```
1
     Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
 2
     RPTS GONZALEZ
 3
    HIF298030
 4
 5
 6
     MARKUP OF 17 BILLS TO SECURE RELIABLE,
     CLEAN, AMERICAN ENERGY
 7
     WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2023
 8
 9
     House of Representatives,
10
     Subcommittee on Energy, Climate, and Grid Safety
11
     Committee on Energy and Commerce,
12
     Washington, D.C.
13
14
          The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:02 a.m.,
     in Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Jeff Duncan
15
     [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
16
17
                    Representatives Duncan, Burgess, Latta,
18
          Present:
19
     Guthrie, Griffith, Johnson, Bucshon, Walberg, Palmer, Curtis,
20
     Lesko, Pence, Armstrong, Weber, Balderson, Pfluger, Rodgers
21
     (ex officio); DeGette, Peters, Fletcher, Matsui, Tonko,
```

- 22 Veasey, Kuster, Schrier, Castor, Sarbanes, Cardenas, Blunt
- 23 Rochester, and Pallone (ex officio).

```
25
          Staff present: Kate Arey, Digital Director; Jolie
    Brochin, Clerk; Sarah Burke, Deputy Staff Director; David
26
27
    Burns, Professional Staff Member; Marjorie Connell, Director
28
    of Archives, Lauren Kennedey, Clerk; Sydney Greene, Director
    of Operations; Rebecca Hagigh, Executive Assistant; Jessica
29
30
    Herron, Clerk; Nate Hodson, Staff Director; Tara Hupman,
    Chief Counsel; Noah Jackson, Clerk; Sean Kelly, Press
31
    Secretary; Alex Khlopin, Staff Assistant; Peter Kielty,
32
33
    General Counsel; Emily King, Member Services Director; Elise
34
    Krekorian, Professional Staff Member; Mary Martin, Chief
35
    Counsel; Brandon Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel; Kaitlyn
36
    Peterson, Clerk; Karli Plucker, Director of Operations
     (shared staff); Emma Schultheis, Staff Assistant; Olivia
37
38
    Shields, Communications Director; Peter Spencer, Senior
    Professional Staff Member; Dray Thorne, Director of
39
40
    Information Technology; Keegan Cardman, Minority Staff
    Assistant; Waverly Gordon, Minority Deputy Staff Director and
41
    General Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Staff Director;
42
43
    Perry Hamilton, Minority Member Services and Outreach
44
    Manager; Kris Pittard, Minority Professional Staff Member;
45
    Emma Roehrig, Minority Staff Assistant; Kylea Rogers,
```

Minority Policy Analyst; Andrew Souvall, Minority Director of
Communications, Outreach and Members Services; Medha
Surampudy, Minority Professional Staff Member; and Tuley
Wright, Minority Staff Director.

```
51
         *Mr. Duncan. The Subcommittee on Energy will come to
52
    order. And we are back on the markup, so we will jump right
53
    in.
54
         The chair will call up Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act
55
    discussion draft and ask the Clerk to report.
56
         *The Clerk. A bill to amend the Energy Policy and
57
    Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from
    prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard
58
59
          *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
60
    dispensed with. The discussion draft will be open for
61
    amendment at any point. So ordered.
          [The bill follows:]
62
63
    ********************************
64
65
```

- 66 *Mr. Duncan. Are there bipartisan amendments to the 67 bill? 68 The chair will now recognize the gentlelady from 69 Arizona who seeks recognition. 70 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Under the Biden 71 administration, Department of Energy is unleashing an avalanche of new regulations for household products including 72 73 stoves, dishwashers, washing machines, showers, toilets, 74 water heaters, air conditioners, heat pumps, and furnaces. 75 DOE's ban on gas stove is just the tip of the iceberg. House passed my Save Our Gas Stoves Bill and rejected DOE's 76 77 ban on gas stoves with an overwhelming bipartisan vote on the 78 House floor and now Congress must act to protect the rest of 79 our appliances and home products. The Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act will stop DOE from 80 81 attempting to ban more appliances in our home that use 82 natural gas such as furnaces, water heaters, and dryers. Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act will also prohibit DOE from 83 84 mandates that raise costs for consumers and that are not
- Before a newer amended energy conservation standard for

technologically feasible or don't work well.

85

87 a covered product, the Secretary under this bill must conduct 88 an economic impact analysis that would look into the newer 89 amended standards impact on cost to low-income households, 90 impacts on consumer cost depending on region, effects on employment and the life cycle cost of the product, such as 91 92 installation and maintenance cost. The Secretary must 93 determine if the standard is economically justified. 94 The Secretary of Department of Energy must determine 95 that the standard would not likely it is not likely to 96 result in additional net cost to the consumer, including any 97 increase in net costs associated with the purchase, 98 installation, maintenance, disposal, and replacement of the 99 covered product, and the monetary value of the energy savings 100 the consumer will receive during the first three years will be greater than any increased cost to the consumer. 101 102 The Secretary may not determine that imposition of an 103 energy conservation standard is economically justified unless the Secretary determines the standard will result in a 104 105 reduction of at least .3 quads of site energy over 30 years, 106 at least a 10 percent reduction in energy or water use of the 107 covered product.

108 The Secretary must also determine that the conservation standard will not affect the compatibility of the covered 109 product with existing systems, meaning I was told in my 110 111 district, Sun City West senior citizens, some of these new 112 regulations on furnaces, people will have to do whole new 113 remodels in their home and it would cost them a fortune. The duty cycle, charging time, and run time of the covered 114 product, because we have all heard how dishwashers now take 115 116 three-and-a-half, four hours to do a cycle. The operating 117 conditions, maintenance requirements, and disposal 118 requirements. 119 Under the bill, the Secretary cannot approve a standard that discriminates based upon the type of fuel being used. 120 121 It also the standards must prioritize the interest of 122 consumers. May not consider estimates of social cost or 123 social benefits. 124 And with that, I encourage my colleagues to stand on the side of choice for consumers, commonsense, reliable 125 126 appliances, reliable dishwashers, showers, toilets, et 127 cetera, and I ask for your support. 128 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Before we go

- 129 to recognize other members, we have a birthday boy in the
- 130 room. Nate Hodson, it is your 29th birthday? So let's all
- 131 wish him a happy birthday.
- [Applause.]
- 133 *The Chair. Mr. Chairman, unanimous consent to address
- 134 the committee?
- 135 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized.
- *The Chair. I just want to join in wishing Nate Hodson
- 137 a very happy 40th birthday. Big day. He worked for me when
- 138 he turned 30 also. The years fly by when you are having fun.
- 139 But really appreciate his leadership on the committee as
- 140 staff director and just helping us accomplish all our goals.
- 141 And as I always like to remind people, I will remind Nate
- 142 today, the best is yet to come.
- 143 Thank you, and I yield back.
- 144 *Mr. Weber. Will the gentlelady yield?
- 145 *The Chair. Yes.
- 146 *Mr. Weber. Madam Chair, do you normally hire people
- 147 older than you are?
- 148 *The Chair. Oh, you are kind.
- 149 *Mr. Weber. I am just I yield back.

150 *The Chair. I wish. Thank you. You are kind. 151 I vield back. 152 [Laughter.] 153 *Mr. Duncan. You know, the class clown, Randy. Okay. 154 So happy birthday, Nate. 155 Any other members seeking recognition on the bill? 156 If not, I will open for amendments. And, Ms. Castor, is 157 recognized. 158 *Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. 159 morning, colleagues. My neighbors back 160 *Mr. Duncan. Do you have an amendment at the desk? 161 *Ms. Castor. Oh, I do have an amendment at the desk, excuse me, it is Castor 02, I believe. 162 163 *Mr. Duncan. The Clerk will report the amendment. *The Clerk. Could the gentlelady specify which 164 165 amendment? 166 *Ms. Castor. SCMN 02. *The Clerk. Add at the end of the following: Section 4, 167 168 Report, not later than 100 days after the date of the 169 enactment of this act, the Secretary of Energy shall publish a report on the effects of revoking existing 170

171	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the
172	amendment is dispensed with, and the gentlelady is recognized
173	to explain her amendment.
174	[The amendment of Ms. Castor follows:]
175	
176	**************************************
177	

178 *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 179 Colleagues, my neighbors back home are a lot like yours. They like energy-efficient appliance, they like lower energy 180 181 bills, they like to use less energy, they like an upgrade in 182 their appliances. And energy efficiency at scale is the 183 easiest way to reduce pollution and the escalating cost of extreme weather caused by overheating. And on the positive 184 185 side when we are talking at scale, energy efficient appliance 186 overall have a positive net impact on our health because we have cleaner air, cleaner water, we enjoy a cleaner, quieter 187 188 existence. 189 So that is why this bill is so out of sync with what our neighbors are asking for back home. In fact, I almost 190 191 submitted an amendment to change the name of this bill to the pickpocket act because what the GOP bill in essence is doing, 192 193 you are reaching into the wallets and pocketbooks of our 194 families back home and taking money out of their kitchen table budgets. But this amendment proposes to kind of fix 195 196 it, but I don't think in the end it we can really salvage 197 this pickpocket act. This amendment would shine the light on what you are 198

199 doing to raise costs, what you are doing to hurt employment, what you are doing to on energy demand, what you are doing 200 201 to increase greenhouse gas pollution. I know that the 202 industries that enjoy raking in profits love these attempts 203 to gut energy efficiency bills, energy efficiency programs 204 like this one. And I heard my good friend from Arizona lay 205 out some of the reasons it is needed, but it is entirely 206 unnecessary. 207 When the Department of Energy proceeds to upgrade energy efficiency standards, this is a very extensive process where 208 209 they hear from stakeholders everywhere, appliance makers, 210 consumers, manufacturers, and they take all of that into account to determine new energy efficiency standards for 211 212 appliances. In particular, the agency asks stakeholders to can they reasonably meet the standards. Are they 213 214 economically justifiable? 215 So the GOP pickpocket act would also create a new petition process that would enable future administrations to 216 217 swiftly revoke energy efficiency standards without any public 218 input. So in essence, you are pulling the rug out from under 219 consumers. That is not fair and that is not right.

220 Ultimately, the GOP pickpocket bill would impede DOE's ability to adopt rules at making appliances more energy 221 222 efficient and in doing so they are going to drive up energy 223 bills for families, especially at a time when prices of gas-224 powered electricity has skyrocketed. We heard testimony 225 during the committee hearing from Mr. Andrew yeah, Andrew 226 deLaski. He said in his testimony, "The so-called EPCA 227 reform bill is a wolf in sheep's clothing. While some 228 language in this bill may seem reasonable at first glance, it 229 would gut the national efficiency standards. If this bill 230 had been the law since the program's inception in the 1970s, 231 consumers would not likely have seen any of the benefits they have received from DOE efficiency standards.'' 232 233 So while the DOE and the Biden administration are focused on reducing cost for consumers, reducing pollution, 234 235 it appears that Republicans are more interested in protecting 236 industry laggards that produce old, outdated, and dirty appliances. So I urge my colleagues to support this 237 238 amendment so that families back home can better understand 239 the true cost of the GOP pickpocket bill, what you are doing to try to revoke energy efficiency standards. But in the 240

- 241 end, I hope you will vote no on this GOP pickpocket bill and
- 242 their attempts to pass along higher energy costs to our
- 243 neighbors back home.
- 244 I yield back. Thank you.
- 245 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Is there any
- 246 further discussion?
- The gentlelady from Arizona, what purpose do you seek
- 248 recognition?
- 249 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. I move to strike the last
- 250 word.
- 251 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized to strike the
- 252 last work for five minutes.
- 253 *Mrs. Lesko. Thank you. I would like to strike the
- 254 last word to speak in opposition to this amendment. Contrary
- 255 to what my friend Democratic friend said, this actually
- 256 would save consumers money because it could revoke erroneous
- 257 energy efficiency standards that weren't saving consumers
- 258 money. In fact, some of these energy efficiency standards
- 259 that are being proposed by the Department of Energy actually
- 260 cost much more than the energy savings that are saved, and
- 261 that is the purpose, that is the entire purpose of my bill.

262 And, in fact, the underlying bill already requires the 263 Department of Energy to consider the impacts of energy efficiency standards. On Page 3 of the underlying bill, 264 265 there is a lookback provision which requires the Secretary of 266 Energy to examine several criteria before amending or 267 revoking an energy efficiency standard. The criteria on Page 268 3 of the bill includes the cost to consumers, the level of 269 energy savings, the technological feasibility, and the 270 commercial availability of the products. 271 So we have to look at this as a whole. Everybody wants 272 energy efficiency, but we don't want it at the expense of 273 costing so much more to the consumer or not having a viable product available that is actually usable. And so we need 274 275 common sense, and that is why I support my underlying bill, and I oppose this amendment, and I yield back. 276 277 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Any other 278 member seeking to speak on the amendment? Seeing none, a vote will now occur on the amendment. 279 280 All those in favor, say aye. 281 Those opposed, no. And the noes have it. 282

```
A roll call vote has been requested, so the Clerk will
283
284
      call the roll.
285
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
286
          Mr. Burgess. No.
287
          *The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
288
          Latta?
289
          *Mr. Latta. No.
290
          *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
291
          Guthrie?
292
          *Mr. Guthrie. No.
293
          *The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
294
          Griffith?
          *Mr. Griffith. No.
295
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
296
297
          Johnson?
298
           [No response.]
          *The Clerk. Bucshon?
299
300
          *Mr. Bucshon. No.
301
          *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
302
          Walberg?
303
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
```

```
304
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
305
          Palmer?
306
          [No response.]
307
           *The Clerk. Curtis?
308
          *Mr. Curtis. No.
          *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
309
310
          Lesko?
311
          *Mrs. Lesko. No.
          *The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
312
313
          Pence?
          *Mr. Pence. No.
314
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
315
          Armstrong?
316
317
           *Mr. Armstrong. No.
318
           *The Clerk. Armstrong votes no.
319
          Weber?
320
          *Mr. Weber. No.
321
          *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
322
          Balderson?
          *Mr. Balderson. No.
323
324
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
```

```
325
          Pfluger?
326
           *Mr. Pfluger. No.
327
           *The Clerk. Pfluger votes no.
328
          Duncan?
329
          *Mr. Duncan. No.
330
          *The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
331
          DeGette?
332
           *Ms. DeGette. Aye.
333
           *The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
334
          Peters?
335
          *Mr. Peters. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
336
          Fletcher?
337
338
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
339
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
340
          Matsui?
341
          *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
342
          *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
343
          Tonko?
          *Mr. Tonko. Aye.
344
345
           *The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
```

```
346
          Veasey?
347
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
348
349
          Kuster?
350
          *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
351
          *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
352
          Schrier?
353
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
354
          Castor?
355
356
           *Ms. Castor. Aye.
357
           *The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
358
          Sarbanes?
359
           [No response.]
360
           *The Clerk. Cardenas?
361
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
362
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
363
          Blunt Rochester?
364
          [No response.]
          *The Clerk. Pallone?
365
366
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
```

368 Rodgers? Rodgers? *The Chair. 369 No. 370 *The Clerk. No. Rodgers votes no. *Mr. Johnson. Johnson? 371 *The Clerk. Mr. Johnson is not recorded. 372 373 *Mr. Johnson. Johnson votes no. 374 *The Clerk. Mr. Johnson votes no. 375 *Mr. Duncan. Are they any other members not recorded? 376 The Clerk will report the tally. 377 *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 11 378 ayes and 16 noes. 379 *Mr. Duncan. In the opinion of the chair, the noes have 380 it. The amendment is not agreed to. Are there any other amendments to the bill? 381

*The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.

- 384 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to strike the
- 385 last work.

382

383

367

386 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized for five

Mr. Tonko is recognized.

387 minutes.

Seeing none, is there further discussion on the bill?

388 *Mr. Tonko. Okay. Happy birthday, Nate. And I take a few minutes to talk about this bill. 389 390 Hands Off Our Home Appliance Act is being framed as a reform 391 to the Energy Conservation Standards Program and the bill 392 includes some language that may seem reasonable at first 393 glance, but as has been indicated, this is a wolf in sheep's 394 clothing. This bill would gut the National Efficiency 395 Standards Program. 396 This if this bill becomes law, a new standard could 397 not increase upfront product cost, have any effect on product 398 characteristics such as cycle or charging time, or make it 399 harder for any single manufacturer to compete regardless of the utility bill savings and other benefits of the standard. 400 401 These are ridiculous and unrealistic standards to meet. And to make it worse, any existing standard could be revoked on 402 403 any of these grounds. 404 The bill also would set unreasonably high minimum savings and low maximum payback period thresholds. 405 406 majority is trying to frame this as a reform but it is really 407 a gutting of a successful and very popular program. efficiency standards are popular with the American public. 408

409 Three out of five American support stricter efficiency standards for buildings and appliances. And it makes sense. 410 These standards help save Americans money and they help lower 411 412 emissions. 413 But rather than working to protect this program, the 414 majority is once again attacking energy efficiency. This bill is a thinly-veiled attempt to jam up energy efficiency 415 The Department of Energy already has a robust 416 standards. 417 process by which to issue efficiency standards, and this process requires standards to result in significant savings, 418 419 be technologically feasible, and economically justifiable. 420 By adding cumbersome additional requirements, this bill 421 will only hamper and delay efforts to improve efficiency 422 standards. And, of course, this bill also empowers future administrations to revoke existing standards. This indeed 423 424 will take us backwards. 425 So I urge my colleagues to oppose this bill. We should be working to improve efficiency standards for Americans not 426 427 to chip away at them. 428 And with that, Mr. Chair, I thank you and yield back. 429 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Is there

```
further discussion on the bill?
430
           Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding the
431
432
     Hands Off Our Home Appliances Act to the full committee. All
433
     those in favor, say aye.
434
          Opposed, no.
435
          A recorded vote has been requested. The Clerk will call
436
     the roll.
437
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
438
           *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
439
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
440
          Latta?
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
441
442
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
443
          Guthrie?
           *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
444
           *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
445
446
          Griffith?
447
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
448
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
          Johnson?
449
450
           [No response.]
```

```
*The Clerk. Bucshon?
451
452
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
453
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
454
          Walberg?
455
           *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
456
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
457
          Palmer?
458
           [No response.]
459
           *The Clerk. Curtis?
460
           *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
461
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
462
          Lesko?
463
           *Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
464
           *The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
465
           *Mr. Pence. Aye.
466
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
467
          Armstrong?
468
          *Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
469
          *The Clerk. Armstrong votes aye.
          Weber?
470
471
           *Mr. Weber. Yes.
```

```
472
           *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
473
          Balderson?
          *Mr. Balderson. Aye.
474
475
          *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
476
          Pfluger?
477
          *Mr. Pfluger. Aye.
478
          *The Clerk. Pfluger votes aye.
479
          Duncan?
480
          *Mr. Duncan. Aye.
481
          *The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
482
          DeGette?
483
          *Ms. DeGette. No.
484
          *The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
485
          Peters?
486
          *Mr. Peters. No.
487
          *The Clerk. Peters votes no.
488
          Fletcher?
489
          *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
          *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
490
491
          Matsui?
492
          *Ms. Matsui. No.
```

```
*The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
493
494
           Tonko?
           *Mr. Tonko. No.
495
496
           *The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
497
           Veasey?
498
           *Mr. Veasey. No.
499
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
500
           Kuster?
501
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
502
503
           Schrier?
504
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Castor?
505
           *Ms. Castor. No.
506
           *The Clerk. Castor votes no.
507
508
           Sarbanes?
509
           [No response.]
510
           *The Clerk. Cardenas?
511
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
512
           Blunt Rochester?
513
```

```
514
           [No response.]
515
           *The Clerk. Pallone?
           *Mr. Pallone. No.
516
517
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
518
          Rodgers?
519
           *The Chair. Yes.
520
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
521
           *Mr. Duncan. Are there any members unrecorded?
522
           *Mr. Johnson. How is Mr. Johnson recorded?
           *The Clerk. Mr. Johnson is not recorded.
523
524
          *Mr. Johnson. Yes.
525
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
           *Mr. Duncan. How is Ms. Schrier recorded?
526
527
           *The Clerk. Ms. Schrier is not recorded.
528
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
529
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes note.
530
           *Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
          Seeing none, the Clerk will report the tally.
531
532
           *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 16
533
     ayes and 11 noes.
534
           *Mr. Duncan.
                        The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
```

535	to.
536	The chair will now call up the GRID Act discussion
537	draft. I ask the Clerk to report.
538	*The Clerk. A bill to require coordination among
539	federal agencies on regulatory actions that affect the
540	reliable operation of bulk power system _
541	*Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
542	dispensed with. The discussion draft will be open for
543	amendment at any time. So ordered.
544	[The bill follows:]
545	
546	**************************************
547	

548 *Mr. Duncan. Any bipartisan amendments to the bill? If not, I will recognize myself to explain the bill. 549 550 This bill would amend the Federal Power Act to require 551 coordination between FERC and any federal agency promulgating 552 a regulation that can threaten the reliability of the bulk 553 power system. Our priority on the Energy and Commerce 554 Committee is to enact policy that ensures the delivery of 555 affordable and reliable energy to all Americans. 556 heard from many voices in recent hearings: FERC, NERC, and 557 the grid operators about the reliability crisis our grid is 558 facing. 559 Our grid braces for growing demand, much of it from policies forcing electrification, and it is imperative that 560 561 we maintain efficient, affordable, reliable generation. Years of policies favoring certain types of resources are 562 563 jeopardizing the survival of dispatchable generation and the 564 ability of the grid to remain reliable. Now we have regulations coming out of agencies like the EPA that seek to 565 566 impose political agendas without the consideration for how 567 the grid works. In our hearing at the end of September, the grid 568

569 operators themselves stated that these regulations will dramatically hinder their ability to keep the lights on. 570 Even worse, the grid operators told us that EPA did not 571 572 consult them or ask how regulations would impact their 573 These predicted blackouts are not a result of 574 severe weather or lack of transmission capacity but because of forced retirement of reliable, dispatchable generation 575 576 units. 577 The rush to green policies will make our grid less reliable and more expensive, increasing the cost for everyone 578 579 that is already struggling to make ends meet because of 580 President Biden's failed economic policies. FERC's mission is in part to ensure reliable, safe, secure, and economically 581 582 efficient energy services at a reasonable cost. The GRID Act would help protect affordable and reliable electricity for 583 584 Americans by requiring that agencies like the EPA coordinate 585 with FERC before they propose policies that will undercut 586 grid reliability. 587 We must ensure the safety and reliability of the 588 electric grid in order to maintain our energy leadership and our way of life. I look forward to working with all of you 589

to ensure the delivery of secure, affordable, and reliable 590 energy, and with that I yield back. 591 592 Are there any other discussion on the bill? 593 Any amendments to be offered? 594 Ms. Castor, do you want to be recognized for this on 595 the bill? Okay, the gentlelady from Florida is recognized. 596 *Ms. Castor. Thank you, Chairman Duncan. I move to 597 strike the last word. 598 Members, unfortunately many of the bills being 599 considered during this markup do nothing to lower costs for 600 consumers, they really do nothing to enhance reliability, the 601 power system, they don't do anything to reduce pollution, and all of those things should be our aim and we should be 602 603 working on that in a bipartisan way. I heard the Chairman's explanation, and this bill goes well beyond encouraging 604 605 coordination between states and federal agencies. Instead, 606 it really is an aberrant top-down effort that would allow 607 FERC to veto any rule or action by any other federal agency. 608 That is completely out of the mainstream. 609 fundamentally absurd. No other agency has that kind of power to veto another agency's rule. And this bill takes away 610

611 power from any cabinet agency, invests it with an independent agency that is not democratically accountable to voters. 612 613 not only that, the bill allows any state utility service 614 commission, public service commission to petition FERC to use 615 this power, creating a massive drain on the Commission's 616 resources and further politicizing state public service 617 commissions as they race to the bottom to challenge rules whenever the opposite party holds the White House. So be 618 619 careful because, you know, as we know, majorities change, 620 administrations change. 621 And all of this is coming at a time when the FERC 622 commissioners themselves said at our hearing a few months ago 623 that it lacks the resources to properly evaluate rules from 624 agencies. So therein lies what the real solution is, and I worry that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle don't 625 626 actually care about the reliability impacts of agency rules, 627 and this appears to be just another avenue to raise costs on consumers, to undermine energy efficiency, to allow 628 629 unfettered carbon pollution. 630 I know that many Republican lawmakers have been very active in writing letters and have even gone to the Supreme 631

632 Court to stop EPA and DOE rules that save consumers money and lower emissions. I think this appears to be another step 633 634 in that campaign. 635 And the last under the last bill I cited some of the 636 testimony we heard, and I am going to read again from Mr. 637 deLaski's testimony to the committee a couple months ago or 638 last month. "The GRID Act would grant extraordinary and 639 unheard of power to the FERC and to some degree to each state 640 regulatory commission to override federal regulatory 641 decisions made by DOE, EPA, Interior, Commerce, DOD, and 642 every other federal agency. This would not only add delay to 643 agency rulemaking, it would make FERC the final decision 644 maker on a range of topics that are outside of its 645 expertise.'' So this is not a serious bill. It is not up to the 646 647 standard that we have in the Energy and Commerce Committee to 648 ensure the reliability of our power system overall, so I will 649 I encourage you all to take this one back to the drawing 650 board. And I have a little bit of time, I will yield to my friend from California. 651 652 *Mr. Cardenas. I just want to say, as the bill reads,

- it shouldn't be called the Guaranteeing Reliability
- 654 Infrastructure Development Act, it really should be Federal
- 655 Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC, is now king of the land
- and will override all local decisions, will be able to have
- 657 the last word, and will be basically king on all issues when
- 658 it comes to the environment, when it comes to energy, when it
- 659 comes to any decisions. It is definitely not going to give
- 660 better reliability in any way to any systems.
- I yield back to the gentlewoman.
- *Ms. Castor. And I yield back. Thank you.
- *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Further
- 664 discussion? Are there any amendments to be offered on the
- 665 bill?
- Ms. DeGette is recognized for five minutes.
- *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have an
- amendment at the desk labeled MN 02.
- *Mr. Duncan. The Clerk will report the amendment.
- *The Clerk. Amendment to the GRID Act offered by Ms.
- 671 DeGette. Page 2, Line 3, strike Section 215 and insert the
- 672 following
- *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, further reading of the

674	amendment is dispensed with and the gentlelady from Colorado
675	is recognized to explain her amendment.
676	[The amendment of Ms. DeGette follows:]
677	
678	**************************************
679	

```
680
           *Ms. DeGette. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. When our
     subcommittee had a legislative hearing on the GRID Act last
681
     month, we heard testimony from FERC's Director of Office of
682
683
     Electric Reliability, and the Director was clear, FERC does
684
     not have the capability to review other agency's roles for
685
     their impact on the bulk power sector. In fact, he was so
686
     clear that the headline in E&E News the next morning read,
687
     "FERC Official Rejects Republican Bill Targeting Biden
688
     Rules.''
689
          And, Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask unanimous consent
     to insert the article into the record.
690
691
           *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, so ordered.
           [The information follows:]
692
693
694
     ******************************
695
```

696 *Ms. DeGette. The problem isn't just a simple staff capacity issue, it is one of access to information and data. 697 698 The Director testified that the implementation of the GRID 699 Act would require, "detailed interconnection-wide modeling 700 analysis beyond FERC's capabilities. FERC may not have the 701 underlying data or authority to obtain such data necessary to 702 conduct a meaningful assessment of the proposed action's impact on the GRID.'' 703 704 And so I have a lot of concerns with this bill, but the 705 first one and the most important one is it is simply 706 infeasible. FERC has no ability to implement this law with 707 its current capabilities which would result in a massive 708 amount of uncertainty as proposed agency actions pile up in 709 sort of a regulatory limbo. So this amendment just simply 710 fixes this problem. 711 It would add language to the bill stating that the bill 712 could not go into effect unless FERC certifies that it has the capability to evaluate all proposed agency actions. 713 714 would ensure that an understaffed and under-resourced agency 715 isn't being asked to do the impossible not within its powers and can actually evaluate the rules and regulations that the 716

717 bill wants it to do. I think this is a simple, commonsense, 718 and good governance amendment. I am sure every member on 719 both sides of the aisle will support it. 720 And on that basis, I yield back. 721 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Any other 722 member seeking recognition to speak on the amendment? 723 If not, I will recognize myself. I move to strike the 724 last word speaking in opposition to the amendment, and I 725 recognize myself for five minutes. 726 The GRID Act is critical to ensuring the that the 727 reliability of the electric system is not further undermined by environmental policy agendas that have no understanding of 728 729 how the grid operates. There is no time to sit and wait for 730 a stall tactic like this amendment. 731 We have had testimony from the grid operators with FERC, 732 the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, on the 733 dire impacts to reliability caused in part by EPA's EGU strategy to limit energy production from dispatchable 734 735 resources like coal and natural gas. As these EPA rules take

potential for blackouts across the country grows. NERC is

more and more of the 24/7, 365 generation offline, the

736

737

738 already certified by FERC as the electric reliability organization or the expert, the expert on grid reliability. 739 The GRID Act provides for FERC consulting with NERC on 740 741 any federal agency actions that will impact the grid. To the extent that FERC needs to needs advice from its grid 742 743 reliability expert, that is available under this legislation. 744 The certification requirement in this amendment is 745 unnecessary and merely seeks to delay the implementation of 746 this legislation. 747 NERC regularly performs reliability assessments and can 748 perform with FERC the functions required under this 749 legislation. For the safety and welfare of Americans, to 750 keep the grid online, to keep the blackouts from happening, we must address federal rules like those coming out of EPA 751 752 that harm our electric grid, and we must do so immediately. 753 So I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment. And with that, I yield back. 754 Any other member seeking to speak on the amendment? 755 756 Seeing none, the question now is adoption of the 757 amendment. All those in favor, say aye. 758 Those opposed, no.

```
759
          And a recorded vote has been requested, so the Clerk
760
     will call the roll.
761
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
762
          Mr. Burgess. No.
763
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes no.
764
          Latta?
765
          [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Guthrie?
766
767
          *Mr. Guthrie. No.
          *The Clerk. Guthrie votes no.
768
769
          Griffith?
          *Mr. Griffith. No.
770
           *The Clerk. Griffith votes no.
771
772
          Johnson?
773
           *Mr. Johnson. No.
774
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes no.
775
          Bucshon?
776
          *Mr. Bucshon.
                          No.
777
          *The Clerk. Bucshon votes no.
778
          Walberg?
779
           *Mr. Walberg. No.
```

```
780
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes no.
781
          Palmer?
782
           [No response.]
783
           *The Clerk. Curtis?
784
          *Mr. Curtis. No.
          *The Clerk. Curtis votes no.
785
786
          Lesko?
787
          *Mrs. Lesko. No.
          *The Clerk. Lesko votes no.
788
789
          Pence?
790
          *Mr. Pence. No.
791
           *The Clerk. Pence votes no.
792
          Armstrong?
793
           *Mr. Armstrong. No.
794
           *The Clerk. Armstrong votes no.
795
          Weber?
796
           *Mr. Weber. No, ma'am.
797
           *The Clerk. Weber votes no.
798
          Balderson?
799
          *Mr. Balderson. No.
800
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes no.
```

```
801
           Pfluger?
802
           (No response.]
           *The Clerk. Duncan?
803
804
           *Mr. Duncan. No.
           *The Clerk. Duncan votes no.
805
806
           DeGette?
807
           *Ms. DeGette. Aye.
808
           *The Clerk. DeGette votes aye.
809
           Peters?
810
           *Mr. Peters. Yes, ma'am.
           *The Clerk. Peters votes aye.
811
812
           Fletcher?
813
           *Mrs. Fletcher. Aye.
814
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes aye.
815
           Matsui?
816
           *Ms. Matsui. Aye.
817
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes aye.
818
           Tonko?
819
           *Mr. Tonko. Aye.
820
           *The Clerk. Tonko votes aye.
821
           Veasey?
```

```
822
           *Mr. Veasey. Aye.
823
           *The Clerk. Veasey votes aye.
824
           Kuster?
825
           *Ms. Kuster. Aye.
826
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes aye.
           Schrier?
827
828
           *Ms. Schrier. Aye.
829
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes aye.
830
           Castor?
831
           *Ms. Castor. Aye.
           *The Clerk. Castor votes aye.
832
833
           Sarbanes?
834
           [No response.]
835
           *The Clerk. Cardenas?
836
           *Mr. Cardenas. Aye.
837
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes aye.
838
           Blunt Rochester?
839
           [No response.]
840
           *The Clerk. Pallone?
841
           *Mr. Pallone. Aye.
842
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes aye.
```

```
843
          Rodgers?
844
           *The Chair.
                       No.
845
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes no.
846
           *Mr. Duncan. Any member not recorded?
          *Mr. Latta. How is Latta recorded?
847
848
          *The Clerk. Latta is not recorded.
849
          *Mr. Latta. No.
850
          *The Clerk. Latta votes no.
851
           *Mr. Duncan. Any other member not recorded?
852
          The Clerk will report the tally.
853
           *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 11
854
     ayes and 15 noes.
855
           *Mr. Duncan. The noes have it, the amendment is not
856
     adopted.
857
          Any further discussion on the bill?
           Seeing none, the pending question is adoption of the
858
859
     bill, and a recorded vote has been requested, so we will skip
860
     the roll the voice vote and ask the Clerk to call the roll.
           *The Clerk. Burgess?
861
862
           *Mr. Burgess.
                         Aye.
           *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
863
```

```
864
          Latta?
865
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
866
           *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
867
          Guthrie?
868
          *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
869
          *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
870
          Griffith?
871
           *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
          *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
872
873
          Johnson?
874
          *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
875
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
876
          Bucshon?
877
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
878
           *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
879
          Walberg?
880
           *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
881
           *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
882
          Palmer?
883
          [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Curtis?
884
```

```
885
           *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
886
           *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
887
          Lesko?
888
           *Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
889
           *The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
890
          Pence?
891
          *Mr. Pence. Aye.
892
           *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
893
          Armstrong?
894
           *Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
           *The Clerk. Armstrong votes aye.
895
896
          Weber?
897
          *Mr. Weber. Yes.
898
           *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
899
          Balderson?
900
           *Mr. Balderson. Yes.
901
           *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
902
          Pfluger?
903
           [No response.]
          *The Clerk. Duncan?
904
905
           *Mr. Duncan. Aye.
```

```
906
           *The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
907
          DeGette?
          *Ms. DeGette. No.
908
909
          *The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
910
          Peters?
911
          *Mr. Peters. No.
912
          *The Clerk. Peters votes no.
913
          Fletcher?
914
          *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
          *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
915
916
          Matsui?
          *Ms. Matsui. No.
917
918
          *The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
919
          Tonko?
920
          *Mr. Tonko. No.
921
          *The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
922
          Veasey?
923
          *Mr. Veasey. No.
924
          *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
925
          Kuster?
926
          *Ms. Kuster. No.
```

```
927
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
928
          Schrier?
          *Ms. Schrier. No.
929
          *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
930
931
          Castor?
932
          *Ms. Castor. No.
          *The Clerk. Castor votes no.
933
934
          Sarbanes?
935
          [No response.]
          *The Clerk. Cardenas?
936
937
          *Mr. Cardenas. No.
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
938
          Blunt Rochester?
939
940
           *Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
941
           *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes no.
942
          Pallone?
943
          *Mr. Pallone. No.
944
           *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
945
          Rodgers?
946
           *The Chair. Aye.
947
           *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
```

```
948
           *Mr. Duncan. Are there any members unrecorded?
949
          Seeing none, the Clerk will report the tally.
           *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 15
950
951
     ayes and 12 noes.
952
           *Mr. Duncan. The ayes have it, and the bill is agreed
     to. It will be forwarded to full committee.
953
954
          The chair will now call up the Affordable Homes Act
955
     discussion draft, and I ask the Clerk to report.
956
           *The Clerk. A bill to probit the Secretary of Energy
957
     from enforcing energy efficiency standards applicable to
     manufactured housing and for other purposes.
958
959
           *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
960
     dispensed with and the discussion draft will be open for
961
     amendment at any point. So ordered.
962
           [The bill follows:]
963
      *********COMMITTEE INSERT******
964
965
```

966 *Mr. Duncan. Are there any bipartisan amendments to the 967 bill? 968 All right, the gentleman from Indiana seeks recognition 969 to speak on the bill. 970 *Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for the 971 recognition. The Affordable Housing Over Mandated Efficiency 972 Standards, or HOMES Act, will end the regulatory conflict between the Department of Energy and the Department of 973 974 Housing and Urban Development regarding energy efficient 975 standards for manufactured housing. These homes provide low-976 cost housing to over 22 million Americans and account for 977 approximately 11 percent of the new single family home 978 starts. 979 Manufactured housing is critically important throughout Rural America and specifically in my district in Southwest 980 981 and West Central Indiana where we have seen a housing 982 affordability gap and severe housing costs burdens leading to a shortage of housing. Specifically, this legislation would 983 984 repeal Section 413 of the Energy Independence and Securities 985 Act of 2007 and ensure that the Department of Energy final rule on energy conservation standards for manufactured 986

987 housing will have no force or effect. There is existing an existing regulatory framework at 988 989 HUD that addresses both construction and installation as well 990 as testing compliance and enforcement standards in a way that 991 balances the goals of safety, efficiency, and cost to the 992 millions of American households seeking to access the 993 affordable housing option that manufactured homes represent. 994 Currently, more than 30 percent of new manufactured homes are 995 Energy Star certified and even more are built to meet or 996 exceed those standards. Additionally, HUD is currently in 997 the rule making process to substantially increase energy 998 standards within the manufactured housing construction code. 999 HUD is the correct agency to oversee efficiency 1000 regulation on manufactured homes, not DOE. I urge my 1001 colleagues to support the Affordable HOMES Act. I yield back 1002 the balance of my time. 1003 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Any other 1004 member seeking recognition? 1005 Mr. Tonko is recognized. 1006 *Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have serious concerns about both the process and substance of this bill. 1007

1008 For starters, not only was this bill not included in any 1009 legislative hearing, but as far as I recall, we did not receive any testimony on this subject. And to make matters 1010 1011 worse, it was not even introduced prior to this markup being The first time most of us could have seen this 1012 noticed. 1013 proposal was just a few days ago. 1014 So if we are serious about being legislators and serious 1015 about a commitment to regular order, we must admit that this process has been flawed and it is preventing us from fully 1016 understanding this bill's impacts without testimony from the 1017 1018 Department of Energy and other expert stakeholders. 1019 had the proper time to review this legislation, we could have learned about the history of DOE's energy conservation 1020 1021 standards for manufactured housing and the impacts they have 1022 on manufactured housing prices and corresponding energy 1023 bills. 1024 We also could have heard about the legislative history 1025 of Section 413 of the bipartisan Energy Independence and 1026 Security Act of 2007 which required DOE to promulgate these standards by 2011. DOE did not publish these standards until 1027 it was legally compelled to do so in 2022, so these standards 1028

1029 were a long-time coming and the result of a thorough public 1030 process. We also could have learned about the significant benefits of this rule, which is estimated to save consumers 1031 1032 over five billion dollars. 1033 Manufactured housing is often used by low-income 1034 Americans, and I appreciate that upfront affordability is a very important consideration, but we should consider the cost 1035 1036 imposed on the most vulnerable Americans in their totality. 1037 DOE and other efficiency advocates have determined that 1038 modest upfront price increases are dwarfed when compared to 1039 the tremendous savings that can be associated with energy 1040 efficiency improvements. Because these homes are often built 1041 with substandard insulation, windows, and heating equipment, energy costs are about 70 percent more per square foot in 1042 1043 these homes than in comparable site-built homes. 1044 So, Mr. Chair, I strongly believe this subcommittee 1045 should focus on how we can support low-income American's ability to access affordable housing and appliances without 1046 1047 denying them the benefits of commonsense energy efficiency 1048 improvements. According to the Energy Information Administration, in 2020 more than one-quarter of U.S. 1049

1050 households struggled to pay energy bills or kept their homes 1051 at unsafe temperatures due to concerns about paying bills. About one in five households reported foregoing food, 1052 1053 medicine, and other essentials in order to pay energy bills. 1054 Energy and security is a real, longstanding problem in 1055 our country, and I do believe it is worth a more serious and 1056 thorough investigation by this committee than to airdrop this 1057 bill into this markup without advanced notice. So I urge 1058 members to oppose this bill to undo an efficiency rule that 1059 has been expected for more than a decade and will deliver 1060 billions of dollars of savings to low-income Americans. 1061 With that, I yield my remaining time to my colleague, 1062 Representative DeGette. 1063 Thank you so much, Mr. Tonko. *Ms. DeGette. 1064 ranking member of the Energy Subcommittee, I feel compelled 1065 to channel my inner John Dingell and raise the red flag that 1066 this bill gives up the committee's jurisdiction to legislate on matters related to energy efficiency. I think DOE has the 1067 1068 expertise and role in issuing efficiency standards, and I 1069 think we need to make sure that the Department of Energy, which is full of these experts, is the one in charge of it. 1070

1071 The bill removes DOE's ability to finalize energy 1072 efficiency standards for manufactured homes, and it doesn't just target one final rule, it specifically removes language 1073 1074 from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 that grants DOE authority to issue these standards. And the 1075 1076 reason why that is important is because DOE has the expertise in issuing energy conservation standards and so the authority 1077 1078 should not be shifted to another agency. So for this reason 1079 as well as the reasons articulated by my friend, Mr. Tonko, I 1080 have to oppose this legislation. 1081 I yield back to Mr. Tonko. 1082 *Mr. Tonko. And, Mr. Chair, I yield back the remainder 1083 of my time. 1084 The gentleman yields back. Any other *Mr. Duncan. 1085 member seeking recognition? 1086 I recognize myself for just a second. 1087 It is interesting we talk about expertise, and we just saw a bill where the expertise was frowned upon. I support 1088 1089 this legislation, I think it is the right piece of 1090 legislation for affordable housing in the Nation. Any other member I yield back. 1091

```
1092
            Any other member seeking recognition?
            Seeing none, any amendments to be offered?
1093
            Seeing none, the question now is adoption of the bill.
1094
1095
       All those in favor, say aye.
1096
            Opposed, no.
1097
            In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it.
1098
            A roll call has been requested. The Clerk will call the
1099
       roll.
1100
            *The Clerk. Burgess?
            *Mr. Burgess. Burgess votes aye.
1101
1102
            *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1103
            Latta?
1104
            [No response.]
1105
            *The Clerk. Guthrie?
            [No response.]
1106
            Griffith?
1107
1108
            *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1109
            *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1110
            Johnson?
1111
            *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
1112
```

```
1113
            Bucshon?
1114
            *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
1115
            *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1116
            Walberg?
1117
            *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1118
            *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
1119
            Palmer?
1120
            [No response.]
1121
            *The Clerk. Curtis?
1122
            *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1123
            *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
1124
            Lesko?
1125
            *Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
1126
            *The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1127
            Pence?
1128
            *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1129
            *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
1130
            Armstrong?
1131
            *Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
1132
            *The Clerk. Armstrong votes aye.
1133
            Weber?
```

```
1134
            *Mr. Weber. Yes.
1135
            *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
1136
            Balderson?
1137
            *Mr. Balderson. Yes.
1138
            *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1139
            Pfluger?
1140
            [No response.]
1141
            *The Clerk. Duncan?
1142
            *Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1143
            *The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
1144
            DeGette?
1145
            *Ms. DeGette. No.
            *The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
1146
1147
            Peters?
1148
            *Mr. Peters. No.
            *The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1149
1150
           Fletcher?
1151
           *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
           *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
1152
1153
           Matsui?
1154
            *Ms. Matsui. No.
```

```
1155
            *The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1156
            Tonko?
1157
            *Mr. Tonko.
                        No.
1158
            *The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1159
            Veasey?
1160
            *Mr. Veasey. No.
1161
            *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
1162
            Kuster?
1163
            *Ms. Kuster. No.
            *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1164
            Schrier?
1165
1166
            *Ms. Schrier. No.
            *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
1167
1168
            Castor?
1169
            *Ms. Castor. No.
            *The Clerk. Castor votes no.
1170
1171
            Sarbanes?
1172
            [No response.]
1173
            *The Clerk. Cardenas?
1174
            *Mr. Cardenas. No.
1175
            *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
```

```
1176
            Blunt Rochester?
            *Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
1177
            *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes no.
1178
1179
            Pallone?
            *Mr. Pallone. No.
1180
            *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1181
1182
            Rodgers?
            *The Chair. Aye.
1183
1184
            *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
            *Mr. Duncan. Are there any other members unrecorded?
1185
            Has Mr. Guthrie been recorded?
1186
            *The Clerk. Mr. Guthrie is not recorded.
1187
1188
            *Mr. Guthrie. Aye.
1189
            *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
            *Mr. Latta. Latta?
1190
1191
            *The Clerk. Latta is not recorded.
            *Mr. Latta. Aye.
1192
1193
            *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1194
            *Mr. Duncan. Any other members unrecorded?
1195
            Seeing none, the Clerk will report the tally.
            *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote, there were 15
1196
```

```
1197
      ayes and 12 noes.
1198
            *Mr. Duncan. And the bill is agreed to and will be
      forwarded to the full committee.
1199
1200
            The Clerk will now call the chair will now call up
1201
      H.R. 4045, and I ask the Clerk to report.
            *The Clerk. H.R. 4045. A bill to modernize the
1202
1203
      hydropower licensing process and to promote next generation
1204
      hydropower
1205
            *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is
1206
      dispensed with. The bill will be open for amendment at any
      point. So ordered.
1207
1208
            [The bill follows:]
1209
      **********************************
1210
1211
```

1212 *Mr. Duncan. Any bipartisan amendments to the bill? If not, the chair will recognize 1213 *Ms. Kuster. Mr. Chair? 1214 1215 *Mr. Duncan. Yes, Ms. Kuster. 1216 *Ms. Kuster. Thank you. Mr. Chair, I move to strike 1217 the last word. Do you have an amendment to offer? 1218 *Mr. Duncan. *Ms. Kuster. 1219 I do, I have an amendment at the desk. *Mr. Duncan. Okay. The gentlelady is recognized to 1220 1221 offer an amendment. 1222 *Ms. Kuster. Kuster SCMN 01. 1223 *Voice. It is SCMN 02. *Ms. Kuster. SCMN 02. 1224 1225 *Voice. MA 02, sorry. *Ms. Kuster. 1226 MA 02. 1227 *Mr. Duncan. The Clerk will report the amendment. 1228 *The Clerk. Amendment to H.R. 4045 offered by Ms. Kuster. Page 3, after Line 25, insert the following: and 1229 1230 make such conforming changes as may be necessary. 1231 *Mr. Duncan. Without objection, the first reading is 1232 dispensed with.

1233	[The amendment	of Ms.	Kuster	follows:]
1234				
1235	********COMMITTEE	INSERT	* * * * * * *	* * *
1236				

The bill will be open excuse me, the 1237 *Mr. Duncan. gentlelady is recognized to speak on the amendment. 1238 Thank you, Mr. Chair. I apologize. 1239 *Ms. Kuster. 1240 offering my amendment, which Chair Rodgers has cosponsored, 1241 to take an important step to improving the hydropower 1242 licensing process by offering certain Indian tribes the ability to make 10J license conditions recommendations to 1243 1244 This amendment empowers tribes to protect their treaty 1245 rights while preserving FERC's ability to review those recommendations and give them due weight. 1246 1247 I want to take a moment to thank the Chair and her staff 1248 for their thoughtful work on the amendment which is the 1249 byproduct of bipartisanship and compromise. Since 1250 approaching the Chair to find common ground on hydropower licensing reform, her team has worked with mine to try to 1251 1252 find areas of agreement. At a high level, I think both sides 1253 believe that we should make the licensing process more efficient, empower tribes, improve dam safety, and maintain 1254 1255 environmental stewardship. 1256 While I am still opposed to the underlying bill in its current form and think there are problems that we still need 1257

```
1258
      to work hard to address, I am confident that we can find a
1259
      mutually agreeable compromise before the full committee
1260
      markup. I look forward to continue working with the Chair
1261
      and other interested committee members to find a truly
      bipartisan consensus on hydropower licensing reform.
1262
1263
      Hydropower is the only dispatchable, renewable energy
1264
       resource. Congress should strive to reduce regulatory
1265
      barriers to ensure it remains available to help the United
1266
       States achieve its hydropower clean energy future.
1267
           And with that, I yield back the balance of my time.
1268
            *Mr. Duncan. Any further discussion on the amendment?
1269
           Ms. Rodgers, you are recognized for five minutes.
                         Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to
1270
            *The Chair.
1271
       strike the last word and speak in support of the amendment.
            *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized.
1272
1273
            *The Chair. I thank the gentlelady for her leadership
1274
      on hydropower issues and for reaching out following our
       legislative hearing on hydropower in September and other
1275
1276
      conversations that we have had regarding the licensing of
      hydropower projects. Over the past month we have worked
1277
      together on this bipartisan amendment to the legislation, the
1278
```

1279 Hydropower Clean Energy Future Act, and in the spirit of this committee's bipartisan history, this work brings Congress one 1280 1281 step forward to reforming the hydropower licensing process. 1282 With the right policies in place, we can protect our existing dams and critical infrastructure that produces the 1283 1284 Nation's most reliable and affordable energy renewable energy, and we can expand hydropower production with next 1285 1286 generation technologies and turbine upgrades. It is really 1287 exciting. 1288 My legislation directs the Federal Energy Regulatory 1289 Commission to coordinate responsibilities and resolve 1290 disputes among the dozens of federal and states agencies that 1291 are involved in the hydropower license process. I also 1292 support authorizing FERC to consult with affected Indian 1293 tribes and consider their recommendations, which is what this 1294 amendment does. 1295 I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, and I 1296 yield back. 1297 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Any further discussion on the amendment? 1298 1299 Seeing none, the pending question is now adoption of the

1300 amendment. All those in favor, say aye. 1301 Opposed, no. 1302 In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it. 1303 amendment is agreed to. Is there further discussion on the bill? 1304 1305 Ms. Rodgers, you are recognized for five minutes. 1306 *The Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I seek 1307 recognition, yes, to strike the last word. I would like to 1308 strike the last word and speak in support of this 1309 legislation. 1310 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady is recognized. 1311 *The Chair. Thank you. The H.R. 4045, the Hydropower 1312 Clean Energy Future Act. Hydropower is one of America's most 1313 valuable energy sources. It accounts for six percent of our Nation's electricity generation and nearly 40 percent of our 1314 1315 Nation's renewable generation. It is reliable, affordable, and can help us reduce emissions. Not to mention the 1316 baseload power produced by our dams can be dispatched at a 1317 1318 moment's notice helping businesses keep their lights on and 1319 families heat their homes. 1320 This is especially important in places like Eastern

1321 Washington as we approach colder winter months. hydropower, our communities would be at serious risk of life-1322 1323 threatening blackouts. Despite the many benefits of 1324 hydropower, only three percent of the dams in our country actually produce electricity. By leveraging this untapped 1325 1326 potential for more hydropower energy, we could double hydropower production in the United States without building a 1327 1328 single new dam. 1329 Eastern Washington is a perfect example of what's possible when we unleash the potential of hydropower in 1330 1331 America. I think about Long Lake Dam in my district which 1332 was built in 1915. For more than a century, this dam has 1333 operated with the potential to generate 80 megawatts of 1334 clean, reliable, and renewable energy every day. It played a critical role in helping our local utility companies deliver 1335 1336 power to our region and give us a competitive edge. 1337 Unfortunately, not all dams are so lucky. Right now the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulates non-federal 1338 1339 hydropower projects which includes about 2500 dams and 1340 accounts for about half of the hydropower generation in the 1341 country. The licensing process for these critical energy

1342 facilities is burdensome, taking nearly a decade to license 1343 and relicense them. That means less energy, fewer options, 1344 and higher costs. 1345 H.R. 4045 modernizes this burdensome and outdated 1346 licensing process standing in the way of next generation 1347 hydro-technology. By removing barriers and rapidly expanding hydropower development, it will help strengthen our energy 1348 grid, drive down energy cost, and secure a cleaner energy 1349 1350 This bill also enhances coordination among dozens of agencies by authorizing FERC to set schedules, clarify 1351 1352 responsibilities, and resolve disputes. 1353 H.R. 4045 will play a pivotal role bringing more 1354 hydropower facilities online while preserving and expanding 1355 our already powerful hydropower fleet. It is a real, free market, climate solution that will ensure America continues 1356 1357 to lead the way on clean, renewable, affordable, and reliable 1358 energy for generations to come. 1359 Emissions-free hydropower is a critical part of our 1360 energy expansion in America. As we consider that fact, I 1361 believe Eastern Washington's hydropower model is worth expanding, and it starts right here with our committee. 1362 We

- 1363 have the opportunity to work across the aisle to implement
- 1364 bipartisan reforms, cut the red tape, and remove regulatory
- 1365 barriers to innovation that will help unleash the potential
- 1366 of hydropower. That is the American way.
- So I have heard from many of my colleagues across the
- 1368 aisle who have expressed a desire to work together on a
- 1369 bipartisan solution, and I am grateful, and I am encouraged
- 1370 by the process we are making today, and we are going to
- 1371 continue to work to find a path forward on achieving this
- 1372 shared goal. I urge support.
- 1373 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.
- 1374 *Mr. Duncan. The gentlelady yields back. Any other
- 1375 member seeking recognition?
- 1376 The ranking member is recognized.
- 1377 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move to
- 1378 strike the last word.
- 1379 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman is recognized for five
- 1380 minutes.
- 1381 *Mr. Pallone. Thank you. I have major concerns about
- 1382 this bill and I am still disappointed with the process that
- 1383 has led us here. Last year when Democrats were in the

1384 majority, we held a hearing on what was then called the 1385 Uncommon Dialogue Proposal which had emerged from years of stakeholder discussions between the hydropower industry 1386 1387 tribes and environmental groups. We heard from a variety of 1388 perspectives at that hearing, most supporting the proposal 1389 but some opposed, and I thought it was a balanced hearing, 1390 and while I had some reservations about the proposal, I think 1391 that it at the very least represents the sensible jumping off 1392 point for any discussion about hydropower licensing reform. Unfortunately, that is not the direction the Republicans 1393 1394 have decided to go. This bill is not a consensus product. I 1395 think it is basically an industry wish list. Last month the 1396 subcommittee heard testimony that the bill would exempt 1397 roughly 80 percent of hydropower dams from licensing requirements. The bill would ask FERC to make judgment calls 1398 1399 on impacts to endangered species without having to consult 1400 with the agencies that specialize in making those calls. 1401 In response to a law Congress passed, FERC conducted a 1402 pilot project to see how it could issue hydropower licenses 1403 within two years and published a report six years ago. Ιt came to the conclusion that under its current laws and 1404

1405 regulations that was possible. It was when projects were 1406 extremely complex or license applicants gave FERC incomplete 1407 information that the timeline for licensing ran into delays. 1408 And how does this bill respond to that? By taking away 1409 precious resources from already strapped agencies. It fines 1410 resource agencies, even state agencies \$5,000 per week that when they fail to meet timelines set up by FERC. That could 1411 1412 put agencies in an impossible situation, trying to pick 1413 between desperately needed resources or producing a legal defensible position that complies with their obligations 1414 1415 under the law. And, of course, this starts a vicious spiral 1416 with an under-resourced agency being fined and having even 1417 fewer resources. And we didn't even get a chance to hear from the very 1418 1419 agencies that would be impacted by these changes. legislative hearing we did at that we did not at that 1420 1421 hearing we did not invite U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service to testify. They play 1422 1423 a vital role in the hydropower regulatory process and we should have heard from them. 1424 I agree that hydropower relicensing is important for us 1425

1426 to address and that hydropower will have a vital role to play 1427 in a net zero future, but we have to get there through a deliberate, thoughtful process that produces bipartisan 1428 1429 legislation not partisan legislation with what I believe has 1430 zero chance of being signed into law. And that is why I was 1431 disappointed that this bill was added to this markup at the last minute. My staff were not told about the bill, or that 1432 1433 it would be marked up I should say, until last Friday, and 1434 this bill is being rushed to markup despite the interests of 1435 several Democratic members to find a bipartisan pathway 1436 forward on hydro. 1437 Rushing a bill like this to markup despite ongoing, 1438 unresolved conversations isn't a sign of a majority committed to getting to a lasting result that passes the Senate and 1439 1440 gets signed by the President. Now I heard the Chair the 1441 Chairwoman say that, you know, we can obviously still work on 1442 this, and I hope that that is the case, but right now I would urge opposition to the bill. 1443 1444 And I yield back, Mr. Chairman. 1445 *Mr. Duncan. The gentleman yields back. Any other 1446 member seeking recognition?

1447 I recognize myself for strike the last word and recognize myself for five minutes. 1448 I want to thank the gentlelady for putting this 1449 1450 legislation up and I want to thank the bipartisan nature 1451 which were working this piece of legislation. 1452 The harnessing of water for power goes back centuries, whether it is to turn a gristmill and grind grain or whether 1453 1454 it is providing hydropower for electricity generation. 1455 benefits of hydroelectric dams are immense, whether it is 1456 recreation purposes on the Missouri River in North Dakota, on 1457 the Saluda River in South Carolina. Clean drinking water 1458 supplies, water for irrigation, capturing water from snow runoff so it is not wasted. 1459 1460 Their benefits are numerous and it is clean. 1461 approach it from a carbon standpoint, hydroelectricity is the 1462 cleanest source we have got. And so I support this 1463 legislation, and I applaud the committee for its work on it. And with that, I yield back. 1464 1465 Any other member seeking recognition? 1466 Seeing none, the question now occurs on forwarding H.R. 4045 as amended to the full committee. A roll call has been 1467

```
1468
      requested, didn't you say?
            *Voice. Yes.
1469
1470
            *Mr. Duncan. Roll call has been requested, so the Clerk
1471
      will call the roll.
1472
            *The Clerk. Burgess?
1473
            *Mr. Burgess. Aye.
1474
            *The Clerk. Burgess votes aye.
1475
           Latta?
1476
           *Mr. Latta. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Latta votes aye.
1477
1478
           Guthrie?
1479
           [No response.]
           *The Clerk. Griffith?
1480
1481
            *Mr. Griffith. Aye.
1482
            *The Clerk. Griffith votes aye.
1483
           Johnson?
1484
            *Mr. Johnson. Aye.
1485
           *The Clerk. Johnson votes aye.
1486
           Bucshon?
1487
           *Mr. Bucshon. Aye.
            *The Clerk. Bucshon votes aye.
1488
```

```
1489
            Walberg?
1490
            *Mr. Walberg. Aye.
1491
            *The Clerk. Walberg votes aye.
1492
            Palmer?
1493
           [No response.]
1494
            *The Clerk. Curtis?
1495
            *Mr. Curtis. Aye.
1496
            *The Clerk. Curtis votes aye.
1497
            Lesko?
1498
            *Mrs. Lesko. Aye.
1499
            *The Clerk. Lesko votes aye.
1500
            Pence?
1501
            *Mr. Pence. Aye.
1502
            *The Clerk. Pence votes aye.
            Armstrong?
1503
1504
            *Mr. Armstrong. Yes.
1505
            *The Clerk. Armstrong votes aye.
1506
            Weber?
1507
            *Mr. Weber. Yes.
1508
            *The Clerk. Weber votes aye.
1509
            Balderson?
```

```
1510
            *Mr. Balderson. Aye.
1511
            *The Clerk. Balderson votes aye.
1512
            Pfluger?
1513
            [No response.]
            *The Clerk.
1514
                        Duncan?
            *Mr. Duncan. Aye.
1515
1516
            *The Clerk. Duncan votes aye.
1517
            DeGette?
1518
            *Ms. DeGette. No.
1519
            *The Clerk. DeGette votes no.
1520
            Peters?
1521
            *Mr. Peters. No.
1522
            *The Clerk. Peters votes no.
1523
            Fletcher?
1524
            *Mrs. Fletcher. No.
            *The Clerk. Fletcher votes no.
1525
1526
           Matsui?
1527
           *Ms. Matsui. No.
1528
           *The Clerk. Matsui votes no.
1529
            Tonko?
1530
            *Mr. Tonko. No.
```

```
1531
            *The Clerk. Tonko votes no.
1532
           Veasev?
1533
            *Mr. Veasey. No.
1534
            *The Clerk. Veasey votes no.
           Kuster?
1535
1536
           *Ms. Kuster. No.
1537
           *The Clerk. Kuster votes no.
1538
           Schrier?
1539
           *Ms. Schrier. No.
1540
           *The Clerk. Schrier votes no.
1541
           Castor?
1542
           *Ms. Castor. No.
           *The Clerk. Castor votes no.
1543
1544
           Sarbanes?
            [No response.]
1545
            *The Clerk. Cardenas?
1546
1547
           *Mr. Cardenas. No.
1548
           *The Clerk. Cardenas votes no.
1549
           Blunt Rochester?
1550
           *Ms. Blunt Rochester. No.
1551
            *The Clerk. Blunt Rochester votes no.
```

```
1552
            Pallone?
1553
            *Mr. Pallone. No.
1554
            *The Clerk. Pallone votes no.
1555
           Rodgers?
1556
            *The Chair.
                       Aye.
1557
            *The Clerk. Rodgers votes aye.
1558
            *Mr. Duncan. Are there any members that are not
1559
       recorded?
1560
            *Mr. Guthrie. Guthrie votes aye.
            *The Clerk. Guthrie votes aye.
1561
            *Mr. Duncan. How is Mr. Palmer recorded?
1562
            *The Clerk. Mr. Palmer is not recorded.
1563
            *Mr. Palmer. Aye.
1564
1565
            *The Clerk. Palmer votes aye.
            *Mr. Duncan. Any other member unrecorded?
1566
1567
            Seeing none, the Clerk will report the tally.
1568
            *The Clerk. Chair Duncan, on that vote there were 16
1569
       ayes and 12 noes.
1570
            *Mr. Duncan.
                          The ayes have it. The bill is agreed to.
            I want to thank everyone for participating in the
1571
      markup. I know things got in the way, carried over to today,
1572
```

1573	but I appreciate the patience.
1574	Without objection, staff is authorized to make technical
1575	and conforming changes to the legislation approved by the
1576	subcommittee today and yesterday. So ordered.
1577	Without objection, the subcommittee stands adjourned.
1578	[Whereupon, at 11:02 a.m., the subcommittee was
1579	adjourned.]