```
1
     Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
 2
     RPTS BRENNAN
 3
    HIF236170
 4
 5
 6
     MAPPING AMERICA'S SUPPLY CHAINS:
 7
     SOLUTIONS TO UNLEASH INNOVATION, BOOST
 8
     ECONOMIC RESILIENCE, AND BEAT CHINA
 9
     WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2023
10
     House of Representatives,
11
     Subcommittee on Innovation, Data, and Commerce,
12
     Committee on Energy and Commerce,
13
     Washington, D.C.
14
15
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:31 a.m.,
16
17
     in Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gus
18
     Bilirakis [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
19
20
          Present: Representatives Bilirakis, Bucshon, Walberg,
21
     Dunn, Lesko, Pence, Allen, Fulcher, Harshbarger, Cammack,
```

- 22 Rodgers (ex officio); Schakowsky, Castor, Dingell, Kelly,
- 23 Blunt Rochester, Soto, Trahan, and Pallone (ex officio).

24

25 Also present: Representatives Miller-Meeks and Johnson. 26 27 Staff present: Michael Cameron, Professional Staff 28 Member; Sydney Greene, Director of Operations; Jessica Herron, Clerk; Sean Kelly, Press Secretary; Peter Kielty, 29 General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services Director; Tim 30 31 Kurth, Chief Counsel; Brannon Rains, Professional Staff Member; Teddy Tanzer, Senior Counsel; Hannah Anton, Minority 32 33 Policy Analyst; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff 34 Director and General Counsel; Daniel Greene, Minority Professional Staff Member; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff 35 36 Director; Lisa Hone, Minority Chief Counsel; Joe Orlando, Minority Junior Professional Staff Member; and Geneva Wolfe, 37 38 Minority Intern. 39

40 *Mr. Bilirakis. Good morning. The subcommittee will come to order. The chair recognizes himself for an opening 41 42 statement. 43 Good morning, everyone. Welcome to today's hearing on legislation that will help bolster America's global 44 45 leadership and secure our Nation's economic and national 46 security. Since this committee was created in 1795, wow, it has 47 48 had a clear purpose on how to promote interstate commerce 49 domestically and conduct business abroad. The first 50 committee created in the United States Congress. It is 51 amazing that we are sitting on this committee. 52 Learning how our supply chains work and ensuring their 53 integrity has an integral part of that work and historically has not been a partisan issue. More recently, there was an -54 55 - on display in several emerging technology supply chain 56 bills that formed Chair Rodgers's bipartisan American Compete Act legislation that became law in 2020. 57 58 As we learn from the crippling effects of the pandemic 59 on America's supply chains in 2021, further efforts began in 60 our committee to examine how we can better map and monitor

61 supply chains to ensure resiliency in the future. started as a positive bipartisan process, unfortunately went 62 down a different path. To be clear, I don't blame my 63 64 Democrat colleagues on this committee, as I know this was a top-down decision dictated by the previous Speaker. Instead 65 66 of consensus legislating, the process led to multi-billion-67 dollar spending programs that skipped regular order, which ironically now the Minority party insists we preserve. 68 69 The conclusion to that effort was failure, as even the 70 Senate was unable to agree with the enormous price tag and government interventions into the private sector. I say this 71 72 not to relitigate the past but more to help us get a fresh 73 start. 74 Today we have legislation from Dr. Bucshon that takes us back to our earlier consensus, identifying the special role 75 76 that emerging technologies will have in our future economy. 77 It is best to promote and deploy these technologies now with our values driving the process rather than to spend billions 78 79 to figure out how to reclaim them later if they are deployed 80 and deployed with an adversary's values. 81 I believe both sides of the aisle can appreciate this

82 legislation on the docket in draft form to continue a 83 dialogue that results in a bipartisan consensus. We have all 84 been legislating long enough to know that America cannot 85 simply throw taxpayer dollars at an issue to rectify concerns. The multi-billion-dollar semiconductor program 86 87 enacted last Congress has been hamstrung by issues we flagged during its consideration for not considering regulatory 88 89 burdens like permitting. The way we retain and grow our 90 leadership is not to outspend China, but instead provide a stable regulatory framework that rewards innovators and 91 92 entrepreneurs with results. 93 To secure our future, we need to address problems our 94 Nation faces at the root cause. That means mapping and 95 monitoring supply chains and understanding why we are so reliant on adversaries like China for many critical minerals 96 97 and components essential for products our constituents use. 98 We should understand how we can source in America or with 99 allied nations. 100 It means promoting the deployment of emerging technologies like blockchains to have greater transparency 101 into a chain of custody, autonomous vehicles to help deliver 102

```
103
     goods where we see voids. It means removing barriers that
104
      small businesses and startups face and their efforts to enter
105
     markets and developing a plan to promote their growth and
106
     their workforce.
          And specifically on that note, I also want to thank
107
108
      Representatives Bill Johnson, Dean Phillips for their
109
      continued leadership on H.R. 5398, the Advancing Tech
110
      Startups Act, and to Representatives Miller-Meeks, Bucshon,
111
      Johnson, Kuster, Schrier, and Spanberger for H.R. 5390, the
112
     Critical Infrastructure Manufacturing Feasibility Act.
113
           I look forward to the discussion today and welcome any
114
      constructive and specific language we can review to get these
115
     bills passed and ultimately succeed in getting them to the
116
      President's desk. So thank you to our panelists who are here
117
      today for your testimony.
118
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Bilirakis follows:]
119
120
      *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
121
```

122 *Mr. Bilirakis. And I'll yield back. 123 I now recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, Ms. 124 Schakowsky, for five minutes for an opening statement. 125 are recognized. 126 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. The 127 -- as you mentioned, the COVID-19 pandemic revealed the serious weaknesses in our national supply chain. Consumers 128 129 faced shortages in things ranging from toilet paper to cars. 130 And in 2022, 60 percent of the small businesses said that the supply chain issues were on the -- were on -- that supply 131 132 chain issues were one of the top problems that they were --133 that they were facing. 134 In the past, I'm -- you know, Congressman, you and I 135 have worked together on so many things, but I have to say that unfortunately I see today that two of the bills that we 136 137 are discussing leave workers on the sidelines. One -- what 138 is that? 139 *Voice. Leaves. 140 *Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. One leaves labor out of the

list of stakeholders. The Department of Commerce will be

required to be in touch with, that must consult with them.

141

142

```
143
     And the other ignores the issue of the -- what is it,
144
     workers?
145
          *Voice. Giq.
146
           *Ms. Schakowsky. Gig. Okay, sorry. The gig workers
     face, including lower wages, longer hours, and hazardous
147
148
     workplaces. So I would really like to see that we are able
149
     to include workers at the table because my colleagues,
150
     Representatives --
151
          *Voice. Blunt Rochester.
152
           *Ms. Schakowsky. Oh, Blunt Rochester, who I am going to
153
     yield to in just a minute, Kelly, Dingell, and Wild actually
154
     have worked very hard on strengthening our supply chain. So
155
     I would actually like to make sure that we include workers in
156
     this. And I want to yield at this time to our colleague on
     the committee, Representative Blunt Rochester, right now.
157
158
           [The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
159
160
      *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
161
```

162 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Ranking Member 163 Schakowsky. We on Energy and Commerce have sounded the alarm 164 on supply chain challenges that threaten our health from drug shortages, our economy from rising prices, and our national 165 166 security even before the COVID-19 pandemic. But we know that 167 the pandemic exacerbated these challenges. 168 As a Congress, we took steps, and I was proud to work as 169 part of the CHIPS and Science Act conference committee to 170 help bring semiconductor manufacturing back home. But we all know that we didn't go far enough. That's why in February of 171 172 this year I asked my colleagues to work with me to develop a 173 comprehensive, credible, and resource supply chains package 174 to compete globally and create good-paying American jobs. 175 The Republican supply chains discussion draft we are considering today is a good start, but I believe that Mr. 176 177 Bucshon and I can make it stronger. When I worked on the Supply Chains Act with Representatives Dingell, Kelly, and 178 Wild, we solicited the input of over 160 businesses, trade 179 180 associations, and other organizations to craft that policy. In crafting our bill, Mr. Bucshon and I will continue to work 181 with stakeholders so that we can provide that feedback. 182

183	We agreed that our compromise will ensure that the
184	Federal Government adopts the same spirit of collaboration
185	directing them to consult with all stakeholders, including
186	labor and representatives from America's workforce. While we
187	are still working out the details of our agreement, Mr.
188	Bucshon and I agree the supply chain legislation must
189	underscore the need for focused leadership dedicated to this
190	issue. That means developing a national strategy with
191	specific and measurable goals, and that includes enhancing
192	the Federal Government's support to the private sector.
193	If we are able to be competitive with China, it means we
194	must make sure this simple premise. If these things can be
195	made in America, we should make it in America. And we cannot
196	and will not lose this competition. And I am hopeful that we
197	will craft legislation that meets this moment.
198	[The prepared statement of Ms. Blunt Rochester follows:]
199	
200	**************************************
201	

202 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, and I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Does the gentlelady yield back? Yes? 203 204 Okay, thank you. 205 All right, I know recognize The Chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for five minutes for her opening 206 207 statement. 208 *The Chair. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis, and our 209 witnesses for being here today. 210 America's economic leadership to beat China depends on our ability to innovate and maintain strong reliable supply 211 212 The COVID-19 pandemic exposed how fragile our supply 213 chains are. Hospitals were short on ventilators and personal 214 protective equipment. People were unable to find basic 215 necessities like food, medicine, baby formula, and hand sanitizer. And manufacturers experienced shortages of 216 217 critical semiconductor chips which help power our cars and 218 our home appliances. We learned how easy it is to become over-reliant on our 219 220 adversaries like China. And we cannot make that same mistake again when it comes to our supply chains and emerging 221 222 technologies. Our national and economic security depends on

223 American technology and our leadership. 224 At -- last week's Senate Summit on artificial 225 intelligence made clear AI has become a top priority for 226 lawmakers. A recent Commerce Department report, which was 227 required by legislation from this committee, stressed that in 228 order to lead in AI and other technologies, the U.S. needs a 229 national data privacy and security framework. I agree that 230 that is key, and I know many join me in that. 231 But it is not just for AI, it is for American tech 232 leadership more broadly. Which is why we have been working 233 on legislation to protect America's information online and 234 prevent China from surveilling and manipulating Americans. 235 In order to make sure these technologies are developed here 236 in the U.S., we also need to embrace innovation and entrepreneurship. That is the American way. We will never 237 238 outspend China, nor should we even attempt to. Trying to do 239 so wastes taxpayer dollars, it hurts small businesses and startups, and it cedes our leadership and technology and that 240 241 future to the Chinese Communist Party. 242 To grow our economy, to boost jobs, ensure that the U.S. not China is developing these technologies of the future, we 243

244 need solutions that unleash innovation and spur investment in 245 these key technologies. The bills we are discussing today 246 will achieve this goal. 247 Three years ago, committee Republicans authored 248 legislative proposals to secure American leadership in 249 emerging technologies critical to our long-term global 250 competitiveness like artificial intelligence, blockchain, and the internet of things, and several of these proposals became 251 252 the bipartisan American Compete Act of 2020. If we fail to 253 adequately secure our supply chains, the technologies we rely 254 on every day will be vulnerable to malicious actors and 255 adversaries like China who want to use these technologies to 256 exploit and surveil Americans and their families. 257 includes homes, cars, phones. Nearly every moment of every 258 day could be accessible by China and other bad actors. 259 A regime like the CCP, which suppresses free speech, 260 surveils its own citizens to control them, and commits countless human right violations should not control the tech 261 262 future. We saw this when Chinese-based Huawei infiltrated 263 our communications networks. And now we are seeing China testing their self-drive technologies on American roads. 264

265	must ensure technologies of tomorrow are developed in an
266	ecosystem that promotes American values and promotes American
267	data and our privacy.
268	I look forward to today's discussion.
269	[The prepared statement of The Chair follows:]
270	
271	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
272	

273 *The Chair. And I would like to yield the remainder of 274 my time to Dr. Bucshon to discuss his legislation on supply 275 chain mapping and resiliency. I yield. 276 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for 277 yielding. 278 Today is an opportunity to help ensure that our economy and national security apparatus have a stable supply chain 279 280 that can withstand natural disasters, international 281 conflicts, trade restrictions, and any other number of issues 282 that can have -- that have led to bottlenecks for American 283 producers. Enacting the draft legislation before us today 284 will ensure that the Department of Commerce has the authority 285 and information it needs to anticipate where and when supply 286 shocks could arise by mapping and monitoring supply chains and to determine how best to respond when these shocks 287 288 inevitably occur. 289 I am also glad that this draft legislation will establish a program to have the Department of Commerce 290 291 promote the use of blockchain technologies. Blockchains can 292 help secure our supply chains by providing American producers 293 with information on the sourcing of component parts of an end

294	product. This will provide the producer and the Department
295	of Commerce with critical information on supply chain
296	vulnerabilities.
297	I want to continue to work with my colleagues across the
298	aisle, particularly Ms. Blunt Rochester, and with
299	stakeholders in industry to get this right, to promote a
300	stronger and more resilient American economy.
301	[The prepared statement of Mr. Bucshon follows:]
302	
303	**************************************
304	

305 *Mr. Bucshon. And I yield back to the chairwoman. *The Chair. I yield back. 306 307 *Mr. Bilirakis. Appreciate it. Now I will recognize 308 the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for his five minutes. 309 310 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis. The COVID-19 public health crisis exposed serious 311 312 vulnerabilities in our critical manufacturing supply chains. 313 Vulnerabilities that harmed our efforts to combat COVID-19 and its economic fallout. Ask any doctor, nurse, or 314 315 essential worker who needed personal protective equipment 316 during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic. Ask any assembly 317 line worker, manufacturer, or startup who did not have enough 318 semiconductors essential to produce critical products and consumer electronics. Ask any everyday consumer who could 319 320 not find basic household essentials like toilet paper and 321 cleaning supplies as demands surged and supply chains just 322 ground to a halt. 323 So last Congress, congressional Democrats took bold 324 action to strengthen our manufacturing base, bolster supply chains, create good-paying jobs for American workers, unleash 325

326 innovation, and lower costs for consumers. The CHIPS and 327 Science Act makes transformative, historic investments to 328 strengthen supply chains and American manufacturing and 329 included 52.7 billion dollars to spur American semiconductor 330 production in order to end our dangerous dependence on 331 foreign manufacturers of this critical good. And this new 332 law will ensure that more semiconductors are produced here in the United States and not in China. If beating China was not 333 334 just a slogan for House Republicans, they would have joined 335 us in taking action and voting for this bill. 336 Then there is the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, which 337 is modernizing our Nation's infrastructure by investing 338 hundreds of billions of dollars for roads, bridges, railways, 339 highspeed broadband, clean drinking water infrastructure, and electric vehicle chargers. And the Inflation Reduction Act, 340 341 which is lowering costs for American families, including 342 healthcare, prescription drugs, and energy costs while also combatting the worsening climate crisis so we can lead the 343 344 world in the clean energy transition. 345 Now these laws are strengthening the economy from the bottom up and middle out. The U.S. has added nearly 800,000 346

347 manufacturing jobs during President Biden's administration, total construction spending on manufacturing in the U.S. has 348 skyrocketed providing good construction jobs today and 349 350 manufacturing jobs into the future. But we have a lot more 351 work to do because the supply chain crisis may long -- no 352 longer be front-page news but serious supply chain 353 vulnerabilities persist. The Biden administration's 100-day supply chain review 354 355 found that manufacturing supply chains instrumental to our 356 national security and economic welfare remain vulnerable to 357 disruption, strain, compromise, and elimination. 358 vulnerabilities are industry-wide and affect every American. The Department of Defense warns that the decline in domestic 359 360 manufacturing capability could result in a growing and permanent national security deficit that presents challenges 361 362 to our military and technological supremacy. 363 Fortunately, Representatives Blunt Rochester, Dingell, Kelly, and Wild have introduced the Supply Chains Act, 364 365 legislation that builds on their bipartisan supply chain work 366 from last Congress. As Representative Lisa Blunt Rochester has already explained, this vital legislation improves supply 367

368 chain resilience and strengthens our Nation's economic vitality and national security. Over 160 stakeholders, 369 manufacturers, innovators, workers, consumer groups, local 370 371 governments endorsed their supply chain legislation. 372 That is broad support, and that is why I am disappointed 373 that our Republican colleagues refused to include the Supply 374 Chains Act on this legislative hearing. Again, if beating 375 China was not just a slogan for House Republicans, they would 376 have included this important supply chain legislation on 377 today's hearing. It is important that we have this 378 discussion. 379 Unfortunately, Representative Bucshon's discussion draft is not nearly as comprehensive as the Supply Chains Act and 380 381 would therefore leave our Nation vulnerable to further supply chain disruptions by our adversaries like China. 382 383 heed the lessons learned from the supply chain crisis and 384 ensure that the Federal Government is equipped with the tools and authorities needed to address supply chain 385 386 vulnerabilities before they become a full-blown crisis, and 387 that is what the Supply Chains Act does. 388 So I am hopeful that this hearing will renew productive

389	bipartisan negotiations.
390	[The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
391	
392	**************************************
393	

```
*Mr. Pallone. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield

back.

*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the ranking member. He yields

back.

Our first witness is Justin Slaughter, Policy Director

at Paradigm. Sir, you are recognized for five minutes.
```

STATEMENT OF JUSTIN SLAUGHTER, POLICY DIRECTOR, PARADIGM; 401 SCOTT PAUL, PRESIDENT, THE ALLIANCE FOR AMERICAN 402 MANUFACTURING; DEENA GHAZARIAN, FOUNDER AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE 403 404 OFFICER, AUSTERE; AND CHRIS GRISWOLD, POLICY DIRECTOR, 405 AMERICAN COMPASS 406 407 STATEMENT OF JUSTIN SLAUGHTER 408 409 *Mr. Slaughter. Subcommittee Chair Bilirakis, 410 Subcommittee Ranking Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris 411 Rodgers, and Ranking Member Pallone, thank you for inviting 412 me to testify this morning on such an important topic. My name is Justin Slaughter and I am Policy Director at 413 Paradigm, a research-driven technology investment firm that 414 focuses on crypto and related frontier technologies. 415 416 Previously, I served in the SEC at the start of the Biden 417 administration as Director of the Office of Legislative Affairs and Senior Advisor to the Acting Chair Allison Herren 418 419 Lee, and in the CFTC at the end of the Obama administration 420 as Chief Policy Advisor and Special Counsel to Commissioner 421 Sharon Bowen. I also served as counsel to Senator Ed Markey

422 in both the Senate and here in the House. 423 As we sit here today, crypto is rapidly forming the foundation for the future of commerce and social 424 425 coordination, and I believe it can help solve many of the challenges discussed during today's hearing and more. I am 426 427 heartened by the work this committee and others are doing to 428 understand crypto and blockchains. 429 Jurisdictions around the world, allies and geopolitical 430 adversaries, are further along than America in developing deliberate national strategies for harnessing the power of 431 432 blockchains and crypto. The EU and the UK are well on their 433 well toward establishing regulatory frameworks. Japan and Singapore have in place a clear structure for companies 434 435 issuing stable coins, including those denominated in U.S. dollars. And China is marshalling resources across the 436 437 government to support blockchain technology as a tool for 438 geostrategic competition. It is not too late for us to catch up, but we have to 439 440 get our act together, and today's hearing is an important 441 step in that direction. At base, blockchains are a special type of shared database that enable the creation of unique 442

443 non-duplicable digital items. Blockchains do this by maintaining records of digital information ownership and 444 replicating those records across multiple computers called 445 446 nodes. 447 Fixed rules, known as protocols, define activity, 448 incentives, and updates with the nodes and the network all having to agree on each addition of information to the shared 449 450 database. Technological primitives, like cryptography and 451 peer-to-peer messaging, ensure that the entire system functions according to those protocols. These webs of nodes, 452 453 protocols, and primitives give rise to systems that can be 454 used for a variety of functions, from the creation of truly 455 digital peer-to-peer money to the formation of new online 456 communities with their own embedded governance mechanisms. Whereas, the laws of physics define scarcity in the 457 458 world of atoms, the world of bytes was previous 459 unconstrained, making it nearly impossible to trustlessly enforce digital property rights. While reproduction and 460 461 distribution is helpful for certain uses, like sharing photographs and written documents, unique items require 462 mechanisms that limit supply. With crypto, it is now 463

464 possible to own and control scarce digital objects and track 465 their providence across time and space without the need for a 466 centralized entity. This includes money, art, and digital 467 representations of physical items. You can therefore see how these characteristics could 468 469 help solve critical problems in the world of supply chain 470 management where the inability to trace the origins and 471 authenticity of physical items, harms consumers, 472 manufacturers, and more. For example, counterfeit goods on Amazon erode the value of American brands and impose higher 473 474 costs on entrepreneurs and the consumers that buy their 475 products. Similarly, manufacturers of complex products like 476 computer hardware, often assembled from hundreds or thousands of component parts, frequently struggle to manage data 477 records across suppliers in different locations. 478 479 This is not just a consumer or business issue. A core 480 aspect of securing critical infrastructure from adversarial nation states and other malicious threat actors involves 481 482 being able to confidently track the movements of component 483 parts. As for our government itself, the U.S. Air Force has a blockchain-based supply chain project called BASECAMP, 484

485 which helps the Air Force ensure timely and secure 486 manufacturing of its essential equipment and provide a 487 reliable supply of needed parts for maintenance, supporting 488 the ongoing operations of our military globally. 489 How can the government therefore continue to play a role 490 in supporting crypto and blockchain technology? The National 491 Cybersecurity Center of Excellence at NIST and the Department 492 of Commerce has already been engaged in research on the use 493 of blockchains for supply chains and manufacturing research. 494 We welcome these efforts and think NIST engagement and 495 research needs to be only the tip of the iceberg. 496 More constructive engagement is needed between the 497 private sector and the government on these topics, especially 498 within the Commerce Department, but also more broadly. also need more expertise within the government on these 499 500 topics. Like many expertises (sic), crypto is not a topic 501 that is easily learned, but determined study yields vast 502 rewards. Similar to other emerging technologies, crypto, and 503 blockchain, and the companies building in the space need to 504 be appropriately fostered and given clear rules of the road 505 to operate.

506	The future that crypto can help build is clear. It can
507	help make our supply chains more resilient while also keeping
508	the this nascent technology headquartered here in America.
509	Crypto can also offer increased economic opportunities for a
510	variety of industries and communities as well as increase the
511	transparency of it and access to our financial system.
512	Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.
513	[The prepared statement of Mr. Slaughter follows:]
514	
515	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
516	

517	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, sir. Appreciate that.
518	Our next witness is Scott Paul, President of the
519	Alliance for American Manufacturing. You are recognized for
520	five minutes. Thank you.
521	

522 STATEMENT OF SCOTT PAUL 523 524 *Mr. Paul. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ms. Schakowsky, and 525 members of the subcommittee. We appreciate the opportunity 526 to testify today. 527 Supply chains are taken for granted when they work perfectly. However, when they break down, supply chains can 528 529 cause widespread damage to our economy, jobs, and national 530 security. While the acute supply chain crisis that hit hard 531 over the past three years is mostly in the rearview mirror, 532 we must understand three things as we look ahead. 533 First, there is certain to be another disruption, 534 although we cannot predict how, where, or when it may arrive. 535 Second, many of our supply chains are still incredibly frail and not yet derisked, decoupled, localized, or sufficiently 536 537 resilient. Third, while some progress has been made in 538 identifying assets and vulnerabilities through this administration's supply chain review efforts, the Federal 539 540 Government still does not currently have the complete set of 541 tools and authorities to identify, prevent, and mitigate supply chain vulnerabilities before they spiral out of 542

543 control. The United States continued reliance on China for 544 critical supply chains is a significant danger for our 545 546 economic and national security. We should no longer question 547 whether China will weaponize its supply chains and our 548 reliance upon them to its advantage. The CCP has already 549 demonstrated an ability and a willingness to do just that. 550 Our vulnerabilities reflect an outdated notion of the 551 benefits of hyper-globalization which has caused damage to 552 consumers, workers, domestic businesses, and our national 553 security. We must break the vicious cycle of implementing 554 policies that reward imports over domestic production, but 555 the idea is not to build a wall around America or to seek 556 autarchy, rather we must build more domestic capacity in critical manufacturing sectors and consider localization of 557 558 supply chains of best practice. 559 Holding this hearing and examining this legislation is by itself an acknowledgement that supply chain resiliency is 560 561 not a challenge that the private sector alone can fix. 562 is an appropriate role for government to provide leadership coordination and supportive policy framework, and if 563

564 necessary, grants loans and other forms of federal assistance 565 directed to expand domestic production where the 566 vulnerabilities are most critical. 567 This policy idea is as old as our Nation. Washington 568 and Hamilton, Lincoln, FDR, Reagan, and others all recognized 569 this and proposed action. It is worth noting that this American idea of policy support for domestic industry is 570 571 about as far away as one can get from the state control we 572 see in China. The supply chain bill before us today is an important starting point for legislation that can be made 573 574 more effective by adding common sense improvements to fully 575 address the challenges facing our Nation. 576 And on that note we wish to direct your attention to 577 several important policy provisions found in Representative Blunt Rochester's legislation, the Supply Chains Act, which 578 deserve the attention of the subcommittee. These 579 580 enhancements would be particularly valuable for small and midsized manufacturers which make up the bulk of the 581 582 industry. The Federal Government needs a dedicated office 583 with the necessary clout to direct supply chain efforts and to implement a comprehensive and coordinated supply chain 584

585 strategy. The Federal Government should provide coordination for 586 587 the private sector by creating voluntary standards and best 588 practices that can be used to address supply chain vulnerabilities. And capitalizing a robust supply chain 589 590 resiliency fund can provide financial assistance where there 591 is a demonstrated need. At a minimum, Congress should 592 commission an independent study to determine whether grant 593 and loan making authority is warranted. 594 We are deeply concerned that the pending legislation eliminates government consultation activities with America's 595 596 workers. The Alliance for American Manufacturing is a labor 597 business partnership, and I can speak with firsthand 598 knowledge that giving both companies and workers a voice greatly enhances the work of building a policy framework to 599 revitalize American manufacturing. Some of the best ideas 600 601 come straight from the workers on the shop floor. 602 We look forward to working with you to enact policies to 603 make our supply chains more resilient, localized, and derisked. I am bullish on the future of American 604 manufacturing, particularly with the proper policy support 605

606	from Congress. Thank you.
607	[The prepared statement of Mr. Paul follows:]
608	
609	**************************************
610	

611	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you so very much.
612	Our next witness is Deena Ghazarian, Founder and Chief
613	Executive Officer of Austere. You are recognized, ma'am, for
614	five minutes.
615	

616 STATEMENT OF DEENA GHAZARIAN 617 *Ms. Ghazarian. Thank you, Chairperson, and thank you 618 619 to the subcommittee for having me here today. 620 Good morning. My name is Dina Ghazarian, and I am the 621 founder and CEO of Austere, a tech accessories company. I 622 have more than 25 years of experience driving top consumer 623 technology and fashion brands. I have also served as the 624 Vice Chair of the executive board of the Consumer Technology 625 Association. 626 Let me tell you a little bit about my company. In 2018, 627 I began discussions with retailers and partners about 628 addressing a gap in the home theater marketplace. High-end, 629 beautifully designed HDMI cables, surge protectors, and cleaning products. I focused on finding contract 630 631 manufacturers that could meet my very stringent design and 632 quality requirements. These manufacturers largely exist in China given its extensive manufacturing and testing ecosystem 633 634 that has been developed over many years. 635 In 2019 at CES at the annual technology show in Las Vegas, I locked up all of my suppliers, capital, and partners 636

637 and launched our brand Austere. Little did I know that massive U.S. tariffs would -- on my products were around the 638 639 In 2018, the Trump administration imposed a 10 640 percent tariff on 200 billion in imports from China 641 encompassing all of my products. My company's scarce capital 642 had to be reallocated quickly to cover these new expenses. 643 The next year, the tariff rate increased to 25 percent, 644 placing even more pressure on my very young company. 645 To be clear, I'm the one who paid the tariffs. China 646 did not. I had to absorb these costs of the tariffs to avoid 647 pricing my products out of the competitive accessories 648 landscape. To mitigate the supply chain disruption, I sought 649 new suppliers outside of China, but shifting supply chains is 650 not costless and definitely does not happen overnight. much effort and resources, I found new manufacturers in other 651 652 countries to meet my design and quality requirements. I was 653 not able to abandon China entirely, but I have shifted 70 percent of my manufacturing to other countries. 654 655 Our challenges continued with the onset of the COVID-19 656 pandemic. The United States Congress and Trump administration helpfully offered the Paycheck Protection 657

658 Program and I took advantage of it. For my company, the PPP 659 loan served to offset my tariff payments. It would have been 660 more efficient to repeal the tariffs entirely, which would 661 have lessened the need for the companies to seek government 662 resources like mine to stay alive. 663 As the U.S. economy rebounded from COVID and consumers 664 increased their demand for technology products for their 665 homes, shipping costs jumped to historic levels and delivery 666 times increased due to backlogs at many of the ports. 667 cost to ship products from Asia to the United States became 668 prohibitively expensive. 669 To get my products delivered to the market on time, I pivoted yet again. I ship my products to the lower coast --670 671 lower cost Port of Savannah. This pivot helped stabilize my cost, keep Austere competitive, and maintain our foothold in 672 the United States market. I did not seek these challenges 673 674 but confronted them as a founder and leader. These experiences taught me valuable lessons and equipped me to 675 676 build efficient and resilient supply chain programs while 677 keeping costs low. Aside from the PPP program, I had no support from the 678

679 government to help me navigate Austere's challenges. United States government offered no sympathy to startups who 680 were harmed by the Section 301 tariffs. Our only avenue of 681 682 relief was the arcane, nontransparent, and highly uncertain product exclusion process run by the USTR. We did apply for 683 684 exclusions but never received any feedback from the USTR 685 after it denied our exclusion requests, leaving us feeling 686 like adversaries rather than the United States headquartered 687 company employing Americans and innovating new products here 688 in the United States. 689 Considering these experiences, I offer the following 690 recommendations. First, the United States Department of 691 Commerce, USTR, and other agencies should express empathy for 692 startups that face supply chain challenges and treat us as partners and offer tangible support. Money alone is not 693 always the solution and often active listening and hands on 694 695 guidance make an even stronger impact. 696 Second, Congress should exert its strong jurisdiction 697 over trade policy. The Section 301 tariffs remain a burden 698 on U.S. companies. Congress should urge the administration 699 to end these ineffective and harmful tariffs which make our

```
700
     supply chain less resilient.
701
          Third, Congress can call on the administration to
702
     reorient its trade policy to negotiate comprehensive binding
703
     and enforceable free trade agreements with U.S. allies and
704
     other key trading partners.
705
          And fourth, ocean shipping reform is also critical.
706
     Last year Congress passed and President Biden signed the
707
     bipartisan Ocean Shipping Reform Act to stop predatory and
708
     harmful practices by foreign ocean shippers. Now Congress
709
     should pass the Ocean Shipping Reform 2.0 Act and strip the
710
     ocean shippers' outdated antitrust exemptions to promote more
711
     competition.
712
          Finally, Congress should quickly move forward with a new
713
     customs modernization bill focusing on facilitating trade and
714
     reducing trade costs.
          I appreciate the opportunity to testify and I eagerly
715
716
     await your questions.
717
          [The prepared statement of Ms. Ghazarian follows:]
718
     719
720
```

721	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you so very much.
722	Our final witness is Chris Griswold, Policy Director at
723	American Compass. You are recognized, sir, for five minutes.
724	Appreciate it.
725	

726 STATEMENT OF CHRIS GRISWOLD 727 728 *Mr. Griswold. Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Schakowsky, 729 thank you so much. Members, thank you for this hearing. 730 My name is Chris Griswold. I was previously a Senior 731 Policy Adviser to then Chairman Marco Rubio of the Senate 732 Small Business Committee, and I am now the Policy Director at 733 American Compass, a conservative economic policy think tank 734 dedicated to restoring an economic consensus that emphasizes the importance of family, community, and industry to the 735 736 Nation's liberty and prosperity. My testimony today concerns 737 the last of those points, industry. 738 The old Washington economic consensus that drove the 739 industrialization whereby policymakers looked on and sometimes even applauded as production was offshored is now 740 741 under intense and justified scrutiny. Many policymakers, 742 including the members of this subcommittee, have come to understand the importance of a new approach, but it is hard 743 744 to chart a new course without first getting one's bearings. 745 That is my basic message to the subcommittee. 746 On the way to answering the question what should we do

747 to strengthen supply chains, policymakers must also grapple with the questions, where are we now, in fact, and how did we 748 749 The most recent serious federal effort to map the 750 state of American supply chains began in February 2021, and when the administration began its 100-day supply chain 751 752 review, which was followed by longer cabinet-level supply 753 chain reviews across key sectors. This represented a commendable and useful step, but it also offers two key 754 755 lessons for doing good supply chain analysis at the federal 756 level. The first lesson is that definitional murkiness can 757 allow politics to hijack the exercise. In the 758 administration's effort, different reports used the term 759 760 supply chain resiliency differently invoking the term without consistent -- consistently defining it. Thus, in some cases, 761 762 it ends up meaning my preferred good job strategy, or my 763 preferred environmental policy agenda, or my preferred 764 approach to international relations. And we learned that we 765 need a clear and sharp definition to prevent other political 766 priorities from skewing the exercise, otherwise the term supply chain resilience risks becoming just another term we 767

768 use to wage partisan battles over other priorities. 769 The second lesson is that not being honest about how we 770 got here will keep our diagnosis limited and our solutions 771 too narrow. What most differentiates the quality of each of 772 the departmental reports in the administration's exercise 773 last year is the degree to which they honestly confront the 774 economic history behind our current supply chain fragility. The Department of Defense's report I think offers the best, 775 776 most commendable example of how to do this right. 777 The report highlights the decline in private sector capital investment that hollowed out the American defense 778 779 industrial base and defense supply chains. It points to 780 international competition, for example, China's lower 781 production costs which made importing materials more 782 profitable than producing them domestically and which reduced the likelihood of U.S. private capital investment. Any 783 784 constructive diagnostic effort will require a similarly hard 785 look. 786 Such an analysis will need to be honest about the fact 787 that over the last 40 years the United States has suffered from declining domestic investment and a pattern of 788

789 offshoring production. The United States thus came to depend on foreign nations, even adversaries like China, which has 790 791 repeatedly proven willing to weaponize economic 792 interdependence for our critical goods and inputs. 793 market trends were permitted and encouraged by policy, 794 especially the liberalization of foreign trade culminating 795 with China's entry into the WTO and the liberalization of tax 796 and regulatory policy in the domestics sphere, which while 797 arguably promoting economic dynamism for a time, ultimately 798 has discouraged long-term domestic investment. 799 Strengthening supply chain resiliency will require us to 800 give the private sector a different and better policy 801 environment that offers enhanced sharing -- information 802 sharing on threats and best practices, in due course, appropriate and adequate support for critical industries, and 803 804 quardrails against acting contrary to American interests. A 805 standing capacity to monitor and map the state of American 806 supply chains is a good place to start. 807 The bill before the committee rightly offers a sharp 808 definition of supply chain resilience, the ability of the 809 United States to sustain critical industry and emerging

```
810
      technology production by recognizing that some industries are
811
      in fact more critical than others for American prosperity and
812
      security. The bill expresses the emerging conservative
813
      consensus that policymakers making active judgments about
814
     what kind of economic activity promotes the national interest
815
      does not violate free market principles. In fact, defending
816
      the free market requires policymakers to make such judgments.
817
          And finally, by requiring the proposed program to report
818
      to Congress an assessment of policies, rules, and regulations
      that impact the ability for domestic manufacturing to
819
820
      compete, the bill expresses an understanding that a wide
821
      range of policy decisions drove this problem and that a wide
822
      range of policy changes are needed to get us out.
823
           I urge this subcommittee to continue its very important
      discussions and negotiations, and I thank you very much for
824
825
      your attention.
826
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Griswold follows:]
827
      *********************************
828
829
```

830 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much. I thank all the 831 presenters today. 832 I will begin the questioning and recognize myself for 833 five minutes. I will start with Mrs. Ghazarian. You have a 834 beautiful American story, by the way. 835 *Ms. Ghazarian. Thank you. 836 *Mr. Bilirakis. I read your testimony. I understand 837 you are Lebanese? 838 *Ms. Ghazarian. I am Lebanese. 839 *Mr. Bilirakis. You are Lebanese descent? *Ms. Ghazarian. Yes. 840 841 *Mr. Bilirakis. Married to an Armenian? *Ms. Ghazarian. I am. 842 843 *Mr. Bilirakis. Yeah, that is wonderful. And have raised children with special needs? 844 *Ms. Ghazarian. I did. My youngest has special needs. 845 846 *Mr. Bilirakis. What a wonderful role model you are. 847 *Ms. Ghazarian. Oh, well thank you. *Mr. Bilirakis. It is true. I am sure there is a lot 848 849 we can learn from you beyond supply chain, of course, but we will stick to the subject matter today. Can you tell us a 850

851 bit about what it means to be an entrepreneur in this country 852 and what we can learn about how business can benefit from the government as a resource of information, and I know you --853 854 maybe you can expand upon that, as opposed to picking winners 855 and losers in the economy? 856 *Ms. Ghazarian. Yes. Thank you for the question. So I 857 am lucky, I come from a family of entrepreneurs and just 858 about everywhere I have worked there has been some sort of 859 spirit of entrepreneurship that I have been able to learn 860 So when I took my first step to actually open up my 861 own business and create something, the excitement that was 862 there really kind of drove me to make something and make 863 something important that I can show my family and show really 864 our industry what could be done with a lot of focus and 865 effort. 866 The -- a lot of my partnerships and networking is what 867 really allowed me to learn from what to do and where to go. So the private sector and that participation definitely drove 868 869 us into how we set up our business model. 25 years of 870 experience in the consumer technology space allowed us to be 871 able to learn and utilize those relationships. A good

872 portion of them, especially when it came to manufacturing 873 being developed in the ecosystem of China, that ecosystem 874 that was in existence, I had been working with them for 875 years. So when it came time to start our new business and to use quality manufacturing of people who would meet standards, 876 877 that would meet my level of product, was absolutely who we wanted to partner with to build the business. 878 879 I would say what was interesting about being a first-880 time entrepreneur is starting at what was I think a very easy time to get into the business because of the network that 881 882 existed and the ecosystem that existed, and then in the first 883 three years of being in a business having all of that turned 884 upside -- you know, turned upside down on its head to learn 885 There was a lot of places we tried to go to be able to be as nimble and fast as we could to stay alive. 886 887 I would say a lot of our effort within the supply chain 888 sec -- on the private sector side through our third-party logistics provider that gave us information such as you can 889 890 open up duty-free facilities to be able to hold on paying 891 tariffs right away so you can extend your cashflow, leveraging -- because the majority of my business is on the 892

893 East Coast, leveraging East Cost facility, the East Cost Port 894 of Savannah to be able to bring goods in and have a quick 895 turnaround time versus working through the behemoth at the 896 West Coast, and in my neck of the woods in Los Angeles. Those -- that information and those efforts is what kept 897 898 us alive and nimble during probably one of the most trying 899 times ever to be an entrepreneur. 900 *Mr. Bilirakis. Absolutely. Thank you very much. 901 *Ms. Ghazarian. You're welcome. 902 *Mr. Bilirakis. You know, I would like to talk to you 903 personally, but I want to get to these questions. *Ms. Ghazarian. You got it. 904 *Mr. Bilirakis. But very informative. 905 906 Mr. Slaughter, I know you work for a former alumni of this committee and Senator Markey. You are an expert in 907 908 blockchain technology I understand, including cryptocurrency. 909 I hope you agree that the currency discussion is just one aspect of this generation technology. Can you translate for 910 911 us how blockchain and distributed ledger technologies can be 912 applied to our topics today, please?

*Mr. Slaughter. Absolutely, and I will absolutely try

913

914 to do that. This is a very opaque topic I know. The way to 915 think about distributed ledger technologies is that they are 916 fundamentally information networks that allow for many 917 different people collectively to access information in a 918 decentralized fashion but in a trusted fashion. 919 The great example I give is many of the members on this 920 committee I am sure are familiar with dear colleague letters. 921 Part of the problem with dear colleague letters, as you all 922 know, is as you are circulating for signatures, you have to 923 have your own office control access to the letter itself, 924 otherwise edits get made without your knowledge, parts are 925 deleted, it is chaos. 926 We have found that traditionally in centralized settings 927 you can have things be either decentralized where many people have access, you can have things easily change quickly, or 928 you can have things that are trusted, but you can't have all 929 930 three. Blockchains allow for all three. You can have many different people accessing a network, you can have a 931 932 consensus mechanism confirming authenticity and voracity, and 933 you can have changes done frequently and quickly. That is the basic theory behind distributed ledger technology and 934

935 blockchains of which crypto is also a part. *Mr. Bilirakis. I wish we had more time. Yeah, I am 936 937 going to have to -- my time has expired. I will submit the 938 questions for the record. 939 But I will recognize now the ranking member of the 940 committee, Ms. Schakowsky, for her five minutes of 941 questioning. 942 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. I have a series of 943 questions for Mr. Paul, and they deal really with the issues 944 of workforce, and I wanted to talk to you on -- don't you 945 think that considering the workforce is very important in 946 supply chain resiliency? 947 *Mr. Paul. Thank you for the question, Ms. Schakowsky. 948 I think considering the interest and the needs of the workforce and hearing their voices is essential. Any small 949 and midsize manufacturer will tell you that their most 950 951 valuable asset is their workforce, and the knowledge that 952 that has, and the intangible benefits of that are 953 extraordinary. 954 Second, we know from our supply chain disruption experiences that considering the work -- the voices of 955

956 workers is critically important. During COVID when it spread through meatpacking facilities, which was not -- again, not a 957 958 workforce-driven but a company-driven exercise, it caused a 959 lot of damage to supply chains and to health. And so 960 considering the voices of those workers is going to make it 961 more successful that way. 962 *Ms. Schakowsky. And should the Department of Commerce 963 consult with organized labor, with workers as we go forward 964 to map and monitor the supply chain? 965 *Mr. Paul. Yeah, I would -- I will just say in my 966 experience that having the voice of unions is essential. 967 mean, you may have political disagreements with unions but 968 having the voice is essential. We operate as a labor 969 business partnership. We have big steel companies, big other 970 manufacturing companies and the United Steelworkers sitting at the same table solving problems, and we are able to do 971 972 that far more effectively than when you are leaving one of 973 the key stakeholders out. 974 *Ms. Schakowsky. I mean, I think if we talk about 975 inclusion of all of the stakeholders that we need to talk 976 about labor as well.

977 I wanted to ask you, has the gig economy always been a -- have a positive effect on workers? 978 *Mr. Paul. I will say that is a very good question. 979 Ιt 980 is still a emerging economy. I am happy to say that in 981 manufacturing, where most workers are permanent employees and 982 -- rather than contractors, that it is not a big of an issue. 983 But I will also say that in my experience, we know of gig 984 work that pays subminimum wage, if you cost it out per hours 985 worked, and I think that needs to be -- that needs to be a 986 big consideration. 987 It can have some benefits, like flexibility and entrepreneurship, but it can also have some serious concerns 988 989 with respect to wages, with respect to benefits, and it can 990 put downward pressure then on the incomes that you might find in certain communities, and I think that aspect of it needs 991 992 to be studied very, very carefully. 993 *Ms. Schakowsky. I appreciate that so much. Should the effect on these gig workers be considered when we talk about 994 995 any federal study? 996 *Mr. Paul. Yes. Again, I think that is important. One of the other aspects of this, Ms. Schakowsky, is within the 997

998 gig economy, those workers aren't particularly have a unified 999 voice, and so I think understanding and having that perspective is going to be vital, both in terms of are they 1000 1001 being properly classified, are they earning what is the equivalent of a minimum wage, a living wage, or even a 1002 1003 thriving wage, what is the relative level of security when it 1004 comes to healthcare, or housing, or childcare compared to 1005 workers in other sectors. I think having that understanding 1006 is going to be essential to having a full picture of the gig 1007 economy. 1008 *Ms. Schakowsky. Again, asking about the gig economy, 1009 has there been job displacement because of the experience of 1010 gig workers? *Mr. Paul. There undoubtedly has. You look at modes of 1011 transportation and mobility and how that has changed, and 1012 that certainly disrupted that industry. You have seen it in 1013 1014 hospitality as well. 1015 And so I think understanding the macro impacts of that 1016 is going to be important, not only looking at some of the 1017 advantages of gig work when people want flexible schedules or what have you, but I think understanding the impact that it 1018

1019 is having on specific sectors is going to be vital for 1020 policymakers to understand to make policy choices. *Ms. Schakowsky. Well, I really appreciate this 1021 1022 testimony. We want to make sure that all of the players are 1023 included in the analysis of supply chain issues. Thank you. 1024 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back, and I will now recognize the chairperson of the full committee, Mrs. 1025 1026 Rodgers, for her five minutes of questioning. 1027 *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Griswold, thank you for your testimony. I am 1028 1029 summarizing a bit here from your written statement, but you 1030 essentially said, just like infrastructure, supply chain 1031 resiliency has become a buzz word and that it risks losing its meaning to justify other policy goals. And I think it 1032 1033 perfectly explains how a sensible consensus proposal last 1034 Congress turned into a multi-billion-dollar handout. 1035 As you referenced the all of -- all or nothing approach, can you explain why it is dangerous to massively spend on 1036 1037 varied objectives without first even examining the problems 1038 that we are trying to fix? *Mr. Griswold. Thank you very much for the question. 1039

1040 think in my mind it is a sequencing question. That, yes, of 1041 course, landscape awareness must come first, both chronologically and logically. You have to know the problem 1042 1043 that you are dealing with before you can adequately address 1044 it with other policy measures. So I would absolutely agree, 1045 I think with what I take to be the spirit of your question which is that clear and accurate mapping and monitoring is, 1046 1047 of course, the right place to start. 1048 My own organization has endorsed ideas for appropriate ways to support critical industry. A national development 1049 1050 bank, for example, might be a good idea down the road. 1051 know that when it comes time, for example, for American entrepreneurs and innovators to commercialize their products 1052 at scale, they often have to leave the United States due to 1053 1054 financing concerns and other economic pressures. 1055 So in -- as a general matter, yes, in my view, there is 1056 a place for adequate and appropriate support, but that needs to follow awareness of the problems that that support can be 1057 1058 wisely directed, in my view. 1059 *The Chair. Thank you. Mrs. Ghazarian, I thank you for being here sharing your 1060

1061 insights and your impressive life story. Your candor on your work with supply chains in China is very helpful to this 1062 1063 committee. And while we have many concerns about how China 1064 can manipulate our children through apps like TikTok or how the CCP is moving full steam ahead to beat America in the 1065 1066 deployment of emerging technologies, we know that there is 1067 numerous sectors that remain linked to this region. 1068 Understanding a business rationale for sourcing from 1069 China can be important in America's fostering greater chances to onshore or friendshore our supply chains and 1070 1071 manufacturing. Would you walk the committee through your 1072 thought process on this and how we can foster more opportunities for businesses, and what are the obstacles you 1073 1074 face to do more business here in the U.S.? *Ms. Ghazarian. You got a great question. So I am an 1075 1076 American company, and as an American company, if I could 1077 bring my business back here within reason that would actually allow me to make my quality products at the safety levels 1078 1079 that I need to provide for the consumers, I would absolutely 1080 do it. I would say it has been a very interesting learning 1081

1082 experience over the last three years to understand how to 1083 remove yourself from a country that is very established when it comes to manufacturing and to shift. A lot of the 1084 1085 development work that we could do immediately was in other 1086 Asian countries because the network was set up honestly as a 1087 sub-network I think to China originally, so it allowed us the ability to shift and move to Taiwan for certain products and 1088 1089 Vietnam more recently now for others. 1090 We have done a lot of homework in regards to Mexico. Mexico would be the ideal place to immediately bring 1091 1092 production for me. Even more so, because of the fact it is 1093 quick, and it is simple, and from a competitive standpoint, it would allow me to bring goods into the country one to two 1094 1095 weeks after done -- being produced versus four to six weeks 1096 when it comes to shipping in containers and bringing it here. 1097 So the problem with Mexico right now is as much as there 1098 is an establishment to be able to build the goods as long as you can put them together, you still need the parts from all 1099 1100 over the world in order to be able to build my type of 1101 products. So there is still the effort of trying to work through production and bringing the products into Mexico to 1102

1103 be able to do that versus leveraging resources in the country 1104 itself. So if there is a way to partner with our trade partnering companies -- or countries like Mexico to get those 1105 1106 resources and help build a quicker supply chain, I guarantee 1107 you most small businesses like mine would shift immediately 1108 because of the competitive landscape as well as keeping costs 1109 down. *The Chair. Thank you. Would you just speak 1110 specifically about the obstacles to actually doing more 1111 business here in the U.S.? 1112 1113 *Ms. Ghazarian. Absolutely. So the cost to actually 1114 leverage labor resources here in the United States is astronomical. I will give you a great example. I make a 1115 1116 fantastic cleaning product. That cleaning product has a lot 1117 of value that allows us to be at a more competitive price 1118 point with my competition. If I were to actually figure out, 1119 and I tried multiple times, to source that product here, to have it be a Made in the USA product, it would double my 1120 1121 costs which in turn would double my retail and would actually take me out of the market completely for that product. 1122 1123 *The Chair. Thank you.

1124 *Ms. Ghazarian. It is my number one selling SKU so --1125 *The Chair. Thank you. 1126 *Ms. Ghazarian. 1127 *The Chair. Thank you for being here. *Ms. Ghazarian. Yes. 1128 1129 *The Chair. Thank you all. 1130 *Ms. Ghazarian. Thank you. 1131 *The Chair. I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Chairperson yields back. Now we will 1132 recognize the ranking member for his questions, Mr. Pallone, 1133 1134 for five minutes. 1135 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis. National crisis demands strong leadership and leadership 1136 1137 capable of meeting the moment, overcoming bureaucratic 1138 impediments, and forming and maintaining lasting 1139 partnerships. During the pandemic, in the face of one of the 1140 Nation's worst supply chain crisis, there was no dedicated federal entity responsible for leading a government-wide 1141 1142 response to supply chain shocks. The Biden administration 1143 had to create supply chain leadership on the fly, designating John Porcari to serve as its point person on port 1144

1145 disruptions, establishing supply chain task forces, and 1146 directing agencies with competing missions and limited 1147 resources to identify and address supply chain 1148 vulnerabilities. 1149 But we have to move away from crisis triage and 1150 transition to a more effective crisis prevention and management approach to supply chain vulnerabilities. 1151 1152 that is why I am a strong supporter of the Supply Chains Act 1153 legislation endorsed by over 160 stakeholders including manufacturers, innovators, workers, consumer groups, and 1154 1155 local governments. This vital legislation recognizes the 1156 importance of a dedicated office and strong leadership at the 1157 Department of Commerce to spearhead a whole of government 1158 approach for preparing for and responding to supply chain 1159 shocks. 1160 So let me go to Mr. Paul. The supply chain discussion draft on today's hearing does not create an office helmed by 1161 an assistant secretary. Do you believe that identifying a 1162 1163 dedicated office and assistant secretary to lead the Department of Commerce's supply chain work is important? 1164 1165 *Mr. Paul. Thank you for the question, Mr. Pallone. Ι

1166 do think it would be incredibly valuable to have an office 1167 and a dedicated assistant secretary. The Commerce Department, as you well know, is large, expansive, has many 1168 1169 agencies working on many things, and it responds to crises. It was able to do that somewhat obviously during the last 1170 1171 supply chain crisis. But having a permanent office there, both indicates a level of importance that policymakers are 1172 1173 attaching to supply chain resiliency because of the economic costs of not doing so, number one. 1174 Number two, it also speaks volumes to manufacturers in 1175 1176 the United States, and I think this is important. Over the 1177 years I have been doing this work, one of the most frequent complaints I have heard from small and midsize manufacturers 1178 1179 when it comes to interacting with the government, and many have a distrust because of the nature of their enterprises, 1180 1181 is that when they want something, they do not know where to 1182 go. They do not know where to go. 1183 And so having a place to go, both for voluntary 1184 standards and to understand what best practices are, I think would be incredibly helpful and would help to bridge beyond 1185 1186 this effort from crisis to crisis to make it a permanent

1187 fixture. And by the way, it would not be an outlier among 1188 our competitors, we would be just getting up to speed with what many of our competitors are doing right now. 1189 1190 *Mr. Pallone. And so, Mr. Paul, as you say, many of our 1191 economic competitors have agencies and offices dedicated to 1192 supporting their manufacturing sector. I mean, do you think we are operating at a competitive disadvantage without a 1193 1194 dedicated supply chain office at the Department of Commerce? 1195 *Mr. Paul. I think that we are operating with blinders 1196 I do. And I also think that we are undercapitalizing 1197 our manufacturing because we don't fully understand what some 1198 of the opportunities, what some of the vulnerabilities are. And particularly for Tier 3, Tier 4 suppliers where they 1199 1200 could -- where they could potentially input into original equipment manufacturers. Germany, Japan. Again, there is 1201 1202 things that we wouldn't want to replicate in those countries, 1203 but I think they have been able to punch above their weight from a manufacturing perspective, in part because they have 1204 1205 been able to capitalize small and midsize manufacturers and 1206 they have paid attention to that. 1207 And that is something that, from time to time in our

1208 country's past, we have done and that we need to restore that 1209 outlook rather than thinking that the invisible hand of the free market is somehow what is causing all -- what is causing 1210 1211 an all determining of that. We should know that the actions 1212 of the Chinese Communist Party and deliberate policy choices 1213 matter far much more than any of those theories that have 1214 been put forward. 1215 *Mr. Pallone. Just quickly, Mr. Griswold, the Supply Chains Act commissions the new office to establish and update 1216 a government-wide strategy to counter threats to critical 1217 1218 supply chains. Do you think such a strategy would be 1219 valuable? 20 seconds. 1220 *Mr. Griswold. As a general matter, yes, I think the more the Federal Government can do to offer a coherent 1221 1222 economic diagnosis and a coherent economic plan to remedy 1223 that diagnosis, yes. I will remain tactfully agnostic as to 1224 the specific form that should take in the context of this 1225 hearing, but as a general matter, yes, of course. 1226 *Mr. Pallone. All right, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1227 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now I will 1228

1229 recognize Dr. Bucshon for his five minutes of questioning. 1230 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis, Chairwoman Rodgers for calling today's hearing. 1231 1232 I will comment a little bit on that issue, with all due 1233 respect, to the approach from my colleagues on the other side 1234 of aisle expanding an already bloated Federal Government will not address the supply chain issue, in my view. I suspect we 1235 1236 already have dozens, literally dozens of federal agencies 1237 that can address this without adding another political 1238 appointee at the Department of Commerce. 1239 That said, we need to address it. The last few years 1240 have shown how supply chain shocks in sectors such as 1241 semiconductors and pharmaceuticals are having real impacts on 1242 the lives and livelihoods of people that I represent. 1243 order to prepare for future shocks, policymakers and American 1244 businesses need to have reliable information on where our 1245 supply chain vulnerabilities are today, where they will be in 1246 the future, and what meaningful steps we can do to take to 1247 address them. 1248 I am proud to lead the draft legislation and work with Ms. Blunt Rochester that will establish a pair of programs 1249

1250 within the Department of Commerce without adding another 1251 political appointee, one program to promote resilient supply 1252 chains and respond to supply shocks and sectors relying on 1253 emerging technologies, and the other to promote the use of 1254 blockchain technologies and enhance public awareness of their 1255 uses. 1256 I will have to admit I don't understand blockchain. 1257 Does anybody? I don't know. Another -- that was a joke. 1258 Another key component of the bill is the establishment of a coordinated group made of private industry and federal 1259 1260 agencies to identify and address supply chain 1261 vulnerabilities, gathering voluntary data from the private 1262 sector and sharing best practices on avoiding supply chain 1263 shocks. So, Mr. Griswold, in your testimony you mentioned that 1264 1265 political priorities can skew policymakers' decisions when it 1266 comes to supporting and guiding our economy to avoiding supply chain shocks. Do you think that the coordination 1267 1268 group established in the draft legislation would help guide 1269 policymakers away from such politically-driven decisions since we are combining the private sector as well as the 1270

- 1271 public sector? Just a general impression.
- 1272 *Mr. Griswold. Yeah, my general impression would be
- 1273 that the wider a net that this effort can cast, the better
- 1274 off it will be. The diversity of perspectives I think will -
- 1275 can only be constructive.
- 1276 *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah, thank you.
- 1277 Mr. Slaughter, as this committee continues to work
- 1278 towards secure supply chains, I think industry stakeholders
- 1279 need to be confident that the information they submit to the
- 1280 Department of Commerce to monitor supply chains is secure.
- 1281 As a former staff member at agencies that handled extremely
- 1282 sensitive business information, do you believe current data
- 1283 protection protocols in place at the Department of Commerce
- 1284 are sufficient to ensure the protection of this extremely
- 1285 sensitive data?
- 1286 *Mr. Slaughter. That is a great question. I would say
- 1287 that in general you can always have more data protection.
- 1288 The problem of data fundamentally is that it is much easier
- 1289 to attack and hack it under traditional means than it is to
- 1290 defend it. This is a consistent rule.
- 1291 *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah.

1292 *Mr. Slaughter. I remember once being told if cybersecurity was a risk -- you know, if it was a soccer 1293 1294 game, it would be 270 to 269. That is part of the appeal of 1295 blockchains is because you have cryptography underlying it. *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah. 1296 1297 *Mr. Slaughter. You can have additional protections in 1298 place for them. 1299 *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah, great. Are there -- and again 1300 following up, are there additional data security measures that could be applied to data that industry stakeholders 1301 1302 submit to ensure they feel confident that their information 1303 will stay secure? And you already implied blockchain is one 1304 of the things, right? 1305 *Mr. Slaughter. That is one and there is also 1306 additional measures. The biggest issue, of course, for the 1307 government is always keeping up to speed with nascent 1308 technology, and it is understandable. Things move, the technology, the speed of Moore's Law, every 18 months you 1309 1310 have a significant change in technological power. It is hard for the government to keep up. People are busy. 1311 That is part of the appeal of having people focused in the government 1312

1313 on nascent technology. 1314 *Mr. Bucshon. Yeah. And again as a follow up, and you may -- you have talked about this already, I think, but what 1315 1316 are some of the specific uses of blockchain and other 1317 distributed ledger technologies that could help American 1318 producers resist supply chain shocks and become generally 1319 more resilient? 1320 *Mr. Slaughter. That is a great question. A lot of what you can see, is already happening is individual 1321 companies putting chips, putting, you know, information in a 1322 1323 handbag, in a bottle of wine or whiskey. You then track the 1324 authenticity of that via the blockchain. 1325 You can also go across the entire supply chain and at every step of the way people have to confirm the step in 1326 place and then that information is locked in on the 1327 1328 blockchain so you could see how everything happened across 1329 the spectrum from the beginning of an item being sourced in Africa and China all the way through to the purchase, and 1330 1331 even resale of that item subsequently. 1332 Additional information means additional analytics and 1333 additional ways to track the status and antifragility of the

- 1334 supply chain.
- 1335 *Mr. Bucshon. Is this, quickly, sort of an AI part of
- 1336 this?
- 1337 *Mr. Slaughter. There is a role for AI. There is often
- 1338 a lot of connections between AI quantum computing and
- 1339 blockchain, the OSTP, and the White House, all three are
- 1340 significant areas for American research.
- 1341 *Mr. Bucshon. Okay, thank you. I yield back.
- 1342 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlemen yields back.
- 1343 Now I will recognize Ms. Castor, my fellow Floridian, for her
- 1344 five minutes of questioning.
- 1345 *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Chairman Bilirakis. This
- 1346 is an important hearing. And thank you to our witnesses for
- 1347 being here.
- 1348 It is an exciting time, too, because it was really just
- 1349 last year that these very important investments came to
- 1350 fruition when the Democrats passed the CHIPS and Science Act,
- 1351 the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, the Inflation Reduction
- 1352 Act, and all of these together are really boosting America's
- 1353 competitiveness that is helping to grow the middle class to
- 1354 counter China as well.

The CHIPS and Science Act alone, I noted I just got a 1355 1356 report, in the one year since the CHIPS Act was signed into law, companies have announced over 166 billion dollars in 1357 1358 American manufacturing and semiconductors and electronics and 1359 at least 50 community colleges in 19 states have announced 1360 new or expanded initiatives to help American workers access good-paying jobs in the industry. In total since the 1361 1362 beginning of the Biden/Harris administration, companies have 1363 announced over 231 billion in commitments in semiconductor 1364 and electronics investments. 1365 And when I was home this summer in Saint Petersburg, I 1366 met with two companies, one is Plasma Therm, they do 1367 semiconductors and advanced wafer processing. Jabil does 1368 advanced manufacturing, and supply chains, and high tech. And they said they really are now shifting a lot of their 1369 1370 domestic manufacturing away from other international players 1371 like China, so that was good news. 1372 Then on the Infrastructure Law, the Port Tampa Bay is 1373 the largest port in Florida, it is critical to the movement of goods and supplies. And the Infrastructure Law now with a 1374 1375 12 million dollar grant is going to help them build another

1376 berth there so that they can unload -- load and unload 1377 another ship absolutely vital to delivering goods in -- for the entire State of Florida. 1378 1379 And then the Inflation Reduction Act, which is our 1380 historic climate law, the New York Times reported just one 1381 week ago that this climate law and other parts of President Biden's agenda -- economic agenda have helped speed the 1382 1383 development of automotive supply chains in the American 1384 Southwest, buttressing at traditional auto manufacturing centers in the industrial Midwest and Southeast. 1385 1386 which passed with only Democratic support, aided factory investment and conservative bastions like Tennessee and swing 1387 1388 states of Michigan and Nevada. 1389 The law also helped underwrite new investments in 1390 electric cars, home solar panels in Florida, Arizona, and 1391 California. It said that the numbers show that actual, not 1392 announced, business and consumer investment in clean energy technologies hit 213 billion in the second half of 2022 and 1393 1394 the first half of 2023. That was up from 155 billion the previous year and 81 billion in the first year of the data 1395 1396 under President Trump.

1397 So, Mr. Paul, are you surprised at the scope and breadth 1398 of these investments now and the reshoring of supply chains as a result of investing in America? 1399 1400 *Mr. Paul. It is a good question, and I would say yes 1401 and no. I would say I am surprised because there was a lot 1402 of skepticism about what could happen. I am not surprised though because it respond -- it is in response to kind of one 1403 1404 of those fundamental laws of economics that actors respond to 1405 incentives, and that is exactly what is happening here is 1406 that there is now an incentive to locate this type of 1407 production in the United States through a combination of 1408 offsetting capital costs through helping with workforce to 1409 having a procurement market and to having a balanced trade 1410 policy. 1411 And you have seen those dramatic shifts in 1412 semiconductors and microelectronics, you have seen it in many aspects of clean energy. The way in which this gets to the 1413 third and fourth tier suppliers that I think many folks are 1414 1415 concerned about with supply chains is to build on that through supply chain legislation to keep the infrastructure 1416 1417 investments that you are talking about because to have those

1418 supply chains move without bottlenecks you need that 1419 investment. So I am glad there was 17 billion for ports and waterways, for instance, some of which is helping Tampa Bay. 1420 1421 But we need to keep that momentum going. We have underinvested in our infrastructure and we have 1422 1423 undercapitalized manufacturing for three decades. And quite 1424 honestly, we are playing catch up now. We are getting in the 1425 game with the rest of the world and we need to keep the 1426 momentum. 1427 *Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. I yield back. 1428 *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, thank you. The gentlelady yields 1429 back. I now recognize the vice chairman of the committee, 1430 Mr. Walberg, from the great State of Michigan, for his five minutes of questioning. 1431 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to 1432 1433 the panel for being here. 1434 Being from the Auto State, and amid the ongoing UAW strike that we all are watching in the news, I am seriously 1435 1436 concerned about how reliant we have become on China for critical components of our fleet. That reliance has gotten 1437 1438 worse with the push for EVs and we have experienced it to the

1439 extreme in Michigan. 1440 Mr. Griswold, in the Biden administration supply chain review, some agencies acknowledged the increasing competition 1441 1442 with China, especially related to advanced batteries, yet there seems to be a lack of coordination and action at the 1443 1444 agencies to address the problem with many of their policies pushing us to be more reliant on China not less, and we have 1445 seen that even in Marshall, Michigan with Ford Motor Company 1446 1447 having to contract with a CCP-licensed company to provide the resources and the ability for an electric battery. How do we 1448 1449 address this growing reliance and improve our battery supply 1450 chain, and secondly, are we hindering the potential of a domestic supply chain in favor of a faster EV transition? 1451 1452 *Mr. Griswold. Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair, for the question. There is a few things I would say. The first you 1453 1454 noted, I think quite rightly, some lack of coordination 1455 between federal agencies, which is one of the reasons why a standing capacity to do this kind of supply chain monitoring 1456 1457 and mapping is so vital. And I recognize that there are 1458 ongoing discussions about whether that is a program or an office and so on, but having that standing capacity is 1459

1460 absolutely essential so that there is a mechanism for addressing that kind of discoordination. 1461 I think the 1462 structure of the federal government at the moment is not well suited to addressing the concern you raise. 1463 1464 On the question of whether we are privileging a faster 1465 EV transition over building our own domestic supply, I think you are absolutely right to point to that tradeoff and it is 1466 1467 one of the challenges I think that the current administration 1468 is facing. We heard just earlier from an earlier question, the IRA referred to as a climate bill rather than a 1469 1470 industrial strength bill, for example, and I think that 1471 speaks to the attention in the coalition on the other side of 1472 the aisle that is indeed a challenge. 1473 With respect to our ongoing and in some cases increasing dependence on China, one thing we haven't talked about yet in 1474 1475 this hearing is the ability of the U.S. government to simply say no when it needs to. One of the criticisms I would make 1476 of the current administration's executive orders, for 1477 1478 example, is that they are respecting investment in China and semiconductors and then other critical technologies is that 1479 they are too weak. And I think it is important and I am glad 1480

1481 there is an emerging conservative consensus on this question. It is important to simply say you may not invest in 1482 China when it comes to our critical industries and 1483 1484 technologies. That is a hard thing to do, but I think it is 1485 the right question to ask. 1486 *Mr. Walberg. It is also the right position for our 1487 industry to take as well. *Mr. Griswold. Yes, I think --1488 1489 *Mr. Walberg. To push back on the government deciding what we are going to do, what we are going to produce as 1490 1491 well. I would love to talk more about it, but I want to 1492 switch gears here. 1493 When we talk about the gig economy, I prefer to use the term independent workers. Our friends on the other side 1494 1495 continue to depreciate independent workers by suggesting they 1496 are coercively forced into low-paying jobs. In fact, we know 1497 that millions of Americans actively choose and want to work 1498 independently because it fits their lives and their 1499 priorities. 1500 Independent work has exploded in the last decade from 1501 direct sellers to truckers and freelancers. Working

1502 independently has now more than ever become a viable and 1503 sought after choice and I commend Representative Joyce on his 1504 legislation to examine this vital part of our economy. 1505 Mrs. Ghazarian and Mr. Griswold, digital platforms have -- and other vehicles for people to engage in independent 1506 1507 work kept the economy moving during COVID when many 1508 businesses were forced to close. What role do independent 1509 workers have in keeping our supply chains resilient and do we 1510 need to do more to identify their impact on our economy? *Ms. Ghazarian. Thank you for the question. I would be 1511 1512 happy to answer first. I currently at this time don't employ 1513 any independent workers, but I am fully supportive of understanding how a flexible workforce could benefit the 1514 1515 economy and in turn doing a study to be able to show that. do believe over time our business will need more and more of 1516 1517 a flexible workforce and those independent workers will be 1518 critical with that *Mr. Walberg. Thank you. Mr. Griswold? 1519 1520 *Mr. Griswold. I think I would say that workers in all employment structures are essential to strong and resilient 1521 American supply chains and that regardless of the form that 1522

1523 that takes, we are right to consider their role in the resilience of our supply chains. 1524 1525 *Mr. Walberg. You have hit the -- you hit the main 1526 point I wanted to hear your hit, that is for sure. And my time is expired, so I will respect that and yield back 1527 1528 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlemen yields back. Now I will recognize my friend, Mr. Soto, from the State of 1529 1530 Florida. 1531 *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Chairman, and what a great 1532 Floridian you are. 1533 Happy to be discussing a critical issue, supply chains, 1534 today. We learned during the pandemic, gosh, that a plan to 1535 import a bunch of goods from 10,000 plus miles away sometimes can hit snafus, right, and so as we are still working in a 1536 global economy, it is about making sure we have domestic 1537 1538 capacity for some of these essential goods even while we 1539 continue to engage in international trade. The Infrastructure Law, the CHIPS Act, and the IRA were 1540 1541 all parts of the President's vision and so many of us in 1542 Congress. We saw factory construction double over the last year, which is exciting. A key part of the supply chain is 1543

1544 making more essential products in the United States, those 1545 especially that are important for national security and high 1546 growth areas. It is all about capacity. We are also seeing 1547 near shoring and Central America and South America is a part 1548 of that, too. 1549 Mr. Paul, where are we at as a snapshot right now between what supply chains are improving and what needs 1550 1551 additional help and what would you recommend for that help? 1552 *Mr. Paul. Mr. Soto, that is an excellent question. And I want to preface the answer to this by saying that I 1553 1554 think having a supply chain strategy and additional 1555 legislative authority coming from the Congress is going to be essential to widening and deepening the understanding of all 1556 1557 of this because there is -- there are limited lines of sight into what is going on right now. And that is not only from a 1558 1559 federal government perspective, I think this varies widely by 1560 agency. For -- I think DoD has some understanding within its 1561 1562 space. I think that other agencies will acknowledge that they do not currently have the best line of sight in there. 1563 I think that is also true of some global companies. I think 1564

1565 that they have some line of sight into their own supply 1566 chains, and I think in other respects when it gets to the 1567 third and fourth tier, they often are not nearly as aware of 1568 what some of those bottlenecks, vulnerabilities, political 1569 risks could be to them. And so as we move ahead, it is going 1570 to be vital. What we do know is that the incentives that you cited in 1571 1572 the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, in the CHIPS Act, and the clean energy manufacturing provisions of the IRA have indeed 1573 1574 brought some new manufacturing construction to the United 1575 States, and with it some of the supply chain as well. 1576 And I think it is important to get this right. I think 1577 one of the things that is going to make that critical is to 1578 make sure that the administration continues with the 1579 requirements to access the loans, the grants, the procurement 1580 that it has to be made in America to the fullest extent of 1581 the law that you all passed. And there were Made in America 1582 requirements in the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, in CHIPS 1583 and Science, and in the clean energy manufacturing of the IRA. And so I think that will be helpful. 1584 I also think that we still have tremendous 1585

1586 vulnerabilities in some of our supply chains. And we know, 1587 for instance, if we want to rebuild our electrical grid, there is exactly one supplier of the type of grain oriented 1588 1589 electric steel --1590 *Mr. Soto. You must be talking to my local utilities. 1591 *Mr. Paul. [Laughter.] That can provide that in the 1592 United States, and so we need to find ways to help build up 1593 that capacity. 1594 *Mr. Soto. Sure. I am worried about the electrical grid and various different types of equipment utilized there. 1595 I have heard from both their local investor-owned utilities 1596 1597 and local municipal utilities about that. We tried to get 1598 that into the CHIPS Act, the House put it in, the Senate took 1599 it out, so we have more work to do. 1600 Mr. Slaughter, I first was able to pass appropriations 1601 language to encourage USDA to use blockchain technology for 1602 food traceability. Now it has become a huge part of USDA and FDA. Where do you see the top products and industries of 1603 1604 using blockchain for traceability and helping in the supply 1605 chain? 1606 *Mr. Slaughter. So we have seen a number obviously, and

in some ways it depends upon the degree to which the supply 1607 1608 chain itself is complicated and has a lot of players 1609 This is where of course for a mini entity 1610 blockchain, a permissionless blockchain, the tokens come in, because the token is what allows it to therefore -- the 1611 1612 crypto token confirm veracity. So in general, things that have many different points on the spoke, like 1613 1614 pharmaceuticals, like agriculture, these are examples of 1615 that. 1616 Also anything very complex. That is why I mentioned, of 1617 course, the BASECAMP project the U.S. Air Force is utilizing. 1618 *Mr. Soto. So when people hear token, they think of like a monetary item, but really we are talking about like a 1619 1620 tag or a barcode on a box but it is electronic in nature. 1621 Just for the --*Mr. Slaughter. That is the idea, right. There is many 1622 1623 different ranges of tokens. Most tokens on a network that is permissionless is available to everybody have some amount of 1624 1625 financial element, that is what allows them to therefore work 1626 under current permissionless systems, but there is a whole range of options here. This is a technology that applies 1627

1628 across the entire economy not off of any one area. 1629 *Mr. Soto. Perhaps we should call it digital labeling, 1630 maybe everybody will get that a little more, so just a 1631 thought. 1632 And I yield back. 1633 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now I recognize Dr. Dunn from the great State of Florida, in the 1634 1635 northern State of Florida. Florida is such a great, 1636 beautiful state. I know, I know, it is all over, but that is okay, that is part of my job, and it is all true. 1637 1638 *Mr. Dunn. The farther north you go in Florida, the 1639 farther south you get. 1640 *Mr. Bilirakis. [Laughter.] All right. I will recognize the doctor -- the good doctor for his five minutes 1641 1642 of questioning. 1643 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much, Chairman Bilirakis. 1644 Today the United States remains in a manufacturing dilemma. Critical industries are tied to nonmarket Chinese 1645 1646 Communist Party, and we may note the irony I think that a 1647 communist country has absorbed so many businesses around the

world and flipped the concept of globalism on its head. You

1648

know, it is easy to blame capitalism, profit motives, and 1649 1650 consumer welfare for offshoring, but I support bringing jobs back home, and I know you do, too. And I cannot say that I 1651 1652 am surprised that businesses have sought lower manufacturing 1653 costs in China. 1654 Ms. Ghazarian, great back story. I saw that you noted 1655 the strength of China's ecosystem as a primary motivator. 1656 Surely labor and manufacturing costs relative to other 1657 countries was also a consideration when you started Austere in China? 1658 1659 *Ms. Ghazarian. One thousand percent it was a 1660 consideration in the beginning. By shifting our 1661 manufacturing to Taiwan and Vietnam, we did take on a little bit higher costs than the actual cost of the product itself. 1662 But with the tariff dropping off completely, the difference 1663 1664 in balance between the two, it was still more cost effective 1665 for us to shift countries, so we did. 1666 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you for that. I understand your 1667 frustration by the way with the USTR's targeted tariffs. Ι 1668 worked with a lot of companies in my district to try to get the waivers on those. I think it is essential for us to get 1669

1670 our long-term resilience of U.S. supply chains in the U.S. or 1671 at least not dependent on China. 1672 Can you elaborate any recommendations that you have for 1673 the Department of Commerce that would incentivize onshoring or friend -- friendshoring of U.S. offshore investments? 1674 1675 *Ms. Ghazarian. I would say that shifting -- again, based on my experience and what I have done so far, there has 1676 been a limited amount of time where I have done research to 1677 see if we could bring goods back here to the United States. 1678 The cost of labor alone just completely takes it out of the 1679 1680 realm. So if there is any way to --1681 *Mr. Dunn. Hence the friendshoring references. 1682 *Ms. Ghazarian. Correct. I would also say, too, that a lot of the work that my product needs to be built, there is a 1683 lot of hands-on what I would call technology trade specific 1684 1685 learnings that would need to be taught, and if you were to 1686 try to go out and find a network of already trained individuals that know how to do that here in the United 1687 1688 States, that would not exist. I do believe there is a need to educate and train. I would have to take on that cost and 1689 1690 it would allow -- it would slow me down versus speeding me up

```
1691
      if I --
1692
            *Mr. Dunn.
                       No.
            *Ms. Ghazarian. -- were to bring that back.
1693
1694
            *Mr. Dunn. I agree with that. Thank you very much.
1695
           Mr. Paul, I agree with your assessment, we have become
1696
      too dependent on China as a single source of goods.
1697
      are 29 percent of global factory output costs for us to start
1698
      bringing these supply chains back to America and its allies.
1699
      A policy decision that I have been calling for loudly is
      renewal, a clean renewal of the general system of preferences
1700
1701
      on tariffs. You know, this is something that has passed the
1702
      House and the Senate without fail for 35 years, it is a very
1703
      bipartisan thing. The last time it was voted on in the House
1704
      it was like 400 to two.
1705
           And, you know, we are now three years late in
1706
      reauthorizing the GSP, but this provides incentives for many
1707
      manufacturers to relocate away from China and to countries
      that have less expensive manufacturing costs, and that is
1708
1709
      still a win for our national security and our economy. And
      many companies are bolstered by GSP benefits which offer
1710
1711
      tariff-free access to the United States to preferred nations.
```

1712 So I would say that renewal of the GSP is a major step 1713 towards moving supply chains out of China. I mentioned earlier China's cheap labor markets 1714 1715 attracted mass capital. However, the latest list of the 1716 cheapest manufacturing companies in the world, U.S. News and 1717 World, 12 of the top 20 are already on the list of general GSP nations. 1718 1719 In your testimony you mentioned the Federal Government needs a dedicated office to direct supply chain efforts 1720 towards resiliency. Currently we have CFIUS, which governs 1721 1722 foreign investments into the United States. I think it is 1723 worth considering that we have a similar program to monitor 1724 outbound investments into China. Can you address that? 1725 *Mr. Paul. Absolutely, and I -- in my testimony to the select committee, I -- on China, I also called for a thorough 1726 1727 review of outbound investment as well. 1728 Since you asked about a GSP, Mr. Dunn, I would say that 1729 I think that the -- I think that the national security goals 1730 of the program are essential. I do think the program could use with some reform, particularly the content laws that 1731 allows passthrough of goods. I think you should take a look 1732

- 1733 at that, and also graduating some of the products from it.
- 1734 But I do think that that along with TAA and some other
- 1735 programs is something that is overdue and would give us a leg
- 1736 up.
- 1737 *Mr. Dunn. My time is expired. I thank you for --
- 1738 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.
- 1739 *Mr. Dunn. -- your forbearance, Mr. Chairman. Take
- 1740 care.
- 1741 *Mr. Dunn. The gentle -- the doctor yields back. Now
- 1742 we will recognize Mrs. Trahan for her five minutes of
- 1743 questioning.
- 1744 *Mrs. Trahan. Well, Chairman Bilirakis and Ranking
- 1745 Member Schakowsky, thank you for calling this hearing.
- 1746 The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted supply chains across the
- 1747 country, it imperiled everyday American's access to many
- 1748 things but including baby formula, flour, cleaning products,
- 1749 and so many essential goods. It is essential that we take
- 1750 the lessons learned from the pandemic and develop a
- 1751 comprehensive national strategy to monitor and to assess the
- 1752 vulnerabilities in our Nation's supply chains.
- I am of the opinion that the biggest way that the

1754 pandemic disrupted our supply chains was its impact on the 1755 workers that actually -- who actually kept our economy running. Americans who had the flexibility to work remotely 1756 1757 did so to slow the spread and protect themselves from COVID and their families but millions of essential workers put 1758 1759 themselves at risk, and they showed up to work every day, and the supply chain crisis impacted their workplaces, too. Take 1760 1761 the nurses who wore trash bags instead of hospital gowns 1762 because of shortages of medical supplies. Mr. Paul, the COVID-19 pandemic upended the lives of 1763 1764 every American and every worker and because of that caused 1765 havoc in our supply chains. What valuable information can labor unions and workers provide to help ensure that we 1766 1767 identify and address supply chain vulnerabilities? Thank you very much for the question. 1768 *Mr. Paul. 1769 think that workers and entities that represent workers like 1770 labor unions are essential to making this construct work. I indicated in my testimony, you know, the most valuable 1771 1772 asset of manufacturers is the workforce, that is where the knowledge lies, that is where the skillset lies, and without 1773 1774 them there would be no production.

1775 The lessons we learned from COVID I think are also 1776 incredibly valuable. When worker voices weren't heard necessarily, we ended up with more problems in some cases, 1777 1778 either in terms of health outcomes or in terms of 1779 disruptions. And so I think ignoring that is to not get a 1780 360-degree view. And I will also say that in many cases manufacturers I 1781 1782 talk to, ideas in terms of finding new products, new markets, new workarounds, new supplies when there were bottlenecks 1783 also came from the shop floor, and that is just information 1784 1785 that you can't derive from anywhere else. So any enterprise 1786 with respect to supply chains to be successful needs to include a diversity of voices including that of the workers. 1787 1788 *Mrs. Trahan. Yeah, that seems to make perfect sense. 1789 There is no way to get a full assessment of what is happening 1790 with our economy during a crisis without talking to the people on the ground who are facing it every day. And if you 1791 look at some of the recent economic shocks in our country, it 1792 1793 is a consistent pattern of workers making sacrifices to keep our economy running just like those nurses wearing those 1794 1795 trash bags.

1796 We are in the midst of the first UAW strike of all three 1797 U.S. legacy automakers at the same time. Workers are looking for higher pay and amid record automaker profits after the 1798 1799 industry successful emerged from the pandemic-induced supply 1800 chain crisis. But in 2009 when the auto industry was being 1801 hammered by the Great Recession, UAW agreed to 11 billion 1802 dollars in labor cuts that helped keep GM afloat. 1803 Mr. Paul, when economic and supply chain crises happen, 1804 how have industry and labor worked together to adapt and to 1805 survive? 1806 *Mr. Paul. Thank you, that is a great question. And 1807 the -- certainly the auto rescue from 13 or 14 years ago is a 1808 good example of that about how there was some shared 1809 sacrifice in exchange for some public benefits to keep the heart of American manufacturing viable. We -- and we have 1810 1811 seen that also I will say in the steel sector and 1812 collaboration between workers and the companies to 1813 restructure the industry to make it competitive and to 1814 advance its goals. 1815 And so when we look broadly across future industries in the United States, that includes EVs, that obviously includes 1816

1817 semiconductors, ensuring that in exchange for that public 1818 benefit that there is some recognition that the work is valued and the workforce is valued ought to be part of best 1819 1820 practice and policy as well. 1821 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. And I will put my last 1822 question in but maybe a written response. I appreciate the 1823 insight. The sudden shortages of essential goods like baby 1824 1825 formula, cleaning products, and PPE showed that we need to rapidly respond to supply chain failures and immediately act 1826 1827 to remedy them. Mr. Griswold, your organization has called 1828 on Congress to establish a national development bank to 1829 finance projects vital to our Nation's economy and national 1830 security. This is similar to a supply chain financing provision in the America Competes Act. Could such financing 1831 1832 strengthen supply chains for critical goods? 1833 I realize I am out of time so we will have to put that one in the record, but thank you. 1834 1835 *Mr. Bilirakis. Very good. I -- the gentlelady yields 1836 back. Now I will recognize Mrs. Lesko from the great State of Arizona for her five minutes of questioning. Thank you. 1837

1838 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you all 1839 for being here to testify today. 1840 Ms. Ghazarian, you had talked about how you had had your 1841 goods manufactured in China but because of the tariffs I 1842 think I heard you say you moved to manufacturers in Taiwan 1843 and Vietnam. Is that accurate? 1844 *Ms. Ghazarian. That is correct. 1845 *Mrs. Lesko. Okay. I am trying to get a handle on if 1846 those companies are controlled by the Chinese government. I mean, is it a way for China to get around the tariffs or the 1847 1848 companies to get around the tariffs? 1849 *Ms. Ghazarian. So both of the manufacturing partners that we have shifted to other countries with are still rooted 1850 in the leadership of the original manufacturing partners I 1851 worked with in China, so their way was to shift into other 1852 1853 facilities in other countries to still be able to support us 1854 with the skill and quality that we needed for our product but to do so in other countries, yes. 1855 1856 *Mrs. Lesko. Yeah, thank you. Mr. Griswold, what specific dependencies does the U.S. 1857 1858 have on Chinese manufacturing and raw materials, and how can

1859 we reduce these dependencies? 1860 *Mr. Griswold. That's a very big and important question, and I would --1861 1862 *Mrs. Lesko. Yeah. 1863 *Mr. Griswold. -- love to meet with your office to talk 1864 about it at the length it deserves. You mentioned critical materials. I think the critical mineral supply chain I know 1865 1866 is very much of concern to Congress and to all of you and you are paying attention to it already, but I think it does merit 1867 mention even though we have started to extract and mine some 1868 1869 critical minerals here again in the United States, still not 1870 as much as we could, and even those that we do extract often 1871 have to be processed in China anyway, we are sending it there 1872 and having it come back. 1873 *Mrs. Lesko. Mm-hmm. 1874 *Mr. Griswold. That represents a massive vulnerability. 1875 The CCP has proven its willingness in the past to restrict or cut off the flow of critical minerals. They have done that 1876 1877 and are clearly willing to do it again, and that would represent a major national security and economic crisis 1878 1879 because as you know, these minerals are used in both military

1880 and civilian applications. So that is just I think one instance of the many, many vulnerabilities we have --1881 1882 *Mrs. Lesko. Right. *Mr. Griswold. -- and dependencies we have, but it is 1883 1884 an important one. 1885 *Mrs. Lesko. Yeah, and it is just such a problem. You know, I -- we had the Secretary of Energy in front of our 1886 1887 committee, our Energy -- I think our full committee maybe 1888 and, you know, they -- she said that she supports domestic mining, but in Arizona, which I represent parts of Arizona, 1889 1890 Phoenix, they pulled back permitting for a big copper mine. 1891 And so all of these things that we need, we need I think four 1892 times as much copper in electric vehicles. 1893 And so I don't understand it, I mean, I just don't get 1894 it, how we are going to get to the goals that the administration wants without doing mining here. I don't 1895 1896 understand it, and so, you know, maybe someday somebody will 1897 explain it to me. 1898 Mr. Slaughter, what measures are being taken to protect 1899 American intellectual property from theft and reverse engineering when working with Chinese partners and suppliers? 1900

```
1901
            *Mr. Slaughter. Not enough, In general, we have seen
1902
       in particular China taking a lead on a lot of nascent
       technologies. I was reminded today by someone that the belt
1903
1904
      and road initiative, when they place, you know, roads from
      Kathmandu to Khartoum, also they bring with it digital UON
1905
1906
      and blockchain information. This is a major concern that if
1907
      the Chinese or other hostile actors have the foundation of
1908
      the next generation of the internet, our information security
1909
      as a whole in the U.S. would be hurt.
            *Mrs. Lesko. Yeah. It is -- you know, we have a heavy
1910
1911
       lift, a heavy lift here in the United States, and I think we
1912
       share our goal, Republicans and Democrats, of bringing back
1913
      manufacturing here, but it is going to be tough because we
      are so darn reliant on China, and they can hurt us without
1914
      even firing a shot, and scary stuff. Thank you and thanks
1915
1916
       for being here, and I yield back.
1917
            *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. I will
      recognize the gentlelady from Tennessee, Mrs. Harshbarger,
1918
1919
       for her five minutes of questioning. And you notice I didn't
      mention the football game --
1920
1921
            *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
```

1922 *Mr. Bilirakis. -- from last weekend. 1923 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Don't. Don't start something now, 1924 Gus. 1925 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the witnesses 1926 for being here. 1927 We have had many prior witnesses who have testified before how the pharmaceutical supply chains are incredibly 1928 1929 complex and difficult to trace, you know, and I've been a 1930 pharmacist 36 years, and I am responsible for knowing where each and every step in the supply chain is due to a pedigree. 1931 1932 We have to know that the wholesalers are registered and every 1933 step of where that drug comes from or API comes from. 1934 So, Mr. Slaughter, I quess my first question is, how could blockchain technology be used to help pharmacists and 1935 1936 wholesalers? 1937 *Mr. Slaughter. So there is a number of companies 1938 already working on utilizing blockchain technology and crypto for pharmaceuticals. I think MedChain is one of them, 1939 1940 PharmaChain is another. I am happy to follow up with your 1941 office afterwards. 1942 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah.

1943 *Mr. Slaughter. Because of the many different components of sensitive information and location involved in 1944 the creation of vaccines, pharmaceutical products, it is very 1945 1946 important to have total confidence in the purity and --1947 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah. 1948 *Mr. Slaughter. -- veracity of those components 1949 throughout the entire chain. That is part of the appeal of 1950 how blockchain could help the supply chain there. 1951 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. I would like to follow up 1952 with you on that later. 1953 Ms. Ghazarian, you briefly shared about concerns related 1954 to a mandate from Commerce requiring you to share information. And on a similar note, how would you feel if 1955 there were voluntary standards in place that didn't protect 1956 1957 you from litigation or posed a risk to expose trade secrets? 1958 *Ms. Ghazarian. Unfortunately, if there wasn't any 1959 protection, I would not feel comfortable providing that data. 1960 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Mm-hmm. 1961 *Ms. Ghazarian. If there was some sort of protection, 1962 and legal protection at that, if I did provide that 1963 information, I would be happy to do so. It is in my best

1964 interest to strengthen the United States. 1965 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah. *Ms. Ghazarian. We just need to make sure that we are 1966 1967 protected. 1968 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Absolutely, I agree. 1969 Mr. Griswold, since the pandemic we have talked a lot 1970 about government standing up programs to fix supply chains, 1971 but the government often causes supply chain problems. 1972 there was a regulation that you would eliminate to help our supply chains be more resilient, what would it be? 1973 1974 *Mr. Griswold. We have I think already started to touch 1975 on that question in this discussion. My organization's 1976 published for example on NIPA reform. 1977 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Mm-hmm. *Mr. Griswold. I think -- I mean, no one here is in 1978 1979 favor of making our air or water dirtier, but we can keep our 1980 environment clean and be responsible stewards of our natural resources and let ourselves build things at the same time. 1981 1982 One of the more encouraging things from my perspective that 1983 we have seen in recent months is an emerging bipartisan

interest in permitting reform.

1984

```
1985
            *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes.
1986
            *Mr. Griswold. That strikes me as very encouraging.
      we can find a bipartisan and therefore politically durable
1987
1988
      way of keeping the environment safe while allowing ourselves
1989
      to build again, I think that is incredibly important.
1990
            *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yeah, we have talked a lot about
1991
       reform of that program. I have another question, Mr.
1992
      Griswold and Mr. Slaughter. How many labor unions
1993
       exacerbated the supply chain shortfalls in this country, and
      what is the best way to counter-organize labor when they hold
1994
1995
      supply chains hostage?
            *Mr. Griswold. I think I would respectfully take a
1996
1997
       different view of the premise. Organized labor, at least
1998
      organized labor that represents workers who build things,
      have a vested interest in increasing domestic production.
1999
                                                                 Of
2000
      course, they are the ones -- some of the ones who will get
2001
      the jobs. So in my view, it may be constructive to view
      organized labor as a potential asset to be mobilized in this
2002
2003
      political fight versus an impediment.
2004
           And I might also just add that in my view, worker
2005
      discontent --
```

2006 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Mm-hmm. 2007 *Mr. Griswold. -- whether that takes the form of a strike in an organized labor context or just kind of the 2008 2009 great resignation and people quitting jobs that they don't 2010 think are a good jobs, worker discontent is itself a supply 2011 chain risk and that it is a legitimate one to consider in my 2012 view. So I think I would respectfully just take a different 2013 view of the premise of your question. 2014 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. Mr. Slaughter? 2015 *Mr. Slaughter. I would also respectfully disagree. 2016 have seen unions interested in crypto and blockchain 2017 technology for a variety of uses. I know that there is a 2018 notable commentator, the Blockchain Socialist, who often 2019 writes about the way that crypto could be utilized to 2020 organize workforces in the future. I regard organized labor 2021 as part of the role of the supply chain as well. 2022 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, very good. Well, I don't have 2023 enough time for the other questions so, Mr. Chairman, I yield 2024 back. 2025 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. Now I will recognize Mr. Allen for his questioning -- sorry, you were 2026

about five minutes -- five seconds late, but we will get to 2027 2028 you, thank you. 2029 Mr. Allen, you are recognized, please. 2030 *Mr. Allen. I'll be quick. 2031 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. 2032 *Mr. Allen. And thank you, Chair, for convening this 2033 hearing, and thank you to our witnesses. 2034 Obviously, you know, we really need to get to the -- we 2035 need to drill down and figure out what is going on with the supply chain. I think we could do that pretty quickly if we 2036 2037 could get the -- you know, get to the issue with it. 2038 Obviously it has something to do obviously with the war on fossil fuel, it has something to do with we lost -- where did 2039 2040 10 million workers go during COVID. I mean, we have roughly 10 million jobs open out there today and we lost those 2041 2042 workers. 2043 And, you know, unless we can -- at least what I am --2044 and I come from the business world. What I am understanding, 2045 the big problems in a business just maintaining the status 2046 quo is obviously getting materials first and -- well, excuse me. Workforce is first and getting the materials and whatnot 2047

2048 to produce the product, or build the building, or whatever is 2049 second, and those two are somewhat improved but we got a long 2050 way to go. 2051 Mr. Griswold, we can measure supply chains on the spectrum where at one end is efficiency and the other end is 2052 2053 resiliency. Because of the effects of COVID, we were shown 2054 that just-in-time manufacturing techniques along with the 2055 offshoring of so much of our manufacturing have led to these 2056 supply chains to become brittle and not resilient. How do we 2057 make the determination whether our supply chains should be 2058 built to weather a once in a hundred years event like a pandemic, or should we assume that disruptions like this will 2059 2060 continue to happen more frequently with increased global 2061 fragmentation? *Mr. Griswold. That is -- it is a fantastic and 2062 2063 important question, and I am very glad that you pointed out 2064 the shifting consensus that market efficiency alone is not a sufficient economic frame of mind to bring to these 2065 2066 questions, and that is true in supply chain resiliency as it 2067 is in many other respects in our economy. I think we have seen the political discussion about that change in recent 2068

```
2069
      years in both parties, quite rightly so.
2070
            I might answer the question in terms of national
2071
       sovereignty. If we overly lean in the direction of
2072
       efficiency and therefore supply chain fragility, we
2073
      compromise our own ability to make our own decisions about
2074
      what is in America's best interest. A good example in my
2075
      mind is -- are the recent sanctions Congress put on Russia
2076
      and the various measures we have taken to excise Russia from
2077
      the global economy.
2078
           And regardless of your view of that conflict, I think it
2079
       is a good thing that we had the capacity to do that if we
2080
      decided it was best. We don't have the capacity to do that
      with China even if we did decide it was best, and that
2081
2082
       represents to me a major compromise of American political and
2083
       economic sovereignty. And if we admit, as I think you
2084
       rightly have, that efficiency is not the only measure we
2085
       should be looking at, that allows us to consider other
      measures like our ability to act freely in our own interests
2086
2087
      on the global stage, a more resilient supply chain structure
      would let us do that --
2088
2089
            *Mr. Allen. Mm-hmm.
```

2090 *Mr. Griswold. -- if we decided we need to. So that is 2091 just maybe one example. There are a lot of other conversations we could have --2092 2093 *Mr. Allen. Right. *Mr. Griswold. -- in that vein, but that is my view. 2094 2095 *Mr. Allen. And with the right leadership we can 2096 achieve that. *Mr. Griswold. I think so. 2097 2098 *Mr. Allen. Yeah. Okay, good. 2099 Mr. Slaughter, I have got about a minute left. Can you 2100 differentiate between general blockchain technology and 2101 cryptocurrency? 2102 *Mr. Slaughter. Sure. So in many ways they are 2103 connected. It depends upon the nature of the blockchain. 2104 a permissionless blockchain which everyone is accessible to 2105 such as Ethereum, you need a crypto token to create the 2106 functioning of the blockchain, the proof of consensus. are permission blockchains or very private blockchains that 2107 2108 don't utilize that, but then you lose the decentralization. 2109 *Mr. Allen. Mm-hmm. 2110 *Mr. Slaughter. To have the full decentralization,

2111 which is most useful for things that have many different companies engaged together and sharing information, that is 2112 2113 where a token is useful. 2114 *Mr. Allen. Okay. And, Mr. Griswold, obviously we are 2115 on this emerging technology, we are dependent on critical 2116 materials. China dominates that, 60 percent of worldwide production and 85 percent of processing capacity of these 2117 2118 rare materials. What are the risks to national security that 2119 this might pose? 2120 *Mr. Griswold. The risks are profound. And I know I have offered a lot of criticism of the Biden administration's 2121 2122 supply chain review exercise, so let me offer a positive 2123 word. The Department of Defense's supply chain resiliency 2124 review is very clear and explicit --2125 *Mr. Allen. Okay. 2126 *Mr. Griswold. -- about these dangers. 2127 *Mr. Allen. Okay, good. 2128 *Mr. Griswold. That it is a clear and present national 2129 security threat to the United States and to many of our allies that we cannot produce the things we need 2130 2131 domestically --

2132 *Mr. Allen. Okay. 2133 *Mr. Griswold. -- or source the inputs that we need 2134 domestically. 2135 *Mr. Allen. Okay, I have got another follow-up question 2136 for you, but I don't have time. I will yield back, Mr. 2137 Chairman. But please get back with us on the answer to that last question, Mr. Griswold. Thank you. 2138 2139 *Mr. Pence. [Presiding] Thank you. The gentleman 2140 yields back, and now I recognize myself for five minutes. 2141 I would like to thank Chairman Bilirakis and Ranking 2142 Member Schakowsky for holding this hearing, and thank you all 2143 for being here. I think I am the last one, so you are almost 2144 done. 2145 I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 5146, the Advancing the Gig Economy Act, which I co-led with 2146 2147 Congressman Joyce. This bill seeks to uncover barriers to 2148 businesses conducting interstate trade by studying the impact of the independent workforce on our economy. Over the past 2149 2150 few years, Hoosiers in southern Indiana have felt the ripples 2151 in our economy caused by shortages of semiconductor chips, critical manufacturing equipment, everyday consumer products 2152

2153 and, of course, inflation. 2154 We learned the hard way that our economy cannot rely 2155 solely on adversarial nations to secure our supply chains. 2156 And I did have a sponsor, I did get a bill passed in July that would favor friendly nations and prioritize our friends. 2157 2158 And we learned from years of dealing with the aftermath of the pandemic the difficulty companies face in growing 2159 2160 workforce shortages. Auto manufacturers like Honda in 2161 Greensburg and the Indianapolis International Airport in my district told me firsthand the potential impacts on day-to-2162 2163 day operations because of workforce shortages. My greatest 2164 priority in Congress has been fighting for policies that have 2165 the opportunity to create good incomes for Hoosiers and all 2166 Americans. Ms. Ghazarian, you stated in your testimony that many 2167 2168 startups and small businesses use 1099 employees to give them 2169 flexibility as they grow and develop their companies. In fact, survey after survey shows that independent work is 2170 2171 popular and growing where access to benefits is less important to the individual. According to a study published 2172 by George Mason University, today 10 in -- one in 10 workers 2173

2174 engaged in independent work as a primary source of income, 2175 and as many as one in three use independent work as a 2176 supplementing income source. Ironically, my wife has -- does 2177 antique malls where she has got about 800 gig economy people that are doing it for fun, and they -- many of them do very 2178 2179 well. 2180 So, ma'am, I ask you a question, how would a Department 2181 of Commerce study that looks at the whole picture of the independent workforce be beneficial for you to determine how 2182 to balance the flexibility and entrepreneurship that you 2183 2184 prize and how can it contribute to improving interstate 2185 commerce? *Ms. Ghazarian. Great question, thank you. So if you 2186 2187 could get a good understanding of the skillset and nature of the independent workforce that you could lean into and how 2188 2189 you could leverage that within the needs of the business, and 2190 as the business changes from day-to-day, there would be those needs where you would look for certain individuals to help 2191 2192 you build your business depending on what that need is. Some 2193 days it might revolve around supply chain and the expertise 2194 you might need there, other days it might lie around

2195 engineers and what you are doing to build products. If there was some sort of an infrastructure or network 2196 2197 that you could leverage into to learn about what those 2198 resources are and have access to it, I think it would be very helpful for businesses like mine to be able to expand and 2199 2200 then in turn shrink as needed when those resources are not 2201 needed anymore. 2202 *Mr. Pence. Right. 2203 *Ms. Ghazarian. Sustainable. 2204 *Mr. Pence. Right. Okay, thank you. Mr. Griswold, a question for you. When contemplating 2205 2206 the strategy of decoupling from certain foreign supply 2207 chains, how are we evaluating the infrastructure and 2208 workforce limitations not only within the United States but -2209 - and as you know, the China issue, but also in countries 2210 where we are considering as a potential alternative source, 2211 and I know that we have moved to some other companies but how do we get -- how do we look at that? 2212 2213 *Mr. Griswold. That is an important question, and I would start actually by talking about our workforce 2214 development and education system in the United States. 2215 Fewer

- 2216 than one in five young Americans go smoothly from graduating
- 2217 to -- from high school into a four year degree into a job
- 2218 that required that degree, and yet the vast majority of our
- 2219 federal education spending is directed at college students.
- 2220 *Mr. Pence. Mm-hmm.
- 2221 *Mr. Griswold. I think that is remarkably skewed. And
- 2222 as a broad and important matter, we need to heavily invest in
- 2223 non-college pathways for the majority of Americans.
- 2224 *Mr. Pence. Yeah, we have some great programs in
- 2225 Indiana.
- 2226 *Mr. Griswold. So, yeah.
- 2227 *Mr. Pence. And, yeah, I think that is right.
- 2228 *Mr. Griswold. Yeah.
- 2229 *Mr. Pence. And a lot of those graduates are doing a
- 2230 lot better.
- 2231 With that, I yield back my time to the chairman. Oh,
- 2232 oh, I am sorry. To Congresswoman Miller-Meeks.
- 2233 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, and
- 2234 also Chair Bilirakis for hosting this hearing.
- Manufacturing, as we know, has been hit hard by the
- 2236 global supply chain constraints over the last few years with

2237 record high inflation and supply chain disruptions impacting 2238 numerous sectors of our economy. As global competition has 2239 increased, control over our supply chains has fallen into the 2240 hands of our foreign adversaries, namely the Chinese 2241 Communist Party. Such geographic concentration of supply 2242 chains have left -- has left many U.S. companies vulnerable to disruption, and it is essential now more than ever for the 2243 2244 U.S. to strengthen our domestic supply chain resiliency, 2245 especially in infrastructure areas including critical 2246 manufacturing, U.S. defense industrial base, energy, food, 2247 and agriculture. 2248 That is why I am pleased this legislative hearing 2249 includes my bill, the Critical Infrastructure Manufacturing 2250 Feasibility Act, which directs the Secretary of Commerce to conduct a study on the feasibility of manufacturing more 2251 2252 critical infrastructure goods in the United States, in 2253 particular, manufacturing products which many are developed in rural communities in Iowa and in my district. 2254 2255 Mr. Griswold, what steps do -- need to be taken, and you 2256 may have answered this, to increase domestic manufacturing of critical infrastructure products, and secondly, what biggest 2257

2258 impediments, and we have heard a bit about permitting, to 2259 enhancing these sectors specifically in the renewable energy 2260 sectors and critical mineral processing? 2261 *Mr. Griswold. If I can be forgiven for organizational 2262 self-promotion, we recently released a book of policy ideas 2263 titled, "Rebuilding American Capitalism: A Handbook for Conservative Policymakers,'' which I would love to discuss 2264 2265 with you in your office, that has a whole suite of policy 2266 ideas to address exactly what you are talking about. 2267 Both carrots and sticks are important, and again, that 2268 is a long conversation, but on the stick side, I think 2269 tariffs and quardrails have their place, domestic content 2270 requirements may have their place, adequate financing for 2271 critical industries have their place, helping precompetitive research collaboration between innovative companies is an 2272 2273 important step. There is a whole range of important policy 2274 conversations I think we [audio disruption] on how to rebuild our domestic productive capacity. 2275 2276 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. And other than permitting, greatest impediments? 2277 2278 *Mr. Griswold. I think -- to be frank, I think the

2279 greatest impediment is the outdated mindset, that thankfully it is I think changing in Washington, that market efficiency 2280 is the primary measure by which we should determine economic 2281 2282 policy, and we had this discussion briefly with one of your 2283 colleagues. Clearly a national security economic national --2284 national economic resilience, the common good, and the wellbeing of American working people, and their ability to 2285 2286 support their families are I think considerations that have 2287 not always had their due in the last few decades of American 2288 policy making, and the extent to which that mindset changes, 2289 I think good policy follows. 2290 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. I might add inertia to that list. 2291 *Mr. Griswold. Indeed, ves. 2292 *Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you so much. And, Mr. Chair, 2293 I yield back. 2294 *Mr. Bilirakis. [Presiding] Thank you very much. Now 2295 I will recognize a chairman who waved on to the subcommittee today, Mr. Johnson, who is a good friend of mine, and I also 2296 2297 look forward to -- thank you -- I want to thank you for 2298 calling this hearing on Thursday in East Palestine. I appreciate it. Thank you for your leadership. I think it 2299

2300 was long overdue, and I appreciate you taking the initiative, and I look forward to participating. 2301 *Mr. Johnson. Good deal. 2302 2303 *Mr. Bilirakis. All right, very good. I recognize you, sir, for five minutes. 2304 2305 *Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and you will 2306 certainly be welcomed with open arms there in East Palestine. 2307 Thank you. And thanks for letting me waive on today. I 2308 appreciate our witnesses being here for such an important 2309 topic when we are talking about America's supply chains. 2310 I am very pleased that my legislation H.R. 5398, the 2311 Advancing Tech Startups Act, was included in today's 2312 legislative hearing. The bipartisan legislation would 2313 require the Department of Commerce to study and report on the impact of technology startups on the U.S. economy. It also 2314 2315 promotes a national strategy for encouraging more tech-2316 focused startups and small businesses in all parts of the United States. You don't have to be in Silicon Valley to 2317 2318 successfully launch a tech startup. I am an entrepreneur myself, that is a different story. 2319 2320 But the talent, sustainable cost structure, and opportunity

2321 are available in many locations across the country. 2322 Additionally, I am proud to co-lead H.R. 5390 with my colleagues, Reps Miller-Meeks, who you just heard from, 2323 2324 Bucshon, Kuster, Schrier, and Spanberger. This bill requires 2325 the Department of Commerce to study and report on products 2326 that are in high demand across the critical infrastructure 2327 Importantly, the bill includes feasibility studies sectors. 2328 for manufacturing products in rural communities. 2329 As the representative of many rural counties in my district in eastern Ohio, I know that manufacturing in these 2330 2331 communities can and should play an increased role in making 2332 products for critical infrastructure sectors and in our 2333 Nation's supply chain. 2334 As we know, the pandemic painfully revealed the 2335 importance of creating product supply chains and intellectual 2336 capital right here at home. We can't rely on China or anyone 2337 else, and we don't need to. While we must ensure our supply chains are resilient in a global economy, we must also be 2338 self-sufficient in critical areas. It is time to fully 2339 2340 unleash the American spirit of innovation and 2341 entrepreneurship across the entire United States.

2342	Mr. Slaughter, in your opinion, would a national
2343	strategy such as the one described by my legislation, the
2344	Advancing Tech Startups Act, that identifies barriers and
2345	encourages more tech-focused startups and small businesses in
2346	all parts of the United States, would that help to better
2347	prepare our economy for future disruptions to our
2348	manufacturing sector and supply chain?
2349	*Mr. Slaughter. I think it would. I think a lot of the
2350	appeal of crypto and blockchain in particular is you can do
2351	it from anywhere. Many of our portfolio companies at
2352	Paradigm are largely or fully remote. There is the
2353	possibility of having tech jobs throughout the entire
2354	country, including those looked over regions that have not
2355	benefited from Web 1 and Web 2.
2356	*Mr. Johnson. Good. Did the pandemic impact the
2357	success of tech startups?
2358	*Mr. Slaughter. Inherently I think it impacted all of
2359	us. Everyone had to respond differently to the effects of
2360	disruption and to the effects in the markets, but I think
2361	many of us came through it with a greater appreciation for
2362	how we can respond to additional disruption in the future as

2363 well. 2364 *Mr. Johnson. Do you have any other recommendations for 2365 increasing the resiliency of our supply chains? 2366 *Mr. Slaughter. That is such a good question. somewhat beyond my ken, but I would suggest the number one 2367 2368 thing is to make sure that you don't involve supply chains 2369 becoming the province of a few companies that control the 2370 information. 2371 *Mr. Johnson. Mm-hmm. *Mr. Slaughter. The worst thing would be to have a few 2372 2373 more companies that because they control the entire data 2374 stream become black boxes and rent seek on everybody else. *Mr. Johnson. Yeah. 2375 2376 *Mr. Slaughter. Better to have this throughout the 2377 entire economy so everyone can benefit. 2378 *Mr. Johnson. Yeah, I agree. I personally believe that 2379 we should restrain from outsourcing anything in our supply chain below the minimum amount required to sustain our own 2380 2381 culture here at home. We need to identify what those critical needs are and keep a focus on that. 2382

2383

Ms. Ghazarian, you mentioned in your testimony -- have I

2384 got that right? Did I say that right? *Ms. Ghazarian. You did, you got it right. 2385 *Mr. Johnson. Thank you. You mentioned in your 2386 2387 testimony that shifting supply chains is not costless and does not happen overnight. What advice do you have for other 2388 2389 companies, and particularly startups, looking to center their 2390 supply chains through American companies and products? *Ms. Ghazarian. Absolutely. I would say the first 2391 2392 thing is you really need to do your homework in regards to the resources that you need to build your products and stay 2393 2394 at the quality, safety, and efficiency level to make sure 2395 that if you are going to produce something here, you can do 2396 so safely. Then on top of that are the costs effective to be 2397 able to stay competitive in the market with your competition that may still be producing elsewhere. 2398 2399 *Mr. Johnson. Yeah. Thank you, ma'am. 2400 Mr. Chairman, I -- you know, I have heard from many manufacturers that tell me the quality of the raw materials 2401 2402 they get from countries like China, even though China is 2403 dumping excess capacity on the global market, trying to disenfranchise American workers, the quality of their raw 2404

2405	materials, sometimes companies have to order three and four
2406	times as much so that they can get just enough to meet their
2407	needs. Even though China holds the market on those raw
2408	materials, their quality simply is not there. We can beat
2409	them at this game if we are smart.
2410	I thank our panelists, and I yield back.
2411	*Mr. Bilirakis. Agreed, agreed. Oh, well, I
2412	just want to thank the panel for all your direct responses.
2413	I know I learned quite a bit during this hearing, and I
2414	appreciate it very much. And I appreciate the ranking member
2415	holding this hearing with me.
2416	And with that we were going to close up here. I ask
2417	unanimous consent to insert into the record the documents
2418	included on the staff hearing documents list.
2419	Without objection, so ordered.
2420	[The information follows:]
2421	
2422	********COMMITTEE INSERT******
2423	

2424	*Mr. Bilirakis. And again, we have additional
2425	questions, so if you please if you could respond to those
2426	questions in writing, we would appreciate it. I remind
2427	members again that they have 10 business days to submit
2428	questions for the record, and ask the witnesses to respond to
2429	the questions promptly. Members should submit their
2430	questions by the close of business day on October 4th.
2431	So again, I appreciate your testimony and your direct
2432	responses to the questions.
2433	Without objection, the committee is adjourned.
2434	[Whereupon, at 12:38 p.m., the subcommittee was
2435	adjourned.]