- 1 Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
- 2 RPTS BRENNAN
- 3 HIF137160

4

5

- 6 MARKUP ON:
- 7 H.R. 3309 (PALMER, RYAN), TO AMEND THE STANDARD FEES TO
- 8 EXPEDITE EVALUATION AND STREAMLINING ACT;
- 9 H.R. 3293 (DUNCAN, CRAIG), EXPEDITING FEDERAL BROADBAND
- 10 DEPLOYMENT ACT;
- 11 H.R. 3299 (CAMMACK, MATSUI), DEPLOYING INFRASTRUCTURE WITH
- 12 GREATER INTERNET TRANSACTIONS AND LEGACY APPLICATIONS ACT;
- 13 H.R. 3283 (MILLER-MEEKS, DINGELL), FACILITATING THE
- 14 DEPLOYMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE WITH GREATER INTERNET
- 15 TRANSACTIONS AND LEGACY APPLICATIONS ACT,
- 16 H.R. 3343 (PFLUGER, SOTO), FEDERAL BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT
- 17 TRACKING ACT;
- 18 H.R. 3345 (RODGERS), TO AMEND THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF
- 19 1934 TO EXTEND THE AUTHORITY OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
- 20 COMMISSION TO GRANT A LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT THROUGH
- 21 A SYSTEM OF COMPETITIVE BIDDING;

```
22
        H.R. 3291 (CARTER), PROPORTIONAL REVIEWS FOR BROADBAND
23
     DEPLOYMENT ACT
24
     WEDNESDAY, MAY 17, 2023
25
    House of Representatives,
26
     Subcommittee on Communications and Technology,
27
     Committee on Energy and Commerce,
28
     Washington, D.C.
29
30
31
32
          The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 2:22 p.m., in
33
     Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Robert E.
     Latta [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
34
35
          Present: Representatives Latta, Bilirakis, Walberg,
36
     Carter, Dunn, Curtis, Joyce, Weber, Allen, Balderson,
     Fulcher, Pfluger, Harshbarger, Cammack, Obernolte, Rodgers
37
38
     [ex officio]; Matsui, Clarke, Veasey, Soto, Eshoo, Cardenas,
     Craig, Fletcher, Dingell, Kuster, Kelly, and Pallone [ex
39
40
     officiol.
41
```

```
42
          Staff present: Jolie Brochin, Clerk, Health; Sarah
43
    Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Lauren Eriksen, Clerk, O&I;
44
    Slate Herman, Counsel, C&T; Jessica Herron, Clerk, IDC; Nate
45
    Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman, Chief Counsel; Noah
46
    Jackson, Clerk, C&T; Sean Kelly, Press Secretary; Peter
    Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services
47
    Director; Giulia Leganski, Professional Staff Member, C&T;
48
    John Lin, Senior Counsel, C&T; Kate O'Connor, Chief Counsel,
49
    C&T; Carla Rafael, Senior Staff Assistant; Michael Taggart,
50
51
    Policy Director; Evan Viau, Professional Staff Member, C&T;
52
    Hannah Anton, Minority Policy Analyst; Jennifer Epperson,
53
    Minority Chief Counsel, Communications and Technology;
54
    Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General
55
    Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director; Perry
    Hamilton, Minority Member Services and Outreach Manager; Dan
56
57
    Miller, Minority Professional Staff Member; Michael Scurato,
58
    Minority FCC Detailee; Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of
    Communications, Outreach and Member Services; and Johanna
59
    Thomas, Minority Counsel.
60
61
```

- 62 *Mr. Latta. Well, good afternoon. The Subcommittee
- 63 will come to order and the Chair recognizes himself for an
- 64 opening statement.
- *Mr. Latta. Good afternoon and welcome to today's
- 66 Subcommittee Markup of 27 pieces of legislation in Barriers
- 67 to Broadband Deployment.
- The bills we will consider today will reduce or
- 69 eliminate unnecessary restrictive permitting policies that
- 70 threaten the success of the largest investment in broadband
- 71 infrastructure this country has ever made.
- 72 I said before and I will say it again, the
- 73 Infrastructure Law was a missed opportunity to enact
- 74 meaningful permitting reform. Today we have an opportunity
- 75 to fix that mistake.
- 76 While I am happy that several of the bills before us are
- 77 bipartisan, it is disheartening that my colleagues on the
- 78 other side of the aisle were unwilling to work with us on the
- 79 remainder of the bills in this package.
- 80 Our proposals make meaningful, common-sense reforms to
- 81 many permitting processes that are barriers to the broadband
- 82 deployment. With the legislation we are considering today,

we are acting to modernize broadband permitting in three 83 84 important ways. 85 First, to streamline state and local permitting processes. Second, reducing permitting barriers on federal 86 87 property. And third, removing cumbersome environmental and historical review processes for broadband deployment in 88 89 certain circumstances. 90 Included in this markup is a bill I am proud to lead, the WIRELESS Leadership Act. This bill places reasonable 91 92 limitations on state and local governments for fees they may 93 charge and will prevent them from delaying application 94 approvals. 95 Specifically, it provides much needed transparency into 96 the application process for broadband providers, which helps in the effort to invest in new or upgraded infrastructure. 97 98 These companies deserve a timely and predictable 99 response to their applications. It would also impose clear 100 shot clocks that would provide reasonable expectations for both applicants and local governments. 101 Additionally, this legislation would address state and 102

local governments that prohibit the deployment of broadband

103

104 infrastructure or fail to act on an application. 105 Applications that a state or local government fails to grant 106 or deny within the timeframe described in the bill would be 107 deemed granted. 108 In addition to my legislation, we will forward on to the 109 full Committee 18 bills that will speed up deployment of 110 broadband to rural areas and streamline the network upgrade 111 process that will invest in broadband speeds for more 112 Americans. 113 Additionally, we are going to consider eight bills that 114 take steps to streamline the cumbersome requirements that 115 deploy broadband on federal lands. 116 These bills will fastrack deployment, encourage network 117 upgrades, and simplify the historical and environmental 118 review processes. The federal government has now dedicated 119 billions of dollars to connect all Americans through a 120 variety of programs. We have heard it time and time again, 121 reforming and simplifying broadband permitting is the only 122 way we can make this money go further and connect more 123 Americans faster.

Over the last several years, billions of dollars have

124

125 been made available for state and local governments that can 126 be used to increase staff to process applications and we have 127 heard from witnesses that resources could be helpful. The resources are there and should be dedicated to 128 129 process permits to avoid these issues. House Republicans are 130 not the only ones preaching this message, in fact, even the 131 Biden Administration's notice of funding opportunity, the 132 BEAD Program, requires states to identify actionable steps to reduce costs and barriers to deployment, promote the use of 133 134 existing infrastructure, including expediting permitting 135 timelines, and waiting fees. 136 The Biden-Harris Permitting Action Plan calls for many 137 of the solutions we have put forward today. The goal of 138 closing the digital divide cannot be accomplished without improving the permitting process, particularly on federal 139 140 lands. 141 Despite these recommendations, many of the bills being 142 considered today do not have Democrat support, but we welcome your support as we move forward to full Committee. 143 Lastly, we will consider legislation to extend the FCC 144 145 spectrum auction authority. It is vitally important that we

146 continue to push for a long-term spectrum auction authority solution and this legislation is a step in that direction. 147 I want to thank all the members of the Subcommittee for 148 their work on these measures and I also look forward to 149 150 advancing them to the full Committee. 151 *Mr. Latta. And with that I am going to yield back the 152 balance of my time and recognize the ranking member of the 153 Subcommittee, the gentlelady from California for five minutes 154 for her opening statement. 155 *Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The bipartisan 156 items on the agenda today will speed broadband deployment and 157 help bring connectivity to communities without it. From the small hamlets in my district to the rest of the 158 159 rural areas represented by Members of this Subcommittee, 160 those bills represent an important step forward. 161 I am an original cosponsor of the DIGITAL Applications 162 Act on the agenda today. This bill would take long-overdue 163 action to establish online portals to accept, process, and grant applications for broadband deployment. 164 As we heard at the hearing on this bill, the current 165 166 process for submitting and tracking the progress of

167 applications is severely disjointed. As the Wireless Infrastructure Association noted in our 168 169 hearing, "measures that would direct federal agencies to develop online application portals have the potential to 170 171 significantly expedite the permitting process." 172 So I am glad to see the DIGITAL Applications Act moving 173 forward. It will provide much needed clarity and 174 accountability for broadband deployment on federal lands, but that is not the only bipartisan bill that will speed up 175 176 broadband deployment. 177 We have bills that will expedite evaluation, standardize 178 fees, and speed up permitting. Representative Palmer and Ryan's Standard FEES Act would establish a common fee for 179 180 applications processing. Representatives Duncan and Craig's Expediting Federal 181 182 Broadband Deployment Act would establish an interagency 183 strike force to prioritize broadband deployment on federal 184 lands. As we prepare for the distribution of BEAD funds, these 185 bills will remove barriers and speed up deployments. But 186 187 unfortunately, that is only part of the story.

188 There are other bills on the agenda today that are 189 partisan and counterproductive. They undermine local 190 authority and limit, rather than support, local governments. Draconian shot clocks coupled with deemed grant 191 192 provisions set up under-resourced local governments to fail. 193 Instead of providing the resources our local partners need to 194 meet demand, these bills limit their authority and restrict 195 their processes. So while I am glad we are making bipartisan process, the 196 197 Minority and many of our local governments are concerned 198 about the partisan bills moving forward today. 199 Despite that, I know there will be a continued need to focus on this issue. So I am excited to have bipartisan 200 201 bills to help close the digital divide and I am committed to 202 moving them to the floor quickly. 203 I also want to take a moment to acknowledge that this 204 Subcommittee will be considering another short-term extension 205 of the FCC's auction authority. 206 As I said at our hearing the day after that authority lapsed, this was, and continues to be, a failure. 207 208 Reinstating it is a national security and economic

- 209 imperative.
- 210 With companies like T-Mobile ready to put 2.5 gigahertz
- 211 licenses to use, this rolling lapse is preventing companies
- 212 from providing new broadband services to American consumers.
- 213 And, in the run-up to the World Radio Conference, it
- 214 sends a dangerous message to the rest of the world about our
- 215 ability to lead.
- 216 So this extension is a step in the right direction, but
- 217 without a willing partner in the Senate, it may not be
- 218 enough.
- Nevertheless, I am glad our Subcommittee is moving
- 220 forward. With that, I look forward to discussion of these
- 221 bills and I yield back the balance of my time.
- 222 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back the
- 223 balance of her time.
- The Chair now recognizes for five minutes the gentlelady
- 225 from Washington, the Chair of the full Committee, for five
- 226 minutes.
- 227 *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I appreciate you holding this important markup. High
- 229 speed broadband is a critical part of today's modern economy,

yet some Americans, still do not have reliable internet 230 231 access. We need effective reforms to accelerate the buildout of 232 233 high-speed internet and the bills we are considering today 234 will speed the deployment of broadband infrastructure and 235 ensure that federal funding is permitting conductivity, 236 rather than becoming government waste. 237 We are leading on proposals that take meaningful steps to reduce unnecessary barriers to broadband deployment. Many 238 239 of our bills have strong bipartisan support, and I am hopeful 240 we will continue to garner more support for all these bills. 2.41 My colleagues are committed to getting this job done. 242 For the American people, it will mean better and faster 243 internet access so that they can build a business, communicate with their loved ones, advance their education, 244 improve the world around them, and so much more. 245 246 The money is there. Congress has made the investment. 247 Now, we need to take the next step to roll back regulations to get reliable broadband to every American more guickly. 248 These measures will ensure that we prioritize broadband 249 250 to rural, unserved communities that need it most by making

251 sure that federal regulations don't prevent Americans from 252 being connected. 253 Committee Republicans have introduced these proposals for the last two Congresses and even the Biden Administration 254 255 has put out a Permitting Action Plan to rollback permitting requirements to ensure effective use of the billions of 256 257 dollars dedicated for infrastructure buildout. 258 We will hear more about many of these important solutions today that will ensure effective broadband 259 260 deployment and I am pleased to be leading on the Wildfire 261 Communications Resiliency Act, which streamlines the 262 deployment of broadband projects to repair or replace communication facilities damaged by wildfires. 263 264 In the aftermath of a wildfire, it can be vital, even lifesaving to be able to quickly restore communications 265 networks. So those impacted by -- in responding to a fire 266 267 can get the resources they need. 268 This process should not be slowed down by cumbersome permitting issues and environmental reviews. This bill is 269 just one of many good pieces of legislation up for review 270 271 today.

272 We are also considering legislation to extend the FCC 273 spectrum auction authority, which expired in March, for the 274 first time ever. 275 My goal is to continue working on a broader deal to 276 extend the spectrum auction authority longer term and provide 277 certainty to the FCC and the communications industry, fund 278 important priorities like the Rip and Replace Program, and 279 next generation 9-1-1, and work with my colleagues across the aisle and in the Senate to make this a bipartisan deal that 280 281 gets signed into law. 282 I want to thank the sponsors of these bills, both 283 Republicans and Democrats for their work. I am hopeful that my colleagues across the aisle will consider working with us 284 285 to make all of these solutions bipartisan. These bills will help unleash American innovation, deploy broadband across the 286 287 country, and make America more secure. 288 Let's keep working to move solutions through the regular order process. Thank you, I yield back. 289 290 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. The gentlelady yields back. 291 292 The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey,

the ranking member of the full Committee, for five minutes. 293 294 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Latta. This markup today, in my opinion, is a missed 295 296 opportunity by the Republican majority. While I am happy to 297 support a small handful of bipartisan bills that improve 298 certain process at federal agencies that deal with broadband 299 permitting, I am disappointed to say that the major permitting bill would run roughshod over critical 300 environmental and cultural protections. 301 302 It is a partisan bill that will ultimately do nothing to 303 ensure that the historic broadband investments Democrats 304 delivered as part of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law reach all communities that need it. 305 306 As this Subcommittee heard at the legislative hearing 307 just a few weeks ago, the biggest obstacle to permitting is a 308 lack of resources at permitting agencies at the federal, 309 state, and local level, which are responsible for processing 310 siting applications. 311 The witness panel delivered that message loud and clear, even as the Majority denied us a second Democratic witness 312 313 and stacked the panel, in a departure from Committee

314 precedent. Democrats addressed the resources issue for federal 315 316 agencies last year with passage of the Inflation Reduction 317 Act. That law, which was opposed by every Republican on this 318 Committee, appropriated money to the relevant agencies to 319 speed up environmental reviews and is already proving to be 320 effective in accelerating those processes for large 321 environmental projects. 322 Unfortunately, one bill that would address this issue 323 for local governments, Representative Fletcher's Broadband 324 Incentives for Communities Act, is not on this markup today 325 because we were unable to reach agreement on a solution that 326 provides the resources that local governments need, and will 327 continue to lack, for this very purpose. 328 Instead of solving that problem today, we will consider 329 an AINS that throws together almost two dozen partisan bills 330 that have no chance of becoming law. Many of these bills 331 would exempt communications infrastructure from environmental and historic preservation requirements when, in reality, most 332 of these projects do not require those approvals. 333 334 And whether or not these requirements exist, there will

still be bottleneck at permit offices if there are not enough 335 336 qualified employees at the agencies to review and approve the 337 applications. 338 To make matters worse, another set of these partisan 339 bills would severely weaken the authority of local governments to steer investment and deployments in their own 340 341 communities. This kind of deregulation and preemption of local authority is one reason the digital divide has grown to 342 343 be as pervasive as it is today. 344 We simply can't continue to make it easier for 345 communications providers to cherry pick the areas they want 346 to serve and, more importantly, to avoid investing in the 347 areas they don't. 348 These communities tend to be overwhelmingly low income, 349 rural, and communities of color. When providers turn their 350 backs on these communities, they are denying the people there 351 the tremendous opportunities that modern communications infrastructure provides, educational opportunities, advanced 352 medical services, job training, and much more. 353 It is disappointing that my Republican colleagues have 354 355 not learned these lessons and have instead chosen to forge a

partisan path on legislation that would only exacerbate the 356 357 problem. 358 I would also point out that in their Default on America 359 Bill, the Majority would make severe cuts to federal agency 360 budgets, and they would also claw back the money that state 361 and local governments received during COVID. 362 So at the same time, they are demanding more of these permitting agencies, they are cutting their resources and it 363 364 makes no sense. 365 Whenever my Republican colleagues are ready to work in a 366 productive way on targeted solutions, Democratic members are 367 ready and eager to have those discussions. 368 Finally, I would like to mention Chair Rodgers' spectrum 369 bill that we will consider today. We must renew the Federal Communications Commission's auction authority for the long 370 371 term. We must also restore the National Telecommunications 372 and Information Administration's place as the clear manager 373 of federal spectrum and ensure that the proceeds from future auctions be used to fund important projects that benefit the 374 375 public. 376 The bill before us today is a short-term bill, but Chair

| 377 | Rodgers and I will continue to work on these issues together |
|-----|--|
| 378 | and with our Senate colleagues. I look forward to soon |
| 379 | passing a comprehensive package. |
| 380 | And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. |
| 381 | *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you. The gentleman yields |
| 382 | back. |
| 383 | The Chair reminds members that, pursuant to Committee |
| 384 | rules, all Members' statements will be made part of the |
| 385 | record. Are there any further opening statements? |
| 386 | |

387 H.R. 3309 *Mr. Latta. Hearing none, the Chair calls up H.R. 3309 388 and asks the clerk to report. 389 *The Clerk. H.R. 3309 to amend the Middle-Class Tax 390 391 Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012; to establish a uniform 392 fee schedule --393 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the bill was dispensed with and the bill will be open for 394 amendment at any point. 395 396 So ordered. 397 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 398 Okay. Last call. Does any member wish to be recognized 399 on the bill? 400 Well, hearing none, are they are any more bipartisan amendments to the bill? 401 402 Hearing none. Are there any other amendments? 403 The question now occurs in forwarding H.R. 3309 to the full Committee. 404 405 All those in favor, will signify by saying aye. Those opposed, nay. 406 407 The ayes have it and the bill is agreed to.

| 408 | [H.R. 3309 follows:] |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| 409 | |
| 410 | *********************************** |
| 411 | |

```
412
     H.R. 3293
413
           *Mr. Latta. The Chair calls up H.R. 3293 and asks the
414
     Clerk to report.
           *The Clerk. H.R. 3293, to require the Assistant
415
416
     Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information to
417
     establish an interagency strike force --
418
           *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
419
     bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
420
     amendment at any point.
421
          Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
422
          Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill?
423
          Are there any other amendments to the bill?
424
          Hearing none, the question now occurs informing H.R.
     3293 to the full Committee.
425
          All those in favor, will signify by saying aye.
426
427
          All opposed, no.
428
          The ayes have it. The bill is agreed to.
           [H.R. 3293 follows:]
429
430
     *****************************
431
432
```

H.R. 3299 433 434 *Mr. Latta. The Chair calls up H.R. 3299 and asks the 435 clerk to report. 436 *The Clerk. H.R. 3299, to require the Department of the 437 Interior and the Department of Agricultural to establish online portals to accept, process, and dispose of certain 438 439 form 299s --440 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for 441 442 amendment at any point, so ordered. 443 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill? 444 Why does the gentlelady from Florida seek recognition? 445 *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 446 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized. 447 *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you. I am glad we are considering 448 the Digital Applications Act today and I want to thank my 449 colleagues from California and the ranking member of the Subcommittee, Ms. Matsui, for her leadership in this bill. 450 451 This bill is common sense. Simply requiring that the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agricultural 452 453 establish an online portal for acceptance, processing, and

disposal of standard forms 299 for communication use 454 455 authorizations. 456 This bill would help close the digital divide by 457 providing transparency and predictability into the process, 458 making it easier to track these common form applications to 459 deploy communication facilities on federal lands. 460 During our last legislative hearing in this 461 Subcommittee, we heard from all of our witnesses about how 462 this common-sense bipartisan piece of legislation would 463 improve the deployment of broadband across federal lands. 464 I would like to thank Chairman Latta for his leadership 465 in bringing up this package of broadband permitting reform bills and, as we all know, these bills are critical to close 466 467 the digital divide and deploy broadband guickly and 468 efficiently across the country. 469 I would also like to thank Representative Matsui for 470 partnering with me on this bill and thanks again, Mr. Chairman, for holding this markup. I urge all Members to 471 support this commonsense piece of legislation and support the 472 Digital Applications act. 473 474 I yield.

| 475 | *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields |
|-----|--|
| 476 | back. Are there any other members seeking recognition? |
| 477 | Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? |
| 478 | Are there any other amendments? |
| 479 | Hearing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. |
| 480 | 3299 to the full Committee. |
| 481 | All those in favor, signify by saying aye. |
| 482 | Those opposed, nay. |
| 483 | The ayes have it and the bill is agreed to. |
| 484 | [H.R. 3299 follows:] |
| 485 | |
| 486 | ************************************** |
| 487 | |

- 488 H.R. 3283
- 489 *Mr. Latta. The Chair calls up H.R. 3283 and asks the
- 490 clerk to report.
- *The Clerk. H.R. 3283. To require the Assistant
- 492 Secretary of Commerce for communications and information to
- 493 report to Congress on any barriers to establishing online
- 494 portals --
- *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
- 496 bill is dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment
- 497 at any point. So ordered.
- Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
- The gentlelady from Michigan is recognized -- would the
- 500 gentlelady wish to be recognized?
- 501 *Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last
- 502 word.
- 503 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized. Strike the
- 104 last word and is recognized for five minutes.
- *Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 506 In previous hearings on this Committee, we have heard
- 507 from experts that several agencies are not properly equipped
- 508 and prepared to quickly process the increase in permitting

509 applications resulting from the once in a generation investments made in the Bipartisan Infrastructure law. 510 511 Investments that would bring broadband access to every 512 community across our country. We need legislation to allow 513 faster, more accurate disposal of permitting applications for 514 communications and utility infrastructure to help us get 515 these important projects underway and reach the people who 516 need them the most. That is why Congresswoman Miller-Meeks and I introduced 517 518 the Facilitating Digital Applications Act. This legislation 519 and coordination with the Digital Applications Act, led by 520 Reps Cammack and Matsui, will ensure that the Department of 521 the Interior and the Department of Agriculture have 522 established an online portal for applications to deploy 523 communications and other utility infrastructure on federal 524 property. 525 The bill requires the National Telecommunications and 526 Information Administration to report to Congress every 60 days on the status of this application portal, expediting the 527 swift deployment of this portal. 528 529 These departments, to date, do not provide an online

application for Form 299 requests. An online portal option 530 531 will assist these agencies with managing the increased number 532 of applications, maximizing the benefits of these important 533 programs. 534 This legislation is a good government approach that will provide certainty and ease of access to industry, while 535 preserving critical protections. The bill ensures that we 536 537 are continuing to thoughtfully modernize permitting applications in a bipartisan manner. 538 539 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for including this bill as part 540 of today's markup. I would like to thank Representative 541 Cammack and Matsui for their work supporting the effective 542 and efficient delivery of these programs and Representative 543 Miller-Meeks for working with me on this important bipartisan legislation and I urge all of my colleagues to support the 544 545 underlying bill. 546 Thank you, and I yield back. 547 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. Are there any other members seeking recognition on the 548 bill? 549 550 Hearing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the

| 551 | bill? |
|-----|---|
| 552 | Are there any other amendments to the bill? |
| 553 | Hearing none, the question now occurs on informing H.R. |
| 554 | 3283 to the full Committee. |
| 555 | All those in favor, will signify by saying aye. |
| 556 | Those opposed, nay? |
| 557 | The ayes have it and the bill is agreed to. |
| 558 | [H.R. 3283 as follows:] |
| 559 | |
| 560 | ************************************** |
| 561 | |

- 562 H.R. 3343
- *Mr. Latta. The Chair now calls up H.R. 3343, and asks
- the clerk to report.
- *The Clerk. H.R. 3343, to require the Assistant
- 566 Secretary of Commerce for Communications and Information to
- 567 submit to Congress a plan --
- *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
- 569 bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
- 570 amendment at any point. So ordered.
- Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
- Hearing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the
- 573 bill?
- Are there any other amendments to the bill? Oh, I am
- 575 sorry. The gentleman from Florida is recognized.
- 576 Why does this gentleman seek recognition?
- 577 *Mr. Soto. To strike the last word.
- 578 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized to strike the
- 579 last word for five minutes.
- *Mr. Soto. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am proud to be
- able to co-introduce H.R. 3343 with my dear friend Mr.
- 582 Pfluger.

| 583 | We know with the Federal Broadband Deployment Tracking |
|-----|---|
| 584 | Act, it is going to help us be more efficient and ask the |
| 585 | NTIA to submit a plan to Congress on tracking acceptance |
| 586 | processing and disposal of requests for communications uses |
| 587 | for authorization on federal property, is something that with |
| 588 | Florida, Texas, and especially out West, so many different |
| 589 | lands owned by the federal government. This is key to help |
| 590 | get this through. |
| 591 | I just went down to an area of the District, cattle |
| 592 | country, Kenansville, just south of where I live in Kissimmee |
| 593 | and we see first-hand the investment in rural broadband |
| 594 | finally getting to our ranchers and our growers, thanks to |
| 595 | the American Rescue Plan, to the Infrastructure Law. |
| 596 | So making sure we can help streamline this process is |
| 597 | absolutely critical for our constituents and others across |
| 598 | the nation. And I yield back. |
| 599 | *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you. The gentleman yields |
| 600 | back. Are there any other members seeking recognition? |
| 601 | Again, are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? |
| 602 | Are there any other amendments to the legislation? |
| 603 | Hearing none the guestion now occurs on forwarding H R |

| 604 | 3343 to the full Committee. |
|-----|---|
| 605 | All those in favor, will signify by saying aye. |
| 606 | Those opposed, nay. |
| 607 | The ayes have it and the bill is agreed to. |
| 608 | [H.R. 3343 follows:] |
| 609 | |
| 610 | ************************************** |
| 611 | |

- 612 H.R. 3345
- *Mr. Latta. The Chair calls up H.R. 3345, and asks the
- 614 clerk to report.
- *The Clerk. H.R. 3345, to amend the Communications Act
- of 1934 to extend the authority of the Federal Communications
- 617 Commission --
- *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
- 619 bill is dispensed with and the bill be open for amendment at
- 620 any point. So ordered.
- Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
- The full Committee chair will be here momentarily and so
- 623 she would like to speak on the legislation.
- Again, does anyone else seek recognition on the bill?
- We will just hold temporarily.
- For what purpose does the gentlelady, the full Committee
- 627 Chair, from Washington seek recognition?
- *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I move to strike
- 629 the last word.
- 630 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized for five
- 631 minutes to strike the last word.
- *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

633 As both Ranking Member Pallone and I have stated, our 634 goal is to reauthorize the FCC's auction authority, restore 635 NTIAs rule as federal spectrum manager, and fund important 636 telecommunications programs, including Rip and Replace with 637 auction proceeds. 638 When this bill moves to the full Committee, my goal is 639 to amend it to reflect all of this and extend spectrum auction authority for enough time for us to develop a 640 641 spectrum pipeline. 642 I look forward to working with my colleagues on both 643 sides of the aisle as we develop a deal to amend this 644 placeholder at the full Committee. 645 I yield back. 646 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields 647 back. 648 Are there any other members seeking recognition on the 649 bill? 650 Are there any bipartisan amendments on the bill? Are there any amendments to the legislation? 651 Hearing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 652 653 33 -- oh, I am sorry. I am sorry.

654 The gentlelady from the 16th District from California is recognized for five minutes. 655 656 *Ms. Eshoo. Very good, Mr. Chairman. I thank you. 657 I just thank you, Mr. Chairman, and our wonderful 658 ranking member. 659 I wanted to say a few words about where we find 660 ourselves right now relative to spectrum and auction 661 authority. 662 First of all, the federal government has benefited 663 enormously from the results of auctions. We know that 664 spectrum, and we have dealt with it for decades here, that 665 Spectrum is the gold. It is not only 14 karat; it is 18 karat gold. It was valuable gold and that is why companies 666 667 pursue it. And they have that opportunity through making bids at the auctions when there is some spectrum available. 668 669 Now, we know that T-Mobile took advantage of that 670 auction and put up hundreds of millions of dollars. Now, and 671 they have gotten zero. They have gotten zero. 672 Now, if any of us don't pay our federal taxes, what happens to us? We have to pay some kind of fine for not 673 674 giving them the money. Here, in this situation, the

government has taken the money and the stakeholder gets zero, 675 676 but notch it up from there. This is a national security 677 issue. 678 If spectrum is not handled wisely in our country, it is 679 not only a national security issue, it is an issue relative 680 to competitiveness. So I welcome what the Subcommittee is 681 doing. I welcome what the Subcommittee is doing. 682 Something is (inaudible) somewhere, I just have a sense that I don't know, the right people have not sat down with 683 684 the DoD and I mean, I have dealt with this issue before and 685 we had to bring them in to make sure that they were right 686 there with us. 687 But having said all of this, I really wanted to 688 highlight it with some remarks, because it is serious. This 689 is really serious. This has never happened before. 690 never happened before. 691 I mean, I have weighed in with the Federal Communications Commission. I mean, this is, it is a mess 692 right now. That is the way I would categorize it and so I 693 welcome what we are doing. I hope that it sends a strong 694 695 signal to all of the stakeholders how serious this is and

that we get back to, I know the term regular, doesn't sound 696 very sexy, but in this case, I really welcome it. 697 698 So I thank the leadership of the Committee, all of the Members and that is what I wanted to say about it. I am 699 700 really disturbed about this thing and that there doesn't seem 701 to be any coming together anywhere. 702 There is just another wanted to throw the sand in the 703 gears, they were successful, but that it not an answer and it is not good for our country. It is bad for our country. 704 705 So I don't expect that they read my remarks in the 706 record and they will be so moved to get off their duffs, but 707 I sure welcome the opportunity to get this off my chest. 708 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 709 *Mr. Latta. Well, I thank the gentlelady for yielding back and you never know, they might read those words and we 710 711 have to get some movement on this. 712 Are there any other members seeking recognition? 713 Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill? Are there any amendments to the bill? 714 715 Hearing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.

3345 to the full Committee.

716

| 717 | All those in favor, will signify by saying aye. |
|-----|---|
| 718 | Those opposed, nay. |
| 719 | The ayes have it and the bill is agreed to. |
| 720 | [H.R. 3345 follows:] |
| 721 | |
| 722 | ************************************** |
| 723 | |

- 724 H.R. 3291
- 725 The Chair now calls up H.R. 3291, and asks the clerk to
- 726 report.
- 727 *The Clerk. H.R. 3291, to provide that eligible
- 728 facilities request under Section 6409(a) of the Middle-Class
- 729 Tax Relief --
- 730 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the first reading of the
- 731 bill is dispensed with and the bill will be open for
- 732 amendment at any point, so ordered.
- 733 Does anyone seek to be recognized on the bill?
- Are there any bipartisan amendments to the bill?
- 735 Are there any amendments to the bill?
- 736 For what does the Vice Chair of the Subcommittee, the
- 737 gentleman from Georgia seek recognition?
- 738 *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment in the
- 739 nature of a substitute at the desk.
- 740 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized.
- 741 *Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 742 *Mr. Latta. Oh, I'm sorry, and would the clerk report
- 743 the amendment, please?
- 744 *The Clerk. Can the member please specify the

```
745
     amendment?
746
           *Mr. Latta. I'm sorry?
747
           *The Clerk. Can you please specify the amendment?
     identification?
748
749
           *Mr. Carter. Manager's Amendment to H.R. 3291.
750
           *The Clerk. ANS 01?
751
           *Mr. Carter. Yes.
752
           *The Clerk. Thank you.
753
          Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 3291,
754
     offered by Mr. Carter of Georgia.
755
           Strike all after the enacting cause --
756
           *Mr. Latta. Read without objection, the reading of the
     amendment is dispensed with and the amendment will be open,
757
     at this time, and the gentleman from Georgia is recognized
758
759
     for five minutes.
760
           [The amendment ANS 01 of Mr. Carter follows:]
761
     **********************************
762
763
```

764 *Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 765 Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank all of my colleagues for their work to meeting different solutions to lower 766 barriers and streamline the process for deploying broadband 767 768 to all Americans. 769 This amendment includes several provisions, authored by 770 my Republican colleagues, addressing everything from 771 duplicative, unnecessary environmental reviews, limiting unnecessary application fees, and providing certainty on the 772 773 timelines for reviewing permitting requests. 774 At our legislative hearing we heard from experts about 775 the missed opportunities in the Infrastructure Investment and 776 Jobs Act to address broadband permitting barriers. While the 777 Senate did not take action on these important issues, today we are taking the first step to unleash innovation and 778 779 turbocharge public and private investment. 780 First, my amendment would streamline the process for 781 requesting approvals to deploy new broadband infrastructure, 782 including wireless towers or structures, fixed broadband, and fiber optic cables, and cable service provider requests. 783 784 The amendment would require that application fees to

785 review permitting applications are based on actual direct 786 costs, that providers receive a response within a reasonable amount of time; and that there are clear rules of road for 787 when these timeframes begin and end. 788 789 And in cases where a broadband provider thinks they were 790 wrongfully denied the ability to provide service, they can 791 receive the lease so that they can focus their time and 792 energy on what matters most, connecting all Americans. 793 Second, my amendment will streamline the process for 794 requesting approval to upgrade existing infrastructure, 795 including adding 5G to existing 4G towers, upgrading old 796 copper lines to fiber, deploying open-end and compatible technologies that will help trusted equipment vendors develop 797 798 an alternative to Chinese like Huawei and ZTE, these changes will help limit the environmental impact of needing new 799 800 infrastructure by encouraging providers to upgrade facilities 801 in areas that have already undergone their environmental or 802 historic preservation review. 803 Finally, my amendment will ensure that environmental reviews for broadband deployments are proportional the level 804 805 of deployment taking place. In cases where broadband

providers are simply upgrading infrastructure and rights-of-806 807 way that have already been disturbed by other communications 808 or electric utility infrastructure, an environmental review has already been undertaken, and the land has already been 809 810 disturbed. My amendment would remove the requirement to undergo 811 812 these reviews where broadband infrastructure is being 813 deployed entirely in an area for which a review has already been conducted and infrastructure already exists. 814 815 This includes waiving the environmental review to 816 replace Huawei equipment that is ineligible for reimbursement 817 under Security Trusted Communications Networks Act. This straight-forward reform will remove a key barrier to 818 819 broadband deployment, especially on federal lands where 820 reviews can take more than two years. 821 This amendment combines legislation led by every one of 822 my Republican colleagues on this Committee and I would like 823 to thank them for their thoughtful proposals to bring 824 affordable broadband to their communities quickly and ensure that taxpayers dollars are not wasted on more red tape. 825 826 I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and I

yield back. 827 *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members seeking 828 829 recognition on the amendment? The gentleman from California -- why does the 830 831 Representative from California seek recognition? 832 *Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 833 word. 834 The gentleman is recognized for striking *Mr. Latta. that last word for five minutes. 835 836 *Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the 837 amendment in the nature of a substitute. 838 While this bill, in the amendment form, includes my bill, which is H.R. 3340, the GRANTED Act. I represent an 839 840 extremely rural part of the country and one of the largest 841 challenges that my constituents face is access to broadband 842 internet. 843 This is a vital resource for them in areas such as 844 education and the conduct of business and employment. Unfortunately, we are often hampered in our efforts to get 845 broadband into our rural communities because over 80 percent 846 847 of the land mass of my district is in public ownership and

848 deploying broadband infrastructure across those lands 849 requires an onerous permitting process most often involving 850 the federal government. 851 Several years ago, we directed the government to 852 implement an expedited permitting process that put a maximum 853 of 270 days on the time required to issue a permit, if all of 854 the other requirements of the permit had been met, but 855 unfortunately, quite often we are finding that the federal 856 government takes more than that 270 days, in fact, sometimes 857 substantially more. 858 This bill enacts a very simple fix to that very 859 difficult problem by deeming approval of any permit for 860 broadband infrastructure across public lands that has not 861 been responded to within 270 days of when the application was 862 completed. 863 This bill would make a meaningful improvement in the 864 quality of life of the people I represent by giving them access to the broadband infrastructure that they lack. 865 866 I would like to thank my friend and colleague from Georgia for including this in the AINS and I urge its 867 868 adoption.

869 I yield back. 870 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields 871 back. Is there any further discussion on the AINS? 872 873 The gentlelady from Californica, 16th District is recognized for five minutes. 874 875 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 876 *Mr. Latta. It is like the last one. *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. 877 878 Before coming to Congress, I served for 10 years on the San Mateo County California Board of Supervisors and to this 879 880 day I have a deep appreciation for local government. 881 Academicians, political leaders from both parties, 882 industry, public interest groups they all agree that universal high-speed internet is necessary for our country's 883 884 competitiveness in the 21st Century. 885 Every single member of this Committee has uttered these 886 words a multiplicity of times. The pandemic made this even more evident as so many transitioned to teleworking, with 887 schools operating through distant learning, doctor visits, 888 889 telehealth, 24 million American lack internet access today

890 and it is a problem that is most acute in rural and tribal 891 communities. 892 And this number is just those who lack access, millions more simply just can't afford it. Now, last Congress the 893 894 Democrats made a generational investment to bridge the 895 digital divide and bring reliable, high-speed internet to 896 every American household, 82 billion, with a b, for broadband 897 affordability, equity, and deployment. I, to this day, really can't understand why my 898 899 Republican colleagues didn't support that. I think you have 900 a great gig because and you vote no and grab the dough. One 901 of the most promising solutions to closing the digital divide, I think comes from local governments. 902 903 Over 900 counties, cities, municipal utilities, coops, neighborhood associations, and tribes are taking the digital 904 905 divide problem into their own hands by building their own 906 broadband networks. 907 Community broadband systems expand internet access to unserved and underserved areas and they encourage competition 908 across the country. The very things that we constantly are 909 910 calling for.

911 These locally-owned networks are effective. In a 2019 912 report, New American's Open Technology Institute called these 913 networks, quote, "the fast, affordable internet option that's flying under the radar'', end of quote. 914 915 Unfortunately, 19 states have enacted protectionists 916 laws that restrict, and in some cases, banned community 917 networks. This is really unacceptable protectionism and it protects incumbent service providers from competition. 918 So to solve this problem, I introduced the Community 919 920 Broadband Act, which simply prohibits state laws that ban or 921 restrict community broadband. 922 I am pleased that the Subcommittee considered my bill 923 during the legislative hearing on April 19th, but 924 unfortunately, the Majority didn't include it in today's 925 markup. And when I say, unfortunately, you know, I have access, 926 927 so it is not me. It is about our constituents. I think it 928 is unfortunate, not only for mine, but for yours as well. So this legislative hearing and today's markup are 929 supposedly efforts to expedite broadband deployment. I mean, 930 931 why not let a local jurisdiction to set one up if they so

932 choose? 933 And this would really improve the access and the affordability issues. And by, I think, ignoring this we are 934 really guaranteeing that those options are just really off 935 936 the table. So communities, local communities, you are off the 937 938 table. You can't do this. Congress doesn't find you, I don't know, fitting, worthy, smart enough, I don't know what 939 it is, but there has to be some kind of bias in this to 940 941 matter (inaudible). 942 So obviously, I am disappointed that it is not being 943 taken up. But my larger disappointment is that an opportunity is really it is a lost opportunity and that is 944 the real loss for our collective communities across the 945 946 country. 947 So thank you for recognizing me and I yield back. 948 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentlelady yields 949 back and the Chairman now recognizes the gentleman from Utah for five minutes to speak on the AINS. 950 *Mr. Obernolte. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I actually 951 952 need to just share a few thoughts. I appreciate the

gentlewoman's comments about municipal broadband and I share 953 954 a perspective of a former mayor who inherited a municipal 955 broadband network in my city. 956 And I would like to just push back on some of the 957 statements that have been made and being of three points. 958 Municipalities and government entities have three problems 959 when it comes to municipal broadband. 960 Taxpayers don't expect us to take on risk One is risk. 961 with their tax dollars. And in a broadband network, those 962 municipalities that have tried it, we clearly point out there 963 is a high amount of risk there. 964 The second thing I would like to bring up that is 965 problematic for municipalities is the pace of change. We 966 have a public utility and technology didn't change for decades and decades. 967 968 Yet, in our broadband network, we found every few years 969 it required a brand-new investment in upgraded equipment that exceeded the amount of initial investment. 970 The third thing is the level of expertise. There is 971 not, in most cities, a level of expertise required to run a 972 973 broadband network. Our network ultimately failed and the

city was left with a \$16 million bond that we were unable to 974 975 pay and ended up paying to cover the cost of that. 976 And again, we brought in an outside provide to take over 977 that network. 978 With that I would like to yield that balance of my time to the Chair, Cathy McMorris Rodgers. 979 980 *The Chair. I appreciate the gentleman yielding. With this package of bills, the Republicans are offering 981 solutions to streamline broadband permitting processes to 982 983 improve Americans access to faster, more reliable broadband 984 services. 985 And this amendment includes measures to ensure that hundreds of billions of dollars are used for broadband 986 987 deployment, not more red tape. And I am disappointed, I am 988 still hopeful, though, that the Democrats will come and 989 continue to work with us to make this amendment bipartisan. 990 We are going to keep working on that. 991 President Biden's Deputy Secretary of Commerce highlighted permitting as one of the main issues preventing 992 broadband deployment. NTIA, the agency administering the 993 994 record amounts of broadband funding asked states to cap their

fees and streamline their permitting requirements. 995 This amendment would enforce those same exact 996 997 requirements. E & C Republicans are leading to ensure that broadband is deployed quickly. Our nation's networks were 998 999 available, withstood the ultimate test during COVID-19 when 1000 Americans lives moved fully online overnight, and this was 1001 largely due to America's light touch regulatory framework 1002 that has enabled innovation and investment in our networks and allowed them to adapt when challenged with increased 1003 1004 demands, like a shift to telework. 1005 But the COVID-19 pandemic also highlighted the breadth 1006 of the digital divide and further emphasized the need to 1007 close that gap. Broadband permitting barriers stand between 1008 a future where everyone is connected and one where rural 1009 America is once again left behind. While everyone seems to recognize the value of broadband 1010 1011 access, the federal government remains inefficient and 1012 unprepared for the scale of deployment, as envisioned by NTIAs BEAD Program. 1013 Many environmental reviews are either duplicative or 1014 1015 disproportionate to the scale of the undertaking.

Unnecessarily delaying broadband infrastructure deployment. 1016 1017 These challenges are especially acute in places like 1018 Eastern Washington that I represent and other parts of the 1019 Western United States where large swaths of land are 1020 federally managed. 1021 At our legislative hearing, we heard witness testimony 1022 that roughly 10 to 15 percent of the cost of broadband deployment is related to regulatory fees, including 1023 1024 permitting costs. 1025 We heard about inefficient, outdated processes that are 1026 not working as intended, and concerns that broken processes 1027 will be magnified under the stress of billions in government 1028 subsidies. 1029 With hundreds of billions in taxpayer dollars available 1030 for broadband deployment, these permitting costs could result in resources being wasted, whether it is fees that are not 1031 1032 based on actual or direct costs needed to process the 1033 application, a duplicate, or unnecessary environment rule, a delay by a federal agency to approve a project. We need to 1034 enforce agency accountability. Lift the regulatory burdens 1035 1036 to foster a light touch regulatory environment.

1037 This amendment will lower the cost to deploy broadband 1038 It will reduce the time to deploy new and upgraded 1039 existing broadband infrastructure, and it is going to remove 1040 barriers to private investment that will turbocharge the 1041 public dollars. 1042 So let's keep working on this, let's keep working to 1043 ensure that the over \$42 billion actually gets all Americans connected. I yield back. 1044 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady yields back. The gentleman 1045 1046 yields back the balance of his time. 1047 Are there any other members seeking recognition on the 1048 AINS? 1049 The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized for five 1050 minutes, (inaudible) on the AINS? 1051 *Mr. Joyce. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 1052 word. 1053 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1054 Briefly, I want to offer support for the package of permitting bills before us today, including H.R. 3288, the 1055 1056 Broadband Competition and Efficient Deployment Act. 1057 It is incumbent upon us to ensure that Americans have

access to reliable, high-speed internet in order to work, to 1058 1059 learn, and to heal from home. 1060 Unfortunately, building out broadband infrastructure in 1061 rural communities has been bogged down by bureaucracy leading to unnecessary waste while our constituents and communities 1062 1063 pay the price. 1064 It is imperative that we make sensible reforms that cut 1065 red tape and enhance the permitting process. Despite record 1066 amounts of funding over the past several years, recently 1067 passed legislation, including the Infrastructure Investment 1068 and Jobs Act, has failed to implement a needed fix to the 1069 burdensome permitting process. 1070 Only after making these necessary adjustments can we 1071 begin the buildout for this crucial infrastructure and bridge 1072 the digital divide that impacts so many Americans, especially those in rural areas like my district, Pennsylvania 13. 1073 1074 Therefore, I urge all of my colleagues to vote yes and 1075 adopt the package of bills and I yield the remainder of my 1076 time. 1077 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 1078 Are there other members wishing to speak on AINS?

1079 The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for five 1080 minutes to speak on AINS. 1081 *Mr. Walberg. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 1082 word. 1083 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Walberg. The Amendment is in the nature of a 1084 1085 substitute includes some of my legislation, H.R. 3292, the Brownfields Broadband Deployment Act. The EPA's Brownfield 1086 1087 program is very important for the state of Michigan and more 1088 specifically, the district I represent. 1089 Because of Michigan's rich manufacturing history, there 1090 are a number of former industrial sites that are ripe for 1091 revitalization. Revitalizing these areas encourages economic 1092 development, injects new tax revenue into the local economy, 1093 and assists local governments with the support they need to 1094 address these projects. 1095 But today revitalization can't reach its full potential 1096 without connection and due to onerous regulations for broadband deployment on Brownfield sits, those connections 1097 are too often delayed. 1098 1099 The legislation will help streamline the deployment of

broadband projects entirely within Brownfield sites by 1100 1101 eliminating the requirement to prepare duplicative 1102 environmental or historic preservation reviews. 1103 These sites are often located in digitally underserved 1104 areas and the proposed changes will help promote greater 1105 digital connectivity. 1106 Beyond my legislation, the AINS we are considering today includes much needed permitting reforms. We need to get 1107 rural America connected. The internet is no longer a luxury, 1108 1109 and constituents like mine in rural areas need broadband so 1110 their children can do their homework, they can reach their 1111 doctors, and can stay connected to family and friends. 1112 Getting all Americans connected is a difficult task on 1113 its own that will take time, but on top of that, broadband 1114 providers are subject to significant permitting delays at both the local and the federal level. Inconsistent 1115 1116 application portals and high fees only add to these problems. 1117 To get broadband to truly underserved, we must streamline our permitting processes. Bureaucratic red tape 1118 1119 should not be the reason rural Michiganders don't have the 1120 internet.

1121 I encourage my colleagues to support the AINS and the 1122 underlying legislation and I yield back. 1123 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. Are there other members seeking recognition on AINS? 1124 1125 For what purposes the gentleman from Florida seek 1126 recognition? 1127 *Mr. Bilirakis. I move to strike the last word, Mr. 1128 Chairman. *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five 1129 1130 minutes to strike the last word. 1131 *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. Several parts of my 1132 district lie within flood zones. At the same time one of the 1133 top concerns of my residents is obtaining and maintaining 1134 access to reliable internet and cell phone services. 1135 I want to be sure that all of my constituents have 1136 access to 21st Century technologies, enabling them to harness 1137 the power that these capabilities offer. Being left behind 1138 is not an option. The Coastal Broadband Deployment Act will remove 1139 burdensome and unnecessary regulatory steps that only serve 1140 1141 to add months to communications projects that will make

- 1142 facilities more resilient to floods, hurricanes, and other
- 1143 storms that threaten to hinder communications in an
- 1144 emergency.
- 1145 This bill will promote safety, will ensure that flood-
- 1146 prone areas are not delayed in 5G rollout, and will ensure
- 1147 that my community and communities like mine get the latest
- 1148 technology that define our modern world.
- So with that, if anyone wants my time, otherwise, I will
- 1150 yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 1151 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.
- 1152 Are there other members seeking recognition to speak on
- 1153 the AINS?
- The gentleman from Ohio seeks recognition. What does
- 1155 the gentleman seek recognition for?
- 1156 *Mr. Balderson. To speak on the bill, Mr. Chairman.
- 1157 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five
- 1158 minutes.
- 1159 *Mr. Balderson. Mr. Chairman, I wish to strike the last
- 1160 word.
- 1161 Mr. Chairman, thank you for having this important markup
- 1162 today at the massive infusion of \$45 billion about to hit

states. It is crucial that we do our job in this Committee 1163 1164 and pave the way the for broadband providers to build out our 1165 networks quickly and minimize unnecessary red tape. The bills included in this amendment present a great 1166 1167 opportunity to ensure that. Not only my constituents 1168 throughout Central and Southeast Ohio are being connected 1169 quickly, but that all Americans will be too. 1170 Cable companies in Ohio and across the nation are leading the way in building out new broadband networks 1171 1172 throughout rural America be it through the FCC's Rural 1173 Digital Opportunity Fund or seeing the Broadband Equity 1174 Access and Deployment Program. I was happy to see that my bill, the Cable Leadership 1175 1176 Act, is included in this amendment. My bill is simple. It 1177 puts 120-day shot clock on cable franchising authorities to grant or deny a new cable franchise when an operator wants to 1178 1179 move into a new community. 1180 The operators want to serve new homes and businesses. We can't let them be bogged down with countless applications 1181 1182 and approvals. We need to ensure that we keep the process 1183 moving so the networks can be built out. These package of

```
bills does just that.
1184
            I appreciate the Chair moving this package forward here
1185
1186
      today and urge my colleagues to vote yes. I yield back.
           *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.
1187
1188
           Are there other members wishing to speak, recognition on
      the AINS?
1189
1190
           Hearing none -- does the gentleman have an amendment on
1191
      the AINS?
           *Mr. Pallone. I have an amendment at the desk. Amend
1192
1193
      TDI 1.
1194
            [The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
1195
      ************************************
1196
1197
```

1198 *The Clerk. The amendment to the amendment in the 1199 nature of a substitute to H.R. 3291 offered by Mr. Pallone. 1200 Page 12, strike line 25 and all that follows --1201 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the 1202 amendment is dispensed with and the amendment is open at this point and the gentleman is recognized for five minutes to 1203 1204 speak on his amendment. 1205 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Latta. 1206 My amendment aims to ensure permitting agencies, at the 1207 federal, state, and local levels retain the authority to make 1208 decision that best suits the needs of our communities and the 1209 public. 1210 No matter where you go in this country, if you want to 1211 do any type of construction you must first get relevant 1212 approvals from local government. Whether you are building a 1213 house or a school, putting up utility poles, or digging a 1214 trench to lay fiber optic cable, the local government has an important role to play, primarily to ensure the health and 1215 safety of the community. 1216 And that is why I am troubled by provisions like those 1217 1218 that my Republican colleagues are so fervently pursuing to

enact now and arbitrary timeframes to approve or deny 1219 1220 applications and then say permission granted if a decision 1221 hasn't been made by that time. 1222 In other words, if a municipal zoning board or planning 1223 board was reviewing an application and does not issue an approval by a deadline set by House Republicans the 1224 1225 applicant's proposal would automatically be considered 1226 approved without any further input from the community. 1227 And I am most concerned that this approach raises more 1228 questions than answers, especially when it comes to liability 1229 in the case of accidents. 1230 Now, my colleagues like to demonize local governments, 1231 that is my Republican colleagues, like to demonize local 1232 governments for not approving applications as quickly as they 1233 would like, but anyone who served at the local level, and I have, knows there is a lot more to these decisions than a 1234 1235 simply yes or no. 1236 No one thinks about who is responsible for ensuring there is ample police or fire department personnel for 1237 1238 traffic duty, to ensure the safety of the workers and public 1239 during construction, or the complexity of stacking or

staggering certain construction projects to avoid disruptions 1240 1241 or delays that can often and do occur as a result. 1242 It is the mayors, and councils, and planning boards, and 1243 zoning boards who are responsible for these considerations. 1244 And they are on the hook if anything goes wrong or someone 1245 gets hurt. 1246 Now, I understand that my Republicans don't think that that is their problem, but those of us that work closely with 1247 1248 our mayors and councils know that they are as eager as anyone 1249 to have high-speed broadband access in their communities. 1250 What possible advantage would come from deliberately 1251 ignoring or delaying requests to improve services for their 1252 constituents? 1253 So these provisions can put people at risk. If you 1254 don't believe me, I challenge each of my colleagues to tell 1255 their mayors about this bill and these provisions the next 1256 time they go home. 1257 I know that I wouldn't want to tell them that I voted for this. So I guess what the Republican majority is trying 1258 1259 to do is to use these provisions to force permitting agencies 1260 to work faster to avoid automatic grant of permission.

But as I said in my opening statement, the Majority is 1261 1262 demanding more and more of all these agencies, while, at the same time, defunding them. So again, I don't want to just 1263 beat you up too much, but I mean, the bottom line is, you 1264 1265 can't expect that all these things are done quickly without 1266 there being problems. 1267 And I know when I go home on the weekends, I see all kinds of construction all over and I mean, it is not easy to 1268 1269 make sure you have the police and who are we to be telling 1270 the local planning boards and zoning boards what to do? We 1271 don't spend the time reviewing these things and looking into 1272 the details of it. 1273 And so we shouldn't be the ones telling them what to do 1274 because they are the ones that actually have to look at this 1275 and figure out what is the best way to handle it. 1276 So to me, it is pretty bad that you just think it is 1277 okay to ride roughshod over all the local officials and 1278 planning boards and everybody just to do what you think is 1279 necessary. 1280 So I encourage all of my colleagues to stand with their 1281 local governments by supporting this amendment, just from a

practical point of view. And I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 1282 1283 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 1284 Are there any members wishing to speak on the amendment? 1285 *Mr. Allen. Mr. Chairman? 1286 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman from Georgia recognized. 1287 *Mr. Allen. I move to strike the last word. 1288 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized for five minutes to strike the last word. 1289 *Mr. Allen. With 42 billion ready to go out the door 1290 1291 for broadband deployment, we have to provide accountability 1292 on agencies and certainty to providers whether or not they 1293 will get their permits approved. 1294 Delays in the permitting process have the potential to 1295 ruin the success of connecting all Americans and we cannot 1296 risk more money wasted. 1297 Here the Democrats are trying to strike deemed grant 1298 remedies created in this bill to ensure that state and local 1299 governments act within their review times. What is interesting is that some of the deemed grant 1300 remedies that they are trying to strike were first enacted by 1301 1302 the Democrats. Deemed grant remedies are not new.

already exists when state and local governments fail to act 1303 1304 on an eligible facilities request within 60 days. 1305 This was enacted in a bipartisan rulemaking implemented by a Democratic FCC. When FCC Commissioner Rosenworcel and 1306 1307 Chairman Wheeler both praised the rules as balancing the need to streamline the permitting process for the next generation 1308 1309 of wireless technology while preserving state and local 1310 authority. Commissioner Rosenworcel speaking about the FCC's order, 1311 1312 "that because rules were put in place, they are first steps to encourage deployment of infrastructure that is absolutely 1313 1314 critical for the next generation of wireless service, 5G''. 1315 Chairman Wheeler, speaking about the FCC's order, "high 1316 speed mobile broadband also requires high-speed mobile 1317 buildout''. However, the regulatory burdens associated with deployments can be expensive and time consuming. 1318 1319 This order takes concrete steps to immediately and substantially ease those burdens. Mr. Carter's amendment 1320 applies the same reasoning to other requests to deploy new, 1321 fixed, and mobile communications infrastructure. 1322 1323 Mr. Carter's amendment also broadens the deemed grants

- 1324 to apply to federal agencies, which is necessary in order to
- 1325 ensure that the timeline requirements in the Infrastructure
- 1326 Law are met and the billions of dollars ready to be spent on
- 1327 deployment are not wasted.
- For that reason, I will oppose this amendment and urge
- 1329 my colleagues to vote no, and I yield back.
- 1330 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much. The gentleman yields
- 1331 back the balance of his time.
- 1332 Are there others wishing to speak on the gentleman's
- 1333 amendment to the AINS?
- 1334 Hearing none, is there any further discussion, if not
- 1335 the vote occurs on the amendment.
- 1336 The gentleman requests a recorded vote. The clerk will
- 1337 call the roll.
- 1338 *The Clerk. Mr. Bilirakis?
- 1339 *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes yes.
- 1340 *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
- 1341 Walberg?
- 1342 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman wishes to be recognized?
- 1343 *Mr. Bilirakis. I'm sorry, Bilirakis votes no.
- 1344 *Mr. Latta. Bilirakis is off aye, on no.

```
1345
           Walberg?
1346
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
1347
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
1348
           Carter?
1349
      *Mr. Carter. No.
1350
          *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
1351
           Dunn?
           Curtis?
1352
1353
           *Mr. Curtis. No.
1354
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
1355
           Joyce?
1356
           *Mr. Joyce.
                       No.
1357
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
           Weber?
1358
           *Mr. Weber. No.
1359
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
1360
1361
           Allen?
          *Mr. Allen. Allen votes no.
1362
          *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
1363
1364
           Balderson?
1365
           *Mr. Balderson. No.
```

```
1366
            *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
1367
           Fulcher?
1368
           *Mr. Fulcher. No.
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
1369
1370
           Pfluger?
           *Mr. Pfluger. No.
1371
            *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
1372
1373
           Harshbarger?
1374
            *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
1375
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
1376
           Cammack?
1377
           *Mrs. Cammack. No.
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
1378
           Obernolte?
1379
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
1380
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
1381
1382
           Rodgers?
           *The Chair. No.
1383
1384
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
           Latta?
1385
1386
           *Mr. Latta. No.
```

```
*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
1387
1388
           Matsui?
1389
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
1390
1391
           Clarke?
1392
           *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
1393
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
1394
           Veasey?
1395
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
1396
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
1397
           Soto?
1398
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
1399
           *The Clerk. Soto votes aye.
1400
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1401
1402
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
1403
           Cardenas?
1404
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1405
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
           Craig?
1406
1407
           *Ms. Craig. Aye.
```

```
1408
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1409
           Fletcher?
1410
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
1411
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
1412
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1413
1414
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1415
           Kuster?
1416
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1417
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1418
           Kelly?
1419
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1420
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
           Pallone?
1421
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1422
1423
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1424
           *Mr. Latta. Has the gentleman from Florida recorded?
           *The Clerk. Mr. Dunn has not recorded.
1425
1426
           *Mr. Dunn. Nay.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
1427
1428
           *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
```

```
1429
     record their vote?
1430
            Hearing none, the clerk will report the result.
1431
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote, we have 12 ayes
1432
      and 16 noes.
1433
            *Mr. Latta. The amendment is not agreed to.
           Are there further amendments?
1434
1435
           The gentleman from California seeks recognition.
1436
           Why does the gentleman seek recognition?
1437
            *Mr. Cardenas. I have an amendment at the desk,
1438
      amendment TD1.
1439
           *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment --
1440
            *Mr. Cardenas. I'm sorry, TD3. I'm sorry, we just did
1441
      TD1. TD3.
1442
            [The amendment of Mr. Cardenas follows:]
1443
      ************************************
1444
1445
```

1446 *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of 1447 a substitute to H.R. 3291 offered by Mr. Cardenas, page 48, 1448 after line 3 --1449 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized 1450 for five minutes on his amendment. 1451 1452 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Appreciate this opportunity to provide this amendment. 1453 As Ranking Member Pallone just pointed out, the AINS 1454 1455 before us puts a lot of restrictions and requirements on 1456 state and local governments to crunch permit applications by 1457 deadlines set by my Republican colleagues. 1458 My amendment does not speak to those requirements, but 1459 rather, would offer resources for state and local offices to 1460 carry out the federal mandates proposed by some of the 1461 members of this Subcommittee. 1462 At our hearing last month, witnesses spoke at length 1463 about how a lack of qualified personnel and financial resources at offices reviewing applications can cause delays 1464 1465 in getting projects approved. 1466 I fundamentally disagree with the idea that local

officials are somehow unwilling or unmotivated to approve 1467 1468 projects to deploy or improve communications infrastructure in their local communities. 1469 1470 The arbitrary timelines laid out by my colleagues are 1471 unlikely to make a real difference in deploying broadband and 1472 wireless infrastructure any faster. 1473 My amendment, however, would help speed up permit reviews and get more projects approved in less time by 1474 providing state and local governments with the resources they 1475 1476 need to handle the influx of applications that we know are 1477 coming. 1478 We should be treating local governments as our partners 1479 in these efforts, not our opponents. And also, let's come together and take meaningful action to break down these 1480 1481 deployment barriers. 1482 I think it is important to understand that I am a little 1483 confused today because a lot of times my Republican 1484 colleagues say that Washington should not dictate what happens locally. We have local officials and local appointed 1485 1486 officials that are actually responsible for what goes on in 1487 their local communities.

I know when I was a city councilman in Los Angeles, any 1488 1489 time we were improving our streets or what have you, we got complaints saying who the heck is making it harder for me to 1490 1491 get to work? Why is it that those barriers make me go in a 1492 different direction, et cetera? 1493 That is why local officials are there so that they can 1494 know exactly what is going on on the ground, plan it properly, but all of that takes resources. Sometimes it does 1495 1496 take more time, but then again, my amendment says, you know 1497 what, let's help them do their job well and do it quickly. 1498 Providing some of the resources should this federal mandate 1499 actually become law. 1500 So with that I urge my colleagues to support my amendment and I yield back the balance of my time. 1501 1502 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. The gentleman 1503 yields back. 1504 Are there any other members seeking recognition? *Mr. Curtis. Mr. Chairman? 1505 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman from Utah seeks recognition? 1506 *Mr. Curtis. Seek to strike the last word. 1507 1508 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman's request to speak to strike

the last word and the gentleman is recognized for five 1509 1510 minutes. 1511 *Mr. Curtis. Thank you. At the risk of my colleagues 1512 on this Committee getting tired of hearing the fact that I am 1513 a former mayor, as a former mayor I would like to weigh in. And not only a former mayor, but a mayor who had 1514 1515 broadband deployed throughout his city to every single home, and every single residence and I am just going to brag for a 1516 minute as well. The city of Provo offered internet to every 1517 1518 single resident at no cost. 1519 And we did that not through municipal broadband, but by 1520 bringing in an outside provider. I do want to point out that 1521 state and local governments have never had remedy from the 1522 federal government and, specifically, to deploy local 1523 broadband. 1524 Since the beginning of COVID-19 pandemic, state and local governments across the country received hundreds of 1525 millions of billions of dollars. The vast majority of state 1526 and local governments are, I think, as those that were 1527 talking about, do a great job in processing those permits in 1528 1529 a timely manner and they don't issue the permits in a way to

- 1530 extract revenue from broadband provider, but rather, as a
- 1531 necessary cost of providing that service.
- Under our bill, state and local governments would retain
- 1533 the ability to charge fees for reviewing permitting
- 1534 applications. The only difference is that we would require
- 1535 those fees be bases on actual and direct cost and they would
- 1536 have to be transparent with those costs.
- 1537 This means that the local government can't just jack up
- 1538 the cost to pay for other improvements in their city, which
- 1539 happens often in local government. It means that they can't
- 1540 use revenue from excessive fees to subsidize other parts of
- 1541 the government.
- I oppose the amendment and urge my colleagues to vote
- 1543 no, and I yield back my time.
- 1544 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields back the
- 1545 balance of his time.
- 1546 Are there other --
- 1547 *Mr. Curtis. Sure, if I still have my time?
- 1548 *Mr. Latta. Since the gentleman's time and he yields to
- 1549 the gentlelady.
- 1550 *Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. I appreciate it. Mr. Curtis,

you are such a lovely man. Really are. 1551 *Mr. Curtis. I hear a butt coming. 1552 *Ms. Eshoo. Yeah, you do. You do. I know you get it. 1553 1554 You get it, but you are lovely. You are a lovely man; you 1555 are a gentleman. This whole issue of local jurisdictions and the 1556 discussion that we are having as we move through this markup. 1557 I have to tell you is a real rub for me. 1558 You know, what works in one community maybe won't work 1559 1560 in another, but just because there is a project or an effort that, for whatever reason, has failed in the community and 1561 1562 the entire nation has to bear the burden of that failure, I don't think that is fair. 1563 1564 So while I certainly have had community broadband 1565 efforts in my congressional district, I would certainly say to them, look into the one in Utah and see what went wrong 1566 1567 there so that mistakes are not made here, but to hold all 1568 these local jurisdictions hostage over something that didn't go right in another, I just feel very uncomfortable. 1569 1570 So those are my uncomfortable words to a lovely man. 1571 *Mr. Curtis. Your lovely co-companion who I also admire

and respect. Just a couple of thoughts. I also served on 1572 1573 American Public Powers national policy board where we saw dozens and, perhaps, hundreds of cities also try the same 1574 1575 thing. 1576 I also have other cities in Utah who have tried the same thing. So it doesn't come lightly and doesn't come just as 1577 1578 one city. I actually would really welcome additional dialogue with you about this and may take you up on this 1579 1580 comment sometimes that we shouldn't apply a one size fits all 1581 from Washington. 1582 I think that actually applies in quite a few situations. 1583 I think otherwise, I would love to have further discussion 1584 with you about how to move forward. Thank you. I yield my 1585 time. 1586 *Mr. Latta. I thank the gentleman. He yields back. Are there any other members seeking recognition to speak 1587 1588 on the gentleman from California's amendment? 1589 Hearing none, it has been requested for a roll call vote. The clerk will call the role. 1590 1591 All those in favor, will signify by saying aye.

And those opposed, nay.

1592

```
1593
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
1594
           Walberg?
1595
           *Mr. Walberg. Nay.
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
1596
1597
           Carter?
1598
          *Mr. Carter. No.
1599
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
1600
           Dunn?
1601
           *Mr. Dunn. No.
1602
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
1603
           Curtis?
1604
          *Mr. Curtis. No.
1605
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
1606
           Joyce?
1607
           *Mr. Joyce.
                       No.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
1608
1609
           Weber?
           *Mr. Weber. No.
1610
1611
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
1612
           Allen?
1613
           *Mr. Allen. No.
```

```
1614
            *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
1615
           Balderson?
1616
           *Mr. Balderson. No.
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
1617
1618
           Fulcher?
1619
           *Mr. Fulcher. No.
1620
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
1621
           Pfluger?
1622
            *Mr. Pfluger. No.
1623
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
1624
           Harshbarger?
1625
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
1626
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
1627
           Cammack?
1628
           *Mrs. Cammack. No.
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
1629
1630
           Obernolte?
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
1631
1632
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
1633
           Rodgers?
1634
           *The Chair. No.
```

```
1635
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
1636
           Latta?
1637
           *Mr. Latta. No.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
1638
1639
           Matsui?
1640
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1641
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
1642
           Clarke?
           *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
1643
1644
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
1645
           Veasey?
1646
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
1647
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
1648
           Soto?
1649
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
1650
           *The Clerk. Soto votes aye.
1651
           Eshoo?
1652
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1653
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
           Cardenas?
1654
1655
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
```

```
*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
1656
1657
           Craig?
1658
           *Ms. Craig. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1659
1660
           Fletcher?
1661
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
1662
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
1663
           Dingell?
1664
            *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1665
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1666
           Kuster?
1667
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1668
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1669
           Kelly?
1670
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1671
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1672
           Pallone?
1673
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1674
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Mr. Bilirakis is not recorded.
1675
1676
           *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes no.
```

1677 *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no. 1678 *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to cast 1679 their vote? 1680 Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll. 1681 *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 12 ayes 1682 and 16 noes. 1683 *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much, the amendment is not 1684 agreed to. Are there further amendments to the AINS? 1685 1686 The gentleman from Texas is recognized. Why do you seek 1687 recognition? 1688 *Mr. Veasey. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk. My amendment is titled DSC Amendment 4. 1689 1690 *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment. 1691 *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 3291 authored by Mr. Veasey, strike 1692 1693 Section 402 --1694 *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized 1695 for five minutes to speak on his amendment. 1696

[The amendment of Mr. Veasey as follows:]

1697

| 1698 | | |
|------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1699 | ********COMMITTEE | INSERT******* |
| 1700 | | |

1701 *Mr. Veasey. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1702 Many of my Republican colleagues have expressed a desire 1703 to tackle these permitting issues close to the digital divide 1704 and get broadband funding out of the door to our states 1705 quickly and efficiently. 1706 But there is one section in this majority AINS that I 1707 fear would have the opposite effect and potentially prevent many states from getting any BEAD funding at all. 1708 And my amendment would strike Section 402 of the 1709 1710 amendment in the nature of a substitute language previously 1711 introduced as BEAD Fees Act. 1712 Far from getting funding out in a quick and efficient 1713 way, that language would actually prohibit NTIA from giving 1714 BEAD funding to any state unless the state's legislature has 1715 an active legislation that spells out all of the requirements 1716 of this section. 1717 You heard that right. Any state, across the country, 1718 with a fee structure that doesn't match up exactly with what the Majority is proposing in this section, wouldn't get 1719 another dime of BEAD funding. 1720 1721 Indeed, NTIA would be prohibited by this law from

sending those states the funds allocated to them. 1722 In my home 1723 state of Texas, for instance, the state legislature only has a few more days until they sign (inaudible) and they won't 1724 1725 begin session again until January 2025. 1726 And so if the Majority's provision is enacted, it would mean that Texas would have to stand by and watch while other 1727 1728 states broadband projects are funded. I am not willing to jeopardize the millions or potentially billions of dollars 1729 that our state is set to receive and I am surprised that the 1730 1731 Majority wants to risk their states from receiving these 1732 funds. 1733 It certainly injects a lot of uncertainty into this 1734 historic funding opportunity. It doesn't mention a process for approving such legislation as on the books or what 1735 1736 happens if a state thinks if the requirements have been met, 1737 but the Assistant Secretary does not. 1738 I would urge all of my colleagues who, like me, are also 1739 not interested in denying their constituents a once in a lifetime opportunity for broadband infrastructure funding to 1740 1741 support my amendment that strikes this language, because 1742 again, let's be clear, a vote for the Majority's package with

- 1743 this harmful language in Section 402, is a vote against your
- 1744 state receiving BEAD dollars in a timely and efficient
- 1745 manner.
- 1746 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I urge a yes vote on my
- 1747 amendment and I yield back.
- 1748 *Mr. Latta. All right. I thank the gentleman and the
- 1749 gentleman yields back.
- 1750 Are there any members wishing to speak on the
- 1751 gentleman's amendment?
- 1752 The gentleman from Ohio seeks recognition. Why does the
- 1753 gentleman seek recognition?
- 1754 *Mr. Balderson. Speaking in opposition to the
- 1755 amendment.
- 1756 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized to speak on the
- 1757 amendment for five minutes.
- 1758 *Mr. Balderson. Mr. Chairman, thank you.
- 1759 Mr. Chairman, Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
- 1760 provided record funding for broadband programs for the
- 1761 purpose of closing the digital divide, deploying broadband
- 1762 infrastructure, and awarding these funds will take
- 1763 cooperation from all the levels of government.

We all know that the vast majority of state and local 1764 1765 governments do a great job at processing permit requests in a timely manner and do not use the process as a means to 1766 extract extra revenues, but the fact of this matter is that 1767 1768 some do. The modern (inaudible) under Mr. Carter's amendment 1769 1770 state and local governments would retain the ability to charge fees for reviewing permitting applications, the only 1771 1772 difference here is that we would require those fees to be 1773 based on the direct cost and they would need to be 1774 transparent. 1775 This ensures that local governments can't be bad actors 1776 and increase the cost of an application to subsidize 1777 contractors of the local government's choosing. 1778 In fact, even the Biden Administration agrees with this in their notice of funding opportunity NITA, asks states to 1779 1780 look at the ways to cap their fees. Requiring cost base and 1781 transparent fees will ensure that our historic investment is not wasted and meets the goal of connecting every American. 1782 1783 I urge my colleagues to vote no on this amendment and 1784 Mr. Chairman, I yield back my remaining time.

1785 *Mr. Dunn. Will the gentleman his back? 1786 *Mr. Balderson. Yes, I yield my time. 1787 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized. 1788 *Mr. Dunn. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike 1789 the last word? 1790 *Mr. Latta. (Inaudible). 1791 *Mr. Dunn. I want to reiterate the (inaudible) of this bill, 3291, as amended by Mr. Carter. 1792 I would like to start by thanking the Chair for his 1793 1794 leadership in this role and the work we are doing is 1795 critically important to toll the charge public and private 1796 investment to promote and upgrade infrastructure and boost 1797 American competitiveness. 1798 Streamlining the permitting process is critically 1799 important. The urgency with which we come to the aid of our communities when devastating disasters occur is especially 1800 1801 important. 1802 My friend and colleague Mr. Bilirakis has introduced H.R. 3301, the Connecting Communities Post-Disasters Act and 1803 1804 my bill has been incorporated into Mr. Carter's amendment as 1805 well, the 3291.

1806 This bill would slash burdensome requirements in order 1807 to accelerate replacing and improving communications 1808 facilities that were damaged following presidentially 1809 declared disasters. 1810 Now, we are all aware of the Stafford Act disasters are 1811 just catastrophic events that include hurricanes, tornadoes, 1812 and the like. It must rise to the level of warranting federal aid by the direction of the President. 1813 1814 And as an example, we have Hurricane Michael, a storm 1815 that devastated the Florida Panhandle and Georgia. It left a 1816 lot of my counties with no communications for up to two 1817 weeks. 1818 There are a few things worse than being unable to check 1819 on your loved ones following a disaster. One of my biggest 1820 takeaways from Hurricane Michael is the burdensome 1821 regulations that stood in the way of rebuilding our 1822 communications infrastructure. 1823 Our bill has been incorporated into this package to ensure that all of our constituents have streamlined process 1824 to rebuild critical communications infrastructure following a 1825 1826 devastating natural disaster.

```
I encourage all of my colleagues to support Mr. Carter's
1827
1828
      bill. Thank you and I yield back.
1829
            *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentleman yields.
      gentleman from Ohio yields back the balance of his time.
1830
1831
           Are there any other members wishing to speak on the
1832
      gentleman from Texas amendment?
1833
            Hearing none, roll call has been requested. The clerk
1834
      will take the roll.
            *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
1835
1836
           Walberg?
1837
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
1838
           Carter?
1839
1840
           *Mr. Carter. No.
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
1841
1842
           Dunn?
1843
           *Mr. Dunn. No.
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
1844
1845
           Curtis?
           *Mr. Curtis. No.
1846
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
1847
```

```
1848
           Joyce?
1849
           *Mr. Joyce. No.
1850
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
1851
           Weber?
1852
           *Mr. Weber.
                       Nope.
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
1853
1854
           Allen? Allen?
1855
           *Mr. Allen. Allen votes no.
           *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
1856
1857
           Balderson?
1858
           *Mr. Balderson. No.
1859
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
1860
           Fulcher?
1861
           *Mr. Fulcher. No.
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
1862
1863
           Pfluger?
1864
            *Mr. Pfluger.
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
1865
1866
           Harshbarger?
1867
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
1868
            *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
```

```
Cammack?
1869
           *Mrs. Cammack. No.
1870
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
1871
           Obernolte?
1872
1873
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
1874
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
1875
           Rodgers?
1876
           *The Chair. No.
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
1877
1878
           Latta?
1879
           *Mr. Latta. No.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
1880
1881
           Matsui?
1882
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1883
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
1884
           Clarke?
1885
            *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
1886
           Veasey?
1887
1888
            *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
1889
            *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
```

```
1890
           Soto?
1891
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
1892
           *The Clerk. Soto votes aye.
1893
           Eshoo?
1894
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1895
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
1896
           Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1897
1898
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
1899
           Craig?
1900
           *Ms. Craig.
                       Yes.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
1901
1902
           Fletcher?
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
1903
1904
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
1905
           Dingell?
1906
            *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1907
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1908
           Kuster?
1909
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1910
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
```

```
1911
           Kelly?
1912
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1913
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1914
           Pallone?
1915
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1916
           Mr. Bilirakis is not recorded.
1917
1918
            *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes no.
            *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
1919
1920
            *Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.
1921
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
1922
      record their vote on the amendment?
1923
            Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll.
1924
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 12 ayes
1925
      and 16 noes.
            *Mr. Latta. Thank you, the amendment is not agreed to.
1926
1927
           Are there further amendments?
1928
            The ranking member, the gentlelady from California is
      recognized for five minutes. And why does the gentlelady
1929
      seek recognition?
1930
1931
            *Ms. Matsui. I have an amendment at the desk.
```

```
1932
           *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment.
           *Ms. Matsui. It is Amendment 01 or 01.
1933
           *The Clerk. To clarify, it is Matsui amendment 01?
1934
           *Ms. Matsui. Yes.
1935
1936
           *The Clerk. Thank you.
           An amendment to the amendment in the nature of a
1937
1938
      substitute to H.R. 3291 offered by Ms. Matsui. Page 84, line
1939
      18 --
            *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the
1940
1941
      amendment is dispensed with and the gentlelady is recognized
1942
      for five minutes to speak on her amendment.
1943
            [The amendment of Ms. Matsui follows:]
1944
      **********************************
1945
1946
```

1947 *Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1948 I am offering this amendment today to make a clarification that I think all members of the Subcommittee 1949 can agree upon in principle, which is that any unobligated 1950 1951 funding may, in the trusted communications networks reimbursement program, shall remain available to rip and 1952 1953 replace Chinese gear from their networks. 1954 While I understand that desire to repurpose certain unspent funds, I am worried that a blanket recission would 1955 1956 undermine FCC efforts that are essential to national 1957 security. 1958 This is not an abstract concern. According to the FCC 1959 itself, there are unobligated funds in the program that could 1960 be jeopardized by this bill. That shouldn't be the case and 1961 this amendment will help. 1962 I was the original co-sponsor of the Rip and Replace Bill that Congress passed and funded on a bipartisan basis. 1963 1964 We achieved success with that bill because members of both parties recognized that allowing Chinese network gear to 1965 handle our healthcare, financial, and personal data is simply 1966 1967 unacceptable.

This gear is disproportionately deployed in rural 1968 1969 networks. I for one do not think we should be putting rip 1970 and replace activities in those areas at risk. We made a 1971 good downpayment on Rip and Replace, but there is still more 1972 to do. 1973 All of us on the Subcommittee know that we are facing a 1974 \$3 billion funding shortfall. From the national security threat alone, but especially in light of that funding 1975 1976 shortfall, I think it is important to clarify that these 1977 funds are protected. 1978 This is about keeping funding at the FCC where it is 1979 needed most, addressing an immediate national security 1980 threat. 1981 So I hope members on this Subcommittee will support this 1982 amendment. I think it is in line with our bipartisan vision 1983 for Rip and Replace and encourage members to support this. 1984 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much. The gentlelady 1985 yields back and the Chair recognizes himself for five minutes 1986 1987 to speak in opposition to the amendment. 1988 The Democrats had ample time to review this legislation.

This Congress Republicans reached out to discuss this package 1989 1990 of bills in February. In April, the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing with discussion (inaudible) each piece of 1991 1992 legislation. 1993 Unfortunately, the Democrats rejected this outreach and declined to work with us on all the bills included in this 1994 1995 amendment, to include their edits. While we would have loved to have worked with you on this concern, we only received 1996 notice of this amendment just a few hours ago. 1997 1998 This amendment is also disclaimed because securing our 1999 networks is a bipartisan concern that all we share. 2000 Secure and Trusted Communications Network Act establishes a 2001 reimbursement program to remove Huawei, ZTE, and other 2002 equipment that poses a threat to our national security. 2003 This law was bipartisan, as was the Secure Equipment Act 2004 that expanded these prohibitions on untrusted gear to all 2005 parts of our communications networks. While we are working 2006 hand and glove to fund the shortfall in Rip and Replace funding, we must also ensure that the replacement of this 2007 equipment is done expeditiously. 2008 2009 We cannot delay removing this equipment and duplicating

```
2010
      environmental reviews for existing infrastructure that could
2011
     slow down removal of this national security threat
      (inaudible). This amendment could slow down removal on
2012
2013
      trusted Chinese equipment in our networks and I urge my
2014
      colleagues to oppose the gentlelady's amendment.
2015
           Are there any other members wishing to speak on the
      gentlelady's amendment?
2016
2017
           Hearing none, the gentlelady has requested a roll call
      vote. The clerk will take the roll.
2018
2019
           *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
2020
           Walberg?
2021
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
2022
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
2023
           Carter?
2024
           *Mr. Carter. No.
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
2025
2026
           Dunn?
           *Mr. Dunn. No.
2027
2028
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
           Curtis?
2029
2030
           *Mr. Curtis. No.
```

```
2031
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
2032
           Joyce?
2033
           *Mr. Joyce. No.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
2034
2035
           Weber?
2036
          *Mr. Weber. No.
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
2037
2038
           Allen?
2039
           *Mr. Allen. No.
2040
           *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
2041
           Balderson?
2042
          *Mr. Balderson. No.
2043
          *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
           Fulcher?
2044
2045
           *Mr. Fulcher. No.
2046
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
2047
           Pfluger?
2048
           *Mr. Pfluger.
                          No.
2049
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
           Harshbarger?
2050
2051
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
```

```
*The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
2052
2053
           Cammack?
2054
           *Mrs. Cammack. No.
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
2055
2056
           Obernolte?
2057
          *Mr. Obernolte. No.
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
2058
2059
           Rodgers?
2060
           *The Chair. No.
2061
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
2062
           Latta?
2063
           *Mr. Latta. No.
2064
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
2065
           Matsui?
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2066
2067
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2068
           Clarke?
2069
           *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
2070
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
2071
           Veasey?
2072
           Soto?
```

```
2073
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
2074
           *The Clerk. Soto votes aye.
2075
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2076
2077
          *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2078
           Cardenas?
2079
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2080
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2081
           Craig?
2082
           *Ms. Craig. Yes.
2083
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2084
           Fletcher?
2085
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
2086
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
2087
           Dingell?
2088
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2089
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
2090
           Kuster?
2091
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2092
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2093
           Kelly?
```

```
2094
            *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2095
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2096
           Pallone?
2097
           *Mr. Pallone.
                          Aye.
2098
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
           Mr. Bilirakis is not recorded.
2099
2100
           *Mr. Bilirakis. I vote no.
2101
            *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
2102
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
2103
      record their vote?
2104
            *Mr. Veasey. How is my vote recorded?
2105
            *Mr. Latta. How is the gentleman from Texas recorded?
2106
            *The Clerk. Mr. Veasey is not recorded.
2107
            *Mr. Veasey. Mark me down as aye.
            *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
2108
2109
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
2110
      record?
2111
            Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll.
2112
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 12 ayes
      and 16 noes.
2113
2114
            *Mr. Latta. Thank you very much, the amendment is not
```

```
2115
      agreed to.
           Are there other amendments to the bill?
2116
2117
            *Ms. Clarke. Mr. Chairman?
2118
            *Mr. Latta. For what reason is the gentlelady seeking
2119
      recognition?
2120
            *Ms. Clarke. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
2121
       desk. It is titled, "Amend TD4".
2122
            *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment.
            *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
2123
2124
      a substitute to H.R. 3291 offered by Ms. Clarke. Page 35
2125
      after line 3, insert the following --
2126
            *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the
2127
       amendment is dispensed with.
2128
            *Ms. Clarke. My colleagues on this Committee --
2129
            *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized --
            *Ms. Clarke. Oh, I'm sorry.
2130
            *Mr. Latta. -- for five minutes in order to speak on
2131
2132
      her amendment.
2133
            [The amendment of Ms. Clarke follows:]
2134
2135
       ********************************
2136
                                    107
```

2137 *Ms. Clarke. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2138 My colleagues, on this Committee we have long recognized 2139 that access to reliable high-speed internet is essential in 2140 our increasing digital society. 2141 My amendment seeks to balance the benefits that the Majority is attempting to hand out two providers with 2142 2143 obligations to ensure that no community or family is left 2144 behind when infrastructure is being built. 2145 In our legislative hearing on these bills, we have heard 2146 how efforts to streamline broadband deployment should be 2147 balanced with the need to ensure that low-income and minority 2148 communities, who are both subjected to both traditional and 2149 digital redlining in the past, do not continue to be left 2150 behind. 2151 The truth is that many of the communities that were 2152 impacted by redlining decades ago, who were discriminated 2153 against when seeking to apply for mortgage loans, continue to 2154 suffer with inferior infrastructure and services today, including lacking access to affordable and reliable 2155 2156 broadband. 2157 Unfortunately, our legislative hearing on these bills

| 2158 | have heard from experts that previous deregulatory efforts |
|------|---|
| 2159 | did nothing to mitigate the digital redlining we see today. |
| 2160 | In fact, deregulation may have made it worse. |
| 2161 | Instead, previous efforts to quote "streamline'' |
| 2162 | unquote, simply allow providers to perpetuate historic |
| 2163 | discrimination against certain communities, while |
| 2164 | facilitating buildout to wealthier communities where they |
| 2165 | could make more money. |
| 2166 | My amendment would ensure that this current round of |
| 2167 | streamlining does not become yet another giveaway to |
| 2168 | companies seeking to pad their bottom line by not serving low |
| 2169 | income and minority communities. |
| 2170 | Instead, my amendment would allow providers to take |
| 2171 | advantage of the streamline processes that the Majority is |
| 2172 | proposing, but only if the commit to serving every household |
| 2173 | in their jurisdiction that is granting their permit. |
| 2174 | This will help all communities as we have seen |
| 2175 | prioritize wealthy and easy to build to neighborhoods in |
| 2176 | urban, rural, and suburban communities. Without such an |
| 2177 | obligation, history is doomed to repeat itself and certain |
| 2178 | communities will remain disconnect. |

2179 Therefore, our goals of getting affordable, reliable 2180 broadband to every area of this country, goals that my 2181 colleagues across the aisle claim to share, will be 2182 perpetually frustrated. 2183 I look forward to continuing to work with my colleagues on this Committee to explore pathways to equitably streamline 2184 2185 permitting processes and bridge the digital divide and I urge 2186 my colleagues to support my amendment and I thank you, Mr. 2187 Chairman, and I yield back. 2188 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. 2189 Are there any members wishing to seek recognition on the 2190 amendment? 2191 The vice chair of the Subcommittee, the gentleman from 2192 Georgia seeks recognition. Why does this gentleman seek 2193 recognition? 2194 *Mr. Carter. I move to strike the last word. 2195 *Mr. Latta. The gentleman is recognized to strike the 2196 last word for five minutes. *Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, if the Democrats wanted to 2197 2198 have a conversation about serving underserved areas, we would 2199 have welcomed it.

2200 Unfortunately, this amendment is poorly drafted. The 2201 Biden Administration continues to move the goalpost on 2202 defining what unserved areas mean. And it is unclear whether 2203 this amendment could itself prohibit the deployment of 2204 broadband. 2205 Rather than double down in encouraging investment so 2206 that all Americans can be served, this amendment could have 2207 the opposite effect. This amendment could also lead to less competition in the marketplace if one provider is required to 2208 2209 serve all locations, even if another provider could serve 2210 some locations more efficiently. 2211 This is an unserious amendment and I urge my colleagues 2212 to vote not. And I yield back. 2213 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you. The gentleman yields 2214 back. 2215 Is there any further discussion on the gentlelady's 2216 amendment from New York? 2217 Hearing none, a roll call vote has been requested by the gentlelady from California, the ranking member. 2218 2219 The clerk will call the yays and nays. 2220 *The Clerk. Bilirakis?

```
2221
           *Mr. Bilirakis. No.
2222
                *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
2223
                Walberg?
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
2224
2225
          *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
2226
          Carter?
2227
          *Mr. Carter. No.
          *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
2228
2229
          Dunn?
2230
          *Mr. Dunn. No.
          *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
2231
2232
     Curtis?
2233
     *Mr. Curtis. No.
2234
          *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
2235
           Joyce?
2236
           *Mr. Joyce. No.
2237
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
           Weber?
2238
2239
          Allen?
2240
     Balderson?
2241
          *Mr. Balderson. No.
```

```
*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
2242
2243
           Fulcher?
2244
           *Mr. Fulcher. No.
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
2245
2246
           Pfluger?
2247
           *Mr. Pfluger. No.
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
2248
2249
           Harshbarger?
2250
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
2251
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
2252
           Cammack?
2253
          *Mrs. Cammack. No.
2254
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
           Obernolte?
2255
2256
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
2257
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
2258
           Rodgers?
2259
           *The Chair. No.
2260
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
2261
           Latta?
2262
           *Mr. Latta. No.
```

```
*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
2263
2264
           Matsui?
2265
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2266
2267
           Clarke?
2268
           *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
2269
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
2270
           Veasey?
2271
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
2272
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
2273
           Soto?
2274
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
2275
           *The Clerk. Soto votes aye.
2276
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2277
2278
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2279
           Cardenas?
2280
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2281
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2282
           Craig?
2283
           Fletcher?
```

```
2284
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
2285
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
2286
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2287
2288
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
2289
           Kuster?
2290
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2291
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2292
           Kelly?
2293
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2294
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2295
           Pallone?
2296
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2297
2298
           *Mr. Latta. Are there any members wishing to record
     their vote?
2299
           *The Clerk. Mr. Allen is not recorded.
2300
           *Mr. Allen. I vote no.
2301
2302
           *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
           *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
2303
2304 record their vote?
```

```
Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll. Oh, I'm
2305
2306
      sorry.
2307
           *Mr. Weber. How is (inaudible) no vote recorded?
2308
           *Mr. Latta. Is the gentleman from Texas recorded?
2309
           *The Clerk. Mr. Weber is not recorded.
2310
           *Mr. Weber. Weber votes no.
2311
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
2312
           *Mr. Latta. And --
           *Ms. Craig. Is Craig recorded?
2313
2314
           *The Clerk. Ms. Craig is not recorded.
2315
           *Ms. Craig. Craig votes yes.
2316
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2317
            *Mr. Latta. Last call. Are there any other members
2318
      wishing to record their vote?
2319
           Hearing none, the clerk will report the result.
2320
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 12 ayes
2321
      and 16 noes.
2322
            *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The amendment is not agreed to.
      Are there further amendments?
2323
2324
           Why does the gentlelady from Illinois seek recognition?
2325
            *Ms. Kelly. I have an amendment at the desk.
```

| 2326 | *Mr. Latta. Well, the gentlelady has an amendment at |
|------|--|
| 2327 | the desk. |
| 2328 | *Ms. Kelly. It is labeled, Prohibitive Transfer 01. |
| 2329 | *Mr. Latta. The clerk will report the amendment. |
| 2330 | *The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of |
| 2331 | a substitute to H.R. 3291 offered by Ms. Kelly. Page 75, |
| 2332 | after line 2, insert the following |
| 2333 | *Mr. Latta. Without objection, the reading of the |
| 2334 | amendment is dispensed with and the gentlelady from Illinois |
| 2335 | is recognized for five minutes to speak on her amendment. |
| 2336 | |
| 2337 | [The amendment of Ms. Kelly follows:] |
| 2338 | |
| 2339 | ************************************** |
| 2340 | |

2341 *Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My amendment 2342 seeks to make some clarification provisions of Title 2 of the 2343 AINS, which addresses the cable franchising process. Colleagues, regardless of how much involvement you 2344 2345 believe states and localities should have in the sale or transfer or cable franchise, I think we can all agree we 2346 2347 would not want these franchises sold to persons that are affiliated with illicit organizations or entities that are 2348 2349 deemed a national security threat. 2350 In fact, these people should be prohibited from operating anything in this country, including our cable 2351 2352 franchises. Allowing individuals, such as these, to own 2353 cable franchises would not only have harmful consequences on 2354 those families that rely on these franchises to deliver their cable and broadband services, but would also violate general 2355 2356 American norms and values. 2357 My amendment, therefore, seeks to address this 2358 unfortunate oversight by simply amending Section 201 of the AINS to make it clear that cable franchises cannot be 2359 2360 transferred to any persons affiliated with organized crime, a 2361 terrorist organization, or any entity on the Federal

Communication Commissions covered equipment and services 2362 2363 list. 2364 I would hope that my colleagues on both sides of the 2365 aisle can support this straight-forward and common-sense 2366 amendment. Protecting our cable franchises from illegal and dangerous entities who seek to upend our country's security 2367 2368 and safety is an issue that should ring true for all of us. For all these reasons, this is my important amendment 2369 2370 and I urge my colleagues to support it. And with that, I 2371 yield back. 2372 *Mr. Latta. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. 2373 Are there any other members seeking recognition to speak 2374 on the amendment? 2375 The gentlelady from Florida is recognized for five 2376 minutes to speak on the amendment. 2377 *Mrs. Cammack. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I move to 2378 strike the last word. 2379 *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady is recognized to strike the last word. 2380

here can agree that we want to be extraordinarily cautious,

2381

2382

*Mrs. Cammack. Thank you. You know, I think everyone

and cognizant, and thoughtful and while this amendment 2383 2384 certainly appears that way, there is some vagueness that 2385 could ultimately undermine its purpose. 2386 Who is to say what is an organized crime or terrorist 2387 organization? What is that definition? This amendment does 2388 not say. And certainly, if there is one thing that we have 2389 learned here it is that we have to be explicit in how we define certain organizations. 2390 2391 So certainly, I think we would have loved to have worked 2392 with you on addressing this concern on this bill. There has 2393 been ample time to review this text. In fact, in April, this 2394 Subcommittee, we held a legislative hearing with discussion 2395 drafts of each piece of legislation and not a single Democrat raised this concern. 2396 2397 So since this has been pending since February there has 2398 been ample time to have these discussions and unfortunately, 2399 it just seems like this outreach was rejected and ultimately 2400 our colleagues on the other side of the aisle declined to work with us on several of these bills, to include their 2401 2402 edits. 2403 But that aside, I think until we can actually clarify

```
this amendment and work to get those definitions included, we
2404
2405
      can move forward as is, but happy to work with you on how to
      address this concern once we have had time to review the
2406
2407
      language and work through it.
2408
            And I unfortunately, I urge my colleagues to oppose this
      amendment. And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
2409
2410
            *Mr. Latta. The gentlelady yields back.
           Are there any other members seeking to speak on the
2411
2412
      gentlelady from Illinois amendment?
2413
            Hearing none, a roll call has been requested by the
2414
      gentlelady from California, the ranking member.
2415
            So the clerk will call the yays and nays.
            *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
2416
                 *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes no.
2417
                 *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
2418
2419
                 Walberg?
2420
            *Mr. Walberg. No.
            *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
2421
2422
           Carter?
           *Mr. Carter. No.
2423
2424
           *The Clerk. Carter votes no.
```

```
2425
           Dunn?
2426
          *Mr. Dunn. No.
          *The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
2427
          Curtis?
2428
2429
     *Mr. Curtis. No.
2430
          *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
2431
           Joyce?
2432
           *Mr. Joyce.
                      No.
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
2433
2434
           Weber?
2435
           Allen.
2436
      *Mr. Allen. No.
          *The Clerk. Allen votes no.
2437
          Balderson?
2438
          *Mr. Balderson. No.
2439
          *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
2440
2441
          Fulcher?
2442
          *Mr. Fulcher. No.
          *The Clerk. Fulcher votes no.
2443
           Pfluger?
2444
2445
           *Mr. Pfluger. No.
```

```
2446
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
2447
           Harshbarger?
2448
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. No.
2449
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes no.
2450
           Cammack?
2451
          *Mrs. Cammack. No.
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes no.
2452
           Obernolte?
2453
2454
           *Mr. Obernolte. No.
2455
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
2456
           Rodgers?
           *The Chair. No.
2457
2458
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
2459
           Latta?
2460
           *Mr. Latta. No.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
2461
2462
           Matsui?
2463
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2464
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
           Clarke?
2465
           *Ms. Clarke. Aye.
2466
```

```
*The Clerk. Clarke votes aye.
2467
2468
           Veasey?
2469
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
2470
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
2471
           Soto?
2472
                Eshoo?
2473
                 Cardenas?
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2474
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2475
2476
           Craig?
2477
           *Ms. Craig. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2478
           Fletcher?
2479
2480
           Dingell?
           *Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2481
2482
           *The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
2483
           Kuster?
2484
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2485
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2486
           Kelly?
2487
           *Ms. Kelly. Aye.
```

```
*The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2488
2489
           Pallone?
2490
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2491
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2492
           *Mr. Latta. How is the gentleman from Florida recorded?
2493
           *Mr. Soto. Aye.
2494
           *The Clerk. Mr. Soto votes aye.
2495
            *Mr. Latta. Are there other members -- the gentlelady
     from California?
2496
2497
           *The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo is not recorded.
2498
           *Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2499
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2500
            *Mr. Latta. Are there other members wishing to be
2501
      recorded?
           *Mrs. Fletcher. How is Fletcher recorded?
2502
            *The Clerk. Mrs. Fletcher is not recorded.
2503
2504
            *Mrs. Fletcher. Fletcher votes aye.
            *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
2505
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
2506
     record their vote?
2507
2508
           How is the gentleman from Texas recorded?
```

2509 *The Clerk. Mr. Weber is not recorded. 2510 *Mr. Weber. Put me down as a no. 2511 *The Clerk. Weber votes no. 2512 *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members? 2513 Hearing none, the clerk will take a roll. 2514 *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 12 ayes 2515 and 16 noes. 2516 *Mr. Latta. The amendment is not agreed to. Are there further amendments? 2.517 2518 Are there any further amendments? 2519 Hearing none, at this time then is there any further 2520 discussion on the AINS? 2521 Hearing no further discussion on the AINS, all those --2522 I assume you want a recorded vote there? 2523 *Ms. Matsui. Roll call. 2524 *Mr. Latta. The Subcommittee ranking member requests a 2525 roll call vote. 2526 This is on the AINS. And so the clerk will report the 2527 yays and the nays. *The Clerk. Bilirakis? 2528 2529 *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes yes.

```
2530
                *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2531
                Walberg?
2532
           *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
2533
2534
           Carter?
2535
          *Mr. Carter. Aye.
2536
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2537
           Dunn?
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2538
2539
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2540
           Curtis?
2541
           *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
2542
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
2543
           Joyce?
2544
           *Mr. Joyce. Yes.
2545
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2546
           Weber?
2547
           *Mr. Weber. Aye.
2548
           *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
           Allen?
2549
2550
           *Mr. Allen. Aye.
```

```
*The Clerk. Allen votes aye.
2551
2552
           Balderson?
           *Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2553
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2554
2555
           Fulcher?
2556
           *Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes aye.
2557
2558
           Pfluger?
2559
            *Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2560
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
2561
           Harshbarger?
2562
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
2563
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
2564
           Cammack?
2565
           *Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
2566
           *The Clerk. Cammack votes aye.
2567
           Obernolte?
2568
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2569
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2570
           Rodgers?
2571
           *The Chair. Aye.
```

```
2572
            *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
2573
           Latta?
2574
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2575
2576
           Matsui?
2577
           *Ms. Matsui. No.
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
2578
2579
           Clarke?
2580
           *Ms. Clarke. No.
2581
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes no.
2582
           Veasey?
2583
           *Mr. Veasey. No.
2584
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
2585
           Soto?
2586
           Eshoo?
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
2587
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
2588
2589
           Cardenas?
2590
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
2591
2592
           Craig?
```

```
2593
            *Ms. Craig.
                       No.
2594
            *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
2595
           Fletcher?
           *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
2596
2597
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
2598
           Dingell?
2599
           *Mrs. Dingell. No.
2600
            *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
2601
           Kuster?
2602
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
2603
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
2604
           Kelly?
2605
           *Ms. Kelly. No.
2606
           *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
           Pallone?
2607
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
2608
2609
            *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
2610
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members -- how is the
2611
      gentleman from Florida recorded?
2612
            *Mr. Soto. It was nay.
2613
            *The Clerk. Soto votes nay.
```

```
2614
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any other members wishing to
2615
      record their vote?
2616
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 16 ayes
2617
      and 12 noes.
2618
            *Mr. Latta. The AINS amendment is agreed to and at this
2619
      time the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3291, as
2620
      amended, to the full Committee.
2621
           All those in favor will signify by saying aye.
2.62.2
           Those opposed, no.
2623
           A roll call vote has been requested. The clerk will
2624
      take the roll.
2625
            *The Clerk. Bilirakis?
2626
            *Mr. Bilirakis. Bilirakis votes yes.
2627
                 *The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2628
                 Walberg?
2629
            *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
2630
2631
           Carter?
2632
           *Mr. Carter. Aye.
2633
           *The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2634
           Dunn?
```

```
2635
           *Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2636
           *The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2637
           Curtis?
           *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
2638
2639
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
2640
           Joyce?
2641
           *Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2642
           *The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2643
           Weber?
2644
           *Mr. Weber. Aye.
2645
           *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
2646
           Allen?
2647
           *Mr. Allen. Aye.
2648
           *The Clerk. Allen votes aye.
           Balderson?
2649
           *Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2650
2651
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2652
           Fulcher?
2653
           *Mr. Fulcher. Aye.
2654
           *The Clerk. Fulcher votes aye.
2655
           Pfluger?
```

```
2656
            *Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2657
            *The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
2658
           Harshbarger?
2659
           *Mrs. Harshbarger. Aye.
2660
           *The Clerk. Harshbarger votes aye.
2661
           Cammack?
2662
           *Mrs. Cammack. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Cammack votes aye.
2663
2664
           Obernolte?
2665
           *Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2666
           *The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2667
           Rodgers?
2668
           *The Chair. Aye.
2669
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
2670
           Latta?
2671
            *Mr. Latta. Aye.
2672
            *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2673
           Matsui?
2674
           *Ms. Matsui. No.
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
2675
2676
           Clarke?
```

```
2677
           *Ms. Clarke. No.
           *The Clerk. Clarke votes no.
2678
2679
           Veasey?
2680
           *Mr. Veasey. No.
2681
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
2682
           Soto?
2683
           *Mr. Soto.
                       Nay.
2684
            *The Clerk. Soto votes no.
2685
                 Eshoo?
2686
           *Ms. Eshoo. No.
2687
           *The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
2688
           Cardenas?
2689
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
2690
2691
           Craig?
2692
            *Ms. Craig. No.
2693
            *The Clerk. Craig votes no.
2694
           Fletcher?
2695
           *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
2696
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
2697
           Dingell?
```

```
2698
            *Mrs. Dingell. No.
2699
            *The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
2700
           Kuster?
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
2701
2702
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
2703
           Kelly?
2704
           *Ms. Kelly.
                       No.
2705
            *The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
2706
           Pallone?
2707
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
2708
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
2709
            *Mr. Latta. Are there any members wishing to record
      their votes?
2710
2711
           Hearing none, the clerk will take the roll.
2712
            *The Clerk. Chair Latta, on that vote we have 16 ayes
      and 12 noes.
2713
2714
            *Mr. Latta. The ayes have it. The bill is agreed to,
2715
      as amended.
2716
            The Chair just wants to thank everybody for their
      attendance today and the Subcommittee is adjourned.
2717
2718
            [Whereupon, at 4:17 p.m., the Subcommittee was
```

2719 adjourned.]