Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. 1 RPTS BRENNAN 2 3 HIF066030 4 5 MARKUP ON: 6 H.R. (DUNCAN), PIPELINE SAFETY, MODERNIZATION, AND 7 8 EXPANSION ACT; H.R. , TO PROHIBIT THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY FROM 9 PRESCRIBING OR ENFORCING ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR 10 CLOTHES WASHERS THAT ARE NOT COST-EFFECTIVE OR 11 TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; 12 H.R. , TO PROHIBIT THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY FROM 13 PRESCRIBING OR ENFORCING ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR 14 CLOTHES DRYERS THAT ARE NOT COST EFFECTIVE OR TECHNOLOGICALLY 15 FEASIBLE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; 16 H.R. , TO PROHIBIT THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY FROM 17 PRESCRIBING OR ENFORCING ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS ON 18 DISHWASHERS THAT ARE NOT COST EFFECTIVE OR TECHNOLOGICALLY 19 FEASIBLE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES; 20 H.R. , TO PROHIBIT THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY FROM 21

22	PRESCRIBING OR ENFORCING ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR
23	REFRIGERATORS, REFRIGERATOR-FREEZERS, AND FREEZERS THAT ARE
24	NOT COST-EFFECTIVE OR TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE, AND FOR OTHER
25	PURPOSES;
26	H.R, TO PROHIBIT THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY FROM
27	PRESCRIBING OR ENFORCING ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR
28	ROOM AIR CONDITIONERS THAT ARE NOT COST-EFFECTIVE OR
29	TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES
30	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6, 2024
31	House of Representatives,
32	Subcommittee on Energy, Climate, and Grid Security
33	Committee on Energy and Commerce,
34	Washington, D.C.
35	
36	
37	The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:02 a.m.,
38	in Room 2123, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Chair Jeff
39	Duncan [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
40	Present: Representatives Duncan, Burgess, Latta,
41	Guthrie, Griffith, Bucshon, Walberg, Palmer, Curtis, Lesko,
42	Pence, Weber, Balderson, Pfluger, and Rodgers (ex officio);

DeGette, Peters, Matsui, Tonko, Kuster, Schrier, Castor, 43 Sarbanes, Cardenas, and Pallone (ex officio). 44 45 Staff Present: Kate Arey, Digital Director; Sarah Burke, Deputy Staff Director; David Burns, Professional Staff 46 Member; Jerry Couri, Deputy Chief Counsel; Nick Crocker, 47 Senior Advisor & Director of Coalitions; Sydney Greene, 48 Director of Operations; Rebecca Hagigh, Executive Assistant; 49 50 Jessica Herron, Clerk; Nate Hodson, Staff Director; Calvin Huggins, Staff Assistant; Tara Hupman, General Counsel; Noah 51 Jackson, Clerk; Daniel Kelly, Press Assistant; Sean Kelly, 52 Press Secretary; Lauren Kennedy, Clerk; Alex Khlopin, Staff 53 Assistant; Peter Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member 54 Services Director; Elise Krekorian, Counsel; Drew Lingle, 55 Professional Staff Member; Mary Martin, Chief Counsel; 56 Brandon Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel; Kaitlyn Peterson, 57 Clerk; Karli Plucker, Director of Operations (Shared Staff); 58 Carla Rafael, Senior Staff Assistant; Emma Schultheis, Clerk; 59 60 Peter Spencer, Senior Professional Staff Member; Dray Thorne, Director of Information Technology; Keegan Cardman, Minority 61 Staff Assistant; Timia Crisp, Minority Professional Staff 62 Member; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and 63

64	General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director;
65	Caitlin Haberman, Minority Staff Director, Environment,
66	Manufacturing, and Critical Minerals; Perry Hamilton,
67	Minority Member Services & Outreach Manager; Elysa Montfort,
68	Press Secretary; Kristopher Pittard, Professional Staff
69	Member; Emma Roehrig, Minority Staff Assistant; and Kylea
70	Rogers, Minority Policy Analyst; Medha Surampudy,
71	Professional Staff Member; Tuley Wright, Staff Director,
72	Energy Climate and Grid Security; Deyona Burton; Intern.
73	

*Mr. Duncan. The Subcommittee will come to order.
The Chair recognizes himself for an opening statement.
I want to thank you all for being here and welcome to
the Energy, Climate, Grid Security Subcommittee Markup.
Today, we will be marking up six bills to continue our
work in delivering affordable and reliable energy to all
Americans.

The first bill we have taken up is my discussion draft, the pipeline safety. Actually, that is wrong. We are going to take that up at the end. So we will be taking up this Pipeline Safety Modernization Act last. We are going to take up a series of bills to stop the Biden Administration's war on American home appliances first.

On pipeline safety. With the Pipeline Safety Modernization and Accountability Act of 2024, the Energy and Commerce Committee is getting back to its long history of reauthorizing the relative agencies and programs under this Committee's jurisdiction.

92 Our bill would reauthorize the Pipeline and Hazardous 93 Materials Safety Administration's, PHMSA, Pipeline Safety 94 Program for five years and update policies to construct and

95 operate pipelines.

We had PHMSA in front of the Committee and we have
learned a lot. Energy and Commerce Committee plays a
critical role in reauthorizing PHMSA and ensuring the agency
complies with its statutory mandates and maintains a strong
focus on public safety.

In January this year, we had a legislative hearing where we heard from PHMSA deputy administrator Tristan Brown. He discussed PHMSA's current operations, the agency's implementation of Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety or the PIPES Act of 2020.

We also heard from a stakeholder panel who gave us their perspective on PHMSA's implementation of the PIPES Act and what needs to be done to ensure PHMSA is operating efficiently and effectively.

Based on this feedback, we updated our discussion draft to include many provisions from the Transportation Infrastructure Committee's bill, which was reported out of their Committee by voice vote in December of last year. Some of these provisions include technical changes to

115 eliminate natural gas leaks, opportunities for formal

hearings, clarifications on maximum allowable operating pressure, excavation damage prevention, class location rule making requirement, and inspection of in-service breakout tanks.

T&I's bill is an important approach reauthorizing PHMSA. Because the Energy and Commerce Committee has broader jurisdiction over federal agencies, our draft legislation reflects a comprehensive approach to reauthorize PHMSA's safety programs and update policies for pipeline permitting.

125 It is no secret; the United States is in desperate need 126 of expanded pipeline and energy infrastructure. Through 127 hearings on this Committee, we have heard warnings from 128 energy reliability experts, grid operators, and FERC 129 commissioners that the lack of pipeline capacity in this 130 country is contributing to reliability issues.

Unfortunately, this Administration has not taken these warnings seriously in continue efforts to block oil and gas development in the United States. Pipelines all over the country have been delayed and ultimately canceled as a result of permitting challenges and lawsuits funded and backed by radical environmental groups.

My draft legislation addresses this by strengthening penalties for damaging pipelines and incorporating permitting reform centered on safety, modernization, and expansion. It improves pipeline safety by updating PHMSA programs to reflect new technologies.

It puts an end to gas bans by protecting American people's right to choose energy source that fits their needs. Pipelines are essential to the energy security of the United States and I would like to say all my colleagues join me in support of this effort.

Now, the first four bills will take up our home appliances and another avenue by which this Administration has waged war on oil and gas is through aggressive and illegal energy efficiency mandates.

This Administration is using efficiency and appliance standards to pursue climate objectives over consumer choice. These standards will increase the cost of appliances and limit the availability of consumer options.

Last year, the Biden Administration began its assault on home appliance home appliances by trying to ban gas stoves. Now, they are trying to impose new mandates on virtually

158 every appliance in your home.

These bills that we are reviewing today address washing 159 160 machines, clothes dryers, dishwashers, refrigerators, freezers, and room air conditioners. These bills are simple. 161 They prohibit the Secretary of Energy from imposing new 162 efficiency mandates or enforcing existing standards for these 163 particular appliances unless the standards are cost effective 164 165 and technologically feasible, save the consumers money, and save a significant amount of energy. 166

I hope that all members of the Subcommittee, both Republican and Democrat, would support the energy efficiency standards as long as the technology exists to meet them. They keep more money in Americans' pockets and they save energy.

172 So in conclusion, all of the bills we are reviewing 173 today are in discussion draft format. We are open to 174 continuing work with our colleagues on both sides of the 175 aisle on these efforts.

176 I will now recognize the Ranking Member DeGette to get 177 for five minutes.

178 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Well, I am going to talk about the Pipeline Safety Bill primarily. The PHMSA Office of Pipeline Safety is charged with regulating the safety of onshore pipelines in the United States.

PHMSA sets the safety standards that pipelines must comply with and inspects interstate pipelines. In 2020, Congress passed the PIPES Act of 2020, which reauthorized, PHMSA's pipeline safety activities for three years.

187 The safety provisions included in this act are very 188 important. They mandate the pipeline operators to carry out 189 leak detection and repair programs on their own pipelines, 190 require strength and state standards for the safety of LNG 191 facilities and expand safety requirements and regulations to 192 nearly 500,000 miles of gathering pipelines.

As we know from past occurrences, pipelines are dangerous when neglected, and there are fatal incidents every year. On average, there are over 600 pipeline safety incidents each year resulting in 31 injuries and ten deaths. In this legislation, safety is not a part of the

198 formula. Committee Republicans allowed PHMSA's most recent 199 pipeline safety reauthorization to expire months ago without

200 taking any action whatsoever. And now they are trying to 201 advance a reckless partisan draft bill.

They are abdicating their responsibility to protect the American people from dangerous pipelines. The pipeline Safety Authorization Bill, enacted in 2020, expired last September. Nothing happened during the first year of the Republican majority to reauthorize the program.

The only thing that has happened is this draft bill full of partisan poison pill provisions. Ranking Member Pallone and I sent a letter to Chairs Rogers and Duncan last September, requesting that the Energy, Climate, and Grid Subcommittee hold an oversight hearing of the pipeline safety programs.

213 Republicans' lack of action is a total departure from 214 the typical bipartisan reauthorization process, and it is the 215 complete opposite of how we proceeded with the 216 reauthorization four years ago when Democrats were in the 217 majority.

The Republican majority just does not seem to be serious about pipeline safety because this bill is never going to become law. The funding, for example, would be a \$42 million

221 cut below current authorized levels.

And, also, this funding is significantly less than the authorization in the T&I bill, which proposed an average of \$80 million more each year for pipeline safety.

In the meantime, the Democrats have been focused on getting the agency the resources it needs. The bipartisan infrastructure law provided \$1 billion for investments in the say in the safety of natural gas distribution pipelines, and president Biden's Fiscal Year 2024 budget requested a \$38 million increase for PHMSA's pipeline safety budget.

The Republican bill contains multiple poison pill provisions that are completely unrelated to safety. One of these provisions allows FERC to effectively overrule any other agency in the pipeline permitting system.

Instead of pushing a partisan bill with giveaways to the fossil fuel industry that, as I said, will not become law, Republicans should join us in developing a bill that ensures that PHMSA has the staffing and resources necessary to implement its own mission.

Now, as the Chairman mentioned, we're also marking up five anti-efficiency bills that would delay and weaken

242 popular energy efficiency programs.

Each of these bills are intended to get the DOE's 243 244 ability to finalize and enforce efficiency standards related to different household appliances. Each of the bills targets 245 the Energy Conservation Standards Program established in the 246 Energy Policy and Conservation Act, which would impede DOE's 247 ability to finalize and enforce efficiency standards for 248 249 clothes washers, dryers, refrigerators, dishwashers, and room air conditioners. 250

None of these bills had a legislative hearing. Frankly, these efforts not only save on energy, they also save money for our constituents. So I really don't have any idea why we would pass this legislation.

Americans will save \$570 billion and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by more than 2.4 billion metric tons over the next 30 years under these standards. Newly proposed standards for water heaters alone could save households over \$200 a year.

260 Why? Why would we stop this? Our constituents want to 261 save this money, and it is also good for the environment. So 262 let's get real. I keep saying this. I feel like a broken

263 record. Let's work in a bipartisan way, and let's try to get 264 265 some of these things fixed. I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. 266 I will now recognize chair of the full Committee, Chair 267 Rodgers, for five minutes. 268 *The Chair. Most agree that global demand for liquid 269 270 fuels will continue to grow through at least 2050. As the world's number one producer of oil and natural gas, we have 271 an opportunity to cement America's energy leadership for 272 decades to come. 273 Today, the US has more than 3.4 million miles of 274 275 pipelines. To meet growing energy demands, we must modernize and expand our pipeline infrastructure. The US has been 276 blessed with an abundance of natural resources right under 277 our feet, which we have been able to harness as a result of 278 free market principles and an and an entrepreneurial spirit 279 280 that is uniquely American. We should be embracing this and building on it to 281

282 enhance America's energy security and competitive edge. At 283 the same time, we also need to ensure Americans are free to

choose the home appliances that work best for their lives. This Subcommittee will continue our important work through regular order to achieve these goals. We will be considering several bills that will update the nation's pipeline safety laws and stop the Biden Administration's war on America's home appliances.

This Committee's hearings with PHMSA, DOE, and FERC have all made clear the need for legislation to modernize our energy infrastructure and expand our pipeline system.

Additionally, Congress must act to reform DOE's energy efficiency authorities and prevent the Biden Administration from mandating standards that are neither cost effective nor technologically feasible.

The Pipeline Safety Modernization and Expansion Act, led by Chairman Duncan, will reduce energy prices for the American people, strengthen pipeline and LNG facility safety, and drive innovation in new technologies.

301 It will reauthorize PHMSA for five years and increase 302 the budget for state pipe pipeline safety inspectors who the 303 majority have the responsibility for the majority of the 304 work.

305 We believe that states should see a higher share of PHMSA's budget than they are currently receiving. Chairman 306 307 Duncan's legislation will also strengthen the reliability of our electric grid by encouraging the expansion of pipeline 308 network in existing right of ways, by stopping states and 309 local governments from blocking the flow of natural gas and 310 other fuels from being delivered to homes and power plants. 311 Today's markup also will consider solutions to 312 strengthen energy efficiency. This picks up from where we 313

The Department of Energy is waging war on Americans' home appliances. Early last year, the Biden Administration's DOE announced a ban on gas stoves. The House responded with an overwhelmingly bipartisan vote to stop this terribly misquided rule from taking effect.

left off in December's full Committee markup.

314

Regrettably, the Biden Administration has since announced new mandates for dozens more home appliances. If it is not stopped, the Administration's plans will impose burdensome and costly efficiency mandates for virtually every appliance in your home.

325 Today, the Subcommittee will consider legislation to

326 protect appliances across the board from washing machines, 327 dryers, and dishwashers, to refrigerators, freezers, and air 328 conditioners.

These efficiency bills would prohibit the Secretary of Energy from imposing new efficiency mandates or enforcing existing standards unless they pass a simple three-part test. First, DOE's efficiency standards must prove they are cost effective and technologically feasible. Second, they must save consumers money. And third, they must save a significant amount of energy.

There is nothing controversial about this approach. If DOE and the Democrats on this Committee and in the House support efficiency mandates and saving Americans money, join us.

Join us in advancing these bills to restrict DOE from overstepping its statutory authority. House Republicans will continue to put the American people first. Our economy, energy security, and the environment will only benefit from America's energy leadership and people's ability to have the freedom to choose home appliances that best fit their needs and preferences.

347	These bills are an important step to expand access to
348	affordable and reliable energy. I want to thank Chairman
349	Duncan and all the Subcommittee members for your work on
350	these bills. I yield back.
351	*Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.
352	I now recognize the Ranking Member of the full
353	Committee, Mr. Pallone from New Jersey, for five minutes.
354	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
355	Today, the Subcommittee is finally holding a markup on
356	pipeline safety more than five months after the most recent
357	pipeline safety reauthorization expired.
358	Unfortunately, once again, all our Republican majority
359	has to offer today is a reckless partisan bill that has very
360	little to do with safety and everything to do with gutting
361	environmental reviews to build more pipelines.
362	And this is in stark contrast to the bipartisan bill
363	passed by the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee
364	late last year.
365	The Subcommittee is also considering five extreme, anti-
366	energy efficiency bills that stand no chance of becoming law.
367	After today, the Subcommittee will have marked up 37 bills

this Congress, and only one has been led by a Democrat. Last week, we successfully moved our nuclear package through the House, but that bipartisan effort has been an anomaly among the barrage of hyper-partisan bills that this Subcommittee has moved forward this Congress.

And I cannot emphasize enough. It did not have to be this way. The issues before us today used to be bipartisan issues. Republicans used to work with us on pipeline safety. In fact, the Republicans on the Transportation Committee did just that, and Republicans used to work with us on energy efficiency.

Democrats are willing to continue working in a bipartisan fashion on these issues, but the Republican majority's actions have told us all we need to know, that they have no interest in passing durable legislation that can make it to the president's desk.

They are only interested in scoring political points even if it means undermining pipeline safety or raising America's energy bills. And as a result, none of these bills will ever become law.

388 Now let's start with the Republican so-called Pipeline

389 Safety Bill. Throughout this congress, Republicans have 390 shirked their duty to reauthorize the Pipeline and Hazardous 391 Material Safety Administration or PHMSA's pipeline safety 392 responsibilities.

They never held an oversight hearing. They never made an effort to sit down and discuss shared priorities. Instead, they simply circulated a partisan draft bill focused more on building pipelines than safety mere weeks before PHMSA's reauthorization, or authorization expired.

Now, reauthorizing pipeline safety programs and moving a 398 bipartisan bill takes collaboration, communication, and good 399 faith negotiation. None of that has occurred here. Even 400 now, Republicans remain completely unwilling to work with us 401 in addressing their bills poison pill provisions that would 402 qut environmental reviews, allow pipeline companies to 403 override state decisions, and recklessly build those through 404 the pipeline permitting process to allow industry to build as 405 406 many pipelines as it wants.

407 Even more radically, their bill would slash

authorizations for pipeline safety activities by \$42 millionper year or nearly 20 percent. It would fund PHMSA at levels

\$22 million below the bipartisan spending bill that we are 410 set to pass tomorrow or today, I quess. 411 412 In other words, this bill asked PHMSA to do more with less and is singularly focused on building more pipelines. I 413 want to be clear. A vote for this bill in its current form 414 is a vote to make pipelines more dangerous. 415 Demanding that PHMSA regulate more pipelines with less 416 417 money is a recipe for pipeline disaster, not pipeline safety. Last year, 22 Americans died in pipeline related incidents. 418 Any pipeline safety legislation must be exclusively 419 focused on safety and how to get more resources to PHMSA's 420 programs, not less. 421 422 In addition to this dangerous pipeline bill, Republicans have included in today's markup five bills, gutting 423 efficiency standards for America's household appliances. 424 These bills did not receive a legislative hearing. We 425 did not even receive the text of these bills until late last 426 427 week, and we have not received any expert witness testimony 428 on them. What we do know is that these five bills are 429 deliberately designed to obstruct the Department of Energy's 430

Appliance Efficiency Program. They rely on the same old
tricks to slow down and burden an already rigorous energy
efficiency standard setting process.

DOE already has a thorough process to set standards for household appliances, and that process already includes assessing whether new standards are technologically feasible and economically justified.

The fact is that energy efficiency standards are popular, common sense, and a low-cost tool to save Americans money on the energy bills.

Collectively, the Biden Administration's past and planned energy efficient actions will save Americans \$570 billion over the next 30 years. That is a significant savings for families.

What is even more absurd is that four out of these five anti-efficiency bills target appliance standards that were included in recent consensus recommendations from both appliance manufacturers and advocates.

449 So that means Republicans are targeting efficiency 450 standards that the industry supports. All the bills on 451 today's market were nothing more than bad faith messaging

452	bills designed to help Republicans and their corporate
453	polluter friends, not America's families.
454	These bills have no future. They prove just how far the
455	Republican majority has strayed from the kind of thoughtful
456	bipartisanship that we have historically seen on these
457	important issues.
458	And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of
459	my time.
460	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
461	Committee members are reminded to opening statements
462	will be made part of the record. Let's get to work.
463	Chair calls up the discussion draft to prohibit the
464	Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy
465	conservation standards for closed washers that are not cost
466	effective or technologically feasible and ask the clerk to
467	report.
468	*The Clerk. Committee print, a bill to prohibit the
469	Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy
470	conservation standards.
471	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is

472 dispensed with and discussion draft be open for amendment at

473	any point.
474	So ordered.
475	Does anyone seek recognition on the discussion draft?
476	I move to strike the last word.
477	[The bill follows:]
478	
479	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
480	

*Mr. Duncan. I recognize myself and move to strike the last word and speak in favor of the Committee print to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy conservation standards related to closed washers that are not cost effective or technologically feasible.

This bill is pretty straightforward. It clarifies the intent of the Energy Policy Conservation Act, which requires the Department of Energy to find that new standards will result in significant conservation of energy and are technologically feasible and economically justified before moving forward with the standard.

Unfortunately, the Biden administration has repeatedly ignored these requirements. Just last week, the Department of Energy released the final efficiency standards for residential clothes dryers and washers.

The final rule for residential clothes washers published February 29, 2024, will apply to top loading and frontloading washers. Compliance with the standards will be required by March 1st of 2028.

501 This new rule faces legal uncertainty and will increase

502 the already high cost for clothes dryers. Some estimates 503 predict the new standards for washing machines would increase 504 per unit prices by as high as \$200.

505 Under the APCA, these standards are intended to save 506 energy and money for consumers. Unfortunately, the Biden 507 Administration's prioritized radical efficiency groups over 508 low-income residents, renters, and first-time homebuyers.

509 This has resulted in standards which will increase 510 prices for these critical home appliances, reduce consumer 511 choices, and impair their performance.

Let me just say this. I represent Newberry County, South Carolina. Samsung builds washing machines in my district. Visit the plant. The water efficiency standards, the other efficient standards that they implement while remaining very competitive in the market place is important to Samsung. It is important to the jobs that they create there and a lot of my constituents.

519 And raising the per unit prices by as high as \$200 per 520 washing machine is just not economically feasible for 521 constituents and average Americans.

522 So I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill,

523	which prioritizes affordability and consumer choice for all
524	Americans.
525	And with that, I yield back.
526	Is there anyone else?
527	Mr. Pallone is recognized for five minutes.
528	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to
529	strike the last word.
530	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized.
531	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you.
532	The five appliance efficiency discussion drafts before
533	us today are all very similar, so I am going to speak in
534	opposition to all of them at once.
535	This markup is the first time we have seen these bills.
536	They haven't been officially introduced, and we haven't had a
537	legislative hearing on them. We don't have any expert
538	testimony on these bills or their effects.
539	And the thing is expert testimony would be really
540	helpful here. These discussion drafts use a lot of vague and
541	duplicative language. In some places, they restate what is
542	already in law.
543	In other places, they introduced new ambiguous
	27

thresholds for appliance conservation standards, and we have no idea what these bills mean or what they do. But we can read between the lines.

These bills are obviously designed to weaken the 547 Department of Energy's ability to finalize and enforce 548 conservation standards for common household appliances. 549 They employ the same Republican tactics that we have seen over and 550 551 over this congress, and the strategy doesn't make any sense. These bills even target appliance efficiency standards 552 that have industry support. Four out of the five of the 553 appliances targeted by these bills were included in a 554 consensus agreement between industry and efficiency advocates 555 556 last September.

557 Industry supports these standards, and it is ridiculous 558 that Republicans continue to go after them. Now what is also 559 surprising me to me that these bills are even before us 560 today.

These five bills look very similar to Representative Lesko's H.R. 6192, the Hands Off Our Home Appliance Act, which passed the Committee in December. H.R. 6192 applied similar changes to the entire efficiency program as opposed

to today's focused approach on individual appliances.

566 So is the majority abandoning the Lesko bill and its 567 attack on the program as a whole for this more tailored 568 approach?

I can think of no other reason why applying the policies in her bill, to specific appliances would make sense. Now, the thing is we all know that none of these bills are becoming law.

573 Rather than focusing on issues where we can work 574 together and help everyday Americans, Republicans continue 575 their tired attack on programs like energy conservation 576 standards that are working well and helping Americans save 577 money.

And this is clearly designed to score political points at the expense of everyday Americans. So there really isn't much more to say here, Mr. Chairman. Conservation standards are popular. They save money on utility bills. They reduce emissions. I just wish the majority would realize their persistent attacks on energy efficiency are just a waste of time.

585

So, therefore, I would urge my colleagues to vote

586 against all five of these duplicative, unnecessary bills. And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 587 588 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Any other members seeking recognition? 589 The gentlelady from Arizona, Ms. Lesko, is recognized 590 for five minutes. 591 *Ms. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 592 593 I am in strong support of these individual, I call them Hands Off Our Home Appliances Bill and my bill that already 594 passed through the Committee called Hands Off Our Home 595 Appliances. 596 And the reason it is needed is because I hear from 597 constituents that say their appliances aren't working. You 598 know, the Administration has just gone too far. 599 They have gone too far when appliances don't even work properly because 600 of the strict standards that the Biden Administration is 601 putting forward. 602

I mean, it is too extreme when the Biden Administration is doing all of these radical things because of the radical constituency that he has in order to win an election.

The problem is is the average person doesn't realize why

607 their dishwasher isn't working as well. Why their dishwasher 608 takes so many hours to do it. They don't understand why 609 their washing machine or dryer isn't working as good as their 610 old one when they have to replace it.

The reason is all these crazy regulations, and that is why the Republicans want to keep consumer choice. They want to be able to allow consumers to purchase, you know, a good working, reliable washers, dryers, dishwashers, not have the government mandate to individuals what kind of appliances they can buy.

I mean, this is just typical government from the top down telling our consumers, our constituents, what they can and cannot buy just like they have done with the mandate on all electric vehicles, and this has got to stop.

And that is why I support this bill and my Hands Off Our Home Appliances Bill and I yield back.

623 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.

Anyone else seeking recognition on the bill?

625 Mr. Tonko is recognized for five minutes.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to strike thelast word.

*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized.
*Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Mr. Chair, I express my
opposition to all five energy efficiency proposals under
consideration today.

Energy efficiency must be our fuel of choice whenever possible. We know the cheapest watt of electricity is the one that does not need to be generated in the first place.

And DOE's efficiency standard setting process has a long track record of working and working effectively. It has been proven to provide Americans with significant energy and utility bill savings.

Blocking the implementation and enforcement of standards, including recently finalized standards that were recommended in a landmark agreement between American manufacturers and energy efficiency advocates, would deny these benefits to our consumers.

It is clear that China and other foreign competitors that want to dump cheap, inefficient, and ineffective products into the US market without concerns for the operating costs or energy use of American households stand to benefit from weak standards and a non-enforcement policy.

It is also clear, based on testimony from numerous witnesses at the Subcommittee's hearings on reliability, that more efficient appliances play an important role in enhancing and in managing grid reliability.

We are expecting US electricity demand to grow in the future due to the Biden Administration led manufacturing renaissance, as well as the widespread adoption of EVs and heat pumps.

This is an incredibly exciting and transformational 657 moment for our electricity sector. Why would we not seek to 658 reduce existing demand in cost effective ways that benefit 659 consumers in order to better integrate these new loads? 660 Mr. Chair, these five proposals are bad for American 661 They are bad for American manufacturers. 662 consumers. Thev are bad for the people responsible for ensuring grid 663 reliability. 664

665 So with that, I urge members to oppose each of them. 666 And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chair.

667 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

Is there any other member seeking recognition on the bill?

Are there any bipartisan amendments?

671 Ms. Castro is recognized for five minutes.

⁶⁷² *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike⁶⁷³ the last word.

674 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized.

*Ms. Castor. Thank you. Energy efficient appliances
save American families and businesses money every day. These
kind of standards helps spur innovation among manufacturers.
I mean, just think about it. Think back to the appliances
your grandparents had and then your parents, they were even
better.

And today, there are all sorts of energy efficient appliances, modern appliances that use less energy, and they reduce electric bills for families who need it.

So this is really bad public policy to gut energy efficiency standards. It is not the serious work that Americans expect from the Congress and especially this Committee.

Ranking Member Pallone called it absurd. I think that is apt. I also think it is embarrassing. It is embarrassing that you are siding with oil and gas industry over our

691 neighbors back home who could use a little bit of money back 692 in their pocket when they purchase, you know, make a big 693 purchase on their home appliance.

It is a slap in the face to the manufacturers who, in many cases, have agreed to these standards. And I think that is one of the reasons why you spurred these bills on. You brought them as a surprise.

There haven't been any hearings on this because I think what you would hear from a lot of the industry manufacturers is that they agree. That is why the Department of Energy, Congress directed the Department of Energy when they go and update energy efficiency standards.

They require the agency to determine whether the standards are economically justifiable, whether they are technologically feasible for the appliance manufacturers.

This bill would gut that process. You are going to substitute your judgment, the judgment of the oil and gas industry, and, utilities who love to have consumers spend as much as possible.

Well, I am going to side with my neighbors back home wholike energy efficiency standards. They like modern

712 appliances. They need relief from their high electric bills 713 right now. 714 Energy efficiency standards also used to have bipartisan support. And I think across the country, if you would ask, 715 if I go down my street and I ask my neighbors, no matter how 716 they are registered, do they support energy efficient 717 appliances, it is not going to matter how they are 718 719 registered. But something happens when you bring these things 720 through Congress. It gets warped by the oil and gas lobby, 721 and that that has just been a theme of this Congress, 722 723 unfortunately. 724 More efficient appliances like air conditioners and dishwashers, not only help my neighbors save money every 725 month by using less energy to cool their homes and clean 726 their dishes. 727 728 This, relief is especially important to working class 729 Americans who are often over overburdened by the cost of electricity. And I really do not appreciate you trying to 730 stick them with higher electric bills. 731 And I want to I want to thank the Biden Administration 732

and the Department of Energy for working to promote energy efficiency, as Congress has directed, by making sure that manufacturers continue to innovate and produce appliances that save consumers money.

You know, if a consumer were to swap the least efficient model today for their washers to meet the common models available under the new energy efficiency standards, they would save about a \$120 per year according to water one analysis.

So I am asking all of you, reject the Republican and oil and gas industry's attempt to raise cost, to gut energy efficiency standards, and hike our energy bills.

And I am going to yield the balance of my time to Mr.Cardenas.

747 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you for your efficiency and748 allowing me a minute.

I just want to point out the inefficiency of the process that the Republicans have chosen to take today. They have a separate bill just for clothes washers, a separate bill just for clothes dryers, a separate bill for dishwashers, and a separate bill for refrigerators, and a separate bill for air

754 conditioners. Could they at least be efficient in trying to save us 755 756 time, energy, and all the above by just combining them all in one because they all boil down to the same thing. 757 They refuse to recognize efficiency and saving time, 758 759 energy, and money, et cetera, et cetera. I just wanted to point out that just glaring example of the inefficiency of my 760 761 Republican colleagues even in the process of legislating. And with that, I yield back. 762 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. 763 Any further discussion? 764 All right. Seeing there is no further discussion, the 765 vote will occur on the bill. 766 *Mr. Palmer. Mr. chairman? 767 *Mr. Duncan. Yes. 768 *Mr. Palmer. If I may, I would like to speak on the 769 770 bill. 771 *Mr. Duncan. Mr. Palmer, you are recognized for five 772 minutes. *Mr. Palmer. You know, I sit here and listen to my 773 Democratic colleagues talk about this and how certain 774 38

775 neighborhoods want these much more expensive appliances. I 776 think in a lot of those neighborhoods, those people can 777 afford them.

But in the area where I grew up, where I spent my boyhood, they can't afford that. These bills are absolutely necessary as a first step, of many steps, to offset the massive increase in the cost of household utilities and in groceries because of the inflation brought on by the policies of the Biden Administration and by bills passed by the Democratic majority in the last Congress.

Grocery prices are up, Mr. Chairman, over 21 percent. I visited the Central Alabama Food Bank a week ago, Monday. I was really surprised to find out how many senior citizens are having to rely on the Food Bank for their groceries because they cannot afford their household utilities and their medicine and their groceries.

791 Inflation has done that to people. And what we are 792 trying to do is to protect people's ability to make a living, 793 to be able to support their families, to be able to feed 794 themselves for crying out loud.

So, you know, when we get into these issues, there is a

796	reason why the American people are looking to us for
797	solutions, because they have suffered under the Biden
798	Administration and under the policies and the bills, the
799	legislation passed by our Democratic colleagues when they had
800	the majority in the last Congress.
801	And I hate to bring this up, but, you know, when we talk
802	about pipelines, first thing popped in my head is that
803	pipeline bringing illegal migrants into this country, that
804	they refused to close that pipeline.
805	With that, Mr. chairman, I yield back.
806	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
807	Any further discussion?
808	Seeing none, we will now, call for the vote on the
809	clothes dryer's bill.
810	And all those in favor, say aye.
811	Any opposed, nay?
812	In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it.
813	*Ms. DeGette. Recorded vote.
814	*Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady request for recorded vote.
815	The clerk will call the roll.
816	*The Clerk. Burgess?

817	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
818	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
819	Latta?
820	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
821	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
822	Guthrie?
823	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
824	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
825	Griffith?
826	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
827	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
828	Bucshon?
829	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
830	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
831	Wahlberg?
832	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
833	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
834	Palmer?
835	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
836	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
837	Curtis?

838	(No response.)
839	*The Clerk. Lesko?
840	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
841	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
842	Pence?
843	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
844	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
845	Armstrong?
846	*Mr. Armstrong. Aye.
847	*The Clerk. Armstrong votes aye
848	Weber?
849	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
850	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
851	Balderson?
852	(No response.)
853	*The Clerk. Pfluger?
854	(No response.)
855	*The Clerk. Duncan?
856	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
857	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
858	DeGette?

859	*Ms. DeGette. No.
860	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
861	Peters?
862	*Mr. Peters. No.
863	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
864	Fletcher?
865	(No response.)
866	*The Clerk. Matsui?
867	*Ms. Matsui. No.
868	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
869	Tonko?
870	*Mr. Tonko. No.
871	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
872	Veasey?
873	(No response.)
874	*The Clerk. Kuster?
875	*Ms. Kuster. No.
876	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
877	Schreier?
878	*Ms. Schrier. No.
879	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.

880	Castor?
881	*Ms. Castor. No.
882	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
883	Sarbanes?
884	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
885	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
886	Cardenas?
887	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
888	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
889	Blunt Rochester?
890	(No response.)
891	*The Clerk. Pallone?
892	*Mr. Pallone. No.
893	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
894	Chair Rodgers?
895	*The Chair. Aye.
896	*The Clerk. Chair Rodgers votes aye.
897	*Mr. Duncan. Are the any members unrecorded?
898	Mr. Pfluger, how is he recorded?
899	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger is not recorded.
900	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.

901	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
902	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Balderson recorded?
903	*The Clerk. Balderson is not recorded.
904	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
905	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
906	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Curtis recorded?
907	*The Clerk. Curtis is not recorded.
908	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
909	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
910	*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
911	Seeing none, the clerk will report total.
912	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 16
913	ayes and 10 noes.
914	*Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it.
915	The discussion draft will be sent to the floor.
916	We will move on to the next bill.
917	Chair calls up discussion draft to prohibit the
918	Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy
919	conservation standards for clothes dryers that are not cost
920	effective or technologically feasible and ask clerk to
921	report.

922	*The Clerk. Committee print, a bill to prohibit the
923	Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy
924	conservation standards for clothes dryers that are not $_$
925	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
926	dispensed with.
927	The discussion draft be open for amendment at any point
928	so ordered.
929	Any member seeking recognition on the draft?
930	Mr. Wahlberg is recognized for five minutes.
931	[The bill follows:]
932	
933	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
934	

*Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to 935 strike the last word and speak in favor? 936 937 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Walberg. I speak in favor of the Committee print 938 to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or 939 enforcing energy conservation standards related to clothes 940 dryers that are not cost effective or technologically 941 942 feasible. I think many here have experienced the unfortunate 943 situation when you run your dryer and because of new 944 efficiency updates, it decides on its own. When your clothes 945 are dry and it shuts off, then you are stuck with damp 946 clothes you have to run through again, wasting more time, 947 more money, and more electricity. 948 This bill is straightforward. It clarifies intent of 949 the Energy Policy Conservation Act, which requires the 950 Department of Energy find that new standards will result in a 951

952 significant conservation of energy and are technologically 953 feasible and economically justified before moving forward 954 with the standard.

955 Unfortunately, the Biden Administration has repeatedly

956 ignored these requirements. This bill is especially prudent given the Department of Energy recently set final efficiency 957 958 standards for residential dryers, residential clothes 959 washers, and dryers. The final rule for residential clothes dryers published 960 on February 29, 2024, will apply to both electric and gas 961 models. Compliance with the standard is required by March 1, 962 963 2028. This new rule faces legal uncertainty and will increase 964 the already high cost of clothes dryers. I urge all of my 965 colleagues to support this bill, which prioritizes 966 affordability and consumer choice for all Americans. 967 Thank you, and I yield back. 968 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. 969 Any other member seeking recognition on the bill? 970 Seeing none, we will now ask any other any amendments, 971 bipartisan or no amendments at all? 972 973 We will now move to a vote. All those in favor of passing the bill, say aye. 974 Any opposed, nay? 975 *Mr. Duncan. In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have 976

977	it and a recorded vote has been requested, so the clerk will
978	call the roll.
979	*The Clerk. Burgess?
980	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
981	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
982	Latta?
983	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
984	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
985	Guthrie?
986	(No response.)
987	*The Clerk. Griffith?
988	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
989	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
990	Bucshon?
991	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
992	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
993	Wahlberg?
994	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
995	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
996	Palmer?
997	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.

998	*The Clerk.	Palmer votes aye.
999	Curtis?	
1000	(No response.	.)
1001	*The Clerk.	Lesko?
1002	*Ms. Lesko.	Aye.
1003	*The Clerk.	Lesko votes aye.
1004	Pence?	
1005	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
1006	*The Clerk.	Pence votes aye.
1007	Armstrong?	
1008	*Mr. Armstror	ng. Aye.
1009	*The Clerk.	Armstrong votes aye.
1010	Weber?	
1011	*Mr. Weber.	Aye.
1012	*The Clerk.	Weber votes aye.
1013	Balderson?	
1014	*Mr. Balderso	on. Yes.
1015	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
1016	Pfluger?	
1017	*Mr. Pfluger.	. Aye.
1018	*The Clerk.	Pfluger votes aye.

1019	Duncan?
1020	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1021	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
1022	DeGette?
1023	*Ms. DeGette. No.
1024	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
1025	Peters?
1026	*Mr. Peters. No.
1027	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1028	Fletcher?
1029	(No response.)
1030	*The Clerk. Matsui?
1031	*Ms. Matsui. No.
1032	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1033	Tonko?
1034	*Mr. Tonko. No.
1035	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1036	Veasey?
1037	(No response.)
1038	*The Clerk. Kuster?
1039	*Ms. Kuster. No.

1040	*The	Clerk.	Kuster votes no.
1041	Schre	eier?	
1042	*Ms.	Schrier.	No.
1043	*The	Clerk.	Schreier votes no.
1044	Casto	or?	
1045	*Ms.	Castor.	No.
1046	*The	Clerk.	Castor votes no.
1047	Sarba	ines?	
1048	*Mr.	Sarbanes	s. No.
1049	*The	Clerk.	Sarbanes votes no.
1050	Carde	enas?	
1051	*Mr.	Cardenas	s. No.
1052	*The	Clerk.	Cardenas votes no.
1053	Blunt	Rochest	cer?
1054	(No 1	response.	.)
1055	*The	Clerk.	Pallone?
1056	*Mr.	Pallone.	No.
1057	*The	Clerk.	Pallone votes no.
1058	Rodge	ers?	
1059	*The	Chair.	Aye.
1060	*The	Clerk.	Chair Rodgers votes aye.
			52

- 1061 *Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
- 1062 *Mr. Guthrie. Guthrie votes aye.
- 1063 *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
- 1064 *Mr. Duncan. Mr. Curtis?
- 1065 *The Clerk. Curtis is not recorded.
- 1066 *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
- 1067 *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.

1068 *Mr. Duncan. Any member unrecorded?

- 1069 The clerk will report.
- 1070 *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 16 1071 ayes and 10 noes.
- 1072 *Mr. Duncan. The bill is agreed to.
- 1073 The Chair will now call up the discussion draft to
- 1074 prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or
- 1075 enforcing energy conservation standards for dishwashers.

1076They are not cost effective or technologically feasible1077and ask the clerk to report.

1078 *The Clerk. Committee print a bill to prohibit the 1079 Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy 1080 conservation standards for dishwashers that are not cost 1081 effective or technologically

1082 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is suspended with and the discussion draft be open for amendment 1083 1084 anytime. So ordered. 1085 Does anyone seek recognition on the bill? 1086 Mr. Latta is recognized. 1087 *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. chairman. Strike the last 1088 1089 word? 1090 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1091 I move to speak in favor of the Committee print to 1092 prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or 1093 1094 enforcing energy conference reservation standards related to dishwashers that are neither cost effective nor 1095 technologically feasible. 1096 The Committee print is straightforward. It clarifies 1097 intent of the Energy Policy Conservation Act, which requires 1098 1099 the Department of Energy find that efficiency standards they set meet three requirements. 1100 Number one, they conserve significant amounts of energy. 1101 Number two, they are technologically feasible. And number 1102

1103 three, they are economically justified.

1104 Unfortunately, the Biden Administration has ignored 1105 these requirements and issued a notice of proposed rulemaking 1106 for dishwashers in May of 2023.

According to Biden Administration's proposed rule, it would take over 12 years for the proposed efficiency upgrades to pay themselves back. That means the American consumer will have to pay more money upfront for a dishwasher that they won't get paid back on for 12 years.

This new stringent energy and water efficiency standard for dishwashers will make existing matters even worse for everyday Americans. Under one estimate, these new efficiency standards may increase the cost by over \$200 per appliance.

1116 The cost of these efficiency standards is being passed 1117 on directly to the consumer. Americans have already been 1118 facing record high levels inflation.

1119 It is apparent that stringent efficiency standards and 1120 home appliance that are just part of the broader Biden 1121 Administration effort to pursue climate policies over all 1122 else.

Just recently, the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals

1124 determined the Biden Administration's dishwasher rule did not adequately consider appliance performance in its actions. 1125 1126 This further proves the Biden Administration is repelling repeatedly ignored the statutory obligations and 1127 prioritized the wishes of the radical environmental groups 1128 1129 over the American consumer. With that, I urge all my colleagues to support this 1130 1131 legislation which prioritizes affordability and consumer choice for all Americans. 1132 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of 1133 1134 my time. The gentleman yields back. *Mr. Duncan. 1135 1136 Any other members seeking recognition on the bill? Don't see any of the amendments for the bill. 1137 Seeing no amendments being offered, the question now 1138 occurs on forwarding the discussion draft to the full 1139 Committee, actually, to the floor not to the full Committee. 1140 1141 All those in favor, say aye. 1142 Any opposed, nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it. 1143 A roll call vote has been requested, so the clerk will 1144

1145	call th	e roll.	
1146	*T]	ne Clerk.	Burgess?
1147	(N	o response	.)
1148	*T]	ne Clerk.	Latta?
1149	*M	r. Latta.	Aye.
1150	*T]	ne Clerk.	Latta votes aye.
1151	Gu	chrie?	
1152	*M	r. Guthrie	. Aye.
1153	*T]	ne Clerk.	Guthrie votes aye.
1154	Gr	iffith?	
1155	*M	r. Griffit	h. Aye.
1156	*T]	ne Clerk.	Griffith votes aye.
1157	Bu	cshon?	
1158	*M	r. Bucshon	. Aye.
1159	*T]	ne Clerk.	Bucshon votes aye.
1160	Wa	nlberg?	
1161	*M	r. Walberg	. Aye.
1162	*T]	ne Clerk.	Wahlberg votes aye.
1163	Pa	lmer?	
1164	*M	r. Palmer.	Aye.
1165	*T	ne Clerk.	Palmer votes aye.

1166	Curtis?
1167	(No response.)
1168	*The Clerk. Lesko?
1169	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
1170	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1171	Pence?
1172	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1173	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1174	Armstrong?
1175	(No response.)
1176	*The Clerk. Weber?
1177	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
1178	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
1179	Balderson?
1180	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1181	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1182	Pfluger?
1183	(No response.)
1184	*The Clerk. Duncan?
1185	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1186	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.

1187	DeGette?
1188	*Ms. DeGette. No.
1189	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
1190	Peters?
1191	*Mr. Peters. No.
1192	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1193	Fletcher?
1194	(No response.)
1195	*The Clerk. Matsui?
1196	*Ms. Matsui. No.
1197	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1198	Tonko?
1199	*Mr. Tonko. No.
1200	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1201	Veasey?
1202	(No response.)
1203	*The Clerk. Kuster?
1204	*Ms. Kuster. No.
1205	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1206	Schreier?
1207	*Ms. Schrier. No.

1208	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.
1209	Castor?
1210	*Ms. Castor. No.
1211	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
1212	Sarbanes?
1213	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1214	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1215	Cardenas?
1216	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
1217	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1218	Blunt Rochester?
1219	(No response.)
1220	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1221	*Mr. Pallone. No.
1222	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1223	Rodgers?
1224	*The Chair. Aye.
1225	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
1226	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Burgess recorded?
1227	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess is not recorded.
1228	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.

1229	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1230	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Curtis recorded?
1231	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
1232	*Mr. Curtis. Curtis votes aye.
1233	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
1234	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Pfluger recorded?
1235	*The Clerk. Mr. Pfluger is not recorded.
1236	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
1237	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
1238	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
1239	Seeing none, the clerk will report.
1240	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 15
1241	ayes and 10 noes.
1242	*Mr. Duncan. The bill has passed and it will be moved
1243	on to the full Committee.
1244	The Chair will now call up the discussion draft to
1245	prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or
1246	enforcing energy conservation standards for refrigerators,
1247	refrigerator freezers, and freezers that are not cost
1248	effective or technologically feasible, and ask the clerk to
1249	report.

1250	*The Clerk. Committee print, a bill to prohibit the
1251	Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy
1252	conservation standards for refrigerators, refrigerator
1253	freezers, and freezers that are not cost effective or not $_$
1254	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
1255	dispensed with and the discussion draft will be open for
1256	amendment at any point.
1257	So ordered.
1258	Does anyone seek recognition on the bill?
1259	Mr. Balderson is recognized.
1260	[The bill follows:]
1261	
1262	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1263	

1264 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to strike the last word and speak in support of 1265 1266 the Committee print. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman's recognized. 1267 *Mr. Balderson. I am proud to support this 1268 straightforward legislation, which clarifies the intent of 1269 Energy Policy Conservation Act. 1270 1271 The bill requires the Department of Energy to confirm that new efficiency standards will result in a significant 1272 conservation of energy and that these standards are 1273 technologically feasible and economically justified before 1274 moving forward. 1275 1276 These efficiency standards are supposed to be about 1277 saving energy and saving money. Unfortunately, that has not been the case under this Administration. 1278 On January 17th, the Biden Administration issued a 1279 direct final rule to regulate refrigerators and freezers. 1280 1281 The regulation will increase prices for these essential home 1282 appliances, reduce consumer choices, and impair their performance. 1283 DOE argues that new efficiency mandates will eventually 1284

1285 save consumers money, but how many years do they have to 1286 wait? According to DOE's technical support documents, a 1287 simple payback period for refrigerators and freezers could be 1288 ten years. That's right. Ten years for a product that is 1289 usually only expected to last 14 to 15 years.

The Biden Administration wants everyone, including lowincome residents, renters, and first-time home buyers to pay more for energy efficiency appliances with the false promise that they will break even in ten years.

1294 Reforms to the Department's authority to impose sweeping 1295 efficiency mandates are long overdue.

1296 Thank you, Chairman Duncan, for holding this markup. I 1297 urge support for the Committee print, and I yield back.

1298 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

1299 Anyone seeking recognition?

1300 I recognize myself. I move to strike the last word and 1301 recognize myself.

I have another manufacturer in my district. That is Electrolux. They roll a refrigerator off the line every four seconds. To watch the efficiency of the workers to build very efficient refrigerators, refrigerator freezers, And also

1306	see the R&D that goes in to a refrigerator is amazing.
1307	Again, this company tries to meet efficiency standards,
1308	be competitive in the marketplace, creates thousands of jobs,
1309	and provides cost efficient appliances for everyday
1310	Americans.
1311	So I would urge my colleagues to support this bill. And
1312	with that, I yield back.
1313	*Mr. Duncan. Anyone else seeking recognition?
1314	Seeing none, any amendments to the bill?
1315	Seeing none, we will now go to a vote.
1316	All those in favor of passing the bill to full Committee
1317	will say, aye.
1318	Any opposed, nay?
1319	In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
1320	A roll call vote has been requested and the clerk will
1321	roll call the roll.
1322	*The Clerk. Burgess?
1323	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1324	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1325	Latta?
1326	(No response.)

1327	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1328	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1329	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1330	Griffith?
1331	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1332	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1333	Bucshon?
1334	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1335	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1336	Wahlberg?
1337	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1338	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
1339	Palmer?
1340	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
1341	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
1342	Curtis?
1343	(No response.)
1344	*The Clerk. Lesko?
1345	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
1346	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1347	Pence?

1348	*Mr. Pence.	Aye.
1349	*The Clerk.	Pence votes aye.
1350	Armstrong?	
1351	(No response	.)
1352	*The Clerk.	Weber?
1353	*Mr. Weber.	Aye.
1354	*The Clerk.	Weber votes aye.
1355	Balderson?	
1356	*Mr. Balderso	on. Aye.
1357	*The Clerk.	Balderson votes aye.
1358	Pfluger?	
1359	*Mr. Pfluger	. Aye.
1360	*The Clerk.	Pfluger votes aye.
1361	*The Clerk.	Duncan?
1362	*Mr. Duncan.	Aye.
1363	*The Clerk.	Duncan votes aye.
1364	DeGette?	
1365	*Ms. DeGette	. No.
1366	*The Clerk.	DeGette votes no.
1367	Peters?	
1368	*Mr. Peters.	No.

1369	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1370	Fletcher?
1371	(No response.)
1372	*The Clerk. Matsui?
1373	*Ms. Matsui. No.
1374	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1375	Tonko?
1376	*Mr. Tonko. No.
1377	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1378	Veasey?
1379	(No response.)
1380	*The Clerk. Kuster?
1381	*Ms. Kuster. No.
1382	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1383	Schreier?
1384	*Ms. Schrier. No.
1385	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.
1386	Castor?
1387	*Ms. Castor. No.
1388	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
1389	Sarbanes?

1390	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1391	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1392	Cardenas?
1393	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
1394	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1395	Blunt Rochester?
1396	(No response.)
1397	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1398	*Mr. Pallone. No.
1399	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1400	Rodgers?
1401	*The Chair. Aye.
1402	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
1403	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
1404	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
1405	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
1406	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta votes aye.
1407	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Curtis recorded?
1408	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
1409	*Mr. Curtis. Curtis votes aye.
1410	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.

1411 *Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded? Seeing none, the clerk will report. 1412 *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 15 1413 ayes and 10 noes. 1414 The ayes have it and the discussion draft 1415 *Mr. Duncan. is agreed to and will be moved to the full Committee. 1416 The Chair now calls up the discussion draft to prohibit 1417 1418 the Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy conservation standards for room air conditioners that are not 1419 cost effective or technologically feasible and ask clerk to 1420 1421 report. 1422 *The Clerk. Committee print. A bill to prohibit the 1423 Secretary of Energy from prescribing or enforcing energy conservation 1424 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is 1425 dispensed with. The discussion drafts draft will be open for 1426 amendment at any point. 1427 1428 So ordered. Any member seeking recognition on the discussion draft? 1429 Seeing no discussion, are there any amendments to be 1430 offered? 1431

1432	Seeing no amendments to be offered.
1433	I will recognize myself, move to strike last word to
1434	speak in favor of the bill.
1435	So recognized.
1436	
1437	
1438	
1439	[The bill follows:]
1440	
1441	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
1442	

1443 *Mr. Duncan. This bill is straightforward. Ιt clarifies the intent of the Energy Policy Conservation Act, 1444 which requires Department of Energy to find new standards, 1445 1446 which will result in significant conservation of energy and technologically feasible and economically justified before 1447 moving forward. 1448 As we have heard, unfortunately, the Biden 1449 1450 Administration has repeatedly ignored these requirements. Ι urge my colleagues to join me in support of the Committee 1451 print to end the Biden Administration's war on our home 1452 appliances, and I yield back. 1453 Any other members seeking recognition? 1454 1455 Seeing none, we will now move to a vote. 1456 All those in favor of passing the discussion draft, will say, aye. 1457 Any opposed? 1458 A roll call vote has been requested, so the clerk will 1459 1460 call the roll. *The Clerk. Burgess? 1461 (No response.) 1462 *The Clerk. Latta? 1463

1464	(No response.)
1465	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1466	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1467	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1468	Griffith?
1469	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1470	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1471	Bucshon?
1472	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1473	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1474	Wahlberg?
1475	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1476	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
1477	Palmer?
1478	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
1479	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
1480	Curtis?
1481	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1482	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
1483	Ms. Lesko?
1484	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.

1485	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1486	Pence?
1487	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1488	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1489	Armstrong?
1490	(No response.)
1491	*The Clerk. Weber?
1492	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
1493	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
1494	Balderson?
1495	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1496	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1497	Pfluger?
1498	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
1499	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
1500	Duncan?
1501	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1502	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
1503	DeGette?
1504	*Ms. DeGette. No.
1505	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.

1506	Peters?
1507	*Mr. Peters. No.
1508	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1509	Fletcher?
1510	(No response.)
1511	*The Clerk. Matsui?
1512	*Ms. Matsui. No.
1513	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1514	Tonko?
1515	*Mr. Tonko. No.
1516	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1517	Veasey?
1518	(No response.)
1519	*The Clerk. Kuster?
1520	*Ms. Kuster. No.
1521	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1522	Schreier?
1523	*Ms. Schrier. No.
1524	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.
1525	Castor?
1526	*Ms. Castor. No.

1527	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
1528	Sarbanes?
1529	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
1530	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
1531	Cardenas?
1532	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
1533	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1534	Blunt Rochester?
1535	(No response.)
1536	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1537	*Mr. Pallone. No.
1538	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1539	Rodgers?
1540	*The Chair. Aye.
1541	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
1542	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
1543	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
1544	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
1545	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1546	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Burgess recorded?
1547	*The Clerk. Mr. Burgess is not recorded.

1548 *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye. *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye. 1549 1550 (No mic.) *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 15 1551 ayes and 10 noes. 1552 1553 (No mic.) *The Clerk. Committee print. A bill to amend Title 49 1554 1555 United States Code to improve the safety of pipeline transportation and for other purposes be enacted by the 1556 1557 Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America. 1558 *Mr. Duncan. (No mic.) So ordered. 1559 1560 Does anyone seek recognition on the discussion draft? I recognize myself for five minutes and move to strike 1561 the last word. 1562 [The bill follows:] 1563 1564 1565 1566

1567 *Mr. Duncan. Recognize myself to speak in favor of the 1568 Committee print.

The Pipeline Safety Modernization Expansion Act of 2024. My legislation will strengthen and reauthorize PHMSA's pipeline safety program, and I will put policies in place to drive technological innovation and the much-needed expansion of our pipeline system.

This bill will help increase the affordability and reliability of energy and will modernize more than three million miles of pipelines in this country. Chair Rodgers and I released this legislation as a draft bill last summer.

We requested input from the American people on a wide range of stakeholders, from publicly owned utilities to small businesses and everyone in between.

We met with our Democratic colleagues and held several hearings, including background hearings with private sector witnesses, legislative hearings with government officials.

Today's Subcommittee markup is the next step in this open and transparent process. Today, we are going to debate the bill and vote on amendments to improve and perfect legislation.

My hope is that we can build bipartisanship through this process. The Pipeline Safety Modernization Expansion Act will reauthorize PHMSA for five years. It will increase funding for states and rebalance PHMSA's budget priority so that we can put more resources where they need to be, and that is at the state and local level.

The Committee print that the Subcommittee is considering today has been updated to include numerous bipartisan provisions that the House T&I Committee reported in December.

1597 Based on feedback we received our legislative hearing; I 1598 believe these provisions will further improve the bill.

The Pipeline Safety Modernization Expansion Act will improve safety and promote innovation in new technologies. The bill will position PHMSA as a regulator and encourages pipeline operators to innovate with new technologies and to improve safety and efficiency.

There are so many new technologies being developed. This bill will put technology to work to prevent excavation damage to pipelines, detect leaks, replace aging pipe, and prepare for a future with hydrogen and CO2 pipelines as well. As the nation's grid operators testified in our

1609 Subcommittee hearing, we are facing a grid reliability crisis in many parts of the country, in part due to a lack of 1610 1611 pipelines that can deliver affordable, reliable natural gas. Energy producers and grid operators have testified 1612 before the Subcommittee about negative impacts and effects of 1613 state policies and gas bans that block the flow of energy 1614 from where it is produced to where it is consumed and even 1615 1616 exported.

1617 The Pipeline Safety Modernization Expansion Act includes 1618 a safety valve to prevent states from blocking pipelines for 1619 political reasons. The legislation also protects consumer 1620 choice by preventing a state and local government from 1621 blocking the transportation of natural gas, gasoline, or 1622 other fuels.

I look forward to debating the amendments today in favor of favorably reporting this bill to the full Committee. It is time to roll up our sleeves, get to work on this important legislation, and I yield back.

1627Any other members seeking recognition on the bill?1628Mr. Pallone is recognized for five minutes.

1629 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to

1630 strike the last word.

1631 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized.

*Mr. Pallone. I want to explain why Committee Democrats stand opposed to the bill in its current form. First, it slashes PHMSA's pipeline safety authorization levels. It confuses building pipelines with pipeline safety, and it rolls back vital provisions from the 2020 PIPES Act that we fought hard to include, such as requiring PHMSA to consider environmental benefits.

While some of the provisions Republicans have added to their draft bill since our hearing are similar to provisions included in the bipartisan Transportation and Infrastructure Committee bill, they are not sufficient to cure a dangerous bill.

Unfortunately, and also let me say that none of the changes made to the bill address our core concerns, which have not changed in the nearly eight months since we found out about the Republican's draft bill in a press release.

But before I talk about the non-pipeline safety aspects of the bill, I want to focus on one of the fatal flaws of the bill, the way it defunds PHMSA's pipeline safety authorities.

At our hearing, Republicans claimed that they kept funding flat in their bill, but that cannot be further from the truth, and the numbers do not lie.

This bill authorizes a \$196 million for pipeline safety activities in Fiscal Year 2024, 41 million less than the PIPES Act of 2022 provided for the Fiscal Year 2023. That is not flat funding. That is a 18 percent cut.

Additionally, this bill authorizes PHMSA's pipeline safety activities at a level \$22 million less than what we will all vote to appropriate, I guess, today, in the minibus. Think about how crazy that is.

1662 Republicans and Democrats are set to agree on a 1663 bipartisan path forward to allocate \$218 million for pipeline 1664 safety activities this year.

Meanwhile, Committee Republicans are forcing a vote on defunding vital activities at PHMSA that keep Americans safe. So it is just unbelievable. So a vote for this bill is a vote to make pipelines more dangerous. There is no question about it.

1670 We heard in January from PHMSA's acting administrator 1671 who testified that budget cuts would have a severe impact on

1672 PHMSA's ability to do its job. So it is ridiculous that the 1673 majority insists on demanding that PHMSA's to do more with 1674 less.

And that is before we get to the poison pill provisions of the bill. Democrats have never wavered in our position, since this draft bill was released, that we are not willing to negotiate on items that do not belong in a PHMSA reauthorization package.

These items are not negotiable. A pipeline safety bill is about pipeline safety, not building more pipelines, not carbon dioxide sequestration, and not banning state and municipal choice on new gas hookups.

This bill has provisions on all three of these topics, provisions that implicate FERC and EPA, and Democrats have made clear these are nonstarters for us since the beginning.

So I just find it deeply unfortunate that Republicans are too blinded by their partisanship to see that these provisions will not become law and that they insist on wasting all of our time fighting for language that will never see the president's desk.

1692 If Republicans want to move these provisions on their

1693 own, they are more than welcome to do so. They have the majority. It is their prerogative. If they choose to move 1694 1695 these non-safety items as stand-alone bills, I will oppose them because I think the policy is bad, but at least they 1696 would clear the way for us to negotiate on pipeline safety. 1697 Unfortunately, the majority's actions speak louder than 1698 They have no interest in working together in a 1699 its words. 1700 bipartisan fashion on this, which is particularly wrong 1701 because the Transportation Committee has done a bipartisan bill and worked together. 1702

And democrats have a number of proposals on pipeline safety. We have filed a number of amendments that we believe are worthwhile and would represent substantial improvements to the bill, and we intend to use this markup as a platform to talk about the building blocks of what we should be focusing on in pipeline safety legislation.

We would be happy for Republicans to show any interest in bipartisanship on this Committee by supporting some of our amendments today, a number of which are provisions included in the Transportation Committee's bill, as well as striking some of the problematic provisions I mentioned earlier.

1714	You know, I have to just say, it pains me that I have to
1715	talk about the other Committee as somehow better than us,
1716	because I always think we are the better Committee. But not
1717	on this one.
1718	We really are terrible, and the other Committee is doing
1719	a lot better. And I hate to say it as the Ranking Member,
1720	but that's a fact.
1721	I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
1722	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
1723	Any members seeking recognition?
1724	Okay. I am seeing none.
1725	Are there any bipartisan amendments?
1726	*Mr. Weber. Mr. chairman.
1727	*Mr. Duncan. Any other amendments?
1728	*Mr. Weber. I have an amendment at the desk.
1729	*Mr. Duncan. Mr. Weber has an amendment at the desk.
1730	The clerk will report.
1731	*The Clerk. Mr. Weber, can you specify your amendment?
1732	*Mr. Weber. It is Amendment to the Committee Print for
1733	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2023. I
1734	don't have the number on it.

1735 *The Clerk. Thank you. *Mr. Weber. TI 2301. He is right. Yes. 1736 1737 *The Clerk. An amendment to the Committee print, Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2023 1738 offered by Mr. Weber of Texas, insert at the appropriate 1739 1740 place the following and make such conforming changes as may be necessary. 1741 1742 *Mr. Duncan. Could you speak up in the microphone a 1743 little bit more, Noah? *The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for 1744 Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2023 1745 offered by Mr. Weber of Texas. Insert at the appropriate 1746 1747 place the following and make such amendment changes *Mr. Duncan. Is that the right one? 1748 *Mr. Weber. Yeah. 1749 *Mr. Duncan. Further reading is dispensed with. Could 1750 you tell us the number of that amendment one more time? 1751 T-123 1752 *The Clerk. *Mr. Weber. 1753 TI. *The Clerk. TI, excuse me, 23 01. 1754 *Mr. Duncan. Okay. We got it. 1755 86

1761 *Mr. Weber. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the Committee considering the inclusion of 1762 1763 my bill, the LNG Coordination Act of 2024, as an amendment to the Pipeline Safety Modernization Expansion Act of 2024. 1764 The language that I am offering, pardon me, is already 1765 included, speaking of the Transportation Infrastructure PIPES 1766 Act, and I am thankful for Representative Fletcher's support 1767 1768 in working to add this to the bill for us today. Our amendment would establish an LNG Regulatory Safety 1769 Working Group to clarify the authority of federal agencies, 1770 including PHMSA, FERC, and the US Coast Guard in the 1771 authorizing and oversight of LNG facilities. 1772 Pardon me. The working group's primary function is to 1773 resolve conflicts concerning overlapping jurisdictions 1774 between the agencies and avoid duplicate duplicative, pardon 1775 me, avoid duplicative regulations, inspection protocols, and 1776 reporting obligations. 1777 1778 From this working group, they will enter into MOU,

Memorandum of Understanding, regarding best practices and then report them to Congress.

1781 This amendment is critical to our energy security by

1782 cutting red tape at these LNG facilities without compromising 1783 safety.

Let me say that again, without compromising safety. We all know that the important role that the United States LNG plays for our allies across the world, especially, especially during this time of global instability.

This language is supported by the Center For LNG, whose member companies include Golden Pass LNG, Freeport LNG, and Sempra, all of which have facilities in my Texas Gulf Coast district, and Cheniere, which has its Sabine Pass facility right across the state line in Louisiana.

1793 LNG is a clean source of energy. Let me emphasize that. 1794 LNG is a clean source of energy. LNG brings good American 1795 paying jobs. It brings direct benefits, not only to our 1796 communities, but to our allies around the world.

1797 Not the least of which the direct benefit it brings to 1798 our allies is safety. So this is a common-sense amendment 1799 that continues the work of this Committee to eliminate 1800 unnecessary red tape and redundancy, and I hope our 1801 colleagues will support this, and I urge support of my 1802 amendment.

1803 And, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. 1804 1805 I now recognize the Ranking Member of the full Committee for his time to speak on the amendment. 1806 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1807 I move to strike the last word. I support this 1808 amendment. As it has been already said by the sponsor, it 1809 1810 includes language that was included in the bipartisan bill 1811 that the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee put together. 1812 It is even labeled as T&I amendment, which I think is 1813 rather embarrassing. It underscores how T&I did the work, 1814 1815 bipartisan work, and we didn't. But this amendment would create a working group to examine overlapping jurisdiction 1816 and safety regulations for LNG facilities. 1817 Critically, it builds upon the LNG Safety Center of 1818 Excellence we established in the 2020 reauthorization, and it 1819 1820 does not compromise on LNG safety. I also want to note to our Republicans why I am 1821

1822 supporting this because I think provisions that were in the 1823 bipartisan transportation bill should be given a fair chance

1824 in our Committee.

1825	And I can only hope that the majority will extend
1826	provisions from that bill, that the Democrats intend to bring
1827	forward today, you know, this is what we are going to be
1828	doing to try to get this more like the bipartisan bill that
1829	passed in the other Committee.
1830	Very sad. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.
1831	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
1832	Is there a further discussion on the amendment?
1833	Seeing none, the vote now occurs on the amendment.
1834	All those in favor, say aye.
1835	Any opposed, nay?
1836	In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it and the
1837	amendment is agreed to.
1838	Gentleman requests a recorded vote, so the clerk will
1839	report.
1840	*The Clerk. Burgess?
1841	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1842	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1843	Latta?

1844 (No response.)

1845	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
1846	(No response.)
1847	*The Clerk. Griffith?
1848	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1849	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1850	Bucshon?
1851	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1852	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1853	Wahlberg?
1854	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1855	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
1856	Palmer?
1857	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
1858	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
1859	Curtis?
1860	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1861	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
1862	Lesko?
1863	(No response.)
1864	*The Clerk. Pence?
1865	(No response.)

1866	*The	Clerk.	Arms	strong?
1867	*Mr.	Armstror	ng.	Aye.
1868	*The	Clerk.	Arms	strong votes aye.
1869	Webeı	:?		
1870	*Mr.	Weber.	Aye.	
1871	*The	Clerk.	Webe	er votes aye.
1872	Balde	erson?		
1873	*Mr.	Balderso	on.	Aye.
1874	*The	Clerk.	Balo	derson votes aye.
1875	Pflug	ger?		
1876	*Mr.	Pfluger	. Ay	ye.
1877	*The	Clerk.	Pflı	uger votes aye.
1878	Dunca	an?		
1879	*Mr.	Duncan.	Aye	e.
1880	*The	Clerk.	Dunc	can votes aye.
1881	DeGet	te?		
1882	*Ms.	DeGette	. Ay	уе
1883	*The	Clerk.	DeGe	ette votes aye.
1884	Peter	s?		
1885	*Mr.	Peters.	Aye	е.
1886	*The	Clerk.	Pete	ers votes aye.

1887	Fletcher?
1888	(No response.)
1889	*The Clerk. Matsui?
1890	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
1891	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
1892	Tonko?
1893	(No response.)
1894	*The Clerk. Veasey?
1895	(No response.)
1896	*The Clerk. Kuster?
1897	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
1898	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
1899	Schreier?
1900	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
1901	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
1902	Castor?
1903	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
1904	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
1905	Sarbanes?
1906	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
1907	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes Aye.

1908	Cardenas?
1909	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
1910	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
1911	Blunt Rochester?
1912	(No response.)
1913	*The Clerk. Pallone?
1914	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
1915	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
1916	Rodgers?
1917	*The Chair. Aye.
1918	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
1919	*Mr. Duncan. Any members unrecorded?
1920	How is Mr. Latta recorded?
1921	*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
1922	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
1923	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1924	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
1925	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1926	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1927	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Pence recorded?
1928	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence is not recorded.

1929		*Mr. Pence. Aye.
1930		*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1931		*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Tonko recorded?
1932		*The Clerk. Tonko is not recorded.
1933		*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
1934		*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
1935		*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
1936		*The Clerk. Lesko is not recorded.
1937		*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
1938		*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1939		*Mr. Duncan. Any members unrecorded?
1940		The clerk will report the total.
1941		*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 26
1942	ayes	and zero noes.
1943		*Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed
1944	to.	
1945		Are there any other any other amendments?
1946		Ms. DeGette is recognized.
1947		*Ms. DeGette. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
1948	desk	labeled AMD 01.
1949		*Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment.
		0.6

1950	*The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the
1951	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024
1952	offered by Ms. DeGette.
1953	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
1954	amendment is dispensed with.
1955	The gentlelady is recognized to explain her own
1956	amendment.
1957	[The amendment of Ms. DeGette follows:]
1958	
1959	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
1960	

1961 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My amendment would rectify a core weakness of this bill, 1962 1963 which is the authorization levels. It would replace the authorization levels contained within the bill with ones that 1964 are identical to what emerged from T&I back in December. 1965 I want to be clear. Every single Republican on the T&I 1966 Committee supported these authorization levels. 1967 That 1968 includes Freedom Caucus members, more moderate members, and 1969 everybody in between.

1970 It was a compromise that they all got behind, and it is 1971 a good compromise. These authorization levels would 1972 challenge appropriators to fund pipeline safety activities at 1973 a level that would actually allow PHMSA to do its job.

We heard repeatedly from Deputy Administrator Brown back in January that PHMSA desperately needs more resources even to do the job that we have demanded in previous

1977 reauthorizations.

1978 So accepting this amendment would allow our Committee to 1979 do its part. But the other reason that this amendment is so 1980 important is the authorization levels included in this bill 1981 are simply unacceptable to Committee Democrats.

As I mentioned in my opening statement, it would cut \$42 million relative to the authorization included in the 2020 PIPES Act. It would establish authorization levels a whopping \$80 million lower than my amendment and the T&I bill would establish.

Additionally, the bill's authorization for Fiscal Year 1988 '24 is lower than the appropriations contained in the bill 1989 that we are voting on this week.

1990 So if you are going to vote to keep the government open 1991 this week, then you have to vote for this amendment because 1992 you clearly want PHMSA to have more resources than this bill 1993 would provide.

And if you are not planning to keep the government open, well, never mind. It is really quite simple. A vote for my amendment is a vote to show that you are serious about reaching a bipartisan compromise on pipeline safety in good faith, a compromise that our colleagues on both of the sides of the aisle in T&I have already been able to reach.

And so I just think that it makes sense. It is time to prove that we are serious about pipeline safety, and I would urge supporting this amendment I yield back.

2003 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Any other 2004 member seeking recognition?

2005 Mr. Guthrie is recognized.

2006 Mr. chair? Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to 2007 move to strike the last word, speak in opposition to the 2008 amendment.

2009 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized.

2010 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. The Pipeline Safety

2011 Modernization Expansion Act contains authorization levels 2012 that reflect prior year appropriations with a larger share, 2013 approximately 50 percent going to the states.

2014 PHMSA has access billions of dollars in increased 2015 funding from the recently passed, infrastructure legislation. 2016 The infrastructure legislation authorizes 1.2 trillion for 2017 transportation and infrastructure spending with 550 billion 2018 of that going towards new investments and programs.

I know the gentlelady talked about perhaps having a ability to have a compromise or a bipartisan compromise. And if you are willing to withdraw this, I think that we would be willing to work with you going towards full Committee.

2023 These are the kinds of discussions we need to have and

2024	we look forward to having, as we both share the goal of
2025	working towards a bipartisan bill.
2026	And if not withdrawing, then I would urge a no vote, and
2027	I will yield back.
2028	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
2029	Is there further discussion on the amendment?
2030	Seeing none.
2031	All those in favor of adopting the amendment, say aye.
2032	Those opposed, no.
2033	In the opinion of the Chair, the noes have it.
2034	A recorded vote has been requested. The clerk will call
2035	the roll.
2036	*The Clerk. Burgess?
2037	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes no.
2038	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
2039	Latta?
2040	(No response.)
2041	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2042	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
2043	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
2044	Griffith?

2045	*Mr. Griffith. No.
2046	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
2047	Bucshon?
2048	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
2049	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2050	Wahlberg?
2051	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2052	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes no.
2053	Palmer?
2054	*Mr. Palmer. No.
2055	*The Clerk. Palmer votes No.
2056	Curtis?
2057	*Mr. Curtis. No.
2058	*The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
2059	Lesko?
2060	(No response.)
2061	*The Clerk. Pence?
2062	*Mr. Pence. No.
2063	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
2064	Armstrong?
2065	(No response.)

2066	*The	Clerk.	Weber?
2067	*Mr.	Weber.	No.
2068	*The	Clerk.	Weber votes mo.
2069	Balde	erson?	
2070	*Mr.	Balderso	on. No.
2071	*The	Clerk.	Balderson votes no.
2072	Pflug	ger?	
2073	*Mr.	Pfluger	. No.
2074	*The	Clerk.	Pfluger votes no.
2075	Dunca	an?	
2076	*Mr.	Duncan.	No.
2077	*The	Clerk.	Duncan votes no.
2078	DeGet	te?	
2079	*Ms.	DeGette	. Aye.
2080	*The	Clerk.	DeGette votes aye.
2081	Peter	s?	
2082	*Mr.	Peters.	Aye.
2083	*The	Clerk.	Peters votes aye.
2084	Fleto	cher?	
2085	(No 1	response	.)
2086	*The	Clerk.	Matsui?

2087	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2088	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2089	Tonko?
2090	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
2091	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
2092	Veasey?
2093	(No response.)
2094	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2095	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2096	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2097	Schreier?
2098	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2099	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
2100	Castor?
2101	(No response.)
2102	*The Clerk. Sarbanes?
2103	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2104	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2105	Cardenas?
2106	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2107	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
	104

Blunt Rochester?
(No response.)
*The Clerk. Pallone?
*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
Chair Rodgers?
*Mr. Duncan. Are there any members unrecorded?
*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
*Mr. Latta. No.
*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
*Mr. Duncan. And how is Ms. Castor recorded?
*The Clerk. Ms. Castor is not recorded.
*Ms. Castor. Aye.
*The Clerk. Caster votes aye.
*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
Ms. Lesko? How is Ms. Lesko?
*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.
*Ms. Lesko. No.
*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.

2129 *Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the total. *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 10 2130 2131 ayes and 15 noes. The amendment is not adopted or agreed to. *Mr. Duncan. 2132 Are there any other members seeking to offer an 2133 2134 amendment? Mr. Pence, you are recognized. 2135 2136 *Mr. Pence. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk. *The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the 2137 Pipeline Safety Modernization and Expansion Act of 2024, 2138 offered by Mr. Pence of Indiana. 2139 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the 2140 2141 amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized to explain his amendment. 2142 [The amendment of Mr. Pence as follows:] 2143 2144 2145 2146

2147 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2148 My amendment will direct a study on the technical 2149 considerations for safely transporting blended hydrogen and 2150 natural gas through pipelines.

Importantly, this amendment could help leverage existing natural gas pipeline assets for hydrogen distribution to foster a competitive domestic hydrogen industry.

Hydrogen is becoming a key fuel for next generation clean energy applications many of which are taking place in my Hoosier state. Cummins Engine Company, headquartered in my hometown of Columbus, Indiana, is a world class leader in hydrogen fueled heavy duty engine development.

2159 Wabash Valley Resources will utilize hydrogen for their 2160 ammonia production plant, which will be one of the nation's 2161 largest facilities and was recently awarded class six well 2162 permits from the EPA to permanently sequester captured 2163 carbon.

In northwest Indiana, DOE's Midwest Hydrogen Hub Program will leverage BP Whiting's Refinery for hydrogen production. This hydrogen hub will help commercialize new technologies in industrial processing, steel manufacturing, glass production,

and power generation using hydrogen.

These applications will require a large and continuous amounts of hydrogen and the most efficient and cost-effective method of transportation could be by pipeline.

As my colleagues are aware, the relationship between hydrogen and natural gas could allow our nation to take advantage of a vast network of existing pipelines for hydrogen distribution.

However, knowledge gaps remain before widespread commercialization of hydrogen blend distribution can take place.

This amendment will seek to help improve our understanding of the relationship between these two gases. Specifically, it will aid in addressing how blending may react to various pipeline materials, impact leak detection and prevention, and maintain integrity and operational capability.

By improving our understanding of hydrogen molecules and natural gas pipelines, our nation could leverage the expertise of the oil and gas industry to more effectively build out hydrogen infrastructure.

2189 While many of the innovations in hydrogen hold promise, distribution will be a lynchpin to their success. As my 2190 2191 colleagues know, stakeholders across our districts are continuing to develop a novel approach for hydrogen 2192 distribution, studying various levels of hydrogen and natural 2193 gas blends, and even exploring new forms of natural hydrogen 2194 production. 2195 2196 This amendment could help inform these efforts and help establish safe, effective, and efficient distribution 2197 opportunities needed to grow a domestic hydrogen industry. 2198 I urge my colleagues to support the amendment and I 2199 2200 yield back. 2201 *Mr. Duncan. Is there further discussion on the 2202 amendment? Ms. Schrier is recognized. 2203 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Formally, I'd 2204 *Ms. Schrier. like to strike the last word and speak on the amendment. 2205 2206 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized for five 2207 minutes. *Ms. Schrier. Thank you. 2208 Hydrogen has been highly incentivized in my home state 2209

of Washington. I was proud to lead congressional support for the hydrogen hub in the Pacific Northwest that will lead to roughly \$1 billion in funding to jump start a green hydrogen industry.

But as explored in our legislative hearing with Bill Karam, executive director of Washington's Pipeline Safety Trust, we still have serious knowledge gaps to fill on just how to integrate hydrogen safely and effectively in our energy systems.

In addition to building out new safe and reliable 2219 transport storage and delivery, we also need to understand, 2220 the challenges, financial, safety, and environmental 2221 challenges of integrating hydrogen into existing 2222 infrastructure with methane gas known as hydrogen blending. 2223 Gas companies have featured this as a key part of their 2224 industry's plan to decrease greenhouse gas emissions. 2225 And before the industry makes this practice mainstream, we must 2226 2227 understand what safety precautions to take and what modifications need to be made on existing pipelines. 2228 That is why I am so supportive of Representative Pence's 2229

110

amendment to study the effects of transporting blends of

2231	hydrogen and methane gas in existing gas pipeline
2232	infrastructure, mirroring the same study included in the
2233	bipartisan transportation and infrastructure reauthorization
2234	bill.
2235	I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and I
2236	yield back.
2237	*Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.
2238	Any further discussion on the amendment?
2239	Seeing none, the vote now occurs on the amendment.
2240	All those in favor, say aye.
2241	Opposed, nay.
2242	A roll call vote has been requested, so the clerk will
2243	call the roll.
2244	*The Clerk. Burgess?
2245	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2246	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
2247	Latta?
2248	(No response.)
2249	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2250	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2251	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.

2252	Griffith?
2253	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2254	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2255	Bucshon?
2256	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2257	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2258	Wahlberg?
2259	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2260	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
2261	Palmer?
2262	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
2263	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
2264	Curtis?
2265	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
2266	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
2267	Lesko?
2268	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
2269	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
2270	Pence?
2271	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2272	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.

2273	Armstrong?
2274	(No response.)
2275	*The Clerk. Weber?
2276	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
2277	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
2278	Balderson?
2279	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2280	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2281	Pfluger?
2282	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2283	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
2284	Duncan?
2285	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
2286	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
2287	DeGette?
2288	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
2289	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
2290	Peters?
2291	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
2292	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
2293	Fletcher?

2294	(No response.)
2295	*The Clerk. Matsui?
2296	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2297	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2298	Tonko?
2299	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
2300	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
2301	Veasey?
2302	(No response.)
2303	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2304	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2305	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2306	Schreier?
2307	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2308	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
2309	Castor?
2310	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
2311	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
2312	Sarbanes?
2313	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2314	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.

2315		Cardenas?
2316		*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2317		*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2318		Blunt Rochester?
2319		(No response.)
2320		*The Clerk. Pallone?
2321		*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2322		*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2323		Rodgers?
2324		*The Chair. Aye.
2325		*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
2326		*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
2327		*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
2328		*Mr. Latta. Aye.
2329		*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
2330		*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
2331		Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
2332		*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 25
2333	ayes	and zero noes.
2334		*Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it. The amendment is agreed
2335	to.	

2336	Are there further amendments on the bill?
2337	Mr. Peters?
2338	*Mr. Peters. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
2339	desk labeled AMD 06.
2340	*Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment.
2341	*The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the
2342	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024 $_$
2343	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
2344	amendment is dispensed with and the gentleman is recognized
2345	to explain his amendment.
2346	
2347	[The amendment of Mr. Peters follows:]
2348	
2349	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2350	

2351 *Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I am disappointed by the willingness of the majority to 2352 2353 put forth a bill that could hamstring PHMSA's ability to consider the environmental benefits of proposed rulemaking. 2354 It is particularly surprising because three years ago, 2355 Republicans signed off on language that allowed PHMSA to 2356 consider environmental benefits, a proposed rulemaking in a 2357 2358 bill that cleared a Republican Senate and was signed into law by former President Trump. 2359

I believe it is extremely counterproductive and dangerous to tie the hands of the agencies that oversee the responsible operation of our critical infrastructure. We secured significant victories in the bipartisan PIPES Act of 2364 2020 by creating a leaked detention program ensuring that PHMSA can consider all relevant factors when issuing rules.

2366 So I am proud to offer this amendment that would correct 2367 the majority's bill to ensure PHMSA can consider

2368 environmental factors along with cost and safety concerns.

2369 Mitigating pipeline leaks has significant environmental 2370 safety and economic benefits, but in order to get the best 2371 outcome and the best rule possible, PHMSA needs to be able to

2372 consider all three of those benefits.

Instructing it to exclusively consider economic and safety benefits only and purposely to ignore environmental benefits doesn't make any sense. Eliminating fugitive methane emissions from pipeline infrastructure is one of the best things we can do for public health, safety, and the environment.

This should be common sense and bipartisan, so I will reiterate my earlier point. Three years ago, this was bipartisan. Energy and Commerce Republicans signed off on the language that is now law.

I am not sure what changed in a short time, but we have a chance to correct it, do the right thing, and I hope that my colleagues will support this amendment to do just that. As written, I think the bill takes us in the wrong direction on methane, so I will oppose it. And I urge adoption of my amendment and I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

2390 Any other member seeking recognition?

2391 *Mr. Palmer. Mr. chairman?

2392 *Mr. Duncan. Mr. Palmer is recognized.

2393 *Mr. Palmer. Move to strike the last word.
2394 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized for five
2395 minutes.

2396 *Mr. Palmer. This amendment would strike the 2397 requirement that PHMSA consider the safety and economic 2398 benefits of a regulation within United States.

Considering the fact that in the first three years of 2399 2400 the Biden Administration, the Biden Administration has imposed over \$600 billion in new regulatory cost on American 2401 consumers, striking that provision makes absolutely no sense. 2402 It is just another example of how my Democratic 2403 colleagues are just completely oblivious and insensitive to 2404 2405 the plight of American families struggling to make ends meet, to afford their groceries, and afford their household 2406 utilities. 2407

This amendment gets rid of the language in our bill, which prohibits the use of the social cost of carbon and alleged international climate benefits of a regulation, which again is indicative of this mad pursuit of their Green New Deal, which again, is just devastating the, household finances of American families.

2414	This is another effort by the Democrats to make PHMSA a
2415	climate regulator instead of a safety regulator. I urge my
2416	colleagues to oppose this amendment and I yield back.
2417	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
2418	Is there further discussion?
2419	Okay. A roll call vote has been, requested so the clerk
2420	will call the roll.
2421	*The Clerk. Burgess?
2422	*Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
2423	*The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
2424	Latta?
2425	*Mr. Latta. No.
2426	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
2427	Guthrie?
2428	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
2429	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
2430	Griffith?
2431	*Mr. Griffith. No.
2432	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
2433	Bucshon?
2434	*Mr. Bucshon. No.

2435	*The Clerk.	Bucshon votes no.
2436	Wahlberg?	
2437	*Mr. Walberg	. No.
2438	*The Clerk.	Wahlberg votes no.
2439	Palmer?	
2440	*Mr. Palmer.	No.
2441	*The Clerk.	Palmer votes no.
2442	Curtis?	
2443	*Mr. Curtis.	No.
2444	(No response	.)
2445	*The Clerk.	Lesko?
2446	*Ms. Lesko.	No.
2447	*The Clerk.	Lesko votes no.
2448	Pence?	
2449	(No response	.)
2450	*The Clerk.	Armstrong?
2451	(No response	.)
2452	*The Clerk.	Weber?
2453	*Mr. Weber.	No.
2454	*The Clerk.	Weber votes no.
2455	Balderson?	

2456	*Mr. Balderson. No.
2457	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
2458	Pfluger?
2459	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
2460	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
2461	Duncan?
2462	*Mr. Duncan. No.
2463	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
2464	DeGette?
2465	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
2466	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
2467	Peters?
2468	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
2469	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
2470	Fletcher?
2471	(No response.)
2472	*The Clerk. Matsui?
2473	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2474	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2475	Tonko?
2476	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.

2477	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
2478	Veasey?
2479	(No response.)
2480	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2481	(No response.)
2482	*The Clerk. Schrier?
2483	Ms. Kuster, you are not recorded.
2484	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2485	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2486	Schreier?
2487	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2488	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
2489	Castor?
2490	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
2491	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
2492	Sarbanes?
2493	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2494	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2495	Cardenas?
2496	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2497	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
	103

2498	Blunt Rochester?
2499	(No response.)
2500	*The Clerk. Pallone?
2501	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
2502	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2503	Rodgers?
2504	*The Chair. No.
2505	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
2506	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Pense recorded?
2507	*Mr. Pence. No.
2508	*The Clerk. Mr. Pence votes no.
2509	*Mr. Duncan. And how is Mr. Curtis reported?
2510	*The Clerk. Mr. Curtis is not recorded.
2511	*Mr. Curtis. Curtis votes no.
2512	*The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
2513	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
2514	The clerk will report the tally.
2515	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 10
2516	ayes and 15 noes.
2517	*Mr. Duncan. The noes have it, the amendment is not
2518	agreed to.

2519 Any other member have an amendment to be offered? Mr. Balderson, you have an amendment? 2520 2521 *Mr. Balderson. I do, Mr. Chairman, thank you. 2522 Sorry, Mr. Pfluger was talking to me. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk. 2523 *Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report. 2524 *The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the 2525 2526 Pipeline, Safety, Modernization and Expansion Act of 2024 offered by 2527 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the 2528 amendment is dispensed with. 2529 Mr. Balderson is recognized to explain his amendment. 2530 [The amendment of Mr. Balderson follows:] 2531 2532 2533 2534

2535 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2536 Appreciate you doing this markup today, including the 2537 Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act.

2538 My amendment builds on some of the bill's provisions to 2539 provide clarity and reduce red tape while ensuring strong 2540 oversight and safety of our pipelines.

This amendment simply clarifies that in plant gas pipelines are regulated by OSHA instead of PHMSA as part of the larger refining and production facility similar to how in plant liquid pipelines are regulated.

The inspection of in plant piping, which includes short segments of pipe that are used to move product within facility has traditionally been regulated by OSHA's process safety management or PSM.

In 2010, PHMSA published guidance on this issue knowing that PHMSA has Pfizer, excuse me, PHMSA has elected not to apply the federal gas pipeline safety regulations to such lines if they are associated with the plant, meaning they are operated by plant personnel, run between plant buildings, and are less than one mile in length.

2555 Unfortunately, regional offices have been inconsistent

2556 with how they treat these lines, creating unnecessary confusion in regulatory orders. OSHA's PSM has thorough 2557 2558 safety regulations in place for implant piping. It is important to note that these implant piping's 2559 follow different standards than transportation pipelines. 2560 For example, the in-plant piping in a refinery or gas 2561 plant are typically designed to completely different ASME 2562 2563 requirements than that of transportation pipelines. It simply doesn't make any sense to subject these facilities to 2564 oversight from OSHA and PHMSA. 2565 This common-sense amendment ensures gas and plant pipes 2566 are regulated by OSHA's PSM just like liquid and plant pipes. 2567 2568 OSHA has the existing knowledge and expertise to promote safety within these facilities. 2569 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. 2570 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. 2571 Ms. DeGette's recognized on the amendment. 2572 2573 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, I oppose this amendment. It would exempt 2574 gas pipelines supporting certain industrial and extracted 2575 processes from pipeline safety regulations and requirements. 2576

2577 It would not just exempt those pipelines within the fence line of a facility, but it would also exempt any 2578 2579 transfer pipes in a one-mile radius around the facility. 2580 What this would do would be to expose workers at refineries and other facilities to unnecessary safety risks, and it 2581 would endanger the communities around those. 2582 Frankly, this is a handout to an industry looking to cut 2583 2584 corners on safety. I don't think we should stand for this on behalf of our constituents, and so I urge everyone on both 2585 sides of the aisle to oppose the amendment. 2586 I yield back. 2587 *Mr. Duncan. Is there further discussion on the 2588 2589 amendment? Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested, so the 2590 clerk will call the roll. 2591 *The Clerk. Burgess? 2592 *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye. 2593 2594 *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye. 2595 Latta? (No response.) 2596 *The Clerk. Guthrie? 2597

2598	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2599	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2600	Griffith?
2601	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
2602	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2603	Bucshon?
2604	(No response.)
2605	*The Clerk. Wahlberg?
2606	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2607	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
2608	Palmer?
2609	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
2610	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
2611	Curtis?
2612	*Mr. Curtis. Aye.
2613	*The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
2614	Lesko?
2615	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
2616	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
2617	Pence?
2618	(No response.)

2619	*The Clerk. Armstrong?
2620	(No response.)
2621	*The Clerk. Weber?
2622	*Mr. Weber. Aye.
2623	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
2624	Balderson?
2625	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2626	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2627	Pfluger?
2628	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
2629	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
2630	Duncan?
2631	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
2632	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
2633	DeGette?
2634	*Ms. DeGette. No.
2635	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
2636	Peters?
2637	*Mr. Peters. No.
2638	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
2639	Fletcher?

2640	(No response.)
2641	*The Clerk. Matsui?
2642	*Ms. Matsui. No.
2643	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
2644	Tonko?
2645	*Mr. Tonko. No.
2646	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
2647	Veasey?
2648	(No response.)
2649	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2650	*Ms. Kuster. No.
2651	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
2652	Schreier?
2653	*Ms. Schrier. No.
2654	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.
2655	Castor?
2656	*Ms. Castor. No.
2657	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
2658	Sarbanes?
2659	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
2660	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.

2661 Cardenas?	
2662 (No respon	nse.)
2663 *The Cler	. Blunt Rochester?
2664 (No respon	nse.)
2665 *The Cler	. Pallone?
2666 *Mr. Pallo	one. No.
2667 *The Cler	. Pallone votes no.
2668 Rodgers?	
2669 *The Chain	Aye.
2670 *The Cler	. Rodgers votes aye.
2671 *Mr. Dunca	an. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
2672 *The Cler	Mr. Latta is not recorded.
2673 *Mr. Latta	a. Aye.
2674 *The Cler	. Latta votes aye.
2675 *Mr. Dunca	an. How is Mr. Bucshon recorded?
2676 *The Cler	. Bucshon is not recorded.
2677 *Mr. Bucsh	non. Aye.
2678 *The Cler	. Bucshon votes aye.
2679 *Mr. Dunca	an. Mr. Pence, how is Mr. Pence recorded?
2680 *The Cler	Mr. Pence is not recorded.
2681 *Mr. Pence	e. Aye.

2682 *The Clerk. Pence votes aye. *Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Cardenas recorded? 2683 2684 *The Clerk. Cardenas is not recorded. *Mr. Cardenas. No. 2685 *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no. 2686 *Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded? 2687 Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally. 2688 2689 *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 15 2690 ayes and 10 noes. The ayes have it, the amendment is agreed 2691 *Mr. Duncan. 2692 to. Are there further amendments? 2693 Ms. Matsui. Mr. Chairman? 2694 2695 *Mr. Duncan. Okay. Ms. Matsui is recognized. Ms. Matsui. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the 2696 desk labeled AMD 11. 2697 *Mr. Duncan. Clerk will report. 2698 2699 *The Clerk. Amendments of the Committee print for the Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024 2700 offered by Ms. Matsui. 2701 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the 2702 133

2703	amendments dispensed with and the gentlelady is recognized to
2704	explain her amendment.
2705	[The amendment of Ms. Matsui follows:]
2706	
2707	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
2708	

Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Like my colleagues, I have been disappointed with how Committee has handled the PHMSA reauthorization. Pipeline safety is a bipartisan priority, and we should be able to find agreement on common sense reforms.

During the Subcommittee's hearing on pipeline safety on January 18th, I asked the PHMSA deputy administrator about the unique challenges and concerns surrounding hydrogen pipelines.

2718 Hydrogen is a promising zero-emission energy 2719 alternative, but we don't know enough about the potential 2720 safety implications of blending hydrogen directly into 2721 existing gas pipelines.

My amendment requires pipeline operators to notify PHMSA if they are blending hydrogen into natural gas pipelines. At present, pipeline operators are not required to seek permission or notify regulators if they are blending hydrogen into existing natural gas pipelines.

Hydrogen leaks more readily than natural gas and is extremely flammable. It ignites more easily, it burns hotter, and its flames spread more quickly than gas.

Hydrogen can also make steel pipes brittle, which can cause pipes to crack and rupture. This is particularly concerning for pipelines that run through densely populated areas and gas distribution pipelines that feed into homes and commercial buildings.

These pipes are not designed for hydrogen, and the appliances connected to these pipes are not designed for hydrogen. This increases the likelihood of leaks and malfunctions.

2739 Beyond the risk of fire and explosion, hydrogen is also 2740 an indirect greenhouse gas. When released into the 2741 atmosphere and not burned, hydrogen increases the warming 2742 impact of other greenhouse gases, like methane and ozone.

This is not an argument against hydrogen, however. A booming hydrogen economy is already poised to take off in the coming years. My home state of California was chosen as one of the regional clean hydrogen hubs under the bipartisan infrastructure law, and we have been awarded up to \$1.2 billion to build out the necessary infrastructure to support a clean hydrogen economy.

2750 However, we must prioritize safety and protect Americans

2751 from potentially deadly accidents. That means pipeline safety regulators need to know if hydrogen is being blended 2752 2753 into gas pipelines. For this reason, I urge my colleagues to vote in favor 2754 of my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time. 2755 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. 2756 For what purpose is the gentleman from Indiana seek 2757 recognition? 2758 *Mr. Bucshon. I would like to speak in opposition to 2759 the amendment. 2760 The gentleman is recognized. 2761 *Mr. Duncan. 2762 *Mr. Bucshon. Mr. chairman, Mr. Pence has an amendment 2763 that would require GAO to conduct a study of hydrogen blending and allow PHMSA to implement new rules and 2764 regulations to move hydrogen blend safely and efficiently. 2765 This amendment would impose an arbitrary paperwork 2766 mandate on pipeline operators. Look, we want to encourage 2767 2768 new technologies and fuels, including hydrogen. The underlying bill and the Pence amendment ensure that 2769 we address hydrogen blending carefully and methodically. 2770 Ι would encourage the gentlelady to withdraw the amendment and 2771

2772	work with us to continue perfecting these provisions.
2773	However, if Ms. Matsu is not willing to withdraw the
2774	amendment, I would recommend a no vote on this amendment
2775	given the unintended consequences that this amendment could
2776	have on all pipelines. I yield back.
2777	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
2778	Is there any further discussion on the amendment?
2779	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested, so the
2780	clerk will call the roll.
2781	*The Clerk. Burgess?
2782	(No response.)
2783	*The Clerk. Latta?
2784	(No response.)
2785	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2786	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
2787	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
2788	Griffith? Griffith?
2789	(No response.)
2790	*The Clerk. Bucshon?
2791	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
2792	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.

2793	Wahlberg?	
2794	*Mr. Walberg. No.	
2795	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes no	с.
2796	Palmer?	
2797	*Mr. Palmer. No.	
2798	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.	
2799	Curtis?	
2800	(No response.)	
2801	*The Clerk. Lesko?	
2802	*Ms. Lesko. No.	
2803	*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.	
2804	Pence?	
2805	*Mr. Pence. No.	
2806	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.	
2807	Armstrong?	
2808	(No response.)	
2809	*The Clerk. Weber?	
2810	*Mr. Weber. No.	
2811	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.	
2812	Balderson?	
2813	*Mr. Balderson. No.	

2814	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
2815	Pfluger?
2816	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
2817	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
2818	Duncan?
2819	*Mr. Duncan. No.
2820	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
2821	DeGette?
2822	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
2823	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
2824	Peters?
2825	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
2826	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
2827	Fletcher?
2828	(No response.)
2829	*The Clerk. Matsui?
2830	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
2831	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
2832	Tonko?
2833	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
2834	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.

2835	Veasey?
2836	(No response.)
2837	*The Clerk. Kuster?
2838	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
2839	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
2840	Schrier?
2841	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
2842	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
2843	Castor?
2844	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
2845	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
2846	Sarbanes?
2847	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
2848	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
2849	Cardenas?
2850	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
2851	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
2852	Blunt Rochester?
2853	(No response.)
2854	*The Clerk. Pallone?
2855	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.

2856		*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
2857		Rodgers?
2858		*The Chair. No.
2859		*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
2860		*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
2861		*The Clerk. Mr. Latta is not recorded.
2862		*Mr. Latta. No.
2863		*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
2864		*Mr. Duncan. Are there any other members unrecorded?
2865		Seeing none _
2866		*Mr. Griffith. How is Griffith recorded?
2867		*The Clerk. Mr. Griffith, you are not recorded.
2868		*Mr. Griffith. Excellent. Thank you.
2869		*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
2870		The clerk will report.
2871		*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 10
2872	ayes	and 12 noes.
2873		*Mr. Duncan. The noes have it and the amendment is not
2874	agree	ed to.
2875		Are there further amendments to the bill?
2876		*Mr. Pfluger. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
		142

2877	desk.
2878	*Mr. Duncan. Mr. Pfluger has an amendment.
2879	The clerk will report.
2880	*The Clerk. Amendments to the Committee print for the
2881	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024
2882	offered by Mr. Pfluger _
2883	*Mr. Duncan. Without further objection, the further
2884	reading of the amendment dispensed with and Mr. Pfluger is
2885	recognized to explain the amendment.
2886	[The amendment of Mr. Pfluger follows:]
2887	
2888	********COMMITTEE INSERT*******
2889	

2890 *Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Pipelines play a vital role in safely providing affordable, abundant, reliable energy to communities around the world. And the crews that are building, maintaining, and repairing these pipelines contribute to environmental protection, to economic growth, to energy security, and this is, obviously, indispensable to a variety of businesses throughout the United States.

2898 Additionally, pipeline construction and repair requires heavy machinery and near constant movement. It is imperative 2899 that we have the proper safety standards in place to 2900 prioritize both worker and public safety during this process. 2901 Under current law, Chapter 601, Title 49 does not 2902 contain any authority concerning worker or public safety 2903 where pipeline construction or repair activities are 2904 occurring. 2905

There are no notices appearing in designating a safe distance from construction repair activities. Therefore, allowing the general public to enter these areas either deliberately or accidentally, creating an unsafe situation for citizens and a liability exposure for the companies

2911 involved.

My amendment is pretty simple. It directs the Secretary to promulgate a regulation to establish worker and public safety zones to ensure the public maintains a safe distance from pipeline construction, from repairs, and other necessary activities.

This zone will exist at all times during these activities. It is important to note that this proposal does not alter or amend any pipeline sighting, permitting, setbacks, location, or approval authority of the secretary under Chapter 601 of Title 49, nor does it change landowner rights in any way.

2923 My amendment simply will create parity with other modes 2924 of transportation under the authority of DOT and ensure 2925 public and worker safety is prioritized.

I urge a yes on this vote and yield back.

2927 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

2928 Any further discussion?

2929 Gentlelady from Colorado is recognized.

2930 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2931 I'm opposed to the amendment as written simply because I

2932 think it is unworkable. What it does is it requires the 2933 Department of Transportation to establish worker and public 2934 safety zones at each pipeline construction or pipeline repair 2935 site, and it makes it illegal to enter those zones.

I am really sympathetic to the intent of this amendment, 2936 the intent of wanting to keep pipeline workers and the 2937 general public safe. But as written, the amendment would 2938 2939 place an unrealistic burden on PHMSA and the DOT because by directing the Secretary of Transportation to establish the 2940 zones, what it would mean then is that DOT employees would 2941 have to act as construction managers for privately owned and 2942 2943 operated pipelines.

This would be a huge and expensive ask of the Department, especially at the same time that this bill is trying to cut PHMSA's authorization by \$42 million. The amendment doesn't say that PHMSA should come up with standards so private companies can establish the zones, and it effectively requires PHMSA and DOT to do this for any pipeline construction site across the country.

2951 So it is really impractical. It would likely create 2952 delays in repairs and construction as pipeline operators

2953	would have to wait on scarce DOT in PHMSA crews.
2954	You know, if we actually had a conversation about how we
2955	could come up with a bipartisan pipeline bill here, then I
2956	think this is something we could try to work on together to
2957	figure out how we could get this safety.
2958	But in the context of the entire bill with the huge cuts
2959	and everything, I just don't think it is going to work. And
2960	so, reluctantly, I have to oppose it, and I yield back.
2961	*Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.
2962	Any further discussion on the amendment?
2963	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested, so the
2964	clerk will call the roll.
2965	*The Clerk. Burgess?
2966	(No response.)
2967	*The Clerk. Latta?
2968	(No response.)
2969	*The Clerk. Guthrie?
2970	*Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
2971	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
2972	Griffith?
2973	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.

2974	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
2975	Bucshon?
2976	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
2977	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
2978	Wahlberg?
2979	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
2980	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
2981	Palmer?
2982	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
2983	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
2984	Curtis?
2985	(No response.)
2986	*The Clerk. Lesko?
2987	(No response.)
2988	*The Clerk. Pence?
2989	*Mr. Pence. Aye.
2990	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
2991	Armstrong?
2992	(No response.)
2993	*The Clerk. Weber?
2994	*Mr. Weber. Aye.

2995	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
2996	Balderson?
2997	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.
2998	*The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
2999	Pfluger?
3000	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
3001	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
3002	Duncan?
3003	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.
3004	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
3005	DeGette?
3006	*Ms. DeGette. No.
3007	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
3008	Peters?
3009	(No response.)
3010	*The Clerk. Fletcher?
3011	(No response.)
3012	*The Clerk. Matsui?
3013	*Ms. Matsui. No.
3014	*The Clerk. Matsui?
3015	*Ms. Matsui. No.

3016	*The Clerk	. Matsui votes no.
3017	Tonko?	
3018	*Mr. Tonko	. No.
3019	*The Clerk	. Tonko votes no.
3020	Veasey?	
3021	(No respon	se.)
3022	*The Clerk	. Kuster?
3023	*Ms. Kuste	r. No.
3024	*The Clerk	. Kuster votes no.
3025	Schrier?	
3026	*Ms. Schri	er. No.
3027	*The Clerk	. Schreier votes no.
3028	Castor?	
3029	*Ms. Casto	r. No.
3030	*The Clerk	. Castor votes no.
3031	Sarbanes?	
3032	*Mr. Sarba	nes. No.
3033	*The Clerk	. Sarbanes votes no.
3034	Cardenas?	
3035	*Mr. Carde	nas. No.
3036	*The Clerk	. Cardenas votes no.
		1 5 0

3037	Blunt Rochester?
3038	(No response.)
3039	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3040	*Mr. Pallone. No.
3041	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
3042	Rodgers? Rodgers?
3043	*The Chair. Yes.
3044	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
3045	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Latta recorded?
3046	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
3047	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
3048	*Mr. Duncan. Mr. Palmer?
3049	*The Clerk. Mr. Palmer is recorded as aye.
3050	*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
3051	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.
3052	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.
3053	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
3054	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Peters recorded?
3055	*The Clerk. Mr. Peters is not recorded.
3056	*Mr. Peters. No.
3057	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.

3058 *Mr. Duncan. Are there any other members unrecorded? Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally. 3059 3060 *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 13 ayes and 10 noes. 3061 *Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it and the amendment is 3062 agreed to. 3063 Are there in any other amendments? 3064 3065 Mr. Tonko is recognized. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have an amendment 3066 *Mr. Tonko. at the desk. I believe it's AMD 03. 3067 The clerk will report the amendment. 3068 *Mr. Duncan. *The Clerk. Amendments of the Committee print for the 3069 3070 Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024 offered by Mr. Tonko. 3071 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the 3072 amendment is dispensed with and Mr. Tonko is recognized to 3073 explain his amendment. 3074 3075 [The amendment of Mr. Tonko follows:] 3076 3077 3078

3079 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I want to reiterate the concerns that have already been expressed this morning, that this proposal goes far beyond the scope of a pipeline safety reauthorization.

Also, I have serious concerns that it goes beyond the jurisdiction of this Subcommittee. This amendment would strike Section 3(c), which would require EPA to grant aquifer exemptions for class six injection wells for geologic sequestration.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to class six wells being permitted. I have sponsored multiple pieces of legislation to support emerging carbon dioxide removal technologies, and I believe this industry will inevitably be a part of achieving our net zero climate goals.

3093 Secure and permanent geological storage sites must be 3094 permitted as part of these efforts. But there is a reason 3095 this permitting is done under the Safe Drinking Water Act. 3096 We must be 100 percent confident that a sequestration site is 3097 not going to endanger people's drinking water.

3098 Unfortunately, this proposed exemption was never 3099 considered by the Environment Subcommittee, which has

expertise on drinking water policy. And therefore, we never had an opportunity to hear from EPA or from experts or state officials responsible for protecting underground sources of drinking water.

To include this provision as part of a supposed pipeline 3104 safety bill, because it may be tangentially beneficial to the 3105 pipeline industry is simply wrong. It runs counter to the 3106 3107 carefully considered regulatory framework that is being developed for class six wells, and we have not allowed the 3108 potential impacts of the proposal to be properly considered. 3109 If the majority is serious about responsibly permitting 3110 class six wells, we should carry out the actual oversight 3111 necessary to fully evaluate this proposed exemption and 3112 ensure it would not recklessly undermine the public health 3113 goals of the Safe Drinking Water Act. 3114

I urge members to support this amendment and allow us to refocus the proposal before us on actual pipeline safety measures.

3118 With that, Mr. chair, I yield back.

3119 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

3120 Any other members seeking recognition?

3121 For what purposes does Mr. Latta seek recognition?
3122 *Mr. Latta. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Strike the last
3123 word.
3124 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized for five

3125 minutes.

*Mr. Latta. Thank you very much, Mr. chairman. 3126 If we are going to advance our carbon sequestration 3127 policies, we need to do this by using pipelines. If we are 3128 going to use pipelines, we are going to make sure that PHMSA 3129 has standards in place for those facilities. This section 3130 does just that and it also provides direction for operators 3131 to run assessments and modeling to identify high consequence 3132 areas that could be affected by CO2 pipeline release. 3133

We also clarify EPA authority to identify areas suitable for underground sequestration. This is an important vision for anybody who wants to advance our carbon capture and sequestration policies that have been bipartisan.

3138 Striking this section harms CCS, and, Mr. Chairman, I 3139 urge a no vote on the amendment.

3140 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

3141 For what purpose does the gentlelady from Colorado seek

3142 recognition?

3143 *Ms. DeGette. Move to strike the last word.

3144 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized for five 3145 minutes.

3146 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you.

I want to take a minute to explore Section 3(c) of the bill, which deals with the underground sequestration of carbon dioxide.

We all would have liked to have heard testimony from the EPA on this section, considering that they implement the law under which class six wells are regulated.

Unfortunately, the majority didn't have them testify, and I am concerned that the section has severe issues that would threaten various underground drinking water sources. And so since we haven't been able to have a hearing and ask the EPA, I would just like to ask Committee counsel if they can answer some of these questions, if you might.

3159 So the first question I have for counsel is, can the EPA 3160 currently issue aquifer exemptions under class six wells?

3161 *Committee Counsel. EPA may in its discretion.

3162 *Ms. DeGette. Okay. In its discretion? Because I

3163 thought current EPA regulations forbid the use of aquifer exemptions for new class six wells? 3164 3165 *Committee Counsel. It's our understanding that EPA may, in its discretion, issue exemptions and we received 3166 technical assistance from EPA. 3167 *Ms. DeGette. Okay. We will check that out. I don't 3168 think that is correct. 3169 3170 As written, Counsel, the bill would effectively automatically authorize carbon dioxide injection into any 3171 aquifer that currently has an exemption attached to it; is 3172 that correct? 3173 *Committee Counsel. Will you repeat the question? 3174 *Ms. DeGette. Yeah. So as written, the bill would 3175 effectively automatically authorize carbon dioxide injection 3176 into any aquifer that has an exemption attached to it? 3177 *Committee Counsel. I don't believe that the language 3178 directs them to automatically approve. 3179 3180 *Ms. DeGette. Okav. *Committee Counsel. Could you point to the line and 3181 page number where you see that? 3182 *Ms. DeGette. Do you have a line and page number? 3183

3184	*Committee Counsel. That language says that the
3185	operator may request EPA.
3186	*Ms. DeGette. Okay. Yeah. It is Section _ what
3187	section is that? Okay. It's Paragraph two of the amendment,
3188	page 8 here. It says, "Designations. In considering a
3189	request under Paragraph one, the administrator shall
3190	designate an aquifer portion thereof, an exempted aquifer.''
3191	*Committee Counsel. Again, it doesn't automatically
3192	require the EPA administrator issue that.
3193	*Ms. DeGette. What does shall mean?
3194	*Committee Counsel. In the preceding paragraph, in
3195	general, "Effective immediately, upon the data of enactment
3196	of this subsection owners or operators may request.''
3197	*Ms. DeGette. Right. But then _ then paragraph two
3198	says, "The administrator shall, in considering a request,''
3199	so if they decided to request then it says the administrator
3200	shall designate the aquifer, right?
3201	*Committee Counsel. If it meets the criteria set forth
3202	in Paragraphs A through Section $_$ and C of that underlying
3203	section of the code.
3204	*Ms. DeGette. Okay. Well, I mean, I am really
	158

3205 concerned that this language would completely undermine the 3206 EPA's Underground Injection Control Program because of this 3207 language, and so I think that we should be very careful what 3208 we do.

I have one last question. Can you detail the difference between an aquifer exemption and an injection depth waiver? *Committee Counsel. We would be happy to get back to you with further answer for the record.

3213 *Ms. DeGette. Okay. What we had

3214 *Committee Counsel. Do you have a specific question 3215 about the difference?

*Ms. DeGette. _ what we believe is that an aquifer exemption allows a user to inject or extract resources or waste into an underground body of water that does not serve as a source of drinking water and will not serve as a future source of drinking water. Is that your understanding?

3221 *Committee Counsel. Ma'am, I think we have to see the 3222 accompanying portion of the Code of Federal Regulations that 3223 you are referring to.

*Ms. DeGette. Okay. Well, okay. Thanks for attempting
to answer my questions. I think that we have gotten even

3226	more confusion from counsel's answers here. And again, if we
3227	ever do decide to have a negotiation on a pipeline bill,
3228	maybe we can talk about this, but I don't think there is
3229	enough knowledge here to pass this amendment. I would urge a
3230	no vote.
3231	*Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.
3232	Is there further discussion?
3233	*Ms. DeGette. This section, I urge a yes vote on the
3234	Tonko Amendment.
3235	*Mr. Duncan. Gentlelady yields back.
3236	Is there further discussion on the amendment?
3237	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested so the
3238	clerk will call the roll on the Tonko Amendment.
3239	*The Clerk. Burgess?
3240	*Mr. Burgess. No.
3241	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
3242	Latta?
3243	*Mr. Latta. No.
3244	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
3245	Guthrie?
3246	*Mr. Guthrie. No.

3247	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
3248	Griffith?
3249	*Mr. Griffith. No.
3250	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
3251	Bucshon?
3252	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
3253	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
3254	Wahlberg?
3255	(No response.)
3256	*The Clerk. Palmer?
3257	*Mr. Palmer. No.
3258	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
3259	Curtis?
3260	(No response.)
3261	*The Clerk. Lesko?
3262	(No response.)
3263	*The Clerk. Pence?
3264	*Mr. Pence. No.
3265	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
3266	Armstrong?
3267	(No response.)

3268	*The (Clerk.	Weber?
3269	*Mr. N	Weber.	No.
3270	*The (Clerk.	Weber votes no.
3271	Balde	rson?	
3272	*Mr. 1	Balderso	on. No.
3273	*The (Clerk.	Balderson votes no.
3274	Pfluge	er?	
3275	*Mr. 1	Pfluger.	No.
3276	*The (Clerk.	Pfluger votes no.
3277	Duncai	n?	
3278	*Mr.]	Duncan.	No.
3279	*The (Clerk.	Duncan votes no.
3280	DeGet	te?	
3281	*Ms.]	DeGette.	Aye.
3282	*The (Clerk.	DeGette votes aye.
3283	Peter	s?	
3284	*Mr. 1	Peters.	Aye.
3285	*The (Clerk.	Peters votes aye.
3286	Fletc	her?	
3287	(No re	esponse.	.)
3288	*The (Clerk.	Matsui?

3289	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
3290	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
3291	Tonko?
3292	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
3293	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
3294	Veasey?
3295	(No response.)
3296	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3297	(No response.)
3298	*The Clerk. Schrier?
3299	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3300	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
3301	Castor?
3302	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
3303	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
3304	Sarbanes?
3305	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
3306	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
3307	Cardenas?
3308	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
3309	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
	163

3310	Blunt Rochester?
3311	(No response.)
3312	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3313	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3314	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3315	Rodgers?
3316	*The Chair. No.
3317	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
3318	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Walberg recorded?
3319	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg is not recorded.
3320	*Mr. Walberg. No.
3321	*The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
3322	*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
3323	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.
3324	*Ms. Lesko. No.
3325	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko votes no.
3326	*Ms. Custer. How is Ms. Custer recorded?
3327	*The Clerk. Ms. Custer, you are not recorded.
3328	*Ms. Custer. Aye.
3329	*The Clerk. Custer votes aye.
3330	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?

3331	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
3332	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 10
3333	ayes and 14 noes.
3334	*Mr. Duncan. The noes haven't. The amendment is not
3335	agreed to.
3336	Are there further amendments to be offered?
3337	Ms. Kuster. Mr. Chair?
3338	*Mr. Duncan. Yes. Ms. Kuster?
3339	Ms. Kuster. I have an amendment at the desk AMD 12.
3340	*Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment.
3341	*The Clerk. Amendments to the Committee print of the
3342	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024.
3343	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
3344	amendment is dispensed with and Ms. Kuster is recognized to
3345	explain her amendment.
3346	[The amendment of Ms. Kuster follows:]
3347	
3348	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
3349	

3350 Ms. Kuster. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3351 The Non-application Clause in 49 USC 60104(b) prevents 3352 PHMSA from applying new design, installation, construction, 3353 or testing standards to existing pipelines. This can have 3354 dire consequences.

In 2018, a series of fires and explosions destroyed 131 homes, injuring 22 people, and killing one person in Lawrence, Massachusetts right next to my district, in representative Lori Trahan's district.

3359 The cause of faulty cast iron pipe led to over 3360 pressurization on the gas system. Thankfully, in response, 3361 Congress gave PHMSA the authority to prevent over 3362 pressurization.

However, if there is a new development in valves that could save lives, like rupture mitigation valves, PHMSA is prohibited by the Non-application Clause from requiring those safety measures to be added to older pipes.

3367 My amendment eliminates the Non-application Clause and 3368 directs PHMSA to ensure that all pipelines meet the most up 3369 to date safety standards. We know how important natural gas 3370 is to so many facets of American society, electricity

3371 generation, home heating, cooking, and industrial purposes.
3372 My amendment will help ensure the system used to deliver
3373 natural gas is safe and reliable by eliminating the Non3374 application Clause. I urge my colleagues to vote for this
3375 common-sense amendment, and I yield back.

3376 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.

3377 Any further discussion on the amendment?

3378 Dr. Burgess, you are recognized.

3379 *Mr. Burgess. Yes, Chairman, I want to speak, or speak 3380 against the amendment.

3381 This adds a new section, requires the Secretary to issue 3382 a rule with a five-year timetable for what are called Uniform 3383 Standards for Pipeline Safety Compliance.

3384 The measure is in fact duplicative, and the requirements 3385 are duplicative to force paperwork and reporting requirements 3386 to have so-called uniform standards across the entire 3387 nation's pipeline system.

3388 That's more than three and a half million miles of 3389 pipelines. Each pipeline operator is required to develop a 3390 Custom Integrity Management Plan for each and every segment 3391 of the pipeline. The standards are not uniform for a reason.

3392 Each is highly technical and depend upon each pipeline's unique circumstances. And they are treated different 3393 3394 differently whether or not they are in densely populated areas, environmental sensitive areas, or other circumstances. 3395 So for that reason, Mr. Chairman, I would urge a no vote 3396 on the amendment that I think will weaken pipeline safety and 3397 unnecessarily increase cost for the American people. I yield 3398 3399 back. 3400 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Is there further discussion on the amendment? 3401 Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested. Clerk 3402 will call the roll 3403 3404 *The Clerk. Burgess? 3405 *Mr. Burgess. No. *The Clerk. Burgess votes no. 3406 Latta? 3407 *Mr. Latta. 3408 No. 3409 *The Clerk. Latta votes no. Guthrie? 3410 (No response.) 3411 *The Clerk. Griffith? 3412

3413	*Mr. Griffith. No.
3414	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
3415	Bucshon?
3416	(No response.)
3417	*The Clerk. Wahlberg?
3418	(No response.)
3419	*The Clerk. Palmer?
3420	*Mr. Palmer. No.
3421	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
3422	Curtis?
3423	(No response.)
3424	*The Clerk. Lesko?
3425	(No response.)
3426	*The Clerk. Pence?
3427	*Mr. Pence. No.
3428	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
3429	Armstrong?
3430	(No response.)
3431	*The Clerk. Weber?
3432	*Mr. Weber. No.
3433	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
	1.0

3434	Balderson?
3435	*Mr. Balderson. No.
3436	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
3437	Pfluger?
3438	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
3439	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
3440	Duncan?
3441	*Mr. Duncan. No.
3442	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
3443	DeGette?
3444	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
3445	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
3446	Peters?
3447	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
3448	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
3449	Fletcher?
3450	(No response.)
3451	*The Clerk. Matsui?
3452	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
3453	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
3454	Tonko?

3455	(No response.)
3456	*The Clerk. Veasey?
3457	(No response.)
3458	*The Clerk. Tonko?
3459	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
3460	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
3461	Veasey?
3462	(No response.)
3463	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3464	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3465	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3466	Schrier?
3467	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3468	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
3469	Castor?
3470	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
3471	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
3472	Sarbanes?
3473	(No response.)
3474	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
3475	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.

3476	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
3477	Blunt Rochester?
3478	(No response.)
3479	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3480	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3481	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3482	Rodgers?
3483	*The Chair. No.
3484	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
3485	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
3486	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
3487	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
3488	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Bucshon recorded?
3489	*The Clerk. Mr. Bucshon is not recorded.
3490	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
3491	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
3492	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Walberg?
3493	*Mr. Walberg. No.
3494	*The Clerk. Walberg votes not.
3495	*Mr. Duncan. And how is Ms. Lesko recorded?
3496	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.

3497	*Ms. Lesko. No.
3498	*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
3499	*Mr. Duncan. Are there any other members unrecorded?
3500	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
3501	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were nine
3502	ayes and 14 noes.
3503	*Mr. Duncan. The nays have it. The amendment is not
3504	agreed to.
3505	Are there further amendments to the bill?
3506	Dr. Schrier.
3507	*Ms. Schrier. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the
3508	desk. It is labeled AMD 23.
3509	*Mr. Duncan. Clerk will report the amendment.
3510	*The Clerk. Amendments of the Committee print for the
3511	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024
3512	offered by Ms. Schrier.
3513	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
3514	amendment is dispensed with, and Dr. Schrier is recognized to
3515	explain her amendment.
3516	[The amendment of Dr. Schrier follows:]
3517	
	173

3518 ********COMMITTEE INSERT********

3520 *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. chairman, back in January, we heard from PHMSA's assistant administrator about the resource constraints the agency is laboring under. And the fact that there are several congressional mandates, not just from the 2020 reauthorization, but also from the 2016 law that PHMSA has been unable to fulfill on time due to limited resources.

3527 Other members of this Subcommittee, including Ranking 3528 Member DeGette, have spoken about their concerns on the 3529 resources side for PHMSA, and I want to associate myself with 3530 those comments.

However, I am also concerned that even if PHMSA has the resources necessary, it may not have the staffing levels necessary to ensure that congressional mandates and important rule make rulemakings get done on time.

This is especially vital this reauthorization cycle as I expect PHMSA will be tackling important rulemakings on hydrogen safety, which is especially relevant to my constituents now that Washington state will be home to a new Department of Energy hydrogen hub.

3540 My bill would address this problem by allowing PHMSA to

3541 increase its staffing levels with the express intent of using those additional staff to develop and implement pipeline 3542 3543 safety policies and fulfill congressional mandates. 3544 I also want to emphasize that similar to one Republican amendment that we have already adopted today, this language 3545 was verbatim, in the Transportation and Infrastructure 3546 Committee's bill, which passed with broad bipartisan support. 3547 3548 I cannot think of a reason why this should not get bipartisan support here. I think we all want to see PHMSA 3549 complete its job in a timely manner, in an efficient manner, 3550 and this amendment would help it do just that. 3551 I urge support for this amendment, and I yield back. 3552 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. 3553 Is there further discussion on the amendment? 3554 I recognize myself. I would like to strike the last 3555 word and speak in opposition to the amendment, so I recognize 3556 myself to do so. 3557 3558 And this amendment would authorize PHMSA to hire 30 new staff to develop regulations. We are trying to streamline 3559 the process, not add to the bureaucracy. 3560

3561 Expanding the federal agency is not the answer to make

3562	PHMSA more effective, and I urge my colleagues to oppose this
3563	amendment. And I will yield back.
3564	Further discussion on the amendment?
3565	Seeing none, a roll call has been requested. The clerk
3566	will call the roll.
3567	*The Clerk. Burgess?
3568	*Mr. Burgess. No.
3569	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
3570	Latta?
3571	*Mr. Latta. No.
3572	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
3573	Guthrie?
3574	(No response.)
3575	*The Clerk. Griffith?
3576	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
3577	Bucshon?
3578	(No response.)
3579	*The Clerk. Wahlberg?
3580	(No response.)
3581	*The Clerk. Palmer?
3582	*Mr. Palmer. No.

3583	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
3584	Curtis?
3585	(No response.)
3586	*The Clerk. Lesko?
3587	(No response.)
3588	*The Clerk. Pence?
3589	*Mr. Pence. No.
3590	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
3591	Armstrong?
3592	(No response.)
3593	*The Clerk. Weber?
3594	*Mr. Weber. No.
3595	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
3596	Balderson?
3597	*Mr. Balderson. No.
3598	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
3599	Pfluger?
3600	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
3601	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
3602	Duncan?
3603	*Mr. Duncan. No.

3604	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
3605	DeGette?
3606	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
3607	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
3608	Peters?
3609	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
3610	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
3611	Fletcher?
3612	(No response.)
3613	*The Clerk. Matsui?
3614	*Ms. Matsui. No.
3615	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
3616	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
3617	*The Clerk. Matsui is off no, on aye.
3618	Tonko? Tonko?
3619	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
3620	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
3621	Veasey?
3622	(No response.)
3623	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3624	(No response.)

3625	*The Clerk. Schrier?
3626	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3627	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
3628	Castor?
3629	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
3630	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
3631	Sarbanes?
3632	(No response.)
3633	*The Clerk. Cardenas?
3634	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
3635	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
3636	Blunt Rochester?
3637	(No response.)
3638	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3639	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3640	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3641	Rodgers?
3642	*The Chair. No.
3643	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
3644	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
3645	*Mr. Guthrie. No.

3646	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
3647	*Mr. Duncan. How is Dr. Bucshon recorded?
3648	*The Clerk. Bucshon is not recorded.
3649	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
3650	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
3651	*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
3652	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko _
3653	*Ms. Lesko. No.
3654	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko votes no.
3655	*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Kuster recorded?
3656	*The Clerk. Ms. Kuster is not recorded.
3657	*Ms. Kuster. I vote aye.
3658	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3659	*Mr. Duncan. For clarification, how is Ms. Matsui
3660	recorded?
3661	*The Clerk. Ms. Matsui is recorded as aye.
3662	*Mr. Duncan. Thank you. I heard two different things
3663	so thank you.
3664	Any other member unrecorded?
3665	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
3666	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were nine

*Mr. Duncan. The nays have it. The amendment is not 3668 3669 agreed to. Are there further amendments? 3670 Ms. Castor is recognized. 3671 *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an 3672 amendment at the desk labeled AMD 8. 3673 3674 *Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment. *The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the 3675 Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024 3676 offered by Ms. Castor. 3677 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the 3678 amendments dispensed with. Ms. Castor is recognized to 3679 explain her amendment. 3680 [The amendment of Ms. Castor follows:] 3681 3682 3683 3684

3667

ayes and 13 noes.

3685 *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

You know, pipelines can be very dangerous when they are neglected, and fatal accidents occur every year. On average, there are over 600 pipeline safety incidents in each year resulting in 31 injuries and 10 deaths.

In January, an explosion from a natural gas line in Fort Worth, Texas injured 21 people. And safety concerns are not just related to natural gas pipelines. In 2020, 45 people were hospitalized after a carbon dioxide pipeline ruptured in Mississippi.

3695 So my amendment would do a few things, all of which 3696 would make pipelines across America safer. First, it would 3697 index pipeline safety fines to inflation. This is an 3698 important update that would make penalties for safety 3699 violations more meaningful, especially as some of the fee 3700 provisions have not been updated since 1994.

And we have to ensure that the polluting pipeline companies skirting safety rules are held accountable. Frankly, I think the fines should be increased, but in the spirit of trying to craft language that could be acceptable to both sides, my language would simply keep the fines at the

3706 levels they were enacted at, but allow them to grow over time 3707 by indexing.

3708 The second change my amendment would make is to remove 3709 the cumulative limit on a related series of violations. 3710 Right now, current law puts a cap on the fines that can be 3711 levied on a pipeline operator for a related series of 3712 violations.

This means that if a pipeline operator had a violation that went on for 10 days, it is conceivable that they would face no more incremental fines for their continued violation of pipeline safety laws. That would sharply lower their incentive to fix their violation.

I do not want our laws to be overly punitive, but I do want to make certain that they are incentivizing pipeline operators to obey them. And ensuring operators are held to account and that they just can't try and wait out the clock to save their bottom line, it will help do just that.

Finally, my bill would change the criminal standard used to assess if pipeline operators have committed a crime. This would enable pipeline operators to be held criminally liable if they knowingly, willfully, or recklessly violate the law.

Pipeline operators should not be able to claim that they were unaware of pipeline safety standards as an excuse to violate them.

Therefore, my amendment would allow for the prosecution of pipeline operators that recklessly ignore safety laws and regulations, ensuring that they are held accountable.

3733 Mr. Chairman, my amendment would make common-sense 3734 changes to the pipeline safety law. It is designed with the 3735 hope that it could be bipartisan, so I urge all of our 3736 colleagues to support it. Thank you, and I yield back.

3737 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back.

3738Is there further discussion on the amendment?3739I recognize myself. I move to strike the last word and

3740 speak opposition to the amendment. I am recognized.

You know, I think one problem that congresses have had in the past is arbitrary language that leaves the legislation up to the courts to decide what the congressional meaning is. I think this amendment increases civil penalties for violations as a vague new reckless standard. I think reckless is subjective. So I would like to talk about the amendment.

3748	The amendment here attempts to increase the civil
3749	penalties for violations by tying them to inflation.
3750	Reckless spending, poor fiscal policy of Democrats is driving
3751	inflation in this country and now they are attempting to
3752	weaponize it against the providers of energy.
3753	The amendment also attempts to add the new reckless
3754	standard. The current statute covers knowingly and
3755	willfully, which are intentional acts. Reckless is not, and
3756	it would trigger the potential for more penalties.
3757	Penalties should be associated with acts that are done
3758	intentionally and knowingly. So I urge my colleagues to
3759	oppose this amendment and with that I yield back.
3760	Is there further discussion on the amendment?
3761	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested and the
3762	clerk will call the roll.
3763	*The Clerk. Burgess?
3764	*Mr. Burgess. No.
3765	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
3766	Latta?
3767	*Mr. Latta. No.
3768	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
	100

3769	Guthrie?
3770	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
3771	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
3772	Griffith?
3773	*Mr. Griffith. No.
3774	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
3775	Bucshon?
3776	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
3777	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
3778	Wahlberg?
3779	(No response.)
3780	*The Clerk. Palmer?
3781	*Mr. Palmer. No.
3782	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
3783	Curtis?
3784	(No response.)
3785	*The Clerk. Lesko?
3786	(No response.)
3787	*The Clerk. Pence?
3788	*Mr. Pence. No.
3789	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.

3790	Armstrong?
3791	(No response.)
3792	*The Clerk. Weber?
3793	*Mr. Weber. No.
3794	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
3795	Balderson?
3796	*Mr. Balderson. No.
3797	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
3798	Pfluger?
3799	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
3800	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
3801	Duncan?
3802	*Mr. Duncan. No.
3803	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
3804	DeGette?
3805	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
3806	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
3807	Peters?
3808	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
3809	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
3810	Fletcher?

3811	(No response.)
3812	*The Clerk. Matsui?
3813	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
3814	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
3815	Tonko?
3816	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
3817	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
3818	Veasey?
3819	(No response.)
3820	*The Clerk. Kuster?
3821	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
3822	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
3823	Schrier?
3824	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
3825	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
3826	Castor?
3827	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
3828	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
3829	Sarbanes?
3830	(No response.)
3831	*The Clerk. Cardenas?

3832	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
3833	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
3834	Blunt Rochester?
3835	(No response.)
3836	*The Clerk. Pallone?
3837	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
3838	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
3839	Rodgers?
3840	*The Chair. No.
3841	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
3842	*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
3843	*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.
3844	*Ms. Lesko. No.
3845	*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
3846	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
3847	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
3848	How is Mr. Walberg recorded?
3849	*The Clerk. Mr. Walberg, you are not recorded.
3850	*Mr. Walberg. No.
3851	*The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
3852	*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?

3853	Clerk will report.
3854	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were nine
3855	ayes and 14 noes.
3856	*Mr. Duncan. The nays have it and the amendment is not
3857	agreed to.
3858	Are there further amendments at the desk?
3859	Mr. Cardenas is recognized.
3860	*Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have
3861	amendment at the desk labeled AMD 7.
3862	*Mr. Duncan. Clerk will report the amendment.
3863	*The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the
3864	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024.
3865	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection further reading of the
3866	amendment is dispensed with. Mr. Cardenas is recognized to
3867	explain his amendment.
3868	[The amendment of Mr. Cardenas follows:]
3869	
3870	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
3871	

*Mr. Cardenas. 3872 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just two months ago, we heard from experts that spoke 3873 3874 about the shortfalls in the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration PHMSA Community Liaison Program. 3875 For instance, the aftermath of an incident like a 3876 pipeline explosion, there is currently no public information 3877 officer that community members can go to for answers. 3878 This 3879 can breed misinformation, distrust, and outright panic and chaos in situations. Our American citizens deserve better. 3880 During that hearing, our witness, Mr. Karam, from the 3881 Pipeline Safety Trust said, it has also led to significant 3882 frustration among the public. PHMSA's ineffective public 3883 engagement is understandably frustrating because it fails the 3884 health and safety and well-being of our communities. 3885 I commend the Transportation and Infrastructure 3886 Committee for working in a bipartisan manner to address this 3887 issue by including a more fleshed out Office of Public 3888 3889 Engagement in their pipeline safety bill, the PIPES Act of 2023. 3890 The language is certainly not perfect, but it is an 3891 important starting point on this issue that could be built 3892

3893 upon in future reauthorizations once Congress has had time to 3894 conduct proper oversight of the new office.

3895 Unfortunately, despite expert testimony and a bipartisan 3896 recognition that there is a need for improved public 3897 engagement, Republicans on this Committee have failed to 3898 include any provisions that improve PHMSA's public engagement 3899 program in today's pipeline safety bill.

My amendment would add the exact language from the T&I bill to establish the Office of Public Engagement to improve outreach education and access to safety programs and assistance.

Additionally, the language in my amendment and in the T&I bill creates strong congressional oversight of the program. To be clear, this is language that passed out of the T&I Committee unanimously. All Republicans and Democrats yoting yes.

Every single member on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee voted on a package that included this language, from the far right to the far left.

3912 Personally, I would have preferred to go further, but I 3913 wanted to craft this amendment to be identical to the

3914 language that had already secured bipartisan support just three months ago. 3915 3916 I cannot think of any reason why this should be a partisan amendment. With over 600 pipeline safety incidents 3917 a year, it is important we improve public engagement and 3918 3919 education. Our constituents deserve that at the very least. It is 3920 3921 time that Republicans on this Committee get serious about pipeline safety and work with Democrats to better serve and 3922 protect our constituents through pursuing a bipartisan 3923 reauthorization process. 3924 If my colleagues want to show they are serious about 3925 3926 bipartisanship in the process, they will vote yes on my amendment. I urge my colleagues to vote yes on this 3927 amendment and no on the underlying bill. 3928 I am going to take a point of personal privilege, Mr. 3929 Chairman. I noticed that we have a monitor on this side of 3930 the room that half of it is white and the other half is 3931 regular colored. 3932 And if we could get that monitor changed, but my request 3933

194

is if we could use an energy efficient monitor to replace it.

3935 I with that, I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. It costs too much. That is right. 3936 3937 The gentleman yields back. Any further discussion on the amendment? 3938 And Mr. Balderson is recognized. 3939 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like 3940 to strike the last word to speak in opposition to this 3941 3942 amendment. 3943 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized. *Mr. Balderson. Thank you. PHMSA already maintains a 3944 strong program to engage with community members and 3945 stakeholders in regulatory decision making. I am not sure 3946

3947 this amendment is necessary.

I would note the Biden Administration has not asked for this authority, and we have no record in Committee that would establish the legislative need for this provision. If the gentleman is willing to withdraw the amendment, we would be happy to work with him and them.

3953 Otherwise, I would recommend a no vote until we can 3954 understand whether PHMSA's current program and authority 3955 should be modified. I urge my colleagues to withdraw this

3956	amendment so we can further work on this issue.
3957	I yield back.
3958	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
3959	Is there any other discussion on the amendment?
3960	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested, so the
3961	clerk will call the roll.
3962	*The Clerk. Burgess?
3963	*Mr. Burgess. No.
3964	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
3965	Latta?
3966	*Mr. Latta. No.
3967	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
3968	Guthrie?
3969	(No response.)
3970	*The Clerk. Griffith?
3971	*Mr. Griffith. No.
3972	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
3973	Bucshon?
3974	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
3975	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
3976	Wahlberg?

3977	*Mr. Walberg. No.
3978	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes no.
3979	Palmer?
3980	*Mr. Palmer. No.
3981	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
3982	Curtis?
3983	(No response.)
3984	*The Clerk. Lesko?
3985	(No response.)
3986	*The Clerk. Pence?
3987	(No response.)
3988	*The Clerk. Armstrong?
3989	(No response.)
3990	*The Clerk. Weber?
3991	*Mr. Weber. No.
3992	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
3993	Balderson?
3994	*Mr. Balderson. No.
3995	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
3996	Pfluger?
3997	*Mr. Pfluger. No.

3998	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
3999	Duncan?
4000	*Mr. Duncan. No.
4001	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
4002	DeGette?
4003	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
4004	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
4005	Peters?
4006	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
4007	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
4008	Fletcher?
4009	(No response.)
4010	*The Clerk. Matsui?
4011	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
4012	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
4013	Tonko?
4014	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
4015	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
4016	Veasey?
4017	(No response.)
4018	*The Clerk. Kuster?

4019	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4020	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4021	Schrier?
4022	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4023	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
4024	Castor?
4025	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
4026	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
4027	Sarbanes?
4028	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
4029	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
4030	Cardenas?
4031	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4032	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4033	Blunt Rochester?
4034	(No response.)
4035	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4036	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4037	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4038	Rodgers?
4039	*The Chair. No.

4040		*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
4041		*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
4042		*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie is not recorded.
4043		*Mr. Guthrie. No.
4044		*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
4045		*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Pence recorded?
4046		*The Clerk. Mr. Pence is not recorded.
4047		*Mr. Pence. No.
4048		*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
4049		*Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Lesko recorded?
4050		*The Clerk. Ms. Lesko is not recorded.
4051		*Ms. Lesko. No.
4052		*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
4053		*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
4054		Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
4055		*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 10
4056	ayes	and 14 noes.
4057		*Mr. Duncan. The nays have it. The amendment is not
4058	agree	ed to.
4059		Any other amendments to be offered?
4060		Mr. Cardenas is recognized. Excuse me, Mr. Sarbanes is
		200

4061	recognized.
4062	*Mr. Sarbanes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
4063	amendment at the desk labeled Amendment 5.
4064	*Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment, and I
4065	apologize.
4066	*The Clerk. Amendments to the Committee print for the
4067	Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024
4068	offered by Mr. Sarbanes. Strike Section 15 relating to $_$
4069	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading on the
4070	amendments dispensed with and then Mr. Sarbanes recognized to
4071	explain the amendment.
4072	[The amendment of Mr. Sarbanes follows:]
4073	
4074	*********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4075	

4076 *Mr. Sarbanes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This amendment would simply strike a provision from the bill that has absolutely nothing to do with pipeline safety. Section 15 of the bill doesn't try to hide what it is. It is entitled modernizing and expanding pipelines. It is a blank check for any pipeline company to expand or build a new pipeline along an existing right of way.

4083 Notably, it would allow the Federal Energy Regulatory 4084 Commission or FERC to bulldoze over states' rights under the 4085 Clean Water Act.

The Clean Water Act, as we know, mandates that any project requiring a permit under the law must also acquire a certificate from the relevant state to show that the state approves the activity and does not believe that its waters will be unduly harmed.

This state certification process is absolutely vital to the cooperative federalism created by the Clean Water Act. It lets states have a say in the projects built within their borders and ensures that states can keep their waters clean. Unfortunately, Section 15 of this bill, which this

4096 amendment seeks to strike, not only gives FERC the authority

4097 to bypass or overrule other federal agencies to grant an authorization required for a pipeline under any federal law, 4098 4099 but it also jeopardizes states' rights under the Clean Water Act by allowing it to also bypass them in some instances. 4100 Under Section 15, FERC cannot issue a permit if a state 4101 has denied a Water Quality Certificate. However, it may 4102 bypass the state certification process to issue a permit if a 4103 4104 state agency is still contemplating the permit after a year. This means that a state may actually be incentivized to 4105 prematurely deny any application that it has doubts on rather 4106 than take the proper and appropriate time to understand the 4107 implications because of the risk that FERC will override that 4108 4109 due consideration.

Frankly, unfortunately, this approach seems to be in line with the Republican approach to permitting generally in this Congress, throwing together so-called fixes that would actually do nothing to fix and navigate the tricky and complex issues that we are facing.

4115 You will also notice that nowhere in my description of 4116 the language in the bill or my amendment that I say the words 4117 pipeline safety. That is because this language has nothing

4118 to do with pipeline safety.

As the Ranking Member said, if Republicans want to move these permitting measures independently, they are welcome to do so. They are in the majority. That is their right. But they cannot include poison pill permitting provisions in a pipeline safety bill and just expect the rest of us to go along.

I urge my colleagues to adopt my amendment to strike this language, which would allow us to actually start negotiating on the bill. Thank you, and I yield back, Mr. Chair.

4129 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.

4130 Is there further discussion on the amendment?

I recognize myself and move to strike last word and recognize myself to speak in opposition of this amendment. This strikes Section 15 of the pipeline print, which concerns modernizing and expanding pipelines. This section is vital to the ongoing safety of our pipeline system.

It will enable modernization of existing pipelines and make use of existing right of ways already approved. This section provides FERC backstop authority to issue a federal

permit for inspection, repair, and maintenance related 4139 construction, modification of an existing pipeline or a new 4140 4141 pipeline collocated within the existing right of way. This kicks in if other entities failed to issue the 4142 4143 necessary federal permits after one year. Now, the left doesn't want energy and have been doing all they can to kill 4144 new infrastructure. 4145 4146 States like New York are blocking critical infrastructure and harming consumers in other states, but 4147 this concerns existing infrastructure, and it is within our 4148 jurisdiction to improve this infrastructure for the benefit 4149 4150 of the American public. 4151 We should give FERC the authority to update this infrastructure and increase usefulness for the existing 4152 systems. Striking this important section will harm existing 4153 pipelines and harm our energy security as well as our 4154 economy. 4155 4156 So I urge a no vote on this amendment and I yield back. Any further discussion on the amendment? 4157 Seeing none, a roll call has been requested, so the 4158 clerk will call the roll.

205

4160	*The Clerk. Burgess?
4161	*Mr. Burgess. No.
4162	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
4163	Latta?
4164	*Mr. Latta. No.
4165	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
4166	Guthrie?
4167	(No response.)
4168	*The Clerk. Griffith?
4169	*Mr. Griffith. No.
4170	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
4171	Bucshon?
4172	(No response.)
4173	*The Clerk. Wahlberg?
4174	(No response.)
4175	*The Clerk. Palmer?
4176	*Mr. Palmer. No.
4177	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
4178	Curtis?
4179	(No response.)
4180	*The Clerk. Lesko?

4181	*Ms. Lesko. No.
4182	*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
4183	Pence?
4184	*Mr. Pence. No.
4185	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
4186	Armstrong?
4187	(No response.)
4188	*The Clerk. Weber?
4189	(No response.)
4190	*The Clerk. Balderson?
4191	*Mr. Balderson. No.
4192	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
4193	Pfluger?
4194	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
4195	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
4196	Duncan?
4197	*Mr. Duncan. No.
4198	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
4199	DeGette?
4200	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
4201	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.

4202	Peters?
4203	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
4204	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
4205	Fletcher?
4206	(No response.)
4207	*The Clerk. Matsui?
4208	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
4209	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
4210	Tonko?
4211	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
4212	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
4213	Veasey?
4214	(No response.)
4215	*The Clerk. Kuster?
4216	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4217	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4218	Schrier?
4219	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4220	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
4221	Castor?
4222	*Ms. Castor. Aye.

4223	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
4224	Sarbanes?
4225	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.
4226	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
4227	Cardenas?
4228	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4229	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4230	Blunt Rochester?
4231	(No response.)
4232	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4233	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4234	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4235	Rodgers?
4236	*The Chair. No.
4237	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
4238	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
4239	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
4240	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
4241	*Mr. Duncan. How is Dr. Bucshon recorded?
4242	*The Clerk. Bucshon is not recorded.
4243	*Mr. Bucshon. No.

4244	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
4245	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Walberg recorded?
4246	*The Clerk. Walberg is not recorded.
4247	*Mr. Walberg. No.
4248	*The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
4249	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Weber recorded?
4250	*The Clerk. Weber is not recorded.
4251	*Mr. Weber. No.
4252	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
4253	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
4254	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
4255	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 10
4256	ayes and 14 noes.
4257	*Mr. Duncan. The noes have it, and the amendment is not
4258	agreed to.
4259	Are there further amendments to the bill?
4260	Mr. Pallone is recognized.
4261	*Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. chairman. I have an
4262	amendment at the desk labeled AMD 09.
4263	*Mr. Duncan. The clerk will report the amendment.
4264	*The Clerk. Amendment to the Committee print for the
	210

4265	Pipeline Safety Modernization _
4266	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
4267	amendments dispensed with. Mr. Pallone is recognized.
4268	[The amendment of Mr. Pallone follows:]
4269	
4270	********COMMITTEE INSERT********
4271	

4272 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My amendment is fairly simple. It would amend the bill to strike the duplicative cost benefit analysis that PHMSA's rules are subject to that has no other comparison across the federal government.

This statutory restriction has given industry a hook for litigation that ultimately endangers Americans and makes pipelines across the country less safe.

I want to be clear. Executive Order 12866 from 1993 requires that every major rule reviewed by OMB have an economic review that includes a cost benefit analysis.

The PHMSA's rules are no different in that respect, but what is different about PHMSA is that within its authorizing statute is a requirement that prohibits the agency from proposing or finalizing a standard until it can complete a cost benefit analysis.

So the issue is twofold. The first is that the dual requirement for a proposed and final standard can significantly slow down PHMSA's rule making processes. And the second is that having the requirement in law as opposed to following the executive order has given pipeline companies

4293 the ability to pursue spurious lawsuits against PHMSA safety 4294 standards.

4295 So this Subcommittee heard just as much in testimony that we received in January from the Pipeline Safety Trust. 4296 In fact, in a court case last May, the DC Circuit noted 4297 PHMSA's unique hybrid rulemaking procedures as the agency is 4298 constrained by the language, well, I am sorry what we are 4299 4300 basically trying to do, I want to be clear, is that my amendment proposes to strike, you know, this duplicate of 4301 provision, Mr. chairman. 4302

Because this led the DC Circuit to rule against PHMSA and vacate part of a final rule implementing a new safety standard mandating remote controlled and automatic shutoff valves in gathering pipelines.

So PHMSA had enacted that final rule pursuant to instructions from congress in 2011, but if we want PHMSA to do as we direct, we can't continue to insist that it try to do its job while stuck between a rock and a hard place.

And just to note, this safety standard mandating remote control and automatic shutoff valves was something that I put in, you know, as I said, we are going back, a few years ago

as a result of an explosion that took place in my district. 4314 And as a result, we realized that having these remote 4315 4316 controlled and automatic shuttle valves would be very valuable to prevent explosions or damage, you know, to 4317 residents in the area near the pipeline. 4318 So if we adopt my amendment, the Administrative 4319 Procedure Act and Executive Order 12866 will still mandate 4320 4321 that any major rule makings will have a cost benefit analysis performed before being finalized, but we would put PHMSA on 4322 an even playing field with every other energy, 4323 transportation, and safety regulation. 4324 So I urge support for my amendment, and with that, I 4325 4326 yield back. The gentleman yields back. 4327 *Mr. Duncan. Is there further discussion on the amendment? 4328 Mr. Wahlberg, for what purpose do you seek recognition? 4329 *Mr. Walberg. I would like to strike the last word and 4330 4331 speak in opposition to the amendment. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized for five 4332 minutes. 4333 *Mr. Walberg. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4334

4335	This amendment adjusts the PHMSA cost benefit analysis
4336	to exclude the reasonableness of the standard, reasonably
4337	identified identifiable benefits, and reasonably identifiable
4338	costs from consideration.
4339	This amendment would completely remove the Secretary's
4340	requirement to consider costs and benefits when performing
4341	risk assessments and to report to congress on assessments.
4342	PHMSA regulation should be cost effective and risk based and
4343	informed.
4344	I believe that this amendment guts that standard, and so
4345	I urge a no vote on this amendment.
4346	*Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back.
4347	Is there further discussion?
4348	Seeing none, a roll call vote has been requested so the
4349	clerk will call the roll.
4350	*The Clerk. Burgess?
4351	*Mr. Burgess. No.
4352	*The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
4353	Latta?
4354	*Mr. Latta. No.
4355	*The Clerk. Latta votes no.
	01 5

4356	Guthrie?
4357	(No response.)
4358	*The Clerk. Griffith?
4359	*Mr. Griffith. No.
4360	*The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
4361	Bucshon?
4362	*Mr. Bucshon. No.
4363	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
4364	Wahlberg?
4365	*Mr. Walberg. No.
4366	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes no.
4367	Palmer?
4368	*Mr. Palmer. No.
4369	*The Clerk. Palmer votes no.
4370	Curtis?
4371	(No response.)
4372	*The Clerk. Lesko?
4373	*Ms. Lesko. No.
4374	*The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
4375	Pence?
4376	*Mr. Pence. No.

4377	*The Clerk. Pence votes no.
4378	Armstrong?
4379	(No response.)
4380	*The Clerk. Weber?
4381	*Mr. Weber. No.
4382	*The Clerk. Weber votes no.
4383	Balderson? Balderson?
4384	*Mr. Balderson. No.
4385	*The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
4386	Pfluger?
4387	*Mr. Pfluger. No.
4388	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
4389	Duncan?
4390	*Mr. Duncan. No.
4391	*The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
4392	DeGette?
4393	*Ms. DeGette. Aye.
4394	*The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
4395	Peters?
4396	*Mr. Peters. Aye.
4397	*The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
	4 · -

4398	Fletcher?
4399	(No response.)
4400	*The Clerk. Matsui?
4401	*Ms. Matsui. Aye.
4402	*The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
4403	Tonko?
4404	*Mr. Tonko. Aye.
4405	*The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
4406	Veasey?
4407	(No response.)
4408	*The Clerk. Kuster?
4409	*Ms. Kuster. Aye.
4410	*The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
4411	Schrier?
4412	*Ms. Schrier. Aye.
4413	*The Clerk. Schreier votes aye.
4414	Castor?
4415	*Ms. Castor. Aye.
4416	*The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
4417	Sarbanes?
4418	*Mr. Sarbanes. Aye.

4419	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes aye.
4420	Cardenas?
4421	*Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
4422	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
4423	Blunt Rochester?
4424	(No response.)
4425	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4426	*Mr. Pallone. Aye.
4427	*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
4428	Rodgers?
4429	*The Chair. No.
4430	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
4431	*Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
4432	*Mr. Guthrie. No.
4433	*The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie votes no.
4434	*Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
4435	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
4436	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan on that vote, there were 10
4437	ayes and 14 noes.
4438	*Mr. Duncan. The nays have it, and the amendment is not
4439	agreed to.

Ms. DeGette? 4440 *Ms. DeGette. Mr. Chairman, I have move to strike the 4441 4442 last word. *Ms. DeGette. The gentlelady moves to strike the last 4443 word and you are recognized for five minutes. 4444 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4445 We are done, I think, with the amendments at this point, 4446 4447 and we are getting ready to have a final passage, vote on 4448 this bill. I just want to reiterate what I said in my opening 4449 statement, which is that the PHMSA most recent pipeline 4450 safety reauthorization expired in September and the Democrats 4451 have been very eager to work, in a bipartisan way, on 4452 reauthorization. 4453 In fact, today, we even agreed to two of the Republican 4454 amendments to the bill while the Republicans didn't agree to 4455 any of our amendments. And in addition, not only that, but 4456 4457 some of the amendments that we wanted to make made it in line with what is already happening in the appropriations bills 4458 and in other pieces of legislation. 4459 And so I will just reiterate, if we really want to 4460

4461 legislate in this Committee, then I would suggest that we actually try to work in a bipartisan way just like we did on 4462 4463 our nuclear bill, which now is going over to the Senate. And so, Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to say those things. 4464 I hope we can work together and I yield back, and I know 4465 we're going to go to final vote. 4466 *Mr. Duncan. Well, I appreciate the gentlelady's 4467 4468 comments, and there are a couple of amendments I think we can work on. I have talked with staff about them, and we will 4469 continue to work before we go to full Committee to see if we 4470 can incorporate some of that, but that is a work in progress. 4471 Is there a further discussion? First off, are there any 4472 other amendments to be offered? 4473 Seeing none, is there further discussion on the bill? 4474 Seeing none. The pending question is adoption of the 4475 bill as amended. 4476 The roll call vote is necessary, so the clerk will call 4477 4478 the roll. *The Clerk. Burgess? 4479 *Mr. Burgess. Aye. 4480

4481 *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.

4482	Latta?
4483	*Mr. Latta. Aye.
4484	*The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
4485	Guthrie?
4486	(No response.)
4487	*The Clerk. Griffith?
4488	*Mr. Griffith. Aye.
4489	*The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
4490	Bucshon?
4491	*Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
4492	*The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
4493	Wahlberg?
4494	*Mr. Walberg. Aye.
4495	*The Clerk. Wahlberg votes aye.
4496	Palmer?
4497	*Mr. Palmer. Aye.
4498	*The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
4499	Curtis?
4500	(No response.)
4501	*The Clerk. Lesko?
4502	*Ms. Lesko. Aye.

4503	*The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.	
4504	Pence?	
4505	*Mr. Pence. Aye.	
4506	*The Clerk. Pence votes aye.	
4507	Armstrong?	
4508	(No response.)	
4509	*The Clerk. Weber?	
4510	*Mr. Weber. Aye.	
4511	*The Clerk. Weber votes aye.	
4512	Balderson?	
4513	*Mr. Balderson. Aye.	
4514	*The Clerk. Balderson votes ay	e.
4515	Pfluger?	
4516	*Mr. Pfluger. Aye.	
4517	*The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.	
4518	Duncan?	
4519	*Mr. Duncan. Aye.	
4520	*The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.	
4521	DeGette?	
4522	*Ms. DeGette. No.	
4523	*The Clerk. DeGette votes no.	

4524	Peters?
4525	*Mr. Peters. No.
4526	*The Clerk. Peters votes no.
4527	Fletcher?
4528	(No response.)
4529	*The Clerk. Matsui?
4530	*Ms. Matsui. No.
4531	*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
4532	Tonko?
4533	*Mr. Tonko. No.
4534	*The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
4535	Veasey?
4536	(No response.)
4537	*The Clerk. Kuster?
4538	*Ms. Kuster. No.
4539	*The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
4540	Schrier?
4541	*Ms. Schrier. No.
4542	*The Clerk. Schreier votes no.
4543	Castor?
4544	*Ms. Castor. No.

4545	*The Clerk. Castor votes no.
4546	Sarbanes?
4547	*Mr. Sarbanes. No.
4548	*The Clerk. Sarbanes votes no.
4549	Cardenas?
4550	*Mr. Cardenas. No.
4551	*The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
4552	Blunt Rochester?
4553	(No response.)
4554	*The Clerk. Pallone?
4555	*Mr. Pallone. No.
4556	*The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
4557	Rodgers?
4558	*The Chair. Aye.
4559	*The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
4560	*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
4561	How is Mr. Guthrie recorded?
4562	*Mr. Guthrie. Vote aye.
4563	*The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
4564	*Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
4565	Seeing none, the clerk will report the tally.
	225

4566	*The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 14
4567	ayes and 10 noes.
4568	*Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it, and the bill, as
4569	amended, is agreed to, and will be moved to the full
4570	Committee.
4571	Without objection, the staff is authorized to make
4572	technical and conforming changes to the legislation approved
4573	by the Subcommittee today, so ordered.
4574	And without objection, the Subcommittee will stand
4575	adjourned.
4576	I appreciate everyone's attendance and participation.
4577	[Whereupon, at 12:49 p.m., the Subcommittee was
4578	adjourned.]