```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
2
    RPTS BRENNAN
    HIF108170
3
4
5
    FISCAL YEAR 2024
    FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION BUDGET
    TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 2023
9
    House of Representatives,
    Subcommittee on Innovation, Data, and Commerce,
10
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
11
    Washington, D.C.
12
13
14
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:03 a.m. in
15
    Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gus Bilirakis
16
     [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
17
18
19
          Present: Representatives Bilirakis, Bucshon, Walberg,
20
     Duncan, Dunn, Lesko, Pence, Armstrong, Allen, Fulcher,
21
    Harshbarger, Cammack, Rodgers (ex officio); Schakowsky,
22
```

```
Castor, Dingell, Kelly, Blunt Rochester, Soto, Trahan,
23
24
    Clarke, and Pallone (ex officio).
25
26
         Also Present: Representatives Carter and Joyce.
27
          Staff Present: Michael Cameron, Professional Staff
28
    Member, Jessica Herron, Clerk; Tara Hupman, Chief Counsel;
29
    Peter Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services
30
31
    Director; Tim Kurth, Chief Counsel; Brannon Rams,
    Professional Staff Member; Lacey Strahm, Fellow; Teddy
32
    Tanzer, Senior Counsel; Hannah Anton, Minority Policy
33
    Analyst; Ian Barlow, Minority FTC Detailee; Waverly Gordon,
34
    Minority Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel; Daniel
35
    Greene, Minority Professional Staff Member; Tiffany
36
    Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Lisa Hone, Minority Chief
37
    Counsel, Innovation, Data, and Commerce; Joe Orlando,
38
    Minority Professional Staff Member; and C.J. Young, Minority
39
    Deputy Communications Director.
40
```

41

*Mr. Bilirakis. The subcommittee will come to order. 42 The chair recognizes himself for an opening statement. 43 Good morning, everybody. I want to thank the Chair of 44 45 the -- and the Commissioners of the FTC for being here today. Thank you so much for being here. 46 The last time the FTC Commissioners were before this 47 Committee was for a single combined legislative and oversight 48 hearing in July of 2021. Given that, there will be no 49 50 shortage of questions today I'm sure, from both sides. Sadly, we have no Republican commissioners on the panel 51 to provide balanced views on what the FTC is doing in its 52 current actions. That's regrettable. I'm sure you are not 53 surprised, of course, that I would lead into that. 54 But no FTC watcher can look at what has gone over over 55 the last two years at the Commission and conclude that things 56 are on the right track. The stretching of authorities and 57 resources has led some to question what authorities should be 58 stripped or whether it should even exist. 59 60 I am not in that camp. I wanted -- I want this to be the most respected protector of our children, our seniors, 61 and, of course, the ultimate enforcer of our privacy and data 62 security. However, it has become clear that these things are 63

on the wrong course as, again, the priorities are diverted 64 down a path of progressive pursuits that has led to career 65 employees leaving the institution. That's unacceptable. 66 67 So let me be clear, it is time that you focus on the authority that Congress has provided you. You have a laundry 68 list of distractions from this mission with the many new 69 rulemakings and requests for information. 6(b) studies that 70 have begun and never concluded, all while continuing to lose 71 72 constantly in federal court. This is not what success looks like when you are tasked 73 with protecting consumers from harms, especially at a time 74 when your Commission released findings showing consumers 75 reportedly lost almost nine billion dollars to scams in 2022, 76 a 30 percent increase from previous -- the previous year. 77 That includes over half a billion in dollars lost in my state 78 alone, the great State of Florida. 79 Maybe instead of carrying out President Biden's leftist 80 crusade, unsuccessfully, you could instead prioritize 81 82 resources we give you to help vulnerable Americans. Sadly, we have raised these concerns before. I have 83 continually been troubled by the FTC's unwillingness to 84 provide substantive answers to questions from this committee 85

```
regarding its decision-making process on this and other
86
     matters. You attempt to block us out like you did to your
87
     former Republican Commissioners, one of whom resigned in a
88
89
     showing of contempt of your management and lack of due
     process.
90
          At the same time, you have asked for a budget increase.
91
     How does one justify this when we hear how staff has been
92
     diverted from mission critical functions and pulled from
93
94
     bureaus to focus on merger reviews?
          In fact, there has been such a mass exodus of career
95
     staff at the agency, you seem to be squandering away the
96
     resources that we currently give you in favor of pursuing
97
     unprecedented progressive legal theories. I'm submitting for
98
     the record an article from Bloomberg Law on March 16 titled,
99
     "FTC Lawyers Leave at Fastest Rate in Years as Khan Sets New
100
     Tone.''
101
          Without objection, so ordered.
102
          [The information follows:]
103
104
     ******************************
105
106
```

```
*Mr. Bilirakis. Perhaps the nowhere more -- it's
107
108
     nowhere more evident that there's a drain on the consumer
     protection mission is that, of course, the lengths the FTC
109
110
     has gone to block Illumina's reacquisition of GRAIL.
     FTC, in an unprecedented move, overturned an earlier decision
111
     by the agency's chief administrator law judge which allowed
112
     the merger to move forward. This decision could literally
113
     delay new and lifesaving screening technology from getting to
114
115
     consumer -- to cancer patients. I am submitting for the
     record an editorial regarding that decision from the Wall
116
     Street Journal on April 3rd titled, "The FTC's Unholy
117
     Grail.''
118
          Without objection, so ordered.
119
          [The information follows:]
120
121
     ***********************************
122
123
```

124	*Mr. Bilirakis. In closing, I implore you to please get
125	back to what you can do best, which is to support and protect
126	consumers. That's the goal. That's the mission. To do
127	that, you must work within the constraints that Congress has
128	set, rather than abusing the authorities we have provided. I
129	look forward to our conversation and any follow up. Thank
130	you.
131	[The prepared statement of Mr. Bilirakis follows:]
132	
133	********COMMITTEE INSERT*****
134	

*Mr. Bilirakis. And now I recognize the gentlelady from 135 136 Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, for five minutes for her opening statement, our ranking member. 137 138 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. want to warmly welcome the Federal Trade Commission Chair, 139 Lina Khan, and the Commissioners Slaughter and Bedoya to be 140 here. I think we're going to have a very different opinion 141 of what the FTC has accomplished and the direction that it is 142 143 going on in. We know that two of the Republicans, you mentioned that there weren't any now, both retired before 144 their terms expired. 145 And we know that the Federal Trade Commission for a 146 hundred years has been protecting consumers, and that has not 147 stopped now. The agency has accomplished a number of very 148 important achievements. In the past two years -- in the past 149 two years alone, the FTC protected consumers in a number of 150 ways. 151 One, returned over 670 million dollars to defrauded 152 153 consumers and behind the -- let me see -- defrauded Americans. Began four sectoral investigations, including 154 into Big Tech, which we know needs to happen. And every 155 dollar that the -- that is returned -- that the FTC was able 156

to get as returned in dividends for American consumers. 157 In just one example, the FTC protected millions of app 158 users by -- I'm sorry -- by prohibiting the sharing of secret 159 160 -- of sensitive information. Last year, the FTC -- help me out -- the -- last year, the FTC fined Epic Games 520 million 161 dollars for violating the children's privacy laws. We know 162 that the agency returned more than 64 million dollars to the 163 patients of pharmacy benefit managers and health companies. 164 165 So one after another we're seeing how the FTC is putting money back into people's pockets. 166 Consumer privacy rights has been a big issue, of course, 167 for Americans, and the FTC has taken notice. Last year, the 168 agency proposed rules to protect consumer's privacy and 169 security. However, the FTC needs additional authorities and 170 resources in order to provide the consumers what they 171 actually need. 172 We know that, Mr. Chairman, you and I were able to pass 173 the INFORM Consumer Act, which absolutely was helpful in 174 175 making -- in giving the FTC a tool to ensure that trustworthy online marketplaces are thriving. And I plan to reintroduce 176 legislation, the Online Consumer Protection Act, which will 177 give the FTC tools to hold tech companies and platforms 178

```
accountable, and I'm also going to introduce the FTC
179
180
     Whistleblower Act, which will protect whistleblowers.
          And finally, the 21st Century FTC Act introduced by
181
182
     Representative Castor and my FTC Autonomy Act will give the
     FTC -- will give the Commission rulemaking and civil penalty
183
     authorities.
184
          So let me just end with this having reached my time.
185
     just want to say that Big Tech has been fighting back against
186
187
     efforts to rein them in, and one of the ways that they've
     been doing it is to target with the Chairwoman herself, which
188
     I resent deeply. Chair Khan and the FTC is standing for
189
     working families, for small businesses, and is holding the
190
     corporate wrongdoers accountable. This is exactly what we
191
192
     need, and I appreciate the work of the current Federal Trade
     Commission.
193
          [The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
194
195
     196
```

197

*Ms. Schakowsky. I yield back. 198 199 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. Thank you. I know recognize The Chair of the full committee, Mrs. 200 201 Rodgers, for her five minutes. Thank you. *The Chair. Good morning. 202 *Mr. Bilirakis. Good morning. 203 *The Chair. I'd like to start by welcoming the 204 Commissioners. As you all know, the FTC has historically 205 206 been a very bipartisan agency for solutions around consumer protection, data privacy, and antitrust law. Unfortunately, 207 this tradition is currently under attack. 208 Chair Khan, under your tenure as chair, the integrity 209 and effectiveness of this independent agency has been 210 repeatedly questioned. You've suffered many losses in court 211 at all federal levels of the judiciary branch. You've 212 drastically reduced transparency and accountability by 213 cutting out key voices at the agency. 214 Both Republican Commissioners have resigned during your 215 Senior staff have quit in record numbers with 216 reports in the New York Post describing you as abusive and a 217 There's been a 34 percent decline in employee 218 confidence in senior leadership's respect for them and 219

motivation at work. Former Commissioner Christine Wilson 220 221 cited your disregard for the law, for the rule of law, as one of the reasons for her resignation. 222 223 Time and time again you prioritized a personal and political agenda over the integrity of the FTC and the 224 interest of Americans. Despite numerous letters of concern 225 from this committee, you've continued to ignore these in a 226 dangerous and misguided consolidation of power. 227 228 When you appeared before us in July of 2021, I asked about your decision to remove "without unduly burdening 229 legitimate business activity'' from the FTC Mission 230 Statement. It was a longstanding bipartisan tradition at the 231 FTC to include this in the mission to protect Americans and 232 businesses. Eliminating this quardrail, which prevents 233 government overreach, was even more startling given the 234 timing. 235 People across the country were working to reopen their 236 businesses after the COVID-19 lockdown. This meant bringing 237 238 their employees back to work so that they could make a living and provide for their families. Instead, they faced 239 intimidation from the federal government. This was not a 240 one-off situation. The FTC is still sending hundreds of 241

penalty notice letters to businesses even when they've done 242 243 nothing wrong. This violation of due process will not be 244 tolerated. 245 Regarding the FTC's budget request, the concerns raised by current and former employees at your agency do not 246 reassure us that you'll put the American people first. 247 Leadership matters. Before I even entertain any additional 248 funds or authority for the FTC, first you need to convince us 249 250 that the mission of protecting Americans isn't taking a backseat to the Biden Administration's radical agenda. 251 We want you to be the preeminent data protection agency 252 in the world, and that must be your focus, at the direction 253 of Congress. And given these abuses of power, what is 254 clearly needed before Congress considers any new authorities 255 or funding are reforms, more quardrails and increased 256 transparency to ensure that you're accountable to the 257 American people. 258 This includes for how the FTC will protect the privacy 259 260 and data security of the American people. The single best way we can protect Americans in today's digital ecosystem is 261 a national standard. In August last year, this committee 262 voted overwhelmingly to advance the American Data Privacy and 263

Protection Act. Shortly after, your Commission voted on 264 265 partisan lines to act unilaterally on your own rules. I want to be clear. Our goal continues to be for 266 267 Congress to enact a national standard with the expectation the FTC, with quardrails, will play a critical role in this 268 effort. Establishing a national data privacy and data 269 security standard is bigger and more important than any one 270 person or any single agency to act unilaterally and risk 271 272 losing people's trust. More than 80 percent of Americans say they're looking for Congress to act. It is a top priority 273 for their own privacy, for their kid's privacy, and to rein 274 in Big Tech. 275 We, the people's representatives, take this 276 responsibility seriously because we're accountable to them. 277 We're accountable to the people, and we have no intention of 278 losing sight of that. 279 I want to thank former Commissioners Phillips and Wilson 280 for their service to the country and the American people. 281 282 I'm saddened that they're no longer at the Commission. Chair Khan, Commissioner Slaughter and Bedoya, thank you for being 283 with us here today. I look forward to your testimony. 284 [The prepared statement of The Chair follows:] 285

286		
287	*********COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
288		

*The Chair. And I yield back. 289 290 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. And now I recognize the ranking member of the full committee for his 291 292 five minutes, opening statement, the gentleman from New Jersey. You're recognized, sir. 293 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Let me start by 294 expressing my support for Chair Khan. I don't agree with 295 Chair Rodgers' criticism of her. The problem is that the GOP 296 297 doesn't like the FTC enforcement role. They would simply gut the FTC at the expense of consumers. 298 The Federal Trade Commission has one of the broadest 299 purviews of any federal agency: fighting deceptive and 300 unfair business practices and anti-competitive conduct across 301 the entire economy. Managing this portfolio with less than 302 1,400 employees is no small feat. And in order to ensure the 303 FTC can continue its important work, Congress must approve 304 its requested budget to further support much needed staffing. 305 It's clear the Republicans don't like when the -- what 306 307 the FTC does, they don't seek to protect consumers. the Republicans are in charge in Congress or at the 308 administration level, they seek to cut the legs of the FTC. 309 And that's unfortunate because the FTC brings enforcement 310

actions to prevent companies from misusing consumer's 311 personal information, failing to properly protect consumer 312 data, and profiting from deceptive advertising and other 313 314 telemarketing schemes. This important work comes at a time when the FTC is facing coordinated attacks from Big Tech 315 aimed at evading the FTC's challenges to its business model. 316 For years, Big Tech has been putting profits ahead of 317 consumer privacy, and the opportunity to maximize advertising 318 319 revenue ahead of our children's safety and well-being. The FTC is also committed to protecting seniors, 320 veterans, communities of color, and non-English speakers who 321 have all historically been targets for fraudsters and 322 deceptive businesses. 323 324 The FTC also protects consumers during some of the most important times of their lives, often when they are at their 325 most vulnerable. The Funeral Rule protects grieving family 326 members form predatory pricing. The Mortgage Assistance 327 Relief Rule protects consumers who are seeking services to 328 329 avoid foreclosure. And the Used Car Rule requires that used car dealers display essential information on the window of 330 every car they're selling. 331 332

had 45 years ago when the American economy was smaller and 333 334 simpler. Back then, a deceptive advertising case often focused on a single print or TV ad. Today, the FTC needs 335 336 even more resources in a complex economy where targeted advertising presents consumers with different ads and prices 337 based on personal characteristics. 338 In addition to making sure the FTC is properly staffed, 339 we should restore the FTC's full legal authority. Last 340 341 Congress, this subcommittee led legislation that passed the House to restore the FTC's authority to go to court to seek 342 to have money returned to consumers. Unfortunately, that 343 legislation never passed the Senate. 344 We must also resume our historic work on bipartisan, 345 comprehensive privacy legislation, as Chair Rodgers 346 mentioned, which could help the FTC give consumers 347 substantive data privacy protections beyond the current 348 notice and consent regime. 349 And finally, given the frequency of Big Tech's repeat 350 351 violations, we should also consider providing the FTC with additional tools to fight repeat corporate offenders. Just 352 months after Twitter's second settlement with the FTC for 353 privacy violations, media reporting suggested that Twitter 354

- may be violating that settlement by having engineers, rather 355 356 than high-level officers, certify compliance and privacy 357 controls. 358 And Twitter isn't the only Big Tech repeat offender. In 2019, the FTC obtained a five billion dollar civil penalty 359 from Facebook for violating a 2012 Consent Order governing 360 Facebook's privacy practices. The FTC has also brought five 361 consumer protection enforcement actions against Google since 362 363 2011. Now based on Big Tech's past performance, we should not 364 do anything to undermine the FTC's enforcement against Big 365 Tech. I am concerned by false claims that the FTC's Twitter 366 compliance investigation is partisan. In truth, it would be 367 a dereliction of duty if the FTC did not thoroughly 368 investigate Twitter's compliance. 369 I also urge skepticism about coordinated partisan calls 370 for Chair Khan's recusal based on her prior academic views. 371 We shouldn't forget that this was well known by the 69 372 Senators, including 20 Republicans, who voted to confirm her 373 and lauded her for being tough on social media platforms and 374
 - Unsurprisingly, within weeks of Chair Khan's

other Big Tech companies.

375

376

```
confirmation, Amazon and Facebook campaigned to undermine her
377
     enforcement efforts, in part by calling for her recusal.
378
                                                                 In
     October, a federal judge rejected such a motion, and
379
380
     independently of Chair Khan, the Commission did the same in
381
     February.
          So I look forward to hearing from the Chair and the
382
     Commissioners today, but before yielding, I want to recognize
383
     that Commission Slaughter has joined us wearing a mask
384
385
     because she was ill earlier this week. The Republicans
     pushed a rule that prevents witnesses from appearing
386
     remotely. Rather than taking advantage of the technological
387
     tools that allows us to engage as Americans wherever they
388
     are, this rule requires her to choose between appearing in
389
     person or not appearing at all. I think this rule should be
390
     reconsidered because it limits participation by witnesses.
391
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
392
393
     ************************************
394
```

395

```
*Mr. Pallone. And with that, I yield back, Mr.

Chairman.

*Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. So we'll

get started with the witnesses now for their testimony. Our

first witness is the Honorable Lina Khan, Chairman of the

FTC. You're recognized for five minutes.
```

STATEMENT OF THE HON. LINA KHAN, CHAIRMAN, FEDERAL TRADE 403 404 COMMISSION; THE HON. REBECCA SLAUGHTER, COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; AND THE HON. ALVARO BEDOYA, COMMISSIONER, 405 406 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 407 STATEMENT OF THE HON. LINA KHAN 408 409 *Ms. Khan. Thank you. Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking 410 411 Member Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for inviting me to testify. It's an honor to be here with 412 you alongside my colleagues, Commissioners Slaughter and 413 Bedoya. 414 I'd like to take a few minutes to share some of the 415 416 Commission's accomplishments during this last year. None of this work would have been possible without the extraordinary 417 efforts of the FTC staff who day after day fight for the 418 American people, even when it means taking on some of the 419 most powerful corporations in our economy. Although this 420 421 oral testimony is mine alone, I know I speak for all of us when I say how lucky we are to work with the talented, 422 dedicated professionals of the Federal Trade Commission. 423 The FTC is firing on all cylinders to fully execute on 424

our mandate to promote fair competition and protect Americans 425 426 from unfair or deceptive practices. We are re-doubling our efforts in traditional areas of enforcement like protecting 427 428 American's privacy and combatting fraud while also activating additional authorities that Congress has given us. In the 429 last year alone, we've broken ground by bringing actions in a 430 number of areas, including our first action under the Opioid 431 Addiction Recovery Fraud Prevention Act, our first action 432 433 under the Health Breach Notification Rule, our first action under the Military Lending Act, and our first action under 434 the Made in USA Rule. 435 In the meantime, we've also been racking up record 436 monetary judgments, including the largest ever judgment to 437 protect kid's privacy, the largest monetary judgment in a 438 fair lending case, and the largest administrative judgment 439 ever. 440 Privacy and data security remain a major focus for the 441 FTC, and I applaud this committee's continued efforts to 442 443 enact comprehensive federal privacy legislation. For our part, Commission staff have brought critical actions to 444 protect American's data, including kid's data and sensitive 445 information like health data. 446

We brought an action against Fortnite, the maker of Epic 447 Games, for undermining children's privacy. We secured a 448 record-breaking fine for the company's violation of the 449 450 Childrens Online Privacy Protection Act while also securing important changes in the company's privacy practices. We 451 also published a policy statement to put market participants 452 on notice that we will vigorously protect children's privacy 453 in the educational technology world, and we have followed up 454 455 through our enforcement work, including through a recent action against Chegg, an Ed Tech company. 456 The Commission also recently brought two seminal health 457 privacy actions against BetterHelp and GoodRx, two online 458 healthcare providers that we found had committed to keeping 459 user's sensitive health information private but ended up 460 making it available for firms to use for advertising. In the 461 settlement resolving these actions, staff negotiated outright 462 bans prohibiting these companies from disclosing health data 463 to third parties for advertising. 464 465 Finally, Commission staff are currently litigating a privacy case against Kochava, a location data broker that was 466 selling user's sensitive location data, including information 467 that could be used to identify whether people were going to 468

addiction facilities, visiting reproductive health clinics, 469 or going to religious services. 470 Another major effort at the Commission this year has 471 472 been stopping the scourge of junk fees or the unwanted charges that can drain American's pocketbooks. 473 brought enforcement actions against companies that trick 474 consumers into spending money that they didn't intend or want 475 to spend, including through manipulative online interfaces. 476 477 We also sued car dealers for sneaking on junk fees for madeup or unwanted services. 478 And we brought enforcement actions against companies 479 that have trapped consumers into renewing payment plans 480 through making cancellation intentionally difficult. Our 481 hundred million dollar settlement with the internet phone 482 provider Vonage, which we claim was creating obstacles for 483 consumers and small businesses trying to cancel their 484 services, is a key example of this work. 485 Beyond these enforcement actions, the Commission has 486 487 also begun several rulemaking proceedings to address these -this conduct more systematically. This does include through 488 seeking comment on proposed junk fees rulemaking and 489 proposing our Click to Cancel rule which would require that 490

companies make it as easy to cancel a subscription as they do 491 492 to sign up for one. The FTC has also been working to preserve the integrity 493 494 of the Made in USA label by halting companies from making false Made in USA claims. In 2021, the Commission finalized 495 a rule that prohibits misuse of the Made in America label 496 triggering stiff civil penalties, injunctive relief, and 497 other remedies for those who violate it. The Commission is 498 499 already taking action to enforce this rule, and these actions help protect honest businesses and true domestic 500 manufacturers while ensuring the consumers can trust the Made 501 in USA label. 502 Consumers and independent businesses have also 503 benefitted from the Commission staff working to defend 504 American's right to repair. The FTC has targeted unlawful 505 repair restrictions which could make consumer products more 506 difficult to fix and can close off the market to independent 507 repair shops. Since issuing our 2021 policy statement 508 509 committing the agency to this work, we've brought three major actions against companies for imposing unlawful repair 510 restrictions and required them to eliminate restrictive 511 warranty terms. 512

```
Our fraud enforcement efforts also remain a critical
513
514
     part of our work. Over the last year, we've been
     particularly focused on fraud that harms veterans, service
515
516
     members, and older Americans. For example, our first action
     under the Military Lending Act, which we brought together
517
     with 18 states, required a national retailer to pay over 10
518
     million dollars in refunds for cheating military families
519
     with illegal financing and sale tactics.
520
521
          We've also been very active in preventing and
     remediating fraud against elder Americans, and this remains a
522
     core part of our work. Many of these successes reflect years
523
     or work by Commission staff and I'm honored to call them
524
525
     colleagues.
526
          Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify, and I
     look forward to your questions.
527
          [The prepared statement of the FTC follows:]
528
529
     530
```

531

532	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the Chair.
533	Our next witness is the Honorable Rebecca Slaughter,
534	Commissioner of the FTC, and we appreciate you appearing
535	today, and you're recognized for five minutes.
536	

STATEMENT OF THE HON. REBECCA SLAUGHTER 537 538 *Ms. Slaughter. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking 539 540 Member Schakowsky, Chair McMorris Rodgers, and Ranking Member Pallone, and members of the subcommittee. I'm grateful for 541 your invitation to testify today about the vital work of the 542 Federal Trade Commission. 543 As you know, I'm somewhat under the weather, but I'm 544 545 committed to being here, if I could, because of the value I place on the oversight work of this committee. 546 The two themes I will emphasize today are resources and 547 authorities. Our talented staff have extremely full plates 548 policing everything from dark patterns and data abuses in 549 novel digital markets to old school deceptive advertising and 550 hardcore fraud across nearly the entire economy. 551 I meet regularly with our staff, and the top concern I 552 hear from them is that we lack adequate resources to do the 553 job. This has been true in each and every year of my 554 555 service, especially as we take on the biggest and richest firms on behalf of the American people. One salient data 556 point. We had 50 percent more employees at the beginning of 557 the Reagan Administration than we do today. 558

But today, I have a new message about FTC resources. 559 560 Thank you. Thank you, Congress, for investing in the Commission's work, enabling us to retain expert staff, 561 562 conduct complex investigations, deepen our market expertise, and even have a more fair shot in litigation against some of 563 the wealthiest companies in the world. The appropriation 564 authorized at the end of last year gave this Commission a 565 significant budget increase for which we are deeply grateful. 566 567 This wise investment is a crucial downpayment on empowering the FTC to police modern markets, but yes, more is needed. 568 Just consider that our division of privacy and identity 569 protection has around 50 staff; whereas, for example, the 570 information commissioner's office in the UK boasts a staff of 571 nearly 1,000. That's about 20 times as many staff in a 572 nation with about a fifth of our population. So thank you 573 and please continue to invest in the FTC. Americans deserve 574 no less. 575 In addition to more resources, more robust authorities 576 577 are necessary for the FTC to effectively protect American consumers. I will touch on two that are well-known to this 578 subcommittee. The first is the opportunity before you to 579 pass a comprehensive national privacy law. Thank you for 580

your hard work on this project, which included passing a bill 581 582 out of the full committee on a bipartisan basis during the last Congress. I continue to believe that the passage of 583 584 such a bill represents the best possible path for protecting Americans from data abuses. 585 As you know, last year we launched an advanced notice of 586 proposed rulemaking on commercial surveillance and lax data 587 security under Section 18 of the FTC Act. The record we 588 589 develop in that process may allow us to promulgate rules to address prevalent practices that violate the FTC Act. 590 is more than we've been able to do through one-off 591 enforcement actions, but substantially less than Congress 592 could do with a new bill. 593 594 I heartily recommend to you and your staff the large comment record our rulemaking has already developed as an 595 important historic purpose in the privacy field. And I know 596 that as our work continues, we stand ready and willing to 597 support your efforts to enact a comprehensive national 598 599 privacy law. Even as we look forward to the day when Americans are 600 better protected from the novel harms of the 21st Century's 601 data-driven economy, we must not lose sight of the urgent 602

need to restore one of the 20th Century's best tools for 603 protecting consumers, Section 13(b) of the FTC Act. As you 604 know, for more than 40 years under bipartisan administrations 605 606 and with the blessings of eight circuit courts, the FTC brought actions in federal court to return money to consumers 607 from whom it was unlawfully taken. 608 Unfortunately, two years ago the Supreme Court decided 609 in AMG Capital Management, that Section 13(b) does not 610 611 authorize equitable monetary relief. I am grateful to this subcommittee for your urgent work to fix Section 13(b) to 612 make explicit that courts can order law violators to return 613 the money they wrongfully took. 614 While Congress continues to work on this issue, the 615 Commission is using every available tool to deliver justice 616 to harmed consumers. We are partnering wherever possible 617 with state enforcers who have redress authority. We are 618 exploring new rulemakings which can enable consumer redress, 619 and we are bringing actions under Section 19 which allows 620 621 limited redress. I applaud these heroic efforts of our aggressive and 622 creative staff and terrific enforcement partners, but make no 623 mistake, it is not enough. We need a Section 13(b) fix, so I 624

625	implore you to keep at it. Your constituents deserve to be
626	made whole when they get ripped off.
627	Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.
628	[The prepared statement of the FTC follows:]
629	
630	**************************************
631	

632	*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the Commissioner.
633	And now our final witness is the Honorable Alvaro
634	Bedoya, again a commissioner of the FTC. You're recognized,
635	sir, for five minutes.
636	

STATEMENT OF THE HON. ALVARO BEDOYA

637

653

658

638 *Mr. Bedoya. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member 639 640 Schakowsky, Chair Rodgers, Ranking Member Pallone, members of the subcommittee, good morning. I'm really grateful for the 641 chance to appear before you, particularly alongside my 642 colleagues, Chair Khan and Commissioner Slaughter. 643 I want to echo first of all their gratitude to you for 644 645 your support of our mission, and I want to echo their gratitude for our staff. All that work you're going to hear 646 about today, that's staff work, career staff, nonpartisan 647 staff working overtime despite some pretty substantial 648 ongoing resource constraints to protect the American public. 649 I'd love to focus on one priority that is front of mind 650 for parents across the country, and that's the teen mental 651 health crisis, and specifically the role of social media in 652

the subcommittee and the full committee. You are leading
many of the key efforts to address it, and so I want to tell
you about the work we're doing at the Commission on that
front.

that crisis. This is a bipartisan priority for members of

The first thing we're doing is digging deep into the

research. Just this last week, staff and I met with leaders 659 at the National Institute of Health to discuss that research, 660 the existing findings. We've also met with the top 661 662 psychologists in the field to learn from them. We've met with leaders at the American Psychological Association, 663 American Academy of Pediatrics. And here is what I've 664 There is evidence that some uses of social media 665 do, in fact, hurt certain groups of children and teenagers. 666 667 Now, yes, there's important nuances and more targeted research is necessary, but this is not [indiscernible] panic. 668 There is a there there. 669 The second thing we're doing is building our expert 670 capacity on this issue. One of the many reasons I was proud 671 to support the bipartisan comprehensive privacy bill put 672 forward by your committee, Mr. Chairman, was because it 673 called on the Commission to create a division, focused on 674 youth privacy and marketing, and also directed the Commission 675 to hire psychologists to study precisely this issue. And I 676 677 want to get that done now. And so I'm pleased to share that it is now part of the Commission's strategic plan to explore 678 hiring psychologists on staff, and we are actively working on 679 doing that. 680

I've also started conversations with regulators in the 681 682 United Kingdom, and the Netherlands, and elsewhere to understand how they are enforcing their own laws in the area 683 684 and how they use psychologists in their own work. Third, we are bringing cases against companies we allege 685 are exacerbating this crisis. Research has shown that one of 686 the drivers of the teen mental health crisis online is the 687 harassment and verbal abuse they experience online. Chair 688 689 Khan mentioned the Commission's landmark case against the makers of Fortnite, and in that case we allege that Fortnite 690 preset its privacy settings in a way that allowed adults to 691 harass kids and teens. And our actions shut that down and 692 sent a message, a clear message in my view, to all companies 693 694 that that is not okay. If the members of the committee and subcommittee are 695 able to pass a comprehensive privacy bill which includes 696 additional protections for kids and teens, that will 697 strengthen our hand and we will use every bit of that 698 699 authority. In the meantime, I'll just say that I personally believe that we do have substantial authority to sue 700 companies who are designing their products in a way that 701 harms kids and teens' mental health. 702

Until that day, I want to say unequivocally to any 703 704 company that makes money by tracking where people go to the doctor, where they go for counseling, the medicine they take, 705 706 or for that matter where they worship, or where they go for addiction treatment, the Commission is watching, and has 707 brought several recent actions against companies that we 708 709 alleged broke the law by making money off of this sensitive data. 710 711 That's most of what I wanted to share. I'll end on another note. I was just sharing with some of the members of 712 the subcommittee before we started that we spend a lot of 713 time with our family in Louisiana, and so one thing I've 714 tried to as a commissioner is prioritize getting out of the 715 beltway and to visit small business leaders in rural America. 716 In the last year, I met with grocers in South Dakota, in 717 Utah, pharmacists in West Virginia, corn growers and 718 cattlemen in Iowa. 719 720 I am personally profoundly worried about what's 721 happening to grocery, pharmacy, and agriculture in small-town America, and I'm trying to do everything I can to understand 722 and to help. That's why I was proud to support our study 723 into pharmacy benefit managers, our recent action against 724

```
pesticide manufacturers, and our recent right to repair
725
726
     cases. And that's why I feel strongly that we should
     reinitiate enforcement of laws intended to provide a level
727
     playing field for small-town retailers.
728
          Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you
729
     today. I'm looking forward to your questions and -- on these
730
731
     or any other subjects. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
           [The prepared statement of the FTC follows:]
732
733
     ******************************
734
735
```

*Mr. Bilirakis. Thanks so much. Now I'll begin the 736 737 questioning, and I recognize myself for five minutes. Chair Khan, we have been troubled by the redirection of 738 739 FTC resources away from consumer protection, such as from consumer -- again, such as a focus on fraud and scams to 740 competition rulemakings, merger reviews, and suits that do 741 not appear to be very successful. The statistics back this 742 up as FTC data shows U.S. consumers have suffered billions of 743 744 dollars in losses due to fraud. By your own numbers, Madam Chair, a staggering 8.8 745 billion dollars in losses last year, which is 30 percent --746 it's a 30 percent increase over the previous year. 747 resources for your policy agenda are eating up valuable 748 career staff time and energy that could otherwise be used on 749 protecting consumers. 750 Why are -- the question is why are you wasting away the 751 resources we give you with new rulemakings and will you 752 753 instead commit to work with your colleagues, and hopefully 754 your future Republican colleagues, to move away from your current direction of progressive legal theories and focus 755 back on the fraud program at the FTC in bringing down this 756 sad statistic? So that's the first question, please. 757

*Ms. Khan. Chairman, addressing and taking on fraud 758 759 remains a core part of the FTC's work. Since I joined the Commission, we've brought over 60 law enforcement actions 760 761 targeting scams and frauds across the economy, be it pyramid schemes, healthcare fraud, Made in USA fraud. This remains a 762 core part of our work. 763 As you know, given the setback that we received in the 764 Supreme Court, it is much more difficult for us to return 765 766 money back to consumers when they have been defrauded. Our staff has been working heroically to activate other 767 authorities to make sure that we have hooks to get money back 768 in people's pockets. But there's no doubt that that was a 769 big setback in the courts, but we continue to focus on our 770 frauds -- addressing frauds and combatting frauds, and this 771 is going to continue to be a part of our work. 772 773 One change that we've also pursued is to be able to --*Mr. Bilirakis. Well, thank you for very much. Let me 774 775 go ahead and move on because you mentioned the 13(b) issue. 776 We both believe there should be a fix to ensure that defrauded consumers should get money returned to them. 777 Obviously, we both agree on that. And you had mentioned the 778 Supreme Court case. 779

After all, I led the only bipartisan effort in the 780 781 committee process last Congress to provide FTC with the authority under 13(b) to seek monetary relief. I know you 782 783 remember that. This effort, though, was ultimately rejected by the majority at the time and the rules committee, surely 784 at the behest of Speaker Pelosi. 785 Based on how the agency has abused other existing 786 statutory authorities, there's no doubt we must provide 787 788 crystal clear quidelines on how the FTC pursues monetary relief in its consumer protection cases. That kind of 789 clarity will go a long way in furthering the 13(b) dialogue. 790 So the question is will you commit to pursuing a 791 sensible policy here that works in unison with standards of 792 your other statutes and the history of cases previously 793 chairs have pursued? Yes or no, please. 794 *Ms. Khan. I would be thrilled to work with Congress to 795 get a fix to 13(b), and I know our staffs have been working 796 797 with your teams. *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. Will you commit to working with 798 the Bureau of Economics to issue a monetary policy statement 799 to shed more light on how the FTC calculates monetary relief 800 and civil penalties in consumer protection matters? Yes or 801

no, please. 802 *Ms. Khan. There is a set of legal considerations that 803 we take into account. 804 805 *Mr. Bilirakis. Can you please answer yes or no? *Ms. Khan. We're happy to provide more information 806 about how we go about making those calculations. 807 anchored in law. We have to follow the law when we're making 808 these calculations. 809 810 *Mr. Bilirakis. Well, I want to work with you on this, but we've got to get it done. Will you commit to ensuring 811 procedural due process with this policy and with all other 812 enforcement actions your agency takes? Yes or no, please. 813 *Ms. Khan. Of course, due process is critical, and the 814 815 FTC vigorously follows due process. *Mr. Bilirakis. All right, let me go ahead and answer -816 - ask you one more question since I have the time. Chair 817 Khan, last year the Office of Inspector General conducted an 818 audit of the FTC's unpaid consultant and expert program. 819 Unfortunately -- and I know you're aware of this and the 820 entire Commission is. Unfortunately, it found that there 821 were limited controls in place to mitigate the risk involved 822 in the program and that these unpaid academics were doing 823

essential government functions which should only be reserved 824 825 for federal employees under law. I think you know that, too. OIG put three significant recommendations in its report, 826 827 all of which are still considered open. Why is that, and what are you doing to address the independent watchdog's 828 concerns? Have you addressed this? Are you in the process 829 of addressing this? Please, because we need an answer. 830 *Ms. Khan. Yes, Congressman, we've addressed all of the 831 832 process improvements that the IG had identified. As you know, we have -- we followed longstanding government 833 authorities to bring on outside experts to pursue critical 834 work, including work relating to AI, work relating to 835 children's privacy. These experts are a critical part of 836 being able to make sure we have the technical expertise in 837 838 key areas. *Mr. Bilirakis. Well, I would like for you to -- I'd 839 like additional questioning with regard to that because, 840 again, as you know, not being federal employees, they don't 841 really have the right to do -- I think they're overreaching. 842 So we do need to address this issue even more, and I 843 understand it's considered open. 844 So let me go ahead and conclude. I'll yield back, and 845

I'll recognize the ranking member of the subcommittee, Ms. 846 847 Schakowsky, for her five minutes, please. *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much. I wanted to ask 848 849 Chair Khan this question. The issue of privacy -comprehensive privacy legislation has come up and you do have 850 some -- have engaged in some rulemaking on that. What I 851 wanted to ask you, why do you think it's important, despite 852 the role of the FTC, for us to have comprehensive privacy 853 854 legislation, and how would it fit with what the FTC does to protect consumer privacy? 855 There's no question that Congress passing *Ms. Khan. 856 strong, comprehensive federal privacy legislation is the best 857 option here. We, through our work, see day after day that 858 the cost of privacy violations are real, they're serious, 859 they lead to stalking, stigmatization, people's sensitive 860 information being exposed, huge hacks. And so action in this 861 area is critical. 862 Of course, what that legislation ended up looking like 863 864 the details would matter in terms of our subsequent work, but we would -- we stand ready to enforce any privacy law that 865 Congress passes and would be happy to continue working with 866 you all on that. 867

*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. 868 869 Commissioner Slaughter, you were talking about 13(b), and you heard from the chair that we are very concerned about 870 871 the fact that now consumers can't be made whole in any way. Do you have any estimate of how much money would actually be 872 returned to consumers, I don't know, with any particular 873 period that you could cite, if 13(b) did not prevent that 874 from happening? 875 876 *Ms. Slaughter. Thank you. I can give you one data point, which is that in the 10 years prior to AMG, the 877 Commission returned about 10 billion dollars to consumers in 878 redress. It's very difficult to calculate what we are 879 missing now, in part because of our creative efforts to fill 880 881 the gap, and in part because in addition to making it harder to get money back to consumers, the lack of 13(b) authority 882 actually makes it harder for us to get companies to enter 883 into settlement because there isn't a monetary cost to them 884 for violating the law if they're only subject to injunctive 885 886 relief. So it's hard to make a prospective number, but the data 887 from the past indicates that we were able to return many, 888 many, many times the agency's annual budget to consumers 889

every year. 890 *Ms. Schakowsky. 10 billion dollars that now 891 fraudsters, you know, that -- that there's no help for 892 893 consumers. This is really, I think, an urgent situation. I also wanted to ask about FTC whistleblowers. Any one 894 of you could answer. I am interested in passing legislation 895 that would protect whistleblowers. I don't know if --896 *Ms. Khan. I'm happy to --897 898 *Ms. Schakowsky. -- Mr. Bedoya would want to or 899 Chairman Khan. *Ms. Khan. I'll just say briefly, whistleblowers play 900 an incredibly important function, shedding light on 901 wrongdoing. Oftentimes, at great personal expense. And so 902 giving the FTC the ability to grant protections to 903 whistleblowers would be really important. 904 *Ms. Schakowsky. Also, Chair Khan, can you describe 905 some of the recent FTC privacy cases and protections that 906 you've been involved in? 907 908 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, I'd be happy to. So one of the key areas of focus for us has been protecting American's privacy 909 when it comes to sensitive information. That can include 910 sensitive health information, it can include sensitive 911

geolocation information. One of the companies that we sued 912 913 last year was Kochava, a data broker that was allowing others to buy information that identified in granular detail where 914 915 people were going, whether Americans were going to addiction facilities, whether they were going to church, and this was 916 information that was pretty easily available for sale. 917 took a law enforcement action; we're still litigating that 918 case, basically alleging that that was an unfair practice. 919 920 We've also recently brought actions against two healthcare companies, GoodRx and BetterHelp. These were 921 companies that collected sensitive health information from 922 consumers on the pretext of using it for providing health-923 related services but then ended up turning around and 924 actually making it available for advertising, and effectively 925 making it easy to monetize that sensitive information. 926 that was another area where we've been bringing actions, and 927 we remain devoted to fully protecting American's privacy. 928 *Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much. And my time is up, 929 930 I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the ranking member, and now I 931 recognize the chairwoman of the full committee, Ms. Rodgers, 932 for her five minutes. 933

*The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Chair Khan. As 934 935 you know, Ranking Member Pallone and I have been working on privacy data security legislation. Last year I expressed to 936 937 you my concerns on your so-called commercial surveillance rulemaking on data privacy. It was announced just weeks 938 after this committee had passed the bill 53 to 2. 939 I wanted to ask, once we've enacted our legislation, it 940 is a top priority for this Congress, do we have your 941 942 commitment that you will suspend this proceeding and focus FTC's resources on implementing the laws enacted as well as 943 stick to the narrow contours of the rulemaking authority that 944 we prescribe? Yes or no. 945 *Ms. Khan. Congresswoman, absolutely. 946 947 *The Chair. Thank you. *Ms. Khan. We stand ready to enforce any privacy 948 legislation that Congress passes. 949 *The Chair. Thank you. 950 Commissioner Slaughter and Bedoya, do we -- do you 951 952 agree? Yes or no. *Ms. Slaughter. Yes. 953 *The Chair. Thank you. 954 *Mr. Bedoya. Yes. 955

*The Chair. Thank you. 956 957 Chair Khan, I'd like to pivot to ethics, which are essential to maintaining public trust in government officials 958 959 like yourself. Do you recall the senate questionnaire you filled out for the position you're in now? Yes or no. 960 *Ms. Khan. Yes. 961 *The Chair. Within that document, you recall a 962 referendum -- or a reference to a commitment on seeking 963 964 advice from the FTC's "Designated Agency Ethics Official known as DAEO,'' yes or no? 965 *Ms. Khan. Yes. 966 *The Chair. Do you recall the nature of what that 967 commitment would be? 968 *Ms. Khan. Sorry, could you repeat --969 *The Chair. Do you recall the nature of what that 970 commitment would be? 971 *Ms. Khan. Consulting with the ethics official, yes. 972 *The Chair. Can you confirm that it relates to 973 conflicts of interest? 974 *Ms. Khan. Yes. 975 *The Chair. Are there any instances where you've not 976 followed DAEO's advice? Yes or no, and could you list any? 977

*Ms. Khan. No, I've -- in instances where companies 978 979 like Facebook or Amazon petition for my recusal, I have consulted with the DAEO and have taken actions that are 980 981 consistent with the legal statements that the DAEO has made. *The Chair. Thank you. 982 Commissioner Slaughter, and I'd like to -- you to 983 respond each to these questions as well, Commissioner Bedoya. 984 Would you have concerns with an FTC commissioner not 985 986 following the recommendations of DAEO? Yes or no. *Ms. Slaughter. Thank you, Congresswoman. I think our 987 job in all instances is to consult with our expert staff, 988 including the DAEO, study the underlying law, the underlying 989 ethics rules, and come to our own conclusions. 990 *The Chair. Would you have concerns? I quess my --991 going back to my question, would you have concerns with an 992 FTC commissioner not following the recommendations of DAEO? 993 *Ms. Slaughter. I would have huge concerns with an FTC 994 commissioner not following the requirements of the law --995 996 *The Chair. Okav. *Ms. Slaughter. -- and the requirements of the ethics 997 998 rules.

999

*The Chair. Thank you. I'm just going to reclaim my

- time because I got a lot to get through here. Bedoya --1000 1001 Commissioner Bedoya? *Mr. Bedoya. Thank you, Chair Rodgers. As Commissioner 1002 1003 Slaughter said, I'd have concerns if someone didn't consult with ethics officials, and it's my understanding and belief 1004 that Chair Khan did, in fact -- has, in fact, complied with 1005 her ethics obligations. 1006 *The Chair. Okay, thank you. Again, to both of the 1007 1008 other commissioners. When voting for a redaction on a 1009 recommendation for a recusal issue, are you aware that Congress can act -- ask for that unredacted version? Yes or 1010 1011 no.
- 1012 *Ms. Slaughter. Yes.
- 1013 *The Chair. Bedoya? Okay.
- 1014 *Mr. Bedoya. Yes.
- *The Chair. Okay. You were both senior congressional staffers, and I appreciate your work on the Hill. How would you have advised your boss to respond to reports that a senior political appointee was ignoring ethics guidance from an ethics official of an agency she or he led? Commissioner Slaughter.
- 1021 *Ms. Slaughter. Well, I think the oversight work of

this committee and all congressional committees is really 1022 vital to ensuring that agencies are acting properly, so any 1023 time Congress hears of a concern, I think it's appropriate 1024 1025 for Congress to look into it. *The Chair. Okay, thank you. Commissioner Bedoya. 1026 Same, Chair Rodgers. I would urge them to 1027 *Mr. Bedova. ask questions. 1028 *The Chair. Okay, thank you. 1029 1030 Chair Khan, you've told me how "congressional oversight is incredibly important, '' so we certainly agree on that. 1031 And in your Senate confirmation questionnaire, you include 1032 your own congressional oversight experience. On Page 18 of 1033 that questionnaire you said that you would "ensure that your 1034 1035 department complies with deadlines for information set by congressional committees'' and that your department 1036 "endeavors to timely comply with requests for information 1037 from individual members of Congress.'' 1038 Do you feel like you have upheld this commitment to 1039 1040 Congress? Yes or no. 1041 *Ms. Khan. Yes, I do. *The Chair. I and members of this committee and other 1042

committees have asked you on multiple occasions for detailed

1043

answers to your -- to our questions. Yes or no, do you 1044 1045 believe that you've sufficiently answered these requests? *Ms. Khan. Yes. I know those conversations are 1046 1047 ongoing, but we have been engaging in good faith and already provided significant information. 1048 *The Chair. That seems inconsistent with the letters 1049 that you've sent back to us. For instance, in a letter that 1050 this committee sent to you on December 8, 2021, the committee 1051 1052 asked you why you proposed deleting without unduly burdening legitimate business activity from the FTC's Mission 1053 Statement, the quardrail that has existed under both 1054 Republican and Democrat administrations. 1055 1056 When the committee asked about the consultation you had 1057 with other commissioners to reach this consensus, you responded with, "The agency is currently considering the 1058 comments received on the draft strategic plan, including some 1059 comments that raise questions about the elimination.'' You 1060 go on to say, "The Commission will consider these comments 1061 1062 carefully when it votes on the strategic plan.'' You know, it seems to me that you had -- you've already 1063 made up your mind, irrespective of the concerns that are 1064 shared. And this is just one example of vague or misleading 1065

answers that do not tell the whole story, and I just urge you 1066 to be responsive to the people's House. This committee in 1067 particular. We are the representatives of the people. 1068 1069 I yield back, Mr. Chairman. *Mr. Bilirakis. The Chairwoman yields back. Now I'll 1070 recognize the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. 1071 Pallone, for his five minutes of questioning. 1072 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis. 1073 1074 Last Congress, our subcommittee made historic progress on a comprehensive data privacy bill, and that legislation 1075 focused on data minimization, rather than notice and consent, 1076 as the best way to protect consumer's privacy, and it passed 1077 out of our committee on a 53 to 2 bipartisan basis. 1078 1079 FTC's written testimony acknowledges that the traditional 1080 notice and consent framework for protecting consumer privacy is insufficient. 1081 So let me ask, Chair Khan, do you agree that data 1082 minimization is an important bedrock principle for ensuring 1083 1084 consumers have meaningful privacy protections in the modern 1085 online economy? *Ms. Khan. Absolutely. I think time and time again 1086

we've seen how minimizing the data the companies are

1087

collecting on the front end is critical to ensuring the 1088 1089 consumer's privacy is protected and also has huge benefits for data security because if there's less data collecting --1090 1091 they are collecting on the front end, that means there is less opportunity for major hacks that would expose 1092 significant data. 1093 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. Now I understand that in the 1094 absence of a comprehensive federal privacy statute the FTC 1095 1096 has historically protected consumer data privacy using the FTC's general authority to enforce against unfair and 1097 deceptive business practices. But my question is, can you 1098 discuss what challenges the FTC faces using that unfair and 1099 deceptive practice as authority to protect consumer privacy? 1100 *Ms. Khan. Yeah. First of all, I'll say, I mean, any 1101 instance in which Congress is providing greater 1102 specification, including through bright-line rules and clear 1103 restrictions, that's always the best course. For us, we have 1104 been using our unfair and deceptive acts or practices 1105 1106 authority for some time with great success. Of course, in the wake of the Supreme Court's decision to say we can no 1107 longer get monetary equitable relief through Section 13(b) of 1108 the FTC Act, it means that our opportunities for remedies are 1109

1110

quite limited, and so that would be one area where I think we 1111 see significant shortfalls. *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. Now let me say that I 1112 1113 appreciate the breadth and importance of the FTC's consumer protection work. And in your written testimony, you estimate 1114 that for fiscal year 2022 every dollar of the FTC's cost 1115 returned an estimated thirty dollars in FTC provided benefits 1116 to consumers. So let me ask you that question. Can you 1117 1118 please elaborate on your return on investment analysis, and what sorts of benefits do consumers receive from the FTC's 1119 consumer protection work? 1120 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, absolutely. I mean, we really are a 1121 small agency, but we punch above our weight. I think those 1122 1123 numbers really speak for themselves. And despite set -getting the setback that we did, our staff have been able to 1124 activate other authorities to make sure that if companies 1125 are, for example, scamming the American people, or trapping 1126 them into unwanted subscriptions, or engaging in Made in USA 1127 1128 fraud, these are all the types of instances in which we can actually get money back in people's pockets, and so these are 1129 all important areas of our work. 1130 *Mr. Pallone. Okay. Now how will the increase in 1131

funding and staff that you're requesting help expand the 1132 1133 Commission's consumer protection work? *Ms. Khan. So this is something we've been thinking 1134 1135 about quite significantly, especially in light of the additional funds that we were very grateful to receive this 1136 There are a few areas that we're really focused 1137 time around. on. One is making sure that we have in house the expertise 1138 needed to fully understand how these technologies are 1139 1140 working, fully grasping really the inners of how these tools are functioning. And to that end, we were really thrilled to 1141 be able to launch an Office of Technology earlier this year. 1142 We've already doubled the number of technologists that 1143 we've had in-house. As my colleague mentioned, Commissioner 1144 1145 Bedoya, we're also thinking about other types of expertise that we need to be bringing onboard alongside our terrific 1146 lawyers and our terrific economists, and, of course, growing 1147 their ranks, as my fellow commissioner, Commissioner 1148 Slaughter, noted including in the context of our privacy and 1149 1150 security work, that would be a key priority for us in this 1151 area was well. *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. I'm just going to go back 1152 because I have another minute. If either of the other 1153

commissioners could discuss my previous question about 1154 1155 challenges the FTC faces using unfair and deceptive practices authority to protect consumer privacy. Probably only have 1156 1157 time for one of you to answer. Who wants to answer? *Ms. Slaughter. I'm happy to answer. So, as you know, 1158 the FTC Act lays out a prohibition on unfair and deceptive 1159 acts and practices and says that for an act to be unfair it 1160 must cause substantial injury that's not reasonably 1161 1162 avoidable, and not offset by countervailing benefits. an important test, and it's one that allows us to reach some 1163 problematic data practices, but not all of them. 1164 And we cannot by rule -- I think it's really important 1165 to remember this, we cannot by rulemaking address practices 1166 1167 that are not prohibited under the FTC Act. So we can't do a rule that would target something that we can't target through 1168 an independent enforcement action. 1169 What you, this committee, did with your privacy bill 1170 last Congress was attack a whole -- a much broader swath of 1171 1172 problematic practices than we might likely be able to reach under the FTC Act's prohibitions, and that's really 1173 important. So we have seen our rulemaking effort as an 1174 important way to do more than we could do before and provide 1175

clarity to markets, and especially the small businesses and 1176 1177 honest businesses who want to know what the law prohibits, but it is not nearly what you can achieve in terms of passing 1178 1179 a law. *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. 1180 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1181 *Mr. Bilirakis. All right, thank you. The gentleman 1182 yields back. Now I'll recognize Dr. Bucshon for his five 1183 1184 minutes of questioning. 1185 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis, for calling today's hearing. 1186 When this committee last spoke with the Federal Trade 1187 Commissioners two years ago, I asked Commissioner Chopra 1188 1189 about rebate walls and other practices that stunt innovation and competition. Maybe perhaps I should have asked about the 1190 FTC's policies on zombie votes by a departed commissioner, 1191 gag orders on staff, or sidelining of the minority 1192 commissioners, since we don't have any. For all of the 1193 1194 statements being made and the rulemaking in the name of ensuring competition, it seems like the FTC, within its own 1195 ranks, have prioritized sidelining ideological competition to 1196 the progressive views of the current commissioners. 1197

I also want to say this, that fraud against seniors is 1198 out of control in this country. My -- I know this. My 1199 father passed away. About six months later I recognized my 1200 1201 mother could not manage her finances, and when I -- it took me two years to unravel it, and the amount of fraud that she 1202 had committed against her financially and otherwise was 1203 unbelievable. It's out of control, and whatever, you know, 1204 we can do about it is important. 1205 1206 The FTC obviously has done a lot of positive things, but let me focus on some of the concerns that I have, okay? 1207 Chair Khan, last September I cosigned a letter for you 1208 expressing -- to you expressing support for the renewal of 1209 the FTC's Franchise Rule in its current form, as franchises 1210 create a path to -- pathway to entrepreneurship for my 1211 constituents, especially among women and people of color. 1212 March 10th the FTC put out a request for information on the 1213 business practices of franchises in relation to the rule 1214 amidst growing concern around unfair and deceptive practices 1215 1216 in the franchise industry. First, I'll note the franchise -- franchising is a 1217 business model not an industry. More importantly, what are 1218 the specific unfair and deceptive franchising practices this 1219

refers to? 1220 1221 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congressman. So we have been hearing for over a year now from franchises about a set of 1222 1223 practices that are quite troubling. Month after month franchises come to our open Commission meetings where they 1224 can speak directly to commissioners and raise issues of 1225 1226 concerns. And so we thought it was important not just to be 1227 1228 collecting this information anecdotally but to actually do a systematic look at what's really going on here, what is the 1229 relationship between the franchisees and the franchisors? 1230 Are there types of practices that we should be taking a 1231 1232 closer look at? Are there practices that may be unlawful? 1233 And so that's why we issued the RFI. We've already started getting some submissions and really look forward to 1234 taking a close look at whatever comes in. 1235 *Mr. Bucshon. Okay. Do you plan on pursuing a rule 1236 governing the franchise relationship with the -- this RFI as 1237 1238 a basis? *Ms. Khan. So as you know, we already have the 1239 Franchise Rule in place --1240 *Mr. Bucshon. Right. 1241

*Ms. Khan. -- which governs the disclosures the 1242 1243 franchisor --*Mr. Bucshon. Well, you're -- you want to change it. 1244 1245 So I understand --*Ms. Khan. Well, we have a --1246 *Mr. Bucshon. So you're going to use the RFI to justify 1247 changing that, right? 1248 *Ms. Khan. No, these are separate procedures. And one 1249 1250 reason why we launched the RFI is because there were issues 1251 we were hearing about that are not governed by the Franchise Rule. So it was really in order to make sure that if we need 1252 to do subsequent enforcement activity separate from what's 1253 1254 covered by the Franchise Rule, that we have the information 1255 we need. *Mr. Bucshon. Okay. And I think, I mean, if we're 1256 honest with ourself, I mean, obviously I have a certain 1257 viewpoint but, I mean, this is about organized labor wanting 1258 to organize all the employees at franchisees across the 1259 1260 country. We all know that. I mean, it's about -- yeah, there are -- there might be some problems, but what it's 1261 about is taking a large organization that has non-corporate 1262 restaurants that are franchised restaurants and the Democrat 1263

```
party and the organized labor are unhappy that they can't
1264
1265
      organize all these people say at Company X because one place
      may have 40 employees, 50 employees, and put it under one
1266
1267
      corporate -- force it under one corporate head so they have
      25,000 employees that can be organized by organized labor.
1268
           I mean, this -- I bet -- this has been an issue for
1269
      years and years and years. And if that's the goal, you know,
1270
      then using a federal agency to assist organized labor in this
1271
1272
      effort is wrong.
           *Ms. Khan. Congressman, respectfully, this is about
1273
      small business owners. The types of people that we are
1274
      hearing from are hotel owners, owners of fast food
1275
      franchises. This is really about the potentially abusive
1276
1277
      practices that they've been suffering. It really is not
      connected to the some of the issues --
1278
           *Mr. Bucshon. Well, the ones I talk to, it -- they want
1279
      -- they're concerned that they want to have three or four
1280
      different worker unions within their local McDonald's. And
1281
1282
      look, I've said for years and years publicly that if we want
      to spend twenty-five dollars for a hamburger, and fries, and
1283
      Coke at McDonald's, then I'm all -- I'm -- go ahead, because
1284
      that's what's going to happen if you use the FTC to force
1285
```

organized labor's agenda. I yield back. 1286 1287 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now I'll recognize Representative Kelly for her five minutes of 1288 1289 questioning. *Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Chair Bilirakis and Ranking 1290 Member Schakowsky for holding this very important hearing. I 1291 also want to commend and thank our three witnesses for your 1292 service at our nations' chief consumer protection agency as 1293 1294 well as your staff. Last Congress when F -- the FTC testified before the 1295 committee, we discussed several challenges the agency was 1296 facing, notably the uptick in consumer complaints to the 1297 agency about a broad range of pandemic-related marketplace 1298 abuses. I found this dialogue particularly concerning 1299 because I often heard from constituents, many of them seniors 1300 living in my district, about how the pandemic put a squeeze 1301 on their finances, as my colleague just talked about. 1302 At a time when seniors had so much to worry about, I 1303 1304 felt moved to introduce legislation to help stop our vulnerable populations from falling victim to scam callers. 1305 That led me to introduce the Protecting Seniors From 1306 Emergency Scams Act, which requires your agency to report on 1307

an increased awareness regarding scams targeting older 1308 1309 adults. Chair Khan, do you think it would be beneficial to 1310 1311 consumers if there was a known centralized location to receive current information about scams and contact 1312 information for law enforcement and adult protective services 1313 agencies? 1314 Thanks, Congresswoman. 1315 There's no doubt 1316 that whenever you make it easier for consumers to access that type of information that's a benefit for consumers but also 1317 to us from an enforcement perspective. 1318 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you for your response. I find these 1319 scams troubling and am looking forward to reintroducing this 1320 1321 bill this Congress so the House may once again pass it out of 1322 the chamber. Now I would like to shift focus to another FTC-related 1323 bill that I introduced last Congress, the Consumer Equity 1324 Protection Act, which would establish a federal task force to 1325 1326 advise the FTC on issues of equity impacting consumers of protected classes. This bill is important because we know 1327 that many times folks are targeted based on their identity as 1328 a member of a certain protected class like age, race, 1329

religion, sexual orientation, or disability, which I can 1330 1331 relate to now. Chair Khan, I'm aware that over the years the FTC has 1332 1333 released a few reports, notably the Serving Communities of Color and Combatting Fraud in African American and Latino 1334 Community reports that detailed fraud and consumer issues 1335 that have a disproportionate negative impact on communities 1336 of color. And last Congress, when you and then Commissioner 1337 1338 Chopra testified, you all acknowledged the method of discrimination has become more sophisticated and subtle as 1339 technological tools are enabling discrimination in targeting 1340 of individuals belonging to protected classes. 1341 So given this growing threat, how would creating a task 1342 1343 force help the FTC to protect minorities from fraud and 1344 abuse? This is absolutely a big area of concern. 1345 One thing that we do as part of our Every Community 1346 Initiative is actually do some data analysis to see what are 1347 1348 the consumer complaints coming in and are those mapping onto greater abuse or greater fraud being targeted at particular 1349 communities, and we absolutely see that those trends 1350 continue. 1351

We've been able to pursue enforcement actions in this 1352 area. Last year we brought our action involving Passport, 1353 this auto dealer that had been charging higher fees to Black 1354 1355 consumers, to Latino consumers, and raising costs for them as well as attaching all sorts of junk fees. So we're taking 1356 enforcement actions in this area as well as continuing to 1357 identify trends. 1358 You're absolutely right that the growing digitization of 1359 1360 our economy is creating all sorts of additional covert ways that companies can be engaging in discrimination. As we 1361 bring on additional expertise, including technologic --1362 technologists, this is an additional area that we're hoping 1363 to dig more into. 1364 1365 *Ms. Kelly. So it's funny you brought up the Passport Auto case because I was going to ask you about that and what 1366 you intend to do to further emphasize the -- this application 1367 of unfairness doctrine and why this is important. 1368 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, absolutely. In this case, we saw that 1369 1370 there was substantial injury stemming from the fact that Black and Latino consumers were being charged more fees than 1371 their white counterparts, and that this was not reasonably 1372 avoidable, and that there were no accounting vailing benefits 1373

to this discrimination. So we thought it was important to 1374 put the market on notice that we viewed this as an unfair 1375 1376 practice and this is absolutely an area that we're going to 1377 continue to be looking at. *Ms. Kelly. Thank you. And I yield back. 1378 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, I appreciate that. 1379 I'll recognize the vice chairman of the subcommittee, Mr. 1380 Walberg, from the great State of Michigan for five minutes of 1381 1382 questioning. *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thanks to 1383 the Commission members for being here and appreciate some of 1384 your updates on some of the successes you had. I think 1385 successes, to some degree, we could agree with. 1386 1387 Commissioner Khan, you and I talked about child online 1388 data security and my concerns with that, and I appreciate efforts there. Consumer subscription cancellations and 1389 concerns that are there. And other things. We certainly 1390 would expect you to give us some wins. 1391 1392 But here in the committee we're going to be talking about what we think are losses and some concerns that we've 1393 received not only from businesses and consumers but even from 1394 staff -- former staff members and commissioners of the FTC. 1395

So with that acknowledgement of the filter that we have, I'd 1396 -- I want to ask some pointed questions. 1397 Chairwoman Khan, I want to follow up on some things that 1398 1399 Chair Rodgers referenced earlier regarding your decision to strike those words in the FTC's Mission Statement, namely 1400 without unduly burdening legitimate business activity. 1401 message that I believe that that sent to an already 1402 challenged American private sector struggling to rebound from 1403 1404 COVID-19 shutdowns was quite simply, and these would be my words, consider yourself guilty of accusations from the FTC 1405 unless you can prove otherwise. 1406 If that isn't a reality, it's still 1407 That's a concern. an assumption that many could make. Legitimate businesses 1408 1409 shouldn't have to worry about receiving a letter from the FTC warning them of significant fines because you are not 1410 properly dedicating resources to go after a specific bad 1411 actor. On multiple occasions now, the FTC has sent in bulk 1412 over 700 letters to companies, including just last week, 1413 1414 putting them into the interrogation spotlight as they perceive and potentially imitating -- intimidating them into 1415 submission. 1416 Now I don't think this is the best way to protect 1417

consumers, and it may have even caused more harm than good. 1418 Some could even say it's becoming evident that the present 1419 mission statement is not to protect consumers but rather to 1420 1421 advance a radical, woke, progressive Biden Administration scheme to make every sector in our economy, and to punish the 1422 private sector, when the law does not allow for such 1423 punishment. You have used process to make legal activity 1424 difficult to achieve and a means of punishment. 1425 1426 Not surprisingly, this bulk mail approach to the private sector has now led to scammers making the most of the FTC's 1427 new unorthodox practice. Last week, the FTC tweeted out a 1428 warning to be on lookout for -- from -- fake letters from Sam 1429 Levine, Director of the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection, 1430 1431 threatening to take action against them. One should wonder, was this threat to America's private sector created by the 1432 Commission's own actions? 1433 And so, Chair Khan, this apparent attempt to become the 1434 national overlord has caused more harm than good. Do you 1435 1436 plan to extend or end these actions? *Ms. Khan. Congressman, first of all, I'll just say on 1437 our strategic plan, that phrase that you mentioned, remains 1438 in our strategic plan. It was really a question of the 1439

Mission Statement, what is the term -- you know, what is a 1440 statement that best represents the essence of what the FTC 1441 does. 1442 1443 There was a really terrific cross-agency working group made up of staff. They really spent a lot of time thinking 1444 about that question and determined that we really wanted to 1445 focus on who were the communities that we're focused on 1446 protecting, what are the legal authorities we're enforcing. 1447 1448 On the second issue, Section 5(m)(1)(B) of the FTC Act gives us the authority to put companies on notice when 1449 there's a particular practice that the FTC has determined is 1450 unlawful and issued a cease and desist order. So if by many 1451 measures, to my mind, this is a good government practice 1452 1453 because we're putting market participants on notice about --*Mr. Walberg. But if I could jump in here and say the 1454 fact that, you know, the aggressive approach has not been 1455 effective to the point that you have not won on a number of 1456 those cases, and that's one of the biggest concerns that we 1457 1458 address. As you've perceived, this is your purpose; yet, underlying it are failures at that level. Let's --1459 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, respectfully, we haven't 1460 actually lost any litigations relating to a notice of penalty 1461

offense authority. 1462 1463 *Mr. Walberg. But many losses because of the perception of the aggressive nature that you've taken that's been 1464 1465 outside of what your purpose is, and especially when you attempted to take those phrases -- that phrase out of your 1466 Mission Statement. 1467 I wish we could go on, but I see my time has ended, so I 1468 yield back. 1469 1470 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. recognize Representative Castor from the great State of 1471 Florida for her five minutes of questioning. 1472 *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank 1473 you to our FTC Commissioners for being here today. 1474 1475 Members, it is urgent that the Congress pass a comprehensive data privacy law that protects the personal 1476 privacy of Americans, especially our kids. Luckily, we have 1477 a bipartisan bill to do just that, the American Data Privacy 1478 and Protection Act, and I urge Chair Rodgers and Ranking 1479 1480 Member Pallone to introduce a markup of the ADPPA as soon as 1481 possible. Congress is late to the game here. Other countries have 1482 acted. We are behind. But, fortunately, the FTC has used 1483

its powers to protect Americans from unfair trade practices 1484 1485 in this area. But I'm particularly concerned about the impact on 1486 children, and I know you are, too, based upon what you've 1487 done. For many years, I've called attention to the ways in 1488 which Big Tech platforms are surveilling, tracking, and 1489 collecting our most private information in pursuit of 1490 profits. This is especially concerning for children. 1491 1492 I've raised the alarm again and again about how these surveillance practices harm kids and teens, and how Big Tech 1493 platforms use the manipulative design to addict kids to their 1494 products and funnel them towards harmful content. 1495 That's why I'm planning on reintroducing the Kids Privacy Act to ensure 1496 1497 tech platforms build in privacy and safety in kid-directed products during their design. The FTC is also working to 1498 protect children online, and thank you for that, but you need 1499 to do more. 1500 Chair Khan, could you please give us an update on the 1501 1502 Childrens Online Privacy Protection Act rule review and the 6(b) investigations the FTC is conducting into social media 1503 and video streaming services? 1504 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congressman, and thanks for your 1505

leadership on this issue. 1506 1507 So both of these are currently ongoing. When we initiated the rule review, we ended up getting over 170,000 1508 1509 comments as part of our public record, so our staff has been diligently reviewing those comments and identifying what 1510 potential revisions to the rule could look like. Of course, 1511 that hasn't stopped us from continuing to enforce COPPA, and 1512 we've been really thrilled to be able to see strong 1513 1514 enforcement actions on that front. In terms of the 6(b), that work also continues. As you 1515 know, these orders went out to several large companies that 1516 have significant resources to try to thwart the FTC from 1517 getting the information that we need, so we've been engaged 1518 1519 in various back and forth there but hope we'll be able to show progress publicly in short order. 1520 *Ms. Castor. And last year, the FTC published a policy 1521 statement on education technology and COPPA. The statement 1522 described how the Commission intends to scrutinize compliance 1523 1524 with the full breadth of the substantive prohibitions and requirements of the COPPA rule and statutory language. I'm 1525 very heartened by this approach. The statement specifically 1526 discusses COPPA's prohibition against mandatory collection, 1527

use prohibitions, retention prohibitions, and security 1528 1529 requirements. Chair Khan, please discuss how those prohibitions 1530 1531 currently in COPPA are informing the Commission's strategy and enforcement actions. 1532 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, this policy statement really laid out 1533 what are the key provisions of COPPA that go beyond the 1534 notice and consent framework and actually identify 1535 1536 substantive limitations on what companies can do. And so that includes, as you mentioned, you know, what they can do 1537 in terms of retention, what they can do in terms of 1538 collecting data in the first place. 1539 One of the things that the policy statement highlighted 1540 1541 was Section 3127 of COPPA, which basically says that covered companies cannot condition access to their technologies on 1542 people having to surrender to endless data collection. And 1543 we thought that especially in an era where kids are having to 1544 do their homework online, right, during the pandemic, that it 1545 1546 was especially important for Ed Tech providers to be on notice about that, so these are all provisions that we're 1547 fully committed to enforcing. 1548 *Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. 1549

And, Commission Slaughter, you've also been active in 1550 1551 this area. What do you have to add on this -- on the COPPA rule? 1552 1553 *Ms. Slaughter. Well, thank you. I think of these issues not just as an FTC commissioner but as a parent of 1554 four young kids who, candidly, are probably responsible for 1555 1556 the fact that I am sick today. And I think I see how hard I as a parent struggle and my kids struggle with managing their 1557 1558 interactions with technology, so I think it is really 1559 important. COPPA was a groundbreaking, revolutionary statute when 1560 it was passed, but as you yourself has -- have noted, its 1561 primary provisions, which are putting parents in the driver's 1562 1563 seat and operating on a notice and consent framework, are pretty outdated when it comes to today's economy. So we 1564 really are grateful for the work that you and your colleagues 1565 are doing to update this really important law and make sure 1566 it's fit for purpose in the 21st Century. 1567 1568 *Ms. Castor. Thank you. It's overdue, and I look forward to action by this committee and the Congress. 1569 you. I yield back. 1570 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. Now I'll 1571

1572	recognize Mr. Duncan from the great State of South Carolina.
1573	Thank you, you're recognized for five minutes of questioning,
1574	sir.
1575	*Mr. Duncan. Thank you. Chair Khan, I wrote a letter
1576	last month urging Secretary Raimondo and Ambassador Tai to
1577	deter foreign governments from pursuing policies or actions
1578	that target U.S. businesses and industries where the United
1579	States is a global leader. I ask unanimous consent to put
1580	that letter in the record.
1581	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1582	[The information follows:]
1583	
1584	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
1585	

```
*Mr. Duncan.
                         The EU Digital Marking Act is designed to
1586
1587
      harm U.S. tech companies and ultimately help Chinese
      companies take their place within the European market.
1588
1589
      EU has done a terrible job overregulating their own companies
      into oblivion, and now they seek to harm the U.S. companies
1590
      who have filled that void to the benefit of Chinese market
1591
                 This is a March 30, 2023, press release from the
1592
      FTC which seems to braq about the Commission's cooperation
1593
1594
      with the European Union's implementation of the deeply
      problematic DMA. I ask unanimous consent to enter that in
1595
      the record.
1596
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, without objection, so ordered.
1597
           [The information follows:]
1598
1599
      ********COMMITTEE INSERT******
1600
1601
```

*Mr. Duncan. We cannot allow foreign jurisdictions to 1602 1603 harm U.S. companies under the quise of competition policy. But in an interview you gave back in 2021, you stated, I 1604 1605 think it's no doubt the U.S. has been behind the curve, especially with regards to the European Commission. And you 1606 noted that you were in close -- you were close to -- in close 1607 touch with both folks at the CMA and European Commission on 1608 competition matters. 1609 1610 Chair Khan, under what legal authority do you send staff to implement the DMA, which is not antitrust law from the 1611 U.S. but regulations targeting U.S. companies? 1612 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, I'm proud of the international 1613 cooperation that the FTC has long pursued under many, many 1614 1615 chairs far preceding me. This has been a core part of the FTC's work to make sure that we are able to share with other 1616 jurisdictions the work that we are doing and also able to 1617 understand the different paths that other jurisdictions are 1618 taking. 1619 1620 *Mr. Duncan. I get that. *Ms. Khan. That type of information makes us --1621 *Mr. Duncan. You've also sought to undermine U.S. 1622 companies in the current negotiating round of the Indo-1623

- 1624 Pacific Economic Framework. The IPEF is used -- has used a
- 1625 U.S. MCA as the basis no-go negotiations.
- Yes or no, Chair Khan, did you and Jonathan Cantor of
- the Department of Justice send a letter to Ambassador Tai
- objecting to the competition chapter and the digital trade
- 1629 chapter and demand a seat at the negotiating table for future
- 1630 rounds?
- *Ms. Khan. We have been engaged with USTR about that
- 1632 agreement. We've all seen how these trade --
- 1633 *Mr. Duncan. Did you all send a letter?
- 1634 *Ms. Khan. Yes. We've all seen how --
- *Mr. Duncan. Can I get a copy of that, please?
- 1636 *Ms. Khan. I'm happy to engage with your staff to see
- 1637 what we can share with you.
- 1638 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you. Your actions from the EU DMA
- 1639 to the IPEF negotiations really run contrary to U.S.
- 1640 interests. Were these actions cleared by the State
- Department, Department of Commerce, the NSC, or the NEC?
- 1642 *Ms. Khan. As you know, there are extensive inter-
- agency processes in place, and we work through them as
- 1644 appropriate.
- 1645 *Mr. Duncan. Wow. Why should we increase your budget

- while you're sending staff to Europe to harm U.S. companies and directly benefit China? You don't have to answer that,
- but why would you help the EU undermine U.S. law and U.S.
- 1649 companies?
- *Ms. Khan. Congressman, details back and forth from our
- agency to other agencies, from other agencies to our agencies
- 1652 have long been a key FTC practice extending back decades, and
- we've been pleased to continue that tradition.
- *Mr. Duncan. Is it appropriate for you, the head of the
- 1655 FTC, to lobby foreign agencies to block transactions when
- 1656 U.S. companies or -- and other companies overseas, especially
- when these reviews are happening without the oversight of the
- 1658 U.S. federal court?
- 1659 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, we make our own independent
- judgments with regards to our own enforcement authorities.
- 1661 We have some information sharing agreements in place with
- other jurisdictions, but as it concerns our enforcement
- actions, we use our independent judgment and look at the
- 1664 facts as applied -- look at the law as applied to the facts
- 1665 before us.
- 1666 *Mr. Duncan. These policies are problematic, and I
- think what it's going to do is hurt U.S. companies from

operating in Europe, from consolidating operations, and it 1668 1669 will be to the benefit of Chinese companies, such as Alibaba, to enter European markets when Amazon or companies like that 1670 1671 are kicked out. So, Chair Khan, will you assure me the FTC will not urge 1672 Europe, the UK, China, or other foreign jurisdictions to 1673 block transactions or encourage those jurisdictions to 1674 extract any remedies for competition from U.S. companies? 1675 1676 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, again, we make our independent assessments with regards to how the U.S. law applies to the 1677 facts before us. We have some information sharing agreements 1678 in place, but every jurisdiction makes its own determinations 1679 using its independent judgment. 1680 1681 *Mr. Duncan. So you're not cooperating with the Europeans on blocking U.S. Companies operating in Europe, or 1682 the DMA, or the CMA with regard to the UK? 1683 Again, we have information sharing 1684 *Ms. Khan. agreements in place, but each jurisdiction has to follow the 1685 1686 laws of its -- of that country, and that's what each --1687 *Mr. Duncan. With the encouragement of the U.S. or the disapproval of the U.S.? 1688 *Ms. Khan. Again, you know, there are many examples in 1689

which these jurisdictions are coming to different examples. 1690 1691 I think it's good government for us to be sharing information 1692 and cooperating to get to consistent outcomes where possible. 1693 And again, these types of international processes have been in place at the FTC for a long time. We have a stellar 1694 Office of International Affairs that is widely respected 1695 around the world, in part because of the leadership that we 1696 have been able to show, both on the antitrust front as well 1697 1698 as consumer protection. 1699 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you. And I look forward to you delivering that letter. Thank you. 1700 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Now we'll 1701 1702 recognize Representative Blunt Rochester for her five minutes 1703 of questioning. 1704 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the witnesses for joining us today, and 1705 especially for your service to our country. 1706 The work the FTC undertakes is some of the most 1707 1708 impactful on the every day lives of Americans and affects the economic health of our entire country. Chair Khan, your 1709 bipartisan confirmation demonstrated that Americans on both 1710 sides of the aisle are concerned about our personal data 1711

harmed by widespread fraud and scams, and ultimately 1712 concerned about the power large corporations have over our 1713 everyday lives. So again, thank you for your willingness to 1714 1715 testify before the committee. Chair Khan, for years, companies like GoodRx and 1716 BetterHelp deceptively shared private health information with 1717 social media companies so that they could make more money 1718 from user's health ailments, even information about user's 1719 1720 mental health. These companies broke their public promises to keep the data private. The FTC rightly took enforcement 1721 actions on these two companies for breaking the law. 1722 My question is the allegations against BetterHelp note 1723 that these practices continued from 2013 until 2020. Chair 1724 1725 Khan, can you explain how companies are able to hide their deception for so long and how the FTC was able to pursue 1726 enforcement in this case? 1727 Thanks, Congressman. Yeah, we were really 1728 *Ms. Khan. thrilled to be able to move forward with enforcement on both 1729 1730 of those areas, especially since we saw how during the pandemic a lot more health services switched online and 1731 people became much more reliant on these apps to be able to 1732 access key health services, be it, you know, counseling 1733

services, therapy services. 1734 1735 You're absolutely right that oftentimes the innerworkings of these companies can be somewhat of a black 1736 1737 box to us, especially in digital markets, and we were really thrilled and proud of the FTC's work to uncover these 1738 practices that had been going on for so long. Again, we have 1739 our lawyers looking at this, we have our technologists 1740 increasingly on board that are also trying to unpack what's 1741 1742 happening there. 1743 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you. I'll note that the FTC under your leadership has taken a balanced approach to 1744 regulating this new emerging healthcare mobile app industry. 1745 In addition to taking punitive actions, the FTC has also 1746 1747 updated the business guidance for health data. Does updating this quidance suggest that GoodRx and BetterHelp are not 1748 outliers, and should Congress be taking more concerted action 1749 to protect American's health information? 1750 *Ms. Khan. Absolutely. As you know, Americans health 1751 1752 information is some of the most sensitive data that there is. People feel extremely exposed when this information is out 1753 there and misused, and so I think this is an especially 1754 critical area where we need action. 1755

*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Chair Khan, I think Americans 1756 will be pleased and encouraged to learn about your proposed 1757 Click to Cancel rule. My colleagues, like Ms. Clarke of New 1758 1759 York, have been pushing to make it easier for Americans to cancel subscriptions, but as you noted, businesses often 1760 trick consumers into these subscriptions and then make it 1761 extremely difficult to get out of them. 1762 While you are taking important action to remedy these 1763 1764 predatory practices, I want to ask you about preventative measures. As you know, I intend to reintroduce the DETOUR 1765 Act, which aims to crack down on deceptive practices that 1766 undermine the ability for Americans to make informed choices, 1767 practices also known as dark patterns. How pervasive of a 1768 1769 problem are dark patterns, and what kind of impact do they have on American families? 1770 *Ms. Khan. Based on our work, including a deep study 1771 that the FTC staff did that was published last year, dark 1772 patterns can be quite extensive across the economy and across 1773 1774 digital markets. Unfortunately, what we've seen is that in practice, dark -- these dark patterns can end up tricking 1775 consumers into signing up for services that they didn't want, 1776 into leading them to situations where they're incurring fees 1777

- 1778 for charges or services that they don't want. And so I think
- 1779 we see a lot of deception that can stem from these types of
- 1780 dark patterns.
- *Ms. Blunt Rochester. And do you support legislation
- that bans the use of intentionally manipulative design
- 1783 techniques that trick users into giving up their personal
- 1784 information? And I will ask all of the commissioners.
- 1785 *Ms. Khan. Yes.
- 1786 *Mr. Bedoya. Yes.
- 1787 *Ms. Slaughter. Yes.
- 1788 *Ms. Blunt Rochester. At this point, I cannot believe I
- 1789 am actually ahead of schedule. I've got more questions, but
- 1790 I will put them for the record. But again, thank you so much
- 1791 for your service, especially now. We know that so many
- 1792 Americans have been tricked just looking at a app or a
- 1793 website, and they can't even find the little X to cancel out
- 1794 because it is deceptively the same color as the add or the
- 1795 page. And so, again, your work to protect us from abuse,
- 1796 scams, fraud, we really appreciate it.
- 1797 And I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.
- 1798 *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. Appreciate it.
- 1799 She yields back. And now I'll recognize Dr. Dunn from

Florida. We're really well represented on this committee 1800 from the great State of Florida. We appreciate that. 1801 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you. 1802 1803 *Mr. Bilirakis. So I'll recognize you for five minutes. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 1804 *Mr. Dunn. Chair Khan, thank you for appearing before this panel 1805 today. Congressional oversight of the FTC is an important 1806 part of the American political process. Small and medium 1807 1808 size businesses are the backbone that rural communities throughout America, including Florida's Second Congressional 1809 District, these include regional and local grocery stores and 1810 convenience stores, which are typically the only source of 1811 essential groceries and other consumer goods in many small 1812 1813 towns in my district. 1814 Last year, as our nation faced broken supply chains and rising prices, I sent a letter to the FTC asking the 1815 Commission to use its existing authority to study conduct 1816 that could violate laws under its purview. My primary 1817 1818 concern is when rural stores are taken advantage of in such unstable times. Many towns and small rural communities have 1819 become food deserts after their local grocery stores went out 1820 of business. 1821

In addition, many of these businesses are family owned 1822 and provide the only viable option for individuals who don't 1823 have access to adequate transportation to travel to larger 1824 1825 chain stores, which may be hours away. The independent, small, and medium size grocers are 1826 telling me that big box star -- stores are forcing them out 1827 of business. So, Chair Khan, Section 6(b) of the FTC Act 1828 empowers the FTC to require companies to respond to questions 1829 1830 about business practices. Where are you currently in the 6(b) process on independent grocers and food supply chains, 1831 and have you formally inquired about monopolistic practices 1832 harming independent and regional grocers? 1833 1834 *Ms. Khan. Thanks for that question, Congressman. Ι 1835 couldn't agree more that these are especially troubling concerns that we're hearing from independent retailers, 1836 especially independent grocers. One 6(b) that we launched 1837 early in my tenure was to understand whether the supply chain 1838 disruptions that we had seen were being exacerbated by 1839 1840 asymmetries of power that we may see between the small independent stores and the big chain stores. We had --1841 *Mr. Dunn. So my question, though, have you actually 1842 formally started that 6(b) process --1843

```
*Ms. Khan.
1844
                       Yes.
           *Mr. Dunn. -- for inquiry?
1845
           *Ms. Khan. Yes, we have.
1846
1847
           *Mr. Dunn.
                       Excellent, excellent. So last Congress, the
      then Ranking Members Rodgers and Bilirakis sent a letter to
1848
      Secretary of Commerce Raimondo, we mentioned that earlier
1849
      today, requesting that the Secretary work with stakeholders
1850
      across the federal government to prevent supply chain
1851
1852
      disruptions in -- throughout Americans (sic), but especially
1853
      in rural areas. Have you been working with the Department of
      Commerce on that particular effort?
1854
           *Ms. Khan. I'm not sure if we're working with them on
1855
      this specific issue, but generally we try to take a whole of
1856
1857
      government approach to these issues in general.
           *Mr. Dunn. All right, then. So I'd like to recognize
1858
      and actually commend the Commission's bipartisan May 2021
1859
      report called Nix (sic) the Fix. It was an FTC report to
1860
      Congress regarding restrictions on repairs on various
1861
1862
                 The vote was four to zero on that ruling in 2021.
      This congressionally-directed report highlighted the
1863
      unsustainable rise in cost of vehicle maintenance and repair,
1864
      and it prompted the need for Bill -- my Bill H.R. 906, the
1865
```

```
REPAIR Act, which seeks to restore consumer choice and
1866
1867
      competition in the vehicle repair sector.
           The Nixing the Fix report highlights the important ways
1868
1869
      in which bipartisanship at the FTC can result in positive
      legislative actions afterwards. So after all, you know,
1870
      Congress did create the FTC, and we're glad that on passage
1871
      of that bill, vehicle owners will be able to repair their own
1872
      property and repair shops can continue operating without fear
1873
1874
      of being put out of business.
1875
           Unfortunately, bipartisanship does not seem to be the
      order of the day currently at the FTC. Ms. Khan, I find it
1876
      striking that the FTC Commission -- or that Commissioner
1877
      Christine Wilson resigned in protest of your partisan conduct
1878
1879
      as Chair.
           Chairman Bilirakis, I'd like to submit this February 14,
1880
      2023, Wall Street Journal article, "Why I am Resigning as an
1881
      FTC Commissioner,'' for the record.
1882
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1883
1884
           [The information follows:]
1885
      *******************************
1886
1887
```

*Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much. 1888 Chair Khan, Commissioner Wilson's scathing review of 1889 your agency cited, first, your violation of due process, 1890 1891 given your failure to recuse yourself from a Meta acquisition case despite clear legal precedent requiring you to do so. 1892 Second, your colleagues and staff's excessive redactions to 1893 her written objections and dissent you -- in order to protect 1894 you. And third, a staggering 49 percent, less than half of 1895 1896 the FTC employees recently polled believe that senior FTC agency officials maintain high standards of honesty and 1897 integrity. For comparison, in 2020, just prior to your 1898 appointment, 87 percent thought FTC officials had high 1899 honesty and integrity standards. 1900 1901 Do you have an answer to that question, Chair Khan? Thanks, Congressmen. I'll just say I'm 1902 *Ms. Khan. incredible proud of the FTC staff who day after day are on 1903 the front lines of protecting Americans from unlawful 1904 business practices. There's no doubt that early in my tenure 1905 1906 there were things we could have done better or differently, but since then we've been taking these survey results 1907 seriously and taking steps to make sure we're fully 1908 understanding what was the source of these declines, and 1909

identifying what changes we can put in place, and I think --1910 1911 *Mr. Dunn. My time has expired, and I yield back to the Chair. I'll submit the -- or my questions for --1912 1913 *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentleman yields back. Thank you, Doctor. Now we'll recognize my friend, again from the State 1914 of Florida, Mr. Soto, for his five minutes of questioning. 1915 *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Chairman. This committee is 1916 charged with broad jurisdiction, and overseeing the FTC is 1917 one of our great responsibilities. Thank you, Chairwoman and 1918 Commissioners, for being here. 1919 We've been through a lot together. COVID-19 scams, like 1920 in Florida, where fake cures, paycheck protection program 1921 scams, stimulus check scams ran abound. I appreciate the 1922 1923 FTC's work to rein those in. We faced challenges with social media, and we did have some victories, like a bipartisan 1924 robocall reform, which I know everybody around here 1925 appreciates. You all testified your work to combat opioid 1926 fraud, fraud against our military, and others. 1927 1928 You know, I find it pretty sad that both Republicans, Wilson and Phillips, both resigned. They took their toys and 1929 I guess they couldn't hold on for one more day, went home. 1930 right? And now we face major challenges, like restoring the 1931

restitution power recently struck down by the courts. 1932 1933 Combatting potential fraud related to the Inflation Reduction Act. A privacy standard that we're hoping this committee 1934 1935 could get out and passed. In the meantime, you all have stepped up in the vacuum. We appreciate you doing that. 1936 Chair Khan, we saw Grant Bae, a fraudster company from 1937 Lake City, Florida, preying on minority small business owners 1938 seeking pandemic relief, specifically African American 1939 1940 business owners with false promises of easy access to guaranteed grant funding. And I commend the Commission for 1941 stepping up to shut them down. 1942 With new laws now rolling out, like the Inflation 1943 1944 Reduction Act, can you speak to FTC's role in preventing 1945 future predatory business practices with a lot of the tax credits and other opportunities coming out of both that, the 1946 infrastructure law, and other laws coming out? 1947 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, absolutely. There are a few ways in 1948 which our work intersects with some of this new legislation. 1949 1950 One is making sure that in areas where the government is trying to prioritize Made in USA, that we are protecting the 1951 integrity of Made in USA labels. I think all too often we've 1952 seen that companies can play fast and loose with that label. 1953

- They put it on, but actually their products were manufactured 1954 1955 elsewhere. In 2021, we finalized a rule that allows us to go after 1956 1957 Made in USA fraudsters and get civil penalties, and so that's an area we'll be -- where we will be continuing to do this 1958 1959 work. More generally, any time you have benefits from the 1960 government or credit from the government that's going out, 1961 1962 there's always a heightened risk of fraud that can attach to that. We saw that during COVID, and I think we have to be 1963 vigilant at this moment as well. 1964 *Mr. Soto. And how important is restoring your 1965 1966 restitution power to protecting consumers with these future 1967 scams?
- *Ms. Khan. It's critical. You know, absent that, we saw billions of dollars basically evaporate that could have gone back into consumer's pockets that were not able to get back to them.
- *Mr. Soto. Commission Slaughter, the FTC recently
 launched a new Office of Technology which would hire
 technical staff to inform the Commission's investigations.

 How would this in house technical expertise help further the

Commission's work in areas like you just worked on with Epic 1976 1977 Games in helping our -- protect our children from predators online? 1978 1979 *Ms. Slaughter. Having a fully-staffed Office of Technology is critical to make sure we can keep up with 21st 1980 Century problems in the markets. It's the kind of expertise 1981 we need to really understand the business practices that are 1982 most hurting Americans in digital markets. And to be fair, 1983 1984 today, almost every market has some sort of a digital element 1985 to it. Almost every case we have has some sort of a technological element on it. 1986 We have, for a very long time, put economists on every 1987 case that we bring, and that is enormously valuable, but the 1988 1989 technological expertise is also really valuable. So I want to commend the Chair for standing up that office, and I'm 1990 really excited for the work it's already producing. 1991 *Mr. Soto. Commission Bedoya, we saw the Commission 1992 work to help put a consent order for Google Pixel 4's 1993 1994 deceptive product claims in Spanish radio ads. If the Commission were provided additional resources, what actions -1995 - additional actions could the Commission take to prevent 1996 predatory business practices in Espanol? 1997

*Mr. Bedoya. Thank for that question, Congressman. 1998 I'll point to one recent example that I think gives you a 1999 sense of what more could be done. 2000 2001 The Commission actually has a great track record for decades providing information in Spanish and English, but 2002 recently, as of February, we've been able to start providing 2003 advice to spot frauds, identify them, how to report them in 2004 12 languages in addition to English. When you add English 2005 2006 in, we can reach 99 percent of the American population in the comfortable they're most -- in the language they're most 2007 comfortable in. And that's a great initiative from the Ever 2008 Community Initiative, and there's more where that came from, 2009 and with resources, we could do even more of that work. 2010 *Mr. Soto. Thank you. And my time's expired. 2011 2012 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, appreciate that. Now we'll recognize Representative Allen for his five minutes of 2013 questioning. 2014 *Mr. Allen. Thank you, Chair Bilirakis, and for 2015 2016 convening this hearing, and thank you to our Commissioners for being here today. I want to start immediately with 2017 questions, and if you could give quick answers because I've 2018 got a lot to get to. 2019

```
Chair Khan, during your nomination confirmation process,
2020
      you noted that you would take a two-year unpaid leave of
2021
      absence from your faculty position at Columbia University
2022
2023
      School of Law. Two years will have passed in June of this
      year since you were sworn in on June 15, 2021. Have you
2024
      asked Columbia University for an extension to your leave of
2025
2026
      absence?
           *Ms. Khan. Not officially, but those discussions are
2027
2028
      ongoing since I intend to continue to serve in this position.
           *Mr. Allen. So you have spoken to administration
2029
      officials about serving -- continuing to serve in the
2030
      Administration?
2031
           *Ms. Khan. My term goes until -- through 2024, and I
2032
2033
      fully intend to continue serving, yes.
           *Mr. Allen.
                         Thank you. Members from rural districts
2034
      have been tracking the status of the American Farm Bureau,
2035
      John Deer MOU, and other related actions on farmer's repair
2036
      facilities having access to farm equipment repair data.
2037
2038
      date, there has not been any similar industry action or
      agreement between the automakers and industry partners:
2039
      repair shops, car rental companies, aftermarket parts
2040
      manufacturers, distributors.
2041
```

With the FTC's Nixing the Fix report in 2021, and the 2042 2043 Administrations' interest in repair data access, does FTC have a strategy or plan to address the light-duty vehicle 2044 2045 data access issue that owners of vehicles and their repair facilities face? And I will ask who would be most able to 2046 2047 reply to my question? *Mr. Bedoya. I'd be glad to say, Congressman, that the 2048 Commission's work under Chair Khan's leadership has been 2049 2050 terrific on this. I took a meeting with Commissioner Phillips in Iowa with a group of corn growers and cattlemen, 2051 and one of the things they kept on coming back to was I used 2052 to be able to fix my tractor; now I can't, now I have to go 2053 three hours to the special shop. They're not open, they 2054 2055 don't answer their phones. And this is really big issue, and this is something the Commission is taking very seriously and 2056 started under bipartisan action of the Chair. 2057 *Mr. Allen. Okay, good. Well, thank you, I'm glad 2058 you're on that. 2059 2060 Chair Khan sent a letter to then Chair Cicillini and Ranking Member Buck on September 28, 2021, about antitrust 2061 and the American worker. I seek unanimous consent to have 2062 this letter included in the record, Mr. Chairman. 2063

2064	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection	, so	ordered.
2065	[The information follows:]		
2066			
2067	**************************************		
2068			

*Mr. Allen. Towards the end of the letter, Chair Khan 2069 2070 explained that legislation clarifying that labor organizing by workers regarding the terms and conditions of their work 2071 2072 is outside the scope of the federal antitrust statutes, regardless of whether the worker is classified as an 2073 employee, would remove the threat of the antitrust liability 2074 resulting from such coordination. Chair Khan went on to say 2075 that this clarification would allow for expansion of this 2076 concept to the gig economy. 2077 Commissioner Bedoya, you recently gave a speech in Utah 2078 saying that Congress has made it clear that work 2079 organizations and collective bargaining are violations of 2080 antitrust laws. An article detailing your speech also stated 2081 2082 that you said independent contractors such as those who work at gig economy companies like Uber, Lyft, and DoorDash aren't 2083 barred from collectively bargaining under antitrust law. 2084 Commissioner Bedoya, how can you definitely assert that 2085 under antitrust law independent contractors have the 2086 2087 authority to collectively bargain when your colleague has written to the Judiciary Committee seeking legislative action 2088 to clarify this as current law? 2089 *Mr. Bedoya. Thank you for that question. Let me just 2090

```
state that some of the headlines around that speech don't
2091
2092
      reflect what was in that speech. I can tell you, however,
      that I do think if you look at what Senator John Sherman said
2093
2094
      on the floor of the Senate in 1890 -- 1889, if you look at
      what members of Congress said in 1914, if you look at what,
2095
      you know, Fiorella LaGuardia said on the floor of this House
2096
      in 1932, every single instance they wanted to make clear that
2097
      if you're a working person, they do not want antitrust law to
2098
2099
      be used to stop you organizing for better wages, better
      working conditions, things like that.
2100
           I read Chair Khan's letter from -- the letter you just
2101
      mentioned to be squarely in line with my own beliefs and
2102
      remarks. My clarification in federal law be useful either
2103
2104
      through an amendment to the antitrust laws or through
      something like the PRO Act. I personally think it very much
2105
      would, and I read that to be in line with what Chair Khan
2106
      said.
2107
           *Mr. Allen. So I -- if I understand what you're saying
2108
2109
           *Mr. Bedoya. Yes, sir.
2110
           *Mr. Allen. -- what she said, you did not say?
2111
           *Mr. Bedoya. Respectfully, some of the headlines were
2112
```

not from what my remarks said, although I do think there is a 2113 strong argument that a lot of people who have been classified 2114 or misclassified as independent contractors should benefit 2115 from the ability to organize, yes. 2116 *Mr. Allen. Okay, thank you. 2117 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2118 *Mr. Bilirakis. The time of the gentleman has expired. 2119 Thank you, appreciate it. And now we'll recognize 2120 2121 Representative Clarke who was my classmate, I believe, and I'll recognize her for five minutes. 2122 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I thank our 2123 Commissioners and Chairperson for your present today and 2124 their forthcoming responses to the member's questioning. 2125 2126 Chairwoman Khan, as you know, automated critical decision making processes like algorithms can produce 2127 discriminatory outcomes on the basis of race, sex, or other 2128 characteristics. I'm encouraged by the steps the Commission 2129 is taking toward addressing discriminatory algorithms through 2130 2131 both its 6(b) investigation into social media companies, which I hope will be completed soon, and it's ANPRM on 2132 commercial surveillance. 2133

2134

committee last Congress would prohibit companies from 2135 2136 collecting, processing, or transferring covered data in a discriminatory manner and requires algorithmic impact 2137 2138 assessments. While this committee continues to work towards passage of a comprehensive privacy bill, can you commit to 2139 addressing algorithmic discrimination in your commercial 2140 surveillance rulemaking? 2141 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congresswoman. As you know, this 2142 2143 rulemaking proceeding is underway. We have received thousands of comments that our staff is reviewing now to 2144 determine next steps, but it's a big area of interest for all 2145 of us, and so we're looking closely to see if we may be able 2146 2147 to move forward to address these practices. 2148 I think you're absolutely right, given the digitization across our economy, the ability for firms to be able to 2149 algorithmically discriminate is a huge concern. 2150 Thank you. I'm concerned about with the 2151 *Ms. Clarke. ability of new generation AI tools to be used not only to 2152 2153 spread misinformation and disinformation online but to generate new and more sophisticated scams. We've seen recent 2154 reports from scammers using ChatGPT to generate phishing 2155 attacks and deep fake audio scams. 2156

Chair Khan, could you discuss how the FTC is working to 2157 combat unfair or deceptive practices that result from 2158 advances in new technology? I know the Commission recently 2159 2160 formed the Office of Technology, and how will that office work across the agency to ensure the FTC is able to fulfill 2161 its mission to adequately protect consumers from unfair 2162 deceptive practices? 2163 Thanks, Congresswoman. Making sure that 2164 *Ms. Khan. 2165 we're able to enforce the laws, even as underlying technologies shift, is core to the FTC, and we have a host of 2166 tools available to make sure we can do that. I think you're 2167 absolutely right that AI provides -- presents a whole set of 2168 opportunities but also presents a whole set of risks, and I 2169 2170 think we've already seen ways in which it could be used to turbocharge fraud and scams. 2171 We have been putting market participants on notice that 2172 instances in which AI tools are effectively being designed to 2173 deceive people can place them on the hook for FTC action. 2174 2175 Our technologists are embedding across our agency's work, both on the consumer protection side and on the competition 2176 side to make sure that we're issue spotting for this because 2177 I think the turbocharging of fraud and scams that could be 2178

enabled by these tools is a serious concern. 2179 2180 *Ms. Clarke. Commissioner Slaughter and Bedoya, anything to add there? 2181 2182 *Ms. Slaughter. Well, I think this is an area, you know, throughout the FTC's history, we have had to adapt our 2183 enforcement to changing technology. There's a lot of noise 2184 around AI right now, and it's important because it is a 2185 revolutionary technology in some ways, but our obligation is 2186 2187 to do what we've always done, which is apply the tools we have to these changing technologies, make sure that we have 2188 the expertise to do that effectively, but to not be scared 2189 off by the idea that this is new revolutionary technology and 2190 dig right in on protecting people. 2191 *Ms. Clarke. Very well. 2192 2193 *Mr. Bedoya. Congresswoman, thank you for your leadership on this. Let me add, I'm really proud of how 2194 staff in the Division of Privacy Identity Protection and the 2195 Division of Advertising Practices have been consistently 2196 2197 sending signals to industry that there is law. A lot of folks in the industry are saying, this is unregulated, we 2198 need to regulate this. Our staff has been consistently 2199 saying our unfair and deceptive practices authority applies, 2200

- our civil rights laws, fair credit, Equal Credit Opportunity
- 2202 Act, those apply.
- The other point I'm really glad that they have stressed
- is it is not okay to say your algorithm is a black box, and
- you don't understand it, so you're not responsible for it.
- 2206 Some of these laws demand expandability. And so I'm proud
- that the Commission has been staying up to date through the
- 2208 Office of Technology, through the career staff at DPIP and
- 2209 DIP to make clear that there is law and companies will need
- 2210 to abide by it.
- *Ms. Clarke. Very well. Thank you so much.
- 2212 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- 2213 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back,
- 2214 and I'll recognize Representative Fulcher for his five
- 2215 minutes of questioning.
- 2216 *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to
- those on the panel for being here and contributing today.
- This is addressed to Chair Khan, please. The proposed
- 2219 Motor Vehicle Dealers Trade Regulation Rule is something that
- 2220 FTC claims will save consumers 31 billion dollars while only
- imposing 1.4 billion in regulatory costs. The entirety of
- these savings is based on FTC's claim that consumers will

spend three fewer hours shopping for a vehicle, and the 2223 2224 Commission arrives at that apparently by taking the number of vehicles transacted in 2019, which is about 62.1 million, 2225 2226 multiplying that by three, then multiplying that sum by 2227 22.20, which is the value non-work time hours for the average U.S. worker. That's my understanding where that came from. 2228 The three hours of savings is based on the Commission's 2229 assumption that the proposed rule will save three consumer 2230 2231 hours. Can I just ask, Ms. Khan, what sources or documentation does the Commission have for making that kind 2232 of an assumption? 2233 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, our proposed rule lays out 2234 extensive documentation for how we have come up with some of 2235 2236 these estimates, and we'd be happy to engage with your team to share --2237 *Mr. Fulcher. And why won't those sources, though -- I 2238 understand why you may not be able to recite them here, but 2239 why weren't those sources listed in the documentation in the 2240 2241 rule -- or in the notice of proposed rulemaking or NPRM, because it was not --2242 *Ms. Khan. I know as a general matter our staff tries 2243 to make sure that they're citing whatever empirical evidence 2244

underlies their assessments and analysis. Again, happy to 2245 2246 engage with your team to see if there's more --*Mr. Fulcher. It's my understanding that that was 2247 2248 simply not there. That rule also mandates up to four new disclosures for car buyers to sign related to add-on 2249 products. We all know that takes time, burdening consumers 2250 with more government paperwork and so on. 2251 Did your assumption factor in your own rule and what 2252 2253 that will cost consumers in terms of time in the showroom? 2254 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, our work here is based in part through our enforcement actions, which have shown that 2255 unfortunately the car buying experience remains unfriendly to 2256 2257 consumers. 2258 *Mr. Fulcher. So we take that as a no, it did not factor that in. That's how -- that's what I'm understanding. 2259 *Ms. Khan. Factor what in? 2260 The time that it takes to put your own 2261 *Mr. Fulcher. rule in place. Burdening consumers with that paperwork for 2262 2263 add-on product disclosures. *Ms. Khan. When we do this rulemaking, we have to 2264 engage in a cost benefit type of analysis, so those 2265 considerations were definitely done here. 2266

*Mr. Fulcher. Using the Commission's calculation of the 2267 value of consumer's non-work time, can you provide an 2268 estimate of how much the added paperwork mandated under the 2269 2270 proposed rule will cost consumers? *Ms. Khan. We're happy to be in touch with your team if 2271 2272 we can provide any --*Mr. Fulcher. These are things that are important when 2273 you go about the process of this rulemaking, and that's the 2274 2275 point of this discussion here. 2276 In a response to a question for the record, and I think it was to Senator Cruz last year, you made a quote, you said, 2277 "For a recent and exceptionally well-done example of an 2278 economic analysis that FTC economists played a leading role 2279 2280 in formulating, I recommend to you the notice of proposed rulemaking concerning a Motor Vehicle Dealers Trade 2281 Regulation Rule. The billion dollar plus regulatory cost of 2282 this rule is real.'' 2283 So those are your words, that's my understanding. 2284 2285 this what passes in the FTC now for exceptionally well-done example of an economic analysis, an analysis based on 2286 inflated data and assumption but not supported by, what we 2287 can tell, any economic data? 2288

Congressman, our economists do superb work. 2289 *Ms. Khan. The analysis that they do is undergirded by close assessment 2290 of the available empirical evidence, and I have no doubt that 2291 2292 that's true here as well. *Mr. Fulcher. Ms. Khan, I -- well, it's -- the data 2293 doesn't seem to back that up. 2294 Section 110 of the FTC's Procedural Rules states, prior 2295 to the commencement of any trade regulization (sic) rule 2296 2297 proceeding, the Commission must publish in the Federal Register an advanced notice of such proposed proceeding. 2298 Since the proposed Motor Vehicle Dealers Trade Regulation 2299 Rule is a trade regulation and rule, why didn't the FTC first 2300 issue an announced notice of proposed rulemaking, or ANPRM? 2301 2302 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, this rule was issued pursuant to Dodd-Frank, which is separate from Section 18 of the FTC 2303 Act which does require publication of an ANPR. Pursuant to 2304 Dodd-Frank, we're able to do this under the Administrative 2305 Procedure Act. 2306 2307 *Mr. Fulcher. And my understanding is is that you still have that requirement, regardless of how this is submitted. 2308 *Ms. Khan. My understanding is that this is not under 2309 the APA. The APA permits us to begin by publishing a notice 2310

- of proposed rulemaking.
- *Mr. Fulcher. Ms. Khan, I thank you for your responses,
- 2313 but at the same time, I hope you understand the concern here.
- 2314 I've got a group of car dealers that are very difficult to
- upset in many ways, but you figured out a way to do that.
- 2316 And so our urging is to make sure that you follow that
- 2317 process moving forward. It's there for a reason.
- 2318 Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- 2319 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentleman yields back,
- 2320 and I'll recognize Representative Dingell for her five
- 2321 minutes of questioning.
- 2322 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm biting my
- 2323 tongue because I -- I'm not old, but I'm seasoned, and the
- 2324 auto industry has for many a year not always loved the FTC.
- 2325 But anyway, I'm going to behave.
- Thank you, Chairman and Ranking Member Schakowsky, for
- 2327 holding this important hearing and to all of you for sitting
- 2328 through all of this.
- The FTC provides a critical service promoting fair
- 2330 competition and protecting consumers from fraud and deceptive
- business practices to robocalls and exploitative, predatory
- 2332 marketing. The FTC's mandate is expansive and it's

continuing to grow with an increasingly diverse and 2333 2334 innovative market place. This committee is also considering granting the FTC 2335 2336 additional specific authorities to regulate Big Teach and data privacy through comprehensive data privacy legislation. 2337 And I want to make this point to all of my colleagues. 2338 can seriously expect the FTC to enforce these critical 2339 protections among its many responsibilities, we are going to 2340 2341 have to make sure that you've got adequate funding and staffing to do so. 2342 I would like to focus now my questions in some of the 2343 crucial efforts that you are currently engaged in to protect 2344 In March, we heard from TikTok CEO about the 2345 company's collection of location data, and it gave me great 2346 concern. In the face of that information, I'm very pleased 2347 to talk about the FTC's latest geolocation data case against 2348 the data broker Kochava. 2349 My understanding is that in Kochava, the FTC has alleged 2350 2351 that the defendant collected consumer's precise geolocation data, including locations that revealed consumer's visits to 2352 reproductive health clinics, houses of worship, temporary 2353 shelters for domestic violence survivors, and addiction 2354

- treatment centers, and then sold that data to third parties.
- 2356 All without the knowledge of the consumers whose data was
- 2357 being collected and sold.
- I know that this case is in litigation in federal court
- 2359 now, so you're going to be somewhat limited in what you can
- 2360 say, but I -- these are issues that really matter personally
- 2361 to me and to the victims I try to help, to those that are
- 2362 trying to get treatment, who are -- those who are scared that
- they'll be persecuted for where they're worshipping.
- So I'd like to ask each of the Commissioners, setting
- 2365 aside technical legal standards for unfairness under the FTC
- 2366 Act, do you believe the average consumer would agree it is
- unfair for a data broker they've never had contact with to
- 2368 sell data about their visits to sensitive locations? Just
- 2369 yes or no. Chairman Khan -- Chairwoman Khan?
- *Ms. Khan. As a general matter, yes, I think most
- 2371 Americans would be quite surprised.
- 2372 *Mrs. Dingell. Commissioner Bedoya.
- 2373 *Mr. Bedoya. Yes. I'll just add this was a bipartisan
- 2374 case of the Commission.
- 2375 *Mrs. Dingell. Commissioner Slaughter.
- 2376 *Ms. Slaughter. Yes.

*Mrs. Dingell. Chairwoman Khan, in this case, is the 2377 FTC seeking any financial recovery from the defendants, and 2378 2379 if not, explain why not? 2380 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congresswoman. So in this case we are alleging that the practice was unfair under Section 5 of 2381 the FTC Act. In light of the Supreme Court's decision in 2382 AMG, we are not able in federal court to get back monetary 2383 equitable relief, including money back for consumers, under 2384 2385 Section 5 in federal court. So, unfortunately, we are 2386 hampered in that way. *Mrs. Dingell. So, Commissioner Slaughter, would 2387 allowing the FTC to seek civil penalties to help deter this 2388 type of conduct in the continued sale of precise geolocation 2389 2390 data revealing sensitive information? 2391 *Ms. Slaughter. Yeah, civil penalties play a very important deterrent effect, and we can only activate them 2392 either where we have an existing order, or where we have an 2393 existing rule, or where Congress has given us specific 2394 2395 authority, and that would make a big difference here. *Mrs. Dingell. And for any of the three of you, is 2396 there another -- other authority Congress could provide to 2397 the FTC that would help enforcement in these type of privacy 2398

Chairman Khan. 2399 cases? *Ms. Khan. I think as a general matter, any more 2400 specific bright-line rules of prohibitions that Congress is 2401 2402 able to legislate would certainly make our jobs easier. *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. I'm going to guickly try to 2403 do -- I'd like to talk about one type of technology that some 2404 data brokers use to collect data, software development kits 2405 Data brokers that consumers have likely never heard 2406 2407 of entice app developers to install these SDKs in their apps, which collect geolocation data and feed it directly to the 2408 data brokers who then resell it to advertisers, other 2409 brokers, and anyone else they chose. And even the app 2410 developers often don't know where the consumer's data will 2411 2412 end up. To all consumer -- Commissions, yes or no, putting aside 2413 the statutory requirements for an unfairness claim, do you 2414 think consumers would think this type of data collection is 2415 unfair? Yes or no. Just go down the --2416 2417 *Ms. Khan. Yes. *Mr. Bedoya. Yes. 2418 *Ms. Slaughter. Yes. 2419 *Mrs. Dingell. And, Chairman Khan, in four seconds, do 2420

you know any good reason why Apple and Google continue to let 2421 app developers use SDKs and applications offered on their 2422 mobile application platforms? Do SDKs warrant significant 2423 2424 concern? *Ms. Khan. I think they warrant scrutiny, yes. 2425 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. 2426 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm just -- people don't 2427 understand what's happening. I went in to do my financial 2428 2429 disclosure this week and went in to look up the value of something, and within two minutes of my having done that, I 2430 was getting investment advice. Which people don't know how 2431 we're being traced. I had to make that point. Thank you, 2432 Mr. Chairman, yield back. 2433 2434 *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it very much, thank you. The gentlelady yields back, and now I'll recognize 2435 Representative Harshbarger for five minutes of questioning. 2436 Thank you. 2437 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2438 2439 I want to thank you all for looking at the unfair and deceptive business practices of pharmacy benefit managers, 2440 and I know it's -- that study was initiated a year ago, but I 2441

hope you look at vertical integration and how that is really

2442

making it impossible for small independent businesses to 2443 2444 survive. And with that being said, Chair Khan, I'd like to 2445 2446 discuss the gig economy. Specifically, I'd like to discuss the FTC's policy statement related to gig work, which was 2447 rammed through on a 3-2 vote. The policy statement complains 2448 about a power imbalance between gig companies and workers 2449 which may leave gig workers exposed to harms from unfair, 2450 2451 deceptive, and anti-competitive practices, and is likely to amplify such harms when they occur. 2452 Chair Khan, just a mere power imbalance in a commercial 2453 distribution change does not violate American antitrust or 2454 consumer protection law, unless it's accompanied by a 2455 2456 specific bad conduct which harms consumers. Chair Khan, you have a specific amount of legal authority that Congress has 2457 authorized, and it does not include addressing power 2458 imbalances. Why are you deviating -- in a short answer, why 2459 are you deviating from your authorized role? 2460 2461 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congressman. We're actually following existing precedent, including work that was started 2462 under my Republican predecessor, Joe Simons, to address 2463 instances in which gig companies are engaging in illegal 2464

practices, including with regards to their workers. One of 2465 2466 the enforcement actions that preceded my time at the Commission involved instances in which Amazon was deceptively 2467 2468 withholding tips from gig workers, from its drivers. *Mrs. Harshbarger. That's --2469 *Ms. Khan. So again, there's nothing really unusual 2470 about this work. 2471 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, that's good enough for me. 2472 2473 But there's another interesting component of the policy statement, and it's around gig workers. It seems natural to 2474 me to call these people workers not consumers, because 2475 generally, in a lot of -- if you look at Lyft or Uber 2476 drivers, for example, they're contract workers or 1099s. 2477 2478 They can leave anytime they want. But the FTC seems to have invented a new term called 2479 working consumers. Am I getting the phrase right? Yes or 2480 no. Working consumers? 2481 *Ms. Khan. I'm not specifically familiar with that 2482 2483 I will say our statute prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices as they could affect any market 2484 participant, be it a consumer, be it a worker, be it a small 2485

business.

2486

*Mrs. Harshbarger. Well, if it's called working 2487 consumers, it's an oxymoron because the next thing we're 2488 going to have are manufacturing consumers or we're going to 2489 2490 have selling consumers. And it's alarming because the FTC seems to think that it can regulate just about anyone if you 2491 label them as a consumer. And the wording is especially 2492 concerning given the FTC's agreement with the National Labor 2493 Relations Board. The Federal Trade Commission does not have 2494 2495 the authority to promote the unionization of workers. 2496 And answer -- you can answer this very shortly. How does the FTC NLRB Memorandum of Understanding affect the 2497 FTC's review of the gig economy? 2498 *Ms. Khan. So this is an MOU that we're really pleased 2499 2500 to be entering because there are ways in which our staff can be exchanging information and sharing information that can 2501 allow each agency to better do its job. It's absolutely true 2502 that our authorities differ from those of the NLRB, but 2503 through having these partnerships, through having training of 2504 2505 staff, we can make sure each of us is best positioned to do 2506 our job. *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. I have two more, and I've got 2507 a limited amount of time. I will tell you this, I'm troubled 2508

by the actions taken by the FTC to unwind the Illumina/GRAIL 2509 2510 merger. And Illumina reacted -- or reacquired GRAIL in 2021. It's a company which has developed a transformational test to 2511 2512 detect cancer, and with a single blood test, that gallery 2513 test can screen asymptomatic patients for more than 50 types of cancer, many of which have no other form of screening and 2514 are often caught too late to treat effectively. 2515 And I can go on and on about that, but why does the FTC 2516 2517 insist on blocking the merger in the name of competition when there are no other companies that are even close to having a 2518 test on the market for several years? Why is that? 2519 *Ms. Khan. Congresswoman, I'm limited in what I can say 2520 2521 here given that this case remains pending in our administrative proceeding. I will note that the Commission 2522 recently published an opinion stating out our views and our 2523 legal analysis as applied to the facts here. 2524 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, okay. And I do have another 2525 comment. We can all agree that competition law isn't 2526 2527 supposed to protect an industry's dominant player, do you 2528 agree? *Ms. Khan. Sorry, could you say that again? 2529 *Mrs. Harshbarger. I say we can all agree that 2530

2531

2552

competition law isn't supposed to protect an industry's 2532 dominant player. *Ms. Khan. Correct. 2533 2534 *Mrs. Harshbarger. In light of that, I find it curious that the FTC is taking action to protect Sony, which has 68 2535 percent of the global market for high-end video gaming 2536 consoles, from competitive -- or competition attempted to 2537 block Microsoft's proposal -- or their proposed acquisition 2538 2539 of Activision Blizzard King. As you know, Sony's been the most vocal opponent of that deal, and remarkably, the FTC has 2540 sided with Tony -- Sony. Can you explain why that seems to 2541 be a good idea? 2542 2543 *Ms. Khan. This case also has been voted out and is in 2544 administrative proceeding, so I'll let the complaint speak for itself. I will say as a general matter, we always really 2545 benefit from hearing market participants across the board, 2546 including big players, but at the end of the day, we always 2547 make our own independent judgments based on the law and the 2548 2549 facts. *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. I know my time is about up, 2550 and so with that, I yield back. 2551

*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back,

and now we'll recognize Representative Trahan for her five 2553 2554 minutes of questioning. *Mrs. Trahan. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you 2555 2556 Ranking Member Schakowsky. It's worth reminding that a strong FTC is good for 2557 consumers, it's good for small businesses, certainly good for 2558 our economy. You simply cannot be pro privacy and support a 2559 weak FTC. And you can't be pro competition and support an 2560 understaffed Commission. 2561 The issues before us, whether we're talking about anti-2562 competitive monopolies or online harms are just too big. 2563 we need federal enforcers like the FTC to have the resources 2564 2565 and the personnel necessary to tackle them. 2566 Commissioner Bedoya, as you know, an overwhelming majority of my colleagues on both sides on the dais here 2567 today support comprehensive privacy protections, particularly 2568 for our children. I share Representative Castor's concern 2569 about privacy violations in education technology, and I'm 2570 2571 encouraged by your answers on improving COPPA enforcement and the COPPA rule. I'm particularly worried that parents and 2572 students don't have any choice or control over the 2573 technologies that students are required to us. 2574

Could you expand on what the FTC is doing to investigate 2575 2576 privacy abuses and expand consumer choice and education technology, and what options do parents have if they have 2577 2578 concerns about the technology that children are mandated to use in their classrooms? 2579 Thank you for that question. I think one 2580 *Mr. Bedova. of the great first steps the Commission has taken recently in 2581 pushing against precisely this issue is making clear that 2582 2583 parents legally have to have a choice. The policy statement made clear that in the rule it says you can't force kids to 2584 surrender their privacy in order to do their homework, so you 2585 can't require them to surrender all this information to do 2586 their homework. It also reminded people that they needed to 2587 2588 give industry, they need to give parents a choice about 2589 deleting that data. And so the first thing is sending very clear signals 2590 that there is law here. And for years, COPPA, by folks 2591 outside of the Commission, was caricatured as a notice and 2592 2593 choice rule when it's not, it's much more than that. Secondly, alongside the rule review, there have been 2594 some very aggressive cases brought. The one that comes most 2595 to mind is the Chegg case. This involved data that was 2596

stored in plain text, weak encryption, that resulted in the 2597 2598 breach of 40 million students data, including information about disability and sexual orientation, and the Commission 2599 2600 was able to bring a strong case there. And so between the Office of Technology, the policy 2601 statement, and enforcement from the Division of Privacy 2602 Identity Protection, we're moving on a number of fronts on 2603 this. 2604 2605 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, Commissioner Bedoya. And the -- that's the level of attention that our parents and our 2606 students, frankly, deserve. 2607 When we talk about harms to privacy and potential 2608 digital discrimination, those are what I would call systemic 2609 2610 risks. And while it's heartening to see you tackling them in Ed Tech, we know harms exist across the online economy. 2611 Systemic risks are things we can all agree on: illegal 2612 activity and content online, digital discrimination, and 2613 risks to our democracy and to the health and well-being of 2614 2615 consumers. That list includes public security. And the recent leak 2616 of classified information by an attention seeker on Discord 2617 showed that our country is prone to public security risks 2618

inherent in the use of online platforms. Last Congress I 2619 introduced the Digital Services Oversight and Safety Act 2620 which would require the largest tech companies to 2621 2622 comprehensively assess and report systemic risks. So, Chair Khan, do you believe that large online 2623 platforms adequately assess systemic risks around digital 2624 discrimination, illegal content or activity, public security, 2625 and/or health and well-being of their users, and do you 2626 2627 believe a failure to assess these risks could potentially be unfair under Section 5 of the FTC Act? 2628 *Ms. Khan. Thanks for that question. I worry that 2629 there is a lot more work here to be done. I think we've all 2630 seen time and time again how the business models of these 2631 2632 platforms can really incentivize practices that are not aligned with what's best for their users or consumers, and so 2633 I definitely think that there is a much more need for us to 2634 be looking closely to understand what types of practices are 2635 happening, is there discrimination, and how can we be better 2636 2637 targeting those. *Mrs. Trahan. And if you were to enforce against 2638 companies for failing to properly consider systemic risks, 2639 could you accomplish that if there wasn't a standardized way 2640

to measure and qualify those risks? 2641 2642 *Ms. Khan. It would certainly be challenging. I'll say in our data security work, we've been able to identify what 2643 2644 constitutes best practices and then enforce the law based on what should be required for best practices for data security. 2645 I imagine we could try to do something here but, of course, 2646 clear word from Congress about how to do that would be most 2647 helpful. 2648 2649 *Mrs. Trahan. Thank you. I understand those challenges, and that's why I've been proposing and much for a 2650 national framework like the one outlined in the Digital 2651 Services Oversight and Safety Act to assess those risks and 2652 2653 those mitigations. Thank you so much for your time. I yield 2654 back. 2655 *Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the gentlelady. I'll recognize Representative Armstrong for his five minutes of questioning. 2656 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The fiscal 2657 *Mr. Armstrong. year 2024 FTC budget request is a substantial increase 2658 2659 compared to previous years. The request is for 590 million dollars, which is a 160-million increase from last year's 2660 enacted level and a 2,000 -- or 213-million increase from the 2661 fiscal year 2022 enacted level. 2662

The budget explains that the increase is accounted for 2663 2664 by adding 310 full-time staff along with an anticipated 5.2 percent pay increase for said staff. And there is a single 2665 2666 line that briefly mentions inflationary adjustments to account for what I -- the four-decade high inflation data 2667 that exceeds nine percent comparing 2022 to 2021. And much 2668 of the proposed budget is to help the FTC in pursuing your 2669 mission in regards to competition enforcement. 2670 2671 Commission's testimony states that the FTC has been reassessing how we can enforce antitrust laws to maximize our 2672 efficacy. Considering the Commission's record in competition 2673 cases in recent years, we conclude that the -- we could 2674 conclude that the record is neither effective nor efficient. 2675 2676 And that may be largely due to the Commission's advancing of novel legal theories as opposed to enforcing conduct that is 2677 violative of current law. Former Commissioner Wilson 2678 referenced this as defiance of legal precedent. 2679 The Commission recently abandoned an effort to prevent 2680 2681 Meta from purchasing a fitness startup after losing in district court on an actual potential competition claim, a 2682 theory that was entertained by the district court but 2683 rejected on the facts. The Commission lost the suit before 2684

the ALJ to reverse the acquisition of GRAIL by Illumina, the 2685 2686 first decision since the Commission dropped its support of the 2020 Vertical Merger Guidelines, which acknowledged that 2687 2688 vertical mergers generally create efficiencies. Undeterred, the Commission ordered Illumina to divest 2689 GRAIL this month. I fear this may also be the case with 2690 Microsoft Activision, but we'll get to that in a second. 2691 motive is clear. The Commission's goal is to advance its 2692 2693 novel legal theories. Winning cases seems to be secondary. But you still have to win cases. 2694 Referring to the Commission's recent record, former 2695 Commissioner Kovacic simply said, "Ultimately you need 2696 litigated victories.'' And this perceived budgetary 2697 2698 inefficiency and advancement of policy goals isn't limited to litigation. After the last U.S./EU joint tech compliance 2699 dialogue, the Commission announced it would send personnel to 2700 assist with the implementation of the Digital Markets Act, an 2701 EU passed law that is in conflict with U.S. competition. 2702 2703 So I'm left to wonder, as someone who co-sponsored a bill to increase merger filing fees to support the 2704 Commission's enforcement efforts, why should Congress grant 2705 such a substantial budget increase to the Commission? 2706

With regards to Sony and -- Sony controls about two-2707 2708 thirds of the global gaming market and has vocally opposed Microsoft's acquisition of Activision. The FTC's position 2709 2710 aligns with Sony, the dominant market leader, despite Xbox's position as the third place gaming console manufacturer with 2711 a near non-existent mobile gaming market share and multiple 2712 public comments to ensure that Call of Duty is open to 2713 competitor consoles. While the FTC complaint mentions that 2714 2715 Microsoft competes with independent studios to offer games 2716 like Call of Duty, this is really another challenge of a vertical merger. 2717 I previously mentioned the FTC's administration court 2718 loss in the GRAIL/Illumina, but the DOJ's failure to block 2719 2720 the vertical merger between UnitedHealth/Change Healthcare is also [indiscernible]. I understand that the Commission may 2721 be limited in what they can say regarding ongoing limita --2722 ongoing litigation. Without speaking to the facts of that 2723 matter, Chairwoman Khan, do you agree with the statement that 2724 2725 anti-trial law -- trust laws exists to protect consumers not individual competitors? 2726 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congressman. The -- we follow the 2727 competition laws as written by Congress. The Sherman Act 2728

says, you know, restraints of trade or practices that 2729 undermine competition are illegal. We also look closely at 2730 Section 5 of the FTC Act which prohibits unfair methods of 2731 2732 competition. So we are fully committed to following the text 2733 of the statutes. *Mr. Armstrong. And you have previously stated that 2734 reforming FTC merger policies should be more deeply rooted in 2735 the text of our statutes and bringing anti-law -- antitrust 2736 2737 more squarely within the rule of law. Yet the FTC now 2738 demands decade-long prior approval provisions in consent orders and merging -- merger matters. Former Commissioners 2739 Phillips and Wilson have called this policy an end run around 2740 2741 the Hart-Scott-Rodino premerger notification framework that 2742 Congress established in 1976. 2743 What is the specific statutory text that grant FTC authority? 2744 So these prior approvals are obtained in 2745 settlements with parties when we're taking action. I should 2746 2747 note that pursuing prior approval was actually a longstanding FTC practice through the mid-90s, and so the changes that 2748 we've made are actually putting us back into alignment with 2749 longstanding FTC practice. 2750

2751	*Mr. Armstrong. And then I would ask unanimous consent
2752	to enter into the record a letter Senator Cramer sent
2753	*Mr. Bilirakis. Yeah, without objection, so ordered.
2754	[The information follows:]
2755	
2756	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
2757	

```
*Mr. Armstrong.
                            Thank you. I yield back.
2758
2759
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. And I don't see anyone on
      the Democrat side, so I'll recognize my good friend from
2760
2761
      Gainesville, Florida, home of the Fighting Gators, Ms.
      Cammack. Please, you're recognized for five minutes.
2762
           *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Go Gators.
2763
           [Laughter.]
2764
           *Mrs. Cammack. All right. Mr. Chairman, I would like
2765
2766
      to start out by submitting two letters for the record written
      by FTC alumni expressing concerns about the integrity and
2767
      fairness of the FTC and its departure from the agency's
2768
      traditional norms and bounds.
2769
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
2770
2771
           [The information follows:]
2772
      ***********************************
2773
2774
```

```
*Mrs. Cammack. Thank you. As I mentioned, these
2775
      letters are both signed by prominent former officials of the
2776
      FTC, and I would say that when FTC alumni are questioning the
2777
2778
      direction and integrity of the FTC, I certainly think that we
      here in Congress should as well. I would also like the
2779
      record to reflect that neither of these letters have been
2780
      responded to by you or your office.
2781
           Additionally, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to submit the
2782
2783
      August 1, 2022, FTC Inspector General's Report titled, "Audit
      of the Federal Trade Commission's Unpaid Consultant and
2784
      Expert Programs,'' for the record.
2785
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
2786
           [The information follows:]
2787
2788
      ********COMMITTEE INSERT******
2789
2790
```

- *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2791 2792 Chair Khan, according to the Inspector General's report, you expanded the agency's use of unpaid consultants and 2793 2794 experts, correct? *Ms. Khan. We made use of government authorities that 2795 allow us to bring on experts, yes. 2796 *Mrs. Cammack. How many consultants were added to the 2797 FTC after your confirmation? 2798 2799 *Ms. Khan. I don't have those numbers top of mind, but 2800 we're happy to get those to you. *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you. Did they receive training? 2801 *Ms. Khan. They received ethics training and ensuring 2802 that they were in compliance with all FTC's rules and ethics 2803 2804 rules. *Mrs. Cammack. Okay, perfect. According to your 2805 agency's press statement on the IG's audit, you defended the 2806 agency's use of unpaid consultants and experts by claiming 2807 that they fill "subject matter gaps.'' What gaps have 2808 2809 existed during your tenure?
- *Ms. Khan. Yeah, this is a great question. And I
 should note that there are existing authorities that allow us
 to bring on these experts for precisely this function. A lot

of our work can get quite technical. It can require deep 2813 industry expertise. Especially as we're doing our work in 2814 digital markets, it can require expertise to understand how 2815 2816 these technologies are working. And so we were able to bring on, for example, experts in AI technologies to help us make 2817 sure that our work in this area was fully informed by the 2818 latest best practices and the latest industry knowledge. 2819 *Mrs. Cammack. Perfect, thank you. Who did these 2820 2821 consultants and experts report to and how frequently? *Ms. Khan. So it would depend on where they were 2822 brought on within the agency. We had some experts that were 2823 brought on within the Office of Policy Planning, and so they 2824 would report to the director of that office. We had some 2825 2826 experts brought onto the Bureau of Consumer Protection, and so they would be reporting to the respective managers there. 2827 *Mrs. Cammack. And did your staff keep you informed 2828 about the work of these consultants and experts that were 2829 doing work on behalf of the agency? 2830 2831 *Ms. Khan. Yeah, as a general matter, these consultants were being plugged into existing work streams, and so as that 2832 work was coming up to the Commission, we would see their 2833 contributions there. 2834

*Mrs. Cammack. So I would like to get copies of the 2835 2836 communications from these consultants to you and your office and your staff. Could you provide that to us? 2837 2838 *Ms. Khan. We're happy to work with your team to see what we can make available. 2839 *Mrs. Cammack. I would hope all of it would be made 2840 available. Now under your helm, how did the agency define 2841 consultant and expert, specifically, what criteria did the 2842 2843 agency use in recruiting these consultants and experts? *Ms. Khan. As a general matter, when we're looking to 2844 bring on experts, we're doing so because there is a specific 2845 function for which we need their expertise, and so the 2846 criteria would depend on whether we were looking to bring on 2847 2848 people who had particular expertise in AI, in particular its forms of digital markets, in particular was areas of 2849 antitrust where we felt we didn't have the requisite 2850 expertise internally and so it needed to go outside. 2851 *Mrs. Cammack. So that doesn't really answer my 2852 2853 question of how you define a consultant or an expert, but I 2854 quess --*Ms. Khan. I believe those terms are probably defined 2855 under the regulations, so we would follow those definitions. 2856

*Mrs. Cammack. So you advertised this to members of the 2857 2858 public? *Ms. Khan. As a general matter, we have the authority 2859 2860 to identify specific experts that we want to bring on board. There are no requirements --2861 *Mrs. Cammack. So that's no. 2862 *Ms. Khan. There are no requirements under the 2863 regulation --2864 2865 *Mrs. Cammack. So you did not publicly advertise these unpaid consultant positions to the public. 2866 *Ms. Khan. Correct. 2867 *Mrs. Cammack. You did not, okay. Why? 2868 *Ms. Khan. We followed the underlying regulations that 2869 2870 permit government agencies to bring on outside experts and consultants. Given that the function for which we're doing 2871 this is to fill specific niche needs, we thought it would be 2872 most efficient to actually identify directly the people we 2873 needed. And again, we followed the regulations and what they 2874 2875 require. *Mrs. Cammack. So you don't publicly advertise these 2876 positions for these experts. Who initiates contact? 2877

*Ms. Khan. As a general matter, I would think the

2878

- agency would have initiated contact since these were specific needs that we were looking to fill and so we would know what our needs were.

 *Mrs. Cammack. It seems like it's a -- it's ripe for an
- *Mrs. Cammack. It seems like it's a -- it's ripe for an opportunity for fraud, or corruption, or finding people who are willing to execute on a political agenda. But did you receive approval from the FTC's Office of General Counsel ethics team to do this?
- 2887 *Ms. Khan. Yes, the ethics teams is always involved 2888 anytime we bring new people on board at the Commission.
- *Mrs. Cammack. And you will be willing to provide the written approval to this committee?
- *Ms. Khan. We're happy to provide you whatever materials we have available in this area.
- *Mrs. Cammack. My time has expired, Mr. Chairman.
- Thank you, Chair Khan, for being here.
- *Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back, and now
- 2896 I'll recognize my good friend from the State of Indiana, Mr.
- 2897 Pence, for five minutes of questioning.
- 2898 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis and Ranking
- 2899 Member Schakowsky for holding this hearing, and thank you to
- 2900 the Commissioners for being here with us today.

As we discussed today, the FTC plays a critical role 2901 2902 protecting consumers from fraudulent schemes and deceptive trade practices. You know, years ago, many years ago, I had 2903 2904 to get involved in mergers that occurred across the country, and that doesn't seem to be something anybody gets involved 2905 in anymore. And the consolidation, especially in Big Tech, 2906 which is something I focus on, has just gone unchecked. 2907 But that's not part of what I want to say today. In the 2908 2909 past year alone, the FTC has returned over 25 million to Hoosiers that have fallen victim to the deceptive scams and 2910 frauds. However, I echo the concerns of my colleagues 2911 regarding the direction of the FTC under Chairwoman Khan's 2912 2913 leadership. 2914 During the Chairwoman's tenure, the FTC has routinely overstepped congressional-directed jurisdiction to target 2915 American companies. Meanwhile, the Chair has disregarded the 2916 other commissioners and consolidated authorities of the FTC 2917 within her own office. Chairwoman Khan has even gone as far 2918 2919 as to allow a former commissioner to vote on a substantial 2920 merger policy. In a recent and notable overreach, the FTC issued 2921 initial violation notices through their unprecedented penalty 2922

offense authority rule to over 700 companies before the final 2923 2924 order was issued. Since the Commission does not have authority to issue civil penalties for initial violations for 2925 2926 most unfair or deceptive practices, the FTC is setting a new and dangerous precedent that could threaten Hoosier 2927 businesses operating in the U.S. economy. This has left 2928 businesses in my state in the dark about how they're allowed 2929 to do business and continues this Administration's find and 2930 2931 find approach instead of collaboration in partnership to meet 2932 common goals and protect consumers. In 2004, we had the same problem in the State of Indiana 2933 where it was fine and fine -- find and fine, and we got that 2934 changed and, of course, the State of Indiana has a fabulously 2935 2936 balanced budget and our economy is growing. The FTC has continued their overreach with a proposed 2937 rule seeking to ban non-compete clauses in its partnership 2938 with the National Labor Relations Board. This rule rejects 2939 American free market ideals and disregards state laws that 2940 2941 already serve to protect employees in their communities. I had a conversation just a little while ago with a friend of 2942

mine sitting in the back, a surgeon, about what if I went to

work for a hospital that paid off my debt, would I -- could I

2943

2944

not have a non-compete. That's not my question, okay. 2945 2946 As a result of the FTC's concerning overreaches, the remaining Republican commissioners have all resigned. Before 2947 2948 this committee considers any sort of additional authority, it's imperative that we gain a better understanding as to how 2949 the FTC can more appropriately utilize the resources they 2950 have at hand. 2951 Chair Khan, former Commissioner Wilson, who resigned 2952 2953 just a few weeks ago, brought important private sector experience to the FTC. Can you describe your experience 2954 working in the private sector? 2955 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congressman. I have not worked in 2956 the private sector. I did work for Columbia University, but 2957 2958 that's, I believe, you know, organized as a non-profit. *Mr. Pence. I'm not downplaying your private -- your 2959 academic experience, but my view of the FTC, which is very 2960 positive back in my business experience all my life, 2961 understanding how business actually operates is pretty 2962 2963 important. Do you believe the lack of business experience among FTC commissioners inhibits your ability to regulate 2964 private sector entities effectively? 2965 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, there's no doubt that diversity 2966

of experience among commissioners greatly benefits our work, 2967 2968 and I really look forward to new commissioners that the President would nominate and the Senate would confirm, and 2969 2970 we'll look forward to that. *Mr. Pence. So I hope you lead that. I'd like to yield 2971 the balance of my time to Mr. Armstrong. 2972 *Mr. Armstrong. Thank you. 2973 *Mr. Bilirakis. You're recognized. 2974 *Mr. Armstrong. Chair Khan, earlier you expressed 2975 2976 concerns about data brokers selling sensitive location data, and I share that concern. Anybody who's noticed me 2977 testifying in just about any entire hearing my entire time 2978 2979 here, I think this is one of the largest conversations we 2980 have moving forward. This might be an unfair question, but 2981 I'm going to ask it anyway because we forget about the true elephant in the room. 2982 Do you have any thoughts on the federal government 2983 purchasing that same data from third-party data brokers? 2984 2985 *Ms. Khan. It's an interesting question. For us, our 2986 work looks at private entities for the most part, and so that's where our area is -- of attention is. As a general 2987 matter, I think it's no coincidence that those who have 2988

traditionally been skeptical of concentrations of power, 2989 2990 including in government, are now also extending those concerns to the private sector. I think any time we see, you 2991 2992 know, deep concentrations of economic power, that can create concern. And so be it data collected by, you know, big 2993 business or big government, I think a lot of people have 2994 concerns about that. 2995 *Mr. Armstrong. Thank you. And I yield back. 2996 2997 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I yield back. *Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Pence yields back. Now we'll 2998 recognize Ms. Lesko from the great State of Arizona. You're 2999 recognized for five minutes of questioning. 3000 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 3001 Thank you, Chair Khan. My question for you, Chair Khan, 3002 is in 2020, 87 percent of responding FTC employees affirmed 3003 that senior leaders maintain high standards of honesty and 3004 integrity. That number plummeted to 49 percent in 2022. 3005 This is quite alarming. How do you respond to those that say 3006 3007 your leadership style is responsible for such a large drop in employee confidence? 3008 *Ms. Khan. Thanks, Congresswoman. I'm incredibly proud 3009 of the staff of the FTC who day in and day out are protecting 3010

the interests of the American public, and I take those 3011 results very seriously. After seeing those declines, my team 3012 and I sprung into action to make sure we were understanding 3013 3014 what was the source of the decline and what changes we could put in place to turn that around. 3015 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. Who at the FTC has authority 3016 to initiate an investigation on a business? 3017 *Ms. Khan. So as a general matter, any time there is 3018 issuance of compulsory process, or a CID, that has to go 3019 through a particular commissioner. 3020 *Mrs. Lesko. Do commissioners have to vote to initiate 3021 an investigation on a business? 3022 *Ms. Khan. It depends what type of investigation is 3023 being done. Traditionally, on the consumer protection side, 3024 we had what was known as the omnibus authority which 3025 streamlined the ability to send out CIDs to make sure we 3026 could do our work more efficiently. More recently, we've 3027 also adopted that on the competition side, so in a whole host 3028 of areas, be it --3029 *Mrs. Lesko. All right, let me ask you more specific. 3030 Do commissioners have to vote to seek a court order against a 3031 business? 3032

*Ms. Khan. As a general matter, yes. 3033 3034 *Mrs. Lesko. Okay. Third question. In response to Elon Musk's Twitter acquisition and public commitment to 3035 3036 protecting free speech on a social media platform, it appears the FTC began an intense investigation into the company 3037 citing user data privacy as the reason behind it. 3038 over 350 demands of Twitter, including information on 3039 journalists that interacted with Twitter, specifically those 3040 3041 that produced the Twitter files. On what authority did the FTC make this demand? 3042 Thanks, Congresswoman. As you know, Twitter 3043 *Ms. Khan. has long been under FTC scrutiny, extending back a decade 3044 when it was found that lax data privacy and security 3045 practices had allowed hackers to take over all sorts of 3046 accounts, including the account of Fox News. At that point, 3047 there was a consent order that was entered into. 3048 Last year, we found that base -- that Twitter had been 3049 violating that consent order, entered into a new one. 3050 3051 inasmuch as we read public reporting suggesting that third parties may have been granted unauthorized access to 3052 Twitter's internal systems and be violating the new consent 3053 decree, that's absolutely something that we have an 3054

obligation to look at closely. 3055 3056 *Mrs. Lesko. But I fail to understand how you say it's due to data privacy when you're asking about specific names 3057 3058 and communications, and you're specifically asking for the emails and all communications with Elon Musk within the 3059 employee network. How does that have to deal with data 3060 privacy? 3061 We want to know who is making some of these 3062 3063 decisions, what type of responsibility are senior level executives potentially carrying, and so we try to be 3064 comprehensive in how we're looking at these issues. 3065 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. And I yield back. 3066 *Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, now I'll save Representative 3067 Carter some time and say he is from the home State of 3068 3069 Georgia, home of the national champion Georgia Bulldogs. You're recognized, sir, for --3070 *Mr. Carter. Twice in row. 3071 *Mr. Bilirakis. Now you can stick to the second matter. 3072 3073 *Mr. Carter. Two years in a row, Mr. Chairman. 3074 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. *Mr. Carter. Go Dogs. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 3075 thank you for allowing me to waive onto this committee. 3076

Thank you, Chair Khan. Thank you, Commissioners, for 3077 3078 being here, I appreciate it. Let me preface my remarks by saying this. I became a 3079 3080 member of Congress eight years ago. The first thing I did when I got here was to go straight to the FTC and ask them to 3081 look at the vertical integration that exists in the drug 3082 pricing chain. 3083 I'm a pharmacist. I've been in retail pharmacy. I've 3084 3085 practiced over four decades. I started when I was 10, so 3086 anyway. But seriously, this is something that I've witnessed 3087 over the years. And again, eight years ago, this was the 3088 first thing I did when I got to Congress, go to the FTC. 3089 3090 Asked you to look at how the insurance company owns the pharmacy benefit managers, the PBMs, that owns the pharmacy, 3091 and in some cases, that employs the providers. 3092 Finally, last summer you agreed to do that, and I know 3093 you're doing it, and I want to thank you for that because 3094 3095 this is what the problem is right here. You've seen it throughout the country. You've seen what's happened in 3096 states like Ohio where the Attorney General just recently 3097 referred to PBMs as gangsters. And they are. And they are 3098

the reason why the price of prescription drugs are so high. 3099 3100 There was a study done a little over a year ago by the Berkeley Group out in California that showed that only 63 3101 3102 percent of the price of a drug goes to the PBM. Only 37 percent goes to the drug manufacturer. Now I'm not trying to 3103 take up for drug manufacturers; they need to do a better job, 3104 too. But tell me what they are doing with that 63 percent. 3105 Do you think that they are passing those savings onto the --3106 3107 the discounts that they get onto the patient? I can tell you 3108 they're not. You're familiar with it, Chairman Khan, I know you are, 3109 with spread pricing, with DIR fees that are putting retail 3110 pharmacies -- independent retail pharmacies out of business 3111 to the tune of about four percent every year. The most 3112 accessible healthcare professionals in America are 3113 pharmacists. 95 percent of all Americans live within five 3114 miles of a pharmacy. 3115 We want -- everyone, Republicans and Democrats, want the 3116 3117 same thing in healthcare. We want accessibility, affordability, and quality. That's what we all want. You do 3118 away with that accessibility by doing away with independent 3119 retail pharmacies, and you're going to decrease 3120

accessibility, and we don't need that. 3121 3122 Chair Khan, can you bring me up to date of where the FTC is now? I know you can only say so much publicly, but can 3123 3124 you bring me up to date where you're at in this process of looking at this study? I believe that you call it a 6(b) 3125 3126 study? That's right. And, Congressman, early on in 3127 my tenure we started hearing an enormous amount from 3128 3129 independent pharmacies about potentially abusive practices by 3130 PBMs. We took those reports enormously seriously and that's why we've launched this study. 3131 We've been collecting information, collecting the data 3132 that was requested and are in the process of reviewing it. 3133 We're hoping that we'll be able to, you know, share something 3134 on that with your office in short order, but this is work 3135 that is underway. 3136 I couldn't agree more that independent pharmacies play a 3137 critical role. One thing that we saw during the pandemic in 3138 3139 particular was that states that have legislation protecting their independent pharmacies were actually able to have 3140 better outcomes in terms of distribution of key medicines. 3141 And so I think we've seen how on key metrics independent 3142

pharmacies are outperforming, and so we need to make sure 3143 3144 that unfair methods of competition are not squeezing them out. 3145 3146 *Mr. Carter. I know that you're looking at, I believe, it's six PBMs total. You know, there are three PBMs that 3147 control 80 percent of the market. 80 percent of the market. 3148 That's -- there is no reason -- there ought to be a law that 3149 a PBM cannot own a pharmacy. You know that there's patient 3150 3151 steering going on. 3152 You know, when the insurance company owns the PBM that owns the pharmacy, they're steering their patients toward 3153 their own pharmacy. We see it every day. That's happening. 3154 *Ms. Khan. I think you're absolutely right that when 3155 you have vertical integration coupled with significant 3156 industry concentration, the ability for that structure to 3157 create conflicts of interest become very, very real, and 3158 those conflicts of interest can be a serious concern when 3159 they're leading to those types of practices. 3160 3161 *Mr. Carter. I mentioned the lawsuit that was -- that's recently been filed by the Ohio Attorney General that alleges 3162 that PBMs, through their subsidiaries, collude to fix 3163 reimbursement prices and share competitively sensitive 3164

- information to enable coordinated negotiations. Is the FTC investigating this same conduct?

 *Ms. Khan. Congressman, some of that information is
- *Ms. Khan. Congressman, some of that information is
 non-public, but as a general matter, those issues are on our
 radar. We also early on in my tenure issued a policy
 statement noting that potentially illegal kickbacks or
 commercial bribery that might be occurring through the rebate
 system is on our radar as well.
- *Mr. Carter. Well, I'm out of time. Again, this is the first thing I did eight years ago. Thank you for doing this.

 This is extremely important. Republican, Democrat. I never went to the counter and asked a patient are you a Republican or Democrat. All I tried to do was to help the patients, as all pharmacies are trying to do.
- 3179 Thank you, and I yield back.
- *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, sir. The gentleman yields

 back. And now we'll recognize -- no one on the Democrat

 side, so we'll go ahead and recognize Dr. Joyce from the

 State of Pennsylvania. Thank you -- thanks for your

 patience, sir.
- *Mr. Joyce. Thank you for yielding, Mr. Chairman. And I would further like to thank both you and Ranking Member for

```
allowing me to waive onto this panel for this important
3187
3188
      hearing.
           Chair Khan, last fall I, along with 67 of my colleagues,
3189
3190
      sent you a bipartisan letter in support of the FTC Franchise
      Rule which highlighted the importance that this rule has to
3191
      the business community at large. And I would like to ask
3192
      unanimous consent to enter this letter into the record.
3193
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
3194
3195
      you.
          [The information follows:]
3196
3197
      ********COMMITTEE INSERT******
3198
3199
```

*Mr. Joyce. Chair Khan, is the FRI -- is the RFI 3200 announced in March of this year part of the FTC Franchise 3201 Rule review? 3202 3203 *Ms. Khan. No, it's a separate effort. *Mr. Joyce. If this is not part of the FTC Franchise 3204 Rule review, do you agree that there would be benefits of 3205 including the RFI as part of the franchise review -- rule 3206 review? 3207 3208 *Ms. Khan. It certainly could be. The Franchise Rule itself, as it stands, covers a narrower set of issues, so we 3209 wanted to have the separate process to make sure we were 3210 getting a 360 view of what the issues here might be. 3211 learn things that are relevant for how we should be enforcing 3212 3213 the Franchise Rule or do any amendments, of course we would take that into account. 3214 *Mr. Joyce. And I agree with you. I think that it --3215 including that as part of the franchise review, including the 3216 RFI would be important. Thank you for acknowledging that. 3217 3218 How does the FTC NLRB Memorandum of Understanding affect the FTC's review of franchise issues, particularly at a time 3219 when the NLRB is finalizing a rule that will upend the 3220 franchise relationship? 3221

*Ms. Khan. As a general matter, we entered into this 3222 3223 MOU to make sure that we were able to share information that might be relevant to each other. We each have different 3224 3225 authorities, and so if one of us is spotting conduct that we're not able to act on but might be unlawful under their 3226 authorities, we can refer that and vice versa. So that's the 3227 main way we're --3228 *Mr. Joyce. And I think it's important that you 3229 3230 acknowledge, just as you did, that there are different authorities involved here. Do you believe that the 3231 Commission has the authority to grant a private right of 3232 action to franchisees under the FTC Act? 3233 *Ms. Khan. No, the FTC Act, Section 5 does not have the 3234 3235 private right of action. 3236 *Mr. Joyce. I think that this is an important message that we take with us, that that private right of action is 3237 not included. And I would also like to add that the 3238 franchise system here in the United States is incredibly 3239 3240 uniquely American, and it has allowed hundreds of thousands of small businesses to thrive and employ what we estimate are 3241 millions of people. 3242 Today, in America, we must ensure that this system 3243

3244	continues and the economic growth is not interrupted in a
3245	partisan manner, or by an anti-business implementation of
3246	rule that are outside the intent of the law. Small
3247	businesses rely and thrive on that ability to have that
3248	integral action of working right here in America.
3249	I thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to waive on,
3250	and I yield back the balance of my time.
3251	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. And I the gentleman
3252	yields back. I ask unanimous consent to insert in the record
3253	the documents included on the staff hearing documents list.
3254	Without objection, that will be the order.
3255	[The information follows:]
3256	
3257	**************************************
3258	

*Mr. Bilirakis. I'd like to ask the ranking member if 3259 she had any comments. I really appreciate the testimony 3260 today, and your ability to answer the questions, and I 3261 3262 appreciate your patience. And I'll yield to the gentlelady, the ranking member of the subcommittee, Ms. Schakowsky, for 3263 3264 any comments she might have. *Ms. Schakowsky. I just want to give a big thank you to 3265 the Chairman and the Commissioners for not only being here 3266 3267 today but for all the work that you do to -- every single day 3268 to protect consumers. Your efforts to go as far as you can to make sure that all the fraudsters and the people who are 3269 trying to hurt our consumers are addressed, and I think 3270 you're doing a great job. I wanted to thank you for 3271 3272 answering all of the many questions that you had and for being there every day along with your staff. Thank you. 3273 *Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate you giving us your time. 3274 Members, that they have ten business days to submit 3275 questions for the record. And I ask the witnesses to respond 3276 3277 to the questions promptly. Members should submit their questions by the close of business day on May 2nd. 3278 Without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned. 3279 [Whereupon, at 12:52 p.m., the subcommittee was 3280

3281 adjourned.]