```
Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
1
    RPTS SHONERD
2
3
    HIF018030
4
5
    FUELING AMERICA'S ECONOMY: LEGISLATION TO IMPROVE SAFETY AND
6
    EXPAND U.S. PIPELINE INFRASTRUCTURE
7
8
    THURSDAY, JANUARY 18, 2024
    House of Representatives,
9
    Subcommittee on Energy, Climate, and Grid Security,
10
    Committee on Energy and Commerce,
11
    Washington, D.C.
12
13
14
15
16
          The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:01 a.m. in
17
    Room 2322, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Jeff Duncan
18
    [Chairman of the Subcommittee], presiding.
19
          Present: Representatives Duncan, Latta, Guthrie,
20
    Griffith, Johnson, Bucshon, Walberg, Palmer, Curtis, Lesko,
21
```

```
22
    Pence, Weber, Balderson, Pfluger, Rodgers (ex officio);
    DeGette, Fletcher, Matsui, Tonko, Veasey, Kuster, Schrier,
23
24
    Castor, Sarbanes, Cardenas, and Pallone (ex officio).
         Also present: Representative Joyce.
25
          Staff present: Kate Arey, Digital Director; Sarah
26
    Burke, Deputy Staff Director; Marjorie Connell, Director of
27
    Archives; Nick Crocker, Senior Advisor and Director of
28
    Coalitions; Sydney Greene, Director of Operations; Nate
29
    Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman, Chief Counsel; Daniel
30
    Kelly, Press Assistant; Patrick Kelly, Staff Assistant; Sean
31
    Kelly, Press Secretary; Alex Khlopin, Staff Assistant; Peter
32
    Kielty, General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services
33
    Director; Elise Krekorian, Counsel; Mary Martin, Chief
34
    Counsel; Brandon Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel; Kaitlyn
35
    Peterson, Clerk; Karli Plucker, Director of Operations
36
     (shared staff); Peter Spencer, Senior Professional Staff
37
    Member; Dray Thorne, Director of Information Technology;
38
    Waverley Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and General
39
    Counsel; Brian Hall, Minority Energy Fellow; Mackenzie Kuhl,
40
    Minority Digital Manager; Kristopher Pittard, Minority
41
    Professional Staff Member; Kylea Rogers, Minority Policy
42
```

- 43 Analyst; Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of Communications,
- Outreach, and Member Services; Medha Surampudy, Minority
- Professional Staff Member; and Tuley Wright, Minority Staff
- Director, Energy, Climate, and Grid Security.

47

```
*Mr. Duncan. The Subcommittee on Energy, Climate, and
48
    Grid Security will now come to order. I ask all of our
49
50
    members and quests to take their seats.
          I will now recognize myself for five minutes for an
51
52
    opening statement.
          I want to thank you all for being here today, and
53
    welcome to the Energy, Climate, and Grid Security
54
    Subcommittee hearing titled, "Fueling America's Economy:
55
    Legislation to Improve Safety and Expand U.S. Pipeline
56
    Infrastructure.'' Today we are examining a discussion draft
57
    released by Chair Rodgers and myself to reauthorize the
58
    Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration's
59
    Pipeline Safety Program for another five years and update
60
    policies to construct and operate pipelines.
61
          PHMSA is an agency under the U.S. Department of
62
    Transportation that develops and enforces Federal safety
63
    regulations for the nation's pipeline infrastructure and the
64
    transportation of hazardous materials. Pipelines are the
65
    safest and most efficient modes of transport for fuels and
66
    feedstocks that power our nation's economy.
67
         According to a 2019 PHMSA report to Congress, pipelines
68
```

```
69
    delivered 180 million gallons of energy per incident, while
    trucks delivered only 55 million gallons per incident, and
70
71
    trains only 50 million gallons. PHMSA and states must
    cooperate and coordinate to ensure the nation's existing 3.4
72
    million miles of pipelines are operated and maintained in a
73
    safe and reliable manner.
74
          PHMSA also has a critical role to play in reviewing
75
    proposals for new pipelines and liquefied natural gas
76
    facilities within a timely manner.
77
          I am glad that we have PHMSA Deputy Administrator
78
    Tristan Brown here today to discuss PHMSA's current
79
    operations and the agency's implementation of the Protecting
80
    our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety, our
81
    PIPES Act, that was passed back in 2020. This committee has
82
    a responsibility to ensure PHMSA complies with its statutory
83
    authorities and maintains a strong focus on public safety.
84
         Now I have concerns that under this Administration PHMSA
85
    is getting away from pipeline safety and toward more climate
86
    activism. It has become an unfortunate theme throughout the
87
    Biden Administration that agencies are acting outside the
88
    scope of their congressionally-directed responsibilities by
89
```

90 proposing climate change regulations. The apparent goal of the Biden Administration is to keep 91 92 fossil fuels in the ground, or at least make them so expensive that the American people can't afford them. 93 seeing that all over the country. We have seen it through 94 actions at the EPA, Department of Energy, and the Federal 95 Energy Regulatory Commission. Just last week the press 96 revealed that the DOE is secretly pausing LNG export permits 97 while it conducts duplicative and repetitive environmental 98 reviews, just another move to stop U.S. energy production and 99 delivery. The Biden Administration's disdain for fossil 100 fuels and pipelines is putting lives at risk. 101 Under President Biden PHMSA has gone three years without 102 a nominee to lead the agency. That is a record vacancy. 103 PHMSA is currently led by Deputy Administrator Brown, who I 104 am glad is here to speak today to talk about these concerns, 105 as well as the numerous overdue congressional mandates and 106 open rulemakings that have yet to be finalized by PHMSA. 107 As a result of the current state of PHMSA, the and the 108 American Energy Infrastructure, Chair Rodgers and I have 109 released draft legislation that reflects a comprehensive 110

approach to reauthorize PHMSA's safety programs and update 111 policies for pipeline permitting. 112 It is no secret the United States is in desperate need 113 of expanded pipeline energy infrastructure. My home state of 114 South Carolina is facing an impending energy crisis, in part 115 due to lack of pipeline infrastructure required to bring 116 clean energy to South Carolina. Pipelines all over the 117 country have been delayed and ultimately canceled as a result 118 of as the result of permitting challenges and lawsuits 119 funded and backed by radical environmental groups. 120 The draft legislation that Chair Rodgers and I have 121 introduced addresses this by strengthening penalties for 122 damaging pipelines and incorporating permitting reforms 123 centered on safety, modernization, and expansion. It 124 improves pipeline safety by updating PHMSA's programs to 125 reflect new technologies. It puts an end to the gas bans by 126 protecting the American people's right to choose the energy 127 source that fits their needs. 128 So in conclusion, pipelines are essential to the energy 129 security of the United States. If we don't have the 130 necessary infrastructure to deliver oil and natural gas from 131

```
132
     American producers to energy consumers and utilities, we
     undercut our energy security and force reliance on our
133
134
     adversaries for energy.
          I am not alone in this. I will point out that the
135
     Progressive Policy Institute has a paper that specifically
136
     points and this isn't a conservative, right-leaning group
137
     the Progressive Policy Institute has a paper pointing to the
138
     need to build out our energy infrastructure and pipeline
139
     infrastructure in this country, and supports natural gas, and
140
     I welcome the committee to read that at some point in time on
141
     both sides of the aisle.
142
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Duncan follows:]
143
144
     ************************************
145
146
```

147 *Mr. Duncan. So I look forward to our hearing today, and recognize Ranking Member DeGette for five minutes. 148 149 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. And before I start my opening statement I just want to take a 150 moment of personal privilege because we heard earlier this 151 week that you won't be running for reelection. And I just 152 want to let you know from my side of the aisle and for me 153 personally, I have loved working with you. We have been 154 admirable adversaries from time to time, but we have also 155 worked very closely on a number of issues. And to that end, 156 I really hope we can pass our nuclear bill so that it can be 157 your legacy as you leave this, because you have really been a 158 wonderful chairman, so thank you, Chairman. 159 [Applause.] 160 *Mr. Duncan. Well, thank you so much for that. I am 161 not going anywhere anytime soon. We got 11 months' worth of 162 work to do, and the bills you mentioned, and some more work. 163 If we can work in a bipartisan way to get it through this 164 Congress and signed by the President, it would be a legacy we 165 can all hang our hats on. So thank you so much for that. 166 look forward to working with you. 167

168 *Ms. DeGette. You bet. And now here we go, into this hearing. So I am glad we are finally having this hearing on 169 170 pipeline safety. The Pipeline Safety and Hazardous Materials Safety 171 Administration, or PHMSA, oversees the safety of nearly 3.4 172 million miles of pipelines that reach across the country. 173 These pipelines carry hazardous materials like oil, gas, and 174 other means of energy production to go where they need to go. 175 They are part of our energy system, ensuring the light comes 176 on when you turn on the switch. 177 In an ideal world, the average American would be 178 blissfully unaware of pipelines because they would be quietly 179 doing their job for us. But unfortunately, as we have seen 180 all too often, pipelines can be very dangerous, especially 181 when we neglect them. On average there are more than 600 182 pipeline safety incidents a year, and these incidents can be 183 fatal, and are devastating to families and communities. 184 Congress, of course, is responsible for reauthorizing 185 PHMSA's pipeline safety activities. And to prevent those 186 incidents Congress must take a more active oversight role. 187 PHMSA's Pipeline Safety Program reauthorization actually 188

189 expired in September of last year. In response to then-impending lapse, Ranking Member 190 191 Pallone and I sent a letter last September to Chair Rodgers and to Chair Duncan, requesting this subcommittee immediately 192 hold an oversight hearing of PHMSA's pipeline safety 193 The letter also expressed our disappointment in 194 the lack of bipartisan engagement in reauthorizing those 195 vital programs, because this is something that could be 196 bipartisan. But despite that we haven't seen any action 197 until today, when, unfortunately, we seem to be taking a step 198 backwards. 199 The only action that the majority took on pipeline 200 safety in 2023 was releasing this partisan draft bill that we 201 are considering today, the "Pipeline Safety, Modernization, 202 and Expansion Act of 2023,'' a bill that, despite its name 203 including "safety,'' has several sections that are completely 204 unrelated to PHMSA pipeline safety. 205 206 That bill, rather, contains provisions to increase the construction and operation of pipelines. It would allow FERC 207 authority to grant authorizations to modernize existing 208 pipelines and construct new pipelines. It would streamline 209

```
210
     the permitting process, all while authorizing a funding level
     that is 18 percent below current funding levels, stripping
211
212
     PHMSA of critical funding and safety measures, despite the
     bill's branding.
213
          And if the cuts alone weren't enough, the bill also
214
     places additional burdens on the Administration's pipeline
215
     safety program, burdens which are unfunded. PHMSA has an
216
     important job in ensuring safety and protecting communities,
217
     and they require full funding to carry this out.
218
          Fortunately, in contrast with what we are seeing
219
     proposed by the majority, the Infrastructure Investment and
220
     Jobs Act invested $1 billion in the safety of natural gas
221
     distribution pipelines. The President's fiscal year 2024
222
     budget also requested a 20 percent increase for PHMSA's
223
     pipeline safety budget to support this important work.
224
          Now the Energy and Commerce Committee, unfortunately,
225
     since we should have full jurisdiction over this, we do share
226
227
     it with the House Transportation and Infrastructure
     Committee, and we can look to the T&I Committee for an
228
     example of bipartisanship and adherence to regular order.
229
     Last December, following their oversight hearing in March
230
```

231 2023, the committee released a bipartisan pipeline safety reauthorization bill. Both sides weighed in to provide a 232 233 common-sense solution that would work to ensure no lapse in the transportation of energy, while also focusing on safety 234 and environmental protection. 235 T&I aren't the only ones who know that pipeline safety 236 demands a bipartisan process. In their letter to the 237 chairman and myself, the Interstate Natural Gas Association 238 of America noted that "As the subcommittee begins considering 239 this measure, we request it be advanced through a bipartisan 240 process, as we believe it is the best way to ensure pipeline 241 safety reauthorization legislation becomes law.'' Really, I 242 find myself not always agreeing with the Natural Gas 243 Association of America, but in this case I don't think I 244 could have put it better. 245 The partisanship from our committee only serves to 246 increase the amount of time it will take to reauthorize 247 important pipeline safety measures. It is vital to fund this 248 program to ensure pipeline safety and to protect our 249 communities. And so I stand ready, as usual, to work on a 250 bipartisan reauthorization of PHMSA's pipeline safety 251

257 *Ms. DeGette. And with that I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. Well, I agree with the gentlelady that 258 259 this ought to be just in this jurisdiction. But unfortunately, we have multiple jurisdictions here to deal 260 with. And so we will work on PHMSA going forward. 261 This is a legislative hearing. We are going to have a 262 markup at some point in time, and I look forward to looking 263 264 at whatever you guys may have to offer. I will now go to the chair of the full committee, Chair 265 Rodgers, for five minutes. 266 *The Chair. Good morning. Today we are discussing 267 legislation that will modernize and expand our nation's 268 pipeline infrastructure to improve safety and lower fuel 269 prices for the American people. 270 As I think about the Arctic blast that swept across the 271 country last week and the cold front moving across the 272 northeast this week, it is critical that Americans have 273 274 access to affordable, reliable, and abundant supplies of energy to heat our homes and fuel our vehicles. We are able 275 to access this lifesaving energy across the country because 276 of our pipeline infrastructure, which is the safest and the 277

278 most efficient way to transport liquid fuels, including gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, propane, and natural gas. 279 280 Experts agree that global demand for liquid fuels will continue to grow through at least 2050. As the world's 281 number-one producer of oil and natural gas, we have an 282 opportunity to cement America's energy leadership for decades 283 284 to come. Today the U.S. has more than 3.4 million miles of 285 pipeline. To meet growing energy demands we must modernize 286 and expand our pipeline infrastructure. The U.S. has been 287 blessed with an abundance of natural resources right under 288 our feet, which we have been able to harness as a result of 289 free market principles and an entrepreneurial spirit that is 290 uniquely American. We should be embracing this, and building 291 on it to enhance America's energy security and competitive 292 edge. Maximizing our energy advantage requires that we 293 expand and modernize our energy infrastructure. 294 The Energy and Commerce Committee plays a key role in 295 strengthening our nation's pipeline safety laws and 296 modernizing the permitting process. Today we are hoping to 297 continue that legacy as we consider the Pipeline Safety, 298

```
299
     Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2024 discussion draft
     authored by Chair Duncan.
300
301
          This important legislation would reauthorize the
     Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, or
302
     PHMSA, for five years, streamlining the permitting process
303
     for new pipeline projects, protecting fuel choice by
304
     prohibiting states' and local gas bans, making energy more
305
306
     affordable and reliable by expanding existing pipelines, and
     preparing for the energy mix of the future, one that will
307
308
     include more natural gas, hydrogen, carbon capture, and
     sequestration, and so much more.
309
           I look forward to working with Chair Duncan and all of
310
     my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to build on American
311
     energy leadership and move this important bill forward. Our
312
     economy, our national security, the stability of global
313
     markets, and the environment will only benefit from continued
314
     American energy leadership.
315
          Today's hearing will give PHMSA and pipeline operators
316
     an opportunity to provide their rule their views on as we
317
     work to perfect the bill.
318
           [The prepared statement of The Chair follows:]
319
```

320		
321	********COMMITTEE	INSERT******
222		

323 *The Chair. And before I yield back, I too want to take a moment to acknowledge my good friend Subcommittee Chair 324 325 Jeff Duncan's terrible announcement yesterday, announcing his retirement. I am so grateful for Chairman Duncan's 326 friendship and his service to the people of South Carolina. 327 You have led on a number of solutions that are top of 328 mind for the people that you represent, but also for the 329 entire country. I especially appreciate your leadership and 330 voice on these energy issues as we think about the importance 331 of American energy independence and meeting critical energy 332 needs today and into the future. 333 You have led on unleashing nuclear energy, ensuring 334 pipeline safety that we are working on today, and we are 335 grateful. We are grateful for your commitment to public 336 service, and we will miss your leadership on this committee. 337 We wish you the best. 338 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Chair Rodgers, and thanks, 339 everyone. 340 I will now go to the ranking member, Mr. Pallone, for 341 five minutes. 342 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, and let me just also express 343

```
344
     my regret on Chairman Jeff Duncan's retirement. He and I
     sincerely mean that. He has always been pleasant. He has
345
346
     always tried to work with us whenever possible.
          Is it appropriate to say is it still appropriate to
347
     say you kind of epitomize the southern gentleman, or is
348
     that
349
          [Laughter.]
350
          *Mr. Pallone. Is that, like,
351
          *Mr. Duncan. That would be gracious of you to say that.
352
     Thank you.
353
354
          [Laughter.]
          *Mr. Pallone. Okay, all right. Well, it is true, it is
355
     very true. So I do regret you are not running again.
356
          But let me just say today I have to say that I am not
357
     happy with the bill that is up today. I know we are finally
358
     having a hearing on pipeline safety and the most recent
359
     reauthorization of pipeline safety programs at the Pipeline
360
     and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, or PHMSA,
361
     expired over 100 days ago. And all our Republican majority
362
     has to offer today is a partisan draft bill that was that
363
     is unintroduced and unchanged since they released it in July.
364
```

365 There was no effort to work together, no effort to sit down and discuss shared priorities, and no heads up at all that 366 367 the Republicans are putting together this draft. And this is a stark departure from the path that 368 Democrats forged when we were in the majority during the last 369 reauthorization process. We sat down, negotiated with our 370 Republican colleagues for months to try to agree on a 371 bipartisan bill, even postponing a committee markup, because 372 we didn't yet have bipartisan agreement. And it was only 373 after months of detailed discussions reached an impasse that 374 we ultimately moved a Democratic bill through the committee 375 that had a strong commitment to enhance pipeline safety. 376 So today we are considering a partisan bill 377 masquerading, in my opinion, as a pipeline safety bill, when 378 in fact it will only lead to the construction of more 379 dangerous pipelines. Republican priorities are on full 380 display today: Do the bidding of corporate polluters while 381 putting the safety of our communities at risk. 382 Just last week a natural gas leak in Fort Worth, Texas 383 caused an explosion that injured 21 people and destroyed 2 384 floors of a hotel. A year-and-a-half ago, an LNG facility, 385

386 also in Texas, suffered a massive explosion due to what PHMSA later found to be inadequate procedures. The reality is that 387 388 there are over 600 pipeline safety incidents every year, which result in an average of 31 injuries and 10 deaths, and 389 that is just simply unacceptable. And that is why I was so 390 disappointed that Republicans didn't even attempt to work 391 with us on a bipartisan pipeline safety reauthorization bill 392 393 that could actually become law. And this discussion draft, I think, is reckless. 394 would gut state oversight of pipelines built within their 395 boundaries, encroaching on states' rights. It also prevents 396 states and municipalities from deciding to move away from 397 natural gas if they so choose. Even more radically, the bill 398 actually cuts funding for pipeline safety. It delivers a \$42 399 million annual cut to PHMSA's authorized programs from the 400 levels in the last bipartisan authorization that was signed 401 into law by the last Republican President. And this bill 402 asks PHMSA to do more with less. 403 Like the vast majority of the legislation this 404 subcommittee has acted on in this Congress, this bill will 405 never become law. It is yet another proof that, in my 406

407 opinion, that House Republicans are not able to govern, and it underscores why this Congress is on pace to be one of the 408 409 least productive since the Great Depression. And all of this stands in stark contrast to the 410 bipartisan process that the Transportation and Infrastructure 411 Committee implemented last year. They spent months holding 412 serious bipartisan negotiations to craft a bipartisan bill, 413 and they were able to move this bill out of committee last 414 year. As with any bipartisan legislation, neither side 415 likely got everything it wanted in the final bill, but it 416 garnered strong support from Democrats and Republicans. It 417 also authorized \$80 million more each year for pipeline 418 safety programs than this bill does, and it was free of the 419 poison pill riders that make up so much of this bill. 420 So frankly, I don't believe that Republicans on this 421 committee would cede ground to another committee on an issue 422 that where we share jurisdiction and, as was said, where we 423 should have sole jurisdiction. It also defies logic to 424 continue to move forward with a partisan process while 425 another committee has crafted a bipartisan compromise that 426 could potentially become law. 427

```
428
          And it is unfortunate that committee Republicans have
     chosen partisanship on something as important as keeping our
429
430
     communities safe from dangerous pipelines. It is our
     responsibility to ensure PHMSA has the resources and
431
     authorities it needs to keep nearly 3.4 million miles of oil,
432
     gas, and other pipelines nationwide safe. This discussion
433
     draft will not do that.
434
          So I am just hoping that, you know, when we actually go
435
     to markup, that this changes and that we can actually work
436
     together and finally bring a bipartisan bill to the committee
437
     and ultimately to the floor that, you know, really provides
438
     for pipeline safety and lives up to the name of pipeline
439
     safety.
440
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]
441
442
     ************************************
443
444
```

445 *Mr. Pallone. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time. 446 447 *Mr. Duncan. I thank the ranking member, and I reiterate we look forward to trying to work with you guys in 448 a legislative hearing. We will go to markup, hopefully, 449 soon, and I would love to look at any sort of 450 recommendations, changes, see how we can make this a truly 451 bipartisan effort, as the spirit of the committee, as 452 always. 453 We will now conclude with members' opening statements. 454 The chair would like to remind members that, pursuant to the 455 committee rules, all members' opening statements will be made 456 part of the record. 457 So I want to thank our witnesses for being here today 458 and taking time to testify. Each witness will have the 459 opportunity and we only have one to give an opening 460 testimony and then answer questions. Today we have Deputy 461 462 Administrator Tristan Brown with PHMSA. And we thank you for being here, and we look forward to 463 your testimony, and then we also look forward to a good round 464 of questions from all the members. So you are recognized for 465

466 five minutes, Mr. Brown.

467

```
STATEMENT OF TRISTAN BROWN, DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, PIPELINE
468
     AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION (PHMSA)
469
470
          *Mr. Brown. Great, good morning, and thank you,
471
     Chairman Duncan. Thank you, Ranking Member DeGette. Thank
472
     you, Chair Rodgers, Ranking Member Pallone. I am pleased to
473
     be here to testify on the Department of Transportation's,
474
     Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, or
475
     PHMSA's pipeline safety program. And I appreciate the
476
     subcommittee's interest in strengthening pipeline safety and
477
     working towards a reauthorization bill that we can get signed
478
     into law.
479
          Safety is the top priority for the Department of
480
     Transportation and for PHMSA. Specifically, PHMSA is
481
     responsible, as was mentioned, for overseeing the safe
482
     transportation of hazardous materials via pipelines, but also
483
     via all other modes of transportation: planes, trains,
484
     trucks, automobiles, vessels, and the safe design,
485
     operations, and maintenance of nearly 3.4 million miles of
486
     oil, gas, and other hazardous liquids and other hazardous
487
     pipelines, including hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and other
488
```

489 emerging fuels of the future. PHMSA's oversight of hazardous materials via other modes 490 491 includes the oversight of nearly 1 in 10 goods that are transported in the United States, everything from nuclear 492 waste to lithium ion batteries to explosives used in 493 excavation, mining, and energy production. 494 PHMSA also chairs multiple international standards-495 making bodies that set the global standards and framework for 496 the safe and efficient transport of these materials across 497 borders and around the world, valued in the trillions of 498 499 dollars. Under Secretary Buttigieg's leadership, PHMSA has been 500 focused on executing bipartisan congressional mandates in the 501 PIPES Act of 2020, implementing historic infrastructure 502 investments from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law of 2021, 503 and strengthening our safety mission and ensuring that the 504 United States has the safest, most efficient, and competitive 505 transportation system in the world. 506 From the standpoint of the volume of work before us as 507 an agency, the challenges in carrying out our safety mission 508 have never been greater. We oversee an aging infrastructure 509

510 that requires robust maintenance and, when needed, repair and replacement. Most of the cross-country pipeline 511 512 infrastructure was built shortly after World War II, meaning many pipelines are over 80 years old, and there are even a 513 few gas distribution segments that are that were installed 514 during the Civil War era, more than 150 years ago, which are 515 being modernized as part of the President's Bipartisan 516 Infrastructure Law grant program that we stood up last year, 517 including grants in your district, Mr. Chairman, and three 518 other members of the subcommittee here. 519 Nearly two-thirds of the energy we consume in the United 520 States is transported via pipeline. Over the past few 521 decades, growth in the energy production in the United States 522 has increased to record levels. Concurrently, the U.S. 523 transportation of these products has necessarily increased, 524 and exports of energy have reached also reached record 525 levels. This has placed new and heightened demands on our 526 pipeline and refined product storage infrastructure, as well 527 as export facilities such as liquefied natural gas facilities 528 that PHMSA also regulates. 529 To meet congressional directives to improve efforts to 530

531 attract and retain pipeline engineers and inspectors, PHMSA has undertaken new recruitment and retention efforts, and has 532 533 kept its focus on the hiring targets included in the PIPES Act of 2020, both for inspectors as well as for the 534 regulatory development personnel that have helped lead the 535 agency to some of its most productive years in ever, in 536 terms of both finalizing new standards and enforcement of our 537 standards, as well as in a reduction in the trend in 538 hazardous materials incidents, including in pipeline 539 incidents. 540 In closing, I would like to thank you again for the 541 opportunity to engage with you on the critical issues facing 542 PHMSA and, in turn, facing a major component of the largest, 543 most sophisticated energy transportation system in the world. 544 Each of the areas I outlined in my written testimony are 545 areas in which the rest of the world looks to America for 546 leadership, leadership in the marketplace for hazardous 547 materials products, leadership for establishing safety rules 548 that countries around the world have told me they often 549 adopt in whole, given our robust process, to improve their 550 own pipeline safety and environmental protection and 551

```
552
     mitigation leadership in the rule of law when it comes to
     disputes and compliance, leadership in research and
553
554
     innovation and new technologies to improve safety and
     environmental performance that are sold domestically and
555
     exported around the world, leadership in transparency and
556
     engagement with affected communities, which countries also
557
     look to as a new standard in leadership in the efficiencies
558
     for all the work that we do.
559
          This work is the result of our collaboration with the
560
     committees that authorize and fund our agency. But the kudos
561
     for all of our achievements go to the more than 600 full-time
562
     and 200 contractors that make up our what I believe is the
563
     most unsung agency in the Federal Government.
564
          With that, I can't yield back any time because I am a
565
     few seconds over here, so thank you.
566
567
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Brown follows:]
568
569
     *****************************
570
571
```

```
*Mr. Duncan. Well, thanks for your testimony.
572
          Is that a stormtrooper on your sock?
573
574
          *Mr. Brown. That is my space socks. That is an
575
     astronaut.
          *Mr. Duncan. Okay.
576
          [Laughter.]
577
           *Mr. Brown. So one of the other things we do is we
578
     regulate spacecraft at the agency.
579
           *Mr. Duncan. One thing about this committee, we like a
580
     little levity from time to time.
581
582
          [Laughter.]
          *Mr. Duncan. So listen, thank you for your testimony,
583
     and it was very informative. I will now go into the
584
     question-and-answering session, and begin by recognizing
585
     myself for five minutes.
586
          You know PHMSA is the Department of Transportation
587
     agency responsible for developing and enforcing Federal
588
     safety regulations for the nation's pipeline infrastructure.
589
     As you mentioned, that is nearly 3.4 million miles of
590
     pipelines.
591
          We have got an abundant supply of clean, affordable,
592
```

593 reliable oil and natural gas, and we need safe and reliable pipeline infrastructure to transport these essential 594 595 resources. Safe transportation should be PHMSA's main priority. We have seen a lot of derailments and other things 596 happen, and it just points to the fact that pipelines do it 597 safer than other modes of transportation. 598 I am concerned that PHMSA is losing its focus on safety, 599 and prioritizing climate change regulations instead. Mr. 600 Brown, PHMSA is a safety regulator, not a climate or 601 environmental enforcement agency. Would you agree with that 602 603 statement? *Mr. Brown. No. Historically, the agency, and since 604 its founding, and actually even before it was founded in the 605 in the 2000s actually, dating back to the Oil Pollution Act 606 of 1990 we had a major environmental component to our 607 agency's work. It is the environment the protection of the 608 environment is in our mission statement. It is in the 609 statutes that you, Congress, have enacted and directed us to 610 protect the environment, property, and ensure the safety of 611 the assets that we oversee. So 612 *Mr. Duncan. Well, I would make the argument that that 613

614 was mainly focused on pipeline spills, and that sort of thing. So I am not going to get into the weeds on that. 615 616 On May 5, 2023 PHMSA proposed a new rule for gas pipeline leak detection and repair pursuant to section 113 of 617 the PIPES Act of 2020. By law, PHMSA is required to conduct 618 a risk assessment and cost benefit analysis so all new 619 regulations are cost effective. I believe PHMSA exceeded its 620 statutory authority with this rule because it expanded 621 PHMSA's jurisdiction to include non-jurisdictional pipelines 622 and exaggerated climate-related benefits to justify high 623 624 compliance costs. Has PHMSA estimated the compliance cost of the proposed 625 regulation? 626 *Mr. Brown. We have, and that is part of our draft 627 notice of proposed rulemaking, which we sought public comment 628 on, and we are currently in the statutory review committee 629 process, getting input, again, from our statutory advisory 630 631 committee. *Mr. Duncan. Is part of that looking into how much this 632 regulation will increase energy prices for the American 633 people? 634

*Mr. Brown. Part of that is trying to estimate the 635 costs and benefits to consumers from the rule, yes. 636 637 *Mr. Duncan. How do you put a well, did PHMSA use the social cost of carbon to justify the high cost of this 638 regulation? 639 *Mr. Brown. That is part of yes, that is social 640 cost of methane, just which is sort of the equivalent of 641 that for estimating social costs, and so that is actually 642 determined through an interagency process. 643 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, just a fancy way of saying social 644 cost of carbon, changing the word to "methane.'' 645 But this regulation also mentions the use of so-called 646 equity benefits. Does PHMSA have statutory authority to rely 647 on equity benefits to justify high compliance cost? 648 *Mr. Brown. It has the ability to estimate the benefits 649 to ensuring equity and fairness in the carrying out of our 650 laws, yes. 651 *Mr. Duncan. And who is who has the, I guess, ability 652 to estimate that fairly? I mean, that is almost subjective, 653 right? 654 *Mr. Brown. There is certainly an amount of 655

```
656
     subjectivity in, really, all the work that I think Federal
     agencies do. But our goal is a fundamental American
657
658
     principle that, as we carry out our laws, that we do so
     fairly and equitably for all Americans.
659
          *Mr. Duncan. Well, that is why
660
          *Mr. Brown. And that historically has not occurred.
661
          *Mr. Duncan. Well, that is why I think pipeline safety
662
     reauthorization, and direction from Congress on what those
663
     parameters should be, and defining your mission is so
664
     important.
665
          It sounds like PHMSA is using climate change and
666
     so-called equity benefits to justify burdensome regulations
667
     that will raise the price of energy for all Americans in my
668
     opinion, PHMSA exceeding its congressional authority in
669
     issuing regulations that have high probability of being
670
     thrown out in court. We will see. It seems that PHMSA is
671
     compromising safety to appease environmental and social
672
673
     activists.
          So I am almost out of time. I have said my part, and I
674
     will now go to the ranking member for her questions.
675
          *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
676
```

And Mr. Brown, thank you for being here today. Thanks 677 for your agency's leaning in on implementation of the 678 679 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. I think there is some really great investments we can do there. 680 So everybody recognizes how important PHMSA is to 681 ensuring pipeline safety and, from that, the safety of our 682 communities. So I want to ask you. Nearly two-thirds of the 683 energy the U.S. consumes is transported versus via 684 pipeline. Is that right? 685 *Mr. Brown. That is yes, correct. 686 *Ms. DeGette. Now, much of the pipeline infrastructure, 687 as you stated in your written testimony and also verbally 688 today, is aging. Is that right? 689 *Mr. Brown. That is correct. 690 *Ms. DeGette. Approximately what is the age of the 691 pipelines? 692 *Mr. Brown. We have got 3.4 million miles of mostly 693 that is distribution. But for interstate transmission you 694 are looking at, you know, nearly 80 years old. 695 *Ms. DeGette. Okay, yes, that is what you had said, 80 696 years old, average. 697

```
698
          So in its fiscal year 2024 budget, the Biden
     Administration requested a 20 percent increase in PHMSA's
699
700
     budget. Can you talk about why PHMSA needs those resources
     to meet its safety mission and what it is going to do with
701
     it?
702
                        Yes. Well, as both the chair of the
703
     subcommittee and full committee mentioned, the amount of
704
     production of energy that moves through the facilities that
705
     we regulate has drastically increased in recent years. And
706
     so we have a much bigger job to oversee.
707
          Take any sort of subsector of the entities that we
708
     regulate on the hazardous materials side, whether it is
709
     electric vehicle batteries, lithium ion batteries that we
710
     regulate, but also on the pipeline side, whether LNG
711
     facilities, an increase in LNG exports and LNG export
712
     facilities. These are major complex assets, and we operate
713
     on an extremely lean budget.
714
715
           *Ms. DeGette. So you are not just increasing the budget
     because of the excuse of climate, is that right?
716
          *Mr. Brown. Absolutely not.
717
          *Ms. DeGette. Okay.
718
```

```
719
          *Mr. Brown. Safety is our top priority.
          *Ms. DeGette. Safety, okay.
720
721
          Can you talk a little bit about the benefits that
     Americans can expect to see going forward from the Natural
722
     Gas Distribution Infrastructure Safety and Modernization
723
     Grant program that you had referenced?
724
          *Mr. Brown. Yes, that is part of the President's
725
726
     Bipartisan Infrastructure Law enacted in 2021. This is our
     first-ever infrastructure grant program focused on
727
     basically, addressing the highest-risk legacy pipe, dating
728
     back in some cases to 150-year-old pipe. So we are providing
729
     grants to community-owned systems. These are in statute,
730
     disadvantaged historically disadvantaged communities. In
731
     the first round I think it was roughly upwards of 60 percent
732
     rural, and the rest urban communities.
733
          We are identifying leaks and leak-prone pipe, fixing
734
     those leaks. So the grants can be used both for leak
735
     detection equipment as well as repairing or, in some cases,
736
     replacing pipe. As I mentioned, in the chairman's district
737
     we are replacing eight miles of pipe, I believe, in your home
738
     community system, as well as 700 service lines of legacy
739
```

740 high-risk pipe. *Ms. DeGette. Thank you. I want to talk about some 741 742 parts of the bill that I don't think really belong here, and this is the rapid response section of my questions. 743 The first one is section 3(c) of the draft bill 744 introduces aguifer exemptions to class 6 wells used for 745 sequestering carbon dioxide. Does this have anything to do 746 with PHMSA's pipeline safety authorities? Yes or no. 747 *Mr. Brown. That is not within our jurisdiction, no. 748 *Ms. DeGette. Oh, it is not within your jurisdiction, 749 750 okay. Now, section 9 of the draft bill prohibits states or 751 municipalities from restricting transportation and 752 distribution of certain energy products. Does this have 753 anything to do with PHMSA's pipeline safety authorities? 754 *Mr. Brown. No, ma'am. 755 *Ms. DeGette. Now, section 10 of the draft bill would 756 757 infringe on states' rights under the Clean Water Act to decide whether or not to issue permits for certain pipelines. 758 Does that have anything to do with PHMSA's pipeline safety 759 authorities? 760

761 *Mr. Brown. No, we do not issue permits. *Ms. DeGette. Okay, thank you. 762 763 Mr. Chairman, this is what I was talking about in my opening statements. I don't think these belong in a pipeline 764 safety bill, and I hope we can negotiate that when we as we 765 move towards markup. And with 26 seconds left, I yield back. 766 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. I will now go 767 to Mr. Latta for five minutes. 768 *Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks 769 for this very important hearing that we are having today, 770 because, as you have mentioned, what we transport across this 771 country safely is absolutely essential to our economy. 772 Deputy Administrator, I am proud to have worked on the 773 Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing 774 Safety, the PIPES Act of 2020. The PIPES Act created several 775 updates for lead detection and repair requirements, report 776 schedules for completion of outstanding rulemaking, and 777 778 strengthened safety requirements for distribution and transmission of pipelines. Unfortunately, PHMSA is behind on 779 many of these requirements. 780

782 these overdue congressional mandates and regulations? *Mr. Brown. Yes, so we had a we are tracking 36 783 784 mandates from the 2020 PIPES Act. Just for context and benefit of the committee, there were 19 mandates in the 785 previous version of the PIPES Act, or previous 786 reauthorization. So we have completed over half of our 787 mandates from that, and we are near completion on the rest of 788 789 them. *Mr. Latta. Let me ask you. When you say "tracking,'' 790 how do you define tracking? 791 *Mr. Brown. Well, as part of the 2020 PIPES Act the law 792 directed us to provide monthly updates on our website. This 793 is something most agencies do not do, but we began doing that 794 for our standards and rulemakings that we are working. So we 795 track, and track for the public, and allow for monthly 796 updates on progress. 797 *Mr. Latta. Okay. And also, as part of the PIPES Act, 798 799 PHMSA is required to hold at least four advisory committee meetings per year for its Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee. 800 Since January of 2021 there have only been three meetings. 801 Do you believe these meetings hold value? 802

```
803
          And if so, why have there only been three meetings since
     that time?
804
805
          *Mr. Brown. Yes, I do believe they hold value. And in
     those meetings, the committees which are represented by
806
     five industry representatives, five public representatives,
807
     and five other government agency representatives they
808
     really work through section by section, and provide expertise
809
810
     from those diverse members. So I think they do provide
     value.
811
          They also contribute, though, to a slower process than a
812
     standard rulemaking process in another agency. So there is a
813
     trade-off there. Those meetings require a fair amount of
814
     coordination to get 15 people in a room, not to mention all
815
     of our staff in the room. So historically, it has taken
816
     months to just in amount of time schedule those meetings.
817
     We are trying to make them efficient, not just hold a meeting
818
     for the sake of holding a meeting, but to actually get work
819
     done, particularly given the volume of mandates that we get
820
821
     from
          *Mr. Latta. Well, you know, I always want to make sure
822
     that, you know, we are just having not having meetings to
823
```

824 have meetings. But one of the things about having these meetings and these forums is to give us a more transparent 825 826 transparency out there for the public, and I think it is important on that and I know that trying to get people 827 828 together. But again, if people commit to being on a committee or 829 some kind of a commission and working forward, you know, that 830 is something a commitment they make. And in my opinion, if 831 they are not committed to doing it, then they need to, you 832 know, get somebody else out there to do it. But I think it 833 is really important that we do have that those that 834 transparency on these forums. 835 Mr. Chairman, I am going to yield back the balance of my 836 time. 837 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I will now go 838 to Ms. Matsui for five minutes. 839 *Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 840 Deputy Administrator Brown, thank you for being here 841 today to address these all-important issues of safety. 842 In the T&I Committee hearing last summer, you said that 843 PHMSA is being asked to do more with less. Natural gas 844

```
845
     infrastructure has tripled, and we may soon see the rapid
     growth of new pipeline networks for transporting carbon
846
847
     dioxide and hydrogen. Mr. Brown, in two sentences can you
     briefly describe some of the unique challenges and concerns
848
     surrounding carbon dioxide pipelines?
849
          *Mr. Brown. Yes, carbon dioxide is an odorless and
850
     colorless gas that is heavier, so it, when released into the
851
     environment, it can stay low to the ground, and it can be an
852
     asphyxiant. So that is a little bit unique compared to other
853
     products that either, you know, pool on the ground or
854
     essentially evaporate into the atmosphere. So it is unique
855
     in that context, among other things.
856
          *Ms. Matsui. Okay. Now what about the unique
857
     challenges and concerns relating to hydrogen pipelines?
858
          *Mr. Brown. Yes, hydrogen is a highly flammable
859
     product, and it is actually, when it is combusts it can be
860
     very difficult to see a flame of a hydrogen flame. So again,
861
     when responding to an incident, you know, a rupture, and
862
     you know, it can be very difficult to for first responders
863
     who are not familiar with that to respond to an incident.
864
          *Ms. Matsui. Okay, thank you. If there is a
865
```

866 significant increase in the number and kinds of pipelines that PHMSA oversees, would that result in a significant 867 868 increase in PHMSA's funding and staffing needs? Yes or no. *Mr. Brown. Not significant to the amount that we are 869 expecting for the build-out, given the Bipartisan 870 Infrastructure Law investments and the Inflation Reduction 871 Act investments upwards of \$100 billion. 872 *Ms. Matsui. Okay. If PHMSA's workload increases 873 significantly, but your staffing and funding remains the 874 same, in one word, would that increase or decrease the risk 875 of an accident? 876 *Mr. Brown. Overall, it would increase the risk that it 877 doesn't receive the oversight from us. 878 *Ms. Matsui. Okay, thank you. We are here today to 879 consider the Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion 880 Act, which would reauthorize PHMSA's pipeline safety 881 programs. And yet, after everything Mr. Brown just told us, 882 this bill would actually cut PHMSA funding by 18 percent. 883 Mr. Brown, how would an 18 percent reduction in funding 884 affect PHMSA's ability to ensure the safety of U.S. 885 pipelines? 886

```
887
          *Mr. Brown. Given that we have been continued to be
     stretched thin, I would say, you know, significantly reduce
888
889
     the ability to mitigate the risk and, really, to ensure the
     safe and expansion of the emerging fuels of the future that
890
     will power our economy and allow America to continue to be
891
     the global leader in energy products and hazardous materials.
892
          *Ms. Matsui. So, in one word then, would this 18
893
     percent cut in funding increase or decrease the amount of
894
     time PHMSA takes to approve new pipeline permits or undertake
895
     new rulemakings?
896
897
          *Mr. Brown. Decrease.
          *Ms. Matsui. Increase, or
898
          *Mr. Brown. Sorry, increase the amount of time it would
899
     take would be increased.
900
          *Ms. Matsui. Okay. How would this affect PHMSA's
901
     ability to respond to the new challenges of CO2 and hydrogen
902
     pipelines?
903
904
          *Mr. Brown. It would hinder our abilities.
          *Ms. Matsui. Okay. Last year PHMSA announced a
905
     proposed rulemaking that would update leak detection
906
     requirements for natural gas pipelines. While natural gas
907
```

908 burns cleaner than coal, if natural gas is released directly into the atmosphere it can have an even larger climate impact 909 910 than coal. How do PHMSA's funding and staffing shortages affect 911 your agency's ability to detect and address methane leaks? 912 *Mr. Brown. Well, there is in recent years there has 913 been a massive increase in the technologies deployed 914 globally, from satellites to cars to individuals tracking 915 methane emissions that just previously weren't really 916 917 accounted for. And so we get an influx of, hey, have you checked this out? 918 And of course, we want to investigate any leak that 919 could potentially be a safety hazard. And so, you know, part 920 of the this context of our increased focus on climate is 921 that the entire marketplace is focusing on emissions that 922 previously weren't tracked, and we are tracking them now. 923 *Ms. Matsui. Okay. I don't have much time left, so I 924 yield back the balance of my time. 925 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. I will now go 926 to Mr. Guthrie for five minutes. 927 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Chair, for thanks for the 928

929 time. And thank you for being here, Deputy Administrator 930 931 Brown. My first question. At a White House press conference on May the 11th, Secretary Granholm was asked about the 932 feasibility of using rail to transport fuel to communities 933 affected by the Colonial Pipeline incident. She responded 934 that, while other options were being explored, pipe is the 935 936 best way to go to get fuel to impacted communities. So what does government data say about pipeline safety 937 trends, and how does pipelines compare to other modes of 938 transportation in terms of safety? 939 *Mr. Brown. As the chair mentioned, the volume that you 940 can move through a pipe on sort of a per-unit basis, there is 941 a high level of safety. Obviously, if there is a problem and 942 it is in your backyard, that doesn't provide a lot of solace. 943 Fortunately, they are rare, but our goal is zero incidents, 944 and we are far from that. 945 946 *Mr. Guthrie. But pipelines are far safer than railroads. 947 *Mr. Brown. On a per-unit basis for transportation, 948 that is I think the report will establish that. 949

```
950
          *Mr. Guthrie. Okay, thanks. My second question. Many
     of PHMSA's regulatory requirements are decades old. As we
951
952
     have heard today, many of the reforms from the PIPES Act of
     2020 have not been implemented. I think you mentioned a
953
     schedule of implementing amending them implementing them.
954
          But for example, the PIPES Act authorized PHMSA to
955
     establish a pipeline safety enhancement program to
956
     demonstrate the effectiveness of new pipeline safety
957
     technologies and analytic methods. However, my understanding
958
     is no pipeline operators have applied to PHMSA under the
959
     program as it has been implemented. Is that accurate, and do
960
     you have a reason
961
          *Mr. Brown. That is correct.
962
          *Mr. Guthrie. why you think they
963
          *Mr. Brown. And I think the reason why well, and to
964
     provide for further context, we asked opened it up to the
965
     public of how should we implement this. You know, we have
966
967
     statutory requirements that apply here, and I think there was
     some there is some dispute in the drafting on that front.
968
          So we are trying to figure out how can you make sure to
969
     account for the environmental impacts which presumably
970
```

971 would be good for these new innovative technologies and benefit the environment how do you account for that, and 972 973 then how do you account for an equivalent level of safety? That is something I think everybody in the room wants, and we 974 want that, too. 975 So it is just how do we implement it, and we would be 976 glad to work with you and others on that. And I just really 977 appreciate there has been leadership on the committee here in 978 drafting that thoughtful piece of the legislation. 979 *Mr. Guthrie. Do you think once that is worked through, 980 that people will sign up for these meetings? 981 *Mr. Brown. We hope so. 982 *Mr. Guthrie. Well 983 *Mr. Brown. That was the intent of, I believe, you all 984 in drafting it. So we hope so. 985 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay, good. And so do you think the 986 current regulations are conducive to adopting new 987 technologies that will improve like you said, how do we get 988 environmental benefit at a reasonable cost, at a cost, and 989 how do we improve safety? 990

991

And do you think the current regulatory environment is

```
992
      satisfactory, or do you think it needs to be improved?
           *Mr. Brown. No, I think there is lots of room for
993
994
      improvement, and I think probably most people in the room
      would agree with that, too.
995
           *Mr. Guthrie. So what kind of what do you think would
996
997
      be the most
           *Mr. Brown. Well, for example, there are voluntary
998
      standards that industry comes to that increase safety. We
999
      have to go through a pretty lengthy process, including
1000
      scheduling a meeting. You know, it can take months just to
1001
      review what are essentially consensus-based standards, and
1002
      then incorporate them by reference in our regulations.
1003
           Certainly, we could streamline that. Maybe we wouldn't
1004
      need a committee meeting. Maybe we have a short notice and
1005
      comment period just in case anybody has any objection. Maybe
1006
      you do an interim final rule. Again, all those things would
1007
      just allow for a more streamlined process to allow for
1008
1009
      consensus-based progress.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Okay, thank you. Thank you for your
1010
      time.
1011
           And that does conclude my questions, and I will yield
1012
```

1013 back. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. 1014 1015 I want to just correct for the record the funding The draft keeps the funding level flat to prior-year 1016 funding levels. So we are not cutting PHMSA's budget, but 1017 the draft does give more money for PHMSA's funding to the 1018 states, where the inspectors and the engineers are actually 1019 working on the ground. So of course, we authorize 1020 appropriators appropriate, and we will see what the funding 1021 levels end up being. But just for the record. 1022 I will now go to my friend, Mr. Tonko, for five minutes. 1023 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Deputy 1024 Administrator Brown, for joining us today. 1025 In the past we have been able to come together to 1026 support pipeline safety reauthorizations because we can all 1027 agree on the important work that PHMSA does to keep Americans 1028 safe. But the potential for strong bipartisanship falls 1029 apart when these reauthorization efforts become more 1030 interested in pipeline industry promotion rather than 1031 pipeline industry safety regulation. So I hope that, moving 1032 forward, we will stay much more narrowly focused on PHMSA's 1033

```
primary mission, rather than infringing on the rights of
1034
      state and local governments.
1035
1036
           So Administrator Brown, let me ask you about another
      aspect of the role of state governments. Can you explain a
1037
      little about that relationship between PHMSA and state
1038
      regulators, and what is the role of states in ensuring
1039
      pipeline safety?
1040
           *Mr. Brown. So about 85 percent of the mileage that we
1041
      oversee is inspected by state programs or state inspectors,
1042
      which we fund. Congress has authorized us to fund up to 80
1043
1044
      percent of their state budgets. That is the authorization
      level.
1045
           However, the amount of funding that we have to
1046
      distribute to the states has really dropped from, you know,
1047
      somewhere in the 70 percent range just a few years ago down
1048
      into the low 60 percent in some cases lower than that
1049
      range. So the President's budget includes a request for full
1050
      funding to ensure what the chair just noted, where most of
1051
      the inspections occur, to inspect and enforce to ensure
1052
      compliance with the standards that PHMSA establishes.
1053
           *Mr. Tonko. So are states primarily responsible for
1054
```

1055 both larger intrastate pipelines and gas distribution systems? 1056 1057 *Mr. Brown. Correct. *Mr. Tonko. Okay. And what are some of the challenges 1058 states face to being effective regulators? 1059 *Mr. Brown. Well, they have the same challenge that we 1060 have, which is a much bigger footprint of assets of energy 1061 when we are producing and transporting record amounts of 1062 fuel. They have got more a bigger challenge, and then, of 1063 course, the aging infrastructure continues to age, and you 1064 have got a lot of construction projects happening both from, 1065 you know, repair and replacement standpoint, as well as new 1066 construction of pipe. 1067 1068 *Mr. Tonko. And your testimony mentioned that PHMSA provides grants to states to support their pipeline safety 1069 programs. What are those funds used for? 1070 *Mr. Brown. So those are primarily used for hiring of 1071 and salaries of pipeline inspectors. But there are a whole 1072 host of other things that state programs do, from public 1073 education to ensuring, you know, one of the largest threats 1074 to pipeline infrastructure and other underground assets such 1075

1076 as fiber optics and telecommunications: excavation damages. So these are inadvertent, often in the case of excavating and 1077 1078 construction or just digging in your yard. So states also support those programs, as well. 1079 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And how important is it, that 1080 Federal funding to ensure these state programs have the 1081 resources and capacity to carry out their responsibilities? 1082 *Mr. Brown. I think it is of paramount importance, and 1083 I am glad to hear maybe there is a growing consensus around 1084 that. They really do need additional support. A lot of 1085 1086 state budgets have struggled to keep up. And so, you know, it is a small amount, relative, I think, to the Federal 1087 budget and even, to some extent, to our budget. So the 1088 impact is tremendous. 1089 I will say, too, on a hiring front, just anecdotally in 1090 talking to some of these state programs, you know, they have 1091 struggled. In a red hot economy and a red hot job market, 1092 they can't hire inspectors at the salaries they are able to 1093 pay with their limited budgets. So allowing them to pay, you 1094 know, comparative wages will help ensure they are able to 1095 hire sufficient staff to oversee this massive infrastructure. 1096

1097 *Mr. Tonko. And you indicated for fiscal year 2024 that the Biden Administration requested increased funding. Have 1098 1099 historic funding levels not kept up with the growing workload being put upon state safety inspectors? 1100 *Mr. Brown. Yes, nowhere near. Certainly not, I would 1101 say, in the last decade kept up, no. 1102 *Mr. Tonko. And do you think there might be safety 1103 consequences if the Federal Government reduces its support to 1104 state partners? 1105 *Mr. Brown. Yes, and I think there is some examples we 1106 1107 are glad to maybe provide for the record. But where there is we have seen some challenges at the state level in the 1108 large oversight responsibilities that they have. 1109 *Mr. Tonko. Well, we know that state governments play 1110 that important role as a co-regulator, so we should be doing 1111 all we can to ensure they have the resources and personnel 1112 1113 necessary to uphold their responsibilities. And with that I thank you. 1114 And I thank you, Mr. Chair, I yield back. 1115 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Call before 1116 you dig, right?

1117

```
*Mr. Brown. Call before you dig.
1118
           *Mr. Duncan. We now go to
1119
1120
           *Mr. Brown. Eight-one-one.
           *Mr. Duncan. Yes. Now go to Mr. Griffith, the chair of
1121
      the O&I Subcommittee who has been really busy lately.
1122
           But you are recognized for five minutes.
1123
           *Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
1124
      appreciate it.
1125
           My colleague, Mr. Guthrie of Kentucky, mentioned this
1126
1127
      earlier, but as a part of the last reauthorization of the
1128
      Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration in 2020
      the administration was charged with creating a pilot program
1129
      to test innovative leak detection and pipeline monitoring
1130
      technologies such as sensing foams or fiber optics to be
1131
      tested under Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program.
1132
           Why has the Administration not been able to stand this
1133
1134
      program up?
           *Mr. Brown. Well, we didn't have folks applying to it,
1135
      so we sought public input on it. We got zero comments on how
1136
      we could actually stand it up. And so I think, as you will
1137
      as I read in the other the second panel's testimony, you
1138
```

1139 know, the process for environmental assessment, as Congress has established in the National Environmental Policy Act, you 1140 1141 know, could be burdensome to apply. We would just like to know what standard you envision. 1142 We review environmental factors, as well as safety factors. 1143 And so beyond that I think there is that would really 1144 provide the basis for standing up a successful program. 1145 *Mr. Griffith. And in order to do that, we would have 1146 to extend it. And you would be okay with that, would you 1147 1148 not? 1149 *Mr. Brown. Extend the program? *Mr. Griffith. Yes, sir. 1150 *Mr. Brown. Yes. 1151 *Mr. Griffith. Yes. And I mean, I believe that leak 1152 real-time leak detection and pipeline operation monitoring 1153 programs not only increase safety, but they also increase the 1154 public's confidence. I have had this issue in my district in 1155 pipelines because they feel like, okay, if there is going to 1156 be a problem, it is going to be a lesser problem, the 1157 pipeline company is going to come out and fix it fairly 1158 quickly. 1159

```
Do you agree with my assessment that it is not only good
1160
      for safety, but it is also good for the public
1161
1162
           *Mr. Brown. Real-time monitoring?
           *Mr. Griffith. feeling good?
1163
           *Mr. Brown. Absolutely, absolutely.
1164
           *Mr. Griffith. Yes.
1165
1166
           *Mr. Brown. Yes.
           *Mr. Griffith. Let me ask you this. Do you believe
1167
      and I know that this is an awkward question for you, but do
1168
      you believe that the lack of Senate confirmation of an
1169
1170
      administrator for the past three years stalled the
      implementation of this pilot program?
1171
           *Mr. Brown. Thank you. That is an awkward question.
1172
      But no, I don't believe so. We have really had a record
1173
      amount of work done, and that is really a testament to the
1174
      career civil servants at the agency who continue their
1175
1176
      exceptional work.
           *Mr. Griffith. Well, I do hope we can get this program
1177
      started, because I know that on one that the chairman and I
1178
      have had a lot of discussion on with Mountain Valley
1179
      Pipeline, if we had had something where we could tell people
1180
```

1181 that the pipeline was coming through, but we had these whether it be the fiber optics or the foam, that we had that 1182 in place as it was being put in the ground, it would have 1183 allayed a lot of fear related to that project. 1184 *Mr. Brown. Yes, and I just met with the Fiber Optics 1185 Association. And the technologies are tremendous and 1186 overlapping amongst modes, you know, the ability to track 1187 traffic. You know, if you have a pipeline near a highway, we 1188 they are very excited about our methane leak detection 1189 repair rule, and the ability to utilize technologies to do 1190 1191 exactly what you described. *Mr. Griffith. Yes, I appreciate that. Do you believe 1192 that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission should have the 1193 authority to require safety standards in technologies such as 1194 the ones we have just discussed, and have the authority to 1195 require that that be placed when the pipelines are being put 1196 in the ground? 1197 *Mr. Brown. As much as I would love to comment on 1198 another agency's jurisdictional area, I generally try not to 1199 do that so that others don't do that to me. 1200 *Mr. Griffith. I can appreciate that. More simply, 1201

1202 then, how does your administration communicate with FERC and advise them on safety requirements for new pipeline 1203 1204 construction? *Mr. Brown. Oh, we work very closely with the 1205 Commission. You know, in some cases they seek our input, in 1206 some cases we are reaching out. But we work closely with 1207 1208 them. *Mr. Griffith. Okay. But again, I will underscore 1209 because I think it is an important point and one that I feel 1210 passionately about, we could allay a lot of fears and make 1211 1212 pipelines safer if we use some of these new technologies. you agree? 1213 *Mr. Brown. I completely agree, and I think you 1214 capture, really, the value of a lot of the work that we do on 1215 the pipe in the office of pipeline safety at the agency. 1216 So thank you so much. 1217 *Mr. Griffith. Thank you. I am very supportive. 1218 1219 I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I will now go 1220 to a representative who has a lot of pipelines in her 1221 district, Mrs. Fletcher, for five minutes. 1222

1223 *Mrs. Fletcher. Well, thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and I just want to join all of my colleagues in saying that I 1224 1225 too am really grateful for your leadership of this committee, and I am sorry to see you go, but I understand your comments 1226 from earlier. We have got a lot of work left to do this 1227 year, and I am really glad that we are working on this 1228 together and having this hearing today. So thank you for 1229 1230 that. And Mr. Brown, thank you so much for being here. 1231 enjoyed seeing you in Houston on your visits, and I am glad 1232 1233 to see you here today before the committee. And I think this has been a really useful hearing. 1234 As we all know, as we have discussed, PHMSA plays a key 1235 role in developing and enforcing Federal safety regulations 1236 for the nation's pipelines. We have talked today about the 1237 statistics that I think most people don't know. People are 1238 always surprised when I tell them there are 2.8 million miles 1239 of paved roads and, you know, nearly 3.4 million miles of 1240 pipelines. And I do believe that Americans rely on this 1241 unseen infrastructure everywhere, you know, to heat our 1242 homes, to fuel our cars, to power our economy. And it is the 1243

1244 safest and most reliable form of transportation, and what you do is just so incredibly important. So I am glad we are 1245 1246 holding this legislative hearing today. I do agree with the comments. I have some concerns 1247 about the process, but I am really hopeful that we will be 1248 able to work together before the markup. I think it is very 1249 important that we assert our jurisdiction here. I previously 1250 served on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee the 1251 last time that we were working on these issues, and I think 1252 it is really important for our committee to be able to weigh 1253 1254 in. And I know they are further, a little further along in their process, and I have some amendments and some things I 1255 would love to work on you with [sic], Mr. Chairman, and with 1256 folks on your side of the aisle to try to make sure that our 1257 bill receives the same good reception as we try to move it 1258 forward. 1259 That said, I have some very specific questions for 1260 Deputy Administrator Brown today, because these are critical 1261 issues for my constituents and, of course, for all Americans. 1262 And so I want to get right to those. 1263 PHMSA's procedures require the agency to hold a gas 1264

1265 pipeline advisory committee meeting before issuing final rules, and the PIPES Act of 2020, which I worked on, included 1266 1267 language that required PHMSA to hold the GPAC meeting for the long-proposed class location rule. The rulemaking would 1268 allow pipeline companies to employ modern inspection 1269 technologies, something that Mr. Griffith was just talking 1270 about, Mr. Guthrie touched on as well, and is really 1271 critically important to my constituents. 1272 And I think it is my understanding, at least, that GPAC 1273 convened in November of last year, but was not able to 1274 1275 finalize the rule. They discussed the rule, but weren't able to complete it. And so can PHMSA commit to holding the GPAC 1276 meeting and finalizing the class location rule this year? 1277 *Mr. Brown. Yes, we are planning to hold that meeting 1278 at the end of March, which was the earliest available time to 1279 get the committee together. 1280 *Mrs. Fletcher. Terrific. Thank you for that. 1281 1282 forward to hearing what the result of that meeting is. One of the other pieces of legislation that I worked on 1283 in the PIPES Act of 2020 was the PHMSA technology pilot 1284 program, and that is to advance the latest high-tech 1285

inspection technologies and analytics that can be used to 1286 make pipelines safer. 1287 1288 Obviously, safety is in the name of the agency. I know that that is a priority. And the program was included in the 1289 law, but I have heard concerns over its implementation have 1290 prevented getting some of these new pilot technologies into 1291 the field. 1292 And so my question for you well, first question is, to 1293 date has PHMSA received any applications to conduct pipeline 1294 technology pilot projects? 1295 *Mr. Brown. We have not, and I will I think I will 1296 just add, too, I think some of the impetus and we 1297 appreciate the work that you did on that piece of legislation 1298 some of the impetus was our special permit process, you 1299 1300 know, is a process that takes time. We are down to a queue of zero in the special permit process. We have looked at how 1301 we can improve that to be efficient. We want to use 1302 innovative technologies, we want to just make sure that they 1303 have an equivalent level of safety and environmental 1304 performance. 1305 *Mrs. Fletcher. Well, I appreciate that. I think one 1306

```
1307
      of the concerns that I have heard is, of course, that because
      the special permits are kind of one-time permanent waivers,
1308
      and you know, that don't expire, that that is one of the
1309
      challenges in pushing this through. So it seems to me like
1310
      that might not be the best fit for a pilot program, where you
1311
      are deploying sort of experimental technology and trying to
1312
1313
      figure it out.
           And so if the goal here is really to get new technology
1314
      into the field for a limited duration to test it, do you
1315
      support what you know, Congress kind of addressing this in
1316
      a way where this program could maybe pull out of that area,
1317
      and the program could function as it was originally intended?
1318
           *Mr. Brown. Yes, I am happy to work with you on that.
1319
           *Mrs. Fletcher. That would be terrific. And I am just
1320
      about out of time. So I thank you very much for being here.
1321
      I look forward to continuing to work with you.
1322
           And Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
1323
           *Mr. Brown. Great to see you, thanks.
1324
           *Mr. Duncan. I thank the gentlelady, and I will now go
1325
      to Mr. Johnson, the chair of the environmental subcommittee
1326
      who is retiring, as well, to take another job. He will be
1327
```

missed. And a lot of comments have been made over the last 1328 1329 two months about Bill's tenure in Congress, and he is going 1330 to be missed. He has been a valuable member of this subcommittee, for sure. 1331 So Mr. Johnson from Ohio is recognized for five minutes. 1332 *Mr. Johnson. Mr. Chair, before you start my time, let 1333 me say that I echo those same comments to you. You and I 1334 were classmates together in 2010. We have seen a lot of 1335 water go under the bridge over the last decade-plus, and it 1336 1337 has been a pleasure and an honor to work with you. And I 1338 know I am leaving earlier than you are. Who knows? You may decide to leave earlier, too, it depends on what happens. 1339 But I wish you all the best, and I have enjoyed working with 1340 you and appreciate your leadership, as well. So now you can 1341 hit the clock if you want to. 1342 Hey, well, look, thanks. And before I start, Deputy 1343 1344 Administrator, I want to express my gratitude. During the immediate aftermath of the East Palestine train derailment, 1345 in the hours and days following, my team and I had to get 1346 smart really quick on a variety of environmental, chemical, 1347 and hazardous materials issues. And I appreciate your staff, 1348

some of which I know are here, who were extremely responsive 1349 to my team, putting them in touch with subject matter experts 1350 1351 quickly, and getting us answers during an ongoing crisis to help inform and our response, and to hold those responsible 1352 for the derailment and clean-up to account. So I appreciate 1353 1354 that. Also, you personally have been to the derailment site. 1355 And on behalf of my constituents, I appreciate you making 1356 that effort to be present and for listening to those affected 1357 in the community. I appreciate it. 1358 But as you know, that is not what we are here for today. 1359 I am going to go back. I have an AP article right here from 1360 2022 describing the Biden Administration's goals for a UN 1361 climate conference. It quotes a white House official saying 1362 the Administration will embark on a "relentless focus to root 1363 out methane emissions'' to pursue their climate goals. 1364 what does this mean in practice? 1365 Well, we examined this in my subcommittee last week. 1366 The EPA answered that call and proposed not only an onerous 1367 methane rule, one of the most expensive regulations in 1368 history, but also a methane a new methane tax on American 1369

energy producers. And now it appears PHMSA is taking orders 1370 from John Kerry and company, as well. The Pipeline and 1371 1372 Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. That is the title of your administration. Nowhere in there do I see a climate 1373 department. By the way, and your department has now issued a 1374 new "leak detection rule.'' 1375 So Deputy Administrator Brown and these I have got a 1376 lot of questions; I would appreciate a very concise answer 1377 you and I both know your small agency has a huge 1378 responsibility already, and it is an important one. 1379 PHMSA really have the bandwidth to do its job, plus implement 1380 a climate change agenda? 1381 *Mr. Brown. We have to implement the laws you pass, and 1382 the directive from the 2020 PIPES Act said that we have to 1383 oversee the minimization of methane emissions. So this was a 1384 directive to us. And it is actually you gave us a timeline 1385 for when we had to issue this rule. 1386 *Mr. Johnson. Do you have the bandwidth to do that? 1387 How can you do climate change and pipeline safety at the 1388 same time? 1389 *Mr. Brown. Well, methane 1390

```
1391
           *Mr. Johnson. Because that is not your job.
           *Mr. Brown. Methane has 80 times the global warming
1392
1393
      potential as carbon dioxide, but it is also a valuable
1394
      product that we use.
           *Mr. Johnson. That doesn't matter. It is not your job.
1395
1396
           *Mr. Brown. It
           *Mr. Johnson. Are you concerned at all that focusing
1397
      your agency on climate could risk taking PHMSA's eye off the
1398
      ball in preventing future rail or pipeline catastrophes?
1399
           *Mr. Brown. I think there is a confusion of minimizing
1400
1401
      methane emissions, which is the what the law tells us to
      do. Minimizing methane emissions also reduces climate
1402
      impacts. So
1403
1404
           *Mr. Johnson. So okay, so are PHMSA's regulations then
      always based on risk and safety benefits, or do you propose
1405
      regulations with the intent to address climate change, as
1406
      well? Because it sounds to me like you are morphing your
1407
      agency's job description, what you are
1408
           *Mr. Brown. There is
1409
           *Mr. Johnson. set up to do.
1410
           *Mr. Brown. There is no way we can just do whatever I
1411
```

want to do. I have to follow the law, and the law says the 1412 environment and property is part of our mission. 1413 1414 *Mr. Johnson. Well, as my colleagues have outlined today, PHMSA has been chronically slow to promulgate rules 1415 and congressional mandates for years now. It is troubling 1416 that you would use your finite resources and time on this 1417 leak detection rule that includes gathering lines and other 1418 infrastructure that aren't addressing safety risk, especially 1419 when the issue is already being addressed, and is already 1420 heavily regulated. 1421 So Deputy Administrator, did you coordinate with the EPA 1422 to ensure that PHMSA rules like this leak detection proposal 1423 are not duplicative with their methane regulations? 1424 1425 *Mr. Brown. We did. *Mr. Johnson. Okay, great. Did you do you know how 1426 your rule will interact with the EPA's methane emissions 1427 reduction program, the natural gas tax? 1428 And if a pipeline operator is in compliance with PHMSA 1429 regulations, will they still have to pay the natural gas tax? 1430 *Mr. Brown. We only would enforce our regulations and 1431 EPA 1432

1433 *Mr. Johnson. So you don't know if there is duplication there or not. They could be hit twice, both by you guys and 1434 1435 by the EPA. *Mr. Brown. Not that I am aware of. 1436 *Mr. Johnson. Okay. Mr. Chairman, we obviously have 1437 got a lot more questions about this and need some more 1438 clarity. I am certainly going to urge that whoever takes 1439 over my chairmanship on the Environment, Manufacturing, and 1440 Critical Materials Subcommittee, that we continue to dig into 1441 this because the American people deserve better than this. 1442 1443 I yield back. *Mr. Duncan. I thank the gentleman, and I look forward 1444 to working with the next chairman on that committee, as well. 1445 Mr. Cardenas is recognized for five minutes. 1446 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Chairman Duncan and also 1447 Ranking Member DeGette, for holding this important hearing. 1448 And I would like to thank the Deputy Administrator Brown for 1449 being here and for your patience, Mr. Brown. 1450 Also, I just want to say thank you to the chairman. 1451 Ιt has been a joy working with you, and I look forward to 1452

working with you throughout the rest of this year to get some

1453

more good work done. So thank you, and I know the feeling 1454 about your announcement. 1455 1456 [Laughter.] *Mr. Cardenas. With pipelines running across nearly 3.4 1457 million miles of the United States, it is undisputable that 1458 pipeline safety is an issue that affects communities 1459 throughout our entire nation. 1460 On average there are over 600 pipeline safety incidents 1461 a year in the United States, most of which are due to issues 1462 1463 that are under the operator's control. This statistic tells 1464 us that not only do we need to better to do better to protect communities, but that we can prevent the majority of 1465 incidents with meaningful investments and intentional policy 1466 1467 and oversight. Deputy Administrator Brown, with limited resources how 1468 long does it currently take to assess, investigate, and 1469 respond to pipeline incidents? 1470 And how long would it take, if funded more 1471 appropriately, to actually get these responsibilities done 1472 1473 sooner? *Mr. Brown. Well, thank you for that question, and it 1474

is one, I think, that is really valuable for the public to 1475 understand, that it can take, you know, upwards of a year, 1476 1477 sometimes more, to investigate the root cause of a pipeline failure, and to get that information, produce it into a 1478 report that the entire sector can benefit from in terms of 1479 identifying similar issues across the pipeline system. 1480 1481 And so, you know, the sooner we can get that information done, the more resources we have to do our investigations and 1482 produce that information. Really, the more the sector here 1483 in the United States benefits and, really, the whole world, 1484 1485 which all has a positive impact on the environment when we are able to keep the product in the pipe, as the chairman 1486 said earlier today. 1487 *Mr. Cardenas. And the resources you need to do your 1488 job effectively and expeditiously, is it available to your 1489 department at this time? 1490 *Mr. Brown. So we have to triage with the resources 1491 that we have. And you know, most of my written testimony is 1492 about the vast new responsibilities that we have just in the 1493 last few years, from \$100 billion in investing in new 1494 infrastructure of the future. We will have we have a very 1495

```
1496
      tall task to do with the resources we have, given that we
      have already been stretched pretty thin.
1497
1498
           *Mr. Cardenas. So you could use more resources. If you
      did have more resources, you would be able to be more
1499
      effective and more expeditious in
1500
           *Mr. Brown. Absolutely.
1501
1502
           *Mr. Cardenas. Okay, thank you. And I want to remind
      the public that it is Congress that gives you those
1503
      resources. You don't make them up. You don't pull them out
1504
                 It is Congress that has the power of the purse,
1505
      of a baq.
      such as committees like this would be involved in not only
1506
      policy, and committees down the hall would be involved in
1507
      making sure that the money is actually put where it needs to
1508
      be so you can do your job more expeditiously and more
1509
      effectively for the people of the United States.
1510
           I want to make sure my colleagues understand why it is
1511
      so critical that we do not cut corners here. Nearly two
1512
      years ago there was a massive explosion at the Freeport LNG
1513
      facility in Texas that was devastating for the community
1514
      surrounding that facility. Frankly, it was a miracle that no
1515
      one was killed. The explosion still serves as a reminder
1516
```

```
1517
      that safety at LNG facilities is not just about the safety at
      the facility itself, but also about the protection of the
1518
1519
      people and the community surrounding our facilities.
           Recognizing this, when Congress wrote the PIPES Act of
1520
      2020 it included a requirement that PHMSA update safety
1521
      standards for LNG export facilities. Administrator Brown,
1522
      what is the status of this requirement?
1523
           *Mr. Brown. We are in the drafting stage, and you
1524
      captured it perfectly with how close that was to being a
1525
      fatal incident. We want to learn the lessons from that
1526
1527
      incident and include them in that update of LNG facilities,
      which is long outstanding and certainly a top priority.
1528
           *Mr. Cardenas. Okay. So it is safe to say that PHMSA
1529
      was unable to meet its three-year timeline for new standards
1530
      under the law. How would additional resources to your
1531
      department have helped PHMSA complete this rulemaking on
1532
      time?
1533
           *Mr. Brown. Well, just to the benefit of everybody, we
1534
      when I arrived at the agency we had two regulatory
1535
      attorneys helping draft our rules. And we have, you know, 36
1536
      mandates in the
1537
```

1538 *Mr. Cardenas. Only two regulatory attorneys? *Mr. Brown. Yes, yes. So one of the first things we 1539 1540 did was hire more regulatory attorneys to help us draft those rules. 1541 But yes, that is why and I went back and reviewed the 1542 last hearing that our agency had in this committee, and these 1543 same questions and concerns and existed back then. And so we 1544 thank the support of the PIPES Act to hire more individuals, 1545 and we welcome engaging on that subject, as well, for the 1546 next reauth. 1547 1548 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. My time expired. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1549 *Mr. Brown. Thank you. 1550 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I will now go 1551 to the chairwoman of the full committee, Chair Rodgers, for 1552 five minutes. 1553 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1554 *The Chair. The Energy and Commerce Committee has the responsibility 1555 to provide the oversight and the accountability for the 1556 funding and for the agency. PHMSA is the nation's primary 1557 regulator of pipelines, hazardous and hazardous material 1558

1559 transportation. I am fundamentally deeply concerned that President Biden 1560 1561 has failed to nominate an administrator for three years. Nobody has been nominated even. So when you talk about 1562 giving more money to an agency that doesn't even have an 1563 administrator at the top, it seems like to me we have to 1564 1565 start there. So Mr. Brown, do you agree that PHMSA would benefit from 1566 a Senate-confirmed leader to manage the agency? 1567 *Mr. Brown. Yes, ma'am. 1568 1569 *The Chair. Okay, thank you. And you know and without the leadership, then you know, there is over 500 1570 employees at PHMSA. You just mentioned you have two 1571 regulatory attorneys. 1572 *Mr. Brown. We have a few more now, but we started off 1573 with two when I got there. 1574 *The Chair. So there is several incomplete mandates 1575 from the PIPES Act of 2020 you just mentioned 36, maybe _ 1576 prior pipeline safety authorizations that have not yet been 1577 completed. Meanwhile, PHMSA is proposing unrelated 1578 regulations that appear to exceed your statutory authority 1579

```
1580
      granted to you by Congress, and distract from the safety
      mission. So why should Congress give PHMSA new mandates when
1581
1582
      it hasn't completed the existing work?
           *Mr. Brown. Historically, when I just comparatively,
1583
      in 2016, during our reauth, we had 19 mandates, and in 2020
1584
      we had 36. Many of those were some of the outstanding ones
1585
      from 2016.
1586
           We welcome we want we always want to be doing more.
1587
      There is so much work to do. So really, we welcome them, and
1588
      we are most of the way done with our mandates. But we do
1589
1590
      have to triage our resources. We do oversee and most of my
      testimony was about and really, as I noted, you know, you
1591
      highlighted how much more infrastructure we have, and how
1592
      much more energy we are moving in the United States. We are
1593
      the ones who have to oversee it and ensure the safe
1594
      transportation Mr. Congressman
1595
           *The Chair. Okay, okay, reclaiming my time, my question
1596
      is why are you going why are you focusing on regulations
1597
      that exceed your statutory authority before you complete the
1598
      mandates that have been put into the law?
1599
           *Mr. Brown. So I think the question is related to the
1600
```

```
methane leak detection repair rule. That was a directive.
1601
      We were directed to do that rulemaking in the 2020 PIPES Act
1602
1603
      signed into law by President Trump.
           *The Chair. What? You exceeded my understanding is
1604
      that the authority that was granted to you in the law has
1605
      been exceeded by the agency. That is of concern to me, as
1606
      the chairman of this committee. That is, to be ensuring that
1607
      agencies are fulfilling the statutory authority granted to
1608
      them by the elected representatives of the people, not making
1609
      up your own decisions as to what authority you have. And I
1610
1611
      know we have courts that also get into that.
           So Mr. Brown, PHMSA exceeded its statutory authority in
1612
      the proposed leak detection regulation that is the one you
1613
      were just mentioning by failing to propose risk-based
1614
      safety measures. It does not appear that PHMSA gathered
1615
      feedback from the public and pipeline operators prior to
1616
      proposing the rule because it is riddled with technical and
1617
      legal flaws. Now it is likely to be challenged and
1618
      overturned, which will delay important safety regulations
1619
      even further.
1620
           So yes or no, do you agree that PHMSA regulations should
```

1621

```
1622
      be risk-based and cost effective?
           *Mr. Brown. Yes, and we believe they are.
1623
1624
           *The Chair. Yes or no, is it true that PHMSA's leak
      detection rule expands PHMSA's jurisdiction to include
1625
      gathering lines, and justifies it based on climate change
1626
      benefits?
1627
           *Mr. Brown. As well as safety benefits.
1628
           *The Chair. Thank you. Was climate change in the law?
1629
           *Mr. Brown. Was it that it was a part of a 2,000-
1630
      page law, and it directed us to minimize methane emissions,
1631
1632
      which has an 80 times the global warming potential as carbon
      dioxide. So minimizing methane emissions does mitigate
1633
      climate change.
1634
1635
           *The Chair. Was it in the law?
           *Mr. Brown. Yes, that was in the law.
1636
           *The Chair. Climate change?
1637
           *Mr. Brown. Minimizing methane emissions.
1638
           *The Chair. That you justify based on upon climate
1639
1640
      change.
           *Mr. Brown. There may be a dispute on whether
1641
      minimizing methane emissions has the impact of impacting
1642
```

```
climate change.
1643
           *The Chair.
1644
                        Okay.
                       We believe the science says it does.
1645
           *Mr. Brown.
           *The Chair. Okay.
1646
1647
           *Mr. Brown. I think there is a consensus
           *The Chair. Well, just reclaiming my time, when you are
1648
      justifying based when you are adding a requirement that
1649
      justifies based upon climate change benefits, I believe that
1650
      that is beyond what the legislation proposed.
1651
           So yes or no, Congress intended for PHMSA rules to be
1652
1653
      cost effective, meaning that compliance costs are justified
      in improved safety for the American people. Should the
1654
      American people pay more for energy to offset regulatory
1655
      costs that do not have a safety benefit?
1656
           *Mr. Brown. That do not have a safety benefit?
1657
           *The Chair. Yes.
1658
                        I would really have to understand what we
1659
           *Mr. Brown.
      are talking about here. I am not sure I am aware of any.
1660
           *The Chair. Does climate change have a safety benefit?
1661
           *Mr. Brown. Absolutely. The endangerment finding that
1662
      I think is upheld since the Bush Administration specifically
1663
```

```
calls out the public health and welfare benefits of
1664
      mitigating _
1665
1666
           *The Chair. To consumers?
           *Mr. Brown. climate change.
1667
           *The Chair. To consumers?
1668
           *Mr. Brown. I mean, I think if you look at hurricanes
1669
      and the actual cost, or if you ask insurance companies ask
1670
      the private sector, do they think there are safety and health
1671
      risks from global climate change, The marketplace says yes.
1672
1673
           *The Chair. But the safety
1674
           *Mr. Brown. The company actually
           *The Chair. of the pipelines, does it impact the
1675
      safety of the pipelines?
1676
           *Mr. Brown. Absolutely. And in fact, we have a
1677
1678
      regulation
           *The Chair. Okay, okay, yet to be determined.
1679
1680
           I yield back.
           *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. I now
1681
      recognize the ranking member of the full committee, Mr.
1682
     Pallone, for five minutes.
1683
           *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1684
```

1685 And Mr. Brown, I want to get to the authorization levels 1686 and then to the methane, so I am going to try to, you know, 1687 do both here. The Republican discussion draft makes deep cuts to 1688 PHMSA's authorization levels. Just over 3 years ago, when we 1689 passed the Bipartisan PIPES Act of 2020, Republican and 1690 Democrats from both chambers came together to reauthorize 1691 PHMSA's pipeline safety activities at a level of 238 million 1692 by fiscal year 2023. And the bill in front of us today would 1693 enact an unreasonable reduction to that number, cutting 1694 1695 PHMSA's pipeline safety activities by 42 million, or nearly 1696 20 percent. Now, I disagree with Chairman Duncan's analysis of these 1697 So let me repeat the bipartisan authorization that 1698 Congress passed in 2020 included a 238 million authorization 1699 for PHMSA's pipeline safety activities across 6 different 1700 activities in fiscal year 2023. The current draft bill 1701 1702 before us authorizes 196 million across 4 different activities. And any way you want to look at it, that is a 42 1703 million annual cut. 1704 So my question is, there has been a lot of talk about 1705

1706 how PHMSA is still working on required rulemaking from the 2016 and 2020 authorizations. Would the 42 million annual 1707 1708 cut included in this bill harm PHMSA's ability to finalize those required rulemakings? 1709 *Mr. Brown. Yes, sir. 1710 *Mr. Pallone. All right. And, I mean, I think it is 1711 clear that Republicans you know, that their bill would 1712 actually make pipelines across the nation more dangerous. 1713 Ι don't know how they are going to get around that. 1714 But I also wanted to turn to PHMSA's methane leak 1715 1716 detection rule, which you proposed last May. The rule was in direct response to requirements that Congress passed 3 years 1717 ago in the PIPES Act of 2020 mandating that PHMSA require 1718 pipeline operators to create and conduct methane leak 1719 detection and repair programs to protect both safety and the 1720 environment. And I strongly support PHMSA's proposed rule, 1721 which would make pipelines safer, our environment cleaner, 1722 and would generate over \$2 billion in annual benefits. 1723 So my question here, Mr. Brown, can you talk about the 1724 benefits that would result from the rule, and how it would 1725 both enhance safety and protect the environment? 1726

1727 *Mr. Brown. Yes, and thanks for offering the chance to 1728 clear up. The rule was a directive from Congress signed into law 1729 by President Trump. It actually directed us, as well, to 1730 include environmental benefits from in our cost benefit 1731 analysis. So we are following the law as directed by this 1732 1733 committee and the Congress that enacted that law. But many of the benefits is really harnessing American 1734 ingenuity. We in America are the global leaders, and I look 1735 1736 at many of the members from Texas and from so many different states New Jersey that are developing technologies to 1737 identify methane emissions and then capture them, mitigate 1738 them, keep the product in the pipe, which is really the goal 1739 that I hear from I think the chairman has mentioned it, but 1740 1741 also from the private sector. So what we are trying to do is effectuate the directive 1742 that we were given. But really, it is to be the global 1743 leaders in harnessing the technology to keep product in the 1744 pipe, which ultimately allows us to use that product more 1745 efficiently and safely. 1746 *Mr. Pallone. Well, I appreciate that. 1747

1748 And let me just add, you know, many of us, both Democrats and Republicans on the committee, went to the 1749 1750 climate conference in Dubai a couple of months ago, and I was very proud of the fact that we were able to say that we were 1751 out front on trying to deal with methane, not only with what 1752 you have done, but also what the EPA has done. 1753 And, you know, Senator Kerry talked about all of this, 1754 and was able to get, you know, other countries both this time 1755 as well as the previous time to enact a methane reduction 1756 program. And of course, we did that also in the Inflation 1757 1758 Reduction Act. So when you talk about our global leadership, this is one of the key areas where the United States has 1759 exercised global leadership and convinced other countries to 1760 come along. 1761 So, you know, I look at it not only from a safety point 1762 of view, which is the focus of today, but also from an 1763 environmental point of view and trying to reduce the negative 1764 impacts of climate change. 1765 And also, you know, in my district we have a lot of the 1766 refineries, and they see the methane flame. So it is very 1767 easy for me a lot of times with this committee, when I talk 1768

- about what we are doing in a positive way to help people, it
- is hard to explain at home. But this is very easy to explain
- 1771 at home.
- So thank you very much. And with that I yield back, Mr.
- 1773 Chairman.
- *Mr. Johnson. [Presiding] The gentleman yields back.
- 1775 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from that state up
- 1776 north, Mr. Walberg, for five minutes.
- 1777 *Mr. Walberg. Go, Blue.
- 1778 *Mr. Brown. Go, Blue.
- *Mr. Walberg. Go, Lions, yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 1780 and thank you, Mr. Brown, for being here as well.
- I don't think it is really debatable that pipelines are
- the safest, cleanest way to transport energy resources across
- the U.S. and internationally. Certainly, it is in Michigan.
- 1784 And Line 5 in Michigan transports both the liquids for the
- jet fuel that got me to the warm climes of D.C. from Michigan
- on Tuesday, and the propane that heats nearly 400,000
- households across our state, especially up north and in the
- 1788 UP, especially during these cold weeks like this one. Safety
- of these vital assets is our number-one priority, which is

1790 why I am grateful that we are discussing this legislation, the chair's Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and Expansion 1791 1792 Act. In December, Line 5 finally received, after four years, 1793 a permit from the Michigan Public Service Commission to move 1794 forward with the Great Lakes Tunnel project, which would 1795 inarguably make that pipeline make it almost impossible for 1796 a spill to take place in our Great Lakes resources. And yet 1797 there is still other things that have to be done. There are 1798 great changes that should be made. And yet this process took 1799 1800 four years, and they still have more to go. Mr. Brown, I understand that you have no bearing over 1801 our state processes, or what happens next with the Army 1802 Corps, but I think this is indicative of our entire 1803 permitting process, and it is why the legislation includes 1804 expanded authority for FERC to step in to authorize Federal 1805 permits for changes to an existing pipeline if another state 1806 1807 or Federal agency fails to do so within a year. That would have helped with Line 5. 1808 How should the administration better address permitting 1809 delays for projects that modernize and improve safety for 1810

existing pipelines? 1811 And let me add, as well, if safety is a true goal, why 1812 1813 do these updates take so long to approve? *Mr. Brown. So our agency does not have any 1814 jurisdiction over the permitting process. I will just say 1815 when we have safer outcomes, when we have better 1816 environmental performance, I think that helps get to the 1817 underlying issues that you sometimes have with permitting. 1818 So the better we are able to do our job, I presume that will 1819 have some benefit, particularly since states do have a great 1820 1821 deal of permitting authority. *Mr. Walberg. Yes, well, the this legislation would 1822 help out, and would give opportunity for the Feds with a 1823 consult from you, to 1824 *Mr. Brown. I can't comment on the sort of permitting 1825 process in the legislation, just because it is outside of our 1826 jurisdiction. Certainly, we welcome the commentary today 1827 about the resources that it takes for us to do our jobs, and 1828 we welcome engaging on that topic. 1829 *Mr. Walberg. Well, moving on, violence and protesting 1830 of pipelines has increased significantly, with many of these 1831

1832 disruptions lauded as justified by the mainstream media in the fight against climate change. But we have seen the data 1833 1834 that shows how much safer and environmentally friendly pipelines are compared to other modes of transportation, 1835 which many go through our communities and are challenging. 1836 We are always going to need fossil fuels. Even on an EV 1837 they are used to make many of its plastic components. So we 1838 are always going to need pipelines. 1839 Mr. Brown, does PHMSA consider acts to damage or destroy 1840 an interstate natural gas or hazardous liquids pipeline 1841 1842 facility an appropriate form of protest against climate change? 1843 *Mr. Brown. No, we do not support violence. When we 1844 find it, we refer or any threats, we refer to the 1845 Transportation Security Administration. 1846 *Mr. Walberg. What is PHMSA doing to increase security 1847 of pipelines and the safety of their surrounding communities 1848 amidst rising violence? 1849 *Mr. Brown. The Transportation Security Administration 1850 has the primary jurisdiction over security, but our 1851 inspectors have a good working relationship with the TSA. 1852

And so when we identify any risks, we report them to the TSA. 1853 And we have increased we actually train the TSA officials 1854 1855 in the work that we do so they have a better understanding, as well. 1856 *Mr. Walberg. Okay, thank you. 1857 I yield back. 1858 *Mr. Brown. Thank you. 1859 *Mr. Johnson. The gentleman yields back. The chair now 1860 recognizes the gentlelady from New Hampshire, Ms. Kuster, for 1861 five minutes. Sorry about that. 1862 1863 *Ms. Kuster. No worries at all. *Mr. Johnson. It is on your tag; I should read. 1864 1865 [Laughter.] *Ms. Kuster. We do actually have a very big day coming 1866 up on Tuesday, so everyone will know about New Hampshire. 1867 Thank you for hosting this hearing, Chairman Duncan and 1868 Ranking Member DeGette, and thank you for being here, Deputy 1869 Administrator Brown. I am very excited to welcome a former 1870 Granite Stater to our committee. 1871 Before I get into questions I want to take a moment to 1872

step back. While pipeline safety is an important area for

1873

congressional oversight, I believe we must have a more 1874 holistic conversation about the reliability of natural gas 1875 1876 of our natural gas system. In the last 11 years there have been 5 major, unplanned 1877 gas generation outages, including Winter Storm Elliot in 1878 December of 2022, Winter Storm Uri in February 2021, and they 1879 have taken hundreds of lives and cost billions of dollars in 1880 damages. This illustrates that safety and reliability do go 1881 hand in hand. 1882 Despite being the backbone of our energy system, there 1883 1884 is currently no singular reliability regulator to oversee the natural gas system. Take a quick look at our natural gas 1885 system, and you will see upstream producers are regulated by 1886 a hodgepodge of state-level entities like the Texas Railroad 1887 Commission or the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental 1888 Protection. Midstream, natural gas transmission is regulated 1889 by PHMSA, Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. 1890 Downstream, in our gas system, generators are regulated by 1891 FERC, and local distribution companies are regulated by PHMSA 1892 and state utility commissions. This alphabet soup of state 1893 and Federal-level regulators means there is no one entity 1894

1895 responsible for thinking about the reliability of the entire natural gas system. 1896 1897 I believe the October 2023 FERC staff report lays out some thoughtful ideas about the value of having a system-wide 1898 reliability regulator for the natural gas system, and I hope 1899 my colleagues are willing to work with me to help ensure the 1900 reliability of this critical energy source. 1901 Turning to this legislation, one issue I see with the 1902 majority's draft pipeline safety reauthorization bill is that 1903 it does not eliminate the non-application clause of 60104(b). 1904 1905 This language prohibits PHMSA from applying new design, installation, construction, or testing standards to existing 1906 pipelines, and this can have dire consequences, as we know. 1907 1908 In 2018 a series of fires and explosions destroyed 131 homes, injured 22 people, and killed 1 person in Lawrence, 1909 Massachusetts, just neighboring my district, home to our 1910 colleague, Lori Trahan. The cause? Faulty construction 1911 procedures. Leaving the system without appropriate pressure 1912 regulation led to over-pressurization on the gas system. 1913 response to the tragedy, Congress gave PHMSA the authority to 1914 prevent over-pressurization. But if there is a new 1915

1916 development in valves that could save lives, like rupture 1917 mitigation valves, PHMSA is prohibited from requiring those 1918 safety measures be added to older pipelines. Deputy Administrator Brown, how does 60104(b) impact 1919 PHMSA's ability to ensure that the existing pipeline system 1920 reflects the most up-to-date safety standards? 1921 *Mr. Brown. Thank you for that question, Congressman. 1922 We were the National Transportation Safety Board had 1923 recommended that we apply our automatic and remote shut-off 1924 valve rule to existing pipelines. However, because of the 1925 1926 statute, we are unable to do that. The bulk of the application of that rule would apply to the existing 1927 infrastructure, but we were unable to do that. 1928 1929 So it does apply to, you know, completely replaced pipe and new pipe, but unfortunately, not to existing pipelines. 1930 *Ms. Kuster. Thank you, and I think your answer 1931 illustrates our need to take a hard look at reforming this 1932 1933 section. Thank you, and I yield back. 1934 *Mr. Brown. Thank you. 1935 *Mr. Johnson. The gentlelady yields back. The chair 1936

1937 now recognizes the gentleman from Alabama, Mr. Palmer, for five minutes. 1938 1939 *Mr. Palmer. I thank the chairman, and I will add my comments to the departure of you and Mr. Duncan. You will be 1940 missed. I want to talk with you a little bit about 1941 *Mr. Johnson. That sounded heartfelt. Thank you very 1942 1943 much. *Mr. Palmer. Well, you are not a Michigan fan, so 1944 [Laughter.] 1945 *Mr. Palmer. Back to the issue at hand. It seems to me 1946 1947 that some of my colleagues across the aisle are raising concerns about using pipes for sequestering CO2. And I know 1948 they have grave concerns about the impact of carbon dioxide 1949 on influencing climate change. 1950 One of the safest ways to sequester CO2 is through 1951 pipelines. I was in Norway back in August, visited one of 1952 the largest natural gas production facilities in the world, 1953 and about an hour away is their one of the most modern 1954 facilities in the world for sequestering carbon dioxide. 1955 So what are the concerns of your agency about 1956 sequestering CO2 and using pipelines for doing that? 1957

1958 *Mr. Brown. Thank you, Congressman. There was a good track record of moving carbon dioxide via pipeline until 1959 1960 2020, a tragic incident in your neighboring state, in Satartia, Mississippi. And really, we saw a lot of things go 1961 1962 wrong. We had *Mr. Palmer. That is the only one. 1963 *Mr. Brown. Correct. That is the only major incident. 1964 *Mr. Palmer. Yes. 1965 *Mr. Brown. And but it did impact an entire 1966 community. And we were lucky that there were no fatalities. 1967 And so we are working, you know, really, with 1968 stakeholders across the spectrum to mitigate the risks from 1969 the areas we saw that could be improved. 1970 *Mr. Palmer. I really think the concern is not so much 1971 about the transport of CO2 via pipeline as much as it is 1972 about the complete elimination of hydrocarbon fuels. You 1973 don't need to do CO2 mitigation or sequestration if you are 1974 not producing natural gas or other hydrocarbon products, and 1975 I think we have seen this. 1976 And I wonder sometimes how much non-government 1977 organizations influence your policymaking. It certainly did 1978

1979 in Europe. Russian-backed NGOs convinced Europe that fracking was bad, and made them more and more reliant on 1980 1981 Russian gas, which I found interesting. And then, when you start talking about pipelines, in the very first week of 1982 President Biden's administration he shuts down Keystone XL, 1983 but approves Nord Stream II, gives a green light to that, and 1984 also pulled out of an agreement on the East Mediterranean 1985 pipeline. 1986 So I am not sure that it is all that much about safety 1987 and issues, as it is just part of the climate agenda that 1988 1989 imposed extraordinary difficulties on people in Europe. I don't know if you saw the report from The Economist last year 1990 that 68,000 people died in during the winter last winter in 1991 Europe, which was a relatively mild winter, because they 1992 1993 could no longer afford their household utility costs. They didn't freeze to death, these are people who had 1994 complications such as respiratory illnesses, cardiovascular. 1995 And we are going to see the same thing here if we continue 1996 down this path of trying to basically terminate any use of 1997 natural gas, which the hearing last week and some of the 1998 discussion today leads me to conclude that that is where this 1999

2000 is heading. Going back to the responsibility of your agency, your 2001 agency shouldn't should really be neutral on natural gas or 2002 oil or anything else that is transported by pipelines. You 2003 should be making sure that it is transported safely, and that 2004 we have an adequate infrastructure. 2005 2006 *Mr. Brown. We are neutral, and we just try to be ahead of the market and mitigate risk. 2007 *Mr. Palmer. Well, my big concern here is how this 2008 impacts people. And I know there are instances where there 2009 2010 are accidents with natural gas or accidents with everything. There are accidents falling into a bathtub, but we are not 2011 banning bathtubs. So I appreciate your focus on making sure 2012 2013 that we have the infrastructure we need, and that the infrastructure is maintained in a way that we can rely on it 2014 and know that these fuels are being transported safely. 2015 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 2016 *Mr. Weber. [Presiding] I thank the gentleman. 2017 chair now recognizes Dr. Schrier. 2018 Are you ready? Okay, you are recognized, even though 2019 you are from Washington. 2020

2021 [Laughter.] *Ms. Schrier. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for half 2022 2023 of that. And thank you, Mr. Brown, for being here today to 2024 testify. I wanted to start by expressing how important 2025 pipeline safety is in my home state of Washington. 2026 This year marks the 25th anniversary of the Olympic 2027 pipeline explosion in Bellingham, Washington, less than an 2028 hour outside my current district. And it killed three young 2029 people and devastated the local ecosystem. And many of the 2030 2031 safety protections and considerations currently in place stem from this tragedy, and that is why it is so incredibly 2032 important to me that we do nothing to reduce current safety 2033 2034 standards and the capacity of PHMSA through policy changes or funding authorization levels. 2035 Mr. Brown, my colleague, Representative Tonko, already 2036 discussed some of this, but I am also concerned by the bill's 2037 inclusion of an 18 percent cut to currently authorized 2038 levels. Grants awarded to PHMSA have been key in improving 2039 Washington State's pipeline safety, particularly the state 2040 Damage Prevention and state One Call Grants that improve the 2041

2042 mitigation of excavation damages in the state. Could you please speak on how important grant programs 2043 2044 like these are for states in maintaining pipeline safety? *Mr. Brown. Yes, thank you for the question, and thank 2045 you for acknowledging that incident. I had the pleasure of 2046 meeting the victims' parents, one of the victims' parents in 2047 Washington State. And they really inspired the work that we 2048 do at the agency. We have got a display of the last 2049 communication from Liam Wood to his family, and that tragic 2050 incident. 2051 But the largest source of damages to pipeline 2052 infrastructure are excavation damages. The One Call programs 2053 provide funding for states to address those, that major risk. 2054 We are we still have a big challenge when it comes to 2055 addressing those issues. You know, folks don't know what is 2056 below. And so you got to call before you dig, call 811. 2057 2058 that those programs at a state level help ensure that that does occur. There are requirements to do it in states. Call 2059 you are required to call before you dig so that you do not 2060 injure or, in some cases, kill yourself when you are digging. 2061 *Ms. Schrier. And we have those requirements even for 2062

2063 our own homes in Washington State. So I wanted to also just mention that I am sure that a 2064 2065 cut of this magnitude will also affect PHMSA's ability to meet additional demands to regulate new types of pipelines. 2066 My colleague was just talking about pipelines in Norway, 2067 which I also visited, that carry CO2 from one of the most 2068 polluting industries, cement manufacturing, and get them so 2069 deep into the bedrock under the ocean that they can be 2070 considered captured. 2071 So these new pipelines can carry more than just natural 2072 2073 gas, like carbon dioxide and hydrogen fuel. And I was wondering if you could talk about how the staffing, 2074 administrative processes, any additional needs that PHMSA 2075 will need to fulfill as these new types of pipelines, 2076 possibly with different safety requirements and needs for 2077 construction with that kind of pressure, will become more 2078 prevalent. How will these cuts affect the ability to move 2079 2080 forward with those? *Mr. Brown. That is a really important question. 2081 have had decades of time working with and working through 2082 challenges related to responding to an oil and gas incident. 2083

```
2084
      But our first responders you know, a handful have
      experienced a carbon dioxide incident and responding to that.
2085
2086
      And in fact, we know from Satartia, and I know from talking
      to the fire chief down there, that they didn't know what they
2087
2088
      were encountering or how to respond to it.
           And so we are have requirements related to emergency
2089
      preparedness, to review emergency response plans, and we have
2090
      to help develop and support our first responders, you know,
2091
      the heroes that are running towards the fire and running
2092
2093
      towards the disasters and emergencies, to make sure they are
2094
      prepared.
           And then we have got to make sure we do everything to
2095
      prevent the incidents from occurring in the first place. And
2096
      for that we are going to need to build out a bigger team to
2097
      accommodate the upwards of potentially $100 billion in both
2098
      hydrogen and carbon dioxide infrastructure for which we have
2099
      some experience over the decades. But we if there is a big
2100
2101
      build-out, we want to make sure we have the world leader in
      those technologies.
2102
           *Ms. Schrier. It sounds like we need may need more
2103
      funding, not less.
2104
```

2105 *Mr. Brown. Yes, ma'am. *Ms. Schrier. Thank you, I yield back. 2106 2107 *Mr. Weber. The gentlelady yields back, and I apologize for not getting your name right. There was no R. I looked 2108 for the R. It are not there in your name. 2109 2110 [Laughter.] 2111 *Mr. Weber. So anyway, I can get there. The chair recognizes the gentlelady from Arizona. 2112 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown, as has 2113 been discussed briefly, the Pipeline Hazardous Materials and 2114 2115 Safety Administration is required by law since 2020 to hold a gas pipeline advisory committee meeting on the class location 2116 rule. 2117 My first question is have you been directed by the Biden 2118 Administration to delay or not to convene the advisory 2119 committee? 2120 2121 *Mr. Brown. No, ma'am. *Mrs. Lesko. My next question is why haven't you 2122 convened the advisory committee to address class location? 2123 *Mr. Brown. Really, to because we are triaging the 2124

numerous mandates from the 2020 PIPES Act. But we did

2125

```
2126
      schedule a meeting, and that meeting went five full days
      actually, more than five full days, because we went past each
2127
2128
      day. So we have rescheduled that for we have continued
      that work into March, and it is scheduled for March.
2129
           *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. Would the agency also concur
2130
      that completion of the rule would benefit the environment, as
2131
      it would eliminate up to 800 million cubic feet of natural
2132
      gas releases annually due to a class change pipe
2133
      replacements?
2134
           *Mr. Brown. I believe the proposal has identified those
2135
2136
      benefits. We will I can't speak out of turn before the
      committee reviews that rule and before we draft the final
2137
      version of it, but there are benefits identified in the
2138
      proposal.
2139
           *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. What assurances can you
2140
      provide the committee that PHMSA is prioritizing completion
2141
      of this rule?
2142
2143
           *Mr. Brown. Well, we scheduled the meeting along with
      another priority rulemaking. So I think that gives
2144
      indication. But Congress directed us to do it, so we are
2145
      doing it.
2146
```

2147 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Brown. Earlier this year I introduced a bill entitled, "Hands 2148 2149 Off Our Home Appliances Act.'' My bill would prevent the Biden Administration from enacting harmful regulations on a 2150 variety of gas appliances. These regulations would raise 2151 costs for Americans and stifle their access to the energy 2152 that best fits their needs. 2153 In May of this year PHMSA announced a new leak detection 2154 and repair rulemaking which will impose additional burdensome 2155 regulations on the natural gas industry. How can you ensure 2156 2157 that this round of regulations isn't an attempt to limit Americans' access to natural gas? 2158 *Mr. Brown. Well, there was actually a lot of consensus 2159 around the provisions in this proposed rule from industry and 2160 environmental stakeholders, safety stakeholders in our 2161 initial public meeting. So I think there is some there is 2162 definitely some debate on some provisions in this rule, and 2163 2164 so we will continue that debate. But I hope that provides some assurance that this was a 2165 directive from legislation signed into law by President Trump 2166 that we are carrying out, there is a lot of consensus around 2167

2168 the rule, and then there is questions about provisions. But that is part of the rulemaking process. We welcome your 2169 2170 feedback. I have certainly heard the feedback today that there is a lot of interest, both sides of the aisle, in this 2171 rulemaking. And so we will try to develop the rule that 2172 comports with Congress's directive in mitigating safety, 2173 environmental risk, which I believe everybody in the room is 2174 focused on. 2175 *Mrs. Lesko. Well, thank you, and I hope that there 2176 is some consensus you said, but obviously there is, in other 2177 areas, not consensus. And I hope you your administration 2178 and your department does not target natural gas as I believe 2179 the current administration is doing. 2180 And I will yield back. 2181 *Mr. Weber. The gentlelady yields back. The chair 2182 recognizes the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Veasey. 2183 *Mr. Veasey. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much. 2184 with over three million miles of oil and gas pipelines 2185 stretching across the country, we have to make sure that 2186 PHMSA is obviously adequately resourced. And we know that 2187 your authority lapsed last September and this January, and we 2188

2189 are just now having a hearing. And I understand that pipelines are currently the safest 2190 2191 and most economical means of delivering energy and other hazardous materials. And as a matter of fact, I was very 2192 happy to help lead the inclusion of the SCALE Act in the 2193 Infrastructure Investments and Jobs Act last Congress. 2194 The bill established programs within DOE to develop 2195 infrastructure for carbon dioxide capture, transport, 2196 utilization, and storage, but we can never forget the safety 2197 2198 of these systems, as well. Today we are here to talk about 2199 reauthorizing PHMSA, whose sole mission is safety. And indeed, Congress created PHMSA and immediately dedicated it 2200 to the assignment and maintenance of safety as the highest 2201 priority, just as we do anything else. And we have to 2202 prioritize further increases in these safety systems because, 2203 as we saw in my hometown of Fort Worth, we just had an 2204 explosion at the Sandman Hotel in downtown, where 21 people 2205 2206 were injured, and 1 critically. And so I wanted to ask you. In September PHMSA proposed 2207 a rule to require operators of gas distribution pipelines to 2208 update their distribution integrity management programs, 2209

energy response plans, operations and maintenance manuals, 2210 and other safety practices. These proposals attempt to 2211 implement provisions of the last pipeline reauthorization 2212 from 2020. Can you provide an update on PHMSA gas 2213 distribution rulemaking? 2214 *Mr. Brown. Yes, we are racing to get that one done. 2215 We hope to you know, there has been a lot of questions 2216 about holding our committee meetings. We hope to get that 2217 one on the next meeting after our upcoming meeting so that we 2218 can finalize it as soon as possible. 2219 2220 *Mr. Veasey. Yes. And I want to ask you, in your opinion, will rulemaking like this help prevent incidents 2221 like we saw at the Sandman Hotel? Because that was a 2222 terrible blast. Quite frankly, we are lucky that the 2223 injuries weren't more serious. But it is not anything that 2224 we should take for granted. 2225 *Mr. Brown. Yes, and we are we have been a part of 2226 2227 that investigation at the hotel there. So far it appears it did not result as part of the jurisdiction that we regulate. 2228 But to your point, our rule aims to address incidents 2229 like that, and we will find out what the root cause was and 2230

- 2231 be able to make a final determination. *Mr. Veasey. Why do you think it has taken so long to 2232 2233 implement congressional mandates from 2020? *Mr. Brown. You know, really, personnel. You know, we 2234 can only do this is a reoccurring challenge that in this 2235 committee as I went to look at the testimony from 2019. 2236 When we have dozens of mandates, we can only do as much 2237 as our you know, the amount of personnel we have working on 2238 them. And we are very lean. So what you get in is a little 2239 bit about what you get out in the time that you give us. 2240 2241 *Mr. Veasey. Yes. You know, it is interesting going back to the Sandman Hotel because my wife and I had just 2242 eaten at the restaurant there a couple of weeks prior. 2243 *Mr. Brown. Wow. 2244 *Mr. Veasey. It was the bottom floor of the restaurant 2245 the bottom floor of the hotel. And then, obviously, the 2246 hotel is there. It is a very historic building, as well. 2247 And I think that people have a reasonable expectation that 2248 these sort of structures are safe, and that they won't 2249 2250 explode.
- 2251 What else do you think that we need to do in Congress to

```
2252
      make sure that nothing like that what just happened in Fort
      Worth never happens again in any of our major cities across
2253
2254
      our state, or the country for that matter?
           *Mr. Brown. Yes, I think it really helps to for
2255
      people to understand that we are you know, my most of my
2256
      testimony is about how much we are charged with doing with
2257
      a very bare-bones staff, and so the better understanding that
2258
      these can these incidents can occur if you don't have
2259
      proper oversight.
2260
           There is a lot of discussion about how safe pipelines
2261
      are. All I hear about are how safe how unsafe they are,
2262
      because I get the calls in the middle of the night when
2263
      tragedies happen, and that is the job. And so, while we are
2264
      proud of having the safest system in the world, it is not
2265
      safe if you are at a restaurant and it explodes, right? It
2266
      is not safe if there is a pipeline in your backyard that
2267
      explodes, and you are a victim of that.
2268
           So, you know, those do happen. We are working towards
2269
      zero incidents, and we are far from it. And I think we need,
2270
      obviously, the directives from you all and the resources from
2271
      you all to get to zero incidents and to acknowledge that we
2272
```

are far from zero. 2273 *Mr. Veasey. Yes, absolutely. 2274 2275 And I know that NTSB has been on site, and I want to know do we have your commitment to work together to 2276 implement sound pipeline safety recommendations that the 2277 Board may put forward so nothing like this will happen again? 2278 *Mr. Brown. One hundred percent. We work hand in hand 2279 with the NTSB, and we are grateful for their leadership. 2280 *Mr. Veasey. Thank you. 2281 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2282 2283 *Mr. Brown. Thank you, Congressman. *Mr. Weber. I thank the gentleman from Texas for 2284 yielding back. The chair recognizes himself for five 2285 2286 minutes. Thank you, Mr. Brown, for being here. I want to go 2287 through a couple of things, actually. According to PHMSA, I 2288 think Texas has about let me get the exact amount up it 2289 is about it is over 400 almost 500,000 miles of pipeline. 2290 The country has, I think, like, 2.5 million. So we are over 2291 one-fourth of the pipelines in the country. And of course, 2292 as you know, we are regulated by the Texas Railroad 2293

2294 Commission. And after Winter Storm Uri I want to get this on the 2295 2296 record some people were calling me and telling me that ERCOT dropped the ball, and that we should be regulated by a 2297 Federal agency, and I said, "Not on your life,' because that 2298 was the perfect storm. There were already too many 2299 regulators, too many irons in that fire, and so we don't in 2300 Texas we don't take kindly to the fact that somehow the 2301 Federal Government needs to regulate what we do to take care 2302 2303 of our own citizens. So that is my aside there for just a 2304 minute. But anyway, thank you for being here, and thank you for 2305 committing, by the way, to Representative Lizzie Fletcher, my 2306 colleague. The chair, I think, kind of alluded that she had 2307 a lot of pipelines in her system. I think, actually, she has 2308 most of the offices and the buildings and the companies. 2309 am the Gulf Coast of Texas, where we have a whole lot of 2310 pipelines and in that district. So we are glad that you 2311 committed you will hold GPAC meetings this year on the class 2312 location rule. We appreciate that commitment. 2313 And I am just curious, how come none was held last year, 2314

```
the past year?
2315
           *Mr. Brown. Really, to try for efficiency's sake.
2316
      These are multi-day meetings, and when we convene and we know
2317
      we have got to get all these folks in place, and it takes
2318
      months to schedule the meeting, we are trying to do as much
2319
      work as we can in one meeting, rather than trying to schedule
2320
2321
      multiple meetings. That is really, you know
           *Mr. Weber. Well, you know, you can do that if you will
2322
      make it a destination meeting. We would come down to
2323
      Galveston and spend lots of money. That is what we would
2324
2325
      like to see you do.
           *Mr. Brown. Can we take that as a directive
2326
           *Mr. Weber. Absolutely.
2327
           *Mr. Brown. Mr. Chairman?
2328
           *Mr. Weber. As long as you are spending lots of money,
2329
      come to Texas.
2330
           Well, yes or no, do you agree that the on the class
2331
      location rule, that this rule would improve safety and
2332
      prevent disruptions to communities by utilizing modern safety
2333
      practices? Yes or no.
2334
           *Mr. Brown. I unfortunately just can't get ahead of the
2335
```

2336 rule until we have the committee meeting and finalized. But I can acknowledge that it is those benefits are identified 2337 2338 in the proposal. *Mr. Weber. But you have some gut feeling about it. 2339 2340 Maybe it could. Yes or no *Mr. Brown. We have identified those benefits in the 2341 proposal. So we 2342 *Mr. Weber. So you are saying yes. 2343 *Mr. Brown. I am saying we have said yes previously. 2344 *Mr. Weber. No pressure, but we are going to make your 2345 stay in Galveston more expensive if you don't fess up. 2346 *Mr. Brown. Just following the rules of rulemakings and 2347 ex parte rules. 2348 *Mr. Weber. All good. Do you agree that the completion 2349 of this rule would also benefit the environment, eliminating 2350 up to 800 million cubic feet of natural gas that is released 2351 annually due to class change pipe replacements? 2352 2353 *Mr. Brown. I can't speak to the specific numbers, but I can acknowledge that if we keep product from being emitted, 2354 that is a good environmental benefit, and this rule has 2355 identified that as a potential benefit. 2356

```
2357
           *Mr. Weber. Is there someone in your organization that
      keeps up because I served four years in the Texas House of
2358
2359
      Representatives, and I was on the environmental reg committee
      my first term. There was a lot of gas releases back in the
2360
      day. Is there somebody in your organization that actually
2361
      keeps up with us improving on that?
2362
2363
           *Mr. Brown. With our new proposed rule we will be
      keeping up on it. We only require reporting for three
2364
      million cubic emissions of three million cubic feet. That
2365
      is a pretty high threshold, so
2366
           *Mr. Weber. Right.
2367
           *Mr. Brown. But we get references from all kinds of
2368
      individuals, especially reporters, saying, "Hey, did you
2369
      hear, did you see this? We just we are tracking this large
2370
      emission.'' Then we go investigate that.
2371
           So right now it is a bit of an ad hoc approach until we
2372
2373
      get new standards in place.
           *Mr. Weber. Well, I also want to jump over we have
2374
      concerns about the gas transmission safety rule that governs
2375
      testing and record-keeping of certain pipelines. And I have
2376
      already said Texas has about a fourth of those in the
2377
```

country. And of course, the Keystone pipeline would have 2378 come into my district. 2379 2380 The rule, the transmission safety rule, would require operators to needlessly retest roughly 50,000 miles of older, 2381 previously-tested pipelines that are deemed safe, but for 2382 whatever reason they don't have records going back to or 2383 before post, I should say, post-1970 records. Is that 2384 going to be a problem? 2385 *Mr. Brown. Well, and you identified the problem, and I 2386 2387 think we do not want needless testing. We want productive 2388 work being done to maintain the system. We are setting up a committee to try to get some a consensus approach on that. 2389 *Mr. Weber. Have you ever toured a facility where they 2390 are operated primarily by computer, and a lot of stuff what 2391 facility have you toured? 2392 *Mr. Brown. Actually in Texas, Enterprise. 2393 *Mr. Weber. A good facility. 2394 *Mr. Brown. I would say a dozen or more facilities, 2395 2396 yes. *Mr. Weber. Yes, good to hear. Well, my time has 2397 expired, so I guess I will end it here. And I guess it is 2398

```
2399
      time thank you for being here.
           Did Ms. Castor show up? Ms. Castor, she does have an R
2400
2401
      in her name, so I recognize the gentlelady, Ms. Castor.
           *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2402
           Deputy Administrator Brown, thank you very much for
2403
      being here today. The mission of the Pipeline and Hazardous
2404
      Materials Safety Administration is very important. You
2405
      protect our neighbors back home and our communities. Your
2406
      agency has a critical mission on health, safety, and
2407
      environmental well-being, including regulation of the
2408
      expansive network of about 3.4 million miles of natural gas
2409
      pipeline systems across the United States.
2410
           I understand this is an immensely challenging job for a
2411
      historically underfunded agency, given the inherent dangers
2412
      of sending gas, a highly flammable and toxic substance,
2413
      through aging pipelines into our homes and businesses.
2414
      2010 there have been over 3,000 significant gas leak
2415
      accidents, 390 of which resulted in explosion.
2416
      pipeline explosions have injured 725 people and killed 163
2417
      people.
2418
           But your agency also plays a very important role in
```

2419

2420	protecting the environmental well-being, and considering the
2421	environmental impacts of gas pipelines and _ because the gas
2422	pipelines leak methane pollution into the atmosphere, and
2423	that increases the cost and the impacts of the climate
2424	crisis, which are really weighing down our neighbors back
2425	home, as well.
2426	So in addition to the cost of the climate crisis,
2427	methane pollution can degrade local indoor and outdoor air
2428	quality, and it poses a severe threat to the public health.
2429	So notably, in the PIPES Act of 2020, Congress made clear the
2430	intent for your agency to consider the environmental and
2431	climate costs and impacts, and incorporate _ we said
2432	incorporate those considerations into the agency's cost
2433	benefit analysis for safety standards.
2434	So can you outline how your agency evaluates the
2435	environmental costs _ impacts in its decision-making?
2436	And how would the majority's draft bill before us today
2437	impact your ability to consider those costs and benefits and
2438	safety standards?
2439	*Mr. Brown. Yes. Well, thank you so much for the
2440	question. And just to reiterate, we were directed to include

2441 the environmental benefits in our rulemakings in the 2020 PIPES Act, and so we followed that. And it sounds like there 2442 2443 is some concern that we followed the directive from Congress, but obviously we don't get to choose what directives we get 2444 to follow from Congress. We have to follow them all. 2445 So how we go about doing it, there has been an 2446 interagency process to estimate the social cost of methane, 2447 for example. You know, certainly, when we have incidents 2448 that impact people or the environment, we use the examples 2449 2450 that have occurred in the past. We are somewhat restricted 2451 to the data that we have available, or estimates of environmental impacts. And so it can be quite challenging. 2452 We hired for the first time an environmental economist 2453 who is an expert in that, again, to carry out the directives 2454 that you gave us. And I know there is concern that we are 2455 moving you know, we hired one environmental economist. 2456 That is not a major shift in our, you know, 600-person 2457 agency, but it is a shift to adhere to the congressional 2458 directive of trying to estimate the benefits and the cost. 2459 It is not a science, but we really do get a lot of input when 2460 we propose our estimated cost from the public. And that 2461

```
helps
2462
           *Ms. Castor. And then, in addition to the environmental
2463
2464
      costs and benefits of what you are doing, do you also
      consider the environmental health of our communities when you
2465
      are doing when you are updating standards?
2466
           *Mr. Brown. Absolutely.
2467
           *Ms. Castor. And how do you do that?
2468
           *Mr. Brown. Well, from avoided incidents is a big part
2469
      of it when it comes to pipelines, but also from leaks. Or
2470
      certainly their safety and the environmental impacts when it
2471
2472
      comes to public health can be inextricably linked. Maybe if
      we are talking about CO2 emissions, you know, prolonged
2473
      exposure to a leak can could cause a problem. Similar for
2474
      other refined products if there were, you know, volatile
2475
      organic compounds in the air, that sort of thing.
2476
           *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you very much. And I will
2477
      yield back my time, but first say that this agency has a very
2478
2479
      important mission. They have been underfunded, and I really
      hope that the committee will ensure that they have the staff
2480
      and resources that they need. Right now they are facing an
2481
      18 percent budget cut, and I think that puts our communities
2482
```

and our neighbors at risk, and not should be and should not 2483 be adopted. 2484 2485 Thank you very much. *Mr. Brown. Thank you. 2486 *Mr. Pfluger. [Presiding] The gentlelady yields, the 2487 chair now recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Pence. 2488 *Mr. Pence. Thank you, Chairman Duncan and Ranking 2489 Member DeGette, for holding this hearing. And thank you to 2490 our witness today for being here. 2491 Having spent my career distributing petroleum products 2492 across the country, I am keenly aware of how safe, reliable, 2493 and affordable pipelines are for our nation, having also been 2494 a petroleum trucker all my life, as well. 2495 I have often said in this committee that fossil fuels 2496 are the lifeblood of our economy, fueling the transportation 2497 industry, keeping the lights on, heating homes, and cooking 2498 meals, and our irreplaceable resource for the manufacturing 2499 that is so important to the Indiana 6th district. As we look 2500 into the future of our energy outlook what our energy 2501 outlook will be, our nation will need more pipelines, not 2502 less, particularly as technology moves to different types of 2503

2504 fuels. As a Federal safety regulator of pipelines across our 2505 2506 country, PHMSA should act as a contributing partner to the energy industry as they build out the necessary 2507 infrastructure to meet the demands of tomorrow. 2508 Unfortunately, the Biden Administration has continued down an 2509 anti-fossil-fuel path that is putting our nation's energy 2510 industry on the brink of failure, and stunting the growth of 2511 key energy innovations. 2512 Deputy Administrator Brown, in Indiana's 6th district 2513 2514 and across the state, Hoosiers are leading the world in nextgeneration hydrogen applications: the hydrogen hub up by 2515 Gary, Indiana, Whiting Refinery. As R&D continues to 2516 advance, it is important that the Federal Government avoid 2517 2518 over-regulating technologies that we are still learning However, this leak detection rule puts the cart 2519 before the horse and regulates an industry that is not yet 2520 ready for widespread commercialization. It is important for 2521 your agency to carefully consider the experts involved in 2522 this industry on how to allow continued innovation to foster 2523 this new technology. 2524

```
2525
           Here is my question. Has your agency collaborated with
      your Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee to develop industry-led
2526
2527
      standards for pure hydrogen or hydrogen-blend pipelines?
           *Mr. Brown. We do contribute to, you know, voluntary
2528
      standard discussions. We don't want to direct voluntary
2529
      standards of industry. That is industry's role. So but we
2530
      do collaborate. And I think on this rule I think we have
2531
      got some good input, and actually held multiple public forums
2532
      with industry's input on how to invest our research dollars
2533
      to address hydrogen risks and opportunities, as well as to
2534
2535
      detect leaks to make sure they are safe and can move the
      product of the future.
2536
           *Mr. Pence. Well, sure. But we have to have new
2537
      pipelines to move hydrogen. Do you agree with that?
2538
           *Mr. Brown. That we anticipate that that is the case,
2539
2540
      yes.
           *Mr. Pence. And do you like hydrogen as an alternative
2541
      over other carbon-based liquids?
2542
           *Mr. Brown. Our agency is neutral. We just want to try
2543
      to anticipate what the market is going to do, and then be
2544
      ready for it from a mitigating safety and environmental
2545
```

2546 risks. *Mr. Pence. So I would just kind of encourage you that, 2547 2548 you know, anticipating where we are going, if the refiners, the oil companies, if they would like to move to a cleaner 2549 fuel like hydrogen and a lot of I have Cummins Engine 2550 Company in my hometown, they would like to move to that for 2551 heavy-duty on-road and off-road, as well then we have to 2552 think ahead on upgrading existing or putting in new pipelines 2553 to move that product. 2554 *Mr. Brown. I completely agree. And we actually are 2555 2556 working with just about every sector of the economy on anticipating a hydrogen build-out. There is \$100 billion in 2557 the two Bipartisan Infrastructure Law and Inflation 2558 Reduction Act to develop the hydrogen economy. 2559 *Mr. Pence. Okay. Thank you for your time today. 2560 *Mr. Brown. Thank you. 2561 The gentleman yields back. The chair now 2562 *Mr. Pfluger. recognizes myself for five minutes of questioning. 2563 Mr. Brown, thank you for being here. Last year PHMSA 2564 proposed a rule to reduce methane emissions from pipelines by 2565 altering standards for leak detection programs, mandatory 2566

```
2567
      repair timelines, survey and patrol requirements, and more.
      I am concerned and by the way, you will find consistent
2568
2569
      on both sides of the aisle here willingness and desire to
      reduce methane emissions. But I am concerned that PHMSA's
2570
      proposed rule goes well beyond congressional mandate included
2571
      in the PIPES Act of 2020.
2572
           And additionally, I am concerned that the rule's
2573
      preamble is misleading and incorrectly uses data methodology
2574
      to assert that current emissions are under-estimated.
2575
      very important that PHMSA use complete data.
2576
2577
           In section 113 of the PIPES Act, Congress made it clear
      that not all leaks were hazardous, and therefore not all
2578
      leaks needed repair. However, PHMSA's definitions contain
2579
      the proposed rule expand regulatory authority that will
2580
      require operators to detect and repair all leaks, regardless
2581
      of the threat posed. And not only is this a direct violation
2582
      of our congressional intent, but I also worry that it will
2583
2584
      force operators to deprioritize the actual real safety issues
      that you guys are charged with.
2585
           The Supreme Court has upheld this, West Virginia versus
2586
      the EPA. We are going through it right now in the Chevron
2587
```

2588 deference case. And so this is the check on the executive branch. I want to know from you how will subsequent 2589 2590 rulemakings related to the PIPES Act be updated to align with congressional intent? 2591 *Mr. Brown. Well, and this may help clear things up, 2592 two items that may help clear things up. 2593 One, we are at the midpoint of considering that rule, 2594 and we are getting the feedback such as you just described 2595 from our advisory committee. 2596 The other thing is, when the 2020 PIPES Act was enacted, 2597 2598 we didn't regulate gas-gathering lines. We had been told by Congress, "Hey, you might look at these, these have been 2599 unregulated.'' We had hundreds of thousands of miles of 2600 completely unregulated lines at the Federal level. And in 2601 2021, after a directive to start regulating them, we started 2602 regulating 2603 *Mr. Pfluger. Who was the directive from in 2021? 2604 2605 *Mr. Brown. Congress. *Mr. Pfluger. And can you tell me why PHMSA is that 2606 why PHMSA is requiring operators to detect and repair the 2607 leaks? 2608

```
2609
           *Mr. Brown. Yes.
           *Mr. Pfluger. Okay.
2610
2611
           *Mr. Brown. To meet the congressional mandates,
2612
      correct.
           *Mr. Pfluger. When you look at you know, I think that
2613
      you would agree that operators should focus on repairs that
2614
      will have a safety impact. Is that true?
2615
           *Mr. Brown. Safety and environmental impacts, yes.
2616
           *Mr. Pfluger. Section 113 of the Act requires operators
2617
      of regulated, non-rural gas-gathering lines, new and existing
2618
2619
      gas transmission facilities, and new and existing
      distribution pipeline facilities to conduct leak detection
2620
      and repair programs that meet the need for gas pipeline
2621
2622
      safety.
           And in the requirements for leak detection and repair
2623
      programs, I think we were pretty explicitly clear that the
2624
      mandate says that leak detection programs should focus on the
2625
      ability to identify and locate and categorize all leaks as
2626
      either hazardous, as a potential to become explosive, and
2627
      then otherwise hazardous.
2628
           So can you talk to me about the proposed rule, as you
2629
```

are now gathering information like you just testified? 2630 And will the proposed rule include the ability for the 2631 2632 producers, for the transmission lines, for the operators to do their job and have the ability to prioritize? 2633 *Mr. Brown. Yes, we direct producers and operators to 2634 classify the type of leak. So if it is an immediate safety 2635 risk like a potential explosion at any moment, then you have 2636 got to do that ASAP, you know hours. If it is a you know, 2637 it could be a potential safety risk in the near future, all 2638 right, we give you an allotted amount of time, weeks. If it 2639 2640 is beyond that, then you have more time to address the leak. So we do do precisely what you described. 2641 *Mr. Pfluger. Talk to me about the evolution of the 2642 rules since it was first proposed and where you are now, and 2643 the dialing up or the aggressiveness of PHMSA as a repair 2644 agency versus a safety agency. 2645 *Mr. Brown. So we haven't changed the mission beyond 2646 what Congress in the 2020 PIPES Act told us to do. So I 2647 think that is the part that I think there seems to be 2648 confusion about. You know, when we are told to minimize 2649 methane emissions, we can't ignore that directive. And so 2650

```
2651
      while we talk about that having climate benefits, I thought
      everybody in the room was under the impression that
2652
2653
      minimizing methane emissions does have climate benefits.
           But the evolution is simply that when we had it out for
2654
      public comment, we extended the public comment period to make
2655
      sure we got everybody's comments. I believe we got tens of
2656
      thousands, 50,000 comments. Then we had to go through each
2657
      one of those and identify the substantive comments, and work
2658
      through that to then provide it to the statutory committee,
2659
      which we are halfway through, for their review of the section
2660
2661
      by section of that rule.
           So there is still much more process to go to address
2662
      some of the issues or potential concerns that you identified.
2663
      And ultimately, you know, we have got to have a rule that is
2664
      within line with what we have been directed to do.
2665
           *Mr. Pfluger. My time is expired. Have you visited the
2666
      Permian Basin?
2667
           *Mr. Brown. No, and I would be glad to go. My family
2668
      is from Texas. More on the east side, but
2669
           *Mr. Pfluger. Thank you very much.
2670
           *Mr. Brown. Yes, thank you.
2671
```

```
2672
           *Mr. Pfluger. Mr. Brown, thank you for your time.
      Thank you for coming to testify in front of this committee.
2673
2674
      This will conclude the first panel, and we will reset and
      begin the second panel.
2675
2676
           *Mr. Brown. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2677
           [Pause.]
           *Mr. Pfluger. Okay, thank you guys for being here.
2678
      are now ready for our second panel.
2679
           Our witnesses today are Mr. Andrew Black, president and
2680
      CEO of the Liquid Energy Pipeline Association; Mr. Dave
2681
2682
      Schryver, president and CEO of the American Public Gas
      Association; Mr. Bill Caram, executive director of the
2683
      Pipeline Safety Trust; and finally, Mr. Robin Roderick or
2684
      excuse me, Rorick, the vice president for midstream policy
2685
      with the American Petroleum Institute.
2686
           We thank you all for being here.
2687
           I now recognize Mr. Black for your opening testimony,
2688
      and we will we have your written testimony. Please limit
2689
      this to five minutes as a summary, and we will begin with Mr.
2690
      Black.
2691
```

2692

2693 STATEMENT OF ANDREW BLACK, PRESIDENT AND CEO, LIQUID ENERGY PIPELINE ASSOCIATION (LEPA); DAVE SCHRYVER, PRESIDENT AND 2694 2695 CEO, AMERICAN PUBLIC GAS ASSOCIATION (APGA); BILL CARAM, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PIPELINE SAFETY TRUST; AND ROBIN RORICK, 2696 VICE PRESIDENT OF MIDSTREAM POLICY, AMERICAN PETROLEUM 2697 2698 INSTITUTE (API) 2699 STATEMENT OF ANDREW BLACK 2700 2701 *Mr. Black. Thank you, Mr. Chair, Ranking Member. 2702 2703 The Liquid Energy Pipeline Association represents pipeline owners and operators delivering transportation fuels 2704 like gasoline, diesel, and jet fuel; transportation 2705 2706 feedstocks like crude oil; home heating fuels like propane and home heating oil; industrial feedstocks like ethane and 2707 butane; and low-carbon solutions like renewable diesel, 2708 liquefied petroleum gas, and carbon dioxide. 2709 2710 This hearing provides the subcommittee an opportunity to take stock of the pipeline industry, how pipelines are needed 2711 to get Americans the energy they need, and how pipelines are 2712 the safest and most environmentally protective way to move 2713

2714 energy. According to publicly available government data from 2715 2716 PHMSA, pipelines are the safest way to transport energy. A PHMSA report prepared at the request of Congress compared 2717 pipelines to other modes, trucks and trains, over a 10-year 2718 period and found pipelines the safest mode. Administrations 2719 of both parties have reached the same conclusion. Secretary 2720 Hillary Clinton's State Department found a proposed major 2721 pipeline to be the safest way to deliver energy. The Obama 2722 Administration found that rejecting the project and shipping 2723 2724 the same energy by rail increased the risk of an oil release by over 800 times and barrels released by 2.6 times. 2725 And not only are pipelines the safest way to deliver 2726 energy, they are also getting safer. Over the last 5 years 2727 the total liquid pipeline incidents are down 28 percent. 2728 Incidents impacting people and the environment are down 16 2729 2730 percent. 2731 But we are not resting on improving pipeline safety. The industry is working to reduce the number of incidents and 2732 barrels released even further through industry-wide 2733 initiatives around geohazards, corrosion, and leak detection. 2734

As members of this subcommittee track the impact of 2735 pipelines on greenhouse gas emissions, one factor to 2736 2737 recognize is pipelines delivering liquid products for the most part use electric pumps, and thus are not a major direct 2738 source of greenhouse gases or air emissions. That same Obama 2739 Administration review found the major pipeline analyzed would 2740 release 42 percent less greenhouse gas emissions than 2741 transporting that same energy by rail. Rejecting that 2742 pipeline, compared to doing nothing at all, would increase 2743 greenhouse gas emissions by 1.2 million metric tons of CO2 2744 2745 equivalent per year, they said. This committee's approach to pipeline safety in the 2746 discussion draft makes a lot of sense. Pipeline safety is 2747 headed in the right direction with a mature set of 2748 regulations. The data does not support harsh new mandates. 2749 LEPA supports the committee acting in targeted areas of 2750 pipeline safety. Key PHMSA requirements for inspecting and 2751 2752 repairing pipelines are now over 20 years old. Pipeline technologies and analytic methods on which they are based are 2753 woefully out of date, replaced by new technologies and 2754 analytic methods that PHMSA should reflect in its 2755

2756 regulations, but often does not. Congress, in the 2020 PIPES Act, authorized a program to 2757 demonstrate cutting-edge pipeline safety technologies and 2758 advanced analytics you talked about it a lot this morning 2759 the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program. 2760 Thank you. hope is that data from these technology pilots would help 2761 PHMSA modernize their regulations. But as you discussed, 2762 PHMSA, in implementing the program, added administrative 2763 hurdles and requirements beyond what Congress mandated. 2764 applaud the discussion draft's language to cut through 2765 2766 bureaucratic red tape and allow pipeline safety to benefit from technology and innovation. We encourage you to go 2767 further and prevent PHMSA from using the bureaucratic special 2768 permit process to process applications. I am encouraged by 2769 what I heard. 2770 The pipeline industry also supports updating regulatory 2771 requirements for carbon dioxide pipelines. A major build-out 2772 of CO2 pipelines is necessary to transport CO2 from where it 2773 is captured to where it can be permanently stored out of 2774 harm's way. Without a network of CO2 pipelines, our nation 2775 will not meet low-carbon goals for reducing greenhouse gas 2776

2777 emissions. There are already dozens of Federal regulatory requirements administered by PHMSA covering pipeline safety. 2778 2779 But we agree that in a handful of discrete areas PHMSA requirements would benefit from updates reflecting the latest 2780 approaches and learnings. 2781 We support the discussion draft's targeted approach as 2782 the best way for PHMSA to issue new CO2 pipeline safety 2783 requirements quickly. We encourage you to go further and 2784 require operators of CO2 to conduct CO2-specific dispersion 2785 modeling. 2786 We commend the discussion draft's language increasing 2787 penalties for disrupting pipeline operations and for 2788 promoting safety improvement through a voluntary information-2789 sharing program. We encourage you to go further and reform 2790 the PHMSA special permit program and increase enforcement due 2791 process as described in my written testimony. 2792 We applaud the discussion draft's vision to look 2793 2794 holistically at what is needed to help America benefit from pipelines. The draft proposes creative new ideas on pipeline 2795 permitting and consumer fuel choice. Pipeline projects which 2796 qualify for permits should be able to receive them, and in a 2797

2798	timely manner. The language to streamline permitting for
2799	pipeline construction and maintenance on routes collocated
2800	within existing rights-of-way make all the sense of the
2801	world, and the language also protects consumers and preserves
2802	their freedom to choose the energy source for their home.
2803	Thank you for inviting me to testify.
2804	[The prepared statement of Mr. Black follows:]
2805	
2806	*********COMMITTEE INSERT******
2807	

2808	*Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Mr. Black. The chair now
2809	recognizes Mr. Schryver for his opening statement of five
2810	minutes.
2811	

STATEMENT OF DAVE SCHRYVER 2812 2813 2814 *Mr. Schryver. Good afternoon, Chairman Pfluger, Ranking Member Schrier. Thank you for the opportunity to 2815 testify before the committee today. I am honored to appear 2816 today on behalf of the nearly 1,000 communities across the 2817 U.S. that own and operate their retail natural gas 2818 distribution entities. 2819 APGA's members include not-for-profit gas distribution 2820 systems owned by cities and other local government entities, 2821 2822 all directly accountable to the citizens they serve. Public gas systems focus on providing efficient, safe, reliable, and 2823 affordable energy to their consumers, and support their 2824 communities by delivering fuel to be used for cooking, 2825 clothes drying, space and water heating, as well as for 2826 various commercial and industrial applications including 2827 electricity generation. 2828 Pipeline safety is a top priority of APGA's members. 2829 Billions of dollars are invested each year in maintaining the 2830 safe operation of gas pipelines through the replacement of 2831 aging infrastructure and utilization of tools and 2832

2833 technologies specifically designed to identify and mitigate threats to the system. 2834 2835 Congress supported this effort. The Natural Gas Distribution Infrastructure Safety and Modernization Grant 2836 Program authorized by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs 2837 Act funds the repair, replacement, and rehabilitation of 2838 existing pipeline infrastructure and the purchase of 2839 equipment for leak detection. Program eligibility is limited 2840 to city and community-owned utilities. The projects funded 2841 through the program are enhancing safety, reducing methane 2842 2843 emissions, and improving the lives of customers and the 2844 community. Public gas systems deliver affordable energy safely to 2845 Americans. Our members have no obligation to deliver a 2846 profit to shareholders. Instead, local officials are 2847 responsible for setting rates with the goal of delivering 2848 energy to their community as safely and affordably as 2849 2850 possible. That mission has become even more vital as Americans are struggling with the burden of inflation. 2851 Data from the Energy Information Administration 2852 continues to show that natural gas remains the most 2853

2854 affordable energy source to heat your home in the winter, and this is not simply because of the low price of gas as a 2855 commodity. Homes fueled by natural gas also have the 2856 advantage of consuming less energy than electric homes when 2857 you consider the full fuel cycle from source to site. 2858 To deliver electricity to homes and businesses, almost 2859 two-thirds of that energy involved is used or lost before it 2860 ever reaches the point of end use. By contrast, when natural 2861 gas is being burned at the direct as the direct source of 2862 energy, less than 10 percent is lost between the point of 2863 production and the building, making direct use of natural gas 2864 almost three times more efficient: two-thirds lost versus 10 2865 2866 percent. 2867 The efficiency advantages of natural gas are clear and indisputable. In fact, it is estimated that the average home 2868 that uses natural gas appliances for heating, cooking, and 2869 clothes drying saves over \$1,000 a year on energy bills 2870 compared to homes using electric appliances for those 2871 2872 purposes. Natural gas is not only more affordable than 2873 electricity, but also more reliable. Only 1 in 800 natural 2874

gas customers experienced an unplanned outage in a given 2875 year. In comparison, each of the 140 million electric 2876 2877 customers in the U.S. experienced at least one outage a year, 2878 on average. Even though we know natural gas is the most affordable 2879 and reliable way to fuel a home, public gas systems are still 2880 facing significant challenges. Some of our members have wait 2881 lists for would be customers who want to receive gas service, 2882 but they are unable to do so due to supply as a result of 2883 limited pipeline capacity. This is a direct result of how 2884 2885 difficult it has become to permit new natural gas infrastructure. 2886 Other public gas systems are confronting challenges from 2887 those who want to ban new natural gas hookups. These bans 2888 are being proposed in spite of the fact that carbon dioxide 2889 emissions from residences using natural gas for space 2890 heating, water heating, cooking, and clothes drying are about 2891 2892 22 percent lower than carbon dioxide emissions attributable to an all-electric home. And not only does this take away 2893 consumers' right to choose the energy source that fuels their 2894 home, if successful these efforts will lead to higher energy 2895

2896	costs for American families while producing little
2897	environmental benefit.
2898	We urge Congress not to discount the role that natural
2899	gas can play in America's clean energy future. Natural gas
2900	has been delivering emission reductions in the energy sector
2901	for decades. With the development of the renewable natural
2902	gas industry and the potential for hydrogen, the gas industry
2903	can continue to deliver clean energy for American families in
2904	the future, utilizing our existing infrastructure and skilled
2905	workforce.
2906	Again, I thank the committee for the opportunity to
2907	testify, and I look forward to answering any questions.
2908	[The prepared statement of Mr. Schryver follows:]
2909	
2910	**************************************
2911	

2912	*Mr. Pfluger. Thank you, Mr. Schryver. The chair now
2913	recognizes Mr. Caram for his opening statement of five
2914	minutes.
2915	

STATEMENT OF BILL CARAM 2916 2917 2918 *Mr. Caram. Thank you, Chair, Ranking Member, and members of the subcommittee for inviting me to speak today. 2919 My organization, the Pipeline Safety Trust, was formed 2920 after the devastating Olympic pipeline tragedy that stole the 2921 lives of three boys in Bellingham, Washington in 1999 that 2922 the chairwoman spoke about earlier. The U.S. Justice 2923 Department was so appalled at the operations of the pipeline 2924 company and the lax oversight from the Federal Government 2925 2926 that they asked the courts to set aside money from the settlement to create the Pipeline Safety Trust as an 2927 independent national watchdog over the pipeline industry and 2928 2929 its regulators. Everyone here shares the goal of zero incidents. 2930 However, pipeline failures continue unabated and our goal 2931 remains far out of reach. Since the last pipeline safety 2932 legislation was passed in December of 2020, just over 3 years 2933 ago, our nation's pipelines have failed more than once per 2934 day, killing or hospitalizing someone every 9 days, on 2935 average. These nearly 1,500 failures have also caused more 2936

2937 than \$1.3 billion in property damage. Included in these statistics is a devastating UGI 2938 2939 pipeline failure in March of 2023 and a chocolate factory in Reading, Pennsylvania that killed 7 people and sent another 2940 11 to the hospital, caused by a fitting with known safety 2941 failures. 2942 Another pipeline likely failed in the Gulf of Mexico in 2943 November of 2023, spilling an estimated 1 million gallons of 2944 crude oil into the Gulf. And just last month BP's Olympic 2945 pipeline, whose tragic failure 25 years ago led to the 2946 founding of my organization, failed again, spilling more than 2947 20,000 gallons of gasoline into a creek just 500 feet from an 2948 elementary school. 2949 These are just three examples from failures from 2023. 2950 And remember, there have been nearly 1,500 since the PIPES 2951 Act of 2020 passed. I am sad to say that, when looking at 2952 the data, we haven't made much progress on pipeline safety. 2953 We can, of course, opportunistically pick out time periods to 2954 show trends going up or down. However, going back to 2010 2955 an objective starting point, since that is when PHMSA changed 2956 some of its criteria incident trends on all categories of 2957

2958 pipelines are statistically flat. We are not making progress. 2959 2960 To make meaningful progress on pipeline safety, Congress needs to remove the statutory handcuffs it has placed on 2961 It is the only agency with a statutory cost benefit 2962 requirement, an especially difficult hurdle to clear for 2963 infrastructure with low probabilities of failure yet 2964 devastating consequences. 2965 PHMSA is also prohibited from statute from adopting 2966 certain standards that would apply to the existing 3.4 2967 million miles of aging pipelines. Because of this, PHMSA has 2968 been unable to require rupture mitigation valves in high 2969 consequence areas, an NTSB recommendation after PG&E's 2970 pipeline tragedy in San Bruno, California in 2010. And of 2971 course, it is the aging infrastructure of existing pipelines 2972 that need this kind of lifesaving technology the most. 2973 I want to take a moment to discuss one specific pipeline 2974 2975 failure from 2020 that was mentioned in the first panel. carbon dioxide pipeline operated by Denbury failed near 2976 Satartia, Mississippi, sending an asphyxiant that is heavier 2977 than air long distances, displacing oxygen. Nearly the 2978

2979 entire community of 200 was evacuated, with 45 of them seeking treatment at local hospitals. Residents lost 2980 2981 consciousness, suffered seizures, and foamed at the mouth. First responders heroically pulled many to safety while 2982 donning scuba gear, their truck engines unable to operate in 2983 the oxygen-deprived environment. 2984 Experts are predicting a future with 20 times or more 2985 the current amount of CO2 pipelines due to congressional 2986 incentives. These new pipelines will likely be sited much 2987 closer to communities, and CO2 pipelines are dangerously 2988 under-regulated. There are no PHMSA standards on levels of 2989 dangerous impurities, for example. Congress should mandate 2990 that PHMSA regulate this and the other equally frightening 2991 regulatory gaps before the public can have any kind of 2992 confidence in the safety of CO2 pipelines. 2993 Given this, along with hydrogen posing its own unique 2994 set of new pipeline safety dangers, I was disappointed to see 2995 that the draft of the Pipeline Safety, Modernization, and 2996 Expansion Act of 2023 includes a reduction in funding for 2997 I was also disappointed that the draft bill includes 2998 provisions that have nothing to do with PHMSA or pipeline 2999

3000	safety, such as changing the way the EPA regulates class 6
3001	wells and the way FERC issues permits.
3002	To honor the value we place on safe communities,
3003	Congress owes it to the American people to give PHMSA more
3004	authority and resources and to implement the safety
3005	recommendations I have detailed in my written testimony.
3006	Thank you again for inviting me today. I look forward
3007	to answering any questions the subcommittee may have, and
3008	helping Congress improve our nation's pipeline safety.
3009	[The prepared statement of Mr. Caram follows:]
3010	
3011	**************************************
3012	

```
3013
           *Mr. Duncan. [Presiding] Just to clarify the record,
      there is no reduction in level of funding for PHMSA. It is a
3014
3015
      reallocation of that money, but the baseline level stays the
3016
      same.
           *Mr. Caram. Based on the last year in the
3017
      authorization, it just looking at the numbers, it does
3018
      appear to maybe it is not from what was appropriated, but
3019
3020
      from what was authorized it does appear to be a reduction.
           *Mr. Duncan. Thank you. I will now go to Mr. Rorick.
3021
           It is great to see you, and you are recognized for five
3022
3023
      minutes.
           *Mr. Rorick. Great, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3024
3025
```

3026 STATEMENT OF ROBIN RORICK 3027 3028 *Mr. Rorick. Chairman Duncan, Ranking Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for the 3029 opportunity to testify this morning. My name is Robin 3030 Rorick, and I am vice president of midstream policy at the 3031 American Petroleum Institute. On behalf of API, we 3032 appreciate the opportunity to testify as part of this 3033 important hearing addressing pipeline safety and the 3034 reauthorization of the U.S. Department of Transportation's 3035 3036 Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. Every day our nation's network of more than 500,000 3037 miles of transmission pipelines transports the oil, natural 3038 gas, refined products, and low-carbon energy that fuel modern 3039 life. America has led the world in reducing carbon dioxide 3040 emissions over the past two decades, even as our industry has 3041 made the United States the world's leading producer of oil 3042 3043 and natural gas. The air Americans breathe is cleaner because of 3044 innovative improvements to the way energy is produced, 3045 transported, refined, and consumed. But we need pragmatic, 3046

3047 bipartisan energy policies that support the reasonable development of our nation's oil and natural gas resources, 3048 3049 including policymaking that encourages investment in critical energy infrastructure like pipelines. 3050 Pipelines, one of the safest, most environmentally 3051 responsible ways to transport energy to consumers, are in 3052 every U.S. state, and our industry is committed to a zero-3053 incident safety culture. Even as barrels delivered and 3054 pipeline mileage continues to increase, the strong safety 3055 record is improving. As Mr. Black indicated, over the last 3056 3057 five years total liquid pipeline incidents have decreased 20 percent 28 percent, while incidents impacting people and 3058 the environment have declined 16 percent. 3059 3060 We welcome effective policymaking to help continuously improve pipeline safety. We applaud this committee's efforts 3061 to develop a comprehensive approach to PHMSA reauthorization 3062 through its draft bill, the Pipeline Safety, Modernization, 3063 3064 and Expansion Act of 2023. API is pleased that the draft bill addresses many critical issues that our industry has 3065 long advocated for, including a functional technology pilot 3066 program, a confidential voluntary information sharing system 3067

3068 for operators, criminal penalties for damaging pipeline infrastructure, and a required rulemaking on pipeline 3069 3070 transportation of carbon dioxide. These are common-sense policy changes that will help enhance pipeline safety and 3071 spur innovation as we advance a zero-incident future. 3072 We appreciate the committee's recognition of another 3073 important issue linked to pipeline safety: permitting 3074 reform. Issues your committee have identified with regard to 3075 the permitting for the modification, expansion, expansion, or 3076 maintenance of existing pipeline facilities are often 3077 3078 overlooked, but are critical to safely maintaining our energy supply chain. 3079 The committee's proposal also reflects an important 3080 opportunity to modernize the permitting system by making it 3081 easier for operators to expand their infrastructure by 3082 collocating resources in existing rights of way. 3083 Finally, the draft bill improves the risk assessment 3084 provision in the Pipeline Safety Act by clarifying that costs 3085 and benefits considered during the rulemaking process should 3086 be limited to those within the United States. This important 3087 requirement ensures that benefits of the regulation justify 3088

3089 its costs and makes more effective rulemakings that appropriately target specific pipeline safety needs. 3090 3091 This legislation is a strong starting point, and we also encourage this committee to consider additional provisions 3092 which we believe will complement your draft bill and work to 3093 improve pipeline safety. In my written testimony I recommend 3094 that Congress direct PHMSA to allow pipeline operators to 3095 base their storage tank inspection frequency on risk-based 3096 engineering principles, require PHMSA to review and update 3097 the industry standards they incorporate by reference at 3098 3099 regular intervals, and provide clarity as to what agency regulates implant piping at refineries. 3100 I want to be conscious of my time, but I would welcome 3101 any opportunity to work with you and your staff on these 3102 important issues. 3103 As a final matter, we also urge this committee to 3104 conduct diligent oversight of the agency's efforts to issue 3105 new regulations related to leak detection and repair, a 3106 mandate that from the 2020 pipeline safety bill and that 3107 was discussed earlier. 3108 While API supports PHMSA's goal of addressing methane 3109

```
emissions, if enacted the current proposal would result in
3110
      limited emission reductions compared with billions of dollars
3111
3112
      in compliance costs disproportionately born by small
      companies that operate in some of the lowest-risk pipelines
3113
      in the U.S. Our industry is actively engaged in the
3114
      regulatory process to help PHMSA craft a workable final LDR
3115
      rule, but we believe this initial proposal goes beyond the
3116
      scope of what Congress intended, and warrants attention as
3117
      you consider another reauthorization bill.
3118
           In closing, let me stress that pipeline safety is not a
3119
3120
      partisan issue, and our industry needs effective legislation
      to meet the dual challenge of answering ever-growing energy
3121
      demand while leading the world in emission reductions.
3122
      appreciates the opportunity to engage in today's hearing as
3123
      you work towards a final pipeline safety bill.
3124
           And with that, Chairman Duncan, Ranking Member DeGette,
3125
      and members of the subcommittee, this concludes my prepared
3126
      statement, and I look forward to the conversation that we are
3127
      going to have today.
3128
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Rorick follows:]
3129
```

3130

```
3133
           *Mr. Duncan. Well, I thank you, gentleman. I thank all
      of you for your testimony. We will move into the question-
3134
3135
      and-answer portion of the hearing, and I will begin by
      recognizing myself.
3136
           First off, I talk about four main things when I think
3137
      about energy: produce, deliver, utilize, and export. We
3138
      need to produce more American resources; we need to deliver
3139
      those resources to be utilized to generate power and
3140
      transportation fuels; and we need to export more to help our
3141
      friends and neighbors around the world, and even help them
3142
3143
      lower their carbon emissions by using cleaner-burning U.S. -
      produced natural gas, take coal offline, lower their carbon
3144
      emissions. It is a win-win for everybody.
3145
           But in order to produce more, you have to have somewhere
3146
3147
      to put it. You have to deliver more. That is pipelines.
      You could do transmission lines, too, as you produce more
3148
      electricity and deliver those. I get that. Produce,
3149
      deliver, utilize, and export. Delivery is what we are
3150
      focused on today, and this nation needs more pipelines,
3151
      period.
3152
           Nobody is arguing that we don't need a better built-out
3153
```

3154 pipeline infrastructure. I mentioned the Progressive Policy Institute paper recently. It is a left-leaning group that 3155 wrote a paper, and they said we need more infrastructure in 3156 this country, mainly for export, but for utilization. So 3157 thank you guys for being here. Safety is a big part of that. 3158 And pipelines deliver these resources, whether it is 3159 liquid fuel or gaseous substance, safely. They deliver it 3160 more safely than trucks and trains. That has been proven, 3161 3.4 million miles of pipelines in this country do it every 3162 day in a safe manner. Safety is important. 3163 3164 Mr. Rorick, I want to ask you, as you mentioned, our approach to pipeline safety reauthorization is a more 3165 ambitious and comprehensive approach compared to what we have 3166 seen in the past. We recognize a critical nexus between 3167 pipeline safety and the need for a functional, modernized 3168 permitting system to expand critical infrastructure 3169 pipeline infrastructure that we need. 3170 One provision in this discussion draft authorizes FERC 3171 to issue any Federal permit required for the construction, 3172 modification, expansion, inspection, repair, or maintenance 3173 of existing pipeline or a new pipeline collocated within 3174

existing right of way if a state has failed to act in more 3175 than one year. The aim of this provision is to make it 3176 easier for operators to expand their pipeline infrastructure 3177 by collocating resources in existing rights-of-ways. Could 3178 3179 this help? *Mr. Rorick. Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. 3180 One of the things that we have advocated for, along with 3181 regards to permitting reform and permitting is a 3182 complicated issue covered by multiple agencies, multiple 3183 3184 committees of jurisdiction, including yours is that, 3185 really, what industry needs is a permitting process that is timely, it is predictable, it is transparent, and it is 3186 consistent. So any effort along those lines to provide those 3187 core principles is a step in the right direction. 3188 *Mr. Duncan. It certainly provides a lot of assurances 3189 to the marketplace. 3190 *Mr. Rorick. Absolutely. 3191 *Mr. Duncan. And thank you for that. 3192 Are there any environmental benefits to the approach? 3193 *Mr. Rorick. Certainly. To the extent that we can make 3194 sure that it is all considered in the I think part of this 3195

3196 discussion and often times a misperception for the industry, Mr. Chairman is that the industry is looking for 3197 3198 workarounds to the permitting processes, or streamlining. That is absolutely not true. 3199 What we are looking for is a permitting process that 3200 maintains those pillars. And if it does so in an appropriate 3201 way, it is judicially durable so that it can withstand court 3202 and we don't end up in this sort of litigation back and forth 3203 that just delays pipeline projects, and ultimately has killed 3204 many of them, as you have indicated before. 3205 3206 *Mr. Duncan. Absolutely. We talked about gas, and gas bans, and whatever. I am not going to go through all my 3207 written statement because of time. 3208 3209 Mr. Schryver, how are these gas bans inhibiting energy affordability and reliability? 3210 *Mr. Schryver. That is an excellent question. 3211 3212 you for the question. As I mentioned in my testimony, natural gas is the most 3213 affordable, resilient, and reliable manner to fuel a home. 3214 When you put a ban in place, you take away the affordability. 3215

You make it more expensive for the homeowner to fuel their

3216

home. You impact reliability, as well. 3217 Even during Storm Uri, when the price of natural gas 3218 3219 went high, it was still reliable. The gas was still getting to the homes. 3220 *Mr. Duncan. I can tell you right now there is a lot of 3221 people across this country with this winter storm, the one we 3222 just had and the one that is coming, that appreciate the fact 3223 that they have gas logs and gas availability to heat their 3224 homes, and cook, and other things. 3225 *Mr. Schryver. I tell a story, I will tell it real 3226 3227 quick. Our vice president of government relations, Stuart, who is behind me, he is a Mississippi State fan, and he was 3228 in Oxford, Mississippi. So he was in Ole Miss territory 3229 during 3230 *Mr. Duncan. Twenty-two is closed right now. 3231 *Mr. Schryver. Yes, exactly, yes. 3232 3233 *Mr. Duncan. So *Mr. Schryver. _ during Storm Uri. And he took his 3234 children to a diner, and the diner was going through rolling 3235 blackouts. The diner was still cooking because the guy had 3236 an iPhone and a gas stove, so he was still putting out food. 3237

3238 *Mr. Duncan. Lit it up with his iPhone, and cooked it on the gas stove. 3239 Wow. 3240 So Mr. Black, do we have enough pipeline capacity in the United States? You heard my rant, but I want to hear it from 3241 3242 you. *Mr. Black. We can always use more, right? Our nation 3243 is at historic levels of production, and we need to get more 3244 to where Americans can benefit from lower prices, and more to 3245 the ports where we can export it, helping us in geopolitics 3246 and reducing carbon emissions worldwide. 3247 3248 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, more capacity means lower cost. We still have to be able to deliver it. The producers I talk to 3249 say they can produce more from existing wells without 3250 drilling anything else, especially in the Marcellus, they 3251 just don't have anywhere to put it. I want to give them 3252 pipelines to help them deliver that to my utilities and 3253 others that can utilize it, and the export side of it, as 3254 3255 well. My time has expired, so I will now go to Ranking Member 3256 DeGette for five minutes. 3257 *Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. 3258

3259 So Mr. Caram, after the chairman debated you over the budget amounts, I had staff look it up. And here is what it 3260 3261 is. Under current law there is \$238 million authorized for Under this draft bill it is 196 million authorized. 3262 Under the fiscal year 2023 appropriation, actually, \$190 3263 million was actually appropriated. So, in fact, under this 3264 draft bill of the authorization, which is this committee, it 3265 is quite a bit less, and you are right. And this leads me 3266 into my questioning. 3267 *Mr. Duncan. Pause her time for just a second. 3268 3269 said was it just flatlines it from the appropriated amount. *Ms. DeGette. Well, I am just saying that 3270 *Mr. Duncan. The current amount, 190 to 190. 3271 *Ms. DeGette. Yes, but the authorized was a lot more. 3272 3273 That is what I am saying. *Mr. Duncan. Right. 3274 *Ms. DeGette. Okay, thank you. But the reason why I 3275 think this is important is because of the task that we have 3276 ahead of us. 3277 So there have been 1,486 reportable pipeline failures 3278 since Congress passed the PIPES Act in 2020. Is that

3279

3280 correct, Mr. Caram? *Mr. Caram. I don't have the numbers in front of me, 3281 3282 but that sounds correct. *Ms. DeGette. Okay. And since the PIPES Act of 2020, 3283 pipeline failures have resulted in property damage of over 3284 \$1.3 billion, which costs over \$1 million every day. Is that 3285 3286 right? *Mr. Caram. Yes. 3287 *Ms. DeGette. Now, you state in your testimony that you 3288 believe we are not making real progress on pipeline safety, 3289 3290 is that right? *Mr. Caram. Yes, that is correct. 3291 *Ms. DeGette. And can you briefly tell us why that is? 3292 *Mr. Caram. So we go back to 2010. There is some 3293 changes that PHMSA made on how they collect data on incidents 3294 at that time. And so, rather than trying to normalize or 3295 standardize data going back farther we think that is an 3296 3297 objective time period to start. And when we look at that and use, you know, statistic confidence intervals and things like 3298 that, the incident rates, when looking at all incidents and 3299 when looking at significant incidents, incidents impacting 3300

```
people in the environment are all statistically flat.
3301
           *Ms. DeGette. So do you think that if we reduce
3302
3303
      resources for PHMSA from the reauthorization from 238 million
      to 196 million, will that help or hurt these numbers?
3304
           *Mr. Caram. I believe that would hurt the numbers.
3305
           *Ms. DeGette. Now, let me ask you this because the
3306
      chairman says, well, in the draft bill we just keep the we
3307
      keep the authorization the same as the 2023 appropriation,
3308
      roughly. Do you think that would help or hurt these numbers?
3309
3310
           *Mr. Caram. Well
           *Ms. DeGette. If we actually gave roughly 190 million.
3311
           *Mr. Caram. To keep in mind, the legislation would also
3312
      increase the grant obligations of the organization of the
3313
      agency. And so that net amount left behind for them would be
3314
      would decrease, even under flat funding.
3315
           It also introduces a new program, the voluntary
3316
      information sharing system. We have no idea how much that
3317
      would really cost, but it would be a very large program that
3318
      they would have to pick up. So the numbers left over for
3319
      what the agency does day in and day out for pipeline safety
3320
      would
3321
```

```
*Ms. DeGette. So there is more obligations
3322
           *Mr. Caram. More obligations
3323
3324
           *Ms. DeGette. and less money is what you are saying.
           *Mr. Caram. Correct, yes.
3325
           *Ms. DeGette. So that is not going to help with the
3326
      current situation that we have, is it?
3327
           *Mr. Caram. Correct.
3328
           *Ms. DeGette. Now, I want to talk a little bit more
3329
      about PHMSA's funding.
3330
           The majority's pipeline never mind, I already asked
3331
3332
      those questions.
           So I want to ask the other gentlemen who are here a
3333
      simple question. All of you believe we should have pipeline
3334
      safety. Is that right, Mr. Black?
3335
           *Mr. Black. Certainly.
3336
           *Ms. DeGette. Mr. Schryver?
3337
           *Mr. Schryver. Correct.
3338
           *Ms. DeGette. Mr. Rorick?
3339
           *Mr. Rorick. Yes, ma'am.
3340
           *Ms. DeGette. Okay. So we all think we should have
3341
      pipeline safety. We all think that consumers should be able
3342
```

to get their energy sources natural gas or whatever 3343 safely. And so I would think that we would be able to give 3344 3345 the money that we need to make this happen on a bipartisan basis. I would think we would be able to authorize it in 3346 this committee, and then we would be able to work with our 3347 3348 appropriators. With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 3349 *Mr. Duncan. I thank the gentlelady. You know, the 3350 PIPES Act actually created a lot of programs that at PHMSA 3351 that weren't funded in the appropriations bill, as well. 3352 3353 a lot of schematics here. I will now go to the chairman of the full committee, 3354 Mrs. Rodgers, for five minutes. 3355 *The Chair. Mr. Rorick, Mr. Black, Mr. Schryver, are 3356 you concerned that PHMSA's mission appears to be shifting 3357 from a safety regulator to more of an environmental 3358 regulator? 3359 *Mr. Rorick. I will go first. We want to make sure 3360 that we certainly see that PHMSA's core role is safety, and 3361 there is certainly pressure for PHMSA to expand into other 3362 areas. So we want to make sure that we preserve that core 3363

```
3364
      role as we move forward.
           *The Chair. Okay. And to all of you, as you answer
3365
      this question, could you provide some examples of proposed
3366
      regulations or actions where you feel that PHMSA has failed
3367
      to act as a risk-based regulations on risk-based
3368
      regulations to improve safety?
3369
           So I don't know if there is anything you want to add,
3370
      Mr. Rorick.
3371
           *Mr. Rorick. Sure. So if you look and the deputy
3372
      administrator covered this at some length, but we do feel
3373
3374
      that their leak detection and repair rule did go beyond,
      first and foremost, what Congress mandated them to do in
3375
      looking at gathering-lines, particularly at the class C
3376
      gathering lines, these low-pressure gathering lines in rural
3377
      areas, and it did it in such a way where it didn't it is
3378
      expanding the risk-based approach to the point where we are
3379
      looking at everything at this point, and consequently we are
3380
      not taking the appropriate approach to managing some of that
3381
      risk.
3382
           *The Chair. Thank you. Thank you.
3383
           Mr. Black?
3384
```

```
3385
           *Mr. Black. Two things come to mind.
           One, Congress in 2020 authorized directed PHMSA to do
3386
      the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program, and they went beyond
3387
      what Congress told them to do, decided to add an
3388
      environmental review under NEPA for an application that
3389
      shouldn't need it.
3390
3391
           The second is, while PHMSA has been working on other
      things they have not acted on another thing Congress told
3392
      them to do, which is a rulemaking on operating status of
3393
      idled pipes. There is a there is something between
3394
3395
      abandoned and fully operating that Congress recognized needs
      its own distinct style of regulations. PHMSA has made no
3396
      progress on that, and we see no signs that it is a priority.
3397
3398
           *The Chair. Thank you.
           Mr. Schryver?
3399
           *Mr. Schryver. We support the intent of the leak
3400
      detection rule, but also have concerns with it, both in terms
3401
      of timing we believe the six-month timeframe rolled out is
3402
      not achievable or realistic; and we also have concerns about
3403
      the rule as it pertains to the frequency of leak surveys.
3404
           *The Chair. Okay, thank you.
3405
```

```
3406
           Mr. Black and Mr. Rorick, I believe we need to expand
      our existing pipeline infrastructure and build new projects
3407
3408
      to meet growing demand. Unfortunately, some states are
      blocking interstate pipelines for political reasons.
3409
      clear to me that they would rather keep it in the ground,
3410
      even if it means harming grid reliability and spiking energy
3411
3412
      prices.
           Mr. Black, do you support giving FERC additional
3413
      authority to issue permits if a state agency fails to act?
3414
           *Mr. Black.
3415
                        Yes.
           *The Chair. Mr. Rorick?
3416
           *Mr. Rorick. As I indicated before, ma'am, we certainly
3417
      would support any provision that would provide that
3418
      transparency, predictability, and the timeliness that we need
3419
3420
      to get permits.
           *The Chair. Thank you.
3421
3422
           Mr. Schryver, we are starting to see some states and
      local governments pass gas bans at the urging of radical
3423
      environmental groups. These bans are clearly
3424
      unconstitutional, and are creating major hurdles to getting
3425
      affordable, reliable energy to Americans. Can you talk about
3426
```

```
the effect of these gas bans, and what it means for your
3427
      publicly-owned gas distribution companies?
3428
3429
           *Mr. Schryver. Absolutely. Thank you for the question.
           We believe the homeowner, the consumer is best suited,
3430
      and they should have the freedom of choice to choose the
3431
      appliance that best fits their needs. If they want to put an
3432
      electric stove in, they should be entitled to. Likewise with
3433
3434
      gas.
           You know, if they as I laid out in my testimony, there
3435
      are benefits to natural gas appliances. They are more
3436
3437
      affordable, more reliable, more resilient. And despite all
      these benefits, we have wait lists in some areas of the
3438
      country where there is not capacity to get the gas to the
3439
      consumers, so the consumers that want the gas can't get it.
3440
           *The Chair. Thank you. Do you support as a follow-
3441
      up, do you support including a provision in the pipeline
3442
      safety reauthorization to stop gas bans?
3443
           *Mr. Schryver. We do.
3444
           *The Chair. Mr. Black, do you do your members support
3445
      language to stop gas bans?
3446
           *Mr. Black. We support the fuel choice language in your
3447
```

discussion draft, yes. 3448 *The Chair. Okay, thank you. Thank you all for being 3449 3450 here. I yield back. 3451 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. I will now go 3452 to Mr. Tonko for five minutes. 3453 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 3454 Last year in Wappingers Falls, New York, a little south 3455 of my district, a gas line ruptured, resulting in a massive 3456 fire at a multi-family apartment building. Fifteen people 3457 were injured, including two children and five first 3458 responders. That gas line was damaged by an excavator. 3459 So Mr. Caram, is excavation damage a common threat to 3460 natural gas infrastructure? 3461 *Mr. Caram. Yes. There are PHMSA tracks operators 3462 report on every incident what the cause of that incident was. 3463 A lot of times there are multiple causes that interact with 3464 each other, and that data is available to the public. And 3465 yes, excavation damage is certainly one of the leading 3466 causes, but there are a lot of other causes, as well. 3467 Operator failure in my testimony I broke out incident 3468

```
3469
      trends, and I grouped the causes together based on those that
      are within direct control of the operator and those that are
3470
3471
      more indirect control of the operator. And excavation
      damages would be more indirect control of the operator, and
3472
      they are those causes are significantly lower than those
3473
      that are under direct control of the operator.
3474
           *Mr. Tonko. Thank you. And what regulations are in
3475
      place to try to prevent these incidents? And are they
3476
      working?
3477
           *Mr. Caram. Well on excavation damage there is
3478
      operators are required to have damage prevention programs and
3479
      public awareness campaigns that are part of the regulations.
3480
      It is then left up to the states to how they implement
3481
      those, and there is not a lot of standardization.
3482
      national organizations have put forth what the best practices
3483
      should be in a state program, and many states don't follow
3484
      those best practices.
3485
3486
           *Mr. Tonko. Yes. Are there states that stand out as
      being the most driven to protect from this kind of damage?
3487
           *Mr. Caram. I don't have those off hand.
3488
           *Mr. Tonko. But there are different degrees
3489
```

```
3490
           *Mr. Caram. There are.
           *Mr. Tonko. I heard you say, okay. And what are some
3491
3492
      things that states can do or PHMSA can require states to do
      to improve Call Before You Dig/811 programs?
3493
           *Mr. Caram. I mean, I believe they are doing a lot.
3494
      think where the most progress could be made here is by
3495
      Congress ordering some of those best practices as a
3496
      requirement to the states. And I believe there is probably a
3497
      lot of consensus around that among stakeholders.
3498
           *Mr. Tonko. So is it just a voluntary thing now, or is
3499
3500
      it just a guideline? Or are they required to
           *Mr. Caram. They are not required, and I imagine others
3501
      could speak more to this than I can, but PHMSA has a grant
3502
      program, and each state is graded on how well of a program
3503
      they do. But there aren't very many consequences to a state,
3504
      you know, not holding up those standards.
3505
           *Mr. Tonko. Okay, thank you. And in addition to
3506
3507
      excavation, I am certain there are other safety threats to
      pipelines. So can you, sir, describe how natural hazards
3508
      such as seismicity, erosion, floods, hurricanes, and
3509
      wildfires, which pose a risk to pipeline infrastructure, how
3510
```

3511 could they be dealt with in a more effective way? *Mr. Caram. Yes, that is a great question. We lump all 3512 3513 of those together and call them geohazards. And land movement, earthquakes, and all of those are growing causes 3514 and threats to pipeline integrity. There aren't a lot of 3515 specific standards in the PHMSA regulations about geohazards. 3516 I think historically they have been thought of as an act of 3517 God. But as we move towards this goal of zero incidents, 3518 that includes failures from geohazards. 3519 Operators within high consequence areas need to mitigate 3520 3521 against those geohazards and integrity management plans, but there are very few regulations requiring that kind of 3522 mitigation outside of high consequence areas. 3523 3524 *Mr. Tonko. So there is that is an area where we can further ensure safety requirements 3525 *Mr. Caram. Yes, Congress could tell PHMSA that 3526 operators need to have mitigation geohazard mitigation 3527 plans on all of their pipelines. 3528 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you. Well, I appreciate your 3529 3530 responses. And with that, Mr. Chair, I yield back. 3531

```
3532
           *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I now go to
      the chair of the Health Subcommittee, Mr. Guthrie from
3533
3534
      Kentucky, for five minutes.
           *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for the
3535
      time. Thank you for the witnesses for being here today.
3536
           And Mr. Black or Mr. Rorick, if you would care to
3537
      comment on what Mr. Caram just said, that I think this is a
3538
      quote, I didn't get it all down right many states don't
3539
      follow best practices in pipeline safety. Is that your
3540
      experience, that many states don't follow best practices?
3541
3542
           *Mr. Black. Excavation damage prevention? If that is
      our topic here, we find a lot of sorry, we find a lot of
3543
      states are not doing good enough in either enforcing their
3544
      excavation damage laws or in eliminating exemptions to the
3545
3546
      One Call program.
           If a call is made, and it is properly marked, the odds
3547
      of an incident are way down, and excavation damage is a big
3548
      threat to pipeline safety. So improved performance by the
3549
      states and improved oversight by Congress on that state
3550
      program could help safety.
3551
           *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. Do you find the same thing, Mr.
3552
```

3553 Rorick? *Mr. Rorick. Yes, we would completely agree with that. 3554 And there is a ton of work that industry continues to it is 3555 a constant effort and constant work to continue to reach out 3556 to construction companies to maintain the 811 program. 3557 oil and gas industry is one of the largest contributors to 3558 maintain that 811 program, which covers all underground 3559 infrastructure and telecommunications, et cetera, but that is 3560 a constant effort that we are 3561 3562 *Mr. Guthrie. But that is an issue with the states, and 3563 enforcement from the states? *Mr. Rorick. Yes, there is incredible variability 3564 there. So it is work that we are doing both at the Federal 3565 level, as well as at the state level. 3566 *Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. That is good to know. 3567 you. Thanks for clarifying that to me. 3568 So Mr. Black, the PIPES Act of 2020 authorized PHMSA to 3569 establish the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program to 3570 demonstrate effectiveness of new pipeline technologies and 3571 analytical methods. However, no pipeline operators have 3572 applied to PHMSA under the program to participate in that. 3573

3574 And I asked that to Mr. Brown, if you saw the testimony earlier today, and it is more of a process issue, the process 3575 3576 of getting up and running. What is your experience with that and why operators 3577 aren't participating? 3578 *Mr. Black. Well, I followed your conversation with the 3579 deputy administrator with great interest, because you are 3580 absolutely right. You established this program with great 3581 intent, and they blew it on implementation. They made it 3582 untenable. No operator found it is worthwhile, despite the 3583 3584 potential benefits. Your discussion draft 3585 *Mr. Guthrie. Why is it untenable? Just _ what is your 3586 definition of untenable? Just what 3587 *Mr. Black. Well, they would require use of the 3588 bureaucratic special permit program, which we would encourage 3589 you to prevent. They required an environmental review under 3590 NEPA for what is a temporary pilot program. No need for 3591 that, Congress didn't call for that. 3592 You have remedied a lot of the issues in the discussion 3593 draft. Were it to become law, I would expect that operators 3594

3595 would be applying, and we would be able to get these safety benefits. 3596 3597 *Mr. Guthrie. So it wasn't just a matter of not having the program ready. There were specific things that are 3598 preventing you from participating that you just listed. 3599 *Mr. Black. Yes. 3600 3601 *Mr. Guthrie. Yes, okay. So also, have you found that PHMSA is open to new technology and improvements from you 3602 guys on the pipeline safety, or is that 3603 *Mr. Black. Yes, I believe so. We conduct 3604 3605 collaboration on R&D. PHMSA does do their own R&D funding, just as we do. That can be better. That should be quicker. 3606 That should be targeted on strategic issues. 3607 But this Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program is the 3608 perfect place to show that those work so that PHMSA can get 3609 the data from that and modernize their regulations so that we 3610 are not using 20-year-old know-how. Let's use the technology 3611 3612 as it should be. *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. So could you also maybe Mr. 3613 Schryver, as well some examples of what technologies are 3614 out there that should be implemented that aren't being 3615

implemented because of just the regulatory process, they are 3616 trying to improve them and get them done? What kind of 3617 3618 things are we talking about? *Mr. Black. Pipelines are inspected with MRI technology 3619 that you might find at a doctor's office that can collect 3620 terabytes of information as they go through the pipe. And 3621 there is advanced learning now on what the features that 3622 this inspection technology find. And we now know that we 3623 know more about what type of features lead to what type of 3624 incidents and timelines. So let's demonstrate that so that 3625 3626 PHMSA can modernize their regulations to reflect the technology 3627 *Mr. Guthrie. So what do the regulations say that 3628 prevents you from doing that? 3629 *Mr. Black. They are based on old standards, more than 3630 20 years old. 3631 *Mr. Guthrie. So they won't accept they just won't 3632 accept that as new information? 3633 *Mr. Black. They have not updated them. 3634 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay. So Mr. Schryver? 3635 *Mr. Schryver. One of the any time there is an 3636

```
3637
      incident, we learn from it. And one of the things that we
      appreciate in this bill is the voluntary information sharing
3638
3639
      platform as it pertains to pipeline safety.
           *Mr. Guthrie. So what kind of technologies are you
3640
      seeing out there that are
3641
           *Mr. Schryver. I mean, our members
3642
           *Mr. Guthrie. _ that are ready to launch, but we just
3643
      can't get through the bureaucracy?
3644
           *Mr. Schryver. Our members run the breadth you know,
3645
      our members range in size from Philadelphia Gas Works to
3646
      half of our members have five employees or less. So these
3647
      are really, really small communities utilizing a wide variety
3648
      of technologies.
3649
3650
           One of the things that is really helpful to us is the
      infrastructure grant program, as it is providing these small
3651
      communities with funds to assist them in the repair and
3652
      replacement of infrastructure.
3653
3654
           *Mr. Guthrie. But how is PHMSA preventing you from
      getting this done, I guess, implementing
3655
           *Mr. Schryver. The program is moving along. The first
3656
      year has been done. The second year of the program is going
3657
```

to be additional funding, twice as much as the first year. 3658 So that has helped us. 3659 3660 *Mr. Guthrie. Okay, thanks. I yield back. 3661 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman's time has expired, and I 3662 will now go to Mr. Cardenas for five minutes. 3663 *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 3664 this opportunity to have a dialogue with the witnesses, and I 3665 appreciate your opinions and your perspectives so we can 3666 share with the American people what is going on out there. 3667 3668 As has been mentioned, pipeline incidents are incredibly dangerous. Someone every nine days is killed or injured by 3669 pipeline failures, and that is not even considering the 3670 longer-term health effects of air and water pollution, et 3671 cetera, that affect communities for decades and even longer. 3672 These are very real impacts and, more often than not, 3673 devastating this devastates communities, American children, 3674 and American families. 3675 Unfortunately, far too often communities are cut out of 3676 regulatory processes, despite bearing the majority of the 3677 burden when projects go wrong. With that in mind, I would 3678

like to discuss PHMSA's public engagement, or sometimes a 3679 lack thereof, of public engagement. 3680 Mr. Caram, as you noted in your written testimony, PHMSA 3681 currently lacks an independent division that would ensure 3682 effective public engagement and education in pipeline safety. 3683 *Mr. Caram. Yes, that is correct. I would say, 3684 historically, public engagement has not been one of PHMSA's 3685 strong points. And the public is often frustrated. 3686 Pipeline Safety Trust, we hear from a lot of members of the 3687 public who have reached out to PHMSA with questions and 3688 3689 haven't gotten anywhere, and they come to us very frustrated. There is also, you know, the multiple jurisdictional 3690 issues. FERC points them to PHMSA, PHMSA points them to FERC 3691 and other agencies, as well. And so we deal a lot with some 3692 3693 frustrated public. I will say that under the current administration there 3694 have been improvements to PHMSA's public engagement. Having 3695 a public meeting in Freeport after the LNG failure, having a 3696 public meeting about CO2 pipeline safety in Des Moines, Iowa 3697 are good steps forward, but we believe that an independent 3698 office dedicated to public engagement would help 3699

3700 tremendously. *Mr. Cardenas. And the community liaison program within 3701 3702 PHMSA, is it falling short today? What can take place to make it better? 3703 *Mr. Caram. We do hear a lot of frustration from the 3704 public who have worked with various community liaisons under 3705 3706 PHMSA. *Mr. Cardenas. Is it stretched too thin? Is it just a 3707 model that won't wouldn't work under any circumstance? 3708 *Mr. Caram. I am not sure exactly what is causing the 3709 shortfall there, but I believe more resources and some 3710 independence would help tremendously. 3711 *Mr. Cardenas. Okay, thank you. I understand that 3712 bipartisan T&I bill changes that program into a more robust 3713 office of public engagement. Could you talk about some of 3714 the benefits of the changes made in the T&I bill that what 3715 additional changes would be necessary for any pipeline safety 3716 reauthorization to give an office of public engagement the 3717 teeth it needs to be effective? 3718 *Mr. Caram. Yes, thank you for that. The T&I bill does 3719 have a carve-out for this group, and rename it the office of 3720

```
public engagement, which would give some congressional
3721
      oversight and some accountability to the program, and it
3722
3723
      would provide significant funding to the program that it
      doesn't have right now, all of which are good steps forward.
3724
           I believe it still lacks the independence of that
3725
      would allow it to be a true asset to the public. I think we
3726
      have seen some success with the office of public
3727
      participation for FERC a very different agency, where we
3728
      are talking very different issues that they would deal with,
3729
      but the independence model, I think, would serve PHMSA well.
3730
           *Mr. Cardenas. Give me an example of what you referred
3731
      to as a better independence model.
3732
           *Mr. Caram. Well, the office of public participation
3733
      with FERC, when we have members of the public generally, we
3734
      are talking pipeline safety and PHMSA, but there is there is
3735
      a cross-current of issues before the office of public
3736
      participation, when we would send them to FERC, they would
3737
      come back to us still just equally frustrated. And we have
3738
      seen the public getting answers from that office of public
3739
      participation that they weren't getting before.
3740
           *Mr. Cardenas. Thank you. Could you elaborate on some
3741
```

3742 of the ways that such an office could help communities in the aftermath of an accident or some incident? 3743 3744 *Mr. Caram. Absolutely, that is one of the areas where you really see the public engagement of the agency falling 3745 They are so focused on the investigation and things 3746 like that, understandably, that we don't want to pull any 3747 resources from that, but there is no public information 3748 officer, there is no point person. And so, again, we get a 3749 lot of those, as a non-profit, questions in the aftermath of 3750 a failure. 3751 3752 And just having one person that is that point person of an information officer that members of the public who just 3753 had this pipeline explode in their community could go to for 3754 answers would be tremendously helpful. 3755 *Mr. Cardenas. Okay, thank you. 3756 My time having expired, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 3757 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I will now go 3758 to Michigan, home of Big Blue, Tim Walberg, for five minutes. 3759 *Mr. Walberg. National champions and fully in the 3760 pipeline. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thanks to the panel 3761 for being here. 3762

```
3763
           Mr. Black, the discussion draft requires the Secretary
      to establish a confidential VIS for pipeline operators. Why
3764
3765
      is a VISS necessary, and how will it impact pipeline safety
      and communication across the industry?
3766
           *Mr. Black. The voluntary information sharing system
3767
      would create a safe space for pipeline operators and
3768
      regulators and analysts to talk about pipeline incidents and
3769
      learn from them. That is our goal, is reducing incidents.
3770
           Something similar, of course, has been done in aviation,
3771
      and we have seen the benefits from that. So we support the
3772
      inclusion of a voluntary information sharing system, and are
3773
      glad that is in the draft.
3774
           *Mr. Walberg. Well, in the age of AI
3775
           *Mr. Black. Yes, sir.
3776
           *Mr. Walberg. to have actual information
3777
           *Mr. Black. Right.
3778
           *Mr. Walberg. will be the foundation for all that you
3779
      folks learn, especially when you are talking about what you
3780
      do inside the pipelines with an MRI, as it were, and get
3781
      patterns. Thank you.
3782
           Mr. Rorick and Mr. Schryver, in 2020 PHMSA issued an
3783
```

```
3784
      NPRM on class location change requirements which would
      increase flexibility and allow operators to address class
3785
3786
      location changes with safety management practices, rather
      than spending billions to replace perfectly safe pipelines.
3787
      Since then, PHMSA has not acted in implementing the rule.
3788
           How has this lack of action undercut your members'
3789
      ability to innovate and invest in modern technology and
3790
      safety practices?
3791
           Mr. Schryver, go ahead.
3792
           *Mr. Rorick. Go ahead.
3793
3794
           *Mr. Schryver. As you mentioned, a lot of our members
      are small systems, so there is always resource challenges
3795
      associated with small systems.
3796
           That grant program, the infrastructure grant program,
3797
      has helped our members immensely in terms of getting the
3798
      resources they need to rehabilitate and replace
3799
      infrastructure.
3800
           In terms of the program you mentioned, can I get you
3801
      something in writing on that?
3802
           *Mr. Walberg. Sure.
3803
           *Mr. Schryver. Thank you.
3804
```

3805	
3806	
3807	
3808 [The information fo	llows:]
3809	
3810 ************************************	RT******
3811	

*Mr. Walberg. 3812 Sure. Mr. Rorick? 3813 3814 *Mr. Rorick. Sure, so the class location issue is a perfect example where the regulations have not kept up with 3815 the advancements in technologies and the capabilities of 3816 industry to assess and monitor its pipeline. So it really 3817 forces industry to take somewhat of a binary approach to just 3818 say if we population densities around a pipeline get to a 3819 certain point, you have got to pull out that pipeline. 3820 In addition to disrupting service to downstream 3821 3822 operators, you also have to evacuate that line to do the work, which means in many cases you have to vent that to the 3823 atmosphere. We heard earlier today that in some cases _ or 3824 last year, that there was enough natural gas released to the 3825 atmosphere from pipeline blowdowns to heat 10,000 to 3826 provide energy to 10,000 homes, as an example. We can avoid 3827 a lot of that to try to meet the climate concerns that a lot 3828 of folks have. That would be great. 3829 In addition, many of those pipelines don't have to be 3830 pulled out. We don't have to put workers in harm's way by 3831 using heavy equipment to pull those lines out. If we can use 3832

if we are able to use the modern technology that we have 3833 today to assess those lines, we can determine that many of 3834 3835 them can be left in place and monitored to make sure that they operate safely. 3836 *Mr. Walberg. Yes, I appreciate that. 3837 And continuing that thought, Mr. Black, I recently had 3838 the honor of being named as vice chairman of the Conservative 3839 Climate Caucus. Strange name, Conservative Climate Caucus. 3840 But I believe in being a good steward of our environment, and 3841 responsibly use the resources that we have, that we have been 3842 3843 talking about. That is why it has baffled me to hear and see and feel the opposition to increasing America's pipeline 3844 capacity. 3845 Can you explain how more more how pipelines compare to 3846 other modes of transportation when it comes to environmental 3847 impact and emissions? 3848 *Mr. Black. Well, there are less greenhouse gas 3849 emissions involved in pipeline transportation of any fuel or 3850 liquid, including CO2, right? 3851 When you build a pipeline and take transportation from 3852 some other mode onto a pipeline, you are reducing GHG 3853

```
3854
      emissions. Now we are seeing that pipelines are also
      necessary to move CO2 itself from where it is generated to
3855
3856
      where it can be stored, and so our nation is going to need a
      build-out of a lot of CO2 pipelines to achieve the goals that
3857
      your caucus is probably working on.
3858
           *Mr. Walberg. And it gives me also gives more
3859
      opportunity for those transportation resources above ground
3860
      to be used for other purposes
3861
           *Mr. Black. Right.
3862
           *Mr. Walberg. that we are having challenges with
3863
3864
      right now. So thank you, I appreciate the responses.
           And I yield back.
3865
           *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I will now go
3866
      to Mrs. Fletcher for five minutes.
3867
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and
3868
      thank you to all of our witnesses for sharing your thoughts
3869
      today. I am glad to see you here, and really want to follow
3870
      up on the questions that I asked Deputy Administrator Brown
3871
      in the last panel and portion of our hearing because,
3872
      obviously, we are very focused in this legislative hearing on
3873
      the reauthorization legislation, and I was glad to work on it
3874
```

```
and to work with many of your members who are headquartered
3875
      or near my district in Houston when we did the PIPES Act in
3876
3877
      2020, and I really want to hear from you about a couple of
      the challenges that we have been trying to address for
3878
3879
      several years.
           So Mr. Black, I want to start with you. Could you talk
3880
      a little bit about some of the impediments that your member
3881
      companies have faced when it comes to participating in the
3882
      technology pilot program?
3883
           I asked Administrator Brown about that, and that is
3884
3885
      something very important to my constituents, and I think it
      is clearly not working. They have had no applications. It
3886
      is something that I know so many of your members want to be
3887
      able to participate in. And so could you talk a little bit
3888
      about the key changes that you think are necessary to get new
3889
      technology into the field and work on these improvements to
3890
      pipeline safety?
3891
           *Mr. Black.
                        Thank you for your longstanding interest in
3892
      this. I think you achieved a win today in your discussion
3893
      with Deputy Administrator Brown.
3894
           One of our things that we have seen that has been a
3895
```

```
3896
      failure in the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program
      implementation by PHMSA is the use of the bureaucratic
3897
3898
      special permits program. And I believe he acknowledged to
      you that maybe that is not a good fit. That is great.
3899
      is a recommendation to the committee to tell that to PHMSA.
3900
           Another one is remedied by the discussion draft.
3901
      the use it is the requirement of an environmental review
3902
      under NEPA of something that really is not appropriate for
3903
      that. We believe these projects are certainly going to be
3904
      positive for safety and for the environment, but we need to
3905
3906
      be able to move fast so that we can get this data and test
      these new technologies and analytics.
3907
           So thank you for your help.
3908
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Well, of course, I think we all have an
3909
3910
      interest in seeing these new technologies advance.
           And so, Mr. Caram, I want to switch over to you and ask
3911
      I understand that you testified before the Transportation
3912
      and Infrastructure Committee, which has concurrent
3913
      jurisdiction here with us. And so could you talk a little
3914
      bit about the issues that were included in the draft of the
3915
      PIPES Act for 2023 that were negotiated in that bill that
3916
```

```
aren't in the draft bill before us today that you think we
3917
      should be considering, including the kinds of bipartisan
3918
3919
      proposals that came out of the T&I Committee that this
      committee should also include?
3920
           *Mr. Caram. Thank you for the question. In the T&I
3921
      bill there was the in their version of voluntary
3922
      information sharing system they had dedicated funding for
3923
      that that was additive to PHMSA's allocation, and that would
3924
      be critical to, I think, to that program.
3925
           There was an increase in PHMSA's ability to fine
3926
3927
      operators who were out of compliance.
           And there was also we believe the data is showing that
3928
      the integrity management system, which is really critical to
3929
      the pipeline safety regulations, maybe isn't working as well
3930
      as we all hope. And so there in the T&I bill there was a
3931
      study looking at how effective the integrity management
3932
      program is, and where it is falling short, and how to make it
3933
      better, and I think that would be critical, as well.
3934
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Terrific. Well, I will I want to ask
3935
      one more question of Mr. Rorick, but I will submit a question
3936
      for the record for all of you, that if there are provisions
3937
```

3938	that are in that draft legislation, or in that legislation	
3939	that aren't in ours that you think we should be looking at, I	
3940	would love to get your input from everybody on the panel.	
3941	[The information follows:]	
3942		
3943	********COMMITTEE INSERT******	
3944		

3945 *Mrs. Fletcher. But, Mr. Rorick, with the time I have left I would like to ask you to explain to our committee why 3946 3947 the modernization to pipeline inspection in the class location rule is so important for your member companies when 3948 it comes to older and somewhat outdated methods that have a 3949 higher environmental impact and expensive cost. I think that 3950 would be really useful for us. 3951 *Mr. Rorick. Yes, and to be mindful of the time, I will 3952 be brief. And we have talked a lot about the issue, and you 3953 have highlighted the issue with Mr. Black that the reality of 3954 3955 it is that, since that classification rule was originally developed, technology has advanced dramatically. Being able 3956 to take advantage, true advantage, of that technology, being 3957 able to take advantage of the lessons that industry has 3958 learned in the decades that that rule has been around would 3959 help us determine whether or not we need to take the risk to 3960 remove those pipelines out remove those pipelines, or 3961 whether they are perfectly fine where they are and we need to 3962 continue to monitor them. 3963 So it is a much more efficient and effective way to 3964 manage the pipeline system. 3965

3966 *Mrs. Fletcher. Okay, thank you. That is very helpful. And I think, Mr. Chairman, it is a record that I got all 3967 3968 of my questions in both panels under time until I just made that comment. 3969 *Mr. Duncan. You just went over, though, so yes. 3970 [Laughter.] 3971 *Mrs. Fletcher. Thank you so much. I yield back. 3972 *Mr. Duncan. Thank you, Mrs. Fletcher. Mr. Palmer is 3973 recognized. 3974 *Mr. Palmer. Thank you, Chairman, and I thank the 3975 witnesses for being here. 3976 Mr. Black, obviously, we are very dependent on 3977 affordable, reliable, affordable energy. It is important for 3978 our not only our economic security, our national security. 3979 Do pipelines make us less reliant on adversaries for energy? 3980 *Mr. Black. Absolutely. Pipelines make us less reliant 3981 on other forms of energy, on imports. Is that what you are 3982 asking me to talk about? 3983 *Mr. Palmer. Well, I am going to make a point, because 3984 that is an obvious answer. 3985 *Mr. Black. Yes. 3986

3987 *Mr. Palmer. But one of the things that I have tried to point out to people about the war in Ukraine is it did not 3988 3989 create the energy crisis, it exposed it. And what happened in Europe was in this rush to renewables they let their 3990 infrastructure decline. They didn't increase it or advance 3991 it. And then, when the war started, they found themselves in 3992 a very difficult situation. And that is my concern about our 3993 own economy and our own national security. 3994 We have enormous reserves of natural gas and and other 3995 hydrocarbon fuels. It is interesting that the European Union 3996 last in 2022 declared natural gas "climate friendly.'' It 3997 is it reminds me of something Henry Kissinger once said, 3998 "There is nothing that clears the mind quite so well as the 3999 absence of alternatives,'' and that is what Europeans found 4000 themselves confronted with. 4001 So when we are talking about our pipeline 4002 infrastructure, obviously, safety is our top priority. 4003 4004 supply ought to be a major part of this equation, and because it is critical to our economy, but it is also 4005 critical to our national security. That is, really, where I 4006 am trying to go with this, and using Europe as a proof 4007

```
4008
      positive example of that.
           *Mr. Black. Well, you are you are absolutely right,
4009
      Congressman. And as you know, our nation is generating is
4010
      producing more crude oil than ever before. And when the
4011
      Ukraine-Russia war started, we were able to export excess
4012
      crude oil, refined petroleum products, natural gas liquids
4013
      liquid natural gas there, and look at what is happening now.
4014
      We have a war there, we have unease in the Middle East, we
4015
      have shipping issues in the Red Sea, and the price for
4016
4017
      Americans really hasn't budged. Why is that? Because we
      have a lot of American production, and we a lot of American
4018
      pipeline capacity to spread throughout our country that
4019
      product.
4020
4021
           We can always do more and better, but it is we need to
      recognize the benefits of the production of the pipeline.
4022
      Thank you for doing that.
4023
           *Mr. Palmer. Well, Germany is a prime example of this.
4024
4025
      I have worked for two international engineering companies
      prior to running a think tank, prior to losing my mind and
4026
      running for Congress. But Germany built 5 LNG facilities in
4027
      180 days. Again, nothing clears the mind quite so well as
4028
```

4029 the absence of alternatives. The other thing that I have communicated to I have 4030 4031 been meeting with a number of European diplomats and others is that if we haven't learned anything else from the war in 4032 Ukraine, it ought to be this one fundamental principle, that 4033 no nation should be reliant on an adversarial nation for 4034 something as critical to their economic security and national 4035 security as energy, which has led me to looking at some other 4036 ways that the United States can really be beneficial in 4037 dealing with adversarial nations like China, for instance, is 4038 4039 working in places where we have emerging economies who are not going to deny themselves access to reliable, affordable 4040 energy, like sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America, to help 4041 them build out their energy infrastructure through private 4042 4043 investment, form a Western Hemisphere alliance, so to speak. There are nations out there that have huge reserves of 4044 4045 natural gas. Azerbaijan and Turkmenistan, they probably have enough natural gas in the ground in Europe to meet their 4046 needs for 60 years. That is part of what we need to be 4047 talking about when we are talking about what we do here. 4048 want to make sure we have all of the safety protocols in

4049

```
4050
      place for our pipelines, but we need to be building out the
      infrastructure here through private investment and create
4051
4052
      opportunities where we can have private investment in these
      emerging economies, and step in there where China is stepping
4053
           They are building coal-fired plants. If China converted
4054
      to natural gas, it would cut their emissions by more than
4055
      half, the equivalent of 500 million gasoline-powered cars.
4056
      It would cut it to the equivalent of what India produces
4057
      emits in a year.
4058
           So I really I know that is a little bit off the beaten
4059
      path there, Mr. Chairman, but I think it is it should be
4060
      part of the discussion going forward on in terms of our
4061
      advancing pipelines, and I yield back.
4062
           *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. I now go to
4063
      the gentlelady from Washington State, Ms. Schrier, for five
4064
      minutes.
4065
                          Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to
4066
           *Ms. Schrier.
4067
      all of our witnesses for being here for this discussion.
           Mr. Caram, I would like to focus on your testimony
4068
      regarding hydrogen, specifically pipeline safety. Thank you,
4069
      by the way, for mentioning the Olympic pipeline tragedy.
4070
```

4071 As I am sure you know, the Pacific Northwest region was selected as one of 7 nationwide hydrogen hubs that will share 4072 4073 a total of \$7 billion of DOE investment. And I was so proud to lead a bipartisan effort to bring this funding to the 4074 region, to harness clean hydropower to produce the greenest 4075 hydrogen in the nation. And this is incredibly exciting. 4076 This is one part of the equation, though, it is not the end 4077 of the discussion. 4078 As you have cited in your testimony, large knowledge 4079 gaps need to be filled with further research on hydrogen 4080 4081 transportation pipelines. Hydrogen leaks faster, and is more 4082 volatile than methane gas, and can also contribute indirectly as a greenhouse gas in the event of an unintentional leak 4083 4084 through impacts on methane and on ozone. So that is why it is important to take a really thoughtful approach to ensure 4085 that producers and users can transport hydrogen fuel without 4086 leaks or accidents. 4087 4088 And I was wondering if you could speak a bit about the research that still needs to be done to understand the 4089 compatibility of using, for example, existing pipelines to 4090 transmit hydrogen. 4091

4092 *Mr. Caram. Yes, thank you for the question. And I think right at the end there you identified really where most 4093 4094 of the research needs to be done and where most of the knowledge gaps are, and that is the conversion of existing 4095 pipelines in order to carry hydrogen, whether as a blend or 4096 pure hydrogen. 4097 Hydrogen, in addition to the safety issues in the 4098 flammability range and its indirect greenhouse gas 4099 contributions, it also poses integrity issues on pipelines. 4100 And I think operators have a pretty good handle on building a 4101 new pipeline and how to move hydrogen relatively safely when 4102 they are starting from zero with all those components. But 4103 taking an existing pipeline that was built to move methane 4104 and knowing each piece in that very complicated system, it 4105 only takes one piece of that system to fail to lead to a 4106 tragedy. 4107 And so for operators to know that every single piece in 4108 the existing system that was built for methane is able to 4109 also move hydrogen, and at what blend do we start to see 4110 problems, that is where the knowledge gaps are. And we would 4111 like to see a lot of more research there. 4112

```
4113
           *Ms. Schrier. Thank you. Yes, retrofitting may or may
      not be tricky, so I would have to agree with that. And thank
4114
4115
      you for devoting attention to that.
           I also wanted to note, like my colleague, Mrs. Fletcher,
4116
      that the reauthorization of PHMSA marked up in T&I does have
4117
      a language to study safety and hydrogen transportation.
4118
      so I wanted to urge, just as she did, I would urge this
4119
      subcommittee to consider similar legislative action on this
4120
      issue, as well, and would agree with her desire to get more
4121
      information about what other elements were included in the
4122
4123
      T&I bill that we really should be thoughtful about and also
      include in ours.
4124
           So thank you, and I will yield back.
4125
                         The gentlelady
           *Mr. Duncan.
4126
           *Mr. Caram. May I add one thing?
4127
           *Ms. Schrier. Of course. I have a minute left.
4128
           *Mr. Caram. Chair and Congresswoman, I apologize.
4129
      just want to add one thing that wasn't in the T&I bill, but
4130
      that was in our testimony.
4131
           Right now, for an operator to blend hydrogen into their
4132
      system, the only reporting that needs to be done to PHMSA is
4133
```

4134 the predominant product. And that has been interpreted to mean, you know, whatever is over 50 percent in the pipe. So 4135 4136 operators can be blending things like propane and hydrogen into their system without needing to report to PHMSA, and we 4137 would love to see a requirement of reporting blending 4138 components to PHMSA. We have seen safety issues with 4139 4140 blending propane into existing systems as a peak shaving, and I believe it will become more of an issue with hydrogen, as 4141 well. 4142 *Ms. Schrier. One more question. In 18 seconds can you 4143 4144 talk about the advantages of blending? Is this like ethanol with gasoline, or is there something else I should know about 4145 blending hydrogen with methane, for example? 4146 *Mr. Caram. Well, the because hydrogen poses unique 4147 safety issues, the more of it in the pipe, the more you will 4148 see those safety issues show up. 4149 *Ms. Schrier. Okay. We will talk later about 4150 advantages. Thank you. 4151 Now I yield back. 4152 4153 *Mr. Duncan. I wanted to hear the answer to that, too, because I don't know.

4154

4155 The gentlelady yields back. I now go to Mr. Johnson for five minutes. 4156 4157 *Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So first I want to go to Mr. Rorick of API. 4158 I mentioned PHMSA's latest so-called "leak detection and 4159 repair regulations'' that I am concerned have more to do with 4160 appeasing climate zealots at the White House than rather 4161 than making American communities safer. You mentioned in 4162 your testimony, Mr. Rorick, the need for better cost benefit 4163 analysis when implementing such a rule. I have got the DoT 4164 4165 announcement right here, where Secretary Buttigieg touts this rule, where he claims it will "keep communities safe and help 4166 curb climate change.'' 4167 Now, even if such a claim were true, in your view is it 4168 PHMSA's job to curb climate change? 4169 *Mr. Rorick. Well, we think that PHMSA should be 4170 focused on safety, first and foremost. And what they have 4171 4172 done with this rule is they have gone beyond the statutory request from Congress that they develop a requirement on 4173 gathering lines, for instance, to look at class C gathering 4174 lines that are in extremely rural areas. And if you look at 4175

their cost benefit analysis, it just doesn't bear out that 4176 the costs associated with fulfilling the requirements as 4177 4178 PHMSA has laid them out are exorbitant relative to the benefits that it would get. 4179 So we can't even get to the discussion about whether or 4180 not they have the responsibility or whether or not they 4181 have that role, because the rule is really poorly done at 4182 4183 this. *Mr. Johnson. Got you. And to that, you mention the 4184 overwhelming compliance costs of this rule. How would that 4185 4186 adversely affect the American people who rely so heavily on your industry? 4187 *Mr. Rorick. Well, as an example, if we again, 4188 Congressman, go back to those class C rural gatherings, these 4189 are small gathering lines in very rural areas with small 4190 operators that would be that would really bear the burden 4191 of a lot of those costs. They just don't have the 4192 capability. 4193 And again, we are not getting any benefit from that 4194 4195 requirement. *Mr. Johnson. Okay, let's go to Mr. Black from the 4196

4197 Liquid Energy Pipeline Association. In your testimony you mentioned how pipelines deliver 4198 4199 millions of gallons more energy per incident than other forms of transportation. Even the Secretary of Energy herself said 4200 pipelines are the best way to transport these fuels. Can you 4201 explain why that is, and why it is important to our 4202 constituents back home who are concerned about hazardous 4203 materials moving through their communities? 4204 *Mr. Black. Yes, pipelines are the best way to move the 4205 energy we need at scale. Why? One, it is the lowest-cost 4206 4207 option of moving energy that we use; two, there are less safety incidents regarding liquid pipelines than the other 4208 mode; and three, there are less GHG emissions relating to 4209 4210 moving that transportation. *Mr. Johnson. So it actually using pipelines in 4211 itself helps greenhouse gas emissions, thereby helping the 4212 climate. Right? 4213 4214 *Mr. Black. Yes, sir. *Mr. Johnson. Got you. You would think they would know 4215 that, right? 4216 Now, many of you know this is my last hearing in 4217

Congress, so I do want to reminisce a little bit. You know, 4218 it was 2021 and I know you all remember this well during 4219 4220 the response to the Colonial Pipeline cyber incident, it underscored how important pipelines were to move fuels, 4221 feedstocks, and natural gas safely and efficiently. But we 4222 were all struck, I think, by the remarks from then-Senator 4223 or Energy Secretary Granholm who will certainly not miss me 4224 on this committee, I can assure you, when I am gone talking 4225 about the infeasibility of meeting America's fuel needs with 4226 trucks and trains alone. And she said that famous quote, 4227 "Pipe is the best way to go.'' She is right, and I will give 4228 her credit for telling the truth at least that one time. 4229 A couple of years later, it is clear that her colleagues 4230 in the Administration, unfortunately, weren't listening to 4231 4232 her. We have to preserve and expand the pipeline infrastructure in this country to deliver the oil, gas, and 4233 fuels that the American people rely on every day. To our 4234 witnesses today, please keep telling the truth about what you 4235 do and what your industry members do. You need to use your 4236 budgets. I am looking at you guys, API, especially. You 4237 have to keep beating that drum, telling the story. 4238

4239 And with that, it has been a pleasure serving with all of you on Energy and Commerce and this subcommittee over 4240 4241 these many years. And, Mr. Chairman, for the final time I will respond with "I yield back." 4242 *Mr. Duncan. Well, I will take a point of personal 4243 privilege here and say what an honor it has been to serve 4244 with Bill, and he has been a great member of the committee, 4245 great member of the 2010 class of Congress. We came in 4246 together, and he has been a friend to so many of us in 4247 Congress. 4248 Your wisdom and leadership will be missed on this 4249 committee and in this Congress. We wish you well as you take 4250 on the new challenge at the university, and appreciate your 4251 hard service and dedication to this nation. 4252 *Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4253 *Mr. Duncan. You are welcome. With that I will 4254 recognize Mrs. Lesko. 4255 4256 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My first question is going to be for Mr. Caram. 4257 One area in this bill that I think we can strengthen is 4258 the penalties for tampering with or destroying pipeline 4259

4260 infrastructure. Since 2009 one Arizona Gas Company has experienced more than 140 instances of vandalism to its 4261 4262 pipeline facilities in central Arizona alone. In February 2021 suspected metal theft resulted in the release of gas and 4263 an ignition from a high pressure pipeline in Arizona. 4264 ignition damaged the Seventh Street Bridge, which is located 4265 in the heavily populated city of Phoenix, Arizona. 4266 4267 Not only is this happening, but it is being encouraged by radical climate activists. Last year a book-turned-movie 4268 titled, "How to Blow Up a Pipeline'' was released, which 4269 suggests to viewers the intentional destruction of energy 4270 infrastructure as a means to pursuing climate goals. 4271 Also, just this past Sunday, The New York Times wrote an 4272 article about the book and its author, justifying and 4273 promoting the extreme viewpoints that include, "I want 4274 sabotage to happen on a much larger scale than it does now. 4275 I can't guarantee that it won't come with accidents.'' 4276 4277 With messages like this being conveyed to the American public, damage to pipeline infrastructure is not likely to 4278 decline any time soon. The Biden Administration's focus on 4279 environmental justice is giving billions of dollars in grants 4280

4281 to like-minded community organizers to promote these violent 4282 ideas. My question for you, Mr. Caram, is does the Pipeline 4283 Safety Trust oppose acts to damage or destroy an interstate 4284 national gas or hazardous liquids pipeline facility? 4285 Yes. The Pipeline Safety Trust opposes 4286 activities that create unsafe conditions for people and the 4287 environment on pipeline facilities. 4288 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. Does PST consider acts to 4289 damage or destroy an interstate natural gas or hazardous 4290 liquids pipeline facility a protected expression of First 4291 Amendment free speech rights? 4292 *Mr. Caram. That is outside of the scope of my 4293 expertise to comment on. First Amendment rights and all, I 4294 am not an attorney, but we do oppose acts that create unsafe 4295 conditions on pipeline facilities. 4296 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. Does PST consider acts to 4297 damage or destroy an interstate natural gas or hazardous 4298 liquids pipeline facility an appropriate form of protest 4299 against climate change? 4300 *Mr. Caram. Again, we oppose any kind of activity that 4301

```
4302
      creates unsafe conditions on pipeline facilities.
                         Thank you. My second question will be to
           *Mrs. Lesko.
4303
4304
      all the panelists.
           Mr. Chairman, as you well know, this committee
4305
      overwhelmingly passed in a bipartisan manner and on the floor
4306
      in a bipartisan manner my Save our Gas Stoves Act. As this
4307
      Administration continues to weaponize the regulatory process
4308
      against natural gas like they did with gas stoves, we must
4309
      act and stop them. The Energy and Commerce draft contains
4310
      important provisions in our bill versus the Transportation
4311
      and Infrastructure PIPES Act to do this.
4312
           One such provision is protecting fuel choice for
4313
                  This section prohibits a state or municipality
4314
      consumers.
      from banning the transportation of an energy source,
4315
      including natural gas or liquid fuels, that are sold in
4316
      interstate commerce using a pipeline facility regulated by
4317
      the Pipeline Hazardous Materials and Safety Administration.
4318
      This is necessary since the Biden Administration continues
4319
      its war on fossil fuels and Americans choice of consumer
4320
      products and the energy they desire.
4321
           My question for all panelists, and we will just start
4322
```

- 4323 from the left, do you support this provision in the Pipeline
- 4324 Safety, Modernization, and Expansion Act of 2023, the bill
- that we are talking about, and if so, why? And if not, why
- 4326 not?
- *Mr. Black. Yes, Congresswoman. Liquid Energy Pipeline
- 4328 Association supports that. We believe customers, consumers
- should be free to choose the fuel that they use.
- 4330 *Mr. Schryver. The American Public Gas Association
- 4331 supports it, as well. We believe the homeowner is best
- suited to pick the appliance that meets his needs or her
- 4333 needs.
- *Mr. Caram. This provision is outside of the scope of
- 4335 the mission of the Pipeline Safety Trust.
- 4336 *Mr. Rorick. It is not outside our scope,
- 4337 Congresswoman, and we do believe that consumers should have
- 4338 the choice to choose the fuel that they want to use.
- *Mrs. Lesko. Great, and I am glad that you put it in
- 4340 the bill. It is very important.
- I have oh, I am over my time, so I yield back. Thank
- 4342 you all for being here.
- *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Mr. Balderson

is recognized as someone that knows about gas. 4344 And you are recognized for five minutes. 4345 4346 *Mr. Balderson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you all for being here today. My first question is for Mr. Black and 4347 Mr. Rorick from API. 4348 4349 The pipeline industry measures pipeline safety based on incidents impacting people or the environment. Can you 4350 discuss why this is a good measure to track pipeline safety? 4351 Mr. Rorick, you can go first. 4352 *Mr. Rorick. Me? 4353 4354 *Mr. Balderson. Yes. *Mr. Rorick. Okay. Yes, so this was based off of a 4355 recommendation from NTSB years ago in an effort to try to 4356 sort out the copious amounts of data that industry was 4357 4358 submitting to PHMSA. So their recommendation was to come up with an agreed-upon method to try to sort the data to better 4359 assess the risk. And so this the identifying incidents 4360 impacting people or the environment was something that Mr. 4361 Caram's predecessor, industry, and PHMSA all agreed to as an 4362 appropriate approach to manage to look at data. 4363 *Mr. Balderson. Okay, Mr. Black. 4364

4365 *Mr. Black. The goal of pipeline safety regulation is 4366 to protect people and the environment, and that is the 4367 priority. We want to focus there. Some incidents are small, don't affect anybody. Some 4368 are considered significant only because of cleanup costs that 4369 have nothing to do with whether people or the environment are 4370 affected. So we believe that incidents impacting people and 4371 the environment, which the trades all agreed to and PHMSA 4372 tracks, is the proper metric, and what Congress and others 4373 should be focused on. 4374 4375 *Mr. Balderson. Okay, thank you. A follow-up for both of you. 4376 I understand pipeline incidents impacting people or the 4377 environment that are caused by equipment failure have fallen 4378 by over 42 percent over the last 5 years. Can you discuss 4379 what steps your member companies have taken to reduce these 4380 incidents, and do you believe the draft bill we are 4381 4382 discussing today will further reduce incidents in the future? *Mr. Rorick. Sure. So there are a number of provisions 4383 in the bill that would help further accelerate some of the 4384 opportunities to continue to reduce these incidents even 4385

4386 further. For instance, Mr. Schryver mentioned earlier the information sharing the voluntary information sharing 4387 4388 provisions that are in there. We have talked about technology and the opportunities for the permit process to 4389 facilitate use of better and newer technology. So there are 4390 a number of opportunities. 4391 We look at managing these incidents from a perspective 4392 of both technology, but then also behavior, and we are trying 4393 to tackle it on multiple fronts with as broad a perspective 4394 as we can to make sure that we have got the most holistic 4395 4396 approach to the matter. *Mr. Balderson. Thank you. 4397 *Mr. Black. The industry wants to learn from any 4398 pipeline incident to achieve the goal of zero incidents. 4399 if something happens or almost happened, industry will share 4400 information across the industry and try to develop a best 4401 practice or a recommended practice, even. This is faster 4402 4403 than the PHMSA rulemaking process. So we want to share a result of a lesson, a best practice, and get that 4404 implemented. 4405 The Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program, which you all 4406

```
4407
      are going to be saving, I think will further help by AI
      machine learning something new about equipment failures or
4408
4409
      other causes. We will be able to demonstrate that a new
      analytic or technology works, and get that modernized in
4410
      PHMSA regulations. So thank you for including that.
4411
           *Mr. Balderson. All right. Thank you.
4412
           Mr. Black, in your testimony you note that canceling or
4413
      denying a pipeline project is actually worse for the
4414
      environment than allowing a pipeline to go forward.
4415
                                                            Can you
      expand on why that is the case, and the potential
4416
      environmental benefits of building out pipelines?
4417
           *Mr. Black. Well, a pipeline, if it is not built, the
4418
      products that the Americans need for their energy uses are
4419
      going to be moved on other modes. Those other modes cost
4420
      more. They have more incidents. They have more GHG
4421
      emissions. So canceling a pipeline project doesn't stop the
4422
      fuel from being used, it just moves on more expensive, less
4423
4424
      safe, and more environmentally emitting methods.
           So we believe that it is important for a pipeline
4425
      project that qualifies for its permits to be able to get the
4426
      permit. You have got permitting reforms in your draft that
4427
```

the subcommittee chairman worked on. There is more that 4428 Congress generally should be doing on permitting reform, as 4429 4430 well, to make sure that Americans get those benefits. *Mr. Balderson. Okay, thank you. 4431 Mr. Chairman, I am going to run out of time, probably, 4432 so I yield back my remaining time. Thank you. 4433 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back, and that will 4434 conclude the hearing today. 4435 And one thing about the Energy Subcommittee is we have 4436 long hearings, and I appreciate everyone's perseverance 4437 4438 there. I would like to thank all the witnesses for being here today. 4439 Members may have additional written questions for you 4440 guys. I will remind members they have 10 days to submit 4441 additional questions for the record. I ask witnesses to do 4442 their best to submit responses within 10 business days upon 4443 receipt of the questions. 4444 4445 I ask unanimous consent to insert in the record any documents included on the staff hearing documents list. 4446 Without objection, that will be the order. 4447 [The information follows:] 4448

4449		
4450	********COMMITTEE	INSERT*******
4451		

```
*Mr. Duncan. And thanks, guys. I look forward to

working with everyone on the committee as we move this draft

forward, and hopefully get to a markup.

With that I yield back.

[Whereupon, at 1:45 p.m., the subcommittee was

adjourned.]
```