	link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MORRISON
3	HIF135160
4	
5	
6	ACCOUNTABILITY AND OVERSIGHT OF
7	THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
8	WEDNESDAY, MAY 15, 2019
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Communications and
11	Technology
12	Committee on Energy and Commerce
13	Washington, D.C.
14	
15	
16	
17	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:01 a.m., in
18	Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Mike Doyle [chairman
19	of the subcommittee] presiding.
20	Members present: Representatives Doyle, McNerney, Clarke,
21	Loebsack, Veasey, McEachin, Soto, O'Halleran, Eshoo, DeGette,
22	Butterfield, Matsui, Welch, Lujan, Schrader, Cardenas, Dingell,
23	Pallone (ex officio), Latta, Scalise, Olson, Kinzinger,
	NEAL P. GPOSS

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

Bilirakis, Johnson, Walberg, Gianforte, and Walden (ex officio).

Also present: Representative Griffith.

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Staff present: AJ Brown, Counsel; Jeff Carroll, Staff
Director; Jennifer Epperson, FCC Detailee; Evan Gilbert, Press
Assistant; Tiffany Guarascio, Deputy Staff Director; Alex
Hoehn-Saric, Chief Counsel, C&T; Zach Kahan, Outreach and Member
Service Coordinator; Jerry Leverich, Counsel; Dan Miller, Policy
Analyst; Phil Murphy, Policy Coordinator; Kaitlyn Peel, Digital
Director; Tim Robinson, Chief Counsel; Andrew Souvall, Director
of Communications, Outreach and Member Services; Robin Colwell,
Minority Chief Counsel, C&T; Jordan Davis, Minority Senior
Advisor; Kristine Fargotstein, Minority Detailee, C&T; Margaret
Tucker Fogarty, Minority Staff Assistant; Peter Kielty, Minority
General Counsel; and Tim Kurth, Minority Deputy Chief Counsel,
C&T.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Doyle. Good morning, everyone. The Subcommittee on Communications and Technology will now come to order. The chair will now recognize himself for five minutes.

I would like to welcome everyone to this subcommittee's first oversight hearing of the Federal Communications Commission. I'd also like to thank FCC Chairman Pai and the other commissioners for appearing before us today.

I am also very happy to welcome Commissioner Starks, both to this hearing and to the Commission. It's good to have the Commission back up to full strength. Welcome, Commissioner Starks.

It has been nine months since this subcommittee's last oversight hearing, and while a lot has happened in that time, a lot of issues that were a concern then remain unresolved today.

At our last oversight hearing, I expressed concerns about revelations that mobile carriers were selling location data.

I expressed concerns about the Mobility Fund II proceeding, competition policy, and U.S. spectrum policy.

At that time, I expressed serious concerns to Chairman Pai about reports that mobile wireless carriers were sharing individuals' real-time location data with third parties.

Chairman Pai, you told us that you were investigating this issue. Today, we still don't have assurances that these

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

practices have stopped. And since we first heard about this problem, new even more troubling revelations have emerged, namely, that this data was being sold to bounty hunters and God knows who else.

Americans don't know who had access to this data, who sold the data, or whether anyone is going to be held accountable because we have heard nothing about it yet from the FCC.

At this juncture, neither Congress nor the American people understand the scope of what happened and no one has been held accountable for this reckless and illegal practice.

The situation as it stands is unacceptable, as has been the lack of communication to this committee and the American people about this situation. We need answers.

Nine months ago, I also expressed concerns about the Mobility Fund II proceeding. In a way, I am happy that the Commission has acknowledged that the process and the data in this proceeding were deeply flawed.

However, rural communities around the country remain unserved and these funds remain unobligated. All we have heard from the Commission is that you are investigating this issue, too. It is my understanding that the Commission has not requested new coverage data from carriers to correct its flawed maps.

I don't know why you've waited so long to act to fix this

problem, and today we sit here without a resolution in sight.

In the same vein, I expressed concerns about old and faulty data being used to justify Commission decisions regarding competition policy in the Business Data Service market.

Today, the Commission is considering using data we all agree to be faulty and misleading as well as data collected years ago that is long past stale in a forbearance proceeding by U.S. Telecom.

The Commission needs to clean up and update its data before it makes decisions that could seriously hamper the deployment of new fiber, limit consumer choice, and negatively affect government agencies that still rely on legacy infrastructure for national security and public safety notices.

And as we look to the future of 5G and the need for more mid-band, much thought is being given to the C-band. I have seen this band valued as high as \$70 billion, and I think making a part of this band available for 5G service is important for meeting the nation's mid-band spectrum needs.

But given that much of the country has no Gs, shouldn't we try to use the value of this band to fund the deployment of broadband to unserved areas as well as to help with adoption and affordability?

Simply put, it seems irresponsible and unconscionable to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

give money to four foreign satellite companies when the broadband infrastructure needs of our nation are so great.

And finally, on the topic of robocalls, this problem is out of control. Americans this year will receive 12 billion more robocalls then they received last year. And since the Trump administration took office Americans have gone from receiving 2 billion calls a month to 5 billion calls a month.

It has become a game for members of Congress to get robocalled while they are complaining about robocalls, which happened to Mr. Soto at our last hearing on robocalls.

We are past the point of band-aids. We need real solutions to address the problem and we need real protections for the American people.

I want to thank you all for being here today and I look forward to the testimony of our witnesses.

And now the chair will recognize Mr. Latta, ranking member of the subcommittee, for five minutes for his opening statement.

Mr. Latta. I thank my friend, the chair of the subcommittee. Thanks very much for having this hearing this morning, and also welcome to the commissioners who are here to discuss a variety of issues including infrastructure, spectrum, rural broadband, and robocalls.

I think it's safe to say there is more agreement on the issues

I just mentioned than disagreement. We can all agree on the importance of bringing the benefits of broadband to all Americans, especially rural Americans.

But despite the work on this committee and the FCC, we still have members on both sides of the aisle whose constituents lack broadband.

Earlier this year I was fortunate to have two of the FCC commissioners join me in my district to see firsthand the connectivity my constituents enjoy and the additional connectivity they so desperately need.

Commissioner Carr joined me for a visit, and the one stop turned to a five-stop day when we visited a local hospital and when we talked about telehealth.

We went out to a local WISP and the commissioner was up on top of the elevator. We will mention he was up on top. The rest of them were taking great pictures of him up there. But he also visited one of our sheriffs and talked about 911.

He was out to talk about what was happening with one of our local TV stations, the public WBGU, and questions about repack and then joined us at a farm where we were talking about agriculture and what we need to do with broadband there, and we appreciated that.

And also we had Commissioner Carr join us two days later

in the district in Defiance, Ohio, where he met with internet service providers across my district to discuss broadband access and the availability in northwest and west central Ohio, and I want to thank both commissioners for joining us that day and that week.

Being about to go out into the community and experience broadband connectivity or lack thereof is an incredibly useful tool to know where we need to target the precious federal funding to support additional broadband development.

To help further inform the FCC's ability to tell where broadband is and, more importantly, where it isn't, I introduced legislation last week with my good friend, the gentleman from Vermont, that would require the FCC to establish a challenge process to verify fixed and mobile broadband service coverage data.

Local officials in my district have conducted their own broadband studies to evaluate their residence broadband needs and proved that there were holes in the FCC maps.

After hearing about these local actions, I started working on my broadband mapping after Public Scrutiny Act or Broadband Maps Act to enhance the data the FCC already collects by involving additional entities such as local and state governments to verify FCC's data.

I look forward to hearing more about the Commission's mapping efforts and ways the agency is working to get a better picture of broadband connectivity in this country so that we can target truly unserved areas.

I also look forward to hearing about the FCC's plans to continue making more spectrum available at 5G. As I have learned, 5G requires a variety of spectrum inputs -- low band, mid-band, high band, as well as unlicensed.

This is because each part of the band has different characteristics and all types are needed to build a robust 5G network capable of serving this country including rural America.

The FCC cleared a huge swathe of mid-band spectrum in an incentive auction and carriers are now deploying innovative broadband offerings on that spectrum.

The Commission has also successfully auctioned off spectrum in the high band is actively working to make more spectrum available in the low band and unlicensed spaces.

Another issue with broad bipartisan support is the need -the scourge of illegal robocalls. They are not wanted. They
are tricking people into scams that is costing Americans billions
of dollars.

It is one of the biggest issues I hear when I am out in the district and it is affecting everyone. At our hearings on this

topic last month, it became clear my district is not unique with these concerns.

We must and will do everything in our power to stop the annoying and illegal robocalls while protecting the technology for the lifesaving pro-consumer services people use and need.

I am encouraged by the work of industry to protect consumers from unwanted robocalls by developing a set of procedures to authenticate caller ID information associated with telephone calls to combat unlawful calls and caller ID spoofing.

I also appreciate the FCC's work in holding industry accountable for delivering that system to the public as early as the end of this year. I am optimistic that this will curb some of the illegal unwanted robocalls.

But as technology continues to evolve, so do the tactics the bad actors use to illegally spoof numbers to make fraudulent calls.

For this reason I introduced withy my friend, the chairman of our subcommittee, the Support Tools to Obliterate Pesky Robocalls Act, or STOP Robocalls Act. Our bill would give the FCC additional tools in its robocall toolbox to go after the bad actors.

Specifically, the STOP Robocalls Act would help the FCC identify these scammers and empower consumers with robocall

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
blocking technology.
I look forward to hearing from our witnesses today and I
thank the chairman again for calling this hearing.
I yield back.
Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
The chair now recognizes Mr. Pallone, chairman of the full
committee, for five minutes for an opening statement.
Chairman Pallone. Thank you, Chairman Doyle.
The American people look to the FCC to ensure that they can
reliably make phone calls, send text messages, watch TV, and
access the internet at reasonable rates.
They rely on these technologies to check in with loved ones,
call for help, operate their businesses, get info during
disasters, and engage with people across the globe.
To properly fulfill this duty, it has always been my belief
that the FCC must put consumers first. But over the last two
years, this FCC has too often turned its back on the public,
putting the big corporate interests first.
This FCC has heartlessly and needlessly proposed drastic
cuts to the Lifeline program. This critical subsidy program for
telephone and internet access is oftentimes the only way that
low-income Americans can keep in touch with friends or family,

explore job options, or make medical appointments.

And then it slashed media ownership rules to allow the biggest media companies to grow even larger, controlling more and more of the news and entertainment that reach Americans and making it more difficult for underrepresented populations such as minorities and women to own or manage media companies.

The FCC has repeatedly deferred to companies on voluntary measures to correct major consumer problems like robocalls or widespread communication failures after disasters like Hurricanes Maria and Michael.

And this FCC has taken more than a year to investigate the widespread disclosure of real-time location data by wireless carriers without taking any public action to require the carriers to stop sharing this data.

So putting aside bad policy, the FCC has also been derelict in its duty. In the first two years of the Trump presidency we have seen this agency abdicate many of its important roles.

For example, the Commission has, for the most part, made itself irrelevant when it comes to protecting Americans' access to the dominant communications technology of our time, and that is the internet.

Even more shockingly, when the Trump administration took over, the new FCC deliberately walked back its role in cybersecurity, leaving Americans vulnerable.

I am hopeful things will change, but I fear even if they do, we are starting from behind because of the decisions this Commission has already made.

And finally, while it touts transparency and the importance of facts, this Commission, much like the Trump administration, has misled the public and hid some of its actions from public view.

For example, the Commission recently claimed victory over the digital divide, only for us to later learn that the Commission was relying on seriously flawed data.

According to reports, the chairman voted to release the congressionally-mandated broadband report knowing that the data in the draft was inaccurate.

Despite what the president thinks, the truth, in fact, matters. Nevertheless, the chairman recently touted a new \$20 billion infrastructure program, only for us to learn afterwards that it was being funded with repurposed money from the Universal Service Fund.

And at the very same time, the FCC hid its proposal to cap that very same Universal Service Fund, limiting the support that goes to struggling Americans, to veterans, to schools, to libraries, to rural health care facilities, and Americans living in rural and hard-to-reach areas.

Americans don't need repurposed funds and they don't need gimmicks. People all over this country are looking for a real infrastructure plan that invests in our future and strengthens our economy, and that is why we are introducing a comprehensive infrastructure package today, the LIFT America Act, that includes \$40 billion of broadband infrastructure funding for unserved and underserved areas, \$12 billion for next-generation 911, and \$5 billion for financing new infrastructure projects.

The American people deserve better than what this agency has given them. They deserve an FCC that acts in their best interests and not on behalf of the entities it is supposed to be overseeing.

Oversight is critical to getting the FCC back on the right track, and I appreciate the members of the Commission coming before us today.

I have faith in the FCC as an institution and I do have faith in the exemplary career public servants that work there.

I have a minute left, Mr. Chairman. I would like to yield back to -- a minute to Ms. Matsui.

Ms. Matsui. Thank you, Chairman Pallone.

As co-chair of the Spectrum Caucus, I remain focused on ensuring our spectrum resources are allocated effectively, equitably, and rapidly.

The C-band has been one of the most complex and high stakes proceedings in front of the Commission and Congress. That is why I plan to release legislation called the Win 5G Act to propose a comprise consensus-based approach to rapidly reallocate the spectrum in a manner that addresses many of the concerns raised on the Commission's record.

I thank the wireless, cable, and rural stakeholders preparing to support this effort. Fundamentally, a quick, equitable, and consensus-based transition process is the only way to avoid this proceeding being slowed down or tied up in court.

And I want to be clear that this chamber is not willing to accept an undesirable result. I look forward to working with all of you and all the interested parties to ensure the spectrum necessary for the United States to win the race to 5G is allocated rapidly.

Thank you, and I yield back.

Mr. Doyle. Gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Walden, for five minutes for an opening statement.

Mr. Walden. Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for having this hearing. I want to welcome all the commissioners and, Chairman Pai, we are glad to have you all back.

Commissioner Starks, welcome aboard. We are glad to have

you hear. Buckle in. It is going to be a lot of fun. So we are glad to have you here.

And I know there is a lot of work being done and, Chairman Pai, I appreciate your leadership and that of the other commissioners. I think we all have agreement we need to build out more broadband to more places in America, period, hard stop.

We should be all for that. Last Congress we worked together in a bipartisan way to get that done and there is more work to be done.

We passed the RAY BAUM'S Act to reauthorize the FCC for the first time in, I don't know, 20, 30 years. We gave you some new authority and we gave you new authority to go after robocallers, which I believe you are in the process of doing. Not as fast as some would like, including probably everybody in the room and you, but you are headed there and I think that is really important.

And we are wrestling with legislation here. We haven't moved anything yet but, clearly, we have ideas on this committee about what else we need to do stop these unwanted not only nuisance but perhaps very risky robocallers that interfere, as we have heard from testimony, cancer centers in America spoofing that they are actually making calls from there. We all need to be together on this and pulling the same direction to put a stop to bad behavior.

And I know in some of the meetings I have had with some of the carriers they are willing to lean in full force. But they also said, look, when we do that we are probably going to catch a call that isn't really a robocall, and as much as we are sitting up here pounding to do more, we also have to understand probably what you are looking at in terms of a safe harbor provision is really important because we will have those same carriers up here, pounding on them for catching what they thought was a robocall and it wasn't.

And so I think we have got to be smart about how we do this. We got to be aggressive about how we do this. We all know the numbers. We all know the problem. A lot of it is offshore.

But, clearly, there is more that can be done and there is more to connect the rural areas. I did -- I have done 20 town halls this year, more than any member in the House, and one of them was out in Spray, Oregon, population 150, and they kind of do a little booster thing to keep the signal going while you're in town, which is one block.

But the educators there said, but when we get away from that kids don't have connection when they go home, and I know that's been a huge issue for Commissioner Rosenworcel and all of us, I think. How do we do this?

And I know there are funds that have been released.

Satellite carriers say, we can go into these remote areas. This county, by the way, has one person for every nine miles of power line.

So this is remote. You have been out there. Others have been out there. And so we have got to look at alternative platforms that work to get in there.

As we honor today police officers' memorial day and the 106 officers who lost their lives, we have to remember we have got FirstNet building out. We have got the issue that some of you have raised -- the diversion of 911 fees.

We have got the whole T-band issue as well, and Commissioner O'Rielly, I think you point out in your testimony the diversion rate in one state is 90 percent. This ought to be mail fraud, frankly, because some communities and states are telling their consumers on their phone bill you are paying for 911 when in fact they take the money and spend it elsewhere.

And so I am glad you all are making a point of this because we need to take care of our 911 system and take care -- and consumers ought to have a right to know that they are getting defrauded by their own governments, in some cases, where they say on your phone bill, I am taking money for 911 and, oh by the way, I am going to spend it somewhere else, and I am going to come to Washington and say, I need more money.

That is not helping our law enforcement and the politicians ought to be held accountable. So in the FirstNet legislation of 2012 in the Middle Class Tax Relief Act, which I helped to author, we did a lot to set up a system to serve our first responders.

Part of the FirstNet deal included an agreement by public safety to receive prime spectrum for broadband in exchange for T-band spectrum. That was part of the agreement. I was there. I helped negotiate it.

We all agreed that T-band would phased out over time once mission critical features are available. However, with a 2021 start date for the process impending, concerns have been raised about planning for the move.

So today, I am floating a proposal that I welcome your thoughts on as we reconcile these issues. My draft would delay the start of the T-band process for another three years to 2024.

To be eligible for this delay, states and localities would simply need to comply with a very common sense policy the bipartisan bills have already called for, which is to put a stop to 911 diversion.

So you want to -- you want to get a delay on T-band, got it, stop diverting your 911 money and defrauding your own customers. So that is a draft we are putting out.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
There is a lot more we can talk about here. Look forwar
to it. We are glad you are here and, Mr. Chairman, I yield back
Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
The chair would like to remind members that pursuant to
committee rules, all members written opening statements shall
be made part of the record.
I would now like to introduce our witnesses for today's
hearing. Our FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, welcome Commissioner
Michael O'Rielly, Brendan Carr, Jessica Rosenworcel, and Geoffre
Starks.
Commissioners, welcome. We want to thank all of you for
joining us today and we look forward to your testimony.
At this time, the chair will now recognize each witness for
five minutes to provide their opening statement. Before we
begin, I would like to explain the lighting system in front o
you.
You will see a series of lights which will initially be gree
at the start of your statement. It will turn yellow when you
have one minute remaining.
Please begin to wrap up your testimony when the light turn

red -- your time is expired.

And with that, Chairman Pai, we are anxious to hear your

And with that, Chairman Pai, we are anxious to hear your five minutes.

may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
Is your microphone on? We actually wanted yours to work
so
[Laughter.]
Mr. Pai. We will investigate it.
Mr. Doyle. They are investigating it.
Mr. Pai. Sorry for that.

Mr. Doyle. Technology --

|| This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $2\hat{\mathbf{n}}$

453

454

455

456

457

458

?STATEMENTS OF THE HONORABLE AJIT PAI, CHAIRMAN, FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE MICHAEL O'RIELLY,
COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE
BRENDAN CARR, COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION;
THE HONORABLE JESSICA ROSENWORCEL, COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL
COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION; THE HONORABLE GEOFFREY STARKS,
COMMISSIONER, FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

?STATEMENT OF MR. PAI

Mr. Pai. Pardon the esthetic challenges.

But, Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, members of the subcommittee, thank you for holding this hearing today. I appreciate this opportunity to update you on the FCC's work to advance the public interest.

At the beginning of my chairmanship, I said that the Commission's top priority would be closing the digital divide.

We have been busy working to do just that.

Last year, for example, we finished the Connect America Fund Phase II reverse auction, which allocated about \$1.5 billion to connect over 713,000 homes and small businesses nationwide with high-speed broadband.

Yesterday, we gave final approval to the first batch of final applications and money will begin flowing to these auction winners

by the end of the month.

Moreover, last December, we implemented reforms to the FCC's Alternative Connect America Cost Model, or ACAM, that will fund broadband deployment to an additional 106,000 rural homes and small businesses.

Earlier this month, we made new ACAM offers to small rural carriers that could result in over 1.1 million rural homes and businesses gaining access to broadband service.

Later this year, we will begin rulemaking to establish a \$20.4 billion rural digital opportunity fund with the goal of spurring deployment of high-speed broadband networks to up to 4 million rural homes and businesses.

The Commission is also committed to maintaining and advancing American leadership in 5G, the next generation of wireless connectivity, through our 5G FAST plan.

This is a comprehensive strategy that takes a three-pronged approach of pushing more spectrum into the commercial marketplace, making it easier to deploy wireless infrastructure, and modernizing our regulations to promote fiber deployment.

Over the past year, we made substantial progress on all three fronts and I would be happy to discuss that with you in greater detail later today. We have also prioritized national security.

Just last week, the FCC denied the application of China Mobile USA, a wireless carrier ultimately and controlled by the Chinese government, to enter the U.S. market.

Granting that application would have posed an unacceptable risk to our national security. We also recently took part in an international conference in Prague where over 30 nations came together to propose common principles for 5G security.

These proposals gained wide support in part because of the close collaboration among U.S. government agencies including the FCC and direct engagement on the international stage.

The final issue that I would like to discuss this morning is illegal robocalls. Combating these unwanted robocalls is the Commission's top consumer protection priority.

That is why we have taken many steps to fight what the late Senator Hollings rightly called the scourge of civilization.

We have authorized carriers to block robocalls from certain spoofed numbers.

We have authorized the creation of a reassigned numbers database. We have taken aggressive enforcement action against those who unleash robocalls on consumers and we have demanded that phone carriers establish a robust caller dedication framework by the end of this year.

I know that this is a top concern for this subcommittee as

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 25 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
well. In the last Congress, as Congressman Walden mentioned,
you included in RAY BAUM'S Act a provision to extend the FCC's
truth in caller ID rules to reach calls originating from outside
of the United States.
And last month, you held a hearing to consider many pieces
of legislation to attack this problem. I applaud these efforts.
The onslaught of robocalls presents us with a complex
challenge. There is no single bullet that will get the job done.
Instead, Congress, the FCC, the FTC, and other government
agencies all must do what we can, working together, to stem the
tide of unwanted robocalls.
In that spirit, I am pleased to announce this morning that
the FCC will vote on our June 6th monthly meeting on significant
new steps to reduce the number of unwanted robocalls.
Specifically, I will ask my fellow commissioners to make
it easier for carriers to block these robocalls by default. Right
now, many carriers let you know when a call is likely to be spam.
But they don't block them automatically.
I want to make clear that carriers can implement call
blocking by default so long as consumers are given the option
of opting out.

I am also proposing that we allow carriers to block on a network wide basis those calls that cannot be authenticated under

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
552	the SHAKEN/STIR framework once it is implemented.
553	I believe that these measures would have a major impact ir
554	our fight against robocalls. American consumers deserve that
555	protection and peace of mind and I hope my colleagues will joir
556	me in supporting these efforts.
557	Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, and members of the
558	subcommittee, thank you once again for giving me the opportunity
559	to testify. I look forward to answering your questions and to
560	continuing to work with you on the matters within our
561	jurisdiction.
562	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
563	[The prepared statment of Mr. Pai follows:]
564	

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2n may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

********** INSERT 1*******

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Commissioner O'Rielly, you are now recognized for five minutes for your opening statement.

569

570

566

567

568

?STATEMENT OF MR. O'RIELLY

571

572

573

574

575

Mr. O'Rielly. Thank you, Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, and the members of the subcommittee. It is a real pleasure to appear before this subcommittee once again as it conducts further oversight of the FCC.

576

577

With your indulgence, I would like to raise four areas of communication policy for the subcommittee's attention.

578579

First, there is near universal realization that far more needs to be done to free up additional mid-band spectrum, given its propagation characteristics and opportunities for global spectrum harmonization.

582

583

584

580

581

But freeing these bands is extremely hard. Concerning what the chairman has put forth in the motion, the Commission must redouble its efforts to reallocate additional mid-band frequencies for next-generation license services.

585

586

Part of this must be reallocating a portion of the 3.7 to 4.2 gigahertz band, or the C-band. One of my foremost concerns is to ensure that the mechanism selected allows the quickest

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2m2 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
possible process and I remain hopeful that the satellite
lingumbents will be willing to part with closer to 300 megahertz

Separately, there needs to be a greater effort to identify more federal agency holdings in the mid-bands for commercial use including reallocating the 3.45 to 3.55 gigahertz band and conducting feasibility studies to determine the exact -- the extent of the commercial offerings that can be done in 3.1 to 3.45 gigahertz.

Moreover, the Commission must take action on freeing more unlicensed spectrum, particularly in the 5.9 and 6 gigahertz bands.

Second, while broadband availability has improved over the years, many unserved areas remain and we must continue our efforts to expand access in an efficient and timely manner.

That is why I have spent so much time over the years promoting better incentives and greater efficiency within our Universal Service Fund programs.

At the same time, I worry that well intended -- the well-intentioned desire of Congress or selected agencies to expand broadband infrastructure will lead to unexpected wasteful or duplicative spending and adverse consequences for consumers.

While I would humbly suggest that the committee consider

of spectrum.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{S}$
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the FCC's Universal Service Fund as a primary means to distribute new funding, it is my foremost concern that any funding go to unserved areas rather than areas where broadband service already exists.

Coordination among agencies and departments is helpful but only through clear legislative directive and necessary oversight can Congress ensure that funding does not go to duplicate existing programs and only goes to those Americans without broadband today.

Third, the Commission has rightfully focused time and attention on addressing the surge of illegal robocalls in this country. In considering this issue, it is important to maintain a careful and nuanced approach.

Many honest legitimate businesses use automatic dialling technologies to communicate needed information to their consumers and doing so is perfectly within the scope and intent of TCPA.

Any approach to illegal robocalls should not expose law-abiding and legitimate organizations to indeterminate and potentially crippling legal risk.

In terms of illegal calls, I applaud those innovative companies and carriers that have offered or are in the process of offering free call authentication and call-blocking services to their customers.

To protect and encourage these initiatives, I strongly

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bn may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
support the adoption of a safe harbor to protect carriers from
liability in their call-blocking efforts as well as a reassigned
number database safe harbor.
At the same time, carriers must adopt expeditious processes
for correcting false positives. The last issue that I will touch
upon today is 911 fee diversion.
Every month, millions of consumers pay their phone bills
only to see a good portion of the money flow into a state or
territory's general treasury and, as a result, only a portion
or small percentage goes towards emergency services.
On top of being downright deceptive, this is a serious public
safety matter that directly affects emergency call centers and
personnel.
Following the FCC's December report, the states and
territories guilty of diverting these critical funds in 2017 were
New York, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Montana, Nevada, West
Virginia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
I respectfully request the subcommittee's assistance as the
name-and-shame process generated by our annual report has not
has only been so helpful.
The states leaders of certain recalcitrant states,

to care about the shaming part. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

specifically, New York, New Jersey, and Rhode Island, don't seem

	link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
658	I believe new legislation is needed in addition to that
659	already introduced on the topic and that will take a more forceful
660	approach to end diversion once and for all.
661	Thank you to the chairman and the ranking member and leaders
662	for inviting me to testify. I welcome any questions you may have.
663	Thank you.
664	[The prepared statment of Mr. O'Rielly follows:]
665	
666	**************************************

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Brimay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

667 I thank the gentleman. Mr. Doyle. 668 Commissioner Carr, you are now recognized for five minutes 669 for your opening statement. 670 671 ?STATEMENT OF MR. CARR 672 673 Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, 674 distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank you for the 675 invitation to testify. 676 When I first appeared before the subcommittee in 2017, the 677 U.S. faced significant challenges in our effort to lead the world 678 in 5G. 679 Our outdated rules meant that it took too long and it cost 680 too much to build internet infrastructure in this country. 681 risked ceding U.S. leadership in 5G and a half a trillion dollars 682 it could add to our economy to our global competitors. 683 Indeed, China was putting up new cell sites, the building 684 blocks for 5G, at 12 times our pace. So we needed to take bold 685 action and that is exactly what we've been doing at the FCC. 686 For one, we updated the federal rules that apply to the 687 construction of small cells. These are the backpack-size

We did so by excluding them from the costly and

antennas needed for next-generation connectivity.

688

time-consuming reviews designed for the construction of large 200-foot towers.

For another, we addressed the state and local review process for small cells. We did so by building on the common sense reforms already enacted by elected officials in their own communities, reforms that provided clarity on fees and ensure timely decisions.

These and other FCC reforms are already delivering results. Internet speeds in the U.S. are up nearly 40 percent. Americans saw more fiber broadband built to their homes last year than ever before.

The number of small cells put up in this country increased from 13,000 in 2017 to more than 60,000 in 2018. Investment in broadband networks is back on the rise and the U.S. now has the world's largest 5G deployment with 92 builds expected by year's end, and China has announced plans for zero.

There is much more to do. We are heading in the right direction. The FCC's policies are working, and I have had the chance to see first-hand how our decisions are helping to create jobs and benefit American workers in communities around the country in places like South Carolina where a company I visited last month built a new 100,000 square foot manufacturing plant because of the increase in demand for small cells in the U.S.

In fact, our success in accelerating infrastructure

construction has created a new opportunity. Industry now estimates that it could fill 20,000 job openings for tower techs. That would nearly double their existing workforce and bring thousands of families into the middle class.

So last month, I announced a jobs initiative modelled on a program developed by Aiken Technical College in South Carolina.

It looks to community colleges as a pipeline for 5G jobs.

In 12 weeks, someone with virtually no training can learn the technical and physical skills needed to land a good-paying job in the tower industry. I am working to expand this program to community colleges around the country.

While we know that broadband can create jobs, it can also help save lives. I saw this in Ohio with Ranking Member Latta at ProMedica Hospital. The head of neurology, Dr. Mouhammad Jumaa, told us that every second matters in treating stroke patients, and Dr. Jumaa showed us how we can now use a video app right on his smart phone to quickly see and treat stroke victims from almost anywhere. It's saving precious minutes and changing outcomes.

I think the FCC should support this new trend in telehealth.

With remote patient monitoring and mobile health apps that can
be accessed right on your phone, high-quality care can now be
delivered to patients wherever they are.

That is why I have led the FCC's effort to stand up a new connected care pilot program. It would provide up to \$100 million so low-income patients can benefit from this new trend. It would make a real difference in driving down costs and delivering quality care. My goal is to move that proceeding forward in the coming months. I look forward to working with all stakeholders to stand it up.

In closing, I want to thank you again, Chairman Doyle,
Ranking Member Latta, members of the subcommittee, for the chance
to testify. I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statment of Mr. Carr follows:]

747

748

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

**********INSERT 3******

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Commissioner.

The chair now recognizes Commissioner Rosenworcel for five minutes for her opening statement.

?STATEMENT OF MS. ROSENWORCEL

755 Ms. Rosenworcel. Good morning.

Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, members of the subcommittee, thank you for having me here today. I am going to start with a story.

So picture northeast Arkansas. This is a region known as the Upper Delta. It's got a proud history. It's where Johnny Cash spent his childhood years and where Ernest Hemingway penned "A Farewell to Arms" in a barn.

Its fields are known the world around for the rice they produce. But this region is also on the leading edge of an ugly trend -- increasing maternal mortality.

You see, the United States is the only industrialized country with a growing rate of maternal mortality and the data show that it hits women of color and women in rural areas particularly hard.

So the week before last, I was in Little Rock and I spent time with a team from the University of Arkansas who decided that in the Upper Delta it was time to do something about

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 3 fi
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

pregnancy-related deaths.

They described a patient in the region. She was diagnosed with preeclampsia, and that's a hypertensive disorder that is a leading cause of maternal mortality.

To manage this disorder, monitoring is key. But this patient lived in a rural area. In fact, she had to drive several hours just to give birth in a specialty hospital. There was no way she was going to make this same drive on a daily basis during the weeks following delivery.

So this team at the medical center, they got creative. They sent her home with a blood pressure cuff, a special digital scale, and a pulse oximeter to measure the levels of oxygen in her blood.

They told her connect all of these devices to a wireless gateway and transmit daily readings back to her health care providers.

This was great, except for one small detail. The patient had no wireless service at home. As she described it, she lived in a dead zone. So every day after performing these rituals she climbed into her truck, drove up to the top of a hill a mile away where she was actually able to pick up a wireless signal and then she sent this data along.

I cannot stop thinking about this story. It demonstrates so clearly the wonder of modern communications but it also reminds

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 3 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

us that there are too many people in too many places in this country struggling to connect.

And during the past two years I believe the FCC has done too little to address these problems. That is because too often this agency has acted at the behest of the largest corporate forces that surround us, short-changing the American public.

For starters, we do not know with certainty where broadband and wireless service is throughout the country. Our broadband maps are a mess. One Cabinet official recently called them fake news.

The FCC distributes billions of dollars each year to help build broadband. But it is wasteful and irresponsible for the agency to do so without having an accurate picture of where service is and is not in every community in this country.

On top of that, we have done too little to fix robocalls. Here are the numbers you need to know. At the start of this administration, consumers got 2 billion robocalls a month. That number is now above 5 billion. That is insane.

For too long, the FCC has been holding summits and holding workshops and not holding bad actors accountable. I am pleased to see that the chairman has now distributed a new set of policies for us to take a look at, but I sincerely hope it is not too little too late.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with BM may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Perhaps, however, the agency is best known for its misguided effort to roll back net neutrality. As a result of this decision, your broadband provider now has the right to block websites and censor online content.

That doesn't sound good to me and it doesn't sound good to the American public, either, 86 percent of whom support net neutrality. Should we have a court remand, I sincerely hope we take a cue from your Save the Internet Act and decide to change course.

Finally, public safety is paramount. But this agency has been totally silent when it comes to press reports that reveal that for a few hundred dollars shady middlemen can tell you your location within a few hundred meters, based on your wireless data.

I don't recall consenting to this surveillance when I signed up for wireless service and I bet neither did you. We need to be up front with the American people about just what's happening.

But while we have been silent, I decided to do something.

I wrote all the major wireless carriers and asked them to explain just when they stopped selling our data in this fashion.

I also asked them to share with us what they are doing with the data that's already been sold or shared. I expect those letters to be responded to today and I would be happy to share

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
841	them with this committee.
842	In closing, I believe communications policy can create
843	opportunity and help solve problems including maternal mortality.
844	But the way to do this is for the FCC to change course and put
845	the public first.
846	Thank you. I look forward to answering any questions that
847	you may have.
848	[The prepared statment of Ms. Rosenworcel follows:]
849	
850	**************************************

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #n may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Commissioner.

The chair now recognizes Commissioner Starks for five minutes for his opening statement.

?STATEMENT OF MR. STARKS

Mr. Starks. Good morning, Chairman Doyle, Ranking Member Latta, and members of this subcommittee. It is a privilege to appear before you here for the first time today.

The future is already here. It's just not evenly distributed. Wise words and ones that excellently frame the state of our digital divide.

I was sworn in as a commissioner about a hundred days ago and I am very excited about the development and deployment of 5G and fiber networks that will offer lightning-fast speeds and more.

These networks will further open the floodgates of innovation and turn today's cutting-edge technology into tomorrow's everyday tools.

But that future has not yet come to over 24 million Americans without access to affordable high-speed broadband, and while I am committed to winning the race to 5G, I am equally committed to the far too many communities that have no G.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #12 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

There cannot be two Americas, one where those with much get even more and another for those who are left behind. Whenever I step outside of Washington, people tell me how broadband impacts their lives and a couple of months ago I met with folks in Blue Springs, Missouri, including Chris Chin, who is the director of agriculture for the state.

She told me how Missouri ranks 41st in terms of internet access and how farmers in their state, including her own family feed mill and hog farm, struggle to upload their livestock and crop data to the cloud to help them manage their farms.

But she spoke even more passionately about how difficult it is to convince the next generation to stay in a community that lacks high-speed internet, and I know a lot of rural communities share that fear.

The problem with broadband access isn't limited only to rural America, though, and an internet inequality exists even in well-connected urban areas where, unfortunately, your access to quality broadband too often depends on your economic status.

And that is why the Lifeline program is so critical. It offers a no-frills phone and internet service so that folks can stay connected, and but rather than recognize and fully address the affordability problem that I think is critical, this commission has proposed drastic changes to the Lifeline program

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #r3
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee/s website as soon as it is available

that would undermine this program.

The fundamental question is does this FCC know who has broadband and how doesn't. Unfortunately, this Commission has fallen down on this issue, I believe, beginning with our data.

Just two weeks ago, the Commission admitted that its draft broadband deployment report relied in part on data from a new provider that had inflated its coverage by nearly 62 million persons, and the error was caught not by the FCC but by a diligent public interest group.

We need to take a hard look at ourselves when the FCC's data management practices miss a brand new entrant that claims to cover a whopping 20 percent of Americans.

The stakes get higher. We manage billions of dollars that provide targeted funding but we don't know the right places to send that money.

Mobility Fund Phase II, one of our most important initiatives to expand rural mobile broadband coverage, was suspended indefinitely in December to investigate yet another set of data problems.

We can't have good money chasing bad data. These communities can't keep waiting and they shouldn't have to. Once we get folks online, though, our job doesn't stop there.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #A may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Over the last year, news reports have exposed schemes that exploited wireless carriers' customer data systems that allowed bad actors to pay to track anyone in real-time with only their victim's phone number and a couple hundred dollars.

We've heard stories about women being tracked by former partners that appear to be exploiting this vulnerability, and as a former federal prosecutor, I've personally petitioned the court for restraining orders to protect survivors of domestic abuse and I am shocked to think that an abuser could legally track a survivor's phone to a safe house or a shelter.

After writing about this issue in the New York Times, I've heard from many members of the public who share my sense of outrage and I understand that at least one class action is in the offering.

But more than one year into the FCC's investigation, we still have not heard a resolution. Security problems aren't limited to our phones. The entire telecommunications network is equally at stake.

Our networks have serious vulnerabilities that bad actors can impersonate other folks, obtain access to sensitive communications, and even cause our networks to crash.

The situation could not be more urgent. With 5G our networks will connect to our utilities, health care, financial, and transportation system.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

We need to take our statutory responsibilities seriously and ensure that all of our communications systems have the best possible protections.

Finally, I would very much like to thank this subcommittee for its hard work and passing legislation regarding net

for its hard work and passing legislation regarding net neutrality. Millions of Americans have spoken with the same voice that they want the internet to remain open and unfettered, and the Save the Internet Act has given action to that voice.

I will continue to also be a champion for this issue. There is a lot of work to do. I look forward to working with my colleagues to address these challenges and many more. Thank you for having me here today and I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statment of Mr. Starks follows:]

956

957

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with # for
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Commissioner.

So we have concluded our opening statements. We will now move to member questions. Each member will have five minutes to ask questions of our witnesses. I will start by recognizing myself for five minutes.

Chairman Pai, I have a number of questions I would like to ask you that I need to get through that just require yes or no answers. So I would appreciate you answering yes or no.

Regarding mobile carriers sharing their customers' location data, can you tell us, yes or no, has this practice stopped?

Mr. Pai. Chairman Doyle, I appreciate the question. I cannot comment on a pending law enforcement investigation.

Mr. Doyle. Can you tell us since the statute of limitations for these violations is only one year and a year has already passed since we first learned about these violations, has the FCC put in place any tolling agreements with any mobile carrier to ensure that they can be held accountable for these illegal practices?

Mr. Pai. Here, too, Chairman Doyle, I cannot comment on a pending law enforcement investigation except to say with respect to this particular question that we are mindful of the relevant statute of limitations.

Mr. Doyle. Do you know whether or not the wireless carriers have notified individuals whose locations was illegally tracked,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #n
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

981 | yes or no?

Mr. Pai. Again, Chairman Doyle, this relates to the pending law enforcement investigation. I can't comment on it in an open setting.

Mr. Doyle. As part of your investigation, have you found any members of law enforcement, agents of the federal government, or elected officials such as members of Congress have had their locations tracked?

Mr. Pai. Again, Chairman Doyle, I cannot comment on a pending law enforcement investigation.

Mr. Doyle. Let me just say I find your answers to these questions, given the time that has elapsed and the seriousness of this issue as wholly insufficient.

This committee expects you to do more than just sit on your hands.

I would like to talk a little bit about C-band. As we all know, many members of this committee care deeply about the deployment of broadband to rural communities and making it more accessible and affordable for others.

However, there is no business case for private investment the government needs to pick up the slack. I have seen estimates that peg the market value of C-band upwards of \$70 billion, and for communities that currently have no Gs and see the promise

link	be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. It to the final, official transcript will be posted on the .ttee's website as soon as it is available.
of 50	G as a pipe dream, do you think it is better for that mone
to go	to funding broadband build out or into the pockets of foreig
sate	llite companies?
	Commissioner Rosenworcel, what do you make of this.
	Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you for the question.
	Mr. Doyle. Microphone.
	Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you for the question. I think that
we ne	eed to consult with Congress to identify what to do with th
C-bar	nd next. It appears that there is a lot of money at stak
and,	as you point out, those funds could be used to expand
broad	dband in rural areas, help students caught in the homewor
gap v	who don't have access to the internet service they need fo
home	work.
	But I think the first place to start is to find a neutra
entit	ty that can tell us with clarity just how much this spectru
is w	orth. It could be tens and tens of billions of dollars.
We no	eed to understand that as a matter of good governance.
	Mr. Doyle. Thank you.
	Commissioner Starks, how about you? What do you think o
this	?
	Mr. Starks. I agree with that perspective. I think the
moat	important thing is that we maximize the amount of spectru

that can come in here into the marketplace.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And then the second thing that I would point out is I agree that it's going to be important to make sure that we don't have a private windfall here as we consider the C-band.

Mr. Doyle. Mr. Chairman, what do you think?

Mr. Pai. Chairman Doyle, I am sympathetic to the gist of your question. Three years ago, as the first member of the Commission to propose a rural dividend from spectrum auctions so we could retain those funds for deploying rural broadband, with respect to this particular issue, we've teed up a variety of different options we are meeting with stakeholders on.

My concern would be with respect to waiting for Congress to legislate on this particular matter we've been criticized by some, including members of the Commission, for not moving quick enough to free up mid-band spectrum.

If that's the case, waiting for legislation to emerge from a bipartisan -- from a bicameral system along with enacting by the president could take some time.

So we have to trade off the time value of the spectrum and the need for spectrum for broadband deployment versus some of the concerns you have identified.

Mr. Doyle. Well, if you think you're getting flak for not moving quick enough, watch how much flak you get if you let four foreign satellite companies keep all the money.

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\text{M}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Chairman Pai, in the context of U.S. Telecom's forbearance petition, I am very concerned that the Commission is using Form 477 data, which industry and policy makers widely agree is flawed and overstates broadband availability. I am also concerned that the Commission is considering data collected as part of the special access data request from 2015 as part of this petition as well.

This data is years out of date and does not accurately reflect the current state of deployment or competition. If the FCC were to base its decision on such inaccurate data, the decision would likely be challenged in court.

Will you commit to updating and fixing these data sets before using them as a basis for Commission decisions, particularly in supported claims that competitive policies that promote broadband deployment are not necessary such as in the case of U.S. Telecom's forbearance petition?

Yes or no.

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

Mr. Pai. Chairman Doyle, unfortunately it's not a yes or no question with respect to the BDS data, for example. One of the reasons why we included the data from the 2015 data collection was because U.S. Telecom relies on the conclusions from the BDS and the transport remand from the Eight Circuit.

We can't simply adopt those conclusions wholesale. We

may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. wanted to make sure that the data upon which those conclusions were based remains a part of the forbearance record in this particular case. We haven't agreed with it. We simply want to make sure that we have all the data that the party petitioning for forbearance is including in its petition. I see my time has expired. Thank you. Mr. Doyle. I now yield five minutes to our ranking member, Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and again to the Mr. Latta. commissioners and the chairman, thanks very much for being with us today. Commissioner O'Rielly, if I could start my question with When you were in the district in March we heard from my you. constituents to build out broadband in rural America we need these accurate maps so that limited federal funds are going to the areas that most need it. How important is it that any new broadband funding is allocated based on these accurate maps? Mr. O'Rielly. So I would say maps can only be so perfect. But through revision and including a challenge process and verification we can improve them so the dollars go to only the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 5 nl

which is a really deep concern I have.

areas that absolutely need them and they don't go to overbuilding,

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$12\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1096 So I agree with your point wholeheartedly. 1097 Mr. Latta. Well, I know in the past I've always said that 1098 we have to differentiate to make sure we are talking about unserved 1099 and underserved areas and we have to look at these unserved areas. 1100 Let me follow up. Which agency is best situated to manage 1101 substantial new funding and ensure it goes to the right areas? 1102 Quickly. 1103 Mr. O'Rielly. I hate to say I am biased here. I believe 1104 the FCC program is the most efficient program. It's not by any 1105 means perfect. It has its own flaws and we do improve it. 1106 The chairman has done great work in the last couple of years 1107 -- things I've been working on for a decade in terms of reverse auctions. We've really improved our process. 1108 I look at other agencies and what they've done in the past 1109 1110 and what they currently do, and I see tremendous flaws and I would 1111 recommend to the -- and humbly suggest to the subcommittee you consider FCC if additional dollars are made from the Congress. 1112 1113 Thank you. Mr. Latta. 1114 Mr. Chairman, what are your thoughts on which agency is the 1115 most appropriate to channel those new fundings? 1116 Thank you for the question, Ranking Member Latta. 1117 Unsurprisingly, I share my colleague's enthusiasm for the 1118 FCC as the repository for the additional funding and part of the This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with back may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

reason why I see it established is that we now have a reverse auction mechanism along with accountability in terms of the distribution of that funding resulting in broadband deployment.

And with respect to the reverse auction in particular, I mean, I cannot understate how important that mechanism is for distributing funding efficiently.

If you talk to your electric utilities, tribal carriers, cable companies, satellite companies, and others, now they have a chance to compete for that funding, which makes sure that the scarce taxpayer dollars are stretched as far as possible and as efficient a way as possible.

Mr. Latta. Thank you.

Let me follow up, Chairman Pai. Last month I introduced the Stop Robocalls Act to give the FCC additional tools to go after these bad actors.

One of the things that the Stop Robocalls Act would do is make it easier for consumers to access technology that blocks illegal robocalls by allowing carriers to offer it for free on an opt-out basis.

Chairman Pai, again, I appreciate your announcement this morning that you are adding the opt out concept in my Stop Robocalls Act to your June meeting. I will continue to work on this with the chairman of this subcommittee so it becomes law.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with May be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And would you commit to working with Congress to ensure that consumers can have access to illegal robocall blocking technology?

Mr. Pai. Yes, sir.

Mr. Latta. Thank you.

Chairman Pai, we've also heard criticism that the Commission is not moving quickly enough to make low and mid-band spectrum available, which is vitally important to U.S. leadership on 5G.

Would you share what you are doing on spectrum as part of the 5G FAST plan?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman.

We have, obviously, been very active with respect to millimeter wave. We were in the middle of a 24 gigahertz auction.

We just finished a 28 gigahertz auction.

We have upper 37, 39, and 47 scheduled for later this year. With respect to mid-band in particular, we have a lot on the table with respect to white spaces in the 2.5 gigahertz band.

We haven an ongoing dialogue with the Department of Commerce on the 3.1 and 3.55 band. We've got the 3.5 band itself, which Commissioner O'Rielly will lead the effort on, where we expect commercial deployment soon and an auction next year.

The 3.7 band, which the chairman has mentioned, which will be potentially 200 to 500 megahertz, we also have an outstanding

This is a p may be inac link to the Committee's	curate, final,	incomposition of the contract	plete, or ial trans	misat cript	tribu will	ted be p	to the spe	aker.	
proceeding	on the	4.9 g	igahertz	band,	the	5.9	gigahertz	band,	

proceeding on the 4.9 gigahertz band, the 5.9 gigahertz band, and in particular the 6 gigahertz band, which is something that a lot of wireless innovators have thought of.

This would be, potentially, 1,200 megahertz for unlicensed spectrum. So turbocharging Wi-Fi, allowing consumers on an unlicensed basis to take advantage to some of the innovation that has now become common in their lives.

So we have a lot on the table, many thousands of megahertz, and we look forward to working with you and the members of the subcommittee to make it a reality.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, and if I, in my last 40 seconds -- Commissioner Carr, you know, you spent a day out in my district and maybe if you could just give me a quick takeaway of what you saw out there in your five visits across the northwest and west central Ohio.

Mr. Carr. Thank you, Congressman.

We had a great visit to your district. I think what we saw there was both sides of the digital divide. We saw communities that right now have high-tech next-generation connectivity including at ProMedica Hospital where it is helping to change lives for stroke patients.

We were able to go to a farm in Napoleon and see the tremendous amount of data that smart ag is now pulling off of combines and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with in may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

connected soil moisture meters, and so the real economic upside.

There is many communities in between where we still have work to do and that's why we are reorienting our programs at the FCC including universal service to make sure we have connectivity whether it's 5G or other next generation services in every community across the country.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much.

Mr. Chairman, my time has expired and I yield back.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes Mr. McNerney for five minutes.

Mr. McNerney. I thank the chairman. I thank the commissioners for your testimony this morning and for your hard work. I know all of you have perspectives and I appreciate that.

Chairman Pai, the FCC recently delivered its veterans broadband report to Congress pursuant to legislation that I signed into law but missed the statutory deadline by over a month.

While the report did acknowledge that 1.3 million veterans participated in the Lifeline program, it failed to mention that your proposal to reduce the program by over 70 percent would have harmful effects on these veterans.

I am concerned that your proposal would have harmful impacts on veterans and millions of Americans including 56,000 households in my district.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\fit{n}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1211	There has been almost no support on the record for this
1212	proposal, even though the proceeding was started in 2017.
1213	Chairman Pai, please answer yes or no.
1214	Will you put this proposal to rest and end the proceeding?
1215	Mr. Pai. Congressman, the proceeding is still ongoing.
1216	We haven't made any final determinations yet.
1217	Mr. McNerney. Will you put this to rest? There is almost
1218	no support in the record for this.
1219	Mr. Pai. Again, Congressman, it's still an ongoing
1220	proceeding. I can't forecast where the Commission is going to
1221	end up.
1222	Mr. McNerney. Commissioner Rosenworcel, what do you think
1223	about his?
1224	Ms. Rosenworcel. There are people across this country that
1225	rely on Lifeline to stay connected. They've relied on this
1226	program since 1985 when it was first put in place to make sure
1227	everyone could connect to health care, to education, and jobs.
1228	We are going to cut off veterans, elderly, people recovering
1229	in Puerto Rico and so many other places if we cut this program
1230	as the chairman has proposed. It's time for us to end this
1231	proceeding and this effort.
1232	Mr. McNerney. Thank you.
1233	Mr. Chairman, I have a letter I would like to submit a

	This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with some may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
1234	letter for the record on this issue from the Leadership
1235	Conference.
1236	Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered.
1237	[The information follows:]
1238	
1239	**************************************

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Many be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. McNerney. I am deeply concerned about President Trump's repeated attacks on journalists and broadcasters. Here is a tweet from the president on April 5th stating that the press is truly the enemy of the people. This is from the president of the United States.

The president has gone on to threaten the license of broadcasters who have reported news that he doesn't like. That's one of -- rhetoric of a dictator and beneath the dignity of the office of our president.

Chairman Pai, a free and independent press is the foundation of our democracy. You are the head of the agency that is charged with overseeing the nation's communication sector including broadcast and media marketplace.

Starting with you, Chairman Pai, and then all the commissioners, do you agree with the statement that the press is truly the enemy of the people? Please answer with a yes or no.

Mr. Pai. Congressman, that is not language that I would or have used. No.

Mr. O'Rielly. No.

Mr. Carr. Congressman, I have repeatedly made my views clear on the First Amendment.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £60 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
Ms. Rosenworcel. Absolutely not.
Mr. Starks. I agree this is an easy choice. Absolutely
not.
Mr. McNerney. Thank you.
Chairman Pai, just one week after that tweet on April 5th,
you appeared at a press conference at the White House with
President Trump. It's unusual for a commissioner from an
independent agency to appear at a press conference with the
president.
Please answer this with a yes or no. When you saw President
Trump on that day, did he mention anything to you related to FEC
license concerns or any other issue pending before the FCC related
to an entity he thinks unfairly covered him or his administration?
Mr. Pai. No, not to my knowledge.
Mr. McNerney. Will you commit that if President Trump or
anyone from the White House reaches out to you about anything
like this that you will personally notify our committee and my
office immediately?
Mr. Pai. Yes, and I've made, I recall, a similar commitment
to the Senate Commerce Committee, your counterparts on the Senate
side.
Mr. McNerney. Thank you. We will hold you to that,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 6A may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. On the spectrum, I know that having access to mid-band spectrum is crucial for U.S. leadership in 5G. Commissioner Rosenworcel, why has the agency not moved more quickly to address the issue of the 3.5 band? Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you. The rest of the world is running to 5G using mid-band We are not doing that there in the United States and we are going to be left behind. Mid-band spectrum propagates far, which means it will bring 5G to rural areas. But the United States has concentrated all of its energies on high-band spectrum in the last year and this one. We are going to have to pivot and make mid-band a priority if we want to catch up with the rest of the world and deliver 5G to rural communities. Mr. McNerney. Thank you, Commissioner. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back. The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full committee, Mr. Walden. Thank you, Mr. Doyle. Chairman in exile, as Mr. Walden. I like to say. [Laughter.]

Mr. Walden. We have heard a lot today about the need to

protect privacy of mobile customers. I don't think there is any

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

disagreement with that on the Commission or up here.

I share my colleagues' concerns about the unauthorized use of wireless consumers' real-time geolocation data by third parties. That is why as chairman I started the bipartisan process -- bipartisan process here with my Democratic colleagues last Congress that's still going on this year to look into this matter.

From the consumer's perspective, sharing location data can be helpful and in some cases lifesaving -- services like emergency roadside assistance. So there can be a positive effect to this.

But from our bipartisan work on this issue, we learned that in some cases aggregators were selling data for unauthorized purposes without permission from either the consumer or the carrier.

The FCC is taking a deeper dive into this issue through its enforcement bureau, is my understanding, but the reality is that many carriers have already completely cut off these programs and they aren't coming back.

The alternative many of these services are now going to is the location data collected by tech companies, operating systems, and apps that are constantly tracking users.

The data are different and in many cases they're actually more pervasive and more precise. Not a few meters or hundreds of meters; it is what seat you're in.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with bit may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

My concern is the entire market for location data is being shifted to relatively unregulated entities. Is this really the best outcome for the consumer is the question I have.

So, Chairman Pai, you're investigating the interactions of carriers with location aggregators. Does the Commission have the authority to regulate data aggregators in these situations?

Mr. Pai. We do not. Typically, that would be under the jurisdiction of the Federal Trade Commission.

Mr. Walden. And can the Commission look into the sharing of geolocation data by other entities such as operating systems and apps?

Mr. Pai. Here too we don't have jurisdiction over some of the tech giants that have collected that information.

Mr. Walden. Commissioner O'Rielly, in your testimony you highlight additional mid-band spectrum the FCC should be considering for unlicensed use to support 5G including the 4.9 gigahertz band.

We have got a new discussion draft which would have the Commission conduct a census of the users and how they are utilizing 4.9 licenses and would separately provide a delay in the T-band relocation process for another three years.

You often hear governors or legislators don't get the message on 911 fee diversion. So the other feature here is to tie the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
eligibility for the T-band delay to the integrity of the 911 fees
I know you have put a lot of work into this. You talked
about it in your opening statement as well. Do you think we ar
on the right path?
Mr. O'Rielly. Well, sitting here I am really intrigued k
your idea. There haven't been a lot of new ideas on how to address
those recalcitrant states New York, New Jersey, and Rhode
Island.
Mr. Walden. Rhode Island especially.
Mr. O'Rielly. So I am really intrigued by this that may
what the kick that is needed.
Mr. Walden. I will tell you what. If a business did thi
it would be mail fraud and I government is a business, too
and they ought to be held accountable. But I think it's actuall
worse than the fraud that is being committed because they are
denying rate payers the service they are promising them and you
all ought to be united in this cause.
Does anybody disagree with what we are trying to do here
All right. I am going to take all the shaking heads as yese
and move on, because I just think it is really, really critical

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 w

to build out especially 5G and all, and I appreciate the work

Now, I want to talk about the role of what you are doing

I met with some of my rural electric co-op friends

you are doing.

ay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. ink to the final, official transcript will be posted on the ommittee's website as soon as it is available.
nd they are a little concerned about the effect of some of wha
he FCC is doing when it comes to their equipment and service
nd, literally, can this pole withstand that weight and this,
hat, and the other.
And I assume you are taking that into some level of
nderstanding, right?
Mr. Pai. Yes, Congressman. And, additionally, we have
eached out in particular to those utilities to participate i
hose reverse auctions I described.
Mr. Walden. All right. Perfect.
I want to go to this mapping issue. There is no disagreemen
he maps that are used stink. I mean, somebody said fake news
We have all known that. By the way, it happened in the last
dministration, the one before it.
I sat right on this side in the minority when the majorit
rammed through the stimulus bill and put \$7 billion for broadban
nowing full well the money was going to get allocated before
e knew where the mapping was for served and unserved.
And I had an amendment saying before the money goes out th
oor, could we at least know the unserved and underserved area

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with b.

So we both know on both sides the maps stink, the data stink.

through mapping and that amendment was defeated by my friends

over here.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with the may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
We got to get this right and not overbuild, and I would just
like to say, finally, that we should not discount and I know
there are some that just want to trash corporate America or the
ISPs or whatever they are investing far more than this Congress
has ever invested.
In the cable world they announced last night \$290 billion
over the next decade to build out connectivity broadband to
the home.
And so I think we need to be in a partnership attitude here,
not an adversarial attitude. There's a lot more we can get done
on this committee when we are working together on these issues.
Broadband shouldn't be partisan.
So thank you for being here. Thanks for the work you do.
I know it's controversial but we want to be your partners.
With that, I yield back.
Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
The chair now recognizes the chairman of the full committee,
Mr. Pallone, for five minutes for questions.
Chairman Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I just I just wanted to express my concern that once again

on the eve of an oversight hearing a number of members finally

receive answers to letters sent to the Commission, and it should

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

not take scheduling a hearing to get a response from the FCC.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with find may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Even when we have gotten responses the answers have often been incomplete or not followed the instructions that we gave in the letters, and I hope that this isn't an attempt to delay this committee's oversight.

So I just wanted to ask each commissioner, yes or no, clear

So I just wanted to ask each commissioner, yes or no, clear commitment from each of you -- going down the line -- to avoid this in the future.

So yes or no, will you commit to responding in a timely manner and following the instructions to members' oversight letters, starting with the chairman.

Yes or no?

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

Mr. Pai. Yes.

Chairman Pallone. Thank you.

Mr. O'Rielly?

1438 Mr. O'Rielly. Yes.

Chairman Pallone. Mr. Carr?

Mr. Carr. Yes, happy to respond.

Chairman Pallone. Thank you.

Ms. Rosenworcel?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Yes.

Chairman Pallone. I always mispronounce your name.

And Mr. Starks?

Mr. Starks. Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with the may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1447 Chairman Pallone. All right. I hope so. Thank you. 1448 Next. 1449 Chairman Pai, as you know, putting a stop to the overwhelming 1450 number of robocalls Americans receive daily is a top priority 1451 of mine and many members of the committee. 1452 This subcommittee in fact held a legislative hearing on my 1453 bill and a number of other legislative efforts to curb robocalls 1454 last month and I appreciate that in your opening you focused on 1455 this issue. 1456 But as I noted in my opening statement, voluntary measures 1457 spurred by the FCC don't have a good track record and I fear aren't 1458 going to solve the robocall problem. So that is why in my bill 1459 the Commission would be required to ensure all carriers implement some sort of call authentication protocol. 1460 1461 And I know you have been an advocate of similar technology 1462 but, again, it seems to be adopted on a voluntary basis. 1463 So will you commit to issuing an order by year end that 1464 requires, not, you know, hopes or volunteers but requires carriers 1465 to implement call authentication technology? 1466 Yes or no.

Mr. Pai. Mr. Chairman, I can't -- I share your commitment.

I have said that if they don't implement it this year we will
take regulatory intervention under the Administrative Procedure

1467

1468

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
1470	Act.
1471	I can't commit to finishing that proceeding but we will take
1472	regulatory action if
1473	Chairman Pallone. Right. But my concern is not only over
1474	the time period but also over the fact that it's not enough to
1475	demand it or pressure the carriers because I consider that
1476	voluntary.
1477	I want a requirement. So let's forget let's put aside
1478	the time. Will you require it?
1479	Mr. Pai. If they do not implement call authentication under
1480	the SHAKEN/STIR framework, yes, we will.
1481	Chairman Pallone. Okay. And then what did you say about
1482	year end? Will you try to meet that?
1483	Mr. Pai. Absolutely, Congressman.
1484	Chairman Pallone. All right.
1485	All right. Now, let me ask let me just go to a last series
1486	of questions I know my time is running out about the
1487	resiliency of our wireless and broadband networks.
1488	The FCC had a report recently following Hurricane Michael
1489	that raised real questions about the effectiveness, again, of
1490	a voluntary network resiliency framework.
1491	I have repeatedly asked the Commission to update the
1492	framework but I haven't gotten a response to that. This recent

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $\delta \Omega$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with The may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

report indicates a need, in my opinion, for binding requirements on carriers -- again, binding, not voluntary.

So let me ask Chairman Pai. The FCC is currently re-examining the voluntary wireless resiliency cooperative framework. Will you commit to creating enforceable requirements to protect consumers in the face of future disasters, yes or no? Not just voluntary.

Mr. Pai. Mr. Chairman, on this one I can't answer yes or no. The career staff and the Public Safety Bureau is actively working on that. I would be happy to keep you apprised of our efforts and if --

Chairman Pallone. But I am not -- I am asking basically that you make a commitment definitively to create an enforceable requirement -- some sort of enforceable requirement -- because otherwise I don't think anything happens.

You don't have to tell me how but I want an enforceable requirement. Can you say yes to that?

Mr. Pai. Mr. Chairman, I certainly share your concern about this problem with respect to that framework. It is currently -- carriers don't have to participate in it and so we want to make sure that we ensure that they are adopting the best practices. But we would be happy to look at the mandates that you are suggesting.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\tilde{n}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
Chairman Pallone. Well, can I ask that you try to make it
enforceable?
Mr. Pai. I would be happy to consider that, Mr. Chairman.
We share that consideration.
Chairman Pallone. I think that is not that is not much
of a commitment, Mr. Chairman.
After Hurricane Michael, the FCC issued very stern messages
to the carriers asking them to waive charges and fees for customers
affected by outages.
Did you let me ask the chairman did you make even these
types of bare minimum public statements to carriers serving Puerto
Rico after Hurricane Maria, yes or no?
Talking about you waiving the charges and fees. You did
that for Michael. Was it done for Maria?
Mr. Pai. Mr. Chairman, I personally went to Puerto Rico
twice in the wake of Hurricane Maria and Irma, and you can ask
the governor of Puerto Rico. You can ask the congresswoman from
Puerto Rico.
Chairman Pallone. Yes, but what about waiving the charges

and fees for customers affected?

In addition to delivering \$1 billion to the people in Puerto Rico through the Uniendo a Puerto Rico Fund, we took many other steps to make sure consumers were --

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\mathbb{N} 2 \text{may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Chairman Pallone. Well, you didn't -- again, you're not saying whether you actually did that with regard to waiving the charges and fees. I don't want to get into it, Mr. Chairman.

But I am just very concerned about, you know, the FCC's response to Hurricane Maria has not been adequate. But we will have to deal with that another day.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes Mr. Olson for five minutes.

Mr. Olson. I thank the chair, and welcome to the entire FCC. A special welcome to Chairman Starks. This is your first hearing that I've been here. Congratulations. Glad to have you here.

And a special recognition to Chairman Pai. You are a man of your word. You may recall the last time you came before this committee we found out that Mr. Carr climbed up a tall cell tower. I challenge you as a chairman of the committee to act and lead and, my friend, you did it.

Apparently, on August 27th of last year, you climbed up a 131-foot tower in Colorado. So thank you for keeping your word, and it was pointed out earlier Mr. Carr climbed up a 1,000-foot tower. So you have 900 feet to go to catch up with Mr. Carr.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with In may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1562	[Laughter.]
------	-------------

Mr. Pai. My wife still hasn't forgiven you or him for goading me on.

Mr. Olson. My hometown of Sugar Land, Texas, had a mini Harvey Hurricane last week. Had nine inches of rain in less than two hours. Our streets were flooded all across the city and across Fort Bend County. The Brazos River rose very high.

As you know, viable communications are critically important during disasters like floods and hurricanes. You guys have done a great job on all these alerts that go out -- emergency alerts.

During Harvey just got spammed with alerts. Tornadoes that are 30 miles away and opening -- coming -- sent to me, all we got this time was what was relevant to Sugar Land, Texas. So that is well done.

As you know, communication are important during a storm for first responders and families trying to get information -- should they evacuate, is that road flooded, are the hospitals open.

They made great progress, but as you know, in 16 days the Atlantic hurricane season starts. How is the Commission preparing for the upcoming storm season?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman.

In a variety of ways, and I've had a chance to see some of

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements wit	:hī/r
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker	. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the	
Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

the great work in your district. I saw Sugar Land after Hurricane Harvey, visited Harris County 911, visited the local NBC station that stayed on during the storm to keep people apprised.

So we've taken a number of steps. One is by putting out a number of best practices, recommendations for everybody to use in advance of a hurricane. We have also been working cooperatively with the entire industry, not just communications providers but power companies and others, do encourage them to work together.

We have been working on updating our wireless emergency alert system to be more targeted so that people in this particular neighborhood get the information they need.

Mr. Olson. And I saw it first-hand last week. You guys did that magnificently. Thank you so much.

Mr. Pai. The credit goes to our fantastic career staff at the FCC and the Public Safety Bureau and the Wireless Bureau for helping make that happen.

But we are looking forward to making sure that we equip public safety officials, first responders, and communications companies and others with all the tools they need. We don't want to see an active hurricane season. But if history is any guide, it, unfortunately, might be.

Mr. Olson. It is coming.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with In may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

The next question is for you, Commissioner Pai. testimony you mentioned that there has been a problem in Texas with a overbuilding of what is called the E-rate program. This is a program that is supposed to provide affordable access to advanced telecom services for schools in mostly poor parts of the state, mostly rural parts of the state. You sent a letter to a group called Universal Service Administrative Company -- the USAC. These are the people who approve E-rate funds for Texas schools -- for approving funds for schools that already have access to fiber networks. effect, they're double dipping, taking money from the program that is supposed to create these networks but they already have them. Can you share with us your actions you have taken and the response you have gotten through your questions about the E-rate program in Texas? I appreciate the question, Congressman. My understanding is that Commissioner O'Rielly sent the So I might defer to him in the first instance to -letter. Mr. Olson. I am sorry.

Mr. O'Rielly. That is okay. Thank you, Congressman.

Mr. O'Rielly, I understand the question was for you.

apologies.

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Information may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So you are right, I did write to USAC on this specific issue to try to get to the -- whether E-rate dollars are being -- overbuilding our high cost fund as I met with a number of Texas representatives and it turns out the USAC came forward and said yes, it is happening.

We can't tell you how much but we can tell you that it is happening because they came forward with the lowest bid and it was a competitive process. And my answer is, well, they haven't taken everything into account and they have also manipulated the process to make it the lowest bid.

So I am in the process of trying to figure out how to best address that and I have to have conversations with the chairman on whether we need to change the rules so USAC reflects what's happening in the marketplace today.

Mr. Olson. Thank you. If I can help in any way, let me know.

Last question, very quickly, for you, Commissioner Pai.

Other members of the committee right here don't think the FCC is doing enough to stop robocalls. You guys have this upcoming summit on robocalls.

What do you expect that summit to do to show you guys have been, are, and working hard to stop robocalls?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Iffi may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

It is going to do two basic things. Number one, recognize the progress that has been made by other carriers who have been developing and implementing the SHAKEN/STIR framework, and number two, calling out those who have not done the requisite work, who are not on track to meet the Commission's expectation that they implement call authentication this year. We want to know who's making progress and who is not.

Mr. Olson. A final challenge to the whole Commission.

There's a 2,000-foot tower in Missouri City, Texas -- Texas 22.

If you want to come climb it, I am all in.

I yield back.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

Now I would like to recognize the vice chair of the full committee, Ms. Clarke, for five minutes.

Ms. Clarke. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I thank our ranking member for convening this subcommittee hearing today on the accountability and oversight of the Federal Communications Commission.

It's nice to have full bench before us today. All five of our FCC commissioners are here and I would like to give a hearty welcome to our newest commissioner, Geoffrey Starks.

Chairman Pai, I've written you a letter dated May 9th regarding my concerns about a proposed rulemaking in the matter

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with The may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of the implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable

Communications Policy Act of 1984 as amended by the Cable

Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992.

In particular, the proposed reinterpretation of franchise fee to include cable-related in-kind contributions in the definition.

You know, Congress set up the 1984 Cable Communications Act to compensate communities for the use of their property and public rights of way and to provide local PEG stations the ability to meet the information needs of Americans.

I, along with a number of my colleagues, have urged you not to harm local communities in this rulemaking and as vice chair of the committee I joined them in expressing my concern that these proposed rules will harm communities in my district and I will be closely monitoring the process to ensure that PEGs are held harmless.

Chairman Pai, last week Senator Van Hollen I wrote to you about the FCC's Form 395-B, a wonky name for what is a critical issue for people of color.

Your agency has a statutory mandate to collect information about broadcasters' racial, ethnic, gender diversity in the workplace. But it has been 15 -- let me say it again -- 15 years since the FCC has required broadcasters to submit a Form 395-B

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Manay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

disclosing their workforce diversity.

How can we work to solve the disparities in the workforce without a complete picture of the data? Now, I understand that the FCC has been working to address some issues about the form. But I would like to find out how to resolve those issues and how we can move forward.

And I understand that a draft NPRM on these issues is currently in circulation. Does it include an action to refresh the record on the stalled 395-B issues?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman, and your leadership on these issues, a goal that I share of getting more diversity of ownership into the broadcast sector.

As you pointed out, that proceeding has been pending for some 15 years due in part to some constitutional and statutory obstacles that our general counsel's office for many years has flagged and that chairs of various parties over the past 15 years have recognized.

Nonetheless, as you pointed out, we have a pending notice of proposed rulemaking to target overall issues with the Equal Employment Opportunity in broadcast framework and I look forward to working with my colleagues to finalize that notice of proposed rulemaking and release it so that we can work together.

Ms. Clarke. Mr. Starks, can I get your take on this?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with End may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Starks. Yes. Thank you so much for your leadership on this issues, Congresswoman, and from behind the camera to in front of the news we need to make sure that we have diversity that looks like America -- the cross-section of America.

It is deeply important. That is why I asked the chairman to make sure that we did refresh the record on this 15-year-old rulemaking.

And the thing that I would say is in making that offering I am happy to hear from commenters that there are constitutional issues. I would like those to be raised -- would love to hear the merits there.

What I am asking the chairman to do and what I have asked him and will repeatedly ask him to do is make sure that that issue gets the attention it deserves and then we can have a full record built and then we can close this out. That's just good government.

Ms. Clarke. Well, let's make this a priority. It's becoming more and more increasingly clear to the American people that, particularly in front of the cameras, that diversity is really lacking.

Chairman Pai, the FCC sent out a consumer alert last week about so-called one-ring scams. Beyond alerting the public to the scam, which I am glad you did, is there another action the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with End may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

FCC can take to ensure consumers don't fall victim to these scams?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman -
Congresswoman, rather. That advisory has proven very useful.

We've gotten a lot of consumer feedback -- positive feedback

on it.

Ms. Clarke. And what else can we do?

Mr. Pai. But we are not stopping there and that's why the proposal I announced this morning to allow carriers to block calls by default -- robocalls -- I think would be a significant step.

Right now, because of legal uncertainty over whether it's consistent with the FCC's rules and regulations, some carriers have only allowed those call-blocking tools if the consumer affirmatively opts in. Very few consumers do. And so as a result, companies have not developed the technology fully, certainly have not deployed it fully.

Ms. Clarke. Commissioner Rosenworcel, your take on that?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Look, I used to think it was Rachel from card member services I hated most and then I thought it was that IRS individual with the imminent threat of lawsuit.

But none of us should have to choose. It is crazy the number of scams that are coming in over our phone. Whatever we've done to date with robocalls it is not enough.

We need call authentication technology. Every carrier

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with and be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
should make free tools available to consumers and the FCC should
set up a robocall division because consumers are angry and that's
where we get the bulk of our consumer complaints.
Ms. Clarke. I yield back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady yields back.
The chair now recognizes Mr. Bilirakis for five minutes.
Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it.
I want to follow up on Mr. Olson's question with regard to
the hurricanes. As you know, just last week, the FCC released
its report, Mr. Chairman, on Hurricane Michael recovery and I
appreciate that you actually went down to see the devastation
over there.
So one of the key findings of this states that many of the
communications systems were repaired reasonably quickly and I
appreciate that, only to be, unfortunately, subsequently and
accidentally taken down by debris clean-up crews, unfortunately.
Again, Chairman Pai, I also understand, again, you toured
the area and the damage, which is great. What issues did you
see on the ground and what are the best practices to help ensure
that the delays with recovery from Hurricane Michael do not happen
again?

Mr. Pai. I very much appreciate the question, Congressman.

There were a number of lessons that we learned. Number one,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

there needs to be better prearranged roaming agreements among the carriers to ensure that if one network goes down consumers are able to get connectivity.

Another one was making sure that companies that are in the communication space communicate with those in the power space and vice versa.

One of the biggest issues I heard when I was in Mexico Beach was the fact that some of the fiber crews out there that were going around making sure the fiber lines were back up and running they would do their work and then there might be a fiber cut a hour or two after because the power crew would come through with an augur perhaps and snipped that fiber.

So I want to make sure that those folks are on the same page.

Ultimately, we all have the same goal getting energy and getting comms back up and running quickly. Making sure they're on the same page is a critical part of that.

Mr. Bilirakis. So you think in this respect we are ready?

Because, I mean, hurricane season is upon us.

Mr. Pai. I think we are in a much better position than we were. For example, recently I visited Georgia Power down in Atlanta and one of the things they observed is that they do have a much more integrated relationship with all the communications providers in their service area.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £4 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And so I think we are much more aware of the situation and I think both the carriers and the energy companies have taken that to heart.

Mr. Bilirakis. That's good to know. Thank you.

Again, Chairman Pai, many of our veterans, retirees, and other Americans with hearing loss -- I am one of them -- rely on the IPCTS service that they -- that you administer. Currently, you're considering allowing fully automated speech recognition to replace humans in ensuring accuracy of the service.

I am concerned that such action could result in inferior service as the testing already done does not replicate real-world conditions. Again, Mr. Chairman, would you commit to additional study and testing before you certify any ASR on the provider or service?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate your concern, Congressman, and that's why in our proposal we make clear that before we grant any certification to an IPCTS provider that provider has to ensure that any ASR technology they use meets the mandatory minimum standards for service, that those with disabilities who rely on that service would require.

So yes, that is built in to make sure that the service is top notch.

Mr. Bilirakis. That's so very important and, again, if it's

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £ fi may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. not right and it's just not going to work -- it's not suitable -- and we'd have to have somebody with hearing loss actually test it to make sure that it's actually performing well. So I appreciate you doing that, and if you could follow up with us to make sure that happens I would appreciate it. Thank you very much, and I yield back, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields. The chair now recognizes Mr. Loebsack for five minutes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank Mr. Loebsack. Ranking Member Latta also for having this hearing today. of great issues that we are discussing here -- very significant issues. I continue to have significant concerns about the accuracy of broadband maps and I think many of my colleagues share that concern as well. Chairman Pai, I know we've talked about this in the past. You have spent some time up in northwest Iowa. I remember talking to you about dropped calls and all the rest from -- between Sioux City, my hometown, and I think it was Worthington, Minnesota -- up that way. Commissioner Rosenworcel, you spent some time in my

smaller of the two towns, Baxter and Newton.

district. I am glad you mentioned Baxter first. That's the

1838

1839

1840

1841

1842

1843

1844

1845

1846

1847

1848

1849

1850

1851

1852

1853

1854

1855

1856

1857

1858

1859

1860

Thank you.

We

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\mathbb{n}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

talked about the homework gap, any number of things, when you were there.

And everybody here knows the business case for deployment is really hard to make in a lot of these areas and that's a big part of why we have these problems in the first place.

It's one of the many reasons I am proud to, again, be an original co-sponsor of Chairman Pallone's LIFT America Act because this works toward that goal, making sure that we get broadband out, we get sufficient cell service for all these folks as well.

And we have to make sure that we know where there's good service and where there isn't in the first instance, why -Congressman Costello is no longer with us -- and I worked on our bill to make sure that we have good maps.

And in the interest of getting more accurate maps, what do you think of a challenge or validation process to help improve the accuracy of broadband maps? I know there are several private companies like Ookla and Microsoft that have compelling about who isn't served out there and I think there are nonprofits working on this as well.

But even further, I think there's an opportunity for individual citizens to challenge these maps that misrepresent the service they receive.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £67 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1884 And I want to start first with you, Commissioner Rosenworcel. 1885 I would like to start with you. What do you think about third 1886 party challenger verification process when it comes to mapping? 1887 Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you for the question. I agree with 1888 you. Our maps are a mess and we are not going to fix them sitting 1889 here alone by ourselves in Washington. 1890 We have to go out and get the lived experience of the American 1891 people who know where they get service and where they don't get 1892 service, and we have to find a way that that kind of crowd sourcing 1893 and challenge can come into our process, because the best map 1894 is not going to be built by the people at this table. It's going 1895 to be built by the American public. Mr. Loebsack. 1896 And Commissioner Starks, thank you for 1897 joining the Commission. Did you want to respond to that as well? 1898 Mr. Starks. Yes, I agree, and making sure that we have 1899 accurate data -- validated data -- is going to be critically 1900 I know there are parties out there that are very 1901 interested. 1902 Obviously, it was a public interest group that, looking 1903 through the FCC's data, is the one that bird dogged the fact that 1904 there was a huge issue with barrier free in their submission.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I think also all the tools are going to be helpful here.

I think the newly Open Government Data Act is also something

1905

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with some may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

that requires the FCC to make sure that data is published in a machine readable format is going to be an important way also to make sure that folks are able to validate and test this data.

Mr. Loebsack. Thank you. I would like to move on now.

Chairman Pai and other commissioners here, I have a question about E-rate. In particular, there's been recent reporting about an NPRM before the FCC.

Likely it will be published this week perhaps, which proposes the Universal Service Fund cap, and this has me rather concerned, as you might imagine, because we are talking about a cap on the whole Universal Service Fund. Under that we have a lot of different programs that compete for the moneys, obviously, potentially.

In particular, one of my concerns is the contention that E-rate and rural health care -- RHC programs -- be combined under a single cap.

Commissioner Pai, do you think a cap will help consumers meet their broadband needs and shrink the digital divide and what would this proposal -- would this proposal close the broadband homework gap facing rural students or not?

Mr. Pai. Congressman, your question presupposes a conclusion. We are now in the process of thinking about the notice of proposed rulemaking that would tee up a lot of different

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927

1928

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with&next may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
ideas.
Mr. Loebsack. All the more reason why I ask it now, so that
you get the input from us.
Mr. Pai. Yes, and we are not moving forward with a report
and order at this stage. What is on the table is a notice of
proposed rulemaking that tees up the question that if all of the
four subsidiary programs under USF themselves have a cap or a
budget should the overall program have a cap that it institutes
fiscal responsibility and the like.
And so that's one of the things we'd be happy to work with
you on, going forward.
Mr. Loebsack. Thank you.
Commissioner Rosenworcel?
Ms. Rosenworcel. I agree with you, Congressman. I think
this is a problem. I don't think it's a good idea to have kids
in rural classrooms fighting with telemedicine providers to get
dollars for broadband. That's like the Universal Service Hunger
Games. I don't think we need it.
Mr. Loebsack. Thank you.
I am running out of time. I did want to talk about ACAM,
an Alternative Connect America cost model, and in particular,

original order and the subsequent guidance.

talk about the eligibility of home-based businesses between this

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\Omega\$ nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

We have a lot of companies in Iowa. We need, you know, clarification about this. I've got a petition here from a couple of companies in Iowa having to do with small businesses who are at home, how we -- how we count them in all of this as well, how we account for them, and I would like to submit that petition for the record if I could, Mr. Chair, and I yield.

Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered.

[The information follows:]

1961

1962

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

| *********COMMITTEE INSERT******

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1963	Mr. Loebsack. Thank you.
1964	Mr. Doyle. The gentleman's time has expired.
1965	The chair now recognizes Mr. Kinzinger for five minutes.
1966	Mr. Kinzinger. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for yielding.
1967	I would like to thank all of you for being here and your service,
1968	and I am sure it's always a blast. So thank you for doing it.
1969	I would also like to thank the FCC for its work to get multiple
1970	high bands of spectrum to auction, which helps our global rates
1971	to 5G.
1972	I understand that NOAA recently expressed some anxiety about
1973	the FCC's auction of the 24 gigahertz band a band that's
1974	critical to building out 5G services.
1975	These concerns revolve around possible interference with
1976	weather sensors that operate in a nearby spectrum band. But,
1977	apparently NOAA only raised these issues right before the 24
1978	gigahertz auction started.
1979	So my top priority when I come to work every day is U.S.
1980	national security and the safety of the constituents I represent
1981	and I tend to view policy through that lens, first and foremost.
1982	So, Chairman Pai, with that in mind, will you take a moment
1983	to make the FCC's case on this matter and can you assuage these
1984	concerns?
1985	Mr. Pai. I would be happy to, Congressman, and appreciate

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\Omega\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

your concern.

Back in 2017, the FCC teed up the 24 gigahertz band, in particular, the appropriate protection limits in terms of the power emissions and the like for devices that would be using these bands for purposes of 5G.

And what we said to all federal agencies was if you have technical studies that can be validated that suggest that a protection limit that is different from the one that the FCC has applied for two decades is appropriate, let us know, and we'd be happy to take that into account.

We never got a validated study over the subsequent two years. Shortly before the 24 gigahertz auction commenced and after the official position of the United States government was formed for the purposes of an international conference that would be considering the appropriate protection limits for the 24 gigahertz band, among others, we heard this concern.

We still have not received a validated study. We have not gotten access to the data underlying that study. But nonetheless we have been working cooperatively with all federal partners to see if there's a way to accommodate or at least to understand what their concerns are.

In the middle of the 24 gigahertz auction, however, that is not the time to produce invalidated studies and do the other

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\mathbb{n}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

sorts of public relations campaigns that I don't think advance the ball in terms of leadership on 5G or reinforce the importance of these passive weather sensors or other important federal functions that are in bands that are nearby.

Mr. Kinzinger. Do you think that the Commission needs to tighten the limits for out of bound interference or is that more what you're trying to figure out?

Mr. Pai. Congressman, to be frank, this is an engineering problem. This is not a policy or political problem. That is always the lens that I have used to scrutinize this.

And so one of the things we have established for our satisfaction at least and for the purposes of the official U.S. government position is that a protection limit of -20 dB is the appropriate one.

If we get technical studies suggesting that a different dB level is appropriate for a protection limit, we'd be happy to hear that.

But what we don't want to see is the -- sort of the hyperbolic commentary that is not based on technical studies but is more of a political shot at the agency -- at the entire U.S. government at this point, which is designed not to advance the ball in terms of 5G or protecting those weather sensors, but is simply trying to score points up here on the Hill.

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with MA may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Kinzinger. And, of course, I assume you will commit to work with other agencies and Congress to assess any reported cases --

Mr. Pai. We have consistently had an open door, and I can tell you I've consistently instructed my staff from the international bureau, wireless bureau, every bureau and office at the agency if you get a request for information or a request for coordination, have an open door. Talk to them. And our teams have always been willing to do that.

Mr. Kinzinger. Thank you.

And last week you guys voted unanimously to prohibit China Mobile from doing business in the U.S. on national security grounds.

You're also in the midst of proceedings to consider a prohibition on USF resources being used to purchase equipment from companies that pose similar threats.

Just yesterday, the White House indicated the president is preparing to sign the executive order to ban telecom equipment from certain providers. We are talking Huawei, ZTE, and others.

I applaud the Commission's proposal to protect our telecom networks and, by extension, the privacy and security of the American people and the government.

Some organizations have filed comments opposing these

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\Delta\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

proposed actions on supply chain security and network integrity while others believe they don't go far enough.

I, personally, find myself in that latter camp. In the digital age our communications networks simultaneously serve as the hammer of Thor but also our Achilles' heel.

Networks allow our military services to coordinate operations from opposite ends of the Earth. But if a foreign adversary were able to disrupt or degrade our networks, we'd face severe consequences and if they were able to actually direct our networks that would be catastrophic.

That being said, if there's a way to secure ourselves while bolstering commerce and protecting smaller companies who have made substantial investment in rural networks. I think it's a preferable option.

Would you just briefly explain in 20 seconds why the FCC is only considering applying these to the USF fund and why the rule would only be prospective?

Mr. Pai. Congressman, the short answer is that we have jurisdiction over the Universal Service Funding that we distribute and so we can condition that funding on making sure that it is not used on equipment or services that have been determined by the intelligence community, the national security community and others to present a national security threat to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the United States.

We don't have, necessarily, jurisdiction over all of other activities in the communications space. That said, if Congress augments our authority, I can tell you that we would be happy to administer that authority.

This is a major issue for American national security. When it comes to this issue, we cannot take a risk and simply hope for the best. When you're talking about a 5G network, for example, that is managed using software from abroad, that -- those small cells are near a military installation, the last thing we want is for somebody that presents a national security threat to be able to gain access to that or otherwise exploit it.

Mr. Kinzinger. Well, I thank you all for your leadership on that issue, and I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes Mr. Veasey for five minutes.

Mr. Veasey. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning to the commissioners for being here today to speak with us.

I represent the Dallas/Fort Worth area and many of you probably have read that we are one of the fastest growing areas in the entire country. I think we've got over a million people -- new residents in the Dallas/Fort Worth area according to early preliminary census numbers since 2010 and that's really great.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \mathfrak{M} may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

But in spite of that, I have one of the lowest income districts in the entire country, based on per capita household income, and out of the constituents that I represent over 800 are veterans and over 5,000 senior citizens that are taking advantage of the -- of some of the programs that you guys offer.

And I wanted to ask you specifically -- and as a matter of fact, the district that I represent has -- is the seventh highest congressional district in Texas with Lifeline subscribers.

And I wanted to specifically ask you is -- because it's come to my attention that the FCC has introduced an item on circulation that would put in place overall caps on four programs that serve many low-income and elderly Texans.

And, Commissioner O'Rielly, I specifically wanted to ask you, you discussed the need for fiscal responsibility using the taxpayers -- using funds that taxpayers contribute to the Universal Service Fund fees and the need to prevent fraud and waste and abuse in the Universal Services Fund program.

Do you think the lack of transparency the FCC has exhibited in providing the number of enrolled subscribers to these programs is helpful in determining whether an overall cap should be implemented with the purpose of deterring waste, fraud, and abuse?

Mr. O'Rielly. So I appreciate your question. Congressman Loebsack before you talked about what the overall cap would do.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\Omega\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

This belief -- and I've been called a corporate shill and now, you know, Hunger Games in terms of this effort.

I brought a map with me. I am happy to submit it for the record -- or not map, a graph to highlight what the cap and where the delta is between the two -- the two lines in terms of where the spending is today, where it's expected to go, and where the proposed cap is. It's a \$2 billion delta.

So the idea that there's going to be any cuts to the four programs, which, by the way, all have caps today, the Lifeline cap is a soft cap but it does require action by the Commission.

And I am -- people said, oh, this is a back door way to do a Lifeline cap. I will do a front door approach on a Lifeline cap because I think we need to have responsibility.

To your question do I think that there is adequate information regarding the data in Lifeline, I think more can be available. I think there are some questions regarding the verifier program and its application.

I have been meeting with a number of providers who have been worried about the re-enrollments rate and the adoption rates in different states that we've adopted that, and I've been preparing to talk to USAC about that because there's discrepancy between where we think the numbers are going and where the providers are going in terms of that behavior.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Veasey. What is the FCC doing to ensure that people who are eligible for these programs understand what benefits are available to them and what is being done to give providers incentives to continue to participate in the programs?

Mr. O'Rielly. I think the chairman is better in terms of answering some of those parts of the equation.

Mr. Pai. I appreciate that, Commissioner, and I appreciate your question, Congressman.

We are doing a number of things. Most notably, in the context of the national verifier we are working very hard to link up with other databases to enable them to be eligible.

For example, currently there are three states that I believe by early June are going to be up and running. I think Texas might be one of them but let me double check and get back to you on that.

But the FCC's national verifier database would essentially link up with the SNAP and there is another database that we connect with.

Additionally, I personally requested to Seema Verma, who is the head of the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, to work with us on the IT integration that is necessary to make sure that our databases mesh.

And assuming we can solve those IT problems I would hope

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2170	that later this year we'll be able to make sure that that is fully
2171	integrated, which would be another way of ensuring that we ping
2172	those low-income consumers on a variety of different axis. So
2173	whether it's health care, I would like to make sure they get those
2174	benefits.
2175	Mr. Veasey. Real quick, Commissioner Rosenworcel, would
2176	you please follow up on that?
2177	Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you, Congressman.
2178	Your concerns are totally valid. We have before us a
2179	proposal to cut Lifeline by 70 percent. That would cut off the
2180	veterans in your district, the elderly people who rely on it and
2181	some of the least connected people in this country.
2182	I think it's cruel. I think we need to end this proceeding
2183	right now.
2184	Mr. Veasey. Thank you. Thank you very much.
2185	Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
2186	Mr. Doyle. I thank the gentleman.
2187	The chair now recognizes Mr. Scalise for five minutes.
2188	Mr. Scalise. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the
2189	time and I want to thank the commissioners for your service, for
2190	coming here and, you know, just having this conversation with
2191	us as the marketplace keeps expanding.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

It's exciting as we talk about 5G, as we talk about also

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

confronting some of the challenges within the industry and the growth in the industry. We want to make sure that the industry can keep growing as it is and America leading the world in technology and really you all are at the forefront of it.

The policy is real important to make sure that we are not having policy that gets in the way. I want to talk about a couple of things first on something that we had worked on together for years and that is the consolidated reporting -- to actually have all of the different various reports that the FCC had to do that were ridiculous, outdated.

You all had to do a report -- required by law to do a report on competition within the telegraph industry. I know a lot of people out there in the telegraph industry probably eagerly anticipated that report. But Samuel Morse would probably agree that that time has come and passed.

And, finally, we were able to get rid of that report among a number of others, and then with some of them you had to do annual reports that really didn't make sense and they were all done in siloes.

And now that we do have this first report that came out -the consolidated report -- it put a lot of work on you all's plate
to come up with the first report that came out in December.

And I want to first ask how did that process work, is it

2.214

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Draway be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

working the way we anticipated in terms of how the law gave you that ability to stop having to do things that didn't make sense and to come up with something that can actually help people, guide people, about where the industry should go.

If you, Chairman Pai, would fill us in.

Mr. Pai. I appreciate your question, Congressman, and the leadership this entire committee showed in passing that legislation sorely needed. It was something that I believed in strongly.

When I was a commissioner I used to complain about the sixteenth, seventeenth iteration of the ORBIT Act report which reported to Congress yes, the satellite was indeed privatized in 2001 and nothing has changed since then.

I can now tell you that I know as the chairman, having stewarded the first such report under the Consolidated Reporting Act, it is a tremendous benefit to be able to free up those staff resources that otherwise would be spent compiling these reports that nobody would read or that were otherwise outdated.

On things that really deliver value for the public interest, it's been a huge help. And so we'd be happy to work with you if there are additional consolidations in the works, so to speak, and I can tell you though that it's -- from an administrative perspective alone it has been worth its weight in gold.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Draw may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Scalise. Well, I appreciate that. It's good to hear.

I do want to know because we've talked a lot about this too and
that is that many of the laws that govern the video marketplace
today are governed by the 1992 Cable Act.

Now, back in 1992 I am sure it was a good bill. You had cable companies. You had, literally, monopolies and monopolies. Cable companies would negotiate with, at the time, the three broadcast networks and that was most of what you had.

And then as you had some of the different cable companies starting to develop and emerge, in time we came up with satellite and broadband, fiber, and so many other things.

Now you have over the top. People are cutting their cord because they can do so many things whether it's Sling or Roku or you have got streaming services, and all of that is kind of the wild, wild West because the 1992 law is outdated.

And so as we talk about how to get a more updated version of this, and I know I've worked on some things. You have worked on some things. Some other members of the committee have been working on ways to update these laws.

If you can give us any of your input, both Chairmen Pai and O'Rielly. I know we've talked about these, too -- about things that we need to do or should be thinking about to update what maybe was modern at the time in 1992 but now is very outdated

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with nay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

and not up to date with all of the changes that have happened in the video market place.

Mr. Pai. Congressman, that issue you have targeted, which is the transformation of the marketplace, matched by stasis in our rules, is one of the most fundamental challenges we have with respect to our media regulations.

I would defer to you, of course. You have done a lot of great bipartisan work on this issue in terms of the particular legislative vehicle.

What I will say is something that I proposed six years ago as a commissioner. Congress cannot always act, certainly, not quickly, with respect to some of these issues.

But what would be extremely helpful is if we had something similar to what we have on the telecom side. Under Section 10 of the Telecom Act of 1996, for example, Congress extended to the FCC forbearance authority if the Commission determines that it's no longer in the interest of the competition or the public interest to retain a particular regulation or statutory mandate.

We have the power to refrain from enforcing it. To have similar authority for non-telecom services would enable the FCC to work with much greater dispatch, it would allow us to align our rules with the realities of the current marketplace, and would now allow you to see the benefits of the innovation and investment

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Dri
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
that could take place if we didn't have rules on the books that
were holding it back.
Mr. Scalise. Thanks. I know I am running out of time but
I appreciate all of you being here, and as we continue to work
to make sure ultimately it's the consumers that we want to see
get the best benefit because competition benefits the consumer
and we want to make sure that the laws that we pass and that we
have on the books are up to date and recognize where we are today
so the consumers can continue to benefit from that competition
and lower prices and more options for them.
So with that, I appreciate the work you do and I yield back,
Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
The chair now recognizes Mr. McEachin for five minutes.
Mr. McEachin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and let me start
by thanking you and Chairman Pallone for holding this important
hearing.

Despite funding and bipartisan support, rural broadband deployment in this country has lagged behind where it should be for far too long.

In the past few years, we have spent billions of dollars on efforts to expand broadband internet services in rural America, and yet, while some progress has been made, we are still in need

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 0 m
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of greater expansion.

We all know the detrimental effects lack of internet access can have on communities including creating disincentives for businesses to locate in such areas.

I know this first-hand. Before coming to Congress I was a small business owner and when attempting to expand my business we had to make decisions in terms of not just which populations we wanted to serve but also which communities had sufficient connectivity.

In one instance, we were forced to abandon a promising location because of inadequate broadband access. This experience reinforced why it is so important that we do better and an important first step for us to expand broadband is to understand where it exists or, in other words, ensure that we have accurate data in mapping.

Chairman Pai, first of all, thank you for appearing before the subcommittee today. Is it safe to assume that you believe it is important to have accurate, more granular data in maps regarding where broadband currently exists? Yes or no.

Mr. Pai. Yes, sir.

Mr. McEachin. I also gather from your August 2017 press release on the FCC's 2017 FMPRM regarding the improvements to Form 477 you believe it does not currently reflect the best

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
possible way to collect this data, especially the form's language
that allows ISPs to claim coverage of an entire census block if
one household or establishment is connected within said block.
Is that correct? Yes or no.
Mr. Pai. Yes.
Mr. McEachin. Excuse me. Are there current steps in place
to verify ISPs' self-reported 477 data is accurate? Briefly,
what are those steps?
Mr. Pai. Congressman, we are currently in the process of
revamping that Form 477 process, working with stakeholders from
different sectors of the industry to figure out how to improve
it.
And the problem you identified about the census block being
deemed covered if a single household in the block is getting
service but nowhere else is, that's one of the things we are trying
to get at is how do we get more granular information.
So we are evaluating different proposals for how to move
forward on it. But we share that goal. We want to make sure
working with stakeholders including some of the rural broadband
advocates you described that we get a better sense of where
broadband is and, more importantly, where it is not.
Mr. McEachin. Thank you.

|| This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 0π

In March of this year, BarrierFree made claims on its 477

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
data asserting it went from serving zero census blocks as of June
30th, 2017, to serving nearly 1.5 million blocks containing nearly
20 percent of the U.S. population in just six months.
This level of deployment would have made BarrierFree the
fourth largest U.S. provider in population coverage. One of the
states allegedly they allegedly had complete coverage was
Virginia.
In a press release earlier this month, you stated that
you stated you have since corrected the data in that report, which
I do appreciate. But I am curious as to how BarrierFree's 477
Form was not realized through the verification process before
your office put out a press release.
Are there other providers whose data is also inaccurate
within the report? If not, how confident are you that that is
the case and what steps have you taken to verify said data?
Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman.
Immediately after learning about that issue I directed staff to
look into it and we made the appropriate corrections and we've
also asked them to scrub all of the data to make sure that
everything in the report is accurate.

And so we issued to the fellow commissioners the revamped report where those numbers would still show the digital divide closing, albeit not as much as was originally projected.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements withind may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. McEachin. Mr. Starks, while I know that you have only been there a hundred days, would you share your perspective with

Mr. Starks. Yes. Thank you so much for the question. This gets to sound data practices -- that there is not what I think I heard the chairman just say is that after the fact now he's asked for a scrub of the data.

The fact that there was not an outlier detection for a new entrant -- in my mind a new entrant probably should have been scrubbed even more on the front end because they haven't previously submitted 477 information.

The fact that a red flag didn't pop up when somebody goes from zero to nearly 62 million households is something that I think the data process needs to be corrected.

And, obviously, when we are talking about 477s we need to make sure that we have a better understanding of not at the census block level but at the address level I think is going to be important.

Mr. McEachin. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now recognizes Mr. Johnson for five minutes.

Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

us?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the Commission for being with us this morning.

2400

2401

2402

2403

2404

2405

2406

2407

2408

2409

2410

2411

2412

2413

2414

2415

2416

2417

2418

2419

2420

2421

2422

Chairman Pai, thank you especially for making yourself available last week to sit down and chat. During our session we talked about how we are just now learning about the educational broadband services capability and the 2 gigahertz band and how that might be a tactical solution to roll out some broadband access to rural parts of the country, my district being one of those, and you know they're very, very hard to serve.

I understand there are a number of rural operators that would love to partner with educational groups to deploy broadband quickly and in some cases have already done so in some of the most remote areas of the country.

I even heard about a Native American tribe in Arizona getting a special license waiver and deploying a network in one day for under \$20,000.

Now, I think if we can use EBS technology to deploy broadband to the bottom of the Grand Canyon, we can just as easily get it out to rural parts of my district.

So how does a qualified entity from my district apply for an EBS license?

Mr. Pai. So, Congressman, that proceeding is pending right now. We have made a notice of proposed rulemaking a while ago to figure out how best to use that 2.5 gigahertz resource for

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2423	the benefit of consumers in rural areas, and that is one of the
2424	concerns that was expressed in the record was the fact that
2425	historically it has not been used to the maximum extent it could
2426	be.
2427	And so that is one of the things we are exploring is how
2428	to work with various stakeholders including
2429	Mr. Johnson. I am just curious. Do you have any idea when
2430	that's going to be finalized?
2431	Mr. Pai. I don't have any announcements to make today.
2432	But what I can tell you is that we do recognize the interest in
2433	this particular band and look forward to working with Congress
2434	and other stakeholders to make sure it's wisely utilized.
2435	Mr. Johnson. Okay. Well, good. Well, I look forward to
2436	hearing more about that because it is from the little bit that
2437	I know it seems like it might have big promise in rural parts
2438	of the country.
2439	You know, one of the the solution we most frequently hear
2440	about in terms of broadband expansion is some sort of government
2441	subsidization or assistance to bring broadband to underserved
2442	areas.
2443	That is great. But it feels like this is only part of a
2444	solution that will have many different components. For instance,
2445	 the private sector already invests billions in private capital

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
each year in broadband.
Are there federal regulations on the books that you believe
disincentivize private investment in broadband deployment of
wireless and wireline networks in rural areas?
Mr. Pai. I do believe there are a great many federal rules
and regulations, Congressman, that stand in the way.
Mr. Johnson. Well, could you could you supply us with
a comprehensive list so that we can go to work on those? Because
as I mentioned to you last week, we need to start showing some
real progress on rural broadband expansion.
Mr. Pai. I would be happy to oh sorry.
Mr. Johnson. And also as I mentioned in our meeting last
week I have heard many concerns from my constituents and other
members and even some of the commissioners about the FCC's current
approach to mapping.
Chairman Pai, what is being done to improve the mapping
process?
Mr. Pai. In addition to some of the work we have been doing,
as I mentioned in response to a previous question, we have an
ongoing proceeding on the From 477 process to make sure that we

It is not enough to say that a census block is covered if only a household within that block is covered. So we are working

get more granular detail on where broadband is.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. with various stakeholders to figure out how to make that data more granular. On the mobile side, when I first came to office we started a new data collection for wireless broadband because we did not think that the data that we were getting was sufficient. And so in the context of the Mobility Fund Phase II, we started to be poke a data collection effort. Unfortunately, we have not started an enforcement investigation to a provider or providers who we believe may have submitted inaccurate data. But what I can tell you is we are looking to make sure that we have a more accurate sense of mobile broadband coverage as well. Well, I can tell you, you know, I, for one, and I am sure members on both sides of the aisle would agree, I stand ready to help and if there's anything that we can do, get us that list of regulations that we need to tackle to begin breaking down the barriers so that we can show some real results in broadband expansion to rural America. I would be happy to do that, sir. Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back. The chair now recognizes Mr. Soto for five minutes.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Soto.

2469

2470

2471

2472

2473

2474

2475

2476

2477

2478

2479

2480

2481

2482

2483

2484

2485

2486

2487

2488

2489

2490

2491

I have the honor of

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
representing central Florida, a very diverse area from tourism
and technology in the north and suburbs to rural areas in the
south, and I think about how whether it is cell phones, the

One of the areas that we have is the busiest space port in the nation and in the world at Cape Canaveral and we are concerned about finishing up rulemaking for the area of the spectrum that is utilized for American rockets.

internet, you name it, in technology how it is so integral to

More specifically, the FCC regulates spectrum used almost every day by American rockets launched to space including our missions to the Space Station.

But we haven't finished with the rulemaking that started in 2013, and even as the number of launches have dramatically increased.

Chairman Pai, you were supportive of that rulemaking when it first came out. Will you commit that the FCC will finish that rulemaking so that as we get into a busier rocket season over the next couple of years that we'll be ready to go?

Mr. Pai. Congressman, I appreciate the question. I have been to a launch in the last year. So I have seen how impressive it is and how important it is.

We certainly want America to lead the way in space. Now,

2492

2493

2494

2495

2496

2497

2498

2499

2500

2501

2502

2503

2504

2505

2506

2507

2508

2509

2510

2511

2512

2513

2514

our lives.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
the frequencies that are used to support commercial space launches
are allocated exclusively to the federal government.
And so, traditionally, we've had to go through the special
temporary authority route to do that. Now, the proceeding that
you're talking about deals with frequency allocations as opposed
to the licensing processes for launches.
So one of the things we will have to explore is how to proceed
to accommodate the concern which I share American leadership
in space but also on the basis of a record that has been fully
fleshed out.
So I would be happy to work with you on that and keep you
updated.
Mr. Soto. Well, please submit any proposed legislation and
ideas that we could help to make sure that we can get this done
because we are launching rockets with greater frequency and we'll
have human space flight again probably this year at the Cape.
So we want to be ready for that. Thank you.
My next question is regarding Hurricane Maria. I am of
Puerto Rican descent and we have many of us. The largest diaspora
in the nation is in Florida.

You know, we saw the largest death toll in the modern history with nearly 3,000 people dying and some of that was because the electricity couldn't get back up. But some of it was by virtue

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2538 of communication.

I know, Commissioner Rosenworcel, you had talked a little bit about it with Chairman Pallone's question. But what could we -- what have we learned and can do better with regard to responding to hurricanes, particularly those in islands?

We have states that are islands. We have parts of states that are island. We have territories that are islands. What could we do better?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you for the question. I spent time in Puerto Rico after the hurricane. I also spent time there as a child, as I had family that lived there, and it was devastating beyond description what I saw.

And it is now a year -- more than a year later and they're still rebuilding. There are two things right now that the FCC should do.

First, we have got to stop the threat to their Lifeline program. Half a million individuals in Puerto Rico rely on that program to stay connected. They are trying to put their lives back together. We have got to stop threatening to take their service away.

Second, the chairman started a proceeding to identify over the long term how Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands can rebuild their networks as a result of Hurricane Maria. We need to bring

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
that to a conclusion.
And then finally, in the aftermath of all of these storms
I think we have to stop acting like voluntary procedures next
time are going to work better.
We need to put some requirements in our rules and learn from
these disasters to make sure these problems do not happen again.
Mr. Soto. Thank you for that. One of the issues we are
looking at is whether to activate FM chips in cell phones during
disasters. It is something I encourage you all to look at and
if we do need some legal authority to empower you for that it's
something I am interested in working with you on.
Overall survey question for all of you, very quickly. We
had the FTC in last week. So who which institution is best
positioned to enforce potentially new net neutrality rules, the
FCC or FTC?
It would be great to go down the line, starting with you,
Chairman. Which institution is better positioned to enforce
those rules?
Mr. Pai. Depending on which rules you were talking about,
T would say the Federal Trade Commission

2582 Mr. O'Rielly. I don't s

Mr. Soto. Mr. O'Rielly?

Mr. O'Rielly. I don't support the rules. But I would say

2583 -

2584	Mr. Soto. That is not the question.
2585	Mr. O'Rielly. Sure.
2586	Mr. Soto. Which institution is better?
2587	Mr. O'Rielly. I think the FTC has the appropriate authority
2588	in this space.
2589	Mr. Soto. Mr. Carr?
2590	Mr. Carr. Thank you. We are now in we are now in a
2591	situation where we don't have to make that choice. Right now,
2592	the FCC can work
2593	Mr. Soto. Okay. But which that is not the question.
2594	Is FCC or FTC better situated to enforce those rules?
2595	Mr. Carr. Right now we have the best of all worlds. We
2596	have the FCC that can work with the Federal Trade Commission to
2597	
2598	Mr. Soto. Okay. Nonresponsive.
2599	Ms. Rosenworcel?
2600	Ms. Rosenworcel. The answer is the FCC. The Congress made
2601	us
2602	Mr. Soto. Mr. Starks? My time is limited. Sorry,
2603	everyone.
2604	Mr. Starks. There is no doubt it's the FTC.
2605	Mr. Soto. Thank you, and I yield back.
2606	Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2607 The chair now recognizes Mr. Walberg for five minutes. 2608 Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to the 2609 panel for being here, and Mr. Pai -- Chairman, we appreciate your 2610 work and willingness to take the hits at times. 2611 Chairman Pai, like you, one of my top priorities is expanding 2612 broadband access to rural America and I know Commissioner Carr 2613 understands that, having been in my district, heard my repeated 2614 whining about broadband needs, and Commissioner O'Rielly as well. 2615 Thank you for listening to my whining also. 2616 But it's an important thing and yesterday I led a letter 2617 with my colleagues from the Commission delegation to you outlining 2618 the need to reform the Commission's broadband availability maps. 2619 And, Mr. Chairman, I would like to enter this letter into 2620 the record. 2621 Mr. Doyle. Without objection, so ordered. 2622 [The information follows:] 2623

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

Committee's website as soon as it is available.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with	1.21 <u>0</u>
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker.	. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the	
Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

Mr. Walberg. Thank you.

As you know, the Commission's proceeding to modernize its data collection has been open for almost a year. I also want to thank our Republican Leader Walden for his focus on this issue, going back a number of years.

My question is when examining potential fixes to this process, have you coordinated with other federal agencies that track broadband availability or other federal support for the deployment of broadband facilities to ensure your data collection is standardized to the greatest extent possible?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman. The answer is yes. For example, we have worked with the Department of Commerce, the Department of Agriculture, as well as non-industry stakeholders to figure out the appropriate way forward.

Mr. Walberg. This certainly seems like a building block to better interagency coordination and --

Mr. Pai. I would agree.

Mr. Walberg. -- lacking wasting of resources.

Chairman Pai, yesterday the Commission announced it authorized the release of another \$111 million in CAF II funding to expand broadband to unserved areas, while none of it went to Michigan, including where I live and where I am unserved myself.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £n may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I am hopeful subsequent authorizations recognize the unserved communities in my district and throughout the state.

The Commission recently announced that it is contemplating a \$20 billion rural digital opportunities fund to offer high cost universal service support.

How do you plan to coordinate with federal agencies like the rural utility service at the Department of Agriculture to ensure those funds aren't used to support projects that are competing against other federally subsidized projects?

Mr. Pai. A great question, Congressman.

First, though, I want to make sure that I make clear that the initial disbursement of funds that you referenced yesterday there are other winners for the Connect America Fund who are in Michigan. It wasn't just in this tranche, however. So we will keep you posted.

We certainly want your constituents and you to get the benefits of broadband.

Mr. Walberg. Well, we look forward to that.

Mr. Pai. Absolutely.

With respect to your question, there are a few different things that we would like to see in the rural digital opportunities and I would like to see, depending, of course, on the Commission's assent.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\hat{L}\hat{L}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2671 One is to use that basic mechanism of the reverse auction 2672 to encourage all kinds of companies to compete. For example, 2673 electric utilities, cable companies, and others might have a 2674 deeper footprint in your district than a traditional recipient 2675 of those funds. We want to encourage all of them to compete. 2676 Additionally, we have service thresholds that we believe 2677 will encourage the highest quality service. It's not enough to 2678 say, as the previous Connect America Fund did, well, 10.1 2679 megahertz per second service that is good enough. 25.3, we think, 2680 should be the standard and our hope is we will be able to encourage 2681 that. Additionally, accountability -- we want to make sure those 2682 2683 funds are used for the purpose that they were intended for. 2684 so there will be accountability mechanisms to make sure that if 2685 somebody says we are going to serve that district in Michigan 2686 they do in fact serve it within the time frame and at the service 2687 threshold they promise us they will. 2688 Mr. Walberg. Good. We don't just want talking points and 2689 2690 Absolutely. Mr. Pai. -- we feel we deserve that service as well. 2691 Mr. Walberg. 2692 So thank you.

Commissioner O'Rielly, is there anything you would like to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2nd
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

2694 | add?

Mr. O'Rielly. Well, I would just add that as nice as -- and I agree with my colleague's point -- other agencies will have the coordination, will have the conversation. But absent congressional statutory language, they have a tendency to go their own route, as we have seen in conversations with outside parties, what's happening at the Department of Agriculture, and we've seen that in the past as it relates to the Department of Commerce.

So absent Congress saying that this is what we expect, it is not just coordination but actually duplication that no overbuilding happen. Then the areas that we are going to spend time on are not going to be the unserved areas such as in your particular case.

Mr. Walberg. One final point, and I know I am running out of time. Workforce -- what is the Commission doing to ramp up the workforce?

Mr. Pai. Great question, and Commissioner Carr has done a lot of work on the infrastructure side. I will say, just very briefly since time is short, we set up a working group as part of our broadband deployment advisory committee to look at the jobs training and other necessary steps to build that workforce of the future.

These are high-quality good-paying jobs. But they won't

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2717	be filled if we don't make an effort to encourage that pipeline
2718	of workers. So be happy to work with you on that and this is
2719	something that I think would have application around the country.
2720	This infrastructure is needed everywhere.
2721	Mr. Walberg. Thank you. Thank you.
2722	I yield back.
2723	Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
2724	The chair now recognizes Mr. O'Halleran for five minutes.
2725	Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,
2726	Commissioners, for appearing before us today to discuss your role
2727	in overseeing today's rapidly evolving telecommunications
2728	landscape.
2729	Since joining the Energy and Commerce Committee, I have made
2730	addressing rural broadband my number-one priority. According
2731	to Congressional Research Services, only 39 percent of Arizonans
2732	in rural areas have access to broadband at 25.3 speeds.
2733	Even the FCC's latest tribal broadband reports states that
2734	36 percent of tribal households lack any access to broadband at
2735	25.3 speeds.
2736	I believe, based on what I have heard so far, that that number
2737	is probably much lower. This is simply unacceptable in America
2738	today. Access to reliable broadband means access to cutting-edge
2739	capabilities of modern technology, including telemedicine,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2A may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

2740 online education, and global connectivity. 2741 Closing the digital divide is not only important for rural 2742 America but also for Indian country. For instance, I represent 2743 the Havasupai Tribe at the bottom of the Grand Canyon, making 2744 them the most remote tribe in the lower 48 states. 2745 This tribe was previously unserved by any commercial 2746 solution, yet was recently able to leverage an educational 2747 broadband service spectrum license to provide a broadband network 2748 to their community in just one day. 2749 Mr. Chairman, I ask for submission of a Seattle Times article 2750 for the record. 2751 I urge the FCC --2752 Mr. Doyle. Without objection. 2753 [The information follows:] 2754 2755 *********************************

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 25 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

Committee's website as soon as it is available.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2nd may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I urge the FCC to pursue license spectrum strategies to target the truly underserved areas.

Chairman Pai, per Section 508 of the RAY BAUM'S Act, what steps will your commission take to issue a robust proceeding to address tribal connectivity following the FCC's recent report on broadband deployment in Indian Country?

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question, Congressman, and I have personally been to a Navajo nation on and around and so I've seen the connectivity challenges on tribal lands in your district.

We have taken a number of steps -- for example, tribal OpEx support, increasing the amount of support that tribal carriers get for operational expenses, not just the CapEx to build a network.

Additionally, we have been exploring a tribal broadband factor to give tribal carriers an extra bump if they are serving tribal lands.

In addition to that, one of the things we proposed in the context of the educational broadband spectrum -- EBS -- was to create a window for tribal entities or entities serving tribal lands to participate to get access to that spectrum.

And additionally, I want to make sure I point out that the announcement I made earlier about the Connect America Fund auction

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2779	recipients, folks in your district got funding from that just
2780	this week. And so we are going to see or they will get the
2781	funding by the end of the month. The announcement was made they
2782	will be getting funding this week.
2783	So we hope that as the dollars start to flow that broadband
2784	connectivity will start to increase as well.
2785	Mr. O'Halleran. I hope so too, and I will be asking you
2786	for a list of time lines and how this is going to be accomplished
2787	in a way that indicates identifies clearly the critical needs
2788	in these areas.
2789	The GAO has clearly stated that using Form 477 may vastly
2790	overstate true broadband availability since it is based on a broad
2791	census block model.
2792	Commissioner Rose I have problems just like Chairman
2793	Ms. Rosenworcel. It is all right.
2794	Mr. O'Halleran. Rosencel I am not even close.
2795	[Laughter.]
2796	Mr. O'Halleran. Would you say the findings in the latest
2797	tribal broadband report could depict inaccurate coverage levels
2798	throughout Indian Country?
2799	Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you for the question. Listen
2800	Mr. O'Halleran. We just met the other day.
2801	[Laughter.]

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 2π may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 26 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Ms. Rosenworcel. I know. I know.

Native Americans shouldn't be the last Americans to see the digital age and all the information around us suggests that is true. The GAO has criticized the very data that the FCC just used in its late report that we just filed pursuant to the RAY BAUM'S Act.

We have 18 more months to complete a proceeding to fix this situation and I encourage this committee to keep pressure on us because we have so much work to do.

Mr. O'Halleran. Well, I guarantee we will, Commissioner.

Chairman Pai, could you elaborate on where the Commission stands in its open proceeding to update broadband availability mapping using Form 477 and will the FCC remain committed to finding a granular approach that balances timeliness, cost, and personal privacy.

I, personally, as a business person can't understand how you make decisions at all with this current mapping process. So please.

Mr. Pai. I appreciate the question. The answer to your second question is yes, we do understand the balance that you just described and are seeking to find that balance in our proceeding.

With respect to the first question, we are working with

	link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2825	stakeholders and I am going to be briefed by our staff soon or
2826	where things stand and how to move forward. A number of different
2827	stakeholder groups have advanced different proposals in terms
2828	of shape files or other mapping initiatives.
2829	We want to evaluate all those in addition to thinking about
2830	crowd sourcing and other third party data that we could use to
2831	make sure that we get a better understanding of where broadband
2832	is, including in the first district.
2833	Mr. O'Halleran. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2834	I yield.
2835	Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
2836	The chair recognizes Mr. Gianforte for five minutes.
2837	Mr. Gianforte. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2838	And Chairman Pai and commissioners, thank you for being here
2839	today.
2840	Montanans have told me that one of the worst things they
2841	face day to day are illegal robocalls. They are getting called
2842	with bogus insurance offers, threats of legal action and promises
2843	of government grants.
2844	Robocall scams put Montanans at risk of being robbed or
2845	having their identity stolen. I have told the story before about
2846	a young Montanan who received a robocall from her younger

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $2\Re$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

brother's number except her younger brother had died of a heroin

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bn may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. overdose a couple of months before. These kinds of robocalls are malicious and deceptive. Chairman Pai, could you just outline what you are doing to prevent robocalls? I appreciate the question, Congressman. I am sorry to hear that distressing situation that that woman had to face. We have been taking aggressive action. We have empowered, for example, companies to block calls from obviously spoofed numbers. We have set up a reassigned numbers database so that legitimate callers don't have to worry about bombarding consumers who didn't want those calls. We have also taken aggressive enforcement action against some of the robocallers including the largest fines ever imposed in the FCC's history. I have personally demanded that the phone industry adopt call authentication by the end of the this year. Also, the FCC

will take regulatory intervention.

And just today, as I mentioned in my opening statement, I am proposing -- and I hope my colleagues will agree -- to allow robocall blocking by default so that consumers don't have to affirmatively opt in to those services.

Phone carriers will block them by default so, in many cases, a consumer wouldn't even know that a robocall had been placed

2848

2849

2850

2851

2852

2853

2854

2855

2856

2857

2858

2859

2860

2861

2862

2863

2864

2865

2866

2867

2868

2869

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bin may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
because it would be blocked at the outset.
Mr. Gianforte. Okay. Thank you for those actions. If
there's things that actions we need to take if you could inform
us. I think we have bipartisan support for action in this area.
Mr. Pai. Absolutely.
Mr. Gianforte. Many members have raised their concerns with
the accuracy of our broadband maps. The lack of clarity in
Montana leads to maps showing coverage areas where there is no
coverage.
This restricts USF dollars from getting to communities that
need it the most. Chairman Pai, you answered Mr. Johnson earlier
so I appreciate your response to this question.
I had a question for Commissioner O'Rielly, if I could.
Do you think a challenge or a validation process could help us
improve the accuracy of the broadband maps?
Mr. O'Rielly. Absolutely, and we do have a challenge
process and that's somewhat how we found some of the problems
with our gurrent mang. Co I nughed for that in the most and the

Mr. O'Rielly. Absolutely, and we do have a challenge process and that's somewhat how we found some of the problems with our current maps. So I pushed for that in the past and the chairman has been accommodating, and so I think both are important components to that.

Mr. Gianforte. It is critically important we get accurate maps so we know where the USF dollars have to flow.

Chairman Pai, 5G is going to come to rural America if and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 32 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. only if lower bands of frequencies are put to good use, and my understanding is that the mid-band spectrum is particularly important. These bands of frequencies provide the right mix of capacity and coverage that will enable network operators to deploy in rural I am interested in learning more about your efforts America. around the reallocation of C-band. As you consider the best way to provide mid-band spectrum in a timely manner, how will you ensure that this frequency is built out in rural America? Mr. Pai. A great question, Congressman, and the 3.7 to 4.2 band in particular sits at one of the sweet spots in terms of spectrum. It is low enough to get good coverage and high enough to offer good capacity. One of the things that we have been working through as some

One of the things that we have been working through as some of the complicated issues -- legal, technical, economic, and others -- in terms of how much spectrum to reallocate from that band, whether it's 200 or more megahertz, and also what the mechanism is for getting that spectrum freed up.

One of the things that we've been working through along with members of Congress is the right way forward. Our goal here is pretty simple -- to allocate as much of the spectrum as possible as quickly as possible and as fairly as possible for the benefit

2894

2895

2896

2897

2898

2899

2900

2901

2902

2903

2904

2905

2906

2907

2908

2909

2910

2911

2912

2913

2914

2915

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bramay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
of the consumers.
You know better than most Montana is a pretty rural state.
I have seen it in the Absaroka Wilderness, in the Beartooths
and elsewhere. There are some pretty remote parts of that state.
But we want to make sure that wireless coverage extends to
as many areas as possible. The C-band could be a good use of
that.
Mr. Gianforte. And are there build out requirements or
guarantees that the FCC could put in place if the bandwidth
transfers in a private sale? Do you have oversight there?
Mr. Pai. We do. We would have oversight if we went to the
private sale mechanism. I would have to look at it carefully
at what the legal ramifications are in terms of imposing build
out requirements. I can't recall off the top of my head if there's
Mr. Gianforte. Keep us informed. One last question, if
I could, Chairman Pai.

Mr. Pai. Sure.

Mr. Gianforte. The U.S. Small Business Administration submitted a letter to the FCC recently indicating concerns with your UNE forbearance and its impact on small business.

What is the Commission doing to address those concerns?

Mr. Pai. I have personally met several times with

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
2940	then-Administrator McMahon including about these issues. We
2941	received the letter. We incorporated it into our proceeding and
2942	that is one of the things we are working through as we go forward
2943	is how to accommodate the concern that she expressed in that
2944	letter.
2945	Mr. Gianforte. Okay. Montana is a small business state
2946	so I appreciate your attention there.
2947	And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
2948	Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.
2949	The chair now recognizes Ms. Matsui for five minutes.
2950	Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I want to
2951	thank the Commission for appearing here today.
2952	Last month, Congressman Guthrie and I, along with Senators
2953	Wicker and Schatz, introduced the Spectrum Now Act. Current law
2954	limits how much of the existing spectrum relocation fund resources
2955	can be used by the agencies to perform the research and related
2956	activities necessary to potentially reallocate or share their
2957	spectrum.
2958	Specifically, the framework in the Spectrum Now Act could
2959	provide a pathway for NTIA and DOD to make an additional 100

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 34 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

a 3.4 gigahertz band have in our effort to allocate additional

Commissioner O'Rielly and Rosenworcel, what potential does

megahertz of spectrum available in a 3.4 gigahertz band.

2960

2961

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bh may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
mid-band spectrum for wireless use?
Mr. O'Rielly. Well, I will go first.
To your point, if you can combine the 100 megahertz at 3.45
to 3.55, if we are able to convert it to commercial uses, you
can combine it with the CBRS band at 3.55 up and then 3.7 to 3.4.
You are talking about building 100 megahertz blocks, which most
industry participants will say is the minimum necessary to be
able to offer real 5G in mid-band.
So having big blocks and as much as you can possibly make
available. Here's the sweet spot. We believe I believe in
multiple conversations that DOD was ready to convert and then
changed its mind.
Ms. Matsui. I see.
Mr. O'Rielly. I think we could be aggressive
Ms. Matsui. Do you agree?
Ms. Rosenworcel. I agree with my colleague. We need more
mid-band spectrum. We need it fast. If want 5G service to get

everywhere this is the band that we have been looking at and we

understand DOD is also looking at. We've got to keep putting

on some pressure to make it happen.

Okay. As you are all likely aware, the effort Ms. Matsui. to ensure our radio spectrum resources are used efficiently and effectively has been a long and ongoing focus of the 5.9 gigahertz

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 3 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

band.

While this band is particularly well situated for next generation services, it has not seen widespread deployment. To that end, I am interested in a path forward that adequately balances the interests of all stakeholders and provides that regulatory certainty necessary to facilitate the deployment of services in this band.

One such proposal internationally suggests a sharing solution allocating a portion of the 5.9 band for intelligent transportation solutions including potentially cellular-based standards and a portion necessary for next-generation Wi-Fi.

Chairman Pai, Commissioner O'Rielly, and Commissioner
Rosenworcel, mindful of the competing interests and the need for
more licensed and unlicensed spectrum to facilitate the 5G
transition, how can the Commission best move forward with a
rulemaking to address these demands?

Mr. Pai. Grateful for your longstanding leadership on this particular band, Congresswoman.

I said yesterday publicly my belief that we need to have a full-fledged conversation about the future of the 5.9 gigahertz band. Key up all of the options including the status quo DSRC but also looking at some of the next-generation technology of CV to X and particularly unlicensed to figure out what the right

	link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
3009	way forward is.
3010	But it is time to have that conversation because over the
3011	past two decades, as you know better than anybody we have not
3012	seen optimal use of this public resource.
3013	Ms. Matsui. Exactly.
3014	Commissioner O'Rielly? Yes.
3015	Mr. O'Rielly. I would say that a portion of this, in my
3016	opinion, a portion of the 5.9 band will need to be and should
3017	be made available for unlicensed services. It can be shared
3018	amongst participants and still accommodate the different CAR
3019	safety functionality.
3020	Ms. Matsui. Okay.
3021	Ms. Rosenworcel. I agree with my colleagues. We need more
3022	Wi-Fi. The sooner the better, and the place to look is the 5.9
3023	gigahertz band and 6 gigahertz band.
3024	Ms. Matsui. That is I am going to follow up with the
3025	6 gigahertz.
3026	Ms. Rosenworcel. Oh, I knew it.
3027	Ms. Matsui. Okay. Last year, my Spectrum Caucus co-chair,
3028	Congressman Guthrie, and I sent a letter to the Commission in
3029	support of additional spectrum allocations.
3030	Inadequate supply of spectrum in the low, middle, and high
3031	bands will be necessary, as you know, to deployment of

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 3π may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with Bramay be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

next-generation spectrum-based services.

These networks will also require sufficient spectrum allocated to both licensed and unlicensed use. Congressman Guthrie and I also penned a joint op-ed with both Commissioners O'Rielly and Rosenworcel on the importance of moving forward with NPRM focus on additional uses on the 6 gigahertz.

Now, Commissioner O'Rielly and Commissioner Rosenworcel, can you discuss the need to expand wireless services in the 6 gigahertz band while, of course, ensuring the various important incumbent users are protected?

Do you want to start or you want to --

Ms. Rosenworcel. Sure. We have got 9 billion devices connected to Wi-Fi and the airwaves we use for it today are crowded. We need more unlicensed spectrum and the place to look is the 5.9 gigahertz band and 6 gigahertz band.

And plus, this committee told us in the appropriations legislation last year we have to find 100 megahertz of spectrum below 8 gigahertz by 2022. This is the place to go and make it happen.

Ms. Matsui. Do you agree, Commissioner O'Rielly?

Mr. O'Rielly. Absolutely I agree with my colleague on this.

Ms. Matsui. Okay. Great. Well, I will yield back.

Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady yields back.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 36
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

3055 The chair now recognizes Mr. Welch for five minutes. 3056 Mr. Welch. Thank you. 3057 Mr. Chairman, I have observed that the commissioners have 3058 all gone to charm school. No matter how stupid our question, 3059 it's always a great question and you really appreciate it. 3060 we --3061 [Laugher.] 3062 Mr. Welch. -- we appreciate that. So I am going to ask 3063 some very intelligent questions. [Laughter.] 3064 3065 Mr. Welch. And you don't have to thank me for doing it. 3066 I will waive the compliment, okay. Chairman Pai, I want to talk to you a little bit. 3067 3068 this is a real situation about rural broadband and I know you

this is a real situation about rural broadband and I know you and the president had a roll out, and that -- about the \$20.4 billion rural digital opportunity fund and it proposes to spend \$20 billion to connect 4 million homes and small businesses over the next 10 years.

That opportunity fund appears to me to essentially be a rebranding of the current Universal Service Fund's Connect America fund, which has awarded \$9 billion for rural deployment in the past five years. That is what it looks like to me.

So, first, you and the president are saying this program

3069

3070

3071

3072

3073

3074

3075

3076

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
3078	has the goal of getting broadband to 4 million homes by 2030.
3079	But we know that 25 million Americans currently lack access to
3080	broadband.
3081	So it's not that big a deal, number one, and what are we
3082	going to do about those other 21 million Americans?
3083	Mr. Pai. Congressman, thank you for that greatest ever
3084	question at a congressional hearing.
3085	[Laughter.]
3086	Mr. Pai. And I say that objectively. So this is more thar
3087	just a rebranding. I can tell you that what we are looking at
3088	is a fundamental rethink of the Connect America fund.
3089	Mr. Welch. If it's not rebranding I am sorry to interrupt
3090	but is there new money?
3091	Mr. Pai. No, it's a rethink about how that money is
3092	allocated and distributed.
3093	Mr. Welch. So the answer is no?
3094	Mr. Pai. No. It's a fundamental rethink of the program.
3095	Mr. Welch. Right. But there is no new money.
3096	Mr. Pai. We don't have the authority to we can't spend
3097	money that
3098	Mr. Welch. But my point is this was this was presented
3099	to the public as a big deal, all right. Rethinking, I am always
3100	for that and if we can do better with what we have I am all for

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #10 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

and, you know, the mapping issue too that we have been talking about those are just -- are you prepared to say that those are

Well, it is not enough.

to close the digital divide as much as we can.

Mr. Welch.

It really isn't,

Okay.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with ##
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

bogus?

Mr. Pai. We recognize the shortcomings in the maps. That is why we are --

Mr. Welch. No. I mean, they are not shortcomings. They are fiction. They really are. I mean, we had a person from the Vermont Public Utility Commission drive around and do the mapping in real time to get real signals and compare it to the supposed service that the carriers were bragging about. No connection. It was like fiction, and that has got to be, like, completely unacceptable to every single one of us here. We just want to get the information that Mr. Latta and I are concerned about for rural America.

So I am hopeful that you don't give credence to what we now know are bogus maps.

Mr. Pai. I hear your concern, Congressman, 100 percent.

Mr. Welch. Well, I hope you do more than that.

Ms. Rosenworcel, by the way, I was in the Delta -- my wife and I went to the Mississippi side of the Delta, the cotton, and it is an amazing place with really good people in a very poor location, and I really appreciated your advocacy for them getting broadband.

What are the three things we should be doing right now to accelerate the build out of broadband? And thank you for your

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #n may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.
homework gap work.
Ms. Rosenworcel. Okay. First, we have got to get our maps
accurate. We are never going to be able to manage a problem that
we do not measure.
Second, we have to recognize this is not just a challenge
of deployment. It also involves adoption. We have got to figure
out how kids who don't have internet service to do their homework
can get the service they need.
We are going to need programs to help make sure that there
are wireless hot spots available for loan in every school library.
We have got to solve this homework gap. It affects urban America
and rural America alike.
And third and finally, we have got to auction mid-band
spectrum faster. Those are the airwaves that will reach rural
America. Right now, all of our 5G efforts are concentrated on
high-band spectrum. We will never see 5G in rural America if
we stick to that program.
Mr. Welch. Thank you very much.
I yield back, but I want to thank all the commissioners.
I wish I had more time and welcome to our new commissioner, Mr.
Starks. Thank you.

The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Starks. Thank you, Congressman.

Mr. Doyle.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #A may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

3170 The chair recognizes Ms. DeGette for five minutes. 3171 Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. 3172 You know, Chairman Pai, I know you will agree that having 3173 laws and rules in place is really important but so is enforcing 3174 those laws and rules. And so I just wanted to ask you a couple 3175 of questions about the FCC enforcement. 3176 We have been talking a lot today about all kinds of issues. 3177 But one of them that is -- weighs most strongly on us is the 3178 As you hear and as we found bipartisan unity in our robocalls. 3179 recent hearing, the FCC has fined robocallers \$208 million but 3180 collected only \$6,790 as of March 28th of this year. Is that 3181 correct? 3182 That is my understanding, Congresswoman. 3183 Ms. DeGette. And it has been over a year -- I think some 3184 of my other colleagues pointed out it has been over a year since 3185 the reports first surfaced detailing the widespread disclosure 3186 of America's real-time location data by wireless carriers. 3187 But the FCC hasn't yet voted on any item to stop the sharing 3188 of location data by wireless carriers. Isn't that correct? 3189 That law enforcement proceeding is still pending, Mr. Pai. 3190 yes. 3191 Ms. DeGette. Yes. But the FCC has not taken any -- has 3192 not voted on any item to do that, right?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with # 5
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

3193	Mr. Pai. That is correct.
3194	Ms. DeGette. And 18 months after a \$13 million proposed
3195	fine against Sinclair for not disclosing when it had been paid
3196	to air content, the FCC still hasn't voted on a forfeiture order.
3197	Is that correct?
3198	Mr. Pai. Yes.
3199	Ms. DeGette. Now, Commissioner Starks, welcome, and you
3200	have been a prosecutor at both DOJ and an assistant chief in the
3201	FCC's enforcement bureau. Are you concerned about this pattern
3202	and how it's impacting the FCC's enforcement authority?
3203	Mr. Starks. Yes. Thank you so much for the question,
3204	Congresswoman.
3205	Ms. DeGette. And why is that?
3206	Mr. Starks. Well, the enforcement bureau is the largest
3207	bureau at the FCC and so I think it is incumbent upon them to
3208	deploy their resources and prioritize cases that are of mass
3209	public safety.
3210	The geolocation tracking, privacy tracking, is a mass public
3211	safety issue. That case has to be prioritized. It has to be
3212	brought to resolution more quickly.
3213	When you are talking about other issues of robocalling and
3214	Sinclair, those go to the core mission of the FCC and the
3215	enforcement bureau has to make sure that we are bringing those

\parallel This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 1
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

cases significantly.

Ms. DeGette. And what can -- what can -- I know you're brand new but sometimes it does take a new set of eyes, especially someone with an enforcement background. What can the Commission do specifically to improve its track record on enforcement?

Mr. Starks. Yes. Thank you for the follow-up question.

I think the first thing is a speed of disposal on cases is going to be important. I know it's part of some of the process reforms that the enforcement bureau has otherwise taken.

It is really important to make sure that we are getting through the pipeline of cases for the enforcement bureau so that evidence in cases don't get stale.

The other thing that I would really raise is it's critically important for there to be a consistent application of policies that you don't have an asymmetry of enforcement where large actors and small actors get different treatment, big corporations and individuals get different treatment.

Ms. DeGette. Thank you.

Commissioner Pai, what is your view on those suggestions?

Mr. Pai. Appreciate the question, Congresswoman. I mean,
certainly, we do prioritize those cases. I have instructed our
enforcement bureau to make that particular location accuracy -location data investigation a priority.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with ### may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Ms. DeGette. Well, do you think these are good suggestions that Commissioner Starks is making and would you consider those?

Mr. Pai. Oh, absolutely. Would be happy to, and I have met personally with Commissioner Starks on these issues and I think -- yes.

Ms. DeGette. Okay. Good. Good. Thank you.

I would hope to see more robust enforcement because that really is what is important.

In 2017, the National Digital Inclusion Alliance released research into low-income and minority neighborhoods in Cleveland and talked about it had been digitally red-lined, bypassed by the fiber deployments of the incumbent telecom provider that reached the wealthy suburbs and business districts of Cleveland.

The same was found in Detroit, and anecdotally, I hear similar claims about my -- the core of my congressional district -- Denver, Colorado.

So I wanted to ask you, Commissioner Rosenworcel, what tools and authority does the FCC need to prevent digital red-lining?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Thank you. We have got a problem. It is not just in rural areas that don't have service. We have pockets in urban that don't, too. I think right now the FCC should include in its regular broadband report a collection of data

	link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
3262	regarding those areas because we are never going to be able to
3263	fix this problem if we first don't understand where it exists.
3264	I think it is something that the FCC has to actively search to
3265	try to understand.
3266	Ms. DeGette. Does the FCC have the power to do that?
3267	Ms. Rosenworcel. I believe in our Section 706 process,
3268	which involves a regular broadband deployment this should be a
3269	feature of it.
3270	Ms. DeGette. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
3271	I yield back.
3272	Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady yields back.
3273	The chair now recognizes Mr. Lujan for five minutes.
3274	Mr. Lujan. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
3275	I want to thank all the commissioners for making themselves
3276	available today and for their work. Welcome to the Commission
3277	as well, Mr. Starks. It is good to see you here with us today.
3278	There has been a lot of conversation today about mapping.
3279	I think my colleague here with me on the dais referred to it
3280	as fiction.
3281	I think I want to join him in that chorus and from this
3282	perspective, and I would be interested in hearing perspectives
3283	as well from the commissioners on this.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #16 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

What can be done to make sure that we have updated accurate

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with #10 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

maps? We are talking right now about an infrastructure package which will include, I hope, broadband investments in underserved communities. We all know where phone calls drop, especially those of us that spend time on the roads in larger districts across America.

I often shared with Chairman Wheeler and, Chairman Pai, I think I have shared this with you as well -- it makes no sense to me that I can get on an airplane in a big city in America, get to 30,000 feet, connect to the internet and have faster speeds than the communities that I am flying over just below us.

How can we fix this? This is a life safety issue. I shared this story with President Trump and with his team at the infrastructure meeting of Ashlynne Mike, an 11-year-old Navajo girl who was kidnapped, raped, and murdered in 2016.

The Amber Alert systems weren't working. No broadband connectivity. Many missing and murdered indigenous women, some who we know had smart phones. Even if they had a chance to make a phone call or send a text message or when they went missing law enforcement could not find them because there is no connectivity.

We need these maps to be accurate for many reasons. Chairman Pai, what are your thoughts on making sure that we are able to get something in place and a full support by the FCC to get this

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\text{M}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

done so that way the infrastructure package that we have also reflects the needs? That way the American people don't just get to see a bar on their phone and say, oh, well, I am supposed to have coverage but I can't make a call -- I can't make an emergency call -- I can't use it.

Chairman Pai?

Mr. Pai. Thank you for the question, Congressman.

I think, first and foremost, on the fix side we need to resolve the 477 proceeding to get a better understanding -- more granular understanding -- where broadband coverage is and we are in the process of working with stakeholders to do that.

On the mobile side, we need to make sure that we get accurate data on mobile coverage for GLTE in particular to understand where the gaps are.

But I couldn't agree with you more in terms of an infrastructure plan. In my first major speech as a chairman, I said Congress has many important things on its plate. Nothing is more important to millions of Americans, especially in rural and tribal lands, then getting that next-generation broadband infrastructure.

I have seen the promise of it in places like the Jemez and Zia Pueblos. We need to make sure that everybody in rural America

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\text{M}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Lujan. Chairman Pai, are you willing to hold those phone companies accountable that give me a map that says I have connectivity when I know that I don't and can we set up a system within the FCC so that we can report that to you?

We can have -- I can geolocate where I am and where I don't have a call. I can't get connectivity but I can stand there and

have a call. I can't get connectivity but I can stand there and take a picture or do something. Can we work on something like that together?

Mr. Pai. I would be happy to work with you on that, Congressman.

Mr. Lujan. Commissioner Rosenworcel, I note, you know, Senator Manchin has an idea, you know, with maybe using postal carriers who know every rural road in America.

Ms. Rosenworcel. Yes. We need every creative idea we can right now. The FCC should be using its field offices to go test where service is and is not. We have a mobile app for speed test that has been downloaded 200,000 times all across this country.

We could use data from that to help inform our maps. I mean, people want to help. We got to figure out how to take their lived experience and incorporate it into our maps and our rules.

Mr. Lujan. And, Mr. Chairman, this may be an area for us to work on in a bipartisan basis, get this thing updated, clear

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 502 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

out those frustrations. That way we can get some answers and make sure this works.

So I would be happy to work with the commissioners, Chairman Pai, Commissioner Rosenworcel, and we will reach out to the other commissioners, see how we can work together on this issue as well.

Homework gap -- Commissioner Rosenworcel, I appreciate the work you have been doing as well. Where I come from, like many rural districts, 47,000 square miles, eight and a half hours to drive across it.

Students get on buses for over an hour sometimes in one direction. You were out in New Mexico. We had a chance to go visit some students with one of the test projects with getting internet on those buses. Can you talk about the importance of accurate on mapping but making sure that we have a canopy across America where people can stay connected and what that means to students?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Yes. Seven in 10 teachers assign homework that requires internet access. But one in three households doesn't have it, and where those numbers overlap is a homework gap and it is the cruelest part of our digital divide.

It hits rural America really hard. What are we going to do for those students? Putting Wi-Fi on buses could be a game

	may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
3377	changer. Those students spend over an hour to get to school most
3378	days and an hour to return.
3379	You and I went on a bus together. It was quiet. Every one
3380	of them was downloading homework and doing their school work.
3381	It will change their education and change their lives. We should
3382	figure out how we can use the E-rate program to make that available
3383	everywhere.
3384	Mr. Lujan. Thank you, Chairman. As I yield back, just also
3385	making sure that we work with tribal schools to ensure that they
3386	are not left out with the complexities associated with the E-rate
3387	program. It should work for every school, every student, every
3388	teacher in America.
3389	So I look forward to working with you, Mr. Chairman. Thank
3390	you, Commissioners, again for your work.
3391	Mr. Doyle. Gentleman yields back.
3392	The chair recognizes Ms. Eshoo for five minutes.
3393	Ms. Eshoo. Mr. Chairman, thank you for holding this hearing
3394	today. Welcome to the entire Commission and the warmest of
3395	welcomes, Commissioner Sparks. I wish you every success. Use
3396	your power. Know thy power. Use thy power.
3397	I think that there is an advantage to being just about the
3398	last one, because I have listened to just about everyone on both

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $\$\hat{n}$

sides, and there is a reoccurring theme on both sides in terms

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with the may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of the questions, even though my colleague, Mr. Welch, seems to think that stupid questions were asked. But I think they were great questions.

I want to say to you, Mr. Chairman, I don't have a good feeling today. I just -- I wish I was going to leave the hearing room with a much better feeling. The same issue of maps keeps coming up.

Ten years ago, Google advanced Google Maps. It doesn't go blank when you look it up. It doesn't say, we can't find it -- we don't know where it is. We are working on it. We've got some task force on it.

You really have to put the pedal to the metal. If this is a top priority, you can get it done. You can contract with someone that knows how to do this, produce it so that we have it so we know what the hell we are doing, in plain English.

This has gone on for too long. On robocalls, you know what? With all due respect, a summit doesn't cut it. You come to a town hall with me or any of my colleagues and you say to the people in that town hall meeting, we are having a summit, they will lunge at you because it's not an answer.

You should put together a division at this powerful agency and say put the pedal to the metal so that we resolve this. It keeps climbing. Forty-eight billion calls. I mean, it is hard

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 5.6 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

to get our minds around that. And these are scams. People are being ripped off.

They are not only being harassed, but there is criminal behavior in this. You should form a division and say to the American people within X number of months this is what we are going to accomplish and grade us on it -- I am willing to be graded.

On Lifeline, I don't know how anyone with a conscience -Ronald Reagan established that program. There is a nexus between
people that are very poor that were it not for the food stamps
they get they wouldn't be eating, and the FCC is not going to
allow them that Lifeline to their wireless handset? To get a
job, to call for health care, to make a call to 911? This is
-- this has to be part of your conscience in terms of what you
are doing and I am saying that collectively.

In January, Motherboard reported that carriers were selling customers' geolocation data to bounty hunters. Just that term scares me -- a bounty hunter, bail bondsmen and stalkers. As a female, that is pretty menacing to me.

This is -- it is egregious. Carriers promised to stop the practice but they made the same promises a year ago. You have the power to do something about this.

Now, there are two commissioners who you haven't even shared the information about the investigation with. Now, this is

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with \$\fointilde{n}\$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
taking so long that you are running the clock on this darn thing.
I mean, pretty soon you are going to be up against the wall
where the statute of limitations expires on it. Are you going
to try to do something about that? You said today, I can't talk
about it I can't talk about it.
You know what? Don't talk about it. Do an investigation
and do something about it. That is the point here.
So do you promise today can you tell us today that you
are going to share information with two full-fledged members of
the Commission? This is not a Democrat or Republican issue.
It is a serious issue where people have are frightened by what
has happened and I don't what you are doing with it. You can't
tell us. You are saying you can't tell us. But will you tell
them?
Mr. Pai. Congresswoman
Ms. Eshoo. Yes or no. Yes or no.
Mr. Pai. This is not a yes or no question, Congresswoman.
Ms. Eshoo. Yes, it is. Yes, it is. They are commissioners

-- full commissioners. They are not half. They are not quarter.

They are not one-third. Just because they are Democrats you shouldn't withhold the information from them. So will you or will you not?

Mr. Pai. Congresswoman, not only have I not withheld

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
3469	information, I affirmatively asked Commissioner Starks to lead
3470	this investigation months ago because I recognized the importance
3471	of this issue and respected his enforcement background.
3472	Ms. Eshoo. They have requested information about the
3473	you know what? You are a great talker. You are a great talker.
3474	But I am just going to consider that you have said that
3475	you have said no and I don't think that that is appropriate.
3476	So I am sorry that I don't find the scorecard to be a great one
3477	today.
3478	There are other things that are going on. I appreciate
3479	Commissioner O'Rielly's work on that we do something about
3480	the diversion of fees and the states that are on the dishonorable
3481	list and I will continue with the legislation on that.
3482	But I really think you have to up your game so that next
3483	time you come here you have a checklist of what you have
3484	accomplished, not what you keep talking about.
3485	Mr. Doyle. The gentlelady's time has expired.
3486	Mr. Starks. Mr. Chairman?
3487	The chair now recognizes Mr. Griffith.
3488	Mr. Starks. Mr. Chairman?
3489	Mr. Griffith. I thank I thank the chairman very much.
3490	Mr. Starks, you want to make a comment?
3491	Mr. Starks. Yes. Thank you.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with $\delta \vec{n}$ may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 500 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Griffith. I yield my time.

Mr. Starks. I appreciate it. The chairman did reference that he asked me to -- he did in fact ask me to take on the geolocation investigation. It was right after I was sworn in and so I did appreciate his gesture on reaching out to me on that.

I asked for a briefing from the enforcement bureau. The case had already been open for about eight months. What I heard at that briefing did not give me confidence that that case was moving along quickly enough and so I did inform the chairman that I was not going to take on that matter.

And so the matter still stands that on the geolocation tracking it is of critical safety that that case be brought to resolution immediately. People are out there and you can track their phone immediately, and I cannot emphasize enough how important that is.

Mr. Griffith. And if I might ask, Mr. Starks, and I am just trying to get information, but that enforcement proceeding -- the FCC may collect data but doesn't the FCC have to rely on the Department of Justice to go after the bad actors?

Mr. Starks. No, we have -- sir, we have Section 222 authority to go after --

Mr. Griffith. So you can go after the bad actors?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with 500 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
Committee s website as soon as it is available.
Mr. Starks to go after geolocation yes, I believe
you can go after
Mr. Griffith. On geolocation?
Mr. Starks. The carriers. Yes, sir.
Mr. Griffith. Okay. Chairman Pai, is there any
information that Mr. Starks would like to have that he is not
able to get? If he wanted it he could have it? Is that what
I heard you saying?
Mr. Pai. I am not aware. I would be happy to talk with
him about that. But what I will say in response to your question,
I think you were going after the robocall enforcement and that
there is a gap under current law.
To the extent that the FCC imposes a fine through a forfeiture
order and the robocaller refuses to pay
Mr. Griffith. On the robocalls.
Mr. Pai only the Department of Justice has independent
litigating authority to actually collect that fine and we have
sent these matters referred them to the Department of Justice
for collection. But we don't have the ability to litigate

affirmatively to collect those fines.

Okay. All right. And the reason I wanted Mr. Griffith. to clear this up is that, like my colleague on the other side of the aisle, I don't see anybody being left in the dark who is

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with formally be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
authorized to have the information who should have that
information.
And so on the geolocation issue and I am not a regular
member of this committee so forgive me for stumbling through some
of the terms. I waived on because this is important to my
district. All of these issues are.
Everybody can get the information who seeks it out and what
can we do to because people are concerned about that what
can we do if the information wasn't there that he wanted or he
didn't feel like he was going in the right direction? What can
we do to speed that along?
Mr. Pai. Again, I can't comment on
Mr. Griffith. I understand. Was there some
Mr. Pai enforcement as such. But I can say our
enforcement bureau staff regularly briefs commissioners on a
variety of issues including this one.
Mr. Griffith. Just let them know that both sides of the
aisle are concerned about that issue and if there's something
we need to be doing on the congressional side to make this
available or make resources available so that you can move faster,
we would I am sure be happy to do that.

the mapping issues are huge in my area.

All right. Along those same kinds of lines, let me say that

I like the suggestion

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with one may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. As link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

earlier of having the postal service contracted because they have got people going every nook and cranny of the country.

But, I mean, I represent the southwestern portion of the great Commonwealth of Virginia and I have Virginia Tech in my district, and I have people all around Virginia Tech within a few miles of Virginia Tech who don't have service.

One lady that comes to mind is a friend of mine. Has a house in between Virginia Tech and Interstate 81. Doesn't have service. And I doubt that is on anybody's maps that they -- that there are these big holes.

But because it's a -- although they are not as big as my friend from Montana's mountains, we have lots of mountains and they block signals and all sorts of things.

So my folks don't care whether it's mid-band or white space. They just want to make sure we are getting service because we do have that homework gap that one of the other commissioners referenced and it's all over the place in my district and we are doing everything we can.

The Universal Service Fee helps in some areas. But we would like to see that expanded.

Now, that being said, I have always been interested in the experiments that were being done on the white spaces, and while not as technologically advanced and able to talk about it as some

Committee's website as soon as it is available. 3584 of my colleagues, can you explain to the folks back home what 3585 that is and where we stand on that experiment and how soon can 3586 we expect that to get out? 3587 Because if I understand it even halfway correctly, every 3588 part of my district has got some white space. 3589 Mr. Pai. A great question, Congressman, and thank you for it. 3590 3591 I have seen the promise of it in places like South Boston, 3592 where I saw one of the white spaces experiments and --3593 Mr. Griffith. Which is about an hour east of me. 3594 Mr. Pai. It's a little more urban than you --3595 Mr. Griffith. You'd be surprised how big Virginia is. 3596 Exactly. So in a nutshell, white spaces involves 3597 the prospect of using what used to be spectrum used by TV 3598 broadcasters to deliver wireless broadband, and there have been 3599 a lot of tricky technical policy issues that we have been working 3600 through. 3601 Recently, the FCC adopted an order resolving some of the 3602 outstanding petitions for reconsideration on how the database 3603 would work, et cetera. We are now looking to a petition that 3604 is going to be submitted, we understand, from Microsoft -- if 3605 it hasn't been submitted already -- to figure out a way to resolve

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £2 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

some of the remaining issues, get through those technical hurdles.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with find may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And I want to commend both Microsoft and the National Association of Broadcasters for working together on some of those to reach a consensus. And to the extent there is a consensus that allows us to move forward, we would like to be able to do so.

I can't give you a specific time line because these are complicated technical issues. But what I will say is we understand the promise of this technology and we were looking forward to working with you and others in your district to bring it to reality.

Mr. Griffith. I can tell you that folks are frustrated. It does make a difference on our educational opportunities and in my district in particular, which is depopulating, we need to be able to keep some of our young people at home and some of our young minds at home.

And if they can't start a business in their home town because they don't have adequate service, then they're moving out of the district completely. And when we are trying to revitalize the coal fields section of my district economically, this is an absolute imperative.

So I appreciate it, and just do whatever you can to speed it up. And if we need to do something, please let us know because both sides of the aisle are willing to help on this.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with £4 may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

3630 I yield back. 3631 Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back. 3632 The chair now yields five minutes to Mr. Cardenas. 3633 Mr. Cardenas. I agree that Congress needs to speed it up. 3634 Maybe we ought to increase our band -- the broadband here. 3635 Mr. Chairman, thank you so much for holding this important 3636 hearing, and there are so many issues to cover. Hopefully, we 3637 can cover a few in my -- in the limited time that they give us. 3638 I first want to visit the media ownership rules. I have been vocal about ownership rules since I was first elected to 3639 3640 Congress because I care about diverse voices in the media. 3641 I care about local stories and news being accessible to all The way consumers watch video may be changing but 3642 3643 for most folks over the air is still how they receive local news, 3644 local weather, emergency alerts, and local entertainment. 3645 Like, for example, in Los Angeles our L.A. Dodgers is limited 3646 to only a certain number of households. 3647 Chairman Pai, for over a year you have had an open proceeding 3648 on raising the media ownership cap, which is currently at 39 3649 percent. That means one company can reach up to 39 percent of 3650 households. 3651 The cap of 39 percent, Chairman Pai, is set by statute. Is 3652 that correct?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with the may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Pai. I do not necessarily agree with that position, Congressman.

Mr. Cardenas. Okay. Thank you for your answer.

I believe it is set by statute, which means that only Congress has the authority to change how many households a single broadcaster can reach.

Commissioner Rosenworcel, do you agree?

Ms. Rosenworcel. I agree with you, Congressman. The best reading of the 2004 Appropriations Act is that it is up to Congress to make that change and I would add that the Wall Street Journal's editorial board seems to agree.

Mr. Cardenas. Thank you.

Commissioner Pai, you propose further changes to the media ownership rules in the Quadrennial Review NPRM. This is after you have already slashed media ownership rules to all the biggest media conglomerates to just get bigger.

So yes or no. Have you done an analysis of what effect those rule changes along with the media ownership changes you already made will have on diversity of content that is broadcast in America?

Mr. Pai. Congressman, that analysis is ongoing as part of our Quadrennial Media Ownership Review.

Mr. Cardenas. Okay. Well, hopefully, we will see that

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with bin
may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A
link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the
Committee's website as soon as it is available.

3676 | soon.

Yes or no, have you done an analysis on whether those changes have had or will have any effect on whether communities are receiving localized content?

Mr. Pai. Sorry, Congressman. Which changes are you referring to?

Mr. Cardenas. I am referring to changes where a larger conglomerate actually has control of local stations and whether or not that local news type or information type is actually being broadcast from locally or is it being pushed down from the bigger corporation, in some cases thousands of miles away?

Mr. Pai. Well, Congressman, with respect to the current media ownership proceeding, we have not proposed any course of action. We teed up all the different options pursuant to Congress's instruction for the FCC to review those rules.

With respect to the incubator program, however, what I will say is we have encouraged some of the more established broadcasters to give opportunities to minority women and other disadvantaged populations to get a foothold in the business and that symbiosis might be one way of correcting the concern that you have identified.

Mr. Cardenas. Well, I look forward to getting the hard data on how this is affecting minority businesses, smaller business,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with bit may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available. et cetera, in the ecosystem of media. I would like to turn to ATSC 3.0, or next-generation TV, which is a standard upgrade that promises over-the-air viewers higher quality video, audio, as well as more localized news, weather updates, and, more importantly, emergency alerts. I understand that the FCC imposed only one technical requirement in this new standard, which is that next-gen TV must use the bootstrap signal. The bootstrap signal's patent is owned by, quote, "ONE Media" -- O-N-E Media -- which is a subsidiary of Sinclair, a company which the FCC has had -- has said lacked candor, essentially, that has misled the FCC in its filings. That is Sinclair I am talking about. When the FCC has approved technologies like this in the past, they customarily require the use of reasonable and nondiscriminatory licensing for patent holders, taking out any incentive to abuse the licensing process -- abuse that could lead to increased costs for consumers.

Chairman Pai, the reasonable and nondiscriminatory licensing requirements were not applied in this case. Is that correct?

Mr. Pai. I believe that is correct.

Mr. Cardenas. Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3699

3700

3701

3702

3703

3704

3705

3706

3707

3708

3709

3710

3711

3712

3713

3714

3715

3716

3717

3718

3719

3720

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with find may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Commissioner Rosenworcel, do you think the FCC should have applied RAND licensing requirements here and how do you think this might affect consumers?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Yes. When the government chooses a new standard, it gives special rights to patent holders and as a condition of those special rights it is typically required reasonable and nondiscriminatory pricing.

As you said, that is just what the FCC did with the ATSC 1.0 standard. We should be doing it with the 3.0 standard too, otherwise consumers are going to pay more.

Mr. Cardenas. Okay. And those consumers are American consumers we are talking about, right?

Ms. Rosenworcel. Absolutely. It is every American household with a television set or any device connected to it.

Mr. Cardenas. Thank you very much. I yield back.

Mr. Doyle. The gentleman yields back.

The chair now requests unanimous consent to enter the following documents into the record: a letter from the National Association of Federally Insured Credit Unions, a letter from the Electric Privacy Information Center -- Electronic Privacy Information Center, a letter from the Intelligent Transportation Society of America, a statement from Edison Electric Institute, the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association and the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with the may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A

link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements with In may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Doyle. I want to thank all the witnesses for your participation in today's hearing. I want to remind members that pursuant to committee rules they have 10 business days to submit additional questions for the record to be answered by the witnesses who have appeared.

I ask that each witness respond promptly to any such questions that you may receive. Thank you again for your presence today and at this time the subcommittee is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 1:04 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]

3750

3751

3752

3753

3754

3755

3756

3757