

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

RPTR TELL

EDTR HOFSTAD

POWERING AMERICA: THE ROLE OF ENERGY STORAGE
IN THE NATION'S ELECTRICITY SYSTEM

WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2018

House of Representatives,
Subcommittee on Energy,
Committee on Energy and Commerce,
Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 9:03 a.m., in Room 2322, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Fred Upton [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

Present: Representatives Upton, Olson, Barton, Latta, Harper, McKinley, Kinzinger, Griffith, Johnson, Long, Bucshon, Flores, Hudson, Walberg, Walden (ex officio), Rush, McNerney,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Peters, Green, Doyle, Castor, Welch, Tonko, Schrader, and Kennedy.

Staff Present: Samantha Bopp, Staff Assistant; Kelly Collins, Legislative Clerk, Energy/Environment; Wyatt Ellertson, Professional Staff Member, Energy/Environment; Margaret Tucker Fogarty, Staff Assistant; Mary Martin, Chief Counsel, Energy/Environment; Sarah Matthews, Press Secretary, Energy/Environment; Drew McDowell, Executive Assistant; Brandon Mooney, Deputy Chief Counsel, Energy; Brannon Rains, Staff Assistant; Annelise Rickert, Counsel, Energy; Peter Spencer, Senior Professional Staff Member, Energy; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Madeline Wey, Policy Coordinator, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection; Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Rick Kessler, Minority Senior Advisor and Staff Director, Energy/Environment; John Marshall, Minority Policy Coordinator; Alexander Ratner, Minority Policy Analyst; and Tuley Wright, Minority Policy Advisor, Energy/Environment.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Good morning, everybody.

So, on this day a year ago, the Energy Subcommittee launched its "Powering America" hearing series focused on the Nation's electricity system. And, over the past year, the committee has explored important topics such as wholesale power markets; electric generation; infrastructure, both transmission and distribution; reliability; and technological innovation. And this hearing is the 11th in the series and explores the important topic of large-scale energy storage.

Electricity is indeed a fundamental and essential part of our everyday lives and the interruption of which has far reaching impacts on our livelihood, health, welfare, national security, and everything else. That is why it is important to utilize all forums of tools and technologies, including energy storage, to help ensure our Nation's electric grid is reliable as well as resilient.

For example, one electric utility who serves Michigan recognized the value of energy storage early on. In 2002, AEP, American Electric Power, demonstrated the use of a sodium sulfur battery for the first time in the U.S., and by 2008 they had deployed three 2-megawatt batteries across the U.S.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Large-scale energy storage has benefits and unique attributes that can improve the reliability and resiliency of the Nation's electric grid. Energy storage can help manage peak electricity load, provide essential reliability services such as voltage and frequency controls, improve reserve capacity, and provide black start capability.

The electricity industry is responsible for planning and preparing for disruptions to the supply of electricity. And in 2017 the Atlantic hurricane season was unprecedented. Multiple storms in close succession slammed into the Gulf Coast, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands. These storms left blind catastrophic damage, which resulted in major disruptions of electricity to millions of Americans across the country.

And when power outages occur, electricity providers can use energy storage as a black start resource to restore electricity quickly. Black start is when a power plant is turned back on after an outage with the help of a transmission system. Because energy storage resources have a reserve of electricity available, they can provide the necessary power to bring other power plants back online. This is important because in emergency situations associated with electricity outages access to electricity from the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

transmission system is often not possible.

Demand for electricity varies depending upon a variety of factors, including the time of day, season, and region. An example of this is during the warmer summer months a greater amount of electricity is consumed through air conditioning compared to cooler spring or fall. During these times of peak electricity consumption, more expensive generation units are generally used to meet the increased demand. Energy storage allows for electricity to be stored during off-peak times when electricity is less expensive and then deployed during these periods of high demand. The ability for energy storage to energy time-shift can reduce costs for electricity providers, which can lead to savings for consumers.

So today's panel of witnesses represents different aspects of the electricity industry when it comes to storage.

Thanks for taking the time to join with us today.

And I was going to yield to Mr. Hudson, but he is not here, so I will yield back my time and recognize the ranking member of the subcommittee, Mr. Rush, for 5 minutes for an opening statement.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

***** INSERT 1-1 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this critical and timely hearing.

Mr. Chairman, as we have discussed throughout this "Powering America" series of hearings, the domestic energy landscape is changing drastically in fundamental ways. As we move towards a more decentralized energy economy, storage offers tremendous opportunities to integrate clean, renewable energy resources in order to build a more efficient, resilient, and effective electric grid.

With the evolution, Mr. Chairman, of various technology, in addition to the increased production costs, energy storage offers a uniquely flexible technology that can be utilized to meet the changing demands of customers of utilities as well as of the grid as a whole.

Energy storage, Mr. Chairman, is an incentive, in that it provides consumers more control over when and how they use energy while also helping them save money. With storage technology, Mr. Chairman, utilities are able to defer or even completely avoid making huge investments in other more costly physical assets such as wires, poles, transformers, and substations, while still meeting the needs of energy consumers.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Additionally, Mr. Chairman, energy storage can help make the grid more resilient during severe weather events and provide emergency power during times of disaster. Storage technology can play a vital role in rebuilding electric networks necessary for local communities and is a cost-effective alternative to other traditional options.

This is true whether it be for establishing power for rural or isolated communities or helping to quickly turn the lights back on for residents of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands after a disastrous hurricane like Maria. In fact, this technology can be used to establish microgrids and mini-grids, or it can be utilized in fully distributed generation networks.

Mr. Chairman, even with all these tremendous benefits that energy storage offers, there are still significant obstacles impeding the emergence of this budding industry, including economic, regulatory, and market barriers.

Mr. Chairman, there must be a strategic and calculated effort by the Federal Government in order to fully develop this technology and appreciate its enormous benefits. Specifically, there must be more Federal funding to help offset the lack of investment from the private sector in electricity storage

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

research, development, and demonstration.

Additionally, we must consider, Mr. Chairman, development of a Federal energy storage roadmap, similar to those established by some States, in order to increase coordination among the various private initiatives, the national labs, and other Federal agencies.

Finally, while FERC Order 841 was issued to ensure fair and equal access for storage resources to compete in wholesale power markets, we must go even further on the Federal level. In each of their testimonies, almost all of the witnesses agree that we must do more to remove barriers to grid and market access, allow storage to compete in all planning and procurement processing, and provide appropriate value and compensation for the unique flexibility that storage technologies provide.

Mr. Chairman, energy storage has the potential to fundamentally transform the way we produce and use electricity in a way that benefits the Nation as a whole, but we must be willing to make the necessary commitments and the necessary investment in this technology for it to do so.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you, and I yield back.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Rush follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. The gentleman yields back.

The chair would recognize the chairman of the full committee, Mr. Walden.

The Chairman. Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

And to our members and our panelists, thank you for being here.

Today we continue our series on "Powering America," taking a closer look at what a lot of people think to be the next big game-changer, and that is the Nation's, in the electricity sector, large-scale battery storage.

For years, companies have been working to develop and pioneer battery storage technology that is both cost-effective and scalable. We are now at the point where that technology is coming to fruition and being deployed on the grid in a meaningful way.

The potential benefits of battery storage are substantial. Batteries allow us to store energy when demand and prices are low and release the energy when demand and prices are high. This not only optimizes the way our electricity system works, it also lowers electricity costs, meaning that American families can keep more money in their pockets after paying their monthly electricity bills.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So we have a lot of work to do here. My home State of Oregon has been ahead of the curve when it comes to recognizing the benefits of energy storage. Many of our electric utilities are integrating energy storage projects.

The Pacific Northwest is home to the Department's Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, where researchers work to advance and develop energy storage technologies for grid-scale deployment. PNNL has tens of thousands of square feet of laboratory space dedicated to accelerating the development of energy storage technologies.

In 2015, PNNL opened their Advanced Battery Facility, which was built to bridge the gap between fundamental battery research and commercial-scale battery development. I recently toured that facility, I guess about a year ago now, with Secretary Perry. It was really impressive.

Clearly, there is great potential in the role that large-scale battery storage can play in the Nation's electricity system, but, before that potential is fully realized, there are a number of barriers and challenges that still need to be tackled. These challenges range from technological limitations and costs to wholesale market participation rules.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

In order to address some of the challenges faced by energy storage, FERC recently issued Order No. 841 directing the RTOs and ISOs to amend their market rules in order to better accommodate the participation of electric storage technologies. As you know, right now, grid operators are in the process of implementing the requirements and directives contained in Order No. 841, which is something this committee will continue to pay attention to as things move forward.

Last fall, as part of the Energy Subcommittee's "Powering America" hearing series, we examined technology's role in the electricity system. Energy storage was a main topic of discussion at that hearing, and, during that hearing, we heard from a witness who provided an example of how market rules can create barriers to competition for energy storage in wholesale electricity markets. That witness described an RTO/ISO rule with a definition of a storage product that only accommodated older storage technologies, such as storage that used a flywheel. This outdated definition did not allow for newer, more advanced energy storage technologies, such as lithium-ion batteries, to participate and be fully compensated in the wholesale electricity markets.

So today's hearing gives us an opportunity to better

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

understand the barriers such as this, and I look forward to discussing further potential solutions. So I want to thank all of you for coming today.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I will say in advance, we have another hearing with the Federal Trade Commissioners going on downstairs that I will be going back and forth with. But thank you for your testimony.

With that, I would yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from North Carolina, Mr. Hudson.

[The prepared statement of The Chairman follows:]

***** INSERT 1-2 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Hudson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Chairman Upton and Ranking Member Rush.

I just want to take a moment to thank Duke Energy and Mr. Zachary Kuznar for joining us at the hearing today to talk about the important role energy storage can and will play in increasing reliability for our constituents.

Duke Energy, based in Charlotte, North Carolina, is one of the largest electric power holding companies in the United States that are leading the way to modernize the energy grid and generate cleaner energy.

As both a grid manager and operator, I look forward to hearing about how utilities like Duke Energy can leverage energy storage and other grid assets to deliver affordable and reliable power for our customers.

And, with that, Mr. Chairman, I will yield back.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Hudson follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. The gentleman yields back.

The chair recognizes Mr. McNerney for an opening statement, 5 minutes.

Mr. McNerney. I want to thank the chair.

And I appreciate the opportunity to talk about energy storage. I spent my career developing wind energy technology for about 20 years before coming here, and we have only dreamed about being here today, when we were talking about a realistic application of storage for renewable energy. So we see that that is one of the possible beneficiaries of storage.

But the problem was that the capital costs kind of would add to the capital costs of the equipment, so we have to find way to make sure the capital costs continue to go down. And we know from manufacturing theory that when you double the manufacturing the price goes down by 10 percent. So we need to find incentives to make sure that the manufacturing curve continues to increase and we can become more affordable over time.

I am also the co-chair, with Mr. Latta, who is not here this morning, of the Grid Innovation Caucus. And we see that storage is going to be a big player in where we move forward with our grids.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Now, we have a lot of challenges. There is demand-side management, there are loads being shifted, there are cyber threats and so on. So we know that storage is going to play a very big role in these new developments and the new challenges we find ahead of us.

So, again, I continue to look for ways, and I hope that you can not only inform us on the technology but how can we best incentivize the continuing technical development of solar technology.

And so, again, I look forward to your testimony.

I am going to be yielding to the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Doyle.

[The prepared statement of Mr. McNerney follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Doyle. Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you, first, for calling this 9:00 a.m. hearing. We all appreciate that.

Mr. Upton. Were you at the game last night?

Mr. Doyle. No. No. I was somewhere else.

Mr. Upton. It was the winning dugout, I want you to know. The American League had the winning Democratic dugout that they had a couple weeks ago.

Mr. Doyle. Yeah, that dugout has been pretty lucky these last few weeks.

Mr. Upton. Yeah.

Mr. Doyle. Anyway, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for this hearing today. Energy storage presents an incredible opportunity to increase efficiency, grow and reliably use renewables, and provide resiliency to the grid.

I have introduced H.R. 4649, the Energy Storage Tax Incentive and Deployment Act. This legislation would establish an investment tax credit for energy storage infrastructure for utilities, businesses, and homes.

And I understand, you know, while this legislation is under consideration by the Ways and Means Committee, I think it is important to address options for reducing barriers to deployment

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

and supporting the opportunities that energy storage presents.

There is truly something for everyone with energy storage. This technology supports the deployment of renewables like wind and solar. It can be used as a standalone technology. It increases grid resiliency when responding to extreme weather events and times of peak energy demand. And it reduces infrastructure costs.

It is important to fully realize this technology, and I look forward to working with my colleagues to support the expansion and the integration of energy storage throughout the grid.

Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the time, and I will yield back to Mr. McNerney.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Doyle follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the gentleman for his remarks.

Storage also has a real opportunity in terms of small businesses. I have seen small businesses in my community that are basing new business models on energy storage. So we have a lot to talk about here this morning.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Upton. All time has expired on the opening statements.

We are joined by five witnesses today.

And thank you in advance for submitting your testimony for the record. We had a chance to look at it, at least some of us who didn't go to the ball game last night.

We are joined by Zachary Kuznar, the director of CHP, microgrid, and energy storage development for Duke Energy; Mark Frigo, V.P. and head of energy storage, North America, E.ON; Keith Casey, vice president of market and infrastructure development, California Independent System Operator; Kushal Patel, partner at Energy and Environmental Economics; and Kiran Kumaraswamy -- pretty good, no?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yes.

Mr. Upton. -- director of market applications, Fluence.

So, welcome. Each of you will be recognized for 5 minutes to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

summarize your testimony, at which point we will be asking questions.

Dr. Kuznar, we will start with you. Thank you.

STATEMENTS OF ZACHARY KUZNAR, DIRECTOR, CHP, MICROGRID, AND ENERGY STORAGE DEVELOPMENT, DUKE ENERGY; MARK FRIGO, VICE PRESIDENT, HEAD OF ENERGY STORAGE, NORTH AMERICA, E.ON; KEITH E. CASEY, PH.D., VICE PRESIDENT, MARKET AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT, CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR; KUSHAL PATEL, PARTNER, ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS, INC.; AND KIRAN KUMARASWAMY, DIRECTOR, MARKET APPLICATIONS, FLUENCE

STATEMENT OF ZACHARY KUZNAR

Mr. Kuznar. Great. Thank you. Is this on? There we go.

Thank you, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush, and members of the subcommittee. Thank you for having me here today.

My name is Zachary Kuznar, and I currently serve as director of combined heat and power, energy storage, and microgrid development at Duke Energy Corporation, which is headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. My team leads all energy storage

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

development in our six regulated States in which we operate, which are North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Ohio, Indiana, and Kentucky.

Duke Energy believes storage will play a significant role in how we operate, supply, and deliver energy for our 25 million customers now and well into the future. We see tremendous value in energy storage investments and the benefits they can provide across our generation, transmission, and distribution systems.

Storage allows us to dispatch energy during times of peak demand, enhance the reliability of our grid, provide energy security and backup power for customers who provide critical services for our communities, and enables increased flexibility for helping manage the continued growth of renewable generation on our electric system.

This will become increasingly important as more solar connects to our system. North Carolina, for example, is number two in the country for solar generation, only behind California.

We plan to expand our investment and our regulated footprint for our customers' benefit by building off our decade of storage experience, which includes 8 pilot projects and 40 megawatts of commercially owned and operated assets. As the technology

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

continues to mature and the cost of batteries continues to decline, we believe the time is right to increase our investments in this area. Over the next 5 years, we plan to deploy a minimum of 145 megawatts of storage across our regulated business, representing approximately \$300 million of new investment, to continue to modernize our electric system.

In 2017, we received approval from the Florida Public Service Commission to deploy 50 megawatts of battery storage projects in our Florida service territory. We are targeting applications to improve reliability, which will result in better overall customer experience, along with utilizing these storage assets to advance the flexibility of our system as solar generation continues to increase in our Florida footprint.

In North Carolina, we have incorporated a minimum of 75 megawatts of storage into our integrated resource planning process. Our first two projects in our western North Carolina service territory, totaling 13 megawatts, will be used to provide valuable backup power to communities and give us the ability to deliver grid services such as frequency regulation that will help us to incorporate and manage the increased growth of solar generation onto our system.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

We also continue to evaluate and explore projects in South Carolina as well.

We recently received approval from the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to deploy 10 megawatts of battery projects in Indiana. One of the projects is a partnership with the Indiana National Guard at Camp Atterbury, where we will deploy 3 megawatts of solar along with a 5-megawatt energy storage asset at the base.

During normal grid operations, the solar generation will send power to our electric grid to benefit all Indiana customers, while the battery device will provide frequency regulation to help stabilize the electric system. In the event of a grid outage, the battery will provide backup power, ensuring the base still has energy for critical infrastructure and services. This is a perfect example of how technologies like storage can provide both grid- and customer-sided benefits.

We are also working with large customers such as the Department of Defense, cities, hospitals, and other first responders to evaluate similar partnerships.

In Ohio, we have filed for 10 megawatts of storage as part of our electric security plan, and we are incorporating 2 megawatts year over year in our Kentucky service territory. We believe

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

these investments will grow well beyond the original 145 megawatts we have announced.

At Duke Energy, we serve as both the grid manager and operator, with a clear line of sight and understanding of how storage can be leveraged in conjunction with other grid assets to bring to bear the greatest benefits for the grid and our customers.

The utility is in an ideal position to investment in and own and to capture these stacked benefit streams that storage can provide. Storage can be a more cost-effective mechanism to defer or forego a distribution upgrade, eliminate the need for wires, and provide resource flexibility to ensure reliable energy is delivered continuously.

As a seasoned utility, we have firsthand experience managing these complex dynamics expertly in concert with the broader electric system. More importantly, with over a century of experience providing affordable, reliable electricity to our customers, Duke Energy is positioned to deploy this exciting new technology in a way that increases reliability and maintains the security of our critical infrastructure.

I thank you again for the opportunity to discuss Duke

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Energy's energy storage plans with you today, as we feel this technology will provide essential benefits for our customers and for our communities.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Kuznar follows:]

***** INSERT 1-3 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Mr. Frigo?

STATEMENT OF MARK FRIGO

Mr. Frigo. Good morning, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush, and members of the subcommittee. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today.

My name is Mark Frigo, and I am the vice president and head of energy storage for E.ON North America. In that role, I am responsible for all aspects of our energy storage business. In my testimony today, I will discuss E.ON's effort to deliver this technology to customers across the United States.

Since 2007, E.ON has invested more than \$14 billion in renewable projects worldwide, with roughly half of that investment made in local communities right here in the U.S. As one of the U.S.'s largest owners of renewable power projects, with more than 36 megawatts under operation, we have also taken a lead role in developing energy storage projects.

Traditionally, electricity could not be stored. Our electric grid was developed as a just-in-time delivery system. However,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the energy world has changed. It is a world with computers, smartphones, the cloud, rooftop solar on people's homes and businesses, and the explosive growth of electric vehicles. The grid as we know it, with large, centralized power plants delivering power via transmission and distribution, will be challenged to meet our Nation's future energy needs.

This is where energy storage comes into play. Low-cost energy storage has the ability to transform and meet the needs of the new energy world. E.ON is helping to lead that change.

E.ON has 3 energy storage projects currently in operation, totaling approximately 30 megawatts, each uniquely designed to solve a specific problem.

Iron Horse, our first energy storage project in the U.S., is a combined energy storage and solar photovoltaic project located in Tucson, Arizona. Working with Tucson Electric Power, our team designed and built a 10-megawatt battery solution paired with a 2-megawatt solar PV array to stabilize Tucson's electric power grid.

We continue to own and operate the project for use within Tucson Electric Power's system. It is our understanding that this energy storage project, along with another one that TEP has

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

implemented, has significantly improved the situation within the greater Tucson area.

Texas Waves, our other operational energy storage facility, is actually comprised of two 9.9-megawatt battery projects in West Texas, one co-located next to our Pyron Wind Farm and the other co-located next to our Inadale Wind Farm. Texas Waves is designed to provide ancillary services to the Electric Reliability Council of Texas market and can respond to shifts in power demand more quickly than traditional generating technologies, thereby improving system reliability and efficiency.

These two projects went online in January of this year and have successfully responded during extreme weather and unplanned generation outages. These projects were able to respond to ERCOT's frequency regulation signal within milliseconds, helping ERCOT manage minute-to-minute fluctuations between load and generation on their grid and ultimately helping the citizens of Texas keep the lights on.

Despite our successes in the market and its great potential to enhance the grid's reliability and resilience, energy storage remains an emerging technology. While that technology continues to evolve and costs continue to fall, more steps from both a

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

policy and fiscal perspective are needed to unlock this technology's full potential to support the grid and save taxpayer money on their electricity bills.

Energy storage should be part of a grid modernization and optimization effort to contribute to reliability and resilience. FERC Order 841 was a significant step forward to allow for energy storage participation on the grid in organized markets. But FERC must now ensure that the RTOs and ISOs over which it has jurisdiction faithfully and fully implement the order to allow energy storage into their markets to the benefit of customers.

It is also important that utility commissions in States not included in organized markets ensure that the utilities they regulate evaluate energy storage resources as a viable and cost-effective tool in their utility planning process. Market rules should not only ensure participation but should be examined to ensure that interconnection processes do not constitute barriers to entry.

Energy storage would also benefit from fiscal policy that rewards investment in this emerging technology for a limited period. For example, an investment tax credit for energy storage would encourage greater investment and faster deployment of energy

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

storage solutions to help utilities, generators, and, most importantly, customers to unlock the many benefits of storage.

In closing, energy storage is an incredibly useful technology that can meet the needs of the new energy world. It is a uniquely flexible technology that can be designed to meet the specific needs of customers and the grid. It increases grid reliability while enabling all the technological and sustainable advancements our country continues to enjoy. And, best of all, it can do all these things while saving ratepayers, your constituents, money.

I urge you to adopt forward-looking policies to help unlock energy storage potential to keep the United States at the forefront of the new energy world.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Frigo follows:]

***** INSERT 1-4 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Dr. Casey?

STATEMENT OF KEITH E. CASEY, PH.D.

Mr. Casey. Good morning, Chairman Upton, Vice Chairman Olson, Ranking Member Rush, and members of the committee. My name is Keith Casey. I am vice president of market and infrastructure development at the California Independent System Operator. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to discuss the role of energy storage in organized wholesale electricity markets in California.

California's clean energy policies are dramatically transforming the resource portfolio that serves electric load. California's ambitious renewable portfolio standard, greenhouse gas emission reduction goals, policies concerning the use of water for power plant cooling, as well as distributed energy resource and rooftop solar goals, have all contributed to a dramatic shift away from conventional power plants and to the deployment of new technologies such as battery storage and demand response.

Today, renewables comprise about 33 percent of the total

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

energy produced in our markets and are on track to meet 50 percent of 2030, if not sooner.

These high levels of renewables, which are predominantly solar, do, however, present operational challenges such as oversupply during the middle of the day when solar output is at its greatest and ramping challenges during the late afternoon and early evening when solar output declines but demand on the system is increasing.

Today, these integration challenges are largely managed with natural-gas-fired generation, but achieving California's clean energy goals will require moving off of gas to cleaner resources such as energy storage that can absorb surplus solar output during the middle of the day and put it back on the grid later when it is needed. Storage can also mitigate the reliance on natural gas power plants for serving local electricity demand in transmission-constrained areas of the grid.

Today, California operates with approximately 2,000 megawatts of energy storage on its system. Most of this is legacy pumped hydroelectric generation, but, in recent years, 134 megawatts of battery storage has been added to the ISO system.

Development of battery storage is being driven primarily by

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

State policy. The California Public Utilities Commission requires investor-owned utilities to procure 700 megawatts of transmission-level electricity storage, 425 megawatts of distributed electricity storage, and 200 megawatts of customer electric storage by 2020. And the utilities are making good progress in achieving that goal.

Over the past several years, we have made numerous changes to our wholesale energy markets to enable storage resources to effectively participate. Most notably, we developed a specific storage resource participation model so that our wholesale market can optimally manage the state of charge of a storage resource. We also developed special participation rules for storage to provide other grid reliability functions and have evolved our transmission planning process to consider storage as an alternative to conventional wires and generation.

Earlier this year, through our transmission planning process, we identified and approved two battery storage projects for meeting grid reliability needs. These projects will be treated as transmission assets, with their costs fully recovered through transmission rates.

Currently, we allow storage resources, as well as other types

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

of resources, to participate in the wholesale energy market even if they are connected to the distribution system. While the development is at a very nascent stage, we believe the future grid will be one where distribution and transmission networks are highly integrated, providing for bidirectional flow of energy versus the traditional grid, where power flows one direction from large, centralized power plants to end-use consumers.

The grid of tomorrow will have a much more diverse set of smaller resources, with many located behind a customer's meter, and will have the potential to provide services to the host customer, the distribution network, and the transmission network.

Getting there, however, will require overcoming a number of challenges. Most notably, how do you enable resources behind the meter to provide multiuse services to their host customer, the distribution, and transmission grid in a coordinated and verifiable way that ensures the services being paid for are actually being provided, are not operating at cross-purposes, and are not being double-counted? California is currently grappling with this multiuse concept.

We are also examining how to allow storage resources that are approved as transmission assets and, therefore, able to fully

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

recover their costs through transmission rates to also participate in the wholesale energy market and earn market revenues. FERC policy allows for this type of hybrid treatment, but I do not believe any ISO or RTO has currently implemented this hybrid model, so California may very well be the first.

Finally, the ISO appreciates and supports the proposed reforms in FERC Order 841, which seeks to remove barriers to electric storage resources participating in the organized electricity markets. We are also working with our participating utilities to develop better ways to coordinate transmission and distribution system operation to enable energy transformation in an efficient, reliable, safe manner.

This concludes my comments, and I will be happy to answer any questions you may have.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Casey follows:]

***** INSERT 1-5 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Mr. Patel?

STATEMENT OF KUSHAL PATEL

Mr. Patel. Thank you, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush, and members of the subcommittee, for inviting me to testify on today's topic of energy storage and its role in the Nation's electricity system.

My name is Kushal Patel, and I am a partner at Energy and Environmental Economics, or E3, which is a consulting firm based in San Francisco that focuses exclusively on energy issues. E3 advises a wide range of clients across the U.S., including public agencies, wholesale system operators, utilities, project developers, technology companies, and investors.

I lead E3's asset valuation practice, and, in that role, I provide the energy storage developers and investors with various kinds of analytical and strategic support for thousands of megawatts of energy storage projects throughout the U.S., ranging from large pumped hydro projects to small customer-sided lithium-ion batteries.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I also work with a number of other entities, like State public agencies, to analyze and think through the role of energy storage in our electricity system in the near and longer term.

Energy storage has been called the Swiss Army knife of the electricity system because of the many services it can perform. E3 has rigorously analyzed energy storage for over 20 years, beginning with technologies like pumped hydro that have been part of our Nation's electrical grid for decades, to current technologies like advanced lithium-ion and flow batteries that are now just being deployed at scale, to emerging technologies that are still in the R&D phase.

We have looked at energy storage providing services across multiple applications or use cases. One such a use case is participating directly in the wholesale markets, either as a standalone resource or paired with generation. Another is serving as a non-wires alternative that defers or avoids building costly transmission or distribution assets, which directly benefits utility ratepayers. And a third is as a tool for individual customers to reduce their own electricity bills.

Significant barriers stand in the way of large-scale deployment of mature and emerging storage technologies. These

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

barriers include high but declining technology costs and, more importantly, the limited ability for storage to earn revenues for the numerous services it can perform.

Today, clear routes to market exist for only a handful of storage services, like frequency regulation. Other services cannot be readily monetized, like grid resilience benefits. And still others, such as those related to integrating larger amounts of renewable energy, may not become valuable until the future and then only in certain parts of the country. There may even be market rules and operational rules that hinder and prevent storage from providing multiple services and being multiuse.

This means enabling policies and regulations are needed at both the Federal and State levels to address these barriers to ensure that storage is optimally utilized as well as compensated fairly on a level playing field with other technologies, which is challenging given the unique nature of energy storage.

To this point, I recently collaborated with several New York agencies in the development of the New York Energy Storage Roadmap, which provides an excellent example of how policymakers can proactively address the opportunities and challenges energy storage represents.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

The roadmap, just released last month, is a first-of-its-kind, analytically driven set of policy, regulatory, and programmatic actions and recommendations meant to help New York dramatically ramp up energy storage deployment beginning in 2019. It was developed specifically to identify the most promising and cost-effective means of realizing New York's target of installing 1,500 megawatts of advanced energy storage by 2025.

The roadmap found that value stacking -- i.e., being able to perform and be compensated for multiple services -- is essential for the long-term commercial viability of energy storage.

This is especially relevant to the issue of dual-market participation, where storage is providing both wholesale market and distribution system services. For example, what should be the operational rules and market structure that maximizes the storage value by allowing it to provide both wholesale capacity services in a constrained urban load pocket like New York City as well as a distribution service like a non-wires alternative to a utility investment like building a large substation.

So, to conclude, I believe the key to maximizing energy storage benefits for our electricity system is twofold. First, policies and rules must be established that allow storage assets

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

to provide multiple services at the wholesale, distribution, and customer levels. Second, storage assets must receive fair and equitable compensation on a level playing field. These actions will both enable the optimal deployment of storage assets onto our electricity grid and create a stable environment for longer-term investing and financing.

Energy storage is a complex set of technologies that goes far beyond batteries, and integrating them cost-effectively into the grid while maintaining safety, reliability, and affordability is no small task. I applaud this subcommittee's leadership in addressing this topic and look forward to providing my expertise wherever it might be helpful.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Patel follows:]

***** INSERT 1-6 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Mr. Kumaraswamy?

STATEMENT OF KIRAN KUMARASWAMY

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Thank you, Chairman Upton, Ranking Member Rush, and distinguished members of the subcommittee. My name is Kiran Kumaraswamy, and I am a market applications director at Fluence, a Siemens and AES company. I am honored to testify in front of you today on the topic of energy storage and its role in the Nation's electricity system.

Fluence is an electricity energy storage technology and services company jointly owned by Siemens and the AES Corporation. Fluence combines the engineering, product development, implementation, and service capabilities of AES and Siemens' energy storage teams and is currently engaging in an aggressive expansion of the business, backed by financial support of the two parent organizations.

Energy storage allows us to meet the challenges related to the changing energy landscape, transforming the way we power the world by making better use of all the electricity infrastructure

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

assets we are putting on the grid and utilizing the ones that we already have in place. With the introduction of energy storage, we finally have the technical capability to create unbreakable, resilient power networks that enable the interaction of microgrids, mini-grids, and distributed generation.

Renewable energy generation is leading us towards a cleaner, more sustainable future, but the variability of that generation and the influx of low-cost clean energy is shifting the way both generation assets and power markets operate. Energy storage is needed to achieve the full potential of renewable energy and to ensure all market participants are able to benefit from this incredible transformation.

Energy storage is providing flexible peaking capacity today in California and has been deployed as a T&D asset in Arizona. Energy storage also has been proposed and selected in regional transmission planning processes in organized markets across the country.

The economics of advanced energy storage have reached the point where storage is a more cost-effective alternative to traditional single-use infrastructure, such as natural-gas-fired peaking plants, and can provide critical grid services more

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

effectively at a lower cost.

Barriers to energy storage have taken numerous forms, including market rules that inadvertently exclude energy storage from revenue streams because the market rules were written with other technologies in mind.

Fundamentally, policymakers can continue removing barriers to storage by focusing on three main policy goals: first, removing barriers to grid and market access; second, allowing storage to compete in all planning and procurement that happens across the country; and, third, appropriately valuing and compensating storage for the flexibility that it provides for our power network.

California has led the way in ensuring storage can participate in markets by allowing energy storage to be owned by both utilities and third parties, allowing it to participate and earn multiple revenue streams, and ensuring that capacity market rules don't unduly discriminate against the characteristics of energy storage.

Some States have chosen to set a storage target to increase adoption of technology and realization of potential benefits to ratepayers. This has had the beneficial effect of clarifying the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

benefits storage can provide to the State and providing confidence to developers that the State is committed to energy storage over the longer term.

These storage targets, whether binding or aspirational, can be a key factor in encouraging utilities, regulators, and stakeholders to modernize their planning and procurement practices to take advantage of energy storage, as well as to focus State regulators on identifying and addressing barriers to storage deployment.

States are also removing barriers to storage by including it in planning processes. A model in this regard is Washington State, where the commission has ruled that energy storage must be considered robustly in utilities' integrated resource plans and that generation procurement needs to happen via technology-neutral solicitations to maximize competition. By directing utilities to consider storage along with other investment options in generation, transmission, and distribution, State regulators are ensuring appropriate competition of solutions for electric grid reliability.

States are leading by making storage part of the generation mix. Storage can save U.S. consumers tens of billions of dollars,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

but this can happen only if the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission makes energy storage part of traditional transmission planning processes.

Federal policymakers have acted to remove barriers to storage. We are pleased that FERC finalized Order 841 to ensure fair and equal access for storage resources to compete in wholesale power markets. In addition, we are pleased that FERC finalized Order 845 to better enable storage to connect to the electric grid when co-located at existing power plants. We believe these are important policy initiatives at FERC that can create lasting wholesale market changes.

Chairman Upton, thank you again for the opportunity to testify today. I would like to invite you and other members of the subcommittee to visit any of our storage facilities in the United States.

Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Kumaraswamy follows:]

***** INSERT 1-7 *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Well, thank you all for your testimony.

You know, many of us here on this panel, I mean, we have pursued the all-of-the-above energy strategy, and part of that, obviously, is renewables. And we have seen great advancement in wind and solar and other forms over the last number of years. But, of course, the one knock on renewables has always been what happens when the wind doesn't blow and the sun doesn't shine, what is going to happen to that power, whether it is in West Texas or Tucson or anyplace else.

And so it really is exciting to hear the advancements that are made in energy storage, whether it is being an individual that has got that solar rooftop application or whether it may be in a big field outside of a nature center or a community college or a university or a military base. It really is exciting to see that, in fact, we can see those things happen.

And, of course, many of us here, a number of us here, went down to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, as well as those Members from Texas who experienced firsthand the awful hurricanes from a year ago and the real problems of getting that power back up to speed, particularly in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

And I know that, Mr. Kumaraswamy, you talked a little bit in

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

your testimony about how the hurricane season was certainly unprecedented. And I think that you all actually put in some electric infrastructure in the Gulf in advance. It wasn't in the islands directly impacted by the hurricane, I don't think, was it?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. One of the islands was Dominican Republic, so --

Mr. Upton. So it was impacted.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. It was impacted.

Mr. Upton. So did it perform as expected? I mean, tell us a little bit about that.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Sure.

So we did put two energy storage projects in the Dominican Republic, and both of those energy storage projects provided very critical frequency response to the local electricity system during the Hurricane Irma and Maria conditions.

Conditions on the Dominican electric grid were very volatile during both hurricanes, as generation, transmission, and distribution networks were either damaged or shut down. Both of these energy storage arrays that we deployed responded as intended and helped to keep the grid operating through the storm, even with nearly 40 to 45 percent of the Dominican Republic's generation

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

assets that were forced to shut down during Hurricane Irma and Hurricane Maria.

Mr. Upton. In the Dominican Republic -- so what we saw firsthand when we were down in Puerto Rico were, you know, the downed power lines everywhere. I mean, there was a picture again, I think in the USA Today or perhaps Wall Street Journal, earlier this week about a bridge that we actually saw that was taken out.

The cost to the ratepayers, to the consumers, as we have looked at additional storage capabilities, what is the actual either reductions in power rates -- I mean, how does it financially benefit the consumers?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. That is a good question. One of the things that I highlighted in my testimony also is that we find energy storage to be a much more cost-effective option as opposed to a single-use infrastructure asset like a natural-gas-fired peaking plant that runs for a fraction of the year, right? So, if you think about a natural-gas-fired peaking generation plant, typically they run, like, 40 to 60 hours of the year, so you are really fractionally utilizing a capital asset, spending several millions of dollars on this asset for the next 20 to 30 years and subjecting ratepayers for the cost recovery for all of these

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

assets.

We think that energy storage is a way more cost-effective option, because it is able to provide the peaking capacity when you actually need it for the electric grid, but it is also able to provide a whole range of other services that the grid needs, because energy storage assets are connected to the grid 24-by-7, in comparison to a natural-gas-fired peaking plant, which needs to be started up and shut down.

Mr. Upton. So one of the exciting things that has been happening in Michigan -- this was legislation that was adopted in a bipartisan way a number of years ago -- is that in Michigan we now have a 15-percent renewable standard. And all the utilities are able to meet that, and they have done a really good job. The indications are that by 2040 or 2045, in fact, that 15 percent is going to move up to perhaps as much as 40 to 45 percent of the electricity consumed will be from renewables.

So, to get to that point, obviously we need the storage. And I guess, though my time is expiring, I would like to know if you all have -- if there is one thing that we could do legislatively to help provide some incentives. How do we get all States to what we hope will be attained in Michigan, as it relates to perhaps

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

legislation that might expedite the improvements of battery storage?

Just real quickly, if you have any ideas, knowing that my time is expiring. But I have the gavel, and it hasn't come down yet.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Maybe I will just go very quickly.

The easiest thing to do really is to ensure that energy storage is considered in the traditional planning and procurement processes, right? So, if it is related to traditional generation options that are being procured or transmission or distribution infrastructure that needs to be put in place, to the extent that you can consider energy storage as an option in that type of analysis, I think we have seen that putting that as an option really goes a long way in terms of enabling the utilities to better understand the benefits that the technology provides.

Thanks.

Mr. Upton. Uh-huh.

Any other quick comments?

Mr. Frigo. No, I would wholeheartedly agree with that. All utilities need to put together an integrated resource plan. And it is really -- and I have seen this with the States, that has

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

been done on a State level, which have pushed energy storage to basically mandate their utilities to really use -- look to use energy storage in their system. So they have started to actively look at different ways across our system. And that is really what has jump-started it.

So I think it is providing direction through a regulatory way to have utilities look at it as a tool within their system and to -- also, the other big thing is through the interconnection process that you have throughout the U.S., different in different markets, but to clear that path as well.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Mr. Rush?

Mr. Rush. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Kumaraswamy, you -- I hope I pronounced your name accurately -- you had indicated to the chairman that you were very involved in the Dominican Republic during the Hurricane Maria and you were able to stabilize the electricity network there in the Dominican Republic.

Do you know anything about the Dominican Republic's next-door neighbor? Can you compare what happened to them with what happened in Haiti? Or do you have any insight into --

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. That is a good question also. The impact of the hurricanes was, to my knowledge, less than on the Dominican Republic. And so I can't quite answer the question of comparing how much it was impacted in the Dominican versus Haiti or Puerto Rico.

But I think the real issue here is that energy storage is able to add resiliency to the electric system. And that is because it is able to provide frequency control for the electric grid in a manner that is very superior to some of the traditional resources that we have on the electric system that perform the same job.

So, if you think about the traditional electric generators that we have, they are usually pretty slow in responding to changes in the system frequency. Because of the thermal inertia that they have, it takes a while for them to actually stabilize the grid frequency. In comparison, energy storage is extremely quick to provide the response, which means that it is able to arrest any frequency decline much faster, right?

And so that is the nature in which the energy storage arrays that we deployed in Dominican actually acted. And so it should provide the same type of response wherever it is deployed.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Rush. Well, thank you.

Mr. Patel, you mentioned your work in helping to establish the New York Energy Storage Roadmap. In your opinion, could there also be a Federal energy storage roadmap? And, if so, what would a plan look like? And what would it require from Congress, FERC, the RTOs, ISOs, or some combination of each of these stakeholders?

And the last part of the question is, what policies do you think are needed to help monetize storage benefits?

Mr. Patel. Thank you, Ranking Member Rush. That is a great question.

You know, like with any roadmap, you know, there is a beginning, a road, and the end. And I think, you know, for New York, it is basically trying to figure out exactly how to kind of reach a fairly ambitious target set by Governor Cuomo, and there are a lot of things that can be done in the near term, in the long term.

And I think, you know, one of the big things about energy storage is that costs are coming down so fast, so the idea, then, is the timing element of, you know, identifying what are the highest-value applications now that can actually justify paying for itself and then how to actually take advantage of all the cost

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

declines that are happening from technology providers and other people that are working hard on that and then incorporating that into the grid in a way that benefits all consumers and ratepayers.

Knowing that, you know, the electricity grid and things like that have been set for a very long time and in a very particular way, so it takes time to, like Keith said, to be able to make sure that you are paying for the services you are getting and also integrating it in a way that enhances resiliency and reliability and not that makes it worse.

So, you know, I think at the Federal level, you know, I think I echo what we say here in the panel, is that the planning process and procurement has to change. Anyone who is in the energy industry is very excited about storage, including the utilities and everyone else. But the way that they do planning and procurement is very prescribed. So allowing, kind of, more flexibility, looking at more assets, and things like that I think would be a very, kind of, useful way for the Federal Government to help States and other entities that are kind of regulated at the wholesale level to be able to think through how to utilize the storage in the most beneficial way, kind of, now in the next couple years but also in the long term, especially as we add more

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

renewables and other types of resources.

Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman, since you are a fair chairman and since you took some extra time --

Mr. Upton. You go right ahead. The gavel hasn't come down yet.

Mr. Rush. All right.

Mr. Upton. Are you yielding back?

Mr. Rush. No, I'm not. I want to ask Dr. Casey a question.

Dr. Casey, can you just assess the level of the working relationship or the quality of the working relationship that you have with the Department of Energy? Are they fully engaged in partnership with this whole effort around storage?

Mr. Casey. The Department of Energy you are asking?

Mr. Rush. Yes.

Mr. Casey. Yes, I would say the Department of Energy is actually a leader in developing microgrids, advanced energy storage systems. They have a number of projects in California that we have been collaborating with, as have the California State agencies.

So I think from a defense standpoint they view it as very imperative, from a resiliency standpoint, to maintain their

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

operations. So I think they have been doing a terrific job in that regard.

Mr. Rush. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Upton. Mr. Barton.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

RPTR ALLDRIDGE

EDTR ZAMORA

[9:58 a.m.]

Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

You know, I have been on this committee quite a while. I have been on the subcommittee I think the whole time. Rarely do we have a hearing or a -- something like this where I know nothing about it, but you have got me today. I know almost nothing about battery storage capacity for the grid, so I am really glad to have this hearing.

I have got one parochial question, and then I have got a series of questions just on how you evaluate cost. The Brinkman book says that California ISO and the PJM ISO up in the Midwest have more capacity than Ercon, which hurts me as a Texan. I assume that is because Texas has such unlimited production energy capacity and coal-fired, natural gas fired, lignite -- I mean, wind power, even solar power and nuclear power. Is that right, Mr. Frigo? Is that correct? Is that why Texas is lagging behind California?

Mr. Frigo. Well, I think it is just a numbers game with demand. PJM encompasses several States, from Illinois ranging all

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

the way to the east to New Jersey, and that is a very large area with a very large industrial, commercial, and residential base.

So as I mentioned before in my testimony, the grid -- the power system here in the U.S. is built on a just-in-time system. So you have to have generation available to meet demand. So if you have an area with a large demand, such as PJM, you are going to have a lot of generation. California is -- you know, if you just look at its GDP by itself, it is a very large area. So that obviously has a significant amount of load to -- that is needed and, therefore, you have a lot of generation as well.

Texas has quite a bit of generation, but most of the -- most of the load or demand, as you well know, is in the eastern part of the State with the major cities out in the western part of the State. You know, it is more rural, and so you don't have as great a demand, but you do have a lot of wind power in the western part of the State.

Mr. Barton. My Texas pride doesn't need to be hurt by that, is what you are telling me.

Now I want to ask some questions about cost. What is the incremental added cost of storage versus standby generation? Because it would seem to me -- and I listened to what you said.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

It seemed to me that it would be better to have a power plant, maybe it is an old one, but it has already been discounted and depreciated, that is there than the added cost of building a big battery powered storage facility. Am I wrong on that?

Because, I mean, one of you said how much the costs are coming down. Is it now -- is it more cost-effective now to have storage capacity that can't generate as opposed to an actual power plant that is on standby?

I don't -- whoever is smartest can answer that.

Mr. Casey. Well, I for sure won't go first then, at least not on that criteria.

I think the question you are asking is, if you have an existing power plant that is fully depreciated, would it make sense to add storage in place of it. And I think that really depends on the circumstance. But when you look at cost, I think part of the cost needs to, at least in the case of California, look at the environmental implications. California has a very aggressive goal to decarbonize its grid, which means they are looking for alternatives to relying on dirty, old convention power plants that are providing peak shaving capacity.

Mr. Barton. Is it fair to say -- and I am not against

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

battery storage. Don't misunderstand. Or water storage or whatever storage is most cost-effective. But I am a little bit skeptical if we are doing this simply because we don't like natural gas power, we don't like coal power, we don't like nuclear power, because that would be an added cost that somebody's got to bear. Is that correct? It may be socially politically viable, but it is not economically the best decision.

Mr. Casey. Yeah. And, again, I would say -- and, again, I think this is very much a matter of State policy. But if you have a State policy where you are focused on decarbonizing the grid and incorporating the cost when it comes to planning of the environmental cost of emissions, then when you look at it from that scope, adding battery storage to replacing an existing power plant can make sense from an economic standpoint.

Mr. Barton. My time has expired. I will have a number of questions, I hope for the record, that they can answer on on how they value cost and the various algorithms, things like that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Upton. Mr. McNerney.

Mr. McNerney. I thank the chairman. And I neglected to welcome our two Californians here this morning. Mr. Casey and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Patel, welcome. Thank you for testifying. Thank you all.
Very interesting testimony this morning.

Mr. Casey, you mentioned that California is mostly compliant with FERC Order 841. What are some of the lessons learned from that implementation that could be brought to other States?

Mr. Casey. Well, I think we are still -- we are still learning. Battery storage in California is relatively new. Operationally, we have had just about 2 years of experience. I think the big thing is to really, as ISO/RTOs, to really engage with the storage resources that are participating, to understand what they are seeing. We have made refinements to our market model for battery storage based on feedback we have received from developers. So I think that is important.

I also think, when it comes to the value proposition of storage in organized markets, I think California can check the box on every value category for storage. The challenge is how do you stack those values and not look at them in silos. So if you are looking at battery storage as a transmission alternative, what are the other values it could provide to the ISO? And I think that is kind of the next stage of market sophistication with battery storage is stacking those multiuse values.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. McKinley. And that goes into my next question of behind the meter storage. How do you value that? I mean -- and is blockchains one of the potential solutions? And if it is, what about the energy implications of using blockchains?

Mr. Casey. Yeah. Well, behind the meter storage can actually, in the California ISO, participate in the wholesale market. It can do it as a demand response, which is what we typically see. So we never see the actual output of battery storage, but what we do see is a reduction in demand at the end-use consumer. So we have a number of applications where behind the meter storage is providing demand response capability.

In terms of facilitating procurement of those types of resources, the California Public Utilities Commission does run an auction process where people can bid to offer those services and utilities buy them. I know they have talked about the potential for blockchain technology to help facilitate that. But I think it has all been very preliminary, so -- I am not an expert on blockchain technology. I don't think it has been seriously considered in the context of behind the meter storage. But that is something we could certainly follow up with you on.

Mr. McKinley. Can anyone on the panel address the blockchain

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

energy question that we are using blockchains for residential mere valuation drive a large energy cost?

No one on the panel?

Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Kuznar, thank you for your testimony this morning. What incentives will encourage you to reach the 145-megawatt goal more quickly?

Mr. Kuznar. I think a couple of things. You know, one, we have got a lot of those projects kind of planned out. We actually want to grow beyond that. You know, one thing that was touched upon, which we are really kind of focused on and I would like to expound upon a bit which is going to help us, is kind of the planning process. And, you know, one thing we have traditionally always done is looked at kind of a generation planning to meet our load and looked at the -- you know, what is the lowest cost generation. We have looked at transmission distribution.

One of the projects that we are really rolling out and was just going to help us, I think, exceed that is kind of coupling those. So when I look at battery storage, for example, I can put it out at distribution circuit and defer an upgrade. You know, that could improve reliability. I could also use a fleet of those

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

assets to peak shave. I could use it for frequency regulation. So how do we kind of bundle those values together? And that has been one of our big focuses. But, you know, our plan is to kind of execute on those and then exceed that number going forward.

Mr. McKinley. Thank you.

My next question is for Mr. Patel and Mr. Kumaraswamy. How would you properly value storage? How would you do it? I mean, you are telling us we need to figure out how to properly value storage. What are your recommendations?

Mr. Patel. I can go first.

We have done a lot of economic analysis at E3 on this, and, you know, it is challenging because storage is so flexible. So sometimes, you know, as others have said it, it uses kind of a Pico replacement. So, you know, instead of building a new combined cycle or combustion turbine, you know, we are using it to, you know, avoid that. So it is providing that service. And other times I might be doing something for the distribution utility. And behind the meter, I might be doing something for the customer itself reducing their bills.

So the idea, then, is to figure out exactly how that all works together and in a market participation model. So you are

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

making sure that, you know, it is doing the things it is supposed to be doing, getting paid for it, but also making sure they can actually perform and do that.

So I think that is -- you know, what values can actually be stacked realistically with the technology we have today. And then also going forward, you have those values change too. So it is, you know, what we can do today and what is value today. Then also the grid is changing, so the values will change as well.

So, you know, maybe for some years some values will be very high and other years it will be very low. And then, you know, how can you take advantage of that? Very big challenge.

Mr. McKinley. In the interest of time, I am going to just ask -- I will propose this as a question for the record for both of you, and anyone else that would like to answer that.

Thank you. I yield back.

Mr. Upton. Mr. McKinley.

Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I am just -- I am maybe a little bit like Barton, just curious more about how this all operates. I can understand when I see a power plant, whether it is coal, nuclear, gas. But when we have battery storage, are they onsite with these facilities,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

tangential? Where are these lithium batteries? Because about 80 percent of our storage is in lithium. Where are these and how secure are they?

Mr. Frigo. I could probably answer that first.

These lithium-ion batteries actually can be used for -- we talk about multiple applications. They can be used for multiple different things. They can be used for generation and they could actually be used as a transmission or distribution type of device. So depending on who you talk to, there is upwards of, call it 15 to 20 different applications. And we talked a little bit about them today.

But they can be located depending on -- it is very dependent upon the application. If it is a T&D, transmission and distribution deferral type of application that was mentioned earlier, you would locate it near the substation or the power line where you have the problem on. If you are using it more --

Mr. McKinley. Okay. Maybe we need to have a followup with that.

I don't -- I think, Dr. Casey, you comment a little bit about it, is that you can't -- I thought I heard you say you can't measure the outflow. Someone may have said -- implied that. But

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I am just -- say PJM -- PJM and California, between the two of them, 70 to 80 percent of all battery storage in America. How often is it used? How often are we drawing down on it? And when we do draw down on it, over an annualized basis, what is the equivalent? Is it an equivalent of a 1400-megawatt power station that could have been available? I am just -- I am trying to get to quantify the demand and how we use our battery discharge.

Mr. Casey. Well, in the case of California --

Mr. McKinley. Go to the PJM.

Mr. Casey. Well, I can't really speak for PJM, I am afraid.

Mr. McKinley. Okay. Well, try your California model.

Mr. Casey. Okay. In the case of California, we use the batteries we have in our market quite frequently. We are almost daily dispatching them. We have kind of a systemic issue where we tend to have oversupply during the middle of the day with the solar output.

Mr. McKinley. What I am driving towards, what is the -- give me so that I can -- are we talking about over a year's time in California? They are a little different out there. But I am trying to figure out what is the equivalent for a power station? How many power stations have we avoided by using battery storage?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Casey. Well, we have roughly --

Mr. McKinley. What is the discharge? How much do we discharge in a year's time in California?

Mr. Casey. We have roughly about 134 megawatts of batteries on our system. We operate those daily. So I would suggest to you that they operate at the equivalence of a power plant of that same size.

Mr. McKinley. Okay. So does having this -- by using -- does the consumer save money by having battery operations in their grid system?

Mr. Casey. Yes. They can in multiple ways. And I know some of the panelists are eager to speak to that. But it gets to this multiuse value, particularly if the battery is located behind the customer's meter. So I will defer to some of my colleagues.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yeah. I mean, it absolutely saves money for repairs. I will give you one example. And I will also answers one of the previous questions.

In 2014, the Southern California Edison, which is one of the utilities in California, they had a shortage of capacity in the Southern California region. And they had a solicitation that was technology-neutral, and they went to the market to actually get

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

all types of resources. And in that process, they actually selected 100 megawatts of grid scale energy storage resource on an economic basis, which means that, to your previous question, the 100 megawatts of four-hour energy storage project is going to be operated like 100 megawatt peaking plant.

Mr. McKinley. Okay. Well, I know that we are using primarily lithium-ion batteries, but we know they are much more expensive than the nickel-cadmium. Is there a reason that they just stay charged longer? They don't have a -- the loss? What is the rationale? Because we are -- by far, people use lithium ion, but they are a more expensive battery to use.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. I can probably take it also.

The platforms that we have at Fluence are technology agnostic, but by far, most of the projects that we have deployed have been lithium-ion. And that is for a range of reasons, which include the lifetime cost of the overall project and the fact that you have an established supply chain behind this technology right now.

And so if you think about where we can add value for utilities and for ratepayers, we think that lithium-ion is kind of the leading technology right now. But, again, that is the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

situation in present day today.

Mr. McKinley. My time has gone over. My point is, I guess, that if lithium-ion is 40 percent more expensive, if we went nickel-cadmium, wouldn't we be able to lower the rates for the utility consumer?

Mr. Frigo. Lithium-ion is -- there is actually different types of chemistries, and lithium-ion is a general classification of batteries. And there is different actual chemistries, compositions, of which nickel manganese cobalt is actually one type of lithium-ion battery. So it is actually a lithium-ion battery.

Mr. Upton. Mr. Peters.

Mr. Peters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just -- in response to Mr. McKinley, my colleague, I just had this article that SDG&E unveiled the largest lithium-ion battery storage facility in 2017. 30 megawatts of the 130, I think, is probably this facility, equivalent of 20,000 customers for 4 hours.

And I am really excited about -- to hear all this innovation that is going on. I am excited to hear that batteries came back as part of a competition that was technology-neutral. I think we are heading for a lot of great opportunity here.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

My questions have to do with what is the role of the Federal Government as opposed to the State government.

Mr. Frigo. Is that right?

Mr. Frigo. Yes.

Mr. Peters. Just in your testimony, it says market rules should, not only ensure participation, but should be examined to ensure that interconnection processes do not constitute barriers to entry.

Can you explain that to me?

Mr. Frigo. Sure.

Mr. Peters. Is there a Federal role in that or is that a State role?

Mr. Frigo. That is actually a market role. So PJM, California, ISO, they all have their different interconnection processes. Yeah. So for us as an independent power producer, when we go to develop a new project, whether it be wind, solar, natural gas, energy storage, we have to go through the interconnection process which defines -- they study the amount of megawatts we propose to put on the system, see how it impacts the system, and if there is any upgrades that are needed associated with that.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So if you have -- and I will use an example. If you have 100-megawatt solar project in California and you are proposing to put, say, a 20-megawatt energy storage system or battery with that, it would be studied as a 120-megawatt facility, but in reality, it would not be operated as a 120-megawatt facility, because what you would be doing is you are actually taking some of that peak generation that is made during the high irradiation during the middle of the day and shifting it towards some shoulder period. So you really need to study it more where for how it is going to be operated.

So these are the rules from the interconnection process that we need to make sure that it gets studied as it is actually going to be operated.

Mr. Peters. Is there some government thing that is standing in the way of that happening? That is what I want to understand. What is the impediment to doing that, Dr. Casey?

Mr. Casey. Well, just to clarify, I am not familiar with interconnection. Not that it is in other ISO/RTOs. But in the case of California, Mr. Frigo's example, we would actually study that project as 100 megawatts, provided the plant facility operator agrees they will never go above that.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So the point is, if they add a 20-megawatt battery, they have the potential to generate at 120. So long as they agree they will manage their facility and never go above 100, we will study it at 100. So that is an accommodation we made in our interconnection process. Maybe that type of accommodation needs to be done elsewhere.

But to get at your more general question about the role of Federal versus --

Mr. Peters. So just in that instance, this is something that the ISO takes care of?

Mr. Casey. Yes.

Mr. Peters. Okay. Go ahead.

Mr. Casey. Yeah. I think part of the challenge here is, depending on the scale of the storage facility, if it is a smaller project that is developed on the distribution system, it can have State jurisdiction issues, particularly if it is being connected behind a customer meter.

So when you talk about a Federal roadmap for storage, you know, that might make sense in the context of large scale transmission connected. But I think more generally, these roadmaps, as New York has one, California has an energy storage

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

roadmap, it is really recognizing that a lot of this is State policy. The Federal policy has to align with it, but there is a lot of State policy that has to align as well.

Mr. Peters. Okay. And then, Mr. Patel, you have got in your testimony storage assets must be fairly compensated. Again, that seems like it is something that the States and the ISOs handle. Is that right?

Mr. Patel. Yeah, that is right. I think, to Dr. Casey's point as well, and to -- you know, really, it is -- that seems the issue between the State and the Federal Government. And that's really, you know, something that has to be worked on. I think as a part of a Federal energy storage roadmap, that would be something that I think would be top of mind of exactly, you know, how it participates in the wholesale market. And if it was just participating in wholesale markets only, it is fairly straightforward. If it is only doing distribution of retail, it is also straightforward. But if it is doing kind of all of those things, then it starts getting very complicated. And I think that is the role of the Federal Government, FERC, and others to figure out exactly, you know, how to manage that.

You know, the easiest thing to do is say you can never do

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

wholesale if you are doing distribution retail, and vice versa. But that, as we know, you know, really diminishes the value of energy storage.

Mr. Peters. Are you comfortable with the Federal Government prescribing through FERC some sort of national rule on that?

Mr. Patel. I think it would be part of a pretty ongoing -- I mean, it is already happening.

Mr. Peters. Okay. It seems like people are figuring it out without our help. But if you need our help, I want to know.

It has been my impression, before my time runs out too, by the way, that basic research funding for energy is something that the Federal Government can contribute to but that the States are doing a pretty good job of figuring out ways to make efficient markets. And we love the competition between California and Texas. It got my California pride up right now.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Upton. Thank you.

Mr. Long.

Mr. Long. Thank you, Chairman.

Dr. Kuznar and Mr. Frigo, I have a question for both of you. What concerns me the most is when it comes to our electrical

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

infrastructure is grid reliability, which I think that concerns most people, particularly ensuring customers in rural areas get dependable electricity delivered to them.

How do energy storage technologies help your companies ensure rural areas get the reliable electricity that they need?

Dr. Kuznar?

Mr. Kuznar. Great. And I thank you for that question. Perfect example is, you know, one of our projects that we just approval for in Indiana is actually a very rural community. It is a radially fed line which was to really bring in an additional distribution feeder there to improve the reliability; was extremely difficult just due to the trees, terrain.

So what we are actually doing is we are going to put a battery storage device out there, which, during a grid outage, will provide backup power to that community, give the crews enough time to, you know, fix the major outage and get them back up. So hopefully, they don't see there is any outage there.

And I think that is just a perfect example of one of the tools that it provides. You know, we are not -- when we look at kind of our makeup as a whole, you know, we don't look at storage as a replacement for base-load generation. That is still

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

extremely important, you know, for our business. But it provides us these abilities to improve reliability for rural communities, you know, provide -- deal with frequency regulation, you know, help us integrate solar and provide some peaking needs as well. But I think it is a perfect tool, an example, of how we can improve the reliability.

Mr. Long. Okay. And, Mr. Frigo, how do energy storage technologies help your companies ensure rural areas get the reliable electricity they need?

Mr. Frigo. I think Dr. Kuznar answered that really well. This is -- when you hear the term "microgrid," effectively, rural communities tend to be a microgrid because it is, as you mentioned, at the end of a long radial line, which is a radial line that is just a single line that goes off and ends. And from an electrical perspective, that tends to be a very weak part of the electric system as opposed to an area that weren't very well connected.

So if you put energy storage towards the end of that radial line, it helps stabilize the grid so when you have extreme weather events, it improves the reliability so that you don't -- it lowers the probability of the grid actually collapsing in those areas.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Long. So energy storage technology can be used in microgrids to provide affordable and secure energy for communities?

Mr. Frigo. Absolutely.

Mr. Long. Okay. I will stick with the two of you. I will let you go first, Mr. Frigo, this time.

Can this technology ultimately lower rates for these customers?

Mr. Frigo. Yes, they can lower rates. And I will give an example of something we are doing up in New York that helps lower the rates.

So we are working with a large utility up in that State to -- that has a -- they have to build out their distribution system. The reason why they have to build out their distribution system is it is a bit of a weak system and it is in an area that is growing from a residential and a commercial perspective, so they see an increase in demand over the next 10 to 20 years.

So in the past, they would just say, okay, let's go build some new transmission lines, new distribution lines, and upgrade a substation. But that can be a very costly affair. And so what they did is they looked at a non-wires alternative, i.e., energy

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

storage which actually solved the same problem at a fraction of the cost of the alternative distribution.

So that is one example where the cost of an energy storage system solved the same problem -- or the cost of the energy storage system was lower than the alternative, which was to upgrade the distribution lines and the substation.

Mr. Long. Okay. Dr. Kuznar, do you agree that the technology ultimately can lower rates for customers?

Mr. Kuznar. It can. And, you know, I think one of the pieces that we really have to focus on that we are is just how we kind of model that and build those cases.

So a perfect example is the distribution upgrade, you know, an example I gave. You know, you might have an instance where, let's say, the distribution upgrade was \$8 million. The battery might be -- let's say it is a little more. Let's say it is \$10 million. But if I am just building out that distribution upgrade, that is all it is doing. You know, if I have got a storage asset, I can then utilize that for providing some regulation services. You know, if I have a fleet, they can provide peak capacity.

And then when you start adding those values together, you have got an asset that could do a lot more than just the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

traditional wire.

Mr. Long. Okay. I have several more questions here, but I think I will just submit them for the record, because -- and hopefully, you can get with my staff on your answers, because in 8 seconds, I can't give you proper time to respond.

So, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Olson. [Presiding.] Thank you.

Mr. Doyle, 5 minutes, sir.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is a question for all of the panelists. I want you to talk a little bit about the effect you think an investment tax credit would have on investment and deployment of storage technology for your company or the industry in general. Maybe just start with you, Doctor, and go down the line.

Mr. Kuznar. Right. And thank you for that question. I think a general investment tax credit will obviously lower the cost to the asset which could increase deployment. I think the only thing we would be interested in there is the utility is to be exempt from any tax normalization so we can kind of play on a level playing field. But, I mean, I think lowering the cost, you will see, you know, increased deployment.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Frigo. Thanks, Mr. Doyle, for your efforts with the investment tax credit.

It would accelerate the implementation of energy storage, no question about it. And how does that lower the cost to the end consumer? I can give an example. Our Iron Horse project, which actually is paired with a solar project, we were able to take the ITC because it was an integral part of that solar facility. The ITC that we were able to claim on that energy storage project we passed back to Tucson Electric Power in the form of a lower price, which they were able to provide in terms of lower prices for their customers. So there is a real example of it basically benefiting the end user.

Mr. Casey. Yeah. No question, it would accelerate development.

I would note, again, in the case of California, that we do have State procurement mandates. So we have a mandate for the utilities to procure 1300 megawatts of battery storage by 2020. So, you know, that is the vehicle that is driving the storage development you are seeing in California.

Mr. Patel. I also agree with the other panelists. In the New York storage roadmap, you know, we saw that the solar plus

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

storage applications were more cost-effective in the near term because of the ITC when you pair it. So it is just lower costs and, therefore, it becomes more cost-effective earlier, therefore you get more deployment.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Thank you, Congressman, for your work on H.R. 4649.

For the record, I would just like to say that storage actually adds value wherever you add it to the electric grid, right? So whether it is paired with renewable resources or whether you pair it with some other traditional energy generation facilities or wireless options, storage is able to add value to the electric system, right?

And so part of the problem that we have with section 48 of the ITC right now is that it wrongly sends a market signal that assumes that the value of storage has to be dependent on being co-located with a renewable facility, which disparts the market signal in terms of communicating the value that storage brings. And so broadening the definition to include energy storage as a standalone asset or as an asset that can be added to any type of option, whether it is traditional generation, operational wires would really provide the right market signal for developers, for

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

regulators, for utilities to make sure that you are able to fully utilize the technology and deliver those benefits to ratepayers.

Mr. Doyle. Great. Maybe just piggybacking on that, let me ask you all to -- you know, the cost is the main barrier, right, to implementing the technology? So what else -- what other suggestions or recommendations do you have on how we can bring down cost?

Mr. Kuznar. I mean, I think as you see increased deployment, you know, the costs will come down as manufacturing, you know, continues to improve there. You know, again, I do think, though, when you look at the cost, again, it is how your -- at least from a utility's standpoint, how we traditionally modeled it. And I think if you kind of start looking at the values it provides from generation transmission or distribution, which is just a different process for us to use, that you are going to see cost-effective storage solutions in the very near term. We are already.

Mr. Frigo. I was going to say, I think it is very important for the committee to look into electric vehicles, because electric vehicles are what is really driving the cost down for batteries that are being used in the grid. And, in fact, the batteries that we are currently using for grid solutions are actually being

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

manufactured in the same facilities as electric vehicles. So we are really riding the coattails of that. So as electric vehicles go forward and expanded manufacturing capacity is made for them, we will see lower costs on the electric side as well.

Mr. Doyle. Interesting.

Anyone else?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. I would just add, I fully agree with Dr. Kuznar's statement on increased deployment. And I think that is a role that this committee can play. I mentioned it in my testimony previously, through the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, if there is a way in which energy storage can be considered as a mainstream transmission and distribution asset. We have seen examples of utilities deploying energy storage as a reliable T&D asset. How do we make this systematic change where all the utilities across the country are doing the same thing? Kind of evaluating these energy storage resources on the same hand today, evaluate the wires options.

Because what we have seen is that when that process happens, and when the process happened in the generation side, there was a lot of learning that went through in terms of understanding the technology and understanding the benefits that the technology can

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

provide to ratepayers, and that that discovery process needs to happen on the transmission and distribution side.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Walberg, 5 minutes for questions, sir.

Mr. Walberg. I thank the chairman.

I thank the panel for being here. Interesting. Interesting discussion today.

In my home State of Michigan, there is the Ludington pump storage facility. It has been described as one of the world's largest electric batteries. And I believe when it was built, it was also the largest pumped hydroelectric storage facility in the world. There is roughly 1870 megawatts of electricity that can seemly be dispatched at a moment's notice to help at peak demand.

Pumped hydro facilities like Ludington seem to provide valuable assets to the grid. And to ratepayers, they are very unique, very specific.

Mr. Patel and Dr. Kuznar, I would like you to address these questions, but anyone else that wants to jump in and add a little bit more, I would appreciate it. Could you please describe the unique assets that pumped hydro facilities bring to the table?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Patel?

Mr. Patel. Sure. I worked with several developers looking at pumped hydro, mostly on the West Coast. So, you know, the biggest challenges they have are that we haven't built a new pumped hydro facility in this country in quite a long time. So the idea, then, is to basically get the regulators and other folks, you know, onboard with the values that it could provide. And, again, as we have talked about, you know, a lot times, some of these values can be on the transmission side, some could be just from the wholesale markets. And in some jurisdictions, there may be no markets, so the utility has to basically buy in and monetize those values itself.

So, you know, the unique aspects are that it is a, you know, proven mature technology that has been in use for decades and can have really reliable performance and things of that. The downside of those technologies is that they are large and they require, you know, fairly long -- big investment, so --

Mr. Walberg. Dr. Kuznar.

Mr. Kuznar. Great. Thank you. We also in North Carolina have a couple thousand megawatts of pumped hydro. And if you talk to our grid operators, they will tell you, you know, they can't

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

live without it, just the way it gives them the flexibility.

And I think what we are seeing with lithium technology is kind of the ability to give the operators more storage to give them more flexibility, but to do it in kind of smaller increments at specific locations that are needed, but also do it in a much quicker fashion.

So instead of, you know, our pumped hydro facilities, you know, total, I believe are a little over 2,000 megawatts. In this instance, we are able to kind of deploy these a little quicker, you know, 5, 10, 15, 20 megawatt chunks on the best locations on the grid, which we feel it is needed. But the pumped hydro is a critical part of our infrastructure as well.

Mr. Casey. And if I might, as the sole grid operator on the panel, we love pumped hydro. We have a little over 1,800 megawatts of it on our system.

I think in terms of what makes it somewhat unique relative to batteries is the duration of -- you can get -- you know, in the case of California, we have a need to ramp up energy to manage the solar for spans of 10 hours a day. And having the ability to have a big resource like a pumped hydro facility follow that profile, batteries typically have shorter discharge periods.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

But as was noted, new pumped hydro is very costly. It is a long lead time investment. And the open issue really is, as battery costs are declining, does it make sense to invest in these huge infrastructure projects. It is something California is grappling with right now.

Mr. Walberg. Are there any more being developed?

Mr. Patel. Yeah, there are several. You know, I personally worked on at least two in Oregon and Washington that total about 1,600 megawatts. There is a couple proposed in California as well that are a couple thousand and then throughout Arizona and other places. So there are definitely ones that have gotten actually FERC licenses already, at least two that I am aware of, offhand. Nothing has been developed and no kind of contracts have been signed for those sites yet.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. If I may, just want to add one comment that was not reflected, which is the speed at which you can actually deploy battery energy storage. One of the projects that we delivered at the beginning of last year to San Diego Gas and Electric, the speed at which the project was actually delivered to San Diego Gas and Electric was about 6 to 8 months.

So when the utility actually desired to procure storage to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

when the storage facility actually became operational was about 6 to 8 months. And so that is one of the key advantages of the battery-based energy storage, is that you can really cut down the lead time to where it is actually bringing these assets onto the grid. So --

Mr. Walberg. So would you conclude that the strength of a role for pumped storage facilities, hydro facilities, in the future is pretty limited or is it moving forward?

Mr. Casey. I would say, in the case of California, it is an open question that is being studied and evaluated. So it is certainly on the table.

Mr. Walberg. Okay. Thank you. And I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Schrader, 5 minutes for questions, sir.

Mr. Schrader. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I guess first question, Mr. Frigo, following up on some of the discussion about what is the Federal Government's appropriate role. Everyone wants to get a tax credit. That is always wonderful. It lowers the cost, makes things wonderful.

How long and when should the Federal Government intervene in some of these new technologies?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

We are spending money like drunken sailors here nowadays. Defense, nondefense mandatory, you name it. But at some point in time, there may be a reckoning. We may want to get fiscally responsible again.

And, you know, I think there is a place for the Federal Government to incentivize new technologies trying to get, you know, the cost down, make it worthwhile for private enterprise, nonprofits to engage.

What is the timeframe for a technology to prove itself, perhaps? And when should the Federal Government start to back out to avoid market distortion?

Mr. Frigo. That is a very good question. I can tell you it is going to -- we need -- you know, you need one for 2.3 years or something like that. I think, you know, the key is you only need it for the time for it to be competitive. And then at that point where it is competitive, then you shouldn't need to be able to have a tax credit anymore.

You know, energy storage, I think, as we talked about, the costs are coming down significantly. My guess is that it would happen much sooner than, for instance, the ITC or the PTC for wind and solar.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Schrader. Okay.

Mr. Frigo. So I think it is not that long, but I can't really tell you an exact amount of time.

Mr. Schrader. Anyone else have a comment on that?

Mr. Patel -- or --

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yeah. I actually have a comment. This was the same thing that I said earlier. We already have the section 48 of the ITC that is being applied to energy storage. And the IRS actually had a process of getting feedback from stakeholders, and the process has stalled and is slowly beginning back up again.

And so what we are talking about is an issue that is already existing, right? And so storage that is paired with renewable energy generation is able to get the investment tax credit today, subject to certain rules that are slightly fuzzy that are pending clarification by the IRS, right?

And so what we are essentially seeing is that the value of storage to the grid is happening regardless of whether it is paired with renewable energy generation or not, right? Because when you have to fire up a natural gas fired peaking plant and provide the peaking capacity, and storage is able to provide that

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

more cost-effectively, it is able to provide the same level of service in that application, right? And it may do so without being paired with a renewable energy generation facility, right?

And so what we are really asking for is a much more broader, all-encompassing definition of the eligible sort of technologies that can qualify under the section 48. So --

Mr. Schrader. Good, good.

Mr. Patel. And I will add one last thing, if I may.

Mr. Schrader. Sure.

Mr. Patel. You know, in New York, what we saw was that, you know, there are a couple of kind of high-value applications that are cost-effective today. But, you know, they are kind of unique because the costs are so high for energy storage. So one of the things we looked at there was, you know, it doesn't make sense to accelerate the market by utilizing, you know, a bridge incentive or some other incentive to basically, you know, bring forward some of that development and then reduce some of these costs, you know, that are kind of less hardware but more kind of what we call soft, which is permitting interconnection, you know, getting developers in the State, things like that.

So I think, you know, there is kind of that push and pull of,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

you know, you can just sit there and wait for the market to evolve and then take advantage of it in 5 to 10 years perhaps, or you can push it forward and take advantage of it sooner and then transforming the market, which we have seen in other technologies like solar and wind.

Mr. Schrader. All right. Second question. I have got some utilities in my area of the world that are looking at hot water heaters as a battery, potentially. You know, they store a lot of water. They toss a lot of money to keep them going 24/7. They are new smart devices that could be implemented by different utilities. You shut them off at different times.

Are you guys exploring this technology? Do you know any entities that engage? Mr. Frigo, I guess.

Mr. Frigo. Yes. Those are called demand response type of technologies. And that is what we call low-hanging fruit. That is the elimination of waste and being able to, you know, use your energy more effectively and efficiently. Absolutely, that is a tool that should be pursued across the U.S. in all -- in the electric system and by all utilities. And most of them are.

Mr. Schrader. Very cool.

Last quick question. And I don't -- one quick answer, and

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

then I maybe get more complete answers later.

With storage coming online here, it seems to me the traditional utility model is being disrupted in a big way. And what we pay folks for usually generation-type stuff, do we -- you know, now we have storage, we have distribution. Are the utility -- is the utility world going through a renaissance about how they should be applying and, you know, charging people? And is the Federal Government and State governments keeping up with that change that is going on?

I think that is really important, because we are no longer in the 20th century. We are in the 21st.

Mr. Kuznar, real quick.

I am sorry, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Kuznar. Yeah. No. Definitely. I mean, just with distributed generation in general. I mean, for years, it was just a one-way flow of electrons from large central power plants to homes and businesses. And now there is rooftop solar, there is storage, there is, you know, all these different services. So I would definitely say it is going through an interesting transformation.

Mr. Schrader. I will leave it at that. And I thank the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

committee's indulgence. And I hope we address this issue. It would be something that we should be looking at as a committee, I think, going forward.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Olson. I thank my friend. And misinformation about sailors like me is noted.

Dr. Bucshon, 5 minutes.

Mr. Bucshon. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Earlier this year, I had the pleasure of touring Indianapolis Power and Lighting Company's battery energy storage system, which uses lithium-ion batteries for frequency control and has the capacity of 20 megawatts. It was impressive to see in person, I have to admit. And I am happy to hear that Duke Energy will be adding more energy storage to the Hoosier State.

As you are all aware, FERC has recently begun the process of addressing energy storage's role in the markets. But I would like to hear from you all on what barriers still remain for energy storage's access to the interconnection. And so I can start at -- whomever wants to start.

So the question is, are there still barriers that -- to integrating the energy storage's role into the grid, essentially,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

and what are those barriers?

Mr. Frigo. Yeah. Thanks for the question. I think we touched on this a little bit earlier where we talked about that looking at energy storage and the specific application for which it is being used and then making sure that the relevant interconnection process that we have to go through, depending on where it is in the country, actually models that project for how it is going to be used, that Dr. Casey mentioned about how it is being used in California.

So I think more direction to not so much the markets, because I think the markets are pretty on top of this. But also in those States that don't have -- are not governed by a market, like many of the western States are kind of off on their own, and any interconnection process that you have to go through there to make it easier for companies like us to be able to properly study the energy storage project that is being proposed.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yeah. I would probably just add two points that are still barriers. One is that we would definitely like to see FERC finalizing order 841, so they are still rehearing requests that are happening on both order 841 and 845. And so we have gone through a very extensive deliberation process to get to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

this point where we have an order. And so it is important for us to close that and get to the implementation stage of implementing the spirit of what FERC Order 841 really requires market operators to do.

And then the second thing that I have said earlier is to have FERC require energy storage being considered in traditional transmission planning processes, right? And so FERC has direct jurisdiction over transmission. And so -- and how planning is conducted nationally. And so I think that would be an area of keen interest to make sure that storage is equally considered with traditional wires options in planning processes.

Mr. Bucshon. Whomever.

Mr. Casey. Okay. If I might, the issue of considering storage in transmission planning has come up a lot. I can tell you, in the case of California ISO, we do. As I mentioned in my testimony, we approved two storage projects just this spring as alternatives to traditional wires. So there is an ability for ISO/RTOs to consider storage as -- in its transmission planning process. Whether they all do or not, I don't know.

We have also modified our interconnection process to accommodate energy storage. Storage is unique. It both generates

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

and consumes. So trying to treat it like a conventional generator creates some issues, and we have made changes to our interconnection process to accommodate it. So I think in large part, we have done quite bit to accommodate storage, at least in our footprint.

Mr. Kuznar. And I would just add to that, Congressman. You know, in Indiana, for example, we got approval for that -- those first 10 megawatts. I think a big part of it is just, you know, education and getting -- you know, because we are regulated, so we have to get approval from the regulatory commission for us to invest in those assets to show that we are doing something that is cost-effective.

And, you know, it is just -- it is the education piece with, you know, the consumer counselors in the commission of we are using this. It is a little different. It got generation value, transmission value, distribution value, and it should be a tool that we could use if it is cost-effective. And, you know, we went to the BIC with a number of folks in Indiana and educated people there just on what is storage, what is the value. It is a little different. You know, it is not just the generator. It has this T&D value as well. And I think that was just incredibly important

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

to get their kind of, you know, backing that this is good for people in Indiana.

Mr. Bucshon. Yeah. I mean -- well, thank you. And I had another subcommittee hearing at the same time, so I apologize if you had to repeat some of that. But I think it is worth repeating this type of information, if there was some repetition.

But because I think especially if -- you know, when people are -- across the country are looking more and more at renewables, you know, reliability of the energy supply and stuff becomes an issue, right? And I firmly believe that, you know, without some sort of energy storage, it is going to become a problem if we continue on the current pathway of where we are going with that, how we generate base load for energy. So thank you for your responses.

I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Welch, 5 minutes for questions.

Mr. Welch. Thank you. I thank the panel and thank the chairman and thank my colleagues.

This is such a great issue, you know, such -- we can do something useful for once. And the energy storage industry is a

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

big deal in Vermont. I just want to talk a little bit about that, then ask a few questions.

In Vermont, we are starting to see what it could look like when -- our largest utility, Green Mountain Power, is all in on this, they have an energy storage resource, including Stafford Hill Solar Storage Facility in Rutland. It is one of the first microgrids powered solely by solar and battery backup. And it was the first in the region to use battery storage to reduce peak power usage, saving \$200,000 in 1 hour. In Vermont, that is like real money. The battery storage can also be used to power an emergency shelter at the Rutland High School.

In 2015, GMP launched its first of a kind program to offer 500 Tesla Powerwall batteries for \$37.50 per month, a deal that included customers getting backup power for letting the utilities tap the batteries to manage systemwide or local peak conditions. That is so terrific, because we don't have to have these big backup generators. And we had a firsthand look at what happened. We had a big heat wave in July. And by leveraging these batteries and demand response resources, GMP was able to take the equivalent of 5,000 homes off the grid, saving customers about \$500,000. We have got a couple of others. Dynapower in Waterbury.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I am interested to learn more about what we can do to build off this type of work. And I want to talk about FERC Order 841 that came out earlier this year. And as you know, that moves towards opening the U.S. wholesale energy markets to putting storage on an equal footing with generators and other grid resources.

So I want to ask Mr. Frigo, does FERC Order 841 solve all your industries' problems, or what other barriers are there? And do current market designs adequately value and compensate storage for the flexibility it provides to the grid? And what, in your view, needs to be done?

Mr. Frigo. Right. FERC Order 841 is a great, great start. But as my colleagues have mentioned, I think the big push now is to finalize that. I know there has been a number of stakeholders that have asked FERC for a rehearing on that. I think it is important to deny that rehearing and basically implement the order full on. If you are just delaying the order, you are delaying the implementation.

Mr. Welch. Thank you.

Mr. Frigo. So then you also have FERC Order 845, which is dealing with the interconnection. Push that forward as well.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And I think, actually, another thing is there has been a number of States -- this gets backs to the Federal-State relationship. There has been a number of States that have really pushed storage forward that I think the Federal Government can learn from. California is one. New York is one. And we have seen it recently with Massachusetts, and just recently New Jersey as well. There are things that these States are doing that could be adopted to the rest of the country.

Mr. Welch. Thank you.

And, Mr. Kuznar, what do you view as the main limitations of battery technology at this point? And can you update the committee on any new promising storage technologies that may address some of these limitations?

Mr. Kuznar. Right. I think where storage technology is today is in a very good place. You know, we started doing R&D projects, you know, almost 10 years ago. Where it has come from there to now from a control system standpoint to a reliability standpoint, it has improved dramatically.

You know, I think going forward, you look at most technologies that are commercially available. Lithium-ion, kind of the duration that they can -- you know, they are finite in

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

their duration. So I think, you know, one thing as a utility we are always looking for is kind of longer duration batteries, one that can meet more of our peak. And that is something we are really keeping an eye on going forward.

Mr. Welch. Okay. One last question. I introduced a bill that would extend the electric vehicle credit. You know, we are bumped up against the 200,000.

And, Mr. Frigo, can you elaborate on how EV expansion can benefit storage?

Mr. Frigo. Sure. So these batteries that we use for grid purposes, whether generation or transmission and distribution, are being manufactured in the same facilities as batteries for electric vehicles. And electric vehicles is the bulk of that manufacturing capacity right now. And so as you provide incentives for more electric vehicles to be bought, put on the road, to be implemented obviously increases the demand for the manufacturing capacity, which makes those battery providers expand that capacity, driving cost down, because you get economies of scale. And then the grid applications for the use of batteries just follows as a natural result.

Mr. Welch. Thank you very much. I thank the panel.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Griffith, 5 minutes for questions, sir.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

RPTR TELL

EDTR HOFSTAD

[11:55 a.m.]

Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much. I appreciate it, Mr. Chairman.

And I appreciate the panel being here today.

Mr. Patel, I was glad to hear in your comments that you mentioned pump storage, and other people have asked about it. And while we have pump storage near my district -- not yet in the district, although it is being looked at -- one of the interesting concepts that has been talked about is taking abandoned coal mines and using those for pump storage facilities. Because, as you mentioned, one of the problems is it takes a lot of money. You got land there where you are going down. You have electricity already running in there, oftentimes rail; if not rail, good roads. And it has already been secured, because nobody wants folks getting in there and getting lost in the mines or coming into some kind of a problem.

So I would just point out that there is some potential there. Would you agree with that, Mr. Patel?

Mr. Patel. Yes, absolutely. I think one of the projects I

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

looked at is, you know, an old aluminum smelter. You know, that is, obviously, existing. It has water rights. So, you know, it is potentially a lower cost than something that is, you know, somewhere else.

I think, absolutely, you know, site value and those things really drives costs, and if they are lower and they can provide that same value at that lower cost, then it makes sense.

Mr. Griffith. And we have a lot of opportunities in rural America to do that. And we have talked about microgrids. I am going to ask some questions about that too.

Mr. Kumaraswamy, in your testimony, you referenced the interaction of microgrids as one of the benefits of energy storage being introduced to the grid.

And you also talked about that, Dr. Kuznar.

During previous hearings, we have discussed how microgrids could be a solution to quickly restore electricity after natural disasters like hurricanes. I am also interested in how microgrid technology could be used to provide power to rural, mountainous areas across the country. And can you expand on the benefits that you think microgrids provide to the grid? Also, what current limitations do you see associated with that microgrid technology?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

And then I will come to you, Dr. Kuznar.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. So one of the solutions that we deployed for a utility in the southwest U.S., Arizona Public Service, we actually delivered a 2-megawatt energy storage project to them earlier this year. And it is in a city called the city of Punkin, which is on the outskirts of Phoenix metropolitan. And this was a city that was growing very moderately in terms of load growth, and they had figured out that it was actually way more cost-effective for them to add a battery storage project at the end of the radial feeder to serve the city and part of that town that was moderately growing than upgrading a long section of the transmission line, right?

And so I think, as it relates to how we rebuild the network and how we think about modernizing the grid, energy storage has a very critical role to play in that.

On the microgrid topic, we think that storage that is combined with solar or any other renewable sources has incredible potential in terms of increasing the resiliency in the way we actually power our network itself. So there is incredible potential. What we would like to, again, see is the open mindedness from utilities and transmission planning entities to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

actually include this as a resource to make this a mainstream asset while you are conducting this process.

Mr. Griffith. And one of the things I would like to hear you all comment on, because I represent a mountainous district with lots of trees and mountainous areas, and I noticed when we were visiting Puerto Rico -- and you have heard several others mention that -- that in one of the places we visited, they used to have a hydropower facility, but they abandoned it, and now, of course, everything is wheeled into that particular rural, mountainous area of Puerto Rico. And I couldn't help but wonder what if they had kept that just for, you know, keeping the lights on in the hospitals and the school, using the school as a shelter in time of a disaster.

Dr. Kuznar, do you have anything that you can add to that? And then talk about Duke.

Mr. Kuznar. Yeah. I mean, one of the main applications that we are looking at with storage is reliability for radially fed areas. You know, we are doing just -- as you know, we are doing a project in Indiana, a radially fed town, poor reliability, 5 megawatts, backup power. We are doing a number of projects in western North Carolina in the Asheville area, same exact instance.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

A lot of trees, mountains, you know, bring down distribution lines. Provide --

Mr. Griffith. It is the same mountains. Mine are just a little further north.

Mr. Kuznar. A little further north, right. Backup power there. But, also, you know, the unique part about storage, we are also going to use them, in conjunction with backup, to provide regulation services to help incorporate solar into our grid.

So it is the stacked values we are looking at. But distribution reliability is a major use case we are evaluating.

Mr. Griffith. So you think for areas like mine and your western part of North Carolina, this is a real opportunity to make sure that we have, particularly in times of ice, snow, et cetera, usually for us, or heavy rains resulting from a hurricane coming up the spine of the mountains, that microgrids is a really good way for us to go.

Mr. Kuznar. Without question.

Mr. Griffith. And, Mr. Frigo, 6 seconds. Did you want to add something?

Mr. Frigo. Yes. Pump storage -- and this is important to note, that we have been talking about batteries a lot here.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Energy storage, I think most of us would agree, we are really technology-agnostic. There are multiple forms of energy storage that are all very useful.

Mr. Griffith. And I see pump storage as just a big wet battery. Would you agree with that?

Mr. Frigo. I would agree with that.

Mr. Griffith. Thanks. I appreciate it.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Ms. Castor, 5 minutes for questions, ma'am.

Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you to the witnesses. It has been a very interesting hearing. You have given me hope that America can stay in the lead on battery storage and energy storage.

And I think energy storage has so much potential to change, to modernize the way we produce energy, the way it is transmitted to our homes and businesses, I think at great benefit to our neighbors and businesses back home, first incorporating these clean, renewable energy sources, helping us to reduce carbon pollution, help to modernize the grid that is so outdated in so many places across the country. I think I see great potential for

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

jobs, increasing competition, and the opportunity to build the more resilient grid. And you have heard a number of members talk about that in their trip to Puerto Rico.

I think there has been an awakening after the last hurricane season on the importance of a more resilient grid and what microgrids and energy storage can provide, but we run into -- as you have provided a long to-do list for policymakers, I think another one we have to face is that FEMA is totally hamstrung by the Stafford Act so that, when a community is demolished, a grid is annihilated by an extreme weather event, they can only build back what was in place before. Now, the Congress did give Puerto Rico a little more flexibility.

But what are you seeing? Are you hearing this discussion among the industry about changes in that area, as well, Mr. Kumaraswamy?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yeah, no, that is a good question, and it is an important thing to acknowledge, about how the Stafford Act restricts what we can actually rebuild in Puerto Rico.

One of our parent companies, the AES Corporation, actually provided a vision for rebuilding the Puerto Rico grid itself. We filed those comments with the Puerto Rico Energy Commission. And

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

part of the plan actually envisions creating smaller mini-grids and several mini-grids and connecting them through a series of transmission lines, which we think would substantially reduce the cost for ratepayers there and also significantly increase the resiliency in terms of being able to serve power for people after disaster conditions like hurricanes.

And I think that we need to really think about using the technological advancements like energy storage, which happen to be more modular, right, so that, like Dr. Kuznar was saying before, you can actually deploy them closer to load centers, unlike traditional assets which need to be sited much farther away because of water issues, because of emissions issues and stuff like that. And so energy storage does not have any of those attributes, right? So there is no fuel, no emissions, no water, no noise. It doesn't have any of these attributes that typically limit the infrastructure that we put on the electric grid.

And they are also available in modular sizes, so that if you have -- say you are closer to San Juan, you can actually put energy storage closer to the load centers and power those communities locally there, as opposed to producing electricity farther away and transmitting them.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So I think we do need to carefully consider some of these advances.

Ms. Castor. So the technology exists.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Absolutely.

Ms. Castor. It is a matter of deployment and the high cost of doing that right now. But, otherwise, if we don't do it, taxpayers are going to be on the hook. If we build back what was there before and another storm comes through, taxpayers have to step up again to do this. So it would be smart policy to go ahead and do it right the first time.

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Absolutely.

Ms. Castor. Dr. Kuznar, you know, Floridians are hungry for cleaner energy. And it was great to hear that North Carolina is leading the pack in solar energy, but, really, the State of Florida, the Sunshine State, has great potential, and we are not meeting that potential right now.

I was very pleased to hear you are doing -- that the public service commission has now authorized Duke and, I guess, FP&L for 50 -- not kilowatt-hours --

Mr. Kuznar. Megawatts.

Ms. Castor. -- megawatts, excuse me. But they are still

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

calling it a pilot project. It doesn't seem like we have a commitment there.

Tell me, are you committed, is Duke Energy committed, and the other utilities? What is standing in the way to do more, and how can you be encouraged to do more?

Mr. Kuznar. Right, no -- thank you for that question. You know, we have been through this process -- you know, what we got approval for in December was 700 megawatts of solar and 50 megawatts of storage, but our plan is to go well beyond that. That was just kind of the first ask there.

You know, as part of this process, we have identified what we think are much more than 50 megawatts of storage on the grid. We worked with our transmission distribution planners to identify sites of poor reliability, where do we couple with solar, how do we help the integration of solar.

So I would just say this is a first step in what we plan on doing in Florida. Because, as you said, I mean, the partnerships that we can have with, you know, critical infrastructure to provide grid services and backup power during an outage, we think, is going to be very important going forward.

Ms. Castor. It absolutely will be. Thank you so much.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Johnson, 5 minutes, sir.

Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Several of -- thank you, panelists, for being here with us today, by the way.

Several members have talked about the challenges of rural America a little bit. I want to expound on that just a little bit more and maybe dig a little deeper.

I represent rural eastern and southeastern Ohio. The terrain is hilly. Communities are often far apart from one another. And my district is home to very intensive energy development industries -- coal, oil and gas. And as that production continues, particularly in the Utica and Marcellus Shale, the need for reliable power only increases as petrochemical operations come to this region of the country. But, thankfully, my district is also home to reliable sources of power, like coal-fired power plants.

Some of you have pointed out that energy storage can be used for other applications as well, such as when a transmission line suddenly stops working.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

So, Mr. Kumaraswamy, your testimony touches on energy storage being used in this way. Can you further elaborate, how it can be helpful in rural settings?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Absolutely.

So, when we size some of our transmission and distribution systems, we go through the same process that we go through for generation sizing, right? So we build them for peak conditions of the electric system, so we have to meet the summer peak demand for the utility, which typically happens in July and August in the Northeast. And so what we are actually doing is building a solution that you actually need only for 30 or 40 hours of the year, right?

And so we think that it is not the most efficient way of allocating capital, in terms of investing large capital into an asset that you would fractionally utilize. It just seems like, in every other commodity market, we are moving towards increased utilization and more efficient capital spending.

And we think that energy storage, through its capability to be a modular solution, where you can actually add the right size capacity to the network when you need it, and then if the load continues to grow, you can augment the system with an additional

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

set of battery modules, which is incredibly more helpful than a more lumpy capital asset like the traditional wire solutions.

And so we are beginning to see this happen. And like Dr. Casey mentioned, CAL ISO has been leading the charge on this. We have seen energy storage being selected as a transmission asset through the regional market transmission planning process. And so what we would like to see is more of that happening, where the traditional T&D planning folks can actually use this technology that is available in the toolkit and regularly look at this as an option in which you can solve the reliability needs.

Mr. Johnson. Okay. All right.

Dr. Kuznar, you mentioned that Duke recently filed for 10 megawatts of energy storage as a part of its electric security plan in Ohio. Can you elaborate on the project and explain why Duke decided that energy storage was the best option for this particular situation?

Mr. Kuznar. Right. So that is where we are currently going through that rate case and hearing right now. But what we have done is -- you know, in Ohio, it is interesting because, unlike our other States, we have no generation. So we are just a wireless utility in Ohio with transmission and distribution, where

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

in, you know, Kentucky, Indiana, the Carolinas, and Florida, we have generation transmission and distribution.

So what we are looking at for these projects in Ohio is similar, basically looking at areas -- and, you know, we want to expand beyond this; it was kind of our first ask, but -- areas with poor reliability. So we have, you know, some very rural, radially fed lines in Ohio, which to maybe potentially run a second feeder out there to improve the reliability is just not cost-effective, where now storage can give us this tool we can use to put down at these radially fed areas and increase the reliability for our customers.

So that is really what the gist of the project is in Ohio.

Mr. Johnson. Okay.

Well, thank you very much, gentlemen.

I don't have time to ask my next question. It is too long.
I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

Mr. Tonko, 5 minutes for questions, sir.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And thank you to our witnesses for offering great advice.

A modernized grid will need to be smarter, more distributed,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

and certainly more flexible. Storage technologies will be essential to achieve that vision.

In my home State of New York, NYISO, NYSERDA, and the Public Service Commission are all working together to integrate storage resources and remove barriers to the wholesale electricity market. As was noted by Mr. Patel in his testimony, earlier this year New York established a 1,500-megawatt storage goal by 2025 and made a commitment to financial support for project developers from the State's Green Bank.

So, Mr. Patel, I know you helped develop the New York Energy Storage Roadmap. In your view, what are the most significant policy recommendations included in that report?

Mr. Patel. Yeah. Thank you for the question.

There were a whole host of recommendations; it is a long report. But I think the biggest ones were, you know, what I touched on earlier, and I think the other panelists as well, is the value stacking, had how to actually do that in practice.

And, also, you know, there are other initiatives going on at FERC, things like that, and how to accelerate that. So are there ways to, basically -- you know, it may be imperfect, you know, until you can actually get full participation in the market and,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

you know, the New York ISO and others. You know, are there ways to, you know, allow the utilities or others to basically, you know, get those values sooner, you know, maybe through -- in New York, in particular, you know, can you modify load on the utility side versus directly participating in the wholesale market. So that might be a bridge you can do in the next year versus waiting 3 or 4 years until the wholesale markets are, you know, in the right place to allow for bigger participation.

And I think the last, you know, big recommendation, of course, is the financial support that will be coming from the Green Bank and the Clean Energy Fund of New York. And, you know, those have proposed several hundred million dollars, which will obviously accelerate deployment.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

And, also, Mr. Patel, why is it important for States or grid operators to signal their commitment to storage through targets or incentives or policy? Why is that critical?

Mr. Patel. Yeah, no, another good question. I think, you know, the market is evolving, and, obviously, developers and other folks need a whole, kind of, infrastructure supply chain, people on the ground to actually go out and figure out how to actually do

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

this.

So the States that are moving forward, you know, have kind of committed to being the first of those there. California, New York, you know, they have put some real, you know, money and effort into becoming, kind of, the leaders in this space. So, obviously, that yields, you know, folks opening up offices and having more interest and actually getting out there and doing it first.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

Dr. Casey, I believe that California and New York have pretty much shared a similar approach. What lessons or advice would you have to other regions on how they might remove barriers within their markets?

Mr. Casey. Well, I think the big lesson is recognizing the uniqueness of storage compared to conventional generation. I think, even in our case, there is a tendency to try to take the standard approaches we take with generation, like, through interconnecting the resource, as well as participating in the market. Well, they don't work for storage. Storage has unique operating capabilities, as FERC is recognizing.

So being flexible in recognizing that they do have special

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

needs and finding ways to accommodate that, I think that is the biggest lesson learned.

Mr. Tonko. And, as Mr. Patel indicated, there are some opportunities that FERC can offer. Which would you prioritize, in terms of what FERC can do to move the process along?

Mr. Casey. Well, I guess I have a slightly different view, in that I think FERC has done a lot. I think FERC allows -- I know FERC allows storage to be treated as a transmission asset, to be considered in planning processes. Order 841 is, I think, a huge step forward in enabling wholesale market participation.

So I am not sure how much more there is for FERC to do. I think it is incumbent on the industry and the ISOs and RTOs out there, the organized markets, to really look at, you know, how do we act on the opportunities we have and getting them in place.

Mr. Tonko. Great.

And many State policies and mandates will drive growth moving forward. Is storage being sufficiently considered in State and utility resource planning efforts, such as resource adequacy and transmission and distribution planning?

Mr. Casey. Yeah, in the case of California, it definitely is. There is a whole focused effort, led by the State Public

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Utilities Commission, on, more generally, distributed energy resource planning, but storage is a big component of that.

Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

Mr. Kumaraswamy, I had a question for you, but you have already tackled it.

But thank you all for being here.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Olson. Thank you.

And you all have made it. I will be the last member asking questions. But, like Chairman Emeritus Barton before the current vice chairman of the full committee, my Texas pride makes me respond to some comments that were made in this hearing earlier.

The witty banter between Chairman Upton and Mr. Doyle about the All-Star Game last night in D.C., they failed to mention the MVP. His name is Alex Bregman. He plays third base for the World Series champion Houston Astros. He and another 'stro, as we call them, George Springer, hit back-to-back home runs in the 10th inning to win the game for the American League. Let the record show: Astros, Astros, Astros, MVP.

Now let's get to business.

My first question is for you, Mr. Frigo. As you know, sir,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

every air conditioner in Texas is cranking right now, hard. We are having 100-degree days all over the State. Had those for a couple weeks. There is no end in sight. Our ERCOT power grid is under severe stress. Our reserve margins are lower, and we have had some big retirements. Three days ago, we set a record for July power: 70 gigs of power. This week, we may break that record. ERCOT says we might hit 74 or 75 gigs. That is huge.

Reliability can be a hypothetical at times, but right now at home it is as real as it gets. If the power goes out, that affects people on the extremes -- the extremely young, the extremely old, and the extremely sick. It is life-threatening to them if the power goes out.

I would like you to talk about what your storage projects in places like the Permian Basin can do for reliability. How can they protect the grid? What scale do you need to see more of an impact? Any thoughts about that, sir?

Mr. Frigo. Yes. You are correct; today is a very hot day in Texas, and the grid is under tremendous stress. In fact, I was looking at our power curves just before this meeting started, and we have our two projects on standby right now. And they are probably being called upon as we speak to meet the frequency

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

regulation, which is basically making sure the grid stays at 60 hertz. Because if it goes above or below, you potentially could have a grid outage.

And so that is where our storage is actually coming into play as we speak, by getting the frequency regulation back on track.

Mr. Olson. How about the scale? What to increase the scale? How can we do that?

Mr. Frigo. Well, unfortunately, we have ERCOT that is not under the jurisdiction of FERC. So they are on their own in terms of implementing their own planning process and looking for the future. Obviously, they do, I think, look -- they are smart. They look at what the rest of the country is doing and take what works and implement it back.

I think a lot of the things are on Texas's shoulders and on ERCOT's shoulders to basically implement many of the things that are being done throughout the country at ERCOT itself. The frequency regulation market is actually constrained now in terms of the amount of megawatts that could be put on. And so there have been efforts proposed to put in a fast frequency regulation market that is bigger that would allow for greater energy storage, but it hasn't passed thus far.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Upton. Well, thank you.

Mr. Kumaraswamy -- is that close?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. That is spot-on.

Mr. Olson. Oh, boy. Spot-on for a thick Texas tongue, I will take that as a great compliment.

One of the trends we are seeing in Texas, as you know, is an incredible boom in wind power. My home State is number one in America for wind power production. Wind power is great, but, as mentioned earlier, it has two problems. It blows hard at the night where power is not needed, and the biggest wind is in extreme west Texas. As was mentioned earlier, we have to have that power in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, Austin, San Antonio, the big cities.

Could you talk about how storage on batteries will mesh with natural gas power? And does that make other forms of energy work better, or does it replace them? And, finally, can battery storage with wind power or solar power actually become sort of baseload power, a quasi-baseload power? Is that possible?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. That is a good question, and the answer is yes. There are actually enough examples that are happening across the country and internationally that showcase the value that

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

storage can bring. At, like, 3 or 4 hours of duration, if you pad them with wind or solar, you can operate these renewable facilities as partially baseloaded facilities. And so there is incredible potential for you to do that.

I actually want to second the view that Mr. Frigo said previously. In the Texas market, there are two things that I see, particularly. One is that there has been past attempts to reform the ancillary service market there, what is called the FAST, the future ancillary service team, the FAST acronym, and it didn't see light at the end of the day, and so it was stalled completely. We think those initiatives are extremely important, because you have to go to your place where you start integrating the speed at which storage can actually provide the service and not create artificial barriers in that market.

And so, because it is nonjurisdictional, I think it is really ERCOT and the PUCT that have to resolve that issue. That is number one.

Number two is that there have also been cases where energy storage was actually a more cost-effective option than proposed transmission projects and so utilities there have gone ahead with that, but because of several reasons they have not been approved

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

to date.

We think that, for the same reason that you indicated, which is the wind is in west Texas and the load is down south, it also creates transmission constraints while you are trying to move all of that power. And so storage can actually provide great value as a transmission asset. And I think it is upon the State to make sure that you are creating, then, the policy environment for that to actually happen.

Mr. Olson. Well, thank you.

Seeing no more members --

Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Olson. -- looking to ask questions -- Mr. Rush.

Mr. Rush. I have a question. And maybe any of the panelists could answer this, if I might.

I am interested in how energy storage batteries, microgrids and mini-grids, their application to undeveloped countries, in undeveloped countries. I mean, it seems to me that we are always looking for a marketplace, for a different, wider market.

And so my question is, in the future of batteries, energy, do you see a wide application in the future for batteries and for, say, underdeveloped countries that are trying to develop a middle

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

class, middle-class lifestyle? Is there any significant potential for the application of mini-grids in some of those countries? And, also, if you can touch on, is there any future for exporting energy based on stored energy?

Mr. Frigo. That is a good question, Mr. Rush. E.ON is a big, global country. We have operations throughout the world. And, in fact, we have part of our company that is actually looking at this and working in some underdeveloped countries.

What you see is a lot of the grids in those countries are very small, are not well-interconnected. Maybe there are one or two power plants in the entire country and limited transmissions distribution, so you have a number of smaller communities on the peripheries that are just not electrified.

So one of the things that you see being used in underdeveloped countries and these rural communities is the formation of microgrids, what we talked about earlier. And in these microgrids, they will typically have maybe a wind turbine or two or maybe some solar. And this is where energy storage can also play part.

We are working in Tanzania right now where we are looking at solar, pairing it with energy storage to meet the needs of some

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

small communities that are not connected to the centralized grid. So that is definitely one example.

In terms of your other question in terms of export power, it really depends on where you would site that storage. Storage is really used to solve a particular problem in a particular location. So you really wouldn't put it with the intention of exporting power farther away.

Mr. Rush. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

Mr. Olson. Thank you, my friend.

One comment on your question is to remember the country called India. I was there this past March and talked with the leaders there. Their motto for energy is: Natural gas is a present, renewables are the future. That means batteries are the future.

Great ally, great market -- 1.3 billion people who have been held back by energy since probably the last half-century, but now, with America opening up our exports of natural gas and oil, they are looking for a source of energy from us. They have air problems too.

So thank you for bringing that up.

Okay. Seeing there are no further members wishing to ask

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

questions --

Mr. Rush. Mr. Chairman, I ask for unanimous consent to enter into the record the opening statement from Ranking Member Pallone.

Mr. Olson. Without objection.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Pallone follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Olson. And it looks like my colleague from Texas, Mr. Green, has slipped in here.

We have talked all about the Astros, Gene. Do you want to add some comments about battery power?

Mr. Green. And don't forget Altuve getting a hit last night, and Springer helped scoring the run. Although I was worried when our pitcher, Morton, let a home run get away from him. But thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I thank our colleagues who are here. I know you all didn't want to have -- although between Pete and I, we are both Astros fans because, if you couldn't tell it, we are both from Texas.

I want to thank the chair for this, because when it comes to renewables, while were a still great success in the last decade, the sun doesn't always shine and the wind doesn't always blow. But advances in energy storage have the potential to lead to a grid with a expanded renewable portfolio. And I am glad our witnesses are coming here today.

For those of you on the panel who operate or construct storage facilities, what was the regulatory process to build these facilities, and what improvements would you like to see?

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Kuznar. I can start. So --

Mr. Green. Was it a problem with FERC or -- you know.

Mr. Kuznar. Right. So, you know, we operate in a number of different markets. I think one of the -- it is just -- it is a new technology. And so the way we have kind of modeled it in our traditional planning processes that at least our commissions are used to seeing. You know, if you look, we operate in Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Florida. And so, at Duke, we have a lot of different commissions overseeing those States. And we are regulated, so they must approve those projects.

So I think, you know, one of our just initial goals that we needed to tackle was just how do we model storage, how do we show that it is an economic investment for us, and how do we educate and get approval from our commissions.

Mr. Green. Okay.

Do you feel, Mr. Kumaraswamy -- pardon. Having a name like "Green," it is easy. But, in your testimony, you talk about investment tax credit. And I know what we -- do you feel the single-year tax credit extension framework that is currently used on a year-to-year basis works for the development of storage

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

projects that require lots of permitting and environmental reviews?

Mr. Kumaraswamy. Yeah, no, that is a good question. I mean, I think we talked about this previously, but one of the things that I wanted to highlight is that the section 48 of the investment tax credit currently applies for energy storage when it is paired with renewable energy generation.

There has been some ambiguity about that process, and the IRS has actually invited comments on that procedure. And they have not provided formal guidance on the topic. But one thing that we see is that energy storage provides value wherever it is put on a grid, right? Whether it is co-located with renewables or whether it is paired with, you know, traditional generation facilities or when it is used as a wires option, right, so while it is replacing traditional T&D infrastructure asset.

So it is able to add value wherever it is added to the grid. And so thinking about energy storage as a class by itself and extending the current section 48 rules to apply for that would be what we would like to see.

Mr. Green. Okay.

I represent an area that is in ERCOT. And the expansion of

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

wind power has been overwhelming. Not as much solar, but I think the State is going to get into that. And I don't think we would have built most of that without the investment tax credit.

And the same with storage. When I look at information that ERCOT has much less storage capacity than some of the other areas, does anybody know why that would be? Because compared to California or compared to even PJM, the storage capacity is much smaller.

Mr. Frigo. I can answer that, Mr. Green.

Mr. Green. Okay.

Mr. Frigo. ERCOT, which is not under the jurisdiction of FERC, has, effectively, a pilot frequency regulation market that energy storage is well-positioned to participate in. Currently, it is maxed out at 65 megawatts for regulation up and then 35 megawatts for regulation down. And, basically, that is markets already saturated with the existing storage there.

Mr. Green. Okay.

Mr. Frigo. So what ERCOT needs to do -- and this is one of the things that has been proposed to ERCOT -- is to expand that market so that more energy storage could come onto the grid. And that is something that initially got rejected and will probably be

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

revisited, I guess, in the future.

Mr. Green. Okay. Because last year -- I know Congressman Olson, it is not his district now -- we didn't have a lot of wind damage, but when you get 55 inches of rain, it has an impact on pipelines, on everything else. And we didn't lose power like Puerto Rico or other States that were hit with high winds, but it would be great to have that storage capacity that maybe some of the plants -- and the nuclear power plant continued. Our coal plants could not because all the coal was underwater, literally, in the storage area.

Mr. Chairman, I know I am out of time, but I appreciate the time.

Mr. Olson. Well, thank you.

And one more time, seeing there are no further members wishing to ask questions and no one wanting to brag about the Houston Astros, I would like to thank all the witnesses for joining us today.

Before we conclude, I would like to ask unanimous consent that we submit the following documents for the record: Number one is a letter from the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, and the second, a letter from the Edison Electrical

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Institute.

Without objection, so ordered.

[The information follows:]

***** COMMITTEE INSERT *****

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Mr. Olson. And pursuant to committee rules, I remind members that they have 10 business days to submit additional questions for the record.

And I ask the witnesses submit their responses within 10 business days upon receipt of the questions.

Without objection, this subcommittee is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 11:30 a.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]