Right? *Mr. Feldman. Sir, the OHV debris penetration rule is 2176 not currently in effect. 2177 *Mr. Armstrong. Okay. So what did the D.C. circuit 2178 2179 court vacate? The WCMA window coverings. 2180 *Mr. Feldman. *Mr. Armstrong. The window covering, okay, thank you. 2181 So moving forward, as you are going through this rule, 2182 like, how do you factor in the number of incidents that occur 2183 2184 in debris? Do you factor in where they are driving it, how they are 2185 driving it, how many miles are driven, where these vehicles 2186 are driven? 2187

2188 *Mr. Feldman. Yes, sir. All those factors go into the agency's calculus about not only the risk assessment, but 2189 2190 also hearing directly from industry about the extent of the injuries, reviewing the incidents that we are aware of with 2191 an opportunity to comment. And beyond that, an opportunity 2192 to weigh in about any technical feasibility or cost issues 2193 related to the standard that the agency might propose. 2194 2195 *Mr. Armstrong. Okay, thank you. 2196 *Mr. Feldman. We are in the process of doing that right 2197 now. *Mr. Armstrong. Yes, perfect, thank you. 2198 2199 I yield back. 2200 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. Now we will recognize Mr. Fulcher from the great State of Idaho. 2201 *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am going to 2202 stay on the same topic as my good friend here next to me on 2203 the ROV debris penetration issue, if I may. And so this 2204 2205 would be for the chair. The industry put in place revised safety standards to 2206 address this debris penetration hazard in March of 2023, 2207 which, at least according to the industry, reflects the best 2208

```
2209
      engineering judgment and industry safety experts within.
      Does the CPSC still intend on pressing ahead with its
2210
2211
      proposed mandatory rulemaking on the debris penetration?
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So the notice of proposed rulemaking
2212
      that was put forward to us, and the staff's analysis, there
2213
      were six deaths associated with it. And they looked at the
2214
      voluntary standard, as we are required to do. And that was
2215
2216
      designed to stop the penetration at speed of 2.5 miles per
      hour --
2217
           *Mr. Fulcher. So is this -- is it still -- you are
2218
2219
      still pursuing this?
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. It is still before the Commission.
2220
                                                                    Ι
2221
      think the next steps, as Commissioner Feldman said, is we
      would have to put out the data for review and -- before we
2222
      move forward.
2223
           *Mr. Fulcher. So I would just point out that CPSC
2224
      staff, from what I understand, participated in the revision
2225
2226
      process for the industry standards, had every opportunity to
      provide substantive input. That appears to me to be the time
2227
      to work through some of these things, not after, with this
2228
      proposed rulemaking. So just, I guess, take that for
2229
```

2230 whatever it is worth. Mr. Trumka, trade associations representing all-terrain 2231 2232 vehicle industry have made multiple requests to meet with you. At least that is what I am told. But you have refused 2233 to have discussions with them. Is that true? And if so, 2234 2235 why? I appreciate the opportunity. Could I 2236 *Mr. Trumka. 2237 quickly address the debris penetration, and then --*Mr. Fulcher. As soon as you answer my question. 2238 *Mr. Trumka. Sure, sure. Thank you. 2239 I have received written information from folks. I am 2240 not sure if I have received meeting requests, but I am happy 2241 2242 to go back and examine those. If I have, I am happy to take those meetings. 2243 *Mr. Fulcher. Okay. All right, thank you. And you 2244 wanted to address --2245 *Mr. Trumka. The debris penetration, the one point I 2246 2247 would like to make is that it is a particularly vexing issue. I own a quad, I own a side-by-side. And as Mr. Bucshon 2248 pointed out earlier, you know, we want to put out PSAs on 2249

people wearing helmets, wearing their seat belts, keeping

2250

2251 your arms inside the vehicles to stay safe. Those things don't protect against the debris penetration issue. We have 2252 2253 seen those impalements happening at less than five miles an 2254 hour. So you could be creeping through the woods and being safe, and it is not going to prevent that. That is why I 2255 think it is a particularly vexing issue. 2256 *Mr. Fulcher. If Mr. Armstrong's statistics are 2257 2258 correct, it does appear to be pretty rare, at least with any degree of injury. And so this rulemaking has the potential 2259 of shutting down an industry, basically. And so, you know, 2260 it does appear pretty extreme, from the vantage point that we 2261 2262 are in. 2263 But I am going to move on here. I want to -- a question for Mr. Feldman. 2264 And we talked about the D.C. circuit recently vacating 2265 the mandatory rule disregarding the window covering issue. 2266 Since Mr. Armstrong covered this, I would just follow up by 2267 2268 saying should CPSC press ahead with its proposed mandatory rules, or will the Commission follow the teachings of the 2269 window covering case to meet the representatives of the ATV 2270 and ROV industries? 2271

```
2272
           *Mr. Feldman. Yes, on the OHV debris penetration, in
      June 2022 we approved an NPR. I supported that because I
2273
2274
      wanted to receive comments from industry specifically on the
      issues that you are raising. I have heard the concerns about
2275
      the mandatory standard, including the ones that you are
2276
      raising today. Going forward, we need to publish the
2277
      incident data, and that is consistent with the ruling and the
2278
      requirements that the D.C. circuit made clear in the WCMA
2279
2280
      case.
           I am also aware that congressional appropriators are
2281
      considering language regarding the rulemaking and prohibiting
2282
      us to -- from moving forward with it. Should Congress
2283
      advance that permit -- provision, the Commission would take
2284
      that direction and follow the law.
2285
           *Mr. Fulcher. All right. All right.
2286
           Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
2287
           *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it. Now I will recognize
2288
2289
      -- we don't have a Democrat on this side, so I will recognize
      Ms. Cardenas -- excuse me, excuse me, Mrs. Harshbarger -- for
2290
      her five minutes of questioning, the great lady from
2291
      Tennessee.
2292
```

2293 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you to the witnesses for being here today. And I will just continue 2294 2295 following up on that line of questioning. I will follow up on the ROV voluntary standard for debris penetration. 2296 You know, I am from east Tennessee. We use those ATVs, 2297 UTVs, side-by-sides, the whole nine yards, on a daily basis. 2298 And I understand that the new product safety standard is 2299 2300 based on real-world field data, including hundreds of thousands of hours of actual ROV usage. And the voluntary 2301 rule reflects input from a broad array of stakeholders, 2302 including industry, rider, consumer safety voices. 2303 So I guess my guestion to you -- and I will start with 2304 2305 you, Mr. Chair -- it looks like you intend to press forward with this mandatory rule, am I correct? 2306 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. It is before -- it is in the process 2307 of going forward. 2308 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. If so, will it follow a fact-2309 2310 based approach that includes the input of the regulated community from all these standards? 2311 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Yes, absolutely. I mean, I think 2312 what we found is that the voluntary standard is designed to 2313

```
protect people at 2.5 miles per hour. The one that staff put
2314
      forward was at 10 miles per hour. So it is a question of
2315
2316
      what is a realistic expectation for consumers, and whether it
      is an unreasonable risk of injury to have a penetration at
2317
      three miles per hour when you are riding around in a, you
2318
      know, side-by-side or other device.
2319
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. On January 12, 2023, former
2320
2321
      CPSC chair Ann Brown published an opinion piece in The
      Washington Post titled, "Guns are Consumer Products. They
2322
      Should be Regulated as Such.' This is despite the letter of
2323
      the law, specifically the Consumer Product Safety Commission
2324
      Improvement Act of 1976 expressly prohibiting the CPSC from
2325
2326
      making any rule or order restricting the manufacture or sale
      of firearms or ammunition.
2327
           And this question is to each of you, yes or no:
2328
      CPSC legally permitted to implement gun control measures?
2329
      That is a yes or no. And I will start with you, Mr. Trumka,
2330
2331
      and go down the line.
           *Mr. Trumka. No, they are exempt from our Act.
2332
           *Mr. Dziak. No.
2333
           *Mr. Hoehn-Saric.
2334
                              No.
```

2335 *Mr. Feldman. No. *Ms. Boyle. No. 2336 2337 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. The Green New Deal agenda of the Biden Administration has reduced the baseload power that 2338 energy providers formerly operated with due to the retirement 2339 of coal-fired power plants. This reduction in baseload power 2340 has resulted in rolling blackouts during energy-intensive 2341 2342 storms, including Winter Storm Elliot, which took out power for many of my constituents and businesses where -- that 2343 desperately needed to heat their homes, keep their businesses 2344 running. It cost millions and millions of dollars. 2345 2346 When Americans lose power, they need generators to turn 2347 their lights on and heat their homes. But the CPSC is seeking to upend the production of generators by developing 2348 new carbon monoxide standards, which we talked about earlier, 2349 that cannot be easily met. So my question is, does the CPSC 2350 consider the impact on Americans who have lost power due to 2351 2352 the policies of this Administration when developing standards 2353 on generators? And anybody can answer that question, or everybody can 2354 answer that question. 2355

2356 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I think we can look at this from a -very much from a safety perspective. Often times after 2357 2358 storms, people lose power. Unfortunately, that is where we also see a lot of the deaths that are out there because 2359 carbon monoxide builds up in their homes. So our proposal is 2360 to look at how to make them safe, not -- and to make sure 2361 that families don't die as a result of trying to recover from 2362 2363 the storm. 2364 *Mrs. Harshbarger. I understand. Absolutely. Anybody else want to answer? 2365 *Mr. Trumka. I agree that we want these to be available 2366 for folks who need them, and safe when they use them. 2367 2368 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes, okay. 2369 I think that is all I have, Mr. Chairman, and I will yield back. 2370 *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. I will recognize the great lady 2371 from the State of Florida, Mrs. Cammack, for her five minutes 2372 2373 of questioning. *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2374 Commissioner Boyle, I am going to start with you. I 2375 wanted to learn more about your time as a executive director. 2376

2377 You served at least two acting chairs in that capacity, correct? 2378 2379 *Ms. Boyle. Yes. *Mrs. Cammack. Okay, and before that you served in two 2380 other senior roles. Is that right? 2381 *Ms. Boyle. I served as general counsel and executive 2382 director. 2383 2384 *Mrs. Cammack. Okay. I think we can both agree those 2385 are senior roles. *Ms. Boyle. Excuse me? 2386 *Mrs. Cammack. I think we can both agree --2387 *Ms. Boyle. Yes. 2388 2389 *Mrs. Cammack. -- those are senior roles. Okay, perfect, thank you. 2390 So it seems that a lot of the issues and dysfunction 2391 that we have heard about today have occurred under your 2392 leadership as executive director. And while I recognize that 2393 2394 you were not acting chair, it makes me wonder how all of this kind of happened under your watch. So digging into it, as 2395 part of a review of the 2019 data breach, the Inspector 2396 General made the following finding: "The OIG found numerous 2397

2398 examples of problems regarding integrity and ethical values in the clearinghouse. These problems involve both systematic 2399 2400 issues and examples of individual managers failing to uphold government standards regarding integrity or ethical values. 2401 The most egregious example of a systematic, ongoing failure 2402 by agency management to demonstrate a commitment to integrity 2403 and ethical values involved the statements of assurance 2404 2405 relevant to the clearinghouse. Agency officials were grossly negligent at best, and lied at worst when they signed 2406 statements of assurance indicating that internal controls 2407 regarding the clearinghouse were in place and operating 2408 effectively.' ' 2409 2410 So Commissioner Boyle, how do you account for the IG's characterization of agency candor? You were the executive 2411 director at that time. So what the heck was going on? 2412 *Ms. Boyle. Well, thank you for the question. 2413 I think at the time of the clearinghouse disclosure the 2414 2415 agency was forthright in saying that there was a mistake that was made, a human error. 2416 *Mrs. Cammack. Or multiple. 2417 *Ms. Boyle. Certainly, certainly. I think there was no 2418

2419 question. And the agency was forthright in admitting that. There were numerous investigations, including by Houses 2420 2421 of Congress, and we, I think, were very forthright in admitting that there were mistakes that we made, efforts to 2422 correct those mistakes. And when there was a human error, 2423 which was really the source of the problem that you are 2424 talking about, we acted to correct those as best we could, 2425 2426 and I certainly did the best I could under my -- to the best of my ability. So we instituted training, we tried to 2427 develop some technological solutions. And, you know, I 2428 understand that, you know, there was great concern, and I 2429 think we were very forthright in admitting that. 2430 2431 *Mrs. Cammack. And I appreciate, you know, taking accountability, right? But accountability means action. 2432 You said that there was technical training, but if you have 2433 people who are outright lying, I mean, my question is who was 2434 2435 fired? 2436 *Ms. Boyle. I am not aware that anybody was fired at that time. I mean, there have been open recommendations for 2437 some period well after I was executive director, so I won't 2438 account for the last several years. But at that time there 2439

2440 was -- nobody was fired, but there was certainly training, and there was an attempt to address very openly the problems 2441 2442 that we found, and nobody tried to say otherwise. *Mrs. Cammack. So no one was fired. Did anyone resign? 2443 2444 *Ms. Boyle. No. *Mrs. Cammack. Have the people who have been identified 2445 who lied, right, in this particular investigation, were the 2446 2447 people identified -- have they remained in those positions, or have they gone on to other positions? 2448 *Ms. Boyle. Well, let me be clear. I understand you 2449 quoted from the Inspector General's report. I am not aware 2450 of anyone lying. I don't think -- I am not aware of anyone 2451 2452 lying to me. And so I understand that that was his conclusion and how he characterized it, but I am not aware of 2453 anyone lying to me. 2454 *Mrs. Cammack. But, I mean, you understand that this is 2455 the frustration that American people have in general. You 2456 2457 are here before this committee asking for a bump in your budget, and yet we are finding through OIG reports where 2458 there have been instances of mischaracterizations, lying, 2459 real issues that have truly not been addressed. And I think 2460

2461 saying, yes, we are taking responsibility -- but what is the action that follows thereafter? I don't think training is 2462 2463 enough. I mean, there has to be real accountability. So I am 2464 curious. And as a follow-up, I would like you to provide 2465 this committee with what has happened to those individuals 2466 that received the training. And I want to know if they have 2467 2468 moved on into other positions. 2469 There is a saying in Washington. It is called "failing up.' And we tend to see that when we have problematic 2470 people, we tend to move them into other positions. So I 2471 would be curious to see where -- those individuals and the 2472 2473 roles that they played in -- that were identified in that OIG report, I want to know where they are now. Can you provide 2474 this committee with that information? 2475 *Ms. Boyle. Certainly. Again, I will make clear that 2476 they are not under my purview in my current role, and I will 2477 2478 have to consult with the chair in terms of --*Mrs. Cammack. Mr. Chairman, will you provide that 2479 information to this committee? 2480 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. We will go back and take a look. 2481

- Obviously, I wasn't there at the time, so I have to go back
- 2483 and look at the --
- 2484 *Mrs. Cammack. At some point, if you are at the top of
- the ticket, you are right there, you are the head honcho.
- 2486 The buck stops with you, right?
- 2487 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Understood, and I would say that --
- 2488 *Mrs. Cammack. So you should be able to get that
- 2489 information.
- 2490 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. -- there has not been any breach of a
- similar nature since that happened, and we have made sure
- 2492 that those -- that information is protected going forward.
- 2493 So we can look to try and provide you information.
- *Mrs. Cammack. We don't try; we do. So yes, you will
- 2495 provide that information?
- *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. We will provide the information we
- 2497 can find and that is appropriate, yes.
- 2498 *Mrs. Cammack. All right, Mr. Chairman, I yield.
- 2499 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. We will
- 2500 recognize Mr. Cardenas from the great State of California for
- 2501 his five minutes of questioning.
- 2502 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you very much, Chair Bilirakis and

2503 also Ranking Member Schakowsky, for holding this hearing today, and I appreciate the opportunity to waive on to the 2504 2505 committee. I also want to thank the commissioners for being here 2506 today, and I am looking forward to discussing the work you 2507 have done and continue to do on behalf of our 340 million-2508 plus American constituents. 2509 2510 Last Congress we passed my bill, the Safe Sleep for Babies Act, which makes it unlawful for manufacturers to sell 2511 or distribute crib bumpers or inclined sleepers for infants. 2512 The law bans both crib bumpers, a category of products 2513 responsible for at least 107 infant deaths between 1990 and 2514 2515 2016 alone, and inclined infant sleepers like the recalled Fisher Price Rock 'n Play, which was linked to over 100 2516 infant deaths. 2517 Manufacturers of some infant products have known from 2518 the start that their products were risky and violated safe 2519 2520 sleep advice, and I commend the CPSC and staff for working quickly to address these dangers through the enforcement of 2521 the Infant Sleep Products Act -- rule. The passage of the 2522 Safe Sleep for Babies Act helped move the needle in creating 2523

2524 a safe landscape for infant product safety, but therefore it is always more -- there is always more work to do. 2525 2526 Chair Hoehn-Saric, what have been the successes and challenges the Commission has encountered as it has worked to 2527 implement the Safe Sleep for Babies Act? 2528 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Thank you, Mr. Cardenas. 2529 Sleep for Babies Act is a tremendously important piece of 2530 2531 legislation that addressed, you know, hazards associated with infant sleep, both inclined sleepers as well as crib bumpers. 2532 We have been active in the enforcement side of things 2533 and making sure that those types of products are off the 2534 That is some of the challenges that we have been 2535 market. 2536 seeing. In recent months we were doing investigations and took down around 2,000 crib bumpers that were still being 2537 So it is making sure that people are getting smart, as 2538 sold. They are calling them something slightly different. 2539 well. But look at the pictures, and you know exactly what they are 2540 2541 So it is going on, especially on the online world, to make sure that we are able to stop those things from 2542 happening. 2543 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. And Chairman, what effect 2544

2545 would the Republican majority's proposed six percent budget cut have on your ability to protect families and consumers 2546 2547 from harmful sleep products for infants? *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. I think it would impact both what is 2548 -- our imports and ability to stop things with imports. But 2549 also, we have an e-commerce team that last year reviewed 2550 about 3 million products online, and did a takedown request 2551 2552 of nearly 60,000. All those, you know, jobs are at risk to be able to make sure that we have people there to do that, to 2553 be able to monitor, especially since, you know, the 2554 manufacturers often are overseas and selling directly to our 2555 2556 consumers. 2557 *Mr. Cardenas. So a six percent budget cut would 2558 actually make it harder for you to protect infants like you have been trying to do so far. 2559 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. Absolutely. 2560 *Mr. Cardenas. Okay, thank you. I look forward to 2561 2562 continuing to work with you to give families peace of mind in knowing that when their infant goes to sleep, the only thing 2563 parents must worry about is their baby waking up before they 2564 do, before they start their day. 2565

2566 Also, Chairman, you mentioned in your testimony that outreach is a challenge not only with infant sleep products, 2567 2568 but other products, as well. Distributing good information on product safety to non-English-speaking communities can 2569 often be uniquely difficult, as well. So Chairman, what 2570 hurdles have you encountered in efforts to increase public 2571 safety outreach, particularly outreach in languages other 2572 2573 than English? 2574 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. One of the things that the agency has been able to do with the ARPA funds that have been provided 2575 is to translate our recalls into Spanish. Commissioner Boyle 2576 has been a huge proponent of that. And as that money goes 2577 away and our budget shrinks, you have that as being put at 2578 2579 risk. In addition, we have done a lot of community building to 2580 be able to find voices who are trusted because often times, 2581 unfortunately, we need to be a trusted voice. We are not 2582 2583 well known. And sometimes the government is not always trusted. And so we want to build those relationships and 2584 find those voices out there. That also takes time. 2585 takes resources to be able to build that awareness. 2586

2587 *Mr. Cardenas. Once again, cuts would just make it harder. 2588 2589 Last year the American Academy of Pediatrics put out a letter to your Commission expressing concern over many baby 2590 products that puts children's lives in danger. Can you 2591 update us on what the CPSC is doing as it relates to weighted 2592 infant sleep products? 2593 2594 And are there other products that Congress should be looking at to make it safer for children to survive while 2595 sleeping? 2596 *Mr. Hoehn-Saric. So we don't do pre-market approval 2597 so, unfortunately, we often follow to see whether there are 2598 2599 deaths and injuries associated with products. pediatrician has raised concerns about weighted products. 2600 So did CDC, and so did NIH. We have, based off of their 2601 information, given updates to consumers about guidance on 2602 weighted sleep sacks, and we are engaged in the voluntary 2603 2604 standard side, as well, to be able to see if there is ways to improve the safety of these products. But obviously, they 2605 are out there and they are still being used. 2606 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you so much. 2607

2608	My time having expired, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
2609	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. Thank you very much. I
2610	appreciate it.
2611	This concludes the questioning for today. I appreciate
2612	everyone. Thank you to the panel for your answers and, of
2613	course, your testimony, as well.
2614	I ask unanimous consent to insert in the record the
2615	documents included on the staff hearing documents list.
2616	Without objection, so ordered.
2617	[The information follows:]
2618	
2619	**************************************
2620	

2621	*Mr. Bilirakis. I remind members that they have 10
2622	business days to submit questions for the record, and I ask
2623	the witnesses to respond to those questions promptly.
2624	Members should submit their questions by close of the
2625	business day on August 6.
2626	So we appreciate you all. I thought it was a great
2627	discussion, a good hearing.
2628	And without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned.
2629	[Whereupon, at 4:16 p.m., the subcommittee was
2630	adjourned.]