

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CONGRESS
Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115
Majority (202) 225-2927
Minority (202) 225-3641
November 20, 2017

Mr. John Stevens
Statewide Interoperability Coordinator
New Hampshire Department of Safety
33 Hazen Drive
Concord, NH 03305

Dear Mr. Stevens:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on Wednesday, November 1, 2017, to testify at the hearing entitled "Oversight of FirstNet: State Perspectives."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Wednesday, December 6, 2017. Your responses should be mailed to Evan Viau, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed to Evan.Viau@mail.house.gov.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



Marsha Blackburn
Chairman
Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

cc: The Honorable Michael F. Doyle, Ranking Member,
Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

Attachment

Additional Questions for the Record

The Honorable Peter Welch

1. What are the advantages that the State of New Hampshire has identified in potentially opting out, building and managing a state RAN in partnership with a carrier, integrator?
 2. Does Rivada have partners and teaming members that have wireless operating experience?
 3. Does Rivada have partners and teaming members that operate wireless networks today? Has this influenced your views on the reliability of partnering with Rivada?
 4. Has FirstNet reached out to you to help assist you in any effort to opt out or have they only pushed opt in solutions? Please describe. Has FirstNet suggested that penalties and the process would be too hard to do an opt-out?
 5. Can you expand on Rivada Networks public safety communications experience and why you believe it is an appropriate fit for a State who opts- out?
 6. How would you characterize Rivada's responsiveness to your specific State needs in New Hampshire? Can you speak to how this has helped or hindered the decision-making process in New Hampshire?
-