```
1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
```

- 2 RPTS BURKETT
- 3 HIF155.170

```
4 ``OUR NATION OF BUILDERS: HOME ECONOMICS''
```

- 5 TUESDAY, JUNE 4, 2013
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:05 a.m.,
- 11 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Lee
- 12 Terry [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 13 Members present: Representatives Terry, Lance,
- 14 Blackburn, Guthrie, Olson, McKinley, Bilirakis, Johnson,
- 15 Long, Schakowsky, McNerney, Welch and Matheson.
- 16 Staff present: Kirby Howard, Legislative Clerk; Nick

- 17 Magallanes, Policy Coordinator, Commerce, Manufacturing, and
- 18 Trade; Brian McCullough, Senior Professional Staff Member,
- 19 Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade; Gib Mullan, Chief
- 20 Counsel, Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade; Andrew Powaleny,
- 21 Deputy Press Secretary; Shannon Weinberg Taylor, Counsel,
- 22 Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade; Michelle Ash, Democratic
- 23 Chief Counsel; and Will Wallace, Democratic Professional
- 24 Staff Member.

25 Mr. {Terry.} Good morning, and welcome to our hearing. I am pleased to say that this is our fourth hearing in our 26 27 ``Nation of Builders'' series and one that I have been 28 looking forward to, particularly because I get to welcome 29 somebody from my hometown, and frankly, a one-time neighbor, 30 and that is George Kubat, who is the CEO of Phillips 31 Manufacturing, a company that I am proud to have 32 headquartered in my district, and particular in south Omaha, 33 a notorious--I shouldn't say notorious, but a well-known 34 blue-collar area of my great city. 35 Thus far in Congress, we have heard from the CEOs of the largest steel companies in the United States, representatives 36 37 of the world's largest auto manufacturing companies, and even 38 had a showcase displaying the wide range of products being 39 manufactured in each of our districts on this committee 40 panel. And today we are welcoming our home builders and 41 manufacturers of products that are included in home building. 42 Of course, these industries are pretty different. A company like Ford, who testified at our hearing on auto 43 44 manufacturing, is markedly different in many ways from my

45 constituent on today's panel, Phillips Manufacturing. One makes cars, and one makes corner beads used for drywall 46 47 finishing. Clearly, their products are different. companies are different sizes and serve different market 48 49 Yet their message to our subcommittee is quite 50 similar. Both the President of the Americas at Ford and Mr. 51 Kubat from Phillips put three of the same issues in their top 52 four areas for Congress to focus on. Now, I don't think 53 these two business leaders know each other, so I doubt they worked in concert, but they were remarkably consistent when 54 55 it came to identifying places where Congress should focus and 56 policy areas in need of improvement. They say we should pay attention to regulatory efficiency and certainty, tax reform, 57 58 and worker education and training. 59 Not surprisingly, the similarity between testimonies 60 does not stop here. We have had over 35 witnesses testify at 61 our manufacturing hearings and many of the themes and issues have been recurrent. It is time we start listening to these 62 folks and what they are telling us, and start looking at ways 63 we can take their advice, address their concerns and help 64 65 them help Americans get back to work.

```
I believe that the best way to grow our economy is by
66
    nurturing an environment where organic job growth is
67
68
    possible, and there is nothing more organic than in home
    multi-housing and single-family construction. According to
69
70
    the National Association of Manufacturers, U.S. manufacturing
71
    jobs pay around $77,000 a year, and we must find ways to
72
    facilitate growth in these domestic industries, and I hope
73
    today as we hear from the home building industry we can help
74
    create the organic environment they need to stay competitive
75
    and create good-paying jobs, all while building affordable
    housing for Americans. This is a nonpartisan issue. Not
76
77
    only will we create this environment, foster job creation,
    but it will also help our manufacturers build the next
78
79
    generation of energy efficient, more affordable and safer
80
    homes.
81
         I want to thank again our witnesses for being here
82
    today.
83
         [The prepared statement of Mr. Terry follows:]
84
    ******* COMMITTEE INSERT *********
```

```
85
         Mr. {Terry.} Marsha, do you want a minute and a half?
86
     I will yield to the gentlelady from Tennessee.
87
         Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for calling
     the hearing today, and I want to take my time and welcome
88
89
    Curt Stevens, who is the CEO of Louisiana-Pacific
90
    Corporation. It is headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee,
91
    and we are proud to have it there. LP is not only one of the
92
    backbones of the housing industry but they are a leader in
     quality-engineered wood building products including OSB,
93
     structural framing products and exterior siding for use in
94
95
    residential, industrial and light commercial construction.
    As we talk about jobs in this committee, it is important to
96
97
    note that they employ 3,900 people and operate 25 mills
98
     located in the United States, Canada, Chile and Brazil.
99
     is striving not only to be seen as a respected manufacturer
100
    of building products but is creating jobs in local
101
     communities across the country. These are forest owners,
102
     truckers, loggers, suppliers, and we want to make certain
103
     that we keep that jobs growth environment in place.
104
          So Mr. Stevens, we welcome you and I look forward to
```

```
Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, and I will yield back the 8
108
109
     seconds and recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, our
110
    ranking member, Jan Schakowsky.
111
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for yielding
112
     and for holding today's very important hearing on the home
113
    building industry.
114
          In Chicago, where I am from, home sale prices dropped
    dramatically following the Great Recession 36 percent below
115
    pre-recession level. Housing in Chicago is rebounding from
116
     that low point. The median sale price for homes is 18
117
118
    percent higher than last year, according to Trulia. However,
119
     the New York Times Magazine this past weekend highlighted for
120
    many areas of Chicago the foreclosure crisis is still causing
121
    pain and we need to develop policies to support the
122
    rehabilitation of those neighborhoods.
123
          The home building industry has historically been a good
124
     indicator of strength of our economy, and I am pleased that
125
     the industry continues to recover from the recession.
     industry supports almost 600,000 jobs nationwide, and with
126
127
    housing starts up 13 percent over the same period last year,
```

I am hopeful that those job numbers are going to continue to 128 129 grow. 130 As we seek ways to foster growth in the home building industry, it is important that we do so in a thoughtful and 131 forward-looking way. The topic of energy efficiency will be 132 133 a major subject of today's hearing, and for good reason. 134 Energy is one of the three largest costs of home ownership. 135 Incentivizing upfront investments in energy-efficient 136 building materials, electronics and other products can save 137 families thousands of dollars in the long run while also reducing pollution and improving public health. I look 138 139 forward to hearing from our witnesses about how to motivate 140 those investments in the development phase for new homes. And while we are on the subject of smart home design, I 141 142 want to mention another important priority for me as it comes 143 to housing. As we continue our housing recovery and our 144 population ages and our military veterans return from the 145 battlefield with severe physical disabilities, there is an 146 increased need for accessible housing. The cost to renovate existing housing to make it accessible for those with 147 physical disabilities can be tens of thousands of dollars, 148

149 often forcing residents to move or become increasingly isolated or go to a nursing home, but if accessibility 150 151 features are incorporated into housing at the time of 152 construction, the additional cost can be less than \$600. So next week I plan to reintroduce the Inclusive Home Design 153 154 Act, legislation I have sponsored for more than a decade, 155 really at the behest of the disability community. My bill 156 would require homes built with federal dollars to meet 157 inclusive design standards including at least one accessible or zero-step entrance into the home, doorways wide enough for 158 a wheelchair on the main level, and let us face it, there is 159 160 no magic to the size of a door width if you do it initially 161 as opposed to having to rehab it, one wheelchair-accessible bathroom, light switches and thermostats at reachable heights 162 163 from a wheelchair. This legislation is a commonsense 164 approach to addressing the rising demand for inclusive 165 housing. It is another case in which a low-cost investment 166 early can prevent incredibly burdensome renovations later on. 167 I have to tell you, I have made attempts in the past to deal with the home building industry, I hope that we can some of 168 us have a conversation about this and that you would consider 169

```
170
    support for this commonsense legislation.
171
         I look forward to hearing from our witnesses about the
172
    state of home building, its impact on the overall economy,
173
    the increase in energy-efficient home design, and how we can
174
    incentivize further job growth in the industry. I yield
175
    back.
176
         [The prepared statement of Ms. Schakowsky follows:]
177
    ******* COMMITTEE INSERT *********
```

```
Mr. {Terry.} The gentlelady yields back. The gentleman
178
179
     from Texas, Mr. Olson, is recognized for 2 minutes.
180
         Mr. {Olson.} I thank the chair, and I am thrilled to
     introduce the President of the Texas Association of Builders
181
182
     and the President and CEO of Tilson Homes and my friend,
    Eddie Martin. Eddie has a distinction that I will never
183
184
    have: he is a native Texan. Born in Pecos, Texas, he is a
185
    West Texas man. He got his bachelor's degree from Abilene
    Christian University, his law degree from the University of
186
    Houston, and Eddie and his wife, Brenda, have been married
187
188
    for 33 years. Last September, Eddie and Brenda took another
    full-time job spoiling their first grandchild, Kate. So
189
    welcome, Eddie. Thank you for coming. I look forward to
190
191
    your testimony. I yield back.
192
          Mr. {Terry.} Is there anybody else that wishes to be
193
    recognized for a statement? Seeing none, this should be
194
    written down in congressional history as the shortest opening
195
    statements.
          With that, we will start our testimony. As I mentioned,
196
    we are going to go from Mr. Judson to our right. At 5
197
```

minutes, if you are still talking, you will hear some
progressively strengthening in sound tapping by the gavel.
There are some lights there. Green, yellow is the last
minute, so you should start when you see it turn yellow,
start wrapping up.

So Mr. Judson, you are now recognized for 5 minutes.

```
^STATEMENTS OF RICK JUDSON, OWNER, EVERGREEN DEVELOPMENT
204
205
     GROUP, AND CHAIRMAN, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF HOME BUILDERS;
206
     CURT STEVENS, CEO, LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION; GEORGE
     KUBAT, PRESIDENT AND CEO, PHILLIPS MANUFACTURING COMPANY;
207
208
     EDWARD MARTIN, PRESIDENT AND CEO, TILSON HOME CORPORATION;
209
     THOMAS S. BOZZUTO, CHAIRMAN AND CEO, BOZZUTO GROUP, AND
210
     CHAIRMAN, NATIONAL MULTI HOUSING COUNCIL, ON BEHALF OF
211
     NATIONAL APARTMENT ASSOCIATION; AND STEVEN NADEL, EXECUTIVE
212
     DIRECTOR, AMERICAN COUNCIL FOR ENERGY-EFFICIENT ECONOMY
213
     ^STATEMENT OF RICK JUDSON
          Mr. {Judson.} Thank you. On behalf of the more than
214
215
     140,000 members of the National Association of Home Builders,
216
     I appreciate the opportunity to testify before you today. My
217
     name is Rick Judson. I am a home builder and developer from
     Charlotte, North Carolina, and Chairman of the Board of the
218
219
     National Association of Home Builders.
220
          Home building is dominated by small firms, and our
     membership reflects just that. Approximately 70 percent of
221
```

the NAHB members build 10 or fewer homes per year, and their 222 median revenue is under a million dollars. Collectively, 223 224 however, we represent a massive industry employing literally 225 millions of people and producing about 17 percent of the Nation's gross domestic product. The recession, of course, 226 227 has taken a heavy toll. Total employment in home building is 228 down almost 40 percent from our peak of 2006 and it is down 229 to under 2.1 million employees. Last year, the industry only 230 constructed 534,000 homes. For a comparison, to keep up with 231 population growth and replacement needs, we should be building about 1.4 million homes per year. 232 233 There is, however, reason for optimism. Over the last 2 years, the housing market has started to heal and home 234 235 building is beginning to pick up. Our growth creates jobs, 236 something you have all acknowledged. More than three full-237 time jobs are generated by the construction of each singlefamily home. Similarly, 100 new multi-family units will 238 239 result in 116 new jobs. With just a normal production cycle, 240 2 million more job opportunities will be available to this country. Housing also provides a key tax base for State and 241 242 local governments. Homeowners paid approximately \$3 billion

243 in property taxes last year. 244 However, economic and policy headwinds are beginning to 245 slow some recovery. For example, in response to the prolonged downturn, many building material companies cut back 246 on production and capacity. Now that housing is coming back, 247 248 the lack of product availability is resulting in rising 249 costs. Pricing for lumber, wood products accounts for about 250 15 percent of the cost in new construction. OSB products 251 jumped over 80 percent in the past year. Framing lumber is up 32 percent. Gypsum products--drywall, etc.--are up about 252 40 percent. This drives up the price for new homes, which 253 254 particularly is tough on builders of affordable housing. doesn't take much of an effect to put people out of the 255 ability to purchase a home. About 240,000 households will be 256 257 priced out with every \$1,000 increment in the cost of housing. Policies that streamline permitting, that attract 258 investment into domestic mining, and that encourage multi-use 259 260 forest management would all help in the pricing pressures 261 that seem to ride this cyclical ride. We are also concerned with the trends in energy code 262 263 development, to mandate certain or almost proprietary

products or techniques. This significantly limits the choice 264 for consumers and does not allow for the performance-driven 265 value engineering that we would prefer. Further efforts to 266 push energy efficiency without real consideration of cost is 267 268 a huge problem. I am a certified green professional builder, 269 and I understand the value of energy efficiency and its 270 importance to the consumer, but even with those savings, 271 there are significant upfront costs being incurred in the 272 home. We are particularly concerned about the costs imposed in one of the most recent energy codes. It will take the 273 typical homeowner about 13 years to break even on that 274 275 investment. In some States like Nebraska, it would be almost 17 years. Traditionally, the consumer is expecting and 276 277 willing to pay for that capital investment that would be 278 recovered in 7 or 8 years, so keep that in mind. These long 279 payback periods will ultimately hurt housing affordability, 280 and ironically, push lower-income owners into cheaper, older, 281 less efficient homes. 282 Possibly the most significant problem facing our industry is the lack of construction lending. NAHB strongly 283 supports two bills, House Resolution 1255 and Senate 284

```
Resolution 1002, that would require banking regulators to
285
     issue new quidance specifically addressing the key regulatory
286
287
     areas that have significantly hampered the flow of credit to
288
     our Nation's home builders.
          There still is work to be done before we see a healthy
289
290
    housing market, but again, as I mentioned, there is reason to
291
    be optimistic. We have 2.1 million households that have not
292
     formed due to the economy. These are college students moving
293
    back in with their parents, like mine. There are people
294
     taking on extra roommates. These individuals represent
     significant demand in the near term for both rental and
295
296
    purchasing of homes. Forecasts predict that housing starts
297
     over the next year will nearly double that of 2009. Future
298
     growth, if not impeded by the issues I discussed, will create
299
     jobs, will enhance small business, will create tax incentives
     for local and federal government.
300
301
          We are industry that is ready to get back to work, and
302
    we would appreciate your assistance in assuring the recovery
303
     and our ability to contribute to society. Thank you.
304
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Judson follows:]
```

305 ************** INSERT 1 **********

```
306 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, Mr. Judson.
307 Now, Mr. Stevens, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

```
308
    ^STATEMENT OF CURT STEVENS
                          Thank you. My name is Curt Stevens. I
         Mr. {Stevens.}
309
    am the CEO of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation. This year,
310
311
    Louisiana-Pacific celebrates our 40th anniversary. Over the
312
    years, we have managed millions of acres of forestland,
313
     operated hundreds of wood-products mills, and sold almost
314
     every building product that can be made from wood.
315
          A little more than a decade ago, we sold our forestlands
    and narrowed our focus to concentrate on what we do best:
316
317
    manufacturing and selling building products. Today we
318
    produce the wood products that build the roofs, walls and
319
     floors of single- and multi-family homes across the country.
320
         More than half of LP's sales come from products made in
321
     15 manufacturing sites spread across 13 States, from northern
322
    California to Maine to Alabama. We are headquartered in
323
    Nashville, Tennessee, and also operate administrative sites
324
     in Oregon, Washington and Idaho. We operate another 10
    plants in Canada, Chile and Brazil.
325
326
         LP employs 2,630 people in the United States. Twenty-
```

two hundred of these folks are in our production facilities 327 located in rural areas close to our wood supply. These are 328 329 communities where jobs can be scarce, and LP is often the major employer. Besides these LP jobs, for every person LP 330 directly employs, about three additional jobs are created in 331 332 these communities for loggers, truckers, suppliers and 333 others. In addition, LP provides income to thousands of 334 local family forest owners by purchasing the timber that they 335 grow. Even during the market recession, the wood products 336 industry operated almost 1,000 manufacturing facilities 337 338 across America, providing close to 400,000 jobs and a payroll of \$16.5 billion, and this was in 2011. Over the years, LP 339 340 has been through many up-and-down cycles in the housing 341 market but we have never seen a dip as severe as the recent 342 housing downturn from 2007 to 2012. LP along with others in our industry was forced to shut our mills, reduce hours and 343 344 shifts, and lay off workers. 345 The good news is that in the last year, housing starts are slowly but consistently improving. We are cautiously 346 optimistic about the new few years. The signs of continued 347

growth are there, but we still face economic headwinds and 348 regulatory burdens that could slow growth and income and 349 350 jobs. It is in this context that I would like to offer perspectives on several priorities to ensure that this 351 352 fundamental American industry continues to strength and 353 remain competitive. 354 Environmental stewardship and compliance is one of LP's 355 core values, and the wood products industry has met many 356 costly regulatory challenges over the years. The industry needs a reasonable and sustainable regulatory path based in 357 quality science. For example, the Wood Maximal Achievable 358 359 Control Technology, or MACT, or will cost LP upwards of \$13 360 million. 361 The wood products industry is a leading user of wood 362 fiber and producer and user of carbon-neutral renewable biomass energy to run our plants. Mandates and incentives 363 364 including the Federal Renewable Electricity Standard, climate 365 policies and the Renewable Fuel Standard promote the use of 366 biomass for energy. Policymakers should be mindful of the growing demand that this created in the United States and 367 368 internationally for biomass and the impact it could have on

the mature wood products industry that rely on this fiber 369 both as our raw material and a means for energy creation at 370 371 our facilities. Additionally, wood products face a threat from the U.S. 372 373 Department of Energy-supported 2012 International Energy 374 Conservation Code, the IECC. Despite the ability of either 375 product to contribute to equivalent thermal performance, the 376 2012 version of the IECC unjustifiably gives preferential 377 treatment to one product--foam sheathing--over structural wood panels such as OSB. That preference could result in a 378 loss of 20 percent of the structural wood market and 379 380 thousands of jobs. 381 As an international company, comprehensive tax reform, though not easy, is long overdue. At LP, these are real 382 383 issues that affect daily decisions about where we make our 384 products and hire our people. For example, Canada is one of 385 many OECD member countries that have lowered corporate rates 386 during the past two decades while U.S. corporate rates have 387 remained nearly stagnant. Finally, LP supports immigration reform that helps 388 389 ensure that we can find qualified labor to operate our mills,

```
390
    plant trees for sustainable forests that supply raw
391
    materials, and to contract the homes our products help to
392
    make.
393
          In summary, Louisiana-Pacific and the wood products
394
     industry play an important role in the economy of our Nation
395
     and the building of America. We are on the upswing, but we
396
    need your help in enacting and supporting policies to ensure
397
     that we have reasonable, science-based environmental
398
     regulation, energy regulations and codes that maintain a
399
     level playing field and fair competition, corporate tax
400
     return, and policies to address labor needs and skills gaps.
401
    We are proud to manufacture the materials that literally
402
    build America.
403
          Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you at this
404
    hearing.
405
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Stevens follows:]
     ********** INSERT 2 *********
406
```

```
407 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. Well done.

408 And now Mr. Kubat, who is the President and CEO of

409 Phillips Manufacturing, headquartered in Omaha, Nebraska, you

410 are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

411 ^STATEMENT OF GEORGE KUBAT Mr. {Kubat.} Chairman Terry, Ranking Member Schakowsky, 412 distinguished members of the subcommittee, good morning and 413 414 thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is 415 George Kubat, and I am the President and CEO of Phillips 416 Manufacturing Company. 417 Phillips is a drywall bead and trim manufacturer--the metal corners used in drywall applications and related 418 products. We are a nationwide manufacturer and distribution 419 420 company, employee owned and in business for over 50 years. Given my limited time in front of the subcommittee this 421 morning, I will be focused on the following areas and request 422 423 your help, which will benefit many manufacturers in the 424 United States: one, over-regulation; two, vocational 425 education; three, taxation; and four, unfair foreign 426 competition. 427 Over-regulation: My initial comment is a general concern that any time a representative of a government agency 428 contracts a business and says I am from the government and I 429

am here to help you, the immediate reaction of the business 430 431 is to assume a defensive position. The growth and complexity 432 of regulation and corresponding enforcement increases in all areas every year. Although the agencies may know the 433 regulations and rules under their umbrella, it is impossible 434 435 for small manufacturers to stay current with what they must 436 comply. Of course, lack of knowledge or understanding is not 437 a defense for noncompliance. To our 13 federal agencies 438 whose regulatory umbrella Phillips Manufacturer must comply with, they are listed in my prepared comments. Certainly, 439 there is a need for regulation and governance over 440 441 manufacturing practices for many reasons including employee 442 safety, quality of treatment, environment, immigration, health care, taxes and many more but it can't possibly make 443 444 sense for a relatively simple metal manufacturing business 445 like Phillips Manufacturing to work through 13 federal 446 agencies and dozens of State and local agencies. As 447 difficult and expensive as compliance is for Phillips, it has 448 to be impossible for the smallest of manufacturers, those 449 with 50 or less employees. Over the past several decades in 450 the United States we have created a labyrinth bureaucracy of

government policy and complexity of regulation that makes it 451 452 difficult for Phillips Manufacturing and especially smaller 453 manufacturing companies to comply with today's requirements. Vocational education: Another request for this subcommittee 454 is to reverse the decline in vocational education. Phillips 455 456 Manufacturing is not alone in its struggle to find enough 457 workers to fill our open positions in skilled trades. I 458 believe many manufacturers, and our customers in the building 459 construction trades, share this same challenge. It is little wonder that we struggle to find enough people in the skilled 460 trades when I reflect on the fact that, to my knowledge, high 461 462 schools and community colleges have none or minimal shop-type 463 The local community colleges have switched their classes. 464 marketing focus from skilled trades education to university 465 preparation. Compare this situation to when I was in school, 466 where almost every high school had shop classes and the local 467 community college focused on the skilled trades education. 468 Taxation: The U.S. tax code is archaic, complex, and 469 beyond the ability of even the IRS to understand it. Tax 470 rates only continue to increase including the tax increases mandated by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act 471

```
of 2010, which, by the way, it seems no one really
472
    understands how this Act will fully impact our cost of doing
473
474
    business in the United States. One thing is clear, income
     tax rates for smaller businesses which are fortunate enough
475
476
     to make money will go up by 3.8 percent in 2013.
477
     addition, payroll taxes will increase, as well as the cost of
478
    providing insurance benefits to our employees. These costs
479
     reduce our ability to reinvest in our business and be
480
     competitive with non-United States businesses.
481
          Unfair foreign competition: Earlier I referred to the
     global economy. What do we view as unfair foreign
482
483
     competition? Our regulatory and tax structure in the United
484
     States creates a higher cost of production for many products
     that Phillips manufactures. The unfair foreign competition
485
486
     is from products manufactured in countries where governments
487
    provide financial support. These products are of inferior
488
    material and quality. China is a major concern but there are
489
     also many other countries. It makes it difficult not only to
490
     compete with these products for sales in the United States,
491
     it makes it impossible to even think of exporting any of our
    products to foreign countries. Phillips Manufacturing only
492
```

```
493
    manufactures in the United States.
494
          In conclusion, please take action to lower taxes, stop
495
     the bureaucratic growth of regulation--less is better.
496
    Skilled trades are good jobs and people need to be trained
    and educated to fill the positions. Create and address
497
    unfair foreign competition. Phillips is proud to label all
498
499
     our products made in the U.S.A.
500
          Thank you for giving me the opportunity to present to
501
    you today.
502
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Kubat follows:]
     *********** TNSERT 3 *********
503
```

```
Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, Mr. Kubat.

Mr. Martin is the President and CEO of Tilson Home

Corporation that we heard somebody up on this dais brag

about. You are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

508 ^STATEMENT OF EDWARD MARTIN 509 Mr. {Martin.} Thank you, Chairman Lee Terry and Ranking Member Schakowsky and the members of the Subcommittee on 510 511 Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade. Thank you for the 512 opportunity to testify today. My name is Eddie Martin and I 513 am a home builder from Texas, and President and CEO of Tilson 514 Home Corporation. I have 30 years of experience in the 515 building industry myself, both as a practitioner and an 516 industry representative. I am honored to participate in this 517 hearing on housing's role in sustaining and growing the 518 national economy. Established in 1932, Tilson signs and builds custom 519 520 homes on customers' property throughout Texas. We are a 521 family owned and operated now for four generations. Family 522 members have managed our business. We are ranked by Builder 523 magazine as one of one the 100 largest builders in the United 524 States. We have seen firsthand the housing market has improved 525 over the last year, which is a welcome change to our industry 526

527 and the economy. The building industry includes a vast network that includes general contractors and some contracted 528 529 businesses, some of whom will testify here today. 530 At the same time, this turnaround presents new challenges for the industry. At Tilson Homes, we are already 531 532 experiencing labor shortage in both high-skill and low-skills 533 end of the construction labor categories. The most acute 534 shortages are framing, flooring, roofing, HVAC, plumbing and 535 electrical contractors. My company has experienced delays due to the lack of qualified framing crews who are familiar 536 with various structural building codes including windstorm 537 codes on the coast. Plumbers and HVAC technicians are in 538 short supply. We are struggling to find master plumbers and 539 540 roughing crews who run the pipes and foundations before the 541 concrete is placed because the workforce is aging, it is 542 getting harder to find young plumbers to enter the trade. 543 As a 100 percent committed EPA Energy Star builder, 544 Tilson is required to use Energy Star-certified HVAC 545 contractors. Finding new certified HVAC contractors is difficult because of the shortage of skilled workers trained 546 547 in Energy Star. As a result of the shortage of skilled

labor, on average it is taking my company a month longer to 548 549 build our homes, which adds to our costs and makes it more 550 difficult to satisfy our customers. As a State and national industry rep, I can attest the 551 lack of skilled labor has become a nationwide problem. 552 553 the most recent NAHB/Wells Fargo Housing Market Index survey, 554 46 percent of builders experience delays in completing 555 projects on time. Fifteen percent of the respondents had to 556 turn down some projects, and another 9 percent lost or 557 canceled sales as a result of the recent labor shortages. According to the 2011 American Community survey, foreign-born 558 559 workers account for 22 percent of the construction labor force nationally. This number varies by State, and in some 560 States such as Texas, we have nearly 40 percent foreign-born 561 562 workers in the industry. These are the States that will 563 experience the most acute labor shortages once home building 564 increases. I would also note that the immigrants are 565 concentrated in some trades needed to build homes such as 566 carpenters, painters, drywall, brick mason and general construction laborers. These are the trades that require 567 less training and education but consistently register the 568

```
highest labor shortages in NAHB surveys.
569
570
          As Congress begins to consider immigration reform, I
571
     strongly believe that this is an important opportunity for
572
     the country to implement a new market-based visa system that
    would allow more immigrants to legally enter the construction
573
574
    workforce each year. Despite our efforts to recruit and
575
     train American workers, there is still a worker shortage,
576
    which is a very real obstacle to our industry's full recovery
577
    as work is delayed or canceled due to this shortage.
578
          The housing industry is turning the corner and
     contributing to an improving labor market. However, I
579
    believe a long-term holistic approach to comprehensive
580
581
     immigration reform can maximize the recovery in housing and
582
     allow the industry to reach its full economic potential.
583
          Thank you for having me. I look forward to questions.
584
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Martin follows:]
     ********** INSERT 4 *********
585
```

```
Mr. {Terry.} Thank you very much.

I now recognize Mr. Bozzuto from the National Apartments

Association. He is the Chairman and CEO of Bozzuto Group,

and I thank you for taking the time to come to us, and you

are now recognized for 5 minutes.
```

591 ^STATEMENT OF THOMAS S. BOZZUTO 592 Mr. {Bozzuto.} Chairman Terry and Ranking Member 593 Schakowsky, representing the National Multi-Housing Council 594 and the National Apartment Association, I would like to thank 595 you for this opportunity to testify on the multi-family 596 sector's contribution to the national economy. I am Tom 597 Bozzuto, Chairman and CEO of the Bozzuto Group, and also Chairman of the National Multi-Housing Council. I have been 598 in the multi-family business for more than four decades. 599 600 firm focuses on the mid-Atlantic region developing, building 601 and managing apartment properties. 602 In our country, the strongest communities contain a mix 603 of housing options, and that includes apartments. Apartment homes and our 35 million residents nationally contribute \$1.1 604 605 trillion annually to the economy and help support more than 606 25 million jobs. I am proud to say that since the recession 607 in 2009, my company, the Bozzuto Group, has developed, is building more than three-quarters of a billion dollars worth 608 of projects that have collectively supported more than 10,000 609

610 jobs. Nationally, research by George Mason University 611 612 economist Steve Fuller shows that in 2011 alone, apartment construction reflecting approximately one-third of all new 613 housing starts had a total economic contribution of \$42.5 614 615 billion and supported nearly 324,000 jobs including 121,000 616 onsite positions. Furthermore, half of all new households 617 formed this decade are expected to rent, so demand will 618 continue to grow. Supply is already falling short as an 619 estimated 300,000 to 400,000 units must be built each year to meet demand yet just half that number was delivered in 2012. 620 621 It is important to realize that the multi-family industry relies heavily on our manufacturing partners to both 622 623 develop new apartments and maintain the country's 19 million 624 apartment homes. Allow me to illustrate this with one of my own projects: Union Wharf. We are building this \$72 million 625 626 apartment community in Baltimore's historic Fells Point 627 neighborhood, and when completed later this year, it will provide 281 apartment homes and 4,500 square feet of retail. 628 More than 600 jobs will have been created by this project. 629 On track to achieve LEED gold certification and build on an 630

infill former industrial lot, the project showcases our 631 commitment to sustainability and demonstrates how apartments 632 spur economic growth. 633 The manufacturing impact of this project is profound. 634 635 The building required enough concrete to fill 240 swimming 636 pools. End to end, the lumber used would span about 331 637 miles, and the drywall could cover more than 42 football 638 fields. The sprinkler system alone required 56,000 linear 639 feet of piping and almost 5,000 heads. In addition, we will use 204,000 pounds of granite, 290,000 bricks, more than 640 7,000 gallons of paint, and 1,700 appliances and 3,500 641 642 cabinets, and this is one building. A significant percentage 643 of these materials were manufactured in America with more than 25 percent coming within 500 miles of the site. The 644 645 apartment industry, as demonstrated by this one project, is a 646 robust economic engine that provides lasting job growth and 647 spending nationwide. 648 And now for our recommendations. As significant 649 consumers of energy, policies that ensure continued access to affordable fuel sources are critical. Efficiency 650 651 improvements made in apartment properties can generate

```
significant energy reductions and can impact a large number
652
653
     of households. The committee should advance incentive-based
654
     strategies for promoting building efficiency that recognize
     the unique characteristics of apartments. We also caution
655
656
     against creating a rating system that grades buildings on
657
     their energy efficiency, and instead we support the expansion
658
     of well-known energy management tools to apartments such as
659
     the Energy Star program. We also support voluntary green
660
    building programs such as the National Green Building
     Standard, the only standard written to be seamlessly
661
     incorporated into existing building codes.
662
          My written testimony also outlines several other key
663
     issues critical to the apartment industry such as a tax
664
     system that promotes economic growth without disrupting the
665
     real estate industry, a housing finance system that provides
666
667
     access to capital in all markets at all times, and a
668
     regulatory environment that does not inhibit our ability to
669
    provide housing to millions of American people.
670
          On behalf of the apartment industry, thank you for the
     opportunity to testify.
671
672
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Bozzuto follows:]
```

673 ************* INSERT 5 **********

```
Mr. {Terry.} And thank you. And now, speaking of home energy efficiencies, we have Mr. Nadel, who is the Executive Director of the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. Thank you for being here, and you are now recognized for 5 minutes.
```

679 ^STATEMENT OF STEVEN NADEL

Mr. {Nadel.} Thank you, Chairman Terry and other 680 members of the committee. I am very happy to speak before 681 682 you today. As you noted, I am the Executive Director of the 683 American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. We are a 684 nonprofit organization that acts as a catalyst to advance 685 energy-efficiencies policies, programs, technologies and investments. We were formed by energy researchers, and just 686 celebrated are 33rd anniversary. Personally, I have been 687 688 involved in energy-efficiency issues since the 1970s. ACEEE is a nonprofit organization. In our view, energy efficiency 689 690 is a quintessentially nonpartisan issue since no one is in 691 favor of energy waste. 692 Today's hearing is on home building and home economics. 693 A critical part of home economics is making homes energy 694 efficient so they have low operating costs. The major costs 695 of home ownership are mortgage payments, property taxes, home insurance and energy. The mortgage industry commonly refers 696 to PITI for principal, interest, taxes and insurance, but 697

energy costs should also be included as they are usually 698 699 higher than insurance costs and sometimes higher than taxes. 700 In my written testimony, I provide some average numbers. 701 Specifically, mortgages average more than \$12,000 per year for the average home, real estate taxes and energy each 702 703 average just over \$2,000 per year, and insurance is about 704 \$800 per year. 705 While energy costs average just over \$2,000 per year, 706 some homes use more than twice that amount and others use 707 than half of this amount. In most homes, energy use and energy bills can be reduced by 20 to 40 percent through cost-708 effective energy-efficiency investments. In my written 709 710 testimony, I show how energy-efficiency investments in our 711 homes cost less than new electricity supplies and often less 712 than current natural gas prices. In addition to saving 713 energy, another virtue of energy-efficiency investments are 714 they tend to be very labor-intensive, helping to create jobs. 715 Unfortunately, a variety of market barriers keep 716 builders, homeowners, landlords and renters from realizing 717 these savings. The barriers are many-fold and include such factors as split incentives, panic purchases and bundling of 718

energy-saving features with extra-high-cost bells and 719 720 The term ``split incentives'' refers to the fact whistles. 721 that landlords and builders often do not make efficiency 722 investments because the benefits of lower energy bills are 723 received by tenants and home buyers. 724 In the United States, policies to improve the energy 725 efficiency of homes, both new and existing, are primarily at 726 the State and local levels. However, federal policy has had 727 an impact, and at a minimum, the federal government can provide information and assistance in order to make it easier 728 for States and local jurisdictions to undertake appropriate 729 730 local actions. 731 I discuss several current policies in my written testimony, but in the interest of time, I just wanted to note 732 733 that only about 11 percent of new homes qualify for the current federal new homes tax incentive. The other 89 734 735 percent could do better. And the home performance Energy 736 Star program, the leading home retrofit program, has 737 retrofitted less than 1 percent of the single-family housing stock and even less of the multi-family stock. Reaching more 738 homes with these and similar programs will help reduce energy 739

costs and improve affordability for many homeowners. 740 741 Overall, the National Academy of Sciences in 2010 found that 742 energy efficiency could reduce U.S. energy use by 25 to 30 743 percent below forecasted levels. Recently, Representatives McKinley and Welch, both 744 745 members of this subcommittee, introduced the Energy Savings 746 and Industrial Competitiveness Act, H.R. 1616, which is a 747 bipartisan bill that includes multiple provisions to 748 encourage energy efficiency. It is a companion to similar 749 legislation introduced by Senators Shaheen and Portman. Senate bill was recently reported out of committee on a 750 751 bipartisan 19-3 vote and is expected to reach the Senate 752 Floor in July. We hope that H.R. 1616 can follow in its 753 wake. 754 In this bill as well as a number of other bills that 755 have been introduced or that amendments are expected on the 756 Senate Floor, there are four specific policy recommendations 757 I wanted to briefly mention here. First, support for model 758 and State building codes. These codes are developed by groups like the International Code Council. DOE provides 759 technical assistance to these bodies and also as the States 760

761 are considering adopting them. H.R. 1616 makes the code 762 revision process more transparent and encourages and assists 763 States to consider the most recent model codes, and it will 764 improve compliance with the codes. We recommend that this be included. I would note that decision-making remains at the 765 766 State level. 767 Second, I would note improving home mortgage 768 underwriting. Most mortgage underwriting decisions are made 769 based on mortgage payments, taxes and insurance but not 770 energy costs. Investments in energy efficiency can reduce the carrying cost of a home, improving loan repayment rates 771 772 and potentially qualifying more purchasers for mortgages. A 773 recent study by researchers at the University of North 774 Carolina found that efficient homes, meaning those certified 775 to meet Energy Star criteria, had a 32 percent lower default 776 rate than otherwise similar homes. In the 112th Congress, Senators Bennet and Isakson introduced a bill called the SAVE 777 778 Act. It is now going through revisions, and I understand it 779 may be reintroduced soon. Our understanding is that the 780 revised bill is likely to direct HUD to develop guidelines for considering expected energy cost savings of a property 781

```
when determining home loan eligibility and home value
782
783
    determinations, and in addition, it would encourage efforts
784
     to inform loan applicants of the costs and benefits of
785
     improving the energy efficiency of their homes.
     changes will make efficient homes more valuable and
786
787
     affordable, while reducing homeowner energy bills.
788
          I also discuss ways to improve home energy benchmarking
789
     and how to enact temporary incentives for comprehensive home
790
     energy retrofits.
791
          I thank you for your time and look forward to your
    questions.
792
793
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Nadel follows:]
794
     *********** INSERT 6 *********
```

795 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. And now we go into the Q&A 796 phase of our hearing. We get to ask the questions and you 797 get to answer. This is the fun part. So Mr. Kubat, I will start with you. Will you describe 798 799 for us the difference from number of employees that you have 800 employed to make the one part, the drywall beads, from, let 801 us say 2008 to current? 802 Mr. {Kubat.} Maybe just as a quick overview, I am old enough that I have had several lives, and it was in 2008 was 803 the first time that I ever had to lay off people from 804 805 positions where I wanted to keep them. We cannot control 806 construction starts, and we have heard from several of the 807 speakers today the pain that the construction industry went 808 through that related to housing of any type, whether it is 809 single, multi, apartments, condominiums. The cliff was very 810 steep, and what we thought was a correction or a valley was a 811 canyon. So back in 2007, before the collapse, our total 812 employment would have been in the high 200s. We have heard statistics where up to 40 percent of people, I believe Mr. 813 Stevens indicated Louisiana-Pacific had closing of plants and 814

```
downturns. Fortunately, we did not have to close any plants.
815
816
    We have three plants. But we did have significant reduction
817
     in employment, and I can't give you the exact number but
     certainly it was down significantly under 200, maybe 160, 170
818
    people. We are now back with what I refer to as the rising
819
820
     tide, and certainly we have an improving construction market
821
     and hopefully we can continue to support it in the United
822
     States.
823
          We are continuing to hire, but one of the challenges
     that we have is this area of what are called skilled workers,
824
825
     and I am going to say that is primarily tool-and-die shop,
826
     and the training for that has to be onsite. There is not
827
     educational and vocational training bringing these people
828
     into the manufacturing market. We hope that you will be able
829
     to give us support in that area as we look to hire more
830
    people.
831
          Mr. {Terry.} I appreciate that. So let me feed off of
832
     the aspect, because it is amazing of all the different
833
    hearings we have had, everyone has testified that they have
     job openings in the manufacturing and building area but lack
834
835
     the semiskilled and skilled workers necessary. So Mr.
```

```
Judson, and I will just down the line, if you could be fairly
836
     quick, do you have any thoughts on where we should focus our
837
838
     efforts to try to develop the semiskilled and skilled workers
839
    necessary?
840
          Mr. {Judson.} From two fronts, the technical training
841
     is important and it has been something that has been
842
    deemphasized over the past few years. People have left our
843
     industry to go into other trades. There just was not the
844
    demand for their services so they have gone into other
845
     trades. The deglamorization of the construction trade has
     caused people in high school, for example, not to go into the
846
847
     trade arena so they are not learning a trade.
848
     immigration laws at this point are prohibitive in allowing us
849
     to hire trainees as it might be to fill some of those
850
    beginning entry slots. So I would say to answer your
851
     question, a focus on technical training with trade schools
852
     and a focus on directing immigration labor opportunities into
853
     the industry.
854
         Mr. {Terry.} All right. Mr. Stevens?
          Mr. {Steven.} I would second what was said there.
855
856
     only thing that I might add to that is that the immigration
```

```
reform will help both the direct labor workers as well as the
857
     skilled laborers. Canada is an interesting example. Canada
858
859
     just basically waived their immigration requirements for
     skilled trades, and they are bringing in a lot of individuals
860
     from the Philippines and from Ireland to fill these skill
861
862
     needs, and that may be a model you might want to consider
863
     looking at.
864
          Mr. {Terry.} Mr. Martin?
          Mr. {Martin.} Yeah, I would echo Mr. Judson's comments
865
     but I would also--you know, one of the problems is that high
866
     schools have no vocational training. In Texas, when they
867
868
     went to the four-by-four program, which required 4 years of
     science, English, social studies and math, they took out
869
     vocational training, and so there is no vocational training
870
871
     in Texas anymore, and there is actually a bill on the
     governor's desk to reinstitute vocational training in the
872
873
     high schools, and I think that would start getting people,
874
     young high school men and women who are not willing to go to
875
     college or wanting to go to college to get into a trade.
          Mr. {Terry.} Very interesting. Mr. Bozzuto?
876
877
          Mr. {Bozzuto.} The apartment industry began to recover
```

```
from this recession before the home building did, and we
878
879
    began to see the shortage of manpower sooner, and it is very
880
     severe and it is causing meaningful cost increases. I defer
     to my associates here and their comment about vocational
881
882
     education and agree with them. With respect to immigration
883
     reform, our industry, our associations clearly support
884
     comprehensive immigration reform at the federal level with a
885
    reliable system for the employers to verify credentials.
886
         Mr. {Terry.} Thank you very much. Mr. Nadel, you don't
    get to answer that question, but I have a feeling you'll be
887
    asked a lot of questions. And that brings me to Mr.
888
889
    Matheson. Sorry. I am yanking it back.
890
         Mr. {Matheson.} All right. No problem.
891
          Mr. {Terry.} The ranking member is now recognized for
892
    her 5 minutes.
         Ms. {Schakowsky.} I apologize. When family calls, you
893
894
    worry and take the call, so I apologize.
895
          I wanted to talk a bit about foreclosures. It has been
896
     a real problem and continues to be, as I mentioned in my
897
     testimony, in Chicago. An average family who simply lives in
    proximity to foreclosures and who may not have any trouble
898
```

899 with their loans have already lost or will lose more than \$20,000 in household wealth. It has also become clear that 900 901 many of those companies that carried out foreclosures over 902 the last few years kept poor documentation, sometimes employed abusive tactics, in some cases committed outright 903 904 fraud. 905 On May 16, Representative Cummings introduced H.R. 1706, 906 the Mortgage Settlement Monitoring Act of 2013, and I along 907 with the chairman of our full committee, Mr. Waxman, are 908 original cosponsors to try and ensure transparency in a federal settlement on mortgage servicers' unsafe and unsound 909 910 practices, and a few members of this committee are 911 cosponsors. So Mr. Judson, the National Association of Home Builders states on its website that it ``urges banks to 912 913 engage in transparent and effective forms of communication 914 with borrowers to avoid unnecessary financial distress.'' It 915 seems like it would be in the best interest of home builders 916 and homeowners alike to reduce residential mortgage servicing 917 and processing abuses as well as to promote transparency in any federal reviews. So I wanted to ask you, you may need to 918 get more information, but on the surface, does this sound 919

```
like a bill that could be supported?
920
921
          Mr. {Judson.} I think the concept of what you are
922
    proposing is certainly supportable. Our industry doesn't
923
    deal in the writing or underwriting of mortgages. We build
     the homes that unfortunately have been foreclosed upon.
924
925
     support that settlement. We support a fair settlement.
                                                              The
926
     guilt associated with the foreclosure process is
927
    multifaceted, whether it be improperly underwriting, whether
928
     it be greed, whether it be people being truly misled on what
     their payments and obligation would be, it is across the
929
    board. We want that settlement done. We want it completed.
930
931
     These people need housing. If you can look at the housing
932
     stock in this country, the people that are being displaced or
933
    having to rent, and in some cases for more money than they
934
     could refinance their current home if they are paying a
935
    normal, regular rate. So we support that settlement.
936
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you. We would like you to take
937
     a look at that, and we will get that to you, the legislation
938
     itself, and hopefully if we had the support of the home
939
    builders, that would be a boost for us.
          Mr. {Judson.} Seventeen oh six?
940
```

```
941
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} That is correct.
942
          Mr. {Judson.} Thank you.
943
          Mr. {Schakowsky.} Yes, 1706. I also wanted to talk
     about energy-efficient appliances, Mr. Nadel. I think you
944
    mentioned that various State and local but also federal-level
945
946
     energy-efficiency standards have come into effect.
947
    Residential and commercial appliances have evolved into high-
948
    performance machines, etc., but meanwhile, the price of
949
     energy-efficient appliances is falling. A new report by the
950
    ACEEE found that between 1987 and 2010, real prices of
    refrigerators, washers and dishwashers decreased by 35
951
952
    percent, 45 percent and 30 percent, respectively, so I would
953
     like to ask you about this report and your other work on
954
     appliances, and can we conclude that State and federal energy
955
     efficiency standards for appliances are a highly effective,
956
    highly beneficial force for consumers and the environment,
957
     and if I get a new air conditioner that we are looking at, am
958
     I going to get the help I need in terms of some sort of a
959
     credit?
          Mr. {Nadel.} Thank you for that question. Yes, our
960
961
     recent report did find that for many, most of the home
```

```
appliances as well as commercial products that are regulated
962
963
    under federal standards, prices have been actually declining.
964
    Manufacturers have sharpened their pencils and figured out
    ways to reduce the costs, even as they have dramatically
965
     improved energy efficiency. Energy savings are quite large
966
967
     as well, and the very interesting thing from that report is
968
    we found that consumer choice had actually either stayed the
969
     same or increased. The products work better today, have more
970
     features, better performance than before. So I do I think
971
     that program has been very successful in saving energy,
     saving money. The program has been very careful to set those
972
     standards at levels that are cost-effective and
973
974
     technologically feasible, so yes, that is very good.
975
          In terms of your question about air conditioners,
976
     assuming you are in Chicago, I know Com Ed has a number of
977
     incentive programs that might be very useful to help you go
    beyond the minimum standard. For air conditioners, the
978
979
    minimum standard is called the CR rating of 13, but in many
980
     climates, 15, 16 might make sense.
981
          Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. The gentlelady yields back.
    At this time the chair recognizes the vice chair of the full
982
```

```
983
      committee, Ms. Blackburn, for her 5 minutes.
984
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And Mr.
985
     Nadel, I want to stay with you on the energy-efficiency
986
      issue. I have to tell you, I have never met anybody that
     wants to pay more for their energy costs. Everyone is
987
988
      looking for a way to cut those costs, and I keep watching
989
      these DOE and EPA mandates and the way they apply the rules,
990
     you know, how they will take the laws and then they go about
991
     different things through rulemaking, and of course, where I
992
     am from down in Tennessee, and I am sure Mr. Stevens will
      tell you, a lot of us down there like to have a ceiling fan
993
994
      in the kitchen or the bedroom or out on the back porch if it
995
      is a covered porch. So has your organization taken a
996
     position on the DOE regulatory framework on ceiling fans?
997
          Mr. {Nadel.} In general, as I replied to Ms.
998
      Schakowsky, we do support the efficiency standards program
999
      and particularly making sure that any new standards are
1000
      technologically feasible and economically justified. On
      ceiling fans, that provision, as I recall, was enacted by
1001
1002
     Congress in 2005.
1003
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} That is correct.
```

```
1004
          Mr. {Nadel.} And yes, we supported that standard, and I
1005
     believe that they are now reviewing that standard and trying
1006
      to decide what, if any changes, may make sense. We plan to
1007
     participate in that process.
1008
           Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you
1009
      think DOE should be in the business of mandating the
1010
     efficient products or should they allow consumers the choice
1011
     of choosing energy-saving products that are going to fit
1012
      their needs?
1013
           Mr. {Nadel.} Right. The minimum standards remove the
1014
      least-efficient products from the market. They help address
1015
      some of the market barriers but then give consumers many,
1016
     many choices. As I mentioned before, they tend to actually
1017
      improve consumer choice rather than decrease consumer choice.
1018
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Well, and see, I think that we should
1019
     be encouraging consumers in doing things to open up that
1020
      environment and not making it more expensive and more
1021
     difficult. Ceiling fans are one of those things that are in
1022
      the market that can help people reduce their energy use, and
1023
      sometimes I look at this and I think that burdening the
      ceiling-fan manufacturers with increased regulations prices a
1024
```

```
lot of people out of that market, and then increases their
1025
1026
     reliance on cooling systems. Am I wrong about that?
1027
          Mr. {Nadel.} We did not specifically look at ceiling
      fans, but for many of the products, the prices have actually
1028
1029
     declined with standards, not increased. So if we can have a
1030
     win-win, I think it is worthwhile. But again, we have not
1031
      specifically looked at ceiling fans.
1032
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Well, see, and we need more win-wins.
1033
     We need less regulation and more options and the ability of
1034
      individuals to get into that marketplace.
1035
          Mr. Stevens, I want to come to you. Mr. Judson sitting
1036
     over there next to you mentioned that there had been a number
1037
     of news articles about rising building material prices, and
1038
     he also mentioned that there have been recent declines in
1039
     wood prices and that his has been a positive development. So
1040
      is this a trend we can expect to continue going forward, and
1041
      can you confirm that this is a result of expanded production
1042
     based on confidence that the recovery is real and justifies a
1043
     return to higher levels of capacity and output?
1044
           Mr. {Stevens.} Our building products that we produce
      are generally commodities, and a commodity product is, by its
1045
```

nature, a decision between a supplier and a buyer on what 1046 1047 that price will be. So let me just use oriented stand board 1048 as an example, or OSB. At the end of December, that price 1049 was \$360 per thousand square feet, roughly. In the first 1050 quarter, it rose to \$430 because there was more demand than 1051 there was immediate suppliers and so buyers and sellers 1052 arrived at a higher price. In the last 6 weeks, that price 1053 has fallen below \$300. So you see that there is a wide range 1054 of pricing in these commodity products, and that will 1055 continue. It will be local supply-and-demand considerations. It will be production coming online or production coming 1056 offline. It will also be very contingent upon weather and 1057 other conditions for building. So it is both the demand and 1058 1059 the supply side of that. 1060 I can speak directly to what LP has done. We made a 1061 decision in October to bring on a new plant in Alabama that 1062 we built for a cost of \$240 million and ran it for 6 weeks. 1063 Then the housing market declined and we shut that plant down 1064 for 5 years. That took is us about 9 months and over \$10 1065 million in capital to bring that plant online. We also 1066 announced last month that we are bringing on a plant in

```
British Columbia to support the western United States in
1067
1068
     building products. So we are bringing on capacity at our
1069
     plants to meet what we expect to be continued demand for
1070
     building products.
1071
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I will
1072
      submit in writing a question for Mr. Martin that I had, and I
1073
     yield back.
1074
          Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. The chair recognizes now the
1075
     gentleman from Utah. You are recognized for 5 minutes.
1076
          Mr. {Matheson.} Well, thank you, Chairman Terry. I
     appreciate that, and I appreciated the witnesses being here
1077
1078
      today.
1079
          Mr. Judson, I had a question for you about the issue of
      the home building industry's challenges it faces in the
1080
1081
     credit area, specifically for your AD&C loans, your
1082
      acquisition, development and construction loans. Could you
1083
     please talk to me about how those loans are used and the
1084
      challenges that your industry is facing with those loans?
1085
          Mr. {Judson.} A builder will usually apply to a lending
1086
      institution to borrow funds to build a home for you, and
1087
     under the current climate and what has existed for the past
```

several years, the builder cannot get that loan to build a 1088 1089 home. Even more difficult is the ability to get what is 1090 called a speculative loan where a builder would build a model 1091 home or a home for sale waiting for the buyer to come along 1092 and buy the home. That is driven by the regulatory in-1093 fighting that is taking place between the regulating agencies 1094 and the lending institutions themselves. Each blames the 1095 other person for it. The lenders say that the regulators are 1096 over-regulating and the regulators say that the banks are not 1097 properly underwriting their loans. So it is a catch-22 and 1098 caught in the middle is first the building and secondly the 1099 homeowner, who then can't get a home built. Now, if by some 1100 miracle the builder can build the home, then the difficulty 1101 lies in being able to get that home financed, which includes 1102 the lender willing to make the loan underwritten, which was 1103 not in the case in the past. They were not properly 1104 underwritten. Loans today are properly underwritten. 1105 can look at the GSEs, you can look at every single bank. 1106 They are making money because they are properly underwriting 1107 their loans. So it is important for us as builders to be able to have access to financing to be able to build the 1108

```
homes, the houses for people who want to buy the homes.
1109
1110
          Mr. {Matheson.} And would suggest there may be some
1111
     role that Congress could play in trying to clarify this
1112
     regulatory uncertainty that you were describing earlier?
           Mr. {Judson.} Yes. Thank you. There were two bills
1113
1114
      that have been presented, one on the House side and one on
1115
      the Senate side, that address the specificity of what a
1116
     regulatory responsibility should be. It clarifies some of
1117
      the capital requirements that should have and could have to
1118
     make qualified loans to the consumer or the builder, but the
1119
     congressional responsibility, I think, lies in their ability
1120
      to more directly engage the regulatory arena in what their
1121
     real responsibilities and authorities are.
1122
           Mr. {Matheson.} Okay. I appreciate that.
1123
          Mr. {Judson.} Thank you.
           Mr. {Matheson.} Mr. Stevens, you mentioned in your
1124
1125
      testimony the challenge of the government policies that are
1126
     picking winners and losers, and you specifically mentioned
1127
      the renewable fuel standards mandate for biomass fuels as a
1128
     policy that could hurt the long-term sustainability of
      forests. Can you expand on that and explain how the RFS
1129
```

- could hurt not only forest sustainability but also users of 1130 1131 forest resources and products? 1132 Mr. {Stevens.} It all comes back to the proposed 1133 subsidies for renewable fuels. As an industry, for over 200 1134 years the forest products industry has used trees for their 1135 primary raw material and to produce the energy to run their 1136 plants. For LP, an average OSB plant will produce 95 percent 1137 of the energy from the wood waste from our products. What we 1138 want is just a level playing field. We don't want any 1139 subsidies. We want to play based on the economics of the use 1140 of that wood and to be fair across the board. Mr. {Matheson.} Okay. I appreciate that. 1141 1142 Chairman, I will yield back. 1143 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. I am out of order but I have 1144 the gavel. 1145 It was interesting, a person that came to talk to me 1146 talked about in the wood product industry how they are 1147 producing solely to send woody biomass to Europe to meet 1148 their renewable standards, so it is not lumber that is being
- 1150 I thought that was interesting.

1149

used in the United States but being milled and sent overseas.

1151 I now recognize the vice chairman of the subcommittee, 1152 Mr. Lance, for your 5 minutes. 1153 Mr. {Lance.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning to 1154 you all. 1155 In my home State of New Jersey, builders are reporting a 1156 surge in unit construction over last year's figures, I 1157 believe 22,000 new units this year. This is good news. 1158 released by the National Association of Realtors shows growth 1159 in the State's median residential real estate prices with 1160 multi-family construction growing the fastest. This is a 1161 first since the peak of the housing boom roughly a decade 1162 ago. 1163 Of course, the market in New Jersey remains heavily affected by Hurricane Sandy, and the lasting impact will be 1164 1165 felt for quite some time as the shore region of our State 1166 continues to rebuild. The storm did, however, spur much-1167 needed new construction and renovations, boosting the lumber, 1168 plumbing and electric industries in these areas. 1169 To Mr. Judson following up on what you had stated 1170 previously, what do you think we can do best to untangle the tangle that exists between those who wish to build and the 1171

```
fact that there seems to be a reluctance on the part of those
1172
1173
     who lend money to lend the appropriate amount of money?
1174
     Before my service on this committee, I did serve on the
1175
     Financial Services Committee, and this is a continuing issue
1176
     both on that committee and on this committee. We have had
1177
     repeated testimony that banks are not lending appropriately.
1178
          Mr. {Judson.} I testified before that committee, as you
1179
     probably know.
1180
          Mr. {Lance.} Yes, sir.
1181
          Mr. {Judson.} If I had the answers, I would have told
     you then.
1182
          Mr. {Lance.} Yes, sir.
1183
1184
          Mr. {Judson.} But I am learning as this goes along--
          Mr. {Lance.} As are we.
1185
1186
          Mr. {Judson.} --it is an unfolding issue. I would go
1187
     back to the specificity and the clear underwriting
     requirements for lenders. The banks had a knee-jerk
1188
1189
     reaction.
                 I think this whole scenario was much of a knee
1190
      jerk because of the dilemma that started several years ago
1191
     with foreclosures and poorly underwritten loans. So it would
     start, I think, with a direction from Congress, Financial
1192
```

Services to the regulatory environment, working with lenders 1193 1194 to support the home building industry, allowing them more 1195 latitude on the capitalization rates that they have. 1196 have been suggestions that are current written into law have 1197 been taken as mandates that you cannot go over certain limits 1198 where as the community banks are now being literally put out 1199 of business from the construction lending standpoint. 1200 Mr. {Lance.} The community banks had absolutely nothing 1201 to do with the financial meltdown, as you know better than I. 1202 They were good actors in this whole process, and from my perspective, they are scared to death by over-regulation here 1203 1204 in Washington, especially after the passage of Dodd-Frank, 1205 for which I certainly did not vote. But be that as it may, 1206 we all want a better environment so that the American people 1207 can purchase the new residential real estate, and there is a 1208 pent-up demand in my judgment, and we are discouraged because 1209 we feel that is important for the progress of the economics 1210 of the Nation that this occur. Do you believe that we should 1211 revisit statutory law or simply require the agencies that 1212 administer current statutory law to do a better job? Mr. {Judson.} That is a good question. It is probably 1213

- some of both. The statutory guidelines could be specifically 1214 1215 identified to address some of the concerns. I keep going 1216 back to the capitalization. But the willingness, almost encouragement, we spoke with Mr. Bernanke a couple of times 1217 1218 and his term of the pendulum having swung too far I think is 1219 an accurate term. Mr. {Lance.} Yes, sir. Thank you. Are there others on 1220 1221 the panel who wish to address the issue I have raised? 1222 Hearing none, I yield back the 40 seconds I have, Mr. 1223 Chairman. Mr. {Terry.} Very good. The chair recognizes the 1224 gentleman from California, Mr. McNerney, for 5 minutes. 1225 Mr. {McNerney.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. My 1226 daddy was a home builder, so I appreciate the work that you 1227 1228 all do, and I appreciate also how important home building is to our national economy not only in terms of employment but 1229 1230 in terms of just giving people confidence in the economy and 1231 their spending and so on, so thank you for coming this
- 1233 I understand about 40 percent of our Nation's energy is 1234 used by buildings. Of course, part of that is by commercial

Thank you for passion.

1232

morning.

```
buildings and part of that is by home buildings, but I am
1235
1236
     very interested in energy-efficiency housing. So I would
1237
      like to address my first question to Mr. Nadel. How much
1238
      specialized training is required by the workers to produce
1239
     high-efficiency, even net-zero housing as opposed to what
1240
     would be required in terms of the building materials to
1241
     accomplish those goals?
1242
          Mr. {Nadel.} It will vary depending on the technique
1243
      employed but generally it will require some extra training in
1244
      terms of a very careful installation to prevent air leakage
1245
     and whatnot, how to install some of the new materials, but it
1246
      is not dramatic. There are usually short training courses
1247
     available to help people get certified in doing these types
1248
     of techniques.
1249
          Mr. {McNerney.} Well, how much does it cost, say, to
1250
     build a net-zero home compared to a standard home?
1251
           Mr. {Nadel.} I don't recall for a net-zero home. For a
1252
     home--
1253
          Mr. {McNerney.} For a high-efficiency home.
           Mr. {Nadel.} For a high-efficiency home that uses half
1254
      the energy of a typical new home, the estimates range
1255
```

anywhere from \$1,000 to \$4,000 or \$5,000, depending on the 1256 1257 type of home and who does the estimate, but these are for 1258 homes that cost hundreds of thousands of dollars. 1259 Mr. {McNerney.} That sounds like a pretty good bargain. 1260 Does anyone else care to address the question that I posed 1261 about training requirements? 1262 Mr. {Kubat.} This could be just a little different spin 1263 on it, Congressman, but a comment that maybe goes back a 1264 little bit to Ms. Blackburn too, but I had talked about over-1265 regulation and the difference in regulation. manufacturing plant, which is a little bit different than 1266 1267 residential, there is an OSHA standard for air quality. In 1268 the State of Ohio, the Ohio EPA also has a standard for air quality, and I don't know if the Ohio EPA standard is based 1269 1270 off of a federal EPA standard but it is significantly less 1271 than the OSHA EPA standard. So our plant more than meets the 1272 OSHA EPA standard but did not meet the Ohio EPA standard, and 1273 as a result of that, the Ohio EPA, I am going to use the word 1274 ``mandated'', which could be a little strong because there 1275 wasn't another solution that was--and a waiver was not available--that we are expelling in the winter time about 20 1276

```
percent of the heated air in that plant out of the plant just
1277
1278
      out stacks into the atmosphere to meet the air standard of
1279
      the Ohio EPA, and I think this question of, you know, where
1280
      is the regulatory balance, how do we get to an OSHA standard
1281
      that says we have also met versus an EPA standard, and I am
1282
     going to call it Ohio EPA standard that we are not meeting,
1283
     and the solution is take 20 percent of your heat out of your
1284
     plant and--
1285
          Mr. {McNerney.} Mr. Kubat, I appreciate your concern.
     Do you have legislative suggestions to alleviate this burden
1286
      that would also ensure safety and quality of the product? Do
1287
1288
     you have any specific suggestions or are you just saying the
1289
     regulations are bad?
           Mr. {Kubat.} I am not an engineer. I can't understand
1290
1291
     why there is an OSHA standard that we can meet and an Ohio
1292
      standard that says it has to be significantly more, I am
1293
     going to say more restrictive, and why is one so different
1294
      than the other? I am not an engineer that can answer it
1295
      other than they told me the answer is take 20 percent of the
1296
     hot air out of your plant and blow it out into the sky.
          Mr. {McNerney.} Well, I appreciate your concern.
1297
```

Perhaps some legislative suggestions would be more helpful 1298 1299 than just saying that you don't like the current regime. 1300 Phillips Manufacturing producing energy-efficient components 1301 for new housing? 1302 Mr. {Kubat.} The materials that we produce are used as 1303 part of building construction. They are not necessarily a 1304 direct energy-efficient component. It is raw form metal 1305 steel, and steel itself is not an item which would create an 1306 insulation or an energy barrier. 1307 Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you. Mr. Martin, you mentioned the difficulty finding labor. You know, given the high 1308 1309 unemployment in the last few years, do you have any way to 1310 explain why we are still having labor shortages in specific 1311 areas? 1312 Mr. {Martin.} Well, in Texas specifically, the 1313 unemployment is down mainly because of the energy sector and 1314 the two big oil plays, Eagle Ford shale and the Mill and 1315 Odessa play. So in Texas, we have a real problem because the 1316 oil industry is paying so much for their workers that they 1317 are leaving construction and going into energy. So that is 1318 our problem.

```
Mr. {McNerney.} At least locally?
1319
1320
          Mr. {Martin.} Yes.
1321
          Mr. {McNerney.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will yield
1322
     back.
1323
          Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, Jerry. And now I will
1324
     recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Olson, for 5 minutes.
1325
          Mr. {Olson.} I thank the chair, and welcome to our
1326
     panelists. As you know, this is the Subcommittee on
1327
     Commerce, Manufacturing, and Trade, CMT. I assume I am
1328
     speaking for Mr. Martin, we should change that to mean Come
     Move to Texas.
1329
          Mr. {Terry.} I object.
1330
1331
          Mr. {Olson.} I have a question for all of you if I have
      time, but first of all, I would like answers from Mr. Martin
1332
1333
     and Mr. Judson. Clearly, I know I am blessed living in Texas
1334
      22. Right now, at least 100 new homes are being built within
1335
      2 miles of my home in Sugar Land, Texas. The sounds of
1336
     cement trucks, of hammers hitting nails at 7:00 in the
1337
     morning are commonplace. But that growth we are experiencing
1338
      in Texas is threatened by a shortage of labor. I know it is
     hard to find qualified workers. Mr. Martin mentioned
1339
```

```
unskilled workers such as framers, flooring personnel, HVAC,
1340
1341
     plumbers, painters, bricklayers, and the lure of the high-
1342
     paying, low-skilled construction jobs is long gone.
1343
     was growing up in the 1980s an 18-year-old, I could not get a
1344
      construction job, and I craved a construction job. Those
1345
      jobs paid six-plus dollars an hour compared to working
1346
     minimum wage in some restaurant for just a little over two
1347
     bucks and change. I mean, I wanted to get in that hot,
1348
     boiling Texas sun with that asphalt, spread that wherever
1349
     that needed to go because I am getting paid six bucks an
1350
     hour. I love my 13-year-old son but his generation won't
1351
     make that choice. The work is too tough. I know that
1352
      immigration reform is part of the solution but we have proven
     we can't tailor our economic needs with our immigration
1353
1354
     policies. Somehow we have to get American kids interested in
1355
      these jobs again.
           So my question is, what can we do to encourage our youth
1356
1357
      to get involved in these jobs again started in the high
1358
      school and community colleges? What can we do? Mr. Martin,
     you are first up, sir.
1359
          Mr. {Martin.} As I said earlier, right now on Governor
1360
```

```
Perry's desk is H.B. 5, which is reforming our school system
1361
1362
     to allow for vocational training, and I think that will go a
1363
      long way to start helping. The problem is, as I said
1364
      earlier, right now the average age for a plumber,
1365
     electrician, HVAC technician is in the upper 50s, so they are
1366
     getting closer to retirement age and there is this huge gap
1367
     of the skilled workforce that we are going to have contending
1368
     with as we try to bring these young high school kids and
1369
     right-out-of-high-school kids up into the trades and get them
1370
      trained so they can make a good living despite the lure of
      the oil and gas industry, but I think you have got to start
1371
1372
      this vocational training that we have in Texas had for 10
1373
     years.
          Mr. {Olson.} Yes, and growing up, I took shop, wood
1374
1375
      shop, in 8th grade. Now seniors in high school is the first
1376
      chance you have to take wood shop. Look, I have got all 10
1377
     fingers. It was safe. I learned a lot.
1378
           Mr. Judson, a national perspective. What can we do to
1379
     get gets excited about these jobs again, get American working
1380
      in the construction industry?
          Mr. {Judson.} The educational training is the key,
1381
```

```
whether it be through the Home Builder Institute--I mentioned
1382
1383
      earlier about the deglamorization that has taken place for
1384
      this industry. Kids coming out of high school do not want to
1385
     go into the construction industry. It is a respected trade.
      It has been for years when we were coming up and working in
1386
1387
      the construction trade industry. It is now perceived that
1388
     way now. I think there is a perception in the industry and
1389
      some things that we as an industry need to do to indicate
1390
      that it is a respected trade and it can be an industry that
1391
     will foster from a beginning as a bricklayer to running a
     bricklaying crew. If our average member has 10 or so
1392
1393
      employees, that is a painting crew, that is a drywall crew,
     but until the high school student recognizes that is an
1394
1395
      opportunity for him to advance himself in his own career, it
     won't happen.
1396
1397
           Mr. {Olson.} And Mr. Kubat from Phillips Manufacturing
     perspective, running out of time but what can we do to
1398
1399
      encourage our kids to get that education?
1400
          Mr. {Kubat.} Well, I am going to go back to my prepared
1401
      comments. I think it is a question that somewhere over time,
1402
     however it was generated, the educational system has
```

1403 encouraged everyone to prepare for a college education, and 1404 not all people should be going to college. Some people have 1405 natural skills. Some people are born musicians, some people 1406 maybe have math skills. Maybe some people are born to be a 1407 doctor. But there are a lot of people that are born to be 1408 plumbers, electricians, I am going to call it tool-and-die 1409 craftsmen, but there is no opportunity for them to get 1410 trained, least in the experiences that we are seeing in the 1411 States that we operate in, either in the high school or the 1412 community colleges, and somehow we have to get that back into 1413 the system so that they see that these opportunities are 1414 there, and the level of unemployment we have now compared to 1415 the jobs that are available are simply people who do not have the skills or a place to go for training other than on-the-1416 1417 job training or employer-provided training to learn these 1418 trades. We have got to get it back to where it comes in at a 1419 much younger level. I am going to go back, and as you 1420 referred to, Congressman, as the shop classes that started in 1421 the high schools and then were continued in the community 1422 colleges and network those with manufacturers and contractors so that they can get credit while they work out on the job. 1423

Most of us learned a lot of what we learned not necessarily 1424 1425 in school but on-the-job training when we got out of school, 1426 whether that was part of what we are doing in white-collar 1427 work or what people were doing in blue-collar work. we have got to get businesses, contractors to interact with 1428 1429 the schools and get people back into training that will 1430 provide them a long-term skill and a long-term opportunity 1431 for compensation and retirement. 1432 Mr. {Olson.} I am way over my time so I yield back, Mr. 1433 Chairman. Mr. Stevens, Mr. Bozzuto and Mr. Nadel, I will get you those questions for the record. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1434 1435 Mr. {Terry.} All right. Mr. McKinley, you are 1436 recognized for 5 minutes. 1437 Mr. {McKinley.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And Mr. 1438 Nadel, thank you very much for talking about our Energy 1439 Savings Act. I hope that we will get adequate consideration 1440 and we will get that bill worked. 1441 But perhaps my remarks should have been in an opening 1442 statement but I come from the construction industry. I 1443 started in construction in 1965, and I had a home building

company over 40 years ago I started that, so I come with some

1444

degree of awareness of what we are talking about here. But 1445 1446 the concern I have not heard voiced strongly enough, maybe it 1447 is not your fault but I want to hear some direction. How are 1448 we going to get affordable housing for middle-class Americans 1449 and low-paid people across this country? I am looking for 1450 something in the \$125,000 to \$175,000 range. How are we 1451 going to achieve that in new homes or are we going to tell 1452 our American citizens they are not entitled to a new home, 1453 they have to buy an older home and renovate it? I am really 1454 curious about where we are going as a country when we are dividing our major urban centers against rural America, and 1455 rural America cannot afford \$300,000 and \$400,000 homes when 1456 1457 they are on an income that may be only \$40,000 a year. So I 1458 am really curious. I hear the issues that you are talking 1459 about and I have experienced as a contractor, an engineer, an architect. I understand all those aspects. But I want to 1460 1461 see from the other perspective, what are we doing for the 1462 people to give them homes that they can afford. Yes, sir. 1463 Mr. {Bozzuto.} Mr. McKinley, I think perhaps we haven't been as clear. When we object to regulation or express 1464 concerns about regulation, there is an unstated bias behind 1465

1466

that, which is that our goal is to provide in the apartment

1467 industry is clearly the most affordable form of housing that 1468 can be built, but every time a regulation is mandated, no 1469 matter how meritorious, there is a cost implication that we 1470 end up having to put on, and this tradeoff that you have so 1471 appropriately pointed out is the one that is a struggle for us all of us in our industry. None of us want to see energy 1472 1473 consumed unwisely. None of us want to design buildings that 1474 are not accessible to everyone. And yet every time a new law 1475 or regulation is enacted, whether at the federal level, the local level or the State level, or all together, it adds to 1476 1477 the costs, making it more difficult for our industry to make 1478 housing affordable. 1479 Mr. {McKinley.} Are there responses from some of the 1480 others as to how we might be able to achieve more affordable 1481 housing? I really don't want to get a point that we tell 1482 middle-class America they are not entitled to a new home, 1483 they can't afford one, they have to buy an older home and fix 1484 it up. I think everyone in America, I would love to see them 1485 be able to reach out so that they can have a new home. I can remember the first home I built was affordable housing, 1486

\$30,125. People could afford that. Yes, sir? 1487 1488 Mr. {Judson.} I would echo Mr. Bozzuto's comments about 1489 regulation. It accounts for somewhere between 18 and 20 1490 percent of the cost of a home, and that is not to say that 1491 all regulation is bad or that all codes are bad because they 1492 certainly are not. We support things from quality and safety 1493 to the energy efficiency but there is a point of diminishing 1494 return on all those components. We think a commonsense 1495 approach needs to be taken. We think that the bureaucrat 1496 regulators, and I say that with all due affection, need to use some common sense when you are adding, 10, 15, 20 percent 1497 to a house and it is not a function of soundness or safety 1498 1499 and maybe it is not as necessary as what you might think. 1500 You have got 20 percent to the cost of the land. If there 1501 were some leniencies allowed for affordable housing when you 1502 are developing a piece of property and you could do it for 1503 half of that cost, you have cut 10 percent out of the cost of 1504 the production of that house. So there are a lot of small 1505 components that could go into reducing that \$130,000 house to 1506 \$100,000 if that is what you had the cooperation in 1507 generating.

1508 Mr. {Terry.} All right. The gentleman's time is 1509 expired. The chair recognizes Mr. Johnson from Ohio. 1510 Mr. {Johnson.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I associate 1511 myself with the comments that some of my other colleagues 1512 The American dream for millions of Americans is have made. 1513 embodied in the idea of owning their own home, of finally 1514 putting a stake in the ground and saying this is my domain, 1515 this is my family, this is where we are going to plant our 1516 roots. And so this hearing that showcases the importance of 1517 the housing and rental market I think is extremely important 1518 to the American people. There is no doubt that the housing market is one of the main drivers of our economy, one of the 1519 1520 main indicators as to the health of our economy as a whole, 1521 and we should do everything in our power to help not only 1522 these gentlemen and their companies sitting at this table but 1523 those all over the country have the resources and the 1524 ability, the tools that they need to help the millions of 1525 Americans find housing, build that home, enjoy the American 1526 dream and at the same time create the millions of jobs that are in the waiting. 1527 My first question is for Mr. Judson. There have been a 1528

```
number of articulates lately talking about rising building-
1529
1530
     material costs. What obstacles are builders facing in terms
1531
     of obtaining necessary building materials to complete their
1532
     projects?
1533
          Mr. {Judson.} It is unfortunately a supply-demand
1534
      scenario that is not uncommon. As was pointed out by Mr.
1535
     Stevens, they had shuttered plants. The productive capacity
1536
     has been diminished, and now that the industry is picking up
1537
     again, it is a catch-up between building materials and the
1538
     price but the prices escalate so dramatically as would be
1539
      expected. It is not a price-gouging issue, it is just a
1540
      supply-demand agreement between buyer and seller. But as was
1541
     pointed out, if plants are operating more efficiently, if
      they can be brought on a little guicker, we can minimize the
1542
1543
     peaks and valleys in those cycles.
1544
           Mr. {Johnson.} Are there any actions that you think
1545
     Congress should take to try to help resolve that problem?
1546
           Mr. {Judson.} From what I have heard today and what I
1547
     have heard around the industry as I travel in the country is,
1548
      the regulation for starting back up some of these plants is
     different than it might have been when those plants were
1549
```

built 5 years ago. So to have to operate to a new standard 1550 1551 creates some hardship for them financially and creates some 1552 time delays in bringing that product back online. 1553 Mr. {Johnson.} Now you are talking about regulatory 1554 reform again. 1555 Mr. {Judson.} Yes, sir, I am. 1556 Mr. {Johnson.} And I agree with you. I am not saying 1557 that in a negative way. I agree with you. Every time a new 1558 regulation comes out that stymies the industry, that puts a 1559 plant out of business, even a new owner that might come in and try to start that back up, it takes more money, more 1560 1561 time. You lose a lot of the intellectual property of the 1562 workforce, and it is a problem. What about on the soft side, the money side? 1563 1564 another common concern from home builders, realtors and 1565 potential home buyers the inability of obtaining loans and 1566 financing. Now, we all know that there was a serious problem 1567 in the last decade of predatory loan making and people taking 1568 out loans for which they simply could not meet their obligations. However, it now seems that perhaps Congress and 1569 1570 federal regulators have overcorrected these mistakes and are

stopping qualified home buyers from obtaining the funds they 1571 1572 need. You addressed this in your testimony as well as your 1573 opinion that the issue is ripe for Congressional action. Can 1574 you talk a little bit more about that? What do you think we 1575 ought to do? Mr. {Judson.} Well, the two bills that have been 1576 1577 introduced already are solid bills. They have bipartisan 1578 support, and I don't recall off the top, but I think it is 1579 Senate Bill 1002 and maybe the House 1255, but they both are 1580 pragmatic, they are both logical in their approach and again, as I mentioned, they are bipartisan. I think if there is 1581 1582 lending available to the builders, then the houses can be built at a more affordable cost because builders now are 1583 paying almost a usurious rate for funding, to get funding. 1584 1585 They are not getting it through the lending institutions that 1586 we traditionally were afforded. 1587 Mr. {Johnson.} One more quick question in my remaining 1588 time. What would the Wood MACT rule, the EPA's proposed Wood 1589 MACT rule, how that would affect you folks? Mr. Stevens? 1590 Mr. {Stevens.} In my testimony, what I said is, the current version of the Wood MACT would cost LP about \$13 1591

```
million with really no improvement in technology or in
1592
1593
     productivity.
1594
          Mr. {Johnson.} And basically that is going to cost
1595
      jobs, that is going to cost passing on costs to your
1596
     customers. I mean, that money doesn't come out of thin air,
1597
     right?
1598
          Mr. {Stevens.} It is going to increase--not only do we
1599
     have a $13 million capital expenditure but also increase our
1600
     use of natural gas.
1601
          Mr. {Johnson.} Mr. Chairman, thank you. I yield back.
          Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. The gentleman's time is
1602
1603
      expired. Now the gentleman from Florida is recognized for 5
1604
     minutes.
          Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate
1605
1606
      it very much, and I thank the panel for their testimony, and
1607
      this question actually goes to the entire panel, whoever
1608
     would like to respond.
1609
           In recent months, sales of single-family homes in the
1610
     Tampa Bay area, St. Petersburg, Clearwater and Tampa, that
1611
      area, have risen by more than 17 percent. Throughout the
1612
      entire State of Florida, sales have been up by almost 10
```

- percent. While this is good news, many analysts have 1613 1614 suggested that most of these sales are being made to cash 1615 investors, and I see that as well. To what extent does new 1616 home construction follow the trends in the larger real estate 1617 market? Who would like to go first? 1618 Mr. {Judson.} I will be glad to start. We go back to 1619 that supply-demand scenario. Florida was the epicenter of 1620 foreclosure, so the people are going in now to gobble up 1621 these houses and pay cash for them, many times from an 1622 investor standpoint. But as that supply diminishes, you are going to see new construction follow suit because you still 1623 1624 have that pent-up demand. More families are being created. 1625 About 40 percent of the homes sold in this country are first-1626 time buyers. So as those people are beginning to go into the 1627 market to look for homes and there is nothing available, new 1628 homes will be built, and if financing is available, not only 1629 for the construction process but for their permanent 1630 financing, then the economy will start again. Mr. {Bilirakis.} Very good. Anyone else? Thank you 1631 very much. 1632 1633 Next question. This is for Mr. Bozzuto. You urged
 - 89

```
Congress to insist that any new rules from HUD or EPA or DOE
1634
1635
     have demonstrable benefits that justify the costs of
1636
      compliance. Can you identify any current or proposed rules
1637
      that do not meet that standard in your eyes?
           Mr. {Bozzuto.} Well, I guess I will cite a recent HUD
1638
1639
     rule where HUD has changed the lending limits and requiring
1640
      that on larger loans, the amount of equity that is required
1641
      from the developer has to be significantly different, greater
1642
      than it had been previously, yet this change was done absent
1643
     any experience with loans of that nature having gotten in
1644
      trouble. So it is the kind of thing that has major impacts
1645
      on the industry, particularly if one was in the middle of the
1646
     process. I suspect if I had 24 hours I probably could come
     up with 100 examples of rules and regulations that are in the
1647
1648
     nature of having been imposed because they were good ideas
1649
     but not having any real benefit economically that justifies
1650
     the costs associated with them.
1651
           Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you. Anyone else want to jump
1652
          Well, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate
      in?
          I yield back my time.
1653
      it.
          Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, Mr. Bilirakis. Now, Mr.
1654
```

Bozzuto, you don't have a question because we are done, but 1655 1656 one of the things that we get to do as Members of Congress is 1657 to submit questions to you to answer. Mr. Nadel, you didn't 1658 have an opportunity to provide additional comments when we 1659 were talking about energy efficiency. You can guarantee I 1660 will submit a question, so you can provide that answer. 1661 Bozzuto, we will probably ask you a question giving you that 1662 opportunity to those list hundred examples. You may not have 1663 to be 100 but some good examples. Thank you. 1664 And for those folks that we submit a written question to you, we would appreciate a timely answer. Timely would be 1665 1666 within a few days for me, for some folks it could be 6 months but I prefer a week or two, okay? I would appreciate the 1667 timely answer. You guys were excellent. All of you provided 1668 1669 us good insight on a variety of different topics, and you are 1670 now excused. We are going to take a couple of minutes while 1671 we switch panels here, and you will see some work on our 1672 microphones. We have learned in our backroom, they couldn't 1673 hear the witnesses. So we are going to see why that is 1674 occurring. So thank you all. You are dismissed. Again, I will ask unanimous consent to let Mr. Welch 1675

speak for 1 minute. Hearing none, you are recognized. 1676 1677 gentleman from Vermont is recognized. 1678 Mr. {Welch.} First of all, I thank the chairman, but I 1679 want to reassure the panel that you will be treated much better than I was when I arrived. 1680 1681 I want to thank everybody for coming but I especially 1682 want to thank Ludy Biddle from NeighborWorks, who has been 1683 doing this incredible job in Vermont getting energy 1684 efficiency out into the remotest parts of a rural county and 1685 an old urban city, a city we are very proud of, Rutland, and the thing that has been so exciting, Ludy, to watch your work 1686 1687 was, it is regular people getting out and making direct 1688 contact with homeowners and wading through all the challenges, financial and practical, that they face to make 1689 1690 that decision to retrofit their homes. 1691 Mr. Chairman, I was down one time visiting some homes 1692 that they have worked on but then I went into this class 1693 where there were all these folks who were laid out because of 1694 the housing collapse. This was a few years ago. And they 1695 were learning about how they could use their skills to do something in their neighborhood to save their neighbors money 1696

```
and get them back earning cash. So it has been so tremendous
1697
1698
     to see the implementation of an idea. You know, we talk a
1699
      lot around here but you all do get things done, and we really
1700
     appreciate it. So thank you so much for being very proud and
1701
      I am very proud of all the work that you and your team have
1702
     accomplished.
1703
           Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, Mr. Welch. Now the rest of you
1704
     probably won't have as glaring an introduction as glowing as
1705
      that one was, but Ms. Biddle, you deserve that, especially as
1706
     being our only woman panelist today, so I appreciate you
1707
     being here.
1708
           So by introductions, I am going to go down as I did
1709
     before, and when you start to speak and are recognized, I
1710
     will give you your introduction, so Mr. Robinson, Buddy, is
1711
     Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate
1712
      Secretary for Kohler Company, who I think we have a few of
1713
     your products in our house.
           Mr. {Robinson.} I am glad to hear it.
1714
1715
           Mr. {Terry.} And so you are now recognized for 5
1716
     minutes.
```

```
1717
     ^STATEMENTS OF JAMES M. ``BUDDY'' ROBINSON, IV, SENIOR VICE
1718
     PRESIDENT, GENERAL COUNSEL AND CORPORATE SECRETARY, KOHLER
1719
     COMPANY; WILLIAM SHAW, FOUNDER, WILLIAM SHAW AND ASSOCIATES;
1720
     MARK WILHELMS, VICE PRESIDENT OF ARCHITECTURAL SALES, MIDWEST
1721
     BRICK AND BLOCK; LUDY BIDDLE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
1722
     NEIGHBORWORKS OF WESTERN VERMONT; AND BRIAN BOVIO, OPERATIONS
1723
     MANAGER, BOVIO ADVANCED COMFORT AND ENERGY SOLUTIONS
1724
      ^STATEMENT OF JAMES M. ROBINSON, IV
1725
           Mr. {Robinson.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of
1726
      the subcommittee. I am Buddy Robinson, I am with Kohler
1727
     Company, and I thank you for the opportunity to present
1728
     Kohler Company's perspective on the current housing situation
1729
      in the United States and prospects for its future.
1730
           Although housing starts may exceed a million for 2013,
1731
     no one in the industry would claim this is a robust market by
1732
     historic standards. It is well below the 2 million starts we
1733
      experienced in 2005 but, thankfully, it is appreciably above
      the 500,000 starts at the bottom in 2009.
1734
```

1735 Kohler Company has played an important role in housing 1736 for more than a century. We will celebrate actually our 1737 140th anniversary later this year. John Michael Kohler, an 1738 Austrian immigrant, came to Wisconsin, bought a farm implements company making cast-iron and steel implements in 1739 1740 1873. He took a product out his line, heated it up to 1,700 degrees Fahrenheit. He put a bunch of enamel frit on it and 1741 1742 he took a picture, he put in his catalog and he said of the 1743 product, it would work as a horse trough or hog scalder, that 1744 when furnished with four legs will serve as a bathtub, and 1745 thus Kohler got into the bath business. 1746 So Kohler ideas, craftsmanship and technology are at 1747 work all around the world. We currently have four corporate 1748 groups: kitchen and bath, power, interiors and hospitality. 1749 We employ more than 30,000 associates. We have operations 1750 including more than 50 manufacturing facilities and we sell 1751 our products literally on every continent. 1752 Generally speaking, Kohler Company is bullish on the 1753 prospects for continued recovery and growth in the housing 1754 market. However, there are a number of economic obstacles and federal policies confronting America that could detail 1755

1756 our rosy outlook. I will turn to a few of those now. 1757 First, home buyers and remodelers need access to 1758 affordable financing. Simply put, we need policies that 1759 encourage private institutions to participate in the home 1760 finance market. We need clarity in rules and regulations 1761 surrounding lending standards. We need consistent regulation 1762 and certification of appraisers and a greater general 1763 sensitivity in Washington toward burdensome processes that 1764 add time and cost without meaningful benefit to the mortgage 1765 finance market. Secondly, we need national water-use standards based on 1766 1767 science. Patchwork regulations applied selectively create 1768 unreasonable burdens on enterprises and they virtually 1769 quarantee a race to the lowest water usage levels regardless 1770 of good science or maximum efficiency. Kohler wholeheartedly 1771 supports the EPA Water Sense program. This is a public-1772 private partnership promoting water efficiency, and it is working well. It deserves congressional funding. 1773 1774 reports that Water Sense-labeled products have helped 1775 Americans save \$287 billion gallons of water. That is \$4.7 billion in water and energy bills, you know, avoided. And we 1776

are proud to have been named EPA Water Sense Manufacturing 1777 1778 Partner of the Year three times since the program was 1779 launched in 2008 including this past year in 2012. 1780 Thirdly, we need policies that build the skilled and 1781 unskilled workforce. Kohler Company supports the intent of 1782 the comprehensive immigration reform pending in the Senate. 1783 It is overdue. As we face growing shortages in plumbers and 1784 other skilled trades, government should be doing what it can 1785 to support vocational and trade schools as well as supporting 1786 qualified apprenticeship programs. Furthermore, we need to 1787 offer work visas to all who graduate from U.S. colleges and 1788 universities, particularly those with science and engineering 1789 degrees. 1790 And finally, there needs to be greater sensitivity in 1791 government to rules and regulations that drive up manufacturing costs. Often we do not have sufficient lead 1792 1793 time to prepare for oncoming regulations. In other cases, 1794 good science is missing and decisions are based on faulty or 1795 incomplete studies. In still other instances, contradictions 1796 occur between and among federal agencies that share regulatory responsibilities. 1797

```
1798
          So in conclusion, housing has pulled the U.S. economy
1799
     out of every recession since the Great Depression. It
1800
     remains critically important that governments at all levels
1801
     help create and support an environment conducive to home
1802
     building. Kohler Company's success illustrates what
     industrious immigrants can accomplish through the free
1803
1804
     enterprise system and a healthy housing sector.
1805
          I thank you for this opportunity and look forward to
1806
     your questions.
1807
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Robinson follows:]
     ********** TNSERT 7 ********
1808
```

```
1809 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you for your testimony.

1810 Now, Mr. Shaw, you are the founder of William Shaw and

1811 Associates, and we look forward to your testimony.
```

1812 ^STATEMENT OF WILLIAM SHAW 1813 Mr. {Shaw.} Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to 1814 testify this morning, Chairman Terry and members of the panel. My name is Bill Shaw. I am the founder of William 1815 1816 Shaw and Associates. We are a design-build-remodeling 1817 company located in the great State of Houston, Texas. 1818 Few industries have struggled more during the Great 1819 Recession than the home building industry. While remodelers have not experienced the extreme highs and lows like single-1820 1821 family home building, the remodeling industry has struggled over the last few years. However, predictions indicate a 1822 very gradual yet steady recovery. Fortunately, predictions--1823 1824 remodeling is an industry right now that is heavily 1825 regulated, and given the regulatory environment we face as an industry and as small businesses, I would like to share with 1826 1827 you my thoughts on some key regulations that could hamper our 1828 recovery. Recent amendments and changes to EPA's Lead Renovation, 1829 Repair and Painting rule are already constraining our 1830

businesses. The final rule, which took effect over 3 years 1831 1832 ago, requires renovation work that disturbs more than 6 1833 square feet in a home built prior to 1978 to follow the new 1834 Lead Safe Work Practices. Poor implementation of the rule by the EPA has resulted in considerable compliance costs and his 1835 1836 hindered both growth and energy efficiency upgrades in older 1837 The first important change to the RRP was the 1838 elimination of a consumer's ability to waive compliance if no 1839 children under 6 or a pregnant woman resides in the home, 1840 also known as the opt-out provision. This change dismantled everything EPA originally included in the rule to ensure that 1841 it was not overly costly to small businesses. For small 1842 1843 contractors, these additional costs have to be passed on to the consumer, which increases the chances that the consumer 1844 1845 will hire another likely uncertified contractor do the work 1846 or, what we are finding a lot in Houston, they are going to 1847 do the work themselves, which may increase the likelihood of 1848 disturbing lead-based paint. 1849 The 2008 RRP also relied on a new lead test kit. 1850 EPA expected the more accurate test kit to be commercially available by the time the rule went into effect. Three years 1851

later, we still don't have a new test kit, and the old test 1852 1853 kids can produce up to a 60 percent false positives, meaning 1854 that in many cases, consumers are needlessly paying 1855 additional compliance costs. We believe the EPA should reopen the rule and redo their cost-benefit analysis. 1856 1857 Another challenge we face is with green remodeling. The 1858 green remodeling trend is growing quickly, and I myself am a 1859 certified green professional. But one of the major barriers 1860 to investing in green construction is that appraisals often 1861 do not reflect the increase in construction costs or the value of future energy savings. If my customers cannot 1862 realize this value, they won't seek green upgrades. 1863 1864 Voluntary green building rating systems, though, have helped 1865 demystify the value of green. While there are many in the 1866 market, the ANCI-approved ICC 7000 national green building 1867 standard is widely used in residential construction. This 1868 standard focuses on energy efficiency, water and resource 1869 conservation, and more. There are minimal requirements in 1870 each of these categories. It also features an entire section 1871 dedicated to remodeling, a key to addressing the inefficiencies found in older buildings which are the real 1872

```
gas guzzlers of the build environment. Federal buildings
1873
1874
     must now meet green standards, but unfortunately, only one
1875
     system is allowed: LEED. LEED is not a consensus standard.
1876
     Agencies are required to use these standards because they
     allow for all relevant stakeholders to participate while also
1877
1878
     protecting against special-interest groups hoping to
1879
     prioritize one particular product or technique. Second,
1880
     giving one priority organization a monopoly does not promote
1881
      innovation or cost-effective decision-making. Different
1882
     rating systems may also be better suited for certain project
      types. Lastly, no standalone residential green standard was
1883
1884
     reviewed, even though 16 percent of the federal portfolio is
1885
     residential space. GSA is currently reviewing this policy,
1886
     and I hope their recommendation allows choice.
1887
          Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and I
1888
      look forward to your questions.
1889
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Shaw follows:]
1890
      ********** INSERT 8 *********
```

```
1891 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you.

1892 Mr. Wilhelms, the Vice President of Architectural Sales,

1893 Midwest Brick and Block, I appreciate you being here, and you

1894 are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

1895 ^STATEMENT OF MARK WILHELMS

1896 Mr. {Wilhelms.} Thank you. On behalf of our company and the concrete masonry industry, I would like to thank you 1897 1898 for providing us this opportunity to share our perspective on 1899 the importance of a healthy home building industry. My name 1900 is Mark Wilhelms and I am Vice President of Architectural 1901 Sales for Midwest Block and Brick. Our family business 1902 employs over 275 full-time employees at our 21 locations in 1903 Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Tennessee, Kentucky and 1904 Illinois. We manufacture and sell concrete block, concrete 1905 landscape products and distribute a wide range of masonry and 1906 landscape materials to the residential market segment. 1907 However, only about 90 percent of our companies typically 1908 operate a single plant and in a local market and remain 1909 family owned. Nationwide, there are approximately 350 block 1910 manufacturing companies operating about 600 plants. 1911 words, we typically make and ship our products in about a 60-1912 mile radius due to the heavy weight of our materials. 1913 local market focus means that our employees, our suppliers

1914 and our customers are local. We are truly the ultimate 1915 American business model. 1916 I am pleased that your subcommittee is holding this 1917 hearing today on the value of the home building industry. 1918 The construction industry has suffered a lot these past 6 1919 years. At our company, this recession forced us to cut over 1920 30 percent of our workforce. When this poor construction 1921 market is combined with the ripple effect of the banking 1922 industry, a major increase in medical insurance costs, it 1923 becomes very difficult for producers to stay in business. In 1924 fact, over the past 15 years, we have seen close to 300 1925 producers close their doors. 1926 Like most producers, our company began with the production of concrete block for the construction of 1927 basements in new homes during the 1940s. Back then, as the 1928 1929 demand for homes grew, so did our company. The demand for 1930 homes created jobs in the local communities where our companies started. It is the same residential construction 1931 1932 market that has led to every growth cycle experienced in our 1933 company. 1934 In fact, other construction sectors are driven by the

residential market. We will begin to see longer delays in 1935 1936 the construction of retail centers, schools, hospitals and 1937 municipal buildings as we wait for the housing market to 1938 recovery. We know a strong housing market is the stimulus 1939 for most all other building sectors. 1940 Looking beyond the effects of a poor housing market, we 1941 must also recognize the changing construction industry and 1942 our ability to adapt. The method and materials used to build 1943 buildings is changing quickly. The market is demanding more 1944 energy-efficient building materials, green building products, 1945 more education of architects and engineers, and a larger 1946 number of workers to move into the skilled trades. Each of 1947 these demands requires a consistent and substantial level of 1948 investment to remain competitive. 1949 Within our industry, we recognize the need to invest in 1950 our products. However, with block being a relatively low-1951 margin commodity-type product with many small producers, 1952 maintaining that consistent level of funding in our own 1953 research, education and promotion becomes difficult. 1954 For this reason, our producers overwhelmingly support an industry-led funding program. We have solicited the 1955

1956

leadership and assistance of Representative Brett Guthrie and 1957 Representative Kathy Castor to introduce bipartisan 1958 legislation in the form of H.R. 1563 to create a commodity 1959 check-off program for the concrete masonry industry. This 1960 legislation, which has been referred to this subcommittee, 1961 would not create the check-off program but simply authorize 1962 our producers to conduct a referendum, and if a majority 1963 support, then enact the program. We believe that this private industry approach, which requires no federal 1964 1965 resources, is the only way to enable our industry to effectively promote itself and to continue to provide 1966 valuable building solutions for the public and generate the 1967 1968 jobs that will naturally follow. 1969 In closing, our company and our industry sit with 1970 production capacity in reserve, and we are ready and anxious 1971 to support badly needed growth and development to compensate 1972 for pent-up demand. We encourage this subcommittee to play 1973 its role in supporting policies and legislation that will 1974 ultimately stimulate construction growth, stabilize property 1975 asset values, free up investment capital, and reduce the cost to operate domestic construction and manufacturing 1976

```
Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. Well timed.

Now, Ludy Biddle is Executive Director, NeighborWorks of
Western Vermont, and somebody that Peter Welch is very fond
of. You are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

```
1985
     ^STATEMENT OF LUDY BIDDLE
1986
          Ms. {Biddle.} And it is mutual. Thank you, Chairman
     Terry and Ranking Member Schakowsky and all of the members of
1987
1988
     this subcommittee. This is a great honor, and thank you,
1989
     Representative Welch, for making this possible.
1990
           I am here to share with you the benefits that the
1991
     residents of a small county in Vermont are enjoying from an
1992
      investment made in energy efficiency and to encourage you to
1993
     consider how the whole country could benefit from a similar
1994
      investment.
1995
           In 2010, NeighborWorks of Western Vermont, a small,
1996
     nonprofit housing organization, joined an august group of
1997
     cities and States to receive a Better Buildings grant from
1998
     the Department of Energy. The purpose of the DOE program was
1999
     to wrap up demand for energy-efficiency measures in the
2000
     residential sector. We were the only housing group to apply.
2001
     We said we would encourage 1,000 households in Rutland City
2002
      to go through the retrofit process in 3 years, and no one
2003
      thought we could do it because to put that into perspective,
```

only 26 Rutland households had gone through the process in 2004 2005 2009. Rutland County is the second poorest country in 2006 Vermont subject to all the social ills and economic 2007 challenges that our stressed communities are, so we were not 2008 the typical demographic for efficiency programs, but we heat 2009 our homes 6 months of the year. Our housing stock is some of the oldest in the country. Our low- and moderate-income 2010 residents, the least likely to participate, were the most 2011 2012 likely to benefit from this program, and our mission, our 2013 experience is about helping make home ownership affordable. 2014 What better way to achieve savings and stability and 2015 comfort and health and safety for homeowners than to add air 2016 sealing and insulation and the occasional boiler and new roof 2017 to their homes? I will share some of the results and then 2018 tell how we accomplished this and what our hopes for 2019 continuing. 2020 As of the close of this year's heating season, 570 2021 households just in Rutland County had completed retrofits on 2022 their homes. The average homeowner is saving 386 gallons of 2023 fuel per year, which times about \$3.85 a gallon equals about 2024 \$1,500 a year, every year from now on. This means that this

past winter because these 570 homes were using less fuel, 2025 2026 about \$850,000 did not leave Rutland County to buy oil. 2027 Eight hundred fifty thousand stayed in this little county to 2028 fuel our own economy, and it will stay with us every year 2029 from now on. Actually, it will be even more significant 2030 because we hope another 400 households will finish their 2031 retrofits by the end of this summer. 2032 Another way we have contributed to the economy of 2033 Rutland County is in creating jobs. Most of the contractors, 2034 who are specially trained and Building Performance Institute certified through Efficiency Vermont, were, when we started, 2035 2036 a one-man operation, often an independent builder who had 2037 been trying to augment his income during the recession. 2038 Since we began, every one of the 13 or 14 independents have 2039 added people to their companies. We actually have the names 2040 and addresses of 62 people who have jobs created around our 2041 program, so we are not just relying on statistics to indicate 2042 this. One of our One of our contractors, for example, went 2043 from three retrofit customers in 2009 to 40 retrofit 2044 customers in 2011 and 2012, producing a gross income just for his company of \$300,000. At one point all the contractors 2045

were so busy, a 3-month backlog, that we created a small 2046 2047 company of our own called LaborWorks for NeighborWorks. 2048 now maintain a pool of workers we can loan out to the 2049 contractors when they need help keeping up with demand. 2050 How did we do this? We are and always have been a housing 2051 organization. We know that you don't advertise or announce 2052 programs and they will come. For example, in Shrewsbury, we 2053 enlisted the five volunteer conservation commission members 2054 to call all 400 residents. While incentive payments and 2055 rebates for efficiency measures are essential, we used our grant money to provide people to help other people understand 2056 2057 this process, and we simply provided old-fashioned customer 2058 service, something we call the Melanie factor after the head 2059 of our coordinating team. We provided help with 2060 understanding the technical and financial choices. We like 2061 to tell people we will let the dog out, we will let the 2062 contractor in and we will help you understand all of the 2063 information you need in between. Because we were concerned 2064 and there was concern, of course, that providing these 2065 services was expensive and adding to the already existing efficiency programs, we engaged the Cadmus Group, a research 2066

```
firm that is highly regarded in the energy industry, to
2067
2068
     conduct an industry standard cost-benefit analysis.
2069
     found lower income households earning below 80 percent of
2070
     area median income were 164 percent more likely to install
2071
     measures. Our Heat Squad program, which is what we call it,
      is cost-effective for the societal cost test of 1.72, and the
2072
2073
     Heat Squad with Efficiency Vermont programs is even more
2074
     cost-effective. In other words, not only is the added cost
2075
     of the Heat Squad producing more benefit than it is costing,
2076
     but also the NeighborWorks Heat Squad is providing non-
     monetized value to society in that significantly more people
2077
2078
      in the low- to moderate-income homes are benefiting.
2079
          I will stop now and hope that you will have questions
     that would address the rest of my testimony.
2080
2081
           [The prepared statement of Ms. Biddle follows:]
      ********** TNSERT 10 ********
2082
```

```
Mr. {Terry.} I think you can bank on that.

Mr. Bovio, did I say that right?

Mr. {Bovio.} Yes, you did.

Mr. {Terry.} Fantastic. Operations Manager, Bovio

Advanced Comfort and Energy Solutions. You are recognized

for 5 minutes.
```

2089 ^STATEMENT OF BRIAN BOVIO 2090 Mr. {Bovio.} Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and distinguished members of this subcommittee for this 2091 2092 opportunity to offer my perspective on the role of home 2093 performance contracting in home economics and energy policy. 2094 My name is Brian Bovio and I am Vice President of my family's 2095 business--I gave myself a promotion--Bovio Heating, Plumbing, 2096 Cooling, Insulation located in New Jersey. We are a thirdgeneration HVAC contracting company that has also 2097 2098 transitioned in a whole-house energy efficiency retrofit 2099 company. We offer heating, air conditioning, plumber, 2100 insulation, weatherization and energy auditing services. 2101 Essentially, we work with homeowner to increase their home's 2102 energy performance, comfort, health and safety. 2103 I come to this subcommittee both as a licensed 2104 contractor and as Chairman of the Board of Efficiency First. 2105 Efficiency First is a national nonprofit trade association of 2106 nearly 800 member companies, most of which are small businesses employing five to 50 people. We have membership 2107

in all 50 States and aim to support the policies that will 2108 2109 support a sustainable and scalable home retrofit market. 2110 Efficiency First contractors work every day sitting at 2111 kitchen tables across America helping homeowners to 2112 understand why their energy bills are so high, why their 2113 daughters' bedrooms are so cold or why their son's asthma 2114 acts up when the furnace is on. Americans understand that 2115 energy efficiency is about their home economics and comfort 2116 and their ability to raise their families there. 2117 The average American family spends over \$1,800 per year 2118 on energy, which equates to over \$200 billion across the 2119 Nation. This represents 22 percent of all U.S. energy 2120 consumption, 35 percent more than is used for passenger cars 2121 and trucks combined. 2122 Energy efficiency is unique in that it creates its own 2123 cash flow. Less money spent on energy means more money to 2124 purchase groceries and save for college. So why don't all 2125 American homeowners undertake the energy efficiency upgrades 2126 they need? One key reason is the upfront costs. Efficiency 2127 First and I would like to thank Congress and Congressmen David McKinley and Peter Welch for their leadership on homes, 2128

home performance and for introducing H.R. 2128, the Home 2129 2130 Owner Managing Energy Savings Act, or HOMES Act. This bill 2131 would help address the hurdle of those upfront costs by 2132 providing incentives for homeowners with rebates to help 2133 cover the cost of home energy efficiency upgrades. 2134 rebates are earned. The size of the rebate is based on the 2135 energy savings the upgrade will provide, not the type of 2136 product they purchase, and homeowners will always pay at 2137 least half of the upgrade cost. 2138 Why should tax dollars be used to offset efficiency costs? Believe me, I understand the need to use public 2139 2140 dollars wisely. As a small business, we understand the need 2141 to budget our own funds wisely so I am not asking for a 2142 handout. This country needs the energy savings that the 2143 HOMES Act provides. Saving energy is a public good. 2144 Homeowners are being asked to provide that public good to 2145 save energy and make expensive efficiency investments because 2146 we want them to save money on their utility bills and because 2147 the country needs them to reduce cost across the energy 2148 system as a whole and help achieve the broader goals of energy independence, pollution reduction and job creation. 2149

2150 We are not properly valuing the very real public and 2151 resource benefits energy efficiency provides. Instead, we 2152 are asking homeowners to pay for the full burden and cost of 2153 these improvements often upfront and out of pocket. 2154 HOMES Act fixes that. 2155 Mr. Chairman, retrofitting inefficient homes will also 2156 create hundreds of thousands of U.S. jobs in some of the 2157 hardest-hit industries including construction and 2158 manufacturing. These new jobs are primarily jobs that cannot 2159 be outsourced. You cannot hire a contractor from China, and the materials used in improving homes average 90 percent made 2160 2161 in the United States. Shipping insulation is as smart as 2162 shipping air. 2163 My business and employees know personally how home 2164 performance can create jobs. Bovio's has been able to grow 2165 its business thanks to making the transition to a home 2166 performance company. Despite horrendous economic conditions, 2167 we have more than doubled our workforce in the past few 2168 years. All of these employees are working 40-plus hours a 2169 week, no short weeks and have full benefits. Revenues are also up dramatically from before we started in home 2170

performance. This change in my business and the businesses 2171 2172 of many others across the country was made possible with the 2173 help of public dollars and incentive programs, incentive 2174 programs like the HOMES Act put forward by bipartisan 2175 policymakers at the State level, who saw the need and acted. 2176 Mr. Chairman, the major players we need to make the home 2177 performance industry economically sustainable over the long 2178 haul are already here. We are just not yet to scale. 2179 that claim the industry should stand up without incentives 2180 are not acknowledging that every other resource receives 2181 incentives despite already being at scale. Energy efficiency 2182 is an undervalued resource, and home performance deserves 2183 investment. We believe that a smart national incentive coordinated with local infrastructure will enable a 2184 2185 transformation in the residential energy efficiency market. 2186 This subcommittee can help by supporting the passage of the 2187 HOMES Act. 2188 I want to thank the subcommittee on behalf of the 2189 thousands of contractors who are working every day to help 2190 homeowners invest and improve their homes. I thank you again for the opportunity to testify and look forward to your 2191

```
2195
           Mr. {Terry.} Thank you very much.
2196
           I will start with you, Mr. Shaw. It is interesting as a
2197
     remodeler that I guess many of us, I didn't think about the
2198
      lead rule and how it would impact, and I would assume most of
2199
      the remodeling is in older homes. So when the EPA, when they
2200
      eliminated the opt-out, first of all, what notice was there?
2201
     Why did they do that and how specifically did it impact a
2202
      typical remodeling job for a home built before 1978?
2203
           Mr. {Shaw.} Wow, I don't even know where to start on
2204
      that.
           Mr. {Terry.} Yes, and you have to do it in about a
2205
2206
     minute.
           Mr. {Shaw.} Yes. Thank you. You know, as an industry,
2207
     and remodelers in particular, we were at the table with
2208
2209
     putting this whole thing together, and we are very serious
      about lead poisoning so I don't want to imply that what
2210
2211
     happened after this thing went into effect in 2010, I think
2212
      it was in July 2010 -- what happened was is that we didn't get
2213
      to the table to a change that occurred, I think it was in
      September, when because of a lawsuit and a settlement with
2214
```

```
the environmentalists, the EPA all of a sudden threw this
2215
2216
      opt-out and took it off the table.
2217
           Mr. {Terry.} So to interrupt. Was that part of the
2218
      settlement agreement is to eliminate the opt-out?
2219
           Mr. {Shaw.} Yes, it was. So what happened to us is, is
      that we went from 36 million homes to almost 80 million that
2220
2221
     were now included, and we also added about $336 million in
2222
      compliance costs. So for us, it was a huge impact, probably
2223
      one of the biggest things that took the ability of the
2224
      consumer to make a choice.
           Mr. {Terry.} Just real quickly, by eliminating that
2225
2226
      opt-out for a home that could opt out, what was the
2227
     additional cost for a typical project, generally speaking?
           Mr. {Shaw.} What happened is, is that, you know, when
2228
2229
     you took the opt-out, then every single household that was in
2230
      a home prior to 1978 became eligible, and now you take a test
     kit that doesn't work, and what happens with most of the
2231
2232
     remodelers that I take that even want to get involved with
2233
      this is that you have to assume every house has lead, so
2234
      there is no alternative.
2235
           Mr. {Terry.} All right. Mr. Bovio, your company seems
```

```
to be maybe not to the level of remodeling but certainly you
2236
2237
     will make some changes to a home under your program.
2238
           Mr. {Bovio.} Absolutely.
2239
           Mr. {Terry.} What is the typical assessment, assessment
     meaning conclusion, of what has to be done to a house that
2240
2241
     you will work on? What is the average cost? You mentioned
2242
      incentives, and does that cover the cost and where do the
      incentives come from?
2243
           Mr. {Bovio.} Currently, I work in a program in New
2244
2245
     Jersey that covers up to half the costs. I am a third-
2246
     generation HVAC contractor so most of our leads come in as
2247
      someone that needs heating and/or air conditioning. So most
2248
      of our jobs are starting them and then we convert them into a
2249
     home performance project and we talk to them about upgrading
2250
     their building shell, which would be air sealing, making the
2251
     home tighter, performing insulation upgrades to reduce the
2252
     BTU load of the heating and air conditioning equipment we
2253
     need to put in, reduce the equipment sizing. Those projects
      can range around $15,000, generally speaking.
2254
2255
           Mr. {Terry.} And the incentives program for New Jersey
2256
     will cover $7,500 of a--
```

```
2257
          Mr. {Bovio.} Up to $5,000.
          Mr. {Terry.} Up to $5,000?
2258
2259
          Mr. {Bovio.} Yes.
          Mr. {Terry.} Is there a financing mechanism for the
2260
2261
     rest?
          Mr. {Bovio.} New Jersey does have a financing mechanism
2262
2263
     for the rest, a $10,000 zero percent loan, which is why I
2264
     told you that average job comes in about $15,000.
2265
          Mr. {Terry.} Interesting. But Mr. Robinson, real
     quickly, you make a lot of products but I don't figure or see
2266
     where the energy efficiency occurs in the use of your
2267
2268
     products. Is there an energy-efficiency component to your
2269
     products?
2270
           Mr. {Robinson.} Well, you have to remember we do more
2271
     than make toilets so on--
2272
           Mr. {Terry.} Well, yes, that is where I usually get
2273
     reminded of your products, though.
2274
           Mr. {Robinson.} You know, our name appears in all the
2275
     best places, as they say. We also, on the power side of our
2276
     business, make home gen sets, and, you know, this is becoming
2277
     a less and less luxury and more and more something that as
```

our population ages in place and they are expected to receive 2278 2279 their health care needs in their home, we have--part of the 2280 spec of these homes often includes a backup power source 2281 because the power goes down and your dialysis or whatever 2282 machinery in your home doesn't work, that is a real issue 2283 when you only have so much batter life. 2284 So I think, you know, when you look at energy and just 2285 broadly speaking energy issues in this country, we need to be 2286 looking more and more about the security, the infrastructure 2287 for energy delivery to homes as we look at homes more and more to accomplish more things. They will, as I say, become 2288 2289 mini-hospitals for most of us as we age and they will also 2290 raise children and send people to college, et cetera. So I 2291 think the breadth of what we are asking this, you know, 2292 capital to do, this home on the ground to do is expanding and 2293 expanding and at the same time we are being asked to comply with far more, you know, detailed and I would say in certain 2294 2295 circumstances say onerous regulations at all levels. 2296 Mr. {Terry.} All right. Thank you very much, and m 2297 time is expired and I will recognize the gentlelady from Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, for her 5 minutes. 2298

```
2299
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you. First, let me say, Mr.
     Robinson, I have been to the American Club. You spoke about
2300
2301
      immigration reform. Don't you call it The Immigrants?
2302
          Mr. {Robinson.} Yes, it is The Immigrant.
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} Great restaurant.
2303
2304
          Mr. {Robinson.} Thank you.
2305
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} I wanted to just comment on the lead
2306
     renovation and repair. I have been addressing the lead issue
2307
     for a very long time in toys and homes, etc., and I have to
2308
      say I am a big supporter of that rule because let us face it:
      these homes after renovation often are sold, flipped, people
2309
2310
     are moving in and out, and lead is one of the most dangerous
      toxins that affect more than 1 million children. I have met
2311
2312
      some of those children, and it is really devastating. Even
2313
      exposures to very low levels of lead harms the development of
2314
      children's brains, causing learning disabilities, behavioral
2315
     problems, etc., but it is also a concern for the workers who
2316
      can suffer cardiovascular damage, kidney damage, damage to
2317
     central nervous system, and the National Institute for
2318
     Occupational Safety and Health has found that construction
     workers bring lead dust home, leading to higher blood levels
2319
```

in the children of construction workers and in their 2320 2321 neighbors. So I think the LRRP is an important tool in 2322 reducing these exposures and ensuring that renovations and 2323 repairs that disturb lead paint are done with basic 2324 safeguards by trained and certified professionals. It is 2325 very important. It has been supported by public health 2326 groups, by the International Union of Painters and Allied 2327 Trades, and it is being implemented. Renovation firms have 2328 been certified. Workers have been trained. In Illinois, 2329 there are over 5,000 firms certified for lead-safe renovations, and I just think that changing it to an opt-out 2330 2331 would undermine important protections for workers, for future homeowners and their children and visitors to homes. 2332 2333 But I want to turn to another subject for some 2334 questions. Mr. Bovio, in your testimony you wrote, 2335 ``Efficiency First contractors work every day with homeowners 2336 sitting at kitchen tables across America helping them 2337 understand why their energy bills are so high and that 2338 ``retrofitting homes will put energy savings back in the wallets of American families and communities and create 2339 hundreds of thousands of jobs, '' et cetera. So I understand 2340

```
that your company has seen success lately. So yes or no, has
2341
2342
      it been your experience that if more consumers knew how much
2343
      energy they could save and how much money they could save
2344
      through retrofitting that we would see a lot more people
2345
      improving the energy efficiency of their homes?
           Mr. {Bovio.} Absolutely.
2346
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} And Ms. Biddle, your testimony
2347
2348
      stressed the importance of informing homeowners of the money
2349
      that they could save. Can you talk about the methods in your
2350
      experience that have been the most effective and successful
      in helping people understand how they can save money and
2351
2352
      convincing them that these are really important things to do
2353
      in their home?
2354
           Ms. {Biddle.} Yes. As I said, as a housing agency for
2355
      26 years, we have known how to talk to people about their
2356
      specific challenges or questions or needs. So we have
2357
     addressed the efficiency measures in the same way. It is
2358
     very much a one-on-one conversation or, where possible, two-
2359
      on-one. But it is explaining the specifics. In most cases,
2360
     you know, we can indicate that the cost of the loan--if a
      loan is necessary, the cost of a loan is less than the
2361
```

```
savings that would be accomplished on a monthly basis, and
2362
2363
     once a person understands that, you know, using their own
2364
     numbers wherever possible, it is a very easy project to
2365
     understand for anyone, and everyone benefits from it. It is
     a matter of making it very clear. It is still an esoteric
2366
2367
     kind of proposition to households. It is not, you know, like
2368
     buying a granite kitchen counter. They don't know yet what
2369
      it involves and how to get it accomplished.
2370
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} So you don't wait for people to come
2371
     to you, you go out to them?
          Ms. {Biddle.} No, we very definitely go out. We have
2372
2373
     outreach coordinators. One example I gave in Shrewsbury,
2374
     five members of our town called 400 fellow residents and just
      explained, you know, I did this in my house and if you did
2375
2376
     this in yours, this is where you would be this time next
2377
     year. We are very definitely talking to people specifically
2378
     about their homes similar to mine, that kind of thing.
2379
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} So Mr. Bovio, you were talking about
2380
      the legislation, the HOMES Act.
2381
          Mr. {Bovio.} Yes.
2382
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} Are you saying that some States
```

```
already have something similar to that and that this has
2383
2384
     proven to be a good model nationally? Could you explain?
2385
          Mr. {Bovio.} Yes. I mean, some States do have programs
2386
     and some have very successful programs, New Jersey being one
2387
     of them, that has had a lot of success for me and we have had
2388
     a lot of energy savings in New Jersey with that program.
2389
     there was a national model that rolled out and could take
2390
     home performance nationwide, that would definitely benefit
2391
     the Nation's energy independence.
2392
          Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you very much. I yield back.
          Mr. {Lance.} [Presiding] Thank you very much. Before
2393
2394
     recognizing Mr. Long, Mr. Bovio, where are you from in New
2395
     Jersey?
           Mr. {Bovio.} Southern New Jersey. I live in
2396
2397
     Williamstown.
2398
          Mr. {Lance.} Gloucester County or--
          Mr. {Bovio.} Yes, sir.
2399
2400
           Mr. {Lance.} I live in Hunterdon County, which has even
2401
     fewer people than Gloucester County, in the northwest,
2402
     however.
          Mr. {Bovio.} Okay.
2403
```

```
Mr. {Lance.} And to all of the panel, welcome, and of
2404
2405
      course to you, Mr. Bovio from New Jersey.
2406
           Mr. {Bovio.} Thank you, Mr. Lance.
           Mr. {Lance.} Mr. Long from Missouri, you are
2407
2408
     recognized.
2409
           Mr. {Long.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you all
2410
      for being here today and for your testimony. My friend, Ms.
2411
      Schakowsky, as she always does, made some very good points
2412
     about the dangers of lead and lead-based paint, and it is a
2413
     very serious concern, as we all know. I come from a 30-year
2414
     background as a real estate broker and I hail from the town
2415
      of Springfield, Missouri, that is the third largest city in
2416
      the State, founded in 1838, so we are not as old as towns out
2417
      on the East Coast but we do have a lot of older homes, and a
2418
      lot of those homes are rental homes. They are starter homes
2419
      for people that buy the older homes and things, and it is a
     very, very serious concern, and these rules that they come up
2420
2421
     with, the repair and painting rule I think what they referred
2422
      to it as, we stand a chance of people--they don't have to
     paint their house and they can let them rot down, they can
2423
      let the 25 years or whatever since 1978 or however many years
2424
```

it has been since 1978, they can just let that paint come off 2425 2426 and then you get back to the thick lead-based paint that we 2427 all know chips, and that is what children will eat and peel 2428 off the windowsill. So that is why we are so very concerned about it. So I would like all of us to work together on both 2429 2430 sides of the aisle and you all to come up with some kind of a 2431 rational program that will work and prevent that from 2432 happening because the danger of this paint coming off, the 2433 non-lead-based paint that people have used over the years 2434 that covered up, kind of acted as a pretty good protective coating, but now these houses are in need of painting, I know 2435 2436 in Springfield they can't even find anybody. In Illinois, 2437 Ms. Schakowsky said that there is lots of people, but trying to get a house repainted in a town that has been there since 2438 2439 1838 is a serious problem. 2440 So Mr. Shaw, let me direct my first question to you. It 2441 is my understanding, and correct me if I am wrong, that the 2442 EPA is not even complying with their own rule but not 2443 providing a commercially available, accurate test kit. Do 2444 you know of any steps that they have taken -- I am talking about the EPA--to satisfy the need for these test kits in the 2445

```
2446
     near term?
2447
          Mr. {Shaw.} Well, first of all, the EPA wrote the lead
2448
      test standards into their rule, number one. So NHB has asked
2449
     them repeatedly to get a response from the EPA on what they
     are going to do with this lead test kit problem, and we have
2450
2451
     never received a response. We need to have a lead test kit
2452
     that works. I mean, for us in Houston, 90 percent of our
2453
     work are homes that are pre-1978. This rule really has a
2454
     direct effect on us. And what we have been told by our
2455
     attorneys time and time again is, we cannot take the risk of
     a false positive or a false negative. So if we think the
2456
     house does not test for lead and it does and we don't do the
2457
2458
      lead safe work practices, we are liable.
          Mr. {Long.} Well, what does EPA tell you when you tell
2459
2460
     them, hey, you know, you have got this written into the law
2461
     and we need these test kits?
           Mr. {Shaw.} Well, you are not going to believe this,
2462
2463
     but what they tell us--
2464
          Mr. {Long.} Yes, I would.
           Mr. {Shaw.} They tell us that there is another way of
2465
     doing this that you can send the paint chips to their
2466
```

```
approved laboratories. Well, there are not enough of them,
2467
2468
     and then if I came into your house and tore your kitchen and
2469
     your bathroom up and then did this testing and said you know
2470
     what, you are going to have to wait 6 to 8 weeks for us to
     get the results back, and people just--my customers are not
2471
2472
     going to wait. It is unreasonable.
2473
          Mr. {Long.} It is not just remodeling problem because
2474
     you are in the remodeling business. It is, like I say,
2475
      landlords that own these older homes that paint them every 3
     or 4 years, but now with this new rule they can't go in there
2476
     and paint over what they have been painting over since 1978
2477
2478
      for these pre-1978 homes so it is a very serious concern and
2479
      I hope that we can get some help from everybody on this
2480
      issue.
2481
          Mr. Wilhelms, thank you for giving me at our of Midwest
2482
     Block on May 1st of this year in Springfield, Missouri, and
2483
     very impressive, impressive operation there, and I think that
2484
     we both agreed things are kind of upturning in the economy
2485
     and things are getting a little better around there, so
     again, I appreciate that. I know that you mentioned when I
2486
2487
     was down there about a check-off program that you all are
```

```
2488
      interested in, and I know in Washington we are wanting to try
2489
     to do less instead of more, so what would be the government's
2490
      involvement in a check-off program? I understand it is like
2491
      the Got Beef or the cattle check-off program, things like
      that. Can you in 1 second explain yourself?
2492
           Mr. {Wilhelms.} Government involvement is minimal.
2493
2494
     Just give our industry the chance to see if it is a right fit
2495
      for us, but with commodity product, we just need that
2496
     authorization to allow our industry to take a vote.
2497
           Mr. {Long.} Okay. And I have to ask Mr. Bovio one
     question even though I am out of time. When you gave--you
2498
2499
     have already admitted here before this committee that you
2500
     gave yourself a promotion. Did you also give yourself a
2501
     raise at the same time or was it just a title?
2502
           Mr. {Bovio.} I did not.
2503
           Mr. {Long.} Okay. I yield back.
2504
           Mr. {Lance.} You have a right to remain silent, Mr.
2505
     Bovio. Thank you, Mr. Long, especially for that last
2506
     question for the gentleman from New Jersey. The chair
2507
     recognizes Mr. Welch.
2508
           Mr. {Welch.} Thank you very much. I am going to ask a
```

```
few questions and get to Ms. Biddle in a few minutes, but I
2509
2510
     want to ask Mr. Shaw a question first. How does the National
2511
     Green Building Standard compare to some of the other rating
2512
      systems with regards to energy efficiency?
2513
           Mr. {Shaw.} One thing that is unique about the national
2514
     Green Building Standard is that unlike the other main
2515
     program, the LEED program, there is a minimum number of
2516
     points that you have to score in every category including
2517
      energy efficiency. Every category, you have to meet a
2518
     minimum score. And if you look at the different levels of
2519
      the National Green Building Standard, just to get a bronze is
2520
      15 percent above the 2009 energy code. So if you go into the
2521
      emerald, that is 50 percent, and that is every single
2522
      category, where if you compare it to the LEED program, and
2523
     which I did a LEED project about a year and a half ago, a
2524
     LEED gold, it was -- the two architects that I did this
2525
     particular work for, it was a game of picking and choosing
2526
      out of different pots to try to get the points, so it became
2527
     all about the points and really not about the energy
      efficiency across the board of a home.
2528
           Mr. {Welch.} Thank you. And then for Mr. Bovio.
2529
```

```
appreciated your kind words about the HOMES Act, and we are
2530
2531
     pleased that we have the support of Efficiency First for that
2532
      legislation. What would that legislation mean for the home
2533
     performance contracting industry?
2534
           Mr. {Bovio.} It would mean a universal standard across
2535
      the country, which we have never had, a program to put a firm
2536
      footprint in the home performance place across the Nation,
2537
     not a small program in this utility and, you know, that State
2538
      that we have to deal with and it is hard to scale up,
2539
     nationwide when you are dealing with 50 different programs
     across the country. If we had one program to shoot for, it
2540
2541
      could really build the industry up rapidly.
2542
           Mr. {Welch.} Thank you.
2543
           Mr. {Bovio.} Thank you.
2544
           Mr. {Welch.} Thank you. And Ms. Biddle, tell us a
2545
      little bit about the contracting jobs. I mentioned in my
2546
      opening remarks, it was just amazing to me to be there seeing
2547
      all these folks getting training to be able to go out and
2548
     work, and it was nice to see the kind of bounce in their step
2549
     because times were pretty rough in Rutland then and these
      folks had been laid off, and they really had prospects. So I
2550
```

```
think it would be worth it for all of us to hear more about
2551
2552
      the contracting jobs that you have been able to create.
2553
           Ms. {Biddle.} Well, as I said, we really started at the
2554
     beginning of our grant period, which was 2010, with about 12
     or 13 independent contractors, one-man companies, and as the
2555
2556
     demand increased, they were overwhelmed so we offered some
2557
     assistance and some encouragement for them to hire new
2558
     people, and we provided the training because it is intensive,
2559
      technical, advanced training that is required to be a BPI-
2560
      certified contractor, and I think that is probably what you
     were part of. And as I said, 62 people now have new jobs
2561
2562
     that were created in the process of this 3-year period, some
2563
     of them with even advanced specialties as well. It is a
     pretty amazing thing, and as I also said, we created a labor
2564
2565
     pool to augment those companies because they didn't want to
2566
     necessarily grow any faster or further than demand was
2567
     building. But yes, you are right, it has been important.
2568
           Mr. {Welch.} What has been the practical impediment for
2569
     homeowners to make the plunge?
2570
           Ms. {Biddle.} Well, you know, I think there are three
      things that we have addressed. One is the upfront cost of an
2571
```

```
audit. Traditionally, it had been $350, $450. One of the
2572
2573
      first things we did was to defer the cost so the cost
2574
     remained the same but we took it out of the end check they
2575
     got as an incentive, so the entry level was $100 rather than
      $450, and then there was concern that they wouldn't--they get
2576
2577
     a cheap audit or a free audit and not convert to a retrofit,
2578
     but with assistance from just sort of understanding the
2579
     process, our conversion rate is 44 percent, and that is
2580
     pretty high nationally. But it is about talking to them and
2581
      explaining it.
           Mr. {Welch.} Direct one-on-one interaction?
2582
           Ms. {Biddle.} Absolutely. And then we offer
2583
2584
      construction management where that is important. Some people
2585
     are working and don't have time to be at home for the work to
2586
     be done so we will actually provide that service, and then we
     have a very affordable loan product that is also in the minds
2587
2588
      of a lot of people. Financing is an obstacle. We find it is
2589
      less of an obstacle once the process is understood by the
2590
      individuals.
2591
           Mr. {Welch.} Great. Thank you very much. I yield
2592
     back.
```

2593 Mr. {Terry.} Thank you, and now to the gentleman from 2594 Kentucky, Mr. Guthrie, you are recognized for 5 minutes. 2595 Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, gentleman from Nebraska. 2596 appreciate that. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 1563 that Mr. Long talked about just 2597 2598 as he was concluding, he left you about, I think, 3 seconds, 2599 Mr. Wilhelms, to discuss it, and I want to use my time 2600 discussing it, if that is okay with you. You know, it is 2601 questionable, which is interesting. You said it this way, 2602 what does the government have to do, and the one thing is, and for good reason actually, the government actually 2603 2604 prevents some people from coming together to promote because 2605 they want to ensure competition in the marketplace and the system. My understanding is, as I have spent a lot of time 2606 2607 with this issue, is that most concrete masonry businesses, or 2608 almost all are small, a lot of mom-and-pop shops that are 2609 local. Most masonry is distributed within 50 miles of where 2610 it is produced. So you don't have the big players, you have 2611 a lot of small players in order to come together to promote 2612 their product. You just couldn't run a national campaign from Springfield nor could you do national research from 2613

Springfield. And so the idea is to allow you all to choose 2614 2615 if you so choose and not being anti-competitive but let you come together for the idea of not promoting your business but 2616 2617 promoting your product, which is a commodity so it is not like you are promoting one or the other. And the other thing 2618 2619 I think is even more important, quite honestly, is that you 2620 get to do research and development on products that may be 2621 more appropriate for New England. We have a wonderful State 2622 of Vermont, talking about Mr. Welch, that I enjoyed when I 2623 was in New England in college going up there, and--but are hurricane resistant or hopefully some day tornado resistant 2624 2625 as is very much on our minds today. 2626 So why is the concrete masonry business so small and so fractured and just disparate like it is? 2627 2628 Mr. {Wilhelms.} I think you addressed a lot of it. Ιt 2629 was for small family businesses operating in local areas. 2630 Mr. {Guthrie.} The ones the market kind of forced that 2631 structure. 2632 Mr. {Wilhelms.} Yes, the market has forced it, and you bring up some good points, and our ability to adapt and 2633 really get our word out. You know, my pet peeves are on the 2634

research and education side of it, you know, with the green 2635 2636 building and energy code compliance we have heard so much 2637 about today, there is really a huge opportunity out there for 2638 our materials whether it be utilizing fly ash in our materials, a higher percentage of fly ash, whether it be 2639 2640 using crumb rubber waste in our materials. There is 2641 opportunity to improve our energy efficiency but lacking that 2642 opportunity to get in and really do the testing and how does 2643 it affect performance in terms of energy or fire protection, 2644 you know, those are all things that require money and a consistent level of funding that we need over time. So a 2645 2646 check-off program for our industry would provide the 2647 consistent level of funding we need to advance, you know, our industry really and education, research and promotion. 2648 2649 Mr. {Guthrie.} My understanding is, it is hard for one 2650 player to come in. A lot of industries, you know, the 2651 industry--I have a family business and we sell automotives 2652 for U.S.-based companies. There are a lot of other 2653 companies, you know, the Big Three as we call them, have, you know, massive research and development but it is difficult 2654 for you to do because you are so small, and I understand the 2655

```
reason you are small is because it is so expensive.
2656
2657
      couldn't just have one plant in Springfield, Missouri, and
2658
      ship to New York or to Vermont and try to produce because it
2659
      is so expensive to do so, so they perform in the local--that
      is kind of why you are disparate and small, right?
2660
2661
          Mr. {Wilhelms.} Yes. Shipping product that far would
2662
     not be energy efficient. That is true, and the check-off
2663
     program for us, you know, we see good support throughout our
2664
      industry, over 70 percent through a third-party survey have
      indicated that yes, we need this and it would be right for
2665
     us. So the fault we have is, we are not a product that grows
2666
2667
     so we don't fall under the Department of Agriculture. We are
     doing this right, belong under Energy and Commerce, and
2668
2669
     unfortunately, being the first program that would get set up,
2670
     we need to go through the proper steps.
           Mr. {Guthrie.} Well, thanks for doing that. So the
2671
2672
     proper steps--this bill does not create a check-off program,
2673
     does it?
2674
          Mr. {Wilhelms.} No.
          Mr. {Guthrie.} What does the bill actually do?
2675
          Mr. {Wilhelms.} It gives us the authority to take a
2676
```

```
vote within our industry, and if it is approved by the
2677
2678
     majority of locations around the United States, then it would
2679
     be enacted and oversaw--there would be government oversight
2680
     but no costs would go into monitoring that program.
2681
           Mr. {Guthrie.} And if you looked at other check-off
2682
     programs, is this different or is it similar?
2683
           Mr. {Wilhelms.} Very similar, yes. There is over 35, I
2684
     believe, check-off programs through the Department of
2685
     Agriculture. The bill that is entered into the House is
      identical in the Senate, and they are based on that logic
2686
      that has been argued before the Supreme Court and follows
2687
2688
      that same legislative process.
2689
           Mr. {Guthrie.} You can't do it if you are only
     promotional in nature, you have to also move your industry
2690
2691
     forward.
2692
           Mr. {Wilhelms.} And the good part about this, just real
2693
      quick, is that 50 percent of the funds go back to the local
2694
     market so the person in Springfield or Bowling Green or
2695
     whatever would have that opportunity to get back what they
2696
     put in.
           Mr. {Guthrie.} Yes, Springfieldian, Mr. Hammonds, had a
2697
```

```
hotel in Bowling Green. I know you lost him this week, and
2698
2699
     that is a big loss to your community, and our prayers are
2700
     with you all and his family.
2701
           Mr. {Wilhelms.} Yes, a very philanthropic individual.
           Mr. {Terry.} Thank you. Sorry, but there is no one
2702
      else to ask questions, so that means our hearing is
2703
      concluded. I remind you that there may be written questions
2704
2705
      submitted to you, and a timely response would be greatly
2706
     appreciated. So you are dismissed and we are adjourned.
2707
           [Whereupon, at 12:48 p.m., the Subcommittee was
2708
     adjourned.]
```