```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
2
    RPTS CARR
    HIF032170
3
4
    ECONOMIC DANGER ZONE:
5
    HOW AMERICA COMPETES TO WIN THE FUTURE VERSUS CHINA
6
7
    WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2023
    House of Representatives,
8
9
    Subcommittee on Innovation, Data, and Commerce,
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
10
    Washington, D.C.
11
12
13
14
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:32 a.m.
15
    in 2322 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gus
16
    Bilirakis [chairman of the subcommittee], presiding.
17
          Present: Representatives Bilirakis, Walberg, Bucshon,
18
19
    Duncan, Dunn, Lesko, Armstrong, Allen, Harshbarger, Cammack,
    Rodgers (ex officio); Schakowsky, Castor, Dingell, Kelly,
20
    Blunt Rochester, Soto, Trahan, Clarke, and Pallone (ex
21
22
    officio).
```

23

24 Staff Present: Michael Cameron, Professional Staff Member, CPC; Jack Heretik, Press Secretary; Jessica Herron, 25 26 Clerk, CPAC; Peter Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services Director; Tim Kurth, Chief Counsel, CPAC; 27 Brannon Rains, Professional Staff Member, CPAC; Lacey Strahm, 28 Fellow, CPAC; Teddy Tanzer, Senior Counsel, CPAC; Hannah 29 Anton, Minority Staff Assistant; Ian Barlow, Minority FTC 30 31 Detaille; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel; Daniel Greene, Minority Professional Staff 32 Member; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Lisa 33 Hone, Minority Chief Counsel, Innovation, Data, and Commerce; 34 Joe Orlando, Minority Senior Policy Analyst; and C.J. Young, 35 Minority Deputy Communications Director. 36

37

38 \*Mr. Bilirakis. The Subcommittee on Innovation, Data,39 and Commerce will come to order.

40 The chair recognizes himself for five minutes for an41 opening statement.

Good morning, everyone. It is great to be here. 42 Welcome to the first hearing for the Innovation, Data, and 43 Commerce Subcommittee for the 118th Congress. I would like 44 to thank the chair of the full committee for selecting me to 45 46 chair this important panel, and I would also like to congratulate, again, Cathy McMorris Rodgers for being the 47 first woman to serve as the chair of the powerful Committee 48 on Energy and Commerce, the best committee in Congress. It 49 There is no question. really is. 50

Okay. I also want to identify our new members, our new Republican members of the subcommittee: Mr. Allen From the State of Georgia; Mr. Fulcher from Idaho; Ms. Harshbarger from the State of Tennessee; and then, of course, Mr. Duncan. And I am glad to see you are back on the subcommittee from the great state of South Carolina.

And then we also have, of course, the great friend of mine, Kat Cammack, from the great state of Florida, and she represents Gator Nation.

Finally, I want to thank my esteemed colleague, Tim Walberg from the great state of Michigan, for serving as vice chair of the committee. I am greatly looking forward to working with Mr. Walberg, and I appreciate his partnership. We are going to do great things in this committee.

5 So Ranking Member Schakowsky, who did an outstanding job 66 as the chair in the previous Congress, I am glad to see you 67 are leading the subcommittee again.

And for our friends across the aisle, we worked very 68 hard together last Congress moving forward very good success, 69 great initiatives that went to the President's desk. 70 This included legislative wins like the INFORM Consumers Act, 71 which will protect consumers from stolen and counterfeit 72 goods online, including those coming from China, and my bill, 73 the Ransomware Act, which requires the FTC to submit 74 recommendations on how to make America more resilient from 75 ransomware and cyber attacks, specifically from cross-border 76 foreign threats like China and Russia. 77

Switching gears, today's hearing focuses on the great threat to our country right now: China. So it is fitting that to begin the 118th Congress we focus on this threat and discuss how to recapture and maintain our global leadership.

The CCP will stop at nothing to undermine our global leadership and weaken our economy. They bought up our farmlands, stolen our intellectual property, and embedded themselves deep within many of our supply chains. Now they are turning their attention towards establishing the global standards for emerging technologies. We are not going to let it happen.

The CCP has invested heavily in artificial intelligence 89 90 and other emerging technologies. Paired with this investment, China is creating favorable environments for 91 their private sector companies and entrepreneurs to deploy 92 and test these technologies. This has forced many American 93 companies with global footprint and American innovators with 94 cutting-edge ideas to consider a hard decision: whether to 95 move their operations from American to Chinese shores. 96

97 It is also allowing Chinese companies to invest and 98 expand further, potentially endangering our own 99 infrastructure and data security. Waiting any further on a 100 national framework is weakening our stance by the day, and 101 time is of the essence. I can't emphasize that enough: time 102 is of the essence.

103

It is imperative that this committee establishes

foundational frameworks for developing emerging technologies.
We came close last Congress when we passed the bipartisan,
bicameral American Data Privacy and Protection Act. But this
Congress we need to ensure it gets across the finish line,
because China is not waiting on us to influence international
norms and standards.

And I want to commend the previous chairman, Mr. Pallone, and the previous ranking member, Mrs. Rodgers, for getting it through committee, which was really incredible, historic. Now we have got to get it across the finish line as soon as possible.

I look forward to working again with Chair Rodgers, Ranking Members Schakowsky and Pallone, and the members of this committee to finish what we started. We need to get this done, and it is a priority. It is a priority for the American people. We must ensure our nation remains a leader in global technology standards.

121 The American people are the most innovative in the 122 world. I know you know this. It is our job in Congress to 123 ensure that we eliminate bureaucratic red tape that has too 124 often hampered innovation in the marketplace. For something 125 like autonomous vehicles that will help senior citizens and

126	Americans living with disabilities, it means reducing
127	barriers to testing and setting the standards for how they
128	will be adopted across the world and provide certainty for
129	businesses and consumers.
130	America's global leadership depends on its people to be
131	the best in class, and we must give them the regulatory
132	certainty == remember, that is the key on emerging
133	technologies they need in order to live up to their legacy.
134	If we fail, America will be left behind and our competitors
135	like China, will leave us in the dust.
136	Again, we are not going to let that happen.
137	I am eager to hear from our panel of experts today on
138	such a critical topic. Thank you again to the witnesses for
139	being here today.
140	[The prepared statement of Mr. Bilirakis follows:]
141	
142	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
143	

\*Mr. Bilirakis. And I yield back. The chair recognizes
subcommittee Ranking Member Schakowsky for five minutes for
an opening statement.

\*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much, Chairman Bilirakis.
I am so excited to be back here on this -- in the
subcommittee, working with you and working with our new
members and working with our returning members on both sides
of the aisle.

You know, we were so successful passing bipartisan legislation in the last Congress. There was hardly any difference between us. And so I really look forward to continuing to do this.

And I did want to mention -- you talked a bit about the 156 challenge of competitiveness with China. And I want to 157 congratulate you on maintaining our jurisdiction. There is a 158 new special committee dealing with China. So thank you for 159 making sure that this idea of our competitiveness and our 160 ability to compete in a positive way with all of our 161 162 competitors and certainly adversaries -- so good work in making that happen. 163

But I did also want to mention some of the things that we did. You mentioned a couple of these bills, but I want to

say that we were able to pass out of the full committee the consumer protection and the -- our legislation on -- the privacy legislation that we were able to get out of the House, the American Data Privacy and Protection Act. And I am hoping and looking forward to as a priority to try and move that. Americans want to do that.

We passed the Consumer Protection and the Recovery Act. 172 We also passed out of the -- into law Reese's Law, protecting 173 174 children; the INFORM Consumer Act, which is not only good for consumers, but for businesses that have been losing money; 175 the Sturdy Act, protecting children from furniture that falls 176 over on them; the Ransomware Act; and the Restoring Brand 177 These were two of your bills that I was happy to 178 USA. cosponsor. The Manufacturing.gov Act, Safety Sleep for 179 Babies, the FTC Collaboration -- is that right -- Act of 180 2022; the Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Prevention Act. I mean, 181 so many things for consumers, for ordinary people that we 182 were able to pass into law. 183

But I definitely do want to say that let's make a priority of passing our privacy bill. I think this will really put us on the right track.

187 And let me also say there is -- we know that there is a

188	lot of work to be done to make our supply chain resilient,
189	and we need to work on that. Also and we face these new
190	challenges with the growth of our artificial intelligence
191	that we need to address.
192	And let me just say in closing now is the time for us to
193	come together. We can do this. We have done this. And I
194	really look forward to the advances that we are going to make
195	for consumers, for business, and for the economy of our
196	country.
197	[The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
198	
199	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
200	

201 \*Ms. Schakowsky. I yield back.

202 \*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the ranking member. The chair 203 recognizes the chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for 204 five minutes for her opening statement.

\*The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Welcome, 205 everyone, to the first hearing of the Innovation, Data, and 206 Commerce Subcommittee of the 118th Congress. It is great to 207 have everyone here, and I would like to congratulate my good 208 209 friend, Congressman Gus Bilirakis, on becoming the chairman of this subcommittee, as well as my friend, Mr. Walberg, for 210 being the vice chair of the committee. 211

This committee plays a vital role in advancing American competitiveness and global technological leadership, and it is critical that we use this panel to ensure that America, not China, is setting the rules of the road for technologies of tomorrow.

It is no secret that the Chinese Communist Party wants to replace the United States as a global economic and technological power. Whether it is artificial intelligence, self-driving cars, or smart devices, China wants to dominate these new and emerging technologies.

But China's vision of the future is not one that

welcomes American values, values like freedom of speech, 223 privacy, entrepreneurial enterprise, individual rights, or 224 the rule of law. The Chinese Communist Party, on the other 225 226 hand, spies on its citizens and asserts strict government control over businesses and the economy. We need to make 227 sure that these technologies of the future are developed in 228 an ecosystem that promotes American values, not China's. 229 And this is a race that we cannot afford to let them win. 230

231 We must work together to cement America's global technological leadership. We should start by passing 232 comprehensive privacy and data security protections with one 233 national standard. We made history last year when we passed 234 the bipartisan, bicameral American Data Privacy and 235 Protection Act 53 to 2 out of this committee, full committee. 236 But our work isn't over yet, and we have already fallen 237 behind other countries in establishing a national privacy 238 standard. 239

I want to thank Mr. Pallone and Ms. Schakowsky, and, of course, Mr. Bilirakis for his leadership on this. And I am eager to continue that work. It is a top priority for Americans, and it needs to be achieved this Congress. And we can't stop there.

It is also important that we take action to ensure the development and the deployment of self-driving cars. The regulatory framework for self-driving cars must be led in the United States.

And again, this comes down to our values versus the Chinese Communist Party, American values, the importance of safety for our citizens. China does not. We value our workforce and free market economies. China does not. We value civil society groups and their right to speak freely. China does not.

To win the future, the United States must lead on self-driving cars. We must chart a path so the road is one we design. And this can be the year we finally push past the barriers which have derailed the SELF DRIVE Act and other legislation from becoming law.

And we can also build on the America COMPETES Act legislation, which I had sponsored in the 116th Congress, and worked with then-chair Ms. Schakowsky to get passed, and it was on promoting emerging technologies, which is -- was enacted with many provisions of -- led by members of this committee.

266

5 The best way to beat China is to spur innovation and

remove unnecessary, burdensome regulatory barriers. We cannot and we should not even try to beat China at their game of massive government handouts and centralized industrial policy. We won't outspend them, and authorizing billions of taxpayer dollars without removing burdensome red tape will only lead to waste.

Instead, we need to encourage innovation, ingenuity, and entrepreneurship. That is the backbone of our economy, and that can only be achieved with a government that encourages low barriers to entry for innovative technologies and startups, and the adoption of emerging technologies that will improve people's lives.

Ensuring Federal agencies don't put undue burden on 279 280 businesses and innovators will be a top priority for this Whether it is ensuring people's online information 281 Congress. is secure, charting an achievable path towards the 282 development and the deployment of self-driving cars in the 283 United States, or setting the global standards for AI and 284 285 other emerging technologies, this subcommittee is at the center of it. 286

287 You know, the American ingenuity built its first car. 288 America built its first car in 1893. And then we went on to

289	dominate manufacturing the car for over 100 years. And we
290	must secure and win the future in the auto and the tech
291	sector. You know, I look forward to working with every
292	member on this panel to preserve our global leadership,
293	strengthen our economic and national security, and beat
294	China. My door is always open.
295	I want to thank the witnesses for all being here. Your
295 296	I want to thank the witnesses for all being here. Your testimony is critical in educating all of us.
296	testimony is critical in educating all of us.
296 297	testimony is critical in educating all of us.

\*The Chair. And I yield back. 301 302 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Madam Chair, for your testimony. I appreciate it. 303 304 Now I will recognize the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes. 305 Thank you, Chairman, and congratulations \*Mr. Pallone. 306 again on your being made the chairman of the subcommittee. 307 America's economic competitiveness has helped cement 308 309 America's technological, political, and national security dominance, but our nation's competitiveness is facing 310 unprecedented challenges. For three decades the Institute 311 for Management Development designated our nation's economy as 312 one of the five most competitive in the world. Three years 313 ago, during the Trump Administration, that ranking plummeted 314 to 10th. 315 Globalization, protectionist policies, and extraordinary 316 market interventions by our economic rivals are key reasons 317 for this drop. The Chinese government, a frequent purveyor 318 319 of predatory economic practices, has adopted policies to give

320 Chinese companies an unfair competitive advantage. This 321 includes massive tax breaks and subsidies for Chinese 322 companies, stifling restrictions on access to the Chinese

323 market, currency manipulation, foreign mergers and 324 acquisitions, forced technology transfers, and intellectual

325 property theft.

326 Their goal is to dominate high-tech industries like 5G, supercomputers, artificial intelligence, and advanced 327 manufacturing that are essential to economic prosperity and 328 military superiority in the 21st century. And as a result, 329 American manufacturers, innovators, and workers are operating 330 at a competitive disadvantage. Chinese manufacturers' output 331 rose by 170 percent between 2008 and 2021. Over that same 332 period, the U.S. production only grew by 12 percent. 333

Chinese companies now dominate the market for vital telecommunications network equipment, smartphones, commercial drones, and photovoltaic cells for solar panel production. China is also the largest producer of lithium battery cells, and is making strides in the production of electric vehicles.

Now, fortunately, these unprecedented challenges to our economic prosperity and competitiveness are not going unchallenged. Last Congress congressional Democrats delivered by taking bold action to strengthen our manufacturing base, help create good-paying jobs for American workers, unleash more innovation, and lower costs for

345 consumers.

346 The CHIPS and Science Act was one of the major laws that we passed last Congress, and it invests \$52.7 billion to spur 347 348 American semiconductor production. It will ensure more superconductors are produced right here in the United States, 349 end our reliance on other countries like China, and lower 350 costs for consumers for automobiles, consumer electronics, 351 home appliances, and other goods. It also invests 1.5 352 353 billion to support the deployment of innovative, Americanmade telecommunications equipment to help counter the spread 354 of harmful network equipment like China-backed Huawei. 355 The CHIPS and Science Act was a major win for our global 356 competitiveness, for our economy, for our consumers. 357

Based on the title of today's hearing, you would have 358 thought congressional Republicans would have been running to 359 the floor to vote yes on this bill, but only two Republicans 360 on this committee supported it. The other 27 opposed it. 361 While the overwhelming majority of Republicans opposed the 362 363 CHIPS and Science Act, we have an opportunity to double down 364 on these monumental victories and enact more vital competitiveness legislation into law this Congress. 365

And I know my -- I know that both Chairman Bilirakis and

our Ranking Member Schakowsky mentioned the American Data 367 Privacy and Protection Act, which is the comprehensive 368 privacy legislation that myself and Chairwoman Rodgers 369 370 sponsored last Congress, and this bill ensures that consumers, wherever they reside in this country, will have 371 meaningful control over their personal information, while 372 providing clear and consistent rules of the road on privacy 373 and data security to innovators, entrepreneurs, and small 374 375 tech companies.

And I would also like to see us move the supply chain resilience subtitle in the America COMPETES Act, which passed out of the House last Congress. This would create a new office within the Department of Commerce responsible for leading a government-wide effort to support manufacturing and strengthen supply chains critical to the nation's economic vitality and national security.

We can continue to blaze a path to a more competitive, stronger economy by building on the work of the last Congress. But one thing we cannot do is dangerously play chicken with the debt limit, as House Republicans are threatening to do. House Republicans have pledged the nation's full faith and credit to force devastating cuts to

Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. And that is not the 389 390 way to ensure our nation leads the pack economically. So I hope congressional Republicans realize the severe 391 392 economic consequences of this action might have, and I also hope we can begin to work on bipartisan solutions to bolster 393 our economy and to out-compete the world. And I urge a 394 strategy that is bipartisan and that will return to 395 responsible governance, working in the interests of all 396 397 Americans. I think all of us have America and our constituents at 398 heart, and we can work together as we have in the past to 399 achieve bipartisan solutions that deal with this challenge 400 from China and other competitors. 401 402 [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:] 403 404 405

\*Mr. Pallone. So I yield back. Thank you, Mr. 406 407 Chairman. \*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the ranking member. We have 408 409 now concluded with members' opening statements. The Chair would like to remind members that, pursuant to 410 the committee rules, all members' opening statements will be 411 part of the record. 412 We would like to thank all of our witnesses for being 413 414 here today and taking the time to travel to Washington, D.C. to testify before the subcommittee. Today's witnesses will 415 have five minutes to provide oral testimony, which will be 416 followed by a round of questions from members. 417 Our witness panel for today's hearing will include Mr. 418 Jeff Farrah, the executive director of the Autonomous Vehicle 419 Industry Association -- welcome, sir; Mr. Marc Jarsulic, who 420 is a senior fellow and chief economist at the Center for 421 American Progress -- welcome; Ms. Samm Sacks, cyber policy 422 fellow, international security program for New America --423 welcome; Mr. Brandon Pugh, policy director and resident 424 senior fellow at R Street Institute. We appreciate you being 425 here, as well. 426

427

So, Mr. Farrah, we will begin with you, and you have

428 five minutes. Thank you.
429 \*Mr. Farrah. Thank you.
430
431 \*Mr. Bilirakis. My pleasure.
432

433	STATEMENT OF JEFF FARRAH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AUTONOMOUS
434	VEHICLE INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (AVIA); MARC JARSULIC, SENIOR
435	FELLOW AND CHIEF ECONOMIST, CENTER FOR AMERICAN PROGRESS;
436	SAMM SACKS, CYBER POLICY FELLOW, INTERNATIONAL SECURITY
437	PROGRAM, NEW AMERICA; AND BRANDON PUGH, POLICY DIRECTOR AND
438	RESIDENT SENIOR FELLOW, R STREET INSTITUTE
439	
440	STATEMENT OF JEFF FARRAH
441	
442	*Mr. Farrah. Chair Rodgers, Ranking Member Pallone,
443	Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member Schakowsky, distinguished
444	members of the committee, it is my honor to be here before
445	you today.
446	I am privileged to lead the Autonomous Vehicle Industry
447	Association, which is the unified voice of the AV industry,
448	and represents leading automotive, technology, trucking, and
449	transportation companies. Our mission is to advocate for the
450	safe and timely deployment of autonomous technology that will
451	increase safety, expand mobility, and boost supply chains.
452	We appreciate the strong leadership that has come from
453	this committee in past congresses, and look forward to
454	working with you this year.

It is important to recognize that autonomous vehicles are not science fiction. They are here today. Across the United States, autonomous driving technology is being applied to passenger vehicles, trucks, delivery vehicles, and shuttles. AVs are operating in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Florida, Michigan, Texas, Washington State, and more.

It is key to understand why developers are focused on 462 463 bringing AV technology to market. It is not an exaggeration to say that safety motivates everything that the AV industry 464 does, and AVs will make Americans safer. After all, AVs 465 don't speed, they don't drive drunk, and they don't drive 466 distracted. Sadly, human drivers do all of those things, and 467 the Department of Transportation has affirmed that human 468 behavior is the overwhelming contributor to the 43,000 deaths 469 on American roads that we suffered in 2021, which is an 11 470 percent increase over the year before. 471

Our industry offers a solution to this tragic problem. Autonomous vehicles are safer than human drivers because they use advanced technology to develop a real-time threedimensional view that informs the automated driving system, which is the brain that drives the vehicle without the need

477 for human input. AVs are capable of making quicker decisions 478 with many more inputs than a human driver.

Beyond improving safety, AVs have the potential to radically improve mobility for the elderly and disability communities. AVs are also starting to show how they can ease the supply chain crisis and deliver environmental benefits.

Let's turn to the issue of AV adoption worldwide. American AV companies have the most advanced autonomous vehicles, and billions of dollars have been invested in innovative companies. But unfortunately, the United States is at severe risk of falling behind the rest of the world on AV public policy, which could deny Americans the technology's lifesaving and mobility benefits.

One competitor is the Chinese Government, which has made 490 AV development a top priority, and highlighted AVs in its 491 Made in China 2025 Strategic Initiative. These measures are 492 producing autonomous companies with global aims. China's 493 focus on advancement in this space should be alarming, as no 494 495 American policymaker should want to see a world where China dominates the AV market. This scenario presents national 496 security challenges, and would also mean that the U.S. would 497 not see much of the job creation from a prosperous AV 498

499 industry.

The United States cannot assume it will win the global AV race, thereby securing a leadership position in what many estimate will be a multi-trillion-dollar market opportunity. For the United States to win the AV race, we must put in place a national policy framework focused on deployment and commercialization.

The time is long past due, as efforts to enact a national AV framework have stalled in recent years. Twentytwo states have taken action to authorize deployment of autonomous vehicles on their roads. State-by-state action is not ideal, but it has become important for AV advancement in the absence of a Federal framework.

I detail what a Federal policy framework should look like in my written testimony, which includes reforming the vehicle exemption process that is harming commercialization and completing agency rulemakings that remove barriers to deployment. This action would send a strong message that our country is determined to be the global leader on the next great technological change for our world.

519 We are at a crossroads for the American AV industry, and 520 frankly, we need your help. Make no mistake, the United

521	States can lead the way on autonomous vehicles, but
522	policymakers must prioritize AV policy, and do so with
523	urgency.
524	Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I
525	look forward to any questions you may have.
526	[The prepared statement of Mr. Farrah follows:]
527	
528	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
529	

\*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Farrah. Now we will
recognize Mr. Jarsulic.
You are recognized, sir, for five minutes.

534 STATEMENT OF MARC JARSULIC

535

\*Mr. Jarsulic. Thank you, Chairman Bilirakis and
Ranking Member Schakowsky, for the opportunity to testify at
this important hearing.

Today I will focus briefly on three points: the importance of a strong manufacturing sector for economic competitiveness and national security; the requirements for success in advanced manufacturing; and the need for effective industrial policy to support that success.

544 First on manufacturing -- the importance of manufacturing competitiveness. Manufacturing has 545 historically been a source of productivity growth and high-546 wage employment. Much of manufacturing productivity growth 547 is derived from innovation. It is the adoption of new 548 technologies. The ability of many U.S. manufacturers to 549 operate at the technical frontier has made U.S. manufactured 550 goods competitive internationally. And until recently, the 551 552 U.S. was the largest manufacturing exporter.

553 While in the aggregate much of U.S. manufacturing 554 productivity remains at the frontier, the competitive lead 555 has been eroded. For example, in recent decades German

manufacturing total factory productivity growth, which is 556 557 commonly used as a measure of innovation, has exceeded that of the U.S. 558 559 U.S. manufacturing has also been challenged by the rise of China as a competitor. China has overtaken the U.S. as 560 the world's leading manufacturer -- or leader in 561 manufacturing value added, and leads the U.S. in 562 manufacturing exports. In addition, the Chinese Government 563 564 is devoting considerable resources to move ahead in crucial areas such as artificial intelligence, advanced robotics, 565 energy saving vehicles, biopharma. 566 These challenges to U.S. leadership in advanced 567 manufacturing create both economic and security risks. The 568 reduction in domestic auto production over the past two years 569 caused by semiconductor chip shortages illustrates the 570 economic risk posed by disruptions to semiconductor supply 571 Security risks are illustrated by the Department of 572 chains. Defense's ongoing reliance on Asian producers of micro-573 574 printed circuit boards, which are essential to many national defense electronic systems. 575

576 Let me now talk briefly about the requirements for 577 advanced manufacturing. Advanced manufacturing success has

578 four basic elements: scientific discovery, the ability to 579 translate new science into prototypes and new processes, 580 standards and tests to control quality, and a well-trained 581 workforce.

Because private actors can't capture all the benefits of investing in these requirements -- it is hard, for example, to keep scientific ideas secret, or to prevent well-trained workers from going elsewhere -- the level of investment in each of these can be insufficient. When there are public goods obstacles of this kind, policy can help to overcome them.

In the 1990s, for example, SEMATECH, an industrygovernment consortium, helped to develop semiconductor manufacturing processes and novel measuring techniques. These collaborations helped maintain industry

593 competitiveness.

Let me now say something about the need for effective industrial policy. With the major exceptions of support for basic scientific research and defense-related investments by DARPA and other agencies, domestic policy has not systematically focused on manufacturing in recent decades. Given the challenges facing U.S. industry, this neglect has

600 not been benign.

601 It is, therefore, encouraging that several pieces of legislation passed in the last Congress include important 602 603 industrial policy measures. The bipartisan investment -- the Bipartisan Infrastructure Act is a major step in restoring 604 and upgrading basic public infrastructure. The CHIPS Act 605 provides substantial new support for basic scientific 606 research in strategic areas, public and private partnerships 607 608 with industry, STEM education and workforce training, and standard-setting by NIST. 609

The Inflation Reduction Act provides important incentives for private investment in clean energy and climate-related production over the next decade. Taken together, these three bills provide support for public goods and create private-sector incentives that will strengthen our manufacturing competitiveness and national security.

In conclusion, it is reasonable to say that a competitive advanced manufacturing sector delivers important economic and security benefits. It is also reasonable to say that U.S. manufacturing is more likely to stay on a competitive frontier and to minimize economic and security risks if it is supported by effective industrial policy.

622	These facts make industrial policy a crucial area for future
623	action.
624	Thank you for your attention. I would be happy to
625	answer any questions you may have.
626	[The prepared statement of Mr. Jarsulic follows:]
627	
628	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
629	

630	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much. I want to thank
631	the witnesses for sticking to the five minutes or under
632	the five minutes. Good timing.
633	Okay. Now we will recognize Ms. Sacks for her five
634	minutes of testimony. Thank you.
635	

636 STATEMENT OF SAMM SACKS

637

\*Ms. Sacks. Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member 638 639 Schakowsky, thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I am a senior fellow at Yale Law School's Paul ti China 640 Center and at New America. I am also a senior fellow for 641 China with the Cross Border Data Forum. And I advise U.S. 642 corporate clients on China's technology policies. 643 I have 644 been an analyst and a linguist focused on Chinese data and cybersecurity policies for over a decade. 645

646 While my expertise focuses on China, my view is that the 647 most effective solution for strengthening U.S.

competitiveness and leadership in governing emerging
technologies requires an approach that is more comprehensive
than our response to any single country.

Passing Federal privacy law that addresses how all companies collect, transfer, and process data will enhance competition while also addressing harms regardless of where that risk originates. U.S. lawmakers have an opportunity here to both address transnational threats, while also advancing a more secure, ethical, and democratic global Internet in its own right.

The Chinese leadership has embarked on an ambitious 658 659 national data strategy with the goal of acquiring, collecting, and extracting value from large volumes of data. 660 661 My written testimony provides more details on this issue. Beijing could use data collected and aggregated from overseas 662 to build profiles of individuals with national security 663 clearances or those with access to critical infrastructure, 664 enabling the manipulation, coercion, and blackmail. 665

666 Now, for most Americans this is probably not going to be a top concern. But I do think that the impact on economic 667 competition and U.S. global leadership in emerging 668 technologies may be far more reaching. Access to data 669 collected abroad provides Chinese companies insights into 670 population and consumer behavior, risk tolerance, and other 671 preferences. This helps to strengthen the competitiveness of 672 Chinese firms by enabling them to develop products and 673 services that are better tailored to markets beyond China. 674 And it enhances the ability of those firms to then compete 675 676 with U.S. companies. I am talking about markets beyond the United States or China, as both Beijing and Washington 677 increasingly look to decouple from one another's markets. 678 The most significant step that U.S. lawmakers can take 679

to strengthen U.S. global competitiveness, while also 680 enhancing consumer privacy and addressing these pressing 681 national security risks, is to pass comprehensive Federal 682 683 privacy law. The goal is to address all harms related to data processing and to focus on securing the data itself, 684 rather than a country of origin or any single company. 685 Inaction by the United States means ceding leadership to 686 Europe and to China in setting these global norms and 687 688 standards.

In addition, the United States should work with likeminded governments to develop a common set of standards that would allow data to flow. I would like to note the potential for the Global Cross Border Privacy Rules, a data transfer alliance that requires companies to certify to common standards for privacy protection, while enabling cross-border transfers for those certified companies.

What this does is it creates a coalition of allies that are sharing data with the United States. The ability of U.S. firms to maintain high rates of innovation depends on access to global markets, to international data sets, and to talent. If U.S. firms cannot send data out of countries in which they operate overseas, this directly impacts economic growth and

702	innovation and AI that is core to building applications that
703	work across a variety of demographics.
704	I urge U.S. lawmakers to address national security risks
705	and protect Americans' privacy by putting forward an
706	affirmative vision for U.S. data governance. ADPPA marks an
707	important step in this regard that merits further attention
708	and discussion. Inaction will only make the United States
709	less secure, less prosperous, less powerful, while allowing
710	more space around the world for the CCP to set the rules and
711	norms for technologies that will shape the future.
712	Thank you.
713	[The prepared statement of Ms. Sacks follows:]
714	
715	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
716	

717	*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it very much. Next is Mr.
718	Pugh.
719	You are recognized for five minutes, sir. Thank you.
720	

721 STATEMENT OF BRANDON PUGH

722

\*Mr. Pugh. Chairman Bilirakis, Ranking Member
Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for
considering my testimony and for the invitation to speak at
the hearing.

Let me begin by thanking the subcommittee and the members of the entire Energy and Commerce Committee for the time dedicated to developing comprehensive Federal data privacy and security legislation last Congress.

We focus on finding consensus on a comprehensive Federal 731 data privacy and security law in the United States. One key 732 aspect of our ongoing work is the intersection of privacy and 733 security, including how national security and data security 734 should be key drivers in passing a Federal law. Data privacy 735 and security are vital to both consumers and industry. 736 However, such a law is vital to national security. 737 This often under-appreciated aspect is the focus of my testimony. 738 Given the topic of today's hearing, I will focus my analysis 739 on China. 740

In 2020, the China Task Force found that the CommunistChinese Party has a record of using official government

resources and companies with CCP affiliations to compromise 743 744 the data of people around the world, and that the United States and its allies need to join the effort to secure data 745 746 from the CCP surveillance state and other malign entities. These concerns are especially prevalent in China itself, 747 where advanced technology is used to track and monitor their 748 citizens with few, if any, protections. I wish I could say 749 that the concerns raised in 2020 are no longer valid. 750 In 751 fact, it is the opposite: they are worse. Data can reveal everything from your shopping habits to sensitive parts of 752 your life, like your health and location. This, in the hands 753 of the adversary or malicious actor, can have devastating 754 consequences, especially for vulnerable populations. As one 755 recent example in the Russia-Ukraine War, data can even be 756 amassed to target disinformation campaigns or direct even 757 physical violence toward those in conflict. This is 758 certainly not an isolated capability, and something that the 759 760 United States should worry about.

761 It goes without saying that the United States' rivalry 762 with China has taken on a digital nature. And China has been 763 in a race with us in terms of technology for years, from 764 artificial intelligence to military-specific technology.

There are ways to help mitigate and reduce these concerns, 765 766 even though China's collection and use of data will likely never end. A national data privacy and security law, much 767 768 like the American Data Privacy and Protection Act, also known as ADPPA last Congress, is the most logical next step. I 769 will explore three main benefits, and how it could address 770 the data collection crisis that my written comments expand 771 772 on.

773 First, acting on privacy legislation makes America more competitive. Countries around the world have acted. Even 774 China has privacy laws. Unfortunately, those are more likely 775 to be disingenuous attempts by the Chinese Government to 776 appear concerned about privacy and security than genuine 777 efforts to promote privacy. This is especially true, given 778 the continued surveillance abuses in China and the lack of 779 security for even Chinese citizens' data. 780

Nevertheless, the United States still lacks a comprehensive privacy law, and is becoming an outlier, especially as a country that leads in trade and is looked to as a norm setter. This has led to companies both American and global adopting other frameworks as the default. The lack of a privacy law also does not obligate most foreign

787 companies to follow specific privacy or security rules while 788 operating in the United States. Congress has the opportunity 789 to change this by enacting a law and clearly conveying the 790 United States' position.

Second, many aspects of ADPPA would help mitigate data 791 privacy and security threats. For example, ADPPA contained 792 data minimization principles, which means data should only be 793 collected to the extent it is necessary or proportionate to 794 795 provide a product or service. In addition to the value this adds to Americans individually in terms of privacy, it helps 796 reduce the amount of data collected and available in the 797 first place. 798

Other beneficial provisions include a requirement for privacy policies to alert individuals that their data is transferred to select countries like China, and establishing strong data security standards. Preemption is also a beneficial aspect because it creates one standard which would allow for threats from adversaries and bad actors to be dealt with consistently.

Third, data privacy and security legislation has broader impacts. TikTok has continued to raise concerns on a bipartisan basis. Several options exist to address TikTok.

809 But regardless of the path chosen, it is only a partial 810 solution.

First, TikTok is just one application from one country. Not only are there risks from other adversarial countries, there are also other current and future applications that will pose risks.

Second, many software and hardware products that pose risks like connected devices. While a Federal data privacy and security law might not be the full solution to those concerns, it would serve as a way to help reduce what information can be collected; who to share it with; require security; and provide for enforcement, should it be violated.

Failing to act on Federal legislation would ignore the broader risks posed by data, and leave threats from China and other malicious actors unmitigated.

The United States may lag behind other countries by not having a Federal data privacy and security law, but the 118th Congress has the opportunity to chart a path forward.

Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Pugh follows:]

829

830 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

831

\*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much for moving along 832 833 nicely. I want to thank you for your testimony. I appreciate all the witnesses. We will now move to the 834 835 question-and-answer portion of the hearing. I will begin the questioning, and recognize myself for 836 five minutes. I would like to start with Mr. Farrah. 837 Congrats again on your new role with AVIA. I hope the 838 broad coalition you have assembled demonstrates the 839 840 imperative of breaking the deadlock on this lifesaving 841 technology. To me, the mobility benefits are very important. I was 842 disappointed that last Congress my amendment was blocked from 843 being considered that -- it focused specifically on providing 844 a path for AVs to serve those living with disabilities, such 845 as the visually impaired. 846

I also wanted to recognize John Pare -- if you could raise your hand, sir, I would appreciate that -- who is with us today from the National Federation of the Blind. Thank you. Welcome, sir.

He and NFB have been tremendous advocates for the living -- those living with disabilities, as well as the great benefits that self-driving cars will have -- a mode of

854	mobility for the community. So very important. I can tell
855	you that we need them in my congressional district, that is
856	for sure.
857	I would like to request unanimous consent to enter into
858	the record the amendment and the letter from NFB in support
859	of the amendment I have submitted.
860	Without objection, so ordered.
861	[The information follows:]
862	
863	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
864	

\*Mr. Bilirakis. Mr. Farrah, can you elaborate on the ability for China to turn the dials up on testing these technologies in contrast with what we have faced here in the United States?

It seems like the CCP can just flip a switch, tell a province they are going to clear it for full AV testing, and race past the U.S., while we delay and argue over small political differences and regulatory approach. If you could, address that.

And how can we instead show the world that America's 874 approach is superior by unleashing American innovation, 875 American innovators such as those flourishing in my home 876 state of Florida and across the United States, please? 877 \*Mr. Farrah. Mr. Bilirakis, thank you very much. Mr. 878 Bilirakis, thank you very much for the question, and thank 879 you very much for highlighting the role that John and the 880 National Federation for the Blind play. I think that 881 mobility for individuals that have physical disadvantages is 882 883 a key aspect of what it is that our industry is trying to achieve. And I have been fortunate to work closely with John 884 and his organization and hear him talk passionately about the 885 level of independence that AVs would deliver to individuals 886

887 within his organization.

Relative to your question, sir, I think it is important to note here that we obviously have incredibly different systems from the People's Republic of China in the United States. And while I think it is important to look at China as an important example of a country that has aims to be a leader on autonomous vehicles, by no means do we need to replicate what it is that they are doing in their country.

We, obviously, have been successful in being global innovation leaders for decades in the United States, and we have our own American brand of innovation. And so I think that you all shining a light on this problem is very important, but we also need to make sure we solve this in an American way.

I think that one thing that I do elaborate on in my 901 written testimony is the need for a Federal legislative 902 framework. This is something where we are very eager to work 903 with members of this committee to determine your priorities, 904 905 but also to do things like address the exemption caps for novel vehicles; address issues with the FAST Act; address 906 issues with the make inoperative provision; also look at a 907 lot of NHTSA rulemakings that are going on. And so these are 908

909 things we are very eager to do, and thank you again for the 910 leadership.

911 \*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it very much. Thank you.912 I want to get to Ms. Sacks.

You have just heard my concern, of course, Ms. Sacks, 913 about how China can decide the future of this technology, God 914 forbid. Can you provide some more color and analysis for 915 what happens when we fall behind on technology like this, and 916 917 what it means to American jobs and supply chains? This seems to -- you know, this -- we don't want it to 918 happen again, what happened with Huawei. So if you could 919 expand on your testimony, we would appreciate it very much. 920

921 And I guess you have got about 35 seconds.

\*Ms. Sacks. America should lean into our own strengths and having open markets, free expression, and use those strengths to target investments, incentivize R&D in these areas, as well as the governance structures of those. And I am happy to provide more color in written form, because I recognize that we are close on time.

\*Mr. Bilirakis. I now will go to Ms. Schakowsky for her five minutes of testimony -- excuse me -- questions. But it could be testimony, if you like. It is your five minutes.

\*Ms. Schakowsky. So I am so happy to hear the broad discussions about data privacy. And it has certainly helped us in the past with American competitiveness, globally. But for decades, America -- while America did lead the world in technological innovation, we are now seeing that there are threats by our lack of the -- of a comprehensive privacy piece of legislation.

So according to the first page of Ms. Sacks's written testimony, you had a passage about -- let's see -- about passing -- about the importance of passing a comprehensive Federal privacy legislation. And I would just like to -- I think, Mr. Pugh, you commented on that.

But I would also ask Mr. Farrah and Mr. -- I am sorry, pronouncing your name -- what do you feel about the -- about privacy legislation and its importance in the conversation that we are having today?

947 Mr. Farrah.

948 \*Mr. Farrah. Thank you very much for the question. I 949 think that privacy is, obviously, incredibly, incredibly 950 important. Our industry does not have a position on the 951 previous legislation, but insofar as this subcommittee is 952 active in that regard this Congress, we would be eager to

953 work with you and share our views.

\*Ms. Schakowsky. As we move forward on autonomous
vehicles, I think privacy is going to be a big issue.

956 Yes, sir.

\*Mr. Pugh. I would agree that a rational, comprehensive 957 approach to privacy is important. Invasions of privacy have 958 real significance for individuals and households in the U.S. 959 I think that is one of the reasons why the FTC is currently 960 961 considering rulemaking with respect to online privacy. And I think, as other testimonies make clear -- and I think it is 962 pretty well known -- that differential access to data within 963 our economy and compared, say, to the Chinese economy has 964 competitive significance, as well. So I think legislation 965 that addresses issues of privacy thoroughly and 966 comprehensively could be incredibly valuable. 967

Ms. Schakowsky. And I think on this subcommittee we are so proud that we were able to get almost unanimous support on both sides of the aisle to pass it out of committee. And now we just have to go the next step.

Ms. Sacks, I wanted to ask you -- and I think we -- and I want to hear more from Mr. Pugh, but -- on the issue of minimization, and why that is so important in your

975 presentation.

\*Ms. Sacks. Thank you. You know, I am not a privacy law expert, so I will defer to others on how specifically to think about that issue. But what I will say is that it is important to keep in mind a balance between two important areas.

One is that the data collected and retained and not secured properly will be vulnerable to all bad actors, whether you are talking about a sophisticated state actor, a data broker, or those that are transferring it openly on the commercial market. You know, Equifax's security flaws were well documented, even though you had a sophisticated hack from China.

988 At the same time, we also have to keep in mind the balance, right? Because as I mentioned in my testimony, AI 989 depends on access to quality and quantity of data, and U.S. 990 firms need access to that in order to innovate in AI. So 991 certain things like a flashlight app, does it need to collect 992 location data? I would say probably not. And so there need 993 to be guardrails around -- the purpose that that data is 994 collected. 995

996

In other areas, we need to make sure that we are not

997 being overly restrictive because of the need for AI to use 998 quality and quantity of data. So how do we strike that 999 balance? I think that is an area that very much merits 1000 deeper discussion.

1001 \*Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

1002 Mr. Pugh, did you want to comment on that, on the 1003 minimization issue?

\*Mr. Pugh. Yes, I would be happy to. So data 1004 1005 minimization is one of the key reasons why ADPPA or whatever future bill it may be is essential to national security. 1006 We are essentially limiting the data that is available in the 1007 first place to -- as we just said, we don't want to make it 1008 too constrained that we don't have the data necessarily for 1009 1010 technology, but making sure we only have the data that is necessary and proportionate using the bill's language is so 1011 critical, and it helps minimize what could potentially fall 1012 in the hands of the Chinese Government. 1013

1014 \*Ms. Schakowsky. Mr. Pugh, your testimony mentioned 1015 reports of baby cameras spying on children. And I wondered 1016 if you would comment on that, there right now is no Federal 1017 law that would stop that, even China doing that. And, you 1018 know, I think those of us who are parents here and around the

country would be very concerned about protecting that data. 1019 1020 Did you want to comment on that? \*Mr. Pugh. I would be happy to. I think, to your 1021 1022 example, Congresswoman, that is a -- baby camera spying on babies is definitely a real possibility. 1023 We also see vacuum cleaners mapping out homes. 1024 I think those are real concerns that we need to address. And it 1025 really ties into the benefits of IoT, or Internet of Things, 1026 1027 but also some of the risks. 1028 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. \*Ms. Schakowsky. My time is up, and I thank you. 1029 \*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it very much. Now I will 1030 recognize the chair of the full committee, Mrs. Rodgers, for 1031 1032 her five minutes. 1033 \*The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Last year Congress passed legislation to encourage the 1034 building of semiconductor chips in the United States. I 1035 believe Mr. Pallone references this legislation. And without 1036 1037 a doubt, it is critical that we are manufacturing semiconductor chips in the United States. 1038 I just wanted to let people know that I raised with 1039 Secretary Raimondo, legislators, and manufacturers themselves 1040

1041	that we should be pairing permitting reform with any Federal
1042	subsidies to semiconductor manufacturers. Unfortunately,
1043	that seemed to fall deaf on deaf ears. They really
1044	interested in the money.
1045	So now we have manufacturers that are coming to us
1046	looking for exemptions from NEPA because the Federal dollars
1047	are triggering long and erroneous environmental reviews for
1048	them. Now, I wish that they would have been open to those
1049	concerns before the legislation passed. So now the largest
1050	manufacturers may receive exemptions from the President. I
1051	am not so sure about the startups or others across this
1052	nation, and it just highlights the importance.
1053	And I wanted to add to the record without add to the
1054	record an article on Intel's horrible quarter revealed an
1055	inventory glut and underused factories.
1056	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1057	[The information follows:]
1058	
1059	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1060	

1061 \*The Chair. So, you know, we -- I agree that the NEPA 1062 process needs serious reforms, and I hope that the 1063 Semiconductor Manufacturers Administration and members of 1064 this committee will work with us on bringing common-sense 1065 reforms to NEPA so that we can get America back into the 1066 business of building things.

1067 The number one barrier to building anything in the 1068 United States is the permitting processes. It is the number 1069 one barrier to manufacturing, building, doing anything in the 1070 United States. So we are going to go to work on that, too.

Back to privacy. Back to privacy and the importance of a national data security law. And as has been referenced, we passed the bill out of committee last year, with ADPPA. I believe this is foundational. This is foundational for our global leadership and securing personal information for every American, especially from foreign threats.

1077 So to Ms. Sacks, we know that China has stolen our data, 1078 and we know that they are not going to stop. So how do you -1079 - would you speak to ADPPA in protecting us from future 1080 threats, while also promoting new technologies?

1081 \*Ms. Sacks. The goal that I think this bill achieves is 1082 that it both manages to address the transnational threat, a

1083 range of bad actors, but also protects consumers and secures 1084 that data here at home.

You know, I have -- traditionally, I think that it is not a good idea to look at domestic issues always through a China national security threat lens. And I think skeptics might raise that question. This is a position, however, that I have long advocated, which is if we want to both address bad foreign actors and better enhance privacy protections at home, this is the baseline that we need to do it.

And in terms of fostering innovation, as I have mentioned, U.S. firms need access to global data flows. But how do we do that in a safe, secure, and ethical way? We establish high baselines of -- around how the data is collected and transferred and retained. And that is the balance that I think U.S. Federal privacy law needs to strike.

\*The Chair. Thank you. I wanted to -- I referenced the legislation, the America COMPETES Act, that I had worked on in the 116th Congress, and it really was asking -- requiring the Department of Commerce to drill down on emerging technologies and give us some recommendations on how we make sure that we are leading on AI, as well as others. Would you

1105 -- we are still waiting on those recommendations, but would 1106 you just speak to what you believe we need to be doing to 1107 safeguard our data, while finding a balance to lead on AI? 1108 \*Ms. Sacks. You know, I think here we play offense and 1109 we play defense.

From an offensive perspective, we probably should not think about any single country, but how do we invest and incentivize innovation at home, with a focus on digital infrastructure, fiber optic networks? How do we create better space for U.S. firms to compete overseas?

And cooperation with allies and partners. The EU-U.S. Tech and Trade Council is one area. Working with Japan is another.

I think it is also important to take what has been referred to as a small yard/high fence approach. Let's be selective about what we are protecting. Not everything is a national security issue and, in fact, with AI sometimes there is a symbiotic relationship, and it is hard to prevent code from crossing borders. So let's be smart, and use a riskbased approach.

1125 \*The Chair. Mr. Farrah, I understand you worked for the 1126 National Venture Capital Association. I would love to talk

to you further and hear more about how you believe the 1127 regulatory framework is impacting our market leadership. 1128 So anyway, but I ran out of time. 1129 1130 I will yield back. \*Mr. Bilirakis. I thank the chair, and I will recognize 1131 the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Pallone, for 1132 five minutes. 1133 Thank you, Chairman. 1134 \*Mr. Pallone. I want to echo the 1135 sentiments of Ranking Member Schakowsky about the need to enact the American Data Privacy and Protection Act, which, of 1136 course, is bipartisan with Chairwoman Rodgers and myself. 1137

But I also -- I am concerned about, as I said in my opening, what the Republican leadership is planning on with regard to the debt ceiling. You know, they have threatened to leverage the debt limit to enact crippling budget cuts to bedrock American programs.

Experts have warned that defaulting on our nation's debt obligations, a debt that was amassed during both Democratic and Republican congresses, could wreak havoc on our financial markets, potentially causing the stock market to plummet and capital markets to freeze.

1148 So let me start with Mr. Jarsulic.

How would defaulting on our national debt affect American competitiveness?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. So, I think that it is pretty well 1151 1152 recognized on the basis of the previous incidents in 2011, 2013, where we came close to hit a debt limit, that the 1153 economic consequences can be relatively severe. I think we 1154 can expect upward spikes in Treasury rates if the default 1155 process went on long enough. There would be a translation of 1156 1157 that, those interest rate increases, into mortgages, into 1158 consumer credit cost, because those interest rates are based off Treasury rates. 1159

I think we could expect equity market declines. I think we can expect hits to consumer confidence, all of which -demand, slow the economy at a moment when people have concerns about tipping into a recession. That creates a big risk.

In the slightly longer term, repeated run-ups to debt limits and potential of default has already had an effect on the way that the world views Treasury securities. S&P downgraded us from the highest possible rating for sovereign debt, and that downgrade has persisted. If we go through another incident -- instance where we actually hit the limit,

1171 I think that there is a possibility that, in the long term, 1172 foreign investors in Treasury securities will re-evaluate the 1173 risk, and they will demand more of a risk premium in order to 1174 [inaudible].

1175 So I think that the aggregate demand effects, the long-1176 term cost effects of a default are really quite significant.

Mr. Pallone. Well, thank you. Let me ask Mr. Farrah. Your testimony states that we must have a strong capital market to "continue to lead on AV development and deployment.' Now, how would defaulting on a national debt and destabilizing the financial sector affect your members' ability to develop and deploy AVs?

1183 \*Mr. Farrah. Ranking Member Pallone, thank you very 1184 much for the question.

1185 As I write, the capital markets are incredibly important because we have both innovative startup companies that are 1186 trying to deploy autonomous technology. We also have larger 1187 companies with experience scaling in the transportation 1188 1189 sector that are financing a lot of the research that I mentioned, and testing that is going on. And so certainly, 1190 this is something that is very important to the health of our 1191 industry so that we can bring the promise of AVs to your 1192

1193 constituents.

1194 That said, we do not have a position as an industry on 1195 the default that you mentioned.

Mr. Pallone. But your testimony also provides several recommendations from DoT that could -- that they could adopt to support the deployment of AVs. Would the DoT be better positioned to carry out these recommendations if their budget is dramatically cut?

Mr. Farrah. The Department of Transportation is a very important partner to us, obviously. That is something that we very much value -- the collaboration. We have recommendations that we have put forward to DoT in terms of doing things like updating the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, and that is an ongoing conversation.

1207 In terms of overall funding levels, that is something --1208 we, obviously, defer to Congress and your judgment as to what 1209 those funding levels might be.

1210 \*Mr. Pallone. All right.

1211 And, Mr. Jarsulic, how would stringent budget cuts 1212 affect our nation's ability to compete?

1213 \*Mr. Jarsulic. So really large budget cuts probably1214 will affect the ability of the Federal Government to provide

1215	things that are important for the normal functioning of the
1216	economy. The Federal expenditure supports health care,
1217	supports infrastructure, supports scientific research and
1218	development. And if those things are compromised, the
1219	functioning of the economy is clearly going to be affected.
1220	In addition, large cuts in expenditures will create an
1221	immediate shock to demand, and that can have important
1222	employment and effects, as well.
1223	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you.
1224	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1225	*Mr. Walberg. [Presiding] I thank the ranking member,
1226	and now I recognize the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Bucshon.
1227	*Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to
1228	congratulate Mr. Jarsulic for sticking to his talking points
1229	on the debt ceiling to help out Chairman Pallone on that
1230	narrative. But the reality is we have never defaulted, and
1231	it always gets raised.
1232	The other thing I want to point out is that the CHIPS
1233	Act was included in a larger bill. We all know this, right?
1234	It had many, many policies the Republicans just couldn't
1235	support. And, you know, it is disingenuous to say we didn't
1236	support the concept. Look, I understand the politics, and

1237 this probably won't be the last time we hear that narrative 1238 as it goes along this year.

1239 That said, thanks, Chairman Bilirakis, for calling 1240 today's important hearing. Ensuring American businesses and 1241 innovators can keep our competitive edge against China is an 1242 extremely important issue, and we must facilitate U.S.

1243 leadership in new technologies and ideas.

One industry in which the U.S. has been a leader but is 1244 1245 in danger of being passed internationally, particularly by China, is that of autonomous vehicles. I strongly believe in 1246 the potential of this technology. Last Congress, I co-led 1247 legislation to study crash avoidance systems to help make AVs 1248 safer for all road users, and I led a letter asking NHTSA to 1249 update safety standards for AVs, and continue to advocate --1250 continue advocating for a national regulatory framework for 1251 1252 AVs.

So, Ms. Sacks, I have a question for you. I foresee a world in which the Federal Government may eventually procure AVs for certain types of vehicle fleets, and want to be certain that, if that happens, those vehicle fleets are protected from Chinese data collection and storage. Do you have any recommendations on how we could prevent

1259 technological vulnerabilities in such AVs or in AVs in

1260 general?

\*Ms. Sacks. I will defer to my colleague who is an AV 1261 1262 expert, but I can speak to the broader question of how we protect the broader tech stack, and I think this is an area 1263 that is bigger than China, right? There are best practices 1264 for accessing -- for assuring hardware security in products, 1265 regardless of whether you are talking about a counterfeit, 1266 1267 whether you are talking about a component that is made in China. And the same goes for building cybersecurity at the 1268 software level, where the U.S. Government does have standards 1269 around that. 1270

So again, I would encourage the committee to think about this in -- bigger than any single country, and we need to also invest and incentivize it ourselves, and play to our own strengths. But I defer to my AV expert colleague for specific --

Mr. Bucshon. Yes, I just want to agree with you real quickly -- and then we will go on to Mr. Farrah -- about not a single country strategy. We want America to be competitive against our competitors, some of which don't like us and many of which do.

1281 So, Mr. Farrah, do you want to comment on the AV -- the 1282 cybersecurity and hardware security in AVs?

1283 \*Mr. Farrah. I would like to. But first, sir, I would 1284 like to thank you for your leadership on autonomous vehicles 1285 in previous congresses, and look forward to the conversation 1286 continuing.

I think, from our perspective, cybersecurity is very important. Obviously, our industry is very motivated to make sure that the vehicles are kept safe, that those riding in the vehicles are also kept safe.

And so this is something where there are obviously cyber 1291 threats out there. We support a risk-based approach where we 1292 can take a comprehensive view, and look at how it is that we 1293 1294 can best protect American equities. This is something where, obviously, the AV industry would like to be at the table on 1295 that. But at the same time, we are not different in many 1296 respects. I think there are many in the automotive sector, 1297 the technology sector that need to be at the table so we can 1298 1299 kind of get a comprehensive approach.

Mr. Bucshon. Yes, and I would say in the AV space, you know, it is not just foreign actors, that, you know, cybersecurity is critically important because if you just

have somebody stand on the side of the road and -- hacks into someone's -- an AV and diverts it off the road, you know, that is a problem. So this is -- you know, this is an issue that we will have to address.

Another possible area where I have some concern is the 1307 CCP's improperly accessing America's data through the CCP's 1308 new Blockchain-based Service Network, or BSN. BSN has been 1309 advertised as a one-stop shop blockchain foundation which 1310 1311 others can easily build on top of. While the last few years have shown how prevalent scams and frauds are in NFTs and 1312 other blockchain applications, something our committee must 1313 work to address, we cannot allow the CCP or other actors or 1314 anyone else to corrupt America's infrastructure once again. 1315 1316 So Ms. Sacks, you have written a lot about protecting 1317 America's privacy information from the CCP. What dangers do you see in adopting a CCC [sic]-created foundation for 1318 blockchains? And can we secure America's information if we 1319 allow other actors' components into our tech stack? 1320 1321 And we only have a few seconds, so --\*Ms. Sacks. I would be happy to submit some more --1322 \*Mr. Bucshon. That would be great. Thank you very 1323

1324 much.

Mr. Walberg. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and now I recognize the gentlelady from Florida, Representative Castor.

Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thanks to our witnesses for your testimony today, and welcome to the new members.

And I do want to say at the outset here at our first 1331 hearing it is especially important for this subcommittee to 1332 1333 get to work on comprehensive privacy protections sooner, rather than later. And I hope this will include the long-1334 overdue protections for children and teens online. Their 1335 safety is at risk. They are being constantly surveilled and 1336 targeted with ads. It is weighing on them, in addition to 1337 1338 all of the fundamental security issues that put them at risk. But I want to talk a little bit about AVs -- EVs, 1339 because we are also suffering the costly impacts of the 1340 climate crisis. It is -- those costs are weighing on our 1341 neighbors back home, on farmers, on the Federal budget as 1342 1343 extreme events continue to escalate.

But it also provides an important opportunity. The race for cleaner, cheaper energy provides opportunities for American workers and American businesses. The transportation

1347 sector is the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in 1348 the United States.

1349 But -- and I know Representative Dingell will agree with 1350 me that electric cars and trucks offer an innovative solution. We want American companies and workers to win the 1351 race for the future, but we are behind right now. 1352 That is one of the reasons that we devoted so much attention to -- in 1353 the Inflation Reduction Act, new incentives for American-1354 1355 built cars and trucks, electric vehicles, and the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. We dedicated about \$7.5 billion for 1356 electric vehicle chargers. 1357

1358 So, Mr. Farrah, how do you see that investment going so 1359 far for the electric vehicle charging across America, and 1360 what are your hopes in that area?

Mr. Farrah. Thank you very much for the question. I would just note that Florida has been a leader nationwide on AV deployment, and that is something I would love to discuss in greater detail with you.

Relevant to the Inflation Reduction Act, that is something that -- our organization was not involved in that legislation, does not have a position specifically on the bill.

I will say, however, that if you look out at the landscape of autonomous vehicles, many of them are electric vehicles, and that is something that will hopefully lead to a generational shift towards electric vehicles. We think we can be of assistance in that regard.

Ms. Castor. Are you plugged in to the charging infrastructure, the planning that is going on at DoT and at the state level?

1377 \*Mr. Farrah. We can give you an industry-wide view of that, to what degree we are plugged in to that. And that is 1378 something that I think we value sharing the perspective. 1379 But the final point I would just make is that, even for 1380 those AVs that are not electric vehicles, you also have a 1381 number of environmental benefits in terms of smoother 1382 1383 driving, less idling, the ability to operate at night, and things of that sort. 1384

\*Ms. Castor. Well, we have some challenges, because in 2018 Chinese production accounted for more than half of all lithium battery cell manufacturing capacity and nearly half of all global EV sales, while the United States, we were --EV sales are just really starting to take off.

1390 Congressional Democrats and the Biden Administration

have really focused on this. And the Inflation Reduction Act is going to provide that relief and those incentives to consumers, and then to the manufacturers, and to the workers. Mr. Jarsulic, why is it important for the United States to lead the world when it comes to electric vehicles' design, production, and deployment?

Mr. Jarsulic. You know, I think it is pretty clear that because of the risk created by carbon emissions, the world is going to move away from internal combustion engines in autos, trucks, busses. And if our auto companies are going to remain competitive, they are going to have to produce EVs.

There is a lot of employment and output associated with this. The auto industry comprises about 3 percent of GDP. And if the U.S. successfully transitions to the manufacturing of EVs, that kind of employment and income doesn't vanish.

I think it is also important to remember that new techniques are being developed in the production of EVs. And if you want to learn techniques in manufacturing, you have to engage in learning by doing. So the sooner we can make the transition to producing EVs at scale, the sooner our manufacturers can join in that process of learning by doing,

1413 and push the frontier forward, and improve their competitive 1414 condition.

1415 \*Ms. Castor. We want to win the future. We want to 1416 build those EVs in America and supply them to the world, and 1417 at the same time create millions of good-paying jobs all 1418 across the supply chain. So thank you.

1419 I will yield back.

1420 \*Mr. Walberg. I thank the gentlelady, and I certainly 1421 agree. We want to lead the world.

I also want to make sure that it is clear that, as our chair indicated, Republicans on this committee are fully committed to passing a comprehensive Federal privacy and data security standard. We are committed to that.

Let me also state before I recognize myself for my five minutes that -- just to make it clear, and as respectfully as I can -- Democrats are the only ones anywhere talking about cuts to Social Security or Medicare, or defaulting on the debt. That is clear, what has been stated.

1431 Let me go to my five minutes of questioning, and thank 1432 you to the panel for being here.

1433 China is actively using U.S. customer data to better 1434 develop their artificial intelligence, whether through mining

and scraping purchasing data or third parties, or through 1435 1436 apps like TikTok sharing information with the Chinese Communist Party. 1437 1438 Mr. Pugh, at a time when Republicans and Democrats agree that AI is a national security economic imperative, shouldn't 1439 we be more cognizant of the amount of data we are making 1440 available to our adversaries? 1441 And secondly, what steps can we take to prevent U.S. 1442 1443 data from being accessed by the CCP? \*Mr. Pugh. Well, thank you, Congressman. So data in 1444 itself is essential. We need it for our economy and we need 1445 it for innovation. 1446 1447 To your point, the issue is when it falls in the hands 1448 of adversary nations and malicious actors, which we see happening on a second-by-second basis with China, 1449 unfortunately. And that is something that I really implore 1450 this Congress to address. And I think the best way to do 1451 that is by acting on a comprehensive data privacy and 1452 1453 security law today. Why it would benefit consumers and industry? 1454 The security nexus cannot be under -- you know, overstated. And 1455

73

what I mean by that is just one aspect. This contains data

1457	security provisions. It would require data to be
1458	safeguarded. And if that actor chose not to follow that,
1459	then there could be enforcement as a result.
1460	*Mr. Walberg. Okay. Thank you.
1461	Michigan, my state, is the Motor State. Representative
1462	Dingell and I would certainly agree strongly on that. It is
1463	the Motor State, and I want it to stay that way, and expand,
1464	in fact. But China continues to push forward on autonomous
1465	vehicle development and deployment. I think a roadblock to
1466	U.S. leadership in this space is consumer comfort, consumer
1467	comfort with the whole issue.
1468	I myself have expressed concerns about how autonomous
1469	vehicles handle the safety of pedestrians, motorcycles. I am
1470	a motorcyclist. I am very concerned that we do this right,
1471	and more.
1472	Mr. Farrah, I noticed you didn't use "self driving,'`
1473	and I thank you. I thank you because there is a auto

and I thank you. I thank you because there is a auto company, at least one, that has used that, sold cars on it, and they don't self-drive. Driver-assisted, all of that, we are there, and it is working well in most cases. And I think we ought to hold off on using that term, "self driving,' for a while until we get it right.

How are your members approaching public education about 1479 the safety of autonomous vehicles so that the United States 1480 can continue to lead on this important technology? 1481 1482 \*Mr. Farrah. Thank you very much, Congressman. Ι appreciate the question. And thank you for your previous 1483 leadership on the SELF DRIVE Act and the dialogue we have had 1484 around some of your safety concerns. 1485 \*Mr. Walberg. And I hated that title. 1486 1487 \*Mr. Farrah. I should note at the outset, though, that we acknowledge that public consideration of autonomous 1488 vehicles is very important, and our industry is doing a 1489 considerable amount to get out and talk to the American 1490 public because your constituents are going to increasingly be 1491 1492 seeing these vehicles on the roads, whether they are delivering groceries, taking them to destinations, trucks 1493 driving on the highway. It is important that people 1494 understand why these are safer than the alternative. So that 1495 is something that we take seriously. We have a number of 1496 1497 initiatives that we can talk about in further detail. I think one issue that I want to address, though, that 1498 you got at here is a lot of the confusion around driver-1499 assist technology, as compared to autonomous vehicles. 1500

1501 \*Mr. Walberg. Autonomous.

Mr. Farrah. That is something that is absolutely critical, that people who are in the vehicle understand what they need to be doing. If they are required to perform any aspect of the driving task, they are in a driver-assist vehicle.

Secretary Buttigieg said it very clearly: "If you can buy it in the showroom today, it is not an autonomous vehicle.' That is important. Our industry is very clear in the language that we use, and we appreciate your attention.

1511 \*Mr. Walberg. And it will give much more comfort as we1512 keep that clear. So thank you.

Today we have smart phones, smart light bulbs, refrigerators, everything. Though these sensors are working to a great degree, we still have challenges. While the United States has been taking steps to remove Huawei and secure our networks that are involved with many of these things, Tuya has slid under the radar.

1519 I have an article by Klon Kitchen and Hal Brands which 1520 outlines the dangers Tuya poses that I would like unanimous 1521 consent to enter into the record.

1522 Hearing none, it will be entered.

1523 [The information follows:]
1524
1525 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
1526

Mr. Walberg. Mr. Pugh, how can we secure our network if the smart devices we rely on are compromised by design? Mr. Pugh. So, Congressman, you are right. This is a critical issue. We rely on IoT devices on a daily basis, and the number of devices by 2030 are supposed to be 29-plus billion.

The issue is we don't have a baseline for our IoT devices. So that is a great starting point, seeing -- is there a baseline that these device manufacturers should be meeting?

1537 Secondly, making more of them in America. I have more 1538 faith in American companies that do privacy and security-1539 enhancing things than I do with a CCP-backed company.

1540 \*Mr. Walberg. Thank you. I yield back. I recognize 1541 now my good friend and colleague from Michigan,

1542 Representative Dingell.

<sup>1543</sup> \*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As my <sup>1544</sup> Democratic colleagues have pointed out today, I do believe <sup>1545</sup> that we made some steps in the last -- Democrats made some <sup>1546</sup> progress in enacting serious and significant legislation to <sup>1547</sup> strengthen our competitive edge on the world stage. But we <sup>1548</sup> all know that there is so much work we need to do to solidify

1549 our long-term economic might and define our national

1550 competitive advantage with countries like China.

I associate myself with many of the comments that have been already made, and I am very grateful to hear so many people talk about autonomous vehicles and the need to do something, because we don't always hear that.

Electric vehicles are also critical in all of this, and further mitigating risks from U.S. supply chains in bringing them back, and I have five minutes and could talk for five hours or more. But let me move to AVs quickly.

1559 Cutting-edge technologies like autonomous vehicles hold 1560 the promise of improving safety, expanding mobility, and 1561 strengthening our economy. The moment -- and it is only at 1562 the moment, as you and I know -- we hold a competitive edge 1563 in developing and deploying AVs. According to KPMG, the 1564 United States ranks higher in preparedness for AVs than 1565 Japan, Germany, and China.

But here is the reality: We have got to preserve and expand this advantage by ensuring that the United States, not countries like China, write the rules of the road for this new transformative technology. That is why I have spent years collaborating with my colleagues and the stakeholders

to establish that national framework. I know that, under the 1571 1572 Republican leadership with my -- we are going to get it done this year, or this Congress. I guess I should be realistic. 1573 1574 But here is our truth: autonomous vehicles are here, and every day we do not have a Federal framework in place for 1575 the safe deployment of AVs we are risking falling behind the 1576 rest of the world. China gets what is at stake. If the 1577 United States is going to stay at the forefront of innovation 1578 1579 in AV technology, keep those jobs here in this country, not cede leadership to any other country. We have got to get 1580 motivated and act. 1581

So, Mr. Farrah, how can lawmakers and regulators lay the foundation for the continued development and deployment of AV technology to ensure the future of this technology stays in the United States?

\*Mr. Farrah. Representative Dingell, thank you very much. And I share your passion for AVs, and specifically around the safety and mobility benefits that we have spoken about in the past. And so this is something that I think -we are talking about, literally, transforming how it is people and goods move around this world, and that is tremendous.

And so we are very hopeful to work with this 1593 1594 subcommittee this Congress, hopefully this year, to pass AV legislation that would set up that framework. There is also, 1595 1596 frankly, work that needs to be done at the agencies, as well, in terms of updating a system that is many decades old. 1597 In terms of legislation, there is a number of issues 1598 that I detail in my written testimony. A few of them that 1599 are worth flagging here is that we have a outdated process as 1600 1601 it relates to the exemptions process for so-called novel vehicles, where you -- this needs to be updated. 1602 This is something that the committee has addressed before on a 1603 bipartisan basis. 1604

1605 We also need to make sure that certain rulemakings are 1606 ultimately executed on and finished.

And so these are things where we are very committed to doing this, and certainly appreciate the opportunity to work with you and your team.

\*Mrs. Dingell. So I have a minute and 30, and I want to get to supply chain. But could you very quickly tell the committee how the absence of the Federal AV framework affected the development -- is affecting every single day the development in putting them on the road of autonomous

1615 vehicles?

1616 \*Mr. Farrah. Absolutely. I would make two quick 1617 points.

1618 I think the first one is that -- and I mentioned before the exemptions process. There are U.S. companies that want 1619 to be manufacturing these vehicles. They want to be 1620 deploying these vehicles. But right now they are limited 1621 under this exemptions for novel vehicles to 2,500 vehicles 1622 per year for a maximum of 2 years. That is the wrong message 1623 1624 for the Federal Government to be sending to companies that want to be producing these companies and ultimately 1625 benefiting the United States. 1626

So that is something that I think needs to be addressed, and it needs to be addressed very soon. And so that is something that we can address in legislation, and I

1630 appreciate your help.

1631 \*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you.

1632 Mr. Chairman, I am down to 33 seconds, sir. I am going 1633 to want to put some questions in the record.

```
1634 [The information follows:]
```

1635

1636 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

1637

\*Mrs. Dingell. But I do want to focus on strengthening 1638 the U.S. supply chains. I think most people don't realize 1639 how vulnerable we left ourselves from both an economic and 1640 1641 national security issue until the COVID-19 public health crisis hit. And we saw that the private sector alone cannot 1642 identify, monitor, and address supply chain vulnerabilities. 1643 You know, we are so dependent upon China for the 1644 electric vehicles that you are talking about. Most people do 1645 1646 not understand. Lithium has gone up \$1,500 in the last 6 months, and a battery -- EVs are -- I mean, we got to develop 1647 our supply here. We have got to work on that. 1648 I am a proud author of the Supply Chain Security and 1649 Resilience Act. I am going to ask you, Mr. Jarsulic for the 1650 1651 record -- and some other questions -- what are some best practices to help us improve our nation's supply chain 1652 resilience. I am over. You are going to have to write the 1653 answers to this, what can -- there is just so many issues 1654 that all of you can answer. 1655 1656 This is the future of our country. Thank you. I yield

1657 back the seconds I don't have.

1658 \*Mr. Walberg. I thank the gentlelady.

1659 [Audio malfunction.]

Mr. Walberg. This proves that -- I don't know if somebody else has a -- yes, that would work better. So thank you for yielding back. Now I recognize the gentleman from South Carolina.

Mr. Duncan. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wonder if Michigan is the Mitten State or the Motor State. I am confused on that, but --

1667 \*Mr. Walberg. It is both.

Mr. Duncan. Okay. I want to applaud what is going on in South Carolina with BMW, Volvo, and Mercedes, and what they are doing with the EVs, along with other vehicle manufacturing.

1672 [Audio malfunction.]

1673 \*Mr. Duncan. And I apologize for this sound.

But I want to remind my colleagues on the other side of 1674 the aisle that we are \$31 trillion in debt, and we are 1675 spending money that is borrowed. I say that because what is 1676 the role of government in subsidizing our funding these type 1677 1678 of things. I point to government spending on Cash for Clunkers. It was a failed program at the initial -- on the 1679 onset, the Obamacare rollout, the problems that it had. 1680 I believe in innovation at the private sector level. I 1681

think myself that we have more machinery of government than 1682 is necessary, too many parasites living on the labor of the 1683 industrious. A government big enough to supply everything 1684 1685 you need is big enough to take everything you have. The course of history shows that, as the government 1686 grows, liberty decreases. That was a founding father, Thomas 1687 Jefferson, that quoted that. 1688 Liberty is the liberty to innovate and invent, and 1689 1690 capitalize off the profits of that innovation. I am fascinated with AVs. I think the appropriate 1691 initial step is what I see happening in the trucking 1692 industry: point-to-point delivery, oft times at night, with 1693 commodities, distribution center to distribution center, 1694 1695 maybe local carriers. I think that is a way we can prove that AV works, AV is safe, and I would love to see the 1696 innovation within the trucking industry as the future of AVs 1697 before we entrust that to the populace to get in an AV that 1698 maybe is driverless -- I know we are not using that term --1699 1700 in the future. But I do see that as the wave of the future, both in trucking and passenger vehicles. 1701

1702 So I want to shift gears a little bit, and Ms. Sacks, 1703 while the U.S. banned Huawei from building 5G infrastructure,

1704 Chinese state-owned automotive companies like AutoX and 1705 Pony.ai continue to operate pilot programs in the United 1706 States with limited oversight. What types of information 1707 these companies collect that could pose a national security 1708 risk if shared with the foreign adversaries that could 1709 exploit such information?

1710 \*Ms. Sacks. So AV companies collect, like, many 1711 different kinds of data. And Mr. Farrah might be able to 1712 comment in more depth on what that is. I am not familiar 1713 with these specific companies.

I can say, more broadly, from understanding, you know, how the industry works from a data security standpoint, I think that there is not only potentially information about the infrastructure, the mapping, but I would also sort of push back and say, you know, are they collecting information about mapping and streets that is any different from what you might find on Google Maps or that is available openly?

So there are different kinds of data. And so one question might be what kinds of data are they collecting and what are the -- who has access to it. And I would ask that not just for these particular companies of Chinese ownership, but any AV company more broadly, and what are the data

1726 security practices.

You know, the point that I have made in this hearing repeatedly is that we should focus on -- certain kinds of data have different levels of sensitivity. Who has access to it, how is that being secured, rather than necessarily looking at a sort of country of origin or nationality when that data might be openly available on the commercial market in other forms.

1734 \*Mr. Duncan. Yes, I think that is strong.

I am not concerned about this, I am just stating this 1735 for the record: Uber already has -- if I use an Uber to go 1736 to Walmart, they know how many times I went to Walmart, or 1737 that I ate fast food. Now, what -- who collects that 1738 1739 information, how it is shared with others about my traveling habits, my shopping habits, my eating habits, and I think 1740 that is a concern of many Americans, what AVs will collect as 1741 you travel around. 1742

Mr. Farrah, as a follow-up to that question, it has become abundantly clear that Chinese-owned companies are testing the U.S. and sending information they collect back home. However, if an American company were to test their AVs in China, they would not be able to send that information

1748 back home. Is that correct?

\*Mr. Farrah. Thank you very much for the question.
First of all, I note -- and thank you for the
appreciation for AV trucking, which is a very exciting trend
line in our industry.

I think that market access overseas is a big concern, 1753 generally speaking, for our industry. We want to make sure 1754 that, as these companies scale and grow, that they have 1755 1756 access to those markets. And so my understanding is that is a huge consideration in a place like China, where we don't 1757 have that same level of reciprocity, and I would certainly be 1758 happy to dialogue with you and your team further about that. 1759 \*Mr. Duncan. Absolutely. There is going to be a lot of 1760 1761 hearings on this type stuff, and I look forward to that 1762 conversation.

1763 And with that I yield back.

Mr. Bilirakis. [Presiding] Thank you. Now the chair recognizes Representative Blunt Rochester for her five minutes.

1767 \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 1768 congratulations, as well. And thank you also to 1769 Representative Kelly and to the witnesses.

I agree with my Republican colleagues that U.S. 1770 economic competition is the issue of the moment. Businesses 1771 and working people up and down my state of Delaware report 1772 1773 severe supply chain issues that hamper their businesses and livelihoods. U.S. manufacturing has declined in recent 1774 decades, and with it so has the resilience of critical supply 1775 chains. My colleagues across the aisle are right: U.S. 1776 leadership over standards and regulation are important. 1777 1778 But U.S. leadership is just a means, not an end in itself. Ultimately, American families are counting on us to 1779 improve their economic opportunities. Talk alone will not 1780 create good-paying jobs that American families need. 1781 This

moment demands all of us come together in a comprehensive, credible, and resourced strategy.

This week my colleagues and I will meet the moment by introducing comprehensive legislation to build resilient supply chains that ensure we can compete with China, Russia, or any adversary that intends to undermine our economic and national security.

I hope my Republican and Democratic colleagues will join me on these bills. These measures invest in the central pillar of the U.S. competitiveness by investing in critical

1792	supply chains. They are also endorsed by over 150 businesses
1793	and trade associations, including the Information Technology
1794	Industry Council, Consumer Brands Association, National
1795	Association of Manufacturers, and the Motor Equipment
1796	Manufacturers Association.
1797	Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to insert a letter
1798	and its appendix into the record.
1799	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1800	[The information follows:]
1801	
1802	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1803	

\*Ms. Blunt Rochester. This is a transformational 1804 policy. And as we saw with ADPPA and with the CHIPS and 1805 Science Act, this committee knows transformational policy is 1806 1807 necessary to solve the issues hindering economic growth. Mr. Jarsulic, to reverse the declines in U.S. 1808 manufacturing, should we take a whole-of-government and whole 1809 -of-economy approach and incentivize reshoring of 1810 manufacturing? And does that include investments in 1811 1812 production practices and workforce programs? \*Mr. Jarsulic. As I indicated in my testimony, 1813 competitiveness in manufacturing really is a source of 1814 productivity growth, and productivity growth is necessary for 1815 growth in wages and profits. We really need that. 1816 1817 And therefore, what you can do -- what we can do through policy to strengthen manufacturing and strengthen its 1818 competitiveness has real economic importance. 1819 I think that the elements that are in the three acts I 1820 talked about, which are designed to overcome obstacles to 1821 1822 private investment and to productivity growth in important areas ranging from semiconductors to biopharma, are going to 1823 make an enormous contribution. But I think there is much 1824 more that can be done. 1825

People who look at advanced manufacturing recognize 1826 that, in addition to large corporations who are making 1827 investments, those corporations need to have the support of 1828 1829 clusters of small and medium-sized enterprises who provide inputs and services to those corporations. And so work that 1830 can be done to bring those SMEs to the technical frontier and 1831 allow them to participate in advanced manufacturing is really 1832 important. There is -- a lot of that is embedded especially 1833 1834 in the CHIPS Act. More can be done.

\*Ms. Blunt Rochester. You mentioned the CHIPS Act and you also mentioned the semiconductors. And I think that is an example of us failing to evolve fast enough. Can you share with us any examples of industries or products that are at risk of falling behind unless we make these significant investments?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. Well, we have talked a lot about EVs here, and I think it is very clear that some of the inputs necessary for the expansion of that industry really need to be looked at carefully. For example, batteries depend on certain kinds of critical minerals: lithium, cobalt, nickel. And the supplies of those materials are not necessarily available in ways that could be secure or economically viable

1848	for us. For example, I think oh, sorry.
1849	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Sorry, my time has expired. But
1850	I will follow up with you, because I want to follow up on the
1851	issue of not just the raw materials, but also there is a
1852	report from the Center for Strategic and International
1853	Studies that speaks also to our national security risk, as
1854	well, which I would also like to enter into the record, Mr.
1855	Chairman.
1856	*Mr. Bilirakis. Very good.
1857	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, and I yield back.
1858	*Mr. Bilirakis. Without objection, so ordered.
1859	[The information follows:]
1860	
1861	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1862	

\*Mr. Bilirakis. We have my good friend from the great 1863 state of Florida, Mr. Dunn, please. 1864 Dr. Dunn. 1865 1866 \*Mr. Dunn. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. It is a great state, too, by the way. 1867 As we are all aware, in December the Chinese Communist 1868 Party issued its 14th 5-year plan outlining China's ambitions 1869 to become the global leader in the digital economy by 2025. 1870 1871 In order to protect our private data and independence from the Chinese Communist Party, we have to focus on nearshoring 1872 and friendshoring our supply chains in conjunction with fair 1873 trade deals. 1874 We have to expose the CCP's pattern of commercial 1875 aggression against America and our allies. You know, 1876

1877 examples abounded during the pandemic of massive impact on 1878 supply chains. But for example, in the medical world we 1879 imported billions of dollars' worth of drugs and APIs from 1880 China annually. And this dependance on China is a national 1881 security concern.

I look forward to working with this committee and my colleagues and my colleagues on the China Select Committee to enact policies that will bring critical supply chains back to

1885 the U.S. and to trusted allies to help free us all from 1886 Dependance on China for critical commodities and 1887 pharmaceuticals.

Mr. Pugh, first question. I agree with your statements that data privacy and security are vital to consumers and industry. Understanding that the CCP has repeatedly compromised our data, and that the ADPPA from the last Congress was a good first step in combating this data gathering, can you please speak to the seriousness of delaying that legislation?

\*Mr. Pugh. Well, thank you, Congressman. That is a phenomenal point, and every day we wait -- or every second we wait, I should say -- is just the more data that the Chinese Government, the CCP, is collecting and potentially exploiting against Americans.

I mean, we see their collection happening in the United States, outside the United States but still directed at Americans, and then we can't diminish the fact that they continue to just steal and even sometimes buy it. And that can, unfortunately, be used to target both intelligence professionals, those in the military, children. So I think it is just paramount that this is the key priority, and is

1907 done without delay.

1908 \*Mr. Dunn. Are there specific pro-growth policies you 1909 would like to see from America?

1910 \*Mr. Pugh. I think one of the best policies would be, first, acting on the comprehensive data privacy and security 1911 law. And I think one of the benefits of ADPPA was the intent 1912 of trying to get at the fact that not all companies are the 1913 same, is that we need to take into account that small and 1914 1915 medium-sized businesses have different needs and different 1916 capabilities than our largest international players. Not to say they -- they all may not have privacy risks, but a mom-1917 and-pop business on Main Street cannot comply in the same way 1918 that -- or no have the same risk. 1919

1920 So I do think that is something that we should act on 1921 now, a comprehensive law, and vary the -- some of the 1922 provisions.

1923 \*Mr. Dunn. Thank you for your reasonable approach to 1924 that.

Ms. Sacks, you commented on the importance of a multicountry approach for creating a network of trusted trading partners in which we lower barriers to trade in order to create resilient, reliable allied supply chain. This makes

1929 so much sense. Can you expand on that issue, and perhaps say 1930 which allies, which partners we should be working on first? 1931 \*Ms. Sacks. Well, perhaps I will highlight a number of 1932 initiatives that are underway which are really positive steps 1933 in this direction.

So I mentioned Global CBPRs, which is an expansion of 1934 the Asia Pacific-based framework. In addition, the OECD 1935 recently issued a set of principles around government access 1936 1937 to data which would facilitate more data flows among OEC [sic] members, and the Japanese Government under former Prime 1938 Minister Shinzo Abe had put forward the data free flows with 1939 trust concept, which again is this idea to encourage a 1940 coalition of allies and partners to share data, but with 1941 1942 certain safeguards in place.

What does that look like, and what does that mean in practice is a question that I think experts are actively debating. And this spring at the Hiroshima summit, my understanding is there may be further movement there. So these are all important initiatives, and definitely merit further attention.

1949 \*Mr. Dunn. Well, I -- thank you for your words. And I 1950 will tell you I sit on the economics committee of NATO, as

1951	well as these other things, and it is a common theme among
1952	our NATO allies that you know, to friendshore trade. And
1953	so I hope we can find a way to work our way through these
1954	trade barriers that we have erected to each other. And this
1955	is all this is like friendly fire when we are in NATO.
1956	So thank you very much for the entire panel, a very
1957	erudite group.
1958	I yield back.
1959	*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it very much, Doctor.
1960	Okay. Now we will recognize Representative Kelly for her
1961	five minutes.
1962	*Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you to the
1963	witnesses.
1964	During a time of crisis the United States relies on its
1965	manufacturing base to withstand economic disruptions and
1966	respond to national emergencies. But the COVID-19 public
1967	health crisis exposed, as you know, serious gaps in our
1968	critical manufacturing supply chains that harmed our efforts
1969	to combat COVID-19, crippling shortages of N95 masks, gowns,
1970	surgical apparel, gloves, and testing supplies. The
1971	shortages were so severe that nurses substituted trash bags
1972	for gowns, doctors wore modified snorkels as masks, and

1973 essential medical personnel reused single-use N95 masks for 1974 days on end.

These crippling shortages contributed to the spread of 1975 1976 the disease, causing preventable illnesses, hospitalizations, and death. Our health care system neared overload. Our 1977 health care heroes were placed under enormous strain. And 1978 the U.S. domestic manufacturing base was incapable of meeting 1979 the surging demand for critical health care equipment. 1980 1981 Instead, hospitals and consumers turned to overseas fly-by-1982 night brokers and opportunists selling standard PPE.

Last Congress, when the Democrats were in the majority, 1983 we made meaningful strides to strengthen our global economic 1984 landscape to ensure our competitors like China don't leave us 1985 1986 competitively disadvantaged. Just one example is the America COMPETES Act, which would have invested 46 billion for a 1987 whole-of-government approach to monitoring and supporting 1988 critical manufacturing supply chains instrumental to our 1989 economic welfare and national security. 1990

1991 Unfortunately, that provision did not become law last 1992 term, but I am hopeful that we can get meaningful supply 1993 chain legislation over the finish line this Congress.

1994 Mr. Jarsulic, in your written testimony you discuss the

importance of supply chain resilience and, specifically, how important elements of the supply chain are subject to events in other countries and can, therefore, be subject to Chinese Government interference. How severe is the threat, and why hasn't the private sector been able to proactively identify and address such supply chain vulnerabilities?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. Well, I think we have seen multiple 2001 instances where significant gaps in the supply chain manifest 2002 2003 themselves to -- and produce significant economic impacts. A 2004 salient example which I talk about is the shortage of chips for manufacturing autos. The production of autos in physical 2005 terms was down, like, 40 percent, relative to the pre-crisis 2006 2007 level, just because the chips that are needed to make those autos run weren't available. 2008

There are lots of other examples where shortages were revealed in the crisis -- are not here, they are manufactured elsewhere, and the ability to access them was stressed during that period.

I think that it is quite important to take a systematic view of the places where there are potential risks. The incentives for individual corporations to meet risks beyond their own business needs are not necessarily there. And

where those systemic risks are identified, steps can be taken to anticipate potential problems. There are simple kinds of things that could be done, you know, stockpiling of materials that are needed, but there are analogies to other areas where there are risks that might apply here.

For example, in power generation many power authorities 2022 commission the construction of residual generation capacity, 2023 which corporations agree to bring online if there is a surge 2024 2025 in demand for power. So we might think about the production of things that we really need, and might need in an 2026 emergency, and find ways to build that kind of backup or 2027 residual production capacity to prevent risks from becoming 2028 2029 significant problems.

Ms. Kelly. And let me ask you this. Last Congress I was proud to co-lead the bipartisan Supply Chain Act, which would create an office of supply chain resiliency and crisis response within the Department of Commerce to monitor supply chains of critical goods and materials and plan for, as you are saying -- respond to supply chain disruptions.

2036 Could supply chain resilience improve if the Federal 2037 Government played more of an active role in monitoring 2038 critical supply chains and identifying vulnerabilities?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. I think, you know, studying and 2039 2040 monitoring of these issues can make a very important contribution. You don't know where the problems are until 2041 2042 you identify them. \*Ms. Kelly. Sure, and I yield back. Thank you. 2043 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. Thanks very much. And now 2044 I will recognize the gentlelady from Arizona, my good friend, 2045 Mrs. Lesko, for five minutes, please. 2046 \*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am excited to 2047 once again return to this subcommittee. It has such broad 2048 jurisdiction, and it is great. 2049 I am very excited about the future of autonomous 2050 2051 vehicles. I have a huge senior citizen population in my 2052 district, and I am already a senior citizen. I can still drive, but there is going to be a point where I won't be able 2053 to drive. 2054 And a lot of my constituents are having problems getting 2055 to their doctors, getting to the grocery stores, and there is 2056 2057 not enough public transportation available for them. Thev use the dial-a-ride, but they have to wait like a long time. 2058 And, you know, they complain about it, right? So I think 2059

102

this is a solution, and it is a solution for the blind, it is

2061 a solution for disabled, and I am excited about it, quite 2062 frankly.

Now, I think you know that in Arizona, under our former Republican governor, Doug Ducey, he was very excited about autonomous vehicles, as well. And so we have several companies that operate in Arizona. One of them is Waymo, owned by Google. It is partnered with the Chinese automaker, Geely. Waymo currently has a fleet of autonomous rides in Phoenix.

So I have a question for you, Mr. Pugh. Do you -should I worry -- should we worry about the partnership with a Chinese automobile company with autonomous vehicles as far as will our data be secure or will the Chinese Communist Party use it?

Mr. Pugh. Well, thank you, Congresswoman. I am not as familiar with that, the partnership that you referenced. But what I can say at a high level is that, when the Chinese government does have involvement with a company, it is something we need to be very careful and mindful of, and ensure that they are not collecting data, and ensure it is not going back to the CCP.

2082 And then we also need to ensure what we are collecting

is safeguarded and secured. I think those two go hand in hand, and you can't have privacy without security and vice versa. So in that particular example I would -- I think we need to make sure that the privacy and security is accounted for.

2088 \*Mrs. Lesko. Thank you.

And Mr. Farrah, how would you anticipate the global AV supply chain to be set up if the U.S. continues to limit the ability of the U.S. AV industry to develop here, while China has the backing of an eager government?

2093 So, you know, I think of -- I remember California a 2094 number of years ago. They wanted to shut down the AV 2095 autonomous vehicle testing, and we picked up the slack in 2096 Arizona. So how is that going to affect it, if we don't 2097 change our policy here in the United States?

Mr. Farrah. Well, first of all, I want to thank you for your enthusiasm for autonomous vehicles. We, obviously, share it. And your home state has been tremendous in terms of a partnership. I think you detail one of our great member companies, and there are others, as well.

I think that the next point I would make here is that, with regard to supply chain, autonomy has an amazing

2105 contributing factor here as it relates to supply chain 2106 challenges. I will just give you one example, which is 2107 around autonomous trucking.

2108 We have a situation now in this country where we have a 2109 truck driver shortage of nearly 80,000 truck drivers across 2110 the country. That is going to double by 2031. And so 2111 autonomous trucking really offers a solution, and I think it 2112 gets to trying to alleviate the supply chain crisis that a 2113 number of your colleagues have flagged today.

And so that is something where -- I have been privileged to ride in these autonomous trucks. I see the way in which they are operating. They are viewing things, they are responding to incidents on the road that a human could never have a hope of being able to see. And so I think it is going to lead to more safety for people on the roads, for truck drivers, but better movement of goods.

\*Mrs. Lesko. Well, I think so, too, because, you know, as you said, what is it -- like, right now isn't the first vehicle to have a driver, and it -- and then there is, like, a caravan of other trucks that are autonomous and hooked up to it? Tell me more about that.

2126 \*Mr. Farrah. Not so much a caravan, but there are -- so

2127 different developers, obviously, are approaching this in 2128 different ways. And there are situations where, in places 2129 like your home state of Arizona, there are autonomous 2130 vehicles that are operating without a human driver sitting in 2131 the seat that can grab control of the wheel.

There are other trucking examples where there is development that is going on, and there is currently a driver that is there in case. I have ridden in these autonomous trucks myself, and there is -- they are entirely safe, and they are operating now.

2137 And so it is really a diversity of approaches, but we 2138 are very eager and pouring a lot of resources into trying to 2139 get these out in the market in greater numbers.

\*Mrs. Lesko. Well, great. And I am running out of time, but I was just curious if, when you are answering somebody else's questions, if you can tell me, any of you, if you realize that security cameras that are made in China call back -- if you are hooked up to the Internet, they call back to China. And so a lot of the security cameras we use on our homes are made in China. Just curious about that.

2147 Thank you, and I yield back.

2148 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, let's take that question for the

record, please. That is so very important. Thanks for 2149 2150 bringing it up. Next we have my good friend from the State of Florida --2151 2152 we have a lot of Floridians; that is a good thing, that is a good thing on both sides of the aisle. 2153 So, Representative Soto, you are recognized for five 2154 2155 minutes. \*Mr. Soto. Thank you, Chairman. It -- Florida is in 2156 2157 the House. 2158 \*Mr. Bilirakis. That is right. \*Mr. Soto. First, I am happy to talk about our economic 2159 future as the United States, as it goes vis a vis our 2160 economic rivals, China. 2161 2162 I want to take a moment to set the table for my constituents. The U.S. GDP, our overall economy right now, 2163 is first in the world at \$25 trillion. But definitely, China 2164 is on our tail, right? Chinese GDP is \$18.32 trillion, 2165 according to the IMF. When you look at our populations, we 2166 2167 are at a scrappy 334 million, according to the census, while China's National Bureau of Statistics has them at 1.4 2168 2169 billion. So imagine. We are, pound for pound, the economic 2170

2171	powerhouse of the world. And if you feel like, as Americans,
2172	you are working hard, well, it shows. We are the most
2173	productive nation in the world per capita by far.
2174	Population growth is another interesting thing. Ours is
2175	slowing down. We had a 0.4 percent increase in population
2176	growth in 2022. The vast majority is from immigration,
2177	actually, not even from natural population birth. So as we
2178	are talking about immigration reform and the importance of
2179	immigration, we would be declining in population if we didn't
2180	actually have immigration. The Chinese, they are declining
2181	in population: 850,000 population drop in 2022.
2182	So both of us face challenges and we are at a
2183	crossroads. So when we come to the topic today of how
2184	Americans compete to win the future, the good news is the
2185	117th Congress was the most productive in 50 years.
2186	The infrastructure law to help us rebuild America.
2187	There is a big headline in Florida about Governor DeSantis
2188	proposing a \$7 billion Moving Florida Forward plan. Over 3
2189	billion of that plan comes from the new infrastructure law,
2190	and over 16.7 billion over 5 years to help redo I-4 and boost
2191	SunRail, and Brightline, and Poinciana Parkway, and other
2192	areas in the district.

And then we passed the CHIPS and Science Act, boosting microchip manufacturing. We are right now only making 10 percent of the chips, globally. This will help bring us forward with areas like NeoCity in my district that makes aerospace microchips and micro packaging, and just received a \$51 million Build Back Better grant with more to come.

And then the Inflation Reduction Act, a \$369 billion investment to advance clean energy, to lower pollution, combat climate change, boosting electric vehicles which we talked about a lot, solar, wind, more efficient appliances, nuclear, and carbon capture.

2204 So the first thing I think is critical is that we don't 2205 push America to default on our debts. That has been talked 2206 about quite a bit already.

The second is we need to find areas of common ground like the privacy -- Internet privacy bill that we passed out last year that I expect will be one of the biggest things we do this term.

2211 But also implementation of these laws are going to be 2212 key.

2213 Mr. Jarsulic, you know, China faces air pollution, water 2214 pollution, plastics. Their soil is toxic with cadmium and

2215 other heavy metals. And the rallying cry of the last 2216 generation has gone viral by young Chinese people vowing not 2217 to have children. We want to have a different future here in 2218 the United States. So how critical is it to our economic 2219 success that we implement the Inflation Reduction Act to 2220 combat pollution, to boost clean energy for our economic 2221 future vis a vis competition with China?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. Well, I think that the effects of a degraded environment on health, labor force participation, productivity are significant. And so, to the extent that we can limit that, we -- that contributes to the competitiveness of the U.S.

And it is also the case that the world is being pushed in the direction of production and economic behavior that limits carbon emissions. And so the more that we can do to make that transition efficiently, the more economic competitiveness we will have.

And so the kind of support that IRA gives to electric vehicles, to solar power, and to other forms of -- and to the establishment of other forms of energy -- incredibly important. The support that CHIPS gives to developments, both in basic science and the manufacture of semiconductors,

2237	means that we will have a better technical base to implement
2238	the kinds of things that will reduce those carbon emissions.
2239	So I think that there is a lot that is being done that
2240	will contribute significantly to our long-term economic
2241	competitiveness and make up for the kind of disadvantages
2242	that we might face in the marketplace when we are dealing
2243	with a competitor who kind of disregards
2244	*Mr. Bilirakis. Well, thank you. I thank the
2245	gentleman. The gentleman's time has expired. I appreciate
2246	it. Now we welcome the gentleman from Georgia.
2247	Welcome to the committee, and the chair recognizes you
2248	for five minutes.
2249	*Mr. Allen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I thank the
2250	witnesses for being here with us today. And thank you again,
2251	Mr. Chairman. And it is a privilege to serve on this
2252	committee under your leadership.
2253	*Mr. Bilirakis. Best committee in Congress.
2254	*Mr. Allen. Yes.
2255	*Mr. Bilirakis. Don't forget that.
2256	[Laughter.]
2257	*Mr. Allen. Well, it has been very interesting here
2258	today, I will tell you this.
	111

2259 Mr. Pugh, first I want to thank you for your service, 2260 for your work with the Army Cyber Institute. The Army Cyber 2261 Command Center is located in the 12th district of Georgia, my 2262 district. And it is good to see how expertise can be shared 2263 across the public and private sectors for data security 2264 purposes.

We are not engaged in cyber security warfare here, but how do you see passing a national data privacy framework providing for more cooperation among allied countries against current and political adversaries -- how do you see that framework?

\*Mr. Pugh. Well, thank you, Congressman, and I am thrilled to see the Army represented in Georgia. I spent a lot of time in Fort Benning, so I appreciate that.

2273 And to your point, I think the biggest issue now, 2274 Congressman, is we don't have a privacy law here. So it is 2275 forcing American companies to follow other frameworks around 2276 the -- really, like GDPR and the European Union that is just 2277 not as friendly to businesses. So I think this is, really, a 2278 key opportunity for us to develop a framework, and hopefully 2279 others follow what we view as the American vision.

And I think the critical aspect is there are several

provisions that promote security. Just to flag one of them is the notice if a consumer's data goes to China, North Korea, Iran, and Russia. Right now, data can flow there and the average consumer is totally unaware of it. And that is just a deep concern.

\*Mr. Allen. Well, obviously, that is our property, and we have the right to protect it, and we certainly need to do something about this.

2289 Ms. Sacks, you have done a lot of work with securityfocused think tanks. Again, you know, of course we passed 2290 the CHIPS Act, which, you know, I think totaled over 250 2291 billion -- \$252 billion. And now it looks like we have got a 2292 glut of chips, of semiconductors in the country. What -- has 2293 2294 your think tank looked at, you know, what happens when the government pours money into something, and then it creates 2295 market problems, market issues as far as supply and demand? 2296 \*Ms. Sacks. Well, I can speak from a personal capacity, 2297 rather than my organization's. 2298

2299 \*Mr. Allen. Okay.

Ms. Sacks. I have not looked at that specific issue.
I mean, I think you raise an important question, which is
once -- when governments pick winners and losers, we have to

be very smart about how those resources are allocated, and 2303 2304 particularly because we don't want to mirror China as a nation focused on industrial policy. 2305 2306 So how do we use state funding and facilitate productive partnerships between the private sector and public 2307 institutions to understand how do we allocate those resources 2308 to avoid exactly those issues that you have discussed? 2309 \*Mr. Allen. And we don't seem to look down the road at 2310 2311 the implications of this, as far as the free market. And Mr. Farrah, the first thing is how much do these 2312 these vehicles cost? I mean, what is the price range? 2313 \*Mr. Farrah. Congressman, currently it is -- as 2314 so-called level four autonomy is being deployed out into the 2315 2316 United States, they are not currently available for private ownership. And so you have companies that are operating 2317 their own fleets of AVs. They are doing things such as robo 2318 taxis and -- with the passenger cars, unmanned delivery pods 2319 that are delivering groceries and whatnot, AV trucks that I 2320 2321 mentioned. And so, while private ownership may be in the future, 2322

2323 that is not where we are currently.

\*Mr. Allen. So we haven't gotten a market base on the

2325 price of manufacturing those vehicles? Okay.

2326 \*Mr. Farrah. That is correct.

\*Mr. Allen. You are an innovator. Obviously, this is a great -- this is going to be a great tool for the American people. What is the best driver of innovation in this country? Is it free market or government?

\*Mr. Farrah. Sir, what I can speak to is that our industry has led in terms of private capital investment into this industry. Certainly, this has been a private sectordriven exercise in terms of deployment of AVs to this point.

But it is important that policymakers shine a light on this industry because there is, obviously, a lot of work that needs to get done, both from a Federal legislative perspective, as well as at a regulatory perspective.

2339 \*Mr. Allen. Right.

2340 \*Mr. Farrah. And so it truly is a partnership, and we
2341 need your help.

\*Mr. Allen. Well, Ms. Sacks, I think you hit -- I mean, you hit the nail on the head, where how does the government do this because they are picking winners and losers. And it affects all the markets.

2346 So with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

\*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate that very much. Okay, now 2347 I recognize the representative Mrs. Trahan for five minutes. 2348 I appreciate it, thanks for your patience. 2349 2350 \*Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is no secret to anyone here today the United States 2351 has been losing the manufacturing race for a long time. 2352 Ιt is certainly not a surprise to anyone in my district, which 2353 is where our nation's industrial revolution was born, and 2354 2355 where once-great mills have sat empty for years. The offshoring of manufacturing jobs has hurt almost 2356 every sector, particularly as supply chain issues erupted 2357 during the COVID-19 pandemic. But perhaps the most glaring 2358

industry. Since 1990, the U.S. share of global semiconductor manufacturing dropped from 37 percent to 12, and in that time countries like China have surpassed us in semiconductor manufacturing capacity.

example of this dangerous trend is our semiconductor

2359

2364 Mr. Chairman, this trend poses a serious threat not just 2365 to our nation's economic competitiveness, but to our national 2366 security. And supply chain disruptions have shown in 2367 excruciating detail, as we have waited on foreign shipments 2368 of semiconductors that have been bogged down in supply chain

2369 disruptions.

Like many of my colleagues on this committee, I have heard how this issue is impacting businesses and families that I represent. Massachusetts companies up and down the supply chain, from manufacturers of cancer screening technology to defibrillators, have been sounding the alarm about the disruption's impact on their business and the patients and hospitals depending on them.

2377 Families looking to buy a used car to make sure they can get their kids to school on time have had to pay ridiculous 2378 prices, in part because of chip shortages in the automotive 2379 industry. It is for this exact reason that we voted in a 2380 2381 bipartisan manner last Congress to pass the CHIPS Act, the 2382 CHIPS and Science Act, which includes unprecedented Federal funding to jumpstart our semiconductor industry and 2383 reestablish our nation's manufacturing leadership. 2384

And when I think about our competitiveness -- frankly, our winning -- I do think about the major pieces of legislation that we passed last year. My colleague from Florida talked about the Inflation Reduction Act, CHIPS and Science, of course, but also the bipartisan infrastructure bill.

So, Mr. Jarsulic, I am hoping that you can speak about 2391 2392 the impact that the \$52 billion included in the bipartisan CHIPS in Science Act, as well as the billions of dollars 2393 2394 allocated by the infrastructure law to revitalize our roads, our bridges, railways, electric vehicle charging stations, 2395 high speed Internet, all that supportive infrastructure, will 2396 2397 have on U.S. domestic semiconductor manufacturing. And frankly, should we expect more private investment in this 2398 2399 critical technology?

\*Mr. Jarsulic. Yes, I think those \$52 billion are 2400 divided into two big parts. One is 11 to support basic 2401 science research and development that are related to 2402 semiconductor manufacturing. I think that that helps to 2403 2404 overcome the real public good problem of doing that kind of basic research. But there is another \$39 billion, the 2405 majority of which, 24 billion, provides investment tax 2406 credits for private investment. 2407

And that means that the decision-making about what is going to be built over what time frame really rests with the private sector. That is, these are credits. They are going to have to put private capital at risk in order to -- you know, to get those credits and expand manufacturing capacity.

2413 So I think that the notion that there isn't a market-based, a 2414 competitive-based allocation of these funds is a little bit 2415 misleading.

2416 That said, those two major efforts, both in terms of basic research and in terms of incentivizing investment, 2417 should do a lot to increase semiconductor manufacturing 2418 capacity. But any business needs an efficient and effective 2419 infrastructure to operate: good transportation, good water, 2420 2421 good power supply. And I think that the support for that in bipartisan infrastructure will also benefit semiconductor 2422 manufacturing, as it will most business in the U.S. 2423

2424 \*Mrs. Trahan. Thank you, I appreciate that.

I will see if I have enough time to switch gears, because I was so excited to hear so many of my colleagues discuss privacy. Because the truth is many of the critical devices that require semiconductors also collect, store, and transmit personal and even sensitive consumer data.

My team and I thought about this quite a bit during the markup of the bipartisan and bicameral ADPPA last summer, and strong data minimization and data loyalty language allows consumers to escape constant consent pop-ups, which are particularly useless in a world where sensors devoid of a

2435	screen monitor our vital signs, our sleep patterns, and the
2436	location of our pets to create comprehensive profiles of our
2437	lives that can be used in a range of predictive analytics.
2438	So, Mr. Pugh, maybe for the record, hoping you can speak
2439	to the importance of data minimization to this nation's cyber
2440	and national security.
2441	*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it. You can take that for
2442	the record.
2443	[The information follows:]
2444	
2445	**************************************
2446	

2447	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you for the question, and the
2448	gentlelady yields back.
2449	And I will recognize the gentlelady from the great state
2450	of Tennessee, home of the number one Florida Gator, Steve
2451	Spurrier, Johnson City.
2452	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Oh, you would have to mention that,
2453	Mr. Chairman.
2454	*Mr. Bilirakis. Of course, of course.
2455	[Laughter.]
2456	*Mrs. Harshbarger. I am trying to forgive him.
2457	*Mr. Bilirakis. You are recognized for five minutes.
2458	*Mrs. Harshbarger. Thank you. My question goes, the
2459	first one, to Mr. Pugh. But let me read a little statement.
2460	As a mother and a grandmother, I am deeply concerned
2461	about the ways TikTok is manipulating our nation's children.
2462	You know, I have seen reports that detail China's version of
2463	TikTok, which offers the friendly version with educational
2464	videos and learning tools and time limits set on what the
2465	children look at in China. And then you come over here and
2466	you see the opium version, which, you know, addicts our
2467	children in front of their phone. And that educational tool
2468	isn't offered over here, like it is in China.

What are the current data privacy protections for 2469 children, and how could a comprehensive data security 2470 standard help strengthen those protections? 2471 2472 \*Mr. Pugh. Well, Congresswoman, thank you. Our current standards, simply put, are inadequate. I mean, we have 2473 There were some other attempts to look at children's 2474 COPPA. privacy legislation. But I think the real answer is a 2475 comprehensive approach, not the diminished attempts that are 2476 2477 specifically at -- directed at children. But really, privacy is a concern for all Americans. And I do think that was a --2478 really, a hallmark of ADPPA last Congress was, regardless of 2479 age, there was protections there to help you. 2480 Specifically with children, there were several 2481 2482 phenomenal ones, everything from additional resources at the FTC directed specifically at kids to rules around target 2483 advertising for kids. You could tell that kids were 2484 definitely a focus in that bill, and I think that should be 2485 the case going forward. 2486

\*Mrs. Harshbarger. Well, and I said this when I was on Homeland. I am like, if your children are on TikTok, get them off. Get them off. Adults, you are responsible, but children are not.

Ms. Sacks, I think that we both have questions for you with -- concerning TikTok. And it is -- you know, I am tremendously concerned about TikTok, but I am sure there is many other Chinese apps that you would suggest that we be watching. And I guess my question is, what other Chinese companies are you concerned about, and what should we be asking?

And then the second part is can you describe how the CCP is encouraging the adoption of emerging technology like artificial intelligence and its defensive capabilities, and how the Beijing's unprecedented emphasis on intellectual property theft in this sector factors into those efforts?

\*Ms. Sacks. Thank you, and a lot to unpack there.
\*Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes.

\*Ms. Sacks. I guess I will start with the TikTok issue. You know, I think that there are two important issues on the table. One is data security, who has access to what, and the other is the potential to push misinformation online, the recommendation algorithm.

2510 My understanding is that there is a national security 2511 agreement on the table, and I think it -- and I have 2512 published an article which sort of details what exactly that

2513 looks like.

You know, from a data security standpoint, if the -- if Oracle has the data in the cloud, there are multiple thirdparty auditors and an oversight board that reports to CFIUS. I think that that would be pretty much locked down.

The question around what kind of information the recommendation system pushes forward is an important one, and that also under this agreement would potentially -- and it is called Project Texas, and I have published about it just a week or so ago -- would be, again, subject to verification source code review, essentially vetted by CFIUS.

I think it is important that the public understand what that national security agreement would look like, and then have a debate. Is this enough to address those concerns? And to what extent would other social media companies also need to meet those?

You know, I think that, as a mother, I am very concerned about what information my young children will be looking at online. And I am terrified, because right now I think it is a free-for-all. When we focus on specific companies, we can lock down that information, but it doesn't solve the issue. \*Mrs. Harshbarger. Right.

\*Ms. Sacks. We can ban TikTok, force a divestiture. 2535 2536 When my boys are teenagers I hope that there will be a more comprehensive solution, because it is not going to address 2537 2538 the way that misinformation is addicting children. And so that is a much bigger issue than any single company. 2539 \*Mrs. Harshbarger. Well, absolutely. And not to 2540 mention, you know, when Director Wray says that is a national 2541 security threat -- and I know we are not talking about that 2542 2543 -- but the tracking of users' data, that is a concern. That 2544 is a huge concern. And my grandsons are soon to be six and eight. 2545 So my son and daughter-in-law just better never bring TikTok to the 2546 2547 table, okay? 2548 And I guess, with that, I will yield back, Mr. Chairman. \*Mr. Bilirakis. The gentlelady yields back. And I will 2549

2550 recognize the -- my good buddy from the State of Florida, Kat 2551 Cammack, for five minutes.

2552 \*Mrs. Cammack. Yes, Steve Spurrier. Land of Steve2553 Spurrier. Go, Gators.

Thank you, and congratulations to my good friend, Chairman Bilirakis, for hosting this very important hearing today. Thank you to our witnesses. We are coming to the

2557 end, so hang in there with us.

This topic, our competitive edge against an adversarial nation who uses the existing multilateral system to bend the rules in their favor, the Chinese Communist Party, is one of the most important issues of our time. Indeed, the CCP has very little regard for basic human rights, environmental protections, or the rule of law as they continue on their quest for global dominance.

2565 So I believe that the greatest value that we have as a 2566 nation is our people, our constitutional republic, and our 2567 CQ, our creative quotient. We are innovators.

From the space race to the deployment of the Internet, the United States has been an international leader on scientific innovation and achievement. Our free market model, paired with our national creative quotient, including private R&D efforts, no doubt drives much of our success as a leader in the world. So the work that we do here today will lead and carry us through the next several decades.

Without question, the U.S. and our allies must lead the world in privacy regulations and technological innovation. Otherwise, we risk allowing malign actors like the CCP to create a counter set of rules predicated on debt-trapped

economies that will be enticed to leave the rules-based 2579 2580 system, and adopt a model made to benefit authoritarian countries run by groups like the CCP. 2581 2582 So jumping right in, Mr. Pugh, you said in your testimony -- and my good friend from Tennessee alluded to 2583 this -- you know, the protections and privacy laws are wholly 2584 inadequate, by and large. How do we balance that patchwork 2585 of state laws? 2586 2587 How can we do a preemptive Federal privacy and data security law that specifically allows for those protections, 2588 while prohibiting the stifling of entrepreneurs or new market 2589 entrants into tech-related industries, quantum computing, 2590 social media, AI, et cetera? 2591 2592 \*Mr. Pugh. Congresswoman, thank you. And I think you really answered the question kind of yourself, because 2593 preemption is key. And I think ADPPA was a great substantive 2594 step in terms of how preemption was resolved. 2595 I mean, that is exactly the thing. We need one Federal 2596 2597 standard, not this patchwork that is emerging. Granted, only 5 states will have privacy laws in 2023. We have already 2598 seen dozens and dozens introduced this year and last year. 2599 So I think the real potential of having even more laws this 2600

2601 year or next is going to be there.

And it hurts our small and medium-sized companies, because they don't largely have the resources to follow all the developments, the constant amendments at a state level. Whereas, if they have one standard to look to, it may still take resources, but at least it is one standard. So I think that is the key, and making sure preemption is strongly reflecting a Federal bill.

2609 \*Mrs. Cammack. I appreciate that. And I am going to 2610 follow up again on my good friend from Tennessee.

2611 We were sitting over here talking about TikTok. You 2612 know, I am the Millennial in the room. And so this is a 2613 generation -- grandmother, Millennial. But, you know, this 2614 is a concern to me, my peers, and the generation coming 2615 directly right after me, the Gen Zs.

I grew up with social media, MySpace, Facebook -- today Meta. These have real-world impacts. Privacy concerns? Heck, one social media platform can be directly attributed to a political revolution in nations abroad. So we know that there are real-world impacts that we have to contend with. So obviously, TikTok being a huge one.

2622 Representative Harshbarger alluded to the fact that, in

2623 China, on TikTok children 14 and younger are shown patriotic 2624 videos, educational videos, history videos, and they are 2625 limited to 40 minutes. In the United States, they have the 2626 algorithms set to do shorter videos that are meant to create 2627 dopamine hits in your brain.

There was a survey done between the United States and 2628 China, a 14-year-old, asking, "What is the most aspirational 2629 career you want to have?' ' In the United States the number-2630 2631 one answer was social media influencer. In China they said they wanted to be an astronaut. If you want to look at the 2632 future of our two nations, start here. That is why we need 2633 to be very serious about how we contend with TikTok and other 2634 2635 apps like TikTok.

So my question -- and I know I am running short on time -- is how can we protect our kids, our data, while simultaneously respecting free market economics in these applications?

The balance is a really tricky one, but we need to have a game plan moving forward on how we contend with this. And if any other witnesses want to answer this, I am open to hearing your thoughts.

2644 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Let's --

2645 \*Mrs. Cammack. In nine seconds.

2646 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, very brief, and then we are going to take the question for the record. It is a very important 2647 2648 question, so I want you to have as much time to answer it. This is what we are facing in this country. Please, briefly. 2649 The short answer, Congressman and Chairman, 2650 \*Mr. Pugh. is passing a national comprehensive data privacy and security 2651 We did a report last year with 125 different entities 2652 law. 2653 across all ideologies, in conjunction with Harvard. And we think that really is the answer of solving some of these 2654 national security and privacy concerns. 2655

2656 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.

2657 \*Mr. Pugh. Thank you.

2658 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, thank you, I appreciate it.2659 I now recognize the vice chairman.

2660 Congratulations, Mr. Vice Chairman of the full 2661 committee, Mr. Armstrong. You are recognized for five 2662 minutes.

2663 \*Mr. Armstrong. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Sacks, whether it is national security threats from aggression, nation states, or data protection regimes, it seems as if the trend is towards data localization. You have

recently and even today mentioned Project Texas, TikTok's 2667 2668 proposed mitigation agreement to address U.S. national security concerns, as a potential accelerant to data 2669 2670 localization requirements. And you were speaking with Congressman Dunn about data free flows with trust. 2671 Does our experience with the challenges of the EU-U.S. 2672 privacy framework and broader international concerns about 2673 U.S. surveillance capabilities make that a realistic proposal 2674 2675 in the near term? \*Ms. Sacks. Thank you for raising the issue of data 2676 localization, because I think this gets at an inherent 2677 tension here, right? 2678 Governments around the world, the U.S., in Europe, in 2679 2680 China, in India are increasingly concerned with foreign government access to data, as well as private sector access. 2681 And so I think what is happening is the response to this is 2682 increasing requirements would require data to be stored on 2683 local servers and undergo extensive vetting before it is sent 2684 2685 abroad.

2686 Project Texas, from my understanding of what has been 2687 released publicly, would address U.S. Government concerns 2688 around who has access to the data by storing it in a Oracle

2689 cloud with a number of third-party vetters, auditors, to vet 2690 that in terms of the data that leaves. But it also 2691 potentially creates a blueprint to accelerate this trend of 2692 digital sovereignty, which we have seen around the world 2693 beyond the U.S. and China.

And so this question of how do you strike a balance between facilitating greater data flows which are needed for innovation and economic competitiveness, while also addressing legitimate data security questions, this is sort of the key question, and I think it is one that merits much further discussion.

Mr. Armstrong. I literally just came up here from asking questions about the Chinese Government -- or a hacking group in Chinese -- either with coordination with the Chinese Communist Party, or definitely with the permission of the Chinese Communist Party actually going after our COVID relief funds. So, I mean, this is coming from every different place.

But we often discuss CCP's collection of U.S. person data by citing the 2015 OPM hack, as well as the hacks on Marriott and Equifax. The follow-up question is usually how the CCP might operationalize that data. I think we

2711 understand the ramifications of weaponizing that data against 2712 national security officials or Chinese dissidents to 2713 blackmail or develop kompromat. Can you explain the risks of 2714 the CCP aggregating all the data they have obtained, and the 2715 type of risks it might reveal at the demographic or

2716 population levels?

\*Ms. Sacks. Yes. I mean, to be honest, I think that 2717 creating profiles based on aggregate data is primarily a 2718 2719 counterintelligence concern for individuals with national security clearances, in the military, or access to sensitive 2720 information. For your average American, what that -- what 2721 the impact would probably be more in terms of would that 2722 population or individual preference information -- could that 2723 2724 be used to push information that would make, say, a spear 2725 phishing attack more appealing?

It might be more likely that someone would be a -- would click on a link because it appealed to them based on information that was collected. And so I would say it is --I would look at it from that angle.

But what I highlighted in my testimony, the more sort of far-reaching impact is on economic competitiveness, which is a distinct issue. Right? It is on Chinese firms who are

able to access diverse international data sets beyond China. What that allows them to do is train AI models that could be more competitive in markets outside of China, where they are competing head to head with U.S. firms.

2737 So I would bucket the risk. You have national security 2738 issues, you also have targeted misinformation that could be 2739 used from that, as well as economic competitiveness between 2740 the U.S. and Chinese firms. And it is important to sort of 2741 be clear about those distinct buckets of risk.

2742 \*Mr. Armstrong. Mr. Pugh, I am going to ask you the 2743 same question. You got, I mean, 45 seconds to follow up, so 2744 that was easy.

But, I mean, I think we always operationalize this at the national security, but it is hard to get it down to my 15-year-old daughter, who is on TikTok way more than she should be, and all of these different issues about the data collection.

2750 \*Mr. Pugh. I think the key point to recognize, 2751 Congressman, is data is not just universal. There is 2752 different types of data. So even when it comes to 2753 geolocation data -- yes, maybe I am an exception because I 2754 served in the military. But outside of that, I don't want

another country knowing where I am in a moment, where I am 2755 2756 going, where my movements are, regardless --\*Mr. Armstrong. I don't want my own country knowing 2757 2758 that. [Laughter.] 2759 \*Mr. Pugh. So I think that that is a risk. And then 2760 off of that, not only they collect the data, they are really 2761 bad at securing it, evidenced by the breach they had in the 2762 2763 Shanghai Police Department last summer. So they are collecting it, and they are not even making it safe. So even 2764 other third parties and adversaries are getting it. 2765 \*Mr. Armstrong. And I would just end with I didn't want 2766 to be a social influencer or an astronaut. I wanted to be a 2767 2768 fireman. So I became a lawyer and a politician. Thank you. I yield back. 2769 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Oh, well, who are you going to blame 2770 for that? 2771 Folks, well, thank you. We are off to a good start, and 2772 2773 I appreciate everything. Seeing there are no further members wishing to be recognized, I want to thank the ranking member. 2774 Again, I want to thank the witnesses for being here today. 2775 And I have got a little housekeeping here. So pursuant 2776

to the committee rules, I ask unanimous consent to enter the 2777 following documents into the record: a letter from the 2778 Alliance for Automotive Innovation; a report from the 2779 2780 Alliance for Automotive Innovation titled, "Ready to Launch: Autonomous Vehicles in the U.S.''; a presentation by the 2781 Alliance for Automotive Innovation titled, "Policy Roadmap to 2782 Advance Automated Vehicle Innovation'; a letter to the 2783 Secretary of Transportation, Mr. Buttigieg, regarding the 2784 2785 Huawei Technologies; the September 2020 China Task Force report; an amendment offered to the Invest in America Act; a 2786 letter from the National Federation of the Blind supporting 2787 an amendment to the Invest in America Act; a press release 2788 from the Jacksonville Transportation Authority regarding 2789 2790 their partnership with Beep and NAVYA to safely transport COVID-19 samples; an article by the Klon Kitchen and Hal 2791 Brands entitled, "Tuya: Maybe the China Threat that Beats 2792 Russia's Ransomware Attacks' ': a letter regarding the FCC's 2793 Secure and Trusted Communication Networks Reimbursement 2794 2795 Program; a letter from the Consumer Brands Association and Information Technology Industry Council; a letter from the 2796 Advocates for Highway Safety regarding emerging vehicle 2797 technologies and autonomous vehicles; a report from the 2798

2799	Center for Strategic and International Studies entitled,
2800	"Empty Bins in a Wartime Environment: the Challenge to the
2801	U.S. Defense Industrial Base''; and finally, a letter from
2802	the National Association of Manufacturers.
2803	[The information follows:]
2804	
2805	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2806	

\*Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, very good. We got that in. 2807 2808 Pursuant to the committee rules, I remind members that they have 10 days, 10 business days, to submit questions for 2809 2810 the record. And I ask the witnesses to respond to their questions promptly. 2811 Members should submit their questions by the close of 2812 2813 business on February 15. If there is no other business, without objection, this 2814 subcommittee is adjourned. Thank you. 2815 [Whereupon, at 1:00 p.m., the subcommittee was 2816

2817 adjourned.]