



CENTER FOR
TECHNOLOGY
& SOCIETY

Hate Is No Game

Hate and Harassment in Online Games 2022

The state of hate, harassment, and
extremism in online multiplayer games.

A report from the ADL
Center for Technology & Society

DECEMBER 2022

Our Mission:

“ To stop the defamation of the Jewish people and to secure justice and fair treatment to all.

About

Center for Technology & Society

ADL Center for Technology & Society is a research-driven advocacy center that works to end the proliferation of online hate and harassment. Our team partners with industry, civil society, government, and targeted communities to expose these harms, hold tech companies accountable, and fight for just, equitable online spaces.

CTS plays a unique role in civil society by recommending policy and product interventions to elected officials and technology companies to mitigate online hate and harassment; driving advocacy efforts to hold platforms accountable and to educate their staff on current threats and challenges; producing data-driven applied research by analysts and a network of fellows, shedding new light on the nature of antisemitism, as well as the impact of broader hate and harassment on vulnerable communities; and empowering targets of harassment by responding to online incidents. We work with platforms to create online spaces that are safe for all.

ADL

ADL is the leading anti-hate organization in the world. Founded in 1913, its timeless mission is “to stop the defamation of the Jewish people and to secure justice and fair treatment to all.” Today, ADL continues to fight all forms of antisemitism and bias, using innovation and partnerships to drive impact. A global leader in combating antisemitism, countering extremism and battling bigotry wherever and whenever it happens, ADL works to protect democracy and ensure a just and inclusive society for all.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	04
Introduction	07
Results / Young People (10-17)	11
Hate and Harassment in Online Games	11
Exposure to Extremist White Supremacy	13
Ally Behaviors	14
The Impact of Hate and Harassment	15
Harassment by Game	16
Results / Adults (18-45)	17
Hate and Harassment in Online Games	17
Identity or Hate-Based Harassment	20
Extremism, Conspiracy Theories, and Disinformation	21
Harassment by Game	24
The Impact of Hate and Harassment on Players	25
Ally Behaviors	26
Responsibility for Addressing Hate and Harassment in Online Games	27
Recommendations	29
Appendix: Survey Methodology	32
Appendix: Additional Results	34

Executive Summary

In 2021, ADL [found](#) that nearly one in 10 gamers ages 13 to 17 had been exposed to white-supremacist ideologies and themes in online multiplayer games. An estimated 2.3 million teens were exposed to white-supremacist ideologies in multiplayer games like *Roblox*, *World of Warcraft*, *Fortnite*, *Apex Legends*, *League of Legends*, *Madden*, *Overwatch*, and *Call of Duty*.

Hate and extremism in online games has only worsened since last year.

ADL's annual report on experiences in online multiplayer games shows that the spread of hate, harassment, and extremism in these digital spaces continues to grow unchecked. Our survey explores the social interactions, experiences¹, attitudes, and behaviors of online multiplayer gamers nationwide.

For the fourth consecutive year, the already-high rates of harassment experienced by a nationally representative sample of nearly 100 million American adult gamers increased. According to the Entertainment Software Association, 76% of gamers in the United States are over 18.²

1. When we say players "experienced" hate, harassment, or positive social interactions, the time period at issue is within the past six months directly preceding the date on which they took our survey. Surveys were conducted from June 21 to July 7 2022. Nor does our use of the past tense—"experienced"—mean these players are no longer targets. In fact, our findings show the opposite is likely true.

2. Entertainment Software Association, "[2022 Essential Facts About the Video Game Industry](#)"

HATE IS NO GAME

Hate and Harassment in Online Games 2022

A majority of gamers have experienced harassment in online multiplayer games




More than **four out of five adults** (86%), ages 18–45, experienced harassment in online multiplayer games, representing **over 67 million adult gamers**.

And more than **three out of five young people** (66% of teens and 70% of pre-teens) experienced harassment, representing **over 17 million young gamers**.




Exposure to white-supremacist ideology on the rise among gamers




Adults' exposure to **white-supremacist ideologies** in online games more than doubled in 2022.

15%



of **young people**, ages 10–17, reported exposure to **white-supremacist ideologies** in 2022.


Harassment is increasing among those in the gaming community




77% of **adult online multiplayer gamers** experienced severe harassment, an alarming escalation from previous years.

The largest increases in **identity-based harassment** occurred against adults who identified as


Jewish Gamers



Latino Gamers



Muslim Gamers



Harassment experienced by teens ages 13-17 increased from last year. For the first time, ADL has collected data on harassment experienced by pre-teens ages 10-12.

The games industry's progress is slow even when compared to that of social media companies, which are hardly exemplars of user safety or accountability. Only one major games company, Roblox Corporation, has an [explicit, public-facing policy against extremism](#). Earlier this year, Wildlife Studios, a mobile-games company headquartered in Brazil, produced [the first gaming transparency report](#) that shares data on how a company acted against hate and harassment in its online games, followed by [Xbox in November 2022](#). Transparency reports and policies banning the expression of extremist ideologies are the bare minimum required to fight hate in online games.

The immense popularity of online games means that it is likely that you, or someone close to you, has experienced hate and harassment. More than two out of three Americans—over 215 million people across all ages—play video games, including both online and offline games.³ The video games industry is a \$203 billion market, with the North American video game market generating over \$54 billion in 2022.⁴

In focusing on online multiplayer games, this report offers concrete guidance for the government, civil society and industry to take meaningful steps in making those games safer for all users, regardless of age or identity.

3. Entertainment Software Association, "[2022 Essential Facts About the Video Game Industry](#)"

4. Newzoo, "[Games Market Revenues Will Pass \\$200 Billion for the First Time in 2022 as the U.S. Overtakes China](#)"

Introduction

“

I'm just saying, I probably wouldn't be as nationalistic if it weren't for Blood and Iron on roblox.

Excerpt from archived version of the Buffalo shooter's Discord logs referenced by [NBC News](#) journalist Benjamin Goggin.

On May 14, 2022, a white-supremacist extremist committed a mass murder at a supermarket in Buffalo, killing 10 Black shoppers and injuring three others. In the logs of his messages on the social platform Discord, the shooter wrote that a game on *Roblox* was a key vector in his radicalization.

Our 2022 survey finds that adult exposure to white supremacy in online games has more than doubled to 20% of gamers from 8% in 2021. Among young people ages 10-17, 15% of gamers have been exposed to white-supremacist ideologies and themes in online games. Our results and [other research](#) suggest the inability of the games industry to build safe, respectful spaces for their users has made communities within online game platforms so rife with hate that they rival the worst places on the internet, such as the notorious forum [4chan](#).


Although the connection between video games as media and violence has been [repeatedly disproven](#), there is a [growing body of research](#) examining the connection between the industry's negligence in moderating hate within online games and the normalization of extremist ideologies. In October 2021, the [Extremism and Gaming Research Network](#) was launched to bring together various efforts studying radicalization and online games as a result of increased interest in this arena.

Unfortunately, there is plenty of grist for this research.


The government of New Zealand released a report on the anti-Muslim attack in Christchurch that clearly showed how the [shooter's path to radicalization started in online multiplayer games](#), where he was able to “openly express racist and far right views” without pushback from the community or the platform. Researchers used [anonymized German police case files](#) to investigate the influence of online gaming spaces such as Roblox and gaming-adjacent social platforms like Discord in radicalizing two children under 14. One of the children was drawn to World War II recreations on *Roblox*, where he befriended someone who eventually invited him to join a far-right Discord server with users who wanted to “liberate the country of all Jews and fags.” The study echoed [Wired's reporting on extremist activity in Roblox](#), which found the platform was a fertile environment for fascism, hosting recreations of mass murders and games with slavery.

The games industry cannot claim ignorance of disturbing findings related to extremism and online gaming. At the Game Developers Conference (GDC), a major industry event, researchers Dr. Rachel Kowert and Alex Newhouse discussed worrying signs of extremist normalization in the popular game *Call of Duty (COD)*, including the appearance of “[RAHOWA](#),” an acronym for “Racial Holy War” that is used as a rallying cry for white supremacists via usernames on COD's leaderboards. The researchers also talked about interconnected, openly extremist networks of users on *Roblox* and Steam, an online games store and forum. They showed the presence of far-right individuals on gaming platforms—members of the white-supremacist group [Patriot Front](#) on *Roblox* and a former leader of the neo-Nazi group [Feuerkrieg Division](#) on Steam.

At GDC, Dr. Kowert shared troubling results from her [research finding that many people who primarily identified themselves as gamers also strongly agreed with the beliefs of white nationalist movements](#). ADL Belfer Fellow Dr. Constance Steinkuehler presented similar results at the Games for Change Festival, another large industry conference. The UN also recently [released a report](#) exploring similar connections between extremism, gamers, and online multiplayer games.




Hardened mobilization networks: Steam



- Ex-leader of Feuerkrieg Division, a UK-, Canada-, and Australia-designated terrorist group
- Currently engaged in propaganda creation for neo-Nazi groups on Telegram

Hardened mobilization networks: Roblox



Such research is becoming an issue of national security. [The Department of Homeland Security awarded a \\$700,000 grant to Dr. Kowert and Newhouse in September](#) to expand their research into the connection between extremism and online games.

Despite mounting concern, only one major games company, Roblox, prohibits extremism on its platform. Its policy was published only after Roblox faced significant public and private pressure to moderate hateful content and activity discovered on its platform.

In addition to extremism, another form of hate has flourished for years in online games: misogyny. For the fourth year in a row, our survey shows that gender was the most frequently cited reason for identity-based abuse. At Games for Change, Dr. Steinkuehler noted, “In broader national movements, it is typically antisemitism that lies at the root of white supremacy movements; in games, it is misogyny.”

The misogynistic culture of online games is not accidental; one can argue it begins with company culture.

Carlos Rodriguez, the CEO of gaming company G2 Esports, was seen [partying alongside noted misogynist Andrew Tate](#) while celebrating the company’s world-championship event. Tate was deplatformed by all mainstream social media companies for [repeatedly promoting violence against women and perpetuating rape culture](#), though he was [allowed back on Twitter in November 2022](#) following Elon Musk’s takeover of the company. Outrage over the event spread online, and Rodriguez initially [refused to disavow his association with Tate](#). After public pressure, Rodriguez [apologized](#), was [suspended from running his company for eight weeks](#), and subsequently [stepped down](#).

Such an incident points to the glaring hypocrisy of the games industry where public statements serve more as performative gestures. Misogyny in online games continues unabated although [games companies spoke out against the Supreme Court’s reversal of Roe v. Wade](#) and expressed support for their employees’ right to bodily autonomy. For this year’s survey, we tracked the timeline of public statements by the games industry relative to the gutting of Roe.

Roblox’s Extremism Policy

Terrorism and violent extremism content


Roblox prohibits content or behavior that supports, glorifies, or promotes any terrorist or extremist organization and their actions, including:

- Depictions of or support for terrorist or extremist attacks or terrorist actors
- Depictions of or support for the leaders of terrorist organizations
- Depictions of the slogans, images, flags, or icons of terrorist organizations
- Recruiting membership for a terrorist or violent organization, or encouraging others to leave Roblox to find such information
- Fundraising for terrorist or extremist organizations, people, or groups

Source: <https://en.help.roblox.com/hc/en-us/articles/203313410-Roblox-Community-Standards>

The Games Industry's Response to Dobbs

Statements from prominent gaming companies



Additionally, when asking about various controversial topics related to extremism and disinformation, this year's survey asked about the degree to which players experience discussions of anti-abortion extremism and language connected to the "manosphere."⁵ Nearly one in ten adults (7%) were exposed to discussions of these topics that included expressions such as "femoids will pay" or "abortions for black women will help the white birth rate."

The results of this year's survey are more dire than ever. The growing investment of civil society, research, and government in examining the relationship between extremism and online games starkly contrasts the industry's refusal to address extremism and misogyny. Extremist activity has grown sharply over the past handful of years. Democratic institutions are in peril. Thus, all societal actors must act swiftly to stem the tide of hate that afflicts our country.

5. See appendix for survey methodology.

Results


Young People (10-17)

Hate and Harassment in Online Games

Twenty-five million out of 28 million gamers ages 10-17 in the U.S. play online multiplayer games. Our second year of data on young people 13-17 showed a 6% increase in harassment of young people from 60% in 2021 to 66% in 2022. Our first year of data on pre-teens 10-12 shows that nearly three out of four (70%) of pre-teen young people experience harassment in online games. Taken together, 67% of young people 10-17 experience harassment in online multiplayer games.

Overall Harassment Against Young People

Share of young people who reported experiencing harassment, by year and age group




As a result of being targeted by hate in online games, 30% of young players said they always hide their identity when playing online (an increase from 25% last year) while 42% said they sometimes hide their identity, consistent with last year's results.

Identity-Based Harassment of Young People

Share of young people, ages 13–17, who reported being harassed based on their identity, by year and age group



Share of young people, ages 13–17, who reported hiding their identity in online games, by year




Exposure to White-Supremacist Extremism

We asked young gamers about their exposure to extremist white-supremacist ideologies in various contexts, including online multiplayer games. Specifically, we asked if they were exposed to people who “believe that white people are superior to people of other races and that white people should be in charge” after explaining to respondents the hateful, racist, and antisemitic nature of this ideology.

We found that 15% or nearly two in ten young gamers 10-17 were exposed to white-supremacist ideologies in the context of online multiplayer games. The other results presented here pertain to young people 10-17 who play online games but encountered white-supremacist ideologies in other contexts.

Young People’s Exposure to White Supremacy

Share of young people, ages 13–17, who reported experiencing white-supremacy behaviors on the following platforms



Ally Behaviors

This year we asked young people what actions they or others took when they experienced hate and harassment in online multiplayer games. The most common actions were that people either stood up for themselves or ignored a comment, while among the least common actions were other people standing up for the target or reaching out to them after being harassed.

Demonstrations of Allyship Among Young People

Share of young people, ages 10–17, who reported the following behaviors after experiencing harassment

Response ■ Every Time ■ Often ■ Sometimes ■ Rarely ■ Never

I let it pass me by in silence / I ignore them (82% responded more than rarely).



I stand up for myself (83%).




I talk to someone I trust about what I should do (75%).




Someone reaches out to me (66%).



I defend myself by saying something mean or disruptive back (61%).



Someone else stands up for me (67%).



0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%


The Impact of Hate and Harassment

The harassment that young people experience in online multiplayer games affects their online and offline lives. Continuing the trend from last year, over a quarter of young people who experienced harassment in online multiplayer games quit specific games.

In-game harassment has offline consequences for young people. One in ten young gamers in the U.S. reported that they treated people worse than usual due to harassment in online gaming, while nearly that many (8%) reported that their school performance declined.

In-Game and Offline Impacts on Young People

Share of young people, ages 13–17, reporting the following impacts after experiencing harassment, 2021 vs 2022



Harassment by Game

At least 46% of young gamers experienced harassment in every game we included in this survey.

Harassment of Young People, by Game

Share of young people who reported experiencing harassment, by game, year and age group

2021 (13–17)		2022 (13–17)		2022 (10–17)	
Valorant	89%	Valorant	85%	Valorant	80%
Call of Duty	85%	Dota 2	72%	Dota 2	71%
Dota 2	84%	PUBG: Battlegrounds	70%	Fortnite	66%
Fortnite	81%	World of Warcraft	68%	Destiny 2	65%
PUBG: Battlegrounds	80%	Final Fantasy XIV Online	68%	PUBG: Battlegrounds	65%
Counter Strike: Global Offensive	80%	Destiny 2	67%	World of Warcraft	64%
Roblox	79%	Fortnite	65%	Call of Duty	63%
Grand Theft Auto (GTA)	78%	Call of Duty	65%	Final Fantasy XIV Online	61%
Apex Legends	77%	Grand Theft Auto (GTA)	63%	Grand Theft Auto (GTA)	60%
Among Us	76%	Apex Legends	62%	Roblox	60%
World of Warcraft	75%	Clash Royale	62%	Apex Legends	60%
Overwatch	75%	Roblox	61%	Counter Strike: Global Offensive	58%
Madden NFL	68%	Counter Strike: Global Offensive	61%	League of Legends	56%
Minecraft	67%	League of Legends	61%	Clash Royale	56%
Clash Royale	66%	Overwatch	60%	Tom Clancy's Rainbow Six: Siege	56%
League of Legends	63%	Rocket League	59%	Rocket League	55%
Rocket League	62%	Tom Clancy's Rainbow Six: Siege	57%	Overwatch	55%
		Among Us	55%	Among Us	53%
		Madden NFL	50%	Madden NFL	49%
		Minecraft	47%	Minecraft	46%

Adults (18-45)


Hate and Harassment in Online Games

For the fourth consecutive year, harassment in online games has not decreased. More than five out of six adult gamers experience harassment in online multiplayer games—nearly 90 million American adults.⁶

Among adult online multiplayer gamers, severe harassment increased from 71% to 77%, with almost 80 million American adults reporting experiences including physical threats, stalking, and sustained harassment.⁷

Overall Harassment of Adults

Share of adults who reported experiencing the following types of harassment




6. Population estimates calculated by Newzoo.

7. Calculated by Newzoo.

For the 2022 study, we continued the methodology of the last two years to collect more granular and accurate data regarding swatting and doxing. As in the past, we used a broader definition for doxing in our survey than the legal definition of unlawful doxing, because at present there is no consistent legal standard. In our questions, we provided the following broad definitions for doxing and swatting:

- Doxing is making personally-identifying information public
- Swatting is when a stranger makes a false report to emergency services to target someone

We then asked respondents who experienced either to describe what happened. In our total figures for swatting and doxing, we included the responses of some players who reported something similar to either behavior or who preferred not to elaborate. We removed descriptions unrelated to swatting or doxing from our final numbers. Based on this methodology, 17% of respondents were doxed and 12% were swatted. While the number of gamers that experience swatting remains constant within the margin of error, the number of adult gamers that experience doxing increased by 6%.



● DOXING

● SWATTING



“ I had my address and personal phone number leaked to a group of players in the game.

20-year-old non-binary Jewish white bisexual gamer

“ I had a person take a picture off my post and use it in a game that I was playing. It was sexually humiliating.

43-year-old female white disabled heterosexual gamer

“ Fearful. Having weapons drawn on me when the police believe I have a hostage situation is very scary.

24-year-old male Asian-American Buddhist heterosexual gamer

“ It was regular, honestly, playing games and this one dude had told me straight up he was gonna make the call and I didn't believe him until people were at my door.

22-year-old male Latino Muslim disabled heterosexual gamer




Identity or Hate-Based Harassment

Hate-based harassment is defined as targeting players for disruptive behaviors based at least partly on their actual or perceived identity, including, but not limited to, age, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, race/ethnicity, religion, or membership in another protected class.

While identities across the board faced a similar or somewhat increased level of hate in 2022, **the group who experienced the largest year-on-year increase in harassment was Jewish online multiplayer gamers**, with a 12% increase to 34%. In the previous three years of this survey, hate targeting Jewish gamers decreased or remained static, making these results even more troubling.

Identity-Based Harassment of Adults

Share of adults who reported experiencing identity-based harassment



“ People were saying the holocaust didn’t happen. It was pretty upsetting seeing as my ancestors went through the unfortunate event.

21-year-old Jewish white female bisexual gamer



I stupidly said I was Jewish and they started the myth jokes.

42-year-old Jewish white male
heterosexual gamer


Extremism, Conspiracy Theories, and Disinformation

Our results found that exposure to white-supremacist ideologies in online games has more than doubled in the last year, from 8% of adults in 2021 to 20% of adults in 2022.

It is important to note that within the context of this survey, we are not referring to “white supremacy” as the historically based, institutionally perpetuated system of white dominance and privilege in the U.S. that enables and maintains systemic racism throughout all segments of society. Rather, ADL here uses the term “white supremacy” to specifically refer to the collection of extremist ideologies and groups that undergirds the beliefs that white people should dominate in all ways and exercise power over other identities, that there should be a “whites-only” nation, and the express view that “white culture” is superior to other cultures and must be supported, including specifically at the expense of other cultures.⁸ Here, we specify white-supremacist ideologies as a symptom and outgrowth of systematic white supremacy.

Controversial Topics Among Adults

Share of adults who reported the following topics, by year



8. <https://www.adl.org/resources/glossary-terms/white-supremacy>

Similar to the past two years of the survey, some descriptions of what players experienced in online games are what ADL would call explicit white-supremacist ideologies, while others are hateful experiences that do not refer to the explicit beliefs of white supremacists.

Explicit white supremacy:

“ I was playing with some random people online and they initiated a conversation about how other races are supposed to be slave’s to the supreme white race.

20-year-old male white protestant heterosexual disabled gamer, playing Call of Duty

Hateful experience:

“ They where calling people n*** and chanting trump 2024.**

29-year-old male Black or African American gay Protestant gamer, playing *Grand Theft Auto*

Hate may be motivated by an offending player’s belief in white supremacy or aspects of white-supremacist ideologies, which are antisemitic, anti-Muslim, racist, sexist, and homophobic at their core. But without more information about the motivation behind hateful remarks, it is difficult to be sure.


Additionally, our survey asked players about their exposure to disinformation or hate targeting the Asian American community and its alleged connection to COVID-19 as well as disinformation related to the 2020 election. We also asked about anti-abortion and extremist misogynist ideologies. Finally, we asked about the prevalence of players’ exposure to conversations on Holocaust denial.

Given the growing concern over the problem of white-supremacist extremism in online gaming, we decided to further expand the questions in our survey around this topic. For the first time, we also asked players to state the specific game in which they had experiences with white-supremacist ideologies. While this method cannot ascertain the scope of the problem with extremism in any of the games we list here, we believe it sufficient to state that experiences of extremist white-supremacist ideologies are not specific to any one genre or game title, much as this survey has found regarding hate and harassment in the past.

The games where players most often encounter extremist white-supremacist ideologies are *Call of Duty*, *Grand Theft Auto*, *Valorant*, *PlayerUnknown’s Battlegrounds*, and *Fortnite*.

White-Supremacist Extremist Experiences, by Game

Share of people who reported experiencing white-supremacist extremism in the following games, by age group




Harassment by Game

Our study looked at players' experiences of harassment in several popular and prominent online multiplayer games that ADL and Newzoo chose to analyze. The increase in harassment among these titles this year is particularly concerning. In 2021, our survey found that the game where players most often experienced harassment was *Valorant* with 79% of players reporting an experience of harassment in that game. For our 2022 survey, more than 80% of players reported experience harassment in six of the titles we asked about: *Counter-Strike Global Offensive* (86%), *Valorant* (84%), *PUBG: Battlegrounds* (83%), *Tom Clancy's Rainbow Six: Siege* (81%), *League of Legends* (81%), and *Call of Duty* (80%).

Harassment of Adults, by Game

Share of adults who reported experiencing harassment, by game




The Impact of Hate and Harassment on Players

Our survey looked at the impact of harassment in online games on players and how their experiences affected their gameplay. **The number of players who quit playing specific online multiplayer games because of harassment increased for the fourth consecutive year.**

The impact of harassment in online games affects how people play. Out of the 67 million American adults who experience harassment in online multiplayer games⁹, only 19% stated it had no impact on how they play—meaning harassment shapes the gameplay of over 54 million American adults.

In-Game and Offline Impacts on Adults

Share of adults who reported the following impacts after experiencing harassment




9. Calculated by Newzoo.

Ally Behaviors

This year we asked adults what actions they or others took when they experience hate and harassment in online multiplayer games. The most common actions were that people either stood up for themselves or ignored a comment, while among the least common actions were other people standing up for the target or reaching out to them after being harassed.

Demonstrations of Allyship Among Adults

Share of adults who reported the following behaviors after experiencing harassment



Responsibility for Addressing Hate and Harassment in Online Games

As part of our 2022 survey, we asked gamers for their opinions on different statements regarding how to address hate and harassment in online multiplayer games.


Agreement with Statements

Share of adults who agree with the following statements

Response

■ Strongly or Somewhat Agree
 ■ Neither Agree or Disagree
 ■ Strongly or Somewhat Disagree

Game companies should...



The gaming community should...




Law enforcement should...



Individuals should not...



The government should...




0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%

We also asked respondents who they felt should be responsible for addressing hate and harassment in online games.

Who is Responsible?

Share of people who agreed with the following statements



Recommendations

For the Games Industry

- 1. Implement industry-wide policy and design practices to better address white supremacy.** Games companies should create comprehensive policies prohibiting extremist and terrorist content. They should work with extremism experts such as ADL and others to understand how they can best implement these policies across their platforms.
- 2. Release regular, consistent transparency reports on hate and harassment.** Unlike mainstream social media companies, games companies—with the exception of Wildlife Studios—have not published reports about their policies and enforcement practices. All gaming studios should follow and expand on Wildlife’s example. Transparency reports should include and share data from user-generated, identity-based reporting, aggregating data around what identities are being targeted in which games. For guidance, companies can consult the [Disruption and Harms in Online Gaming Framework](#) produced by ADL and the Fair Play Alliance, which provides a set of common definitions for hate and harassment in online games.
- 3. Submit to regularly scheduled independent audits.** Games companies should allow third-party audits of content moderation policies and practices on their platforms so the public knows the extent of in-game hate and harassment. Audits would determine whether companies’ efforts are effective.
- 4. Strengthen and enforce policies.** Many companies that created the games included in this survey have Codes of Conduct or Terms of Use that prohibit hate or harassment, but vaguely describe protected groups and violative conduct. We recommend companies specify protected categories (including race, religion/creed, age, national origin, immigration or citizenship status, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, pregnancy, marital status, and partnership status) in their Terms of Use. In developing these Terms of Use, we advise games companies to consult with individuals and organizations representing groups that experience high rates of harassment.

- 5. Improve reporting systems and support for targets of harassment.** Games companies should offer more effective services and tools for individuals facing or fearing an online attack. They should be able to block multiple perpetrators of online harassment at once rather than undergo the laborious process of blocking them individually. Games companies must connect individuals facing severe hate and harassment to a human employee for real-time, urgent incidents.
- 6. Build content moderation tools for in-game voice chat.** Abusers who use voice chat in online games to target individuals often evade detection. The tools and techniques used to detect hate and harassment within voice chat lag behind those that moderate text communication. It is time for companies to catch up.
- 7. Include metrics on extremism and toxicity in the Entertainment Software Rating Board's rating systems of games.** The ESRB effectively provides players with information about a game's content and its appropriateness. Although games companies caution players that the gameplay experience may depend on the behavior of other players, no audits of toxicity and extremism in online games exist. Such audits would allow players or their caregivers to make informed decisions about potential exposure to harmful content or harassment.

For Government

- 1. Prioritize regulations and reform.** Legislative models aimed at increasing transparency and accountability from social media companies such as [AB 587, in California](#), are now real. Similar laws should target the games industry so it releases clear data on hate and harassment.
- 2. Strengthen laws against perpetrators of online hate.** Hate and harassment exist online and off, but our laws have not kept up. Many forms of severe online misconduct, like doxing and swatting, are inconsistently covered by cyberharassment and stalking laws. Legislators should pass laws that hold perpetrators of severe online hate and harassment accountable for their offenses at both the state and federal level. ADL's [Backspace Hate initiative](#) raises awareness and works at the state and federal level to fill the gaps and loopholes in our legal system regarding digital abuse.

For Civil Society

1. **Support the research of games scholars and practitioners.** Many games scholars and practitioners in academia have spent decades studying games' potential for both good and harm. Civil society organizations should familiarize themselves with academic research on games and partner with researchers to expand understanding of the risks and benefits of online games and make their findings accessible to the broader public.
2. **Focus on the voices of marginalized people.** Game design advocacy organizations should amplify the concerns of marginalized communities, who are experts in the issues that affect them. They should bring the experiences of those most targeted by identity-based hate and harassment to the foreground.
3. **Support educational efforts for young gamers and the adults in their lives.** Research on youth and social media offers models for addressing hate and toxicity online, including designing better tools and interventions. Peer education and support are critical to teaching youth to navigate digital tools, along with educating parents and other adults.

For Caregivers and Educators

1. **Learn about and make deliberate, proactive choices about safety controls.** Adults should make conscious decisions as to whether to allow in-game communications or block young players from having conversations with strangers via voice or text chat. They should also learn how to implement safety controls, especially for younger gamers.
2. **Demand games companies improve parental safety controls and education.** Safety controls need to be transparent and user-friendly for parents and other adults who may not know much about online games or toxicity. These demands should include safety by default, such as parental accounts accompanying users under a certain age, and banning messages from strangers or access to chat unless adults opt in. Parental controls should also enable approval to add friends or contacts for young users.
3. **Familiarize yourself with the games young people in your life play.** Adults should have meaningful conversations with young people about their experiences in online games. Spending time playing games with young people can increase adults' understanding of the enjoyment and harms of online games.



Appendix

Survey Methodology

ADL, in collaboration with Newzoo, a data analytics firm focused on games and esports, designed a nationally representative survey to examine Americans' experiences of disruptive behavior in online multiplayer games. We collected responses from 2,134 Americans who play games across PC, console, and mobile platforms, including 1,931 responses from people who play online multiplayer games. For young people ages 10-17, we also collected responses from their parents or guardians as part of the screening process.

We oversampled individuals who identify as LGBTQ+, Jewish, Muslim, Black or African American, Asian-American and Hispanic/Latinx. We collected responses for the oversampled target groups until at least 125 Americans were represented in each group. Surveys were conducted from June 21 to July 7, 2022. The margin of error based on our sample size is generally two to three percentage points, though this may be slightly higher when looking at smaller sample sizes.

We asked adult respondents ages 18-45 whether and how often they experienced “disruptive behavior” including:

- Trolling/griefing (deliberate attempts to upset or provoke someone)
- Another online player embarrassing them
- Offensive name-calling
- Threats of physical violence
- Sustained harassment or harassment that occurs over multiple game sessions or a longer period of time
- Stalking (online monitoring/information gathering used to threaten or harass)
- Sexual harassment
- Discrimination by a stranger (due to age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, etc.)
- Doxing, which is having personally identifying information made public
- Swatting, when a stranger makes a false report to emergency services to target someone

We also asked young people ages 10-17 about their experiences of disruptive behavior, but altered the categories slightly. We changed the wording in some cases to focus on disruptive behaviors young people may be more familiar with and limited questions around other behaviors to avoid subjecting young participants to additional harm. For example, we did not ask young people about their experiences with threats of physical violence or sexual harassment. We asked the teenagers and pre-teenagers if they had:

- Players say or do things that have disrupted my play (such as trolling or griefing)
- Been personally embarrassed by another player
- Been called offensive names
- The same people annoy or bully me over multiple sessions
- Players say negative things to me based on my avatar/character’s skin (e.g. clothing/features), my username, or my in-game possessions
- Been excluded from joining a game or chat because of my identity (based on age, gender, ethnicity, etc.)
- Preferred not to say anything
- None of the above

In the analysis provided in this report, we refer to the forms of disruptive behavior experienced by adults or young people, as described above, as harassment.

Appendix: Additional Results

Young People (10-17)


Harassment by Delivery Channel

Our survey also examined the delivery channels within an online game where young people reported experiencing harassment.


Harassment of Young People, by Communication Mode

Share of young people who reported experiencing harassment via the following modes of communication, by year and age group


Ages 13–17, 2021



Ages 13–17, 2022



Ages 10–12, 2022



Adults (18-45)


Harassment by Delivery Channel

We asked players about the delivery channels they used to learn more about the environments in which online multiplayer gamers experienced harassment. More players reported harassment through voice chats than text chats for both in-game and out-of-game channels.


Harassment of Adults, by Communication Mode

Share of adults who reported experiencing harassment via the following modes of communication, by year and age group


2022




2021



2020



2019



Support

This work is made possible in part by the generous support of:

Anonymous

Craig Newmark Philanthropies

Dr. Georgette Bennett and Dr. Leonard Polonsky

Electronic Arts

Joyce and Howard Greene

Hess Foundation, Inc.

Modulate

Righteous Persons Foundation

The David Tepper Charitable Foundation, Inc.

The Robert Belfer Family

ADL Leadership

Ben Sax

Chair, Board of Directors

Jonathan Greenblatt

CEO and National Director

Mike Sheetz

President, Anti-Defamation League Foundation

Adam Neufeld

Senior Vice President and Chief Impact Officer

Center for Technology & Society

Yaël Eisenstat

Vice President

Daniel Kelley

Director, Strategy and Operations

Caroline Bermudez

Editorial Director

Additional Acknowledgments

Graphics by the DataFace

Special thank you to Christina Ingersoll

Creative Director: Bernardo Torres

Take Action

Partner with ADL to fight hate in your community and beyond.

- Sign up at adl.org for our email newsletters to stay informed about events in our world and ADL's response.
- Report hate crimes and bias-related incidents in your area to your regional ADL office.
- Engage in respectful dialogue to build understanding among people with different views.
- Get involved with ADL in your region.

Featured Resources

From the ADL Center for Technology & Society



Breaking the Building Blocks of Hate. A Case Study of *Minecraft* Servers

The first analysis of hate and harassment on *Minecraft* server data

<https://www.adl.org/resources/report/breaking-building-blocks-hate-case-study-minecraft-servers>



Online Hate and Harassment

The American Experience 2022


<https://www.adl.org/resources/report/online-hate-and-harassment-american-experience-2022>



Very Fine People

What Social Media Platforms Miss About White Supremacist Speech

<https://www.adl.org/resources/report/very-fine-people>



adl.org



Anti-Defamation League



@ADL



@ADL_National

