```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
    RPTS EUELL
2
3
    HIF256160
4
5
6
    LIGHTS, CAMERA, SUBSCRIPTIONS:
    STATE OF THE VIDEO MARKETPLACE
    WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023
8
    House of Representatives,
9
    Subcommittee on Communications and Technology,
10
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
11
    Washington, D.C.
12
13
14
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 2:17 p.m. in
15
    Room 2322, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Bob Latta
16
     [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
17
18
          Present: Representatives Latta, Bilirakis, Walberg,
19
    Carter, Dunn, Curtis, Joyce, Weber, Allen, Balderson,
20
    Fulcher, Pfluger, Harshbarger, Cammack, Obernolte, Rodgers
21
```

```
22
     (ex officio); Matsui, Clarke, Veasey, Soto, Eshoo, Cardenas,
    Craig, Fletcher, Dingell, Kuster, Kelly, and Pallone (ex
23
24
    officio).
25
26
          Staff Present: Sarah Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Nate
27
    Hodson, Staff Director; Noah Jackson, Clerk, C&T; Sean Kelly,
28
    Press Secretary; Emily King, Member Services Director; Giulia
29
    Leganski, Professional Staff Member, C&T; John Lin, Senior
30
    Counsel, C&T; Kate O'Connor, Chief Counsel, C&T; Carla
31
    Rafael, Senior Staff Assistant; Evan Viau, Professional Staff
32
    Member, C&T; Hannah Anton, Minority Policy Analyst; Keegan
33
    Cardman, Minority Staff Assistant; Jennifer Epperson,
34
    Minority Chief Counsel, C&T; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy
35
    Staff Director and General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio,
36
    Minority Staff Director; Dan Miller, Minority Professional
37
    Staff Member; Michael Scurato, Minority FCC Detailee; Johanna
38
39
    Thomas, Minority Counsel; C.J. Young, Minority Deputy
    Communications Director; and Cornell Harris, Minority Intern.
40
41
```

```
*Mr. Latta. The subcommittee will come to order, and
42
    the chair recognizes himself for five minutes for an opening
43
44
    statement.
         And welcome to today's educational hearing on the state
45
    of the video marketplace. I look forward to our hearing
46
    today from our witnesses who represent a wide range of
47
    viewpoints across the industry.
48
          The video marketplace is rapidly changing. For over
49
    half a century, Americans viewed video programing one way:
50
    on a television in their homes. But the advent of Internet-
51
    connected TVs and devices allowed for the launch of streaming
52
    platforms to provide new choices for consumers beyond an
53
    over-the-air antenna, a satellite dish, or a cable box.
54
         Americans are no longer tethered to a rigid TV schedule.
55
    Today viewers can choose where and when they watch TV,
56
    whether it is at home, on the go, or everywhere in between.
57
    This industry shift has ushered in an era of endless
58
    possibilities, redefining how we entertain, educate, and
59
    inform ourselves.
60
          Today consumers have unprecedented access to high-
61
```

quality content. Statistics show Americans' preferences are

62

63 changing as streaming becomes an easy and affordable choice for Americans. 64 65 For the first time, streaming providers claimed the largest portion of U.S. video viewership in July of 2022. 66 that time, streaming viewership represented 34.8 percent of 67 TV viewership, while cable subscriptions represented 34.4 68 percent. In the same report published this month, streaming 69 shares hit a new high of 38.7 of the TV viewership, while 70 cable share was at 29.6 percent. This shows that consumers 71 continue to cut their cable subscriptions and move to 72 streaming, or, in other words, are cutting the cord. 73 Yet as this media landscape has drastically evolved, the 74 regulatory environment has largely remained the same. 75 Cable Act, which is over 30 years old, still provides the 76 bedrock framework for TV regulations today. Burdensome 77 regulations, including media ownership restrictions, 78 retransmission consent, and other must-carry requirements, 79 80 are just a few of the regulations concerning cable, satellite, and other -- and the broadcasters. 81 With the introduction of streaming services, we must 82 resist the temptation to impose more regulations in a 83

84 competitive, innovative industry. The largely unregulated streaming marketplace has allowed platforms to flourish and 85 86 to negotiate deals to provide Americans with unprecedented access to content from local broadcasters, television shows, 87 movies, and other content. 88 Rather, we should focus on deregulating an overly 89 regulated industry instead of imposing archaic 1990s-era 90 regulations onto a new and vibrant industry. It is our role 91 in this committee to determine what is still working, where 92 we can reduce regulations, and how we can support American 93 viewing choice while ensuring local broadcast continues to 94 95 thrive. Today's hearing is just the beginning of this 96 conversation. With the various changes in the industry, this 97 hearing provides an opportunity for Congress to learn about 98 issues with laws and regulations pertaining to multi-channel 99 video programing distributors, MVPDs, like Comcast and 100 DirecTV and virtual MVPDs, like Hulu, Live TV, Fubo TV, and 101 YouTube TV. The contrast between linear or scheduled TV and 102 non-linear, meaning on-demand content, and outdated laws and 103 regulations that may no longer make sense for today's 104

105	marketplace.
106	I do look forward to our discussion today, and thank our
107	witnesses for their willingness to be here as representatives
108	of their industries.
109	[The prepared statement of Mr. Latta follows:]
110	
111	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
112	

113 *Mr. Latta. And before I recognize my colleague, the ranking member of the subcommittee, the gentlelady from 114 115 California, from the 7th district, for her opening statement, I just want to make one last statement. And I say this a lot 116 about this committee. 117 In our opinion, this is the greatest committee in 118 Congress, the Energy and Commerce Committee. And one of the 119 120 things I really have to always say about it is this. We look over the horizon 5 to 10 years because that is where you all 121 are in a lot of cases. We can't go out there and think about 122 enacting a piece of legislation or laws in general without 123 knowing what is happening out there. So this is why it is so 124 important that we have this hearing today. 125 And with that, again, I recognize my good friend, the 126 ranking member from -- who -- the gentlelady from 127 California's 7th district for her opening statement. Thank 128 you. 129 130 *Ms. Matsui. Thank you. Thank you for those words, too, also, Mr. Chairman. Today's hearing comes at a critical 131 time for the video marketplace. Customer preferences and 132 business models are changing quickly. Congress needs to keep 133

134 pace, and this discussion provided a needed forum for that. The market we will be examining today is in the middle 135 136 of a profound sea change. The pandemic continues the trend that was already building in the video ecosystem. Consumers 137 are steadily drifting away from traditional pay TV towards 138 video-on-demand and other over-the-top services. By some 139 estimates, 80 million households will have cut the cord by 140 2026. 141 As more families cut the cord than maintain a pay TV 142 subscription, the implications for the market will be 143 significant. Business models will need to adapt, and the 144 regulatory structures must be scrutinized to ensure they are 145 146 preventing consumer harms because these macro changes are already being felt across the industry, especially by 147 consumers, perhaps no more acutely than television blackouts. 148 For anyone who has experienced a television blackout, 149 they are pretty easy to understand. Consumers pay for 150 151 something they never get. In almost any other industry, charging a customer or consumer for something that is not 152 delivered would be unthinkable. And yet it is an experience 153 that is all too common. You have friends over to watch the 154

155 game, the big game, or your favorite show. But when you turn on the TV, nothing. Despite being billed and paying in full, 156 157 nothing. No game, no show. And it is not just once. These blackouts can go for weeks. Not only that, but they are 158 becoming more and more common. 159 It is estimated that there have been more than 1,000 160 station blackouts since 2010. In 2019 alone, they have had -161 162 - they have already had 230. These blackouts are unfair, and they have to stop. As this committee moves forward with our 163 look into the video marketplace, I am going to be laser 164 focused on stopping these blackouts. 165 But that is not the only issue we need to examine within 166 the video ecosystem. As Congress moves forward with hearings 167 like this, the FCC is also engaged on these important issues. 168 The FCC is taking steps to crack down on bogus junk fees that 169 so often cause sticker shock and inflated bills. 170 proceeding would require video distributors to provide the 171 all-in price clearly and prominently in their ads and on 172 subscriber bills. Consumers deserve accurate information to 173 make informed decisions, and I hope the FCC can strike the 174 right balance. 175

```
176
          And it would be an incomplete discussion if we didn't
     explore the incredibly challenging headwinds our valued local
177
178
     news partners are facing. From election information to
     public safety alerts to local high school sports, Americans
179
     rely on these trusted sources of information. I have seen it
180
     firsthand, but I am excited that Mr. Curtis LeGeyt will be
181
     describing a perfect example of local news in KCRA in
182
183
     Sacramento.
          KCRA's award-winning journalism is an indispensable part
184
     of our region's civic fabric, and during wildfires they
185
     provide a lifeline to every resident who needs it. As we
186
     move forward with these discussions, it is the needs of the
187
     locals that I will be fighting for because communities like
188
     Sacramento all over the country depend on it.
189
          With that, I appreciate today's witnesses for appearing
190
     before us, and I look forward to this important discussion.
191
           [The prepared statement of Ms. Matsui follows:]
192
193
     *********************************
194
195
```

```
196
          *Ms. Matsui. I yield back the balance of my time.
                       Thank you. The gentlelady yields back the
          *Mr. Latta.
197
198
     balance of her time. The chair now recognizes the gentlelady
     from Washington, the chair of the full committee, for five
199
     minutes for her opening statement.
200
          *The Chair. Good afternoon. Thank you. Thank you,
201
     Chairman Latta and all our witnesses.
202
203
          Today's video marketplace is evolving rapidly.
     Americans have more choices than ever on how, when, and where
204
     they watch content, as well as what content they watch.
205
     have seen a profound shift in people's viewing habits,
206
     especially as streaming services have become more available
207
208
     and reliable.
          For decades, the only options available were
209
     over-the-air television and cable services, where people
210
     could watch live TV at a scheduled time on television. Now,
211
     streaming platforms allow people to watch whatever they want,
212
213
     whenever they want, whether that is on a TV or on the go,
     using a phone, computer, tablet, or any device that has
214
     access to the Internet. Streaming platforms have reshaped
215
     our entertainment habits, made our lives more convenient, and
216
```

217 diversified the content available. This transformation also introduced complex challenges 218 219 for the entire media industry. Cable and satellite operators continue to lose a record number of subscribers. Streaming 220 platforms spend billions of dollars on content in a market 221 that is flooded with competition. People have experienced 222 major blackouts on cable networks across the country due to a 223 224 failure by providers and broadcasters to reach carriage agreements, including in my district, where just this past 225 weekend constituents were prevented from watching local news 226 and the Seahawks. They lost. 227 228 [Laughter.] *The Chair. And Hollywood has shut down as the actors 229 and writers strike carries on. 230 In 1992 Congress passed the Cable Act, a landmark piece 231 of legislation that injected much-needed competition and 232 consumer protection to a monopolistic cable and satellite TV 233 234 industry. As discussed, the industry has changed drastically 235 since then. The Internet has created unlimited possibility for 236 innovation, which we have seen with the advent of streaming 237

238 services. This shift has created a new environment that the Cable Act was never designed for. After nearly 30 years, it 239 240 is time for us to reexamine the law, to once again ensure that innovation and competition are encouraged. 241 Now, some have called to simply expand the law to cover 242 the streaming industry, rather than reevaluate it and bring 243 it into the 21st century. This approach would be 244 245 irresponsible, and could potentially kill the flourishing streaming industry, locking both online platforms and 246 traditional providers into outdated laws. 247 Under the Obama Administration, the Federal 248 Communications Commission began a process to expand these 249 legacy rules relating to multichannel video programing 250 distributors, or MVPDs, to new, Internet-based streaming 251 providers. Thankfully, this effort was never finalized. 252 Recently, however, there has been calls for the FCC to reopen 253 that proceeding, and this is an effort I do not support, 254 255 which is why earlier this year Chairman Latta and I sent a letter to FCC Chairwoman Rosenworcel cautioning the FCC from 256 refreshing the record. We reminded the chairwoman that the 257 FCC does not have the legal authority to regulate streaming 258

259 services. The FCC and Congress should not be in the business of 260 261 applying decade-old laws to modern, innovative technology, especially when much of that technology and the services it 262 provides didn't even exist at the time that the law was 263 written. 264 Congress also must be mindful of the crucial role that 265 266 local broadcast plays in the media industry. Local broadcasters have long been pillars of our communities, 267 providing vital information for millions of Americans and a 268 platform for a diversity of voices to be heard. And rural 269 communities in particular rely on services provided by local 270 broadcasting, especially when they only have limited or no 271 access to high-speed broadband and streaming services. 272 Local news fosters a sense of local identity, connecting 273 people through regional programing that reflects the unique 274 perspectives and traditions of their communities. During 275 276 emergencies local broadcasters provide important safety information to public and first responders. It is closer to 277 the people telling the stories and sharing the perspectives 278 that the national news doesn't cover and sometimes ignores. 279

280	As the industry changes, we must be sure that the local
281	broadcast is preserved.
282	Today's hearing will provide expert insight into the
283	evolution of this market, as well as steps Congress can take
284	to ensure outdated regulations do not hinder innovation and
285	competition. I look forward to the discussion today.
286	[The prepared statement of The Chair follows:]
287	
288	**************************************
289	

290 *The Chair. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields 291 292 back and the chair now recognizes for five minutes the gentleman from New Jersey, the ranking member of the full 293 committee. 294 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 295 We are here today to discuss the ever-changing video 296 297 marketplace, a marketplace that has been experiencing an ongoing transformation in recent years. These changes are 298 dramatically impacting consumers' options and the prices they 299 pay to view the programs and content that they want. 300 It is hard to believe, but there was a time when a 301 discussion of video programing brought to mind a family 302 gathered around a boxy television with rabbit ears that on a 303 good day could get all three major television networks. But 304 today there are a lot more options, and we can now scroll 305 through endless channels we get through either a cable or 306 satellite service or through subscription service's access 307 through the Internet. And while the delivery mechanisms 308 differ, at its heart it is really all the same. It is us 309 watching a video screen consuming news, sports, art, and 310

311 entertainment. And judging by recent surveys, we do a lot of it. 312 313 2022 American adults spent more than 6 hours a day watching video on over-the-air broadcasting cable, satellite TV, 314 streaming services, and social media sites. 315 In some ways, there has never been a better time to be a 316 consumer of this video content. Numerous options mean 317 318 competition, lower prices, and more awareness of what consumers want. Distributors are buying unprecedented 319 amounts of content, generating more opportunities for content 320 creators who are women and people of color, and creating more 321 diversity and choice for consumers. And new competitive 322 streaming services have offered consumers the promise of 323 saving money by only paying for the content that they want. 324 But while the industry remains robust and consumers have 325 largely benefited, new players in the market, disruptive 326 technology, changing business models, and outpaced statutes 327 have dramatically altered revenue streams. And all of these 328 forces have created a precarious moment in which it isn't 329 entirely clear what the future may hold. 330 Unfortunately, we are already beginning to see market 331

332 consolidation, rising prices, junk fees, and disappearing content, and consumers are beginning to experience 333 334 subscription fatique as they spend upwards of \$100 a month on multiple services to get access to all of the content they 335 336 want to watch. Television blackouts are rampant and content creators 337 that are finally getting a chance to tell their stories are 338 339 finding that new gatekeepers and take-it-or-leave-it deals are impacting their ability to make a living. 340 Moreover, we can't forget that the backbone of all of 341 this is broadband Internet access. Unlike our old cable 342 bundles or free, over-the-air broadcasting, access to these 343 new online video services requires a separate subscription to 344 high-quality, reliable broadband. Unfortunately, the digital 345 divide persists, and whether due to affordability or 346 availability, a broadband subscription often remains out of 347 reach for many families. 348 349 And that is why programs that Democrats have championed, like the Affordable Connectivity Program and the Broadband 350 Equity Access and Deployment Program, are so important. They 351 offer so much promise to truly unlock these innovative video 352

353 services for people across the country who simply do not have access to high-speed Internet either because they could not 354 355 afford it or simply it was not available where they live. And we should all keep in mind that -- keep this in mind as 356 we discuss the positive impact these programs are making on 357 American families around the nation. 358 We are also challenged in the shifting video marketplace 359 360 by a changing information landscape. Americans still, by and large, depend on broadcasters and cable for trusted local 361 news, safe children's programing, live events, and emergency 362 information. And newer online streaming services still rely 363 on traditional channels to offer this important content to 364 365 consumers. What is more, as consumers increasingly turn to social 366 media and online platforms that host user-generated content, 367 we have seen a dramatic uptick in misinformation, 368 disinformation, and content harmful to children. And this 369 370 troubling content blurs the lines between fact and fiction, erodes consumer trust, and jeopardizes our democracy, in my 371 opinion. 372 So the evolving video marketplace is complex. 373

```
374
     amidst this uncertainty it is more important than ever to put
     consumers first and make sure they are not being ripped off
375
376
     by these companies because of high prices and junk fees.
          My focus will remain on consumers and the timeless
377
     principles of competition, localism, and diversity in the
378
     Communications Act. And while our statutes and regulations
379
     have clearly not kept pace as the media landscape has
380
381
     changed, the solution is not to throw it all out, give up any
     oversight, and abandon consumers. Instead, we must remember
382
     why these statutes and regulations were enacted in the first
383
     place, and only then can we decide how best to carry these
384
     values forward on behalf of American consumers.
385
386
          So I look forward to the discussion today. I think it
387
     is important hearing.
388
389
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
390
391
     *********************************
392
393
```

```
394
          *Mr. Pallone. And with that, I yield back the balance
     of my time, Mr. Chairman.
395
396
          *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. The gentleman
     yields back. And at this time, I would like to introduce our
397
     witnesses for today: Mr. Curtis LeGeyt, the president and
398
     chief executive officer of the National Association of
399
     Broadcasters; Mr. Grant Spellmeyer, president and chief
400
401
     executive officer, ACA Connects-Americans Communications
     Association; Mr. David Gandler, co-founder and chief
402
     executive officer of FuboTV Inc.; and Mr. Jonathan Schwantes,
403
     the senior policy counsel for Consumer Reports.
404
          And I want to thank you again for all being with us.
405
          I want to also take a quick -- let you all know to
406
     understand the lights, and hope that it is out there on the
407
     table today. But your timer light will turn yellow when you
408
     have one minute remaining, and will turn red when your time
409
     has expired.
410
          And just a quick note of personal privilege.
411
     the former chair of the Energy and Commerce Committee with us
412
     today, and also the former subcommittee chair of the
413
     Communications and Technology. I think that is -- might be
414
```

415	more important. But Greg Walden is with us today.
416	So, Mr. Chairman, welcome back. Mr. LeGeyt, you are
417	recognized for five minutes for your opening statement.
418	

419 STATEMENT OF CURTIS LEGEYT, PRESIDENT AND CEO, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS; GRANT B. SPELLMEYER, PRESIDENT 420 421 AND CEO, AMERICA'S COMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION—ACA CONNECTS; DAVID GANDLER, BOARD MEMBER AND CEO, FUBOTV INC.; AND 422 JONATHAN SCHWANTES, SENIOR POLICY COUNSEL AND MANAGER OF 423 SPECIAL PROJECTS, CONSUMER REPORTS 424 425 426 STATEMENT OF CURTIS LEGEYT 427 *Mr. LeGeyt. Good afternoon, Chairs Rodgers and Latta, 428 Ranking Members Pallone and Matsui, and members of the 429 subcommittee. My name is Curtis LeGeyt, and I am the 430 president and CEO of the National Association of 431 Broadcasters. I am proud to testify today on behalf of our 432 thousands of local television and radio station members who 433 serve your constituents across the country. 434 In today's media landscape, television and radio 435 436 broadcasters' value proposition stands alone. We are the most trusted, we are the most local, we offer the most 437 in-demand sports and entertainment programing, and we are 438 freely available to any viewer and listener who wants to 439

440 access our stations over the air. For those reasons we remain the most popular. More than 181 million adults watch 441 442 broadcast TV each month, and more than 227 million listeners tune into broadcast radio each week. 443 Most importantly, we are lifelines in times of 444 emergency, when Internet and cell networks fail. Consider 445 the wildfires that recently ravaged the island of Maui, 446 447 destroying homes, lives, and businesses, and forcing thousands of people to evacuate. For days there was no 448 power, no water, and no Internet service. Fiber lines and 449 cell towers burned to the ground. But broadcasting remained 450 one of the few dependable sources of communication, providing 451 round-the-clock updates on the fires, emergency supplies, and 452 shelter that kept people safe. 453 And tragically, this is not unique. Whether it is 454 tornadoes in Ohio or flooding in California, broadcasters are 455 there. Yet broadcasters' investment in this service, all 456 457 freely available to the public, does not exist in a vacuum. We compete in a fierce media landscape that has been 458 dramatically reshaped by changes in technology and an 459 avalanche of content options. 460

Today we compete for audience, advertising dollars, and 461 content rights with cable, satellite, podcasts, digital 462 463 platforms, and, most significantly, Big Tech. Yet despite these industry changes, broadcasters remain uniquely subject 464 to rules governing our scale and scope from a bygone era. 465 Unlike TV broadcasters, no rule restricts YouTube or TikTok's 466 audience reach to 39 percent of U.S. households. Unlike 467 468 radio broadcasters, no rule limits Sirius XM to offering only five channels in one local market. And our competitors have 469 no obligations or incentive to provide the local news, 470 weather, sports, public affairs, or emergency information 471 that our audiences rely on. 472 473 We offer a public service that our competitors do not replicate. Yet we do all this while competing with one arm 474 tied behind our backs. To that end, more must be done to 475 ensure fair competition and continued consumer access to our 476 essential service. This committee should urge the FCC to 477 478 immediately take four actions. First, the FCC should complete its 2018 Quadrennial 479 Review and modernize its broadcast ownership rules to account 480 for the rise and increasing dominance of digital media. 481

Second, the FCC should refresh the record in its virtual 482 MVPD proceeding to ensure it reflects the impact of streaming 483 484 on viewer access to local stations. Third, the FCC should create a more transparent, fair, 485 and predictable process to review broadcast transactions. 486 And finally, the FCC should continue supporting the 487 rollout of NextGen TV. These are four actions the FCC can 488 489 take today. Additionally, this committee should focus its 490 legislative efforts on two bills: the AM Radio for Every 491 Vehicle Act, which will ensure continued access to AM radio 492 as a safety standard in automobiles; and legislation to 493 reinstate the Diversity Tax Certificate program, which will 494 help broadcasters better reflect the diversity of the 495 communities we serve. 496 In conclusion, America's broadcasters are extremely 497 proud of our service to your constituents. But importantly, 498 499 we must acknowledge that our role is not accidental. shared success between our industry and a legal framework 500 that was created and refined by generations of this 501 committee. 502

503	While some on the witness panel may criticize elements
504	of it, our blend of local and national programing that is
505	uniquely tailored to every community across the country is
506	one of the true success stories in a century of
507	telecommunications law. As a result, our free and local
508	broadcast system remains the envy of the world.
509	Thank you again for the opportunity to appear before you
510	today. I look forward to your questions.
511	[The prepared statement of Mr. LeGeyt follows:]
512	
513	********COMMITTEE INSERT******
514	

515	*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you for your testimony.
516	And Mr. Spellmeyer, you are recognized for five minutes.
517	

518	STATEMENT OF GRANT SPELLMEYER
519	
520	*Mr. Spellmeyer. Thank you for the opportunity to
521	testify today on these very timely issues.
522	Aside from Congressman Pallone, no member of this
523	committee was in Congress 31 years ago when it enacted the
524	1992 Cable Act. Looking back, no one could have accurately
525	predicted the tremendous changes that would follow from that
526	law. The law resulted in content providers gaining
527	disproportionate leverage over smaller cable providers and
528	their subscribers.
529	ACA Connects was formed in 1993, after passage, to give
530	small and rural cable operators a seat at the table in
531	Washington. Since then, ACA has been engaged on every major
532	legislative and regulatory decision affecting the video
533	marketplace. We appreciate the seat at this table today.
534	Fast forward to 2023. We have over 500 members who together
535	serve all 50 states.
536	Thirty years ago, cable television was my members' core
537	business. By 2019 that was no longer true. The marketplace
538	had evolved so dramatically that we changed our name from the

539 American Cable Association to America's Communications Association, ACA Connects, to emphasize our members' growing 540 541 focus on broadband delivery platforms. The shift away from video programing is fundamentally 542 driven by the ever-rising cost of programing, especially 543 sports content. You need look no further than exhibits A and 544 B to my testimony, written testimony, to understand the 545 546 history of the price increases that we have experienced. But don't let me leave you with the impression that 547 there is no good news, however. Consumers have access to 548 tons of content. ACA Connects members are delivering that 549 content to their consumers over world-class broadband 550 551 infrastructure that empowers consumer choice. If we as a country are to collectively seize this moment for the benefit 552 of consumers, we must ensure that the rules operate fairly 553 and rationally without anyone having the ability to exert 554 555 undue leverage. Otherwise, we face a reckoning. 556 Looking forward, there are three main issues that are worth your attention here in Congress today. 557 First, just as we have seen the disruption to local 558 newspapers and other media driven by changing habits and 559

560 technologies, the video business has changed forever. I can tell you that some of my members, both large and small, have 561 562 exited the video business already. I can tell you that all of them are seriously considering the possibility of doing 563 that in the future. 564 Since cable customers have largely funded the content 565 explosion, the fallout of this coming change is going to be 566 567 profound for everyone, including providers, programmers, and local broadcasters. How Congress chooses to impact the pace 568 of change is of paramount importance to everyone. 569 Second, a constant theme is that market size and market 570 power casts a massive influence over innovation and pricing. 571 We encourage you to look at existing programs, rules, and 572 laws with an eye towards trying to make sure that no player 573 can assert undue leverage resulting in harm to competition or 574 575 consumers. The same is true for many telecom policies under 576 577 consideration before this committee. Take net neutrality, for example. All the evidence points to a healthy and 578 functioning dynamic marketplace, where broadband providers 579 make it possible for their subscribers to choose content 580

581 sources. I cannot leave this table today without urging you to 582 583 consider the risks of revisiting title 2 rate regulation. Ιf anything, just as we have seen in the video marketplace, 584 policymakers need to consider the impact on smaller providers 585 who lack leverage over online giants. Failure to do so could 586 destabilize the ecosystem, especially in the rural markets we 587 588 serve. Third and finally, while the transition to Internet-589 delivered services and content is well underway, many 590 consumers today are still impacted every day by factors such 591 as the rates, terms, and conditions for linear programing. 592 The antiquated retransmission consent framework continues to 593 leave customers suffering under a regime of inflated prices, 594 blackouts, and without the ability to choose content packages 595 that best suit their needs. We must fix it and avoid future 596 597 mistakes. 598 We hope that you will keep these dynamics in mind, and the lessons of history in mind as we tackle these issues 599 going forward. Thank you. 600 [The prepared statement of Mr. Spellmeyer follows:] 601

602		
603	*********COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
604		

```
*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you.

Mr. Gandler, you are recognized for five minutes.
```

608 STATEMENT OF DAVID GANDLER 609 610 *Mr. Gandler. Good afternoon, Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Matsui, and distinguished members of the subcommittee. 611 Thank you for inviting me to testify before you today. 612 My name is David Gandler, and I am the co-founder and 613 chief executive officer of Fubo. I have been in the media 614 615 industry for nearly 25 years in various roles, including at local broadcast and cable TV companies in both the general 616 and Hispanic markets. I co-founded Fubo in 2015 for 2 617 reasons: I wanted to help consumers access content that was 618 difficult for them to find; and two, I believed that the 619 620 media landscape was transitioning to streaming. And so it did. 621 Today consumers have freedom when deciding how to access 622 content. With the rise of streaming, the video content 623 marketplace has become increasingly diverse and competitive. 624 625 From traditional cable to satellite to a variety of streaming services, accessing news, entertainment, and sports content 626 is easier than ever, and prices are very competitive. Cable, 627 fiber, and satellite television companies like Comcast and 628

629 DirecTV continue to offer content to consumers. However, with streaming services, consumers can access 630 631 content on their computers, televisions, and mobile devices. These streaming services provide content in various formats. 632 Services like Amazon Prime Video, Disney+, or Netflix allow 633 consumers to stream selections from a library of content. 634 These services typically offer content on demand, pre-635 636 recorded video for users to watch at their leisure with limited or no live content. 637 Services like Fubo, YouTube TV, and Hulu Plus Live TV 638 are similar to traditional cable, satellite, and fiber 639 companies in the content that they offer. But they are very 640 different in every other aspect. These services aggregate 641 hundreds of broadcast television stations, but deliver them 642 to consumers over the Internet. They offer both live and 643 video on demand content. Notably, the live TV content 644 streamed from such providers includes access to almost every 645 646 local station in America. For example, Fubo subscribers can stream approximately 647 99 percent of local stations across the country. Further, 648 live TV streamers like Fubo can be a cheaper consumer 649

650 alternative. We do not require and therefore do not charge consumers for cable or satellite boxes. Also, consumers do 651 652 not have to agree to long-term contracts as they may be required to do with cable. All of these benefits for 653 consumers, including the broad optionality and accessibility 654 of local content, are possible because companies like Fubo do 655 not face regulation that undermine our ability to prioritize 656 657 consumer preferences and to innovate. Applying decades-old regulations to streaming platforms 658 is the epitome of a solution in search of a problem. 659 Unfortunately, large-station groups are pushing the FCC for 660 rule changes that would ultimately increase costs for 661 662 consumers. These groups are pushing to force streaming platforms to negotiate with them directly for content that 663 they do not own. They seek to revive a long dormant 664 proceeding that provoked an overwhelmingly negative response 665 during a public consultation. Commenters pointed to the 666 667 potential harm to viewers, content creators, and local news 668 providers. The protracted Nexstar and DirecTV dispute is a recent 669 example. Nexstar has pulled its content from DirecTV for 670

several months now. Blackouts such as this caused massive 671 disruption for consumers who are not able to watch the must-672 673 have programing, including pro football games like this past 674 weekend. Consumers undoubtedly benefit from our subscription 675 model. Our subscribers receive a Fubo channel plan that is 676 right for them with applicable local news, weather, and 677 678 sports content, as well as national networks. However, it would simply not be possible for us to provide this content 679 to consumers if regulations required that we negotiate with 680 each local station. The time and people necessary to 681 complete such negotiations would allow us only to focus on 682 major media markets, leaving many local communities behind. 683 This would be a profoundly detrimental change to the current 684 system, where virtually anyone in the United States with 685 broadband access can sign up for Fubo and access their local 686 content. 687 688 Also, it is highly unlikely that Fubo and other streaming companies would be treated fairly in any such 689 negotiations, and ultimately, again, the consumer will be 690 adversely impacted. We know consumers want the technological 691

```
692
     innovation, content selection, and competition created by the
     current system because we see that in the rate of consumer --
693
694
     customers canceling their traditional pay-TV services,
     otherwise known as cord cutting, has continued to accelerate
695
     in the United States.
696
697
          Thank you for the opportunity to appear at this hearing
     today. And I am, of course, happy to answer any questions.
698
699
     Thank you.
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Gandler follows:]
700
701
     ***********************************
702
703
```

704	*Mr. Latta. And thank you very much for your testimony.
705	Mr. Schwantes, you are recognized for five minutes for
706	your opening statement.
707	

708 STATEMENT OF JONATHAN SCHWANTES 709 710 *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman Latta, Ranking Member Matsui, and members of the 711 subcommittee. And thank you for inviting me to testify on 712 the current state of the video marketplace. My name is John 713 Schwantes, and I am a senior policy counsel at Consumer 714 715 Reports. Today we will no doubt hear that the video marketplace has been dramatically disrupted, with the pace of 716 change accelerating in just the past two or three years. 717 this point many of us will agree. 718 Traditional cable and satellite TV companies have lost 719 millions of customers, specifically more than 25 million in 720 just the past 5 years. On the other hand, streaming 721 services, a novelty a decade ago, have signed up millions of 722 subscribers. Netflix alone counts 75.5 million U.S. 723 subscribers. And today some streaming services provide live 724 725 television, equivalent to what a traditional cable company offers. 726 Importantly, free, over-the-air local broadcasting still 727 exists, but many younger consumers have no memory that 728

729 television once meant receiving 3 or 4 local broadcast channels with an antenna just 40 or 50 years ago. Speaking 730 731 for myself, I do remember. 732 [Laughter.] *Mr. Schwantes. To put this in perspective, last year, 733 in July, for the very first time, as Chairman Latta pointed 734 out, streaming services drew more viewers than cable TV. 735 736 They snagged a nearly 35 percent share of total TV consumption in the country. Streaming stretched its lead to 737 nearly 39 percent this past summer. 738 So what is going on here? First, it is important to 739 understand that how consumers view content is as important as 740 how they receive content. With the introduction of the 741 iPhone and other smartphones in 2007, the rapid proliferation 742 of screens began, allowing consumers to watch video content 743 whenever they wanted, wherever they wanted. But none of 744 745 these changes would have been possible without the availability of an affordable, fast, and reliable broadband 746 connection, which is necessary to stream video. 747 Aided with the arrival of mobile screens and sufficient 748 computing power, the Internet was set to revolutionize the 749

750 video marketplace, much like it had the newspaper and music industries earlier in the decade. These changes created a 751 752 market shift away from watching television at a set time -so-called linear programing -- and empowered consumers to 753 watch content on their own schedule. 754 755 This democratization of viewer choice started to chip away at the long-dominant business model of cable television, 756 757 significantly altered consumer behavior, and fueled the changes we will be discussing here today. 758 759 On balance, these changes have benefited consumers in numerous ways. Competition and more consumer choice for 760 video programing is a good thing, and there are more choices 761 for video content and more ways to access that content than 762 ever before. Consumer behavior bears this out. 763 According to a nationally representative Consumer 764 Reports survey conducted earlier this year, roughly half of 765 766 American households say they subscribe to 4 or more streaming services, and almost 1 in 10 subscribe to 9 or more. 767 Furthermore, most streaming applications allow consumers 768 to easily cancel any time during a given month, meaning 769 consumers are only obligated to pay for one month in which 770

771 they can view as much content as they like, and -- during that time and then cancel. As for the equipment required for 772 773 video streaming, all a consumer needs is a device that can 774 either host streaming applications, like a smartphone or many TVs sold today, or a device that connects to a TV to host 775 these applications, many of which are less than \$50. This is 776 a stark departure from having to rent a set top box from a 777 778 cable or satellite company. But it is not all good news. Subscribing to multiple 779 streaming platforms can also be expensive. In this respect, 780 the many choices offered by streaming require consumers to be 781 more conscious of their purchasing decisions in real time, 782 and cognizant of how much they are spending across the many 783 streaming apps they are subscribing to each month. 784 Moreover, many streaming services have raised their 785 prices recently. Of course, price increases are nothing new 786 787 for cable TV subscribers. 788 But perhaps the biggest harm facing consumers in the video marketplace is the prevalence of blackouts, which 789 happen when an agreement isn't reached between a content 790 provider and a video distributor. Blackouts are both 791

792 disturbing and anti-consumer, with an estimated 1,000 of them having occurred since 2010. 793 794 To be crystal clear, these disputes are all about money and universally harm consumers. The recent dispute between 795 Disney and Charter serves as Exhibit A for understanding this 796 problem. Because two multibillion dollar companies could not 797 come to an agreement on a price for carrying Disney's 798 799 content, 15 million charter spectrum cable subscribers were denied ABC, ESPN, and other channels owned by Disney for more 800 than a week. 801 The problem of blackouts and other challenges facing the 802 video marketplace can and should be addressed by Congress in 803 a way that would better benefit consumers. Policymakers 804 would be wise to assess what is working, what is not, what we 805 value in a healthy video marketplace, and then act to level 806 the playing field for all video providers in a way to best 807 serve consumers. I outline some of these ideas in my written 808 809 testimony, and would be happy to discuss them further. With that, I look forward to answering any questions you 810 may have. Thank you. 811 [The prepared statement of Mr. Schwantes follows:] 812

```
816
          *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much for your
     testimony. Again, we appreciate all of our witnesses for
817
818
     being with us today. And at this time we will now begin the
     questioning from the members of the committee, and the chair
819
     will now recognize himself for five minutes of questions.
820
          Mr. LeGeyt, Mr. Spellmeyer, and Mr. Gandler, if I could
821
     ask if you could make maybe just a brief statement or answer
822
823
     on this for about 30 seconds each, the media marketplace has
     experienced significant changes since the passage of the
824
     Cable Act of 1992. Local broadcast TV and cable are required
825
     to operate in a regulated environment, while now competing
826
     against streaming services which remain unregulated.
827
     these laws don't change in the next two to five years,
828
     looking over the horizon, what is the outlook for your
829
     industry?
830
          *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question. The outlook
831
     for the industry isn't a positive one.
832
833
          You know, broadcasters are competing with these
     unregulated streaming services for audience, advertising
834
     dollars, and content rights. And as Big Tech especially has
835
     thrown its weight into this space, advertising dollars are
836
```

837 being gobbled up in local markets, consumers are cutting the cord for a litany of reasons but reducing retransmission 838 839 consent fees from traditional pay TV outlets. And all of that means less revenue for local stations to put into local 840 news and information absent some ownership reforms and absent 841 the FCC looking at the impact of the virtual MVPDs on this 842 marketplace. 843 844 *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Spellmeyer? *Mr. Spellmeyer. So from my members' perspective, I 845 think I have outlined it pretty well in my written and my 846 oral testimony. If something doesn't change in the next few 847 years, we do face a real reckoning for consumers and for the 848 849 industry. As I said, my members saw this coming, and have started 850 to migrate away from a primary focus on video delivery to 851 broadband delivery, and that broadband delivery has opened up 852 the ability for competition both for broadband service and 853 the over-the-top services that are flourishing. 854 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. 855 Mr. Gandler? 856 *Mr. Gandler. Yes, thank you. I would just like to 857

858 state that I certainly empathize with, you know, all of my colleagues here. I worked at a local television station in 859 860 the great state of Texas, in San Antonio, DMA No. 7 Hispanic; DMA No. 35, general market. And so I understand the problem. 861 But if you look at Fubo, for instance, with respect to 862 retrans fees, our sub base has been growing by between 20 and 863 40 percent per year. So the amount of money that we are 864 865 generating on retrans is growing quite rapidly. At the same time, as being one of the smallest players in the country, we 866 are also paying a pretty significant escalator over the last, 867 you know, call it six or seven years. 868 So when you couple the massive growth rate with the 869 escalators that we are paying, and the amount of the number 870 of stations that we carry, which right now is over 800-plus 871 -- and as I mentioned in my opening comments, over 99 percent 872 coverage across the United States with one blackout that 873 happened earlier this year -- I think we have done a pretty 874 875 good job driving revenue. The last thing I will say as it relates to local news, 876 it is very important to identify the fact that a lot of the 877 programing that we are talking about here is owned by 878

879 national broadcasters. And so the local news component is quite different and is available on, you know, tens of 880 881 millions, almost 100 million-plus devices at this point in time, where you can access any of the news channels across 882 any of the major sticks or connected TVs. So I think, from 883 that perspective, we have done a really good job ensuring 884 that we have viewpoint diversity and have access to as many 885 886 channels as possible across the United States. *Mr. Latta. Thank you. 887 Mr. LeGeyt, broadcast stations are currently prohibited 888 from reaching more than 39 percent of U.S. households, while 889 streaming services can reach any household with a broadband 890 connection. Do the current laws and regulations such as 891 those on media ownership provide an equitable playing field 892 for the media marketplace today? 893 *Mr. LeGeyt. No, they absolutely don't. We have seen 894 the tremendous disruption posed by the Big Tech companies on 895 896 the advertising marketplace. The newspapers are the most prolific example of that. The tremendous dominance in the 897 advertising marketplace of Big Tech has effectively destroyed 898 the newspaper industry. As a result, local broadcasters are 899

900 filling that void. More than 50 percent of newsroom staffing in the country is happening in local broadcast stations. 901 902 And, you know, our constraint on further investment in those newsrooms is only inhibited by our ability to offer 903 advertisers more scale and receive greater revenue that we 904 can put into that reinvestment. 905 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. 906 907 Mr. Spellmeyer, in my about last 15 seconds here, with the increasing trend toward cord cutting, how is your 908 industry addressing the changing demands of consumers who 909 seek more flexibility and choice in their content 910 911 consumption? *Mr. Spellmeyer. That is a tough one, Mr. Chairman, in 912 seven seconds. But I would say that we are focusing on 913 trying to fix the trap that we find ourselves in. We are 914 squeezed by prices from -- for programing and content that 915 continues to rise, and we have no alternative other than to 916 pass it along, go out of business, or -- I have lost my third 917 one, I apologize. It is pass it along, it is go out of 918 business, or it is black them out. And nobody wants 919 blackouts. So my apologies. 920

921	*Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. And my time has
922	expired, and I will submit my final questions for the our
923	witnesses to answer.
924	[The information follows:]
925	
926	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
927	

928 *Mr. Latta. And at this time the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from California, the ranking member of the 929 930 subcommittee, for five minutes. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. *Ms. Matsui. 931 We are on the heels of one of the largest television 932 blackouts in recent memory between Disney and Charter. 933 Mr. Schwantes, you laid out your argument about the 934 935 regulatory explanations for blackouts in your testimony. But we also know there are other factors at play. Mr. Schwantes, 936 do you believe changing market dynamics have a role to play 937 in the increasingly regular television blackouts? 938 And if so, how? 939 940 *Mr. Schwantes. The short answer is yes. I think we have seen an increase in blackouts for some of the reasons 941 some of the other witnesses have pointed out. I mean, local 942 broadcasters have lost advertising to Big Tech. That is well 943 944 documented. 945 But a regulatory structure exists in the 1992 Cable Act -- we will hear a lot about it today -- called retransmission 946 consent, where cable companies are obligated to carry these 947 local channels. So there is a lot of leverage with the 948

949 broadcasters to extract -- and I don't blame them -- as much as they can out of cable companies to put them on the air. 950 951 Are they increasing for that reason because they need more money? Maybe. But I do know that these -- as Grant 952 just pointed out, these fees, these increased retransmission 953 consent fees, are passed on to consumers. Now, we will 954 quibble with how they are passed on in the form of junk fees 955 956 called the broadcast TV fee, which in some markets are more than \$20 a month and they are not in the advertised price, 957 and that is killing consumers. 958 But I would say, between the two, blackouts are even 959 960 worse. 961 *Ms. Matsui. Okay, thank you. Mr. LeGeyt, I am so thrilled to see your testimony 962 describes the many accomplishments, particularly of KCRA in 963 Sacramento. As a long-time viewer myself, I think the 964 pioneering local journalism they are doing on behalf of the 965 966 Sacramento region sets a national standard for excellence and public service. During wildfires, elections, and everything 967 in between, they are working hard to keep Sacramento 968 informed. 969

970 Mr. LeGeyt, we are all excited about the many video options consumers have today, but can you talk about the role 971 972 free, local, over-the-air television and radio stations play in promoting equitable access to information? 973 *Mr. LeGevt. Thank you for the question. Local 974 broadcasting is unique because we are freely available to 975 every consumer who wants to access us over the air. 976 977 I take issue with the term that has been thrown around thus far in this hearing, "a blackout,' ' because a local 978 broadcast station never goes off the air. It may be that a 979 local broadcast station can't reach an agreement with a 980 provider that wants to repackage our programing and sell it 981 in a bundle to consumers. But the local station is never off 982 the air. 983 And so in times of emergency, when cell networks fail, 984 we are always there providing that service to local 985 communities. And it is important to know that these impasses 986 are last resorts, right? 987 *Ms. Matsui. Okav. 988 *Mr. LeGeyt. Ninety-nine percent of the time these 989 contractual carriage negotiations are concluded successfully 990

991 without disruption. But when they are, we need to be there however our consumers are accessing us because we are an 992 993 antidote to the type of misinformation that is happening 994 online. *Ms. Matsui. Okay. Now, what about when natural 995 disasters have compromised Internet-based communications? 996 What function do over-the-air services play? 997 998 *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes, I think you just hit the nail on the head, Congresswoman, which is that we are on the air. 999 is when local broadcasters, both television and radio, are 1000 doing our best work. 1001 And what is unique is we are not just there reporting 1002 1003 during the natural disaster and assuring that our viewers and listeners have access to, you know, safety, the information 1004 they need, but we are also there in communities with the 1005 recovery from these natural disasters. We are boots on the 1006 ground ensuring that these communities can rebuild. 1007 1008 *Ms. Matsui. Certainly. Earlier this year the FCC announced a proposal to require price transparency from video 1009 distributors. This would help prevent sticker shock and give 1010 consumers better information about the true cost of a video 1011

1012 service. Consumers deserve more transparency, and this is a step in the right direction. 1013 1014 Mr. Schwantes, can you describe how these fees are obscured from consumers and advertising materials? And I got 1015 a warning sign already here. 1016 *Mr. Schwantes. Great. Thank you, Congresswoman. 1017 1018 happy to. 1019 There is a long history in telecom of itemizing fees. Some of those are the obvious ones, like taxes and government 1020 fees, like a universal service fund fee, things of that 1021 1022 nature. Going back to our favorite law of the day, the 1992 1023 1024 Cable Act also allowed cable companies the discretion -- it did not mandate, but they had the ability to also itemize 1025 their own fees, what we call at Consumer Reports company-1026 imposed fees. It is very popular now to call them junk fees, 1027 but let's keep it civil. And that is where we are seeing a 1028 1029 lot of these fees. They are not in the advertised price, 1030 Congresswoman. We looked at a thousand bills back in 2018, and we found 1031 that the company-imposed fees -- so broadcast TV fee, 1032

```
1033
      regional sports fee, HD technology fee, you name it, there is
      a name for all of them -- contributed 24 percent of the
1034
1035
      advertised price, that much -- like a 24 percent surcharge
      totaling $37 a month. I would hazard a guess it is much more
1036
      expensive today because those fees have only gone up.
1037
1038
           *Ms. Matsui. Thank --
           *Mr. Schwantes. And that is where they are headed.
1039
1040
      They are hidden in the fine print.
           *Ms. Matsui. Okay. Well, my time has run out. I will
1041
      submit other questions.
1042
           [The information follows:]
1043
1044
      *******************************
1045
1046
```

1047 *Ms. Matsui. And thank you very much. *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. 1048 1049 gentlelady's time has expired. The chair now recognizes the gentlelady from Washington, the chair of the full committee, 1050 for five minutes. 1051 *The Chair. Thank you. 1052 We share concerns, Mr. LeGeyt, we share concerns about 1053 1054 the FCC's decision to issue a hearing designation order for the Standard General and Tegna merger. This decision killed 1055 the creation of the nation's largest minority-owned, women-1056 led broadcast company in U.S. history, and was not even 1057 brought to the Commission level for a vote. 1058 1059 I am concerned that if the FCC continues down this path, they will silence voices and hurt the future of local 1060 broadcast. What would that mean for the future of the 1061 broadcast industry as it relates to mergers? 1062 And what message did this action by the FCC send to 1063 1064 local broadcasters? *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question and for your 1065 attention to this issue. 1066 You know, I am very, very focused on incenting 1067

```
1068
      investment into the local broadcast industry. I think every
      one of us, if we were to talk about the local stations in
1069
1070
      your district, would say, "I want more of the local news and
      local programing that those stations are offering, ' ' and that
1071
      is only going to happen if we have investment in this
1072
      industry.
1073
           Uncertainty at the FCC as to whether a transaction, a
1074
1075
      proposed transaction, is going to get an up or down vote, and
      that up or down vote is going to happen in a timely fashion
1076
      is absolutely paramount to ensuring that would-be investors
1077
      will come into broadcasting.
1078
           So I share your concern. I worry that it is having a
1079
1080
      chilling effect. We haven't seen those effects yet, but it
      is very much top of mind for the local broadcast industry.
1081
           *The Chair. As a follow-up, I just -- I know -- so I
1082
      don't know, you don't want to call them blackouts? What do
1083
      you want to call them, "Programing not available' '?
1084
1085
           *Mr. LeGeyt. We will call them impasses.
1086
           *The Chair.
                        Impasses.
           [Laughter.]
1087
           *The Chair. Okay. When we reach these impasses and
1088
```

1089 people do not have access and, right, there is -- they are not available, certainly that is -- we are seeing more of 1090 1091 that, it seems. *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes. 1092 *The Chair. Even you are hearing it today, certain 1093 broadcast stations on cable programing. This weekend it was 1094 a local Spokane station, where we did not have access to 1095 1096 important news updates and, as I mentioned, the Seahawks football game on Sunday. 1097 So I wanted to ask you and Mr. Spellmeyer if you would 1098 just walk us through the transmission -- retransmission 1099 consent negotiation process from broadcast and cable 1100 1101 perspective, respectively, and what is the biggest challenges in reaching a deal? 1102 *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes, I think it is important to underscore 1103 that in the vast majority of these negotiations, they are 1104 resolved without any impact on viewers. And it is a worst 1105 1106 case scenario for all parties if a viewer is impacted, 1107 because, obviously, we are in the business of reaching viewers. 1108 But look, we have talked about all the competition in 1109

1110 the marketplace, and it is absolutely paramount that local broadcasters have the ability to reinvest in local 1111 1112 programing. Our retransmission consent revenues are a big part of that. You know, at times this is cast as, you know, 1113 behemoths against small guys, one side or the other. 1114 Certainly, Mr. Spellmeyer, I have a lot of sympathy for 1115 his smaller members, but your local Fox station is a great 1116 1117 example. It is often times also small broadcasters going up against a large cable provider. 1118 So these things are not easy. But the negotiations 1119 ensure that local broadcasters can reinvest in their 1120 1121 programing. 1122 *Mr. Spellmeyer. So from my members' perspective, there is an absolute need to fix this going forward. It is a 1123 product of the law that allows these blackouts to come into 1124 place. 1125 Mr. LeGeyt is correct that the vast majority of our 1126 1127 negotiations are resolved without a blackout, and that is because my members roll over and accept the prices demanded 1128 by the broadcasters. It is what drives the fees that you see 1129 in my testimony. And we really can't continue to do this and 1130

- 1131 hope to have my members continue to serve as video providers.
- 1132 *The Chair. Okay.
- *Mr. Spellmeyer. It is much -- and the more important
- 1134 thing -- I don't want this to be a retrans hearing. This
- shouldn't be all about retrans. There is so many issues that
- 1136 we are stuck with it now, and I would love to have Congress
- 1137 fix it --
- 1138 *The Chair. Okay.
- *Mr. Spellmeyer. But we have got regulatory overhang
- 1140 that we need to deal with.
- *The Chair. Thank you, I want to get one more question
- to Mr. Gandler, because Fubo is a streaming platform offering
- 1143 TV, sports, and local broadcast content.
- And would you just speak briefly to how that has worked
- 1145 with the broadcasters to carry that local content on your --
- 1146 *Mr. Gandler. Yes, thank you. We carry over 800-plus
- 1147 local TV stations. It makes our negotiation with the
- 1148 broadcasters very easy. We have been ensuring that we don't
- 1149 go into blackout.
- But I will read you one quote from Mr. Tom Carter, who
- is the former president and chief operating officer of

1152 Nexstar. And this is as of March 7. "We are in a dispute with CBS right now over the Fubo situation,' ' Tom Carter said 1153 1154 during a Morgan Stanley hosted investor conference. keep in mind that virtual MVPDs contribute less than 10 1155 percent of our distribution revenue. And our distribution 1156 revenue is about half of our total revenue. And Fubo is the 1157 smallest virtual MVPD we deal with. So we are talking about 1158 1159 a relatively minor amount of money, quite honestly, to both us and CBS. So it is kind of easy to pick Fubo as a fight to 1160 have.' \ 1161 So my point here is that it is very difficult to 1162 negotiate with local broadcasters because they don't own the 1163 1164 Seahawks content. That is owned by the broadcaster. And the 1165 retrans fees that are paid, they are quite significant, number one. 1166 Number two, if you look at the history of these, you 1167 know, local station groups, they have basically have been 1168 1169 using retrans to invest outside of local programing. note, Nexstar has acquired the CW network, which is not about 1170 local programing. It is about syndicated content. 1171 So, you know, I don't think it really is a last resort, 1172

1173 as you can see from this quote. So we are very happy with the current way the model works. And, you know, we have been 1174 1175 very transparent with consumers. We don't have junk fees. We do have an RSN fee because we are a sports-first platform. 1176 But I think it works well because people get a free trial, 1177 which you don't get in cable. Then we send out a reminder to 1178 customers a week before. And so this --1179 *The Chair. Thank you. 1180 *Mr. Gandler. This has been working quite well. 1181 *The Chair. My -- thank you very much. My time is 1182 1183 expired. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1184 1185 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady's time has expired. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from 1186 Florida's 9th district for five minutes. 1187 *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You know, in 1188 central Florida we love our sports and the options to view 1189 1190 them, which is why we were all very relieved when we saw Disney and ESPN and Charter Communications bring that deal in 1191 for a landing. I will talk a little bit more about that in a 1192

second.

1193

1194 We also have value both local content and national content. In central Florida are the seventh largest media 1195 1196 market. And so we want to hear stories about central Floridians. It is important for our citizenry to be educated 1197 on normal -- on issues happening among their local 1198 governments, state and Federal, weather, local sports, food, 1199 traffic, the arts, all absolutely critical things. 1200 1201 And as we see this shifting landscape, broadcast, cable, satellite, social media, streaming, and amidst all this we 1202 see shifting revenues, right -- and, you know, these are 1203 mostly private-sector deals that will evolve. But there are 1204 some values that are important for my community: 1205 content, access, diversity, and affordability, which is why 1206 we were big supporters of the ACP, which we already heard our 1207 ranking member talk about. 1208 And while these are primary -- primarily private-sector, 1209 arm's-length negotiations we have a duty to oversee, which is 1210 1211 why we are here today, and when necessary legislate when these public values are threatened, which is why I was 1212 excited to see this deal come in for a landing without us 1213 having to get involved, the transformative multi-year 1214

agreement, a majority of Disney networks and stations will be 1215 available to Spectrum's video customers. We even see some 1216 1217 sharing between each of their online offerings, as well. So first for Mr. LeGeyt, what do you think this deal 1218 means for the future of other deals between local and 1219 national providers and cable offerings? 1220 *Mr. LeGeyt. Well, I certainly think the deal 1221 1222 underscores all of the transformation that we are talking about here. But as it relates to local broadcasting, I think 1223 what it ensures and what it demonstrates is that the system 1224 does continue to work. These were tough negotiations. 1225 at the end of the day, the local broadcast station was always 1226 1227 available throughout the dispute, free, over the air to those viewers, and the parties came to a quick resolution. 1228 But there is a lot of disruption here. And I think some 1229 of the issues that were ultimately negotiated to an agreement 1230 between Disney and Charter demonstrate how much disruption 1231 1232 really there is in this landscape posed by the streaming services, and how important it is for local broadcasters that 1233 we are going to be accessible on those streaming services. 1234 *Mr. Soto. Mr. Spellmeyer, it would be great to get 1235

1236 your opinion on this, too. *Mr. Spellmeyer. Thank you, sir. So the settlement, as 1237 1238 I understand it, is a promising development for the industry, holding out the possibility that new and innovative 1239 approaches can be implemented. 1240 But that said, this is about -- these are private 1241 transactions, but it is about market power. Please don't 1242 1243 forget about market power. Charter and Disney are two relatively equally-weighted players in a very big -- you 1244 know, with big pockets. And when it comes to the rest of us 1245 in the cable industry, we don't have that kind of balance. 1246 It is a much different transaction. There is a lot of really 1247 1248 big players in this industry. None of them are at the table here today. You can call the broadcasters big. They are the 1249 biggest of the people at the table, but the really big people 1250 are not here. 1251 *Mr. Soto. Well, you would be happy -- I was talking to 1252 1253 my local broadcasters last night, so you all are definitely 1254 at the table. It would be great to hear from Mr. Schwantes and Mr. 1255

Gandler. You know, streaming has been a super fast force,

1256

1257 and we have seen it reduce costs, but I am also concerned about content creation. Where do you think the balance lies 1258 1259 ahead as we are looking at things like the SAG/AFTRA strike and -- of this balance between cost and content creation? 1260 Mr. Gandler and then Mr. Schwantes. 1261 *Mr. Gandler. Yes, thank you. You know, this isn't a 1262 real issue that we have to deal with directly. We acquire 1263 1264 our content the same way that the large cable companies do, through licensing relationships with Disney, NBC, Universal, 1265 and others. So personally, you know, it is a shame that this 1266 is happening, but we are going through a very disruptive 1267 time. But I think the market forces have been working quite 1268 1269 well to ensure that things go on. 1270 And just to mention one quick thing on the Charter situation, you know, as the dispute unfolded Charter had 1271 offered all its customers access and promotional pricing to 1272 go to Fubo, and Disney did the same, offering customers to go 1273 1274 to Hulu live to ensure that everyone had access, at least 1275 over the weekend. *Mr. Soto. My time is expired. So --1276 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time 1277

1278 has expired. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Florida's 12th district for five minutes. 1279 1280 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it very much. 1281 Mr. Spellmeyer, with costs for cable companies to 1282 acquire content rising, and pressure to have even more 1283 content than competitors, is there less money available for 1284 1285 new entrants and independent linear channels? Essentially, how do these smaller players compete, and 1286 what does this mean for diversity of content? 1287 *Mr. Spellmeyer. It does mean that it is more difficult 1288 to find the resources to add independent and new start-up 1289 1290 networks. There are also capacity constraints that are on cable systems that are consumed by the dominant cable content 1291 providers who demand that, you know, not only that you carry 1292 1 channel or 2 channels, but these 18 other channels over 1293 here. And so it does significantly impact that ability and 1294 1295 does hurt consumers, as well. There is some opportunity to go to the Web and see some 1296 of those content sources there. Again, I would come back to 1297 the importance of broadband being out there. Without a 1298

```
1299
      competitive broadband network where you have a telephone
      company, a cable company, a wireless company, a cellular
1300
1301
      company, all with -- and a satellite television delivery, you
      have got potentially five people in a market that can beam
1302
      that content in. And that is the biggest thing that has
1303
      changed in the last decade for this video marketplace.
1304
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, sir.
1305
1306
           Mr. LeGeyt, as you know, my district and my constituents
      were recently impacted by a hurricane, Hurricane Idalia.
1307
                                                                  Ι
      thank you and our local broadcasters for keeping our
1308
      communities safe before and during and after the storm, as a
1309
      matter of fact. As we discuss today's competitive landscape,
1310
1311
      do you see the Big Tech companies performing any of this --
      these same protective services, sir?
1312
           And I want to thank all of you for your testimony today,
1313
      as well. But if you could respond, I would appreciate it.
1314
           *Mr. LeGeyt. I don't. It is local broadcasters
1315
1316
      uniquely who are on the ground, as you just put it -- and I
      very much appreciate your acknowledgment -- who are on the
1317
      ground both during the storm and then after, helping the
1318
      communities come together.
1319
```

1320 And I don't know that I can overstate how much some of the changing landscape that we are talking about here is 1321 1322 going to impact that localism. As Mr. Spellmeyer just put it, his smaller cable companies are either exiting the 1323 business or considering exiting the business. Mr. Gandler 1324 told us that Fubo is getting into the business because they 1325 see audiences flocking to streaming. And we know that if we 1326 1327 had had this hearing 10 years ago, YouTube TV didn't even exist, now it is the fifth-largest linear pay-TV provider in 1328 the country. 1329 So the FCC needs to really look at whether local 1330 broadcasters are going to be able to provide that type of 1331 service after a storm reached their viewers in a world in 1332 which streaming continues to proliferate. This is something 1333 that the expert agency needs to provide a basis for 1334 examining. 1335 *Mr. Bilirakis. I will tell you what, I want to put a 1336 1337 plug in for Dennis Phillips, who is a local broadcaster, a weatherman in our area, ABC Action News. His son was in 1338 Tallahassee, Florida State University. My son was at 1339 University of Florida. But he was giving us personal advice 1340

```
1341
      on what to do. And the parents were very concerned, because
1342
      it was scheduled -- the hurricane was actually, you know,
1343
      scheduled to hit Tallahassee, and the parents were very
      concerned, but he put them at ease. And that is -- it is a
1344
      great thing, it really is.
1345
           So I have one more question. I don't know -- yes, I
1346
      have a little more time. Mr. Spellmeyer, over the years, as
1347
1348
      the marketplace has changed and expanded, Congress has tried
      to find ways to support small market participants' ability to
1349
      compete. This includes allowing the small MVPDs to
1350
      collaborate for retransmission consent agreements.
1351
           Since Congress has allowed this collaboration in 2019,
1352
1353
      have these MVPDs seen the success they were hoping for, and
      what are the existing barriers for these businesses, sir?
1354
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. Thank you to Congress for the work
1355
      that they did in 2019, and still are, in allowing my members
1356
      to work through NCTCR buying cooperative to try to
1357
1358
      collectively negotiate this stuff.
           The last retrans cycle happened several months after the
1359
      passage of the legislation, so it was really brand new in the
1360
      first cycle. We are about to go through another cycle, and I
1361
```

1362 think this cycle will be the one that really allows us to judge how effective it has been. It has helped, but, 1363 1364 obviously, look at the pricing. It hasn't slowed down in terms of its increases. 1365 We all want local broadcasters to succeed. Many of my 1366 members serve only a county or two counties. They are there, 1367 they go to the grocery store on the weekend, just like 1368 1369 Members of Congress do. We want that local coverage, and we want to be there to help, and we try to use community access 1370 channels and things like that to promote it. We are all in 1371 this together, but we got to reverse some of the fundamental 1372 structural problems that were created by the passage of this 1373 1374 law and the change in technology. *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. 1375 *Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, thank you very much. I appreciate 1376 it. 1377 I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 1378 1379 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman yields back. Before we go to 1380 our next member, I just want to let the witnesses know that we do also have another subcommittee running right now 1381 downstairs, and so we have members coming in and out. 1382

1383 that is why you see members up and down and out of their chairs running down to ask their questions at this time. 1384 1385 But at this time I do want to recognize the gentlelady from California's 16th district for five minutes for her 1386 opening -- or for her questions. 1387 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to 1388 our ranking member, as well, for holding this very important 1389 1390 hearing, and to all of the gentlemen that are at the table, thank you for your testimony. 1391 I think if there is one thing that I would say for sure 1392 about this hearing, it is that we have a real problem with 1393 blackouts. And it is Congress that needs to cure that. 1394 1395 Consumers are being hammered. It is totally unfair. It is a tool of negotiation by people that think of themselves as 1396 being innovators and entrepreneurs. What an idea. Let's 1397 just screw the consumer, you know? I mean, what is this? 1398 Congress hasn't updated the statutes that govern all of 1399 1400 They are outdated laws and regulations, and they really contribute, I think, to the scourge of blackouts. 1401 Congressman Scalise and myself have introduced the Modern 1402 Television Act in the last two Congresses. We will again in 1403

1404 this Congress. And it would reform the existing regime to prevent blackouts and allow free market contract negotiations 1405 1406 to happen under traditional copyright law. So I think that all of our constituents are worthy of 1407 that, and I hope our -- what -- everyone almost to a member 1408 has said something about these blackouts -- that we can do 1409 it, we can cast a light on this, and get it done. 1410 1411 Mr. Schwantes, in your written testimony you discuss the Modern Television Act and the need to reform the existing 1412 regime, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Can you describe, 1413 in your view, the effect the MTA would have, and how it would 1414 benefit consumers? 1415 1416 *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you, Congresswoman. It is good 1417 to see you. *Ms. Eshoo. Great to see you. 1418 *Mr. Schwantes. As you know, Consumer Reports --1419 *Ms. Eshoo. Yes. 1420 1421 *Mr. Schwantes. -- has supported your legislation.

be succinct. It is the definition of insanity. Keep doing

*Mr. Schwantes. And it is kind of -- you know, I will

*Ms. Eshoo. Yes, thank you.

1422

1423

1424

1425 the same thing over and over, and expect a different result. We think, you know, is there a silver bullet here? No. 1426 1427 I mean, there is some strong medicine that even I wouldn't support, so let's not even talk about that. 1428 But I do like copyright. Don't judge me. I was on the 1429 Judiciary Committee for nine years on the Senate side. So we 1430 like copyright law. It is not going to -- but to be super, 1431 1432 super clear and realistic -- would not prevent blackouts. I mean, it still is a private contract negotiation. 1433 I really do like the baseball-style arbitration in the 1434 law that -- where, instead of going to a blackout and you 1435 miss the U.S. Open and potentially Monday Night Football, you 1436 can keep the station on for 60 days and figure it out. 1437 Again, is that perfect? I don't know, but I am open to 1438 trying new things. 1439 The last thing I will say -- and Mr. Spellmeyer alluded 1440 to it -- a lot of these deals -- and again, I am not --1441 1442 Charter, but they are going up against Disney, and Charter is big, the second largest cable company in the country. 1443 *Ms. Eshoo. Right. 1444

1445

*Mr. Schwantes. But it is not just you are negotiating

```
1446
      for ABC, you are negotiating for -- you have got to take all
      of those channels, you know, and come up with a package for
1447
1448
      that. Some observers I have seen, it is like, let's just
      make -- you just have a negotiation for the local channels.
1449
      And all that other cable property, you can have that in a
1450
      separate negotiation, but let's look at the local channels
1451
      and do that.
1452
1453
           But I think copyright, there is a different level of
      incentives and it is more akin to what the virtual -- the
1454
      virtual world is a copyright world.
1455
                                            Thank you.
           *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you.
1456
           Mr. Spellmeyer, in your written testimony you talk about
1457
1458
      the loopholes that allow broadcasters to purchase more than
      one of the top four stations in a local market. How do these
1459
      loopholes and the resulting consolidation in local markets
1460
      contribute to blackouts?
1461
           First of all, do you think they do? And if so --
1462
1463
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. Well --
           *Ms. Eshoo. -- your view on that?
1464
           And how else does station consolidation negatively
1465
      impact consumers?
1466
```

```
1467
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. I believe, as I have talked earlier,
      this is about market power. To the extent local -- to the
1468
1469
      extent any entity is allowed to acquire and control more
      stations, more content, that only sets the stage for an abuse
1470
      of market power.
1471
           There are a number of terms that I know fly around the
1472
      industry, things like "sidecars,' ' that is a discussion about
1473
1474
      how you own somebody, but you don't technically control them
      under FCC definitions. There is a bunch of minutia that I am
1475
      still learning. I have moved over to this job last year,
1476
      trying to sort through all the history of 25 years at the
1477
      FCC.
1478
1479
           But I fundamentally agree with you. We need to --
      Congress needs to step in and fix some of this.
1480
                                                        The FCC
      can't do it. Or if they do it, it will just get ping-ponged
1481
      around again and litigated. Congress needs to fix it. We
1482
      applaud your and Mr. Scalise's legislation. You have been
1483
1484
      pushing this for, what, four or five sessions now?
      don't think the situation has gotten any better.
1485
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
1486
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. It is deteriorating. You can see it
1487
```

1488	in my charts. You can see it in the blackout statistics that
1489	were cited. And it is an important topic for this committee
1490	to continue to address.
1491	*Ms. Eshoo. And to the witnesses that I haven't asked
1492	questions here, you will be receiving mine in written form.
1493	[The information follows:]
1494	
1495	**************************************
1496	

1497 *Ms. Eshoo. I appreciate all of your testimony. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1498 1499 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady's time has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Michigan's 5th 1500 district for five minutes. 1501 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to 1502 the panel for being here, and thanks for the services you 1503 1504 provide. The video marketplace has changed dramatically, to say 1505 the least, even the last few years. Consumers have more 1506 options than ever to customize what works best for them based 1507 on price, content, connection, and more, as they ought to. 1508 1509 myself use over-the-air service to get my wonderful local 1510 broadcast channels. I hope that doesn't shock anybody. [Laughter.] 1511 *Mr. Walberg. I also have accounts for streaming, as 1512 well. 1513 1514 This plethora of options as a result of letting the market work without heavy-handed government interference. 1515 Competition for programing and viewers is robust, and in this 1516 environment we should be looking at ways we can make this 1517

1518 marketplace even better for our constituents. Mr. LeGeyt, we have heard the term "retransmission 1519 1520 consent' ' and "retransmission consent fees' ' cited as the reason that MVPD prices are so high in comparison to several 1521 virtual MVPDs. Can you please describe what is driving the 1522 rising cost of transmission consent fees? 1523 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question. Local 1524 1525 broadcasters are competing for expensive content, we are competing for advertising dollars, and we are competing with 1526 for eyeballs with behemoths. You know, we have got a set of 1527 regulations that we operate under, premised on the idea that 1528 broadcasters only compete with one another in local markets. 1529 1530 And the reality is we compete with everyone. And the only way that we have the dollars to reinvest in 1531 local programing -- and, you know, I think in some ways the 1532 proof is in the pudding. Over the last decade local news has 1533 increased by 78 percent in markets across the country, the 1534 1535 time spent on local news coverage. We need those retransmission consent fees. 1536 Prices, the cost of doing business has gone up for all 1537 of us at the same time that the competition has become more 1538

1539 fierce. And this is really about being able to reinvest in the local news, local programing that serves as the antidote 1540 1541 to the type of disinformation on social media that I know this committee is so concerned with. 1542 *Mr. Walberg. Yes, very much so. 1543 Mr. Spellmeyer, how has the price of content from 1544 broadcasters versus the cost of other programing changed over 1545 1546 the last several years? 1547 And secondly, is it more expensive to carry broadcast content versus other channels? 1548 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Two very good questions, sir. I would 1549 direct you to the last page of my testimony. I have got a 1550 1551 chart that documents aggregate retrans fees paid to all four broadcasters. In one particular market of one of my members, 1552 who is a relatively medium-sized provider, you know, it is 1553 2023, \$27 a month across the 4 broadcast networks. So it is 1554 substantial. 1555 1556 I honestly do not know the answer to the question as to what my members, on average, pay for pricing to other content 1557 providers. You know, as an association I try to stay away 1558 from the details of pricing in the marketplace. But I can 1559

- direct you to the second chart, which talks about aggregate
- programing expense hitting \$80 a month in that particular
- 1562 local market.
- So, you know, it might be a third of the total. How it
- 1564 -- and I think there are a couple of networks such as ESPN
- that are particularly expensive for my members to acquire.
- 1566 All of it is driven by local -- as I cited in my testimony --
- 1567 sports. Not local -- sports programing drives overall
- 1568 programing costs to a considerable extent.
- 1569 *Mr. Walberg. Surprise, surprise.
- *Mr. Spellmeyer. And you know, and we all love sports,
- 1571 but that is where we are -- that is how we got to where we
- 1572 are at.
- 1573 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you.
- Mr. Gandler, as a virtual MVPD, Fubo isn't under the
- 1575 same retransmission regime as MVPDs, yet services like yours
- 1576 still carry the broadcast channels that my constituents rely
- on for our local news, sports, and weather. How does your
- 1578 negotiating process work?
- *Mr. Gandler. It is quite complicated, as you can
- 1580 imagine, sir.

```
1581
           *Mr. Walberg. Make it as simple as possible.
           *Mr. Gandler. Yes, I wish.
1582
1583
           *Mr. Walberg. And you don't have to kill me if you tell
1584
      me.
1585
           [Laughter.]
           *Mr. Gandler. So, you know, essentially, we are
1586
      negotiating with the four largest media companies that own
1587
1588
      the broadcast networks. And as part of those negotiations,
      they also act as a proxy for us in our retrans deals.
1589
           It is important to note that if you look at our retrans
1590
      escalators, right, we obviously started at a much higher
1591
      price than any of the previous groups, whether it is telco or
1592
1593
      cable or satellite. But our escalators, if you look over the
      last seven years since we have launched our platform,
1594
      basically we are well ahead, multiples ahead of the inflation
1595
      rate, and that includes 2021 and 2022. So it is quite
1596
      expensive.
1597
1598
           *Mr. Walberg. Thank you for your deference --
           *Mr. Latta. Well, no, thank you very much. And thank
1599
      you for your questions.
1600
           And just to let everybody know, the -- when they set up
1601
```

1602 today they did not put the box in front of all of you with the lights. So the only light that we have -- when you -- I 1603 1604 quess what I am going to have to start doing, when time is expired you will hear -- so you will know. But I am sorry 1605 that they did not put the boxes in front of us today and for 1606 you, as our witnesses. So sorry about that. 1607 The next member to ask questions is the gentleman from 1608 1609 California's 29th district for five minutes. *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Chairman Latta and Ranking 1610 Member Matsui, for holding this hearing. And I want to thank 1611 our witnesses for sharing your expertise and your knowledge 1612 with us today before the American people. 1613 Americans have never had more options for accessing 1614 media content. Consumers can buy an antenna and watch 1615 broadcasts for free, or they can watch TV through their cable 1616 or satellite provider or, increasingly, consumers can turn to 1617 streaming. Streaming has allowed diverse content to 1618 1619 flourish, something consumers have clearly been waiting for. As usual, in the media economy, Latino consumers lead 1620 the way in transitioning to streaming services, with 43.6 1621 percent of Latino audiences watching TV via streaming last 1622

year, compared to around 35 percent of the general audience. 1623 This shows the value of having diverse, inclusive content in 1624 1625 a variety of languages, including Spanish, accessible across multiple platforms. Streaming has also given families 1626 options when deciding what they spend their resources on. 1627 This type of content choice is not possible without streaming 1628 platforms. 1629 1630 Knowing that this shift in consumption patterns is 1631 happening, we need to meet consumers where they are. We need to make sure that viewers can watch the shows they want to 1632 see, get connected to trustworthy news that is relevant to 1633 them, and have flexibility to choose how they consume their 1634 1635 media. 1636 Mr. Schwantes, as you talk about, there has been a boom in consumer options in accessing TV content. We all agree 1637 the consumer should have flexibility and choice. Not so long 1638 ago, in order to watch local news and other video content, 1639 1640 you had to be in front of a television at a certain time. Can you talk about the variety of options where Americans can 1641 consume local news and video content, which is critical for 1642 communities like the ones in my district, high -- lower-1643

1644 income and higher immigrant communities? *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you, Congressman. That is a 1645 1646 great question. First, in the traditional MVPD cable, satellite TV 1647 environment, DVRs are quite ubiquitous, so consumers can 1648 record the local news and watch it on their own schedule. 1649 But I will say in the streaming environment, from what I 1650 1651 am familiar with on streaming platforms, there are apps 1652 called, like, NewsON and Haystack, where you can watch local news from across the country. I mean, I grew up in north 1653 central Wisconsin, and I miss my local news. And so I can 1654 use one of those apps to access that local news for all the 1655 1656 reasons that we have heard today. I mean, we support our local broadcasters. We think it 1657 is very, very important content. And I agree it is the best 1658 defense against misinformation, as Mr. LeGeyt pointed out. 1659 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. Can you talk about how the 1660 1661 boom in popularity of video streaming services has affected access to affordable, non-English language programing? 1662 *Mr. Schwantes. Sure. Part of what we do at Consumer 1663 Reports is we review all kinds of things, not just cars, and 1664

```
1665
      we do look at streaming platforms. And one -- and we
      recommend them, and we go through the prices and how they
1666
1667
      work, et cetera. And what we discovered, I want to say in
      the last couple of years, Univision launched -- they changed
1668
      the name recently, but it is VIX, I think in Spanish, it is
1669
      pronounced VIX. It is free, ad supported, or it is $6 a
1670
      month for ad free.
1671
1672
           But they have -- it is a -- well, it is like a vMVPD.
      It is got 50 channels. But children programing, live sports,
1673
      a lot of soccer, but also content from Brazil, Colombia, and
1674
      Mexico. And that is a steal, even -- you know, free for --
1675
      it is free if you want to put up with the ads or it is six
1676
1677
      bucks. And that has hundreds of thousands of programing on
1678
      it, and it is all in Spanish.
           And I will say for the other streaming platforms, there
1679
      is a lot of -- when you go through the menus, there is a
1680
      Spanish language option. So you can just look at that
1681
1682
      program, as well.
           And let's not underestimate the value of subtitles.
1683
           *Mr. Cardenas. Yes. Growing up in a -- my parents are
1684
      immigrants from Mexico. Growing up, when I heard the word
1685
```

1686 "VIX,'' I thought something was going to be rubbed across my chest. 1687 1688 [Laughter.] *Mr. Cardenas. For whatever ailed me, Vicks was the 1689 1690 solution. But on the topic of multi-language, with streaming being 1691 so available, and it being available to all income levels, 1692 1693 what do you see today and tomorrow looking like when it comes to more accessibility in that sense or less accessibility? 1694 And if there is a cause and effect to more or less, what 1695 are the main factors? 1696 *Mr. Schwantes. I will be succinct. I see more 1697 1698 accessibility, but it all depends on the big giant assumption in the room: broadband. None of this works without an 1699 affordable broadband connection. 1700 We at Consumer Reports are on record supporting the 1701 Affordable Connectivity Program, and that is the best way to 1702 1703 get low-income Americans online. I don't think anyone here on the panel would disagree. And that is the key to 1704 streaming. 1705

*Mr. Cardenas. Okay. Well, we did more in the last

1706

1707 couple of years when it comes to broadband in American history. Hopefully, we will continue that trend going into 1708 1709 the future. My time having expired, thank you very much, Mr. 1710 1711 Chairman. *Mr. Latta. The gentleman's time has expired, and the 1712 chair now recognizes the gentleman from Georgia, the vice 1713 1714 chair of the subcommittee, for five minutes. *Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank all of 1715 you for being here. This is extremely important. Obviously, 1716 in this video marketplace what we have seen over the last 30 1717 years is -- has been amazing and transformational, and no 1718 1719 question about it. We all recognize that modernization is 1720 imperative, and that is why this hearing, Mr. Chairman, thank you, is so timely. 1721 Mr. Spellmeyer, I want to start with you. I noticed 1722 that different sports leagues, whether it be the NFL or the 1723 1724 SEC -- Go Dawgs -- or the Big Ten are obtaining significant media rights, and that is causing a lot of disruption in the 1725 leagues. And just the media fees, the media rights fees that 1726

TV networks are obtaining, how does the rising cost of sports

1727

1728 media rights affect cable companies? *Mr. Spellmeyer. It is directly related and it is 1729 1730 driving it. And I have acknowledged that. And it starts with the NFL and what that does to both broadcast costs as 1731 well as, let's call it ESPN and Amazon costs, and it goes 1732 from there. The NBA drives TNT and TBS costs, and it gets 1733 passed along to my members in a take-it-or-leave-it 1734 1735 situation. 1736 And I want you to understand this is so constrained. They stop us from -- the contracts that we have to sign stop 1737 our members from telling anybody what the price is that we 1738 are paying for it, either separately or, you know, in the 1739 1740 aggregate. And it is those kind of things that really make it difficult. 1741 People question retrans fees. We want to be able to put 1742 and clearly label retrans fees on customers' bills so people 1743 understand that in the aggregate, you know, it costs you X 1744 1745 for all three channels or, you know, \$12 for ABC -- and I am picking that out of the air. And if we don't, that gets us 1746 back to customer choice. 1747 We talked a long time ago about a la carte, and let's 1748

1749 let consumers decide what they want to get and where they want to get it from. But please understand that the content 1750 1751 providers are the ones forcing us to bundle this all up 1752 together. *Mr. Carter. So you are saying the NFL is the one that 1753 is that is causing you --1754 *Mr. Spellmeyer. The NFL has done very well under Mr. 1755 1756 Goodell's leadership over the last decade. And, you know, you see it in player salaries and you see it in team 1757 valuations. 1758 *Mr. Carter. Okay. I want to ask all of you, you know, 1759 we -- the majority of the panelists here today, you either 1760 create your own content or you distribute content or maybe a 1761 combination of both. Should everyone on the panel have the 1762 same regulatory framework? Is that even possible? 1763 I will start with you, Mr. LeGeyt. 1764 *Mr. LeGeyt. Well, first off, I do want to acknowledge 1765 1766 the sports rights are the elephant in the room here. When we talk about what is -- what are the costs of putting out a 1767 product that are putting pressure on everyone in this media 1768 landscape, my networks, my affiliates, television, radio, it 1769

1770 is sports rights because, as all of the viewing is getting disaggregated, the viewing that has the most value, 1771 1772 especially to advertisers, is the viewing that you have to do in real time. And that is sports. Sports are the programing 1773 that you don't DVR it and watch it later. It has a lot of 1774 value. So that is putting pressure on all of us. 1775 In terms of the regulatory environment, I do think all 1776 1777 of this is complicated, but there is no doubt that the regulatory framework that has enabled local broadcasters to 1778 negotiate retransmission consent with traditional pay TV and 1779 satellite companies for the last 30 years has resulted in 1780 tremendous investment in local content and local news to your 1781 1782 community. And the FCC at least needs to examine whether, as audiences are migrating to streaming, that some elements of 1783 that regulatory framework may be relevant there. 1784 *Mr. Carter. Okav. 1785 Mr. Spellmeyer? 1786 1787 *Mr. Spellmeyer. In 46 seconds. *Mr. Carter. Yes, I am sorry. 1788 *Mr. Spellmeyer. We desperately need a level playing 1789

field. We need antitrust oversight, competition oversight.

1790

- 1791 We need a level playing field. There is lots of ways to do
- 1792 that. You can see this as immensely complex.
- 1793 In my opening -- message in my opening statement is be
- 1794 careful what you do. This won't be a bill that we can pass
- in, you know, one legislative hearing, but it is going to
- 1796 take some time. But it does need to happen, or the prices
- 1797 will continue to escalate, blackouts will continue, and
- 1798 consumers will lack choice.
- 1799 *Mr. Carter. Okay.
- 1800 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you.
- 1801 *Mr. Carter. I am out of time. Thank you, Mr.
- 1802 Chairman. I yield back.
- 1803 *Mr. Latta. And again, the chair will just have to say
- 1804 this several times since members are coming up from the other
- 1805 subcommittee. They failed to put the light boxes on the
- 1806 witness table. So at five minutes I will bring the gavel
- 1807 down so the witnesses know when time has expired.
- Our next member to ask questions is the gentlelady from
- 1809 Texas for five minutes.
- *Mrs. Fletcher. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman.
- 1811 Thanks to you and Ranking Member Matsui for holding this

really important hearing. It has been very interesting, and 1812 I appreciate all of your testimony and perspectives. 1813 1814 are a lot of things that have come up, and I think it has been a pretty frank conversation, which is useful because I 1815 think it is complicated. And looking at it from the policy 1816 perspective, we have to understand the things that are good 1817 ideas and the things that are not good ideas. 1818 1819 Mr. Schwantes, I am going to come back to you about that, because you tempted all of us saying there were things 1820 you wouldn't support. So definitely want to hear more about 1821 that in your conversation with Congresswoman Eshoo. 1822 But I want to first follow up on something that you just 1823 said, Mr. Spellmeyer, when you were talking about the a la 1824 carte kind of provisions and options, and talking about what 1825 is driving these costs and the lack of transparency. And so 1826 thinking of it from the consumer perspective, certainly I 1827 hear my constituents saying they have choices to buy packages 1828 1829 full of things that they don't necessarily want, and that may be a part of why they are not buying the packages at all. 1830 They would like something -- I know that is one of the 1831 suggestions that you made, Mr. Schwantes, to have, you know, 1832

1833 just a very basic package that people can get. But what do you think is the likelihood, given the 1834 1835 landscape that you are in, that we are going to see that kind of a la carte selection when it comes to your members? 1836 And is that something that is going to be just dealt 1837 with -- do you think that is something that is really 1838 possible in the policy space and in the legislative space 1839 1840 that we are talking about here, or that that is going to just 1841 continue to evolve as the marketplace has so much over the last decade? 1842 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Yes. So we have tried for several 1843 decades at ACA to push programmers to allow us to do a la 1844 carte, or anything close to a la carte. And it is 1845 consistently rejected. You know, it is -- you take the 1846 entire programmer's large, aggregated bucket of content, and 1847 you have got to put it all on. 1848 So that -- I think it is part of the Charter-Disney 1849 1850 thing, it is part of what Charter wanted to do. They are not a member of mine, but I will tell you that, based on what I 1851 understand, they made some progress in terms of getting 1852 Disney to allow them to offer a couple of packages that were 1853

1854 slightly smaller than the giant packages that are there today. And so maybe a little bit of movement there. 1855 1856 But for my members, without leverage over the content providers, it is a, you know, sorry, take it or leave it. 1857 *Mrs. Fletcher. Well, thank you for that. 1858 And Mr. Schwantes, I want to come back to you because I 1859 appreciated your written testimony and sort of suggestions 1860 1861 that you made. And you said in your opening statement, as well as in your testimony, that we need to be thinking about 1862 what is working, what is not working. I was -- it piqued my 1863 interest when you said, "There are some things I wouldn't 1864 do,' because I think that that kind of insight, knowing how 1865 much you are studying this marketplace and understand what 1866 consumers want and what is sort of a reasonable landscape --1867 I am particularly interested in what you don't support, if 1868 you want to share that with us. 1869 And then also kind of just open it up to you on some of 1870 1871 the things that you think sort of are working and are not working. And I guess what I would love to get, a sense of 1872 your priorities. What should be our priorities as we are 1873 starting -- as we are doing this work? 1874

1875 *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you, Congresswoman Fletcher. I will start by saying we don't support converting or 1876 1877 applying the Cable Act to vMVPDs like Fubo and YouTube TV. That proceeding was started in 2014, and I do agree with my 1878 other friends on the panel that the world has changed 1879 significantly in 9 years. But I don't think taking an old 1880 law from 1992 before we even thought about the Internet and 1881 1882 applying it to vMVPDs is the way to go. That said -- and this might surprise some folks -- we 1883 think less regulation could be a good thing, but we have to 1884 agree on some core things. There are great things in the 1885 broadcaster and cable world that don't apply to YouTube TV 1886 1887 and virtual MVPDs, like privacy protections. That is something that -- we have got strong privacy in cable and 1888 broadcast world. That is something, as we take away all the 1889 laws, if we just imagine, like, no Cable Act, how are we 1890 going to figure it out? 1891 1892 And the things like that. Price transparency. we going to do on children's programing and educational 1893 programing? What are we going to do on privacy? What about 1894 diversity? And let's have a conversation about media 1895

1896 ownership caps, and really -- and then bring it back to low cost. 1897 1898 I mean, basic cable was a creation from the 1992 Cable Act. It is supposed to be the local channels and some public 1899 access channels. That was kind of designed for, like, you 1900 know, if you want nothing else, you can get that if you are a 1901 low-income household or if you just want you're your basic 1902 1903 channels. We have -- in some markets now the broadcast TV 1904 fee is higher than the basic cable fee, and which one is paying for which? 1905 And so those plans, I mean, I don't have the numbers off 1906 the top of my head, that is not cheap at 50 or 60 bucks a 1907 month. But I think that is the conversation we have to have 1908 is let's start over, let's figure out what we can all agree 1909 on, and then it -- but as everyone said, it is complicated 1910 and it is tough. 1911 *Mrs. Fletcher. Well, thank you so much for that. 1912 1913 Thanks to all of you. It is complicated. It is tough. And with five minutes, none of us can really get to all of the 1914 things that we would like to cover. But I appreciate your 1915 insights, and I think this is a really important conversation 1916

1917 to have. And I thank you again, Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member 1918 1919 Matsui, for bringing us together for this hearing today. Thanks. 1920 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady's time 1921 has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from 1922 Pennsylvania for five minutes. 1923 1924 *Mr. Joyce. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for 1925 holding this hearing. I think this is an important topic that resonates throughout America today. 1926 It has been too many years since we have addressed this 1927 We have recognized that and discussed it frequently 1928 today. And the video marketing -- the video programming 1929 market has drastically changed since then, with the advent of 1930 streaming and the new media options that consumers are 1931 embracing. 1932 My constituents in Pennsylvania's 13th district are some 1933 1934 of thousands of Americans negatively affected by TV blackouts. And at this very moment during this very hearing, 1935 4 counties and 350,000 constituents of mine in the Altoona 1936 Johnstown area are without their CBS affiliate, WTAJ. From 1937

1938 critical weather alerts to ground-breaking investigative news and information within the community, local journalism is the 1939 1940 backbone of America, especially in rural Pennsylvania. blackout, along with others, underscores the current problems 1941 that the industry and the consumers are currently facing. 1942 Mr. Spellmeyer, what reforms do you anticipate that this 1943 market will need to prevent blackouts from occurring and 1944 1945 create sustainable access to local news? 1946 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Thank you, Congressman Joyce. I will say that there are -- I am going to try to keep 1947 this at a high level. I figured someone would ask me a 1948 question about how do we fix this. I think we need an equal 1949 1950 footing for all players in the marketplace. I think we need to protect consumers from the runaway 1951 rates that they have experienced over the course of the last 1952 two decades, driven, as we have discussed, by sports 1953 programing and other small segments of the market. 1954 1955 And the third, that choice is a priority. And that is where I discussed a la carte here. 1956 So I guess I have already hit all three that I outlined 1957 on my note card. But if we don't -- there is still -- those 1958

1959 blackouts are real. I know that consumers in those marketplaces have the opportunity to go and still grab over-1960 1961 the-air signals. But how many of us have rabbit ears laying around our house right now --1962 *Mr. Joyce. Very few. 1963 *Mr. Spellmeyer. -- to go out and do it. And do you 1964 know how to unplug the back of your TV and plug that one in? 1965 1966 I don't. *Mr. Joyce. Mr. Spellmeyer, addressing a different 1967 issue, the cost of getting content is also impacted by the 1968 cost of fiber that we are building. 1969 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Yes. 1970 *Mr. Joyce. So the regulatory environment that isn't 1971 actually so exciting to some people, but important, issues 1972 like pole attachment fees, cost to cross a railroad, access 1973 to Federal rights of way, they all add up. 1974 So content costs are one major component of a consumer's 1975 1976 bill, but so is the actual cost of building, maintaining, and upgrading the networks. So do you feel that permitting and 1977 regulatory relief would be essential to your business? 1978 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Absolutely essential. My members have 1979

1980 been really busy over the course of the last five years. Over the course of the last five years we have we have grown 1981 1982 the number of homes passed in the aggregate by one-third. And we did that during a pandemic. We are very focused on 1983 building broadband. 1984 The biggest issue that we hit -- I have been out meeting 1985 my members over the course of the last 18 months as I took 1986 1987 this job. The number-one consistent issue that comes up is not retransmission consent. It is the cost of access to 1988 rights of way and what we need to deploy those broadband 1989 assets. And it can be the subject of an entire additional 1990 hearing, but it is the most talked-about thing that I get. 1991 1992 And thank you for your leadership on it. *Mr. Joyce. Mr. LeGeyt, during this hearing we have 1993 heard about the outdated regulations that apply to 1994 broadcasters. In your opinion, which regulations do you 1995 believe have a detriment to your business, specifically? 1996 1997 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question, Congressman. I mean, first and foremost, the idea that local 1998 broadcasters compete and are restrained with restrictions on 1999 our scale, 39 percent ownership cap on our ability to reach 2000

```
2001
      national audiences in the country, local ownership rules that
      impact our scale, you know, we are in a world where we are
2002
2003
      competing every day with YouTube, Facebook for advertising
      dollars. This is stifling our ability to compete fairly for
2004
2005
      those dollars.
           And similarly -- and no one here would suggest that the
2006
      system is perfect, but the retransmission consent system has
2007
2008
      enabled local broadcasters to invest in local programing,
      local content. Without it we look a lot more like the
2009
      newspaper industry. I think everyone in this room knows how
2010
      that story is ending right now.
2011
           It has been critical to enabling local broadcasters to
2012
      invest in communities, invest in news. The shift of
2013
      audiences from cable and satellite over to these, you know,
2014
      cable replacement services, the vMVPDs, is going to impact
2015
      those revenues. And this is something that the expert
2016
      agency, the FCC, needs to take a look at.
2017
2018
           *Mr. Joyce. My time has expired. I thank all the
      witnesses for being with us here today.
2019
           Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield.
2020
           *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back, and
2021
```

2022 the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from Michigan's 6th district for five minutes. 2023 2024 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you and Ranking Member Matsui for holding this hearing. I think we 2025 are all talking about a lot of issues we could probably use a 2026 lot more hearings on, because the media landscape has changed 2027 so much since our last hearing on this topic, and we really 2028 2029 need to be talking about what Congress should be doing, how 2030 we work with you. There is so much we can talk about, but because the time 2031 is limited I am going to focus on the state of programing for 2032 children. Our best local broadcasters often remain the 2033 backbones of our communities, not only providing critical 2034 journalism and emergency alerts, but also contributing in 2035 other ways beyond the screen, such as hosting community 2036 service projects, job fairs, and food drives. I love all of 2037 my local stations, and everybody knows that. 2038 2039 For years, broadcasters were the gold standard when it came to trusted children's content, from Sesame Street to 2040 Saturday morning cartoons and everything in between. 2041 knew, by and large, that when something was airing on one of 2042

2043 our local broadcast channels, there were standards in place to keep the content safe for our kids. 2044 2045 With the proliferation of streaming, there have never been more options for parents looking for programing for 2046 their children. Unfortunately, while there is a lot of 2047 positive educational online content, one can also find some 2048 pretty dangerous rabbit holes: disturbing imagery, 2049 2050 programing with little educational value, excessive commercials in online spaces that children are frequenting. 2051 Moreover, we know well in this subcommittee that too 2052 many families still do not have broadband that is required to 2053 access all this new online content. Many still rely on 2054 2055 traditional platforms like broadcasting and cable to access educational programing for children. Indeed, at the height 2056 of the pandemic, Michigan Public Television stations altered 2057 their entire broadcast schedule to reach families without 2058 broadband or computer access with age-appropriate educational 2059 2060 programing. It was a lifeline for families in a challenging 2061 time. Mr. Schwantes, can you speak to the state of children's 2062 programing? 2063

2064 What advice does Consumer Reports have for parents navigating this increasingly difficult landscape? 2065 2066 *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you, Congresswoman. I will agree that broadcasters are the gold standard here for children's 2067 programing. We have had laws on the books since the 1990s --2068 they are called Kid Vid in the jargon -- that regulate the 2069 kind of content. Unfortunately, some of those rules were 2070 2071 relaxed in 2019, so they no longer -- they can air earlier on the weekend, and they don't -- no longer need to be 30 2072 minutes in length, and we lost the trust mark, E/I, so a 2073 parent could see that that was educational programing. 2074 But I do agree that the migration to streaming -- this 2075 is one of the dark sides that we have seen. We have our 2076 friends at Common Sense Media, they cited a survey taken by 2077 Pew that 80 percent of parents do put their kids in front of 2078 YouTube for children's content, but 60 percent of them are 2079 like, I saw some things I didn't really like. 2080 2081 And also the advertising. In the broadcast world that advertising is regulated with regards to how much advertising 2082 can occur during children's programing. I think this is 2083 definitely one of those what do we value in a healthy video 2084

2085 marketplace. This is a huge, huge issue, and we are concerned about it, and I am glad you raised it. 2086 2087 *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. I know we don't -- we got one minute and 30 seconds left. 2088 So Mr. LeGeyt, can you discuss broadcasters' commitment 2089 to providing high-quality educational programing to local 2090 communities? 2091 *Mr. LeGeyt. Absolutely. Thank you for the question, 2092 2093 and it is great to see you. This is one of the core tenants of local broadcasting. 2094 Our commitment to families is, I think, what makes local 2095 broadcasting unique in this media landscape. You know, as a 2096 father of 3 children under the age of 11, I am proud of the 2097 fact that I can sit down on a Sunday morning or after school, 2098 and be very, very comfortable that the programing that my 2099 children are going to consume on broadcast television isn't 2100 something I am going to need to turn the channel on. 2101 2102 broadcasters very much, I think, serve as a strong alternative to all those diversions online that we are very 2103 concerned on. 2104

You know, the reforms to children's programing rules

2105

2106 that were referenced a moment ago, I think, have actually been very beneficial for my local station's ability to 2107 2108 provide children with the programing in the form and at the times and in the length that they are going to be most likely 2109 2110 to access it. I mean, our kids' viewing habits have changed. Asking a 2111 kid to sit down and watch a 30-minute program, that is not 2112 2113 what my children are doing these days. And broadcasters have been able to provide children, thanks to those reforms -- and 2114 I appreciate your work on that -- that is going to meet them 2115 2116 where they are. *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. Children in the United 2117 2118 States account for about 22 percent of our population, but they are 100 percent of our future. And this matters. 2119 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2120 Thank you. The gentlelady yields back, and *Mr. Latta. 2121 the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Georgia's 12th 2122 2123 district for five minutes. *Mr. Allen. Well, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2124 Let me get over here to the mike. We need to raise these 2125

chairs in here a little bit.

2126

2127 [Laughter.] *Mr. Allen. Maybe update them, I will tell you. But 2128 2129 thank you for holding this important hearing. And as mentioned, it has been a long time, three decades 2130 since we passed the Cable Act, which -- or last updated. 2131 of course, the state of the video marketplace has changed 2132 drastically. And I have to admit I was a part of that. I 2133 2134 was a quest in part of that deal where we didn't get to watch football. So I tried your one month free service, so -- and 2135 I got to deal with that later. 2136 [Laughter.] 2137 *Mr. Allen. But anyway, it was very frustrating. But I 2138 2139 got it done. I was the hero of the group, let me tell you. But, you know, cable subscribers are really confused. 2140 mean, it is like I said, all of a sudden -- and technology 2141 has become very frustrating. And, you know, you got Internet 2142 streaming services like Fubo, and you got more competition in 2143 2144 the market. You got television broadcasters have great 21st century technology like the ATSC 3 TV, and -- that they are 2145 prepared to fully utilize. 2146 This is also a time of great disruption in this market, 2147

2148 and I think we have talked about that a great deal today. Obviously, the blackout is the subject, and how annoying that 2149 2150 was right before college football season. And again, I like the information about the fact that it is sports that is 2151 controlling this. 2152 You know, this weekend, you know, many of my 2153 constituents were not able to watch some of their most 2154 2155 popular football games. And, you know, I am sure our phones are burning up saying, hey, you got to fix this. And -- but 2156 throughout these market changes, public trust in our national 2157 media continues to plummet, to be honest with you. Nearly 2158 three quarters of U.S. adults say the news media is 2159 2160 increasingly -- is increasing political polarization in this country, and just under half say they have little or no trust 2161 in the media's ability to report the news fairly and 2162 2163 accurately. And on top of these stats, political ad spending for 2164 2165 2024 is expected to shatter \$10 billion, more than 3 times what it was in 2016. 2166 Local journalism has never been more important with 2167 Americans' trust in that institution remaining strong. More 2168

2169 than twice as many Americans have higher emotional trust in local journalists than in the national news, and I experience 2170 2171 that in my own district. As Congress reviews Federal regulations of the video 2172 marketplace, it is important that we look for ways to improve 2173 competition in the marketplace, remove redundant regulations, 2174 all while protecting local journalism. 2175 2176 The question is for the entire panel. From your perspective, how would the video market change if Congress 2177 regulated all of it like traditional cable services are 2178 currently regulated? 2179 And we will just go from left to right here. 2180 2181 *Mr. LeGeyt. I think this landscape is far too complicated to paint with a broad brush. And so what we have 2182 been advocating is for the FCC to take a refreshed look at 2183 all of this, to do what this committee is doing today, look 2184 at all these changes in viewer habits, and assess the impact 2185 2186 on localism. There are some really important vestiges of the 2187 regulations that have governed the relationship, certainly 2188 between local broadcasters and cable and satellite systems. 2189

2190 Retransmission consent during the last 30 years has been critical to our reinvestment in local, but there is some 2191 2192 regulations that are clearly outdated, the most significant of which is caps on our ability to gain the type of scale 2193 that allows us to better compete with YouTube and Facebook --2194 *Mr. Allen. You all don't have a clock, but I only have 2195 40 seconds left, so we got to go real guick. 2196 2197 *Mr. Spellmeyer. So I will go fast and say prices will 2198 go up and confused consumers will be even more confused and concerned. 2199 *Mr. Gandler. Well, I will have to agree. Prices will 2200 absolutely go up. Retrans will require you to negotiate the 2201 same sports deal twice, once with a national broadcaster and 2202 then again with the local affiliate. And all of those deals 2203 are specifically set up to expire right before football 2204 2205 season. *Mr. Schwantes. And I will be quick. Yes, it will be 2206 2207 higher prices for consumers and it will be more blackouts or 2208 impasses. *Mr. Allen. Well, consumers are not going to be happy 2209 about this. 2210

```
2211
           Okay. With that I yield back.
           [Laughter.]
2212
2213
           *Mr. Latta.
                        The gentleman yields back, and this time
      the chair recognizes the ranking member of the full committee
2214
      for five minutes.
2215
           *Mr. Pallone.
                           Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2216
           It has been said already several times today that the
2217
2218
      public is consuming video more than ever, whether it is for
      news, sports, entertainment, or education. And in many ways,
2219
      competition and choice means that there has never been a
2220
      better time to be a consumer in this market.
2221
2222
           But in my opinion, consumers should be at the heart of
2223
      these discussions, and any committee work should be focused
      on helping consumers in the marketplace. So let me ask Mr.
2224
      Schwantes what types of practices in the video marketplace
2225
      affect consumer satisfaction positively and negatively, and
2226
2227
      how do we make sure that these services are affordable for
2228
      all consumers, including low-income consumers, if you will?
           *Mr. Schwantes. Thank you, Congressman. I will be
2229
      quick. I will give you the good, the bad, and the ugly.
2230
           The good is there is more content than ever before.
2231
```

2232 think, if you count both linear and streaming, the number of titles available for consumers to watch is 2.7 million 2233 2234 different programs, and that is up like a million in just the last couple of years. So that is mind boggling. 2235 And also just the kind of choice. You can -- there is 2236 all different kinds of streaming platforms that -- and have 2237 different packages of content that is not like the 2238 2239 traditional cable bundle. So that is the good. 2240 The bad, we touched on it. It is junk fees in the cable TV market, and that is in large part due to rising costs for 2241 broadcast television. And unfortunately, those fees are not 2242 included in the advertised price. And so, you know, that \$25 2243 2244 broadcast TV fee, that comes -- that is the gotcha moment when -- on your first month's bill. 2245 What else? I will say the ugly are blackouts. I think 2246 we have spent a lot of oxygen on that today, so I will pass 2247 over that. 2248 2249 And then, just in general, is broadband. We have to -none of this works without broadband, and we have to make 2250 sure it is affordable in this country. And that is why, you 2251 know, the Affordable Connectivity Program is getting more 2252

2253 low-income consumers online. But also in the streaming world, yes, some things are more expensive than others, but 2254 2255 there are ad supported apps that are absolutely free. And 2256 Consumer Reports does -- we review this every year, and we recommend a lot of consumers to look at that. 2257 And I would be remiss to mention -- and I do agree with 2258 Curtis -- free -- we routinely recommend to consumers the 2259 2260 bunny ears are antiquated. There are digital antennas that would look nothing like what I grew up with. And if you are 2261 close to an urban area, you may indeed be getting high-2262 quality television over the air for free. 2263 *Mr. Pallone. Well, let me ask you something else. 2264 2265 me mention -- you know, I certainly am a big advocate for local journalism. I am sure that is true for, you know, all 2266 members of the House of Representatives because we want, you 2267 know, local journalism. And that, you know, I think provides 2268 our best local -- well, it is the broadcast stations, really, 2269 2270 that are providing most of the local or the best local journalism. 2271 I worry that online platforms and social media spread a 2272 lot of misinformation, disinformation. But you have the 2273

public interest obligations required of broadcasters to 2274 promote localism, competition, and diversity, and I think 2275 2276 that explains why today we trust -- or at least I do -- trust our broadcasters more than the unregulated social media 2277 2278 companies. So, Mr. Schwantes, do you agree that the public interest 2279 standard we have for broadcasters is part of the reason why 2280 2281 broadcasters remain among the most trusted news sources in 2282 this country? *Mr. Schwantes. I do, Congressman, absolutely. 2283 Thev have an obligation going all the way back to the 1934 2284 Communications Act to serve their local communities. 2285 2286 part of that is a trust, and it is a standard of journalism that we support. And it is complicated when you talk about 2287 the online world, but that is a good place to start. 2288 *Mr. Pallone. Well, thanks. 2289 And Mr. LeGeyt, do you have -- do you want to add 2290 2291 anything to that? *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question and 2292 acknowledging those obligations. Those public interest 2293 obligations are at the core of who we are as local 2294

2295

broadcasters.

You know, Ranking Member Matsui early in the hearing 2296 2297 raised the point of digital equity. There is no more equitable platform than local broadcasters. We are freely 2298 available to consumers of all socioeconomic stripes. So our 2299 2300 ability to deliver trusted information, the most local, combined with our network's investment in must-watch 2301 2302 entertainment, must-watch sports, it is the most incredible 2303 value proposition for consumers. In this landscape we can talk a lot about the regulatory 2304 regime, but we shouldn't lose sight of the fact that we are 2305 2306 doing in local communities what no one else is doing right 2307 now. 2308 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I had one more question but I think it 2309 will lead to an extra two minutes or so, so I will yield 2310 back. 2311 I just want to 2312 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. say that before we figured out that we had a problem with the 2313 witnesses not having lights, the chair did go over. So if 2314 you would like to ask another question --2315

```
2316
           *Mr. Pallone. All right, I will do it.
           [Laughter.]
2317
2318
           *Mr. Pallone.
                           This is Mr. Gandler. In your testimony
      you say that streaming companies can be more consumer-
2319
      centric, and your company prides itself on price
2320
      transparency, contract-free service, and no junk fees.
2321
      However, some say that the current marketplace is
2322
2323
      unsustainable.
           Earlier this year we saw Fubo -- is that it?
2324
           *Mr. Gandler.
                           Mm-hmm.
2325
           *Mr. Pallone. Fubo imposed a regional sports network
2326
      fee to cover costs associated with providing local sports
2327
2328
      coverage. So my question is, where do you think this is
      trending?
                 Is the current business model sustainable, or do
2329
      you think that over time streaming services will start to
2330
      look more like some of the more traditional options?
2331
            *Mr. Gandler. Yes, thank you for your question.
2332
2333
           Well, first of all, we offer a free trial, so people can
      test the service and ensure that they enjoy the content that
2334
      they are receiving.
2335
           Moreover, we offer reminders to customers before their
2336
```

2337 trial period is over, reminding them that, you know, they are going to go into a paid service momentarily. 2338 2339 And last but not least, we highlight the regional sports fee prominently in the flow once we understand what geography 2340 you are in, because there is an overlap of different RSNs. 2341 Some cities, as you know, may have, you know, seven, eight, 2342 nine teams. Madison Square Garden Network, for instance, has 2343 2344 five hockey teams. So once we understand, you know, at the 2345 checkout flow where you are, we again prominently provide that. 2346 I think the market is very strong. You have lots of 2347 different services that are available at different price 2348 points, all the way from free to, you know, 80, 90, \$100 or 2349 more. People can consume content, they can readily switch 2350 services. There is no friction. So there is a lot of value 2351 in what people provide. 2352 What I will say, most importantly, is that the bundle is 2353 2354 still king. The average Fubo customer watches over 100 hours of programing per month. People like to have all of their 2355 content in one place. Thank you. 2356 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. 2357

2358 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. The gentleman 2359 2360 yields back, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Ohio's 12th district for five minutes. 2361 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2362 Mr. Gandler, I don't have a question for you, but it is 2363 funny. Mr. Allen and I were just talking Fubo. I -- no 2364 2365 disrespect, sir, but I live in the hills of southeastern Ohio, and I actually got an email from my Charter Spectrum on 2366 Saturday that says, "Hey, get a hold of Fubo and do a free 2367 trial,' ' so thank you for -- Spectrum is out there helping 2368 2369 you. 2370 [Laughter.] *Mr. Balderson. And he just tried to get off. 2371 *Mr. Allen. I did the same thing. 2372 [Laughter.] 2373 *Mr. Balderson. All right Mr. Spellmeyer, thank you for 2374 2375 being here, too. And several live TV streaming services known as vMVPDs 2376 have begun offering skinny bundles that provide their 2377 customers with a small bundle of live TV options, offering 2378

```
2379
      more consumer choice. Obviously, we would like everyone to
      be able to compete in this marketplace, but in this respect
2380
2381
      -- and as Mr. Gandler mentioned in his testimony -- these new
      services can offer more choices to consumers, and the data
2382
      shows that consumers are taking advantage of this
2383
      flexibility.
2384
           Can you explain why some traditional TV providers do not
2385
2386
      offer this service to their consumers?
2387
           And what can be done to provide more consumer choice and
      promote competition in the industry?
2388
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. Yes, as I have discussed at several
2389
      points in this hearing that really goes to that issue of
2390
      consumer choice, and I will call it a la carte, or skinny
2391
      bundles, or small packages. My members have pushed very hard
2392
      against the programmers to try to get that over a period of
2393
      time of a decade. And the content providers won't let us do
2394
      it.
2395
2396
           I have talked about market power. My quys are small,
      they are rural, they serve a county or two. The programmers
2397
      just look at them and say, "Nope, not going to let you do
2398
      that.' '
2399
```

2400 Now, YouTube TV has had some success in breaking that model, in part because they are Google, and Google has got a 2401 2402 lot more market power than Boycom Cable does in Poplar Bluff, Missouri. It is -- or the numerous providers that we have as 2403 members in Ohio, we just -- we don't have the power to break 2404 it. And it is good for consumers. And we have talked about 2405 that extensively. We should try to do it. 2406 2407 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you. Mr. LeGeyt, thank you for being here. The Columbus 2408 media market, which serves a large portion of my 2409 congressional district that I represent, has five local 2410 broadcasters that are broadcasting their content on HD 2411 channels and ATSC 3.0, also known as NextGen TV. In your 2412 testimony you touch on NextGen TV and the new opportunities 2413 and benefits that it provides for both TV stations and 2414 consumers. Can you discuss the viewer benefits, and give an 2415 update on where mitigation to NextGen TV stands? 2416 2417 *Mr. LeGeyt. Absolutely, Congressman, thank you for the 2418 question. We are tremendously proud of this rollout that is going 2419 on across the country of next generation television. This is 2420

2421 an upgraded, over-the-air standard that will allow viewers to access, for free, a 4K picture, immersive audio, more 2422 2423 interactive programing, hyper-localized weather, and news. You know, make no mistake, as we are talking about the future 2424 of sports rights, as we are talking about the service we 2425 provide to our communities, our ability to provide that type 2426 of viewer experience is table stakes to ensuring that we can 2427 2428 maintain our audience versus the Apple TVs and the Amazons of 2429 the world. Right now we are deployed in 70 markets, 65 percent of 2430 the country can access some sort of NextGen television. But 2431 we are in the midst of this transition. It is tough because, 2432 2433 as opposed to an AT&T or Verizon who can just upgrade to a 5G, we need the entire industry rowing in the same direction. 2434 We need the consumer electronics industry on board, we need 2435 the consumer groups on board. 2436 So we are very supportive of the FCC's recent launch and 2437 2438 partnership with the NAB of a future of television initiative that is enabling that transition and, really, I think, sets a 2439 framework to get this transition from where it is today to 2440 completion nationwide so that every consumer can access these 2441

```
2442
      benefits.
           *Mr. Balderson. Okay. Thank you very much. My last
2443
2444
      question is for Mr. Gandler again.
           Well, not again, but the 2014 FCC notice of proposed
2445
      rulemaking regarding the vMVPDs has been frequently discussed
2446
      leading up to this hearing. You mentioned in your testimony
2447
      that if these rules were adopted the cost of FuboTV and
2448
2449
      similar services would increase, ultimately being passed on
      to the consumer. I have heard similar concerns from across
2450
      the industry.
2451
           Could you tell me why being regulated as an MVPD would
2452
      increase cost, and how significant that would -- increase
2453
      would be for your business and consumers?
2454
           And I am going to stop you because I am out of time, but
2455
      if you could submit that answer --
2456
           *Mr. Gandler. Absolutely.
2457
           *Mr. Balderson. -- that would be great. We will get
2458
2459
      that to you. Thank you.
           [The information follows:]
2460
2461
      ******************************
2462
2463
                                    126
```

2464 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much. The gentleman's time *Mr. Latta. 2465 2466 has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from New York for five minutes. 2467 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I 2468 *Ms. Clarke. thank our Ranking Member Matsui for holding this hearing, as 2469 well as our panel of esteemed witnesses for joining us today 2470 2471 to discuss and examine the ever-changing industry. 2472 Television was once an industry comprised of just a few local broadcast networks delivering a limited number of 2473 linear television channels to local consumers over the air at 2474 no cost, and has morphed into the multi-billion-dollar video 2475 2476 marketplace of today, comprised of broadcast networks, cable, satellite providers, and online video distributors offering 2477 both linear and non-linear programming through a variety of 2478 platforms beyond a traditional television set. 2479 Unfortunately, too many of these platforms are owned or 2480 2481 controlled by a handful of multinational corporations with complex, often competing financial interests, driving 2482 corporate decision-making with the ability to impact millions 2483 of consumers. 2484

2485 While the corporate interests, technological advances, and policy choices that led to the current state of affairs 2486 2487 have undoubtedly unleashed unprecedented consumer choice for video content consumption, there remains much work to be done 2488 by both policymakers and the private sector to ensure the 2489 long-term viability of the sector. And while competition and 2490 consumer choice will rightly be a major topic of conversation 2491 2492 today, I want to focus on the importance of diverse and independent voices within the video marketplace and media 2493 ecosystem more broadly. 2494 Diversity in media is -- goes beyond mere 2495 representation. It is about including voices from different 2496 2497 racial, ethnic, gender, socioeconomic, and cultural backgrounds, and reflecting the tapestry of experiences and 2498 perspectives found across our nation. 2499 Moreover, a diverse media landscape can create economic 2500 opportunity for the historically marginalized communities, 2501 2502 and it is essential for a healthy democracy by ensuring citizens can make informed decisions on critical issues. 2503 Given our nation's unfortunate history of discrimination 2504 and withholding of opportunities of marginalized communities, 2505

2506	we must take care to not entrench the inequities of today
2507	when crafting policies that spur the innovations of tomorrow.
2508	We all must continue to support policies that encourage
2509	diversity in media, and I look forward to introducing
2510	legislation in the coming weeks to do just that.
2511	My first question is directed to Mr. LeGeyt.
2512	Thank you for joining us today. I understand the
2513	difficult position broadcasters are forced into as tech firms
2514	and larger conglomerates use streaming services as loss
2515	leaders to compete for market share. The important role
2516	broadcasters play as trusted sources for local news on a
2517	daily basis and in providing communities like mine with a
2518	crucial lifeline during times of emergency cannot be
2519	overstated, and I thank you.
2520	So, Mr. LeGeyt, how might the suggested FCC reforms you
2521	mentioned in your prepared testimony impact diversity in
2522	media in terms of both content and ownership?
2523	And what would you say are the biggest obstacles to
2524	increasing diversity across the media landscape?
2525	*Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question, Congresswoman.
2526	Diversity in media is top of mind for all of us at the

2527 NAB and across the industry. I mean, we are advocating for reinstatement of the FCC's tax certificate program to 2528 2529 incentivize sales to diverse broadcast owners. We run a 2530 broadcast leadership training program out of our foundation that ensures that the leadership in our industry better 2531 reflects the diversity of the communities that we serve. 2532 we are hyper-focused on producing toolkits for our 2533 2534 journalists across the country to ensure that the reporting 2535 on racially sensitive issues matches the needs of the community. 2536 But none of this will impact what is on the screen, what 2537 is reaching living rooms across the country, if the broadcast 2538 2539 industry isn't one that would-be investors, including diverse 2540 investors, are willing to come into. And so we need to ensure that we can gain the scale to 2541 compete with the YouTubes and the Facebooks of the world. 2542 Local broadcasters are subject to ownership restrictions that 2543 2544 no one else in the media landscape is subject to. There is uncertainty as to how broadcast 2545 chills investment. transactions will be reviewed at the FCC, what the timeline 2546 will look like, whether they will receive an up or down vote. 2547

2548	That chills potential investment. So all of these are areas
2549	of focus for this committee to ensure greater diversity in
2550	broadcasting.
2551	*Ms. Clarke. Very well. I have four seconds, so if you
2552	gentleman would send submit to me some of your thoughts in
2553	writing, that would be extremely helpful.
2554	[The information follows:]
2555	
2556	**************************************
2557	

2558 *Ms. Clarke. With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields 2559 2560 back, and the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from Tennessee for five minutes. 2561 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2562 the witnesses for being here today. And really, I don't know 2563 that I have a question, I just want to go over some of the 2564 2565 notes that I have and you tell me if I am wrong. Okay? And yes, I do have Spectrum, and I couldn't watch the 2566 ball games. And I don't know how Rick -- how he got Fubo for 2567 a month and mine said a week. 2568 2569 [Laughter.] 2570 *Mr. Allen. Mine said a week. 2571 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, Rick. You better check your cable bill. 2572 2573 [Laughter.] *Mr. Allen. I haven't gotten a notice. 2574 2575 several days ago, and I --*Mrs. Harshbarger. Well, I didn't sign up. I am just 2576 saying. I went to my son's and watched YouTube TV. 2577 Anyway, okay, well, let me see if I got all this right, 2578

2579 so we can regulate or deregulate, okay? We have got decades-old regulations and it stifles 2580 2581 traditional TV providers. And streaming platforms, it looks 2582 like, are able to flourish in a deregulated markets. So Mr. Spellmeyer, you said that retransmission fees are 2583 basically under a gag order, and I call it that because we 2584 don't know what they are charging. 2585 2586 Mr. LeGeyt, you said we need to reinvest in local programing, basically. 2587 And you all said we need an a la carte system and a 2588 service from content providers who won't allow us to have 2589 that right now, which -- I would love to have that. 2590 2591 You all said that sports rights cost a whole lot of 2592 money, and it is putting pressure on these program providers due to the real-time viewing and advertising. 2593 And Mr. LeGeyt, you said FCC needs to examine 2594 retransmission consent as the number of customers go to 2595 2596 streaming. We need antitrust and oversight. 2597 And Mr. Schwantes, I do have a subscription to Consumer 2598 Reports, too, just FYI. You don't support applying the Cable 2599

```
2600
      Act to streaming, and you would prefer less regulation.
           So I quess the bottom line is we need price transparency
2601
2602
      and more freedom of choice. Am I correct?
           Anybody can answer. You all four need to answer.
2603
           *Mr. LeGevt. Yes.
2604
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes? Okay. Well --
2605
           *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes, you were paying careful attention.
2606
2607
           [Laughter.]
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Oh, I am a pharmacist. I have to
2608
      have the details correct or I could kill somebody.
2609
2610
           [Laughter.]
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. So just like that month versus a
2611
2612
      week.
           So, I mean, look, I look at --
2613
           *Mr. Allen. I am going to look that up.
2614
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay. I will look at what we do
2615
      with -- LeGeyt, what you do. I mean, it is a national
2616
2617
      security issue when it comes to, like, the fires in Maui.
      have to have the AM radio. We have to have an infrastructure
2618
      that will support all that. I will live in a rural area,
2619
      where broadband is imperative to getting streaming. And, you
2620
```

```
2621
      know, I look at what they are wanting to do with the vehicles
      now, and you only have streaming. The people I serve, they
2622
2623
      drive gasoline cars and they listened to AM radio. So we
      have to have that as an option, and choices. And you know,
2624
      competition makes everything better, and it makes everybody
2625
      better.
2626
           So did I get anything wrong?
2627
2628
           I hope your answer is no.
           *Mr. Gandler. No.
2629
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Okay, good.
2630
           [Laughter.]
2631
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. I will continue my subscription with
2632
2633
      Consumer Reports.
2634
           [Laughter.]
           *Mr. Schwantes. Yes, please. And please -- and thank
2635
      you for being a subscriber to Consumer Reports.
2636
           No, the issue on the cable bundle -- and maybe I can
2637
2638
      answer, since I am not a cable company and I am not a content
      provider -- for many, many years, the cable bundle -- and
2639
      let's go back to the 1990s or even 20 years ago -- all of
2640
      those large -- I mean, first of all, all those channels that
2641
```

2642 we know? *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes. 2643 2644 *Mr. Schwantes. There aren't many independent channels out there. So Disney owns, I don't know off the top of my 2645 head, 10, 20 channels. 2646 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Why couldn't I get Nat Geo on there? 2647 They wouldn't allow that back on the network. 2648 2649 *Mr. Schwantes. Yes, because that was part of the deal that they got with Charter. So it is sort of like, what do 2650 we like and what -- you know, what are we willing to 2651 sacrifice? And so that we would have to ask the CEO of 2652 2653 Charter. But a lot of the big must-have programing, whether it is 2654 the local channels -- and, I mean, the local channels are 2655 gold for local broadcasting and live sports. They -- it is 2656 expensive to cut deals with the major sports leagues, and 2657 that is driving a lot of costs for retrans all across the 2658 2659 industry. But all of those big fees paid to ESPN subsidized Nat Geo and these smaller channels. 2660 And the fear -- and I am not smart enough to figure it 2661 out, but if you just had them a la carte, do those channels 2662

2663 survive? Because --*Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes. 2664 2665 *Mr. Schwantes. Let's just say 50 million people want ESPN, but 500,000 want Nat Geo Wild. Like, they are --2666 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes. 2667 *Mr. Schwantes. -- really, really fun one. 2668 *Mrs. Harshbarger. That is the one I want. 2669 2670 *Mr. Schwantes. But that can't sustain it if, like, if you tell Nat Geo Wild, you tell Nat Geo like, "Well, you can 2671 only survive on what you get from subscribers.' ' 2672 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes. 2673 *Mr. Schwantes. And they will be, like, maybe it was 2674 2675 the thing that no one wanted to talk about, the crosssubsidization. 2676 *Mrs. Harshbarger. Yes. Okay. Okay, I am out of time 2677 now. Well, I will talk to you guys later offline. 2678 I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 2679 2680 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady's time has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from New 2681 Hampshire for five minutes. 2682

*Ms. Kuster. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr.

2683

2684 I want to thank the committee for this important hearing. 2685 2686 This discussion is timely, as many households in my district in northern New Hampshire are currently experiencing 2687 the consequences of a breakdown in the TV marketplace. Over 2688 this past week I have heard directly from constituents who 2689 can no longer access Channel 9 News due to a blackout of the 2690 2691 local broadcasting station WMUR on their cable TV provider. Granite Staters rely on WMUR to get their daily weather and 2692 traffic updates, emergency alerts, and to stay up to date on 2693 what is happening in their community and across this country. 2694 Let me be clear. This blackout is the result of a 2695 2696 business contract dispute, but it is the consumer who gets caught in the middle and pays the price. 2697 Mr. Schwantes, you stated that blackouts have been on 2698 the rise in recent years. Would you consider these blackouts 2699 a sign that the current structure of the video marketplace is 2700 2701 no longer serving these customers? *Mr. Schwantes. Certainly it is one of the things that 2702 we point out as being very disturbing and anti-consumer, and 2703 I would say one of the worst things in the current 2704

2705 marketplace that we need to address. There are some novel ideas out there, but -- and again, 2706 2707 there is no silver bullet here, but even if you had sort of a 60-day cooling off mediation period for the thorniest 2708 disputes, so you do not have the channels -- because it is 2709 tough, it cuts both ways. I mean, think about Disney. Were 2710 they really willing to let to \$2.2 billion sit on the table? 2711 2712 How long can they sustain that when they are trying to make Disney+ profitable? 2713 So both sides have a lot to gain, both sides have a lot 2714 to lose. For us at Consumer Reports, it is easy. Consumers 2715 end up getting the short end of the stick in either scenario. 2716 2717 *Ms. Kuster. Yes. *Mr. Schwantes. Because if, ultimately, when they do 2718 cut a deal and those prices go up, consumers pay it. 2719 *Ms. Kuster. And it is dangerous. I mean, we have 2720 extreme weather events in my district. And if people can't 2721 2722 get the information -- so I think we can agree it is a loselose-lose with the blackout. They are bad for local 2723 broadcasters, bad for cable providers, but mostly they hurt 2724 consumers who lose access to a local news station and the 2725

2726 programing they rely upon. Mr. LeGeyt, as you know, local broadcasting stations 2727 2728 provide trusted reporting for communities around the country, including in my district. But the current marketplace has 2729 put their future in jeopardy as costs are on the rise and 2730 consumers have moved to non-traditional video platforms, I 2731 will have to say, including in our household, in terms of 2732 2733 following every last game of the U.S. Open. How do we ensure that local broadcasting can continue to 2734 operate now and into the future? 2735 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question, Congresswoman. 2736 Local broadcasting relies on two revenue streams: 2737 2738 advertising -- and that market has been completely overrun with the market power of Google and Facebook and the digital 2739 platforms -- and retransmission consent, which allows local 2740 stations to reinvest in local news, local programing. 2741 Some criticisms have been raised today on the current 2742 2743 system that has governed broadcasters relationship between -with the cable and satellite companies for the last 30 years. 2744 But it has unquestionably resulted in a system -- we are in 2745 210 unique markets around the country. Individual local 2746

2747 broadcast stations can invest in local news, local programing, and aren't just running national feeds. And it 2748 2749 is a real testament to our legal regime that we have 2750 something no other country has in that regard. With regard to -- so as we look at streaming, and 2751 audiences cutting the cord and moving to some of these cable 2752 replacement services online, I think it is imperative that 2753 2754 the expert agency, the FCC, looks at the impact that that is going to have on localism, and whether there are elements of 2755 the regime that governs cable and satellite that ought to be 2756 considered or something new. I think we have all concluded 2757 here this is complicated. 2758 2759 I do want to also say with regard to the retransmission consent impasse that you raised with WMUR, we understand how 2760 important that station is to your local constituents. The 2761 station is still freely available over the air, and will 2762 continue to be. 2763 2764 But it is important to hone in a little bit here that we talk about these impasses as an industry-wide problem. Over 2765 the last five years, two companies, one of which is relevant 2766 here, Dish and DirecTV, have been responsible for nearly 90 2767

2768 percent of the impasses nationwide in retransmission consent negotiations. So let's call this what it is, which is a 2769 2770 problem with two companies. *Ms. Kuster. So I should probably add -- and certainly 2771 for the benefit of my Republican colleagues -- this is for 2772 our country, as well, with the first-in-the-nation primary. 2773 But my time is up, and I will yield back. Thank you. 2774 2775 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady's time 2776 has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from Idaho for five minutes. 2777 *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2778 Mr. LeGeyt, local broadcasters, as we have discussed, 2779 2780 face certain regulatory restrictions, and you have touched on some of that: how many stations on a single company can own, 2781 what type of programing must be aired, how often, types of 2782 programing that are allowed, not allowed because you operate 2783 on the public airwaves. You are king for a day. Just very 2784 2785 quickly, in your -- can you speak to some of those specific rules? Which ones are the most outdated? What can be 2786 reformed and eliminated? 2787

2788

And just if you were king for a day, quickly, give me a

```
2789
      summary.
           *Mr. LeGeyt. The media ownership restrictions that
2790
2791
      local broadcasters operate under are vestiges of a bygone
      era. You know, the notion that a broadcaster only competes
2792
      with other broadcasters for viewership and for advertising,
2793
      which these rules are premised on, is clearly, as we have
2794
      discussed today, not the modern media landscape.
2795
                                                         That is not
2796
      to say that every local broadcaster would suddenly go and
      expand its footprint, but broadcasters need the flexibility
2797
      to invest in that scale in order to compete with Google and
2798
      Facebook.
2799
           Similarly, the rules of the road that have applied to
2800
2801
      local broadcasters' relationship with cable and satellite
      companies for the last 30 years have unquestionably resulted
2802
      in investment in local news, local entertainment that is
2803
      unique to 210 different markets in the country.
2804
      needs to take a look as the expert agency on how to ensure
2805
2806
      that same investment in localism as streaming proliferates.
           *Mr. Fulcher. Okay, Mr. Spellmeyer, your comments on
2807
      that, please.
2808
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. I was going to try to interject myself
2809
```

- to say that, you know, just to steal from Hollywood, you are 2810 going to need a bigger boat in order to fix this problem. 2811 2812 You cannot expect that the customers who subscribe to local cable programing are going to continue to be able to entirely 2813 support local broadcasting. 2814 Curtis talked about how he has lost the advertising side 2815 and, you know, what he has got left is the retrans side. 2816 2817 the cable industry may not be around long enough to continue to fund that. So it is a long-term challenge. 2818 *Mr. Fulcher. Okay, so let me just ask you a little bit 2819 more specific question. What if we just eliminated 2820 regulations, we just eliminated them around rates charged and 2821 2822 services provided to consumers. Would that make cable more competitive? 2823 *Mr. Spellmeyer. It would make cable more competitive, 2824 and I would be supportive of that as the better solution than 2825 continuing the way we are. There may be other solutions in 2826 2827 between, but yes. *Mr. Fulcher. Okay. All right, thank you. I am going 2828
- Mr. Gandler, some of the advantages and disadvantages

to shift gears.

2829

2831 with allowing small MVPDs, those with fewer than 500,000 subscribers, what about buying groups? What about being able 2832 2833 to leverage those small organizations with a buying group? Would that help in terms of negotiation? 2834 I would like to get your thoughts and comments of that. 2835 *Mr. Gandler. Yes, that is something I am also looking 2836 2837 for. 2838 We are a very unique company. We are small, relatively This year we will be at about 1.2 to 3 million -- 1.2 2839 to 1.3 million customers in North America. And you know, we 2840 can't join the NCTC because it is facilities-based. So there 2841 is no place for us to join. And this is a company that has 2842 2843 grown up in a very complicated marketplace, with programmers and broadcasters who are, you know, looking to take advantage 2844 of our size. 2845 So that would be very helpful. You know, perhaps maybe 2846 having the opportunity to join on the cable side might make 2847 2848 sense. *Mr. Fulcher. I have got about 40 seconds left, but 2849 there is another related question, because I was just looking 2850 from your written testimony. 2851

```
2852
           You expressed concern with FCC and other rules that
      would have online video providers and streaming platforms to
2853
2854
      negotiate with broadcasters over content that broadcasters
      don't own. I didn't fully understand your thoughts.
2855
           *Mr. Gandler. Yes --
2856
           *Mr. Fulcher. Can you unpack that --
2857
           *Mr. Gandler. Yes, please.
2858
2859
           *Mr. Fulcher. -- in about 20 seconds?
2860
           *Mr. Gandler. I think this is probably the most
      important point at this hearing, is the fact that it sounds
2861
      like what has been conflated today is local sports and local
2862
2863
      broadcast.
2864
           The reality is there are four broadcasters who own
      basically college football, pro football, the Olympics, the
2865
      World Series, and programing that really matters, must-have
2866
      programing. And the problem with retrans is we are already
2867
      negotiating these expensive rates. Having to then have to
2868
2869
      negotiate retrans rates will effectively increase the price
2870
      that much more.
           *Mr. Fulcher. Thank you. Thank you to the panel.
2871
           *Mr. Gandler.
2872
                          Thank you.
```

2873 *Mr. Fulcher. Mr. Chairman, I am out of time. I yield back. 2874 2875 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman's time has expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentlelady from 2876 Illinois's second district for five minutes. 2877 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Chair Latta and Ranking Member 2878 Matsui, for holding this afternoon's hearing. It is 2879 2880 important that this subcommittee address how the video marketplace has changed, and the impact on its consumers. 2881 With this changing landscape, consumers deserve to have 2882 a choice, and to me that means access to their local stations 2883 as well as popular cable networks, and that is why I am 2884 2885 disheartened, like my colleague was, by the ongoing blackout in areas that I represent in Chicago, where local TV stations 2886 like WGN went dark on DirecTV's platform. 2887 And as you have heard, Chicago is not alone. 2888 blackouts have been increasingly common and are impacting 2889 2890 more consumers. So I join many of my constituents in hoping a resolution can be reached to ensure my constituents can 2891 continue to view their favorite network programing, live 2892 sporting events, local news, and other local content relevant 2893

2894 to them. However, to ensure consumers have access to content 2895 2896 relevant to their daily lives, it is essential that entities within the video ecosystem maintain diverse and inclusive 2897 coverage to provide better representation of the communities 2898 they serve, and to continue building audience interests. 2899 Mr. LeGeyt, I was encouraged to see on NAB's website 2900 2901 your organization's commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion in broadcasting. What type of initiatives have you 2902 supported that improve diversity in broadcasting and create 2903 new opportunities for women, for people of color, and other 2904 2905 represented communities? 2906 And you might comment on your leadership training 2907 program, also. *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you so much for the question and the 2908 acknowledgment, because our work in this space in partnership 2909 with our industry is arguably the element of our association 2910 2911 that I am most proud of. You know, our broadcast leadership training program, 2912 which is really the gold standard amongst a litany of 2913 training programs that we provide on the sales side, on the 2914

2915 engineering side, early on in careers, as well as advancing up through middle management, our broadcast leadership 2916 2917 training program is really meant to hone in on the next generation of leaders in the broadcast industry, and giving 2918 them exposure through a year-long executive MBA-style program 2919 to the elements of the business that they might not otherwise 2920 have awareness of. 2921 2922 So if you have been a very, very successful salesperson, you are going to get exposed in this program to the financial 2923 side of the business, the programing side of the business. 2924 It is focused on ensuring that especially diverse leaders in 2925 the industry who have high potential get that training, get 2926 that business experience, and can rise to the top of their 2927 companies. And it has been tremendously successful. 2928 It also equips those interested in getting into station 2929 ownership with training on how to do that on the financial 2930 side. So it is a program that we are very, very proud of. 2931 2932 It is now more than 20 years in existence and growing every 2933 year. *Ms. Kelly. Do you know how many have gone on to own 2934 their own stations by any chance? 2935

2936 *Mr. LeGeyt. I can provide you with that data. I can tell you that it is a substantial number that either have 2937 2938 gone on to own their own stations or have advanced to the C-suite within their companies. 2939 *Ms. Kelly. And can you speak a little bit about the 2940 barriers when it comes to access to capital? Because that 2941 still seems like a problem. 2942 2943 *Mr. LeGeyt. It is a huge problem. And, you know, just to step back for a moment, you know, the broadcast industry 2944 is one right now that is undergoing a lot of transition for 2945 all the reasons that we have talked about today. 2946 lot of uncertainty as to what investment in the broadcast 2947 space will look like in terms of an FCC review. There is a 2948 lot of questions about broadcast viability in this space that 2949 is increasingly dominated by Big Tech in light of the 2950 constraints on any station group's ability to gain necessary 2951 size and scale. 2952 2953 All of that is chilling some investment, and so, as it relates to access to capital, has lenders asking questions as 2954 to what this industry looks like 10, 20 years down the line, 2955 as well as the fact that the FCC license itself is not 2956

```
2957
      considered an asset and collateral in a transaction. So what
      we have tried to do is educate would-be lenders, especially
2958
      in small markets, as to the value of this industry and why it
2959
      is a worthwhile investment.
2960
           *Ms. Kelly. Do you think the tax certificate program
2961
2962
      should be reinstated?
           *Mr. LeGeyt. I --
2963
2964
           *Ms. Kelly. Just tell me --
           *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes.
2965
           *Ms. Kelly. And I am going to go real guick for all of
2966
      you. Do you think it is time for Congress, this committee,
2967
      to look at modernizing the rules of the road?
2968
2969
           You can just say yes or no, because I don't have --
2970
           *Mr. LeGeyt. Yes.
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. The rules of the road for the video
2971
      marketplace? Yes.
2972
           *Mr. Gandler. No.
2973
2974
           *Mr. Schwantes. Yes, it is time to modernize.
           *Ms. Kelly. I can't ask you why you said no, but I
2975
      yield back.
2976
           [Laughter.]
2977
```

```
2978
           *Ms. Kelly. Thank you.
           *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady's time has expired, and the
2979
2980
      chair now recognizes the gentleman from Texas's 11th district
      for five minutes.
2981
           *Mr. Pfluger. I thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you all
2982
      for being here.
2983
           As a consumer, it is interesting. All of these topics
2984
2985
      are very, you know, relevant and timely for decisions that my
      own family is making. So I certainly see the value of making
2986
      sure in the role of government to set the appropriate
2987
      conditions and, you know, let the competition be what it is,
2988
      but to have, you know, a positive impact on our society.
2989
2990
           So I know a lot of these topics have been broached, but
      I will just start with Mr. Spellmeyer. You know, looking at
2991
      the average amount of TV that an adult watches, and the --
2992
      and then narrowing into the channels, I mean, I think it is
2993
      -- you know, most people, if you are like me, probably have
2994
2995
      somewhere -- 100 to 200 channels, but you are only watching
      10 percent of those. And so, you know, you are basically
2996
      paying for things that that aren't watched.
2997
           Okay, there has been suggestions about kind of an a la
2998
```

2999 carte option for cable. But have your members considered offering additional options? You know, what -- how do you 3000 3001 view that problem setting? *Mr. Spellmeyer. Oh, my members talk to me about it 3002 3003 every day. As I have indicated, the little secret that consumers 3004 don't understand is that the content providers won't let the 3005 3006 cable companies offer small packages. They force you to take the whole suite of programing offered by a particular Disney, 3007 or Discovery, or whoever it may be, and that really hampers 3008 our ability to meet consumers' needs, and we would like to 3009 3010 fix that. *Mr. Pfluger. We will go to Mr. LeGeyt. How much of 3011 the transmission consent fee is invested in local content 3012 versus sent to the network, the big networks? 3013 *Mr. LeGeyt. It varies fairly dramatically, depending 3014 on the station group and the particular network. 3015 3016 But what I can tell you is that, at a local level, roughly 40 percent of a local broadcaster's operating budget 3017 is invested in local news and programing. 3018 *Mr. Pfluger. And maybe just as a follow-on to that, 3019

3020 how can government do it better when it comes to the regulatory posture there that ends up resulting in either 3021 3022 better options or lower cost? *Mr. LeGeyt. Well, as -- I think what is extremely 3023 important about this -- and there is a lot of pressure coming 3024 down in terms of the cost of content creation, both on the 3025 part of my network members and the, you know, sports rights, 3026 3027 all the things we have discussed today, as well as the increasing costs of local content. But there is no doubt 3028 that the retransmission consent regime of the last 30 years 3029 has enabled local stations around the country to reinvest in 3030 their local news programing. We are the most trusted. 3031 3032 is a reason for it. 3033 And as consumers are cutting the cord, increasingly consuming their content from these cable replacement 3034 services, the vMVPDs online, the FCC, the expert agency, 3035 needs to take a hard look at how that is going to impact that 3036 3037 ability to invest in local. We have asked them to refresh the record in their 2014 vMVPD proceeding. 3038 *Mr. Pfluger. Okay. I will stay with you for a follow-3039 on here. We see that media companies who are -- you know, 3040

```
3041
      they are content creators, they are distributors, they are
      producers with the consolidation of the online video options,
3042
3043
      you know -- well, with the consolidation of online video, are
      the cross-ownership rules still necessary and -- go ahead.
3044
           *Mr. LeGeyt. No, they are not. And further than that,
3045
      I would argue that none of the current broadcast ownership
3046
      rules, at least as written, remain relevant. They are
3047
3048
      premised on the notion that local broadcasters compete with
      only other local broadcasters for audience and advertising
3049
      dollars. That is not the reality that we are competing in
3050
      today, complicated by the fact that we are also competing
3051
      with Big Tech for content costs.
3052
3053
           *Mr. Pfluger. I think I have time for one more.
           Mr. Schwantes, we have heard a lot about the
3054
      marketplace, how it has changed, you know, in the last couple
3055
      of years. Your testimony reflected that. It seems to me
3056
      that the demands and expectations have probably changed a
3057
3058
      lot, as well.
           I mean, obviously, I started this statement by our own
3059
      choices. But what is most important -- you know, what do you
3060
      think is most important to the viewing public, and how are
3061
```

3062 our laws impeding the ability for consumers to have those choices? 3063 3064 *Mr. Latta. In about 12 seconds. *Mr. Schwantes. I will say cost is a big one, and 3065 choice. I think consumers love all the different streaming 3066 services out there. 3067 I do think -- I mean, earlier someone said I want to 3068 3069 deregulate everything. That is not true. I just think we can't take an old regulation from the cable era and apply it 3070 to streaming services. I think we need to have a fresh 3071 conversation about how we can do better to level the playing 3072 3073 field across the board. 3074 *Mr. Pfluger. Thank you. My time has expired. I yield 3075 back. Thank you. The gentleman's time has *Mr. Latta. 3076 expired, and the chair now recognizes the gentleman from 3077 Texas's 33rd district for five minutes. 3078 3079 *Mr. Veasey. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. And, look, as someone that covered 3A football for our 3080 local paper in Texas -- and you all know how big football is 3081 in Texas -- I understand how important local news is, and 3082

3083 diversity in media and in our communities across the country is. And I really cannot emphasize enough the importance of 3084 3085 having a strong local news presence in every town. A robust local news ecosystem is vital to making sure that both the 3086 freedom of expression and a strong democracy is something 3087 that stays in place. 3088 And while it is true that Americans have access to an 3089 3090 inescapable flow of digital news and programing, the sustainability of local news and that ecosystem, I think, is 3091 in big danger right now. And it is very important and 3092 critical that, as we find ourselves in this information 3093 landscape in which misinformation runs rampant and facts are 3094 3095 harder to come by. So with this backdrop I hope today's hearing can shed 3096 some light on the action items we in the industry can 3097 collaboratively take to save trusted local news and 3098 journalism, while also increasing opportunities for all 3099 3100 Americans to benefit from the video marketplace. And so the first question that I had is I think it is 3101 imperative that we not overlook consumers in this 3102 conversation, particularly those who are low income or 3103

3104 economically vulnerable. While there is no doubt that the outline streaming options have increased competition and 3105 3106 given consumers more choice and lowered cost for these services, robust and affordable broadband is necessary to 3107 take advantage of these options. And for too many, the cost 3108 of Internet is still out of reach. 3109 As you know, we have the Affordable Connectivity Program 3110 3111 that I championed, and this made historic gains in this area. But funding for it is going to run out soon -- probably Q2 of 3112 next year is what most people have said. And if Congress 3113 doesn't provide more funding, we will be back. We will be 3114 back -- we will back that progress and make it harder for 21 3115 3116 million Americans to balance their household budgets each month while subscribing to Internet services. 3117 And I want to ask Mr. Spellmeyer why is it so important 3118 that ACP get refunded? 3119 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Thank you, Congressman. ACP has 3120 3121 certainly been an important lifeline for many of my members and their customers. Approximately 75 percent of ACA's 3122 member companies have participated in the ACP program. It is 3123 going to run out of money, you are correct. There are 20 3124

3125 million households on it today. Congress faces some tough choices that are beyond my pay 3126 3127 grade in terms of how to fund it, whether to fund it, and how to change it. But certainly, I would urge Congress to take a 3128 close look at it. Many people do rely on it. And I would 3129 say there is a whole -- if they don't, there is a whole bunch 3130 of shutdown and transition issues that are real about how 3131 3132 consumers are going to be -- you know, one day it is just going to go dark and the program is over. How do we deal 3133 with prior balances? How do we transition people to 3134 different plans? 3135 There is a lot of stuff that needs to be thought about 3136 in that area, once Congress reaches a funding decision. 3137 3138 *Mr. Veasey. Yes, yes. No, thank you. I also wanted to ask a question about local news -- again, being such a 3139 trusted outlet for many people, especially, I think, when I 3140 was growing up and generations before me. 3141 3142 And as the co-chair of the Congressional Voting Rights Caucus, there have been a lot of studies about 3143 misinformation, and around elections, and how that 3144 proliferates online and jeopardizes our democratic process. 3145

3146 And the people in my district often rely on local TV and radio stations to cut through the online noise and offer 3147 3148 reliable information on when and where we vote. And I wanted to ask Mr. LeGevt, can you talk about the 3149 important role local broadcasters play in our elections, and 3150 specifically the role broadcasters and local journalists play 3151 to combat misinformation that spreads online? 3152 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question, Congressman, 3153 and for acknowledging the role that we are playing. 3154 In some ways you just did it as well as I possibly can. 3155 I mean, look, this is our priority. In light of where -3156 - the state of the newspaper industry in this country, local 3157 broadcasters are the source of trusted local information, 3158 period. We are doubling down on that localism. 3159 We have increased the size of our newsrooms as an industry by 78 3160 percent over the last decade. 3161 And it is absolutely essential because we understand, 3162 3163 especially the communities that you have talked about that are victimized by the digital divide, often minority 3164 communities that are particularly subject to disinformation, 3165 the local broadcast is going to be where they get their 3166

3167 information. And so we are providing vetted information not only on the issues, the candidates, but also election 3168 3169 processes, where to vote, how to vote. I don't think our role has ever been more important as 3170 this disinformation is proliferating online, and we are very, 3171 very proud of the fact that we are doing that work, whether 3172 it is in school board elections --3173 3174 *Mr. Latta. I beg your pardon, the gentleman's time --*Mr. LeGeyt. -- local office, or national. 3175 *Mr. Latta. -- has expired. 3176 *Mr. Veasey. Thank you. 3177 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. 3178 *Mr. Veasey. Thank you. 3179 3180 *Mr. Latta. And again, for members that have come in, our witnesses unfortunately did not have the light boxes in 3181 front of them today, so we are using the gavel at five 3182 minutes. 3183 3184 The gentleman from Florida's 2nd district is recognized for five minutes. 3185 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 3186 Let me

say that it has been an interesting conversation. I am --

3187

3188 clearly, we needed to have this hearing. I have noticed a competition to maintain customers and 3189 3190 to prevent this churning. The month-to-month changes between platforms has increased the cost, I think clearly, for 3191 consumers. But there are many providers that have short-term 3192 packages that allow our consumers to choose what they want 3193 and when they want with just no more than one month billing 3194 3195 tail. We can unsubscribe at any time and get what we want in 3196 that way. I think the programs often jump from, you know, provider 3197 to provider just through free-market competition incentives 3198 to serve those customers. And I like to know I have the 3199 right to instantly pivot to another provider that picks up 3200 whatever show I like -- FSU football, whatever -- but, you 3201 know, I don't want to be locked in for two years on 3202 something. 3203 Another troubling matter emerging in the subscription TV 3204 3205 market is, of course, the surge in prices to consumers. I wonder, I wonder if this can be owed to over-regulation of 3206 the marketplace, rather than under regulation. I have seen 3207 more disputes, more blackouts, more all these -- impasses I 3208

3209 am sorry -- these days, and I think it is clear that the cable's regulated status has a long history which intensified 3210 3211 when the Federal cable rate rules and mandatory channels, the 3212 1992 Cable Act, came out. I note that President Bush 41 actually vetoed that 3213 legislation, and was unfortunately overridden by Congress. 3214 Then-Senator Biden was actually one of those override votes 3215 3216 on that vote. So I hear many different opinions on how to work through 3217 this -- the problem of the modern video plays. Some people 3218 want to level the playing field by increasing regulation. 3219 Some people want to deregulate and thereby level the playing 3220 field. So I am going to ask a couple of questions, if I may. 3221 Mr. Gandler, I think it is fair to consider a 3222 technology-neutral system that is deregulated equally, all 3223 right? What are your thoughts on that, and what does that 3224 new system look like? 3225 3226 *Mr. Gandler. I mean, we have a very simple product. We provide just video. We don't bundle it with broadband, 3227 telephony, or anything else so consumers can move in and out. 3228 As you said, it is frictionless. We offer trials, we offer 3229

3230 different packaging, we offer diversity packaging. We have packaging for, you know, different groups of people across 3231 3232 the United States in different languages. But we are technology neutral. We are focused on 3233 technology. We are a software platform, I would say. We are 3234 agnostic to Roku or --3235 *Mr. Dunn. And it sort of seems that we all are these 3236 3237 days, software consumers, right? I mean, yes, I have StarLink at my house. Yes, I have cable, you know, fiber. 3238 So --3239 *Mr. Gandler. Well, it is not all software, sir. You 3240 know, if you take companies like Samsung, they have a TV. 3241 That TV is a platform. So that is a device. There are 3242 companies like Amazon that have sticks, and Roku sticks is 3243 another example of a platform that has, you know, 50 to 70 3244 million customers at this point. 3245 We are pure play software. We -- in your home, if you 3246 3247 have multiple different TV sets or sticks, you can move seamlessly from programing --3248 *Mr. Dunn. Fair to say that you are for deregulation. 3249

*Mr. Gandler. Correct, sir.

3250

3251 *Mr. Dunn. Very good. Mr. Spellmeyer, in your testimony you correctly outline 3252 3253 a dramatic increase in costs, and particularly the retransmission consent fees. 3254 In addition, consumers seem to have to -- they get 3255 billed for these inflated costs. Would you say these costs 3256 restrict the ability to carry diverse viewpoints from 3257 3258 independent programmers unaffiliated with broadcast networks? *Mr. Spellmeyer. Yes, sir, it absolutely does. It adds 3259 -- it eats up resources that could otherwise be devoted to 3260 that programing. It also eats up capacity on cable networks 3261 to the extent they are capacity limited in some systems. 3262 I would add --3263 3264 *Mr. Dunn. And I think you have actually addressed that as I sat here. 3265 *Mr. Spellmeyer. Yes. No --3266 *Mr. Dunn. But I wanted to ask that question because 3267 3268 I --*Mr. Spellmeyer. It is a --3269 *Mr. Dunn. I wanted everybody to understand that I care 3270 about the -- your answer to that, to that question. 3271

```
3272
           In my remaining 20-some seconds, Mr. LeGeyt, my district
      gets hammered by hurricanes occasionally. Not since last
3273
3274
      week. My constituents depend on emergency alerts. Can you
      please talk about the broadcaster's role in that situation?
3275
           *Mr. Latta. In 10 seconds.
3276
           *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question. We are free.
3277
      We are always on. We are lifelines in those times of
3278
3279
      emergency when other networks fail. And frankly, I think
      deregulation in some areas will help us to do even more of
3280
      that. Continued regulation in some others will also help.
3281
           But importantly, I think it is premature for this
3282
      committee to be thinking about legislation. The FCC has the
3283
      ability to examine those regulations and make some of those
3284
      determinations for the benefit of our ability to --
3285
           *Mr. Dunn. Thank you --
3286
           *Mr. LeGeyt. -- serve your constituents.
3287
           *Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much.
3288
3289
           And Mr. Chairman, thank you again. I yield back.
                        The gentleman's time has expired.
3290
           *Mr. Latta.
      chair now recognizes the gentlelady from Florida's 3rd
3291
      district for five minutes.
3292
```

```
3293
           *Mrs. Cammack. Wonderful. Well, thank you, Mr.
      Chairman, for holding this important hearing today. Thank
3294
3295
      you to our witnesses for appearing before the committee.
           I actually am fascinated by this issue because it is so
3296
      rapidly changing, this environment, that it is hard to keep
3297
      up. And so, as a cord cutter myself, I just -- call me
3298
      Twitterpated. I am sure my staff loves that right now.
3299
3300
           So jumping right into it, Mr. Gandler, in your testimony
      you mentioned that Fubo plans to introduce AI products that
3301
      is designed to enhance the customer -- or the consumer
3302
      experience. Can you detail what that might look like?
3303
           *Mr. Gandler. Sure. Well, as I said initially, we are
3304
      very focused on the consumer experience. And one of the ways
3305
      we gauge that is by the level of engagement with our product
3306
      relative to any other product in the marketplace. And as I
3307
      stated, we are roughly at about just over 100 hours per
3308
      household of our product.
3309
3310
           So the goal with AI and machine learning is to better
      index all of the programing, to better understand what people
3311
      are watching, and to help with discovery of additional
3312
      programming, which I think will help as we create, you know,
3313
```

more channels. We have no capacity issues. So basically, if 3314 we wanted to, we could have 400, 500, 1,000 channels that 3315 3316 people can access at any given time. *Mrs. Cammack. Well, and I think AI is going to have a 3317 profound effect on programing moving forward very --3318 *Mr. Gandler. Right. 3319 *Mrs. Cammack. -- quickly that most people don't even 3320 3321 recognize. So talking more broadly on the issue, right back to you, 3322 Mr. Gandler, and then LeGeyt -- did I say that right? 3323 *Mr. LeGeyt. You did. 3324 *Mrs. Cammack. Hey. 3325 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you. 3326 3327 *Mrs. Cammack. All right. You both have touched on AI in your testimony. So the question to you both, what 3328 benefits/risks included does AI pose to the video marketplace 3329 moving forward? 3330 3331 *Mr. Gandler. So maybe I will start. In my view, I think it puts the power into the user's hands, very different 3332 from social media, where algorithms decide basically what you 3333 see. And the way we are developing it is actually going to 3334

3335 allow the user to decide what they want. So basically, if you were a fan of Lionel Messi, who is 3336 3337 now in the news all the time, you could basically say, "Show me everything Lionel Messi,' ' and it will look for clips and 3338 news segments on any channel, you know, Spanish language, 3339 English language. So it is actually a pretty interesting 3340 tool to be able to really drive discovery. 3341 3342 *Mrs. Cammack. Okay. 3343 *Mr. LeGeyt. I am going to focus on the impact on local broadcasting. 3344 *Mrs. Cammack. All right. 3345 *Mr. LeGeyt. And certainly, there are some 3346 opportunities for, you know, hyper-localism, some 3347 efficiencies in the way we write scripts that hopefully will 3348 enable more boots on the ground for the locally-focused 3349 reporting and rebuild that only people can do. But we do 3350 have several policy concerns. 3351 3352 I mean, the first is we already have issues in the Big Tech landscape with our content being accessed online, not 3353 being fairly compensated for that local content. 3354 going to be potentially exacerbated by AI. 3355

3356 *Mrs. Cammack. Absolutely. *Mr. LeGeyt. That is scraping. 3357 3358 Second, the image and likeness of our personalities, media personalities, both on a local and national level, 3359 ensuring that those are protected, very much top of mind for 3360 all of our members. 3361 And third, you know, we are the most trusted medium by 3362 3363 all studies. And the challenges to newsrooms of vetting stories that are littered with AI-generated content, some of 3364 which will be difficult to decipher, we have got to make sure 3365 we have got the resources in place to do that well. 3366 So all of these challenges we are navigating and look 3367 3368 forward to working with Congress on. *Mrs. Cammack. Well, and you touched on an issue that I 3369 am really curious about, is the liability issues that come 3370 with using AI-generated capability. I was actually recently 3371 abroad, and saw my very first 24/7 AI-generated anchor, and I 3372 3373 thought that was fascinating. But I mean, with the benefits also come the risks. You hit on some of the copyright issues 3374 and what not. 3375 More on the risk side, I mean, has there been anything 3376

```
3377
      from the -- so -- I know, Mr. Spellmeyer, there is so much
      that we could get into, and I only have 55 seconds.
3378
3379
           Real quick, can you hit on the risk that we have to look
      at mitigating from the liability of the streaming services
3380
      utilizing AI, and the essentially crowdsourcing of it?
3381
            *Mr. LeGeyt. Well, I think it is just ensuring that
3382
      everyone in this landscape has the right mechanisms in place
3383
3384
      to ensure that that likeness -- it is one thing if it is
      truly an AI-generated likeness of a news anchor, it is
3385
      another thing if it is an image of Lester Holt, and the
3386
      viewer believes it is Lester Holt, but it is not.
3387
           And so I think this is going to require a lot of work.
3388
3389
      It is already being done by many of our companies, but a lot
      of work to figure out how we ensure that our role as the most
3390
      trusted isn't somehow undermined by consumer confusion.
3391
           *Mrs. Cammack. And I can't believe my five minutes are
3392
      up, so I will submit the remainder of my questions to you all
3393
3394
      in writing.
            [The information follows:]
3395
3396
      *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
3397
3398
                                    171
```

3399 *Mrs. Cammack. And with that, I yield back. *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady yields back the balance of 3400 3401 her time. The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Ohio's 6th district for five minutes. 3402 *Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I love this 3403 discussion about AI. I almost want to go off script here and 3404 dig into that a little bit. 3405 3406 I can tell you there is two things I am not going to do. I am not going to fly on an airplane that is flown by an AI 3407 pilot, and I am not going to be diagnosed by a doctor that 3408 says he is an AI doctor to diagnose my medical condition, 3409 because I know how it works, right? 3410 Mr. LeGeyt, a few years ago Congress permanently 3411 extended STELAR, the satellite television reauthorization 3412 bill. As part of that process Congress reaffirmed the value 3413 of having local broadcast channels available to viewers in 3414 their local markets. 3415 3416 We also made some other changes, like allowing small cable television providers to band together to jointly 3417 negotiate with large broadcast groups. Today we are holding 3418 this hearing to talk about changes that have happened to the 3419

video marketplace since then, specifically regarding 3420 streaming and over-the-top services. 3421 3422 So Mr. LeGeyt, can you speak to your member companies' position on how local broadcast stations should interact with 3423 streaming services, and what you see as the biggest 3424 opportunities and the biggest threats to local broadcasting 3425 thriving over the next few years? 3426 3427 *Mr. LeGeyt. Thank you for the question, and I appreciate your reference to STELAR and this committee's work 3428 on that bill four years ago, because principally, what it did 3429 -- and we were strong supporters of it, including the relief 3430 that it provided for small cable companies -- but 3431 3432 principally, what it did was reaffirm the importance of retransmission consent in allowing local stations across the 3433 country to invest in local programing and news. 3434 This is all very complicated in asking how those rules 3435 of the road should apply to the current cable replacement 3436 3437 services that are happening on the streaming side, including 3438 Fubo. Within my membership, we don't have consensus as to what 3439 that answer is. But what we are asking is for the FCC, which 3440

3441 has an open proceeding on this, to refresh the record in that proceeding, to ask some of these very same questions, and to 3442 3443 begin looking at these very difficult questions. *Mr. Johnson. Okay. Mr. Spellmeyer, I share your view 3444 and the view of many of my colleagues that Congress should be 3445 looking at how to deregulate, rather than adding additional 3446 players to an antiquated system in order to try and level the 3447 3448 playing field and have a fair, competitive environment that meets the needs of the current video marketplace. 3449 You have also shared your concerns with retransmission 3450 consent framework, particularly for smaller providers, and 3451 noted the concerning trend of increased blackouts when 3452 broadcasters and TV providers are unable to reach an 3453 agreement. This is a big issue that impacts viewers in 3454 eastern Ohio and all over the country. 3455 As you know, in 2019 Congress amended the Communications 3456 Act to permit small MVPDs, those serving no more than 500,000 3457 3458 subscribers nationally, to form buying groups to negotiate retransmission consent with large station groups. 3459 view, has this ability to form these groups in order to 3460 negotiate in buying, has that been effective? 3461

```
3462
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. As I said earlier in response to
      another question, the answer is yes, it has. It has helped.
3463
3464
      Thank you to Congress for taking the action that you took in
      2019 in STELAR.
3465
           The negotiations that came out of that happened
3466
      relatively quickly after the passage of the bill, like three,
3467
      four months later in the retransmission consent cycle.
3468
3469
      like a cycle of every three years, where we negotiate
3470
      retrans. And so it happened very quickly. It produced some
      positive results, although not as much as we had hoped. And
3471
      we are in the middle right now of heading into another one of
3472
      those that will occur between now and the end of the year.
3473
           And there will be some risk of blackouts. My members
3474
3475
      want to avoid that, and we want to come to agreement and keep
      customers online --
3476
           *Mr. Johnson. Okav.
3477
           *Mr. Spellmeyer. -- stations online for customers.
3478
3479
           *Mr. Johnson. Okay. Mr. Gandler, what strategies do
      you employ to attract and retain subscribers in a competitive
3480
      market with various streaming options?
3481
           *Mr. Gandler. Yes, well, you know, basically, it all
3482
```

3483 comes down to product. Fubo is number one in customer satisfaction among live TV service providers, according to 3484 3485 J.D. Power. So we really focused on developing the technology, 3486 ensuring people are able to gain value from the -- I mean, 3487 it is not a cheap subscription, as you know. And so it is 3488 very important that we very quickly highlight the number of 3489 3490 channels that people have access to, and also ensure that we are tracking what they are watching, and making sure that 3491 they are easily available to them. 3492 *Mr. Johnson. Okay. Mr. Chairman, I would yield back. 3493 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields 3494 3495 back. And looking around, we have no other members wishing to ask any questions at this time. 3496 I want to, first of all, thank our witnesses. You can 3497 tell this has been a great hearing. And we -- you know, we 3498 said it was going to be educational. We need to get more 3499 3500 information out. So I think it is very important for all of 3501 you to have been with us today. We really appreciate your 3502 time.

3503

And at this time I do ask unanimous consent to insert in

3504	the record the documents included on the staff hearing
3505	documents list.
3506	And without objection, so ordered.
3507	[The information follows:]
3508	
3509	**************************************
3510	

3511	*Mr. Latta. I will also remind members they have 10
3512	business days to submit questions for the record, and I ask
3513	the witnesses to respond to the questions promptly. Members
3514	should submit their questions by the close of business on
3515	September the 27.
3516	And without objection, the subcommittee is adjourned.
3517	[Whereupon, at 5:17 p.m., the subcommittee was
3518	adjourned.]