FRED UPTON, MICHIGAN
CHAIRMAN

FRANK PALLONE, JR., NEW JERSEY
RANKING MEMBER

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515–6115
Majority (202) 225–2927
Minority (202) 225–3641

March 3, 2016

Mr. Erik Pritchard
Executive Vice President, General Counsel
Recreational Off-Highway Vehicle Association
2 Jenner Street, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92618-3806

Dear Mr. Pritchard,

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade on Wednesday, February 10, 2016, to testify at the hearing entitled "Industry Perspectives on the Consumer Product Safety Commission."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions by the close of business on Thursday, March 17, 2016. Your responses should be mailed to Giulia Giannangeli, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed in Word format to **Giulia.Giannangeli@mail.house.gov.**

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,

Michael C. Burgess, M.D.
Chairman
Subcommittee on Commerce,
Manufacturing, and Trade

cc: Jan Schakowsky, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade

Attachment

Attachment - Additional Questions for the Record

The Honorable Gregg Harper

1. In your testimony to the subcommittee last year you highlighted the lack of scientific support for the Commission's ROV safety standard proposals. Did the Commission's approach change between then and now. If so, how did it change?

2. The Commission recently updated its rules regarding staff participation in the voluntary standards process. During the update, the Commission acknowledged that a mixture of voluntary and mandatory standards "can increase product safety better than either mandatory or voluntary activities alone." Do you agree with that statement and do you believe that view is held throughout the Commission?