```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
 1
 2
    RPTS MORRIS
 3
    HIF108170
 4
 5
     LEGISLATIVE SOLUTIONS TO PROTECT KIDS ONLINE
 6
 7
    AND ENSURE AMERICANS' DATA PRIVACY RIGHTS
 8
     WEDNESDAY, APRIL 17, 2024
 9
    House of Representatives,
     Subcommittee on Innovation, Data, and Commerce,
10
11
     Committee on Energy and Commerce,
12
     Washington, D.C.
13
14
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m.,
15
     in Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gus
16
    Bilirakis [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
17
18
          Present: Representatives Bilirakis, Bucshon, Walberg,
19
     Duncan, Lesko, Armstrong, Fulcher, Harshbarger, Cammack,
20
     Obernolte, James, Rodgers (ex officio); Schakowsky, Castor,
21
     Dingell, Kelly, Soto, Trahan, Clarke, and Pallone (ex
22
     officio).
                                    1
23
```

```
24
         Also present: Representatives Miller-Meeks; and
2.5
     Schrier.
26
27
          Staff present: Kate Avery, Digital Director; Sarah
    Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Michael Cameron, Professional
28
    Staff Member; Nick Crocker, Senior Advisor and Director of
29
30
    Coalition; Sydney Greene, Director of Operations; Jessica
31
    Herron, Clerk; Nate Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman,
32
     Chief Counsel; Emily King, Member Services Director; Tim
    Kurth, Chief Counsel; Brannon Rains, Professional Staff
33
34
    Member; Teddy Tanzer, Senior Counsel; Hannah Anton, Minority
     Policy Analyst; Keegan Cardman, Minority Staff Assistant;
35
36
    Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General
    Counsel; Daniel Greene, Minority Professional Staff Member;
37
38
     Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Lisa Hone,
39
    Minority Chief Counsel; Francella Ochillo, Minority IDC
     Fellow; Joe Orlando, Minority Junior Professional Staff
40
41
    Member; Phoebe Rouge, Minority FTC Detailee; Andrew Souvall,
42
    Minority Director of Communications, Outreach and Member
43
     Services; and C.J. Young, Minority Deputy Communications
44
    Director.
45
```

46 *Mr. Bilirakis. Good morning, everyone. The subcommittee will come to order. The chair recognizes 47 48 himself for an opening statement. Again, good morning and welcome to today's legislative 49 50 hearing to examine solutions to protect kids online and safeguard Americans' data privacy rights. First I want to 51 52 welcome our new members, our new subcommittee members, 53 Representative Obernolte and Representative James. I am not 54 sure they are here somewhere. Okay, very good. 55 Excellent. All right, perfect timing, perfect timing. 56 With business acumen, they are a very, very and they 57 have a lot of technical expertise, very knowledgeable in 58 these areas. These esteemed members will bring new ideas, 59 in my opinion, to the subcommittee. They will add greatly 60 to our subcommittee. So welcome. I am looking forward to working with both of them. 61 During the 118th Congress, our subcommittee held 62 63 multiple hearings to examine the need for federal data privacy and security law. These hearings illustrate the 64 65 need to provide certainty for Americans to know their rights 66 online and for businesses to know their obligations on a consistent basis throughout the country. It will also help 67

68 cement America's global leadership and ensure we remain 69 competitive in this evolving landscape. 70 The bipartisan work has culminated with a discussion 71 draft, the American Privacy Rights Act, which is a 72 comprehensive privacy and data security standard. This bipartisan, bicameral bill gives Americans the right to 73 74 control their personal information, including how and where 75 it is being used, collected, and stored. This legislation establishes a national standard, one national standard, long 76 77 overdue. It preempts the patchwork of state laws so when 78 consumers and businesses cross state lines, there are 79 consistent rights, protections, and obligations. 80 The discussion draft creates requirements for companies 81 contributing to the data ecosystem while protecting small 82 businesses trying to provide for their customers. The bill also directs strong data security standards that minimize 83 and protect against data being used by bad actors and 84 85 provides Americans notice of their data is being transferred to a foreign adversary like China, but it allows consumers 86 87 the choice to opt out. Very important. We are also discussing proposals that require age verification for 88 certain websites and social media companies, streamline 89

90 terms of service labeling, and allow third party software 91 providers to make social networks safer. 92 There are also two bills that received significant 93 attention in the Senate: Representative Walberg's Childrens and Teens' Online Privacy Protection Act, or COPPA 2.0, and 94 my bill, the Kids Online Safety Act, or KOSA. I am proud to 95 96 collaborate on these kids' privacy and online safety 97 measures with our subcommittee vice chair, Representative 98 Walberg, and I thank him for his longstanding leadership in 99 this space. I also am grateful to my fellow Floridian and colleague, Representative Castor. On a bipartisan basis we 100 101 have worked on these particular bills and I appreciate her 102 cooperation. 103 I am looking forward to continuing to work towards 104 passage of these critical safety measures. We know that Big 105 Tech has failed, ladies and gentlemen, to prioritize the health and safety of our children online resulting in a 106 107 significant increase in mental health conditions, suicide, and drug overdose deaths. We have heard stories over and 108 109 over and over again in our respective districts, and it is 110 just awful. We have got to do something about it. It is time for Big Tech to be held accountable for facilitating 111

112 this activity and manipulating our kids to keep them 113 addicted to their screens for longer than ever before. 114 I am glad to have Ava Smithing. Ava, we had a 115 conversation, she is so impressive. Ava is from the Young 116 People's Alliance here to share her personal story about the harms she experienced as a young woman related to social 117 118 media. Ava, again thank you so much for being here. 119 I am also looking forward to hearing from Kara 120 Frederick who can share her experience as a team lead for 121 She understands how these companies operate and Facebook. how to curtail the harms of their products. 122 123 In closing, I want to thank our witnesses for their 124 testimony in advance, and I want to thank our great 125 chairperson, Representative Mrs. Rodgers, for her historic 126 bipartisan proposal. She has done such an outstanding job 127 on this and I know it is a priority for her. It is a priority for me and all of us here on the committee. It is 128 129 true. And then, of course, the ranking member who has 130 worked with her. 131 We passed this similar bill last year out of full 132 committee. We are going to get this done this year. So 133 and then I want to thank my ranking member, Mrs. Schakowsky

134	for her cooperation, all she has done.
135	Let's get this done together for the sake of all
136	Americans, especially our children.
137	[The prepared statement of Mr. Bilirakis follows:]
138	
139	**************************************
140	

141 *Mr. Bilirakis. So I will now recognize the gentlelady 142 from Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, for her five minutes to give her opening statement. You are recognized. 143 144 *Ms. Schakowsky. Well, thank you so much, Mr. 145 Chairman. Let me just say, I think this is a really good day. You know, there may be a lot of differences that we 146 147 may have across the aisle that show up all too frequently, 148 but this is not one of those days. I am really happy today 149 because we are finally getting back to the bipartisan 150 business of protecting consumers online, so this is really 151 an important day. 152 I know that there are 10 bills that we are going to be 153 talking about. I am going to focus on the one that I have 154 really spent a lot of time on along with Gus Bilirakis, 155 along with the chairman and the ranking member of this 156 committee, to talk about how we are going to get back to legislation to protect Americans' data privacy. 157 158 This is, you know, this is an opportunity that we have that is long overdue. Americans have been feeling the 159 160 threats that they have as consumers, as business people, and certainly for our children for far too long. We were able 161 you know, we have a history now of bipartisanship. We 162

163 were able to pass legislation out of this committee in the 164 last Congress, and I want again to thank all of the 165 participants on both sides of the aisle that made this 166 happen. 167 But right now still consumers find that companies are tracking their, you know, their all of their data that 168 169 where they go and who they talk to. All of these things are 170 an open book right now because of Big Tech. The let's 171 see. 172 You know, once that data is out, then you have data 173 brokers who buy and sell this information. You ask people on the street, do you know what a data broker is, I 174 175 guarantee you nobody has really heard of that, and they don't know that this is part that their data is part of a 176 177 business and profit making. And most people don't know also 178 that almost 80 percent of people around the world are protected by national privacy laws, but the United States is 179 180 not, so it is definitely time to act. Just since 2002, we have seen that 12 states now have 181 182 gone ahead and moved on data privacy, so we need a national law, there is no question. And this bipartisan legislation, 183 the American Privacy Rights Act, who that has been 184

```
185
     introduced now by Chairman Rodgers and I think lots of
186
     cosponsors who will be getting to work on this bring us to
187
     the right place that we need to go. The bill builds on our
188
     privacy work over the last several years now and this has
189
     given, this is now our definitely our time to act.
          Again, back to data brokers, now we know that under
190
191
     this legislation they will not be able to help scammers get
192
     the information they need to go after consumers. We know
193
     that consumers will be able to opt out for algorithms that
194
     could jeopardize their freedom to buy houses and their
195
     employment or even their health information. In I also
196
     want to tell you one thing that is very important to me and
197
     that is we want to make sure that we are having strong
     protections for data like what is that?
198
199
          *Voice. Fingerprints or DNA.
200
          *Ms. Schakowsky. What is it?
          *Voice. Fingerprints or DNA.
201
202
          *Ms. Schakowsky. Fingerprints or DNA, which we want to
203
     make sure is protected. So we have a good deal of
204
     legislation that still needs to be done. And let me just
205
     mention that we aren't finished yet, so this legislation is
206
     not complete, it is still a work in progress, but we are
```

207	making that progress right now.
208	And I am $_$ I know that when we would have witnesses
209	that would come before us _ to a person _ when the issue of
210	data came up, Republicans, Democrats, experts, people in the
211	business would talk about the need for us to finally get to
212	having in the United States of America a data privacy
213	legislation. So there has really been a unanimity among all
214	the players that we do this.
215	So let me just say I am so grateful to be part of this
216	effort. I know that we are going to run into things that we
217	have to nego _ yet are negotiated, but I am absolutely
218	confident with our chairman, with our ranking member, and
219	all the others that we are going to be able to move forward.
220	[The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
221	
222	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
223	

224 *Ms. Schakowsky. And with that, I will yield back. 225 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. Look 226 forward to continue to work with you, it has been a 227 pleasure. 228 Now we will recognize the Chair of the full committee, my good friend, Mrs. Rodgers, for five minutes for her 229 230 opening statement. 231 *The Chair. Good morning, and thank you, Mr. Chairman. 232 Right now the average American spends nearly seven hours 233 online a day with two-and-a-half hours of that being spent 234 on social media platforms. The consequences range from 235 increased suicide rates and depression to increased 236 polarization and loss of trust in our institutions. All the 237 while, these companies are collecting nearly every data 238 point imaginable which then they use to control what we see 239 and when we see it. 240 Many of these tools were created with good intentions, 241 to bring us together, but over time they have strayed from 242 their core mission in the interest of more control over our 243 lives. This isn't the American dream. America was built on 244 individual liberty and freedom. Freedom of thought, expression, and speech. Our founders fought for these 245

246 freedoms because they were tired of living under the crushing weight of tyranny. They were tired of being told 247 248 how to think and how to live by a few elites who thought 249 that they knew best and who exploited others for their own 250 power and wealth. Fast forward to today we find ourselves living in a 251 252 similar modern form of digital tyranny where a handful of 253 companies and bad actors are exploiting our personal 254 information, monetizing it, and using it to manipulate how we think and act. Many companies are using their control 255 256 over our data to erode people's agency, their thoughts, 257 their rights, their identity. It is time for the status quo 258 to change. Today we will be discussing several pieces of 259 260 legislation, including the American Privacy Rights Act, to 261 give people the right to control their personal information online and not have it used against them. We are putting 262 263 people back in control of who they are, what they think, and 264 how they live their lives, and this is especially necessary 265 for our children. 266 As a mom of three school-age children, Big Tech platforms are my biggest fear. The algorithms developed by 267

268	these companies are specifically designed to get kids
269	addicted to their platforms and they have been used to
270	target children with content that leads to dangerous life-
271	threatening behaviors. For me and my husband, as well as
272	millions of parents across the country, this is a battle for
273	our kids' development, their mental health, and ultimately
274	their safety.
275	We must build a better future for our children. They
276	are our future. The American Privacy Rights Act is
277	foundational to protecting our kids online. Working
278	together with other important legislation like the Kids
279	Online Safety Act, the Childrens and Teens' Online Privacy
280	Protection Act, and other bills which are also being
281	discussed today, these solutions will ensure the best
282	protections to date for our children.
283	Today we find ourselves at a crossroads. We can either
284	continue down the dangerous path we are on, letting
285	companies and bad actors continue to collect massive amounts
286	of data unchecked while they trample on core American values
287	like free expression, free speech, and identity, or we can
288	give people the right to control their information online.
289	Congress has been trying to develop and pass

290	comprehensive data privacy and security legislation for
291	decades. While the American Privacy Rights Act _ with the
292	American Privacy Rights Act, we are at a unique moment in
293	history where we finally have an opportunity to imagine an
294	internet that will foster massive economic innovation and
295	growth and truly be a force for good.
296	I would like to thank Senator Cantwell for working with
297	me on this landmark draft bill. I would also like to thank
298	Ranking Member Pallone, who has been a trusted partner over
299	the years as we have worked together on privacy. We would
300	not be here today without his tireless efforts and
301	leadership and I look forward to continuing to work with him
302	and strengthening the privacy protections for Americans.
303	I would also like to thank Chairman Gus Bilirakis,
304	Ranking Member Jan Schakowsky, the members of this
305	committee, Republicans and Democrats, who are standing
306	together here today, many who have been involved in these
307	efforts over several Congresses, and I am looking forward to
308	working together on behalf of the American people to get
309	this legislation through Congress and signed into law.
310	[The prepared statement of The Chair follows:]
311	

314 *The Chair. I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much. I appreciate it, 315 Madam Chair. I am fired up. We got to get this done, so 316 317 thank you very much. 318 I am going to recognize the gentleman from New Jersey, the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for 319 320 five minutes for his opening statement. You are recognized, 321 sir. *Mr. Pallone. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I am 322 fired up, too. Obviously, we think this bill is very 323 important and we are working on it in a bipartisan way with 324 325 Chair Rodgers, yourself, and Ranking Member Schakowsky. We 326 do need to get this done. 327 So we are taking a significant step forward today with 328 a strong comprehensive data privacy and data security 329 protection bill. For far too long, Americans have been virtually powerless against Big Tech's unceasing drive to 330 331 collect, use, and profit from the sale of vast amounts of Americans' personal information. 332 333 Last Congress, as chair of this committee, I was proud to work with then Ranking Member Rodgers and Subcommittee 334 Leader Schakowsky and Bilirakis to take bold action to 335

336 protect Americans' personal information. The American Data Privacy and Protection Act was the first bipartisan and 337 338 bicameral comprehensive data privacy legislation in decades 339 and was reported out of this committee with a 53 to two 340 vote. And that historic legislation included strong federal data privacy and security standards that put people back in 341 342 control of their personal data, curbed data collection 343 abuses by Big Tech, reined in the shadowy world of data 344 brokers, and provided important protections to keep kids safe online. 345 So I am pleased that the American Privacy Rights Act 346 347 discussion draft today adopts many of the key pillars of the ADPPA with data minimization rather than notice and consent 348 as its foundation. Notice and consent as the basis for 349 350 privacy regime imposes unreasonable burdens on consumers and 351 it simply does not work. By contrast, data minimization limits the amount of 352 353 personal information entities collect, process, retain, and 354 transfer to only what is necessary to provide the products 355 and services being requested by the consumer, and that means no more flashlight apps collecting and sharing geolocation 356 information, no more dating apps gathering health-related 357

358 information to use for targeted marketing, no more wellness 359 apps selling mental health information to data brokers. 360 this discussion draft combines data minimization with 361 provisions that empower consumers to access, correct, 362 delete, and port their personal data, opt out of targeted advertisements, and prohibit data brokers from collecting 363 364 their personal information. 365 And there are several key areas where I believe it can 366 be strengthened, starting with the area of children's 367 privacy. I have long said that any comprehensive privacy law must provide heightened privacy protections for 368 369 children. This new draft recognizes that information about 370 children is sensitive but it does not provide many of the specific protections for children that can be found in the 371 372 ADPPA. 373 To start, we should explicitly prohibit targeting advertising to children who often cannot distinguish between 374 375 advertising and non-advertising content. We should also require companies to incorporate privacy by design in their 376 practices and to adopt policies, practices, and procedures 377 that take special care to identify acts, assess, and 378 mitigate privacy risk with respect to children. And we 379

380 should also consider establishing a youth privacy division at the FTC to ensure that substantial resources are provided 381 382 to protect children's privacy. 383 We need to ensure that COPPA 2.0, which is one of the 384 bills under consideration today, provides sufficiently robust privacy protections for children. It provides for 385 386 data minimization but leaves websites and apps largely free 387 to collect, use, and disclose minors' information after 388 obtaining consent from a teen or the parent of a child. COPPA 2.0 would actually provide children and teens with 389 390 less robust privacy protection than those provided to adults 391 in the American Privacy Rights Act. 392 We should also explore whether there are additional 393 tools that we can give consumers to control the data in the 394 possession of data brokers. The Chair's discussion draft 395 directs the FTC to create a single mechanism that would 396 allow consumers to opt out of future data collection by all 397 data brokers. ADPPA went one step further and directed the creation of a universal deletion mechanism which would allow 398 399 consumers to direct all data brokers to delete their information, and without such a provision, consumers who 400 don't want data brokers retaining and selling their data, 401

402 would have to visit hundreds of data broker websites and opt 403 out of each one. 404 Furthermore, in a digital society, privacy rights are 405 civil rights. The combination of artificial intelligence 406 and personal data can be weaponized to deprive people of the equal opportunity to find housing, look for a job, or 407 408 receive information about goods and services. As we advance 409 comprehensive privacy legislation that includes provisions 410 on algorithmic accountability and discrimination, we should 411 examine whether the current legislation adequately reflects 412 what we have learned about AI, particularly generative AI, 413 since ADPPA moved through this committee two years ago. 414 So I look forward to hearing from our witnesses and 415 other stakeholders about ways we can strengthen the 416 discussion draft beyond what I have highlighted. I am 417 optimistic that we will be able to get comprehensive privacy legislation across the finish line and I am committed to 418 419 working with Chair Rodgers and my colleagues to get it done. 420 And I also want to hear more about the other bills on 421 today's agenda. 422 [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:] 423

426 *Mr. Pallone. And with that, I know I am over my time, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 427 428 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. I thank the gentleman. The gentleman yields back. And now we will hear from our 429 430 witnesses. 431 Our first witness is David Brody, Managing Attorney of the Digital Justice Initiative at the Lawyers' Committee for 432 433 Civil Rights Under Law. You are recognized, sir, thanks for 434 being here. You are recognized for five minutes. 435

436 STATEMENT OF DAVID BRODY, MANAGING ATTORNEY, DIGITAL JUSTICE INITIATIVE, LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW; 437 438 MAUREEN K. OHLHAUSEN, CO-CHAIR, 21ST CENTURY PRIVACY 439 COALITION; AVA SMITHING, DIRECTOR OF ADVOCACY, YOUNG 440 PEOPLE'S ALLIANCE; KARA FREDERICK, DIRECTOR, TECH POLICY 441 CENTER, THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION; SAMIR C. JAIN, VICE 442 PRESIDENT OF POLICY, CENTER FOR DEMOCRACY & TECHNOLOGY; AND 443 KATHERINE KUEHN, MEMBER OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CISCO-IN-444 RESIDENCE, NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY SECURITY COALITION 445 446 STATEMENT OF DAVID BRODY 447 448 *Mr. Brody. Chair Bilirakis, Ranking Member 449 Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for 450 the opportunity to testify today. My name is David Brody, and I am the Managing Attorney of the Digital Justice 451 Initiative at the Lawyers' Committee for Civils Rights Under 452 453 Law. The Lawyers' Committee uses legal advocacy to achieve 454 racial justice, fighting inside and outside the courts to 455 ensure that black people and other people of color have voice, opportunity, and power to make the promises of our 456 democracy real. 457

458	We care about privacy because it ensures that who we
459	are cannot be used against us unfairly. Privacy rights are
460	civil rights. The lack of a federal privacy law enables
461	discrimination and other harms. Data about black
462	communities and other historically-marginalized groups often
463	reflect the history of inequality and segregation in this
464	country. Tech companies that collect that data feed it into
465	algorithms and use it to make life-altering decisions.
466	Attached to my testimony is an appendix documenting
467	hundreds of examples of how these practices lead to
468	discriminatory harms and unequal access to goods and
469	services. Consumer data also fuel disinformation campaigns
470	by foreign adversaries that seek to undermine American
471	democracy. That is why we are encouraged by the bipartisan
472	and bicameral American Privacy Rights Act. I would like to
473	thank Chair Rodgers and Senator Cantwell for producing this
474	impressive achievement. The Lawyers' Committee looks
475	forward to working with both chambers to strengthen it.
476	The American Privacy Rights Act would establish
477	building codes for the internet. Strong data protection
478	rules are the infrastructure for American leadership and
479	online commerce. This foundation includes data

480 minimization, civil rights and consumer protections, transparency, data security, individual control, and multi-481 482 layered enforcement. 483 The act has several key improvements over past bills. 484 It prohibits forced arbitration of claims involving discrimination. It provides the right to have major 485 486 decisions made by a human instead of AI. It has stronger 487 protections for health data. And it prohibits dark patterns 488 that undermine individual autonomy. 489 The bar for federal legislation has risen in the last 490 two years as states enact more privacy and civil rights 491 protections. California continues to strengthen its privacy 492 laws and regulations. Maryland, Maine, and Vermont are 493 advancing comprehensive privacy bills based on this 494 committee's work. Washington enacted protections for health 495 data. Federal legislation must be at least as strong as the state laws to justify preemption. 496 497 But while residents of some states may enjoy data protections, they are the minority. Many state laws, such 498 499 as Virginia's and its copycats, are inadequate. Other states have no laws at all. Nationwide, most people are 500 being left behind. We cannot afford to wait. 501

502	The American Privacy Rights Act represents an imperfect
503	but needed bargain to protect everyone's rights. First, the
504	bill would prohibit discriminatory uses of personal data and
505	require companies to test their algorithms for bias.
506	Algorithmic decisions should not _ should be based on
507	individual merit, not stereotype shortcuts. Expediency is
508	no excuse for segregation.
509	However, the current civil rights provision contains an
510	exception which could allow advertising that segregates
511	based on protected traits. This could allow the return of
512	whites only solicitations. Fixing this provision is easy
513	but important.
514	Second, the bill would require companies to collect and
515	use only as much personal data as is necessary,
516	proportionate, and limited to provide the services the
517	consumers expect. The bill also provides transparency and
518	individual rights to access, correct, delete, and port
519	personal data. These protections build consumer trust to
520	help uncover discrimination and reduce the risk of fraud,
521	theft, and deceptive practices which disproportionately
522	impact communities of color. However, service providers for
523	government entities need to be covered by the bill as they

524 were in ADPPA. 525 Third, we applaud the enforcement authority that this 526 bill vests in federal, state, and individual actors. 527 ability to bring a private lawsuit is particularly important 528 for communities of color that historically could not rely on the government to vindicate their rights. However, we are 529 530 concerned that this bill has backtracked from ADPPA by 531 narrowing the private right of action for violations 532 involving sensitive data. 533 Lastly, the bill gives important new responsibilities to the FTC. The FTC, however, has been underfunded for 534 535 decades and needs new resources. In addition, the 536 displacement of the Communications Act is vague and overbroad. This could endanger the FCC's consumer 537 538 protection authorities and its work to combat illegal 539 robocalls. It is time for Congress to act. The internet is not 540 541 coded on a blank slate. The future of equal opportunity 542 depends on whether we prevent today's data-driven economy 543 from replicating the mistakes of the past. The promise of 544 the internet and the Democratic aspiration imbued in its creation depend on it. Thank you. 545

546	[The prepared statement of Mr. Brody follows:]
547	
548	**************************************
549	

550	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentleman for his
551	testimony. I now recognize our next witness, Maureen
552	Ohlhausen, Co-Chair of the 21st Century Privacy Coalition.
553	You are recognized for five minutes.
554	

555 STATEMENT OF MAUREEN K. OHLHAUSEN 556 557 *Ms. Ohlhausen. Chair Bilirakis, Ranking Member 558 Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, Ranking Member Pallone, 559 and members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to testify at this important hearing on 560 561 legislative solutions to protect kids online and ensure 562 Americans' data privacy rights. 563 I am Maureen Ohlhausen, Co-Chair of the 21st Century 564 Privacy Coalition and a partner at Wilson Sonsini. 565 had the honor of serving as an FTC Commissioner and Acting 566 Chairman. I am testifying today on behalf of the Coalition. 567 We commend Chair McMorris Rodgers and Senate Commerce Chair Cantwell for the release of the American Privacy 568 569 Rights Act discussion draft. The Coalition, which has 570 advocated for comprehensive national privacy legislation for a decade, believes that this daft shows potential for a 571 572 bipartisan path forward on this urgently needed legislation and we look forward to engaging with you as it moves ahead. 573 All of us share a strong desire for strong consumer 574 privacy protections that apply uniformly throughout the 575 576 Nation based on the sensitivity and use of data and which

577 allow consumers to continue to benefit from countless services and technologies. Consumers' personal information 578 579 should not be subject to varying protections because of the 580 state they are in or the entity collecting such information. 581 Federal legislation should also provide strong enforcement against the misuse or disclosure of consumer 582 583 data that could result in harm while also allowing companies 584 to develop innovative products. The draft incorporates a 585 number of foundational elements for privacy legislation. 586 First, it is strong and comprehensive, addressing issues 587 such as transparency, consent, and other consumer rights, 588 data security, and the relationship between companies, 589 vendors, and third parties. Second, the draft designates 590 the FTC as the federal enforcer and permits state attorneys 591 general to assist the FTC with enforcement. 592 Third, and as a former Acting Chair of the FTC, I particularly appreciate that the draft provides the FTC with 593 594 several useful enforcement tools to protect consumers from privacy harms such as civil penalty authority for a first 595 596 violation, limited APA rulemaking authority, consumer restitution, and jurisdiction over common carriers. Such 597 tools should also be accompanied by appropriate guardrails 598

599 to ensure that the FTC does not exceed its authority. 600 Fourth, the draft provides a national privacy and data 601 security framework that generally preempts state laws and 602 regulations. American consumers and businesses deserve the 603 clarity and certainty of a single federal privacy a federal standard for privacy. 604 605 Fifth, the discussion draft recognizes that legacy 606 privacy requirements in the Communications Act must be 607 preempted. This would allow a holistic approach to consumer 608 privacy under FTC oversight based upon the type of information collected rather than the legacy regulatory 609 610 history of the entity collecting it. 611 We believe, however, that the draft raises several concerns that warrant further consideration and discussion. 612 613 First, although the draft would preempt FCC privacy and data 614 security authority, it stops short of preempting the FCC's 615 data breach notification authority. To facilitate a 616 consistent approach to the bill's privacy and data security 617 requirements, the FCC's authority should be eliminated. 618 Second, the draft appropriately seeks to replace the Communications Act provisions addressing video privacy 619 requirements with equivalent protections enforced by the 620

621 FTC, but the draft language could unintentionally cause 622 significant disruption to common and beneficial practices in 623 the TV marketplace. Third, the bill should better reflect a risk-based 624 625 approach based on the nature of the relevant information and its usage. While we appreciate that first party marketing 626 627 is included as a permissible purpose, we are concerned that 628 sensitive information is not included in this exception to 629 the bill's data minimization requirement. Given how broadly 630 the discussion draft defines sensitive data, the draft would undermine the ability of communications providers to tailor 631 632 offerings to existing customers based on how they use our 633 services. 634 Fourth, while the draft seemingly provides broad state 635 preemption, it includes exceptions that may unduly limit its 636 application. Permitting states to adopt privacy specific laws would be problematic as would allowing plaintiffs to 637 638 invoke broad types of claims to circumvent the bill's prohibition on privacy-specific laws, and we would be glad 639 640 to engage with you further on this proposed provision. Fifth, by adopting an overly broad definition of the 641 term substantial privacy harm, the draft would abrogate 642

643	arbitration agreements while inviting class action lawsuits
644	that would undermine compliance with the legislation.
645	Thank you again for the opportunity to participate in
646	today's hearing and I look forward to your questions.
647	[The prepared statement of Ms. Ohlhausen follows:]
648	
649	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
650	

651	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. Our next
652	witness is Ava Smithing, Director of Advocacy at the Young
653	People's Alliance. You are recognized for five minutes.
654	

655 STATEMENT OF AVA SMITHING 656 *Ms. Smithing. Chair Bilirakis, Ranking Member 657 658 Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, and Ranking Member 659 Pallone, members of the subcommittee, it is an honor to 660 testify before you today. I hope to strengthen your 661 understanding of the issues we are discussing with my own 662 personal story. 663 *Mr. Duncan. Do you mind pulling the mic a little 664 closer to your mouth, please? 665 *Ms. Smithing. Yes. Is that better, y'all? 666 right, perfect. 667 I hope to strengthen your understanding of the issues 668 we are discussing today with my own personal story and the 669 knowledge and experience of the young people I have the 670 privilege of representing through the Young People's Alliance. My name is Ava Smithing, and I was one of the 671 672 teenage girls on Instagram with an eating disorder. this all the time, I have said it to many members of your 673 674 staff, but there are no words I could use that would describe in full the dehumanization that comes with valuing 675 your appearance over your health and wellbeing or the pain 676

677 and anger that comes from knowing this was inflicted on me 678 for profit. 679 I first downloaded social media when I was 10 or 11 680 years old. There was a brief moment when the platforms did 681 as they promised and peacefully connected me to my friends and new ideas. Then Facebook bought Instagram and 682 683 everything changed. We could have never imagined what would 684 happen and what would have been ushered onto social media in 685 the coming years along with algorithm-recommended content 686 and targeted advertising. Soon after this change, between photos of my friends 687 688 and my family, appeared advertisements of women with 689 unrealistic bodies. My natural tendency to compare and 690 therefore inclination to pay attention to these posts was 691 taken by Instagram as an invitation for more like them. 692 Companies' ability to track engagements, such as the duration of time I looked at a photo, revealed to them what 693 694 would keep me engaged. My own insecurity. 695 They stored my insecurity as data and linked it to all 696 of my accounts across the internet. They used my data to 697 infer what other types of ads and content I might like 698 leading me down a pipeline from bikini advertisements to

699 exercise videos to dieting tips and finally to eating 700 disorder content. I have a very specific memory of one post 701 titled Ballerina Diet suggesting that the daily intake of 702 only a black coffee, an orange, and 16 almonds would keep me 703 thin. In a sea of photos of unrealistically thin women, this Ballerina Diet was my life raft. 704 705 The data they collected represents my greatest 706 vulnerability. I wasn't thin enough. I interacted with one 707 picture of one thin girl one time and that is all I was ever 708 able to see. For 10 years I was trapped inside this echo chamber where social media takes a classic American beauty 709 710 standard and puts it on a loop in front of my face while 711 also showing me in real time the huge number of likes and 712 comments of adoration these posts were getting and reminding 713 me the limited number of posts and likes mine was getting. 714 Societal validation through thinness in my specific case is the carrot. Instagram, Pinterest, and TikTok are 715 716 the stick and I, along with the rest of America's youth, am 717 the donkey. 718 None of this would have happened to me if we had a 719 national data privacy standard that ensured data 720 minimization and gave me the ability to correct these ill-

721 informed inferences. None of this would have happened to me 722 if we had the Kids Online Safety Act that ensured companies 723 exercise reasonable care to mitigate harm to me. None of 724 this would have happened to me if I had the option to opt 725 out of algorithms that use my data to target me with harmful content, or better yet, if these algorithms had been 726 727 defaulted off. 728 I harbor no resentment. I understand the unprecedented 729 nature of social media made it impossible for us to know 730 what was coming and to properly act to prevent these harms 731 and I am grateful for the progress we have made this far, 732 but we desperately need to pass legislation like the Kids 733 Online Safety Act to protect against downstream harms caused by specific design features. We need to pass COPPA 2.0 to 734 735 update that old, tired privacy law and we need to pass 736 comprehensive data privacy to protect all Americans. Whatever steps we take to protect kids alone will 737 738 eventually prove fruitless if the democracy they grow up 739 into is too fractured to function. Data privacy will 740 protect users from the harm and polarization caused by social media upstream by limiting the information platforms 741 can collect on them and use to sort them into echo chambers. 742

743	Data privacy will ensure that future iterations of
744	exploitation of our data are protected against and create a
745	framework for which we can regulate artificial intelligence.
746	I promise you that passing legislation like the bills
747	we are talking about today will make your jobs easier and
748	uncloud the heavily polarized discourse that are happening
749	about these issues online. Thankfully, there is a growing
750	movement of young people dedicated to advocating for my
751	generation. Our partnership with the Design It For Us
752	Coalition underscores this as I see more young people every
753	day joining this fight.
754	Ultimately, this is about more than data privacy and
755	protecting kids online. It is about establishing a
756	precedent for how we react to technology when it endangers
757	us and our humanity and demonstrating to younger generations
758	that their futures are our priority.
759	Thank you all for your time and work this far and I
760	look forward to answering your questions.
761	[The prepared statement of Ms. Smithing follows:]
762	
763	**************************************
764	

765	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady, appreciate it
766	very much. Very powerful testimony. Our next witness is
767	Kara Frederick, Director of the Tech Policy Center at the
768	Heritage Foundation. You are recognized for five minutes.
769	

770 STATEMENT OF KARA FREDERICK 771 772 *Ms. Frederick. Thank you, sir. Chair Bilirakis, 773 Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, Ranking 774 Member Pallone, distinguished members of the subcommittee, 775 thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I firmly 776 believe the issue before us, data privacy, is the lynchpin 777 upon which every piece of tech policy legislation will 778 hinge. 779 I used to develop digital patterns of life for a living. My perspective is informed by my time as a 780 781 practitioner using technology to build profiles of Al Qaeda 782 terrorists for the United States intelligence community 783 where I was a targeter supporter special operations units in 784 Afghanistan. I then led a counter-terrorism analysis team 785 at Facebook headquarters in Menlo Park, California. But most importantly, I am a new mom to a one-year-old 786 787 little girl. I am here for her. I want to leave her with a 788 world that does not see her as a valuable but untapped 789 commodity to abuse in the service of some big corporation's 790 bottom line. I want to protect her from the predations of 791 these companies and especially those controlled by hostile

792 foreign adversaries and their unconstrained data mining and exploitation of the next generation of citizens, the 793 consequences of which we are only beginning to understand 794 795 today. 796 Working for a Big Tech company, I saw firsthand how these big businesses harvest and exploit user data while 797 798 hiding behind their opaque terms of service, their arcane 799 algorithms, and internal tools that they build and ship on 800 the daily. Because of these features and their data 801 collection practices, the capabilities of these companies often far exceeded the high speed U.S. military command that 802 803 I worked under. 804 To illustrate the reach of these companies, I would offer the conclusions of one German researcher who in 2019 805 806 installed 14 applications on his phone in a day only to 807 discover over 7,000 data transmissions to over 600 servers in that timeframe with 64 percent of those transmissions 808 809 occurring while his screen was locked and 18 percent taking 810 place when he was asleep. TikTok's invasive data collection 811 practices include gathering users' IP addresses, content, 812 contacts, images, microphone access for voice prints, biometric information, networks, device identifiers, screen 813

814 resolution, file names and types, along with key stroke 815 patterns and rhythms. 816 Now why would a little video company like TikTok need 817 to know exactly how you strike the keys on your phone? It 818 is to create a multimodal profile of every individual user. 819 My mouth would have watered at the prospect of such a 820 fulsome digital dossier to use while hunting Al Qaeda 821 operatives in the field. And without comprehensive data 822 privacy, they can do this to our children. 823 We know the race to the bottom is in full swing when it 824 comes to companies recruiting younger and younger users to 825 chase growth and add revenue. According to the Wall Street 826 Journal, Facebook even formed a team to study preteens, 827 create products specifically for them, and discover what 828 business opportunities they offer. We are talking nine to 829 11 year olds. And we and these companies know exactly the effects of children using social media and how devastating 830 831 they are. 832 In 2023 neuroscientists at UNC concluded that habitual social media use effectively rewires the brains of children 833 as young as 12. Further it goes that nowadays when you give 834 your kid a smartphone, you are not giving your kid access to 835

836	the world, you are giving the world access to your kid.
837	At the heart of all of this is the privacy question. I
838	submit that the incentives of private companies to blow past
839	fines, forego privacy enhancing technologies and age
840	verification, and recruit kids would be curtailed if we had
841	a national data protection framework. Such a privacy regime
842	would insulate all Americans young and old from the worst to
843	come.
844	The American Privacy Rights Act does this. These
845	policies put Americans back in charge of their data and
846	reduce incentives to abuse the American consumer and our
847	kids. Most importantly, they have the teeth to put Big Tech
848	and enemy-controlled platforms like TikTok on notice. It is
849	time to let them know that their gravy train, often used in
850	contravention to American values and yet built on the backs
851	of our citizens and our kids, is over. The reckoning is
852	here today.
853	I look forward to your questions.
854	[The prepared statement of Ms. Frederick follows:]
855	
856	**************************************
857	

858	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. And our next
859	witness is Samir C. Jain, Vice President of Policy at the
860	Center for Democracy and Technology. You are recognized for
861	five minutes.
862	

863 STATEMENT OF SAMIR C. JAIN 864 865 *Mr. Jain. Thank you, Chair Bilirakis, Ranking Member 866 Schakowsky, and Chair McMorris Rodgers, and Ranking Member 867 Pallone of the full committee for the opportunity to testify today about the urgent need for Congress to finally pass a 868 869 meaningful privacy law that protects all individuals, 870 including children, that creates certainty for businesses 871 and restores trust in the digital ecosystem. 872 I am Samir Jain, Vice President of Policy for the 873 Center for Democracy and Technology, a nonprofit nonpartisan 874 organization that advocates for civil rights, civil 875 liberties, and democratic values in the digital age. For 876 years now CDT has advocated for a national federal privacy 877 law, and this committee, to its great credit, has done 878 tremendous work to advance us toward that goal. The American Privacy Rights Act, or APRA, that we will 879 880 be discussing today, builds on that prior work on both a 881 bipartisan and bicameral basis and presents a renewed opportunity to finally finish the long overdue job of 882 passing a federal privacy law. The need for such a law is 883 884 clear. As numerous congressional hearings have established,

885 today's data ecosystem is out of control. The explosive emergence of artificial intelligence has only accelerated 886 887 the need for a privacy law as the need for large training 888 data sets provides just yet another reason for companies to 889 assemble and collect large amounts of information about all of us. 890 891 A comprehensive privacy law is also a national security imperative. Today, adversary nations can easily collect and 892 893 process detailed information about Americans, use that data 894 to advance their own AI models or to target Americans with harmful, personalized content like disinformation. APRA 895 896 provides a sound framework for the comprehensive privacy law 897 that we will need. I will touch on a few of its critical 898 elements. 899 First, data minimization is essential for an effective 900 privacy law. For too long we have relied on a regime of notice and consent premised on the fiction that individuals 901 902 spend hundreds of hours they would literally need to review the various privacy policies they encounter in a single 903 904 year. The time has come to shift the primary privacy burden away from individuals and to the companies that collect and 905 profit from our data. APRA's data minimization provisions 906

907 would do just that by requiring companies to justify their data collection and processing as necessary, proportionate, 908 909 and limited to the product or service requested by the 910 individual or for a list of other permissible purposes. 911 Second, nondiscrimination. Increasingly, AI systems that leverage large amounts of data are being used to make 912 913 decisions about employment, lending, and other settings that 914 can dramatically affect people's lives. APRA would prohibit 915 using that data in a way that creates or exacerbates 916 discrimination, whether a black person searching for a job, 917 a woman seeking a loan to start a business, or a veteran 918 with a disability trying to find housing. 919 Third, APRA would finally start to rein in the well-920 established harms caused by data brokers. It would give 921 individuals greater visibility and control by establishing a 922 data broker registry through which a person could submit a request for data brokers to no longer collect data about 923 924 them. Another bill under consideration at the hearing 925 today, the Delete Act, would go a step further and establish 926 a centralized mechanism through which individuals could seek 927 the deletion of their information. 928 In addition, the House will soon be voting on the

929	Fourth Amendment is Not for Sale Act, which would prohibit
930	law enforcement and intelligence agencies from collecting
931	certain information by purchasing it from data brokers
932	instead of the legal process that would otherwise be
933	required. We urge Congress to pass this law as an initial
934	but critically important step.
935	While APRA's basic framework is strong, it will need
936	further refinement as it moves through the legislative
937	process. For example, a comprehensive privacy law that
938	establishes baseline protections for everyone is also the
939	right context in which to consider what additional
940	protections are needed for kids. ADPPA would have provided
941	explicit protections for children, including a ban on
942	targeted advertising for those under 17 and on transfers of
943	children's data without consent. These types of bipartisan
944	reforms would provide meaningful and important protections
945	for children.
946	Some of the requirements in other proposals, such as
947	the Kids Online Safety Act, while well-intentioned and
948	pursuing an important goal, do raise some concerns.
949	Legislation that restricts access to content because
950	government officials deem it harmful can harm youth and

951	present significant constitutional issues. Further,
952	requirements for strong incentives to adopt age verification
953	systems to identify children often require further data
954	collection from children and adults alike and thereby can
955	undermine privacy and present their own constitutional
956	concerns.
957	CDT is encouraged by the release of the bipartisan,
958	bicameral draft of APRA and thanks this committee for the
959	work to advance comprehensive privacy legislation. We look
960	forward to working constructively with you to make any
961	necessary changes to APRA and help to move it forward
962	through the legislative process and finally into law.
963	Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.
964	[The prepared statement of Mr. Jain follows:]
965	
966	**************************************
967	

968	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentleman. The gentleman
969	yields back. Our final witness is Katherine Kuehn, Member,
970	Board of Directors and CISO-in-Residence at the National
971	Technology Security. You are recognized for five minutes.
972	

973 STATEMENT OF KATHERINE KUEHN 974 975 *Ms. Kuehn. Thank you. Chair McMorris Rodgers, 976 Ranking Member Pallone, Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member 977 Schakowsky, and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify today on the American Privacy Rights 978 979 Act of 2024. 980 My name is Katherine Kuehn, and I am a member of the 981 National Technology Security Coalition Board of Directors 982 and serve as their CISO-in-Residence. Established in 2016, 983 the National Technology Security Coalition is a nonprofit, 984 nonpartisan organization that serves as the preeminent 985 advocacy voice for the chief information security officer, chief privacy officers, and the senior security technology 986 987 executives. 988 The CISO is the senior technology risk executive who is responsible for the information and data security of an 989 990 enterprise. We are charged with protection of the enterprise from information security risks, be it from 991 nation states, cybercriminals, hacktivists, or unknowing 992 employees committing non-malicious violations of the 993 organization's policy. The CISO is on the front line of 994

995 serving our Nation's data and securing individual's private 996 information and our Nation's critical infrastructure. 997 I sit before the committee today with over 25 years of 998 experience leading and advising cybersecurity, technology, 999 and innovative AI, artificial intelligence strategies and teams to help the public and private sectors achieve more 1000 1001 informed risk decisions. I have strived in my career to maintain a comprehensive understanding of all facets of the 1002 1003 cybersecurity ecosystem, acting in numerous capacities both 1004 on the practitioner and operator side. My career as a risk executive actually started here in 1005 1006 Washington. I was an intern 30 years ago for Senator Tom 1007 Harkin. One of my responsibilities was to create his first 1008 website. I was given a book, HTML for Dummies, and told to 1009 build his first site. 1010 I remember when we launched the first page that I was concerned about the security and wondered while we were so 1011 1012 proud of it, would there be ways in the future to take the 1013 data we provided, the people we highlighted on the site, and 1014 would it be used in negative ways. It was the first time I had ever had a concern about the bright future the still 1015 very new internet had, promising achieving amazing things 1016

1017 for us but still concerning about the security around it. My career in cyber was born. 1018 1019 All these years later, now a mother of five, twin 15-1020 year-old daughters, a 14-year-old son, an 11-year-old 1021 daughter, and a two-year-old son, COVID surprise, I still believe that the future looks bright, but I am concerned 1022 1023 about the digital revolution we have witnessed and what will 1024 the future my children face considering privacy, where the 1025 future of the internet goes. It gives me pause for concern. 1026 As a career risk executive, it is not a surprise the 1027 complexity of consumer privacy, data privacy has brought us here today. In a recent study, it was highlighted that nine 1028 1029 out of ten Americans consider their online privacy to be an 1030 important issue, with 85 percent of global adults wanting to 1031 do more to protect their online privacy. 1032 I reflect on a comment made by Vint Cerf, widely accepted as one of the fathers of the internet and my 1033 1034 mentor. In 2013 he said that privacy may be an anomaly. 1035 remember disagreeing with the comment then and now, over 10 years later, with the rapid acceleration of social media, 1036 data mining, and targeted influence campaigns, it is clear 1037 to me that privacy with respect to our data cannot be 1038

1039 anomaly and must be protected. Today, American consumers and corporations navigate a 1040 1041 complex landscape of state-specific privacy laws. 1042 state laws, while pioneering, create a patchwork of 1043 disparate regulations that can be confusing for consumers and burdensome for businesses. 17 states have enacted 1044 1045 privacy laws and regulations with another 18 states actively 1046 pursuing various pieces of legislation. In the absence of 1047 federal privacy law, the average consumer has little to no 1048 understanding of the protections state offers, with one in 1049 four Americans being asked to agree to a privacy policy 1050 every day and the potential ramifications of their privacy 1051 differing from state to state. 1052 In addition, there is a risk that states could compete 1053 by offering looser regulations to attract business 1054 investment leading to a race to the bottom in terms of rapid 1055 adoption of privacy standards. This introduces new areas of 1056 risk, especially with the rapid adoption of generative AI 1057 both in the consumer and business space. States trying to 1058 offer more comprehensive consumer data privacy could end up being penalized for trying to do the right thing. While the 1059 state level protections are noble in thought, inaction from 1060

1061	the Federal Government has the potential to hurt both
1062	American consumers and businesses, potentially the states
1063	themselves. Individual data protections are not equally
1064	created.
1065	One of the most significant advantages of APRA is the
1066	centralization of privacy standards. Under the current
1067	systems, businesses must adapt to the varying requirements
1068	of different state laws, which can be inefficient and
1069	costly. This fragmentation not only affects businesses but
1070	also leaves gaps in protections for consumers, depending on
1071	their state of residence.
1072	With two-thirds of global consumers feeling that tech
1073	companies have too much control over their data, a federal
1074	standard under APRA would be a big step forward in ensuring
1075	that all American consumers receive the same level of
1076	privacy protection regardless of where they live. This
1077	uniformity helps simplify the legal framework for
1078	businesses, particularly small and medium size enterprises
1079	that might lack the resources to navigate regulatory
1080	environments.
1081	The National Technology Security Coalition supports the
1082	American Privacy Rights Act of 2024 as it marks a

1083	significant improvement for the landscape of consumer
1084	privacy protections in the United States. As you continue
1085	to work on the federal privacy standard, please consider the
1086	National Technology Security Coalition a resource moving
1087	forward.
1088	Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you
1089	today.
1090	[The prepared statement of Ms. Kuehn follows:]
1091	
1092	**************************************
1093	

1094 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you so very much, I appreciate 1095 it. 1096 Now I will recognize myself for five minutes of 1097 questioning. 1098 Ms. Smithing, thank you for sharing your personal story 1099 with us. Each of us here welcomes your perspective and 1100 insights into how we can protect our kids online. As you 1101 know, I recently introduced the House companion to KOSA along with my cosponsor prime cosponsor, Kathy Castor, 1102 1103 alongside several really several of my colleagues. Can you speak to how design obligations could have spared you so 1104 much harm? And I know that you touched upon this, but I 1105 1106 wanted to give you more time, it is so very important, 1107 please. 1108 *Ms. Smithing. Yes, of course. Thank you, sir, for 1109 the question. *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes. 1110 1111 *Ms. Smithing. I believe design specific legislation 1112 is incredibly important because while data minimization 1113 could have limited the amount of information they had on me, features such as likes, which led me to negatively compare 1114 myself to other people, aren't covered by that legislation 1115

1116 and could have spared me a world of hurt. There is also specific provisions in there that would 1117 1118 limit things like beauty filters, which are incredibly 1119 harmful for young women. I know sometimes celebrities will 1120 even post pictures with these beauty filters on, save the photo to their phone, and then reupload them so there is no 1121 1122 tag that the beauty filter is there, which is setting an 1123 absolutely unrealistic and unfair standard for young girls 1124 who look up to these women, and design features like this 1125 are necessary to be covered, and it does so in KOSA. 1126 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, I appreciate it. 1127 Ms. Frederick, thank you for your testimony today. You 1128 have been a tremendous voice in showcasing the harms 1129 perpetrated by Big Tech. We appreciate that. With respect 1130 to KOSA and the American Privacy Rights Act, how will these 1131 bills curb the power and subsequently give control back to 1132 the American people? 1133 *Ms. Frederick. Well, first and foremost, as a general 1134 theme, they hue towards self-governance, which is what Americans how we operate and how we want to live our 1135 lives. We are at the Heritage Foundation are big 1136 advocates of transparency, especially when it comes to the 1137

1138	harms, the legitimate harms that Big Tech companies can
1139	visit on consumers and their children specifically. So what
1140	it does, it drives that transparency, but I think critically
1141	it provides that teeth that I talked about in my opening
1142	statement.
1143	And this is very important because if you want to get
1144	the attention of executives in these Big Tech companies, you
1145	have to have some sort of enforcement mechanism, those
1146	private rights of action that are internal to the American
1147	Privacy Rights Act. That is absolutely critical because you
1148	have to let them understand that what they care about the
1149	most, their bottom line, will be at stake should they
1150	continue to have that gratuitous imbalance between the
1151	consumer and these Big Tech companies themselves.
1152	So I think that accountability mechanism following
1153	transparency is absolutely critical to put the Big Tech
1154	companies on notice.
1155	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much. Okay,
1156	comprehensive data privacy has been a major issue over the
1157	last 20 years, as you know. I fear our time is running out
1158	to get something done. We have got to get it done now.
1159	So very quickly down the panel, we will start over

- 1160 here. Yes or no. Do you think this is the best chance we
- 1161 have to getting something done on comprehensive data
- 1162 privacy? We will start from here, please.
- 1163 *Mr. Brody. Yes.
- 1164 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.
- 1165 *Ms. Ohlhausen. Yes.
- 1166 *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes.
- 1167 *Ms. Smithing. Yes.
- 1168 *Ms. Frederick. Yes.
- 1169 *Mr. Jain. Yes.
- 1170 *Ms. Kuehn. Yes.
- 1171 *Mr. Bilirakis. Oh, it is unanimous. We appreciate
- 1172 that. So I know listen, we have a lot of work to do, but
- 1173 we have got a great start thanks to our chairperson and let
- 1174 me tell you, the staff has been outstanding. So let's work
- 1175 together, let's work together to get this done.
- 1176 And I am going to go ahead and yield back.
- 1177 I recognize the ranking member, Ms. Schakowsky, for her
- 1178 five minutes of questioning.
- 1179 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much to the witnesses.
- 1180 The American Privacy Rights Act is finally it feels like
- 1181 it is close and we are finally going to be able to protect,

1182 I hope and believe, the data privacy of Americans. 1183 But I want to ask you, Mr. Brody, why is it so 1184 important that we do this and that we do this now? 1185 *Mr. Brody. We are at an inflection point for the 1186 future of the internet. As AI and other advanced tools 1187 emerge, we can't afford to replicate the mistakes of the 1188 past. Every single day we are seeing new data breaches. 1189 Every single day we are seeing new research showing how this 1190 tool, or this algorithm, or this thing is disadvantaging one 1191 group or excluding people from opportunities. There is 1192 endless reports of people being denied equal opportunity in housing, employment, education, credit. We are dealing with 1193 1194 people being exposed to stalking, and identity theft, and 1195 fraud, and we are facing threats of disinformation from 1196 hostile actors. We can't afford to wait. 1197 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. Also another question for you. The discussion draft has talks about protections for 1198 1199 data like fingerprints and DNA, so if you could talk to me about why we should especially look at that. 1200 1201 *Mr. Brody. So biometric and genetic information is 1202 some of the most sensitive information we have. It is 1203 immutable. If it is compromised, you can't change it, you

1204 can't get it back under control, and so we have to be extremely protective of it and make sure that it gets 1205 1206 treated in the appropriate way. 1207 We have also seen that this information is often used 1208 in discriminatory ways, so particularly when we are talking about facial recognition technology repeatedly over and 1209 1210 over, it has been shown to be less accurate and discriminatory against women and people of color, and as 1211 1212 that technology is increasingly being used in places of 1213 public accommodation like retail stores and sports arenas, 1214 it poses a serious threat. 1215 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. Then also we know that 1216 scammers can buy suckers lists from data brokers or use data 1217 that is out there illegally right now, and I wanted to ask 1218 Mr. Jain how does this draft that we are working on right 1219 now address this problem of scammers? 1220 *Mr. Jain. Thank you for the question. Well, first of 1221 all, I think the data minimization requirements that restrict both the initial collection of a lot of data and 1222 that restrict the transfer of that data except for 1223 1224 permissible purposes or except when necessary to provide the 1225 product and service is going to make a lot of less of this

1226 data flowing through the ecosystem where data brokers can today data brokers can essentially purchase anything that 1227 1228 they want, any data that they want from anyone because there 1229 are very restrictions so they can buy data about your online 1230 activities, what you read, what you watch, they can buy data 1231 about your purchases. 1232 And they can put all of this together into profiles 1233 that enable them to assemble lists like what you are talking 1234 about, you know, seniors who are vulnerable to scams or, you 1235 know, seniors who have Alzheimer's disease. And it is that 1236 kind and then they can turn around and sell those, right, 1237 to businesses, to advertisers who can then use them to 1238 target ads in a really harmful way. 1239 And so by having data minimization, by having some of 1240 the other protections here, the ability of consumers to say, 1241 hey, data brokers, don't collect my information anymore. Those kinds of protections will really help rein in some of 1242 1243 these really harmful activities from data brokers. 1244 *Ms. Schakowsky. The more we can take away 1245 opportunities for the scammers, the better we are going to 1246 be. And you are right, a lot of seniors, they get asked for things like their Social Security Number or whatever, and if 1247

1248 we could cut back through minimization, we could really help reduce the scamming. So thank you very much. 1249 And with that, I yield back. 1250 1251 *Mr. Bilirakis. Agreed. Thank you very much. 1252 ranking member yields back and we will recognize the chairman of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for her five 1253 1254 minutes of testimony. 1255 *The Chair. Ms. Smithing, you are an inspiration to me 1256 and my daughters, who are 10 and 13, as well as millions of other young women across the country. Thank you for being 1257 1258 here, thank you for sharing your story. Appreciate your 1259 advocacy for KOSA but also for a national data privacy 1260 standard in our Nation, and you highlighted that we need 1261 both, we need a path so that these protections work 1262 effectively. 1263 In aligning your testimony, you referenced that we had there is an arsenal of data being used against you. Would 1264 1265 you just speak to the American Privacy Rights Act and how you believe that would have helped stop this ammunition 1266 1267 being used to target you and how it is an effective complement to KOSA and protecting kids online? 1268 1269 *Ms. Smithing. Yes. Thank you, Chairwoman, for this

1270 question. I think there is a lot of provisions in the act that would have helped, specifically the data minimization, 1271 1272 which would have prevented them from building this arsenal 1273 on me in the first place, especially how in data 1274 minimization sensitive covered data, which covers data for minors, you have to expressly consent for that data to be 1275 1276 transferred to third parties. This would have been incredibly helpful and would have helped these poor examples 1277 1278 of content from following me across the internet. Also the ability to opt out of targeted advertising is 1279 1280 incredibly important. Targeted advertising is one of the 1281 most harmful things we see here on the internet and if we 1282 had the option to opt out of that, it would be great. I 1283 will note that not all children have the ability to make the 1284 best decisions for themselves, and I think it is important 1285 that targeted advertising for minors specifically be 1286 defaulted off or banned as it is currently proposed in COPPA 1287 2.0 just because they don't always have the full story. 1288 These companies aren't being honest with how they are using their data so it is best that it is opted out for them. 1289 *The Chair. Thank you, thank you. 1290 Ms. Frederick, welcome back. Heritage is a respected 1291

1292 conservative voice and I know we have had many conversations about why a draft like APRA is reflective of conservative 1293 1294 values. Transparency is important to us. I know we also 1295 share issues about government regulating speech, but do you 1296 see why Big Tech shouldn't be subject to algorithm assessments and design evaluations? Wouldn't it be good 1297 1298 that we require a company to give thought to the impact on 1299 Americans in the decisions that they make? 1300 *Ms. Frederick. Precisely. And what I think 1301 conservatives in particular have learned in the past few 1302 years is that private companies shouldn't be considered sacrosanct. They are just as capable on infringing on 1303 1304 Americans' God-given rights as the government and often do 1305 so hand in glove, so that new history of conservatives 1306 should inform the way that we look at specific strictures on private corporations in particular. 1307 And I will tell you from my experience, these 1308 1309 companies, they say they are transparent but you, the American public only sees what they want you to see. So 1310 1311 there are times when those enforcement mechanisms need to be 1312 utilized in order for these tech companies to promote selfgovernance among American citizens. 1313

1314	*The Chair. Thank you.
1315	Ms. Kuehn, really appreciate you being here. You have
1316	tremendous experience, 25 years protecting data, advancing
1317	new technologies. Your insights are important. You
1318	mentioned I think that the International Association of
1319	Privacy Professionals estimate that 80 percent of the world,
1320	79 percent is covered by a form of a national data privacy
1321	law. Would you speak to the importance of a uniform federal
1322	standard when it comes to American leadership on the global
1323	stage and whether this bill would be burdensome to
1324	implement, and can you elaborate on the terms of how
1325	important data portability provision is? And many others on
1326	the panel are very supportive of that as well.
1327	*Ms. Kuehn. The need for a national standard is
1328	critical. So from an implementation standpoint, this would
1329	actually be a simplification for a lot of American
1330	corporations and for international organizations trying to
1331	do more business within the United States. One of the
1332	issues today is with so many disparate state laws, it is
1333	very difficult to make sure that they are maintaining proper
1334	privacy regulation between the states.
1335	So creating a national standard, one, gives us better

1336 parity of what international counterparts, if you think about the EU, if you look at GDPR, what is happening, it is 1337 1338 very similar from that perspective. 1339 *The Chair. Thank you. 1340 *Ms. Kuehn. So, one, it is an advancement forward. Two, from a protection standpoint, it simplifies as a risk 1341 1342 practitioner what I have to do as far as maintaining privacy 1343 standards. 1344 *The Chair. Thank you, thank you. 1345 *Ms. Kuehn. That is critical. 1346 *The Chair. Thank you. Thank you. Commissioner Ohlhausen, would you share with us the 1347 1348 members of the 21st Century Privacy Coalition? *Ms. Ohlhausen. Yes. So the members of the 21st 1349 1350 Century Privacy Coalition are AT&T, Comcast, Cox 1351 Communications, CTIA, DirectTV, T-Mobile, and U.S. Telecom. 1352 *The Chair. It is interesting to me that you are just 1353 now raising data breach in the context of comprehensive 1354 privacy legislation. I am sure that had nothing to do with one of those members recently being in the news for a breach 1355 of seven million customers and 65 million former account 1356 1357 holders.

1358 I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the chair and now recognize 1359 1360 the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for 1361 his five minutes of questioning. 1362 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In today's Big 1363 Tech era, powerful social media companies, app developers, 1364 Ed Tech companies, and video game creators treat children's 1365 most sensitive data as a valuable commodity to be collected, 1366 used, and sold all to online their pockets all to line 1367 their pockets I should say. The result is invasive 1368 commercial surveillance practices that can have a damaging 1369 effect on children's wellbeing, and as we draft a comprehensive privacy bill, we have to ensure that our 1370 1371 Nation's youth are provided robust privacy protections 1372 stronger than those provided to adults. 1373 My questions are all for you, Mr. Jain. Can you expand on your recommendation that this committee add robust 1374 1375 privacy protection for children into APRA? *Mr. Jain. Sure. I think in thinking about this it is 1376 important to think about why is it that children need 1377 additional protection. As you noted in your opening 1378 statement, one reason is is that children are it is much 1379

1380 more difficult for children to distinguish between advertising and editorial content. Children are unable to 1381 1382 provide meaningful consent. We have heard from Ms. Smithing 1383 in such eloquent testimony about the kind of harms that 1384 advertising can do to children, and I think with those mind we can think about, you know, what are the additional 1385 1386 protections that are necessary. 1387 So, one, let's just stop targeted advertising to kids 1388 because we know that it causes so much different kinds of harm. Let's make sure that we are able to bar transfers of 1389 1390 children's information without parental consent. Kids can't 1391 give meaningful consent. They don't understand or 1392 appreciate what happens when the data goes into data 1393 brokers' hands and the ways they may be victimized, so let's 1394 say children can't consent to that, you really just need 1395 parental consent. 1396 I think the provision that was in an APRA previously 1397 about creating a specific youth marketing division within the FTC makes a lot of sense. There is a lot of research 1398 going on right now about what is it exactly about social 1399 media and other companies that causes harm to kids, what are 1400 the steps that can be taken to protect them. And I think 1401

1402 creating expertise at the FTC about that issue will enable us to make better policy down the road. 1403 1404 *Mr. Pallone. I have a bunch of questions, so let me 1405 go quickly. In your opinion, would a comprehensive privacy 1406 bill that provides protections to all Americans better protect children's privacy than kids specific privacy 1407 1408 legislation? 1409 *Mr. Jain. I think it is a good context in which to 1410 protect kids' privacy because I think once we establish a 1411 baseline that protects comprehensive privacy for all, 1412 including kids, so for example, the prohibition on dark patterns, which I think is particularly important for kids, 1413 1414 then we can think about, okay, what additional protections 1415 do we build on top. And in doing that we can make sure that 1416 we are doing that in a way that provides consistency, that we are not inadvertently creating lower standards for kids 1417 1418 in some cases, and that we can put it together as an entire 1419 package. So I do think that makes a lot of sense. 1420 *Mr. Pallone. Now I mentioned COPPA 2.0 in my opening, and I think it relies primarily on notice and consent regime 1421 to protect kids privacy. So let me go to Mr. Brady. 1422 1423 notice and consent an effective way to protect the privacy

1424 of children and teens? *Mr. Brody. No, it is not. We have known for a long 1425 1426 time that notice and choice just doesn't work. You know, 1427 how many people in this room have actually read all the privacy policies that you are subjected to? I haven't and I 1428 do this for a living. And particularly if you are talking 1429 1430 about parents, it is just there is too many things to be 1431 done. 1432 And so, you know, look, I just want my kid to be able to watch Bluey. I am not consenting to someone building a 1433 1434 dossier about them before they learn to read. *Mr. Pallone. All right. 1435 *Mr. Brody. So it doesn't work. We in other areas 1436 1437 of consumer protection, we just require products to be safe. 1438 *Mr. Pallone. And let me go back to Mr. Jain. Does the data minimization provision in COPPA 2.0 adequately 1439 protect kids' privacy? 1440 1441 *Mr. Jain. No, I don't think it does because although 1442 there is a data minimization provision, (a), it primarily 1443 applies to just the collection of information. Whereas, in APRA it would apply to both the processing, transfer, and 1444 other aspects of data used, so I think that is important. 1445

1446	Two, I think the standard is actually stronger in APRA
1447	because the standard in COPPA would be relationship-based,
1448	it allows you to cross collect data sort of based on the
1449	relationship. And it says including when it is necessary to
1450	provide the service or product that the individual
1451	requested, but by that language it is _ it makes clear that
1452	it is actually broader than just that. Whereas, APRA would
1453	just limit it to when it is necessary to provide the product
1454	or service or for another _ you know, the list of
1455	permissible purposes.
1456	So I think that APRA is $_$ actually has a stronger
1457	standard and I think it illustrates the point you were
1458	raising earlier which is that is why it is helpful to
1459	actually have this all in one place because we don't want to
1460	inadvertently protect kids less than we do adults.
1461	*Mr. Pallone. All right. Let me get a data brokers
1462	question here. Mr. Jain, under APRA, how would a consumer
1463	delete all of their personal information held by data
1464	brokers, and do you think that Congress should provide a
1465	centralized deletion mechanism for consumers who want all
1466	data brokers to delete their data?
1467	*Mr. Jain. Yes. Unlike ADPPA, APRA doesn't at this

1468 point contain a centralized deletion mechanism, which means that as an individual you would literally have to go data 1469 1470 broker by data broker to request their deletion, and that is 1471 really difficult because in many cases you don't even know 1472 what data brokers have collected information about you and 1473 so you wouldn't know how to do that. Whereas, if we create 1474 a centralized registry, a one place, one stop shop basically 1475 where consumers can go and say, hey, I want all data brokers 1476 to delete information about me, that puts more control into 1477 the hands of consumers, and so I do think that would be a 1478 welcome addition to APRA. *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. 1479 1480 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1481 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now I will 1482 recognize Dr. Bucshon from the great State of Indiana. I believe you are a cosponsor of KOSA. 1483 *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah. 1484 1485 *Mr. Bilirakis. I will recognize you for five minutes. 1486 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis, for calling today's hearing. Today examples of Hoosiers' data 1487 being captured and used without their consent are all too 1488 common. Every day that passes it becomes clearer that 1489

1490 families and businesses need clear rules of the road for how their data is used online. The American Privacy Rights Act 1491 1492 is a huge step forward accomplishing that, and I appreciate 1493 the work that Chair McMorris Rodgers and her team did to get 1494 us to this point, as well as the ranking member. As a practicing physician who ran a medical practice 1495 1496 for years before coming to Congress, I know that data-driven 1497 biomedical research is the lifeblood of clinical research 1498 and privacy legislation must strike the right balance to 1499 avoid stifling biomedical research conducted for the 1500 benefits of patients. Indiana's privacy law includes a comprehensive research exception that allows clinical trial 1501 1502 and other medical research data to be used by companies as 1503 necessary to develop new and better treatments for patients 1504 in need. It even states there must be a research exception for when the expected benefits of research outweigh the 1505 1506 privacy risks. 1507 Ms. Kuehn, do you believe that our privacy legislation must be tailored to avoid the unintended consequences 1508 potentially of stifling biomedical research conducted for 1509 the benefit of patients? 1510 *Ms. Kuehn. No, I do not. So what I believe is as we 1511

1512 looked at you know, we have talked about the opt in and being able to opt in to different types or being able to 1513 1514 have control of your data. I think it actually will help 1515 get more information in to areas from a health perspective 1516 because it gives more Americans the ability to be active in biomedical research if they would choose to be. 1517 1518 *Mr. Bucshon. Okay, fair enough. Anybody else have an 1519 opinion on that? No. 1520 Ms. Frederick, are there other lessons and policies 1521 that states have already enacted such as differentiating 1522 between first and third party data for the treatment of customer loyalty programs that this legislation should 1523 1524 incorporate? 1525 *Ms. Frederick. I think in terms of third parties, 1526 given what we have seen with TikTok and states that have moved out on banning TikTok, you know, obviously you need to 1527 1528 layer some sort of comprehensive data privacy on that. 1529 *Mr. Bucshon. Sure. *Ms. Frederick. But when it comes to SDKs and third 1530 parties, that is absolutely critical because they can make 1531 1532 end run. So making sure that that third-party data transfer, that is absolutely critical. 1533

1534 And then age verification for social media. You know, Florida has done some version in HB 3. That is something 1535 1536 that I think it very, very excellent when it comes to social 1537 media, not just porn. *Mr. Bucshon. Okay, great. In the 26 years since 1538 1539 COPPA was enacted by Congress, the protections provided by 1540 that law failed to keep up with the changes in the ways that 1541 online platforms operate and the way that millions of young 1542 Americans interact with the internet. The incentive to keep 1543 a user on a platform has led children to be fed content that 1544 keeps their attention. Sometimes this content can even promote suicide. I had 1545 1546 a constituent that did the near hanging type thing from 1547 online media and died. Sometimes this content can promote 1548 that. Suicide, alcohol and tobacco use, eating disorders, 1549 and more as has been described. 1550 As a father of four who have my kids have grown up 1551 through this era, I empathize with parents that struggle to 1552 keep up and monitor what their kids are doing with the newest trend or app, and it is hard. This has led to 1553 permanent and sometimes deadly results for children. 1554 is why I am a proud original cosponsor of the Kids Online 1555

1556 Safety Act introduced by Chairman Bilirakis and COPPA 2.0 Act introduced by Representative Walberg that will help 1557 1558 protect our children from the dangers that they currently face online. I know the bills still need work and that is 1559 1560 why we have hearings, but I am glad that they are under consideration today. 1561 1562 Ms. Smithing, I am glad that we are considering legislation that will help protect young Americans from 1563 1564 online harms but also think that it is important that 1565 regulation does not bar children from participating in on 1566 in the online world since digital literacy is necessary to 1567 succeed in the 21st century. How do you think about the 1568 tradeoffs between protecting young people from harm while still allowing exploration and preparation for their adult 1569 1570 lives in the digital world? It is a delicate balance, 1571 right? *Ms. Smithing. Yes. Yes, Congressman, it certainly 1572 1573 I believe that if we put the onus on these companies to properly design these platforms, we won't have to bar young 1574 children from them and they will be a safe place for 1575 everyone to be and there won't necessarily be trade-offs if 1576 we design these platforms with young people in mind as 1577

1578 opposed to profit. *Mr. Bucshon. Okay. And, Ms. Frederick, I have 34 1579 1580 seconds. Do you think these pieces of legislation strike 1581 that balance, that this these pieces of legislation? 1582 *Ms. Frederick. I do. *Mr. Bucshon. Strike the balance? 1583 *Ms. Frederick. I do. 1584 1585 *Mr. Bucshon. Okay, fair enough. 1586 Yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. And now I will recognize 1587 Representative Castor from the great State of Florida who is 1588 the prime cosponsor on the Democrat's side of KOSA, and we 1589 1590 have been working on these issues for several years, so *Ms. Castor. We have. 1591 1592 *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate all your patience but also your cooperation. Thank you, and I will give you five 1593 1594 minutes for questioning. 1595 *Ms. Castor. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 1596 I want to thank the Chair McMorris Rodgers and just express my appreciate for her years of work on this and congratulate 1597 you on the breakthrough on the American Privacy Rights Act 1598 1599 along with Ranking Member Pallone and all of the advocates

1600 here today because Americans value their personal privacy and it is past time for Congress to act. 1601 1602 We have got to rebalance the scales because right now 1603 they are overweighted, they are weighted too heavily in tech 1604 platform's favor. They track everything we do online, where we go, what we buy, and then they use that information to 1605 1606 manipulate us and exploit it. And it this is particularly 1607 harmful to children and adolescents whose brains are not 1608 fully developed. Kids are lucrative, ripe targets for a 1609 wide range of online actors from child sexual abuse, cyber 1610 bullies, drug dealers, and scam artists; and parents and 1611 kids need help. 1612 Children ages eight to 12 spend an average of over five 1613 hours per day on their screens while teenagers spend eight 1614 about eight hours every day. Big Tech uses every method possible to keep them online and addicted so they can pocket 1615 huge profits. The mental health repercussions for our kids 1616 1617 are staggering. Almost half of U.S. teens have experienced bullying or harassment online. Between 2010 and 2019, teen 1618 depression rates doubled with teenage girls seeing the 1619 sharpest increase. In 2021, almost a third of girls said 1620 they are seriously considering attempting suicide. 1621

1622	This committee has heard directly from Facebook
1623	whistleblower Frances Haugen and others that the platforms
1624	know that their platforms are causing harm but the kids are
1625	just too lucrative for them to change how they do business,
1626	so it is long past time for Congress to step in. So I want
1627	to thank Rep. Walberg, Rep. Bilirakis for helping to lead
1628	privacy protections and an age-appropriate content neutral
1629	design code. But I want to especially thank the parents and
1630	the advocates, mental health professionals, the
1631	pediatricians who have educated Congress.
1632	Ms. Smithing, thank you so much. You _ I agree, your
1633	testimony was _ is eloquent. You state that it is vitally
1634	important that we do both things, that we have privacy
1635	protections and that we address the design code. Why are
1636	both important? Because you have got a KOSA bill that is a
1637	design code, you have got a COPPA 2.0 that is privacy, it is
1638	outdated. But why do we need to marry these up in a modern
1639	bill that can protect kids online?
1640	*Ms. Smithing. Yes, ma'am, thank you for the question.
1641	The Kids Online Safety Act will address design features that
1642	are not addressed by data privacy. While data privacy is
1643	important because it will limit the amount of data they have

1644	to work with to make their platforms more dangerous and
1645	target us in harsher ways, this _ these data privacy
1646	provisions alone cannot address the design features that are
1647	harmful, such as likes, endless scroll, beauty filters, and
1648	other things that keep us on platforms for longer. So both
1649	of these in combination will successfully solve the problem
1650	from two ends, the upstream version, data privacy and the
1651	downstream version, the kids' online safety.
1652	*Ms. Castor. And you are with a group called Young
1653	People's Alliance. There is another youth-led group, Design
1654	It For Us. Why are young people helping to lead the charge
1655	here? Your generation has pressed Congress for years to act
1656	and it must be frustrating. Why have you stuck with it?
1657	*Ms. Smithing. Well, we have stuck with it because it
1658	is incredibly important and we really don't have much other
1659	option. I think that we intuitively understand these
1660	issues. We grew up on them.
1661	The phrase digital native plays really well here
1662	because it helps people understand that we didn't have to
1663	take a class on AI, we didn't have to learn about data
1664	privacy, we just knew it inherently, and that is what makes
1665	us such valuable activists because we can speak to these

1666 things in ways that are to the point and don't require an 1667 incredible intellectualization of these problems that makes 1668 it hard to reach for people. 1669 *Ms. Castor. It wasn't that long ago that if you asked 1670 members of Congress about this they really had no clue. So I want to thank you for your advocacy because I think you 1671 1672 can tell here today this is bipartisan. We intend to act. 1673 But there are a lot of barriers in the way and I wouldn't put it past the Big Tech platforms who have undue influence 1674 1675 here on Capitol Hill to throw up barriers along the way, so 1676 now is the time for everyone to press the Congress to act, and you have my commitment that we will. 1677 1678 *Ms. Smithing. Thank you. 1679 *Ms. Castor. Thank you. I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentleman lady 1680 yields back, and now I will recognize the vice chair of the 1681 subcommittee, Mr. Walberg, who is also the prime sponsor of 1682 1683 COPPA so 2.0. So in any case, I will recognize you for 1684 five minutes for questioning. *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thanks to the 1685 panel for being here, and I wish I could have heard you all 1686 as opposed to just reading. I just came from asking the 1687

1688 President of Columbia University some very pointed questions on antisemitism and which makes this even more important as 1689 1690 we discuss this topic, the power, the power that is out 1691 there with our systems. 1692 Our Nation's children, as my colleague and cosponsor, Representative Castor, said, we are facing tremendous 1693 1694 problems with mental health crises and the challenges that 1695 go with that. Online platforms collect mass amounts of data 1696 about children and teens, and that data is then used to 1697 employ sophisticated recommendation systems that promote 1698 harmful content to young users and keep them glued to their 1699 screens because they know the longer they are glued to the screens, the more money they make or the more impact it 1700 1701 makes. 1702 Online safety begins with privacy and that is why I have introduced H.R. 7890, the Children and Teens' Online 1703 Privacy Protection Act, or COPPA 2.0. The legislation 1704 1705 modernizes and strengthens COPPA. It raises the age of protection from 13 to 16, prohibits companies from 1706 collecting information on our most vulnerable, and bans 1707 targeted advertising to kids and teens. I also want to 1708 mention that this legislation is bipartisan, it is 1709

1710	bicameral, has 80 parent, teacher, and privacy organizations
1711	support it, 80, that understand the concerns that you have
1712	expressed today.
1713	I want to thank Representative Castor for co-leading
1714	the legislation and Chairs Rodgers and Bilirakis for
1715	including it in our discussion today. I also want to thank
1716	the Chair for her work on APRA. I look forward to
1717	discussing the need for comprehensive privacy legislation.
1718	And to that effect, I ask unanimous consent to enter a
1719	letter from R Street on APRA into the record.
1720	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection.
1721	[The information follows:]
1722	
1723	**************************************
1724	

1725 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ms. Smithing, thank you for being here and sharing your 1726 1727 story. As I said, COPPA 2.0 would prohibit targeted 1728 advertising to minors. For adults, targeted ads can be helpful, especially for small businesses trying to reach the 1729 right customers, but when it comes to young people, why is 1730 1731 the practice particularly harmful? 1732 *Ms. Smithing. Right. Thank you for the question, 1733 sir. Advertising in and of itself is harmful. Advertising 1734 is predicated on insecurity, right? You have to think 1735 having yellow teeth is bad to want to buy whitening strips, so this practice itself is not good. But when you add data 1736 1737 to it, it makes it incredibly worse. I will explain with a 1738 story that happened to me. 1739 If I am going to search on Google how to lose ten pounds in five days, Google is then going to share this 1740 search with my social media platforms and I am going to get 1741 1742 hit with ads from cleanse juices, gym memberships, workout clothing. All of these things are not only predatory 1743 because my insecurity is going to make me more likely to buy 1744 1745 them, but also it reinforces the negative things I already thought about myself that I did need to be thin, that I did 1746

1747 need to work out, so it is really a double-edged sword and it hurts us both ways. 1748 1749 *Mr. Walberg. Yeah, yeah. Well, let me go on. 1750 have seen many different children's privacy provisions through the years. I have introduced related bills in the 1751 1752 past. Why is COPPA 2.0 the right direction when it comes to 1753 protecting young people's privacy online? 1754 *Ms. Smithing. Yes. Well, COPPA 2.0 updates an 1755 existing bill. COPPA 1.0 is going to continue to exist 1756 whether or not we update it, and if we don't update it, it 1757 is going to be a little confusing. *Mr. Walberg. And expanding opportunities that are out 1758 1759 there, the whole systems. Simple, eloquent statement. 1760 Thank you. 1761 Ms. Frederick, in your testimony you identify how Big Tech continues to recruit younger and younger users to their 1762 platforms, incentivized by the potential to increase ad 1763 1764 revenue. How should this behavior impact our efforts in 1765 Congress and is it important to include specific privacy protections and enforcement mechanisms for younger users? 1766 1767 *Ms. Frederick. Absolutely. As has been demonstrated by almost the entirety of the panel right now, children

1768

1769 these tech companies, they are hemorrhaging users on some platforms, so as I said, there is a race to the bottom. 1770 1771 They are trying to outdo each to get younger and younger 1772 users addicted to their platforms. Tech companies care about three things: their bottom line, growth, and number 1773 three, avoiding PR fires. So look at those PR fires. I 1774 1775 think that is something Congress can do is expose this, help 1776 Americans understand that. 1777 And then additionally, you know, the children's consciences are not properly formed before these companies 1778 1779 are going at them. Preteens, as I talked about in my opening statement, nine to 11-year-olds, there are groups 1780 1781 designed to addict and draw in this user, so we have to 1782 think about, we have to get in the heads of these Big Tech 1783 executives and these platforms in order to act and prevent them from some of the most egregious privacy abuses that Ms. 1784 1785 Smithing here has demonstrated by her very presence in front 1786 of this body. *Mr. Walberg. Okay, thank you. 1787 Thank you. I yield back. 1788 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 1789

Now I will recognize the gentlelady from New York, Ms.

1790

1791 Clarke, for her five minutes of questioning. 1792 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much and good morning, Mr. 1793 Chairman. I thank you, Ranking Member, for holding such an 1794 important hearing today and for including my bill, the 1795 Algorithmic Accountability Act. Let me also thank our esteemed panel of witnesses for joining us today in sharing 1796 1797 your views on how to best safeguard America's right to 1798 privacy online. 1799 Adopting a comprehensive federal data privacy standard is absolutely essential. This committee has been closely 1800 1801 examining legislation pertaining to artificial intelligence 1802 and data privacy standards is fundamental to any efforts to 1803 establish a regulatory framework around the development and 1804 deployment of AI. I commend Chair Rodgers for putting forth 1805 the bipartisan American Privacy Rights Act, APRA, discussion 1806 draft. And while I don't agree with every provision it 1807 contains, I appreciate this meaningful attempt to forge 1808 consensus and move forward significant data privacy 1809 legislation. We cannot afford to wait any longer to adopt a federal 1810 data privacy standard. We have already fallen behind much 1811 1812 of the rest of the world, particularly our counterparts in

1813 Europe. The time to assert American leadership in this space has arrived and we must move forward together. 1814 1815 First I would like to, excuse me, thank the Chair once 1816 again for including my bill, the Algorthmic Accountability 1817 Act, in today's hearing and for incorporating algorithmic accountability provisions into APRA. My first question is 1818 1819 directed to Mr. Brody, but other witnesses are welcome to 1820 respond as well. 1821 Mr. Brody, can you tell us why it is so important to 1822 include provisions prohibiting algorithmic discrimination and requiring algorithmic accountability into a compris 1823 1824 excuse me, comprehensive privacy bill? 1825 *Mr. Brody. Sure. Thank you for the question. 1826 provisions in this bill would prohibit the use of personal 1827 information to discriminate in goods and services, and as we 1828 have seen in recent years over and over and over again, algorithmic products are being rolled out with inadequate 1829 1830 testing and we find out after the fact that people of color 1831 and other marginalized groups are being excluded from opportunities, are being charged higher rates for insurance, 1832 are being charged higher rates for loans, are being 1833 discounted from job opportunities and school admissions. 1834

```
1835
           And so it is incredibly important that we put rules of
      the road in place to prohibit those types of data uses. And
1836
1837
      the other thing that this bill does is it requires entities
1838
      to test their algorithmic systems before deployment and then
1839
      after deployment to make sure that they are working as
      intended, that they are not discriminatory, to see what
1840
1841
      disparate impacts are happening because the only way we will
1842
      know if people are being judged by their individual merit is
1843
       if someone is actually testing and looking at the design of
1844
      the system.
1845
            *Ms. Clarke. Very well. Does anyone else want to add
1846
       Mr. Rain (sic)?
1847
            *Mr. Jain. I would just add I agree with all of that,
1848
      and I think another feature both of your bill and the and
1849
      APRA is greater transparency into these systems. It is not
1850
      we as policy makers, as regulators, as advocates, as
      researchers, we need to better understand how they are
1851
1852
      working, what kinds of harms they may be doing so that we
      can identify those so we can figure out what is the right
1853
      way to fix them. And so I think the transparency piece is
1854
      also another key aspect of this.
1855
1856
            *Ms. Clarke. Very well, thank you. I have some
```

1857 concerns about the exceptions for targeted advertising based on status as a member of a protected class. And while I 1858 1859 understand there are certain opportunities that are directed 1860 specifically towards particular communities, I worry that 1861 this carve-out could be used to exclude historically 1862 marginalized communities. 1863 Mr. Brody, what is your view of the exceptions for 1864 civil rights protections, specifically in the case of 1865 targeted advertising? Is protecting companies' ability to 1866 more precisely target certain communities with ads worth 1867 risking possible discrimination or civil rights violations? 1868 *Mr. Brody. I don't think it is. I have very serious 1869 concerns about this provision. Targeted advertising and 1870 advertising generally online is one of the main ways to 1871 learn of opportunities, and we have seen in recent years many examples where people of color in particular and other 1872 groups have been excluded and redlined in advertising. 1873 1874 Recently the Department of Justice sued Facebook for propagating discriminatory housing ads and settled that case 1875 recently. But we have also seen it happen in education, in 1876 insurance, and we don't want to go back to an era where 1877 opportunities are only directed to specific groups. 1878

1879 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1880 1881 And, Mr. Jain, I am sorry I mispronounced your name 1882 just recently. Thank you. 1883 I yield back, sir. *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlelady yields 1884 1885 back. Now we will recognize Mr. Duncan from the great State 1886 of South Carolina. You are recognized for your five minutes 1887 of questioning. 1888 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this *Mr. Duncan. 1889 important hearing and for your continued work and Chair 1890 Rodgers' important work on this important but very 1891 complicated issue. Legislative bodies all around the 1892 country as well as all around the world are looking at this 1893 same issue and taking actions. 1894 I want to take a little step backwards and ask all the witnesses starting with Ms. Frederick, for whom should we be 1895 1896 protecting the data of American citizens? Who is the greatest threat here, is it Russian hackers, Communist 1897 Chinese Party, social media companies, other big American 1898 companies, identity thieves, predators? So briefly, from 1899 1900 your perspective, who is the threat that we as policy makers

1901 need to focus on the most to protect our citizens, especially kids and teens? Ms. Frederick? 1902 1903 *Ms. Frederick. I think the low-hanging fruit right 1904 now is the Chinese Communist Party. And you look at that 1905 when it comes to TikTok. You they are owned by ByteDance which is headquartered in Beijing, and they have to adhere 1906 1907 to national intelligence laws which effectively render no 1908 private companies existent in China. They have CCP 1909 officials sitting on the boards of their main domestic 1910 subsidiaries and they have the potential to propagate 1911 information warfare, information operations on this platform that the majority of young Americans use. That is the low-1912 1913 hanging fruit, that is the clear and present danger. 1914 And then you take on the Big Tech companies, which are 1915 portals to poison with their products, as again we have 1916 demonstrated here. So CCP first and then you look at the 1917 Big Tech companies. 1918 *Mr. Duncan. Okay. Mr. Jain? 1919 *Mr. Jain. I certainly agree that privacy is a national security imperative, that we can't we shouldn't 1920 be allowing our adversaries to collect data about Americans 1921 1922 and then use that in a way that harms Americans.

1923 addition to that and the social media companies, I would add data brokers to that list. I mean, we have talked a lot 1924 1925 about the ways in which data brokers collect so much 1926 information, compile profiles, and then sell it willy nilly to anyone who can then use it to harm, to propagate scams, 1927 1928 and so I think data brokers is another entity against which 1929 we are protected. 1930 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you for that. 1931 Ms. Kuehn? 1932 *Ms. Kuehn. So there is four types of threat actors. 1933 You have the hacktivists, you have the nation states, you have those that are criminals from financial standpoint, 1934 financial gain, and then you have terrorists. The reality, 1935 1936 though, is 49 percent of all breach is actually happening 1937 from unintended, you know, insider threat. So you don't 1938 know what to do with your data, something goes wrong, you lose your data, it is a problem. 1939 1940 So one in two, 50 percent almost, of all breach comes 1941 from unintended consequences. So us working on data privacy standards and making sure that we understand and have a 1942 better reality of where our data goes is actually super 1943 critical because we are to some degree our own worst threat 1944

1945 and we don't understand where our data goes. *Mr. Duncan. Thank you for that. 1946 1947 Ms. Smithing? 1948 *Ms. Smithing. Thank you for the question. I am not a 1949 national security expert so I will still clear, but the Big 1950 Tech companies have proven time and time again that they 1951 will not be responsible to the people on their platforms 1952 unless we regulate them to do so, and I believe that they 1953 have proved they are bad actors in this situation and 1954 therefore we should protect our data and the data of our 1955 children from Big Tech companies as soon as we can. 1956 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you for your testimony, too, by the 1957 way. 1958 Ms. Ohlhausen? 1959 *Ms. Ohlhausen. Thank you. So strong privacy 1960 protections will give wide protection against threats from many different vectors. Certainly national security should 1961 1962 be a high level concern and keeping information out of the hands of bad actors and scammers I think are is also an 1963 important benefit of this bill. 1964 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you. 1965 Mr. Brody? 1966

1967 *Mr. Brody. Thank you. I think we should focus on Big Tech companies and data brokers because they are the ones 1968 1969 that control and design their own systems and so they are 1970 the ones that have the greatest ability to avoid the harm. If you design the system in the first place so that it is 1971 1972 safe, so that is secure, so that is nondiscriminatory, so 1973 that the incentives of the business model are aligned with 1974 the best incentives of people, then you can neutralize harms 1975 upstream before the data gets into the hands of bad actors. 1976 *Mr. Duncan. Yeah, thank you. Second question for all 1977 of you if we have time, if Congress were to pass federal privacy law such as this, what single provision would be the 1978 1979 most essential factor in that new law being successful, 1980 again from your unique individuals perspectives? I am going 1981 to start with Mr. Brody. If you all could be brief, we got 1982 45 minutes. *Mr. Brody. Sure. I think the data minimization 1983 1984 structure and the civil rights protections are the most 1985 important parts. 1986 *Mr. Duncan. Yeah. Ms. Ohlhausen? *Ms. Ohlhausen. So data minimization also balanced 1987 with permissible uses of the data, which can bring a lot of 1988

1989 benefits to consumers and business. *Mr. Duncan. Thank you for that. 1990 1991 Ms. Smithing? 1992 *Ms. Smithing. Data minimization and also allowing all 1993 American citizens to opt out from algorithms that utilize their data to target them with information and content. 1994 1995 *Mr. Duncan. Yeah. Ms. Frederick? 1996 *Ms. Frederick. Having an enforcement mechanism. 1997 *Mr. Duncan. Yeah. Mr. Jain? *Mr. Jain. I am just going to echo, data minimization 1998 and effective enforcement because without that you really 1999 the rights are meaningless. 2000 2001 *Mr. Duncan, Yeah, Ms. Kuehn? 2002 *Ms. Kuehn. Data minimization and the opt out rights. 2003 *Mr. Duncan. Yeah, thank you. Again, I want to thank you all for being here. This has been really interesting 2004 and we are learning a lot. 2005 2006 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it. Thank you thank 2007 the gentleman. Next we have the gentleman from the great 2008

State of Florida who is also a cosponsor of KOSA,

2009

2010

2011 questioning. 2012 *Mr. Soto. Thank you so much, Chairman. We know with 2013 the internet it has become a fundamental part of our way of 2014 life over the last nearly 30 years using it for information, 2015 commerce, communicating with family and friends, telehealth, 2016 education, entertainment, you name it. And each 2017 transaction, we know it is producing a data point, and when 2018 you aggregate it together paints a really accurate picture of our lives a disturbing to a disturbing level. And I am 2019 2020 I appreciate that some states have stepped up, including 2021 my home State of Florida, to put together privacy laws 2022 finally for the internet, but it remains a patchwork. 2023 This is the Energy and Commerce Committee. Interstate 2024 commerce is literally what we do here and I could not 2025 imagine something more related to interstate commerce than 2026 the internet, and so we need a national standard, and a bill 2027 of internet rights. People would be disturbed to know their 2028 DNA, our calendars, our geolocation, Social Security 2029 Numbers, health information can readily be brokered and there is nothing to stop it. Most Americans would be 2030 shocked about this fact that they are not protected. 2031 So I am pleased that we are $_$ finally it looks like we 2032

2033	are going to be acting on this with the American Privacy
2034	Rights Act. It is also time to protect our kids, and when I
2035	talk to parents back home in Central Florida, they are at a
2036	loss. Their kids are being exposed to a house of horrors
2037	due to algorithms. Violence, sex, bullying online. And we
2038	hear it over and over from parents, do something.
2039	And so I am pleased to support Kids Online Safety Act
2040	to require online and video gaming companies to prevent
2041	exposure to these types of harms, provide parents with the
2042	tools to supervise kids' use of platforms, and ban
2043	advertising of age-restricted products. Yesterday I had
2044	pediatricians in and they affirmed what parents have been
2045	telling me and I already know that a lot of these issues are
2046	causing a mental health crisis among our youth across the
2047	Nation.
2048	Mr. Brody, you had mentioned in your opening testimony
2049	that the bill has a backtracking on causes of action for
2050	privacy violations. What did you mean by backtracking?
2051	*Mr. Brody. Sure. So specifically the sensitive
2052	covered data, data minimization provisions, under the ADPPA
2053	there was a private right of action for collection,
2054	processing, retention, and transfer of that information.

2055 Here there is only a private right of action for transfer, and so that seems like a very significant change. 2056 2057 *Mr. Soto. Thank you. 2058 Mr. Jain, we had recently an issue with a University of 2059 Central Florida student, Alex Bugay, who is someone took his identity and used it to make defamatory comments against 2060 2061 a Georgie state legislator online and it wrecked his life. 2062 He had nothing to do with the comments and he was stuck 2063 trying to he lost his job, he nearly got kicked out of the 2064 university. Is there anything in APRA right now that would 2065 protect folks from having their identities misappropriated 2066 to publish defamatory or harmful statements online? 2067 *Mr. Brody. I think there are quite a few provisions. 2068 First, to the extent that it is your data that is being used 2069 to help facilitate identity theft and the provisions that 2070 minimize data, that protect against data broker practices help. I think the data security provision in here that we 2071 2072 haven't talked about today is also an important piece of 2073 that by establishing for the first time a national federal standard for data security, including by social media 2074 companies, so that someone can't, for example, break into 2075 someone else's account and post false statements or that if 2076

2077 they do so, then there is potential liability both with respect to the individual who engaged in impersonation but 2078 2079 also because there is a private right of action with respect 2080 to data breaches and the like. So I think there are a 2081 number of different provisions in the statute that could potentially help with that. 2082 2083 *Mr. Soto. Well, that is great to hear and I am 2084 looking forward to working with the chairman and our ranking 2085 member on really tightening this up so that people's 2086 identities can't be stolen to say terrible things online and 2087 wrecking young people's lives. 2088 Ms. Smithing, thank you for your powerful testimony. 2089 When I spoke to pediatricians yesterday they talked about 2090 all the online body shaming leading to eating disorders 2091 every day. How prevalent do you think this is with our 2092 girls and kids generally online across the Nation? 2093 *Ms. Smithing. I don't know a single young woman who 2094 has not dealt with this and I will extend it past young 2095 women. Young men are struggling with this, too, we just 2096 don't talk about it as much. 2097 *Mr. Soto. Thank you so much. We these are the types of stories that are finally getting the support we 2098

2099 need together to finally get this done. It has been a long time coming. So thank you for your testimony. 2100 2101 Ad I yield back. 2102 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now we 2103 will recognize Mrs. Lesko from the great State of Arizona. 2104 You are recognized, we are going to miss you, but for your 2105 five minutes of questioning. 2106 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. First I want to 2107 thank the committee chairman, Kathy McMorris Rodger. She is 2108 passionate about this issue and I have a strong feeling we 2109 are going to get this done this year. I also want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your work on this issue and others 2110 2111 across the aisle as well. 2112 As you may expect, whenever we have a data privacy bill 2113 in front of us there is all kinds of people that come out and say there is problems with it, right, and so it is hard 2114 2115 for me as a Congress member to know if those are legitimate 2116 problems or if there is somebody just trying to kill the 2117 bill or, you know, whatever the case may be. And so my questions today, alone I had a couple people tell me some 2118 2119 concerns and I want to see if any of you have any input on it, if you think it is a concern. 2120

2121	One of them that I heard today was that the preemption
2122	language is not strong enough, and so do any of you have any
2123	input $_$ and I am talking about the draft language of the
2124	American Privacy Rights Act. Sorry I didn't clarify that.
2125	Do any of you have any input on that?
2126	*Mr. Jain. I think what I would say is that preemption
2127	is an area that we just know that in order to get this done
2128	there is going to have to be a compromise. You know, left
2129	to our own devices, we would probably say we should let the
2130	federal privacy law set a floor and then let states provide
2131	additional protection, but understand that that isn't going
2132	to be the way that this comes out if we are going to get
2133	this passed.
2134	So I think what APRA does is try to come up with the
2135	right compromise. It sets a preemption but then it
2136	recognizes that there are certain places in which states
2137	have particular expertise or history of working where it
2138	makes sense for them to continue. So, for example,
2139	healthcare. You know, we have a federal privacy law called
2140	HIPAA and we still allow states to legislate in that area,
2141	and so we can _ we have seen examples where co-jurisdiction
2142	between states and federal enforcers can work, and so I

2143 think APRA in general is moving in the right direction in terms of a compromise. 2144 2145 *Mrs. Lesko. Anyone else? 2146 *Ms. Ohlhausen. The Coalition in its comments raised a 2147 They said that while the general language is very 2148 good, that some of the exceptions that still allow state 2149 law, you know, for example on tort law or common law, could 2150 be a way to kind of sidestep the preemption and creating 2151 that uniform federal standard that Congress is seeking to 2152 do. 2153 *Mr. Brody. I would just add, it is very difficult to 2154 strike a careful balance on preemption because data touches 2155 everything and there are going to be so many different 2156 categories of state laws that involve the use of data even 2157 if they are not necessarily what Congress is trying to 2158 regulate in this bill, and you want to be very careful not 2159 to break the ability of states to regulate fraudulent 2160 practices, regulate other types of harm, civil harms, 2161 criminal harms that are happening at the state level that really aren't being anticipated here. 2162 2163 *Mrs. Lesko. Okay. The next another concern that I heard was that I believe in the draft of this language, it 2164

2165 exempts or it applies to companies that sell the data, and so one of the concerns was, okay, we are a parent company, 2166 2167 we have subsidiaries and we don't really sell the data but 2168 we share the data with our subsidiaries and so now we are 2169 going to have to live by this standard even though we don't sell the data outside of our subsidiaries. Could anybody 2170 2171 comment on that? 2172 Okay. Well, go ahead. 2173 *Ms. Frederick. I could address it or make an attempt. 2174 I think in terms of the bad sort of outweighs the good if 2175 you let that go. In particular with TikTok, I think it is really important to talk about software developer kits, 2176 2177 STKs. You know, there are third-party tools that can be 2178 employed by American applications that can send user data to 2179 those companies. So you have, as Mr. Jain said, you have a 2180 whole veritable ecosystem of data transfer that is roiling about that if you don't put strictures on that, the 2181 2182 companies doing nefarious and noxious things will use those 2183 loopholes. So I would say you have to cover those loopholes, plug 2184 them, and then you take care of some of the STK and other 2185 2186 data transfer to third party issues.

```
2187
            *Ms. Kuehn. Yeah, I think the bigger the concern you
      are talking about here is when you look at parent company
2188
2189
      and then subsidiary, it goes into a bigger issue that I
2190
      that you look at called third and fourth party risk. So the
2191
      whole ecosystem of the smaller organizations that are taking
      a look at the data to the larger organizations like we have
2192
2193
      talked about with TikTok, making sure that there is the
2194
      right provision across each line for responsible holding of
2195
      all of the data, irregardless of the size, where they live
2196
      in that ecosystem. That is what I think is concerning.
2197
            *Mrs. Lesko. And do you think that in this draft
      language that it addresses it?
2198
2199
            *Ms. Kuehn. I think it addresses it for the most part.
      The only thing I would that I that raised, you know, a
2200
2201
      caution to me was actually the definition of small business
2202
      on the dollar cap. And what I mean by that is there is a
2203
      number as again we have talked about today, AI is a big
2204
      concern for us, and as the emergence of AI and generative AI
2205
      comes up, there is a lot of small organizations that may,
2206
      you know, meet that threshold of small business, they are
2207
      utilizing data in a very interesting way that should be
2208
      covered here.
```

2209 So I would look at the definition, I loved it, of, you know, 200,000 users, but the dollar cap on it I would be 2210 concerned about as we think of the new AI companies that are 2211 2212 emerging that are going to be looking for data. 2213 *Mr. Walberg. [Presiding.] Thank you. The gentlelady's time has expired, and I recognize the 2214 2215 gentlelady from Michigan, Mrs. Dingell. 2216 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to all this committee for holding this important meeting 2217 2218 hearing today, and to all the witnesses for testifying. 2219 Your input has been important. 2220 Privacy is a fundamental right and I am encouraged by this opportunity to make real bipartisan progress on this 2221 2222 issue, including the recent developments around the American 2223 Privacy Rights Act. There is still some work to be done, 2224 and I encourage my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to think about how we can find solutions to further protect 2225 2226 children and their data. 2227 We have made significant progress on several of the sensitive data categories and data minimization. I know not 2228 everyone will get everything that they want, but I am 2229 encouraged by what we are examining today. It is reassuring 2230

2231 to see the American Privacy Rights Act discussion draft include data minimization provisions. Data minimization is 2232 2233 the practice of only collecting, processing, retaining, and 2234 transferring data that is necessary, proportionate, and 2235 limited to provide or maintain a specific product or 2236 service. 2237 Mr. Jain, are our children and consumers at risk because of the amount of their data that is currently 2238 2239 collected and acquired online? *Mr. Jain. Absolutely. I mean, I think we have talked 2240 2241 a lot about how data brokers collect data from so many 2242 different sources and then compile them into detailed profiles that are then used to target ads along the lines 2243 2244 that Ms. Smithing, for example, described. So I think there 2245 is no doubt that there are tremendous amounts of dangers and 2246 harms that are occurring. 2247 *Mrs. Dingell. Today consumers are overloaded with 2248 constant breaches of their privacy and trust. Apps collect 2249 and they sell user's location data to the highest bidder and 2250 data brokers sell information collected from apps on users' 2251 and kids' sensitive data: names, birth dates, email addresses, GPS location history, purchase history, house 2252

2253 conditions, and behavioral profiles. 2254 Mr. Jain, in your view, does the American Privacy 2255 Rights Act discussion draft sufficiently address data 2256 minimization? 2257 *Mr. Jain. I think this is an example of where the 2258 data minimization language really builds on years of work 2259 done by this committee and others, and I think the basic standard is a strong one and does a good job. I think one 2260 2261 place where we do need to look is in some of the permissible purposes and make sure they are appropriately cabined. I 2262 2263 have in mind, for example, the provision that says you can 2264 collect and process data to prevent fraud. 2265 You know, one level that makes a lot of sense, but we 2266 do know that data brokers, for example, will sometimes say 2267 they are collecting lots of data in an attempt to be able to 2268 detect fraud or to verify identities, and so I want to make 2269 sure that doesn't became a loophole through which data 2270 brokers can then justify their collection of data. 2271 think we need to think carefully about some of the principal purposes, but I think the overall standard is strong. 2272 2273 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you for that. Something that is also vital to comprehensive data privacy is privacy by 2274

2275 design, that is to make privacy considerations central to the design of their products from the beginning. Forcing 2276 2277 companies to consider their actions before proceeding is an 2278 important structural protection and it is crucial that 2279 usability also remains central to the design. 2280 Ms. Frederick, can you talk about privacy by design and 2281 why you think it is important to include in the American 2282 Privacy Rights Act discussion draft? 2283 *Ms. Frederick. Absolutely. I mean, this is critical 2284 because, you know, when tech companies, their lifeblood is 2285 building and shipping products. If you get into the design 2286 phase, so that building portion of the products, you don't 2287 have to retroactively fit legislation on the back end. You 2288 know, as you said, it will be easier, you pass something 2289 like this, you integrate privacy by design as a requirement 2290 for companies, then we don't have to keep legislating, keep 2291 legislating, because technology always outpaces attempts to 2292 government. You save yourself a lot of pain, all of us a 2293 lot of pain in that process. 2294 And tech companies can do this. You look at AI, we have talked about AI. There are approaches to machine 2295 2296 learning like federated models of machine learning where

2297 they can get value out of data without having to personally identify or take up sensitive information from individuals. 2298 2299 There is ways to do this. They have the best designers and 2300 programmers in the world, they are just not doing it at 2301 times because they don't want to. We got to do it, privacy 2302 by design. 2303 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. 2304 Mr. Chairman, I don't have enough time for another 2305 question, so I will yield back. Thank you, all of you. 2306 *Mr. Bilirakis. [Presiding.] Thank you. 2307 gentlelady yields back. Now I will recognize Mr. Fulcher 2308 from the great State of Idaho. You are recognized for five 2309 minutes of questioning. 2310 *Mr. Fulcher. Great. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 2311 thank you to the panel for being here and for your input. 2312 One of the drawbacks of being late in the questioning is 2313 sometimes your questions get asked already, and mine have, 2314 so I am going to wing it just a little bit here. Ms. Frederick, in general just from a realistic 2315 standpoint, is it possible, realistically possible, to 2316 balance algorithms that target advertise without ultimately 2317 having the misuse of that data, and can you just speak to 2318

2319 that? *Ms. Frederick. We have a phrase in the tech policy 2320 2321 community by a former deputy CTO who peddles this, and she 2322 is right, it is if you build it, they will come, and that is 2323 exactly what happens with technology. You know, oftentimes builders, they will create things for, you know, noble 2324 2325 purposes, as the chair said, but they will always get corrupted because we are human, right, and human nature, and 2326 2327 that is something that we see when it comes to the Chinese 2328 Communist Party's use of technology specifically. They have 2329 the civil military concept and dual-use technologies. 2330 make extensive use of those concepts where, you know, you 2331 have a societal use and you have military use that turns 2332 into kinetic action. 2333 So this is absolutely intrinsic to the tech policy community, so if you build it, they will come, it is always 2334 going to be perverted no matter what. 2335 2336 *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you for that. I am going to shift gears to Ms. Ohlhausen for a moment 2337 here with your background with the FTC. General question 2338 again here, but I want to just try to get your feedback on 2339 2340 this. Let's talk about service providers for a moment, and

2341 on this topic of data gathering and the potential misuse of that data, where does the liability land for them in your 2342 2343 view? What kind of liability should be placed on the 2344 service providers? 2345 *Ms. Ohlhausen. So I think that, you know, the bill 2346 definitely discusses this in a positive way, which is about having responsibility placed with the party who is most able 2347 2348 to protect the data. So when you have the, you know, the 2349 first party, you know, the responsibility is with them. 2350 With the service provider, if they are processing and, you 2351 know, using the data, that the responsibility is with them, I do think there are the bill also sensibly puts some 2352 2353 responsibility on the first party to say, well, do some due 2354 diligence before you hand it over to the service provider. 2355 *Mr. Fulcher. So in your view, the language in the 2356 bill as you understand it is appropriate for that? 2357 *Ms. Ohlhausen. Yes. So we are generally okay with 2358 that. The Coalition, you know, may have a few comments to offer, but 2359 *Mr. Fulcher. All right, thank you for that. 2360 So I am going to move on to Mr. Jain here because you 2361 have been talking about the third-party data brokers a 2362

2363 little bit, and when it comes to those data broker providers or data brokers rather, there is often tailoring of 2364 2365 marketing in their messaging and advertisements to different 2366 customers through marketing automation. We have talked about that and I you have talked about that today. Where 2367 2368 do you see the line here on the level of tailoring given 2369 that under the American Privacy Rights Act it keeps the 2370 decision ownership with the consumer? Where is that line most appropriately drawn when it comes to the tailoring of 2371 2372 these messages? 2373 *Mr. Jain. I think with respect to tailoring and including, for example, tailoring advertising, I think there 2374 2375 is a balance to be struck in the sense that we know that 2376 today's system is broken because data brokers are collecting 2377 and using so much data, advertising is being targeted in ways that are really harmful. At the same time, I think 2378 2379 there is a role for, for example, contextual advertising 2380 where you tailor the advertising based on the content that 2381 the user is seeing or there may be roles for first-party advertising where if you have gone to a store and you have 2382 bought, you know, particular kinds of sneakers in that store 2383 then wants to advertise a similar type of sneaker to you and 2384

2385 tailor it in that way. So I you know, I think we do want a viable 2386 2387 advertising system, economically viable, because we 2388 certainly don't want to end up in a situation where all 2389 content is behind subscription or pay walls because there is 2390 no advertising to support it. The real key is how do we 2391 figure out, how do we have a privacy protective and economically viable advertising system. I think APRA moves 2392 2393 us in that direction. It may need some further refinement 2394 on that, but I think there is a balance that we can draw. 2395 *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you. 2396 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2397 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 2398 Now we will recognize Ms. Kelly for her five minutes of 2399 questioning. 2400 Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Chair Bilirakis and Ranking Member Schakowsky, for holding this morning's hearing, and 2401 2402 thanks to our witnesses for your testimony. 2403 Privacy rights are civil rights because in the area of 2404 big data, personal information can be weaponized for digital redlining. The harm is already occurring. Studies have 2405 found that mortgage algorithms were 80 percent more likely 2406

2407	to reject black applicants than white applicants with
2408	similar characteristics. Auto insurance algorithms
2409	increasingly assessed applicants based on socioeconomic
2410	factors and less on their driving behavior. And retailers
2411	used facial recognition technology that erroneously accused
2412	customers of shoplifting and falsely flag women and people
2413	of color at higher rates than of the shoppers.
2414	Mr. Brody, what safeguards are necessary to ensure our
2415	privacy bill does protect our civil rights?
2416	*Mr. Brody. So first and foremost, we need the anti-
2417	discrimination protections that are in this bill that
2418	prohibit discriminatory uses of personal data in depriving
2419	equal opportunity to goods and services. We need the
2420	assessments of algorithms before they are deployed and after
2421	they are deployed. We need access correction and deletion
2422	rights because those are the tools that allow us to uncover
2423	discrimination, fix it when it occurs. And we need really
2424	strong enforcement, and that enforcement has to be three-
2425	tiered. We need a strong federal regulator, we need state
2426	attorneys general so that we have more cops on the beat, and
2427	we need a private right of action because as we have seen
2428	over and over again throughout our history, sometimes

2429 individuals are the only ones that can vindicate their own 2430 rights. 2431 If you look at the history of major Supreme Court 2432 decisions on civil rights, over and over again they are 2433 brought by individuals, they are not brought by government. *Ms. Kelly. Thank you. And does collecting, 2434 2435 processing, retaining, and storing sensitive data that is 2436 not necessary to provide a product or service pose an 2437 unacceptable threat to that privacy? 2438 *Mr. Brody. It depends on the circumstances. It can. 2439 We really need to have very carefully tailored data minimization provisions for that sensitive information to 2440 2441 ensure it is only being used for the reasons that consumers 2442 expect and is not being transferred to third parties without 2443 the proper procedures in place and be very careful with that 2444 information. As we have seen in recent years, there has 2445 been circumstances where people searching for healthcare 2446 online are putting themselves at risk and we need to make 2447 sure that that information is protected. 2448 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you. 2449 And, Mr. Jain, what are the potential consequences if there is no private right of action against entities 2450

2451 collecting, processing, retaining, and storing sensitive data that is not necessary to provide a product or service? 2452 2453 *Mr. Jain. Well, I think as we have talked about a lot 2454 at this hearing, data minimization, particularly with effect to sensitive data, is really a central feature, in many ways 2455 2456 the foundational feature of this bill. And so I think that 2457 means that we need the strong enforcement around that provision because if we don't have that, we sort of 2458 2459 undermine the foundation. 2460 And I agree with Mr. Brody that private right of action 2461 is a piece of the enforcement that we need both to allow individuals to obtain recovery if they have actually been 2462 2463 injured, which is a circumstance in which they can collect 2464 damages under this bill, but also to deter and to encourage 2465 people companies to actually in the first place take 2466 privacy protective measures out of because they know that 2467 there is a strong enforcement mechanism on the back end. 2468 So I do think it is important and it is one change that will need that we will be advocating for in APRA is to 2469 make sure that the private right of action applies to 2470 2471 minimization, particularly around sensitive data. 2472 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you so much, and I yield back.

2473	*Mr. Bilirakis. Appreciate it. The gentlelady yields
2474	back. Now I will recognize the gentlelady from Tennessee,
2475	Mrs. Harshbarger, for her five minutes of questioning.
2476	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank
2477	you to the witnesses for being here today. I am glad we are
2478	working to achieve a federal privacy standard, and I am
2479	thankful to the chairwoman for her leadership, and I am
2480	especially thankful for the increased protection of
2481	children. That being said, I absolutely want to make sure
2482	that we don't go too far and I want to ensure that small
2483	businesses can still reach their customer bases.
2484	Ms. Ohlhausen, one reason why America is the greatest
2485	country in the world is because our private sector
2486	encourages startups and small businesses to establish
2487	themselves and grow, and I am a small business owner, and
2488	this is helpful to our constituents and to our economy. The
2489	APRA treats companies of different sizes differently.
2490	Facebook and Google can comply with almost any law you throw
2491	at them because they have a roomful of attorneys sitting in
2492	a building somewhere.
2493	So my question is, how does small businesses
2494	interaction with Facebook and Google change under APRA?

2495	*Ms. Ohlhausen. The $_$ one of the benefits I think of a
2496	federal uniform privacy standard is to small business,
2497	right, it allows them to design, comply, you know, create
2498	systems around a single standard and not having to try to
2499	adapt to a changing landscape. So I think that will be a
2500	benefit for them.
2501	I also think allowing uses of data that are pro-
2502	competitive, that are good for consumers. A lot of
2503	advertising _ some advertising can be problematic, but a lot
2504	of advertising really serves a very beneficial pro-
2505	competitive purpose, so I think this bill strikes a good
2506	balance there to allow small business to compete.
2507	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, okay. I will continue along
2508	with you, ma'am. In the FTC's 2022 through 2026 plan, Chair
2509	Khan deleted language which stated that the FTC would
2510	accomplish its mission without duly burdening legitimate
2511	business activity. In contrast, the APRA includes critical
2512	privacy protections for Americans and small businesses. I
2513	am concerned that if the FTC moves forward on a privacy
2514	standard without Congress, Americans will have weaker
2515	protections and innovators will be unduly penalized.
2516	So my question is, what concerns do you have with the

2517 FTC's current plan to go it alone? *Ms. Ohlhausen. I think that the FTC, like going back 2518 2519 to when COPPA first got adopted or it gave the FTC really 2520 clear guidance from Congress and some useful tools. think the FTC moving forward in privacy, it has done with it 2521 2522 could with its general authority, but to get these 2523 additional tools and the ability to help protect consumers 2524 better, having that clarify from Congress, that authority 2525 from Congress is really key. 2526 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah, it has to be clear what the 2527 intent of Congress is when they go after this. I have a question for anyone on the panel. How would a 2528 2529 dual regulatory regime for common carriers reduce 2530 innovation? Anybody can answer. Maybe you don't want to 2531 answer. 2532 *Ms. Ohlhausen. I would be happy to address that. The 2533 FTC has really developed quite a lot of expertise in privacy 2534 and data security and in these areas and I think it has used 2535 it effectively, and this bill would allow it to use it even more effectively. We see enormous convergence among 2536 competing services now to really sort of being a unified 2537 kind of product in consumer's minds, so I think having that 2538

2539 FTC oversight and expertise really can benefit a business in that way, and consumers, too, because then they know, you 2540 2541 know, this is the uniform standard, it is not what is the 2542 legacy regulatory status of whoever is collecting the data. 2543 Gives a different, you know, set of rules. 2544 *Ms. Kuehn. I think it will actually help innovation, 2545 to be honest with you. So when we look at what is coming 2546 from a generative AI perspective and the emergence of a 2547 significant amount, to your point, is startups. Having one 2548 standard that startups can comply with and understand the rules 2549 2550 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah. *Ms. Kuehn. the regulatory landscape without having 2551 2552 to try to look state by state I think is going to 2553 be an enabler, and it gives a very strong set of guidance 2554 for how we can actually address third and fourth party risk, which is something I think is a huge concern. 2555 2556 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. *Mr. Brody. If I could, I would just add with regard 2557 to common carriers, I think it is important, I agree, for 2558 the FTC to have strong powers here, but we also don't want 2559 to squander the expertise of the Federal Communications 2560

2561 Commission with regard to telecommunications and the many important things that it does that the FTC would not be 2562 2563 equipped to do. 2564 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. Thank you, sir. 2565 I think with that my time is up and I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back, and now we 2566 2567 will recognize Mrs. Trahan for her five minutes of 2568 questioning. 2569 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am grateful to you and Ranking Member Schakowsky for organizing today's 2570 2571 hearing and for your commitment to resuming this committee's 2572 efforts to advance comprehensive privacy legislation. I 2573 would also like to thank Chair Rodgers and Ranking Member 2574 Pallone for their longstanding commitment and years of work 2575 on this issue. 2576 I am proud today that this hearing includes two bipartisan, bicameral pieces of legislation that I 2577 2578 introduced to address widespread problems facing users online. The Delete Act, which I introduced with Congressman 2579 Chuck Edwards and Senators Bill Cassidy and John Ossoff 2580 would give every American the right to have data brokers 2581 2582 delete their data and prohibit future collection. This is a

2583	commonsense proposal that has been discussed before in this
2584	committee because of the national security concerns with the
2585	way data brokers harvest and sell some of our most sensitive
2586	data to the highest bidder, including our foreign
2587	adversaries.
2588	I ask for unanimous consent to submit for the record
2589	this letter from 20 civil society organizations supporting
2590	the bill.
2591	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
2592	[The information follows:]
2593	
2594	**************************************
2595	

2596 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. Provisions of the Delete Act were included in the privacy package that was advanced 2597 2598 overwhelmingly out of this committee last Congress. 2599 However, I am concerned that some of the changes to those 2600 provisions in the American Privacy Rights Act discussion 2601 draft will not fully meet the needs of American users. 2602 Mr. Jain, how do the data broker provisions and APRA 2603 differ from what is included in the Delete Act and do you 2604 believe that we should be strengthening that part of the discussion draft? 2605 2606 *Mr. Jain. Yes, I do think that we should strengthen it, in particular by adding in one of the central features 2607 2608 of the Detele Act, as its name implies, which is the ability to create a centralized mechanism so that consumers can in 2609 2610 one shot ask all data brokers to delete their data because 2611 otherwise we have to go from data broker to data broker, 2612 which is impossible because most of us don't even know what 2613 the data brokers are. 2.614 *Mrs. Trahan. Yeah, right. Thank you. I couldn't agree more. Under APRA's current draft, a consumer would 2615 have to individually visit 871 data brokers' websites and 2616 affirmatively delete their personal data. That is how many 2617

2618 have registered in the State of Vermont, and that is just 2619 not feasible. 2620 My second bipartisan bill featured in today's hearing 2621 is the TLDR Act, which would rein in companies that force 2622 users to agree to unnecessarily long and complex terms of 2623 service, or to use an app, or access a website. A 2022 poll 2624 found that nine out of every 10 Americans have agreed to a 2625 company's terms of service without ever reading it. This is 2626 an even bigger issue for companies providing services 2627 directly to our children who are often required to agree to 2628 the same contracts before getting online. That is why the 2629 TLDR Act takes the important step of requiring standardized 2630 short form terms of service summaries that both parents and 2631 young people can understand. 2632 Ms. Smithing, how important is it for Congress to maintain the portions of the TLDR Act in the privacy package 2633 we are discussing today, particularly with respect to clear 2634 2635 and explainable terms of service for users of all ages? *Ms. Smithing. Yes, thank you for the question. 2636 Incredibly important. Earlier Mr. Brody said that he, as 2637 the king of data lawyers, does not even read his privacy 2638 policies, and if he can't do it, then I don't think we 2639

2640	should be expecting children to do it. It would be
2641	incredibly beneficial for kids and help them understand what
2642	is actually going on on these platforms.
2643	I will also add that children are tired. They have to
2644	consent to hundreds of things before they go on their
2645	favorite apps and this leads to fatigue and them paying
2646	little to no attention to the things they are consenting to,
2647	so a policy like this that expressly says in clear and
2648	concise language what is happening would be greatly
2649	beneficial to children.
2650	*Mrs. Trahan. Thank you so much. Well said.
2651	It is essential to privacy and kids' safety online that
2652	large data holders are transparent about their business
2653	practices and are held accountable by third parties. The
2654	best way to do that is to require that qualified researchers
2655	are able to study how the decisions made by powerful online
2656	platforms are complying with the privacy laws that we hope
2657	to pass in this committee and impacting users.
2658	I have been working with Senator Coons on language to
2659	empower researchers to take a look under the hood of
2660	powerful online companies like Meta and Google in a way that
2661	allows them to do their work while protecting user privacy

2662	and intellectual property. And I would also like to submit
2663	another letter for the record from the American
2664	Psychological Association demonstrating the extent to which
2665	researchers' access to data is jeopardized and the urgent
2666	need for Congress to act to support their work.
2667	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
2668	
2669	
2670	[The information follows:]
2671	
2672	**************************************
2673	

2674 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. Mr. Jain, how important is it to protect researchers' 2675 2676 abilities to access the data they need and do researchers 2677 have that access today? 2678 Thank you for the question. I know you *Mr. Jain. have been a real leader on this issue. It is critical for 2679 2680 researchers to be able to have access to that data, 2681 particularly in the social media context where they have 2682 been the ones who have uncovered a lot of the harms and a 2683 lot of the negative practices that we have seen. And, 2684 unfortunately, we are actually moving in the opposite 2685 direction, we are seeing company after company withdraw or 2686 make less available data that researchers need, so I think 2687 it is very critical that we promote and do that. 2688 Unfortunately, I think APRA probably needs improvement 2689 in that area. I think ADPPA had a specific permissible 2690 purposes around public interest research and the ability to 2691 collect and process data for that purpose, and I think we 2692 should probably add that back in with appropriate privacy protections to make sure that that kind of public research 2693 2694 can continue. 2695 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. We have some work ahead of

2696 us, but I look forward to advancing a strong bipartisan comprehensive privacy package. 2697 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2698 2699 *Mr. Bilirakis. I think we are off to a good start. 2700 Thank you. The gentlelady yields back and now I will recognize Mr. James, who is our the newest member of our 2701 2702 full committee. You are recognized for five minutes, sir. 2703 Look forward to working with you. 2704 *Mr. James. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First, I would 2705 be remiss if I didn't recognize the grand opportunity I have 2706 been given to serve as a freshman on this committee. I want 2707 to express my sincere gratitude to Chairwoman Rodgers, 2708 Chairman Bilirakis, and my colleagues on Energy and Commerce 2709 for having trust in me and giving me this opportunity. 2710 In parallel, I would like to recognize the grand opportunity in front of us to pass real, substantial 2711 substantive public policy to benefit the American people as 2712 2713 it pertains to data privacy and security. This is an issue 2714 that I hear about regularly when I am back home and it is a testament to the committee's ability to get things done in a 2715 2716 bipartisan way that we are working with the Senate Commerce 2717 Committee on this issue as well.

2718 I am really glad that my bill, H.R. 6149, the Protecting Kids on Social Media Act, is also under 2719 consideration and in front of us here today during this 2720 2721 hearing. The damage that social media is doing to our kids 2722 is unconscionable and extremely disturbing. Big Tech, the social media platforms are making our young people more 2723 2724 depressed and wreaking havoc on mental health, particularly 2725 after the COVID pandemic. As a father of three school-age 2726 boys, the warning signs of social media's impact on kids are 2727 abundantly clear to me. I have said for years, Facebook 2728 Meta is the Philip Morris of our time and now is the time to 2729 take action. My bill, the Protecting Social Media Protecting Kids 2730 2731 on Social Media Act, aims to do exactly this. First, it 2732 establishes the minimum age of 13 for platform use and prevents Big Tech, Big Government, and strangers from 2733 2734 usurping parental consent from parents of teens. Second, it 2735 reins in abuse by Big Tech using algorithms to target minor 2736 children. And most importantly, the bill empowers parents, it gives parents a fighting chance to protect their 2737 children, which is why we are here in the first place. 2738 would appreciate the thoughts of my colleagues and our 2739

2740 quests here on ways to fine-tune and advance my bill, H.R. 6149, the Protecting Kids on Social Media Act, in now and 2741 2742 in the future and on social media a social media kids 2743 safety package. 2744 So my time is limited, we have two minutes, 45 seconds. My first question is to Ms. Kuehn. Here in Washington, as 2745 2746 you know, we are constantly searching for the right balance 2747 when it comes to the size and scope of government. Could you discuss the I am sorry, the potential benefits and 2748 2749 drawbacks, particularly in terms of the role of social media 2750 companies' online platforms versus the role of government in keeping kids safe online? I am a conservative, I believe in 2751 2752 limited government, not no government, so can you help me to 2753 strike that balance? 2754 *Ms. Kuehn. I think there is going to have to be a public/private partnership in it. We talked a lot about big 2755 2756 data companies today and how they are you know, the 2757 exploitation, but there is also the opportunity for better 2758 coverage, better work together to do the right things, especially for children. You know, as a mother, I have seen 2759 cases where I personally had my children attacked on social 2760 media and had to engage law enforcement because of a school 2761

2762 I have had sextortion cases with friends of ours children. We have been through every single time. 2763 2764 It is a question of there is going to have to be a 2765 public/private partnership on this, so the more we can work 2766 to create better standards, better understanding, simplified language, the ability for parents to take a more proactive 2767 2768 role. I myself have very tight parameters around our 2769 children's social media. Those are the things. 2770 And take example, too, from international opportunities 2771 to look at best practices, like the child helpline that they 2772 have in the UK. No questions asked if there is some type of bullying, some type of problem online, you can call an 2773 2.774 anonymous line that is funded by the UK government to get 2775 help. Those are the kinds of things I think we should look 2776 at. 2777 *Mr. James. And I think you bring up a very, very good point, Ms. Kuehn. Not every parent, not every socioeconomic 2778 2779 status has the time in the day to look over their kids' shoulders 24 hours a day. Sometimes people have to work two 2780 shifts, night shifts, and putting these policies in place 2781 will help put up quardrails so that our kids cannot be 2782 2783 exploited any further.

2784 Data security impacts everyone in every generation. Michigan's 10th Congressional District, home to over 100,000 2785 2786 seniors, roughly 18 percent of my constituents, failure to 2787 create a commonsense data privacy only makes my constituents more vulnerable. Elder abuse. Can you speak to the damage 2788 that status quo is causing seniors in Michigan and how this 2789 2790 new bill, American Privacy Rights Act, could improve their 2791 lives? 2792 *Ms. Kuehn. The damage is significant. I had my mother had her personal identity stolen in a nursing home. 2793 2794 My father had his identity and his data stolen when he was suffering from late-stage dementia, and three weeks later we 2795 2796 received a phone call, an erroneous one, that he was told 2797 that his grandson had been kidnapped and he had to put 2798 \$5,000 into an account because they had done hacking onto social media and they understood what to say to get him to 2799 believe that. It is terrible for seniors. 2800 2801 So what I would say it this, the data minimization and 2802 the consumer control pieces of APRA are great steps forward to make sure that seniors too simplify language, minimal 2803 2804 data, ability to control what is online as you get older I think is critical. 2805

2806 *Mr. James. Mr. Chairman, the Federal Government has a 2807 duty to protect our most vulnerable, and I believe our 2808 seniors and our children are among them. Thank you. 2809 yield back, sir. 2810 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you and thanks for asking that 2811 question with regard to seniors. We appreciate that very 2812 much. Now we will recognize the gentleman yields back, 2813 and I will recognize my fellow Florida Gator from the town 2814 that I was born, Gainesville, Florida, Mrs. Cammack. You 2815 are recognized for five minutes. 2816 *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and go Gators. Get you better get used to this. Thank you to all our 2817 2818 witnesses and for everyone who is with us today in the room. 2819 I will jump right into it. I know that there is a lot 2820 to cover in this space and a lot already has been covered, but I want to, for the benefit of folks who are tuning in, I 2821 2822 am going to start with you, Ms., I am going to say it, oof, 2823 Ohlhausen? If I botch it, I am sorry. I think it is 2824 important to understand what data is collected, and certainly Americans I think are woefully unaware of how much 2825 data is being collected and harvested. 2826 So I want you to describe if you can, and I know you 2827

2828 can, the type of data that is collected by online platforms from consumers during a typical user experience, 2829 2830 specifically what is collected, stored, and/or sent to other 2831 entities in the following instances? And these three are 2832 scenarios that are very common everyday for everyday Americans. So when an individual reads, types, and sends 2833 emails on just say Gmail, that is the first scenario, when 2834 2835 an individual interacts on Facebook, Twitter, or any other 2836 social media application, and when an individual shops 2837 online. *Ms. Ohlhausen. So the 2838 *Mrs. Cammack. I know, that's a lot. 2839 2840 *Ms. Ohlhausen. Yeah, yeah. So based on the 2841 information that the Coalition members collect, who are 2842 telecommunication providers ISPs. 2843 *Mrs. Cammack. Mm-hmm. 2844 *Ms. Ohlhausen. All right, so to provide information, 2845 to provide service, right, these services, they may have to 2846 collect a wide variety of data. For content of communications, they are not necessarily collecting the 2847 content to use for any purpose, you know, for selling. 2848 Certainly as consumers interact or users interact, for 2849

2850 payment, for payment processing, fraud prevention, things like that, they may collect a wide of a wide variety of 2851 2852 data. 2853 *Mrs. Cammack. Right. And I think what people fail to 2854 realize is that everything is being tracked and we are consenting to this in those ridiculous long terms of 2855 2856 service, you know, that nobody reads, right. I think they have even added an option where you can simply press a 2857 2858 button and it takes you right to the very end, you don't 2859 even have to scroll anymore. So the amount of data, it is 2860 staggering, and I know everyone in this room knows this. But digging more into the legislation, and I would like 2861 2862 to open this up to our witnesses here today, under the 2863 current daft legislation, there expressly names an opt out 2864 mechanism. Now me personally, I am not for an opt out, I like an opt in because, let's be honest, people are lazy, 2865 2866 right, and so to have to go through the process of having to 2867 opt out and you are automatically opted in, I think that creates that might create some confusion. 2868 So I would like for folks to weigh in, and I am going 2869 to start with you, Ms. Frederick, if you could weigh in on 2870 any thoughts you have on altering that into an opt in 2871

2872 directive rather than an opt out. *Ms. Frederick. I think that makes eminent sense in 2873 2.874 terms of making sure default settings are the most 2875 stringent. It would certainly hue in that direction, so I think it is a very interesting consideration for the 2876 discussion draft. 2877 2878 *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you. 2879 *Mr. Jain. I agree, it is an interesting consideration, and we know about the power of defaults that, 2880 2881 you know, where the user is where the settings are set 2882 initially usually are where they stay because people 2883 because of inertia, people don't have enough time. I think the one thing to think about in is to think about in 2884 2885 particular settings whether the opt out or opt in is the 2886 right mechanism. In other words, there may be certain settings in which the default, it is okay for people to be 2887 2888 opted in, but we still want to give them the choice to get 2889 themselves out of a particular system. So I think that is the balance we have to strike. 2890 2891 *Mrs. Cammack. Okay, thank you. *Ms. Kuehn. I think the option is interesting, but I 2892 think we should look if there is lessons learned from some 2893

2894 our international counterparts who have already put some really robust, think about GDPR and others, privacy laws in 2895 2896 place. So we should look at the lessons learned about opt 2897 in versus opt out. 2898 There is also technical ramifications, so for 2899 organizations that are going to have to really hold and 2900 maintain the technical aspect of data privacy, there is big 2901 differences between adopting an opt out, so I would look at 2902 the advice of how from keeping a main baseline of cyber standard the differences would look. 2903 2904 *Mrs. Cammack. And I know I am leaving you guys here. 2905 I have got 25 seconds and I have another question I got to get to. Directing to you, do we have let me see. Do you 2906 2907 think that it makes sense I will say this, do you think it 2908 makes sense to give the FTC data security authority over the 2909 entire economy, the whole of the economy, but leave the FCC 2910 in charge of data breach notification for legacy 2911 communication? 2912 *Ms. Ohlhausen. So the Coalition in its testimony suggests that data breach notification should also not be 2913 left with the FCC. There other concerns have been raised 2914 about that, that it would make sense to have it be unified 2915

2916 under one agency. 2917 *Mrs. Cammack. I had a feeling you might say that. 2918 Ad I have well, no, I am over my time, so I 2919 apologize, Mr. Chairman. To our two remaining witnesses, if 2920 you could submit your answers to the question regarding opt in/opt out, that would be wonderful. Thank you. 2921 2922 With that, I yield. 2923 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlelady yields 2924 back, and I will recognize Ms. Schrier for her five minutes 2925 of questioning. 2926 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you 2927 to our ranking member for holding this really important hearing today. I am delighted to wave on to this 2928 2929 subcommittee. As a pediatrician, I have been looking 2930 forward to this hearing for all five years that I have been 2931 in Congress. I have seen in real time with my patients what 2932 2933 immersion in screen time and social media has done to their 2934 sleep, to their attention spans, to the exposure to dangerous information and, of course, the rise in mental 2935 illness and eating disorders that we are now seeing at 2936 increasingly younger ages. And I am incredibly concerned 2937

2938 about the impact that screen time and social media has on our kids and the dangers that exist online that so many 2939 2940 parents aren't even aware of. 2941 Kids today are exposed to harmful corrosive content at 2942 an early age and can even be exposed to predators, illegal activity without even realizing it. The Surgeon General 2943 2944 made it a priority and has even issued an advisory on social 2945 media and youth mental health to warn about the risks that 2946 unsafe social media environments pose to our kids. 2947 According to this advisory, 95 percent of all teens age 13 2948 to 17 use social media almost constantly. This is why my 2949 15-and-a-half year old does not have access to social media. 2950 Nearly 40 percent of children age eight to 12 use 2951 social media as well. For teens, this translates, as we 2952 heard, to eight hours a day. I think about eight hours in 2953 school, eight hours on social media, and they are supposed 2954 to sleep nine hours a night, and that does not add up. 2955 And so all of this is happening also at a critical time for brain remodeling and development for these kids. There 2956 is several studies showing that the brain regions involved 2957 specifically in social development, like the amygdala, the 2958 2959 prefrontal cortex, these undergo extensive change during

2960 adolescents and social media use is impacting how our kids' ability to interact with people is developing, and I think 2961 2962 it is so important that we understand the full impact but 2963 that we don't wait until we understand everything to take 2964 action because it feels like we are experimenting on this generation and it is not looking good. 2965 2966 To combat the risks of unsafe and addictive online 2967 activity, parents should have every tool at their disposal 2968 to keep their children safe and Big Tech companies, as we 2969 have discussed, need to be held accountable. That is why I 2970 am so proud to have worked with my colleagues on this 2971 committee to introduce the Kids Online Safety Act and 2.972 Sammy's Law. Both bills provide tools to help protect 2973 children and allow parents to identify and report harmful 2974 behavior or content that their children are exposed to. 2975 Sammy's Law would ensure that third-party apps are able 2976 to responsibly and with guardrails inform parents when their 2977 kids are engaging with dangerous or concerning content, including around suicidality, mental health concerns, 2978 substance use, eating disorders, and abuse. 2979 And I know that today in this hearing room we have 2980 several parents who have tragically lost children due to 2981

2982 dangerous and ultimately fatal content online. And I just want to take a moment to express my deepest condolences and 2983 2984 also to thank them for their advocacy so that no other 2985 parent has to endure this. 2986 The Kids Online Safety Act will make sure that social 2987 media companies are held responsible for ensuring a safe 2988 online environment for kids whenever possible, and it would 2989 require these covered companies to be transparent about the 2990 design features that make these apps so addicting, like 2991 autoplay and rewards for levels of engagement. 2992 Ms. Smithing, I want to thank you for your testimony and wondered if you could speak to the addictive nature of 2993 2994 these design features, which even the parents of these 2995 children cannot break themselves of, and how we might expect 2996 children to do that? 2997 *Ms. Smithing. Yes. Thank you, Congresswoman. 2998 think when we are looking at addiction specifically, the 2999 most important thing we can do is data minimize, of course, but also limit the amount of data that can go into 3000 recommendation algorithms. Opting out of targeted 3001 advertisements is not enough. The cadence at which these 3002 posts are delivered is what makes them addictive, not 3003

3004 necessarily the content of them. So we can do everything in our power, but as long as companies can use our personal 3005 3006 data, and what they know we will respond to, and what they 3007 know we won't respond to, use variable reward schedules to 3008 deliver as posts, it won't address the addictiveness. So as you said 3009 3010 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you. 3011 *Ms. Smithing. an opt in would be perfect, but 3012 allowing people to opt out of these algorithms is very 3013 important. 3014 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you. I so appreciate your answer 3015 and I so appreciate the action that we are taking in this 3016 committee. 3017 I yield back. 3018 *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady, and it is great to get your perspective being a pediatrician, so very 3019 3020 important, so I feel like we are on the right track. 3021 not sure if there is anyone else. I don't think so. 3022 think this was a very informative hearing and testimony was outstanding, it really was. So we are going to get moving 3023 on this, and like you said, for all our constituents but 3024 particularly for our children. So thank you. 3025

3026	I ask unanimous consent to insert into the record the
3027	documents included on the staff hearing document list.
3028	Without objection, so ordered.
3029	[The information follows:]
3030	
3031	**************************************
3032	

3033	*Mr. Bilirakis. I remind members that they have 10
3034	business days to submit questions for the record, and I ask
3035	the witnesses to respond to the questions promptly. Members
3036	should submit their questions by the close of business on
3037	May 1st.
3038	So without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned.
3039	[Whereupon, at 12:39 p.m., the subcommittee was
3040	adjourned.]