

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from NM District #3

These are the 1,702 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Ben Lujan's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Robert Wartell, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I am opposed to the proposed changes. I would like to keep the internet as a common carrier, regulated by the FCC, not the FTC.
2. Cecil Tucker, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
In my somewhat rural area there is no competition for reliable broadband that meets the FCC definition of broadband. This does nothing to promote more providers. Poor service at already inflated prices only hurts the consumers who rely on Internet services for business, health and education.
3. Destin, Taos, NM, 87571
As a professional web developer I stand firmly against the FCC chairman's desire to reverse net neutrality rules. Broadband companies have repeatedly shown massive disregard for consumer interests. Throttling bandwidth, arbitrary data caps, and anti-competitive practices are just a few of the numerous egregious actions that the large internet providers perform on a regular basis. Giving more self-regulatory power to companies that have displayed such woeful disregard for consumer interests is terrifying. Net neutrality is a driver of both innovation and economic growth -- reversing net neutrality is not a wise course of action in these economically trying times.
4. Liz Delgado, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The need for internet neutrality has never been greater. As a taxpayer, and consumer of internet services, means that I strongly object to the blatant

continual moves by the telecom industry to push for unfair advantage over consumers. The internet belongs to the people, and limitations imposed on a neutral internet curtail free speech.

5. David Rossetti, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Dear FCC, Two very important functions of the FCC are to protect consumers' interests and to foster innovation. Consumers: Almost every consumer in America is down to only one, or maybe two if they're lucky, choices for modern internet access -- so they are almost always consuming from a local monopoly carrier. It is this exact situation that requires regulation, just as the Bell System was regulated during the massive growth of the telephone network. You must work to protect consumers from the internet access monopolies. Innovation: Technology innovation mainly comes from small companies with new ideas, usually competitive against the incumbents. Removing utility status from the incumbent carriers and therefore neutrality rules, will harm the very process of innovative competition. Please consider this and move to prevent Comcast, Verizon, and other incumbent carriers from taking control of "our internet." Thank you,- David Rossetti Santa Fe, NM David Rossetti
6. Dominic D'Orazio, Gallup, NM, 87301
I am writing to ask that you please keep the Net Neutrality Laws under Title II in place. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
7. 17-108, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Don't be stupid leave title 2
8. Steve, Corrales, NM, 87048
I support net neutrality under the current Title II rules. It is wrong to change what is already in place; big business will not "just be nice." Remember that before the Title II rules were put in place, T-Mobile blocked access to Google Wallet.
9. Randy Barron, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
The principles of Net Neutrality level the playing field for all Internet users. They enable entrepreneurs to flourish, people in poverty to find ways out, and they give equal access to information to people who otherwise would be too remote to be served. Allowing corporations to tinker with bandwidth, programming preferences, and other ways of gaming the system for the benefit of the wealthy, would be a tremendous step backward for our economy and our democracy. Under no circumstances should the legal basis for the Internet be changed to allow such chicanery.
10. Ross Casey, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. You would be ridiculous to roll this back. Ajit Pai is out of his mind!!

11. Annelies Joss, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Don't slow down my service!
12. tom joss, albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please do not repeal the current net neutrality rules to allow ISPs to favor certain content over others. Keep ISPs under Title II laws. When I pay for internet service, I do not want the ISP to have the ability to throttle certain content, which is essentially censoring my ability to access that content. Thank you.
13. Leonard Anderson, rio rancho, NM, 87124
We need net neutrality using title II .
14. Marissa Sanchez, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
We need to preserve net neutrality backed by title 2.
15. day thornton, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87580
Protect net neutrality with article two protections. Don't sell the Internet to the highest bidder.
16. Kaitlan Lee, Gallup, NM, 87301
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.
17. James P. Brown, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Please, do not undermine net neutrality by giving in to ISPs and removing it from Title 2. Please preserve the ability of Americans to freely use the internet for information and entertainment unhindered by any ISP's option to discriminate against competing sources.
18. Jane Lake, Gallup, NM, 87301
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet is working well the way it is. Please do not bias this with unfair changes.
19. sara zink, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because my voice actually counts.
20. Charlie Strauss, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Preserve and strengthen net neutrality by preserving title II and declaring all ISP to be common carriers. Enforce divestment of content creation and distribution by internet service providers. Failure to do this will lead to bottlenecking of services I have already paid to receive and a decrease in the freedom of the internet. Also please stop calling the proposed alternative a free and open internet. it's not. it's corporate takeover that decreased competition, decreases openness and decreases freedom.

21. Kyle Henderson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support strong protection of Net Neutrality under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. Where I live there is already limited ISP competition with horrendous prices, coverage, and ISP choice. Please do not allow Net Neutrality to weaken and be dismantled by Corporations and the FCC Chairman Ajit Pai. Thank you for your time.
22. Suzanne Garney, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am expressing my support for Net Neutrality because as a co-business owner I view the internet as a utility (ALL correspondences go via the Internet, to include voice, video, commerce, conferences, finances, transactions, the filing of documents, etc.), therefore the Internet should be regulated under Title II. I believe US businesses will suffer in competitiveness worldwide if Net Neutrality is overturned.
23. Guruka Singh Khalsa, Espanola, NM, 87532
It is extremely important to retain Net Neutrality under Title II as established by the FCC last year. Stand by your ruling.
24. Jane Merriam, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Dear FCC, PLEASE, PLEASE keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
25. Charles Burns, Portales, NM, 88130
Businesses are there to make money. If you leave the internet in hands of businesses they will do with it only what will make them more money especially if that means restricting it in favor of those willing to pay. The internet is far too important to be allowed to be restricted in the pursuit of money. An open internet will drive growth in America for all people.
26. Sage Connell, El Prado, NM, 87529
I believe Net Neutrality is a fundamental principle. It should remain an area of equality for all, free of corporate influence. If there is no Net Neutrality, without doubt corporations would manipulate access speeds to service their own product and content over that of their competitors. It is almost a certainty that the ability to tip the balance in the service of enormous profits would happen if corporations were left to their own self-regulation. Keep the Net Neutral. Thank you for taking my comment-Sage Connell
27. aleta.bowers, santa fe, NM, 87505
Please preserve internet neutrality and anf the laws that protect under act II!
28. Melissa Benevegna, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Please keep net neutrality in place and do not roll back current legislation!

29. Jon Weaver, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The Title II provisions that protect net neutrality are important to an open, fair society. Net neutrality is important for education, innovation, free enterprise and many everyday communications that we all rely on. Efforts to change Title II should be stopped.
30. Michael Simmons, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please keep net neutrality. Don't pretend like you don't know what you're doing by getting rid of it. If you want the nation to remain competitive, if you at all support small business - it has to stay.
31. Anthony Alvarez, no, NM, 87571
Please keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2. I do not want ISPs or anyone to restrict how fast people can access content based on business motives. I also want to make sure people in lower income locations have access to the same internet as anyone else. The internet is every bit as in need of regulation under Title 2 as telephone and electric infrastructure is.
32. Austin Knight, Corrales, NM, 87048
i specifically support strong neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP. Also I drink a even bigger Reese's peanut butter cup mug that I only put in it... the blood of even smaller mugs of Reese's peanut butter cup. That yes tasted like a Kit-Kat
33. William Manning, Corrales, NM, 87048
Please retain strong net neutrality rules and Title 2 regulation for ISPs. Thank you!!
34. Austin Knight, Corrales, NM, 87048
i specifically support strong neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP. Also I drink a even bigger Reese's peanut butter cup mug that I only put in it... the blood of even smaller mugs of Reese's peanut butter cup. That yes, it tasted like a Kit-Kat
35. Stephen Mehanni, Gallup, NM, 87301
Corporations have a legal obligation to their shareholders to maximize profit. They are legally not allowed to make decisions "for the good of the people"™, if it would decrease quarterly profits. Democratic governments represent the people, NOT corporations. What's™ good for the people very frequently conflicts with corporate obligations. This is why enforceable regulations are necessary for a responsible, representative, accountable government. If there were no regulations to preserve national parks, they would be full of time-shares and oil rigs, because it would generate short-term revenue. If there were no regulations for housing safety, houses built for poor people would be death traps, because it would save short-term corporate money.

If there were no regulations against monopolies, corporations would create monopolies whenever they could. Again, good for the corporation, bad for the consumer. Corporations are usually short-sighted, focusing on quarterly profits, which is why they are blind to the long-term, harmful, wealth-stunting results of many of their actions. This is where we, the people, expect our government to step in. Because we are concerned with future generations, not just the net quarter. Regulation of net neutrality is no different. People don't want to see the open internet taken over by a corporate "bandwidth-grab". Only corporations want to see that. Specifically, large corporations that would already have leverage in the new market. It might be better for their quarterly profits, though at the expense of the people's ability to use the internet as a tool for open communication and free/ equal information sharing. Most Americans are morally opposed to totalitarian governments censoring the internet. We are also morally opposed to profit-seeking corporations restructuring the internet to serve their own interests. Fulfill your responsibilities as a government "by, and for" the people. Maintain strong, enforceable regulations to preserve net neutrality.

36. marcus cortez, Clovis, NM, 88101

Maintain our current regulations. Title 2 protects our internet freedom. Do not allow that to change.

37. Canton Becker, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I believe that all carriers of Internet service should be subject to Title II governance. Companies such as Verizon and Comcast have a demonstrated history of giving preference to their own products to the detriment of content providers outside of their own networks. A free and open Internet is only possible when internet service providers are required to be agnostic with regards to the data they carry and are prevented from being able to give special treatment to transmissions they prefer.

38. William Scheer, Tesuque, NM, 87574

Don't mess up net neutrality, please. Millions of ordinary citizens pressed for the current regulations. We meant it. Net neutrality is and should be a basic principle of internet service. The internet is a basic utility and necessary to meaningful participation in our digital world. Both as a conduit for receiving information, and a means of sharing ideas, unrestricted internet access (without preferential treatment) is essential to a properly functioning society. It is not too onerous to expect those making money by providing this utility to treat us all equally. The industry may moan that they could make even more money if they could monetize priority access/delivery. Don't cry for them, they are making mint. Indeed their license to provide a basic public service is a license to make plenty of money. Enforce net neutrality. Enforce equality.

39. Scott Akers, Clovis, NM, 88101
Overturning net neutrality will only benefit corporate interests, not those of the consumer.
40. ned harris, santa fe, NM, 87505
History will be cruel to this administration. Please demonstrate some independence and judgement. Preserve net neutrality and preserve Title II. This is important in an egalitarian society.
41. deira, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I back a strong net neutrality backed by title II
42. William Gage, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I fully support retention of FCC oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to ensure ongoing "net neutrality," and specifically oppose any proposed forbearance of enforcement of the FCC's Open Internet rules adopted in 2015.
43. Internet, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Freedom of communications and information is key to our democracy. We must retain this for the United States to remain the country it was founded to be. I support strong net neutrality through the oversight of Internet providers via Title II. Do not change Title II.
44. Matthew Bowie, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
An open internet is the foundation of a modern Democracy. Keeping ISPs classified under Title II is currently the best way of protecting that foundation. If you think that Title II is outdated, then I encourage legislative measures to create a modern version that could be applied, but until that is in place, Title II is the best option available. Please consider placing the well being of people above that of corporate entities.
45. Corinne Kratz, tesuque, NM, 87574
Internet providers should continue to be treated under Title II regulations, which provides security of open and equal access for all information and data. The move to return them to Title i is a grave mistake. You fixed this once, leave it alone.
46. Nodiah Brent, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support ISP's being treated as a Title 2 common carrier regulated by the FCC. Keep Open Internet Rules from 2015 in place.
47. Amanda Lee Harig, Aztec, NM, 87410
Democratized information needs to remain a level playing field. We've had this

argument before and if the public court defends nothing else, it will support equal distribution of data, regardless of content and free for the consumer's perusal. Do not leave this distribution open to the monopolies that are forced to provide it for substantial fees. They already control advertising content and search yields that are favoring misinformation over database sources. Imagine how they'll stifle dissent and any networks that may provide competition to their narratives.

48. Doug, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Please do not change the current net neutrality rules. And to make sure there is no confusion- I mean do not change any of the current rules as the administration is proposing. The regulations are fine as they protect a free and fair internet, one in which service providers can not discriminate against content. I am against any proposal being put forth by the current government.

49. Matthew Cavanaugh, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I support strong regulation of the telicom industry. Just because we don't see abuses now, does not mean there will be none in the future. Net neutrality is absolutely paramount to the growth of our tech sector. The current administration reeks of telicom influence and I do not believe you have the best interest of consumers in mind with proceeding 17-108. I have made my living on the web for the past 12 years, please do not stunt its growth.

50. Mo Van de Sompel, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Do not reclassify these agencies as a US citizen I stand against it, net neutrality is an essential part of the internet and we can't trust corporations to not take advantage of a benefit you give them.

51. Neil Herman, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Keep net neutrality and the Title II designation. If you think we should just trust companies not to do what is in their best interest and our worst, then you're dumber than you seem to think we are.

52. John Storbeck, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

We need and have a right to strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs

53. Jess Cullinan, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I strongly support retaining the current net neutrality rules under Section 2 enforcement. Internet service providers should absolutely be classified as utilities, and they must not be allowed to restrict the content their customers may access online. The internet has become more important than telephone lines, and open access must be fiercely protected.

54. Joshua Dostal, Taos, NM, 87571

Please maintain strong Net Neutrality laws under Title 2.

55. Becky Mix, Farmington, NM, 87402
I am writing to support strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.
56. richard diamond, taos, NM, 87571
FCC, please continue the net neutrality laws enforced by title 2. To disregard such rules based on financial investments for a certain company undermines everything that you are supposed to stand for and protect. Thank god for John Oliver!!!!
57. comment, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please maintain internet neutrality by continuing to register internet providers under Title 2. This change has provided safeguards for all users and, contrary to claims, has NOT altered providers' ability to make a profit.
58. Rebekah Delay, santa fe, NM, 87505
You can not get rid of net neutrality. It will only benefit companies like Verizon and screw over the average consumer. Fuck the FCC
59. lauren brown, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I strongly support keeping net neutrality covered by Title 2.
60. Steven Chance, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Please retain net neutrality by retaining regulation of ISPs under Title 2
61. Angela, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I, specifically, support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
62. Net Neutrality, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do not overlook this issue on account of making a profit off of the American people.
63. David Ballew, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I'm writing to request that net neutrality be continued, without allowing arrangements or secret deals to prioritize one internet provider over any other. And I vote. Thank you, Dave Ballew
64. Krishna Singh Khalsa, Espanola, NM, 87532
Internet Broadband SHOULD be a Public Utility, not a private country club for wealthy, heartless, soul-less corporations. DO NOT INTERFERE with a free and open internet.
65. Sara Gmitter, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
It is very important to preserve net neutrality! We should not make it even

easier for ISPs, which have a stranglehold on an incredibly important modern utility, to line their pockets at the expense of whatâ€™s best for consumers.

66. Howard Korder, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" is the exact opposite of what it claims to be. I strongly oppose relaxing neutrality standards and any change to Title II enforcement.
67. Kathleen Davis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I writing in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Voluntary cooperation by ISP's is not enough.
68. Ken Goldstein, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Please preserve the strong net neutrality rules supported by Title II.
69. Mark, rio rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality must be upheld, and title 2 regulations should remain
70. Chris, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We find it incredibly troublesome to hear that net neutrality and Title II are coming under attack once more by the head of your department. In 2014, there was a clear and decisive voice from the US populous base, that it stands firmly behind the protection of net neutrality. You responded to this by reclassifying distributors under title II. It is vital that you at the FCC maintain a clear and impartial role in the protection of net neutrality and the US constitutional 1st amendment! Without net neutrality and or title II, the FCC will set a dangerous precedent and open itself to numerous legal actions to restore title II. Hearing from ourselves and constituents around the country, we assume you will act accordingly, to protect the freedom our country demands of itself.
71. Tom Kaczmarek, Santa fe, NM, 87505
Dear FCC, Please keep strong net neutrality rules; don't change ISP oversight from Title II. Keep things the way they are. Thanks. Tom
72. Ryan Brenteson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I fully support net neutrality as stated in Title 2. Stop doing everything the big businesses and wealthy tell you!
73. Oscar, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Mr. Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality back by TITLE- II over site of ISP's!!! May god bless John Oliver and these mighty United States!
74. Lee Merrill, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I am writing to oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom NPRM. I support strong Net Neutrality, and feel that ISPs should be treated just like my Water, Electric,

or Gas Utility. This is only possible if ISPs are enforced by Title II regulations.

75. Robert Brueckner, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Please do NOT get rid of Net Neutrality. The free and open internet is what has propelled the tech revolution and resulted in more jobs and more freedom than ever before. DO NOT BETRAY THAT! I was able to find excellent paying work well into my sixties just because of a free and open internet. You mess with that kind of success at your peril.
76. Steven DeGennaro, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Hey gansta Pai, (shill),I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP's.
77. John Bowden, Taos, NM, 87571
I m in support of total net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
78. Steven Mosher, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am in support of strong laws and regulations supporting net neutrality. Please, preserve net neutrality and Title II.Thank you. Steven Mosher
79. Jared P, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II regulation of ISP's.
80. E Platas, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I strongly support net neutrality do not change it.
81. Elizabeth Faust, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules in place
82. Robert Jost, Farmington, NM, 87401
I urge the FCC to continue to make the Title II Net Neutrality rules strong.
83. Dawna Coutant, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Please do NOT allow internet providers to have the ability to slow down the internet for their customers. We need the Type 2 regulations, don't revert to Type 1. I travel frequently to other countries and we have much slower internet and worse access then many European countries. To "make America great again" you have to give people good, fast, consistent, internet connects. Please do NOT weaken Net Neutrality rules.
84. Meagan Brogan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I am writing to ask you to support net neutrality to secure the freedom and fairness of an open internet. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. My business depends upon the fast and free flow of information on the internet and allowing ISPs to control, limit,

restrict, or make choices on my behalf of what I can access and the speed at which I can do it at would be beyond detrimental to both my business and my personal internet access.

85. Erik Pope, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

It is imperative that the FCC support and maintain a free and open internet by keeping ISPs (Internet Service Providers) and all other applicable internet operating entities regulated under title 2. The FCC must maintain net neutrality. ISPs have severe conflicts of interest and have proven repeatedly that under light regulation or none at all, they can not and should not be trusted, either individually or collectively, to create policy for access to or policy for operation on our public internet.

86. Lavi Malhotra, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

It will be unconscionable to remove or weaken the Net Neutrality rules currently imposed on the ISPs under Title II. Removing the ISPs from Title II will only benefit the ISPs; we the end users will suffer!!!

87. Marc A Cleaver, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

Please maintain the current net neutrality rules.

88. Travis Gene Duhr, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs. Don't be a giant bag of dicks. Actually listen to the people instead of shoving your heads up your own asses and bending over for corporate interests.

89. Shirley Saccoccia, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I support strong net neutrality and am requesting that the FCC retain Title II provisions which enforce net neutrality and preserve fair use and access to all services on the internet.

90. Jake Quintana, Taos, NM, 87571

Keep net neutrality. The needs of the many outweigh the needs of the few.

91. Matthew Culler, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

I (and every reasonable person I know) support strong Net Neutrality rules and strongly oppose any moves to rollback current protections. Even if ISPs promise to behave fairly, why would you give the keys to the hen-house to a pack of foxes?

92. Diantha McJilton, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Preserve net neutrality for all the users of the internet. Keep it under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. As a lawyer, Chairman Pai, you took an oath to be ethical. And when you were appointed to the FCC chair you were appointed to serve the American people, not just your former employer.

93. Daniel Salazar, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 rules. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
94. Travis McIntyre, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The evidence is not clear that internet provider investment in the United States slowed as a result of FCC reclassification to Title II. For instance, it seems likely that average US connection speed would begin lagging other developed countries if investment slowed, but this did not happen (<https://www.akamai.com/us/en/about/our-thinking/state-of-the-internet-report/state-of-the-internet-connectivity-visualization.jsp>). Please consider maintaining Title II classification for internet service providers in order to protect net neutrality.
95. Nick Baker, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Preserve Title 2 on ISPs. I don't trust companies to do the right thing just because it's the right thing. If there's profit to be had doing the wrong thing, ISPs will do it, and have demonstrated that they will block competitors if given the opportunity to do so. Net Neutrality is important to all of us: Republican, Democrat, Libertarian, Everyone.
96. Net Neutrality, Raton, NM, 87740
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. You must not allow our countries freedoms of information to be purchased by corporations, or dictated by politician's who have the interest of corporations at hand.
97. Carol Burleson, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I strongly urge that you preserve net neutrality. Allowing large high volume providers to dictate speed and content will stifle innovation for the internet services and could lead to political control of internet use as well.
98. Joanne M. Frey, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please retain net neutrality. It is not fair to favor one area over another
99. Kelly McReynolds, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality and Internet Providers being regulated under Title II. This designation should NOT be amended to classification under Title I.
100. Karl Matthes, Clovis, NM, 88101
I am in support of Net Neutrality. Internet use is quickly becoming as essential as running water and electricity, and we would never throttle or impede the flow of either. If internet service providers are going to swear up and down that they would never play favorites, they shouldn't have any problem with it being

written into law.

101. Jett, Farmington, NM, 87402
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
And oppose the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. I STRONGLY OPPOSE
Docket No. 17-108
102. Heather WencilHe, Taos, NM, 87571
Please persevere net neutrality! TITLE 2!
103. Robert Innis, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality and Title II!
104. Curran R. DiBiase, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
To FCC Chairman Ajit Pai: as a citizen of the United States of America, I
strongly urge you to keep Net Neutrality regulations in place, specifically by
classifying Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act
of 1934. Whatever your previous occupations, your obligation, as a person
holding public office, is now to the people of the USA. It is my firm belief that
you will best serve us through a stalwart defense of Net Neutrality.
Sincerely, Curran R. DiBiase
105. Jonas R. Skardis, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Maximize net neutrality by keeping the regulations under Title II and doing all
else possible to prohibit corporate interference with equal bandwidth for all
participants.
106. Michelle Bates, Farmington, NM, 87401
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversights
107. Kevin Valdez, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2's oversight of isp's.
Ajit Pai's backing of the elimination of title 2 is a public manipulation tactic
and he needs to back off. I do not support any efforts to efface title 2's oversight
of internet service providers. I'd like to say thanks for allowing our opinions to
be shared.
108. Tim, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
NET NEUTRALITYYES YES YES YESNO Net Neutrality.....is Corporate
Control CRAP that hurt actual citizens a.k.a. "the people".... you know "the
people" you are supposed to represent
109. David Cragin, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please do not remove Title II requirements of internet providers. Net neutrality
is our right as United States citizens.

110. Chad Donaldson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support net neutrality and strongly disagree with proposals to move the FCC authority from Title 2 classification. The internet MUST operate as a free and open source of information without unfair influence from powerful and wealthy players that can manipulate access. That the FCC would even consider allowing such gross intrusion and corruption of the internet is disgusting and terrifying.
111. Jacob Alexander, Clovis, NM, 88101
I would like to make clear that I support the current title 2 status of ISP's and hope that Obama Era regulations won't be removed.
112. david fuehne, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2 oversight for all ISPs.
113. Janice Baker, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I do not support changing the rules on net neutrality. This is in place to protect the consumer from manipulative activities by ISPs. Please do not give corporations this kind of control over the best interests of consumers.
114. Cynthia A. Collier, Angel Fire, NM, 87710
I am strongly against undoing the current protection for Net Neutrality. I do not believe that industry will regulate itself and find the reasons given for a need for change to be deceitful and ridiculous.
115. Bryan Carter, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I support stronger protection of net neutrality rules through title 2.
116. sharon cheney, santa fe, NM, 87507
I want the internet regulations to remain as they are as deregulation will favor big companies who pay more
117. Anna Hastings, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Keep net neutrality by keeping it under Title II
118. Diane Forsdale, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
No one, NO ONE, wants net neutrality to end. Corporations have a vested interest in getting rid of it but individuals do not. The government is supposed to protect the rights of people so please protect net neutrality and the internet that we all love so much... just like it is.
119. Brett Kokinadis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Leave net neutrality as it is. The government needs to start working for the people.

120. Sharon Orbach, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support Title II strong net neutrality oversight over ISP. Don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free & open Internet with strong Title II rules. This is the only way to ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers!!
121. Jacquee Gillespie, Mora, NM, 87732
I back strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is vitally important to everyone and especially to me.
122. Mary S Howells, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
It is critical to maintain in place the protections President Obama implemented to protect net neutrality. A free internet with a level playing field for all sites is as important to our freedom as a free press.
123. William Bartelloni, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Full net neutrality...nothing more, but nothing less! Thank you.
124. Benjamin Nelson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I oppose the rollback of the Net Neutrality rules. These rules protect the consumers from unfair advantages that corporations have over the consumer.
125. Austin Miller, Farmington, NM, 87402
I strongly support the strict net neutrality laws backed by Title II
126. Steven Lee Henson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
We must keep net neutrality. This should be seen as freedom of speech. How can we allow ISPs to dictate what is fast or slow to get to us. We must not stand for this destruction of American freedoms. Keep net neutrality... and protect it like freedom of speech!
127. Jason Lau, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
The idea that we need to roll back Net Neutrality is absurd and counter to the arguments being made in favor of the rollback. Thus, I want to express my comment that Net Neutrality and the Title II status should be preserved.
128. Stuart Walker, El Prado, NM, 87529
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. And please stop pretending that your proposed removal of the current rules is something that will benefit ordinary Americans.
129. Charles Fox, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support Net Neutrality and equal access. Without a strong legal and regulatory framework for Net Neutrality the functioning of the Internet and our society will be severely compromised.

130. Aaron Burnside, White rock, NM, 87544
title two is the only thing protecting the online markets
131. adam montoya, Rio rancho, NM, 87124
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and title 2 oversight for ISP's, and so should you. Thanks for your time.
132. Melissa Holik, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support net neutrality. Like public airwaves and broadcast frequencies, this is a public resource that should be equal.
133. Frank DiLuzio, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I am asking that the FCC preserve a true free internet with access that is open to all and unfettered by ISP's in any way. Retain net neutrality by keeping the Title II designation!
134. Christine McHugh, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Title II strong net neutrality oversight over ISP. Don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers.
135. Richard Harms, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
We oppose the change in net neutrality.
136. Brian DuCommun, Clovis, NM, 88101
Please keep Title II active. Putting this into Title I has already been legally ruled as non binding via Verizon's lawsuit. This will result in fees charged to companies for faster internet, and that cost will be passed down to the consumer.
137. Luis Vargas, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I personally think that you should not change net neutrality from title 2 to title 1 and should stay in title 2 so that the FCC can still protect the current standards for net neutrality.
138. Meg Meltz, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. We do NOT want big ISPs to interfere with the speed of the internet.
139. J. I. Fischer, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality!!!!!!!!!!
140. Ben Burnett, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Keep the net neutral. The internet has become a commodity and should not be

allowed to have any chances to be tampered with. It is not the only first world commodity to be regulated. Electricity is distributed equally even though it could be throttled in one way or another and that is because of the regulations surrounding it. Please make the right choice or we might have serious backlash from not only the dark side of the internet but the common user base (which is everyone, consider that) as well.

141. Elliot Nicholas, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Keep Net Neutrality rules backed by title II!!
142. Anthony Alden, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support Net Neutrality, to end it would be a direct violation of my Constitutional rights as an American. Net Neutrality is especially important in a market place that has no competition and to end it and Title 2 would be a direct attack on the american people and small business. The Internet is a right and should be preserved as such.
143. Nancy Johnson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I strongly support the current net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
144. Foster Hurley, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Keep the net neutral. Keep the net neutral. Keep the net neutral.
145. Alex Tharinger, Rio rancho, NM, 87124
I believe that title 2 should stand and keep net neutrality as it was because the access to information should be a basic human right.
146. Richard Koesterer, Crownpoint, NM, 87313
I want the internet to remain a free public resource with oversight fro the US government and not major corporations.
147. Juan Cervantes, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Why are we back to this garbage? I support net neutrality rules and regulations under Title II oversight of ISP's.
148. Barbara Kalb, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please keep Net Neutrality!!!! Do NOT remove providers out of Title II restrictions. There is NO honor system in business! Just for once, think of the consumer instead of your pocketbook!
149. Jacob Farrell, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I would like to formally voice my support for strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

150. Elizabeth Cook, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please keep net neutrality Title II in place so we all can have equal access. Thank you.
151. Debbie Harig, Aztec, NM, 87410
Come 'on, guys. Pay attention to the real rules. This president has no idea how to run a government. Net neutrality needs to be protected.
152. Gregory J. Smith, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Internet is already expensive enough. Having companies "nickel and dime" Americans so we can watch Netflix or get a google results page is going to be terrible.
153. sandra pazanin, Santa fe, NM, 87507
I am AGAINST deregulating the internet. The FCC is looking for a world of hurt if they do this: STOLEN IDENTITIES, LACK OF PRIVACY, LACK OF PROTECTION, INCREASED TERRORIST ACTIVITY.. UNTRACKABLE, ETC, ETC, ETC. Just because we have a Prez who doesn't understand what he is doing and uninformed about so many things, that's no reason to rubber stamp his dumb moves. PLEASE BE RESPONSIBLE, While YOU STILL HAVE A JOB. You do realize that passing this will put all y'all out of jobs...right?
154. Jennifer Porcelli, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support strongly net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!
155. Dale A Richman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The Internet Service Providers and anyone with a profit motive should not be able to be trusted to police themselves. The Chairman states that there has not been any incidents of ISPs doing anything like slow downs, but that is because we have Net Neutrality rules. Keep Title 2 in tact and protect the freedom and should not be removed. Ever. The Chairman is obviously a corporate shill who has no interest in protecting the American People.
156. Alice Skehan, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not let these big companies throttle my internet for their own greed at my expense.
157. Ira Agins, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please maintain net neutrality under Title 2 - it is our strongest legal basis!
158. Robbyne Jones, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Keep rules designating internet providers' offerings as telecommunications services under Title II of the Communications Act. Maintain strict net neutrality rules, including prohibitions on site and app blocking, speed throttling, and paid fast lanes. Do not let the big ISPs dictate which content gets faster speeds.

159. Michael Smith, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Do not reverse net neutrality rules. No changes.
160. Jason Boyd, Sena, NM, 87560
PLEASE uphold net neutrality! PLEASE keep the internet classified under Title II!
161. Jim Johnson, Bloomfield, NM, 87413
As a small business owner and a 27-year technology professional, I oppose the repeal of the net neutrality regulations previously enacted by the FCC. The arguments for simply make no logical sense. Repeal of these needed regulations will hurt small business owners like myself and ensure that large corporations always have the upper hand to compete. I believe the internet should be a fair and level playing field, therefore I urge the FCC to reject the repeal of net neutrality regulations.
162. Rod Dobbs, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please do not change, alter, or repeal net neutrality legislation.
163. James McDonald, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I'm writing this comment in SUPPORT of the current Net Neutrality rules and of continuing to classify Internet Service Providers classified as common carriers under Title II. As we learned in the past, ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves and a roll-back of these rules will inevitably lead to business practices that damage free market competition for online services. DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE REGULATIONS. Thanks.
164. Leo O'Connell, Espanola, NM, 87532
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. John Oliver's got a bigger mug than you, Ajit Pai.
165. RayneWeber, Farmington, NM, 87402
I support internet neutrality. The fcc should keep title II status over the isp's. Relaxing regulations is only going to hurt.
166. andy Ackerman, Rmbudo, NM, 87531
we must protect net neutrality, and also title 2 status bamn we are american/s and freedom is not for sale
167. Debrianna, santa fe, NM, 87505
I support STRONG Net Neutrality Title 2 oversight of ISP it is VITAL to the American people's access to fair internet
168. Stephen Jones, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Do your job.

169. Rachel Martinez, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am in support of the FCC to keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
170. Nicki Handler, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
MAINTAIN INTERNET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. Its essential to our democracy commerce, well being and future..PLEASE DO NOT DESTROY THIS - it is a utility and access is a necessity for all people. It is a new world we live in. As it is access is already to expensive for many people. A digital divide would be very destructive to the social fabric of our country. Furthermore we should not have to beg our government to do the right thing..People have rights too, not just greedy corporations.
171. Marcus Williams, Edgewood, NM, 87015
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I also support prohibition of the sale of browsing data without explicit authorization from the user the data was collected from. It is for the common good for the people of this country.
172. Haj Khalsa, Santa fe, NM, 87501
Please do not erode the protections currently in place to ensure net neutrality. Specifically, I support keeping ISPs regulated by Title 2. Thank you.
173. Maureen McCarthy, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II- we need these protections.
174. Gregory Orlicz, Santa Fe, NM, NM, 87501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I fully reject the premise that net neutrality regulations will (in any way) stunt the growth of technology. Rather, to deregulate would be to create the potential for an incredibly disastrous environment in which an ISP would have an incentive to limit the accessibility of web content from sources that do not support that particular ISP's business interests. We should not rely on the ISPs to serve the general public's well being out of good faith. Instead, it can be fully anticipated that an ISP will act in a way that serves it's own monetary interests, which could often conflict with those of the consumer and with those of small businesses that may offer services in direct competition with that ISP. If net neutrality regulations are abandoned, it is guaranteed that such conflicts will pose a threat to future web content accessibility.
175. Laura O'Hara, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support internet neutrality as enforced under Title 2
176. Wylan Werth, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

As someone who makes a living working for a small web development company I strongly support net neutrality and the Title II classification of ISPs. I believe that lifting regulations on ISPs will be detrimental to the internet and the American people.

177. Kshitiz, Farmington, NM, 87401
I support net neutrality backed by title 2. Ajay Pai, this is directed to you.
178. Vincent Abt, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Preserve Net Neutrality, and do not change Title II of the FCC Communications Act! I prefer that the FCC oversee Net Neutrality. I believe that Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, Charter, or any other ISP will not act on my behalf as a customer. Past efforts of the aforementioned companies to self-police their industry have resulted in a complete failure in preserving the rights of their customers to choose their own content. Thank you for your consideration, Vincent P Abt
179. guthrie miller, los alamos, NM, 87544
I strongly support net neutrality, including title 2 status.
180. Brianna Kirkland, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
As an Internet professional, when you slow down my internet, you cost me my ability to do business. Shame on you for allowing Big Telcom to steamroll small businesses! Save Net Neutrality!
181. Stelio, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Please !!
182. Randolph Taylor, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am in favor of "Net Neutrality" and am strongly opposed to the rollbacks of Net Neutrality as proposed by the current FCC chairman.
183. Freedom, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Title II is where internet freedom should be. Changing internet freedom laws to Title I will invoke the already passed ruling that expressed that to have internet freedom, Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is the only legal way of giving it full protection.
184. Net Neutrality, Gallup, NM, 87301
Please do not be pushed around by the Trump administration. Maintain Net Neutrality. Americans do not want spied on or have our privacy infringed upon.
185. Diana Zumas, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I want net neutrality maintained and compliance of all internet providers to Title II

186. Gale Zander Barlow, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please maintain net neutrality and backed by Title II oversight. This is very important and I will be following this comment up with a call to my Congressman and Senator.
187. Brian Hunter, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am very concerned in ongoing efforts to deregulate ISPs and overturn existing net neutrality laws. I urge you to reconsider your current stance and abandon further efforts to undermine net neutrality. This enforced neutrality is an essential element in ensuring continued innovations in news, entertainment, and other content across the internet
188. Phillip Runyan, McAlister, NM, 88427
No group, panel or commission should have the ability to determine winners and losers in the broadband battle field. Neutrality and equality should be made the law of the land, forever and ever, amen!
189. Josh Haggard, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Considering that the current alternatives involve self-regulation within companies entirely capable of conflicts of interest, either a new alternative must be found or this ruling must be upheld
190. Jennifer Shapland, 87505, NM, 87505
Please continue to support net neutrality and Title II!
191. Jessica Hunter, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am concerned with ongoing efforts to deregulate ISPs and overturn existing net neutrality laws.
192. Thomas Murray, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am in favor of strong net neutrality regulations supported under Title II of the Communications Act. I strongly oppose reclassifying internet service providers as information services under Title I of the Communications Act.
193. Penny Goins, Farmington, NM, 87401
Keep net neutrality in title 2 and don't mess with the freedom of our internet, please!
194. Mollie Parsons, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We need net neutrality. Keep this important protection that helps level the playing field.
195. Trevor McVeety, CORRALES, NM, 87048
Please keep net neutrality, and furthermore internet should be a public utility.

196. matthew Farner, Corrales, NM, 87048
I am expressing my 1st amendment right to support net neutrality and title 2 provisions. Ending net neutrality is wrong.
197. Larry E. Naranjo, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The internet is too important to trust to large corporations own profit motive to police themselves. The Federal Government must continue to regulate to ensure equal access to service to citizens.
198. Daniel R. Livingston, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Lifting Title II categorization from ISPs is a blatant attempt to put revenue over the good of the internet. The World Wide Web is one of the greatest inventions of mankind, and will exist in some form long after we're gone. Just as they say a butterfly flapping its wings will cause wind across the world, so will a change in the nature of the internet forever affect its course.
199. Oro Benson, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
I ENTIRELY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY
200. Tal-ee Roberts, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I explicitly and emphatically implore the FCC to continue to ensure net neutrality rules are enforced through the stronger Title II regulations to ensure small and startup internet based businesses receive equal and unbiased access to consumers. Additionally, to protect free speech and expression, I consider it to be essential that access to information through the World Wide Web be unfettered and equal.
201. Jarad Armitage, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I do not want Net Neutrality jeopardized. I want and think it is in America's best interest that we have robust net neutrality rules and regulations.
202. Jonathan Hargis, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. There is nothing wrong with the current system, lining the pockets of isp's is not a valid reason to change or rollback these protections in any way.
203. R. R. Oldehoeft, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep the Net Neutrality rules as they are. Overwhelming numbers of U.S. citizens want ISPs to be managed by regulation, not suggestion, under Title 2. They know the issues quite well. The responses before these rules were established show that the current proposal runs counter to what we want in terms of internet access.
204. Chris Calhoun, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I believe in a free and open internet and strongly urge the FCC not to roll back

net neutrality rules which protect consumers and make the internet a level playing field. Keeping ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act is the only way to guarantee these protections. Any attempts to deregulate ISPs are a blatant handout to business at the expense of consumers and the future of the internet. Maintain net neutrality!

205. brent Garcia, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
My family and i are for preserving net neutrality and title 2.
206. Eve Bauer, Los alamos, NM, 87544
Please preserve nuetrallity and Title 2.
207. Carolyn Lee, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I believe it is imperative that we maintain Net Neutrality and keep ISP providers under Title 2 jurisdiction.
208. Edwin Larsen, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I believe it is critically important to maintain Net Neutrality and to keep the ISP providers under Title 2 governance.
209. jim ivanovich, Taos, NM, 87571
Please stop rehashing this garbage at the change of every administration. Internet should be a free and open public utility free of corporate and political filtering. How else can we counter the trend of biased news without equal access?
210. Lawrence Muellenberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
No need to change. Leave it as it is. Keep net neutrality strong.
211. chip, santa fe, NM, 87505
ISPs need to stay regulated as common carriers, title 2. to insure fair access to media ideas and cat vidios
212. Jenny Achilles, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Maintain title II and net neutrality
213. Rhyannon Brightwater, santa fe, NM, 87507
Protect net neutrality. Keep providers under Title 2 because knowledge is power. Losing neutrality is a way to restrict people's access to information...as is done in autocratic regimes.
214. Robert Howard, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Perserve net neutrality and continue using Title II protection!
215. Marvin Godner, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please preserve net neutrality, it will protect consumers and the networks have said it will not effect their investment in expanding networks.

216. Mark Polles, Clovis, NM, 88101
Please preserve and support strong neutrality of the internet and Title II
217. Suzie Havemann, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Protect net neutrality. Be fair. Please don't favor corporations over access to information.
218. Steven Merriam, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I want the FCC to create strong Net Neutrality rules back by current Title II regulations.
219. scott havemann, Los alamos, NM, 87544
Protect net neutrality quit trying to steal from the middle class
220. Jaden Worley, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Keep your internet neutrality acts under Title 2, without the legal restrictions the entire basis would fall apart.
221. Dr. Owen Burney, Mora, NM, 87732
I am in support of Title II that backs net neutrality oversight of ISPs
222. Jeff and Joan Babcock, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Keep net neutrality and do NOT move ISPs back to being regulated under Title 1. Doing so would benefit a few companies (like the one the new chairman with the "infamous" coffee cup, used to work for) at the expense of a working internet. Title 2 is working just fine so leave it in place.
223. Michele Vochosky, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I strongly support net neutrality. Keep Title II.
224. Kirk Barrows, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I strongly support OPEN and FREE internet SECURED by TITLE 2. AJIT PAI YOU ARE FIRED as of NOW! JERK. SHILL. TRAITOR to the people, you will NOT get away with this you HORRIBLE disgusting excuse for a verizon SHILL. SHAME ON YOU. I pray your horrible plans end up with YOU in the TOILET, you sick DEMON. ALMIGHTY CREATOR GOD, please hear my prayers to RESTORE JUSTICE from this MOB of CORPORATE ROBBER BARONS. You lame money grubbing EVIL Nazis. . Let it be so! Thank you.
225. James Myers, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I would like to voice my opposition to the FCC considering stripping net neutrality regulations. I believe the internet should be an open place where all

data is treated equally and that internet service providers should not have the ability to speed up or slow down internet functions for their own gain. As a government agency I believe it is the FCC's duty to act in the public's interest on this issue.

226. Sam Hart, Clovis, NM, 88101
I strongly support net neutrality, and the current move for the government to support ISPs over citizens will stifle business and American economic interests as well as Constitutionally established freedoms. I will vote against any elected member who seeks to remove net neutrality.
227. Tim Folger, Gallup, NM, 87301
Preserve net neutrality and classify ISP providers under Title II.
228. Devon Corbet, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Title II and don't want to see it done anyway with.
229. Leslie Bryant, Corrales, NM, 87048
I strongly support net neutrality with Title II oversight for ISPs. Thank you!
230. Michael Caccamise, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
We need to keep ISP's under Title II. They need oversight in order to keep net neutrality for Internet. I strongly support keeping big ISP companies under Title II so that the FCC will have the proper oversight over them. You must support keeping them honest by having the proper oversight. It is your duty as a civil servant to protect the people's interest, not the interests of Corporate America.
231. Rod Borup, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
We need net neutrality. This is free speech. The First Amendment (Amendment I) to the United States Constitution prohibits the making of any law respecting abridging the freedom of speech, infringing on the freedom of the press, interfering with the right to ... We can't count on companies to 'just do this' out of the goodness of their hearts.
232. Dan Green, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Preserve internet neutrality rules as they were under the Obama administration!!
233. Christina Tyler, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
We strongly support Net Neutrality. It is paramount that the FCC maintains Title II oversight of ISPs. Like all other internet users, we do not want ISPs -- which are often reported in polls to be the most hated, untrustworthy, and non-customer-responsive companies in America -- to have the power to influence what people do online. In most areas of the United States, these companies have monopolies, or nearly so. They cannot be trusted to do the right thing without Title II oversight, as evidenced by the fact that they have filed lawsuits and

lobbied aggressively to prevent Title II oversight. Furthermore, without that oversight, ISPs could literally make or break internet businesses, stifling innovation from startups and other internet businesses either unwilling or unable to meet ISPs' demands (on top of legitimate marketing expenses) to reach potential customers at sufficient speeds. Please do not give ISPs the power and incentive to damage the entire internet.

234. Tristan Wright, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support net neutrality readily backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. It's a necessary part of modern infrastructure and like water, air, roads and rails it needs to be regulated so that no one company or individual can monopolize it.
235. Phillip Babby, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
I support strong regulation supporting Net Neutrality and the continued regulation of internet service providers under Title II. ISP's have already made their intentions clear in wanting to explore throttling various sites while delivering others at top speeds as a new source of revenue and control. It's clear from actions by companies like Verizon that we need strong regulation. Especially when considering the fact that many people in this country only have one or two options for internet. This already leads to bad, expensive service. Losing the ability to have strong oversight over ISP's will only exacerbate these issues, not solve them. Free markets only work when there is varied, viable competition, which does not exist in the market of ISP's in this country at this time.
236. J. Girard, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. Do not dilute the existing regulations, and continue to provide strong oversight of ISPs.
237. Aaron Hobson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please preserve net neutrality. Rolling back regulations is not in consumers best interests, nor is it conducive to a free and open society.
238. Roseann Rice, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
I support strong net neutrality rules and isp's. Do not weaken them.
239. zwaantje hamming, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II!!!!
240. Jennifer Gabaldon, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Limiting my freedom to decide how to search and receive my content just to make a dollar, is disgraceful. I want net neutrality and Title II to stand.

241. Kathy Prestridge, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please maintain net neutrality. Regulate the internet companies under Title 2.
242. Bruce Katlin, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
I demand that the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
243. Jennifer Trainor, Santa fe, NM, 87508
Preserve title 2 regulation and net neutrality
244. andrew schmalzer, Los alamos, NM, 87544
I support net neutrality and the protections afforded to Americans under title II.
245. Stephanie Kisner, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I respectfully request that internet neutrality be maintained per the regulations governing it today, May 8, 2017. Do not change them. Thank you.
246. Lucias Begay, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please preserve Net Neutrally and Article II.
247. Joshua Tate, Questa, NM, 87556
Title 2 oversight is critical to strong, functional Internet marketplace.
248. Rae Arnett, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support net neutrality rules backed by Title II regulations on ISPs. This ensures internet equality, and does not leave such an important aspect of freedom up to chance or the goodwill of ISPs. These regulations protect the little guys as well as internet users from corporate overreach, don't take away that protection.
249. Michael Krein, Tucumcari, NM, 88401
Maintain Net Neutrality in its current form. Please disallow the current administration to continue ruining our country.
250. John Goodman, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Look how responsible the banks who created the 2008 financial collapse acted, absolute integrity...Name one good reason why ISP's will act in the public's best interest after the regulations are taken away. I can give you \$everal reasons why they won't. Save title II.
251. Jason Halladay, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I, like the majority of American internet users, support strong net neutrality governed by Title II of the Communications Act.
252. Camille Walker, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I believe that net neutrality is incredibly important and must be preserved.

253. Jane Romero, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I support NET NEUTRALITY. No matter what big corporate entities are willing to pay, internet access should be free to compete.
254. michael romero, Rio rancho, NM, 87144
I do not support the proposal of rescinding protections for consumers that was initiated by defining isp as title II.
255. Michael Darling, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I believe the internet to be part of the common utilities and therefore should remain open.
256. Net neutrality!!, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Preserve net neutrality! Title II classifications must remain!!
257. Lance Carlton, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Strong net neutrality and Title 2
258. Lydia Abernathy, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 !!!!!!!!!!! Don't allow another group of corporations to monopolize Internet freedoms !!!!
259. Daniela Rodriguez, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I believe we should all have equal access to online information which is why I support net neutrality!
260. Jesse Padbury, clovis, NM, 88101
I am writing to state that i would like to keep net neutrality and to keep title 2
261. Tom Seligh, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Suspension on Net-neutrality is nothing more than a corporate give away. WHY is this yet again an issue? Tax dollars paid for the creation of the internet (DARPA initiative). This is NOT corporate property.
262. March Kessler, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP
263. David Gilroy, San Cristobal, NM, 87564
Our nation, and the world, require a maintenance of Net Neutrality. Please support the continuation of internet regulation based on Title 2 of the Communications Act.
264. joel goodkind, ranchos de taos, NM, 87557
I support no changes in the present FCC regulations regarding net neutrality.

265. Beth Easter, Arroyo Hondo, NM, 87513
We strongly oppose the proposed changes to internet neutrality governance rules. We believe in the importance of internet neutrality and strongly support the continued regulation of internet neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
266. Tyler Marquis, Clovis, NM, 88101
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 over site of ISPs
267. Sullivan Wilson, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I support strong internet neutrality and the enforcement of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Keep it fair for everyone!
268. judith hendricks, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
As an author I depend on a free and open internet. I use it nearly every day for research and to communicate with colleagues and associations of which I am a member. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
269. sandra elliott, rio rancho, NM, 87124
Please retain the title 2 requirements that will ensure net neutrality. corporations cannot ever be trusted to do the right thing on their own. They must be regulated with laws.
270. Jacob Ratzlaff, Sapello, NM, 87745
Net neutrality, and strong oversight of net neutrality is very important.
271. Mark Utgaard, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please do not touch internet neutrality by changing its classification under Title II. It will only lead to less content at a higher price.
272. sarah, Santa fe, NM, 87507
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. This is an important issue to me.
273. Forrest Joy, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Fuck the president of the United States. Monopolizing the internet isn't American.
274. Clayton Underwood, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I support Title 2 federal oversight of ISP's
275. William Vining, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please do not weaken net neutrality rules. A free and open internet is absolutely vital for innovation, if small startups cannot get their products to consumers because larger corporations controlling the internet prevent their downloads

from working, or reduce the available bandwidth to their websites it will stifle innovation. The absolute only way to ensure that every business, group, and individual has fair and equal access to the most important communication and innovation platform in history is if there are strong, enforceable regulations in place preventing ISPs from interfering with traffic on their networks in any way that might prevent access to a particular service or site.

276. liz marks, Taos, NM, 87571

Don't you guys date leave us to the mercy of the corporate internet providers.
Keep net neutrality

277. Rixon Reed, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Please, please realize that the Internet is the most important communication channel in the history of humankind and thus it's imperative that net neutrality be kept as the law of the land. To allow commercial enterprise to slow down freedom of choice would be a crime against humanity.

278. tina Alarid, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please keep the current rules regarding net neutrality backed by Title II. This is an important matter for the public and the future if the internet and its use.

279. Rachel Sitton, Farmington, NM, 87401

I oppose ISPs being reclassified as Title I entities. They should remain as Title II entities.

280. Erin Gaddis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please protect and back net neutrality. It is so important that you support and protect net neutrality and Title II.

281. David Abeles, santa fe, NM, 87508

i support net neutrality . it is a vital part of our democracy. all should have equal access to the internet

282. Kendra Mccarthy, Edgewood, NM, 87015

I support strong net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight for ISPs. The Internet should be open and free for everyone and should be regulated to remain that way.

283. Madison B Cole, Corrales, NM, 87048

I believe in net neutrality. Keep isp regulation under title 2

284. Patricia Davis, Flora Vista, NM, 87415

KEEP NET NEUTRALITY under Title II regulations. Give the little guy a break for once instead of allowing the big fat fish to gobble up everything and make it harder for the rest of us.

285. Amy Kurka, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
286. Josh Adili, Clovis, NM, 88101
Protect net neutrality Keep ISPs under Title II
287. wills, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Seriously have to defend net neutrality again.... thank your douche bag boss, former verizon lawyer for having to read all these rant messages. I clearly support net neutrality and keeping the rules under title II. I realise this this isnt going to do any good since Ajut Pai will probably have have a verizon postion waiting for his dumb ass. P.s. Fuck Pai-Will-
288. anonymous, Farmington, NM, 87401
I support net neutrality and enforcement and therefore support isp's remaining designated as title 2
289. jessie, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
I strongly support net neutrality under title two.
290. Sue West, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
We avidly support strong regulations that ensure equality of consumer internet access, net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
291. Keith Dunn, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Internet providers have already shown that they are willing to slow or stop traffic at their will. Please keep net neutrality so they cannot promote their own products and squash small businesses.
292. a griffin, santa fe, NM, 87507
Internet access affects all our lives, and I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
293. steve slade, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
please keep the internet out of politics and neutral for all
294. Peter Oviatt, Taos, NM, 87571
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. It's important to regulate internet providers so they can't tamper with the peoples rights to an open Web.
295. jim kutsko, Lamy, NM, 87540
I support keeping net neutrality as a title 2 regulation.
296. J. Mark Danley, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Maintaining Net Neutrality is essential to free enterprise and freedom of expression. Eliminating Net Neutrality is going to create problems for accessing web sites from those of us who use our computers daily for business and will drastically reduce productivity from the workplace as well as when we travel as we will be at the mercy of the ISP to choose what material can move faster through the Internet.

297. Mark Gillingham, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Title II provides better enforcement to protect citizens from nefarious needs by providers. Do not. Cut net neutrality.
298. Sherman Tank, El Prado, NM, 87529
I strongly support strong net neutrality regulations!! Like those in the Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!
299. Kenny Black, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Please ensure that ISP's remain governed by Title 2 to ensure net neutrality and equal access for all. Moving to more lenient rules will hinder the American public.
300. Craig Copelin, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please save Net neutrality. I find it very upsetting that net neutrality is once again under attack. Please do not allow corporate interests to trump my ability to use the Internet in a fair and useful manner.
301. Jordan Springer, CLOVIS, NM, 88101
I want net neutrality and title 2 to stay!
302. Robert Tomlinson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I strongly support net neutrality enforced by Internet Service Providers subject to Title II oversight as common carriers. If the FCC were to make net neutrality voluntary by reclassifying ISPs, this would make a few telecom companies more profitable at the expense of all consumers and businesses in the US. The FCC is obliged to operate in the public interest; keeping the current net neutrality rules is the ONLY way to act in the public interest.
303. Jeff Johnson, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 of ISPs. I do not want large companies influencing content.
304. Dan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Thank you, Dan Piburn
305. Jay smith, Clovis, NM, 88101

I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps. Please oppose docket no 17-108.

306. Eric White, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet should not be controlled by greed and consumerism but should be fueled by freedom.
307. Jared Pearson, Clovis, NM, 88101
The FCC should protect Net Neutrality. The internet is a powerful resources, and it should be open and accessible as a tool to everyone equally, from the businessman building a new marketplace to the child vlogging about their pet rat.
308. Stephan Eichermueller, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support net neutrality under title 2 before the idiot Donald Trump came in and said otherwise.
309. Nick, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Tier 2 Net Neutrality
310. Eli Becker, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I am writing to urge the FCC to support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISPs, so that the mega telecommunications corporations won't be able to influence what people are able to do on the internet. Thank you.
311. keep net neutrality, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please maintain current protections for net neutrality.
312. Pam Neely, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
If you push us back to Title I and make net neutrality optional, the cable companies will begin slowing sites within hours. This will crush any business that can't afford to be in the fast lane. According to surveys done by Akamai and Gomez.com, nearly half of web users expect a site to load in 2 seconds or less, and they tend to abandon a site that isn't loaded within 3 seconds. 79% of web shoppers who have trouble with web site performance say they won't return to the site to buy again. Slow sites lose sales (<https://blog.hubspot.com/marketing/page-load-time-conversion-rates#sm.0001hrpty8804d15pmi23rr63p2z5>). Slowing the entire internet down? Well... with \$394.86 billion in US ecommerce sales last year, if you took even 10% off that, you'd cost US businesses \$39.48 billion - in one year. I sure hope Comcast and Verizon and the rest of their ilk are paying you a lot to push this through. Because it's going to cost small businesses and entrepreneurs a hell of a lot of money.
313. Marcos Maez, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Net Neutrality, as it currently stands, must be sustained and upheld. There is no reason to undo what has been previously done. It will be particularly disheartening if any changes are made under the leadership of the FCC as led by a former Verizon lawyer. Verizon, though a favored network among my friends and acquaintances, is an example for the need of Net Neutrality. Do not undo the the good that has already been done.

314. Jonah Schaumberg, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support strong regulations to maintain net neutrality and keep Title 2. Any attempt by the chairman to remove them should be investigated for conflict of interest on his behalf (especially if he previously worked for and kept ties with Verizon).

315. Rebecca Estrada-Anderson, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please, do not roll back Net Neutrality. In NM we have less access to ISPs and their content. We rely on both larger and smaller networks to provide services. As a State already so far behind in options, this would only make things even less accessible. We all wish we existed in an economy that would reward ISPs for volunteering to not tweak access to content, but we do not. Why even force them to make the choice? It is simply easier to leave things as they are.

316. Jan Avent, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Leave Title ii alone. It protects consumers.

317. Alexander Gonzales, Kirtland, NM, 87417

Strong Net Neutrality is an absolute necessity. Maintain Title 2, and even consider taking further measures to prevent ISPs from controlling content or manipulating our internet experience.

318. Net Neutrality, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Net Neutrality, fosters innovation and economic growth and must be protected. Overturning Net Neutrality, will hurt the U.S. economy and stifle innovation.

319. Kerry Klutka, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet regulated by strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of internet data is determined by the needs of Internet users, not by the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers.

320. Ryan McCoy, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Please keep net neutrality and maintain filing under Title 2

321. Kerry Bank, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Keep ISPs regulated under Title 2. Net neutrality is key to an open and free society. If information is given priority according to how much money someone

is able to spend, we live in an oligarchy not a democracy. Please consider this.

322. Julie Taylor, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I wish to express my concern regarding the deregulation of net neutrality. It is unreasonable and irresponsible for FCC policy to be determined by the wishes of the nation's Internet Service Providers. There have to be rules regarding Net Neutrality as we now have with the Title 2 regulation of ISPs. The internet is too important...we cannot let "free market forces" ruin equitable access to online content.

323. Dylan, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! And freedom online!

324. Cameron, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557

Hi. Please preserve net neutrality by continuing to regulate the internet under Title II. Thank you.

325. Julian Fontaine Fox, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISP's. Please allow net neutrality to continue, it for the benefit of the public good.

326. Colten G Ashley, Farmington, NM, 87401

To Mr. Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC, I EMPHATICALLY support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). It is a flimsy, and if it weren't so horrifying it would be laughable, excuse to say that there is no need for strong Net Neutrality. Any cursory, even accidental, examination of history can highlight the moral and ethical deficit that powerful, far-reaching corporations can have on their own customers and the citizenry at large. Your naïveté, or outright insidious denial, of the reality we live in shouldn't infringe on our liberty as citizens. Nor should it sell us a bill of faulty goods with the gall to masquerade as freedom. Thank you. - Colten Ashley

327. John Buttermore III, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I support strong net neutrality as currently regulated under Title II.

328. Free the internet, Albuquerque, NM, 87018

Please make sure that you keep ISPs listed under title 2 of the 1934 communications act. Regulations will not hurt these companies who already operate in an oligopoly market place with only a few competitors. Please protect the consumers and the businesses who are trying to operate on the internet.

329. Kathy Sumpter, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I oppose all efforts to weaken net neutrality.

330. Michael Moore, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please uphold Title II. I want an equal internet for all users, not just massive corporations.
331. Catherine Hummel, Taos, NM, 87571
Net neutrality is important; it benefits everyone to have equal access to information and content.
332. helen nichols, Taos, NM, 87571
you kill the internet otherwise. neutrality is it's oxygen.
333. Strider McCash, Eagle Nest, NM, 87718
I strongly support the need to keep the internet neutral and keep the ISP's under title 2.
334. Laura Johns, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The American people NEED net neutrality to continue as is. Do not allow these companies to reduce our choices and drive more limited options while they increase their "spin" due to their corporate competitiveness and insatiable greed.
335. Bree McCash, Eagle Nest, NM, 87718
I strongly support the need to keep the internet neutral and keep the ISP's under title 2. It is important for consumer protection!
336. Daniela McCash, Eagle Nest, NM, 87718
I strongly support the need to keep the internet neutral and keep the ISP's under title 2. Please help protect the consumer!
337. Lois Owens, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please don't gut net neutrality. We deserve an internet without undue influence of those with the most bucks.
338. Fenton Ayres, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Protect fair use and access to the internet and content.
339. Durin McCash, Eagle Nest, NM, 87718
I strongly support the need to keep the internet neutral and keep the ISP's under title 2. I am very concerned with the ISP's being self-regulatory.
340. Carol MacHendrie, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Save net neutrality keep title 1 and 2
341. Sherry Hardage, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Destroying net neutrality is short sighted, and against the interests of the

American consumer. All users deserve equal speed and access. Never before has a tool impacted the world like the Internet. We rely on it far too much; it has become as important as clean air and potable water.

342. Robert L. Wall, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am in favor of Net Neutrality and Title II. Please, don't ruin the Internet for the rest of us.
343. Leah Christina Johnson, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
We need FCC regulations to safe guard net neutrality!!
344. Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
As the internet is now required for effective participation in democracy, it needs to be regulated as a public utility. To that end, please ensure that access is equitable and that no monopoly can control traffic.
345. Rachel Cogent, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
DON'™T LET THE INTERNET TURN INTO DESPOTIC LITTLE EMPIRES! Reclassify the pipes as a public utility.
346. Nathan Hjelm, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support continuing to protect the open internet under title II.
347. joel peters, Mora, NM, 87732
I back strong net neutrality mandated by Title II oversight of ISPs.
348. Daniel J. Kane, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The Internet has become an essential public utility. Net Neutrality is critical. Virtually all communication, business and personal, is conducted via the Internet. In order to do even the most mundane tasks with the government and business requires the Internet. My company, which conducts business with the Federal government must do so via the Internet--there is no other option. While it might be true that ISPs are not monopolies in large metropolitan areas, in small and rural communities such as Santa Fe, they are effectively monopolies. Title II protection is essential.
349. Greg Browder, rio rancho, NM, 87124
Please keep title 2 intact. Title 2 is incredibly important to have an open, balanced, and fair internet.
350. Van Baehr, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Maintain the control of Title Two. Limit the power of the powerful. Thank you.
Van Baehr
351. Ellen Dowling, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Please protect net neutrality and keep ISPs classified as Title II.

352. Brad, Farmington, NM, 87402

Please restore the net neutrality and title two protections. Allowing corporations to govern themselves is stupid! Do your JOB!

353. Paul W. Berg, Farmington, NM, 87401

I strongly oppose proceeding 17-108 "Restoring Internet Freedom." So called "light touch" regulation has proven itself a failure in the past where ISPs and trunk carriers could, without informing their customers, throttle or block content providers based on their interest in promoting a favored provider over another because they either had an ownership stake in the favored provider or extorted payment to green light certain content over other. Even the name of this proceeding is deceptive, in an Orwellian way, because it does the opposite of what it pretends to say that it does. The only "freedom" this measure promotes is the freedom of carriers to limit the free speech of disfavored content providers including grass roots citizen groups trying to get their message out to their fellow man. This proposed proceeding serves to exemplify just how corrupt this new administration is in its subservience to well heeled interests over the greater benefit to the public whom they should be serving.

354. Shar Leach, San Cristobal, NM, 87564

I've worked in media my whole life as well as working in software on db applications involving consumer data. Net neutrality is what leads to innovation, market expansion, technological advances and much that supports our American way of life. Don't let the corporations who seek yet another avenue for extreme profit remove the very underpinnings of what created the FCC: consumer protection in the face of media monopolies and corporate over-reach. This is a matter of economic urgency that net neutrality be maintained at all costs.

355. Greg Reynolds, Farmington, NM, 87401

I am writing this on behalf of the little guy. The one who always gets stomped on because others have more power than them. Net neutrality and Title II laws create a level playing field. It keeps the internet from basically becoming a schoolyard where only the strong survive. You have a duty to protect everyone in this great country of ours and repealing these laws will only cause extreme chaos.

356. Nancy E Yarmac, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I support net neutrality, and support the continued oversight via Title II.

357. Silas Bartels, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is essential to the success of small and growing companies that utilize internet services. Internet Service Providers should not be able to engage

in activities that limits or manipulates the choices that consumers make online. The internet must remain a level playing field and I urge you to maintain title 2 classification for ISPs to ensure equality.

358. Elaine Pali, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I am insisting that net neutrality remain in place. DO NOT DISMANTLE SOMETHING THAT PROTECTS US ALL!

359. Ronald OConnor, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Keep Net neutrality intact.

360. Melissa Creel, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

Keep ISPs on Title II to do otherwise is jeopardize net neutrality

361. Robert Mitchell, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality regulations are vital to the future of the internet and its services. The "free market" has shown that it can not be trusted to self regulate when it comes to internet services. The regulations under title II are fair and reasonable and have not shown any ill effects to the ISP's and their businesses. The changing of these regulations have no benefit to the public whatsoever.

362. Roger Toll, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Please keep the Internet as it is and always has been, free of parochial interests. Net neutrality is a crucial condition of its continued value to the world and to us Americans.

363. Kimberly Cope, El Prado, NM, 87529

I'm deeply concerned by what may happen should net neutrality not continue to be enforced. We live in a time where it's increasingly difficult to trust large corporations, however honestly they may try to present themselves. This is especially true in rural areas, such as mine, where there may only be one or two options for an ISP. I think that if we rely on ISP corporations to voluntarily agree to not restrict access, it will be a foot in the door to a heavily restricted, pay-per-website future. Please continue to enforce the current net neutrality laws and keep ISPs classified under Title II. Thank you.

364. William Clark, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

We want to maintain net neutrality to keep a level playing field for all users.

365. Rob Creel, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

ISPs need to remain on Title II to maintain true net neutrality

366. Gloria Smith, santa fe, NM, 87507

please preserve internet neutrality.

367. Charles Schulte, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The repeal of the net neutrality rules would have an adverse effect on almost all internet users. Please keep the current net neutrality rules in place.
368. Delbert Schafer, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support net neutrality under Title 2 regulations. As a user I do not want ISPs deciding on the speed of various providers on the internet, which is a public necessity in this age.
369. Stephen Roscoe Cooper, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net neutrality is crucial to a broad range of commerce. Proposed changes would hamper small business and enrich the most powerful internet providers and advertisers at the expense of American citizens. Please do not approve these changes and keep the Net neutral.
370. Charles Wright, Dixon, NM, 87527
Please preserve Net Neutrality for the Internet. Leave it regulated under Title II.
371. Carolyn Kingson, Dixon, NM, 87527
Leave internet under Title 2,
372. Megan Drake, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Net neutrality works fairly for 'all the people' and by taking it away you become the robber barons of the internet. Not a very good legacy, is it?
373. Anthony J. Foltman, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
The FCC proposal to "Restore" Internet freedom is a sham. It's intention is to serve corporate interests and in no way the interests of the public and citizens. It is part of the current President's drive to serve his and his big business financial interests. There is no concern for citizens. Equal access to the Internet is in the best interest of all--citizens and businesses.
374. Nancy Bradley, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please maintain net neutrality. I strongly support keeping Internet Service Providers under the auspices of Title II rules for enforcing net neutrality. Thank you.
375. Luke Carparelli, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please preserve net neutrality and keep Title II in place.. thank you
376. Kathryn Smith, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Maintain Net Neutrality -- equal access for all ..., "We the People"
377. Harvey Simon, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Keep net neutrality level2 in force

378. Elizabeth Houck, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, (the guy with the little coffee cup)I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!Elizabeth Houck
379. Benjamin Finberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am strongly in favor of maintaining Obama-era regulations enforcing net neutrality under Title II.The Internet has been a powerful instrument for national and global change precisely because of net neutrality. Failure to preserve existing regulations would inevitably provide an unfair advantage to larger, more established organizations with the money to obtain preferential treatment of traffic to and from their servers, reducing competition and hurting consumers.Although I understand the general argument that government over-regulation can be bad for business, this is a clear-cut case where government regulation would achieve exactly the opposite, being overwhelmingly good for business, particularly smaller businesses on which our economy depends.
380. Paul Geisik, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I am opposed to any changes to our current regulations regarding net neutrality. The internet as we know it has thrived only because every website has been on equal footing, no matter what the ISPs have to say about it. We've heard these arguments just before the 2008 recession. Deregulation, in this case, will not end well.
381. Jennifer L. Ryan, Farmington, NM, 87402
I fully support Net Neutrality by keeping internet service providers classified and regulated under Title II. Free access to information is protected by the 1st amendment of the constitution, and anything that could prevent unequal access is unconstitutional. You are not allowed to infringe on my constitutional rights!
382. Jakub Svec, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I and everyone I know support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
383. Andrew Melodia, Rio rancho, NM, 87144
The government actually managed to get something right and now that your organization has realized that Mr. Pai wants to muck it up so it's more government like....ya know not working. Much like how Congress is. Leave net neutrality alone.
384. Maya Zaragoza, Taos, NM, 87571
Please preserve net nuetrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
385. Richard Meyer, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The ISP's won't regulate themselves. The ISP's want more money. They don't like net neutrality. Net neutrality is about keeping the internet free and open, not monetized. Title II is what prevents the ISP's from monetizing the internet. Title II is what keeps the internet free and open. Keep the Title II designation in place.

386. Karin Stevenson, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I request that you preserve Net Neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under Title 2.

387. Anony Mole, Clovis, NM, 88101

QUIT BEING COWARDS! STAND UP TO CORPORATE COERCION!
HAVE YOU HONOR? NO SHAME? THIS US CORPTOCRACY
ATTEMPTING TO HIJACK THE INTERNET IS A HEINOUS
DEVELOPMENT THAT CANNOT BE ALLOWED! STAND UP TO THEM!

388. None, Albuquerque, NM, 87507

Do not strip away these regulatory positions to allow even more profit geared companies to take advantage of advertisers. This is not okay. You have the fate of humanity in your hands

389. anne m petrokubi, taos, NM, 87571

keep the internet as title 2. the internet is a utility and needs to be protected as such.

390. james r jones, tucumcari, NM, 88401

why was this comment section on net neutrality buried so deeply on the FCC webpage and not made easily accessible? As for net neutrality I feel it has been the rule of the land since NSF funding of the Internet as it was built out in the late 80s and early 90s. I feel that putting Internet access under Title II is a great way of protecting everyone's access to the internet and the content on the internet. That large companies such as Comcast, AT&T, etc can not determine because of fees collected how fast data gets to my computer. All data should have equal access. I support Title II coverage of Internet access both wireless and wired, just as the old wired telephone system had equal access the replacement of that technology by the wired and wireless Internet should have the same protection.

391. Gene Quist, Angel Fire, NM, 87710

Please don't let corporate America run our Democracy. The PEOPLE are the center of the Constitution. Retain Net Neutrality for the PEOPLE.

392. Justin Cross, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

This proposal is a terrible path forward for the internet. This will only benefit a few and cause problems for the majority of Americans. The internet should

remain under Title II protections.

393. Justin Patrick O'Shea, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support the preservation of net neutrality and the protections of title II.
394. Dylan Marshall, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!preserve net neutrality and title 2!!!
395. Michael Hamilton, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. It is important to have a neutral internet that treats all web traffic equally.Thanks,
396. Margaret E. Durbin, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The internet has flourished as a breeding ground for information and entertainment from any source because of net neutrality. Internet costs and speeds are the same for everybody, regardless of who they are, what theyâ€™re accessing, and how theyâ€™re accessing it. Net neutrality is a cornerstone of our democracy. Preserve net neutrality!
397. Marc Reynolds, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please make sure to protect equal/open internet rights! Net Neutrality is important!!
398. Alan Hoffman, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Damaging Net Neutrality will make us noncompetitive. Protect our economy, not the profits of a few companies. Protect Net Neutrality...
399. Michael S. DeVore, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I am against this proposal for censoring the Internet. Withdraw this proposal.
400. David Hess, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We already have internet neutrality, thanks to the Title II. Changing internet rules to allow corporate profiteering is not neutrality.
401. Jaya Salzman, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
It is important to keep net neutrality! Your plans to change it are not acceptable to the American public.
402. Gerald Wise, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Do not allow changes to be made that will allow this again. Because of where I live I don't have much choice in IPS's and I already pay a premium for the service I receive. Please keep common sense regulations currently in effect. They protect

the people from corporate gouging and allow freedom of expression that changes would curtail.

403. Robert Cole, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is crucially important to the US economy. Please protect net neutrality.
404. Ryan Ross, La Puebla, NM, 87532
You must do what is in the best interest of the majority of your constituents and KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! No favorites based on size of company or contribution levels!
405. Nancy Bradley, Chimayo, NM, 87544
Don't mess with our Net Neutrality. It is an incredibly important right of all individuals. No person or company should have superiority over another when it comes to accessing the internet. This is important.
406. Dominic Archuleta, El Rito, NM, 87530
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality that is upheld by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe all internet traffic should be equally distributed and not slowed down, just because an ISP feels the need to or has something to gain from it.
407. Fairley Barnes, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY. It is essential to maintain uniform standards and conditions for all internet users. Thank you.
408. Anne Lewis, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please vote to keep the internet the way it is now by keeping level 2 in place
409. Laureen Rivet, Lamy, NM, 87540
Dear Chairman, I am writing to you to tell you that I support Net Neutrality. I am aware that you are currently looking to eliminate net neutrality rules, which I do not support. Please keep the internet free and equal for all. Maintain net neutrality. Thank you.
410. Jane Koechlin, Corrales, NM, 87048
Do NOT change Net neutrality laws or Title 2. I am totally against companies selling my information without my consent. The fact that your new Chairman worked for Verizon, a company that wants to deregulate. is a huge conflict of interest. A level playing field is what we have and what we need. Keep it in place!
411. David Baskett, Montezuma, NM, 87731
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.

412. last week tonight, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net neutrality is a requirement in an educated democracy. Go FCC yourself.
413. Barbara Joslin, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Preserve internet neutrality under Title II!
414. Frank Zinno, El Prado, NM, 87529
I support Net NEUTRALITY , ESPECIALLY Title II as it now stands with proper oversight.
415. Randy Sole, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality is essential to prevent monopolistic behavior by large corporations which would inhibit small business growth.
416. J. Amador, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I urge you to preserve and even strengthen net neutrality. There do exist examples of telecom companies adjusting service to suit their needs, and since we almost have monopolies offering Internet service we as customers don't often have the choice to switch. Use of the Internet should not be controlled or influenced by service providers.
417. todd spitzer, santa fe, NM, 87508
keep the net neutral. do not remove from type II
418. joe, Santa fe, NM, 87501
Maintain net neutrality under title 2!
419. Michael Quinn, Hernandez, NM, 87537
I strongly support net neutrality provided by and put forth in Title II
420. Erin Gould, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I am commenting today to urge the FCC to support strong net neutrality laws. The internet needs to be a fair and equal place, and allowing some companies to purchase higher internet speeds undermines this. Net neutrality is important!
421. William York, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Protect Net Neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under Title II
422. Jeffrey Kaplan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep ISP in title 2.
423. Net Neutrality Support, Questa, NM, 87556
I support keeping the Title 2 coverage of Internet Neutrality. As a small business owner I depend on the internet for my marketing. Keeping my business on a level playing field is only possible with Keeping the Strongest Net

Neutrality covered by Title II. Do NOT go changing what is working for my business.

424. Zachary Worm, Clovis, NM, 88101
Many Americans wrote in to say years ago we want net neutrality protected under the strongest legal standing it can be. I was one of them at the time and my conviction on the subject hasn't changed. I urge you strongly to maintain the enforcement of freedom of access to the internet.
425. Ryan Swanzey, Taos, NM, 87571
Trusting a for-profit industry with a mere handshake agreement to treat consumer access to all content equally is a recipe for disaster. Deregulating internet service providers such that they have the ability to slow access to competing content and may therefore create better access for content that offers financial incentive to those companies makes it very likely that simply trusting the internet service providers to act fairly without an enforcement mechanism will result in that power being misused to the detriment of the end user.
426. Antonino Governali, Santa fe, NM, 87505
Stop fighting to kill net neutrality. The people want it.
427. Logan Shay, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality is necessary for a 21st century democracy.
428. Candace Lines, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please maintain net neutrality and Title II oversight! Corporations have already tried to block or slow content to prevent competition and increase their own profits at consumers' expense, so decreased oversight is not the way to go. The internet should be a genuinely open resource, not corporate controlled. Title II oversight is in the best interest of all US citizens.
429. Graham Beyale, Shiprock, NM, 87420
I support Net Neutrality because I believe corporations should not have the right to say what I see on the Internet.
430. Natalie Agraz, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Preserve Net Neutrality by retaining jurisdiction until Title II
431. Harrison Rommel, Ph.D., Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Net Neutrality is a core component of what has driven innovation, technological and commercial growth of the Internet infrastructure. Reversing the Title II regulation may jeopardize this - as a citizen, government employee and former software engineer I oppose the proposed rule reversal.
432. Sarah C, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

This is an unjust motion to close off the internet from organic and natural growth. It would put the power in the hands of the wealthy-- while the internet has previously been an open and even playing ground for intellectual content. Just because there has never been precedent of abuse does not mean we should get rid of regulations backing corporate manipulation.

433. Roy Armstrong, Taos, NM, 87571
The FCC must preserve and protect net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act. Chairman Pai is completely wrong.
434. Cheryl Scheer, Tesuque, NM, 87574
Don't mess up net neutrality, please. Millions of ordinary citizens pressed for the current regulations. We meant it. Net neutrality is and should be a basic principle of internet service.
435. Charlie Balls, santa fe, NM, 87501
Don't be a jerk... You need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. No one wants to live with a sneaky Internet. Big Brother...1984...
436. Jeffrey Cooper, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
ISPs and Internet Providers must remain under Title II classification. No company should have the power to independently choose to throttle, slow or favor any particular content provider or any other aspect of internet traffic over the internet. The United States must be a beacon of justice, equality, and neutrality in our ISPs. I want to have the freedom to choose myself, without another party, namely ISP providers, deciding how to regulate, limit or throttle my service over the ISP. It is a known fact that Verizon, Comcast, T-Mobile and AT&T have used lax regulations to charge money to content providers simply to prevent them from slowing down their internet content services to consumers. It is a known fact that the US Court told the FCC that, if it wants to regulate this corrupt and un-American business activity, it would have to classify the ISP industry under Title 2, which is what we did! You trying to change that is a blatant money and power grab. ISPs provide a public service, like the gas companies and the electric companies. KEEP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATION for ISPs and all Internet Providers!!!
437. John R. Fredlund, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
Please retain and enforce existing "net neutrality" rules. Allowing ISPs to promise to provide a level bandwidth for all customers and content providers is not sufficient. There must be regulatory backing. Net neutrality is not just for consumers - it keeps large companies from shutting out competition from smaller ones.
438. net neutrality, Cannon AFB, NM, 88101
I truly support Net Neutrality. I supported President Trump because I did not

think he would allow more of the same corruptness in government. This bill is obviously in favor to support the current FCC chief's former employer. Please if you care about our freedoms on the internet please leave the internet as Title II.

439. George Cox, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Like to see the FCC maintain their stance on Title II and Internet neutrality. It appears that internet providers such as Verizon may have a vested interest in removing Title II restrictions. The chairman appears to have a conflict of interest, as he has been employed by one of the major internet providers.
440. Connie C. Cox, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Do not end Internet neutrality. Ordinary users of the Internet need substantive access to content without delays or other subterfuge certain internet/corporate/media entities might use to lock each other out of said access.
441. Karen Sixkiller, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is essential to maintain free trade and any other configuration is discriminatory and counter to the tenants of our democracy. Stopping net neutrality will hinder free trade and is a form of unfair monopoly in the market place.
442. Eric Swanson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality must remain the law of the land.
443. Paul Shafer, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Preserve net neutrality. Do not allow a few corporations to control the information we can access.
444. Ryan Price, El Prado, NM, 87529
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs."Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."Open access to the internet is our freedom of speech, it drives innovation and collaboration. Please consider.
445. Jarryd Rue, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I am a staunch supporter of net neutrality. Internet access should be unrestricted and the consumer, not the government, should decide what they want and when they want it without regulations or throttling of certain content.
446. Haylea, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I feel strongly about preserving net neutrality and Title II.
447. Ronald Seidel, Taos, NM, 87571

I support strong net neutrality and that's why title two neutrality of isp's is there

448. Andre M. Silva, Jemez Pueblo, NM, 87024

I would like to respectfully urge the FCC to maintain current strong net neutrality rules and allow for the continued oversight of ISP's by Title II.

449. Rohan Singh, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support making ISPs follow regulations according to Title II in order to maintain net neutrality.

450. Margaret Pfeiffer, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The internet is not broken. Net neutrality keeps us all honest. I protest ending net neutrality.

451. Matthew Palevsky, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two oversight. Our country has already had this public debate. We saw Congress attempt to overturn net neutrality with the SOPA and PIPA bills five years ago. We know that the public does not support this approach, so I not only ask you to support net neutrality but also to help garner support for our public institutions, like the FCC, by not proposing this idiocy in the first place. Thank you.

452. Sean Domis, Portales, NM, 88130

I support strong and fair Net Neutrality regulation under Title II. I support an internet where all traffic is treated equally.

453. Steven Buelow, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

We strongly back net neutrality as currently backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

454. C. L. Kieffer, Santa fe, NM, 87505

I support internet neutrality. I do not support the changes proposed by republicans that are aimed at helping big business profit even more.

455. Cameron E. Ward, Clovis, NM, 88101

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The internet needs to be preserved as free and open, with all content and options available to everyone. Removing these protections will put Americans at the mercy of Internet Service Providers. The internet is such an integral part of life in modern times, and with so few options of Internet Service Providers available in most areas, we cannot afford to compromise it to the temptations of corporate greed. In case that was too vague, let me say it again. Do. Not. Roll. Back. Net. Neutrality. Protections. You will not be remembered kindly for killing the free and open internet.

456. Marilena Knapp, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support regulations for strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2.
457. Hunter C Bienvenu, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Completely opposed the this bill, as it only serves to punish consumers and reward large companies and their monopolies.
458. Kyle Donald Smith, Thoreau, NM, 87323
I have been using the Internet since the summer of 1995. I am a firm believer in a strong, open, and competitive Internet. That is why I believe in tighter oversight under Title 2 of the Communications Act. ISPs were placed into Title 2 because they showed they were unable to self govern effectively. Title 2 is the only way to ensure a open and level playing field for all content providers - not just the ones with oodles of money and resources. Thank you.
459. Steve Moyer, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Retain title 2 for net neutrality.
460. Raphael, Clovis, NM, 88101
Pai. Please. Stop this junk.
461. Margie Rutledge, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support Net Neutrality. Internet service providers are paid to PROVIDE service without preference and without favor. I do NOT want my internet accessibility determined by either the ISP I use or third parties.
462. Joseph Cox, Aztec, NM, 87410
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP' I am opposed & do not wish to see the regulations taken away the were most recently established
463. Ashley Hurtado, Santa fe, NM, 87501
I support the strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of isps
464. Jeffrey McCreary, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
I strongly support the concepts of strong net neutrality enforcement and believe Title II elements are essential in terms of effectiveness.
465. Markus Schuetz, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Protect net neutrality and title II. Don't give in to the pressures of rich companies filling your wallets, and get rid of that damn head. You should never allow someone from a company that has personal interests with the ongoings of your organization to be in a position of power. Shame on the FCC for allowing Ajit Pai, this should have been fought vehemently. And shame on you Ajit Pai for allowing your personal greed to influence the equilibrium of something that

has already been overwhelming declared by the populace to be preserved. Preserve Net Neutrality, keep your grubby hands off of it, you Verizon Collaborator.

466. Hilary Cushing-murray, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
I support net neutrality as negotiated and approved during Obama's presidency. As a person who lives in a rural community the internet is a utility and not a privilege and people in my community need that protected.
467. Christopher Lopez, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I'm strongly in favor of current net neutrality regulations under Title II.
468. Kimberly Suk, Gallup, NM, 87301
Maintain net neutrality. We need to keep this under title II.
469. a.thomson, tierra amarilla, NM, 87575
leave it alone. the only reason for your fuckery with net neutrality is to give some big companies more profits. the people want net neutrality, and you damn well know it stop this sad display of catering to corporate interests. your chairman is so obviously lying it is pathetic. like saying net neutrality poses A REGULATORY BURDEN on ISPs. bullshit. net neutrality is what happens when you leave internet traffic alone, impose no speeds, create no filters. in other words, provide internet WITHOUT regulations or restrictions. do nothing but provide what people pay you to provide. how can the dictum of 'don't fuck with people's choices' be an unnecessary and cruel burden on an ISP? net neutrality just asks them to NOT get involved. duh. stop the stupid lies, please.
470. Sara Otto, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I specifically support Title 2 and net neutrality. I would like to see it preserved.
471. Rebeca, Ranchos De Taos, NM, 87557
Deregulation is a big mistake!
472. Susan Meadows, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Keep the internet under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 for real Net Neutrality
473. Wendy Petersen, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I am writing to urge you to leave in place the Obama era rules regarding net neutrality. Specifically, please keep the current Title II classification for ISPs. The free and unfettered exchange of information on the internet is critical. The prospect of ISP's voluntarily following net neutrality guidelines seems ludicrously optimistic, and the claim that investment in infrastructure will be harmed as a result of net neutrality seems unfounded.

474. Elizabeth Ketner, Gallup, NM, 87301
I disagree with changing the FCC regulation of ISPs from title II, the current regulation protects net neutrality and changing this would decrease freedom and openness of the internet. Any changes would be a move to remove freedom from consumers and benefit companies.
475. Duncan White, Gallup, NM, 87301
I support net neutrality and the current regulations. I think changing them as currently proposed could be disastrous. And to what end and for the benefit of whom???
476. Paul Johnson, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
keep the internet regulated under Title 2. It is OUTRAGEOUS that the head of the FCC is attempting to change it to Title 1.
477. Shannon, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. S
478. Jen, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep net neutrality and Title 2. Please don't remove the protections that support American's freedom using the internet!
479. Anne Meade, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
I strongly support network neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is extremely important, please give it your full attention and consideration.
480. Cary S Bronson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net Neutrality is vitally important to the integrity of democracy as a whole. To overturn it is a fundamental betrayal of everything that makes America what it is. If this is "Making America Great Again," then I want no part of greatness.
481. Nancy Brooks-Swan, Taos, NM, 87571
I favor net neutrality. Keep under Title II.
482. Brent Soloway, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Internet Neutrality is absolutely Necessary! Will not hear the end of taking this important freedom away from the American public.
483. Brady Gotcher, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is critical to our freedom of choice and freedom of speech on the internet. Net neutrality ensures that ISPs may not control or influence our actions on the internet. Companies with financial gains to be made from targeting their customers can not be trusted to be fair and uphold equality for consumers. Any services that do not benefit your ISP will be intentionally restricted. Access to information on the internet should never be censored or

limited in any way, shape, or form. Many locations in the US do not have options in internet providers. I live in an area with one provider and I will be at the mercy of this one company and their biases. I do not trust a company who will benefit financially from restricting my freedom of choice. Companies will not self regulate when they are allowed to limit my choices to their sites, products, and third party affiliates. Many Americans do not have a choice in internet providers. Equal access to information and the freedom to choose what we do is critical. Please do not change the current net neutrality policies.

484. William J. Knight, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support strong net neutrality rules under the provision of Title Two.

485. Michael Hodges, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Currently I am a computer science student who hopes to create my own commercial software programs. In today's interconnected age, almost all of those programs require the use of the internet and servers. If Internet service providers are allowed to discriminate in how they treat traffic, I know I will have my network speeds throttled just as larger companies such as Netflix had their network speeds throttled.

486. Emma, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I STRONGLY (strongly) SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.

487. net neutrality, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

I support net neutrality under Title II protections. Despite the verbal gymnastics being performed to convince us otherwise, power corrupts.

488. Mark Maestas, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

We need strong net neutrality! And lose the stupid mug!

489. Ken Neas, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

The Internet needs free access without any restrictions that favor any country, company, or ideal. Companies CANNOT restrict other ISP companies programs in favor of their own. The Internet HAS TO BE an equal playing field for all companies, ISPs, developers, etc.

490. Danai Morningstar, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality must be preserved. Allowing entities to select the information presented to the public restricts freedom of speech. Without net neutrality, we are akin to countries such as North Korea & China. This is not the Free America I think any of us envision.

491. Doug Mims, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

We need Net Neutrality! Allowing ISPs to control access and/or access speeds to content with bias would only further destroy the middle class. Big

corporations and businesses already have an advantage when it comes to marketing. If "mom & pop" websites aren't granted the same access speeds as the bigger sites, it will guarantee their inability to compete at all. Let's stop putting the middle class out of business.

492. Chris arnold, Los alamos, NM, 87544
This government over site is important for our freedom.Please keep title 2 enforced by the FCC.
493. nicole hatfield, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
What you're doing is wrong.
494. Jennifer Anne Johnson, Taos, NM, 87571
Please preserve net neutrality! This is an important issue to keep the internet open and safe.
495. Robin Carrillo Ortiz, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
It is imperative that we protect net neutrality and Title 2, insuring the Internet, and therefore information, is not subject to the whims and agendas of providers. Allowing companies to determine the convenience of access to information (or trusting that they will not be swayed by agendas) is foolhardy. Let us not leave ethics to chance and faith, but rather take steps to protect access to the Internet.
496. Philip Stelnicki, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Sure hope a "DDos" attack doesn't keep my voice from being heard. Please stop pretending as if you all don't know exactly who your rollback of network neutrality protections would benefit. I and millions of other Americans support the strongest possible neutrality protections, meaning those that do not explicitly benefit the internet service providers at my expense, despite whatever mealy-mouthed equivocating noises the chairman might make to the contrary. Protect network neutrality.
497. Michelle Ebell, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I am in support of Net Neutrality and current Title II provisions.
498. Josh Telfer, Gallup, NM, 87301
I would like to see the current net neutrality laws maintained or strengthened rather than weakening current laws and allowing private financial interests to have a stake in the internet.
499. Manika Callewaert, Madrid, NM, 87010
Please uphold equality for all. Let's maintain netneutrality and maintain title 1 and title 2.
500. Matthew Heckel, El Prado, NM, 87529

I support net neutrality. No changes are needed at this time as business is proceeding just fine. The internet IS a public utility and a lifeline for millions. Thanks.

501. Kasidit Subsomboon, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I would like the FCC to enforce net neutrality!!
502. Elizabeth DeCicco, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I support strong Net Neutrality (no blocking or favoring certain websites or products), backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Provider's. We should have access to all content and applications. Thank you.
503. MC Domandi, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am in favor of keeping strong net neutrality regulations. Thank you for your consideration.
504. Joshua Thorp, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is an important principle that we need to protect in this country. Keeping these rules enforceable under title II is the best way to protect free speech and commercial innovation.
505. Mia Zepeda, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Hi, please please please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thanks.
506. Ian Girdner, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality and Type II must be preserved. Internet access is not a service, it is a public good. Would you pump lower quality water into neighborhoods that payed less to the water company? This is the digital age, and in order to participate in the world we live in we need a free internet. Anything less is oppression.
507. enter, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Preserve Tittle II! Net neutrality is important, and necessary, Pai! Stop lieing for your buddies over at Verizon, and deal with the the truth!
508. Marq Sutherland, Edgewood, NM, 87015
Please keep Net nutrality under Title 2!
509. Monica L Bounds, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I want net neutrality. The ISP should be regulated by the rules under Title II.
510. david taylor, clovis, NM, 88101
i support net neutrality backed by Title 2

511. Rowan Kinney, Taos, NM, 87571
Don't you dare change the classification number. If you do you will disregarding public opinion, and destroying any public trust. DO NOT DO THIS UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES!!!
512. Wyatt Crouse, Farmington, NM, 87401
Net neutrality is vitally important to all that the internet stands for. The internet needs to remain free for everyone, not a tool for ISPs to make even more money off of like cable TV. No one supports this. Please keep the internet free.
513. Eric, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I support strong net neutrality that's backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
514. Robert Wartell, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I am opposed to changing regulation of ISPs from Title II to Title I. This could adversely affect my small business by slowing access to my website.
515. Tony Whiting, Farmington, NM, 87401
Please do not deregulate ISP's away from Title II. We need the regulation to preserve the internet as a free and open communication medium.
516. Emmett Moulton, embudo, NM, 87531
Please leave title 2 alone, why do you guys have to mess around with existing laws that actually WORK, Just do a simple Google search and you'll see that this will make things worse
517. Jesse Allen, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please maintain net neutrality and do not allow the biggest players in the internet world slow down our access to any site we choose to utilize. Maintain the Title 2 classification.
518. Julie Ortiz, santa fe, NM, 87501
I support and agree to keep in place the Net Neutrality Level 2
519. Alex LoRusso, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. It is crucial to American independence, liberty and freedom-- especially at times like these.
520. Charles Doughty, taos, NM, 87571
Internet providers provide a Public Service and must have Public oversight to ensure the Public good. Our Federal Commission must represent the Public interests and protect us against corporate greed! Only reasonable regulation can ensure that the bottom line always includes public good as well as profit, as a measure.

521. debra salopek, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
America! America! God shed his grace on thee, Till selfish gain no longer stain
The banner of the free! Preserve net neutrality and Title II
522. John McCormick, Clovis, NM, 88101
Sustain net neutrality. It is disgusting that this issue keeps coming up. It is not a
partisan issue and leads to no options for me since there are localized
monopolies for ISPs.
523. Jason Heuser, Aztec, NM, 87410
Leave ISP's under title 2. We need Net Neutrality.
524. Susan B. Taylor, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Non-discriminatory access to the Internet is essential. Without it big corporation
will prevent consumer choice and block open flow of information.
525. Misha Bittleston, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Access to the internet is now required for economic survival. ISPs have an
economic incentive to tip the playing field of the internet so the money rolls
into their pockets. Please don't let fake surveys created by corporate bots
influence you into changing the essential net neutrality protections of Title 2.
We strongly support Title 2 and net neutrality.
526. Jay Turley, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
As an experienced web developer, I was using the internet before the world
wide web came along. It is my unequivocal opinion that the reason the web has
become such a successful environment for business and innovation is due to the
open nature of the environment and the Net Neutrality. I strongly urge the FCC
to maintain the principles of Net Neutrality and not succumb to the pressure
from large companies like ISPs, Cable Companies, and large web-based
companies to get rid of them. If Net Neutrality is taken away, it will crush
innovation and entrepreneurship, destroy the open nature of the internet, and
provide no benefit except extra profit to the previously mentioned companies at
the expense of everyone else. Seriously, stop trying to break the internet for a
little extra cash when profits are already sky-high.
527. Ken Wolfe, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I believe we should continue to regulate ISPs as title II, as there is more than
enough evidence that they will not willingly comply. Netflix/Comcast for one,
and the whole google payment system being blocked by wireless carriers for
another.
528. Melinda Zeder, Bruce Smith, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
We support Title 2 and the role it plays in maintaining net neutrality.

529. David Lucero, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
With all due respect and in my subjective opinion allowing the government to control our internet service, is limiting our freedom. Limiting freedom is against our American value system, it is also hypocritical. So if the government is limiting things like the internet, this means more limits, this means more control and less freedom. Which paid for by our American soldiers. I'm strongly against this police, and by the way, it's time to start listening to the American people. Not the richest 1% of America.
530. S Lestage, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
I demand that Net Neutrality laws be left alone.
531. Jessica Goerling, Clovis, NM, 88101
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. A lack of net neutrality would enable corporate stifling of free speech, and is a step toward a fascist dictatorship.
532. Brenna Lee, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please keep Internet Providers under Title II to continue to guarantee net neutrality for all internet users and businesses.
533. David J. Berardinelli, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We MUST keep the internet on Title II. You stupid, idiots!! Net Neutrality is essential to the First Amendment and the basic rights of consumers everywhere in this country which is becoming more favorable to the rich and powerful everyday. Ordinary, average consumers need equal access and choices to the internet which has now become an essential part of our daily lives.
534. Mari Kempes, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
535. Georgia Farris, Espanola, NM, 87532
We should keep the ISP under the Title II category. We need to keep the tight regulations for net neutrality that having the ISP under Title 2 provides.
536. Bobby Bridgewater, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, The internet is not owned by the government. It is supposed to be a freely accessible platform for everybody to use. Everyday we see more and more government intrusion into our lives and I am sick of it. As a vet I fought to make sure the US remained safe and free. Get out of our lives and get out of our internet and put it back to the way it was before Obammy put the stranglehold on it. The time for asking nicely and being polite hoping that government officials do the right thing has passed. Now we are demanding that you leave us alone and get back into your proper roles as

servants of the people, not the regulators and elites that you think you are.
Regards, Bobby Bridgewater 4412 Denise Dr NE Rio Rancho, NM 87124

537. Samuel Colbourne, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I believe in strong net neutrality laws and wish to keep Title 2 oversight of ISPs
538. Robert Nash, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am strongly in favor of continuing to keep internet service providers including mobile internet service providers classified as public utilities/common carriers under Title 2 just as the FCC decided in 2015. Internet is more important to modern communications and life's daily activities than the phone ever was and ISPs should not be able to favor one website or resource over others or charge websites or users extra to access certain resources. Rules which include prohibitions on site and app blocking, speed throttling, and paid fast lanes must be maintained as adopted. This is important for both my personal life (communication with family and friends, research, etc) as well as the managing of our finances etc. It is also vital to the survival of small internet based companies like the one I own.
539. Paul Bell, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
It is very important that we preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II.
540. Winston Holyan, Farmington, NM, 87401
I specifically support strong NET Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This view is shared by all of my family, friends, and work colleagues.
541. Duprelon Tizdale, Questa, NM, 87556
Please conserve net neutrality by not allowing ISP's to change their legal status to Title I from Title II. Thank you. Feel free to contact me with any questions.
542. Sharalynn Sullivan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please protect Net Neutrality by keeping in under Title 2.
543. Tom Stockton, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support strong Title 2 Net Neutrality rules
544. Robert J. George, Espanola, NM, 87532
I need to express my concern about the FCC's proposed rule change that will kill net-neutrality. Please don't sacrifice the fairness of the internet in the interest of corporate greed! Preserve net-neutrality and preserve Title II !!!
545. Margie Stockton, LOs Alamos, NM, 87544
I support the FCC supporting strong Title 2 Net Nuetrality rules.
546. Patrick Gasda, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I strongly support internet Net Neutrality regulations that place ISPs under title 2 of the communications act of 1934.

547. Ken Stewart, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Maintain title 2 to ensure true net neutrality. FCC is mandated to protect the interest of the people and public not indulge corporate Preference.
548. Juliet Critchlow, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly oppose any actions taken to rollback net neutrality. I will not support giving ISPs the power to regulate our use of the internet based on their preferences and/or which groups pay them.
549. Andrew Thien, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support net neutrality. I believe that ISPs should remain under the regulation of Title 2.
550. Sarah Thien, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I support net neutrality. I believe that Internet Service Providers (ISP) should be regulated under Title 2.
551. Belinda Goh-Holyan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I specifically support strong NET Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Many of my family, friends and colleagues support NET Neutrality in this manner as well.
552. C. Acuna, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Title II Net Neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality
553. Steve Martin, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Strong Net Neutrality rules are the only things keeping under regulated ISPs from further robbing customers. The Tom Wheeler-led FCC has been one of the few regulatory bodies in recent memory that seemed intent on reversing the gouging American customers receive from Corporate America, and the lesser Ajit-Pai-led body should maintain these rules. Until you can foster true broadband competition, this current FCC should do its best to ride the coattails of the previous, and superior, commission.
554. Philip Smucker, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
PLEASE continue to support net neutrality with no changes and no exceptions!
I do not want corporations to influence my opportunity to have unbiased browsing.
555. Elizabeth Mann, Gallup, NM, 87301
Net neutrality is a foundational aspect of a properly functioning internet. I believe the FCC needs to use every tool to enforce net neutrality, and that

means that it must keep regulations under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

556. Jean Nichols, Llano, NM, 87543
I strongly support Net Neutrality with Title 2 protections.
557. Fernando Garcia, Aztec, NM, 87410
Keep things as is;
558. Jeffrey Allen, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
In keeping with existing net neutrality laws, please ensure that existing laws and standards are met and that no unfair business interests impede equal access.
559. Leo Aitken-Mundhenk, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I believe in net neutrality and do not support the proposed changes to the proposed Open Internet Order.
560. David McDonald, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I support maintaining net neutrality under Title 2
561. Melony Chitwood, aztec, NM, 87410
Please protect Net Neutrality. Leave the Internet as a title 2 Utility as myself and millions of other small business owners depend on a fair, balanced Internet so our customers can find us and purchase our goods or services without causing a undue burden on either myself or my potential clients. If taken away you will further depress our economy and cause more problems for the American People.
562. CHRISTOPHER SOVEREIGN, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support the current title 2 excellent net neutrality rules. Please do not switch them back to title 1. There is not a problem under the current rules.
Thank you,Christopher Sovereign
563. James Borwick, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
I write to express my support for net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
564. Elizabeth Jeffreys, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality must be preserved! Save Title 2! The Internet is like a library. The public demands access to the card catalogs as well as the books!!
565. Jeremy Leverett, Farmington, NM, 87401
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please roll back Obama's regulations on the internet. We are supposed to be the greatest country in the world, yet we have the slowest and most expensive internet of developed

nation's. Please help change this! Regards, Jeremy Leverett 121 W 33rd St Farmington, NM 87401"

566. Topaz Pestalozzi-Conley, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want the reclassification of regulation for ISP's
567. Judith White, Santa fe, NM, 87505
I believe in equality for all internet users. I want Net Neutrality protected. Please maintain title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
568. Deborah Ortiz Berardinelli, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly support keeping internet neutrality by keeping internet regulation under Title II instead of moving it back to Title I which the courts have stated does not give the FCC the power it needs to monitor or regulate the ISP providers. I do not want my internet searches slowed by ISP providers to shunt me over to preferred advertisers. It is more important than ever to protect the rights of individual users against domination by very rich and very powerful forces like Verison and Comcast and other market dominant players. KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL!!
569. Paul S. Lisko, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
I support and want to preserve strong net neutrality. Oversight of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934 is essential in achieving that end. Please make sure that the FCC does so. Thank you.
570. Tom Ribe, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
The corporate presidency. Trump's campaign on behalf of workers was a total lie.
571. Seth Mosgofian, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
The internet is in reality a public utility and should remain free and open
572. Nancy Baker, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
We have to vote these self-serving politicians out of office.
573. Jere Martin, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Such an important issue!
574. Susan J Morris, VANDERWAGEN, NM, 87326
Internet service in rural areas is already problematic. Oppose any attempt to deny net neutrality.
575. Ray Lovelace, EL PRADO, NM, 87529
This should be a basic First Amendment question.

576. Jane Perry, LAMY, NM, 87540
The Internet is like roads: It helps you get around and go places
577. Chandra Holsten, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
Trump and G.O.P. henchmen (and women)
578. Wiliam Schlabach, AZTEC, NM, 87410
All those who voted against privacy and neutrality should have their identities hacked
579. Catherine Louisell, ILFELD, NM, 87538
Net neutrality is a must! A free and open internet is necessary to have a free society with everyone having access to information. Keep the enforceable rules enacted in 2015. Stop the madness!
580. Cindy Ditrick, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87144
The internet belongs to the people. Stop giving everything to corporations who have enough already.
581. Douglas Long, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
The Internet should be about all people
582. Priscilla Remke, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87144
Hard
583. Rebecca James, TAOS, NM, 87571
The free flow of information is foundational to innovation and economic success.
584. Ioanna Carlsen, TESUQUE, NM, 87574
Why do i feel like signing this stuff is must an elaborate joke
585. Sheryl Summers, TAOS, NM, 87571
there will be backlash - I will just remove myself from all the tech that connects me - I'll go back to the way we communicated in the 50's - the internet will not be in my life!
586. Susan Williams, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Protect net neutrality from corporate monopolies! Please!
587. Sparo Vigil, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
A free and open internet is critical to the survival of the U.S.
588. Susanne Pinkham, TAOS, NM, 87571
Please do not take away net neutrality. The freely moving internet is incredibly

important to everyone.

589. Susie Mossman, LAS VEGAS, NM, 87701
people before corporations...
590. Anthony Kaiser, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
The preservation of net neutrality is an absolute must for the smooth workings of small businesses
591. Linda Chamberlin, LOS ALAMOS, NM, 87544
Wasn't the internet allegedly responsible for getting Trump into office? And he wants to kill it? Interesting...
592. Geoffrey Laurence, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
you are going to make a lot of enemies if you take away the free internet and none of us are going to forget it come election time.
593. Adele E Zimmermann, EMBUDO, NM, 87531
CORPORATE CONTROL OF THE FLOW OF INFORMATION IS
ANTITHETICAL TO THE PRINCIPLES DEFINED IN THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.
594. Lee Jacobson, EDGEWOOD, NM, 87015
leave our internet alone!!
595. Robert Owczarek, JEMEZ SPRINGS, NM, 87025
I want the data of all congress people who supported it
596. James P. Barber, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
Republicans selling out America and it's citizens for profit. Greedy Old Party.
Sad. Who's going to protect us from the republicans?
597. Rebecca Walding, CERRILLOS, NM, 87010
Net Neutrality keeps our country healthy. It keeps small businesses competitive.
Contrary to popular belief
598. MIKE MILLER, SANTA CRUZ, NM, 87567
My family and I support NET Neutrality.
599. Patricia Victour, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
Bite by bite
600. George Rowe, AZTEC, NM, 87410
This is absolutely unacceptable-Stop this nonsense now.
601. Marie Markesteyn, ALCALDE, NM, 87511

We need protection from these corporations not give a ways.

602. Jill Wilcox, ARROYO SECO, NM, 87514
We have enough corporate control to advance corporate interests. We have to have net neutrality so that we are able to find the truth in this 'post truth' society.
603. Randolph Nason, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
Free and open internet! Don't mess with my privacy!
604. sarah brownrigg, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
ACTUALLY
605. David Spalding, TAOS SKI VALLEY, NM, 87525
Net Neutrality is critical to a democratic USA. A free and open internet makes America great!
606. Sherry Evans-Carmichael, LOS ALAMOS, NM, 87544
You need to listen to the public citizen...we vote. Without strong rules to protect an open Internet
607. Jeannette Pomeroy, RATON, NM, 87740
We all need and deserve a fast Internet access. The Internet has become absolutely essential to all of us!
608. Elena Sopoci, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
It is outrageous that a free and open internet would become a thing of the past!
609. Geraldine Johnson, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
For those who continue to not listen to the citizens of this country will feel the wrath in 2018/2020
610. Janice Crosby, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
All people need access to affordable internet services.
611. Dan Schiller, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
This action intends a simple sell-out of the public interest by shills for corporate interests. Shame on you!
612. susan drobeck, TESUQUE, NM, 87574
PLEASE STOP THE MARCH TOWARD MONOPOLIES ON THE FAIR AND JUST FLOW ON INFORMATION!!!
613. Taylor Oliver, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
This is SUPPOSED to be a government for
614. Clara Zschaler, LAS VEGAS, NM, 87701

imposed use of the internet requires that every strike of a key be treated equally

--

615. Paul Fink, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Ajit Pai is another threat to the public good. Preserve net neutrality.
616. Steve Hield, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
service providers don't act in my best interests. all they care about is money and I already give them enough.
617. Elizabeth Kinney, TAOS, NM, 87571
People deserve a free and open internet
618. Hannah Burnham, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
This is important. Equality leads to progress because more minds are better.
Neutral internet leads to equality.
619. Laura Atkins, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
Killing net neutrality is nothing but another way for a few large corporations to increase their near-monopoly power
620. Page Gettman, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
Please remember
621. Ed Scott, TAOS, NM, 87571
Speaking as an R&D engineer who is familiar with Internet technologies at a fundamental level and has won awards from Hughes Aircraft
622. Patricia & James Moran, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
We want to keep Net Neutrality!\r\nIt is not in the best interests of the FCC to interfere with our where we go online! Disgraceful!
623. Frances Branch, COYOTE, NM, 87012
Shame on you for siding with money and not the people.
624. Sasha Pyle, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
Journalism and open commentary are the needed bulwark against exploitative dictatorship and mental/physical slavery of the public.
625. Mary Hill, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
The internet should remain free and open
626. Margarita Denevan, ARROYO HONDO, NM, 87513
Chairman Pai obviously does not believe in democracy or he is afraid of it.
627. Lori Thomas, SANTA FE, NM, 87501

Net Neutrality is essential for the betterment and well being of all.

628. George Maloof, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
What do we need as Americans to expect a open internet? What do opponents expect to gain? We are all losers in killing net neutrality. It just only occurs to those paying attention.
629. Robert Felt, TAOS, NM, 87571
Most of us in small businesses that compete with major companies only survive because the search listings can't entirely bury us
630. Marty Raphael, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Mr. Pi - I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
631. Monica Steensma, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
CORRUPTION REGNS IN TRUMPWORLD!! THEY MUSN'T BE ALLOWED TO STEAL THE INTERNET TOO!!
632. sharon kansas, ESPANOLA, NM, 87532
KEEP IT FAIR!
633. Nancy Poe, RATON, NM, 87740
It's freedom of speech. Keep corporation's HANDS OFF!!!!!!!!!!!!
634. Carol Nelson, JEMEZ SPRINGS, NM, 87025
Maintain strict Title 11 rules.
635. Deborah Boldt, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Want to have a revolution on your hands...? Then kill net neutrality. You are playing with fire on this fundamentally important issue.
636. Jack Clark, LAMY, NM, 87540
This country deserves a free and open internet with strong Title II rules.
637. James Barber, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
How much will the FCC chairman earn from the giant telecoms? How long will Americans tolerate being used as nothing more than taxpayers fo support the rich?
638. Patricia Cardona, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
democracy needs an open process for receiving and sending information
639. Amy Lafferty, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
It is an attempt to shut down our communications. We have enjoyed our

""freedom of speech

640. John Zachritz, ESPANOLA, NM, 87532
Don't mess with what already works well!
641. NS Khalsa, PECOS, NM, 87552
Protect Net Neutrality and title II!
642. Camilla Kattell, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
This is about freedom of speech. Where does the FCC stand on the Constitution?
643. Robert Christie, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
It is really quite simple
644. Linda McDonald, ESPANOLA, NM, 87532
Another frightening attempt by our Dictator of a President! Freedom...not more rules & regulations ! Please!!!
645. Sidney Brown, FARMINGTON, NM, 87401
Honor privacy
646. David Dutton, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
What's next? A .05 cent surcharge on emails? Keep the internet open and neutral!
647. Jonathan Crews, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
For a free democracy
648. Ana MILLER, ARROYO SECO, NM, 87514
Keep corporations away from an open and free internet!
649. estevan trujillo, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Why do the Plutocrats want to control everything thats good for society. They try to control everthing for profits for the rich. \r\nits sicking. It's time to break the monopoly once and forall.
650. Gerald Houk, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
In this electronic age killing net neutrality is the same as doing away with the first amendment of the Constitution.
651. Mary Grana, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
As a writer
652. Kelly Pasholk, EL PRADO, NM, 87529
Net neutrality is a basic freedom which should be upheld for all.

653. Seth Mosgofian, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
Don't kill net neutrality. The internet is an essential public utility. It's usage should be determined by the users
654. LYMAN KELLSTEDT, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
it's a utility by any definition! It makes our lives better in many ways - Don't fix something that ain't broke! Money= commercials have ruined broadcast TV.
655. Alasdair Lindsay, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
There are plenty of things to fix in Washington
656. kevin ward, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Here we go again - protect net neutrality now and forever.
657. Gregory Corning, TAOS, NM, 87571
Let's not confuse light and dark. Undoing net neutrality is acting in favor of the DARK. Wealthy entities and people already have all the clout. Leave us a (relatively) non-biased
658. Jean Nichols, LLANO, NM, 87543
Please stand strong for net neutrality. Our democracy depends on our free access to information.
659. Susan Sita, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
We want to keep net neutrality. You can't let the corporations control what we do online.
660. Larry Glover, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
The internet is a utility and should be treated with such regulation.
661. Doug Hanson, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
Keep big money out of my internet service
662. Elizabeth Reed, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Do you not value privacy for yourself? We're in this together. Can we count on you to value our privacy
663. Thomas D. Aubin, CEBOLLA, NM, 87518
The Internet was designed with public funds; keep it public.
664. Jon Whitsell, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
My internet is already too expensive
665. Rand Lee, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
America's Internet is one of our strongest tools for supporting democracy

666. Tracy Neal, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Limiting the content of the internet is just one more move toward totalitarian control.
667. Gabriella Marks, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
We need to protect net neutrality for the common good. The greedy play by Internet Service Providers must not be tolerated.
668. Terry Chatterton, RIO RANCHO, NM, 87124
neutrality
669. marilyn moorhead, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
PROTECT THE OPEN INTERNET!
670. Nancy Neskauskas, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY!
PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING!
671. Cordia Sammeth, LAS VEGAS, NM, 87701
We need this more than ever now.
672. Susan Jacques, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Let Freedom ring! One more freedom to be taken from the American People who pay more for internet access than other nations. Enough is enough!
673. Katherine Mortimer, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
The internet was developed using public money and it belongs to the people. In this day and age internet access has become a basic utility needed to access information as citizens and consumers. By privatizing it you create monopolies who control this utility with no oversight or assurance that people and small businesses will have the same access to information. Do not steal this precious utility from the people who paid to develop it. That should be a crime!
674. Barbara Kenney, SANTA FE, NM, 87506
First the Fairness Doctrine went down in 1986
675. Kristina Fisher, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
The internet is an essential utility in today's world
676. Fran Hardy, LAMY, NM, 87540
Net neutrality is vital to the internet and corporations not controlling the spread of information. This is egregious and trying to do this was overturned in a previous court case.
677. John McClure, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Impeach Trump

678. IDA CAMPOS, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
Mr. Pai
679. Claudia B Wolfe, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
Dude --- you are so NOT cool --- touting Reese's over sized mug and thus junk food... on the other hand it represents your junk position on net neutrality so perhaps it is really is fitting.
680. Marie Markesteyn, ALCALDE, NM, 87511
Keep your greedy money grubbing hands off of my internet
681. Jennifer Viereck, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is vital to a free democracy and economy. Keep Title II strong
682. Jean Crawford, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
Do NOT privatize the public airwaves. Keep net neutrality.
683. Anthony Guida, SANTA FE, NM, 87505
Fuck Ajit Pai. Fuck Trump.
684. Marion Duignan, SANTA FE, NM, 87508
HANDS OFF THE INTERNET - IT'S FOR ALL PEOPLE AND REPRESENTS TRUE DEMOCRACY (oh
685. Frank Zinno, EL PRADO, NM, 87529
Keep Title II in there regarding net neutrality.
686. LINDA KASTNER, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
It is time once and for all to protect net neutrality by writing strong rules that give fair and equal treatment to all internet users. The US Post office
687. drea levine, CHAMISAL, NM, 87521
sorry no \$ now
688. Ellen Mellon, SANTA FE, NM, 87501
The open internet works just fine....for me and countless other individuals. Do NOT change it just to appease some big corporations. Live Free!!
689. Brian Thompson, SANTA CRUZ, NM, 87567
Net Neutrality is the simple principle of fair and equal treatment online. Internet corporations shouldn't get to slow down the websites the general public uses to make us pay a special fee. Speedy
690. Michaela Kahn, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Strong and enforceable controls to protect net neutrality are essential. Only a

cursory glance at history is enough to know that when we trust the corporations to voluntarily safeguard these protections, they very quickly erode into nothing. The current rules are not hurting business and to dismantle them would hurt the economy and basic freedoms.

691. Miranda Gray, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Please maintain Net Neutrality and title 2. This is what the American people request, regardless of party affiliation. Wake up.
692. Patricia Brueckner, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Oppose the attempt to remove net neutrality protection: The FCC's statements about allowing more competition, removing onerous rules and helping under-served areas are unconvincing.
693. Ellen Kemper, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support net neutrality. Please do not allow for variance in the delivery of the Internet by providers.
694. Robin Cunningham, El Prado, NM, 87529
It is of paramount importance that we maintain the neutrality of our internet. Dismantling the protections to a neutral and free internet would create a perilous slippery slope of information control, censorship, and worse. Thank you for your consideration.
695. Adrienne Gonzales, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
696. Noah Hilsenrad, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please, please help to maintain net neutrality by enforcing Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you!
697. Samer Abbas, Farmington, NM, 87401
We want strong Net Neutrality rules under Title 2.
698. Anthony Zukaitis, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Freeing up regulation that protects the American public is wrong.
699. Jamea Mistalski, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please keep the current standards of classifying ISPs under Title II rules and regulations. Title II does not prohibit nor diminish the ability of ISP, telcoms, and media companies from investing in the infrastructure as shown by the recent billions of dollars spent by those very ISPs and telcoms in the recent spectrum auction earlier this year. Even Verizon publicly stated in a meeting to investors as recently as 2014 that Title II does not and will not affect profits.

Please stop making an issue out of this when no issue should truly exist. The FCC does indeed have a vital role to play in regulating telcoms and ISPs to ensure there are clear and enforceable rules in place so they do not revert to this practice of prohibiting content or delivery of content to end users. I was directly affected by Verizon blocking Google Wallet from being installed because they were pursuing their own similar application, prolifically named ISIS. Without Title II restrictions telcoms would be free to do the same end around of limited consumer options when those options conflict with their own bottom line. Stop being in the pocket of large Telcoms Mr Pai. You don't work for Verizon anymore. You should be working to protect the American public and a truly free and open internet, which, as of today at least, only continuing the application of Title II can ensure.

700. Travis Crawley, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality is vitally important to the free market functioning of the internet. Allowing ISPs to be able to regulate which content to allow at a quick pace and which content to slow down is akin to allowing businesses control of the streets... they would always make sure that you had to drive by their businesses. You can't expect businesses to NOT do the thing that is going to help their business. Please ensure that net neutrality survives. Thank you.

701. Lauren Harris, Farmington, NM, 87401

Net neutrality is a vital part of what makes the internet so useful and groundbreaking. Take away the freedom and it's just going to dampen our country's potential. Millions of people educate themselves and connect with each other everyday. Net neutrality is one of the few things we have left that actually helps the common people. Every other service and company is looking to make money and take advantage of overworked and underpaid people. Ending net neutrality will end tons of education and free enterprise opportunities. The world will be WORSE if we lose net neutrality. We have to remember that our job as humans is to improve life for everyone, not succumb to numbers and money.

702. Jennifer Acampora, Rancho de Taos, NM, 87557

A free and open internet is a basic right in any society that values equal access to information and education. Many individuals, organizations and companies, including internet giants, such as Google, fail to see how allowing internet service providers to block or throttle websites via paid-prioritization would be beneficial to consumers. What earthly good is lower priced internet access, if consumers' ready access to information is restricted or inhibited in any way, except in matters of health and public safety, which the current net neutrality rules take into account. Protecting a free internet is as important as protecting free speech. Please keep the current rules in place.

703. Nancy Baughman, Mora, NM, 87732
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Remove any and all government regulation of the internet. Regards, Nancy Baughman PO Box 581 Mora, NM 87732"
704. Marvin Parker, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, The Internet should always be free to the public. It's a symbol of freedom. Regards, Marvin Parker 111 California Pine Rd NE Rio Rancho, NM 87124"
705. Deborah Dennard, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The open internet connects us to the world and lets us share our ideas freely!
The freedom allowed by the open internet is fundamentally American.
706. Michael Gregory, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
An open Internet is essential for my business. If clients cannot find me, I'll lose my business.
707. JC Corcoran, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We need an Open Internet for the wellbeing of our social and economic structure. Ajit, what would your Ma say?
708. Robert & Sandra Ross, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
This valuable tool has supported my business and knowledge! Don't mess with it!
709. Dawn Kaufmann, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
An open internet levels the playing field between corporations, those who can afford it, and those who are not businesses and cannot afford it: It protects access by disallowing tiers of service and the threat of blocking certain content. Regulation in this case opens the door to monopolies and censorship.
710. David Doty, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Because of freedom of information, without censorship, without trying to control my access to information, to try to prevent me from being an informed citizen. I must be an informed citizen, with real facts and data, not the lies of politicians and corporate media.
711. Andre Holmes, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
because it is "open" for anything I want to see and talk with my family back in Omaha!
712. Martha Arreguin, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I love an Open Internet for a couple of reasons; 1) as a small business owner, I want people to be able to access my website without delay. 2) As a consumer, I

want to be able to avoid certain companies on line- like Amazon. The Internet was created by the US Military- which was paid for with citizen tax money. Technically, the Internet belongs to US (not the companies that provide connection) and the job of the FCC is to work FOR the people of this country- NOT the companies that are gouging us! I use it for research and to keep up with my competition. I want New Neutrality to remain and be enforced as the proper policy in this country- where we pay more as consumers for access, at slower speeds than any other country! Corporations do NOT run this country- they never should. They are only as good as the products they offer and whether people buy them. I believe we have had too much consolidation of many industries in this country- telecommunications being exhibit A- I should have more choice as to who I use for Internet access or phone services. These companies should have to compete for our business. The consolidation has reduced options and we citizens are left with no choice. That is UnAmerican! Net Neutrality NOW! and FOREVER!

713. Gay Block, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

THE INTERNET WAS DESIGNED FOR EVERYONE. IT IS A MEANS FOR PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, SUPPORT RETAILERS AND TO IMPROVE BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS. AND SO MUCH MORE. IT WAS NOT DESIGNED FOR GOVERNMENT TO CONTROL - IT WAS DESIGNED TO BE OPEN TO ALL AND NEUTRAL. PLEASE KEEP IT THIS WAY FOR ALL AMERICANS.

714. Kay Warren, Espanola, NM, 87532

This allows freedom of full access to information on the internet. A vast internet source of data for all Americans and all people worldwide.

715. Chris Cosbey, ARROYO SECO, NM, 87514

Creates accountability by governments and spreads news both fake and truth news , at least we all can make informed choices.

716. Cathy Hope, Questa, NM, 87556

It's life and it's freedom I won't have it any other way

717. Susan Peirce, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

I love the internet because I can have the whole world at my fingertips

718. Tim Nagy, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is fundamental to an open modern society. Americans deserve the freedom to use the internet to access information from any source and filter information for themselves without being concerned about corporate interests defining what is available. Among many ideals of freedom, America was founded on the goal of our people being free to acquire information and think for themselves without the government or corporate interests censoring or

dictating what is available. We The People don't win when when only a handful of people control the majority of information being distributed publicly.

719. Thomas Gump, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Without a free Internet, our economy would be less than Russia's. What part of "access for all" makes you squirm? Free makes it possible for tiny startups to become Microsofts. Don't screw up your first job.
720. mando, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
We need net neutrality. Don't let big business win!
721. Nikunj Shah, Portales, NM, 88130
I support net neutrality, and disapprove of the weakening of FCC broadband regulations. COX, Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner, and other telecom providers have monopolies over internet services in many parts of this country. Removing regulations (and weakening existing regulations) will negatively impact the end users who purchase already overpriced internet services from monopolized telecom companies. Furthermore, these changes will only serve to strengthen the already powerful telecom lobby when compared to smaller start up telecom companies. Compliance with existing FCC regulations are not a "burden" on telecom companies that stifle innovation and investment. They are a necessity to keep internet providers honest and accountable for the well being of the people.
722. Joyce Bailey, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
LEAVE OPEN INTERNET ALONE!!!
723. Joe Touchette, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
What could possibly be the reason to kill Net Neutrality? Oh, bought and paid for? Some corporate benefit? Yup! Really doing things that will aid the common citizen. Given what you're proposing here ... where did you say your next job was?
724. Dave Lindblom, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Don't succumb to greed!
725. Joseph Sturgis, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
Repealing net neutrality is a losing proposition. Creativity, growth, connection and the free spread of communication and ideas is essential to maintaining freedom and prosperity.
726. Lee Andrews, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
In case there was any doubt.
727. Jonathan Boyne, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I have been a university librarian for 20 years and for 16 years an online reference librarian for the U.S.-based largest such worldwide service, serving public library patrons and college students worldwide, and I know that students and people of all ages and needs depend on and need open, free and unimpeded access to useful and necessary knowledge and information. Anything that impedes such access makes us and our country poorer and stunts all kinds of growth and development, economic and intellectual. Internet 'slow lanes' would make it impossible for ordinary people to access useful and necessary knowledge and information. Telecom monopolies impede such access and make us and our country and the world poorer.

728. Laura Goldberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We NEED our NET NEUTRALITY!!!! Don't let them take it away!!!
729. Marilyn Jackson, El Prado, NM, 87529
We NEED Net Neutrality. Why would you want to give control over to the giants?
730. Hunter Rhodes, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
We will not be silenced. We will not lose our rights. We will not go down. We will fight.
731. Dean Mindock, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We want an open internet, free of tolls, gateways, and censorship.
732. Noah Narcisse-Daleo, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Trying to take away an open internet is un-American. It's like taking guns away it's simply a violation of our rights as American citizens. As an American citizen I deserve the right to be able to have access to an open internet as much as a firearm. And you have no right to let corporations take any more away from us. They have like much power as it is and you fat cats need to fuck off with your constant greed and power lust. I hope you take this message to heart and if you don't... then go fuck yourself with a spiked dildo you worthless can't.
733. Michael Lee, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
As a programmer with over fifteen years of experience and a licensed Amateur Radio Operator, I greatly appreciate the FCC's role in providing effective regulation where necessary. We've seen time and again that ISPs and telecommunications companies need to be balanced by a strong advocate for consumer interests. We've also seen that a lack of oversight and regulation causes not just an erosion of fair pricing and equal access, but also a loss of freedom of expression that is the foundation of both the Internet and the United States. For these reasons I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality through classifying and regulating ISPs as Title II common carriers. Thank you.

734. Brian Rempel, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please support strong regulation of net neutrality as defined under Title 2 regulations.
735. Sarah Cohen, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please support strong regulation of net neutrality as defined under title 2 regulations
736. Brian Garretson, Aztec, NM, 87410
Keep the internet open and neutral keep ISP under title 2
737. Ryan DS, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please support net neutrality and title 2! Freedom deserves to be free!
738. Cori Bergen, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet is a tool for the people. Stop Ajit Pai and his goal of handing the management and control over to those who profit from the resource. Companies should not decide the priority of any internet content. Giving them that power allows them to prioritize their own content or the content of the highest bidder. Ayn Rand and the internet do not mix.
739. lyle zorgon, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I support net neutrality and title 2. Reece's are mediocre!
740. Lorraine Gilmore, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Keep the Internet free and open. The carriers should not have the right to determine what I do on my computer nor allow corporate greed to decide speed and access to the multitude of small companies using the internet. Net neutrality is the only framework that allows open access to all.
741. John Schroeder, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I want access to everything the net has to offer. And the people of the world need that. If we take away the net neutrality rules, this freedom will be eroded under the guise of corporate expansion and profits. The taxpayers funded the development of the internet. We deserve to have OUR voices heard on this issue.
742. C Scullin, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
You already KNOW ALL the reasons net neutrality is critical to a free society. Having been a broadcaster during the ownership rules change - WARNING the FCC that this would have a terrible effect and having been right on this. We will simply say STOP THIS NONSENSE NOW and LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY IN PLACE. There will be significant consequences if you don't.
743. Simon Redman, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

As a Software Engineer, a free and open Internet is important to me to ensure I can get the resources I need to do my job, as well as to allow me to market my ideas

744. Richard Khanlian, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I depend on an even internet playing field for access to unfiltered information and communication.
745. Kim Kaminky, Farmington, NM, 87499
Crooked crooks. What else do you expect?
746. Andre Holmes, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The companies involved have a track record of NOT doing the right thing. I use the net for both work and entertainment.
747. Daniel Schiller, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Preserving net neutrality must be the first step in a wider campaign to reduce corporate control over the means of communication. This will mean instituting public accountability measures such as citizen review board staffed by low-income residents and forceful antitrust action against the big Internet companies including Facebook, Amazon, and Google.
748. Christina Rutkaus, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
The stated mission of the FCC is in accordance with Internet neutrality as we know it, to create a system that is organized around corporate profit rather than making available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination, would be to destroy the original goals of the FCC as an institution, and this in both the long and short run, would be an impoverishment to all.
749. David Henry, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I rely on net neutrality to exercise my rights as a citizen of the United States!
Leave Net Neutrality alone...
750. Wallace Schultz, las vegas, NM, 87701
The Internet has become way too important to be the playground of the rich.
Leave it open and free.
751. Glenn Gill, Carson, NM, 87517
A real Democracy in the internet age must have a free and open internet.
752. Barbara Kenney, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Big Brothers are now watching. This privacy infringement must be stopped!
753. Kenneth Kast, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

this is public property

754. Rhonda Valdez, Espanola, NM, 87532
The rich r rich enough, u greedy, greedy, selfish people!!!
755. Spencer Stall, El Prado, NM, 87529
Net Neutrality is most important to the people who can least afford to pay more for it. Compared to most of the world we are already getting ripped off by the greedy big internet corporations. We cannot abide any more ripping off!
756. Ep Platas, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please respect net neutrality
757. Deborah Dennard, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Destroying net neutrality is just another way to make immorally rich people even richer and to suppress the masses.
758. Joe Brownrigg, Santa Fe,, NM, 87507
Corporations did not create the internet and they do not own it. The military and we citizens created it, so lay off your acts of cyberterrorism!
759. Kevin Potter, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
The limited options for isps in most areas leaves consumers dealing with an effective monopoly. We need these protections and small businesses need them to ensure a level playing field
760. F Jessop, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality shouldn't be the right of the rich
761. Thomas Gump, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
We want net neutrality to stay. I think the title 2 designation was great.
762. Merit Bennett, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Freedom of speech should have no bounds.
763. Amy Bertelli, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The net is an essential part of life for most people. We use it every day to learn, to communicate, to run our lives and our businesses. It should not be sold to the highest bidder. It really is part of the commons now and should be regulated as such.
764. Larry Bernard, Jemez Pueblo, NM, 87024
Net Neutrality gives the little guy a chance!
765. Marya Roddis, Chama, NM, 87520

Net neutrality protects our rights as citizens in a free world. Net restrictions protect profits for a few corporations and their overlords. Don't side with the overlords - that's NOT your job. Your job is to side with citizens and the larger economy and economic growth. Pandering to the few just makes the few more powerful. Fun for you all, but really bad news for the world and for our own economy, both short and long term.

766. Hillary Ames, Taos, NM, 87571
Our business (construction) requires many searches for materials, professionals, forums and photographs. We must have free and easy access to this information.
767. Nancy Neskauskas, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Do your job!!! Protect the net! Poor individuals will suffer..as always ...if this goes away!!!
768. Linda Smith, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I believe in freedom of information. Cable companies should not discriminate against websites and content. It's not their right.
769. Catherine Louisell, Rowe, NM, 87562
It is completely outrageous that the republicans are taking away Net Neutrality. Totally undemocratic and unAmerican. Stop this madness now!
770. Rebecca Walding, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
Just say "NO!" There are some things business should not control... the internet is one of them. Net neutrality will keep this country healthier and wealthier. No matter what the phone companies and cable companies and internet companies say.
771. Linda Chamberlin, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net Neutrality is how we pull the curtain back on the Wizard of Oz, and see that the Emperor is actually naked. It is our right see see and know truth. Yours, too, assuming you're human.
772. Susan Hart, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Unfettered access to information is important for all of us.
773. NirBhao Khalsa, Pecos, NM, 87552
Stop Trump's Attack on Net Neutrality
774. Nicholas, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
We can't let net neutrality die!! I will fight tooth and nail for this cause, just like every citizen should. I refuse to allow the future generations of our species to lose this essential tool for a sensible, educated democracy.

775. Steven DeGennaro, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Hey Pai, (shill), I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY
BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP's.
776. Diane Forsdale, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
You are wasting our time and money talking about this again. The people want
net neutrality!
777. Judith Hendricks, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I am a writer who uses the Net every single day for research, and to
communicate with colleagues. Do not destroy this crucial tool that is used by
millions of people!
778. Hans von Briesen, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Because the corporations that would otherwise control content and speed
CANNOT BE TRUSTED to serve the public interest -- they would sacrifice
public interest for ANY FORM of profit, including those which violate normal
ethical standards.
779. Kay Warren, Espanola, NM, 87532
I am not a commodity. Keep free & open internet
780. Patricia Michaels, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Net Neutrality is crucial to free speech and Democracy in the 21st century.
781. Jean Crawford, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Do not change net neutrality with the public-owned airwaves.
782. Paula Tackett, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Equal access is important to all of us. Without having to deal with the big ISP's
being able to give special treatment to folks who can afford to pay more.
783. Robert Brueckner, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Hands off the Internet, the last bastion of free speech in the United States!
784. Sibylle Preuschat, Questa, NM, 87556
net neutrality... needed for a healthy society.
785. Ron Rizzardi, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
The internet is already free from ISP limitations. Title II did not squash
innovation and Verizon has said as much. Witness the negative effects of
industries not sufficiently regulated. Airlines and health care pre 2008. It is not
acceptable to allow private industries to determine internet freedom. Therefore
Title II and net neutrality will remain regulated.

786. Elinor Hoover, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Preserve Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need net neutrality rather than allowing individual companies to manipulate internet results.
787. Ari Wellman, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
ISPs need strong Title II protections to ensure I'm not stuck watching Adam Sandler movies until the day I die because that's the only thing that loads quickly. Please, for the love of all that is holy, protect content creators and help them continue to distribute their vision (even if it is of questionable interest to the world at large) so we can have true choices, as opposed to the illusion of choice.
788. Ann LoPresti, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and the use of Title 2. It is our right to have equal access to the internet
789. Emily Lilo, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please fight for net neutrality! We need to keep the internet open and free. We cannot depend on corporations to self regulate, it will never happen!
790. justin wurzbach, Rio rancho, NM, 87124
I support net neutrality and please enforce title 2.
791. Lysandro Sandoval, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of Internet Service Providers!!
792. Steven Levine, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please maintain the strong "net neutrality" rules under TITLE 2. I am NOT in favor of any changes to the current legal status of net neutrality. i AM IN FAVOR of the current rules remaining in effect.Thank you.
793. MacKenzie Allen, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
How can the FCC even CONSIDER allowing this ludicrous takeover of the Internet. NET NEUTRALITY! Do what's right! Do NOT allow politics to interfere in yet another area of our society.
794. Mark S., Los Alamos, NM, 87544
ISPs should be kept under Title II as it is in the best interest of the American economy and people. Allowing ISP's to throttle or prioritize packets based upon their own self interest will lead to a bad outcome for everyone involved except the ISPs. I strongly protest allowing ISP to self regulate or be placed back under Title I classification. Having an open internet is by far the best option for the general public.

795. Dan Kuehn, Questa, NM, 87556
Please protect Net Neutrality. Don't empower corporations to slow content for us consumers.
796. Nancy Kenney, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I strongly support net neutrality and title II. Please maintain this law that presently governs the internet!
797. James Ballew, albuquerque, NM, 87114
keep Title 2.
798. Scott Aragon, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
The internet must remain neutral. America has little time to waste with Ajit Pai's Libertarian bedtime fantasies. The last bastion of hope in the world is a free and neutral internet. Good Game.
799. Eva Dettweiler-Robinson, Corrales, NM, 87048
I demand free and open internet. Protect Title 2 and net neutrality.
800. Christopher Reiten, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!!
801. Kim Reiten, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II laws.
802. harry henderson, rio rancho, NM, 87124
please keep net neutrality [eg title 1]
803. Stephen Suloway, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The internet is a public utility, and to serve the public some regulation is necessary. Please keep ISPs accountable under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Please do not change the current Net Neutrality rules.
804. willa brenden, dixon, NM, 87527
The free internet is bringing people together like never before. We deserve to be in touch w/ each other and be able to research any subject we want. all ready the internet in USA is censored from very important information that is available to people just over the border and all around the world. Healing information pertinent to our species survival, and atrocities caused by big money interest must be transparent to the public. as a whole we deserve to be informed rather than manipulated by the media. The free internet lets us live a real life rather than one of manipulation and control by the powers that be. Please choose in favor of the people, generations to come, and your own best interest to have the internet remain the way it is or become more transparent RATHER THAN CONVOLUTED W/ RULES AND REGULATIONS!

805. David Kennedy, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Net neutrality needs to be maintained through title 2 regulations. Internet providers are motivated by money not equal access to the internet.
806. Melinda Sedgley, Taos, NM, 87571
Do not allow corporate control of the internet! Keep net neutrality and investigate the possibly illegal deal Mr. Pai made with Sinclair/SBG broadcasting. No more propaganda. No more corporate shilling by our FCC.
807. Wade Nein, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Keep the internet free, it is too vital to our nation. Do not sell our internet!!!
808. Lynne Fischer, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Keep Title II in place and keep net neutrality. I have NEVER seen an example of big business care about anything or anybody above their profits. If you take away net neutrality the ISPs will undoubtedly behave with bias resulting in harm to the greater public. Keep Title II in place!
809. Caroline Dechert, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I write to address paragraph 82, Need for the No-Throttling Rule. This rule is still needed. As a librarian with experience in public libraries, I have seen clearly, every day in the library, that Internet access is now not a luxury or a plaything, but an absolute necessity for life. It's practically impossible to apply for a job, make a necessary appointment, or apply to a school for needed education without access to the Internet. The No-Throttling Rule is essential to ensure that everyone - not only the rich and powerful - will have access to services and to the chance to learn and thrive. Many schools now provide education through the Internet, and for Americans who are attending school while working, online education is the best choice. I myself retrained for a new career by attending Drexel University to earn my Masters in Library and Information online. This allowed me to "attend" class on my own schedule, and get a first-rate degree while still working full-time. Attending school online requires good bandwidth to stream lectures and download long media for study. If my provider had been able to throttle service, I might not have been able to complete my degree. The No-Throttling Rule is essential to ensure that everyone - not only the rich and powerful - will have access to education and training. Many public news sources have moved online. Some communities are no longer served by traditional newspapers, and get much of their news, including local government news, online. In a democracy, the electorate must be informed. I don't want to live in a country where an Internet provider gets to decide which news sources I can view, and which will be throttled to death. That would no longer be the United States of America. The No-Throttling Rule is essential to ensure that all members of the electorate - not only the rich and powerful - have free, clear access to the information that will allow them to

exercise their basic rights to vote and choose wisely. Thank you for your consideration of this comment.

810. Zoe Standridge, Clovis, NM, 88101

I am Commenting to state that i do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet, and negatively impact individual constituents and small business alike. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

811. ML, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I support net neutrality. Here in Rio Rancho, New Mexico, there is only one internet provider who charges at least \$100 per month for internet services for only 100 mbps up/300 Mbps down. The playing field is not level now, don't make it even more expensive and slower for us in rural areas!

812. Ryan Waterer, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

All ISPs should be title 2. They need to be a common carrier to protect the rights of the US citizens. I do not want my data sold thank you. Now do your damned job and stop this stupidity.

813. Michael Crowl, Clovis, NM, 88101

I am commenting to state that I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedoms on the internet, and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

814. Andrew Grant, Clovis, NM, 88101

I am commenting to say that I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet, and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

815. Mary A. Robert, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

I firmly support keeping the Title II Order, making ISPs subject to utility provider rules. Internet freedom should apply to the consumers, be they individuals, internet stores, charities, or international corporations. The important thing is that the individual ISP user be able to access any and all sites at exactly the same speed. Let the market determine success, not the ISP provider. Growth, innovation and investment in all things internet has continued to grow substantially, making great bounds forward in the last 2 years. Obviously, Title II status has not limited anyone in any substantial way. There is no need to change the rules. there is nothing "heavy-handed" going on now. We need to keep all the bright-line rules enacted under the Title II Order.

816. Dr. Jeffrey J Bloch, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please keep net neutrality! ISP providers should not be allowed to filter/throttle/restrict content or sell our "speech" habits within cyberspace.
817. Mark Kendrick, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
With the elimination of guaranteed equal access I'm afraid that a dispute between an ISP and Netflix for example might cause a disruption of services I pay both companies for. Another concern of mine is companies having to pay extra for premium speeds which could lead to additional costs on my part as a consumer. I also think that reversing the rules would open the net to unfair businesses and biases.
818. Giles Bowkett, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am a web developer. I've been building web sites and apps since 1994. Net neutrality is absolutely essential to the health of the Internet and the US economy. ISPs absolutely must remain regulated under Title II. Ajit Pai should resign.
819. Sasha Koha, Corrales, NM, 87048
I DO NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. Any change would take away our freedom on the internet and would absolutely impact individuals, including hard working students like me. Please continue protecting our freedom. Thank you.
820. Susan B Taylor, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Do not cancel Net Neutrality. It is crucial for the public to be able to access information freely. Allowing businesses to tamper with access is reprehensible and dangerous for our democracy.
821. Steve Schwartz, santa fe, NM, 87506
Allowing Big Cable to limit access to the internet is not in the public's interest. These firms have been allowed to monopolize the publicly owned bandwidth, use government research that created the WWW, and in most markets they have no competition. It is time to return the internet to the public - it is a utility not unlike water that must be allowed to flow freely to all.
822. Michael Walsh, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I have serious concerns about the power the FCC will grant ISP's. The US already has it bad enough when it comes to actual competition in service providers, almost all localities have the choice of bad DSL and a cable provider. We don't even have a choice of multiple cable providers. This will even affect the FCC. You pay an ISP to host your internet connections. If you give ISP's broad power to classify and artificially limit what you can pass over your connection to and from the FCC, then your users, workers, and contractors will be directly affected. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be

regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? They could do this to organizations such as the FCC. Do you serve HTTP or HTTPS? well HTTPS is going to be slow unless you pay more. Do you use VDI or PCoIP? Well that is an extra charge as well because it uses a lot of bandwidth. This is not just an issue for private citizens, it is an issue for business and the free exchange of ideas. I am also appalled at the idea of passing this with only 3 voting members. This type of vote needs more commissioners, especially when 2 of them are highly partisan and in the pocket of big business that is pushing to de-regulate. If you take the time to read this, thank you.

823. Gregory Corning, Taos, NM, 87571

I strongly favor keeping the 2015 net neutrality rules. It is clear that without those rules, giant corporations - the internet service providers (ISPs) - will favor some content over other content (due to profit motives), and thus my freedom of access to information will be curtailed. Further, the 2015 net neutrality rules as I understand them prevent any "moral guardian" from filtering content based on religious ideas. That is very important to me. Thank you for this opportunity to make comments.

824. Lucia Duncan, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Please do not repeal Title II classification for ISPs. Net Neutrality is too important to play with--letting ISPs determine what information we can access is a path to de facto censorship and propaganda, and thoroughly un-American.

825. Caer Reider, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

We strongly oppose FCC proposed rules to end net neutrality. We oppose allowing ISPs through increased fees, or slowing sites down, to decide what content the public will see. The Net should stay defined as a public utility, with equality of access according to our freedom of speech. The telephone companies can't decide which calls we make will be easier or harder; neither should the cable companies decide our web browsing. The government should not allow ATT, Verizon, Comcast, etc. to force us to look at the content they want.

826. Laura Brockington, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Don't you DARE do away with net neutrality. Equal, unimpeded and unfettered internet access and content delivery is crucial to our democracy. I am worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.

827. Marilyn Hoff, El Prado, NM, 87529
I oppose changes in internet rules that would effectively cancel net neutrality.
Please stop sucking up to corporate monopolies.
828. Patricia Booth Pieterse, Truchas, NM, 87578
Net neutrality is extremely important in a free society with free speech. It is imperative that we not allow speed and access for all to be compromised by and for the highest bidders.
829. Kulwant Khalsa, Santa Cruz, NM, 87567
Net neutrality rules help ensure that the internet remains an important vehicle for civic engagement and education and a platform to exchange ideas, and they even the playing field for businesses to compete. I am a strong believer that innovation is what America does best, and a free and open internet is vital in that endeavor. Please do not repeal the strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015.
830. Mary Grana, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
A neutral internet is essential to honest flow of information
831. Robert Kosowski, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support existing net neutrality regulations.
832. Gary Ashkin, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Vote no, to initiate a process to repeal the strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015. Strong internet neutrality is essential to a free and open democracy. Do not vote for an internet that is for sale to the highest bidder!
833. Horace G Thomas, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
As an IT worker I find it gravely short-sighted to remove net neutrality protections. These regulations are important to keep greed in-check and allow information to freely travel the globe. As small business owners who rely on the Internet to get our products to the buying public, I am also concerned we may be limited by unfair costs.
834. Mary Orr, Espanola, NM, 87533
I totally oppose the FCC's plans to change any part of the present net neutrality protections. I live in a poor state and the Internet is extremely important to those who barely get by working two or three jobs. The Internet keeps them connected to family. I use the Internet every day to contact friends, family and connect to the volunteer organization where I volunteer. I shop on the Internet and search for information on a nearly daily basis. DO NOT roll back net neutrality. The people spoke loud and clear the first time we had a chance to speak on this matter. Net neutrality for all is what I support.

835. Ken Baumann E, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Revoking net neutrality laws would enable companies to censor and obstruct our access to information. Do not put corporate greed ahead of civic utility.
836. ceil fox, rio rancho, NM, 87144
I OPPOSE the FCC's action to gut net neutrality protections! I support a free and open internet!
837. Susan Hart, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Internet neutrality is crucial to Americans-it is good for business, it is good for education, it is good for communications of all sorts. Transport of information should not be restricted by corporate interests. We already pay for access, in some places we pay a lot for inferior service. Internet access should be expanded and not restricted.
838. Adele E Zimmermann, Embudo, NM, 87531
A fully functional democracy depends on the free flow of information. Allowing commercial interests to restrict that flow is anti-constitutional. Corporate media reflects the bias of corporate ownership. Information on the internet must not reflect that same bias, or the flow of information will be channeled into predetermined and limited directions.
839. Tom McDonnell, alcalde, NM, 87511
Please defend Net Neutrality as you would Democracy. At this pivotal moment in time we can't have one without the other.
840. mary mcintyre, corrales, NM, 87048
Maintain the strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015.
841. Derek Diehl, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I'm vehemently against any attempt to change or otherwise alter Net Neutrality and I support strong enforcement of Net Neutrality under the "Title II" classification.
842. Ron Hammond, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Last week, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) voted to initiate a process to repeal strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015. Without these protections, internet service providers could set up internet fast and slow lanes, instead of ensuring that all online traffic is treated the same. They could also control where you go online, rather than giving you full access to the internet, and even prevent you from accessing certain content. Net neutrality rules help ensure that the internet remains an important vehicle for civic engagement and education and a platform to exchange ideas, and they even the playing field for businesses to compete. I am a strong believer that innovation is what America does best, and a free and open internet is vital in

that endeavor.

843. Trina B. McMahan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please keep Internet Protection as is, or better yet, add more protection! Thanks.
844. Parker Webber, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am commenting to urge you to not do away with net neutrality laws. Keep the internet open and free!
845. Elizabeth Murphy, Farmington, NM, 87401
I strongly oppose your plans to repeal net neutrality rules.
846. Jacob Wartenberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I remain strongly opposed to the FCC's plan to gut Net Neutrality Regulations.
847. Edward Campbell, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Quoting my Senator - "Net neutrality rules help ensure that the internet remains an important vehicle for civic engagement and education and a platform to exchange ideas, and they even the playing field for businesses to compete. I am a strong believer that innovation is what America does best, and a free and open internet is vital in that endeavor." -I've been online since before the Web was created - since 1983. It was a valuable part of my work, valuable to the firms I worked for - most important, valuable to my life and that of my family in many ways. - Quit screwing around with it.
848. GEORGE LONG, El Prado, NM, 87529
I strongly support maintaining existing internet neutrality and to protect equal access to the internet. I am a middle class republican and already pay taxes via my phone bill to support infrastructure maintenance of the internet. If net neutrality changes then I no longer want to be taxed for an unequal access to the internet. Internet businesses have made billions of \$\$ via the consumer on a system the American taxpayer currently is supporting. Loss of internet neutrality would then mean middle and lower class Americans will not have equal access to information on the internet. Only the people with money to pay will be able to afford the access to information in an efficient manner. Information equals freedom and vice versa. I am a middle class republican. I will never vote republican again if net neutrality is lost to those who can pay for the access.
849. Thomas Andrzejewski, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I totally oppose this, despicable.
850. Linda Townsend-Johnson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please preserve internet neutrality and easy access for all.

851. Tom Anderson, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I strongly support net neutrality protections and urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
852. Gaia Mika, Taos, NM, 87571
A free and open internet is essential to the functioning of a democracy. Corporations should not have the right to control any aspects of the internet.
853. Stephen L Phillips, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I oppose FCC plans to decrease net neutrality protections. Caving in to wealthy interests who wish to decrease these protections so that they may favor some users over others and some content providers over others violates a fundamental principle upon which the internet was built. Keep net neutrality protections in place.
854. Dave Dannenberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
To who it may concern: I oppose the FCC plan to revise and eliminate net neutrality protections. My company develops software and we depend on having innovative and performant server and connectivity offerings at an equitable price with others. We will not survive if we can not afford performance equity on the internet with all other competition large and small. This stifles competition. Could a Facebook or Hulu of 10 years ago succeeded in this environment? This clearly is driven by special interest as none will benefit except for established cash heavy services that will succeed over smaller competitors and the tier 1 network providers. Consumer prices will rise and choices will diminish. Although the FCC rarely stimulates a competitive playing field (take spectrum auctions as an example), this flies in the face of any practical solution. The FCC must continue a role in regulation of a free and open internet. Stop this folly now! Regards, Dave Dannenberg CTO, Audible Logic
855. April Kent, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Access to the Internet must be regulated like any utility. This is essential for freedom of expression and economic growth. I support net neutrality.
856. Sue Rotto, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I support total NET NEUTRALITY: " the Commission's rules should protect users and Internet companies on both fixed and mobile platforms against blocking, discrimination, and paid prioritization, and should make the market for Internet services more transparent. The rules should provide certainty to all market participants and keep the costs of regulation low. "Keep the Internet FREE!
857. MICHAEL MCCUSTY, SANTA FE, NM, 87507
It is imperative that you protect the internet as an open, decentralized, and level

playing field, free from content discrimination. Net neutrality is a cornerstone of how the Internet works, progresses, and serves all citizens equally. Repealing the strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015 would be a grave mistake that will effectively break the Internet and set us back at least a decade. Keep the Internet neutral and open!

858. Jacqui Binford-Bell, Ocate, NM, 87734

I live in a rural area with no connection to broadcast news, no newspaper, no radio. Broadband internet via our coop electric company is my only connection to the world beyond my door in the country side. The internet allows me to stream news, connect with medical service providers, friends around the world, and my family. Also run a home based business which is dependent on the internet. My social security income does not allow me to do these things without net neutrality.

859. Net neutrality, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I strongly support Net neutrality and I'm opposed to the repeal.

860. Emil Homuth, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please keep the current net neutrality rules. They are good as they are.

861. Susan Arkell, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Keep the current net neutrality rules. Do NOT change them.

862. Joan C. Graves, Tucumcari, NM, 88401

I love my internet and this rule will hurt all of the internet users. Please keep the strong net neutrality rules that have been in place since 2015. I am very opposed to your new rules.

863. Jeremy Orr, Farmington, NM, 87401

I strongly oppose the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" act, and its attack on Net Neutrality.

864. Elizabeth Gilchrist, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Please keep net neutrality. Equal access for all. Thank you, Elizabeth Gilchrist

865. Raymond Burkard, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I urge the commission to keep the Net neutral. Allowing the change will only help rich stockholders to limit the speed to those that are more profitable to them. Access to information is the key to a functioning democracy, while limiting access is the opposite.

866. Rachele Woods, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Support net neutrality. Net neutrality rules help ensure that the internet remains an important vehicle for civic engagement and education and a platform to

exchange ideas, and they even the playing field for businesses to compete. I am a strong believer in a free and open internet .

867. Janet Rees, Bloomfield, NM, 87413

Because I firmly support a free and open internet, I oppose FCC's plan to gut net neutrality protections. Please do not proceed with the gutting of net neutrality protections.

868. Robert Watts, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is one of the cornerstones of the internet as we know it. Overturned, it will make a small number of businesses a great deal of money, at the cost of irreparable harm to the vast majority of individuals.

869. Robert Hake, santa fe, NM, 87505

Do not repeal any regulations providing for a neutral internet. Money, power and influence are not parameters for decisions regarding a public structure such as the internet. Our reliance on the net is becoming tantamount and your giving influence to peddlers of goods and services is not right. Protect the citizens, we pay you, do your Job for the people of this country and not the wealthy interests peddling influence. that is a crime against the people and will be treated as such every day you look in the mirror. Do not repeal anything providing for internet neutrality. DON'T BE FAKE!

870. Sarah Miller, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Please maintain net neutrality by ensuring current regulation that uses Title 2 oversight of ISPs is maintained. Thanks you for listening.

871. Christina Rutkaus, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514

Supporting and enforcing "net neutrality" rules is part of the mandate of the FCC, in making sure that all people of the United States have access to rapid, efficient and world-wide wire and radio communications. Thank you for the good work that you do in protecting the free access of information by the people of this country.

872. Greg Smith, santa fe, NM, 87505

preserve net neutrality via Title II

873. Dawna Coutant, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

I strongly support the backing of Title 2. We need a free and fair internet. Internet speeds and service and behind many developed countries and if we allow corporations to slow internet down to manipulate profits, it will hurt business and new development in the USA. Please do NOT weaken or water down the rules that internet providers must abide by.

874. Magdelyn Brennan, santa fe, NM, 87508

The people of this country don't want this corporate takeover of the internet!!!!

875. Jeremy Cook, Des Moines, NM, 88418

As a small business owner and internet content creator, I implore you to maintain current net neutrality rules. Please do not sell the internet to the highest bidder. Thanks.

876. Troy Choman, Flora Vista, NM, 87415

Do not repeal net neutrality. Do not weaken net neutrality. Your jobs are to represent the wishes of the people of the United States, and the people overwhelming desire a free and open Internet. Do not repeal net neutrality. Do not weaken net neutrality. Do not change net neutrality.

877. David Ennis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I am concerned with ISPs throttling website speeds without Title II oversight. It has been well documented that ISPs have done this before they were under Title II and it certainly happen again if they go back to Title I designation. ISPs will not self-regulate, and throttling will occur again. Keep ISPs under Title II and keep the internet free and open, not awarded to the highest bidder.

878. William K. Doak, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The name of this proposed action is classic Orwellian doublespeak. Who do you think you're fooling? Why not call it "Make the Internet Great Again?" Or "Make the Internet the Property of Large Corporations." It's outrageous.

879. Roberta Bushman, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557

We, the American people, require a free and open internet in order to make it available to everyone, regardless of their annual income. I need it because my self employment business cannot afford to pay more than I already am for my internet.

880. Rachel Johnson, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please do not gut net neutrality rules. The internet should remain the last free and open place for civic engagement and education and a platform to exchange ideas, and business. There are few equal playing fields left in America. Let the internet remain one.

881. Keith Reily, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is paramount and must be maintained and protected at all costs. Allowing a few people to delegate which content the masses can and cannot access will set our democracy, and by example and effect the world down a path of propagandism, manipulation, and ultimately the destruction of democracy and freedom of speech entirely. Any efforts to end the neutrality of the internet should be met with exacting and forceful opposition. Anything less will surely prove to be the beginning of the fall of all free peoples of all nations

into tyrannical despondency.

882. Stephen Fehnel, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Removing net neutrality will destroy the internet and competition. You will be giving the corporations freedom to block competition and censor views and services they don't agree with. Keep net neutrality alive.

883. Susan Cover, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.

884. Dylan Stevens-Sheriff, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

Ending net neutrality would be the single most un-American action the FCC could take. This country thrives on the principles of capitalism. The ideal that the free market will self regulate. That very ideal is the foundation of the internet. Net neutrality allows the internet to operate as a free market. Choking this free market out of existence will have disastrous outcomes for both the consumer and the business that use it. I am a small business owner. My company does property damage restoration. My business does not sell products on the internet, but internet is still the foundation my business operates on. All our tools are cloud based. We use specialized web-based internet apps that we are required to use by the insurance companies we work with. Ending net neutrality could severely damage my business and many like it. If we were forced by Comcast to pay a premium set at whatever level they like, as they are the only provider in my area, it could massively increase my overhead. I'd have to raise prices, and that would hurt my customer base. My customers would have greater financial burdens doing business with me. consider then that it would have the same impact on every customer and every business. We'd be looking at an economic crash. The price of all goods and services would increase across the board. The value of the dollar will go down. Poverty will go up. Please do not end net neutrality. It's foundational to the American way of life.

885. Pamela Jeffreys, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514

Opposed to this proposal! This would be an act of War on Democracy and counter to the Founders' vision!

886. Michael Petkov, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I submit this comment urging the FCC to uphold the Obama-era regulations on Net-Neutrality on the grounds that doing so failure to do so would not only be an attack on a free and open internet but on the free and open markets that the internet has cultivated over the past 20 years. As it stands now, the internet is perhaps the truest bastion of a free and open market. Anyone with an idea, some HTML and a domain can compete on a virtually level playing field with giants such as Google, Amazon and others. It is this open-ness that has allowed

numerous businesses to flourish. In addition to cultivating a new generation of entrepreneurs, the free and open internet has permitted the cultivation of communities large and small and has allowed people with interests no matter how niche to find a home online. It is my fear that removing these protections would be tantamount to removing the bedrock which has allowed a strong and diverse internet community to thrive. To remove codified protections on net neutrality would be the first step toward allowing ISPs to pick winners and losers. By cultivating relationships where certain companies pay for fast-lane access, those companies that have the ability to pay will do so and enjoy success while startups who may lack the capital will be relegated to the slow-lane, obscurity and likely go out of business. Those which have the gall to compete with a product owned by a telecommunications company would be better off not trying and that environment is one which we do not wish to cultivate. That is an environment which runs counter to the American tradition of freedom, entrepreneurship and opportunity. I'd like to close off my comment by making one final and perhaps more personal point. The first is that for many of us outside the bigger cities, a move away from net-neutrality will severely harm us. While it is possible that in larger cities providers will spring up who will use their promotion of neutral internet as a competitive advantage (those like Google fibre come to mind), it is unlikely that smaller communities will see this. In much of the country, ISPs operate a local monopoly, which means that should the local ISP could easily place plans which are more equitable in terms of access out of financial reach to many in rural communities. Heck, it isn't even rural communities which will be affected by this. I live just 5 minutes outside Santa Fe, a city of 70,000+ and the capital of New Mexico and I have access to only one ISP which gives slow speeds on the best of days. I shudder to think what my coverage would be like if websites were suddenly throttled! Simply put, the market-forces that many opponents of net neutrality contend would work to protect fair access do not exist for people like me.

887. Jared Rivera, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

To protect consumers it is important for ISPs to retain their Title II classification. The attempt to remove this classification that was implemented in 2015 is an assault on the common citizen and needs to be stopped.

888. Eric Heatwole, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I very strongly support net neutrality and the Title II designation for ISP. The attempt to kill net neutrality borders by politicians and their appointees borders on criminal and appears to be a very real case of corruption. Speaking of which, the difficult to navigate comment system appears to be an attempt by the FCC to limit the number of comments by the public. Shameful.

889. Brenna Lee, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Please maintain strong oversight and Title II classification to keep ISP providers

neutral on content streaming. Thank you.

890. Grant Franks, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
My wife and I support continuation of strong net neutrality protections (under Title II).
891. Nancy Laird, Rio Rancho,, NM, 87124
Please do all things necessary to maintain net neutrality.Thank you.
892. Jason Baldwin, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Please preserve net neutrality. Allowing ISPs to throttle throughput for economic gain would be a disgrace. Equality must be protected and preserved.
893. Jennifer Lynn, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. It's imperative for our future as a free country. Thank you.
894. David Helling, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Keep net neutrality. Keep title II in place. Wake up
895. Patrick Foley, Corrales, NM, 87048
Please protect net neutrality. DO NOT MESS WITH TITLE 2.
896. Diane R. Jennings, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
As a retired professional librarian with 30+ years of service to public libraries at the state, county, and city levels, I am very aware of the critical role the Internet has had in delivering the informational and recreational needs of our citizenry. It is imperative that net neutrality be supported and maintained to ensure the value of this critical element of our democracy.
897. John D Jennings, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We need to preserve title 2 and net neutralityThe internet is an essential utility that should be equally available to all americans.It should not be controlled by the financial interests of corporate providers and their advertisers.Thank you.
898. Johanna Todacheeney, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
To Ajit Pai - I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
899. Don Martin, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
To Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
900. David Ceman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support net neutrality. Stick with the provisos of the 1934 Title II rules. If you

deregulate those companies, the consumer will be hurt. The only ones to benefit are the already rich as Croesus. You need my phone number 505-660-1064.

901. Tomas Trujillo, Taos, NM, 87571
I support net neutrality and oppose any control that would allow any ISP to slow or increase traffic based on financial gain or endorsement.
902. John D Bray-Morris, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support regulated net neutrality. Please keep ISPs under Title II oversight. I do not trust the individual ISP companies to self-regulate internet neutrality.
903. John Barlow, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net Neutrality MUST be preserved!
904. Sachin Saldanha, Gallup, NM, 87301
Strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight
905. Shannon South, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please preserve inter-net neutrality and Title II !!!
906. Lynn Gary, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
PLEASE support net neutrality and Title II. This will support our constitutional right to free speech, and equal access. Without net neutrality and Title II, the internet will be in the hands of The Few with money and power, and will deny equal access to us "lesser" people. Remember - We the People, not the They the Rich and Powerful. PLEASE.
907. Restoring Internet Freedom, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight. Without net neutrality we cannot adequately support new business, new ideas and free speech.
908. Raymond O'Regan, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
Please keep the Net Neutrality rules and ISP's under Title 2 exactly as they are now!
909. Vicki Whitaker, Aztec, NM, 87410
Why THE PEOPLE should have to go to the mat for a service that is ESSENTIAL to life in the 21st C is inhumane, reprehensible, predatory, cruel, elitist, arrogant, presumptuous, divisive, exclusionary, insensitive, unaware, niggardly, haughty, myopic, corrupt, amoral, and at least a dozen other pejorative terms that ought to roll right off my tongue with cascading fluidity, but which are stuck in my craw at your obdurate insistence otherwise.
910. Dahlia Christen, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I and my family strongly agree with net neutrality, please keep it under title 2
!!!! Do not change the way it is now

911. Kevin Schmieder, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I fully support strong net neutrality and Title II regulations
912. Meaghan Karaffa, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I fully support strong net neutrality and Title II regulations. Please do not overturn our internet freedoms.
913. Barbara Mohon, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
As a citizen, I oppose any FCC plan to gut net neutrality protections. Please do what you can to support a free and open internet.
914. Kim Anderson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
PLEASE protect net neutrality!!!!!!Thank you!
915. Kerry Helke, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Don't let big business buy your loyalty. And your new director is a douche bag.
916. Janice Kunz, Corrales, NM, 87048
I am strongly in favor of strong oversight of the internet. PRESERVE net neutrality and Title II.
917. Jessica Evans, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Preserve Title 2Do we really have to say this again?Do you think the people changed their minds about letting companies compromise our services?Do the right thing.
918. Bryan, Aztec, NM, 87410
I am a registered Republican who supports strong NET NEUTRALITY and strong FCC Title II oversight of the ISP's.
919. Bonita Jean French, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Title II Is important to enforce net neutrality. Do not roll back title II for ISPs.Preserve Net Neutrality
920. Scott Aragon, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
I support Title II protection of the internet. This is not something merely of convenience, or a thing nice to have, these protections are critical to our democracy. The damage done by the Citizens United decision was significant, but this change to internet regulation will be the first nail in the coffin.
921. Elaine Nelson , Taos, NM, 87571

Dear FCC, Please preserve net neutrality do not let the Internet providers have any control over it this is a real comment thank you Elaine Nelson Elaine Nelson

922. Jeremy Martin, Dixon, NM, 87527

Preserve net neutrality and title 2 the survival of the human race depends on sharing information to save the ecosystems of our planet. Thank You!

923. Joe Earnshaw, La Plata, NM, 87418

The First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America guarantees the right of free speech (together with other rights) to all Americans, regardless of whether they are rich or poor, or can afford a "fast lane" or not. Please maintain Net Neutrality in its current form.

924. Preston Martin, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Internet users like me are asking public servants like you to keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I run a business that does 90% of its business online. I already pay ISPs for bandwidth. Alternatively, a "pay-to-play" Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites puts my business at a disadvantage, because the competitor with greatest resources is given preferential treatment. Staying competitive shouldn't mean paying a ransom to the ISP. It is the unintended consequences of changing Internet rules that will have the most profound effect on our economy, which increasingly relies on web commerce. With the economy already on shaky grounds, going against the will of consumers is not good policy. I call on the FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal and instead keep Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

925. Prabhu Khalsa, Santa Cruz, NM, 87567

America was founded as a free and open society and so should be our internet! The arguments against Net Neutrality seem to be solely based on the potential for profit to a small group of people. The arguments for Net Neutrality are manifold, but on the most basic level a free and open internet is more aligned with the values of our Democracy.

926. Fred D. Beverage, Waterflow, NM, 87421

Please continue to protect internet neutrality under Title II of the FCC Rules. As a school teacher who uses and teaches students to use the internet...any relaxation of the current rule could be detrimental to students learning to use the various search platform's and programs. Thank you.

927. Mike Feuilly, Flora Vista, NM, 87415

Dear FCC, As a customer of both comcast and verizon, I do not want them regulated with a light touch, I want them in heavy chains. They are already very close to a monopoly in many areas, and provide as poor of a service as they can get away with. Please leave net neutrality as it is. I do not want these companies

to be able to pick and choose what content people get easier access too. My experience with these companies leads me to believe that they will abuse that kind of power. Mike Mike Feuilly

928. Patrick, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Support net neutrality! Let us waste our time the way we choose, not the way our ISPs choose. TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs
929. mark dierauer, los alamos, NM, 87544
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Freedom of speech is important.
930. Alison C Parks, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Freedom of information to all citizens of the world is necessary for growth.
931. Samantha Haynes, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I believe that the FCC should uphold Net Neutrality, as well as maintain the oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP's) backed by Title II.
932. Kirstin Mitchell, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow this deregulation. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because users will have fewer options and a less diverse Internet.
933. Anthony Rivera, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I am in support of Net Neutrality. I feel that the this isn't the direction we should be headed as a country. It goes against the very idea of freedom. To give large corporations such unfair power is unfair and unamerican. This is Oligarchy.
934. Jeff LaFlamme, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Keep the designation as Title 2 for IPL's to maintain net neutrality.
935. Tom Dixon, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net neutrality should remain for at least the near future. ISP's should not be allowed to charge a web sight for access to an ISP. This would be an infringement on my constitutional right to free speech.
936. Catherine Long, Tres Piedras, NM, 87577
I urge the FCC chairman to uphold net neutrality.
937. Isa Stewart, Taos, NM, 87571
Net neutrality is vital, please keep the internet as a service to people, and not a centralized tool controlled by large corporations. Thank you!
938. Brandon, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Leave the net alone! There is more important things to worry about than finding a way to regulate what doesn't need fixing. As they say if it broke don't fix it! Net neutrality is free speech and allows users to communicate in diverse ways to innovate ideas.

939. Carlos Sandoval, Santa Fe , NM, 87505
I would never be able to compete with a large web development firm as a small independent developer. Please keep the net neutrality rules in place to help the little guys like me.
940. A. K. SMITH, TAOS, NM, 87571
WE MUST have Net Neutrality. one ISP is not more important than another. A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD. the population wants demands government create a level playing field on the internet.
941. Amy, Bloomfield, NM, 87413
The internet MUST remain out of the hands of the conglomerates! It's bad enough they have power over our processing speeds!
942. Leslie Pearlman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We depend on the internet for news and international communication. We believe that the giant cable companies are rich enough, and that our freedom depends on a neutral internet!
943. Jack Goldman, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Is America going to continue to be an egalitarian society with equal opportunity for all? Or is some bureaucrat going to overthrow the fundamental principles of our Constitution for the benefit of a few big businesses? Some of which soon won't even be American companies. Maintaining net neutrality is essential to every patriotic American. No big mouth tweeters needed, whether or not they head the FCC.
944. A. J. Smith, Farmington, NM, 87402
Without net neutrality I can not operate my internet business. That's money that won't go back into growing the economy. Other small and large internet based business will also crippled without the freedom net neutrality offers putting our entire nation's economy at risk too.
945. Debbi Aringdale, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please keep net neutrality... already pay too much to the cable giants in subscriptions and what they "glean" from users (then profit again from what should be private information but is sold to third parties for marketing purposes). Paying in freedom of speech and innovation is too high a cost.
946. Natalie Miller, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The Internet should be free and equal for all users to preserve freedom of speech and expression.

947. julie bird, santa fe , NM, 87501

I am with the big orange fox to preserve net neutrality and not donate the freedom and limit the variety or size of participation on-line!

948. Sydney S, Portales, NM, 88130

I am disgusted that this is even something up in the air. Disgraceful. Leave net neutrality alone.

949. Frank Seaman, Des Moines, NM, 88418

Just in case you have forgotten, the U.S. is a democratic republic which net neutrality is a symbol of. To do away with net neutrality is to authorize an oligarchy in the community communication system which does not recognize what we are about.

950. Robert Coyle, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

The internet is the only place where an individuals voice can have as much impact as that of the rich and powerful. Net neutrality assures that this will continue.

951. Zeke, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

1. Government primarily exists to protect citizens from other behemoth organizations. 2. As a federal agency, the FCC will be in direct violation of the constitution by granting big cable companies the ability to manipulate speech as they see fit. 3. The commission will fail to "protect the public good" if net neutrality is destroyed. 4. The cost of actual data transfer is negligible, so if you're convinced otherwise, you've been had. 5. Do your job correctly or GTFO.

952. joe cowan, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Leave the internet the way it is now please ! I vote in everyevery election and will be unhappy if net neutrality is ended.

953. fancisco castillo, santa fe, NM, 87507

stop trying to take over the internet,dont you have enough money and power??!!!

954. Judy Kosanovich, Angel Fire, NM, 87710

I am an Internet user who acts as a secretary for several organizations. Please keep the Internet Open.

955. Tim Nagy, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I (and many of my peers) have found a rewarding and meaningful livelihood for decades, working online THANKS TO A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET. My

life and career would be entirely different (poorer and less meaningful) with out the democratic institution of NET NEUTRALITY. It will diminish our democracy and our children's futures if net neutrality is compromised in any way.

956. Julia Gay, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Everyone deserves to have equal access to information. Everyone deserves equal space on the internet.
957. Therese Desjardin, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
One open internet. Keep nuetrality. No gatekeepers and controllers.
958. Katie Bergsten, Rio Rancho , NM, 87124
What makes up so much of our modern world is net neutrality and the power to choose. We need to take into account how this could completely affect the economics of our society.
959. Carol Bevis, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please protect Net Neutrality. Do your part for free speech.
960. David Travis, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
I support net neutrality which supports freedom of speech and gives everyone an equal voice on the internet.
961. Barbara Harnack, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
We are counting on Net neutrality for our free speech and commerce. Do not destroy that.
962. helen nichols, Taos, NM, 87571
I don't feel it's a wise use of time and money, when the internet seems to be doing a better job policing it's self than it would be if this administration attempted to do so.
963. Linda Barlow, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net neutrality must be preserved in a free society. Large corporations already have huge advantages. Our country has always been strong due to innovators. Don't cut off one of the aspects of the U.S. that make it work.
964. ELijah Wildharber, Espanola, NM, 87532
If you're going to stoop to the level of selling us out AGAIN, we will get rid of you, just like all the people who sold us out before.
965. Heather Winkel, Cochiti Lake, NM, 87083
I don't agree that a service provider should sanction, penalize or otherwise control what I choose to view. The proposed rule essentially creates a monopoly

for a few companies.

966. claudia may, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Economic growth
967. Chris Devereux, Sant Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality must be protected! It is bad enough that most Americans have little choice in how they access the internet, due to the near, and getting nearer, monopoly of the service providers. Net neutrality must not just be maintained, but strengthened. Keep the net free and accessible!
968. anne auldon, santa fe, NM, 87505
Please leave the net the way it is, no tinkering. I use it for connection to the world--- in my 77 years, this is important for me
969. Betsy Glenn, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please protect net neutrality and prevent large internet service providers from effectively restricting access to the detriment of consumers, small businesses and other internet users.
970. Richard Collinsworth, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please don't gut Net neutrality we can't trust the big companies to play fair. I'm using Comcast because they have a monopoly where I live. Century Link is extremely slow. Comcast penalizes users from streaming Netflix, Amazon and Hulu with data caps but their streaming service is not subject to data caps. It will only get worse these companies will abuse it and reduce competition.
971. Michael Lancaster, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
The internet belongs to us - the humans who own the commons.
972. James Dickie, Corrales, NM, 87048
leave net neutrality alone! Large corporations already have enough control over what we do.
973. Ann Weisman, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support net neutrality. No fast or slow lanes; just one open internet. Please protect net neutrality, keeping the internet, free speech, and consumer protections accessible to all. Thank you.
974. James Koledin, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net Neutrality is very similar to the First Amendment Right of Free Speech. If my messages and browsing can be bought and sold it is another loss of the Right of Privacy and others will profit from my activities.
975. Robert Coyle, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

The internet is the last true bastion of free speech, to sell it to the highest bidder flies in the face of the American ideal. Let net neutrality prevail.

976. Michael L McDaniel, Clovis, NM, 88101
I want to be able to pull up my own content of what I want when I want. I don't need/want another cable company, wasting my time with ads or charging me for use.
977. Mark Trujillo, Taos, NM, 87571
This is one of the few thing big corporations do not control, nor should control. The monopolizing needs to stop.
978. Tim Folger, Gallup, NM, 87301
I am strongly opposed to Ajit Pai's proposal to roll back the rules protecting net neutrality. His plan would allow a handful of powerful companies to control the flow of information on the Internet. Rather than serving the public interest, Mr. Pai prefers to cater to the industry he recently worked for. But what else would we expect from yet another morally bankrupt appointee of Donald Trump.
979. Richard Ley, Tererro, NM, 87573
Net neutrality is freedom of speech in it's most basic form. Don't mess with it. It is not an option for government control. Stay out of it.
980. Jeanette Iskat , Villanueva , NM, 87583
Business offers me the service of internet. They do not have the right to tell me how to use it.
981. Susan Zummo, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Do not destroy net neutrality. It is the only method we have for the free exchange of ideas and to research up to date information on all topics.
982. flame schon, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net Neutrality is fundamental to the fundamental right to free speech. Protecting net neutrality is essential to preserving bedrock American values.
983. Robert Cantua, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net Neutrality is the core of a free intranet society! Please do not allow companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon to get control over what we see and receive on the net!
984. Michelle Poiani, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Dear FCC,I want to maintain net neutrality. It would be unfair to low income people to have slower internet.Thank you,Michelle Poiani
985. Mary Shepherd, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is essential to protect -- it keeps the playing field fair and open. That is a fundamental right of ours - the consumers. We do not want to be at the mercy of the large cable corporations. It is the job of the FCC to regulate these services and not let corporations overrun our right to an open internet. Thank you!

986. Gary Schiffmiller, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I strongly support net neutrality and I oppose any effort to reduce consumers' control of their access to the internet

987. Heidi Corning, Taos, NM, 87571

Net neutrality is essential to our country. It must be saved!

988. Sue Bryan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

It is very important to protect small and start up companies from being drown out by the big ones! Small and start up companies are major sources of employment and must be able to reach customers so they can compete in order to succeed. Please don't trust huge ISP's to act in the best interests of the small guys who are actually the economic engine of the country and economy.

989. Oro Benson, Abiquiu, NM, 87510

As a person in a rural area I depend on the internet for everything from news to shopping.

990. Lisa Craig, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

We are not a communist country.

991. Paula Sandoval, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

NO ONE WANTS TO LIVE IN A CLOSED SOCIETY!!! NO ONE WANTS TO BE BEHOLDEN TO BIG CORP INTERESTS!!! I S U P P O R T N E T N E U T R A L I T Y

992. Hannah, El Prado, NM, 87529

This country was founded on free and open dialog! What human being in their true self would want to hurt other human beings by undermining that vision.

993. Douglas Long, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

My wife and I are middle class retired folks. We can't afford a lobbyist to stand up for us. That is why we need you to protect the Internet for us. We don't want to be second class citizens or treated less favorably because we don't have a lot of money. Please stand up for us.

994. Cameron Anthony Gay, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I am a small business owner working in media and as 'the little guy' the only market place my company has equal footing is the World Wide Web. Without

net neutrality my company's online presence can not be protected as equal and would subsequently fail to ensure my small company could even stay competitive in an already saturated field.

995. Nik, Portales, NM, 88130
Please don't get rid of net neutrality FCC. The big telecom lobby has enough power, they don't need more. Net neutrality protects us consumers from being extorted for internet access.
996. Calvyn, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Freedom is hugely and neutrality are hugely important pinnacles of American life and society!
997. Rebecca R Porras MD, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Dear FCC, I am a firm supporter of NET NEUTRALITY. You must keep this off the hands of big cable companies. It is fundamental to free speech and encourages innovation. The bottom line for corporate America is money. Please keep the Net Neutral for all us who use the Internet wisely and keep it in the hands of true Innovation. Thank you.
998. JUDITH Cumberworth , Farmington, NM, 87401
I support net neutrality. It is Freedom of Speech
999. jarod, farmington, NM, 87401
you already fucked up tv leave the internet alone
1000. Hope Bakker, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I encourage you to keep net neutrality regulations in place to provide equal access for all. Thank you!
1001. Yasushi Morimoto, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net neutrality is important to me. Do not take this away from the public.
1002. Diane Toups, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I am not okay with the government deciding ANYTHING about what and when I use my internet!!!! I am not okay with businesses deciding when and what I watch and choosing a slower speed for me. I want the current freedoms I have to remain mine and my children's, etc. I do NOT want big companies to intrude on my rights.
1003. Jill Wilcox, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
I believe that in a democracy, everyone should have the same speed of access to information. I don't want to see any more corporate control of information access than we already have.

1004. Don Boehms, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support net neutrality. It is important for free speech. It is also important for competition and innovation for small businesses. It is also important to consumers to have choice and price competition. Please continue a policy of net neutrality. Thank you.
1005. Bethany Hamilton, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net neutrality is very important to me, without it, large corporations could dictate what I watch and do on the internet without any regard for my personal choices. Everyone should have the right to a free and open internet.
1006. Noah V., Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Preserve net neutrality. It protects people with unconventional ideas and allows small businesses to compete on the same playing field as large corporations. The internet is the one of the most important utilities in people's lives. Protecting free speech and equal opportunity is important to a free and fair society.
1007. Anna Isenberg, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Without net neutrality, I will be censored, censorship is going against my fundamental rights as an American citizen. My entire career depends on the internet, I use social media to market the non-profit I work at, and without net neutrality, who knows if I'll have a job anymore. Please don't get rid of it. Please. Do something good, make the world a BETTER place. No act of kindness, no matter how small is ever wasted.
1008. cliff reed, embudo, NM, 87531
I need to know that the net remains as neutral as possible to ensure that it does not end up as one giant advertisement that tracks and sells me
1009. jeremy lakin, clovis , NM, 88101
net neutrality must be protected as a right of all Americans. diminishing our rights we become a society that is no longer free.
1010. Kathryn Miller Evans, Corrales, NM, 87048
Net neutrality is just as important to us/US as the Constitution and it's articles!
1011. Rachel Jankowitz, Espanola, NM, 87532
Without net neutrality, we will have a censored internet like in China. It makes little difference to the users if censorship is political from the government, or commercial from the big corporations.
1012. Roxroy Reid, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality is supported by the first amendment of the U.S constitution and I as a citizen governed by said constitution believes that freedom of speech

should extend to the evolving communication medium of the internet.

1013. Karen Gardiner, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

As a small business owner who earns a significant portion of my living through online sales, I require net neutrality to continue to reach customers and to compete effectively against bigger companies. I expect the FCC and our government to protect my interests, not thwart them. Please don't hand over control of the internet to the largest companies! Give all of us a level playing field.

1014. Patrick Briggs, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Some things should remain for the people, not corporate profits. How about looking out for the little guy once in a while

1015. Charles, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Contrary to a biased supreme court decision, corporations are not individuals. They deserve no contrived advantages to those of individual users of the internet. Large political donors to congress have placed our country in a divided and antagonistic position toward them and toward each other.

1016. Paula Sabloff, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please do not make us the only nation without net neutrality. You know that some people will get around any such ruling. The rest of us will suffer if equal access is denied in the USA.

1017. Melanie Dubin, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Equal access to content on the web is a cornerstone of our democracy - without net neutrality small businesses might not get the viewers they need to lift off and gain market share. From a family of small business owners, this would be devastating to me, my parents, the communities we serve, and the entrepreneurial nature of this country. As the internet gains importance in how business is done and how communities connect, neutrality is vital to protecting freedom of ideas, access to services, and preventing a spiral of monopolistic behavior. Please keep the internet's content neutral and accessible to all in the spirit of democracy.

1018. Patricia Sheely , Gallup , NM, 87301

The internet plays such an important and integral role in our lives today that net neutrality is critical. A few big corporations and players should not control it. Doing so could limit free speech. It could make the cost prohibitive to many. Already service in the United States is slower and more expensive than in Europe. I pay as much for internet service as I do for gas and electricity combined. This does not include phone service. You could say it is a luxury. But today's lifestyle requires it for news, communication, financial transactions, shopping, education. And I am a 70 year old retired woman. A younger person

is even more dependent on the internet. I urge you to make the internet accessible and affordable for all. This is necessary if we want the United States to remain a great country.

1019. William Lyne, Lamy, NM, 87540

The Internet is like a conversation. No one has the right to limit it, charge for it (other than your usual Internet fee), or invade or spy on it. It is an extension of freedom of speech, which is sacred.

1020. Derek Diehl, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

Without Net Neutrality the American dream will effectively be dead because so many small businesses use on the internet to conduct majority of their business and as person who would like to start a small business eventually Net Neutrality will be incredibly important as I might not be able to afford to if Net Neutrality isn't protected. So please fight tooth and nail to protect Net Neutrality for the American people.

1021. Sharon E. Smith, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support Net Neutrality and Freedom Of Speech. As a retired librarian, on a fixed income, I see the Internet as an educational tool as well as a marketing/commercial tool. Freedom of Information may be our only hope for the future.

1022. Laura Merrill, Tres Piedras, NM, 87577

You're trying to make the internet into a "big box store" situation, which puts my choices into the hands of a very few providers. I want to make my OWN choices, thank you very much!

1023. Vicki Word, Cerrillos, NM, 87010

The internet should be a level playing field. I support net neutrality.

1024. Tom Videen, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

Net neutrality is pro-business and pro-consumer. It fosters competition without restricting the choices I have for what websites I have rapid access to. Please do not gut the current rules!

1025. Richard Abeles, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Retain net neutrality, period. Eliminating it will not benefit the public.

1026. Melissa Clifton, Farmington, NM, 87401

Net neutrality ensures that only this generation has open rights to users of all variety. Every generation will have to fight against this type of lure and bate. You are stealing. Requiring people to purchase something against the obvious choices of absolute variety means limiting their rights as citizens of this country. We might as well sign up for the third world communist dictatorship.

Variety is the spice of life.

1027. Robert Cantua, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I have seen the effects of corporate control and manipulation. Net neutrality rules were put in place to protect consumers rights! removing these protections amount to a violation of the constitutional rights to free speech. Please do not gut these protections! Thank you!
1028. Lea, Santa Fe , NM, 87505
The internet must remain open and neutral for all the people as in "we the people". Information, culture and learning of all kinds is found here for all our citizens. Don't make us pay for this basic enrichment. Maintain net neutrality for us.
1029. julie, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net Neutrality MUST be protected for FREEDOM of SPEECH
1030. Lisa Danner, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Leave the internet alone, please. Keep it open and neutral.
1031. Rosalia Triana, Espanola, NM, 87532
Internet is about COMMUNICATION ~ ie, SPEECH. We must have the ability to communicate with each other freely. Especially for those of us who live in isolated areas, this is often our only/best means of communication, and it must remain open and free from control by corporations whose only motivating factor is making money.
1032. flame schon, santa fe, NM, 87508
Net Neutrality is essential to the very basic idea of America. There can be no compromise on this.
1033. Michael Linley, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I want a free internet where no one company or use gets priority by internet service providers.
1034. A. K. STILLMAN, TAOS, NM, 87571
THE INTERNET is neutral. a level playing field for all people. It was developed by visionaries for free flowing information for non-partisan neutral non-sectarian use by all people wherever situated and however circumstanced. LEAVE IT ALONE.
1035. Carole Gardner, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Internet must remain a protected public service, and not become a pawn in the hands of giant corporations. The use of the internet by all citizens for research and education is so important.

1036. David Kuhnert, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
All knowledge is contained on the web, so poorer & more rural people should have even more and cheaper access to that knowledge.
1037. Kathleen Abeles, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is crucial to free speech. It is important that consumers can choose what to see and that it not be determined by big corporations. Net neutrality creates a level playing field so critical to our democracy. It must be protected!!
1038. Sherry Evans-Carmichael, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved to protect justice and equality for ALL the people. It is absolutely essential to protect all of the rights that our Constitution gives us. It allows all voices to be heard by all other citizens. Please STOP the BIG CORPORATIONS FROM STEALING OUR COUNTRY, OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS and creating a MONOPOLY to over charge us!
Thank You,
1039. Karen Trojnar, Taos, NM, 87571
Please protect net neutrality and keep the internet open and free. Our democracy needs an open and neutral forum to operate.
1040. Christine Winfield, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
keep the web free and balanced! I want to be able to decide which sites I want to go to. I don't want big companies making deals about what information I have access to. Keep the net neutral!
1041. John Lehleitner, Farmington, NM, 87401
Keep net neutrality, through some companies want it to end, I prefer fairness for our internet.
1042. Kathy Timmons, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Keep the net neutrality rules the way they are now.
1043. Nicole Valdez, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Net neutrality is essential in today's technology age.
1044. Hunter Schoonover, RATON, NM, 87740
I strongly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You will destroy the "little guy" if you demolish this. Although, I am sure you already know that.
1045. jivan lee, Ranchos De Taos, NM, 87557
I strongly support net neutrality and it being regulated by Title 2 specifically. Never should there be fast tracking or blocking of one service over another, never should it be governed by anything that would allow such behavior

without public oversight and knowledge.

1046. Kelly Jo Ann Oriorden Sari, Tohatchi, NM, 87325

A free internet is necessary for a democracy to function. Please do not allow a private company to control internet content or access in any way or form. We are a free country and the internet is a public service. Society has become dependent on access to the information available on the internet. The difference between communism and a free democratic society is a free nation has a free internet. Thank you for this opportunity to express my opinion.

1047. Forrest York, Corrales, NM, 87048

I like my internet the way it is, limiting resources like AWS or anyone else would have a severe impact on the cloud and other resources.

1048. Diana Chantalle, Abiquiu, NM, 87510

We live in a remarkable time in history of unlimited knowledge at our finger tips. Never before has this been possible. This is a human rights issue. No one should have the power to throttle what should belong to the world. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE!

1049. Rae L Griest, Clovis, NM, 88101

Net neutrality rules help ensure that the Internet remains an important vehicle for civic engagement and education and a platform to exchange ideas, and they even the playing field for businesses to compete. I am a strong believer that innovation is what America does best, and a free and open internet is vital in that endeavor. I oppose the FCC's plans to gut net neutrality protections. I support for a free and open internet. New Mexico needs a free and open internet. America needs free and open internet.

1050. Don Begley, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Adam Smith said competition depends on a large number of buyers and sellers with equal access to information. Destroying net neutrality ends this basic premise of free markets and creates monopolies on information and content. Please keep the internet open to all. Don Begley

1051. Brandon Ghigliotty, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I have dealt with six weeks of continuous interruptions to my cable Internet access and today it has entirely shut off. Comcast provided telephone and by proxy was necessary to monitor our home alarm. By not being regulated as utilities are, Comcast may freely block, throttle, deny or fail to provide service as it sees fit which interrupts day to day life of consumers nationwide. Recently they have begun charging for extra "data" when they deem fit, further acting as a pay per use utility provider without needing to meet any responsibilities to the consumer. Also, in many markets has no competition to its service so it has no motivation to improve. Providers like Comcast are free to pick winners and

losers amongst their consumers, depending on the entry fee they pay in order to access information necessary to be a safe, informed, and prosperous citizen.

1052. Michelle Ummel, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I want Net Neutrality! My fear is the Internet will be broken up like cable packages are today, sites will be blocked because they compete with the ISP's own service, access to information will be blocked if it conflicts with the beliefs of the ISP. This will affect everyone and everything. The Internet does not belong to the Cable companies and ISP's. It belongs to the TAXPAYERS. WE paid for it with our tax dollars. Do not take this from the TAXPAYERS, who also pay your salaries.

1053. Sango Imai-Hall, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Preserve net neutrality backed by oversight of internet service providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. There is clear evidence that broadband companies are not losing investments under Title II. Being regulated under Title I would allow ISP's to restrict consumer choice of internet services, which is unacceptable in an economy that relies on the internet to operate. A free and open internet must not specifically benefit ISP's but must instead benefit all users. Even if ISP's have not successfully restricted consumer choice in the past, this is NOT evidence that they will never do so, and does not justify giving them that allowance. Additionally, I ask that you consider how Commissioner Mike O'Rielly's comment about "Dancing with the Stars" is faulty. The fundamentals of American democracy relies on the voices of the people. Please do not dismiss our voices on this important issue, which will affect every American internet consumer. Also, please do not allow the immoral use of bots that spam fake comments to justify eliminating Title II. There is a difference between concerned citizens using prescribed, prewritten statements and non-human, non-citizen bots that spam countless identical messages in a matter of minutes.

1054. Ralph Burgess, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Chairman Pai's proposal to weaken net neutrality regulations will be a disaster for the economy and for the American people. Reasonable regulation is NOT antithetical to free market principles. In fact, a free market can only operate when there is appropriate regulation to ensure adequate competition. Competition among ISPs: The barrier to entry for ISPs is so high that even Google Fiber has faltered. I have NO choice of provider to my home, there is NO competition. My ISP is effectively a monopoly utility, and should be regulated as such. Even if there were a choice of providers, if the ISPs are allowed to discriminate between content providers, the choice becomes complex and opaque for the consumer. If I could choose between ISPs, I would want to choose based on the speed of service they provide for all content, not some complex plan where they offer some content and one speed and other

content at another speed in some unpredictable way at their whim. Competition among internet content providers: At present, there is a level playing field for content provision on the internet - all providers have equal access to the infrastructure for delivery to the consumer. The CONSUMER chooses the content that they want to download. This facilitates a vibrant and free market for content. It seems obvious to every American other than Chairman Pai that we CANNOT "trust" the oligopoly of Comcast, Verizon and AT&T. They all have an obvious conflict of interest, since they are all in the business of providing content as well as delivering it. Does Chairman Pai seriously believe that these companies will not seek to throttle competing services, unfairly privileging their own services and taking the choice out of the hands of the consumer? I urge the FCC to reconsider Chairman Pai's proposals, and to maintain strong net neutrality legislation.

1055. Ed Scott, Taos, NM, 87571

Net Neutrality is the First Amendment of the web. As an R&D engineer who has programmed Ethernet stacks I find the current FCC so bazaarly inept and incompetent that it is hard to believe how we arrived at so backwards a place in America. Republican members of the FCC should immediately resign or go to jail. What this FCC will accomplish is to see that the future development of Internet does not occur in America but in another nation where democracy and freedom of the press are respected. And this FCC is also ensuring that malware on the web, especially as directed at Comcast and Verizon increases dramatically - an inevitable consequence of their monopoly misbehavior and push to abolish Net Neutrality. The citizenry, especially young people, will not stand for a plutocratic Internet. NET NEUTRALITY IS WEB FREEDOM & DEMOCRACY!!! Ed Scott

1056. corinne kratz, tesuque, NM, 87574

Do not change the way internet service is categorized and regulated. We MUST retain net neutrality for fair use and accessibility to this vital telecommunications service. I rely on internet access for my work -- I am a researcher and teacher that uses email for professional matters that cross the globe, relying on the web to access data sources, archives, and libraries, the cloud for storage and coordinating across sites. My capacity to select the sites I need to visit is akin to making choices of who to phone, and access to this bundle of telecommunication information services is vital in today's world. Personally, living in a rural area, I have great trouble with finding a reliable, fast ISP. Comcast holds a monopoly in cable services here, but their cable stops 4 houses down the road from me and they refuse to extend their trunk line. This leaves only a radio tower ISP, which has horrible and unreliable service that I have been struggling with for some years, and recently a satellite service, which limits data and will cost more, have new installation charges. This is horrible, crippling to scholarship, to entrepreneurship, to living in

today's interconnected world. With such problems even finding a fast, reliable ISP, do NOT give them the opportunity to hike prices and slow speeds in a discriminatory way. Maintain net neutrality and leave internet to be regulated as a telecommunication service.

1057. Gerald Wise, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I can't think of a more disingenuously named bill. Please do not allow these changes to go forward. I have basically one provider for high speed internet in my location in the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible or profitable and I have no doubt they would reinstate these actions. Again I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Thank you.

1058. Pat Oldham, Flora Vista, NM, 87415

Keep the net neutral! Pat Oldham

1059. Nancy Johnson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support to preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support a strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's

1060. Eduardo, Gallup, NM, 87301

Internet censorship at this level is essentially like closing the borders of America, both are bigotry at its finest.

1061. Cameron O'Connell, Clovis, NM, 88101

Net neutrality needs to be a thing.

1062. Manfred Hayes, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Stop being a bunch of fucking punks

1063. Nicholas Spurlock, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

An open internet is the only acceptable version of the internet. Anything less would be stifling and repressive to global progress. A free and open internet should be considered a basic human right.

1064. wyatt stein, santa fe, NM, 87501

the internet needs to be free because it is a human right to be able to do what they want and with out this i belive humanity will crumble

1065. Peter Oviatt, Taos, NM, 87571

Keep the internet open. Y'all should honor and preserve title 2, as it is good for all users. Keep the web in the peoples' hands.

1066. Paul Moore, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Keep the internet neutral, vote against service providers altering the access (throttling)

1067. Jennifer Waller, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

As a concerned citizen I find it appalling that the new leadership of the FCC is more concerned with lobbyists from telecom companies than the residents of the country who rely on a free and open internet to conduct business and find entertainment on a daily basis. Rather than stifle access and allow companies to charge exorbitant prices to content providers to deliver information, the FCC should instead be working to strengthen the network around the country and ensure equity. Right now there is a huge disparity in access between cities, and an even greater one between city and rural. The internet is such a critical component of commerce in the United States and indeed the world that it should be regulated like a utility with equal access for all. Keep the internet open, and keep the net neutrality rules that are currently in place. Changing the rules would only stifle growth and silence innovators.

1068. N. J. Grable, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I would like to add myself to this filing due to the lack of consideration for the general public in this suggested change. I have been using computers since the mid 80's and had access to the internet since the mid 90's. So much information is out there that is important and adding limitations to ones access to any information would be not only counterproductive, but also is in opposition to our right to access said information. From all I have read on this, it is greed and nothing more that is behind the want to control and restrict our access. There is no legitimate reason to restrict what one can access. Yes there are many useless things out there, and some really nasty, bad and horrible things too. However, that stuff won't go away just because you restrict internet access. All it will do is give it more places to hide. Personally I love my access. I don't do social media (it is a total waste of brain power) however I do like doing many things. Were our access to be restricted then I couldn't look up say the same news story on 5-10 different outlets sites so that I get the best view (rather than one person(s) view) of any given situation. Knowledge is important and so is access to information to feed that knowledge. Thanks for listening.

1069. Breen Malmberg, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Please keep the Internet and Internet access/provisioning fair and neutral. No to paid prioritization. No to ISP-control of access to sites. Yes to net neutrality.

1070. Robert Brueckner, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

The Internet has been an unrivaled engine of economic, educational, and commercial growth since its inception. Please do not shut that down. If you kill the Internet, you kill the future.

1071. Shannon Kindilien, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

I support Net Neutrality. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are vital for the free exchange of information, growth of nascent industry, and political debate. While many are concerned about the potential impact on entertainment and shopping (Amazon, Netflix, Ebay), which is also important, pay-to-load fast lanes and censoring websites can also be used to stifle political, religious, and social views on-line. This is the greater, more subtle threat, we face. Government and corporate censorship of unfavorable websites is already taking place in Russia and China, not only has it impacted commerce, but human rights. It has been a point of pride of the American public that we have the right to peacefully assemble in public and on-line, to debate, to learn, and to petition for change. An America where Comcast, Verizon, or another company can simply throttle or block Wikipedia pages, medical videos, CDC data downloads, contentious documentaries, or petitions destroys the power of the marketplace of ideas. Not only will small businesses who cannot afford to "pay to play" in the fast-lanes be harmed, but so will universities, non-profits, and grass roots groups vying for page hits. The suggestion the ISP could regulate where and how customers use their Internet is as asinine as allowing gas stations to decide which streets motorists drive on and how fast they drive after buying gas. I am ashamed of the FCC even considering such changes. Making wealthy companies wealthier while harming the poorest Americans, fledgling businesses, education, and patriotic debate will not strengthen America in the long-run. I guarantee it.

1072. Bryce Warren, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The internet is no longer a commodity, it is a necessity. A utility. Everyone is using it every day from work to personal - but it is no longer possible to thrive and grow in this world without it. Losing Net Neutrality would enable big business to limit our lives and careers. To censor what we see and say. For us to stay free, so must the internet.

1073. Ryan Romero, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Need anything really be said? The internet was created with public funding via DARPA decades ago. It is a public asset. It is a medium for free exchange. Limiting access to those unable to shell out big bucks is an attack against the Constitutional guarantee of free speech granted by the founding nation of the internet. There will be a massive, unstoppable backlash should this go through. Remember this before engaging any further in such obvious folly. Restrictions of the sort being proposed will not be tolerated by the American people. Persecution of those most vocally against said restriction will not be tolerated. The swamp creatures have been exposed and would be wise to avoid the light of Truth. Be wise. Either cower back or protect sovereignty. The internet must remain free and open.

1074. Norman Bernal, Taos, NM, 87571
Please respect the American People's Right to a free and accessible Internet. We are respectfully asking for your support in this issue.
1075. Joseph Goldsmith, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
I am in support of maintaining net neutrality in the internet.
1076. Mark Roybal, rio rancho, NM, 87124
Keep the internet free, corporations and ISPs will ruin it if they have their way. Keep net neutrality intact.
1077. Yakov Talavera, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
The internet should be an open world where users have freedom without restrictions. Lets keep it free and open. No good will come of removing net neutrality. Thanks Yakov Talavera
1078. Net Neutrality, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
We the people have cultivated the content of the internet. We the people don't want a government regulated echo chamber. We the people need to maintain the freedoms this country is founded on. This is proposing totalitarianism. Remember that time the communist governments regulated information in their favor? Against the constitution 100%. Freedom of speech, press, and so on.
1079. Jonathan Gallegos, Petaca, NM, 87554
I stand with Net Neutrality. Capitalism is the open trading of goods and is personified in the Internet. Do not cut the Internets balls off pls.
1080. Seb Boss, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep the net free. Don't let big business keep ruining our once great country!
1081. Alec Epperson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I believe that the internet is a utility or use by all people and no one should be able to control the access of it for any reason. If I pay to access the internet, I should be able to access it openly and freely across all websites no matter what provider I have. The internet is becoming a necessity for most people as it is needed to pay bills, communicate and many other things; Therefore it should be treated like a public utility.
1082. Tim Dhanens, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The FCC's Open Internet rules are extremely important to me. Please protect them. My SO is able to work in something that she's been wanting to do since she was a child. Facebook and other social media are vital to her business. ISP's being able to decide how well she is allowed to do and how visible she is allowed to be on the internet is going to destroy her dreams.

1083. Hakan Duzagadusmez, Clovis, NM, 88101
This will be detrimental and a huge step back for the human race. Please keep net neutrality.
1084. Logan Mannila, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality needs to stay. The internet provides so many great resources and events. It wouldn't be fair to the more poor of folk if it is abolished. We're already struggling.
1085. Montgomery Striegel, Bloomfield, NM, 87410
The internet needs to be unbiased, its a web of knowledge and experiences that should not be "pay to play" for websites.
1086. Aaron Jessee, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net Neutrality is a necessity and big companies should not be able to put our favorite sites behind a paywall just because the internet is beating out their services.Aaron Jessee
1087. Tucker Brooks, Farmington, NM, 87402
Keep the Internet re-striction free, we do not need to turn into North Korea
1088. Jeremy Zeilik, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I have recently started on a new job that requires to work online to create digital content and foster a community for my business. By stripping away the proposed regulations, The FCC greatly increases the chance of large ISP's muscling out the independent work that me and my business do. If The FCC truly wants to support new and unique businesses that need to use the internet in this digital age than it will have to keep the web equally accessible to all. Much like the United States itself the internet is for the people and by the people.
1089. Bethany Hamilton, Clovis, NM, 88101
I don't want corporations to dictate what I can and cannot see on the internet. Please keep the net free and open in its entirety.
1090. Sonya Ortiz, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Keep the internet under Title 2. Keep Net Nuetrality. Consumers pay enough of our hard earn dollars for internet access. Do not change current protections.
1091. Andrew M Fraser, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please preserve net neutrality. Comcast has a monopoly on the high capacity wires in town.
1092. John, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
We as Americans deserve the freedom to choose the content we want to display, and we deserve high speed streaming from anywhere we wish. The consumer

must still control those options, just as politicians should still try to appease the tax payers.

1093. Thomas Elswick, Clovis, NM, 88101
I am normally against government intervention in these sorts of things, but in many cases, ISPs have near monopolies that give the consumer little recourse outside of government help.
1094. Michael Bruce, Taos, NM, 87571
Because there is little to no Monopoly protection in America anymore we have a few huge ISPs left in America. These ISPs must be regulated with Title II oversight.
1095. TOMAS LIPPS, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY, PLEASE.TOMAS LIPPS
1096. Brad Vicroy, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
ISPs make a 95+% profit margin on providing internet access to the public. Now they want to take away net neutrality so they can line their greedy pockets. This will make the internet inaccessible to millions and raise the price of internet access to everyone else beyond reasonable amounts. It will also make it so that we have less choice in the websites we choose to use to make our lives better because ISPs will dictate based on paid content. It's so important to maintain net neutrality. I hope FOR ONCE the government puts the needs of the majority in this country over the greed of the few business owners. Don't take away net neutrality. And make ISPs follow the same rules as other public utilities. Thank you.
1097. Julio Romero, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Don't try to control what I can see, assholes. Keep it neutral.
1098. Shannon Ortiz, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Please keep internet Nuetral
1099. Ben Pound, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I don't want self-serving internet providers to choose which from what source I watch my cat videos. Keep net neutrality!
1100. Mark Davis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please keep the Internet open to all. Don't let money and corporations dictate who gets the best access.
1101. Nicolas Page, La Madera, NM, 87539
Let the internet remain free, open and democratic.

1102. Virginia Latas, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Protecting net neutrality is vital to American ingenuity, entrepreneurial business growth, and to the free exchange of ideas which nourish any democracy. I support Title II protections and believe that broadband should be classified as telecommunications, NOT as an informational service.
1103. William Grassie, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
The internet should remain open. Throttling, blocking, and paid prioritization do nothing but hurt innovation and fair use.
1104. johnathan, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I HATE THIS NEW BILL AND I WANT NET NEUTRALITY PLS THX
1105. Sue Kohler, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
As a small online business owner, net neutrality is extremely important to me. My livelihood completely depends on customers being able to find and access my website. Taking away net neutrality would most likely kill my ability to support myself. As a senior my options for finding new opportunities for income are limited and without my online business maintaining it's current level of income I could lose everything. My social security is too low to live off of and my online business keeps me afloat. PLEASE do not change net neutrality! It would severely damage citizens like me who depend on it. Thank you.
1106. Shea Seefeldt, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
When I purchase internet from my provider I expect to freely surf the web with consistent speed regardless of the site. Charging popular websites more due to traffic will without a doubt cause those websites to transfer the cost to consumers. This is a round about way to charge American consumers more for our countries sub par internet capabilities. There isn't a lot of options when it comes to internet providers, I cant just purchase these items from competitors. There is no incentive for these companies to offer quality service or competitive pricing. Keep the Internet open, if we don't it will lead to more expensive access.
1107. Jon Weaver, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I demand continued access to the entire internet. The FCC must protect net neutrality. No paid prioritization. No blocking of websites or content. No throttling. For the internet to thrive and continue to innovate, we must have net neutrality.
1108. Mark Stone, El Rito, NM, 87530
I am a novice Twitch streamer and an uncompensated disabled citizen of the United States. I have not filed for disability because I still feel like I can work, even if it is just from my home. I have found that streaming to an audience fills a gap I had in my life due to not being able to hold down a regular job.

Changing the nature of our current ISP's to block any kind of internet traffic could easily hurt people like myself who are trying to help their households stay afloat in this economy, and moreover, keeping us off of Government assistance. Taking away our freedom to stream at full speeds will kill this method of income for many of us, and likely get us in line to have the Government pay for our maintenance of living. The only thing changing these laws will do is make rich people richer, while (pardon my French) screwing over the people who need it to survive. Take a long time to think about this, then take a little longer. Changing the way we view the internet will not benefit anyone, save for corporations that are already doing quite well for themselves over-charging/over-taxing for services, all the while unable to explain most of their service charges. Send a message to rich, well-fed executives that *we the people* will not stand for this in the land of the free. Unless we're trying to be North Korea.

1109. John Michael Word, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I urge you to maintain and enhance net neutrality by continuing to support the Open Internet Rules of the FCC. Our whole economy depends on taking the long term view and building a fair and open system, rather than the closed systems favored by certain monopolistic businesses.

1110. Sarah Rebello, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The internet is one of the greatest pieces of technology of our time - it promotes innovation, competition, and entrepreneurship. Please do not allow companies to monopolize on this!

1111. Linda Kastner, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I urge you to continue to protect net neutrality, After all I believe it was taxpayer dollars that developed it not the ISPs that make a profit from it.

1112. Rose Van Dyke, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Who, in all of God's creations, has lobbied the FCC to undo the internet as we know it? Stop this idiotic idea and putting companies before consumers.

1113. Maxwell Johnson, Corrales, NM, 87048

It's important to have internet access that does not discriminate in favor of ANY website or online service. By allowing the FCC to regulate ISPs, the government is in a position to stop censorship by ISPs. I use services on the internet, not services provided by my ISP, to access email, cloud storage, movie streaming, and so many others. The only service that I expect or that I desire when I purchase broadband internet access is that- internet access, unfettered and unrestricted.

1114. Joseph Enrique Torres, Clovis, NM, 88101

So I have heard that some people at this organization want to change the

internet and how it works. Why? Ever heard of the saying " If it ain't broke don't fix it."? The internet as it stands is a free market of opportunity and fair competition. Trying to do what some of you plan to do may change this. My ISP shouldn't be allowed to have the potential to charge a certain site more so I the consumer can access that site faster and or better. Thinking ISPs will not do such a thing is equal to being like "Hey you know Mr. Trump isn't going to say "fake news" today!" The chances of that being true are as high as my possibility of getting laid tonight. So I ask you are you going to listen to the voice of the people or are you too high in your position of power to not give two shit about the people you should be representing?

1115. Royce Beaudry, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The internet was created for sharing information not restricting it so companies can make a profit. Fuck you for trying to change it.

1116. Buck Schwarz, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

If net neutrality didn't exist, I would literally not have a job right now. Neither would any of the rest of our 800 staff. We're a US based company, and our entire support staff is hired directly by us, from the US, UK and Canada. We don't outsource. In other words, even though you may not have heard of us (we're channel-only, meaning our products are sold through a network of MSPs), this is exactly the kind of company you want more of in the US. And if Net Neutrality gets killed, we might not have the financial resources to pay off every business ISP in the country for the bandwidth to make our products work right. But even if we had the resources to do that now, we wouldn't have 5-6 years ago, and our business would have failed.

1117. Patrick Grubbs, Kirtland, NM, 87417

The amount of people that use the internet as a safe haven to escape problems has become so great that if you were to do this, not only myself, but millions of people would become outraged, hurt, betrayed and every other type of emotion there is. Every person in life has multiple types of "pillars" in their life, whether it be a person, passion, or idea, for many people the internet is their "pillar." Taking away our net neutrality would shatter the pillar and send countless people spiraling down into an abyss. It's truly awe-inspiring to see how many people have actually been directly affected both good and bad from the free rein that is the Internet. People are capable of so many great things and to make it to these great things people have to go through hardships. The internet is one way to relax and take a minute to collect yourself so you can get back into the harsh reality that is life. If someone were to go through life without taking some time for themselves, they are doing nothing but waiting for hardship. Life has many luxuries, and for many people that is the internet. Taking away our net neutrality would hurt more than help, the internet is a community of people from all over the world. I support the current regulations as it is what I have

come to know and love. These new regulations would be equivalent to snuffing out a flame that burns bright.

1118. daniel alman, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please keep the current Net Neutrality and Title 2 designations. I urge you to protect an open Internet. It is important to my business that we have equal access to the internet and are allowed to choose the best supplier of both the connectivity and content we choose without artificial cost structures designed to prevent competition.
1119. David Howard, Espanola, NM, 87532
Please leave the internet rules alone. The airwaves are a part of the commons, something we citizens own together. Removing "net neutrality" protections will create yet another chasm between the haves, those with the resources to pay for fast throughput, and the have nots, the rest of us.
1120. Neil Herman, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
On the last filing I said that if you expected us to believe that ISPs wouldn't do what is in their best interest and screw us all over you were a bigger idiot that you seemed to think we were. Now, ISPs have publicly stated that they exactly plan to screw over consumers if this change is made. There is literally no reason to change the current designation of internet service except to give ISPs another revenue stream. **DO NOT MAKE THIS CHANGE**, protect net neutrality.
1121. Matthew Rohr, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
This cannot pass. As a small owner of a software company this would make it impossible for us to compete with larger organizations and corporations.
1122. Lally McMahan, Santa fe, NM, 87505
Please keep the internet free and open. Being free and open is what makes the internet so valuable and important in our lives. Do not give the internet to large corporations to manage. They only have profits on their mind and closing and slowing the internet selectively is wrong, short-sited and crazy. Please please do not give large corporations the opportunity to ruin this beautiful thing we have in the internet. **FREEDOM!!!!!!!**
1123. Marcus Casuse, Yahtahey, NM, 87375
Please Keep The Internet Free!!
1124. Mary Mumford, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality rules make the internet "the greatest democratizing tool the world has ever known." Justice demands net neutrality. Keep America great -- keep the net neutral!
1125. Marijane Mercer, Santa Fe,, NM, 87505

As a citizen of a democracy I need the freedom to access information, to purchase goods, to express my views and to support the arts. The internet provides so much more than capitalism.

1126. Peggy O'Mara, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

If we lose net neutrality, we lose the internet. Already, I have to negotiate ads on all my pages related to my other purchases. Google favors Wikipedia in searches. In New Mexico we have slow broadband and lots of people still don't have access. We need more access for the little guy not more control by the big companies. Keep net neutrality. The net is a utility that should be accessible to all independent of their wealth.

1127. Rebecca Roberts-Wolfe, Ribera, NM, 87560

It is completely unacceptable that the FCC or the government generally would consider selling our internet access to the highest bidder. In 2017, internet is a public utility. It is necessary to survive in the modern world, necessary to have a chance of making a living, and to be an engaged and involved citizen. It is your duty as our representatives (elected AND appointed by those we have elected) to protect all of our access to this essential resource. Protect net neutrality!

1128. Katelyn Nicholson, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

The internet needs to remain free and uncensored

1129. Christine Starr, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Keep net neutrality!!! I support strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best for them.

1130. Richard Kandarian, Cundiyo, NM, 87522

I support keeping * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I resent having the FCC proposal lie to me. When I pay my monthly ISP bill, as far as I can tell and as far as I am concerned I am paying SOLELY for data transmission, and NOTHING else!. I am explicitly paying for a number of gigabytes of data transmitted to and from me, NOT information services! The only information service that my ISP runs that I use is a website where I can monitor my usage and my ISP billing. I therefore see my ISP as a public utility and NOTHING ELSE, and I insist that it be regulated as such. I pay my domain name registrations separately. I pay my web hosting services separately. I pay for my email services separately. All the other information (and other) services I use on-line are separate from my so called ISP. If courts have denied this separation, then the courts are wrong and that's probably because they have been misled. What a shame! I am a retired IT professional and I know, without a doubt, that data transmission is clearly and always separate from information services, and as such they should be regulated separately, and entities that provide both need to be scrutinized under anti-trust. The "Internet" can be

described and defined as an amalgam of information service providers, data transmission providers, and information service end users. The first and third roles can be combined in one entity, but when the second role, data transmission, is not handled separately, then I foresee trouble that I don't want to even risk. Just because the amalgam is called the Internet does not mean all the various parts need to be lumped together for the purposes of regulation. For me "net neutrality" is non-discriminatory access to data transmission services at reasonable prices independent of the information services accessed or provided over those data transmission services. I do not accept nor do I agree with any of the reasoning in the proposal. And I resent the fraudulent title "Restoring Internet Freedom" because freedom from the point of view of business is a far cry from freedom from the point of view of the individuals that business is in the business of exploiting and my government is supposed to be protecting. I view capitalism, commerce, business, whatever you want to call it analogously to fire. It's sure hard to live without it, but you certainly don't want it out of control. I see the purpose of government to REGULATE!! capitalism to keep it from burning up the populace, not to aid and abet that burning. I'm tired and ashamed of having the best government that business can buy. It has proven, over most of my relatively long lifetime, to be very, very ugly much more often than not.

1131. Peter VanHaverbeke, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Keeping the internet as a free and open pipeline is in all our best interest. Adding more restrictions and more control to anything isn't going to make it better or more usable.

1132. Jane Riese, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support net neutrality. The internet should be free and open. Any FCC changes to the contrary will be detrimental to our democracy.

1133. Santiago Romero, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

It is important to me that the internet remain open and free under the FCC's current regulation. As someone who does a lot of work on the internet and hopes to one day build a small business there, it is important to me that I have as much access to all the tools necessary for my development as a company infinitesimally larger than myself. Without net neutrality, business will suffer and people will feel the consequences as a result. I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II regulation.

1134. Sarah Lyke, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Keeping the internet free is integral to the growth of our nation. As someone who grew up with internet access, having it limited to what my parents can pay for would kill any internet use we'd do. So many people need it to be free because sometimes, their lives actually do depend on it!

1135. Toby Mondragon, Ranchos De Taos, NM, 87557
Don't let ISP decide which websites their consumers can or cannot access. This will shut down many businesses and will greatly hurt our economy for the worse.
1136. Lucian Connole, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The internet should remain a place where access to and the creation of content remains a level playing field. Giving the ISPs the ability to further manipulate access by creating fast and slow lanes, essentially paying for the right to be online, must be avoided. Protect the rights of everyone! Not just the giant corporations' ability to make even more money. Please, protect Net Neutrality!!Thank you.
1137. Ryan Riner, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Net neutrality provides a virtual arena for non-destructive cultural upheaval, allowing us to develop outside the historical norm of physical war. Monetizing this arena will widen the already yawning socio-economic gap in our country, which will in turn catalyze the reiteration of our destructive historical narrative. Authoritarianism has only ever--EVER--led to violence. I cite literally any peer-reviewed book of history as evidence to this claim.
1138. Aradhana Singh Khalsa, Espanola, NM, 87532
The Internet is the latest public commons on which enormous U.S. prosperity has been gained, and holds enormous more potential. Previous examples that still enable and enhance our prosperity are the U.S. Postal Service and the Federal Highway System. Allowing any form of control, be it throttling, preferential acceleration, or blocking, allows very narrow interests to control our public discourse, our public commerce, and our creative future. Net Neutrality is essential to our future, now and long term. Allowing a narrow set of interests to determine what we view and how well we view it is extraordinarily destructive to our democracy. Our United States of America, all her citizens and other entities, needs an Open Internet.
1139. HELEN OATES, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is the principle that Internet providers like Comcast & Verizon should not control what we see and do online. In 2015, startups, Internet freedom groups, and 3.7 million commenters won strong net neutrality rules from the US Federal Communication Commission (FCC). The rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritizationâ€”"fast lanes" for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America to further enrich a few much less valuable corporate cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. With Chairman Pai's plan, Internet Service Providers will be able to

make it more difficult to access political speech & news they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding because Chairman Pai, A FORMER VERIZON LAWYER, has already made his plans, lies on TV talk shows to amplify his opposition to net neutrality, and will ignore me and millions of other American voices who oppose his plans. Net neutrality is FCC's mandate to protect Internet rights for all Americans, We demand free speech for Internet justice and platforms to expand democracy. Chairman Pai intends undemocratically to eliminate democracy.

1140. KRISTY, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

If you limit our internet we'll just find a way around it.

1141. D Sammeth, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

We need enforcement of net neutrality rules backed by title 2

1142. Warren Young, Aztec, NM, 87410

While I generally favor free-market solutions over government regulation, the current battle over Net Neutrality is, at root, about natural monopolies. It makes perfect sense to have just one set of telephone and cable Internet wiring in a given area, so governments have granted monopolies to the companies that have installed and maintain these networks. The necessary consequence of this grant must be that government then have a say in how those wires can be used. Anti-net-neutrality policies directly go against First Amendment principles, so I do believe it is in the federal government's interest to enforce freedom on the government-granted network monopolies. I know this may sound counter to free-market principles, but those principles assume the existence of competition. We simply do not have that in the USA today when it comes to Internet service. Each area may have multiple Internet providers, but to call this "choice" is to say that peanut butter is a replacement for cabbage because they're both foodstuffs. DSL, satellite, cellular, and cable Internet are so different that they cannot be considered to be in competition with each other, any more than an uptick in peanut butter sales threatens cabbage farmers. If we're going to grant de facto monopolies to these companies because of the natural physical monopolies implied by the infrastructure they require, we cannot also grant them laissez-faire freedoms. That freedom only belongs to those who are willing to compete.

1143. aaron bee, Shiprock, NM, 87420

Keep the internet out of greedy businesses hands. Leave as is. Dont you all have enough money

1144. Carlos Sandoval, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please keep the title II classification for ISP. The internet is a tool and should be treated as such. Slowing down one phone call over another because someone paid a premium is not the way this country became great.

1145. Jaycob Zamora, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Controlling the media is another way to control the people by terms of wealth and content. Let people be free to make their own decisions on the content they browse

1146. Daniel Wang, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I'm a 20 year old college student. The existence and prominence of net neutrality is of the utmost importance to me and my peers regardless of background. I believe this is empirically shown as many surveys have shown that over 85% of Americans support it, thus implying that there is bipartisan support for net neutrality. I've been positively affected by it as through such programs like Facebook and Skype, I am able to connect to friends and family that I have as far as Australia and Taiwan. Should net neutrality disappear, ISPs would have the power to limit my access to these communications and would essentially be actively trying to cut me off from friends and family. When I purchase broadband internet access, I expect to receive full internet access at the speeds that I pay for. Unfortunately, that is not the case. ISPs have been exposed throttling the rates of their consumers and if given the chance by the repeal of net neutrality, they would be able to pick winners and losers, censor what sites they do not like, and charge premiums for every categorization of website and program. Surely this is contrary to the American dream. To begin with, if ISPs can censor whatever they want then that most clearly violates our Freedom of Speech, and this is starting from the First Amendment. One might argue that if ISPs are discriminating between customers and sites, that one can just switch ISPs. This is not true. As of three months ago this year, an FCC study discovered that over half of Americans have access to 0 - 1 ISPs while almost 90% of Americans have access to only two ISPs who with no doubt can also abuse their power. The FCC is tasked to oversee communications networks and to simply give ISPs all the power is negligent to responsibilities. The FCC's responsibilities include ensuring the protection of customers from abusive ISPs and if given any leeway, companies like Comcast or Time Warner could quadruple their prices overnight and the FCC will have given away the only power available to stop it. To repeal net neutrality rules would be completely against the interest of the American people. It takes away money and freedom. To repeal net neutrality rules would only serve to prove the narrative that the FCC is lining their own pockets at the expense of the American people since net neutrality rules maintain a strong and empirically provable bipartisan support. Corruption runs deep within our country, but to be able to fight and maintain net neutrality rules, it would be a step towards fair and equal rights and access for all Americans from every background. Yeah, also, I'm not a bot as you might

say. In this form I had to enter my email. If whomever may be reading this believes that I am a bot, I implore you to email me and I can have a real human to human conversation as to how net neutrality is essential to American freedom.

1147. Martin Seeley, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please keep the internet open. Removing the protections against paid priority based traffic will disastrously affect the free flow of information. Forcing us to rely on the interests of a non government organization to keep information free is shameful. Please maintain the Title II classification. Thank you
1148. Troy Baca, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
STOP Taking Away our rights. The US government already takes
1149. Robert Spitz, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
"Restoring Internet Freedom?" For WHO? NOT the PEOPLE. The PEOPLE paid taxes that developed the Internet. It is a PUBLIC UTILITY. Freedom the Press is nearly GONE in this nation now. This will put it in the grave right along with the Constitution. This is how the FASCISTS take control and those who are pushing this are nothing but MONEY WHORES to the ISPs.
1150. Scott Gomez, Aztec, NM, 87410
Maintenance of net neutrality is of benefit to all citizens, and helps to maintain a level field for all users of the Internet. The FCC should not allow large corporations to impose restrictions--or preferences--in order to maximize their own profits or forward their interests over that of the public. Revisitation of this issue after it was addressed in the last administration is a blatant attempt to reverse a position established that benefits the public as a whole, simply in order to maximize the profits of a small number of corporations.
1151. Rebecca Hogan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Keeping net neutrality is very important to me. I am an independent author with a website that would be impacted by not having an open internet. Having net neutrality makes it possible for potential readers to find my site and buy my books, and without net neutrality, I would not have these chances. Also, my father and stepmother have only one broadband provider where they live in the country, and pulling away net neutrality would affect them too--there's a good chance Internet would become too slow for them to use at all. So please, please keep the net neutral to help small business owners like myself and country residents like my dad. Thank you.
1152. William Alan Henson, Glorieta, NM, 87535
Keep Net Neutrality as it is. The web is as level a playing field as there is today. It needs to be kept that way. I do not wish to hand the keys of the most widely used, collaborative, idea sharing platform to any single group - much less ISPs.

1153. Ian Barfield, Raton, NM, 87740
Net neutrality matters more as the internet is a place for global connections, allowing Telcom companies to choose how to prioritize content will lead to censorship and a less educated and connected US.
1154. Jason Heuser, Aztec, NM, 87410
Keep net neutrality! don't let the ISP's roll back the rules.
1155. Berton W. Coxe, Corrales, NM, 87048
As far I can tell, this whole argument about changing the rules about how the internet is governed and how it should be regulated, is being driven by a few very large companies who stand to gain tremendous profits at the expense of everyone else. The internet was/has developed as a public utility for the beneficial use of the citizens of the US (and the world). What these large ISPs want to do appears to me to the same as allowing a private company to take over and run the interstate highway system, putting up toll booths, and allowing special lanes for special vehicles who have paid more.
1156. Annie, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I strongly SUPPORT net neutrality. It is a crime to limit open internet and open competition online. No one should have the right to monitor/control the internet in that way. Shame on the FFC for proposing these changes.
1157. Derek Valdez, Farmington, NM, 87401
Dont take my internet away! :X
1158. Nicholas Graham, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please protect a free and open internet. Giving ISP's the power to throttle the internet will make them more money but it will stifle the growth, creativity, and innovation that we as citizens need and value. Please make the right choice.
1159. Brendan Krueger, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I've lived in four states, and I consistently have only a single realistic choice for internet provider. Giving more power to these virtual monopolies is going to stifle innovation. It favors large corporations and prohibits the growth of small businesses with new ideas to generate new economic opportunities. Keep the internet open and neutral.
1160. Curt Bland, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The Internet and speed of access to sites on the Internet should not be controlled by the highest bidder. Net neutrality should be maintained to keep the democrat nature of access to information.
1161. (Juan Pesata), Dulce, NM, 87528
Instead of making more oppressive rules, they should be giving us more

freedom. The internet shouldn't be a privilege it should be a right. and we as United states citizens should be able to use these resources without being controlled. I mean after all this is the United States Not North Korea

1162. Marilyn Ridenhour, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Keep net neutrality. There should be no added fee or cost when searching for information. No one should be able to profit from my search for knowledge. Wisdom as well as thoughts should be free.
1163. Heather Deason, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Keep the current rules and internet neutrality!
1164. Trever Peters, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Hello I am an IT professional. I believe in a strong FCC to protect net neutrality with strong Title II protection. I do not think ISP's are capable of managing the Internet with out strong oversight. The FCC is tasked by law to oversee communication networks the Internet is one such network and it needs your oversight. Thank You for your time.
1165. Adam Palmer, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
My main concern with net neutrality not being maintained by current laws regards the concept of paying a premium for better or faster access to the internet. this will force people who are unable to pay to be at a serious disadvantage when searching for a job, or seeking higher education, or even general information for day to day safety concerns. Poor people are already at a massive disadvantage when it comes to navigating our capitalist/consumer based society. This would add to the already enormous list where being middle class or higher is made even easier than what advantages they may already have given they aren't still, for whatever reasons, living in poverty. This is n't to say the other expressed concerns regarding net neutrality's current state, this is just my main concern
1166. Liam Moots, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
To whom it may concern,I, as an American citizen, ardently believe that the free exchange of information via any medium is central to the American ideal. Any law or regulation which should serve to deny, prohibit, or censor the exchange of said information detracts from the freedom of free speech clearly enumerated in the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. I expect that this commission would be representative of the Peoples best interest, their best interest being the protection and preservation of their enumerated rights as guarenteed by the Constitution.
1167. Natalie Dmitry, los alamos, NM, 87544
The only service I receive from my ISP is a connection to the internet - no email, no cloud storage, etc. It is only a pipe to the internet. Which, in the case

of my town, site of Los Alamos National Laboratory, is ONE choice, Comcast. Only having one option for broadband internet access is NO choice at all. And, the price is almost double what I could get, with faster speeds, if I lived in another area. We do not have a "choice" to switch providers. The FCC needs to have oversight over ISP's, to prevent things like stealing our personal data or selling our data, price gouging, and fair pricing.

1168. Tyler Lutz, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I am in favor of Net neutrality remaining, due to the fact it takes away freedom to do whatever I want on the internet without my ISP influencing what I want to do or what I am allowed to see.

1169. Tyke Schoser, Flora Vista, NM, 87415

It is absolutely necessary for the internet to remain title II. ISPs will take advantage of consumers and providers of services on the internet alike. "Restoring Internet Freedom" is nothing more than a farce designed to give more power to the ISPs.

1170. Kyle, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

We need net neutrality Kyle

1171. Barry W Grubs, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Do what is right, MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!

1172. Cole Johnson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I feel like giving the small businesses a footing against huge companies is very beneficial to consumers and everyday people.

1173. Lucas Giron, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Just like America, the internet is a great place because of the freedom of expression that can take place. I'm concerned that this freedom will be taken away from us by ending net neutrality. In doing so, the open discourse of ideas will give way to a system in which those that pay the most will have their perspective more easily accessible, propagating their ideas more freely, and ending the true freedom of speech that makes America great. I urge you to fight to keep net neutrality, as this may have far reaching repercussions for the future of our world. Thank you! Lucas Giron

1174. Cade Cannedy, El Prado, NM, 87529

Save Net Neutrality. Access to a reliable internet service that cannot be throttled by ISPs will be key to future innovation.

1175. Colette l'Amy, Farmington, NM, 87401

I strongly encourage the FCC to reject proposals to eliminate net neutrality. The

Internet up until now has been a breeding ground of ideas and the free market. It's been capitalism at it's best. By destroying that, startups and small businesses will suffer while corporate giants gain control over what consumers see. I implore you to reconsider these motions.

1176. daniel burval, santa cruz, NM, 87567
i'm writing to voice my concerns regarding the threat from current providers and certain members of the FCC towards net neutrality and my free and open access to the internet. i demand care legislation protecting my access to an open internet as well as competition online.
1177. Ryan Howell, Aztec, NM, 87410
Net neutrality is a standard. Especially in today's day and age. Enforcing regulations on the internet is not only counter intuitive to its intention. But is also blatantly propagated through lobbyist interests and connections through the fcc, never more has something been so apparent. Corporate interests dictate the expunging of net neutrality solely for profit and control and this is simply un-american, a-moral, and greedy.
1178. Aaron Pope, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Don't be stupid, stupid. We need a free and open internet.
1179. Kenneth Collenborne, Farmington, NM, 87401
Keep it fair, this country should give every one a fair shake, not an advantage to the ones with the money to pay.
1180. Gabriel Sandoval, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The internet has become a larger part of our global social consciousness, for better or worse; ubiquitous in its adoption. Though perhaps unprecedented, it has become an integral part of society and modern living for many cultures. In addition to this, it has made itself a platform for modern convenience - shopping, medical advice, all kinds of services and forms of information at our fingertips. It is because of this, that it can not be shackled to consumerist bias. Net neutrality has become the equivalent of equal opportunity, an even playing ground. To threaten to take away the power from the larger, general community that has become the internet to browse as they please, without fear of pay walls, censorship, or saturation of manicured content, is grossly inhumane. In the strictest sense, this would be in direct opposition to humanity. Restricting what in many ways has become one of our most centralized cultural experiences puts our right to be a person in acute detention, on par with withholding medical access or education. Its breadth has stretched beyond the hands of only a few, and to try and place it back into such, I believe, would be a grave mistake.
1181. Ralph Burgess, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Chairman Pai's proposal to weaken "net neutrality" regulations will be a disaster

for the economy and for the American people. Regulation is NOT antithetical to free market principles. In fact, a free market can only operate when there is appropriate regulation to ensure fair competition. The barrier to entry for new ISPs is so high that even Google Fiber has faltered. My ISP is effectively a monopoly utility, and must be regulated as such. Given the massive infrastructure commitment, it is wishful thinking to expect that multiple ISPs will spring up to offer consumers what they want. Unregulated ISPs will do nothing but act in their own economic self-interest, and in unregulated monopolies the consumer gets screwed. Even for the few Americans who have a choice of providers, if the ISPs are allowed to discriminate between content providers, the choice will become complex and opaque for the consumer. NO CONSUMER wants a situation where choosing an ISP becomes similar to the ridiculous process of choosing a cable package, with the ISPs vaguely offering high speeds for some websites and slow speeds for others at their discretion. ISPs should differentiate themselves through the speed and reliability of access that they provide to ALL content. At present, there is a level playing field for content provision on the internet, BECAUSE OF net neutrality. All providers have equal access to the infrastructure for delivery to the consumer. The consumer chooses the content that they want to download. This facilitates a vibrant and free market for content. It seems obvious to every American other than Chairman Pai that we cannot trust the oligopoly of Comcast, Verizon and AT&T to do anything but act in their own economic self interest - and they all have an obvious conflict of interest, since they are all in the business of providing content as well as delivering it. Does Chairman Pai seriously believe that these companies will not seek to throttle competing services, unfairly privileging their own content services and taking the choice out of the hands of the consumer? I urge the FCC to reconsider Chairman Pai's proposals, and to maintain strong net neutrality legislation.

1182. Gaylyn Meyers, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I support net neutrality to keep internet equal for all.

1183. Kaitlyn Grubbs, Portales, NM, 88130

Net Neutrality is important. It prevents large corporations and the government from dictating the good and services people can use via the internet. Without it, you will have companies controlling what people can do and see on the internet, filtering things that may shine them in an unflattering light. We need a free and open internet.

1184. Ryan McClain, Farmington, NM, 87401

Keeping Net Neutrality is vital for the continued development of the American Infrastructure. It's 2017 and 'The Internet' is relied on more every day by The People of the United States and their daily lives. If we let the Corporate America win by repealing Net Neutrality we essentially hand over any freedom

we've still had intact to allow them to do as they wish. Most ISP's are already initiating 'Data Caps' and do Throttle bandwidth. and repealing Net Neutrality will allow them to do so with no regard and us, the consumers pay more for access to specific features that we already have to this day.

1185. Christine Eisenberg, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

Net Neutrality allows capitalism and free enterprise to prosper as it allows innovation and American ingenuity to take root. I expect every member of Congress to uphold our ideals and allow for continued American innovation and free speech. Christine Eisenberg

1186. Rachel Winston, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Please preserve Net Neutrality so that all Americans have access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

1187. Angela Villa, Alcalde, NM, 87511

I hope his finds you in good health and with free speech as your top priority. As well you know, many practice their 1st amendment right via the Internet. They use this resource as they use other resources that are in the public domain: to learn, express themselves, share, stay in touch with others worldwide. Everyone does this, including the homeless. I have seen many in public libraries throughout this nation. Net neutrality is part of our democracy. In a time when life moves quickly and we have less of it to work, learn and gather, net neutrality is key. For this reason and others, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. You know doubt know by now that censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. Don't condone their intentions. You know already that if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American

economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you. In solidarity and interdependence, Angela Villa Angela Villa

1188. Christopher Rose, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Internet Service Providers, like most big businesses, are primarily concerned with what will benefit their bottom-line. To think that they will willingly do right by their customers at the expense of increasing their profit is a laughable fable. Taking away individuals' free and equal access to internet content and putting it in the hands of the ISPs is a repugnant and reprehensible misuse of the FCC's power. Therefore, I strongly believe that ISPs should be regulated under Title II.
1189. Gregory Freeland, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality needs to exist to prevent a gross imbalance of power. The FCC needs to stop treating citizens like money bags, and do the right thing - protecting our privacy, and our freedom. Also, the FCC has presented zero evidence to show that removing net neutrality would have ANY positive impact. By removing net neutrality, you are allowing ISP's the ability to violate U.S. Citizen's rights, and the ability to allow businesses to monopolize without proper legal review or any consequences.
1190. Gregory Klos, Corrales, NM, 87048
Net neutrality is important to keep information equally accessible to everyone. Otherwise, those in control of the internet will have the ability to limit access to information, knowledge and technology. This creates a situation where ability to access or not to access can be bought,
1191. Gerardo Pineda, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
FCC stop sucking corporate dick and stop them from doing what they want with the internet.
1192. John Utsey, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please do not repeal net neutrality. As ISP customers we already pay for the fast lane. As ISP customers, we rarely get what we pay for. There is no innovation because broad band ISP's rarely compete, leaving us with cable internet or nothing. No one stands up to stop giant mergers, so we have monopolies

controlling the access to the internet, and this is them flexing their muscle to screw over their customers. We don't need a fast lane, we need them to do what they are *already* paid to do, deliver our packets from anywhere, at the speed they promised when we signed our contracts.

1193. Margot Guerrero, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Etsy Shop Etsy.com shop earthmineralarts To Whom It May Concern, what net neutrality is in existence? I am cyber stalked and harassed all the time. Many people have no idea how horrible it is to suffer such harassment. Absolutely do what ever it takes to stop this criminal activity! Margot Guerrero Margot Guerrero
1194. Eric Begnaud, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The world needs the Internet. If some companies can control who gets to access it, the world as a whole is going to suffer.
1195. Rich Brandel, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Retain Title !!
1196. Evan Solms, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The Internet is NOT a thing you can just capitalize on. It's a free, public access tool for communication, relaxation, recreation, and for a lot of people it's how they make a living. Not just on YouTube or twitch, but on other sites like eBay and Etsy.
1197. Danny S Mullins, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
The internet only works when all contributors have the exact same chance of being seen. To try to make it any other way is ridiculous and does not serve the American public!
1198. Weston, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
ISP's should not be able to throttle your connection or block you from accessing websites for an extra price
1199. Dez Dimas, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I want to have the best internet that I have. I do not want to be limited!
1200. Net Neutrality, Clovis, NM, 88101
Why does this issue keep coming up? Keep the internet free, open, and unregulated forever. Stop bringing this issue up.
1201. Ryne Parramore, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
As a consumer who largely works, socializes, and spends leisure time on the internet, net neutrality is incredibly important to me. The freedom to go to any website and know that the time it takes to load the page, stream a video, or

download anything is not contingent upon some perverse bidding war is crucial. The internet has fundamentally changed the way our world works, and to attempt to sell it off to the highest bidder would be nothing if not catastrophically damaging. I urge you to consider the millions of small startups, those whose lives depends upon a web page and the unquestionable ability for their goods and services to be seen by anyone with the correct web address. I urge you to remember that monopolies are fundamentally bad for an economy, and to ponder what will happen to any service not owned by multi-billion dollar corporations when they have to pay for fast lane access to whatever ISP asks for it. Please, keep the internet open, and allow it to thrive unabated.

1202. Zackariah Mondragon, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The internet belongs to the people that use it, not the companies that want to monopolize it.

1203. Rowan Dwyer, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I want the internet to be a fair place for everyone, and I want to avoid at all costs the monopolization of internet connection itself.

1204. Robert, Farmington, NM, 87401

The internet needs to stay free and open without prioritizing websites to highest of bidders.

1205. Allen c. turner, santa fe, NM, 87507

Funded by the public taxpayers, the internet belongs to the public, not the profit-takers

1206. Britt Banaszynski, Medanales, NM, 87548

The internet is a public arena. And just like a public street, consumers have the right to go into any shop they choose and spend however much they choose without organizations curating our consumption for their own profit.

1207. Shawn McCool, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Please do not remove Net Neutrality rules. The internet has played a very important role in my life. If it wasn't for the internet and its online services I would have not graduated high school on due to online programs. I would have never met the love of my life who lives in a different country. Removing Net Neutrality could make my life more difficult since many online services help me get through college and help me stay in contact with my girlfriend who is a tremendous emotional support for me. Please do not let cable entities have the power to throttle or block the websites. Having the services the internet provides has improved my life and without it I wouldn't be as successful in my everyday life. Please maintain Net Neutrality rules.

1208. Ethan Lake, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net Neutrality isn't important just for our own privacy, it's also important for:1: Properly informing yourself on how to vote. With Net Neutrality gone, ISP's could, in their newly-gained power, deny certain demographics from accessing information THEY deem unworthy, therefore skewing their vote in the ISP's favor. A lot of information is gained, and stored, on the internet. And its easily-searched indexes allow most of the population to gain better and more informed decisions and opinions on the politics occurring in Washington. Without Net Neutrality - we're back in the 1700s where everything has to be through word of mouth because nothing on the internet could be taken to be somewhat or entirely truthful, or untainted information. ISP companies could use this information to skew deciding votes in the population. That's just too much power to give to them.2: For many individuals, the internet is how we communicate between one another. It's how we talk with friends, and how we break out of our shells. The real world - the outside world - for many, is a very scary and intimidating place. Removing net neutrality makes the internet all that more inaccessible to those who don't want the relentless barage of ISP shoving 'you can't access this website - it's not a part of your plan.'3: Without net neutrality - The internet becomes a TV service. People will have to pay for what websites they can access - if that website is even able to pay for the ludicrous fees the ISP's will demand.4: The internet is a beautiful place with wonderful communities that will not be able to survive or be half as prosperous as they are currently with net neutrality gone. And so many innumerable reasons. Education, science, math... With Net Neutrality gone - it's forcing us to REGRESS back in technological terms just because a company wants to make more money. It's ludicrous.

1209. Joshua Mckenney, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

An open internet allows the world to see what is really happening, not rely on what they are told by public media. People can communicate no matter what country they're in. That is just for most sites, of course blocking illegal content is fully justifiable.

1210. Joseph, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

Do not allow.

1211. Amanda, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I strongly care about the internet and the open online. It is incredibly unfair to allow such companies to ask for more money from viewers/broadcasters who are allowing millions of people across the world unite together. This will cause more harm than good!

1212. Aidan White, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I live in Santa Fe, New Mexico and although we are the capital city, in many ways, we are still a rural place. Most areas of my city are only served by a

SINGLE internet provider! Some areas are served by two but this is pretty rare. Building infrastructure for internet access is costly, naturally limiting competition in the ISP market. In situations like this, it is the responsibility of our elected officials to step in and curb the natural tendencies of these corporations to maximize profits while eroding the equal access and free speech that makes the internet such a powerful tool for communication, economic growth and opportunity. I remember getting online for the first time when I was 12 years old. I would hate to see all the promise and possibility of this amazing technology squandered in my lifetime by a government that isn't able to put people before corporations. Thank you for your time and please protect Net Neutrality.

1213. Miracle, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I am against this implied change, the people/companies that suggested it, and anyone that would even consider it.

1214. Christopher Solms, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I do NOT support this. What do we, the paying customers, get out of this change? Nothing, as far as I can tell. We only stand to lose if this goes through. Small and local businesses might have their websites starved of traffic, because a bigger business convinced one or more ISPs to block access. What if a certain ISP prefers google over yahoo? I am not going to go through the hassle of switching email services, just because someone wants it that way.

1215. Kaitlin Eversole, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I am an undergraduate student studying bioinformatics. This is an entirely new field of work and I often have little to no references in person or print for help; I rely solely on the free and open internet, both to do research and publish my work. Computer science requires free flowing information; we exchange what has worked and what hasn't to, as a free people, create a better product. Concerning the argument that we have multiple choices for ISP's, I would like to demonstrate its faults. I live in an apartment complex that is set up and connected with Comcast. From the moment I signed the lease, I had one choice for reliable service. In order to have decently fast connection for my work, I must choose Comcast or consider moving. Although other companies exist, those of us who do not live in major metropolitan cities have no choice other than what is already established and expected. Without oversight of Comcast and other ISP's, they could change where they provide and how much it costs in an instant, completely altering my career and capabilities.

1216. Brian Sackett, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I am for net neutrality and hope that the FCC will keep the net neutral.

1217. Christopher Nesbit, Cannon AFB Clovis, NM, 88101

I am writing to express my sincere concern for proceeding 17-108. The freedom to browse the internet freely, unfiltered, and without priority is what keeps me sane in a town with very little to do. I am in support of net neutrality.

1218. Stanley Eichelberger, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Net neutrality is essential to a free and democratic modern society—please don't put it in any danger.

1219. Melody Hett, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

The internet should stay the way it is!

1220. William R. Magrogan, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

To those it may concern, Let me begin with stating that freedom of access to the World Wide Web is exceedingly important to me. Allowing individuals to bias access to the open internet is tantamount to eliminating individual freedoms. The internet has allowed me to learn skills ranging from playing the guitar to fire spinning and has acted as a valuable source of knowledge, educational supplementation, and an incredibly diverse source of ideas and discussion . I believe that the notion of unequal or discriminating access will be fully destructive to my ability to do any of those. Internet service providers (ISPs) act as a window into the internet, and that is all that they should ever be. Choice of ISP is extremely limited (one, two if you are very lucky) in any given area. So the idea that ISP selection is a choice is completely fictitious. ISPs should not be allowed to bias content with the expectation that if users don't like it, they can simply select another ISP, because it is most often the case that you can not. Please, uphold Net Neutrality. It means a great deal to me. My life, and the lives of many others, will be worse of without Net Neutrality. Thank you for your consideration.

1221. Mickey Gentry, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net Neutrality is a principle that needs to be protected. The internet should be open and free of business practices such as throttling and favoring of certain sites and apps. Internet service providers controlling the way consumers use the internet is fundamentally unfair.

1222. Lizabeth Johnson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Please keep the internet open. An open internet is vital to our democracy because it allows people of all income levels access to the same information online. Equal access to that information will lead to a more equal society and better informed citizens.

1223. Beau Henry, Clovis, NM, 88101

Please save net neutrality as a matter of the last bastion of the american dream where a small startup company with a great idea can get their products and ideas out to the consumers without any undue bias. Thank you.

1224. RICHARD BARKER, Farmington, NM, 87401
Net neutrality is extremely important for freedom of speech and expression, the internet is the last "free" place in existence, censorship, control and otherwise manipulating the environment is not only morally bankrupt, but possibly criminal in nature, disgusting.RICHARD BARKER
1225. Sven Halvorson, Santa fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is essential to my work. Without easy, fast access to the web, I cannot do my job serving the children of Santa Fe. Ending net neutrality will be both bad for our economy and our democracy.
1226. Melanie Zeir, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I believe we need to keep the internet open to all people and neutral. People shouldn't be governed by business interests they should have the freedom to choose what to engage with.thank you,Melanie
1227. NetNeutrality, Corrales, NM, 87048
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET. Discord. Think of the Discord servers
1228. thomas mcmurtrie, rio rancho, NM, 87144
I believe it is the right of every citizen to enjoy their freedoms. With the freedom of enterprise and Information being the most Valuable. As a soldier I was willing to lay down my life so all that came after me could enjoy these freedoms NOT so the very government I fought for could capitalize on it. Our freedoms are not for sale and they are defiantly Non-negotiable.
1229. Brenainn McMurtrie, rio rancho, NM, 87144
I believe that everyone has the right to be able access any information or any site that they want. I feel that by limiting this ability, you are limiting the peoples freedoms. For example the freedom of speech. By limiting access you will possibly be limiting peoples speech, a right given in the declaration. And due to this, it is not right for you to limit access to anything on the web.
1230. chris chappell, rio rancho, NM, 87144
leave the internet open.
1231. Thomas C Martinez, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I pay for internet with my minimum wage job. How is it fair to for someone to tell me what i should do less of on the internet based on what i do the most?
1232. Matthew Blakeslee, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Protect and preserve net neutrality!The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and

"slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Matthew Blakeslee

1233. Krista A Gleiforst, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Net Neutrality seems like common sense to have. As someone from the generation of technology and the internet, the fact that this is even in question seems like blasphemy. While other mediums are embracing startups and independent titles (indie video games such as Five Nights at Freddy's and Undertale), the "big men" in ISP want more money in their pockets and a brainwashed society that fits nicely in a square.

1234. Benjamin Ballance, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Has anyone deciding the matter ever tried to get or offer a job without the Internet? If you need it to get a job it should not be considered a service, it should be a utility.

1235. Richard Voltin, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I support net neutrality and am opposed to current efforts to dismantle it.

1236. Cornel Belongie, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557

I live in a fairly rural area and net neutrality has ensured that I will be able to have fast, reliable internet access. With only two ISP options in my direct area,

if net neutrality is abolished and my ISP were to do something I didn't like, such as prioritize websites or even block websites; were would I have to turn? I don't buy into the internet so it can be wholly monitored and controlled by massive corporations, all I want is a fast, reliable internet connection and the ability to access the entirety of the internet. This could easily be taken away, and that prospect is horrifying. This is "the land of the free, and the home of the brave", not "the land of the corporations, and home of corruption". By removing net neutrality, one aspect of our freedom is also being removed.

1237. Rohit, Santa Fe, NM, 94087

Do we want future generations to look back in history and only see the rights and freedoms we have as Americans go away? Or do we want future generations to know we protected them? Save net neutrality and preserve American freedom.

1238. Kaylee A Dunnigan, rio rancho, NM, 87124

The internet should not be controlled by a few to determine costs and access to certain websites. Net neutrality is a must to have equal access to information.

1239. Brian Ortiz, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I work with technology for a living, and I understand the way things like internet connections and ISPs work. I also happen to live in an area where what limited coverage we can attain is clouded by things like lack of channels and physical lines. Companies like Time Warner and Comcast--oh, wait literally just those two corporations already have so much say in how much speed certain areas get. There is amassing evidence that things like profit margins and peak drive times don't affect as much as Comcast says they do. This is the kind of thing the FCC should be looking into. We should be reassessing the rights ISPs already have, not piling more on. So much revenue is made over the internet alone. Why allow convoluted near-monopolies to overcomplicate and overburden our already plunging access quality? None of this makes any sense. You would be stripping many, many "precious" corporations of their market values in order to benefit two of them? Mind you, Time Warner and Comcast do not need any help. Stop trying to reintroduce this disaster into the system. Public outcry the first time should've been a plain warning. The issues cited then have only gotten worse.

1240. ASHISH GOYAL, LOS ALAMOS, NM, 87544

Net neutrality should be maintained as the internet has become a basic necessity like food and water to us all.

1241. Sean Lucas , Abiquiu, NM, 87510

I cannot stress enough how this will hurt so many independent american taxpayers. Please uphold existing net neutrality rules. Thank you all!Sean Lucas

1242. Marcia Kellam, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Keep out of our internet! Net neutrality is vital to our internet freedom.
1243. kenny, farmington, NM, 87402
thats gay! if you do this, legit a revolt will happen along side hackings
1244. Kate Monahan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The internet has been, and will always be about the free flow of ideas from all around the world. That should never be put into jeopardy.
1245. Andrew Evans Whitaker, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
As always, the big Telecom companies are trying to remove regulations that force them to provide decent service. Further note that, with the Web being the primary source of information for many young people, the ability of telecom companies to directly or indirectly control information flow translates to political power. If antitrust laws were enforced properly, this wouldn't be an issue; customers could just chose a different ISP. However, with the big telecom companies like Comcast and Time Warner Cable having explicit noncompetition agreements, this fits the definition of a Cartel perfectly, and violates all number of antitrust regulations. (Note the service map found here: <http://mashable.com/2014/02/13/comcast-time-warner-cable-coverage-map/#4I1L4e0BgEql>) If we're going to have a society based on the Free Market, enforce regulations to make the market free. On the other hand, if we intend to have a planned economy, then net neutrality regulations become critical in the oversight of such things. To those it may concern, please enforce Net Neutrality. Continue to maintain strict regulations in accordance with those principles, or else finally enforce the antitrust laws which are designed to prevent the lack of consumer choice which the current telecom giants are enforcing.
1246. Net Neutrality, Clovis, NM, 88101
I believe it is imperative for all of us that we uphold net neutrality. We all need net neutrality.
1247. Casey Trujillo, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
A company should not be able to pick winners or losers on the internet. Net neutrality should not be eliminated out of greed and misinformation. Chairman Ajit Pai's reason, "restoring internet freedom," is not only a complete lie but absolutely against what the ISPs, that support him, promise their own consumers. The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality at all costs.
1248. Roslyn Weiss, Chamisal, NM, 87521
It is with great concern that I contact your organization today. Keeping the internet a free and democratic space is crucial for the health and prosperity of our country. I urge you to protect net neutrality. Thank you.

1249. Conor Hinchee, Clovis, NM, 88101
Title 2 and Net Neutrality should be a priority for the FCC and the American Public.
1250. A Brown, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY* A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations.* A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition.* A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices.* A free and open internet promotes innovation.* A free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas.* A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship.* A free and open internet protects freedom of speech. Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight control over how we access websites and services. Please do your part to keep the internet a cornerstone of freedom and opportunity.
1251. Nicole, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net neutrality is a basic principle of freedom on the internet. It is not something to be rolled back, but rather something to be defended and ensured. Should the FCC truly wish to represent this interest of freedom, openness, trust and integrity to the people it serves, you will fight to maintain an open internet not disrupt and destroy it. Do the right thing.
1252. Abhai Raj Singh, Espanola, NM, 87532
Please keep the internet free and accessible as possible. No bias. No favoritism. No ability to tilt the table. Simple, free, easy access for all. The great leveler of our age. Thank you.
1253. RICHARD M OLVER, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
This is absolutely disgusting, disrupting businesses, damaging freedoms and WILL completely destroy the internet. On a personal level it will cost me thousands, potentially 10's of thousands per year. My wife works from our home office. I am personally always connected to the internet as my family's future depends on it. I cannot accept that any IP can control what or how I view material or charge a premium for websites as they choose fit. What is stopping those premiums from affecting vital communications to business servers. This would be the first step in a huge downwards spiral.
1254. Samuel L Wang, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Attempting to abolish net neutrality is a terrible idea.
1255. Ian MacMillan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am in favor of net neutrality and I hope you don't expect us to believe that companies like Comcast will preserve the democratic, egalitarian nature of the internet without it.

1256. Rodney Pryor, Santa fe, NM, 87505
FCC, as an American citizen I urge you to leave net neutrality as it is. Do not sell out to the highest bidder the future of our country.
1257. Joshua Powell, Farmington, NM, 87401
Net neutrality is very important to me. I do not want my ISP to limit speed or access to any internet material.
1258. Randy Freeman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please keep the consumer protections in Title II and do not let Internet Service Providers determine the speed at which content from various internet sources is prioritized.
1259. Lee Allers, rio rancho, NM, 87124
You know as well as I do that repealing Net Neutrality is a bad thing. Do the right thing.
1260. Sean P. Walsh, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Keep the existing Title II net neutrality rules! Keeping the internet free of censorship is vital to our economy and provides small companies a platform with which to compete equally.
1261. Nash Taylor, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
Net Neutrality allows great Internet services to be available for a low cost or free. Ending Net Neutrality would make small, intuitive start-ups impossible on the open web.
1262. Charles J. Medendorp, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality MUST be maintained - the people must not be sold out to the highest bidders!
1263. Vlad Blanton, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Dear FCC, Please keep the internet protected and not regulated by a minority of interests. The entire online ecosystem benefits when consumers and users can access to the entire internet, at the same frequency and ability and capacity, regardless of how they connect or anything. To block and / or prioritize is to end innovation and lock the internet into behavioral patterns and growing power monopolies. The free economy of the internet benefits everyone who uses it by being a place of innovation and perfect sharing potential. To prioritize is to end the vitality of the internet and to promote the accumulation of power over the needs of the actual users of the internet and the future and vitality of the internet. Thank you
1264. nickolas roy, portales, NM, 88130
FUCK OFFFFF

1265. Patrick OBrien, Taos, NM, 87571
Do NOT slow the internet down and open it up for corporate monopoly. Let it be a free and open forum for all, idiots and geniuses alike.
1266. Gerald E Reisz, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I believe the net neutrality rules should remain. Perhaps the rules could be improved. Any ISP is providing a utility. They should not be playing favorites with what data is transmitted via the utility. The ISPs say net neutrality requires more work from them. Based on my technical background I would say the opposite is true.
1267. Mika Tapp, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
The internet should stay a free market.
1268. Aidan, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet is one of the last truly free places in the world anymore. In my opinion, it's the greatest example of free speech and non-segregation that is around today, where anyone can do, say, and write whatever they want. Taking that away from us is truly evil. Making the internet into a corporation with paid promotion, and everything else you are trying to do, has actually been shown in the book 'Ready Player One' by Ernest Cline. I suggest you read it, as the 'IOI' in that book is trying to do what you are doing. Please, don't do this, listen to what we, the internet's users want.
1269. Rhonda L. Lopez, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
The American people, regardless of political party or socioeconomic status, want OUR Internet, unencumbered. It makes no sense, except to the big corporations who stand to profit a lot from the regular citizens' hard-earned money, to let go of net neutrality. The People say, "We want net neutrality!"
1270. Jessica Goerling, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net Neutrality is vital for the continued existence of a fair and open internet, especially for small businesses and people who cannot afford things like tiered internet packages.
1271. Sean Fox, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net neutrality needs to be kept in place. The internet is a place of free speech and many varying ideas. Allowing ISPs to have the ability to limit what is available to be seen goes against the first amendment.
1272. Mike Hindman, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Why ruin the internet ? a few companies will make more money, and the world will suffer
1273. Benjamin, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I believe net neutrality is important for the freedom of information and speech.

1274. Jay Jimenez, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

In short, net neutrality and the freedoms that come with it are essential to the growth and investments of the future. By killing net neutrality, you are killing a free market and stunting the potential economic growth to come. Please, do NOT abolish net neutrality. Keep the internet a free and open source of information.

1275. Sophia Click, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

It is absolutely wrong for ISPs to manipulate the information delivered to the consumer based on content. The privatization of information can only lead to the desecration of the right to communicate freely.

1276. Will Harris, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The internet, and the United States, need a free and open internet. I do not support this legislation.

1277. Christopher Jacobsson, Clovis, NM, 88101

The internet has been the one place where freedom remains free. Allowing loopholes for companies like Comcast and at&t to funnel internet and ISP usage is a violation of our rights to a free and neutral internet. I don't understand why this keeps being brought up every few years. Keep the internet out of the hands of special interest groups.

1278. Peter Roche, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

I believe that it is in the public interest that the internet should continue to have Title II status, and that the internet providers cannot and should not be trusted to "self-regulate".

1279. Michael Gold, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I pay my ISP for a contracted level of internet service and I expect to receive that level of service for all internet sites that I elect to visit. No one should be able to choose for me which sites are more or less worthy of being viewed any more than should the telephone company be able to tell me which telephone numbers I may call or my library decide for me which books I may read. Please keep the internet neutral!

1280. Delyn DeHoyos, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Open forum discussion allows for ideas to be proliferated. Great ideas, products, solutions, articles and feedback can come from any source - high or low. Equally so, terrible ideas can come from any source. When we limit or knowingly handicap our sources, we run the risk of pandemic social and market manipulation. Initially this brings in a lot of money. The long term consequences of limiting opportunities are akin to mental atrophy of a

generation. Please keep our options open. Every option deserves to be heard. Let the majority of individuals not dollars decide what the internet has to offer.

1281. Padma Mullin, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
It's wrong to take away people's internet rights. I don't need to say much more than that as I'm sure there are thousands upon thousands of other like minded people who have already expressed any of feelings/opinions about this I could make.
1282. Giorgi ukleba, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
God bless Internet.
1283. Judah Armstrong, Taos, NM, 87571
Internet neutrality is something that is going to be really important for the coming generations. The Internet is about having almost any information or opportunity that is at the click of a button. If companies are aloud to pick and choose what is legitimate or important on the internet, then there will be nothing from stopping an entire group of people from being misinformed about any situation. This will allow the bias and opinions of the few to effect the lives of thousands. I oppose
1284. Isabella Villasboas , Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Isabella Villasboas
1285. Taner Tibljas, Angel Fire, NM, 87710
I am a recent high school graduate in a town of about 1,000 people. We are very rural, so we lack many resources that fill larger population centers, the internet provides us with a way to make our lives a little bit easier. It allows my community to function and flourish, including my father who owns his own electrical company and often has to search various sources on the internet to purchase materials necessary for his business. If we see net neutrality come to an end my father's business and my family will suffer, along with the community that I grew up in.
1286. Jake Kalkman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The internet is not to be owned, nor is it to be sold off by those who see fit. Just continue to allow the internet to be just what it is. A place of neutrality. Where business' don't control your content.
1287. naomi chan, Gallup, NM, 87301
This is important guys...the internet needs to remain a national resource, that all can benefit from, like water, air, its AIR FOR THE MIND
1288. Kelly Wermes, Bloomfield, NM, 87413
Keeping are Internet Service Providers a Title II is so important for protecting

are rights. If we were to allow this appeal to go through it puts all small and large businesses in the hands of Internet Service Providers. I would like to think they would continue to provide even rights to all sites weather they have a small are large wallet, but that's not are risk to my freedoms I'm willing to take. Its are jobs to protect are self and the freedoms this country stands for.

1289. Leslie Larsen, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Please restore net neutrality and protect this neutrality forever. Do not privatize the internet. Thank you.

1290. Jonny Torres, Clovis, NM, 88101

I don't see how Net Neutrality benefits me as a user of the internet. I don't think it would even benefit the service providers who would be left with nothing but a slew of angry complaints from people who have their favorite sites or apps blocked simply because they don't like a person using the competition's or one that isn't theirs/that they don't agree with which the rolling back of Net Neutrality would allow them to do.

1291. Paul Graham, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

If the goal of the rule change is to encourage more competition among Internet service providers (ISPs), I do not believe that this will improve the competition. Having discussed this with a local provider (as opposed to a national provider) recently, the biggest problems are the many hurdles in building out infrastructure (pole access issues, bureaucracy, buried cable access issues). For now, the provider is providing line-of-sight wireless access to their service from a single point in town, but that only scales so far and cannot cover all of the homes in town. They made an effort to grow via wired infrastructure, but were thwarted by the many fees and unreasonable expectations for using the resources either directly or indirectly supported by the community and potentially owned by their competition, who has no incentive to provide the access. There cannot be competition unless there is more access to customers at some reasonable cost using some reasonable process. As for the argument that common carrier status is bad for the Internet service provider industry, I suspect that it probably affects how lucrative it is being an ISP, especially, for the national carriers. With that said, I also believe that it is short-sighted and potentially detrimental to the larger internet ecosystem. Considering that the Internet itself is really the aggregation of many data services across the world, the ability for internet service providers to charge for access to the traffic ****to**** their customers seems problematic, whether it is to prioritize traffic or to have access at all to its customers. Small internet company startups would have an additional burden to compete with established large data service provides (for example, Netflix), who would have the money to have the data to their end customers be prioritized over other data. While I am watching the local Internet provider mentioned above with some hope, I currently use the one and only

cable provider in the county for internet access since they have provided the best internet access due to their dedicated infrastructure. I don't subscribe to any of the cable company's other services. Further, I am not interested in a modern-day, curated data service from the provider similar to the America On Line (AOL) of yesteryear, but I am interested in what lies beyond them on the greater Internet. I don't mind them providing data services, but they need to compete with the rest of the Internet and not have an unfair advantage because they have a monopoly over the wired infrastructure itself that I have little choice but to use. In looking into the background of these issues, it is clear that new laws need to be enacted that better address the realities of the Internet today and in the near future. Until then, though, I prefer to have ISPs treated as common carriers with some caveats than have them classified as data services with other caveats since it more directly addresses competition for data service providers across the internet. We still need to address the competition among ISPs, but the proposed change in rules does not really address that. Further, it seems like the changes in classification really only help the biggest ISP players in the industry who have the local monopolies and helps smaller ISPs very little. Thanks for your time, Paul Graham

1292. Tavi Zeir, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is crucial to the openness and opportunity provided by the internet.

1293. Ben Nelson, los alamos, NM, 87544

I had a hard enough time getting quality when internet wasn't under title 2. I even have a hard time getting quality internet now but it has gotten better. I urge you not to get rid of net neutrality for the sake of humanity.

1294. Andrew Erickson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality is very important to me. I hope when Congress makes the decision they will decide how it will affect them and their children. Do you really want slow internet? Have to pay extra money to visit specific sites? No! We already pay for the internet and to this greedy companies I say, "Enough Is Enough!" So please consider the above and the impact it will have on the United States Of America. I'm only 13 and writing this. That's how important it is to everyone.

1295. Arik Bradley, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

We just cannot trust ISPs to remain fair to their clients. In a way, its a form of collusion. If all ISPs start abusing the ability to throttle people, it will just raise prices from either our internet provider, or from the business affected (i.e netflix, hulu, google). Its not fair from a consumer standpoint. The change in ruling only benefits ISPs.

1296. Melinda Grogan, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
I absolutely oppose this, another attempt, to do an end run around our right to an affordable and open internet. This is a corporate coup for profits and control. YOU ARE WORKING AGAINST THE PEOPLE...SHAME!!! THIS WON'T STAND!!!Melinda Grogan
1297. Gregory D. Winkler, Cannon AFB, NM, 88101
I believe we must maintain net neutrality as the internet has become a basic necessity in every day life. We don't need to increase the profit margins of companies. I don't believe we should turn the internet into a game in the eyes of internet providers. Please just leave it alone.
1298. Nathan Hey, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please enforce Net Neutrality. Keep the internet governed by the current Title 2 rules. Please hold anyone (real human or legal entity) providing internet service to the strictest neutrality standards fathomable. Please insure anyone overseeing the law has only the public's interest, not the provider's. Do not let me be throttled! Please.
1299. Irene Wachtel, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please keep internet speed equal for all web sites. No preferential treatment for anyone or group. Irene Wachtel
1300. Eric, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet has become a "place" of much more than a money grab, it now has established itself into everyday life. Our everyday lives should not be behind closed doors and made available to the highest bidder. Net Neutrality is more essential than ever in this day where the internet has become so much more than just a game to play or somewhere to screw around and waste time. The internet allows us to communicate and to educate, to monitor and research, bringing together great minds and great new ideas. Net neutrality will ensure that these and new concepts flourish. I know that many will not understand the full impact of an openly Neutral internet means.....it means we get all the good and all the dark that comes with it, but the good has outweighed the bads and will continue to do so.I believe that the Internet now needs to be vastly upgraded, prices must come down for the few Americans who still can not afford the internet and the internet service should be solid reliable and regulated such as a utility (ie. electric, water, etc).The big companies has shown no interest other than to fleece Americans on internet Speeds and availability, taking away a section 2 classification will only embolden the "New Ma Bells" to manage our life and our speeds to their numbers benefits at the consumers expense....the communications companies are doing this right now,,,,,what would hold them back from further placing this great contry further into an internet dark age??? Please do not let this happenRegardsSgt. E. V. McKay

1301. Edna Riley, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
A small business like mine needs net neutrality. In order to bring my services to my clients, they need to be able to find me! Small business is the heart of this country!!The internet needs to be considered a utility, as vital as electricity or water. The infrastructure needs to be grown to benefit ALL Americans, not just US Corporations.Thank you,Edna Riley
1302. Hayes, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
We are for Net Neutrality, period.
1303. Charles Reitz, Portales, NM, 88130
How many great things might not be around today if internet rights and privileges were always sold to the highest bidder? Stop thinking about your wallets and think about the betterment of mankind.
1304. Matthew Pisa, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please don't get rid of this policy, it would be devastating to small content creators!
1305. Louisa Efner, Lamy, NM, 87540
Net neutrality protects free speech, protects consumers, and assists small businesses.Repealing it runs the risk of hurting free speech, hurting consumers, and hurting small businesses. Arguing that you could create laws to stop people from abusing the lack of net neutrality ignores the fact that the protection was already there. There's no reason why people need to put their privacy on the line to have someone decide to protect them. The fact that someone needs to argue that says that someone isn't trying to protect the populace.
1306. Ryan Montoya, los alamos, NM, 87544
Companies should not be allowed to monopolize the internet. The internet is a utility and restricting that feels unjust to the peoples rights.
1307. Nathan Lewis, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The purpose of an internet service provider is not to regulate how the service is used, it is to provide the service they have offered. It is unreasonable that a company could attempt to prevent the use of it's service or try to withhold what is paid of unless even more money is given to them. The fact that ISP's want the ability to regulate what private individuals do is appalling. What they are asking for would allow them to prevent access to a competitor. Would the removal of net neutrality even be legal under the antitrust laws? According to section 13 of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, it is unlawful to engage in commerce such that, either directly or indirectly, discriminate price in such a way that would lessen competition or create a monopoly. ISP's having the ability to price discriminate in such a way as to control where people are even able to go and what businesses they are able to deal with, it would seem to violate this.

Additionally, that same act, on the topic of payment, says: "It shall be unlawful for any person engaged in commerce, in the course of such commerce, to pay or grant, or to receive or accept, anything of value as a commission, brokerage, or other compensation, or any allowance or discount in lieu thereof, except for services rendered in connection with the sale or purchase of goods, wares, or merchandise, either to the other party to such transaction or to an agent, representative, or other intermediary therein where such intermediary is acting in fact for or in behalf, or is subject to the direct or indirect control, of any party to such transaction other than the person by whom such compensation is so granted or paid." If an ISP can regulate a private individual's connection to a website differently because the website did not pay or if the website did pay, that is money being exchanged which was NOT in connection with the transaction between the ISP and the private customer. How can this be legal?

1308. Heather Keaty, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
All things I do on the internet rely on neutral internet.
1309. Mamie, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep net neutrality alive!!!!Mamie
1310. Zachary Dixon, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I use Mumble, a VOIP program that is just starting to find its way onto the internet. It allows me to communicate with those friends of mine who are currently at their computer via voice chat; or it gives me an option to leave a written message for them when they get online, should I be gone. This, is a fantastic program! Yet, I fear that should Net Neutrality be lost, so too will Mumble and a myriad of other programs and websites that thousands of people enjoy everyday. Please, keep the internet free, Thank you.
1311. russell winslow, santa fe, NM, 87505
I am opposed to reducing net neutrality. If any changes were made, it should be toward regulating it like a utility.
1312. Mizuki, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Just a bunch of greedy companies trying to squeeze more money out of usThe internet should be free and open to creativity and communication, not bogged down by companies who want to make it into a way for making an extra buckThere will be riots; content creators will be screwed over, the prices will skyrocket, and the next thing you know the internet will no longer be what it used to be.Go make money elsewhere, you cheapskates. And quit trying to screw us over.Mizuki
1313. Rosario Provenza, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Im a Verizon and Comcast customer. I will cancel both accounts if this goes through. I will also encourage all my friends who have those accounts to switch

to other vendors. Rosario Provenza

1314. Cory Kershaw, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I believe that net neutrality conserves the free and equal market opportunities of this relatively new media source. The actual competition that exists among services online is beneficial for both business and the consumer. The internet is a valuable resource and is only as valuable as its equal availability. Please consider what is best for both the consumer as well as for business. Thank you.
Cory Kershaw
1315. Barbie Robertson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is directly linked to our First Amendment rights
1316. Justin Wurzbach, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Keep net neutrality. Dump stool-pigeon Pai.
1317. Elijah Dodrill, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I believe that putting restrictions on the internet and doing it by how much you pay is completely unfair and will most likely on favor who can actually afford it
1318. Andre Luna, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Hello there. I don't know if anybody important enough is going to read this, and I don't know if it's going to happen in time, but I truly feel deep in my heart that net neutrality is vital to us having a free internet. Freedom is what this country is supposed to be about, that's supposed to be what we fight to protect, for people in our country and elsewhere. But here we are, spearheading a movement where we RESTRICT the internet, a movement where we take away the freedom that we have been promised. I may be an individual in a collective, but it is VITAL that we PROTECT the FREEDOM WE WERE PROMISED BACK WHEN THOMAS JEFFERSON WROTE THE INTRODUCTION TO THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE. Thank you if you took the time to read this, it's greatly appreciated. An average citizen, Andre Luna
Andre Luna
1319. Robert A. Ballance, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The FCC should continue to ensure a fair and open Internet for all by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality. Please maintain the Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of all Internet user, including those who are still struggling to become connected and skilled in the digital age. The Internet is rapidly becoming essential infrastructure, a communication medium needed by citizens to interact with health providers, educational systems, employers, commercial markets, and governments at all levels. Now is not the time to back away from Title II protections. As a pro-competition, pro-Internet technologist and former software entrepreneur, I want rules in place to ensure that services and messages are not throttled or downgraded by the ISPs far from any entrepreneurs' control. It is bad enough when foreign countries impose restrictions. Without Title II

and net neutrality rules, every ISP in America can impose its own anticompetitive rules. The FCC should act to ensure that all providers of digital services can compete in a market place where the underlying rules are fair and predictable. As a pro-competition, pro-Internet technologist and former software entrepreneur, I want rules in place to ensure that services and messages are not throttled or downgraded by the ISPs far from any entrepreneurs' control. It is bad enough when foreign countries impose restrictions. Without Title II and net neutrality rules, every ISP in America can impose its own anticompetitive rules. As a citizen and a customer, I want freedom of access across the Internet, without bucking restrictions imposed by my current provider. After all, few of us have much real choice in ISPs. Thankfully, the existing net neutrality rules mean that Internet providers can't slow or block customers' ability to see certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging online services and websites more money to reach people faster. That's exactly the right balance to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits Internet users and small businesses as well as entrenched Internet companies. Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn Internet providers into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on expression and innovation. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand the telecom giants like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the vital privacy and access safeguards we demanded and so recently won. The FCC should turn its attention instead to ensuring that 100% of Americans have high-speed unrestricted access to the Internet and the skills to use it.

1320. Susanne Pinkham, Taos, NM, 87571

An open Internet is vital to a vibrant and innovative society. Keep net neutrality and do not give this important resource to big companies to destroy.

1321. Katherine Segura, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

There are so many assaults on our freedoms these days. Let's not let net neutrality be one of the victims. Please protect net neutrality as a fundamental part of freedom of speech.

1322. ROY ADDINGTON, SANTA FE, NM, 87501

This is a utility for all. Do not allow corporate citizens to reduce access. Keep the net open.

1323. Hillary Ame , El Prado, NM, 87529

My small business would suffer because customers would not be able to find us, and the connection would be slower for me and faster for my larger, well-funded competition. Home Energy Rating System is what I do.

1324. Patricia Kirby, Corrales, NM, 87048
Small business depends on the Internet. And net neutrality is essential to a small business's ability to reach customers and compete against big corporations. If-- Big If--the FCC and the Administration are truly friends to small business, they will preserve net neutrality and protect small businesses from big corporate interests. Net neutrality is essential to free speech *and* to our economy.
1325. Chelsea Starr, Portales, NM, 88130
Consumers need more and not less protections. We also don't need censorship by corporate interests. Small businesses need net neutrality, and so do average citizens. It's not broke, don't fix it.
1326. Sharon, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Net neutrality allows consumers to choose what they watch & do online. Please keep the net neutral.
1327. Josh RodrÃfÃguez , Santa Fe , NM, 87501
Keep the internet in the hands of the people.
1328. Don Blackburn, Bloomfield, NM, 87413
Net neutrality gives everyone an equal voice. As important as the internet is in our lives, no one or no entity should have the ability to purchase enhanced speech.
1329. Ed Fields, Santa FE, NM, 87505
Having dealt with big phone and cable providers, I am well acquainted with their greed, dishonesty, and duplicity. Besides, most of my internet business is with personal contacts and small businesses and I would hate to see those relationships impaired. Also, since I am working on getting my photography out there, I figure I deserve the same opportunity as Comcast, which may be the most despised corporation in the world.
1330. Amie Ortiz, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please do not harm net neutrality. Prioritizing profit for large corporations over free speech and equal opportunity for small businesses is against the American dream.
1331. Donna Ruscavage, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Protect Net NeutralityÃ¢â€innovation and freedom are critical to maintain on the Internet. It is up to you, the FCC, to protect our right to free communication on the Internet. The big companies that are against Net Neutrality threaten our American principles and rights and should be ashamed of their greed and grasping for power.
1332. Paul Littleton, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

I do not trust private companies to do what is best for Internet users. They will profit from a non-neutral Internet and they will throttle traffic that is not in their interests. I want start-ups to be able to have equal access to the Internet as established companies.

1333. Flame Schon, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
net neutrality allows consumers not big companies to decide what to see. Big companies will always be swayed by self-interest. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, a bedrock principle of the founding of this country.
1334. Shawn L Fernandez, Ojo Sarco, NM, 87521
It is not fair that individuals get to own the road and what we get to see. It's just like charging a toll for a public road after you already pay your taxes (paying for internet service). I wouldn't like to drive my car to places that other people just want me to see. I deserve to go where I want after I work to have a car (computers, cell phones, ect.) and pay my taxes!
1335. Pamela Rigsby, Taos, NM, 87571
Big business has so many ways to limit and direct our interests already. We really need the internet to be a level playing field to protect free speech and free choice.
1336. Susan Bryan, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
For once in your lives, do the thing that supports the small guys, the people just starting out, you know, the backbone of our entire economy, and keep the net neutral!
1337. Jess Pickett, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
I have a small internet business. I will not be able to afford to pay for priority placement on the internet. I am worried that if net neutrality is not protected, I will be put out of business.
1338. Jo , Taos, NM, 87571
The net has undergone drastic changes since Trump became president. No more changes that limit freedom. In fact lets revert to pre Trump protocols.
1339. Robert Niemeier, Taos, NM, 87571
I favor Net neutrality, Otherwise the big cable companies will end up with even more of a monopoly than they now enjoy. Protect free speech and small business. Vote for Net Neutrality.
1340. Kat, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Net neutrality allows us freedom of speech. Handing it over to large companies puts that at risk. They can then force us to pay for that freedom.

1341. Guillermo Terrones, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
To have a truly free society Net Neutrality is paramount.
1342. Sherwood Ensey, Chimayo, NM, 87522
A future with more Fascism is not what America & the World needs or wants.
1343. Dawn Perry, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
As a former librarian I remember being thrilled at the amount of information the internet made available--information is power and free speech is incredibly powerful. I am appalled that you are even entertaining the idea of giving this power to a handful of corporations. It feels as though we're becoming the United Corporations of America, a government for the corporations rather than the people.
1344. Rebecca Alvarez, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
As voters, many of us are watching and keeping tabs on who supports net neutrality--and who doesn't.
1345. Carolyn , El Prado, NM, 87529
Keeping the Internet free is keeping democracy alive and healthy not only in our country, but around the world. Net Neutrality is the great equalizer. Having access to the Internet without corporate meddling allows ALL of us opportunities to better ourselves.
1346. Robert Bogart, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Preserve net neutrality, it is fundamental to our freedom and the ability of entrepreneurs to start new companies and create new jobs. This is not a partisan issue, it is basic to a thriving economy for the United States.
1347. Lynne Coyle, Santa fe, NM, 87508
The internet must remain a forum for all people, not just for those who can use their wealth to push their agenda
1348. Karen Kline, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
innumerable small businesses rely on net neutrality ...
1349. Steve Barnett, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Why are we even questioning the role of net neutrality in a country that values freedom and Liberty for all...not just for corporations.
1350. Lisa Longeteig, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is the first amendment issue of our time. A country that limits information access, for any reason, especially in favor of wealth and corporations, is a country that is quickly sliding into totalitarianism.

1351. William Pollock, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I want the current system to continue and provide me with the net neutrality I prefer. Every consumer survey I have seen list Comcast as the "worst company" in the United States. My personal experience with Comcast that I am forced to engage for access supports the low view of the company. The same surveys show AT&T close to Comcast. I have no doubt that the proposed changes would be detrimental to me through increased costs, net interruption and other concerns.
1352. Irene Niemeier, Taos, NM, 87571
The internet is an important platform for communication, education and research. To give for profit cable companies control over it would be disastrous for all Americans. Please leave the internet open and free.
1353. Richard Gordon, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net neutrality is the backbone of free internet and the backbone of democracy. Allowing phone companies to constrict band width is anti democratic.
1354. Joseph Cameron, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
Neutrality is foremost the best aspect of the internet and must be maintained to avoid fascism.
1355. Sydney Davis, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The nature of open and free internet is what makes it so valuable to so many people across boundaries before unimaginable. To ensure that this continues we must make laws to keep it so. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation.
1356. Daniel R Forrest, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The attack on an open internet is an attack on all Americans.
1357. Rebecca Carrier, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet was begun in the 1960s by our government with our tax dollars. Therefore, it belongs to the people of the US, not a few profit-oriented communication companies. It also has been offered to the world and is an important global communications medium. Although companies are also free to exploit the internet, access is open to all. We need to keep it that way.
1358. a concerned citizen (who wants gov't OUT OF IT) , Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Unlike the folks that want gov't baby sitting & controlled web content and because they have not thought this through to it's logical conclusion - PLEASE KILL net neutrality. The FCC nor any other gov't agency has any business begin involved - anymore then they they should over printing presses or smoke

signals

1359. Rodney Brooks, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The net as is gives equal access for the little guy to be heard. Comcast, At&T, and Verizon have you checked their customer satisfaction ratings? Looking out for the little guy is not a priority for them.
1360. Victoria, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
Net neutrality is one of the only things that keeps the internet reputable and trustworthy.
1361. Meggan Kaiser, santa fe, NM, 87508
Please PROTECT net neutrality--your own life and the lives of your loved ones need this!
1362. William Norris, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
RE: Net NeutralityIt's not broken.Don't "fix" it.
1363. Dave Moir, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Destroying net neutrality is unconstitutional, anti-innovation, and un-American.
1364. Don Blackburn, Bloomfield, NM, 87413
The internet is a major means of communication today. One should not be able to buy the privilege of enhanced status.
1365. Debbi Aringdale, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
We all have a voice. Net neutrality honors each one without partiality. Losing that to large companies with only their bottom line in view would be devastating.
1366. John Forsdale, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I believe it is very important for the health of our country to keep the internet neutral.
1367. Andrew dorenkamp, aztec, NM, 87410
Hello I am probably one of the few IT people against net neutrality. While it all sounds good, I am against giving government regulating the internet. This is not about giving everyone equal access, it is about big brother starting to control the internet. You all think we need this net neutrality stuff. You are wrong. it will end up doing just the opposite. I am against big companies controlling internet access but it would be worse under the most bloated, controlling and inefficient organization, the US government. I dont understand why you all think the government is always the solution. in the words of President Reagan "Government is the problem, not the solution". thanks

1368. Diana Chantalle, Abiquiu, NM, 87510
The Internet is a border-less world resource for We The People. Keep the choke hold of corporate world dominance out of it. Keep its accessibility for freedom. FCC please listen to the peoples voice, this is your world too! Those who deny freedom to others deserve it not for themselves. Abraham Lincoln.
1369. Rosanne Troncoso, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Preserve net neutrality because everyone has the right to free speech, because it helps out small businesses & innovators, & because the Republican party claims it stands for these ideas, yet in practice they do not. It's time they prove they're as patriotic as the Democratic party and work for the people of the country, not big private companies like the American people have been watching them do so for the last 50yrs. We're watching Republicans and they're demonstrating that it's time to vote them out of the majority! When ALL voting times come, we're voting Democrat because the Republicans don't stand with the American people!
1370. Andrew Bouillon, Clovis, NM, 88101
The internet should be a free and open source to the public. It is one of the reasons we as a people have become so technologically advanced. By putting a censorship to the web you are slowing down the future that we all so desperately need. From job creation to the medical field we need an open internet.
1371. Robin Gibbs, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
It seems like our government is only looking out for big companies and their wealthy owners. Who is looking out for the regular people? Net neutrality is the first amendment of the internet. It is essential that the internet remain free. Please think about the little guy, not corporate interests.
1372. Gayle Montgomery, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
Please preserve net neutrality.
1373. Stephanie B, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
While I support something needs to be done about certain illegal activities, ie trafficking in children, people organizing online to hurt our country, etc, I do not believe a full throttle disregard of all rights should be implemented. This deserves a better and more serious discussion not a bill designed as a power house grab by big companies. Our officials are elected to tackle difficult issues, Which means a more thoughtful approach on solving issues. What protects us from a greedy company going too far? What protects the people from selfish interests businesses will have? This bill with the Patriot ACT becomes a very dangerous legislation.

1374. SchÃ¶n Levy, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Internet provider companies should have no right to influence what I see or do on the internet either directly or indirectly.
1375. Hannah Rappaport, El Prado, NM, 87529
Please do your duty to uphold and protect the true American Dream of actual democracy and freedom of speech from a corporatocracy takeover. We The People are trusting and relying on you.
1376. Eileen Banashek, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is the only thing that makes sense in a democracy. Taking it away is another step towards taking power away from the ordinary citizen...like myself.
1377. James Deaton, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Please do not turn over my discretionary power of free speech to corporate interests.
1378. Sandra Whipple, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I believe net neutrality must be protected. It allows me to choose what I watch & do online, not big companies deciding for me. There is reliable software that I can use to protect my grandchildren for getting into websites that I consider undesirable so I see no need for censoring the net.
1379. David Birnbaum, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Internet neutrality is an essential component of the internet...without that, it is not the truly democratic and equally accessible platform that we have a right to, and have come to depend on!
1380. Paula Lozar, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I am concerned that large companies could censor viewpoints they feel are contrary to their interests. Freedom of speech is the foundation of democracy.
1381. Rachael Penn, Taos, NM, 87571
We need to protect net neutrality so ISPs cannot dictate or censor our online experience. The internet is an incredibly powerful resource allowing individuals and business to connect freely with each other. If we are not careful, we could sell this freedom off to the high bidder, giving the powerful corporations control of user experiences. This would do irreparable harm to the incredible diversity and resource that the internet is to people all around the world.
1382. sharon franklet, ribera, NM, 87560
Hello, I support net neutrality. The internet is only a tool for democracy if its equal, open, uncensored use is supported. I oppose corporations censoring, mediating, regulating online perspectives and usage.

1383. Saralynn Piano, Gallup, NM, 87301
I thought one of the reasons government was established was to protect the common good. I do not have enough computer savvy to always do that for myself, but I don't need you to choose for me what gets preference for me to see.
1384. BJ Sharrow-Morgan, La Madera, NM, 87539
Net neutrality is extremely important to maintain. I don't want anyone censoring what I can search, read or watch, nor how often I may do so. I am an individual and it is my right to continue to do these things as I see fit, not when some other entity decides they don't like content. Net neutrality needs to remain as it is a fundamental right of free speech.
1385. Greg White, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
ISIS, North Korea, China and all communists and nazi's hate net neutrality !!!
Only those who cherish Freedom and Democracy support net neutrality !!!
1386. Laurie Bunker, Raton, NM, 87740
Our fundamental rights as Americans are being chipped away at daily. Freedom is becoming an empty word. Without net neutrality we might as well be back in the USSR.
1387. Chris Sandlin, Springer, NM, 87747
Leave the net neutral!
1388. Donna Labatt, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net neutrality is essential to maintaining the freedoms we enjoy; freedom to choose, freedom of opportunity. Let people decide what succeeds and is viewed on the internet, not big corporations. Loss of net neutrality leads to centralized planning and we all know how well that has worked out for Russia, China, et al.
1389. Richard E. Salazar, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
Our government has given telecom corps massive "gifts" already in the form of region wide monopolies where our choices of providers are limited and speeds are regulated. Its time our government went to work for the people and defend net neutrality!
1390. Teresa Goodwin, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The internet should be a platform for all, not filtered corporations and lobbying groups. More and more information and services are limited by a few and stifle or even co-opt the innovation of the "little guy". The internet should be a platform for new ideas and information. As a retired English teacher, I applaud the opportunity to learn more. To allow big companies to decide what I should experience and learn is dangerous....much like Big Brother, and one who has his hand in MY POCKET!

1391. Barbara Hunter-Harmon, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please don't take away First Amendment Rights for us, commoners, for the sake of big capitalism - not nice, America is better than that.
1392. Don Boehms, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I support net neutrality for the open exchange of ideas, information and commerce.
1393. Nona Girardi, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Please protect net neutrality. Americans now use the internet for everything, so net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and free exchange of information. As a family physician, I know that many of my patients rely on trusted sources on the internet to find out more about their medical conditions and to connect with support groups that help them in dealing with their illnesses. This access to information is particularly important to people who live in rural areas.
1394. John Eklund, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
In the 19th century America, forward-thinking leaders in America recognized the value of public libraries in maintaining an educated populace. I've traveled extensively in poor countries where the wealthy have access to education and information, while the poor are left with nothing. That gap is a key ingredient in the recipe for corruption, bad governance, and injustice. Keep the net neutral for all. Allowing corporations, with their vast, profit-fueled resources and international reach, to tighten their control of the net is the digital equivalent of selling off all public libraries and then denying access to those who can't afford the price of admission. Respectfully submitted for the public record.
1395. John A Gilman, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
As a small business owner I am very concerned about any changes to "Net Neutrality" that would restrict my access to the internet and consumer access to my services. I am against any changes to Net Neutrality.
1396. Nancy Conyers, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I lived in China for 5 years with limited internet access and complete and utter censorship. We don't need that in the US!
1397. Jill Wilcox, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514
Please protect net neutrality. America is still a free country. Please don't make it less free and more controlled by corporate interests. We must protect our free speech----corporations are NOT people and should not be able to control what we do online.
1398. Margaret Moore, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Net neutrality is vital to free speech, Please do not sacrifice an open internet to big business. Please!!!

1399. Jeremy Lansing, Las Vegas, NM, 87701

It would be a monumental shame to surrender the freedom of use that we have in the Internet. Any one entity or group of entities having control over it would only pervert the net into a shape that benefits them.

1400. Nellie Harris, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I am a retired citizen and am so tired of paying big corporations so they can get richer and richer. I would like to watch what I want without someone interfering. For once, do something to help the poor citizens instead of the big companies.

1401. Briah Jardin , Raton , NM, 87740

Freedom is the American way. Be patriotic!

1402. Matt Swart, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Net neutrality is the embodiment of the human spirit. Doing away with that or controlling it is like trying to raise the human race in a prison - you don't get a full expression of all the possibilities of greatness like that.

1403. Lea Douglas, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is a the core part of what a democracy is supposed to be. Help us protect it.

1404. Gregory J Elbring, Holman, NM, 87723

I use the internet for much more than as just an information service including communications with friends and family, cloud storage, and data transfer and analysis. As such, it seems to me that it is very much a telecommunications service under Title II. I urge you to retain "net neutrality" as supported by 60% of registered voters according to a recent poll by Politico, whereas only 17% oppose retaining it.

1405. Sabina Gulick, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Net neutrality is so important to keep so we don't all become complete brainwashed idiots, which I imagine is the point of taking it away. However, this is something that helps me stay in touch with people I know and family from around the world, and I already pay too much for it. I'm not about to let big companies take that away from me. Please keep net neutrality title 2

1406. Debra Pierce, Taos, NM, 87571

I adamantly support an open Internet. Corporate and Govt powers should not suppress a Democratic country or the ability to have an open Internet

1407. Marjorie Young, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
thanks for mobilizing us
1408. Joan Cohen, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Stand up for all of us..do your sworn duty as public servants and protect Net Neutrality Rules, not the desire of special interests to make even more money than they already are, and far more than they deserve. At the expense of the rest of us. Please do your job!!!
1409. Katharine King, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
It's essential that we maintain equality on the Internet as it seems many institutions are disintegrating., Keep a level playing field
1410. Melissa herren, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The American people are losing freedoms daily. Taking away net neutrality is just another hit on us. Big money counts, American people don t
1411. William Buss, Corrales, NM, 87048
Keep the internet free for all of us - it's the cyber air we breathe and we don't want you to sell us out!
1412. Susan Sita, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Our internet access should not be sold to the highest bidder. You shut down creativity and innovation by limiting internet access. This is not the American way!!
1413. Shelagh Geoghegan, Velarde, NM, 87582
What exactly, other than intruding on everyone's privacy, are you trying to accomplish? Leave our prvacy alone. Butt out!!,
1414. Deborah Dennard, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net neutrality is an important part of freedom of information and freedom of the press. People gather information, exchange ideas and make decisions based on their ability to a free and unhindered internet. It is the 21st century and we must not allow politicians, corporations and the ultrafiche to buy and pay for what information have access to.,
1415. Kate Keely, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
The internet was invented by a government of/by/for the people so it belongs to them.
1416. Natasha Garcia, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net Neutrality allows me to enjoyable access the internet freely and is very important to me.Natasha Garcia

1417. William Rogers, Farmington, NM, 87402
I support the rollback of Obama's internet takeover. The regulations gives too much power to the government over the future of the internet. These regulations will create more future problems than they will do good.
1418. Gary T. Skiba, Aztec, NM, 87410
Please retain the current rules requiring internet neutrality. We are already a nation ruled by corporations, and giving them more power is anti-American and anti-democratic.
1419. William Rogers, Farmington, NM, 87402
Get the government out of internet regulation. The free market will take care of internet service. The government does a poor job with most all it gets involved with, take Obamacare for an example. You lied to get it in place and did not have the courage to go straight to socialized government run healthcare when you had control of the Senate, House, and Presidency. The internet regulation was put in placed based on more of your lies. Progressives taking over the internet is not good for the country. Get rid of this regulation.
1420. Exum Smith, Clovis, NM, 88101
This internet user wants you to preserve internet neutrality and Title 2.
1421. Tiffany Charley, Kirtland, NM, 87417
One would think a "Communications Commission" would see to it that lines of communication would be as open and available to all as possible. Not selling out to Big Business to restrict communication, what a SHAM. Don't be another joke.
1422. Anthony Zamora, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net neutrality allows consumers to choose what they want to watch and do online.
1423. Peter Clout, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Going fast are FAXs, mail, broadcast TV and Radio, and telephone lines. The Internet delivered by wireless and fibre is the future and this new common carrier medium fosters innovation and business. To cede control of traffic to the common carriers is dangerous to economic progress, job creating and American freedom.
1424. Gene Tison, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I support Net Neutrality as an important part of our democratic heritage. I oppose this attempt to further control of our lives by the big corporate cable companies.
1425. Tricia Ware, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is essential for small-business development.

1426. Lee Steck, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
It is very important to me that the web be completely open, for rapid exchange of information and services, and a fully level playing field.
1427. Constance Blair, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Our democracy is on very fragile ground in a number of ways. Is there a reason the FCC would even consider such an outrageous move that would benefits us as citizens? Wait...am I in China now? Russia? KOREA??? What is HAPPENING that they would even consider anyone's opinions when it is so obviously an outrageous idea!? STOP THIS!
1428. Emery Fletcher, Pena Blanca , NM, 87041
I am 84 years old, I live in a largely rural area, and the Internet is my most reliable means of communicating. There is limited access, only one provider, and very slow bandwidth, which makes it even more difficult for me to reach the services I need at the costs I can afford.
1429. Amy McDonald-Herrman, Clovis, NM, 88101
Neutrality must be protected because without it there's no free speech. No one has the right to censor what others watch or listen to on the internet.
1430. Elizabeth Saffold, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Allow people to have freedom to see what they want to see, to learn, and explore without restraint.
1431. Tamara Safarik, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
The internet should remain open and neutral to all.
1432. James Dowling, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Leave the net alone, do not let big business trample on the peoples internet. It is like the airwaves, a public entity that should be regulated (kept free) by the government, not turned over to big business.
1433. Brian Hirtz, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The only people that would benefit from a change in net neutrality laws are the greedy internet service providers and their investors. Everyone else suffers. This wasn't good two years ago, it isn't good now, nor will it never be. Leave net neutrality alone.
1434. KK Rose, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Neutrality on the internet must be protected. It allows people freedom of speech, freedom to show their varied types of art. It allows small business to flourish using the internet to see their product. We do not want big business

running the internet.

1435. Maryjon Alexander, Aztec, NM, 87410
This is America, as citizens we can make the best choices for ourselves, the Government has no business making choices for us, for Internet, Schools, Health care or any number of other issues that we use daily. Mj
1436. Audrey Storbeck, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is important in terms of humanities movement towards authenticity. People are either totally fake, totally real, or moving towards being real or declining in personal expression. Freedom of speech keeps the world safer. The big corporations have no heart in that they are not human beings who breathe; money is their bottom line. Freedom to be real is immensely important.
1437. mary berkeley, sant fe, NM, 87506
Net neutrality MUST be preserved and protected.
1438. Dr. Jennifer Walters, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please avoid yet another "take-over" of the little guys by the GIANTS. I am requesting that you protect Internet neutrality. Sincerely, Dr. J. Walters
1439. Kyle Peck, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Leave Net Neutrality 'as is"Keep our 1st amendment rights!America was built on entrepreneurs and innovation!Not Monopolies!
1440. Ronn Spencer, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I will not vote for, support or respect any politician, person of authority or advocate of ending net neutrality. Gutting neutrality is an effort to thwart free speech, and once again, places corporate power and profit over the concerns of the individual.
1441. Justin Sapp, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
I think the new net neutrality rules breaks the sherman anti trust acts literally creating monopolies but that is just my viewpoint shared by many others. If left unchecked the internet can be taken over by a select few.
1442. Lore Bamberg, Taos, NM, 87571
Access to the internet is a fundamental right. And keeping it open and neutral is imperative to the health and well-being of our society. Allowing it to be dominated and controlled by a few corporations or oligarchs will bring an end to all we value. My two cents...
1443. Brian Lewis, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
No Big Brother over lording the internet!

1444. Tyler Amphlett, Clovis, NM, 88101
The internet is a public service and should remain OPEN just like a state park would be. It should not be controlled by a company, that would be a mistake on a large scale.
1445. Don Johnson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I fully support the open internet. Free speech is under attack in America: 'antifa' voices proclaim that views opposing theirs are hate speech and should have 'no platform.' YouTube had "de-monetized" channels which (by coincidence??) provide perspective on the canonical MSM monologue. CNN itself has blackmailed an individual for supposedly creating the animated gif of Trump wrestling with a CNN logo-head. Should the AT&T/Time Warner go through and CNN becomes an AT&T property, will views countering CNN be squelched without an open internet? I don't believe that we can risk finding out. Please support the open internet; please support free speech. Thank you for considering my views. ...
1446. David Briseno, Clovis, NM, 88101
I believe it's important that net neutrality be maintained for the fairness of the internet marketplace, and the free flow of information including the majority of the catalog of human knowledge. Our democracy needs net neutrality.
1447. Leonard Louis, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
I demand to get the service that I paid for and not have someone determine what my limits should be. This is paramount to my first amendment rights and net neutrality shall be protected.
1448. Laurie Vigne, Santa Cruz, NM, 87567
We need net neutrality
1449. Robert Cantua, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The first amendment is clear! ANY attempt to circumvent Net Neutrality is ILLEGAL! a Violation of the constitution!
1450. Susan Lamden, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is an essential way of maintaining our Constitutionally protected right to free speech.
1451. Lillian, El Prado, NM, 87529
The internet is a place for free speech, let's leave it that way by keeping it neutral!
1452. Angelina Narvaez, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We need to protect freedom of the press! We are in big threat now and this is for both parties to see.

1453. Nicholas Tucker, Las Vegas New Mexico, NM, 87701
I worry about the future of free speech and democracy if we weaken net neutrality.
1454. Jared Allen, Farmington, NM, 87401
Net neutrality is an important part of the internet, cable companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon could care less about freedom of speech in this landscape. Get rid of net neutrality and you will make this a hostile place to the people who visit the internet daily, and only benefit those companies that lobbied for these rules. Please make the right decision and say no for once to these companies in their bid to control entertainment.
1455. Cody Fernandez, el prado, NM, 87529
America is about equal opportunity. Net neutrality is about equal opportunity. This is a no brainer. Please do not violate your duty in protect this sacred right.
1456. Andrew Paulden, Taos, NM, 87571
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, which is fundamental to the freedom to think outside of the box and innovate, this is why America is a great nation, because we encourage our people to be able to pursue their thoughts and manifest them, which enriches us all. Handing over the keys to the internet to monopolistic corporate interests is un-American and will stifle our already struggling people to be all we can be.
1457. MKF Esmiol, Farmington, NM, 87401
Open internet allows innovation and competition in the on-line market place. We advocate a neutral net.
1458. Jo Kobler, Corrales, NM, 87048
Please please don't let this happen. Keep the internet open. You know what will happen if we don't! Thank you.
1459. Janice Arrott, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I support Net Neutrality, it is fundamental to free speech.
1460. Bruce Black, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality best serves the end user who is the consumer and voter
1461. Brian Piotrowski, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I want my ISP to be able to provide the highest quality service by prioritizing traffic from popular sites like YouTube and Netflix. The website for "Mom and Pop's One Stop Pot Shop" just isn't all that important to the vast majority of Internet users. Please allow ISPs to provide the best and fastest service to the majority of their customers. Please ignore calls for so-called net neutrality.

1462. Rosanne Troncoso, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Net Neutrality is part of freedom expression which is part of the 1st Amendment of the US Constitution.
1463. Charles Bouldin, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Neutrality with respect to content is VITALLY important and must be preserved. The internet as we know it and as it has existing since it was created was founded on that principle, and the result has been fabulous innovation and great benefits to consumers. Please preserve this foundational principle. Do not sell out the internet to a few big companies!
1464. Celia Peyton, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Leave net neutrality alone! It is fundamental to free speech, and allows us to choose what we watch and do online!
1465. Orlando Dominguez, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Net neutrality is an infringement on my freedom. This extent of capitalism would prevent from seeing that I want. I have the human right to explore the innovations, knowledge, and entertainment that I wish to look at. I don't want to be a puppet that is controlled by a greedy cooperation that wishes to expand their own interest and dull our creativity. This is basically what the Chinese government does to their population and I do not wish to be a part of this. I plead that you reconsider and throw this idea into the trash because some ideas are bad ideas; like this one.
1466. Christopher Molla, Dixon, NM, 87527
We are now dependent upon the internet for communications to such a degree that net neutrality is absolutely a FIRST AMENDMENT issue. To hand control of the internet - which, like the airwaves is a PUBLIC TRUST - to a few corporations will lead to tyranny, pure and simple.
1467. Roger, Kirtland, NM, 87417
Leave the NET alone. I voted my man won the white house. It is time to give something back. Leave the net alone.
1468. Kate, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Freedom of speech and freedom of information - I want my choice for research and entertainment and business
1469. Loren Elmaleh, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We need the freedom of information in order to make informed decisions. The increase in corruption, greed and "fake news" makes it all that much more important to have access to truth and unlimited information. We don't need more fascist control, especially as that is increasing daily. As is known people in a democracy need to be informed in order to make good decisions, it seems

that right is eroding. We must have net neutrality in order to preserve our democracy.

1470. Kirk Tucker, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
I want net neutrality protected because the future of the internet, and the overall size of the internet economy (not just the profits of a few companies) depends on it. ARE WE A DEMOCRACY OR A CORPORATOCRACY? Because citizen support for net neutrality is broad and bipartisan yet the attacks never cease.
1471. Amy Schiffer, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Please protect Net Neutrality. I shouldn't have to explain why this is so important.
1472. Patrick Briggs, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality must be preserved to maintain equal access to the internet. Why not give the little guy a chance for a change.
1473. M. R. Sperberg-McQueen, Espanola, NM, 87532
I am writing in support of net neutrality. As an independent scholar in a rural area far from major libraries, I rely on numerous websites, most of them non-commercial, for access to historical information. I know the value of unrestricted, unfettered access via the internet to the web. No commercial company should be allowed to make the rules as to who can view what how easily or how speedily or at what cost. Beyond questions of scholarship, unfettered, unrestricted internet access---and access to the resources that the majority of us rely on today for so much of our information---is essential to an open, free society. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1474. Marche Hise, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Researching medical issues has been critical in my life: visual hallucinations experienced by my mother; and, numerous health events facing my husband. Net neutrality means I can access the most diverse information. I am concerned that prioritizing users will limit potentially life-changing studies, research & practices. Please keep net neutrality.
1475. Kenia Alonzo, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
By taking away net neutrality, you take you away someone's chance at education, or someone's chance at making a living. There is so much more to the internet than social media. There's websites for applying to jobs, there's websites that have assignments for students. Since when should a kid from a public school have to pay for their homework? or to talk to their friends? Keep net neutrality safe to keep our future safe. The internet has had a huge impact on everyone, and to take it away would be a very bad thing and would only result in the rich getting richer and the poor getting poorer. Kenia Alonzo

1476. Kevin Roberts, Portales, NM, 88130
There is only one internet. When people refer to, "look it up on the internet", there should be endless possibilities. Not a compartmentalized version our ISPs, the FCC, or any other government/ company decides we get access to or different price points at which they are available. I want access the websites and parts of the internet I decide to use. I do NOT want some chopped up, pay as you go, micro transactions, and greedy capitol motivation to monetize the internet. People pay to get access to the internet, period. We do not want to pay for something and let the provider to decide what the give us. I would NEVER pay for property, and let the mortgage company decide where I get to live. I wouldn't pay for goods and services and let the service provider decide what goods and services I'm allowed to have AFTER I've paid. I don't pay the electric company and let them decide what appliances I'm allowed to utilize and when. Enough examples, when people pay for a product they expect to get what they paid for, not have decisions made for them about how much of the product they are going to receive. One internet, one price.
1477. Jonathan Crews, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We need a free and uncontrolled internet.
1478. Ann Alexander, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net Neutrality is fair to all citizens regardless of income.
1479. Katharine King, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pal to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access. Cable and phone companies should only provide access to the Internet. They should not have the right to censor or slow down the speed of my communication and my access to online content. I want the FCC chairman to stop my internet provider from interfering with my communication and research choices. Please Maintain a Level Playing Field !
1480. Peter McCarthy, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me because as an independent filmmaker the necessity to be able to stream my work uncensored and on a level playing field is essential. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses

large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. In the same way that the founding fathers of our country knew the importance of the post office to connect and educate the electorate (and therefore worked to expand and protect it), today, the internet, the most essential means of connecting and informing us must be protected and expanded. The foundation of our constitutional republic is based on checks and balances. Without a free and open internet, we jeopardize our very system of government and our existence as a democracy. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1481. Tracy Neal, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is critical for a free and informed society. I urge you to protect it.
1482. Arthur Fuldauer, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY! KEEP TITLE II NET NEUTRALITY rules. Art F
1483. D Beese, Ute Park, NM, 87749
Why would you allow this???
1484. Judith Chaddick, Espanola, NM, 87533
I support a free internet. Please support net neutrality.
1485. Katharine Roberts, Coyote, NM, 87012
Do not mess with the internet. We like it as it is.
1486. Beverly Ohline, Corrales, NM, 87048
What net neutrality has done is give everyman, woman and child a voice. This is the most democratic development in my lifetime. Do not destroy this.

1487. Andrew Romero, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
America was founded on the freedom to create, a successful country relies on the creation of new ideas and net neutrality allows people to share those ideas. Peoples have learned more from having the internet neutral than what they can ever learn in formal education. Do not destory the the creative freedom and expression the Internet gives people just for the benefit of a few.
1488. Mary Poel, Gallup, NM, 87301
No more extra privileges for those who have money. Make the playing field even for all students (poor and rich alike) . Let's not miss out on the next generation of engineers, scientists, doctors, entrepreneurs because they didn't have the same access to the internet when they were in school. Net neutrality!!!!
1489. Robert Meyer, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Stop your effort to defeat what's left of a democratic and free internet
1490. Jan Olsen, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
I have used Verizon since their beginnngs and the business tactics they use are meant to deceive and gouge. This is my last month with Verizon. Many I know are dropping the big corporations.
1491. Steve Ellison, Farmington, NM, 87499
I am in favor of maintaining the current net neutrality system.
1492. RE Deem, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
There is enough Censorship online currently as is. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are more than extremely important to me. I more than urge you to protect them.I do not want to see ISPs have the power to slow websites, down or block them, to split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest or to give some sites an advantage over others.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. They already have more control than they deserve and we do not want to add to it. I am particularly against censorship by ISPs and see it is already a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less

valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Where are those free markets the Capitalists keep talking about? - don't let them disappear. FOR net neutrality rules. Against allowing ISPs to rule. Thank you!

1493. Mark Hazlewood, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Sir, We have been through this before. STOP siding with business that has only a profit motive for what they want to do. All three of the major players - Comcast, Verizon, AT&T have extremely high profits. Removal of controls to keep the Internet equal for everyone would not benefit any user and only increase profits for these companies. Keep the controls in place and keep the Internet equal for every user. Tell the companies wanting to dissolve net neutrality to use their profits to improve the infrastructure, and widen availability. They already over charge for services provided, giving them a means to increase profits is wrong. KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL!

1494. Daniel McCoy, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Looks like greed is winning over democracy. Shameful to see our precious country allow this push to stomp on net neutrality. People's rights fading almost every week.

1495. Mitchell Chapman, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Please don't weaken current net neutrality rules. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime. Verizon has admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else, if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. The internet has become an aid to freedom of speech. Under Chairman

Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. We already have Citizens United. We don't need another step backward for freedom of speech. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1496. Linda Buchser, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

New Mexico is a rural state, and net neutrality is extremely important for our children in families that may not have the resources to access the internet if they have to pay more for additional programming. The internet must be treated as a public utility.

1497. Homa Nassiri, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Comcast, Verizon and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees to control what we see & do online. Keep Internet Neutrality alive.

1498. Kristina Fisher, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I am writing to express my support for the FCC's existing Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) and to urge the FCC not to roll back these essential protections. The internet has nurtured start-ups and entrepreneurship because tiny, brand-new businesses have to be given the same access and speed as large, established corporations. The internet is an essential utility in today's environment and it should be regulated as such. There are also serious First Amendment considerations. The internet is the town square of today. Yet if the net neutrality rules are removed, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm submitting this comment for consideration during the FCC's open proceeding, but I doubt very much that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, actually cares about the needs or desires of the vast majority of Americans. I assume that the millions of comments submitted in favor of protecting net neutrality will be dismissed and ignored. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress and asking them to join me in supporting the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II. Please do whatever you can to protect a free and open internet. Thank you for considering my comments.

1499. Melissa Hill, Corrales, NM, 87048

I have Comcast. I pay \$260 per month for phone, TV and internet. I tried to reduce my monthly cost by eliminating TV channels. Low and behold, any cheaper plan and they say they'll slow my Internet to a speed that doesn't permit streaming, or raise my rates for Internet so I'm paying the same with or without

TV. These people are not working in the public good.

1500. Diana gries, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
We need net neutrality .. Keep things as they are and not allow corporate interference
1501. Nelda hmm, FARMINGTON, NM, 87401
We do not want giant corporations controlling the internet!
1502. Margaret McCarthy, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Congressional leaders: The proposition to remove Title II services on the Internet are tantamount to requiring "PAY TO PLAY" EQUALITY, which is anything but equality. Enforce democratic rights for a resource built initially with public funds. Steer profiteering carpetbaggers out of this basic freedom. Failure to do so dooms us all. Sincerely, Margaret McCarthy
1503. Patricia Kirby, Corrales, NM, 87048
Net neutrality is essential not only to free speech, but also to commerce as it enables small businesses equal access to customers. Do not let big corporate interests stomp all over the rights of small business, and do not let Big Cable limit free speech. Preserve net neutrality.
1504. Troy Choman, Flora Vista, NM, 87415
Do not move forward with the dissolution of net neutrality. The overwhelming majority of Americans want to preserve an open and free internet. As such, it is your responsibility to maintain net neutrality
1505. Irene Webb, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please do not repeal the 2015 Open Internet Order, which is essential to free speech, independent media, and social movement organizing on the internet. Without net neutrality we lose our ability to organize against injustice -- we would be without the tools used in lifting up Black Lives Matter, the Women's March, Daily Kos and the Netroots.
1506. Karen Clark, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I consider free and equal access to the Internet to be a Constitutional issue of free speech. Removing Internet service from that of a public utility is an unconstitutional infringement of citizens' rights. The Internet must be equally open to all and unrestricted by those such as Verizon, ATT et al. Most Sincerely, Karen Clark
1507. Mary Neikirk, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please maintain Net neutrality. We don't need extra fees to be charged.
1508. Robbyne Jones, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and to our country. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest of us. Where does that leave small businesses and entrepreneurs, whom this administration purports to want to support. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. Isn't he supposed to be a public servant, not a corporate shill? But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult for anyone to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1509. Katherine Meyer, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

To the FCC: The internet was created as a free, universal platform for communication and sharing information. It was not meant to be a corporate spy agency that would determine what is available to us and how much we would pay for it. The FCC's Open Internet Rules were put in place to protect our right to the free sharing of information. To dismantle these rules is to give the internet to corporations whose purpose would be to control and limit how the public uses the internet and what we can see on it. Please do not let this happen! Thank you very much for your attention to this letter.

1510. Edward Mosimann, FARMINGTON, NM, 87401

Net neutrality is one of the few things that separate us from China. Free or flee

this repressive country. Mexico is wondering who there new third world neighbor to the north is up to, and laughing.

1511. Clem Wilkes, Ribera, NM, 87560
We need an open and free internet. I depend on it for my business. I also depend on it for access to news and social commentary. Do not override the net neutrality that we presently have.
1512. Alan Hoffman, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Denying equal service to start ups and small businesses will damage innovation and crush the US economy. Don't do it.
1513. Amy Lafferty, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
We need you to resist the billionaires and stand up for the hard working Americans. Net neutrality is VERY important to us! My personal clients depend upon it. My retirement is close to 2,000. a month. That does not leave much wiggle room! Food, gas, electricity, garbage pick up, etc. ----all cost money. I do not have any extra for millionaires. Protect us from this unbridled GREED.
1514. Kent Taylor, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
4270829017404309
1515. Diana Gonzales-Pacheco, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
As a Verizon customer, I am against their support to end net neutrality. Congress and the FCC need to stand with the people and vote against ending net neutrality.
1516. Susan McIntosh, Taos, NM, 87571
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to control the quality of ISP services. The internet is the epitome of democracy, and must remain egalitarian. Thank you!
1517. Donna Dufresne, El Prado, NM, 87529
1518. Cheryl Harris, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
We need net neutrality! An open public cheap or free internet is as vital in this age as water and electricity are. I have family on the Navajo Reservation and it is f2f ritical for their safety, well being, and education to have tjis access they dont currently have. Comcast and others shouldnt pick their favorite customers! The internet needs better federal regulation. Thank you
1519. Margaret Habsen, Taos, NM, 87571
Originality and creativity will be stifled, but will find another tributary or ten or ten thousand. And you and your greed will be dissolved and washed away. Stick

with the billions you have. Let this beautiful creation flourish !

1520. Susan Bergholz, Lamy, NM, 87540
save net neutrality now!

1521. Dean Lewis, Medanales, NM, 87548
I urge you to protect our access to the internet. Do not end net neutrality. Dean

1522. Peter Berardo, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
Sirs, if you cannot establish a framework that supports users and fair and impartial providers, rather than manipulative providers, people WILL soon devise an alternative such that you and current providers will be irrelevant.

1523. Clara Zschaler, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
At a ripe old 80 plus I am faced with learning how to use the ITT system to manage daily living-Pay bills, purchasing necessities and monitoring health care- Slow down net and I will be dead before arrival

1524. Jeanne Wheeler, Taos, NM, 87571
I'm writing to advise you that the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to please make every effort protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses both large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee & Chairman Pai has made it clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees & won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other concerned Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of

Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him from this unfair agenda....Thank you!

1525. G Goldey, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

Net neutrality rules are essential to America's future. I have written MANY times to the FCC regarding the preservation of net neutrality. HOW MANY TIMES do the millions who support this principle have to write to you folks? We just can't have commercial enterprises, large or small, dictate the use of the means of communication and invention that BELONG TO THE PUBLIC.

1526. Allegra Huston, Taos, NM, 87571

Net neutrality is vital to a free society. Please do not end it.

1527. Diana gries, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

We need net neutrality .. Keep things as they are and not allow corporate interference... Do not allow more monetary charges

1528. Kathleen , Jemez Pueblo, NM, 87024

Keep it!!

1529. Hans Bucher, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I believe we should protect net neutrality as the internet is meant to be accessed by all citizens equally.

1530. Carol DeCosta, SANTA FE, NM, 87505

As Mr. Kroetz stated in the title of his email that "there's no resistance without a free and open Internet, "of COURSE there isn't. That's the whole point of the continued attack on net neutrality. We, the people WILL keep the Internet free and open -- and EQUAL!

1531. Charles Smith, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I support this causeC smith

1532. Vicki Whitaker, Aztec, NM, 87410

I am appalled that THE PEOPLE have to fight for something that is so obviously essential.Free access to the Internet (via a paid provider) at the highest speeds ought to be EVERYONE'S right. Yet again I and my ilk are being marginalized.

1533. Ellyn Derman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Protect individual use of the internet, not corporations!

1534. Andre Holmes, SANTA FE, NM, 87501

The internet is the very definition of The Commons.

1535. Noreen Quan, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
You're not going to strip U.S. citizens of yet another freedom, are you? Quit rolling back progress. We need to interact with the rest of the world and not controlled by corporations and their greed for profit.
1536. Diane Gledhill, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
Is there any ethical or moral justification for allowing rich corporations a louder voice and easier access to public airwaves than the public? Is their justification for creating monopoly control over what should be public means of communication? I can only understand this effort to remove title II restrictions as an immoral grab for more money and control.
1537. Jason Marquez, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Net neutrality, if taken away will only further support cause to quickly decentralize the internet. For your own benefits, I suggest keeping it alive otherwise you'll have a tough time monitoring the clearnet once it becomes peer to peer. The internet is already heavily limited by companies trying to control it. It is a utility like water in today's society and should only be limited by the infrastructure itself.
1538. Lynn Glasscock, Portales, NM, 88130
Yeah. One Internet for the Big Boys and another one for the little guys? I think *not*. Defend net neutrality!
1539. Abigail Fairbridge, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep the current regulations in place!
1540. Chris Grey, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Please don't let (T)Rump and the Gang ruin this too.
1541. Elizabeth Reed, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I and others have an unalienable right to online privacy. Corporations must NOT be allowed to seize control of the internet that belongs to all of us.
1542. Tom Baldinger, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
HOW MANY TIMES DO WE HAVE TO GO OVER THIS? NET NEUTRALITY IS NO DIFFERENT THAN FAIR ACCESS TO OTHER BASIC UTILITIES LIKE ELECTRICITY AND SEPTIC SYSTEMS. THE POPULAR SENTIMENT IS STRONGLY AGAINST PAY TO PLAY LANES AND WE HAVE DEMONSTRATED THIS REPEATEDLY. THE CONTINUED EFFORT BY LARGE ISP'S TO DISMANTLE BASIC NET NEUTRALITY IS NOTHING LESS THAN NAKED AND UGLY GREED, AND IT IS TIME THOSE SALIVATING FOR PAY TO PLAY LANES TO GIVE UP THE IDEA ALREADY AND FIND SOME OTHER WAY TO GOUGE INTERNET CUSTOMERS. I AM SURE THERE IS NO

SHORTAGE OF NEW WAYS TO RIP US OFF, BUT DISMANTLING NET NEUTRALITY IS NOT THE WAY TO DO IT AND IS FUNDAMENTALLY WRONG. DO WE NOT CATER TO RICH AND POWERFUL ENTITIES LIKE VERIZON ENOUGH ALREADY, OR DO THEY NEED EVEN MORE TO TEMPORARILY SATISFY THEIR APPARENTLY BOUNDLESS GREED? THE PEOPLE WHO SIT AROUND BOARD ROOM TABLES PUSHING THIS AGENDA IN SPITE OF CLEAR LACK OF PUBLIC SUPPORT SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF THEMSELVES.

1543. Edward Ashmead, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
It's critical for the FCC to retain internet neutrality for the future of our democratic society. I urge you to protect it in the interests of our country.
1544. Nodiah Brent, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
The principles that Americans hold dear must be protected, such as access for everyone to enjoy equal opportunities on the internet.
1545. Peter Wurzburger, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
I strongly support net neutrality. Pai is wrong
1546. Mary Bowlby, Farmington, NM, 87401
No!
1547. Bob and Carrol Pearson, Rociada, NM, 87742
Democracy and freedom of speech require equal access and use of the internet. Preserve net neutrality.
1548. A Brown, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY* A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations.* A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition.* A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices.* A free and open internet promotes innovation.* A free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas.* A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship.* A free and open internet protects freedom of speech. Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight control over how we access websites and services. Please do your part to keep the internet a cornerstone of freedom and opportunity. Thank you!
1549. Paula Zima, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to Democracy. I urge you to protect them. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you!
1550. Judith Chwast, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please leave the internet accessible to all without regard for money, power or influence. This is a matter of free speech being free. Thank you for doing the right thing and letting the internet remain equally open to all. Tashima Wildrose

1551. matt dodson, farmington, NM, 87401
We the taxpayers developed the internet. Keep it open to all. Do not give it to corporations who will financially rape us with fees and who will sensor how we use our internet.
1552. Tim Fowler, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I vehemently oppose the "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act as it would directly and negatively affect my business and the ability of me and my clients to access the Internet on a level playing field. Maintaining network neutrality by preserving common carrier status for Internet Service Providers (ISP's) is vital to my livelihood as a website developer.
1553. Tim Dineen, Espanola, NM, 87532
The FCC's current protections regarding net neutrality are critically important to the continued prosperity of our economy. ISPs being able to throttle whatever websites they desire will kill the open marketplace of the internet for our country. Thank you, Tim Dineen
1554. Roydale Pete, Farmington, NM, 87401
Let us keep our right to browse the web the way we want to.
1555. Hoyle Osborne, Aztec, NM, 87410
Big businesses do not need any special advantages in order to hold dominance on the Internet. They have big budgets and big staffs. Small businesses are major drivers of the US economy and they employ a great many people. Net neutrality means that they have a chance of being noticed, so that they can offer their goods and services to wide markets. Net neutrality is good for America.
1556. Tory Martinez, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
Stop taking our freedoms. It won't stop here.
1557. Ray Lovelace, El Prado, NM, 87529
It is a cornerstone of free speech.
1558. John Benfatto, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I do not want internet service providers to have the opportunity influence the content that I receive in any way, be it blocking, slowing, charging premium fees, etc.
1559. Michael Gregory, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
IT IS ETHICALLY AND MORALLY THE RIGHT THING FOR ALL

AMERICANS

1560. Richard Jennings, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
The Internet is a public utility. Your sale to the highest bidders is a crime against the public.
1561. Leah Harrison, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
STOP DESTROYING OUR CONSTITUTION!!! Net Neutrality IS part of our First Amendment.
1562. Joe , Ponderosa, NM, 87044
Because the interenet is like electricity. You can't survive modern life want it.
1563. Hallee Fresco, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net Neutrality is important to me because I am able to connect with so many different creative workers in my field and many others that help support my chosen career. Without that connection globally, nationally, hell even communally, I would not be able to create the work that I and many others can do. I also use the internet daily to LEARN. Having knowledge is key to identity, self empowerment, and overall expansion of the mind. I refuse to let my ability to learn have a price tag on it.
1564. Pamela Stein, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Internet Neutrality is extremely important to me. The internet would not be the internet if access to content was controlled by large corporations or government. For me the beauty of the internet is that it is self-organizing and users can create or access what information has significance for us without any censorship. To interfere with this is to interfere with freedom of speech for every user around the globe. These companies and lobbyists they hire want control for political influence, power and profit. This is not what we the people want! Thank You for hearing me.Pamela Stein
1565. Hayden Reeves, Clovis, NM, 88101
Net Neutrality is important to be because it allows me to research and learn new things, from thousands of viewpoints because none of the opinions are filtered. It lets me connect with others and be more flexible in the wide world of the internet. Without net neutrality the internet will be like a straight, bland tunnel, with nowhere to go.
1566. Robert Owczarek, Jemez Springs, NM, 87025
It is crucial for democracy and freedom of information, and thus civilization.
1567. Graeme , Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
Net Neutrality is important to me because I like having privacy and keeping some personal information private.

1568. Grace Perez, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
My access to the Internet should not be a function of my position as a user. All users should have access equally and neutrally.
1569. Therese Patton, Black Lake, NM, 87710
Net Neutrality is important to me because it protects free speech by giving access to all internet provider for news, business, personal communication and entertainment. If the FCC initiates pay to play we will all be victims. If they mess with our access we will be subject to propaganda, manipulation and too much government making decisions in our personal and professional lives. I thought Republicans were advocates of less government, but it seems they are quite power hungry beasts. I want to FCC and Pai to support Net Neutrality backed by Title II even if he is advocating for Verizon to represent them. He was not appointed to represent his former employer. He represents the citizens of this country. Though he might not realize that yet.
1570. Susan Alles, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net Neutrality protects our right to free speech and free and unbiased access to information
1571. Sandy Rasich, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
The internet, open as is stands, is public domain and needs to remain such. Net Neutrality is vital to millions on a daily basis. Those desiring to destroy it are trying to destroy our democracy.
1572. David Sammeth, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Our democracy demands that the Net is neutral.
1573. Tania Chavez, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We need to protect net neutrality so that speech is free and protected equally. Many of my beliefs are politically unpopular and many beliefs of those whose ideas I find reprehensible are as well. Both groups need to protected. On a more mundane plane, movies loading from one site should not be put in the fast lane while from another site are slowed. Just do right by We the People.
1574. Nicole , Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Freedom of speech is fundamental for any progressive country and I know that net neutrality puts that very freedom in jeopardy.
1575. Michael Koepke, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Chairman Pai,If we are nourish the innovation of small companies leaving net neutrality alone is paramount. Do NOT dismantle net neutrality!. If you really want to do something positive separate the media content providers from the network providers and watch everything flourish.Michael KoepkeSanta Fe, NM 87505

1576. Edward Goldgehn, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I was an executive in one of the original Internet Service Providers in Atlanta in the early 1990s. I have a very technical understanding of the delivery technologies and their implementation. Access to bandwidth needs to remain open and ubiquitous to the public without throttling or selective delivery practices based on the financial influence of corporations. Every individual should have the right to equal access to content without any interference by internet service providers. I see this issue no differently than the FCC's regulations pertaining to the provision of telephone services by telecommunication companies to the general public
1577. Daniella Rangel, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net neutrality is important to me because it gives me freedom to learn. I can look up anything I want and not have to worry if I paid for that certain site or not.
1578. Joe Dahdee, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
When the peoples freedoms are intact no one has to waste their time beheading kings
1579. Jeffrey Glickman, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Net Neutrality is nothing less that free speech, equal and available to everyone. LEAVE IT THAT WAY. How could you think otherwise?
1580. Melissa, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I support net neutrality and an equal playing field on the internet. Big tech and media companies already have such an unfair advantage; why in the world do you want to give them even more? This will have a dampening effect on startup business and innovation, which hurts the economy. It's the wrong choice and it's a bad idea.
1581. Caliya Benally, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
My family is on a low income and we barely get by, we need the internet for educational and work uses. It's not fair to make low income people to pay more.
1582. Jose Ortega, Santa Cruz, NM, 87567
Please Leave net neutrality alone, its a utility that everyone deserves in this modern age. This country is giving so many reasons for other countries to ridicule us, dont give them the reason that we have the worst internet.
1583. Cleo Peshlakai, Farmington, NM, 87401
I'm a digital artist, and like others like myself, the chance of losing the ability to distribute my artwork unless I pay to do so is counter productive. This will cause many of us to lose our income, and it's a heartless move on to take net neutrality away.

1584. Meridith Williamson, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

The internet is not only a source of entertainment, it's also how I get a lot of information; via the internet, I have access to unfiltered information which i use educate myself on things like social issues. It's how I (and everyone else with internet access) do research. As someone who is currently unemployed and looking for a job, I need the internet as i cannot go to every place of business I'd like to apply to and fill out an application there. These days, the internet is a necessity and by repealing net neutrality, you are denying many Americans a necessity. The internet is a source of many poeples' livelihoods. By denying so many internet, you are denying education, livelihood, and progress. Do your damn job of servicing the American people and keep net neutrality.

1585. Kaylee Dunnigan, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality is important for businesses and individuals to have access to any website they need or want. It's horrible to make it more expensive to access certain websites creating an unequal access to information.

1586. Deja Thomas, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

We should have the option to access every side of the story, and every opinion of the internet without bias that screens what sites we can view. Without net neutrality, only those with more money will be able to fully access everything. There are also many people who rely on the internet for their career. Without net neutrality, they're livelihood would be at stake since their consumers would be reduced in turn.

1587. Amabel Maez, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The internet is a whole new world for myself and countless others. It has offered so many opportunities over the years - learning, communication, expression, connection with those that share the same interests. I could go on and on. There are also so many people (myself included) that depend on reliable, open, and not unfairly restricted access to run businesses that make up for their entire livelihood.

Even those with so very little can find reprieve from the stresses of life and have a window into the broader world with an internet connection that is not restricted beyond the basic monthly payments. The current extreme greed being expressed by corporations so desperately seeking to shove themselves where they have no right to further interfere just because they want more money is downright disgusting.

As an individual who is sick and tired of this behavior from those who couldn't care less that there are millions and millions of lives these corpotions could and

would impact them for the worst, I refuse to stand idly by. This is my voice, and I choose to vehemently speak out against the FCC repealing the current net neutrality rules.

1588. James Starkey, Bloomfield, NM, 87413

This ruling amounts to internet censorship via wealth. Control of internet content rests solely in the hands of those controlling access channels and you have given them free rein to charge more for specific sites and domains. Voters care, voters notice.

1589. Isabel , Gallup, NM, 87301

Everyone deserves to be able to access the internet and inform themselves

1590. Marcelino tafoya, Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557

Net neutrality is important because the internet is one of the few places where everyone is on equip ground. Your website could get just as many views as a multi million dollar businesses. Net neutrality could destroy that.

1591. Sharon Tiernan, Las Vegas, NM, 87701

It'll make it a lot harder to do research papers and homework assignments, or find important life-saving information. It'll also be harder to keep track of media and what's going on in the world if this is passed... please, for the sake of everyone in America, don't do this.

1592. Amabelle Maez, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

The internet is a whole new world for myself and countless others. It has offered so many opportunities over the years - learning, communication, expression, connection with those that share the same interests. I could go on and on. There are also so many people (myself included) that depend on reliable, open, and not unfairly restricted access to run businesses that make up for their entire livelihood.

Even those with so very little can find reprieve from the stresses of life and have a window into the broader world with an internet connection that is not restricted beyond the basic monthly payments. The current extreme greed being expressed by corporations so desperately seeking to shove themselves where they have no right to further interfere just because they want more money is downright disgusting.

As an individual who is sick and tired of this behavior from those who couldn't care less that there are millions and millions of lives these corportions could and would impact them for the worst, I refuse to stand idly by. This is my voice, and

I choose to vehemently speak out against the FCC repealing the neutrality rules. STOP BEING GREEDY DISGUSTING ABSOLUTE PIGS FOR ONCE IN A LIFETIME AND CONSIDER ALL THOSE THAT ARE SIMPLY TRYING THEIR HARDEST TO LIVE.

1593. Deawna , Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Many people's careers depend on the easy accessibility of the internet. Killing net neutrality is killing jobs.
1594. Onno Rademaker, Las Vegas, NM, 87701
Hey man, net neutrality is really cool and the freedom of the Internet is really important to me as well. It would be awesome if you didn't destroy somethujg that brings billions of people happiness
1595. Nate Patrus, Espanola, NM, 87532
Information should be accessible to everyone equally. Preserve Net Neutrality!
1596. Wendy Johnson, Cochiti Lake, NM, 87083
The internet should be available to all. Corporate competition lowers costs to consumers. Giant monopolies should not have the right to determine access.
1597. Edward Baca, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Net Neutrality is essential to my FIRST AND FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS, that is, my right to free speech and my right to be secure in my person, house, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures.
1598. Annette Larkin, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
I use it in my daily life at work and at home and I work for the government. Please do not make us move backward!!!!
1599. Kathryn Grusauskas, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I like the internet the way it is. The ability to freely communicate with others is a hallmark of a free society. I do not live in North Korea or any other repressive society and shudder to think that the United States might take any action, or inaction, that might impede the free exchange of information and ideas.
1600. Cris Nein, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
It is our right to freedom of speech, business, and organizing. It should not be controlled by anyone or the government.
1601. Mary Jebesen, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
To stop the concentration of power and to leave access to a "free" internet - by that I mean I do not need comcast etc controlling my interests and sources of information.

1602. Coleen England, Farmington, NM, 87402
I don't want websites to be censored or blocked just because a company wants to. This country is all about freedom!! As Americans we should be able to keep our freedom of roaming the internet as we please without interruption! Thank you.
1603. Sharon Aguilar, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep the internet free! Don't sell my private information. Thank you
1604. E Butt, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
network neutrality has been one of the defining characteristics of the internet since its inception. Net neutrality fosters innovation in business and technology, and the freedom of speech of every American. To throw that away so that a handful of telecoms can bleed the rest of the planet dry is foolish at best, and criminal at worst. Do what is right.
1605. Robert Gurule, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
Millions of people have fought for this. We won't allow it to be taken away.
1606. Bob Funkhouser, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
I am a web consultant and programmer and net neutrality is important to my clients and my use of the internet. The interstate highway system is "neutral" and so should the internet.
1607. Mark Burton, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
The internet should not be owned by any corporation.
1608. Isela Burciaga, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
privacy is of utmost importance. If this passes, internet prices can go up. It will allow the providers to monopolize the system. I do not want my children's information to be made public.
1609. Jessica ONan, Aztec, NM, 87410
The internet is a main way of getting information here in NM. Without an educated populous we cannot have a real democracy. Main stream news offers more lies than information and with the internet we can research the truth
1610. Linda Wiener, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Etsy Shop https://www.etsy.com/shop/Buttonmonger?ref=search_shop_redirect Net neutrality is one very important way we can keep our whole society democratic. Access to low cost, fast Internet is necessary everyday. We need it to apply for jobs, apply for college, run our businesses, find information, keep in touch with friends, and make important connections. I am a woman running an Etsy company that provides me with much needed income. I absolutely depend on having affordable and fast Internet service to

run this company and make some profit. If you eliminate net neutrality, the rich individuals and corporations will not suffer at all, but the poor and middle class will lose many opportunities and have a much more difficulty making it. Please respect our diverse and not uniformly rich citizenry and keep usable Internet available to all.

1611. Tom Farrell, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

The internet needs to be an open pathway for all of us to travel down as citizens. In any society, it's important for all citizens to be equal, to have the same rights. Net neutrality is needed to keep the pathway available to all. Making it a tollway, where private interests are able to change the number of lanes available, to change the speed limit based on their own private interests, is anathema to a just "society". Loss of net neutrality will make the internet just another product for sale, along with toasters and cars. Please keep the internet world open to all.
Tom

1612. Derk Loeks, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I support net neutrality. I support open communication, creativity, research and brainstorming collaboration, all of which our America needs! Chairman Pai is a former Verizon attorney! We know why he is there and what he will do unless congress sets clear guidelines. I will look very carefully on the details of what congress does on this. My thanks for your efforts to preserve net neutrality.

1613. Andy Primm, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

As an American I very strongly support net neutrality.

1614. Joseph Moon, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Writing in favor of existing net neutrality rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every

sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Joseph Moon

1615. Jimmy Chau, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Language is one of mankind's greatest invention. Through language we can express complex thoughts, ideas, and emotions. The words you are reading right now have been invented so the brain can process this information and transmute them into concepts that can be readily interpreted by the brain. Close your eyes and visualize the word "cow", and the brain presents an image of a cow. It's amazing what language is and what it represents. The word "cow" represents an animal and the sounds it can make. The beauty of language is not that we can say what can hurt us, or what can help us. It's not that many words in different languages mean the same thing. The beauty is that language evolved with humankind. Language has grown and died with humans. Communication has been used through civilized societies and local communities to grow and prosper. Today, language has evolved with these communities and have grown past the physical sense into the virtual sense. Right now I'm communicating to you, not in person, but over the world wide web, the internet. These words that are being communicated are not bound to physical realm such as space and time. It could be days later, maybe even weeks, but the fact of the matter is language or communication has evolved. Today, many different expressions of language are communicated on the internet through blogs, vlogs, videos, articles, even tweets. There's something that can hurt us, and that something is not having net neutrality. Net neutrality is beneficial for humankind and society as a whole. People should be allowed to access this great thing called the internet. All this access to knowledge should be available for everyone. Not having net neutrality is detrimental to freedom of speech. No one should have control or power to restrict the voices of people and the information on the internet. Not having net neutrality is sin to progress and development of humankind. It imposes restriction on freedom of speech and the ability to communicate information. Children are growing up with technology. They are learning how to communicate and interact with technology. The children of tomorrow's world should not be robbed of this right to access information that is already available. The kids of tomorrow could be next Albert Einstein or Bill Gates. Not having net neutrality means they can be prevented from learning and making great contributions if there's no net neutrality laws that exist. This can

restrict them accessing Google.com and learning information from hundreds of millions of people. Restricting access to information and repressing freedom of speech is a crime against humanity and human progress. Big corporations or Internet service providers should not have power over the internet in terms of throttling or preventing access to sites. Doing so is harmful to the collective human experience and progress. The kids of the future have the right to be able to access this information equally as everyone else. The internet should have net neutrality laws and should be equally accessible to anyone. We should grow as species, not be hindered by the greed of corporations trying making profit off what should be free and neutral in the first place. What future do we have if we are ruled by greedy corporations who only care about their wallets, rather than the future of our kids? "Society grows great when old men plant trees whose shade they know they shall never sit in." - Greek Proverb

1616. Jimmy Chau, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

Language is one of mankind's greatest invention. Through language we can express complex thoughts, ideas, and emotions. The words you are reading right now have been invented so the brain can process this information and transmute them into concepts that can be readily interpreted by the brain. Close your eyes and visualize the word "cow", and the brain presents an image of a cow. It's amazing what language is and what it represents. The word "cow" represents an animal and the sounds it can make. The beauty of language is not that we can say what can hurt us, or what can help us. It's not that many words in different languages mean the same thing. The beauty is that language evolved with humankind. Language has grown and died with humans. Communication has been used through civilized societies and local communities to grow and prosper. Today, language has evolved with these communities and have grown past the physical sense into the virtual sense. Right now I'm communicating to you, not in person, but over the world wide web, the internet. These words that are being communicated are not bound to physical realm such as space and time. It could be days later, maybe even weeks, but the fact of the matter is language or communication has evolved. Today, many different expressions of language are communicated on the internet through blogs, vlogs, videos, articles, even tweets. There's something that can hurt us, and that something is not having net neutrality. Net neutrality is beneficial for humankind and society as a whole. People should be allowed to access this great thing called the internet. All this access to knowledge should be available for everyone. Not having net neutrality is detrimental to freedom of speech. No one should have control or power to restrict the voices of people and the information on the internet. Not having net neutrality is sin to progress and development of humankind. It imposes restriction on freedom of speech and the ability to communicate information. Children are growing up with technology. They are learning how to communicate and interact with technology. The children of tomorrow's world should not be robbed of this right to access information that is

already available. The kids of tomorrow could be next Albert Einstein or Bill Gates. Not having net neutrality means they can be prevented from learning and making great contributions if there's no net neutrality laws that exist. This can restrict them accessing Google.com and learning information from hundreds of millions of people. Restricting access to information and repressing freedom of speech is a crime against humanity and human progress. Big corporations or Internet service providers should not have power over the internet in terms of throttling or preventing access to sites. Doing so is harmful to the collective human experience and progress. The kids of the future have the right to be able to access this information equally as everyone else. The internet should have net neutrality laws and should be equally accessible to anyone. We should grow as species, not be hindered by the greed of corporations trying making profit off what should be free and neutral in the first place. What future do we have if we are ruled by greedy corporations who only care about their wallets, rather than the future of our kids? "Society grows great when old men plant trees whose shade they know they shall never sit in." - Greek Proverb Jimmy Chau

1617. Tori Myers, Farmington, NM, 87401

Net neutrality is essential in today's world. Students of every age rely on digital copies of research materials, the use of communication technology, and other necessary sources of information that they will no longer have access to if companies are permitted to have a monopoly to prevent low cost access, or if they have the power to prevent the sites, data, and information that they dislike. China is famous for their disastrous results of restricting internet access and information when it is counter to their national version of history or human rights. America should not be considering any action that would leave our citizens in the same situation as restrictions in China. We all deserve the same access to the internet and we all deserve to view the data and information we want to learn more about, not what some company executives thinks is "best" for us or is only "acceptable" for those who pay higher bills.

1618. Linda Chamberlin, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and everyone else, besides. I urge you to protect them. The ISPs should never have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs would be a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he

intends to do exactly this, and probably has been offered some juicy bribes into the bargain (which we should look into). But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. That's a pretty stupid strategy, or lack thereof. Internet providers would be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs would be able to make it more difficult to access any political speech that they don't like. They'd be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. (Seriously, what bribes have been offered?) So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Linda Chamberlin

1619. Lynsey, Albuquerque, NM, 87114
ensure net neutrality! Title 2 supports free exchange of ideas and DEMOCRACY
1620. willis jack hendrickson, Tucumcari, NM, 88401
you know you gone and messed up when both conservatives and liberals, both right and left, extremist and moderates young and old gay and strait sjw and anti sjw, Catholics and atheist say in a united voice dont do it. im saying dont do it. take away net neutrality and you will flush your money down the shizen hole. no one likes the idea of taking away net neutrality and no one is going to pay for it. willis jack hendrickson
1621. Clara, Santa Fe, NM, 87582
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, and do not believe anything ISP's say about there still being "free and open Internet" without the regulations passed during the Obama Administration. Please take into consideration all the comments that you have received during the past few weeks as the people genuinely appreciate current regulations and would like to keep things this way.
1622. kenneth krasity, santa fe, NM, 87505
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I am especially worried about providers throttling in a manner that gives an unfair advantage to their services or the services of their affiliates. I simply don't believe providers will act in my

interest or the public interest when confronted by financial incentives to stifle competing content.

1623. Brendan, Fort Wingate, NM, 87316

Listen here, I want a free internet. If you vote against net neutrality this will be the biggest mistake of your life!Brendan

1624. Allen Bell, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I support keeping internet neutrality. I have DSL through CenturyLink. I have the opportunity to subscribe to movie channels (Starz, HBO, Cinemax, Showtime) through Amazon. I order merchandise frequently from Amazon and am a Prime member. As a Prime member, a television service through Amazon is included. I also subscribe directly to Netflix. I receive all of these services and more through my internet connection. CenturyLink is partnered with Directv in that CenturyLink offers a monthly rebate for Directv subscribers who pay their Directv bill through CenturyLink. If net neutrality is rescinded, CenturyLink could easily impede my DSL service from Amazon and Netflix servers to the point that my subscription services would be worthless in an attempt to force me into Directv. My options for TV subscription services would all be considerably more limited and higher priced on a monthly basis as well as for initial setups if I were forced into a satellite or cable provider. Amazon and Netflix would be put in the position of having to negotiate with CenturyLink to ensure their subscription television services would not be interfered with which would increase prices to me. I don't want to have to "navigate" from one provider to another as providers strike deals with different subscription services. I like my subscriptions but I don't have time or money to shop around every time a TV provider jumps into bed with a different subscription provider. Rolling back Title II won't save consumers money. It will just give communication companies more ways to squeeze more money out of consumers.

1625. Jessica Johnsen, Las Vegas, NM, 87701

Please keep the internet the free and open utility that it is. Leave it as it is! Net neutrality is so, so necessary to allow equal access to all sites on the internet, not just the ones that can afford to pay to essentially force us to visit their sites only.

1626. Cory Jenkins, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144

I support net neutrality under Title II oversight

1627. Robert Morlino, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Net neutrality should be maintained. The internet needs to remain a public utility with services that are open and equal to all. It amazes me that so many Americans facilitate their complaints about government with the idea that it

"picks winners and losers," and yet that is exactly what they advocate for here. We will not let a violation of net neutrality stand. Go after it and lose money and political capital.

1628. Guin White, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I'm writing to compel you to Keep Net Neutrality! The internet should be kept free and open for all to use without restraints, limitations or favoritism due to profiteering. The internet was established to share information freely and the way we live has been significantly improved, in a very democratic way, as a result. We should continue to improve the internet and keeping it free and open for ALL is the only way to improve it and to continue improving all our lives. Thank you.

1629. Angela Werneke, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Internet freedom and net neutrality are essential to a free and open democracy and an informed citizenry. Please protect the right of all citizens to have access to a free and open internet.

1630. Matt Schultze, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

I don't want anyone messing with my search results

1631. Nellie Harris, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

I don't like the idea of someone else telling me what I can watch.

1632. Rachele, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124

Net neutrality is critical to economic development, free speech, and freedom of choice. In essence, it is a fundamental part of the American Dream.

1633. Gerilyn Healey, Taos, NM, 87571

INFORMATION SHOULD BE FREE AND ACCESSIBLE TO ALL AMERICANS, IN THE SPIRIT OF THE PUBLIC LIBRARY!

1634. William Yelvington, Santa Cruz , NM, 87567

If our country is to remain free , as many of our hard fought freedoms are disappearing, as media is increasingly becoming a tool of commercialism, net neutrality must remain or the one venue left for free speech, for each to have a voice, will disappear as well.

1635. David Kuhnert, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514

Net Neutrality = Freedom of speech as protected by our Constitution.

Compromising net neutrality means limiting the amount of KNOWLEDGE available to ALL people. A well informed public is necessary for a functioning democracy. Already we are barely functioning.

1636. Gerilyn Healey, Taos, NM, 87571

Information must flow freely in a free and open society. I rely on the internet for NEWS and communication.

1637. Margaret Pettengill, Santa Fe, NM, 87508
Please maintain net neutrality. Don't bow under big business pressure. Thank you.
1638. Dan Kuehn, Questa, NM, 87556
I will only vote for candidates who support net neutrality. How's that?
1639. Brian Martinez, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
The internet needs to remain neutral and not something that can be sold to the highest bidder. With the bandwidth caps and throttling that WILL occur if the internet is deregulated I cannot see how anyone would think that deregulation would be a good idea. Please keep the internet registered as a utility.
1640. Nathan M. Bonsal, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
Net Neutrality is the only way I get what I pay for. I pay for INTERNET access, not a pre-chosen selection of the largest sites, with the others at low bandwidth. The internet is a NETWORK, not programming. Preserve net neutrality.
1641. Spencer McGuire, Farmington, NM, 87402
With the technological revolution that has happened in the last 30 years, the internet has become a place that is used to spread ideas and thoughts. The younger generations, as well as the generations to come, will use it as their main source of communication, and therefore, it must be defended at all costs to preserve the free speech that the internet in the United States of America currently enjoys. We do not need to have corporations doing the same thing to the internet that other foreign governments do, which most everyone agrees is wrong (Think China's firewall, and what the middle eastern governments attempted to do during the Arab spring in 2010.) You can say that the Internet Providers would never block free speech, but there are already instances of this happening, which is what spurred the FCC to make the internet a title 2 utility in February of 2015. The only people that opposed that in 2015 were the internet providers themselves, because they knew that it would stop them from nickel and diming the customer, and would block them from blocking others on the internet.
1642. James A. Cobb, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I strongly support net neutrality. I have been a member of the internet community as far back as the late 80s. I really enjoy, personally, and find great use for, professionally all of the health and science related information that is available. If this information became less available or more expensive to access this would make me less effective in my work as a physician.

1643. Roman Selever, albuquerque, NM, 87114

I'm writing in opposition of your plans to remove net neutrality protections. You are removing the few restrictions that are protecting consumers from being extorted by, what are in most areas, monopolies or duopolies. These companies have, in the past, demonstrated they cannot be trusted to not abuse their market positions. I'm not in favor of regulations, and would prefer competition and market forces to keep these companies in check, but until this exists, it does not make sense to remove the few protections we have. Even with these existing protections, we've still seen prices steadily increasing, as there currently are no price controls, and wide spread implementation of data caps. This abuse in most markets is most apparent when compared to the few areas with actual competition, as we've seen with Google fibers slow expansion. In those areas data caps are avoided, and monthly costs for internet service is a fraction of what other areas, like mine, are charged for the same service. Until a year ago, my neighborhood had only one option that met the definition of broadband. Fortunately, even with the net neutrality rules in place, the phone company finally increased their internet speeds to exceed the minimum broadband requirement, though some neighbors that have tried it still report substandard service and the rare ability to meet advertised speeds. We're stuck paying for internet only service that continues to increase in price 1 - 2 times per year with no viable alternative. As a cord cutting family, with several members in our household that use the internet, we need a reliable service that can handle multiple simultaneous users. We also can't depend on mobile services as they clearly don't allow an adequate amount of data to handle a month's worth of normal family streaming. Comcast, in a very shrewd move, has started to implement very large data caps. It's been done in such a way that most users today won't notice. But as more families cut the cord, and video quality increases, the data cap that most won't come close to exceeding today, will be very restrictive by the time most people notice. At that point, it will already be a common practice and something that won't be reversed without actual competition or additional restrictions imposed on these companies. This will mean families will have to face additional, unreasonably high, additional data fees or as we're preparing to do, will cancel those video streaming services to stay below the arbitrary caps. This will pose a direct challenge to those streaming services, existing and new, that are competing with companies like Comcast that exempt their own streaming services. As mentioned above, I'd prefer a market solution and would not oppose the removal of net neutrality rules if you first implemented policies that increased competition. Once it's clear there is sufficient competition, those areas that have it can have the net neutrality rules removed. But to remove these rules before there adequate competition is basically you throwing the American consumer to the wolves.

1644. Sabina Johnson, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Don't mess with it you greedy bastards!

1645. Adair Ferdon, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is important to me and I vote. Keep the 2015 order especially Title II in which ISPs can't charge more for internet access to "fastlanes", reduce service speeds, arbitrarily block websites or allow common carriers to "make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classification a, regulations, facilities, or services,". Let the guidelines serve THE PUBLIC INTEREST

1646. arvo thomson, tierra amarilla, NM, 87575

no one [amongst internet users] wants internet neutrality to change, so don't do it. isn't this how democracy works?

1647. Gail R Patterson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I wish to express my deep and profound support for net neutrality, and the defeat of any measure to control and destroy the freedom of information on the internet. There is no more important basis for democracy than a well informed public, and the profits and consolidation of power of giant corporations is antithetical to the survival of wise governance. The FCC must not be allowed to let mega corporations control what information is given priority on the internet, and the 2015 regulations that ensure net neutrality must be retained to ensure the future of America as a well-informed democracy.

1648. Steve Gottshall, Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Please protect net neutrality--the internet is a public good and needs to be protected as such

1649. Randy C Weber, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

The internet should remain a free and open medium whereby no ISP monitors or throttles service based upon the content. ISPs are just like telephone companies--no one wants the phone company to charge extra for certain types of local calls. AND, the very thought that a provider is monitoring the subject of a conversation, and possibly selling details of it, is akin to eavesdropping and should be outlawed.

1650. Josh Montgomery, Raton, NM, 87740

Be sure to balance the popularity of the companies pushing these changes- ISPs- when considering this action. They are despised. They do a profoundly poor job of serving their customers. This is still, tentatively, a democracy. Remember there are electoral consequences when you side with decidedly anti-democratic forces. Please consider that your efforts to undo previous regulations endangers the free flow of, and access to, information that benefits our society. Do not benefit a wealthy few at the expense of not only hundreds of millions of Americans, but also the systems we cherish.

1651. Mimi Hurd, Abiquiu, NM, 87510

I believe it is imperative to preserve Title II classification for ISP regardless of concerns about investment etc because the internet really has become the most important public utility in the daily lives of most Americans.

1652. Danny Scott Mullins, Albuquerque, NM, 87114

My wife and I both are active politically and vote. Net neutrality is an issue widely popular by both the left and the right. There is only one reason to take away net neutrality and that is to make companies more money. It will not help consumers in the slightest. I strongly feel that we should leave the internet open and free in this company. It's what consumers want!

1653. David Nelson, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I disagree strongly with the FCC's attempt to (a) make the Internet less available to people of limited means, and (b) more vulnerable to bandwidth and access limitations which will stifle open use of this crucially necessary resource for citizens. The market for communication services in the United States is by no means free in terms of barriers to entry for prospective Internet providers and existing protections are already less than adequate. The FCC's current tendency to make this situation worse shows a lack of character and/or understanding. It is highly unlikely that the FCC does not understand this issue in private.

1654. Stephanie Johnson, Espanola, NM, 87532

If there is no internet neutrality, there is no America. The Freedoms we have enjoyed of thought and imagination depend upon measures like this one. Keeping our Internet neutral and open to all, not dictated by big corporations.

1655. Gabriel, clovis , NM, 88101

Fuck you FCC. Our government already fucks the people enough as it is. Back the fuck off, and stop being bought by fucking corporations. Greedy fucks

1656. Eric Heatwole, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Dear FCC, The internet is a powerful tool for not just the sharing of information but for the purposes of free speech, both of which are a fundamental pillars of our society. In our rapidly evolving world, where both technology and science play a critical part, the ability to quickly share technical information is crucial for both learning and developing new technology which drives Americas economic engine. Also, the ability for producers to sell their merchandise online has led to a whole economic boon on it's own. Removing Title II will have a negative effect on all these benefits to our society. While the large ISP claim to be in support of the principle of net neutrality, the profit motive behind the elimination of Title II will quickly lead to the degradation of a free and open internet. The removal of Title II will most likely lead to fewer options and less diverse internet, where the ISPs have too much power over what I can do

online. This power can lead to discrimination or deliberate slowing of certain websites, be it via a profit motive (don't use our competitors web service - it's slow!) or a political motive (poisoning information against candidates or laws). Also, this will give large businesses an unfair advantage against small business. How can I buy their product if I can't get to their website?So, please, don't not bow to lobbying of the large ISPs out there and do your duty as a public servant for what is best for America. Keep Title II in place and keep the internet neutral.Sincerely,Eric Heatwole

1657. Michael Perkins, Santa Fe, NM, 87508

It is the FCC's responsibility to protect the public interest in matters regarding communications. The internet is an information medium. Title II classifications for IPS are essential for protecting equal accessibility of information. Title II protects the publics right to unfiltered access. I urge FCC to reject the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal, which would restrict access to internet content due to "free market" forces. REJECT "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal AND PROTECT EXISTING Title II classifications for IPS!

1658. Bob Andrews, Taos, NM, 87571

Aa a musician with a website, wiki and YouTube Channel, I want people to be able to access my sites free of any restrictions put up by large ISP companies. The internet should be a level playing field for all businesses, not a pay for access system. The prices that these companies charge users for internet access is already far higher than they should be. My small local ISP charges much less than Comcast or Century Link for 5 times the speed.

1659. Corina , Santa Fe, NM, 87508

Net Neutrality is important to me for multiple reasons. The first is because it allows for me to communicate with my friends and family overseas. The second is because it allows for me to discover amazing and crazy new content that I wouldn't have otherwise stumbled upon. And the third is because of the small businesses and artists that wouldn't be known or make money otherwise. I understand that this may not be in the best interest of you, but that is a different discussion entirely. Please just let us have neutral internet

1660. Philip Lehrman, Farmington, NM, 87499

Dear Congress and the FCC,My name is Philip Lehrman. I am a teenage entrepreneur and a frequent user of the internet. I use the internet to grow my small business and for school work. If net neutrality disappears I will no longer be able to access the websites needed for my schoolwork and business without paying a fee. The internet should be free and fast for all to use. I already pay a premium fee to our ISP for this access. There shouldn't be a fee on top of that. We are at a point in society where people need to access the internet for everything. It shouldn't cost more than what we already pay. Why should we

have to pay more to look up valuable info? Why should we have to pay more to watch an educational video on YouTube or donate to a charity? I believe you shouldn't get rid of net neutrality. Net neutrality is fair to the consumers and citizens of the U.S. and shouldn't be taken away. Sincerely, Philip

1661. Sabina , Santa Fe, NM, 87507

Net Neutrality is important to me for many reasons, of which these are a few: first, it allows for me to communicate with friends and family that I would otherwise not be able to communicate with, because they are overseas. Second, it allows for me to find out any information I want, regardless of what the government thinks is right or wrong. Third, it allows for me to discover content, businesses, and artist that I would've otherwise never heard of, which is important for the economy and my own sanity. Please keep the internet a neutral place.

1662. Howard Shapiro, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Net neutrality is very important as big corporations like verizon must NOT have the power to control the sites I go to on the internet by using throttling or other means.

1663. Stephanie , Santa Fe, NM, 87505

We need to keep open access to all information on the internet for everyone!!

1664. Jack Snacke, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Please repeal the Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). The government does not need to inject itself into every facet of our lives since that drives up the costs for consumers and cripples competition - prices of college, and the prices of lasic surgery for a contrast, are great examples. Get rid of "Net Neutrality"!

1665. Katherine Sanford, Questa, NM, 87556

Net Neutrality means me having an income. It means being able to connect with people throughout the world, stay connected with my family while I'm living abroad, along with all my friends who live all over the globe. Without net neutrality I won't be able to afford social networking or having a meaningful work.

1666. Shelly Gold, Santa Fe, NM, 87506

Please remember we have a first amendment.

1667. Lucinda Lynch, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

This is unacceptable! A way to shut down the revolution?

1668. Angela Bates, Arroyo Seco, NM, 87514

The airwaves belong to the people, not the corporations!

1669. Gork , Los Alamos, NM, 87505
First of all why is a Lawyer for a telco alwed to chair the FCC. Secondly why don't we(US denzians) have FREE 2gig EACH WAY internet. Why is this even a question? MaBel says hi for how well not having rules worked. Rules help people sort out what to do. They keep things structured. Norules and you get the telco squable from about 1992 or so to 2005 . No one sane needs or wants that BS. CableCompanies have rew rules. as chair. you see how terribly that's working. their's one CableCompany the FCC has a ongoing squable with them. So you can see why no sane american thinks ISPS can work without rules. They can't. This is a troll by Verizon.
1670. George Rowe, Aztec, NM, 87410
Net neutrality must be maintained and protected as a basic right to privacy guaranteed by the Constitution
1671. bradley , springer, NM, 87747
Leave the only thing we got left alone
1672. Janet Elder, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
Keep the net neutral. Customers vote with their wallets.
1673. James Barber, Santa Fe, NM, 87506
Trump crime family trying to destroy the working class and poor citizens means of communicating. Just like with Sinclair, the republican propaganda network.
1674. Franchesca Zoppe, Angel Fire, NM, 87710
We are not in a dictatorship that controls the Internet...get real!!!!
ÄŒÄ;ÄÿÄ™ÄÿÄ·ÄÿÄ
1675. Baji Milici, Santa Fe, NM, 87507
So many people and businesses utilize the Internet. It needs to be a neutral frontier for everyone.
1676. Sanford, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
The internet is a public resource. Don't turn access into a commodity available only to the highest bidder with the best data gathering apparatus to manipulate users! Keep it free and open!
1677. Steven Pordes, Medanales, NM, 87548
fight them at every turn
1678. Rive, Lamy, NM, 87540
Keep the internet free and open. Do not eliminate net neutrality.
1679. Rebecca Oertel, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

Net neutrality is our right to free speech, has resulted in major new inventions, and is a cornerstone to an open market. Keep it as it is.

1680. Warrin, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
We must have a free internet , without constraints.
1681. Ioanna Carlsen, tesuque, NM, 87574
we reject trump and the gop and their attempts to take away every decency from our lives
1682. Tony Tomei, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
Keep the Internet open and free - Support Net Neutrality.
1683. Anna Heiniger, Santa Fe, NM, 87501
I agree with Net Neutrality - Corporate interest in cyber issues is out of control!!!!!!
1684. Jordan A., Ranchos de Taos, NM, 87557
The internet has become a basic necessity for the community at large. Without it, we lose the independence we have been introduced to, and which we have grown accustomed to. After years of open access for users, internet providers should not now have the power to say whom can use the Internet, and when, ultimately gaining discriminating power over the citizens of this planet, in yet one more display of inequity -- of corporation over citizen. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.
1685. Stephanie Romo, Cerrillos, NM, 87010
Internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content -- especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.
1686. Eduardo Santiago, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
It saddens me that this is still under debate. Net Neutrality is what allows a free, democratic Internet. Even with all the flaws and pitfalls resulting from that freedom, the alternative is stagnation; economic as well as intellectual. Please support and defend Net Neutrality.
1687. Sarah Potter, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
I am AGAINST changes to the current net neutrality rules.
1688. Gianna Delorey, Los Alamos, NM, 87544
The Commission's 2015 decision to subject ISPs to Title II utility "style

regulations was the best decision for this country. The internet and internet companies are ubiquitous in our modern society. These technologies are a necessary and needed part of life. Our children will grow up not knowing a world without the internet. The internet is a utility and should be treated and regulated as a utility.

1689. Garrett Williams, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

I have more than a decade of experience as small business owner specializing in web development and tech support. It is more than clear that allowing ISP's to govern themselves will result in a disaster for the free market that is the web. I feel that to protect our efficient and thriving web economy it is vital that the gate to entry be held open for the little guy with his innovations and hope for the future. That is the role of the FCC and why I support net neutrality. Don't let me down...

1690. Stephen Robertson, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I am concerned that a reduction in protections of Net Neutrality would drastically change how the internet works. The few large ISPs used by most people would be able to dictate what people can access. They would be able to bully other businesses for any reason, be it monetary or political. For instance, Comcast throttled access to Netflix in an attempt to gain advantage in bargaining. For the sake of equal access to services, a level playing field for business, and open communication between people please maintain the protections of Net Neutrality.

1691. Daniel Craig, Santa Fe, NM, 87505

I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality. Having access to the internet as it exists has been beneficial to me in networking with individuals and businesses, making access to them indispensable. I was able to take some college courses on-line as a result of the availability. Unfettered access to the internet as it exists makes it easier to find information important to me quickly and efficiently. As a case manager in a homeless clinic I am able to find information essential to our patient's needs without restricting what is available to meet their needs. Lacking access to all information available through the internet is important for their health and well-being.

1692. Janice Kunz, Corrales, NM, 87048

Net neutrality is essential. PLEASE do not tamper with it.

1693. Kyle Tyson, Farmington, NM, 87402

Net Neutrality must remain in place. Open Internet is the only way to go.

1694. Kurt Phillips, Clovis, NM, 88101

Please keep title 2 and preserve net neutrality!

1695. Scott Holsworth, Rio Rancho, NM, 87144
I believe we should keep net neutrality laws and not allow company's to charge for prioritization or fast lanes. All traffic should be treated as equal and freedom from restriction should be guaranteed. I am a firm believer in open and free market systems. But because of the way in which the telecommunication/cable/cell providers have and do continue to operate, such as by dividing up and monopolizing sections of states and cities, they have a strangle hold on the consumer as well as content providers. There really is no free market when it comes to internet access. Some might say cell phones can be considered competition to cable or DSL or satellite and vice versa but this is a fallacy. These companies operate much like utility company's do with little or no competition. These bohemouth companies will take away freedom of speech and expression on a whim without any regard for a citizens rights. If net neutrality is taken away, they will control all access to information on the web and deny access to it as they see fit to further their money making agenda.
1696. Nicholas A Spurlock, Rio Rancho, NM, 87124
A free, fair, and open internet is a fundamental component to the growth of an informed public which is in turn the key to a healthy democratic system.
1697. Barry Emery, Aztec, NM, 87410
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 'll oversight of isp
1698. Evan Galpert, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP'sand impeach Trump
1699. Rachael Penn, Santa Fe, NM, 87505
Please protect Net Neutrality and do not reverse Title II. If we do not protect the free flow of information, we will forever change the great asset that the internet is, severely limiting our (and future generation's) access to education, history and professional and social networking. This would in-effect completely destroy the free-low of information and human connection that the internet is. We need to protect it, its worth it!
1700. LJ Merrill, Tres Piedras, NM, 87577
Free speech is our most important right, even if it's offensive, sorry to say. These rules will only benefit the already-too-wealthy and those who don't want people like me to have a voice. Please do not impose this on US citizens. Thanks.
1701. chris baldwin, Rio rancho, NM, 87124
Maintain net nuetrality and title 2
1702. America M Young,RN, Santa Fe, NM, 87501

Support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs'

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.