

FRED UPTON, MICHIGAN  
CHAIRMAN

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA  
RANKING MEMBER

ONE HUNDRED THIRTEENTH CONGRESS  
**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE  
2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115  
Majority (202) 225-2927  
Minority (202) 225-3641

December 20, 2013

Mr. Harold Feld  
Senior Vice President  
Public Knowledge  
1818 N Street, N.W., Suite 410  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Dear Mr. Feld:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on October 23, 2013, to testify at the hearing entitled "The Evolution of Wired Communications Networks."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions by the close of business on January 14, 2014. Your responses should be e-mailed to the Legislative Clerk in Word format at [Charlotte.savercool@mail.house.gov](mailto:Charlotte.savercool@mail.house.gov) and mailed to Charlotte Savercool, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,



Greg Walden  
Chairman

Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

cc: Anna Eshoo, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

Attachment

## **Attachment – Additional Questions for the Record**

### **The Honorable Anna Eshoo**

1. In Mr. Cicconi's testimony, he states that the trials AT&T has proposed "would offer clear benefits with no costs." Do you agree with this assertion? If not, what steps should the FCC take to mitigate any potential for consumer harm?