- 1 NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
- 2 RPTS WALTER
- 3 HIF073170
- 4
- 5
- 6 ENHANCING VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY TO
- 7 PREVENT DRUNK DRIVING
- 8 THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2019
- 9 House of Representatives,
- 10 Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and
- 11 Commerce,
- 12 Committee on Energy and Commerce,
- 13 Washington, D.C.
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m.,
- 18 in Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Janice
- 19 Schakowsky [chairwoman of the subcommittee] presiding.
- 20 Members present: Representatives Schakowsky, Castor,
- 21 O'Halleran, Cardenas, Soto, Rush, Matsui, McNerney, Dingell,
- 22 Rodgers, Burgess, Latta, Guthrie, Bucshon, Hudson, Carter,
- 23 and Walden (ex officio).
- 24 Staff present: Sharon Davis, Chief Clerk; Evan Gilbert,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

25 Press Assistant; Lisa Goldman, Counsel; Waverly Gordon, 26 Deputy Chief Counsel; Alex Hoehn-Saric, Chief Counsel, C&T; 27 Zach Kahan, Outreach and Member Service Coordinator; Kaitlyn 28 Peel, Digital Director; Chloe Rodriguez, Policy Analyst; 29 Andrew Souvall, Director of Communications, Outreach and 30 Member Services; Mike Bloomquist, Minority Staff Director; 31 Jordan Davis, Minority Senior Advisor; Melissa Froelich, 32 Minority Chief Counsel, CPAC; Peter Kielty, Minority General 33 Counsel; Bijan Koohmaraie, Minority Counsel, CPAC; Ryan Long, 34 Minority Deputy Staff Director; and Brannon Rains, Minority 35 Staff Assistant.

Ms. Schakowsky. [presiding] The Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce will now come to order. I want to thank everybody for coming on this going-away day. My plan is that we will get as many opening statements as we can before votes, and then, hopefully, all of you will come back to talk to our witnesses.

42 So, I recognize myself for 5 minutes.

43 As the Consumer Protection Subcommittee, we are 44 committed to ensuring the safety of the American people. We 45 have addressed a number of auto safety issues over the years, 46 holding hearings on the Takata airbag defects and the GM 47 ignition switch defect. We had a hearing last year on 48 drugged driving, which has been on the rise in recent years. 49 But we haven't really addressed the No. 1 cause of death on 50 America's roads, drunk driving.

More than 10,000 deaths, about 30 percent of all fatal crashes, are caused by drunk driving each year. That translates into almost 30 people dying in drunk driving crashes every day or one person every 4 minutes in 2017. That is when the data is from. And that is not counting the number of people who are seriously injured in drunk driving crashes.

58 The National Highway Transportation Safety

59 Administration, NHTSA, conducted a study in 2016 that found

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

60 that, quote, ``Alcohol was the largest contributor to 61 crashes." Unquote. We all know drunk driving is a problem, 62 but whether it is because they are too intoxicated to make 63 reasonable judgments or they inaccurately estimate their 64 level of intoxication, people are still making the choice to 65 drive drunk.

66 So, today we are exploring some technologies that make 67 it harder for people to make the wrong decision. Currently, ignition interlocks are available for installation in cars on 68 69 the road. These are devices that can detect levels of 70 alcohol in a person's system, and if above the legal limit, 71 will prevent a car from starting. Generally, this involves 72 breathing into a tube and waiting for an analysis to be 73 completed, which may take a little time. This, too, has been 74 effective in preventing individuals convicted of drunk 75 driving from doing so again, as long as the device is in his 76 or her car.

All states have some form of ignition interlock laws, some making it an option/condition after conviction, and some requiring them for repeat offenders, and some requiring them for all offenders. Often, people who have been convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol will still drive, even if their license is suspended or taken away. They still need to drive to get to work or run necessary errands. Interlock

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

84 devices allow them to drive when they need to, but stop them 85 from putting themselves and others in danger by preventing 86 them from driving drunk.

87 So, I look forward to hearing today whether expanded use of interlock devices can help lower the number of drunk 88 89 driving crashes. Today's interlock devices, however, are not 90 enough. They are too intrusive for general use, and that is 91 why NHTSA has been working with the auto industry to develop 92 more integrated technologies, known as Driver Alcohol 93 Detention Systems for Safety, or DADSS, that can be deployed 94 even more expansively.

95 I know my friend and colleague, Debbie Dingell, will be 96 recognized, but it should be noted that several of her 97 constituents recently died in a tragic accident because of 98 drunk driving. Debbie has introduced legislation aimed at 99 curbing drunk driving. And so, I thank her for her efforts 100 to make our roads safer, and I stand with you as an ally in 101 your fight.

102NHTSA can and should be pressing automakers to develop103and deploy this technology faster, these different

104 technologies faster, and let's stop wasting time and start to

105 take meaningful steps to turn back the tide on these

106 tragedies.

107

So, I want to thank all our witnesses for coming today.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

108 And I yield 5 minutes to the ranking member of the 109 subcommittee, Cathy McMorris Rodgers.

110 Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, Madam Chair.

111 Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the Consumer 112 Protection and Commerce Subcommittee hearing on enhancing 113 vehicle technology to prevent drunk driving.

114 ``Drive sober or get pulled over" is a phrase we all 115 remember hearing in the classroom or on television, and it 116 remains just as important a message today as it ever was. 117 Drunk driving is a significant public health concern that 118 tragically cuts life short for so many, not just those who 119 make the reckless decision to get behind the wheel after consuming alcohol, but our family and friends on the road in 120 121 the wrong place at the wrong time.

122 Although alcohol-impaired driving has decreased by about 123 30 percent over the last three decades, it remains a serious 124 and fatal risk on our roadways, claiming almost 11,000 lives 125 each year. The status is not acceptable. We can, and we 126 must, do better.

Drug-impaired driving is also on the rise. Drug use and abuse have increasingly become central social issues. On opioids, last Congress we passed a comprehensive bipartisan package to help combat the epidemic, expand access to treatment, and protect our communities. But opioids aren't

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

the only drug making our roads less safe. So is marijuana.
In fact, marijuana is the most common drug found in fatallyinjured drivers. It increases drowsiness and decreases
reaction speed, both of which severely limit a driver's
ability to operate a vehicle safely.

137 In 2012, my home State of Washington legalized 138 marijuana, and Washingtonians have seen decreases in roadway 139 safety since then. In 2017, the Northwest High Intensity 140 Drug Trafficking Area conducted a report of the effects of 141 The report produced some pretty disturbing marijuana. 142 results. One in five 10th graders, 1 in 4 12th graders 143 reported riding with a driver who had been using marijuana. 144 One in 6 12th graders admitted to driving a vehicle within 145 three hours of consuming marijuana. The percentage of 146 marijuana-positive drivers has more than doubled from 7.8 percent to 18.9 percent, and fatal crashes involving 147 148 marijuana have spiked to almost 13 percent from 7.8 percent 149 prior to legalization. The increase in recreational use of 150 marijuana poses a serious threat to roadway safety.

We must learn from the lessons we have seen in my home State and make sure we are focusing on addressing drugimpaired driving and alcohol-impaired driving. On this committee, it is our job to explore how technology and innovation can improve people's lives, even save their lives.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

156 For example, ride-sharing technology platforms have given 157 people better and more options to get home safely. By 158 providing an easy and user-friendly option, more people are 159 opting for ride-sharing rather than getting behind the wheel after drinking or taking drugs. The subcommittee has been 160 working on these issues for years, highlighting the sharing 161 162 economy at a disruptor series in 2015.

163 We should not lose sight of the fact that we lose about 164 40,000 Americans on our roads every year. Ninety-four 165 percent of car crashes are caused by human error. Whether it 166 be driving while tired, distracted, or after drinking or 167 taking drugs, human error causes crashes. Self-driving cars 168 offer a technology-based solution that will save lives if the 169 government regulations are updated from their 1970s approach 170 over brake pedals and steering wheels.

I joined Republican Leader Walden and Representative Latta on a letter to Chairman Pallone yesterday asking that we continue our work in a bipartisan manner again this Congress.

I commend Chair Schakowsky for her leadership on thisimportant issue and look forward to working with you.

I want to thank our distinguished panel for your willingness to engage in this discussion today, and I would further welcome discussions with leaders who offer other

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

180 technology-based solutions to protect Americans.

181 I yield back.

182 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentlewoman yields back.

183 The votes have been called, and it looks like we have

184 one to two votes, we think about 30 minutes. So, in fact, we

185 are going to recess. And I apologize to our witnesses, but

186 we will be back soon.

187 Thank you.

188 [Recess.]

Ms. Schakowsky. I will call the subcommittee back to order, and yield for an opening statement. In the absence of the chairman of the full committee, I am happy to yield to Congresswoman Dingell.

193 Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Chairman Schakowsky.

194 And I want to really give you a sincere and heartfelt 195 thank you for holding this important hearing today. As both 196 of you spoke about before votes, drunk driving brings pain to 197 families and communities across this country. Our community 198 in Dearborn and in Michigan felt it only eight weeks ago. In 199 January, the Abbas family, Issam, Rima, Ali, Isabella, and 200 Giselle, were driving back from a family vacation in Florida 201 when their car was struck head-on by a drunk driver. No one 202 survived, and everybody in our community felt it. They were active, integral members of our community. But what is sad 203

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

is that this story has been repeated for years over and over again. And Congress needs to step up and do something about it.

Their deaths, and the thousands just like them each year, are avoidable and preventable. The technology exists to save lives. A little girl at the funeral came up to me -she was a classmate -- and said, ``There is technology. Why are you not using it? Why won't Congress act? My friend should be here today." That statement is my heart.

213 So, my question to each member, witness, and all the 214 public watching today is simple: why aren't we using it? We 215 need to explore every possible solution, including giving law 216 enforcement the resources that they need to get drunk driving 217 off the roads. Institute mandatory first offender interlock 218 laws across the country, and get the DADSS technology in cars 219 as fast as we can.

220 Nothing is going to bring back the Abbas family or the 221 thousands -- there are more stories in the last week. Ι 222 mean, we should stop hearing these stories. Their lives are 223 too important to forget. We need to make sure that the 224 family that I know from my community, the Abbas family's 225 death is not in vain, for we need to make all of these deaths 226 an example of why we must act now. We must address this challenge. 227

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Thank you, Madam Chairman, and I yield back the balance of my time.

230 The gentlelady yields back. Ms. Schakowsky. I now 231 recognize Mr. Latta for 5 minutes for an opening statement. 232 Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you 233 very much for holding this very important hearing today. 234 And I thank our witnesses for being with us today. 235 We have the opportunity to discuss how we can utilize 236 different technologies to address and prevent drunk and 237 drugged driving. Over 10,000 people lost their lives in 2017 238 in alcohol-impaired driving crashes. We have also seen a significant increase in the number of American drivers killed 239 240 in vehicle crashes in which drugs were detected.

Ninety-four percent of overall vehicle accidents are attributable to human errors or decisions, and we have seen a significant increase in the number of Americans killed in vehicle crashes in which drugs were detected. The statistics are staggering and show that it is imperative that the public and private sectors work together on a solution to prevent more tragedies.

Amid the devastating opioid crisis, and as more states legalize the use of marijuana, tackling this problem is now more important than ever. According to the Governors Highway Safety Association, in 2016, the number of drivers who were

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

fatally injured in accidents with drugs in their system surpassed the number of those with alcohol in their system for the very first time.

255 That is why in the last Congress I recognized the 256 importance of promoting and fostering innovation in selfdriving vehicle technology. As chair of this subcommittee in 257 258 the last Congress, I introduced the bipartisan SELF DRIVE 259 Act, which would have clarified the federal and state roles 260 in regulating self-driving vehicles, ensure consumer safety, 261 reduce traffic-related fatalities and injuries, and improve 262 mobility for individuals with disabilities.

U.S. companies are investing major resources in the research and development of this technology, and the SELF DRIVE Act would have removed outdated regulations that were created when self-driving vehicles were considered science fiction. Since this legislation passed unanimously both in committee and on the Floor, it is my hope that we can make this a priority again in this Congress.

We have an opportunity through technology to make investments needed in self-driving technology as one step to ending senseless deaths on our roads. Until that day comes, we all need to do all we can to raise awareness of the dangers of impaired driving.

, i dangers of impariod driving.

275

And again, I want to thank all the members on this

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 276 committee and all of our staff on both sides of the aisle for
- all the hard work that they did.
- 278 With that, Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my
- 279 time. Thank you very much.
- 280 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentleman yields back.
- 281 So, I would now like to introduce our witnesses for --
- oh, the chair would like to remind members that, pursuant to
- 283 committee rules, all members' written opening statements
- shall be made part of the record.

285 And now, I would like to introduce the witnesses. Ms. 286 Helen Witty is the national president of Mothers Against 287 Drunk Driving. Dr. Robert Strassburger oversees the DADSS 288 program that I am really anxious to hear more about. And the 289 Honorable Joan Claybrook, board member of Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety and former Administrator of the 290 291 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, NHTSA, 292 welcome. And Dr. David Kelly, the executive director of the 293 Coalition of Ignition Interlocks Manufacturers. 294 We want to thank all of our witnesses for joining us 295 today, and we look forward to your testimony.

At this time, the chair will now recognize each witness for 5 minutes. I think everybody here has testified. You know that you have 5 minutes, and there is a light that will go off when you have 1 minute left. So, I hope that you will consider wrapping it up.

301 So, I am going to first begin, I want to begin. Ms.

302 Witty, you are recognized now for 5 minutes.

303 Put your microphone on. There you go.

304 STATEMENTS OF HELEN WITTY, NATIONAL PRESIDENT, MOTHERS
305 AGAINST DRUNK DRIVING; ROBERT STRASSBURGER, PRESIDENT AND
306 CEO, AUTOMOTIVE COALITION FOR TRAFFIC SAFETY, INC.; JOAN
307 CLAYBROOK, BOARD MEMBER, ADVOCATES FOR HIGHWAY AND AUTO
308 SAFETY, AND FORMER ADMINISTRATOR, NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC
309 SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, AND DAVID KELLY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
310 COALITION OF IGNITION INTERLOCKS MANUFACTURERS

311

312 STATEMENT OF HELEN WITTY

313

Ms. Witty. Thank you, Chairwoman Schakowsky, Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers, and other distinguished members of the committee, for holding this hearing and for inviting me to testify.

318 I am here today on behalf of Mothers Against Drunk 319 Driving and representing the millions of victims of drunk 320 driving crashes.

I would also like to thank Representative Debbie Dingell for her leadership and action following the tragic death of a family from Dearborn. The Abbas family, Issam, Rima, Ali, Isabella, and Giselle, were killed by a wrong-way driver, a drunk driver.

Like the Abbas family, I have a story. I am here because my 16-year-old daughter is not. One day on a bright, **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

328 sunny June afternoon, she went rollerblading on a well-known 329 route and didn't come home. Until that day, my husband and I 330 had the dream family, the one we had always dreamed of, two 331 children, a girl and a boy. They were named for us. So, we 332 had the perfect names, John and John and Helen and Helen 333 Marie. It was Helen Marie because I didn't want to be ``Big 334 Helen" or ``Old Helen".

335 And she was my first born. So, she put me through my mom paces, and she was so excited when she learned that her 336 337 brother John was due to arrive. But, yet, when she learned 338 that he was there to stay and she had to learn to share, she 339 had to get used to that, and she did. But the most important 340 thing was she learned to love him deeply. They were not 341 perfect children, perfect names maybe, but not perfect 342 children. They were well-adjusted and that what we had 343 prayed for.

An alcohol- and marijuana-impaired teen driver ended our dream. Helen Marie was rollerblading on a bike path when she looked up and saw a car on that bike path spinning toward her. There was nothing she could do but die very suddenly and very violently.

I can't tell you what the days and months and years were like after that. It was preparing for my 16-year-old daughter's funeral. It was receiving a call asking for body

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

352 parts. It was packing up her things that still held her353 essence. It was standing in a criminal courtroom.

354 But I can tell you that MADD was there. They were there 355 to show me I would not die of the grief. They were there to give me hope, and they also gave me a platform on which I 356 could learn and, then, fight from -- first, I could only lean 357 358 on it -- toward a day when there is no more victims of this 359 awful crime. And the technology is there. That is the 360 frustrating part.

H.M.'s life ended, but mine did not, and that is why I am here. MADD's campaign to eliminate drunk driving is our top priority, and the testimony I submitted contains detailed information about two campaign components: law enforcement and ignition interlocks.

366 Today, I would like to specifically talk about advanced 367 vehicle technologies which could one day prevent a drunk 368 driver from operating a vehicle. The idea for such technology was born in 2006 at a MADD Technology Symposium in 369 370 New Mexico. The concept was to integrate into the vehicle a 371 passive alcohol sensor to unobtrusively detect a driver's 372 The concept became a reality over a decade ago and is BAC. 373 known as DADSS. MADD worked diligently to get this program 374 started and to get the government funding to advance this 375 program.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I represent drunk driving victims who want this killing to end now. Our goal is to get this technology into vehicles for consumers to purchase as soon as possible. Therefore, I issue a challenge to the auto industry, including OEM suppliers and the government, to make DADSS commercially available and for NHTSA to begin a rulemaking on DADSS as soon as possible.

To aid in transferring DADSS to the auto industry for commercialization, a large fleet test would help expedite the technology. In 1982, the General Services Administration ordered 5,000 cars with driver-side airbags. This stimulated the market and resulted in widespread acceptance and use. MADD calls for a similar model.

We understand that DADSS development is challenging, but the industry has the resources and the expertise to make safety advancements a reality. Auto detection technology needs to be a top priority. With this committee's continued leadership, we could soon witness historic results with 7,000 lives saved every year.

Thank you, Madam Chairwoman Schakowsky and Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers, for allowing me the opportunity to testify on this important issue, and I look forward to working with all of you and answering any questions you have for me. Thank you.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

400 [The prepared statement of Ms. Witty follows:]

401

402 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 1\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

403 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you very much.

404 Mr. Strassburger, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

405

406 STATEMENT OF ROBERT STRASSBURGER

407

426

408 Mr. Strassburger. Good morning, Madam Chair, Ranking 409 Member McMorris Rodgers, and distinguished members of this 410 subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to update you on 411 the DADSS research program.

412 Despite significant efforts over the years, drunk 413 driving in the U.S. remains our most intractable safety 414 To help address this, automakers and NHTSA formed problem. 415 the DADSS partnership to research the widespread use of 416 noninvasive technology to prevent drunk driving. Public-417 private partnerships like DADSS have led innovations that 418 have enhanced our everyday lives, such as the internet, GPS, 419 the microchip, and WiFi.

The DADSS program is working to invent technology that can detect when a driver is impaired by alcohol and prevent the car from moving. Once the technology has met rigorous performance standards, it will be offered voluntarily as a safety option, similar to other driver-assist systems like automatic emergency braking or lane departure warning.

DADSS technologies hold the greatest promise and are

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

427 likely the fastest pathway for reversing the drunk driving 428 trends in the United States. Two technologies are being 429 researched, a touch-based system and a breath-based system. 430 The breath-based system measures alcohol as a driver breathes normally when seated in the driver's seat. 431 The touch-based 432 system measures blood alcohol by shining an infrared light 433 through the fingertip of the driver when he or she touches a 434 vehicle control like the starter button.

A significant part of our research is focused on achieving the performance specifications for speed, accuracy, precision, and reliability of the alcohol measurement. These stringent specifications are necessary to ensure that no driver at or above .08 is allowed to drive, while also ensuring that sober drivers are not hassled by the technology.

442 We are not modified existing or off-the-shelf 443 technologies, but inventing new technology that must reliably 444 operate over the 20-year life of a vehicle in the harshest 445 environment, the interior of a car. One measure of our 446 progress is DADSS patent portfolio, which currently includes 10 patent families worldwide and covering 10 patent areas. 447 448 The number of applications exceeds 50, and nine patents have 449 issued.

450

On-road testing of the DADSS prototype sensors is **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

451 underway. This is one of those sensors. This testing 452 complements more controlled testing in the laboratory and 453 human subject testing in a hospital setting. We are pleased 454 and honored to have the Virginia Highway Safety Office and 455 James River Transportation participate in the on-road 456 evaluations.

457 Virginia is also helping in other ways to ready the 458 public for the deployment of DADSS technologies and to reduce 459 drunk driving generally that I describe in my written 460 testimony. Virginia is a model for other states to follow.

461 While the DADSS program is currently still in the 462 invention phase, we estimate that, in 2020, we will release 463 the breath-based DADSS technology for fleet vehicles and 464 accessory applications. And in 2024, we are targeting the 465 release of both the breath-based and touch-based DADSS 466 technologies for consumer vehicles, depending on resource 467 availability in 2020 and beyond. While continued research is 468 needed to achieve our 2020 and our 2024 objectives, I am more 469 optimistic than ever that we will be successful.

470 I will be happy to answer your questions. Thank you.
471 [The prepared statement of Mr. Strassburger follows:]
472

473 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 2\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(202) 234-4433

474 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

475 And now, Ms. Claybrook, you are recognized for 5

476 minutes.

477

478 STATEMENT OF JOAN CLAYBROOK

479

480 Ms. Claybrook. Thank you so much, Madam Chairman and 481 Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers. It is a pleasure to be here 482 with the members of the subcommittee.

I am Joan Claybrook, and I am representing Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety, a coalition of consumer healthsafety groups and insurers who are working together to save lives by promoting the adoption of safety laws.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify. The topic of the hearing, enhancing vehicle technology to prevent drunk driving, is an issue I feel passionately about and to which I have devoted many efforts over many, many years in the last five decades.

While we have made progress over the years, the grim reality remains that a drunk driving fatality occurs every 48 minutes on average, and alcohol-impaired crashes are the largest single contributor to traffic fatalities in the United States. It is past time to address drunk driving with bold federal action to facilitate wider use of these proved

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

498 technologies, enactment of proven state laws, and enhanced 499 law enforcement.

Advocates, as always, champion proven technology, and for good reason. It is one of the most effective strategies preventing deaths and injuries. In 2012, NHTSA estimated that, since 1960, over 600,000 lives -- and that is old data now -- have been saved by motor vehicle safety technologies, most of them in federal standards.

506One of our most recent achievements was the federal507requirement for rearview cameras as standard equipment in all508new cars as of May of this last year. This landmark law509never would have been enacted without the remarkable510leadership of Chairwoman Schakowsky and the tireless devotion511of the victim families. So, thank you so much, Madam512Chairman.

Similarly, we push forward to reduce drinking and
driving with proven technologies, including ignition
interlock devices, known as IIDs, and sensor technology.
Advocates commends Representative Debbie Dingell -- thank you
so much -- for your recent introduction of legislation to
reduce drunk driving following the horrific crash that you
mentioned in Northville, Michigan.

520 State laws requiring all convicted drunk drivers to have 521 an IID installed in their vehicle have been shown to be **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

522 incredibly effective. As such, Congress and NHTSA should 523 continue to motivate the states to enact this lifesaving law 524 and to consider the addition of sanctions for states that 525 fail to act. Federal legislation, enacted with the warning 526 of financial sanctions, encourages every state to adopt the 527 age 21 minimum drinking age, a zero tolerance BAC law for 528 under-age drinking and driving, a .08 percent BAC law.

Every one of these lifesaving federal laws resulting in every state -- every state -- taking action. And not a single state lost a dollar in federal construction money, highway construction money, as a result, although that was the penalty if they did not, because they acted.

534 Additionally, the further development of sensor 535 technology holds great promise to reduce drunk driving 536 crashes. Considerable research has gone into developing the 537 Driver Alcohol Detection System for Safety, known as DADSS. 538 After more than a decade of research and millions of dollars 539 provided by Congress, NHTSA and the industry should be doing 540 everything they can to get these technologies into the vehicles without further delay. 541

542 But, unfortunately, they aren't, and I think the 543 instrumental word that Mr. Strassburger mentioned was that 544 they didn't want to have this in vehicles so that people 545 wouldn't be hassled by the technology. Well, people aren't

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

546 hassled by this technology because it doesn't come into play 547 unless you are drinking and driving. So, that is a ridiculous statement. I call Mr. Strassburger ``the industry 548 549 excuse man". I have testified against him on many, many 550 occasions. And I hope that he will get over this one day. 551 All right. So, placing DADSS into these vehicles is 552 essentially, and there is no better way to advance a 553 potential lifesaving technology. I just talked to Mr. Kelly, 554 and it is my estimate, based on what he said -- I want to 555 emphasize that -- that if we put these in every single 556 vehicle, it would be about \$10 a vehicle. Who wouldn't pay 557 \$10 to put this system into their vehicles, so that people 558 would not drive drunk?

559 While IIDs and sensor systems prevent drunk driving, one 560 of the most important defenses, of course, for the drunk driver is a safe car as well. Current advanced driver 561 562 assistance systems such as automatic emergency braking, lane departure warning, and blindspot detection have verified 563 564 safety benefits. Yet, none of these systems -- none of them 565 -- are required to be standard equipment in all vehicles, 566 and all of them are in some vehicles. So, we know that they 567 work.

568 In fact, many of these technologies are offered only on 569 the most expensive models as a part of a costly luxury NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

package. We urge Congress to require these proven
technologies to be standard equipment in all new vehicles by
issuing new federal motor vehicle safety standards with a
deadline for implementation, just like the rear camera.

574 In addition to achieving these benefits now, these 575 advanced technologies can serve as building blocks on the 576 path to autonomous vehicles, which we have already heard Mr. 577 Latta mention today. And I appeared recently on a panel with 578 some industry individuals who said that they are a long way 579 down the road. So, they are not going to be the substitute

580 for these technology systems on alcohol, but --

581 Ms. Schakowsky. Please wrap it up. Okay?

582 Ms. Claybrook. Okay. Sorry.

583 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

584 Ms. Claybrook. And I would like to commend the law 585 enforcement officers who daily risk their lives in order to 586 prevent drunk driving. Their lives, too, would be better off 587 if we have these systems in cars.

588 So, by deploying all of these known sensible solutions, 589 we can once again make significant progress to reduce drunk 590 driving, and I hope that the committee will not fail to act

591 on this.

592 Thank you.

593

[The prepared statement of Ms. Claybrook follows:]

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

594

595 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 3\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

596 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentlewoman yields back.

597 And now, Mr. Kelly, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

598

599 STATEMENT OF DAVID KELLY

600

Mr. David Kelly. Good morning, Madam Chair, Ranking Member McMorris Rodgers, members of the subcommittee. Thank you for the invitation to appear before you today to discuss an issue that I have dedicated most of my professional career towards, reducing drunk driving.

606 My name is David Kelly. I am the executive Director of 607 the Coalition of Ignition Interlock Manufacturers.

I do want to thank Representative Dingell for the leadership that you have shown with your bill. I can tell you from firsthand experience that the family really appreciates what you have done and the support that you have

612 given them. So, I thank you for that.

The Coalition is composed of the nation's leading companies that manufacture ignition interlock devices. These devices prohibit alcohol-impaired drivers from starting their vehicle. We combine our members' expertise, innovation, and experience to speak with one voice to reduce alcohol-related vehicle fatalities.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

619 Ignition interlocks do what no other technology

(202) 234-4433

available today does. They stop drunk drivers from starting
their vehicle. An ignition interlock device is a
breathalyzer, just like this, that is installed in a drunk
driver offender's vehicle to prevent drinking and driving.

Interlocks must meet specific standards that are set by NHTSA. All of the breath test data is stored in the device and is sent to the monitoring agency that is subscribed by that state.

Interlocks are a cost-effective and innovative solution designed to keep our public roadways safe. At a cost of less than \$3 per day, paid for by the offender, interlocks provide a safety blanket for the cost of a cup of coffee, while

632 freeing up law enforcement to pursue other crimes.

633The supporters of ignition interlocks are a who's who in634traffic safety: MADD, AAA, Advocates, the Alliance for

Automobile Manufacturers, American Trauma Society, CDC, the

636 Governors Highway Safety Association, the Insurance Institute

637 for Highway Safety, the National Safety Council,

638 Responsibility.org, just to name a few. All of these

639 organizations actively support ignition interlock devices and

640 first offender laws.

Many convicted drunk drivers, however, continue to drive on a suspended license because they must in order to keep their jobs, take care of their families, or continue with **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

644 school. Interlocks provide an opportunity for offenders to 645 continue to drive legally and safely to successfully get on 646 with their lives.

The Coalition works with policymakers across the country to provide the latest, credible, factual information on ignition interlock devices. Our members are at the forefront of effective ignition interlock programs in every state to deploy this lifesaving technology. According to MADD, over the last decade, interlocks have stopped 2.7 million attempts to drive drunk -- 2.7 million over the last decade.

Our challenge is to get all 50 states to adopt first offender ignition interlock laws. Currently, 32 states have first offender laws on the books, and we are working with the remaining 18 states to pass first offender ignition interlock laws.

659 However, we also advocate for other improvements to 660 state laws. This would include an immediate reinstatement 661 measure where you can get your driving privileges the day 662 after you get arrested or compliance-based removal where you 663 do not have the device removed from your vehicle until you 664 can demonstrate a changed behavior and a 30-day -- 60-day, 665 depending on state law -- change of behavior where you have 666 an alcohol-free experience with the device.

667 Some of our cameras have cameras, have GPS. We have

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

lots of advanced technology in the devices. So, this is alot of technology in a very small handset.

670 Currently, states that have passed first offender ignition interlock laws should be awarded an incentive grant 671 672 That was authorized in the last highway bill. from NHTSA. 673 However, the NHTSA rules for awarding these grants are 674 needlessly complicated and inflexible. As a result, only 675 seven of the 32 states with first offender laws have even 676 qualified for the grant money. We are hoping to streamline 677 that process in the next authorization.

One of the other things that we need to think about in the next authorization is law enforcement, providing them more funds, as has been talked about, and also looking at how we are going to get more arrests. We know drunk driving arrests are down over the past decade. We need to reverse this trend.

684 There are other technologies being developed and 685 supported by many in the safety community. While these 686 technologies hold promise, it is important to note that the 687 only commercially-available technology that exists today to 688 prevent an impaired driver from starting their vehicle is the 689 ignition interlock. Technology will continue to evolve, 690 including in the interlock industry. As a safety community, we must be prepared to adapt to emerging technologies. 691

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 692 However, until they are ready to be deployed, we can't forget 693 what is proven and will likely be the only technology 694 available to prevent drunk driving for the foreseeable 695 future. 696 Thank you. [The prepared statement of Mr. Kelly follows:] 697 698

699 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 4\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

700 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much.

And now, we will begin 5 minutes of member questions,and I will recognize myself to begin.

I wanted to thank you, Ms. Witty, for the dedication that you have had over the years now and your compelling story about your daughter. Moms, everybody knows moms, and I'm just wondering, the No. 1 priority, obviously, is to stop drunk drivers. Is your No. 1 priority in that category that solutions like DADSS are necessary?

Ms. Witty. Yes, DADSS needs to be commercialized and deployed in a large fleet, so that more people hear about it. Safety just is not an option. We need to stop the killing. Here she is. Here is my rock star.

713 And what a beautiful way to use technology. Like
714 Representative Dingell said, why don't we use the technology?
715 And so, yes.

716 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

50, Mr. Strassburger, the technology being developed for the DADSS program shows some real potential for saving lives, but progress seems to have stalled. And I would like to hear more from you about the progress of the program.

721 Mr. Strassburger. Thank you, Madam Chair.

722 Progress is anything but stalled. We have made

significant progress with the breath-based technology, as I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

724 mentioned in my statement and in my testimony. We are 725 targeting releasing the breath-based technology in 2020 for 726 fleet applications.

I support and agree, and I think it is a constructive suggestion, that this technology be deployed in GSA vehicles, and would look forward to having a discussion about how we can make that happen, how that should be structured as quickly as possible. I agree that that would help further deployment.

Ms. Schakowsky. Can I just ask you a question?Mr. Strassburger. Yes.

735 Ms. Schakowsky. Can someone disconnect, not deploy --736 the driver I am talking about -- I mean, is this something 737 that can be overridden by the individual in the car? 738 Mr. Strassburger. The design of DADSS is intended not 739 to be overridden by the driver. And, in fact, our 740 performance specifications are such that we would make that 741 very difficult. However, we are looking at different 742 operating scenarios where, under extreme circumstances, it 743 might need to be overridden, and then, if it is, what followup action should be taken by the driver. For example, 744 745 performance of the vehicle is degraded until they see a 746 dealer to have the system restored, what have you. 747 Ms. Schakowsky. Let me talk to Ms. Claybrook, who has **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

748 certainly been the lifelong advocate on auto safety. Do you 749 have any concerns about the DADSS program or the compliance 750 of or willingness of the industry to help move that forward? 751 Ms. Claybrook. I don't know what is the matter with the 752 This issue came up when I was NHTSA industry on this issue. 753 Administrator in the 1970s. John DeLorean supported it in 754 the 1970s and '80s on his experimental car, and he was a 755 former General Motors executive. Now we are talking about 756 this has been an active partnership since 2006. 2006, that 757 is 13 years ago. Where is this system? It didn't take that 758 long to produce airbags. Airbags are a lot more complicated 759 than this, a lot more complicated, and they cost a lot more. 760 So, why isn't this system in every car? I do not understand that. 761

762 I think this committee should pass a law that requires 763 NHTSA to issue a rule within three years to have them in 764 every single car in America. What is the problem? We have 765 so many people who drive drunk, and we can't figure out who 766 they are one by one. If we wait until they kill somebody or 767 harm somebody before a judge requires them to put this system 768 in their car -- and there are 18 states that still don't do 769 that -- it is just like a morass. Why not just do the simple 770 thing?

771

And plus the fact they have to be wired into the car. **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

772 The manufacturer should put them in with their wiring as 773 standard equipment in every single car in America. If the 774 DADSS system, then, further develops and we feel we can use 775 that, then let's use that. But I don't understand this. Ιt 776 I mean, it is a killer. just is impossible. This is a 777 killer, and the auto industry is fostering the deaths of 778 these people, in my view.

Ms. Schakowsky. Let me quickly just get to Mr. Kelly.
Is this a passive system? I am not quite understanding how
this works.

Mr. David Kelly. So, it is an active system. And so, there is a wire that would come out of the bottom of the handset that would go into the ignition system. So, as you turn the car, you take a test. When you pass the test, it completes the circuit and the car then starts.

787 Ms. Schakowsky. What if you don't do the test?

788 Mr. David Kelly. The car doesn't start.

789 Ms. Schakowsky. Oh, okay. I understand. So, it is

790 really not passive. You are required to do it?

791 Mr. David Kelly. It is active.

Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. I yield back. And now, I yieldto our ranking member for 5 minutes.

794 Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you, Madam Chair.

795 Ms. Witty, I, too, want to thank you for sharing your

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

796 daughter's story. It is heartbreaking, and I appreciate your 797 being here today.

I wanted to hear more about MADD's work with selfdriving vehicle technology companies. Do you believe that self-driving vehicles could help reduce the number of alcohol-related fatalities on our roadways?

802 Ms. Witty. Absolutely, yes. We support self-driving 803 vehicles. The problem is we don't know when that is going to 804 That is in the future. be possible. The DADSS program is 805 We could do this. If DADSS was a top priority, right now. 806 it would be in cars, and we could save 7,000 lives a year. 807 Yes, absolutely. AVs, wonderful, but it may be decades away. 808 Now we have got this. Let's stop the killing and save the 809 lives we can today.

810 Mrs. Rodgers. Are there any lessons we have learned 811 from all the important work done by MADD and others to 812 address drunk driving that you think would apply to drug-

813 impaired driving?

Ms. Witty. Absolutely, yes. Yes. It is frustrating for me because my daughter was killed be a teenager who was impaired on alcohol and marijuana both. Poly-drug use is a huge issue. But, right now, we have the science to stop the drunk part, which they are still saying is the No. 1 killer. That is what worries me so. Often, you know, oh, we have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

820 stopped focusing on that. And the deaths are rising, almost 821 11,000. So, let's keep our focus there and, also, deal with 822 what is emerging with marijuana. The science is still not 823 there.

Did that answer your question?

825 Mrs. Rodgers. Yes. Thank you.

Ms. Witty. I mean, you can see it. I am like there she is. And there are so many stories that I meet; I see in the

828 eyes that the grief is there. Why can't we stop this?

829 Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you.

830 Ms. Witty. So, thank you.

831 Mrs. Rodgers. Mr. Kelly, 94 percent of traffic

accidents are due to human error, which includes making the

decision to drive while impaired, either after drinking,

taking drugs, or both. We are hearing about technologies

inside traditional cars, but how can new technologies, like

self-driving vehicles, help improve roadway safety and reduce

837 impaired driving?

838 Mr. David Kelly. Thank you for the question.

I think, as we have heard today, self-driving vehicles are going to put the traffic safety community out of business, but it is going to be out of business in 20 years, in 30 years, in 40. Who knows where that is, where that

technology is? And what we need to do, continue to work on

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

that technology, continue to develop those technologies

because they are very important.

846 A lot of the technologies that go into self-driving 847 vehicles are already being implemented on sort of a one-byone basis in vehicles today. Putting them all together to 848 849 get a vehicle that works collectively is great, but we need 850 to make sure that we are dealing with what we can deal with 851 And that is one of the reasons that we are so today. 852 passionate about ignition interlocks and getting more of them 853 installed on vehicles.

Mrs. Rodgers. Part of the reason I asked the question is because in Washington State we are seeing a dramatic increase in the number of traffic accidents, traffic fatalities that do involve marijuana and other drugs.

858 And I wanted to ask, while you were at NHTSA, was drug-859 impaired driving a focus for the agency, and are there any 860 lessons learned from drunk driving and what we have done to 861 counter drunk driving that you think that we need to apply to 862 drug-impaired driving or masking, which is mixing drugs and 863 alcohol, that we should be thinking about here in Congress? 864 Mr. David Kelly. Absolutely. Unfortunately, during my 865 time at the agency, the drug-impaired driving debate was much similar to the drug-impaired driving debate of today. 866 The 867 discussion was focused around what is a legal standard for

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

driving while impaired with marijuana, and that continues to be the big question within the drug-impaired driving community. How are we going to measure? How are we going to test? What is a legal limit? And there is still no data, no science, and it is frustrating that that discussion is the same.

874 One of the things that can be done, however, and as 875 Helen alluded to, one of the things that can be done today, and the best thing that can be done today on drug-impaired 876 877 driving is to continue in the enforcement of our current 878 laws, continue enforcement of alcohol-impaired laws, get law 879 enforcement out there. Because with the poly-use, we know 880 that if the person has been smoking marijuana or taking other 881 drugs, the odds are they have also been drinking. So, if you 882 can get the impairment, you can get to the drugs. That is 883 what can be done today.

884 Mrs. Rodgers. Thank you.

I will yield back my time. Thank you.

886 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentlewoman yields back. And now,

887 I recognize Congressman Castor for 5 minutes.

888 Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Madam Chair.

As a Floridian, this hearing is particularly timely

890 because it is spring break time back home. And

unfortunately, that also brings binge drinking and a spike in

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

892 traffic crashes. Studies have shown that death tolls were 9

893 percent higher during spring break in spring break

destinations, with more deaths among drivers under 25 and

those traveling from out of state.

In Florida, drunk driving caused more than 15 crashes per day in March of last year. So, this spike in deaths is an unfortunate and ongoing problem. And I am not sure that interlock devices after DUI convictions is getting to this problem, especially folks who are driving rental cars.

901 So, Ms. Claybrook, you made it fairly clear you think 902 that Congress should pass a law and that interlock devices 903 should be mandated in all vehicles? Is that --

Ms. Claybrook. I do believe that for several reasons. One is because they are not an irritant. I would prefer to have one that is sensitive to your touch. But, for now, if we can't get that immediately, I would say put in the

908 interlock device.

909 Ms. Castor. Okay.

910 Ms. Claybrook. What it does is it reminds everybody

911 that they are not supposed to drink and drive.

912 Ms. Castor. And how about the other witnesses? Do you 913 agree?

914 Ms. Witty. Me?

915 Ms. Castor. Yes, go ahead.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

916 Ms. Witty. I want to stop the killing. I want to do 917 what it takes. So, if that is what it is going to take, then 918 that is what I would be for. I don't want to meet another 919 heartbroken person. So, I want it stopped.

920 Ms. Castor. And I really do appreciate you being here.921 I have two daughters myself.

922 Ms. Witty. And I am a native Floridian.

923 Ms. Castor. So, you understand what happens at spring924 break then.

925 Ms. Witty. Yes, I have been working in schools for 926 eight years down in Miami. So, yes, absolutely.

927 Ms. Castor. Okay.

928 Mr. Strassburger. Yes, in my written testimony I give 929 some benefits estimates of various technology approaches, of 930 various countermeasures. One of the conclusions that I make 931 is that, if we are to make significant progress reversing the 932 tide on drunk driving, we need vehicle-integrated technology. 933 I am actually technology-agnostic. We have a number of 934 ideas here today between conventional interlocks, autonomous 935 vehicles. I personally think DADSS is the technology that will get us there the fastest, but we need vehicle-integrated 936

937 technology.

938 Ms. Castor. Thank you.

939 Mr. Kelly?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

940 Mr. David Kelly. And I think that one of the issues 941 that we grapple with in trying to get ignition interlocks 942 installed on vehicles for folks that are supposed to have 943 them, I liken the idea of mandating interlocks back to when we had an ignition interlock system for seatbelts back in the 944 I think that there needs to be a lot more work done 945 '70s. 946 sort of proactively for consumer education, for consumer 947 acceptance. That experiment was around for a year before Congress, then, reversed itself because there was such a 948 backlash. I think there needs to be more work done to sort 949 950 or prime the pump to get some better consumer acceptance

951 before we would go down that road.

952 Ms. Castor. Okay.

953 Ms. Claybrook. Could I comment on that?

954 Ms. Castor. Yes, and I want to ask you a related 955 question, too. Back home in the Tampa Bay area, we have had 956 this phenomenon that is growing where drunk drivers and 957 drivers are now going the wrong way on the interstates. Ι 958 mean, this has happened over and over again. People are 959 like, why are you driving the wrong way on a bridge? Why are 960 you going onto the interstate? And oftentimes, it is a drunk 961 driver and they have already been convicted of drunk driving. 962 So, Ms. Claybrook, I mean, it is a similar issue. How

963 do we get at that problem, too?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

964 Ms. Claybrook. Well, first of all, I hate to admit it, 965 but I was around when the interlock was proposed and put into 966 And I was working at the National Highway Traffic place. 967 Safety Administration, and then, as a consumer advocate. The real issue was that it was very difficult sometimes to fasten 968 969 the belts. It was often the passenger side belt, where you 970 had your dog or your groceries that stopped the car from 971 starting because the belt wasn't around your groceries.

972 So, there were a lot of other problems that are quite 973 dissimilar from this. And this is very simple. You blow 974 into it and you are on your way. So, it is far simpler than 975 I think it does need a consumer information the other one. 976 program to educate people, but most people are scared to death of drunk drivers. 977 They don't want to be hit by a drunk 978 driver, and they are going to support whatever it is that 979 stops people from driving drunk and hurting them or their 980 children. So, I don't see the public relations problem with 981 this at all.

982 Ms. Castor. Thank you. I yield back.

983 Ms. Schakowsky. Congressman Burgess, I recognize you984 for 5 minutes.

985 Mr. Burgess. Well, thank you.

986 And thanks to our witnesses for being here today.

987 Ms. Witty, I have a constituent who has a similar story

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

988 to yours, unfortunately, which involved both alcohol and a 989 positive qualitative test for marijuana in the driver. 990 Because the blood alcohol level was below .08, no charges 991 were filed.

992 So, here's a young man who was crossing in a crosswalk 993 and hit by a Jeep at night. And mom came in to see me, 994 distraught as you are, as you tell your story today. And her 995 further question to me is, why is it that when there are --996 she understands the .08 being the level, the legal limit. 997 But if there is a confounding circumstance like a positive 998 test for marijuana, a good defense attorney can make a case 999 for, well, that could be remote, so it might not be active. 1000 I get that. But if there are those two things coupled with a 1001 death in an accident, that ought to be an automatic referral 1002 to a grand jury, and in this case it wasn't.

So, I guess my question really is, I am appreciative of trying to bring the technology into play and have it be helpful, but are we educating our local DAs, our state folks? Because drunk driving has been around for a while, but the imposition of, as you said, polypharmacy along with the alcohol really can confound the issue.

And I might just ask our two former Administrators, or Acting Administrator, is this something on which you focused during your time at the National Highway Traffic Safety

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1012 Administration, helping the local DAs and the state

1013 officials? Most of these are state laws, the driving laws 1014 that are violated.

1015 I have just got to tell you, it is heartbreaking that a 1016 mom comes in and says, ``This is what happened and they 1017 didn't even prosecute."

1018 Ms. Claybrook. I didn't quite understand the nature of 1019 your question. Are you asking whether it should be lower 1020 than .08?

1021Mr. Burgess. Well, .08 does not conform to the legal1022definition of driving impaired, but .08 plus a positive

1023 qualitative test for a metabolite of marijuana --

1024 Ms. Claybrook. Yes.

Mr. Burgess. -- coupled with the death of someone in the accident sequence, those things to me should elevate that. The accident investigation said, well, alcohol was tested; it is below .08. No violation. Terribly sorry, sad accident. Everyone goes on about their business.

Ms. Claybrook. Well, I certainly agree with you. I think that it should elevate it. But I don't think that perfection should stop the good. And so, I would step now as fast as possible to try and have a vehicle-integrated system, as Mr. Strassburger said the industry favors, not which one, but an integrated vehicle system to start. And there does

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

need to be more development on how do you measure the drugs and, of course, also some pharmaceuticals that are a problem as well, and so, that are permissible. So, I think that that definitely needs it.

1040 I was concerned about it when I was the Administrator. 1041 There wasn't as many drugs around, I have to say, when I was 1042 NHTSA Administrator. So, it wasn't the main focus. I was 1043 focused more on trying to get .08. It was then .10.

1044 Mr. Burgess. Sure. It came up again with -- yes,

1045 ma'am, Ms. Witty?

1046 Ms. Witty. The thing is, I faced this with my daughter. 1047 The person who killed her was .09, but she was on marijuana. 1048 Well, that doesn't matter. And 20 years more, and it still 1049 is there. But what we have today is we have an empowered 1050 police force. A DRE, a drug recognition expert, can tell. Ι 1051 have worked with these officers. They can look at somebody 1052 and they can say what they are on and what it is. So, I would 1053 love to see an empowered police force that is believed in 1054 court and that could be empowered in court. Because I have ridden with them. 1055

1056 Mr. Burgess. Yes, I need to reclaim my time, not to cut 1057 you off --

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1058 Ms. Witty. Okay.

1059 Mr. Burgess. -- but my time is limited.

(202) 234-4433

1060 Ms. Witty. Okay.

1061 Mr. Burgess. And the chairwoman is very aggressive

about gaveling me.

1063 [Laughter.]

We spend a lot of time in this committee -- and I have got two former NHTSA Administrators -- we spent a lot of time in this committee, the subcommittee, on airbags and the Takata airbag crisis, as you recall. We also spent time with the Chevrolet Cobalt and the ignition switch cutting off, so the airbag was not powered.

1070 I have encountered a situation back home that I had not 1071 encountered before. And that is the placement of a fake 1072 airbag when an airbag is replaced, in this case after an 1073 accident, but I guess it could also occur if an airbag was 1074 recalled in one of these Takata sequences.

1075 But here was a young woman, and the story is she was 1076 impaired, so it fits into this discussion. But the airbag 1077 did not deploy because the airbag was just junk. It was a 1078 shop rag and some wax and some electrical tape because the 1079 airbag had deployed on the car previously. They had fixed 1080 It had cost \$1500 to put a new airbag in. the damage. Nobody wants to make that expense. So, they go to a cut-rate 1081 1082 shop that says, we will get the sensor turned off and, as a 1083 consequence, they put in something that looked like a module

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1084 but was not a module. She hit a tree at 45 miles an hour,

1085 transected her aorta, and died.

1086 So, I had not encountered that before. I don't know if 1087 the agency, if this is something that is appearing or this is 1088 just a one-off.

1089 But, Madam Chairman, I will submit that in writing to 1090 you, because I know we don't have time to answer. But I hope 1091 we would spend some time talking about the airbag situation 1092 because we did not solve it two years ago when I was 1093 chairman. Ms. Claybrook. If I could just respond, Madam 1094 Chairman, just to say that, yes, there are examples of people 1095 doing that, but it is not very frequent. And so, I wouldn't 1096 focus on that in terms of whether or not these other systems 1097 would work well.

1098 Mr. Burgess. If we don't surveil, if we don't know the 1099 number, I mean --

1100 Ms. Claybrook. Well, there needs to be surveillance.

1101 And the insurance industry is also very helpful in that

1102 regard because they pay for the new airbag to be put in after

the crash.

1104 Mr. Burgess. It didn't work out in this case.

1105 Ms. Schakowsky. So, I am going to recognize Mr. Soto,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1106 yes, for 5 minutes for questioning.

1107 Mr. Soto. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

(202) 234-4433

1108 What a fascinating topic and how technology is just 1109 evolving in so many areas of our society. You look at the 1110 original solutions to drunk driving, in addition to just not 1111 doing it. It was having designated drivers and taxis and the D.C. Metro, or SunRail back in our district. 1112 Now we have 1113 Uber, Lyft, and other ride-shares that contribute a lot to 1114 helping get those folks off the roads; driverless vehicles 1115 eventually. And now, we have the Driver Alcohol Detection 1116 System for Safety program.

1117 And I really appreciate my colleague, Representative 1118 Castor, talking about, should it be a penalty for existing 1119 drunk drivers, an optional feature in cars, or should we go 1120 right into it and make it a standard feature, particularly if 1121 it was a push button?

1122 And the idea that, could technology make drunk driving 1123 obsolete? It is just a fascinating possibility in the world 1124 we live in. And when you think about it, it would save all 1125 these lives of folks who are victims who get hit, but also 1126 save a lot of people from making a lot of bad mistakes and 1127 getting into the criminal justice system by being drunk 1128 drivers, not only from the injuries that they could sustain, 1129 but all the legal expenses and that our court systems deal 1130 with on a daily basis.

1131 So, if we were to eventually have this technology to a

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1132 level where it was standard equipment, and you simply, as an 1133 American, pushed the button, and your car doesn't start 1134 because you are over the limit, and we went nationwide with 1135 something like that, it would be great to hear from each of 1136 the panelists. What are the various concerns and issues that 1137 we should be contemplating and addressing to create a regime 1138 like that? And we will start from left to right, starting 1139 with Ms. Witty.

Ms. Witty. The DADSS is what we would prefer for everyone because ignition interlock, we would agree with you then, the ignition interlock is more punitive and it makes the person work. So, exactly, the DADSS program would be passive unless you are breaking the law, exactly. Let's add hospitals to the saving the money.

1146 Mr. Soto. Sure.

1147 Ms. Witty. We wouldn't have the injuries and all those. 1148 So, as far as MADD is concerned, we see DADSS as what we 1149 would want installed because it is not there unless you are 1150 breaking the law.

1151 Mr. Soto. Sure.

1152 Mr. Strassburger, what concerns? What do we have to 1153 address if we were to put together legislation eventually

1154 that would address that?

1155 Mr. Strassburger. So, the DADSS program has always been **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

structured from the beginning to build consumer awareness and acceptance of the technology, in sync with the technology, so that there is a consumer pull, coupled with an industry push for the technology. I actually think we can get full penetration faster that way.

There may be two things that need to be taken into consideration with respect to a mandate. One is that we should not be picking technology winners and losers. We should be technology-agnostic. And some of the technologies that we are talking about here today are good examples of why that should be.

1167 The other thing I want to address is that, while I will, 1168 no surprise, disagree with former Administrator Claybrook, 1169 not hassling drivers who are not the problem, who don't see 1170 they are the problem, is a very important consideration. And 1171 seatbelt interlocks in the 1970s are very instructive.

1172 Mr. Soto. Thank you. And we can imagine just a push 1173 button where it turns or not, and your car doesn't start, for 1174 every driver.

1175 It would be great to hear from you next, Ms. Claybrook, 1176 as well.

1177 Ms. Claybrook. Well, I think that the most important 1178 thing is for Congress to act. I think the people are just 1179 sick and tired of waiting and waiting and waiting. This has

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1180 been going on since I was Administrator 40-plus years ago,

1181 and it is still going on today. And people are dying every 1182 single year. These deaths destroy families.

1183 You know, Ms. Witty has risen to the height above it, 1184 but most families are so devastated, and particularly if it 1185 is the breadwinner. So, we should act, and if it means that 1186 we act as something less than perfect, let's do that, and 1187 then, let's make sure that we can get to DADSS. I hope that That would be fine with me, and I think the 1188 we could. 1189 government has put a huge amount of money in it. The 1190 industry has put money into it. Let's finish the job.

1191 Mr. Soto. And, Mr. Kelly?

1192 Mr. David Kelly. I think that in a perfect world what 1193 needs to be done, and one of the big landmines in sort of 1194 going with that type of program, is the public education. 1195 Look, nobody thinks they are a bad driver. Nobody thinks 1196 they are a drunk driver. It is always everybody else's 1197 fault. You know, you are the bad driver; you are the one 1198 that cut me off. It doesn't matter you were on your cell 1199 phone doing 30 miles an hour in the lefthand lane, right? 1200 But that is the mentality of the driver. And we need to do a 1201 lot more work to overcome that before we start thinking about mandating technology across every vehicle. 1202

1203 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentleman yields back. And I now **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1204 recognize Representative Bucshon for 5 minutes.

1205 Mr. Bucshon. Well, thank you very much.

1206 My wife's first cousin was killed on her first car date 1207 when she was 16, a drunk driver. So, this affects every 1208 family.

1209 I was a cardiovascular and thoracic surgeon before, and 1210 obviously, in my role as treating trauma patients, I have 1211 seen many people who have been hit or, honestly, have been 1212 driving themselves drunk and have been injured. This is a critical problem, and I would agree that it is something that 1213 1214 we probably have slowly tried to address, probably too 1215 slowly. And it appears there is technology now that there is 1216 no excuse really for not addressing this issue.

1217So, in that vein, Mr. Strassburger, when will the Driver1218Alcohol Detection Systems that you are working on be

1219 commercially available?

Mr. Strassburger. As I mentioned both in my written testimony and my opening statement, we expect that, by 2020, we will be releasing the breath-based technology for fleet

and accessory applications, and that by 2024 --

Mr. Bucshon. So, at that time, what you are saying is that you will release it, and I get that. There is a lot of technologies that are released, but, then, are not

1227 economically feasible. If you are going to add a thousand

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1228 dollars to a \$12,000 car, a lot of times for many people that 1229 is not economically feasible.

So, what you are saying is, by 2020, this should be not only available and potentially installed in vehicles, but it will be economically feasible to install in all levels of vehicles, not just high-end vehicles?

Mr. Strassburger. That is correct. The task that we took on back when the campaign to eliminate drunk driving launched was to demonstrate the commercial feasibility,

1237 viability, and assure the certainty of the technology. That1238 has been our focus from day one.

1239 Mr. Bucshon. Yes.

1240 Mr. Strassburger. So, it is our intention that, if we 1241 can demonstrate feasibility, viability, and certainty, that 1242 it will be used.

1243 Mr. Bucshon. Great. And I do agree that -- and some of 1244 you have talked about it -- that you do, unfortunately and 1245 frustratingly, have to have consumer buy-in on these types of 1246 issues, because if you don't, people will go to the extremes They will have friends touch the 1247 to try to subvert them. 1248 ignition with their finger because they think they have had 1249 too much to drink, and then, they will hop in the seat. Or they will have a kid touch it, believe it or not. So, these 1250 1251 types of things, we do have to have consumer buy-in and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1252 understanding. We also have to make ways that people can't 1253 get around these things, if we decide to do it, as we should. 1254 Mr. Kelly, what are the particular challenges drug-1255 impaired driving pose that alcohol-impaired driving does not? 1256 Mr. David Kelly. The biggest problem is setting the 1257 legal limit, to define what is impairment from a drug. And 1258 each drug is going to have a different, whether it is 1259 marijuana or whether it is prescription drugs, whatever it 1260 is, each impair differently. And setting a legal limit is probably the No. 1 challenge to try to define. Because as we 1261 1262 talk about this in this setting, when it gets down to an 1263 enforcement perspective and in a prosecution perspective, 1264 judges and juries, they like per se levels. And that is the 1265 biggest challenge of getting these types of cases prosecuted. 1266 Mr. Bucshon. And, for example, in my district in 1267 Newburgh, Indiana, there was a sledding hill all the kids 1268 used, but they probably shouldn't. But they have been doing 1269 it for decades. It crosses a road, right? And so, a young 1270 lady, 16 years old, a couple of years ago, an impaired driver 1271 was coming down the road too quickly and hit her as she 1272 sledded across the road, where she shouldn't have been. But, 1273 you know, kids do crazy things. I have got four kids; I know 1274 this.

1275

It turns out she blew zero on her breathalyzer test in **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1276 the field, did have some field sobriety tests that said she 1277 was impaired. But it actually took the prosecutors and law 1278 enforcement months and months and months to finally prove 1279 that and convict her of reckless driving, essentially, being 1280 impaired. But it was a struggle, right, because there was no 1281 definable level of impairment. So, I would agree that that 1282 is a substantial challenge.

1283 And when you see the level of use, I mean, some people 1284 have mentioned the data about how many high school kids are 1285 using this. We need to work on that.

So, are there other things, other than breathalyzers and other things, that we can do in vehicles that would help with this? I mean, it is one thing. Maybe we shouldn't be able to open the door, for example. Does anybody have an opinion

1290 on that? Even get in the car at all?

1291 Ms. Claybrook. Do what?

1292 Mr. Bucshon. You would have, on the outside of the car,

1293 you would have a detection system, and if you don't pass

1294 that, you can't even open the car door.

1295 Ms. Claybrook. Oh, I see.

1296 Mr. Bucshon. I don't know.

1297 Ms. Claybrook. I like that idea. I love technology.

1298 Mr. Bucshon. I mean, that is an extreme.

1299 But, anyway, my time has expired. I appreciate your

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1300 testimony. It is powerful. And, Ms. Witty, obviously, yours

1301 is very powerful testimony, and we need to take all these

1302 things into consideration and improve our systems.

1303 Thank you.

Ms. Schakowsky. The gentleman yields back. And now, I
will recognize Congressman McNerney for 5 minutes.

1306 Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the chair and the ranking 1307 member.

1308 I thank the witnesses for really good, excellent 1309 testimony this morning.

1310 Mr. Strassburger, could you give us an update on the 1311 test program, and specifically, how many cars are being 1312 tested?

Mr. Strassburger. Yes. So, with the wonderful cooperation of the State of Virginia and James River Transportation, we are operating up to four vehicles out of two sites, the Norfolk Airport and the Richmond Airport. There is consideration being given to expanding the number of

1318 vehicles tested in what we call a naturalistic evaluation.

We will also, once we have OMB approval, be testing anywhere between 20 and 40 vehicles in different locations around the country that are representative of the extreme environmental and other environmental conditions that you would normally experience in a car. So, we are working on,

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

1324 we are actually doing shakedown testing of those vehicles

1325 right now in anticipation of OMB clearance, but we don't have

1326 that clearance yet.

1327 Mr. McNerney. So, about how many cars does it usually1328 take for an automaker to adopt a new technology?

1329 Mr. Strassburger. Well, it depends on the technology 1330 and the test matrix, but, normally, a lot more than what we 1331 are testing right now. We are working on securing other 1332 evaluations, as I have mentioned.

1333 Mr. McNerney. Are you looking to partner with other 1334 states? Is California one of the states you are --

1335 Mr. Strassburger. California is not. They have not 1336 expressed an interest, but we would love to have them.

1337 Mr. McNerney. So, what are the obstacles that are 1338 keeping from expanding to those states?

Mr. Strassburger. We will talk to any state that wants to talk to us about deploying vehicles. NHTSA has issued guidance to every state -- that was, I think, back in 2016 -that allows them to use their federal grant funding for DADSS programs. So, I am open to talk to anyone, states or otherwise.

1345Mr. McNerney. So, it sounded like your testimony showed1346you are expecting another four-year extension of the DADSS

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

testing, is that right?

1347

Mr. Strassburger. Not testing. There is, we estimate, another four years of research that we need to conduct to be able to release the DADSS derivative for privately-owned vehicles, that is, the version that would go on every car and truck that a consumer would buy.

1353 Mr. McNerney. Ms. Claybrook, what do you think about 1354 that timeframe?

1355 Ms. Claybrook. Well, what amazes me is, when the auto 1356 industry wants to introduce a new technology, it is zip, it On the autonomous cars, which are so much more 1357 is done. 1358 complicated than what we are talking about today, they are 1359 They tried to push pushing hard to get autonomous. 1360 legislation through last year to get autonomous cars to be 1361 tested on the highway and to be sold very shortly thereafter. 1362 So, I think that it is like a bureaucratic nightmare 1363 that we are experiencing here, and I see no reason why it is 1364 going to take this long to test such a simple system as this. 1365 And it is discouraging. It is discouraging to all the 1366 organizations that have been working on this for so many years, but it is like a slow walk. You know what I mean by 1367 1368 that?

1369 Mr. McNerney. Right, right.

1370 Ms. Claybrook. So, I think it is time to get past the 1371 slow walk. And there is one body in this United States NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 1372 Government that can do, and that is the United States
- 1373 Congress.
- 1374 Mr. McNerney. Thank you for that.

1375 I want to follow up a little bit on what Mr. Bucshon was 1376 talking about, universal impaired driving. There is no

1377 mechanical -- I mean, obviously, the blood levels are all

1378 over the place for different substances. There is no

1379 mechanical test. Is it feasible to have a mechanical test

1380 that a driver would have to take before turning on the key,

1381 before the key would turn on the ignition?

1382 Ms. Claybrook. You mean for drugs?

1383 Mr. McNerney. For impairment.

1384 Ms. Claybrook. For impairment. I see.

1385 Mr. Strassburger. That actually has been looked at in

1386 the 1970s, for example, to measure your cognitive ability.

1387 That is something that we looked at. You would be given a

1388 string of numbers, for example.

Mr. McNerney. Well, I think it is more important to have a reflex than a cognitive --

Mr. Strassburger. But I think the approach was to look at your ability to drive a vehicle safely. And so, that one was looking at entering a string of numbers that were

1394 displayed on the screen. The problem with that is that most

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1395 people couldn't, even if they were not impaired, complete

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

- 1396 that task.
- 1397 [Laughter.]
- 1398 Mr. McNerney. Okay.

Mr. David Kelly. And I think that there have been some discussions around that as well. You know, impairment is impairment; let's define the impairment and let's try to test to the impairment. And that is one way to get around the legal limit levels. I know a lot of groups are talking about

1404 that, but action items there are very difficult to come by.

1405 Mr. McNerney. I yield back.

1406 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentleman yields back. And now, I 1407 recognize Congressman Carter for 5 minutes.

1408 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Madam Chair.

1409 And thank all of you for being here. What an important 1410 subject.

1411 And, Ms. Witty, God bless you. Thank you so much for1412 your testimony. We appreciate it.

Mr. Kelly, I am going to start with you. And you make a good point; we do need to do something, and obviously, as much as we can do about drunken driving. But your devices, you are not up to the point where you can use it for drug driving. Because what I want to discuss in my little bit of time here is the drug driving. So, you are not at that point

1419 yet? Yours is just with alcohol?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Mr. David Kelly. The ignition interlock, yes, right not it is specific to alcohol. There are some testing devices that are out there that can detect marijuana, but it is the presence of marijuana, and that is when you link it back to the impairment level.

1425 Mr. Carter. So, there are devices out there that can 1426 actually monitor or measure for marijuana?

1427 Mr. David Kelly. It detects marijuana.

1428 Mr. Carter. Detects marijuana?

1429Mr. David Kelly. Detects it. And there are some other1430companies that are looking at some research and some devices

1431 that would be able to detect other types of drugs as well,

1432 but it is just a strict pointer system, as opposed to saying,

1433 okay, well, now you are impaired. Especially with marijuana,

1434 marijuana, first of all, whether you smoke it, you vape it,

1435 it is an oil, it is an edible --

1436 Mr. Carter. Right, right.

1437 Mr. David Kelly. -- it all is different.

1438 Mr. Carter. Right.

1439 Mr. David Kelly. And so, even just pointing to it, it

1440 was marijuana, it is difficult to say, okay, well, you know,

1441 was it a week ago --

1442 Mr. Carter. Okay.

1443 Mr. David Kelly. -- or an hour ago?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1444 Mr. Carter. Yes, that is exactly right.

1445 Ms. Witty, let me ask you. I understand that in your 1446 testimony, when I was reading it, it said that you support

- 1447 MADD --
- 1448 Ms. Witty. Yes.

1449 Mr. Carter. -- and the work that they are doing with 1450 the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to address 1451 drug driving. What do you want to see come out of that

1452 effort? I know you are not here representing MADD, but what

1453 are they looking for?

1454 Ms. Witty. Well, what is MADD looking for?

1455 Mr. Carter. In the way of monitoring for drug driving?

1456 Ms. Witty. For drug driving?

1457 Mr. Carter. Right.

1458 Ms. Witty. I am here to support MADD.

1459 Mr. Carter. Okay.

1460 Ms. Witty. And we are working hard. We are working in

1461 tandem. The thing is, there are so many drugs. And what I

1462 hear from police officers is, we can determine impairment,

1463 but for a certain device to determine impairment is the

1464 question.

1465 Mr. Carter. Right.

1466 Ms. Witty. So, what happens is we know what determines 1467 impairment through the BAC of alcohol. So, that is something **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1468 we know. The drugs, with the number of them and how they

1469 change so quickly, that is an emerging issue. So, yes, but

1470 we are right on it. We are drunk and drugged driving.

1471 Mr. Carter. Okay. Okay.

1472 Ms. Witty. So, absolutely.

1473 Mr. Carter. Absolutely. Okay. Well, here is my point: 1474 I agree with Dr. Bucshon. I agree with Representative 1475 McNerney that we need some kind of universal device that 1476 would help us or universal solution.

1477 Here is the point I want to make in full disclosure: Ι 1478 am absolutely adamantly opposed to the recreational use of 1479 Currently, I am the only pharmacist serving in marijuana. 1480 Congress. And I will tell you, I consider it to be nothing 1481 more than a gateway drug. I am sorry, that is just my 1482 feeling. If that offends you, then that is something you 1483 will just have to deal with.

But let me tell you, right now, marijuana is a Schedule I drug. That means that it is for investigational use only. It cannot have research done on it. The DEA is failing the American public here by not letting research take place on marijuana. I would hope that MADD will address that.

1489 We had an Attorney General who was going to address 1490 this, who was going to say that we have a federal law that 1491 prohibits marijuana use. Yet, we have states going out

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1492 legalizing the recreational use of marijuana. But that 1493 Attorney General is gone now. So, it is not being addressed. 1494 This is something that needs to be addressed by the DEA. 1495 Currently, I am writing an op-ed right now, in conjunction 1496 with one of my Democratic colleagues, Representative Earl 1497 Blumenauer, about the need for research in the medical 1498 marijuana. Now that is a whole different subject than the 1499 recreational marijuana. But we need to have the ability to 1500 do research. If we could do research on marijuana, we would have the ability to detect and maybe provide some of these 1501 1502 and create some of these devices that would check this. 1503 So, I hope that that would be something that MADD would

1504 address and something that MADD will work on and help us 1505 with.

1506 Ms. Witty. I would hope so. Here is the issue: MADD 1507 has got to focus on driving, drunk and drugged driving. And 1508 so, exactly what you are saying. We can't get to the driving 1509 until we have that research done.

1510 Mr. Carter. Absolutely.

1511 Ms. Witty. So, we have to be careful that we remain 1512 focused. Just like we are not against alcohol; we are 1513 against drunk driving. We have to take the position we are 1514 not against marijuana; we are against marijuana and driving. 1515 Now don't ask to say personally. Okay? I mean, I get NEAL R. GROSS

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1516 it.

And that is where our power is. We remain focused. But what my worry is, this is not a priority. We need to keep this, the drunk and drugged driving, a priority, so that we can stop the killing that is happening.

Mr. Carter. Absolutely. A valid point. Thank you, Ms.Witty, again, and God bless you.

1523 Ms. Witty. Thank you. Thank you.

1524 Mr. Carter. And I yield back.

1525 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.

1526 And I now recognize for 5 minutes the honorable Debbie

1527 Dingell.

1528 Mrs. Dingell. I want to thank you, Madam Chairwoman. 1529 And before my colleague leaves, I want to say to you 1530 that I am a pragmatic person. Having had a sister that died 1531 of a drug overdose and a father that was a drug addict, I 1532 have a very natural reaction. But I also know it is a 1533 reality. My State just legalized it, and it is being 1534 legalized in state after state in this country, and we need to deal with it. 1535

Part of the problem is -- Madam Chairwoman, this is totally off -- but I would encourage us to get the Acting Administrator of NHTSA in, who has this as a priority. Her problem has been that they have not been able to test it.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1540 You are starting to see more. But it needs to be an absolute

1541 priority. I think everyone in this room is worried about 1542 impaired driving, period. It is killing people.

So, pragmatically, you are absolutely right. I am having to deal with it is legalized in Michigan now, and I want to make sure we keep people safe in the process. So, I want to say that.

1547 Now I want to go to drunk driving, which we do know, we do have the technology, and it is killing people. 1548 So, while 1549 we have got to get there -- and I want to thank my colleagues 1550 on the Republican side, for I think today has truly been a very bipartisan hearing -- we have got a problem. 1551 And that 1552 is why we are here. And we have had a problem since the '70s 1553 or the '60s and the '50s. But, as Joan Claybrook says, we 1554 have been talking about it since then.

1555 I would also agree with Mr. Kelly that we do need a 1556 public relations campaign because I don't remember this --1557 some people think I am old; I am seasoned, but not old; you 1558 are seasoned and not old, either, Ms. Claybrook -- but we 1559 still to this day hear about that campaign to require 1560 seatbelts being buckled. And it is used as an excuse for 1561 everything. And we have got to stop using it. It is now 2019; it is not the 1970s, and people are dying and the 1562 1563 technology exists. So, I am looking at that little girl who

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1564 said to me, ``It exists. Why aren't we using it?"

1565 I thank all of you for being here.

Mr. Strassburger, you answered some questions about how many are being currently tested. But what I want to figure out is how we are going to get this from limited field testing to something that is placed in the vehicles. I totally agree the four years is just way too long. We keep having that excuse.

1572 And you said California -- you got asked a question 1573 about whether California was one of those states. Have you 1574 gone to California? What are you doing to actively go out 1575 and market it and to accelerate it?

Mr. Strassburger. So, what we are doing, No. 1 is just next week I am meeting with all of the OEMs to update them on this technology and tell them that, now that we are moving to fleet or see the time for fleet deployments, that they should begin to consider including this in their own program plans and package-protect for the technology.

1582 Next month, we are at the National Fleet Administrators 1583 Conference in Kentucky, meeting with them and trying to get 1584 this deployed through fleets, et cetera.

1585 Mrs. Dingell. I don't want to interrupt, but I am going 1586 to have you give us more answers because I have only got two 1587 minutes left.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1588 But how many more vehicles do you need to get this solid 1589 testing data?

1590 Mr. Strassburger. I think that the suggestion that Ms. 1591 Witty made about the GSA fleet is an excellent one.

1592 Mrs. Dingell. Okay. So, I am going to ask all of you, 1593 what should Congress be doing to accelerate the pace of 1594 deployment for DADSS?

1595 Mr. Strassburger. Well, in our case, it would be to 1596 continue to fund this research, and if we have additional 1597 funding --

1598 Mrs. Dingell. Okay. We keep funding it, but it is not 1599 getting there.

1600 Ms. Claybrook or Mr. Kelly, would you care to comment? 1601 Ms. Claybrook. What I would say is that the Congress 1602 should mandate it with a date certain and pick a date when 1603 these systems have to be in cars. Ask NHTSA to do the 1604 rulemaking. Take all the research that has been done and 1605 evaluate it, and show that these systems work, can be used. 1606 They are being used now on the highway. If they are used now 1607 on the highway, why can't they be in every car? I don't get 1608 And so, that is for the interlock. it.

1609 The DADSS should certainly be pushed, and NHTSA should 1610 be given an instruction to do that and a date for getting 1611 them into cars.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1612 Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Kelly?

1613 Mr. David Kelly. I think the public education campaign 1614 needs to be ramped-up and some oversight on that and what is 1615 happening there, especially working with NHTSA. What they 1616 are doing from their involvement is helpful, too.

1617 Mrs. Dingell. Okay. I am going to move quickly.

1618 Ms. Witty, I am going to switch subjects because I am 1619 down to 20-some seconds.

1620 In states that have mandatory first offender interlock 1621 laws, have you seen a reduced number of alcohol-related 1622 fatalities?

1623 Ms. Witty. Yes. Yes, by about 16 percent. Yes. 1624 Mrs. Dingell. Ms. Claybrook, what do you think is 1625 needed to have the other 18 states adopt mandatory first 1626 offender interlock laws?

Ms. Claybrook. Well, this Congress could require that the states do that. Just like they did on age 21 and .08, have a penalty, maybe a two- or three-year phase-in, and then, a penalty apply. And as I said, the penalty is never going to apply because all the states have always done it. Mrs. Dingell. Ms. Witty, what is the cause of

1633 resistance from states that haven't implemented the mandatory

1634 first offender laws?

1635

Ms. Witty. That is a good question.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

- 1636 Mrs. Dingell. So, we will get you to answer that for
- 1637 the record because I am now over.
- 1638 Ms. Witty. I don't understand that.
- 1639 Mrs. Dingell. Can I submit questions for the record,
- 1640 Madam Chair?
- 1641 Ms. Schakowsky. Without objection, so ordered.
- 1642 Mrs. Dingell. Thank you.
- 1643 And I also request unanimous consent from the committee
- 1644 to submit the full text of a report from the National Academy
- 1645 of Sciences, which has items we should all be considering as
- 1646 we are working this issue.
- 1647 Ms. Schakowsky. Without objection, so ordered.
- 1648 Mrs. Dingell. Thank you.
- 1649 [The information follows:]
- 1650
- 1651 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT 5\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

1652 Mrs. Dingell. Sorry I had to be so fast.

1653 Ms. Schakowsky. The gentlewoman yields back.

1654 There are other things for the record. A letter from 1655 the Coalition for Future Mobility. I am asking unanimous 1656 consent to enter all of these into the record.

A letter from the Consumer Technology Association, a letter from Securing America's Future Energy, and a letter from the American Beverage Licensees on drunk driving and

1660 technology.

1661 Without objection, so ordered.

1662 [The information follows:]

1663

1664 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT 6\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

1665 Ms. Schakowsky. Let me just say that this hearing has 1666 clearly raised a number of questions about whether NHTSA 1667 should prioritize or is prioritizing the DADSS program. Ι 1668 think it should be, but we want to hear. And we will have the NHTSA Administrator before the subcommittee for an 1669 1670 oversight hearing. But, in the meantime, I plan to send a 1671 letter to NHTSA requesting information about its current 1672 commitment to, and future plans for, the DADSS program. 1673 So, at this point, I want to thank all of our witnesses. 1674 I want to thank all the members that did come today. Ι 1675 want to thank the ranking member. And I want to thank our 1676 staff on both sides of the aisle for the good work that they 1677 did.

I remind members that, pursuant to committee rules, that each member has 10 business days to submit additional questions for the record to be answered by the witnesses who have appeared. I ask each witness to respond promptly to any such requests for information that you may receive. And at this time, the subcommittee is adjourned.

1684 [Whereupon, at 11:54 a.m., the subcommittee was 1685 adjourned.]