- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS TOOT
- 3 HIF057.170
- 4 EXAMINING THE FISCAL YEAR 2016 HHS BUDGET
- 5 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2015
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Health
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

10 The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:03 a.m., 11 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Joe 12 Pitts [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.

Members present: Representatives Pitts, Guthrie, Barton,
Whitfield, Shimkus, Murphy, Burgess, Lance, Griffith,
Bilirakis, Ellmers, Bucshon, Collins, Upton (ex officio),
Green, Engel, Capps, Schakowsky, Butterfield, Castor,

17 Sarbanes, Matsui, Lujan, Schrader, Kennedy, Cardenas, and 18 Pallone (ex officio). 19 Staff present: Clay Alspach, Chief Counsel, Health; Gary 20 Andres, Staff Director; Sean Bonyun, Communications Director; 21 Leighton Brown, Press Assistant; Noelle Clemente, Press 22 Secretary; Andy Duberstein, Deputy Press Secretary; Paul 23 Edattel, Professional Staff Member, Health; Robert Horne, 24 Professional Staff Member, Health; Charles Ingebretson, Chief 25 Counsel, Oversight and Investigations; Peter Kielty, Deputy General Counsel; Carly McWilliams, Professional Staff Member, 26 27 Health; Emily Newman, Counsel, Oversight; Katie Novaria, 28 Professional Staff Member, Health; Tim Pataki, Professional 29 Staff Member; Michelle Rosenberg, GAO Detailee, Health; 30 Krista Rosenthall, Counsel to Chairman Emeritus; Adrianna 31 Simonelli, Legislative Clerk; Alan Slobodin, Deputy Chief 32 Counsel, Oversight; Heidi Stirrup, Health Policy Coordinator; 33 Josh Trent, Professional Staff Member, Health; Traci Vitek, 34 Detailee, HHS; Ziky Ababiya, Democratic Policy Analyst; Jeff 35 Carroll, Democratic Staff Director; Eric Flamm, Democratic FDA Detailee; Hannah Green, Democratic Public Health Analyst; 36 37 Tiffany Guarascio, Democratic Deputy Staff Director and Chief

- 38 Health Advisor; Rachel Pryor, Democratic Health Policy
- 39 Advisor; Tim Robinson, Democratic Chief Counsel; and Arielle
- 40 Woronoff, Democratic Health Counsel.

41 Mr. {Pitts.} The Subcommittee will come to order. 42 Chair will recognize himself for an opening statement. 43 I would like to thank Secretary Burwell for appearing before the Subcommittee to discuss the Administration's 44 45 fiscal year 2016 budget request for the Department of Health 46 and Human Services. Earlier this year, Madam Secretary, you 47 stated that, ``The hallmark of effective leadership is 48 instilling a culture of transparency, ownership, and 49 accountability.'' These are all laudable goals, and I 50 appreciate your verbal commitment to these principles, 51 however, your department's actions have failed to adhere to 52 the same standard. For example, we have only heard silence 53 from the White House on how the Administration is preparing 54 for an adverse ruling in King v. Burwell. We did receive a 55 reply from you, and I thank you for that courtesy. 56 But your letter contained no substantive answers to our 57 questions. During your testimony to the Senate Finance 58 Committee you were again asked about the Administration's plan--plans, and again you repeatedly declined to provide a 59

60 direct answer. And, you know, this is not the transparency

61 that we had hoped for. Understandably, we were very 62 frustrated with the Administration witnesses artfully dodging 63 the questions that we ask here. And so I am asking you 64 today, please let your guard down a little, and give us 65 direct and complete answers to our questions. 66 In 2009 the President correctly said, ``The real problem 67 with our long term deficit actually has to do with our 68 entitlement obligations.'' Since then we have had the 69 Simpson-Bowles Commission, a super-committee, sequestration, 70 and a government shutdown, and never once in all this time 71 did the Administration propose a plan to get the Nation's 72 fiscal house in order by recommending reforms to 73 entitlements. The 2014 Medicare Trustees' Report, which you 74 signed, tells us that Medicare will be bankrupt very soon. 75 We recently had Senator Joe Lieberman and former OMB Director 76 Alice Rivlin here, and they told us much the same. And we 77 stand ready to do the hard work of saving and strengthening 78 Medicare, but we need a willing partner.

79 Once again, the President's budget fails to propose 80 serious entitlement reform. The proposals in the budget 81 related to Medicaid amount to saving just 15 days' worth of

82 program spending over the next 10 years. The plan, 83 apparently, is to let Medicare expenditures continue to grow 84 without any of the structural reforms needed to strengthen 85 and save this critical program, and this is not taking 86 ownership. If we are going to save and strengthen our safety 87 net programs for the most vulnerable, we have to do better 88 than the President's budget. Both parties have to work 89 together. You, we, the President need to work together to 90 save our entitlement programs, make them sustainable, so we 91 ask that you please work with us.

92 On another subject, you may also remember that in early 93 November of last year we spoke on the phone about why HHS has 94 so far failed to hold California accountable under Federal law. As you know, on August 22, 2014 the California 95 96 Department of Managed Health care, DMHC, issued a directive 97 mandating that all plans under DMHC authority immediately 98 include coverage for all legal abortions. This is in direct 99 violation of the Weldon Amendment, a civil rights statute 100 that prohibits Federal taxpayer funding for Federal agencies 101 and state or local governments that discriminate because a 102 health care entity does not provide--pay for or provide

103 coverage of, or refer for abortions.

What California is doing is clearly illegal. It is also morally wrong, and violates the fundamental principles of freedom and conscience that our democracy is founded on, and it is your job to stop them, and so for that hasn't happened. So I will have more to say about this when we get to the questions.

In the meantime, Madam Secretary, we look forward to your testimony. We hope that you will stay to answer all of our questions. And, with only 5 minutes of questions per member, we respectfully ask that you keep your answers concise and to the point.

115 [The prepared statement of Mr. Pitts follows:]

117 Mr. {Pitts.} And, Dr. Burgess, do you want the

118 remaining time?

119 Mr. {Burgess.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, that is very kind of you. And, Secretary, thank you for coming to our 120 humble little subcommittee. I am frustrated over the 121 122 Administration's lack of transparency, and the ability for 123 Congress to get information that, realistically, we have been 124 asking for for the last 4 or 5 years, but specifically around 125 ACA created entities, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid 126 Innovation, the Prevention and Public Health Fund, the Consumer--the Office of Consumer Information and Insurance 127 128 Oversight, and the Patient Center for Outcomes and Research Initiative. Year after year they have failed to achieve 129 130 their mission of reducing health care costs and improving 131 quality. We can't hold them accountable if we don't know how you are spending the dollars. So we--you and I have talked 132 133 about this, and I do look forward to your responses and being 134 able to finally get that information regarding those agencies under your--135

136 [The prepared statement of Mr. Burgess follows:]

Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize the Ranking Member, Mr. Green, 5 minutes for opening statement.

Mr. {Green.} Good morning, and thank you, Secretary 141 Burwell, for being here today to discuss the President's FY 142 143 '16 budget proposal for the Department of Health and Human 144 Services. A budget is more than a line of items on a page. 145 It is a reflection of the priorities of our country. Our commitment must be to protect the progress that we made, and 146 to make strategic investments so that progress will continue 147 148 in the future.

149 This year marks the 50th anniversary of the creation of Medicare and Medicaid. Since the Children's Health Insurance 150 151 Program was created to ensure America's children have 152 insurance, most recently Congress passed the Affordable Care 153 Act, dramatically expanding access to health coverage and 154 high quality care. The Affordable Care Act took historic--155 steps toward laying the foundation for a better and more efficient health care system, and expanding access to cover 156 for millions of Americans for whom it was previously out of 157

158 reach. It also took important steps to restore the fiscal 159 solvency of our health care system. According to the most 160 recent estimates by the Congressional Budget Office, the 161 Affordable Care Act will reduce the deficit by more than \$100 162 billion for the first decade, and by more than a trillion in 163 the second decade.

164 As we have seen through the second enrollment period, 165 the Affordable Care Act has already succeeded in ensuring 166 every American can have access to high quality affordable coverage. Thanks to the ACA, nearly 30 million Americans got 167 covered. These are people who would otherwise be uninsured. 168 169 We have made great progress, but the work is not done. I 170 thank the agency for implementing the landmark health reform 171 law, and continuing to work with us so that we can build on 172 these successes.

173 It is--in addition to prioritizing essential services 174 and programs, I was pleased to see that the budget makes 175 strategic investments to improve our health care system, and 176 clear the way for the progress into the future. This 177 includes funding to support training of the next generation 178 of health care providers, national preparedness against

179 threats to public health, biomedical research, drug safety, 180 and mental health services. The budget invests in community 181 health centers to support the care they provide for 22 182 million patients. In their role of providing an accessible, 183 reliable source of primary care in underserved communities, 184 health centers will continue to be a critical element of our 185 health system.

186 The President's proposal takes a critical important step 187 by including four years of funding for the Children's Health care Insurance Program. Currently more than 10 million 188 children get health insurance through CHIP. Additional 189 funding for CHIP must be authorized so that there is no 190 191 disruption in coverage, and the states are able to continue operating their programs. The budget proposes an increase in 192 193 NIH funding. Since its creation, NIH has fostered remarkable advancements in human health, but for the past decade NIH has 194 suffered inadequate funding. Without significant funding 195 196 increases, the U.S. will lose its status as a global leader 197 in science and innovation. Additional resources will help 198 defeat our Nation's most harmful diseases, and ensure that the United States continues to lead biomedical research and 199

200 scientific breakthroughs.

201 The budget proposal strengthens national preparedness 202 for threats to public health, including naturally occurring 203 threats, and deliberate attacks. It also includes funding to reinforce our Nation's ability to move quickly to detect 204 205 infectious disease outbreaks through new advanced molecular 206 detection initiative, maintaining strong expertise at the 207 Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. These are just a 208 few highlights of what is included in the proposed HHS 209 budget. I look forward to hearing more about the Administration's proposal during today's hearing. 210 211 Thank you, Madam Secretary, for joining the committee to 212 discuss the HHS budget. And if someone would like about a minute and 20 seconds? My colleague from California, Ms. 213 214 Matsui. 215 [The prepared statement of Mr. Green follows:]

Ms. {Matsui.} Thank you very much for yielding the 217 218 time, and welcome, Secretary Burwell. I appreciate the goals 219 the President and you have laid forth in the fiscal budget 2016 Department of HHS Services Budget. Building on the 220 221 improvements made by the Affordable Care Act, we are seeking 222 to move our Nation's health system by rewarding volume, and 223 forgetting about the waste business. So--do this is working 224 to achieve the triple aim in health care, better care, better 225 outcomes, and reduced costs. We do this by making health insurance more affordable, by emphasizing prevention and 226 227 public health, by encouraging scientific and clinical research, by taking advantage of the benefits of technology, 228 229 and building up our Nation's mental health system.

230 Many of the proposals in the budget find savings in the 231 Medicare and Medicaid programs by streamlining processes and 232 realigning systems to ensure that patients get the right 233 service at the right time. The budget would make the SGR fix 234 permanent, which we need to do to provide stability for 235 doctors, and for seniors, and people with disabilities in the 236 Medicare program. The budget would also extend the

237	Children's Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, that provides
238	much needed pediatric coverage to our Nation's children.
239	To conclude, I want to emphasize the Affordable Care Act
240	is working. Over 11 million Americans signed up this year,
241	including 500,000 in California alone. The Administration
242	just announced that since the law was enacted in 2010, 9.4
243	million people with Medicare have saved over \$15 billion in
244	prescription drugs. This is what we set out to do, and I
245	appreciate working with you as we move forward. Thank you.
246	Yield back.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Matsui follows:]

247

Ι

249 Mr. {Pitts.} Gentlelady yields back. Chair now 250 recognizes Chairman of the full Committee, Mr. Upton, for 5 251 minutes for an opening statement.

252 The {Chairman.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

253 Secretary Burwell, welcome. Today marks your first official 254 appearance before the Health Subcommittee, but I know that 255 this is not your first time in this room, as you participated 256 in one of our 21st Century Cures roundtables last year, and 257 we very much appreciate that participation.

Your testimony does come at a very pivotal point in 258 259 health policy, from our exciting cures effort, to next week's 260 Supreme Court oral arguments. We look forward to hearing the 261 Administration's perspective on the many important issues 262 facing the American people. You have said during your tenure 263 at HHS that transparency, ownership, and accountability are 264 important values for the Department of demonstrate, which we 265 certainly welcome.

In that spirit, we look forward to gaining straightforward answers here today about implementation of the President's health care law. There have been quite a few

269 red flags raised in recent weeks on the continued struggles 270 to implement key pieces of that health law. Just in the last 271 week, 800,000 households learned that key tax forms sent out 272 by the Administration contained major errors. Those Americans were asked to delay tax filing, therefore also 273 274 delaying their refunds. A recent analysis from H&R Block 275 estimates that the majority of Obamacare customers are being 276 forced to pay back some of those subsidies. Millions of 277 Americans are also learning about the law's IRS fines for 278 failing to comply with the individual mandate.

The backlash has been so intense that the Administration 279 280 has resorted to yet another special enrollment period to 281 quell some of the anger of those who are just coming to learn about the individual mandate penalty. In this last week, the 282 283 healthcare.gov CEO, Kevin Counihan, suggested that the 284 backend functions of the exchange would undergo a 2-year 285 development plan. That means that this key part of the law 286 will not be fully complete until President Obama leaves the 287 White House.

288 Collectively, these revelations suggest that the health 289 care law is still not working. Our constituents deserve

290 better, we know that. That is why I have worked on 291 introducing the Patient Care Act, a health care reform 292 blueprint, with my colleagues in the Senate, Chairman Hatch 293 and Mr. Burr. I look forward to working with my colleagues 294 about these ideas to improve health care in America by 295 empowering states and families, not Washington.

Yes, we have concerns with the President's signature law, but there are other important health care areas that we believe are fertile for collaboration. For the past year, almost year and a half, this committee has undertaken the bipartisan 21st Century Cures Initiative to accelerate the pace of the discovery, development, and delivery of new treatments and cures for American patients.

I would like to thank you for your personal engagement 303 304 on the 21st Century Cures Initiative. As you know, this is a 305 top priority for our committee this year. Patients and 306 families in my district in Michigan, as well as across the 307 country are looking for hope, and that is what we seek to 308 instill. And this effort is also important to many job 309 creators, as well--whether it be Striker, Parago, or Pfizer in southwest Michigan. I also want to thank the staff 310

311	throughout the administration, particularly at the FDA and
312	the NIH for their work, their time, and effort to help us
313	improve the ideas released by our committee at the end of
314	last month. We have established a very good foundation, I
315	think, for bipartisan success. And I will yield to other
316	Republican members on this side. Seeing none
317	Mr. {Pitts.} Anyone seeking time?
318	The {Chairman.}yield back.
319	[The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]

321 Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentleman, and now 322 recognizes the Ranking Member of the full Committee, Mr. 323 Pallone, 5 minutes for an opening statement.

324 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Chairman Pitts, and welcome, 325 Secretary Burwell. Thank you for being with us today. Today 326 we are going to hear about the President's fiscal year 2016 327 Health and Human Services budget proposal, and there are many 328 important provisions in the President's budget that we in 329 Congress must work to support. I was pleased to see that the budget proposed a funding increase of \$1 billion for the NIH, 330 331 investing in early stage basic research, is one of the most 332 promising ways that we can accelerate the discovery of new treatments and cures. And support for NIH is critical to 333 334 building our economy as well. Every dollar of NIH funding 335 generates over \$2 in local economic growth, yet we have let 336 NIH purchasing power decline by over 20 percent since 2003, 337 and that is why finding a way to significantly increase funding for NIH will be my top priority, as the 21st Century 338 339 Cures Initiative continues.

340 I was also pleased to see that the budget fully funds a

341 4-year extension of the Children Health Insurance Program, or CHIP. We must act on this proposal immediately. With more 342 343 than 4/5 of state legislatures adjourning the by the end of 344 June, lack of action and clarify from Congress will make budgeting and planning virtually impossible. By every 345 346 measure, CHIP has become enormously successful, and always 347 has had strong bipartisan support, so extending CHIP funding 348 should be the top priority of this committee to ensure 349 consistent coverage for the millions of children who depend 350 on this program. And I think we can all agree that no child should be left worse off because of the actions, or lack 351 352 thereof, of Congress.

353 The budget also adopts the framework of the bipartisan, 354 bicameral SGR repeal and replace legislation that Congress 355 agreed to last year. I believe that because the sustainable 356 growth rate is the result of a budget gimmick, and we already 357 spent \$169 billion paying to fix the problem, that offsets, 358 especially those within our health programs, are not 359 necessary. And if we must include offsets, the war savings, 360 which are known as the Overseas Contingency Operation Funds, could be used. I know some of the other side of the aisle 361

362 don't share this view. What I do hope is that we can agree 363 that, first, SGR should be paid off--should not be paid off 364 of the backs of the beneficiaries. Beneficiaries will 365 already pay for their share of the cost of SGR repeal through 366 higher premiums, and half of all beneficiaries live on less 367 than \$23,500.

368 And that is why some of the proposals in the President's 369 budget concern me. The President's budget proposes to 370 further increase Part B and Part D premiums, increase the 371 Part B deductible for new enrollees, and impose a new surcharge on the Part B premium for beneficiaries with 372 373 certain Medigap policies, and also institutes a \$100 copayment per home health episode. And this increases out of 374 pocket costs on beneficiaries, and I think that, you know, we 375 376 have seen enough of that. Beneficiaries may forego necessary 377 services, and, in result, use more high cost acute care 378 services, and such policies will disproportionately affect 379 lower and middle income beneficiaries who are not poor enough 380 for Medicaid, nor have access to employer sponsored retiree 381 health care. So I urge the President and my colleagues to be extremely cautious when proposing cuts to Medicare, and 382

383 consider impacts on our seniors.

384 The last thing I wanted to mention is--well, first to 385 commend you, Secretary, for your agency's hard work 386 implementing the Affordable Care Act. Because of your efforts, 19 million uninsured Americans will be covered in 387 388 this year, 2015. And I recognize the challenge your agency 389 faces in implementing this law with limited resources, 390 however, despite what I call Republican obstructionism, the 391 Affordable Care Act is working. 392 In sum, I think this is a sound budget, and I look forward to hearing from you today. And I would yield the 393

394 remainder of my time to the gentlewoman from Florida, Ms.
395 Castor.

396 [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]

398 Ms. {Castor.} Well, I thank the Ranking Member for 399 yielding time, and I welcome Secretary Burwell. We are very excited to hear about the budget, the investments in medical 400 401 research and Children's Health Insurance, improvements in 402 Medicare, and the Centers for Disease Control. But I 403 couldn't help but ask Mr. Pallone for a minute to highlight 404 the Florida enrollment numbers under the ACA. It is 405 remarkable. And I know you have seen them, and we have 406 talked about it. As of February 15, over 1.6 million Floridians have signed up for health insurance in the 407 408 federally facilitated marketplace. We are surprised. This 409 exceeded all of our expectations, to beat California and Texas, especially in a state that had many fits and starts 410 411 over whether to assist our neighbors in signing up. 412 But I wanted to highlight a couple of stories. The--a 413 27-year-old third year law student at the University of South 414 Florida got assistance from a navigator. His income is about 415 \$16,000 a year in scholarships. He was able to find insurance for approximately \$10 per month, zero deductible. 416

417 It is his second year enrolling in the marketplace. He is

418	very happy with his coverage. Thesethere are stories like
419	that again, and again, and again, so I look forward to
420	talking about it. Thank you.
421	[The prepared statement of Ms. Castor follows:]

423 Mr. {Pitts.} Gentlelady yields back. That concludes 424 the oral opening statements. As usual, all the written opening statements of the members will be made a part of the 425 record. And so we will go now to Secretary Burwell. First 426 of all, thank you for appearing before us today, Madam 427 428 Secretary. Your written testimony will be made a part of the 429 record. You will be given 5 minutes to summarize your 430 testimony, and we certainly appreciate you being here this 431 morning. And you are recognized for 5 minutes for your 432 summary.

433 ^STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL,

434 SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

435 } Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you Chairman Pitts, Chairman 436 Upton, Ranking Member Pallone, and Ranking Member Green, and 437 members of the committee. I appreciate the invitation to be 438 here today. I want to thank you for the opportunity to 439 discuss the President's budget for the Department of Health 440 and Human Services.

441 I believe firmly that we all share common interests, and therefore we have a number of opportunities for common 442 443 ground, from preventing and treating substance abuse, to 444 advancing the promise of precision medicine, to building an innovation economy, and strengthening the American middle 445 446 class. The budget before you makes critical investments in health care, science, innovation, and human services. It 447 448 maintains our responsible stewardship of the taxpayers' 449 dollars. It strengthens our work together with the Congress 450 to prepare our Nation for key challenges, both at home and 451 abroad.

452 For HHS, it proposes \$83.8 billion in discretionary budget authority, and this is a \$4.8 billion increase, which 453 454 will allow our department to deliver impact today, and lay a 455 strong foundation for tomorrow. It is a fiscally responsible budget, which, in tandem with accompanying legislative 456 457 proposals, would save taxpayers a net estimated \$250 billion 458 over the next decade. In addition, it is projected to 459 continue slowing the growth of Medicare. It could secure 423 460 billion in savings as we build a smarter, healthier, better 461 system.

In terms of providing all Americans with access to 462 463 quality, affordable health care, it builds upon our historic 464 progress in reducing the number of uninsured, and improving 465 coverage for families who already have insurance. We saw a 466 recent example of this progress with the about 11.4 million 467 Americans who either signed up or re-enrolled in this past 468 open enrollment. It extends CHIP for 4 years, it covers 469 newly eligible adults in the 28 states, plus D.C., which have 470 expanded Medicaid, and it improves access to health for Native Americans. To support communities throughout the 471 472 country, including underserved communities, it invests \$4.2

473 billion in health centers, and \$14.12 billion to bolster our Nation's health workforce. It is--it is more than 50,000 474 475 National Health Service Corps clinicians, serving nearly 16 million patients in high need areas across the country. With 476 health center mandatory funding ending in 2016, we estimate 477 478 that more than seven million Americans may lose access to 479 essential cost-effective primary care, and this could 480 approximately result in 40,000 jobs lost.

481 To advance our common interests in building a better, smarter, healthier delivery system, the budget supports 482 improvements to the way care is delivered, providers are 483 paid, and information is distributed. On an issue for which 484 485 there is bipartisan agreement, it replaces Medicare's flawed sustainable growth rate formula, and supports a long term 486 policy solution to fix the SGR. The Administration supports 487 the type of bipartisan, bicameral efforts that the Congress 488 489 took last year.

490 To advance our shared vision for leading the world in 491 science and innovation, it increases funding for the NIH by a 492 billion dollars to advance biomedical and behavioral 493 research. In addition, it invests 250 million for the

494 Precision Medicine Initiative, an effort to focus on developing treatments, diagnostics, and prevention strategies 495 496 tailored to individual genetic characteristics. To further 497 our common interests in providing Americans with the building blocks for success at every stage of life, this budget 498 499 outlines an ambitious plan to make affordable quality child 500 care available to every working class--middle class family. 501 To keep Americans health, the budget strengthens our 502 public health infrastructure, with \$975 million for domestic 503 and international preparedness, including critical funds to the Global Health Security Agenda. The budget will support 504 505 CDC's critical infrastructure and cost-cutting research to 506 facilitate rapid response to public health emergencies, and other public health threats, like the recent measles 507 508 outbreak. It also invests in behavioral health sciences, and 509 substance use prevention. Finally, as we look to leave our 510 department stronger, the budget invests in our shared 511 priorities of cracking down on waste, fraud, and abuse 512 initiatives, and are projected to yield \$22 billion in gross savings for Medicare. We are also addressing our Medicare 513 514 appeals backlog with a variety of approaches, and we are

- 515 investing in cybersecurity.
- 516 As a close, I want to make one final point, and that is
- 517 I am personally committed to responding quickly and
- 518 thoughtfully to the concerns of Congress and members. Since
- 519 I was confirmed, I have made it the top priority of our
- 520 department to respond promptly and thoroughly, and work with
- 521 you as we can. I also just want to take one moment to thank
- 522 the HHS employees for all their work on Ebola, unaccompanied
- 523 children, and all the other issues. With that, I look
- 524 forward to your questions. Thank you.
- 525 [The prepared statement of Secretary Burwell follows:]

527 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you, Madam Secretary. I will begin 528 the questioning, and recognize myself for 5 minutes for that 529 purpose.

Let me start with King v. Burwell. In a few short days, 530 531 the Supreme Court will be hearing oral arguments in the King 532 v. Burwell case that could have a major impact on Obamacare. 533 In January we sent you a letter, asking for any actions, 534 analysis, or--and/or contingency plans that HHS has 535 undertaken to prepare if the IRS rule is overturned. And while we received a letter from you earlier this week, your 536 537 response failed to actually answer our question. The letter 538 simply stated that you believed no administrative action by 539 HHS could reverse the effects of a decision in favor of the 540 Plaintiffs.

Madam Secretary, your statement of opinion in the letter does not answer a simple question, so let me ask you the question this way. Have you or senior Department officials instructed counselors within HHS to prepare any potential actions or approaches if the Supreme Court rules against the IRS?

547 Secretary {Burwell.} Mr. Chairman, with regard to what is in the letter, one of the things that I think is important 548 549 to reflect that is in the letter is the analysis of what 550 would happen. That is a part of the letter. And in terms of what would happen--and I first should state that we believe 551 552 that the Court will decide in favor of the position we hold, 553 which is we believe that this law says that -- people have 554 traveled across the country--people in Texas should have the 555 same subsidies as people in New York. It is an important 556 starting point.

But with regard to what would happen, because I think 557 558 that is an important part of answering the question, first, 559 what would happen is, when those subsidies go away, 11.4 million people, that was the number I gave you--as of January 560 561 30, when we did our most recent analysis, 87 percent of the 562 individuals in the marketplace are eligible for subsidies. 563 Those subsidies are, on average, estimated to be \$268 per 564 individual, per month. Those subsidies, number one, would go 565 away.

566 Mr. {Pitts.} Yeah.

567 Secretary {Burwell.} That would lead to a number--

Mr. {Pitts.} Madam Secretary, I understand that. I am asking if you know of any plan to respond to approaches if the Supreme Court rules against the IRS? Has the White House, has OMB, or other Administration officials directed or asked you about any approaches in response to King v. Burwell, or to work with the Treasury Department on potential responses? That is my question.

575 Secretary {Burwell.} So, in order to respond to the 576 question, Mr. Chairman, in order to think about the question 577 of a plan, one needs to, I think, analyze the problem, which 578 is what I was articulating, in terms of the three major 579 things that would occur if the Court decides with the 580 Plaintiffs.

Mr. {Pitts.} Let me ask it a different way. I would 581 582 like to provide you some more information as to why we expect 583 you--an answer from you today. The Committee received 584 recently specific information from a source within your 585 department about the existence of an approximately 100 page 586 document related to potential actions HHS may take if the Supreme Court rules against the Administration in King v. 587 588 Burwell. Are you, or senior staff at HHS, aware of this

589 document?

590 Secretary {Burwell.} Mr. Chairman, this is a document I

591 am not aware of.

592 Mr. {Pitts.} Okay.

Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the question that 593 594 you have asked, as I said in the letter, we believe--and I 595 think it is very important to understand the damage, because 596 it is related to the answer. The damage comes in the number 597 of uninsured that would occur. Number two, it occurs in what happens in the individual marketplace, where a group of less 598 health individuals come in, and that drives premiums up in 599 600 that marketplace. And, number three, the indigent care that 601 occurs from the uninsured, and what that means in both those states, in terms of their economies, as well as what it means 602 603 for employer base. Those are the ramifications. With regard 604 to those things, which we believe are the damage, as I state 605 in the letter, we believe we do not have any administrative 606 actions, and, therefore, there is not--

607 Mr. {Pitts.} All right, let me ask--go on to another 608 issue. I, as you know, as we discussed over the phone, am 609 deeply concerned about the lack of HHS action regarding

610 California, and the DMHC authority to immediately include coverage for abortion. And this mandate, California mandate 611 612 is a clear violation of the Weldon Amendment, which provides civil rights protections, and prohibits funding to government 613 614 entities discriminating against health care entities for 615 following their conscience. Do you agree that the Weldon 616 Amendment prohibits funding for states that mandate abortion 617 coverage in insurance plans?

618 Secretary {Burwell.} We take the Weldon Amendment very 619 seriously. And since you spoke with me, Mr. Chairman, and we 620 received those letters, we have opened an investigation in 621 the Office of Civil Rights at HHS to investigate the concerns 622 that you and others have articulated. We take this 623 seriously, and are trying to move through that investigation 624 as expeditiously as possible.

625 Mr. {Pitts.} So since it is clear that California is in 626 violation of Federal law, can you project a date by which you 627 expect the violation to be stopped?

628 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the issue of the 629 investigation, Mr. Chairman, that is not something--I need to 630 let the investigation go, and I have asked the team to make
631 sure they do it as expeditiously as possible, but in order-that I stay away from the investigation, in terms of my 632 633 interference in any way. I want to let them go forward, but I have asked for due speed. 634 Mr. {Pitts.} Okay. We will follow up. Thank you. 635 636 Chair recognizes the Ranking Member Green, 5 minutes for 637 questions. 638 Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 639 Madam Secretary, it has been almost 5 years since the Affordable Care Act was passed, and have yet to see any 640 legislation introduced by my Republican colleagues to replace 641 642 the Affordable Care Act, even though we have had at least 56 votes on the House floor to repeal it. Given all this talk 643 of repealing the Affordable Care Act, are you aware of any 644 645 request for technical assistance from Republicans on 646 legislation that would replace the Affordable Care Act with a 647 credible proposal to provide comprehensive health coverage to 648 millions of Americans?

649 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not aware of those requests.
650 Mr. {Green.} Madam Secretary, over the last couple days
651 we have heard a lot about contingency plans. If the millions

652 of Americans who received financial help through the

653 Affordable Care Act would lose them, are you aware of any

654 Republican legislative proposals that would provide millions

655 of Americans with the financial assistance to help them with

656 affordable health care coverage?

657 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not aware.

658 Mr. {Green.} Secretary, I want to get your input on an 659 issue that I know you are concerned--I appreciate you 660 addressing it in your opening remarks, that myself, and a lot of members of our committee--there is a funding cliff that is 661 facing our community health centers. Health centers serve 662 663 nearly 22 million patients, and are projected to serve 28.6 million patients over--in over 9,000 locations across the 664 country in the fiscal year of 2016. Because of the current 665 patient demographics and statutory mandate to locate in 666 667 underserved areas, or to serve underserved populations, 668 health centers are well positioned to provide health care 669 service to millions of newly insured Americans. They are 670 particularly important in our district, which is a federally designated underserved community in Houston, Texas. 671

672 Secretary Burwell, I was pleased to see the President's

budget included a multi-year extension of mandatory funding for health centers. As you know, the health centers patients face a major loss of access in a few months if we don't act to prevent the funding cliff caused by the expiration of the mandatory funding at the end of the fiscal year. Can you speak about the importance of community health centers within our health system as we look at the issues of access,

680 quality, and cost?

681 Secretary {Burwell.} We believe that they are a fundamental underpinning, and not just in terms of health 682 care in communities, but they are also an important part of 683 684 the economics of communities, when you think about the fact that we could lose up to 40,000 estimated jobs in terms of 685 who we don't extend. But as you think about the numbers, 686 thinking that 1 in 15 Americans actually are served by these 687 688 health centers, how integral they are to providing primary 689 care throughout the country.

And so we think it is extremely important to continue 691 that so that we can--as we have reduced the number of 692 uninsured, we also want to make sure that those people are 693 having care, and those that had care before still have access

694 to that care, especially in our underserved communities 695 across the country, not all, but many of which are very 696 rural. 697 Mr. {Green.} Can you comment on the impact that the funding cuts would have on patients' access to care? Can you 698 699 estimate how many fewer people would be able to receive 700 services at our local health centers? 701 Secretary {Burwell.} Our estimates are that if we 702 aren't able to extend, that it could be up to seven million 703 patients who would no longer be able to have access to that 704 care. We estimate that perhaps over 2,000 of the centers 705 would shut down without that, and that -- then there are the 706 patients who would not be served because people would have to 707 scale back in a number of the centers with reduced funding. 708 Mr. {Green.} In those 2,000 centers, do you know how 709 many jobs we lost? Secretary {Burwell.} Approximately--the estimates are 710 711 up to 40,000. 712 Mr. {Green.} Okay. Thank you. The health centers are a crucial part of our Nation's primary care infrastructure 713 714 for 50 years, and have long had truly bipartisan support. In

715 the last year, along with my colleagues on both sides, 716 including Representative Lance, support--reiterating our 717 support for health centers, and calling for a bipartisan 718 solution, we had 250 co-signers, including 31 members of our 719 committee. A similar letter in the Senate gathered 60--720 gained 66 votes, and more than 100 national organizations 721 have called for a fix. Consensus is something must be done, 722 and we have to act as soon as possible.

This issue is a top priority of mine, and I know a lot of other members, literally, Republican and Democrat across the country, who look forward to working with you and our colleagues on the committee on a bipartisan basis to find a solution to avert that funding cliff.

Mr. Chairman, I have 43 seconds left, and I would like to yield for somebody for that 43 seconds on our side. Anybody want about 30 seconds now? Okay. Well, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you. Chair now recognizes the
Chairman of the full Committee, Mr. Upton, 5 minutes for
questions.

735 The {Chairman.} Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.

736 Secretary Burwell, there are a number of health care law 737 implementation issues that continue to trouble us. In the 738 interest of time, I would ask that you submit answers to the 739 following questions in writing within 2 weeks. 740 The CEO of healthcare.gov recently stated that there is 741 a 2 year development plan for the backend of the 742 healthcare.gov. If you could provide us an estimate of when 743 the backend will finally be fully automated, would be great. 744 Second one is HHS recently announced that 800,000 Americans 745 enrolled in coverage through healthcare.gov received 746 inaccurate tax forms under the ACA. We would like a detailed 747 assessment on what--on when the Department expects these 748 taxpayers will have accurate information in hand so that they 749 can file their taxes. And third, many Americans were 750 automatically re-enrolled in exchange plans, raising concerns 751 that individuals and families may be getting unexpected 752 premium bills, or inaccurate exchange subsidies in 2015. We 753 would ask that you submit specific data on the number of 754 Americans who have been automatically re-enrolled in those exchange plans. So that would be helpful. 755

756 Now I will return to 21st Century Cures, and again,

757 appreciate your personal assistance with this. And I, for the record, want to certainly thank Dr. Collins, Commissioner 758 759 Hamburg, Dr. Woodcock, and Dr. Shurin, countless others at your department for the help on 21st Century Cures. Because 760 of that participation, and participation of folks from across 761 762 the country, we have been able to learn more about the status 763 of innovation in this country, and we hear about ways to 764 accelerate the discovery, development, delivery of cures and 765 treatments for patients.

766 As we heard at our first roundtable, there are over 10,000 diseases, and we have only cures and treatments for 767 768 about 500, so we have a great deal of work ahead to do. We 769 released a discussion document last month, and have been 770 working with Congresswoman to get, Mr. Ranking Member 771 Pallone, Mr. Green, other members of our Committee, and on 772 both sides of the aisle to improve that document. One area 773 that includes a placeholder is precision medicine, something 774 the President talked about in the State of the Union Address, 775 and subsequently a White House event a couple weeks ago. We 776 did put that placeholder into the draft, and we look forward to continuing to work with you, and the White House, the 777

778 Administration, on that important issue. Could you give us a 779 background on the Administration's precision medicine policy, 780 and what we should look forward to?

Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you, and thank you for the partnership, as we work through these issues together, and it is exciting to have the energy around these issues, including the precision medicine, which is, I think, a subset of the broader issues you are looking at. Our precision medicine initiative is \$215 million, with regard to--as we think about it from a budget perspective.

But I think thinking about it from the pieces and what 788 789 it is doing, one part of the initiative is creating a very 790 large database of a million people through NIH, but we will 791 access that through other channels, so that we are drawing 792 from existing databases to get the information we need, 793 because, as we are talking about what this is, precision 794 medicine, or personalized medicine, is getting the 795 information so that we can do treatments that are to the 796 individual.

797 I was at NIH recently, had the opportunity to both see 798 the tumors and meet the cancer patient of a kidney cancer

799 patient, where he had a group of tumors removed. They came back, but then, using precision medicine, which meant looking 800 801 specifically at the genetic makeup of his tumors--be treated 802 in a different way. I met him. That happened months ago, and now he--the patient was there, discussing it with me, and 803 804 is a very different place. So, one, that large database. 805 Two, specifically focusing in the area of cancer, because we 806 already are seeing some progress there, and we believe that 807 place is right for it.

808 The other thing we need to do is FDA. Make sure that, 809 as we think about precision medicine, we regulate, and think 810 about how to improve these things in ways of a different type 811 of medicine. And then finally, we need the health records, the Office of National Coordinator for Health--Electronic 812 813 Health Records to be a part of making sure this will do with 814 payments, and how clinicians will use. Those are the 815 elements.

The {Chairman.} Well, I just want to say, that is very help, and we are excited as well. And though I have been out to the NIH a number of times in the past number of years, I want to remind members here that we have got a committee

820 trip--I have invited, I think, all the members on this 821 subcommittee to go out to the NIH next Monday morning. Dr. 822 Collins has been very interested in having us out to kick the tires, like you saw yourself. 823 824 And I know that, because we have votes tomorrow, Friday, 825 and again on Monday, and perhaps over the weekend, there may 826 be more of us here over the weekend than originally thought. 827 So I want to remind members that they are invited to join

828 with us and not miss votes come Monday on a trip there, and I 829 yield back. Thank you very much.

830 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlemen. Now recognize 831 the Ranking Member of the full Committee, Mr. Pallone, 5 832 minutes for questions.

Mr. {Pallone.} Hello, Secretary Burwell. You know, I--834 I am sure you can sense that I am very proud of the 835 Affordable Care Act, and concerned about Republican efforts 836 to repeal it, or now take it to court, in the case of King 837 vs. Burwell.

Are you aware of any Republican bill that would reduce 839 the number of uninsured in this country by 11 million people-840 -or--I said 11, it is actually 19 million people, the way

841 that the Affordable Care Act does? I mean, obviously I am saying this because I don't see them coming up with any 842 843 alternative. Secretary {Burwell.} You know, we haven't, and I think 844 it is important to reflect, historically, when one looks at 845 846 the history, and actually I have gone back to Teddy 847 Roosevelt, and the quotations from Teddy Roosevelt forward, 848 through both Republican and Democratic administrations, we 849 see--whether it was President Bush, President Nixon, 850 President--Republican and Democrat, President Clinton, the 851 conversation about how we make this next step forward, with regard to reducing uninsured, is something that we struggled 852 853 with as a Nation.

And this is the first time, and someone reflected on the anniversary of Medicare, and that 50 year anniversary, this is the first time that we have seen that. And so the plan that we have in place, the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, has done that. But we have not seen any alternatives.

860 Mr. {Pallone.} All right. Let me ask you about CHIP.861 All the Democrats on the Committee recently introduced a bill

862 to extend the CHIP program, and I want to emphasize again that we have to act on this legislation immediately, when we 863 864 consider SGR, which expires at the end of March. While funding may not expire until the end of September for CHIP, 865 in fact, 20 states will finish their legislative sessions by 866 867 the end of April, and more than half by June 1, so it is 868 clear that Congress needs to act swiftly to ensure states can 869 budget appropriately for CHIP, and the avoid any disruption 870 in children's coverage. So, given the bipartisan history of 871 this program, I see no reason why Congress can't act very soon. 872

873 Can you comment on the impact on states if the CHIP 874 funding isn't extended soon?

875 Secretary {Burwell.} I would comment on that from two 876 different perspectives, one as former director of OMB, and 877 the issues of predictability of funding, and the issues of 878 management, and ability to manage. And so, for the states to 879 be able to do that, this is something that is important. 880 When we have had predictability in our own budget system, we 881 have seen the benefits of that economically throughout the 882 past years--2 years.

883 And the other thing I would say is, having just spent a lot of time with the governors this weekend when they were in 884 885 town, this is a very important issue to them. We have seen 886 that letter that 40 governors have signed with regard to knowing that they have that predictability of a program that 887 888 is providing great benefits to the children in their states. 889 Mr. {Pallone.} Now, the Senate and House Republicans 890 have released a CHIP proposal this week, however, this 891 proposal would institute a 12-month waiting period, 892 needlessly forces low income children off of Medicaid and onto CHIP, and reduces or completely discontinues coverage 893 894 for children above 250 percent of the Federal poverty level, 895 despite the choice of 28 states around our Nation to cover those kids. Can you discuss the impact of policies like this 896 897 on some of our most vulnerable children?

Secretary {Burwell.} So we think that the CHIP program is a program--bipartisan program, and a program that is working a delivering results, in terms of that quality health care for those children, and has worked. We believe, that is in our budget, a 4-year extension of the program, is a very important thing, and that we need to do that in a timely

904 fashion to both make sure those children are covered, and receive the care that they need, but also, in addition, to 905 906 have that predictability for states, especially those states 907 that are in their legislative process right now. Mr. {Pallone.} And I know you mentioned the 4-year 908 909 extension. The budget includes a 4-year extension of the 910 CHIP program. Can you talk about why that full extension of 911 4 years is so critical for the kids that depend on this 912 health coverage? And maybe also mention, as part of the 913 extension, the budget includes a permanent extension of express lane eligibility. If you would talk about the 914 915 success of express lane eligibility as an option for states? 916 Secretary {Burwell.} So the express lane eligibility, and those issues, we--folks ask us to try and figure out ways 917 918 to simplify, to make things easier, and that is making things 919 easier in two ways. When we hear from folks, it is about 920 both the customer, in terms of when they came in, as well as 921 the states. And we believe this is a program that is been 922 successful in getting to that simplicity, and the simplicity 923 often can work to create either A, better quality, or B, lower costs, and so we think that is important--4 years, we 924

925 believe that is a good amount of time, and the right amount of time for us to do this extension. There will be 926 927 interaction with the Affordable Care Act, we know that, and we believe that the 4-year period is the right period for us 928 to understand and look at that. 929 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I would like 930 931 to submit for the record two CHIP articles. The first is an 932 op-ed published in the New York Times this month by former 933 Secretary Hilary Clinton, and former Senator Bill Frist, 934 discussing the long term bipartisan history of the program, 935 and the importance of a 4-year extension. And the second 936 article was published in the New York Times last month, shows 937 how health coverage for children pays for itself, and all the 938 research showing that when children have health coverage, 939 future earnings are boosted. If I could--940 Mr. {Pitts.} Without objection--941 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr.--942 Mr. {Pitts.} --ordered. 943 Mr. {Pallone.} --Chairman. Mr. {Pitts.} Chairman yields--the gentleman yields 944 945 back. Chair now recognizes the Chair Emeritus of the full

946 Committee, Mr. Barton, 5 minutes for questions.

947 Mr. {Barton.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, 948 Secretary, for being here. As I have talked to you before, 949 there are lots of problems that we have to deal with, you in your position, and the Committee in our position. But there 950 951 are some opportunities for bipartisanship, and one of them is 952 a piece of legislation that we call the Ace Kids Act. The 953 original co-sponsors are Ms. Castor of Florida, I think Ms. 954 Eshoo of California, Mr. Green of Texas, myself, on the 955 Republican side, along with several other members of this 956 committee on the majority side.

957 You said in your opening statement that Medicaid is going to be about \$345 billion this year, an increase, I 958 believe, of over 16 billion. Well, there is one piece of 959 960 legislation we could pass on a bipartisan basis that would 961 actually save money in Medicaid, and that is the Ace Kids 962 Act. It creates a home for families that have medically 963 complex children, based on an anchor hospital concept with 964 the major children's hospitals in America. I think there are about 60 of them. So if a parent has a child that is 965 966 medically complex, and qualifies for the program, that child

967 gets access to the network on kind of a one stop shop. All 968 the specialties, all the various procedures are provided, and 969 Medicaid is billed on time. We think there are about 12 970 million children that would qualify the--program, and we 971 believe that it will save billions of dollars over a 10 year 972 period.

973 It has been introduced in the Senate, the identical 974 bill, with three Republican co-sponsors, three Democrat co-975 sponsors. So here is a rare piece of legislation that both 976 sides of the aisle support. The Republican leadership supports it. Chairman Upton supports it. Chairman Pitts 977 978 supports it. Does your department have a position on the 979 bill, and if so, could you explain to the Committee what that 980 position is?

981 Secretary {Burwell.} So with regard to the specific 982 legislation, I don't think we, as an administration, have 983 issued--but what I would say is all of the concepts, we 984 agree, and we welcome the opportunity. The idea that we can 985 improve both quality and cost for these children, who are 986 very complex, and who are moving state to state, and the 987 current system doesn't afford us the opportunity, both with

988 regard to making sure we don't have duplicative payments, we 989 obviously do not want that, fiscal responsibility, and we 990 want that ease that the parent can have the child at the 991 right place with the right care, even if it is across state 992 lines.

993 So I would just say we look forward to working with you, 994 welcome the opportunity, if there are questions and ways that 995 we can provide technical assistance and other things as part 996 of this, we welcome that opportunity, because we agree with 997 the fundamental of what we are trying to do here, and believe this is something that could improve both cost and quality. 998 999 Mr. {Barton.} Well, I would encourage you and your 1000 department to take a look at the bill. It is not illegal or 1001 immoral for the Administration to issue a letter of support, 1002 and this is one that I think, with Chairman Upton and 1003 Chairman Pitts, and the Ranking Member in the full Committee 1004 and Subcommittee, and leadership on both sides of the aisle 1005 of the House said this bill could go. It could be a part of 1006 Chairman Upton's 21st Century effort, or it could be a 1007 standalone bill.

1008 I also, in the brief time I have, want to concur with

1009 what Ranking Member Green said about community health 1010 centers. I hope we can work together in a bipartisan fashion to find an answer to keep those funded. I know there is a 1011 1012 funding issue this year that we need to address, and 1013 reauthorize the program. I have a number of those health 1014 centers in my Congressional district, and they are very 1015 helpful, providing indigent care. 1016 And, finally, I wasn't going to ask this guestion, but I 1017 am a little bit puzzled. When Chairman Pitts asked you the 1018 question about this report that deals with planning in case--1019 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum. 1020 Mr. {Barton.} --the health exchanges at the state level 1021 under the Affordable Care Act are found to not be legal the 1022 way they are currently funded, if there was a plan, and if you had seen the plan, I take you at your word that you 1023 1024 haven't seen the plan, but don't you think it is prudent that there should be a plan? I mean, I hope I don't have a 1025 1026 primary opponent, I hope I don't have a general election 1027 opponent, but I have a plan in case I do. I know you hope 1028 that the Court upholds your position, but shouldn't the

1029 Administration and your agency have a plan in case it fails?

1030 Secretary {Burwell.} Congressman, what we state in the 1031 letter, and what we believe is, if the Court decides, which 1032 we don't believe they will, but if the Court decides on 1033 behalf of the Plaintiffs, if the Supreme Court of the United 1034 States says that the subsidies are not available to the 1035 people of Texas, we don't have an administrative action that 1036 we could take. So the question of having a plan, we don't 1037 have an administrative action that we believe can undo the 1038 damage.

And that is why, when I was answering the Chairman, I think it is important to understand what the damage is, because then it comes to the question of--we don't believe we have any administrative--

1043 Mr. {Barton.} So, my time has expired, but if the Court 1044 strikes it down, the Administration is just going to hold up 1045 your hands and say, we surrender?

1046 Secretary {Burwell.} What we believe is--we believe the 1047 law as it stands is how it should be implemented.

1048 Mr. {Barton.} I understand.

1049 Secretary {Burwell.} And with regard to--when the 1050 Supreme Court speaks, if the Supreme Court speaks to this

1051	issue, we do not believe that there is an administrative
1052	authority that we have in our
1053	Mr. {Barton.} All right.
1054	Secretary {Burwell.}to undo it. And so that is
1055	Mr. {Barton.} That is
1056	Secretary {Burwell.}something we don't believe we
1057	have
1058	Mr. {Barton.} That is puzzling
1059	Secretary {Burwell.}and
1060	Mr. {Barton.}but I accept that. Thank you, Mr.
1061	Chairman, for your courtesy, and the minority, for letting me
1062	have extra time.
1063	Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman, and now
1064	recognize the gentleman from New York, Mr. Engel, 5 minutes
1065	for questions.
1066	Mr. {Engel.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and
1067	welcome, Secretary Burwell. Let me piggyback on a backup
1068	plan. You know, I was part of this Committee. I
1069	participated in months and months of deliberations for the
1070	Affordable Health care Act. We had weeks of markups, this
1071	Committee did, and not once was there mention of subsidies

1072 not being available to individuals in states that did not set 1073 up their own exchanges. I have heard a lot of complaints on 1074 the other side of the aisle about the law, but never was this 1075 issue discussed until they lost at the Supreme Court in 2012. 1076 Some of my friend signed on to amicus briefs, and 1077 wasting credible time forcing votes on the full repeal of the 1078 law, yet they are upset that the Administration doesn't have 1079 a backup plan, should the Supreme Court ruling threaten the 1080 availability of subsidies for 8.6 million Americans. And I 1081 think it is somewhat ironic that my Republican friends are 1082 demanding that this Administration fix problems that they 1083 themselves created, and have shown zero interest in fixing. 1084 Should Republicans get what they want, and the Supreme Court 1085 rules in favor of King, I would urge my colleagues, if that 1086 should happen, to pass legislation to ensure that Americans 1087 have continued access to affordable coverage through the Federally facilitated exchange, just as Democrats intended. 1088 1089 Next month the Affordable Care Act will have been the 1090 law of the land for 5 years. It is not a perfect law, and 1091 there are issues that need to be changed with it, but I would like to see those issues addressed. And let us both of us, 1092

1093 in a bipartisan way, turn our focus on improving the law, and 1094 enabling more quality coverage options for our constituents, 1095 instead of trying to kill it, repeal it, take it to court, 1096 and things like that. So I just wanted to say that I am sure 1097 that you agree with what I just said.

1098 Secretary {Burwell.} Yes. We look forward to moving 1099 forward, and we do want to make improvements as we can. 1100 Mr. {Engel.} Thank you. And I want to use my home 1101 state of New York as a great example of what is possible when 1102 the Federal Government has a willing and enthusiastic partner 1103 in the Affordable Health care implementation. As a result of 1104 our successful exchange and Medicaid expansion, more than 2.1 1105 million New Yorkers have quality health care coverage. Our 1106 state's uninsured rate has dropped to only 10 percent. And 1107 there is clear evidence we are reaching the right people too, 1108 since 88 percent of people who obtained coverage through the 1109 exchange reported being uninsured at the time they enrolled, 1110 so it is really working in New York. And the health 1111 insurance options available through New York State of Health 1112 are on average 50 percent cheaper than the comparable 1113 coverage available before the exchange was established.

1114 So I want you to know, I am sure you know it, that the 1115 ACA is working, and working well in New York, and that is why 1116 I really think it is terrible that I have been forced to take 1117 more than 50 votes to repeal some or all of this law. We 1118 should fix what is wrong. But in my state, it has really 1119 been a tremendous success.

1120 Secretary {Burwell.} And, fortunately, I have had the 1121 opportunity to travel the country and see the individuals, 1122 those are the numbers, and the individuals, and whether it is 1123 Laura in Florida, 26 years old, married to someone who is a 1124 truck driver, who does not have coverage. She is training to 1125 be an X-ray tech, they have two children. They did not have 1126 insurance. She now has insurance with a premium of \$41 a 1127 month. Or a woman who had MS in the State of Texas, and for 1128 17 years she had not had health insurance. And so how people 1129 go about--she treated her MS through the emergency room, and she has four children, and she works. And so, when it would 1130 1131 get bad enough, that is what she would do. And so the 1132 stories of what it means to people, in terms of their 1133 financial and health security, I think are--the numbers are important, but it is those stories which really make this 1134

1135 real.

1136 Mr. {Engel.} And, you know, Secretary Burwell, I 1137 understand that we have seen robust exchange enrollment 1138 nationwide, even in states where Republican governors refuse 1139 to set up a state exchange, or expand their Medicaid 1140 programs. Isn't this true? 1141 Secretary {Burwell.} So the numbers--and I spoke to 1142 this yesterday, when we would been able to look at the 1143 numbers, 53 percent of the enrollees in the marketplace this 1144 year, in the Federal marketplace, are new enrollments. And 1145 so I think that is indicating that--the demand for the 1146 product, and the need for the product. 1147 Mr. {Engel.} Thank you. I want to second Mr. Pallone's positive discussions about CHIP. I have always been a strong 1148 1149 supporter, and, as of July 2014, an estimated 476,000 1150 children were enrolled in this affordable coverage option for their care in New York, and so I think that that is really, 1151 1152 really important. I was pleased, therefore, to see with the 1153 budget proposal for fiscal year 2016 including funding--1154 included funding for CHIP for the next 4 years, through fiscal year 2019. So can you elaborate on why you believe 1155

1156 increasing tobacco taxes is a viable means for funding this 1157 program while we sort out the transition issues associated 1158 with the Affordable Care Act? 1159 Secretary {Burwell.} We believe, you know, one of the 1160 things of trying to be fiscally responsible, and indicating 1161 how we are paying for things, we believe that this is a 1162 legitimate way to pay for things, especially in the context 1163 of we are providing health care, and something that will 1164 hopefully create a deterrent, and help health care, in terms 1165 of the issue of a tobacco tax. As one analyzes across the Department, and whether it is at CMS or CDC, the impact that 1166 tobacco has on health in our Nation, and the cost of health 1167 1168 care in our Nation, is one that we think is a fair place to 1169 go to pay for this care for the children. Mr. {Engel.} I agree with you. And, finally, I want to 1170 talk about graduate medical education, because I was 1171 1172 concerned that the Administration's proposal to cut enduring 1173 GME funding--one in six physicians in America obtains 1174 training in my home State of New York, and we have some of

1176 require significant funding and time to develop the

1175

62

the finest academic medical centers in the country. So you

1177 infrastructure and expertise necessary to ensure quality care 1178 is available. So how do we ensure stability for these 1179 academic medical centers, and the patients they serve, if we 1180 put GME funding at risk? 1181 Secretary {Burwell.} We believe and hope that our 1182 proposal does not do that, and meets the objectives of making 1183 sure we are training appropriate positions for both primary 1184 care and specialties, where we don't have as many as we 1185 should, at the same time, making sure we target it. There is 1186 100 million for pediatric, and then a wider pool for 1187 competition. It is an issue that we want to meet the same 1188 objectives at the same time we do it in a fiscally 1189 responsible way. 1190 Mr. {Engel.} Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1191 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 1192 the Vice Chairman of the Subcommittee, Mr. Guthrie, 5 minutes 1193 for questions. 1194 Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, Madam Secretary for coming, 1195 and I really--and--look forward to working on 21st Century 1196 Cures, and all the things that we could work on over the next 1197 years as Vice Chair.

1198 But first I would like to direct your attention to the 1199 cost share reduction program contained in the ACA, specifically Sections 1402 and 1412. Does any part of this 1200 1201 budget request, or does any part of this budget that we are 1202 talking about today request any new authority, including any transfer authority to pay insurers under the cost share 1203 1204 reduction program? 1205 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the program, which, 1206 as a program, as you know, is about making sure that the 1207 costs of health care to this individuals that are coming into 1208 the marketplace is something that they afford, that is what 1209 it is about, and we believe that we do have the authorities 1210 to do the cost sharing. 1211 Mr. {Guthrie.} Is there any new authority requested in 1212 this budget? 1213 Secretary {Burwell.} No new language. 1214 Mr. {Guthrie.} There is no new language? And so we do 1215 know it is up and running. I think we spent \$3 billion 1216 already on the cost share reduction program, that are then 1217 paid to insurers with taxpayer funds. The budget that is being submitted estimates 11.2 billion over 2015-2016, and 1218

CBO says 175 billion over the next 10 years is what they have 1219 1220 estimated. And could you cite where the appropriations 1221 authority is? You said you do believe you have the--can you 1222 cite where that is? 1223 Secretary {Burwell.} We do believe we do, and I am sure 1224 you know that right now this is an issue that is under 1225 litigation, and a court case that has been brought. And so, 1226 with regard to that, that is an issue that I will let our 1227 colleagues at the Justice Department speak to, because of the 1228 place it is in litigation.

1229 Mr. {Guthrie.} I understand that, but we are doing 1230 oversight here. I am not an attorney, so--you had--in--when 1231 you were at OMB in 2014, there actually was a request in the 1232 2014 budget for direct appropriation, and that didn't happen, 1233 for whatever reason, but we are spending money. So whether 1234 we spend a penny or 100--this is \$175 billion over 10 year 1235 program. I mean, we feel like we--this is an oversight 1236 hearing, on a--not a--and so we feel like it is our 1237 responsibility to make sure to our taxpayers that we have 1238 good answers on where this is coming from. So we are just asking for where the appropriation comes from--1239

1240	Secretary {Burwell.} I understand
1241	Mr. {Guthrie.}authority.
1242	Secretary {Burwell.}and I appreciate the question,
1243	and I am sorry that it is in litigation. I wish we weren't
1244	in a place where we are in litigation, but once something has
1245	entered into that place, it does create a difficult
1246	circumstance. I respect the issue of oversight, but because
1247	the litigation has been brought by the House
1248	Mr. {Guthrie.} Yeah.
1249	Secretary {Burwell.}on this issue, we are in a place
1250	where I think that is the appropriate place for this
1251	conversation.
1252	Mr. {Guthrie.} We are reallywe areI am just not
1253	aware of any pending litigation exception at oversight
1254	hearing questions, andis there, like, a legal case, or
1255	authority, or did the Justice Department say you don't have
1256	to
1257	Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to issues that are
1258	being litigated, generally those are matters that we refer,
1259	and let the Justice Department continue on.
1260	Mr. {Guthrie.} Andthat we have never been able to get

1261 an answer from the Administration for where the language--1262 there--nobody has even been able to point to us where that 1263 appropriation language comes from. And it was--and you 1264 previously had requested appropriation. 1265 Let me ask you another question. You had recently--you 1266 said--you received--I think 18 employer groups sent you a 1267 letter, urging that small groups be maintained at 50 1268 employees. And they were citing an actuarial analysis that 1269 showed when they go to 50--to 51, they use--actuarial 1270 analysis said that it would--estimated that 2/3 of the 1271 members--so they would receive an increase, and--of 18 1272 percent. And I just don't believe that these small 1273 employers, 50 to 100 employees, can accept an 18 percent 1274 increase in their premiums. Also, the promise that if you 1275 like the plan, you can keep it, because if they have--if the 1276 50 to 100 have to go into the new plan, they will have to meet the new--the requirements of the health care law that --1277 1278 essential benefits, and the other things that have caused 1279 other people to lose their--the plans that they liked, that 1280 they could keep.

1281 And due to this impact, would you support allowing

1282 states to keep their market at 50 or below, not go to the 51 1283 to 100? 1284 Secretary {Burwell.} This is an issue that we are 1285 looking at and examining because we have a number of comments 1286 on it. And what I would say is I would welcome the 1287 opportunity to see the piece of work that you are talking 1288 about and referring to so that we can see and understand 1289 that. I think what we want to do is understand the facts 1290 around this type of thing, so I would welcome the opportunity 1291 to see the study and piece of work that you are articulating. 1292 Mr. {Guthrie.} Okay. My understanding, it has been 1293 submitted, a letter from these 18 employers, but we will make sure that that is--1294 1295 Secretary {Burwell.} Okay. 1296 Mr. {Guthrie.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield 1297 back. 1298 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 1299 the gentlelady from Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, 5 minutes for 1300 questions. 1301 Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Madam Secretary, for being here today. I wanted to ask 1302

1303 you if you are aware of any Republican legislative proposal 1304 that would keep insurance companies from denying coverage 1305 from people with pre-existing conditions, like cancer, or 1306 dropping someone from coverage because they got in an 1307 accident, or got sick? 1308 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not aware of a piece--1309 Ms. {Schakowsky.} That is right. 1310 Secretary {Burwell.} --of legislation that would take 1311 care of that issue. 1312 Ms. {Schakowsky.} And are you aware of any Republican legislative proposal that would provide access to preventive 1313 1314 services, like cancer screenings, yearly wellness exams, and 1315 do that at no additional out of pocket cost to consumers? 1316 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not aware of a piece of 1317 legislation that would do that in the--way that the ACA does. 1318 Ms. {Schakowsky.} Thank you. I wanted to talk a little 1319 bit about something that is a growing concern, and that is 1320 Alzheimer's disease, and the cost that it is in personal 1321 lives, and also in funding. So scores of public agencies, 1322 including many HHS agencies, as well as numerous private and non-profit organizations, are trying to address this 1323

1324 challenge of preventing Alzheimer's, serving those who have 1325 dementia today, finding a cure. Shouldn't the Federal 1326 Government be coordinating a plan on Alzheimer's? 1327 Secretary {Burwell.} In terms of the issue of coordination, there is a body, an advisory group, that 1328 1329 includes both people from the Federal Government, as well as 1330 external folks, to be a part of putting together our thoughts 1331 and strategies, and it has informed the way that we are doing 1332 investments. There are members of the Federal Government 1333 across the government, as well as external bodies that are a 1334 part of that.

1335 With regard to the work at the Department, the work cuts 1336 across a number of different areas. NIH and research is 1337 generally what comes to mind for most people, but where the 1338 biggest dollars are spent is actually in CMS, and making sure 1339 that we are thinking through the issues in that space, 1340 because that is where the dollars--the other thing is the 1341 Administration for Community Living is where we work on and 1342 think about things like those that are caregivers, and those 1343 that are going through that process of dementia, and how they deal with it. So at the Department we work through all of 1344

1345 those. There is this overall advisory group that we have 1346 externally, and includes internal members.

Ms. {Schakowsky.} So the population is aging rapidly, obviously, and Alzheimer's is taking a much bigger toll than ever on families, on health care systems, on people who have the disease, and the number of people living with dementia will continue to grow as baby boomers age. So you had mentioned the research that is going on, so what is HHS, NIH doing to find a cure?

1354 Secretary {Burwell.} So in this budget you see a 24 percent increase to funding for Alzheimer's, which is much 1355 1356 greater than the percentage increase even within the other 1357 NIH, so focusing deeply on doing that. It is also part of 1358 the BRAIN Initiative, as we think through their specific 1359 issues. But we are also making progress on something called 1360 t-al, which is a protein that is indicative of Alzheimer's. 1361 That is one of the pieces of research that is going on, and 1362 if we can make progress there--the other piece of research is 1363 seeing if there are ways that we can slow the progression by 1364 understanding how the neural channels move, and what is happening in the disease. Those are pieces of research that 1365

1366 we are starting, we believe that, with the funding we are 1367 asking for, that we can move that research -- we can broaden 1368 it, and we can make it faster. 1369 Ms. {Schakowsky.} So dementia is a major focus of work in the United Kingdom and other developed countries. Are we 1370 1371 keeping up with the rest of the world in research activities 1372 and investments? 1373 Secretary {Burwell.} You know, we believe that we are, 1374 with regard to that, and I have been in touch with my 1375 colleagues and the secretary--or the minister in the U.K., 1376 and continue to have those conversations. So we make sure 1377 that we are leaning, and staying connected to our colleagues, 1378 especially that particular example, where I have been in 1379 touch with Mr. Hunt, and will continue--do that so that we 1380 make sure that we are learning everything we can from our 1381 colleagues. And in places where we can work together, see if 1382 we can leverage the efforts that are going on in each of our 1383 countries. And that is both across the research, the 1384 regulation, as well as the more social issues. 1385 Ms. {Schakowsky.} And who is on the Alzheimer's

72

Advisory Committee? I am asking that because shouldn't there
1387 be a person with Alzheimer's on the--as part of the group? 1388 Secretary {Burwell.} I want to get back to you 1389 directly, but it is my understanding that there is a person, 1390 that there is a slot, and that there--either there is or will 1391 be a person that does have that is part of the committee. I 1392 will want to get back to you on that, though, specifically. 1393 Ms. {Schakowsky.} Well, I want to thank you for the 1394 focus, and, as the coach here of the Seniors Task Force of 1395 the Democratic Caucus, I really want to work with you on 1396 that, because this is a problem affecting so many families and individuals. I appreciate it, and yield back. 1397 1398 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you. 1399 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady. Now recognize the gentleman from Kentucky, Mr. Whitfield, 5 1400 1401 minutes for questions. 1402 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you. Well, Secretary Burwell, I 1403 also want to thank you for being with us today, and I want to 1404 just follow up on my colleague Brett Guthrie's question. We 1405 are concerned about this cost reduction program because--cost 1406 sharing program because it is 170 some billion dollars over a 1407 number of years, and we understand that that is one of the

1408 issues involved in the lawsuit. But all we are asking you 1409 is, since you all are dispersing the money, what is your 1410 opinion as to where the appropriation is designated that you 1411 are working from? 1412 Secretary {Burwell.} This is an issue--as I said, I 1413 understand the question. We believe we have the authorities. 1414 With regard to the specifics of that, because we are in 1415 litigation--1416 Mr. {Whitfield.} But you can't tell us where the money 1417 is coming from? 1418 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to having that 1419 conversation, that is--that is what the--1420 Mr. {Whitfield.} Were you instructed by DOJ not to 1421 answer that question? 1422 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to that specific 1423 issue, that is at the root of the litigation. 1424 Mr. {Whitfield.} Were you instructed by DOJ not to 1425 answer the question? 1426 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to--when there are issues of litigation like this, I--this is--our standard--1427 1428 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, you know, yesterday we had Gina

1429 McCarthy here, and we were talking about 111(d), which is 1430 before the Supreme Court right now, and she gave us her 1431 theory of why she thought she was right. We are not saying 1432 that we are right or you are right, we are simply asking what is your theory? What are you--where does the money come 1433 1434 from, in your view? 1435 Secretary {Burwell.} That is something, as I said--why 1436 don't I work to get back to you on where we feel comfortable-1437 \_ 1438 Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay. 1439 Secretary {Burwell.} --with regard to where the 1440 litigation is, and I would like to come back on that. Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, I must say, I have been 1441 impressed with your facility to use numbers. You were--you 1442 1443 are really tuned into the budget, responding to Mr. Pitts, 1444 responding to Mr. Green about the community health centers. 1445 I was a Rotary Club meeting recently--1446 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum. 1447 Mr. {Whitfield.} --and I was asked the question--they said, Congressman, can you tell us what dollar amount has 1448 been incurred by the Federal Government as a result of state 1449

1450	expansion of Medicaid programs pursuant to the Affordable
1451	Care Act? Because we picked up a larger percentage of the
1452	normal cost.
1453	Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.
1454	Mr. {Whitfield.} And I would ask you that question. I
1455	didn't know the answer, but could you tell me what is the
1456	total dollar amount incurred by the Federal Government by the
1457	expansion of the state Medicaid programs as a result of the
1458	Affordable Care Act?
1459	Secretary {Burwell.} Thatin terms of the Federal
1460	dollars versus the state dollars?
1461	Mr. {Whitfield.} Yeah, just the additional dollar
1462	Secretary {Burwell.} Yeah, I
1463	Mr. {Whitfield.}amount incurred by us.
1464	Secretary {Burwell.} Let me go back and look, because
1465	the question of being able to disaggregate whether a person
1466	came in because of expansion, or were under the old rules, I
1467	thinkI would want to make sure that we could
1468	Mr. {Whitfield.} But you don't have a dollar amount for
1469	that?
1470	Secretary {Burwell.} NotI don't know. I will check

1471 with the Department if we do. The one thing that I think we-1472 \_ 1473 Mr. {Whitfield.} You would think that you all would 1474 definitely know that, because that, you know, just--we can 1475 all talk about the advantages and disadvantages of this 1476 program, but there is a big additional cost to the Federal 1477 Government, and we are simply asking--I am asking what is 1478 that total dollar amount incurred? 1479 Secretary {Burwell.} I think the question that I am not 1480 sure is how one breaks out the actual number from expansion. 1481 Because when people come through --1482 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, let me ask you this guestion--1483 Secretary {Burwell.} --that is where--1484 Mr. {Whitfield.} --at what year does--the states were 1485 encouraged to expand Medicaid, which is fine, because the 1486 Federal Government is picking up more of that dollar amount. 1487 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum. 1488 Mr. {Whitfield.} But at some point in the future the 1489 Federal Government is not going to be picking up those 1490 additional costs. What year is that? 1491 Secretary {Burwell.} What year that is is--we never go

1492 below--the Federal Government never goes below a 90 percent 1493 of the payment of the additional, so--and that is--1494 Mr. {Whitfield.} Until when? Secretary {Burwell.} --2020 is--1495 Mr. {Whitfield.} 2020? 1496 1497 Secretary {Burwell.} And so 2016 is the year through 1498 which there is 100 percent. 1499 Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay. 1500 Secretary {Burwell.} And in your own state--1501 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, do you have any projected cost 1502 over that period of time for the Federal--1503 Secretary {Burwell.} We do have those incorporated in 1504 our budget. But one of the things, in terms of these cost 1505 issues, that I think are important in the State of Kentucky--Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay. Well, that is okay. Listen, 1506 1507 you can't answer the question, but I appreciate it anyway. Let me ask you this. I am--I noticed that you all made \$2.5 1508 1509 billion in loans in the co-ops, and Kentucky has a good co-op 1510 program as well. We sent a letter last year, and we were 1511 concerned about the solvency of some of these co-ops. And the Federal Government, as I said, has loaned \$2.5 billion. 1512

1513	We now see that in Iowa and Nebraska, those co-ops are in
1514	bankruptcy. Have you all done any analysis to projectare
1515	there other states that there is a chance that these co-ops
1516	will go into bankruptcy? Are you looking at that?
1517	Secretary {Burwell.} We are looking at the co-ops. The
1518	one thing I think is very important to note is the cuts, the
1519	deep cuts in the funding for co-ops. When the program was
1520	originally designed, and the passage of the Affordable Care
1521	Act occurred, the amount of money for the co-ops to do the
1522	loans, and the loans that states like Iowa felt would have
1523	made a difference, at the end, because those monies were cut,
1524	they were cut as part of sequestration. They were cut in
1525	'12, they were cut in '11, they were cut in '13.
1526	Mr. {Whitfield.} So are you saying the bankruptcy
1527	occurred because of sequestration?
1528	Secretary {Burwell.} What I am saying is that, had we
1529	had more funding in order to provide the additional loans to
1530	the co-ops, it could have made a difference. With regard to
1531	the fundamental of your question, which was are we looking at
1532	the co-ops? And there are two things that we want to do,
1533	understand whether they are stable, and then the second is

1534 where we can provide technical assistance. 1535 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, those questions that you 1536 couldn't answer, or were not familiar with, I do hope that 1537 you will get back with us with those answers soon. 1538 Secretary {Burwell.} Be happy--Mr. {Whitfield.} Within 7 days, if possible. Thank 1539 1540 you. 1541 Secretary {Burwell.} I will--1542 Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you. 1543 Secretary {Burwell.} --want to make sure that--we will get back as quickly as --1544 1545 Mr. {Whitfield.} Because I have got to be back at that 1546 Rotary Club next week. 1547 Secretary {Burwell.} As a neighboring state, I 1548 appreciate that. Mr. {Pitts.} Gentleman yields back. Chair recognizes 1549 1550 gentlelady from Florida, Ms. Castor, 5 minutes. 1551 Ms. {Castor.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Madam 1552 Secretary, thank you again for--on behalf of the 1.6 million 1553 Floridians that were able to buy affordable health insurance in our exchange. I will give you due credit, and everyone at 1554

HHS, but I think the real credit goes to our terrific navigators that were on the ground, hospitals across the State of Florida, community health centers, and family members that probably put in a good word for their sons and daughters, or aunts and uncles, to sign up. You probably want to give them a pat on the back yourself this morning. I encourage you to do that.

1562 Secretary {Burwell.} I do. I want to express 1563 appreciation. I have seen the local stakeholders, and met 1564 with them across this country, and it was the communities coming together, it was individuals, it was people in the 1565 1566 community health centers, as was mentioned, it was the 1567 businesspeople, it was everyone. When I would visit, the hospitals would be there, everyone would be around the table 1568 1569 working on this issue together, and it was that kind of work-1570 -and then the individuals that I visited--

1571 Ms. {Castor.} Okay.

1572 Secretary {Burwell.} --on Second Sunday in Texas--1573 actually was given the opportunity to speak at one of the 1574 churches. And it was all of that coming together to give 1575 this information to people so that they could make choices,

1576 and have that financial and health security.

1577 Ms. {Castor.} So, in Florida, we have a very

1578 competitive marketplace as well. Consumers could choose from 1579 14 different issuers in the marketplace this year. That was 1580 up from last year, where we had 11. And Florida consumers 1581 could choose from an average of 42 health plans in their 1582 county for 2015 coverage.

1583 So with 1.6 million now enrolled, it really demonstrates 1584 the high stakes involved with the Supreme Court case that the 1585 Court will hear next week. I cannot imagine that the Court 1586 would rule to take that away from over a million and a half 1587 Floridians, and then millions more all across the country. 1588 And just like Representative Engel said, I was here during 1589 the hearings in advance of the Affordable Care Act, the 1590 adoption, during the markup, during the amendment process, 1591 during negotiations with the United States Senate. Never in 1592 those discussions was there any dichotomy between a state 1593 exchange, and a Federal exchange, and the availability of tax credits. Have you seen any evidence to the contrary, in your 1594 1595 review of the record, and the case that is before the Supreme 1596 Court?

Secretary {Burwell.} With regard--I would let the Justice Department, who has reviewed everything--but the thing that I agree with is we don't think that that was--we just don't believe that that is what the law says, or what was intended by the law either.

1602 Ms. {Castor.} Yeah, and I can say straightforwardly, as 1603 a member of this committee, what the legislative intent was, 1604 and it was for those tax credits to be available to every 1605 American, no matter if they are in the state marketplace or a 1606 Federal marketplace. But I would say if the Court rules otherwise, they are going to create chaos, and they are going 1607 to strike right at the heart of the economic security of so 1608 1609 many of my neighbors in Florida, and many Americans. So I 1610 know that they will study the legislative intent, and I hope I--they rule the right way, and we don't have the address 1611 1612 that chaotic situation.

But I think, with the Affordable Care Act, the real untold story is what has happened to people who have insurance, because I can cheer on the million and a half Floridians that now have it, but most of my neighbors already had insurance, private insurance or Medicare, and I noticed

1618 some more good news that was announced this week for my 1619 neighbors that rely on Medicare. Just in Florida alone, 1620 Floridians have saved almost a billion dollars since 2010 1621 because of the ACA's donut hole discount. Almost 350,000 1622 beneficiaries saw savings in 2014, to the tune of about \$300 1623 million last year. The average discount per beneficiary was 1624 \$884.

1625 Then, for private insurance--how come we haven't been 1626 able to get the word out on how much better an insurance 1627 policy is that a consumer can't be kicked off if they get sick? In Florida alone, over 200,000 young adults can stay 1628 on their parents' plan. Floridians have received millions of 1629 1630 dollars in rebates because the law says, you have new rights 1631 and protections, and insurance companies cannot spend that 1632 money on profits. It has to go to--it can't spend the 1633 profits on salaries and excessive profits. It has to go to health care. How come--what else can the administration do 1634 1635 to tell this good news story?

1636 Secretary {Burwell.} I think we can do a better job of 1637 making sure people do know. And another area is the issue of 1638 preventative care, and the importance of the fact that your

1639 childhood visits and those things are no longer--require co-1640 pays or cost sharing, in terms of when you go in for that, or 1641 measles, an important thing, I think, right now, and a timely 1642 thing. And so I think we need to do a better job of making 1643 sure people know about those improvements to quality. 1644 Ms. {Castor.} Thank you. I yield back. 1645 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady. Now 1646 recognize the gentleman from Illinois, Mr. Shimkus, 5 minutes 1647 for questions. 1648 Mr. {Shimkus.} Thank you. Secretary Burwell, thank you. I talked to your staff prior. I appreciate your 1649 1650 outreach, trying to call. It was a crazy day, and I talked to them before you--1651 1652 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you. 1653 Mr. {Shimkus.} --came to the table. And I do have 1654 great respect for that. But I also want to make sure that, 1655 you know, this happy clap talk about how great health care 1656 is, and the Affordable Care Act, is moderated by real

1657 concerns out there.

1658 Remember, the bill that passed, signed into law, we had 1659 nothing to do with on the House side. It was a Senate health

1660 bill that came over to us that we passed, all right? So that 1661 is the health care law that we have today, and on--the 1662 language of the law is pretty clear, and I am concerned also 1663 that the Supreme Court will rule that the Federal exchanges 1664 and states are not authorized to receive subsidies, and we 1665 just need--we need to be prepared for that here, and I would 1666 hope the Administration would be too.

I promised two ladies from my Congressional district that I would mention their names. Angie Esker from Teutopolis, who is pro-life, a strong family, and she cannot buy a policy that does not have abortion coverage. And for millions of Americans, this is a really important issue, and she--this is an emotional--just like on the other side, you know how this debate is.

1674 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

1675 Mr. {Shimkus.} And I think part of the agreement from 1676 some of my pro-life Democrats was to ensure that that option 1677 would be available--

1678 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

1679 Mr. {Shimkus.} --and it is just not for her. The other 1680 one is Debbie McKinney-Huff from a town called Highland. She

1681 is a Democrat. Her premiums went up astronomically last 1682 year. This year they have gone up another \$2,000, with a 1683 \$10,000 deductible, and she can't afford it. So for all the 1684 happy dances, there are challenges out there that--we don't 1685 do our constituents service if we don't understand that there 1686 are problems that have to be resolved. There are some budget 1687 requests that I want to talk about, so I am going to move 1688 forward, but I just put that in the record.

1689 I am a big supporter of Medicare Advantage. You know, I 1690 was here when we passed it. Seniors didn't have any 1691 prescription drug coverage. It has been very successful, it is very popular. The budget request makes a reduction again 1692 1693 in that, where the enrollment is going up, favorable are 1694 high, and 670,000 people weren't able to access Medicaid 1695 Advantage. And if you are from rural parts of this country, that option is very limited, if not--or it doesn't exist. So 1696 I would ask that we look at that, so that seniors who want to 1697 have this option can choose that. And our concern is your 1698 1699 budget hurts the ability for that to happen.

1700 Secretary {Burwell.} So with regard to the first issue, 1701 in terms of your two constituents, want to make sure we

1702	understand that. On the issue of the question of abortion,
1703	and that
1704	Mr. {Shimkus.} Well, let us just answer this question,
1705	because I have got to keep more on budget
1706	Secretary {Burwell.}Medicare Advantage issue.
1707	Mr. {Shimkus.} Thank you.
1708	Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to that, we want to
1709	make surethe program during the period of changes that we
1710	have had, we have seen a large increase in the number of
1711	people in Medicare Advantage plans. I want to understand
1712	your 670, because 99 percent of beneficiaries have access to
1713	MA plans, and there may be something, and so I would like to
1714	understand that 670 better.
1715	The third thing is that we know that those number of
1716	plans quality that have gone from four stars, you know, gone
1717	to the higher ratings, we have offered 67 percent in the two
1718	highest rating categories, 17 percent to 67 percent, so we
1719	are improving quality. More people are coming in the system,
1720	and there is premium control, so I want to understand the
1721	670. We want to make sure, and are listening. We alter our
1722	plans as we hear concerns. That is why I want to understand

1723 that 670, because we believe that we can continue making 1724 these changes. It comes back to some of the points the 1725 Chairman raised with regard to deficits, and making sure 1726 that--

1727 Mr. {Shimkus.} Okay.

Secretary {Burwell.} --we are being responsible.
MedPAC and the GAO have recommended that there is up-coding,
and we need to work on it.

1731 Mr. {Shimkus.} Okay. Thank you. Are you aware of any 1732 efforts by FDA to accelerate the next round of user fee 1733 negotiations? And our concern is, if they are, and they are 1734 not doing due diligence about the fees and the return on 1735 investment, we would hope that they would not accelerate it 1736 until due diligence is done.

1737 And the last thing I wanted to address was the Biologics 1738 Price Competition and Innovation Act. Stakeholders have to 1739 be involved in that. That is really part of the 21st Century 1740 Cures debate, not just having bureaucrats or panels, but 1741 bringing patients, bringing physicians, bringing in alike--1742 and our concern is that is not happening on this--on the 1743 Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act, and those

1744 concerns.

1745 So if you would take that for suggestions, and if you 1746 want to come back and follow up on a lot of these issues, we 1747 would be happy to talk with you again. I do appreciate you 1748 reaching out personally, and I look forward to working with 1749 you.

1750 Secretary {Burwell.} I do appreciate this issue of 1751 stakeholder input. We think it is important to making sure 1752 we get this right.

1753 Mr. {Shimkus.} Thank you very much.

Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Chair now
recognizes gentlelady from California, Ms. Matsui, for 5
minutes for questions.

Ms. {Matsui.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Secretary Burwell, thank you for being here. I want to talk about mental health. When we think about health, we need to consider the whole person. Mental health has historically taken a back seat to physical health, but the head is connected to the body, and one affects the other.

1763 I have been working for years with my colleagues on both 1764 sides of the aisle, and both sides of the Capitol, to make

1765 changes to fix our broken mental health system. And as you 1766 know, a demonstration project based on the Excellence in Mental Health Act, that I co-authored with my colleague here, 1767 1768 Congressman Lance, into law last year, and I look forward to 1769 working with you as--and the Administrator to make sure this 1770 is implemented properly, and in a way that states can 1771 demonstrate success. 1772 I also look forward to working with you to make further 1773 changes and improve our mental health system. I was pleased 1774 to see that the budget will eliminate Medicare's 190 day 1775 mental health services more in line--and keep that more in 1776 line with physical, for which no limit exists. Can you 1777 briefly talk about that policy, and how it would benefit 1778 seniors and people with disabilities who need psychiatric

1779 services?

Secretary {Burwell.} The--our overall approach in the mental health space, and it is one that we consider a priority, is to try and get, in terms of both care and payment, to parity with how we think about other health issues. And there are steps that we are taking throughout the budget, and whether it is the implementation of the piece

1786 of legislation that you referred to, and the issue that your 1787 colleague just raised about stakeholder engagement, and 1788 making sure we are getting that input as we implement. So we 1789 are implementing, and thinking about the policies to promote 1790 behavioral and mental health through our payment system, and 1791 making sure that there is parity. That seems to be something 1792 that is been important.

1793 We are trying to focus on access, because many people--1794 the question of access to the right types of providers, in 1795 terms of behavioral health, that is something you see in some 1796 of our now is the time budgeting work, in terms of making 1797 sure that SAMSA and others are ensuring that we have 1798 providers. And then there is access, and that is an issue 1799 for all people of all ages, but especially young people 1800 getting the access that they need.

1801 So as we think about all the pieces working together, 1802 about the funding, about the access, and then that there are 1803 providers that can provide.

1804 Ms. {Matsui.} I appreciate that, and as we move 1805 forward, there is a continuum of mental health issues that we 1806 need to address. And it is a complicated issue, and we would

1807 certainly like to work with you as we move forward on that. 1808 And now I would also like to talk about seniors, because 1809 that is a special interest area of mine too. And, as we 1810 consider changes to the Medicare program, our first priority 1811 should always be seniors, especially knowing that seniors 1812 spend about 14 percent of their household income on health 1813 care costs, compared to five percent--households who do not 1814 have a Medicare beneficiary. And we need to find ways to 1815 save money in the Medicare program, and we have been, but not 1816 by cutting benefits, but by re-aligning incentives to improve 1817 outcomes in patient care. If a senior gets the right care at 1818 the right time, it is not only better for the senior, but 1819 also saves the system a lot of money.

Now, I appreciate some of the provisions in the budget, and I would like to discuss these further with you. The budget seeks to save money by restoring drug rebates for the dual-eligible population on Medicare. Secretary Burwell, can you please elaborate on that?

1825 Secretary {Burwell.} In terms of the dual-eligible--1826 Ms. {Matsui.} Yes, right. The drug rebates for dual-1827 eligible population.

1828 Secretary {Burwell.} One of the things that--the dual-1829 eligible population has two elements to it. Is both a very 1830 complicated population--

1831 Ms. {Matsui.} Um-hum.

Secretary {Burwell.} --because they are people who have 1832 1833 a number of different conditions that are being treated in 1834 different ways. It is also a very expensive population. And 1835 as we work to improve both the quality and affordability of 1836 the care, that is what we are trying to do, as we look at 1837 these proposals. And it is all a part of the broader issue of delivery system reform, which you touched on a little bit, 1838 1839 and we have set out clear goals.

1840 For the first time ever we have said that in the area of 1841 Medicare, that by 2016 we have set a goal that 30 percent of 1842 all payments will be in different payment systems, where we are not paying for volume, but paying for value. And as a 1843 1844 part of--we move forward to this change system, we want to do 1845 that. That is about price, but it is also about quality, and 1846 this is a proposal that we are trying to move forward on 1847 both.

1848 Ms. {Matsui.} And I know that this is going to be

1849 difficult because there are areas where you have to look at 1850 the budget, but as we look at this, we have to also look at 1851 the seniors. And that is really why, when we look at this--I 1852 know you seek to increase the skin in the game for Medicare 1853 beneficiaries, however, I would argue that seniors already 1854 have a lot skin in the game, and Medicare, and the additional 1855 cost sharing, will not bring down costs in the program. 1856 And as you know, as they have increased costs, you look 1857 at--most of them are supported by Social Security, and then 1858 that--what they do is shift over the costs to pay for their health care from Social Security. So I think it is something 1859 1860 we really have to look at more holistically. So thank you 1861 very much for everything that you are doing. 1862 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you. 1863 Ms. {Matsui.} Yield back. 1864 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady, now 1865 recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Dr. Murphy, 5

1866 minutes for questions.

1867 Mr. {Murphy.} Welcome here, Madam Secretary. We 1868 appreciate you being here. I also want to associate myself 1869 with the comments of my friend, Ms. Matsui of California,

1870 about mental health, and look forward to working with you on 1871 those things. 1872 In a related area, we have had a number of hearings here 1873 regarding mental health, and among them has been the 1874 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration. We 1875 have asked them repeatedly for information over almost a year 1876 for getting some records. Chairman Upton and I have asked 1877 for these things. We have not gotten those documents, and we 1878 are concerned about their delays. I wonder if you could help 1879 us get some assurance that we will get those documents from 1880 SAMSA? Secretary {Burwell.} As you and I had the opportunity 1881 1882 to discuss, this is something that we are working on, and I 1883 am hopeful that very soon you will have some of those 1884 documents, and we will continue to work with you on it. 1885 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. I appreciate your teamwork on 1886 this. On another question, when we passed the SGR patch, I 1887 think it was last year, there was also a demo project, which 1888 is what Ms. Matsui was also referring to, for certified 1889 community behavioral health clinics to improve access. 1890 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

1891 Mr. {Murphy.} Now, as part of this, we also attached 1892 something for AOT, assisted outpatient treatment, for 1893 counties and communities to also have access to some grants 1894 to facilitate that, as long as they also were--so those 1895 community behavioral health clinics would get those--to also 1896 help for those who are cycling through with histories of 1897 violence, prison, homelessness, et cetera. Those--that small 1898 one percent of one percent that are persistent chronically 1899 mentally ill going through the system.

1900 One of the things I want to make sure and find out from 1901 you is--the way this was designed is to make sure that only 1902 those counties who really have AOT would be eligible for 1903 those grant programs, if they are going to attach those to 1904 those community health centers. Is that something you are 1905 aware of, and can you work with us to make sure that those 1906 grant programs are available in that sense?

1907 Secretary {Burwell.} We do want to work with you on 1908 that, and yes, we are working on that, and would like to work 1909 with you to make sure that we do have those standards in--1910 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Another one has to do with a 1911 program that was discussed by SAMSA which is called iCare,

1912 which is to help with those going into emergency rooms--

1913 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

1914 Mr. {Murphy.} --to deal with those in crisis. One of 1915 the concerns I have, and certainly we have seen headlines, 1916 some tragic, sad cases, such as that with the Virginia 1917 Senator, Creigh Deeds, his son Gus. The problem is that 1918 there are thousands this occurs in this country every year, 1919 where there just simply aren't enough psychiatric hospital 1920 beds, and so people languish in emergency rooms, often in a 1921 five point tie-down, and given chemical sedatives until a 1922 room opens up. It could be hours, or days, or weeks, in some 1923 cases. We wouldn't have this problem if we had more psych 1924 beds.

1925 And so I am hoping that, since the demand for 1926 psychiatric beds exceeds the current supply of inpatient 1927 psychiatric beds, that is something else you can work with us 1928 in legislation to say, we ought to have a place for those in 1929 crisis to get stabilized, not go to jail, not sit in a jail 1930 cell and languish there, but--or sit in an emergency room, 1931 but work with us on that. Would you be willing to work with 1932 us on that too?

1933 Secretary {Burwell.} Yes, looking--

1934 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

1935 Secretary {Burwell.} --forward to that.

Mr. {Murphy.} And another issue, then, related to the assisted outpatient treatment grant program as a stand-alone thing, I want to show you--I think I have a poster here of--I just want to show you some of the outcome measures. This comes out of a Duke University study.

1941 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

1942 Mr. {Murphy.} And when you have assisted outpatient 1943 treatment, so working with someone from the court, or Judge, 1944 working with a person, saying, you need to stay in treatment 1945 for a number of months, outpatient treatment, not inpatient, 1946 take your medication, see this person to report back, like with the mental health court or something, they saw an 87 1947 percent reduction in incarcerations, an 83 percent reduction 1948 1949 in arrests, 77 percent reduction in psychiatric inpatient 1950 hospitalizations, and a 33 percent reduction in ER 1951 hospitalizations. So I just want to show you that too. And, 1952 by the way, the costs are cut in half for these folks too. 1953 But there is one that -- in working with the issues of CBO

1954 scoring, et cetera, we are really going to have to, I think, 1955 team up together on this, and say there ought to be some 1956 options for people to be in outpatient care. And this is 1957 psychiatry, psychology, peer support, social workers, people 1958 helping with job training, housing, all those things 1959 together, but there has to be this coordination of programs. 1960 You will work with us on this too?

1961 Secretary {Burwell.} Well--and I think it is part of 1962 the broader issue of delivery system reform, and how we 1963 deliver quality. You are focused in a very important area, 1964 in mental health. When we look at diabetes, in the clinics 1965 that I have visited across the country, when we get these 1966 adherence numbers up, and people participating, and that 1967 usually has to do with coordinated care, and the type of 1968 interaction and communication you are talking about, we get 1969 adherence, we get less of the disease or problem, and we get 1970 lower costs because the things that happen when we have the 1971 bad things that go wrong when people aren't adhering. 1972 Mr. {Murphy.} Yes, it is going to require that

1973 different view of some things. And I think you may be
1974 familiar with the hearing we had in the Oversight Committee

1975 two weeks ago, where a GAO report said--identified--I was 1976 amazed by this, 112 Federal agencies and programs, scattered 1977 across eight departments, that deal with mental illness. 1978 They said the interagency coordination program supporting 1979 individuals with serious mental illness is lacking. It was, 1980 to me, a really dizzying and sad description of the process 1981 here. I hope you will also work with us in--as we work to 1982 coordinate those programs. And can I have that assurance 1983 from you as well? 1984 Secretary {Burwell.} We will, and we do coordinate. We coordinate them across the overarching issue, and then within 1985 1986 their areas, like veterans' homelessness, and the issues that 1987 relate. And so I want to have the conversation about how we 1988 think about we--where we can strengthen those things. 1989 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Let us continue work with 1990 that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

1991 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 1992 the gentleman from Oregon, Mr. Schrader, 5 minutes for

1993 questions.

1994 Mr. {Schrader.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for 1995 being here, Madam Secretary.

1996 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you.

1997 Mr. {Schrader.} Last year health care spending grew at 1998 the slowest rate on record since 1960. Health care price 1999 inflation is at its lowest rate in 50 years, and the ACA's 2000 gotten a lot of attribution by CBO for making a big 2001 difference in that result. Have you seen Republican 2002 legislative language that would give us that same result? 2003 Secretary {Burwell.} We haven't seen a proposal that 2004 would continue us on our path with regard to some of the 2005 changes we have put in place. 2006 Mr. {Schrader.} Seniors have also benefitted 2007 dramatically from the ACA. Prescription drug costs are a big

2008 issue for them.

2009 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

2010 Mr. {Schrader.} Eight million--over eight million 2011 seniors have actually benefitted from, and saved, over \$11 2012 billion, as I understand it, on prescription drugs since the 2013 enactment of the ACA. Is there a Republican proposal out 2014 there that does a similar thing?

2015 Secretary {Burwell.} We haven't seen a proposal about--2016 that would take care of this issue, the donut hole. And,

2017 actually, on Tuesday we actually were able to update our 2018 numbers in that space, and it is now \$15 billion in terms of 2019 the savings. And on average in the country, that is about 2020 \$1,600 per--

2021 Mr. {Schrader.} I find that ironic, that my colleagues 2022 on the other side of the aisle keep asking for a contingency 2023 plan from the Administration on this bogus lawsuit, and yet, 2024 you know, as a firm believer in Article I, legislative 2025 supremacy, with all due respect, Madam Secretary, I think it 2026 is our responsibility, and the majority party controls both 2027 chambers, where the heck is their contingency plan? That is 2028 a rhetorical question, Madam Secretary.

2029 One of the things that is been really good, I think, in 2030 my state is the expansion of the Affordable Care Act into the 2031 Medicaid population and into the private sector. We have had 2032 some unqualified success. Emergency room visits are down, 2033 like, 21 percent. We have actually gotten hospital 2034 admissions, complications from diabetes alone down nine 2035 percent, not to mention other diseases. COPD, Chronic 2036 Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, hospital stays down almost 50 percent. Are you getting any of the same--those same type of 2037

2038 results from other states? What--could you--

2039 Secretary {Burwell.} So we are, and recently, actually, in the last 2 weeks, out of the State of Kentucky, we have 2040 2041 seen a piece of analysis done by the University of Louisville 2042 in Deloitte, and that piece of legislation--that piece of 2043 analysis showed they did it at the beginning of the 2044 expansion, and then they did the analysis now. And what the 2045 analysis showed is that the expansion will contribute to 2046 40,000 jobs in the State of Kentucky, and will contribute to 2047 their GDP by \$30 billion. And that is the period to 2021, so 2048 that is over a period of time. But we are starting to see both the economic and job impacts, as well as some of the 2049 2050 health impacts that you were describing.

2051 Mr. {Schrader.} Well, contrary to popular demagoquery 2052 on right-wing radio and TV, this is a marketplace system we 2053 set up. Federal Government is the facilitator in that. The 2054 state--hopefully those--some of the state exchanges are a 2055 facilitator. Like everyone, I think, here, we all believe in 2056 the power of marketplace competition. My own state, for 2057 instance, over the last year, instead of seeing the double digit increases in insurance premiums on average, ours 2058

2059 actually stayed level, or decreased slightly.

That, to me, is a key indicator for the, you know, working or non-working of the Affordable Care Act. Our uninsured rate in Oregon went down 63 percent. I have had testimonials from hospitals and doctors about how people actually have health care access at this point in time. Could you talk about what you see nationally in increased competition--

2067 Secretary {Burwell.} So with regard to the issue of 2068 increased competition, we saw 25 percent more issuers come 2069 into the marketplace this year, and so more issuers means 2070 more plans and competition.

2071 Mr. {Schrader.} I mean, they wouldn't be doing this if 2072 they weren't making some money at this, and the program 2073 wasn't working, Madam Secretary.

2074 Secretary {Burwell.} And so--and also, with regard to 2075 the issue of competition, what we know is, in many plans at--2076 that are employer-based plans, people do not come in and 2077 shop. They just automatically re-enroll. And, as you know, 2078 we had that as part of the marketplace this year. But we 2079 know that, actually, the majority of people came in and

2080 shopped. And that, I think, is related to the competition, 2081 and it is related to a consumer who wants to make the best 2082 choice. And that choice, sometimes based on benefit, that 2083 choice sometimes based on cost, and cost has a number of 2084 different elements, whether that is premium or deductible.

2085 Mr. {Schrader.} Correct.

2086 Secretary {Burwell.} So we are seeing more players come 2087 in, and we are also seeing the consumer behave in a way that 2088 is indicative that they want that competition and shopping.

2089 Mr. {Schrader.} I would like to call out some kudos on 2090 the GME increase in the budget, the money you put in for 2091 Medicare appeals. Back home we do a lot of work, of course, 2092 with people that are having trouble navigating the system big 2093 time, and the investment in primary care docs. I think that 2094 is important.

2095 Quick little comment, the only thing I am a little 2096 concerned about is if we are going for bundled payments and 2097 increased competition, why we are hammering on the Medicare 2098 Advantage plans a little bit?

2099 Secretary {Burwell.} As I mentioned to your colleague, 2100 I think what we are trying to do is balance making sure that

2101 those plans are good and strong, and we have seen that over 2102 the period of the changes we have done. We try and do the changes in a measured way that gets to things that actually 2103 2104 have to do with what we believe is strong representation of 2105 the taxpayer, in terms of places where we believe there are 2106 issues, like up-coding, that is occurring, and that MedPAC 2107 has articulated those, and others. We always want to listen 2108 and hear, and we want to watch carefully if we are seeing 2109 problems that occur with the changes, and to date, we 2110 haven't.

2111 Mr. {Schrader.} Thank you, and I yield back.

2112 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 2113 the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Lance, 5 minutes for 2114 questions.

2115 Mr. {Lance.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Madam Secretary, 2116 regarding King vs. Burwell, I understand what you have said, 2117 that there can be no administrative action should the 2118 Plaintiff win the case. You have stated that explicitly, and 2119 repeatedly, and that this not my question. My question 2120 relates back to the Chairman, who said in his opening line of 2121 questioning, that we have a specific source within your

2122	department that there is a document related to what HHS might
2123	do, should the Supreme Court rule against the Administration.
2124	I understand that your point of view is that there can be no
2125	administrative action. You have stated that explicitly. Are
2126	you aware of any such document? And I am not asking you
2127	about your position on administrative action. I am asking
2128	about a document in this regard.
2129	Secretary {Burwell.} Congressman, I wouldif there is
2130	this document, and you know of it, I would certainly like to
2131	know of the document, because I don't have knowledge of a 100
2132	page
2133	Mr. {Lance.} I didn't say 100 page, now did I?
2134	Secretary {Burwell.} Sorry.
2135	Mr. {Lance.} I just said a document.
2136	Secretary {Burwell.}Chairman
2137	Mr. {Lance.} I don't know how many pages it is. You
2138	are not aware of any document?
2139	Secretary {Burwell.} What II haveas I have said,
2140	there isn't administrative action
2141	Mr. {Lance.} Yes, I don'tI have made that clear that
2142	I understand your point of view on that. Is there a document
2143 as to a reaction from HHS should the case be won by the 2144 Plaintiff in the Supreme Court? 2145 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to a reaction, as I 2146 said--because I have articulated that--I want to be careful, 2147 because I have articulated--2148 Mr. {Lance.} As I have tried to be careful. 2149 Secretary {Burwell.} --the problems with regard to the 2150 question of what will happen, we know how many people are in 2151 the marketplace, how many--2152 Mr. {Lance.} Yes. That is filibustering. I understand that. I am asking whether there is any document, we have a 2153 2154 source indicating there is a document, as to what might be 2155 the response from HHS? 2156 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not familiar with the 2157 document you are referring to. 2158 Mr. {Lance.} And let me say that a former CMS administrator, Tom Scully of, I believe, the Bush 2159 2160 Administration has said, of course they have a document. He 2161 said, of course they have one, I think he referred to a 2162 document, they should all resign if they don't. I would hope that your department, Madam Secretary, would have some sort 2163

2164 of contingency plan should the Court rule for Plaintiff. Do 2165 you believe that the suit is bogus? 2166 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the lawsuit, as I 2167 said, what I believe is that the law is clear--2168 Mr. {Lance.} Yes, I understand that. Do you believe 2169 the suit is bogus? 2170 Secretary {Burwell.} That is a characterization. I--my 2171 point about the suit is--what I believe is that we hold the 2172 right position, and that our position--2173 Mr. {Lance.} Yes, I understand that, and it will be 2174 argued next week, and a decision will be made by the end of 2175 June. Formerly, when I asked questions about this, not from 2176 you, but regarding prior officials, there was the impression 2177 that it was a frivolous suit. Do you believe the suit is 2178 frivolous or bogus? Secretary {Burwell.} What I believe is that we should 2179 2180 continue making progress for the American people on three 2181 things that the Affordable Care Act--2182 Mr. {Lance.} Yes, I am aware of that. Do you believe 2183 the suit is--2184 Secretary {Burwell.} --access--

2185 Mr. {Lance.} --frivolous or bogus?

2186 Secretary {Burwell.} May I finish, Congressman? I

2187 believe that we, as the Executive Branch and the Legislative

2188 Branch, should be working together on three things we agree

2189 with. That is affordability, access, and quality.

2190 Mr. {Lance.} I agree with all--

2191 Secretary {Burwell.} What I would--and what I would 2192 hope that we can do is build on the progress that we have

2193 seen. And that progress is that 11.4 million people--

2194 Mr. {Lance.} Reclaiming my time, do you believe that

2195 the Supreme Court is likely rule unanimously on this

2196 decision?

2197 Secretary {Burwell.} As I have indicated, we believe 2198 that the Court will rule in our favor.

2199 Mr. {Lance.} Um-hum. Do you believe the suit is bogus 2200 or frivolous?

2201 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to characterization, 2202 what I think is valuable is that we believe that our position 2203 is the position that will stand, and that we believe we are 2204 right. The people in the State of New Jersey should not have 2205 their subsidies taken away because they do or don't have a

2206 marketplace, when people right across the border in New York 2207 will get those--2208 Mr. {Lance.} I believe, Madam Secretary, in equal 2209 justice under law, as is inscribed across the street on the 2210 Supreme Court building. I believe this is a very serious 2211 case. I think it is closely contested. Under no 2212 circumstances do I believe that Plaintiff will win nine to 2213 nothing. I think there are good arguments on both sides. I 2214 have read the briefs, all of the briefs. I have read the 2215 Solicitor General's brief. I have read the brief of the 2216 Plaintiff. I think it is a very serious case, and you and I 2217 may disagree on the case. I respect that, and I understand 2218 that. 2219 It is frustrating to me that, here in Washington, there 2220 cannot be an intellectual argument as to pros and cons, and I 2221 certainly would encourage the Administration to have a 2222 contingency plan, and to work with us in Congress, including 2223 the Republican majority in both the House and the Senate, 2224 should the Court rule for Plaintiff. Thank you, Mr. 2225 Chairman. Secretary {Burwell.} Congressman, with regard to the 2226

2227 question of our authorities, what you just ended with was the 2228 issue of the legislation, and I want to make sure that I 2229 touch on that. As we have said all along, we are willing, 2230 and look forward to working with the Congress on any 2231 legislation that would work on those three things we talked about, affordability, access, and quality, and preserves the 2232 2233 economy, and supports working middle class. That is how we 2234 will look at legislation. We want to do that now, and we 2235 want to do that in any--

2236 Mr. {Lance.} And I was part of a group that had an 2237 alternative piece of legislation that didn't see the light of 2238 day put forth by the Tuesday lunch group, of whom I am a 2239 member of that group. It was different from the Affordable 2240 Care Act, but it was an alternative piece of legislation. Of 2241 course, it didn't see the light of day in any way, shape, or 2242 form in 2009 and 2010. Thank you, Mr.--

2243 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 2244 the gentleman from Massachusetts, Mr. Kennedy, 5 minutes for 2245 questions.

2246 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.2247 Madam Secretary, thank you very much for being here. You

2248 touched on, a moment ago, about legislation that you said you 2249 were eager to work with Democrats and Republicans on. Have 2250 you seen any such legislation? 2251 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to legislation that 2252 would promote and move forward on those three things, the 2253 issues of the -- making sure we are expanding that insured 2254 population, have not seen things that would work towards that 2255 Mr. {Kennedy.} Madam Secretary, are you aware of how 2256 many bills were passed and signed--or bills were passed by 2257 the 115th Congress? 2258 Secretary {Burwell.} I don't know the exact number. 2259 Mr. {Kennedy.} Give or take a few, 931, ballpark, sound

about right? Any idea on how many of those bills were signed into law? 296 sound about right? Any idea how many times in my first term in Congress we repealed all or part of the Affordable Care Act? 55 sound about right? Any idea how many times those were signed into law? None.

2265 Secretary {Burwell.} None.

2266 Mr. {Kennedy.} Are you aware of how many times we voted 2267 on some sort of replacement bill to the Affordable Care Act, 2268 that we voted to repeal 55 times, to provide Americans with

2269 quality affordable access and financial assistance to access 2270 to health care that they deserve? None. 2271 Secretary {Burwell.} I think the number is none. 2272 Mr. {Kennedy.} Okay. I would agree with you. So I 2273 think, given all of the discussion we have had over the 2274 course of the past several hours about contingencies, about 2275 other options, in the time that I have been in Congress, over 2276 55 times in my first term, including another time in my 2277 second term, to repeal all or part of the Affordable Care 2278 Act, and under the time that I have been here under 2279 Republican leadership, to not have a single bill that has 2280 seen the House floor to vote on an alternative to provide 2281 quality, affordable, accessible health care to millions of 2282 Americans, I would respectfully ask, as my colleagues have, 2283 for the Administration to work with Democrats and Republicans to work on any such legislation, should they decide to bring 2284 2285 that to the light of day.

2286 Secretary {Burwell.} And in our budget, I would just 2287 like to mention we actually do have a proposal to improve the 2288 small business provisions of the Affordable Care Act, to try 2289 and both simplify and make the tax credits better for small

2290 businesses. That is feedback we have received about that, 2291 and that is something that is included in our budget. 2292 Mr. {Kennedy.} Now, turning to a couple--I think--well, 2293 hopefully more substantive questions that I can get to with 2294 you, Madam Secretary, I was pleased to see that the 2295 Democratic CHIP reauthorization bill, that the President's 2296 budget was--included in the President's budget extended the 2297 Medicaid primary care payment increase. The rate of increase 2298 that was initially included in the ACA has been absolutely 2299 critical, and for the last 2 years, it has boosted payments 2300 to doctors who treat the most vulnerable populations, making 2301 access an attainable goal, not just an aspirational target. 2302 According to a recent report from the Urban Institute, however, the expiration of that payment bump at the end of 2303 2304 last year will result in Medicaid provider payments that are 2305 going to be cut on average of 43 percent, and over 50 percent 2306 in some states. The impact on wait times could be drastic 2307 and immediate. I was hoping, Madam Secretary, you might be able to comment on the importance of parity between Medicare 2308 2309 and Medicaid payment to our primary care providers, and when they have to choose between seeing some of most vulnerable 2310

2311 populations like seniors, pregnant women and children, why 2312 would there possibly be a reimbursement discrepancy? 2313 Secretary {Burwell.} So, I think, as you are 2314 indicating, why we have proposed the continuation of these 2315 payments is because we believe it is making a difference, and 2316 it is making a difference to the access and coverage that 2317 people are getting in the system. And so we have proposed it 2318 as a continuation, and we hope that that is something that 2319 the Congress will consider and support. 2320 Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you. The second topic that I want to touch on today, actually, my colleague, Mr. Murphy, 2321 2322 touched on it quite extensively in his comments, but it is 2323 about substance abuse and mental health. Back in 2324 Massachusetts, Madam Secretary, I see communities on the 2325 front lines of a growing and extraordinarily devastating 2326 opiate abuse crisis, and we are looking to the Federal 2327 Government for some support as prescription drug abuse, and a 2328 number of heroin overdoses, continue to mount. 2329 Madam Secretary, I was a prosecutor before I ran for

2330 office. I saw the impacts of this on a daily basis, not just 2331 in terms of addiction and people needing treatment, but in

2332 terms of property crimes, personal crimes for folks that are 2333 looking to try to find a way to get help, but the treatment 2334 options just aren't there. There are not enough doctors. 2335 There are not enough beds, as Mr. Murphy indicated. There are not enough wrap-around services. There are not enough 2336 2337 care. And I was hoping that you might be able to touch on 2338 the importance of actually creating these incentives through 2339 Medicaid largely, which is our largest mental health 2340 provider, to actually make sure that -- not just another grant 2341 program, but to make sure the incentives are in place to 2342 allow that marketplace to provide that care? 2343 Secretary {Burwell.} So the bad news is, as you 2344 indicate, there were 259 million prescriptions for 2345 painkillers, opioids, during 2012. That is more than one per 2346 adult in the Nation. That is the bad news. The good news is that I believe that there is bipartisan support for us to do 2347 2348 something, and I believe that that is both in the Executive 2349 and Legislative Branch here in Washington, D.C., as well as 2350 with the governors, who I met with over the weekend on this 2351 issue.

I think with regard to payment, it is an important

2353 place, but there are three fundamental things that we believe 2354 we need to work with the Congress and work with the governors 2355 to do. One is, in terms of the prescribing, that is at the 2356 root of much of the problem. We have seen progress in states like Florida, where they are watching the prescribing. The 2357 2358 plans that states can put in place to oversee that is an 2359 important part, but we have a part two. Second is the issue 2360 of things like--and access to those, which I think gets to 2361 some of the payment issues. And the third is making sure 2362 there is medical treatment, and I think that was the third 2363 part of what you were mentioning. Those three elements, I 2364 think, is--that is a basic agreed upon.

2365 And whether it is Senator Portman and Senator Widen, or 2366 Mr. Rogers, or--it is across the board. There is bipartisan 2367 support because states from Massachusetts to Kentucky, and 2368 West Virginia, my own home state, are suffering in 2369 devastating ways. And the one piece you didn't mention, 2370 which you, you know, is the economic impact. And, having 2371 come from a large employer like Walmart, what it means in 2372 terms of having an employee base that can pass a drug test. Mr. {Kennedy.} Thank you, Madam Secretary. 2373

2374 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you.

2375 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Chair will 2376 note that we have just been joined by a group of students 2377 from the Houston area. The Ranking Member has informed me--2378 you want to say anything, Gene?

Mr. {Green.} Mr. Chairman, I would just like to recognize a number of our chiropractic students from the Houston area, and Dr. Mossad, who actually retired as the president of our chiropractic college in Pasadena, Texas. And I invited them last night because I wanted to show how the health care policy is made in the health care subcommittee. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2386 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank you. You are certainly welcome to 2387 be here. And the Chair now recognize the gentleman from 2388 Virginia, Mr. Griffith, 5 minutes for questions.

2389 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 2390 that. Appreciate the students being here. We may have some 2391 disagreements today, but I will tell you that the Ranking 2392 Member, Mr. Green, and I worked very hard on a health care 2393 bill that was signed into law last year, so no matter what 2394 you may see today, we do get along more often than the press

2395 lets you know. All right.

2396 That being said, Madam Secretary, in response to a 2397 previous question, you indicated you weren't aware of any of 2398 the laws being signed in. I am sitting here with a CRS 2399 report, Congressional Research Service, indicating that there 2400 are 12 bills that repealed parts of Obamacare that were, in 2401 fact, signed into law. You are not aware of that, is that 2402 correct, in relationship to your previous answer? 2403 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the specifics of 2404 the answer, those were repeal questions, I thought. 2405 Mr. {Griffith.} Yes, and this was part--2406 Secretary {Burwell.} Full repeal. 2407 Mr. {Griffith.} He said--Secretary {Burwell.} Full repeal was--2408 2409 Mr. {Griffith.} He said full or a part. So you were 2410 mistaken, and weren't aware of these 12 that were partially 2411 repeals? 2412 Secretary {Burwell.} I was referring to the issue of 2413 full repeal. 2414 Mr. {Griffith.} But you are aware of these? Secretary {Burwell.} With regard--I would have to look 2415

```
2416 and see--
2417 Mr. {Griffith.} Okay. And if I could just have this
2418 entered into the record, I would appreciate--
2419 Mr. {Pitts.} Without objection, so ordered.
2420 [The information follows:]
```

2422 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you, Mr. Chair. Also, are you 2423 familiar with my H.R. 130? 2424 Secretary {Burwell.} Apologize, don't know what that bill is. Maybe if it is described I might--2425 Mr. {Griffith.} And are you--it is a bill that deals 2426 2427 with the black lung provisions of Obamacare. 2428 Secretary {Burwell.} I am not familiar with that--2429 Mr. {Griffith.} I appreciate that. Are you--2430 Secretary {Burwell.} --legislation. Mr. {Griffith.} --familiar with my H.R. 790, which is 2431 2432 the Compassionate Freedom of Choice Act? 2433 Secretary {Burwell.} Not familiar with the specific names of the legislation--2434 2435 Mr. {Griffith.} And I appreciate that. And are you familiar with H.R. 793, which deals with preferred pharmacy 2436 2437 networks and Part D? 2438 Secretary {Burwell.} Depending on a--2439 Mr. {Griffith.} Another one of mine. Secretary {Burwell.} --description, that may--2440 2441 Mr. {Griffith.} And so the reason I ask those questions

2442 is--been very well orchestrated today, from a political 2443 standpoint. The other side of the aisle has asked you 2444 repeatedly are you aware of Republican legislation that deals 2445 with the issues that we are dealing with related to Obamacare? I would submit to you that, in some way or 2446 2447 another, the three points that you pointed out, each one of 2448 those bills did. You are not intimately familiar with them, 2449 and I understand that, and I am not blaming you, because you 2450 have been put into that unenviable position that sometimes 2451 happens, where there is a difference between negative evidence, and a lack of evidence. And what you presented 2452 2453 today is a lack of evidence, and I appreciate that. 2454 That doesn't mean that these bills don't exist, just as I gave you the numbers on those three. It doesn't mean that 2455 2456 there aren't other bills that other members have that are out 2457 there that are Republican proposals to take care of the 2458 American citizen while we are in the process of repealing 2459 Obamacare. And so you are just submitting that you are not 2460 aware of it, but there are, in fact, bills out there that may 2461 be doing that, and also further discussions behind the scenes

2462 that may be doing that that you are unaware of. Isn't that

2463 correct?

2464 Secretary {Burwell.} Would welcome--the veterans' bill-2465 -there was a veterans' bill that we all agreed on. The 2466 firefighters, I haven't--

2467 Mr. {Griffith.} I am just saying, though, that--

2468 Secretary {Burwell.} --legislation--

2469 Mr. {Griffith.} --when you say, though, in the answer 2470 to any number of members on the other side of the aisle that 2471 you aren't aware, that doesn't mean they don't exist, it just 2472 means you are not aware, am I correct? Yes? All right, we 2473 will move on.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget calls for 92 2474 2475 million for the Office of National Coordinator, ONC, for 2476 purposes including the transition to a governance approach 2477 for health information exchange. In 2012, an HHS request for 2478 information noted that Congressional authorities granted to the ONC in the 2009 High Tech Act would support this 2479 2480 governance mechanism. Madam Secretary, I hold in my hand a 2481 copy of a Congressional Research Report dated January 7, 2015 2482 that suggests ONC does not have the authority to support the ONC governance structure outlined in the President's budget. 2483

2484	Don't you agree that when agencies take action they should be
2485	supported by Congressional authorization?
2486	Secretary {Burwell.} Not familiar with the report,
2487	would welcome seeing it. With regard to the Office of the
2488	National Coordinator, I think you know we just came out with
2489	the plan to continue moving us towards electronic medical
2490	records. We back that up with specific things. We continue
2491	to work on something that cuts across many of the issues, and
2492	whether it is
2493	Mr. {Griffith.} But you would agree with the principle,
2494	that there ought to be Congressional authority for an agency
2495	to take action, would you not? Yes or no?
2496	Secretary {Burwell.} I would agree that we
2497	Mr. {Griffith.} Yes, ma'am.
2498	Secretary {Burwell.}need
2499	Mr. {Griffith.} And, Mr. Chairman, if I could also have
2500	that Congressional Research Service report placed into the
2501	record, I would
2502	Mr. {Pitts.} Without objection, so ordered.
2503	Mr. {Griffith.} As a part of its governance push, ONC
2504	awarded a contract to RTI to develop its Health IT Safety

Center. RTI said at the time of the award that it would 2505 2506 define the focus, functions, governance, and value of the 2507 national health IT safety content. I am just concerned, as I 2508 pointed out a minute ago, that when you have these comments 2509 being made--now, we haven't seen it yet, and the report that 2510 I just had entered into the record shows we haven't seen the 2511 final analysis of what they are going to do, but when you 2512 have comments that they are planning to work on governance, 2513 and they don't have that authority, I am concerned, when the 2514 experts are telling me, both legal and otherwise, that this 2515 agency is going beyond its scope of authority, that this is a problem in this Administration, and that we should be careful 2516 2517 that we have any agency moving forward without Congressional 2518 authority.

I am going to ask you to work with me as we move forward on this. I am going to follow up with some questions and some other things, and ask that you work with me to make sure that the ONC does not overstep its authority granted to it in legislation by this Congress.

2524 Secretary {Burwell.} Would--work with you to 2525 understand, and understand what these concerns on governance

2526 are. This is new to me, and so I would like to--

2527 Mr. {Kennedy.} Yes, ma'am.

2528 Secretary {Burwell.} --understand further what the 2529 concern is.

2530 Mr. {Griffith.} And I appreciate that, and I yield

2531 back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2532 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

2533 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 2534 the gentlelady from California, Ms. Capps, 5 minutes for 2535 questions.

2536 Mrs. {Capps.} I thank my Chair--colleague for yielding 2537 me time, and I do have a different topic to discuss with you, 2538 Secretary Burwell, but my colleague from Texas has asked for 2539 10 seconds.

Mr. {Green.} I will do my 10 seconds. I want to thank the Congressman from Virginia, but I think the clarification is that up until Congressman Kennedy, all our statements were repeal the Affordable Care Act without an alternative. Now, there were bills that were passed, and none of us--up until--Secretary {Burwell.} That is right.

2546 Mr. {Green.} --Congressman Kennedy, but, you know,

2547 there is no repeal and replace. There is only repeal for 56 2548 times. And thank you for--2549 Secretary {Burwell.} And that is why I responded to 2550 full repeal. It was--2551 Mrs. {Capps.} I want--thank you. You know, I want to 2552 go back to the President's budget this year, which I think, 2553 on the whole, strikes an important balance between 2554 controlling spending and promoting public health. These 2555 public health topics are what I want to bring to your 2556 attention. I was pleased to see that there was continued support 2557 2558 for nursing workforce development. I believe, and I know you 2559 did too, a strong nursing workforce improves the health of our communities, as well as the quality of the health care 2560 2561 system. And we now have the significant challenge in our Nation of caring for a growing patient population with 2562 limited resources. And I am a nurse, so I know that we can't 2563 2564 reach our health care goals without a strong health care 2565 workforce made up of a range of health care professionals. 2566 And these are the development programs, such as Title 8, that are proven to be a solution that can help address this 2567

2568 challenge.

2569 And so would you please discuss briefly, because I have 2570 two more topics, what this budget request does to make sure 2571 that we have a diverse health care workforce, well equipped, 2572 and large enough to meet our needs? 2573 Secretary {Burwell.} I will just be very brief--2574 Mrs. {Capps.} Sure. 2575 Secretary {Burwell.} --which is, I think one of the 2576 core and anchor places that we do that is making sure that we 2577 are funding our National Health Service Corps. And the increases that we have asked for are a very important part of 2578 2579 that across, and it is especially important because we serve 2580 that group of people--30 percent are diverse in that--2581 Mrs. {Capps.} Yes.

2582 Secretary {Burwell.} --group. And in the Nation as a 2583 whole, the number is 10 percent, so we are over-indexing for 2584 that in that, and we think that is a very important place.

2585 Mrs. {Capps.} Right.

2586 Secretary {Burwell.} I will stop. There are other 2587 things, but I want to--

2588 Mrs. {Capps.} Right, because this one that I am going

2589 to mention is near and dear to my heart, and that is the 2590 maternal, infant, and early childhood home visiting programs. 2591 Such bang for the buck that you get with this. If you have 2592 ever seen it and I--as I have, been part of one, it is such a 2593 proactive and preventive service. And there is an increase 2594 in commitment in this home visiting program in the budget for 2595 2016. These are evidence-based, as you know, bipartisan 2596 programs, helping to ensure that all children across the 2597 board get an opportunity to be healthy and successful. And 2598 they are so critical to improving health outcomes for both 2599 women and children and families.

2600 So my question is how increased funding for these 2601 programs is going to address disparities and improve the 2602 health? How can we make it better?

2603 Secretary {Burwell.} So with regard to this issue, 2604 because I am a mother of a 5 and a 7-year-old, I have--2605 Mrs. {Capps.} There you go.

Secretary {Burwell.} --learned the importance of that information very recently, in terms of being able to give your children what they need. And so the program that you are describing, and why we think it is important to continue

2610 on the pace, it is an evidence-based program. We have seen--2611 Mrs. {Capps.} Yes. 2612 Secretary {Burwell.} --the results in terms of reading, 2613 and other analytical skills, up to 12 years old, in terms of 2614 the benefits. That is as far as it has been tested. And we 2615 see what--that is happened. When we give mothers and parents 2616 that opportunity to get the information they need in home--2617 Mrs. {Capps.} Um-hum. 2618 Secretary {Burwell.} --when you go to them, it is 2619 making the difference. And so we believe this is a very important part, and part of a continuum that you see in the 2620 2621 budget. That home visiting, next comes to that early child 2622 care, and making sure that we fund child care so working Americans can be a part of that. And then the issues of Head 2623 2624 Start, and improving Head Start, both in terms of the length of day, the time of year, and the quality that we require. 2625 So it is a continuum in terms--2626 2627 Mrs. {Capps.} Um-hum. 2628 Secretary {Burwell.} --of making sure we are taking

2629 care of those children along the way for working families, 2630 and pressing ourselves to improve quality.

2631 Mrs. {Capps.} Right. And, to build on that, and the 2632 focus on children and family, this question was asked about 2633 graduate medical education, but I want to focus on children's 2634 hospital GME, because children's hospitals programs are so critical for training pediatricians, pediatric specialists, 2635 2636 and pediatric researchers. It is less than one percent of 2637 hospitals. They train 51 percent of all pediatric 2638 specialists, and the children's hospital graduate medical 2639 education programs currently receive much less funding than 2640 other, you know, children don't lobby. We have to do this on their behalf. And would you explain the proposed changes to 2641 funding for children's hospital graduate medical education 2642 2643 programs, and what steps are being taken to ensure that we 2644 are meeting the demand for pediatric care?

Secretary {Burwell.} We want to meet that demand, and we want to meet that demand for both primary care, and the specialties where we don't necessarily have the number of practicing physicians that we need. And so the proposal that we have tries to respond to the criticisms that we received last year with our proposal, and that there is \$100 million that is dedicated firmly to the children's programs. In

2652 addition to that, they are able to compete. Right now what 2653 we do is we cover the direct costs, but we don't continue to 2654 cover the indirect cost. 2655 Mrs. {Capps.} Thank you very much. Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady. Now 2656 2657 recognize the gentleman from Texas, Dr. Burgess, 5 minutes 2658 for questions. 2659 Mr. {Burgess.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Again, Madam 2660 Secretary, my apologies for being out of the hearing, at 2661 another hearing. And I also apologize for not having the President's budget here with me this morning. But the 2662 President did outline a number of savings in the Medicare 2663 2664 space in the Presidential budget, is that correct? Do I 2665 understand--2666 Secretary {Burwell.} Yeah. 2667 Mr. {Burgess.} --that correctly? 2668 Secretary {Burwell.} That is correct. 2669 Mr. {Burgess.} And in general, as the head of HHS, are 2670 you supportive of those proposals by--in the President's 2671 budget?

2672 Secretary {Burwell.} Yes.

2673 Mr. {Burgess.} Let me ask you a question, then. You know that one of the things--I mean, I have just been 2674 2675 pounding my head against the wall for 12 years on the 2676 sustainable growth rate formula. We were very close last year. We almost cracked the nut, but we didn't quite get 2677 2678 there. But I thought we had a good proposal, and we are very 2679 close to introducing the same policy language again in this 2680 Congress. Offsets have been difficult, as everyone would 2681 expect.

So let me just ask you, those savings that the President identified, those Medicare savings that the President identified in the Presidential budget, do you think it would be a good idea to apply those savings toward the permanent repeal of the sustainable growth rate formula?

Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to how we pay for it in the President's budget, we pay for it--it is within the baseline, and we include it that way. With regard to the specific question of just using our approach to the Medicare, those savings are part of a broader context. It is a budget, and we put the budget together in its entirety. We view that those savings need to be paired with other elements of the

2694 budget.

2695 Mr. {Burgess.} But to the extent that those savers are 2696 identified, and those offsets are identified in the budget, 2697 it seems to me that is--would perhaps be a reasonable place to begin the discussion of what are the offsets that are used 2698 2699 to put in place for the permanent, universal, complete, 2700 forever repeal of the sustainable growth rate formula. 2701 Secretary {Burwell.} First I want to agree with the 2702 concept that we are talking about. In my opening remarks, I 2703 specifically said that we support the bipartisan, bicameral 2704 concepts that were put forward, and so on that we agree. 2705 With regard to the question of offsets, why I started with 2706 how we do it, which is building it into the baseline, is 2707 because that is the way we believe it should be done, and 2708 that uses the balance of things that we use to pay for things 2709 in our entire budget.

2710 So, in terms of where we start, and what we believe, we 2711 believe that it needs to be a range of things, and not simply 2712 focused on those.

2713 Mr. {Burgess.} Yeah, but at the same time, as you know, 2714 the difficulty with the sustainable growth rate formula is

2715 the budget baseline, and the fact that it was built in years 2716 ago, and it accumulates over time. It is never corrected, 2717 even though a number of patches have been passed by Congress. 2718 We basically paid for this damn thing at least 1.4 times--2719 Secretary {Burwell.} I am--2720 Mr. {Burgess.} --over the past 12 years. Again--2721 Secretary {Burwell.} Yes. 2722 Mr. {Burgess.} --I just want you to know that. I like 2723 the fact that the President put forward cost savers in his 2724 budget. Fair warning to you that these are where I am going to go the lack of participation in people who are willing to 2725 come forward and talk seriously about offsets leads me to go 2726 2727 the President's budget as the only place I can go for 2728 Democratic ideas for an offset. And that is one of the--the 2729 critical missing piece in getting this SGR settled. 2730 Secretary {Burwell.} I think your colleague, actually, 2731 though, mentioned--you colleague, Mr. Pallone, actually 2732 mentioned his specific idea for this when he spoke to this 2733 issue. It was--but--and you may disagree with that, but that 2734 was a--in terms of contributing to the debate. Mr. {Burgess.} And my door is always open to Mr. 2735

2736 Pallone, and I await his invitation, and I will be glad to 2737 come to his office. 2738 Let me ask you a question. I know you probably are 2739 tired of hearing about King vs. Burwell, but I will bring it 2740 up yet one more time. Since I haven't been here, it is not 2741 exhausting to me yet. And the whole concept around 2742 contingency plans, and the American Academy of Actuaries, is 2743 a concern because insurance companies are supposed to 2744 disclose the data upon which they are basing their rights in 2745 May, but there could be something that changes the equation 2746 in June. So, to the extent that the insurance companies are 2747 having to deal with unsettled future, I mean, they are going 2748 to have to deal with contingency plans, are they not? Whv 2749 should the Department not have a contingency plan, as 2750 recommended by the American Academy of Actuaries? 2751 Secretary {Burwell.} So, with regard to things that I 2752 have authority to plan for, I will plan for. In the budget --2753 the current budget that you see in front of you, the 2754 unaccompanied children issue, one that I know is a difficult 2755 issue, and that there is controversy around, we have put in monies to plan up to 60, have asked for a contingency fund in 2756

2757 case. We don't believe it will, but in case the numbers--

2758 where there are places that I can plan, we will.

2759 With regard to this issue, that is why--while the letter 2760 was simple, it actually gets to the core and the fundamental. 2761 We do not believe we have administrative authorities--if the 2762 Court makes a decision, and as I want to always repeat, we 2763 don't believe the Court will decide this way, but if the 2764 Court makes a decision that says--and rules for Plaintiff, 2765 and says that those subsidies are not available, the question 2766 of--we don't believe we have an authority to undo the damage that would then occur, which is subsidies go away, 2767 individuals can no longer pay. They go off of their 2768 2769 insurance, they become uninsured, it drives premiums up in 2770 that marketplace. They become uninsured, there is indigent 2771 care, it goes up.

2772 We don't believe that we have an authority. It is the 2773 Court, makes that decision at that level, that we have an 2774 authority to do it, and therefore that is why you are not 2775 hearing a plan. It is because we don't have an authority. 2776 Mr. {Burgess.} Well, I think you have to agree it will 2777 change the structure of the risk pools for the insurance

2778 companies. And, Mr. Chair, for that reason, I would like to 2779 submit the letter from the American Academy of Actuaries for 2780 the record. And I will yield back. 2781 Mr. {Pitts.} Without objection--2782 Secretary {Burwell.} I do think, though--2783 Mr. {Pitts.} --so ordered. 2784 Secretary {Burwell.} --that is why one does see those 2785 companies filing their briefs that they had filed in the 2786 case, that articulate the point you are making. 2787 [The information follows:] \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COMMITTEE INSERT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 2788

1

2789 Mr. {Pitts.} Gentleman yields back. Chair recognizes the Maryland, Mr. Sarbanes, 5 minutes for questions. 2790 2791 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, 2792 Madam Secretary. First, thank you for stepping into public 2793 service as you have done. Your tenure at OMB, and now at 2794 HHS, is, I think, a real service to the country. 2795 I wanted to talk about this concept of full repeal, 2796 which has been a drumbeat for years, it seems, now from the 2797 other side of the aisle, to understand the implications of a 2798 full repeal. And so I wanted to go through some of the 2799 things that were part of the ACA, and ask you--and it may not 2800 be that every one of them is jeopardized by a full repeal, 2801 but I think certainly some of them are, so--the ACA included 2802 a measure that would allow young people to stay on their 2803 parents' health care up to age 26, and I think upwards of three million younger adults have benefitted from that. If 2804 2805 there was a full repeal of the ACA, would that benefit and 2806 provision be in jeopardy, do you know?

2807 Secretary {Burwell.} It was part of the original Act, 2808 so yes.

2809 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Then there was an effort to begin 2810 closing the donut hole on prescription drugs under the Part D 2811 program, which has bedeviled many of our seniors, who kind of 2812 fall into that donut hole, often at a critical stage, in 2813 terms of needing to access prescription drugs. And the ACA 2814 reform included an effort that is begin, it is underway, to 2815 close that donut hole. Would that be in jeopardy if there 2816 was a full repeal?

2817 Secretary {Burwell.} It would, and the \$15 billion in 2818 savings that those seniors have received to date would stop. 2819 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Right. Then there was terrific 2820 provisions, in terms of reimbursement, that--benefits and 2821 reimbursement. So, on the benefits side, for Medicare 2822 beneficiaries, you had more preventive care being covered 2823 fully, eliminating co-payments for certain kinds of 2824 preventive care, screening for annual wellness visits, et cetera. That was part of the ACA. A full repeal, I imagine, 2825 2826 would jeopardize that reform as well?

2827 Secretary {Burwell.} Yes, and we actually just were 2828 able to have the numbers, and we have seen an increase in the 2829 number of seniors that are using that preventative are. And

2830 the percentage of seniors that are using at least one 2831 preventative service continues to go up. 2832 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Excellent. We put in some enhanced 2833 payment and reimbursement for primary care physicians, 2834 recognizing that we need to make sure we are incentivizing 2835 that part of the profession, in terms of getting into the 2836 pipeline, and also having the opportunity to spend more time 2837 with their patients, and have there be some economic rewards 2838 for that, which the patients themselves also want. I presume 2839 that that would be a peril with a full repeal as well? 2840 Secretary {Burwell.} A full repeal would imperil. 2841 Mr. {Sarbanes.} What about the provisions that have 2842 eliminated discrimination based on pre-existing conditions? 2843 Of course, we have started right out of the gate eliminating that discrimination in the case of children, now that is been 2844 2845 expanded more broadly. But I imagine that also would be undermined by a full--2846 2847 Secretary {Burwell.} It--2848 Mr. {Sarbanes.} --repeal? 2849 Secretary {Burwell.} It would, and, having had the

2850 chance to meet a young woman who had cancer when she was

2851 seven--when she was 12 years old she first had colon cancer, 2852 and then had thyroid cancer later, and now is in her 20s, and 2853 was engaged, but not continuing her graduate education or 2854 getting married because her focus was paying for her health 2855 care. And now the opportunity to have affordable care is--2856 because she had a pre-existing condition, obviously, is now 2857 allowing her to go on with her life. The issues of health 2858 security are very important, but for many individuals, the 2859 financial security is as well.

2860 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Thank you for those comments. The 2861 medical loss ratio requirement that now requires insurance 2862 plans to direct more of the insurance premium dollar to care, 2863 as opposed to overhead costs and so forth, that was part of 2864 the ACA, adhering to a particular standard. That would be 2865 eliminated, I would expect, in a full repeal?

2866 Secretary {Burwell.} In full repeal.

2867 Mr. {Sarbanes.} Subsidies and tax credits for small 2868 businesses who want to do the right thing and provide health 2869 care coverage for their employees was part of the ACA, so 2870 small businesses would be impacted by a full repeal, in terms 2871 of their ability to offer that kind of benefit to their
2872 workers, isn't that correct?

2873 Secretary {Burwell.} It would take away the tax credit 2874 if it were a full repeal.

2875 Mr. {Sarbanes.} So even before we get to a discussion 2876 of the pros and cons of the health exchanges, which have now 2877 offered up coverage to millions of Americans, there are so 2878 many other reasons, in addition to that, that we wouldn't 2879 want to repeal the Affordable Care Act. Thank you very much 2880 for being here. I appreciate your testimony.

2881 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you.

2882 Mr. {Pitts.} The Chair thanks the gentlemen. Now 2883 recognize the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Bilirakis, for 2884 questions.

2885 Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much. 2886 Thank you, Madam Secretary for your testimony. Thanks for 2887 your appearance, welcome. I want to talk about Medicare Advantage. According to 2012 data, there were about 145,000 2888 2889 seniors in my district. About 40 percent of them are on 2890 Medicare Advantage, little higher than the national average. 2891 They love their plans, and they want to keep their plans. They love their benefits, and their choices. Unfortunately, 2892

2893 this Administration may not love Medicare Advantage as much 2894 as my seniors. 2895 This--the actuarial firm of Oliver Wyman did an analysis 2896 of the proposed 2016 Medicare Advantage rate notice. Reading 2897 the report, I am troubled to learn that it estimates that the 2898 combined impact of cuts from 2014 to 2016 will cost seniors 2899 on an average of 60 to \$160 a month, or as much as \$1,920 a 2900 year. Many of the seniors in my district live on a modest 2901 income, fixed income. Why is the Administration forcing many 2902 seniors to pay more than \$100 a month to keep the plan they 2903 like? 2904 Secretary {Burwell.} So, with regard to the issue of 2905 Medicare Advantage, first I want to say we think the program 2906 is a good program. During the period when changes have been 2907 enacted, we have seen the program expand by, I think, well 2908 over 40 percent. We have seen a number of Medicare Advantage 2909 plans that have the top two ratings go from 70--17 percent to 2910 67 percent. And we have seen that premiums have not been 2911 increasing, in terms of the changes that we have done to 2912 date.

2913 Why we are proposing these changes is they have been

2914 recommended by MedPAC and others with regard to over-coding 2915 that is occurring, and as part of our efforts to make sure we 2916 are using the taxpayer dollar wisely. We want to promote the 2917 program, we want to keep the program healthy, but we also 2918 believe that there are opportunities for those who may be not 2919 using the system as well as they might. And that is what our 2920 changes are about, and that is what we are trying to do, 2921 preserve and build the system, but make sure we do it in the 2922 fiscally responsible way.

2923 Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you, Madam Secretary. Many 2924 serious who like the Medicare Advantage program they are 2925 going to lose it in the following years. In fact, a recent--2926 report details a nearly four-fold increase in the number of 2927 U.S. counties that no longer have Medicare Advantage as an 2928 option, growing from 55 counties in 2012 to 211 counties in 2929 2015. Isn't it concerning to you that seniors are losing the 2930 ability to choose a Medicare plan that provides high quality 2931 and coordinated care? This is a very successful program, 2932 and, again, this is extremely important to my constituents. 2933 Secretary {Burwell.} Agreed that it is a very important program, and we want to make sure that it continues, want to 2934

2935 see the studies and the underpinning of that. The most 2936 recent numbers that I have seen are that 99 percent of beneficiaries have access, and so those numbers may not align 2937 2938 with the--that most recent study, and I want to understand 2939 what the difference in that is. 2940 Mr. {Bilirakis.} Thank you, Madam Secretary. One more 2941 question. The impact of seniors to Medicare Advantage, 2942 according to Oliver Wyman, could result in seniors losing 2943 access to their current coverage, or facing higher premiums, 2944 reduced benefits, and changing--again, changes to the network 2945 as a result of the cuts--the proposed cuts. When I talked 2946 with seniors in my district about Medicare Advantage, again, 2947 they believe Medicare Advantage--the model offers high 2948 quality coordinated care. Yet further cuts will disrupt the 2949 benefits upon which millions of seniors rely. 2950 Your agency likes to tout the so-called affordable

2950 From agoiney fixed to could the so carried affordable 2951 premiums and better consumer choices under the Affordable 2952 Care Act, but when it comes to Medicare Advantage, why is the 2953 Administration pursuing policies that would increase premiums 2954 and reduce choices for seniors? And, again, this is very 2955 concerning.

2956 Secretary {Burwell.} I think the responses with regard 2957 to the issue that -- what we have seen, with the changes we 2958 have done to date, have not had the premium pressure that is described. We want to continue to watch and monitor. And 2959 also that we have seen more people enter in, and the quality 2960 2961 improved. And so that is what we have seen to date. We want 2962 to continue to work and monitor. We want the program to 2963 succeed. We want to support it, and we want to try and do it 2964 in the way that is the most fiscally responsible.

2965 Mr. {Bilirakis.} Well, thank you, Madam Secretary. I 2966 appreciate it. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

2967 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 2968 the gentleman from California, Mr. Cardenas, 5 minutes for 2969 questions.

2970 Mr. {Cardenas.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 2971 Appreciate the opportunity to have this public dialogue for 2972 the benefit not only of the members, but for the public as 2973 well.

2974 Preserving access to prescription drugs that work for 2975 every senior is important, I think, to everybody on this 2976 dais, and I think every person who cares about a senior in

2977 this country, which probably makes everybody. So my question 2978 has to do with what proposals in the President's budget would 2979 increase access for seniors?

2980 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the specific access for seniors, across the board on prescription drugs, I think, 2981 2982 in terms of the programs, whether that is the way we use some 2983 of the programs we have just been discussing, but I also 2984 think one of the most important things that has happened is 2985 that seniors have access to preventative services that they 2986 historically may not have. And just announced on Tuesday that what we are seeing is, because the seniors have that 2987 2988 access to those preventative services, they are increasing 2989 that--the use of that.

2990 I think throughout our budget one the things we are 2991 attempting to do is work very hard to do a system--delivery 2992 system reform, which means getting better quality at a better price for the Nation. And I recently announced, about 3 2993 2994 weeks ago, that in the Medicare space, we are going to try 2995 and move to 30 percent of all Medicare payments will be in 2996 new payment models, payment models that are about improving that quality and reducing that cost. And so those are some 2997

2998 of the areas that I think the budget focuses on this. 2999 Mr. {Cardenas.} Now, that effort, is it likely to 3000 create an environment, individual by individual, that is 3001 likely to increase their quality of extended life versus--3002 because when we are talking about access to preventative 3003 care, that means that if you catch something in its early 3004 stages--we all know what today's modern medicine, and 3005 opportunities--you can actually thwart it, or actually 3006 overcome it, versus finding something late in stages, it 3007 might even take your life, correct?

3008 Secretary {Burwell.} And across the department there 3009 are a number of investments that get to that, and whether 3010 that is the NIH investments in research, or in the Center for 3011 Innovation in Medicare and Medicaid, one of the things where 3012 we have out--a proposal that we are getting response to has 3013 to do with hospice and curative care, and how to combine 3014 those two in a way that will maximize for the quality of the 3015 patient. And so it is throughout the budget these issues of 3016 cost and guality are things that we focus on.

3017 Mr. {Cardenas.} Thank you. On that note, I would also 3018 like to add for the record, if you would allow me unanimous

3019	consent, Mr. Chairman, to submit a letter for the record from
3020	my office that lays out the issues that we are discussing at
3021	the moment.
3022	Mr. {Pitts.} Without objection, so ordered.
3023	[The information follows:]

3025 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you.

3026 Mr. {Cardenas.} I keep hearing a lot from some of my 3027 colleagues about their constituents losing choices. But, 3028 then again, one of the things that -- it is my understanding, 3029 please clarify, that when people are talking about losing 3030 choices, they may be describing policies that were, in fact 3031 more expensive on the front, and perhaps didn't have minimum 3032 benefits standards to the person paying. Is that, in many 3033 cases, what people are describing when people are losing 3034 choices? Secretary {Burwell.} It can be. I would want to 3035 3036 understand the specific--3037 Mr. {Cardenas.} And that is why I say the word maybe--3038 Secretary {Burwell.} --in the marketplace. 3039 Mr. {Cardenas.} Maybe, yes.

3040 Secretary {Burwell.} Within the marketplace, there are 3041 25 percent more issuers, which means more choice. The 3042 essential health benefits do important things, I think, as 3043 you are reflecting, and they get to some of the issues that 3044 Mr. Murphy and Ms. Matsui--on mental health. And having

3045 those benefits be clear and incorporated is extremely 3046 important. So, without understand the specific case, I think 3047 it is a little hard to know. 3048 Mr. {Cardenas.} But there are, in fact, in some areas where certain kinds of policies are not allowed, but that 3049 3050 was--that is based on a new minimum standard, correct? 3051 Secretary {Burwell.} That is correct. 3052 Mr. {Cardenas.} And one of the things that I have 3053 discussed with some of my constituents, and my staff, and 3054 some of the providers, and experts that we pulled together, 3055 we registered at least over 1,000 families. And I personally 3056 tried to speak to as many of those individuals as possible. 3057 And what was sad is many of them were even scared to be 3058 there. They were thinking about this big Obamacare dragon 3059 that was going to obliterate either their finances or their 3060 health care. 3061 But what--almost to a person, every person that got up 3062 from--once they sat down and figured out what was available 3063 to them, or what have you, had a big smile on their face, and

3065 came. And in one instance I was talking to a gentleman who

they were very pleased, and very relieved, and glad they

3064

3066 was paying \$60 a month. He was making \$9 an hour, single 3067 income family. He had a wife and a daughter, and I met all 3068 three of them. And when he was done, he had a big smile on 3069 his face. He almost got up and left when he met me. But 3070 when he was done, he actually realized that he now was able 3071 to provide for his family without having to spend \$60 a 3072 month, and now his entire family has coverage. So I think 3073 that is a perfect example of what this is--what is good in 3074 the Affordable Care Act.

3075 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back my time.

3076 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 3077 the gentleman from Indiana, Dr. Buschon, 5 minutes for 3078 questions.

3079 Mr. {Buschon.} Thank you, Secretary Burwell, and thanks 3080 for coming. And, first of all, I want to thank you for working with Governor Mike Pence of Indiana on Health Indiana 3081 Plan 2.0, which will help to cover 350,000 low income 3082 3083 Hoosiers in a state-based program that, I think, has been 3084 shown historically to not only save money, but is very 3085 popular with the enrollees, so thank you very much for that 3086 work.

3087 Before I came to Congress, I was a cardiothoracic 3088 surgeon, and I treated most of my patients for many weeks 3089 after their surgery. And, as you probably know, that falls 3090 under a global payment, a 90 day global surgical payment by 3091 CMS. Now CMS wants to repeal that rule, and eliminate global 3092 payments for surgical services. Why?

3093 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to our understanding 3094 of how the global payments are used, the reason that we want 3095 to do this is to make sure that, while we are promoting 3096 quality care, that we do it in a way that is most cost-3097 effective for the taxpayer. Most of the changes that we do 3098 in the Medicare space are focused on those two things, and 3099 trying to balance those two.

3100 Mr. {Buschon.} Okay. And has HHS or CMS looked into 3101 the administrative costs the new systems will have on doctors 3102 and CMS? The reason I ask is, in my practice--I will give 3103 you some examples of how this actually will work--

3104 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

3105 Mr. {Buschon.} --or won't work if you do it. We would 3106 bill a global payment, and--for everything, including follow-3107 up visits.

3108 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

Mr. {Buschon.} And now doctors will be billing for the surgery, every hospital round that they make, every follow-up appointment, all separately, let me finish. And not only would the medical practice have to pay employees to submit all these--what I consider excessive claims, but then CMS will have to process each claim. And how can that not cost CMS more money, not less? That is my first question.

3116 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to the global payment 3117 issue, and one of the things--Dr. Patrick Conway--we try and 3118 have physicians who are practicing at the table as we have 3119 these conversations. Want to understand the point that you 3120 are making and how we believe--I want to look into this one, 3121 in terms of a specific answer to your--

Mr. {Buschon.} It will be a dramatic increase. Let me tell you why. If I did an open heart surgery on a patient, I would see them in the ICU anywhere from 3 to 5 days every day, and then probably two to three follow-up appointments. That is all under a global.

3127 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

3128 Mr. {Buschon.} And so now that--those numbers will be

3129 submitted as individual bills. From a surgeon's perspective, 3130 I see this as--and I think seniors should be paying attention 3131 to these comments. This is going to be a dramatic pay cut 3132 for surgeons across this country, and that is -- in my view, 3133 that is where any potential savings will be coming from. So as you look at this, you better--you should really--I would 3134 3135 encourage you to pay attention to that, because what will 3136 happen is you are going to have to re-evaluate--there is 3137 going to have to be re-evaluation of every code, re-3138 evaluation of every follow-up appointment. You are going to 3139 have to discern whether there is duplicate billing. For 3140 example, if I see a patient post-op in the ICU, and a 3141 critical care physician is also seeing my patient that day, who gets paid, who doesn't get paid? There will be increased 3142 3143 denials. My point is this. Global payments were put in 3144 place to save money --3145 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum.

Mr. {Buschon.} --administratively, and also simplify, and I think improve, quality of health care. And I think going backwards away from that is regressive--regressing backwards. Yes, it will save money. This will save money by

3150 dramatically cutting provider reimbursement. And if that is 3151 the intent, that is unfortunate, because what will also 3152 result is access issues for seniors for health care services, 3153 and, I would argue, less quality health care. 3154 And so, you know, most of these bundles are re-examined 3155 every few years by--and so, you know, the argument that 3156 overbilling is occurring, if that were to be true, then, you 3157 know, these bundles are looked at every couple years and re-3158 evaluated, so, on that subject, I would encourage you to take 3159 a really hard look at global payments. They save money, and 3160 they don't cost money. The savings will be at the--on the 3161 backs of seniors' access to health care, and quality, in my 3162 opinion. 3163 The other thing is the President's budget would seek to save 20.9 billion in savings over the next 10 years by 3164 strengthening the IPAD Board, a board of unelected members 3165 3166 selected by the President to cut--in my view, to cut Medicare 3167 payments to providers. I understand the President has not 3168 yet nominated anyone to sit on the IPAD Board, so it would

3170 what year under the President's budget will IPAD begin to

3169

159

not--it could not recommend Medicare cuts this year. So in

- 3171 make recommendations on Medicare costs?
- 3172 Secretary {Burwell.} In the current President's budget,
- 3173 IPAD would not kick in until 2019.
- 3174 Mr. {Buschon.} 2019?

3175 Secretary {Burwell.} That is right.

3176 Mr. {Buschon.} Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.

3177 Chairman. I yield back.

3178 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 3179 the gentleman from New York, Mr. Collins, 5 minutes for

3180 questions.

Mr. {Collins.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 3181 Secretary, for being here today. I am from western New York, 3182 3183 which is a very rural community. We have one of the highest 3184 enrollment of Medicare Advantage. I know prior to the 3185 Affordable Care Act I would say that without a doubt one of the bright spots in the delivery of health care in the United 3186 3187 States was Medicare Advantage. Dealt with the donut hole. 3188 It was a lot of comfort for the seniors to be able to go in, 3189 much like we do with HMOs, and--a great program. And yet, as 3190 was brought out earlier, and I want to get into this, it seems as though the President, and the Administration, and 3191

3192 HHS views Medicare Advantage with some level of disdain, in 3193 that it is the piece that keeps getting cut. 3194 And as I look through some of the data, and I am kind of 3195 a data driven guy, the interesting thing I found about 3196 Medicare Advantage, there are over seven million enrollees, 3197 represents almost 30 percent of the Medicare population, 3198 which would indicate it works. Number two, when you look at 3199 who uses it, lower income beneficiaries have a higher 3200 enrollment in Medicare Advantage than do wealthier 3201 individuals, which means it is serving best some of the lower 3202 income populations. We have also seen that, when I look at 3203 the rural plans, again, in rural America, which I represent, 3204 a higher percentage of folks from rural America are using it. 3205 So I am just asking the question, as--and the 3206 interesting thing too, the--that information we got today was from AHIB. They said the current .9 percent, the .9 percent 3207 3208 cut that is coming now in the subsidy to insurance companies 3209 for Medicare Advantage, is going to add another \$20 a month 3210 to beneficiaries, either in higher premiums, or reduced 3211 benefits. So could you speak to just the opinion of older Americans on Medicare, that they are being used as the 3212

3213 funding source for the expansion in Medicaid, and all of 3214 those increased costs on the back of our seniors, who have 3215 depended on this great program for all these years? A 3216 frustration level exists within that population. 3217 Secretary {Burwell.} Appreciate that, and as I 3218 responded to your colleague with regard to the issues of 3219 Medicare Advantage, I would say we support the program, 3220 believe the program is a good program, but also believe that 3221 our responsibility, where we think there are things that are 3222 happening, whether that is up-coding or other things, that we 3223 try and take care of that.

3224 The changes that we have done, we have tried to 3225 transition those changes. We have tried to do those changes slowly so that we watch and monitor. We have seen an 3226 3227 increase in the number of people in Medicare Advantage. We have seen premiums hold steady. We have seen an increase in 3228 3229 quality. So the negative impacts that were articulated at 3230 the beginning of those proposals, we have not seen. We want to continue to monitor and make sure that we don't see some 3231 3232 of the negative impacts that you were talking about. We value the program. We think the changes--they have been 3233

3234 recommended by MedPAC and others.

3235 We understand the concerns, but trying to operate in a 3236 world--and with regard to the other issue that you mentioned, I would just say across the board--and whether it is the 3237 3238 issue that your colleague just mentioned, with regard to--or 3239 the \$780 million we do in discretionary cuts, we try to 3240 spread these things across the entire parts of our budget. 3241 Mr. {Collins.} But are you aware that there now over 3242 200 counties in the United States that don't have a Medicare 3243 Advantage plan at all to offer their seniors as a direct 3244 result of the cuts you have made? So when you say it hasn't 3245 had this impact, there are seniors in 200--over 200 counties 3246 in the United States that can't even buy the coverage. 3247 Secretary {Burwell.} So 99 percent of the Nation has 3248 coverage, in terms of the beneficiaries' accessibility. 3249 Mr. {Collins.} But yet the number who don't has 3250 increased, from 55 counties before the ACA to over 200 today. 3251 So there is a direct impact. I mean, the numbers--the data 3252 is the data. You can't make it go away. 3253 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to those numbers, as I

3254 said, I have the number of the current coverage, and would

3255 want to understand the change over the--

Mr. {Collins.} Yeah. What I am trying to point out is it has had--the reason you are looking for this funding is to pay for the expansion of Medicaid. I mean, whether it is the health insurance tax, or the individual mandate, or whatever, the big cost driver has been this huge expansion in Medicaid, would be my observation.

3262 Secretary {Burwell.} What I would observe is some of 3263 the comments that have been stated about the question of 3264 overall entitlements and the growth, we have a bulge of population. We have a large group of people who are elderly 3265 3266 in Medicare. The Medicare costs, even though we have 3267 controlled per capita costs for Medicare over the period of what we are seeing, because more people from the baby boom 3268 3269 are retiring and older, that is an issue that we, as a 3270 Nation, are going to have to look at and deal with. Medicare 3271 costs are going to continue to increase because of volume, 3272 even if we can control per capita cost.

And so with regard to the questions of what will be costing the Nation money over periods of time, the issue of Medicare is one on a--because we are going to have the baby

3276 boom, and the echo come through, we are going to continue to 3277 have to make good on the commitments we have made. And that 3278 will cost us, because even if you control it per capita, 3279 volume is greater. 3280 Mr. {Collins.} Well, thank you for the answer. My time 3281 has expired. Yield back. 3282 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair recognize the gentleman from New 3283 Mexico, Mr. Lujan, 5 minutes for questions. 3284 Mr. {Lujan.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I 3285 would yield to our Ranking Member, Mr. Green, for a quick 3286 response as well. Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to 3287 3288 respond to my colleague from New York. I don't--I have not had any of my seniors question the expansion of Medicaid, 3289 3290 based on what is happening with Medicare. The Affordable 3291 Care Act was totally paid for, and, in fact, Medicare was 3292 improved under the Affordable Care Act. And, Madam 3293 Secretary, if you just--this is the first I have heard that

3294 seniors are complaining that the Medicaid expansion is being 3295 paid out of Medicare. That is just not, in fact, that I hear 3296 about. Did you have any information on that?

3297 Secretary {Burwell.} That is the first that I have 3298 heard that anyone felt that that was an issue, with regard to 3299 the Federal budget, because I assume that is what they are 3300 referring to.

3301 Mr. {Collins.} If the gentleman would yield one 3302 minute--

3303 Mr. {Lujan.} Thank you. Reclaiming my time, thank you, 3304 Madam Secretary, for your testimony today. I want to 3305 reiterate what many of my colleagues have said, that we must 3306 repeal the SGR, but not on the backs of seniors, and that a 3307 strong CHIP extension must be included with the SGR in March 3308 as well. Also that the Affordable Care Act is working, 3309 despite an attempt of over 50 Republican repeal attempts. 3310 The ACA has had a positive impact on New Mexico, in my home 3311 state. In my home district, 25,000 people now have quality, 3312 affordable health coverage because of the Affordable Care Act that didn't before, and overall the numbers of uninsured has 3313 3314 declined by 17 percent.

3315 With the law now full in effect, Americans can never be 3316 discriminated against because of pre-existing conditions. 3317 Women can never be charged more for coverage because of their

3318 gender, and Americans will never be sold health insurance 3319 policies that disappear when they need coverage most, when 3320 they hit those lifetime caps, and suddenly coverage goes 3321 away. I think that it is time that we come together and work 3322 to strengthen the law, and stop playing political games that 3323 will strip millions of Americans of the health coverage they 3324 depend on. As my father would say, enough is enough. 3325 Madam Secretary, in your opinion, has the Affordable 3326 Care Act had a positive impact on places around the country, 3327 including my home state of New Mexico? Secretary {Burwell.} Yes, and I think it has in three 3328 3329 areas, affordability, access, and quality. With regard to 3330 the issues of quality, you touched upon a number of the areas 3331 where I believe there is been an improvement in quality, and 3332 those are the fact that people can have their children 3333 covered up to 26, the quality that you don't--if you have a 3334 pre-existing condition, you can't be kept out, or thrown off 3335 of your health care. The fact--if you take your child in for 3336 their wellness visit, there isn't co-insurance. You don't 3337 have to pay, in terms of that preventative care. So increases in quality. We have also seen increases in quality 3338

3339 through partnerships we are doing with physicians, and we 3340 have seen a 17 percent reduction in harms. Those are things 3341 like infections and falls in hospitals. That is also about 3342 saving lives, but it is also about money.

3343 With regard to the issue of affordability, and the 3344 progress that we have made on affordability, while we can all 3345 still continue to make more, we have in that space, and what 3346 we have seen is that, in the years 2011, '12, and '13, we 3347 have seen a record in terms of per capita health care cost 3348 growth. It is one of the lowest that we have seen on record, 3349 and we have seen that. That is in the broader marketplace. 3350 With regard to the individual market, what we have seen is that people--the vast majority, over 8 in 10 folks in the 3351 3352 marketplace can find coverage using a subsidy that is \$100 or 3353 less in a month. That is affordability in that marketplace. 3354 With regard to affordability and the taxpayer, CBO estimates pre the Affordable Care Act would have estimated that 3355 3356 spending in Medicare would have been \$116 billion greater. 3357 Affordability for the taxpayer.

3358 Lastly, access. The question of access, and the fact3359 that 11.4 million people have come through the marketplace

this time, but let us even use last year's number, where we saw a 10 million person drop in the number of uninsured. So, against the three fundamental measures, that is how I would think about it.

3364 Mr. {Lujan.} I appreciate that, Madam Secretary. Thank 3365 you for your response there, and I do want to raise an issue 3366 that has great concern to my constituents and to myself back 3367 in New Mexico. It has now been over 18 months since the 3368 State of New Mexico claimed credible allegations of fraud, or 3369 their allegations of fraud, against 15 behavioral health providers, resulting in the eventual closure or replacement 3370 3371 by five Arizona behavioral health providers. This transition 3372 and turmoil has raised significant concerns across access to 3373 care, especially in light of recent reports that the new 3374 providers are financially unstable. In fact, one provider is 3375 already pulling out of New Mexico.

3376 The recently elected New Mexico Attorney General has 3377 also released the audit that led to the suspension, and it 3378 shows a lack of underlying basis for many of the allegations 3379 of fraud. My staff has had several meetings with CMS, and I 3380 am very concerned that we are not making progress. When

3381 payment suspensions are put into place, what CMS do to ensure 3382 states are acting in good faith, and what is CMS doing to 3383 stop the reoccurrence of this happening, both in New Mexico 3384 and other states, and can I have your commitment that we can 3385 work together on this particular issue and met with the 3386 delegation? 3387 Secretary {Burwell.} Do want to work with you on this 3388 issue. Know it is one of concern, in terms of making sure 3389 that people have access to those benefits. 3390 Mr. {Lujan.} I appreciate that. Thank you very much. I yield back the balance of my time. 3391

3392 Mr. {Pitts.} Thank the gentleman. Now recognize the 3393 gentlelady from North Carolina, Ms. Ellmers, 5 minutes for--3394 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Thank you. And thank you, Madam 3395 Secretary, for being with us today. I am sorry, do you--got some water there? We--get you some water. I do want to 3396 3397 address--before I--as I have three different--very different 3398 questions to ask you about, but I do want to address the 3399 issue of Medicare, and our seniors who are concerned. It is 3400 my recollection, and, you know, there again, I am just going back to history, that over \$700 billion was taken out of 3401

Medicare in order to pay for Obamacare. About 300 billion of that was Medicare Advantage. So to the question of whether or not our seniors are concerned about that, I would say yes, they are concerned about that, and they want to make sure that they will be able to continue to get the good care that they deserve.

3408 I do want to start off by talking about Medicare 3409 reimbursement in relation to the two percent sequester cuts 3410 that were put in place a number of years ago, dramatically 3411 affecting our chemotherapy drugs, and our other Part B drugs. As you know, this has affected our industry. Back in January 3412 3413 14 of 2013, Office of Management and Budget put out a letter, basically asking Federal agencies to, ``use any available 3414 3415 flexibility to reduce operational risks, and minimize impacts 3416 of the agency's core mission in service of the American 3417 people.'' And it goes on.

3418 Some of the adverse things that have happened as a 3419 result of the two percent cut over 16 months, after CMS 3420 started applying the two percent cut, we basically ended up 3421 with 25 community oncology clinics closing, one of which, a 3422 very large clinic in my own district, and 75 others merged

with hospitals. CMS's own numbers show that it costs \$6,500 3423 3424 more per year per patient on oncology services if they become 3425 part of the hospital system, versus the clinic setting, or 3426 outpatient setting, at about \$650 more out of pocket. 3427 How can this, you know, why hasn't CMS taken the recommendation of OMB and addressed that situation? 3428 3429 Secretary {Burwell.} Congresswomen, we agree with you 3430 about sequester, and in this budget, we fully get rid of 3431 sequester, both on the mandatory side, and on the 3432 discretionary side. We believe there are other choices that are better choices, and so agree with you, this is not an 3433 approach--when you use an approach like this--3434 3435 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum. Secretary {Burwell.} --you end up doing things like the 3436 3437 types of things you are talking about. And so what we want to do is fully replace it, and that is what our budget does. 3438 We are willing to make other choices, in terms of how we get 3439 3440 those savings. Mrs. {Ellmers.} Thank you. And I will just go on and 3441

3442 just--there again, I will--a very important question, having 3443 to do, essentially, with our tobacco products. And I--my

3444 question for you is do you agree with Mitch Zeller, Director 3445 of FDA Center for Tobacco Products, that if the smokers, and 3446 I am going to quote him, ``who are otherwise unable or 3447 unwilling to quit were to completely switch to smokeless tobacco products, it would be good for the public health.'' 3448 3449 Do you agree with this statement? 3450 Secretary {Burwell.} I would have to understand the 3451 context in which he made that statement. With regard to the

3452 question, I think, you know, we want to promote the public

3453 health. We want to--

3454 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.

3455 Secretary {Burwell.} --make sure we are doing the right 3456 research to understand that, and put in place the right 3457 guidelines and regulations to do it.

Mrs. {Ellmers.} Well, thank you for that. And I do want to add that there are no government websites that help promote or, you know, address, this issue, including CDC, FDA, NIH. It would be helpful, again, for the public to understand that there are the non-tobacco products available, and that this is an approach that we need to make. And I would welcome the ability to continue to work with you, and

3465 your office, on any way that we can, you know, better help to 3466 get that information out, and address the needs from a 3467 scientific basis, and using the scientific research that is 3468 out that.

3469 I do want to switch gears a little bit to our vaccines, 3470 and to BARDA. Right now BARDA maintains a stockpile of 3471 roughly \$1.7 billion worth of pandemic influenza vaccine. 3472 The--this year's budget, I believe, was about \$20 million in 3473 order to take care of that stockpile and maintain it. Does 3474 the 2016 budget increase that amount, and, into the future, how does BARDA plan on dealing with those issues, especially 3475 when we know that we are in our situation where this is a 3476 3477 very timely issue?

3478 Secretary {Burwell.} Across the board our budget has 3479 worked to do a couple of things with regard to the 3480 preparedness, making sure that that vaccine stockpile, and 3481 that the issues that BARDA handles--

3482 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.

3483 Secretary {Burwell.} --which are making sure that we 3484 have--what we have on hand in stockpile, and that we have the 3485 ability to work with manufacturers to bring new products

- 3486 online, where that is appropriate--
- 3487 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.

3488 Secretary {Burwell.} --for different types of issues 3489 that we as a Nation may face, either man-made or otherwise. 3490 But we also have paired that with things in our budget which

3491 are about the preparedness in our communities--

3492 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.

3493 Secretary {Burwell.} --and we have seen that front and 3494 center, certainly, in our time period. We are implementing 3495 the dollars we appreciate from Congress as part of that, in 3496 terms of Ebola, but also broader preparedness--

3497 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.

3498 Secretary {Burwell.} --where we have been given that 3499 authority by the Congress.

3500 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Thank you, Secretary Burwell, for being 3501 here today. I truly appreciate your input. Thank you. 3502 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you.

3503 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady. That 3504 concludes the questions of the members who are present. I am 3505 sure we will have lots of follow up and written questions 3506 from some of the members, so we will get those to you

3507	promptly. We ask that you please respond to the questions
3508	promptly. I remind members that they have 10 business days
3509	to submit questions for the record, and that means they
3510	should submit their questions by the close of business on
3511	Thursday, March the 12th.
3512	Thank you very much, Madam Secretary, for your
3513	attendance today and your answers. Without objection,
3514	Subcommittee is adjourned.
3515	[Whereupon, at 12:55 p.m., the Subcommittee was
3516	adjourned.]