- 1 Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
- 2 RPTS GONZALEZ
- 3 HIF137140
- 4
- 5 MARKUP ON:
- 6 H.R. 3433 (MCCAUL AND ESHOO), GIVE KIDS A CHANCE ACT;
- 7 H.R. 7383 (MATSUI AND BILIRAKIS), RETAINING ACCESS AND
- 8 RESTORING EXCLUSIVITY (RARE) ACT;
- 9 H.R. 7384 (MCCAUL AND ESHOO), CREATING HOPE REAUTHORIZATION
- 10 ACT OF 2024;
- 11 H.R. 7188 (MOOLENAAR AND DINGELL), SHANDRA EISENGA HUMAN CELL
- 12 AND TISSUE PRODUCT SAFETY ACT;
- 13 H.R. 6033 (STEEL AND GOMEZ), SUPPORTING PATIENT EDUCATION AND
- 14 KNOWLEDGE (SPEAK) ACT OF 2023;
- 15 H.R. 7858 (JAMES AND D.G. DAVIS), TELEHEALTH ENHANCEMENT FOR
- 16 MENTAL HEALTH ACT OF 2024;
- 17 H.R. 7623 (CARTER AND BLUNT ROCHESTER), TELEHEALTH
- 18 MODERNIZATION ACT OF 2024;
- 19 H.R. 1406 (JOYCE AND PETERS), SUSTAINABLE CARDIOPULMONARY
- 20 REHABILITATION SERVICES IN THE HOME ACT;
- 21 H.R. 7856 (DEGETTE AND BILIRAKIS), PREVENT DIABETES ACT;

22	H.R. 5394 (BALDERSON AND PORTER), EXPANDING REMOTE MONITORING
23	ACCESS ACT;
24	H.R. 1199 (DUNN AND PETERS), FACILITATING INNOVATIVE NUCLEAR
25	DIAGNOSTICS ACT OF 2023;
26	H.R. 6020 (OBERNOLTE AND DELBENE), HONOR OUR LIVING DONORS
27	ACT;
28	H.R. 455 (BURCHETT AND COHEN), TO AMEND THE CONTROLLED
29	SUBSTANCES ACT TO FIX A TECHNICAL ERROR IN THE DEFINITIONS;
30	H.R. 7213 (C. SMITH AND CUELLAR), AUTISM COLLABORATION,
31	ACCOUNTABILITY, RESEARCH, EDUCATION, AND SUPPORT (CARES) ACT
32	OF 2024;
33	H.R. 4534 (BOYLE AND FITZPATRICK), WOMEN AND LUNG CANCER
34	RESEARCH AND PREVENTIVE SERVICES ACT OF 2023;
35	H.R. 670 (MOLINARO AND SHERRILL), THINK DIFFERENTLY DATABASE
36	ACT;
37	H.R. 8084 (BILIRAKIS AND CRAIG), LIVE BENEFICIARIES ACT;
38	H.R. 8089 (GARCIA AND PETERS), MEDICARE AND MEDICAID FRAUD
39	PREVENTION ACT OF 2024;
40	H.R. 8111 (MILLER-MEEKS AND CARTWRIGHT), TO AMEND TITLE XIX
41	OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT TO ENSURE THE RELIABILITY OF
42	ADDRESS INFORMATION PROVIDED UNDER THE MEDICAID PROGRAM;
	2

43 H.R. 8112 (REP. D'ESPOSITO), TO AMEND TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT TO FURTHER REQUIRE CERTAIN ADDITIONAL PROVIDER 44 45 SCREENING UNDER THE MEDICAID PROGRAM; H.R. 4758 (TRAHAN AND MILLER-MEEKS), ACCELERATING KIDS' 46 ACCESS TO CARE ACT; 47 H.R. 3227 (ESTES AND CONNOLLY), ENSURING SENIORS' ACCESS TO 48 OUALITY CARE ACT; AND 49 H.R. 468 (GUTHRIE AND DEAN), BUILDING AMERICA'S HEALTH CARE 50 WORKFORCE ACT 51 52 THURSDAY, MAY 16, 2024 53 House of Representatives, 54 Subcommittee on Health, 55 Committee on Energy and Commerce, 56 Washington, D.C. 57 58 59 60 The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:01 a.m. in Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Brett Guthrie 61 [Chairman of the Subcommittee], presiding. 62 Present: Representatives Guthrie, Burgess, Latta, 63 3

Griffith, Bilirakis, Bucshon, Carter, Dunn, Pence, Crenshaw,
Joyce, Balderson, Miller-Meeks, Obernolte, and Rodgers (ex
officio); Eshoo, Sarbanes, Cardenas, Ruiz, Dingell, Kuster,
Kelly, Barragan, Blunt Rochester, Craig, Schrier, and Pallone
(ex officio).

Staff Present: Kate Arey, Digital Director, Press; 69 Jolie Brochin, Junior Professional Staff, Health; Sarah 70 Burke, Deputy Staff Director, FO; Abigail Carroll, FDA 71 Detailee, Health; Marjorie Connell; Director of Archives, FO; 72 Nick Crocker, Senior Advisor & Director of Coalitions, FO; 73 Corey Ensslin, Senior Policy Advisor, Health; Kristin Flukey, 74 Professional Staff Member, Health; Seth Gold, Professional 75 Staff Member, Health; Grace Graham, Chief Counsel, Health; 76 Sydney Greene, Director of Operations, FO; Jay Gulshen, 77 Senior Professional Staff, Health; Rebecca Hagigh, Executive 78 Assistant, FO; Jessica Herron, Clerk, IDC; Nate Hodson, Staff 79 Director, FO; Calvin Huggins, Staff Assistant, FO; Tara 80 Hupman, Chief Counsel; Noah Jackson, Clerk, C&T; Sean Kelly, 81 Press Secretary, Press; Lauren Kennedy, Clerk, O&I; Alex 82 Khlopin, Staff Assistant, FO; Peter Kielty, General Counsel, 83 FO; Emily King, Member Services Director; Molly Lolli, 84

85 Counsel, Health; Mary Martin, Chief Counsel, E&E; Kaitlyn Peterson, Clerk, E&E; Carla Rafael, Senior Staff Assistant, 86 87 FO; Emma Schultheis, Clerk, Health; Dray Thorne, Director of Information Technology, FO; Caitlin Wilson, Counsel, Health; 88 Lydia Abma, Minority Policy Analyst; Shana Beavin, Minority 89 Professional Staff Member; Jennifer Black, Minority FDA 90 Detailee; Jacquelyn Bolen, Minority Health Counsel; Keegan 91 92 Cardman, Minority Staff Assistant; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, 93 Minority Staff Director; Perry Hamilton, Minority Member 94 Services & Outreach Manager, Saha Khaterzai, Minority 95 Professional Staff Member; Mackenzie Kuhl, Minority Digital 96 Manager; Una Lee, Minority Chief Health Counsel; Gayle 97 Mauser, Minority Health Adviser; Katarina Morgan, Minority 98 Health Fellow; Harry Samuels, Minority Oversight Counsel; 99 Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of Communications, Outreach 100 and Member Services. 101

102

103 \*Mr. Guthrie. The subcommittee will come to order. The chair recognizes myself for an opening statement. 104 105 Over the past several weeks the Health Subcommittee has led the way, bringing forward solutions to address some of 106 the biggest challenges facing patients and our health care 107 Today we are continuing that effort by advancing 108 system. critical legislation that will help patients access 109 110 lifesaving cures, enable seniors to continue receiving care from the comfort of their homes, and strengthen the Medicaid 111 program for vulnerable patients, and support our workforce so 112 that every American can get the care they need. 113

Now we have FDA-approved therapies that, in many 114 instances, are the only treatments available for rare disease 115 patients and their families. Part of the reason for the 116 rapid development of these therapies is because of the 117 congressionally-established Pediatric Rare Disease Priority 118 Review Voucher program in 2012. Over 50 vouchers have been 119 120 awarded as a result of this program: 39 for pediatric rare diseases, and over half of which have been awarded over the 121 past 5 years. That is why I am glad we are considering 122 legislation which would continue lifesaving access to 123

124 therapies for kids living with rare diseases who currently lack any access to any treatments. 125 126 I would like to thank Representative Bilirakis for his leadership on this legislation and encourage my colleagues to 127 support advancing the bill to the full committee today. 128 The acceleration of telehealth has also transformed our 129 health care system, especially following the COVID-19 130 131 pandemic. Over 30 million seniors in Medicare utilized telehealth services throughout the first months of the 132 pandemic, giving beneficiaries the chance to have routine 133 health care visits from the comfort of their homes. Congress 134 extended these flexibilities beyond the public health 135 emergency, but they are set to expire at the end of the year. 136 I would like to thank Representative Carter for his 137 steadfast leadership on H.R. 7623, the Telehealth 138 Modernization Act of 2024. This legislation serves as an 139 important guidepost to the work we are doing today. This 140 141 policy extends to the current telehealth flexibilities in our committee's jurisdiction for an additional two years, and 142 includes other reforms. 143

144 While we have seen a number of positive developments in

145 health over the past decade, not everything has been positive. We have seen an explosion in the rate of improper 146 147 payments in the Medicaid program, reaching 50 billion in improper payments in 2022 alone. The Energy and Commerce 148 Committee has done extensive work over the past several years 149 to address these challenges, most recently considered a 150 number of legislative solutions to stabilize the program. 151 152 Among these ideas we will be considering four bills that take steps to addressing key program integrity issues facing 153 the Medicaid program such as increasing the frequency that 154 state Medicaid programs check to make sure they are not 155 paying for deceased beneficiaries, and requiring regular 156 updates of address information for beneficiaries so we don't 157 pay for care for people who live out of state. 158

I would like to thank Representatives Bilirakis, D'Esposito, Garcia, and Miller-Meeks for the leading of these bills, and encourage my colleagues to support this legislation.

Last, but certainly not least, we will be considering legislation to support our workforce and improve access to care for Americans across the country. This includes the

166 Accelerating Kids' Access to Care Act, which will cut red tape for pediatricians, and my bill, H.R. 468, the Building 167 168 America's Health Care Workforce Act, which will help build a more robust, critical workforce pipeline for our nation's 169 nursing homes. Both bills come at a critical moment of our 170 country. Our health care system is facing stark workforce 171 shortages that existed before the pandemic, but only have 172 grown more severe in recent years. Since 2020, over 500 173 nursing homes have closed across the United States, and 174 another 150,000 nurses are needed to reach pre-pandemic 175 staffing levels. 176

In closing, I would like to thank my colleagues for
bringing forth the solutions we are advancing today.
Patients, providers, and taxpayers will greatly benefit from
the important bipartisan work we are doing today.

I yield back and recognize the gentlelady from California, the ranking member, for five minutes for her opening statement.

\*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning,colleagues.

186 Today we will consider 23 bills, with most being

187 bipartisan, which is wonderful. These important bills will 188 help the oldest and the youngest amongst us to receive high-189 quality care.

First we will consider several bills to extend Medicare coverage for telehealth, cardiac rehabilitation, and remote patient monitoring.

193 Second, we will consider the Accelerating Kids' Access 194 to Care Act, sponsored by Representatives Trahan and Miller-195 Meeks. This bill needs to become law because it will allow 196 children with Medicaid coverage to receive lifesaving, 197 specialized care across state lines.

Third, we will consider three bills to improve access to lifesaving treatments for people with a rare disease, especially children.

Earlier this Congress I, along with the chair of the Childhood Cancer Caucus, Representative Michael McCaul, introduced a package of bipartisan bills to make sure that children with rare diseases receive safe and effective treatment.

First, we introduced the Give Kids a Chance Act to authorize the FDA to direct clinical trials of combination

208 therapies in children. Currently, the FDA can direct trials of combination therapies for adults, but not for children. 209 210 Our bill gives FDA that authority. Today more than half of the House, 232 bipartisan members, have cosponsored the bill, 211 and every member of this committee voted for it as part of 212 user fees last Congress. Give Kids a Chance will be marked 213 up today, and I look forward to this bill becoming law this 214 215 year.

Second, we introduced the carrot of the Creating Hope Reauthorization Act to continue incentives for pediatric research by granting valuable Priority Review Vouchers to drug makers who market treatments for rare pediatric diseases. Creating Hope will also be marked up today.

221 Third, we introduced the stick, so to speak, of the 222 Innovation in Pediatric Drugs Act. This legislation requires 223 drugs for rare diseases to be studied in children after being 224 approved for adults. The legislation also puts kids on an 225 equal playing field with adults by allowing FDA to enforce 226 post-market pediatric studies the same way the FDA enforces 227 required post-market studies for adults.

228 Unfortunately, we haven't reached a bipartisan agreement

yet on this bill, and it was not included in the markup. I plan to offer it as an amendment, and to continue bipartisan negotiations so a complete package of bills can be brought to the full committee.

The package should preserve the delicate balance between 233 ensuring pediatric research is conducted on drugs approved 234 for adults, while also providing financial incentives for the 235 236 companies who do the work of creating new pediatric products. This is the model that Congress has used successfully for 237 pediatric research for over 20 years, since the passage of 238 the Pediatric Research Equity Act and the Best 239 Pharmaceuticals for Children Act. Over 1,110 drug labels 240 have been updated to reflect new pediatric information since 241 these two laws took effect. We should use the same model and 242 approach today, and I look forward to a productive market. 243

And I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

\*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back
and the chair recognizes the chair of the full committee,
Chair Rodgers, for five minutes for an opening statement.
\*The Chair. Good morning. Thank you, Chair Guthrie.
Today we consider bipartisan legislation to extend

250 telehealth flexibilities that are set to expire at the end of the year. While continuing these flexibilities for seniors 251 252 will require an investment by Congress, it is crucial that we continue to allow seniors to access health care via 253 telehealth if they choose. The bills we are considering 254 today will protect seniors' access to telehealth for an 255 additional two years. They improve upon the bipartisan work 256 257 of past congresses by adding new program integrity safequards, and some of the bills will also expand how 258 seniors can benefit from telehealth. 259

I commend Representatives Carter, Joyce, Balderson, James, Bilirakis, and many other members on both sides of the aisle for their continued hard work on advancing these policies.

Telehealth has proven to be vital for patients across the country, especially in rural areas like my district in eastern Washington. I look forward to advancing legislation today, and am committed to continuing working to address any outstanding concerns and to ensuring the legislation is fully paid for before we consider them at the full committee. I am also pleased that we are considering several

bipartisan bills to support and build upon efforts to increase access to care, raise awareness and improve coordination across our Federal Government for programs related to autism and lung cancer.

Lastly, the committee is working on a six-year reauthorization of the Rare Pediatric Disease Priority Review Voucher program ahead of its September 30 expiration. We have heard testimony on the importance of this program, and this week the Dion family has been meeting with offices to share their story.

When Peter and Maggie Dion were born, they were each 281 discharged from the hospital with a clean bill of health, and 282 initially seemed to be hitting their developmental 283 milestones. Peter loved to fish for tuna with his dad. 284 Maggie enjoyed dance and running with her mom. Everything 285 changed when Peter was almost 10, and was struggling to climb 286 up a set of stairs. Peter was diagnosed with a form of 287 288 muscular dystrophy, limb-girdle type 2C. Later that year, then his six-year-old sister, Maggie, was also diagnosed with 289 the same disease. 290

291

1 This type of muscular dystrophy is a rapidly

292 progressive, muscle wasting disease, and there is currently no cure. As their father put it, there are so many genetic 293 294 variations, doctors ran out of letters in the alphabet to identify the condition. The prevalence of the disease is 295 low, as is. And with over 30 subtypes of the disease, each 296 requiring a different gene replacement therapy, spurring the 297 much-needed research and development proves challenging 298 299 without additional incentives.

Without the Rare Pediatric Disease Priority Review 300 Voucher program. Maggie and Peter's parents say their 301 chances of getting treatments for their kids almost becomes 302 impossible. For anyone who doubts why this program is 303 needed, I urge you to consider the Dion family and their 304 story. And sadly, it is a story known to many families. 305 Just this week the National Organization of Rare 306 Diseases, which represents patients with rare diseases and 307 their families, released analysis of the importance of the 308 309 PRV program. It is clear from their analysis that the program is successful, but the report also provides important 310 insights on how long it can take to see that success. Drugs 311 take years, and hundreds of millions or even billions of 312

313 dollars to develop. While the rare pediatric PRV program was started in 2012, more than half of the designations, awards, 314 315 and voucher redemptions came in the last 4 years. I want to thank Mr. Bilirakis, Ms. Eshoo, and many 316 others for their work on the rare disease legislation being 317 considered today. These bills will strengthen the orphan 318 drug pathway, make sure drugs are studied for children 319 320 battling cancer, and continue the important PRV program. Ι am proud of all the work and patient voices reflected in the 321 legislation before us. 322

I also know that more can and should be done. This subcommittee has heard testimony on many other proposals, such as my legislation to improve access to home and community-based services in Medicaid, and I look forward to continuing to work with members to move those forward in the future.

329 Thank you, and I yield back.

\*Mr. Guthrie. The chair yields back, and the chair
 recognizes the ranking member of the full committee, Ranking
 Member Pallone, for five minutes for opening statement.

333 \*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Today the subcommittee will mark up 23 bills, many that I will support and others that I will, unfortunately, have to oppose.

We will begin by considering bipartisan telehealth legislation that would expand access to telehealth services for two additional years, and the expansion of telehealth flexibilities has allowed Medicare beneficiaries to continue to receive telehealth services and help advance health equity by providing critical services to hard-to-reach populations and underserved communities.

The bipartisan legislation we are considering would 344 extend key telehealth flexibilities for 2 additional years 345 until the end of 2026. As Congress continues to extend 346 these, it is critical that we assess and monitor the quality 347 of telehealth services to ensure that Medicare beneficiaries 348 are receiving high-value, high-quality care. And I am 349 pleased the legislation that will give -- will give the 350 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services the tools 351 necessary to do this important work. And I am also pleased 352 that the bill will help strengthen program integrity by 353 requiring CMS to collect additional data elements. 354

355 Expanding access to telehealth is important, but we also need to make sure that patients can access these services, 356 357 and that is why I am deeply concerned that the House Republican leadership has refused to extend the Affordable 358 Connectivity Program which makes Internet access more 359 affordable for more than 24 million American households. Ιt 360 is time for my Republican colleagues to join Democrats and 361 362 renew funding for this critical program.

We are also considering several Medicare bills that are 363 likely to have scoring implications, and we still don't have 364 feedback from the Congressional Budget Office. While we will 365 advance these proposals on a bipartisan basis today, it is 366 critical that these policies do not increase seniors' cost 367 sharing and premiums, or result in cuts to the Medicare 368 program, and I won't support them going forward unless we can 369 ensure that Medicare beneficiaries are fully protected from 370 any cost increases. 371

I am also pleased that we will mark up H.R. 4758, the Accelerating Kids' Access to Care Act. This common-sense, bipartisan bill will help children receive the care they need and give their parents and caretakers one less challenge to

376 navigate. I look forward to working with Chair Rodgers and 377 the sponsors, Representatives Trahan and Miller-Meeks, to get 378 this bill signed into law.

Next we will consider several bills that amend the Food 379 and Drug Administration's authorities. Some of these bills, 380 like the RARE Act, have been the result of significant 381 bipartisan efforts to come to an agreement on meaningful 382 383 policies. However, the overall package of legislation included here does not appropriately balance incentives for 384 drug companies and the responsibilities to ensure the needs 385 of pediatric patients are met. 386

My Republican colleagues have included H.R. 7384, which 387 reauthorizes the Rare Pediatric Disease Priority Review 388 Voucher program without having concrete evidence that the 389 program has been effective in stimulating development or 390 providing expedited patient access to these drugs. We have 391 said since the last reauthorization that we would need to 392 393 carefully scrutinize whether this program achieved the impact Congress intended, but I don't think that that has been done. 394 And we nonetheless tried to work to get to a bipartisan 395

agreement, but unfortunately, Republican colleagues have

refused to work with us on this, and Republicans should have included Ranking Member Eshoo's bill, the Innovation in Pediatric Drugs Act, which requires drugs for rare diseases to be studied in children, and provides FDA with the authority to penalize companies that do not complete their required pediatric studies on time.

If we are talking about getting more innovative pediatric drugs to market, we need to address the barriers in current law to this drug development, including this legislation in our markup today would have provided the appropriate balance needed in this discussion. Unfortunately, our Republican colleagues were unwilling to

work with us to come to an agreement on any of the pieces of Ranking Member Eshoo's bill, and that is disappointing. And for that reason I can't support H.R. 7384 today.

I am also disappointed that we are marking up 2 bills that would have a negative effect on nursing home quality of care. H.R. 3227 and H.R. 468 would unwind basic but important protections for training and employing nurse aides in nursing homes. Doing away with these protections does not solve nursing home staffing challenges, it just creates a

race to the bottom. It leaves nurse aides to work in 418 increasingly unsafe and understaffed settings, and elderly 419 420 and disabled Americans without the quality of care they 421 deserve. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of 422 my time. Thank you. 423 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Are there any 424 425 other members seeking for an opening statement? Seeing none, we will move to the first bill, and the 426 chair calls up H.R. 3227, and asks the clerk to report. 427 \*The Clerk. H.R. 3227, a bill to amend titles 18 and 19 428 of the Social Security Act with respect to nursing facility 429 430 requirements, and for other purposes. \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of 431 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 432 amendment at any point. 433 So ordered. 434 435 [The bill follows:] 436 437 438

439	*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
440	The gentleman from Indiana.
441	*Mr. Pence. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
442	desk.
443	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will is there any discussion
444	on the bill first?
445	*Mr. Pallone. Yes.
446	*Mr. Guthrie. On the bill before the amendment process?
447	*Mr. Pallone. Yes.
448	*Mr. Guthrie. Okay. So we are going to have a
449	discussion on the bill before the amendment.
450	Mr so the chair recognizes the ranking member.
451	*Mr. Pallone. I mentioned my opposition to this
452	*Mr. Guthrie. For five minutes.
453	*Mr. Pallone in my opening statement. The Ensuring
454	Senior Access to Quality Care Act would undo current law
455	restrictions that prohibit certain nursing homes from
456	conducting in-house nurse aide training programs for two
457	years. These nursing homes have received penalties above a
458	certain threshold, or have serious quality of care
459	violations.

Republicans claim that this change is needed to keep nurse aide training programs operational and address workforce shortages, but that argument just doesn't hold up. Nursing homes that are prohibited from operating nurse aide training programs already have a path forward for reinstatement of these programs.

Under current law, if a nursing home has violations 466 467 serious enough to require the suspension of its nurse aide training program, and the disapproval was unrelated to the 468 quality of care provided to residents, then that nursing home 469 can receive a waiver to continue its training program. But 470 this bill would moot that waiver process and allow nursing 471 homes with deficiencies to continue to operate nurse aide 472 training programs, even if they committed potentially 473 egregious violations like falsifying records or failing to 474 report resident abuse or a reasonable suspicion of a crime 475 against a nursing home resident. 476

So allowing poor-performing nursing homes to train the next class of workers doesn't solve the workforce shortage problem, it just basically begs for new problems to occur. So I urge my colleagues to vote no on this bill. And

with that I yield back, Mr. Chairman, thank you. 481 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is anyone 482 483 else seeking discussion on the bill? Seeing the gentlelady from Michigan, for what purpose do 484 you seek recognition? 485 \*Mrs. Dingell. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last 486 word. 487 488 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. \*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We can all 489 agree that nursing homes operating their own certified 490 nursing assistant training program is a helpful way to combat 491 the nursing shortage. However, if quality violations do 492 occur, the Secretary of Health and Human Services must have 493 the ability to provide checks on these systems. 494 The Ensuring Seniors' Access to Quality Care Act would 495 reduce the oversight from HHS, and I cannot support it in its 496 current form. The bill would repeal certain restrictions 497 under Medicare and Medicaid that prohibit facilities with 498 substandard care from training nurse aides. If deficiencies 499 in quality of care are corrected, poor-performing facilities 500 would be able to resume their training programs. However, 501

502 this bill does not clearly define "quality of care,'' making it difficult to implement these guidelines. 503 504 Additionally, other eqregious violations that are not related to the quality of care should still prevent 505 facilities from training workers, such as record 506 falsification, failure to report resident abuse, or 507 reasonable suspicion of a crime against a resident, and 508 509 retaliation against whistleblowers.

510 Growing the long-term workforce is an urgent priority, 511 and I am never going to stop working for that. But we cannot 512 do this at the expense of the health and safety of over 1.4 513 million Americans living in nursing homes. It is our 514 responsibility to ensure that this workforce is sufficiently 515 trained and supported to provide high-quality care for these 516 Americans and their families.

517 The bill contains no clear definition of what "quality 518 of care means,'' which would lead to confusion and result in 519 disparate outcomes across the country. Critically, there are 520 egregious violations that might not be related to quality of 521 care that should still disqualify.

522 We are in a critical time where nursing home residents

523 are forced to live in facilities with inadequate staffing, and workers are confronted daily with a myriad of challenges, 524 525 including poor wages and benefits, inadequate training, and few career advancement opportunities. I hope we can all work 526 together to address it. It is a crisis in this country only 527 growing worse, but this bill does not do it and I urge my 528 colleagues to join me in voting no on H.R. 3327. 529 530 And I yield back the balance of my time. \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 531 else seeking discussion on the bill? 532 Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the 533 bill? 534 535 Seeing none, are there any other amendments to the bill? The gentleman from Indiana is recognized. 536 \*Mr. Pence. You know, I hear almost every day from my 537 constituents about the crisis of our health care system is 538 facing due to workforce shortages. Nowhere is this more 539 540 acute than in our nursing homes. I am proud to have championed this issue. I recently 541 introduced a Congressional Review Act to prevent the Biden 542

543 Administration's disastrous nursing home staffing ratio rule.

544 We have had some hearings here where we know there aren't enough nurses out there, so I guess -- I don't want to go to 545 546 no care. I think we have got to find solutions here, and not just do whatever the Biden Administration wants us to do. 547 This bill is pretty straightforward today. It clarifies 548 that nursing homes that have been subject to civil monetary 549 penalties that are unrelated to patients' care should not 550 551 have their ability to train staff revoked. This preserves the current prohibition on training staff and facilities 552 where patient harm and quality are at risk. 553 President Biden is advocating for staffing ratios in 554 nursing homes without providing a solution to actually train 555 more workers and to get them in the facilities. This bill 556 will do that. 557 Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 558 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does the gentleman have an amendment at 559 the desk? 560 561 I believe the gentleman has an amendment at the desk. \*Mr. Pence. I do have an amendment at the desk. 562 The clerk will report. \*Mr. Guthrie. 563 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 564 27

565	H.R. 3227, offered by Mr. Pence.
566	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
567	amendment is dispensed with.
568	[The amendment of Mr. Pence follows:]
569	
570	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
571	

572 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman has discussed his amendment. Is there any further discussion? 573 574 The gentlelady -- I begin with gentleman from California. You are recognized for five minutes for -- on 575 576 the amendment. \*Mr. Cardenas. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 577 Ι move to strike the last word. 578 579 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. \*Mr. Cardenas. I want again -- I want to again 580 highlight the importance of listening to the voice of long-581 term care workers who have been clear about the difficulty 582 they face in strengthening their workforce. Undermining 583 standards for the workforce providing essential care to our 584 nation's seniors would be harmful to our shared goal of 585 ensuring quality and dignified care for all. 586 We must stand firm against any measures that enable 587 facilities with evident care deficiencies to train the 588 589 incoming workforce in nursing homes. Nurse aide trainings should be conducted by facilities with a proven track record 590 of high-quality care, ensuring exceptional education for 591 future health care workers and resident residents' well-592

593	being. I urge my colleagues to uphold the integrity of our
594	health care workforce and oppose H.R. 3227 and the AINS.
595	Would anybody like the balance of my time?
596	Okay. Seeing none, I yield back.
597	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
598	further discussion on the amendment?
599	Anyone from the Republican side?
600	Seeing none, the gentlelady from Delaware is recognized
601	for five minutes for the amendment.
602	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the
603	last word.
604	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.
605	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Mr. Chairman, as we are
606	considering legislation at this markup to address the nursing
607	workforce shortage, I am hopeful that the chairman will
608	consider my own bill, H.R. 2411, the National Nursing
609	Workforce Center Act, in the remaining months of this
610	Congress.
611	Almost exactly a year ago I withdrew my bill, offered as
612	an amendment, after the chairman committed to working with me
613	to find a path forward on this important legislation. And I

appreciate the chair's work on -- with me on this bill. While we still haven't found the path forward, I know that there is still time remaining to get this bill across the finish line.

Over 100,000 registered nurses have left the workforce 618 due to pandemic-related burnout, and almost one-fifth of the 619 more than 4.5 million licensed nurses in the United States 620 intend to leave before 2027. H.R. 2411 is a bipartisan and 621 bicameral effort that I am leading with Congresswoman Young 622 Kim, which works to strengthen the nursing workforce and arm 623 states with the tools that they need to implement solutions 624 based on local conditions. 625

626 Specifically, it would establish a national nursing workforce center under HRSA, and create a pilot program to 627 support state-based nursing workforce centers that carry out 628 research, planning, and programs to address nursing workforce 629 shortages. The U.S. has experienced cyclical nursing 630 631 workforce challenges for decades, but none as pronounced as today's difficulties. Based on the current state of the 632 nursing workforce in this country, I believe we need a 633 common-sense strategy that centralizes the study and 634

development of nursing workforce practice and policy, and 635 better supports local entities in addressing state-specific 636 637 nursing workforce challenges. I believe that this is the most effective path forward 638 to solve this crisis affecting both our workforce and 639 patients, and I am hopeful that we can work closely to 640 successfully pass the National Nursing Workforce Center Act. 641 642 Thank you, and I yield back. \*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. 643 Is there any further discussion? 644 Seeing no discussion on the amendment, if there is no 645 further discussion of the amendment, the vote occurs on the 646 647 amendment. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. 648 All those opposed, nay. 649 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 650 \*Mr. Pallone. We wanted a roll call. 651 652 \*Mr. Guthrie. On the amendment or on the bill? On the bill, right? Okay. 653 The question -- if there is no further discussion on the 654 bill, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3227, as 655 32

656	amended, to the full committee. There has been a roll call
657	asked for, and the clerk will call the roll.
658	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
659	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
660	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
661	Burgess?
662	[No response.]
663	*The Clerk. Latta?
664	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
665	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
666	Griffith?
667	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
668	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
669	Bilirakis?
670	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
671	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
672	Bucshon?
673	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
674	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
675	Hudson?
676	[No response.]

677	*The Clerk.	Carter?
678	*Mr. Carter.	Aye.
679	*The Clerk.	Carter votes aye.
680	Dunn?	
681	*Mr. Dunn. A	Aye.
682	*The Clerk.	Dunn votes aye.
683	Pence?	
684	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
685	*The Clerk.	Pence votes aye.
686	Crenshaw?	
687	[No response	.]
688	*The Clerk.	Joyce?
689	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
690	*The Clerk.	Joyce votes aye.
691	Balderson?	
692	*Mr. Balderso	on. Aye.
693	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
694	Harshbarger?	
695	[No response	.]
696	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?
697	*Mrs. Miller-	-Meeks. Aye.

698	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
699	Obernolte?
700	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
701	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
702	Eshoo?
703	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
704	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
705	Sarbanes?
706	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
707	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
708	Cardenas?
709	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
710	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
711	Ruiz?
712	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
713	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
714	Dingell?
715	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
716	*The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
717	Kuster?
718	*Ms. Kuster. No.

719	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
720	Kelly?
721	[No response.]
722	
723	*The Clerk. Barragan?
724	*Ms. Barragan. No.
725	*The Clerk. Barragan votes no.
726	Blunt Rochester?
727	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
728	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes no.
729	Craig?
730	*Ms. Craig. No.
731	*The Clerk. Craig votes no.
732	Schrier?
733	*Ms. Schrier. No.
734	*The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
735	Trahan?
736	[No response.]
737	*The Clerk. Pallone?
738	*Mr. Pallone. No.
739	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
740	Chair Rodgers?
-----	---
741	*The Chair. Aye.
742	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
142	
743	*Mr. Guthrie. Does anybody else need to answer the roll
744	call?
745	*Ms. Kelly. How is Kelly recorded?
746	*Mr. Guthrie. Seeing none, the clerk will report the
747	result.
748	*Ms. Kelly. How is Kelly recorded?
749	*Mr. Guthrie. Oh, how is Kelly recorded? I am sorry.
750	*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly is not recorded.
751	*Ms. Kelly. No.
752	*The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
753	*Mr. Guthrie. Seeing no others are seeking to record
754	their vote, the clerk will report.
755	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 13
756	ayes and 12 noes.
757	*Mr. Guthrie. With 13 ayes and 12 noes, the bill is
758	agreed to.
759	The chair now calls up H.R. 468, and asks the clerk to
760	report.

761	*The Clerk. H.R. 468, a bill to amend title XI of the
762	Social Security Act to extend beyond the COVID-19 emergency
763	period. With certain modifications, the emergency
764	declaration blanket waiver relating to training and
765	certification of nurses
766	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
767	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
768	amendment at any point.
769	So ordered.
770	
771	
772	[The bill follows:]
773	
774	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
775	

\*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for five
minutes to -- discussion of the bill.

\*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to speakin opposition.

This bill would undermine decades-long requirements for 781 nurse aide training that were established because of serious 782 783 concerns about untrained nursing home staff. Enacted in 1987, the Nursing Home Reform Act required that nurse aides 784 within the first 4 months of employment complete 75 hours of 785 training and pass a competency test to work in nursing homes. 786 But H.R. 468 would essentially rescind those requirements, 787 allowing nursing homes to employ untrained nurses aides, in 788 my opinion. 789

Proponents of this bill claim that unwinding these important protections would help to solve our workforce shortages. But to what end? Filling our nursing homes with staff who are inadequately equipped for the job is not only a disservice to the residents who rely on their care, but also to the qualified, trained nurse aides who deserve to work in safe and appropriately staffed settings.

797 We know from experience how loosening these requirements plays out. During the height of the COVID-19 public health 798 799 emergency, nurse aide training requirements were temporarily waived. As a result, many nursing homes hired individuals 800 without adequate training. In many cases, these aides 801 completed as little training as an eight-hour online course. 802 And while this may have been necessary during COVID, this 803 804 cannot and should not be the status quo for our nation's seniors. It is just not good enough. 805

And the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has 806 since rescinded those waivers, and in doing so indicated that 807 on-site surveys revealed significant concerns with resident 808 care, including abuse, weight loss, depression, and pressing 809 -- and pressure ulcers. For example, CMS indicated nurse 810 aides and paid feeding assistants may not have received the 811 necessary training to help identify and prevent weight loss. 812 Now, I couldn't agree more that we should work together 813 on ways to expand the nurse aide workforce and improve 814 training for nurse aides working in nursing homes, but this 815 proposal is penny wise and pound foolish, in my opinion. 816 We must address the underlying issues that are keeping qualified 817

nurse training aides from seeking out and maintaining jobs in 818 819 nursing homes. 820 What they need is not hard to understand. Nurse aides should be treated with respect, paid an adequate wage, 821 provided with benefits, and be able to work in a safe, 822 appropriately staffed work environment. And nursing home 823 residents should receive dignified care from people who have 824 825 been trained to provide it. So I would urge my colleagues to vote no on H.R. 468 826 and, again, I thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 827 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. 828 Is anyone seeking a discussion on the bill? 829 The gentleman from California is recognized for five 830 831 minutes. \*Mr. Ruiz. I move to strike the last word. 832 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 833 \*Mr. Ruiz. We are seeing nursing shortages throughout 834 835 the country, but this bill is not the answer. H.R. 468 extends the pandemic-era waiver issued by CMS that allowed 836 untrained nurse aides to work in a facility without meeting 837 their state's training and certification requirements. 838 This

839 waiver was rescinded two years ago for good reason. While 840 the waiver helped during the pandemic health emergency under 841 the context of a pandemic crisis that overwhelmed providers, 842 it is no longer needed.

Allowing untrained or less-trained staff to care for 843 some of our community's most vulnerable patients in long term 844 care facilities jeopardizes quality of care and safety. 845 846 Understanding the staffing burden our health care facilities face in times of crisis and on a day-to-day basis, we know we 847 need to work towards solutions. But one of those solutions 848 is not building up an untrained or less-trained workforce 849 that has led to worse health outcomes. Instead, we should 850 look towards solutions like expanding scholarship programs, 851 or pipeline nursing programs starting in junior high all the 852 way through nursing school, or better pay as an incentive for 853 people wanting to go into the nursing profession, or loan 854 forgiveness programs and incentives to provide more training 855 856 in states with documented health workforce shortages.

So I oppose this bill. I urge my colleagues to vote no. I encourage this committee to look up these different solutions so that we can actually have positive, safe,

860	constructive solutions in regards to the nursing shortage.
861	And I thank you, and I yield back.
862	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
863	further discussion on the bill?
864	The gentlelady from Michigan, you are recognized for
865	five minutes on the bill.
866	*Mrs. Dingell. Thanks, Mr. Chair, and I move to strike
867	the last word.
868	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.
869	*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you.
870	We can all agree and I think we are all saying it on
871	both sides we must urgently expand our long-term care
872	workforce. But we cannot sacrifice nurse training standards
873	and the safety of patients to do so. Many groups, including
874	the AFL-CIO, SEIU, Elder Justice Coalition, Justice in Aging,
875	and Center for Medicare Advocacy have expressed strong
876	concerns that these bills might undermine the quality of this
877	workforce and threaten the lives of Americans who need their
878	care.
879	We have already heard from both of my other colleagues
880	about why this doesn't work, but I want to make a couple of

881 other points.

This bill would also allow time worked by nurse aides to 882 883 count towards the current 75-hour training requirement which helps to ensure the health and safety of residents. The 884 current requirements include specialized training in critical 885 areas such as protecting resident rights, communicating with 886 cognitively impaired residents, providing personal care, and 887 888 positioning residents. The training is not likely to be provided while they are working, for a whole host of reasons, 889 most of them including high staff turnover and inadequate 890 supervision. 891

And actually, according to the CMS, the waiver program 892 may have had a negative impact on the quality of care. 893 Online surveys during the waiver period indicated significant 894 concerns with resident care, infection control, abuse, weight 895 loss -- already discussed, depression, and pressure ulcers. 896 Further an Iowa Dispatch article from 2022 documented abuse 897 898 of residents by untrained workers. Without specialized training, workers are less equipped to identify and prevent 899 these problems. 900

901

H.R. 468 may have made sense several years ago.

902 However, we now have clear evidence that the waiver harmed residents. We cannot go back to the days of the pandemic, 903 904 when untrained workers were still expected to provide quality care to residents. I have met with these residents. I have 905 heard their stories. They have cried in my arms. 906 I urge my colleagues to vote no on this bill, and yield 907 back. 908 909 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anybody seeking recognition on the bill? 910 Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments on the 911 bill? 912 Seeing no bipartisan amendments, I have an amendment at 913 -- there are partisan amendment -- or I do have an amendment 914 at the desk. Yes, a partisan amendment at the desk. 915 The clerk will report. 916 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 917 H.R. 468, offered by Mr. Guthrie. Strike all after the 918 919 enacting clause and insert the following. \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 920 amendment is dispensed with. 921 [The amendment of Mr. Guthrie follows:] 922

923 924 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 925

926 \*Mr. Guthrie. And I will recognize myself for five 927 minutes on the amendment.

928 When I first agreed to carry this, we had the pandemic, and then there were waivers. And I know that we were 929 concerned about the quality in our health care system and the 930 availability of people to work in our health care system. 931 And when I was first was discussing this, we knew during 932 933 COVID there were going to be waivers that we were going to need to continue after the COVID emergency pandemic, and we 934 looked at this specific one. That was a question I asked: 935 Are there any instances anybody can point to that people were 936 harmed because they had somebody, a nurse assistant, 937 operating under this waiver? And I didn't receive any of 938 those ideas. As a matter of fact, there is data that shows 939 facilities utilizing nurse aides scored higher in key areas 940 such as depression rates, lower mobility, lower -- rates of 941 lower mobility, and lower rates of pressure ulcers. 942

And so, in order to get the staffing that we -- right, we don't want untrained workers, and that is not what this bill does, allow untrained workers to continue in the workforce. We have had two hearings on workforce shortages.

947 We are all talking about workforce shortages. And now we know the Biden Administration has increased the ratios, and 948 949 we know that nursing homes are just concerned about how they are going to be able to offer care that is affordable because 950 they can't find the workers, then they are going to have to 951 close their long-term care. So there is a lot of concerns. 952 And so what this bill does, if -- currently, if you are 953 954 a certified nurse assistant, you have four months to complete all your training and all your testing and evaluation. You 955 have got to remember, these are people who aren't going to 956 college, have four years to complete what they are going to 957 do, and they have -- these are people working, they have 958 jobs, they have -- they may have a second job, they may have 959 There is a lot that goes on with these people, and 960 a family. we want to make sure that they have plenty of time to 961 complete their training. 962

So what this does, it extends the training time from 4 months to 12 months. And we also hear reports of backlog just getting evaluations done. So on day one the same person shows up under the current law as will be under this law. All we are saying is that the training that they have, they

968 have 12 months to complete the training instead of just the 4 It does allow for bedside experience to be for the 969 months. 970 hours needed, and it has flexibilities in testing and evaluation so people can have the opportunity to be tested. 971 Now, we are concerned about any unsafe situation. 972 And what we have, it states -- it targets states that have 973 nursing shortages. So once -- we are not just creating this 974 975 program if there is not a problem. States have to certify there is a nursing shortage within their state. Then they 976 will qualify this program. 977

And then, any facility that has had any instances of 978 patient harm in the last two years will not qualify for this. 979 And so we believe that facilities that are operating in good 980 faith, operating in good programs can train their certified 981 nurse assistants and do it within 12 years instead of 4 982 months, because within the first 4 months it is the same 983 person working that is -- we are just allowing them to have 984 985 another 8 months to complete their certification. So if the concern is that we are putting in people that will be harmful 986 to the residents, you should be worried about giving them 987 even four months. They should show up with full 988

989 certification, if that is the concern of yours. And so I believe this is reasonable. It increases the 990 991 pipeline, and it will give the opportunity for people to increase -- not just the patient, but the individual -- to 992 increase their professional status and ability to earn or --993 income within themselves. And so I think this is a 994 reasonable bill to address a problem we all know exists as a 995 996 workforce pipeline shortage. And I will yield back, and if anybody wants to 997 discussion on the amendment, I will recognize. Is there any 998 further discussion? 999 Seeing none, the vote will occur -- if there is no 1000 1001 further discussion, the vote occurs on the amendment. All those in favor shall signify by saying aye. 1002 All those opposed, nay. 1003 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 1004 Do you want a roll call for the full bill? 1005 1006 All right. Are there any other discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 1007 468, as amended, to the full committee. A roll call has been 1008 requested, and the clerk will call the roll. 1009

1010	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1011	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1012	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1013	Burgess?
1014	*Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
1015	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1016	Latta?
1017	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
1018	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1019	Griffith?
1020	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1021	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1022	Bilirakis?
1023	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
1024	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1025	Bucshon?
1026	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1027	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1028	Hudson?
1029	[No response.]
1030	*The Clerk. Carter?

1031	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
1032	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
1033	Dunn?
1034	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
1035	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
1036	Pence?
1037	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1038	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1039	Crenshaw?
1040	[No response.]
1041	*The Clerk. Joyce?
1042	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
1043	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1044	Balderson?
1045	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1046	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1047	Harshbarger?
1048	[No response.]
1049	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
1050	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1051	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.

1052	Obernolte?
1053	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
1054	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1055	Eshoo?
1056	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
1057	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
1058	Sarbanes?
1059	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1060	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1061	Cardenas?
1062	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
1063	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1064	Ruiz?
1065	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
1066	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
1067	Dingell?
1068	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
1069	*The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
1070	Kuster?
1071	[No response.]
1072	*The Clerk. Kelly?

1073	[No response.]
1074	*The Clerk. Barragan?
1075	[No response.]
1076	
1077	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester?
1078	[No response.]
1079	*The Clerk. Craig?
1080	[No response.]
1081	*The Clerk. Schrier?
1082	*Ms. Schrier. No.
1083	*The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
1084	Trahan?
1085	[No response.]
1086	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1087	*Mr. Pallone. No.
1088	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1089	Chair Rodgers?
1090	*The Chair. Aye.
1091	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1092	*Mr. Guthrie. Anyone who has not answered the roll?
1093	*Ms. Kelly. How is Kelly

1094 \*Mr. Guthrie. How is Ms. Kelly recorded? \*The Clerk. Ms. Kelly is not recorded. 1095 1096 \*Ms. Kelly. No. \*The Clerk. Kelly votes no. 1097 \*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else -- anyone seeking to be 1098 1099 recorded? Seeing no other members seeking to be recorded, the 1100 1101 clerk will report. \*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 14 1102 ayes and 8 noes. 1103 \*Mr. Guthrie. Fourteen ayes and how many nays? 1104 1105 \*The Clerk. Eight. 1106 \*Mr. Guthrie. The -- so out of 14 ayes and 8 nays, the ayes have it, and the bill is agreed to. 1107 The chair calls up H.R. 3433, and asks the clerk to 1108 1109 report. \*The Clerk. H.R. 3433, a bill to amend the Federal 1110 1111 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with respect to molecularly targeted practice --1112 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of 1113 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 1114

1115	amendment at any point.
1116	So ordered.
1117	[The bill follows:]
1118	
1119	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1120	

1121 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments? 1122 1123 Seeing none, are there any other -- a bipartisan? The gentleman from Florida is recognized to discuss his 1124 1125 amendment. \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. 1126 \*Mr. Guthrie. You have an amendment at the desk? 1127 1128 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, I do. It is H.R. 3433, and I do have an amendment at the desk, so an amendment to H.R. 3433. 1129 I don't have the number of the amendment. 1130 \*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment. 1131 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 1132 1133 H.R. 3433, offered by Mr. Bilirakis. \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 1134 amendment is dispensed with. 1135 [The amendment of Mr. Bilirakis follows:] 1136 1137 1138 1139

Mr. Bilirakis. And the gentleman from Florida is recognized for five minutes in support of his amendment. Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. I appreciate it very much, Mr. Chair.

I am proud to offer this substitute amendment to H.R. 1145 3433, the Give Kids a Chance Act, to speak in favor and ask 1146 all my colleagues to support this amendment and the 1147 underlying bill.

1148 This legislation will help provide children with new access to clinical trials and therapies for pediatric 1149 cancers. Currently, the FDA can only direct pediatric cancer 1150 trials and studies for single drugs, and children with 1151 1152 relapsed cancer are rarely cured by one-drug treatments because their cancers are often too advanced. Combination 1153 therapies are successfully being applied to adult 1154 populations, but not always for kids, and that is unfair. 1155 The kids -- the Give Kids a Chance Act will help provide 1156 1157 a pathway for pediatric studies of combination therapies for children afflicted with cancer in cases where the second drug 1158 is FDA approved and owned by that particular company. 1159 Additionally, my substitute amendment includes 1160

1161 provisions from our bipartisan bill with Representatives 1162 McCaul, Eshoo, Trahan, Barragan, and Burgess, H.R. 7384, the 1163 Creating Hope Reauthorization Act. This legislation extends 1164 the Rare Pediatric Priority Review Voucher program, which 1165 provides critical incentives for companies to invest in 1166 research and development, and ultimately develop new 1167 treatments for pediatric rare cancers.

1168 Almost 50 percent of patients living with rare diseases are children, unfortunately, so offering this additional 1169 incentive to provide fast-track review of an application upon 1170 approval of a successful pediatric rare disease treatment has 1171 proven successful since this program started in 2012. In 1172 1173 fact, 39 rare pediatric diseases have received treatment that were spurred by a Priority Review Voucher award, the vast 1174 majority of which had no previous FDA-approved therapy before 1175 the program's inception. This includes diseases such as 1176 Duchenne muscular dystrophy, Friedreich's ataxia, Rett 1177 1178 syndrome, and sickle cell disease, all of which can be lifethreatening conditions. It is amazing, the progress we have 1179 made, but we need to do more. 1180

1181 In our legislative hearing we heard from Khrystal Davis,

who advocated on behalf of the rare disease patient community and told the story about her son, Hunter, and his journey with spinal muscular atrophy. Without the additional PRV, the Priority Review Voucher incentive, I worry that the treatments like that one that he received wouldn't have ultimately come into the market.

Furthermore, recently the National Organization for Rare Disease -- Disorders, or NORD, released a white paper showing the effectiveness of the rare pediatric PRV program, which has increased over time and led to more FDA-approved treatments as a result. The PRV program has been proven to work, and has ultimately been a difference-maker in a treatment getting approved for the rare disease community.

So my amendment will ensure that this critical program 1195 continues beyond the current sunset date of September 30 of 1196 this year, and adopts technical assistance feedback provided 1197 by the FDA to streamline the application approval deadline to 1198 1199 one date of September 30, 2030. I think it makes a lot of Time is of the essence, though. We got to get this 1200 sense. bill passed. This alleviates concerns from the agency that 1201 the two-phase structure led to a surge in applications before 1202

the deadline, and instead ensures that we prevent disruptions through a single date and six years. It makes sense. This extension helps provide certainty for both the agency and the industry.

I thank, NORD. I would really thank them and the EveryLife Foundation, and over 100 other patient groups that have endorsed this policy, and I urge my colleagues to support it. And in fact, the last time we reauthorized the PRV program in this committee, it passed out unanimously. I hope we can do that today.

Lastly, my amendment incorporates the bipartisan bill I 1213 co-lead with Representative Matsui, the RARE Act, which will 1214 1215 provide a technical fix to ensure regulatory certainty for rare disease drug development and eliminate legal ambiguity 1216 by codifying the interpretation of orphan drug exclusivity to 1217 be based on the indication approved by the FDA, rather than 1218 on the entire designation or disease population. Again, that 1219 1220 is -- that makes a lot of sense. Rare disease is not a rare problem, as you know, and it affects over 30 million patients 1221 nationwide. I hope my colleagues will do the right thing by 1222 the rare disease community and our children, of course, by 1223

supporting the AINS package. 1224 \*Mr. Guthrie. Thank --1225 1226 \*Mr. Bilirakis. And I yield back the balance of my --\*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. 1227 \*Mr. Bilirakis. 1228 Thank you. \*Mr. Guthrie. Is there any further discussion on the 1229 amendment? 1230 1231 The gentlelady from California is recognized for five minutes on the amendment. 1232 \*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike 1233 the last word. 1234 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 1235 1236 \*Ms. Eshoo. And speak on the amendment in the nature of a substitute. 1237 First I want to thank Congressman Bilirakis for offering 1238 the amendment and for his long, long history of support for 1239 people with rare diseases. 1240 1241 As the co-chair of the Rare Disease Caucus, I am proud to say that I worked with your father in 2003 to pass the 1242 original bill that required pediatric studies in drugs, and I 1243 look forward to continuing that legacy with you. 1244

1245 It pains me to say this, but I can't support the AINS 1246 today unless my amendment is accepted, but I pledge to 1247 continue working with you, Mr. Bilirakis, and colleagues on 1248 both sides of the aisle to get to a very important bipartisan 1249 solution. The AINS does have several important pieces of 1250 legislation included in it.

First, the Kids -- Give Kids a Chance Act directs drug 1251 1252 companies to conduct pediatric studies of combinations of cancer drugs and therapies. Cutting-edge cancer treatments 1253 involve a combination of drugs, and kids deserve to benefit 1254 from that scientific advancement. I am proud to have 1255 introduced this legislation with Congressman McCaul of Texas. 1256 1257 Second, the RARE Act, introduced by Representatives Matsui and Bilirakis, clarifies existing law so that orphan 1258 drug exclusivity only applies for the specific approved use 1259 of rare disease drugs, and this bill preserves important 1260 orphan drug incentives. 1261

1262 Third, the Creating Hope Reauthorization Act awards drug 1263 makers with valuable vouchers for marketing a treatment for a 1264 rare pediatric disease.

1265 The AINS today leaves out another critical bill that

1266 Representative McCaul and I introduced as part of our package to help children with rare diseases, the Innovation in 1267 1268 Pediatric Drugs Act. This legislation closes the loophole that allows more than one quarter of all rare disease 1269 medications on the market today to have no studies in it for 1270 This legislation is an important counterweight to 1271 children. the pediatric voucher program, which is only for diseases 1272 1273 that primarily impact children.

When a rare disease affects both children and adults, 1274 the drug company will often get a treatment for the disease 1275 approved for adults, and not study it in children. Children 1276 who suffer from rare diseases are, therefore, left behind. 1277 1278 Doctors, pharmacists, and parents are cutting pills and mixing medicines, hoping the children under their care 1279 receive a safe and effective dose. This legislation would 1280 require drug companies that successfully market a rare 1281 disease treatment to test it in children with the same 1282 1283 disease.

Pediatric studies are usually required after a drug is already on the market. When pediatric studies are late -and FDA has found that many required pediatric studies are

more than 10 years late -- FDA's only recourse is to take the drug off the market. This legislation allows the FDA to enforce pediatric studies the same way it enforces drug studies.

We should build on the longstanding strategy of our essentially carrot-and-stick approach to pediatric drug development. The AINS offered by Congressman Bilirakis, unfortunately, doesn't maintain that legacy, and it impacts children with rare diseases that -- and also impact adults.

So I offer this rather long but important explanation to all of the members because, undoubtedly, every single member here wants to improve remedies for children. And what was left out, if it can be added in, which I believe is a very important addition, we are going to have, I think, the most strengthful package for pediatric drug use in our country.

1302 So with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

1303 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any 1304 further discussion on the amendment?

1305 The gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized for five 1306 minutes to discuss the amendment.

1307 \*Mr. Joyce. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last

word and speak in --1308 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 1309 1310 \*Mr. Joyce. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Today I am pleased that we are considering H.R. 7384, the Creating Hope 1311 Reauthorization Act that will reauthorize the Rare Pediatric 1312 Disease Priority Review Voucher program until fiscal year 1313 2029. 1314 1315 The ability of companies to sell or redeem a new drug or biologic for priority review is critical to a robust pipeline 1316 of treatments for pediatric patients. The vouchers from this 1317 program provide a strong incentive to develop drugs with rare 1318 pediatric disease designations. 1319 1320 Since the program's inception, these vouchers have been awarded across 39 pediatric diseases, only 3 of which had any 1321 FDA-approved treatments prior to the program. 1322 Reauthorization of this program is critical to support 1323 innovation in the rare pediatric disease space and address an 1324 1325 unmet need for children who suffer from these serious conditions. 1326 Innovation is a core pillar of American medicine, and 1327 our children deserve nothing but the best in terms of new, 1328

1329	lifesaving treatments. And it is incumbent on us, as
1330	legislators, to ensure that the proper incentives are in
1331	place for this to occur.
1332	The other bills included in this AINS will also help
1333	lower costs and increase pediatric patients where there
1334	otherwise might not be the necessary hope.
1335	I urge my colleagues to support the AINS, and I yield
1336	back the balance of my time.
1337	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back.
1338	Is there further discussion?
1339	The ranking member is recognized for five minutes on the
1340	amendment.
1341	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to
1342	strike the last word
1343	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
1344	*Mr. Pallone and speak in opposition to the bill.
1345	Although I like the substance of the underlying bill, I can't
1346	support the AINS or the underlying bill because of the way my
1347	Republican colleagues have decided to package these bills
1348	today and their refusal to work with us on a bipartisan
1349	basis.

1350 The bills do not reflect this committee's responsibility 1351 to ensure an appropriate balance between holding drug 1352 sponsors accountable to patients and providing drug companies with billions of dollars in financial incentives. And so I 1353 urge my colleagues to oppose this bill and to support 1354 Representative Eshoo's amendment to improve the bill and 1355 ensure that manufacturers are doing their share to improve 1356 1357 the pipeline of pediatric drugs and carry out their responsibilities to pediatric patients. 1358

I would like to start by reiterating that I do support the underlying bill, and it is strong, and it is bipartisan. And with the help of our efforts, it will lead to new treatments for more advanced forms of pediatric cancer.

Additionally, I am also supportive of H.R. 7383, the 1363 Retaining Access and Restoring Exclusivity, or RARE Act, 1364 which the majority intends to add by amendment to H.R. 3433, 1365 obviously. And this bipartisan legislation would codify 1366 1367 FDA's long-held treatment of orphan drug exclusivity, which has always said that the exclusivity extends to a particular 1368 indication. But a recent court case, which held otherwise, 1369 would essentially overturn decades of precedent of 1370

interpreting the Orphan Drug Act, and this could lead to products coming off the market and blocking innovation for orphan drugs. However, the overall package I can't support because, unfortunately, the majority refused to work with us to strike a balanced approach to the reauthorization of the Pediatric Priority Review Voucher program.

In 2020, when this committee last reauthorized the Pediatric Review Voucher program, I said that we would need to keep a critical eye on the program to ensure it did not overly tax FDA's resources, and that it provides the incentives it promised. Unfortunately, we still have not seen the compelling, concrete evidence that this program is functioning how Congress intended it to.

At our February hearing we heard from Dr. Aaron Kesselheim from Harvard that these vouchers do not help start new trials or bring new, innovative drugs to market in any field, much less for rare pediatric diseases. Further, they are disruptive to FDA's review processes, and can delay other important therapies from being made available to patients.

1390 So I am willing, nonetheless, to work with my colleagues 1391 across the aisle to reauthorize this program, make it work

1392 better, and we have tried to meet them in the middle. But unfortunately, the Republicans have refused to meet us 1393 1394 halfway, or even part of the way, in my opinion. Instead, they are insisting that we reauthorize the rare pediatric 1395 disease PRV program without any requirements to also hold 1396 these companies accountable to the pediatric patients the 1397 program was first created to help, and they have been 1398 1399 unwilling to work with us to incorporate, as we have said, Representative Eshoo's bill, H.R. 6664, the Innovation of 1400 Pediatric Drugs Act, which would strike the right balance by 1401 requiring drugs for rare diseases to be studied in children. 1402 So these Priority Review Vouchers are worth hundreds of 1403 1404 millions, possibly billions of dollars to the drug companies that benefit from them, and yet they refuse to conduct 1405 clinical trials in pediatric populations for rare disease 1406 drugs. And when they refuse to complete clinical trials in 1407 pediatric patients for their approved drugs, we can't hold 1408 1409 them accountable. I mean, that is simply not acceptable to 1410 me.

1411 So I think the committee should be considering Ms. 1412 Eshoo's bill today because it would provide a holistic

1413 approach that not only rewards drug developers, but also 1414 holds them accountable to pediatric patients and strengthens 1415 the pediatric drug pipeline. And that is why I strongly urge 1416 support for Ms. Eshoo's amendment today, which would add the 1417 Innovation in Pediatric Drugs Act to the bill.

In previous reauthorizations of the rare pediatric 1418 disease PRV, Democrats and Republicans worked across the 1419 1420 aisle to ensure Congress balanced responsibility of drug 1421 sponsors with incentives to ensure the program provided a full approach to bring products to patients. Unfortunately, 1422 the legislation that Republicans have brought to the markup 1423 today is not a reflection of the bipartisan work this 1424 1425 committee has done in the past.

1426 So I strongly urge my colleagues to vote no on this 1427 bill, and I urge my colleagues to support Representative 1428 Eshoo's amendment to the bill.

1429 And then with that I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

1430 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 1431 further discussion on the AINS?

1432 Seeing none, is there an amendment to the AINS to be 1433 offered?

1434	The gentlelady from California.
1435	*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have
1436	*Mr. Guthrie. You have your amendment?
1437	*Ms. Eshoo. I wanted to speak to the amendment. It
1438	preserves the
1439	*Mr. Guthrie. Let me get the clerk to
1440	*Ms. Eshoo. Oh, I am sorry. Okay.
1441	*Mr. Guthrie. Would the clerk report the amendment?
1442	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment
1443	*Mr. Guthrie. By the lady from California.
1444	*The Clerk. Amendment to the amendment in the nature of
1445	a substitute to H.R. 3433.
1446	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
1447	amendment is dispensed with.
1448	[The amendment of Ms. Eshoo follows:]
1449	
1450	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1451	
1452 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady is recognized for five 1453 minutes in discussion of her amendment.

1454 \*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My amendment preserves the delicate flexibilities that 1455 companies need to get drugs to market and reap the reward of 1456 their work of creating new pediatric products, all without 1457 hampering their ability to innovate. It includes nearly the 1458 1459 same legislation as Mr. Bilirakis's AINS, but also includes bipartisan legislation -- I want to underscore that, it is 1460 bipartisan legislation -- to require studies for children 1461 with a rare disease. 1462

The legislation is moderate, and it is flexible because 1463 1464 it -- first, it limits the universe of required pediatric studies to only those treatments that would have a meaningful 1465 benefit for children. It provides waivers to exempt 1466 companies from pediatric studies when a study is impossible 1467 or highly impracticable -- for example, if a drug can't be 1468 1469 studied in certain age cohorts, or when a clinical trial is too difficult to enroll children in -- and it gives the FDA 1470 the same enforcement authority for pediatric studies that we 1471 passed last Congress as part of our bipartisan changes to the 1472

1473 accelerated approvals pathway.

1474	The American Academy of Pediatrics, the Leukemia and
1475	Lymphoma Society, the Children's Hospital Association,
1476	Children's Cancer Cause, the National Organization for Rare
1477	Disorders, the Alliance for Children Cancer [sic], the
1478	Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation, Saint Jude
1479	Children's Research Hospital, and the Coalition Against
1480	Childhood Cancer have all endorsed the legislation.
1481	I would also like to submit for the record, Mr.
1482	Chairman, a letter of support for the legislation signed by
1483	41 organizations.
1484	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, so ordered.
1485	[The information follows:]
1486	
1487	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1488	

1489 \*Ms. Eshoo. And finally, I want to address the length of the reauthorization for the Priority Review Voucher 1490 1491 program. In Representative Bilirakis's amendment the length of the reauthorization is six years, and my amendment is for 1492 three years, so that it aligns with the user fee schedule, 1493 ensuring the program is more easily reauthorized because it 1494 will be paired with must-pass legislation. 1495 In past 1496 reauthorizations we have always done four years. So I will work with you to settle on a number of years 1497 between now and the full committee consideration to really 1498 get, you know, to get this in place. It is just so important 1499 1500 for the kids. 1501 So I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back. \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back, and the chair 1502 will now recognize myself on the amendment. 1503 I want to thank my good friend, Ranking Member Eshoo, 1504 for all of her work that she has done. And your legacy in 1505 1506 this Congress will, number one, I think -- well, I don't know of all of them, but I can tell you one that I know will be 1507 how you have increased the rapidity of getting drugs to the 1508 marketplace so that people can benefit from it, so people can 1509

1510 benefit sooner.

I know some people like to quote a Harvard law professor about this doesn't really matter, how quickly we move forward, it is better to wait. But I will tell you, there are families that are indifferent when they are suffering from this. So I want to thank you for all the work.

In the prior passage of the first laws incentivizing pediatric studies, more than 80 percent of approved drugs had no pediatric-specific labeling information. Now, owing to a careful balance between the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act, BPCA, and the Pediatric Research Equity Act, PREA, the FDA has over 50 drugs with over 70 indications for the treatment of pediatric cancers alone.

We all share the same goal: to get the best possible information and treatment to the kids that need it. That being said, there is a philosophical difference on how we get there, and the difference in mandates and penalties, how would that improve accountability and expecting this outcome ignores when so-called non-compliance occurs [sic].

1529 Instead, the threat of more stringent penalties for PREA 1530 violations, when coupled with the elimination of orphan

exemption, would continue to erode needed investment in drug development for rare diseases. Instead, we must facilitate and incentivize innovation, especially where market incentives may not exist, such as rare diseases that are already difficult to study, given their rarity, especially in children that we all want to protect.

Unfortunately, the amendment does not grapple with the meaningful headwinds to successful pediatric drug development, particularly the significant challenges of enrolling an adequate number of patients and generating sufficient data regarding the drug's use in a pediatric population.

1543 Under this amendment, drugs for rare diseases that the Secretary determines could represent a meaningful therapeutic 1544 benefit will be subjected to -- the first time to 1545 requirements of study kids. That sounds simple, but 1546 companies will have to wait for a guidance and a waiver list 1547 1548 to see how this threshold will be applied in practice, and this is subjective and creates significant uncertainty, as 1549 PREA requirements could apply to any number of drugs for rare 1550 diseases. 1551

1552 Just to illustrate, in 2023, CDER approved 55 novel drugs, over 50 percent of which were orphan-designated. CBER 1553 1554 approved 12 orphan designations designated new BLAs. With each -- will each of these drugs require a waiver? And for 1555 what age ranges will a drug for neonates need a waiver for 1556 older children? On the other hand, will a manufacturer 1557 require a waiver for product that isn't available until 1558 1559 symptoms manifest in older children? These are just some of the outstanding questions that deserve more scrutiny and --1560 given it is a significant divergence from the status quo, not 1561 to mention this proposed change takes place in the context of 1562 systematic -- the incentives that have dropped under the 1563 1564 Biden Administration.

As I understand, the FDA released dual controversial 1565 draft guidances in May 2023, one of which that limited the 1566 carrot of the BPCA. Moreover, the drugs being chosen as soon 1567 as seven years after the FDA approval for the new drug 1568 1569 control in the IRA, I fear that labels for children will be fewer over the next decade. According to a recent study, the 1570 average time after original approval for a pediatric 1571 indication approval was 8.9 years, and 10 of the 18 were 1572

1573 approved 7 years or more following original approval. 1574 Finally, the bill presents process challenges that 1575 cannot be overlooked because it increases authorization 1576 levels with no offset and other spending programs, and we 1577 have worked to produce offsets as we move forward throughout 1578 the full committee.

So while I appreciate the effort and the hard work and the goals, and I am grateful for the work on the BPCA and PREA that has such amazing impact, I think that this amendment takes the wrong approach, and I recommend a no vote.

1584 Is anybody else seeking discussion on the amendment? I 1585 yield back. Is anybody else seeking discussion?

1586 \*Ms. Eshoo. I want to ask you if you would -- oh, I 1587 see.

\*Mr. Guthrie. Oh, I have a minute left. Would you like
to -- I will yield to the gentlelady from California.

1590 \*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. --

1591 \*Mr. Guthrie. Before I yield back.

Ms. Eshoo. -- Chairman. In listening hard to what you just said, these are all technical issues, and I stand ready

1594 to work with you to resolve them because the underlying purpose of the legislation is just too important to end up on 1595 1596 a scrap pile of things unattended to. And we are all committed to the children, so I am 1,000 1597 percent committed to work out these technical issues that you 1598 1599 just outlined. \*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, and 100 percent I will stand 1600 1601 by the -- when I said you were my friend, that is 100 percent accurate, and when I said that you are a known leader in 1602 getting this -- getting drugs to -- the pharmaceuticals to 1603 the marketplace quicker, especially for children --1604 \*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you. 1605 1606 \*Mr. Guthrie. -- that has been your -- it will be your legacy, in my view. 1607 I will yield back, and the chair recognizes the 1608 gentleman from Texas for five minutes. 1609 \*Mr. Burgess. Mr. Chairman, I just had a question, but 1610 1611 it actually was just addressed by Ms. Eshoo. I do not want to vote against this amendment. At the same time, I hope 1612 that the committee -- I mean, I was here in 2003, as well, 1613 and I think this is an extremely important concept, and I 1614 80

1615	hope, like Representative Eshoo, I do not want to see it
1616	discarded on the heap of amendments that didn't make it. I
1617	think it is worthy of continued study and continued work. So
1618	I am grateful for her comments that she is willing to do
1619	that, and I hope that we can see our way forward in the
1620	future to do the same.
1621	*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. Thank you for those comments.
1622	*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you.
1623	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
1624	further discussion?
1625	Without further discussion, the vote occurs on the
1626	amendment.
1627	Excuse me, does the gentleman from Florida seek
1628	recognition on the amendment?
1629	*Mr. Bilirakis. Yes.
1630	*Mr. Guthrie. Okay.
1631	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I
1632	appreciate it very much.
1633	As everyone knows, I have a ton of respect for the
1634	Ranking Member Eshoo, and I appreciate her bringing up my
1635	father's name, as well. You know, I didn't realize he was a

1636 -- he authorized the bill initially, in 2003. You know, it 1637 must be in our DNA, because we have the same interests, even 1638 though we don't talk policy, we talk baseball and family 1639 anymore, but we appreciate it very much.

I am concerned, though, that the amendment she is 1640 offering, as drafted -- and of course, I want to work with 1641 you on these issues -- undercuts the bipartisan product we 1642 1643 have before us, and could ultimately harm the rare disease patient community by delaying or preventing access to new 1644 treatment options. The amendment does -- it would extend the 1645 PRV program for three years less than the bipartisan-1646 1647 introduced legislation in the manager's amendment. But on 1648 that issue, of course, I am willing to work with you. But going through 2027 instead of 2030, I think 2027 is 1649 the wrong date. Given the development timeliness for rare 1650 disease treatments, this is not a meaningful extension, in my 1651 opinion. Patient groups have resoundingly supported a long-1652 1653 term PRV reauthorization, and this would go against that policy in a way that I fear will hurt the pipeline for rare 1654 disease treatments and cures. 1655

1656 It would also create additional uncertainty, the

amendment would -- to the amendment -- for developers already facing significant headwinds. It grants the agency enormous discretion in red tape. The treatments the Secretary designates would be subject to burdensome requirements to get rare disease drugs approved by requiring pediatric studies, and many of whom are already working with pediatric populations and diseases.

1664 It doubles the size of the NIH program to study older 1665 drugs and children without providing any sort of offset 1666 through decreases of funding -- funded authorizations in 1667 other areas, and does so well before the PBCA program 1668 expires.

Lastly, it would subject companies to significant enforcement penalties who did not conduct their pediatric studies, even though -- when it may not be feasible to conduct these studies in the time required, instead giving FDA more authority to decide whether to issue waivers.

In particular, I have concerns with a shorter PRV reauthorization, as I said, increasing requirements on rare disease companies at a time when incentives have dramatically decreased, and doubling an authorization without the

necessary offset, which jeopardizes consideration on the 1678 floor. 1679 1680 If you would be willing, of course, to withdraw -otherwise, if you do -- if you don't withdraw, and this goes 1681 down, of course, I am willing to work with you. But if you 1682 if you do withdraw the amendment, I think we can make 1683 progress on including a provision holding drug-makers 1684 1685 accountable for not following the provisions of the law or conducting their studies, and working to potentially add this 1686 -- again, this particular year improvement -- to the full 1687 committee. 1688

I also appreciate the GAO study within the amendment, and believe that would be a good starting point to better identify and address the problem she is -- a great member -is describing by the time we get to our next Prescription Drug User Fee Act reauthorization process.

But I don't want to jeopardize the bill. So, unfortunately, I cannot support this amendment at this particular time in its current form, and I ask my colleagues to vote no on this particular amendment.

1698 Thank you very much, and I yield back the balance of my

1699	time.
1700	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
1701	further discussion on the amendment?
1702	*Mr. Pallone. You know we want a roll call.
1703	*Mr. Guthrie. You want a roll call vote?
1704	If no further discussion on the amendment, the vote will
1705	occur, and there has been a roll call vote requested, and the
1706	clerk will call the roll.
1707	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1708	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
1709	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
1710	Burgess?
1711	*Mr. Burgess. Pass.
1712	*The Clerk. Burgess passes.
1713	Latta?
1714	*Mr. Latta. No.
1715	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
1716	Griffith?
1717	*Mr. Griffith. No.
1718	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
1719	Bilirakis?

1720	*Mr. Bilirakis. No.
1721	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes no.
1722	Bucshon?
1723	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
1724	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
1725	Hudson?
1726	[No response.]
1727	*The Clerk. Carter?
1728	*Mr. Carter. No.
1729	*The Clerk. Carter votes no.
1730	Dunn?
1731	*Mr. Dunn. No.
1732	*The Clerk. Dunn votes no.
1733	Pence?
1734	*Mr. Pence. No.
1735	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
1736	Crenshaw?
1737	*Mr. Crenshaw. No.
1738	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes no.
1739	Joyce?
1740	*Mr. Joyce. No.

1741	*The Clerk. Joyce votes no.
1742	Balderson?
1743	*Mr. Balderson. No.
1744	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
1745	Harshbarger?
1746	[No response.]
1747	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
1748	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. No.
1749	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes no.
1750	Obernolte?
1751	*Mr. Obernolte. No.
1752	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes no.
1753	Eshoo?
1754	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
1755	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
1756	Sarbanes?
1757	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
1758	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
1759	Cardenas?
1760	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1761	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
	27

1762	Ruiz?
1763	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
1764	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
1765	Dingell?
1766	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
1767	*The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
1768	Kuster?
1769	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1770	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1771	Kelly?
1772	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
1773	*The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
1774	Barragan?
1775	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
1776	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
1777	Blunt Rochester?
1778	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
1779	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
1780	Craig?
1781	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
1782	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.

1783	Schrier?
1784	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1785	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
1786	Trahan?
1787	[No response.]
1788	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1789	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1790	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1791	Chair Rodgers?
1792	*The Chair. No.
1793	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes no.
1794	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to answer the roll
1795	call?
1796	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
1797	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 12
1798	ayes, 14 noes, and 1 pass.
1799	*Mr. Guthrie. With 12 ayes, 14 noes, and 1 pass, the
1800	amendment is not agreed to.
1801	Are there further amendments?
1802	The question now occurs on adopting the amendment in the
1803	nature of a substitute.

1804	If there is no further discussion of the amendment in
1805	the nature of a substitute, all those in favor, vote aye.
1806	Other votes nay.
1807	The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
1808	The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3433, as
1809	amended, to the full committee.
1810	All those in favor the gentleman requests a roll call
1811	vote. The clerk will call the roll.
1812	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1813	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1814	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1815	Burgess?
1816	*Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
1817	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1818	Latta?
1819	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
1820	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1821	Griffith?
1822	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1823	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1824	Bilirakis?

1825	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
1826	*Ms. Eshoo. His voice.
1827	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
1828	Bucshon?
1829	*Mr. Guthrie. He said allergies.
1830	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1831	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1832	Hudson?
1833	[No response.]
1834	*Ms. Eshoo. It doesn't sound good.
1835	*The Clerk. Carter?
1836	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
1837	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
1838	Dunn?
1839	*Mr. Guthrie. He told me allergies.
1840	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
1841	*Mr. Guthrie something else.
1842	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
1843	Pence?
1844	*Ms. Eshoo. Just allergies.
1845	*Mr. Pence. Aye.

1846	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1847	Crenshaw?
1848	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
1849	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
1850	Joyce?
1851	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
1852	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
1853	Balderson?
1854	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1855	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1856	Harshbarger?
1857	[No response.]
1858	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
1859	[No response.]
1860	*The Clerk. Obernolte?
1861	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
1862	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
1863	Pallone?
1864	*Mr. Pallone. No.
1865	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1866	Eshoo?

1867	*Ms. Eshoo. No.
1868	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes no.
1869	Sarbanes?
1870	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1871	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1872	Cardenas?
1873	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
1874	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1875	Ruiz?
1876	*Mr. Ruiz. No.
1877	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes no.
1878	Dingell?
1879	*Mrs. Dingell. No.
1880	*The Clerk. Dingell votes no.
1881	Kuster?
1882	*Ms. Kuster. No.
1883	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1884	Kelly?
1885	[No response.]
1886	*The Clerk. Barragan?
1887	*Ms. Kelly. No.

1888	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
1889	*The Clerk. Kelly votes no.
1890	Barragan votes aye.
1891	Blunt Rochester?
1892	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
1893	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes no.
1894	Craig?
1895	*Ms. Craig. No.
1896	*The Clerk. Craig votes no.
1897	Schrier?
1898	*Ms. Schrier. No.
1899	*The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
1900	Trahan?
1901	[No response.]
1902	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers?
1903	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1904	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
1905	*Voice. How is Miller-Meeks
1906	*The Clerk. Mrs. Miller-Meeks is not recorded.
1907	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
1908	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.

1909	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to answer the roll
1910	call?
1911	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
1912	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 16
1913	ayes and 11 noes.
1914	*Mr. Guthrie. With 16 ayes and 11 noes, the bill is
1915	agreed to.
1916	The chair calls up H.R. 7188, and asks the clerk to
1917	report.
1918	*The Clerk. H.R. 7188, a bill to require the Secretary
1919	of Health and Human Services to conduct a national
1920	evidence
1921	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
1922	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
1923	amendment at any point.
1924	So ordered.
1925	[The bill follows:]
1926	
1927	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1928	

1929 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? You want to do the amendment, right? 1930 1931 The gentlelady seeks recognition on the bill. The gentlelady from Michigan is recognized. 1932 \*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am very 1933 grateful that the Shandra Eisenga Human Cell and Tissue 1934 Product Safety Act is included as part of today's markup. 1935 Ι 1936 am leading this bipartisan bill alongside my friend and colleague from Michigan, Representative John Moolenaar. 1937 Last summer I was contacted by the medical director of 1938 the Washtenaw County Tuberculosis Clinic, who was treating a 1939 patient, Shandra Eisenga, for a severe post-surgical 1940 tuberculosis infection. She was actually Representative 1941 Moolenaar's constituent, but she was being treated at the 1942 University of Michigan, which is in my district. After a 1943 month battling a severe TB infection in the intensive care 1944 unit, Shandra, unfortunately, died. 1945 1946 Shandra's physician was particularly alarmed, since he realized that she had recently received a bone graft that was 1947

1949 history with contaminated bone grafts. In 2021 units of

1948

96

manufactured by Aziyo Biologic, a company with a troubling

1950 contaminated bone graft manufactured by Aziyo Biologic was 1951 implanted into 113 patients; 87 of them developed TB 1952 infections, and 8 died.

1953 Since Shandra's passing, it has been discovered that her 1954 death was indeed linked to the contaminated bone graft 1955 material produced by Aziyo Biologic. She was one of 36 1956 patients who received material from the contaminated lot. 1957 And as of today, this latest outbreak is linked to the deaths 1958 of two patients, including Shandra.

I have been working closely with the FDA and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to better understand the manufacture and regulation of human cells, tissues, or cellular or tissue-based products -- HCTPs, for short -- to ensure that they can be used to improve patient outcomes, not harm them.

Most of you know that a few years ago, when I had the problem with my jaw, I had a bone graft. A series went into my jaw, and I can personally say no one, no one spelled out the potential risks. And that is why these pieces of legislation are so important. Taken together, they will take steps to ensure patients better understand the risks

1971	associated with bone graft materials. But even more	
1972	important, improve the testing and screening of tuberculosis	
1973	in donor materials that are used in medical procedures.	
1974	I thank the subcommittee chair and the ranking member	
1975	for including this important piece of legislation. I know my	
1976	colleague, Representative Moolenaar, is very grateful, too.	
1977	He has been a great bipartisan partner. We owe it to	
1978	Shandra, her family, and every other patient who has been	
1979	affected by contaminated bone grafts to ensure that we are	
1980	doing everything we can to prevent these unnecessary	
1981	tragedies from happening again.	
1982	I urge my colleagues to vote yes on this bill, and I	
1983	yield back the remainder of my time.	
1984	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any	
1985	further discussion on the bill?	
1986	Seeing none, the gentleman from Texas is recognized for	
1987	an amendment.	
1988	*Mr. Crenshaw. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the	
1989	desk.	
1990	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment.	
1991	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to	
	98	

1992	H.R. 7188, offered by Mr. Crenshaw.
1993	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
1994	amendment is dispensed with.
1995	[The amendment of Mr. Crenshaw follows:]
1996	
1997	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1998	

Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from Texas is recognized for five minutes in support of his amendment. Mr. Crenshaw. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am offering this amendment in the nature of a substitute for the Shandra Eisenga Human Cell and Tissue Product Safety Act, sponsored by Representatives Moolenaar and Dingell.

I want to be clear. I support the underlying bill, and this AINS would keep that bill intact and add an additional legislation, as well, which I am going to talk about now.

The underlying bill, as my colleague just explained, is for Shandra, who tragically passed away from tuberculosis last year, becoming sick after a contaminated bone graft during surgery, something that no patient should ever have to go through.

These cell and tissue products can save lives, but we have to ensure that the standards are clear, that providers and patients are educated on the risks and the benefits. And this bill does a couple of key things: educates patients, providers, and industry; and also ensures that the FDA has the most up-to-date guidance so that industry can properly screen for pathogens like tuberculosis.

Second, the bill includes important bipartisan policies that I worked on with Representative Barragan, which -- I really appreciate her bipartisan support on this. These are policies that are going to encourage innovation in regenerative medicine.

So you might ask, what is that? What is regenerative 2025 medicine? Regenerative medicine is when we use the human 2026 2027 body to heal disease. And in some cases, we are quite literally using a patient's own body, their own cells, to 2028 cure disease. It is one of the great medical miracles of our 2029 time. But to succeed, we have got to make sure that the 2030 regulatory environment encourages innovation, because these 2031 2032 are complex therapies, and there is a lot of emerging 2033 science.

2034 So what this bill does, it encourages more FDA 2035 communication with innovators. And this bill also moves us 2036 closer to a new pathway so that we are not applying a one-2037 size-fits-all regulation to some of the most life-changing, 2038 lifesaving cell therapies.

2039 Now, this is something that I continue -- plan to 2040 continue to work on with the FDA, because the wrong

2041	regulation can quite literally mean the difference between
2042	innovation that saves lives and patients that are left out in
2043	the cold with no options. This amendment will advance safety
2044	and innovation, and I ask everyone to vote in support of it.
2045	Thank you, and I yield back.
2046	*Mr. Bucshon. [Presiding] The gentleman yields back.
2047	Is there any other further discussion
2048	*Mr. Sarbanes. Mr. Chairman?
2049	*Mr. Bucshon on the amendment?
2050	Mr. Sarbanes is recognized.
2051	*Mr. Sarbanes. I move to strike the last word.
2052	*Mr. Bucshon. You are recognized for five minutes.
2053	*Mr. Sarbanes. I would like to speak in support of the
2054	AINS to H.R. 7188, the Shandra Eisenga Human Cell and Tissue
2055	Product Safety Act.
2056	I want to note that I am I know I am channeling the
2057	perspective of Ranking Member Pallone, as well.
2058	This language could improve human cell, tissue, and
2059	cellular and tissue-based product safety through increased
2060	awareness of scientific and regulatory efforts by the FDA's
2061	Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research to oversee these

2062 products.

I am glad that we are working on a bipartisan basis to 2063 2064 get this legislation to a place that balances providing clarity to industry, while also preventing the serious harms 2065 that we heard our colleague, Mrs. Dingell, speak about, 2066 including the death of Shandra Eisenga, the bill's namesake. 2067 I want to note that, unfortunately, this version still 2068 2069 does not include the language that would provide FDA with 2070 additional enforcement levers to prevent bad actors from marketing products that could lead to death or serious injury 2071 There are currently few meaningful 2072 from an outbreak. repercussions in the human cell and tissue products industry. 2073 2074 Providing the agency with additional enforcement tools would allow them to more quickly and effectively protect the 2075 public, and this would also encourage responsible 2076 manufacturers to continue to develop and license products 2077 where the scientific evidence supports the product's safety, 2078 purity, and potency. 2079

2080 Mr. Chair, I know that Democrat and Republican staff 2081 have agreed to make changes before this moves to the full 2082 committee. I appreciate that. I know that Ranking Member

2083 Pallone also appreciates that commitment to keep working to improve this legislation before we do move it to the full 2084 2085 committee. And given that commitment and our bipartisan efforts, I will be voting in favor of this proposal, and I 2086 know that Ranking Member Pallone is supportive, as well. 2087 And with that I thank you and I yield back. 2088 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 2089 2090 further discussion on the amendment? I recognize Mrs. Dingell. 2091 \*Mrs. Dingell. I just want to say I too support, as 2092 the --2093 \*Mr. Bucshon. Five minutes. 2094 2095 \*Mrs. Dingell. -- support the -- but do hope we will continue to talk, so that we are all working together to keep 2096 this from happening to anybody else ever again. 2097 The gentlelady yields back. Is there any 2098 \*Mr. Bucshon. other discussion on the amendment? 2099 2100 If there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on 2101 the amendment. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. 2102 All those opposed, say nay. 2103 104

2104	The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
2105	The vote now occurs on H.R. 7188, and a roll call vote
2106	has been requested. The clerk will call the roll.
2107	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2108	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2109	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2110	Burgess?
2111	*Mr. Burgess. Aye.
2112	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
2113	Latta?
2114	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2115	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2116	Griffith?
2117	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2118	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2119	Bilirakis?
2120	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2121	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2122	Bucshon?
2123	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2124	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
	105

2125	Hudson?
2126	[No response.]
2127	*The Clerk. Carter?
2128	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
2129	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2130	Dunn?
2131	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2132	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2133	Pence?
2134	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2135	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2136	Crenshaw?
2137	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
2138	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2139	Joyce?
2140	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2141	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2142	Balderson?
2143	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2144	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2145	Harshbarger?

2146	[No response.]
2147	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
2148	[No response.]
2149	*The Clerk. Obernolte?
2150	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2151	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2152	Eshoo?
2153	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2154	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2155	Sarbanes?
2156	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2157	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2158	Cardenas?
2159	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2160	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2161	Ruiz?
2162	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2163	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2164	Dingell?
2165	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
2166	*The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
	1.0-

2167	Kuster?
2168	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2169	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2170	Kelly?
2171	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2172	*The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2173	Barragan?
2174	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
2175	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
2176	Blunt Rochester?
2177	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
2178	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2179	Craig?
2180	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
2181	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2182	Schrier?
2183	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2184	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
2185	Trahan?
2186	[No response.]
2187	*The Clerk. Pallone?
2188	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
------	---
2189	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2190	Chair Rodgers?
2191	*The Chair. Aye.
2192	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2193	*Mr. Bucshon. Are there any other members looking to be
2194	recorded?
2195	Seeing none
2196	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks is not recorded.
2197	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
2198	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2199	*Mr. Bucshon. Are there any other members?
2200	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
2201	*The Clerk. Chair Bucshon, on that vote there were 27
2202	ayes and 0 noes.
2203	*Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
2204	to, and the bill, 7188, is forwarded to the full committee.
2205	The chair calls up H.R. 6020, and asks the clerk to
2206	report.
2207	*The Clerk. H.R. 6020, a bill to amend the Public
2208	Health Service Act to eliminate consideration
	100

2209	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
2210	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open to
2211	amendment at any point.
2212	So ordered.
2213	[The bill follows:]
2214	
2215	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2216	

\*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
Mr. Obernolte, you are recognized.
\*Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last
word.

\*Mr. Bucshon. You are recognized for five minutes.
\*Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chairman, thank you for the
opportunity to testify in support of my bill, H.R. 6020, the
Honoring Our Living Donor Act.

I think it is pretty clear that one of the most powerful acts of generosity anyone can perform is the donation of an organ to someone else, and there is a desperate need for those donations. We currently have over 100,000 Americans on the waiting list for an organ transplant, but unfortunately there are not enough donated organs to go around, not even close.

Over 6,000 Americans died last year while still on the waiting list, waiting for an organ transplant. And in the last 15 years there has actually been a 7 percent decline in the number of living organs that were donated.

2236 Unfortunately, during that same period the waiting list for 2237 donations has risen by over 50 percent, and over 100

2238 Americans are added to that list every single day. In response to that need, several years ago Congress 2239 2240 established the National Living Donor Assistance Center to provide financial assistance to people who are willing to 2241 donate an organ. It is no surprise that, in addition to the 2242 physical disability involved with donation, there is 2243 substantial financial commitment involved. The typical 2244 2245 living donor has to take at least 2 weeks off of work, sometimes as much as 6 or 8 weeks off of work to be able to 2246 donate an organ. 2247

So the National Living Donor Assistance Center provides 2248 2249 financial assistance to those donors, and reimbursing them 2250 for lost wages and things like child care that are required as a result of their donation. Quite sensibly, there is a 2251 requirement for an income limitation on this program, and the 2252 donor must be at 350 percent of the poverty level or below. 2253 But unfortunately and nonsensically, that income limitation 2254 2255 also applies to the recipient of the organ.

I think we can all agree that making the income limitation require only to the donor not only makes more sense, but will incentivize more people to be able to afford

2259 to be living organ donors and commit this unbelievable act of generosity. So this bill makes that simple change to make 2260 2261 the income limitation apply only to the donor of the organ, and not the recipient. I think this is a common-sense 2262 2263 provision. I would like to thank my Democratic co-chair, 2264 Congresswoman DelBene, for leading this piece of legislation 2265 2266 with me, and I respectfully urge its adoption. I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 2267 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 2268 further discussion on the bill? 2269 Seeing none, are there bipartisan amendments to the 2270 2271 bill? 2272 Mr. Obernolte. \*Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment in 2273 the nature of a substitute at the desk. 2274 \*Mr. Bucshon. The clerk will report the amendment. 2275 2276 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 6020, offered by Mr. Obernolte. Strike all after the 2277 enacting --2278 \*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the reading of the 2279 113

2285	*Mr. Bucshon. And the gentleman is recognized for five
2286	minutes in support of the amendment.
2287	*Mr. Obernolte. Mr. Chairman, this amendment in the
2288	nature of a substitute makes technical changes to the
2289	reporting language in the bill requested by stakeholders,
2290	just common sense, and I urge its adoption.
2291	I yield back.
2292	*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
2293	further discussion on the amendment?
2294	Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote
2295	now occurs on the amendment.
2296	All those in favor, say aye.
2297	All those opposed, say no.
2298	In the opinion of my opinion, the ayes have it, and
2299	the amendment is agreed to.
2300	Are there any other bipartisan amendments to the bill?
2301	Any other amendments to the bill?
2302	Seeing none, the vote now occurs on passage of the bill,
2303	6020, to forward it to the full committee. A roll call vote
2304	well, let's we have to vote. A roll call vote has been
2305	requested on reporting the bill, as amended, to the full
	115

2306	committee. The clerk will call the roll.
2307	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2308	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2309	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2310	Burgess?
2311	[No response.]
2312	*The Clerk. Latta?
2313	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2314	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2315	Griffith?
2316	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2317	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2318	Bilirakis?
2319	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2320	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2321	Bucshon?
2322	[No response.]
2323	*The Clerk. Bucshon?
2324	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2325	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2326	Hudson?

2327	[No response.]
2328	*The Clerk. Carter?
2329	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
2330	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
2331	Dunn?
2332	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2333	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2334	Pence?
2335	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2336	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2337	Crenshaw?
2338	[No response.]
2339	*The Clerk. Joyce?
2340	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2341	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2342	Balderson?
2343	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2344	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2345	Harshbarger?
2346	[No response.]
2347	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?

2348	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Yes.
2349	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2350	Obernolte?
2351	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2352	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2353	Eshoo?
2354	[No response.]
2355	*The Clerk. Eshoo?
2356	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2357	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2358	Sarbanes?
2359	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2360	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2361	Cardenas?
2362	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2363	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2364	Ruiz?
2365	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2366	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2367	Dingell?
2368	[No response.]

2369	*The Clerk.	Kuster?
2370	*Ms. Kuster.	Aye.
2371	*The Clerk.	Kuster votes aye.
2372	Kelly?	
2373	*Ms. Kelly.	Aye.
2374	*The Clerk.	Kelly votes aye.
2375	Barragan?	
2376	*Ms. Barragan	n. Aye.
2377	*The Clerk.	Barragan votes aye.
2378	Blunt Rochest	cer?
2379	*Ms. Blunt Ro	ochester. Aye.
2380	*The Clerk.	Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2381	Craig?	
2382	*Ms. Craig.	Aye.
2383	*The Clerk.	Craig votes aye.
2384	Schrier?	
2385	*Ms. Schrier	. Aye.
2386	*The Clerk.	Schrier votes aye.
2387	Trahan?	
2388	[No response.	.]
2389	*The Clerk.	Pallone?

2390	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2391	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2392	Chair Rodgers?
2393	*The Chair. Aye.
2394	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2395	[Pause.]
2396	*Mr. Guthrie. [Presiding] Where are we in the roll
2397	call? Who have not answered the roll call?
2398	*The Clerk. Burgess is not recorded; Hudson is not
2399	recorded; Crenshaw is not recorded; Harshbarger is not
2400	recorded; Dingell is not recorded.
2401	*Mr. Guthrie. That is all of our okay.
2402	So is anybody else on your side seeking to record?
2403	Seeing none, will the clerk report?
2404	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 24
2405	ayes and 0 noes.
2406	*Mr. Guthrie. With 24 ayes and 0 noes, the bill is
2407	agreed to.
2408	The chair calls up H.R. 455, and asks the clerk to
2409	report.
2410	*The Clerk. H.R. 455, a bill to amend the Controlled
	120

2411	Substances Act to fix a technical error in the definitions.
2412	Be it enacted by the Senate and the House
2413	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
2414	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2415	amendment at any point.
2416	So ordered.
2417	[The bill follows:]
2418	
2419	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2420	

2421 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments? 2422 2423 Seeing none, are there any other amendments? \*Voice. Ms. Kuster. 2424 \*Mr. Guthrie. She has an amendment? 2425 \*Voice. Yes, Ms. Kuster. 2426 \*Mr. Guthrie. Ms. Kuster, do you have an amendment to 2427 2428 the bill? Yes. 2429 \*Ms. Kuster. Mr. Chairman, I would like to strike the last word. 2430 \*Ms. Eshoo. Your microphone. 2431 \*Mr. Guthrie. If the gentlelady would report her 2432 2433 amendment -- will report to -- oh, strike the last word, I am 2434 sorry. \*Ms. Kuster. Thank you very much. 2435 \*Mr. Guthrie. The lady is recognized for five minutes. 2436 Thank you. I would like to call up an \*Ms. Kuster. 2437 2438 important amendment concerning the Controlled Substances Act, and it is Kuster 98. 2439 \*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report. 2440 \*The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 455, offered by Ms. 2441

2442	Kuster of New Hampshire.
2443	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
2444	amendment is dispensed with.
2445	
2446	[The amendment of Ms. Kuster follows:]
2447	
2448	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2449	

2450 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady is recognized for five 2451 minutes in support of her amendment.

2452 \*Ms. Kuster. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I understand 2453 that this amendment is not germane to this bill, but I would 2454 like to take this opportunity to highlight an important tool 2455 to address the addiction and overdose crisis that is about to 2456 expire.

During the COVID-19 pandemic the Drug Enforcement Administration allowed providers to prescribe medicationassisted treatment for opioid use disorder remotely. This flexibility allowed more Americans to receive this lifesaving treatment that they needed and certainly deserved.

Evidence shows that access to medication-assisted 2462 treatment via telehealth has been transformative for people 2463 with opioid use disorder. Remote access has meant that 2464 patients no longer have to worry about transportation, child 2465 care, taking time off from work, or other circumstances that 2466 2467 could impact their ability to get to a doctor's office. Just this week the CDC released data showing overdose deaths fell 2468 last year for the first time since the onset of the pandemic. 2469 Unfortunately, the flexibility that allows health care 2470

providers to provide remote care for these patients expires at the end of this year. After proposing and withdrawing a burdensome rule last year, the DEA has yet to indicate that they will allow patients to continue accessing their lifesaving medication remotely. This is, quite frankly, unconscionable. People will die as a result of this decision, including people whom I love very much.

Without action, patients will lose access to their treatment. And at a time when overdose deaths are finally falling, we should make it easier than ever for people who want to get help to get better. If the DEA won't exercise its authority to allow patients access to telehealth services for opioid use disorder treatment, Congress should pass the TREATS Act.

The TREATS Act would allow patients to continue receiving the help they need without the barriers associated with in-person visits.

And with that I will withdraw my amendment and yield back.

2490 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady withdraws her amendment.
2491 Is there any -- are there any other amendments on the bill?

2492	Is there any further discussion on the bill?
2493	Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
2494	455 to the full committee.
2495	All those in favor you say we want a roll call vote?
2496	So there will be a roll call vote, so the clerk will
2497	call the roll.
2498	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2499	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2500	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2501	Burgess?
2502	[No response.]
2503	*The Clerk. Latta?
2504	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2505	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2506	Griffith?
2507	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2508	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2509	Bilirakis?
2510	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2511	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2512	Bucshon?

2513	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2514	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2515	Hudson?
2516	[No response.]
2517	*The Clerk. Carter?
2518	[No response.]
2519	*The Clerk. Dunn?
2520	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
2521	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
2522	Pence?
2523	[No response.]
2524	*The Clerk. Crenshaw?
2525	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
2526	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2527	Joyce?
2528	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.
2529	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
2530	Balderson?
2531	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2532	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2533	Harshbarger?

2534	[No response.]
2535	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
2536	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Aye.
2537	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2538	Obernolte?
2539	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2540	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2541	Eshoo?
2542	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2543	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2544	Sarbanes?
2545	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2546	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2547	Cardenas?
2548	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2549	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2550	Ruiz?
2551	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2552	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2553	Dingell?
2554	[No response.]

2555	*The Clerk.	Kuster?
2556	*Ms. Kuster.	Aye.
2557	*The Clerk.	Kuster votes aye.
2558	Kelly?	
2559	*Ms. Kelly.	Aye.
2560	*The Clerk.	Kelly votes aye.
2561	Barragan?	
2562	*Ms. Barragan	n. Aye.
2563	*The Clerk.	Barragan votes aye.
2564	Blunt Rochest	ter?
2565	*Ms. Blunt Ro	ochester. Aye.
2566	*The Clerk.	Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2567	Craig?	
2568	*Ms. Craig.	Aye.
2569	*The Clerk.	Craig votes aye.
2570	Schrier?	
2571	*Ms. Schrier	. Aye.
2572	*The Clerk.	Schrier votes aye.
2573	Trahan?	
2574	[No response	•]
2575	*The Clerk.	Pallone?

2576	*Mr. Pallone. Yes.
2577	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2578	Chair Rodgers?
2579	*The Chair. Aye.
2580	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2581	*Mr. Pence. How is Pence recorded?
2582	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence, you are not recorded.
2583	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2584	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2585	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to be recorded?
2586	Is anyone else seeking to vote?
2587	Seeing no others present to vote, the chair will ask the
2588	clerk to report.
2589	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 24
2590	ayes and 0 noes.
2591	*Mr. Guthrie. With 24 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
2592	agreed to.
2593	The chair calls up H.R. 7213, and asks the clerk to
2594	report.
2595	*The Clerk. H.R. 7213, a bill to amend the Public
2596	Health Service Act to enhance

\*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for amendment at any point. So ordered. [The bill follows:] 2602 2603 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

2605	*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
2606	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
2607	Seeing none, are there any other amendments?
2608	And the chair will recognize himself for an amendment.
2609	I have an amendment in the nature of the substitute at the
2610	desk. I ask the clerk to report.
2611	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
2612	H.R. 7213
2613	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the amendment is
2614	dispensed with.
2615	[The amendment of Mr. Guthrie follows:]
2616	
2617	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2618	

2619 \*Mr. Guthrie. And I will recognize myself for five minutes, and I appreciate the opportunity to substitute for 2620 2621 my good friend from Ohio on this amendment who has worked on this bill and this amendment, my good friend, Bob Latta. 2622 I will explain the amendment. I want to speak in 2623 support of this amendment. I am proud to be offering the 2624 AINS, along with my friend from Ohio, and I am grateful for 2625 2626 all the work done on the bill by the sponsor, Representative Chris Smith. He has been a fierce advocate and a leader on 2627 these issues for decades. 2628

The program and activities authorized under Autism Cares 2629 has been the primary driver of autism research services, 2630 2631 training, and monitoring across the Federal Government. The AINS makes certain improvements to the current program, 2632 including ensuring the programs are appropriately channeling 2633 resources towards the most impactful forms of research and 2634 services, as well as coordinating various programs at NIH, 2635 2636 CDC, and HRSA.

The bill also continues to train providers in utilizing valid and reliable screening tools to diagnose and provide evidence-based interventions. The goal is to promote early

2640 intervention strategies to improve outcomes for individuals 2641 within with the autism spectrum disorder and other 2642 developmental disabilities.

Importantly, it takes steps to ensure the public and all individuals with the autism community have an opportunity to engage in and are included in the research process and other activities related to autism spectrum disorder.

I am proud of the work that has been done thus far on this bill, and passionate engagement and communication of stakeholders throughout the process. And we continue to welcome feedback on the current text, and look forward to working as it moves to full committee.

I ask support of this AINS and support of the legislation, and I will yield back.

2654 Does anyone else seek recognition on the AINS?

2655 Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote 2656 occurs on the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

All opposed, nay.

2659 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.

2660 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 7213, as

2661	amended, to the full committee. A roll call has been
2662	requested, and the clerk will call the roll.
2663	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2664	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2665	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2666	Burgess?
2667	[No response.]
2668	*The Clerk. Latta?
2669	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2670	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2671	Griffith?
2672	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2673	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2674	Bilirakis?
2675	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2676	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2677	Bucshon?
2678	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2679	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2680	Hudson?
2681	[No response.]

2682	*The Clerk.	Carter?
2683	[No response.	.]
2684	*The Clerk.	Dunn?
2685	[No response.	.]
2686	*The Clerk.	Pence?
2687	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
2688	*The Clerk.	Pence votes aye.
2689	Crenshaw?	
2690	[No response.	.]
2691	*The Clerk.	Joyce?
2692	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
2693	*The Clerk.	Joyce votes aye.
2694	Balderson?	
2695	*Mr. Balderso	on. Aye.
2696	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
2697	Harshbarger?	
2698	[No response.	.]
2699	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?
2700	*Mrs. Miller-	-Meeks. Yes.
2701	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks votes aye.
2702	Obernolte?	

2703	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2704	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2705	Eshoo?
2706	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
2707	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
2708	Sarbanes?
2709	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2710	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2711	Cardenas?
2712	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2713	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2714	Ruiz?
2715	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2716	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2717	Dingell?
2718	[No response.]
2719	
2720	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2721	[No response.]
2722	*The Clerk. Kelly?
2723	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.

2724	*The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2725	Barragan?
2726	*Ms. Barragan. Barragan is aye.
2727	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
2728	Blunt Rochester?
2729	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
2730	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2731	Craig?
2732	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
2733	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2734	Schrier?
2735	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2736	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
2737	Trahan?
2738	[No response.]
2739	*The Clerk. Pallone?
2740	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2741	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2742	Chair Rodgers?
2743	*The Chair. Aye.
2744	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
	100

2745	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone seeking to answer the roll call
2746	who has not is Mr how is Mr. Crenshaw recorded?
2747	*The Clerk. Mr. Crenshaw is not recorded.
2748	*Mr. Crenshaw. Crenshaw votes aye.
2749	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2750	*Mr. Guthrie. Anyone else?
2751	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
2752	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 22
2753	ayes and 0 noes.
2754	*Mr. Guthrie. With 22 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
2755	agreed to.
2756	The chair calls up H.R. 4534, and asks the clerk to
2757	report.
2758	*The Clerk. H.R. 4534, a bill to require a review of
2759	women and lung cancer.
2760	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
2761	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
2762	amendment at any point.
2763	So ordered.
2764	[The bill follows:]
2765	

2766 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

2768 \*Mr. Guthrie. Are there -- does anyone seek recognition on the bill? 2769 2770 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Illinois seek recognition? 2771 \*Ms. Kelly. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last word. 2772 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 2773 \*Ms. Kelly. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My amendment makes 2774 2775 some clarifying changes to the underlying bill to better focus the existing collaboration between HHS, the DoD, and 2776 the VA on research related to lung cancer and women in 2777 underserved communities. 2778 The amendment establishes an interagency review to 2779 2780 evaluate current research on lung cancer, improve the accessibility of lung cancer preventative services to women, 2781 and provide a report to Congress with recommendations to 2782 enhance public awareness and prevent activities for lung 2783

2784 cancer.

During our legislative hearing on the bill in February, we heard testimony from the American Cancer Society that research is needed to determine if there are unique factors for women, especially with respect to lung cancer. The

American Cancer Society testimony also noted that, despite historically lower smoking rates than men, women are estimated to make over half of all new lung cancer cases and nearly half of all deaths in 2023.

Furthermore, women have a slightly higher proportion of lung cancer not attributable to modifiable risk factors like smoking.

I believe this amendment and the underlying bill will provide a clearer, comprehensive understanding of the existing research, as well as new, innovative opportunities to reduce lung cancer mortality, particularly among women.

I want to thank the staff of the chair and ranking member for working with me on this language, and I would also like to recognize and thank Representative Boyle for being our champion of this effort in the House.

As chair of the Congressional Black Caucus Health Brain Trust, I will continue to work with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle and the Biden-Harris Administration to curb the harmful impacts of tobacco on communities of color, and ensure that health equity is at the center of Federal public health policy.

2810	Thank you, and I yield back.
2811	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. I believe
2812	the gentlelady also has an amendment at the desk. I am sorry
2813	I didn't call the amendment up. Does the gentlelady have an
2814	amendment at the desk?
2815	*Ms. Kelly. Yes.
2816	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
2817	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
2818	H.R. 4534, offered by Ms. Kelly.
2819	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
2820	amendment is dispensed with.
2821	[The amendment of Ms. Kelly follows:]
2822	
2823	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2824	

2825 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized for five minutes on the amount. I think you just explained the 2826 2827 amendment. \*Ms. Kelly. Right, I just explained that. 2828 2829 [Laughter.] \*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. So is there any other 2830 discussion of the amendment? The gentlelady yields back. 2831 Is 2832 there any further discussion? 2833 Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on the amendment. 2834 All in favor shall signify by saying aye. 2835 All opposed, nay. 2836 2837 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. Is there any further discussion on the amendment or the 2838 bill? 2839 Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 2840 4534, as amended, to the full committee. The roll call has 2841 2842 been requested, and the clerk will call the roll. \*The Clerk. Guthrie? 2843 \*Mr. Guthrie. Aye. 2844 \*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye. 2845
2846	Burgess?
2847	[No response.]
2848	*The Clerk. Latta?
2849	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2850	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2851	Griffith?
2852	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2853	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2854	Bilirakis?
2855	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
2856	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
2857	Bucshon?
2858	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2859	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2860	Hudson?
2861	[No response.]
2862	*The Clerk. Carter?
2863	[No response.]
2864	*The Clerk. Dunn?
2865	[No response.]
2866	*The Clerk. Pence?

2867	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2868	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2869	Crenshaw?
2870	*Mr. Crenshaw. Aye.
2871	*The Clerk. Crenshaw votes aye.
2872	Joyce?
2873	[No response.]
2874	*The Clerk. Balderson?
2875	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2876	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2877	Harshbarger?
2878	[No response.]
2879	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?
2880	[No response.]
2881	*The Clerk. Obernolte?
2882	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
2883	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
2884	Eshoo?
2885	[No response.]
2886	*The Clerk. Sarbanes?
2887	[No response.]

2888	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
2889	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2890	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2891	Ruiz?
2892	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
2893	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
2894	Dingell?
2895	[No response.]
2896	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2897	*Ms. Kuster. Yes, yes.
2898	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2899	Kelly?
2900	*Ms. Kelly. Aye.
2901	*The Clerk. Kelly votes aye.
2902	Barragan?
2903	[No response.]
2904	*The Clerk. Barragan?
2905	*Ms. Barragan. Yes.
2906	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
2907	Blunt Rochester?
2908	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
	1 4 7

2909	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
2910	Craig?
2911	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
2912	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
2913	Schrier?
2914	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2915	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
2916	Trahan?
2917	[No response.]
2918	*The Clerk. Pallone?
2919	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2920	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2921	Chair Rodgers?
2922	*The Chair. Aye.
2923	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
2924	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone seeking to answer the roll
2925	call?
2926	The gentlelady how is the gentlelady from California
2927	recorded?
2928	*The Clerk. Ms. Eshoo is not recorded.
2929	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.

2930 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady --\*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye. 2931 2932 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from Maryland. \*The Clerk. Mr. Sarbanes is not recorded. 2933 \*Mr. Sarbanes. Ave. 2934 \*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye. 2935 \*Mr. Guthrie. Seeing no others, the clerk will report. 2936 2937 [Pause.] 2938 \*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 21 ayes and 0 noes. 2939 \*Mr. Guthrie. With 21 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is 2940 2941 agreed to. 2942 The chair calls up H.R. 670, and asks the clerk to 2943 report. \*The Clerk. H.R. 670, a bill to amend title four of the 2944 Public Health Service Act to direct the Secretary of Health 2945 and Human Services --2946 2947 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 2948 amendment at any point. 2949 So ordered. 2950

2951 [The bill follows:] 2952 2953 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 2954

2955	*Mr. Guthrie. Are there any does anyone seek
2956	recognition on the bill?
2957	On the bill or the amendment?
2958	On the bill, anyone on the bill?
2959	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
2960	Are there any other amendments?
2961	The gentlelady from New Hampshire is recognized.
2962	*Ms. Kuster. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to
2963	strike the last word.
2964	*Mr. Guthrie. Would the chair excuse me, would the
2965	clerk report the amendment?
2966	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
2967	H.R. 670, offered by Ms. Kuster.
2968	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
2969	amendment is dispensed with.
2970	[The amendment of Ms. Kuster follows:]
2971	
2972	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
2973	

2974 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady from New Hampshire is recognized for five minutes in support of her amendment. 2975 2976 \*Ms. Kuster. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My amendment would direct the Department of Health and 2977 Human Services to establish a publicly available website 2978 where people with disabilities and their caregivers and 2979 families can find information about Medicaid services, and 2980 2981 supports available to them, and how to use them. For example, people with disabilities and their families 2982 would be able to use this database to find information about 2983 home and community-based services available near them and how 2984 to access them. In states with lengthy wait lists, the 2985 2986 website will have information on expected wait times. This simple proposal would make the complicated system that many 2987 people with disabilities and their families navigate far more 2988 accessible. 2989 I hope that we can work together to improve more 2990

2990 I hope that we can work together to improve more 2991 expansive changes, to improve access to home and community-2992 based services for the many people who rely upon them.

2993 [Pause.]

2994 \*Ms. Kuster. And I yield back.

*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any
further discussion on the amendment?
Seeing none, are there any amendments to the amendment?
Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote
occurs on the amendment.
All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
All opposed, nay.
The aye has it have it the aye has it, and the
amendment is agreed to.
[Pause.]
*Mr. Guthrie. Are there any further amendments?
Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
670, as amended, to the full committee. A roll call has been
requested, and the clerk will call the roll.
*The Clerk. Guthrie?
*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
Burgess?
[No response.]
*The Clerk. Latta?
*Mr. Latta. Aye.

3016	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
3017	Griffith?
3018	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
3019	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
3020	Bilirakis?
3021	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
3022	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
3023	Bucshon?
3024	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
3025	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
3026	Hudson?
3027	[No response.]
3028	*The Clerk. Carter?
3029	[No response.]
3030	*The Clerk. Dunn?
3031	[No response.]
3032	*The Clerk. Pence?
3033	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
3034	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
3035	Crenshaw?
3036	[No response.]

*The Clerk.	Joyce?
[No response.	]
*The Clerk.	Balderson?
[No response.	]
*The Clerk.	Harshbarger?
[No response.	]
*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?
[No response.	]
*The Clerk.	Obernolte?
*Mr. Obernolt	.e. Aye.
*The Clerk.	Obernolte votes aye.
Eshoo?	
*Ms. Eshoo.	Aye.
*The Clerk.	Eshoo votes aye.
Sarbanes?	
*Mr. Sarbanes	. Aye.
*The Clerk.	Sarbanes votes aye.
Cardenas?	
*Mr. Cardenas	. Aye.
*The Clerk.	Cardenas votes aye.
Ruiz?	
	<pre>[No response. *The Clerk. [No response. *The Clerk. [No response. *The Clerk. [No response. *The Clerk. *Mr. Obernolt *The Clerk. Eshoo? *Ms. Eshoo. *The Clerk. Sarbanes? *Mr. Sarbanes *The Clerk. Cardenas? *Mr. Cardenas</pre>

3058	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
3059	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
3060	Dingell?
3061	[No response.]
3062	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3063	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3064	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3065	Kelly?
3066	*The Clerk. Barragan?
3067	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
3068	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
3069	Blunt Rochester?
3070	*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Aye.
3071	*The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes aye.
3072	Craig?
3073	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
3074	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
3075	Schrier?
3076	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3077	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
3078	Trahan?

3079	[No response.]
3080	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3081	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3082	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3083	Chair Rodgers?
3084	*The Chair. Aye.
3085	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3086	*Mr. Dunn. How is Dunn recorded?
3087	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn is not recorded.
3088	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
3089	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
3090	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking recognition for
3091 purp	oses of answering the roll call?
3092	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
3093	[Pause.]
3094	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie, how is Mr. Balderson recorded?
3095	*Mr. Guthrie. How is Mr. Balderson recorded?
3096	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
3097	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
3098	[Pause.]
3099	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 20

```
3100
      ayes and 0 noes.
           *Mr. Guthrie. With 20 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
3101
3102
      agreed to.
           The chair calls up H.R. 8084, and asks the clerk to
3103
3104
      report.
3105
           *The Clerk. H.R. 8084, a bill to amend title XIX of the
      Social Security Act to require states to verify --
3106
           *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
3107
      the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
3108
3109
      amendment at any point.
          So ordered.
3110
          [The bill follows:]
3111
3112
      3113
3114
```

3115 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? On the amendment or the bill? 3116 3117 \*Mr. Bilirakis. On the bill. \*Mr. Guthrie. On the bill. The gentleman from Florida 3118 is recognized for five minutes --3119 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. 3120 \*Mr. Guthrie. -- to speak on the bill. 3121 3122 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate it very much. I move to strike the last word. 3123 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 3124 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you. I wanted to speak in 3125 support of my bipartisan bill, H.R. 8084, the Leveraging 3126 Integrity and Verification of Eligibility for Beneficiaries 3127 Act, which I am proud to co-lead with my colleague, 3128 Representative Angie Craig. 3129 The LIVE Beneficiaries Act was -- would address concerns 3130 that were raised by the reports from HHS Office of Inspector 3131 3132 General which found that a number of states repeatedly have made incorrect capitation payments per member per month to 3133 the managed care organizations after enrollees had died. 3134 My simple bill would address this by requiring states to 3135 159

3136 check the Social Security Administration's Death Master File 3137 on a quarterly basis, and disenroll deceased beneficiaries 3138 from their rolls so that MCOs can't profit off of them 3139 improperly. This is a common-sense policy to prevent 3140 unnecessary payments going to managed care plans, and 3141 ultimately saving state Medicaid program money.

At our legislative hearing I asked Medicaid Director 3142 3143 Tsai about this particular issue. And since we have introduced this bill, CMS has started to recognize this 3144 problem and take some administrative steps with states. 3145 This bill will help ensure we are abiding by good governance 3146 3147 standards in statute. We know that, too often, fraudulent and wasteful payments occur in the Medicaid and Medicare 3148 programs, and we should continue to find ways to cut down and 3149 save taxpayer dollars wherever possible. 3150

3151 So I ask my colleagues to support H.R. 8084, and I yield 3152 back the balance of my time unless someone wants my time.

3153 I will yield back.

3154 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back, and the chair 3155 will recognize the gentlelady from Minnesota for five minutes 3156 for -- in support of the bill.

3157	*Ms. Craig. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. I move to
3158	strike the last word.
3159	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.
3160	*Ms. Craig. Great. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. I
3161	am so proud today that my bipartisan bill, the LIVE
3162	Beneficiaries Act, is included in the markup, although it is
3163	a little bit silly that we continue to have to promote this
3164	legislation.
3165	This bill would prevent Medicaid capitation payments
3166	being issued on behalf of deceased enrollees to Medicare
3167	excuse me, Medicaid-managed care organizations.
3168	I want to thank Representative Bilirakis for his
3169	partnership and his common-sense piece of legislation.
3170	According to a recent HHS Office of Inspector General
3171	report, an audit of just 14 states found that more than 249
3172	million in improper Medicaid capitation payments were made to
3173	MCOs on behalf of deceased enrollees between 2009 and 2019.
3174	Of that, OIG found that over 3.5 million in improper payments
3175	were made on behalf of deceased enrollees to MCOs in my home
3176	state of Minnesota.
3177	When I came to Congress, I promised I would work across

3178 the aisle to seek and reform the way government works. Our bill makes important reforms to the government's oversight of 3179 3180 taxpayer dollars. By tackling this waste we can make room to fund important priorities like the home and community-based 3181 services that so many Medicaid beneficiaries rely on. 3182 In closing, I believe that my colleagues and I have a 3183 duty to take on fiscal responsibility. However, I refuse to 3184 3185 balance the budget on the backs of our most vulnerable populations by slashing critical health care programs. Our 3186 bill is about improving the integrity of the Medicaid program 3187 and making sure that Congress remains a good steward of 3188 taxpayers' hard-earned dollars. 3189 3190 Mr. Chairman, I thank you for the time, and I yield 3191 back. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back. \*Mr. Guthrie. 3192 Is there any further discussion? 3193 Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 3194 3195 8084 to the full committee. A roll call has been requested, and the clerk will call the roll. 3196 \*The Clerk. Guthrie? 3197

3198 \*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.

3199	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
3200	Burgess?
3201	[No response.]
3202	*The Clerk. Latta?
3203	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
3204	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
3205	Griffith?
3206	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
3207	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
3208	Bilirakis?
3209	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
3210	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
3211	Bucshon?
3212	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
3213	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
3214	Hudson?
3215	[No response.]
3216	*The Clerk. Carter?
3217	[No response.]
3218	*The Clerk. Dunn?
3219	[No response.]

3220	*The Clerk. Pence?		
3221	*Mr. Pence. Aye.		
3222	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.		
3223	Crenshaw?		
3224	[No response.]		
3225	*The Clerk. Joyce?		
3226	[No response.]		
3227	*The Clerk. Balderson?		
3228	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.		
3229	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.		
3230	Harshbarger?		
3231	[No response.]		
3232	*The Clerk. Miller-Meeks?		
3233	[No response.]		
3234	*The Clerk. Obernolte?		
3235	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.		
3236	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.		
3237	Eshoo?		
3238	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.		
3239	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.		
3240	Sarbanes?		

3241	[No response.]		
3242	*The Clerk. Cardenas?		
3243	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.		
3244	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.		
3245	Ruiz?		
3246	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.		
3247	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.		
3248	Dingell?		
3249	[No response.]		
3250	*The Clerk. Kuster?		
3251	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.		
3252	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.		
3253	Kelly?		
3254	[No response.]		
3255	*The Clerk. Barragan?		
3256	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.		
3257	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.		
3258	Blunt Rochester?		
3259	[No response.]		
3260	*The Clerk. Craig?		
3261	*Ms. Craig. Aye.		

3262	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
3263	Schrier?
3264	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3265	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
3266	Trahan?
3267	[No response.]
3268	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3269	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3270	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3271	Chair Rodgers?
3272	*The Chair. Aye.
3273	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3274	*Mr. Dunn. How is Dunn recorded?
3275	*The Clerk. Mr. Dunn is not recorded.
3276	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
3277	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
3278	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to answer the roll
3279	call?
3280	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
3281	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 18
3282	ayes and 0 noes.

3283 \*Mr. Guthrie. Excuse me. Would the clerk will report again? 3284 3285 \*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 18 ayes and 0 noes. 3286 \*Mr. Guthrie. With 18 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is 3287 agreed to. 3288 [Pause.] 3289 3290 \*Mr. Guthrie. Just to inform the committee, we got some members at a budget hearing going -- or budget votes that are 3291 going forward today. We also have members -- so we are going 3292 to move to -- on your list to H.R. 7858, 7858. Make sure 3293 3294 everybody is aware. 3295 And the chair calls up H.R. 7858, and asks the clerk to 3296 report. \*The Clerk. H.R. 7858, a bill to amend title XVIII of 3297 the Social Security Act to establish a Medicare incident to -3298 - modifier for mental health services furnished through 3299 3300 telehealth. Be it enacted by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America and Congress 3301 assembled, section 1, short title. This act may be cited as 3302 the --3303

3304	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
3305	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
3306	amendment at any point.
3307	So ordered.
3308	[The bill follows:]
3309	
3310	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
3311	

\*Mr. Guthrie. Is there any discussion on the bill? 3312 Are there any bipartisan amendments? 3313 3314 To speak on the bill? Or -- because I have an AINS. You want to speak on the AINS, and I can bring the AINS up? 3315 Okay, so I will recognize myself. The chair -- excuse 3316 me, let me -- Mr. Clerk, I have a chair at the desk. 3317 I have an amendment at the desk, Mr. -- I have a chair at the desk, 3318 3319 as well. [Laughter.] 3320 \*Mr. Guthrie. I have an amendment at the desk. 3321 \*Ms. Kelly. A desk with a chair. 3322 \*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment. 3323 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to 3324 H.R. 7858, offered by Mr. --3325 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 3326 amendment is dispensed with. 3327 [The amendment of Mr. Guthrie follows:] 3328 3329 3330 3331

3332 \*Mr. Guthrie. And I will recognize myself for five minutes in support of the amendment. 3333 3334 I am offering this amendment in the nature of a substitute. This is to Congressman James's bill, since he is 3335 not on the subcommittee, and this amendment would expand the 3336 scope of the introduced bill to not only better our 3337 understanding of expanded access to mental health services 3338 3339 provided via telehealth, but all telehealth services.

By knowing what type of providers are delivering which service in telehealth, we will be able to be more certain that telehealth patients are receiving appropriate care. This policy also aligns with HHS OIG recommendations, and was one of their primary suggestions when they briefed this committee on their telehealth work.

3346 So this is a policy that is good for beneficiaries and 3347 good for program integrity, and I encourage my colleagues to 3348 support the amendment and final passage of the bill.

And I will yield back and recognize the gentleman from California for five minutes to speak on the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

3352 \*Mr. Ruiz. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3353 Telehealth services are a game-changer, indeed, 3354 especially for rural communities that disproportionately 3355 experience the burden of health care workforce shortages and 3356 transportation barriers.

But as we discuss telehealth legislation, we also need to be thinking about the importance of reliable Internet connectivity, because you can't have telehealth if you don't have reliable Internet connectivity. Without reliable broadband and access to the required technology, you see, telehealth doesn't work. That is why it is so important to extend and fully fund the Affordable Connectivity Program.

The Affordable Connectivity Program subsidizes the cost 3364 of Internet services for families, but it expires at the end 3365 of the month. Allowing this program to expire will raise 3366 costs for families that are already struggling to afford 3367 Internet service. This program is crucial in rural 3368 communities like my congressional district. One in five 3369 3370 households in the State of California is enrolled in this program. In fact, 1 in 3 in my district and 38 percent of 3371 households in my district are enrolled. That is 111,964 3372 households. 3373

3374 So many families rely on this program to pay for the Internet services they need for their telehealth 3375 3376 appointments, for their work, for their education, and more. So I seriously urge my colleagues in this subcommittee to 3377 support extending the Affordable Care Program so that all of 3378 these telehealth bills can actually be of use to our 3379 constituents. 3380 3381 With that, would you like my time, Representative 3382 Kuster? \*Ms. Kuster. Sure. 3383 \*Mr. Ruiz. All right. I yield to Representative 3384 3385 Kuster. \*Ms. Kuster. Like many others today, I am frustrated to 3386 be sitting here two weeks before the Affordable Connectivity 3387 Program ends, with no clear path to keep this program funded. 3388 In New Hampshire alone, the ACP has helped nearly 40,000 3389 households connect to the Internet, allowing Granite Staters 3390 3391 to apply for jobs, to access health care, and to participate in online education. 3392 This isn't a red state or a blue state issue. 3393 This

172

program benefits all Americans, regardless of where they

3395 live, and it is especially beneficial for rural patients who 3396 have come to rely upon the program to access video telehealth 3397 services, as we are discussing today.

And yet House Republican leadership refuses to add more funding to the program. Twenty-three million Americans will lose access to the Internet, and I am hoping that my colleagues on this committee will join me in finding a permanent funding solution for the ACP to help keep rural and underserved communities connected.

And with that, I yield back to Mr. -- Dr. Ruiz.

3405 \*Ms. Eshoo. Would the gentleman yield?

3406 \*Mr. Ruiz. And I yield to Representative Eshoo.

\*Ms. Eshoo. I thank the gentleman. I just wanted to add another statistic to this issue. Close to 50 percent of the enrollees in the ACP are military families. That should be yet another motivator.

3411 So I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I yield back 3412 to him.

3413 \*Mr. Ruiz. And, you know, for those who say that ACP is 3414 too expensive, for every dollar spent on the ACP program the 3415 nation's GDP increases by almost \$4. Telehealth visits are

23 percent less expensive than in-person visits. 3416 And to those who say ACP eligibility is too wide, the 3417 3418 ACP has proven to be a crucial benefit for millions of American households, including over 10 million military 3419 families and 4 million veterans nationwide. 3420 So I need to ask, do our active service members and 3421 veterans not deserve access to affordable Internet? 3422 3423 We can have the conversation about eligibility, but ultimately we need to find a path forward for this program to 3424 ensure the millions of Americans who rely on it can stay 3425 connected to their doctors, their loved ones, and each other. 3426 And with that I yield back. 3427 \*Mr. Guthrie. Thanks. The gentleman yields back. 3428 Is there any further discussion on the amendment? 3429 The gentleman from Indiana is recognized to speak on the 3430 amendment. 3431 Yes, I move to strike the last word. \*Mr. Bucshon. 3432 3433 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. \*Mr. Bucshon. I want to thank my colleagues across the 3434 other side of the aisle. I am going to talk on the 3435 Affordable Connectivity Program. 3436

Look, 55,000 people in my congressional district are benefitting this [sic].

I just want to note that the Senate at this point has not offered a solution and sent that to the House.

We have also really have not been asked to work on reforms to the program that would earn our support to fund the program. We can't -- we cannot just keep the COVID-era program going without taking a look at what reforms would be needed to ensure the program reaches households that need it the most. Again, 55,000 people in my district are

3447 participants in this.

However, members on the committee, you know, in a 3448 3449 bipartisan way, are participating in a -- well, it is bipartisan, bicameral -- USF working group. So we are 3450 working on a solution to ensure that the Affordable 3451 Connectivity Program is sustainable, long term, and I would 3452 encourage everyone on the committee, all of my colleagues, to 3453 3454 help support that effort so that we can have an ACP program with some needed long-term reforms that makes it sustainable, 3455 so that all of our constituents can benefit from this 3456 3457 program.

And I yield back. 3458 \*Ms. Kuster. Would the gentleman yield? 3459 3460 \*Mr. Guthrie. Would the gentleman yield? \*Mr. Bucshon. Sure. 3461 \*Ms. Kuster. I believe there is a Senate version. 3462 I have been talking to my colleague, Senator Peter Welch, who 3463 is working with our colleague, Senator J.D. Vance. And my 3464 3465 most recent conversations with Senator Welch are that there 3466 are up to a dozen Republicans joining in that bipartisan version. 3467 And so, noting all of the constituents, particularly --3468 and I think our chair may -- our ranking member may have a 3469 3470 chart that is helpful on this -- we are talking about rural communities. We are talking about, you know, red states and 3471 blue states. And so I hope that there will be a bipartisan 3472 solution, and I hope, with your leadership, we will be able 3473 to work with our speaker to get that to the floor. 3474 3475 And with that I yield back. \*Mr. Bucshon. I reclaim my time. Yes, I will yield. 3476 \*Ms. Schrier. Thank you. 3477 Mr. Chairman, I would also just love to touch on the 3478 176

Affordable Connectivity Program. It has been incredibly 3479 useful in the State of Washington. One in eight households 3480 3481 in the state have saved money. We have even seen private industry, the Internet service providers, respond by 3482 establishing plans that only cost \$30 a month so that so many 3483 of these people, the ones in the most need, actually can get 3484 high-speed Internet at their homes or at their businesses for 3485 3486 free. This has been incredibly successful.

You know, it lapsed last month, and I just don't think we should be waiting for the Senate to take action on this. Let's renew this program while we are waiting for a permanent solution. This has helped students, people in rural communities access health care. It has helped businesses thrive. And I think we need to bring this to the floor immediately, and not wait for the Senate.

3494 With that I will yield back.

3495 \*Mr. Bucshon. Reclaiming my time, as I reiterated 3496 again, there is a bipartisan, bicameral working group, and I 3497 think everyone is working towards a solution to ensure that 3498 the Affordable Connectivity Program is sustainable long term. 3499 And again, I would encourage everyone to work together on

3500	this	program.
3501		Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
3502		*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there
3503	furt	her discussion?
3504		So we were on the AINS. So if there is no further
3505	disc	ussion, the vote occurs on the amendment.
3506		All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
3507		All opposed, nay.
3508		The ayes have it.
3509		We will now the question now occurs on forwarding
3510	H.R.	7858, as amended, to the full committee. A roll call
3511	vote	has been requested, and the clerk will call the roll.
3512		*The Clerk. Guthrie?
3513		*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
3514		*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
3515		Burgess?
3516		*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
3517		*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
3518		Latta?
3519		*Mr. Latta. Aye.
3520		*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.

3521	Griffith?		
3522	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.		
3523	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.		
3524	Bilirakis?		
3525	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.		
3526	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.		
3527	Bucshon?		
3528	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.		
3529	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.		
3530	Hudson?		
3531	[No response.]		
3532	*The Clerk. Carter?		
3533	*Mr. Carter. Aye.		
3534	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.		
3535	Dunn?		
3536	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.		
3537	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.		
3538	Pence?		
3539	*Mr. Pence. Aye.		
3540	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.		
3541	Crenshaw?		

3542	[No response	.]	
3543	*The Clerk.	Joyce?	
3544	[No response	.]	
3545	*The Clerk.	Balderson?	
3546	*Mr. Balders	on. Aye.	
3547	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.	
3548	Harshbarger?		
3549	[No response	.]	
3550	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?	
3551	*Mrs. Miller	-Meeks. Aye.	
3552	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks votes aye.	
3553	Obernolte?		
3554	*Mr. Obernol	te. Aye.	
3555	*The Clerk.	Obernolte votes aye.	
3556	Eshoo?		
3557	*Ms. Eshoo.	Aye.	
3558	*The Clerk.	Eshoo votes aye.	
3559	Sarbanes?		
3560	[No response.]		
3561	*The Clerk.	Cardenas?	
3562	*Mr. Cardenas	s. Aye.	
3563	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.		
------	---------------------------------		
3564	Ruiz?		
3565	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.		
3566	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.		
3567	Dingell?		
3568	[No response.]		
3569	*The Clerk. Kuster?		
3570	[No response.]		
3571	*The Clerk. Kelly?		
3572	[No response.]		
3573	*The Clerk. Barragan?		
3574	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.		
3575	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.		
3576	Blunt Rochester?		
3577	[No response.]		
3578	*The Clerk. Craig?		
3579	[No response.]		
3580	*The Clerk. Schrier?		
3581	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.		
3582	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.		
3583	Trahan?		

3584	[No response.]
3585	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3586	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3587	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3588	Chair Rodgers?
3589	*The Chair. Aye.
3590	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3591	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone here to answer the roll call?
3592	Ms. Kuster?
3593	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster, you are not recorded.
3594	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3595	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3596	*Mr. Guthrie. Dr. Joyce?
3597	*The Clerk. Dr. Joyce is not recorded.
3598	*Mr. Joyce. Joyce votes aye.
3599	*The Clerk. Joyce votes aye.
3600	*Mr. Guthrie. Anyone
3601	*Ms. Craig. Craig.
3602	*Mr. Guthrie. Ms. Craig?
3603	*The Clerk. Ms. Craig is not recorded.
3604	*Ms. Craig. Craig votes aye.

3605 \*The Clerk. Craig votes aye. \*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to answer the roll 3606 3607 call? Seeing none, the chair will report -- the clerk will 3608 3609 report. Long day. \*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 22 3610 ayes and 0 noes. 3611 3612 \*Mr. Guthrie. With 22 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is 3613 agreed to. I will alert the committee we will move to H.R. 8111, 3614 8111. The chair calls up H.R. 811 -- 81 -- excuse me, let me 3615 start over -- H.R. 8111, and asks the clerk to report. 3616 \*The Clerk. H.R. 8111, a bill to amend title XIX of the 3617 Social Security Act to ensure that --3618 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of 3619 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 3620 amendment at any point. 3621 3622 So ordered. [The bill follows:] 3623 3624 3625 3626 183

3627 \*Mr. Guthrie. Is there any discussion on the bill? The gentlelady from Iowa, Dr. Miller-Meeks, is 3628 3629 recognized for five minutes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to \*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. 3630 strike the last word. 3631 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 3632 \*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. My bill, H.R. 8111, the Medicaid 3633 3634 Program Improvement Act, co-led by Congressman Cartwright, would create a process for state Medicaid programs and 3635 managed care organizations, or MCOs, to obtain address 3636 information of program beneficiaries to ensure that they are 3637 not enrolled in multiple state Medicaid programs. 3638 3639 This simple, good-governance legislation would maintain program integrity and help control unnecessary cost to states 3640 and to the Federal Government without restricting access to 3641 those who legitimately gualify for Medicaid. 3642 I thank the committee for moving my bill, and urge my 3643 3644 colleagues to vote yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back the balance of my 3645 3646 time. \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any 3647 184

3648	discussion?
3649	Seeing no further discussion, are there any bipartisan
3650	amendments?
3651	Are there any other amendments?
3652	Seeing none, well, actually, I will so we will move
3653	to okay. Seeing none, we will move to the vote on the
3654	bill.
3655	The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 8111 to the
3656	full committee.
3657	All those in favor oh, the clerk a roll call has
3658	been asked, and the clerk will call the roll.
3659	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
3660	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
3661	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
3662	Burgess?
3663	*Mr. Burgess. Votes aye.
3664	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
3665	Latta?
3666	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
3667	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
3668	Griffith?

3669	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
3670	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
3671	Bilirakis?
3672	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
3673	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
3674	Bucshon?
3675	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
3676	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
3677	Hudson?
3678	[No response.]
3679	*The Clerk. Carter?
3680	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
3681	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
3682	Dunn?
3683	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
3684	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
3685	Pence?
3686	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
3687	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
3688	Crenshaw?
3689	[No response.]

3690	*The Clerk.	Joyce?
3691	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
3692	*The Clerk.	Joyce votes aye.
3693	Balderson?	
3694	*Mr. Balderso	on. Aye.
3695	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
3696	Harshbarger?	
3697	[No response	.]
3698	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?
3699	*Mrs. Miller	-Meeks. Aye.
3700	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks votes aye.
3701	Obernolte?	
3702	*Mr. Obernolt	te. Aye.
3703	*The Clerk.	Obernolte votes aye.
3704	Eshoo?	
3705	*Ms. Eshoo.	Aye.
3706	*The Clerk.	Eshoo votes aye.
3707	Sarbanes?	
3708	[No response	.]
3709	*The Clerk.	Cardenas?
3710	*Mr. Cardenas	s. Aye.

3711	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
3712	Ruiz?
3713	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
3714	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
3715	Dingell?
3716	[No response.]
3717	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3718	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3719	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3720	Kelly?
3721	[No response.]
3722	*The Clerk. Barragan?
3723	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
3724	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
3725	Blunt Rochester?
3726	[No response.]
3727	*The Clerk. Craig?
3728	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
3729	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
3730	Schrier?
3731	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.

3732	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
3733	Trahan?
3734	[No response.]
3735	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3736	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3737	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3738	Chair Rodgers?
3739	*The Chair. Aye.
3740	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3741	*Mr. Guthrie. Is there anyone seeking to answer the
3742	roll?
3743	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
3744	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 22
3745	ayes and 0 noes.
3746	*Mr. Guthrie. With 22 ayes and 0 noes, the bill is
3747	agreed to.
3748	The chair calls up H.R. 8089, and asks the clerk to
3749	report.
3750	*The Clerk. H.R. 8089, a bill to amend title XIX of the
3751	Social Security Act to require certain additional provider
3752	screening under the Medicaid program.

Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for amendment at any point. So ordered. [The bill follows:] [The bill follows:] 3759 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 3760

3761 \*Mr. Guthrie. And I will recognize myself for five 3762 minutes to speak on the bill.

3763 I think all of the ones bringing the -- I think we have four bills today dealing with Medicaid, bringing these bills 3764 forward about improper payments of Medicaid. According to 3765 the Congressional Budget Office, Federal spending on Medicaid 3766 is expected to increase from around \$550 billion in fiscal 3767 3768 year 2023 to almost \$800 billion in fiscal year 2033, and that does not include state spending. Improper payments in 3769 Medicaid reached \$50 billion in 2022. The OIG even stated 3770 that there is a compelling need to prioritize government 3771 program integrity to protect against improper payments. 3772

3773 The four bipartisan bills we are marking up today represent small but important steps to addressing key issues 3774 facing state Medicaid programs. Included is frequently 3775 checking for deceased enrollees; address verification for 3776 enrollees; and ensuring providers are eligible to submit 3777 3778 claims to Medicaid programs. Without these reforms, state lawmakers will be forced to potentially make tough decisions 3779 to cut certain health care services and put vulnerable 3780 patients at risk of losing health care coverage they may 3781

3782	need.
3783	I would like to thank Representatives Bilirakis,
3784	D'Esposito, Garcia, and Miller-Meeks for leading on these
3785	bills.
3786	I would also like to thank my good friend, chair of the
3787	Rules Committee, Dr. Burgess, for leading on these bills.
3788	And I encourage my colleagues to support the legislation
3789	today, and I will yield back.
3790	Does anyone seek recognition on the further
3791	recognition on the bill?
3792	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
3793	Are there any other amendments?
3794	The doctor from Texas, Chair Burgess, is recognized.
3795	*Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
3796	amendment at the desk.
3797	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
3798	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
3799	H.R. 8089, offered by
3800	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
3801	amendment is dispensed with.
3802	[The amendment of Mr. Burgess follows:]
	100

3806 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the doctor from Texas is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 3807 3808 \*Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So 8089 is a very straightforward bill. It requires 3809 states to regularly check the Social Security 3810 Administration's Death Master File for doctors who are 3811 enrolled in their Medicaid programs, and to remove doctors 3812 3813 who are already dead. It sounds simple, but it can be 3814 serious.

In the wake of the Change Healthcare attacks, we know 3815 now more than ever that hackers are looking for any way to 3816 exploit our system. And then, when a doctor dies, their 3817 3818 National Provider Identification, or NPI, is not immediately deactivated. The NPI of a deceased physician is low-hanging 3819 fruit for a hacker to steal, and then to begin a Medicaid --3820 initiate a Medicaid billing cycle. It is the perfect crime 3821 because, of course, the doctor is dead and not going to be 3822 3823 checking to see if there are any suspicious activity occurring on their NPI. 3824

3825 The amendment incorporates technical assistance that was 3826 given by the agency.

We need states to be more accountable in preventing fraud, and removing deceased doctors from the Medicaid program so that they can't be subject to fraud after death is one of the easiest things we can do, and it safeguards their legacy.

3832 I yield back.

3833 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there 3834 further discussion on the amendment?

3835 Seeing no further discussion, the vote occurs on the 3836 amendment.

3837 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.

3838 All those opposed, nay.

3839 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.

3840 The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 8089, as

amended, to the full committee.

All those in favor -- oh, excuse me. A roll call has been requested, and the clerk will call the roll.

3844 \*The Clerk. Guthrie?

3845 \*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.

3846 \*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.

3847 Burgess?

3848	*Mr. Burgess	. Burgess votes aye.
3849	*The Clerk.	Burgess votes aye.
3850	Latta?	
3851	*Mr. Latta.	Aye.
3852	*The Clerk.	Latta votes aye.
3853	Griffith?	
3854	*Mr. Griffit	h. Aye.
3855	*The Clerk.	Griffith votes aye.
3856	Bilirakis?	
3857	*Mr. Bilirak	tis. Aye.
3858	*The Clerk.	Bilirakis votes aye.
3859	Bucshon?	
3860	[No response	.]
3861	*The Clerk.	Hudson?
3862	[No response	.]
3863	*The Clerk.	Carter?
3864	*Mr. Carter.	Aye.
3865	*The Clerk.	Carter votes aye.
3866	Dunn?	
3867	*Mr. Dunn.	Aye.
3868	*The Clerk.	Dunn votes aye.

3869	Pence?	
3870	*Mr. Pence. A	Aye.
3871	*The Clerk. P	Pence votes aye.
3872	Crenshaw?	
3873	[No response.]	
3874	*The Clerk. J	Joyce?
3875	*Mr. Joyce. A	Aye.
3876	*The Clerk. J	Joyce votes aye.
3877	Balderson?	
3878	*Mr. Balderson	n. Aye.
3879	*The Clerk. B	Balderson votes aye.
3880	Harshbarger?	
3881	[No response.]	
3882	*The Clerk. M	Miller-Meeks?
3883	*Mrs. Miller-M	Meeks. Aye.
3884	*The Clerk. M	Miller-Meeks votes aye.
3885	Obernolte?	
3886	*Mr. Obernolte	e. Aye.
3887	*The Clerk. O	Dbernolte votes aye.
3888	Eshoo?	
3889	*Ms. Eshoo. A	Aye.

3890	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
3891	Sarbanes?
3892	[No response.]
3893	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
3894	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
3895	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
3896	Ruiz?
3897	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
3898	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
3899	Dingell?
3900	[No response.]
3901	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3902	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3903	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3904	Kelly?
3905	[No response.]
3906	*The Clerk. Barragan?
3907	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
3908	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
3909	Blunt Rochester?
3910	[No response.]

3911	*The Clerk. Craig?
3912	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
3913	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
3914	Schrier?
3915	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3916	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
3917	Trahan?
3918	[No response.]
3919	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3920	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3921	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3922	Chair Rodgers?
3923	*The Chair. Aye.
3924	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
3925	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone seeking a roll call?
3926	Seeing none Dr. Bucshon?
3927	*Mr. Bucshon. How is Bucschon recorded?
3928	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
3929	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
3930	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
3931	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking to answer the roll

3932	call?
3933	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
3934	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 22
3935	ayes and 0 noes.
3936	*Mr. Guthrie. With 22 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
3937	agreed to.
3938	I think we are back in order now, 8112. The chair calls
3939	up H.R. 8112, and asks the clerk to report.
3940	*The Clerk. H.R. 8112, a bill to amend title XIX of the
3941	Social Security Act
3942	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
3943	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
3944	amendment at any point.
3945	So ordered.
3946	[The bill follows:]
3947	
3948	*******COMMITTEE INSERT*******
3949	

3950	*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
3951	I believe, Mr. Carter, you have an amendment. Is there
3952	any discussion on the bill?
3953	Seeing none, Mr are there any bipartisan amendments?
3954	Seeing none, Mr. Carter, you are recognized.
3955	*Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
3956	desk for H.R. 8112.
3957	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report the amendment.
3958	*The Clerk. Substitute for the amendment in the nature
3959	of a substitute to H.R. 8112. Offer
3960	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
3961	amendment is dispensed with.
3962	[The amendment of Mr. Carter follows:]
3963	
3964	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
3965	

3966 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman is recognized for five minutes in support of the amendment. 3967 3968 \*Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 8112 is simple. We should not let 3969 bad doctors into the Medicaid program. One way that we try 3970 to maintain the integrity of the program is by entering 3971 doctors into the Data Exchange, or D-E-X, DEX, which is a 3972 3973 database managed by CMS that monitors doctors who have been terminated from the Medicaid and Medicare programs. 3974 To be clear, doctors are not removed casually from 3975 either program. You have to commit fraud or harm to patients 3976 in order to be terminated from the programs. The issue here, 3977 3978 though, is that states are not always actively checking the DEX. If a doctor breaks the law in Florida and is removed 3979 from Florida's Medicaid program, the doctor will be entered 3980 into the DEX. However, if Georgia or another state doesn't 3981 check the DEX, we may inadvertently let the doctor into our 3982 3983 state.

This bill is straightforward, and I hope we can all agree that keeping bad doctors out of Medicaid is in everyone's best interest.

3987	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back the remainder
3988	of my time.
3989	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
3990	further discussion on the amendment?
3991	If there is no further discussion, the vote occurs on
3992	the amendment.
3993	All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
3994	All opposed, nay.
3995	The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
3996	Are there any further amendments?
3997	Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
3998	8112, as amended, to the full committee, and the roll call
3999	has been requested, and the clerk will call the roll.
4000	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
4001	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4002	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
4003	Burgess?
4004	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
4005	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
4006	Latta?
4007	*Mr. Latta. Aye.

4008	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
4009	Griffith?
4010	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4011	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
4012	Bilirakis?
4013	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
4014	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
4015	Bucshon?
4016	[No response.]
4017	*The Clerk. Hudson?
4018	[No response.]
4019	*The Clerk. Carter?
4020	*Mr. Carter. Aye.
4021	*The Clerk. Carter votes aye.
4022	Dunn?
4023	*Mr. Dunn. Aye.
4024	*The Clerk. Dunn votes aye.
4025	Pence?
4026	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
4027	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
4028	Crenshaw?

4029	[No response	.]
4030	*The Clerk.	Joyce?
4031	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
4032	*The Clerk.	Joyce votes aye.
4033	Balderson?	
4034	*Mr. Balders	on. Aye.
4035	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
4036	Harshbarger?	
4037	[No response	.]
4038	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks?
4039	*Mrs. Miller	-Meeks. Aye.
4040	*The Clerk.	Miller-Meeks votes aye.
4041	Obernolte?	
4042	*Mr. Obernol	te. Aye.
4043	*The Clerk.	Obernolte votes aye.
4044	Eshoo?	
4045	*Ms. Eshoo.	Aye.
4046	*The Clerk.	Eshoo votes aye.
4047	Sarbanes?	
4048	[No response	.]
4049	*The Clerk.	Cardenas?

4050	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4051	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4052	Ruiz?
4053	[No response.]
4054	*The Clerk. Dingell?
4055	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
4056	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
4057	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
4058	Dingell?
4059	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
4060	*The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
4061	Kuster?
4062	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4063	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4064	Kelly?
4065	[No response.]
4066	*The Clerk. Barragan?
4067	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4068	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
4069	Blunt Rochester?
4070	[No response.]

4071	*The Clerk. Craig?
4072	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
4073	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
4074	Schrier?
4075	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4076	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
4077	Trahan?
4078	[No response.]
4079	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4080	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4081	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4082	Chair Rodgers?
4083	*The Chair. Aye.
4084	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
4085	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone seeking to answer the roll?
4086	Dr. Bucshon?
4087	*Mr. Bucshon. How is Mr. Bucshon recorded?
4088	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
4089	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4090	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
4091	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking?

4092 Seeing none, the clerk will report. \*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 23 4093 4094 ayes and 0 noes. \*Mr. Guthrie. With 23 ayes and 0 noes, the bill is 4095 4096 agreed to. 4097 The chair now calls up H.R. 6033, and asks the clerk to report. 4098 4099 \*The Clerk. H.R. 6033, a bill to require the Secretary of Health and Human Services to establish a task force --4100 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of 4101 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for 4102 amendment at any point. 4103 So ordered. 4104 [The bill follows:] 4105 4106 4107 4108

4109	*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
4110	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
4111	Are there any other amendments?
4112	The gentleman from Florida is recognized to offer an
4113	amendment.
4114	*Mr. Bilirakis. A bipartisan amendment, the an
4115	amendment in the nature of a substitute.
4116	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
4117	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
4118	H.R. 6033
4119	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
4120	amendment is dispensed with.
4121	[The amendment of Mr. Bilirakis follows:]
4122	
4123	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
4124	

\*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from Florida is
recognized for five minutes in support of his amendment.
\*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I want to offer this AINS. It is a bipartisan bill,
bipartisan amendment to H.R. 6033. I ask my colleagues to
support the amendment.

The Supporting Patient Education and Knowledge, or SPEAK 4131 4132 Act, is designed to increase access to telehealth services 4133 for those who may experience barriers to traditional telehealth care, such as those with disabilities or those 4134 with limited English proficiency. Studies have shown that 4135 these Medicare patients utilize telehealth at significantly 4136 4137 lower rates, potentially leading to worse outcomes and leaving a key access tool out of reach. 4138

My amendment inserts the provisions of the bipartisan bill I co-lead with Representative Steel, H.R. 7863, the Expanding Language Access in Telehealth Act, to direct HHS to develop best practices to improve language access and other factors, particularly when patients are seeking behavioral health services through telehealth.

4145 We have seen in recent years how valuable telehealth can

4146 be for expanding access to critical behavioral health 4147 treatment, but it has become apparent that some seniors need 4148 additional support to fully utilize this tool in an effective 4149 manner.

Our bill ensures that providers and patients receive 4150 training and support they need to take full advantage of this 4151 essential tool. These best practices include potential use 4152 4153 of interpreters or audio-only services when video is unavailable, and additional training materials on how to 4154 access digital portals and multi-purpose video technologies. 4155 HHS would be required to consult with electronic health 4156 experts and remote patient monitoring companies, providers in 4157 hospital settings, and health and language quality 4158 certification organizations to disseminate this information. 4159 This common-sense amendment supports patients and 4160 careqivers in the Medicare program, and allows seniors to 4161 better access the full array of telehealth tools, 4162 4163 particularly when managing their mental health. So I urge the passage of this particular amendment and, of course, the 4164 underlying bill. 4165

```
4166
```

6 And I will yield back, unless somebody needs my time.

4167 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The gentleman from California seeks recognition --4168 4169 \*Mr. Cardenas. Yes. \*Mr. Guthrie. -- for five minutes to speak on the 4170 4171 amendment. \*Mr. Cardenas. I move to strike the last word. 4172 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized. 4173 4174 \*Mr. Cardenas. I want to quickly discuss the value of H.R. 6033, the Supporting Patients Education and Knowledge 4175 Act, that I am excited to co-lead with Representative Steel 4176 and a number of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle. 4177 The relationship between patients, health care 4178 providers, and the health system at large is the cornerstone 4179 of quality health care delivery. This legislation 4180 demonstrates a bipartisan commitment to helping ensure access 4181 to care across the United States for people with limited 4182 English proficiency. 4183 4184 As we expand innovative technologies and telehealth services, we have a responsibility to the 25 million non-4185 English-speaking households in the United States. Developing 4186 and implementing best practices to overcome barriers ensures 4187

4188	that every individual, regardless of their linguistic
4189	background, receives the care they need and deserve.
4190	I thank my colleague, Congresswoman Steel, for co-
4191	leading this bill, and I urge members of the committee to
4192	support it.
4193	And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
4194	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
4195	further discussion on the amendment?
4196	If there is no further discussion, the vote is on the
4197	amendment.
4198	All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
4199	All those opposed, nay.
4200	The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to.
4201	The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 6033, as
4202	amended, to the full committee. The clerk will call the
4203	roll.
4204	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
4205	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4206	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
4207	Burgess?
4208	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.

4209	*The Clerk.	Burgess votes aye.
4210	Latta?	
4211	*Mr. Latta.	Aye.
4212	*The Clerk.	Latta votes aye.
4213	Griffith?	
4214	*Mr. Griffit	n. Aye.
4215	*The Clerk.	Griffith votes aye.
4216	Bilirakis?	
4217	*Mr. Bilirak:	is. Aye.
4218	*The Clerk.	Bilirakis votes aye.
4219	Bucshon?	
4220	[No response	.]
4221	*The Clerk.	Hudson?
4222	[No response	.]
4223	*Mr. Guthrie	. I don't think he can
4224	*The Clerk.	Carter?
4225	*Mr. Carter.	Aye.
4226	*The Clerk.	Carter votes aye.
4227	Dunn?	
4228	*Mr. Dunn. Z	Aye.
4229	*The Clerk.	Dunn votes aye.

•

4230	Pence?	
4231	*Mr. Pence. Aye.	
4232	*The Clerk. Pence	votes aye.
4233	Crenshaw?	
4234	[No response.]	
4235	*The Clerk. Joyce	?
4236	*Mr. Joyce. Aye.	
4237	*The Clerk. Joyce	votes aye.
4238	Balderson?	
4239	*Mr. Balderson. A	ye.
4240	*The Clerk. Balde	rson votes aye.
4241	Harshbarger?	
4242	[No response.]	
4243	*The Clerk. Mille	r-Meeks?
4244	*Mrs. Miller-Meeks	. Aye.
4245	*The Clerk. Mille	r-Meeks votes aye.
4246	Obernolte?	
4247	*Mr. Obernolte. A	ye.
4248	*The Clerk. Obern	olte votes aye.
4249	Eshoo?	
4250	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.	

4251	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
4252	Sarbanes?
4253	[No response.]
4254	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
4255	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4256	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4257	Ruiz?
4258	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
4259	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
4260	Dingell?
4261	*Mrs. Dingell. Aye.
4262	*The Clerk. Dingell votes aye.
4263	Kuster?
4264	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4265	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4266	Kelly?
4267	[No response.]
4268	*The Clerk. Barragan?
4269	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4270	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
4271	Blunt Rochester?
4272	[No response.]
----------	---
4273	*The Clerk. Craig?
4274	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
4275	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
4276	Schrier?
4277	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4278	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
4279	Trahan?
4280	[No response.]
4281	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4282	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4283	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4284	Chair Rodgers?
4285	*The Chair. Aye.
4286	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
4287	*Mr. Guthrie. Is anyone else seeking recognition for
4288 the	roll call?
4289	*Mr. Bucshon. Mr. Guthrie, how is Mr. Bucshon recorded?
4290	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
4291	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4292	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.

4293	*Mr. Guthrie. Anyone want the chance to vote?
4294	All right. The clerk will report.
4295	*The Clerk. Chair Guthrie, on that vote there were 23
4296	ayes and 0 noes.
4297	*Mr. Guthrie. With 23 ayes and 0 nays, the bill is
4298	agreed to.
4299	[Pause.]
4300	*Mr. Bucshon. [Presiding] The chair now calls up H.R.
4301	7623, and asks the clerk to report.
4302	*The Clerk. H.R. 7623, a bill to amend title XVIII of
4303	the Social Security Act to make permanent certain telehealth
4304	flexibilities
4305	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of
4306	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open to
4307	amendment at any point.
4308	So ordered.
4309	[The bill follows:]
4310	
4311	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4312	

4313 \*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? \*Mr. Pallone. Mr. Chairman? 4314 4315 \*Mr. Bucshon. I recognize the ranking member. \*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to 4316 strike the last word and express my support for the bill. 4317 The bipartisan legislation would extend key telehealth 4318 flexibilities until the end of 2026 for 2 additional years. 4319 4320 The expansion of telehealth flexibilities during the COVID-19 public health emergency and subsequently in the 4321 Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2023 has allowed millions 4322 of Medicare beneficiaries to receive access to necessary 4323 care, and expanding access to telehealth services during the 4324 public health emergency helped save lives and help keep 4325 providers afloat. Since then, further expansion of 4326 telehealth services has helped provide critical services to 4327 hard-to-reach populations and helped beneficiaries in areas 4328 that are already underserved. 4329

Now, has found that telehealth utilization and spending has increased substantially, and the use of telehealth services among Medicare beneficiaries has continued to remain high and far above pre-pandemic levels. And while we have

4334 seen several tangible benefits to telehealth, I believe it is 4335 important that further expansion has meaningfully increased 4336 patient access to care and ensure high quality care for 4337 beneficiaries.

And I am pleased we were able to reach bipartisan agreement to include language in the legislation that will provide CMS with the tools and data necessary to monitor the quality of health care services that beneficiaries are receiving. CMS must be able to assess and monitor the quality of health services that Medicare beneficiaries are receiving.

And I am also pleased the legislation includes language that will help strengthen program integrity and ensure that telehealth is being used appropriately.

But the last thing I wanted to say is that it is critical that we ensure equitable access to telehealth services, and many Democrats have talked about this today. Telehealth can help underserved individuals access care and help individuals who are managing serious health conditions. However, we know that many Americans lack the technology or Internet access needed to use telehealth effectively, and the

4355 American Connectivity Program has helped 23 million low and 4356 middle-income households and an estimated 64 million 4357 individuals access the Internet.

But because of Republicans' failure to extend the 4358 program, American families are at risk of losing Internet 4359 access they need for work, school, and health care, including 4360 telehealth. So I am happy that my Republican colleagues are 4361 4362 so supportive of telehealth, but I call on them to join our efforts to save this critical program and renew funding. 4363 Because otherwise, how are people going to access telehealth 4364 if they don't have access to the Internet? 4365

4366 [Chart]

4367 \*Mr. Pallone. There is a chart behind me here that 4368 talks about the significance of the households enrolled in 4369 the Affordable Connectivity Program in the various states of 4370 Republican members, but I could hold up a similar one for 4371 Democratic members, as well.

4372 So I look forward to working with members of the 4373 committee to advance this bill through the full committee, 4374 and I thank the many members who have been leaders on this 4375 issue, such as Representatives Dingell, Blunt Rochester,

4376	Matsui, Kuster, and Eshoo, and I urge my colleagues to
4377	support this proposal.
4378	And thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4379	*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Does anyone
4380	else seek recognition to speak on the bill?
4381	Mrs. Dingell?
4382	He has got an AINS. This is on the bill.
4383	*Mrs. Dingell. Okay.
4384	*Mr. Bucshon. You are recognized for five minutes.
4385	*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am just
4386	respectful of my Republican colleagues at all times. I move
4387	to strike the last word on this bill.
4388	*Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady is recognized.
4389	*Mrs. Dingell. Thank you. Telehealth provides a
4390	critical way for patients to access needed care. I am proud
4391	to be a co-lead of H.R. 7623, the Telehealth Modernization
4392	Act. This legislation would remove originating and
4393	geographic site restrictions which would allow patients to
4394	access telehealth from their homes, and would support
4395	patients in both rural and urban areas.
4396	This legislation would also make permanent the ability

of federally-qualified health centers and rural health
clinics to provide telehealth services and provide adequate
reimbursements in those settings to ensure continued
provision of virtual services.

Strengthened access to health services can benefit many 4401 at-risk seniors, enabling them to continue accessing 4402 important health care services while remaining safe in the 4403 4404 comfort of their own homes. During the April 10 legislative hearing, I asked patient advocate Ms. Jeanette Ashlock how 4405 extending telehealth flexibilities impacts the quality and 4406 continuity of care, and she said it is essential to have that 4407 tool of telehealth because all of us are different. Some of 4408 4409 us have mobility issues. Some of us can't get transportation. Telehealth can get the care I need without 4410

4411 the stress of getting to the doctor.

I would like to share another story, this time from a provider in my district regarding the benefits. "I worked with a young adult navigating severe anxiety and panic attacks, and have seen them make great progress through engaging in regular telehealth services. They live in a rural community within the service area of Packard Health.

And with limited family resources, they struggle with transportation. Having the option to do telehealth therapy has made a tremendous difference. We simply would not have been able to do therapy consistently in person, which would have hampered our ability to provide effective mental health services.''

These services are critical for helping all patients access the care they need. I really appreciate the bipartisan coalition of members who have led this bill with me: Representatives Carter, Blunt Rochester, Steube, Sewell, Miller-Meeks, Van Drew, and Morelle. I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.

4430 And I do want to add to my ranking member's comment, and I missed my opportunity a little earlier to say people need 4431 to be able to have access. Those that can't afford 4432 transportation can't afford connectivity, as well. So we 4433 must continue funding the Affordable Connectivity Program, 4434 4435 ensuring millions of Americans aren't at risk of losing that connection and that opportunity the Internet gives them to 4436 get health care. Of the 23 million families the ACP helps, 4437 19 percent are seniors, 26 percent live in rural areas, and 4438

4439 49 percent are military families.

We have to work to pass the bipartisan ACP Extension Act so that all Americans have access to the Internet and we give them access by telehealth [sic]. I urge my colleagues to find a permanent solution, and I thank everybody for

4444 supporting this bill.

4445 And I yield back.

4446 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone
4447 else seeking recognition on the underlying bill?

4448 Ms. Barragan, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 4449 \*Ms. Barragan. I move to strike the last word.

4450 \*Mr. Bucshon. You are recognized for five minutes to 4451 speak on the legislation.

4452 \*Ms. Barragan. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to strike 4453 the last word.

I strongly support the telehealth extensions in the Telehealth Modernization Act. We saw through COVID-19 and the pandemic the challenges that it posed, and how the conversation of telehealth and the use of telehealth was just -- expanded and highlighted the need for us to continue to build on telehealth.

4460 During the pandemic, temporary flexibilities allowed Medicare providers to offer a broader range of services via 4461 4462 telehealth, especially for those who live in rural or underserved areas. It also led to increased access to 4463 critical health services for patients in urban areas, such as 4464 residents in my district. In Los Angeles County, a visit to 4465 a health care provider could mean hours of traffic. This can 4466 4467 especially be a challenge for those with chronic conditions, older Americans, or people with mobility restrictions. 4468

As we move forward, it is critical we address the significant disparities that exist in access to telehealth use. Telehealth services are used less, for example, by Spanish-speaking patients. That is why I am glad we are -also marked up today the SPEAK Act, which would direct HHS to create a task force to identify best practices to improve access to telehealth for non-speakers.

But as we have had this conversation -- and I want to echo the comments of my colleagues -- saying you are for something is different than acting on it. And I just kind of want to remind folks, it was when Democrats were in control of the House that we passed the infrastructure law. This was

4481 a \$65 billion broadband investment that created the
4482 Affordable Connectivity Program, which is what is keeping
4483 people connected. Not a single Republican in this committee
4484 voted for the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law.

4485 [Chart]

\*Ms. Barragan. Yes, you know what? These bills impact 4486 millions of Americans. And today the people that are 4487 4488 benefitting from these programs -- and here is a chart so you could see how many of my Republican colleagues are 4489 benefitting. Their constituents are benefitting from it. 4490 Yet I don't see a push to call the speaker to make this 4491 urgent. The program ends this month. This is this month. 4492 4493 There is no time. The time is right now to get together, to move something on the House side. 4494

Just take a look at this chart. Representative Obernolte from California, 1 in 3 of his constituents are on the program, over 92,000 people.

Who is next in line? Let's see. Representative Latta, 1 in 4 people, 69,887 of his constituents.

I would think that the members whose constituents are benefitting would be at the door of the speaker saying, hey,

4502 we are in charge now. We need to help our constituents keep 4503 their costs low.

This is what Americans want, not another week on appliances, but it is actually making sure that we move to pass and extend the Affordable Connectivity Program because all these things we are doing on telehealth are going to mean that millions of Americans will not have access.

4509 So let us do that, and let us work together not just to 4510 talk about it, but to have action across the aisle. Because the last time I checked, Republicans were still in charge of 4511 the House. So we got to continue, and I urge my colleagues 4512 on the other side to not just say here that you are for 4513 something. Move to get it passed as a discharge petition. 4514 Talk to your to your leader over there. But please, let's do 4515 this. It is so critically, critically important. And it is 4516 ending now. There was an opportunity in the budget. 4517 We could have done it then. There has been opportunity after 4518 4519 opportunity. So I would like to see some action.

4520 With that I yield back.

4521 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields. Does anyone else 4522 seek recognition to speak on the underlying bill?

4523	Seeing none, are there bipartisan amendments to the
4524	bill?
4525	For what purpose does Mr. Carter seek recognition?
4526	*Mr. Carter. Mr. Chairman, I seek recognition to offer
4527	an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 7623, the
4528	Telehealth Modernization Act.
4529	*Mr. Bucshon. The clerk will report the amendment.
4530	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
4531	H.R. 7623, offered by Mr. Carter. Strike all after
4532	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the reading of the
4533	amendment is dispensed with.
4534	[The amendment of Mr. Carter follows:]
4535	
4536	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4537	

4538 \*Mr. Bucshon. And the gentleman is recognized for five 4539 minutes in support of his amendment.

4540 \*Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4541 Mr. Chairman, I would like to offer this bipartisan 4542 amendment that I am leading with Representatives Lisa Blunt 4543 Rochester and Dr. Miller-Meeks.

As a pharmacist now serving in Congress, one of my top priorities has been improving the accessibility,

4546 affordability, and quality of health care, no matter where 4547 patients are located. Unfortunately, Americans living in 4548 rural areas too often have few to no health care options 4549 available close by, and have a 43 percent higher mortality 4550 rate.

Thankfully, this committee is considering my legislation, the Telehealth Modernization Act, to preserve patients' access to telehealth, which will help patients, particularly seniors and those in rural areas, access timely, high-quality health care.

I often say that we knew how important telehealth was before the pandemic, but we didn't quite realize it until the pandemic. Telehealth has proven to be a safe and effective

4559 way to deliver care, especially for patients who live in 4560 rural communities far away from doctors. And it also helps 4561 seniors who may not have the ability to travel to a doctor. 4562 Telehealth has also proven to be an effective tool in 4563 addressing the health care workforce and physician shortages.

Without congressional action, these Medicaid -- these Medicare telehealth flexibilities will expire at the end of this year, creating an access cliff for tens of millions of patients and providers. That is why I have introduced the Telehealth Modernization Act with Representative Lisa Blunt Rochester, which would extend these Medicare telehealth flexibilities and ensure access to care for seniors.

The amendment before us today would preserve Medicare 4571 patients' access to telehealth through 2026, which is crucial 4572 for our seniors and those in rural and underserved 4573 communities. The amendment extends audio-only coverage to 4574 help increase accessibility for those in rural communities 4575 4576 with limited or no access to broadband services. It also extends to hospital -- the Hospital at Home telehealth 4577 program through 2029, utilizes health centers and rural 4578 health clinics to provide telehealth, and makes important 4579

#### 4580 program reforms.

I am also pleased to see this bipartisan amendment 4581 4582 include crucial Pharmacy Benefit Manager reforms from my Protecting Patients Against PBM Abuses Act. This policy 4583 would delink PBM compensation from the cost of medications 4584 and increase price transparency, lowering the cost of 4585 prescription drugs for seniors. This will save taxpayers 4586 4587 roughly \$700 million, and help reduce seniors' out-of-pocket 4588 drug costs. This is common-sense, bipartisan policy that will protect seniors from some of the most eqregious 4589 practices of PBMs, and make prescription drugs more 4590 4591 affordable.

4592 While I am disappointed that we are not making these Medicare telehealth flexibilities permanent, I look forward 4593 to working with my colleagues on this committee to ensure 4594 that these vital telehealth services do not lapse. 4595 Increasing access to telehealth for Medicare beneficiaries 4596 4597 means increasing access to quality care for our seniors. That is why I urge my colleagues to support the Telehealth 4598 Modernization Act. 4599

4600

0 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back the remainder

4601 of my time. \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. 4602 4603 \*Mr. Carter. Unless --\*Mr. Bucshon. Is anyone else seeking recognition to 4604 4605 speak on the AINS? Mrs. Miller-Meeks, you are recognized. 4606 \*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the 4607 4608 last word. 4609 \*Mr. Bucshon. Five minutes. \*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am glad 4610 to see the committee include my bill with Mr. Carter, H.R. 4611 7623, the Telehealth Modernization Act, in the hearing today. 4612 This bipartisan legislation expands audio-only telehealth 4613 access for seniors, and maintains provisions that were 4614 enacted last Congress such as removing geographic and 4615 originating site restrictions for rural patients. 4616 Amongst other policies, the legislation also allows 4617 4618 federally-qualified health centers to furnish telehealth services. UnityPoint, a hospital system in Iowa with 4619 locations and clinics in my district, had 1,689 providers 4620 serve 76,268 patients via telehealth in the past year. 4621 This

4622 resulted in over 160,000 telehealth visits, with 94 percent of them occurring in the outpatient setting with high levels 4623 4624 of patient satisfaction. Telehealth allows seniors, especially those in rural 4625 areas, to have consistent and reliable access to their 4626 physician, which is critically important for individual and 4627 public health. 4628 4629 I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and yield back the balance of my time. 4630 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone 4631 else wishing to speak on the AINS? 4632 Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, a vote 4633 4634 now occurs on the amendment. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. 4635 All those opposed, nay. 4636 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 4637 [Pause.] 4638 4639 \*Mr. Bucshon. Are there any other amendments to the 4640 legislation? Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 4641 7623, as amended, to the full committee, and a recorded vote 4642 234

4643	has been requested. The clerk will call the roll.
4644	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
4645	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
4646	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
4647	Burgess?
4648	[No response.]
4649	*The Clerk. Latta?
4650	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
4651	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
4652	Griffith?
4653	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4654	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
4655	Bilirakis?
4656	*Mr. Bilirakis. Aye.
4657	*The Clerk. Bilirakis votes aye.
4658	Bucshon?
4659	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4660	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
4661	Hudson?
4662	[No response.]
4663	*The Clerk. Carter?

4664	*Mr. Carter.	Aye.
4665	*The Clerk. (	Carter votes aye.
4666	Dunn?	
4667	[No response.]	]
4668	*The Clerk.	Pence?
4669	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
4670	*The Clerk.	Pence votes aye.
4671	Crenshaw?	
4672	[No response.]	]
4673	*The Clerk.	Joyce?
4674	*Mr. Joyce.	Aye.
4675	*The Clerk.	Joyce votes aye.
4676	Balderson?	
4677	*Mr. Balderson	n. Aye.
4678	*The Clerk. 1	Balderson votes aye.
4679	Harshbarger?	
4680	[No response.]	]
4681	*The Clerk. 1	Miller-Meeks?
4682	*Mrs. Miller-N	Meeks. Aye.
4683	*The Clerk. 1	Miller-Meeks votes aye
4684	Obernolte?	

•

4685	*Mr. Obernolte. Aye.
4686	*The Clerk. Obernolte votes aye.
4687	Eshoo?
4688	*Ms. Eshoo. Aye.
4689	*The Clerk. Eshoo votes aye.
4690	Sarbanes?
4691	[No response.]
4692	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
4693	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4694	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4695	Ruiz?
4696	*Mr. Ruiz. Aye.
4697	*The Clerk. Ruiz votes aye.
4698	Dingell?
4699	[No response.]
4700	*The Clerk. Kuster?
4701	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4702	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4703	Kelly?
4704	[No response.]
4705	*The Clerk. Barragan?

4706	*Ms. Barragan. Aye.
4707	*The Clerk. Barragan votes aye.
4708	Blunt Rochester?
4709	[No response.]
4710	*The Clerk. Craig?
4711	*Ms. Craig. Aye.
4712	*The Clerk. Craig votes aye.
4713	Schrier?
4714	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4715	*The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
4716	Trahan?
4717	[No response.]
4718	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4719	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4720	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4721	Chair Rodgers?
4722	*The Chair. Aye.
4723	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
4724	*Mr. Bucshon. Is any other member seeking recognition
4725 to vo	ote?
4726	*Mrs. Dingell. Dingell.

4727 \*Mr. Bucshon. Mrs. Dingell? \*Mrs. Dingell. Aye. 4728 4729 \*The Clerk. Mrs. Dingell votes aye. \*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone else wish to be recorded? 4730 Seeing none, the clerk will report. 4731 \*The Clerk. Chair Bucshon, on that vote there were 21 4732 ayes and 0 noes. 4733 4734 \*Mr. Bucshon. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed 4735 to. The chair calls up H.R. 1406, and asks the clerk to 4736 4737 report. \*The Clerk. H.R. 1406, a bill to amend title XVIII of 4738 the Social Security Act to permanently extend certain in-home 4739 cardiopulmonary rehabilitation flexibilities --4740 \*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the first reading of 4741 the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open to 4742 amendment at any point. 4743 4744 So ordered. [The bill follows:] 4745 4746 4747 4748 239

4749 \*Mr. Bucshon. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
4750 I recognize the ranking member for five minutes.
4751 \*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to
4752 strike the last word.

4753 \*Mr. Bucshon. For five minutes.

4754 \*Mr. Pallone. During the COVID-19 public health 4755 emergency, the CMS waived a number of provisions in the 4756 Medicare program, including temporarily allowing certain 4757 cardiac and pulmonary rehab programs to be reimbursed for 4758 services supervised virtually in a patient's home. Now, 4759 these waivers were critically important because the Medicare 4760 beneficiaries were some of the most vulnerable to COVID-19.

As Congress considers further extensions of these 4761 policies, it is important for us to carefully consider the 4762 impact of these changes on our health care system. 4763 I have been supportive of cardiac rehab, which consists largely of 4764 nutrition counseling and supervised exercise, and I know that 4765 4766 that model has helped many people. But the cost of cardiac rehab is substantial, and can have out-of-pocket costs of as 4767 much as thousands of dollars for beneficiaries. So we need 4768 to make sure that beneficiaries are getting good value for 4769

4770 their dollars.

Under this bill patients who receive these services 4771 4772 virtually would be subject to significantly higher cost sharing than if these services were delivered in a 4773 physician's office. The bill would reimburse for cardiac 4774 rehab provided virtually at the same rate as a hospital 4775 outpatient department, and I am not sure if the significantly 4776 4777 higher reimbursement rate is appropriate or cost effective. 4778 So we need to ensure that Medicare beneficiaries are getting good value for their dollars. 4779

We also need to review the scoring from CBO and understand the proposed offsets for any increased costs. And I look forward to working with my colleagues to determine if there may be a bipartisan path forward.

4784 And with that I yield back.

4785 \*Mr. Bucshon. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 4786 further discussion on the underlying bill?

4787 Seeing none, are there any bipartisan amendments to the 4788 bill?

4789 I recognize Dr. Joyce. For what purpose do you seek 4790 recognition?

4791	*Mr. Joyce. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment in the
4792	nature of a substitute at the desk.
4793	*Mr. Bucshon. The clerk will report the amendment.
4794	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
4795	H.R. 1406, offered by Mr. Joyce. Strike all
4796	*Mr. Bucshon. Without objection, the reading of the
4797	amendment is dispensed with.
4798	
4799	[The amendment of Mr. Joyce follows:]
4800	
4801	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4802	

4803 \*Mr. Bucshon. And the gentleman is recognized for five 4804 minutes in support of his amendment.

\*Mr. Joyce. Thank you, Chairman. I speak today in strong support of the AINS to H.R. 1406, the Sustainable Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation Services in the Home Act. This important legislation will open up access to virtual intensive cardiac and pulmonary rehab services for our nation's seniors so that they are able to heal and recover at home from severe cardiac and pulmonary episodes.

H.R. 1406 improves access to much-needed care, 4812 especially among underserved populations, and has been 4813 demonstrated to improve chronic care and cardiovascular 4814 4815 outcomes. Every year more than one million Americans will have a heart attack, bypass surgery, or other cardiac events 4816 that will make them candidates for these rehab services. The 4817 data shows that completing a cardiac rehab can increase life 4818 expectancy by 5 years, and reduce hospitalizations by 30 4819 4820 percent.

Despite CMS and CDC having set a goal of having 70 percent of patients complete this rehab, unfortunately, we are currently only at 8 percent completion among those

eligible patients. One of the most commonly cited reasons for why there is a lack of success is the lack of access and the challenges associated with commuting to a hospital twice a week for these services over the three-month prescribed period.

Fortunately, one of the very few silver linings of the COVID-19 pandemic was that we saw an expansion in access, with seniors being able to access these services virtually at home through their original telehealth flexibilities.

4833 Virtual cardiac rehab results in an overall 18 percent

4834 reduction in cost to the system and a 38 percent reduction in 4835 hospitalizations.

4836 Similar results were seen in pulmonary rehab for 4837 patients with conditions like COPD, which showed that there 4838 is a cost effective program that also reduces

4839 hospitalizations and ultimately improves the quality of life. 4840 This bill would restore this access to our seniors and ensure 4841 that they have greater access to the necessary cardiac and 4842 pulmonary services at home.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and I yield the balance of my time.

4845	*Mr. Guthrie. [Presiding] The gentleman yields back.
4846	Is there anyone seeking recognition?
4847	Seeing none on the amendment, if there is no further
4848	discussion, the vote occurs on the amendment.
4849	All those in favor shall signify by saying aye.
4850	All those opposed, nay.
4851	The amendment is agreed to.
4852	The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 1406 to the
4853	full committee.
4854	All those in favor shall say aye.
4855	All opposed, no.
4856	The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed to.
4857	The chair calls up H.R. 7856, and asks the clerk to
4858	report.
4859	*The Clerk. H.R. 7856, a bill to amend title XVIII of
4860	the Social Security Act
4861	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
4862	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
4863	amendment at any point.
4864	So ordered.
4865	[The bill follows:]

4866 4867 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4868

4869 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? Are there any bipartisan amendments? 4870 4871 Are there any other amendments? The gentlelady from Washington is recognized. 4872 \*Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4873 I move to strike the last word. 4874 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 4875 4876 \*Ms. Schrier. Mr. Chairman, I would first like to thank our committee leadership, Congresswoman DeGette and 4877 Congressman Bilirakis, for working to move this proposal 4878 forward. 4879 As a pediatrician and someone who has managed my own 4880

4881 type 1 diabetes for just about 40 years, I understand the 4882 importance of prevention and a healthy lifestyle. The 4883 Medicare Diabetes Prevention Program is a health behavior 4884 change program to help Americans with pre-diabetes prevent it 4885 from progressing to type 2 diabetes.

The program includes up to 16 weekly group sessions over a 6-month period, and then follow-up sessions over the next 6 months that involve training to make realistic, long-lasting behavior changes around diet and exercise. The program is

4890 provided at no cost to eligible seniors on Medicare, and has been shown to improve the health and lifestyle of 4891 4892 participants, decreasing the chances of type 2 diabetes. Using CMS's own words, the reach of the MDPP has been 4893 very limited. An estimated 16 million Americans aged 65 or 4894 older are eligible for MDPP, the diabetes prevention program, 4895 but fewer than 5,000 beneficiaries have participated as of 4896 4897 2021. Expanding this program to allow virtual participation would be extremely impactful for seniors, especially those 4898 who live in rural areas. 4899

There are no MDPP diabetes prevention program suppliers in my entire district, which spans 10,000 square miles. That is a serious access problem.

This amendment would allow virtual providers to 4903 participate in the MDPP over two years. Virtual care for 4904 lifestyle change programs like this one work. All you have 4905 to do is look at the CDC's National Diabetes Prevention 4906 4907 Lifestyle Change programs, many of which are offered as part of this MDPP program. Some of those programs offer a 100 4908 percent virtual option that participants can choose over in-4909 person sessions. 4910

The CDC-recognized programs have been shown to improve health and prevent diabetes when provided virtually, and there is no reason why the same wouldn't be true as part of the MDPP. So while I am disappointed that we weren't able to find a way to permanently authorize this program, I am very happy that this AINS makes virtual care part of the MDPP demonstration for two years.

4918 I look forward to working with Congresswoman DeGette and 4919 Congressman Bilirakis to make this program permanent next 4920 Congress.

I urge my colleagues to support my amendment in the nature of a substitute and the underlying bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

4924 [Pause.]

4925 \*Mr. Guthrie. Okay, we left off the amendment. So I 4926 will -- the clerk will report the amendment.

4927 \*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
4928 H.R. 7856, offered --

4929 \*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the 4930 amendment is dispensed with.

4931 [The amendment of Ms. Schrier follows:]

4932 4933 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*COMMITTEE INSERT\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4934

4935	*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentlelady is recognized to
4936	discuss her amendment.
4937	I believe you discussed your amendment.
4938	*Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you have
4939	heard, this is an important way to make diabetes education
4940	and prevention available virtually, and I encourage my
4941	colleagues to support this amendment. Thank you.
4942	I yield back.
4943	*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentlelady yields back,
4944	and the gentleman from Florida is recognized for five
4945	minutes
4946	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.
4947	*Mr. Guthrie to discuss the amendment.
4948	*Mr. Bilirakis. I appreciate it, thank you. I move to
4949	strike the last word.
4950	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman is recognized.
4951	*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to
4952	thank Representative Schrier for her partnership and support
4953	of our underlying bill that I lead with Representative
4954	DeGette, the Prevention Diabetes Act, which expands the on
4955	the Medicare Diabetes Prevention Program, which is an

4956 effective program that has been proven to help patients lower 4957 their risk for developing diabetes.

The expanded model will allow CDC-recognized virtual suppliers to participate in the program, and additional access points to delay the onset of diabetes will save. It will save significant taxpayer dollars and prevent complications as a result of this chronic disease.

4963 Unfortunately, rather than the underlying bill's permanent solution, the AINS narrows the scope down to a two-4964 year pilot program, and I am concerned that this will not 4965 allow CMS enough time to properly develop the new components 4966 or gather enough data for this program to be -- before we 4967 4968 have to come back and extend it again. So I am concerned, Representative Schrier. Thanks for bringing that up, as 4969 well. 4970

I am also concerned that the amendment removes the flexibility that seniors be allowed to access the program more than once in their lifetime, since we know that evidence-based interventions may be required for diabetes and pre-diabetes patients more than once. So we will lose a key way to enhance the program's effectiveness.
4977 While I am cognizant of the potential costs involving -involved in setting up this bill and will support what we 4978 4979 already have here in this committee, whatever the committee agrees to, I would like to continue to work with the chair 4980 and the ranking member to find a pathway forward that will 4981 make a meaningful impact on the diabetes communities. 4982 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I do ask for support 4983 4984 of this AINS, and I yield back the balance of my time. And obviously, I support the underlying bill. 4985 \*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentleman yields back. 4986 Are there any further discussion on the amendment? 4987 If no further discussion, the vote occurs on the 4988 4989 amendment. 4990 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye. Those opposed, nay. 4991 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. 4992 The question occurs on forwarding H.R. 7856, as amended, 4993 4994 to the full committee. 4995 All those in favor shall say aye. All those opposed, no. The ayes have it, and the bill 4996 is agreed to. 4997

```
4998
          The chair calls up H.R. 5394, and asks the clerk to
4999
      report.
5000
          *The Clerk. H.R. 5394, a bill to ensure appropriate
     access --
5001
          *Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5002
5003
     the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
      amendment at any point.
5004
          So ordered.
5005
          [The bill follows:]
5006
5007
5008
      5009
```

5010 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? The amendment or the bill? The bill? The bill. Do you 5011 5012 have the amendment? So the gentlelady from Illinois is recognized for five 5013 5014 minutes. \*Ms. Kelly. Mr. Chair, I move to strike the last word. 5015 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized. 5016 5017 \*Ms. Kelly. Affordable, reliable Internet service is a necessity, not a luxury, especially as families continue to 5018 navigate virtual learning, working from home, and telehealth 5019 appointments. Unfortunately, Internet service can be 5020 expensive, and too many people are left behind when it comes 5021 5022 to Internet access. The Affordable Connectivity Program has proven to help 5023

people stay connected, even when monthly budgets are tight. In my district 79,530 households have enrolled, or 1 in 4 households have saved money on their Internet bills because of the ACP.

I thank the Biden-Harris Administration, who worked with Internet providers to offer high-speed Internet plans that are fully covered by the ACP. Many working families in

5031 Illinois have been getting high-speed Internet for free. Congress needs to act promptly to provide an extension 5032 5033 to this benefit and other extensions in telehealth. Μv district is urban, suburban, and rural. ACP has helped low-5034 income and rural communities connect to the Internet, and 5035 prospective lack of broadband access means that some 5036 expectant mothers can't even have a basic telehealth 5037 5038 appointment.

I encourage my colleagues to support my bicameral legislation, H.R. 5886, Promoting Access to Broadband Act, which would make grants available to inform eligibility to programs like the ACP.

I also ask for support for H.R. 5394, Expanding Remote Monitoring Access Act, championed by Representative Balderson, my co-chair of the Digital Health Caucus.

5046 I would like to thank you and your staff for your 5047 ongoing work on remote patient monitoring, especially for 5048 seniors.

5049Both bills ensure no person is left outside innovation5050and access, but to have better care in the long term.

5051 Thank you, and I yield back.

5052 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is anyone else seeking discussion on the bill? 5053 5054 The gentleman -- no one -- you have a bill or a -- on the amendment? 5055 \*Mr. Bilirakis. I do --5056 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman from Florida is recognized 5057 for five minutes. 5058 5059 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 5060 it. I want to briefly express my support for this bill and 5061 the amendment, and I thank Representative Balderson for his 5062 leadership on the Remote Monitoring Access Act. 5063 5064 Remote monitoring has been proven as a way to ensure patients are managing and maintaining their health conditions 5065 from the comfort of their home. We should continue to expand 5066 on this option for our seniors, wherever it is feasible. One 5067 way in which this is done very effectively is through remote 5068 5069 chronic anticoagulation therapy for patients who have had a stroke, artificial heart valves, or a history of blood 5070 clotting. To ensure that the proper levels of blood thinning 5071 is achieved, providers closely monitor these levels through 5072

5073 the international normalized ratio testing, which can be conveniently done in the patient's home. 5074 5075 And while INR testing is already covered by CMS under the National Coverage Determination, unfortunately, patients 5076 have to go in person for three months in order to be eligible 5077 for the home-based INR testing, despite home testing proven 5078 to be more effective and leading to higher adherence and 5079 5080 better outcomes. We should remove this unnecessary requirement. I am working on a policy that would remove this 5081 requirement for home INR testing. 5082 I would like to work with the committee and with 5083 sponsors of this bill further to ensure that these patients 5084 5085 have these effective telehealth and remote patient flexibilities. 5086 And again, I commend Representative Balderson for his 5087 good work on this particular piece of legislation. 5088 I support the AINS and, of course, the underlying bill. 5089 \*Mr. Cardenas. Will --5090 \*Mr. Bilirakis. I yield back. 5091 \*Mr. Cardenas. Will the gentleman yield? 5092 \*Mr. Bilirakis. Yes, please, I will. 5093 258

\*Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Bilirakis. I will keep my remarks brief, but I do want to quickly discuss a point of concern regarding H.R. 5394 and the other telehealth legislation notice for today's hearing.

Whether you want to call it telehealth or telehealth, telehealth has emerged as an informative tool in health care, but a tool is only helpful if you have access to it. One in three of the households in my district depend on the Affordable Connectivity Program, otherwise known as ACP, to facilitate their access to the tools in the -- that the Internet offers.

5105 The ACP has been critical in ensuring equitable access 5106 to the Internet, benefitting my district as well as 5107 historically underserved, low-income, rural communities 5108 across the United States. Many of these households already 5109 are struggling to make ends meet -- face the alarming 5110 prospect of being disconnected from what has become a 5111 necessity in their lives.

5112 Ensuring that low-income and rural households have 5113 affordable Internet access through ACP is vital to the 5114 success of our efforts to integrate telehealth services into

5115	our health system. I urge my colleagues to commit to
5116	telehealth accessibility and to ensuring that access to
5117	affordable Internet is available to as many American
5118	households as possible, especially when this is required and
5119	necessary if telehealth is going to reach everyone that we
5120	want it to reach.
5121	With that I yield back to Mr. Bilirakis.
5122	*Mr. Bilirakis. And I will yield back, Mr. Chairman.
5123	*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any
5124	other discussion on the bill?
5125	The gentleman from New Jersey.
5126	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5127	*Mr. Guthrie. You are recognized to speak on the bill.
5128	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you. It is important that we
5129	properly vet the implications of any bills we are considering
5130	in committee, and it is unclear what problem this bill is
5131	trying to address. But under current law, Medicare can
5132	reimburse for remote monitoring when data is collected 16 out
5133	of 30 days a month.
5134	It is also unclear to me whether lowering that threshold
5135	to just two days of data collection for remote monitoring

5136 services is appropriate, and in fact, I have heard concerns that two days may not be appropriate. 5137 5138 I am not sure we are getting good value for Medicare beneficiaries who will need to pay cost sharing for these 5139 services, and it is important that we prevent potential bad 5140 actors from taking advantage of this system and seniors. 5141 I also understand that we still don't have feedback from 5142 5143 the Congressional Budget Office, so I am looking forward to receiving this feedback and understanding how the majority 5144 plans to offset the additional spending. But I just want to 5145 mention that I will not support any policies that are not a 5146 5147 good value for seniors or raise premiums or increase out-of-5148 pocket expenses.

5149 So thank you again, and I yield back.

5150 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there

5151 anyone seeking discussion on the bill?

5152 The gentlelady from Delaware.

5153 \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move 5154 to strike the last word.

5155 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.

5156 \*Ms. Blunt Rochester. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I

5157 want to thank the committee for your support of the 5158 Telehealth Modernization Act that I championed and co-5159 partnered with my friend, Congressman Buddy Carter. 5160 And as we continue to debate legislation related to 5161 telehealth, we must also acknowledge the basic infrastructure 5162 that allows people to take advantage of these lifesaving

5163 telehealth services: connectivity. We know that 24 million 5164 Americans lack reliable, high-speed Internet, which has 5165 become essential for accessing work, school, and telehealth 5166 services we are discussing today.

We also know that the number-one barrier to access is 5167 That is why we came together on a bipartisan basis 5168 cost. 5169 last Congress to create the Affordable Connectivity Program, which helps families who are struggling to afford their 5170 Internet bills stay connected. Twenty-three million 5171 Americans enrolled, a historic success in expanding broadband 5172 And in Delaware, more than 52,000 households 5173 access. 5174 enrolled in this program. That is one in eight households. We hold this markup at a critical moment for the ACP and 5175 for families in my state and yours that need this lifeline. 5176 I came from a state that is urban, suburban, rural, and 5177

5178 coastal. So we experience diverse challenges, but we all have the universal need to stay connected. In 2024 the 5179 5180 Internet is not a luxury, it is a necessity. I have heard from many of my constituents, including a 5181 constituent from Wilmington who lost her husband and is 5182 living with a disability, who will be directly impacted if we 5183 fail to extend this program. Funds are running out, and are 5184 5185 set to expire imminently. My constituents have asked me to 5186 help make sure that doesn't happen, and I intend to do everything in my power to make sure it does not. 5187 The Affordability Connectivity Program plays a vital 5188 role in connecting our constituents to their health care 5189 5190 providers, and I urge my colleagues to work together to extend the program as swiftly as possible. 5191 Thank you, and I yield back. 5192 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Seeing no 5193 further discussion on the bill, does anyone have a bipartisan 5194 5195 amendment? Are there any other amendments? 5196 The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for five minutes. 5197

5198 Well, the gentleman -- your amendment.

5199	*Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
5200	amendment in the nature of a substitute at the desk.
5201	*Mr. Guthrie. The clerk will report.
5202	*The Clerk. Amendment in the nature of a substitute to
5203	H.R. 5394
5204	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the reading of the
5205	amendment is dismissed with.
5206	[The amendment of Mr. Balderson follows:]
5207	
5208	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
5209	

5210 \*Mr. Guthrie. And the gentleman from Ohio is recognized 5211 for five minutes in support of the amendment.

\*Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that and also this markup, including my bill, H.R. 5394, the Expanding Remote Monitoring Access Act. This amendment makes a minor technical adjustment to the bill to address comments we received from CMS.

5217 During the pandemic I was introduced to remote patient 5218 monitoring when a hospital in an FQHC in my district showed 5219 me firsthand their successful RPM programs. These programs 5220 were made possible by a waiver that has since expired, and 5221 which I believe needs to be reinstated.

5222 RPM empowers patients to better manage their chronic 5223 conditions, while enabling health care providers to adjust 5224 treatment plans and promptly identify critical events from a 5225 distance in real time. This technology can reduce provider 5226 workload by giving them greater access to patient feedback 5227 and data to identify problems earlier.

5228 In rural communities like mine, where health care 5229 providers are scarce and patients often face challenges 5230 accessing traditional care facilities due to distance, these

5231 services are especially helpful. However, when the waivers expired, CMS went back to only paying for RPM when physicians 5232 5233 received at least 16 days worth of data per month. As our doctor colleagues on this committee will tell 5234 you, sometimes they need to be able to monitor their patients 5235 for a week, sometimes two. Cardiovascular patients, 5236 diabetics, and those with renal disease are just a few of 5237 5238 those who can benefit from remote patient monitoring. But under CMS policy, these patients are left without access 5239 unless they give 16 days of monitoring data each month. 5240 Our bill follows the model Congress established for our 5241 own telehealth flexibilities. This bill extends for two 5242 5243 years coverage of Remote Patient Monitoring for patients who need at least two days of monitoring each month. 5244 This interim solution ensures patients receive the necessary care 5245 while Congress and CMS develop a comprehensive, long-term 5246 plan. 5247

5248 Patients will receive better care because they are 5249 empowered to personally manage their health care conditions. 5250 Medicare will see lower costs in the long run because these 5251 devices will catch catastrophic events earlier. We are

already seeing the patient benefits and cost savings from expanded RPM use. A study by the university hospitals in Ohio projected that remote monitoring could potentially lead to 87 percent fewer hospitalizations, 77 percent fewer deaths, and reduce per-patient costs of over 11,000 compared to standard care.

After equipping patients with RPM for 6 months, Ochsner 5258 5259 Health Care System found that 79 of their hypertensive patients achieved blood pressure control and 81 percent of 5260 enrolled type 2 diabetes patients met their blood sugar 5261 levels. The University of Alabama at Birmingham extended 5262 Remote Patient Monitoring to 17,000 beneficiaries within the 5263 Accountable Care Organization, including those with 5264 congestive heart failure, chronic kidney disease, diabetes, 5265 and hypertension, resulting in a savings of \$1,300 per month 5266 5267 per member.

5268 This markup is especially timely, given the ongoing 5269 delays from the AMA, CPT panel, and inaction from the CMS in 5270 last summer's PFS rulemaking. Patients who have benefitted 5271 from Remote Patient Monitoring cannot wait any longer, and it 5272 is encouraging to see this subcommittee take action today to

5273 lead on increasing access to this critical care. I look forward to working to continue expanding access 5274 to these valuable technologies, and encourage my colleagues 5275 to join me today in supporting this amendment and the 5276 underlying bill. 5277 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 5278 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. Is there any 5279 further discussion on the amendment? 5280 Seeing none, if there is no further discussion, the vote 5281 occurs on the amendment. 5282 All those in favor shall signify by saying aye. 5283 5284 All those, nay [sic]. 5285 The ayes have it, and the amendment is agreed to. The question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 5394, as 5286 amended, to the full committee. 5287 All those in favor, say aye. 5288 All those opposed, no. 5289 5290 The noes -- excuse me, the ayes have it, and the bill is 5291 agreed to. The chair calls up H.R. 1199, and asks the clerk to 5292 report. 5293 268

5294	*The Clerk. H.R. 1199, a bill to amend title XVIII of
5295	the Social Security Act to ensure
5296	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5297	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
5298	amendment at any point.
5299	So ordered.
5300	[The bill follows:]
5301	
5302	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
5303	

\*Mr. Guthrie. Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for five
minutes.

5307 \*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I understand the important role that diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals have in diagnosing, monitoring, and managing diseases properly. Oh, radiopharmaceuticals? And in improving patient outcomes. It is important that we ensure payment rates for these services do not jeopardize or limit patients' access to necessary care and create unsustainable financial burdens for providers.

However, I believe any solution to this should not raise 5315 5316 out-of-pocket costs for Medicare beneficiaries. Unbundling items that are currently included in a bundled payment means 5317 that beneficiaries will have new and significantly higher 5318 costs, or higher out-of-pocket costs, so I am pleased that 5319 the bill protects beneficiaries from increased out-of-pocket 5320 5321 costs, and it is critical that we preserve this important consumer protection. I would not be able to support this 5322 policy unless we can ensure that Medicare beneficiaries are 5323 fully protected from any cost increases associated with 5324

5325 paying separately for diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals. I am also concerned that technical assistance provided 5326 5327 by CMS has not yet been incorporated. I understand that we are still waiting for feedback from the Congressional Budget 5328 Office, and I want to ensure the bill does not result in 5329 increased costs to the Medicare program or for seniors. 5330 I also want to ensure that the budget neutrality 5331 5332 provision does not jeopardize patients' access to care. I don't think it is good precedent for us to make an across-5333 the-board cut to hospitals every time that Congress wants to 5334 pay for a new service or item separately, because over time I 5335 believe this will result in distortions in our payment 5336 5337 systems.

5338 But again, I look forward to working with my colleagues 5339 to determine if -- you know, what path forward exists, and I 5340 would yield back, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

\*Mr. Guthrie. The gentleman yields back. The chair
recognizes Dr. Dunn for five minutes to speak on the bill.
\*Mr. Dunn. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I would
like to speak in favor of the FIND Act, H.R. 1199, which will
help seniors receive better care.

I would like to thank my colleague, Mr. Peters, for working with me for several years to advance this important, common-sense solution to improving diagnostics for a wide array of patients.

I am passionate about access to cancer screening, and I devoted a large part of my career to treating prostate cancer. I have seen it affect my family, colleagues, and many, many patients.

5354 I also know far too many who have suffered from 5355 Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease.

5356 The FIND Act aims to align Medicare reimbursement for 5357 advanced radiopharmaceutical diagnostics with their value and 5358 their cost.

Screening saves lives. When a physician can obtain an 5359 accurate diagnosis early, we can achieve the best outcome for 5360 patients. Modern radiopharmaceutical imaging is far more 5361 sensitive and accurate at diagnosing many diseases, both 5362 5363 benign and malignant, and we avoid unnecessary treatments due to inaccurate scans. Medicare saves money. So not only is 5364 advanced screening a money saver for the system and the 5365 taxpayer, it is often a matter of life and death. 5366

5367 The Facilitating Innovative Nuclear Diagnostics Act, or 5368 FIND Act, H.R. 1199, seeks to empower doctors and patients to 5369 determine the correct diagnosis, and do it as early as 5370 possible. Thirteen of my colleagues on this committee are 5371 cosponsors.

Last year Medicare solicited for comment five proposals which could have remedied the current payment inequity during their rulemaking. Unfortunately, Medicare did not adopt any of those policies in the final rule.

5376 Our support for the FIND Act shows we support our 5377 seniors who have prostate cancer, Parkinson's disease, 5378 Alzheimer's disease, metastatic breast cancer, the list goes 5379 on and on and on. Today I urge my colleagues to support the 5380 FIND Act so that patients in their districts have access to 5381 the most advanced and accurate diagnostics.

5382 And with that, anybody --

5383 \*Mr. Guthrie. Does the gentleman yield?

5384 \*Mr. Dunn. I will.

5385 \*Mr. Guthrie. Just thank you. Innovation has greatly 5386 improved the ability to detect and treat a slew of life-5387 threatening diseases such as prostate cancer, Alzheimer's

5388 disease. And the FIND Act is an important solution to ensure patients' access to a new generation of radiopharmaceutical 5389 5390 diagnostics that will improve patient outcomes and save 5391 lives. By voting to advance this legislation today, providers 5392 will have more tools at their disposal to address the most 5393 urgent needs of seniors and help increase the quality of life 5394 5395 for them and their families. 5396 I appreciate and encourage a yes vote, and would yield back. 5397 \*Mr. Dunn. I do. 5398 \*Mr. Guthrie. You yield back? 5399 The gentleman yields back. Any further discussion? 5400 Seeing no amendment, we will move to -- the question now 5401 occurs on forwarding H.R. 1199 to the full committee. 5402 All those in favor, say aye. 5403 All opposed, no. The ayes have it, and the bill is 5404 5405 agreed to. The chair calls up H.R. 4758, and asks the clerk to 5406 5407 report. \*The Clerk. H.R. 4758, a bill to amend title XIX of the 5408 274

5409	Social Security Act to streamline
5410	*Mr. Guthrie. Without objection, the first reading of
5411	the bill is dispensed with, and the bill will be open for
5412	amendment at any point.
5413	So ordered.
5414	[The bill follows:]
5415	
5416	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
5417	

5418 \*Mr. Guthrie. Is there any discussion on the bill?
5419 Dr. Miller-Meeks from Iowa, you are recognized for five
5420 minutes to speak on the bill.

5421 \*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to 5422 strike the last word.

5423 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.

\*Mrs. Miller-Meeks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am proud to see the committee marking up the Accelerating Kids' Access to Care Act, which would streamline administrative processes for providers who need to enroll in another state's Medicaid program due to a lack of services provided in the patient's home state.

I was also proud to have a fellow Iowan and constituent, Dr. Alex Bassuk, testifying before the committee in February to support this legislation.

As a physician who practiced in a river community, it was not unusual for us to have patients come to us from Illinois or Missouri to seek care in Iowa. There is currently no standardized Federal pathway for this process, meaning that providers must enroll in a state's Medicaid program whenever they see a new patient from that state, and

5439 must maintain regular paperwork to remain enrolled in each 5440 state's Medicaid program.

When a child from a new state is visiting a doctor, as may be the case if a child from another state travels to Iowa for care, there are often delays in care as the providers sift through weeks of paperwork, risking the health of children with critical, life-threatening conditions.

5446 One case that clearly speaks to the need for this bill involves an 11-year-old from another Midwest state who has a 5447 very rare and serious condition known as mid-aortic syndrome, 5448 in which the aorta narrows, leading to multiple serious 5449 complications that can result in death if untreated. In this 5450 5451 case, a referral was made from another children's hospital to Boston Children's Hospital, which operates a leading program 5452 to address this condition, and a comprehensive care plan was 5453 assembled in April of 2022. 5454

In the case of the child's home states, all providers at Boston Children's needed to be enrolled in the home state's Medicaid program before the state would authorize this care. By July 2022 the enrollments were still pending, which led to a cancellation of care that had been scheduled for the

5460 following month, August of 2022. The home state did not complete its enrollment of the Boston Children's providers 5461 5462 until November of 2022. In early 2023, Boston Children's assessed the child and a comprehensive care plan that 5463 included additional providers, given the child's specific 5464 condition, was assembled. A request for authorization was 5465 submitted in May of 2023, and the care was ultimately 5466 5467 provided in September of 2023, nearly a year-and-a-half after the initial contact occurred. 5468

Again, I am proud to see this committee move this critical piece of legislation, and I encourage my colleagues to support it.

5472 With that I yield back.

5473 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any 5474 further discussion?

5475 The gentleman from -- the gentlelady from California is 5476 recognized.

5477 \*Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike 5478 the last word and --

5479 \*Mr. Guthrie. The gentlelady is recognized.

5480 \*Ms. Eshoo. -- speak on the bill. Thank you.

More than 84 million people are enrolled in Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program, which, of course, provide health coverage to children in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Children enrolled in Medicaid often have to travel to other states when there is a specialized treatment or health service that is isn't available in their home state.

5488 Thousands of children and their families traveled to Lucile Packard Children's Hospital at Stanford, which is in 5489 the heart of my congressional district. And over the years, 5490 there have been Members themselves, as parents, who have 5491 traveled to Lucile Packard for the specialized services that 5492 5493 they offer there. We should make it easier for children, easier for children with Medicaid coverage, to receive the 5494 specialized care that is there for them, and be able to cross 5495 state lines and actually to get that care. 5496

5497 The Accelerating Kids' Access to Care Act does just 5498 that. I am proud to support it. I urge all the members of 5499 the, of our committee to a vote for it so it can become law 5500 this year. Children in need of lifesaving care really should 5501 never be able -- be made to wait, and this legislation

5502 addresses that. I thank the authors of the legislation, and I yield 5503 5504 back, Mr. Chairman. The gentlelady yields back. \*Mr. Guthrie. The chair 5505 will recognize -- anyone on the Republican side? 5506 The gentleman from New Jersey for five minutes. 5507 \*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to speak 5508 5509 in support of the bill because I am excited that we are moving forward on this critically important bill today, and 5510 one step closer to it becoming law. 5511 I am going to just kind of summarize, but I wanted to 5512 mention that during the legislative hearing in February we 5513 heard stories of children who had to wait months to receive 5514 the care they needed, all due to unnecessary red tape and 5515 bureaucracy. And this status quo really helps no one, 5516 because children's care needs can become more complex as time 5517 goes on, leading to increased safety risks, worse outcomes, 5518 5519 and more intensive treatments.

5520 Providers have to invest countless hours in addressing 5521 states' disparate screening and enrollment processes. And 5522 for the parents and caretakers, ensuring one of the few

5523	providers who can care for their children who is enrolled in
5524	their state Medicaid program adds to their overwhelming
5525	stress that they face every day.
5526	So this bill, obviously, helps to alleviate that burden
5527	and expedite out-of-state care for children when needed. It
5528	creates a pathway for pediatric providers to more easily
5529	enroll in out-of-state Medicaid programs, but still maintains
5530	the program integrity, so it is very important.
5531	And I just want to add to why I think look, let me
5532	thank Chair Rodgers again, and also Representative Trahan for
5533	putting this forward.
5534	And I yield back.
5535	*Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The gentleman yields back.
5536	Is there any further discussion on the bill?
5537	Any bipartisan amendments?
5538	Are there any other amendments?
5539	Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R.
5540	4758 to the full committee.
5541	All those in favor, say aye.
5542	All opposed, no.
5543	The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed to.
	281

- That concludes the legislation before us and, without objection, the staff is authorized to make technical and conforming changes to the legislation approved by the subcommittee today.
- 5548 So ordered.
- 5549 Without objection, the subcommittee stands adjourned.
- 5550 [Whereupon, at 1:44 p.m., the subcommittee was
- 5551 adjourned.]