# This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MILLER
3	HIF199170
4	
5	
6	OVERSIGHT OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
7	WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2018
8	House of Representatives
9	Subcommittee on Digital Commerce and Consumer
10	Protection
11	Committee on Energy and Commerce
12	Washington, D.C.
13	
L 4	
15	
16	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 9:15 a.m., in
17	Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Robert Latta
18	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
19	Members present: Representatives Latta, Kinzinger, Burgess,
20	Lance, Guthrie, McKinley, Bilirakis, Bucshon, Walters, Costello,
21	Walden (ex officio), Schakowsky, Lujan, Clarke, Dingell, Matsui,
22	Welch, Kennedy, Green, and Pallone (ex officio).

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Staff present: Melissa Froelich, Chief Counsel, Digital
Commerce and Consumer Protection; Adam Fromm, Director of
Outreach and Coalitions; Ali Fulling, Legislative Clerk,
Oversight & Investigations, Digital Commerce and Consumer
Protection; Elena Hernandez, Press Secretary; Paul Jackson,
Professional Staff, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;
Bijan Koohmaraie, Counsel, Digital Commerce and Consumer
Protection; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Madeline Vey,
Policy Coordinator, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;
Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Greg Zerzan,
Counsel, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection; Michelle Ash,
Minority Chief Counsel, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;
Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Lisa Goldman, Minority
Counsel; Carolyn Hann, Minority FTC Detailee; Caroline
Paris-Behr, Minority Policy Analyst; Tim Robinson, Minority Chief
Counsel; Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of Communications,
Outreach and Member Services; and C.J. Young, Minority Press
Secretary.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Latta. Well, good morning. I'd like to call the Subcommittee on Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection to order.

And before we begin our opening statements, I'd like to say how pleased I am to have all of our FTC commissioners before us today.

And what I'd like to do before I begin my opening remarks is introduce our FTC commissioners.

Today, we have Chairman Joe Simons, who was sworn into office on May 1st. Before joining the commission, the chairman was a partner at Paul, Weiss and co-chair of the firm's anti-trust group.

He previously served at the commission in various positions between 1987 and 1989 as well as 2001 to 2003.

Next, we have Commissioner Maureen Ohlhausen, who was sworn into office on April the 4th, 2012, and served as acting FTC chairman between January 2017 and May 1st, 2018.

Prior to joining the FTC she was a partner at Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP. She previously served at the commission for 11 years in various capacities and leadership roles.

We thank the commissioner for her leadership for the past 15 months when she was acting chair and for her work at the agency.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Commissioner Noah Phillips was sworn into office on May 2nd, 2018. Before joining the commission he served as chief counsel to Senator John Cornyn of Texas.

Commission Rohit Chopra was also sworn in on May 2nd, 2018.

He previously served as a senior fellow at the Consumer

Federation of America.

And finally, we have Commissioner Rebecca Slaughter, sworn in on May 2nd, 2018, and prior to joining the commission,

Commissioner Slaughter served as chief counsel to Senator Chuck Schumer of New York.

Our witnesses have a strong fidelity to public service and they are once again being asked to serve the American people to maintain competitive markets and to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive acts and practices, and again, we thank you very much for being with us today.

And at this time now, I'll recognize myself for a five-minute opening statement.

Our hearing today will focus on oversight of the Federal Trade Commission. The FTC functions as the top cop on the beat and keeps consumers safe and to promote a vibrant free market in the United States.

We look forward to working with the FTC on specific issues

6.3

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

relating to this subcommittee's jurisdiction, including self-driving cars, data security, the Internet of Things, blockchain technologies, privacy issues, deceptive advertising, robocalls, and more.

Emerging consumer protection issues are at the forefront of this committee. Recently, I joined Chairman Walden, Chairman Blackburn, and Chairman Harper, sending letters to Apple and Google, asking them to explain how smartphone users' data is protected, and when audio recording data and location information is compiled and shared.

This morning, we will be sending letters to location data aggregator LocationSmart, Securus, and 3CInteractive Corporation.

We continue to remain concerned about cybercrime, estimated to cost millions to the global economy each year, and how businesses prioritize protecting the most sensitive data they hold about individuals.

As we all know, there is no such thing as 100 percent perfect security. We will continue to work with regulators to understand what transpired in the recent high-profile breaches and what we should learn from these actions for the future.

The Economist proclaims, "The world's most valuable resource

8.5

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

is no longer oil, but data." I look forward to a thoughtful discussion on the appropriate steps the FTC is considering, including the chairman's recently announced hearings on 21st century challenges.

In my remaining time, I would like to hear how Chairman Simons will work to utilize the FTC's annual funding to its greatest need and impact, judiciously using the taxpayers' dollars, including on the FTC's current priorities, authorities, and performance, including its human resources efforts at securing and retaining the best experts in the fields of antitrust and consumer protection.

While we are in a challenging fiscal environment, the House Appropriations Committee approved two million more dollars to the FTC than the agency requested for fiscal year 2019.

I am also encouraged by the large refunds the FTC has been able to return directly to consumers, most recently to Uber drivers and customers who bought deceptively marketed bed bug products, consumers in both cases receiving average checks over \$200.

This is a unique tool in the FTC toolbox. The FTC has returned over \$543 million to consumers and deposited \$94 million in the U.S. Treasury. FTC orders in the Volkswagen, Amazon, and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Net Spend matters required defendants to self-administer consumer refund programs worth more than \$11.5 billion.

The FTC enforcement authorities are broad and far reaching.

The unique position of the FTC as the civil law enforcement agency

for the majority of the U.S. economy cannot be taken lightly.

Calls for expanded rulemaking authority, shifting the agency from its expertise in enforcement to regulatory and rulemaking, raises serious questions for me because Congress has explicitly granted the agency rulemaking that has not been utilized in years.

Some may argue that the FTC is not equipped to handle the challenges of the day, but I believe their actions speak louder than words. The FTC has vigorously defended its jurisdiction and consumers and we have no reason to believe that will stop any time soon.

Finally, the FTC plays an important enforcement role in the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield framework, particularly relating to compliance and enforcement of U.S. businesses.

With the second annual review of the Privacy Shield by the European Commission coming this fall, we want to hear about FTC's and the commissioners' roles, and what can this committee do to help make sure that 3,100 businesses, including small businesses, continue to have access to the Privacy Shield.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Again, at this time I want to thank our witnesses for being here today, and this time I am going to yield to the gentlelady from Illinois, the ranking member of the subcommittee, for five minutes for an opening statement.

Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, for holding this hearing on the FTC and I want to welcome Chairman Simons. I know you're new to the job. I look forward to meeting with you.

Today's hearing really comes down to two questions: is the Federal Trade Commission equipped to fulfill its mission of protecting consumers and what can Congress do to make it more effective -- a more effective consumer advocate.

The FTC is -- to echo the chairman, is the top cop on the beat, protecting both the public and businesses against unfair, deceptive, fraudulent, or anti-competitive practices through the consumer protection and anti-trust authorities.

As the economy continues to change and expand, the FTC has had to adapt to this new economy and as our social networks, shopping, banking, and other forms of communication and businesses move to the internet, the FTC has changed, bringing in more technology experts.

At the same time, many suggest that the commission needs

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

more technology experts, even though its resources are as tight as ever.

I am concerned that we are asking one of our country's most important consumer agencies to choose which protections it will be able to enforce. I hope we will work together to ensure that the FTC has all the resources that it needs to maintain consumer protection and a fair marketplace.

From a regulatory standpoint, it's time to look at ways to reduce barriers to FTC consumer protection rulemaking. The FTC's ability to move forward with important rulemaking is much more limited than those at other agencies.

In the rapidly changing climate of commerce today, rulemaking must be efficient and timely to keep pace.

Specifically, I would like to discuss how well the FTC is protecting consumers' privacy and what they are doing to promote data security.

It's my belief that on data security this committee and Congress should be giving the FTC the tools it needs to do more.

Ranking Member Pallone and I have introduced H.R. 3895, the Secure and Protect America's Data Act, which gives the FTC rulemaking authority and civil penalty authority for data

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

195 security breach notification.

These issues are becoming more important for Americans, not less. In our hearing last October with former Equifax CEO Richard Smith, I asked him if, as a consumer, can I opt out of Equifax -- after all, I never opted in.

Equifax collects my data whether I want them to do it or not and now my data is at risk of being shared because Equifax failed to adequately protect it, and the answer was, sadly, yes, I don't have an opportunity to opt out.

It would be one thing if that breach were an isolated incident, and it wasn't. We saw this with Facebook and we saw this under Uber -- saw this with Uber.

In the case of Uber, it actually paid the hackers \$100,000 before reporting the incident to the FTC.

This can't be standard industry practice. We need to change that power balance by strengthening consumer protections. With the FTC as our partner, we, as Congress, must work to strengthen the agency to face the 21st century challenges.

Many of these new marketplaces, which are often highly concentrated, are failing American consumers. Part of the FTC's mission is the issue of anti-trust and, as we saw this morning with the EU leveling \$5.1 billion fine on Google, strong consumer

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

protection and robust competition go hand in hand, and that's why Congress and consumer watchdogs must step in.

I welcome the new commissioners, Chairman Simon and Commissioners Phillips, Chopra, and Slaughter. Did I miss somebody?

Commissioner Simons, Phillips, Chopra, and Slaughter, and I want to thank Commissioner -- I am going to say it wrong -- Ohlhausen, for her work on the commission and her stewardship during the transition.

I look forward to hearing your perspective, all of you, on these issues, and I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back.

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Oregon, the chairman of the full committee, for five minutes for an opening statement.

The Chairman. Well, good morning, Mr. Chairman, and to the members of the FTC, good morning. Welcome before the Energy and Commerce Committee.

We are delighted you're here. We want to welcome our five distinguished public servants and welcome to the committee. We have a lot of work to do. So do you, and so we appreciate your counsel.

While our economy is the driver of so much growth and opportunity for Americans, there are still, unfortunately, some bad actors and the Federal Trade Commission is one of the top cops on the beat.

It is charged with the dual mission of competition and consumer protection across large segments of the United States economy and this committee's jurisdiction.

So we need an FTC to follow its statutory authority to protect consumers from unfair, deceptive, and anti-competitive practices, both online and off.

Recent data security incidents involving Facebook, Equifax, Uber, and other companies continue to raise concerns about the various aspects of protecting consumers in a data-driven economy.

I understand the commission does not, for good reason, comment on open investigations -- we won't ask you to do that -- but I would emphasize that data security incidents involving sensitive, personal, and financial information are a significant threat to United States consumers and businesses and we are laser focused on these issues here at the Energy and Commerce Committee.

The revelations surrounding Facebook and Cambridge

Analytica have brought the issue of privacy of consumers' data

and information in the age of pervasive social media to the

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

2.47

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

261 | forefront.

2.67

In fact, Mark Zuckerberg sat in that chair in the middle for five hours not long ago. Particularly with Facebook being under a consent order with the FTC, we are closely evaluating the tools used by the Federal Trade Commission in cases as that matter moves forward.

Two weeks ago, we asked Apple CEO Tim Cook and Alphabet CEO Larry Page to explain how their companies use audio recording data as well as locational information collected on iPhone and Android smartphones. Consumers want to know who's tracking them and how.

And following reports that location data aggregators obtained locational data from U.S. wireless carriers, in turn selling it to other firms, this morning we will be sending letters to LocationSmart, Securus, and 3CInteractive Corporation to probe their data handling and use practices.

We have pursued, and will continue to pursue, important oversight work on these issues and we will explore the question of whether there are improvements in the current privacy regulations that would increase consumer understanding of how data flows support the global economy.

We do not want to unduly saddle companies with unnecessary

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

regulations or impose compliance burdens that will not result in any meaningful impact for consumers. But we will ensure companies are being responsible and that they do not misuse consumer data, period.

This is the over reaching reason I support the Federal Communications Commission's Restoring Internet Freedom Order, which reaffirms the FTC's authority over both ISPs -- internet service providers -- and tech companies alike.

This authority is critical for enforcing data privacy practices, promoting a free and open internet, and protecting consumers from anti-competitive behaviors across the digital ecosystem.

So as we consider these issues, I reiterate my invitation to the technology company CEOs to come to Congress, come to Washington, D.C., engage with us and talk directly to the committee and the public about your practices.

Our goal is to work with all stakeholders on how best to incentivize data security and help protect personal and financial data.

So I am encouraged to have all of you here today because I know you each understand the importance of these issues and the complexity of these issues, and the role that the Federal

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Trade Commission has in protecting consumers.

So we look forward to your testimony. Again, thanks for being here before the committee and with that, unless any other members on our side want the remainder of my time, I would be happy to yield back.

Mr. Latta. Seeing none, the gentleman yields back the balance of his time.

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey, the ranking member of the full committee, five minutes for an opening statement.

Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Today's hearing focuses on the important work of the Federal Trade Commission.

I want to congratulate and welcome the new commissioners:
Chairman Simons, Commissioners Phillips, Chopra, and Slaughter,
and welcome back Commissioner Ohlhausen -- I guess I am
pronouncing it right.

The FTC plays a critical role in protecting consumers nationwide. It has the dual mission to prevent anti-competitive business practices and protect consumers from unfair or deceptive actions.

It's an enormous endeavor covering many industries and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

issues. It works to stop anti-competitive business practices that are likely to leave the higher prices and lower quality of goods and services and at the same time it works to protect consumers from false advertising, annoying telemarketing, data throttling, and other forms of fraud.

While the FTC has had successes such as its case against Volkswagen, in which it obtained \$11 billion in compensation for consumers who purchased clean diesel cars that turned out not to be clean, the commission should be doing more, in my opinion.

But in order to do more in support of consumers, the FTC needs the support and legislative authorizations from Congress. Unfortunately, instead of working with the FTC, this committee, just two years ago, sought to further reduce the already limited authorities of the FTC and I am hopeful we will not see that effort again.

The FTC is a relatively small agency, especially given the breadth of its mission. In the area of data privacy and security -- one of the more critical consumer protection issues today -- FTC's entire division is only 45 full time employees and only 35 of those are attorneys who are able to bring enforcement actions.

These actions are important since the commission's

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

rulemaking authorities are hindered by overly burdensome requirements that effectively nullify its ability to establish regulations for consumer privacy and data security. And even its enforcement authorities are limited.

Most often the FTC can only get an injunction stopping the unfair or deceptive acts for a first time violation. The FTC cannot hit the offender where it hurts, with a monetary penalty.

A slap on the wrist with a promise not to do the bad act again often fails to be a sufficient deterrent to further bad action. Only if the company commits the same unscrupulous act again after promising in a consent that it would stop such conduct can the FTC seek fines, and that limitation on fining authority has allowed some companies to repeatedly take advantage of consumers without real consequences.

Just a few months ago, we were here listening to Mark

Zuckerberg apologize yet again for Facebook's failure to properly

inform users of how their data would be shared.

If the FTC was able to fine Facebook in 2011 the first time it found that Facebook failed to properly notify users, we may not have seen the Cambridge Analytica scandal.

And to make matters worse, FTC has only 40 employees

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

reviewing the hundreds of consent decrees that are in effect.

Those employees cannot possibly know whether any one company is keeping the commitments it made in the consent decree.

After all, Facebook was under a consent decree and we saw what that wrist slapping did -- nothing. And yes, Equifax was under a consent decree as well.

So today's hearing is not on the breaches at Facebook or Equifax but those breaches are good examples for exploring how the FTC could better fulfill its mission and I look forward to hearing from the commissioners about their ideas for the future of the FTC and hope we can discuss ways to support the FTC's dual mission and give it the tools that it needs.

And I'd like to yield the time I have left to Ms. Matsui.

Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Ranking Member Pallone.

I've discussed the potential of blockchain applications in this subcommittee before. These include as possibility to facilitate spectrum sharing as next-generation broadband networks are deployed, maintain patient health records and secure business transactions and communications between the Internet of Things networks.

In its basic and essential element and function, blockchain is a decentralized ledger technology. But as the hype

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

surrounding blockchain and its applications grow, how exactly blockchain is defined has become less clear.

More fundamentally, there is no agreed upon definition of blockchain. So I am working on legislation that would direct the Department of Commerce to convene a working group or federal and industry stakeholders to develop a consensus-based agreed upon definition of blockchain.

I believe a common definition of blockchain could greatly assist in its development and deployment. I invite all of you here on the panel to work with me on this as well as my colleagues here and I hope that we can do this as quickly as possible.

Thank you, and I yield back.

Mr. Pallone. And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields back the balance of his time and that will conclude with member opening statements.

The chair would like to remind members that pursuant to committee rules all members' opening statements will be made part of the record.

And, again, I want to thank all of our witnesses for appearing before us today to take time to testify before the subcommittee.

Today's witnesses will have the opportunity to give a five-minute

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	•
	20
opening statement followed by a round of questions from the	
members of the subcommittee.	
Chairman Simons, you are recognized for five minutes.	Ιf
you'd just pull the mic up close and turn the button on, we	<b>'</b> 11
glad to have you here today.	

Thank you.

415

416

417

418

419

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENTS OF THE HONORABLE JOSEPH SIMONS, CHAIRMAN, FEDERAL
TRADE COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE MAUREEN OHLHAUSEN, COMMISSIONER
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE NOAH PHILLIPS,
COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE ROHIT
CHOPRA, COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE
REBECCA SLAUGHTER, COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

427

428

421

422

423

424

425

426

#### STATEMENT OF JOSEPH SIMONS

429

Mr. Simons. Thank you so much.

430

431 the

432

433

434

435

436437

438

439440

441

442

Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, I am Joe Simons and I am the new chairman of the Federal Trade Commission. It's an honor to appear before

I'd also like to thank you for being so supportive of the FTC's resource needs over the years.

you today, especially alongside my fellow commissioners.

As you've already said, the FTC is a highly productive and effective independent agency with a broad mission -- to protect consumers and to maintain competition.

The FTC also has a long history of bipartisanship and all of us here today are very committed to continuing that strong tradition.

I am going to focus my oral remarks today primarily on data

### This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

security and privacy. Year after year, these two issues topped the list of consumer protection priorities at the FTC. The commission has challenged numerous privacy and security practices under Section 5 of the FTC Act.

Our program in these areas, which includes enforcement as well as consumer and business education, has been highly successful within the limits of our authority. Section 5 is an imperfect tool. In my view, we do need more authority. I support data security legislation that would give us three things: one, the ability to seek civil penalties to effectively deter unlawful conduct; two, jurisdiction over nonprofits and common carriers; and three, the authority to issue implementing rules under the Administrative Procedure Act.

Make no mistake, however. Under my leadership, privacy and data security will continue to be an enforcement priority and the FTC will use every tool in its arsenal to address consumer harm to the extent we can.

To date, the commission has brought more than 60 cases alleging that companies failed to implement reasonable data security safeguards as well as dozens of general privacy cases.

We have aggressively pursued privacy and data security cases in myriad areas including financial privacy, children's privacy,

health privacy, and the Internet of Things.

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

Recently, the European Union put into effect its general data protection regulation. The FTC will be watching carefully and assessing the impact of this new regime to see what lessons we can learn that might be applicable to the U.S.

In addition, GDPR, like its predecessor, imposes restrictions on the ability of companies to transfer consumer data from the EU to other jurisdictions, including the U.S.

The EU-U.S. Privacy Shield framework provides a mechanism that enables data to be legally transferred from Europe to the United States and the FTC is committed to strenuously enforcing Privacy Shield.

Finally, let me mention one additional item. The FTC has the tradition of self-critical examination, and in that vein, we recently announced our hearings on competition and consumer protection in the 21st century, and these will begin in the fall.

This series of public hearings will explore whether we need to adjust our enforcement efforts, our priorities, and policies in light of changes in the marketplace and new thinking.

The issues to discuss include whether we need to change the governing standard for anti-trust enforcement, whether merger enforcement has been too lax, our remedial authority with respect

### This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

24

to privacy and data security, and other issues.

A discussion of these issues at the hearing along with the public comments that will be collected throughout the hearings will help inform our thinking. The FTC is committed to maximizing the use of its resources, to enhance its effectiveness in protecting consumers, and promoting competition, to anticipate and to respond to changes in the marketplace, and to meet current and future challenges.

We look forward to continuing to work with the subcommittee and Congress, and I look forward to answering your questions.

Thank you so much.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Simons follows:]

499

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

500 | \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INSERT 1\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

25

501

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much for your statement.

502

And, Commissioner Ohlhausen, you are recognized for five

503 minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF MAUREEN OHLHAUSEN

Ms. Ohlhausen. Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, I am pleased to appear before you today alongside my FTC colleagues.

I've been a commission for six years and was honored to be named acting chairman in January 2017 and to serve in that capacity until May 2018.

Having a leadership role at the FTC provides a unique insight into the vital protections the agency provides for the American consumer and I am proud of the work that we'll discuss in today's hearing.

Although the FTC has many accomplishments, I will limit my remarks today to two areas -- process reforms and competition enforcement.

First, process reforms -- in April 2017, I directed the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection to identify ways we could streamline our civil investigative demands, or CIDs, which are the agency's version of administrative subpoenas.

This initiative was in part in response to concerns raised by members of Congress that FTC investigations often imposed undue burdens on legitimate companies.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Now, of course, the FTC must remain an effective and aggressive protector of the American consumer. That is our primary mission. But we should also look for ways to be more efficient.

These CID reforms have been in effect for a year and I believe the agency has successfully navigated making the CID process friendlier to legitimate businesses without sacrificing our effectiveness.

For example, one difficulty for small business is wading through pages of legalese. To lighten this burden, the FTC now includes a plain language description of the CID process in every CID we issue and we've posted FAQs for small businesses on our website to help them.

We are also being more selective about the time frame for requested documents or information. Obviously, the broader the time frame the greater the burden on companies.

It is now our policy where appropriate to limit the time frames in our CIDs to more recent years and, of course, when there is good cause, we will seek a broader range of documents and information. But that is now the exception, not the rule.

These are just a handful of the ways that we've reformed our CID process so that we can continue to protect consumers

## This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

without placing undue burdens on legitimate companies.

And turning -- now turning briefly to competition enforcement, I would like to make just a few points. In fiscal year 2017, the FTC challenged 23 mergers and obtained remedies for consumers in 15 others, maintaining, essentially, the same merger enforcement pace during the beginning of this administration as it had during the previous one.

And the brisk pace continues in fiscal year 2018. The agency has already undertaken a number of merger challenges including Tronox, Williamson, and Otto Bock, all of which are currently in litigation.

During the 2017-2018 period, the commission also stopped three mergers when the parties abandoned them after we sued, and, in addition, Walgreen's substantially restructured its proposed acquisition of Rite-Aid due to commission concerns.

And I would like to highlight two merger cases that focused on important points about our competition mission. Draft Kings-FanDuel was a proposed merger of two internet platforms offering so-called daily fantasy sports contests and the FTC sued to block the deal, finding that these two companies were the leading providers and that other forms of fantasy sports were inadequate substitutes.

### This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

29

And, importantly, the commission rejected arguments that the technology was too nascent and fast moving to be able to draw reliable conclusions, and in the face of the FTC's challenge, the companies ultimately abandoned their transaction.

And the other case I would like to briefly mention was CDK-AutoMate, which involved two providers of specialized software used by auto dealers. Our challenge to the deal noted that the current levels of competition between the parties likely understated the competitive significance of the smaller firm.

In effect, the larger firm was buying out this promising upstart before it could grow to become a much more serious competitive threat, and in the face of the FTC's challenge the parties abandoned their deal.

Both of these cases were big wins for U.S. consumers but they also show how the commission can use its existing authority to intervene in a factually grounded economically nuanced way, even in fast-moving high technology markets.

In addition to merger review, we also brought a number of important conduct cases including several challenging anti-competitive behavior by drug manufacturers.

And finally, our Economic Liberty Task Force, which I launched last year, has helped to spotlight unnecessary or over

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

#### This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. 30 broad occupational licensing which often disproportionately harms those near the bottom of the economic ladder and burdens our military families. So thank you for your time and I look forward to your questions. [The prepared statement of Ms. Ohlhausen follows:]

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 2\*\*\*\*\*\*

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

600	Mr. Latta. And thank you very much for your testimony today
601	And Commissioner Phillips, you are recognized for five
602	minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF NOAH PHILLIPS

Mr. Phillips. Thank you.

Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Schakowsky, distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank you all for the opportunity to be before you today.

I am honored to be here with my fellow commissioners, and from our testimony I hope you see the important work that the FTC and its staff do every day on behalf of American consumers.

As you all know, our economy is increasingly globalized, digitized, and connected. These changes generate incredible opportunity but they also pose new problems for consumers such as traditional scams that now thrive online and new internet-enabled frauds, and they also raise important enforcement challenges like the enhanced ability of scammers to act anonymously or to move their ill-gotten gains abroad and outside of our jurisdiction.

They also create roadblocks to international law enforcement cooperation. Congress has been an essential ally in this fight.

In 2006, it passed the U.S. SAFE WEB Act. SAFE WEB allows the FTC to share evidence with and provide investigative assistance to foreign authorities in cases involving spam,

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

spyware, privacy violations, and data breach.

62.5

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

It also confirms our authority to challenge foreign-based frauds that harm U.S. consumers or involve material conduct in the United States.

Using SAFE WEB, the FTC has worked with authorities abroad to stop illegal conduct and secure millions in judgments from fraudsters and sometimes even criminal convictions.

The FTC uses SAFE WEB authority in important international privacy cases. We collaborated with Canadian and Australian privacy authorities on the massive data breach of the Toronto-based adult dating website ashleymadison.com, and we worked again with Canadian authorities on FTC's first children's privacy and security case involving connected toys, a settlement with electronic toy manufacturer VTech Electronics under the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act.

In total, the FTC has responded to more than 125 SAFE WEB information sharing requests from 30 foreign enforcement agencies. We have issued more than 110 civil investigative demands in more than 50 investigations on behalf of foreign agencies, civil and criminal.

The FTC has collected millions of dollars in restitution for injured consumers, both foreign and domestic. SAFE WEB helps

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

protect Americans by policing and instilling confidence in the digital economy.

But it sunsets in 2020. The commission respectfully requests that Congress reauthorize this authority and eliminate the sunset provision.

Our international efforts support American business leadership in the global digital economy by enabling transatlantic data flows and protecting privacy.

As Chairman Latta rightly highlighted in his remarks, the FTC works with the Department of Commerce on three key cross-border data transfer programs including the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield.

Privacy Shield provides a legal mechanism for companies to transfer personal data from the EU to the U.S. with strong privacy protections and the FTC enforces these companies' Privacy Shield promises under Section 5 of our organic statute.

We are committed to the success of Privacy Shield and the other cross-border data transfer mechanisms. We have brought nearly 50 actions to enforce them including four under the new Privacy Shield, one announced just two weeks ago.

Privacy Shield is an important mechanism for encouraging commerce and protecting privacy. Enforcement of it is and will

may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.

A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

Committee's website as soon as it is available.

35

remain a priority for the agency.

Thank you for your time and attention and I look forward to answering any questions that you have.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Phillips follows:]

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within

673

674

669

670

671

672

| \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT 3\*\*\*\*\*\*

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

675	Mr. Latta. Again, thank you very much for your testimony
676	And Commissioner Chopra, you are recognized for five
677	minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF ROHIT CHOPRA

Mr. Chopra. Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to testify and discuss data security and privacy.

According to survey data, 91 percent of adults believe they have lost control about how companies are collecting and using their personal information.

News reports of data breaches and disclosure of sensitive data have become routine. On the dark web, stolen credit card and Social Security numbers and social media profiles can be bought and sold.

For many Americans, the situation seems hopeless and they feel powerless, and Washington cannot be sitting on the sidelines. We must confront the risks to our economy, our society, and national security of inadequate data security and privacy, and the cost of inaction is growing.

According to an industry study, over 15 million Americans were a victim of identity theft in some form in 2016, leading to \$16 billion of losses.

The majority of these Americans had their records accessed in a data breach in the years prior to their identity theft.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

When we talk about data security in Washington we typically focus on protecting power grids, payment networks, and other critical infrastructure to avoid a crippling attack.

But we also know that the infiltration of commercial holdings of consumer data can also cause chaos. Large-scale breaches of unencrypted data are increasing these risks and we must do more to secure personal data from falling into the wrong hands.

Chairman Simons is right. The FTC cracks down on illegal practices whenever we can. But I think our existing toolkit won't do the trick. In too many situations, our resolution is to tell a lawbreaking company to simply stop breaking the law.

To truly make a difference when it comes to data protection we need the ability to deter misconduct through financial penalties and sensible safeguards that can evolve with the marketplace and when it comes to privacy the United States should lead.

New privacy protections from Europe and California are advancing but we shouldn't feel we have to simply copy and paste. We should be leading.

I believe privacy and competition can go hand in hand, especially when consumers can access their data in portable and interoperable formats.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

We can increase privacy protections without crowning corporate royalty. We don't need to start from scratch either. Congress can build upon existing privacy laws such as the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act.

Twenty years ago, incumbents warned that this bipartisan effort to protect children online would end in utter disaster for our information economy and, boy, were they wrong.

COPPA has common sense ideas. Data collected for one purpose shouldn't be used for another purpose without your permission. You should have the ability to review the information collected about you.

Companies should be up front and honest about who they're sharing your data with and strong protections should be backed by an enforcement regime that can hold companies and their operators accountable.

Over the past decade, the FTC has produced scores of studies and reports but now it is time for Congress to act. I am confident that if Congress entrusts the Federal Trade Commission with the authority and resources to do more to protect families and businesses, we will deploy them efficiently and effectively while continuing to promote a dynamic digital economy that truly benefits all of us.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

744	Thank you.
745	[The prepared statement of Mr. Chopra follows:]
746	
747	**************************************

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

48	1	Mr.	Latta.	And	thank	you	very	much	for	your	testi	mony.
49	Ž	And	Commissi	oner	Slaug	ghter	r, you	ı are	reco	ognize	d for	five

minutes for your opening statement.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF REBECCA SLAUGHTER

Ms. Slaughter. Thank you, Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Schakowsky, and members of the subcommittee. Thank you for inviting all of us here today.

I would like to use my oral remarks to highlight the critical work the commission does to protect American consumers from fraud and from illegal robocalls.

I also want to draw attention to the resource challenges of the commission.

Although it sometimes grabs fewer headlines, fighting fraud is a central part of the FTC's consumer protection mission. The commission routinely tracks down and stops some of the worst scams, often targeting consumers who can least afford to lose money, including the elderly, members of the military, students burdened by debt, and small businesses.

The FTC takes the lead on important initiatives to shut down fraudsters and joins with our federal, state, and international law enforcement partners.

Some recent examples of these initiatives include Operation Tech Trap, a crackdown on tech support scams. Another example was Operation Game of Loans, where we led the first federal and

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

state coordinated action targeting 36 student loan debt relief scams.

And just last month, we announced Operation Main Street, an effort to stop small business scams.

The agency has also been at the forefront of addressing deceptive moneymaking frauds involving crypto currencies, bringing enforcement action and hosting a workshop to explore house scammers or exploiting public interest in crypto currency and how to empower and protect consumers against the growing threat of exploitation.

This is an area we must continue to monitor closely including working with stakeholders who don't traditionally engage with the FTC.

On robocalls, few things unite Americans more than their outrage over illegal robocalls and I include myself among the outraged. The FTC uses every tool at its disposal to stop illegal calls.

We've brought 137 cases targeting over 800 individuals and companies responsible for billions of illegal calls to U.S. consumers and we've collected over \$120 million in judgments.

But, as anyone with a phone knows, the problem persists.

While our aggressive law enforcement efforts will continue, we

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

know that the explosion in illegal calls stems from technological developments in the calling landscape.

Law violators can now place endless streams of calls for a fraction of a cent and too often the criminals behind some of the worst calling scams are located abroad, beyond the immediate reach of a civil law enforcement agency.

Technological problems need technological solutions. The FTC has been a leader in pushing industry to develop those solutions, helping to spur providers and third parties into offering call-blocking options.

However, the best long-term solution is to empower and expect providers to deploy solutions at the network level that will reach every consumer.

Effective blocking tools to stop spam should be available to all consumers using every kind of phone system and carriers should have both the right and the responsibility to keep their systems clear of unwanted calls.

The FTC is currently limited in its ability to address failures on the part of providers as a result of the common carrier exemption to our jurisdiction. Some carriers know or have every reason to know that they are passing along illegal or even fraudulent calls but they are beyond our reach.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Speaking as a consumer as well as an enforcement official, I share the public outrage at robocalls and I am eager to work with Congress to empower the FTC to do even more to combat this profound nuisance.

Finally, I would like to say a word about our resources.

The FTC works tirelessly to protect consumers and advance competition in an increasingly technical, digital, and sophisticated marketplace.

Consumers rightly look to the FTC to address evolving challenges and one of my top priorities is to make sure that we meet those expectations successfully.

We have excellent expert experienced staff who want nothing more than to hold lawbreakers accountable. We leverage them as effectively as possible.

But we have more cases to bring every day. Those cases have become more complex both legally and technologically and they involve defendants with deep pockets and armies of attorneys.

Our budget has not kept pace with these developments. To wit, we had more full time employees in the Reagan administration than we do today.

It is critical that the FTC have sufficient resources to support its work, particularly as demands for enforcement in so

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

## This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

46

many complex areas continue to grow.

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

In addition to sufficient resources, as several of my colleagues have noted, sufficient authority is critical for the FTC to continue to meet the demands of the 21st century marketplace.

Repeal of the common carrier exemption, APA rulemaking authority, and related civil penalty authority would each go a long way to help the FTC better meet today's challenges as well as tomorrow's.

Thank you, and I look forward to taking your questions.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Slaughter follows:]

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much for your testimony today and I, again, thank all the commissioners for being with us today and I will start the questioning with five minutes.

And Chairman Simons, if I could start with you. You bring very strong anti-trust credentials to the FTC, previously serving as head of the Bureau of Competition.

One of the priority issues of the subcommittee is the consumer protection jurisdiction enforcement activities of the FTC and I noted in your opening remarks you talked about especially enforcement on IoT, which is -- I sponsored legislation with the gentleman from Vermont and on the internet of things.

But we also, in the last Congress, had the working group. So Internet of Things is something that we are very concerned about.

With recent headlines highlighting the open investigations of Equifax and Facebook, which I know you can't comment on, and the Eleventh Circuit recent decision highlighting the unenforceable order of LabMD data security case, how would you describe your general approach to consumer protection enforcement?

Mr. Simons. Our mantra is vigorous enforcement, Chairman. So that's what we are all about. We are about protecting

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the consumer and vigorous enforcement. In addition, we are very
active in terms of not only trying to create a disincentive for
the bad guys to do the wrong thing, but in addition, to educate
consumers and small businesses and businesses generally to make
sure they do the right thing.

So very enforcement oriented, very vigorous, and across the board. One of the things you probably noticed from the remarks of the commissioners was that it was spread out in terms of different subject matters and that just -- that was not by accident.

So we are aggressive across the board whether it's data security, privacy, all kinds of different fraud across the board.

Mr. Latta. Okay. Let me follow up. You mentioned on the civil penalty authority the FTC doesn't have the civil penalty authority today to enforce initial violation of the safeguards rule that covers companies like Equifax.

Would you support civil penalty authority to enforce the safeguards rule?

Mr. Simons. Yes.

Mr. Latta. Okay. Thank you.

Would you like to follow up on that?

895 [Laughter.]

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Simons. Yes.

So one of the problems that we have is we are able to show in these cases that there is sufficient harm to show a violation under the statute.

Sure.

But in terms of our monetary remedial authority and showing damage from any particular breach and tracing it to that specific breach is very difficult.

So it really hinders our ability to seek a significant monetary penalty and monetary relief and to create a sufficient deterrence so that conduct doesn't occur in the future.

Mr. Latta. Let me follow up with another question to you. Interesting -- I was listening when you were talking about your announcement of the series of public hearings this year on the 21st century challenges to the economy and I also commend you and the commission for taking a thoughtful approach to examining whether the current legal, economic, and technological predicates -- warrants adjustment to competition and consumer protection policy.

Would you share your goals for the hearing including efforts to update the agency's research and policy function or to set the foundation for enforcement actions in policy agenda setting?

Mr. Simons. So we are conducting these hearings with a broad

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

range of participants from all over the spectrum of thoughts and ideas and we -- so we are going in with an open mind and we are not expecting any particular outcome. But potential outcomes would be things that would involve, for example, amending our horizontal merger guidelines, drafting potentially new vertical merger guidelines.

We might also -- we are going to also look at privacy and data security and maybe we'll come out with strong suggestions there as to -- as how to move forward maybe along the lines of Commissioner Chopra's suggestions a minute ago.

So it's really quite -- it's really quite wide open. Last time that hearings of this nature were conducted there were -- there were substantial action items coming out of those hearings.

This was done by then Chairman Robert Pitofsky, and one of the main things that came out of that was amendments to the merger quidelines relating to efficiencies.

There was also a lot of work done on the intellectual property area. And so our goal is to try to be as effective as -- in these hearings as Chairman Pitofsky was in his hearings.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, and my time is about to expire so I am going to yield back and recognize the gentlelady

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

from Illinois, the ranking member of the subcommittee for five minutes.

Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

Let me just say as a probably long-term -- I've been a long-term consumer advocate since I was a very young woman. So I have a special place in my heart for the Federal Trade Commission and I want to ask about your authorities.

Most agencies issue regulations under the Administrative Procedures Act, which sets out notice and public comment procedures for issuing regulations.

But unless granted APA rulemaking authority for specific issues by Congress, the FTC issues rules under a different law, which provides more burdensome procedures and makes it more difficult for the commission to issue rules.

So I wanted to ask you, Mr. Chairman, do you agree that the FTC currently is limited and, for example, an example I think you gave in a meeting could not write rules like the open internet rules written by the FCC?

Mr. Simons. So I generally do agree with your characterization of a rulemaking authority. So our general rulemaking authority is under the Magnuson-Moss Act and it is considerably more burdensome than the Administrative Procedure

Act.	So	Ι	agree	with	that	completely	

In terms of your question about the net neutrality rule,

I don't think that we could adopt exactly the same rule, and if
we were to try to do it under Magnuson-Moss, like you said, it
would be pretty time consuming.

However, what we normally do is we bring enforcement actions and our sense is that those actually accomplish pretty much the same thing. By doing --

Ms. Schakowsky. I wanted to ask about that. It says without effective rulemaking authority, the FCC has focused on efforts -- its efforts on law enforcement, and we've heard a number of really positive examples.

So the -- but it seems to me the enforcement only approach is not as effective as when legal standards are supported by agency rulemaking.

Mr. Simons. I think a mix, depending on the circumstance of rulemaking and enforcement, could be optimal.

Ms. Schakowsky. So, you know, this issue has come up often in this committee's discussion of data breach legislation.

Previous commissions have supported APA rulemaking authority for data security and breach notification.

Ranking Member Pallone and I have introduced a bill -- I

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

mentioned it in my opening statement -- 3896, the Secure and Protect America's Data Act -- that would require companies to have reasonable data security and notify consumers in a reasonable time when breaches occur.

So to the -- really, to the whole panel, our bill would give the FTC authority to write rules on data security and breach notification using APA rulemaking and I would just like to go down the row and see if you would support that.

Mr. Simons. Yes. Without commenting on the specifics of your bill I certainly support in concept what you're suggesting.

Ms. Schakowsky. Okay.

Ms. Ohlhausen. As I have previously supported data security and breach notification legislation, I would also support it without supporting particular details.

Ms. Schakowsky. I understand. You haven't -- right. Thank you.

Mr. Phillips.

Mr. Phillips. I too support legislation, Congresswoman.

I have not yet formed an opinion with respect to particular rulemakings. One of the things I look forward to are -- to in our upcoming hearings that the chairman has announced is the fact that we are going to be doing a careful study of the remedies

available to us and I look forward to learning from those.

Mr. Chopra. Yes, and I would add that rules -- the development of rules is a much more participatory process than individual enforcement actions and it also gives clear notice to the marketplace rather than being surprised, and I think it would be a good idea.

Ms. Schakowsky. Good point. Yes.

Ms. Slaughter. Yes. Like my colleagues, I haven't studied your particular bill. But as you describe it, it's something that I would very much support.

Ms. Schakowsky. Let me just say, the word partner came up a good deal in various testimonies, both on the committee and on the panel, and I hope that we can use that approach.

I want to see if -- Chairman Simons, if the FTC had APA rulemaking authority now, would you direct staff to begin the rulemaking process for data security and breach notification?

Mr. Simons. Sure. Yes.

Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. Even though FTC's current rulemaking procedures are burdensome, couldn't the FTC issue an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on or -- rulemaking or a notice of inquiry to collect data and get the process started now?

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Simons. We could -- we could certainly start a rulemaking under Mag-Moss. It would just, you know, be time consuming and really resource intensive and so there's an issue about whether we want to start that, not knowing whether we could finish it under --

Ms. Schakowsky. If I could just have a couple more seconds. You know, we've had these high-profile hearings from Equifax, from -- you know, Zuckerberg was sitting in that chair, as the chairman mentioned.

And yet, we really have not moved forward, I think, in doing something about these data breaches and the mistakes that have been made. I really look forward to working with you and meeting with all of you.

Thank you.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady's time has expired and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Oregon, the chairman of the full committee, for five minutes.

The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank you for your leadership in this area on data breach, data security, and all -- I think you have had, what, four roundtables including one yesterday, I think, with how many participants?

Thirty-eight?

## This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Yes, we know this is pretty complicated. If there were an easy answer we'd all do it because -- I mean, I think of my colleague from Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, said, you know, she worries about her data being breached by Equifax.

I don't think you have to worry. I think it is.

[Laughter.]

You know, I think the worry part is gone and that's the tragedy of it all is we don't have control over our data and we have laws on the books in some cases. Companies have trust obligations in other cases and they don't have the security in most cases, and so it is something we are all concerned about as consumers and as policy makers and enforcers.

And so it's something this committee is very concerned about and, as I say, we've been trying to find if there's an eye in that needle to thread legislation to get to data breach -- data notification. Hold people accountable and we are closing in on it.

And, like others on the committee, I think we are all consumers and I care deeply about putting the consumer first.

That's been part of my mantra as chairman of the committee, because if you do that you have competitive markets. You have innovation and you have price competition and you have choice

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1072 and consumers benefit.

And, Ms. Slaughter, your comment about robocalls I am fully in agreement with. I remember when pop-up ads were a nuisance.

1075

1076

1077

1073

1074

I tongue in cheek suggested death penalty for those people, because you couldn't do anything on your computer. You had bazillions of these pop-up ads.

10781079

1080

We had a hearing on robocalls and the private sector -- the technology sector is being pretty inventive and one of the witnesses has an app that they market -- I won't promote a particular one -- but it actually figures out to answer the call and then pretends to be real and keeps the caller on the line for half an hour.

1081 1082 1083

1084

1085

1086

I love that kind of thing. Run their bill up, drive them crazy. And so, you know, we have a lot of young kids out there with brilliant minds that can develop these apps and help us in

1087 1088

1089

But one of the big challenges, of course, is a lot of this stuff is overseas and hard to -- even for you or for us or anybody to get their hands around. Boy, we'd have our hands around their necks.

1090 1091 1092

1093

So, Chairman Simons, what role to do you believe anti-trust

this endeavor.

# This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

plays with respect to consumer protection? Give us your thoughts on that as the chairman. Try -- yes.

Mr. Simons. It's similar, Mr. Chairman, to what you just suggested. So the more competition, the more likely it is the consumer is going to be benefited, and what goes hand in hand is that competition and consumer protection -- consumer protection in terms of good information in the marketplace -- nondeceptive information in the marketplace, an efficient advertising market, those are things that make firms compete stronger and it drives competition.

So we want to have those two things. We want to have -we want to have vigorous competition. We want to make sure that
firms are not behaving anti-competitively and at the same time
we want to make sure that consumers have the information that
is necessary for them to make the right decisions and right choices
in the marketplace.

The Chairman. And with this emerging digital economy that's, well, fully underway but, you know, it's emerging every day there's something new, you see we have legacy rules.

We have industries that are built upon those and then you have a new entrant into the market and then you have consolidation and mergers and people trying to compete. So what guestions are

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

you most focused on regarding the changing digital nature of the U.S. economy during your public hearings.

Mr. Simons. We are focused on a very broad range of things, everything from whether we're -- whether the consumer welfare standard, which has been the kind of consensus standard for the last 20 or 30 years -- whether that needs to be changed or not -- whether the way we have done merger analysis in the past 20 or 30 years has been appropriate -- whether that's been too lax.

We are also -- and then we are also focused on the consumer protection side, particularly in terms of privacy and data security.

In terms of -- one of the things that I -- as I mentioned in my oral remarks that we are very -- that we are very focused on is the potential tradeoff between privacy on the one hand and data security on the one hand and competition on the other hand.

The Chairman. Right.

Mr. Simons. We are a little nervous that if you do privacy in the wrong way, have it go too far in one direction that you might end up reducing competition.

You might create a situation in which you entrench the large tech platforms, for example. You make it very difficult for -- because the advertising market becomes, potentially, much less

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1138 | efficient.

You make it much more difficult for new entrants and smaller firms to get the attention of the consumers that they're trying to reach.

In fact, one of the things that I saw very recently after the effective date of the GDPR was an article in the Wall Street Journal that reported that they could already see that advertising was moving to the Google platform over in Europe and so that's something we are very conscious of and we want to be very careful about.

And when Congress thinks about these things in legislation, this is something that my recommendation would be you think carefully about to strike the right balance.

The Chairman. That -- and I know I am over -- but that's exactly the conundrum we are in is finding that right balance because you have just a couple of platforms that dominate in the online advertising world today.

So you want that competition but you don't want to do something that actually enhances their dominance, if you will. So I appreciate that.

And I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields back.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

The	chair	now	rec	ognize	es the	gent	lema	n fro	m New	Jersey,	the
ranking m	member	of	the	full	commit	tee	for	five	minut	tes.	

Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Much of the FTC's consumer protection enforcement actions are brought under Section 5 of the FTC Act, which prohibits unfair and deceptive acts or practices, and the remedies available for these cases are limited.

The FTC can only seek an injunction in conditions which most often come in consent decrees. It can seek civil penalties for first violations.

And I know, Chairman Simons, you mentioned already today that you support giving the FTC authority to seek civil penalties for first violations when companies fail to maintain reasonable data security.

How would that tool help the FTC's efforts to protect consumers from data breaches?

Mr. Simons. Thank you, Congressman.

So what that would do is that would enable us to impose a sufficient monetary penalty that would just -- that would incentivize companies to better protect data.

As you -- as you have said and as other have said, if what is going on in terms of our enforcement authority is that we can

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

02
only get an injunction that just says, you know, sin no more,
then that's much less of a deterrent than if we could get monetary
penalties that would actually, you know, cause the business to
think through how it's conducting how it's conducting its
business and what it's doing in terms of security and privacy.
Mr. Pallone. Now, I know that to clarify, when the FTC
does have authority to seek civil penalties, it's still up to
the FTC to decide whether to even ask for those penalties and
how much those penalties should be, correct?
Mr. Simons. I am sorry. Could you repeat that?
Mr. Pallone. When the FTC does have authority to seek civil
penalties, it's still up to the to you to decide whether to
even ask for those penalties and how much those penalties should
be, correct?
Mr. Simons. Yes.
Mr. Pallone. Okay. So do you think that civil penalty
authority would be beneficial for FTC's privacy cases as well?
Mr. Simons. That's something I think we should explore.
I don't have a view on that yet. Maybe something will come out
of the hearings that we are going to conduct in the fall that

Mr. Pallone. I appreciate your having those hearings in

will inform our views.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the	fall,	too.	I	think	that's	 it's	great	that	you're	doing
that	-									

What about the other Section 5 violations? Would you support legislation giving the FTC civil penalty authority for other enforcement actions?

Mr. Simons. So other enforcement actions, I think, are a little different in the sense -- like, fraud, for example. Even though we are going under our Section 5 authority that provides for injunctive relief, as part -- what's ancillary to injunctive relief is the ability to get restitution and disgorgement, and those can serve as significant deterrent effects.

So with respect to fraud, that's something that where our existing authority probably is sufficient. But with data security and privacy, it just becomes very hard to prove the extent of damage to any specific --

Mr. Pallone. I am thinking of, like, robocalls, which I know we discussed. Do you see that as different? Like if, you know --

Mr. Simons. Well, we have a rule and so we can get civil penalties for violations of robocall -- the robocall, the marketing and sales --

Mr. Pallone. Okay.

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Chopra. Congressman, under our statute, we are able
to ask a court for civil penalties in the case where there's a
rule on the books or when there's a violation of an order,
generally speaking.

So when we do have a rule on the books, it is easier, even on the first defense, we are able to ask a court for civil penalty.

That's one of the reasons why rulemaking also could increase deterrence as well.

Mr. Pallone. All right.

Well, now, let me just say one more thing. I wanted to ask you about the Uber settlement. FTC recently announced an expanded settlement with Uber, and while the FTC was in the process of negotiating a settlement with Uber, making deceptive privacy and data security claims, FTC learned that Uber failed to disclose another significant breach of the customers' data, which Commissioner Ohlhausen called strikingly similar.

So just quickly -- I only got less than a minute -- Chairman Simons, the expanded settlement with Uber includes some additional requirements but does not include civil penalties.

Why couldn't the FTC seek civil penalties related to the second data breach?

Mr. Simons. We would have to show that that was a violation

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1248	of	а	preexisting	order.

Mr. Pallone. Okay.

Mr. Simons. There was no preexisting order.

Mr. Pallone. But FTC found that Uber twice committed misconduct but still couldn't impose fines? Maybe I will go back to Commissioner Chopra.

Do you think this situation is sufficient to stop repeat offenders in the case of Uber?

Mr. Chopra. Well, my understanding of the Uber resolution was that the order was modified based on conduct that was not a direct violation of the original order, and this is what I am talking about.

Our limitations on obtaining civil penalties are when there's a rule violation or a violation of an order itself. So I, of course, and I think all of us want to make sure that FTC orders are followed and if they are not followed we will seek all appropriate relief we should.

But the question you're raising about whether on a first offense there should be penalties, I think that in order to deter misconduct we need to consider when it's appropriate that even on a first offense the lack of penalties may not serve as adequate deterrence.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	66
1270	Mr. Pallone. All right. Thanks so much.
1271	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1272	Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman's time has expired.
1273	The chair now recognizes the vice chairman of the
1274	subcommittee, the gentleman from Illinois, for five minutes.
1275	Mr. Kinzinger. Well, thank you, Chairman, for yielding and
1276	I thank you all for being here today and taking some time and
1277	everything you do for the country.
1278	Commissioner Ohlhausen, the commission's website states
1279	that the FTC protects consumers by stopping unfair, deceptive,
1280	or fraudulent practices in the marketplace.
1281	I want to ask you a rudimentary and kind of direct question.
1282	Do you think a private company should ever be compelled to provide
1283	inaccurate information to consumers that deceptive or could
1284	impact the marketplace?
1285	Ms. Ohlhausen. I would certainly be concerned about any
1286	company providing inaccurate information to consumers, whether
1287	they did it voluntarily or were required to do so.
1288	Mr. Kinzinger. Okay.
1289	Commissioner Ohlhausen, crypto currency scams have been
1290	fertile ground for scammers since the value of bitcoin and other
1291	tokens skyrocketed in value at the end of 2017, bringing with

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

it rising interest in raising capital for startups via initial
coin offerings.

Last Congress, I worked with Representative Cardenas to pass Resolution 835 through the House highlighting the importance of improving consumer access to financial technology tools.

Besides the recent workshop on crypto currency scams, what more is the FTC doing to target things like deceptive investment opportunities and focussed mining operations?

Ms. Ohlhausen. So we actually have brought two cases involving crypto currency to enforcement actions where there were deceptive representations to consumers and we also have a long history of bringing, at different times, enforcement actions against deceptive promises about precious metals.

Mr. Kinzinger. And is that getting enough public attention, do you think, or should it get more and how?

Ms. Ohlhausen. We are always happy to get more public attention and interested in ways to find that out.

Mr. Kinzinger. Sometimes you have to compete for bandwidth in the media.

The goal of the U.S. -- and I will ask each of you this question -- the goal of the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield is to protect personal data and enable the flow of transatlantic data.

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

r

Without this framework, companies on both sides of the
Atlantic would face grave uncertainty and serious limitations
on their ability to connect to conduct business overseas.
So for each of you, yes or no do you pledge support for
Privacy Shield and commitment to enforce the framework?

Mr. Simons. Absolutely.

Ms. Ohlhausen. Yes.

Mr. Phillips. Absolutely.

Mr. Chopra. Yes.

Ms. Slaughter. Yes.

Mr. Kinzinger. Good. It's easy.

And Chairman Simons, the calendar years 2015 and 2017 and the first quarter of 2018 all broke records for merger and acquisition activity. In the first quarter of 2018, for instance, the merger activity increased 67 percent year over year.

Researchers found that economic concentration has increased in many or most economic sectors. At the same time, researchers have found that entrepreneurs are not starting new businesses at a rate sufficient to overcome business closings.

Do you think that increase in concentration is cause for concern or evidence of declining competition in the U.S. economy?

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	69
1336	Mr. Simons. Thank you, Congressman.
1337	So that is one of the one of the main focusses of the
1338	hearings that we are going to have in the fall. Precisely that
1339	concern is one of the things that we want to get testimony about
1340	and take comments on.
1341	Mr. Kinzinger. You will be in a better position, you think,
1342	to comment after all that?
1343	Mr. Simons. Yes. In other words, it's an important focus
1344	of the hearings because, based on what we see in terms of the
1345	economic literature and otherwise, there's enough out there to
1346	be concerned that those things are really problematic.
1347	Mr. Kinzinger. Do you see any yet do you see any
1348	correlation between rising concentration and declining rates of
1349	new firm formation?
1350	Mr. Simons. Not specifically. I mean, some of the some
1351	of the material that you're probably citing relates to broad
1352	industry categories.
1353	So, for example, you might have and the categories could
1354	be national. And so if you what you have in the marketplace
1355	is you have chains who are becoming more pervasive in displacing
1356	local companies.
1357	The local concentration might not be changing at all. But

# This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the national concentration is, and for anti-trust purposes in those types of markets it would probably be the local concentration that you'd want to worry about.

So there might be some other issues going on. But that's part of the -- our job or our intent is to kind of figure that out.

Mr. Kinzinger. Thank you. And the last question for you -- two companies, Google and Apple, together dominate the market for mobile operating systems, accounting for 99 percent of the market for smartphones in the United States, and you have seen the news, I am sure, this morning that the EU is set to fine Google \$5 billion anti-trust for the way it bundles its apps on Android smartphones and tablets.

The question is what, if any, competitive discipline exists in such a highly concentrated market?

Mr. Simons. So there's the two of them so they compete pretty heavily against each other. So that's one -- that's one level of competition.

But I have to agree with you, it's concentrated. So, you know, it's not -- it's not like commodities in the Midwest or whatever. It's, obviously, very fragmented. This is a concentrated industry and this is an industry, as I've said

# This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

before, you know, what we do in the anti-trust world is most of the problematic conduct occurs where firms are big and have market power and that's where we look. And so this is one of the places we would focus on.

Mr. Kinzinger. Okay. Thank you. I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time has expired.

And the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Vermont for five minutes.

Mr. Welch. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I've got five minutes and I -- so I want to go through this quickly.

First, I want to say congratulations to each of you. You know, I've read all your resumes. Like, you people are, like, smart, and in addition to that, you have got a record of public service and it demonstrates you're not only smart but you actually want to use your talent for the public good, and I just want to say to you that I think serving on the FTC at this time is incredibly important.

I think a lot of working Americans are being squeezed at one end because wages aren't going up and, at the other end, because what Mr. Kinzinger was talking about, incredible pricing

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

power as a result of anti-competitive practices, and that is so essential that you stand up for the American consumer.

So you're 3-2. But I hope you're 5-0 in asserting the need to have a very strong FTC to help working Americans. So thank you.

I want to talk about a couple of things. One, in April, a bipartisan group of my colleagues including on this subcommittee Congressman Jeff Duncan and I wrote to you about the technological changes taking place in mobile commerce.

And we think it's important for the FTC and the Department of Justice to be vigilant to make sure that incumbent businesses with existing payment technologies don't use that market position to block innovations and developments by potential competitors.

And I appreciate it very much the commission's response to our letter where you indicated that you'd look closely at the payment standard setting process and your assurance that the commission will take appropriate action against any act or practice in the mobile payments marketplace that violates any of these statutes that you enforce.

And I would like to submit for the record if I could, Mr. Chairman, our letter -- these letters.

I want to ask you, Chairman Simons, with our mutual goal

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of detecting and remedying any practice that may harm competition and consumers, can you share what you have done to date on the issues we raised in our letter to protect competition and innovation and, quickly, if you can.

Mr. Simons. Yes. Sure.

So standard setting, which is what you're describing is a really important thing in our economy. It can be useful for great numbers of efficiencies. As you can tell, the payment system itself is very interoperable.

There's a bunch of different players in it and they have to interoperate. It's all the banks, the credit card companies, and all the merchants, of course.

And so it's really important that that function but it's also -- it's also possible that those types of organizations can be used for anti-competitive purposes and we have -- we've had cases involving anti-competitive activity in standard-setting bodies. And so we are focused on that.

Mr. Welch. Thank you. Let me go on to my next question.

And I was pleased to see just yesterday -- just yesterday that the FTC issued a statement to Health and Human Services in response to the HHS request for public comment on lowering drug prices and out-of-pocket costs, which laid out the commission's

concerns with pharma companies abusing the REMS program to prevent generic competition.

The commission comments really captured the issue perfectly and I can read it. The REMS program can protect the public from pharma abuse but they can also be misused to disrupt competition and innovation, and you go on to say the FTC supports regulatory and legislative actions aimed at correcting the misuse of REMS programs.

I believe that's exactly right and I want to thank you for that. Chairman Simons, as we continue to consider the FAST Generics Act and the CREATES Act, how can Congress deter the current abuses and delays by brand manufacturers, instead, motivate them to provide generics with access to samples in a timely way?

Mr. Simons. So without giving a view on the precise specifications in the act and the legislation you're describing, we are very supportive of this issue.

Mr. Welch. Okay. Let me interject because I just have a few seconds left.

Mr. Simons. Okay. Sorry.

Mr. Welch. But thank you, and I appreciated the FTC letter.

Another issue that's come to my attention is that web

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

browsers are considering the changes to the user interface that consumers see on their screens.

Specifically, in the coming months consumers who are already wrestling with how best to protect themselves will potentially be provided with less information about the security of the website they are using at any given time.

We should be working together to provide consumers with that information. Is this potential change to consumers' web browsing experience something that the commission is aware of? That's the security label at the top.

If so, I would ask the commission to keep consumers and this committee updated on the impact of that potential change. And I am out of time, so it ends up as a statement, not a question.

But, again, Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you. I think this -- your institution, your agency, is so, so vital. I hope you find a way better than sometimes we find a way to work together to get to an outcome that will be durable and helpful to the American people.

We thank you.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time has expired and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas, the chairman of the Health Subcommittee of the full committee

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1490 for five minutes.

Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I appreciate our commissioners being here. This is always a good day when we have all of our commissioners in front of the committee.

Chairman Simons, you began your testimony stating that Section 5 is an imperfect tool and, granted it is. But it's the tool that we have.

Still, after years of studying the LabMD case, and I realize that most of you were not even born when that case started, and I also understand that it's -- although there was a recent Eleventh Circuit decision, I am certain it's not settled yet. But here in the aftermath of that, the business had arguably had a good business plan and was competently run.

But because of a breach that occurred in technology that was really poorly understood years ago, now this business is no more and the people that were involved have, obviously, suffered significant harm.

And, really, my question is, is this a -- is this a learning process as we look back? And I know you can't talk about the specifics of the case because I do understand that it's still in litigation.

But it's been a hard one as I've watched for the last 10,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

15 years in Congress. I practiced medicine before then so I certainly understand that yes, you can have somebody in your front office do something on a computer that puts some data at risk.

But in the absence of harm, to do this much violence to the business plan and the business model seems a little bit over the top. I just wondered if you -- is this an ongoing process that you're learning about this imperfect tool?

Mr. Simons. Sure. I mean, one of the things the FTC does is it does -- it has a good history of engaging in self-critical examination.

So, you know, first of all, let me say that, of course, you know, we never intentionally tried to put legitimate businesses out of business.

We try to get them to comply with the law and not drive them from the market. That's bad for competition, which is the other side of our mission. So we really don't want to do that.

And then the other thing I will say is that we do engage in self-critical examination, even outside these public hearings. We do it internally, and so one of the things that we've got going on is a task force on how we do our orders, and so that's relevant to the LabMD decision.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Burgess. It's reassuring to know that. Again, history is history and what has happened has happened and none of us can undo that. But I am grateful to hear that.

Let me -- let me ask a question on robocalls because man, they are a nuisance, and at our house we have three cell phones and they can all ring simultaneously with the same identifying number from a town called Mexia, Texas, which is a small little town between Waco and Dallas.

I don't know if it really did originate from there, and they're all selling, well, there was a hailstorm in your community and we are in the neighborhood and we thought we'd come by and check your roof for you.

But three simultaneous calls come at the exact same time. It just doesn't seem reasonable that that is -- that that's one person doing that. Is there -- is there a recourse for the consumer at this point?

I know we've passed do not call laws. In this committee, we've passed anti-spoofing laws. Is there a recourse for the consumer? Should I have them call your 800 number? What is the next step?

Mr. Simons. So one of the things -- I am sorry, was that directed to --

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	'3
1556	Mr. Burgess. Well, anyone can answer. Ms. Slaughter
1557	brought it up but, Chairman, you're welcome to answer as well.
1558	Mr. Simons. Well, I don't want to hog the attention. So
1559	if anyone else would like to answer please go ahead.
1560	Ms. Slaughter. Thank you for the question. I think we
1561	probably are all on the same page about this. So you could hear
1562	a similar answer from any of us. It is enormously frustrating
1563	for consumers.
1564	It is just endlessly frustrating, and I can't tell you,
1565	sitting here, whether that those three calls originated really
1566	from Texas or not.
1567	The challenge for us that I outlined a little bit in my
1568	testimony is that many of the people orchestrating these calls
1569	and orchestrating these schemes are overseas and hide behind
1570	spoofing technology.
1571	Mr. Burgess. So the next step for the consumer that gets
1572	these calls what should we tell them?
1573	Ms. Slaughter. So the best right now, the technological
1574	solutions that the FTC has helped push into the marketplace can
1575	be among the most helpful that identify calls as fake or robocalls

Chairman Walden talked about one such example. But I think

when they come into your phone and block them.

1576

looking at larger scale solutions that can be implemented across network levels is an important thing.

Mr. Burgess. And it's a longer discussion. I am going to submit some questions for the record. But the issue of consolidation in the health care industry and the -- when I was in practice back in the late '80s I worried that there was going to be a single payer health care system and it was going to be called Aetna.

And now the corner drug store is buying Aetna. So it is a cause of some concern for those of us who sit on this committee.

We've had hearings on it. I will have some specific questions for you on that and I would appreciate your attention to that.

Thank you.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts for five minutes.

Mr. Kennedy. Thank you, Chairman. I want to thank everybody for coming and testifying this morning, and helpful information has been provided and I appreciate all of your service.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I wanted to touch a little bit on some of the focus of a number of hearings that we've had on this committee over the course of the past year or so with regards to internet and internet companies.

So following the revelations of Facebook's data sharing practices with third parties, specifically for third party app developers, which led to Facebook users ending or data ending up with Cambridge Analytica, there was a lot of discussion around the FTC's 2011 consent decree with Facebook.

That consent decree was entered into following Facebook's earlier failure to notify its customers of its data sharing policies and the recent Cambridge Analytica issue appears very similar.

Chairman, I am not going to ask you details. I imagine you're not going to get into the details of an investigation.

But I believe you have confirmed that the FTC is investigating whether Facebook violated its consent decree. Is that right?

Mr. Simons. Yes, that's correct.

Mr. Kennedy. And so, sir, under the consent decree Facebook was required to get biennial independent audits certifying that it has in fact a privacy program in place that meets or exceeds their requirements of the FTC order and to ensure that the privacy

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1622	of	consumers'	information	is	protected.
T 0 Z Z		COILD GILLCE D	TITTOTINGCTOIL	$\pm \circ$	proceeda.

Given that requirement, it's a bit troubling to see that the FTC didn't discover the Cambridge Analytica issue earlier. So, again, I know you're not going to discuss the ongoing investigation.

But this is a circumstance in which the FTC is investigating the acts of a company that is subject to a consent decree.

Is the investigation limited to whether the consent decree was violated or with the FTC also consider whether there were new unfair deceptive practices?

Mr. Simons. Thank you, Congressman.

It's -- like you said, it is an ongoing investigation. We publically announced that. But we can't -- what we can't do is we can't discuss the particulars of the investigation itself.

And so I am sorry, but I can't comment on that.

Mr. Kennedy. Okay.

Mr. Chopra. Congressman Kennedy, though, I just want to add, FTC orders typically do not preclude the agency from investigating conduct outside of those orders. So if you reviewed the wide swath of orders that we have entered into over the years, we typically do not handcuff ourself to the four quarters.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Kennedy. So just the four quarters of the scope of that.

Thank you. I appreciate that, Commissioner.

So to reiterate a couple of the points that were made earlier, if new unfair deceptive practices are in fact found, a repeat offender could then be subject to civil penalties for those violations. Is that correct?

Mr. Simons. Yes.

Mr. Kennedy. So thank you. I also want to understand whether the FTC takes into account public statements made by those companies. Commissioner, you might have just touched on this point.

But Facebook has made public statements that it's investigating all third party apps to determine if there was in fact misuse of users' information.

Contrary to that statement, though, it's also been reported that Facebook has not even been able to access data regarding Cambridge Analytica to understand what happened in that case because the company and the data are located abroad.

Again, without getting into the details of the current investigation, I respect -- I respect that, Chairman. Do you consider those public statements as commitments made to consumers?

84

	84
1666	Mr. Simons. So let me just say without tying it to a specific
1667	case, we look at everything. We look at what they say in their
1668	public documents. We look at what they say in their advertising.
1669	We look at what they say on their website the whole range.
1670	So we would look at everything.
1671	Mr. Kennedy. Okay.
1672	Mr. Phillips. Congressman, if I please, I apologize for
1673	interrupting you.
1674	Mr. Kennedy. No.
1675	Mr. Phillips. One of the things that I talked about in my
1676	oral statement earlier was the importance of Congress
1677	reauthorizing and eliminating the sunset in the U.S. SAFE WEB
1678	Act.
1679	You mentioned access to data abroad without, again, speaking
1680	to the specific case. That is a very important tool. So we
1681	really do urge you all to consider that.
1682	Mr. Kennedy. I appreciate the insight, sir.
1683	Last bit, and I think one of my colleagues touched on this
1684	as well. Google has told the public that it would stop scanning
1685	personal emails. Of course, most consumers probably didn't know
1686	that that was happening.

But now we hear that Google does allow third parties to scan

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

emails.	Do you	review	those	public	statement	s for viola	tions
of eithe	r Sectio	on 5 ge	nerally	or of	a consent	decree?	

Mr. Simons. Yes, we review everything. So, I mean, that's one of the ways we start investigations. We issue public announcements or public statements and we get complaints. So, you know, we consider everything.

Mr. Kennedy. Okay. Chairman, thank you, and I thank all the commissioners for being here. Appreciate your testimony and I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields back and, as agreed upon, we are going to take a five-minute recess at this time and come back in at 10 til the hour.

Thank you.

[Recess.]

Mr. Latta. I would like to reconvene the subcommittee to order, and at this time I would recognize the gentleman from New Jersey for five minutes.

Mr. Lance. Thank you very much, and good morning to the distinguished panel.

I champion the Consumer Review Fairness Act in 2016 to protect consumers ability to share their honest reviews and opinions about products, services, or conduct in various forms

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1710   including social media	10	710	including	social	media
-------------------------------	----	-----	-----------	--------	-------

1711

1712

1713

1714

1715

1716

1717

1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723

1724

1725

1726

1727

1728

1729

1730

1731

There has been growth and influence of search and social media since the bill became law. Much has changed since the FTC closed its Google investigation in 2012, and I ask the panel, beginning with the chair, what is your view on how best to maintain competitive markets and better safeguard consumer reviews?

Mr. Simons. So let me just say that that piece of legislation is terrific.

Mr. Lance. This is being recorded.

[Laughter.]

Mr. Simons. Okay. I stick with that statement.

So one of the key things as I've described a little bit earlier or alluded to in terms of competition is that you need good information.

Good information allows consumers to make the best choices and the reviews are just terrific in that regard. They really help spur competition. So that's just -- that's terrific and we are very much looking to -- and have enforced under that statute.

Mr. Lance. Thank you. Would others on the panel like to comment?

Yes, of course.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Ms.	Ohlhausen.	Yes,	thank	you,	Congressman.
-----	------------	------	-------	------	--------------

I think that legislation was also very beneficial, and I was at the commission when we brought the case against Roca Labs

Mr. Lance. Yes.

Ms. Ohlhausen. -- saying that that was a violation. But I also want to mention in addition to making sure that consumers are free to express their opinions, we want to be sure that when opinions are expressed what they're paid for by a sponsor that that's clear to consumers, too.

So the FTC has engaged in a lot of enforcement and consumer ed and business warning letters to make sure that reviews, if they're sponsored, are labelled as such.

Mr. Lance. And is that a more recent addition to this whole issue, the fact that you have required there be a disclaimer or whatever the appropriate word would be for the fact that some are being paid?

Ms. Ohlhausen. So it's not -- it's not recent but it is -- we've given additional guidance for the new, you know, on Twitter or online to make sure that the old rules that have always applied that people understand how they apply in the new economy as well.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1754	Mr. Lance. Thank you very much, Commissioner.
1755	Other comments? Yes, Commissioner.
1756	Mr. Phillips. Just briefly. I just want to associate
1757	myself with the comments of my colleagues and thank you for your
1758	work on that really important legislation.
1759	Mr. Lance. Thank you. It's based upon the fact that there
1760	was a review of I think an orchestra at a wedding and there was
1761	some discussion that those who might purchase that service had
1762	to sign a form saying that there could be no disparaging review
1763	online which, of course, I think appals the American consuming
1764	public.
1765	Mr. Chopra. I agree, and I also want to share that one useful
1766	provision of that bill as well as some other bills that have been
1767	passed on a bipartisan basis is also allowing our state attorneys
1768	general to enforce it as well.
1769	We don't have the resources to do everything. But sometimes
1770	we won't be able to catch every orchestra violation. But the
1771	more we can rely on our state partners, the better.
1772	Mr. Lance. Thank you.
1773	Without commenting on any particular company or
1774	investigation, if a company is operating under a consent order
1775	and found in violation of the agency's data security or privacy

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1776 rules, does that affect the assessment of fines and penalties?

Whoever would like to begin.

Mr. Chopra. So, typically, violations of orders allow the FTC to seek from a court injunctions equitable relief, which can include consumer refunds as well as substantial civil penalties over 40,000 per violation.

So we have the discretion in many ways of when to seek it and how much to seek. But enforcement of our orders has to be a top priority.

Mr. Lance. Thank you. I ask these questions as the FTC continues its investigation into Facebook. During my questioning at this committee's hearing with Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg on April 11th, I indicated that Facebook's actions leading up to the Cambridge Analytica hack may have violated the consent agreement Facebook struck with the FTC in 2011.

News reports since that hearing have highlighted other questionable practices at Facebook. This has strengthened my belief that the company has routinely violated its promise to obtain express consent from consumers before sharing information with third parties.

I realize you can not comment on that as members of the commission. But that is my considered view, having reviewed the

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1798 | matter.

1799

1800

1801

1802

1803

1804

1805

1806

1807

1808

1809

1810

1811

1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

1817

1818

1819

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes the gentlelady from California for five minutes.

Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Last week in the Telecom Subcommittee, we discussed the difference frameworks governing consumer privacy. Current privacy rules for the telecommunications providers, for instance, require opt in consent from consumers before their provider could share so-called CPNI with unrelated third parties for independent use.

More broadly, however, it is often the case that an unrelated third party to an online platform can and does receive data on a consumer that visits that platform.

Third party analytics tools on a given website which send information on a user's visit to a third party and allows that third party to assess user data.

So I believe that a necessary part of the data privacy discussion -- maybe the most important part -- could be addressing access to data by a third party with whom a consumer has no direct relationship or knowledge.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1820	Mr. Chopra, what role does addressing independent third
1821	party data use have in the FTC's consumer protection mission?
1822	Mr. Chopra. Well, under our current authority, if that is
1823	disclosed, we may be frustrated in being able to combat that.
1824	But with respect to any privacy legislation that offers us the
1825	offers consumers affirmative rights to know where data is being
1826	shared, to know what it's being used for, and for consumers to
1827	be able to access that, if we were able to implement that and
1828	some of these principles come from our work from nearly 20 years
1829	ago in 1999, I think that would be very effective for the
1830	marketplace.
1831	Ms. Matsui. So you're basically saying you need
1832	legislation.
1833	Mr. Chopra. We are doing what we can
1834	Ms. Matsui. Right.
1835	Mr. Chopra with what we have. But we can't solve all
1836	of these problems with the existing law we have. This is why
1837	I really think we need more tools and resources to
1838	Ms. Matsui. So we have to be very specific, though, in our
1839	legislation to direct you then. Is that correct?
1840	Mr. Chopra. Well, you can I am not going to many people
1841	know how to craft legislation better than me.

But the extent to which you can provide the framework in which we can implement through rulemaking, that will allow us to be flexible as how the market changes.

No one would have known the extent to which website trackers would be used 10 years ago.

Ms. Matsui. Right. Okay.

Any other comments?

Okay. As you all know, regular HTTP connections sent in plain text can be intercepted and exploited by anybody or anything between a user and the website including somebody using public wifi.

So I am pleased that HTTPS deployment continues to grow.

HTTPS protocol can ensure an online connection between a consumer and a website that's encrypted.

And I am interested that the commission is looking at whether the same standard UI security indicators could be helpful in providing consistent meaningful consumer information no matter which browser you're using and whether you are using a desktop or mobile device.

Common security indicators that are deployed consistently could be a step towards increasing consumer understanding as to when their connection to a website is not only secure but also

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1864 | safe.

Entire panel -- does anybody have any thoughts on how security indicators deployed by web browsers could promote consumer protection?

Mr. Chopra. Well, I think the advancements in how we are securing website traffic are a positive step in the marketplace.

We know from other sectors of the economy, particularly in financial services, that encryption and higher standards for website security continue to be far ahead of the rest of the digital economy.

I don't necessarily know what we can do from a law enforcement perspective. But, obviously, companies that are maintaining sensitive data, financial health or whatever it may be, need to take steps to secure that data.

Ms. Matsui. Any other -- the rest of the panel any comments?

Ms. Ohlhausen. I think it's important that we look at how consumers get information about the security of the entire chain.

So the FTC has brought enforcement actions against browsers that weren't secure against -- that was the ASUS case -- against computers that had inadvertently or they didn't understand that

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

it was really providing a man in the middle attack for adware in the Lenovo case. So I think we've paid attention to all links in the chain for consumers.

Ms. Matsui. And I think the consumer needs to know and that's the part of it that we like to look at and I hope you're looking at too, because they may -- they don't know, in essence, and that's what's causing a lot of problems today, because they are unaware of what's protected, what's secure, what's not.

So anyway, it looks like I've run out of time. I yield back my time. Thank you.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields back.

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky for five minutes.

Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for holding this meeting and thank you call for being here.

This first one is for Commissioner Ohlhausen. Last Congress, I introduced -- it was H.R. 5315, which is the CLEAR Act, which we had a series -- part of a series of bills that we put forward on commission process reform.

And I think it was almost a year ago that the commission announced itself that it was going to look at -- announced a set

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of reforms for consumer protection investigations, and it was reportedly looking into reforms for competitive investigations as well.

Has there been progress made?

Ms. Ohlhausen. So yes, there has been progress that's been made. So we had our civil investigative demands reforms that I talked about at the beginning of my testimony that tried to give recipients clearer information and better guidance.

We also reduced the time for civil investigative demand. I gave a little more time to respond. We've gone through, under my leadership and we closed a number of investigations, but one of the other things that we did is we went through and looked at all our data security investigations, the ones that -- and privacy, the ones that we closed, and we distilled form that lessons about what steps companies had taken where we found it gave reasonable security and we issued that in an updated guidance called Stick with Security. It gave us 10 additional lessons to supplement or start with security for sure.

Mr. Guthrie. Okay. Well, thank you.

And Mr. Chairman, do you have any comments on the issues the committee should be considering in just terms of process reform as well?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Simons. -- process, also on the competition side. So one of the things that's been reported publicly is that the merger investigation seems to have gotten longer, at least according to some measures.

So one of the things we are looking at is actually tracking — developing a tracking mechanism to see how long they are in fact taking and why they are taking as long as they are and then allow us to determine what we can do to make them more efficient and less burdensome.

Mr. Guthrie. Thank you very much. And I am going to shift gears to the chairman as well. I know that we have -- particularly with older folks we've advertised and talked -- I mean, our office to try to put out when somebody calls you never give your -- any information over the phone. Hey, we are your bank -- we need to fix your account or whatever -- we do that.

But the concern that I have is these fake websites. When you go online and you're seeking it -- the information and you're trying to engage with a hotel chain or to get a reservation or whatever and you got to give information to confirm a rental car -- any of that -- any consumer, actually, retail business.

So just interested in how we know the identity of a website.

One, is there -- the committee -- I know the committee is looking

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

at how websites or identities confirmed, and the question is what
tools can consumers use to confirm they're on a real website where
they intended to go instead of a phishing site?

It is the green -- I think it's the green lock -- padlock that should be next to the site. Is that secure? Is that something we should look for? I mean if you all, anybody that's in that area to look for that -- talk about that? Is there any -- talking about secure websites under that?

Okay. I guess that's not, unfortunately --

Mr. Phillips. Congressman, if I may just very briefly -you had asked about other process reforms. I think I would be
remiss not to bring up the Sunshine Act. While that law has a
really great name, the way it operates today it inhibits our
ability as a commission to talk to each other.

And so I just forward that for your consideration.

Mr. Guthrie. How is that detrimental?

Mr. Phillips. Well --

Mr. Guthrie. I mean, I am just -- I am not challenging.

I am asking to --

Mr. Phillips. Sure. So --

Mr. Guthrie. An example you would like to talk with each other and --

Mr. Phillips. If three of us want to talk together about
an important issue or four of us want to talk together, unless
we you know, of commission business unless we publicly notice
it in advance we can't meet and while there are some really
important meetings that take place that are noticed, the daily
back and forth can also be important.
Mr. Simons. The informal the ability to informally meet

Mr. Simons. The informal -- the ability to informally meet without having to in advance schedule a full commission meeting would be enormously helpful.

Mr. Guthrie. Okay. So --

Mr. Simons. It allows us to kind of work through issues much more quickly and without delay as opposed to actually scheduling a formal meeting.

Mr. Guthrie. So the idea if you were going to take any action that it would be noticed and -- but you can't even --

Mr. Simons. We'd have to vote. We'd have to vote.

Mr. Guthrie. Okay. Any action would report, I see that.

Mr. Phillips. Typically, we are talking about informal conversation -- take any legal action. Yes, of course.

Mr. Guthrie. Yes. You can't -- I've seen city commissions in a room or something. They say, oh, we got one commissioner needs to step out and talk about something.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	99
1996	Mr. Phillips. It happens.
1997	Mr. Guthrie. And that's what, so there only can be two
1998	instead of three when I am doing talking to them about different
1999	business I've seen that before, and they literally do that.
2000	They don't take advantage. They send somebody out of the
2001	room and say they don't have the quorum or moving forward. But
2002	it does seem like him and I just talk about what issues are
2003	important to the city. It's not an action that's being taking
2004	and moving forward. I see your point to that.
2005	I yield back.
2006	Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.
2007	The gentleman yields back the balance of his time.
2008	And the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from New York
2009	for five minutes.
2010	Ms. Clarke. Thank you, Chairman Latta and Ranking Member
2011	Schakowsky, for convening this morning's hearing.
2012	A pleasant good morning to all of our commissioners and a
2013	special welcome to our newest FTC commissioners. Your role is
2014	more important now than it has ever been before.
2015	The American people count on you to protect their data,
2016	privacy, promote competition, and much more. There are so many
2017	pressing issues and changes happening under the FTC's authority

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2018 | daily.

I can say that I've very anxious to hear your answers regarding these issues and the significant changes. I would like to start with the issue of privacy, and direct this question to Commissioner Chopra.

When it comes to privacy, there are many changes that need to be made to protect American consumers. Over the past years, discrimination online has been rapid, resulting in the marginalization of struggling families and communities.

So can you please talk about the impact that the lack of meaningful privacy protections has on consumers, particularly those in under served and low income communities?

Mr. Chopra. Yes. So 50 years ago, Congress had a debate about secret databases that were making decisions about our lives — about where we could live, about where we could work, and about what loans we could take.

We passed the Fair Credit Reporting Act in 1970 to advance new levels of transparency, to give consumers redress when there are mistakes.

In today's digital economy, decisions are increasingly being made through data sets that we could have never imagines. It's no longer a manila folder world. It's a digital world.

And in many cases, it's very hard for us to look at what was the data that was being used. With machine learning and algorithms that are constantly changing, it's hard to audit and hard to see when maybe those mechanisms are reinforcing biases rather than leading to more inclusion.

The FTC has done work on big data and inclusion issues and I am concerned that our existing laws to prevent discrimination can't really be easily used when it comes to how technological choices — how technology is affecting the choices of firms in our economy, particularly with employment, housing, and credit.

Ms. Clarke. So what can the FTC do to make sure that these consumers are protected and do you feel that the FTC has the resources, expertise, and authority necessary to protect these consumers?

Mr. Chopra. Well, we do have -- we have the Fair Credit Reporting Act. We have the Equal Credit Opportunity Act. We have not brought a case in a long time in the Equal Credit Opportunity Act. That would like us -- I would like us to energetically enforce those two laws.

But, truthfully, on the second part of your question, my answer would be no, I don't think we have the resources and authority to confront some of the issues you're raising,

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2062	particularly	with	respect	to	privacy	and	data	security.
------	--------------	------	---------	----	---------	-----	------	-----------

Ms. Clarke. Okay. Well, I think that, Mr. Chairman, this is something we need to take a look at because it's only something that will become more insidious over time.

Net neutrality and the FTC's authority is an issue that I believe is on everyone's mind. So let me just extend this to the panel.

Would you state or believe that the recent Supreme Court decision in Ohio v. American Express has affected the FTC's ability to police anti-competitive behavior and net neutrality violations by broadband providers?

Mr. Simons. I will answer first. I don't think so.

I think the Am Ex case is extremely narrow. I think, really, the basic crux of it is limited to situations where there's a multi-sided platform that effectively involves a transaction where the platform is providing a service to both sides at the same time in the same way, basically.

So I think, generally, that's going to apply to very few situations.

Ms. Clarke. -- that it serves a two-sided market -- edge providers or interconnecting parties on one side and consumers on the other.

2084	Does the American Express decision potentially preclude
2085	effective FTC enforcement against anti-competitive conduct
2086	against edge providers?
2087	Mr. Simons. It might depend on the very specific facts.
2088	But, in general, I would think not.
2089	Ms. Clarke. Very well.
2090	Former FTC Commissioner Terrell McSweeny has suggested
2091	creating a bureau of technology at the FTC. Does the commission
2092	have sufficient resources and staffing to protect consumer
2093	privacy in the digital age and were resources an issue in failing
2094	to enforce the 2011 consent order?
2095	Mr. Simons. We can't talk about the an existing
2096	investigation. But we can say that so we have numerous ways
2097	of getting the technology help that we need. So we have an office
2098	of technology research and investigation which has, I think, about
2099	eight technologists in it. We frequently contract with outside
2100	parties to get technology resources and we hold we hold
2101	workshops and seminars where we bring people in to educate us
2102	about new developments in the technological area.
2103	Ms. Clarke. Very well. Mr. Chair
2104	Ms. Slaughter. Can I jump in, Congresswoman?
2105	I do think I would lend my personal support to the idea

of a bureau of technology. I think it is the kind of thing we
could really benefit from and I am concerned that with our current
resource constraints to set something like that up we would have
to be taking resources away from other important work that we
are doing.

So it is an area where I think we could really benefit from some injection of more resources.

Mr. Simons. We are looking into that. So we actually are affirmatively evaluating whether to create a bureau of technology and that's just in process So I am not sure how that's going to come out.

There's a group of technologists that are embedded in the Bureau of Consumer Protection and so they're already there. And so the question is whether we need to have a commission wide bureau as opposed to one that's just in one of the bureaus.

Ms. Clarke. And whether, in fact, the workload is --

Mr. Simons. And yes, that's -- - yes.

Ms. Clarke. -- would be a burden to those who are already in that space.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.

The gentlelady's time has expired.

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Indiana for five minutes.

Mr. Bucshon. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

As a physician, I am concerned to hear reports that the DOJ may not challenge the CVS-Aetna merger. In an industry -- the health care industry that already lacks price transparency and, in many areas, competition, this vertical merger could increase anti-competitive practices.

I am not passing any judgements on the merger at all, up or down. I am just voicing a few concerns and then I have a few questions.

The merger could potentially lead to Aetna customers receiving some pressure to fill prescriptions at CVS and to visit CVS walk-in clinics instead of other pharmacies or clinics, eliminating real choice for the consumer.

In addition, Caremark, owned by CVS, is one of the largest PBMs -- pharmacy benefit managers -- in the country, and as you likely know, ensures our PBMs to negotiate prices from drug manufacturers.

The top three PBMs manage the drug benefits for approximately 95 percent of the American people. The merger might incentivize Caremark to secure better deals from Aetna while potentially

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2150 | increasing costs for other companies.

While I understand that the DOJ is currently investigation the merger, I want to get your perspective not particularly on this merger. I am getting to my point here. I want to get your perspective since the FTC also has jurisdiction enforcing federal anti-trust laws.

So to the panel, what are your thoughts on this type of vertical merger in the health care industry, again, not specific to this but in general, in the health care industry and other industries.

Particularly in health care, I am very concerned about this because, as you know, as a country, we are struggling to get health care costs down and provide coverage for all of our citizens.

So I guess I will just address this to the panel. What are your thoughts about this type of merger in the health care space?

Mr. Simons. So let me say first, that the health care industry has been and will continue to be an enormous emphasis for the FTC for all the reasons that you just described.

In terms -- so we are very focused on this part of the economy and we are very much looking out for the consumer here.

So and in terms of the vertical mergers, they turn out to be very, very fact specific. So sometimes they can be -- they

can be efficiency enhancing and beneficial for the consumer, even maybe when the companies involved are relatively large.

But sometimes they can be very harmful to the consumer.

And so, you know, the job of the anti-trust authority is to

determine, you know, which is which and act accordingly.

Ms. Ohlhausen. I agree that healthcare is enormously important to consumers and it's an enormously important part of our economy and thus an enormously important and focus at the FTC and health care enforcement in general.

Regarding vertical mergers, I agree with Chairman Simons they are intensely fact specific. But I would mention that's outside the healthcare space. But we recently just had a vertical merger where we had a remedy we required -- a behavioral remedy in the Northrop-Orbital ATK matter.

So there can be problems in vertical mergers and where there are problems the mergers should be stopped or maybe a remedy should be proposed that takes care of that problem and allows the efficiencies to occur.

Mr. Phillips. Thank you for the question, Congressman.

I agree with my colleagues that healthcare is -- has been for a very long time and will remain a focus for this agency -- I am very proud of the work that our staff have done in fighting

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

all sorts of anti-competitive conduct in the health care space in ensuring that mergers work for consumers.

Experience and economic learning teach us that verticals can be very good for consumers. But the specifics depend on the facts, and that makes it really important that we, as law enforcers, pay attention to trends, in that sector of our economy. Look at how companies are changing their behavior, look at how they're combining and stay on top of them when we fear that there may be anti-competitive effects.

Mr. Chopra. I think the structure of the pharmacy benefit manager business raises very serious transparency and conflict of interest issues.

Ms. Slaughter. My colleagues have said basically everything I was going to say. I was going to add a point that I think in health care in particular consumers are frequently frustrated and competition is frustrated by a lack of transparency, as Commissioner Chopra mentioned, and it's particularly true with respect to pharmaceutical benefits.

Mr. Bucshon. Quickly, Commissioner Phillips, this committee is very focused on ways in which companies and devices collect information about consumers.

As I've raised in several hearings, I have specific concerns

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

109 2216 with cell phones and other smart devices listening and collecting 2217 information and then that information being used to deliver 2218 targeted ads, and this happens to all of us all the time. 2219 Is this something you're concerned with -- and I know you 2220 are -- that -- and will it be an issue that the FTC makes a priority? Mr. Phillips. I think it's one of those economic trends 2221 2222 that I mentioned a moment ago outside the healthcare space that 2223 very much is at the front of what we are looking. 2224 Mr. Bucshon. Okay. Thank you very much. I yield back. 2225 Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman's time has expired. 2226 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas for five 2227 minutes. 2228 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Green. I thank you for 2229 holding this hearing, also for our ranking member. 2230 I would like to talk a bit about our robocall problem and

consumer fraud. As you know, robocalls and failure of the do not call registry are some of the top complaints received by the FTC and by members of Congress and our constituents, particularly older Americans.

Older Americans lose more than -- more money to fraud than According to the FTC's Consumer Sentinel younger age groups. Network Data Book, Americans ages 50 and older are reporting a

2231

2232

2233

2234

2235

2236

median loss of \$1,092 over two and a half times the median loss for Americans in their 30s for only \$380.

Chairman Simons, out of all the complaints received by the FTC on these calls and can you provide a rough estimate on how many come from U.S. companies or individuals and how many come from overseas?

Mr. Simons. Thank you for the question.

We are very interested in that. But, unfortunately, the data that we have access to doesn't allow us to determine that. If I had to guess, I would say it's probably, largely, coming from overseas.

Mr. Green. Well, I've heard that the hearing to date -she said that she got a robocall and somebody was speaking to
Chinese to her. I don't know how that would do any good to try
to call us in Texas. But --

Mr. Simons. You know, that's one of the problems really is that the do not call rule has been superseded by technology -- right, technological developments.

You can have -- you can have the telecommunications costs have come down so dramatically and the computing costs have come down so dramatically that blasting out millions and millions of calls is so cheap.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2260	Mr. Green. Do you know how many defrauded older Americans
2261	receive consumer redress from the FTC?
2262	If you don't know, if you'd just get it to us, because I
2263	would like to because a lot of us do senior townhall meetings
2264	and they want to know about Social Security and Medicare and then
2265	they say we are tired of robocalls.
2266	Mr. Simons. We are all tired of robocalls and actually one
2267	of the things we think would be really helpful in that regard
2268	is to give us jurisdiction over common carriers because some of
2269	them are, we think, are facilitating robocalls and we could
2270	we could challenge that.
2271	Mr. Green. I've understood that and I don't have any
2272	opposition to it. But I know when we get the FTC and the FCC
2273	it's somehow in our committee had jurisdiction over both of
2274	them. So you're talking to the right committee.
2275	What more could the FTC do under current law to protect older
2276	Americans from fraud?
2277	Mr. Simons. We do a lot. So we have enforcement actions.
2278	We have outreach community outreach. We have website on
2279	our website we have consumer materials for the elderly and we
2280	do sweeps.
2281	We recently conducted a sweep that dealt with the elderly.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2282	Or actually, there's a task force now that the DOJ is spearheading
2283	that we are involved with. That is one of the communities that's
2284	geared to help is elderly.
2285	Mr. Green. Is there a way that could the FTC partner
2286	with the FCC on a joint effort, particularly for seniors and older
2287	Americans?

Mr. Simons. We would -- that would certainly -- certainly be happy to talk to Chairman Pai about that.

Mr. Green. I will bring that up at our telecom subcommittee.

I think that's -- elder abuse is an issue and that's a big one I know we all hear about. Mr. Chairman, I will yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania
for five minutes.

Mr. Costello. Thank you. In May, the FTC and the FDA send 13 warning letter to retailers, manufacturers, and distributers of vaping nicotine products to stop marketing these products to children. As we have recently seen, vaping -- some people call it jewelling -- is increasingly become pervasive in our schools.

As FDA Commissioner Gottlieb announced in April, it is well established that nicotine can, quote, "rewire an adolescent's

2288

2289

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

2295

2296

2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

2302

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2304	brain, leading years of addiction," end quote.
2305	Two questions, Mr. Chairman, and if anyone else would else
2306	would like to weigh in does the FTC agree with Chairman
2307	Gottlieb's statement that there is no acceptable number of
2308	children using tobacco products I guess that's an easy answer
2309	
2310	Ms. Slaughter. Yes.
2311	Mr. Costello followed by this question. Would it be
2312	a powerful deterrent to give the FTC the authority to find a party
2313	marketing these vaping products to children for their first
2314	violation?
2315	Mr. Simons. I would think any financial penalty would be
2316	more of a deterrent than no financial penalty.
2317	Mr. Costello. This would comes on the heels, I think,
2318	of Commissioner Chopra's comment about the difference between
2319	having the ability to find versus working on a
2320	Mr. Simons. Correct.
2321	Mr. Chopra. If there was a rule in place or if we had that
2322	authority, we would certainly be able not only to just tell someone
2323	stop; we would be able to seek penalties and other remedies to
2324	
2325	Mr. Costello. What would that rule look like and how could

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Congress carefully tailor that so that you don't have all the freedom that we fear you could have?

Mr. Chopra. Yes. Well, I think actually some of the comments Commissioner Gottlieb has made on this I think that would really inform how you might prescribe it because there is some changing science.

There is some changing delivery mechanisms of nicotine.

We, obviously, are not -- maybe someone is but I am not an expert in the tobacco market.

But I think you would be able to put the guardrails around it to make it as narrow or as wide as you want. I just want to make sure that whatever you do give us we vigorously enforce.

Mr. Costello. Yes. I mean, the broader application of that example is, from a marketing perspective, how do you fashion how you would go about determining what is and isn't a violation and just how broadly would that power be vested upon you to go into the marketing space and say we are going to slap you here -- we are going to fine you here versus we are going to enter into a consent decree there.

And is it so broad as to say any marketing or is it going to be sort of certain types of marketing or certain products?

Mr. Chopra. Right. So actually we do --

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	115
2348	Mr. Costello. Because I gave you the easy example.
2349	Mr. Chopra. We do enforce a children's online privacy
2350	protection act and there's implementing regulations, and as part
2351	of that we help to communicate to the marketplace what is
2352	considered dealing with online transactions with children. We
2353	specify that.
2354	So one of the advantages of putting some meat on the bones
2355	through implementing rules is that it gives all market
2356	participants a clear sense as to what is inside and outside the
2357	bounds rather than just waiting for enforcement action.
2358	Mr. Costello. Right. I have another question for you.
2359	I will ask that and if you want to follow up on this one.
2360	The Smart IoT Act was approved by the full committee. We
2361	were focused on both the benefits and challenges of what a
2362	connected world brings.
2363	Can you talk about the actions and initiatives the FTC has
2364	or will take to promote consumer protection with respect to IoT
2365	devices?
2366	Mr. Simons. Yes. So we are active in that space, as
2367	Commissioner Ohlhausen mentioned. We've had a couple of orders
2368	there already and we continue to look at that.
2369	We've also had an IoT workshop and so to educate ourselves

2370 and to look at what we should be doing, going forward.

So we are very active in this area.

Mr. Costello. Ms. Ohlhausen, you also mentioned that in your opening testimony. Anything to add to that in terms of what you're doing in the IoT space?

Ms. Ohlhausen. I think it's a particularly interesting area and, certainly, our enforcement actions against the first connected toy case in VTech, other ones that we brought about -- internet connected cameras and the routers as well as the policy inquiries, because Internet of Things has -- can have enormous benefits for consumers and for competition.

So we want to draw the lines in the right place to make sure consumers are protected but that innovation can still continue in the market.

Mr. Costello. Do you have the type of authority vested in you to be able to do that or have you found that lacking in your diligence?

Ms. Ohlhausen. I think for the Internet of Things, you know, the cases we've been able to bring I think do show that we do have, you know, sufficient authority there to -- teeth and got the attention of the industry about, you know, making sure that they don't have flaws and that they are looking at things.

2392	But it is a challenging area because they are often
2393	disposable products they don't necessarily get updated a lot.
2394	So there may be
2395	Mr. Costello. Layered with other products?
2396	Ms. Ohlhausen industry involvement as well.
2397	Mr. Costello. I appreciate your feedback.
2398	I yield back.
2399	Mr. Latta. Thank you.
2400	The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Florida for five
2401	minutes.
2402	Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate it.
2403	To the panel, well, this is actually for Chairman Simons.
2404	The FTC points out it serves its dual mission through
2405	vigorous enforcement, education, advocacy, and policy work, and
2406	by anticipating and responding to changes in the marketplace.
2407	Outside of law enforcement, are there past or recent examples
2408	of policy work, reports, and workshops related to online privacy
2409	that you can share with us today, please?
2410	Mr. Simons. I am drawing a blank right now. But maybe one
2411	of my colleagues could help me.
2412	Ms. Ohlhausen. So just in the past year and a half, we
2413	actually have done six workshops in major policy efforts looking

	118
2414	at privacy and data security things from industry guidance.
2415	We did a student privacy and NED tech workshop. We did an
2416	informational injury workshop to explore the boundaries and types
2417	of industries consumers may suffer. We did an identity theft
2418	workshop, a connected cars workshop, going to the Internet of
2419	Things.
2420	So I think we have done quite a bit in this space. Just
2421	in recent time, and that's just building on all the other years
2422	of work we've done in this area.
2423	Mr. Bucshon. Okay. Thank you. And Commissioner Phillips
2424	does somebody else want to add something?
2425	Mr. Phillips. I was going to.
2426	Mr. Bucshon. Okay. Go ahead, please.
2427	Mr. Phillips. I was just going to say the chairman also has
2428	announced a very ambitious set of hearings to begin this fall
2429	on a variety of issues including those.
2430	Mr. Bucshon. Okay. Very good. Thank you.
2431	Mr. Chopra. And we also I would encourage you our
2432	2012 privacy report as well as a later report on data brokers
2433	those two, I think, provide a real consensus roadmap on a lot
2434	of the initiatives that could be pursued on a bipartisan basis,
2435	in my view.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Bucshon. Right. Do you have anything to add? We are okay?

I will go on the next one.

Commissioner Phillips, do you believe American consumer -the consumers fully comprehend exactly how much personal health
and financial data has been collected on them? What can the FTC
do to better educate consumers on data collection activity that
industry is engaged on? I mean, you know, it's up to the
individual. But they need to get all the facts. So if you could
answer that I would appreciate it.

Mr. Phillips. Thank you for that question. I think that's a really important one. I can't sit here and tell you that every individual fully comprehends the way which data are being collected, how those data are being used or how those data, as Commissioner Chopra said earlier, are being shared.

You're right to note that consumers have access to that information and consumers also have certain expectations. For instance, you know, my ability to use an app, let's say, that guides me through traffic and tells me where the traffic jams are going to be.

I know that it must have information about that traffic from drivers like me who are supplying it. That balance is a very

2458 | important one.

Fostering the innovation as we want to do and also helping to keep consumer understanding in line, I think that's going to be one of the biggest challenges that we face over all of our tenures.

I know it's going to be a topic that we are going to be talking about in years to come and we look forward to working with you on that.

Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. If someone else wants to add, but would you recommend that the consumer assume that all their data -- that particular data would be collected when they make an informed decision as to whether they want to log in or log out, and a lot of times they don't have the opportunity to opt out.

Mr. Phillips. Let me sort of start with the second one.

I hope in my own life and I hope that everyone in their own lives always make informed decisions.

That's not always how we do things. I will admit to you that I have repeatedly in the last few weeks clicked on any number of accept accepts online. And now I've forgotten the first part of the question. I apologize.

Ms. Slaughter. I will jump in.

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2480	Mr. Bilirakis. Well, just yes. Should I repeat the
2481	question?
2482	Mr. Phillips. If you don't mind.
2483	Mr. Bilirakis. For our consumer, would you recommend the
2484	consumer assume
2485	Mr. Phillips. Let's assume.
2486	Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, assume that this data is collected on
2487	them.
2488	Mr. Phillips. The short answer is yes. As we engage with
2489	the digital economy, we derive tremendous benefits from that
2490	almost every minute of every day of our lives and in many respects
2491	we are sharing information and so that is a pretty reasonable
2492	assumption.
2493	Mr. Chopra. That's pretty sad, though.
2494	Mr. Bilirakis. Would you like to add something?
2495	Mr. Chopra. I mean, it's pretty sad that we have to assume
2496	helplessness. So I understand we want to may want to warn
2497	consumers that anything can be up for grabs. But that can really
2498	lower consumers' confidence in engaging online or engaging in
2499	spaces where they just think everything is going to be taken from
2500	them.
2501	So we have to make sure that we are also not scaring people

from engaging in productive transactions for their lives because they fear that someone is always spying on them and this is why we have to put into place the right framework to protect privacy.

Ms. Slaughter. And from an enforcement perspective it's our obligation to ensure that when companies represent to consumers that they are collecting data in a certain way or not collecting data in a certain way that they're living up to those representations that they make and that is an area under the current law where we can and do enforce.

Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, go ahead, please.

Ms. Ohlhausen. And if I could just -- so going back to the FTC's 2012 privacy report it talks about the importance of it being context specific.

So if I am sharing my location with the traffic app, I certainly understand that it knows where I am to route me around the traffic jams. But we have to understand that the consumer may not expect that that data will be used in other ways.

For example, we brought an enforcement action in a case called Goldenshores, which was about a flashlight app and it worked fine as a flashlight. But the consumers didn't know that it was also collecting their real-time location data and sharing that with marketers.

123

2524 So I think that is an important thing is the context. Ιs 2525 the information being used the way the consumer expects to get 2526 the service or is it being shared -- their sensitive data being shared in some way that they don't anticipate and I think that's 2527 2528 where enforcement and guidance and policy concerns need to focus. 2529 Mr. Bilirakis. All right. I have a couple more questions 2530 but I will submit them for the record. I appreciate it , Mr. 2531 Chairman. I yield back. 2532 Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time has 2533 expired. 2534 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico for 2535 five minutes. 2536 Thank you, Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Mr. Lujan. 2537 Pallone, and Schakowsky, Chairman Walden, for holding this 2538 important hearing with our Federal Trade Commission Oversight. 2539 When it comes to protecting consumers, I am afraid that both 2540 Congress and the FTC have much more to do. 2541 Commissioner Simons, I am going to direct my questions to 2542 you, which I believe you should be able to address without getting 2543 into the FTC's active investigations. 2544 In September of 2017, the credit bureau Equifax announced

a massive consumer data breach due to vulnerability that the

2546	company knew about but failed to adequately address.
2547	Personal information including Social Security numbers,
2548	birth dates, addresses, and, in some cases, driver license numbers
2549	or partial driver's licence numbers of almost 150 million
2550	consumers were exposed to illicit actors.
2551	Commissioner Simons, is that correct?
2552	Mr. Simons. I am sorry. Is that what?
2553	Mr. Lujan. Is that correct?
2554	Mr. Simons. That's my understanding. That's consistent
2555	with what I
2556	Mr. Lujan. And, again, it's that Equifax announced the
2557	massive consumer
2558	Mr. Simons. Yes. This is public information.
2559	Mr. Lujan. Is it correct, due to this breach of the credit
2560	card numbers of more than 200,000 consumers were compromised as
2561	well as other personal information included in the dispute
2562	documents of more than 180 consumers?
2563	Mr. Simons. So I am not sure I am not sure if that was
2564	public. So I really don't I really
2565	Mr. Lujan. I believe that that was public as well. So we
2566	can verify that. We'll get that entered into the record.
2567	Mr. Simons. Okay.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2568	Mr. Lujan. Is it correct that Facebook recently revealed
2569	that 87 million Facebook users had their data exposed to Cambridge
2570	Analytica?
2571	Mr. Simons. I believe there were press reports to that
2572	effect.
2573	Mr. Lujan. Is it correct that in November of last year we
2574	learned that hackers stole information of 57 million Uber drivers
2575	and riders?
2576	Mr. Simons. I think that's reported as well.
2577	Mr. Lujan. So Mr. Chairman, I would like to support a
2578	business insider article into the record. The article states
2579	that since January 2017 at least 15 retailers were hacked and
2580	likely had information stolen from them. Techy include Macy's,
2581	Adidas, Sears, Kmart, Delta, Best Buy, Saks Fifth Avenue, Lord
2582	& Taylor, Under Armour, Panera Bread, Forever 21, Sonic, Whole
2583	Foods, Game Stop, and Arby's.
2584	Commissioner Simons, have you ever shopped at one of these
2585	establishments?
2586	Mr. Simons. Yes.
2587	Mr. Lujan. Me too. I think most Americans have.
2588	Commissioner Simons, is there any reason to expect that there
2589	will be fewer data breaches in the future?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2590	Mr. Simons. That's our goal and that's what we are working
2591	for.
2592	Mr. Lujan. But the reason we are working towards that goal
2593	is because we are concerned that they could happen.
2594	Mr. Simons. Yes, and one of the things that we discussed
2595	earlier is the need for civil penalty authority to act as a
2596	increased deterrent.
2597	Mr. Lujan. So building on that, Commissioner, can you
2598	detail what rules the FTC is currently developing to better
2599	protect consumers' privacy and data?
2600	Mr. Simons. We don't have any rulemakings going on. We
2601	are engaged in enforcement to the extent our current authority
2602	allows us to do that and we are as aggressive as we can under
2603	our current authority.
2604	Mr. Lujan. Does the FTC have rulemaking authority?
2605	Mr. Simons. We do under Magnuson-Moss. But it's very
2606	cumbersome.
2607	Mr. Lujan. But not in this space?
2608	Mr. Simons. No, we well, it's general.
2609	Mr. Lujan. Could the FTC use support for authority to have
2610	more clear rulemaking authority to protect consumers' privacy?
2611	Mr. Simons. I think I think through individual

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2612	enforcement we are able to make pretty clear what companies are
2613	supposed to do.
2614	So I think we are relatively effective in that regard, and
2615	the rule, I don't think, needs I don't think we need a rule
2616	to make it clear what the companies need to do. I think the
2617	authority the civil penalty authority would act as a
2618	substantial conditional deterrent.
2619	Mr. Lujan. So some of the numbers that I rattled off
2620	150 million people exposed with Equifax, 200,000 consumers were
2621	compromised, 180,000 consumers here and there, 87 million with
2622	Facebook and Cambridge Analytica, 57 million Uber drivers, a lot
2623	of people are getting their information stolen.
2624	Commissioner, are you aware of any recent legislation that's
2625	been passed by the Congress and signed into law that will
2626	strengthen privacy protections for consumers?
2627	Mr. Simons. You mean like COPPA?
2628	Mr. Lujan. Anything that you might be aware of.
2629	Mr. Simons. COPPA would be one. That would be a relatively
2630	recent one.
2631	Ms. Ohlhausen. It's not recent, but there's the Fair Credit
2632	Reporting Act as well.

Mr. Lujan. The reason I ask that question is this

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

subcommittee, Mr. Chairman, held a hearing on Equifax in October of 2017. We had Mark Zuckerberg before the full committee in April 2018. It's now July.

And these breaches took place before the holidays. There

And these breaches took place before the holidays. There were assurances that the Congress would act to provide more certainty to the comments that Commissioner Chopra shared -- that you need to instil confidence.

Nothing happened. I believe, Mr. Chairman, that this

Congress and this committee need to act before the August recess,

need to act before the end of this year to be able to get more

comprehensive privacy legislation adopted and I believe our

constituents and the American people deserve better.

I thank everyone for their time and I see my time has expired.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time has expired.

The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Georgia for five minutes.

Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate you letting me sit in on this.

I thank all of your for being here. You have a very important job and certainly -- certainly, it's very important to consumers.

Full disclosure -- currently I am the only pharmacist serving

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

in Congress for over 30 years. I practiced in retail pharmacy
and I am very familiar with what's going on in that. And I
wanted to ask you I want to talk specifically about PBMs
pharmacy benefit managers where three companies control 78
percent of the market.

First of all, Mr. Simons, you and I met after you became director and we met and we talked about the anti-competitive nature of this. Would you consider three companies owning 78 percent of the market be a problem?

Mr. Simons. Certainly, a market structure in which you might find and we might look for anti-competitive conduct.

As I mentioned before, in terms of anti-trust enforcement, the places you look are the places where there are small numbers of firms with large shares.

Mr. Carter. Right.

Mr. Simons. And this would be such a case.

Mr. Carter. One of the things that confuses me is when we talk about vertical integration and horizontal integration and often times you tell me, well, vertical integration is fine and we just have to worry about horizontal integration.

Mr. Simons. No, I wouldn't say that.

Mr. Carter. Well, I appreciate you correcting me because

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

that is -- that's probably a misnomer that I want to dispose of because the vertical integration as we see it right now, all of you know what's going on. All of you know what's going on.

We've got the top three -- those three that I mentioned -- PBMs that control 78 percent of the market -- you have got CVS, Caremark that now is buying Aetna. So all of a sudden you're going to have a vertical integration that includes the insurance company owning the pharmacy benefits manager owning the pharmacy.

Now you have got Cigna buying out Express Scripts. Did you know that Express Scripts, according to volume, is the third largest pharmacy chain in America right now?

So now you have gone number one at CVS. You have got number three at Express Scripts. Cigna is going to be the insurance company.

Express Scripts will be the PBM and there will be the pharmacy, and that vertical integration you yourself, Mr. Simons, the FTC investigated in January of 2012 regarding patient steering from Caremark to CVS. And what was going on there?

We see it. I've got numerous examples that I can share with you and I will be glad to share with you, and yet they hide under the auspices of a lack of transparency.

I mean, this is the most opaque system that's known out there

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

-- the most opaque system because -- and they hide behind that and their profits have been just outrageous.

In fact, I don't like to read but I am going to read this:

In fact, I don't like to read but I am going to read this: according to data from CMS, between 1987, when PBMs first became involved in this when they were formed, and 2014 expenditures on prescription drugs have jumped by 1,100 percent.

There's been 1,553 percent increase in per employee prescription drug benefit cost since 1987. In the last 10 years, the two largest PBMs have increased their profit margins by nearly 600 percent.

Now, folks, I am not opposed to anybody making money. But if you ask them what is your mission -- what is the mission of a PBM -- they'll tell you it's to control prescription drug prices.

Well, how is that working out? Why is that an initiative of the president of Health and Human Services right now to lower prescription drug prices?

If they are fulfilling their mission, and yet they're hiding again and this is what we look to the FTC to do. Am I wrong?

Is that not your responsibility? Should I be talking to some other agency?

Mr. Simons. Well, it's partially our responsibility. So we look at anti-competitive conduct and if they're engaging in

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2722	anti-competitive	conduct we	should be	challenging	it.
------	------------------	------------	-----------	-------------	-----

Mr. Carter. So I -- just a quick story. I had a pharmacist that shared a story with me -- that he filled a prescription at his drug store -- his drugs store -- for his wife, who was covered under Caremart insurance.

That night he got a call at his home from CVS saying if you get it filled at our pharmacy you can get a lower co-payment.

Now, is there supposed to be a firewall? What happened to that firewall? This was his wife.

Mr. Simons. I understand.

Mr. Carter. That's the kind of behavior that's going on here. Yet and we look to you to help us with this, not because of the pharmacy but because of the consumer. It's the consumer who's suffering here, because as you do away the independent retail pharmacies, you do away with choice, less competition, that's not going to benefit the consumer at all.

It's just very frustrating when you have -- when you have a profession where the PBMs are responsible -- they create the pharmacy networks. They decide who's going to be let into that network and who's not going to be let into that network.

They direct the patients to the certain pharmacies and it

	133
2744	they own the pharmacy who do you think they're going to direct
2745	it to?
2746	My one question is who is supposed to be watching this.
2747	I thought it was the FTC. Can I get a commitment that you will
2748	look into this?
2749	Mr. Simons. We are looking into it.
2750	Mr. Carter. You're looking into it now?
2751	Mr. Simons. You and I had a meeting and
2752	Mr. Carter. We did.
2753	Mr. Simons and I took what you said to heart.
2754	Mr. Carter. Thank you.
2755	I look forward to continuing that conversation with you.
2756	Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.
2757	Mr. Carter. Thank you. I yield.
2758	Mr. Latta. The gentleman's time has expired and the chair
2759	now recognizes the chairman from Maryland for five minutes.
2760	Mr. Sarbanes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity
2761	to participate in the hearing. Just before I start my questions,
2762	I want to say I agree 1,000 percent with my colleague. These
2763	mergers are kind of out of control. They're putting the consumer,
2764	really, in a very, very bad position.
2765	I want to thank you all for being here, for your work. This

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	134
2766	is an incredibly important commission in terms of protecting
2767	consumers, obviously, and the opportunities to lean in to do that,
2768	I think are expansive right now, if you look across all the various
2769	areas of jurisdiction that you have.
2770	Commissioner, is it Simmons? Is that the pronunciation?
2771	Mr. Simons. It's Simons.
2772	Mr. Sarbanes. It's Simons. Okay.
2773	Mr. Simons. One M, two S's.
2774	Mr. Sarbanes. I was wondering why everyone was saying
2775	Simmons.
2776	Mr. Simons. But, you know, it's remarkable how often it's
2777	pronounced so I am used to it.
2778	Mr. Sarbanes. Okay. So Commissioner Simons, I wanted to
2779	talk a little bit about biologic drugs and biosimilars. The FTC,
2780	as I understand it, doesn't currently have the authority to
2781	monitor agreements made between the manufacturers of biologics
2782	and biosimilars, and I wanted to know if you thought it was
2783	important that the FTC get that authority and, if so, why.
2784	Mr. Simons. I mean, in terms of, like, pay for delay and
2785	
2786	Mr. Sarbanes. Exactly.
2787	Mr. Simons. Yes, that would be helpful.

Mr. Sarbanes. I mean, my understanding is that if you look at the authority you have over the agreements between brand name drug manufacturers and generic drug manufacturers where you can monitor this anti-competitive behavior, the pay for delay agreements, and so forth, that you can have a significant impact on the overall cost to the consumer out there is you take those actions.

Can you describe a little bit some of the tools you have when you go after those pay for delay agreements?

Mr. Simons. So the statute you're talking about requires that if there's any agreement between a brand and a generic I think that delays the marketing of the product that they have to report that to the FTC and those disclosures are significant way in which the commission finds out about these agreements and whether it gives a rough sense early -- you know, tells us where to look for whether these agreements might be anti-competitive or not.

So it's -- the alert says hey, these agreements, and it's a big brief description of what they are, and we can look at them and then figure out okay, which ones look like they're problems and then we go after them.

Mr. Sarbanes. It's incredibly important. I think there's

a study out there that shows that while brand name drugs are about 10 percent of all drugs that are dispensed, I think that's including biologics in the equation -- they make up about 72 percent of the annual U.S. drug spending.

So there's a huge amount of money on the line, obviously, from patients directly and from payers like Medicare and Medicaid, government payers that can be saved by monitoring these agreements, blocking these agreements.

But it's really critical to get that same kind of authority with respect to the biologics and the biosimilars because in the same way those paid for delays are decreasing market competition. They're keeping the costs high. That's hurting patients at the counter, and I want to, again, commend you on the work you're doing with respect to the brand name drugs and the generics.

But the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003, which gave FTC this authority, as you know, didn't extend it to biologics and biosimilar drugs.

So I will be introducing soon the Biosimilars Competition Act of 2018 to grant FTC that authority so that you can begin to monitor these deals, punish the bad actors and hopefully deter many of these backroom deals from being made in the first place.

We look forward to any comments you all will have with respect

	137
2832	to the proposed because we do want to give you that authority.
2833	Mr. Simons. Thank you very much.
2834	Mr. Sarbanes. I wanted to switch gears really quickly. You
2835	had probably two or three members ask you about your authority
2836	as it related to Facebook and that earlier consent decree and
2837	I wanted to pick up on that a little bit.
2838	I was sort of struck in a recent earnings call the various
2839	Wall Street analysts were, like, peppering Mark Zuckerberg and
2840	Sheryl Sandberg about the implications of Europe's new privacy
2841	law, the general data protection regulation how it might impact
2842	the company and its earnings.
2843	Not one person not a single analyst asked about the
2844	FTC's announced investigation into Facebook's privacy concerns,
2845	potential violation of the 2011 consent decree.
2846	So the market, in any event, doesn't seem to be taking that
2847	consent decree-your ability to enforce it and punish Facebook
2848	if you see violations, seriously from a kind of market standpoint.
2849	Actually, Commissioner Chopra, I would like your
2850	perspective, if you could share it quickly, just about this issue
2851	of the commission's credibility.
2852	I mean, to me that treatment on the earnings call suggests
2853	that they're not taking it seriously. Can you speak to how the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

FTC could be taken more seriously on things like that and the tools that you could maybe uses to do that?

Mr. Chopra. Without speaking about this specific matter at hand, the FTC very energetically goes after companies that break the law and when it comes to small time scammers, we really do lay down the hammer. We seek bans of executives. We sometimes close down a business.

I would like us to apply the law evenly regardless if it's a small time scammer or a big time publicly traded corpora ration and we -- it's our duty, I think, to apply that law equally and the market needs to see that we are willing to do that. Otherwise, the credibility of our orders and enforcement will not be as high as it needs to be.

Mr. Sarbanes. Well, thank you very much. I appreciate that. I agree with you and I think we do need to make sure the big guys receive the same kind of attention as the small guys.

The public's confidence in the commission, I think, is dependent upon that.

Thank you, and I yield back my time.

Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman's time has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from California for five minutes.

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2876	Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the chair and the ranking
2877	member. I thank the commissioners for your service with limited
2878	resources. It's a tough job.
2879	In recent years, we've witnessed numerous data breaches
2880	incidents from many companies like Facebook, Equifax, Target,
2881	Home Depot, LinkedIn, and Anthem.
2882	The FTC does have resources to help consumers who have been
2883	victims of data breaches.
2884	Commissioner Slaughter, what more could the FTC be doing
2885	for consumers?
2886	Ms. Slaughter. Thank you for the question, Congressman.
2887	I think my colleagues and I have all spoken particularly
2888	in the area of data breach and data security. Well, I don't say
2889	all several of us have spoken.
2890	No, I think all, actually correcting myself about how
2891	we could benefit from data security legislation, perhaps data
2892	breach notification legislation that gave us more specific
2893	authority and perhaps rulemaking authority with respect to data
2894	security and data privacy.
2895	Mr. McNerney. So that would be your specific recommendation
2896	as it you the FTC be given rulemaking authority?
2897	Ms. Slaughter. Yes. I think we've outlined a number

of us have outlined different issues that we -- different kinds of authorities that would be useful in different ways.

Rulemaking is one. Civil penalty authority is another.

I think we will -- we do and we will go after violations of the law where we find them now. But deterring these problems on a large scale would be -- we would be better able to do that with a little bit more authority.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

Mr. Chopra. Well, I think that you mentioned Target and some other large retailers. I just want to underscore, particular with respect to stolen credit card information at these large retailers, this actually impacts a wide swath of our banking industry as well. Community banks, others, who in some ways have to take those losses.

So we require financial institutions. We require certain health care institutions to protect data. I think we, in some ways, need to level the playing field and make sure other big sectors of the economy are doing the same thing.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

Chairman Simons, facial recognition features are increasingly being incorporated into technology. However, just

### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

last week,	Brad Sm	ith from	Micros	oft state	ed that	fac	ial	
recognitio:	n techno	logy sho	uld be	regulated	d, which	is	voicing	a
great concern on this issue.								

What is the FTC doing to address privacy concerns raised by facial recognition technology?

Mr. Simons. Thank you for the question, Congressman.

So this is a good example of something that maybe even a few years ago was not really considered sensitive information, and now with the development of technology now it is sensitive information.

And so one of the things we are following and are careful about is whether people are being misled about information that is sensitive information for them and whether the companies have disclosed how they're using it in the correct way.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

Mr. Chopra, do you have anything to add to that?

Mr. Chopra. Well, I agree with Chairman Simons.

I will just add I think the potential for misuse with facial recognition implicates a lot of issues and values that we are not always best situated to combat.

I don't know if I want to live in a society where everyone knows my movements at all time and where my face can be scanned

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2942	and it can be used to decide other things about me.
2943	Mr. McNerney. Hear, hear.
2944	Mr. Chopra. So it's something I am worried about when it
2945	comes to misuse. I saw Microsoft's announcement about this.
2946	We will do what we can.
2947	But I want to be clear. We can't solve everything with
2948	respect to the issues with facial recognition. We have limited
2949	authority to do that.
2950	Mr. McNerney. So this is an area you this is an area
2951	you think Congress needs to get involved in?
2952	Mr. Chopra. Well, there's I think it involves a lot of
2953	issues beyond our narrow lens including really our values as
2954	Americans and our civil liberties, and that is something that
2955	we want enforce the law with respect to promises that are made
2956	or misuse of data in certain commercial transactions.
2957	But I think it's on you guys to really think about the big
2958	picture.
2959	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you.
2960	Chairman, I am going to follow up on the Cambridge Analytica
2961	issue.
2962	The FTC entered a consent decree with Facebook in 2011.
2963	In 2015, it was revealed that Cambridge Analytica illegitimately

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

	143
2964	obtained consumer data.
2965	Yet, it was not until this spring that the commission
2966	announced it was investigating. Now, I realize you can't comment
2967	on Facebook specifically, but can you tell us what steps the
2968	commission is taking to improve how it monitors compliance with
2969	consent decrees?
2970	Mr. Simons. Yes. So this is without saying anything
2971	about the particular matter, so this is another example of
2972	self-critical examination.
2973	So when this all came to light, one of the things we did
2974	was we started a task force to deal with potential changes in
2975	how we do our orders.
2976	Mr. McNerney. And how you enforce those?
2977	Mr. Simons. How we how we write them and how we enforce
2978	them.
2979	Mr. McNerney. Because, I mean, you don't enforce them.
2980	There's no point in
2981	Mr. Simons. Right. But also you have to write them in the
2982	right way. So you have to you have to get you have to get
2983	the company committed to doing what it needs to do and you have
2984	to and also in terms of being able to monitor them properly.
2985	So writing the orders is important and enforcing is

2986	important.	Both are	important.
------	------------	----------	------------

Mr. McNerney. Thank you for that answer.

Mr. Chopra. Congressman, if I can quickly add, I think we all take enforcement of our orders seriously. I will note that when Chairman Simons was -- his previous stint at the FTC he achieved and obtained a very significant penalty against a larger order violator and I think we will continue with that spirit and use all the tools we can to correct violations of our orders.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

I would like to yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much.

The gentleman's time has expired and at this time the ranker and I are going to ask one last quick question each that we agreed upon.

Chairman, I was wondering if I could start, to just ask my last question to you. I want to touch on an issue we've all heard about. We have seen advertisements at target -- the senior population -- we've all seen or heard the lawsuit advertisements that proclaim that certain medications or drugs may cause complications and to contact the organization or law firm airing the advertisement.

I also understand that these ads may at times be misleading

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

or in	some	cases	just	outright	fraudulent,	leading	to	potential
physic	al h	arm.						

Is there an issue the -- is this an issue that the FTC is focused on and, if you are, what is the FTC doing to prevent misleading or false ads.

Mr. Simons. Yes, so this is potentially deception in a very sensitive area and it also, because of the area of its involvement, it's something that we would do in conjunction with the FDA.

Mr. Latta. Okay. But this is something you're going to be -- you're actively working on then?

Mr. Simons. Well, you brought it to our attention, yes.

So we are -- this is some of the concern, yes.

Mr. Latta. Okay. Well, thank you very much.

And I will recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, the ranker of the subcommittee.

Ms. Schakowsky. As I mentioned in my opening statement, the European Commission announced a \$5.1 billion anti-trust fine on Google.

The E.U. alleges, among other things, that Google, for smart phone makers, to preinstall Google Search together with its Play Store and Chrome browser and sign agreements not to sell devices on rival Android systems by imposing its bundled package on smart

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3030	phone	makers.
5050	PIIOIIC	IIIQIZCED.

Google, arguably, gave itself an advantage over its competitors. So, Chairman Simons, I know that you have announced a series of hearings that will examine competitive -- a competition policy.

But as of right now, are there any limitations under U.S. law that would prevent the FTC from looking into Google's conduct regarding this kind of bundling?

Specifically, do you have enough authority to consider if Google's conduct is anti-competitive?

Mr. Simons. So thank you for the question.

We do have enough authority to determine whether it's anti-competitive or not. And let me just say, we are going to read the -- what the EU put out very closely.

We are very interested in what they're doing. I had a conversation with Commissioner Vestager yesterday. So we are very interested in what the EU is --

Ms. Schakowsky. I am sorry. Who is that person?

Mr. Simons. She's the commissioner. She's my counterpart of the European Commission's competition directorate.

So but in terms of -- in terms of what they look at versus what we look at, their regulatory regime is a little different

#### NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

147

3052 | than ours.

3053

3054

3055

3056

3057

3058

3059

3060

3061

3062

3063

3064

3065

3066

3067

3068

3069

3070

3071

3072

3073

So once they find that a company is dominant, as I understand it, that imposes upon the company kind of like a fairness obligation irrespective of what the effect is on the consumer.

Our regulator -- our anti-trust regime requires that there be a harm to consumer welfare. So the consumer has to be -- has to be injured.

So the two tests are a little bit different. But we are going to look closely at what the EU is doing.

Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

I yield back.

Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields back.

And seeing that there are no other further members here to ask any questions, again, we want to thank you all for being with us today and appearing before the subcommittee.

Before I -- we do conclude, I would like to include the following documents -- to submit them for the record by unanimous consent: a letter from ACA International, a letter from the Electronic Privacy Information Center, a letter from the Coalition Trade Association, and a letter from the Internet Association.

3074	And without objection, so ordered.
3075	[The information follows:]
3076	
3077	**************************************

149

Mr. Latta. Pursuant to committee rules, I remind members
that they have 10 business days to submit additional questions
for the record, and I ask that our witnesses submit their responses
within 10 business days upon receipt of those questions.

And without objection, the subcommittee stands adjourned.

3084 [Whereupon, at 12:02 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3078

3079

3080

3081

3082